Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2005-07

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-07-01 through 2005-07-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 2,991

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:09:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WQ%we.52$_b3.5487764@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111360

Dan:

Actually, this "Dolt" is just tired of the standard ancient amateur
with his outdated equip taking up bandspace and passing gas...

We need new blood just to bring back some excitement and some future
progress to the amateur hobby... and we need to get rid of those who
oppose and stand in the way of progress... yesterday would not be too
soon for these changes...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:5q%we.14195$pa3.9203@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> This dolt is not only anti cw, but he is anti Amateur Radio. Why
> does this NOT surprise me?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f_Fwe.4$zi6.702556@news.sisna.com...
>> Learn the code-get the license-forget cw and lobby to ditch the
>> damn ancient waste of time...
>>
>> Join NCI No-Code International.
>> Write your congressman and complain public funds are supporting
>> only a handful of code using radio hobbyists!
>>
>> Complain, complain, complain...
>>
>> John
>>
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120077019.487688.112240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>> What is more important:
>>>>
>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>>> better

>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>> Waiting for the code test to go away to get HF privs kinda reminds
>>> me
>>> of my old uncle who until the day he passed away ten or so years
>>> ago
>>> was still waiting for his Pennsylvania Railroad stock go back up
>>> and
>>> he'd make a wad.
>>>
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>> w3rv
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:14:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uV%we.53$c34.5882016@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111361

Dan:

That certainly sounds as exciting as a visit to a rest home, which, by the way, is something I attempt to avoid at all costs...

... only another 80 year old can really appreciate another 80 year old...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:QA%we.14208$pa3.3243@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I talk with a lot of late 80 and early 90 year old hams. You need
>to wake up and smell the roses me boy.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:xehy40rgttpme82.290620051950@kirk...
>> let me see-the mean age for hams is approx 65-if we add 25 years
>> 65+25=90
>>
>> since the lifespan of men is shorter than females-you are joking
>> right
>>
>> have you QSO'ed with many 90 year old hams in resthomes-that must
>> be exciting
>>
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:3-qdnQwYNdOrxl7fRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
>>> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:jydrrl3q7ldnc5k.290620051907@kirk...
>>>> exactly
>>>>
>>>> but only a couple of guys here even have a clue
>>>>
>>>> that is a problem
>>>>
>>>> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>>>

>>>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>>>> What is more important:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code
>>>>>> a better
>>>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>
>>>>> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>>>> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby,
>>>>> period.
>>>>>
>>>>> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64
>>>>> Years.
>>>>> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>>>> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals
>>>>> combined.
>>>>>
>>>>> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>>>>> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>>>> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait
>>>>> till
>>>>> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for
>>>>> xmas!)
>>>>>
>>>>> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>>>> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology
>>>>> based
>>>>> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most
>>>>> part
>>>>> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>>>> not care less either.
>>>>>
>>>>> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>>>> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>>>>> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are
>>>>> either
>>>>> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>>>>
>>>>> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>>>> by 2030.
>>>
>>> Well most of the hams I know, including myself, have pretty good
>>> odds of still being alive and kicking in 2030.

>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:17:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <YY%we.55$Hn3.5653606@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111364

Dan:

Well, the 60 to 90 crowd have the bands now, they think they can live
forever and protect their status--we will see--won't we?

In the meantime, when new "would be hams" cite the code and leave, I
am recommending they hold off and see what is going to happen in the
future, I point out if the code is dropped they would change their
minds...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Ny%we.14200$pa3.10807@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> What is more important:
>>>
>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>> better
>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>
>> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>
>
> So? we concentrate on the group of folks that have the TIME to do
> Ham Radio these days. The retired or soon to be retired group. Let
> the youth text all they want, chase women, find drugs....so what.
>
>

>> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>
>
> None of which has a thing to do with Ham Radio.
>
>> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most
>> part
>> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>> not care less either.
>>
>
> Ham Radio is and always has been a group of radio geeks. Only
> recently has this become a "problem". I see no problem with a much
> smaller, more dedicated group. We don't need 700,000 licensed hams
> if only a small percentage are actually licensed. As a matter of
> fact I believe you will find that the membership of the ARRL are the
> REAL ACTIVE AMATEURS. Not the give a way Tech ticket. These are
> the folks that wanted a free cell phone. Go for it.
> Real hams know what this hobby/service is supposed to be. The rest
> of you are at the bottom of the learning curve.
>
> Perhaps if you would pay attention to those that have been there and
> KNOW what its about....your life would be a bit easier (?).
>
>
>
>> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are
>> either
>> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>
>
> Which is exactly how 75 has been since the 1950s. Or earlier for
> all I know.
>
> YOUR POINT IS?
>
>
>> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>> by 2030.
>>
> No it wont. Changed.....but not dead. You of course will be long
> gone. Good riddance.
>

> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

4. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:20:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <I%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111363

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> What is more important:
>>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Hi Mike:
>
> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc. I
> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just don't
> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are pretty
> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as I
> do
> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
> things
> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
> "idiots"
> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms :o).
>
> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So, could
> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
> don't
> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just have to comment.....

What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means Slow Scan TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.

Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".

Amazing.....and she has a license?

Amazing.

Dan/W4NTI

5. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:21:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <a00xe.1670$aY6.308@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111362

```
"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Kim wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>What is more important:
>>>
>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>>
>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>
>> Hi Mike:
>>
>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
>> I
>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just don't
>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>> pretty
>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as I
>> do
>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>> things
>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>> "idiots"
>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms :o).
>>
>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>> could
>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
```

>> don't
>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>
> Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

No it's not Mike. There are Morse Code haters out there. Lennie the loser is one of the main ones.

Dan/W4NTI

6. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:23:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <x20xe.1672$aY6.699@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111365

Speaking of AC plugs Lennie the loser....how about doing us all a favor and show your "eeee" competence at a level we all know you are at? Stick your index finger and the little finger into the AC plug and write us a technical report on the results.

Putz.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120164558.838939.326670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 30 Jun 2005 08:19
>
>>Kim wrote:
>>
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>>>What is more important:
>>>>
>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>
>>
>>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
>>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
>>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
>>> I
>>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
>>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
>>> don't
>>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>>> pretty
>>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as
>>> I do
>>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
>>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>>> things
>>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>>> "idiots"

>>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
>>> :o).
>>>
>>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>>> could
>>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
>>> don't
>>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>>
>> Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>
> No, it wasn't "wrong." It got exactly what you wanted to get
> in here...more polarization on a topic already rife with
> polarized "opinions" and personal pontifications.
>
> It is no different than the self-ascribed "representative" of ALL
> radio amateurs saying a challenger "hates ham radio" when all the
> challenger did was dislike the opinion of the "representative."
>
> The hobby activity of amateur radio is supposedly about personal
> recreation of the hobbyist (which includes personal daydreams of
> being in a "service to the nation"...if the daydreamer is a bit
> over the edge). That means they get the OPTION of doing anything
> they want as allowed by the FCC.
>
> Ya know what? The FCC has long since abandoned any necessity of
> hams being required by law to show evidence of "CW" contacts in
> a year's time! [How about that...and...Sunnuvagun!] Every
> single allocated mode is perfectly optional to use at the
> licensee's OPTION!
>
> Isn't that "terrible!" OPTION! Why, with all the nastywords
> and implied impropriety of all those who do not choose the
> beloved, honored, revered, treasured, adored "CW," all those
> who don't bother with "CW" ought to turn in their ham licenses
> and make public apologies for not "holding tradition!"
>
> But, the olde-fahrts still shout and holler for "tradition"
> and demands to keep the test for "CW" that is adored, treasured,
> revered, honored, beloved, etc., because "that is how it should
> be!" [in their tight little fantasy world] Every ham, according
> to those olde-fahrt wunderkinder of long-ago days, MUST do good
> morsemanship! :-)
>
> One MUST "show dedication, etc., to the 'amateur community'" by
> doing as all those olde-fahrts DEMAND. ["it is only right" cry
> the olde-fahrts] They think they "rule." No problem. Well,
> one problem...no forwarding address to send all that "dedication
> and committment" to the "community" as required by the unwritten

> law...
>
> Meanwhile, the newcomers (who don't seem to count to the olde-
> fahrts or the league of distinguished, nearly extinguished
> gentlemen of Newington) are getting IN to amateur radio through
> the NO-CODE-TEST class. Many more than are "flocking" to the
> "CW faithful" churches of the lesser-day saints of "CW." They
> take the OPTIONS available by law. Nearly half of all ham
> licensees by now...
>
> Option is no failure.
>
> Continue to shun AC and keep the polarization going. Ask them
> pre-loaded questions.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

7. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:25:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111366

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:VJ-dnfZWQYK57FnfRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> Kim wrote:
>>
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>>>What is more important:
>>>>
>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>
>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Hi Mike:
>>>
>>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
>>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
>>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
>>> I
>>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
>>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
>>> don't
>>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>>> pretty
>>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as
>>> I do
>>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
>>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>>> things
>>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>>> "idiots"
>>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
>>> :o).
```

>>>
>>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>>> could
>>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
>>> don't
>>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>>
>> Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Besides that, Kim, you did what people like myself and Mike and so many
> others advocate. That is you took the testing in place at the time that
> was required for the privileges that you wanted. You didn't sit on your
> hands and wait for the testing procedure to change.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.

Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.

Dan/W4NTI

8. Re: K1MAN Info Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:27:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <360xe.1676$aY6.139@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111367

"Rob Sheldon" <sheldon391@shelltdcommsco.org> wrote in message
news:da1qeemkb0@pita.alt.net...
> Does anyone know the name of the communications law firm
> which was retained this week by MAN?
>
> tnx
>
> Rob
> Syracuse NY
>
>
>

Must have got it on a AARU credit card.

BWAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAA

Dan/W4NTI

9. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:27:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <m60xe.57$Hn3.5653606@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111368

Dan:

Now I ask you, "What boob would use SSTV?"

A webcam on a computer, compressing and digitizing the video and then converting to an audio signal and finally delivering it to a transceiver, to be picked up and decoded at the other end and fed to a soundcard/computer monitor produces a MUCH clearer sharper and more fps... SSTV is for dinosaurs!!!

Wake up, it is already 2005!

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:l%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> What is more important:
>>>
>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>>
>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>> better
>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>> Hi Mike:
>>
>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
>> really
>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
>> anything
>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV,
>> etc. I
>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
>> newsgroup,
>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just

>> don't
>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>> pretty
>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode
>> (as I do
>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to
>> honor the
>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other
>> steadfast things
>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>> "idiots"
>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political
>> terms :o).
>>
>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much,
>> and I
>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with.
>> So, could
>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters."
>> I don't
>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>>
>
> I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just have
> to comment.....
>
> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means
> Slow Scan TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.
>
> Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".
>
> Amazing.....and she has a license?
>
> Amazing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

10. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:40:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ji0xe.12358$hK3.4834@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111370

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

>
> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show?

Yup. "Second City TV" 70's-80's with John Candy and others. Hilarious stuff.
My favorite was the dimwit McKenzie brothers from "The Great White North"
segment. Basically the Canadian version of rednecks, but more so. Eh, hoser?

73, de Hans, K0HB

11. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:50:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120179011.079632.165780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111371

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> > > Canada, IIRC, has less than 1/10th the number of
> > > hams as the USA, spread out across a larger area.
> >
> > 90% of all Canadians live within 75 miles of the USA, a
> > distance trivial to HF propagation.
>
> Within 75 miles of the border, maybe. But not within 75 miles of most
> US hams.
>
> > > Yet the Canadian HF ham bands are virtually
> > > the same as the US ones.
> >
> > Yes, they share the same bands with us, and without arbitrary
> > in-band segments based on mode or bandwidth.
>
> But they do have arbitrary bandwidth limits.
>
> > On 160M, 80M, 40M, 20M, 17M, 15M, and 12M they are
> > limited to a 6kHz bandwidth signal anywhere inside the band.
> > On 30M they are
> > limited to 1kHz bandwidth, and on 10M they are limited to 20kHz > bandwidth.
> >
> > Since, as you point out, their bands are virtually the same
> > ones we use right
> > next door, certainly we'd know about any problems with their
> > style of regulation.
>
> They have far fewer hams than the USA, spread out over a larger area.
> >
> > > the Canadian amateur power limit.
> >
> > 2.25 KW PEP output on SSB, 750W output on other modes.
> > Certainly sufficient to
> > spill outside their southern border.
> >
> > > It seems to me that it's more spectrum-efficient to
> > > have like modes together, rather than mixed.
> >
> > Our HF bands are hardly congested,
>

> If they're not congested, why change the rules?

Becuase the ARS is not esp healthy
>
> > and as the "worldwide-except-> USA" experience
> > shows, hams have pretty well figured out how to share the
> > spectrum without
> > governments imposing mode/bandwidth segments on them.
>
> What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places) won't
> necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).

>
> An analogy:
>
> Most of the rest of the developed world places far more restrictions on
> the ownership of firearms than the USA. And they have far less violent
> crime, too. Since that seems to work for them, would you propose the
> USA adopt such restrictions?

Not realy but it not realted to hi low density issues
>
> > > IMHO, narrow and wide modes do not coexist well. That alone
> > > is a good reason to have subbands-by-mode, or at least
> > > subbands-by-bandwidth, on the ham bands.
> >
> > Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies
> > except the 60M
> > channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?
>
> Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out of the
> 'phone/image subbands.

Gee guess you were listening to the same stuff I was on Feild day
>
> > In Canada SSB is allowed anywhere on any MF/HF frequency. Have > you heard
> > reports of problems with that?
>
> I've experienced problems with that personally on 40 meters, from hams
> both north and south of the USA. Region 2 hams, who have 7000 to 7300,
> but operate high-power SSB on 7050 and lower.
>
> 'Bandplan? We don't need no steenkin' bandplan!'
>
> > Europe (much more densly populated than US or
> > Canada) doesn't seem to have mode coexistance problems.
>
> How many hams in Europe? How many with HF stations?
>
> > It's time FCC quits micromanaging our assigned spectrum.
>

> I disagree.

Obviously
>
> The proposal is similar to the idea of allowing walkers, runners,
> skateboarders, cyclists, motorcycles, cars, light trucks, buses and 18
> wheelers to all use the interstates - with no speed or lane limits.

Gee it by and large works in the world and with HF being world wide
would not we be better not going our own way

> >
> > > btw, Hans, when are you going to submit your restructuring
> > > proposal to FCC?
> >
> > It's in their hands.
>
> You mean it was formally submitted as a restructuring proposal in the
> past year or two?
>
> Or do you mean your comments of several years ago?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

12. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:54:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120179282.511949.127750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111372

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> > And human nature being what it is, there needs to be
> > some type of discipline on the bands as to what goes where.
>
> Sounds like an excerpt from a religious catechism!
>
> Is "human nature" different in the USA? For that matter, is "human nature"
> different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves provide the "type of
> discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?

The FCC dumped micromanaging 160 on the ARRL, less work and flak for
the FCC.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

13. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 00:56:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120179375.291888.302150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111373

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:8NSdnex7ce8A7VnfRVn-jw@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>What is more important:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>YMMV
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
> >>>>
> >>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
> >>>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
> >>>>("flying behind the plane")
> >>>>
> >>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
> >>> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
> >>> volume up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
> >>
> >> I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are similar. I
> >> doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what it
> >> is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on something.
> >> Can I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.
> >>
```

> >> Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
> >> fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> > I understand that completely. If my ex was practicing code without the
> > headphones, I had to leave not only the room, but that floor of the house.
> > If he was using headphones, I could hear it more than well enough to copy
> > his practice sessions. The point is that he passed the test.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Exactly Dee.....these anti-code dunderheads don't get it. It is mostly a
> matter of dedication and persistence to learn Morse. They obviously have
> neither.

No it is matter of law, by what power does the FCC have to continue
this Morse Code Welfare program. Nothing in the constitution, and
nothing anymore in the the treaty. and no one has shown how any
provision of the sonstitution allows the FCC to without access to hf
based on the skill in the mode. The FCC has ruled in the past that it
does not have a case to make.

But ultimately one thing many of them do lack is desire, desire to
learn Morse is a requirement it is indeed one of the most vital
requirement to learn the mode.

Why don't they have this desire? I don't know. but maybe you should
look to seeling the mode better, if you think it is important

>
> Dan/W4NTI

14. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:06:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5vednahhz7GoClnfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 111374

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:v1%we.1638$aY6.1403@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:nN6dnV2qU9fX71nfRVn-oA@comcast.com...
>>
>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:e7Wwe.2043$8f7.1035@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in
>>>
>>>> Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
>>>> have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
>>>> neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??
>>>
>>> We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water
>>> too. (I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>> There were a lot of rural areas like that. I lived on a farm in Iowa
>> from the age of 6 months to 10 years old and there was no electricity or
>> running water there. It was kerosene lamps and carry water from the
>> pump. There were electrical lines in the area, just not to our farm. And
>> from the numbers above, I see that I am about 10 years younger than Hans.
>>
>> Hey Hans, looks like there's a bunch of city slickers in here!
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Been following this thread with increased interest....just have to throw
> in my two cents worth.
>
> Years ago.,..must have been in the mid to late 50s I would spend time with
> my Aunt Kay and Uncle Frank. They lived in the old original family home
> in Leetonia Ohio. No indoor plumbing, no heat, a shed for the Model T
> that still ran, and a special place with the Sears catalog.
>
> Winters were the most interesting...with the feather beds and pillows and
> quilts so thick it would bury my little body so deep I looked like I was
> part of the bed.
>

> Frozen bed pans, contemplation of the "quick" run to the Sears catalog
> shed in the middle of the night. Brrrrrrrrrrrr.....
>
> And yes I had to walk to school ....but it was only a mile or so.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Well I did get to ride a bus (except when roads were really bad) but had that same "quick run" decision to make in the middle of the (cold) winter nights.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

15. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:07:24 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9685D703F5557elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 111375

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1120166235.360433.93870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>> >
>> > 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
>> > Stay inside of them.
>> >
>> > 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
>> > communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
>> > in far away lands and launch communications satellites
>> > into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
>> > you may think up. The government doesn't care what mode you use
>> > for any of this. (See 97.3)
>>
>> > . . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and it all goes
>> > downhill fast from there . . .
>>
>> If that's true, I think it already would have happened. The US is one
>> of only a very few countries which has mandated "mode sub-bands".
>>
> The US is also one of the few countries with a large and relatively
> affluent amateur radio population licensed to use relatively high
> powered transmitters.
>
> Canada, IIRC, has less than 1/10th the number of hams as the USA,
> spread out across a larger area. Of course Canada also has a
> proportionately smaller population. Yet the Canadian HF ham bands are
> virtually the same as the US ones. Perhaps Leo can give us a more
> precise comparison, and the Canadian amateur power limit.
>
> --
>
> The problems of repeater coordination on 2 meters (a band wider than
> all amateur HF/MF bands put together!) show the difficulties of
> depending solely on informal agreements. And repeaters are relatively
> local!
>
> It seems to me that it's more spectrum-efficient to have like modes
> together, rather than mixed.
>
> There's also the robot station situation to consider.

>
>
> --
>
> IMHO, narrow and wide modes do not coexist well. That alone is a good
> reason to have subbands-by-mode, or at least subbands-by-bandwidth, on
> the ham bands.
>
>
> --
>
> btw, Hans, when are you going to submit your restructuring proposal to
> FCC?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

AFAIK, Canada is the _only_ country with a higher power limit than the US!
They can use 2.25 kW (with an Advanced licence), and have no subband
limitations. Mind you, Canadians are very polite.

16. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:09:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2MGdneec6_aABVnfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 111376

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Pf%we.2189$8f7.449@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> And human nature being what it is, there needs to be
>> some type of discipline on the bands as to what goes where.
>
> Sounds like an excerpt from a religious catechism!
>
> Is "human nature" different in the USA? For that matter, is "human
> nature" different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves
> provide the "type of discipline" to sort things out without FCC
> micromanaging it?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

While human nature is the same, the higher number of hams means you will see more of it.

160 meters has the self limiting factor of the size of workable antennas. Many hams simply can manage to get anything reasonable in the air.

And the European system of self imposed band plans doesn't work as well as you seem to think. Just listen to them during one of their European contests. They are supposed to follow the band plans but don't since the rules generally only suggest they follow the band plans rather than requiring them to do so. They are every bit as bad as the US hams.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

17. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:13:35 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fdudnbCaQLlpBVnfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 111377

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tt%we.2194$8f7.2062@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>> and a special place with the Sears catalog.
>
> Those shiny pages were hell! Always loved it when mom bought a couple
> lugs of peaches for canning. Those tissue-like wrappings were a wonder to
> use. Always thought that in heaven all the outhouses must be equipped
> with peach wrappers year-round, even when peaches were out of season.
> (Maybe peaches are always "in season" in heaven?)
>
>> Winters were the most interesting...with the feather beds and pillows and
>> quilts so thick it would bury my little body so deep I looked like I was
>> part of the bed.
>
> My wife is a city girl. After we were married we spent some time (in
> February) visiting the folks on the farm. First morning it was a wonder
> to see her hurrying to get dressed before parts were frozen. Then I asked
> her to toss me my shirt and trousers and I demonstrated how to get fully
> dressed >BEFORE< crawling out from under the quilt!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Yup the only way to get dressed in the old farm house.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

18. need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:16:32 UTC
From: Paul Traina <paul.traina@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120180592.729579.171100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi,

I used to have novice call WD6BDK back in the mid to late 70s (76-79 I think?).

I'm hoping to upgrade from a tech to an extra on Saturday, and I was just told I could use my old expired novice call to skip element 1 (the 5wpm test). I'd rather not stress over element 1 (I can pass, but...).

If you or someone you know has an old callbook and could look me up and scan or fax me a copy of the page, I would be eternally in your debt.

Much thanks,

Paul

19. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:17:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120180646.212021.195850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111379

From: "Dee Flint" on Thurs 30 Jun 2005 18:12

>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1120102931.395210.144760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message

>>> > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
>>>
>>> Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
>>> with other people's prejudices.
>>
>> Probably because the nature of the "simple" question is negative and is
>> seeking a like response.
>
>Again you read in what is not there.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dee. It *IS* there, whether overt or implicit.

>> Probably because further dialog confirms an already negative viewpoint
>> of No Code Techs.
>
>You read in what is not there.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dee. It IS there.

>If you had been around for a while, you
>would know that I have repeatedly expressed positive viewpoints of the no
>code Techs.

Really?!? :-) Can you name some message posting dates when
you have gone out of your way to be "nice" to the no-coders? :-)

> There are many of them who are heavily involved in the club to
>which I belong and contribute a great deal to our club. Several of our
>officers are no code Techs.

I'll bet you have "personal friends" who are not of your race
or your religion, too! :-)

>Our satellite guru was a no code Tech until he
>took time out to upgrade.

He built a satellite and put it into orbit through morsemanship?

> Many of our no code Techs are johnny on the spot
>in supporting public service events.

Are your "coders" the same sort of "johnny on the spot?" :-)

>Several becames ham solely because
>they were interested in this aspect of ham radio. None of them are whining
>about how tough it is to learn the code.

Do they dare comment with Mama Dee "on the spot" to catch them? :-)

> Many of our public service people
>have no interest in upgrading and that's no problem. We do not push them to
>do so as they are getting what they want out of ham radio.

Good for you. That way you UPGRADED coders (the Elite) get to
stay home and beep while the "lower classes" go out and do the
real "volunteer work."

>Those who wish to upgrade get our full support and help.

Right. Saves you Elite coders from being away from your beeping.

>> Probably because Dan and Dave chimed in with negative responses as
>> well.
>
>No they express disdain only for the whiners.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Dipshit Dan, the southern-fried Einstein, and der Kommandant?!?!

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> Probably because I have seen many Extras with such attitudes, many of
>> them right here on RRAP.
>
>Again it is only the whiners that get their hackles up not the no code Techs
>in general.

A very poor imitation of Marie Antoinette. Don't lose your head
trying to do better...

>> Probably because Robber's Son keeps asking who chased away the Techs
>> when the answer is so plainly visible.
>
>It is not at all "so plainly visible".

It might be "plainer" if it were in glowing neon on everyone's
screens...but it is otherwise PLAINLY VISIBLE.

>All of us have reached out to those who wanted/needed help to upgrade.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Thanks a lot for the "cake," Marie...

> Unfortunately all of us have experienced
>those "know it all" individuals who think they know more than the
>experienced amateurs.

Really?!? Mama Dee worked HF comms a half century ago? Mama
Dee spend a career doing radio-electronics design? Dee have
radio-electronics hobby since 1947? Dee have commercial license
for 49 years? Dee have own expensive PLMRS base and mobile
station? How about that! Sunnuvagun!

Sweetie, if you TRIED to be more Elitist you couldn't have topped
that closer sentence! First class honors in noblesse oblige
(and probably droit de signeur too...). :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

20. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:19:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <clWdncqiyK_NB1nfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 111381

```
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:m60xe.57$Hn3.5653606@news.sisna.com...
> Dan:
>
> Now I ask you, "What boob would use SSTV?"
>
> A webcam on a computer, compressing and digitizing the video and then
> converting to an audio signal and finally delivering it to a transceiver,
> to be picked up and decoded at the other end and fed to a
> soundcard/computer monitor produces a MUCH clearer sharper and more fps...
> SSTV is for dinosaurs!!!
>
> Wake up, it is already 2005!
>
> John
>

If you want to transmit images on HF at this time only fax and SSTV have a
small enough band width to be practical.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:l%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>> What is more important:
>>>>
>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>>> better
>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>
>>> Hi Mike:
>>>
```

>>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
>>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
>>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
>>> I
>>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
>>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
>>> don't
>>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>>> pretty
>>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as
>>> I do
>>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
>>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>>> things
>>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>>> "idiots"
>>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
>>> :o).
>>>
>>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>>> could
>>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
>>> don't
>>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>>>
>>> Kim W5TIT
>>>
>>>
>>
>> I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just have to
>> comment.....
>>
>> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means Slow Scan
>> TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.
>>
>> Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".
>>
>> Amazing.....and she has a license?
>>
>> Amazing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

21. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:20:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120180810.942910.324910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111380

From: "K0HB" on Thurs 30 Jun 2005 17:39

><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in
>
>> Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
>> have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
>> neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??
>
>We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water too.
>(I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Oh, my, a numbers coincidence.

Gee whiz, in late summer of 1954, Army station ADA started moving
to its new site NW of Tokyo. Former airfield about one by two
miles in size. Running water and everything but the 600 KWe
generators (two always running, two spares) supplied the electric
power. Barracks, mess, etc., in a converted hangar at one corner
of the field. Surrounded by farmers.

Five years later I thought it might be neat to get a ham license
in addition to the Commercial First 'Phone of 1956. Got up to
8 or 9 WPM and wondered what the hell I was wasting all that time
for? Class D CB had arrived in 1958. I was living in the (then)
third-largest city in the USA with plenty of folks to talk to
out of my '53 Austin-Healey sports car.

I'd never "worked CW" (on-off keying radiotelegraphy) in the Big
Leagues of HF communications...and would never be required to do
that again. Why mess with then OLD requirements just to please a
bunch of olde-fahrt radiotelegraphers playing with their hobby
and very much controlling the ARRL?

I'm still living in a big urban area, now the second-largest city
in the USA, have done computer-modem communications for 21 years
(come December), the Internet has been public for 14 years, and
we've got personal cell phones on 1 GHz that fit in a pocket and
have text and image capabilities. Both my PC and my wife's each
have MORE computing power and memory storage than the largest
mainframes of a quarter century ago. The Internet reaches around
the world with NO fading/distortion/outages from the ionosphere.

All these AMATEUR radio whizzers say I "MUST" learn morse code
to pass that (Nobel laureate level?) TEST in order to "show

dedication and committment to the 'amateur community.'" :-)

<insert the sound of Bill the Cat making pbthththth sounds>

Gotta love these olde-fahrts longing for the "pioneer days of radio" (when Kode was King) that they will NEVER ever be a part of... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

22. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:30:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <P01xe.11547$jX6.3759@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111382

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> 90% of all Canadians live within 75 miles of the USA, a
>> distance trivial to HF propagation.

> Within 75 miles of the border, maybe. But not within 75
> miles of most US hams.

????????? I don't live within 75 miles of most US hams either, but I have evidence that thousands of them hear my signal. 2-way HF contacts between VE and W hams also are commonplace, so it seems that problems in the Canadian regulations would be very visible here.

> But they do have arbitrary bandwidth limits.

Yup, a single bandwidth applied to the whole band. Not sliced and diced and micromanaged into all manner of itty-bitty pockets, yet allowing one privileged mode free access to all those so-called protected segments. You can't really pretend with a straight face that this hodge-podge makes sense!

>> Since, as you point out, their bands are virtually the same
>> ones we use right next door, certainly we'd know about
>> any problems with their style of regulation.

> They have far fewer hams than the USA, spread out over a larger area.

You said that before, and I've disproven the "spread out over a larger area" myth. Canadian hams are quite geographically concentrated, regardless of the size of their wonderful contry. Most Canadians live in a 75-mile (give or take) corridor along the US border, and are further concentrated into a few metropolitan "clumps" along that strip.

> What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places)
> won't necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).

> Most of the rest of the developed world places far more
> restrictions on the ownership of firearms than the USA.
> And they have far less violent crime, too. Since that
> seems to work for them, would you propose the USA
> adopt such restrictions?

My proposal is to remove restrictions, not add them! You're the fella propounding that restrictions are a good deal.

I propose that along with freedom (from arbitrary restrictions) comes the responsibility to act responsibly, and I submit that generally US hams have demonstrated that sort of responsibility.

>> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies
>> except the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?

> Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay
> out of the 'phone/image subbands.

Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to transmit. In other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!

> You mean it was formally submitted as a restructuring proposal
> in the past year or two?

It was formally submitted (3 times) in response to other related matters.

73, de Hans, K0HB

23. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 01:47:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mg1xe.11548$jX6.10914@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111383

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>>We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water too.
>>(I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Oh, my, a numbers coincidence.
>
> Gee whiz, in late summer of 1954, Army station ADA started moving
> to its new site NW of Tokyo.

At 14 years old I didn't much give a rats ass about the fact that an Army radio station was moving to a different spot in Japan. (Come think of it, I still don't give a rats ass.) I was much more excited about getting electric lights in our farm buildings and home.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

24. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 02:20:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111384

Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width to be practical."

That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and shows a total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.

However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically possible and therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform others and, the sorry state of amateurs technical savvy in general!

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:cIWdncqiyK_NB1nfRVn-hA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:m60xe.57$Hn3.5653606@news.sisna.com...
>> Dan:
>>
>> Now I ask you, "What boob would use SSTV?"
>>
>> A webcam on a computer, compressing and digitizing the video and
>> then converting to an audio signal and finally delivering it to a
>> transceiver, to be picked up and decoded at the other end and fed
>> to a soundcard/computer monitor produces a MUCH clearer sharper and
>> more fps... SSTV is for dinosaurs!!!
>>
>> Wake up, it is already 2005!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> If you want to transmit images on HF at this time only fax and SSTV
> have a small enough band width to be practical.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:l%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

>>>>> What is more important:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>
>>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code
>>>>> a better
>>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hi Mike:
>>>>
>>>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
>>>> really
>>>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
>>>> anything
>>>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital),
>>>> ATV, etc. I
>>>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
>>>> newsgroup,
>>>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who
>>>> just don't
>>>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse
>>>> Code--are pretty
>>>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the
>>>> mode (as I do
>>>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to
>>>> honor the
>>>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other
>>>> steadfast things
>>>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than
>>>> the "idiots"
>>>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political
>>>> terms :o).
>>>>
>>>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much,
>>>> and I
>>>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with.
>>>> So, could
>>>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code
>>>> Haters." I don't
>>>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>>>>
>>>> Kim W5TIT
>>>>
>>>>

>>>
>>> I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just
>>> have to comment.....
>>>
>>> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means
>>> Slow Scan TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.
>>>
>>> Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".
>>>
>>> Amazing.....and she has a license?
>>>
>>> Amazing.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

25. Re: realize this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 02:37:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120185436.488757.203760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111385

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>-there is nothing new to be discovered
> >>>
> >>>-we amateurs are great
> >>>
> >>>-our shit does not stink
> >>>
> >>>-we know everything
> >>>
> >>>-books written by the ARRL should have the same status as the Koran,
> >>>anyone caught flushing one down a toilet should be electrocuted with a
> >>>160 meter carrier
> >>>
> >>>-the fewer hams the better, we don't want our bands cluttered
> >>
> >>
> >> Snort!
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Will the real Mike Colso please stand up?
>
>
> Tis very very strange, eh Brian?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

It's Robber's Son strange.

26. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 02:45:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I_ydnf1RiYTHM1nfRVn-2Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111386

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> There are other ways to copy CW other than audio. And I am not talking
> about a computer. Lights are one, vibration is another.

Yup, but what a job! I'm not sure of the speeds that people who copy
this way can achieve. Others may know a bit more about that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>YMMV
>>>>>
>>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>>>
>>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>>>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
>>>>("flying behind the plane")
>>>>
>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>

>>>As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
>>>tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
>>>volume up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
>>
>>I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are similar. I
>>doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what it
>>is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on something. Can
>>I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.
>>
>>Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
>>fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>

27. Why would he be called "Robber's Son?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 02:49:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120186163.076726.238350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111387

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>>bb wrote:
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>>>John Smith wrote:
> > > > > >>>>
> > > > > >>>>
> > > > > >>>>>Len:
> > > > > >>>>>
> > > > > >>>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> > > > > >>>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> > > > > >>>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
> > > > > >>>>
> > > > > >>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> > > > > >>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> > > > > >>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> > > > > >>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> > > > > >>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
> > > > >
> > > > > Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
> > > > > with other people's prejudices.
> > > >
> > > > Probably because the nature of the "simple" question is negative and is

> > > seeking a like response.
> break
> >
> > The simple answer to your suggestion that the NCT's have all been
> > chased away is that they've NOT been all chased away.
>
> Not all indeed but most

Steve thinks in absolutes.

28. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 02:49:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I_ydnfxRiYSmMlnfRVn-2Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111388

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> This dolt is not only anti cw, but he is anti Amateur Radio.

Bingo!

- Mike KB3EIA -

29. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:02:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120186937.115686.49210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111390

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> >
> > But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.
> >
>
> Maybe in the Air Farce. In the military services you advanced based on your
> professional performance, demonstrated leadership record, test scores, and
> breadth of experience. WTF is a "benefactor"?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> (E9 at 17 years TIS, 3 years TIG)

A benefactor is the O-6 or preferably an O-7+ who puts on your
performance report, "Definitely Promote."

30. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:03:58 UTC
From: jvm <ham@hrmetrednet.org>
Message-ID: <da2bk1$t8m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111389

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:eJydnW7vQZHR7InfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:jSWwe.2050$8f7.1953@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote
>>>
>>> Hans used to walk eight miles to school in bare feet...
>>>
>>
>> Actually only about 3/4-mile. Wildwood School, District 28, one room
>> grades 1-8, but rarely kids in every grade, average enrollment about 10
>> kids. Teacher was Mrs. Isabelle Schneider. At 9th grade you went to
>> "town school" on a yellow bus. During blizzards the bus didn't take you
>> home, so you stayed at your "storm home" --- all the farm kids were
>> assigned one of those.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
> "Storm homes" sounds like a good idea. In our area, they just cancelled
> the buses and the farmers came into town on their tractors pulling wagons
> to get the kids home.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Did the farmers display "ARRL Member" stickers on their wagons?

31. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:07:39 UTC
From: jvm <ham@hrmetrednet.org>
Message-ID: <da2bqv$u5r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111391

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tt%we.2194$8f7.2062@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>> and a special place with the Sears catalog.
>
> Those shiny pages were hell! Always loved it when mom bought a couple
> lugs of peaches for canning. Those tissue-like wrappings were a wonder to
> use. Always thought that in heaven all the outhouses must be equipped
> with peach wrappers year-round, even when peaches were out of season.
> (Maybe peaches are always "in season" in heaven?)
>
>> Winters were the most interesting...with the feather beds and pillows and
>> quilts so thick it would bury my little body so deep I looked like I was
>> part of the bed.
>
> My wife is a city girl. After we were married we spent some time (in
> February) visiting the folks on the farm. First morning it was a wonder
> to see her hurrying to get dressed before parts were frozen. Then I asked
> her to toss me my shirt and trousers and I demonstrated how to get fully
> dressed >BEFORE< crawling out from under the quilt!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

QST magazines serve as excellent toilet paper, which is about the
best use for anything from the ARRL.

32. Re: Burke On the Lie Path Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:10:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120187407.735023.52930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111392

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> > > > >
> > > > > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > > > > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
> > > >
> > > > Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> > > > and private industry is some form of politics.
> > >
> > > BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> > > ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Spoken like a true gay pagan draftee chemical corps officer, Mark!
> > >
> > > Having been promoted six times in the Marines,
> >
> > Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.
>
> More deceitfulness, Brain.
>
> Why do you lie so prolifically?

Prove me wrong. Post each of your promotion orders. Hi!

> > I can tell you
> > > that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> > > NOTHING to do with it.
> >
> > Above E-7? Up to E-7 you can get by on decent performance and fitness
> > reports, awards and decs, and TIS/TIG.
> >
> > But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.
>
> You mean you need to be a suck-up, don't you, Brain?

You certainly do.

> Perhaps in the Weather Service of the Blue Crew, but USMC and USN
> promotion citeria is very specific.

It's very specific in all services. The top grades are also political.

> > > > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
> > >
> > > That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
> > > expect?
> > >
> > > > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
> > >
> > > You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> > > Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Robber's Son hootiness began on day one and continues to this very day.
>
> You misrepresent the character of a deceased honest man.

I was commenting on your character.

But if you want to make it about your ancestors, they got that name
somehow. Now you have it.

You explain how they got it honestly, and provide proof.

> Why do you do that, Brain? (Sheesh..now I AM "losing it"...

You lost it a long time ago.

I'm
> asking a pathological liar why he's lying...Get me beer, someone!)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Bender time?

33. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:10:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JKidnW2JT92rKVnfRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111393

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>What is more important:
>>>
>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>
>>The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>>There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>
>
>
> So? we concentrate on the group of folks that have the TIME to do Ham Radio
> these days. The retired or soon to be retired group. Let the youth text
> all they want, chase women, find drugs....so what.

The idea that Ham radio is dying is pretty weird. I don't see any evidence for it. The closest thing to evidence is that fall-off we are going through right now. And that falloff is due mostly to the "honeydew hams" who got their license so that could tell the hubby or the missus to pick up bread or milk on the way home. Those folks haven't been active in years.

And as for the average age of hams? America is aging, aging, aging overall. BFD. Our club has a lot of brand new Hams who are older than me. They are enthusiastic, and having a heck of a good time.

I'm glad to have them on board.

>>80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>>Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>>the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>
>
> None of which has a thing to do with Ham Radio.

Why are the instant messenger and chatroom stuff touted as some sort of
hi-tech alternative to Ham radio. People who think it is just have it WRONG!

>
>>What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>>ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
>>today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>not care less either.
>>
>
>
> Ham Radio is and always has been a group of radio geeks.

I is a geek. Anyone have a problem with that?

> Only recently has
> this become a "problem".

Some think we need people with street cred. Fresh people.

> I see no problem with a much smaller, more
> dedicated group. We don't need 700,000 licensed hams if only a small
> percentage are actually licensed. As a matter of fact I believe you will
> find that the membership of the ARRL are the REAL ACTIVE AMATEURS. Not the
> give a way Tech ticket. These are the folks that wanted a free cell phone.
> Go for it.
> Real hams know what this hobby/service is supposed to be. The rest of you
> are at the bottom of the learning curve.
>
> Perhaps if you would pay attention to those that have been there and KNOW
> what its about....your life would be a bit easier (?).

Possibly, but then they would have to find somethin' else to bitch about.

>
>>Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>>retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
>>in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>
>
>
> Which is exactly how 75 has been since the 1950s. Or earlier for all I
> know.
>

> YOUR POINT IS?

How about this for a *counterpoint*? During Field day weekend, I heard an obviously very young lady answering my CQ. I was in the middle of a major run at the high power station, and it would have been easier to ignore her. I had to have her repeat the callsign and info several times - I think she was in the missing the front teeth stage. After about a minute we finally got the exchange completed I said "thank you honey", and she said "Thank YOU!". That part came through loud and clear.

SO THERE, bitchy negative type hams!

>
>
>>Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>by 2030.
>>
>
> No it wont. Changed.....but not dead. You of course will be long gone.
> Good riddance.

Snort!

- Mike KB3EIA -

34. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:14:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120187670.846154.286370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111394

From: "Dan/W4NTI"<DummshitDan@FriedGreenTomatoes.com> on Thurs 30 Jun 2005 17:21

>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> Kim wrote:
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message

>> Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>No it's not Mike. There are Morse Code haters out there. Lennie the
>loser is one of the main ones.

"Loser?!?" :-)

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Do you want me to give a precis of what I've WON out of life so far or are you content to vent your enlarged spleen of anger on what you think I wrote before? I can do that but it only arouses more ANGER and assorted assinine abuse from the PCTA crowd here.

There is NO "hate" except for all the Coders angry and upset that no-coders don't give the Coders the "respect and honor" the Coders think they deserve. In AMATEURISM, that small segment of the entire radio world.

The U.S. amateur radio morse code TEST should go, Dannie. The Coders will go soon enough. The code TEST has outlived its usefulness long ago.

The Coslonaut started this thread with a pre-loaded emotional-content-wrapped "question." Coslonaut does that from time to time, desiring to be a Mover and Shaker in this newsgroup. He might mean well (sometimes) but he has bought into the morse myths and bravely trying to become an olde-fahrt hamme.

On your other posting -

>Speaking of AC plugs Lennie the loser....how about doing us all a favor and
>show your "eeee" competence at a level we all know you are at? Stick your
>index finger and the little finger into the AC plug and write us a technical
>report on the results.

It's IEEE, not "eeee," Double-Dipped Southern-Fried Dummshit Dannie.
Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, a professional
association. Roughly a quarter million members worldwide.

LONG before I joined the IEEE in 1973 I knew better than to "stick
fingers into an AC socket." Nominal 115 VAC can be LETHAL, Dannie.

Follow the "30-30" rule: Anything above 30 Volts and/or above
30 mA source current can cause heart fibrilation and resulting
death...if the conducting path is through the upper chest area.

At present I can measure the voltage across an AC outlet. With
precision I would use my 4 1/2 digit DVM (made in France), secondly
with one of my 3 1/2 digit DVMs (made in China), thirdly with my
home-built expanded scale voltmeter that is part of a variable
autotransformer controlled 135 W AC source for measuring power
supply stability. For just presence or absence of AC voltage, a
cute little non-contacting capacitive-sensing light/clicker will
do (made in China, bought at Lowes)...or just plugging in a 115
V lamp. No problem. I would have listed my AC chart recorder but
I ran out of paper about 9 years ago and haven't had a need to use
it since. Got that in a trade for other things even longer ago.
It still works fine without chart paper but only for short periods
of about 20 minutes using 8 1/2 x 11 paper.

>Putz.

Now now, Dannie. You are UPSET and wanting to FIGHT with someone.

Did you lose a fight with that quadruplegic down at VFW hall again?

Don't try to "tell" me about radio communications, Dannie, you will
only make things worse, annoy me, and waste my time (and everyone
else's). You don't have the semantic/literary skills to outclass
me. [you never did] The best thing you are able to do in here is
to copy the antics of the Tennessee Talibanian and none of that is
any sort of "discussion." Tsk, tsk. I had hoped you were better
than that, but now you've dashed any optimistic hope with the use
of ethnic pejoratives that are not your native language.

Go work some DX on HF with CW. It will make you feel better. You
aren't even third-rate at computer-modem comms.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

35. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:19:22 UTC
From: jvm <ham@hrmetrednet.org>
Message-ID: <da2cgu$17h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111395

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120179375.291888.302150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:8NSdnex7ce8A7VnfRVn-jw@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> > news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >>> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>> >>>
>> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>What is more important:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>YMMV
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus,
>> >>>>and
>> >>>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go
>> >>>>by
>> >>>>("flying behind the plane")
>> >>>>
>> >>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear
>> >>> and
>> >>> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
```

>> >>> volume up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
>> >>
>> >> I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are
>> >> similar. I
>> >> doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what
>> >> it
>> >> is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on something.
>> >> Can I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.
>> >>
>> >> Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
>> >> fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>
>> >
>> > I understand that completely. If my ex was practicing code without the
>> > headphones, I had to leave not only the room, but that floor of the
>> > house.
>> > If he was using headphones, I could hear it more than well enough to
>> > copy
>> > his practice sessions. The point is that he passed the test.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>>
>> Exactly Dee.....these anti-code dunderheads don't get it. It is mostly a
>> matter of dedication and persistence to learn Morse. They obviously have
>> neither.
>
> No it is matter of law, by what power does the FCC have to continue
> this Morse Code Welfare program. Nothing in the constitution, and
> nothing anymore in the the treaty. and no one has shown how any
> provision of the sonstitution allows the FCC to without access to hf
> based on the skill in the mode. The FCC has ruled in the past that it
> does not have a case to make.
>
> But ultimately one thing many of them do lack is desire, desire to
> learn Morse is a requirement it is indeed one of the most vital
> requirement to learn the mode.
>
> Why don't they have this desire? I don't know. but maybe you should
> look to seeling the mode better, if you think it is important
>
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

If you think the FCC, Riley Hollingsworth, or the ARRL have the
best interests of ham radio in mind, then I have a bridge I would

like to sell you.

36. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:19:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JKidnWyJT93AK1nfRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111396

John Smith wrote:
> Dan:
>
> Now I ask you, "What boob would use SSTV?"

Me.

> A webcam on a computer, compressing and digitizing the video and then
> converting to an audio signal and finally delivering it to a
> transceiver, to be picked up and decoded at the other end and fed to a
> soundcard/computer monitor produces a MUCH clearer sharper and more
> fps... SSTV is for dinosaurs!!!

Sometimes you write unusual things.

37. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:31:21 UTC
From: Ginger Raveir <qsl@viadaburojerk.com>
Message-ID: <da2d7d$4c7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111397

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1120179282.511949.127750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> > And human nature being what it is, there needs to be
> > some type of discipline on the bands as to what goes where.
>
> Sounds like an excerpt from a religious catechism!
>
> Is "human nature" different in the USA? For that matter, is "human
> nature"
> different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves provide the
> "type of
> discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?

The FCC dumped micromanaging 160 on the ARRL, less work and flak for
the FCC.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

The illegal and unethical relationship between FCC & ARRL is
one point of several which will enable K1MAN to prevail over
the FCC.

38. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:34:52 UTC
From: Ginger Raveir <qsl@viadaburojerk.com>
Message-ID: <da2de0$5ai$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111398

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1120174470.830278.308570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
> >
> > 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
> > Stay inside of them.
> >
> > 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
> > communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
> > in far away lands and launch communications satellites
> > into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
> > you may think up. The government doesn't
> > care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)
>
> > . . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and
> > it all goes downhill fast from there . . .
>
> If that's true, I think it already would have happened.

Pactor is already in the HF bands, it's a royal pain in the tush and the FCC will probably bring it's use under control based on all the griping about it.

Considering the rapid pace of development work in the field of high-speed wireless comms I expect it'll become technically much easier as time goes on to get some form or another of wireless broadband hardware running in the HF ham bands. Which would immediately raise more hell on the bands than anything we've seen in the modern era. Which it hasn't and it won't because those modes are not allowed to happen under the current regs. So I disagree with your "unregulated equal opportunity mode playground" concept.

> The US is one of only a
> very few countries which has mandated "mode sub-bands".

No counter, we've been very pointedly marching to our own drummer since 1776 and I sure hope we never become international sheep.

We have a whole pile of sweeping "restructuring" schemes before the FCC and everybody is all atwitter over the minutia and their parochial hot buttons, etc. The usual. Lotta nonsense, I have yet to read one of 'em which if implemented wouldn't make conditions on the bands *worse* in some way or another than what we have now. The bands ain't broken, why are we so hell-bent on "fixing" something which ain't broke??

Bleh.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

Just because *you* cannot comprehend why, does not make
it a bad idea. Your tunnel vision is hilarious.

39. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:42:18 UTC
From: Ginger Raveir <qsl@viadaburojerk.com>
Message-ID: <da2dru$78f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111399

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:JKidnW2JT92rKVnfRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>
>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>>>What is more important:
>>>>
>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>
>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>>Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>>
>>>The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>>>There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>>are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>>
>>
>>
>> So? we concentrate on the group of folks that have the TIME to do Ham
>> Radio these days. The retired or soon to be retired group. Let the
>> youth text all they want, chase women, find drugs....so what.
>
> The idea that Ham radio is dying is pretty weird. I don't see any evidence
> for it. The closest thing to evidence is that fall-off we are going
> through right now. And that falloff is due mostly to the "honeydew hams"
> who got their license so that could tell the hubby or the missus to pick
> up bread or milk on the way home. Those folks haven't been active in
> years.
>
> And as for the average age of hams? America is aging, aging, aging
> overall. BFD. Our club has a lot of brand new Hams who are older than me.
> They are enthusiastic, and having a heck of a good time.
>

> I'm glad to have them on board.
>
>
>>>80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>>>Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>>plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>>>the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>>
>> None of which has a thing to do with Ham Radio.
>
> Why are the instant messenger and chatroom stuff touted as some sort of
> hi-tech alternative to Ham radio. People who think it is just have it
> WRONG!
>
>>
>>>What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>>invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>>>ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
>>>today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>>not care less either.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Ham Radio is and always has been a group of radio geeks.
>
> I is a geek. Anyone have a problem with that?
>
>> Only recently has this become a "problem".
>
> Some think we need people with street cred. Fresh people.
>
>
>
>> I see no problem with a much smaller, more dedicated group. We don't
>> need 700,000 licensed hams if only a small percentage are actually
>> licensed. As a matter of fact I believe you will find that the
>> membership of the ARRL are the REAL ACTIVE AMATEURS. Not the give a way
>> Tech ticket. These are the folks that wanted a free cell phone. Go for
>> it.
>> Real hams know what this hobby/service is supposed to be. The rest of
>> you are at the bottom of the learning curve.
>>
>> Perhaps if you would pay attention to those that have been there and KNOW
>> what its about....your life would be a bit easier (?).
>
> Possibly, but then they would have to find somethin' else to bitch about.
>
>>
>>>Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>>you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long

>>>retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
>>>in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Which is exactly how 75 has been since the 1950s. Or earlier for all I
>> know.
>>
>> YOUR POINT IS?
>
> How about this for a *counterpoint*? During Field day weekend, I heard an
> obviously very young lady answering my CQ. I was in the middle of a major
> run at the high power station, and it would have been easier to ignore
> her. I had to have her repeat the callsign and info several times - I
> think she was in the missing the front teeth stage. After about a minute
> we finally got the exchange completed I said "thank you honey", and she
> said "Thank YOU!". That part came through loud and clear.
>
> SO THERE, bitchy negative type hams!
>
>>
>>
>>>Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>>by 2030.
>>>
>>
>> No it wont. Changed.....but not dead. You of course will be long
>> gone. Good riddance.
>
> Snort!
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
core of the hobby.

40. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 03:53:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120190021.341557.267610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111400

From: "K□B" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 01:47

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>>We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water too.
>>>(I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>>>
>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> Oh, my, a numbers coincidence.
>>
>> Gee whiz, in late summer of 1954, Army station ADA started moving
>> to its new site NW of Tokyo.
>
>At 14 years old I didn't much give a rats ass about the fact that an Army radio
>station was moving to a different spot in Japan. (Come think of it, I still
>don't give a rats ass.) I was much more excited about getting electric lights
>in our farm buildings and home.

I can understand your "not giving" about others. :-)

Frankly, Scarlet, I don't give a damn for young teeners out in
the boonies suddenly getting ELECTRICITY in 1954! How about that?

Too bad you couldn't have tapped into the 300 KWe out of each of
the 16-cylinder marine diesels running generators at Kashiwa in
1954. Would have lit up your life some...

Of course the main room at Kashiwa transmitter building didn't
have but about 8 transmitters in 1954, there would be 43 Big
Ones in there by 1956 and completion of the move. Not to
mention wire antennas all over the airfield, including full
rhombics. 1 KW minimum, 40 KW maximum RF outputs. Not a single
one of them using on-off keying radiotelegraphy. Sunnuvagun!

When one stood at one end and looked down the row to 150 feet or
so in the distance and saw nothing but high power HF transmitters
side by side on each side, it was bound to have an impression.
Then out in the microwave building with four 24-channel microwave
radio relay terminals that were the main link with anyone that HAD
to be kept ON 24/7. [not to mention the old carrier bays]

Perhaps not as much as suddenly getting electricity where one
had nothing but wind-charged batteries but then that's us "city
boy sissies" I'm sure you'd apply. Life must have been
extraordinarily TOUGH way, way out on the farm. You have my

sympathies. Nothing else. Just sympathies. :-)

dot dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

41. Re: Burke On the Lie Path Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 04:32:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120192342.540875.249860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111401

) You misrepresent the character of a deceased honest man.

) Why do you do that, Brain? (Sheesh..now I AM "losing it"...I'm
)asking a pathological liar why he's lying...Get me beer, someone!)

Missed this last poitn but if you realy want to know I can tell you,
because you choose to attack peoples private life, and tick em off
enough tostop being polite to your family, you have only yourself and
and your stalking of people, your gay bashing and genital obsession to
blame
)Steve, K4YZ

42. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 04:37:08 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <0i5wvxb1b30kzls.300620052137@kirk>
Source record: 111402

jvm:

arrl is, and has been for years, a boil on the butt of amateur radio

"jvm" <ham@hrmetrednet.org> wrote in message
news:da2bqv$u5r$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:tt%we.2194$8f7.2062@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>>
>>> and a special place with the Sears catalog.
>>
>> Those shiny pages were hell! Always loved it when mom bought a
>> couple lugs of peaches for canning. Those tissue-like wrappings
>> were a wonder to use. Always thought that in heaven all the
>> outhouses must be equipped with peach wrappers year-round, even
>> when peaches were out of season. (Maybe peaches are always "in
>> season" in heaven?)
>>
>>> Winters were the most interesting...with the feather beds and
>>> pillows and quilts so thick it would bury my little body so deep I
>>> looked like I was part of the bed.
>>
>> My wife is a city girl. After we were married we spent some time
>> (in February) visiting the folks on the farm. First morning it was
>> a wonder to see her hurrying to get dressed before parts were
>> frozen. Then I asked her to toss me my shirt and trousers and I
>> demonstrated how to get fully dressed >BEFORE< crawling out from
>> under the quilt!
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
>
> QST magazines serve as excellent toilet paper, which is about the
> best use for anything from the ARRL.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

43. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 04:45:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KT3xe.1028$Or5.6584348@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111403

Mike:

Wake up, SSTV was big news in the 1960's, it is now 45 years later and they have invented the computer!

Any of the web technology of data encryption/compaction/jpeg/mpeg etc can be easily adapted to amateur radio though the sound card (if you have a pci sw receiver card you can do it through other ports and much more efficiently), think about it.

Why most amateurs are not experimenting with it only emphasizes their age and inability or unwillingness to stay current with technology. And, the fact that the sharpest technical people are NOT entering amateur radio these days...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:JKidnWyJT93AK1nfRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dan:
>>
>> Now I ask you, "What boob would use SSTV?"
>
> Me.
>
>> A webcam on a computer, compressing and digitizing the video and
>> then converting to an audio signal and finally delivering it to a
>> transceiver, to be picked up and decoded at the other end and fed
>> to a soundcard/computer monitor produces a MUCH clearer sharper and
>> more fps... SSTV is for dinosaurs!!!
>
> Sometimes you write unusual things.

44. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 07:34:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120203261.429598.137090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111404

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>

> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay
> > out of the 'phone/image subbands.
>
> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to transmit. In
> other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!

But would U.S. phone ops VOLUNTARILY stay up the bands and out of the
segments historically inhabited by the CW and digital users if they
were not restrained from doing so?

Not in our lifetimes.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

45. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 08:56:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120208218.878765.5670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111405

Ginger Raveir wrote:

>
> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
> core of the hobby.

Old white men also form the core of the U.S. Senate. Ya want the rest
of the list?

So now what . . . ?

. . . thought so . .

w3rv

46. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 09:17:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120209440.081173.230080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111406

Ginger Raveir wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1120179282.511949.127750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >
> > > And human nature being what it is, there needs to be
> > > some type of discipline on the bands as to what goes where.
> >
> > Sounds like an excerpt from a religious catechism!
> >
> > Is "human nature" different in the USA? For that matter, is "human
> > nature"
> > different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves provide the
> > "type of
> > discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?
>
> The FCC dumped micromanaging 160 on the ARRL, less work and flak for
> the FCC.
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> w3rv
>
>
> The illegal and unethical relationship between FCC & ARRL is
> one point of several which will enable K1MAN to prevail over
> the FCC.

Dearie I have yet to run into a Real Ham who could possibly give a
rat's ass about the goofy flap over K1MAN. That "thread" is for you
bottom echelon types to obsess upon. Enjoy but kindly if you please
don't bore the rest of us with it.

w3rv

47. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 09:26:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120209984.177412.284160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111407

KØHB wrote:

> Is "human nature" different in the USA?

Probably not - but "culture" sure is!

> For that matter, is "human nature"
> different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves
> provide the "type of
> discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?

There have already been problems on 160 because some folks won't follow the voluntary bandplan. Already been a proposal to FCC that would impose subbands-by-mode on 160.

73 de Jim, N2EY

48. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 09:37:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111408

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >> 90% of all Canadians live within 75 miles of the USA, a
> >> distance trivial to HF propagation.
>
> > Within 75 miles of the border, maybe. But not within 75
> > miles of most US hams.
>
> ????????? I don't live within 75 miles of most US hams either, > but I have
> evidence that thousands of them hear my signal.

Sure.

But most of us don't have antennas or amplifiers like yours, Hans.

> 2-way HF contacts between VE
> and W hams also are commonplace, so it seems that problems in
> the Canadian
> regulations would be very visible here.

Only if there were enough of them to have such problems. The Canadian
amateur population (thanks, Leo) is less than 10% of the US amateur
population.
>
> > But they do have arbitrary bandwidth limits.
>
> Yup, a single bandwidth applied to the whole band. Not sliced
> and diced and
> micromanaged into all manner of itty-bitty pockets, yet allowing > one privileged
> mode free access to all those so-called protected segments. You > can't really
> pretend with a straight face that this hodge-podge makes sense!

It makes more sense than a free-for-all.

> >> Since, as you point out, their bands are virtually the same
> >> ones we use right next door, certainly we'd know about
> >> any problems with their style of regulation.
>
> > They have far fewer hams than the USA, spread out over a
> larger area.
>
> You said that before, and I've disproven the "spread out over a > larger area"
> myth. Canadian hams are quite geographically concentrated,
> regardless of the
> size of their wonderful contry. Most Canadians live in a 75-

> mile (give or take)
> corridor along the US border, and are further concentrated into > a few
> metropolitan "clumps" along that strip.

Most US hams live on or near the coasts, too.

> > What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places)
> > won't necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams
> > places).

> > Most of the rest of the developed world places far more
> > restrictions on the ownership of firearms than the USA.
> > And they have far less violent crime, too. Since that
> > seems to work for them, would you propose the USA
> > adopt such restrictions?
>
> My proposal is to remove restrictions, not add them! You're the > fella propounding that restrictions are a good deal.

I'm saying that because something works in another country doesn't mean
it will work here. Perhaps we should adopt Canada's health care system
too? That would end the busloads of people going north on trips to buy
their medicines at reasonable prices.
>
> I propose that along with freedom (from arbitrary restrictions)
> comes the
> responsibility to act responsibly, and I submit that generally
> US hams have demonstrated that sort of responsibility.

I submit that we don't fix what ain't broke.
>
> >> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies
> >> except the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?
>
> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay
> > out of the 'phone/image subbands.
>
> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
> transmit. In
> other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!

The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged
violations using *voice* modes?

> > You mean it was formally submitted as a restructuring proposal
> > in the past year or two?
>
> It was formally submitted (3 times) in response to other related > matters.

So it wasn't submitted as a restructuring proposal, but as comments to
other proposals.

Too bad. I'd like to see what the general reaction would be to such a proposal, even if I don't agree with it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

49. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 10:07:40 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <e59b5$42c515d4$4e1058f$29442@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 111409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The W5YI-VEC will perform exhaustive hand lookups into their massive
collection of old callbooks for a $5 fee. Contact them at 1-800-669-9594.
Good Luck , Ace - WH2T

"Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120180592.729579.171100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
>
> I used to have novice call WD6BDK back in the mid to late 70s (76-79 I
> think?).
>
> I'm hoping to upgrade from a tech to an extra on Saturday, and I was
> just told I could use my old expired novice call to skip element 1 (the
> 5wpm test). I'd rather not stress over element 1 (I can pass, but...).
>
> If you or someone you know has an old callbook and could look me up and
> scan or fax me a copy of the page, I would be eternally in your debt.
>
> Much thanks,
>
> Paul
>

50. Re: K1MAN Info Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 10:54:00 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <88OdnXcyUrRMvVjfRVn-pQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 111410

Duie, Cheatem, and Howe?

"Rob Sheldon" <sheldon391@shelltdcommsco.org> wrote in message
news:da1qeemkb0@pita.alt.net...
> Does anyone know the name of the communications law firm
> which was retained this week by MAN?
>
> tnx
>
> Rob
> Syracuse NY
>
>
>

51. 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 10:56:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120215397.651803.317760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111411

http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

52. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:02:35 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <m8GdnTsNY_eYvljfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 111412

```
"Ginger Raveir" <qsl@viadaburojerk.com> wrote in message
news:da2dru$78f$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:JKidnW2JT92rKVnfRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>
>>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>>news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>>
>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>
>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>
>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>
>>>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>>>>better
>>>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>>
>>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>>>Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>>>
>>>>The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>>>>There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>>>are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> So? we concentrate on the group of folks that have the TIME to do Ham
>>> Radio these days. The retired or soon to be retired group. Let the
>>> youth text all they want, chase women, find drugs....so what.
>>
>> The idea that Ham radio is dying is pretty weird. I don't see any
>> evidence for it. The closest thing to evidence is that fall-off we are
>> going through right now. And that falloff is due mostly to the "honeydew
>> hams" who got their license so that could tell the hubby or the missus to
>> pick up bread or milk on the way home. Those folks haven't been active in
>> years.
>>
```

>> And as for the average age of hams? America is aging, aging, aging
>> overall. BFD. Our club has a lot of brand new Hams who are older than me.
>> They are enthusiastic, and having a heck of a good time.
>>
>> I'm glad to have them on board.
>>
>>
>>>>80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>>>>Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>>>plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>>>>the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>>>
>>> None of which has a thing to do with Ham Radio.
>>
>> Why are the instant messenger and chatroom stuff touted as some sort of
>> hi-tech alternative to Ham radio. People who think it is just have it
>> WRONG!
>>
>>>
>>>>What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>>>invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>>>>ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
>>>>today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>>>not care less either.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Ham Radio is and always has been a group of radio geeks.
>>
>> I is a geek. Anyone have a problem with that?
>>
>>> Only recently has this become a "problem".
>>
>> Some think we need people with street cred. Fresh people.
>>
>>
>>
>>> I see no problem with a much smaller, more dedicated group. We don't
>>> need 700,000 licensed hams if only a small percentage are actually
>>> licensed. As a matter of fact I believe you will find that the
>>> membership of the ARRL are the REAL ACTIVE AMATEURS. Not the give a way
>>> Tech ticket. These are the folks that wanted a free cell phone. Go for
>>> it.
>>> Real hams know what this hobby/service is supposed to be. The rest of
>>> you are at the bottom of the learning curve.
>>>
>>> Perhaps if you would pay attention to those that have been there and
>>> KNOW what its about....your life would be a bit easier (?).
>>
>> Possibly, but then they would have to find somethin' else to bitch about.

>>
>>>
>>>>Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>>>you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>>>>retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
>>>>in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Which is exactly how 75 has been since the 1950s. Or earlier for all I
>>> know.
>>>
>>> YOUR POINT IS?
>>
>> How about this for a *counterpoint*? During Field day weekend, I heard an
>> obviously very young lady answering my CQ. I was in the middle of a major
>> run at the high power station, and it would have been easier to ignore
>> her. I had to have her repeat the callsign and info several times - I
>> think she was in the missing the front teeth stage. After about a minute
>> we finally got the exchange completed I said "thank you honey", and she
>> said "Thank YOU!". That part came through loud and clear.
>>
>> SO THERE, bitchy negative type hams!
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>>>by 2030.
>>>>
>>>
>>> No it wont. Changed.....but not dead. You of course will be long
>>> gone. Good riddance.
>>
>> Snort!
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
> core of the hobby.

I'll be sure to let all the black gentlemen, the women, and the younger
people in our club know that. They seem unaware of such a problem.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

53. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:14:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JoudnWIGarRbuFjfRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 111413

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com...
> Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width to be
> practical."
>
> That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and shows a
> total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.
>
> However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically possible and
> therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform others and, the sorry
> state of amateurs technical savvy in general!
>
> John
>

Ok then, show me the math that demonstrates you can transmit a one megabyte picture in seconds on the HF bands using only 300 baud. To get it down to seconds requires data compression/encryption techniques that can reduce the data by a 1000 fold.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

54. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:28:00 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120217280.099447.254300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

lager-no-spam@cotse.net.invalid whined with his cocksucking lisp:
> Oh no, Woger is still here.

Oh, no, Gopher face has a lisp from sucking his drunk of a daddy off in
Richfield and thinks Roger is behind every post.

> How is Big Marie Wogie??? How is that fat
> pig-of-a-mother of yours? Can she still walk? Or is she to fat for that
> now Wogie??

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA....You think Roger's mommy is fat?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..she is lucky to weigh 115 pounds.

"Big Marie?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..looks like you fucked up again
since Marie is really petite.

Get a refund on your Junior Dickless Tracy detective kit, loser.
>
> Roger Wiseman wrote:

Wrong guess, fucknuts. Keep dancing,though.

> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Who gives a fuck?
> >
> > You do.

55. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:31:37 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > > Who gives a fuck?
> > >
> > > You do.
> > >
> > Hi, greasy haired fuck.

Hi, baby raper and pedophile Steveo. Does Shirley still not trust you
enough to leave you alone with that newborn mulatto bastard baby of
hers? BTW, That previous post nor is this Roger Wiseman, dumbass, you
see Roger everywhere.
And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are
a mongrel kike.

56. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:35:22 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <_T9xe.2729$cb6.1262@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111417

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:l%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >> What is more important:
> >>
> >> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
> >>
> >>
> >> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
> >>
> >> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
better
> >> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> > Hi Mike:
> >
> > I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
> > wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
> > digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
I
> > think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
> > except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
don't
> > wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
pretty
> > much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as
I
> > do
> > anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
> > tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
> > things
> > in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
> > "idiots"
> > on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
:o).
> >
> > For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I

> > learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
could
> > you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
> > don't
> > think most of us feel that strongly about it.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
> I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just have to
> comment.....
>
> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means Slow Scan
> TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.
>
> Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".
>
> Amazing.....and she has a license?
>
> Amazing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

I haven't had you deep-sixed since I began persuing the newsgroup again,
Wonder-4-Not-Too-Intelligent. And, as usual, you're still the asshole you
have always been.

Kim W5TIT

57. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:36:00 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120217760.559249.252890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Who gives a fuck?
> >
> > You do.
> >
> Ro (Poor plagiarizing Lardassed Misery Pedo)

CALL STEVE
(Debbie Harry/Blondie/Purple Haze)

Cold nuts this winter, baby,
Call Steve to keep them warm
Need a blowjob this winter, darling,
Steve'll go down wherever you are
Steve'll use all his charm,
He likes to drink his cum lukewarm

Call Steve, Call Steve
For that wet blowjob
Call Steve any, anytime
Call Steve, Call Steve
For a wet blow job
You can call Steve any day or night
Call Steve

Steve covers dicks with kisses, baby,
Steve covers testicles with love,
Hairy, sweaty, or sal-ty, maybe
Steve can never get enough,
Faggots call Steve, he don't know why,
Breathless Steve never runs out of k-Y

Call Steve, Call Steve
For that wet blowjob
Call Steve any, anytime
Call Steve, Call Steve
For a wet blowjob
You can call Steve any day or night
Call Steve
Steve sucks on anything,
When you're ready, Steve can swallow your jing
Call Steve

[Break]

Oooooh oooohh Niggerdicks are Steve's only love,
Oooooh oooohh Niggerdicks, Steve can't get enough,
Oooooh oooohh Steve, moi cherie, au revioir
Anytime, anyplace, anywhere, anyway....
Steve'll suck anytime, anyplace, anyday---ay

[Break]

Call Steve, Call Steve
Sucking niggerdicks is his life
Call Steve, call him any, anytime
Call Steve, Call Steve
Call Steve for blowjobs some other time
Call Steve, Call Steve
Sucking niggerdicks is his life
Call Steve for his sweet desire
Call Steve, Call Steve
Call Steve for his lovin' of your dick big-time
Call Steve, Call Steve
Sucking niggerdicks is his life
Call Steve any, anytime
Call Steve, uh-hummm,
Call Steve
(fade out)

611 W 9th St
Kennett, MO 63857
(573)717-7705
(573)717-7706

58. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:36:30 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <2V9xe.2730$cb6.963@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111416

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:a00xe.1670$aY6.308@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > Kim wrote:
> >
> >> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> >> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >>
> >>>What is more important:
> >>>
> >>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
> >>>
> >>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
better
> >>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
> >>>
> >>>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >> Hi Mike:
> >>
> >> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
really
> >> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
anything
> >> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
> >> I
> >> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
newsgroup,
> >> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
don't
> >> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
> >> pretty
> >> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as
I
> >> do
> >> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor
the

> >> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
> >> things
> >> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
> >> "idiots"
> >> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
:o).
> >>
> >> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
> >> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
> >> could
> >> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
> >> don't
> >> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
> >
> > Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
>
> No it's not Mike. There are Morse Code haters out there. Lennie the
> loser is one of the main ones.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

You're just this side of the CB mentality. Pppfffffftttttttt

Kim W5TIT

59. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:41:13 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111419

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> >
>
> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>
> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way decent mind.

Kim W5TIT

60. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:46:14 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120218374.839014.156860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Seeing the black ops helicopters hovering over anonymous remailer sites
complete fuck up Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunksofshit.com) whined:
> On 29 Jun 2005 16:08:31 -0700, Secwet Woger, Chucklehead wrote:

Secret Nazi Saggytits Lee shows off his lisp from cocksucking
again.........

> :
> : Poor Saggytits, still think the Marshall County, Alabama ARES website
> : is the one for the ARES group in Marshall County, WV?
>
> Poor (IKYABWAI)

Poor Saggytits. He plagiarizes what others say to him.

You stupid son of a bitch...you just know how they send those black ops
helicopters out when the CIA has to investigate, don't they.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..like when they have nothing else important to do
but such "important" work as monitoring anonymous remailedrs.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA......
you are a complete fuck up, Saggytits Lee.

>(nothing important)
> Oops! I momentarily forgot: You've been banned from there.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..You think Roger is behind every other post there,
Saggytits. His posts are on there, you just have to know which ones
they are. And they aren't the ones being sent to the other board.
Looks like you didn't whine loud enough to get him banned.

I wonder how long Stan Lawson will have his hosting services after Net
Access Corporation the parent server shuts it off?

> : Nice to see you value his words so. Think the CIA is still running
> : "anonymous remailers?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
>
> Some posters in alt.privacy.anon-server seem to think so.

Sure they do, sure they do. <guffaw> Just like you claimed that the
Marshall County, Alabama Ares website was the one for the Marshall
County, West Virginia Ares group....just more lack of creibility on
your part. But what else is new.

--
Dave Heil, aspiring wannabe actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:

"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

61. Re: Who'syour Daddy, Roger's Bitch whines again, loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:50:32 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120218632.880443.187840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111421
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH from birch.net whined:

> Poor (Whines flushed)

Poor Lardassed Who's.....he never get the facts right.

I notice that birch.net is looking into your use of their servers,
bitch boy. They have logs on you, shit for brains.

--
Dave Heil, aspiring wannabe actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

62. Re: Connect the dots, Woger<Saggytits wants us to write on his cancerous bald cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:51:46 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120218706.773944.23900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111422
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Your Daddy, BITCH? wrote:
> Ummmmm
>
>
> Dance little Woger, Dance.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..You followed up twice to the same post, Dance FATASS,
dance! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

63. Re: Stagger Lee has Roger dancing like a marionette...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:53:14 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120218794.894776.265000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111423

Looks like the only ones dancing is you "Billy Boob"aka Lardass Davies
and Pedophile Steve Holsten (Holestain).

64. Re: Dance, Roger, dance? Looks like he is making you dance, again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 11:55:07 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120218907.202857.219140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111424

Roger's Bitch boy wrote:
> See

Yet you are dancing under several different nicks, bitch boy.

65. Re: Burke On the Lie Path Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 12:03:56 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120219436.357033.85930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111425

Nursie is a big time alcoholic.

66. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 12:33:37 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <TvednbO9ke2EbVjfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111535

John Smith wrote:
> Freebanders rule the air! just ask commander butt cornholer, he's a
> freebander, but he's ashamed so he won't divulge his call sign or where he
> lives.
>
> He's a chickenshit!

Comming from someone so cowardly they try to pretend to be John Smith.

67. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 12:35:52 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <TvednbK9ke09bVjfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111536

John Smith wrote:
> John Smith II:
>
> Personally, I have nothing against freebanders. However, commander
> buzzard is not my favorite personality here...
>
> John

The feeling is mutual.

68. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 12:46:18 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <da3duq$12fa$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111426

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we NED
>>to upgrade, just at at your own words.
>>
>
>
> Nope, never told anyone that they need to upgrade just for upgrading's sake.
> I have however helped them learn what privileges are available should they
> choose to do so.

Interesting discussion. Interseting implications here. In running the
GOTA station at Field Day, and in encouraging new folks to come up and
use the club station, I am often extolling the benefits of upgrading to
Technicians.

People who read into that that I am somehow prejudiced against the
Tech's have an active imagination.

Of course there are benefits to upgrading. Sounds like some people
don't want that to get out. Seems like now we're hearing that
encouragement to upgrade is chasing people away.

In addition, this has become an argument that those of us who work with
the Technicians and encourage them to increase their license level
somehow disdain those we encourage. Almost as if the tutors in hagh
school hate 11th graders because they help them pass their courses so
they can become 12th graders.

Good luck with that one guys!

Maybe we are just supposed to ignore the Technicians, because anything
we do appears to "chase them away".

Talk to 'em about Ham radio - chase 'em away

Don't talk to 'em - chase 'em away.

Encourage 'em - chase 'em away.

Uh-huh.......

Funny what happens when people try to defend a bogus argument.

We don't hate the Tech's

If they want to upgrade, we are there to help.

Sometimes expressing why they might want to learn something that they think they have no use for in order to get there is helpful.

And BTW, the reaction to simple questions tells more about the "reactee" than it does the person posing the question.

- Mike KB3EIA -

69. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 13:17:43 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <da3fpn$17om$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111427

Dee Flint wrote:

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com...
>
>>Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width to be
>>practical."
>>
>>That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and shows a
>>total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.
>>
>>However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically possible and
>>therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform others and, the sorry
>>state of amateurs technical savvy in general!
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> Ok then, show me the math that demonstrates you can transmit a one megabyte
> picture in seconds on the HF bands using only 300 baud. To get it down to
> seconds requires data compression/encryption techniques that can reduce the
> data by a 1000 fold.

Obviously, images can be transmitted by digital modes as well as the
analog method of SSTV.

Is that a good way of transmitting the image? It can be. Seems I've
heard about a digital image transmission mode.

Does that make the Analog SSTV mode obsolete? No more obsolete than SSB
or FM. It is a fairly quick mode, and with a computer is now
inexpensive, and fun.

I'm looking forward to an education on the modes from someone with
technical savvy. Mr Smith?

- Mike KB3EIA -

70. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 13:34:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TDbxe.11687$jX6.9050@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111428

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Already been a proposal to FCC that would
> impose subbands-by-mode on 160.

And, to their credit, the Commission declined to act on that frivolous proposal stating that we could work it out among ourselves. Seems we've done just that, and the 160M band is working just fine, letting dynamic market forces determine usage patterns.

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

71. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 13:57:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gZbxe.11691$jX6.9658@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111429

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out
>> where to transmit. In other words, a regulation wasn't needed.
>> What a concept!

> The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that
> almost all on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions
> are for alleged violations using *voice* modes?

If we regulate by that principle, then we should extend the same "just stay
inside the band" freedom to every mode with a low number of alleged violations.
I take it you'd be in favor of that? If not, why not?

> So it wasn't submitted as a restructuring proposal, but as
> comments to other proposals.

That's correct. It was formally submitted to FCC on three occassions when the
Commission solicited comments.

73, de Hans, K0HB

72. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 14:08:40 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <NfSdnUak26H_m1vfRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111606

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> ...and FYI I don't mooch of my parents I've done pretty
>
>> well for myself in the stock market.
>
>
> I'll bet that living in their home past your mid-thirties has allowed
> you to set aside a tidy sum for investing in the market, eh Todd?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>
>

One would think that if he has done so well in the stock market as he claims, he could buy a place of his own or at least get an appartment, move out and stop sponging off mommy and daddy. 30+ and still being supported by his parents...pretty pathetic.

73. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 14:42:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TDcxe.12560$hK3.10619@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111430

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> But most of us don't have antennas or amplifiers like yours, Hans.

There's nothing uncommon about my stations.

> It makes more sense than a free-for-all.

"Free-for-all" is an emotionally charged term, calculated to engender visions of a street brawl. Most of the worlds hams outside the US already enjoy the freedom to use the bands without government-mandated "segment by mode", and I notice no such brawls taking place. By and large, hams seem to be a cooperative and responsible population with a good record of self-regulation.

I thought the proposal authors stated that concept quite accurately:

"We believe the ideal band plan is one where good judgment on the operator's part supports use of any mode and any frequency available within their license class. Good judgment is centered on cooperative, flexible use of frequencies, with a specific goal of avoiding and/or resolving interference to others at a direct and low level, avoiding escalation and any need for outside enforcement. Guided by the use of good judgment, removal of artificial boundaries would encourage dynamic selection of frequency, affording an operator the best chance to minimize compatibility issues with other modes and activities. This would lead to greater band "loading" and improved utilization by allowing an operator to choose a clear spot on the dial across a greater frequency range.

"Intentional interference with communications is a violation of the regulations, independent of the mode in use, and whether automatic, semi automatic, or manually keyed. Sanctions would continue to be available against deliberate interference or problems involving technical signal purity, using volunteer "official observer" type programs. If a documented problem remains chronic or unresolved, the intervention of federal authority would reinforce volunteer OO in self-regulation efforts, as it does today.

"Automatic or semi automatic data operation not copied by the human ear becomes of particular concern under our proposal, since the activity would be unencumbered by subband. This group of users would have a specific challenge to maintain the good judgment pre-requisite by making certain their telemetry-polling systems recognize the presence of other modes and activities and avoiding interference to other communications. Chronically failing to do so would remain an actionable violation under existing rules against deliberate interference, since it could be shown such judgment had not been exercised.

"We contend that the goal of voluntary selection of operating frequencies for improved spectrum use is best achieved through real-time assessment of variables in propagation and radio traffic load. Efforts to improve spectrum use are

currently constrained because these variables cannot be accommodated with fulltime, rigidly defined sub-bands.

"Additionally, contemporary technology offers interference protection at the receiver to an extent not possible 60 years ago, when protection was implemented by regulatory mandate to divide "phone" and "code" activity. Technology and patterns of use now encourage the more effective coordination that we propose."

74. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:06:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120233989.581486.108300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111431

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out
> >> where to transmit. In other words, a regulation wasn't needed.
> >> What a concept!

> > The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that
> > almost all on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions
> > are for alleged violations using *voice* modes?

> If we regulate by that principle, then we should extend the same "just stay
> inside the band" freedom to every mode with a low number of alleged violations.

Only if the mode is as popular as Morse Code is on the HF/MF bands.

> I take it you'd be in favor of that? If not, why not?

See above!

Do you include "robot" modes?

> > So it wasn't submitted as a restructuring proposal, but as
> > comments to other proposals.
>
> That's correct. It was formally submitted to FCC on three occassions when the
> Commission solicited comments.

Even though I disagree with almost all of it, I think it would be
better if it were sent to FCC as a formal proposal. Because it would
then get a lot more attention than it would as a comment.

But it's *your* proposal, not mine.

73 de Jim, N2EY

75. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:20:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <X2exe.12589$hK3.287@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111432

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120233989.581486.108300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out
>> >> where to transmit. In other words, a regulation wasn't needed.
>> >> What a concept!

>> > The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that
>> > almost all on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions
>> > are for alleged violations using *voice* modes?

>> If we regulate by that principle, then we should extend the same "just stay
>> inside the band" freedom to every mode with a low number of alleged
>> violations.

>Only if the mode is as popular as Morse Code is on the HF/MF bands.

Interesting. In other words, if SSTV or PSK-xx (just a couple of examples ---
pick your own other candidate) became as popular as Morse, then that mode ought
to enjoy the same "full band" freedom that only Morse now enjoys. That seems to
be inconsistent with your previous "modes should be kept separate" stance.
Maybe you're starting to see it my way after all.

73, de Hans, K0HB

76. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:21:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120234915.541479.268050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111433

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > But most of us don't have antennas or amplifiers like yours, Hans.
>
> There's nothing uncommon about my stations.

I respectfully submit that most US hams don't have antennas like yours,
Hans. Nor a similar location.

> > It makes more sense than a free-for-all.
>
> "Free-for-all" is an emotionally charged term, calculated to engender visions of
> a street brawl.

Maybe to you.

> Most of the worlds hams outside the US already enjoy the
> freedom to use the bands without government-mandated "segment by mode", and I
> notice no such brawls taking place.

Doesn't mean they don't happen, just that you don't hear them.

Is the USA like the rest of the world in terms of culture? Number of
hams? Enforcement of regulations?

> By and large, hams seem to be a cooperative
> and responsible population with a good record of self-regulation.

As a group, yes. But in certain specific instances (like 75 meters)
things are not so rosy.

> I thought the proposal authors stated that concept quite accurately:
>
> "We believe the ideal band plan is one where good judgment on the operator's
> part supports use of any mode and any frequency available within their license
> class. Good judgment is centered on cooperative, flexible use of frequencies,
> with a specific goal of avoiding and/or resolving interference to others at a
> direct and low level, avoiding escalation and any need for outside enforcement.

Sounds nice. Now tell it to those running robot pactor stations. Or
K1MAN..

> Guided by the use of good judgment, removal of artificial boundaries would
> encourage dynamic selection of frequency, affording an operator the best chance
> to minimize compatibility issues with other modes and activities. This would
> lead to greater band "loading" and improved utilization by allowing an operator
> to choose a clear spot on the dial across a greater frequency range.

What amounts to "a clear spot on the dial" varies with mode. All I need
for CW is a couple of hundred Hz. The folks running AM or hi-fi SSB
need 10 to 20 times that much, and their receivers are (of necessity)
much less selective.

> "Intentional interference with communications is a violation of the regulations,
> independent of the mode in use, and whether automatic, semi automatic, or
> manually keyed. Sanctions would continue to be available against deliberate
> interference or problems involving technical signal purity, using volunteer
> "official observer" type programs. If a documented problem remains chronic or
> unresolved, the intervention of federal authority would reinforce volunteer OO
> in self-regulation efforts, as it does today.

Yeah, sure. How is the recipient of interference supposed to identify
the source?
>
> "Automatic or semi automatic data operation not copied by the human ear becomes
> of particular concern under our proposal, since the activity would be
> unencumbered by subband.

That alone makes it a bad idea.

> This group of users would have a specific challenge to
> maintain the good judgment pre-requisite by making certain their
> telemetry-polling systems recognize the presence of other modes and activities
> and avoiding interference to other communications.

They can't even make that happen today. So we reward them by giving
them the whole band to play in?

> Chronically failing to do so
> would remain an actionable violation under existing rules against deliberate
> interference, since it could be shown such judgment had not been exercised.

If they can even be identified!

> "We contend that the goal of voluntary selection of operating frequencies for
> improved spectrum use is best achieved through real-time assessment of variables
> in propagation and radio traffic load. Efforts to improve spectrum use are
> currently constrained because these variables cannot be accommodated with
> fulltime, rigidly defined sub-bands.

Sure they can, the authors of the proposal just don't want to.

--

Let's get down to what this proposal is really all about:

1) More room for the 'phone folks/less for the CW & data folks

2) Less constraint on the robot-data-mode folks

It's all gussied up with fancy, emotional verbiage like "real-time
assessment of variables in propagation and radio traffic load" but the
above two things are what it's really all about.

What it also amounts to is *rewarding* the use of
spectrally-inefficient modes. IOW, if the 'phone band is crowded, try
CW, PSK31 or some other mode that doesn't need so much spectrum!

>
> "Additionally, contemporary technology offers interference protection at the
> receiver to an extent not possible 60 years ago, when protection was implemented
> by regulatory mandate to divide "phone" and "code" activity. Technology and
> patterns of use now encourage the more effective coordination that we propose."

So we all need new rigs with all the bells and whistles.

The separation of modes is a lot older than 60 years ago, too. It
derives from a whole bunch of reasons.

73 de Jim, N2EY

77. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:23:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120235014.179079.87980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111434

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> I thought it might be neat to get a ham license
> in addition to the Commercial First 'Phone of 1956. Got up to
> 8 or 9 WPM and wondered what the hell I was wasting all that time
> for?

Thank you for confirming something I have suspected for a long time
now, Len.

78. Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:44:24 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lpexe.11741$jX6.10784@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111435

A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the amount of bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how well users of that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new regulatory tool which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum availability.

With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of popular modes in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from K4ZDH, least times you've been deliberately interfered with by the mode, etc.)

AM
AMTOR
AX.25
CW
PACTOR
PSK-xx
RTTY (5-bit baudot)
RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
SSB
SSTV

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing drink and a few tales.

"The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had quit biting, my hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of heading for the dock when..."

79. Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:44:24 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ipexe.11740$jX6.7431@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111436

A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the amount of bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how well users of that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new regulatory tool which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum availability.

With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of popular modes in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from K4ZDH, least interference you've experienced from this mode, etc.)

AM
AMTOR
AX.25
CW
PACTOR
PSK-xx
RTTY (5-bit baudot)
RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
SSB
SSTV

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing drink and a few tales.

"The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had quit biting, my hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of heading for the dock when..."

80. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:56:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KAexe.2619$8f7.1898@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111438

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Let's get down to what this proposal is really all about:

> 1) More room for the 'phone folks/less for the CW & data folks

Unless I've missed something, that statement does not accurately reflect the thrust of the proposal.

Yes, it would grant more spectrum to phone, equal to that now enjoyed by CW.
No, it does not diminish the spectrum available to CW.
No, it does not diminish the spectrum available to data -- in fact, it increases it to equal that now enjoyed by CW.

> 2) Less constraint on the robot-data-mode folks

Yes, and less constraint to all other modes also.

Sort of in the spirit of the upcoming holiday, it has a ring of freedom about it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

81. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 16:56:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120237009.105397.87210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111437

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of June 30, 2005:

Novice - 28,151 (decrease of 21,178)
Technician - 269,286 (increase of 63,892)
Technician Plus - 48,369 (decrease of 80,491)
General - 136,435 (increase of 23,758)
Advanced - 75,812 (decrease of 23,970)
Extra - 106,852 (increase of 28,102)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,655 (decrease of 16,599)

Total all classes - 664,905 (decrease of 9,887)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus or
Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

82. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:07:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <PLexe.281$j21.0@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111440

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:lpexe.11741$jX6.10784@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the amount of
> bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how well users
of
> that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new regulatory
tool
> which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum availability.
>
> With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of popular
modes
> in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from K4ZDH,
least
> times you've been deliberately interfered with by the mode, etc.)
>
> AM
> AMTOR
> AX.25
> CW
> PACTOR
> PSK-xx
> RTTY (5-bit baudot)
> RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
> SSB
> SSTV
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing drink and
a few
> tales.
>
> "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had quit
biting, my
> hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of
heading
> for the dock when..."
>
>
>
Hello, Hans

Well, I've never encountered problems with CW nor RTTY. AM I won't even get into :))

If one looks towards CB, it would seem that questionable behavior has been rewarded in the past, not that I would recommend poor behavior ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

83. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:11:03 UTC
From: Javier Nunez <mexico@daylaborcheapie.com>
Message-ID: <da3t89qoc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111439

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1120209440.081173.230080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Ginger Raveir wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1120179282.511949.127750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >
> > > And human nature being what it is, there needs to be
> > > some type of discipline on the bands as to what goes where.
> >
> > Sounds like an excerpt from a religious catechism!
> >
> > Is "human nature" different in the USA? For that matter, is "human
> > nature"
> > different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves provide the
> > "type of
> > discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?
>
> The FCC dumped micromanaging 160 on the ARRL, less work and flak for
> the FCC.
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> w3rv
>
>
> The illegal and unethical relationship between FCC & ARRL is
> one point of several which will enable K1MAN to prevail over
> the FCC.

Dearie I have yet to run into a Real Ham who could possibly give a
rat's ass about the goofy flap over K1MAN. That "thread" is for you
bottom echelon types to obsess upon. Enjoy but kindly if you please
don't bore the rest of us with it.

w3rv

Oh my, po wittle W3RV got upset.
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
When are you going to upgrade from that no-code
tech license you have had for years?

84. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:13:54 UTC
From: Javier Nunez <mexico@daylaborcheapie.com>
Message-ID: <da3tdjrab0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111441

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:TDbxe.11687$jX6.9050@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> Already been a proposal to FCC that would
>> impose subbands-by-mode on 160.
>
> And, to their credit, the Commission declined to act on that frivolous
> proposal stating that we could work it out among ourselves. Seems we've
> done just that, and the 160M band is working just fine, letting dynamic
> market forces determine usage patterns.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

The 160m band is becoming more popular with a.m. pirates.
Last time I was in Cincinatti & Atlanta, I heard pirates in the
160m band broadcasting most of the night.

85. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:15:59 UTC
From: Javier Nunez <mexico@daylaborcheapie.com>
Message-ID: <da3thgrpp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111442

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1120208218.878765.5670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ginger Raveir wrote:
>
>>
>> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
>> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
>> core of the hobby.
>
> Old white men also form the core of the U.S. Senate. Ya want the rest
> of the list?
>
> So now what . . . ?
>
> . . . thought so . .
>
> w3rv
>

Po wittle W3RV. You do upset so easily.
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

86. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:18:22 UTC
From: Javier Nunez <mexico@daylaborcheapie.com>
Message-ID: <da3tm0scq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111443

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:m8GdnTsNY_eYvljfRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Ginger Raveir" <qsl@viadaburojerk.com> wrote in message
> news:da2dru$78f$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:JKidnW2JT92rKVnfRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>>>news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>>>
>>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>>>>>better
>>>>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>
>>>>>YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>>>>Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>>>>
>>>>>The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>>>>>There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>>>>are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So? we concentrate on the group of folks that have the TIME to do Ham
>>>> Radio these days. The retired or soon to be retired group. Let the
>>>> youth text all they want, chase women, find drugs....so what.
>>>
>>> The idea that Ham radio is dying is pretty weird. I don't see any
>>> evidence for it. The closest thing to evidence is that fall-off we are
>>> going through right now. And that falloff is due mostly to the "honeydew
>>> hams" who got their license so that could tell the hubby or the missus
```

>>> to pick up bread or milk on the way home. Those folks haven't been
>>> active in years.
>>>
>>> And as for the average age of hams? America is aging, aging, aging
>>> overall. BFD. Our club has a lot of brand new Hams who are older than
>>> me. They are enthusiastic, and having a heck of a good time.
>>>
>>> I'm glad to have them on board.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>>>>>Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>>>>plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>>>>>the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>>>>
>>>> None of which has a thing to do with Ham Radio.
>>>
>>> Why are the instant messenger and chatroom stuff touted as some sort of
>>> hi-tech alternative to Ham radio. People who think it is just have it
>>> WRONG!
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>>>>invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>>>>>ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
>>>>>today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>>>>not care less either.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Ham Radio is and always has been a group of radio geeks.
>>>
>>> I is a geek. Anyone have a problem with that?
>>>
>>>> Only recently has this become a "problem".
>>>
>>> Some think we need people with street cred. Fresh people.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> I see no problem with a much smaller, more dedicated group. We don't
>>>> need 700,000 licensed hams if only a small percentage are actually
>>>> licensed. As a matter of fact I believe you will find that the
>>>> membership of the ARRL are the REAL ACTIVE AMATEURS. Not the give a
>>>> way Tech ticket. These are the folks that wanted a free cell phone. Go
>>>> for it.
>>>> Real hams know what this hobby/service is supposed to be. The rest of
>>>> you are at the bottom of the learning curve.
>>>>
>>>> Perhaps if you would pay attention to those that have been there and

>>>> KNOW what its about....your life would be a bit easier (?).
>>>
>>> Possibly, but then they would have to find somethin' else to bitch
>>> about.
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>>>>you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>>>>>retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
>>>>>in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Which is exactly how 75 has been since the 1950s. Or earlier for all I
>>>> know.
>>>>
>>>> YOUR POINT IS?
>>>
>>> How about this for a *counterpoint*? During Field day weekend, I heard
>>> an obviously very young lady answering my CQ. I was in the middle of a
>>> major run at the high power station, and it would have been easier to
>>> ignore her. I had to have her repeat the callsign and info several
>>> times - I think she was in the missing the front teeth stage. After
>>> about a minute we finally got the exchange completed I said "thank you
>>> honey", and she said "Thank YOU!". That part came through loud and
>>> clear.
>>>
>>> SO THERE, bitchy negative type hams!
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>>>>by 2030.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> No it wont. Changed.....but not dead. You of course will be long
>>>> gone. Good riddance.
>>>
>>> Snort!
>>>
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
>> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
>> core of the hobby.
>
> I'll be sure to let all the black gentlemen, the women, and the younger

> people in our club know that. They seem unaware of such a problem.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

True, in fact, I have helped a couple of black Americans get their ham licenses. However, nationwide, looking at the whole big picture, ham radio is a hobby comprised of old white men.

87. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:19:57 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <n70jn8cn32fkljl.010720051020@kirk>
Source record: 111452

how about a dunce cap for offenders

how about they have to spend their lunch hour in the corner

how about they become a freebander

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Ipexe.11741$jX6.10784@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the
>amount of
> bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how well
> users of
> that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new
> regulatory tool
> which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum
> availability.
>
> With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of
> popular modes
> in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from
> K4ZDH, least
> times you've been deliberately interfered with by the mode, etc.)
>
> AM
> AMTOR
> AX.25
> CW
> PACTOR
> PSK-xx
> RTTY (5-bit baudot)
> RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
> SSB
> SSTV
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing
> drink and a few
> tales.
>
> "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had quit
> biting, my
> hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking
> of heading
> for the dock when..."

>
>
>
>
>

88. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:21:19 UTC
From: Chesty Puller <abc@abcshegomatey.com>
Message-ID: <da3trj$t2i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111444

```
"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> >
>>
>> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>>
>> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way decent
> mind.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

I think you pissed her off Dan.
```

89. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:22:33 UTC
From: Chesty Puller <abc@abcshegomatey.com>
Message-ID: <da3ttq$t9t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111445

```
"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:2V9xe.2730$cb6.963@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:a00xe.1670$aY6.308@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> > Kim wrote:
>> >
>> >> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> >> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> >>
>> >>>What is more important:
>> >>>
>> >>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>> >>>
>> >>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
> better
>> >>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>> >>>
>> >>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Hi Mike:
>> >>
>> >> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
> really
>> >> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
> anything
>> >> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV,
>> >> etc.
>> >> I
>> >> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
> newsgroup,
>> >> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
> don't
>> >> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>> >> pretty
>> >> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode
>> >> (as
```

> I
>> >> do
>> >> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor
> the
>> >> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>> >> things
>> >> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>> >> "idiots"
>> >> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
> :o).
>> >>
>> >> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and
>> >> I
>> >> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>> >> could
>> >> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
>> >> don't
>> >> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>> >>
>> > Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> >
>>
>> No it's not Mike. There are Morse Code haters out there. Lennie the
>> loser is one of the main ones.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> You're just this side of the CB mentality. Pppfffffftttttttt
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

Hey Dan, when did you start operating CB?
LOL

90. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:22:49 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <10zx0nw267xpnng.010720051022@kirk>
Source record: 111447

freebanding with PGP encryption/decryption

avg time to crack a message: million+ years

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:PLexe.281$j21.0@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Ipexe.11741$jX6.10784@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the
>> amount of
>> bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how
>> well users
> of
>> that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new
>> regulatory
> tool
>> which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum
>> availability.
>>
>> With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of
>> popular
> modes
>> in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from
>> K4ZDH,
> least
>> times you've been deliberately interfered with by the mode, etc.)
>>
>> AM
>> AMTOR
>> AX.25
>> CW
>> PACTOR
>> PSK-xx
>> RTTY (5-bit baudot)
>> RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
>> SSB
>> SSTV
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>> Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing
>> drink and
> a few
>> tales.

>>
>> "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had quit
> biting, my
>> hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking
>> of
> heading
>> for the dock when..."
>>
>>
>>
> Hello, Hans
>
>
> Well, I've never encountered problems with CW nor RTTY. AM I won't
> even get
> into :))
>
> If one looks towards CB, it would seem that questionable behavior
> has been
> rewarded in the past, not that I would recommend poor behavior ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

91. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:25:55 UTC
From: Chesty Puller <abc@abcshegomatey.com>
Message-ID: <da3u44$u1s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111446

```
"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:_T9xe.2729$cb6.1262@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:l%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> > news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> >> What is more important:
>> >>
>> >> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>> >>
>> >> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
> better
>> >> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>
>> >
>> > Hi Mike:
>> >
>> > I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
>> > really
>> > wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
>> > anything
>> > digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
> I
>> > think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
>> > newsgroup,
>> > except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
> don't
>> > wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
> pretty
>> > much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as
> I
>> > do
>> > anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor
>> > the
>> > tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>> > things
```

>> > in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>> > "idiots"
>> > on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
> :o).
>> >
>> > For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>> > learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
> could
>> > you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
>> > don't
>> > think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>> >
>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just have to
>> comment.....
>>
>> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means Slow
>> Scan
>> TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.
>>
>> Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".
>>
>> Amazing.....and she has a license?
>>
>> Amazing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> I haven't had you deep-sixed since I began persuing the newsgroup again,
> Wonder-4-Not-Too-Intelligent. And, as usual, you're still the asshole you
> have always been.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

Now she's really pissed Dan. You old meanie.

92. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:28:15 UTC
From: Chesty Puller <abc@abcshegomatey.com>
Message-ID: <da3u8g$ul1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111448

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:lpexe.11741$jX6.10784@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the amount of
> bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how well users
> of
> that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new regulatory
> tool
> which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum availability.
>
> With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of popular
> modes
> in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from K4ZDH,
> least
> times you've been deliberately interfered with by the mode, etc.)
>
> AM
> AMTOR
> AX.25
> CW
> PACTOR
> PSK-xx
> RTTY (5-bit baudot)
> RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
> SSB
> SSTV
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing drink and
> a few
> tales.
>
> "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had quit
> biting, my
> hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of
> heading
> for the dock when..."
>

That's a good one for down at the Legion Hall
Hans.

93. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:28:48 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <iog26rbfbpcu5du.010720051029@kirk>
Source record: 111449

is it possible for a bunch of ancient/decrepit old men to get more
anemic, senile, ridiculous, loathsome or "dumbed down?"

amateurs take all the prizes when it come to stupidity

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> >
>>
>> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>>
>> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way
> decent mind.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>

94. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:38:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120239514.001657.216790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111450

From: Michael Coslo on Jul 1, 8:46 am

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we NED
>>>to upgrade, just at at your own words.
>
>> Nope, never told anyone that they need to upgrade just for upgrading's sake.
>> I have however helped them learn what privileges are available should they
>> choose to do so.
>
> Interesting discussion. Interseting implications here. In running the
>GOTA station at Field Day, and in encouraging new folks to come up and
>use the club station, I am often extolling the benefits of upgrading to
>Technicians.

"Upgrade to Technician?!?" From what, Novice class?

> People who read into that that I am somehow prejudiced against the
>Tech's have an active imagination.

The Coslonaut is a PCTA extra. That's an automatic placement
into the Elitist look-down-the-nose at "lesser classes" group.

> Of course there are benefits to upgrading. Sounds like some people
>*don't want* that to get out. Seems like now we're hearing that
>encouragement to upgrade is chasing people away.

Sweetie, the "encouragement" of being anal-retentitve PCTA extras
is enough to chase folks away.

> In addition, this has become an argument that those of us who work with
>the Technicians and encourage them to increase their license level
>somehow disdain those we encourage. Almost as if the tutors in hagh
>school hate 11th graders because they help them pass their courses so
>they can become 12th graders.

THIRD GRADERS can become EXTRAS. You were saying...?

> Good luck with that one guys!

No problem. Your "reasoning" allows replies to be written
automatically...as if "it writes itself."

> Maybe we are just supposed to ignore the Technicians, because anything
>we do appears to "chase them away".

Acting like Elitist PCTA extras will do that every time...

> Talk to 'em about Ham radio - chase 'em away

"Work the world with 'CW'" In 2005. BWAHAHAHAHAHAH....

> Don't talk to 'em - chase 'em away.
>
> Encourage 'em - chase 'em away.

Tsk. You haven't learned any salesmanship or just plain getting-
along-with-others-effectively, have you?

> Funny what happens when people try to defend a bogus argument.

Tsk. That's what we've seen from the PCTA extras all along...

>We don't hate the Tech's

[you haven't learned proper pluralization either...BTASE]

Nah, there's no "hate." As long as the Technicians sit in the
back of the spectral bus and dring from separate water fountains,
you PCTA extras don't "hate" them.

>If they want to upgrade, we are there to help.

[this sounds like a very bad redux of "Uncle Tom's Cabin"]

>Sometimes expressing why they might want to learn something that they
>think they have no use for in order to get there is helpful.

Yes...explain to those "lesser folk" the NEED to "show dedication
and committment to the amateur community" through testing for
RADIOTELEGRAPHY (the cutting edge of communications art).

> And BTW, the reaction to simple questions tells more about the
>"reactee" than it does the person posing the question.

Tsk. You've gone "critical" and your nuclear pile is reacting.

Medical science can cure piles. Get your relief.

All this noblesse oblige in here from the PCTA extras... :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

95. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:44:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120239876.008096.30180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111451

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 1, 12:23 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> I thought it might be neat to get a ham license
>> in addition to the Commercial First 'Phone of 1956. Got up to
>> 8 or 9 WPM and wondered what the hell I was wasting all that time
>> for?
>
>Thank you for confirming something I have suspected for a long time
>now, Len.

What...you've NEVER seen my statement BEFORE? :-)

Do the math. 1959 was how long ago? FORTY-SIX years.

Let's see...in 1959 I was three years from leaving a MAJOR
HF communications complex, a part of ACAN that had existed
since 1942 and had changed its name to STARCOM. Worldwide
network of HF stations...running TTY and Voice...NO "CW."
Big Time in HF.

So, I'm supposed to get into the "cutting edge of amateur
technology" by LEARNING/TESTING FOR RADIOTELEGRAPHY?!?!?

Wow...talk about being BRAIN DEAD in PA!

And now..."you've JUST suspected it?" :-) :-) :-)

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

[to use a message device beloved by your buddie, the TN
Talibanian...who does that frequently]

Class D CB was a year old back in 1959, I had a nice,
conversion-finished Austin-Healey sports car in Greater L.A.
which then had a population of about 6 million and was
considered to be the aerospace capital of the USA doing high-
tech electronics, was seriously considering changing my
major from illustration to engineering...and I was "supposed"
to be REGRESSING TO RADIOTELEGRAPHY in order to show
"dedication and committment to the ham community"?!?!?!?!?

Wow, yeah, I could "get my very own radio station" and get
"my very own callsign" as a radio amateur!!! I was already
a professional in radio-electronics and had spent three full
years doing HF radio communications in the military. Ptui.
I went to Henry Radio in L.A. and bought a Johnson Viking
Messenger CB that year. Worked great in the aluminum-body

Austin-Healey. Got my "very own callsign" (11W3725)...
BWAHAHAHAH...as if that 'meant' anything.

GAVE UP any thought of "showing dedication and committment
to some amateur community" by learning RADIOTELEGRAPHY as
"cutting-edge technology" in 1959. I should learn morse
just to "talk to the rest of the world?" Been there, done
that 24/7 already.

...and you "just suspected it!" Just HOW LONG does it take
to close the synapses in your mind, whiz kid?

By the way, how many children have you parented?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

96. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 17:59:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <462dnUdVzfAsGVjfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111453

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:da3duq$12fa$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we NED
>>>to upgrade, just at at your own words.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Nope, never told anyone that they need to upgrade just for upgrading's
>> sake. I have however helped them learn what privileges are available
>> should they choose to do so.
>
> Interesting discussion. Interseting implications here. In running the GOTA
> station at Field Day, and in encouraging new folks to come up and use the
> club station, I am often extolling the benefits of upgrading to
> Technicians.
>
> People who read into that that I am somehow prejudiced against the Tech's
> have an active imagination.
>
> Of course there are benefits to upgrading. Sounds like some people *don't
> want* that to get out. Seems like now we're hearing that encouragement to
> upgrade is chasing people away.
>
> In addition, this has become an argument that those of us who work with
> the Technicians and encourage them to increase their license level somehow
> disdain those we encourage. Almost as if the tutors in hagh school hate
> 11th graders because they help them pass their courses so they can become
> 12th graders.
>
> Good luck with that one guys!
>
> Maybe we are just supposed to ignore the Technicians, because anything we
> do appears to "chase them away".
>
> Talk to 'em about Ham radio - chase 'em away
>
> Don't talk to 'em - chase 'em away.
>

> Encourage 'em - chase 'em away.
>
> Uh-huh.......
>
>
> Funny what happens when people try to defend a bogus argument.
> ------------------------------
>
> We don't hate the Tech's
>
> If they want to upgrade, we are there to help.
>
> Sometimes expressing why they might want to learn something that they
> think they have no use for in order to get there is helpful.
>
> And BTW, the reaction to simple questions tells more about the "reactee"
> than it does the person posing the question.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Well said, Mike.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

97. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 18:05:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zcSdnbXymsvcG1jfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 111454

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:da3fpn$17om$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com...
>>
>>>Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width to be
>>>practical."
>>>
>>>That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and shows a
>>>total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.
>>>
>>>However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically possible and
>>>therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform others and, the
>>>sorry state of amateurs technical savvy in general!
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>
>>
>> Ok then, show me the math that demonstrates you can transmit a one
>> megabyte picture in seconds on the HF bands using only 300 baud. To get
>> it down to seconds requires data compression/encryption techniques that
>> can reduce the data by a 1000 fold.
>
> Obviously, images can be transmitted by digital modes as well as the
> analog method of SSTV.
>

Digital images would have to be compressed/encrypted also to get the
bandwidth down to acceptable ranges for HF and we're still talking on the
same order of magnitude to do so. Even with a fast broadband internet
connection, I've seen some material that still takes a noticeable time to
download.

> Is that a good way of transmitting the image? It can be. Seems I've heard
> about a digital image transmission mode.
>

Isn't most of the stuff off the internet (mpg comes to mind) digitally
encoded. Even on my broadband connection it will occasionally jerk and
pause. If you have to slow it down to 300 baud for the HF bands so as not to
consume too much bandwidth, that would become even jerkier.

> Does that make the Analog SSTV mode obsolete? No more obsolete than SSB or
> FM. It is a fairly quick mode, and with a computer is now inexpensive, and
> fun.
>
> I'm looking forward to an education on the modes from someone with
> technical savvy. Mr Smith?
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I doubt if he's got the technical savvy. Simple arithmetic shows the
inherent problems.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

98. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 18:06:38 UTC
From: John Smith <pocketstimulation@handson.nut>
Message-ID: <1120241251.62cd1658bf5255fad78a7ce8ebf8ebf5@teranews>
Source record: 111455

Freebanders rule the air! just ask commander butt cornholer, he's a freebander, but he's ashamed so he won't divulge his call sign or where he lives.

He's a chickenshit!
>
"Freebander" <freebander@freeband.org> wrote in message news:n70jn8cn32fkljl.010720051020@kirk...
> how about a dunce cap for offenders
>
> how about they have to spend their lunch hour in the corner
>
> how about they become a freebander
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Ipexe.11741$jX6.10784@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the amount of
>> bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how well
>> users of
>> that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new regulatory
>> tool
>> which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum availability.
>>
>> With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of popular
>> modes
>> in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from K4ZDH,
>> least
>> times you've been deliberately interfered with by the mode, etc.)
>>
>> AM
>> AMTOR
>> AX.25
>> CW
>> PACTOR
>> PSK-xx
>> RTTY (5-bit baudot)
>> RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
>> SSB
>> SSTV
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>> Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing drink and
>> a few

>> tales.
>>
>> "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had quit
>> biting, my
>> hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of
>> heading
>> for the dock when..."
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

99. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 18:17:30 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <mr.cia.man.woger.wants.you@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fag-lamer.roger-wiseman.chucklehead,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On 1 Jul 2005 04:46:14 -0700, Secwet Woger, Chucklehead wrote:
:
: You stupid son of a bitch...you just know how they send those black ops
: helicopters out when the CIA has to investigate, don't they.

I know that, when the remailer operators themselves say that they've
been compromised by the police, it isn't too bright to go on using
Italian remailers. It probably isn't very bright to use any remailer
which you haven't thoroughly checked out.

And thanks, by the way. When you call me "stupid son of a bitch," I
know that you're really, really irritated, and that makes my day.

: BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..like when they have nothing else important to do
: but such "important" work as monitoring anonymous remailedrs.

I don't have to "monitor" anything; I simply keep abreast of net
happenings - something you'd better learn to do if you want to stay
out of an interrogation room.

: BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA......
: you are a complete fuck up, Saggytits Lee.

Really? I don't seem to recall getting dumped by multiple ISPs, and I
don't seem to recall having a group of losers (NIM Busters) kick me
off their web page because they think that I'm an even bigger loser.

: BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..You think Roger is behind every other post there,
: Saggytits. His posts are on there, you just have to know which ones

I really don't care if your posts are on there or not. But the
regulars apparently do, because they're trying to remove posts by you
as fast as they discover them.

: they are. And they aren't the ones being sent to the other board.
: Looks like you didn't whine loud enough to get him banned.
:
: I wonder how long Stan Lawson will have his hosting services after Net
: Access Corporation the parent server shuts it off?

It seems that someone "pwetending" to be Woger Wiseman repeatedly
threatened to TOS various web boards shortly before they were in fact
TOSed. That's why Woger is in web board jail.

: > > Nice to see you value his words so. Think the CIA is still running
: > > "anonymous remailers?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
: >

: > Some posters in alt.privacy.anon-server seem to think so.
:
: Sure they do, sure they do. <guffaw> Just like you claimed that the

Indeed they do. You need to read a greater variety of information sources. If you did, you'd also know the identites of the other anon remailers whose integrity is being questioned.

But, hey, knock your lights out! It's your ass which is in a sling; not mine.

[Guvf yvar vagragvbanyyl yrsg oynax.]

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

100. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 18:49:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aMCdnYGed8SlDVjfRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111457

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>But most of us don't have antennas or amplifiers like yours, Hans.
>
>
> There's nothing uncommon about my stations.
>
>
>
>>It makes more sense than a free-for-all.
>
>
> "Free-for-all" is an emotionally charged term, calculated to engender visions of
> a street brawl. Most of the worlds hams outside the US already enjoy the
> freedom to use the bands without government-mandated "segment by mode", and I
> notice no such brawls taking place. By and large, hams seem to be a cooperative
> and responsible population with a good record of self-regulation.
>
> I thought the proposal authors stated that concept quite accurately:
>
> "We believe the ideal band plan is one where good judgment on the operator's
> part supports use of any mode and any frequency available within their license
> class. Good judgment is centered on cooperative, flexible use of frequencies,
> with a specific goal of avoiding and/or resolving interference to others at a
> direct and low level, avoiding escalation and any need for outside enforcement.

As Bill and Ted say "Be *Excellent* to each other"!

> Guided by the use of good judgment, removal of artificial boundaries would
> encourage dynamic selection of frequency, affording an operator the best chance
> to minimize compatibility issues with other modes and activities. This would
> lead to greater band "loading" and improved utilization by allowing an operator
> to choose a clear spot on the dial across a greater frequency range.
>
> "Intentional interference with communications is a violation of the regulations,
> independent of the mode in use, and whether automatic, semi automatic, or
> manually keyed. Sanctions would continue to be available against deliberate
> interference or problems involving technical signal purity, using volunteer
> "official observer" type programs. If a documented problem remains chronic or
> unresolved, the intervention of federal authority would reinforce volunteer OO
> in self-regulation efforts, as it does today.
>
> "Automatic or semi automatic data operation not copied by the human ear becomes

> of particular concern under our proposal, since the activity would be
> unencumbered by subband.

They're not kidding. Nothing is quite as much fun as a robot station
opening up right on top of you. Nothing much to do but complain. Then
wait the obligatory dogs life for anything to happen.

They don't care about things now. I doubt it will get any better if
the rules are just "play nice".

> This group of users would have a specific challenge to
> maintain the good judgment pre-requisite by making certain their
> telemetry-polling systems recognize the presence of other modes and activities
> and avoiding interference to other communications.

But they don't.

> Chronically failing to do so
> would remain an actionable violation under existing rules against deliberate
> interference, since it could be shown such judgment had not been exercised.

All we have to do is wait 15 years or so......

- Mike KB3EIA -

101. Re: Best Behaved = Largest Band Allocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:07:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Zvgxe.5670$O84.1459342@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111468

John Smith II:

Personally, I have nothing against freebanders. However, commander buzzard is not my favorite personality here...

John

"John Smith" <pocketstimulation@handson.nut> wrote in message news:1120241251.62cd1658bf5255fad78a7ce8ebf8ebf5@teranews...
> Freebanders rule the air! just ask commander butt cornholer, he's a
> freebander, but he's ashamed so he won't divulge his call sign or
> where he lives.
>
> He's a chickenshit!
>>
> "Freebander" <freebander@freeband.org> wrote in message
> news:n70jn8cn32fkljl.010720051020@kirk...
>> how about a dunce cap for offenders
>>
>> how about they have to spend their lunch hour in the corner
>>
>> how about they become a freebander
>>
>> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:Ipexe.11741$jX6.10784@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>A very experienced Amateur on this forum has suggested that the
>>>amount of
>>> bandwidth available to a particular mode might be linked to how
>>> well users of
>>> that mode behave on the air. He may well have hit on a new
>>> regulatory tool
>>> which would reward good behaviour with greater spectrum
>>> availability.
>>>
>>> With this in mind, please rank the following alphabetical list of
>>> popular modes
>>> in order of their good behaviour (least citations/letters from
>>> K4ZDH, least
>>> times you've been deliberately interfered with by the mode, etc.)
>>>
>>> AM
>>> AMTOR
>>> AX.25
>>> CW
>>> PACTOR

>>> PSK-xx
>>> RTTY (5-bit baudot)
>>> RTTY (7-bit ASCII)
>>> SSB
>>> SSTV
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>> --
>>> Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a bracing
>>> drink and a few
>>> tales.
>>>
>>> "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, the fish had
>>> quit biting, my
>>> hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was
>>> thinking of heading
>>> for the dock when..."
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

102. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:22:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <isCdnU36hMxCCljfRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111458

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da3fpn$17om$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width to be
>>>>practical."
>>>>
>>>>That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and shows a
>>>>total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.
>>>>
>>>>However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically possible and
>>>>therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform others and, the
>>>>sorry state of amateurs technical savvy in general!
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Ok then, show me the math that demonstrates you can transmit a one
>>>megabyte picture in seconds on the HF bands using only 300 baud. To get
>>>it down to seconds requires data compression/encryption techniques that
>>>can reduce the data by a 1000 fold.
>>
>>Obviously, images can be transmitted by digital modes as well as the
>>analog method of SSTV.
>>
>
>
> Digital images would have to be compressed/encrypted also to get the
> bandwidth down to acceptable ranges for HF and we're still talking on the
> same order of magnitude to do so. Even with a fast broadband internet
> connection, I've seen some material that still takes a noticeable time to
> download.
>
>
>>Is that a good way of transmitting the image? It can be. Seems I've heard
>>about a digital image transmission mode.

>>
>
>
> Isn't most of the stuff off the internet (mpg comes to mind) digitally
> encoded. Even on my broadband connection it will occasionally jerk and
> pause. If you have to slow it down to 300 baud for the HF bands so as not to
> consume too much bandwidth, that would become even jerkier.

Very true.

Accepted minimum rate for a recognizable "talking head" type video is
32Kbit/second.

I made a test jpg image @ 640 by 480, level 5 (unacceptable for me, but
others may find that okay) Typical scene, some amateurs sitting around a
radio, no large expanses of sky. It was 553.6 Kbits.

Assuming that the transmission rate would be similar to Packet radio at
.3Kbit/second it is obvious that video would be impossible to do live,
and grossly impractical to do as say an mpeg.

That 640 by 480 jpeg might be within the realm of feasibility at just
around 31 minutes. Note that this does not include error checking lags.
And there will be errors.

Note that these are very rough calculations.

>
>>Does that make the Analog SSTV mode obsolete? No more obsolete than SSB or
>>FM. It is a fairly quick mode, and with a computer is now inexpensive, and
>>fun.
>>
>>I'm looking forward to an education on the modes from someone with
>>technical savvy. Mr Smith?
>>
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> I doubt if he's got the technical savvy. Simple arithmetic shows the
> inherent problems.

Yeah, like I said 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

103. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:25:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FMgxe.5847$Gb4.1494135@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111470

Dee:

My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you cannot tell the difference.

If I send perfect video, encrypted off a DVD you will indeed notice that it slows, pauses and is not acceptable for broadcast--however, if you encrypt the sound to mp3 and the video to avi it becomes childs play for anyone who is technically savvy and results in video and audio which is magnitudes faster than SSTV.

Get away from these ancient amateurs who have gone blind and ask where it has "ALREADY BEEN BEING DONE FOR A DECADE!!!"

Standing there looking stupid is no way to go through life girl!

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:zcSdnbXymsvcG1jfRVn-1g@comcast.com...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da3fpn$17om$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com...
>>>
>>>>Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width
>>>>to be practical."
>>>>
>>>>That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and
>>>>shows a total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.
>>>>
>>>>However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically
>>>>possible and therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform
>>>>others and, the sorry state of amateurs technical savvy in
>>>>general!
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Ok then, show me the math that demonstrates you can transmit a one
>>> megabyte picture in seconds on the HF bands using only 300 baud.
>>> To get it down to seconds requires data compression/encryption

>>> techniques that can reduce the data by a 1000 fold.
>>
>> Obviously, images can be transmitted by digital modes as well as
>> the analog method of SSTV.
>>
>
> Digital images would have to be compressed/encrypted also to get the
> bandwidth down to acceptable ranges for HF and we're still talking
> on the same order of magnitude to do so. Even with a fast broadband
> internet connection, I've seen some material that still takes a
> noticeable time to download.
>
>> Is that a good way of transmitting the image? It can be. Seems I've
>> heard about a digital image transmission mode.
>>
>
> Isn't most of the stuff off the internet (mpg comes to mind)
> digitally encoded. Even on my broadband connection it will
> occasionally jerk and pause. If you have to slow it down to 300 baud
> for the HF bands so as not to consume too much bandwidth, that would
> become even jerkier.
>
>> Does that make the Analog SSTV mode obsolete? No more obsolete than
>> SSB or FM. It is a fairly quick mode, and with a computer is now
>> inexpensive, and fun.
>>
>> I'm looking forward to an education on the modes from someone with
>> technical savvy. Mr Smith?
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I doubt if he's got the technical savvy. Simple arithmetic shows
> the inherent problems.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

104. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:25:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120245949.046237.283180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111459

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we NED
> >>to upgrade, just at at your own words.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Nope, never told anyone that they need to upgrade just for upgrading's sake.
> > I have however helped them learn what privileges are available should they
> > choose to do so.
>
> Interesting discussion. Interseting implications here. In running the
> GOTA station at Field Day, and in encouraging new folks to come up and
> use the club station, I am often extolling the benefits of upgrading to
> Technicians.

Right and working about as hard then as you can to discourage them from
doing so

>
> People who read into that that I am somehow prejudiced against the
> Tech's have an active imagination.

BTW active imaginations are somehting that the ARS needs more of.
Personaly I thank you for the compliment.

However you thinking that tell Techs again what they already know isn't
insulting, and insulting someone becuase of their lisense class is
showing a prejudice against the members of the class

>
> Of course there are benefits to upgrading.

of course, and they are well known

>Sounds like some people
> *don't want* that to get out.

No they don't want you druming into in thiere head what they alreeady
know

> Seems like now we're hearing that
> encouragement to upgrade is chasing people away.

But you aren'ty encouaging you are belitting people when you insist on
explaing to them what they already know

>
> In addition, this has become an argument that those of us who work with
> the Technicians and encourage them to increase their license level
> somehow disdain those we encourage. Almost as if the tutors in hagh
> school hate 11th graders because they help them pass their courses so
> they can become 12th graders.

One can only judge by ones experences. BTW those of us interested
don't need the help of "upper classmen", they can manage quite well on
thiere own or help from our own class.

I myself while disaproving of Morse Code have helped people to pass the
code test by operating at VHF sending computer generated morse. Surely
even Stevie will grant that anyone who can learn to follow morse and my
typing at the same time can pass a code test at any speed they can
follow my morse

>
> Good luck with that one guys!

We don't need it, nor your condesending attitude

>
> Maybe we are just supposed to ignore the Technicians, because anything
> we do appears to "chase them away".

You could try treating them thier licenses and their previldges with
respect.
>
> Talk to 'em about Ham radio - chase 'em away

Talk to them about upgrading you chase em away
>
> Don't talk to 'em - chase 'em away.

nope

>
> Encourage 'em - chase 'em away.

Condesend and insult them of course you chase them away

>
> Uh-huh.......
>
>
> Funny what happens when people try to defend a bogus argument.
> ------------------------------
>
> We don't hate the Tech's

but you don't respect them either

>
> If they want to upgrade, we are there to help.

but only on your own terms

>
> Sometimes expressing why they might want to learn something that they
> think they have no use for in order to get there is helpful.

if it were only "sometimes"
>
> And BTW, the reaction to simple questions tells more about the
> "reactee" than it does the person posing the question.

indeed that can be true it tells about their expernces.

The thought "why is this guy telling me the same thing AGAIN, is a very
comon one"

>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

105. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:27:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <isCdnUz6hMygBFjfRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111460

```
Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>Let's get down to what this proposal is really all about:
>
>
>>1) More room for the 'phone folks/less for the CW & data folks
>
>
> Unless I've missed something, that statement does not accurately reflect the
> thrust of the proposal.
>
> Yes, it would grant more spectrum to phone, equal to that now enjoyed by CW.
> No, it does not diminish the spectrum available to CW.
> No, it does not diminish the spectrum available to data -- in fact, it increases
> it to equal that now enjoyed by CW.
>
>
>>2) Less constraint on the robot-data-mode folks
>
>
> Yes, and less constraint to all other modes also.
>
> Sort of in the spirit of the upcoming holiday, it has a ring of freedom about
> it.

So does freebanding and pirate stations.. ;^)
```

106. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:31:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120246310.405396.81640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111461

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com...
> >
> >>Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width to be
> >>practical."
> >>
> >>That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and shows a
> >>total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.
> >>
> >>However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically possible and
> >>therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform others and, the sorry
> >>state of amateurs technical savvy in general!
> >>
> >>John
> >>
> >
> >
> > Ok then, show me the math that demonstrates you can transmit a one megabyte
> > picture in seconds on the HF bands using only 300 baud. To get it down to
> > seconds requires data compression/encryption techniques that can reduce the
> > data by a 1000 fold.

break
>
> Obviously, images can be transmitted by digital modes as well as the
> analog method of SSTV.
>
> Is that a good way of transmitting the image? It can be. Seems I've
> heard about a digital image transmission mode.
>
> Does that make the Analog SSTV mode obsolete? No more obsolete than SSB
> or FM. It is a fairly quick mode, and with a computer is now
> inexpensive, and fun.

Analog SSTV is obsolete, as is SSB clearly, FM might be.

Obselete doesn't mean useless
>
> I'm looking forward to an education on the modes from someone with
> technical savvy. Mr Smith?
>
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

107. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:32:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LTgxe.5925$Od4.1566858@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111469

Mike:

Yes, that quite well proves you don't even have a clue where to begin
and what would be a practical method to accomplish it...

... don't feel alone, these ancient brain deads here are in the same
boat and have ran off and pissed off all those who can do such
things...

... at first I just thought you guys were probably not interested in
video conferance by radio--now I find out you are simply unable and
even lack the basic concept of how it is done!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:isCdnU36hMxCCljfRVn-qg@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:da3fpn$17om$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:%L1xe.63$Es4.6006879@news.sisna.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dee wrote, "... only fax and SSTV have a small enough band width
>>>>>to be practical."
>>>>>
>>>>>That is not only a ridiculous statement, it is preposterous and
>>>>>shows a total lack of knowledge of the state of data compaction.
>>>>>
>>>>>However, it proves you are not aware of what is technically
>>>>>possible and therefore are in a poor position to advise or inform
>>>>>others and, the sorry state of amateurs technical savvy in
>>>>>general!
>>>>>
>>>>>John
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Ok then, show me the math that demonstrates you can transmit a one
>>>>megabyte picture in seconds on the HF bands using only 300 baud.
>>>>To get it down to seconds requires data compression/encryption

>>>>techniques that can reduce the data by a 1000 fold.
>>>
>>>Obviously, images can be transmitted by digital modes as well as
>>>the analog method of SSTV.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Digital images would have to be compressed/encrypted also to get
>> the bandwidth down to acceptable ranges for HF and we're still
>> talking on the same order of magnitude to do so. Even with a fast
>> broadband internet connection, I've seen some material that still
>> takes a noticeable time to download.
>>
>>
>>>Is that a good way of transmitting the image? It can be. Seems I've
>>>heard about a digital image transmission mode.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Isn't most of the stuff off the internet (mpg comes to mind)
>> digitally encoded. Even on my broadband connection it will
>> occasionally jerk and pause. If you have to slow it down to 300
>> baud for the HF bands so as not to consume too much bandwidth, that
>> would become even jerkier.
>
> Very true.
>
> Accepted minimum rate for a recognizable "talking head" type video
> is 32Kbit/second.
>
> I made a test jpg image @ 640 by 480, level 5 (unacceptable for me,
> but others may find that okay) Typical scene, some amateurs sitting
> around a radio, no large expanses of sky. It was 553.6 Kbits.
>
> Assuming that the transmission rate would be similar to Packet radio
> at .3Kbit/second it is obvious that video would be impossible to do
> live, and grossly impractical to do as say an mpeg.
>
> That 640 by 480 jpeg might be within the realm of feasibility at
> just around 31 minutes. Note that this does not include error
> checking lags. And there will be errors.
>
> Note that these are very rough calculations.
>
>>
>>>Does that make the Analog SSTV mode obsolete? No more obsolete than
>>>SSB or FM. It is a fairly quick mode, and with a computer is now
>>>inexpensive, and fun.
>>>
>>>I'm looking forward to an education on the modes from someone with

>>>technical savvy. Mr Smith?
>>>
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> I doubt if he's got the technical savvy. Simple arithmetic shows
>> the inherent problems.
>
> Yeah, like I said 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

108. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 19:53:32 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120247612.268841.96160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Secret child raper and cocksucker
Saggytits Lee(SLee@houston-hunksoshit.com) whined:
> On 1 Jul 2005 04:46:14 -0700, Secwet Woger, Chucklehead wrote:

"Secwet Woger" - Saggytits makes good spells!

> :
> : You stupid son of a bitch...you just know how they send those black ops
> : helicopters out when the CIA has to investigate, don't they.
>
> I <Saggytits' admission he makes unsubstantiated statements in posts)

You don't know your fat ass from a hole in the ground. You can't even
find the Marshall County West Virginia ARES website, much less have any
"inside information. Oh, and your usual source, the "Star" newspaper
doesn't count.

> And thanks (More backpedaling and excuses coupled with "quit calling me a stupid son of a bitch, even though I am one" snivel)

No problem, asshole. When you whine about what I call you I know I've
made you whine, yet again and you lose.

>
> : BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..like when they have nothing else important to do
> : but such "important" work as monitoring anonymous remailers.
>
> I don't have to

No, but since you have been turned in because you have ties to Islamic
terrorists, we'll see who gets to see the "interrogation room".....
MWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..........

>
> : BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA......
> : you are a complete fuck up, Saggytits Lee.
>
> Really?

Yes, really. Glad you admit to it. Your admission and confession that
you are a complete total fuck up, accepted.

>
> : BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..You think Roger is behind every other post there,
> : Saggytits. His posts are on there, you just have to know which ones
>

> I really don't care (more lies and sniveling excuses)

Then why did you bring it up? Oh, that's right you always bring up
irrelevant data when you don't have anything important to say. That
must be why what you say is so inconsequential all the time.

> : I wonder how long Stan Lawson will have his hosting services after Net
> : Access Corporation the parent server shuts it off?
>
> It seems that someone "pwetending" to be Woger Wiseman

Poor Saggytits, been sucking too many kiddie dicks and has that
cocksucking lisp again. Of, course, Old Saggytits, forgets to mention
how he sucked his own grandkid off. That is why he has a criminal
record for child molestation.

> repeatedly threatened to TOS various web boards shortly before they were > in fact TOSed.

Seems that it would be you doing that. Oh, and didn't Lardass and his
pedophile friend Holestain get boards TOSed?

And let's not forget how you whined to Steve Gielda to try to get Roger
TOSEd. You are quite the hypocrite, Saggytits.

> That's why Woger is in web board jail.

Nope, only you when you impersonate him, Saggytits. His posts are on
the board, dumbass. You just gotta know how to look for them. Nice to
see you still flaunt your cocksucking lisp, though.

> : > > Nice to see you value his words so. Think the CIA is still running
> : > > "anonymous remailers?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
> : >
> : > Some posters in alt.privacy.anon-server seem to think so.
> :
> : Sure they do, sure they do. <guffaw> Just like you claimed that the
(aww, Poor Saggytits snipped those hurtful words away, are you going to
cry, Saggytits?)

[restore]
<guffaw> Just like you claimed that the
Marshall County, Alabama Ares website was the one for the Marshall
County, West Virginia Ares group....just more lack of credibility on
your part. But what else is new.
[end restore]

> Indeed (BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Poor Saggytits, he lives in a little fantasy dream world)

Wrong again, Saggytits. We won't bother asking you you for any
documentation, because like Nursie, you'll never produce any. Sort of
like how when it was discovered that the "International Radio License
issued by the UN" was discovered to be pure bullshit, you tried to
cover it up with "made you look."

Poor Saggytits, he's too chickenshit to post under his real name, since
he's afraid that somebody will knock his lights out in person. Isn't
that right, you yellow son of a bitch?
P.S. Keep thinking everybody is Roger. It makes you look even more
stupid than you already do.

> [Guvf yvar vagragvbanyyl yrsg oynax.]

Poor Saggytits, using those obsolete methods to hide his comments, too
chickenshit to really say anything. But that's what his whole family
has done for years. White trash wigger trailer trash from TexASS.

--
Dave Heil, aspiring wannabe actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722...@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.wo...@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

109. Saggytits Lee is she/he nursie? He quoted something said to Nursie! Looks like Saggytits Lee is really Nursie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:07:03 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120248423.559670.317430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111463

Steve Jackoff Robberson
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

You have to feel so sorry for his hog wife Amy....NOT! She must have
been really desperate to marry somebody with such a receding hairline
and fat gut as Nursie. Nursie looks kind of like a weasel, too.
I bet Taelor was glad to get away from Nursie and the way Nursie was
diddling her. Well, she was born with birth defects, the main one
having Nursie as a daddy. Poor little bitch started out with bad genes
to begin with.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

Before Nursie lived in Winchester he lived:

Nursie: 50 01/01/1955
Amy : 41 01/01/1964

890 GEORGIA CROSSING RD
WINCHESTER, TN 37398

Before then, Nursie lived:

STANDEFER CIR
DUNLAP, TN 37327
423-949-4658

110. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:08:48 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <vkwu0qfhwo34a5f.010720051308@kirk>
Source record: 111464

if you cannot impress one with your mind, logic, social abilities,
current education level and social skills-SHOW THEM YOUR
HAM-AMATEUR-HOBBY LICENSE, that will stand them on their ears, if that
fails-tell them you know cw and it is radio equivalent of kung fu

i think i feel ill

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:462dnUdVzfAsGVjfRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da3duq$12fa$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we
>>>>NED
>>>>to upgrade, just at at your own words.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Nope, never told anyone that they need to upgrade just for
>>> upgrading's sake. I have however helped them learn what privileges
>>> are available should they choose to do so.
>>
>> Interesting discussion. Interseting implications here. In running
>> the GOTA station at Field Day, and in encouraging new folks to come
>> up and use the club station, I am often extolling the benefits of
>> upgrading to Technicians.
>>
>> People who read into that that I am somehow prejudiced against the
>> Tech's have an active imagination.
>>
>> Of course there are benefits to upgrading. Sounds like some people
>> *don't want* that to get out. Seems like now we're hearing that
>> encouragement to upgrade is chasing people away.
>>
>> In addition, this has become an argument that those of us who work
>> with the Technicians and encourage them to increase their license
>> level somehow disdain those we encourage. Almost as if the tutors
>> in hagh school hate 11th graders because they help them pass their
>> courses so they can become 12th graders.

>>
>> Good luck with that one guys!
>>
>> Maybe we are just supposed to ignore the Technicians, because
>> anything we do appears to "chase them away".
>>
>> Talk to 'em about Ham radio - chase 'em away
>>
>> Don't talk to 'em - chase 'em away.
>>
>> Encourage 'em - chase 'em away.
>>
>> Uh-huh.......
>>
>>
>> Funny what happens when people try to defend a bogus argument.
>> _______________________________
>>
>> We don't hate the Tech's
>>
>> If they want to upgrade, we are there to help.
>>
>> Sometimes expressing why they might want to learn something that
>> they think they have no use for in order to get there is helpful.
>>
>> And BTW, the reaction to simple questions tells more about the
>> "reactee" than it does the person posing the question.
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Well said, Mike.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

111. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:13:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wthxe.2744$8f7.1987@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111465

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

> So does freebanding and pirate stations.. ;^)

I'll ignore that remark, because I suspect you're an educated man who understands the difference between freedom and anarchy.

The proposal (have your read it?) places great emphasis on responsibility and accountability, the handmaidens of freedom.

73, de Hans, K0HB

112. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:16:37 UTC
From: John Smith <pocketstimulation@handson.nut>
Message-ID: <1120249038.31cc5be9756fbdd2e765e89a2f219e4b@teranews>
Source record: 111466

Mee two! I must have swallowed too much man chowder at the rest area last night.
>
"Freebander" <freebander@freeband.org> wrote in message news:vkwu0qfhwo34a5f.010720051308@kirk...
> i think i feel ill
>

113. SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:17:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120249035.255906.272260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111467

http://www.alexander.n.se/transmissions.htm

17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator

73 de Jim, N2EY

114. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:22:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120249374.249364.35730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111471

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Too bad you couldn't have tapped into the 300 KWe out of each of
> the 16-cylinder marine diesels running generators at Kashiwa in
> 1954.

Just for clarification:

300 kW = 402 horsepower (assuming 100% efficiency).

Typical 200A 120/240 electric service = 48 kW

115. When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:56:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <d6ixe.6057$Vm4.1818660@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111486

I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes you find that are NOT yours!

John

116. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 20:59:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TbKdnSFGMZBHM1jfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111472

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:LTgxe.5925$Od4.1566858@news.sisna.com...
> Mike:
>
> Yes, that quite well proves you don't even have a clue where to begin and
> what would be a practical method to accomplish it...
>
> ... don't feel alone, these ancient brain deads here are in the same boat
> and have ran off and pissed off all those who can do such things...
>
> ... at first I just thought you guys were probably not interested in video
> conferance by radio--now I find out you are simply unable and even lack
> the basic concept of how it is done!
>
> John
>

OK, SHOW US THE MATH that it can be done on HF within 300 baud. We've already got real time video with audio on VHF and higher but show me it can be done. Explain in detail the encryption/decryption method. And so on.

As an engineer, I can follow the math if you can post it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

117. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:05:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Meixe.6058$xo4.1897572@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111473

Dee:

The only person talking 300 baud is you, I told you to throw away that 300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone modem to your needs.)

Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp the rest...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:TbKdnSFGMZBHM1jfRVn-hQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LTgxe.5925$Od4.1566858@news.sisna.com...
>> Mike:
>>
>> Yes, that quite well proves you don't even have a clue where to
>> begin and what would be a practical method to accomplish it...
>>
>> ... don't feel alone, these ancient brain deads here are in the
>> same boat and have ran off and pissed off all those who can do such
>> things...
>>
>> ... at first I just thought you guys were probably not interested
>> in video conferance by radio--now I find out you are simply unable
>> and even lack the basic concept of how it is done!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> OK, SHOW US THE MATH that it can be done on HF within 300 baud.
> We've already got real time video with audio on VHF and higher but
> show me it can be done. Explain in detail the encryption/decryption
> method. And so on.
>
> As an engineer, I can follow the math if you can post it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

118. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:08:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XeidnQAUPYSQLFjfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 111474

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120245949.046237.283180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

>> >
>> People who read into that that I am somehow prejudiced against the
>> Tech's have an active imagination.
>
> BTW active imaginations are somehting that the ARS needs more of.
> Personaly I thank you for the compliment.
>
> However you thinking that tell Techs again what they already know isn't
> insulting, and insulting someone becuase of their lisense class is
> showing a prejudice against the members of the class
>
>

And how do you know that the Techs remember everything that they have ever learned? That ability would give them superhuman powers that no other class of hams has ever had.

No one can remember everything they've learned, studied, practiced. This last Field Day was an example. I was operating the VHF station and explaining to Extras how it was possible to have propagation from Michigan to south Texas on 6 meters and 2 meters. Now, they studied this along their licensing path but without having used it, they have forgotten. Is it condescending to answer questions? I don't think so.

All that I do is answer questions that they ask and make myself readily accessible for them to ask questions. For those areas outside my areas of expertise, I send them to some one who does know. None of us goes around trying to force feed them.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

119. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:14:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hMmdnatZgZ7AL1jfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 111475

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Meixe.6058$xo4.1897572@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> The only person talking 300 baud is you, I told you to throw away that 300
> baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone modem to your
> needs.)
>
> Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp the
> rest...
>
> John

Well it just happens to be against the rules to use higher than 300 baud on HF so that is the limit that the data/video/audio signal must fit within. There is a very good reason for that limit. The higher the baud rate, the greater the bandwidth required, and the fewer users can fit on the band. And eventually you hit a baud rate where the required bandwidth is such that one signal won't stay within the upper and lower band edges.

Now if you're talking VHF, it's already been done and your "bright, new minds" are a day late and a dollar short.

Since you don't understand that, you certainly won't grasp the rest....

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

120. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:15:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Snixe.2750$8f7.57@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111476

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey, interfernce is interfernce...

Simply classic.

> [14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur
> station from transmitting any communications in which the
> station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary
> interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr.
> Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his
> website, which offers various products for sale, including a
> monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for
> sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on
> December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute
> interview with a person who was considering whether to
> retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by
> Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed
> fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find
> that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated
> Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions
> by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he
> has a pecuniary interest.]
>
>
> Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary interest
> applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the ARRL
> doesn't indirect.

No, the ARRL doesn't do that.

> [16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited
> exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from
> engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way
> transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines
> broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by
> the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded
> seventy-minute interview with a person interested in
> retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
> station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
> impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
> apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
> Rules.]
>
> and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up to

> the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??

Apparently it isn't up the "the station" to "deiced". You didn't
understand it before. You don't understand it now.

> finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW

Your fans? You have fans?

Dave K8MN

121. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:19:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120252776.136847.238360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111477

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> The only person talking 300 baud is you,

No.

The FCC says so too.

> I told you to throw away that
> 300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone
> modem to your needs.)

On most of the HF/MF amateur bands, amateurs in the USA are limited to 300 baud for the transmission of "data".

Now it might be argued that sending .jpgs is an "image" mode, and is only allowed in the 'phone subbands, with correspondingly wider bandwidths. But there's more to it than just hooking a typical computer modem to an SSB rig.

Let's say some hams find a way to fit, say, 14 kbaud into an SSB bandwidth with characteristics that will work on the HF ham bands. And suppose they get FCC to say it's OK and all that. The transmission of a 1 meg .jpg will still take more than a minute with no errorchecking.

> Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp the
> rest...

Try grasping the current regulations, John....

73 de Jim, N2EY

122. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:20:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120252858.069193.160870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111478

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 1 Jul 2005 09:21

>K□B wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>> > But most of us don't have antennas or amplifiers like yours, Hans.
>>
>> There's nothing uncommon about my stations.
>
>I respectfully submit that most US hams don't have antennas like yours,
>Hans. Nor a similar location.

Tsk. Are you now whining over your LACK of antenna and space?

>> > It makes more sense than a free-for-all.
>>
>> "Free-for-all" is an emotionally charged term, calculated to engender visions of
>> a street brawl.
>
>Maybe to you.

...and to me and to any reader having English as a first language...

>> Most of the worlds hams outside the US already enjoy the
>> freedom to use the bands without government-mandated "segment by mode", and I
>> notice no such brawls taking place.
>
>Doesn't mean they don't happen, just that you don't hear them.
>
>Is the USA like the rest of the world in terms of culture? Number of
>hams? Enforcement of regulations?

A glimpse of xenophobia in PA? :-)

Explain these alleged "free-for-alls" taking place in other
countries. Do you have some recordings, in WAV form that all
might hear as a result of your careful, ceaseless monitoring?

>> By and large, hams seem to be a cooperative
>> and responsible population with a good record of self-regulation.
>
>As a group, yes. But in certain specific instances (like 75 meters)
>things are not so rosy.

Then what are YOU - as the monitoring raddio kopp of 34+ years
licensing - DOING ABOUT IT?

All anyone can see in here is your (seeming) never-ending
WHINING about alleged bad operating practices on 'phone.

>> I thought the proposal authors stated that concept quite accurately:
>>
>> "We believe the ideal band plan is one where good judgment on the operator's
>> part supports use of any mode and any frequency available within their license
>> class. Good judgment is centered on cooperative, flexible use of frequencies,
>> with a specific goal of avoiding and/or resolving interference to others at a
>> direct and low level, avoiding escalation and any need for outside enforcement.
>
>Sounds nice. Now tell it to those running robot pactor stations. Or
>K1MAN..

What have YOU done about "robot pactor stations?"

What have YOU done about "K1MAN?"

All anyone can see is your WHINING about "CW" should have it all.

>> Guided by the use of good judgment, removal of artificial boundaries would
>> encourage dynamic selection of frequency, affording an operator the best chance
>> to minimize compatibility issues with other modes and activities. This would
>> lead to greater band "loading" and improved utilization by allowing an operator
>> to choose a clear spot on the dial across a greater frequency range.
>
>What amounts to "a clear spot on the dial" varies with mode. All I need
>for CW is a couple of hundred Hz. The folks running AM or hi-fi SSB
>need 10 to 20 times that much, and their receivers are (of necessity)
>much less selective.

It would seem that Hans Brakob's proposal addressed ALL amateur
radio in the USA...not those tiny few who were molded from the
same casting as James Miccolis.

>> "Intentional interference with communications is a violation of the regulations,
>> independent of the mode in use, and whether automatic, semi automatic, or
>> manually keyed. Sanctions would continue to be available against deliberate
>> interference or problems involving technical signal purity, using volunteer
>> "official observer" type programs. If a documented problem remains chronic or
>> unresolved, the intervention of federal authority would reinforce volunteer OO
>> in self-regulation efforts, as it does today.
>
>Yeah, sure. How is the recipient of interference supposed to identify
>the source?

How do YOU "identify the source" NOW?

>> "Automatic or semi automatic data operation not copied by the human ear becomes
>> of particular concern under our proposal, since the activity would be
>> unencumbered by subband.
>
>That alone makes it a bad idea.

WHY? Explain. Show your work.

>> This group of users would have a specific challenge to
>> maintain the good judgment pre-requisite by making certain their
>> telemetry-polling systems recognize the presence of other modes and activities
>> and avoiding interference to other communications.
>
>They can't even make that happen today. So we reward them by giving
>them the whole band to play in?

YOU already have the "whole band to play in."

If, like your previous boasting, you can "work through" in the
midst of QRM with your beloved "CW" and not be worried. Why are
you whining about "rewards" for others?

>> Chronically failing to do so
>> would remain an actionable violation under existing rules against deliberate
>> interference, since it could be shown such judgment had not been exercised.
>
>*If* they can even be identified!

You are unable to "identify" QRM YOU get? Tsk, tsk. All that
amateur radio time as an extra, plus two degrees, and you can't
figure it out?

>> "We contend that the goal of voluntary selection of operating frequencies for
>> improved spectrum use is best achieved through real-time assessment of variables
>> in propagation and radio traffic load. Efforts to improve spectrum use are
>> currently constrained because these variables cannot be accommodated with
>> fulltime, rigidly defined sub-bands.
>
>Sure they can, the authors of the proposal just don't want to.

Tsk. Jimmie is angry because he can't have HIS special place in
the spectrum sandbox!

>--
>
>Let's get down to what this proposal is really all about:

Yeah! It's all about DISPLEASING Jimmie!

>1) More room for the 'phone folks/less for the CW & data folks

The "room" for "CW folks" was NOT shrunk.

Allowing more elbow room for Voice is a "crime?"

>2) Less constraint on the robot-data-mode folks

Let NO ONE disturb the HUMAN ROBOT MODE "CW" ops!

>It's all gussied up with fancy, emotional verbiage like "real-time
>assessment of variables in propagation and radio traffic load" but the
>above two things are what it's really all about.

Oooooo! "Gussied up!" How terrible! :-)

>What it also amounts to is *rewarding* the use of
>spectrally-inefficient modes. IOW, if the 'phone band is crowded, try
>CW, PSK31 or some other mode that doesn't need so much spectrum!

"The World According to Gorp." :-)

Gorp. Jimmie is on the Trail, mixing metaphors in the bag,
raisin the dead with salty peanuts.

The longer-for-the-past should realize that the FIRST "CW"
Spark, had all the bandwidth of nearly an entire band... :-)

>> "Additionally, contemporary technology offers interference protection at the
>> receiver to an extent not possible 60 years ago, when protection was implemented
>> by regulatory mandate to divide "phone" and "code" activity. Technology and
>> patterns of use now encourage the more effective coordination that we propose."
>
>So we all need new rigs with all the bells and whistles.

Tsk. You only need to polish your bells and clean your
whistles...and quit blowing that dirty whistle...your pea
is bobbling and giving you a distorted tone.

YOU are the double-degreed engineer in here...YOU give the
"amateur community" the guidance it needs in technology.

YOU said you were a "radio manufacturer," let's see YOU produce
the adapter kits...?

>The separation of modes is a lot older than 60 years ago, too. It
>derives from a whole bunch of reasons.

List those "reasons." Show your work.

The "separation of modes" is no older than 71 years, the
creation of the FCC by the Communications Act of 1934.

Other than making HF amateur radio solely "CW" (for your
personal benefit and "reward") tell all what YOU would
propose for the OTHERS of the FUTURE?

dot dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

123. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:37:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TIixe.2754$8f7.1729@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111479

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> > But most of us don't have antennas or amplifiers like yours, Hans.

>> There's nothing uncommon about my stations.

> I respectfully submit that most US hams don't have antennas
> like yours, Hans. Nor a similar location.

My location is a suburban city lot on flat terrain in the acknowledge "black hole of propagation". My antennas are typical "Joe Tribander" --- one tribander, one "shorty-forty", usual collection of vertical alcoa and horizontal wire, no stacks, no long booms, modest height (55' telescoping tower with 15 foot mast extension, usually nested at about 40 feet). In a word, pretty average for a moderately active HF'er.

> > It makes more sense than a free-for-all.
>
> "Free-for-all" is an emotionally charged term, calculated to engender visions
> of
> a street brawl.

Maybe to you.

> Most of the worlds hams outside the US already enjoy the
> freedom to use the bands without government-mandated "segment by mode", and I
> notice no such brawls taking place.

Doesn't mean they don't happen, just that you don't hear them.

Is the USA like the rest of the world in terms of culture? Number of hams? Enforcement of regulations?

> By and large, hams seem to be a cooperative
> and responsible population with a good record of self-regulation.

As a group, yes. But in certain specific instances (like 75 meters) things are not so rosy.

> I thought the proposal authors stated that concept quite accurately:
>
> "We believe the ideal band plan is one where good judgment on the operator's
> part supports use of any mode and any frequency available within their license
> class. Good judgment is centered on cooperative, flexible use of frequencies,
> with a specific goal of avoiding and/or resolving interference to others at a
> direct and low level, avoiding escalation and any need for outside

> enforcement.

Sounds nice. Now tell it to those running robot pactor stations. Or
K1MAN..

> Guided by the use of good judgment, removal of artificial boundaries would
> encourage dynamic selection of frequency, affording an operator the best
> chance
> to minimize compatibility issues with other modes and activities. This would
> lead to greater band "loading" and improved utilization by allowing an
> operator
> to choose a clear spot on the dial across a greater frequency range.

What amounts to "a clear spot on the dial" varies with mode. All I need
for CW is a couple of hundred Hz. The folks running AM or hi-fi SSB
need 10 to 20 times that much, and their receivers are (of necessity)
much less selective.

> "Intentional interference with communications is a violation of the
> regulations,
> independent of the mode in use, and whether automatic, semi automatic, or
> manually keyed. Sanctions would continue to be available against deliberate
> interference or problems involving technical signal purity, using volunteer
> "official observer" type programs. If a documented problem remains chronic or
> unresolved, the intervention of federal authority would reinforce volunteer OO
> in self-regulation efforts, as it does today.

Yeah, sure. How is the recipient of interference supposed to identify
the source?
>
> "Automatic or semi automatic data operation not copied by the human ear
> becomes
> of particular concern under our proposal, since the activity would be
> unencumbered by subband.

That alone makes it a bad idea.

> This group of users would have a specific challenge to
> maintain the good judgment pre-requisite by making certain their
> telemetry-polling systems recognize the presence of other modes and activities
> and avoiding interference to other communications.

They can't even make that happen today. So we reward them by giving
them the whole band to play in?

> Chronically failing to do so
> would remain an actionable violation under existing rules against deliberate
> interference, since it could be shown such judgment had not been exercised.

If they can even be identified!

> "We contend that the goal of voluntary selection of operating frequencies for
> improved spectrum use is best achieved through real-time assessment of
> variables

> in propagation and radio traffic load. Efforts to improve spectrum use are
> currently constrained because these variables cannot be accommodated with
> fulltime, rigidly defined sub-bands.

Sure they can, the authors of the proposal just don't want to.

--

Let's get down to what this proposal is really all about:

1) More room for the 'phone folks/less for the CW & data folks

2) Less constraint on the robot-data-mode folks

It's all gussied up with fancy, emotional verbiage like "real-time
assessment of variables in propagation and radio traffic load" but the
above two things are what it's really all about.

What it also amounts to is *rewarding* the use of
spectrally-inefficient modes. IOW, if the 'phone band is crowded, try
CW, PSK31 or some other mode that doesn't need so much spectrum!

>
> "Additionally, contemporary technology offers interference protection at the
> receiver to an extent not possible 60 years ago, when protection was
> implemented
> by regulatory mandate to divide "phone" and "code" activity. Technology and
> patterns of use now encourage the more effective coordination that we
> propose."

So we all need new rigs with all the bells and whistles.

The separation of modes is a lot older than 60 years ago, too. It
derives from a whole bunch of reasons.

73 de Jim, N2EY

124. Re: how did folks do on field day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 21:46:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uQixe.2755$8f7.926@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111480

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Made about 170 CW contacts, all on 80 and 40 meters. With the bonuses,
> should be good for just over 2000 points.
>
> Low-key this year, lots of other things going on, had fun and already
> planning next year. My first FD was 1968 - don't think I've mised one
> since.

I was traveling on Saturday but I spent some late night hours on CW at K4CO, 3A, KY--the Northern Kentucky Amateur Radio Club's site at Taylor Mill, South of Covington in Kenton County.

Dave K8MN

125. Re: how did folks do on field day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:02:28 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FNudnS3JTvQpIFjfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 111481

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:uQixe.2755$8f7.926@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Made about 170 CW contacts, all on 80 and 40 meters. With the bonuses,
>> should be good for just over 2000 points.
>>
>> Low-key this year, lots of other things going on, had fun and already
>> planning next year. My first FD was 1968 - don't think I've mised one
>> since.
>
> I was traveling on Saturday but I spent some late night hours on CW at
> K4CO, 3A, KY--the Northern Kentucky Amateur Radio Club's site at Taylor
> Mill, South of Covington in Kenton County.
>
> Dave K8MN

Don't know if I talked about Field Day yet or not but here goes anyway.

One of our participants got a new personal best for Field Day of 1000 contacts. Our VHF station got 89 contacts from Michigan to Texas and Florida due to a nice 6m band opening Saturday night, which for our club is probably the best that we've ever had. Our club cook did his usual outstanding job. Every year he plans out and takes care of everything for a pack of busy hams. Our Field Day site stayed dry but there were thunderstorms in the general area. So when I took a try at putting messages into the NTS (something new for me), we were battling tremendous static on 80m in our area. I did manage to get 4 messages submitted but they closed the traffic net early due to the static. Now I have a backlog of messages to work off from the group that I collected at work.

A number of club members were impressed with the VHF contacts that we made.

We had wickedly hot weather here for the Field Day. So that cut the attendance some. Many people who normally attend ended up having to work this year on the weekend. That cut the attendance some more. But all in all it was a fine Field Day.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

126. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:13:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120255994.010350.137900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111482

From: "K0HB" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 20:13

>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>> So does freebanding and pirate stations.. ;^)
>
>I'll ignore that remark, because I suspect you're an educated man who
>understands the difference between freedom and anarchy.

I don't think he does. He plays hockey, remember?

dot dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

127. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:15:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120256132.257915.322960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111483

From: "John Smith" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 12:25

>Dee:
>
>My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you cannot
>tell the difference.

Dee is smart. But, her emotional LOVE of "CW" over-rides her
reasoning ability.

>If I send perfect video, encrypted off a DVD you will indeed notice
>that it slows, pauses and is not acceptable for broadcast--however, if
>you encrypt the sound to mp3 and the video to avi it becomes childs
>play for anyone who is technically savvy and results in video and
>audio which is magnitudes faster than SSTV.
>
>Get away from these ancient amateurs who have gone blind and ask where
>it has "ALREADY BEEN BEING DONE FOR A DECADE!!!"

Actually, FOUR decades. The Bell Systems' video telephone.

There's a lot of its history on the Internet. I can dig up
the URL from an archive CD which has digitization of Bell
Labs documents in it...but, it's no use taking the trouble
because the "CW" LOVERS in here won't have any of it.

This dial-up modem I and hundreds of thousands of others are
using sends/receives (full duplex) 56K rates in a 3 KHz BW.
To follow the "simple arithmetic rules" (from Carson's
series equations), the telephone bandwidth "should be"
about 100 KHz! Obviously it isn't. 100 KHz BW down to fit
in a 3 KHz BW! :-)

MPEG4 compression-expansion for real-time video is quite alive
and well on our Comcast cable digital feed. About 230 TV
channels in the bandwidth (digitally encoded) where we had only
about 60+ in analog form. BTW, that includes the DTV already
broadcast which is also on the same digital cable feed...and
DTV already has over 3:1 compression to fit inside an alloted
6 MHz BW. [more pixels than analog equivalent but an exact
number will bring out those nasty nit-pickers who will midsdirect
the thread into some "never ending story" about compression]

Military small-unit field radios have, for two decades, used
digitized VOICE that fits inside a 3 KHz BW, with or without
encryption. Standard COMSEC, either internal (built-in) or
external as a peripheral unit.

There's lots more examples of digitization and compression, from license-free FRS toy walkie-talkies to the 2.4 GHz cordless phones to tens, no hundreds of thousands of WLANs at work and at home, all cramming lots of data into less bandwidth than thought possible...carrying with it real-time video from closed circuit TV cameras and (analog) wide-band music. Hundreds of texts available at Amazon on the subject.

"CW" LOVERS will have NONE of that. Their snarly tones are like the old Spark signals...growly and taking up bandwidth equal to all of 75 meters.

>Standing there looking stupid is no way to go through life girl!

There's no accounting for taste when emotionalism over the narrowbanded amateur "CW" LOVE pushes aside logical reasoning.

None of the "CW" LOVERS in here will have any of it until the ARRL anoints the subject with a papal Sumner blessing. Amen.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

128. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:16:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120256211.984482.313910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111484

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 1 Jul 2005 14:19

>John Smith wrote:

>Try grasping the current regulations, John....

Try GRASPING the concept that "current regulations" can be

>>> C H A N G E D !!! <<<

Sunnuvagun!

With the very bestest rewards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

129. Re: SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:23:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120256581.535753.23530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111485

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.alexander.n.se/transmissions.htm
>
> 17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Wunnerful.

130. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:26:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111487

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

How interesting.

131. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:29:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120256970.507399.302510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Paul Traina wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I used to have novice call WD6BDK back in the mid to late 70s (76-79 I
> think?).
>
> I'm hoping to upgrade from a tech to an extra on Saturday, and I was
> just told I could use my old expired novice call to skip element 1 (the
> 5wpm test). I'd rather not stress over element 1 (I can pass, but...).
>
> If you or someone you know has an old callbook and could look me up and
> scan or fax me a copy of the page, I would be eternally in your debt.
>
> Much thanks,
>
> Paul

Paul, I would guess that the hams most likely to have yellowing copies
of the flying horse are the ones least interested in seeing anyone
bypass another Morse Code exam.

Good luck to you.

bb

132. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:32:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120257135.735720.122450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111489

John Smith wrote:
> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes you
> find that are NOT yours!
>
> John

Quitefine and Darkguard on the loose again?

133. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:34:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ayjxe.6064$mw4.2077851@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111490

bb:

It seems a bit of hanky-panky alright, but this is good, will keep us
on our toes and separate the computer literates from the
illiterates...

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120257135.735720.122450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes
>> you
>> find that are NOT yours!
>>
>> John
>
> Quitefine and Darkguard on the loose again?
>

134. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:35:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <izjxe.14728$pa3.7119@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111491

There it is folks, a disgruntled CBer that couldn't learn the code and
failed his ham test.

So much for Lennie the loser.

(of course now he will deny he actually tried to take the test.....well at
least that is how he remembers it).

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120180810.942910.324910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: "K0HB" on Thurs 30 Jun 2005 17:39
>
>
>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in
>>
>>> Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
>>> have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
>>> neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??
>>
>>We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water
>>too.
>>(I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Oh, my, a numbers coincidence.
>
> Gee whiz, in late summer of 1954, Army station ADA started moving
> to its new site NW of Tokyo. Former airfield about one by two
> miles in size. Running water and everything but the 600 KWe
> generators (two always running, two spares) supplied the electric
> power. Barracks, mess, etc., in a converted hangar at one corner
> of the field. Surrounded by farmers.
>
> Five years later I thought it might be neat to get a ham license
> in addition to the Commercial First 'Phone of 1956. Got up to
> 8 or 9 WPM and wondered what the hell I was wasting all that time
> for? Class D CB had arrived in 1958. I was living in the (then)
> third-largest city in the USA with plenty of folks to talk to
> out of my '53 Austin-Healey sports car.
>
> I'd never "worked CW" (on-off keying radiotelegraphy) in the Big

> Leagues of HF communications...and would never be required to do
> that again. Why mess with then OLD requirements just to please a
> bunch of olde-fahrt radiotelegraphers playing with their hobby
> and very much controlling the ARRL?
>
> I'm still living in a big urban area, now the second-largest city
> in the USA, have done computer-modem communications for 21 years
> (come December), the Internet has been public for 14 years, and
> we've got personal cell phones on 1 GHz that fit in a pocket and
> have text and image capabilities. Both my PC and my wife's each
> have MORE computing power and memory storage than the largest
> mainframes of a quarter century ago. The Internet reaches around
> the world with NO fading/distortion/outages from the ionosphere.
>
> All these AMATEUR radio whizzers say I "MUST" learn morse code
> to pass that (Nobel laureate level?) TEST in order to "show
> dedication and committment to the 'amateur community.'" :-)
>
> <insert the sound of Bill the Cat making pbthththth sounds>
>
> Gotta love these olde-fahrts longing for the "pioneer days of
> radio" (when Kode was King) that they will NEVER ever be a
> part of... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

135. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:36:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120257399.012868.86460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111493

>Apparently it isn't up the "the station" to "deiced". You didn't
>understand it before. You don't understand it now.

I suggest Dave that you go to the FCC site and read for yourself

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

136. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:37:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bBjxe.14731$pa3.13571@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111492

All that wire on the airfield.....must have been ruff landing those
bi-planes.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120190021.341557.267610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
From: "K?B" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 01:47

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>>We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water
>>>too.
>>>(I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>>>
>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> Oh, my, a numbers coincidence.
>>
>> Gee whiz, in late summer of 1954, Army station ADA started moving
>> to its new site NW of Tokyo.
>
>At 14 years old I didn't much give a rats ass about the fact that an Army
>radio
>station was moving to a different spot in Japan. (Come think of it, I
>still
>don't give a rats ass.) I was much more excited about getting electric
>lights
>in our farm buildings and home.

I can understand your "not giving" about others. :-)

Frankly, Scarlet, I don't give a damn for young teeners out in
the boonies suddenly getting ELECTRICITY in 1954! How about that?

Too bad you couldn't have tapped into the 300 KWe out of each of
the 16-cylinder marine diesels running generators at Kashiwa in
1954. Would have lit up your life some...

Of course the main room at Kashiwa transmitter building didn't
have but about 8 transmitters in 1954, there would be 43 Big
Ones in there by 1956 and completion of the move. Not to
mention wire antennas all over the airfield, including full
rhombics. 1 KW minimum, 40 KW maximum RF outputs. Not a single
one of them using on-off keying radiotelegraphy. Sunnuvagun!

When one stood at one end and looked down the row to 150 feet or so in the distance and saw nothing but high power HF transmitters side by side on each side, it was bound to have an impression. Then out in the microwave building with four 24-channel microwave radio relay terminals that were the main link with anyone that HAD to be kept ON 24/7. [not to mention the old carrier bays]

Perhaps not as much as suddenly getting electricity where one had nothing but wind-charged batteries but then that's us "city boy sissies" I'm sure you'd apply. Life must have been extraordinarily TOUGH way, way out on the farm. You have my sympathies. Nothing else. Just sympathies. :-)

dot dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

137. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:41:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bPednZcYgos0W1jfRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111494

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>So does freebanding and pirate stations.. ;^)
>
>
> I'll ignore that remark, because I suspect you're an educated man who
> understands the difference between freedom and anarchy.

Just a little zinger, Hans. 8^)

> The proposal (have your read it?) places great emphasis on responsibility and
> accountability, the handmaidens of freedom.

Yup, I read it. I have mixed thoughts about it. I don't know that the present system is "broken", but I wouldn't mind having those robot stations all over the band instead of just the lower portions. Maybe they would be less likely to knock us PSK31 people off the air..

- Mike KB3EIA -

138. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:41:54 UTC
From: Paul Traina <paul.traina@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120257714.542661.35020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thanks, unfortunately W5YI doesn't have a complete set, they're missing 1975-1983 apparently.

I a friend of a friend claims he's got a 1979 callbook with my callsign in it, but he's neither fax nor scanner enabled, so I'm still looking.

139. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:42:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <mFjxe.14734$pa3.7535@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111496

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120239876.008096.30180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 1, 12:23 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> I thought it might be neat to get a ham license
>>> in addition to the Commercial First 'Phone of 1956. Got up to
>>> 8 or 9 WPM and wondered what the hell I was wasting all that time
>>> for?
>>
>>Thank you for confirming something I have suspected for a long time
>>now, Len.
>
> What...you've NEVER seen my statement BEFORE? :-)
>
> Do the math. 1959 was how long ago? FORTY-SIX years.
>
> Let's see...in 1959 I was three years from leaving a MAJOR
> HF communications complex, a part of ACAN that had existed
> since 1942 and had changed its name to STARCOM. Worldwide
> network of HF stations...running TTY and Voice...NO "CW."
> Big Time in HF.
>
> So, I'm supposed to get into the "cutting edge of amateur
> technology" by LEARNING/TESTING FOR RADIOTELEGRAPHY?!?!?
>
> Wow...talk about being BRAIN DEAD in PA!
>
> And now..."you've JUST suspected it?" :-) :-) :-)
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> [to use a message device beloved by your buddie, the TN
> Talibanian...who does that frequently]
>
> Class D CB was a year old back in 1959, I had a nice,
> conversion-finished Austin-Healey sports car in Greater L.A.
> which then had a population of about 6 million and was
> considered to be the aerospace capital of the USA doing high-
> tech electronics, was seriously considering changing my
> major from illustration to engineering...and I was "supposed"
> to be REGRESSING TO RADIOTELEGRAPHY in order to show
> "dedication and committment to the ham community"?!?!?!?!?

>
> Wow, yeah, I could "get my very own radio station" and get
> "my very own callsign" as a radio amateur!!! I was already
> a professional in radio-electronics and had spent three full
> years doing HF radio communications in the military. Ptui.
> I went to Henry Radio in L.A. and bought a Johnson Viking
> Messenger CB that year. Worked great in the aluminum-body
> Austin-Healey. Got my "very own callsign" (11W3725)...
> BWAHAHAHAH...as if that 'meant' anything.
>
> GAVE UP any thought of "showing dedication and committment
> to some amateur community" by learning RADIOTELEGRAPHY as
> "cutting-edge technology" in 1959. I should learn morse
> just to "talk to the rest of the world?" Been there, done
> that 24/7 already.
>
> ...and you "just suspected it!" Just HOW LONG does it take
> to close the synapses in your mind, whiz kid?
>
> By the way, how many children have you parented?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Poor Lennie the loser is a real trip. Military comms and CB radio. Then compares it to ham radio. Bottom line, the only thing they have in common is the fact they operate on HF radio....period.

Bottom line is he couldn't pass the CW test, and gave up. Now we get to listen to him brag about shoving a broom around a transmitter site while a lower ranked enlisted man. BIG DEAL.

Dan/W4NTI

140. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:43:24 UTC
From: Paul Traina <paul.traina@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue. Besides, I bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.

141. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:45:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120257932.723611.7140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111498

>Apparently it isn't up the "the station" to "deiced". You didn't
>understand it before. You don't understand it now.

Here's a a part of the webpage at the FCC website:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html

About Amateur Communications & Operations

The following are answers to frequently asked questions about communications appropriate for the amateur service. See Part 97 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. Part 97, ("rules") for the complete regulations.

One-Way Transmissions

Q. What types of one-way communications are amateur stations authorized to transmit?

A. Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In summary, auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way communications:

1. Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;

2. Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way communications with other stations;

3. Telecommand;

4. Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;

5. Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in, the international Morse code;

6. Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and

7. Telemetry.

Q. What is an "information bulletin"?

A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed only to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service.

Q. Does an amateur station transmitting information bulletins have to share the channel?

A. Yes. Section 97.101 provides that each station licensee and each control operator must cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of the amateur service frequencies. A specific transmitting channel is not assigned for the exclusive use of any amateur station. Section 97.101 also provides that no amateur operator shall cause interference to any radio communication or signal. There is no exemption for amateur stations transmitting for information bulletins.

Q. Is broadcasting prohibited?

A. Yes. Section 97.113 prohibits amateur stations from engaging in any form of broadcasting or in any activity related to program production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes.

Q. Is there an exception to this prohibition?

A. Yes, there is one exception: Communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the event.

Q. What is "broadcasting"?

A. Section 97.3 defines the term "broadcasting," in the context of the amateur service, as a transmission intended for reception by the general public, either direct or delayed.

Todd N9OGL

142. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:46:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bPednZYYgos1WljfRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111499

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> Yes, that quite well proves you don't even have a clue where to begin
> and what would be a practical method to accomplish it...
>
> ... don't feel alone, these ancient brain deads here are in the same
> boat and have ran off and pissed off all those who can do such
> things...
>
> ... at first I just thought you guys were probably not interested in
> video conferance by radio--now I find out you are simply unable and
> even lack the basic concept of how it is done!

Elucidate! I wait.

- Mike KB3EIA -

143. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:47:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bPednZEYgotvWljfRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111500

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> The only person talking 300 baud is you, I told you to throw away that
> 300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone modem to
> your needs.)
>
> Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp the
> rest...

Elucidate! Tell us the manner in which we can do it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

144. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:50:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120258220.470881.137910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111501

to add to that caution. when you are responding to a post you might
look at it to see if it is in the sytle of the author,

Plenety of forging going on these days

John Smith wrote:
> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes you
> find that are NOT yours!
>
> John

145. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:51:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <tNjxe.14738$pa3.6700@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111502

"Ginger Raveir" <qsl@viadaburojerk.com> wrote in message
news:da2dru$78f$0@pita.alt.net...

> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
> core of the hobby.
>
Thats it....bring in the "Ham Radio is a racist organization".

It isn't our fault more folks other than "white" dont join up. I have seen
no obstructions put up to keep them out. So who is at fault here?

Right.

Dan/W4NTI

146. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:54:22 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <yQjxe.14740$pa3.3712@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> Paul, I would guess that the hams most likely to have yellowing copies
> of the flying horse are the ones least interested in seeing anyone
> bypass another Morse Code exam.
>

There's ebay. Someone may be looking to unload stuff like this.
Then you could bring the entire callbook with you and that would
be plenty of evidence. But this will take time.

147. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:55:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <8Rjxe.14742$pa3.8767@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111504

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> >
>>
>> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>>
>> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way decent
> mind.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Thats funny Kim.......experience perhaps?

Dan/W4NTI

148. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:55:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ARjxe.14743$pa3.13773@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111505

```
"Chesty Puller" <abc@abcshegomatey.com> wrote in message
news:da3trj$t2i$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> >
>>>
>>> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>>>
>>> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>> And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way decent
>> mind.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>
>
> I think you pissed her off Dan.
>
>
>
I hope so.

Dan/W4NTI
```

149. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:55:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <76adnZIg045-VFjfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111506

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>The only person talking 300 baud is you,
>
>
> No.
>
> The FCC says so too.
>
>
>>I told you to throw away that
>>300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone
>>modem to your needs.)
>
>
> On most of the HF/MF amateur bands, amateurs in the USA are limited to
> 300 baud for the transmission of "data".
>
> Now it might be argued that sending .jpgs is an "image" mode, and is
> only allowed in the 'phone subbands, with correspondingly wider
> bandwidths. But there's more to it than just hooking a typical computer
> modem to an SSB rig.

> Let's say some hams find a way to fit, say, 14 kbaud into an SSB
> bandwidth with characteristics that will work on the HF ham bands. And
> suppose they get FCC to say it's OK and all that. The transmission of a
> 1 meg .jpg will still take more than a minute with no errorchecking.

Without error checking the resultant picture will almost certainly
not be a picture.

The "fuzziness" of analog signals is a big part of why they work at HF.
A bit of static or other noise might put some white spots or even a line
or two of noise on the SSTV screen, but they can wreck a digital
transmission without error checking.

>
>>Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp the
>>rest...
>
>
> Try grasping the current regulations, John....

So much more than regulations that need grasped. I await the technical aspects of the digital image transmission system.

- Mike KB3EIA -

150. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:56:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <76adnY0g046KV1jfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111507

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "John Smith" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 12:25
>
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you cannot
>>tell the difference.
>
>
> Dee is smart. But, her emotional LOVE of "CW" over-rides her
> reasoning ability.

Not talking about CW.

peace out

- Mike KB3EIA -

151. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:57:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nTjxe.14746$pa3.2885@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111508

My neighbor just got a 7500 NAL to pay. He lives in a falling down trailor
and his wife works to support him.

Oh...he is a Freebander, or should I say...he was a Freebander.

And you call hams stupid?

Dan/W4NTI

"Freebander" <freebander@freeband.org> wrote in message
news:iog26rbfbpcu5du.010720051029@kirk...
> is it possible for a bunch of ancient/decrepit old men to get more anemic,
> senile, ridiculous, loathsome or "dumbed down?"
>
> amateurs take all the prizes when it come to stupidity
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> >
>>>
>>> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>>>
>>> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>> And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way decent
>> mind.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

152. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:57:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <76adnYwg047OV1jfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111509

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 1 Jul 2005 14:19
>
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>
>
>
>>Try grasping the current regulations, John....
>
>
> Try GRASPING the concept that "current regulations" can be
>
> >>> C H A N G E D !!! <<<
>
> Sunnuvagun!

What to? Elucidate. I learned a new word today.

- Mike KB3EIA -

153. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:57:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2Ujxe.6065$Ks4.2003106@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111530

Dee:

I don't know about how the laws cover physics in your neck of the woods, but here it goes like this:

The "bandwidth" of my phoneline just happens to be about 300 hz to 5000 hz (this can vary widely with equip--but the phone company pretty well guarantees this minimum) and, my computer modem uses this "audio bandwidth" to send/recv data at speeds up to 5.7K bytes per second.

Now, I just happen to know a guy with a transceiver which he put mic level jacks on to interface with a transceiver and a USRobotics external 57K modem and set to software flow control and ignore the fact there is NO DC carrier voltage on the line. Since the transceiver he hooked the modem to has a modified audio recv/xmit bandwidth of approx ~100 Hz to ~8K he has PLENTY OF BANDWIDTH.

... now really, a high school student should be able to manage this--indeed, the one I seen did... the USR modem takes care of data compaction and error control--pretty straight forward really... I expect the other hams will "discover" and present this "revolutionary" idea within the next decade. <grin>

... from there it was a simple matter to take/input the audio from/to the transceiver from the computer sound card and do enhanced encryption/compaction by means of software on the digital signals--basically you find very similar in cutting edge technologies--and while I am not 100% certain--I would almost bet it is done nearly 100% in a similar fashion... being a software engineer, I can almost guarantee that part--I am a little less sure about how they implement the hardware and I rather doubt it is an ancient 56K phone modem ROFLOL!!!

Works equally well for data/voice/video. That is all taken care of in software, you simply need to know what type of data you are getting, if you try to interpret voice as text or the opposite--I am sure you can see there would be a problem...

I might add, the first time I seen this done was over five years ago... so really, the hams may have it as quickly as another five years! <innocent look>

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:hMmdnatZgZ7AL1jfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Meixe.6058$xo4.1897572@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> The only person talking 300 baud is you, I told you to throw away
>> that 300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone
>> modem to your needs.)
>>
>> Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp
>> the rest...
>>
>> John
>
> Well it just happens to be against the rules to use higher than 300
> baud on HF so that is the limit that the data/video/audio signal
> must fit within. There is a very good reason for that limit. The
> higher the baud rate, the greater the bandwidth required, and the
> fewer users can fit on the band. And eventually you hit a baud rate
> where the required bandwidth is such that one signal won't stay
> within the upper and lower band edges.
>
> Now if you're talking VHF, it's already been done and your "bright,
> new minds" are a day late and a dollar short.
>
> Since you don't understand that, you certainly won't grasp the
> rest....
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

154. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:58:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120258723.284371.232640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111511

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120245949.046237.283180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> [snip]
>
> >> >
> >> People who read into that that I am somehow prejudiced against the
> >> Tech's have an active imagination.
> >
> > BTW active imaginations are somehting that the ARS needs more of.
> > Personaly I thank you for the compliment.
> >
> > However you thinking that tell Techs again what they already know isn't
> > insulting, and insulting someone becuase of their lisense class is
> > showing a prejudice against the members of the class
> >
> >
>
> And how do you know that the Techs remember everything that they have ever
> learned? That ability would give them superhuman powers that no other class
> of hams has ever had.

Never made that claim, so the rest of the para is garbage

but ALL techs know that HF and it's current required Morse allow for
easier long distance comms than VHF , we are told over and over again.
>
> No one can remember everything they've learned, studied, practiced. This
> last Field Day was an example. I was operating the VHF station and
> explaining to Extras how it was possible to have propagation from Michigan
> to south Texas on 6 meters and 2 meters. Now, they studied this along their
> licensing path but without having used it, they have forgotten. Is it
> condescending to answer questions? I don't think so.

In the case you describe it might or might be condesending. It is
emabarishing to the ARS that extras class operators don't know that,
your question is also unrelated to the topic at hand

A tech may not know ether say 10 m can support a QSO from Michigan to S
texas but he knows that some HF will likely do so
>
> All that I do is answer questions that they ask and make myself readily
> accessible for them to ask questions. For those areas outside my areas of
> expertise, I send them to some one who does know. None of us goes around
> trying to force feed them.

depending on the US in the above the statement may or may not be
truthful

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

155. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:58:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5cOdnUlaWawxV1jfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120257714.542661.35020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks, unfortunately W5YI doesn't have a complete set, they're missing
> 1975-1983 apparently.
>
> I a friend of a friend claims he's got a 1979 callbook with my callsign
> in it, but he's neither fax nor scanner enabled, so I'm still looking.
>

If there is an Office Max or Kinko's in his area, he can go there and get it
scanned and faxed.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

156. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 22:59:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hVjxe.14756$pa3.4359@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111512

Gawd Lennie the loser is so easy. Its like kicking a dog till he yelps.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120187670.846154.286370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI"<DummshitDan@FriedGreenTomatoes.com> on Thurs 30 Jun
> 2005 17:21
>
>
>>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> Kim wrote:
>>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>
>>> Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>No it's not Mike. There are Morse Code haters out there. Lennie the
>>loser is one of the main ones.
>
> "Loser?!?" :-)
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Do you want me to give a precis of what I've WON out of life so
> far or are you content to vent your enlarged spleen of anger
> on what you think I wrote before? I can do that but it only
> arouses more ANGER and assorted assinine abuse from the PCTA
> crowd here.
>
> There is NO "hate" except for all the Coders angry and upset that
> no-coders don't give the Coders the "respect and honor" the Coders
> think they deserve. In AMATEURISM, that small segment of the
> entire radio world.
>
> The U.S. amateur radio morse code TEST should go, Dannie. The
> Coders will go soon enough. The code TEST has outlived its
> usefulness long ago.
>
> The Coslonaut started this thread with a pre-loaded emotional-
> content-wrapped "question." Coslonaut does that from time to
> time, desiring to be a Mover and Shaker in this newsgroup. He

> might mean well (sometimes) but he has bought into the morse
> myths and bravely trying to become an olde-fahrt hamme.
>
> On your other posting -
>
>>Speaking of AC plugs Lennie the loser....how about doing us all a favor
>>and
>>show your "eeee" competence at a level we all know you are at? Stick
>>your
>>index finger and the little finger into the AC plug and write us a
>>technical
>>report on the results.
>
> It's IEEE, not "eeee," Double-Dipped Southern-Fried Dummshit Dannie.
> Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, a professional
> association. Roughly a quarter million members worldwide.
>
> LONG before I joined the IEEE in 1973 I knew better than to "stick
> fingers into an AC socket." Nominal 115 VAC can be LETHAL, Dannie.
>
> Follow the "30-30" rule: Anything above 30 Volts and/or above
> 30 mA source current can cause heart fibrilation and resulting
> death...if the conducting path is through the upper chest area.
>
> At present I can measure the voltage across an AC outlet. With
> precision I would use my 4 1/2 digit DVM (made in France), secondly
> with one of my 3 1/2 digit DVMs (made in China), thirdly with my
> home-built expanded scale voltmeter that is part of a variable
> autotransformer controlled 135 W AC source for measuring power
> supply stability. For just presence or absence of AC voltage, a
> cute little non-contacting capacitive-sensing light/clicker will
> do (made in China, bought at Lowes)...or just plugging in a 115
> V lamp. No problem. I would have listed my AC chart recorder but
> I ran out of paper about 9 years ago and haven't had a need to use
> it since. Got that in a trade for other things even longer ago.
> It still works fine without chart paper but only for short periods
> of about 20 minutes using 8 1/2 x 11 paper.
>
>>Putz.
>
> Now now, Dannie. You are UPSET and wanting to FIGHT with someone.
>
> Did you lose a fight with that quadruplegic down at VFW hall again?
>
> Don't try to "tell" me about radio communications, Dannie, you will
> only make things worse, annoy me, and waste my time (and everyone
> else's). You don't have the semantic/literary skills to outclass
> me. [you never did] The best thing you are able to do in here is
> to copy the antics of the Tennessee Talibanian and none of that is
> any sort of "discussion." Tsk, tsk. I had hoped you were better

> than that, but now you've dashed any optimistic hope with the use
> of ethnic pejoratives that are not your native language.
>
> Go work some DX on HF with CW. It will make you feel better. You
> aren't even third-rate at computer-modem comms.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

157. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:00:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qPCdnVn525SKVljfRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 111514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120257714.542661.35020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks, unfortunately W5YI doesn't have a complete set, they're missing
> 1975-1983 apparently.
>
> I a friend of a friend claims he's got a 1979 callbook with my callsign
> in it, but he's neither fax nor scanner enabled, so I'm still looking.
>

Also if you don't mind paying the fee, the FCC can provide a cofirmation.
But it takes a while to get confirmations of old paper records.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

158. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:00:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cWjxe.14757$pa3.667@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111513

```
"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:2V9xe.2730$cb6.963@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:a00xe.1670$aY6.308@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> > Kim wrote:
>> >
>> >> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> >> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> >>
>> >>>What is more important:
>> >>>
>> >>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>> >>>
>> >>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
> better
>> >>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>> >>>
>> >>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Hi Mike:
>> >>
>> >> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
> really
>> >> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
> anything
>> >> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV,
>> >> etc.
>> >> I
>> >> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
> newsgroup,
>> >> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
> don't
>> >> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>> >> pretty
>> >> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode
>> >> (as
```

> I
>> >> do
>> >> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor
> the
>> >> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>> >> things
>> >> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>> >> "idiots"
>> >> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
> :o).
>> >>
>> >> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and
>> >> I
>> >> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>> >> could
>> >> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
>> >> don't
>> >> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>> >>
>> > Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> >
>>
>> No it's not Mike. There are Morse Code haters out there. Lennie the
>> loser is one of the main ones.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> You're just this side of the CB mentality. Pppfffffftttttttt
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

I wouldn't know Twit. But I guarantee you do.

Dan/W4NTI

159. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:02:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <SXjxe.14758$pa3.12755@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111515

```
"Chesty Puller" <abc@abcshegomatey.com> wrote in message
news:da3ttq$t9t$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:2V9xe.2730$cb6.963@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:a00xe.1670$aY6.308@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> > Kim wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> >> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> >>
>>> >>>What is more important:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>> better
>>> >>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>> >>>
>>> >>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>> >>>
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Hi Mike:
>>> >>
>>> >> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
>> really
>>> >> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
>> anything
>>> >> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV,
>>> >> etc.
>>> >> I
>>> >> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
>> newsgroup,
>>> >> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
>> don't
>>> >> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
```

>>> >> pretty
>>> >> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode
>>> >> (as
>> I
>>> >> do
>>> >> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor
>> the
>>> >> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>>> >> things
>>> >> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>>> >> "idiots"
>>> >> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
>> :o).
>>> >>
>>> >> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and
>>> >> I
>>> >> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>>> >> could
>>> >> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters."
>>> >> I
>>> >> don't
>>> >> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>>> >
>>> > Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>>> >
>>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>> No it's not Mike. There are Morse Code haters out there. Lennie the
>>> loser is one of the main ones.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>> You're just this side of the CB mentality. Pppfffffftttttttt
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>
>
> Hey Dan, when did you start operating CB?
> LOL
>
>
>
I used to fix them. Charged a few bux, met some good people, and some
pure idiots. Talked a bunch of em into getting a ham ticket. Now I talk to
the ex CBers on 2m and HF. Does that count?

Dan/W4NTI

160. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:02:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TIGdnfZwRso4VljfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 111516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this
> way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue. Besides, I
> bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in
> 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.
>

No need to feel guilty. Besides if look at the history of licensing the
earliest requirements were only 5wpm on code.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

161. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:04:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120259080.605591.291480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111517

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 1, 12:23 pm

> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> I thought it might be neat to get a ham license
> >> in addition to the Commercial First 'Phone of 1956.
> >> Got up to
> >> 8 or 9 WPM and wondered what the hell I was wasting
> >> all that time
> >> for?
> >>
> >Thank you for confirming something I have suspected for a
> >long time now, Len.
>
> What...you've NEVER seen my statement BEFORE? :-)

I've never seen that exact story before now, Len. I don't
think you've ever posted that you

"thought it might be neat to get a ham license in addition
to the Commercial First 'Phone of 1956. Got up to 8 or 9
WPM and wondered what the hell I was wasting all that
time for?"

Maybe you did post that before, but I missed it. Easy to do,
considering the amount you post here. You're invited to
show us where you did post that exact story before.

Thanks again for confirming something I have suspected
for a long time now, Len.

162. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:04:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <F_jxe.6066$ZD4.2275491@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111518

Len:

I really don't mind dee, and I give her the benefit of the doubt--she
most likely is intelligent--I refuse judgment this early in the game.

My sharp words are just meant to "spice up" the conversation a bit...
<grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120256132.257915.322960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 12:25
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you cannot
>>tell the difference.
>
> Dee is smart. But, her emotional LOVE of "CW" over-rides her
> reasoning ability.
>
>>If I send perfect video, encrypted off a DVD you will indeed notice
>>that it slows, pauses and is not acceptable for broadcast--however,
>>if
>>you encrypt the sound to mp3 and the video to avi it becomes childs
>>play for anyone who is technically savvy and results in video and
>>audio which is magnitudes faster than SSTV.
>>
>>Get away from these ancient amateurs who have gone blind and ask
>>where
>>it has "ALREADY BEEN BEING DONE FOR A DECADE!!!"
>
> Actually, FOUR decades. The Bell Systems' video telephone.
>
> There's a lot of its history on the Internet. I can dig up
> the URL from an archive CD which has digitization of Bell
> Labs documents in it...but, it's no use taking the trouble
> because the "CW" LOVERS in here won't have any of it.
>
> This dial-up modem I and hundreds of thousands of others are
> using sends/receives (full duplex) 56K rates in a 3 KHz BW.
> To follow the "simple arithmetic rules" (from Carson's
> series equations), the telephone bandwidth "should be"
> about 100 KHz! Obviously it isn't. 100 KHz BW down to fit

> in a 3 KHz BW! :-)
>
> MPEG4 compression-expansion for real-time video is quite alive
> and well on our Comcast cable digital feed. About 230 TV
> channels in the bandwidth (digitally encoded) where we had only
> about 60+ in analog form. BTW, that includes the DTV already
> broadcast which is also on the same digital cable feed...and
> DTV already has over 3:1 compression to fit inside an alloted
> 6 MHz BW. [more pixels than analog equivalent but an exact
> number will bring out those nasty nit-pickers who will midsdirect
> the thread into some "never ending story" about compression]
>
> Military small-unit field radios have, for two decades, used
> digitized VOICE that fits inside a 3 KHz BW, with or without
> encryption. Standard COMSEC, either internal (built-in) or
> external as a peripheral unit.
>
> There's lots more examples of digitization and compression,
> from license-free FRS toy walkie-talkies to the 2.4 GHz cordless
> phones to tens, no hundreds of thousands of WLANs at work and
> at home, all cramming lots of data into less bandwidth than
> thought possible...carrying with it real-time video from closed
> circuit TV cameras and (analog) wide-band music. Hundreds of
> texts available at Amazon on the subject.
>
> "CW" LOVERS will have NONE of that. Their snarly tones are like
> the old Spark signals...growly and taking up bandwidth equal to
> all of 75 meters.
>
>>Standing there looking stupid is no way to go through life girl!
>
> There's no accounting for taste when emotionalism over the
> narrowbanded amateur "CW" LOVE pushes aside logical reasoning.
>
> None of the "CW" LOVERS in here will have any of it until the
> ARRL anoints the subject with a papal Sumner blessing. Amen.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

163. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:09:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <43kxe.6067$iD4.2239640@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111519

N2EY:

I think all my college professors were in agreement on one point--YOU MUST FIRST LEARN THE RULES!!!

But, only so you can effectively break them later--if they were not in agreement with this second part, at least, I find those who are in "the real world." However, that is NOT to suggest hams live in the real world... <grin>

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1120252776.136847.238360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> The only person talking 300 baud is you,
>
> No.
>
> The FCC says so too.
>
>> I told you to throw away that
>> 300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone
>> modem to your needs.)
>
> On most of the HF/MF amateur bands, amateurs in the USA are limited
> to
> 300 baud for the transmission of "data".
>
> Now it might be argued that sending .jpgs is an "image" mode, and is
> only allowed in the 'phone subbands, with correspondingly wider
> bandwidths. But there's more to it than just hooking a typical
> computer
> modem to an SSB rig.
>
> Let's say some hams find a way to fit, say, 14 kbaud into an SSB
> bandwidth with characteristics that will work on the HF ham bands.
> And
> suppose they get FCC to say it's OK and all that. The transmission
> of a
> 1 meg .jpg will still take more than a minute with no errorchecking.
>
>> Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp

>> the
>> rest...
>
> Try grasping the current regulations, John....
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

164. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:11:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <r4kxe.14761$pa3.8384@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111520

```
"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:_T9xe.2729$cb6.1262@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:l%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> > news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> >> What is more important:
>> >>
>> >> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>> >>
>> >> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
> better
>> >> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>
>> >
>> > Hi Mike:
>> >
>> > I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
>> > really
>> > wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
>> > anything
>> > digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
> I
>> > think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
>> > newsgroup,
>> > except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just
> don't
>> > wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
> pretty
>> > much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as
> I
>> > do
>> > anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor
>> > the
>> > tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>> > things
```

>> > in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>> > "idiots"
>> > on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms
> :o).
>> >
>> > For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>> > learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
> could
>> > you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I
>> > don't
>> > think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>> >
>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just have to
>> comment.....
>>
>> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means Slow
>> Scan
>> TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.
>>
>> Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".
>>
>> Amazing.....and she has a license?
>>
>> Amazing.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> I haven't had you deep-sixed since I began persuing the newsgroup again,
> Wonder-4-Not-Too-Intelligent. And, as usual, you're still the asshole you
> have always been.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
Thank you for the compliment W5TWIT.

Dan/W4NTI

165. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:13:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <J6kxe.6068$nF4.2337816@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111521

oh yeah, it will be real difficult to forge style! I bet I can quickly
scan your last 25 posts and come up with a "style imitation" good
enough to fool even you! ROFLOL

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120258220.470881.137910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> to add to that caution. when you are responding to a post you might
> look at it to see if it is in the sytle of the author,
>
> Plenety of forging going on these days
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes
>> you
>> find that are NOT yours!
>>
>> John
>

166. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:20:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <adkxe.14763$pa3.8667@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111522

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> How interesting.
>
Your just jealous that you can't copy it.

Dan/W4NTI

167. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:25:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9ikxe.6069$hz4.2184261@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111524

I also might add that with "DVD Decryptor" and "AutoGK" you can
compact a full 2+ hour DVD to a regular 650 meg cdrom which is
playable via windows media player on virtually ANY windows computer
having minimal specifications.

Indeed, it may help some to play with this as it really gives one a
picture into the power of the medium which is being missed by hams...
as they stoke their vibroflex's...

... the terms "DVD Decryptor", AutoGk, Divx and avi will provide much
enlightenment...

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:2Ujxe.6065$Ks4.2003106@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> I don't know about how the laws cover physics in your neck of the
> woods, but here it goes like this:
>
> The "bandwidth" of my phoneline just happens to be about 300 hz to
> 5000 hz (this can vary widely with equip--but the phone company
> pretty well guarantees this minimum) and, my computer modem uses
> this "audio bandwidth" to send/recv data at speeds up to 5.7K bytes
> per second.
>
> Now, I just happen to know a guy with a transceiver which he put mic
> level jacks on to interface with a transceiver and a USRobotics
> external 57K modem and set to software flow control and ignore the
> fact there is NO DC carrier voltage on the line. Since the
> transceiver he hooked the modem to has a modified audio recv/xmit
> bandwidth of approx ~100 Hz to ~8K he has PLENTY OF BANDWIDTH.
>
> ... now really, a high school student should be able to manage
> this--indeed, the one I seen did... the USR modem takes care of
> data compaction and error control--pretty straight forward really...
> I expect the other hams will "discover" and present this
> "revolutionary" idea within the next decade. <grin>
>
> ... from there it was a simple matter to take/input the audio
> from/to the transceiver from the computer sound card and do enhanced
> encryption/compaction by means of software on the digital
> signals--basically you find very similar in cutting edge
> technologies--and while I am not 100% certain--I would almost bet it

> is done nearly 100% in a similar fashion... being a software
> engineer, I can almost guarantee that part--I am a little less sure
> about how they implement the hardware and I rather doubt it is an
> ancient 56K phone modem ROFLOL!!!
>
> Works equally well for data/voice/video. That is all taken care of
> in software, you simply need to know what type of data you are
> getting, if you try to interpret voice as text or the opposite--I am
> sure you can see there would be a problem...
>
> I might add, the first time I seen this done was over five years
> ago... so really, the hams may have it as quickly as another five
> years! <innocent look>
>
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:hMmdnatZgZ7AL1jfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:Meixe.6058$xo4.1897572@news.sisna.com...
>>> Dee:
>>>
>>> The only person talking 300 baud is you, I told you to throw away
>>> that 300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone
>>> modem to your needs.)
>>>
>>> Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp
>>> the rest...
>>>
>>> John
>>
>> Well it just happens to be against the rules to use higher than 300
>> baud on HF so that is the limit that the data/video/audio signal
>> must fit within. There is a very good reason for that limit. The
>> higher the baud rate, the greater the bandwidth required, and the
>> fewer users can fit on the band. And eventually you hit a baud rate
>> where the required bandwidth is such that one signal won't stay
>> within the upper and lower band edges.
>>
>> Now if you're talking VHF, it's already been done and your "bright,
>> new minds" are a day late and a dollar short.
>>
>> Since you don't understand that, you certainly won't grasp the
>> rest....
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

168. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:26:27 UTC
From: John Smith <pocketstimulation@handson.nut>
Message-ID: <1120260425.b562cfa728ebed3004ab9fa1207441f3@teranews>
Source record: 111523

Well, if a guy is savvy enough...

You can just grab a mail2news server and a email remailer--set 'em up
and you are anonymous...

John
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:d6ixe.6057$Vm4.1818660@news.sisna.com...
> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes you find
> that are NOT yours!
>
> John
>
>

169. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:29:14 UTC
From: John Smith <pocketstimulation@handson.nut>
Message-ID: <1120260588.d389199b1c9a6b2e024a5f891915e275@teranews>
Source record: 111525

```
An even better way to setup anonymity is with mail2news and a remailer,
it takes a bit more knowledge and work but is worth it in the long run.
A google should supply one with all necessary details and tools.

John
>
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Ayjxe.6064$mw4.2077851@news.sisna.com...
> bb:
>
> It seems a bit of hanky-panky alright, but this is good, will keep us on
> our toes and separate the computer literates from the illiterates...
>
> John
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120257135.735720.122450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes you
>>> find that are NOT yours!
>>>
>>> John
>>
>> Quitefine and Darkguard on the loose again?
>>
>
>
```

170. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:34:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pqkxe.6070$UH4.2371654@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111526

Dee:

I should point out, with divx/avi compression a 3 minute color video
of relatively good quality is easily obtained in 1 mb or less... a BW
is much, much smaller

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Meixe.6058$xo4.1897572@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> The only person talking 300 baud is you, I told you to throw away
> that 300 baud modem and get a decent one (or revamp an old phone
> modem to your needs.)
>
> Since you didn't even understand that, you certainly won't grasp the
> rest...
>
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TbKdnSFGMZBHM1jfRVn-hQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:LTgxe.5925$Od4.1566858@news.sisna.com...
>>> Mike:
>>>
>>> Yes, that quite well proves you don't even have a clue where to
>>> begin and what would be a practical method to accomplish it...
>>>
>>> ... don't feel alone, these ancient brain deads here are in the
>>> same boat and have ran off and pissed off all those who can do
>>> such things...
>>>
>>> ... at first I just thought you guys were probably not interested
>>> in video conferance by radio--now I find out you are simply unable
>>> and even lack the basic concept of how it is done!
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>
>> OK, SHOW US THE MATH that it can be done on HF within 300 baud.
>> We've already got real time video with audio on VHF and higher but
>> show me it can be done. Explain in detail the

>> encryption/decryption method. And so on.
>>
>> As an engineer, I can follow the math if you can post it.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

171. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:54:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jZCdnQLnqatVSljfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 111527

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9ikxe.6069$hz4.2184261@news.sisna.com...
>I also might add that with "DVD Decryptor" and "AutoGK" you can compact a
>full 2+ hour DVD to a regular 650 meg cdrom which is playable via windows
>media player on virtually ANY windows computer having minimal
>specifications.
>

And how does that allow it to be transmitted over the amateur bands in a useful time period??? 650 meg is still far more than can be sent over the HF bands in any practical amount of time.

> Indeed, it may help some to play with this as it really gives one a
> picture into the power of the medium which is being missed by hams... as
> they stoke their vibroflex's...
>

It may help you to calculate the transmission time of 650 meg at only 300 baud. And don't tell me the rules can be changed. As I said before, that's not practical as the speed that would be required to do the type of transfer that you want at any bearable speed would wipe out an entire band or more.

So tell us the magic encryption scheme that lets you transfer that data in a matter of minutes.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

172. Re: Saggytits Lee is she/he nursie? He quoted something said to Nursie! Looks like Saggytits Lee is really Nursie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-01 23:59:19 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.woves.me.yes.he.do@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111528
Cross-posted to: alt.fag-lamer.roger-wiseman.chucklehead,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 Jul 2005 13:07:03 -0700, Secwet Woger, Chucklehead breathlessly gasped:
[nothing important]

Nope. Wrong again, Chucklehead.

But remember: As you crawl underneath your bed to go to sleep this
evening (and thereby prevent the ceiling monsters from dropping down
onto you), I see everything you do by means of the ultra wide band,
wall penetrating radar which Schwartz installed in your neighborhood.

And it's really disgusting.

[Guvf yvar vagragvbanyyl yrsg oynax.]

173. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 00:23:24 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.loves.me.yes.he.do@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fag-lamer.roger-wiseman.chucklehead,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
On 1 Jul 2005 12:53:32 -0700, Secwet Woger, Chucklehead wrote:
:
: Poor Saggytits, he's too chickenshit to post under his real name, since
: he's afraid that somebody will knock his lights out in person. Isn't
: that right, you yellow son of a bitch?

Oh, the irony!

[Guvf yvar vagragvbanyyl yrsg oynax.]

====================
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled
his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still
can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."
```

174. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:05:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eLlxe.2819$8f7.2254@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111531

Leo wrote:

> At the moment, you are at a crossroads.
>
> To be free, you must decide.
>
> Status quo - or change.
>
> 44, Leo

As a casual observer, "Leo", it would appear that you have no free will.
You seem compelled to respond to each and every one of Jim's posts.

Dave K8MN

175. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:19:30 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9686D91282CBelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 111532

kelly@dvol.com wrote in
news:1120203261.429598.137090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>
>> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out of
>> > the 'phone/image subbands.
>>
>> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
>> transmit. In other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a
>> concept!
>
> But would U.S. phone ops VOLUNTARILY stay up the bands and out of the
> segments historically inhabited by the CW and digital users if they
> were not restrained from doing so?
>
> Not in our lifetimes.
>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> w3rv
>
>

Yes and no. 99.99% of us would stay within the IARU bandplan, but that
includes large swathes of phone spectrum where we aren't allowed to go by
the current FCC rules. Voluntary means we get to choose the bandplan, and I
would choose IARU Region 2, not ARRL.

176. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:22:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L%lxe.2826$8f7.867@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111533

N9OGL wrote:

...and FYI I don't mooch of my parents I've done pretty
> well for myself in the stock market.

I'll bet that living in their home past your mid-thirties has allowed
you to set aside a tidy sum for investing in the market, eh Todd?

Dave K8MN

177. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:24:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <P1mxe.6073$TD4.2269341@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111620

Dee:

Are you arguing:
1) That people should not do this?
-or-
2)It is impossible?

It started out that it was impossible--of course that is ridiculous
and only old hams would think it was, the technology has existed and
been in use for at least a decade (and is a prime example of why the
tech savvy young crowd make faces at amateur radio.)

Now you want to start arguing rules--I decline--I have NO TIME for
that... take rules up with the lawyers here, none of that interests
me... I will police myself or suffer the consequences, others only
need worry about themselves...

... why anyone would think 56k is "dangerous" on HF should have their
heads examined... it is the audio bandwidth that is of consequence
here, not rf bandwidth! (with the exception of FM which it can be used
on with even greater speed and success!) Spread Spectrum would be
much faster, 1-100 mb per sec should be no problem and virtually
impossible to detect, if done over a wide enough spectrum...

As far as the hardware, enough information has been given in a
previous post of mine for a computer/radio savvy tech to stick one of
these puppies together on a weekend, any second year college student
in CS/EE should be able to handle the software, this is assuming an
ibm/clone/work-a-like, I have never seen it done on a mac or
mainframe, but possible I am sure...

Now you are just standing there looking silly...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:jZCdnQLnqatVSljfRVn-2A@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9ikxe.6069$hz4.2184261@news.sisna.com...
>>I also might add that with "DVD Decryptor" and "AutoGK" you can
>>compact a full 2+ hour DVD to a regular 650 meg cdrom which is
>>playable via windows media player on virtually ANY windows computer
>>having minimal specifications.
>>
>
> And how does that allow it to be transmitted over the amateur bands

> in a useful time period??? 650 meg is still far more than can be
> sent over the HF bands in any practical amount of time.
>
>> Indeed, it may help some to play with this as it really gives one a
>> picture into the power of the medium which is being missed by
>> hams... as they stoke their vibroflex's...
>>
>
> It may help you to calculate the transmission time of 650 meg at
> only 300 baud. And don't tell me the rules can be changed. As I
> said before, that's not practical as the speed that would be
> required to do the type of transfer that you want at any bearable
> speed would wipe out an entire band or more.
>
> So tell us the magic encryption scheme that lets you transfer that
> data in a matter of minutes.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

178. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:34:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Uamxe.2830$8f7.505@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111534

Mark Morgan, in the guise of an_old_friend wrote:

> and do you even know how many such leures tech receive?

Lures? Leers? Levers?

Dave K8MN

179. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:42:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120268522.108440.9770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111537

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mark Morgan, in the guise of an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > and do you even know how many such leures tech receive?
>
> Lures? Leers? Levers?

All of the above, although lectures was the original intent

jeers and harangs also fit
such as your s of couse too
>
> Dave K8MN

180. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:43:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xjmxe.2833$8f7.2765@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111539

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Ginger Raveir wrote:
>
>
>>Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
>>years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
>>core of the hobby.
>
>
> Old white men also form the core of the U.S. Senate. Ya want the rest
> of the list?
>
> So now what . . . ?
>
> . . . thought so . .
>
> w3rv

I'm white and I'm a man. I'm hoping to be old some day.

Dave K8MN

181. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:44:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120268686.647659.84990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111538

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
>
> > > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay
> > > out of the 'phone/image subbands.
> >
> > Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to transmit. In
> > other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!
>
> But would U.S. phone ops VOLUNTARILY stay up the bands and out of the
> segments historically inhabited by the CW and digital users if they
> were not restrained from doing so?
>
> Not in our lifetimes.

No more than CW opperators stick in the bandplans at VHF during FD I
was having to deal with CW sent well out of the bandplan on 6m
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> w3rv

182. another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:46:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120268787.449458.83110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111540

In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least some region of the band.

Is my impression corect.

183. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:48:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120268894.747988.109720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111541

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in
> news:1120203261.429598.137090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >>
> > >
> > >> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out of
> > >> > the 'phone/image subbands.
> > >>
> > >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
> > >> transmit. In other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a
> > >> concept!
> > >
> > > But would U.S. phone ops VOLUNTARILY stay up the bands and out of the
> > > segments historically inhabited by the CW and digital users if they
> > > were not restrained from doing so?
> > >
> > > Not in our lifetimes.
> > >
> > >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > w3rv
> > >
> > >
>
> Yes and no. 99.99% of us would stay within the IARU bandplan, but that
> includes large swathes of phone spectrum where we aren't allowed to go by
> the current FCC rules. Voluntary means we get to choose the bandplan, and I
> would choose IARU Region 2, not ARRL.

I plead ignorance of the IARU bandplans. Do you have a link to 'em??

Even without seeing them yet I like the concept.

w3rv

184. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:49:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120268978.606952.228000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111542

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > How interesting.
> >
> Your just jealous that you can't copy it.

Why should he be I suspect he could copy it if wants. I suspect I could
if I cared

Lets see I have HF capplable radio, and a PC ya I can likely copy it

>
> Dan/W4NTI

185. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:51:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3rmxe.2836$8f7.194@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111543

Javier Nunez wrote:

> The 160m band is becoming more popular with a.m. pirates.

Sure, it is.

> Last time I was in Cincinatti...

"Cincinnati"

> & Atlanta, I heard pirates in the
> 160m band broadcasting most of the night.

They must be very, very weak pirates if you have to be near Cincinnati
or Atlanta to hear them. I bet the hams in Cincinnati and Atlanta can
take care of 'em in no time.

Dave K8MN

186. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:54:29 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9686DF00345A2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 111545

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>> >> 90% of all Canadians live within 75 miles of the USA, a distance
>> >> trivial to HF propagation.
>>
>> > Within 75 miles of the border, maybe. But not within 75 miles of
>> > most US hams.
>>
>> ????????? I don't live within 75 miles of most US hams either, > but
>> I have evidence that thousands of them hear my signal.
>
> Sure.
>
> But most of us don't have antennas or amplifiers like yours, Hans.
>
>> 2-way HF contacts between VE
>> and W hams also are commonplace, so it seems that problems in
>> the Canadian
>> regulations would be very visible here.
>
> Only if there were enough of them to have such problems. The Canadian
> amateur population (thanks, Leo) is less than 10% of the US amateur
> population.
>>
>> > But they do have arbitrary bandwidth limits.
>>
>> Yup, a single bandwidth applied to the whole band. Not sliced
>> and diced and
>> micromanaged into all manner of itty-bitty pockets, yet allowing > one
>> privileged mode free access to all those so-called protected segments.
>> You > can't really pretend with a straight face that this hodge-podge
>> makes sense!
>
> It makes more sense than a free-for-all.
>
>> >> Since, as you point out, their bands are virtually the same
>> >> ones we use right next door, certainly we'd know about any problems
>> >> with their style of regulation.
>>

>> > They have far fewer hams than the USA, spread out over a
>> larger area.
>>
>> You said that before, and I've disproven the "spread out over a >
>> larger area" myth. Canadian hams are quite geographically
>> concentrated, regardless of the size of their wonderful contry. Most
>> Canadians live in a 75- mile (give or take)
>> corridor along the US border, and are further concentrated into > a
>> few metropolitan "clumps" along that strip.
>
> Most US hams live on or near the coasts, too.
>
>> > What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places)
>> > won't necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).
>
>> > Most of the rest of the developed world places far more
>> > restrictions on the ownership of firearms than the USA.
>> > And they have far less violent crime, too. Since that
>> > seems to work for them, would you propose the USA adopt such
>> > restrictions?
>>
>> My proposal is to remove restrictions, not add them! You're the >
>> fella propounding that restrictions are a good deal.
>
> I'm saying that because something works in another country doesn't mean
> it will work here. Perhaps we should adopt Canada's health care system
> too? That would end the busloads of people going north on trips to buy
> their medicines at reasonable prices.

Almost nothing could be any worse than the state of health care in the US.
We could do a lot worse than Canada just by doing nothing.

>>
>> I propose that along with freedom (from arbitrary restrictions)
>> comes the
>> responsibility to act responsibly, and I submit that generally
>> US hams have demonstrated that sort of responsibility.
>
> I submit that we don't fix what ain't broke.

But it is 'broke'. If I have to go split to talk to the DX, that's quite
broke enough to need fixing.

>>
>> >> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies except
>> >> the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?
>>
>> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out of
>> > the 'phone/image subbands.
>>
>> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to

>> transmit. In
>> other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!
>
> The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
> on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged
> violations using *voice* modes?
>

I guess no-one caught that guy who used to send ..-. ..- -.-. -.- on
repeaters around here

>> > You mean it was formally submitted as a restructuring proposal in
>> > the past year or two?
>>
>> It was formally submitted (3 times) in response to other related >
>> matters.
>
> So it wasn't submitted as a restructuring proposal, but as comments to
> other proposals.
>
> Too bad. I'd like to see what the general reaction would be to such a
> proposal, even if I don't agree with it.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

187. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 01:55:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5umxe.11920$jX6.7458@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111544

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> some region of the band.
>
> Is my impression corect.
>

There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E channels centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.

73, de Hans, K0HB

188. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 02:02:42 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9686E065ADCB4elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 111546

kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1120209440.081173.230080
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

>
>
> Ginger Raveir wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120179282.511949.127750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> K�HB wrote:
>> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>> >
>> > > And human nature being what it is, there needs to be some type of
>> > > discipline on the bands as to what goes where.
>> >
>> > Sounds like an excerpt from a religious catechism!
>> >
>> > Is "human nature" different in the USA? For that matter, is "human
>> > nature" different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves
>> > provide the "type of
>> > discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?
>>
>> The FCC dumped micromanaging 160 on the ARRL, less work and flak for
>> the FCC.
>>
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> w3rv
>>
>>
>> The illegal and unethical relationship between FCC & ARRL is
>> one point of several which will enable K1MAN to prevail over the FCC.
>
> Dearie I have yet to run into a Real Ham who could possibly give a
> rat's ass about the goofy flap over K1MAN. That "thread" is for you
> bottom echelon types to obsess upon. Enjoy but kindly if you please
> don't bore the rest of us with it.
>
> w3rv
>
>

For once, I agree. I couldn't care less about a few news bulletins, and I don't distinguish beyween him and the league, since I don't belong to that either.

189. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 02:13:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <CLmxe.6075$J65.3275840@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111549

John Smith II:

I am not complaining, I find it interesting... <grin>

John

"John Smith" <pocketstimulation@handson.nut> wrote in message
news:1120260588.d389199b1c9a6b2e024a5f891915e275@teranews...
> An even better way to setup anonymity is with mail2news and a
> remailer,
> it takes a bit more knowledge and work but is worth it in the long
> run.
> A google should supply one with all necessary details and tools.
>
> John
>>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Ayjxe.6064$mw4.2077851@news.sisna.com...
>> bb:
>>
>> It seems a bit of hanky-panky alright, but this is good, will keep
>> us on our toes and separate the computer literates from the
>> illiterates...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120257135.735720.122450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes
>>>> you
>>>> find that are NOT yours!
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>
>>> Quitefine and Darkguard on the loose again?
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

190. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 02:14:34 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9686E267A4994elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 111547

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1120209984.177412.284160
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> K�HB wrote:
>
>> Is "human nature" different in the USA?
>
> Probably not - but "culture" sure is!
>
>> For that matter, is "human nature"
>> different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves
>> provide the "type of
>> discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?
>
> There have already been problems on 160 because some folks won't follow
> the voluntary bandplan. Already been a proposal to FCC that would
> impose subbands-by-mode on 160.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Again, the league's bandplan differs from the IARU's. The IARU sets aside all of the amateur exclusive section (1810-1850) as a DX window, and makes that half CW (1810-1830) and half phone (1830-1850). Now let's see, what do the league recognise as a DX window? Isn't the whole thing 1830-1835? And don't they assume that to be CW? - In the phone half! But, the bandplan is voluntary, that is whichever one of them you choose to follow, or none. The only real problem is that the league's version legitimises the local old fart's net on 1845, for example, instead of placing it properly in the DX window. Not that they would have to move anyway, because it's voluntary. That's what voluntary means.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

191. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 02:17:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JOmxe.6076$n65.3254423@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111601

John Smith II:

Thanks for the help, it is about time someone got the word out!
Boring rooms full of old women reading headers was about to kill
me--this is much more exciting! <grin>

John

"John Smith" <pocketstimulation@handson.nut> wrote in message
news:1120260425.b562cfa728ebed3004ab9fa1207441f3@teranews...
> Well, if a guy is savvy enough...
>
> You can just grab a mail2news server and a email remailer--set 'em
> up
> and you are anonymous...
>
> John
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d6ixe.6057$Vm4.1818660@news.sisna.com...
>> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes
>> you find that are NOT yours!
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
>

192. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 02:28:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120271285.950104.185520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111548

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Ginger Raveir wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
> >>years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
> >>core of the hobby.
> >
> >
> > Old white men also form the core of the U.S. Senate. Ya want the rest
> > of the list?
> >
> > So now what . . . ?
> >
> > . . . thought so . .
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I'm white and I'm a man. I'm hoping to be old some day.

I'll send you an application for admission to the Core as soon as you
qualify.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

193. Re: When your posts are NOT your posts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 02:30:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z_mxe.6078$Q85.3342488@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111600

Ohh, one thing I didn't point out, mainly because I thought it would take longer for most to catch on is--it is much better to skip hamster and argosoft and write a smtp/nntp client which will post news articles directly to the remailer--this will result in 100% forgeries and cannot be told from the real ones... this will be your next step...

But at least we now can leave the old women reading headers standing in a corner--if someone wants to forge a post--consider it done!

Now we can get real...

John

"John Smith" <pocketstimulation@handson.nut> wrote in message news:1120260425.b562cfa728ebed3004ab9fa1207441f3@teranews...
> Well, if a guy is savvy enough...
>
> You can just grab a mail2news server and a email remailer--set 'em
> up
> and you are anonymous...
>
> John
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d6ixe.6057$Vm4.1818660@news.sisna.com...
>> I'd recommend everyone start reading their own posts... sometimes
>> you find that are NOT yours!
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
>

194. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 02:52:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rjnxe.6079$rf5.3644509@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111614

kelly:

To tell you the truth, I never planned on getting this old--it just happened! <grin>

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:1120271285.950104.185520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > Ginger Raveir wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >>Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
>> >>years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
>> >>core of the hobby.
>> >
>> >
>> > Old white men also form the core of the U.S. Senate. Ya want the
>> > rest
>> > of the list?
>> >
>> > So now what . . . ?
>> >
>> > . . . thought so . .
>> >
>> > w3rv
>>
>> I'm white and I'm a man. I'm hoping to be old some day.
>
> I'll send you an application for admission to the Core as soon as
> you
> qualify.
>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> w3rv
>

195. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:07:59 UTC
From: Hey Boy Riley <priley@poorrileyboy.com>
Message-ID: <da507dlqv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111602

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1120271285.950104.185520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > Ginger Raveir wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >>Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
>> >>years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
>> >>core of the hobby.
>> >
>> >
>> > Old white men also form the core of the U.S. Senate. Ya want the rest
>> > of the list?
>> >
>> > So now what . . . ?
>> >
>> > . . . thought so . .
>> >
>> > w3rv
>>
>> I'm white and I'm a man. I'm hoping to be old some day.
>
> I'll send you an application for admission to the Core as soon as you
> qualify.
>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> w3rv
>

You have just removed all doubt as to your idiocy.
Go back to whining and pissing about K1MAN

196. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:10:34 UTC
From: Hey Boy Riley <priley@poorrileyboy.com>
Message-ID: <da50c8mcv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111603

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:nTjxe.14746$pa3.2885@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> My neighbor just got a 7500 NAL to pay. He lives in a falling down
> trailor and his wife works to support him.
>
> Oh...he is a Freebander, or should I say...he was a Freebander.
>
> And you call hams stupid?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Freebander" <freebander@freeband.org> wrote in message
> news:iog26rbfbpcu5du.010720051029@kirk...
>> is it possible for a bunch of ancient/decrepit old men to get more
>> anemic, senile, ridiculous, loathsome or "dumbed down?"
>>
>> amateurs take all the prizes when it come to stupidity
>>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>> news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>>>>
>>>> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way decent
>>> mind.
>>>
>>> Kim W5TIT
>>>
>>>

A NAL from the FCC is not worth the paper it is printed
on. The FCC has no authority to collect.
```

197. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:13:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120273981.877122.229430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111605

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > some region of the band.
> >
> > Is my impression corect.
> >
>
> There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E channels

Auf Anglish Bitte?

I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't allowed but you I
guess answering a question si too much for you
> centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

198. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:15:07 UTC
From: Hey Boy Riley <priley@poorrileyboy.com>
Message-ID: <da50kqnnf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111604

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5umxe.11920$jX6.7458@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
>> relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
>> some region of the band.
>>
>> Is my impression corect.
>>
>
> There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E
> channels centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

There are several long haul truckers in the range of 5425 to 5560 kHz.

199. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:21:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120274504.273077.146510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111607

From: Mike Coslo on Fri 1 Jul 2005 18:56

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "John Smith" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 12:25
>>
>>
>>>Dee:
>>>
>>>My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you cannot
>>>tell the difference.
>>
>>
>> Dee is smart. But, her emotional LOVE of "CW" over-rides her
>> reasoning ability.
>
> Not talking about CW.
>
> peace out

Peace on you too, sweetums. I was posting to John Smith...and I
AM talking about "CW." Deal with it.

Now be a good little PCTA extra and go sulk in the corner...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

200. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:23:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120274617.727644.291090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111609

From: "Dan/W4NTI"<DumshitDan_southern-fried_dipshit@KKK_R_US> on Fri 1 Jul 2005 22:35

>There it is folks, a disgruntled CBer that couldn't learn the code and
>failed his ham test.
>
>So much for Lennie the loser.
>
>(of course now he will deny he actually tried to take the test.....well at
>least that is how he remembers it).

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dannie thinks he is kicking stray dogs again...

I DID take THE test...with the FCC...in Chicago...at the beginning of March, 1956. For a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Operator license. Passed. One sitting, interrupted only by a fire drill in the Federal building that day.

NEVER took a ham test with the FCC, VEC, or FDA.

Took a couple of practice written tests on the Internet...passed them, too. No problem. Rather low-level knowledge of radio, mostly memorization of existing regulations.

Has Dannie ever taken any COLLEGE LEVEL ENGINEERING COURSES? And the TESTS that go with those? I have. Passed them, too.

Actually, I've "passed" the most stringent TEST of all...using and applying gained knowledge to insure a paycheck arrived regularly from my employer (as an electronics design engineer... and income derived for my partner-ship (which involved a base and mobile radio requiring that FCC Radiotelephone license). Passed those, too.

Just what DID Dannie Dipshit "pass" besides gas and a morse code test? "Out" maybe?

Have a nice evening down at the VFW hall tonight. Try to avoid that quadruplegic lest you get beat up again.

Temper fry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

201. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:24:48 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <da51cf$r45$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1120217280.099447.254300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
lager-no-spam@cotse.net.invalid whined with his cocksucking lisp:
> Oh no, Woger is still here.

Oh, no, Gopher face has a lisp from sucking his drunk of a daddy off in
Richfield and thinks Roger is behind every post.

> How is Big Marie Wogie??? How is that fat
> pig-of-a-mother of yours? Can she still walk? Or is she to fat for that
> now Wogie??

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA....You think Roger's mommy is fat?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..she is lucky to weigh 115 pounds.

"Big Marie?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..looks like you fucked up again
since Marie is really petite.

Get a refund on your Junior Dickless Tracy detective kit, loser.
.....

"Big Marie" ought to be petite by now.
Considering that she passed away in 2002, she is now but a mere skeleton of
herself, isn't she?

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

202. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:27:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120274872.969338.17790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

not to be ense but what does this thread have to do with Ham radio?

203. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:27:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120274874.067569.299020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111611

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 22:42

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

Oh, my, Dannie boy finished a whole six-pack of Billy Beer and
now is feeling very "brave." Time for him to garbage-mouth
some veterans...

>Poor Lennie the loser is a real trip. Military comms and CB radio.

And military VLF as a civilian...and military and civilian radio
as a civilian...and civilian maritime radio as a civilian...and
civilian mobile radio NOT CB as a civilian...plus lots of
microwave radio things for the government and civil life as a
civilian...leaving out civilian broadcasting as a civilian.

>Then compares it to ham radio.

Couldn't possibly do the mighty, noble, top-of-the-line, cutting-
edge manual morse that the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society does,
no sir!

>Bottom line, the only thing they have in common
>is the fact they operate on HF radio....period.

WRONGO, Mongo. VLF, LF, MF, HF, VHF, UHF, microwaves (assorted
bands) on up to 25 GHz.

>Bottom line is he couldn't pass the CW test, and gave up.

You betcha, sweatbreath. WASTE OF TIME forty-six years ago.
Still a waste of time for me.

Still a waste of time for anyone who wants to enter the hobby
of amateur radio through FCC-regulated testing.

>Now we get to
>listen to him brag about shoving a broom around a transmitter site while a
>lower ranked enlisted man. BIG DEAL.

Poor Dannie boy, drunk as a skunk and stinkier.

Dannie boy, you must stop ranking people according to YOUR
accomplishments.

"Lower ranked?" As an E-5, three up and one down, I was in the
lowest category of NCOs, true. Supervisor, not a broom pusher.

Speaking honestly, sweatbreath, I wouldn't put YOU in any QSY or maintenance task back then in the early 1950s. The gear was just too complex for someone who thinks the top-of-the-line in radio is doing manual morse. Tsk.

Nobody did manual morse at ADA/RUAP back in the 1950s, Dannie. TTY and RTTY. One had to read in order to put the right tapes on the right machines. Reading would have been too difficult for you. Tsk, tsk.

Let us know when you sober up...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

204. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:29:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120274956.216886.35840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111612

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 15:51

>"Ginger Raveir" <qsl@viadaburojerk.com> wrote in message
>news:da2dru$78f$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
>> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
>> core of the hobby.
>>
>Thats it....bring in the "Ham Radio is a racist organization".
>
>It isn't our fault more folks other than "white" dont join up. I have seen
>no obstructions put up to keep them out. So who is at fault here?

You might look down at the big white sheet you are wearing.

You know the one I mean, the uniform of the Kode Klucks Klan.

>Right.

OK, you understand. Now what are you going to DO about it?

At least get it washed first. THIS year. Yech.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

205. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:32:24 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <da51qntbc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Steveo wrote:
> Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
.
And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are
a mongrel kike.

.

Not greasy? What is the cause of that "sheen"? Brylcreem? Crisco? 10W-30?
Or simple poor hygiene?

We've seen your photo Wogie, and you are obviously NOT on familiar terms
with shampoo.

Your hair IS greasy.

206. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:42:00 UTC
From: theposter <mailguy2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wuhylm6zjugtzly.010720052042@kirk>
Source record: 111615

> There are several long haul truckers in the range of 5425 to 5560
> kHz.
>
>
great, now the hams are stealing the truckers frequencies! What is
this world coming to?

tp

207. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:44:21 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <da52h4sv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Poor Saggytits, he's too chickenshit to post under his real name, since
he's afraid that somebody will knock his lights out in person. Isn't
that right, you yellow son of a bitch?
P.S. Keep thinking everybody is Roger. It makes you look even more
stupid than you already do.

.
How quaint. Wogie posts from anon remailers and calls OTHERS to task for
doing the same.

Best calm down, Curtains. Stagger has your blood pressure so elevated that
your eyes are crossed.

--
"The funny thing is, you don't know your fat ass from a whole in
the ground, shit-for-brains."
Secwet Wogie

--
Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean
everybody else does, imbecile."
Wogie Wussman

208. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 03:46:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <D6oxe.11956$jX6.3111@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111617

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't
> allowed but you I guess answering a question si too much
> for you

Hey Dump Huck, I did answer your question, and I did so quite precisely. As a licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?

73, de Hans, K0HB

209. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 04:23:47 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <da54r2atn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Roger said:
"And let's not forget how you whined to Steve Gielda to try to get Roger TOSEd. You are quite the hypocrite, Saggytits."

Not "try", Wogie. He succeeded. And you deserved it.

But when YOU go whining to somebody's ISP because they upset you, that is OK.
You are quite the hypocrite, Roger.

--
Let's listen now
to one of the voices in this utterly clueless fuckwit's tiny brain, as
we all wish for the 100th time that The Thing responsible for Roger's
birth had practiced abortion and that Roger hand landed with a splash
and a thump in the toilet of some anonymous Greyhound Bus station
rest-room way back in 1955.

210. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 04:30:17 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120278617.760305.149990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this
> > way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue. Besides, I
> > bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in
> > 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.
> >
>
> No need to feel guilty. Besides if look at the history of licensing the
> earliest requirements were only 5wpm on code.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I've been pointing that out for years, but the PCTA tend to ignore that
aspect of testing.

211. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 04:40:32 UTC
From: theposter <mailguy2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mja4rxs4g6ijz6f.010720052140@kirk>
Source record: 111621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

you boys are real sickos and spelled S-I-C-K-O-S!

"Billy Bob" <WmRobert@spammers> wrote in message
news:da54r2atn0@pita.alt.net...
> Roger said:
> "And let's not forget how you whined to Steve Gielda to try to get
> Roger
> TOSEd. You are quite the hypocrite, Saggytits."
>
> Not "try", Wogie. He succeeded. And you deserved it.
>
> But when YOU go whining to somebody's ISP because they upset you,
> that is
> OK.
> You are quite the hypocrite, Roger.
>
>
> --
> Let's listen now
> to one of the voices in this utterly clueless fuckwit's tiny brain,
> as
> we all wish for the 100th time that The Thing responsible for
> Roger's
> birth had practiced abortion and that Roger hand landed with a
> splash
> and a thump in the toilet of some anonymous Greyhound Bus station
> rest-room way back in 1955.
>
>
>
>

212. Re: Who'syour Daddy, Roger's Bitch whines again, loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 04:50:45 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120279845.056805.3710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111622
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Let them, ho hum. Unlike you I don't violate the TOS on a daily basis.
Threatening NetKKKop is the worst you can do. Grow up.

213. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 04:58:35 UTC
From: Roger's Lord And Master <"Prince Lloyd"@Glendale>
Message-ID: <da56s9$ji2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an_old_friend wrote:
> not to be ense but what does this thread have to do with Ham radio?
>
Little, actually, but for the fact that the exceedingly vile posts are
being placed here by AB8MQ.

214. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 05:16:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <%qpxe.317$j21.165@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111624

Nice try.

Hans has forgotten more than you will ever know :)))

Please ZBM-2

With all due regards,
Jim AA2QA

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120273981.877122.229430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > some region of the band.
> >
> > Is my impression corect.
> >
>
> There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E
channels

Auf Anglish Bitte?

I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't allowed but you I
guess answering a question si too much for you
> centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

215. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 05:17:25 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Frpxe.318$j21.220@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111625

Nice try :)))

ZBM-2
Jim AA2QA

"theposter" <mailguy2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:wuhylm6zjugtzly.010720052042@kirk...
> > There are several long haul truckers in the range of 5425 to 5560
> > kHz.
> >
> >
> great, now the hams are stealing the truckers frequencies! What is
> this world coming to?
>
> tp
>
>
>

216. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 05:24:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pypxe.6086$ew5.4217931@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111626

Dee:

If one ever gets serious about using HF for video, and HS data transmission, this:
http://www.thiecom.de/english/g313i/
is an excellent investment. The digital signal can be pulled directly off the PCI bus in the computer and fed to software. This company supplies the software framework for just about anything you can imagine, if you know how to code or know someone who does--the sky is the limit...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:cIWdncqiyK_NB1nfRVn-hA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:m60xe.57$Hn3.5653606@news.sisna.com...
>> Dan:
>>
>> Now I ask you, "What boob would use SSTV?"
>>
>> A webcam on a computer, compressing and digitizing the video and
>> then converting to an audio signal and finally delivering it to a
>> transceiver, to be picked up and decoded at the other end and fed
>> to a soundcard/computer monitor produces a MUCH clearer sharper and
>> more fps... SSTV is for dinosaurs!!!
>>
>> Wake up, it is already 2005!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> If you want to transmit images on HF at this time only fax and SSTV
> have a small enough band width to be practical.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:l%%we.1669$aY6.1020@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message

>>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>>> What is more important:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>
>>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code
>>>>> a better
>>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hi Mike:
>>>>
>>>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never
>>>> really
>>>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV,
>>>> anything
>>>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital),
>>>> ATV, etc. I
>>>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this
>>>> newsgroup,
>>>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who
>>>> just don't
>>>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse
>>>> Code--are pretty
>>>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the
>>>> mode (as I do
>>>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to
>>>> honor the
>>>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other
>>>> steadfast things
>>>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than
>>>> the "idiots"
>>>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political
>>>> terms :o).
>>>>
>>>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much,
>>>> and I
>>>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with.
>>>> So, could
>>>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code
>>>> Haters." I don't
>>>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>>>>
>>>> Kim W5TIT
>>>>

>>>>
>>>
>>> I'm sorry.....knowing full well she has me deep sixed, I just
>>> have to comment.....
>>>
>>> What the heck is SCTV? Is that a TV show? Or maybe she means
>>> Slow Scan TV?? SSTV.......Hmmmmm.
>>>
>>> Then...."APRS if that can be considered digital".
>>>
>>> Amazing.....and she has a license?
>>>
>>> Amazing.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

217. Re: Re: Winlink and QRM /Nice long rant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 06:03:47 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0ZadnQfA-NDfs1vfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 111627

> Oh yeah... THAT was REALLY "coherent." LOL!
> If people got ON the radio 5% as much as they speculated about it, we
> would ALL be better off!

BINGO ....give that Odo fellow a cigar ....

73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon PA ....

218. Re: Winlink and QRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 06:13:29 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N-ednXsfx4sarVvfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 111628

>
> >Put me down in the unconvinced column. I am against ANY un-attented
digital
> >system in any segement other than that set aside for its SOLE use.
>
> But Dan THAT would make too much SENSE! (grin)
>
> The trouble is that the unattended robot train has already left the
> station.
> ARRL deliberately did this without carefully coinsidering the monster
> that they created and now that they persuaded the FCC to go along
> with it, the problem is too late to remedy.

Let's return to the thrilling days of yesterday ....remember the ARRL poll for unattended operation ???? I remember giving it a thumbs down along with ...if I remember correctly ...mostly everyone else ...then by golly guess what happened ....this Mongolian Cluster **** that we have now .... and ps I still am a Leauge member .....after they caved to non-league pressure. I know .....I know the league is for all hams not just the members. That storyline gives me about as warm as a feeling as not changing one's underwear for a month ......

>
> WHERE is even the basic polling of radio amateurs?
> Did they ask amateurs in other countries that will be affected
> by this?

Can one say done deal ..... I agree with the need for exclusive unattended frequencies which will benefit all concerned ...

Back to 40 CW ....73 Tom KI3R

219. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 08:38:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120293497.880242.49410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111629

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> . . my employer (as an electronics design engineer...
> and income derived for my partner-ship (which involved a base
> and mobile radio requiring that FCC Radiotelephone license).
> Passed those, too.

Passed the "test" for that license too eh Sweetums?? Congratulations.

Bwaaahahaha!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

w3rv

220. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 11:00:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XaydnYgOz9RP7lvfRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 111630

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120268686.647659.84990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> K�HB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
>
> > > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay
> > > out of the 'phone/image subbands.
> >
> > Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to transmit.
> > In
> > other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!
>
> But would U.S. phone ops VOLUNTARILY stay up the bands and out of the
> segments historically inhabited by the CW and digital users if they
> were not restrained from doing so?
>
> Not in our lifetimes.

No more than CW opperators stick in the bandplans at VHF during FD I
was having to deal with CW sent well out of the bandplan on 6m
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> w3rv

I worked 6m over FD including that wonderful band opening and had no problem
with out of bandplan 6m. Perhaps you are unfamiliar with the CW allotments
of the bandplan?

Excerpt from http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/bandplan.html#6m

50.0 to 50.1 -- CW, Beacons (by regulation CW only)
50.1 to 50.3 -- SSB, CW

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

221. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 11:01:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZO6dnWGlFK2M6VvfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 111631

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120268787.449458.83110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> some region of the band.
>
> Is my impression corect.
>

The 60 meter band is USB voice only. NO form of digital or other non-voice
is allowed.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

222. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 11:10:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VNKdncmr27im61vfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111632

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9686DF00345A2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> I submit that we don't fix what ain't broke.
>
> But it is 'broke'. If I have to go split to talk to the DX, that's quite
> broke enough to need fixing.
>

But fix it from the DX side. Do you really want to limit us to the same 40 meter band that they have? We'd LOSE part of the band. Actually this fix is being worked on. At the same conference where the ITU changed the treaty requirements for Morse code, they also put in a scheduled change for allocation of more of the 40 meter band to hams on a world wide basis. By something like 2007 or 2009 (I forget the year), hams outside this region will get up to 7.200 exclusively allocated to amateur radio so that they will overlap into our voice privileges thus making split unnecessary. Of course some will still use split just as some use split on bands where they don't have to today.

Some countries have already allowed their amateurs to use the new allocation in advance of the turnover date.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

223. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 11:13:23 UTC
From: Not Roger <NotMe@Iowa>
Message-ID: <da5sr2kkd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:stealing.time.from.the.company@houston-hunks.com...
On Fri, 01 Jul 2005 23:58:35 -0500, Roger's Lord And Master <@Glendale>
wrote:

: an_old_friend wrote:
: > not to be ense but what does this thread have to do with Ham radio?
:
: Little, actually, but for the fact that the exceedingly vile posts are
: being placed here by AB8MQ.

You do have to admit that it's nice of Hughes to let someone post from
the workplace, though. Most companies take a very dim view of that
kind of activity, but Hughes is obviously an exception.

>Yes, it is exceedingly kind for his employer to allow this. However, comma,
he is not the source of the excessively filthy posts being made by Roger
Wiseman, AB8MQ.
Wiseman's unimaginative posts are but two squats below those of a third
grade Septic Tank Technician.

224. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 11:40:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120304458.215661.76600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111634

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > Most US hams live on or near the coasts, too.
> >
> >> > What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places)
> >> > won't necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).
> >
> >> > Most of the rest of the developed world places far more
> >> > restrictions on the ownership of firearms than the USA.
> >> > And they have far less violent crime, too. Since that
> >> > seems to work for them, would you propose the USA adopt such
> >> > restrictions?
> >>
> >> My proposal is to remove restrictions, not add them! You're the >
> >> fella propounding that restrictions are a good deal.
> >
> > I'm saying that because something works in another country doesn't mean
> > it will work here. Perhaps we should adopt Canada's health care system
> > too? That would end the busloads of people going north on trips to buy
> > their medicines at reasonable prices.
>
> Almost nothing could be any worse than the state
> of health care in the US.

There are lots worse places for health care. That doesn't mean the US
system is the best, or even acceptable.

My point was that if you suggest to most US citizens that we
accept Canada's health care system, they say no.

> We could do a lot worse than Canada just by doing nothing.

I've never had to deal with their health care system, so I don't know
if it's better or worse. I do know that for some strange reason, the
same prescription drugs made in the *same* factories are sometimes
significantly less expensive in Canada.
>
> >> I propose that along with freedom (from arbitrary restrictions)
> >> comes the
> >> responsibility to act responsibly, and I submit that generally
> >> US hams have demonstrated that sort of responsibility.
> >

> > I submit that we don't fix what ain't broke.
>
> But it is 'broke'. If I have to go split to talk to the DX,
> that's quite broke enough to need fixing.

You have to go split to work DX for two reasons:

1) On 40 meters, hams outside Region 2 only have 7000-7100

2) On the other HF bands, and on 40 meters for DX in Region 2, the DX
chooses to work split. They could work transceive if they wanted to,
but they *prefer* to work split.

Note that DX in Region 2 often works split on 40 even though
they have 7000-7300.

If the rules are changed so that you can call the DX on their
frequency, they may still decide to work split.

7100-7200 will become worldwide exclusive amateur in a few years. Some
countries outside Region 2 have already opened 7100-7200 to their hams,
and SWBC continues to move out of there.

Yet even if we eventually get 7000-7300 worldwide exclusive amateur,
the DX will probably still work split.
>
> >> >> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies except
> >> >> the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?
> >>
> >> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out of
> >> > the 'phone/image subbands.
> >>
> >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
> >> transmit. In
> >> other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!
> >
> > The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
> > on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged
> > violations using *voice* modes?
> >
>
> I guess no-one caught that guy who used to send ..-. ..- -.-. -> .- on repeaters around
here

Guess not!

Do you think the number of hams doing similar things on voice is more
or less than those doing such stuff with Morse Code?

73 de Jim, N2EY

225. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 12:50:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ncmdnaQczonmEFvfRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111635

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on Fri 1 Jul 2005 18:56
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "John Smith" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 12:25
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dee:
>>>>
>>>>My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you cannot
>>>>tell the difference.
>>>
>>>
>>> Dee is smart. But, her emotional LOVE of "CW" over-rides her
>>> reasoning ability.
>>
>> Not talking about CW.
>>
>> peace out
>
>
> Peace on you too, sweetums. I was posting to John Smith...and I
> AM talking about "CW." Deal with it.

Ah, there is the problem. You thought you were sending him private
email, not a post to the group that anyone can comment on... 8^)

>
> Now be a good little PCTA extra and go sulk in the corner...

It's a beautiful day. Can I play outside? 8^) Buh-bye!

- Mike KB3EIA -

226. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 14:28:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hwxxe.12069$jX6.8694@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111636

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> 'Bandplan? We don't need no steenkin' bandplan!'

I think bandplans are great, Jim. A bandplan is a dynamic construct, generally agreed by the band users, which adapts to "market forces".

But FCC doesn't hand out dynamic bandplans; they hand out static band-mandates which tend to remain unchanged for multiple decades (a geologic age in a hobby which is charged by regulation to "contribute to the advancement of the radio art").

73, de Hans, K0HB

227. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 14:37:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JExxe.12071$jX6.74@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111637

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Even though I disagree with almost all of it, I
> think it would be better if it were sent to FCC
> as a formal proposal. Because it would then get
> a lot more attention than it would as a comment.

Doesn't that seem sort of risky to you?

You don't (mostly) agree with the thing, but you think it would be better for
FCC to give it "a lot more attention"?

73, de Hans, K0HB

228. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 14:39:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WGxxe.7880$Ih1.14011524@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111638

Dee:

No truckers either? <stupid-grin>

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ZO6dnWGlFK2M6VvfRVn-qw@comcast.com...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120268787.449458.83110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
>> relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
>> some region of the band.
>>
>> Is my impression corect.
>>
>
> The 60 meter band is USB voice only. NO form of digital or other
> non-voice is allowed.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

229. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 15:08:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120316910.235690.6020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111639

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > Even though I disagree with almost all of it, I
> > think it would be better if it were sent to FCC
> > as a formal proposal. Because it would then get
> > a lot more attention than it would as a comment.

> Doesn't that seem sort of risky to you?

Sure, but that's not the issue.

("I spell my name...DANGER!")*
>
> You don't (mostly) agree with the thing,
> but you think it would be better for
> FCC to give it "a lot more attention"?

Yes. You see, I have this wild concept that even ideas I
disagree with are better off being discussed than being
hidden away. You know, that whole "democracy" thing...

I think you have come up with a proposal that represents a
clear, coherent and concise point of view. That I don't
agree with most of it is immaterial; I think it at least
deserves the same exposure and discussion as the 18 other
proposals, most of which are far less clear, coherent or
concise. (like the one that would put beginners on 160
with limited power - wassup with THAT? Or the NCI and
first NCVEC proposals, that are tunnelvision to the max.
Or the second NCVEC proposal...)

IOW, I think your proposal deserves to be discussed in a
far wider forum than rrap.

If the US amateur community as a whole rejects it, then no
one can say it wasn't presented at the appropriate time.

If the US amateur community as a whole accepts it, then
no one can say it was the result of some small group
pushing their ideas on others.

The proposal of the "think tank" contains only one element
of your proposal. You were *years* ahead of them!

There's still time to put it into proposal form and send it to FCC as
a formal proposal.

Why not?

Suppose it were sent to FCC, and they gave it an RM number.
And suppose it drew a lot of comments that supported it. Say
75% in support.

Who could then say it wasn't what the amateur community wants?

73 de Jim, N2EY

230. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 16:04:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120320292.096123.60810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111640

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > > Even though I disagree with almost all of it, I
> > > think it would be better if it were sent to FCC
> > > as a formal proposal. Because it would then get
> > > a lot more attention than it would as a comment.
>
> > Doesn't that seem sort of risky to you?
>
> Sure, but that's not the issue.
>
> ("I spell my name...DANGER!")*
> >
> > You don't (mostly) agree with the thing,
> > but you think it would be better for
> > FCC to give it "a lot more attention"?
>
> Yes. You see, I have this wild concept that even ideas I
> disagree with are better off being discussed than being
> hidden away. You know, that whole "democracy" thing...
>
> I think you have come up with a proposal that represents a
> clear, coherent and concise point of view. That I don't
> agree with most of it is immaterial; I think it at least
> deserves the same exposure and discussion as the 18 other
> proposals, most of which are far less clear, coherent or
> concise. (like the one that would put beginners on 160
> with limited power - wassup with THAT? Or the NCI and
> first NCVEC proposals, that are tunnelvision to the max.
> Or the second NCVEC proposal...)
>
> IOW, I think your proposal deserves to be discussed in a
> far wider forum than rrap.
>
> If the US amateur community as a whole rejects it, then no
> one can say it wasn't presented at the appropriate time.
>
> If the US amateur community as a whole accepts it, then
> no one can say it was the result of some small group
> pushing their ideas on others.
>
> The proposal of the "think tank" contains only one element

> of your proposal. You were *years* ahead of them!
>
> There's still time to put it into proposal form and send it to FCC as
> a formal proposal.
>
> Why not?
break

>
> Suppose it were sent to FCC, and they gave it an RM number.
> And suppose it drew a lot of comments that supported it. Say
> 75% in support.
>
> Who could then say it wasn't what the amateur community wants?

Anyone that did not like would say it as with anything in the ARS
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

231. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 16:14:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120320887.709399.240780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111641

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't
> > allowed but you I guess answering a question si too much
> > for you
>
> Hey Dump Huck, I did answer your question, and I did so quite precisely. As a
> licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?

All of it. tht sort of usage is not in vogue, nor is it in english.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect

232. Re: Winlink and QRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 17:59:08 UTC
From: OW <nnneeq@ownnneeq.com>
Message-ID: <da6keitll0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111642

The only organization more corrupt and self-serving than the ARRL is the FCC. Corruption in the FCC has reached a point where job one for commission employees is to grab all the money they can.

233. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 18:01:54 UTC
From: OW <nnneeq@ownnneeq.com>
Message-ID: <da6kjeubc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111643

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:%qpxe.317$j21.165@news01.roc.ny...
> Nice try.
>
> Hans has forgotten more than you will ever know :)))
>
> Please ZBM-2
>
>
> With all due regards,
> Jim AA2QA
>

How long have you been a K0HB sycophant?

234. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 18:08:27 UTC
From: OW <nnneeq@ownnneeq.com>
Message-ID: <da6kvoje0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111644

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:hwxxe.12069$jX6.8694@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> 'Bandplan? We don't need no steenkin' bandplan!'
>
> I think bandplans are great, Jim. A bandplan is a dynamic construct,
> generally agreed by the band users, which adapts to "market forces".
>
> But FCC doesn't hand out dynamic bandplans; they hand out static
> band-mandates which tend to remain unchanged for multiple decades (a
> geologic age in a hobby which is charged by regulation to "contribute to
> the advancement of the radio art").
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

The FCC hands out whatever will cover their own ass. Meaning,
top priority at the commission is job preservation, not the advancement
of communciations. FCC bureaucrats do whatever is necessary to
preserve their paycheck, while continually hiding the fact that the
commission is a dinosaur in an advanced age.

235. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 18:52:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120330366.728309.174520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111645

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120268787.449458.83110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > some region of the band.
> >
> > Is my impression corect.
> >
>
> The 60 meter band is USB voice only. NO form of digital or other non-voice
> is allowed.

Thanks for the info

interesting that a band rquiring code testing forbids code use
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

236. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 19:27:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120332432.891992.124240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111646

From: John Smith on Jul 2, 1:24 am

>Dee:
>
>If one ever gets serious about using HF for video, and HS data
>transmission, this:
>http://www.thiecom.de/english/?g313i/
>is an excellent investment. The digital signal can be pulled directly
>off the PCI bus in the computer and fed to software. This company
>supplies the software framework for just about anything you can
>imagine, if you know how to code or know someone who does--the sky is
>the limit...

John, lots of us know of data compression and maybe a few
radio amateurs will acknowledge the elegant work of Claude
Elwood Shannon back in 1947. But, that is really NOT the
issue in here. Status quondam is the issue.

Even worse, it is the stubborn, hidebound, refusal to break
out of the antiquated standards and practices of pre-WW2
times to meld with the rest of the world of modern times.

The only "code" allowed by these dino-denizens of the past
is MORSE code. Anything else, such as (horrors) "source code"
is nothing but a bunch of NOPs with an occasional HCF. Those
that have bought into it and passed the morse test will do
more flaunting of their morsemanship than a convention of
actors in Hollywood bragging of their credits. [they have
no Variety]

Using "examples" of half-GigaByte files "expected to be sent
over little teeny narrowbanded enclaves of spectrum is itself
an example of their non-thinking, non-research, non-educated
attempts to stall any sort of progress. They can't do the
numbers (despite flaunting of non-amateur titles), won't
bother with looking up things, everything-is-just-fine-as-
when-they-first-joined-long-ago-thankyouverymuch.

Case in point: DRM (Digital Radio Mondial). Digitized audio
on HF, now being transmitted (over two dozen programs now
listed), capable of overcoming the selective fading common
to the "wow" heard so many times on analog BC, tested for over
four years on HF. High-quality audio fitting within a 12 KHz
bandwidth, an occupancy no greater than present-day audio on
broadcast. DRM may not be the technical best, but it IS a
WORKING system. It works on LF, MF, HF, VHF. By test.

A few years ago in here a bunch of narrowband, narrowthinker olde-fahrts exclaimed and exclaimed that "it won't work!" That was during the successful testing phase of DRM. The same group also decried GMDSS as "unworkable!" even though the maritime community had already researched and tested it and approved it worldwide. Morse code on 500 KHz MUST continue they said, ignoring what the SOLAS folks had already determined.

The general idea of DRM, scaled for 2.5 KHz voice-only audio bandwidths is eminently possible on HF. Effects of selective fading on HF will be less than the wider bandwidth of broadcast audio. Further, since it already IS in digital form, it is applicable to direct-sequence spreading and the ability to put many signals on a given band without any mutual interference. The narrowband, narrowthink amateurs will have none of that. They will yank out the "12 KHz bandwidth" of DRM and shout it is way too broad for amateur use...while they totally ignore the scaling that can (and sometimes is) done for narrower band audio.

The narrowband, narrowthink status quo-ists will demand "already-done, tested, approved, on-the-market" products to "demonstrate" that it will work. [they have in the past in here] :-) In other words, "don't bother me until I see the ads in QST" kind of mentality which seems to have become standard on the USA amateur scene. The narrowband, narrowthink hams are content with their narrow slices of spectrum, the bands appropriately sliced up into "bandplan" segments like separator boards in a sandbox. They have achieved Titles in their federal authority and haughtily parade that to play in the "nicer" parts of the sandbox.

Analog-ONLY is the cry of the narrowband narrowthink group. Keep it SIMPLE so that the most theory they need is just Ohm's Law of Resistance. The have resistance to anything more complex. Stay with the gamesmanship, enter the contests for "radiosport" and win nice certificates (suitable for framing). Forget the exploring of the new, trying out something different. Too HARD to think. Follow preset rules and fill in the blanks. Big Brother in the NE will protect them. Offshore designers and makers will provide they radio toys, all their bells and whistles. :-(

"Shannon's Law?" Ain't in Part 97. Fergit it...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

237. Re: SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 19:29:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120332544.063335.38860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111647

From: bb on Jul 1, 6:23 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.alexander.n.se/tran?smissions.htm
>
>> 17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Wunnerful.

Jimmie is Johnny-on-the-spot when it comes to ANYTHING involving
morse code! :-)

The ONLY connection with amateur radio is that the Grimeton LW
transmitting station has become a Swedish historical monument
and is fired up just once every year and that the "station" is a
member of the Swedish Amateur Radio organization. :-)

Grimeton is the name of a small town rather east of the city
of Varberg on the west coast (I've driven through it on the
way south). The accompanying LW net receiving station WAS at
Kungsbacka near Goteborg (Gothenburg to English speakers) but
has been dismantled long ago; one of my cousins lived there for
many years. Grimeton went into full operation about 1926 and
continued for about three decades, including through all of
WW2 to North America. It has been a museum for a longer time.

SAQ - Grimeton has the only WORKING LF Alexanderson Alternator
in the world. Not that it matters much to USA amateurs since
the FCC has not obliged the ARRL with providing any ham bands
below MF. Ever. :-) Sunday, July 3, is Alexanderson Day in
Sweden, a nice tribute to one of the true pioneers and innovators
in early commercial communications radio.

Ernst Alexanderson is highlighted in the IEEE History Center.
Not many radio amateurs could afford 50 KW and higher transmitters
(much less build one in their garage) so the ARRL doesn't bother
to mention his work much. With wavelengths of 20 KM, it's a bit
difficult to build a half-wave dipole on a residential lot. :-)

But, this is NEWS according to Jimmie (even though Grimeton is
on the air once a day each year) and his private BLOG here must
be filled with such gems of "amateur radio policy" info...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

238. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 19:32:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120332774.565542.282140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111648

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 2, 11:08 am

>KØHB wrote:
>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote
>> > Even though I disagree with almost all of it, I
>> > think it would be better if it were sent to FCC
>> > as a formal proposal. Because it would then get
>> > a lot more attention than it would as a comment.
>> > Doesn't that seem sort of risky to you?
>
>Sure, but that's not the issue.

WHAT exactly *IS* the issue then?

>> You don't (mostly) agree with the thing,
>> but you think it would be better for
>> FCC to give it "a lot more attention"?

>Yes. You see, I have this wild concept that even ideas I
>disagree with are better off being discussed than being
>hidden away. You know, that whole "democracy" thing...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You are now going to demonstrate a
remarkable degree of HYPOCRISY as quoted following:

>I think you have come up with a proposal that represents a
>clear, coherent and concise point of view. That I don't
>agree with most of it is immaterial; I think it at least
>deserves the same exposure and discussion as the 18 other
>proposals, most of which are far less clear, coherent or
>concise. (like the one that would put beginners on 160
>with limited power - wassup with THAT? Or the NCI and
>first NCVEC proposals, that are tunnelvision to the max.
>Or the second NCVEC proposal...)

Of course, anything that doesn't feature morse code
and/or include morse code testing in the future is
relegated to "trash." [very "democratic"]

>IOW, I think your proposal deserves to be discussed in a
>far wider forum than rrap.
>
>If the US amateur community as a whole rejects it, then no
>one can say it wasn't presented at the appropriate time.
>
>If the US amateur community as a whole accepts it, then
>no one can say it was the result of some small group

>pushing their ideas on others.

Define "amateur community."

Then go look at the Radio Communications Act of 1934 and
the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and show where the
ONLY considerations for amateur radio regulations are
to be put before the "amateur community."

Are ONLY members of the "broadcasting community" allowed to
comment on Mass Media Broadcasting regulations? Are ONLY
members of "private mobile radio community" allowed to comment
on PLMRS regulations? NO. The FCC - obligated by law - is
REQUIRED to listen to ALL CITIZENS' comments. That is true
democracy.

True democracy is NOT a small group of a private organization
in one corner of the country determining everything in amateur
radio.

Based on those EIGHTEEN proposals STILL before the FCC and with
thousands of comments filed on them, there is NO SUCH THING as
the "amateur community." There is an obvious NON-harmonius
polarization evident within what MIGHT be called an "amateur
community."

You have NO "solution" to bring harmony to this mythical "amateur
community" with the possible exception of everyone holding fast
to the status quo, agreeing with the self-appointed mover-and-
shaker "representative" called the ARRL. None of those "solutions'
are democratic or even egalitarian. They are merely totalitarian
and antiquated as well as biased and elitist.

>There's still time to put it into proposal form and send it to FCC as
>a formal proposal.

>Suppose it were sent to FCC, and they gave it an RM number.
>And suppose it drew a lot of comments that supported it. Say
>75% in support.
>
>Who could then say it wasn't what the amateur community wants?

Trying to be "logical" on hypothetical situations of your own
devising is on the road to good old reducto ad absurdum city.

There are EIGHTEEN proposals before the FCC and roughly half
of those have been before them for two years. Has the FCC
acted on its own "housekeeping" NPRM for regulations yet?
And you now want to add a NINETEENTH proposal which - as you
presuppose - will garner a "75% 'approval'?" Ridiculous.

Where is this illustrious, "representative" league in terms of
going "in there" and shaking the regulatory process tree?
This "representative" attempted to oppose the revisions of

S25 despite the IARU already taking a stand in favor of that revision - publicly - two years prior. This "representative" failed to bring about a 60 meter amateur band and was relegated to appeasing the five channels instead. This "representative" (of all hams) has continued to fail in obtaining a below-MF amateur band for years...even though Europeans have enjoyed such privileges for years.

The "amateur community" is NOT the imaginary cohesive group of clubby members you fantasize. It is a wide-open diverse group whose "lowest classes" (Technicians) are fast approaching a MAJORITY of all U.S. radio amateur licensees. You MUST begin thinking much farther out than your own personal desires in order to advocate some "action." So far, you've NOT demonstrated any of that.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

239. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 20:24:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0KCxe.14657$4r2.17314865@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111649

Len:

You are right, they do look like a little like a dutch boy with his finger stuck in a dyke.

The only part which amazes me is that they fail to see or feel the flood waters which have already risen above their heads. This is all going on and no law, person or group even slows it a bit. Progress has a life of it owns, it waits for no man, no group, no law...

Funny thing is, amateur radio was implemented with the idea these "experimenters" would give back to the community in advances in the field--somewhere this got totally reversed and now they cry for more laws and regulations to halt progress--now I have never seen a better display of insanity!

But, somehow they think they can argue this as a "service" to their fellow citizens. Krist, the egyptian high priests who held their whole nation hostage were more progressive! At least they made good durable mummies...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120332432.891992.124240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 2, 1:24 am
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>If one ever gets serious about using HF for video, and HS data
>>transmission, this:
>>http://www.thiecom.de/english/?g313i/
>>is an excellent investment. The digital signal can be pulled
>>directly
>>off the PCI bus in the computer and fed to software. This company
>>supplies the software framework for just about anything you can
>>imagine, if you know how to code or know someone who does--the sky
>>is
>>the limit...
>
> John, lots of us know of data compression and maybe a few
> radio amateurs will acknowledge the elegant work of Claude
> Elwood Shannon back in 1947. But, that is really NOT the
> issue in here. Status quondam is the issue.
>
> Even worse, it is the stubborn, hidebound, refusal to break

> out of the antiquated standards and practices of pre-WW2
> times to meld with the rest of the world of modern times.
>
> The only "code" allowed by these dino-denizens of the past
> is MORSE code. Anything else, such as (horrors) "source code"
> is nothing but a bunch of NOPs with an occasional HCF. Those
> that have bought into it and passed the morse test will do
> more flaunting of their morsemanship than a convention of
> actors in Hollywood bragging of their credits. [they have
> no Variety]
>
> Using "examples" of half-GigaByte files "expected to be sent
> over little teeny narrowbanded enclaves of spectrum is itself
> an example of their non-thinking, non-research, non-educated
> attempts to stall any sort of progress. They can't do the
> numbers (despite flaunting of non-amateur titles), won't
> bother with looking up things, everything-is-just-fine-as-
> when-they-first-joined-long-ago-thankyouverymuch.
>
> Case in point: DRM (Digital Radio Mondial). Digitized audio
> on HF, now being transmitted (over two dozen programs now
> listed), capable of overcoming the selective fading common
> to the "wow" heard so many times on analog BC, tested for over
> four years on HF. High-quality audio fitting within a 12 KHz
> bandwidth, an occupancy no greater than present-day audio on
> broadcast. DRM may not be the technical best, but it IS a
> WORKING system. It works on LF, MF, HF, VHF. By test.
>
> A few years ago in here a bunch of narrowband, narrowthinker
> olde-fahrts exclaimed and exclaimed that "it won't work!"
> That was during the successful testing phase of DRM. The
> same group also decried GMDSS as "unworkable!" even though
> the maritime community had already researched and tested it
> and approved it worldwide. Morse code on 500 KHz MUST continue
> they said, ignoring what the SOLAS folks had already determined.
>
> The general idea of DRM, scaled for 2.5 KHz voice-only audio
> bandwidths is eminently possible on HF. Effects of selective
> fading on HF will be less than the wider bandwidth of broadcast
> audio. Further, since it already IS in digital form, it is
> applicable to direct-sequence spreading and the ability to put
> many signals on a given band without any mutual interference.
> The narrowband, narrowthink amateurs will have none of that.
> They will yank out the "12 KHz bandwidth" of DRM and shout it
> is way too broad for amateur use...while they totally ignore
> the scaling that can (and sometimes is) done for narrower band
> audio.
>
> The narrowband, narrowthink status quo-ists will demand "already-
> done, tested, approved, on-the-market" products to "demonstrate"

> that it will work. [they have in the past in here] :-) In
> other words, "don't bother me until I see the ads in QST" kind
> of mentality which seems to have become standard on the USA
> amateur scene. The narrowband, narrowthink hams are content
> with their narrow slices of spectrum, the bands appropriately
> sliced up into "bandplan" segments like separator boards in a
> sandbox. They have achieved Titles in their federal authority
> and haughtily parade that to play in the "nicer" parts of the
> sandbox.
>
> Analog-ONLY is the cry of the narrowband narrowthink group.
> Keep it SIMPLE so that the most theory they need is just
> Ohm's Law of Resistance. The have resistance to anything more
> complex. Stay with the gamesmanship, enter the contests for
> "radiosport" and win nice certificates (suitable for framing).
> Forget the exploring of the new, trying out something different.
> Too HARD to think. Follow preset rules and fill in the blanks.
> Big Brother in the NE will protect them. Offshore designers
> and makers will provide they radio toys, all their bells and
> whistles. :-(
>
> "Shannon's Law?" Ain't in Part 97. Fergit it...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

240. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 20:53:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120337604.532981.24580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111550

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 2, 11:08 am
> >K□B wrote:
> >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote
> >> > Even though I disagree with almost all of it, I
> >> > think it would be better if it were sent to FCC
> >> > as a formal proposal. Because it would then get
> >> > a lot more attention than it would as a comment.
> >> > Doesn't that seem sort of risky to you?

> >Sure, but that's not the issue.

> WHAT exactly *IS* the issue then?

Changing the rules to what best serves the amateur radio
service, Len.

> >IOW, I think your proposal deserves to be discussed in a
> >far wider forum than rrap.
> >
> >If the US amateur

[radio]

> >community as a whole rejects it, then no
> >one can say it wasn't presented at the appropriate time.
> >
> >If the US amateur

[radio]

> >community as a whole accepts it, then
> >no one can say it was the result of some small group
> >pushing their ideas on others.
>
> Define "amateur community."

In the case of FCC regulations, any person or group that is interested
enough to comment on proposed revisions to the
FCC rules affecting amateur radio.

That includes but is not limited to licensed radio amateurs,
unlicensed persons who are interested in amateur radio,
equipment manufacturers, clubs, national, regional and local
amateur radio organizations, and other interested parties.

I've never advocated that *any* interested party not be heard.
Never told anyone to "shut the hell up" in a newsgroup...

What's your definition of "amateur [radio] community", Len?

--

btw, thanks again for confirming what I had
long suspected....

241. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:11:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120338703.685330.171840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111551

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 2, 11:08 am
> > >K□B wrote:
> > >> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >> > Even though I disagree with almost all of it, I
> > >> > think it would be better if it were sent to FCC
> > >> > as a formal proposal. Because it would then get
> > >> > a lot more attention than it would as a comment.
> > >> > Doesn't that seem sort of risky to you?
>
> > >Sure, but that's not the issue.
>
> > WHAT exactly *IS* the issue then?
>
> Changing the rules to what best serves the amateur radio
> service, Len.

BUZZ the issue is what best allows the ARS to serve the public interest

```
>
> > >IOW, I think your proposal deserves to be discussed in a
> > >far wider forum than rrap.
> > >
> > >If the US amateur
>
> [radio]
>
> > >community as a whole rejects it, then no
> > >one can say it wasn't presented at the appropriate time.
> > >
> > >If the US amateur
>
> [radio]
>
> > >community as a whole accepts it, then
> > >no one can say it was the result of some small group
> > >pushing their ideas on others.
> >
> > Define "amateur community."
>
> In the case of FCC regulations, any person or group that is interested
> enough to comment on proposed revisions to the
> FCC rules affecting amateur radio.
```

>
> That includes but is not limited to licensed radio amateurs,
> unlicensed persons who are interested in amateur radio,
> equipment manufacturers, clubs, national, regional and local
> amateur radio organizations, and other interested parties.
>
> I've never advocated that *any* interested party not be heard.
> Never told anyone to "shut the hell up" in a newsgroup...
>
> What's your definition of "amateur [radio] community", Len?
>
> --
>
> btw, thanks again for confirming what I had
> long suspected....

242. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:27:37 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11ce1madqm1ft19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 111552

Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the mis-spelling?

Jim

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:0KCxe.14657$4r2.17314865@news.sisna.com...
> Len:
>
> You are right, they do look like a little like a dutch boy with his finger
> stuck in a dyke.

243. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:35:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120340112.899973.52630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111553

RST Engineering wrote:
> Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the mis-spelling?

No scatalogical implication, no reference as to where in the dyke. I
hope it is just a mispelling

>
> Jim
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0KCxe.14657$4r2.17314865@news.sisna.com...
> > Len:
> >
> > You are right, they do look like a little like a dutch boy with his finger
> > stuck in a dyke.

244. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:40:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ERDxe.2530$aY6.1158@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111554

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120268978.606952.228000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > How interesting.
>> >
>> Your just jealous that you can't copy it.
>
> Why should he be I suspect he could copy it if wants. I suspect I could
> if I cared
>
> Lets see I have HF capplable radio, and a PC ya I can likely copy it
>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
What is the PC for? To download instructions on how to operate the HF
radio? Surely not for copying Morse, right?

Dan/W4NTI

245. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:41:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120340493.493081.314340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111555

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > > Most US hams live on or near the coasts, too.
> > >
> > >> > What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places)
> > >> > won't necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).
> > >
> > >> > Most of the rest of the developed world places far more
> > >> > restrictions on the ownership of firearms than the USA.
> > >> > And they have far less violent crime, too. Since that
> > >> > seems to work for them, would you propose the USA adopt such
> > >> > restrictions?
> > >>
> > >> My proposal is to remove restrictions, not add them! You're the >
> > >> fella propounding that restrictions are a good deal.
> > >
> > > I'm saying that because something works in another country doesn't mean
> > > it will work here. Perhaps we should adopt Canada's health care system
> > > too? That would end the busloads of people going north on trips to buy
> > > their medicines at reasonable prices.
> >

Break

> > Almost nothing could be any worse than the state
> > of health care in the US.

Thrid world conuntries

>
> There are lots worse places for health care. That doesn't mean the US
> system is the best, or even acceptable.
>
> My point was that if you suggest to most US citizens that we
> accept Canada's health care system, they say no.

speak for yourself I d say yea sure it beats what we have
>
> > We could do a lot worse than Canada just by doing nothing.
>
> I've never had to deal with their health care system, so I don't know

> if it's better or worse. I do know that for some strange reason, the
> *same* prescription drugs made in the *same* factories are sometimes
> significantly less expensive in Canada.

I have dalt with it, and ALL medical care is cheaper there, I can get
and afford to get care there, one of the reason I am now living in
Michigan (right next to Canada) I get my fathers drugs there and most
of my own care

> >
> > >> I propose that along with freedom (from arbitrary restrictions)
> > >> comes the
> > >> responsibility to act responsibly, and I submit that generally
> > >> US hams have demonstrated that sort of responsibility.
> > >
> > > I submit that we don't fix what ain't broke.
> >
> > But it is 'broke'. If I have to go split to talk to the DX,
> > that's quite broke enough to need fixing.
>
> You have to go split to work DX for two reasons:
>
> 1) On 40 meters, hams outside Region 2 only have 7000-7100
>
> 2) On the other HF bands, and on 40 meters for DX in Region 2, the DX
> *chooses* to work split. They could work transceive if they wanted to,
> but they *prefer* to work split.
>
> Note that DX in Region 2 often works split on 40 even though
> they have 7000-7300.
>
> If the rules are changed so that you can call the DX on their
> frequency, they may still decide to work split.
>
> 7100-7200 will become worldwide exclusive amateur in a few years. Some
> countries outside Region 2 have already opened 7100-7200 to their hams,
> and SWBC continues to move out of there.
>
> Yet even if we eventually get 7000-7300 worldwide exclusive amateur,
> the DX will probably still work split.
> >
> > >> >> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies except
> > >> >> the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out of
> > >> >> the 'phone/image subbands.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
> > >> >> transmit. In
> > >> >> other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!
> > > >

> > > The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
> > > on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged
> > > violations using *voice* modes?
> > >
> >
> > I guess no-one caught that guy who used to send ..-. ..- -.-. -> .- on repeaters around here
>
> Guess not!
>
> Do you think the number of hams doing similar things on voice is more
> or less than those doing such stuff with Morse Code?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

246. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:52:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <A0Exe.16390$eM6.3656@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111556

Poor Lennie the loser, being such a historian on military and all, just
can't get that E5 Chevron arrangement right yet. Oh well....we know how you
are Lennie me boy. Too bad you don't realize it.

All this radio experience is as a what ? Operator? Gee Lennie, how hard is
it to push the button and yap into a mic? Or maybe it was you were a fixer
eh? I've seen the Army Tech Manuals, What was your echolon me boy?
Field perhaps? Not even allowed to change a component, other than a tube.
Hope your TV-7/U tester was in top notch shape.

Does the MOS 31V mean anything to you ?

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120274874.067569.299020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 22:42
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
> Oh, my, Dannie boy finished a whole six-pack of Billy Beer and
> now is feeling very "brave." Time for him to garbage-mouth
> some veterans...
>
>>Poor Lennie the loser is a real trip. Military comms and CB radio.
>
> And military VLF as a civilian...and military and civilian radio
> as a civilian...and civilian maritime radio as a civilian...and
> civilian mobile radio NOT CB as a civilian...plus lots of
> microwave radio things for the government and civil life as a
> civilian...leaving out civilian broadcasting as a civilian.
>
>>Then compares it to ham radio.
>
> Couldn't possibly do the mighty, noble, top-of-the-line, cutting-
> edge manual morse that the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society does,
> no sir!
>
>>Bottom line, the only thing they have in common
>>is the fact they operate on HF radio....period.
>
> WRONGO, Mongo. VLF, LF, MF, HF, VHF, UHF, microwaves (assorted
> bands) on up to 25 GHz.
>
>>Bottom line is he couldn't pass the CW test, and gave up.

>
> You betcha, sweatbreath. WASTE OF TIME forty-six years ago.
> Still a waste of time for me.
>
> Still a waste of time for anyone who wants to enter the hobby
> of amateur radio through FCC-regulated testing.
>
>>Now we get to
>>listen to him brag about shoving a broom around a transmitter site while a
>>lower ranked enlisted man. BIG DEAL.
>
> Poor Dannie boy, drunk as a skunk and stinkier.
>
> Dannie boy, you must stop ranking people according to YOUR
> accomplishments.
>
> "Lower ranked?" As an E-5, three up and one down, I was in the
> lowest category of NCOs, true. Supervisor, not a broom pusher.
>
> Speaking honestly, sweatbreath, I wouldn't put YOU in any QSY
> or maintenance task back then in the early 1950s. The gear was
> just too complex for someone who thinks the top-of-the-line in
> radio is doing manual morse. Tsk.
>
> Nobody did manual morse at ADA/RUAP back in the 1950s, Dannie.
> TTY and RTTY. One had to read in order to put the right tapes
> on the right machines. Reading would have been too difficult
> for you. Tsk, tsk.
>
> Let us know when you sober up...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

247. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:53:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <H1Exe.16393$eM6.6245@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111557

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120274956.216886.35840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 15:51
>
>
>>"Ginger Raveir" <qsl@viadaburojerk.com> wrote in message
>>news:da2dru$78f$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>>> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
>>> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
>>> core of the hobby.
>>>
>>Thats it....bring in the "Ham Radio is a racist organization".
>>
>>It isn't our fault more folks other than "white" dont join up. I have
>>seen
>>no obstructions put up to keep them out. So who is at fault here?
>
> You might look down at the big white sheet you are wearing.
>
> You know the one I mean, the uniform of the Kode Klucks Klan.
>
>>Right.
>
> OK, you understand. Now what are you going to DO about it?
>
> At least get it washed first. THIS year. Yech.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

I didn't know you were so familiar with the rights of the KKK. Please give
us more information. I am sure the FBI would be interested.....go head
dork.

Dan/W4NTI

248. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 21:55:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <H3Exe.16394$eM6.4632@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111558

"Hey Boy Riley" <priley@poorrileyboy.com> wrote in message
news:da50c8mcv0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:nTjxe.14746$pa3.2885@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> My neighbor just got a 7500 NAL to pay. He lives in a falling down
>> trailor and his wife works to support him.
>>
>> Oh...he is a Freebander, or should I say...he was a Freebander.
>>
>> And you call hams stupid?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "Freebander" <freebander@freeband.org> wrote in message
>> news:iog26rbfbpcu5du.010720051029@kirk...
>>> is it possible for a bunch of ancient/decrepit old men to get more
>>> anemic, senile, ridiculous, loathsome or "dumbed down?"
>>>
>>> amateurs take all the prizes when it come to stupidity
>>>
>>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:tZ9xe.2731$cb6.1671@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:N30xe.1674$aY6.1240@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes she did....then proceeded to show us how ignorant she was/is.
>>>>>
>>>>> Proof positive of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio....IMHO.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And, you're proof positive of what alcohol can do to a 1/2 way decent
>>>> mind.
>>>>
>>>> Kim W5TIT
>>>>
>>>>
>
>

> A NAL from the FCC is not worth the paper it is printed
> on. The FCC has no authority to collect.
>
>
>
They don't have to collect it. They just pass it down the line to the next
agency of the Federal Government that does.

FCC did it job just fine.

Dan/W4NTI

249. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 22:01:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120341666.114901.20770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111559

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120268978.606952.228000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
> >> >>
> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> > How interesting.
> >> >
> >> Your just jealous that you can't copy it.
> >
> > Why should he be I suspect he could copy it if wants. I suspect I could
> > if I cared
> >
> > Lets see I have HF capplable radio, and a PC ya I can likely copy it
> >
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> What is the PC for? To download instructions on how to operate the HF
> radio? Surely not for copying Morse, right?

To copy the morse. saw a lovely demstration of it on FD,

Indeed with the cw trafic I saw this year on 6m I have been encouraged
to added such a cappicaty and switch between 6m vioce and 6m cw next
year for FD

>
> Dan/W4NTI

250. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 22:02:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6aExe.15096$pa3.10748@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111560

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120274617.727644.291090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI"<DumshitDan_southern-fried_dipshit@KKK_R_US> on Fri 1
> Jul 2005 22:35
>
>>There it is folks, a disgruntled CBer that couldn't learn the code and
>>failed his ham test.
>>
>>So much for Lennie the loser.
>>
>>(of course now he will deny he actually tried to take the test.....well at
>>least that is how he remembers it).
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dannie thinks he is kicking stray dogs again...
>
> I DID take THE test...with the FCC...in Chicago...at the beginning
> of March, 1956. For a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial)
> Operator license. Passed. One sitting, interrupted only by a fire
> drill in the Federal building that day.
>
> NEVER took a ham test with the FCC, VEC, or FDA.
>
> Took a couple of practice written tests on the Internet...passed
> them, too. No problem. Rather low-level knowledge of radio,
> mostly memorization of existing regulations.
>
> Has Dannie ever taken any COLLEGE LEVEL ENGINEERING COURSES?
> And the TESTS that go with those? I have. Passed them, too.
>
> Actually, I've "passed" the most stringent TEST of all...using
> and applying gained knowledge to insure a paycheck arrived
> regularly from my employer (as an electronics design engineer...
> and income derived for my partner-ship (which involved a base
> and mobile radio requiring that FCC Radiotelephone license).
> Passed those, too.
>
> Just what DID Dannie Dipshit "pass" besides gas and a morse
> code test? "Out" maybe?
>
> Have a nice evening down at the VFW hall tonight. Try to avoid
> that quadruplegic lest you get beat up again.
>
> Temper fry.
>

Very impresive indeed Lennie. Got any proof? Your the one that demand proof all the time....put it up right here. You have a scanner, right?

I never had a need for the 1st or 2nd commercial. Most to all of my electronics technicial work was done with military contractors, or companies that had a 1st ph there already. Of course you do realize that all the first pnones and seconds were just dropped away. So they really didn't amount to much anyway.

Sorta like the ham tests of today. And hey good deal on passing the on-line tests of today. Pre teen age children do the same with ease. What does that tell you Lennie?

I don't belong to the VFW Lennie. They didn't want any Vietnam Vets to join a few years ago (course they want us now), so I gave them their wish. I am a lifetime member of the DAV however. Oh you know them don't ya Lennie? Only way to get in is to be disabled while in military service and have a service connected rating.

We don't have a local branch I'm sorry to say. I may join with the Vietnam Veterans of America, ain't decided just yet.

See ya.

Dan/W4NTI

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

251. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 22:03:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120341817.957104.31740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111561

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1120274956.216886.35840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 15:51
> >
> >
> >>"Ginger Raveir" <qsl@viadaburojerk.com> wrote in message
> >>news:da2dru$78f$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >>> Wake up and smell the coffee. Ham radio is and has been for many
> >>> years, a dead and dying hobby, where today old white men form the
> >>> core of the hobby.
> >>>
> >>Thats it....bring in the "Ham Radio is a racist organization".
> >>
> >>It isn't our fault more folks other than "white" dont join up. I have
> >>seen
> >>no obstructions put up to keep them out. So who is at fault here?
> >
> > You might look down at the big white sheet you are wearing.
> >
> > You know the one I mean, the uniform of the Kode Klucks Klan.
> >
> >>Right.
> >
> > OK, you understand. Now what are you going to DO about it?
> >
> > At least get it washed first. THIS year. Yech.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >

break
>
> I didn't know you were so familiar with the rights of the KKK. Please give
> us more information. I am sure the FBI would be interested.....go head
> dork.

The KKK, as well as, the Nazi's, the commies all have the same rights
as the rest of us. There are those at the FBI that would like to change
that but thier it is

as to the uniforms of KKK's that info is in the public domain
>
> Dan/W4NTI

252. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 22:05:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tdExe.16638$PT2.18336869@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111565

"A dyke (or dike) is a stone or earthen wall constructed as a defense
or as a boundary. The best known form of dyke is a construction built
along the edge of a body of water to prevent it from flooding onto an
adjacent lowland. However dykes have also been built as field
boundaries and as military defenses. More on this type of dyke can be
found in the article on dry-stone dykes."
en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dyke_(construction)

I fail to really see your point, however, I did not miss the fact you
point to your lack of education, I wonder if that was really your
intent?

John

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in message
news:11ce1madqm1ft19@corp.supernews.com...
> Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the
> mis-spelling?
>
> Jim
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0KCxe.14657$4r2.17314865@news.sisna.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> You are right, they do look like a little like a dutch boy with his
>> finger stuck in a dyke.
>
>

253. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 22:10:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120342217.325661.248830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

thanks i was wondering if I had missed something

Roger's Lord And Master wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > not to be ense but what does this thread have to do with Ham radio?
> >
> Little, actually, but for the fact that the exceedingly vile posts are
> being placed here by AB8MQ.

254. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 22:53:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120344818.418377.27260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111563

From: "John Smith" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 13:24

>Len:
>
>You are right, they do look like a little like a dutch boy with his
>finger stuck in a dyke.

Tsk, clever wordplay in this heavily-homophobic group of PCTA
extras isn't going to be noticed much...:-)

>The only part which amazes me is that they fail to see or feel the
>flood waters which have already risen above their heads. This is all
>going on and no law, person or group even slows it a bit. Progress
>has a life of it owns, it waits for no man, no group, no law...

Well, my take on THIS group of worshippers at the Church
of St. Hiram is that they are (unconsciously) try to hold
back the time. They seem to long for an earlier time when
they got started in ham radio, at least three decades past.
By holding onto those "early days" they feel they can stave
off encroaching age.

Three decades and more ago were a "simpler time" in radio.
Most radios were analog. Only a few high-end models had
things like digital readout of frequency, for example. DSP
was a thing for the future. These old timers could barely
understand basic analog circuits in "radio." Give them a
digital thing and they were lost. ["whuzzat? a lil bug?
we don' need no stinkin' digital! give us "radio!"]

Claude Shannon gave the entire communications world his laws
in 1947. Trouble is, Claude's landmark paper used a Teletype
as an example. Olde-fahrt morsemen didn't pay attention,
thought it didn't apply to their beloved "code." It did, and
the ARRL Handbook early on had the (unreferenced) statement of
noise versus bandwidth (of filters) and never went much farther.
Few hams had teleprinters in 1948. They had beloved MORSE
CODE! Supposedly morse code information "does not apply" to
Shannon's Laws...and has been argued as such in here in the
past (mainly by a now-SK Missourian). Sheesh. (to be polite)

As Yogi Berra said, "The future ain't what it usta' be..."

>Funny thing is, amateur radio was implemented with the idea these
>"experimenters" would give back to the community in advances in the
>field--somewhere this got totally reversed and now they cry for more
>laws and regulations to halt progress--now I have never seen a better

>display of insanity!

It's the antithesis of experimentation. A "fill in the blanks"
kind of rote work that pleases those who just want to play in
a sandbox and pretend to be "pioneers advancing the state of
the (merchandising) art." They know NOT of what is behind their
front panels but they take emotional sustenance in feeling the
nice knobs and admiring the glowing digital displays. They
READ of experimentation once in a while in QST, learn the buzz-
words (from the ads therein) and pretend to know state-of-the-
art. Shrug.

>But, somehow they think they can argue this as a "service" to their
>fellow citizens. Krist, the egyptian high priests who held their whole
>nation hostage were more progressive! At least they made good durable
>mummies...

Tut, Tut! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

255. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 23:01:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120344897.326484.97300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111564

From: "RST Engineering" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 14:27

>Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the mis-spelling?
>
>Jim
>
>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:0KCxe.14657$4r2.17314865@news.sisna.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> You are right, they do look like a little like a dutch boy with his finger
>> stuck in a dyke.

"Scatalogic" no. Somewhat "erotic," perhaps. Clever word play,
most definitely! :-)

This group is NOT fully fired up on all bands, Jim. Few have the
reading perception to see any sort of humorous word play. A few
of them lean towards the "humerus" side, wanting to break a bone
of anyone talking against them. :-)

Ever fire up that LCie4 package I sent you?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

256. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-02 23:19:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xiFxe.18047$173.19081288@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111573

Len:

The words and wit which flow from your rather quick mind are
enlightening, entertaining and enjoyable, if not for you, this thread
is rather drab and boring...

... doesn't the chanting from the ARRL/FCC monks and worshipers ever
annoy you? It drives me nuts! <grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120344818.418377.27260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 13:24
>
>>Len:
>>
>>You are right, they do look like a little like a dutch boy with his
>>finger stuck in a dyke.
>
> Tsk, clever wordplay in this heavily-homophobic group of PCTA
> extras isn't going to be noticed much...:-)
>
>>The only part which amazes me is that they fail to see or feel the
>>flood waters which have already risen above their heads. This is
>>all
>>going on and no law, person or group even slows it a bit. Progress
>>has a life of it owns, it waits for no man, no group, no law...
>
> Well, my take on THIS group of worshippers at the Church
> of St. Hiram is that they are (unconsciously) try to hold
> back the time. They seem to long for an earlier time when
> they got started in ham radio, at least three decades past.
> By holding onto those "early days" they feel they can stave
> off encroaching age.
>
> Three decades and more ago were a "simpler time" in radio.
> Most radios were analog. Only a few high-end models had
> things like digital readout of frequency, for example. DSP
> was a thing for the future. These old timers could barely
> understand basic analog circuits in "radio." Give them a
> digital thing and they were lost. ["whuzzat? a lil bug?
> we don' need no stinkin' digital! give us "radio!"]
>
> Claude Shannon gave the entire communications world his laws

> in 1947. Trouble is, Claude's landmark paper used a Teletype
> as an example. Olde-fahrt morsemen didn't pay attention,
> thought it didn't apply to their beloved "code." It did, and
> the ARRL Handbook early on had the (unreferenced) statement of
> noise versus bandwidth (of filters) and never went much farther.
> Few hams had teleprinters in 1948. They had beloved MORSE
> CODE! Supposedly morse code information "does not apply" to
> Shannon's Laws...and has been argued as such in here in the
> past (mainly by a now-SK Missourian). Sheesh. (to be polite)
>
> As Yogi Berra said, "The future ain't what it usta' be..."
>
>>Funny thing is, amateur radio was implemented with the idea these
>>"experimenters" would give back to the community in advances in the
>>field--somewhere this got totally reversed and now they cry for more
>>laws and regulations to halt progress--now I have never seen a
>>better
>>display of insanity!
>
> It's the antithesis of experimentation. A "fill in the blanks"
> kind of rote work that pleases those who just want to play in
> a sandbox and pretend to be "pioneers advancing the state of
> the (merchandising) art." They know NOT of what is behind their
> front panels but they take emotional sustenance in feeling the
> nice knobs and admiring the glowing digital displays. They
> READ of experimentation once in a while in QST, learn the buzz-
> words (from the ads therein) and pretend to know state-of-the-
> art. Shrug.
>
>>But, somehow they think they can argue this as a "service" to their
>>fellow citizens. Krist, the egyptian high priests who held their
>>whole
>>nation hostage were more progressive! At least they made good
>>durable
>>mummies...
>
> Tut, Tut! :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

257. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:10:49 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11ceb8b93grlg09@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 111566

Most of us prefer to use Webster as opposed to that monument of ignorance called Wikopedia. In the United States version of English, a dike is used to hold back water. A dyke is a slang term for lesbian.

Sorry, sir, your lack of both education and street smarts shows.

Jim

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:tdExe.16638$PT2.18336869@news.sisna.com...
> "A dyke (or dike) is a stone or earthen wall constructed as a defense or
> as a boundary.
> I fail to really see your point, however, I did not miss the fact you
> point to your lack of education, I wonder if that was really your intent?

258. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:12:35 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11cebbme4g5j36b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 111567

Not only fired it up, but passed it along to every student every semester
from then to now. Sorry, I thought I emailed you back that I thought it was
really a great thing.

Jim

>
> Ever fire up that LCie4 package I sent you?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

259. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:28:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%iGxe.19388$Cq3.19869857@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111574

Webster is wrong.

Dyke is a word with roots coming from holland and surrounding areas
(and not coincidently, they also have a lot of dykes holding back
water--probably the name is indicative of the first man building
one--example, Van Dyke.)

Dike (a greek goddess of justice) is a reference to an ancient
goddess, and its' reference to lesbians sprang from there.

Because american dictionaries got it wrong is a surprise, however you
will find correct references in any decent european/english
dictionary--or a google search.

John

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in message
news:11ceb8b93grlg09@corp.supernews.com...
> Most of us prefer to use Webster as opposed to that monument of
> ignorance called Wikopedia. In the United States version of
> English, a dike is used to hold back water. A dyke is a slang term
> for lesbian.
>
> Sorry, sir, your lack of both education and street smarts shows.
>
> Jim
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:tdExe.16638$PT2.18336869@news.sisna.com...
>> "A dyke (or dike) is a stone or earthen wall constructed as a
>> defense or as a boundary.
>> I fail to really see your point, however, I did not miss the fact
>> you point to your lack of education, I wonder if that was really
>> your intent?
>
>

260. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:42:29 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <VvGxe.3302$cb6.558@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111568

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:H3Exe.16394$eM6.4632@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> >
> >
> They don't have to collect it. They just pass it down the line to the
next
> agency of the Federal Government that does.
>
> FCC did it job just fine.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

"its" Gosh, you're not infallible. How 'bout that!

Kim W5TIT

261. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:44:15 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <zxGxe.3303$cb6.1200@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111569

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:H1Exe.16393$eM6.6245@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>
> I didn't know you were so familiar with the rights of the KKK. Please
give
> us more information. I am sure the FBI would be interested.....go head
> dork.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

go "a"head, Dork. Gosh you are not infallible. How 'bout that!

Kim W5TIT

262. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:48:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xJednZqvjPpWqFrfRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111570

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>'Bandplan? We don't need no steenkin' bandplan!'
>
>
> I think bandplans are great, Jim. A bandplan is a dynamic construct, generally
> agreed by the band users, which adapts to "market forces".
>
> But FCC doesn't hand out dynamic bandplans; they hand out static band-mandates
> which tend to remain unchanged for multiple decades (a geologic age in a hobby
> which is charged by regulation to "contribute to the advancement of the radio
> art").

I'm a tad confuseld here. I thought that the only place where there was
a specific segment that restricted modes was CW on parts of 6 and two
meters, and voice only on the 60 meter allocations.

I thought the rest was bandplan.

- Mike KB3EIA -

263. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:51:22 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <eEGxe.3304$cb6.312@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111571

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:6aExe.15096$pa3.10748@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> >
>
> Very impresive indeed Lennie. Got any proof? Your the one that demand
> proof all the time....put it up right here. You have a scanner, right?
>

"impressive" You're "demands"

> I never had a need for the 1st or 2nd commercial. Most to all of my
> electronics technicial work was done with military contractors, or
> companies that had a 1st ph there already. Of course you do realize that
> all the first pnones and seconds were just dropped away. So they really
> didn't amount to much anyway.
>

Of course, pnones?

> Sorta like the ham tests of today. And hey good deal on passing the
on-line
> tests of today. Pre teen age children do the same with ease. What does
> that tell you Lennie?
>

And, hey, you, Lennie?

> I don't belong to the VFW Lennie. They didn't want any Vietnam Vets to
join
> a few years ago (course they want us now), so I gave them their wish. I
> am a lifetime member of the DAV however. Oh you know them don't ya
Lennie?
> Only way to get in is to be disabled while in military service and have a
> service connected rating.
>

VFW, Lennie. ('course...) DAV, however. Oh, ya, Lennie? in, is

> We don't have a local branch I'm sorry to say. I may join with the
Vietnam
> Veterans of America, ain't decided just yet.
>
> See ya.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

branch, I'm.

So, several posts, with typical human error. Is that ignorance of the very language you speak, Dan? Remember how you go off on tirades all the time about my "ignorance" of ham radio. Payback is hell, ain't it?

I'll quit now. I made my point, even though you'll be indignantly opposed to it.

Kim W5TIT :)

264. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 00:54:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ve-dnesPMYHzqlrfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 111572

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:xJednZqvjPpWqFrfRVn-oA@adelphia.com...
> K�HB wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>>'Bandplan? We don't need no steenkin' bandplan!'
>>
>>
>> I think bandplans are great, Jim. A bandplan is a dynamic construct,
>> generally agreed by the band users, which adapts to "market forces".
>>
>> But FCC doesn't hand out dynamic bandplans; they hand out static
>> band-mandates which tend to remain unchanged for multiple decades (a
>> geologic age in a hobby which is charged by regulation to "contribute to
>> the advancement of the radio art").
>
> I'm a tad confuseld here. I thought that the only place where there was a
> specific segment that restricted modes was CW on parts of 6 and two
> meters, and voice only on the 60 meter allocations.
>
> I thought the rest was bandplan.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

No all the other HF bands have some degree of mandated restrictions.
However none of the HF bands have CW only segments. HF does have CW/FSK
only segments that phone, fax, SSTV must stay out of by regulation.

I'm pretty sure you know all this but are just momentarily confused.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

265. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 01:07:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_SGxe.261$BK1.132@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111575

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> I'm a tad confuseld here. I thought that the only place where there was a
> specific segment that restricted modes was CW on parts of 6 and two meters,
> and voice only on the 60 meter allocations.
>

To get un-confuseld, (or perhaps more confuseld) ponder &#65533;97.305. Basically it says "(a) you can use CW anywhere", and then a lot of slicing and dicing and segregating and restricting for several pages.

And oh-by-the-way &#65533;97.305(a) is a lie; contrary to "you can use CW anywhere", there are two "bands" where you can't use CW.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

266. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 01:30:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120354248.567823.229610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111576

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120268978.606952.228000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
> >> >>
> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> > How interesting.
> >> >
> >> Your just jealous that you can't copy it.
> >
> > Why should he be I suspect he could copy it if wants. I suspect I could
> > if I cared
> >
> > Lets see I have HF capplable radio, and a PC ya I can likely copy it
> >
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> What is the PC for? To download instructions on how to operate the HF
> radio? Surely not for copying Morse, right?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Ha! You old fharts. I suppose the Op at KPH is going to send code so
poorly that a runny nosed Technician with a computer won't be able to
copy it. Sounds like W0EX.

267. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 02:01:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <CIqdnVxWiet621rfRVn-2A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111577

RST Engineering wrote:
> Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the mis-spelling?

But I'm waiting to catch any technological solution to the digital
image transmission problem at hand.

I read much invective.

I read very little that is tangible.

Not even how modern video compression techniques could be applied to
Amateur TV. *That* is one area in which some advances could be made.

But it looks like invective is what we have to settle for.

- Mike KB3EIA -

268. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 02:06:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <QvWdnRPJBs3V1VrfRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111578

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> The words and wit which flow from your rather quick mind are
> enlightening, entertaining and enjoyable, if not for you, this thread
> is rather drab and boring...

John, do you have a technical dissertation on digital transmission of imagery on HF? Not how it can be done, of course. That is a given. But how it can be done practically, as in a reasonable amount of time.

I agree that Len is quick witted. It keeps me reading his posts.

- Ciao - Mike KB3EIA -

269. Great Liberty Net 3.956

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 02:10:08 UTC
From: Weebus Saggytits <weebeefoofoo7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2acd5$42c7490c$d1cc4118$6025@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 111579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
3.956 - Be there or be confused ;)
```

270. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 02:20:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QXHxe.21582$eJ3.20581489@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111583

Mike:

"Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology which, it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not implemented yet (you can still see SSTV in museums run by private hams--i.e, their "radio shack" and in current use by a few "dinosaur hams.")

The technology is on the shelf, so I take it your real question is, "How come no one has asked/petitioned the FCC to use a "real" video mode on amateur radio yet?"

And, if so, if that is your question, I fail to come up with a good answer--but like I stated earlier, listen to some of the data streams you hear on VHF/UHF/SHF--those sound faster than 300 baud modems, don't you think?

Or, maybe it is just my imagination? Gee, I never thought of it, you don't suppose a some of those are freebanders, do you? <grin>

You do know we are all going to digital TV soon, don't you? I mean digital broadcast TV, surely by then the hams will take the hint, don't you think?

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:CIqdnVxWiet621rfRVn-2A@adelphia.com...
> RST Engineering wrote:
>> Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the
>> mis-spelling?
>
> But I'm waiting to catch any technological solution to the digital
> image transmission problem at hand.
>
> I read much invective.
>
> I read very little that is tangible.
>
> Not even how modern video compression techniques could be applied to
> Amateur TV. *That* is one area in which some advances could be made.
>
> But it looks like invective is what we have to settle for.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

271. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.956

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 02:43:10 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <2hlxe.95314$g5.42563@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 111580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Naaa...you CB'ers have fun!

"Weebus Saggytits" <weebeefoofoo7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2acd5$42c7490c$d1cc4118$6025@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> 3.956 - Be there or be confused ;)
>
>
>
>

272. Re: Great Liberty Net 3.956

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 02:44:59 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <LiIxe.95328$g5.27782@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 111582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You CBPlussers have fun!

"Weebus Saggytits" <weebeefoofoo7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2acd5$42c7490c$d1cc4118$6025@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> 3.956 - Be there or be confused ;)
>
>
>
>

273. DeVry Correspondence Degree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 02:47:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <barf@lloydpizzabarf.org>
Message-ID: <da7jcghas0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111581

Does anyone know how good the correspondence graduate
degree program is at DeVry? I hear one can get his Master's
degree pretty quickly.

73,

Lloyd

274. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 03:02:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LP-dnf4hddfJyFrfRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111584

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology which,
> it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not implemented yet (you
> can still see SSTV in museums run by private hams--i.e, their "radio
> shack" and in current use by a few "dinosaur hams.")

Okay, John, I understand completely where you are coming from. I ask
for no more.

- Mike KB3EIA -

275. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 03:19:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1PIxe.22681$ES3.20841564@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111585

Mike:

Isn't it quite obvious the best method would be the pci shortwave card to receive and feed the signal to a software decoder based in the same computer as the card and feeding the decoded video signal to the video card of the computer for viewing on the monitor? (I have the sw card and am toying with it in my spare time but have a lot on the plate right now, and I am addicted to news groups, instant messaging, irc and webcams <grin>)

And, a transmitter feeding from an digital output from a software modem running on the computer via the sound card to transmit the digitized video?

And, the second best method would be to kludge together an external modem to feed the mic input on a transmitter from a software encoder running on the computer, to broadcast digitized video.

And, on the receiving end, the same or a similar modem being feed from a digital output from the transceiver (dac/adc converter installed between transceiver and computer--or implemented as software using the sound card) to a software decoder running on the computer and feeding the computers video card.

I mean there are several roads which all lead to the same end here. Plus, a person in the industry with access to the parts and facilities should be able to put together a dedicated device...

The one I see in practical use, uses the "kludged modem" dac/adc conversion, it functions well, in well I mean is much superior to SSTV--indeed, it is still in use to this day 15 frames per second of BW video is normal with good signal strength...

I am not a "real hardware person" (my degree in that field is from 1972 and makes me a bit of a "hardware dinosaur" <frown>), I am a hacker (but am able to fool my boss well enough to call me a Sr. Software Engineer in assembly/C++ <grin>) all I did was write the code to interface the modems/sound cards with the kludged hardware, I can tell you about the data compaction and rs-232 communications between the serial port-computer-modem and the decoding of the digital signal from the sound card back to a video signal to feed to the computers video card/monitor...

To put it simply, the way the kludge works is that the "phone line" between the two modems (one on a receiver to grab the "digital video signal" from an output on that receiver, and one on the transmitter to feed the video signal) is just like they work on a phone line, only

you have replaced the phone line with a digital modulated audio signal modulating the rf signal...

The guy who built the adc (analog to digital converter) and dac (digital to analog converter) says there is a better way to do this via the sound card its digital in/out ports and the transceivers--and ditch the hardware modems all together--we worked on this and have it at "proof of concept" stage, however we never get the time to get back together and realize it as fully functional...

To be honest with you, during my whole lifetime I have built a few basic receivers/transmitters and many, many linears and antennas--that is about the extent of my hardware experience. I have been gifted to have family members and friends who have a much greater interest in hardware.

Let me be frank on this one point. I would be slow to put you in contact with any of the young men here running this equip.--they are trusting and would be easy to take advantage of and get into trouble. These amateur news groups have demonstrated the true petty nature of hams and how turning a person in for minor infractions of rules and regulations really gets the old women fired up here and calling for blood! I have been burned by petty hams in the past!

Certainly here there are hardware gurus who can explain all this much better than my capabilities... where are the hams who are using this technology in the "real world?"

I can't believe a "hardware type" hasn't already chimed in here and is already offering block diagrams and schematics on how to build one! Have you insulted all those away?

Surely after this post of mine they will chime in...

Even if you are not a programmer, I think there is probably a way to make windows media player decode/encode the video to a protocol like .asf (broadcast media which is already broadcast over the internet via dialup modems at low fps) or such which would be acceptable to broadcast video over the bandwidths in question at acceptable fps (frames per second)... surely there are enough skilled people here to put together a workable project, aren't there?

Don't be afraid to speak up hardware techies!!! Or, is Len right, you have slaughtered all the "digital youngsters" with your large dinosaur egos?

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:QvWdnRPJBs3V1VrfRVn-3Q@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>

>> The words and wit which flow from your rather quick mind are
>> enlightening, entertaining and enjoyable, if not for you, this
>> thread is rather drab and boring...
>
> John, do you have a technical dissertation on digital transmission
> of imagery on HF? Not how it can be done, of course. That is a
> given. But how it can be done practically, as in a reasonable amount
> of time.
>
> I agree that Len is quick witted. It keeps me reading his posts.
>
> - Ciao - Mike KB3EIA -

276. Re: DeVry Correspondence Degree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 03:39:07 UTC
From: Franciscvs Antoninvs <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <nYmdnYKhbtFGwFrfRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 111586

DeVry is a joke regardless of the type of degree.
If you are seriously considering pursuing a Master's degree, I would only
consider such schools as:

University of Pittsburgh

or

The Pennsylvania State University.

"Lloyd" <barf@lloydpizzabarf.org> wrote in message
news:da7jcghas0@pita.alt.net...
> Does anyone know how good the correspondence graduate
> degree program is at DeVry? I hear one can get his Master's
> degree pretty quickly.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

277. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 03:50:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VfJxe.23249$WT3.20884499@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111589

Mike:

No. I don't think you understand anything. But, I think I read you loud and clear. You want to pretend everyone is making fun of poor old ancient hams and they don't deserve it. Get real, they need it alright, they need to wake up.

Get real and quit asking stupid questions. Video broadcasts over the internet are going on all the time--and are of much better quality than SSTV.

Now, all you have to do is replace your phone line with a rf signal and you have the same thing between two stations. Not only should a child realize this is possible, but anyone arguing different should be given a three day mental examination.

No. I know your game far too well mike, you are sneaky and underhanded. You seek to manipulate the less technical savvy into thinking simple things are impossible just because they are NOT happening on ham radio.

The truth is your abusive nature of cheap tricks and manipulative spews of textual attacks have turned off the technically savvy, the youngsters who think in digital signals and they aren't here... we are left with a bunch of ancient know-it-all-hams who can't hit their butts with both hands, huh?

No. Truth is you are reaping just what you have sown, you have resisted change and chased off all the younger minds who would bring change with them, then you sent and tap on ancient brass keys (probably vibroflexs from the 70's in reality) and convince yourselves you are doing a "service", you are doing a service alright, it is called a "snow job!"

Shame on all your silly butts, and you have only yourselves to blame!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:QvWdnRPJBs3V1VrfRVn-3Q@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> The words and wit which flow from your rather quick mind are
>> enlightening, entertaining and enjoyable, if not for you, this
>> thread is rather drab and boring...
>

> John, do you have a technical dissertation on digital transmission
> of imagery on HF? Not how it can be done, of course. That is a
> given. But how it can be done practically, as in a reasonable amount
> of time.
>
> I agree that Len is quick witted. It keeps me reading his posts.
>
> - Ciao - Mike KB3EIA -

278. Re: DeVry Correspondence Degree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 04:02:51 UTC
From: Roger's Lord And Master <"Prince Lloyd"@Glendale>
Message-ID: <da7nvp$5c1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111587

Lloyd wrote:
> Does anyone know how good the correspondence graduate
> degree program is at DeVry? I hear one can get his Master's
> degree pretty quickly.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
I know of only one person who may be able to answer your query,
but he is currently busy stalking Lloyd Davies.
Apparently it is not overly difficult to do since Devry has low
standards for admission. Devry accepts anybody who has the money,
even the mental misfits who have a problem with RMS voltage and
spelling disabilities.

279. Re: DeVry Correspondence Degree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 04:38:26 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <da7q46$mc7$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 111588

"Lloyd" <barf@lloydpizzabarf.org> wrote in message
news:da7jcghas0@pita.alt.net...
> Does anyone know how good the correspondence graduate
> degree program is at DeVry? I hear one can get his Master's
> degree pretty quickly.

Do not, I repeat, do not, put firecrackers in your ears or nose. Just sit quietly in the shade, and wait for someone big to help you with your slice of watermelon. And don't swallow the seeds, or they'll sprout out of your ears!

280. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 05:16:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qwKxe.12369$jX6.8462@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111590

Mike Coslo wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Mike:
>>
>> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology which,
>> it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not implemented yet (you
>> can still see SSTV in museums run by private hams--i.e, their "radio
>> shack" and in current use by a few "dinosaur hams.")
>
>
>
> Okay, John, I understand completely where you are coming from. I ask
> for no more.

It is interesting that "John Smith" made the same error that Len made
some time ago. Amateur TV and SSTV are not at all the same thing.
For that matter, neither are dead. ATV is quite alive and SSTV is
simply implemented differently, via the use of soundcards.

Dave K8MN

281. Re: DeVry Correspondence Degree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 05:25:18 UTC
From: Not Roger <NotMe@Iowa>
Message-ID: <da7sqb$474$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111591

"W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message
news:da7q46$mc7$1@domitilla.aioe.org...

"Lloyd" <barf@lloydpizzabarf.org> wrote in message
news:da7jcghas0@pita.alt.net...
> Does anyone know how good the correspondence graduate
> degree program is at DeVry? I hear one can get his Master's
> degree pretty quickly.

Do not, I repeat, do not, put firecrackers in your ears or nose. Just sit
quietly in the shade, and wait for someone big to help you with your slice
of
watermelon. And don't swallow the seeds, or they'll sprout out of your ears!

Oh, I see. Does this mean that DeVry specializes in Horticulture and
Pyrotechnics?
I get it. You're just ribbing me, right?

282. Re: SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 05:27:39 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <fHKxe.2763$aY6.1100@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111592

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> http://www.alexander.n.se/transmissions.htm
>
> 17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator
>

You call that RF? Young people can *hear* that frequency!
:-)

283. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 05:30:31 UTC
From: Jerry Miller <Aviance@noemailaddress>
Message-ID: <da7t45$5mn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111593

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Mike:
>>>
>>> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology
>>> which, it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not implemented
>>> yet (you can still see SSTV in museums run by private hams--i.e,
>>> their "radio shack" and in current use by a few "dinosaur hams.")
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Okay, John, I understand completely where you are coming from. I
>> ask for no more.
>
>
> It is interesting that "John Smith" made the same error that Len made
> some time ago. Amateur TV and SSTV are not at all the same thing.
> For that matter, neither are dead. ATV is quite alive and SSTV is
> simply implemented differently, via the use of soundcards.
>
> Dave K8MN

Interesting and true, Dave.
But do you really waste your time reading Lennie's posts?
The oldster is a Troll and hardly worth responding to.
```

284. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 05:47:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QZKxe.25508$bb4.21549169@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111599

Dave:

I find it quite obvious there is no real amateur tv, what you have is what has been stolen from others... let's just make sure in the end the rightful experimenters and developers get credit...

... webcams have just been discovered by hams! EXTRA! EXTRA! Hams discover old technology, get your copy here! ROFLOL

live video feeds on vhf have been in use by the police cars in my city for over two years now... and those guys are always behind everyone else!

wireless wans have been sending video from webcams between points for longer... get real guys... like rip van winkle you are just waking up to the future... ROFLOL

... hey, what is amateur radio good for anyway, old men to pass gas and rant at each other... ain't it about time you start earning your keep?

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:qwKxe.12369$jX6.8462@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Mike:
>>>
>>> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology
>>> which, it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not
>>> implemented yet (you can still see SSTV in museums run by private
>>> hams--i.e, their "radio shack" and in current use by a few
>>> "dinosaur hams.")
>>
>>
>>
>> Okay, John, I understand completely where you are coming from.
>> I ask for no more.
>
> It is interesting that "John Smith" made the same error that Len
> made some time ago. Amateur TV and SSTV are not at all the same
> thing.
> For that matter, neither are dead. ATV is quite alive and SSTV is
> simply implemented differently, via the use of soundcards.

>
> Dave K8MN

285. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 06:00:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120370448.796681.103710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111595

From: "RST Engineering" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 17:10

>Most of us prefer to use Webster as opposed to that monument of ignorance
>called Wikopedia. In the United States version of English, a dike is used
>to hold back water. A dyke is a slang term for lesbian.

Ahem...dragging down my old "Websters" [Webster's New Collegiate
Dictionary, Merriam-Webster 1961], I looked under "dyke." All
it has there for a definition is "variation of 'dike.'"

>Sorry, sir, your lack of both education and street smarts shows.

Well, that's how it goes...:-)

By the way, I've always had two pairs of "dikes/dykes" in my
toolbox since around 1947. Formally those are called "wire
cutters." However, I've not yet encountered anyone in an
electronics lab anywhere that did NOT know what the pronounced
familiar name was... :-)

Oh, yeah, long ago I learned that a threaded-rod fastener
was called a "screw." And its receptacle was a "nut."
In a United States high school physics class I learned that
a "screw" was one of the Basic Machines!

Ooooo...lots of jollies with words! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

286. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 06:02:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120370522.903713.248450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111594

From: "RST Engineering" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 17:12

>Not only fired it up, but passed it along to every student every semester
>from then to now. Sorry, I thought I emailed you back that I thought it was
>really a great thing.

Okay, good on that. Just remember the author. :-)

By the way, LCie4's redeeming feature is the ability to change
any single L or C value at will to see the difference it makes
on filter response...and also to do a Monte Carlo Sensitivity
check with L and C tolerance limits. That will become clear
when anyone builds a filter from the program data.

Sorry it isn't nice and GUI-ey for Windows but that's how it
goes with us lazy technical types. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

287. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 06:05:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120370730.788336.106700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111596

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 21:52

>Poor Lennie the loser, being such a historian on military and all, just
>can't get that E5 Chevron arrangement right yet.

What I described for U.S. Army NCO sleeve insignia was perfectly
correct for the U.S. Army of the 1950s. The E-5 sleeve insignia
was equivalent to a Staff Sergeant of WW2 times and "Staff Sergeant"
came back some time after 1956 and remains. Since I wore that
insignia, was entitled to it, and had my pictures taken (by
different Signal Corps photographers and reviewed by Army PR
folks, that is just how it was then. There was NO "buck
sergeant" (three stripes, no rockers) in the Army at that time.

Note: This has NOTHING to do with amateur radio policy except
that one PCTA extra is so damn fucked up in his mind he has to
make an issue of "military minutae." Too much Billy Beer on a
Saturday night will do that to a moron...

>All this radio experience is as a what ? Operator? Gee Lennie, how hard is
>it to push the button and yap into a mic? Or maybe it was you were a fixer
>eh? I've seen the Army Tech Manuals, What was your echolon me boy?
>Field perhaps? Not even allowed to change a component, other than a tube.
>Hope your TV-7/U tester was in top notch shape.

I'm not going to repeat what I've already stated in here. If you
wish to see what was done, go to:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/

and click on any blue link under "Stations" heading. Each is about
10 MB
in size, PDF.

In FIXED STATION operations and maintenance, a "tube tester" is
seldom used. One depends on the meter readings and tuning
response during QSYs (frequent for long-haul HF circuits) in
addition to the TM's statements on what should be within range.
For the GE microwave radio relay terminals (having 360+ tubes
per terminal), test point probing with a Tektronix oscilloscope
(511AD model) would reveal whether or not a particular tube
stage was beginning to misbehave. The General Electric terminals
were commercial units and we all used the commercial manuals (you
can see one I am holding in the referenced link). The Western
Electric LD-T2 HF 4 KW PEP SSB was also a commercial model and
all used the WE commercial manuals for it; five of those at
station ADA by 1956. The Press Wireless PW-15 (15 KW CW on HF)

was also commercial. The 40 KW Linear Amplifiers were labeled as AN/FRT-22 but were Collins Radio commercial transmitters capable of 50 KW CW HF output. Like the microwave terminals, a metal nameplate said they were "military" but, other than that, they were identical to the commercial model. The rest of the transmitters were standard "AN" types, a pre-WW2 design, as were the FSK exciters for all the RTTY RF sources for single-channel RTTY circuits. The VHF/UHF radio relay sets used at the old site of ADA at Tsukishima used receiving type tubes (except for the finals, an 829B, in the AN/TRC-1s) but a "tube tester" wasn't used for any maintenance...they were simply left ON all the time as "hot backups" in case the old site's cable input failed.

As to "echelon" of maintenance, any FIXED COMMUNICATIONS site is about as close to "depot" level as any can get. When the mission is to operate 24/7, one fixes malfunctions when they occur. NOW. That was very seldom. Fixed station equipment is designed and built for continuous operation...and it worked that way. At the Camp Owada receiving site it was the same, R-388 and R-390 receivers (Collins Radio) with assorted multicouplers and SSB demodulators, all working around the clock. The torn-tape TTY relay was 220 (approximately) Teletype Corp. machines, olive-drab or black painted versions of civilian Teletype machines. All at 60 WPM equivalent rate. Not one single manual telegraph key used anywhere at station ADA in the 1950s...not even in other-unit message centers. The small MARS station was NOT a part of my Signal Battalion and their only choice for transmitting (third priority) on long-haul HF was through a TTY circuit to transmitters and one from receivers.

For operations as Provisional Infantry (should the need arise) we had the AN/PRC-6 handie-talkie (single frequency low-VHF FM push-to-talk) and the AN/PRC-10 walkie-talkie (variable frequency low-VHF FM voice, with internal crystal calibrator, frequency range compatible with PRC-6); push-to-talk H-33 handset. Everything was workable on the march, nobody sat down in the shade and tapped out morse code to communicate. The four-knob AN/PRC-25 (also push-to-talk FM voice) of early Vietnam War era was yet to be designed and built in 1956.

>Does the MOS 31V mean anything to you ?

Nothing at all...and that extends to the U.S. Army.

In checking out MOSs at www.goarmy.com and looking under "jobs," there aren't any Thirty-One-Victors listed. To make certain,
I went to the Fort Gordon site and searched under the MOS Signal School classes. There were other Thirty-Ones listed by none for Victor suffix. Fort Gordon, GA, is the Signal Center, and the

controlling base for all Army communications/computer classes.

If a Thirty-One-Victor was your MOS, consider your job skills as DEFUNCT. The Vietnam War ended 30 years ago. Best to adjust for it. The Army has gone on with the soldiering task and uses new style equipment*...unlike so many of the amateur extras content with remaining fixed in several-decades old standards and practices. Defunct. Gone. Went bye-bye. So long...to 31V.

This Sunday morning I hope you are not too hung over after all that drinking. I hope you didn't puke on your Kode Klucks Klan sheet even though it still smells bad. Take two aspirin and go play with your code key.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

* Standard small-unit land forces radio is the SINCGARS family (30-88 MHz, voice or data, in-clear or encrypted, single-channel frequency or frequency-hopping). Manpack unit is AN/PRC-119; several variants for power output using same R/T for vehicular use plus an airborne model. For HF voice or data there is the AN/PRC-104 manpack (includes automatic whip antenna tuner) with any frequency selectable through internal frequency synthesizer. Vehicular variations of same R/T with power amps up to 400 W PEP. The first of the SINCGARS became operational in 1989, the PRC-104 family about 1986. The PRC-119 has undergone the SIP or SINCGARS Improvement Plan at ITT Fort Wayne, IN, resulting in a halving of weight and size. The PRC-104 is coming to a close of its life soon but there are several candidate sets under evaluation to take its place. Both have been "tested in battle" in Iraq, Afghanistan, Somalia, and the various parts of old Yugoslavia..

288. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 06:07:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120370870.912423.254140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111597

From: "Kim" on Sun 3 Jul 2005 00:51

>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message

>So, several posts, with typical human error. Is that ignorance of the very
>language you speak, Dan? Remember how you go off on tirades all the time
>about my "ignorance" of ham radio. Payback is hell, ain't it?
>
>I'll quit now. I made my point, even though you'll be indignantly opposed
>to it.

Tsk. A whole six-pack of Billy Beer will do that. :-)

As somebody once wrote in here once, "Ya jes' cain't fix stupid!"

Or, I might add, a drunkard...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

289. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 06:10:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120371017.942886.204570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111598

From: "John Smith" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 16:19

>Len:
>
>The words and wit which flow from your rather quick mind are
>enlightening, entertaining and enjoyable, if not for you, this thread
>is rather drab and boring...

Thank you. "It's a rough job, but SOMEBODY has to do it..."

>... doesn't the chanting from the ARRL/FCC monks and worshipers ever
>annoy you? It drives me nuts! <grin>

Yes it does. I just grab a bottle of aspirin. Not the tablets,
just the nice cotton padding in the top of the bottle. Easy to
work into nice ear stopples. :-)

The silence is golden!

Shhhhh......

Oh, I should explain the "Tut, Tut" ending of my previous message.
You and I know that the Egyptian government's King Tut exhibit is
in Los Angeles for a couple months. Others who only believe that
"the South" is the United States won't know that. [they might
believe it came from Cairo, Illinois, though...] :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

290. Re: Saggytits Lee, where's those CIA black ops helicopters BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 07:16:43 UTC
From: Frank Lortel <Frank@anomremailer>
Message-ID: <da83b9$8ii$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111700
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
news:I28LADKW38535.9109375@anonymous...
Maybe they are busy monitoring anonymous remailers instead of
say, the Middle East or North Korea.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Spoken like a true Mental Midget.
Buy a Brillo pad and scrape the smegma from your overbite, Wiseman.

And while you are out and about, is there a Wal Mart in your area? Get you
to the store and buy a keyboard with the keys in the right place.
That is, after all, your excuse for your hundreds of "typos", is it not? And
after you get your new keyboard, be sure to lock it away lest Lloyd sneak
into your house and switch the keys around again. Oh, wait. You can't lock
it in your safe because Lloyd knows where your safe is and even knows the
combination.
You know how Lloyd is Wogie. He is everywhere. He's hiding in the bushes
across the street, he's sitting in the parked car two houses away, he's
listening to your phone calls and, heck, he's even in that Piper Cub flying
over your house on weekends.
Lloyd seems to be omnipresent in your life, doesn't he? Without Lloyd you
would have little reason to be. Your life would be meaningless without the
seemingly omniscient Lloyd controlling your Lithium addled brain, wouldn't
it? Without Lloyd in your shallow life you would cease to be. Zilch. Zero.
No Lloyd, no Roger Wiseman.

Oh, excuse me. In your fantasy world everybody is Lloyd be they real or
imagined.
You should again don your tin foil hat, Roger. That way Lloyd won't be able
to read your thoughts, insane as they are. Trouble with that darned tin foil
hat is that it causes your single brain wave to bounce around and collide
with itself as it seeks release, and that is the cause of your penchant for
foul language.

Saran Wrap, Roger. Saran Wrap. It works better. Place it over your head,
tape it tightly around your neck and say goodbye.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

291. Re: SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 11:19:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120389569.030998.226930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111701

robert casey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > http://www.alexander.n.se/transmissions.htm

> > 17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator

> You call that RF? Young people can *hear* that frequency!
> :-)

HAW!

Heck, I can still hear TV horizontal oscillators sometimes (most modern TVs don't whistle like the old ones did). .

73 de Jim, N2EY

292. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 12:40:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WPudnf808KcjCVXfRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael A. Terrell wrote:

>
> Why would I care about the ARRL? I am not a ham and the ARRL is one
> reason I never bothered with ham radio. I went into broadcast and
> electronic manufacturing instead.

Why would you let the ARRL stand in the way of your getting a ham
license? That's a pretty weak excuse, you don't have to have a single
thing to do with the ARRL as a ham.

293. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:34:15 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120397654.991899.72060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On 1 Jul 2005 12:53:32 -0700, Secwet Woger, Chucklehead wrote:
> :
> : Poor Saggytits, he's too chickenshit to post under his real name, since
> : he's afraid that somebody will knock his lights out in person. Isn't
> : that right, you yellow son of a bitch?
>
> Oh, the irony!
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Indeed, you see your master everywhere, Saggytits. But then you are a
useless son of a bitch, with a crack whore mommy.

Tell us why you think you have to hide behind what your real father
was, a negro because you are trying to find him.

--
Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

294. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:36:55 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120397815.853386.292400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Billy Boob wrote:
> Poor Saggytits, he's too chickenshit to post under his real name, since
> he's afraid that somebody will knock his lights out in person. Isn't
> that right, you yellow son of a bitch?
> P.S. Keep thinking everybody is Roger. It makes you look even more
> stupid than you already do.
>
>
> .
> How quaint. Wogie posts from anon remailers and calls OTHERS to task for
> doing the same.

How ironic. "Billy Boob" posts from behind the same thing and shows
what a fucking hypocrite he is.

> Best calm down, Curtains. Stagger has your blood pressure so elevated

Hardly, fucknuts. He's like you, a yellow bastard. And do keep seeing
Roger everywhere, it shows how much he has won.
--
Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

295. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:39:01 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120397941.920109.222590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Roger's Bitchboy And Masturbater wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > not to be ense but what does this thread have to do with Ham radio?
> >
> Little, actually, but for the fact that the exceedingly vile posts are
> being placed here by AB8MQ.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA...What irony, given the
filth you post on a regular basis, under your real name, of course.
--
Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

296. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:40:42 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120398042.832538.68480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Saggytits Lee fucked up again:
>
> You do have to admit that it's nice of Hughes to let someone post from
> the workplace, though. Most companies take a very dim view of that
> kind of activity, but Hughes is obviously an exception.

"Hughes"????? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Saggytits fucks up again!

--
Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

297. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:44:06 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120398246.728245.154580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Billy Boob wrote:
> Roger said:
> "And let's not forget how you whined to Steve Gielda to try to get Roger
> TOSEd. You are quite the hypocrite, Saggytits."
>
> Not "try",

Yes, try, dipshit. And he still tries, but as in what you have done
with your life, he can never get the job done.

--

Let's listen now, for Billy Boob's whore of a mommy was gang raped and
liked it, too bad she kep the fetus with the coat hanger embedded in
it's skull, which posts here as "Billy Bob".

Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

298. Re: Who'syour Daddy, Roger's Bitch whines again, loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:47:40 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120398460.429386.297330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111707
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Your Daddy, Roger's BITCH? whined:
> Let them, ho hum. Unlike you I don't violate the TOS on a daily basis.

Pee Wee Brent Hammy have you gotten your driving privileges taken away
permanently yet?

> Threatening NetKKKop is the worst you can do. Grow up.

Sort of like how you threatened to do?

Fucking hypocrite.

299. Re: Saggytits Lee is she/he nursie? He quoted something said to Nursie! Looks like Saggytits Lee is really Nursie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:51:35 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120398695.717172.173370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111708
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Stagger Lee wrote:
> [nothing important]

Poor Saggytits, Shitwartz is a loser just like you.

--
Stanley L. Lawson
702-452-4336
(702) 736-0506
```

300. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:53:44 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120398824.298593.287430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Billy Bob wrote:
> "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Steveo wrote:
> > Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> .
> And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are
> a mongrel kike.
>
> .
>
> Not

No, dumbass, Roger doesn't have long hair. You are a fucking idiot,
Billy Boob....
```

301. Re: Saggytits Lee, where's those CIA black ops helicopters BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 13:56:07 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120398967.591027.280420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <da83b9$8ii$0@pita.alt.net>
"Fuckhead Frank Lortel" <Frank@anomremailer> wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous" <BigappleRemai...@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
> news:I28LADKW38535.9109375@anonymous...
> Maybe they are busy monitoring anonymous remailers instead of
> say, the Middle East or North Korea.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Spoken like a true Mental Midget.

And you are speaking from first hand experience, no doubt.

> Buy a Brillo pad and scrape the smegma from your overbite, Wiseman.

Wrong guess, dumbass. He wouldn't need to do that your whore of a
fucking wife would willingly do it for nothing.

> And while (IKYABWAI as Frank has a cum-encrusted keyboard, from jacking off to naked pics
of his own grandkids)

And while you're at it, quit downloading all that kiddie porn "Frank."
Or shall I just call you Gopher face?
And change your Saran Wrap diaper, "Frank" you shit all over yourself
again.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

302. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 15:06:28 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11cfvno3r4l8i48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 111711

There was a comedian (a Brit, I believe) that had a routine called "clean and dirty" that went something like this:

Hen is a clean, cock is a dirty;
Bolt is a clean, screw is a dirty;
Cat is a clean....

and he could actually go on for about ten minutes ... it was a very good schtick.

As I recall, George Carlin did a takeoff on this, but I can't remember the details.

Jim

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120370448.796681.103710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "RST Engineering" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 17:10
>
> Ooooo...lots of jollies with words! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

303. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 15:12:13 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9688721B25A2Belektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 111712

kelly@dvol.com wrote in
news:1120268894.747988.109720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote in
>> news:1120203261.429598.137090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > K�HB wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>> >>
>> >
>> >> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out
>> >> > of the 'phone/image subbands.
>> >>
>> >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
>> >> transmit. In other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a
>> >> concept!
>> >
>> > But would U.S. phone ops VOLUNTARILY stay up the bands and out of
>> > the segments historically inhabited by the CW and digital users if
>> > they were not restrained from doing so?
>> >
>> > Not in our lifetimes.
>> >
>> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Yes and no. 99.99% of us would stay within the IARU bandplan, but that
>> includes large swathes of phone spectrum where we aren't allowed to go
>> by the current FCC rules. Voluntary means we get to choose the
>> bandplan, and I would choose IARU Region 2, not ARRL.
>
> I plead ignorance of the IARU bandplans. Do you have a link to 'em??
>
> Even without seeing them yet I like the concept.
>
> w3rv
>

This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-

http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm

This has all three regions on it, although published on the Region 2 web site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one the US happens to be in).

If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other countries, this is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan necessarily incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might change it, or might not, but then I am not a member...

For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments for R2 start at 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225. In fact, phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference basis, but if you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!

73 de Alun, N3KIP

304. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 15:22:08 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns968873C97CD93elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 111713

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1120304458.215661.76600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> > Most US hams live on or near the coasts, too.
>> >
>> >> > What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places)
>> >> > won't necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).
>> >
>> >> > Most of the rest of the developed world places far more
>> >> > restrictions on the ownership of firearms than the USA.
>> >> > And they have far less violent crime, too. Since that
>> >> > seems to work for them, would you propose the USA adopt such
>> >> > restrictions?
>> >>
>> >> My proposal is to remove restrictions, not add them! You're the >
>> >> fella propounding that restrictions are a good deal.
>> >
>> > I'm saying that because something works in another country doesn't
>> > mean it will work here. Perhaps we should adopt Canada's health care
>> > system too? That would end the busloads of people going north on
>> > trips to buy their medicines at reasonable prices.
>>
>> Almost nothing could be any worse than the state of health care in the
>> US.
>
> There are lots worse places for health care. That doesn't mean the US
> system is the best, or even acceptable.
>
> My point was that if you suggest to most US citizens that we
> accept Canada's health care system, they say no.
>
>> We could do a lot worse than Canada just by doing nothing.
>
> I've never had to deal with their health care system, so I don't know
> if it's better or worse. I do know that for some strange reason, the
> *same* prescription drugs made in the *same* factories are sometimes
> significantly less expensive in Canada.

>>
>> >> I propose that along with freedom (from arbitrary restrictions)
>> >> comes the
>> >> responsibility to act responsibly, and I submit that generally
>> >> US hams have demonstrated that sort of responsibility.
>> >
>> > I submit that we don't fix what ain't broke.
>>
>> But it is 'broke'. If I have to go split to talk to the DX, that's
>> quite broke enough to need fixing.
>
> You have to go split to work DX for two reasons:
>
> 1) On 40 meters, hams outside Region 2 only have 7000-7100
>
> 2) On the other HF bands, and on 40 meters for DX in Region 2, the DX
> *chooses* to work split. They could work transceive if they wanted to,
> but they *prefer* to work split.
>
> Note that DX in Region 2 often works split on 40 even though
> they have 7000-7300.
>

This is because the US hams have to transmit in the BC band. If the US hams
could transmit outside the broadcast frequencies this wouldn't continue.
The DX works split so that their sigs aren't covered by BC QRM.

> If the rules are changed so that you can call the DX on their
> frequency, they may still decide to work split.
>
> 7100-7200 will become worldwide exclusive amateur in a few years. Some
> countries outside Region 2 have already opened 7100-7200 to their hams,
> and SWBC continues to move out of there.
>
> Yet even if we eventually get 7000-7300 worldwide exclusive amateur,
> the DX will probably still work split.

They will if there are still broadcasters in 7150-7200, which there may
well be.

OTOH, if we had voluntary bandplanning, the IARU recommends that region 2
phone ops stay above 7050, which gives us 7050-7100 that is not in the BC
band, versus 7100-7200 where they may still be left over broadcasters.

>>
>> >> >> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies
>> >> >> except the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by
>> >> >> that?
>> >>
>> >> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out
>> >> > of the 'phone/image subbands.

>> >>
>> >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
>> >> transmit. In
>> >> other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!
>> >
>> > The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
>> > on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged
>> > violations using *voice* modes?
>> >
>>
>> I guess no-one caught that guy who used to send ..-. ..- -.-. -> .- on
>> repeaters around here
>
> Guess not!
>
> Do you think the number of hams doing similar things on voice is more
> or less than those doing such stuff with Morse Code?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I don't think the lack of this behaviour on CW has much to do with pure motives, but nore to do with a lack of audience.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

305. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 15:39:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <OETxe.1069$zp6.3371069@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111714

rst

I was wondering where you got your silly material from, did you ever
notice it gets quite tiring?

John

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in message
news:11cfvno3r4l8i48@corp.supernews.com...
> There was a comedian (a Brit, I believe) that had a routine called
> "clean and dirty" that went something like this:
>
> Hen is a clean, cock is a dirty;
> Bolt is a clean, screw is a dirty;
> Cat is a clean....
>
> and he could actually go on for about ten minutes ... it was a very
> good schtick.
>
> As I recall, George Carlin did a takeoff on this, but I can't
> remember the details.
>
> Jim
>
>
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1120370448.796681.103710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: "RST Engineering" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 17:10
>>
>> Ooooo...lots of jollies with words! :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
>

306. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 15:57:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4WTxe.406$BK1.166@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111715

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> I don't think the lack of this behaviour on CW has much to do with pure
> motives, but nore to do with a lack of audience.
>

It makes a nice statistic to hang your hat on, but the since such antics are most readily "detectable" on voice you could make the same claim for >any< mode other than voice.

I'm not certain that Jim means to do so, but the cumulative weight of his postings on the subject sends this message:

"CW operations are allowed on all frequencies without restriction because CW operators can be trusted to do the right thing.
SSB/AM/RTTY/AX.25/PSK-xx/AMTOR/PACTOR/SSTV operators can not be trusted, so their kind must not be allowed a similar freedom to cooperatively select operating frequencies."

Worldwide evidence flies in the face of this lack of trust.

73, de Hans, K0HB

307. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 15:58:40 UTC
From: theposter <mailguy2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ojihg0uha2yqpef.030720050902@kirk>
Source record: 111716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

k1man is my hero

the hams are on his rear more than they attack the cb'ers or truckers

think about it, he must be doing something right

if anything, let's donate to his defense fund

"Brian Denley" wrote in message
news:e9adnZouzv0XgCPfRVn-2A@comcast.com...
> Jim Hackett wrote:
>> Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other
>> side
>> of the yard...
>>
>>
>>
>> "Bob" wrote in message
>> news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>>> Jim Hackett wrote:
>>>> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
>>> :)
>
> For those who can spell, ME is the abbreviation for Maine.
> Massachusetts is MA .
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>
>
>

308. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 16:39:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <o-mdnVEst5k2UVXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Why would I care about the ARRL? I am not a ham and the ARRL is one
>>>reason I never bothered with ham radio. I went into broadcast and
>>>electronic manufacturing instead.
>>
>>Why would you let the ARRL stand in the way of your getting a ham
>>license? That's a pretty weak excuse, you don't have to have a single
>>thing to do with the ARRL as a ham.
>
>
> It was all their loyal members who put me off amateur radio. I
> quickly tired of their bickering, and pissing contests over who spent
> the most on their station, who had the biggest antenna farm, and which
> brand of radio was the only one fit to be used on the air.

So what, just because you may not agree with everyone who goes to movies, does that stop you from going to movies? What made you think you had to pay any attention to those "loyal members". What do you care who has the biggest antennas or what brand of radio some other ham uses? I think it is all just a weak excuse about something you didn't want to put any effort into.

309. K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 17:06:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bWUxe.12507$jX6.8885@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111717

From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
Subject: Important Organizational Announcement

ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington

Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA Founder K1MAN and FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, & Howe, announced today that all charges and NAL pending against K1MAN would be dropped contingent on a merger agreement between ARRA and ARRL. Under the proposed arrangement, ARRL would acquire the American Amateur Radio Association (ARRA), a leading provider of Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal would unite the two most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States. Financial terms of the proposed acquisition have not been finalized.

"The acquisition of ARRA complements ARRL's already extensive offerings to include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on what is happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP, president of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of the challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense. This brings us one step closer to being the national membership association for Amateur Radio operators."

The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third Vice President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our management team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."

"Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of ARRA about past frictions between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all of us. We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr. Sumner in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.

In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal Advisor for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very close. I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he assumes his new position."

In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image, ARRL has already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call sign of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager was thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine through!"

Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown immediately. ARRA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME will become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.

Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting times for Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in the ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the positive impact of this merger for years to come."

"This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar player for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our ticket sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . . . this tour season will be our best since '73"

Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about time these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."

ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford, Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with approximately 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio amateurs in the United States.

Web links:

http://www.arrl.org
http://www.k1man.com
http://www.joewalsh.com
http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg

310. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 17:20:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S7Vxe.12510$jX6.8677@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
Subject: Important Organizational Announcement

ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington

Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA Founder K1MAN and FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, & Howe, announced today that all charges and NAL pending against K1MAN would be dropped contingent on a merger agreement between ARRA and ARRL. Under the proposed arrangement, ARRL would acquire the American Amateur Radio Association (ARRA), a leading provider of Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal would unite the two most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States. Financial terms of the proposed acquisition have not been finalized.

"The acquisition of ARRA complements ARRL's already extensive offerings to include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on what is happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP, president of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of the challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense. This brings us one step closer to being the national membership association for Amateur Radio operators."

The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third Vice President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our management team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."

"Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of ARRA about past frictions between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all of us. We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr. Sumner in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.

In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal Advisor for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very close. I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he assumes his new position."

In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image, ARRL has already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call sign of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager was thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our

traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine through!" Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown immediately. ARRA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME will become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.

Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting times for Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in the ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the positive impact of this merger for years to come."

"This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar player for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our ticket sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . . . this tour season will be our best since '73"

Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about time these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."

ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford, Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with approximately 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio amateurs in the United States.

Web links:

http://www.arrl.org
http://www.k1man.com
http://www.joewalsh.com
http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg

311. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 17:29:39 UTC
From: Jim Leonard <MobyGamer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tq4euhph3fh27d7.030720051029@kirk>
Source record: 111719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

ARRL is the cemetery of dead amateurs

their main goal is the termination of all new advances and demanding
everyone have a brass key on their desk

nancy regan had it right, just say NO!

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:S7Vxe.12510$jX6.8677@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>
> ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington
>
> Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA
> Founder K1MAN and
> FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, & Howe, announced today
> that all
> charges and NAL pending against K1MAN would be dropped contingent on
> a merger
> agreement between ARRA and ARRL. Under the proposed arrangement,
> ARRL would
> acquire the American Amateur Radio Association (ARRA), a leading
> provider of
> Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal would unite the two
> most
> prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States.
> Financial terms of
> the proposed acquisition have not been finalized.
>
> "The acquisition of ARRA complements ARRL's already extensive
> offerings to
> include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on
> what is
> happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
> president
> of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of
> the
> challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense.
> This
> brings us one step closer to being the national membership
> association for

> Amateur Radio operators."
>
> The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn
> Baxter,
> K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third
> Vice
> President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our
> management
> team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief
> Executive
> Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic
> approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."
>
> "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of ARRA about past
> frictions
> between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all
> of us.
> We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and
> Mr. Sumner
> in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
>
> In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
> Advisor
> for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very
> close.
> I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he
> assumes his
> new position."
>
> In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image,
> ARRL has
> already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official
> call sign
> of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager
> was
> thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect
> our
> traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine
> through!"
> Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> immediately. ARRA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME
> will
> become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
>
> Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting
> times for
> Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in
> the
> ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling
> the

> positive impact of this merger for years to come."
>
> "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar
> player
> for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our
> ticket
> sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums .
> . .
> this tour season will be our best since '73"
>
> Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID,
> also
> supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about
> time
> these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on
> a
> special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
>
> ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with
> approximately
> 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> amateurs in the United States.
>
> Web links:
>
> http://www.arrl.org
> http://www.k1man.com
> http://www.joewalsh.com
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg
>
>
>

312. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 18:03:42 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42C827D8.26BAEBB8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 111720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Jim Leonard wrote:
>
> ARRL is the cemetery of dead amateurs
>
> their main goal is the termination of all new advances and demanding
> everyone have a brass key on their desk
>
> nancy regan had it right, just say NO!

I think it shoud read: "Just say PLONK" Don't hit your head on the
way down the troll hole!

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

313. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 18:37:19 UTC
From: Marco van de Voort <marcov@stack.nl>
Message-ID: <bsn3kvio52stpml.030720051137@kirk>
Source record: 111721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Michael:

You freaking fool, your plonk list is most likely every progressive
mind there is on usenet, time for you to go to the ARRL cemetery
yourself...

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42C827D8.26BAEBB8@earthlink.net...
> Jim Leonard wrote:
>>
>> ARRL is the cemetery of dead amateurs
>>
>> their main goal is the termination of all new advances and
>> demanding
>> everyone have a brass key on their desk
>>
>> nancy regan had it right, just say NO!
>
>
> I think it shoud read: "Just say PLONK" Don't hit your head on
> the
> way down the troll hole!
>
> --
> Former professional electron wrangler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida
>

314. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 18:53:13 UTC
From: Marco van de Voort <marcov@stack.nl>
Message-ID: <zsv60sipfzbll4o.030720051153@kirk>
Source record: 111722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

```
Michael:

You are one sick puppy, but that is the best offer I have had all day
long, OK. Where do you want to meet at?

Marco

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7hqiohcrsnacbb8.030720051149@kirk...
> Marco:
>
> You sound kind of cute. Did I mention i'd like to plonk your cute
> little butt?
>
> Former gay-nude wrestler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida
>
> "Marco van de Voort" <marcov@stack.nl> wrote in message
> news:bsn3kvio52stpml.030720051137@kirk...
>> Michael:
>>
>> You freaking fool, your plonk list is most likely every progressive
>> mind there is on usenet, time for you to go to the ARRL cemetery
>> yourself...
>>
>> "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:42C827D8.26BAEBB8@earthlink.net...
>>> Jim Leonard wrote:
>>>>
>>>> ARRL is the cemetery of dead amateurs
>>>>
>>>> their main goal is the termination of all new advances and
>>>> demanding
>>>> everyone have a brass key on their desk
>>>>
>>>> nancy regan had it right, just say NO!
>>>
>>>
>>> I think it shoud read: "Just say PLONK" Don't hit your head on
>>> the
>>> way down the troll hole!
>>>
```

>>> --
>>> Former professional electron wrangler.
>>>
>>> Michael A. Terrell
>>> Central Florida
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

315. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 21:29:12 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120426152.724610.287530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111723

RST Engineering wrote:
> There was a comedian (a Brit, I believe) that had a routine called "clean
> and dirty" that went something like this:
>
> Hen is a clean, cock is a dirty;
> Bolt is a clean, screw is a dirty;
> Cat is a clean....
>
> and he could actually go on for about ten minutes ... it was a very good
> schtick.
>
> As I recall, George Carlin did a takeoff on this, but I can't remember the
> details.
>
> Jim

George Carlin is forgettable.

316. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 21:53:59 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120427639.662828.286610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111724

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Very impresive indeed Lennie. Got any proof? Your the one that demand
> proof all the time....put it up right here. You have a scanner, right?

No. That would be me.

Do you have something that needs proved?

> I never had a need for the 1st or 2nd commercial.

What's your problem?

I've never had a need for morse code, but I took the tests.

Got the GROL at the same time I passed the Advanced and Extra writtens.
Didn't do so hot on the morse code tests back when I was actually
interested.

Most to all of my
> electronics technicial work was done with military contractors, or
> companies that had a 1st ph there already. Of course you do realize that
> all the first pnones and seconds were just dropped away. So they really
> didn't amount to much anyway.
>
> Sorta like the ham tests of today. And hey good deal on passing the on-line
> tests of today. Pre teen age children do the same with ease. What does
> that tell you Lennie?
>
> I don't belong to the VFW Lennie. They didn't want any Vietnam Vets to join
> a few years ago (course they want us now), so I gave them their wish.

Do you grant everyone their wish?

I
> am a lifetime member of the DAV however. Oh you know them don't ya Lennie?
> Only way to get in is to be disabled while in military service and have a
> service connected rating.

My brother belongs to DAV. I belong to VFW. My dad is active in the
American Legion.

What's this all about? A place to drink beer?

> We don't have a local branch I'm sorry to say.

You meant a "post." Start one.

I may join with the Vietnam
> Veterans of America, ain't decided just yet.

Never heard of them.

> See ya.

Thanks for the warning. ;^)

317. Re: SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 22:05:17 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120428317.931827.258690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111725

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> robert casey wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > http://www.alexander.n.se/transmissions.htm
>
> > > 17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator
>
> > You call that RF? Young people can *hear* that frequency!
> > :-)
>
> HAW!
>
> Heck, I can still hear TV horizontal oscillators sometimes (most modern
> TVs don't whistle like the old ones did). .
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I can still hear the hard drives revving up.

318. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 22:15:32 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42C862BD.849E91CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 111726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Marco van de Voort wrote:
>
> Michael:
>
> You freaking fool, your plonk list is most likely every progressive
> mind there is on usenet, time for you to go to the ARRL cemetery
> yourself...

Why would I care about the ARRL? I am not a ham and the ARRL is one reason I never bothered with ham radio. I went into broadcast and electronic manufacturing instead. If the people I have kill filed are the best example of ham radio, it can die for all I care. As far as the cemetery, I don't have that many years left so I will kill file whatever reprobate I have to, to enjoy them in peace.

One thing is obvious. You have no manners, and no social skills.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

319. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 22:31:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120429887.253500.193110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111727

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1120304458.215661.76600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >> news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> > KØHB wrote:
> >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >> > Most US hams live on or near the coasts, too.
> >> >> > What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places)
> >> >> > won't necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).
> >> >> > Most of the rest of the developed world places far more
> >> >> > restrictions on the ownership of firearms than the USA.
> >> >> > And they have far less violent crime, too. Since that
> >> >> > seems to work for them, would you propose the USA adopt such
> >> >> > restrictions?
> >> >>
> >> >> My proposal is to remove restrictions, not add them! You're the >
> >> >> fella propounding that restrictions are a good deal.
> >> >
> >> > I'm saying that because something works in another country doesn't
> >> > mean it will work here. Perhaps we should adopt Canada's health care
> >> > system too? That would end the busloads of people going north on
> >> > trips to buy their medicines at reasonable prices.
> >>
> >> Almost nothing could be any worse than the state of health care in the
> >> US.
> >
> > There are lots worse places for health care. That doesn't mean the US
> > system is the best, or even acceptable.
> >
> > My point was that if you suggest to most US citizens that we
> > accept Canada's health care system, they say no.
> >
> >> We could do a lot worse than Canada just by doing nothing.
> >
> > I've never had to deal with their health care system, so I don't know
> > if it's better or worse. I do know that for some strange reason, the
> > *same* prescription drugs made in the *same* factories are sometimes
> > significantly less expensive in Canada.
> >>
> >> >> I propose that along with freedom (from arbitrary restrictions)
> >> >> comes the
> >> >> responsibility to act responsibly, and I submit that generally

> >> >> US hams have demonstrated that sort of responsibility.
> >> >
> >> > I submit that we don't fix what ain't broke.
> >>
> >> But it is 'broke'. If I have to go split to talk to the DX, that's
> >> quite broke enough to need fixing.
> >
> > You have to go split to work DX for two reasons:
> >
> > 1) On 40 meters, hams outside Region 2 only have 7000-7100
> >
> > 2) On the other HF bands, and on 40 meters for DX in Region 2, the DX
> > *chooses* to work split. They could work transceive if they wanted to,
> > but they *prefer* to work split.
> >
> > Note that DX in Region 2 often works split on 40 even though
> > they have 7000-7300.

> This is because the US hams have to transmit in the BC band.

But the Region 2 DX could work transceive on 40. Yet they don't.

> If the US hams
> could transmit outside the broadcast frequencies this wouldn't > continue.
> The DX works split so that their sigs aren't covered by BC QRM.

On 40, maybe. But what about the other bands? There's no BC QRM on 20,
for example, yet the DX often works split there.

> > If the rules are changed so that you can call the DX on their
> > frequency, they may still decide to work split.
> >
> > 7100-7200 will become worldwide exclusive amateur in a few
> > years. Some
> > countries outside Region 2 have already opened 7100-7200 to
> > their hams,
> > and SWBC continues to move out of there.
> >
> > Yet even if we eventually get 7000-7300 worldwide exclusive
> > amateur, the DX will probably still work split.
>
> They will if there are still broadcasters in 7150-7200, which
> there may well be.

Not for long! The broadcasters are moving out of 7100-7200. The whole
world will soon have 7000-7200 as exclusively amateur spectrum. Yet the
use of split will continue, as it does on other bands.
>
> OTOH, if we had voluntary bandplanning, the IARU recommends
> that region 2
> phone ops stay above 7050, which gives us 7050-7100 that is not > in the BC
> band, versus 7100-7200 where they may still be left over

> broadcasters.

But whose bandplan do we follow, if they differ? ARRL's? IARU's?
RSGB's?

What about bandplans that don't agree with license privileges? For
example, Novice/TechPlus HF privs on 40 are 7100-7150 - CW only! Does
the "think tank" proposal address that?
> >>
> >> >> >> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies
> >> >> >> except the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by
> >> >> >> that?
> >> >>
> >> >> > Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out
> >> >> > of the 'phone/image subbands.
> >> >>
> >> >> Ah, yes, I see. The hams have VOLUNTARILY sorted out where to
> >> >> transmit. In
> >> >> other words, a regulation wasn't needed. What a concept!
> >> >
> >> > The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
> >> > on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged
> >> > violations using *voice* modes?
> >> >
> >>
> >> I guess no-one caught that guy who used to send ..-. ..- -.-. -> .- on
> >> repeaters around here
> >
> > Guess not!
> >
> > Do you think the number of hams doing similar things on voice > > is more
> > or less than those doing such stuff with Morse Code?

> I don't think the lack of this behaviour on CW has much to do
> with pure motives, but nore to do with a lack of audience.

Why?

I've been a ham 38 years come October, and spent most of my time on the
air working CW. In all that time I've heard *nothing* that wasn't
"G-rated" or embarrassing to the amateur radio service. *Nothing*.

Yet in far less time, I can hear stuff on 'phone that causes me to spin
the dial to get away from it.

There's no shortage of audience on CW. The last Morse-code rated NAL
(the first in many years) was for a guy broadcasting "code practice" on
40 meters 24/7. The violation wasn't for the content of his
transmissions, nor for the one-way nature of the transmissions. It was
for failure to adequately reply to FCC, and the obvious lack of the
required station control.

Now look at some of the NALs for 'phone operation.

73 de Jim, N2EY

320. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 22:34:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120430051.156229.168020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111728

KØHB wrote:
> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM

Shouldn't that be April 1?

73 de Jim, N2EY

321. Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 23:00:23 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <esrppa1sxibtkzz.030720051600@kirk>
Source record: 111729
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an insane person, today has been one of those days.

When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it is enough others can actually tell.

Former gay-nude wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

322. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 23:04:47 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w2jsoo5kfn70usg.030720051605@kirk>
Source record: 111730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN is a hero, his website beats the ARRL hands down, the jealous
b*stards.

Hope he is able to get another going soon. He didn't have to sign
away his right to create great websites, did he?

Former gay-nude wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:bWUxe.12507$jX6.8885@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>
> ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington
>
> Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA
> Founder K1MAN and FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, &
> Howe, announced today that all charges and NAL pending against K1MAN
> would be dropped contingent on a merger agreement between ARRA and
> ARRL. Under the proposed arrangement, ARRL would acquire the
> American Amateur Radio Association (ARRA), a leading provider of
> Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal would unite the two
> most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States.
> Financial terms of the proposed acquisition have not been finalized.
>
> "The acquisition of ARRA complements ARRL's already extensive
> offerings to
> include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on
> what is
> happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
> president
> of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of
> the
> challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense.
> This
> brings us one step closer to being the national membership
> association for
> Amateur Radio operators."
>

> The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn
> Baxter,
> K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third
> Vice
> President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our
> management
> team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief
> Executive
> Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic
> approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."
>
> "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of ARRA about past
> frictions
> between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all
> of us.
> We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and
> Mr. Sumner
> in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
>
> In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
> Advisor
> for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very
> close.
> I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he
> assumes his
> new position."
>
> In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image,
> ARRL has
> already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official
> call sign
> of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager
> was
> thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect
> our
> traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine
> through!"
> Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> immediately. ARRA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME
> will
> become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
>
> Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting
> times for
> Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in
> the
> ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling
> the
> positive impact of this merger for years to come."
>

> "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar
> player
> for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our
> ticket
> sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums .
> . .
> this tour season will be our best since '73"
>
> Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID,
> also
> supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about
> time
> these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on
> a
> special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
>
> ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with
> approximately
> 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> amateurs in the United States.
>
> Web links:
>
> http://www.arrl.org
> http://www.k1man.com
> http://www.joewalsh.com
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg
>
>
>

323. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 23:28:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120433324.299667.178450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111731

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in

> This is because the US hams have to transmit in the BC band. If the US hams
> could transmit outside the broadcast frequencies this wouldn't continue.
> The DX works split so that their sigs aren't covered by BC QRM.

The 40M phone DX in region 2 more often than not works split anyway
even though it's legal for them to transceive. They do it simply to
keep themselves from getting buried under their own pileups. The "DX
windows" on 75 and 20 phone are both well within the U.S.phone bands
but the DX still habitually listens up for for calls from both U.S. and
other DX for the same reason. Including the DX in the other regions.

It also works the other way around. Given the choice U.S. dxers
generally prefer working split so that they can hear the DX below the
hordes calling him/her. This is particularly true on 160/75/40 where
the DX is often down near the noise level. And I can't count the number
of new ones I've logged with split CW by "transmitting up".

>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP

w3rv

324. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 23:31:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2z_xe.503$BK1.428@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111732

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1120304458.215661.76600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>>>news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> This is because the US hams have to transmit in the BC band.

That isn't correct. U.S. hams are transmitting on the 40m amateur band.
Europeans and other DX are *listening* for the U.S. SSB ops on a BC band.

If the US hams
> could transmit outside the broadcast frequencies this wouldn't continue.
> The DX works split so that their sigs aren't covered by BC QRM.

That isn't necessarily correct. The sharp, rare ops are operating split
on *any* band if the pileup is huge. That keeps the callers from
covering his sigs.

>>If the rules are changed so that you can call the DX on their
>>frequency, they may still decide to work split.
>>
>>7100-7200 will become worldwide exclusive amateur in a few years. Some
>>countries outside Region 2 have already opened 7100-7200 to their hams,
>>and SWBC continues to move out of there.
>>
>>Yet even if we eventually get 7000-7300 worldwide exclusive amateur,
>>the DX will probably still work split.
>
>
> They will if there are still broadcasters in 7150-7200, which there may
> well be.

It'll happen whether broadcasters are there or not.

>>>>The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
>>>>on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged
>>>>violations using *voice* modes?
>>>>
>>>
>>>I guess no-one caught that guy who used to send ..-. ..- -.-. -> .- on
>>>repeaters around here

>>
>>Guess not!
>>
>>Do you think the number of hams doing similar things on voice is more
>>or less than those doing such stuff with Morse Code?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> I don't think the lack of this behaviour on CW has much to do with pure
> motives, but nore to do with a lack of audience.

So, hams using SSB act up because they think they'll be likely to have a
non-ham audience? That doesn't compute.

Dave K8MN

325. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 23:33:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hB_xe.505$BK1.432@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111733

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>
> ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington
>
> Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA Founder K1MAN and
> FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, & Howe, announced today that all
> charges and NAL pending against K1MAN would be dropped contingent on a merger
> agreement between ARRA and ARRL. Under the proposed arrangement, ARRL would
> acquire the American Amateur Radio Association (ARRA), a leading provider of
> Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal would unite the two most
> prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States. Financial terms of
> the proposed acquisition have not been finalized.
>
> "The acquisition of ARRA complements ARRL's already extensive offerings to
> include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on what is
> happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP, president
> of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of the
> challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense. This
> brings us one step closer to being the national membership association for
> Amateur Radio operators."
>
> The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn Baxter,
> K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third Vice
> President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our management
> team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive
> Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic
> approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."
>
> "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of ARRA about past frictions
> between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all of us.
> We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr. Sumner
> in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
>
> In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal Advisor
> for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very close.
> I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he assumes his
> new position."
>
> In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image, ARRL has
> already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call sign

> of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager was
> thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our
> traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine through!"
> Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> immediately. ARRA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME will
> become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
>
> Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting times for
> Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in the
> ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the
> positive impact of this merger for years to come."
>
> "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar player
> for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our ticket
> sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . . .
> this tour season will be our best since '73"
>
> Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also
> supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about time
> these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a
> special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
>
> ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with approximately
> 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> amateurs in the United States.

Hans,

Did you ever write for "Gearvakf" (the "f" is silent)?

Dave K8MN

326. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 23:51:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <6S_xe.426$j21.209@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111734

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:bWUxe.12507$jX6.8885@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>
> ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington
>
> Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA Founder
K1MAN and
> FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, & Howe, announced today that
all
> charges and NAL pending against K1MAN would be dropped contingent on a
merger
> agreement between ARRA and ARRL. Under the proposed arrangement, ARRL
would
> acquire the American Amateur Radio Association (ARRA), a leading provider
of
> Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal would unite the two most
> prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States. Financial
terms of
> the proposed acquisition have not been finalized.
>
> "The acquisition of ARRA complements ARRL's already extensive offerings to
> include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on what is
> happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
president
> of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of the
> challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense. This
> brings us one step closer to being the national membership association for
> Amateur Radio operators."
>
> The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn Baxter,
> K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third Vice
> President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our management
> team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive
> Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic
> approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."
>
> "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of ARRA about past frictions
> between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all of us.
> We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr.

Sumner
> in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
>
> In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
Advisor
> for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very
close.
> I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he assumes
his
> new position."
>
> In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image, ARRL has
> already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call sign
> of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager was
> thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our
> traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine through!"
> Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> immediately. ARRA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME will
> become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
>
> Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting times
for
> Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in the
> ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the
> positive impact of this merger for years to come."
>
> "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar player
> for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our ticket
> sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . . .
> this tour season will be our best since '73"
>
> Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also
> supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about time
> these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a
> special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
>
> ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with approximately
> 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> amateurs in the United States.
>
> Web links:
>
> http://www.arrl.org
> http://www.k1man.com
> http://www.joewalsh.com
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg
>

>
Hello, Hans

So when are we going to have some leading freebanders join the ARRL as an executive vice president (or should I say, president of vice)?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

327. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-03 23:56:51 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <g0z7x71a1fis07x.030720051657@kirk>
Source record: 111735

The ARRL needs to kiss our butts and go off somewhere.

Former gay-nude errection wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:6S_xe.426$j21.209@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:bWUxe.12507$jX6.8885@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
>> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
>> To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
>> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>>
>> ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington
>>
>> Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA
>> Founder
> K1MAN and
>> FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, & Howe, announced
>> today that
> all
>> charges and NAL pending against K1MAN would be dropped contingent
>> on a
> merger
>> agreement between ARRA and ARRL. Under the proposed arrangement,
>> ARRL
> would
>> acquire the American Amateur Radio Association (ARRA), a leading
>> provider
> of
>> Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal would unite the two
>> most
>> prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States.
>> Financial
> terms of
>> the proposed acquisition have not been finalized.
>>
>> "The acquisition of ARRA complements ARRL's already extensive
>> offerings to
>> include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on
>> what is

>> happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
> president
>> of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of
>> the
>> challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense.
>> This
>> brings us one step closer to being the national membership
>> association for
>> Amateur Radio operators."
>>
>> The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn
>> Baxter,
>> K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third
>> Vice
>> President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our
>> management
>> team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief
>> Executive
>> Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic
>> approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."
>>
>> "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of ARRA about past
>> frictions
>> between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all
>> of us.
>> We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and
>> Mr.
> Sumner
>> in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
>>
>> In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
> Advisor
>> for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become
>> very
> close.
>> I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he
>> assumes
> his
>> new position."
>>
>> In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image,
>> ARRL has
>> already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official
>> call sign
>> of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station
>> manager was
>> thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect
>> our
>> traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine
>> through!"

>> Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
>> immediately. ARRA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME
>> will
>> become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
>>
>> Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting
>> times
> for
>> Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift
>> in the
>> ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling
>> the
>> positive impact of this merger for years to come."
>>
>> "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar
>> player
>> for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our
>> ticket
>> sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums .
>> . .
>> this tour season will be our best since '73"
>>
>> Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID,
>> also
>> supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about
>> time
>> these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on
>> a
>> special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
>>
>> ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
>> Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with
>> approximately
>> 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of
>> radio
>> amateurs in the United States.
>>
>> Web links:
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org
>> http://www.k1man.com
>> http://www.joewalsh.com
>> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
>> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg
>>
>>
> Hello, Hans
>
> So when are we going to have some leading freebanders join the ARRL
> as an

> executive vice president (or should I say, president of vice)?
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

328. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 00:30:21 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h4r8n1r68yrzchw.030720051730@kirk>
Source record: 111736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think you are beerbarrel!

Former gay-nude errection wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

"beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
news:fh0hc1lnhveaquupto2p241h2r2ouvrdre@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 3 Jul 2005 16:00:23 -0700, "Michael A. Terrell"
> <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an insane
>>person, today has been one of those days.
>>
>>When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it is
>>enough others can actually tell.
>>
>>Former gay-nude wrangler.
>>
>>Michael A. Terrell
>>Central Florida
>>
>>
>
> It's not to difficult to figure out that you are not Michael
> Terrell.
> I suspect that you are probably our Canucky friend...
>
>
> Tracy

329. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 00:35:17 UTC
From: Diego Nunez <viva@mextexwrkunion.org>
Message-ID: <daa014dat0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:S7Vxe.12510$jX6.8677@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday,
/////drivel flushed/////

Hey Hans, that was a good one. did you cook that up last
night down at the Legion Hall, while you were telling radio
hero stories?

330. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 00:37:13 UTC
From: Diego Nunez <viva@mextexwrkunion.org>
Message-ID: <daa04p$dp1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Leonard" <MobyGamer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:tq4euhph3fh27d7.030720051029@kirk...
> ARRL is the cemetery of dead amateurs
>
> their main goal is the termination of all new advances and demanding
> everyone have a brass key on their desk
>
>

The ARRL and the FCC have been involved in illegal and
unethical collusion for decades, which is one reason K1MAN
will come out the winner!

331. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 00:39:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120437559.024956.194990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111740

From: "John Smith" on Sat 2 Jul 2005 20:50

>Mike:
>
>No. I don't think you understand anything. But, I think I read you
>loud and clear. You want to pretend everyone is making fun of poor
>old ancient hams and they don't deserve it. Get real, they need it
>alright, they need to wake up.

Good posting, John, you've synopsized what most of the silent readers have already surmised about these Ancient Radio Astronauts that no one was in search of. Coslo "wants" a super-simple explanation so that he and other Titled, federally authorized amateurs can look down on others while holding up their super-special morse keys, gloating in triumph of "achievement." I will fill in a few blanks (or rather, blank stares) for the morsemen...

For "education" on information compression, there is Claude Shannon's 1947 paper that explained the basic laws. The rest of the radio world gave it a peer review and accepted it en toto. The full paper is archived at the University of Illinois website and may be elsewhere. "Shannon's Law" has been given in so many communications textbooks of the last half century that it is a major months' work just to do a bibliography. It is universal, it is accepted. The many pages on statistics involved aren't necessary to fully understand the concept (thousands of others have already gone through them with critical fine-teeth combs and passed it). "Shannon's Law" relates Rate-Bandwidth-Noise-Error in as elegant a form as possible. It is absolute. It is the Touchstone, the Bedrock in communications. ALL communications, NO exceptions.

Once the basic relationship is accepted, the student can then turn to information reduction-compression, especially in the high redundancy of human speech-symbolism-utterance-imagery. The SECOND version of "morse code" (the one including letters and some punctuation, the first one was only numbers) owes much to even earlier manual type setters. In English the letter E is the most frequently used one, so type setters arranged their type case/holders with the E slugs at easy reach. Alfred Vail, Morse's financial angel, saw that and suggested it to Morse. In that way morse code's letter E is the shortest possible data information bit, a single "dit." The other letters followed - roughly - the type setters' arrangements, less-used

letters having longer time completions. Punctuations are given long time sequence completions, occurring less often than letters. Numbers are of approximate same length, apparently in a hold-over of Morse's first code scheme (unknown, that is really arbitrary except to those who just want to argue angels on heads of pins busy-work). The end result was a rather complex sequence of short-long-interval time-related BITS to represent the major components of the ENGLISH language, native to Morse and Vail and most everyone in their 'hood.

Enter the Morse-Vail Telegraph System, now patented, in 1844. Primitive in electrical terms but vastly faster than message transport by horseback and something that will work through visual obscuration (as in the European visual semaphores). The heart of the Morse-Vail Telegraph is the RELAY, all else is secondary. By replacing the ink pen of the first telegraph "receiver" with a solenoid-activated key/switch, a whole new circuit can be created to EXTEND THE LENGTH of the original one, this time with another battery (very primitive in 1844) that could extend the whole telegraph path fourfold then... for the equivalent of "positive copy" using more modern terms. This worked remarkably well for its time, was reasonably economical then, and the ink pen traces could be "read" with very little training by unfamiliar "operators." Note: All "telegraphers" were required to read-write English (or their native language for foreign adapters of the system) since the "telegarph code" was just a REPRESENTATIVE of the characters of the language.

As the Morse-Vail Telegraph system spread (remarkably for its time), the ink pen tracings were replaced by acoustic "sounders," the same ink pen solenoid arms now striking some semi-resonant device to create audible clicks. Telegraph operators were now required to have good hearing as well as the (rare then) reading-writing pre-knowledge/skill. Each telegraph circuit required a telegrapher at each end, first to transpose the sender's written message into the telegraph symbolism, a second specialist at the receiving end to re-convert dots and dashes to the written language readable by the recipient.

The concept of the RELAY applies to radio by simply replacing the wire lines with the electromagnetic waves of radio, the transmitter controlled by the telegraph key switch, the radio receiver having some sort of acoustic sounder equivalent (nearly always headphones due to lack of amplification). Early radio was exceedingly PRIMITIVE, totally lacking in active amplifying devices such as the vacuum tube triode. Spark-discharge damped-wave-oscillation "transmitters" were their own "BFOs" for primitive "receivers" so that a receiving operator could discern the on from the off by the growl of the spark transmitter. This was stone-age technology hardly different

from the primitive electrical lines of 1844.

Primitive "information compression" had already begun in wireline telegraph systems, everything from short-form numbers for common salutations ("73" for written 'best regards') to five-character groups standing for entire sentences (common in commercial communications such as in the many-edition Bentley's Commercial Code books). With the beginnings of radio (heartily endorsed by the maritime people as something they never had before - over-the-horizon-communications!) came a whole new set of shorthand such as "Q" and "Z" 'codes' standing for whole phrases and sentences. [Q and Z are least-used English language letters, thus would be less confused with English words beginning with those letters]. Information compression had arrived although it was attributed to the "magic" of the telegraph, a misattribute but advantageous to the boosters of the new "technology" of radiotelegraphy.

Lurking off to one side of this new "miracle technology" called radio was the teleprinter. Teleprinting sought to find a direct link from sender to receiver by dispensing with human specialists of telegraphy...for security reasons, for personal reasons, for an increase in communications time (cutting down the transposing and decoding in manual telegraphy). Work on development of teleprinting had already begun in the mid-1800s, hampered more by machining capability and mechanical devices than the primitive electricity of its time...but utilizing character codes each having the SAME length of time. The end of teleprinting experiments showed that was a necessity to permit automatic decoding of teleprinter signals at the receiving end. That equal-time coding can't be grasped intuitively except by comparisons to unequal-time codings such as "morse code" or even the human languages spoken-heard.

Human languages have HIGHLY UNEQUAL lengths of time to convey information. The exact reasons are not fully known but it is a common fact...yet everything from emotions to complex abstract concepts can be communicated by human languages (whether or not the receptor is able to comprehend any of them). It has been suggested that the HIGH REDUNDANCY of human speech (and its written form) is a form of "error detection"...or the ability to "work through QRM or QRN in more modern radio terms." The end result is that spoken and written languages take a lot of time just to communicate. This is a sidelight to information coding, applicable but not a prime example of it.

Teleprinter equal-time-per-character encoding/decoding has definite advantages for separating communications from noise. Noise is random. Signals are repetitive. Machines and circuits can separate the two logically. In addition, the equal-time-per-character timing allows actual noise-distortion-CORRECTION by logic circuits, either in-line or off-line. No long-time

multiply-redundant information transfer is required. Such can be done by mere doubling of teleprinter character code times to include parity error-detection and correction bits. While teleprinting is also a representation of a written language, the SAME shorthand that was applied to "morse code" can be applied to teleprinting.

Note: There is much "noise" (largely QRM, not QRN) from manual telegraphers in the form of myths and "magics" of telegraphy that obscured the advantages of teleprinting. This derived, perhaps, because telegraphy (simpler in technology) came first and thus had a chance to establish its PR basis. Another, more subtle, was that the speed, security, and relative economy of teleprinting caused business, commerce, and governments to eventually displace manual telegraphy with teleprinting. All of those "downsized" manual telegraphers were lacking a trade to ply their specialized skills. "Radio" appeared just in time to rescue many of those manual telegraphers, give them new jobs. By the 1930s teleprinting was the mainstay of written communications worldwide...despite the insistance of manual telegraphers that this was not so and thus generating so much man-made QRM. Teleprinters could operate for hours on end at equivalent 60 WPM rates then, needing no breaks for sleep, ingestion of food, or elimination of wastes...just feed them paper and ink ribbons, oil them once in a while, and they can run 24/7 if needs be.

Segue to 1915, AT&T, the first vacuum tubes, and the first real "radio." John Carson of AT&T showed his mathematical equations describing the spectral contents of the three basic carrier wave modulations: Amplitude, Frequency, and Phase. Amplitude modulation is doubly redundant in the spectrum (but does not need to remain that way to convey information). One modulation sideband could be eliminated without losing any information! AT&T applied that to wired long-distance lines to create the first use of "single sideband." Primitive information compression but yet true information compression (of an analog kind).

Advance to 1948, keep AT&T in the picture, add hundreds of researchers on Information Theory (sparked by Shannon's Law) and cryptology and the basics of logic circuits (using tubes as Athanasof's Ohio State U. first computer did) and Information Theory spreads in a mushroom of megatons of new capabilities. Bell Labs announces the birth of the transistor, the new messiah for all electronics. In the next two decades the "modem" is born...first at a relative snail's pace of 300 WPM equivalent...then 1200 WPM...then on to 2400 WPM...until finally beginning to nudge the limit of Shannon's Law at a rate equal to 56,000 Words Per Minute! [five 10-bit ASCII characters plus an equal time space make up a "word" here]

Recall that basic AM was described in 1915. But, a common plain old telephone line has a bandwidth of only about 3 KHz (slightly more but it drops off rapidly after 3 KHz). Sending 56 THOUSAND anythings per second is "impossible?" No, it is established fact and nearly everyone in here does that every time they log onto an ISP. What a 56K modem does is to COMBINE both AM and PM in a digital way, add a soupcon of Information Coding in an elegant way in silicon hardware and voila(!) a seeming "impossibility" is proven fact. No "magic." Just clever (I'd say Machiavellian) innovations on using already proven laws to accomplish this "impossibility."

A 56K modem principle of operation is NOT intuitive...except maybe to an electronic genius (I am not such). It requires familiarity with many different areas of existing technology that are not classical "radio" (that being old-time analog only circuitry of the 1930s-1940s). The only impossibility is trying to describe How It Works in a short message; it isn't possible in a long message, even with binaries allowed (not allowed in this newsgroup). Yet it WORKS. Daily. By the hundreds of thousands worldwide every minute. The technical details are Out There for those that wish to study it.

Immediately the key-banging beepers will shout "that isn't ham radio!" It is definitely NOT the "ham radio" of the 1930s. Ham radio of then didn't have RTTY nets, let alone "computers" of today to arrange QSOs via the Internet. :-) But, the PRINCIPLE of Information Coding/Theory applies and the 56K modem is a ubiquitous example of today. SCALING of RATE does apply in any Information Coding/Theory. One can go lower in rate, keep the bandwidths narrow as the minds of those stuck in 1930s technology. Look at PSK31.

PSK31 was devised/innovated/elegantly-conceived by Peter Martinez, G3PLX. It is capable of 30 WPM sustained rate equivalent in a narrowband space no wider than that used by a manual radiotelegraph circuit. Teleprinting. The modem is in hardware-software, not the operator's wetware. Martinez displayed a willingness to experiment, to try out the new, to innovate, as he did three decades before using the polyphase network to generate SSB (in Radio Communications magazine of 1973, I have copies of that). SCALING of rate and some clever adaptations of Coding Theory did the trick. It works. But, it took a LONG time to appear over on this side of the Pond. Hams in Yurp tried it out first. Ham-wise, the much-ballyhooed "Yankee Ingenuity" was nowhere to be found...nor the courage to TRY...all were too busy talking of their "radiosport" scores and certificate awards (suitable for framing) and telling tall tales of their "pioneering the radio arts" (by others done much earlier). American ethos (and mythos) were all centered around manual morsemanship.

Why would (as Coslonaut and Flint) anyone WANT to send
a half-Gigabyte streaming DVD data on HF?!?!? Ridiculous.
But...what CAN be done in the narrowbanded, marrowminded
playground of HF is DATA of many kinds. "PicturePhone"
video, perhaps, slow-speed imagery so that everyone can sit
around their ham shacks and admire each others' radio gear?
Can be done in the spectralspace of a SSB phone signal.

Digital Radio Mondial is now broadcast on HF from Europe and
Asia in over two dozen programs each day. It is digital on
HF, can be digital on LF, MF, and VHF, all without taking
more spectral space than an AM broadcast. It works, despite
the ignorant protestations of narrowbanded narrowminded
amateurs emphasizing the glory and nobility of morsemanship
"working through when nothing else will" in usual brags.

A possibility of what can be done is 1200 Baud (1200 WPM)
data streamed through a bandwidth of 250 Hz using a combo
of amplitude and phase modulation...the equivalent modem
being the RF source. Perhaps 2400 WPM in a 500 Hz BW, either
data rate faster than what is normally done now on HF and,
by experience, quite fast enough for BBS downloading and
message handling. It could make the ballyhooed "NTS" a
force equal to its overblown reputation. Such will not be
accepted by the narrowband, narrowminded crowd who will
demand seeing the Blessing of the league first, then ads in
QST featuring peripherals at a given price, before believing
it is possible. Even then they would not understand anything
but the ads in QST.

>Now, all you have to do is replace your phone line with a rf signal
>and you have the same thing between two stations. Not only should a
>child realize this is possible, but anyone arguing different should be
>given a three day mental examination.

The very first radios did exactly that to wired telegraph
systems. The vaunted ARRL started that way...actually doing
the equivalent of hacking commercial telegraph systems (one
has to read the ENTIRE league history in order to find that
gem but it is there). But, radio amateurs STOPPED there and
very few went further. The league did much the same.

>No. I know your game far too well mike, you are sneaky and
>underhanded. You seek to manipulate the less technical savvy into
>thinking simple things are impossible just because they are NOT
>happening on ham radio.

The olde-fahrts (chronological or mindset) are the ultimate
hobbyist conservatives. Anything new/revolutionary must meet
some kind of "test in battle" to "prove its worth." [and,
of course, have such product ads in QST as double proof]

>No. Truth is you are reaping just what you have sown, you have
>resisted change and chased off all the younger minds who would bring
>change with them, then you sent and tap on ancient brass keys
>(probably vibroflexs from the 70's in reality) and convince yourselves
>you are doing a "service", you are doing a service alright, it is
>called a "snow job!"

But...But...they have "qualified" by federal test! :-)

They are federally authorized to turn their mighty stations' HF carriers ON and OFF in that epitome of all communications modes, morse code! And READ the same signals without anything but a simple receiver! Deus ex machina meets state-of-the-art!

They have TITLES! [certificates suitable for framing to amaze their family, friends, and neighbors] Morsemen are the TOP Grade! They have reached the ULTIMATE in upgrading!

They are AMATEURS, far greater and far better than any evil (hock a loogie to them) professionals in radio! They must be because they say they are...! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

332. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 00:39:26 UTC
From: Diego Nunez <viva@mextexwrkunion.org>
Message-ID: <daa08t$e7j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111739

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:bWUxe.12507$jX6.8885@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday,
//////drivel flushed//////

```
This is not the Legion Hall Hans! You don't have to keep telling
the same Radio Hero story over and over to the boys.
```

333. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 01:32:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <pk0ye.16938$eM6.13008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111741

```
"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120354248.567823.229610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120268978.606952.228000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
>> >> >>
>> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >> >
>> >> > How interesting.
>> >> >
>> >> Your just jealous that you can't copy it.
>> >
>> > Why should he be I suspect he could copy it if wants. I suspect I could
>> > if I cared
>> >
>> > Lets see I have HF capplable radio, and a PC ya I can likely copy it
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> What is the PC for? To download instructions on how to operate the HF
>> radio? Surely not for copying Morse, right?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Ha! You old fharts. I suppose the Op at KPH is going to send code so
> poorly that a runny nosed Technician with a computer won't be able to
> copy it. Sounds like W0EX.
>

With present licensing requirements I would say that is a 100 guaranteed.

Dan/W4NTI
```

334. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 01:40:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Js0ye.16942$eM6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111742

Hey Kim.....so what?.....At least I didn't pay (how many bux???) for a
callsign that brings sham on yourself.

How you like that?

Dan/W4NTI

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:eEGxe.3304$cb6.312@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:6aExe.15096$pa3.10748@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> >
>>
>> Very impresive indeed Lennie. Got any proof? Your the one that demand
>> proof all the time....put it up right here. You have a scanner, right?
>>
>
> "impressive" You're "demands"
>
>> I never had a need for the 1st or 2nd commercial. Most to all of my
>> electronics technicial work was done with military contractors, or
>> companies that had a 1st ph there already. Of course you do realize
>> that
>> all the first pnones and seconds were just dropped away. So they really
>> didn't amount to much anyway.
>>
>
> Of course, pnones?
>
>> Sorta like the ham tests of today. And hey good deal on passing the
> on-line
>> tests of today. Pre teen age children do the same with ease. What does
>> that tell you Lennie?
>>
>
> And, hey, you, Lennie?
>
>> I don't belong to the VFW Lennie. They didn't want any Vietnam Vets to
> join
>> a few years ago (course they want us now), so I gave them their wish.
>> I
>> am a lifetime member of the DAV however. Oh you know them don't ya
> Lennie?
>> Only way to get in is to be disabled while in military service and have a

>> service connected rating.
>>
>
> VFW, Lennie. ('course...) DAV, however. Oh, ya, Lennie? in, is
>
>> We don't have a local branch I'm sorry to say. I may join with the
> Vietnam
>> Veterans of America, ain't decided just yet.
>>
>> See ya.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> branch, I'm.
>
> So, several posts, with typical human error. Is that ignorance of the
> very
> language you speak, Dan? Remember how you go off on tirades all the time
> about my "ignorance" of ham radio. Payback is hell, ain't it?
>
> I'll quit now. I made my point, even though you'll be indignantly opposed
> to it.
>
> Kim W5TIT :)
>
>

335. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 01:45:06 UTC
From: Opcom <eccm@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <42C894A8.50607@swbell.net>
Source record: 111744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

that was so funny I almost sh*t my pants! No doubt the final
arrangements will be completed very early in April!

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>

--
rest begards,
Patrick Jankowiak

- reply to r e c y c l e r AT s w b e l l DOT n e t

336. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 01:47:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <yy0ye.16943$eM6.16245@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111745

Slow Scan Television is not dead. Tune into 14.230 and/or 14.233 and you
will hear all sorts of it.

Please get your facts right before ranting.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:QXHxe.21582$eJ3.20581489@news.sisna.com...
> Mike:
>
> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology which, it
> seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not implemented yet (you can
> still see SSTV in museums run by private hams--i.e, their "radio shack"
> and in current use by a few "dinosaur hams.")
>
> The technology is on the shelf, so I take it your real question is, "How
> come no one has asked/petitioned the FCC to use a "real" video mode on
> amateur radio yet?"
>
> And, if so, if that is your question, I fail to come up with a good
> answer--but like I stated earlier, listen to some of the data streams you
> hear on VHF/UHF/SHF--those sound faster than 300 baud modems, don't you
> think?
>
> Or, maybe it is just my imagination? Gee, I never thought of it, you don't
> suppose a some of those are freebanders, do you? <grin>
>
> You do know we are all going to digital TV soon, don't you? I mean
> digital broadcast TV, surely by then the hams will take the hint, don't
> you think?
>
> John
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:CIqdnVxWiet621rfRVn-2A@adelphia.com...
>> RST Engineering wrote:
>>> Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the
>>> mis-spelling?
>>
>> But I'm waiting to catch any technological solution to the digital image
>> transmission problem at hand.
>>
>> I read much invective.
>>

>> I read very little that is tangible.
>>
>> Not even how modern video compression techniques could be applied to
>> Amateur TV. *That* is one area in which some advances could be made.
>>
>> But it looks like invective is what we have to settle for.
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

337. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 01:57:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JI0ye.1080$876.8200236@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111746

Yep, just like car shows, you see a few model A's there too.

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:yy0ye.16943$eM6.16245@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Slow Scan Television is not dead. Tune into 14.230 and/or 14.233
> and you will hear all sorts of it.
>
> Please get your facts right before ranting.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:QXHxe.21582$eJ3.20581489@news.sisna.com...
>> Mike:
>>
>> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology
>> which, it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not implemented
>> yet (you can still see SSTV in museums run by private hams--i.e,
>> their "radio shack" and in current use by a few "dinosaur hams.")
>>
>> The technology is on the shelf, so I take it your real question is,
>> "How come no one has asked/petitioned the FCC to use a "real" video
>> mode on amateur radio yet?"
>>
>> And, if so, if that is your question, I fail to come up with a good
>> answer--but like I stated earlier, listen to some of the data
>> streams you hear on VHF/UHF/SHF--those sound faster than 300 baud
>> modems, don't you think?
>>
>> Or, maybe it is just my imagination? Gee, I never thought of it,
>> you don't suppose a some of those are freebanders, do you? <grin>
>>
>> You do know we are all going to digital TV soon, don't you? I mean
>> digital broadcast TV, surely by then the hams will take the hint,
>> don't you think?
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:CIqdnVxWiet621rfRVn-2A@adelphia.com...
>>> RST Engineering wrote:
>>>> Did anybody else catch the scatalogical implications of the
>>>> mis-spelling?

>>>
>>> But I'm waiting to catch any technological solution to the digital
>>> image transmission problem at hand.
>>>
>>> I read much invective.
>>>
>>> I read very little that is tangible.
>>>
>>> Not even how modern video compression techniques could be applied
>>> to Amateur TV. *That* is one area in which some advances could be
>>> made.
>>>
>>> But it looks like invective is what we have to settle for.
>>>
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
>

338. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 02:02:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120442531.136903.320650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111747

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120354248.567823.229610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120268978.606952.228000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1120256762.217394.216530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> http://www.radiomarine.org/NofN-6.html
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >> >
> >> >> > How interesting.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Your just jealous that you can't copy it.
> >> >
> >> > Why should he be I suspect he could copy it if wants. I suspect I could
> >> > if I cared
> >> >
> >> > Lets see I have HF capplable radio, and a PC ya I can likely copy it
> >> >
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >> What is the PC for? To download instructions on how to operate the HF
> >> radio? Surely not for copying Morse, right?
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI

Break

> >
> > Ha! You old fharts. I suppose the Op at KPH is going to send code so
> > poorly that a runny nosed Technician with a computer won't be able to
> > copy it. Sounds like W0EX.
> >
>
```

> With present licensing requirements I would say that is a 100 guaranteed.

Well if the present licensing guarantee that the morse is going to be
so badly sent that it can't be read by computer then Morse Code is dead
and lets finsih the burial

of course you don't realy belive that Dan
>
> Dan/W4NTI

339. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 02:33:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <A9OdnX8y9spjAlXfRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111748

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Mike:
>>>
>>> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology
>>> which, it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not implemented
>>> yet (you can still see SSTV in museums run by private hams--i.e,
>>> their "radio shack" and in current use by a few "dinosaur hams.")
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Okay, John, I understand completely where you are coming from. I
>> ask for no more.
>
>
> It is interesting that "John Smith" made the same error that Len made
> some time ago. Amateur TV and SSTV are not at all the same thing.
> For that matter, neither are dead. ATV is quite alive and SSTV is
> simply implemented differently, via the use of soundcards.

Correct. SSTV is hardly TV at all, being still images. And ATV is
indeed the transmission of moving images, and there is a very good
reason that it is at UHF frequencies.

This link may be of some help:

http://news-server.org/n/ny/nyquist_shannon_sampling_theorem.html

- Mike KB3EIA -

340. Re: SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 02:45:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <17GdnROqz6x9P1XfRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111749

b.b. wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>robert casey wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>>http://www.alexander.n.se/transmissions.htm
>>
>>>>17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator
>>
>>>You call that RF? Young people can *hear* that frequency!
>>:-)
>>
>>HAW!
>>
>>Heck, I can still hear TV horizontal oscillators sometimes (most modern
>>TVs don't whistle like the old ones did). .
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> I can still hear the hard drives revving up.
>
I can hear Harley Davidsons without mufflers driving by my house..... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

341. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 02:57:17 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42C8A57B.7E02793B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 111650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>
> >
> > Why would I care about the ARRL? I am not a ham and the ARRL is one
> > reason I never bothered with ham radio. I went into broadcast and
> > electronic manufacturing instead.
>
> Why would you let the ARRL stand in the way of your getting a ham
> license? That's a pretty weak excuse, you don't have to have a single
> thing to do with the ARRL as a ham.

It was all their loyal members who put me off amateur radio. I quickly tired of their bickering, and pissing contests over who spent the most on their station, who had the biggest antenna farm, and which brand of radio was the only one fit to be used on the air. I wanted to design and build high end receivers in the microwave range, then I got drafted and never considered a ticket again. I was awarded broadcast engineer as a civilian acquired skill while in basic training, and had enough hours keeping multiple transmitters on the air, along with two studios. Amateur radio had lost its appeal for me. I am still interested in receivers, but I don't have much time to work on them, and the hurricanes last year destroyed most of my test equipment. So, I'll have to see if I can afford to replace the equipment, repair the benches and put a new roof on the shop before I can do any serious work again. I am 100% disabled and can't climb a ladder, so I'll have to wait till i can afford to hire someone to do it for me.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

342. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:01:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <CE1ye.1452$uR6.8668334@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111651

That is bizarre.

The way it really works... (well, in the real world--probably NOT amateur radio <grin>)

You read the bytes from the ccd camera at 30 fps (or 10, or 20, or whatever)

Process this digital data as you please, format, snip, enhance, etc--compress/encrypt and send the bytes (8, 16, or 32+ bit words) at the fps you would like, but equal to or less than the fps read from the ccd cam and in sync with what the receiver expects or can handle.

At the receiving end, you uncompress the bytes and send them to the video card.

You watch the picture on the monitor.

... end of story ...

You would really have to work at it to make it harder than that, or lack sufficient understanding.

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:A9OdnX8y9spjAlXfRVn-ig@adelphia.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>> Mike:
>>>>
>>>> "Amateur TV" or SSTV is dead, replaced by much newer technology
>>>> which, it seems, most hams are ignorant of and have not
>>>> implemented yet (you can still see SSTV in museums run by private
>>>> hams--i.e, their "radio shack" and in current use by a few
>>>> "dinosaur hams.")
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Okay, John, I understand completely where you are coming from.
>>> I ask for no more.
>>
>>

>> It is interesting that "John Smith" made the same error that Len
>> made some time ago. Amateur TV and SSTV are not at all the same
>> thing.
>> For that matter, neither are dead. ATV is quite alive and SSTV is
>> simply implemented differently, via the use of soundcards.
>
> Correct. SSTV is hardly TV at all, being still images. And ATV is
> indeed the transmission of moving images, and there is a very good
> reason that it is at UHF frequencies.
>
> This link may be of some help:
>
> http://news-server.org/n/ny/nyquist_shannon_sampling_theorem.html
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

343. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:12:09 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <dO1ye.572$vT3.125@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111652

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Js0ye.16942$eM6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Hey Kim.....so what?.....At least I didn't pay (how many bux???) for a
> callsign that brings sham on yourself.
>
> How you like that?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

"shame"

Kim W5TIT

344. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:23:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ij2uek7.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 111656

On 3 Jul 2005 19:02:11 -0700, an_old_friend wrote:

>> > Ha! You old fharts. I suppose the Op at KPH is going to send code so
>> > poorly that a runny nosed Technician with a computer won't be able to
>> > copy it. Sounds like W0EX.

>> With present licensing requirements I would say that is a 100 guaranteed.
>
>Well if the present licensing guarantee that the morse is going to be
>so badly sent that it can't be read by computer then Morse Code is dead
>and lets finsih the burial

The ops at KPH/KSM are all either former station ops from there or experienced shipboard radio operators, all holding Radiotelegraph Operator Second or First Class Certificates. The "wheel" (VVV tapes) will of course be used until traffic is to be passed.

If and when they switch to K6KPH on the ham bands (using drastically-lowered transmitter power - 1.5 KW rather than the 15 KW that those transmitters were built to deliver) the ops - same guys and ladies - will be those who also hold FCC Radio Amateur licenses.

They will have no problem with sending clean Morse.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
Fly-on-the-wall MRHS (KPH/KSM/K6KPH)

345. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:23:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%Y1ye.659$BK1.350@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111653

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on Fri 1 Jul 2005 18:56
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "John Smith" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 12:25
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dee:
>>>>
>>>>My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you cannot
>>>>tell the difference.
>>>
>>>
>>> Dee is smart. But, her emotional LOVE of "CW" over-rides her
>>> reasoning ability.
>>
>> Not talking about CW.
>>
>> peace out
>
>
> Peace on you too, sweetums. I was posting to John Smith...and I
> AM talking about "CW." Deal with it.

If you don't want others to respond to your comments in this very public
forum, you might want to have a lengthy e-mail exchange session with "John".

> Now be a good little PCTA extra and go sulk in the corner...

Or he could just get on the bottom end of 20m and ragchew at 30 wpm,
knowing that he wouldn't be running into you.

Dave K8MN

346. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:29:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <622ye.1986$n87.9218144@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111654

Len:

Oh, oh. Now the great CW GOD (or GODDESS) is going to have his/her
followers smite you! Not nice to fool father/mother CW...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120274504.273077.146510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Mike Coslo on Fri 1 Jul 2005 18:56
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "John Smith" on Fri 1 Jul 2005 12:25
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dee:
>>>>
>>>>My "simple math" is actually just your "simple mind" and you
>>>>cannot
>>>>tell the difference.
>>>
>>>
>>> Dee is smart. But, her emotional LOVE of "CW" over-rides her
>>> reasoning ability.
>>
>> Not talking about CW.
>>
>> peace out
>
> Peace on you too, sweetums. I was posting to John Smith...and I
> AM talking about "CW." Deal with it.
>
> Now be a good little PCTA extra and go sulk in the corner...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

347. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:30:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_22ye.660$BK1.367@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111655

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI"<DumshitDan_southern-fried_dipshit@KKK_R_US> on Fri 1
> Jul 2005 22:35
>
>
>>There it is folks, a disgruntled CBer that couldn't learn the code and
>>failed his ham test.
>>
>>So much for Lennie the loser.
>>
>>(of course now he will deny he actually tried to take the test.....well at
>>least that is how he remembers it).
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dannie thinks he is kicking stray dogs again...
>
> I DID take THE test...with the FCC...in Chicago...at the beginning
> of March, 1956. For a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial)
> Operator license. Passed. One sitting, interrupted only by a fire
> drill in the Federal building that day.

I'm sure the gang at alt.radio.commercial.first-phone will enjoy your
tale. Bully for you, Len. Bully.

> NEVER took a ham test with the FCC, VEC, or FDA.

Inertia.

> Took a couple of practice written tests on the Internet...passed
> them, too. No problem. Rather low-level knowledge of radio,
> mostly memorization of existing regulations.

Maybe you can get those counted toward your fantasy "Extra right out of
the box".

> Has Dannie ever taken any COLLEGE LEVEL ENGINEERING COURSES?
> And the TESTS that go with those? I have. Passed them, too.

That's just super, Len--unless the object is an amateur radio license.

> Actually, I've "passed" the most stringent TEST of all...using
> and applying gained knowledge to insure a paycheck arrived
> regularly from my employer (as an electronics design engineer...
> and income derived for my partner-ship (which involved a base
> and mobile radio requiring that FCC Radiotelephone license).
> Passed those, too.

That's neat, Leonard. You've been employed. Me too! Did you know that the percentage of Americans who have been employed is much larger than the percentage of those who pass an amateur radio exam at any level? It looks like just about anybody can become employed.

Dave K8MN

348. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:44:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120448644.418666.202510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111657

Dave Heil wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> If the US hams
> > could transmit outside the broadcast frequencies this wouldn't continue.
> > The DX works split so that their sigs aren't covered by BC QRM.
>
> That isn't necessarily correct. The sharp, rare ops are operating split
> on *any* band if the pileup is huge. That keeps the callers from
> covering his sigs.

"Treachery, experience and a hundred watts beats a nitwit with two
gallons every time."

> It'll happen whether broadcasters are there or not.

Has been for decades. Now that SWBC biz is fading a bit and they're
moving out of the band working split actually makes even more sense.

It occurs to me that an argument could be presented about what us dxers
do on 40 phone as a matter of standard operating practices is not the
point the way Alun views the matter. Alun isn't interested in dxing
which is OK, all he wants to do is go peacfully ragchewing with, say,
one of his G-land chums. Who is calling CQ on 7.090 and there isn't a
pileup in sight. Alun can't get the guy's attention because the guy
isn't listening up the band for statesiders so Alun climbs into this
venue and mumbles, whines, groans and bitches about not being able to
chat with the G because po' Alun is stuck in bloody U.S. phone band and
can't xcv with his chum.

Does not flush. Go back a few years ago in the timeframe when I had the
Big Wire fed by my silly little TS-50 HF mobile xcvr which I had up and
running for the 40M RRAP CW Net exercise. The hoot to end all hoots.

I digress as usual. I happened to hear an EI8 with a decent SSB sig
yakking close to 7.100 with some station who was too weak for me to
copy. I set his freq on VFO A then fished around the band above 7.150
for a reasonably clear freq with VFO B and found one around 7.235 as I
recall. Paddled out a quick "QSX 7235 de W3RV? K" on his freq. "W3RV
stand by" with a brouge ya could cut with a knife. Which I did and
switched the TS-50 to LSB split and sat back while he finished with the
weak Euro and called me. Turned out to be a very enjoyable one hour
ragchew.

As if there's anything new about any of it. How many times David . . .
?

. . .

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

349. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:52:52 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <oo2ye.22019$ro.13344@fed1read02>
Source record: 111658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>
> ARRA Founder Glen Baxter cuts a deal with Washington
>
> Belgrade Lks, Maine., July 1, 2005 - In a surprise deal, ARRA Founder
> K1MAN and FCC legal representatives at Dewey, Cheatum, & Howe, announced
> today that all charges and NAL pending against K1MAN would be dropped
> contingent on a merger

<SNIP>

ROFLMAO!

someones idea of an April fools joke (only 3 months late). :)

I guess the law firm kinda gave it away, eh? :)
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

350. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 03:55:07 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120449307.659090.9820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111659

Dave Heil wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> If the US hams
> > could transmit outside the broadcast frequencies this wouldn't continue.
> > The DX works split so that their sigs aren't covered by BC QRM.
>
> That isn't necessarily correct. The sharp, rare ops are operating split
> on *any* band if the pileup is huge. That keeps the callers from
> covering his sigs.

"Treachery, experience and a hundred watts beats a nitwit with two
gallons every time."

> It'll happen whether broadcasters are there or not.

Has been for decades. Now that SWBC biz is fading a bit and they're
moving out of the band too working split actually makes even more
sense.

It occurs to me that an argument could be presented about what us dxers
do on 40 phone as a matter of standard operating practices is not the
point the way Alun views the matter. Alun isn't interested in dxing
which is OK, all he wants to do is go peacfully ragchewing with, say,
one of his G-land chums. Who is calling CQ on 7.090 and there isn't a
pileup in sight. Alun can't get the guy's attention because the guy
isn't listening up the band for statesiders so Alun climbs into this
venue and mumbles, whines, groans and bitches about not being able to
chat with the G because po' Alun is stuck in the bloody U.S. phone band
and can't xcv with his chum.

Does not flush. Go back a few years ago in the timeframe when I had the
Big Wire fed by my silly little TS-50 HF mobile xcvr which I had up and
running for the 40M RRAP CW Net exercise. The hoot to end all hoots.

I digress as usual. I happened to hear an EI8 with a decent SSB sig
yakking close to 7.100 with some station who was too weak for me to
copy. I set his freq on VFO A then fished around the band above 7.150
for a reasonably clear freq with VFO B and found one around 7.235 as I
recall. Paddled out a quick "QSX 7235 de W3RV? K" on his freq. "W3RV
stand by" with a brouge ya could cut with a knife. Which I did and
switched the TS-50 to LSB split and sat back while he finished with the
weak Euro and called me. Turned out to be a very enjoyable one hour
ragchew.

As if there's anything new about any of it. How many times David . . .
?

. . .

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

351. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 04:01:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3w2ye.667$BK1.396@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111660

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Jul 2, 1:24 am

> Even worse, it is the stubborn, hidebound, refusal to break
> out of the antiquated standards and practices of pre-WW2
> times to meld with the rest of the world of modern times.

That's surely no worse than your stubborn, hidebound efforts to change regulations in something in which you are not remotely involved. Try a mind meld on that, old timer

> The only "code" allowed by these dino-denizens of the past
> is MORSE code. Anything else, such as (horrors) "source code"
> is nothing but a bunch of NOPs with an occasional HCF. Those
> that have bought into it and passed the morse test will do
> more flaunting of their morsemanship than a convention of
> actors in Hollywood bragging of their credits. [they have
> no Variety]

What is any of this to you? You aren't a part of amateur radio. You've made no effort to become a radio amateur. You're simply some geezer sitting on the sidelines and shouting, "You're doing it all wrong". You've become a regular Rodney Dangerfield--except that you aren't intentionally funny.

> Using "examples" of half-GigaByte files "expected to be sent
> over little teeny narrowbanded enclaves of spectrum is itself
> an example of their non-thinking, non-research, non-educated
> attempts to stall any sort of progress. They can't do the
> numbers (despite flaunting of non-amateur titles), won't
> bother with looking up things, everything-is-just-fine-as-
> when-they-first-joined-long-ago-thankyouverymuch.
>
> Case in point: DRM (Digital Radio Mondial). Digitized audio
> on HF, now being transmitted (over two dozen programs now
> listed), capable of overcoming the selective fading common
> to the "wow" heard so many times on analog BC, tested for over
> four years on HF. High-quality audio fitting within a 12 KHz
> bandwidth, an occupancy no greater than present-day audio on
> broadcast. DRM may not be the technical best, but it IS a
> WORKING system. It works on LF, MF, HF, VHF. By test.

It sure does. Ten-Tec's has marketed a pretty nice general coverage receiver which incorporates Radio Mondial capability for several years. It requires a PC to work. That pretty well eliminates portability. Radio Mondial isn't going to be something which catches on in the third

world where price, battery power and portability are prime requirements.
I think it is likely to be accepted in the U.S. about as much as AM
stereo and 8-track tapes.

> A few years ago in here a bunch of narrowband, narrowthinker
> olde-fahrts exclaimed and exclaimed that "it won't work!"

They did? Name them.

> The general idea of DRM, scaled for 2.5 KHz voice-only audio
> bandwidths is eminently possible on HF.

Sure it is. The problem is, what works well for many people tuning into
a broadcast just falls apart when a number of people call one station or
when a group of stations desires to converse roundtable-style.

> Effects of selective
> fading on HF will be less than the wider bandwidth of broadcast
> audio. Further, since it already IS in digital form, it is
> applicable to direct-sequence spreading and the ability to put
> many signals on a given band without any mutual interference.
> The narrowband, narrowthink amateurs will have none of that.
> They will yank out the "12 KHz bandwidth" of DRM and shout it
> is way too broad for amateur use...while they totally ignore
> the scaling that can (and sometimes is) done for narrower band
> audio.

The scaling isn't the problem, wizened one.

> The narrowband, narrowthink status quo-ists will demand "already-
> done, tested, approved, on-the-market" products to "demonstrate"
> that it will work. [they have in the past in here] :-) In
> other words, "don't bother me until I see the ads in QST" kind
> of mentality which seems to have become standard on the USA
> amateur scene. The narrowband, narrowthink hams are content
> with their narrow slices of spectrum, the bands appropriately
> sliced up into "bandplan" segments like separator boards in a
> sandbox. They have achieved Titles in their federal authority
> and haughtily parade that to play in the "nicer" parts of the
> sandbox.

You can worry about the nicer parts of the sandbox when you've come up
with a pass to enter the park.

> Analog-ONLY is the cry of the narrowband narrowthink group.
> Keep it SIMPLE so that the most theory they need is just
> Ohm's Law of Resistance. The have resistance to anything more
> complex. Stay with the gamesmanship, enter the contests for
> "radiosport" and win nice certificates (suitable for framing).
> Forget the exploring of the new, trying out something different.
> Too HARD to think. Follow preset rules and fill in the blanks.
> Big Brother in the NE will protect them.

Does complex and newer equal better?

> Offshore designers
> and makers will provide they radio toys, all their bells and
> whistles. :-(

Tennessee is offshore?

Dave K8MN

352. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 04:06:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7B2ye.2707$0m7.9432130@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111661

Len:

I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!

You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by never getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or even hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday--they refuse to get a license because of the code...

... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my friend! And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority today.

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1120332432.891992.124240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 2, 1:24 am
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>If one ever gets serious about using HF for video, and HS data
>>transmission, this:
>>http://www.thiecom.de/english/?g313i/
>>is an excellent investment. The digital signal can be pulled
>>directly
>>off the PCI bus in the computer and fed to software. This company
>>supplies the software framework for just about anything you can
>>imagine, if you know how to code or know someone who does--the sky
>>is
>>the limit...
>
> John, lots of us know of data compression and maybe a few
> radio amateurs will acknowledge the elegant work of Claude
> Elwood Shannon back in 1947. But, that is really NOT the
> issue in here. Status quondam is the issue.
>
> Even worse, it is the stubborn, hidebound, refusal to break
> out of the antiquated standards and practices of pre-WW2
> times to meld with the rest of the world of modern times.
>
> The only "code" allowed by these dino-denizens of the past
> is MORSE code. Anything else, such as (horrors) "source code"
> is nothing but a bunch of NOPs with an occasional HCF. Those
> that have bought into it and passed the morse test will do
> more flaunting of their morsemanship than a convention of
> actors in Hollywood bragging of their credits. [they have

> no Variety]
>
> Using "examples" of half-GigaByte files "expected to be sent
> over little teeny narrowbanded enclaves of spectrum is itself
> an example of their non-thinking, non-research, non-educated
> attempts to stall any sort of progress. They can't do the
> numbers (despite flaunting of non-amateur titles), won't
> bother with looking up things, everything-is-just-fine-as-
> when-they-first-joined-long-ago-thankyouverymuch.
>
> Case in point: DRM (Digital Radio Mondial). Digitized audio
> on HF, now being transmitted (over two dozen programs now
> listed), capable of overcoming the selective fading common
> to the "wow" heard so many times on analog BC, tested for over
> four years on HF. High-quality audio fitting within a 12 KHz
> bandwidth, an occupancy no greater than present-day audio on
> broadcast. DRM may not be the technical best, but it IS a
> WORKING system. It works on LF, MF, HF, VHF. By test.
>
> A few years ago in here a bunch of narrowband, narrowthinker
> olde-fahrts exclaimed and exclaimed that "it won't work!"
> That was during the successful testing phase of DRM. The
> same group also decried GMDSS as "unworkable!" even though
> the maritime community had already researched and tested it
> and approved it worldwide. Morse code on 500 KHz MUST continue
> they said, ignoring what the SOLAS folks had already determined.
>
> The general idea of DRM, scaled for 2.5 KHz voice-only audio
> bandwidths is eminently possible on HF. Effects of selective
> fading on HF will be less than the wider bandwidth of broadcast
> audio. Further, since it already IS in digital form, it is
> applicable to direct-sequence spreading and the ability to put
> many signals on a given band without any mutual interference.
> The narrowband, narrowthink amateurs will have none of that.
> They will yank out the "12 KHz bandwidth" of DRM and shout it
> is way too broad for amateur use...while they totally ignore
> the scaling that can (and sometimes is) done for narrower band
> audio.
>
> The narrowband, narrowthink status quo-ists will demand "already-
> done, tested, approved, on-the-market" products to "demonstrate"
> that it will work. [they have in the past in here] :-) In
> other words, "don't bother me until I see the ads in QST" kind
> of mentality which seems to have become standard on the USA
> amateur scene. The narrowband, narrowthink hams are content
> with their narrow slices of spectrum, the bands appropriately
> sliced up into "bandplan" segments like separator boards in a
> sandbox. They have achieved Titles in their federal authority
> and haughtily parade that to play in the "nicer" parts of the
> sandbox.

>
> Analog-ONLY is the cry of the narrowband narrowthink group.
> Keep it SIMPLE so that the most theory they need is just
> Ohm's Law of Resistance. The have resistance to anything more
> complex. Stay with the gamesmanship, enter the contests for
> "radiosport" and win nice certificates (suitable for framing).
> Forget the exploring of the new, trying out something different.
> Too HARD to think. Follow preset rules and fill in the blanks.
> Big Brother in the NE will protect them. Offshore designers
> and makers will provide they radio toys, all their bells and
> whistles. :-(
>
> "Shannon's Law?" Ain't in Part 97. Fergit it...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

353. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 04:53:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eh3ye.687$BK1.668@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111662

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> Hans,
>
> Did you ever write for "Gearvakf" (the "f" is silent)?
>

No, and I really admired some of the work that was on that site. Especially the one about the explosion of 2-meters.

73, de Hans, K0HB

354. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 04:58:57 UTC
From: Charley <jerk@charleydacber.net>
Message-ID: <daaffehph0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111663

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ij2uek7.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>
> The ops at KPH/KSM are all either former station ops from there or
> experienced shipboard radio operators, all holding Radiotelegraph
> Operator Second or First Class Certificates. The "wheel" (VVV
> tapes) will of course be used until traffic is to be passed.
>
> If and when they switch to K6KPH on the ham bands (using drastically-
> lowered transmitter power - 1.5 KW rather than the 15 KW that those
> transmitters were built to deliver) the ops - same guys and ladies -
> will be those who also hold FCC Radio Amateur licenses.
>
> They will have no problem with sending clean Morse.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> Fly-on-the-wall MRHS (KPH/KSM/K6KPH)
>

The whole thing sounds awfully stupid to me and a waste of
good time. These people need to get a life.

73 de Charley

355. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 09:05:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kqudncQszt1rLITfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111779

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
>>>>family's best interest at heart.
>>>
>>>he is a sick SoB
>>
>> Hey Markie...I don't insult YOUR parents despite several
>>opportunities you ahve provided to do so...So what's up with you?
>
>
> You have insulted my Father, stalked and harassed me for years, and you
> are complain aabout getting some in reutrn
>
> and you can't spell "ahve" you have been blwoing that one all day

And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?

356. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 11:59:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120478371.623608.276610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111665

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in

>
> This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-
>
> http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm
>
> This has all three regions on it, although published on the Region 2 web
> site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one the US happens
> to be in).
>
> If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other countries, this
> is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan necessarily
> incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might change it, or
> might not, but then I am not a member...
>
> For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments for R2 start at
> 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225. In fact,
> phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference basis, but if
> you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!

Whatever, took a whole two minutes Alun. I would not like to operate in
that regime. In the first place it's far too complicated, much more
complicated than the ARRL plan, complexity being an auto-turnoff bound
to get busted right and left in practice. Second it's sorely out of
date with it's "allocation" for ax.25 ops. How much packet to we hear
any longer on 40?? Further where do the modern digital modes like
PSK-31 fit into this plan? They're not even mentioned. Ditto the
looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the thing
was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.

My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW-exclusive
segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz for U.S.
non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.
Particularly given the ongoing surge of 40M QRP CW ops by all classes
of HF-enabled licensees in all regions.

In a word fuhgeddit.

> 73 de Alun, N3KIP

w3rv

357. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 12:46:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120481187.286229.201920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111666

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in
> > This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-
> > http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm
> > This has all three regions on it, although published on the
> > Region 2 web
> > site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one
> > the US happens to be in).
> >
> > If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other
> > countries, this
> > is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan
> > necessarily
> > incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might
> > change it, or might not, but then I am not a member...
> >
> > For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments
> > for R2 start at
> > 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225.

> > In fact,
> > phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference
> > basis, but if
> > you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!
>
> Whatever, took a whole two minutes Alun. I would not like to
> operate in that regime.

Nor I!

> In the first place it's far too complicated, much more
> complicated than the ARRL plan, complexity being an auto-
> turnoff bound
> to get busted right and left in practice. Second it's sorely
> out of
> date with it's "allocation" for ax.25 ops. How much packet to
> we hear
> any longer on 40?? Further where do the modern digital modes
> like
> PSK-31 fit into this plan? They're not even mentioned.

I suppose they're all "digimode".

> Ditto the
> looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the > thing

> was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.

Bingo! So much for "dynamic reallocation of resources"..
>
> My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW-
> exclusive
> segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz
> for U.S.
> non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.

And that's if everybody plays by the bandplan!

Alun says the 'phone segment starts at 7050 under that plan. Which means that 'phone is primary on ~83% of the band! CW is primary on ~11%. The "digimodes" are stuck in between.

The spectrum-efficient modes are sacrificed to the spectrum-wasters. "From each according to his [spectrum] ability, to each according to his [spectrum] need...." where have we heard that before?

> Particularly given the ongoing surge of 40M QRP CW ops by all
> classes of HF-enabled licensees in all regions.

7040...
>
> In a word fuhgeddit.
>
The 800 pound problem is that nobody sez whose bandplan is to be followed. Does IARU outrank ARRL in the USA, or the other way around? What if NCVEC, NCI, RSGB, CQ or qrz.com comes up with a bandplan of their own?

Like you said - fugedaboudit.

73 de Jim, N2EY

358. Re: SAQ On The Air This Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 13:37:47 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120484267.902988.37410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111668

Mike Coslo wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >>robert casey wrote:
> >>
> >>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>>http://www.alexander.n.se/transmissions.htm
> >>
> >>>>17.2 kHz with the 200 kW Alexanderson Alternator
> >>
> >>>You call that RF? Young people can *hear* that frequency!
> >>>:-)
> >>
> >>HAW!
> >>
> >>Heck, I can still hear TV horizontal oscillators sometimes (most modern
> >>TVs don't whistle like the old ones did). .
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > I can still hear the hard drives revving up.
> >
> I can hear Harley Davidsons without mufflers driving by my house..... 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Ever think about moving to a better neighborhood?

359. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 13:37:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120484274.222615.264720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111667

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!

> You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested
> by never
> getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or > even
> hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday--> they refuse to get a license because of the code...

> ... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time > my
> friend! And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority > today.

Who is "they", John?

I don't hate anybody on rrap. I like some more than others but
"hate" is too strong a word.

The problem you, Len and a few others share is simple:

You have confused the destination with the journey.

360. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 14:04:45 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120485885.213354.168230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111669

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> I've been a ham 38 years come October, and spent most of my time on the
> air working CW. In all that time I've heard *nothing* that wasn't
> "G-rated" or embarrassing to the amateur radio service. *Nothing*.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Kim should to try to contact you on the low end of 20. Hi!

Wonder if you would even reply or if you would somehow alter her call.

361. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 14:11:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vsbye.842$BK1.646@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111670

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>
> You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by never getting
> an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or even hundreds of
> thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday--they refuse to get a
> license because of the code...
>
> ... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my friend!
> And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority today.
>

Literally billions and billions of people worldwide have joined Len in protest
by never applying for an amateur license --- untold billions!
Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have CB sets!
Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have FRS sets!
Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have GMRS sets!
Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have MURS sets!
Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have marine VHF sets!
Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have cordless phones!
Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have any sort of
"wireless" communications!

What an impressive protest, people before their time!

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

362. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 14:18:00 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120486680.412002.193530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111671

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > Well I know I will not carry your baggage I doubt brain will either
>
> You're not man enough to carry MY "baggage", Mark, and Brain
> couldn't find it.

Nope, there will be no carrying of Robeson's baggage. His baggage is his own, and if it's too overwhelming for him to carry, that's of his own making. Even the females have to carry their own baggage in the service. Suggest Robeson toughen up a little and do the same, if he's up to it. And quit his whining.

363. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 14:30:53 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120487453.354677.210310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111672

an_old_friend wrote:
> > > cut
>
>
> > > > > Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.." I, even you may
> > > > > say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
> > > > > or that of other. I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> > > > > diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> > > > > Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> > > > > family. You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> > > > > Illiopolis" Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> > > > > it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> > > > > or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness
> > > >
> > > > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
> > >
> > > He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me
> >
> > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > family's best interest at heart.
>
> he is a sick SoB
> >
> > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
>
> Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
> >
> > > > > > That's a felony.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> > > > > > lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Depends on who you are. Steve claims that with one phone call...
> > > >
> > > > Stevie Claims a lot of shit
> >
> > Agreed. He backs up none of it with documentation.
> >
> > > > > > Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> > > > > > stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> > > > > > nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> > > > > > the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> > > > > > your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)

> > > >
> > > > I don't think Steve is harmless. Be careful.
> > > >
> > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > >
> > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> >
> Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
> > >
> > Hi!
> > >
> > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> >
> >
> Missed that one
> >
> But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny
> him what you but grant him his due
> >
> > >
> > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > >
> > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> >
> I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
> efforts will be detected.
> >
> Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
> group
> >
> > >
> > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > >
> > Vermin! Hi!
> > >
> > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> >
> Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
> someone was a thief
> >
> A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it

He's been absent for a while. Do you think he was picked up and in
therapy?

364. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 14:52:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pL2dnVebWNe90FTfRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111673

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> From: John Smith on Jul 2, 1:24 am
>
>
>> Even worse, it is the stubborn, hidebound, refusal to break
>> out of the antiquated standards and practices of pre-WW2
>> times to meld with the rest of the world of modern times.
>
>
> That's surely no worse than your stubborn, hidebound efforts to change
> regulations in something in which you are not remotely involved. Try a
> mind meld on that, old timer
>
>> The only "code" allowed by these dino-denizens of the past
>> is MORSE code. Anything else, such as (horrors) "source code"
>> is nothing but a bunch of NOPs with an occasional HCF. Those
>> that have bought into it and passed the morse test will do
>> more flaunting of their morsemanship than a convention of
>> actors in Hollywood bragging of their credits. [they have
>> no Variety]
>
>
> What is any of this to you? You aren't a part of amateur radio. You've
> made no effort to become a radio amateur. You're simply some geezer
> sitting on the sidelines and shouting, "You're doing it all wrong".
> You've become a regular Rodney Dangerfield--except that you aren't
> intentionally funny.
>
>> Using "examples" of half-GigaByte files "expected to be sent
>> over little teeny narrowbanded enclaves of spectrum is itself
>> an example of their non-thinking, non-research, non-educated
>> attempts to stall any sort of progress. They can't do the
>> numbers (despite flaunting of non-amateur titles), won't
>> bother with looking up things, everything-is-just-fine-as-
>> when-they-first-joined-long-ago-thankyouverymuch.
>>
>> Case in point: DRM (Digital Radio Mondial). Digitized audio
>> on HF, now being transmitted (over two dozen programs now
>> listed), capable of overcoming the selective fading common
>> to the "wow" heard so many times on analog BC, tested for over
>> four years on HF. High-quality audio fitting within a 12 KHz
>> bandwidth, an occupancy no greater than present-day audio on

>> broadcast. DRM may not be the technical best, but it IS a
>> WORKING system. It works on LF, MF, HF, VHF. By test.
>
>
> It sure does. Ten-Tec's has marketed a pretty nice general coverage
> receiver which incorporates Radio Mondial capability for several years.
> It requires a PC to work. That pretty well eliminates portability.
> Radio Mondial isn't going to be something which catches on in the third
> world where price, battery power and portability are prime requirements.
> I think it is likely to be accepted in the U.S. about as much as AM
> stereo and 8-track tapes.

How'd we get to DRM for voice? Weren't we talking about images and
video? A bit of difference there maybe?

>> A few years ago in here a bunch of narrowband, narrowthinker
>> olde-fahrts exclaimed and exclaimed that "it won't work!"
>
> They did? Name them.

Probably because someone made some claim that was a bit beyond
capabilities, and then clever people shifted the argument, just like
what is going on here. So now we have some of us being Luddites
regarding digital image transmission on HF because of DRM FM-like audio.

Which would be scaled down to that 2.5 KHz bandwidth.

How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into 2.5 KHz?

>> The general idea of DRM, scaled for 2.5 KHz voice-only audio
>> bandwidths is eminently possible on HF.

Of course.

>
> Sure it is. The problem is, what works well for many people tuning into
> a broadcast just falls apart when a number of people call one station or
> when a group of stations desires to converse roundtable-style.

Yes. The entire nature of HF operations would change drastically.

>> Effects of selective
>> fading on HF will be less than the wider bandwidth of broadcast
>> audio. Further, since it already IS in digital form, it is
>> applicable to direct-sequence spreading and the ability to put
>> many signals on a given band without any mutual interference.
>> The narrowband, narrowthink amateurs will have none of that.
>> They will yank out the "12 KHz bandwidth" of DRM and shout it
>> is way too broad for amateur use...while they totally ignore
>> the scaling that can (and sometimes is) done for narrower band
>> audio.
>
>

> The scaling isn't the problem, wizened one.

For video and images it is.

>
>> The narrowband, narrowthink status quo-ists will demand "already-
>> done, tested, approved, on-the-market" products to "demonstrate"
>> that it will work. [they have in the past in here] :-) In
>> other words, "don't bother me until I see the ads in QST" kind
>> of mentality which seems to have become standard on the USA
>> amateur scene. The narrowband, narrowthink hams are content
>> with their narrow slices of spectrum, the bands appropriately
>> sliced up into "bandplan" segments like separator boards in a
>> sandbox. They have achieved Titles in their federal authority
>> and haughtily parade that to play in the "nicer" parts of the
>> sandbox.
>
>
> You can worry about the nicer parts of the sandbox when you've come up
> with a pass to enter the park.

Okay, so it looks like someone is now trying to shift the argument into
something like we have to fight to get more spectrum so that we can use
methods that use more bandwidth.

I thought that we were going to be able to send live video and digital
images on HF?

Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the proper interfaces.

Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use DRM, and we're
going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.

IOW, it can't be done (practically) under the present circumstances.

Some of the other folks who would have to give up their spectrum might
have something to say about it also! 8^)

>> Analog-ONLY is the cry of the narrowband narrowthink group.
>> Keep it SIMPLE so that the most theory they need is just
>> Ohm's Law of Resistance. The have resistance to anything more
>> complex. Stay with the gamesmanship, enter the contests for
>> "radiosport" and win nice certificates (suitable for framing).
>> Forget the exploring of the new, trying out something different.
>> Too HARD to think. Follow preset rules and fill in the blanks.
>> Big Brother in the NE will protect them.
>
>
> Does complex and newer equal better?

Is analog simpler than digital?

Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet make a person a
digital expert?

I ask for enlightenment, I get invective. Appears to be what there is to offer.

- Mike KB3EIA -

365. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 14:56:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120489005.252447.48960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111674

an_old_friend wrote:

> > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > family's best interest at heart.
>
> he is a sick SoB

Hey Markie...I don't insult YOUR parents despite several
opportunities you ahve provided to do so...So what's up with you?

> > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
>
> Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze

Thanks a REAL god that I'm not stupid enough to be a Democrat

> > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> >
> > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
>
> Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe

Either way you look at it, neither of you are capable of
maneuvering without someone to set your course for you.

> > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
>
> Missed that one

You missed a lot more than Brain lets on.

I could do exactly what HE does and extract single words from
posts and make it sound ominous, too.

But there's a difference...

Brian P Burke is a chronic and deceitful liar....He NEEDS to
manufacture such things in order tod etract from his online misdeeds.

I don't need to do such things.

> But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny
> him what you but grant him his due

Not as pure as the driven snow, but certainly not the YELLOW snow
like the two of you.

> > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> >
> > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
>
> I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
> efforts will be detected.

"...places where his efforts will be detected..."...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

> Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
> group

Too late...You're already here.

> > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> >
> > Vermin! Hi!
> >
> > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
>
> Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
> someone was a thief
>
> A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it

But one that's easily disproven. NCIC refers.

Brian P Burke and Mark C. Morgan....Liars Lying Together.

What a world we've sunk to.....

Steve, K4YZ

366. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 14:58:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120489099.701717.4680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111675

b.b. wrote:

> He's been absent for a while. Do you think he was picked up and in
> therapy?

Nope.

Working.

You should try meaningful labor, Brain...

Steve, K4YZ

367. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 15:06:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gfcye.7$zZ1.519201@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111676

Mike:

What the heck are you referring to as DRM? DRM or "Digital Rights Management" is a form of copyright protection and should be avoided at ALL costs.

DRM has nothing to do with amateurs running webcams via radio. Unless you are afraid someone is going to steal your video!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:pL2dnVebWNe90FTfRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> From: John Smith on Jul 2, 1:24 am
>>
>>
>>> Even worse, it is the stubborn, hidebound, refusal to break
>>> out of the antiquated standards and practices of pre-WW2
>>> times to meld with the rest of the world of modern times.
>>
>>
>> That's surely no worse than your stubborn, hidebound efforts to
>> change regulations in something in which you are not remotely
>> involved. Try a mind meld on that, old timer
>>
>>> The only "code" allowed by these dino-denizens of the past
>>> is MORSE code. Anything else, such as (horrors) "source code"
>>> is nothing but a bunch of NOPs with an occasional HCF. Those
>>> that have bought into it and passed the morse test will do
>>> more flaunting of their morsemanship than a convention of
>>> actors in Hollywood bragging of their credits. [they have
>>> no Variety]
>>
>>
>> What is any of this to you? You aren't a part of amateur radio.
>> You've made no effort to become a radio amateur. You're simply
>> some geezer sitting on the sidelines and shouting, "You're doing it
>> all wrong".
>> You've become a regular Rodney Dangerfield--except that you aren't
>> intentionally funny.
>>
>>> Using "examples" of half-GigaByte files "expected to be sent

>>> over little teeny narrowbanded enclaves of spectrum is itself
>>> an example of their non-thinking, non-research, non-educated
>>> attempts to stall any sort of progress. They can't do the
>>> numbers (despite flaunting of non-amateur titles), won't
>>> bother with looking up things, everything-is-just-fine-as-
>>> when-they-first-joined-long-ago-thankyouverymuch.
>>>
>>> Case in point: DRM (Digital Radio Mondial). Digitized audio
>>> on HF, now being transmitted (over two dozen programs now
>>> listed), capable of overcoming the selective fading common
>>> to the "wow" heard so many times on analog BC, tested for over
>>> four years on HF. High-quality audio fitting within a 12 KHz
>>> bandwidth, an occupancy no greater than present-day audio on
>>> broadcast. DRM may not be the technical best, but it IS a
>>> WORKING system. It works on LF, MF, HF, VHF. By test.
>>
>>
>> It sure does. Ten-Tec's has marketed a pretty nice general
>> coverage receiver which incorporates Radio Mondial capability for
>> several years.
>> It requires a PC to work. That pretty well eliminates portability.
>> Radio Mondial isn't going to be something which catches on in the
>> third world where price, battery power and portability are prime
>> requirements.
>> I think it is likely to be accepted in the U.S. about as much as AM
>> stereo and 8-track tapes.
>
> How'd we get to DRM for voice? Weren't we talking about images and
> video? A bit of difference there maybe?
>
>
>>> A few years ago in here a bunch of narrowband, narrowthinker
>>> olde-fahrts exclaimed and exclaimed that "it won't work!"
>> They did? Name them.
>
> Probably because someone made some claim that was a bit beyond
> capabilities, and then clever people shifted the argument, just like
> what is going on here. So now we have some of us being Luddites
> regarding digital image transmission on HF because of DRM FM-like
> audio.
>
> Which would be scaled down to that 2.5 KHz bandwidth.
>
> How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into 2.5
> KHz?
>
>
>>> The general idea of DRM, scaled for 2.5 KHz voice-only audio
>>> bandwidths is eminently possible on HF.
>

> Of course.
>
>>
>> Sure it is. The problem is, what works well for many people tuning
>> into a broadcast just falls apart when a number of people call one
>> station or when a group of stations desires to converse
>> roundtable-style.
>
> Yes. The entire nature of HF operations would change drastically.
>
>
>>> Effects of selective
>>> fading on HF will be less than the wider bandwidth of broadcast
>>> audio. Further, since it already IS in digital form, it is
>>> applicable to direct-sequence spreading and the ability to put
>>> many signals on a given band without any mutual interference.
>>> The narrowband, narrowthink amateurs will have none of that.
>>> They will yank out the "12 KHz bandwidth" of DRM and shout it
>>> is way too broad for amateur use...while they totally ignore
>>> the scaling that can (and sometimes is) done for narrower band
>>> audio.
>>
>>
>> The scaling isn't the problem, wizened one.
>
> For video and images it is.
>
>
>
>>
>>> The narrowband, narrowthink status quo-ists will demand
>>> "already-
>>> done, tested, approved, on-the-market" products to
>>> "demonstrate"
>>> that it will work. [they have in the past in here] :-) In
>>> other words, "don't bother me until I see the ads in QST" kind
>>> of mentality which seems to have become standard on the USA
>>> amateur scene. The narrowband, narrowthink hams are content
>>> with their narrow slices of spectrum, the bands appropriately
>>> sliced up into "bandplan" segments like separator boards in a
>>> sandbox. They have achieved Titles in their federal authority
>>> and haughtily parade that to play in the "nicer" parts of the
>>> sandbox.
>>
>>
>> You can worry about the nicer parts of the sandbox when you've come
>> up with a pass to enter the park.
>
> Okay, so it looks like someone is now trying to shift the argument
> into something like we have to fight to get more spectrum so that we

> can use methods that use more bandwidth.
>
> I thought that we were going to be able to send live video and
> digital images on HF?
>
> Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the proper
> interfaces.
>
> Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use DRM, and
> we're going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>
> IOW, it can't be done (practically) under the present circumstances.
>
> Some of the other folks who would have to give up their spectrum
> might have something to say about it also! 8^)
>
>
>>> Analog-ONLY is the cry of the narrowband narrowthink group.
>>> Keep it SIMPLE so that the most theory they need is just
>>> Ohm's Law of Resistance. The have resistance to anything more
>>> complex. Stay with the gamesmanship, enter the contests for
>>> "radiosport" and win nice certificates (suitable for framing).
>>> Forget the exploring of the new, trying out something
>>> different.
>>> Too HARD to think. Follow preset rules and fill in the blanks.
>>> Big Brother in the NE will protect them.
>>
>>
>> Does complex and newer equal better?
>
> Is analog simpler than digital?
>
> Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet make a person a
> digital expert?
>
> I ask for enlightenment, I get invective. Appears to be what there
> is to offer.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

368. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 15:08:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ghcye.8$N91.359586@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111677

N2EY:

"They" are the all important youngsters in high schools and colleges
across this nation.

"They" are the ones I am working with and ask directly, "How about
getting an amateur license?"

"They" are all the women who take one look at the code and go away
laughing. "They" are the only ones who matter...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120484274.222615.264720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!
>
>> You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested
>> by never
>> getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or >
>> even
>> hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday-->
>> they refuse to get a license because of the code...
>
>> ... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time > my
>> friend! And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority >
>> today.
>
> Who is "they", John?
>
> I don't hate anybody on rrap. I like some more than others but
> "hate" is too strong a word.
>
> The problem you, Len and a few others share is simple:
>
> You have confused the destination with the journey.
>

369. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 15:12:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ilcye.10$O55.625683@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111678

KXHB:

Go ask the college and high school students in the EE fields why they
do not obtain amateur licenses.

I have asked, it is the code which they cite at least 90% of the time.
However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that is not
true. Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college and
have a chat with an electronics engineer professor there and the
students--then perhaps you will realize we who know are looking at you
like some crazed manic reciting a ridiculous mantra about code not
being a hindrance and the real reason amateur radio is dying...

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vsbye.842$BK1.646@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by
>> never getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands
>> or even hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them
>> everyday--they refuse to get a license because of the code...
>>
>> ... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my
>> friend! And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority
>> today.
>>
>
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide have joined Len
> in protest by never applying for an amateur license --- untold
> billions!
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have CB
> sets!
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have FRS
> sets!
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have GMRS
> sets!
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have MURS
> sets!
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have
> marine VHF sets!
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have

> cordless phones!
> Literally billions and billions of people worldwide do not have any
> sort of "wireless" communications!
>
> What an impressive protest, people before their time!
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

370. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 15:21:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Htcye.3620$8f7.2792@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111679

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that is not true.
> Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college and have a chat with
> an electronics engineer professor there and the students--then perhaps you
> will realize we who know are looking at you like some crazed manic reciting a
> ridiculous mantra about code not being a hindrance and the real reason amateur
> radio is dying...

John,

You're new around here, so I'll bring you up to date. I am a long time member of NCI, and I do not support continuation of the Morse test, so spare your jeremiads about "you guys don't care" for someone else.

Having said that, your characterization of Len as a "man before his time" is the most laughable miscasting since someone suggested John Wayne play the part of a queer hairdessser in a movie about the old west.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

371. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 15:25:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120490747.050171.252870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111680

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You need help. Someone needs to get you to it since you won't go
> > on your own.
>
> You are lying again but which is the lie Stevie...(SNIP)

No, I'm not lying.

You need help.

You're a chronic liar and have some very serious personal issues
that need professional resolution.

> (UNSNIP)...that you carry on this
> becuase you are a sadist or becuase youcareabout or is it simpleir than
> that are both a lie

What?

> > > All that you need prehaps. since you need none at all I am sure you
> > > have that
> > > but you don't back it up for anyone else.
> >
> > Sure I do.
>
> As I suspect you have all you need, which may wel be none at all
> The rest of us have higher standards

That you even remotely suggest that you have "higher standards" is
one of your more laughable and absolutely ludicrous assertions, Mark.

Just wading through the abortion of writing that you dare call
English proves it.

You refuse to do those things that would demonstrate that you are
doing those things necessary to improve and advance yourself.

Your "standards" are lies and deceit wrapped around ignorance and
functional illiteracy.

> > Brain insisted that I was never in MARS and know nothing about it.
> > I made one error as it pertainted to Chief MARS, and he now claims my
> > lack of knowledge on MARS is "vast".
>
> You admit to one error from experence I'd there exist at least 10
> errors for every one you admit to

Then you're certainly at liberty to provide evidence of that
claim, Mark...

We'll wait right here and add it to the list of OTHER things
you've claimed like a commission in the Armed Forces.

> > I gave him sepcific dates, times, places, callsigns, even military
> > units of assignment where pertinent.
>
> So

So indeed.

You and he claim I don't give specifics.

There's proof in this very forum that that assertion is a lie.

> > Then he said, "Oh, I need "DOCUMENTATION".
>
> And you did not provide it

Sure I did.

> > Whelp, he's got far more information to verify MY claims than any
> > he's ever provided for any claim he's ever made.
> >
>
> You the lazy bum you are want him to do the work of proving YOUR Claim

Nope.

He wanted specifics. I gave them.

> > As far as I am concerned, the information's been delivered.
>
> I am sure you think so, don't make it so

The fact that I did it DOES make it so.

The fact that you and Brain try to make it appear otherwise is
laughable.

> > > You don't use our own word
> > > you make stuff up, or you distort the context, or at best you start
> > > assume, and if you were ever a maraine you know what ASSuME means
> > >
> > Nothing's made up, Mark.
>
> You have made lots of stuff...(SNIP)

Such as...?!?!

> (UNSNIP)...Not in position to say what you made up vs
> what you distorted beyond all reason w.r.t. Brain Idon't eneough about
> him

Huh?

> > Even YOU have the resources to verify anything I've ever said in
> > this forum except that I won't discuss my combat service in the Corps.
> > If Lennie and Brain want to subsequently say "it didn't happen", well,
> > they can have fun doing it but they'd still be wrong.
>
> A simple lie I don't have those resourses...(SNIP)

It's no lie.

You have the resources.

What you DON'T ahve is either the guts to use them OR you really
are as ignorant as I have supposed and don't realize that you have
them.

> (UNSNIP)...not without digging for them
> at best, and to say I might be able to dig out of yoour lies and
> obfucations the data is being generous in assuimng that your aren't
> lying win saying I can.

There's nothing for ME to "dig out of", Mark.

I've not lied about anything.

And you're right about ONE thing...ME granting that YOU can "do"
something >>IS<< being generaous.

> But I digress it is not MY job to prove YOUR Claims. It is not Brain or
> Lennies Job either. They are YOUR claims YOU back em up

I've already given the dates, times, places, callsigns, etc.

> As your Claims of comabt if memory serves (and it may not) you claims
> to have served under a General with REALLY big ego problems (to go with
> a his military skills) MacArther when the Chinesse entered that war,
> not that I neccesaly believe it that but as I recal that was one of
> your claims

I have been in combat. I don't discuss it here.

And EVERY man and woman in uniform serves under a general in their
military career from the day they get off the bus at boot camp. (Gee
"Colonel", you didn't KNOW that...?!?!

No ego. Fact.

> > > Now I will never try to stop you from making an ### of yourself, that
> > > is your right, but you don't have the right to make others make the
> > > trip with you
> >
> > Coming from the "Drafted At Age Eight And They Won't Let Me Resign
> > Colonel In The Chemical Corps..."...?!?!?!
>
> Never said any such thing, the above is your distortion of what I have
> said, it is your straw man, you made up claim. as result BTW your
> presuming that YOU can dictate how people use the english language

It's absolutely NOT a "distortion".

You claimed you were drafted.

You claimed you were a field grade officer.

You then claimed you were a company grade officer.

You followed that up by claiming that your "comandig oficer"
refused to release you from active duty becasue your MOS was so
"critcal"

I did some digging and found...

...nothing. You never served a day in the Armed Forces of the
United States as either Enlisted or Officer, Active, Reserve or Guard.

When I bust THAT bubble you tried the "I was using a fake name"
routine.

Let's just face it...

Mrs Mark C Morgan is married to a chronic liar.

Huge snip of Markie trash.....................

> > Guess you ought to look at QRZ and see how my name's spelled,
> > Mark. Of course I know accuracy and truth are not your strong suits.
>
> I simply have trusted that you were not lying about the spelling of
> your name, and what is on your FCC and your real name are not going to
> be revailed by a QRZ search

No, Mark...YOU are the "this is a fake name" specialist. (Brain
and Lennie are close seconds with numerous screen names or
nomme-de-guerre's...)

Stop lying. You're not any good at it.

And who knows...Maybe if we "catch you in the truth" three times
in a row I'll have a heart attack and all your problems will be
over...But that's not likely so I won't worry about keeping my
insurance card handy...

Steve, K4YZ

372. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 15:35:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jHcye.11$PI.748572@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111681

KXHB:

I support NCI myself.

Now, that part about John Wayne as a gay hairdresser in a western, I damn near died laughing from the visual image your words inspired in my mind, when I read your words.

That certainly would have been a great comedy and would have stood out in the annals of movie history, too bad you were not a movie director back then! <grin>

They just don't know how to make movies like they used too...

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:Htcye.3620$8f7.2792@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>> However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that is
>> not true. Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college
>> and have a chat with an electronics engineer professor there and
>> the students--then perhaps you will realize we who know are looking
>> at you like some crazed manic reciting a ridiculous mantra about
>> code not being a hindrance and the real reason amateur radio is
>> dying...
>
> John,
>
> You're new around here, so I'll bring you up to date. I am a long
> time member of NCI, and I do not support continuation of the Morse
> test, so spare your jeremiads about "you guys don't care" for
> someone else.
>
> Having said that, your characterization of Len as a "man before his
> time" is the most laughable miscasting since someone suggested John
> Wayne play the part of a queer hairdessser in a movie about the old
> west.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

>

373. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 15:55:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120492533.044412.285680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111682

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into >2.5 KHz?

Two steps:

1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
formats for transmission.

2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols

Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data rates through
very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise ratio.
Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal noise we're
used to.

For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with thermal
noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can have an
advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What works on a
telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
bandwidth.

>
> I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
> and digital images on HF?

You can do that now - just need enough S/N.

> Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
> proper interfaces.

And software.
>
> Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
> DRM, and we're
> going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.

There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
difference between people talking theory and actual
application.

Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.

> > Does complex and newer equal better?

Sometimes. Not always.
>

>Is analog simpler than digital?

Sometimes!
>
> Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
> make a person a digital expert?

Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert" doesn't
mean someone knows much about radio.
>
> I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.

Are you surprised?

> Appears to be what there is to offer.

Now consider how effective such a person would
be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
without a code test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

374. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:04:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <B_dye.1253$fA7.2139291@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111683

N2EY:

Most of that is incorrect.

First you use "on the fly" encryption/decryption/"data compaction" and have it occurring in "real time." This has the effect of being "transparent" and the user is not even aware that it is going on.

Next, forget the sn/noise ratio other than it has to acceptable for transmission of understandable communication (however, this is required no matter what the form of data--i.e., voice, ssb, cw, etc)

Next, listen to digital signal occupying audio bandwidth (it is audio bandwidth that is of concern here, NOT rf bandwidth, except with the possibility of fm and how you implement the data compression and transmission, i.e., just make it fit the existing rf bandwidth and NO changes are needed--however, larger rf bandwidth will ALWAYS result in a drastic increase in transmission speed and wideband fm can easily offer itself to 1MBS and faster) a digital signal can be treated just like a analog signal if desired, the use of CRC checksums and error checking of the data is just more intense under these circumstances and there is NO standard established for this--so you MUST be able to make and use your own custom hardware and software. To avoid this, just grab off the shelf digital hardware/software.

Next, for every patented form of audio video protocols there are FREE forms, usually the free ones are more acceptable, efficient and suitable to ones needs, an example:
Use ogg vobis compression of audio as opposed to mp3
--in video--
Use xvid as opposed to divx 4-5

However, any of this requires a sound and current education and knowledge of the state of technology--and something which is obviously lacking here.

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120492533.044412.285680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into >2.5
>>KHz?
>

> Two steps:
>
> 1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
> formats for transmission.
>
> 2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
>
> Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data rates
> through
> very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise ratio.
> Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal noise we're
> used to.
>
> For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with thermal
> noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can have an
> advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What works on
> a
> telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
> bandwidth.
>
>>
>> I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
>> and digital images on HF?
>
> You can do that now - just need enough S/N.
>
>> Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
>> proper interfaces.
>
> And software.
>>
>> Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
>> DRM, and we're
>> going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>
> There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
> difference between people talking theory and actual
> application.
>
> Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
>
>> > Does complex and newer equal better?
>
> Sometimes. Not always.
>>
>>Is analog simpler than digital?
>
> Sometimes!
>>
>> Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet

>> make a person a digital expert?
>
> Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert" doesn't
> mean someone knows much about radio.
>>
>> I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
>
> Are you surprised?
>
>> Appears to be what there is to offer.
>
> Now consider how effective such a person would
> be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
> without a code test.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

375. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:08:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <I9OdnTrR14JwuVffRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111807

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> Keep a stiff upper lip man, only poor breeding reduces one to name
> calling and personal attacks--they seek to include you among their
> ill-bred lot.

Says one who engages in name calling.

376. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:13:03 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <I9OdnTXR14KBu1ffRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>more stalking
>>
>> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
>
>
> No posting my address is stalking
>
> Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime

"bassing', is that some kind of fishing?
```

377. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:13:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120497228.216863.161070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111685

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > > some region of the band.
> > >
> > > Is my impression corect.
> > >
> >
> > There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E channels
>
> Auf Anglish Bitte?

Why?

You can't do English any better than you could Serbo-Croatian.

He answered your question exactly.

> I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't allowed but you I
> guess answering a question si too much for you

He did answer your question. Precisely.

Perhaps your "higher standards" you refered to in another thread
aren't so high, eh?...

> > centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.

Geeze, Markie...He gave you the specific mode AND frequencies
authorized.

And then you don't even have the good courtesy to thank him.

Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
confused you!

Sheesh!

Steve, K4YZ

378. Re: 100th Anniversary of KPH - Night of Nights VI - Inauguration of KSM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:16:07 UTC
From: boze <cbr@bozefreeband.net>
Message-ID: <dabqlp$5ra$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ij2uek7.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
>
> The ops at KPH/KSM are all either former station ops from there or
> experienced shipboard radio operators, all holding Radiotelegraph
> Operator Second or First Class Certificates. The "wheel" (VVV
> tapes) will of course be used until traffic is to be passed.
>
> If and when they switch to K6KPH on the ham bands (using drastically-
> lowered transmitter power - 1.5 KW rather than the 15 KW that those
> transmitters were built to deliver) the ops - same guys and ladies -
> will be those who also hold FCC Radio Amateur licenses.
>
> They will have no problem with sending clean Morse.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> Fly-on-the-wall MRHS (KPH/KSM/K6KPH)
>

In addition to the above, there will also be operation on
Freeband, freq. 27885 and 28040 kHz. A special event
qsl will be awarded.

73, 37s, & 88,

Choe

379. And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:21:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120497698.782897.201890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111686

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't
> > > allowed but you I guess answering a question si too much
> > > for you
> >
> > Hey Dump Huck, I did answer your question, and I did so quite precisely. As a
> > licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?
>
> All of it. tht sort of usage is not in vogue, nor is it in english.

"...not in vogue..."

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

And get this...MARK MORGAN talking about what is or is not
"english".....

BBBWWWWWHHWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> 73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect

And you're one of the singularly most idiotic and narrow brained
idiots to ever be allowed to get on the Internet or hold an Amateur
Radio license!

"Snide"...?!?!?!

What an IDIOT!

Steve, K4YZ
```

380. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:22:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <I9OdnTTR14LGtVffRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111810

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
> the right to speak becuase I am different

Could you please quote the posts where anyone has said you have no right
to speak.

381. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:28:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120498137.604343.225440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111687

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120268787.449458.83110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > > some region of the band.
> > >
> > > Is my impression corect.
> > >
> >
> > The 60 meter band is USB voice only. NO form of digital or other non-voice
> > is allowed.
>
> Thanks for the info
>
> interesting that a band rquiring code testing forbids code use

That "band" is shared with non-Amateur users both federal and
civil.

Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.

FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some
research), the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.

Read the regs and be enlightened...Or is reading "not in
vogue"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

382. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:29:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Cleye.12867$jX6.1908@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111688

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> Did you ever write for "Gearvakf" (the "f" is silent)?
>

Here's another of my favorites from Gearvakf, written by WA6ITF of WestLink

GEARVAKf Research Basis of No-Code Tape

Turlock, California-- April 32, 1989 - In a surprise announcement that has completely rocked the foundation of the educational community, the Wet-Link C.B. Radio Network has prematurely introduced a new "No-Code CW Training Tape Cassette' which was developed using lack of research material supplied by the world famous Gorbinsky Learning and Forgetting Center of Ohio.

This GEARVAKf-sponsored facility is the hub of the GEARVAKf-funded research into "things."

In a 1955 report authored two decades before the center was opened, it's former Director of Research Into Things, Dr. R. U. Kidding, PhD. (phud), posed the question: "Why is the sky blue and what does this have to do with learning morse code?"

Using grant monies provided by the GEARVAKf Grant Monies Institute to Research Things, Dr. Kidding attempted to contact the late Samuel F.B. Morse to ascertain the answer. By 1966, Dr. Kidding had discovered that Morse had been dead for several decades and therefore was not a plausable source for garnering his information.

While Dr. Kidding never did learn why the sky is blue, his 1979 paper titled "To Code or Not to Code--Is That a Question?" went unnoticed by the communications community for almost two decades, mainly because it as written in a VIC-20 computer, printed in 23-letter columns, and looked like a grocery list. The paper was resurrected about four days ago by the production staff at Wet-Link C.B. Radio as an excuse to put out a useless tape cassette to teach people No-Code at 0 WPM. Hosted by Niles East, the cassette is designed to instruct the listener and impart enough knowledge so that he or she can pass the Morse Code portion of the FCC No-Code ham radio exam.

Since nobody in their right or left mind would buy such trash, the only way to get one is at the WESTLINK REPORT/220 NOTES booth at the 1989 AmateurRadioVention in Dayton, Ohio. The tapes are almost for free, but not quite. GEARVAKf members are advised to show their lack of ID cards while non-members need not.

-- WA6ITF

383. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:33:35 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dabrtf$9ph$0$216.221.129.222@discovernet.net>
Source record: 111689

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1120481187.286229.201920
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in
>> > This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-
>> > http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm This has all three regions on
>> > it, although published on the Region 2 web
>> > site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one
>> > the US happens to be in).
>> >
>> > If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other
>> > countries, this
>> > is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan necessarily
>> > incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might
>> > change it, or might not, but then I am not a member...
>> >
>> > For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments
>> > for R2 start at
>> > 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225.
>
>> > In fact,
>> > phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference
>> > basis, but if
>> > you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!
>>
>> Whatever, took a whole two minutes Alun. I would not like to operate
>> in that regime.
>
> Nor I!
>
>> In the first place it's far too complicated, much more
>> complicated than the ARRL plan, complexity being an auto-
>> turnoff bound
>> to get busted right and left in practice. Second it's sorely
>> out of
>> date with it's "allocation" for ax.25 ops. How much packet to
>> we hear
>> any longer on 40?? Further where do the modern digital modes like
>> PSK-31 fit into this plan? They're not even mentioned.
>
> I suppose they're all "digimode".
>

>> Ditto the
>> looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the > thing
>> was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.
>
> Bingo! So much for "dynamic reallocation of resources"..
>>
>> My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW- exclusive
>> segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz
>> for U.S.
>> non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.
>
> And that's if everybody plays by the bandplan!
>
> Alun says the 'phone segment starts at 7050 under that plan. Which
> means that 'phone is primary on ~83% of the band! CW is primary on
> ~11%. The "digimodes" are stuck in between.
>
> The spectrum-efficient modes are sacrificed to the spectrum-wasters.
> "From each according to his [spectrum] ability, to each according to
> his [spectrum] need...." where have we heard that before?
>
>> Particularly given the ongoing surge of 40M QRP CW ops by all classes
>> of HF-enabled licensees in all regions.
>
> 7040...
>>
>> In a word fuhgeddit.
>>
> The 800 pound problem is that nobody sez whose bandplan is to be
> followed. Does IARU outrank ARRL in the USA, or the other way around?
> What if NCVEC, NCI, RSGB, CQ or qrz.com comes up with a bandplan of
> their own?
>
> Like you said - fugedaboudit.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Nobody outranks anyone, except the FCC! Voluntary means voluntary, and if we had voluntary bandplanning then some would undoubtedly use the wrong mode in the wrong place, but they would have a right to do that. I am merely saying that if Hans' plan were adopted I personally would abide by the IARU bandplan. It has exclusive CW frequencies on every band, so what's the problem? (Ducks head to avoid flames!).

384. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:36:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120498581.686653.314080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111690

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You need help. Someone needs to get you to it since you won't go
> > > on your own.
> >
> > You are lying again but which is the lie Stevie...(SNIP)
>
> No, I'm not lying.

yes you are
>
> You need help.

I do need help, in avoiding stalkers like you

>
> You're a chronic liar and have some very serious personal issues
> that need professional resolution.

I do lie when it suits me, never denied that, that I choose as is my
right to maintaina bit secracy about me is not proof of mental illness
>
> > (UNSNIP)...that you carry on this
> > becuase you are a sadist or becuase youcareabout or is it simpleir than
> > that are both a lie
>
> What?

another distortion but cutting into my words
oh Hum Stevie is back

>
> > > All that you need prehaps. since you need none at all I am sure you
> > > have that
> > > but you don't back it up for anyone else.
> > >
> > Sure I do.
> >
> > As I suspect you have all you need, which may wel be none at all
> > The rest of us have higher standards
>
> That you even remotely suggest that you have "higher standards" is
> one of your more laughable and absolutely ludicrous assertions, Mark.

You are entitled your sense of humor

>
> Just wading through the abortion of writing that you dare call
> English proves it.

MY english has nothing to with it

That you think it serves as proof of your standards of prrove is
eveidence of just how low those standards are.

(now wating foryour pretend you didn't understand

>
> You refuse to do those things that would demonstrate that you are
> doing those things necessary to improve and advance yourself.

You know this HOW?

you are either

ONE stlaking more closing than I am aware of

or

TWO lieing in claiming you know much about what I do

>
> Your "standards" are lies and deceit wrapped around ignorance and
> functional illiteracy.

Dysgarphia, you lying Sack of Shit

>
> > > Brain insisted that I was never in MARS and know nothing about it.
> > > I made one error as it pertainted to Chief MARS, and he now claims my
> > > lack of knowledge on MARS is "vast".
> >
> > You admit to one error from experence I'd there exist at least 10
> > errors for every one you admit to
>
> Then you're certainly at liberty to provide evidence of that
> claim, Mark...

$ such have made in getting to this point in this post

>
> We'll wait right here and add it to the list of OTHER things
> you've claimed like a commission in the Armed Forces.
>
> > > I gave him sepcific dates, times, places, callsigns, even military
> > > units of assignment where pertinent.
> >
> > So
>
> So indeed.

so

>
> You and he claim I don't give specifics.

Lie I claim you did not give proof, Brain's claims are his own affair

so above are 2 lies.

One that I claim you did not give specifics

Two that Brain and I ask for the same thing

>
> There's proof in this very forum that that assertion is a lie.

which assertion? the one you made up

>
> > > Then he said, "Oh, I need "DOCUMENTATION".
> >
> > And you did not provide it
>
> Sure I did.

where?

>
> > > Whelp, he's got far more information to verify MY claims than any
> > > he's ever provided for any claim he's ever made.
> > >
> >
> > You the lazy bum you are want him to do the work of proving YOUR Claim
>
> Nope.

yes you do see below a bit

>
> He wanted specifics. I gave them.

Now just he wanted them can't you keep your stories straight

>
> > > As far as I am concerned, the information's been delivered.
> >
> > I am sure you think so, don't make it so
>
> The fact that I did it DOES make it so.

If you had whcih I see no evidence of as i said I am sure you think so,
don't make it so.

You claim to think I am marring first Todd then brain don't make it so
either

>
> The fact that you and Brain try to make it appear otherwise is
> laughable.

You make it apear otherwise

as to laughable you are entitled your sense of humor

>
> > > > You don't use our own word
> > > > you make stuff up, or you distort the context, or at best you start
> > > > assume, and if you were ever a maraine you know what ASSuME means
> > > >
> > > Nothing's made up, Mark.
> >
> > You have made lots of stuff...(SNIP)
>
> Such as...?!?!

Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

your words not mine

>
> > (UNSNIP)...Not in position to say what you made up vs
> > what you distorted beyond all reason w.r.t. Brain Idon't eneough about
> > him
>
> Huh?

OF course it make no sense if you snip it out of context

another of your distrotions

>
> > > Even YOU have the resources to verify anything I've ever said in
> > > this forum except that I won't discuss my combat service in the Corps.
> > > If Lennie and Brain want to subsequently say "it didn't happen", well,
> > > they can have fun doing it but they'd still be wrong.
> >
> > A simple lie I don't have those resourses...(SNIP)
>
> It's no lie.

it is a lie

>
> You have the resources.

nope

principle lack is of course the time to spend chasing your BS

>
> What you DON'T ahve is either the guts to use them OR you really
> are as ignorant as I have supposed and don't realize that you have

> them.

One your spelling is slipping

Two, It sin't a matter of guts, it is a matter of caring. I don't if served at all. I'd rather beleive you did not, but I suspect you have, you show enough signs of service brain washing something my own mind never took to, thank the gods, that it is likely true

Three you seem to want people to beleive YOUR claims so you need to carry the freight

>
> > (UNSNIP)...not without digging for them
> > at best, and to say I might be able to dig out of yoour lies and
> > obfucations the data is being generous in assuimng that your aren't
> > lying win saying I can.
>
> There's nothing for ME to "dig out of", Mark.

If there is nothing to dig out then I lack the resources to verfy your claims

>
> I've not lied about anything.

you sure have

again

Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

your words and another lie

>
> And you're right about ONE thing...ME granting that YOU can "do"
> something >>IS<< being generaous.

another lie and an easy one. You know I can do something therefore it is not generous of you to admit that

>
> > But I digress it is not MY job to prove YOUR Claims. It is not Brain or
> > Lennies Job either. They are YOUR claims YOU back em up
>
> I've already given the dates, times, places, callsigns, etc.

Not that I have found

so

But (again) I digress it is not MY job to prove YOUR Claims. It is not Brain or Lennies Job either. They are YOUR claims YOU back em up
>
> > As your Claims of comabt if memory serves (and it may not) you claims

> > to have served under a General with REALLY big ego problems (to go with
> > a his military skills) MacArther when the Chinesse entered that war,
> > not that I neccesaly believe it that but as I recal that was one of
> > your claims
>
> I have been in combat. I don't discuss it here.

maybe maybe not
>
> And EVERY man and woman in uniform serves under a general in their
> military career from the day they get off the bus at boot camp. (Gee
> "Colonel", you didn't KNOW that...?!?!

of course I know which of course has nothing to do with I said, but if
you red I mentioned that I seem to recall you claiming to serve a
particular General
>
> No ego. Fact.

Doug Mac Arthur had enough ego for 10 generals, as well as, being quite
a soldier

>
> > > > Now I will never try to stop you from making an ### of yourself, that
> > > > is your right, but you don't have the right to make others make the
> > > > trip with you
> > >
> > > Coming from the "Drafted At Age Eight And They Won't Let Me Resign
> > > Colonel In The Chemical Corps..."...?!?!?!
> >
> > Never said any such thing, the above is your distortion of what I have
> > said, it is your straw man, you made up claim. as result BTW your
> > presuming that YOU can dictate how people use the english language
>
> It's absolutely NOT a "distortion".

Yes it is a distortion

>
> You claimed you were drafted.

yes indeed, but I was using the words in a different sense than you

>
> You claimed you were a field grade officer.
>
> You then claimed you were a company grade officer.

yep you got those 2 right

>
> You followed that up by claiming that your "comandig oficer"
> refused to release you from active duty becasue your MOS was so

> "critcal"

Never said anything about my "comandig oficer" never had one

And never said anything about refusing to discharge me form ACTIVE duty
at all
you just assumed it

>
> I did some digging and found...
>
> ...nothing. You never served a day in the Armed Forces of the
> United States as either Enlisted or Officer, Active, Reserve or Guard.

You found this with my precise name Social secruity numberor address.
my my

You even claimed that there was Nobody name Mark Morgan ever in the
Army

>
> When I bust THAT bubble you tried the "I was using a fake name"
> routine.

not exactly but that is closer than you normaly get

>
> Let's just face it...
>
> Mrs Mark C Morgan is married to a chronic liar.

yes my partner is, my partnar knows that, and my partner is gald I lie
well enough that a jerk like can't find me

>
> Huge snip of Markie trash.....................
>
> > > Guess you ought to look at QRZ and see how my name's spelled,
> > > Mark. Of course I know accuracy and truth are not your strong suits.
> >
> > I simply have trusted that you were not lying about the spelling of
> > your name, and what is on your FCC and your real name are not going to
> > be revailed by a QRZ search
>
> No, Mark...YOU are the "this is a fake name" specialist. (Brain
> and Lennie are close seconds with numerous screen names or
> nomme-de-guerre's...)

You make an issue of Changing ISP's Stevie. Why? is't shoping around
for a better deal a good thing

>
> Stop lying. You're not any good at it.

Never, and I do well enough that you haven't even found to thread to
pul that leads to me

>
> And who knows...Maybe if we "catch you in the truth" three times
> in a row I'll have a heart attack and all your problems will be
> over...But that's not likely so I won't worry about keeping my
> insurance card handy...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

385. No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:39:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Kveye.3641$8f7.2882@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The following news is noted in the GEARVAKf (the "f" is silent) Bulletin.

"White Noise" Opposed By EEOC

Use of the term "white noise" to describe the production of signals over a wide range of frequencies by the cosmos, atmospheric electrical disturbances, and radio circuitry, has been condemned by the Equal Electrical Opportunity Commission (EEOC) as discriminatory.

EEOC spokesperson, Bruce Gweemser, said use of the term is considered derogatory because it attributes either negative or positive characteristics of such signals to a particular color. "If the connotation is negative," he explained, "then Americans of the light-skinned persuasion may be offended. On the other hand, if the connotation is positive, Americans of other darker varieties of skin shading may take offense."

Gweemser said that the EEOC strongly recommends the abandonment of the term "white noise" and replacement with another, more descriptive one. "Perhaps 'electrical-American noise' might be more appropriate," Gweemser suggested.

-- W8LCZ, et al

386. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:46:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120499168.841925.69120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111692

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > > family's best interest at heart.
> >
> > he is a sick SoB
>
> Hey Markie...I don't insult YOUR parents despite several
> opportunities you ahve provided to do so...So what's up with you?

You have insulted my Father, stalked and harassed me for years, and you
are complain aabout getting some in reutrn

and you can't spell "ahve" you have been blwoing that one all day

>
> > > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
> >
> > Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
>
> Thanks a REAL god that I'm not stupid enough to be a Democrat

Now a dig at my religion

God please protect me form your (alleged) followers

>
> > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > >
> > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> >
> > Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
>
> Either way you look at it, neither of you are capable of
> maneuvering without someone to set your course for you.

Bullshit, plain and simple

I decide my course, you do influence it a bit, but their is no "No Code
conspricy" we nocoders don't even agree on all that much besides ending
code testing

>
> > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and

> > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> >
> > Missed that one
>
> You missed a lot more than Brain lets on.

Ok fine sure you don't need meds

>
> I could do exactly what HE does and extract single words from
> posts and make it sound ominous, too.

You do that often enough yourself

>
> But there's a difference...
>
> Brian P Burke is a chronic and deceitful liar....He NEEDS to
> manufacture such things in order tod etract from his online misdeeds.
>
> I don't need to do such things.

Then why do you?

>
> > But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny
> > him what you but grant him his due
>
> Not as pure as the driven snow, but certainly not the YELLOW snow
> like the two of you.

Now the Kinky references again missed those

>
> > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > >
> > > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> >
> > I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
> > efforts will be detected.
>
> "...places where his efforts will be detected..."...?!?!

yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I heard
of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
there

>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> > Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
> > group
>
> Too late...You're already here.

and you you are vermin

>
> > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > >
> > > Vermin! Hi!
> > >
> > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> >
> > Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
> > someone was a thief
> >
> > A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it
>
> But one that's easily disproven. NCIC refers.

NCIC? gigo

and so what
>
> Brian P Burke and Mark C. Morgan....Liars Lying Together.
>
> What a world we've sunk to.....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

387. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 17:51:34 UTC
From: Art Bell <artbell@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8vaqgcxeinmwvvw.040720051051@kirk>
Source record: 111693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

i fear we may soon realize "black noise."

that is bad as the terms: "a heart as black as sin", "it was the
blackest day of my life", "his black deeds were indicative of his
nature", all demonstrate

black is bad

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Kveye.3641$8f7.2882@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The following news is noted in the GEARVAKf (the "f" is silent)
> Bulletin.
>
> "White Noise" Opposed By EEOC
> ----------
>
> Use of the term "white noise" to describe the production of
> signals over a
> wide range of frequencies by the cosmos, atmospheric electrical
> disturbances,
> and radio circuitry, has been condemned by the Equal Electrical
> Opportunity
> Commission (EEOC) as discriminatory.
>
> EEOC spokesperson, Bruce Gweemser, said use of the term is
> considered
> derogatory because it attributes either negative or positive
> characteristics
> of such signals to a particular color. "If the connotation is
> negative," he
> explained, "then Americans of the light-skinned persuasion may be
> offended.
> On the other hand, if the connotation is positive, Americans of
> other darker
> varieties of skin shading may take offense."
>
> Gweemser said that the EEOC strongly recommends the abandonment of
> the term
> "white noise" and replacement with another, more descriptive one.
> "Perhaps
> 'electrical-American noise' might be more appropriate," Gweemser
> suggested.
>
> -- W8LCZ, et al

>
>
>
>
>

388. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:00:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120500039.777721.247260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111694

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > > > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > > > some region of the band.
> > > >
> > > > Is my impression corect.
> > > >
> > >
> > > There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E channels
> > >
> > Auf Anglish Bitte?
> >
> Why?

so it can be understood
>
> You can't do English any better than you could Serbo-Croatian.

there is a lie, I Do English much better

>
> He answered your question exactly.

no he did not answer it the question was about CW

>
> > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't allowed but you I
> > guess answering a question si too much for you
> >
> He did answer your question. Precisely.

no he did not answer it the question was about CW

>
> Perhaps your "higher standards" you refered to in another thread
> aren't so high, eh?...

any standard is higher than standard of proof for yourself, even your
standard of proof for others

>
> > > centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.
> >

> Geeze, Markie...He gave you the specific mode AND frequencies
> authorized.

in gibberish

>
> And then you don't even have the good courtesy to thank him.

I rarely thank people when they are being discourtesous

>
> Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
> had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
> confused you!

you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?

the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know, I also
assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
using the freqs it doesn't matter

>
> Sheesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

389. yet another Steve Crybaby thread (crying cut)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:06:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120500379.018946.245980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111695

First none wonders why you consider me an idiot

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't
> > > > allowed but you I guess answering a question si too much
> > > > for you
> > >
> > > Hey Dump Huck, I did answer your question, and I did so quite precisely. As a
> > > licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?
> >
> > All of it. tht sort of usage is not in vogue, nor is it in english.
>
> "...not in vogue..."

all true
>

crying cut for formating improvements
> And get this...MARK MORGAN talking about what is or is not
> "english".....

indeed and you have a problem with that, and need to start another of
your personal attack threads

>
>

crying cut for formating improvements
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > 73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect
>
> And you're one of the singularly most idiotic and narrow brained
> idiots to ever be allowed to get on the Internet or hold an Amateur
> Radio license!

which even if true (and franky you have a real sample problem) has
nothing to do with the statement

>
> "Snide"...?!?!?!

>
> What an IDIOT!

thinking somebody snide makes one an idiot,

well then Hoist you idiot

>
> Steve, K4YZ

390. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:07:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120500459.768101.324550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111696

```
Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1120481187.286229.201920
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in
> >> > This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-
> >> > http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm This has all three regions on
> >> > it, although published on the Region 2 web
> >> > site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one
> >> > the US happens to be in).
> >> >
> >> > If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other
> >> > countries, this
> >> > is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan necessarily
> >> > incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might
> >> > change it, or might not, but then I am not a member...
> >> >
> >> > For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments
> >> > for R2 start at
> >> > 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225.
> > >
> >> > In fact,
> >> > phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference
> >> > basis, but if
> >> > you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!
> >>
> >> Whatever, took a whole two minutes Alun. I would not like to operate
> >> in that regime.
> > >
> > Nor I!
> > >
> >> In the first place it's far too complicated, much more
> >> complicated than the ARRL plan, complexity being an auto-
> >> turnoff bound
> >> to get busted right and left in practice. Second it's sorely
> >> out of
> >> date with it's "allocation" for ax.25 ops. How much packet to
> >> we hear
> >> any longer on 40?? Further where do the modern digital modes like
> >> PSK-31 fit into this plan? They're not even mentioned.
> > >
> > I suppose they're all "digimode".
```

> >
> >> Ditto the
> >> looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the > thing
> >> was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.
> >
> > Bingo! So much for "dynamic reallocation of resources"..
> >>
> >> My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW- exclusive
> >> segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz
> >> for U.S.
> >> non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.
> >
> > And that's if everybody plays by the bandplan!
> >
> > Alun says the 'phone segment starts at 7050 under that plan. Which
> > means that 'phone is primary on ~83% of the band! CW is primary on
> > ~11%. The "digimodes" are stuck in between.
> >
> > The spectrum-efficient modes are sacrificed to the spectrum-wasters.
> > "From each according to his [spectrum] ability, to each according to
> > his [spectrum] need...." where have we heard that before?
> >
> >> Particularly given the ongoing surge of 40M QRP CW ops by all classes
> >> of HF-enabled licensees in all regions.
> >
> > 7040...
> >>
> >> In a word fuhgeddit.
> >>
> > The 800 pound problem is that nobody sez whose bandplan is to be
> > followed. Does IARU outrank ARRL in the USA, or the other way around?
> > What if NCVEC, NCI, RSGB, CQ or qrz.com comes up with a bandplan of
> > their own?
> >
> > Like you said - fugedaboudit.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >

break
>
> Nobody outranks anyone, except the FCC! Voluntary means voluntary, and if
> we had voluntary bandplanning then some would undoubtedly use the wrong
> mode in the wrong place, but they would have a right to do that. I am
> merely saying that if Hans' plan were adopted I personally would abide by
> the IARU bandplan. It has exclusive CW frequencies on every band, so what's
> the problem? (Ducks head to avoid flames!).

Good luck on avoiding the flames, if you work out something that works
please share

391. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:31:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120501871.961840.195730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111697

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Most of that is incorrect.

Most of what?

> First you use "on the fly" encryption/decryption/"data
> compaction" and
> have it occurring in "real time." This has the effect of being
> "transparent" and the user is not even aware that it is going
> on.

That's what

"Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
formats for transmission." means, John. Whether it's done in "real
time" is just a detail.

> Next, forget the sn/noise ratio other than it has to acceptable > for
> transmission of understandable communication (however, this is
> required no matter what the form of data--i.e., voice, ssb, cw, > etc)

Signal-to-noise is an integral part of Shannon's thereom. It cannot
simply be "forgotten".

> Next, listen to digital signal occupying audio bandwidth (it is > audio
> bandwidth that is of concern here, NOT rf bandwidth,

No, that's not correct.

The discussion is about transmitting pictures and video on the amateur
HF/MF bands. RF bandwidth is a very important thing there.

> except
> with the
> possibility of fm and how you implement the data compression
> and
> transmission, i.e., just make it fit the existing rf bandwidth > and NO
> changes are needed--however, larger rf bandwidth will ALWAYS
> result in
> a drastic increase in transmission speed and wideband fm can
> easily
> offer itself to 1MBS and faster) a digital signal can be
> treated just
> like a analog signal if desired, the use of CRC checksums and
> error

> checking of the data is just more intense under these
> circumstances
> and there is NO standard established for this--so you MUST be
> able to
> make and use your own custom hardware and software. To avoid
> this, just grab off the shelf digital hardware/software.

And the simplest way for hams to do that at HF/MF is to use an SSB
transceiver and a computer with a sound card.

But that's not the only issue.
>
> Next, for every patented form of audio video protocols there
> are FREE
> forms, usually the free ones are more acceptable, efficient and
> suitable to ones needs, an example:
> Use ogg vobis compression of audio as opposed to mp3
> --in video--
> Use xvid as opposed to divx 4-5

And make sure the folks at the other end are similarly equipped.
>
> However, any of this requires a sound and current education and
> knowledge of the state of technology--and something which is
> obviously lacking here.

Yes, John, your lack of a sound and current education about amateur
HF/MF communications is quite evident. Good to see
you admitting it.

There's also the issue of FCC regulations. Of course those regulations
can be changed, and there are several proposals in development or
before the FCC to change them. But until they are changed, amateurs
will be constrained by the current rules, such as the 300 baud
limitation on HF. The vast majority of hams are not going to break
those rules, regardless of the available technology or their education.

The question raised by KB3EIA and N8UZE remains: How can video
be sent in a 2.5 kHz RF bandwidth on the amateur HF bands? I've
answered that question in a theoretical way. I don't think you
even understand the question and all its implications, John.

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1120492533.044412.285680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into >2.5
> >>KHz?
> >
> > Two steps:

> >
> > 1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
> > formats for transmission.
> >
> > 2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
> >
> > Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data rates
> > through
> > very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise ratio.
> > Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal noise we're
> > used to.
> >
> > For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with thermal
> > noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can have an
> > advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What works on
> > a
> > telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
> > bandwidth.
> >
> >>
> >> I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
> >> and digital images on HF?
> >
> > You can do that now - just need enough S/N.
> >
> >> Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
> >> proper interfaces.
> >
> > And software.
> >>
> >> Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
> >> DRM, and we're
> >> going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
> >
> > There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
> > difference between people talking theory and actual
> > application.
> >
> > Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
> >
> >> > Does complex and newer equal better?
> >
> > Sometimes. Not always.
> >>
> >>Is analog simpler than digital?
> >
> > Sometimes!
> >>
> >> Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
> >> make a person a digital expert?

> >
> > Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert" doesn't
> > mean someone knows much about radio.
> >>
> >> I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
> >
> > Are you surprised?
> >
> >> Appears to be what there is to offer.
> >
> > Now consider how effective such a person would
> > be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
> > without a code test.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

392. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:33:52 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <o-idnW9pIcGOHFTfRVn-pg@crocker.com>
Source record: 111698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

it's already out there. google for 'black noise' and not only do you find
some rap group but also a six-sigma site that uses the term for a non-random
cause of variation. there is also well known 'pink noise' that is often
used in audio testing. and of course there is the generic 'colored noise'.
this site lists not only white and pink but also brown and blue:
http://www.ee.columbia.edu/~dpwe/noise/

"Art Bell" <artbell@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8vaqgcxeinmwvvw.040720051051@kirk...
>i fear we may soon realize "black noise."
>
> that is bad as the terms: "a heart as black as sin", "it was the blackest
> day of my life", "his black deeds were indicative of his nature", all
> demonstrate
>
> black is bad
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Kveye.3641$8f7.2882@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> The following news is noted in the GEARVAKf (the "f" is silent) Bulletin.
>>
>> "White Noise" Opposed By EEOC
>> ----------------------------
>>
>> Use of the term "white noise" to describe the production of signals
>> over a
>> wide range of frequencies by the cosmos, atmospheric electrical
>> disturbances,
>> and radio circuitry, has been condemned by the Equal Electrical
>> Opportunity
>> Commission (EEOC) as discriminatory.
>>
>> EEOC spokesperson, Bruce Gweemser, said use of the term is considered
>> derogatory because it attributes either negative or positive
>> characteristics
>> of such signals to a particular color. "If the connotation is negative,"
>> he
>> explained, "then Americans of the light-skinned persuasion may be
>> offended.
>> On the other hand, if the connotation is positive, Americans of other
>> darker
>> varieties of skin shading may take offense."
>>

>> Gweemser said that the EEOC strongly recommends the abandonment of the
>> term
>> "white noise" and replacement with another, more descriptive one.
>> "Perhaps
>> 'electrical-American noise' might be more appropriate," Gweemser
>> suggested.
>>
>> -- W8LCZ, et al
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

393. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:44:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Qsfye.3189$Aj4.4115473@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Rev. Al Sharpton = "black noise!"
<grin>

John

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:o-idnW9pIcGOHFTfRVn-pg@crocker.com...
> it's already out there. google for 'black noise' and not only do
> you find some rap group but also a six-sigma site that uses the term
> for a non-random cause of variation. there is also well known 'pink
> noise' that is often used in audio testing. and of course there is
> the generic 'colored noise'. this site lists not only white and pink
> but also brown and blue: http://www.ee.columbia.edu/~dpwe/noise/
>
> "Art Bell" <artbell@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:8vaqgcxeinmwvvw.040720051051@kirk...
>>i fear we may soon realize "black noise."
>>
>> that is bad as the terms: "a heart as black as sin", "it was the
>> blackest day of my life", "his black deeds were indicative of his
>> nature", all demonstrate
>>
>> black is bad
>>
>> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:Kveye.3641$8f7.2882@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> The following news is noted in the GEARVAKf (the "f" is silent)
>>> Bulletin.
>>>
>>> "White Noise" Opposed By EEOC
>>> ----------------------------
>>>
>>> Use of the term "white noise" to describe the production of
>>> signals over a
>>> wide range of frequencies by the cosmos, atmospheric electrical
>>> disturbances,
>>> and radio circuitry, has been condemned by the Equal Electrical
>>> Opportunity
>>> Commission (EEOC) as discriminatory.
>>>
>>> EEOC spokesperson, Bruce Gweemser, said use of the term is
>>> considered
>>> derogatory because it attributes either negative or positive
>>> characteristics
```

>>> of such signals to a particular color. "If the connotation is
>>> negative," he
>>> explained, "then Americans of the light-skinned persuasion may be
>>> offended.
>>> On the other hand, if the connotation is positive, Americans of
>>> other darker
>>> varieties of skin shading may take offense."
>>>
>>> Gweemser said that the EEOC strongly recommends the abandonment
>>> of the term
>>> "white noise" and replacement with another, more descriptive one.
>>> "Perhaps
>>> 'electrical-American noise' might be more appropriate," Gweemser
>>> suggested.
>>>
>>> -- W8LCZ, et al
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>
>

394. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:45:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ktfye.3662$8f7.2956@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111699

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> no he did not answer it the question was about CW

I told you exactly what emission (mode) was allowed, a direct quote from the FCC rules. How much more precise could I have been?

> I also assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS

Actually, it is 50W ERP.

And not all the "rest of the ARS" frequencies are allowed 1.5KW.

As a study guide, please fill in the maximum amount of power allowed by any licensee on each of the following amateur frequencies:

3.680 MHz _____
7.135 MHz _____
10.125 MHz _____
21.175 MHz _____
219.125 MHz _____

73, de Hans, K0HB

, but then since i am not
using the freqs it doesn't matter

>
> Sheesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

395. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:50:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Zo6dnTqYneuAGFTfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 111750

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ilcye.10$O55.625683@news.sisna.com...
> KXHB:
>
> Go ask the college and high school students in the EE fields why they do
> not obtain amateur licenses.
>
> I have asked, it is the code which they cite at least 90% of the time.
> However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that is not
> true. Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college and have a
> chat with an electronics engineer professor there and the students--then
> perhaps you will realize we who know are looking at you like some crazed
> manic reciting a ridiculous mantra about code not being a hindrance and
> the real reason amateur radio is dying...
>
> John

Since all the "new action" is VHF and higher and that doesn't require a code license, code should be no detriment. Or is some one fibbing to them either directly or by omission so that they do not know about the codeless Technician license.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

396. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 18:50:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120503051.878519.25030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111751

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > no he did not answer it the question was about CW
>
> I told you exactly what emission (mode) was allowed, a direct quote from the FCC
> rules. How much more precise could I have been?

You could have answered the question, was or was not CW allowed that is
all that was required

>
> > I also assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS

Perhaps if I had added "at the time"
>
> Actually, it is 50W ERP.

so I never realy cared, still don't

>
> And not all the "rest of the ARS" frequencies are allowed 1.5KW.

basicaly they are they all are unless restricted by some other part of
the rules

>
> As a study guide, please fill in the maximum amount of power allowed by any
> licensee on each of the following amateur frequencies:

why? None of those freqs are going to be use be me anyime real soon
only one is even usagable in theory by me
>
> 3.680 MHz __________
> 7.135 MHz __________
> 10.125 MHz __________
> 21.175 MHz __________
> 219.125 MHz __________
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> , but then since i am not
> using the freqs it doesn't matter
>
> >
> > Sheesh!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

397. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:03:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZJfye.3531$u45.4789350@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111754

N2EY:

that is all wet.

Although an increase in bandwidth can be used to transmit more data, what we are discussing is the protocol of binary transmission in the form of video data and in an agreed upon structure over a roughly ~5K audio bandwidth--or--simply put, data throughput measured in bits (or bytes, or words (16 bits), or double-words (32), etc, per second. This all can be done with existing, common equipment modified to do so, and easily at rates of 56K, over the audio bandwidth of most transceivers (or with minor modifications of the transceivers audio circuits), with most remain ignorant to the fact it is being done at all!

If some hams want to jack around all the standards and methods which are already in place--screw with current terminology and "encode" all this to "ham words/terminology/technology" with the hope of obfuscating the facts and making it appear that the hams have invented the internet, have at it! I am sure the digital youngsters will find this a strong draw to amateur radio.

The technology has been out there for over a decade, in everyday use for 5 years or better, and now is used widely in industry for security monitoring, etc. You can buy it off the shelf...

The real experimenters have now moved on and use nothing less than 100MBS+ nic cards and wireless wans interfaced to transceivers through computers over spread spectrum... which some one will point out is a violation of FCC regs for amateur radio bands.

It will probably be another 10 years before hams "invent" this new gig. Possibly longer if they sit around and argue whether it can be done or not... ROFLOL

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120501871.961840.195730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Most of that is incorrect.
>
> Most of what?
>
>> First you use "on the fly" encryption/decryption/"data
>> compaction" and

>> have it occurring in "real time." This has the effect of being
>> "transparent" and the user is not even aware that it is going
>> on.
>
> That's what
>
> "Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
> formats for transmission." means, John. Whether it's done in "real
> time" is just a detail.
>
>> Next, forget the sn/noise ratio other than it has to acceptable >
>> for
>> transmission of understandable communication (however, this is
>> required no matter what the form of data--i.e., voice, ssb, cw, >
>> etc)
>
> Signal-to-noise is an integral part of Shannon's thereom. It cannot
> simply be "forgotten".
>
>> Next, listen to digital signal occupying audio bandwidth (it is >
>> audio
>> bandwidth that is of concern here, NOT rf bandwidth,
>
> No, that's not correct.
>
> The discussion is about transmitting pictures and video on the
> amateur
> HF/MF bands. RF bandwidth is a very important thing there.
>
>> except
>> with the
>> possibility of fm and how you implement the data compression
>> and
>> transmission, i.e., just make it fit the existing rf bandwidth >
>> and NO
>> changes are needed--however, larger rf bandwidth will ALWAYS
>> result in
>> a drastic increase in transmission speed and wideband fm can
>> easily
>> offer itself to 1MBS and faster) a digital signal can be
>> treated just
>> like a analog signal if desired, the use of CRC checksums and
>> error
>> checking of the data is just more intense under these
>> circumstances
>> and there is NO standard established for this--so you MUST be
>> able to
>> make and use your own custom hardware and software. To avoid
>> this, just grab off the shelf digital hardware/software.
>

> And the simplest way for hams to do that at HF/MF is to use an SSB
> transceiver and a computer with a sound card.
>
> But that's not the only issue.
>>
>> Next, for every patented form of audio video protocols there
>> are FREE
>> forms, usually the free ones are more acceptable, efficient and
>> suitable to ones needs, an example:
>> Use ogg vobis compression of audio as opposed to mp3
>> --in video--
>> Use xvid as opposed to divx 4-5
>
> And make sure the folks at the other end are similarly equipped.
>>
>> However, any of this requires a sound and current education and
>> knowledge of the state of technology--and something which is
>> obviously lacking here.
>
> Yes, John, your lack of a sound and current education about amateur
> HF/MF communications is quite evident. Good to see
> you admitting it.
>
> There's also the issue of FCC regulations. Of course those
> regulations
> can be changed, and there are several proposals in development or
> before the FCC to change them. But until they are changed, amateurs
> will be constrained by the current rules, such as the 300 baud
> limitation on HF. The vast majority of hams are not going to break
> those rules, regardless of the available technology or their
> education.
>
>
> The question raised by KB3EIA and N8UZE remains: How can video
> be sent in a 2.5 kHz RF bandwidth on the amateur HF bands? I've
> answered that question in a theoretical way. I don't think you
> even understand the question and all its implications, John.
>
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1120492533.044412.285680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into
>> >> >2.5
>> >>KHz?
>> >
>> > Two steps:

>> >
>> > 1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
>> > formats for transmission.
>> >
>> > 2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
>> >
>> > Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data rates
>> > through
>> > very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise
>> > ratio.
>> > Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal noise
>> > we're
>> > used to.
>> >
>> > For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with
>> > thermal
>> > noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can have
>> > an
>> > advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What works
>> > on
>> > a
>> > telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
>> > bandwidth.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
>> >> and digital images on HF?
>> >
>> > You can do that now - just need enough S/N.
>> >
>> >> Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
>> >> proper interfaces.
>> >
>> > And software.
>> >>
>> >> Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
>> >> DRM, and we're
>> >> going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>> >
>> > There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
>> > difference between people talking theory and actual
>> > application.
>> >
>> > Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
>> >
>> >> > Does complex and newer equal better?
>> >
>> > Sometimes. Not always.
>> >>
>> >>Is analog simpler than digital?

>> >
>> > Sometimes!
>> >>
>> >> Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
>> >> make a person a digital expert?
>> >
>> > Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert"
>> > doesn't
>> > mean someone knows much about radio.
>> >>
>> >> I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
>> >
>> > Are you surprised?
>> >
>> >> Appears to be what there is to offer.
>> >
>> > Now consider how effective such a person would
>> > be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
>> > without a code test.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>

398. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:04:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <It6dnSfpl-7vFVTfRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111752

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1120500039.777721.247260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
>> had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
>> confused you!
>
>you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?
>
>the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know, I also
>assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
>using the freqs it doesn't matter

But the rest of the ARS is not 1.5kw. The 30m band is limited to 200 wats max. All operators are limited to the old novice power output in the old novice portions of 15m, 40m, and 80m.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

399. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:13:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <P9qdnQf16LoSF1TfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 111755

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7B2ye.2707$0m7.9432130@news.sisna.com...
> Len:
>
> I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!
>

No one hates him but everyone gets tired of his derogatory remarks and
antiquated stories.

> You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by never
> getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or even
> hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday--they
> refuse to get a license because of the code...
>

I cannot believe that you have polled tens of thousands or hundreds of
thousands about getting a license. Most of those people don't even know
amateur radio exists let alone its requiements.

Most of us think it is silly to cut off your nose to spite your face. And
most of us know it is far more effective to attempt changes from the inside
rather than remaining on the outside and looking in.

> ... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my friend!
> And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority today.
>

Again no one hates him but simply will not tolerate his derogatory comments.
The moment that someone disagrees with him, he starts in on that approach
and continues in that vein despite the fact that some of us have persisted
in remaining polite and not calling him names.

Some of us finally have refused to feed the troll and do not respond to his
posts.

> John
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

400. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:23:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0gye.3911$245.4764732@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111756

Dee:

Most at VHF to SHF+ freqs have no license and don't want one--I am
speaking HF here.

Most only want to be able to speak to others in new zealand,
australia, malaysia, indo-asia, britian, etc...

Something which they bypass vhf+ for, those who are not freebanders
use the internet there and chat internationally...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Zo6dnTqYneuAGFTfRVn-rA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ilcye.10$O55.625683@news.sisna.com...
>> KXHB:
>>
>> Go ask the college and high school students in the EE fields why
>> they do not obtain amateur licenses.
>>
>> I have asked, it is the code which they cite at least 90% of the
>> time. However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like
>> that is not true. Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local
>> college and have a chat with an electronics engineer professor
>> there and the students--then perhaps you will realize we who know
>> are looking at you like some crazed manic reciting a ridiculous
>> mantra about code not being a hindrance and the real reason amateur
>> radio is dying...
>>
>> John
>
>
> Since all the "new action" is VHF and higher and that doesn't
> require a code license, code should be no detriment. Or is some one
> fibbing to them either directly or by omission so that they do not
> know about the codeless Technician license.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

401. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:33:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gagye.4121$EF5.4967538@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111757

Dee:

Frankly I am surprised you are so offended over his "derogatory remarks", you all seem so asleep to the real world that short of striking you over the head with a two-by-four you will remain in this dream-like state. Positive is not always good, except to "new agers" studying mysticism and crystals, and I have no time for silliness...

If offended by reality one can always change reality or change themselves--I have always found the latter more productive.

He (Len) battles a whole klick of you which are functioning like a damn support group--and you don't let little things like reality, "real world", sanity and facts get in your way. You all begin your chants of known mantras and chase the light-weights away!

You all exist in an echo chamber using the echo of your own words as "valid arguments", or to site "majority agreements."

Get real, to any cutting edge people, they feel like they have entered the twilight zone when here!

You realize, I was quite offended as a young man when my parents would ask me to empty the garbage--then I grew up and realized in the real world someone has to do it...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:P9qdnQf16LoSF1TfRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7B2ye.2707$0m7.9432130@news.sisna.com...
>> Len:
>>
>> I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!
>>
>
> No one hates him but everyone gets tired of his derogatory remarks
> and antiquated stories.
>
>> You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by
>> never getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands
>> or even hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them
>> everyday--they refuse to get a license because of the code...
>>
>

> I cannot believe that you have polled tens of thousands or hundreds
> of thousands about getting a license. Most of those people don't
> even know amateur radio exists let alone its requiements.
>
> Most of us think it is silly to cut off your nose to spite your
> face. And most of us know it is far more effective to attempt
> changes from the inside rather than remaining on the outside and
> looking in.
>
>> ... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my
>> friend! And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority
>> today.
>>
>
> Again no one hates him but simply will not tolerate his derogatory
> comments. The moment that someone disagrees with him, he starts in
> on that approach and continues in that vein despite the fact that
> some of us have persisted in remaining polite and not calling him
> names.
>
> Some of us finally have refused to feed the troll and do not respond
> to his posts.
>
>> John
>>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

402. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:40:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6POdnS60ztggDVTfRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111758

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Gagye.4121$EF5.4967538@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Frankly I am surprised you are so offended over his "derogatory remarks",
> you all seem so asleep to the real world that short of striking you over
> the head with a two-by-four you will remain in this dream-like state.
> Positive is not always good, except to "new agers" studying mysticism and
> crystals, and I have no time for silliness...
>
> If offended by reality one can always change reality or change
> themselves--I have always found the latter more productive.
>
> He (Len) battles a whole klick of you which are functioning like a damn
> support group--and you don't let little things like reality, "real world",
> sanity and facts get in your way. You all begin your chants of known
> mantras and chase the light-weights away!
>
> You all exist in an echo chamber using the echo of your own words as
> "valid arguments", or to site "majority agreements."
>
> Get real, to any cutting edge people, they feel like they have entered the
> twilight zone when here!
>
> You realize, I was quite offended as a young man when my parents would ask
> me to empty the garbage--then I grew up and realized in the real world
> someone has to do it...
>
> John
>

To be effective in the real world, one does not insult people with derogatory remarks. In my profession, I frequently have to convince people that the new is better than the old. Insults and put downs would get me thrown out the door and cost me a job. It doesn't get a whole lot more real than that.

It takes tact along with accurate and verifiable data to implement new proposals. The cutting edge people in the real world know this although it may come as a shock to those in academia.

Len does not use tact and does not present accurate and verifiable data.

Dee d. Flint, N8UZE

403. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:53:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120506829.728582.57670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111759

From: "John Smith" on Sun 3 Jul 2005 21:06

>Len:
>
>I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!

You are getting there, sort of...it's actually deeper than that.

>You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by never
>getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or even
>hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday--they
>refuse to get a license because of the code...

See the classical reducto ad absurdum response of Hans Brakob. :-)

Most of the commentary by the "regulars" (nearly all Pro-Code
Test Advocates (PCTA) amateur extras is predictable and worded
in the classical "league-speak" that has washed their brains.

They are few and NONE of the are, in any way, "representative"
of the "amateur community" despite what they exclaim. NONE of
them (Brakob included) accept any contrary commentary and each
feels that their tight clique must remain sound...regardless...

The "reply" that is a classic reduction-to-the-absurd of Brakob
is just one style of highly-negative response to "outsiders"
(those who do not think as the clique does). Heil stresses
the "personal involvement" as if he is a moral arbiter of all
but that is his only remaining retort and the glass of the retort
is cracked. Miccolis is firmly entrenched into a mindset of
amateur radio of days prior to his existance...a condition
that may have been caused by way too much rereading of ancient
copies of QST enshrined in his basement. Kelly is basically a
blustering Philly Tuff Guy who don' take nuttin from nobody!
Flint is one of the truer products of league pre-conditioning
("brainwashed" in familiar terms) but, to her credit, defends
the Newington barricades vigorously. Robeson is the emotional
wreckage of an exploded loose cannon littering the imaginary
battlefield. Jeswald ("Dan/W4NTI" who apparently changed
his surname) acts the southern-fried good-ole-boy and is little
more than a braggart, nasty when drunk.

There have been others, but the above coterie (perhaps 'cotillion'
of ancient debutantes/dilettants) makes up the "representative"
body in here. Each is a Special Interest Group of One. They
purport to be the "Voice of Amateur Radio" yet all speak
solely for their own particular desires and each holds to an

intimation that they are the proper role model for others.
["all must do as they did to be as good as they"]

Thousands of others interested in radio have come on various scenes before I did. THEY are the ones that pushed forward for the no-code-test Technician Class license creation. Such was created. The God-fearing morsemen all sounded the Hue and Cry with the "death of amateur radio (without the beloved code test to show the "dedication and committment to the amateur community")" on their lips. At present, the "lesser-class" licensees in U.S. amateur radio (Technicians) amount to 48.43% of all individual licenses as of 2 Jul 05. That was achieved in a scant 14 years. Had the Technician classes not existed, U.S. amateur radio licensees would have shrunk to less than 60% of its current size now. The intrinsic appeal of morse code ("try it you'll like it!") is nebulous, more vapor than substance.

Amateur radio - as the olde-fahrts knew it - IS beginning to shrink. Without change, it WILL die out...but after the olde-fahrts do. The olde-fahrts are Holding Back The Dawn, fearing the sunshine of new ideas like vampires trembling at sunrise. At best, their "new ideas" exist in the "new band" trials at 600 meters...the old 500 KHz maritime distress and safety frequency that was. "CW" of course. All is morsemanship in the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraph Society)...that "cutting edge mode" exemplifying "keeping up with the state of the art." "Bang the Carrier Slowly" would be an alternate title.

>... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my
>friend!

Not really. I disturb their self-image of expertise and elitism. I challenge their brags with some experience in HF and VHF and UHF and microwaves communications. That is the breaking of illusions they harbor, a sort of mental Krystalnacht of theirs and they feel persecuted, seek vengence and retribution for disturbing their fantasies of glory, honor, and nobility. [I pop their balloons]

Others have been the pioneers of NCTA-ism. They were before me. They deserve the credit, not me. I'm simply outspoken and on the other side of them. They can't handle it...the league hasn't instructed them on how to handle such humans...they revert to middle school taunts, acting like middle-aged children. Tsk, tsk. :-)

>And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority today.

The PCTA hear not. The olde-fahrts holding back the dawn hear not. They will resist until the last code key is pried from their cold, dead fingers. They WERE "operators."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

404. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:55:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120506928.394649.27690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111760

From: "John Smith" on Mon 4 Jul 2005 08:35

>KXHB:
>
>I support NCI myself.
>
>Now, that part about John Wayne as a gay hairdresser in a western, I
>damn near died laughing from the visual image your words inspired in
>my mind, when I read your words.

That may be due to Hans not getting a good comb-over yet...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

405. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 19:58:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Zxgye.1016$BK1.429@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111761

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> The "reply" that is a classic reduction-to-the-absurd of Brakob
> is just one style ....
>

In your case, Anderson, "reduction" isn't required.

73, de Hans, K0HB

406. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 20:20:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JSgye.5050$fo6.5838987@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111771

Dee:

Developing a sense of humor and being able to have "fun" poked at oneself is viewed as desirable by some, indeed, those who take themselves far too seriously are an offence to me--whether they realize it or not, sometimes I am polite and don't point that out (rarely though. <grin>)

As a side note, I am running MS Agent (Microsoft Agent--all components of this are either already in windows xp or downloadable for windows 95 and up, a friend in france supplied me with the french engine) text-to-speech and speech-to-text engines.

I find with the french library of phonics I am able to chat with french speakers to a remarkably good degree of success, and I am the only one which needs to run the software, doing ALL translation on my end solely! (but makes "me" sound rather "robotic" when I "speak" french. <grin>

A transceiver, computer/"sound card" and the free software is all which is necessary to begin using/experimenting (the speech phonic library(s) may have to be purchased for some languages--I am unclear on this, and an ability to a software programmer or access to a programmer is highly suggested.)

I am beginning to look upon this as Capt. Kirk's "universal translator", when the aliens finally show up (and can supply me with a proper speech engine) I plan on being among the first to verbally greet them! <grin>

Anyway, this is an area where experimenters should come. As, I know of only a handful of techies experimenting here and of no "successful" commercial products on the market.

If there exists any of a competitive nature, here are the waters to weigh anchor and hold battle!

John

Video, at this point, is pretty much "ho-hum stuff."

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:6POdnS60ztggDVTfRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Gagye.4121$EF5.4967538@news.sisna.com...

>> Dee:
>>
>> Frankly I am surprised you are so offended over his "derogatory
>> remarks", you all seem so asleep to the real world that short of
>> striking you over the head with a two-by-four you will remain in
>> this dream-like state. Positive is not always good, except to "new
>> agers" studying mysticism and crystals, and I have no time for
>> silliness...
>>
>> If offended by reality one can always change reality or change
>> themselves--I have always found the latter more productive.
>>
>> He (Len) battles a whole klick of you which are functioning like a
>> damn support group--and you don't let little things like reality,
>> "real world", sanity and facts get in your way. You all begin your
>> chants of known mantras and chase the light-weights away!
>>
>> You all exist in an echo chamber using the echo of your own words
>> as "valid arguments", or to site "majority agreements."
>>
>> Get real, to any cutting edge people, they feel like they have
>> entered the twilight zone when here!
>>
>> You realize, I was quite offended as a young man when my parents
>> would ask me to empty the garbage--then I grew up and realized in
>> the real world someone has to do it...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> To be effective in the real world, one does not insult people with
> derogatory remarks. In my profession, I frequently have to convince
> people that the new is better than the old. Insults and put downs
> would get me thrown out the door and cost me a job. It doesn't get
> a whole lot more real than that.
>
> It takes tact along with accurate and verifiable data to implement
> new proposals. The cutting edge people in the real world know this
> although it may come as a shock to those in academia.
>
> Len does not use tact and does not present accurate and verifiable
> data.
>
> Dee d. Flint, N8UZE
>

407. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 20:26:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <HXgye.5155$do6.5836925@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111774

Len:

Lighten up, I think he has real potential as a comedian and/or movie director! And, I am only half joking!

I'd pay to watch the movie he suggested, good belly laughs are hard to come by these days! John Wayne in that role would be perfect to evoke such!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1120506928.394649.27690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Mon 4 Jul 2005 08:35
>
>>KXHB:
>>
>>I support NCI myself.
>>
>>Now, that part about John Wayne as a gay hairdresser in a western, I
>>damn near died laughing from the visual image your words inspired in
>>my mind, when I read your words.
>
> That may be due to Hans not getting a good comb-over yet...
>
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

408. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 20:31:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <B0hye.5261$Yn6.5826613@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111766

Len:

That "vampire/sunshine" thing, that is good, can we use that in that
others guy movie with John Wayne? <grin>

I picture a bunch of amateurs in coffins with transceivers, and
suddenly a young man shows up ripping coffins wide open, at high noon,
by the OK Corral!!!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120506829.728582.57670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Sun 3 Jul 2005 21:06
>
>>Len:
>>
>>I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!
>
> You are getting there, sort of...it's actually deeper than that.
>
>>You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by
>>never
>>getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or even
>>hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday--they
>>refuse to get a license because of the code...
>
> See the classical reducto ad absurdum response of Hans Brakob.
> :-)
>
> Most of the commentary by the "regulars" (nearly all Pro-Code
> Test Advocates (PCTA) amateur extras is predictable and worded
> in the classical "league-speak" that has washed their brains.
>
> They are few and NONE of the are, in any way, "representative"
> of the "amateur community" despite what they exclaim. NONE of
> them (Brakob included) accept any contrary commentary and each
> feels that their tight clique must remain sound...regardless...
>
> The "reply" that is a classic reduction-to-the-absurd of Brakob
> is just one style of highly-negative response to "outsiders"
> (those who do not think as the clique does). Heil stresses
> the "personal involvement" as if he is a moral arbiter of all
> but that is his only remaining retort and the glass of the retort
> is cracked. Miccolis is firmly entrenched into a mindset of

> amateur radio of days prior to his existance...a condition
> that may have been caused by way too much rereading of ancient
> copies of QST enshrined in his basement. Kelly is basically a
> blustering Philly Tuff Guy who don' take nuttin from nobody!
> Flint is one of the truer products of league pre-conditioning
> ("brainwashed" in familiar terms) but, to her credit, defends
> the Newington barricades vigorously. Robeson is the emotional
> wreckage of an exploded loose cannon littering the imaginary
> battlefield. Jeswald ("Dan/W4NTI" who apparently changed
> his surname) acts the southern-fried good-ole-boy and is little
> more than a braggart, nasty when drunk.
>
> There have been others, but the above coterie (perhaps 'cotillion'
> of ancient debutantes/dilettants) makes up the "representative"
> body in here. Each is a Special Interest Group of One. They
> purport to be the "Voice of Amateur Radio" yet all speak
> solely for their own particular desires and each holds to an
> intimation that they are the proper role model for others.
> ["all must do as they did to be as good as they"]
>
> Thousands of others interested in radio have come on various
> scenes before I did. THEY are the ones that pushed forward for
> the no-code-test Technician Class license creation. Such was
> created. The God-fearing morsemen all sounded the Hue and Cry
> with the "death of amateur radio (without the beloved code test
> to show the "dedication and committment to the amateur
> community")" on their lips. At present, the "lesser-class"
> licensees in U.S. amateur radio (Technicians) amount to 48.43%
> of all individual licenses as of 2 Jul 05. That was achieved
> in a scant 14 years. Had the Technician classes not existed,
> U.S. amateur radio licensees would have shrunk to less than
> 60% of its current size now. The intrinsic appeal of morse
> code ("try it you'll like it!") is nebulous, more vapor than
> substance.
>
> Amateur radio - as the olde-fahrts knew it - IS beginning to
> shrink. Without change, it WILL die out...but after the olde-
> fahrts do. The olde-fahrts are Holding Back The Dawn, fearing
> the sunshine of new ideas like vampires trembling at sunrise.
> At best, their "new ideas" exist in the "new band" trials at
> 600 meters...the old 500 KHz maritime distress and safety
> frequency that was. "CW" of course. All is morsemanship in
> the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraph Society)...that "cutting edge
> mode" exemplifying "keeping up with the state of the art."
> "Bang the Carrier Slowly" would be an alternate title.
>
>>... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my
>>friend!
>
> Not really. I disturb their self-image of expertise and

> elitism. I challenge their brags with some experience in HF
> and VHF and UHF and microwaves communications. That is the
> breaking of illusions they harbor, a sort of mental
> Krystalnacht of theirs and they feel persecuted, seek
> vengence and retribution for disturbing their fantasies of
> glory, honor, and nobility. [I pop their balloons]
>
> Others have been the pioneers of NCTA-ism. They were before me.
> They deserve the credit, not me. I'm simply outspoken and on
> the other side of them. They can't handle it...the league
> hasn't instructed them on how to handle such humans...they
> revert to middle school taunts, acting like middle-aged
> children. Tsk, tsk. :-)
>
>>And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority today.
>
> The PCTA hear not. The olde-fahrts holding back the dawn
> hear not. They will resist until the last code key is pried
> from their cold, dead fingers. They WERE "operators."
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

409. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 20:50:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120510218.017851.282520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111762

From: K0HB on Jul 4, 3:58 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> The "reply" that is a classic reduction-to-the-absurd of Brakob
>> is just one style ....
>
>In your case, Anderson, "reduction" isn't required.

Ah, but that is EXACTLY what you did with those "billions and
billions" "statements!"

YOU thought they were "required!" :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

410. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 20:52:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120510326.447376.74990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111763

From: Dee Flint on Jul 4, 3:13 pm

>"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> Len:
>
>> I finally figured out why they truly hate you here!
>
>No one hates him but everyone gets tired of his derogatory remarks and
>antiquated stories.

Antiquated Stories and Deragatory Remarks:

1. Hiram goes to Washington in 1918 to save ham radio's future!

2. Hams "pioneer SW [HF] bands" after 1923.

3. Saipan is "saved" by hams loading up a fence!

4. AM broadcasting is begun in 1906 (by a carbon mike in the
antenna lead to "modulate" it...the technique for all
subsequent AM broadcasters!).

5. "CW gets through when nothing else will!" (from 1930s)

6. "Putz! "Technician!" etc. (from loose-cannon Robeson)

>> You have made a statement that cw is ridiculous and protested by never
>> getting an amateur license--now there are tens of thousands or even
>> hundreds of thousands who have joined you--I see them everyday--they
>> refuse to get a license because of the code...
>
>I cannot believe that you have polled tens of thousands or hundreds of
>thousands about getting a license. Most of those people don't even know
>amateur radio exists let alone its requiements.

YOU have "polled all those people" to PROVE they "don't even know
amateur radio exists?" We didn't know that! :-)

>Most of us think it is silly to cut off your nose to spite your face. And
>most of us know it is far more effective to attempt changes from the inside
>rather than remaining on the outside and looking in.

Tsk, tsk. Amateur radio is only ONE "window" to the EM spectrum.
A rather small one at that. I've been looking through "windows"
(plural), lots of them, bigger ones, for the last half century.
From the "inside." :-)

Might I suggest you WASH your little "window?" It would make it
far easier for others to see into that small room called ham
radio. Then again, you might NOT want "outsiders" to look in. :-)

>> ... that is why they hate you, you were a man before your time my friend!
>> And, your protest is being voiced by a strong majority today.

>Again no one hates him but simply will not tolerate his derogatory comments.
>The moment that someone disagrees with him, he starts in on that approach
>and continues in that vein despite the fact that some of us have persisted
>in remaining polite and not calling him names.

All that "politeness" and "non" name-calling by all of you "us"
is archived in Google. :-)

"Horrid!" isn't it? :-) [your word, not mine...]

>Some of us finally have refused to feed the troll and do not respond to his
>posts.

Ah! "Politeness!" Those you do not like are called "trolls!"

:-) Hypocrisy is your handmaiden...

Tsk, for someone who "does not respond," there seems a rather
strong, lengthy, and "antiquated" RESPONSE! :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

411. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 20:53:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120510402.890849.205460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111764

From: Dee Flint on Jul 4, 3:40 pm

>"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> Dee:
>
>> Frankly I am surprised you are so offended over his "derogatory remarks",
>> you all seem so asleep to the real world that short of striking you over
>> the head with a two-by-four you will remain in this dream-like state.
>> Positive is not always good, except to "new agers" studying mysticism and
>> crystals, and I have no time for silliness...
>
>> If offended by reality one can always change reality or change
>> themselves--I have always found the latter more productive.
>
>> He (Len) battles a whole klick of you which are functioning like a damn
>> support group--and you don't let little things like reality, "real world",
>> sanity and facts get in your way. You all begin your chants of known
>> mantras and chase the light-weights away!
>
>> You all exist in an echo chamber using the echo of your own words as
>> "valid arguments", or to site "majority agreements."

>To be effective in the real world, one does not insult people with
>derogatory remarks.

...except in computer-modem communications! :-)

> In my profession, I frequently have to convince people
>that the new is better than the old.

Hello? What "profession" is that? :-)

I've only been in the electronics profession for...um...53 years
now. Life Member in the IEEE, an international professional
association. Guess that doesn't count, does it? :-)

Is this newsgroup participation a "profession?"

>Insults and put downs would get me
>thrown out the door and cost me a job. It doesn't get a whole lot more real
>than that.

Oh, I think that 53 years of actual professional participation
might agree with you...and also disagree with you. Have you ever
been on both sides of a Design Review meeting? :-)

>It takes tact along with accurate and verifiable data to implement new
>proposals.

...which doesn't apply to REMOVING an ancient test element for
a radio hobby license. :-)

Where is the "tact" and "accurate and verifiable data" to support
the subjective claims, necessities, nobilities, expertise of
morsemanship for a radio hobby? Ain't there, is it? :-)

> The cutting edge people in the real world know this although it
>may come as a shock to those in academia.

...which means what? Morsemanship is "cutting edge" comms? :-)

[put the cover back on your jar of academia nuts]

>Len does not use tact and does not present accurate and verifiable data.

Tsk. I've used hundreds of tacts to nail your morsemanship to
the carpet and you still think that carpet will fly! :-)

I'd best re-do my PowerPoint files, then?

I'd use Vu-Graphs but your projector's bulb is burned out...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

412. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 20:57:01 UTC
From: Bill O'Riely <bor@bores.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <7l8fj4fiuf50ga8.040720051357@kirk>
Source record: 111765

3.680 MHz 1.5KW
7.135 MHz 1.599KW
10.125 MHz 1.499999KW
21.175 MHz 3.5KW
219.125 MHz hard to get a good 5KW linear for this frequency

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ktfye.3662$8f7.2956@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> no he did not answer it the question was about CW
>
> I told you exactly what emission (mode) was allowed, a direct quote
> from the FCC rules. How much more precise could I have been?
>
>> I also assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS
>
> Actually, it is 50W ERP.
>
> And not all the "rest of the ARS" frequencies are allowed 1.5KW.
>
> As a study guide, please fill in the maximum amount of power allowed
> by any licensee on each of the following amateur frequencies:
>
> 3.680 MHz _____
> 7.135 MHz _____
> 10.125 MHz _____
> 21.175 MHz _____
> 219.125 MHz _____
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> , but then since i am not
> using the freqs it doesn't matter
>
>>

>> Sheesh!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>

413. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 21:21:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120512100.673173.147580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111767

Dee Flint wrote:
> >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1120500039.777721.247260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
> >> had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
> >> confused you!
> >
> >you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?
> >
> >the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know, I also
> >assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
> >using the freqs it doesn't matter
>
> But the rest of the ARS is not 1.5kw. The 30m band is limited to 200 wats
> max. All operators are limited to the old novice power output in the old
> novice portions of 15m, 40m, and 80m.

Your point? power was never the issue
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

414. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 21:29:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120512554.820557.128380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111768

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ilcye.10$O55.625683@news.sisna.com...
> > KXHB:
> >
> > Go ask the college and high school students in the EE fields why they do
> > not obtain amateur licenses.
> >
> > I have asked, it is the code which they cite at least 90% of the time.
> > However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that is not
> > true. Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college and have a
> > chat with an electronics engineer professor there and the students--then
> > perhaps you will realize we who know are looking at you like some crazed
> > manic reciting a ridiculous mantra about code not being a hindrance and
> > the real reason amateur radio is dying...
> >
> > John
>
>
> Since all the "new action" is VHF and higher and that doesn't require a code
> license, code should be no detriment. Or is some one fibbing to them either
> directly or by omission so that they do not know about the codeless
> Technician license.

One the NoCode status of the tech license is not that well known.
Indeed until 26 day before I had my license I did not know of the no
Code license, this aas after year of the no code licesne

Two lots of folks (lets leave it vauage) go on and and on about how you
can't do anything with the tech licesne

Three VHF is hardly where the New action is Microwves

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

415. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 21:31:17 UTC
From: Bill O'Riely <bor@bores.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <town4xskftmxnma.040720051431@kirk>
Source record: 111769

Those darn truckers again, those boys are everywhere

contrary to common belief, freebanders are usually hobbyists, not that
many freeband truckers really

"Hey Boy Riley" <priley@poorrileyboy.com> wrote in message
news:da50kqnnf0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:5umxe.11920$jX6.7458@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>>> In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
>>> relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at
>>> least
>>> some region of the band.
>>>
>>> Is my impression corect.
>>>
>>
>> There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet
>> 2K8J3E channels centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz
>> and 5405 kHz.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
>
>
> There are several long haul truckers in the range of 5425 to 5560
> kHz.
>
>
>
>
>

416. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 21:36:40 UTC
From: Bill O'Riely <bor@bores.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <hkiek34ofzpj6ff.040720051436@kirk>
Source record: 111770

i don't know anyone who doesn't know about the no-code tech, but those
freaks are no of interest

HF or microwaves are in vogue, u r right

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120512554.820557.128380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ilcye.10$O55.625683@news.sisna.com...
>> > KXHB:
>> >
>> > Go ask the college and high school students in the EE fields why
>> > they do
>> > not obtain amateur licenses.
>> >
>> > I have asked, it is the code which they cite at least 90% of the
>> > time.
>> > However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that
>> > is not
>> > true. Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college
>> > and have a
>> > chat with an electronics engineer professor there and the
>> > students--then
>> > perhaps you will realize we who know are looking at you like some
>> > crazed
>> > manic reciting a ridiculous mantra about code not being a
>> > hindrance and
>> > the real reason amateur radio is dying...
>> >
>> > John
>>
>>
>> Since all the "new action" is VHF and higher and that doesn't
>> require a code
>> license, code should be no detriment. Or is some one fibbing to
>> them either
>> directly or by omission so that they do not know about the codeless
>> Technician license.
>
> One the NoCode status of the tech license is not that well known.
> Indeed until 26 day before I had my license I did not know of the no
> Code license, this aas after year of the no code licesne

>
> Two lots of folks (lets leave it vauage) go on and and on about how
> you
> can't do anything with the tech licesne
>
> Three VHF is hardly where the New action is Microwves
>
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

417. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 21:52:19 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120513939.284250.3610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111772

```
K4YZ wrote:
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

```
Not really, Steve. We just wonder why you pay any attention in the
first place, then find it necessary to comment? I'm sure that in your
sick mind, you can justify it somehow. Best of luck getting back to
normal.
```

418. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 21:54:24 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120514064.683383.110720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an insane
> person, today has been one of those days.
>
> When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it is
> enough others can actually tell.
>
> Former gay-nude wrangler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.

419. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 21:58:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xtWdnfq3qqdxLVTfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111775

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that is not true.
>>Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college and have a chat with
>>an electronics engineer professor there and the students--then perhaps you
>>will realize we who know are looking at you like some crazed manic reciting a
>>ridiculous mantra about code not being a hindrance and the real reason amateur
>>radio is dying...
>
>
> John,
>
> You're new around here, so I'll bring you up to date. I am a long time member
> of NCI, and I do not support continuation of the Morse test, so spare your
> jeremiads about "you guys don't care" for someone else.

Since we're all having a heart to hear here....

I guess I should note that I do not use Morse code (even though I
support the testing) at all.

So much for typecasting at least you and me, eh?

I guess I might also note that I make my coin in digital imagery. We
would love it if a person came up with a method of transferring decent
size, decent fidelity pictures in reasonable amount of time using a
small bandwidth. At HF frequencies.....

> Having said that, your characterization of Len as a "man before his time" is the
> most laughable miscasting since someone suggested John Wayne play the part of a
> queer hairdessser in a movie about the old west.

But it makes for interesting reading 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

420. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:04:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group

b.b. wrote:
> Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> > Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an insane
> > person, today has been one of those days.
> >
> > When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it is
> > enough others can actually tell.
> >
> > Former gay-nude wrangler.
> >
> > Michael A. Terrell
> > Central Florida
>
> Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.

421. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:05:50 UTC
From: Bill O'Riely <bor@bores.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <ac86c4tjc46rdhe.040720051506@kirk>
Source record: 111777

```
you will see it when they start selling them at Wal-Mart

you may have to wait awhile though

with as few hams as there are, the market is too small to offer them
to hams

if you are anxious, get the commercial equipment, it is very
expensive, perhaps it can be modified to ham freaks
```

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:xtWdnfq3qqdxLVTfRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>However, you guys don't care, and will go on speaking like that is
>>>not true. Put your butt in a car, drive over to your local college
>>>and have a chat with an electronics engineer professor there and
>>>the students--then perhaps you will realize we who know are looking
>>>at you like some crazed manic reciting a ridiculous mantra about
>>>code not being a hindrance and the real reason amateur radio is
>>>dying...
>>
>>
>> John,
>>
>> You're new around here, so I'll bring you up to date. I am a long
>> time member of NCI, and I do not support continuation of the Morse
>> test, so spare your jeremiads about "you guys don't care" for
>> someone else.
>
> Since we're all having a heart to hear here....
>
> I guess I should note that I do not use Morse code (even though I
> support the testing) at all.
>
> So much for typecasting at least you and me, eh?
>
> I guess I might also note that I make my coin in digital imagery. We
> would love it if a person came up with a method of transferring
> decent size, decent fidelity pictures in reasonable amount of time
> using a small bandwidth. At HF frequencies.....
>
>

>> Having said that, your characterization of Len as a "man before his
>> time" is the most laughable miscasting since someone suggested John
>> Wayne play the part of a queer hairdessser in a movie about the old
>> west.
>
> But it makes for interesting reading 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

422. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:08:39 UTC
From: Bill O'Riely <bor@bores.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <ruubdeo7wdfn7jz.040720051508@kirk>
Source record: 111778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

sicko? not another gay old friend i hope

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
>
> b.b. wrote:
>> Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>> > Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
>> > insane
>> > person, today has been one of those days.
>> >
>> > When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it is
>> > enough others can actually tell.
>> >
>> > Former gay-nude wrangler.
>> >
>> > Michael A. Terrell
>> > Central Florida
>>
>> Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
>
>

423. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:13:14 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120515194.810425.267480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111780

Dave Heil wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > news:1120304458.215661.76600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>
> >>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >>>news:1120210625.953812.248720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > This is because the US hams have to transmit in the BC band.
>
> That isn't correct. U.S. hams are transmitting on the 40m amateur band.
> Europeans and other DX are *listening* for the U.S. SSB ops on a BC band.

You are so clever.

> If the US hams
> > could transmit outside the broadcast frequencies this wouldn't continue.
> > The DX works split so that their sigs aren't covered by BC QRM.
>
> That isn't necessarily correct. The sharp, rare ops are operating split
> on *any* band if the pileup is huge. That keeps the callers from
> covering his sigs.

You would think.

> >>If the rules are changed so that you can call the DX on their
> >>frequency, they may still decide to work split.
> >>
> >>7100-7200 will become worldwide exclusive amateur in a few years. Some
> >>countries outside Region 2 have already opened 7100-7200 to their hams,
> >>and SWBC continues to move out of there.
> >>
> >>Yet even if we eventually get 7000-7300 worldwide exclusive amateur,
> >>the DX will probably still work split.
> >
> > They will if there are still broadcasters in 7150-7200, which there may
> > well be.
>
> It'll happen whether broadcasters are there or not.

DX101

> >>>>The hams who use Morse, that is. Have you noticed that almost all
> >>>>on-air-behavior-related FCC enforcement actions are for alleged

> >>>>violations using *voice* modes?
> >>>
> >>>I guess no-one caught that guy who used to send ..-. ..- -.-. -> .- on
> >>>repeaters around here
> >>
> >>Guess not!
> >>
> >>Do you think the number of hams doing similar things on voice is more
> >>or less than those doing such stuff with Morse Code?
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > I don't think the lack of this behaviour on CW has much to do with pure
> > motives, but nore to do with a lack of audience.
>
> So, hams using SSB act up because they think they'll be likely to have a
> non-ham audience? That doesn't compute.
>
> Dave K8MN

He didn't say, "non-ham audience," did he? Why did you?

424. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:13:27 UTC
From: John Smith <JS@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1120515249.6a90501949427d10dcdc263e9001ea49@teranews>
Source record: 111781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1120515117.27f00beb0e3fede9617d49db943fa143@teranews...
> Hey, dimwit, I am not the one who tried to convince this NG that I was a
> commissioned Army officer, YOU were...and I am supposed to be delusional?
> And
> you even try to perpetuate it! First you lie about being a Lieutenant
> Colonel
> in the Army...then it's only Lieutenant because you thought I would be so
> "impressed" (haven't stopped laughing that one off yet!), and then all the
> crap
> about the FBI, arrest warrants, and other paranoia.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/7alu8
>>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120514511.343154.95410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> sure you are Stevie boy?
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> Keep begging for attention, Markie. hahahaha
>>>
>>>
>>> >
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1120512052.497484.119110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > and the use being made of is stalking wether or not the database is in
>>> > the public domain
>>> >
>>> > helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
>>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >John Smith wrote:
>>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >> news:1120420960.509123.47490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> I for one do not put my call on usenet,
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> This one?
>>> >> >>

>>> >> >stalking cut
>>> >> It's a FCC data base=ie, public domain!
>>> >> >
>>> >> >and your busnes in the matter is what
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> HTH
>>> >
>>
>
>

425. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:16:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iMmdnRapzJnaKFTfRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111782

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into >2.5 KHz?
>
>
> Two steps:
>
> 1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
> formats for transmission.

Oooh, there could be a problem there! There are limits to the compression, and we have exceeded them in some forms already. Check to see how many vertical pans there are on video signals lately. The compression on the digital signals (note that even if you are getting your feed via analog cable, you are still almost certainly looking at a digital signal) already calls for some major aliasing.

There are limits, and there are limits. How much more are we going to throw away?

> 2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
>
> Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data rates through
> very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise ratio.
> Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal noise we're
> used to.

What're we going to do when the data rate that we need is darn near(or above) frequency in use?

> For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with thermal
> noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can have an
> advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What works on a
> telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
> bandwidth.
>
>
>>I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
>>and digital images on HF?
>

>
> You can do that now - just need enough S/N.

Always?

>
>>Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
>>proper interfaces.
>
>
> And software.

I really didn't think it was all that simple. Why don't we get together
and pop off a live video system for say the 160 meter band. The video
would be real time, 30 fps, and otherwise like broadcast video. Better
yet, Why don't we do it at computer resolution?

>>Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
>>DRM, and we're
>>going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>
>
> There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
> difference between people talking theory and actual
> application.

I did hear that DRM was capable of doing imagery. I couldn't find any
examples tho'. And they were very vague about it.

> Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.

The journey beats all.....

>
>>>Does complex and newer equal better?
>
> Sometimes. Not always.
>
>>Is analog simpler than digital?
>
> Sometimes!

>>Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
>>make a person a digital expert?
>
>
> Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert" doesn't
> mean someone knows much about radio.

Ain't that the truff?

>>I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
>
> Are you surprised?

Nope. It doesn't make for a very good discussion tho'.

>>Appears to be what there is to offer.
>
>
> Now consider how effective such a person would
> be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
> without a code test.

They might attract others of their ilk.

I'll bet they like some of the "wonder antennas" that keep cropping up...

- Mike KB3EIA -

426. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:23:22 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120515801.956202.131140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111783

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I gave him sepcific dates, times, places, callsigns, even military
> > > units of assignment where pertinent.
> >
> > So
>
> So indeed.
>
> You and he claim I don't give specifics.
>
> There's proof in this very forum that that assertion is a lie.

You wishing it were so doesn't make it true.

The reason that your specifics aren't being accepted is that you have
less than a stellar record when it comes to the truth.

So...

Authentic Documentation is required. And two Photo ID's. Got any?

427. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:24:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120515876.547902.61050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

more stalking

John Smith wrote:
> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:1120515117.27f00beb0e3fede9617d49db943fa143@teranews...
> > Hey, dimwit, I am not the one who tried to convince this NG that I was a
> > commissioned Army officer, YOU were...and I am supposed to be delusional?
> > And
> > you even try to perpetuate it! First you lie about being a Lieutenant
> > Colonel
> > in the Army...then it's only Lieutenant because you thought I would be so
> > "impressed" (haven't stopped laughing that one off yet!), and then all the
> > crap
> > about the FBI, arrest warrants, and other paranoia.
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/7alu8
> >>
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120514511.343154.95410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> sure you are Stevie boy?
> >>
> >>
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >>> Keep begging for attention, Markie. hahahaha
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:1120512052.497484.119110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>> >
> >>> > and the use being made of is stalking wether or not the database is in
> >>> > the public domain
> >>> >
> >>> > helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
> >>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>> >>
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >John Smith wrote:
> >>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> >> >> news:1120420960.509123.47490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>> >> >> >
> >>> >> >> I for one do not put my call on usenet,

> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> This one?
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >stalking cut
> >>> >> It's a FCC data base=ie, public domain!
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >and your busnes in the matter is what
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> HTH
> >>> >
> >>
> >
> >

428. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:28:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IKiye.7552$IV7.7319688@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111850

Mike:

Oh no. Now someone is going to have to explain ccd cams and pixels to you, huh? Take a course!

The short course goes, "a pixel either relates to a byte, a word (16 bits) or a double-word (32-bits, or larger)--you grab the pixels from the cam (bytes, words, etc)--you compress them, you send them, the guy at the other end uncompress them, sends them to his video card and views them...

Geesh, are you guys all setting around the same computer in some old age home?

If you even mention old analog cams from some Smithsonian the guys in the white coats will be here!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:iMmdnRapzJnaKFTfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit into
>>> >2.5 KHz?
>>
>>
>> Two steps:
>>
>> 1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
>> formats for transmission.
>
> Oooh, there could be a problem there! There are limits to the
> compression, and we have exceeded them in some forms already. Check
> to see how many vertical pans there are on video signals lately. The
> compression on the digital signals (note that even if you are
> getting your feed via analog cable, you are still almost certainly
> looking at a digital signal) already calls for some major aliasing.
>
> There are limits, and there are limits. How much more are we going

> to throw away?
>
>
>> 2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
>>
>> Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data rates
>> through
>> very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise ratio.
>> Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal noise
>> we're
>> used to.
>
> What're we going to do when the data rate that we need is darn
> near(or above) frequency in use?
>
>
>> For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with
>> thermal
>> noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can have an
>> advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What works on
>> a
>> telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
>> bandwidth.
>>
>>
>>>I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
>>>and digital images on HF?
>>
>>
>> You can do that now - just need enough S/N.
>
> Always?
>
>>
>>>Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
>>>proper interfaces.
>>
>>
>> And software.
>
> I really didn't think it was all that simple. Why don't we get
> together and pop off a live video system for say the 160 meter band.
> The video would be real time, 30 fps, and otherwise like broadcast
> video. Better yet, Why don't we do it at computer resolution?
>
>
>>>Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
>>>DRM, and we're
>>>going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>>

>>
>> There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
>> difference between people talking theory and actual
>> application.
>
> I did hear that DRM was capable of doing imagery. I couldn't find
> any examples tho'. And they were very vague about it.
>
>> Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
>
> The journey beats all.....
>
>>
>>>>Does complex and newer equal better?
>> Sometimes. Not always.
>>
>>>Is analog simpler than digital?
>> Sometimes!
>
>>>Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
>>>make a person a digital expert?
>>
>>
>> Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert"
>> doesn't
>> mean someone knows much about radio.
>
> Ain't that the truff?
>
>
>>>I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
>> Are you surprised?
>
> Nope. It doesn't make for a very good discussion tho'.
>
>>>Appears to be what there is to offer.
>>
>>
>> Now consider how effective such a person would
>> be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
>> without a code test.
>
> They might attract others of their ilk.
>
> I'll bet they like some of the "wonder antennas" that keep cropping
> up...
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

429. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:33:23 UTC
From: Bill O'Riely <bor@bores.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <hisikm5xn7wpfj4.040720051533@kirk>
Source record: 111785

bb

with his gay membership card for a san francisco bath house work

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120515801.956202.131140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > > I gave him sepcific dates, times, places, callsigns, even
>> > > military
>> > > units of assignment where pertinent.
>> >
>> > So
>>
>> So indeed.
>>
>> You and he claim I don't give specifics.
>>
>> There's proof in this very forum that that assertion is a lie.
>
> You wishing it were so doesn't make it true.
>
> The reason that your specifics aren't being accepted is that you
> have
> less than a stellar record when it comes to the truth.
>
> So...
>
> Authentic Documentation is required. And two Photo ID's. Got any?
>
>

430. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:35:10 UTC
From: Bill O'Riely <bor@bores.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <qsz7k26v5854zfi.040720051535@kirk>
Source record: 111786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

remove your gay bum down road and you will not have to worry about
people being upset with you

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120515876.547902.61050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> more stalking
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120515117.27f00beb0e3fede9617d49db943fa143@teranews...
>> > Hey, dimwit, I am not the one who tried to convince this NG that
>> > I was a
>> > commissioned Army officer, YOU were...and I am supposed to be
>> > delusional?
>> > And
>> > you even try to perpetuate it! First you lie about being a
>> > Lieutenant
>> > Colonel
>> > in the Army...then it's only Lieutenant because you thought I
>> > would be so
>> > "impressed" (haven't stopped laughing that one off yet!), and
>> > then all the
>> > crap
>> > about the FBI, arrest warrants, and other paranoia.
>> >
>> > http://tinyurl.com/7alu8
>> >>
>> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1120514511.343154.95410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> sure you are Stevie boy?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >>> Keep begging for attention, Markie. hahahaha
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> >
>> >>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>> news:1120512052.497484.119110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >>> >
>> >>> > and the use being made of is stalking wether or not the
>> >>> > database is in
>> >>> > the public domain

>> >>> >
>> >>> > helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
>> >>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >> >
>> >>> >> >
>> >>> >> >John Smith wrote:
>> >>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>> >> >> news:1120420960.509123.47490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>> >> >> >
>> >>> >> >> I for one do not put my call on usenet,
>> >>> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> This one?
>> >>> >> >>
>> >>> >> >stalking cut
>> >>> >> It's a FCC data base=ie, public domain!
>> >>> >> >
>> >>> >> >and your busnes in the matter is what
>> >>> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> HTH
>> >>> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>
>

431. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:38:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120516691.893218.235720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

gee such bigotry

Bill O'Riely wrote:
> remove your gay bum down road and you will not have to worry about
> people being upset with you
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120515876.547902.61050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > more stalking
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120515117.27f00beb0e3fede9617d49db943fa143@teranews...
> >> > Hey, dimwit, I am not the one who tried to convince this NG that
> >> > I was a
> >> > commissioned Army officer, YOU were...and I am supposed to be
> >> > delusional?
> >> > And
> >> > you even try to perpetuate it! First you lie about being a
> >> > Lieutenant
> >> > Colonel
> >> > in the Army...then it's only Lieutenant because you thought I
> >> > would be so
> >> > "impressed" (haven't stopped laughing that one off yet!), and
> >> > then all the
> >> > crap
> >> > about the FBI, arrest warrants, and other paranoia.
> >> >
> >> > http://tinyurl.com/7alu8
> >> >>
> >> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1120514511.343154.95410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> sure you are Stevie boy?
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> >>> Keep begging for attention, Markie. hahahaha
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >>> news:1120512052.497484.119110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>> >

> >> >>> > and the use being made of is stalking wether or not the
> >> >>> > database is in
> >> >>> > the public domain
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> > helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
> >> >>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>> >>
> >> >>> >> >
> >> >>> >> >
> >> >>> >> >John Smith wrote:
> >> >>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >>> >> >> news:1120420960.509123.47490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>> >> >> >
> >> >>> >> >> I for one do not put my call on usenet,
> >> >>> >> >>
> >> >>> >> >> This one?
> >> >>> >> >>
> >> >>> >> >stalking cut
> >> >>> >> It's a FCC data base=ie, public domain!
> >> >>> >> >
> >> >>> >> >and your busnes in the matter is what
> >> >>> >> >>
> >> >>> >> >> HTH
> >> >>> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> > >
> > >

432. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:39:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120516752.409892.119710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111788

From: John Smith on Jul 4, 4:26 pm

>Len:
>
>Lighten up, I think he has real potential as a comedian and/or movie
>director! And, I am only half joking!
>
>I'd pay to watch the movie he suggested, good belly laughs are hard to
>come by these days! John Wayne in that role would be perfect to evoke
>such!

Okay...such as Erich von Stroheim doing light comedy? :-)

He might even direct Owen Wilson as a heroic action hero!

Maybe a Hardy Boys series? "Hardy Boys go to Newington!"
[starring Jim Nabors and Richard Chamberlain]

It would be more entertaining to watch that true-life
documentary "Independence Day." ["morse code saves Terra!"]

Excuse me, I've got to polish up my PowerPoint presentation
files to meet the requirements of Our Girl Flint.

buy, buy,

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

433. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:55:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120517718.759543.126820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111789

From: K0HB on Jul 3, 11:57 am

>"Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> I don't think the lack of this behaviour on CW has much to do with pure
>> motives, but nore to do with a lack of audience.
>
>It makes a nice statistic to hang your hat on, but the since such antics are
>most readily "detectable" on voice you could make the same claim for >any< mode
>other than voice.
>
>I'm not certain that Jim means to do so, but the cumulative weight of his
>postings on the subject sends this message:
>
>"CW operations are allowed on all frequencies without restriction because CW
>operators can be trusted to do the right thing.
>SSB/AM/RTTY/AX.25/PSK-xx/AMTOR?/PACTOR/SSTV operators can not be trusted, so
>their kind must not be allowed a similar freedom to cooperatively select
>operating frequencies."

Morsemen are as pure as the driven snow.....job

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

434. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 22:56:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <X8jye.8089$67.7435391@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111796

Len:

Keep a stiff upper lip man, only poor breeding reduces one to name calling and personal attacks--they seek to include you among their ill-bred lot.

A little "blood letting" is good for the spirit, just don't take 'em seriously.

It is a gorilla war here, I will grant you that, some just wear gorilla suits, others really are.

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1120516752.409892.119710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 4, 4:26 pm
>
>>Len:
>>
>>Lighten up, I think he has real potential as a comedian and/or movie
>>director! And, I am only half joking!
>>
>>I'd pay to watch the movie he suggested, good belly laughs are hard
>>to
>>come by these days! John Wayne in that role would be perfect to
>>evoke
>>such!
>
> Okay...such as Erich von Stroheim doing light comedy? :-)
>
> He might even direct Owen Wilson as a heroic action hero!
>
> Maybe a Hardy Boys series? "Hardy Boys go to Newington!"
> [starring Jim Nabors and Richard Chamberlain]
>
> It would be more entertaining to watch that true-life
> documentary "Independence Day." ["morse code saves Terra!"]
>
> Excuse me, I've got to polish up my PowerPoint presentation
> files to meet the requirements of Our Girl Flint.
>
> buy, buy,
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

>

435. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:01:32 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120514697.280910.239960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111790

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1120268787.449458.83110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > > > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > > > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > > > some region of the band.
> > > >
> > > > Is my impression corect.
> > > >
> > > The 60 meter band is USB voice only. NO form of digital or other non-voice
> > > is allowed.
> > >
> > Thanks for the info
> > >
> > interesting that a band rquiring code testing forbids code use
> >
> That "band" is shared with non-Amateur users both federal and
> civil.

Don't they know the code? I thought the original reason to learn Morse
Code was because other users could understand and communicate i.e., get
off my frequency, with amateurs.

> Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.

Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
"below 30MHz."

> FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
> a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some
> research),

You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it. Makes
you the idiot.

the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.

Must be why he said, "interesting that a band rquiring code testing
forbids code use." Too bad you missed it.

> Read the regs and be enlightened...Or is reading "not in
> vogue"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Read the post and be enlightened, instead of going off on yet another
Robeson rant.

436. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:10:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120518616.541304.307170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111791

Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1120268787.449458.83110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > > > > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > > > > > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > > > > > some region of the band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is my impression corect.
> > > > > >
> > > > > The 60 meter band is USB voice only. NO form of digital or other non-voice
> > > > > is allowed.
> > > >
> > > Thanks for the info
> > >
> > > interesting that a band rquiring code testing forbids code use
> > >
> > That "band" is shared with non-Amateur users both federal and
> > civil.

Yes I realize that so?

>
> Don't they know the code? I thought the original reason to learn Morse
> Code was because other users could understand and communicate i.e., get
> off my frequency, with amateurs.
>
> > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
>
> Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
> rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
> "below 30MHz."

well said

The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
should have
>
> > FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
> > a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some

> > research),
>
> You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it. Makes
> you the idiot.
>
> the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.

No it does not it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
general and extra bands

>
> Must be why he said, "interesting that a band rquiring code testing
> forbids code use." Too bad you missed it.
>
> > Read the regs and be enlightened...Or is reading "not in
> > vogue"...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Read the post and be enlightened, instead of going off on yet another
> Robeson rant.

437. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:11:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Smjye.3801$aY6.1819@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111792

As soon as I hit the send key I realized the error. But come to think of
it sham is appropriate for you too.

Dan/W4NTI

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:dO1ye.572$vT3.125@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Js0ye.16942$eM6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Hey Kim.....so what?.....At least I didn't pay (how many bux???) for a
>> callsign that brings sham on yourself.
>>
>> How you like that?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> "shame"
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

438. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:15:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120518947.344359.199130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111793

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>LenAnderson@ieeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee.org
wrote:

> >>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit
> >>into 2.5 KHz?

> > Two steps:

> > 1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed
> > digital formats for transmission.
>
> Oooh, there could be a problem there! There are limits to the
> compression, and we have exceeded them in some forms already.

Of course! But it all depends what you consider "acceptable quality"...

> Check to
> see how many vertical pans there are on video signals lately.
> The
> compression on the digital signals (note that even if you are
> getting
> your feed via analog cable, you are still almost certainly
> looking at a
> digital signal) already calls for some major aliasing.

OTOH, if all you want is B&W ~CGA video....

> There are limits, and there are limits. How much more are we
> going to throw away?

Always a tradeoff. Hams routinely use 1.8 kHz wide SSB filters for
"communications quality". Hardly hi-fi but it can make the difference
between QSO and QRJ.

> > 2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
> >
> > Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data
> > rates through
> > very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise > > ratio.
> > Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal
> > noise we're used to.
>

> What're we going to do when the data rate that we need is darn
> near(or above) frequency in use?

Use modes designed for the purpose. See below.
>
> > For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with > > thermal
> > noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can
> > have an
> > advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What
> > works on a
> > telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
> > bandwidth.

> >>I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
> >>and digital images on HF?

> > You can do that now - just need enough S/N.

> Always?

No mode always gets through.

But if you have enough S/N, all sorts of things are possible.

Here's one way. I apologize if you are way beyond this simplified
example:

Consider how PSK31 works in BPSK mode. There are just two basic
modulation states - 0 degrees and 180 degrees. One bit per unit time.

But in QPSK mode, there are four basic modulation states - 0 degrees,
90 degrees, 180 degrees and 270 degrees. Two bits per unit time, but
the bandwidth is no greater than with BPSK. Only problem is that you
need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose total
distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the four states
apart.

Now consider a theoretical "256PSK" mode, in which there are 256
states: 0 degrees, 360/256 degree, 720/256 degrees, etc., all the way
to ~359 degrees. 8 bits in one unit time, in the same bandwidth! But
you need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose total
distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the 256 states
apart.

You can see that if we just keep increasing the number of states, the
number of bits per unit time in the same bandwidth keeps going up. But
you need more and more accurate modulator/medium/demodulator - IOW,
better and better signal-to-noise. Or to look at it another way, the
mode carries huge amounts of data in a tiny bandwidth but has very
little tolerance for noise that takes the form of phase distortion.

Now imagine multiple spaced carriers in the 2.5 kHz bandwidth all
carrying data - lotta bits, huh?

Of course you may find that in practice it's not that easy to get a
modulator/medium/demodulator setup that meets the requirements -
particularly if the medium is HF RF with relatively low power.

> >>Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
> >>proper interfaces.

> > And software.
>
> I really didn't think it was all that simple.

Nobody said it was simple!

> Why don't we get together
> and pop off a live video system for say the 160 meter band. The > video
> would be real time, 30 fps, and otherwise like broadcast video. > Better
> yet, Why don't we do it at computer resolution?

Ask the PROFESSIONALS, Mike. Remember, ham radio is a HOBBY, according
to them....

> >>Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
> >>DRM, and we're
> >>going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
> >
> >
> > There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
> > difference between people talking theory and actual
> > application.
>
> I did hear that DRM was capable of doing imagery. I couldn't find any
> examples tho'. And they were very vague about it.
>
> > Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
>
> The journey beats all.....

Exactly.
>
> >>>Does complex and newer equal better?
> >
> > Sometimes. Not always.
> >
> >>Is analog simpler than digital?
> >
> > Sometimes!
>
> >>Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
> >>make a person a digital expert?
> >
> >
> > Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert" doesn't
> > mean someone knows much about radio.

>
> Ain't that the truff?

> >>I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
> >
> > Are you surprised?
>
> Nope. It doesn't make for a very good discussion tho'.

Discussion is not what the invective-hurlers want, Mike.

> >>Appears to be what there is to offer.

> > Now consider how effective such a person would
> > be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
> > without a code test.
>
> They might attract others of their ilk.

You see that happening right here.
>
>I'll bet they like some of the "wonder antennas" that keep cropping up...
>
Exactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

439. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:19:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d8-dndneWd-VWVTfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111794

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>N2EY:
>>
>>Most of that is incorrect.
>
>
> Most of what?
>
>
>>First you use "on the fly" encryption/decryption/"data
>>compaction" and
>>have it occurring in "real time." This has the effect of being
>>"transparent" and the user is not even aware that it is going
>>on.
>
>
> That's what
>
> "Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
> formats for transmission." means, John. Whether it's done in "real
> time" is just a detail.

An important detail indeed if the image is going to be transmitted in
real time. Presumably some time might be alloted if the image were to be
delayed by a second or so.

>>Next, forget the sn/noise ratio other than it has to acceptable > for
>>transmission of understandable communication (however, this is
>>required no matter what the form of data--i.e., voice, ssb, cw, > etc)
>
>
> Signal-to-noise is an integral part of Shannon's thereom. It cannot
> simply be "forgotten".

Forgetting signal to noise is what makes me believe that these claims
are more snake oil than substance.

>
>>Next, listen to digital signal occupying audio bandwidth (it is > audio
>>bandwidth that is of concern here, NOT rf bandwidth,
>
>
> No, that's not correct.

You are correct, Jim. That RF bandwidth must be capable of carrying whatever "audio bandwidth" there is. Listening to the audible portion of a digital signal is an interesting metric though! ;^)

> The discussion is about transmitting pictures and video on the amateur
> HF/MF bands. RF bandwidth is a very important thing there.

Very very important.

>
>>except
>>with the
>>possibility of fm and how you implement the data compression
>>and
>>transmission, i.e., just make it fit the existing rf bandwidth > and NO
>>changes are needed--however, larger rf bandwidth will ALWAYS
>>result in
>>a drastic increase in transmission speed and wideband fm can
>>easily
>>offer itself to 1MBS and faster) a digital signal can be
>>treated just
>>like a analog signal if desired, the use of CRC checksums and
>>error
>>checking of the data is just more intense under these
>>circumstances
>>and there is NO standard established for this--so you MUST be
>>able to
>>make and use your own custom hardware and software. To avoid
>>this, just grab off the shelf digital hardware/software.
>
>
> And the simplest way for hams to do that at HF/MF is to use an SSB
> transceiver and a computer with a sound card.

Waaayy overly simplified. If it were just a matter of compressing the signal until it fit into whatever bandwidth was desired/needed/mandated, don't you think we would have gone that route, instead of inventing faster modems, T-lines, Cable modems and DSL? There are limits which we passed a long time ago, after which data MUST be thrown away. There are finite limits that imagery or video cannot be compressed without sacrifices in fidelity.

> But that's not the only issue.

Hardly!

>>Next, for every patented form of audio video protocols there
>>are FREE
>>forms, usually the free ones are more acceptable, efficient and
>>suitable to ones needs, an example:
>>Use ogg vobis compression of audio as opposed to mp3
>>--in video--
>>Use xvid as opposed to divx 4-5

>
>
> And make sure the folks at the other end are similarly equipped.

Oh, yeah. A lot of people forget about that one. We need someone to
communicate with.

>>However, any of this requires a sound and current education and
>>knowledge of the state of technology--and something which is
>>obviously lacking here.
>
>
> Yes, John, your lack of a sound and current education about amateur
> HF/MF communications is quite evident. Good to see
> you admitting it.

But that can be fixed.

> There's also the issue of FCC regulations. Of course those regulations
> can be changed, and there are several proposals in development or
> before the FCC to change them. But until they are changed, amateurs
> will be constrained by the current rules, such as the 300 baud
> limitation on HF. The vast majority of hams are not going to break
> those rules, regardless of the available technology or their education.
>
>
> The question raised by KB3EIA and N8UZE remains: How can video
> be sent in a 2.5 kHz RF bandwidth on the amateur HF bands? I've
> answered that question in a theoretical way. I don't think you
> even understand the question and all its implications, John.

Some things for people to think about:

There is nothing preventing the use of digital imagery or video at HF
frequencies. NO majik involved.

But!

The bandwidth needed for the data being sent may very well approach or
exceed the frequency being used for the transmission!

This means that the data needs compressed or the time needs expanded.

Compression has well defined limits. Beyond these limits, data must be
thrown away. This is why original images (or video) should be performed
in as high a resolution and as low a compression as possible. It is
always possible to throw away data, but not get back data that has been
discarded.

So beyond whatever minimal image quality is agreed upon, time must be
expanded. 300 baud is exceptionally slow by today's standards. I worked
up some times on transmission of a level 5 640 by 480 jpeg earlier in
this thread. I don't consider those times acceptable.

So here we are.

- Mike KB3EIA -

440. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:32:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RFjye.3756$8f7.2636@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111795

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> So here we are.
>

Yup, and no one has persuaded me it can't be done. I've only been persuaded that we haven't figured out how yet. (Sorta reminds you, doesn't it, of how those old-tymey hams must have felt when they were told to take their party to "200 meters and below".) You, Jim, and Dee bemoaning how hard it will be, and John raising the tantalizing notion that we may only be a few "eureka!!!"s away from something workable. Outside my area of competence, but I'll watch the dialog with interest.

73, de Hans, K0HB

441. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:33:19 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <3Hjye.1346$vT3.624@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111797

It was mostly, Dan, to highlight that mistakes--and ignorance--can and do happen in any circumstance. That I do not understand the technicality of most of amateur radio, is as much similar to the fact that you make common grammatical and spelling errors in a language you fluently speak.

So, as abrasive as you are, surely you are human enough to recognize that your criticism, chagrin, hateful conduct, and judgment of me is pretty darned ridiculous. If you are not human enough, so be it. And, come to think of it, your sentence structure, below, should have been: "But, come to think of it, sham is appropriate for you, too."

You may as well define what sham I am undertaking. Are you implying that I am not a licensed amateur radio operator? What "sham," Dan?

Kim W5TIT

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Smjye.3801$aY6.1819@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> As soon as I hit the send key I realized the error. But come to think of
> it sham is appropriate for you too.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:dO1ye.572$vT3.125@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:Js0ye.16942$eM6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >> Hey Kim.....so what?.....At least I didn't pay (how many bux???) for a
> >> callsign that brings sham on yourself.
> >>
> >> How you like that?
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >
> > "shame"
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

442. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:35:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <EJjye.8858$KE.7739416@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111798

Mike:

300 baud is ridiculous, in Dee's first post mentioning 300 baud I tossed it out the window--that was fine up to about 1985, then only the mentally challenged continued to run 300 baud modems!

At the speeds needed, an arthritic old amateur with a brass key would be more successful at sending binary data than a 300 baud modem! <tongue-in-cheek>

More than 90% modulation on the carrier of a HS data transmission is what to be wary of, begins to cause splatter like no ones business, a heavy duty compressor on the audio might be a fix, I have thought about it...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:d8-dndneWd-VWVTfRVn-rA@adelphia.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY:
>>>
>>>Most of that is incorrect.
>>
>>
>> Most of what?
>>
>>
>>>First you use "on the fly" encryption/decryption/"data
>>>compaction" and
>>>have it occurring in "real time." This has the effect of being
>>>"transparent" and the user is not even aware that it is going
>>>on.
>>
>>
>> That's what
>>
>> "Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed digital
>> formats for transmission." means, John. Whether it's done in "real
>> time" is just a detail.
>
> An important detail indeed if the image is going to be transmitted
> in real time. Presumably some time might be alloted if the image
> were to be delayed by a second or so.

>
>
>>>Next, forget the sn/noise ratio other than it has to acceptable >
>>>for
>>>transmission of understandable communication (however, this is
>>>required no matter what the form of data--i.e., voice, ssb, cw, >
>>>etc)
>>
>>
>> Signal-to-noise is an integral part of Shannon's thereom. It cannot
>> simply be "forgotten".
>
> Forgetting signal to noise is what makes me believe that these
> claims are more snake oil than substance.
>
>>
>>>Next, listen to digital signal occupying audio bandwidth (it is >
>>>audio
>>>bandwidth that is of concern here, NOT rf bandwidth,
>>
>>
>> No, that's not correct.
>
> You are correct, Jim. That RF bandwidth must be capable of carrying
> whatever "audio bandwidth" there is. Listening to the audible
> portion of a digital signal is an interesting metric though! ;^)
>
>> The discussion is about transmitting pictures and video on the
>> amateur
>> HF/MF bands. RF bandwidth is a very important thing there.
>
> Very very important.
>
>>
>>>except
>>>with the
>>>possibility of fm and how you implement the data compression
>>>and
>>>transmission, i.e., just make it fit the existing rf bandwidth >
>>>and NO
>>>changes are needed--however, larger rf bandwidth will ALWAYS
>>>result in
>>>a drastic increase in transmission speed and wideband fm can
>>>easily
>>>offer itself to 1MBS and faster) a digital signal can be
>>>treated just
>>>like a analog signal if desired, the use of CRC checksums and
>>>error
>>>checking of the data is just more intense under these
>>>circumstances

>>>and there is NO standard established for this--so you MUST be
>>>able to
>>>make and use your own custom hardware and software. To avoid
>>>this, just grab off the shelf digital hardware/software.
>>
>>
>> And the simplest way for hams to do that at HF/MF is to use an SSB
>> transceiver and a computer with a sound card.
>
> Waaayy overly simplified. If it were just a matter of compressing
> the signal until it fit into whatever bandwidth was
> desired/needed/mandated, don't you think we would have gone that
> route, instead of inventing faster modems, T-lines, Cable modems and
> DSL? There are limits which we passed a long time ago, after which
> data MUST be thrown away. There are finite limits that imagery or
> video cannot be compressed without sacrifices in fidelity.
>
>> But that's not the only issue.
>
> Hardly!
>
>>>Next, for every patented form of audio video protocols there
>>>are FREE
>>>forms, usually the free ones are more acceptable, efficient and
>>>suitable to ones needs, an example:
>>>Use ogg vobis compression of audio as opposed to mp3
>>>--in video--
>>>Use xvid as opposed to divx 4-5
>>
>>
>> And make sure the folks at the other end are similarly equipped.
>
> Oh, yeah. A lot of people forget about that one. We need someone to
> communicate with.
>
>>>However, any of this requires a sound and current education and
>>>knowledge of the state of technology--and something which is
>>>obviously lacking here.
>>
>>
>> Yes, John, your lack of a sound and current education about amateur
>> HF/MF communications is quite evident. Good to see
>> you admitting it.
>
> But that can be fixed.
>
>> There's also the issue of FCC regulations. Of course those
>> regulations
>> can be changed, and there are several proposals in development or
>> before the FCC to change them. But until they are changed, amateurs

>> will be constrained by the current rules, such as the 300 baud
>> limitation on HF. The vast majority of hams are not going to break
>> those rules, regardless of the available technology or their
>> education.
>>
>>
>> The question raised by KB3EIA and N8UZE remains: How can video
>> be sent in a 2.5 kHz RF bandwidth on the amateur HF bands? I've
>> answered that question in a theoretical way. I don't think you
>> even understand the question and all its implications, John.
>
> Some things for people to think about:
>
> There is nothing preventing the use of digital imagery or video at
> HF frequencies. NO majik involved.
>
> But!
>
> The bandwidth needed for the data being sent may very well approach
> or exceed the frequency being used for the transmission!
>
> This means that the data needs compressed or the time needs
> expanded.
>
> Compression has well defined limits. Beyond these limits, data must
> be thrown away. This is why original images (or video) should be
> performed in as high a resolution and as low a compression as
> possible. It is always possible to throw away data, but not get back
> data that has been discarded.
>
> So beyond whatever minimal image quality is agreed upon, time must
> be expanded. 300 baud is exceptionally slow by today's standards. I
> worked up some times on transmission of a level 5 640 by 480 jpeg
> earlier in this thread. I don't consider those times acceptable.
>
> So here we are.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

443. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:46:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cTjye.9053$cG.7777404@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111799

```
binary data is two state data from its very state of being, on or off

normalize your noise level (to a value which will always be subtracted
from the "on markers") then there is only two states in question, an
on and an off

next, you are NOT actually transmitting ones and zeros (on's and
off's), but are transmitting "markers", the length (time)between the
"markers" is what determines if it a one or a zero (or a sting of two
or more ones or zeros, under proper compression techniques.)

If those markers are above the noise level--you have uncorrupted
data--if not, you do have corrupted data, since data is transmitted in
"packets", and since each and every (say in this case) 1024 bit packet
is checked against a CRC (Cyclic Redundancy Check "value"), corrupted
packets are tossed away and a request to resend is initiated. Packets
are sequentially numbered so as to keep their display sequence in
proper sync.

My experience is that most digital transmissions can take place with
acceptable success if cw can... and I expect that statement to fall to
heavy challenge! <smirk>

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120518947.344359.199130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>LenAnderson@ieeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee.org
>>>>wrote:
>
>> >>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit
>> >>into 2.5 KHz?
>
>> > Two steps:
>
>> > 1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed
>> > digital formats for transmission.
>>
>> Oooh, there could be a problem there! There are limits to the
>> compression, and we have exceeded them in some forms already.
>
```

> Of course! But it all depends what you consider "acceptable
> quality"...
>
>> Check to
>> see how many vertical pans there are on video signals lately.
>> The
>> compression on the digital signals (note that even if you are
>> getting
>> your feed via analog cable, you are still almost certainly
>> looking at a
>> digital signal) already calls for some major aliasing.
>
> OTOH, if all you want is B&W ~CGA video....
>
>> There are limits, and there are limits. How much more are we
>> going to throw away?
>
> Always a tradeoff. Hams routinely use 1.8 kHz wide SSB filters for
> "communications quality". Hardly hi-fi but it can make the
> difference
> between QSO and QRJ.
>
>> > 2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
>> >
>> > Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data
>> > rates through
>> > very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise > >
>> > ratio.
>> > Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal
>> > noise we're used to.
>>
>> What're we going to do when the data rate that we need is darn
>> near(or above) frequency in use?
>
> Use modes designed for the purpose. See below.
>>
>> > For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with > >
>> > thermal
>> > noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can
>> > have an
>> > advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What
>> > works on a
>> > telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
>> > bandwidth.
>
>> >>I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
>> >>and digital images on HF?
>
>> > You can do that now - just need enough S/N.
>

>> Always?
>
> No mode always gets through.
>
> But if you have enough S/N, all sorts of things are possible.
>
> Here's one way. I apologize if you are way beyond this simplified
> example:
>
> Consider how PSK31 works in BPSK mode. There are just two basic
> modulation states - 0 degrees and 180 degrees. One bit per unit
> time.
>
> But in QPSK mode, there are four basic modulation states - 0
> degrees,
> 90 degrees, 180 degrees and 270 degrees. Two bits per unit time, but
> the bandwidth is no greater than with BPSK. Only problem is that you
> need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose total
> distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the four
> states
> apart.
>
> Now consider a theoretical "256PSK" mode, in which there are 256
> states: 0 degrees, 360/256 degree, 720/256 degrees, etc., all the
> way
> to ~359 degrees. 8 bits in one unit time, in the same bandwidth! But
> you need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose total
> distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the 256 states
> apart.
>
> You can see that if we just keep increasing the number of states,
> the
> number of bits per unit time in the same bandwidth keeps going up.
> But
> you need more and more accurate modulator/medium/demodulator - IOW,
> better and better signal-to-noise. Or to look at it another way, the
> mode carries huge amounts of data in a tiny bandwidth but has very
> little tolerance for noise that takes the form of phase distortion.
>
> Now imagine multiple spaced carriers in the 2.5 kHz bandwidth all
> carrying data - lotta bits, huh?
>
> Of course you may find that in practice it's not that easy to get a
> modulator/medium/demodulator setup that meets the requirements -
> particularly if the medium is HF RF with relatively low power.
>
>> >>Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
>> >>proper interfaces.
>
>> > And software.

>>
>> I really didn't think it was all that simple.
>
> Nobody said it was simple!
>
>> Why don't we get together
>> and pop off a live video system for say the 160 meter band. The >
>> video
>> would be real time, 30 fps, and otherwise like broadcast video. >
>> Better
>> yet, Why don't we do it at computer resolution?
>
> Ask the PROFESSIONALS, Mike. Remember, ham radio is a HOBBY,
> according
> to them....
>
>> >>Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
>> >>DRM, and we're
>> >>going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>> >
>> >
>> > There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
>> > difference between people talking theory and actual
>> > application.
>>
>> I did hear that DRM was capable of doing imagery. I couldn't find
>> any
>> examples tho'. And they were very vague about it.
>>
>> > Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
>>
>> The journey beats all.....
>
> Exactly.
>>
>> >>>Does complex and newer equal better?
>> >
>> > Sometimes. Not always.
>> >
>> >>Is analog simpler than digital?
>> >
>> > Sometimes!
>>
>> >>Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
>> >>make a person a digital expert?
>> >
>> >
>> > Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert"
>> > doesn't
>> > mean someone knows much about radio.

>>
>> Ain't that the truff?
>
>> >>I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
>> >
>> > Are you surprised?
>>
>> Nope. It doesn't make for a very good discussion tho'.
>
> Discussion is not what the invective-hurlers want, Mike.
>
>> >>Appears to be what there is to offer.
>
>> > Now consider how effective such a person would
>> > be trying to sell amateur radio - with or
>> > without a code test.
>>
>> They might attract others of their ilk.
>
> You see that happening right here.
>>
>>I'll bet they like some of the "wonder antennas" that keep cropping
>>up...
>>
> Exactly.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

444. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-04 23:57:11 UTC
From: OM <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <r1kye.132831$xm3.32562@attbi_s21>
Source record: 111851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Art Bell" <artbell@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8vaqgcxeinmwvvw.040720051051@kirk...
> i fear we may soon realize "black noise."
>
> that is bad as the terms: "a heart as black as sin", "it was the
> blackest day of my life", "his black deeds were indicative of his
> nature", all demonstrate
>
> black is bad

Does this mean that it is no longer a good thing when a business has it's
books "in the black" ??

OM

445. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 00:24:01 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <5ff37ey1esptpcl.040720051724@kirk>
Source record: 111852

om

is that the business which is supplying medicinal marijuana to sick
people

or the AMA and drug companies which are supplying drugs to sick people

or the pushers on the street which are supplying drugs to sick people

i am confused, i think only some organizations and businesses can sell
drugs to sick people

"OM" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
news:r1kye.132831$xm3.32562@attbi_s21...
>
> "Art Bell" <artbell@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:8vaqgcxeinmwvvw.040720051051@kirk...
>> i fear we may soon realize "black noise."
>>
>> that is bad as the terms: "a heart as black as sin", "it was the
>> blackest day of my life", "his black deeds were indicative of his
>> nature", all demonstrate
>>
>> black is bad
>
> Does this mean that it is no longer a good thing when a business has
> it's
> books "in the black" ??
>
> OM
>
>
>

446. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 00:38:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120523881.770095.39560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111853

From: John Smith on Jul 4, 4:31 pm

>Len:
>
>That "vampire/sunshine" thing, that is good, can we use that in that
>others guy movie with John Wayne? <grin>

You do and a computer-age Bela Lugosi II shows up, smiles, and
says "I vant to byte you in da NAK!" *

>I picture a bunch of amateurs in coffins with transceivers, and
>suddenly a young man shows up ripping coffins wide open, at high noon,
>by the OK Corral!!!

John, careful with that toke inhaling when CBS shows
"Shanghai Noon" next...

I think your fast forward skipped right off the DVD track.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

* those who don't know the modem signal names had best ignore
lest ye be pun-ished.

447. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 00:39:55 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120523995.361560.27920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111854

From: John Smith on Jul 4, 6:56 pm

>Len:
>
>Keep a stiff upper lip man, only poor breeding reduces one to name
>calling and personal attacks--they seek to include you among their
>ill-bred lot.

Er, I think they're excluding rather than including.

Something about "showing dedication and committment to the
amateur community" an' stuff like thet there... :-)

>A little "blood letting" is good for the spirit, just don't take 'em
>seriously.

I gave at the orifice.

Most of these zombies got no blood...like cast members of a
bad remake of "Night of the Living (radio) Dead."

>It is a gorilla war here, I will grant you that, some just wear
>gorilla suits, others really are.

Gorilla fighting? Si! I was at Isla Flaca with Rene Santoni.

Goodall on you! :-)

OOK! OOK!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

448. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 00:42:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <IHkye.201$JO3.39@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111855

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120512100.673173.147580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >news:1120500039.777721.247260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
> > >> had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
> > >> confused you!
> > >
> > >you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?
> > >
> > >the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know, I also
> > >assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
> > >using the freqs it doesn't matter
> >
> > But the rest of the ARS is not 1.5kw. The 30m band is limited to 200
wats
> > max. All operators are limited to the old novice power output in the
old
> > novice portions of 15m, 40m, and 80m.
>
> Your point? power was never the issue
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I believe that the point was being made that you appear virtually clueless
about legal operations permitted in the amateur bands. What started out as
a whine about CW rapidly changed when it became apparent that you also seem
ignorant of power limitations in varous other amateur bands.

For what it is worth, there are other limitations that may come into play if
you are a licensed amateur in close proximity to a radio telescope (the
Aricibo site in Puerto Rico is but one where other limitations may apply).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
```

449. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 00:46:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tLkye.10224$zF.7758922@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111862

LGA:

LOL! I will grant you ALL those businesses are certainly "in the
black!" One way or another...

John

"LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
news:5ff37ey1esptpcl.040720051724@kirk...
> om
>
> is that the business which is supplying medicinal marijuana to sick
> people
>
> or the AMA and drug companies which are supplying drugs to sick
> people
>
> or the pushers on the street which are supplying drugs to sick
> people
>
> i am confused, i think only some organizations and businesses can
> sell drugs to sick people
>
> "OM" <Nomail@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:r1kye.132831$xm3.32562@attbi_s21...
>>
>> "Art Bell" <artbell@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:8vaqgcxeinmwvvw.040720051051@kirk...
>>> i fear we may soon realize "black noise."
>>>
>>> that is bad as the terms: "a heart as black as sin", "it was the
>>> blackest day of my life", "his black deeds were indicative of his
>>> nature", all demonstrate
>>>
>>> black is bad
>>
>> Does this mean that it is no longer a good thing when a business
>> has it's
>> books "in the black" ??
>>
>> OM
>>
>>
>>
>

>
>
>
>

450. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 00:56:56 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <t02qd83i76iaivc.040720051757@kirk>
Source record: 111856

really? at arecibo they are sending cw to greet the aliens

imagine that, how long have the aliens been members of the ARRL?

do they obey all international treaties with you?

LGA

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:IHkye.201$JO3.39@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120512100.673173.147580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > >news:1120500039.777721.247260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >K4YZ wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >> Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he
>> > >> would've
>> > >> had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve
>> > >> REALLY
>> > >> confused you!
>> > >
>> > >you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?
>> > >
>> > >the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know, I
>> > >also
>> > >assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i
>> > >am not
>> > >using the freqs it doesn't matter
>> >
>> > But the rest of the ARS is not 1.5kw. The 30m band is limited to
>> > 200
> wats
>> > max. All operators are limited to the old novice power output in
>> > the
> old
>> > novice portions of 15m, 40m, and 80m.
>>
>> Your point? power was never the issue

>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> I believe that the point was being made that you appear virtually
> clueless
> about legal operations permitted in the amateur bands. What started
> out as
> a whine about CW rapidly changed when it became apparent that you
> also seem
> ignorant of power limitations in varous other amateur bands.
>
> For what it is worth, there are other limitations that may come into
> play if
> you are a licensed amateur in close proximity to a radio telescope
> (the
> Aricibo site in Puerto Rico is but one where other limitations may
> apply).
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>
>

451. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 00:59:28 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cuqdnVGEyN8BRlTfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 111857

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:RFjye.3756$8f7.2636@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>>
>> So here we are.
>>
>
> Yup, and no one has persuaded me it can't be done. I've only been
> persuaded that we haven't figured out how yet. (Sorta reminds you,
> doesn't it, of how those old-tymey hams must have felt when they were told
> to take their party to "200 meters and below".) You, Jim, and Dee
> bemoaning how hard it will be, and John raising the tantalizing notion
> that we may only be a few "eureka!!!"s away from something workable.
> Outside my area of competence, but I'll watch the dialog with interest.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

From my understanding of John's comments, he is saying it can be done now with current technology. He does not however tell us how. He just chatters on about "compressing it enough" without stating the degree of compression, etc.

Hey I'm all for the "eureka" when it happens but the problem is that it is unpredictable. Not only is it unpredictable in time but in the nature of the breakthrough.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

452. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:04:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S7GdndEnm_4IQVTfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111858

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:EJjye.8858$KE.7739416@news.sisna.com...
> Mike:
>
> 300 baud is ridiculous, in Dee's first post mentioning 300 baud I tossed
> it out the window--that was fine up to about 1985, then only the mentally
> challenged continued to run 300 baud modems!
>

Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole lot of things,
not just video, that would be nice to do.

How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

453. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:06:50 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <K2lye.91771$HI.86710@edtnps84>
Source record: 111859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

beerbarrel wrote:

> It's not to difficult to figure out that you are not Michael Terrell.
> I suspect that you are probably our Canucky friend...
>
>
> Tracy

You are a pervert scum, Fort. Go away.

--
Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who
happened to be my mother:

==========
==========
Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before
she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't
complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those
foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not
toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the
plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and she began
to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all the day.

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD
==========

==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio.

454. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:13:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w8lye.3800$8f7.1334@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111860

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Hey I'm all for the "eureka" when it happens but the problem is that it is
> unpredictable. Not only is it unpredictable in time but in the nature of the
> breakthrough.
>

That's what makes ham radio some damn much fun! In my profession role I can send a team of engineers off with some marketeers scribbling and know that within 12-18 months I'll be shipping product. Bnt ham radio is not so predicable --- we get these delightful surprises from unexpected places.

Some like APRS and PSK-xx gain traction and thrive in a niche, others like AX.25 packet radio and 2-meter autopatches which blossom like an Independence Day firework, then fizzle to a few sparks on the ground after a short period of glory.

Then there are a few genuine "revolutions" which fundamentally change the nature of amateur radio. We're about due for one of those.

73, de Hans, K0HB

455. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:30:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5plye.13042$jX6.4860@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111861

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
> without exceeding reasonable band widths.

Why is this conversation hung up on 300 baud?

It's perfectly legal, for example to run digital voice (J2E) on HF under todays FCC rules, and it will fit nicely in the generally accepted 3KHz band width now used by traditional SSB. 97.307(f)(3) applies to rtty/data transmission, it does not apply to voice or image.

73, de Hans, K0HB

456. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:40:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120527604.800685.263680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111863

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120512100.673173.147580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > >news:1120500039.777721.247260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
> > > >> had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
> > > >> confused you!
> > > >
> > > >you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?
> > > >
> > > >the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know, I also
> > > >assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
> > > >using the freqs it doesn't matter
> > >
> > > But the rest of the ARS is not 1.5kw. The 30m band is limited to 200
> wats
> > > max. All operators are limited to the old novice power output in the
> old
> > > novice portions of 15m, 40m, and 80m.
> >
> > Your point? power was never the issue
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>

Break

> I believe that the point was being made that you appear virtually clueless
> about legal operations permitted in the amateur bands. What started out as
> a whine about CW rapidly changed when it became apparent that you also seem
> ignorant of power limitations in varous other amateur bands.

Why should I care at this point what limtis apply at HF

Ignorant perhaps > caring what they are on hf absolutely

What happened is a smart ass decide to show off and not bother
answering the real question was cw permited.

>
> For what it is worth, there are other limitations that may come into play if
> you are a licensed amateur in close proximity to a radio telescope (the
> Aricibo site in Puerto Rico is but one where other limitations may apply).

yea I know that too your point

I see you are just trying to show you know better. as I said I don't
realy care about power limits at HF at this time,

Why should I care

>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

457. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:46:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120528017.585890.282520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> more stalking

Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

458. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:49:12 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <sGlye.3843$aY6.1056@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111865

> I did answer your question, and I did so quite precisely. As a
> licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?
>
It's an FCC specification that essentially says "USB carrying
voice of frequencies 300 to 3KHz". Also the FCC specifies
a SSB channel frequency by the frequency in the center of
the transmitted RF spectra. Audio at 300Hz corresponds
to frequency "X-(2700/2)", 3KHz to "X+(2700/2)" where "X"
is the center of the channel. "X" would be 1650Hz higher than
the traditional ham radio method of specifying a USB frequency
(hams specify the frequency of the suppressed carrier). Aside
from 60M, the FCC doesn't specify how we are to specify our
frequencies; they just say "Here's your permitted bands for
SSB, keep all of your RF inside them". 60M is special in
that the FCC wanted hams to blend seamlessly with other existing
users (meaning that those other users could easily listen and talk
(say in emergencies) to us on their existing channelized equipment.
And we got these five specific channels because the other users
don't use them that much compared to other channels.

459. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:49:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120528172.201896.250090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > more stalking
>
> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!

No posting my address is stalking

Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man enough
to admit it

>
>
> ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

460. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:51:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120528292.304297.305700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> gee such bigotry

It's not bigotry if it's true.

You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.

Ergo, you're gay.

Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed gay?

Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?

Steve, K4YZ

461. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 01:59:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vQIye.11668$G91.8183861@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111868

Dee:

You don't understand binary compression techniques, ok...

... it all has to do with binary trees (well, mostly, kind of), in software, of the data stream, multiple bytes are converted into "data streams", i.e., a pixel "byte" at this level is NOT necessarily 8 bits long in a stream, and sometimes can be represented by a single bit (automatic compression to 1/8 size just because it is stored in a binary tree! (or, data stream) multiple occurring bytes can be sent in the form of (five of these) or ((67 <- number of bytes) of (00100100 <- binary data byte to create 67 of)), in other words you tell it how many of ONE type of data to create on the other end to fill in the "video hole" on the screen, I would think you can visualize how small a simple BW image can be transmitted--it grows bigger with grayscale data, and much bigger with color data (in quality pictures an extra few bits have to be sent just to describe the color/brightness of the pixel being sent...)

... there is also "variable bit rate compression" which I don't even want to begin to try to give a simplified explanation of here...

I am sorry, my ability to describe these complex methods at work here is lacking, and I realize this...
don't trust me on it, the web is loaded with papers on every aspect of it...

The size of compressed data? That depends on the data types compressed, BW video can be 90%+ compressed (resulting in data 1/10 to 1/20 the size, or MORE, it all just depends on the complexity of the image.) For example, a completely white frame would be (for example) 1,024,000 bytes of color 00000000--this whole screen could be transmitted in TWO BYTES!
and the same for an all black screen, at EXTREME RESOLUTION in this simplified case.

Further "compression" can be had down at the hardware level where the transmission software can "scan" data and "table-ize" streams of duplicate bytes, or very similar bytes which can all be represented by a common value with little or no detectable loss in "realized visual quality." (can you really tell almost-almost black from "real" black? Or, almost-almost-blue from "real" blue?)

In a very efficient compression scheme, it can be "mentally modeled" as a onion, where many "layers" of compression are occurring in a tight sequential loop creating very tightly compressed data packets,

with crc sums to ensure no data corruption and packets sequentially numbered to provide a "sane" display stream (this can frequently be rather lax with low quality audio (speech) and less than absolute perfect video.)

Digital cell phones use very similar techniques on audio. Some of the "trade secrets" there are closely protected...

It is really beyond the resources we have here to go into a deep explanation on data compression techniques, and cheap tricks and short cuts--a good book on the subject should bring one up to speed quickly--perhaps amazon.com for those with a desire for a in-depth understanding...

Now some "cheap tricks" examples:

you can actually throw away every other pixel (immediately cut the size of a video frame in half!) by using a "normalized" colored pixel in those "dropped" pixels place (and normalizing this "fill in pixel color" as needed to fit the "general background" of the rest of the picture--with NOT as great a loss of video quality as you would expect (or a smaller percentage of "normalized" pixels if greater quality is really needed)

and, a smaller than screen sized "picture" can be broadcast and "expanded" by "image size extrapolation" (computer makes a lot of guesses on how to represent it as a larger picture and "fill the screen"--and attempts to have those "guesses" maintain a reasonable quality of picture.

and, I could go on and on, however, this is quickly becoming WAY beyond the scope of the arena we need to hold this "argument/discussion" within... and there are papers and books which can do a much finer job than I...

I must say, Len was quite correct in the appraisal of your mental aptitude, I would venture to say this with confidence, as damn few women would have hung with this technical discussion as you have...

... are you single, just how old are you? <leering-smile>

... just kidding, well, mostly--I AM single yanno!
<grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:cuqdnVGEyN8BRlTfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:RFjye.3756$8f7.2636@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>>
>>>
>>> So here we are.
>>>
>>
>> Yup, and no one has persuaded me it can't be done. I've only been
>> persuaded that we haven't figured out how yet. (Sorta reminds you,
>> doesn't it, of how those old-tymey hams must have felt when they
>> were told to take their party to "200 meters and below".) You,
>> Jim, and Dee bemoaning how hard it will be, and John raising the
>> tantalizing notion that we may only be a few "eureka!!!"s away from
>> something workable. Outside my area of competence, but I'll watch
>> the dialog with interest.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> From my understanding of John's comments, he is saying it can be
> done now with current technology. He does not however tell us how.
> He just chatters on about "compressing it enough" without stating
> the degree of compression, etc.
>
> Hey I'm all for the "eureka" when it happens but the problem is that
> it is unpredictable. Not only is it unpredictable in time but in
> the nature of the breakthrough.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

462. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:08:28 UTC
From: Paul Traina <paul.traina@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120529308.132706.13840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thanks to all of you, data was found, I'm now kc6tcn/ag.

463. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:13:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <S0mye.11669$tw1.8409128@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

If we ask you to leave, or be silent, it is "gay bashing", the "visual images" your "lifestyle" brings to mind is/are offensive to me.

No. This is not gay bashing, it is insane rudeness on your part, and like some spoiled child I expect that you will force your bad behavior on us all.

Rarely will I banish one to the "bit bucket", you make it easy to do so without regret...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1120528172.201896.250090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > more stalking
>>
>> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
>
> No posting my address is stalking
>
> Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
> but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man
> enough
> to admit it
>
>>
>>
>> ! ! ! ! ! !
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

464. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:14:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <52mye.11670$%x1.8487003@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I was gonna say that!

YEAH!!! WHAT HE SAID!

John

"m II" <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
news:K2lye.91771$HI.86710@edtnps84...
> beerbarrel wrote:
>
>
>> It's not to difficult to figure out that you are not Michael
>> Terrell.
>> I suspect that you are probably our Canucky friend...
>>
>>
>> Tracy
>
>
>
>
> You are a pervert scum, Fort. Go away.
>
>
>
> --
> Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer
> victim, who happened to be my mother:
>
>
> ==========
> ==========
> Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before
> she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't
> complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from
> those
> foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.
>
> love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones
>
> Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not
> toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
> will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
> hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the

> plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
> substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
> please feel free to include this post in your sig file!
>
> Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
> Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
> I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones
> and she began to come alive!
> I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me
> all the day.
>
>
> M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
> 250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
> Robins ANG,
> GA. 31098-2009
>
> beerbarrel@cox.net
>
> http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD
> ==
> ==
>
> This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere
> apology to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do
> so says more about his attitude and upbringing than any of his
> thoughtless and hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to
> be very proud of the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the
> refunded deposit money from his empty beer containers and has
> frequently posted the fact. It appears he is well on his way to
> purchasing his next radio.
>
>

465. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:19:06 UTC
From: pukeyduck@gmai1.com
Message-ID: <5drjc114cklvuj40087sqtoq21a2j3sa78@4ax.com>
Source record: 111871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 4 Jul 2005 19:08:28 -0700, "Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Thanks to all of you, data was found, I'm now kc6tcn/ag.

I thought you were going to get your "extra" (they don't give Extras
anymore).

466. Re: K1MAN cuts a deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:26:04 UTC
From: Han's Buddy <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com>
Message-ID: <dacqsppte0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:6S_xe.426$j21.209@news01.roc.ny...
> Hello, Hans
>
> So when are we going to have some leading freebanders join the ARRL as an
> executive vice president (or should I say, president of vice)?
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?

467. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:49:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <fq6dna2UdLrcaFTfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111873

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>LenAnderson@ieeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee.org
wrote:
>
>
>>>>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit
>>>>into 2.5 KHz?
>
>
>>>Two steps:
>
>
>>>1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed
>>>digital formats for transmission.
>>
>>Oooh, there could be a problem there! There are limits to the
>>compression, and we have exceeded them in some forms already.
>
>
> Of course! But it all depends what you consider "acceptable quality"...

I'm assuming it has to be better than a very high quality SSTV image in
the case of stills, and present day OTA video signals....

>
>>Check to
>>see how many vertical pans there are on video signals lately.
>>The
>>compression on the digital signals (note that even if you are
>>getting
>>your feed via analog cable, you are still almost certainly
>>looking at a
>>digital signal) already calls for some major aliasing.
>
>
> OTOH, if all you want is B&W ~CGA video....

Comes pre-aliased! ;^)

>
>>There are limits, and there are limits. How much more are we
>>going to throw away?
>
>
> Always a tradeoff. Hams routinely use 1.8 kHz wide SSB filters for
> "communications quality". Hardly hi-fi but it can make the difference
> between QSO and QRJ.
>
>
>>>2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
>>>
>>>Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data
>>>rates through
>>>very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise > > ratio.
>>>Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal
>>>noise we're used to.
>>
>>What're we going to do when the data rate that we need is darn
>>near(or above) frequency in use?
>
> Use modes designed for the purpose. See below.

And a high enough frequency to handle the BW requirements.

>>>For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with > > thermal
>>>noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can
>>>have an
>>>advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What
>>>works on a
>>>telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
>>>bandwidth.
>
>
>>>>I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
>>>>and digital images on HF?
>
>
>>>You can do that now - just need enough S/N.
>
>
>> Always?
>
>
> No mode always gets through.
>
> But if you have enough S/N, all sorts of things are possible.

Remember though that we are talking about our favorite playground - HF.
A high S/N is not often the case here.

> Here's one way. I apologize if you are way beyond this simplified
> example:
>
> Consider how PSK31 works in BPSK mode. There are just two basic
> modulation states - 0 degrees and 180 degrees. One bit per unit time.
>
> But in QPSK mode, there are four basic modulation states - 0 degrees,
> 90 degrees, 180 degrees and 270 degrees. Two bits per unit time, but
> the bandwidth is no greater than with BPSK. Only problem is that you
> need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose total
> distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the four states
> apart.
>
> Now consider a theoretical "256PSK" mode, in which there are 256
> states: 0 degrees, 360/256 degree, 720/256 degrees, etc., all the way
> to ~359 degrees. 8 bits in one unit time, in the same bandwidth! But
> you need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose total
> distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the 256 states
> apart.

The error rate would be fantastic!

> You can see that if we just keep increasing the number of states, the
> number of bits per unit time in the same bandwidth keeps going up. But
> you need more and more accurate modulator/medium/demodulator - IOW,
> better and better signal-to-noise. Or to look at it another way, the
> mode carries huge amounts of data in a tiny bandwidth but has very
> little tolerance for noise that takes the form of phase distortion.

Now for this system to be practical, there would have to be a way to
correct for all that phase distortion

> Now imagine multiple spaced carriers in the 2.5 kHz bandwidth all
> carrying data - lotta bits, huh?

Now yer cheatin! ;^) That is increased bandwidth

> Of course you may find that in practice it's not that easy to get a
> modulator/medium/demodulator setup that meets the requirements -
> particularly if the medium is HF RF with relatively low power.

I have to imagine that there must be a lot of power, despite the
sensitivity being to phase distortion. When I look at my phase display,
there is a lot of noise showing up that can become bogus phase noise.
>
>
>>>>Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
>>>>proper interfaces.
>
>
>>>And software.
>>
>>I really didn't think it was all that simple.

>
>
> Nobody said it was simple!

Mr. Smith did!
>
>>Why don't we get together
>>and pop off a live video system for say the 160 meter band. The > video
>>would be real time, 30 fps, and otherwise like broadcast video. > Better
>>yet, Why don't we do it at computer resolution?
>
>
> Ask the PROFESSIONALS, Mike. Remember, ham radio is a HOBBY, according
> to them....

SNORT!

>
>>>>Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
>>>>DRM, and we're
>>>>going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>>>
>>>
>>>There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
>>>difference between people talking theory and actual
>>>application.
>>
>> I did hear that DRM was capable of doing imagery. I couldn't find any
>>examples tho'. And they were very vague about it.
>>
>>
>>>Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
>>
>> The journey beats all.....
>
>
> Exactly.
>
>>>>>Does complex and newer equal better?
>>>
>>>Sometimes. Not always.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Is analog simpler than digital?
>>>
>>>Sometimes!
>>
>>>>Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
>>>>make a person a digital expert?
>>>
>>>

>>>Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert" doesn't
>>>mean someone knows much about radio.
>>
>> Ain't that the truff?
>
>
>>>>I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
>>>
>>>Are you surprised?
>>
>> Nope. It doesn't make for a very good discussion tho'.
>
>
> Discussion is not what the invective-hurlers want, Mike.

You're right. Your multi-angle psk is the closest thing to possibility
that I have seen yet. For Satellites and other UHF applications, it
starts to become possible/practical:

http://www.tech-faq.com/qpsk.shtml

They also write about 8psk. Note that link degradation is an issue.

Here on HF, we just don't have the proper conditions. I can do
quadrature mode, but almost no one does. I've done some QSO's using
BPSK63, but of course that uses more BW.

But imagine! Someone (you) writing about something with a real
possibility, not just calling me stupid or ignorant, etc!!!!

Sunnavagun (with apologies to Hans)
BTW, 20 meters is going to town tonight!

- Mike KB3EIA -

468. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:56:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JFmye.12175$IR1.8959084@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111886

Dee:

This "show me", "show me" you are repeating causes a complete confusion on my part.

Will you agree that a 56K phone modem, does indeed, transmit this data rate with an audio bandwidth of ~300Hz to ~5000K, and if you do so agree, how can you argue this cannot fit in a HF AM RF signal which only goes 2.5K each side of center frequency??????????

Are you NOT imposing an audio frequency of AT LEAST a 5K bandwidth on the rf carrier with normal speech? (actually, most quality transceivers have a wider audio bandwidth than this which can be set +/-) and if you agree you are indeed, how can you argue that 5K bandwidth can carry a 56K data rate over a phone line--and NOT a hf rf signal???? That looks insane to me?

The modem is NOT using the whole 5K bandwidth--necessarily, there is compression into a narrower bandwidth which can and is generally software controlled--if necessary (the modems software is a LOT smarter than most give it credit for, especially in the case of the old "onboard processor" and "hardware logic" USRobotics external modems.

You need to explain to me why it even begins to look difficult to you for me to be able to understand what you are asking?

As, I have to be missing something here...

You do realize that a picture good enough to run a "webcam" on the amateur HF bands and get an acceptable image from can be done in 28K (or less depending on the fps), and 36K is really fine at 5 fps and good at 10 fps--you will be able to see the wart on the guys nose you are video conferencing with at 36K!!!

You know, I have not even looked to see on the web, but aren't tons of people doing this right now as we newsgroup?

I suppose you could actually use the rf signal as data carrier itself and modulate it directly through on/off switching, as opposed to modulating the rf carrier with the audio data carrier... but that would take some heavy duty equip mods/revamps, if it didn't wipe out the neighbors cable tv! <grin>

Think about this:
at 100 mhz if you can precisely control the EXACT amplitude of each and every cycle of rf out the back end of the xmitter, you have a

virtual 100mbs data carrier... most are working here... 450 MHz?
1Ghz? 12Ghz?

... and of course, the receiver has to be able to decipher the
amplitudes of each cycle back to a data stream for the video card...

... this is the land where dreamers are...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:S7GdndEnm_4lQVTfRVn-hQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:EJjye.8858$KE.7739416@news.sisna.com...
>> Mike:
>>
>> 300 baud is ridiculous, in Dee's first post mentioning 300 baud I
>> tossed it out the window--that was fine up to about 1985, then only
>> the mentally challenged continued to run 300 baud modems!
>>
>
> Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud
> on HF without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole
> lot of things, not just video, that would be nice to do.
>
> How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

469. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 02:59:49 UTC
From: Strogg <none@none.none>
Message-ID: <2KGdnUgUJIY4alTfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime

A hate crime, huh? Whod'a thunk. That must be why
http://www.capecodbassing.com/ isn't in Provincetown.

Strogg

470. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:03:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BeSdnaidGrH2ZVTfRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111875

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>So here we are.
>>
>
>
> Yup, and no one has persuaded me it can't be done. I've only been persuaded
> that we haven't figured out how yet. (Sorta reminds you, doesn't it, of how
> those old-tymey hams must have felt when they were told to take their party to
> "200 meters and below".) You, Jim, and Dee bemoaning how hard it will be, and
> John raising the tantalizing notion that we may only be a few "eureka!!!"s away
> from something workable.

He also give a lot of solid technical ways in which this can be done, eh?

> Outside my area of competence, but I'll watch the
> dialog with interest.

Hey, Hans, ignorance is not a crime! Note that Jim brought up an
actual method of trying to do a lot of BW using 256 or more phase
angles that are decoded by the receiving station. That is not likely to
work at HF, but a simplified version of this is used for some satellite
comms. they (see my link in my post to Jim) note that QPSK is more
reliable - or at least suffers less from link degradation - same thing,
than 8PSK. But there is some theory there that can be discussed.

And as for "bemoaning", I have been asking for something based in
solid theory since early in this thread. Most of what I have gotten in
return is that I am an olde tyme ham (untrue) stuck on CW with my Bug
(paraphrased, but laughably untrue), and topic shifted to DRM voice
(technically working, but beside the point). That ain't substance.

471. Time to PYMWYMI "John" - was Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:16:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VtmdnTiNMdE1ZlTfRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111876

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> Oh no. Now someone is going to have to explain ccd cams and pixels to
> you, huh? Take a course!

Challenge, "John Smith"!

DO IT!

Post the method in which you and I can send live Video to each other via whichever HF band will propagate between our QTH's, and I will build a duplicate. We can set up a sked. Once we have established live communications, I will most certainly apologize for my olde tyme hamminess. Let us keep everything in the group so that I may apologize publicly when proven wrong.

Anxiously awaiting your system outline and diagrams..

- Mike KB3EIA -

472. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:18:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VtmdnTuNMdGGYVTfRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111877

Dee Flint wrote:

> Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
> without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole lot of things,
> not just video, that would be nice to do.
>
> How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

John has been challenged. His system for real time video via HF will be
posted soon, TTPUOSU!

- Mike KB3EIA -

473. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:29:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_8nye.12827$AL1.8944762@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111880

```
Mike:

The clock in a ~4GHz computer and DDR memory makes modem data xfr look
incredibly s-l-o-w.... with spaces miles long between marker bits...

100Mbs nic cards are not even close to a challenge to that clock
speed...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:fq6dna2UdLrcaFTfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee.org
>>>>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>How we be gonna scale those pictures and live video to fit
>>>>>into 2.5 KHz?
>>
>>
>>>>Two steps:
>>
>>
>>>>1) Convert the pictures and video into highly-compressed
>>>>digital formats for transmission.
>>>
>>>Oooh, there could be a problem there! There are limits to the
>>>compression, and we have exceeded them in some forms already.
>>
>>
>> Of course! But it all depends what you consider "acceptable
>> quality"...
>
> I'm assuming it has to be better than a very high quality SSTV image
> in the case of stills, and present day OTA video signals....
>
```

>>
>>>Check to
>>>see how many vertical pans there are on video signals lately.
>>>The
>>>compression on the digital signals (note that even if you are
>>>getting
>>>your feed via analog cable, you are still almost certainly
>>>looking at a
>>>digital signal) already calls for some major aliasing.
>>
>>
>> OTOH, if all you want is B&W ~CGA video....
>
> Comes pre-aliased! ;^)
>
>>
>>>There are limits, and there are limits. How much more are we
>>>going to throw away?
>>
>>
>> Always a tradeoff. Hams routinely use 1.8 kHz wide SSB filters for
>> "communications quality". Hardly hi-fi but it can make the
>> difference
>> between QSO and QRJ.
>>
>>
>>>>2) Use different modes/modulations/protocols
>>>>
>>>>Shannon's Theorem tells us that we can get very high data
>>>>rates through
>>>>very narrow bandwidths *if* we have adequate signal-to-noise > >
>>>>ratio.
>>>>Note that "noise" takes many forms, not just the thermal
>>>>noise we're used to.
>>>
>>>What're we going to do when the data rate that we need is darn
>>>near(or above) frequency in use?
>>
>> Use modes designed for the purpose. See below.
>
> And a high enough frequency to handle the BW requirements.
>
>
>
>>>>For example, PSK has an advantage over OOK when dealing with > >
>>>>thermal
>>>>noise. But when dealing with other types of noise, OOK can
>>>>have an
>>>>advantage. It all depends on the transmission medium. What
>>>>works on a

>>>>telephone line may not work on an HF path of the same apparent
>>>>bandwidth.
>>
>>
>>>>>I thought that we were going to be able to send live video
>>>>>and digital images on HF?
>>
>>
>>>>You can do that now - just need enough S/N.
>>
>>
>>> Always?
>>
>>
>> No mode always gets through.
>>
>> But if you have enough S/N, all sorts of things are possible.
>
> Remember though that we are talking about our favorite playground -
> HF. A high S/N is not often the case here.
>
>
>> Here's one way. I apologize if you are way beyond this simplified
>> example:
>>
>> Consider how PSK31 works in BPSK mode. There are just two basic
>> modulation states - 0 degrees and 180 degrees. One bit per unit
>> time.
>>
>> But in QPSK mode, there are four basic modulation states - 0
>> degrees,
>> 90 degrees, 180 degrees and 270 degrees. Two bits per unit time,
>> but
>> the bandwidth is no greater than with BPSK. Only problem is that
>> you
>> need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose total
>> distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the four
>> states
>> apart.
>>
>> Now consider a theoretical "256PSK" mode, in which there are 256
>> states: 0 degrees, 360/256 degree, 720/256 degrees, etc., all the
>> way
>> to ~359 degrees. 8 bits in one unit time, in the same bandwidth!
>> But
>> you need a transmitter, receiver and transmission medium whose
>> total
>> distortion is low enough that you can accurately tell the 256
>> states
>> apart.

>
> The error rate would be fantastic!
>
>> You can see that if we just keep increasing the number of states,
>> the
>> number of bits per unit time in the same bandwidth keeps going up.
>> But
>> you need more and more accurate modulator/medium/demodulator - IOW,
>> better and better signal-to-noise. Or to look at it another way,
>> the
>> mode carries huge amounts of data in a tiny bandwidth but has very
>> little tolerance for noise that takes the form of phase distortion.
>
> Now for this system to be practical, there would have to be a way to
> correct for all that phase distortion
>
>> Now imagine multiple spaced carriers in the 2.5 kHz bandwidth all
>> carrying data - lotta bits, huh?
>
> Now yer cheatin! ;^) That is increased bandwidth
>
>
>> Of course you may find that in practice it's not that easy to get a
>> modulator/medium/demodulator setup that meets the requirements -
>> particularly if the medium is HF RF with relatively low power.
>
> I have to imagine that there must be a lot of power, despite the
> sensitivity being to phase distortion. When I look at my phase
> display, there is a lot of noise showing up that can become bogus
> phase noise.
>>
>>
>>>>>Simply by hooking our computers to our rigs via the
>>>>>proper interfaces.
>>
>>
>>>>And software.
>>>
>>>I really didn't think it was all that simple.
>>
>>
>> Nobody said it was simple!
>
> Mr. Smith did!
>>
>>>Why don't we get together
>>>and pop off a live video system for say the 160 meter band. The >
>>>video
>>>would be real time, 30 fps, and otherwise like broadcast video. >
>>>Better

>>>yet, Why don't we do it at computer resolution?
>>
>>
>> Ask the PROFESSIONALS, Mike. Remember, ham radio is a HOBBY,
>> according
>> to them....
>
> SNORT!
>
>>
>>>>>Now it seems that the *idea* is that we are going to use
>>>>>DRM, and we're
>>>>>going to need to get more spectrum in which to use.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>There are all sorts of solutions. But there's a world of
>>>>difference between people talking theory and actual
>>>>application.
>>>
>>> I did hear that DRM was capable of doing imagery. I couldn't find
>>> any
>>>examples tho'. And they were very vague about it.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Most of all, some folks confuse the journey and the destination.
>>>
>>> The journey beats all.....
>>
>>
>> Exactly.
>>
>>>>>>Does complex and newer equal better?
>>>>
>>>>Sometimes. Not always.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Is analog simpler than digital?
>>>>
>>>>Sometimes!
>>>
>>>>>Does having a computer that attaches to the Internet
>>>>>make a person a digital expert?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Some folks think so! I don't. And besides - "digital expert"
>>>>doesn't
>>>>mean someone knows much about radio.
>>>
>>> Ain't that the truff?
>>

>>
>>>>>I ask for enlightenment, I get invective.
>>>>
>>>>Are you surprised?
>>>
>>> Nope. It doesn't make for a very good discussion tho'.
>>
>>
>> Discussion is not what the invective-hurlers want, Mike.
>
> You're right. Your multi-angle psk is the closest thing to
> possibility that I have seen yet. For Satellites and other UHF
> applications, it starts to become possible/practical:
>
> http://www.tech-faq.com/qpsk.shtml
>
> They also write about 8psk. Note that link degradation is an issue.
>
>
> Here on HF, we just don't have the proper conditions. I can do
> quadrature mode, but almost no one does. I've done some QSO's using
> BPSK63, but of course that uses more BW.
>
> But imagine! Someone (you) writing about something with a real
> possibility, not just calling me stupid or ignorant, etc!!!!
>
> Sunnavagun (with apologies to Hans)
> BTW, 20 meters is going to town tonight!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

474. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:32:13 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120534333.292265.243100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

b.b. wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this
> > > way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue. Besides, I
> > > bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in
> > > 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.
> > >
> >
> > No need to feel guilty. Besides if look at the history of licensing the
> > earliest requirements were only 5wpm on code.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> I've been pointing that out for years, but the PCTA tend to ignore that
> aspect of testing.

And another thing. I recall Jim or Kelly or some other Extra explain
that prior to the first 5wpm code exam, that there was no code exam.
But it was important to be able to understand code so that a government
station could tell you that you were interfering with them. And so
they implemented the 5wpm exam. And now that no other service uses
code...

475. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:33:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pM6dncV8dqU-YlTfRVn-1w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111879

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>
>>Hey I'm all for the "eureka" when it happens but the problem is that it is
>>unpredictable. Not only is it unpredictable in time but in the nature of the
>>breakthrough.
>>
>
>
> That's what makes ham radio some damn much fun! In my profession role I can
> send a team of engineers off with some marketeers scribbling and know that
> within 12-18 months I'll be shipping product. Bnt ham radio is not so
> predicable --- we get these delightful surprises from unexpected places.
>
> Some like APRS and PSK-xx gain traction and thrive in a niche, others like AX.25
> packet radio and 2-meter autopatches which blossom like an Independence Day
> firework, then fizzle to a few sparks on the ground after a short period of
> glory.
>
> Then there are a few genuine "revolutions" which fundamentally change the nature
> of amateur radio. We're about due for one of those.

More so than that, Hans. This would be a real breakthrough!

Bandwidth is a precious commodity. That we are looking at a method of transmission that breaks Shannon's law is one thing, but here is a method that will allow us to send video at frequencies that are less than their original needed bandwidth!!!!! If that isn't a revolution, I don't know what is!

"John" should be thankful for my gauntlet that I tossed him. He will be a *wealthy* man after his system is in place and working!

I mean we do all know why there is more bandwidth available as the frequency is increased, no? No change in the *really* basic laws governing bandwidth, correct? John's method, upon successful completion, means that with simply using a personal computer, we will be able to stuff immense amounts more data into all the available bands. The problems of bandwidth squeeze will go away, and quickly!

What is most amazing is that a lot of engineers and programmers have been working on this problem for a long time, and now an anonymous poster in a newsgroup has figured out how to do it - with a PC and a sound card no less. Amazing indeed....

I'll be proud just to be proven wrong on such a momentous moment in communications history.

- Mike KB3EIA -

476. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:36:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pM6dncR8dqWmnVffRVn-1w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111882

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>
>>Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
>>without exceeding reasonable band widths.
>
>
> Why is this conversation hung up on 300 baud?
>
> It's perfectly legal, for example to run digital voice (J2E) on HF under todays
> FCC rules, and it will fit nicely in the generally accepted 3KHz band width now
> used by traditional SSB. 97.307(f)(3) applies to rtty/data transmission, it
> does not apply to voice or image.

So, fit it into 3KHz, if that will be legal. John's system is
forthcoming soon. Live video will be broadcast on HF, probably in a few
months.

- Mike KB3EIA -

477. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:42:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Cknye.13072$Kd2.9057320@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111883

Mike:

It has been posted, it has been done, years ago.

For some reason you guys seem to claim a 56K phone modem which operated within a ~5K audio bandwidth will suddenly cease to be able to do so when hooked to a transceiver providing it with a ~5K audio bandwidth...

... logic which I am at a loss of words to describe--of how stupid that logic looks...

... and seems to pose the insane question of, "When is 5K really "NOT" 5K?" Or, "Are phone lines magic?"

... or, "Whatever happened to the ~5K audio on my transceiver, and why did it suddenly stop when I kludged on a modem?"

... or just, "Do I look confused to you?"

... or, "Am I dreaming all of this?"

... or, "HELLO? Is anyone at home there?"

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:VtmdnTuNMdGGYVTfRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud
>> on HF without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole
>> lot of things, not just video, that would be nice to do.
>>
>> How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> John has been challenged. His system for real time video via HF will
> be posted soon, TTPUOSU!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

478. Re: Time to PYMWYMI "John" - was Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:43:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Elnye.13094$6e2.9070750@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111884

Mike:

You are pretending you could build one, trust me, I know...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:VtmdnTiNMdE1ZlTfRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> Oh no. Now someone is going to have to explain ccd cams and pixels
>> to you, huh? Take a course!
>
>
> Challenge, "John Smith"!
>
>
> DO IT!
>
> Post the method in which you and I can send live Video to each other
> via whichever HF band will propagate between our QTH's, and I will
> build a duplicate. We can set up a sked. Once we have established
> live communications, I will most certainly apologize for my olde
> tyme hamminess. Let us keep everything in the group so that I may
> apologize publicly when proven wrong.
>
> Anxiously awaiting your system outline and diagrams..
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

479. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:45:10 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <xn0e4cvc34l3tn000@cnews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 111888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime

At least they won't be laying eggs.

--
BT

480. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:46:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Po2dncHjT-c5n1ffRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111885

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> The clock in a ~4GHz computer and DDR memory makes modem data xfr look
> incredibly s-l-o-w.... with spaces miles long between marker bits...
>
> 100Mbs nic cards are not even close to a challenge to that clock
> speed...
>

Um, John, just as a simple experiment, what do you get when you modulate say a 14 MHz signal with that 4 GHz signal?

note: not that you would do that for a live video system, but are you starting to see my point? What happens at the computer is not the issue. It is what happens at the frequency we are trying to use.

Computer clock speed is not relevant to the the issue. It is how much data an HF signal can handle.

There have been a lot of engineers, mathematicians and programmers working on this problem. If you have a method of doing this, I *strongly* suggest that you hire a patent attorney, and get to work. You are gonna be rich, man!

I'm willing to help you with the initial experiments. In fact, in the interest of the furtherance of Ham radio, science, and mankind, I have challenged you to produce such a system.

- Mike KB3EIA -

- Mike KB3EIA -

481. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 03:52:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <eeednTd-pbJ7nlffRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111887

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> It has been posted, it has been done, years ago.
>
> For some reason you guys seem to claim a 56K phone modem which
> operated within a ~5K audio bandwidth will suddenly cease to be able
> to do so when hooked to a transceiver providing it with a ~5K audio
> bandwidth...
>
> ... logic which I am at a loss of words to describe--of how stupid
> that logic looks...
>
> ... and seems to pose the insane question of, "When is 5K really "NOT"
> 5K?" Or, "Are phone lines magic?"
>
> ... or, "Whatever happened to the ~5K audio on my transceiver, and why
> did it suddenly stop when I kludged on a modem?"
>
> ... or just, "Do I look confused to you?"
>
> ... or, "Am I dreaming all of this?"
>
> ... or, "HELLO? Is anyone at home there?"
>

Post it, John! I will duplicate the system and we will sked on HF.

But if you are speaking of connecting a 56K modem to an HF rig, you are
not even *close* to the BW that is needed.

- Mike KB3EIA -

482. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:06:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rHnye.13549$OL1.8951938@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111889

Mike:

Have you ever seen a webcam on a computer hooked to a 56K modem which
is hooked to a phone line and used for video conference?

... so now your argument has become, "Webcams on computers are magic?
But cease to function on when the "signal" is fed to a transceiver!"

People used to use 'em on 14K modems, but with rather poor results...
Get real!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:eeednTd-pbJ7nlffRVn-oA@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> It has been posted, it has been done, years ago.
>>
>> For some reason you guys seem to claim a 56K phone modem which
>> operated within a ~5K audio bandwidth will suddenly cease to be
>> able to do so when hooked to a transceiver providing it with a ~5K
>> audio bandwidth...
>>
>> ... logic which I am at a loss of words to describe--of how stupid
>> that logic looks...
>>
>> ... and seems to pose the insane question of, "When is 5K really
>> "NOT" 5K?" Or, "Are phone lines magic?"
>>
>> ... or, "Whatever happened to the ~5K audio on my transceiver, and
>> why did it suddenly stop when I kludged on a modem?"
>>
>> ... or just, "Do I look confused to you?"
>>
>> ... or, "Am I dreaming all of this?"
>>
>> ... or, "HELLO? Is anyone at home there?"
>>
>
> Post it, John! I will duplicate the system and we will sked on HF.
>
> But if you are speaking of connecting a 56K modem to an HF rig, you
> are not even *close* to the BW that is needed.
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

483. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:10:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JKnye.13619$5z2.9179259@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111890

Mike:

Let's stay relevant here, enough of your fancy dancing...

The question is, "What do you get when you modulate a HF RF signal
with a 5K audio (speech or modem) signal?

Answer:
A transmission highly acceptable to the FCC, the ARRL and probably GOD
himself!

ROFLOL!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Po2dncHjT-c5n1ffRVn-qg@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> The clock in a ~4GHz computer and DDR memory makes modem data xfr
>> look incredibly s-l-o-w.... with spaces miles long between marker
>> bits...
>>
>> 100Mbs nic cards are not even close to a challenge to that clock
>> speed...
>>
>
> Um, John, just as a simple experiment, what do you get when you
> modulate say a 14 MHz signal with that 4 GHz signal?
>
> note: not that you would do that for a live video system, but are
> you starting to see my point? What happens at the computer is not
> the issue. It is what happens at the frequency we are trying to use.
>
> Computer clock speed is not relevant to the the issue. It is how
> much data an HF signal can handle.
>
> There have been a lot of engineers, mathematicians and programmers
> working on this problem. If you have a method of doing this, I
> *strongly* suggest that you hire a patent attorney, and get to work.
> You are gonna be rich, man!
>
> I'm willing to help you with the initial experiments. In fact, in
> the interest of the furtherance of Ham radio, science, and mankind,
> I have challenged you to produce such a system.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

484. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:11:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120536698.212961.292870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> If we ask you to leave, or be silent, it is "gay bashing", the "visual
> images" your "lifestyle" brings to mind is/are offensive to me.

then blame Steve K4YZ who insisted on bringing it in here

>
> No. This is not gay bashing, it is insane rudeness on your part, and
> like some spoiled child I expect that you will force your bad behavior
> on us all.

No it is gay bashing to insist that anyone bi or gay, must remain
silent

>
> Rarely will I banish one to the "bit bucket", you make it easy to do
> so without regret...

Then do it is you like and stopp gay bashing

>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120528172.201896.250090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > more stalking
> >>
> >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> >
> > No posting my address is stalking
> >
> > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
> > but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man
> > enough
> > to admit it
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> ! ! ! ! ! !
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ

> >
> >

485. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:17:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120537050.087876.184320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > gee such bigotry
>
> It's not bigotry if it's true.

Nope it remains bigotry if you hate black people even if the people in question are black, ergo if you bash a person becuase you say they are gay etc it is gay bashing

and bigotry

>
> You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.

realy? when?

>
> Ergo, you're gay.

Nope not nessaryly idiot I could be gay or i could be BI
>
> Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed gay?

It is in saying I can't post or tin harrassing me for it

>
> Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?

No nor am I confirming it either

It is none of your bussnes and has nothing to do with the ARS

in claiming it does YOUR prove yourself a bigot

>
> Steve, K4YZ

486. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:21:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120537262.347092.304340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111893

gee I wish you would not besmerch the name of a pretty good media
perosn

Bill O'Riely wrote:
> bb
>
> with his gay membership card for a san francisco bath house work
>
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120515801.956202.131140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> > > I gave him sepcific dates, times, places, callsigns, even
> >> > > military
> >> > > units of assignment where pertinent.
> >> >
> >> > So
> >>
> >> So indeed.
> >>
> >> You and he claim I don't give specifics.
> >>
> >> There's proof in this very forum that that assertion is a lie.
> >
> > You wishing it were so doesn't make it true.
> >
> > The reason that your specifics aren't being accepted is that you
> > have
> > less than a stellar record when it comes to the truth.
> >
> > So...
> >
> > Authentic Documentation is required. And two Photo ID's. Got any?
> >
> >

487. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:23:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <oYCdnTJWM7avXlffRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111957

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
>>>the right to speak becuase I am different
>>
>>Could you please quote the posts where anyone has said you have no right
>>to speak.
>
>
> sure here is one
>
> remove your gay bum down road and you will not have to worry about
> people being upset with you

Don't see anything in that where it says you have no right to speak.

488. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:29:03 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <rrKdnTjUQIkMWVffRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
I am 100%
> disabled

And you keep telling us that, over and over. So you want a medal or
something?

>
> You can think whatever you want. I had lost interest then, just like
> a number of licensed hams I know here in Florida. They haven't been on
> the air in years and most of them aren't bothering to renew their
> license when they expire. Are you going to bitch at them, too?

All that has nothing to do with the ARRL being some reason for *you* not
getting a license. If you really wanted to put forth the effort to get a
license you would, ARRL or no ARRL. You just want to whine.
>
> As far as movies, I have been to two movies since 1987, and I doubt
> that I will ever go back to a movie theater. My ears rang for three
> days from the excessively loud sound system, and I had a headache for a
> week.

Poor baby.
>
> I started the project below to keep myself busy a few hours a week,
> and to help others, rather than sit around and bitch about everyone
> else.
>

Now if you could just fing a project so you wouldn't sit around and
whine about being disabled.

489. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:41:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120538487.340311.141060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this
> > > > way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue. Besides, I
> > > > bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in
> > > > 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.
> > > >
> > >
> > > No need to feel guilty. Besides if look at the history of licensing the
> > > earliest requirements were only 5wpm on code.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >

break
> > I've been pointing that out for years, but the PCTA tend to ignore that
> > aspect of testing.
>
> And another thing. I recall Jim or Kelly or some other Extra explain
> that prior to the first 5wpm code exam, that there was no code exam.
> But it was important to be able to understand code so that a government
> station could tell you that you were interfering with them. And so
> they implemented the 5wpm exam. And now that no other service uses
> code...

indeed fromt he History I have read at first their were just hams (no
tests etc) then code testing was introduced for exactly the purpose of
allowing Govt to warn us off their freqs

Indeed that was why what became s25.2 can into existance in the first
place

490. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:41:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120538495.760407.98560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this
> > > > way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue. Besides, I
> > > > bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in
> > > > 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.
> > > >
> > >
> > > No need to feel guilty. Besides if look at the history of licensing the
> > > earliest requirements were only 5wpm on code.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >

break
> > I've been pointing that out for years, but the PCTA tend to ignore that
> > aspect of testing.
>
> And another thing. I recall Jim or Kelly or some other Extra explain
> that prior to the first 5wpm code exam, that there was no code exam.
> But it was important to be able to understand code so that a government
> station could tell you that you were interfering with them. And so
> they implemented the 5wpm exam. And now that no other service uses
> code...

indeed fromt he History I have read at first their were just hams (no
tests etc) then code testing was introduced for exactly the purpose of
allowing Govt to warn us off their freqs

Indeed that was why what became s25.2 can into existance in the first
place

491. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:44:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kfoye.14303$8H2.9516122@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111896

Mike:

Yanno. Have you ever even seen a 56K USRobotics Courier Modem (the very first ones were 36K and upgraded with a simple 56K flash--upgrade from software to their internal static memory--when the upgrade finally became available)

As, there was "controversy" back then if a 56K modem ran on phone lines would cause interference, "cross talk", etc., it all turned out to be a ridiculous argument--one akin to the one posed of hooking a fast modem to a xmitter...

It sold for ~$350.00+ when new (about 1995-1999) and does ALL data processing within itself (it has a 8086 intel processor onboard), this includes compaction/de-compaction, error control, line-signal power adjustments, feeding/pulling data, etc. It virtually "pumps" data to the computer buffers and virtually "yanks" data from them (I think I have heard the data screaming at times! <grin>)

It virtually is a standalone computer with but one function in life, send and recv data. It is a black box about 6 inches wide, 12 inches long and under 2 inches high. During the usefulness of 56K modems it had no equal--that stands to this very day.

It virtually puts NO demand/load on the computers processor, and insists on doing EVERYTHING itself...

... one reason it is termed the "v.everything" by USR... it is software upgradeable with 512,000 bytes of read-only flash memory. It was a marvel of technology in the data transmission field, it really still is...

A piece of hardware like that simplifies the project from the very beginning... you might be lucky enough to find an old one on ebay... the "sportster" models are NOT ONE-HALF the modem a courier is...

... when it hits error free transmission of data in the "kludged use" of it, the data throughput is actually just a hair (few bytes) less than 57K. It can actually keep logs and display data throughput to your screen all on its own...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:Po2dncHjT-c5n1ffRVn-qg@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:

>>
>> The clock in a ~4GHz computer and DDR memory makes modem data xfr
>> look incredibly s-l-o-w.... with spaces miles long between marker
>> bits...
>>
>> 100Mbs nic cards are not even close to a challenge to that clock
>> speed...
>>
>
> Um, John, just as a simple experiment, what do you get when you
> modulate say a 14 MHz signal with that 4 GHz signal?
>
> note: not that you would do that for a live video system, but are
> you starting to see my point? What happens at the computer is not
> the issue. It is what happens at the frequency we are trying to use.
>
> Computer clock speed is not relevant to the the issue. It is how
> much data an HF signal can handle.
>
> There have been a lot of engineers, mathematicians and programmers
> working on this problem. If you have a method of doing this, I
> *strongly* suggest that you hire a patent attorney, and get to work.
> You are gonna be rich, man!
>
> I'm willing to help you with the initial experiments. In fact, in
> the interest of the furtherance of Ham radio, science, and mankind,
> I have challenged you to produce such a system.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

492. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:49:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <vJudnYlMyL_OVFffRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111960

John Smith wrote:
> cmd buzz off:
>
> Occasionally there are good reasons for a nice name call, such as in
> your case...
>
> John
>
>
I see, so you are part of the 'ill-bred lot".

493. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:53:17 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <GuOdnWOr88OiV1ffRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111961

John Smith wrote:

>
> Besides, what ham worth his 2+KW rf signal doesn't have a full
> coverage communications transceiver with all the xmit blocked freqs
> removed and the radio "opened up?" Yet is quick to point a finger
> over at a CB'er...

So percentage of those hams with their rigs 'opened up' transmit on
frequencies outside the ham bands? What percentage of those cbers' with
their rigs 'opened up' transmit on frequencies outside the cb band?

494. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:53:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <onoye.14474$kl2.9573432@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

OF:

I'll tell you what then, I will go on being disgusted and speaking
against your despicable, deplorable, sick, perverted, insane
activities--and you go on screaming you are receiving a "bashing",
sounds quite fair to me...

If ever you tire of that game, just stop screaming...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120537050.087876.184320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > gee such bigotry
>>
>> It's not bigotry if it's true.
>
> Nope it remains bigotry if you hate black people even if the people
> in
> question are black, ergo if you bash a person becuase you say they
> are
> gay etc it is gay bashing
>
> and bigotry
>
>>
>> You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.
>
> realy? when?
>
>>
>> Ergo, you're gay.
>
> Nope not nessaryly idiot I could be gay or i could be BI
>>
>> Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed
>> gay?
>
> It is in saying I can't post or tin harrassing me for it
>

>>
>> Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?
>
> No nor am I confirming it either
>
> It is none of your bussnes and has nothing to do with the ARS
>
> in claiming it does YOUR prove yourself a bigot
>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

495. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:54:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aooye.14491$YG2.9507942@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Plonk!"

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120536698.212961.292870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> If we ask you to leave, or be silent, it is "gay bashing", the
>> "visual
>> images" your "lifestyle" brings to mind is/are offensive to me.
>
> then blame Steve K4YZ who insisted on bringing it in here
>
>>
>> No. This is not gay bashing, it is insane rudeness on your part,
>> and
>> like some spoiled child I expect that you will force your bad
>> behavior
>> on us all.
>
> No it is gay bashing to insist that anyone bi or gay, must remain
> silent
>
>>
>> Rarely will I banish one to the "bit bucket", you make it easy to
>> do
>> so without regret...
>
> Then do it is you like and stopp gay bashing
>
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120528172.201896.250090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > more stalking
>> >>
>> >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!

>> >
>> > No posting my address is stalking
>> >
>> > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
>> > but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man
>> > enough
>> > to admit it
>> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> ! ! ! ! ! !
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >
>

496. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 04:54:34 UTC
From: So what? <TuffEnough@ForYou>
Message-ID: <dad3oo$471$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120537050.087876.184320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > gee such bigotry
>
> It's not bigotry if it's true.

Nope it remains bigotry if you hate black people even if the people in
question are black, ergo if you bash a person becuase you say they are
gay etc it is gay bashing

and bigotry

>
> You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.

realy? when?

>
> Ergo, you're gay.

Nope not nessaryly idiot I could be gay or i could be BI
>
> Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed gay?

It is in saying I can't post or tin harrassing me for it

>
> Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?

No nor am I confirming it either

It is none of your bussnes and has nothing to do with the ARS

in claiming it does YOUR prove yourself a bigot

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Oh, for crying out loud. Listen to you. So what if someone dislikes gays
(note no Caps there..) or has a dislike for blacks. Or Illegal Aliens. So
what?
What do you expect them to do? Roll over and go belly up simply because
"you" accuse them of being prejudiced? So, big deal. Who are you in the
scheme of things? What gives you the right?
One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that their

accusations of bigotry or racism will cause Mainstream Mr. and Mrs. America to recoil for fear of being 'branded' by the mewling leftistas as bigots. Sorry, boys. Your accusations no longer hold the sting they once did. Mr. and Mrs. America no longer care about you or your political correctness bovine scat.
Oh, and one more item for you Leftistas...it is my right to feel or be prejudiced and it is my right to flaunt it in your face.
Now, what are you going to do about it? Call me a "Bigot"?
Big deal.

497. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:00:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Vtoye.14580$hE2.9369713@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111801

Mike:

Wealthy man, setting the ten hams up in existence with webcams on
their rigs and letting them claim they invented the internet?

(Hey, who really did invent the internet, Al Gore or hams--frankly I
believe Al Gore more!)

Krist, equipment manufacturers are already abandoning hams, your next
transceiver will be made by "Cobra", they can supply you with ham
rigs--they are already manufacturing CB rigs!

Just consider it "radio welfare" for hams. Your "entitlement." <grin>

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:pM6dncV8dqU-YlTfRVn-1w@adelphia.com...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Hey I'm all for the "eureka" when it happens but the problem is
>>>that it is unpredictable. Not only is it unpredictable in time but
>>>in the nature of the breakthrough.
>>>
>>
>>
>> That's what makes ham radio some damn much fun! In my profession
>> role I can send a team of engineers off with some marketeers
>> scribbling and know that within 12-18 months I'll be shipping
>> product. Bnt ham radio is not so predicable --- we get these
>> delightful surprises from unexpected places.
>>
>> Some like APRS and PSK-xx gain traction and thrive in a niche,
>> others like AX.25 packet radio and 2-meter autopatches which
>> blossom like an Independence Day firework, then fizzle to a few
>> sparks on the ground after a short period of glory.
>>
>> Then there are a few genuine "revolutions" which fundamentally
>> change the nature of amateur radio. We're about due for one of
>> those.
>
> More so than that, Hans. This would be a real breakthrough!
>

> Bandwidth is a precious commodity. That we are looking at a method
> of transmission that breaks Shannon's law is one thing, but here is
> a method that will allow us to send video at frequencies that are
> less than their original needed bandwidth!!!!! If that isn't a
> revolution, I don't know what is!
>
> "John" should be thankful for my gauntlet that I tossed him. He will
> be a *wealthy* man after his system is in place and working!
>
> I mean we do all know why there is more bandwidth available as the
> frequency is increased, no? No change in the *really* basic laws
> governing bandwidth, correct? John's method, upon successful
> completion, means that with simply using a personal computer, we
> will be able to stuff immense amounts more data into all the
> available bands. The problems of bandwidth squeeze will go away, and
> quickly!
>
> What is most amazing is that a lot of engineers and programmers have
> been working on this problem for a long time, and now an anonymous
> poster in a newsgroup has figured out how to do it - with a PC and a
> sound card no less. Amazing indeed....
>
> I'll be proud just to be proven wrong on such a momentous moment in
> communications history.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

498. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:00:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120539634.969393.171400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

So what? wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120537050.087876.184320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > gee such bigotry
> >
> > It's not bigotry if it's true.
>
> Nope it remains bigotry if you hate black people even if the people in
> question are black, ergo if you bash a person becuase you say they are
> gay etc it is gay bashing
>
> and bigotry
>
> >
> > You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.
>
> realy? when?
>
> >
> > Ergo, you're gay.
>
> Nope not nessaryly idiot I could be gay or i could be BI
> >
> > Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed gay?
>
> It is in saying I can't post or tin harrassing me for it
>
> >
> > Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?
>
> No nor am I confirming it either
>
> It is none of your bussnes and has nothing to do with the ARS
>
> in claiming it does YOUR prove yourself a bigot
>
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Oh, for crying out loud. Listen to you. So what if someone dislikes gays
> (note no Caps there..) or has a dislike for blacks. Or Illegal Aliens. So
> what?

So what I expect that hey can be deal with the fact it has nothing to
do with ham radio.

And I don't say that they can't hate and laothe me if they like merely
not harrass me for it

BTW if some of these Basers could admit they were doing it would be an
improvement

> What do you expect them to do? Roll over and go belly up simply because
> "you" accuse them of being prejudiced? So, big deal. Who are you in the
> scheme of things? What gives you the right?

Basic civil rights

> One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that their

Liberals? who you calling a Liberal, obviously you have been paying
attention

> accusations of bigotry or racism will cause Mainstream Mr. and Mrs. America
> to recoil for fear of being 'branded' by the mewling leftistas as bigots.
> Sorry, boys. Your accusations no longer hold the sting they once did. Mr.
> and Mrs. America no longer care about you or your political correctness
> bovine scat.
> Oh, and one more item for you Leftistas...it is my right to feel or be
> prejudiced and it is my right to flaunt it in your face.

But not to try and harrass someone out of public life. I have always
agreed you have those rights

BUT one it is others that have engaged in stalking to find these
"facts" andd then try to use them to silence me and others

> Now, what are you going to do about it? Call me a "Bigot"?
> Big deal.

499. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:16:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tIoye.14582$nC2.9306204@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

So what:

Here where I live you get to see it all. Blacks here are terribly discriminated against. The cops seem to always be picking on the ones carrying stolen tv sets out of other peoples apartments, stealing cars, involved in drugs, rapes, gang murders, beatings, stabbings, etc. I think it is terrible, they should turn them lose from the prisons where they make up 80% of the population and go live next to "an_old_friend."

He could take care of them there and protect them from such vile and ugly treatment as being confined for committing crimes.

And I am prejudiced against felons, I don't want them in my neighborhood or around my children even though they are grown! (now if they prove themselves "rehabilitated" after a few years in public, I am willing to accept them back.)

Now if someone asks me, "Do you hate all blacks?" Of course not, the ones gainfully employed next to me and who are safe to be around I like. But it takes me a bit to figure out which is which!

And frankly, there have been a few who I was too anxious to trust and they proved that trust wrong--so I am a bit leery of all these days--including people as "white" as I am!

But sicko perverts like "an_old_friend", NO. I will always remain prejudiced to having such perverted people about me--my "pursuit of happiness" alone prevents this... they sicken me and I have the stones to say it!

John

"So what?" <TuffEnough@ForYou> wrote in message
news:dad3oo$471$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120537050.087876.184320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > gee such bigotry
>>
>> It's not bigotry if it's true.
>

> Nope it remains bigotry if you hate black people even if the people
> in
> question are black, ergo if you bash a person becuase you say they
> are
> gay etc it is gay bashing
>
> and bigotry
>
>>
>> You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.
>
> realy? when?
>
>>
>> Ergo, you're gay.
>
> Nope not nessaryly idiot I could be gay or i could be BI
>>
>> Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed
>> gay?
>
> It is in saying I can't post or tin harrassing me for it
>
>>
>> Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?
>
> No nor am I confirming it either
>
> It is none of your bussnes and has nothing to do with the ARS
>
> in claiming it does YOUR prove yourself a bigot
>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Oh, for crying out loud. Listen to you. So what if someone dislikes
> gays
> (note no Caps there..) or has a dislike for blacks. Or Illegal
> Aliens. So
> what?
> What do you expect them to do? Roll over and go belly up simply
> because
> "you" accuse them of being prejudiced? So, big deal. Who are you in
> the
> scheme of things? What gives you the right?
> One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that
> their
> accusations of bigotry or racism will cause Mainstream Mr. and Mrs.
> America
> to recoil for fear of being 'branded' by the mewling leftistas as

> bigots.
> Sorry, boys. Your accusations no longer hold the sting they once
> did. Mr.
> and Mrs. America no longer care about you or your political
> correctness
> bovine scat.
> Oh, and one more item for you Leftistas...it is my right to feel or
> be
> prejudiced and it is my right to flaunt it in your face.
> Now, what are you going to do about it? Call me a "Bigot"?
> Big deal.
>
>
>
>
>
>

500. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:20:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NMoye.3869$8f7.606@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111803

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>more stalking
>>
>> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
>
>
> No posting my address is stalking

Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916

There now. The Radio Amateur Callbook gives your address as a matter of public record. Are you being stalked?

Your alphabet soup postings are a matter of archived public record. Anyone can look them up. If someone quotes one of them, does that mean you're being stalked?

You've discussed your sexual orientation here and elsewhere. You've also discussed your masochistic bent and your Pagan religious views. Does that mean that you are being stalked?

> Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
> but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man enough
> to admit it

Sounds like you're going after some unusual fish, Mark. If you meant "gay bashing", didn't you correct Steve and write that you aren't gay, but bisexual?

It is quite odd that you show up here after an absence of several years, with the same tired schtick and start to claim that you're being stalked. Your continued refusal to use a spell checker/grammar checker and your woeful ignorance of amateur radio regulations should be far more important concerns to you right now.

Dave K8MN

501. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:23:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120541013.488034.17870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111804

From: "Dee Flint" on Mon 4 Jul 2005 21:04

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:EJjye.8858$KE.7739416@news.sisna.com...
>> Mike:
>>
>> 300 baud is ridiculous, in Dee's first post mentioning 300 baud I tossed
>> it out the window--that was fine up to about 1985, then only the mentally
>> challenged continued to run 300 baud modems!
>>
>Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
>without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole lot of things,
>not just video, that would be nice to do.
>
>How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.

Not DIRECTLY related. :-) Look to the 56K modem that most folks
use to connect to the ISPs now. Those work over about a 3 KHz
bandwidth limit.

That 56K modem is capable of 56,000 bits per second. If that was
carried on an AM carrier, then it would require 112 KHz bandwidth
minimum. Using SSB techniques it would be 56 KHz bandwidth
minimum. Yet it works in THREE KILOHERTZ BANDWIDTH. HOW?

You are "in the engineering profession." You explain it to
yourself. Then you, as an amateur extra can explain it to
these other radio experts in here.

Here's a hint: Those 56K modems use a combination of amplitude
and phase modulation of a carrier...and do it at at least 8
discrete levels of amplitude and phase. Obviously it works.
All you need to do is rid yourself of the old AM double SB
concepts (even the SSB concepts) and look deeper.

John Carson of AT&T showed the basic mathematics for AM, PM, and
FM way back in 1915. All you need to do is work with some
basic series equations and solve the combination of AM and PM.
That sort of thing is done "in the engineering profession."
Where you are.

"300 Baud" (or 300 bits per second) is way slow by comparison.
Ordinary LSB AM of an audio carrier can do it within the 3 KHz
telco lines. Yet the 56K modems are wayyyyy faster.

Once, you, as "in the engineering profession," solve it, you
will have AN answer, one of several possibilities for

increasing throughput rate in a very limited bandwidth. Aid your ham compadres with your answer when you get done. Comprende?

de nada...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

502. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:31:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120541499.794547.85120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111805

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>more stalking
> >>
> >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> >
> >
> > No posting my address is stalking
>
> Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916
>
> There now. The Radio Amateur Callbook gives your address as a matter of
> public record. Are you being stalked?

Yes I am and you have choosen to jion them

>
> Your alphabet soup postings are a matter of archived public record.
> Anyone can look them up. If someone quotes one of them, does that mean
> you're being stalked?

Depends of course

>
> You've discussed your sexual orientation here and elsewhere. You've

Here only after Stevie brought it up

> also discussed your masochistic bent and your Pagan religious views.
> Does that mean that you are being stalked?

No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
the right to speak becuase I am different

>
> > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
> > but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man enough
> > to admit it
>
> Sounds like you're going after some unusual fish, Mark. If you meant
> "gay bashing", didn't you correct Steve and write that you aren't gay,
> but bisexual?

yea I have corrected him but people are going on about may alledgedly
being gay

>
> It is quite odd that you show up here after an absence of several years,
> with the same tired schtick and start to claim that you're being
> stalked. Your continued refusal to use a spell checker/grammar checker
> and your woeful ignorance of amateur radio regulations should be far
> more important concerns to you right now.

Becuase ole Stevie started out on his stalking and bashing as soon as I
return, indeed I have been checking the archives and he hardly stopped
with the bashing while I was gone

Stevie has started half a dozen threads making a point of my aledgely
being gay

it isn't and that is my choice I happen to think basic civil rights is
even more important

But again why should I care about HF regs

Nobody seem to answer that
>
> Dave K8MN

503. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:36:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120541792.302783.272540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> So what:
>
> Here where I live you get to see it all. Blacks here are terribly
> discriminated against. The cops seem to always be picking on the ones
> carrying stolen tv sets out of other peoples apartments, stealing
> cars, involved in drugs, rapes, gang murders, beatings, stabbings,
> etc. I think it is terrible, they should turn them lose from the
> prisons where they make up 80% of the population and go live next to
> "an_old_friend."
>
> He could take care of them there and protect them from such vile and
> ugly treatment as being confined for committing crimes.
>
> And I am prejudiced against felons, I don't want them in my
> neighborhood or around my children even though they are grown! (now if
> they prove themselves "rehabilitated" after a few years in public, I
> am willing to accept them back.)
>
> Now if someone asks me, "Do you hate all blacks?" Of course not, the
> ones gainfully employed next to me and who are safe to be around I
> like. But it takes me a bit to figure out which is which!
>
> And frankly, there have been a few who I was too anxious to trust and
> they proved that trust wrong--so I am a bit leery of all these
> days--including people as "white" as I am!

break
>
> But sicko perverts like "an_old_friend", NO. I will always remain
> prejudiced to having such perverted people about me--my "pursuit of
> happiness" alone prevents this... they sicken me and I have the
> stones to say it!

and so YOU have some specail right not to be offended, your attitude
offends me so there i should be able to tell you to stay queit and hide
out

I am your equal legaly, and you need to grow up and deal with it

>
> John
>
> "So what?" <TuffEnough@ForYou> wrote in message

> news:dad3oo$471$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120537050.087876.184320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > gee such bigotry
> >>
> >> It's not bigotry if it's true.
> > >
> > > Nope it remains bigotry if you hate black people even if the people
> > > in
> > > question are black, ergo if you bash a person becuase you say they
> > > are
> > > gay etc it is gay bashing
> > >
> > > and bigotry
> > >
> >>
> >> You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.
> > >
> > > realy? when?
> > >
> >>
> >> Ergo, you're gay.
> > >
> > > Nope not nessaryly idiot I could be gay or i could be BI
> >>
> >> Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed
> >> gay?
> > >
> > > It is in saying I can't post or tin harrassing me for it
> > >
> >>
> >> Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?
> > >
> > > No nor am I confirming it either
> > >
> > > It is none of your bussnes and has nothing to do with the ARS
> > >
> > > in claiming it does YOUR prove yourself a bigot
> > >
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Oh, for crying out loud. Listen to you. So what if someone dislikes
> > > gays
> > > (note no Caps there..) or has a dislike for blacks. Or Illegal

> > Aliens. So
> > what?
> > What do you expect them to do? Roll over and go belly up simply
> > because
> > "you" accuse them of being prejudiced? So, big deal. Who are you in
> > the
> > scheme of things? What gives you the right?
> > One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that
> > their
> > accusations of bigotry or racism will cause Mainstream Mr. and Mrs.
> > America
> > to recoil for fear of being 'branded' by the mewling leftistas as
> > bigots.
> > Sorry, boys. Your accusations no longer hold the sting they once
> > did. Mr.
> > and Mrs. America no longer care about you or your political
> > correctness
> > bovine scat.
> > Oh, and one more item for you Leftistas...it is my right to feel or
> > be
> > prejudiced and it is my right to flaunt it in your face.
> > Now, what are you going to do about it? Call me a "Bigot"?
> > Big deal.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

504. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 05:46:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <v9pye.14583$cN2.9703524@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111808

Dave:

This guy picked up on his argument and has confused me with another "John Smith" (ok, I probably deserve it for picking the dumb name.) But, point is, he is like a tar pit and difficult to let go of once he has you "stuck."

He is very insistent on playing the part of a "victim."

Your words were very good, after reading them I wish I would have had the where-with-all to have said near the same.

However, now I am just embarrassed to have fallen prey to his stupid little "I am a bashed victim" game.

Please don't make the same error I did! He isn't worth it!

Warmest regards,
John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:NMoye.3869$8f7.606@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>more stalking
>>>
>>> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
>>
>>
>> No posting my address is stalking
>
> Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916
>
> There now. The Radio Amateur Callbook gives your address as a
> matter of public record. Are you being stalked?
>
> Your alphabet soup postings are a matter of archived public record.
> Anyone can look them up. If someone quotes one of them, does that
> mean you're being stalked?
>
> You've discussed your sexual orientation here and elsewhere. You've
> also discussed your masochistic bent and your Pagan religious views.

> Does that mean that you are being stalked?
>
>> Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
>> but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man
>> enough
>> to admit it
>
> Sounds like you're going after some unusual fish, Mark. If you
> meant "gay bashing", didn't you correct Steve and write that you
> aren't gay, but bisexual?
>
> It is quite odd that you show up here after an absence of several
> years, with the same tired schtick and start to claim that you're
> being stalked. Your continued refusal to use a spell
> checker/grammar checker and your woeful ignorance of amateur radio
> regulations should be far more important concerns to you right now.
>
> Dave K8MN

505. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 06:27:42 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <yLpye.2842$Gv3.316@trnddc02>
Source record: 111811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:I9OdnTXR14KBu1ffRVn-sQ@gbronline.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>more stalking
> >>
> >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> >
> >
> > No posting my address is stalking
> >
> > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
>
> "bassing', is that some kind of fishing?

There's a trolling joke in there, but I'm not going to be the one to make
it.

506. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 06:54:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120546442.652977.286130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111812

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
> > the right to speak becuase I am different
>
> Could you please quote the posts where anyone has said you have no right
> to speak.

sure here is one

remove your gay bum down road and you will not have to worry about
people being upset with you

"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

news:1120515876.547902.61050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

here is another

Michael Coslo wrote:
> there are gay hams posting here
>
> can anyone stop them?

and another

Gays REALLY need to go somewhere else, if you are gay you ARE NOT
WELCOME HERE! GET LOST! TAKE YOUR QUEER BOY BUTT BUDDIES WITH YOU!

I am not a gay basher, gays just don't matter, however they do ruin
nice places--go somewhere with you gay buddies and don't make us ill
and feel like puking!

goodbye

"Oevets" <oev...@earthlink.net> wrote in message

news:42c548af.1817323@127.0.0.1...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On Wed, 29 Jun 2005 17:22:19 -0700, "Michael Coslo"
> <m...@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

>>there are gay hams posting here

>>can anyone stop them?

> Yep. Just get rid of the gay CB'ers, and the gay hams will look
> somewhere else for partners.

Reply

There are planety of such examples

507. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 07:22:26 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42CA3517.BC46A612@earthlink.net>
Source record: 111813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> So what, just because you may not agree with everyone who goes to
> movies, does that stop you from going to movies? What made you think you
> had to pay any attention to those "loyal members". What do you care who
> has the biggest antennas or what brand of radio some other ham uses? I
> think it is all just a weak excuse about something you didn't want to
> put any effort into.

Look, we've been through this before. I was interested in equipment design and construction, not operating it. I was planning on trying to market a 160 through 6 meter AM and SSB receiver I had designed when I was drafted. After I left the service I got home to find that my shop building had been damaged and torn down while I was in the army. All my notes, prototypes, parts and test equipment were gone. I didn't have the money to replace them at the time, and I had to find a job, buy a home and get on with my life. During my years of working in electronics I spent a lot of years working with RF. I was setting up a shop in my four car garage to have a place to work when i retire. The hurricanes last year soaked everything, and most of it is beyond salvage. I am 100% disabled and can't repair the roof.

You can think whatever you want. I had lost interest then, just like a number of licensed hams I know here in Florida. They haven't been on the air in years and most of them aren't bothering to renew their license when they expire. Are you going to bitch at them, too?

As far as movies, I have been to two movies since 1987, and I doubt that I will ever go back to a movie theater. My ears rang for three days from the excessively loud sound system, and I had a headache for a week.

I started the project below to keep myself busy a few hours a week, and to help others, rather than sit around and bitch about everyone else.

--
http://home.earthlink.net/~computersforvets/

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

508. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 07:26:47 UTC
From: So what? <TuffEnough@ForYou>
Message-ID: <dadcm5djf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111814

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120546442.652977.286130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>

Aw, for Chrissake will you little babies give it a rest?
Listen to you.
One says, "You are a Bigot."
The other says, "You are a Faggot."

Then you and your childish hangers-on decide to belabor the point for as long as you can milk the topic.
Damn, you guys are childish!

How's this for your set-to hissy fits?

I don't like Liberals! I don't like butt -pluggers, I don't like Wetbacks, I don't like rap music, I don't like seeing 14 year old white girls pushing baby buggies with half-breed black babies around the local mall, and I don't like Illegals getting Driver's Licenses.

I also do not like seeing theatre marquees with Spanish over riding the English version. I live in the United States, I do not live in Mexico City. And when I use an automated check out lane? I speak English, thank you just the same, Wal-Mart!

509. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 07:28:28 UTC
From: Steve Leyland <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
Message-ID: <dadcshivo1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com>
Source record: 111815
Cross-posted to: alt.sailing.asa,alt.top-posting.fuckwits,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Bill O'Riely wrote:
: sicko? not another gay old friend i hope

gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.

:
: "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
: news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
:: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
::
:: b.b. wrote:
::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
:::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
:::: insane
:::: person, today has been one of those days.
::::
:::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it is
:::: enough others can actually tell.
::::
:::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
::::
:::: Michael A. Terrell
:::: Central Florida
:::
::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.

--
Steve Leyland
mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/

=^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=

That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will exact it's
revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I don't
blame them a bit...
==========================================================================
"My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They are the
lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried unsuccessfully in
the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to completely
ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent response
is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
```

JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
==========
"Warning to all:
Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile the
muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his past
UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned. *plonk*"

Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
==========
"I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless, worthless
post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating worthless,
dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless, flaccid,
obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald, hunch-backed,
flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling, buck-toothed,
physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive, mud-packing,
masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant, egomaniacal
POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable binary-poster, and
for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."

Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
==========
"I went to the Garden of Love,
And saw what I never had seen;
A Chapel was built in the midst,
Where I used to play on the green.

And the gates of this Chapel were shut
And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
So I turned to the Garden of Love
That so many sweet flowers bore.

And I saw it was filled with graves,
And tombstones where flowers should be;
And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
And binding with briars my joys and desires."

William Blake.
==========
"When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a tribe of
people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith in
deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be known as
Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."

Native American prophecy

```
|\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
/, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
|,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
'-~~;'@ ( ; ;
_.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
(,_..----''' (,..--''
```

Meow

510. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 07:35:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120548952.343716.261510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > more stalking
> >
> > Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
>
> No posting my address is stalking

So who posted your address?.

> Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime

So...A gay man rents a boat, goes fishing and catches bass...

That's a "hate crime"...?!?!

> but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man enough
> to admit it

I'm not "guilty" of anything other than persistently rubbing the
collective noses of you, Lennie Anderson, Brain Burke and Toiddie
McDockerty in your foolishness.

But the Lord (or deity of your choice) knows you all give me
plenty of opportunities.

Steve, K4YZ

511. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 07:45:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120549519.181038.224050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > gee such bigotry
> >
> > It's not bigotry if it's true.
>
> Nope it remains bigotry if you hate black people even if the people in
> question are black, ergo if you bash a person becuase you say they are
> gay etc it is gay bashing and bigotry

Mark, I don't "hate" you at all.

How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!

> > You have sex (per your own admission) with other men.
>
> realy? when?

Mark, you only make a bigger fool out of your self and
coincidentally prove my assertions that you're a liar by trying to
dodge and deny your alternative sexuality choices.

> > Ergo, you're gay.
>
> Nope not nessaryly idiot I could be gay or i could be BI

If your penis is invasive of other males, you are gay.

> > Where's the bigotry in calling you "gay" if you are indeed gay?
>
> It is in saying I can't post or tin harrassing me for it

Who said you can't post?

You're doing it, aren't you?

And what's "tin harrassing"...?

> > Or are you now withdrawing your claim of having sex with men?
>
> No nor am I confirming it either

You've already acknowledged that you do.

> It is none of your bussnes and has nothing to do with the ARS

It's "my bussnes" since you made a point of bringing it up in a public forum some several years ago. It's the "bussnes" of anyone who cares to read the old posts.

> in claiming it does YOUR prove yourself a bigot

In denying that you wrote what anyone who cares to research Google archives can see that you wrote proves you a liar.

Again...

Steve, K4YZ

512. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 07:49:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120549757.330371.132790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > more stalking
> > >
> > > Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> >
> > No posting my address is stalking
>
> So who posted your address?.

You posted part of it, and made threats to use it

othercontiuedto post it in full

ALL of them enabled by your stalking and posting of my call

>
> > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
>
> So...A gay man rents a boat, goes fishing and catches bass...
>
> That's a "hate crime"...?!?!
>
> > but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man enough
> > to admit it
>
> I'm not "guilty" of anything other than persistently rubbing the
> collective noses of you, Lennie Anderson, Brain Burke and Toiddie
> McDockerty in your foolishness.

You are guilty of lieing dead equine kicking, stalking and harrasment
gay bashing, pagan bashing, and being a generl rotten guy

>
> But the Lord (or deity of your choice) knows you all give me
> plenty of opportunities.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

513. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 07:57:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120550242.748093.65530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111819

an_old_friend wrote:
> So what? wrote:

> > Oh, for crying out loud. Listen to you. So what if someone dislikes gays
> > (note no Caps there..) or has a dislike for blacks. Or Illegal Aliens. So
> > what?
>
> So what I expect that hey can be deal with the fact it has nothing to
> do with ham radio.

Most of what you write about either has nothing to do with it either, or demonstrates such an absolute ignoprance of Amateur Radio practice or policy as to make one wonder from under what rock you crawled.

> And I don't say that they can't hate and laothe me if they like merely
> not harrass me for it

"Laothe" you...?!?! Turn you into a SE Asian...?!?!

And like I said...Who can hate anyone when they are laughing at them so robustly?

> BTW if some of these Basers could admit they were doing it would be an
> improvement

Well...I am operating a radio from a fixed point, so I guess that makes me a "baser"

> > What do you expect them to do? Roll over and go belly up simply because
> > "you" accuse them of being prejudiced? So, big deal. Who are you in the
> > scheme of things? What gives you the right?
>
> Basic civil rights

Which one of your "basic civil rights" has been denied you, Mark?

You post here freely, you choose to participate in a risque lifestyle, and to the best of my knowledge, not one burning cross of "hanged" effigy has shown up on your property as a result of it.

> > One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that their
>
> Liberals? who you calling a Liberal, obviously you have been paying
> attention

Do you read what you write before you hit "sned", Markie...?!?!

> > accusations of bigotry or racism will cause Mainstream Mr. and Mrs. America
> > to recoil for fear of being 'branded' by the mewling leftistas as bigots.
> > Sorry, boys. Your accusations no longer hold the sting they once did. Mr.
> > and Mrs. America no longer care about you or your political correctness
> > bovine scat.
> > Oh, and one more item for you Leftistas...it is my right to feel or be
> > prejudiced and it is my right to flaunt it in your face.
>
> But not to try and harrass someone out of public life. I have always
> agreed you have those rights
>
> BUT one it is others that have engaged in stalking to find these
> "facts" andd then try to use them to silence me and others

You keep insisting that someone is "stalking" you.

Who is stalking you?

Prove it.

I say you're a bit over self-appreciating of your net worth...Who
would dare compromise their freedoms by stalking YOU...?!?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

514. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:12:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120551154.325403.278840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111820

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>more stalking
> > > >>
> > > >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > No posting my address is stalking
> > >
> > > Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916
> > >
> > > There now. The Radio Amateur Callbook gives your address as a matter of
> > > public record. Are you being stalked?
> >
> > Yes I am and you have choosen to jion them

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Now citing public records is "stalking"...! ! ! ! ! !

> > Your alphabet soup postings are a matter of archived public record.
> > Anyone can look them up. If someone quotes one of them, does that mean
> > you're being stalked?
> >
> > Depends of course

"Depeneds" nothing.

Markie, I can promise you, that if anyone here wants to stalk you,
you won't KNOW you're being stalked.

> > You've discussed your sexual orientation here and elsewhere. You've
> >
> > Here only after Stevie brought it up

Now how could I "bring up" your sexual orientation or religious
affiliations if you hadn't brought them here, Mark?

> > also discussed your masochistic bent and your Pagan religious views.
> > Does that mean that you are being stalked?
>
> No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
> the right to speak becuase I am different

No one here, myself or anyone else, has said you don't have a
"right to speak", Mark...

What we have ASKED you to do over and over and over is GET A
SPELLCHECKER!

> > > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
> > > but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man enough
> > > to admit it
> >
> > Sounds like you're going after some unusual fish, Mark. If you meant
> > "gay bashing", didn't you correct Steve and write that you aren't gay,
> > but bisexual?
>
> yea I have corrected him but people are going on about may alledgedly
> being gay

You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
nothing "alleged" about it.

> > It is quite odd that you show up here after an absence of several years,
> > with the same tired schtick and start to claim that you're being
> > stalked. Your continued refusal to use a spell checker/grammar checker
> > and your woeful ignorance of amateur radio regulations should be far
> > more important concerns to you right now.
>
> Becuase ole Stevie started out on his stalking and bashing as soon as I
> return, indeed I have been checking the archives and he hardly stopped
> with the bashing while I was gone

I'm not "bashing" you...I am making fun of you becasue you make it
so easy to do.

> Stevie has started half a dozen threads making a point of my aledgely
> being gay

You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
nothing "alleged" about it.

> it isn't and that is my choice I happen to think basic civil rights is
> even more important

"...it isn't..." what?

> But again why should I care about HF regs
>
> Nobody seem to answer that

You obviously don't.

You asked a question about the 60 meter allocations, and when Hans, K0HB gave you the precise information, you essentially slapped him in the face for the effort.

I'd say you don't care about "HF regs" at all. As for "why", you're right...neither I or anyone else can answer that. Only you can.

So why DON'T you care about "HF regs", and why should "we" care that you don't..?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

515. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:21:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120551672.888559.262670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111821

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > So what? wrote:
>
> > > Oh, for crying out loud. Listen to you. So what if someone dislikes gays
> > > (note no Caps there..) or has a dislike for blacks. Or Illegal Aliens. So
> > > what?
> >
> > So what I expect that hey can be deal with the fact it has nothing to
> > do with ham radio.
>
> Most of what you write about either has nothing to do with it
> either, or demonstrates such an absolute ignoprance of Amateur Radio
> practice or policy as to make one wonder from under what rock you
> crawled.

indeed thanks to you and your stalking and harassment I post a great
deal more on non hamtopics than I would like

now if you had not choosen to be a stalker who knows

>
> > And I don't say that they can't hate and laothe me if they like merely
> > not harrass me for it
>
> "Laothe" you...?!?! Turn you into a SE Asian...?!?!
>
> And like I said...Who can hate anyone when they are laughing at
> them so robustly?

It is easy don't you know

You obviously live in mortal dread that I might be "doing something"

>
> > BTW if some of these Basers could admit they were doing it would be an
> > improvement
>
> Well...I am operating a radio from a fixed point, so I guess that
> makes me a "baser"
>
> > > What do you expect them to do? Roll over and go belly up simply because
> > > "you" accuse them of being prejudiced? So, big deal. Who are you in the
> > > scheme of things? What gives you the right?
> >
> > Basic civil rights

>
> Which one of your "basic civil rights" has been denied you, Mark?

Freedom of speech for one. Personal safety for another. the right to
live and breath without being threatened

>
> You post here freely, you choose to participate in a risque
> lifestyle, and to the best of my knowledge, not one burning cross of
> "hanged" effigy has shown up on your property as a result of it.

You know this how?
>
> > > One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that their
> > >
> > Liberals? who you calling a Liberal, obviously you have been paying
> > attention
>
> Do you read what you write before you hit "sned", Markie...?!?!

yes I do. anyone calling me a liberal is plain nuts

>
> > > accusations of bigotry or racism will cause Mainstream Mr. and Mrs. America
> > > to recoil for fear of being 'branded' by the mewling leftistas as bigots.
> > > Sorry, boys. Your accusations no longer hold the sting they once did. Mr.
> > > and Mrs. America no longer care about you or your political correctness
> > > bovine scat.
> > > Oh, and one more item for you Leftistas...it is my right to feel or be
> > > prejudiced and it is my right to flaunt it in your face.
> > >
> > But not to try and harrass someone out of public life. I have always
> > agreed you have those rights
> > >
> > BUT one it is others that have engaged in stalking to find these
> > "facts" andd then try to use them to silence me and others
>
> You keep insisting that someone is "stalking" you.

You are now amoug others

>
> Who is stalking you?

You

>
> Prove it.

You have for me

>
> I say you're a bit over self-appreciating of your net worth...Who
> would dare compromise their freedoms by stalking YOU...?!?!?!

Obviously you would, why I don't know, but you seem to stalk a number
of folks on here, most anyone that dares disagree with you

>
> Steve, K4YZ

516. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:29:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120552194.035957.175930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111822

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > > > > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > > > > some region of the band.
> > > > >
> > > > > Is my impression corect.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E channels
> > >
> > > Auf Anglish Bitte?
> >
> > Why?
>
> so it can be understood

I understood him fine the first time...OF course I KNOW a bit
about Amateur rules and regulations, the focus of this forum byt the
way, so it was a "no brainer".

> > You can't do English any better than you could Serbo-Croatian.
>
> there is a lie, I Do English much better

No. You don't.

> > He answered your question exactly.
>
> no he did not answer it the question was about CW

He absolutely answered your question. Precisely. Accurately.
Civilly.

> > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't allowed but you I
> > > guess answering a question si too much for you
> >
> > He did answer your question. Precisely.
>
> no he did not answer it the question was about CW

He absolutely answered your question. Precisely. Accurately.
Civilly.

> > Perhaps your "higher standards" you refered to in another thread
> > aren't so high, eh?...
>
> any standard is higher than standard of proof for yourself, even your
> standard of proof for others

Well...You spelled all of the words correctly....Now if they were
just organized into a coherent sentence...

> > > > centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.
> >
> > Geeze, Markie...He gave you the specific mode AND frequencies
> > authorized.
>
> in gibberish

"Gibberish" to an idiot, perhaps.

Seems it's perfectly clear in Part 97.

So is this going to be another "rights" issue for you? Is the FCC
going to have to write a "Radio Rules for Dummies" book just for idiots
like you?

> > And then you don't even have the good courtesy to thank him.
>
> I rarely thank people when they are being discourtesous

Then why didn't you thank Hans for giving you the information you
requested?

He gave you the EXACT frequencies and modes authorized on 60
meters and did so without a single abrasive, discourtesous adjective or
suggestion.

> > Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
> > had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
> > confused you!
>
> you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?
>
> the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know...(SNIP)

So you just embarked on this mission to provide yet another
opportunity for us to make fun of you? Was it GOOD for you...?!?!

> (UNSNIP)...I also
> assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
> using the freqs it doesn't matter

(1) If you're not "using the freqs", why the inquiry in the first
place, and

(2) There you go assuming again.

Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for yourself.

And if you had problems with the emission designators, well,
that's on line and public information too.

Just like your address is.

Steve, K4YZ

517. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:34:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120552488.832363.235010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111823

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>>more stalking
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > No posting my address is stalking
> > > >
> > > > Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916
> > > >
> > > > There now. The Radio Amateur Callbook gives your address as a matter of
> > > > public record. Are you being stalked?
> > >
> > > Yes I am and you have choosen to jion them
> >
> >

gee a few mintutes ago you deniing that anyone posted my address

> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Now citing public records is "stalking"...! ! ! ! ! !

sure is when done for no lawfull purpose

>
> > > Your alphabet soup postings are a matter of archived public record.
> > > Anyone can look them up. If someone quotes one of them, does that mean
> > > you're being stalked?
> > >
> > Depends of course
> >
> "Depeneds" nothing.

can't even quote me Steveie

but if you quote them for no purpose than harassment it is stalking

>
> Markie, I can promise you, that if anyone here wants to stalk you,
> you won't KNOW you're being stalked.

Bullshit Stevie, you are not good enough to cover your trail. I know
you are

>
> > > You've discussed your sexual orientation here and elsewhere. You've
> >
> > Here only after Stevie brought it up
>
> Now how could I "bring up" your sexual orientation or religious
> affiliations if you hadn't brought them here, Mark?

By going out and looking for them, as you did about 5 years ago and
then you brought them up again when I reutrned to
the newsgroup

How you could do it is because i had always assumed that people were
biiger than you have shown you are

My Mistake I agree

>
> > > also discussed your masochistic bent and your Pagan religious views.
> > > Does that mean that you are being stalked?
> >
> > No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
> > the right to speak becuase I am different
>
> No one here, myself or anyone else, has said you don't have a
> "right to speak", Mark...

wrong simple lie on your part there has been plenty of folks saying
that

>
> What we have ASKED you to do over and over and over is GET A
> SPELLCHECKER!

you don't ask for a spellchecker by calling someone gay and sying gays
have no rights it doesn't work that way

>
> > > > Conducting gay bassing is a hate crime
> > > > but then you a guilty of all this yourself, but you are even man enough
> > > > to admit it
> > >
> > > Sounds like you're going after some unusual fish, Mark. If you meant
> > > "gay bashing", didn't you correct Steve and write that you aren't gay,
> > > but bisexual?
> >
> > yea I have corrected him but people are going on about may alledgedly

> > being gay
>
> You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> nothing "alleged" about it.

Stevie that doesn't make me gay. It might make me gay or it might make
me bi
or might mean I have been the victum of rape, or it might mean i was
just experimenting

in my case answer b is correct

I don't recall admiting to it, I simple have never diened it

>
> > > It is quite odd that you show up here after an absence of several years,
> > > with the same tired schtick and start to claim that you're being
> > > stalked. Your continued refusal to use a spell checker/grammar checker
> > > and your woeful ignorance of amateur radio regulations should be far
> > > more important concerns to you right now.
> >
> > Becuase ole Stevie started out on his stalking and bashing as soon as I
> > return, indeed I have been checking the archives and he hardly stopped
> > with the bashing while I was gone
>
> I'm not "bashing" you...I am making fun of you becasue you make it
> so easy to do.

Making fun of me becuase i am Bi is bashing

>
> > Stevie has started half a dozen threads making a point of my aledgely
> > being gay
>
> You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> nothing "alleged" about it.

and this has what to do with Ham radio?

>
> > it isn't and that is my choice I happen to think basic civil rights is
> > even more important
>
> "...it isn't..." what?

after you hack away at something I can't put it back

>
> > But again why should I care about HF regs
> >
> > Nobody seem to answer that
>
> You obviously don't.

>
> You asked a question about the 60 meter allocations, and when
> Hans, K0HB gave you the precise information, you essentially slapped
> him in the face for the effort.

No he didn't answer my question

>
> I'd say you don't care about "HF regs" at all. As for "why",
> you're right...neither I or anyone else can answer that. Only you can.

why should I care at this point?

indeed you can (assuming you are not lying again) answer why you think
I should care about detail of HF regs

>
>
> So why DON'T you care about "HF regs", and why should "we" care
> that you don't..?!?!

Simple and obvious, as long as code testing coninues I can't legaly use
ham HF spectrum, therefore I don't care about
the details.

I can't tell you why care to point and go and on about something I
admit to

>
> Steve, K4YZ

518. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:44:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120553084.057781.240360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111824

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > >
> > > > > > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > > > > > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > > > > > some region of the band.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is my impression corect.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E channels
> > > > >
> > > > Auf Anglish Bitte?
> > > >
> > > Why?
> > >
> > so it can be understood
> >
> I understood him fine the first time...OF course I KNOW a bit
> about Amateur rules and regulations, the focus of this forum byt the
> way, so it was a "no brainer".
>
> > > You can't do English any better than you could Serbo-Croatian.
> > >
> > there is a lie, I Do English much better
> >
> No. You don't.

Bullshit another Stevie boy lie. I know nothing of SerboCroatian , I
know something of english therefore I know English much better

>
> > > He answered your question exactly.
> > >
> > no he did not answer it the question was about CW
> >
> He absolutely answered your question. Precisely. Accurately.
> Civilly.

no he answered it vaguely incompletely and arrogantly
```

>
> > > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't allowed but you I
> > > > guess answering a question si too much for you
> > >
> > > He did answer your question. Precisely.
> >
> > no he did not answer it the question was about CW
>
> He absolutely answered your question. Precisely. Accurately.
> Civilly.

no he answered it vaguely incompletely and arrogantly

you realy like cuting and pasting I can do it to Stevie boy

>
> > > Perhaps your "higher standards" you refered to in another thread
> > > aren't so high, eh?...
> >
> > any standard is higher than standard of proof for yourself, even your
> > standard of proof for others
>
> Well...You spelled all of the words correctly....Now if they were
> just organized into a coherent sentence...

it is perfectly coherent and in exactly proper for spoken english,
which the from i use online as I have said before, again and again

And of course it is true Any standard of proof is higher than yours for
yourself

>
> > > > > centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.
> > >
> > > Geeze, Markie...He gave you the specific mode AND frequencies
> > > authorized.
> >
> > in gibberish
>
> "Gibberish" to an idiot, perhaps.

I suspectwith some effort i could PHDs to whom it be gibberish

>
> Seems it's perfectly clear in Part 97.
>
> So is this going to be another "rights" issue for you? Is the FCC
> going to have to write a "Radio Rules for Dummies" book just for idiots
> like you?

GIGO

Must you rant and rave so much

>
> > > And then you don't even have the good courtesy to thank him.
> > >
> > I rarely thank people when they are being discourtesous
>
> Then why didn't you thank Hans for giving you the information you
> requested?

Because he didn't

>
> He gave you the EXACT frequencies and modes authorized on 60
> meters and did so without a single abrasive, discourtesous adjective or
> suggestion.

he did not answer the question he rudely choose to answer a different
question

No one asked for freqs no asked about any mode beside CW

>
> > > Good thing you didn't ask about power limitations...he would've
> > > had to use a REAL technical term...ERP...That would ahve REALLY
> > > confused you!
> > >
> > you still can't seem to spell have today Stevie what is it?
> > >
> > the reason I did not ask is of course I did not need to know...(SNIP)
>
> So you just embarked on this mission to provide yet another
> opportunity for us to make fun of you? Was it GOOD for you...?!?!

I did not and do not need to know what power levels are ok on 60 M I am
not allowed to transmit there

I don't ask for unneeded data

>
> > (UNSNIP)...I also
> > assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
> > using the freqs it doesn't matter
>
> (1) If you're not "using the freqs", why the inquiry in the first
> place, and

To determine if I was correctly following folks when it seemed that CW
has forbidden on a band that requires a CW test for use

I care about CW testing surely you have noticed

>
> (2) There you go assuming again.
>
> Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
> had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for yourself.

Bullshit

A simple yes no instaed of reading through mind numbing legalese

> And if you had problems with the emission designators, well,
> that's on line and public information too.
>
> Just like your address is.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

519. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:46:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120553206.002497.96670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111825

) Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
)had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for
yourself.

What embarrsihment are you refering to?

520. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:47:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120553251.003031.176010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111826

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > So what? wrote:

> > Most of what you write about either has nothing to do with it
> > either, or demonstrates such an absolute ignoprance of Amateur Radio
> > practice or policy as to make one wonder from under what rock you
> > crawled.
>
> indeed thanks to you and your stalking and harassment I post a great
> deal more on non hamtopics than I would like
>
> now if you had not choosen to be a stalker who knows

I'm not stalking you, Mark. YOU cane to this forum of your own
volition.

> > > And I don't say that they can't hate and laothe me if they like merely
> > > not harrass me for it
> >
> > "Laothe" you...?!?! Turn you into a SE Asian...?!?!
> >
> > And like I said...Who can hate anyone when they are laughing at
> > them so robustly?
>
> It is easy don't you know
>
> You obviously live in mortal dread that I might be "doing something"

Nope.

There's nothing you could do that would put me in "mortal dread"
for several reasons, the least of all being that I don't think you can
adequately focus your resources to actually "DO" anything that would
compromise my safety.

I, on the otherhand, have more than adequate resources to ensure my
safety, not the least of which is maintaining my situational awareness.

> > > Basic civil rights
> >
> > Which one of your "basic civil rights" has been denied you, Mark?
>
> Freedom of speech for one. Personal safety for another. the right to
> live and breath without being threatened

Hmmmmmmmmmmm....You post here freely...

Not one scratch has beein inflicted upon you by anyone that >>I<<
am aware of...

And you have yet to show where ANYone from this forum has
threatened you.

> > You post here freely, you choose to participate in a risque
> > lifestyle, and to the best of my knowledge, not one burning cross of
> > "hanged" effigy has shown up on your property as a result of it.
>
> You know this how?

First of all, I "know" it because you are indeed posting here.

Secondly, YOU have stated that you participate in that alternative
lifestyle..Again, YOUR words...

Lastly, I said "...to the best of my knowledge..." In the English
language that means...well...It means EXACTLY what is says...

> > > > One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that their
> > >
> > > Liberals? who you calling a Liberal, obviously you have been paying
> > > attention
> >
> > Do you read what you write before you hit "sned", Markie...?!?!
>
> yes I do. anyone calling me a liberal is plain nuts

Sure you're a liberal.

You acknowlege participating in an "alternative lifestyle" that
the political right is clearly against. You chose a religious
alternative that again the politcal right would scoff at, and lastly
you're "all about" demanding this "right" and that "right"...

Most conservatives >>I<< know would just shut up and get it done.

YOU are all about being a "victim".

> > > BUT one it is others that have engaged in stalking to find these
> > > "facts" andd then try to use them to silence me and others
> >
> > You keep insisting that someone is "stalking" you.
>
> You are now amoug others

How? By reciting stuff that YOU have published in public? By
referencing public records?

> > Who is stalking you?
>
> You

Then you've uttered a lie.

> > Prove it.
>
> You have for me

Nope.

You just like to throw that word around because it's a trip word
for victimization.

No one in this forum, to the best of my knowledge, is "stalking"
you.

> > I say you're a bit over self-appreciating of your net worth...Who
> > would dare compromise their freedoms by stalking YOU...?!?!?!
>
> Obviously you would, why I don't know, but you seem to stalk a number
> of folks on here, most anyone that dares disagree with you

And you've now utterd yet ANOTHER mistruth, Markie!

Why do you insist on lying so prolifically in a public forum,
Mark?

Steve, K4YZ

521. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 08:52:04 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <NotRoger@Glendale>
Message-ID: <dadhm1$7ou$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111827

Troll.....

522. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 09:04:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120554257.763737.76820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111828

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > So what? wrote:
>
> > > Most of what you write about either has nothing to do with it
> > > either, or demonstrates such an absolute ignoprance of Amateur Radio
> > > practice or policy as to make one wonder from under what rock you
> > > crawled.
> >
> > indeed thanks to you and your stalking and harassment I post a great
> > deal more on non hamtopics than I would like
> >
> > now if you had not choosen to be a stalker who knows
>
> I'm not stalking you, Mark. YOU cane to this forum of your own
> volition.

Irelavant

You are stalking and harssing me

>
> > > > And I don't say that they can't hate and laothe me if they like merely
> > > > not harrass me for it
> > >
> > > "Laothe" you...?!?! Turn you into a SE Asian...?!?!
> > >
> > > And like I said...Who can hate anyone when they are laughing at
> > > them so robustly?
> >
> > It is easy don't you know
> >
> > You obviously live in mortal dread that I might be "doing something"
>
> Nope.

Yep

>
> There's nothing you could do that would put me in "mortal dread"
> for several reasons, the least of all being that I don't think you can
> adequately focus your resources to actually "DO" anything that would
> compromise my safety.

Your safety apearnetly in danger from Myself and Todd and Brain and
Lennie since you have to lie and harass and abuse us all, but you
missed the point as usual

it is your ego at stake and perhaps your belief in your manhood

>
> I, on the otherhand, have more than adequate resources to ensure my
> safety, not the least of which is maintaining my situational awareness.
>
> > > > Basic civil rights
> > >
> > > Which one of your "basic civil rights" has been denied you, Mark?
> >
> > Freedom of speech for one. Personal safety for another. the right to
> > live and breath without being threatened
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmm....You post here freely...

No I don't, psting freely would mean that I was able to post without
being threatened (free people can it seems)

>
> Not one scratch has beein inflicted upon you by anyone that >>I<<
> am aware of...

Your ignorance is not my affair Stevie

>
> And you have yet to show where ANYone from this forum has
> threatened you.

You amditted to it Stevie "one shot one kill even in Illiopolis" your
words not mine

>
> > > You post here freely, you choose to participate in a risque
> > > lifestyle, and to the best of my knowledge, not one burning cross of
> > > "hanged" effigy has shown up on your property as a result of it.
> >
> > You know this how?
>
> First of all, I "know" it because you are indeed posting here.

and that means nothing has happen to me or my property as reult of it

well you don't it all

the fact I was n't killed is correct
>
> Secondly, YOU have stated that you participate in that alternative
> lifestyle..Again, YOUR words...

as is My right if I choose

>
> Lastly, I said "...to the best of my knowledge..." In the English
> language that means...well...It means EXACTLY what is says...

and Ihave metnioned it so your knowledge should include it funny say
"hanged effigy" a confession perhaps

>
> > > > > One can easily loathe these Liberals who think, erroneously, that their
> > > > >
> > > > Liberals? who you calling a Liberal, obviously you have been paying
> > > > attention
> > >
> > > Do you read what you write before you hit "sned", Markie...?!?!
> >
> > yes I do. anyone calling me a liberal is plain nuts
>
> Sure you're a liberal.

Nope, not even close a Log Cabin Republican am I, no liberal

>
> You acknowlege participating in an "alternative lifestyle" that
> the political right is clearly against. You chose a religious

some do on the right and the left, more on the right yes

> alternative that again the politcal right would scoff at, and lastly

some do on the right and the left, more on the right yes

> you're "all about" demanding this "right" and that "right"...

and conservetives defend their rights a comon one is say the right ot
bear arms
>
> Most conservatives >>I<< know would just shut up and get it done.

get what done

>
> YOU are all about being a "victim".

not realy you are all about the attack
>
> > > > BUT one it is others that have engaged in stalking to find these
> > > > "facts" andd then try to use them to silence me and others
> > >
> > > You keep insisting that someone is "stalking" you.
> >
> > You are now amoug others
>
> How? By reciting stuff that YOU have published in public? By
> referencing public records?

sure as I have said and in your case by ferreting out my call sign so
other could do the rest.

By going out and hunting for stuff yuo disaprove of to bring to These
newsgroups

>
> > > Who is stalking you?
> >
> > You
>
> Then you've uttered a lie.

No I haven't

>
> > > Prove it.
> >
> > You have for me
>
> Nope.

Yep

>
> You just like to throw that word around because it's a trip word
> for victimization.

No I don't

but it fits

You have clearly stalked Todd, and harassed him sexual harassed him for
that matter and brain and myself

>
> No one in this forum, to the best of my knowledge, is "stalking"
> you.

Maybe to best of your knowledge since of course you don't know what you
are doing
>
> > > I say you're a bit over self-appreciating of your net worth...Who
> > > would dare compromise their freedoms by stalking YOU...?!?!?!
> >
> > Obviously you would, why I don't know, but you seem to stalk a number
> > of folks on here, most anyone that dares disagree with you
>
> And you've now utterd yet ANOTHER mistruth, Markie!

Nope I haven't utterd anything

and You stalked and sexualy harass other men.

>
> Why do you insist on lying so prolifically in a public forum,

> Mark?

I am not lying

and if I were why do you care so much?

Honesty is not a legal requirement here, indeed I admit to lying heand
there. Wh do you keep beating that dead equine

Lying isn't a crime.

you lie all the time why don't you turn yourself in

>
> Steve, K4YZ

523. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 09:17:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120555077.933277.109770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111829

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>>more stalking
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > No posting my address is stalking
> > > >
> > > > Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916
> > > >
> > > > There now. The Radio Amateur Callbook gives your address as a matter of
> > > > public record. Are you being stalked?
> > >
> > > Yes I am and you have choosen to jion them
> >
> gee a few mintutes ago you deniing that anyone posted my address

I didn't see Dave's post, however he didn't recite anything that
couldn't be found by putting "KB9RQZ" into any search engine.

> > Now citing public records is "stalking"...! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> sure is when done for no lawfull purpose

What's UNlawful about citing a public record...?!?!

> > > > Your alphabet soup postings are a matter of archived public record.
> > > > Anyone can look them up. If someone quotes one of them, does that mean
> > > > you're being stalked?
> > >
> > > Depends of course
> > >
> > "Depeneds" nothing.
> >
> can't even quote me Steveie

Close enough.

And you didn't answer my question.

> but if you quote them for no purpose than harassment it is stalking

Oh?

Says who?

Do you even KNOW the defintion of "stalking"..?!?!

> > Markie, I can promise you, that if anyone here wants to stalk you,
> > you won't KNOW you're being stalked.
>
> Bull#### Stevie, you are not good enough to cover your trail. I know
> you are

I am better than you'd care to know, Markie! As a matter of fact,
I am better than you ever WILL know ! ! ! !

> > > > You've discussed your sexual orientation here and elsewhere. You've
> > >
> > > Here only after Stevie brought it up
> >
> > Now how could I "bring up" your sexual orientation or religious
> > affiliations if you hadn't brought them here, Mark?
>
> By going out and looking for them, as you did about 5 years ago and
> then you brought them up again when I reutrned to
> the newsgroup

Mark, here's a couple of newsgroup publishing tips:

(1) Don't lie. This stuff's all archived and your assertions
about who-did-what-to-whom is all in there.

(2) Don't admit to or suggest an idea of personal preference in a
public forum if you don't want it to be a matter of public record.

You have admitted to lying about being a commissioned officer in
the Armed Forces. You have insisted that you were "drafted" when it
was clearly impossible to have been so...You admit to having chosen an
"alternative lifestyle" and participating in a non-mainstream religious
sect.

Then you claim others are "stalking" you when they recite what YOU
have said.

That's not stalking.

> How you could do it is because i had always assumed that people were
> biiger than you have shown you are

If you have personal information that you don't want made public,
don't discuss it in public!

It really is THAT simple!

> My Mistake I agree

I agree that your mistakes are plentiful and hillarious.

> > > > also discussed your masochistic bent and your Pagan religious views.
> > > > Does that mean that you are being stalked?
> > >
> > > No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
> > > the right to speak becuase I am different
> >
> > No one here, myself or anyone else, has said you don't have a
> > "right to speak", Mark...
>
> wrong simple lie on your part there has been plenty of folks saying
> that

I can "say" the moon is red and that the Earth is flat...it won't
stop anyone else from knowing better.

Who then has tied you down, gagged you, and dared you to
speak...?!?!

Wait...that sounds like something you might LIKE...

Never mind...I don't want to know...

> > What we have ASKED you to do over and over and over is GET A
> > SPELLCHECKER!
>
> you don't ask for a spellchecker by calling someone gay and sying gays
> have no rights it doesn't work that way

No...

I ask you to use a spellchecker by saying "GET A SPELLCHECKER!"

> > You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> > nothing "alleged" about it.
>
> Stevie that doesn't make me gay.

Sure it does.

> It might make me gay or it might make me bi

It DOES make you gay.

> or might mean I have been the victum of rape

No one is "gay" because they were raped. It means they were
raped. Period.

> or it might mean i was just experimenting

Trying to find a partner that wouldn't laugh you out of the
bedroom...?!?!

> in my case answer b is correct
>
> I don't recall admiting to it, I simple have never diened it

You have "admitted" to it. No denial is necessary, unless you are
now saying that you were lyining about it before...?!?!

> > I'm not "bashing" you...I am making fun of you becasue you make it
> > so easy to do.
>
> Making fun of me becuase i am Bi is bashing

I am not making fun of you because you are gay...I make fun of you
because you're such an idiot...

> > > Stevie has started half a dozen threads making a point of my aledgely
> > > being gay
> >
> > You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> > nothing "alleged" about it.
>
> and this has what to do with Ham radio?

Nothing. Which beings me to ask why you ever brought it to RRAP
in the first place.

> > > it isn't and that is my choice I happen to think basic civil rights is
> > > even more important
> >
> > "...it isn't..." what?
>
> after you hack away at something I can't put it back

Hack away at what?

Put what back?

> > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > >
> > > Nobody seem to answer that
> >
> > You obviously don't.
> >
> > You asked a question about the 60 meter allocations, and when
> > Hans, K0HB gave you the precise information, you essentially slapped
> > him in the face for the effort.
>
> No he didn't answer my question

You're lying.

He answered your qeustion precisely.

> > I'd say you don't care about "HF regs" at all. As for "why",
> > you're right...neither I or anyone else can answer that. Only you can.

>
> why should I care at this point?

Absolutely. And who here do you think CARES if you care...?!?!

> indeed you can (assuming you are not lying again) answer why you think
> I should care about detail of HF regs

You obviously don't care enough to do a few minutes research on
your own.

> > So why DON'T you care about "HF regs", and why should "we" care
> > that you don't..?!?!
>
> Simple and obvious, as long as code testing coninues I can't legaly use
> ham HF spectrum, therefore I don't care about
> the details.

You're lying again.

You speicifically ASKED for details on regulatory matters
pertainig to an Amateur HF allocation.

> I can't tell you why care to point and go and on about something I
> admit to

And I can't tell you what you just said, but I CAN tell you it was
incomprehensible as a coherent sentence.

Steve, K4YZ

524. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 11:54:45 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <9yuye.2393$vT3.1905@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111830

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Vtoye.14580$hE2.9369713@news.sisna.com...
> Mike:
>
> Wealthy man, setting the ten hams up in existence with webcams on
> their rigs and letting them claim they invented the internet?
>
> (Hey, who really did invent the internet, Al Gore or hams--frankly I
> believe Al Gore more!)
>
> Krist, equipment manufacturers are already abandoning hams, your next
> transceiver will be made by "Cobra", they can supply you with ham
> rigs--they are already manufacturing CB rigs!
>
> Just consider it "radio welfare" for hams. Your "entitlement." <grin>
>
> John
>

I'm getting a vision here--imagine if Cobra manufactured a great ham rig;
all except for the fact that it was NOT easily modifiable...locked up
tight... :o

Kim W5TIT

525. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:03:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Zyvye.1327$BK1.1027@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111831

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>) Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
>)had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for
> yourself.
>
> What embarrsihment are you refering to?

Nobody refer(r)ed to any ambarrsihment.

73, de Hans, K0HB

526. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:12:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120569125.319554.75870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111832

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > You can't do English any better than you could Serbo-Croatian.
> > >
> > > there is a lie, I Do English much better
> >
> > No. You don't.
>
> Bullshit another Stevie boy lie. I know nothing of SerboCroatian , I
> know something of english therefore I know English much better

Then prove it.

> > > > He answered your question exactly.
> > >
> > > no he did not answer it the question was about CW
> >
> > He absolutely answered your question. Precisely. Accurately.
> > Civilly.
>
> no he answered it vaguely incompletely and arrogantly

Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.

He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.

> > > > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't allowed but you I
> > > > > guess answering a question si too much for you
> > > >
> > > > He did answer your question. Precisely.
> > >
> > > no he did not answer it the question was about CW
> >
> > He absolutely answered your question. Precisely. Accurately.
> > Civilly.
>
> no he answered it vaguely incompletely and arrogantly

Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.

He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.

> you realy like cuting and pasting I can do it to Stevie boy

Nope.

You really like repeating the same lie over and over.

My answer to the assertion is the same answer.

> > > > Perhaps your "higher standards" you refered to in another thread
> > > > aren't so high, eh?...
> > > >
> > > any standard is higher than standard of proof for yourself, even your
> > > standard of proof for others
> > >
> > Well...You spelled all of the words correctly....Now if they were
> > just organized into a coherent sentence...
> >
> it is perfectly coherent and in exactly proper for spoken english,
> which the from i use online as I have said before, again and again

No. It's not.

> And of course it is true Any standard of proof is higher than yours for
> yourself

Still senseless.

> > > > > > centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.
> > > > >
> > > > Geeze, Markie...He gave you the specific mode AND frequencies
> > > > authorized.
> > > >
> > > in gibberish
> > >
> > "Gibberish" to an idiot, perhaps.
> >
> I suspectwith some effort i could PHDs to whom it be gibberish

See what I mean...an idiot!

> > Seems it's perfectly clear in Part 97.
> >
> > So is this going to be another "rights" issue for you? Is the FCC
> > going to have to write a "Radio Rules for Dummies" book just for idiots
> > like you?
> >
> GIGO
> >
> Must you rant and rave so much

You're the ranter and raver here, Mark.

You asked a question requiring specific information.

That question was answered, but then you insulted and demeaned the
person who provided it just becasue YOU are a feeble minded idiot.

GIGO doesn't apply. You were given the right information. You
simply were (and remain)too stupid to understand it.

> > > > And then you don't even have the good courtesy to thank him.
> > > >
> > > I rarely thank people when they are being discourtesous
> > >
> > Then why didn't you thank Hans for giving you the information you
> > requested?
> >
> Because he didn't

Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.

He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.

> > He gave you the EXACT frequencies and modes authorized on 60
> > meters and did so without a single abrasive, discourtesous adjective or
> > suggestion.
> >
> he did not answer the question he rudely choose to answer a different
> question

Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.

He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.

> No one asked for freqs no asked about any mode beside CW

Just admit you were too stupid to understand and to narrowminded
to take a second to process what was given you.

You reacted the way ALL spolied brats do.

> > So you just embarked on this mission to provide yet another
> > opportunity for us to make fun of you? Was it GOOD for you...?!?!
> >
> I did not and do not need to know what power levels are ok on 60 M I am
> not allowed to transmit there
> >
> I don't ask for unneeded data

Good.

Because you obviously were too stupid to understand the data you
DID ask for.

> > > (UNSNIP)...I also
> > > assumed it was 1.5 KW like the rest of the ARS, but then since i am not
> > > using the freqs it doesn't matter
> > >
> > (1) If you're not "using the freqs", why the inquiry in the first
> > place, and

>
> To determine if I was correctly following folks when it seemed that CW
> has forbidden on a band that requires a CW test for use

FCC regulations require Morse Code competency for ANY Amateur
operating on ANY frequency below 30Mhz.
>
> I care about CW testing surely you have noticed

No...You care about getting as much as you can with as little
effort expended.

You proved that years ago.

> > (2) There you go assuming again.
> >
> > Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
> > had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for yourself.
>
> Bull#####
>
> A simple yes no instaed of reading through mind numbing legalese

It was only mind numbing to you...Mind numbing because you were
either too stupid or too ignorant to understand it.

I say "stupid or ignorant" because you immeidately made a fool out
of yourself over the answer instead of doing two minutes worth of
research.

Nothing we didn't expect.

Steve, K4YZ

527. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:15:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120569350.114447.130920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111833

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >) Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
> >)had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for
> > yourself.
> >
> > What embarrsihment are you refering to?
>
> Nobody refer(r)ed to any embarrsihment.

I did, Hans.

The embarrasment of looking like a fool for having acted the way
he did when you gave him the exact information he asked for.

73

Steve, K4YZ

528. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:16:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120569405.370418.66990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111834

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:

(IARU Bandplan)

> > Ditto the
> > looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the > thing
> > was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.
>
> Bingo! So much for "dynamic reallocation of resources"..

. . hi-tech term for anarchy . .

> > My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW-
> > exclusive
> > segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz
> > for U.S.
> > non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.
>
> And that's if everybody plays by the bandplan!

Absolutely will not happen, guaranteed.

> Alun says the 'phone segment starts at 7050 under that plan. Which
> means that 'phone is primary on ~83% of the band! CW is primary on
> ~11%. The "digimodes" are stuck in between.

CW + digital from 7.000 to 7.100, phone and image from 7.100 to 7.300
and call it a day.

> The spectrum-efficient modes are sacrificed to the spectrum-wasters.

I don't support the notion that there should be "reward allocations"
for spectrum efficiency because that can be twisted around and used as
a rationale for reducing the space allocated to efficient modes. The
better idea would be to keep the hard stops in place on their lower
limits and let them clean up their QRM problems by cleaning up their
modes & operations. The nonsense about narrow mode users giving up
space to "accomodate" the results of junk modes like "hi-fi SSB" and
overcompression and such really boils my oil. Let 'em sort it out their
sandbox and stay away from our sandbox, they do it to themselves, let
'em deal with it.

> "From each according to his [spectrum] ability, to each according to
> his [spectrum] need...." where have we heard that before?

>From Darwin. Crush or get crushed and become extinct.

> >
> > In a word fuhgeddit.
> >
> The 800 pound problem is that nobody sez whose bandplan is to be
> followed. Does IARU outrank ARRL in the USA, or the other way around?
> What if NCVEC, NCI, RSGB, CQ or qrz.com comes up with a bandplan of
> their own?

On MF/HF it's all compulsive, ignorable micromanaging by amateurs, an approach which has failed miserably in any number of fields. The FCC is the law, they're the professionals, they're not ignorable and their "bandplans" work just fine.

>
> Like you said - fugedaboudit.

Dit . . .

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

529. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:17:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120569462.957926.266880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111835

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >) Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
> >)had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for
> > yourself.
> >
> > What embarrsihment are you refering to?
>
> Nobody refer(r)ed to any ambarrsihment.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Stevie did

530. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:26:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kUvye.1334$BK1.679@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111836

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> But again why should I care about HF regs
>

You asked about HF regs when you inquired about the 60M band.

If you ask, I assume you cared, so I answered the question in straightforward language directly from the applicable FCC regulation.

If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about HF regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.

>>PLONK<<

73, de Hans, K0HB

531. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:27:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120570062.975398.129270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111837

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>>more stalking
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Quoting your own words and actions is "stalking"...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No posting my address is stalking
> > > > > >
> > > > > Mark C Morgan, 17366 N River Rd, Chassell, MI 49916
> > > > > >
> > > > > There now. The Radio Amateur Callbook gives your address as a matter of
> > > > > public record. Are you being stalked?
> > > > >
> > > > Yes I am and you have choosen to jion them
> > >
> > gee a few mintutes ago you deniing that anyone posted my address
> >
> I didn't see Dave's post, however he didn't recite anything that
> couldn't be found by putting "KB9RQZ" into any search engine.

I said otherwise?
>
> > > Now citing public records is "stalking"...! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > sure is when done for no lawfull purpose
> >
> What's UNlawful about citing a public record...?!?!

Harassment is never lawful

>
> > > > > Your alphabet soup postings are a matter of archived public record.
> > > > > Anyone can look them up. If someone quotes one of them, does that mean
> > > > > you're being stalked?
> > > >

> > > > Depends of course
> > >
> > > "Depeneds" nothing.
> >
> > can't even quote me Steveie
>
> Close enough.

nope

>
> And you didn't answer my question.

bUt I did

>
> > but if you quote them for no purpose than harassment it is stalking
>
> Oh?

Harassment is not legal Stevie you can get away with often like
speeding but it is not lawful

>
> Says who?

everyone how knows much which lets you out

>
> Do you even KNOW the defintion of "stalking"..?!?!

yes I do

>
> > > Markie, I can promise you, that if anyone here wants to stalk you,
> > > you won't KNOW you're being stalked.
> >
> > Bull#### Stevie, you are not good enough to cover your trail. I know
> > you are
>
> I am better than you'd care to know, Markie! As a matter of fact,
> I am better than you ever WILL know ! ! ! !

gee that sounds like another threat, but you post many of your efforts

>
> > > > > You've discussed your sexual orientation here and elsewhere. You've
> > > > >
> > > > Here only after Stevie brought it up
> > >
> > > Now how could I "bring up" your sexual orientation or religious
> > > affiliations if you hadn't brought them here, Mark?
> >
> > By going out and looking for them, as you did about 5 years ago and

> > then you brought them up again when I reutrned to
> > the newsgroup
>
> Mark, here's a couple of newsgroup publishing tips:
>
> (1) Don't lie. This stuff's all archived and your assertions
> about who-did-what-to-whom is all in there.

why don't you follow that adivice yourself

>
> (2) Don't admit to or suggest an idea of personal preference in a
> public forum if you don't want it to be a matter of public record.

Indeed your point?

>
> You have admitted to lying about being a commissioned officer in
> the Armed Forces. You have insisted that you were "drafted" when it

Nope

> was clearly impossible to have been so...You admit to having chosen an
> "alternative lifestyle" and participating in a non-mainstream religious
> sect.

And none of these entitled your legaly or moroly since you claim to
christian to engage in stalking or harassment

>
> Then you claim others are "stalking" you when they recite what YOU
> have said.

when done with the clear prupsoe to harrass it is stalker abd
harassment

>
> That's not stalking.

yes it is

>
> > How you could do it is because i had always assumed that people were
> > biiger than you have shown you are
>
> If you have personal information that you don't want made public,
> don't discuss it in public!

Stevie I don't mind it being known. what I would like is not to deal
with sex in Ham Radio. it isn't relavant. and making your every post
about it is stalking and sexual harassment

>
> It really is THAT simple!

Why does your trollish mind insist on discusiing nothing but sex?

>
> > My Mistake I agree
>
> I agree that your mistakes are plentiful and hillarious.

and you lie By way of distroing the context again

>
> > > > > also discussed your masochistic bent and your Pagan religious views.
> > > > > Does that mean that you are being stalked?
> > > > >
> > > > No what means i being stalked is people going on and and saying I lack
> > > > the right to speak becuase I am different
> > > >
> > > No one here, myself or anyone else, has said you don't have a
> > > "right to speak", Mark...
> >
> > wrong simple lie on your part there has been plenty of folks saying
> > that
>
> I can "say" the moon is red and that the Earth is flat...it won't
> stop anyone else from knowing better.

Bullshit like most of your dreck

>
> Who then has tied you down, gagged you, and dared you to
> speak...?!?!

No such claim another Stevie lie
>
> Wait...that sounds like something you might LIKE...

Not into rape Stevie, and more of sexual harassment

>
> Never mind...I don't want to know...

then why type it out
>
> > > What we have ASKED you to do over and over and over is GET A
> > > SPELLCHECKER!
> >
> > you don't ask for a spellchecker by calling someone gay and sying gays
> > have no rights it doesn't work that way
>
> No...
>
> I ask you to use a spellchecker by saying "GET A SPELLCHECKER!"

then why are you talking about above about having sex with men

>
> > > You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> > > nothing "alleged" about it.
> >
> > Stevie that doesn't make me gay.
>
> Sure it does.

nope nor is it asking me to use a spellchecker

>
> > It might make me gay or it might make me bi
>
> It DOES make you gay.

nope Nursie goo check with a Doctor or a refference book
>
> > or might mean I have been the victum of rape
>
> No one is "gay" because they were raped. It means they were
> raped. Period.

Indeed but you claim everyone who has had sex with aman is gay, I point
our another possiblity

>
> > or it might mean i was just experimenting
>
> Trying to find a partner that wouldn't laugh you out of the
> bedroom...?!?!

Your point
>
> > in my case answer b is correct
> >
> > I don't recall admiting to it, I simple have never diened it
>
> You have "admitted" to it. No denial is necessary, unless you are
> now saying that you were lyining about it before...?!?!

Not realy that I recall

BTW even being Gay or Bi doesn't mean you have have had sex with a man.

You assume a great deal

>
> > > I'm not "bashing" you...I am making fun of you becasue you make it
> > > so easy to do.
> >
> > Making fun of me becuase i am Bi is bashing
>
> I am not making fun of you because you are gay...I make fun of you
> because you're such an idiot...

then if true why do you bring up sexuality?

It is YOUR doing

>
> > > > Stevie has started half a dozen threads making a point of my aledgely
> > > > being gay
> > >
> > > You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> > > nothing "alleged" about it.
> >
> > and this has what to do with Ham radio?
>
> Nothing. Which beings me to ask why you ever brought it to RRAP
> in the first place.

Lying sack of shit you did

>
> > > > it isn't and that is my choice I happen to think basic civil rights is
> > > > even more important
> > >
> > > "...it isn't..." what?
> >
> > after you hack away at something I can't put it back
>
> Hack away at what?

when you cut away the context

>
> Put what back?

I don't know what you cut away you did it

>
> > > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > > >
> > > > Nobody seem to answer that
> > >
> > > You obviously don't.
> > >
> > > You asked a question about the 60 meter allocations, and when
> > > Hans, K0HB gave you the precise information, you essentially slapped
> > > him in the face for the effort.
> >
> > No he didn't answer my question
>
> You're lying.

No lie here
>
> He answered your qeustion precisely.

No he did not

>
> > > I'd say you don't care about "HF regs" at all. As for "why",
> > > you're right...neither I or anyone else can answer that. Only you can.
> > >
> > why should I care at this point?
> >
> Absolutely. And who here do you think CARES if you care...?!?!

You carely do troll, or youd not keep going and on about it

>
> > indeed you can (assuming you are not lying again) answer why you think
> > I should care about detail of HF regs
> >
> You obviously don't care enough to do a few minutes research on
> your own.

I did such resaerch.

Now you want to decide HOW a my do my reasreach
'
>
> > > So why DON'T you care about "HF regs", and why should "we" care
> > > that you don't..?!?!
> > >
> > Simple and obvious, as long as code testing coninues I can't legaly use
> > ham HF spectrum, therefore I don't care about
> > the details.
> >
> You're lying again.

Wrong
>
> You speicifically ASKED for details on regulatory matters
> pertainig to an Amateur HF allocation.

Yes So I don't care about the details now you are saying I do? make up
your mind...ah no wait that is my mistake assuming you have one to make
up

>
> > I can't tell you why care to point and go and on about something I
> > admit to
> >
> And I can't tell you what you just said, but I CAN tell you it was
> incomprehensible as a coherent sentence.

then of course you are an idiot, although it hard to be esp oherent
about trying to sort through your claims and counterclaims

>
> Steve, K4YZ

532. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:31:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120570296.691173.42820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111838

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > But again why should I care about HF regs
> >

if you are going take take a staement out of context well I can't stop
you
>
> You asked about HF regs when you inquired about the 60M band.

again context
>
> If you ask, I assume you cared, so I answered the question in straightforward
> language directly from the applicable FCC regulation.

J8f9lk5

Is no more straight forward than your answer
>
> If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about HF
> regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.

Please hold to that. or try answering the question asked which was a
simple yes/no question instaed of some other question

>
> >>PLONK<<
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

533. Re: Time to PYMWYMI "John" - was Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:41:55 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dae2n3$12bm$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111839

John Smith wrote:

> Mike:
>
> You are pretending you could build one, trust me, I know...

Or vice versa.

This is your chance to prove me wrong.

Gotta be large, legal, and live. 7 fps is considered the least number
that will give you something that is considered "movement".

Or are you trying to tell me that the thing will only be able to do stills?

- Mike KB3EIA -

534. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:46:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Qawye.1345$BK1.317@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111840

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >) Look at all the embarrassment you could have saved yourself if you
> >)had just put "Part 97" into the search engine and read it for
> > yourself.
> >
> > What embarrsihment are you refering to?
>
> Nobody refer(r)ed to any embarrsihment.

> I did, Hans.

> The embarrasment of ......

Oh, OK. I'm so embarrsi'd that I didn't know "embarrsihment" was the same as "embarrassment".

73, de Hans, K0HB

535. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:48:34 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <stqscp7qg40a67d.050720050648@kirk>
Source record: 111841
Cross-posted to: alt.sailing.asa,alt.top-posting.fuckwits,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham group

i am sure those in your community would thank you for the 'services'
you perform

"Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid> wrote
in message news:dadcshivo1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
> Bill O'Riely wrote:
> : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
>
> gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
>
> :
> : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> : news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
> ::
> :: b.b. wrote:
> ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
> :::: insane
> :::: person, today has been one of those days.
> ::::
> :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it
> is
> :::: enough others can actually tell.
> ::::
> :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
> ::::
> :::: Michael A. Terrell
> :::: Central Florida
> :::
> ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
>
> --
> Steve Leyland
> mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
> flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
> em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
> Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
> http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
>
> =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
>

> That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will exact
> it's
> revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I don't
> blame them a bit...
> ==
> "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They are
> the
> lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried unsuccessfully
> in
> the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
> completely
> ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
> response
> is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
>
> JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
> ==
> "Warning to all:
> Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile the
> muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his past
> UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned. *plonk*"
>
> Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
> ==
> "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless, worthless
> post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
> Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating worthless,
> dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
> flaccid,
> obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
> hunch-backed,
> flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling, buck-toothed,
> physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive, mud-packing,
> masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
> egomaniacal
> POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable binary-poster,
> and
> for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
> slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
>
> Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
> ==
> "I went to the Garden of Love,
> And saw what I never had seen;
> A Chapel was built in the midst,
> Where I used to play on the green.
>
> And the gates of this Chapel were shut
> And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
> So I turned to the Garden of Love

> That so many sweet flowers bore.
>
> And I saw it was filled with graves,
> And tombstones where flowers should be;
> And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
> And binding with briars my joys and desires."
>
> William Blake.
> ==
> "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a tribe
> of
> people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith in
> deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be known
> as
> Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
>
> Native American prophecy
>
>
> |\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
> /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
> |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
> '-~~;'@ (; ;
> _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
> (,_..----''' (,..--''
>
> Meow
>
>
>

536. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 13:55:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Sjwye.14590$lp6.12219554@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111845

cmd buzz off:

Occasionally there are good reasons for a nice name call, such as in
your case...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:I9OdnTrR14JwuVffRVn-sQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> Keep a stiff upper lip man, only poor breeding reduces one to name
>> calling and personal attacks--they seek to include you among their
>> ill-bred lot.
>
> Says one who engages in name calling.

537. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 14:01:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

why should he

LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham group
>
> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the 'services'
> you perform

Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto

>
> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid> wrote
> in message news:dadcshivo1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
> >
> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
> >
> > :
> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > : news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
> > ::
> > :: b.b. wrote:
> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
> > :::: insane
> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
> > ::::
> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it
> > is
> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
> > ::::
> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
> > ::::
> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
> > :::: Central Florida
> > :::
> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
> >
> > --
> > Steve Leyland
> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9

> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
> >
> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
> >
> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will exact
> > it's
> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I don't
> > blame them a bit...
> > ==
> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They are
> > the
> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried unsuccessfully
> > in
> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
> > completely
> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
> > response
> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
> >
> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
> > ==
> > "Warning to all:
> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile the
> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his past
> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned. *plonk*"
> >
> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
> > ==
> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless, worthless
> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating worthless,
> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
> > flaccid,
> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
> > hunch-backed,
> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling, buck-toothed,
> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive, mud-packing,
> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
> > egomaniacal
> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable binary-poster,
> > and
> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
> >
> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
> > ==
> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
> > And saw what I never had seen;

> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
> > Where I used to play on the green.
> >
> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
> >
> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
> >
> > William Blake.
> > ==
> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a tribe
> > of
> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith in
> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be known
> > as
> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
> >
> > Native American prophecy
> >
> >
> > |\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
> >
> > Meow
> >
> >
> >

538. Re: Time to PYMWYMI "John" - was Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 14:03:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2rwye.14592$OK2.12618861@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111846

Mike:

I just did better than that, I have given you enough rope until you have gone on and proved yourself an "argumentive nut."

Do a google, somewhere out there others have duplicated the work, I am sure, it just isn't that easy to come up with something all that original. Someone else has done it and probably created a webpage about it. I came here for personal pleasure, and you are NOT my idea of it...

I will let others now tell you why this can be done easily, I have run out of patience with you.

Besides that, I have seen your type before, after someone practically builds one and sticks it in your hand, you turn around to the world and claim you "invented" it, I see you coming... ROFLOL

You are a broken record of "it's impossible!" Suck it up man, it ain't!

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:dae2n3$12bm$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Mike:
>>
>> You are pretending you could build one, trust me, I know...
>
> Or vice versa.
>
> This is your chance to prove me wrong.
>
> Gotta be large, legal, and live. 7 fps is considered the least
> number that will give you something that is considered "movement".
>
> Or are you trying to tell me that the thing will only be able to do
> stills?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

539. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 14:06:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Atwye.14593$0C2.12599387@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111844

troll?

Oh yeah. The definition of a troll here is someone who fails to agree
with a bunch of ARRL monks chanting ancient doctrine... blah!

John

"Lloyd 2" <NotRoger@Glendale> wrote in message
news:dadhm1$7ou$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Troll.....
>
>

540. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 14:11:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uywye.14595$HQ.12538970@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111847

Now what fool would build a radio like that? Manufacturers are out to make a buck, else they eventually disappear... if they spend their money attempting to champion "control freak hams" they can't be competitive in the market!

Besides, what ham worth his 2+KW rf signal doesn't have a full coverage communications transceiver with all the xmit blocked freqs removed and the radio "opened up?" Yet is quick to point a finger over at a CB'er...

And, unless you pot the whole damn thing in epoxy, what would ever make it "locked up tight?"

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:9yuye.2393$vT3.1905@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Vtoye.14580$hE2.9369713@news.sisna.com...
>> Mike:
>>
>> Wealthy man, setting the ten hams up in existence with webcams on
>> their rigs and letting them claim they invented the internet?
>>
>> (Hey, who really did invent the internet, Al Gore or hams--frankly
>> I
>> believe Al Gore more!)
>>
>> Krist, equipment manufacturers are already abandoning hams, your
>> next
>> transceiver will be made by "Cobra", they can supply you with ham
>> rigs--they are already manufacturing CB rigs!
>>
>> Just consider it "radio welfare" for hams. Your "entitlement."
>> <grin>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> I'm getting a vision here--imagine if Cobra manufactured a great ham
> rig;
> all except for the fact that it was NOT easily modifiable...locked
> up
> tight... :o
>

> Kim W5TIT
>
>

541. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 14:15:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120572935.883664.191840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111843

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > You need help. Someone needs to get you to it since you won't go
> > > > on your own.
> > > >
> > > > You are lying again but which is the lie Stevie...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > No, I'm not lying.
> >
> > yes you are

Nope.

> > You need help.
> >
> > I do need help, in avoiding stalkers like you

I'm not a stalker.

But you ARE a liar.

> > You're a chronic liar and have some very serious personal issues
> > that need professional resolution.
> >
> > I do lie when it suits me, never denied that, that I choose as is my
> > right to maintaina bit secracy about me is not proof of mental illness

There nothing "secrat" about you, Mark.

You're a known functioanlly illiterate pathological liar who's now
admitted to being the liar I claimed he was...

...and it's not even my birthday!

> > > (UNSNIP)...that you carry on this
> > > becuase you are a sadist or becuase youcareabout or is it simpleir than
> > > that are both a lie
> > >
> > > What?
> >
> > another distortion but cutting into my words
> > oh Hum Stevie is back

"What?" is a distortion and "cutting into (your) words"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAH
A
! ! ! ! !

> > > > > All that you need prehaps. since you need none at all I am sure you
> > > > > have that
> > > > > but you don't back it up for anyone else.
> > > >
> > > > Sure I do.
> > >
> > > As I suspect you have all you need, which may wel be none at all
> > > The rest of us have higher standards
> >
> > That you even remotely suggest that you have "higher standards" is
> > one of your more laughable and absolutely ludicrous assertions, Mark.
>
> You are entitled your sense of humor

There's absolutely NOTHING funny about seeing an adult act the
way you do in public, Mark...Kinda sickening, actually.

> > Just wading through the abortion of writing that you dare call
> > English proves it.
>
> MY english has nothing to with it

Sure it does. To call what you write "English" might be enough to
get the real English to start a war over it....

> That you think it serves as proof of your standards of prrove is
> eveidence of just how low those standards are.
>
> (now wating foryour pretend you didn't understand

And just "wat" are you wating for me to prrove"...?!?!

You manage to get sentences out there, Mark, no doubt...However
it's not without a lot of work and imagination.

I've taken care of 5 year olds with better sentence construction
than you.

> > You refuse to do those things that would demonstrate that you are
> > doing those things necessary to improve and advance yourself.
>
> You know this HOW?
>
> you are either
>
> ONE stlaking more closing than I am aware of
>
> or
>
> TWO lieing in claiming you know much about what I do

I don't have to go ANY FARTHER than the chair I am presently
reclining in to see EXACTLY what I claimed in the above is true,
Mark...You're doing NOTHING to improve yourself.

You refuse to use $10 worth of technology to clean up the "dreck"
you insist is "coherent English" here, and you act like a five year
old.

> > Your "standards" are lies and deceit wrapped around ignorance and
> > functional illiteracy.
>
> Dysgarphia, you lying Sack of ####

Nope.

You refuse to fix it. You've been told that your "writing" is
crap and that half of what you write is unintelligigble.

The technology exists to fix it. You refuse.

> > > > Brain insisted that I was never in MARS and know nothing about it.
> > > > I made one error as it pertainted to Chief MARS, and he now claims my
> > > > lack of knowledge on MARS is "vast".
> > >
> > > You admit to one error from experence I'd there exist at least 10
> > > errors for every one you admit to
> >
> > Then you're certainly at liberty to provide evidence of that
> > claim, Mark...
>
> $ such have made in getting to this point in this post

Huh? What? Made what getting where?

> > You and he claim I don't give specifics.
>
> Lie I claim you did not give proof, Brain's claims are his own affair

I did give proof. Information that any idiot could follow to
logical conclusion.

If I get arrested and the cops say "Where were you at 5PM and I
say "Joe's Bar", I don't have to produce a receipt...Just third parties
who can corroborate that I was indeed there.

Brain was given MORE than adequate information to answer his
questions.

He was just embarrssed to get the answers becasue he knew that I
wouldn't give him them knowing that the person on the other end of the
line would tell him what I've been saying all along.

> so above are 2 lies.
>
> One that I claim you did not give specifics

I did give specifics, so you are the liar.

> Two that Brain and I ask for the same thing

I bet you and Brain DO ask for the same thing. Just not in
public.

> > There's proof in this very forum that that assertion is a lie.
>
> which assertion? the one you made up

I've not "made up" any assertion.

> > > > Then he said, "Oh, I need "DOCUMENTATION".
> > >
> > > And you did not provide it
> >
> > Sure I did.
>
> where?

When I gave him all the specifics pertaining to his questions.
All he had to do was copy them off and "make the calls".

He didn't do it. He's a coward. Just like you.

> > > > Whelp, he's got far more information to verify MY claims than any
> > > > he's ever provided for any claim he's ever made.
> > > >
> > >
> > > You the lazy bum you are want him to do the work of proving YOUR Claim
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you do see below a bit
>
> >
> > He wanted specifics. I gave them.
>
> Now just he wanted them can't you keep your stories straight

There's no "story to keep straight", Mark.

The information's the same no matter what.

> > > > As far as I am concerned, the information's been delivered.
> > >
> > > I am sure you think so, don't make it so
> >
> > The fact that I did it DOES make it so.
>
> If you had whcih I see no evidence of as i said I am sure you think so,
> don't make it so.

Sure it makes it so. It's in this forum's archives.

> You claim to think I am marring first Todd then brain don't make it so
> either

It wouldn't make it so, but it wouldn't be a surprise, either.

> > The fact that you and Brain try to make it appear otherwise is
> > laughable.
>
> You make it apear otherwise
>
> as to laughable you are entitled your sense of humor
>
> > > > > You don't use our own word
> > > > > you make stuff up, or you distort the context, or at best you start
> > > > > assume, and if you were ever a maraine you know what ASSuME means
> > > >
> > > > Nothing's made up, Mark.
> > >
> > > You have made lots of stuff...(SNIP)
> >
> > Such as...?!?!
>
> Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...
>
> your words not mine

But you two ARE "in bed" together...We've seen evidence of it in
this forum.

So why would it be such a stretch to see it eally happen?

> > > (UNSNIP)...Not in position to say what you made up vs
> > > what you distorted beyond all reason w.r.t. Brain Idon't eneough about
> > > him
> >
> > Huh?
>
> OF course it make no sense if you snip it out of context
>
> another of your distrotions

Snip what out of context? It was trash in the first place.

And it's "d i s t o r t i o n s", Mark. If you're going to allege
a distortion, at least spell THAT right, OK...?!?!

> > > > Even YOU have the resources to verify anything I've ever said in
> > > > this forum except that I won't discuss my combat service in the Corps.
> > > > If Lennie and Brain want to subsequently say "it didn't happen", well,
> > > > they can have fun doing it but they'd still be wrong.
> > >
> > > A simple lie I don't have those resourses...(SNIP)
> >
> > It's no lie.

>
> it is a lie

Do you have a computer tied to the Internet, Mark?

Does it have a search engine?

Then you have the resources I said you have.

> > You have the resources.
>
> nope

Yep.

> principle lack is of course the time to spend chasing your BS

It's not BS.

It's facts.

Now...let's get down to what you REALLY don't have...that's
INITIATIVE.

In THAT regard I could agree with you.

> > What you DON'T ahve is either the guts to use them OR you really
> > are as ignorant as I have supposed and don't realize that you have
> > them.
>
> One your spelling is slipping

Let's see...A 1 in 500 transpostion of letters as opposed to your
EVERY SENTENCE errors...

> Two, It sin't...(SNIP)

See what I mean...

> (UNSNIP)... a matter of guts, it is a matter of caring. I don't if
> served at all. I'd rather beleive you did not, but I suspect you have,
> you show enough signs of service brain washing something my own mind
> never took to, thank the gods, that it is likely true

WTF did you just say...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!

> Three you seem to want people to beleive YOUR claims so you need to
> carry the freight

"Carry the freight"...??!?! Why? I am an ER Nurse, not a FedEx
delivery guy.

As for any information I have, well, I answered the questions
asked.

Now...if BRAIN would just answer the ones that have been put to
him for YEARS.....

> > > (UNSNIP)...not without digging for them
> > > at best, and to say I might be able to dig out of yoour lies and
> > > obfucations the data is being generous in assuimng that your aren't
> > > lying win saying I can.
> >
> > There's nothing for ME to "dig out of", Mark.
>
> If there is nothing to dig out then I lack the resources to verfy your
> claims

You obviously have a computer tied to the Internet, blockhead.

> > I've not lied about anything.
>
> you sure have

Nope.

> again
>
> Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...
>
> your words and another lie

There's a difference between sarcasm and lying.

Again, you can't seperate the two, which makes you even more
idiotic appearing.

> > And you're right about ONE thing...ME granting that YOU can "do"
> > something >>IS<< being generous.
>
> another lie and an easy one. You know I can do something therefore it
> is not generous of you to admit that

Allow me to correct myself then...

It's generous for me to grant that you can do something CORRECTLY.

> > > But I digress it is not MY job to prove YOUR Claims. It is not Brain or
> > > Lennies Job either. They are YOUR claims YOU back em up
> >
> > I've already given the dates, times, places, callsigns, etc.
>
> Not that I have found

That's because you're an idiot, Mark.

Even Brain has admitted that the data was put here before him.

He just refuses to accept it.

That doesn't make it any less factual. It just makes him that
much more cowardly to verify it.

> so
>
> But (again) I digress it is not MY job to prove YOUR Claims. It is not
> Brain or Lennies Job either. They are YOUR claims YOU back em up

I did.

I gave specific dates, times, locations, callsigns, etc.

> > > As your Claims of comabt if memory serves (and it may not) you claims
> > > to have served under a General with REALLY big ego problems (to go with
> > > a his military skills) MacArther when the Chinesse entered that war,
> > > not that I neccesaly believe it that but as I recal that was one of
> > > your claims
> > >
> > I have been in combat. I don't discuss it here.
>
> maybe maybe not

No maybe not.

> > And EVERY man and woman in uniform serves under a general in their
> > military career from the day they get off the bus at boot camp. (Gee
> > "Colonel", you didn't KNOW that...?!?!
>
> of course I know which of course has nothing to do with I said, but if
> you red I mentioned that I seem to recall you claiming to serve a
> particular General

I ahv served many "particular General(s)" in almost 20 years...

> > No ego. Fact.
>
> Doug Mac Arthur had enough ego for 10 generals, as well as, being quite
> a soldier

And having been deceased before I was ever in uniform, never in my
chain of command, not that an Army officer, save for the CJCS, would
have been.

> > > > > Now I will never try to stop you from making an ### of yourself, that
> > > > > is your right, but you don't have the right to make others make the
> > > > > trip with you
> > > >
> > > > Coming from the "Drafted At Age Eight And They Won't Let Me Resign
> > > > Colonel In The Chemical Corps..."...?!?!?!
> > >
> > > Never said any such thing, the above is your distortion of what I have
> > > said, it is your straw man, you made up claim. as result BTW your
> > > presuming that YOU can dictate how people use the english language
> >

> > It's absolutely NOT a "distortion".
>
> Yes it is a distortion

No, It's not.

YOU said you were drafted.

According to the age that was once on your QRZ listing, you would
have only been 8 or 9 when the draft ended.

> > You claimed you were drafted.
>
> yes indeed, but I was using the words in a different sense than you

Then you were being deceitful.

There's only one "sense" when applied to military service, and
"drafted" means the non-volunteer conscripting into military service.

> > You claimed you were a field grade officer.
> >
> > You then claimed you were a company grade officer.
>
> yep you got those 2 right

I got is ALL right.

You lied. You've BEEN lying.

> > You followed that up by claiming that your "comandig oficer"
> > refused to release you from active duty becasue your MOS was so
> > "critcal"
>
> Never said anything about my "comandig oficer" never had one

Then you've lied again.

There's one and ONLY one military person in the United States who
has no "commanding officer"...that's the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs
of Staff..That's becasue he only answers to the President of the United
States.

> And never said anything about refusing to discharge me form ACTIVE duty
> at all
> you just assumed it

No.

You said it.

> > I did some digging and found...
> >
> > ...nothing. You never served a day in the Armed Forces of the
> > United States as either Enlisted or Officer, Active, Reserve or Guard.

>
> You found this with my precise name Social secruity numberor address.
> my my

I didn't need it.

Going back to 1935, there was only three "Mark C Morgan" who had
served as of my research on you (1997). All were born in the 1930's or
40's.

> You even claimed that there was Nobody name Mark Morgan ever in the
> Army

No, I didn't.

I claimed there was no Mark C Morgan who could have been you. Not
at your presently claimed age, anyway...

> > When I bust THAT bubble you tried the "I was using a fake name"
> > routine.
>
> not exactly but that is closer than you normaly get

It's right on the money, as is just about everything I get with
you, getting as close as your abuse of the language will let me get.

> > Let's just face it...
> >
> > Mrs Mark C Morgan is married to a chronic liar.
>
> yes my partner is, my partnar knows that, and my partner is gald I lie
> well enough that a jerk like can't find me

I know where you are, Markie...Close enough to find you within an
hour if I ever found myself in Michigan and looking for a good laugh.

> > Huge snip of Markie trash.....................
> >
> > > > Guess you ought to look at QRZ and see how my name's spelled,
> > > > Mark. Of course I know accuracy and truth are not your strong suits.
> > >
> > > I simply have trusted that you were not lying about the spelling of
> > > your name, and what is on your FCC and your real name are not going to
> > > be revailed by a QRZ search
> >
> > No, Mark...YOU are the "this is a fake name" specialist. (Brain
> > and Lennie are close seconds with numerous screen names or
> > nomme-de-guerre's...)
>
> You make an issue of Changing ISP's Stevie. Why? is't shoping around
> for a better deal a good thing

There's a difference behind "shopping around" and misrepresenting
your identity.

> > Stop lying. You're not any good at it.
>
> Never, and I do well enough that you haven't even found to thread to
> pul that leads to me

What? Huh?

Steve, K4YZ

542. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 15:08:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120576109.388859.214920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111848

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > gee a few mintutes ago you deniing that anyone posted my address
> > >
> > > I didn't see Dave's post, however he didn't recite anything that
> > > couldn't be found by putting "KB9RQZ" into any search engine.
> >
> > I said otherwise?
> > >
> > > > > Now citing public records is "stalking"...! ! ! ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > sure is when done for no lawfull purpose
> > >
> > > What's UNlawful about citing a public record...?!?!
> >
> > Harassment is never lawful

"Harrassment"..???

Citing public records is harrassment?

Big snip.

> > Do you even KNOW the defintion of "stalking"..?!?!
> >
> > yes I do

Obviously another one of your admitted lies, Mark, because the
evidence shows otherwise.

More snip.

> > Mark, here's a couple of newsgroup publishing tips:
> > >
> > > (1) Don't lie. This stuff's all archived and your assertions
> > > about who-did-what-to-whom is all in there.
> >
> > why don't you follow that adivice yourself

Because there's nothing I've stated here that I can't deal with or
don't want known. So I HAVE taken that "adivice", Mark.

> > > (2) Don't admit to or suggest an idea of personal preference in a
> > > public forum if you don't want it to be a matter of public record.
> >
> > Indeed your point?

My point is that you're stupid.

Simple enough?

> > You have admitted to lying about being a commissioned officer in
> > the Armed Forces. You have insisted that you were "drafted" when it
>
> Nope

Yep.

> > was clearly impossible to have been so...You admit to having chosen an
> > "alternative lifestyle" and participating in a non-mainstream religious
> > sect.
>
> And none of these entitled your legaly or moroly since you claim to
> christian to engage in stalking or harassment

There you go again with insisting that I have asserted a religious
preference.

I haven't

> > Then you claim others are "stalking" you when they recite what YOU
> > have said.
>
> when done with the clear prupsoe to harrass it is stalker abd
> harassment

And again you demonstrate a complete ignroance of what a "stalker"
is.

> > That's not stalking.
>
> yes it is

Nope.

> > > How you could do it is because i had always assumed that people were
> > > biiger than you have shown you are
> >
> > If you have personal information that you don't want made public,
> > don't discuss it in public!
>
> Stevie I don't mind it being known.

Obviously you do since this it the fourth lengthy rebuttal in as
many different threads that you've made in the last 12 hours.

> what I would like is not to deal with sex in Ham Radio.

If you and a consenting partner can climb into that little box,
have at it.

> it isn't relavant. and making your every post
> about it is stalking and sexual harassment

Then why'd you being your sexual preferences into RRAP in the
first place?

> > It really is THAT simple!
>
> Why does your trollish mind insist on discusiing nothing but sex?

It's not about sex.

It's about you making a fool out of yourself in a public forum.

> > > My Mistake I agree
> >
> > I agree that your mistakes are plentiful and hillarious.
>
> and you lie By way of distroing the context again

"...distroing" WHAT "context"..?!?!

> > I can "say" the moon is red and that the Earth is flat...it won't
> > stop anyone else from knowing better.
>
> Bull##### like most of your dreck

Really?

So if I say the moon is red and the Earth is flat, that't is, huh?
End of story?

> > Who then has tied you down, gagged you, and dared you to
> > speak...?!?!
>
> No such claim another Stevie lie

It's not a claim. Mark. It was a question. YOU said someone is
preventing you from keeping you from speaking. Obviously no one in
THIS forum is since you spew liberally...

And I might point out liberally in several NG's until I cut them
from the address bar.

> > Wait...that sounds like something you might LIKE...
>
> Not into rape Stevie, and more of sexual harassment

But YOU are claiming someone is keeping you from "speaking".

Obviously they could only do that with force since you are
"speaking" liberally herein.

So who's keeping you from "speaking", Mark?

> > Never mind...I don't want to know...
>
> then why type it out

Why not?

> > > > What we have ASKED you to do over and over and over is GET A
> > > > SPELLCHECKER!
> > >
> > > you don't ask for a spellchecker by calling someone gay and sying gays
> > > have no rights it doesn't work that way
> >
> > No...
> >
> > I ask you to use a spellchecker by saying "GET A SPELLCHECKER!"
>
> then why are you talking about above about having sex with men

The question is why are YOU talking about having sex with men.

> > > > You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> > > > nothing "alleged" about it.
> > >
> > > Stevie that doesn't make me gay.
> >
> > Sure it does.
>
> nope nor is it asking me to use a spellchecker

Two seperate issues.

You are gay and you don't use a spellchecker.

> > > It might make me gay or it might make me bi
> >
> > It DOES make you gay.
>
> nope Nursie goo check with a Doctor or a refference book

You are male and have sex with men.

Gay.

> > > or might mean I have been the victum of rape
> >
> > No one is "gay" because they were raped. It means they were
> > raped. Period.
>
> Indeed but you claim everyone who has had sex with aman is gay, I point
> our another possiblity

"Gay" is a lifestyle choice.

Rape is an act of violence

> > > or it might mean i was just experimenting
> >
> > Trying to find a partner that wouldn't laugh you out of the
> > bedroom...?!?!
>
> Your point

My point is I've seen your face.

> > > in my case answer b is correct
> > >
> > > I don't recall admiting to it, I simple have never diened it
> >
> > You have "admitted" to it. No denial is necessary, unless you are
> > now saying that you were lyining about it before...?!?!
>
> Not realy that I recall

Then your recollection is faulty.

> BTW even being Gay or Bi doesn't mean you have have had sex with a man.

But YOU have admitted it.

> You assume a great deal

I don't have to "assume" anything when YOU volunteer answers,
Mark.

> > > > I'm not "bashing" you...I am making fun of you becasue you make it
> > > > so easy to do.
> > >
> > > Making fun of me becuase i am Bi is bashing
> >
> > I am not making fun of you because you are gay...I make fun of you
> > because you're such an idiot...
>
> then if true why do you bring up sexuality?

I didn't. You did. Years ago. I just enjoy rubbing your nose in
it.

> It is YOUR doing

Nope.

Yours.

If you don't want to discuss such things, just don't discuss them.
Don't bring them up in a public forum...

And lastly...

Don't utter such obvious lies and mistruths that mandate
re-demonstrating your past performances with "truth", Mark...Or your
predeliction for telling tall tales...COLONEL...

> > > > > Stevie has started half a dozen threads making a point of my aledgely
> > > > > being gay
> > > >
> > > > You have sex with men. You've admitted it before. There's
> > > > nothing "alleged" about it.
> > >

> > > and this has what to do with Ham radio?
> >
> > Nothing. Which beings me to ask why you ever brought it to RRAP
> > in the first place.
>
> Lying sack of #### you did

Nope.

I never would have had a clue had you not brought it in first.

You flatter yourself if you think you were (are?) worth the effort
to "research"...

> > > > > it isn't and that is my choice I happen to think basic civil rights is
> > > > > even more important
> > > >
> > > > "...it isn't..." what?
> > >
> > > after you hack away at something I can't put it back
> >
> > Hack away at what?
>
> when you cut away the context

What context?

No one's denied you your civil rights.

The fact that we are engaged in this conversation is proof of
that.

> > Put what back?
>
> I don't know what you cut away you did it

BBWWWWSHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT YOU'RE WHINING ABOUT...?!?!?

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > > > >
> > > > > Nobody seem to answer that
> > > >
> > > > You obviously don't.
> > > >

> > > > You asked a question about the 60 meter allocations, and when
> > > > Hans, K0HB gave you the precise information, you essentially slapped
> > > > him in the face for the effort.
> > >
> > > No he didn't answer my question
> >
> > You're lying.
>
> No lie here

Hans answered your question precisely.

> > He answered your question precisely.
>
> No he did not

Regardless of how many times you insist that he didn't, Mark, the
TRUTH is that he did.

Perhaps if he'd done this:

(Written in crayons of various colours): "Markie no taptap on
5Mhz...Only talktalk"....

Would that have been more to your understanding or educational
level?

> > > > I'd say you don't care about "HF regs" at all. As for "why",
> > > > you're right...neither I or anyone else can answer that. Only you can.
> > >
> > > why should I care at this point?
> >
> > Absolutely. And who here do you think CARES if you care...?!?!
>
> You carely do troll, or youd not keep going and on about it

You're the one making an issue of what you care or don't care
about, Mark.

I just remark on Mark.

> > > indeed you can (assuming you are not lying again) answer why you think
> > > I should care about detail of HF regs
> >
> > You obviously don't care enough to do a few minutes research on
> > your own.
>
> I did such resaerch.

Obviously not or you wouldn't have had to ask here, nor would you
have "dissed" Hans the way you did.

> Now you want to decide HOW a my do my reasreach

Nope. I just want you to do some.

> > > > So why DON'T you care about "HF regs", and why should "we" care
> > > > that you don't..?!?!
> > >
> > > Simple and obvious, as long as code testing coninues I can't legaly use
> > > ham HF spectrum, therefore I don't care about
> > > the details.
> >
> > You're lying again.
>
> Wrong

Not wrong, or you wouldn't be so miffed about not being able to
get there.

> > You speicifically ASKED for details on regulatory matters
> > pertainig to an Amateur HF allocation.
>
> Yes So I don't care about the details now you are saying I do? make up
> your mind...ah no wait that is my mistake assuming you have one to make
> up

You're the one with the mind to make up, Mark.

You ask about authorised modes for a band you can't legally
operate on, but then you wench and whine about being able to operate
there...

So which way is it...You care or you don't?

> > > I can't tell you why care to point and go and on about something I
> > > admit to
> >
> > And I can't tell you what you just said, but I CAN tell you it was
> > incomprehensible as a coherent sentence.
>
> then of course you are an idiot, although it hard to be esp oherent
> about trying to sort through your claims and counterclaims

BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

"...it hard to be esp oherent..."

Sheesh!

Steve, K4YZ

543. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 15:15:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120576547.088424.15110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111950

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > >
> > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > > >
>
> if you are going take take a staement out of context well I can't stop
> you

He didn't take anything "out of context".

You asked a specific question, he gave a specific and technically
accurate answer.

> > You asked about HF regs when you inquired about the 60M band.
>
> again context

So...Telling you what modes are authorized on the 60M band in
response to your question about modes was "out of context"...?!?!?

> > If you ask, I assume you cared, so I answered the question in straightforward
> > language directly from the applicable FCC regulation.
>
> J8f9lk5
>
> Is no more straight forward than your answer

Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.

He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.

> > If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about HF
> > regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.
>
> Please hold to that. or try answering the question asked which was a
> simple yes/no question instaed of some other question

Yes, Hans...Heaven forbid we confuse this idiot with facts!

Mark's a "Technician" who can't handle "technical" answers.

Steve, K4YZ

544. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 15:17:26 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <3s8gu5080ay4gnw.050720050817@kirk>
Source record: 111849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
you are real anxious to make a public display of yourself

go back under your rock

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> why should he
>
> LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham group
>>
>> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
>> 'services'
>> you perform
>
> Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
>
>>
>> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
>> wrote
>> in message news:dadcsh$ivo$1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
>> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
>> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
>> >
>> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
>> >
>> > :
>> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > : news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
>> > ::
>> > :: b.b. wrote:
>> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
>> > :::: insane
>> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
>> > ::::
>> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes
>> > it
>> > is
>> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
>> > ::::
>> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
>> > ::::
```

```
>> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
>> > :::: Central Florida
>> > :::
>> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
>> >
>> > --
>> > Steve Leyland
>> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
>> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
>> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
>> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
>> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
>> >
>> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
>> >
>> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will
>> > exact
>> > it's
>> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I
>> > don't
>> > blame them a bit...
>> > ========================================================================
>> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They
>> > are
>> > the
>> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
>> > unsuccessfully
>> > in
>> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
>> > completely
>> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
>> > response
>> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
>> >
>> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
>> > ========================================================================
>> > "Warning to all:
>> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile
>> > the
>> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his past
>> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned.
>> > *plonk*"
>> >
>> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
>> > ========================================================================
>> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless,
>> > worthless
>> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
>> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
>> > worthless,
```

>> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
>> > flaccid,
>> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
>> > hunch-backed,
>> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
>> > buck-toothed,
>> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
>> > mud-packing,
>> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
>> > egomaniacal
>> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable binary-poster,
>> > and
>> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
>> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
>> >
>> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
>> > ==
>> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
>> > And saw what I never had seen;
>> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
>> > Where I used to play on the green.
>> >
>> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
>> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
>> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
>> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
>> >
>> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
>> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
>> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
>> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
>> >
>> > William Blake.
>> > ==
>> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a
>> > tribe
>> > of
>> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith
>> > in
>> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be
>> > known
>> > as
>> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
>> >
>> > Native American prophecy
>> >
>> >
>> > |\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
>> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
>> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'

```
>> > '-~~;'@ ( ; ;
>> > _.--''  _.-_..' .;.'
>> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
>> >
>> > Meow
>> >
>> >
>> >
>
>
```

545. Re: Time to PYMWYMI "John" - was Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 15:54:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <daeaf9$18tg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111951

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> I just did better than that, I have given you enough rope until you
> have gone on and proved yourself an "argumentive nut."
>
> Do a google, somewhere out there others have duplicated the work, I am
> sure, it just isn't that easy to come up with something all that
> original. Someone else has done it and probably created a webpage
> about it. I came here for personal pleasure, and you are NOT my idea
> of it...
>
> I will let others now tell you why this can be done easily, I have run
> out of patience with you.
>
> Besides that, I have seen your type before, after someone practically
> builds one and sticks it in your hand, you turn around to the world
> and claim you "invented" it, I see you coming... ROFLOL
>
> You are a broken record of "it's impossible!" Suck it up man, it
> ain't!

Yup, that is the response I expected.

- Mike KB3EIA -

546. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 16:16:07 UTC
From: John Smith <JS@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1120580206.3c5272230b491ff984169094f9f68762@teranews>
Source record: 111952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.top-posting.fuckwits

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> why should he
>
Because real men don't suck dick, freak.
>
> LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham group
>>
>> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the 'services'
>> you perform
>
> Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
>
>>
>> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid> wrote
>> in message news:dadcsh$ivo$1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
>> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
>> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
>> >
>> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
>> >
>> > :
>> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > : news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
>> > ::
>> > :: b.b. wrote:
>> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
>> > :::: insane
>> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
>> > ::::
>> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it
>> > is
>> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
>> > ::::
>> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
>> > ::::
>> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
>> > :::: Central Florida
>> > :::
>> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
```

>> >
>> > --
>> > Steve Leyland
>> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
>> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
>> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
>> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
>> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
>> >
>> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
>> >
>> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will exact
>> > it's
>> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I don't
>> > blame them a bit...
>> > ==
>> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They are
>> > the
>> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried unsuccessfully
>> > in
>> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
>> > completely
>> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
>> > response
>> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
>> >
>> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
>> > ==
>> > "Warning to all:
>> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile the
>> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his past
>> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned. *plonk*"
>> >
>> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
>> > ==
>> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless, worthless
>> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
>> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating worthless,
>> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
>> > flaccid,
>> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
>> > hunch-backed,
>> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling, buck-toothed,
>> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive, mud-packing,
>> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
>> > egomaniacal
>> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable binary-poster,
>> > and
>> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
>> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."

>> >
>> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
>> > ==
>> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
>> > And saw what I never had seen;
>> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
>> > Where I used to play on the green.
>> >
>> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
>> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
>> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
>> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
>> >
>> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
>> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
>> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
>> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
>> >
>> > William Blake.
>> > ==
>> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a tribe
>> > of
>> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith in
>> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be known
>> > as
>> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
>> >
>> > Native American prophecy
>> >
>> >
>> > |\ _.-'~~"""'~``'~)
>> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
>> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
>> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
>> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
>> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
>> >
>> > Meow
>> >
>> >
>> >
>

547. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 16:19:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gqyye.14601$Gt6.12851307@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.top-posting.fuckwits

```
John Smith II:

No, no they don't, real men absolutely do NOT do that.

John

"John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1120580206.3c5272230b491ff984169094f9f68762@teranews...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> why should he
>>
> Because real men don't suck dick, freak.
>>
>> LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>>> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham group
>>>
>>> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
>>> 'services'
>>> you perform
>>
>> Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
>>
>>>
>>> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
>>> wrote
>>> in message news:dadcsh$ivo$1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
>>> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
>>> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
>>> >
>>> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
>>> >
>>> > :
>>> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> > : news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
>>> > ::
>>> > :: b.b. wrote:
>>> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>>> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like
>>> > an
>>> > :::: insane
>>> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
```

>>> > ::::
>>> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes
>>> > it
>>> > is
>>> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
>>> > ::::
>>> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
>>> > ::::
>>> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
>>> > :::: Central Florida
>>> > :::
>>> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
>>> >
>>> > --
>>> > Steve Leyland
>>> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
>>> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
>>> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
>>> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
>>> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
>>> >
>>> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
>>> >
>>> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will
>>> > exact
>>> > it's
>>> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I
>>> > don't
>>> > blame them a bit...
>>> > ==
>>> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They
>>> > are
>>> > the
>>> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
>>> > unsuccessfully
>>> > in
>>> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
>>> > completely
>>> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
>>> > response
>>> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
>>> >
>>> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
>>> > ==
>>> > "Warning to all:
>>> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile
>>> > the
>>> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his
>>> > past
>>> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned.

>>> > *plonk*"
>>> >
>>> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
>>> > ==========
>>> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless,
>>> > worthless
>>> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
>>> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
>>> > worthless,
>>> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
>>> > flaccid,
>>> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
>>> > hunch-backed,
>>> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
>>> > buck-toothed,
>>> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
>>> > mud-packing,
>>> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
>>> > egomaniacal
>>> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable
>>> > binary-poster,
>>> > and
>>> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
>>> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
>>> >
>>> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
>>> > ==========
>>> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
>>> > And saw what I never had seen;
>>> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
>>> > Where I used to play on the green.
>>> >
>>> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
>>> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
>>> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
>>> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
>>> >
>>> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
>>> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
>>> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
>>> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
>>> >
>>> > William Blake.
>>> > ==========
>>> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a
>>> > tribe
>>> > of
>>> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith
>>> > in
>>> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be

```
>>> > known
>>> > as
>>> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
>>> >
>>> > Native American prophecy
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > |\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
>>> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
>>> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
>>> > '-~~;'@ ( ; ;
>>> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
>>> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
>>> >
>>> > Meow
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>
>
>
```

548. Re: Time to PYMWYMI "John" - was Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 16:20:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Nryye.14603$1I7.12910611@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111955

Mike:

Ok, I will give you the real secret, get your grandson to put one
together for you. You can claim you did it, how will we ever know?

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:daeaf9$18tg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> I just did better than that, I have given you enough rope until you
>> have gone on and proved yourself an "argumentive nut."
>>
>> Do a google, somewhere out there others have duplicated the work, I
>> am sure, it just isn't that easy to come up with something all that
>> original. Someone else has done it and probably created a webpage
>> about it. I came here for personal pleasure, and you are NOT my
>> idea of it...
>>
>> I will let others now tell you why this can be done easily, I have
>> run out of patience with you.
>>
>> Besides that, I have seen your type before, after someone
>> practically builds one and sticks it in your hand, you turn around
>> to the world and claim you "invented" it, I see you coming...
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> You are a broken record of "it's impossible!" Suck it up man, it
>> ain't!
>
>
> Yup, that is the response I expected.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

549. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 16:22:08 UTC
From: John Smith <JS@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1120580567.6247e3a0cb8311836eaedb76c8575d96@teranews>
Source record: 111953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.top-posting.fuckwits

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120515876.547902.61050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> more stalking
>
You stayed up all night posting this shit, and you're still going on today.
Go to bed fudgepacker!

550. Re: Time to PYMWYMI "John" - was Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 16:28:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ezyye.14604$sp5.12771464@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111954

I figured this out, this is Mac Amateur World! Kind of similar to Mac Donalds World.

Oh look, there is the Mac 'Tenna--invented my amateurs. There is the Mac Radio--invented by Mac Amateurs. There is the "Mac Amateur Desk" invented by amateurs and, the Mac Chair....

Ohh my gawd, I have only heard about it!!! There is the Mac Internet invented by Mac Amateurs with a Mac WebCam hooked up and running a Mac Operating System (probably true, I expect this bunch to run Macintoshes!!!) And, those are real Mac Applications running on it (probably true again.) With a Mac Mouse, Mac Keyboard and Mac Monitor (sad, but probably true again.)

And over there!!! A Mac Data Compaction algorithm invented by the original "Mac Amateur", how exciting!

Yeppers! A real "Mac World" out there!

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:daeaf9$18tg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Mike:
>>
>> I just did better than that, I have given you enough rope until you
>> have gone on and proved yourself an "argumentive nut."
>>
>> Do a google, somewhere out there others have duplicated the work, I
>> am sure, it just isn't that easy to come up with something all that
>> original. Someone else has done it and probably created a webpage
>> about it. I came here for personal pleasure, and you are NOT my
>> idea of it...
>>
>> I will let others now tell you why this can be done easily, I have
>> run out of patience with you.
>>
>> Besides that, I have seen your type before, after someone
>> practically builds one and sticks it in your hand, you turn around
>> to the world and claim you "invented" it, I see you coming...
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> You are a broken record of "it's impossible!" Suck it up man, it
>> ain't!
>

>
> Yup, that is the response I expected.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

551. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 17:48:58 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <7fa7d362m7b15q4.050720051049@kirk>
Source record: 111959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith II:

I wish you would NOT use my name for such childish attacks.

Anyway, that sicko fudgepacker just won't stop!
<grin>

John

"John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1120580567.6247e3a0cb8311836eaedb76c8575d96@teranews...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120515876.547902.61050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> more stalking
>>
> You stayed up all night posting this shit, and you're still going on
> today. Go to bed fudgepacker!
>
>

552. Re: Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 18:19:36 UTC
From: K1MAN <k1man@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <idjlc1h5k8sdn8or8hr4qnknt7h3hdkps0@4ax.com>
Source record: 111962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 05 Jul 2005 00:29:03 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
wrote:

Terrell wrote:

>> that I will ever go back to a movie theater. My ears rang for three
>> days from the excessively loud sound system, and I had a headache for a
>> week.
>
>Poor baby.
>>
>> I started the project below to keep myself busy a few hours a week,
>> and to help others, rather than sit around and bitch about everyone
>> else.
>
>Now if you could just fing a project so you wouldn't sit around and
>whine about being disabled.

Yeah - it didn't work well. He ended up sitting around bitching all
day anyway (grin!)

553. I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 18:40:16 UTC
From: John Smith <JS@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews>
Source record: 111963

```
I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!
```

554. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 18:41:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120588907.686727.264080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111964

an_old_friend wrote:

> First none wonders why you consider me an idiot

You finally got one thing right this week...

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't
> > > > > allowed but you I guess answering a question si too much
> > > > > for you
> > > >
> > > > Hey Dump Huck, I did answer your question, and I did so quite precisely. As a
> > > > licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?
> > >
> > > All of it. tht sort of usage is not in vogue, nor is it in english.
> >
> > "...not in vogue..."
>
> all true

Yes, it's true that you don't understand emission designators...Yet
it's supposed to be a part of your licensing scope.

> crying cut for formating improvements

You cut yourself out? Why?

> > And get this...MARK MORGAN talking about what is or is not
> > "english".....
>
> indeed and you have a problem with that, and need to start another of
> your personal attack threads

It's not a personal attack, Mark. It's a professional
observation.

You have a problem with English. You're not very proficient at
it.

> crying cut for formating improvements

Snipped more embarrassing stuff, you mean...

> > > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > 73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect
> >
> > And you're one of the singularly most idiotic and narrow brained
> > idiots to ever be allowed to get on the Internet or hold an Amateur
> > Radio license!
>
> which even if true (and franky you have a real sample problem) has
> nothing to do with the statement

No...not "...even if true..."

It's absolutely true.

> > "Snide"...?!?!?!
> >
> > What an IDIOT!
>
> thinking somebody snide makes one an idiot

No...YOU calling Hans "snide" for having the temerity to actually
think he was doing you a favor by answering your question for you in an
accurate and prompt manner makes you an idiot.

> well then Hoist you idiot

Huh...?!?!

"Hoist you"...?!?!

Some gay pagan salute?

Steve, K4YZ

555. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 18:44:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120589049.769393.200690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111965

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Not really, Steve. We just wonder why you pay any attention in the
> first place, then find it necessary to comment? I'm sure that in your
> sick mind, you can justify it somehow. Best of luck getting back to
> normal.

Feeling left out, Brain..?!?!

Suddenly realize you're not the ONLY chronic liar and newsgroup
ner'-do-well requiring attention?

Why don't you and Lennie keep each other back-channel-happy for a
while...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

556. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 18:56:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120589787.897986.8290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111966

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > > > family's best interest at heart.
> > >
> > > he is a sick SoB
> >
> > Hey Markie...I don't insult YOUR parents despite several
> > opportunities you ahve provided to do so...So what's up with you?
>
> You have insulted my Father, stalked and harassed me for years, and you
> are complain aabout getting some in reutrn

You'd have to show me where I've insulted your father, Markie...As
a matter of fact, I seem to remember where I praised him for not
holding a pillow over your head when you were an infant

> and you can't spell "ahve" you have been blwoing that one all day

Yep. I transpose the a and h more thyan I care to say.

> > > > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
> > >
> > > Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
> >
> > Thanks a REAL god that I'm not stupid enough to be a Democrat
>
> Now a dig at my religion

What religion?

> God please protect me form your (alleged) followers

Who's alleged to follow what?

> > > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > > >
> > > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> > >
> > > Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
> >
> > Either way you look at it, neither of you are capable of

> > maneuvering without someone to set your course for you.
>
> Bull#####, plain and simple

Yes, you are.

And yes, you require guidance.

> I decide my course...(SNIP)

That's the part where you require guidance. You don't see this
jerky, all over the road behaviour that the rest of us see.

> you do influence it a bit, but their is no "No Code
> conspricy" we nocoders don't even agree on all that much besides ending
> code testing

"No code conspiracy..."...?!?!?

You're slipping,
Markie.....waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay
down....

I'd offer you a rope, but only with the caveat that you put it
around your neck and let go...

> > > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> > >
> > > Missed that one
> >
> > You missed a lot more than Brain lets on.
>
> Ok fine sure you don't need meds

Nope. What I need is to see liars and misfits like you and Brian
P Burke dealt with in some fashion.

> > I could do exactly what HE does and extract single words from
> > posts and make it sound ominous, too.
>
> You do that often enough yourself

Nope.

> > But there's a difference...
> >
> > Brian P Burke is a chronic and deceitful liar....He NEEDS to
> > manufacture such things in order tod etract from his online misdeeds.
> >
> > I don't need to do such things.
>
> Then why do you?

I don't.

> > > But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny
> > > him what you but grant him his due
> >
> > Not as pure as the driven snow, but certainly not the YELLOW snow
> > like the two of you.
>
> Now the Kinky references again missed those

What "kinky references"...???

> > > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > > >
> > > > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> > >
> > > I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
> > > efforts will be detected.
> >
> > "...places where his efforts will be detected..."...?!?!
>
> yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I heard
> of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> there

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

> > > Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
> > > group
> >
> > Too late...You're already here.
>
> and you you are vermin

Nope.

> > > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > > >
> > > > Vermin! Hi!
> > > >
> > > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> > >
> > > Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
> > > someone was a thief
> > >

> > > A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it
> >
> > But one that's easily disproven. NCIC refers.
>
> NCIC? gigo
>
> and so what

"So what"...?!?!

You've just re=proven my assertions about your cowardace in the face of facts.

Insinuate my father was a thief, and when I suggest that you find out for your selg you try that "GIGO" routine.

If you want to see "garbage", re-read all of the posts under your own hand...THERE'S garbage.

> > Brian P Burke and Mark C. Morgan....Liars Lying Together.
> >
> > What a world we've sunk to.....

Steve, K4YZ

557. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:11:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111977

John Smith II:

Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
here.

John Smith

"John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews...
>I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!
>
>

558. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:13:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%ZAye.14608$cb3.14201905@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111967

Buzzard:

You more than justify my efforts...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:vJudnYlMyL_OVFffRVn-gw@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> cmd buzz off:
>>
>> Occasionally there are good reasons for a nice name call, such as
>> in your case...
>>
>> John
>>
>>
> I see, so you are part of the 'ill-bred lot".

559. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:19:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120591142.264025.32980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111968

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > > >
> >
> > if you are going take take a staement out of context well I can't stop
> > you
>
> He didn't take anything "out of context".

yes he did

>
> You asked a specific question, he gave a specific and technically
> accurate answer.

But not to the question asked

>
> > > You asked about HF regs when you inquired about the 60M band.
> >
> > again context
>
> So...Telling you what modes are authorized on the 60M band in
> response to your question about modes was "out of context"...?!?!?

no you were cuting thing out of conext and now repling with unrelated
crap

>
> > > If you ask, I assume you cared, so I answered the question in straightforward
> > > language directly from the applicable FCC regulation.
> >
> > J8f9lk5
> >
> > Is no more straight forward than your answer
>
> Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.

wrong

>
> He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed

> on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.

but it had nothing to do with the question ASKED neither uper or lower
and single sideaband is CW whci was the question

>
> > > If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about HF
> > > regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.
> >
> > Please hold to that. or try answering the question asked which was a
> > simple yes/no question instaed of some other question
>
> Yes, Hans...Heaven forbid we confuse this idiot with facts!

more veonom been saving it up?

>
> Mark's a "Technician" who can't handle "technical" answers.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

560. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:19:24 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <5birdc1ggr15l6p.050720051219@kirk>
Source record: 111969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael A. Terrell:

get a life

quit sniveling

get a job

do not let your whining be a burden to others.

LGA

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42CA3517.BC46A612@earthlink.net...
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>> So what, just because you may not agree with everyone who goes to
>> movies, does that stop you from going to movies? What made you
>> think you
>> had to pay any attention to those "loyal members". What do you care
>> who
>> has the biggest antennas or what brand of radio some other ham
>> uses? I
>> think it is all just a weak excuse about something you didn't want
>> to
>> put any effort into.
>
>
> Look, we've been through this before. I was interested in
> equipment
> design and construction, not operating it. I was planning on trying
> to
> market a 160 through 6 meter AM and SSB receiver I had designed when
> I
> was drafted. After I left the service I got home to find that my
> shop
> building had been damaged and torn down while I was in the army.
> All my
> notes, prototypes, parts and test equipment were gone. I didn't
> have
> the money to replace them at the time, and I had to find a job, buy
> a
> home and get on with my life. During my years of working in
> electronics
> I spent a lot of years working with RF. I was setting up a shop in

> my
> four car garage to have a place to work when i retire. The
> hurricanes
> last year soaked everything, and most of it is beyond salvage. I am
> 100%
> disabled and can't repair the roof.
>
> You can think whatever you want. I had lost interest then, just
> like
> a number of licensed hams I know here in Florida. They haven't been
> on
> the air in years and most of them aren't bothering to renew their
> license when they expire. Are you going to bitch at them, too?
>
> As far as movies, I have been to two movies since 1987, and I
> doubt
> that I will ever go back to a movie theater. My ears rang for three
> days from the excessively loud sound system, and I had a headache
> for a
> week.
>
> I started the project below to keep myself busy a few hours a
> week,
> and to help others, rather than sit around and bitch about everyone
> else.
>
> --
> http://home.earthlink.net/~computersforvets/
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida
>

561. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:41:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120592492.976495.218590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111970

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > First none wonders why you consider me an idiot
>
> You finally got one thing right this week...

Mighty white of you old man

>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't
> > > > > > allowed but you I guess answering a question si too much
> > > > > > for you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hey Dump Huck, I did answer your question, and I did so quite precisely. As a
> > > > > > licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?
> > > > >
> > > > > All of it. tht sort of usage is not in vogue, nor is it in english.
> > > >
> > > > "...not in vogue..."
> > >
> > > all true
>
> Yes, it's true that you don't understand emission designators...Yet
> it's supposed to be a part of your licensing scope.

not a question about alph numeric mode codes in my question pool

The only even mention was mode A1A Morse encoded CW, and that was only
as an aside in Gordon book that I used to prepare for it

and No suchare even mention in the current Tech pool

If you think it should you shouldaddress your coments to the NVEC

>
> > crying cut for formating improvements
>
> You cut yourself out? Why?

nop cut out your crying
>

> > > And get this...MARK MORGAN talking about what is or is not
> > > "english".....
> >
> > indeed and you have a problem with that, and need to start another of
> > your personal attack threads
>
> It's not a personal attack, Mark. It's a professional
> observation.

Nope a BIG lie for an ER nurse, not your feild

>
> You have a problem with English. You're not very proficient at
> it.

but your coment that I am more proficent in Sebian is a lie

>
> > crying cut for formating improvements
>
> Snipped more embarrassing stuff, you mean...

Nope just one of your crying

>
> > > > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > >
> > > > 73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect
> > >
> > > And you're one of the singularly most idiotic and narrow brained
> > > idiots to ever be allowed to get on the Internet or hold an Amateur
> > > Radio license!
> >
> > which even if true (and franky you have a real sample problem) has
> > nothing to do with the statement
>
> No...not "...even if true..."

yes even if true

You are the close to most narrowminded idoit haolding a Ham license. Yo
Doc was the worst I know of

>
> It's absolutely true.

nope
>
> > > "Snide"...?!?!?!
> > >
> > > What an IDIOT!
> >
> > thinking somebody snide makes one an idiot

>
> No...YOU calling Hans "snide" for having the temerity to actually
> think he was doing you a favor by answering your question for you in an
> accurate and prompt manner makes you an idiot.

Well he amswered some OTHER question not mine and not one tht had been
asked

>
> > well then Hoist you idiot
>
> Huh...?!?!
>
> "Hoist you"...?!?!

yes aren't well enough educated

>
> Some gay pagan salute?

Nope

and more of your gaybashing

more of your pagan bashing
>
> Steve, K4YZ

562. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:44:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dqBye.14609$4G3.14618760@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith II & lga:

Cute.

Reminds me of an ancient TV show, "What's my line?"

Will the real John Smith please stand up?

John

"LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
news:7fa7d362m7b15q4.050720051049@kirk...
> John Smith II:
>
> I wish you would NOT use my name for such childish attacks.
>
> Anyway, that sicko fudgepacker just won't stop!
> <grin>
>
> John
>
> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:1120580567.6247e3a0cb8311836eaedb76c8575d96@teranews...
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120515876.547902.61050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> more stalking
>>>
>> You stayed up all night posting this shit, and you're still going
>> on today. Go to bed fudgepacker!
>>
>>
>
>
>

563. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:47:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120592866.452884.31220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111971

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > > > >
> > >
> > > if you are going take take a staement out of context well I can't stop
> > > you
> >
> > He didn't take anything "out of context".
>
> yes he did

No, he did not.

> > You asked a specific question, he gave a specific and technically
> > accurate answer.
>
> But not to the question asked

Sure he did. Specifically and accurately.

> > > > You asked about HF regs when you inquired about the 60M band.
> > >
> > > again context
> >
> > So...Telling you what modes are authorized on the 60M band in
> > response to your question about modes was "out of context"...?!?!?
>
> no you were cuting thing out of conext and now repling with unrelated
> crap

No, YOU are the one rel=plying with "unrelated crap"...that "crap"
being your disrespectful and foolish response to his answer.

> > > > If you ask, I assume you cared, so I answered the question in straightforward
> > > > language directly from the applicable FCC regulation.
> > >
> > > J8f9lk5
> > >
> > > Is no more straight forward than your answer
> >

> > Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.
>
> wrong

Nope.

Mark C. Morgan is a liar.

You've said so yourself this very day.

And you've done it again right here.

> > He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
> > on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.
>
> but it had nothing to do with the question ASKED neither uper or lower
> and single sideaband is CW whci was the question

He gave you the specific data on authorized mode for those
channels.

Nothing more...nothing less...

To insist otherwise is to further prove yourself a liar, Mark.

And haven't you done that enough today...???

> > > > If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about HF
> > > > regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.
> > >
> > > Please hold to that. or try answering the question asked which was a
> > > simple yes/no question instaed of some other question
> >
> > Yes, Hans...Heaven forbid we confuse this idiot with facts!
>
> more veonom been saving it up?

Nope...

A fact.

Steve, K4YZ

564. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 19:54:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120593255.733517.319350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111972

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > > > > family's best interest at heart.
> > > >
> > > > he is a sick SoB
> > >
> > > Hey Markie...I don't insult YOUR parents despite several
> > > opportunities you ahve provided to do so...So what's up with you?
> > >
> > You have insulted my Father, stalked and harassed me for years, and you
> > are complain aabout getting some in reutrn
>
> You'd have to show me where I've insulted your father, Markie...As
> a matter of fact, I seem to remember where I praised him for not
> holding a pillow over your head when you were an infant
>

you just admitted to insulting by repating one of them

Is the pillow trick how your little girl died?

> > and you can't spell "ahve" you have been blwoing that one all day
>
> Yep. I transpose the a and h more thyan I care to say.

and you are allowed to do that and I am not allowed my problems

Hypocrite

>
> > > > > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
> > > >
> > > > Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
> > >
> > > Thanks a REAL god that I'm not stupid enough to be a Democrat
> > >
> > Now a dig at my religion
>
> What religion?

Mine
>
> > God please protect me form your (alleged) followers
>
> Who's alleged to follow what?

You have alleged to be christian

>
> > > > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> > > > >
> > > > > Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
> > > >
> > > Either way you look at it, neither of you are capable of
> > > maneuvering without someone to set your course for you.
> >
> > Bull####, plain and simple
>
> Yes, you are.

nope

and it was Bullshit not bull####

>
> And yes, you require guidance.

nope esp not yours
>
> > I decide my course...(SNIP)
>
> That's the part where you require guidance. You don't see this
> jerky, all over the road behaviour that the rest of us see.

excuse me? now you claim I don't reconize my tempter is eratic, that I
am touchy

In addtion your statement I am not lead by another

>
> > you do influence it a bit, but their is no "No Code
> > conspricy" we nocoders don't even agree on all that much besides ending
> > code testing
>
> "No code conspiracy..."...?!?!?

My latest guess as to which puppeteer you are refering to

>
> You're slipping,
> Markie.....waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay
> down....

But you will always be down there in case I fall
>
> I'd offer you a rope, but only with the caveat that you put it
> around your neck and let go...

enouaging suicide? and you call yourself a Medic for shame

>
> > > > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > > > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > > > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> > > >
> > > > Missed that one
> > >
> > > You missed a lot more than Brain lets on.
> >
> > Ok fine sure you don't need meds
>
> Nope. What I need is to see liars and misfits like you and Brian
> P Burke dealt with in some fashion.

Why?

and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It binds
YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,
guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"

>
> > > I could do exactly what HE does and extract single words from
> > > posts and make it sound ominous, too.
> >
> > You do that often enough yourself
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > But there's a difference...
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke is a chronic and deceitful liar....He NEEDS to
> > > manufacture such things in order tod etract from his online misdeeds.
> > >
> > > I don't need to do such things.
> >
> > Then why do you?
>
> I don't.

But you do you spin pages out of your deciding that a word must men
just what you want it to mean.

>
> > > > But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny

> > > > him what you but grant him his due
> > >
> > > Not as pure as the driven snow, but certainly not the YELLOW snow
> > > like the two of you.
> >
> > Now the Kinky references again missed those
>
> What "kinky references"...???

go look up the Fetish Watersports, and don't complain about being asked
since you also complain about sexual and/or kinky content in here

>
> > > > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > > > >
> > > > > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> > > >
> > > > I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
> > > > efforts will be detected.
> > >
> > > "...places where his efforts will be detected..."...?!?!
> >
> > yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I heard
> > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> > there
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

Right

>
> > > > Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
> > > > group
> > >
> > > Too late...You're already here.
> >
> > and you you are vermin
>
> Nope.

yes you are vermin

an Oathbreaker (your service oath to the constitution)

>
> > > > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Vermin! Hi!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> > > > >
> > > > > Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
> > > > > someone was a thief
> > > > >
> > > > > A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it
> > > >
> > > But one that's easily disproven. NCIC refers.
> > >
> > NCIC? gigo

again NCIC means what?

> >
> > and so what
>
> "So what"...?!?!
>
> You've just re=proven my assertions about your cowardace in the
> face of facts.
>
> Insinuate my father was a thief, and when I suggest that you find
> out for your selg you try that "GIGO" routine.

My selg?
But you lie I never insinulated your father was a thief I stated quite
plainly that one of your
male forefathers was a thief

Roberson or robeson are coruptions of RobberSon look it up in the work
of the Brothers Grim

>
> If you want to see "garbage", re-read all of the posts under your
> own hand...THERE'S garbage.

nO don't want to see garbe which maybe I should stop reading your posts

>
> > > Brian P Burke and Mark C. Morgan....Liars Lying Together.
> > >
> > > What a world we've sunk to.....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

565. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 20:02:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120593725.320317.39480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111973

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > >
> > > > > > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > if you are going take take a staement out of context well I can't stop
> > > > > you
> > > >
> > > He didn't take anything "out of context".
> > >
> > yes he did
> >
> No, he did not.

yes

>
> > > You asked a specific question, he gave a specific and technically
> > > accurate answer.
> > >
> > But not to the question asked
> >
> Sure he did. Specifically and accurately.

nope question was soely about CW not freqs or power or times of day or
even class of license required

>
> > > > > You asked about HF regs when you inquired about the 60M band.
> > > > >
> > > > again context
> > > >
> > > So...Telling you what modes are authorized on the 60M band in
> > > response to your question about modes was "out of context"...?!?!?
> > >
> > no you were cuting thing out of conext and now repling with unrelated
> > crap
> >
> No, YOU are the one rel=plying with "unrelated crap"...that "crap"

> being your disrespectful and foolish response to his answer.

rel=plying

BTW I agree I was direspectful, however respect has to be earned

well anything you call foolish. Geewhy do I think of a quote of acting
Pres Walken(west wing) anything that pisses off the arab s must be
about right. Something you think is foolish must be about right

>
> > > > > If you ask, I assume you cared, so I answered the question in straightforward
> > > > > language directly from the applicable FCC regulation.
> > > > >
> > > > > J8f9lk5
> > > > >
> > > > > Is no more straight forward than your answer
> > > >
> > > Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.
> > >
> > wrong
> >
> Nope.

it is no more straight forward than what he gave.

It also wasn't answer to the question asked

>
> Mark C. Morgan is a liar.
>
> You've said so yourself this very day.

yes your point.

You are also a liar, just not man enough to admit it (or is it not
smart enough to know)
>
> And you've done it again right here.

yes

why do you insist on beating long dead equines?

>
> > > He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
> > > on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.
> > >
> > but it had nothing to do with the question ASKED neither uper or lower
> > and single sideaband is CW whci was the question
> >
> He gave you the specific data on authorized mode for those
> channels.

nope

>
> Nothing more...nothing less...

Nothing indeed

>
> To insist otherwise is to further prove yourself a liar, Mark.

further prove is that even possible certinaly not in your eyes

which means it is another lie on your part

>
> And haven't you done that enough today...???

guess not

>
> > > > > If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about HF
> > > > > regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.
> > > >
> > > > Please hold to that. or try answering the question asked which was a
> > > > simple yes/no question instaed of some other question
> > >
> > > Yes, Hans...Heaven forbid we confuse this idiot with facts!
> >
> > more veonom been saving it up?
>
> Nope...

nope venom
>
> A fact.

yep a fact you can't stand anyone daring to defy you

>
> Steve, K4YZ

566. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 20:06:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120593979.260046.271600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.top-posting.fuckwits

```
John Smith wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > why should he
> >
> Because real men don't suck dick, freak.

well you would not know much about real men

> >
> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> >> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham group
> >>
> >> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the 'services'
> >> you perform
> >
> > Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
> >
> >>
> >> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid> wrote
> >> in message news:dadcsh$ivo$1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
> >> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
> >> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
> >> >
> >> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
> >> >
> >> > :
> >> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > : news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
> >> > ::
> >> > :: b.b. wrote:
> >> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> >> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
> >> > :::: insane
> >> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
> >> > ::::
> >> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes it
> >> > is
> >> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
> >> > ::::
> >> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
> >> > ::::
> >> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
```

> >> > :::: Central Florida
> >> > :::
> >> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> > Steve Leyland
> >> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
> >> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
> >> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
> >> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
> >> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
> >> >
> >> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
> >> >
> >> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will exact
> >> > it's
> >> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I don't
> >> > blame them a bit...
> >> > ==
> >> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They are
> >> > the
> >> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried unsuccessfully
> >> > in
> >> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
> >> > completely
> >> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
> >> > response
> >> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
> >> >
> >> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
> >> > ==
> >> > "Warning to all:
> >> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile the
> >> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his past
> >> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned. *plonk*"
> >> >
> >> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
> >> > ==
> >> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless, worthless
> >> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
> >> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating worthless,
> >> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
> >> > flaccid,
> >> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
> >> > hunch-backed,
> >> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling, buck-toothed,
> >> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive, mud-packing,
> >> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
> >> > egomaniacal
> >> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable binary-poster,

> >> > and
> >> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
> >> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
> >> >
> >> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
> >> > ==
> >> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
> >> > And saw what I never had seen;
> >> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
> >> > Where I used to play on the green.
> >> >
> >> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
> >> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
> >> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
> >> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
> >> >
> >> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
> >> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
> >> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
> >> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
> >> >
> >> > William Blake.
> >> > ==
> >> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a tribe
> >> > of
> >> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith in
> >> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be known
> >> > as
> >> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
> >> >
> >> > Native American prophecy
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > |\ _.-'~~"""'~``'~)
> >> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
> >> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
> >> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
> >> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
> >> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
> >> >
> >> > Meow
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >

567. Re: yet another Steve Crybaby thread (crying cut)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 20:09:35 UTC
From: John Smith <JS@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1120594214.1b5f7b3868f1d4d15a453f62a1cd228c@teranews>
Source record: 111975

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120500379.018946.245980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
First none wonders why you consider me an idiot

Ever lived in Canada?

568. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 20:11:01 UTC
From: John Smith <JS@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1120594302.289daf8bef03a36865b774e113b4b382@teranews>
Source record: 111976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.top-posting.fuckwits

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120593979.260046.271600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > why should he
>> >
>> Because real men don't suck dick, freak.
>
> well you would not know much about real men
>
Ever lived in Canada?
```

569. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:01:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120593895.880852.249450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> you are real anxious to make a public display of yourself

Not really

>
> go back under your rock

what Rock never been under one

I am willing if not eager to battle with folks like you
with no name and no call for te freedom of all

>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > why should he
> >
> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> >> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham group
> >>
> >> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
> >> 'services'
> >> you perform
> >
> > Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
> >
> >>
> >> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
> >> wrote
> >> in message news:dadcsh$ivo$1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
> >> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
> >> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
> >> >
> >> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
> >> >
> >> > :
> >> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > : news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
> >> > ::
> >> > :: b.b. wrote:
> >> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> >> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like an
> >> > :::: insane
```

> >> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
> >> > ::::
> >> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better, sometimes
> >> > it
> >> > is
> >> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
> >> > ::::
> >> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
> >> > ::::
> >> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
> >> > :::: Central Florida
> >> > :::
> >> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> > Steve Leyland
> >> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
> >> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
> >> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
> >> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
> >> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
> >> >
> >> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
> >> >
> >> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will
> >> > exact
> >> > it's
> >> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I
> >> > don't
> >> > blame them a bit...
> >> > ==
> >> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland. They
> >> > are
> >> > the
> >> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
> >> > unsuccessfully
> >> > in
> >> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
> >> > completely
> >> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
> >> > response
> >> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
> >> >
> >> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
> >> > ==
> >> > "Warning to all:
> >> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order. Killfile
> >> > the
> >> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his past
> >> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned.

> >> > *plonk*"
> >> >
> >> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
> >> > ==========
> >> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless,
> >> > worthless
> >> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis of
> >> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
> >> > worthless,
> >> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
> >> > flaccid,
> >> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
> >> > hunch-backed,
> >> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
> >> > buck-toothed,
> >> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
> >> > mud-packing,
> >> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
> >> > egomaniacal
> >> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable binary-poster,
> >> > and
> >> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory vomitus
> >> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
> >> >
> >> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
> >> > ==========
> >> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
> >> > And saw what I never had seen;
> >> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
> >> > Where I used to play on the green.
> >> >
> >> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
> >> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
> >> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
> >> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
> >> >
> >> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
> >> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
> >> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
> >> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
> >> >
> >> > William Blake.
> >> > ==========
> >> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a
> >> > tribe
> >> > of
> >> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their faith
> >> > in
> >> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be
> >> > known

```
> >> > as
> >> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
> >> >
> >> > Native American prophecy
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > |\ _.-'~~"""'~`''~)
> >> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
> >> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
> >> > '-~~;'@ ( ; ;
> >> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
> >> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
> >> >
> >> > Meow
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >
> >
```

570. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:06:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111979

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120592492.976495.218590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > First none wonders why you consider me an idiot
>
> You finally got one thing right this week...

Mighty white of you old man

>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > >
> > > > > > I'd guess for your answer that Morse encoded Cw isn't
> > > > > > allowed but you I guess answering a question si too much
> > > > > > for you
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hey Dump Huck, I did answer your question, and I did so quite
precisely. As a
> > > > > licensed amateur, what part of "2K8J3E" don't you understand?
> > > > >
> > > > All of it. tht sort of usage is not in vogue, nor is it in english.
> > > >
> > > "...not in vogue..."
> > >
> > all true
>
> Yes, it's true that you don't understand emission designators...Yet
> it's supposed to be a part of your licensing scope.

not a question about alph numeric mode codes in my question pool

The only even mention was mode A1A Morse encoded CW, and that was only
as an aside in Gordon book that I used to prepare for it

and No suchare even mention in the current Tech pool

If you think it should you shouldaddress your coments to the NVEC

>
> > crying cut for formating improvements

>
> You cut yourself out? Why?

nop cut out your crying
>
> > > And get this...MARK MORGAN talking about what is or is not
> > > "english".....
> > >
> > indeed and you have a problem with that, and need to start another of
> > your personal attack threads
>
> It's not a personal attack, Mark. It's a professional
> observation.

Nope a BIG lie for an ER nurse, not your feild

>
> You have a problem with English. You're not very proficient at
> it.

but your coment that I am more proficent in Sebian is a lie

>
> > crying cut for formating improvements
>
> Snipped more embarrassing stuff, you mean...

Nope just one of your crying

>
> > > > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > >
> > > > 73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect
> > > >
> > > And you're one of the singularly most idiotic and narrow brained
> > > idiots to ever be allowed to get on the Internet or hold an Amateur
> > > Radio license!
> > >
> > which even if true (and franky you have a real sample problem) has
> > nothing to do with the statement
>
> No...not "...even if true..."

yes even if true

You are the close to most narrowminded idoit haolding a Ham license. Yo
Doc was the worst I know of

>
> It's absolutely true.

nope
>
> > > "Snide"...?!?!?!

> > >
> > > What an IDIOT!
> >
> > thinking somebody snide makes one an idiot
>
> No...YOU calling Hans "snide" for having the temerity to actually
> think he was doing you a favor by answering your question for you in an
> accurate and prompt manner makes you an idiot.

Well he amswered some OTHER question not mine and not one tht had been
asked

>
> > well then Hoist you idiot
>
> Huh...?!?!
>
> "Hoist you"...?!?!

yes aren't well enough educated

>
> Some gay pagan salute?

Nope

and more of your gaybashing

more of your pagan bashing
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on it.

"2K8J3E" is broken down into two parts. 2K8 and J3E.

Years ago, A1 was CW. F3 was FM. A3 was AM. Well, they clarified the
emission types to indicate whether a signal was single sideband, double
sideband, independent sidebands and whether the carrier was suppressed,
reduced, or full carrier.

J3E refers to the emission type. I have a strong suspicion that 2K8
represents a 2.8 kilohertz bandwidth.

We often refer to single sideband, fm, cw, or whatever. The nomenclature
that Steve put forth is a formal one that pins down the emission type and
bandwidth much more clearly than saying something like "FM". FM, as you
might be aware, comes in a number of flavors. Narrowband FM has become even
narrower in recent years; however, commercial broadcasting FM is much wider
(and often has a subcarrier present too. They have stereo as well as
secondary transmissions (perhaps Muzak) as well).

So Steve may have been a little strong in his wording, but his conclusion
was not in error. You appear to have little knowledge of emission

types/bandwidth occupancy.

Not slamming you, but Steve was not entirely remiss in his post.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

571. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:24:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120598671.818388.160160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111981

That I lack a knowledge of the arcane ways in which mode were described
is NOT disputed by me

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120592492.976495.218590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
hack

> >
> > Yes, it's true that you don't understand emission designators...Yet
> > it's supposed to be a part of your licensing scope.
>
> not a question about alph numeric mode codes in my question pool
>
> The only even mention was mode A1A Morse encoded CW, and that was only
> as an aside in Gordon book that I used to prepare for it
>
> and No suchare even mention in the current Tech pool
>
> If you think it should you shouldaddress your coments to the NVEC
>

hack
> Well he amswered some OTHER question not mine and not one tht had been
> asked
>
> >
> > > well then Hoist you idiot
> >
> > Huh...?!?!
> >
> > "Hoist you"...?!?!
>
> yes aren't well enough educated
>
> >
> > Some gay pagan salute?
>
> Nope
>
> and more of your gaybashing
>
> more of your pagan bashing
> >

> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on it.
>
> "2K8J3E" is broken down into two parts. 2K8 and J3E.
>
> Years ago, A1 was CW. F3 was FM. A3 was AM. Well, they clarified the
> emission types to indicate whether a signal was single sideband, double
> sideband, independent sidebands and whether the carrier was suppressed,
> reduced, or full carrier.

I have seen fragment of this but in my reading of way part 97 recently
I don't recall it

>
> J3E refers to the emission type. I have a strong suspicion that 2K8
> represents a 2.8 kilohertz bandwidth.

you may well be right but even you don't know, not a slame on you but
pointing that you clearly know better than are not sure how can I be
expected to know it

>
> We often refer to single sideband, fm, cw, or whatever. The nomenclature
> that Steve put forth is a formal one that pins down the emission type and

Corecting you Hans put it forth, Steve is taking me to task for not
knowing it

> bandwidth much more clearly than saying something like "FM". FM, as you
> might be aware, comes in a number of flavors. Narrowband FM has become even

frankly wasn't realy aware if you mean it it has defined subset like FM
2 khz wide (realy narrow if it can even get that narrow) FM 30MHz wide
(which is used in some gunnplexer mircowave gear)

> narrower in recent years; however, commercial broadcasting FM is much wider
> (and often has a subcarrier present too. They have stereo as well as
> secondary transmissions (perhaps Muzak) as well).

secondary trans I think i know about a modualted signal carried like
the pl tone we use on repeaters

>
> So Steve may have been a little strong in his wording, but his conclusion
> was not in error. You appear to have little knowledge of emission
> types/bandwidth occupancy.

Agreed
and Steve and for that Hans know this and choose to give an answer
technical correct (is it in fact technicaly correct) but dsigned to be
useless to me

>
> Not slamming you, but Steve was not entirely remiss in his post.

I accept thatyou intend no slam but I miss you have missed Stevies
intent
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

572. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:37:44 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <zh3pjs1v41nq1ge.050720051437@kirk>
Source record: 111982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
go back to your cold dark cave butt-bandit

i will be watching you now, do not be looking at young boys with your
weird desires

LGA

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120593895.880852.249450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> you are real anxious to make a public display of yourself
>
> Not really
>
>>
>> go back under your rock
>
> what Rock never been under one
>
> I am willing if not eager to battle with folks like you
> with no name and no call for te freedom of all
>
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > why should he
>> >
>> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> >> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham
>> >> group
>> >>
>> >> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
>> >> 'services'
>> >> you perform
>> >
>> > Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
>> >
>> >>
>> >> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
>> >> wrote
>> >> in message news:dadcsh$ivo$1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
>> >> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
```

>> >> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
>> >> >
>> >> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
>> >> >
>> >> > :
>> >> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > :
>> >> > news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
>> >> > ::
>> >> > :: b.b. wrote:
>> >> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>> >> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like
>> >> > an
>> >> > :::: insane
>> >> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
>> >> > ::::
>> >> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better,
>> >> > sometimes
>> >> > it
>> >> > is
>> >> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
>> >> > ::::
>> >> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
>> >> > ::::
>> >> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
>> >> > :::: Central Florida
>> >> > :::
>> >> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
>> >> >
>> >> > --
>> >> > Steve Leyland
>> >> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
>> >> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
>> >> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
>> >> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
>> >> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
>> >> >
>> >> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
>> >> >
>> >> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will
>> >> > exact
>> >> > it's
>> >> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I
>> >> > don't
>> >> > blame them a bit...
>> >> > ==========
>> >> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland.
>> >> > They
>> >> > are

>> >> > the
>> >> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
>> >> > unsuccessfully
>> >> > in
>> >> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
>> >> > completely
>> >> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
>> >> > response
>> >> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
>> >> >
>> >> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
>> >> > ==
>> >> > "Warning to all:
>> >> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order.
>> >> > Killfile
>> >> > the
>> >> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his
>> >> > past
>> >> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned.
>> >> > *plonk*"
>> >> >
>> >> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
>> >> > ==
>> >> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless,
>> >> > worthless
>> >> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis
>> >> > of
>> >> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
>> >> > worthless,
>> >> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
>> >> > flaccid,
>> >> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
>> >> > hunch-backed,
>> >> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
>> >> > buck-toothed,
>> >> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
>> >> > mud-packing,
>> >> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
>> >> > egomaniacal
>> >> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable
>> >> > binary-poster,
>> >> > and
>> >> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory
>> >> > vomitus
>> >> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
>> >> >
>> >> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
>> >> > ==
>> >> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
>> >> > And saw what I never had seen;

>> >> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
>> >> > Where I used to play on the green.
>> >> >
>> >> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
>> >> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
>> >> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
>> >> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
>> >> >
>> >> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
>> >> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
>> >> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
>> >> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
>> >> >
>> >> > William Blake.
>> >> > ==
>> >> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a
>> >> > tribe
>> >> > of
>> >> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their
>> >> > faith
>> >> > in
>> >> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be
>> >> > known
>> >> > as
>> >> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
>> >> >
>> >> > Native American prophecy
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > |\ _.-'~~""'~``'~)
>> >> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
>> >> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
>> >> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
>> >> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
>> >> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
>> >> >
>> >> > Meow
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>> >
>
>

573. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:45:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120599952.551476.98610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> go back to your cold dark cave butt-bandit
>
> i will be watching you now, do not be looking at young boys with your
> weird desires

Ah yes the gays are child molesters craps

Grow up

>
> LGA
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120593895.880852.249450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> >> you are real anxious to make a public display of yourself
> >
> > Not really
> >
> >>
> >> go back under your rock
> >
> > what Rock never been under one
> >
> > I am willing if not eager to battle with folks like you
> > with no name and no call for te freedom of all
> >
> >>
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > why should he
> >> >
> >> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> >> >> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham
> >> >> group
> >> >>
> >> >> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
> >> >> 'services'
> >> >> you perform
> >> >
> >> > Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto

> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> "Steve Leyland" <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
> >> >> wrote
> >> >> in message news:dadcshivo1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
> >> >> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
> >> >> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
> >> >> >
> >> >> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > :
> >> >> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> > :
> >> >> > news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the group
> >> >> > ::
> >> >> > :: b.b. wrote:
> >> >> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> >> >> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound like
> >> >> > an
> >> >> > :::: insane
> >> >> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
> >> >> > ::::
> >> >> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better,
> >> >> > sometimes
> >> >> > it
> >> >> > is
> >> >> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
> >> >> > ::::
> >> >> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
> >> >> > ::::
> >> >> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
> >> >> > :::: Central Florida
> >> >> > :::
> >> >> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > --
> >> >> > Steve Leyland
> >> >> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
> >> >> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
> >> >> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
> >> >> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
> >> >> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
> >> >> >
> >> >> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
> >> >> >
> >> >> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested will
> >> >> > exact
> >> >> > it's
> >> >> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and I

> >> >> > don't
> >> >> > blame them a bit...
> >> >> > ==========
> >> >> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland.
> >> >> > They
> >> >> > are
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
> >> >> > unsuccessfully
> >> >> > in
> >> >> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
> >> >> > completely
> >> >> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most intelligent
> >> >> > response
> >> >> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have nothing."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
> >> >> > ==========
> >> >> > "Warning to all:
> >> >> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order.
> >> >> > Killfile
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on his
> >> >> > past
> >> >> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned.
> >> >> > *plonk*"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
> >> >> > ==========
> >> >> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless,
> >> >> > worthless
> >> >> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the nemesis
> >> >> > of
> >> >> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
> >> >> > worthless,
> >> >> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less, spineless,
> >> >> > flaccid,
> >> >> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
> >> >> > hunch-backed,
> >> >> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
> >> >> > buck-toothed,
> >> >> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
> >> >> > mud-packing,
> >> >> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
> >> >> > egomaniacal
> >> >> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable
> >> >> > binary-poster,
> >> >> > and
> >> >> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory
> >> >> > vomitus

> >> >> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
> >> >> > ==
> >> >> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
> >> >> > And saw what I never had seen;
> >> >> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
> >> >> > Where I used to play on the green.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
> >> >> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
> >> >> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
> >> >> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
> >> >> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
> >> >> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
> >> >> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > William Blake.
> >> >> > ==
> >> >> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying, a
> >> >> > tribe
> >> >> > of
> >> >> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their
> >> >> > faith
> >> >> > in
> >> >> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall be
> >> >> > known
> >> >> > as
> >> >> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Native American prophecy
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > |\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
> >> >> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
> >> >> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
> >> >> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
> >> >> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
> >> >> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Meow
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >
> >

574. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:47:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120600074.721033.160750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111984

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> Will you agree that a 56K phone modem, does indeed, transmit this data
> rate with an audio bandwidth of ~300Hz to ~5000K, and if you do so
> agree, how can you argue this cannot fit in a HF AM RF signal which
> only goes 2.5K each side of center frequency??????????

Of course it can.

The question is whether the RF path will have characteristics
comparable to those of the telephone line.

> Are you NOT imposing an audio frequency of AT LEAST a 5K bandwidth on
> the rf carrier with normal speech?

No. Typical ham transceivers only need about 2.5 kHz of audio
bandwidth.

> (actually, most quality
> transceivers have a wider audio bandwidth than this which can be set
> +/-) and if you agree you are indeed, how can you argue that 5K
> bandwidth can carry a 56K data rate over a phone line--and NOT a hf rf
> signal???? That looks insane to me?

It's a question of the characteristics of the RF path. Certainly there
are some paths that will support the amplitude- and phase- stable
requirements of the 56K modem - and some paths that won't.

On top of that is the fact that most RF paths aren't full duplex. How
fast is the 56K modem in half-duplex with transmit-receive switching?
>
> The modem is NOT using the whole 5K bandwidth--necessarily, there is
> compression into a narrower bandwidth which can and is generally
> software controlled--if necessary (the modems software is a LOT
> smarter than most give it credit for, especially in the case of the
> old "onboard processor" and "hardware logic" USRobotics external
> modems.
>
> You need to explain to me why it even begins to look difficult to you
> for me to be able to understand what you are asking?
>
> As, I have to be missing something here...

You are. Do you think HF offers the same transmission characteristics
as a telephone line?

> You know, I have not even looked to see on the web, but aren't tons of
> people doing this right now as we newsgroup?

On telephone wires or HF radio?
>
> I suppose you could actually use the rf signal as data carrier itself
> and modulate it directly through on/off switching, as opposed to
> modulating the rf carrier with the audio data carrier... but that
> would take some heavy duty equip mods/revamps, if it didn't wipe out
> the neighbors cable tv! <grin>
>
> Think about this:
> at 100 mhz if you can precisely control the EXACT amplitude of each
> and every cycle of rf out the back end of the xmitter, you have a
> virtual 100mbs data carrier... most are working here... 450 MHz?
> 1Ghz? 12Ghz?

Think about the stability of the RF path at HF.
>
> ... and of course, the receiver has to be able to decipher the
> amplitudes of each cycle back to a data stream for the video card...
>
> ... this is the land where dreamers are...
>
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:S7GdndEnm_4lQVTfRVn-hQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:EJjye.8858$KE.7739416@news.sisna.com...
> >> Mike:
> >>
> >> 300 baud is ridiculous, in Dee's first post mentioning 300 baud I
> >> tossed it out the window--that was fine up to about 1985, then only
> >> the mentally challenged continued to run 300 baud modems!
> >>
> > >
> > > Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud
> > > on HF without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole
> > > lot of things, not just video, that would be nice to do.
> > >
> > > How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >

575. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:52:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120600320.953404.86820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

no never lived in Canada why?

John Smith wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120593979.260046.271600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > why should he
> >> >
> >> Because real men don't suck dick, freak.
> >
> > well you would not know much about real men
> >
> Ever lived in Canada?

576. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:53:29 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <6pjnd5cmmfixtvx.050720051453@kirk>
Source record: 111986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
you freaky-deeky

i would beat you silly if you just looked sideways at a child of mine

if i lived in your community i would be outside your house with a
warning sign right now

LGA

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120599952.551476.98610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> go back to your cold dark cave butt-bandit
>>
>> i will be watching you now, do not be looking at young boys with
>> your
>> weird desires
>
> Ah yes the gays are child molesters craps
>
> Grow up
>
>>
>> LGA
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120593895.880852.249450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> >> you are real anxious to make a public display of yourself
>> >
>> > Not really
>> >
>> >>
>> >> go back under your rock
>> >
>> > what Rock never been under one
>> >
>> > I am willing if not eager to battle with folks like you
>> > with no name and no call for te freedom of all
>> >
```

>> >>
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > why should he
>> >> >
>> >> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> >> >> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham
>> >> >> group
>> >> >>
>> >> >> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
>> >> >> 'services'
>> >> >> you perform
>> >> >
>> >> > Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Steve Leyland"
>> >> >> <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
>> >> >> wrote
>> >> >> in message news:dadcshivo1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
>> >> >> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
>> >> >> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > :
>> >> >> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> > :
>> >> >> > news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the
>> >> >> > group
>> >> >> > ::
>> >> >> > :: b.b. wrote:
>> >> >> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>> >> >> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound
>> >> >> > like
>> >> >> > an
>> >> >> > :::: insane
>> >> >> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
>> >> >> > ::::
>> >> >> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better,
>> >> >> > sometimes
>> >> >> > it
>> >> >> > is
>> >> >> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
>> >> >> > ::::
>> >> >> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
>> >> >> > ::::
>> >> >> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
>> >> >> > :::: Central Florida

>> >> >> > :::
>> >> >> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > --
>> >> >> > Steve Leyland
>> >> >> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
>> >> >> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
>> >> >> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
>> >> >> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
>> >> >> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested
>> >> >> > will
>> >> >> > exact
>> >> >> > it's
>> >> >> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and
>> >> >> > I
>> >> >> > don't
>> >> >> > blame them a bit...
>> >> >> > ==========
>> >> >> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland.
>> >> >> > They
>> >> >> > are
>> >> >> > the
>> >> >> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
>> >> >> > unsuccessfully
>> >> >> > in
>> >> >> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
>> >> >> > completely
>> >> >> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most
>> >> >> > intelligent
>> >> >> > response
>> >> >> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have
>> >> >> > nothing."
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
>> >> >> > ==========
>> >> >> > "Warning to all:
>> >> >> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order.
>> >> >> > Killfile
>> >> >> > the
>> >> >> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on
>> >> >> > his
>> >> >> > past
>> >> >> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned.
>> >> >> > *plonk*"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles

>> >> >> > ==
>> >> >> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless,
>> >> >> > worthless
>> >> >> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the
>> >> >> > nemesis
>> >> >> > of
>> >> >> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
>> >> >> > worthless,
>> >> >> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less,
>> >> >> > spineless,
>> >> >> > flaccid,
>> >> >> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
>> >> >> > hunch-backed,
>> >> >> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
>> >> >> > buck-toothed,
>> >> >> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
>> >> >> > mud-packing,
>> >> >> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
>> >> >> > egomaniacal
>> >> >> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable
>> >> >> > binary-poster,
>> >> >> > and
>> >> >> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory
>> >> >> > vomitus
>> >> >> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
>> >> >> > ==
>> >> >> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
>> >> >> > And saw what I never had seen;
>> >> >> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
>> >> >> > Where I used to play on the green.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
>> >> >> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
>> >> >> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
>> >> >> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
>> >> >> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
>> >> >> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
>> >> >> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > William Blake.
>> >> >> > ==
>> >> >> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying,
>> >> >> > a
>> >> >> > tribe
>> >> >> > of
>> >> >> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their

>> >> >> > faith
>> >> >> > in
>> >> >> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall
>> >> >> > be
>> >> >> > known
>> >> >> > as
>> >> >> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Native American prophecy
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > |\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
>> >> >> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
>> >> >> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
>> >> >> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
>> >> >> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
>> >> >> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Meow
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>> >
>
>

577. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 21:55:15 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <h6eu0z0lt8jqcxw.050720051455@kirk>
Source record: 111987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

because there has been a string of canadian child molestations and we
want to rule you out as a possibility

LGA

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120600320.953404.86820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> no never lived in Canada why?
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120593979.260046.271600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > why should he
>> >> >
>> >> Because real men don't suck dick, freak.
>> >
>> > well you would not know much about real men
>> >
>> Ever lived in Canada?
>
>

578. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:03:01 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <lob1iwlxe6jgtab.050720051503@kirk>
Source record: 111988

do gay sickos piss all over themselves like you do

is that a trait amongst you'all

LGA

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120593255.733517.319350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and
>> > > > > only has your
>> > > > > family's best interest at heart.
>> > > >
>> > > > he is a sick SoB
>> > >
>> > > Hey Markie...I don't insult YOUR parents despite several
>> > > opportunities you ahve provided to do so...So what's up with
>> > > you?
>> >
>> > You have insulted my Father, stalked and harassed me for years,
>> > and you
>> > are complain aabout getting some in reutrn
>>
>> You'd have to show me where I've insulted your father,
>> Markie...As
>> a matter of fact, I seem to remember where I praised him for not
>> holding a pillow over your head when you were an infant
>>
>
> you just admitted to insulting by repating one of them
>
> Is the pillow trick how your little girl died?
>
>> > and you can't spell "ahve" you have been blwoing that one all day
>>
>> Yep. I transpose the a and h more thyan I care to say.
>
> and you are allowed to do that and I am not allowed my problems

>
> Hypocrite
>
>>
>> > > > > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
>> > > >
>> > > > Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
>> > >
>> > > Thanks a REAL god that I'm not stupid enough to be a
>> > > Democrat
>> >
>> > Now a dig at my religion
>>
>> What religion?
>
> Mine
>>
>> > God please protect me form your (alleged) followers
>>
>> Who's alleged to follow what?
>
> You have alleged to be christian
>
>>
>> > > > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous
>> > > > > > one than
>> > > > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with
>> > > > > > his crap.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
>> > > >
>> > > > Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
>> > >
>> > > Either way you look at it, neither of you are capable of
>> > > maneuvering without someone to set your course for you.
>> >
>> > Bull####, plain and simple
>>
>> Yes, you are.
>
> nope
>
> and it was Bullshit not bull####
>
>
>>
>> And yes, you require guidance.
>
> nope esp not yours
>>

>> > I decide my course...(SNIP)
>>
>> That's the part where you require guidance. You don't see
>> this
>> jerky, all over the road behaviour that the rest of us see.
>
> excuse me? now you claim I don't reconize my tempter is eratic, that
> I
> am touchy
>
> In addtion your statement I am not lead by another
>
>>
>> > you do influence it a bit, but their is no "No Code
>> > conspricy" we nocoders don't even agree on all that much besides
>> > ending
>> > code testing
>>
>> "No code conspiracy..."...?!?!?
>
> My latest guess as to which puppeteer you are refering to
>
>>
>> You're slipping,
>> Markie.....waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay
>> down....
>
> But you will always be down there in case I fall
>>
>> I'd offer you a rope, but only with the caveat that you put it
>> around your neck and let go...
>
> enouaging suicide? and you call yourself a Medic for shame
>
>>
>> > > > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed
>> > > > > tires, and
>> > > > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only
>> > > > > "other
>> > > > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven
>> > > > > snow.
>> > > >
>> > > > Missed that one
>> > >
>> > > You missed a lot more than Brain lets on.
>> >
>> > Ok fine sure you don't need meds
>>
>> Nope. What I need is to see liars and misfits like you and
>> Brian

>> P Burke dealt with in some fashion.
>
> Why?
>
> and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It
> binds
> YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,
> guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"
>
>>
>> > > I could do exactly what HE does and extract single words
>> > > from
>> > > posts and make it sound ominous, too.
>> >
>> > You do that often enough yourself
>>
>> Nope.
>
> yep
>
>>
>> > > But there's a difference...
>> > >
>> > > Brian P Burke is a chronic and deceitful liar....He NEEDS
>> > > to
>> > > manufacture such things in order tod etract from his online
>> > > misdeeds.
>> > >
>> > > I don't need to do such things.
>> >
>> > Then why do you?
>>
>> I don't.
>
> But you do you spin pages out of your deciding that a word must men
> just what you want it to mean.
>
>>
>> > > > But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over
>> > > > snow deny
>> > > > him what you but grant him his due
>> > >
>> > > Not as pure as the driven snow, but certainly not the
>> > > YELLOW snow
>> > > like the two of you.
>> >
>> > Now the Kinky references again missed those
>>
>> What "kinky references"...???
>

> go look up the Fetish Watersports, and don't complain about being
> asked
> since you also complain about sexual and/or kinky content in here
>
>>
>> > > > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus
>> > > > > > Steve has never
>> > > > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he
>> > > > > > has contacted
>> > > > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs
>> > > > > > lead
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
>> > > >
>> > > > I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places
>> > > > where his
>> > > > efforts will be detected.
>> > >
>> > > "...places where his efforts will be detected..."...?!?!
>> >
>> > yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I
>> > heard
>> > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole
>> > MD
>> > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the
>> > PoB
>> > there
>>
>> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>>
>> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!
>
> Right
>
>>
>> > > > Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely
>> > > > disrepuable
>> > > > group
>> > >
>> > > Too late...You're already here.
>> >
>> > and you you are vermin
>>
>> Nope.
>
> yes you are vermin
>

> an Oathbreaker (your service oath to the constitution)
>
>>
>> > > > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be
>> > > > > > completely honest
>> > > > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Vermin! Hi!
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he
>> > > > > was claim
>> > > > > someone was a thief
>> > > > >
>> > > > > A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it
>> > > >
>> > > But one that's easily disproven. NCIC refers.
>> >
>> > NCIC? gigo
>
> again NCIC means what?
>
>> >
>> > and so what
>>
>> "So what"...?!?!
>>
>> You've just re=proven my assertions about your cowardace in
>> the
>> face of facts.
>>
>> Insinuate my father was a thief, and when I suggest that you
>> find
>> out for your selg you try that "GIGO" routine.
>
> My selg?
> But you lie I never insinulated your father was a thief I stated
> quite
> plainly that one of your
> male forefathers was a thief
>
> Roberson or robeson are coruptions of RobberSon look it up in the
> work
> of the Brothers Grim
>
>>
>> If you want to see "garbage", re-read all of the posts under
>> your
>> own hand...THERE'S garbage.

>
> nO don't want to see garbe which maybe I should stop reading your
> posts
>
>>
>> > > Brian P Burke and Mark C. Morgan....Liars Lying Together.
>> > >
>> > > What a world we've sunk to.....
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

579. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:12:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120601569.360895.113120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111989

From: "Dee Flint" on Mon 4 Jul 2005 20:59

>"KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:RFjye.3756$8f7.2636@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>>
>>> So here we are.
>>>
>> Yup, and no one has persuaded me it can't be done. I've only been
>> persuaded that we haven't figured out how yet. (Sorta reminds you,
>> doesn't it, of how those old-tymey hams must have felt when they were told
>> to take their party to "200 meters and below".) You, Jim, and Dee
>> bemoaning how hard it will be, and John raising the tantalizing notion
>> that we may only be a few "eureka!!!"s away from something workable.
>> Outside my area of competence, but I'll watch the dialog with interest.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>Frommy understanding of John's comments, he is saying it can be done now
>with current technology.

I've seen live, streaming video on my computer years ago, all
working through ONLY the 3 KHz bandwidth of my single telephone
line. There ARE thousands of examples. Today.

> He does not however tell us how.

This newsgroup does not support binaries with the attendant
schematics, simplified diagrams, equations, etc., etc., etc.
There are dozens of BOOKS available "in the engineering
profession" (as well as purchaseable from Amazon.com) on the
subject.

> He just chatters
>on about "compressing it enough" without stating the degree of compression,
>etc.

"In the engineering profession" (where I've been for decades)
lots of Design Reviews had "chatting." They also had arguments,
sometimes heated, where one would adamantly REFUSE to believe
in an explanation...! Senior type, titled, etc., etc., etc.
[several anecdotes could be inserted here but I digress...]
Sound familiar? :-)

INFORMATION compression is going on all the time in nearly ALL communications media. [I use "information" rather than "data" to avoid the emotional baggage associated with "lesser" forms of amateur communications, "lesser" relative to the epitome of all amateur radio modes (morse code).

Most wired telephone calls are digitized, compressed, re-expanded on circuits to distant central offices. Modems operating on telco lines (limited bandwidth of about 3 KHz) do it locally. Webcams - at the arbitrary Coslo standard of 7 frames per second or faster or slower - do that over the same telco bandwidth. Wired telemetry of many and varied forms coupled through telco circuits do that. All of those operate in bandwidths almost exactly that of an amateur SSB voice circuit.

>Hey I'm all for the "eureka" when it happens but the problem is that it is
>unpredictable.

Do you wish for EXACT dates of miracles? Scheduled epiphanies? :-)

Or aren't you just being snarly for the purposes of winning message points for yourself in this newsgroup?

> Not only is it unpredictable in time but in the nature of
>the breakthrough.

How can you say that, given that you are "in the engineering profession?" Have you given up reading of the breakthroughs in recent history of the "engineering profession?" They are many.

A retrospective:

1. Ed Armstrong was told his FM system won't ever be as good as good old, practical, used-every-day AM (then all of a bit over a decade old) and that he should give up. FM broadcasting got very practical...evolved not only to binaural ("stereo") sound (compatible with monaural) but also to carry an isolated, independent sound circuit (such as "storecast"). It works.

2. Mobile FM was described as impractical, wouldn't work as good as AM, but Link and Motorola said phooey to that and proved it was good, beginning with police department two-way radios prior to WW2. The U.S. military saw that, said great, lets do that for everything from backpack walkie-talkies to tank radios. It works.

3. Single Sideband Suppressed Carrier modulation is inefficient, impractical, too costly, too complex for amateur radio use said the olde-tyme hamme old-fahrts. "You can't get me to believe it works!" said many in private. It's now standard voice on HF. It works.

4. You can't make an active amplifying device without vacuum or gas said the olde-tyme tube makers. Three guys at Bell Labs

showed them different back in 1948, eventually won a Nobel Prize. A new hire at Texas Instruments, not allowed a company vacation, made the first integrated circuit during the plant close-down. [Jack Kilby, who recently passed away after many many honors] Integrated circuits are now a mainstay in all electronics (which includes "radio"). [I am looking at a virtual 17-inch integrated circuit called an "TFT flat panel display" with at least one transistor junction per pixel as I write this] Solid state devices work well.

5. It is impossible to send data through a 3 KHz bandwidth at faster than 300 bits per second (300 Baud) said the literalist lookers-at-only-conventional-modulation-simplistic-explanations. Impossible! they kept saying at each stage of rate increases to 1200, then 2400, then 9600, and finally to 56,000 bits per second. Those all work fine. [56K modems are near bumping the upper limit of Shannon's Law]

6. Olde-tyme experts involved with analog image transmission insisted one needs much bandwidth to transmit video, at least 4.5 MHz for NTSC, 5.0 MHz for PAL. IT MUST BE THAT WIDE! they shouted. MPEG (Motion Picture Experts Group) said not quite and proved it. The "Grand Alliance" (industry-broadcaster association) evolved HDTV which carries 20 MHz analog bandwidth video, quadraphonic sound, closed-captioning text with alternate languages, and an optional isolated sound channel all in digital WITHIN a 6 MHz bandwidth...the video having nearly double the pixel resolution of old NTSC analog video. It works. Not only that, it works with perfect clarity down to the minimum RF signal level.

7. AT&T brought out "PicturePhone" in the 1960s. Rather wide bandwidth but with some compression by slowing frame rate, it evolved to work over standard telco lines (and limited bandwidth). It failed, not from anything technical, just from customers turning the picture OFF for privacy. It was withdrawn for reasons of not producing a profit. After divestiture a number of entrepreneurs tried various schemes of their own, but with marginal acceptance in the market. One-way broadcast-like "webcams" are the only result...but do allow streaming video over dial-up, limited bandwidth telco lines connecting to the Internet. "Slow-scan" works well technically, just ins't accepted.

8. Good old reliable manual telegraphy was 56 years old in 1900, mature industry that had spread worldwide. Average throughput was perhaps 20 words per minute. Then teleprinters got developed and standardization had begun. Teleprinting TOOK OVER the wired manual morse code telegraph business and "telegrams" began being sent by teleprinter. Morsemen were being "downsized" (out of work, replaced by 60 word per minute machinery operable by non-

specialists). Radio saved them from finding new work. Electro-mechanical teleprinters eventually evolved to 100 words per minute in commerce, industry, and government. Then the electro-mechanical teleprinters were themselves "downsized" by electronic data transmission means, much faster, and with on-line encryption for security.

9. You can't possibly put a two-way radio in a telephone handset (along with image and data transmission) that works at microwave frequencies cried the olde-tyme telephone experts...they will all interfere with one another they echoed. The U.S. Census Bureau said that two years ago the number of cellular telephone in the USA had reached 100 MILLION subscribers. Cell phones are now a part of our lives. They fit easily into a shirt pocket or purse. They work well in a cell area.

10. You can't possible send thousands of digitized voices over a single optical fiber cried the communications experts decades ago. It isn't as good or practical as copper wire lines they sang in chorus. Fiber-optic carrier systems now operate at 4 GHz bits per second and are self-repeating (amplification) by means of a second optical "pump" wavelength. The longest carrier line in the world goes from the UK through the Med through the Indian Ocean, around southeast Asia and on up to Japan. It works.

11. You can't possibly put a mainframe computer in every home said the experts of 1960. One expert even said that no more than a dozen mainframes would do the entire job of computing for the USA then. Today's personal computers in laptop size have 100 times the clock rate, 1000 times (or more) mass memory storage than the largest mainframe computers of 1960...and cost less than $2000 each (for laptops, half that for desktops). Those work very well...except for some operators of same.

12. You can't possibly put an entire 3-hour motion picture on a single CD said the movie experts of 1970, citing the equal impossibility of putting 6 hours worth of music on the same size disk! The MPEG showed them how. Today DVDs are fast replacing the older bulkier VHS tape cartridges and music CDs have taken over from vinyl disc "LPs." All the major auto makers make options for having DVD players for back-seat passengers; my wife and I declined that option on buying a new Malibu MAXX two weeks ago...the standard MAXX rear sound console with wireless headsets (stereo) was good enough for us. The DVD works very well.

13. Todays ready-built amateur transceivers are more digital than analog, "bells and whistles" are an easy task to add with a good programmer and interface designer...can include memories, a separate "split" VFO, digital signal processing, even a spectral display to see signals on either side of what you are tuned to...all for less than $4K (list) or slightly more if the entire "radio" is to be controlled entirely by a personal computer. Imagine what the size

of those would be if done entirely in analog circuitry...couldn't possibly fit on a desktop. Want squeaky-narrow bandwidths for that 109 year old style radiotelegraph signal? Easy, just use DSP, all digital using a microprocessor operating at high clock rates.

All of the above happened within my lifetime. I watched some of it happen even before being IN the engineering profession. My father and father-in-law were both born in the year 1900...a year before Marconi got his S across the Atlantic, three years before the Wrights finally succeeded in sustained HEAVIER-THAN-AIR flight at Kitty Hawk. They both watched the first humans walking on the moon over live television in their lifetimes.

"Breakthroughs" are always happening. If you really pay attention to the engineering profession you are "in," you would see that. Those happen because a few humans have the curiosity, the willingness
to TRY to make something new work. They are seldom disauded by the self-propelled "experts" who say "it can't possibly work!"

Or, you can sit back in the recreation of yesteryear, championing a 161-year-old primitive manual communication mode, getting all kinds of nice certificates (suitable for framing) for becoming expert at carrier-banging and pronounce judgements upon the "improper" attitudes of others...in a recreational radio HOBBY.

"CW gets through when anything else will" - B. Burke

LenAnderson@ieee.org

580. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:19:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120601946.684500.75080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111990

From: Mike Coslo on Mon 4 Jul 2005 23:03

>K□B wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>>
>>>So here we are.
>>>
>> Yup, and no one has persuaded me it can't be done. I've only been persuaded
>> that we haven't figured out how yet. (Sorta reminds you, doesn't it, of how
>> those old-tymey hams must have felt when they were told to take their party to
>> "200 meters and below".) You, Jim, and Dee bemoaning how hard it will be, and
>> John raising the tantalizing notion that we may only be a few "eureka!!!"s away
>> from something workable.
>
> He also give a lot of solid technical ways in which this can be done, eh?

Coslonaut, this newsgroup is NOT an educational institution.
Binary files containing schematics, pictures, other diagrams
are not allowed here...along with PPT files and other slide
stuff necessary to TEACH the iggorants.

>> Outside my area of competence, but I'll watch the
>> dialog with interest.
>
> Hey, Hans, ignorance is not a crime!

The Coslonaut has intimated so, demanding an Instant Education
into Information Theory in as few words as possible.

> Note that Jim brought up an
>*actual* method of trying to do a lot of BW using 256 or more phase
>angles that are decoded by the receiving station.

Tsk, he should write a Paper on that and submit it somewhere.

[harf!]

>That is not likely to
>work at HF, but a simplified version of this is used for some satellite
>comms.

So, what do you "think" makes a 56,000 bit per second modem
work over 3 KHz bandwidth telephone lines?

"Some satellite comms?" Which "some?" Be specific. The
geosynchronous orbit positions for communications satellites
have all been filled three years ago.

> they (see my link in my post to Jim) note that QPSK is more
>reliable - or at least suffers less from link degradation - same thing,
>than 8PSK. But there is some theory there that can be discussed.

There are hundreds and hundreds of other sources for THEORY
available for free over the Internet, ranging from simple
to math-heavy complex. You choose as you wish for YOUR
personal education.

> And as for "bemoaning", I have been asking for something based in
>solid theory since early in this thread. Most of what I have gotten in
>return is that I am an olde tyme ham (untrue) stuck on CW with my Bug
>(paraphrased, but laughably untrue), and topic shifted to DRM voice
>(technically working, but beside the point). That ain't substance.

Do you think every single posting in here is a "judgement on
your technical competence?!? How long have you had this
paranoic compulsion? Seek help.

DRM voice AND music is NOT "beside the point." It works.
On HF. Can be on LF through VHF. It has been working for
five years, successfully. Its future will be determined by
the shortwave broadcasting market (not a lucratie one since
the beginning of radio) listeners.

DRM uses both information compression and digital signal
processing to shape its spectral content into a 12 KHz
maximum bandwidth. The same principles can squeeze voice
only into a 3 KHz bandwidth.

The information compression and digital signal processing
is NOT an easy-to-digest subject. It requires many hours of
study to begin to get started knowing what it is about. You
want simplistic solutions in single messages, then become
emotionally upset when you don't get them. Tsk.

What you have for viability, for proof, is that there are
MANY different methods to send good communications through
limited bandwidths. Those have been named. The next step is
up to you, whether you are sincere in a desire to learn or
not. Nobody is going to waste their personal time and energy
giving you a FREE education. You have NOT earned that yet.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

581. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:20:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120602024.010277.184400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111991

From: Mike Coslo on Mon 4 Jul 2005 23:36

>K□B wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>>>Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
>>>without exceeding reasonable band widths.
>
> So, fit it into 3KHz, if that will be legal. John's system is
>forthcoming soon. Live video will be broadcast on HF, probably in a few
>months.

The Coslonaut bragged over reaching "the threshold of space" last year. It is now nearly mid-summer and there are no signs of his amazing feat (for which he wanted much praise) announced last year and going where other hams have gone before.

Now the CEO-effective of Coslonautics wants a FREE education of live, streaming, theater-quality moom pitchas over HF...when he can't seem to grasp the fundamentals of how 56K modems work.

Weird science in here. Tsk.

Coslonaut, suck up a flaggon of Fed Std ALE before you get lost in your own snarly rhetoric. You need some downers of some kind.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

582. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:21:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120602108.127761.313730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111992

From: Mike Coslo on Mon 4 Jul 2005 23:46

>John Smith wrote:
>
> I'm willing to help you with the initial experiments. In fact, in the
>interest of the furtherance of Ham radio, science, and mankind, I have
>challenged you to produce such a system.

Tsk. Coslonautics, ink, is still challenged to reach the "threshold of space" as announced last year...going where other ham radio balloons have gone before. It is now nearly mid-summer and no flight, no tests, no words.

"Up up and awaaayyyyy....!"

We are all awaiting your famous flight to advance ham radio, science and mankind in general.

Yawn....

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

583. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:29:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FRDye.14625$na5.15961181@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111993

N2EY:

First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a separate transmitter and receiver. The second modem can be a USR internal if you don't have two serial ports for externals.

To run duplex with one modem, there is some kind of patch device they used to keep the receiver output from getting on the mic input of the transmitter (but the modem had simultaneous access to both)--and for the life of me, I can't remember what it was called, first time I had ever seen one. When I get a chance, I will ask about it. You might know what it is/was?

Second, it works, build one--or--draw it on paper and decide it does not work.

I am on to other things, I got tired of webcams years ago. Don't even video chat on irc, MSN Messenger, ICQ messenger or yahoo messenger much anymore. And that is much easier than "Mac Amateur IM."

I can tell, this argument will shortly switch to rules and regulations, it always does, and I have no interest in having such recited to me. Expect only my bad nature in return.

I didn't do the hardware or even know the "true nature" of the signal which comes out of that modem and hits the phone line or a mike in. I just toyed with the software and watched it work--it "lived" in my garage for a year or so.

I am into my "universal translator" these days and trying to set up to chat fluently with the russians...

I think the russian girls are kind of cute... <grin>

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120600074.721033.160750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> Will you agree that a 56K phone modem, does indeed, transmit this
>> data
>> rate with an audio bandwidth of ~300Hz to ~5000K, and if you do so
>> agree, how can you argue this cannot fit in a HF AM RF signal which
>> only goes 2.5K each side of center frequency??????????

>
> Of course it can.
>
> The question is whether the RF path will have characteristics
> comparable to those of the telephone line.
>
>> Are you NOT imposing an audio frequency of AT LEAST a 5K bandwidth
>> on
>> the rf carrier with normal speech?
>
> No. Typical ham transceivers only need about 2.5 kHz of audio
> bandwidth.
>
>> (actually, most quality
>> transceivers have a wider audio bandwidth than this which can be
>> set
>> +/-) and if you agree you are indeed, how can you argue that 5K
>> bandwidth can carry a 56K data rate over a phone line--and NOT a hf
>> rf
>> signal???? That looks insane to me?
>
> It's a question of the characteristics of the RF path. Certainly
> there
> are some paths that will support the amplitude- and phase- stable
> requirements of the 56K modem - and some paths that won't.
>
> On top of that is the fact that most RF paths aren't full duplex.
> How
> fast is the 56K modem in half-duplex with transmit-receive
> switching?
>>
>> The modem is NOT using the whole 5K bandwidth--necessarily, there
>> is
>> compression into a narrower bandwidth which can and is generally
>> software controlled--if necessary (the modems software is a LOT
>> smarter than most give it credit for, especially in the case of the
>> old "onboard processor" and "hardware logic" USRobotics external
>> modems.
>>
>> You need to explain to me why it even begins to look difficult to
>> you
>> for me to be able to understand what you are asking?
>>
>> As, I have to be missing something here...
>
> You are. Do you think HF offers the same transmission
> characteristics
> as a telephone line?
>
>> You know, I have not even looked to see on the web, but aren't tons

>> of
>> people doing this right now as we newsgroup?
>
> On telephone wires or HF radio?
>>
>> I suppose you could actually use the rf signal as data carrier
>> itself
>> and modulate it directly through on/off switching, as opposed to
>> modulating the rf carrier with the audio data carrier... but that
>> would take some heavy duty equip mods/revamps, if it didn't wipe
>> out
>> the neighbors cable tv! <grin>
>>
>> Think about this:
>> at 100 mhz if you can precisely control the EXACT amplitude of each
>> and every cycle of rf out the back end of the xmitter, you have a
>> virtual 100mbs data carrier... most are working here... 450 MHz?
>> 1Ghz? 12Ghz?
>
> Think about the stability of the RF path at HF.
>>
>> ... and of course, the receiver has to be able to decipher the
>> amplitudes of each cycle back to a data stream for the video
>> card...
>>
>> ... this is the land where dreamers are...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:S7GdndEnm_4lQVTfRVn-hQ@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:EJjye.8858$KE.7739416@news.sisna.com...
>> >> Mike:
>> >>
>> >> 300 baud is ridiculous, in Dee's first post mentioning 300 baud
>> >> I
>> >> tossed it out the window--that was fine up to about 1985, then
>> >> only
>> >> the mentally challenged continued to run 300 baud modems!
>> >>
>> >
>> > Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300
>> > baud
>> > on HF without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a
>> > whole
>> > lot of things, not just video, that would be nice to do.
>> >
>> > How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.

>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>

584. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:47:19 UTC
From: Han's Buddy <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com>
Message-ID: <daf2ehjqq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111994

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...
> I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on it.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?

585. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:50:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <x8Eye.4878$aY6.2265@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111995

I could give a rats ass less if you, or anyone else likes my sentence structure. I have found that when one criticizes structure and spelling, they have run out of arguments.

As for you being a sham.....you just answered that. You come on here trying to act like you know something about a particular subject in Amateur Radio, and you make a complete fool of yourself. Then when someone tries to explain it to you, you proceed to make fun of that. Or ignore him/her totally.

And no I don't have "examples" handy. And won't waste my time looking for any. You know that is how you are.

And no Kim , I don't think my judgment of you is all that far off. And the majority of that is because of your choice of vanity callsigns. It brings shame on you and the Amateur Service.

That is my opinion and if you don't like it. Tuff.

Dan/W4NTI

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:3Hjye.1346$vT3.624@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> It was mostly, Dan, to highlight that mistakes--and ignorance--can and do
> happen in any circumstance. That I do not understand the technicality of
> most of amateur radio, is as much similar to the fact that you make common
> grammatical and spelling errors in a language you fluently speak.
>
> So, as abrasive as you are, surely you are human enough to recognize that
> your criticism, chagrin, hateful conduct, and judgment of me is pretty
> darned ridiculous. If you are not human enough, so be it. And, come to
> think of it, your sentence structure, below, should have been: "But, come
> to
> think of it, sham is appropriate for you, too."
>
> You may as well define what sham I am undertaking. Are you implying that
> I
> am not a licensed amateur radio operator? What "sham," Dan?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Smjye.3801$aY6.1819@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> As soon as I hit the send key I realized the error. But come to think
>> of

>> it sham is appropriate for you too.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:dO1ye.572$vT3.125@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:Js0ye.16942$eM6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >> Hey Kim.....so what?.....At least I didn't pay (how many bux???) for a
>> >> callsign that brings sham on yourself.
>> >>
>> >> How you like that?
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >
>> > "shame"
>> >
>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

586. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 22:57:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jcCdnRvIHoApjVbfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 111996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120529308.132706.13840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks to all of you, data was found, I'm now kc6tcn/ag.
>

Congratulations and hope to work you on the air.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

587. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 23:01:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120601697.708323.83530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111997

From: Mike Coslo on Mon 4 Jul 2005 23:18

>Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
>> without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole lot of things,
>> not just video, that would be nice to do.
>>
>> How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> John has been challenged. His system for real time video via HF will be
>posted soon, TTPUOSU!

No, John has NOT "been challenged." Real-time video that fits into
the bandwidth of a SSB voice signal is available from Internet over
3 KHz bandwidth telco lines today, last year, the year before that,
by the thousands. It is HERE. You or anyone can go down to the
computer store in your town and buy the components, put them
together yourself. [a few computers have had them built-in] Those
work in a 3 KHz bandwidth.

Hmmm...on "challenges." The Coslonaut said he would reach "the
threshold of space" last year. He was going where ham radio
had already gone before. So far he hasn't gone.

Remember, when you gotta go, you GOTTA go.

As to your "challenges," I invoke the Byte Brothers: FYDITM!

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

588. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 23:02:48 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <8ysft5dfamwg6fj.050720051602@kirk>
Source record: 111998

Kim:

bow down and worship these men, they are giants in their own minds

they are heroes of radio

the are the knights of rf

they are shit

dan has treated others badly and he has a whole group like him here,
they are a hideously uncouth bunch

get a tough skin quick or be washed away like the other light-weights

sit back and watch them beat up on others for a bit, that will give
you the ability to realize you are nothing special, they do it to
anyone not in their little 'homies group'

just do not join up with them, there are too many here already

LGA

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:3Hjye.1346$vT3.624@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> It was mostly, Dan, to highlight that mistakes--and ignorance--can
> and do
> happen in any circumstance. That I do not understand the
> technicality of
> most of amateur radio, is as much similar to the fact that you make
> common
> grammatical and spelling errors in a language you fluently speak.
>
> So, as abrasive as you are, surely you are human enough to recognize
> that
> your criticism, chagrin, hateful conduct, and judgment of me is
> pretty
> darned ridiculous. If you are not human enough, so be it. And,
> come to
> think of it, your sentence structure, below, should have been: "But,
> come to
> think of it, sham is appropriate for you, too."
>
> You may as well define what sham I am undertaking. Are you implying
> that I
> am not a licensed amateur radio operator? What "sham," Dan?
>

> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Smjye.3801$aY6.1819@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> As soon as I hit the send key I realized the error. But come to
>> think of
>> it sham is appropriate for you too.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:dO1ye.572$vT3.125@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:Js0ye.16942$eM6.61@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >> Hey Kim.....so what?.....At least I didn't pay (how many bux???)
>> >> for a
>> >> callsign that brings sham on yourself.
>> >>
>> >> How you like that?
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >
>> > "shame"
>> >
>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>
>

589. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 23:09:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <VqEye.31$cg.3@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111999

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
> John Smith II:
>
> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
> here.
>
> John Smith
>
> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews...
> >I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!
> >
> >
>

They only are anonymous to the average John Doe.

Believe me, you say the wrong thing and attract the wrong attention, you'll be anonymous for only about an hour at best.

After which, you may well be thrown into prison and held without charges for years.

Is this a great country or what?

Get over it, anonymity has been gone for over a decade.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

590. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 23:14:09 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <uq1ds6g00r1uz2n.050720051614@kirk>
Source record: 111900

really

LGA

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:VqEye.31$cg.3@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
>> John Smith II:
>>
>> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
>> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
>> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
>> here.
>>
>> John Smith
>>
>> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews...
>> >I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!
>> >
>> >
>>
>
> They only are anonymous to the average John Doe.
>
> Believe me, you say the wrong thing and attract the wrong attention,
> you'll
> be anonymous for only about an hour at best.
>
> After which, you may well be thrown into prison and held without
> charges for
> years.
>
> Is this a great country or what?
>
> Get over it, anonymity has been gone for over a decade.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

>
>
>

591. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 23:20:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111901

"Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
news:daf2ehjqq0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...
> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on it.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
>
>
> So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?
>

I am hardly a "toady". You may not be aware, but I served in the U.S. Navy during the Vietnam war. There had been a typhoon on Saipan that wiped out the Coast Guard tower there.

Hans was sent to try and re-establish communications with Guam.

I was the individual on Guam who made the initial contact and the amateurs relayed traffic for a number of days between Saipan and ComNavMar. Hans was on the Saipan end, where most everything had been leveled.

I respect Hans simply because he has *earned* it.

Is that such a difficult concept?

Hans did more for his country in a week than most do in a lifetime. Frankly, I couldn't care less about the folks that want to dismiss Hans; as I stated, he served, served well, and served proudly. I have no problem with that.

I suspect you'll be one of the folks in the years to come that will condemn the guys and gals serving in Iraq and Afghanistan today. You'll be another one voting to cut VA services. Thank you so much.

Where did you serve? Or did you serve like our current Commandant-In-Chief?

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

592. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 23:29:18 UTC
From: LittleGreenAlien <lga@aliens.r.us.org>
Message-ID: <rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk>
Source record: 111902

YAWN

i seen that movie

arnold swartzenegger played the part better

ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz

LGA

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
> news:daf2ehjqq0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...
>> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light
>> > on it.
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>>
>>
>> So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?
>>
>
> I am hardly a "toady". You may not be aware, but I served in the
> U.S. Navy
> during the Vietnam war. There had been a typhoon on Saipan that
> wiped out
> the Coast Guard tower there.
>
> Hans was sent to try and re-establish communications with Guam.
>
> I was the individual on Guam who made the initial contact and the
> amateurs
> relayed traffic for a number of days between Saipan and ComNavMar.
> Hans was
> on the Saipan end, where most everything had been leveled.
>
> I respect Hans simply because he has *earned* it.
>
> Is that such a difficult concept?

>
> Hans did more for his country in a week than most do in a lifetime.
> Frankly, I couldn't care less about the folks that want to dismiss
> Hans; as
> I stated, he served, served well, and served proudly. I have no
> problem
> with that.
>
> I suspect you'll be one of the folks in the years to come that will
> condemn
> the guys and gals serving in Iraq and Afghanistan today. You'll be
> another
> one voting to cut VA services. Thank you so much.
>
> Where did you serve? Or did you serve like our current
> Commandant-In-Chief?
>
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

593. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-05 23:41:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120606915.393231.145460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111903

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a separate
> transmitter and receiver.

Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter transmits.
On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!

Bwwwahaha!

594. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:29:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120609759.558398.295750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111904

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > N2EY:
> >
> > First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a
> > separate transmitter and receiver.

"John" just convinced me that he knows very little about
radio - HF amateur radio in particular.
>
> Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter
> transmits.
> On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!
>
> Bwwwahaha!

Did you notice there was no mention of antennas?

Poor soul prolly doesn't know what "QSK" means, either.

73 de Jim, N2EY

595. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:29:23 UTC
From: John Smith <JS@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1120609804.3754379e2eec9980738c8772db8980c5@teranews>
Source record: 111905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.top-posting.fuckwits

```
"LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
> YAWN
>
> i seen that movie
>
> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>
> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz
>
> LGA
>
Which movie was it John Smith.....er LGA?
>
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
>> news:daf2eh$jqq$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on
>>> > it.
>>> >
>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>> So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?
>>>
>>
>> I am hardly a "toady". You may not be aware, but I served in the U.S.
>> Navy
>> during the Vietnam war. There had been a typhoon on Saipan that wiped
>> out
>> the Coast Guard tower there.
>>
>> Hans was sent to try and re-establish communications with Guam.
>>
```

>> I was the individual on Guam who made the initial contact and the
>> amateurs
>> relayed traffic for a number of days between Saipan and ComNavMar. Hans
>> was
>> on the Saipan end, where most everything had been leveled.
>>
>> I respect Hans simply because he has *earned* it.
>>
>> Is that such a difficult concept?
>>
>> Hans did more for his country in a week than most do in a lifetime.
>> Frankly, I couldn't care less about the folks that want to dismiss Hans;
>> as
>> I stated, he served, served well, and served proudly. I have no problem
>> with that.
>>
>> I suspect you'll be one of the folks in the years to come that will
>> condemn
>> the guys and gals serving in Iraq and Afghanistan today. You'll be
>> another
>> one voting to cut VA services. Thank you so much.
>>
>> Where did you serve? Or did you serve like our current
>> Commandant-In-Chief?
>>
>>
>>
>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

596. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:42:18 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120610538.823374.158450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Paul Traina wrote:
> Thanks to all of you, data was found, I'm now kc6tcn/ag.

Paul, congrats.

Live long and prosper and don't sweat over the code.

597. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:44:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120610647.316437.193210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111907

I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.

So here goes:

Leo wrote:
> On 29 Jun 2005 16:10:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> You must decide!
> >> >> >> You must decide.
> >> >> You must.
> >> >
> >> >You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.

> >You missed the reference completely, then.
>
> You cannot answer a question with a question.
>
I didn't!

> Heh heh heh......you have been a puppet for a long time.

Some would say since Arrival
>
> Decide!
>> Break free.
> >> Decide.
> >
> >Why?
>
> You must.

Sounds like a Hammer pounding Into an Anvil

> >> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
> >> >> >suggest *I* take.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> That is your current reality.

> >> >> >
> >> >> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Not at all.
> >> >
> >> >I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C

> >>
> >> There are only two choices available to you.
> >
> >Says who?
>
> There are two.

> >> A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
> >>
> >> There is no C.
> >
> >There's always a C.
>
> Not in a two variable equation.
>
> Such as this.

Do you want me to deny the truth just to permit Living in Harmony?

> Owned. Or Free.
>
> Wimp. Or Strong.
>
> Dit. Or Dah. (mandatory radio content hi hi)

Sounds like an order from The General..
> >If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
> >Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.
>
> Not true.

That's what it all boils down to.
>
> >All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to > >tell me to shut up without using those words.
>
> Not true.
>
> Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?

No.
>
> Why?

> >> Polish - or Avoid.
> >>

> >I'm not Polish.
>
> You are polishing. Turds.

Not me!
>
> >> >
> >> >That's what you're telling me to do.
> >> >
> >> >You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
> >>
> >> It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.
> >
> >Sure it can: the two words "shut up"
>
> Do you feel that this is your only logical option, then?
>
> Is there no other way?
>
> >
> >> Owned - or Free.
> >
> >Speak out - or Shut Up.
> >
> >That's what it means.
>
> Be trolled - or not.
>
> That's what it means.
>
How is one different from the other?

> >
> The series "Kung Fu" was set in the American Southwest in the 1870s.
>
> Before radio was invented.

But not before callsigns were invented!
>
> Wow! That's one five star education yer packin there,
> podner......yee haw!

Do you think callsigns were invented for radio? Think again.

> >> Your current situation requires a different metaphor:

> >> "A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
> >> path. What should he do?

> >> If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his
> >> way. But, if
> >> he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the

> >> expectation that
> >> it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he
> >> would be quite foolish indeed."

Agreed!

He could also avoid the hazard, and point it out to others so
they do not trod in it.

> >So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here
> >are turds.
> >
> >I think you have a point there!
>
> Hope springs anew!
>
> That's why the polishing isn't going too well sometimes.
>
So you agree! Excellent!

Now let us consider.....

If your description of Len's posts (the product) is accurate, what does
that make the producer of said product?

That pretty much sums it up, I think.

> >--
> >
> >Psychotics build castles in the air
> >Neurotics live in them
> >Shrinks collect the rent
> -

598. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:47:39 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120610859.162585.99850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111908

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
> 'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?

Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?

599. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:51:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xWFye.14635$L56.16575630@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111909

The one where arnold played me, the real John Smith.

You remember, you were my double there!

ROFLOL

John

"John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1120609804.3754379e2eec9980738c8772db8980c5@teranews...
>
> "LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
> news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
>> YAWN
>>
>> i seen that movie
>>
>> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>>
>> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz
>>
>> LGA
>>
> Which movie was it John Smith.....er LGA?
>>
>>
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny...
>>>
>>> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
>>> news:daf2ehjqq0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some
>>>> > light on it.
>>>> >
>>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?
>>>>

>>>
>>> I am hardly a "toady". You may not be aware, but I served in the
>>> U.S. Navy
>>> during the Vietnam war. There had been a typhoon on Saipan that
>>> wiped out
>>> the Coast Guard tower there.
>>>
>>> Hans was sent to try and re-establish communications with Guam.
>>>
>>> I was the individual on Guam who made the initial contact and the
>>> amateurs
>>> relayed traffic for a number of days between Saipan and ComNavMar.
>>> Hans was
>>> on the Saipan end, where most everything had been leveled.
>>>
>>> I respect Hans simply because he has *earned* it.
>>>
>>> Is that such a difficult concept?
>>>
>>> Hans did more for his country in a week than most do in a
>>> lifetime.
>>> Frankly, I couldn't care less about the folks that want to dismiss
>>> Hans; as
>>> I stated, he served, served well, and served proudly. I have no
>>> problem
>>> with that.
>>>
>>> I suspect you'll be one of the folks in the years to come that
>>> will condemn
>>> the guys and gals serving in Iraq and Afghanistan today. You'll
>>> be another
>>> one voting to cut VA services. Thank you so much.
>>>
>>> Where did you serve? Or did you serve like our current
>>> Commandant-In-Chief?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>> Jim AA2QA
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

600. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:54:13 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <arnie@terminators.org>
Message-ID: <bt28llagfd2pkie.050720051754@kirk>
Source record: 111910

JAH!

me the arnie baby was the star

don't forget it

arnold

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:xWFye.14635$L56.16575630@news.sisna.com...
> The one where arnold played me, the real John Smith.
>
> You remember, you were my double there!
>
> ROFLOL
>
> John
>
> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:1120609804.3754379e2eec9980738c8772db8980c5@teranews...
>>
>> "LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
>> news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
>>> YAWN
>>>
>>> i seen that movie
>>>
>>> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>>>
>>> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz
>>>
>>> LGA
>>>
>> Which movie was it John Smith.....er LGA?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny...
>>>>
>>>> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:daf2ehjqq0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...

>>>>> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some
>>>>> > light on it.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I am hardly a "toady". You may not be aware, but I served in the
>>>> U.S. Navy
>>>> during the Vietnam war. There had been a typhoon on Saipan that
>>>> wiped out
>>>> the Coast Guard tower there.
>>>>
>>>> Hans was sent to try and re-establish communications with Guam.
>>>>
>>>> I was the individual on Guam who made the initial contact and the
>>>> amateurs
>>>> relayed traffic for a number of days between Saipan and
>>>> ComNavMar. Hans was
>>>> on the Saipan end, where most everything had been leveled.
>>>>
>>>> I respect Hans simply because he has *earned* it.
>>>>
>>>> Is that such a difficult concept?
>>>>
>>>> Hans did more for his country in a week than most do in a
>>>> lifetime.
>>>> Frankly, I couldn't care less about the folks that want to
>>>> dismiss Hans; as
>>>> I stated, he served, served well, and served proudly. I have no
>>>> problem
>>>> with that.
>>>>
>>>> I suspect you'll be one of the folks in the years to come that
>>>> will condemn
>>>> the guys and gals serving in Iraq and Afghanistan today. You'll
>>>> be another
>>>> one voting to cut VA services. Thank you so much.
>>>>
>>>> Where did you serve? Or did you serve like our current
>>>> Commandant-In-Chief?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>>> Jim AA2QA

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

601. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:57:33 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120611453.518439.198610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111911

an_old_friend wrote:
> Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
>
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> > > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
> >
> > Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
> > rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
> > "below 30MHz."
>
> well said
>
> The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> should have

There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.

> > > FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
> > > a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some
> > > research),
> >
> > You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it. Makes
> > you the idiot.
> >
> > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
>
> No it does not it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
> general and extra bands

Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
Quitefine at it.

602. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 00:58:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <91Gye.14636$x76.16644225@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111912

Uh, huh, I won't... lol

John

"Arnold Schwarzenegger" <arnie@terminators.org> wrote in message
news:bt28llagfd2pkie.050720051754@kirk...
> JAH!
>
> me the arnie baby was the star
>
> don't forget it
>
> arnold
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:xWFye.14635$L56.16575630@news.sisna.com...
>> The one where arnold played me, the real John Smith.
>>
>> You remember, you were my double there!
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> John
>>
>> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120609804.3754379e2eec9980738c8772db8980c5@teranews...
>>>
>>> "LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
>>> news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
>>>> YAWN
>>>>
>>>> i seen that movie
>>>>
>>>> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>>>>
>>>> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz
>>>>
>>>> LGA
>>>>
>>> Which movie was it John Smith.....er LGA?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny...

>>>>>
>>>>> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:daf2ehjqq0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...
>>>>>> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some
>>>>>> > light on it.
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>>>> >
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I am hardly a "toady". You may not be aware, but I served in
>>>>> the U.S. Navy
>>>>> during the Vietnam war. There had been a typhoon on Saipan that
>>>>> wiped out
>>>>> the Coast Guard tower there.
>>>>>
>>>>> Hans was sent to try and re-establish communications with Guam.
>>>>>
>>>>> I was the individual on Guam who made the initial contact and
>>>>> the amateurs
>>>>> relayed traffic for a number of days between Saipan and
>>>>> ComNavMar. Hans was
>>>>> on the Saipan end, where most everything had been leveled.
>>>>>
>>>>> I respect Hans simply because he has *earned* it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Is that such a difficult concept?
>>>>>
>>>>> Hans did more for his country in a week than most do in a
>>>>> lifetime.
>>>>> Frankly, I couldn't care less about the folks that want to
>>>>> dismiss Hans; as
>>>>> I stated, he served, served well, and served proudly. I have no
>>>>> problem
>>>>> with that.
>>>>>
>>>>> I suspect you'll be one of the folks in the years to come that
>>>>> will condemn
>>>>> the guys and gals serving in Iraq and Afghanistan today. You'll
>>>>> be another
>>>>> one voting to cut VA services. Thank you so much.
>>>>>
>>>>> Where did you serve? Or did you serve like our current

>>>>> Commandant-In-Chief?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>>>> Jim AA2QA
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

603. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 01:30:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120613454.983861.78100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> you freaky-deeky
>
> i would beat you silly if you just looked sideways at a child of mine

gay bashing hate monger
>
> if i lived in your community i would be outside your house with a
> warning sign right now

yep a gay bashing hate monger
>
> LGA
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120599952.551476.98610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> >> go back to your cold dark cave butt-bandit
> >>
> >> i will be watching you now, do not be looking at young boys with
> >> your
> >> weird desires
> >
> > Ah yes the gays are child molesters craps
> >
> > Grow up
> >
> >>
> >> LGA
> >>
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120593895.880852.249450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> >> >> you are real anxious to make a public display of yourself
> >> >
> >> > Not really
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> go back under your rock
> >> >
```

> >> > what Rock never been under one
> >> >
> >> > I am willing if not eager to battle with folks like you
> >> > with no name and no call for te freedom of all
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > why should he
> >> >> >
> >> >> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> >> >> >> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay ham
> >> >> >> group
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
> >> >> >> 'services'
> >> >> >> you perform
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> "Steve Leyland"
> >> >> >> <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
> >> >> >> wrote
> >> >> >> in message news:dadcshivo1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
> >> >> >> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
> >> >> >> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > :
> >> >> >> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> > :
> >> >> >> > news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the
> >> >> >> > group
> >> >> >> > ::
> >> >> >> > :: b.b. wrote:
> >> >> >> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> >> >> >> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I sound
> >> >> >> > like
> >> >> >> > an
> >> >> >> > :::: insane
> >> >> >> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
> >> >> >> > ::::
> >> >> >> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better,
> >> >> >> > sometimes
> >> >> >> > it
> >> >> >> > is
> >> >> >> > :::: enough others can actually tell.

> >> >> >> > ::::
> >> >> >> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
> >> >> >> > ::::
> >> >> >> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
> >> >> >> > :::: Central Florida
> >> >> >> > :::
> >> >> >> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > --
> >> >> >> > Steve Leyland
> >> >> >> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
> >> >> >> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
> >> >> >> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
> >> >> >> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
> >> >> >> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested
> >> >> >> > will
> >> >> >> > exact
> >> >> >> > it's
> >> >> >> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now, and
> >> >> >> > I
> >> >> >> > don't
> >> >> >> > blame them a bit...
> >> >> >> > ==========
> >> >> >> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like Leland.
> >> >> >> > They
> >> >> >> > are
> >> >> >> > the
> >> >> >> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
> >> >> >> > unsuccessfully
> >> >> >> > in
> >> >> >> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is to
> >> >> >> > completely
> >> >> >> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most
> >> >> >> > intelligent
> >> >> >> > response
> >> >> >> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have
> >> >> >> > nothing."
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
> >> >> >> > ==========
> >> >> >> > "Warning to all:
> >> >> >> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order.
> >> >> >> > Killfile
> >> >> >> > the
> >> >> >> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on
> >> >> >> > his

> >> >> >> > past
> >> >> >> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been warned.
> >> >> >> > *plonk*"
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
> >> >> >> > ==========
> >> >> >> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless, pointless,
> >> >> >> > worthless
> >> >> >> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the
> >> >> >> > nemesis
> >> >> >> > of
> >> >> >> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
> >> >> >> > worthless,
> >> >> >> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less,
> >> >> >> > spineless,
> >> >> >> > flaccid,
> >> >> >> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
> >> >> >> > hunch-backed,
> >> >> >> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
> >> >> >> > buck-toothed,
> >> >> >> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
> >> >> >> > mud-packing,
> >> >> >> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important, arrogant,
> >> >> >> > egomaniacal
> >> >> >> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable
> >> >> >> > binary-poster,
> >> >> >> > and
> >> >> >> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory
> >> >> >> > vomitus
> >> >> >> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
> >> >> >> > ==========
> >> >> >> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
> >> >> >> > And saw what I never had seen;
> >> >> >> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
> >> >> >> > Where I used to play on the green.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
> >> >> >> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
> >> >> >> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
> >> >> >> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
> >> >> >> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
> >> >> >> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
> >> >> >> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > William Blake.
> >> >> >> > ==========

> >> >> >> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are dying,
> >> >> >> > a
> >> >> >> > tribe
> >> >> >> > of
> >> >> >> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put their
> >> >> >> > faith
> >> >> >> > in
> >> >> >> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They shall
> >> >> >> > be
> >> >> >> > known
> >> >> >> > as
> >> >> >> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Native American prophecy
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > |\ _.-'~~""'~`'~)
> >> >> >> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
> >> >> >> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
> >> >> >> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
> >> >> >> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'
> >> >> >> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Meow
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >>
> >>

604. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 01:31:08 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <wvGye.118762$tt5.44244@edtnps90>
Source record: 111914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Honus wrote:

>>"bassing', is that some kind of fishing?
>
>
> There's a trolling joke in there, but I'm not going to be the one to make
> it.

..but we were waiting with baited breath..

mike

605. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 01:33:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120613595.051498.300650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111915

b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
> >
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> > > > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
> > >
> > > Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
> > > rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
> > > "below 30MHz."
> >
> > well said
> >
> > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > should have

break
>
> There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.

you sure it requires even a Ham License I had heard ANY could us the
Alaska freq

>
> > > > FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
> > > > a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some
> > > > research),
> > >
> > > You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it. Makes
> > > you the idiot.
> > >
> > > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
> >
> > No it does not it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
> > general and extra bands
>
> Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
> Quitefine at it.

606. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 01:36:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120613768.425570.94020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

LittleGreenAlien wrote:
> because there has been a string of canadian child molestations and we
> want to rule you out as a possibility

you should be looking Heterosexuals or men that can't deal with being
bi or or gay, not those who are comfortable with theier sexuality

Meaning in term of statitstics you are more like guilty of those
molestations than I

>
> LGA
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120600320.953404.86820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > no never lived in Canada why?
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120593979.260046.271600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > why should he
> >> >> >
> >> >> Because real men don't suck dick, freak.
> >> >
> >> > well you would not know much about real men
> >> >
> >> Ever lived in Canada?
> >
> >

607. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 01:37:48 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <MBGye.2528$vT3.67@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111917

heh heh <BIG HUGE GRIN>

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:x8Eye.4878$aY6.2265@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> I could give a rats ass less if you, or anyone else likes my sentence
> structure. I have found that when one criticizes structure and spelling,
> they have run out of arguments.
>
> As for you being a sham.....you just answered that. You come on here
> trying to act like you know something about a particular subject in
Amateur
> Radio, and you make a complete fool of yourself. Then when someone tries
> to explain it to you, you proceed to make fun of that. Or ignore him/her
> totally.
>
> And no I don't have "examples" handy. And won't waste my time looking for
> any. You know that is how you are.
>
> And no Kim , I don't think my judgment of you is all that far off. And
> the majority of that is because of your choice of vanity callsigns. It
> brings shame on you and the Amateur Service.
>
> That is my opinion and if you don't like it. Tuff.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

608. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 01:38:44 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <arnie@terminators.org>
Message-ID: <b02m15k1xukjn3v.050720051838@kirk>
Source record: 111918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

HA HA HA

you silly girly man

you go stand in corner with saudis

we keek all your butt at one time

you want i should give you suicide bomb to use

arnold

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120613454.983861.78100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> you freaky-deeky
>>
>> i would beat you silly if you just looked sideways at a child of
>> mine
>
> gay bashing hate monger
>>
>> if i lived in your community i would be outside your house with a
>> warning sign right now
>
>
> yep a gay bashing hate monger
>>
>> LGA
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120599952.551476.98610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> >> go back to your cold dark cave butt-bandit
>> >>
>> >> i will be watching you now, do not be looking at young boys with
>> >> your
>> >> weird desires
>> >
>> > Ah yes the gays are child molesters craps
>> >

>> > Grow up
>> >
>> >>
>> >> LGA
>> >>
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1120593895.880852.249450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> >> >> you are real anxious to make a public display of yourself
>> >> >
>> >> > Not really
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> go back under your rock
>> >> >
>> >> > what Rock never been under one
>> >> >
>> >> > I am willing if not eager to battle with folks like you
>> >> > with no name and no call for te freedom of all
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> > why should he
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> >> >> >> why don't you go off with an_old_friend and start a gay
>> >> >> >> ham
>> >> >> >> group
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> i am sure those in your community would thank you for the
>> >> >> >> 'services'
>> >> >> >> you perform
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> > Nobody is his comunity would happy to see a gay ghetto
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> "Steve Leyland"
>> >> >> >> <steveREMOVEDOTINVALIDTOREPLY@meow.org.invalid>
>> >> >> >> wrote
>> >> >> >> in message news:dadcshivo1@bwahahahaha.databasix.com...
>> >> >> >> > Bill O'Riely wrote:
>> >> >> >> > : sicko? not another gay old friend i hope
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > gay laming top-posting fuckwits wanna play it seems.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > :
>> >> >> >> > : "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in

>> >> >> >> > message
>> >> >> >> > :
>> >> >> >> > news:1120514668.027610.238810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >> > :: I hope so since the other choice isa new sicko on the
>> >> >> >> > group
>> >> >> >> > ::
>> >> >> >> > :: b.b. wrote:
>> >> >> >> > ::: Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>> >> >> >> > :::: Somedays I forget to take my psyche meds and I
>> >> >> >> > sound
>> >> >> >> > like
>> >> >> >> > an
>> >> >> >> > :::: insane
>> >> >> >> > :::: person, today has been one of those days.
>> >> >> >> > ::::
>> >> >> >> > :::: When I do take my meds I am a little bit better,
>> >> >> >> > sometimes
>> >> >> >> > it
>> >> >> >> > is
>> >> >> >> > :::: enough others can actually tell.
>> >> >> >> > ::::
>> >> >> >> > :::: Former gay-nude wrangler.
>> >> >> >> > ::::
>> >> >> >> > :::: Michael A. Terrell
>> >> >> >> > :::: Central Florida
>> >> >> >> > :::
>> >> >> >> > ::: Sounds like Steve took on a new personality.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > --
>> >> >> >> > Steve Leyland
>> >> >> >> > mhm32x16 Smeeter#24 WSD#41
>> >> >> >> > flower: three 6 four 9 five 8 eight 9
>> >> >> >> > em ess en: my 1st name at purgatory dot org
>> >> >> >> > Alcatroll Labs Inc (bongwater maintenance dept)
>> >> >> >> > http://www.insurgent.org/~alcatroll/
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > =^MEOW MEOW ARMY^=
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > That cow, pig or chicken that you murdered and ingested
>> >> >> >> > will
>> >> >> >> > exact
>> >> >> >> > it's
>> >> >> >> > revenge upon you from the inside. They're doing so now,
>> >> >> >> > and
>> >> >> >> > I
>> >> >> >> > don't
>> >> >> >> > blame them a bit...
>> >> >> >> > ==
>> >> >> >> > "My suggestion is to completely ignore idiots like
>> >> >> >> > Leland.

>> >> >> >> > They
>> >> >> >> > are
>> >> >> >> > the
>> >> >> >> > lowest form of pond scum. People like him have tried
>> >> >> >> > unsuccessfully
>> >> >> >> > in
>> >> >> >> > the past to disrupt the newsgroup. The best medicine is
>> >> >> >> > to
>> >> >> >> > completely
>> >> >> >> > ignore them. As I'm sure you'll see, they're most
>> >> >> >> > intelligent
>> >> >> >> > response
>> >> >> >> > is to yell nasty names. Other than that, they have
>> >> >> >> > nothing."
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > JG, netKKKop, alt.sailing.asa
>> >> >> >> > ==========
>> >> >> >> > "Warning to all:
>> >> >> >> > Steve Leyland is a trolling twat of the highest order.
>> >> >> >> > Killfile
>> >> >> >> > the
>> >> >> >> > muppet now and move on. Even the briefest of searches on
>> >> >> >> > his
>> >> >> >> > past
>> >> >> >> > UseNet posts will reveal the truth. You have been
>> >> >> >> > warned.
>> >> >> >> > *plonk*"
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Bear, netKKKop, uk.rec.motorcycles
>> >> >> >> > ==========
>> >> >> >> > "I didn't delete any part of your meaningless,
>> >> >> >> > pointless,
>> >> >> >> > worthless
>> >> >> >> > post in order to clearly demonstrate that you are the
>> >> >> >> > nemesis
>> >> >> >> > of
>> >> >> >> > Usenet: the crossposting, non-editing, diagram-creating
>> >> >> >> > worthless,
>> >> >> >> > dickless, brainless, gutless, mindless, ball-less,
>> >> >> >> > spineless,
>> >> >> >> > flaccid,
>> >> >> >> > obese, fish-belly pale, ugly, VD-ridden, moronic, bald,
>> >> >> >> > hunch-backed,
>> >> >> >> > flat-footed, odoriferous, obnoxious, fecal-smelling,
>> >> >> >> > buck-toothed,
>> >> >> >> > physically handicapped, fungus-infected, HIV positive,
>> >> >> >> > mud-packing,
>> >> >> >> > masturbating, whining, simpering, self-important,
>> >> >> >> > arrogant,
>> >> >> >> > egomaniacal

>> >> >> >> > POS that takes up more bandwidth than a despicable
>> >> >> >> > binary-poster,
>> >> >> >> > and
>> >> >> >> > for no apparent reason beyond seeing his own defecatory
>> >> >> >> > vomitus
>> >> >> >> > slithering down the screen in vile green rivulets."
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Admiral Halsey, alt.sailing.asa
>> >> >> >> > ==
>> >> >> >> > "I went to the Garden of Love,
>> >> >> >> > And saw what I never had seen;
>> >> >> >> > A Chapel was built in the midst,
>> >> >> >> > Where I used to play on the green.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > And the gates of this Chapel were shut
>> >> >> >> > And "Thou shalt not," writ over the door;
>> >> >> >> > So I turned to the Garden of Love
>> >> >> >> > That so many sweet flowers bore.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > And I saw it was filled with graves,
>> >> >> >> > And tombstones where flowers should be;
>> >> >> >> > And priests in black gowns were walking their rounds,
>> >> >> >> > And binding with briars my joys and desires."
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > William Blake.
>> >> >> >> > ==
>> >> >> >> > "When the Earth has been ravaged and the animals are
>> >> >> >> > dying,
>> >> >> >> > a
>> >> >> >> > tribe
>> >> >> >> > of
>> >> >> >> > people from all races, creeds and colours shall put
>> >> >> >> > their
>> >> >> >> > faith
>> >> >> >> > in
>> >> >> >> > deeds, not words, and make the land green again. They
>> >> >> >> > shall
>> >> >> >> > be
>> >> >> >> > known
>> >> >> >> > as
>> >> >> >> > Warriors of the Rainbow, protectors of the environment."
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Native American prophecy
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > |\ _.-'~~""'~``'~)
>> >> >> >> > /, ~-,__,,,.'~ ,-;;--''
>> >> >> >> > |,4) ./ ' ; ;/'
>> >> >> >> > '-~~;'@ (; ;
>> >> >> >> > _.--'' _.-_..' .;.'

```
>> >> >> >> > (,_..----''' (,..--''
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Meow
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>> >
>
>
```

609. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 01:40:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120614046.442326.249160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111919

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > N2EY:
> > >
> > > First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a
> > > separate transmitter and receiver.
>
> "John" just convinced me that he knows very little about
> radio - HF amateur radio in particular.
> >
> > Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter
> > transmits.
> > On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!
> >
> > Bwwwahaha!
>
> Did you notice there was no mention of antennas?

Yeah, I realized immediately after I posted that I'd missed that "litle
detail" myself. Nutz, I leaped too fast again. But then again maybe his
RX has a built-in sprinkler system . . ? We dunno . .

> Poor soul prolly doesn't know what "QSK" means, either.

Why would he? Ya don't find a a helluva lot of QSK ops on 11M.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

610. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 02:02:18 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <arnie@terminators.org>
Message-ID: <qsopwfvsuf0ipkv.050720051902@kirk>
Source record: 111920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
JAH!

you plenty funny girly man

HA HA HA

now you go stand in corner

arnold

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120613768.425570.94020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LittleGreenAlien wrote:
>> because there has been a string of canadian child molestations and
>> we
>> want to rule you out as a possibility
>
> you should be looking Heterosexuals or men that can't deal with
> being
> bi or or gay, not those who are comfortable with theier sexuality
>
> Meaning in term of statitstics you are more like guilty of those
> molestations than I
>
>>
>> LGA
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120600320.953404.86820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > no never lived in Canada why?
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1120593979.260046.271600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1120572074.276835.248410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> > why should he
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> Because real men don't suck dick, freak.
```

>> >> >
>> >> > well you would not know much about real men
>> >> >
>> >> Ever lived in Canada?
>> >
>> >
>
>

611. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 02:09:33 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <arnie@terminators.org>
Message-ID: <f0wrnz0qwl6qkvg.050720051909@kirk>
Source record: 111921

no

no girly men on that frequency

now you go stand in corner

arnold

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120613595.051498.300650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> b.b. wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
>> >
>> > b.b. wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > > > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60
>> > > > meter band"
>> > > > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
>> > >
>> > > Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that
>> > > a band
>> > > rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is
>> > > for
>> > > "below 30MHz."
>> >
>> > well said
>> >
>> > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
>> > should have
>>
> break
>>
>> There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
>> amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
>> Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
>
> you sure it requires even a Ham License I had heard ANY could us the
> Alaska freq
>
>>
>> > > > FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously

>> > > > enjoy making
>> > > > a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do
>> > > > some
>> > > > research),
>> > >
>> > > You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it.
>> > > Makes
>> > > you the idiot.
>> > >
>> > > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
>> > > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
>> >
>> > No it does not it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to
>> > the
>> > general and extra bands
>>
>> Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
>> Quitefine at it.
>
>

612. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 02:16:59 UTC
From: Jerseyj <jerseyj69@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jerseyj69-3AFC92.22165805072005@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 111922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <42CA3517.BC46A612@earthlink.net>,
"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> > So what, just because you may not agree with everyone who goes to
> > movies, does that stop you from going to movies? What made you think you
> > had to pay any attention to those "loyal members". What do you care who
> > has the biggest antennas or what brand of radio some other ham uses? I
> > think it is all just a weak excuse about something you didn't want to
> > put any effort into.
>
>
> Look, we've been through this before. I was interested in equipment
> design and construction, not operating it. I was planning on trying to
> market a 160 through 6 meter AM and SSB receiver I had designed when I
> was drafted. After I left the service I got home to find that my shop
> building had been damaged and torn down while I was in the army. All my
> notes, prototypes, parts and test equipment were gone. I didn't have
> the money to replace them at the time, and I had to find a job, buy a
> home and get on with my life. During my years of working in electronics
> I spent a lot of years working with RF. I was setting up a shop in my
> four car garage to have a place to work when i retire. The hurricanes
> last year soaked everything, and most of it is beyond salvage. I am 100%
> disabled and can't repair the roof.
>
> You can think whatever you want. I had lost interest then, just like
> a number of licensed hams I know here in Florida. They haven't been on
> the air in years and most of them aren't bothering to renew their
> license when they expire. Are you going to bitch at them, too?
>
> As far as movies, I have been to two movies since 1987, and I doubt
> that I will ever go back to a movie theater. My ears rang for three
> days from the excessively loud sound system, and I had a headache for a
> week.
>
> I started the project below to keep myself busy a few hours a week,
> and to help others, rather than sit around and bitch about everyone
> else.

Too bad you weren't into the hobby and didn't have some helpful ham
buddies to help fix the roof.

613. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 02:26:05 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <77gmc1p4apncrh2plsu3m0sdregkr807og@4ax.com>
Source record: 111923

On Mon, 04 Jul 2005 23:18:54 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300 baud on HF
>> without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole lot of things,
>> not just video, that would be nice to do.
>>
>> How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> John has been challenged.

Correct.

I'm afraid that John is - well - challenged.

Somewhere, there is a bridge missing their troll! :)

>His system for real time video via HF will be
>posted soon, TTPUOSU!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

614. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 02:35:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LK2dnaPq6cPI3lbfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111924

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY:
>>>
>>>First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a
>>>separate transmitter and receiver.
>
>
> "John" just convinced me that he knows very little about
> radio - HF amateur radio in particular.

Took long enough, Jim! ;^)

>>Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter
>>transmits.
>>On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!
>>
>>Bwwwahaha!
>
>
> Did you notice there was no mention of antennas?

Isotrons. It would at least look kinda kewl. 8^)

> Poor soul prolly doesn't know what "QSK" means, either.

Does it matter?.......

- Mike KB3EIA -

615. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 02:44:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cZSdnafz7vg-2FbfRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111925

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Tsk. Coslonautics, ink, is still challenged to reach the "threshold
> of space" as announced last year...going where other ham radio
> balloons have gone before. It is now nearly mid-summer and no
> flight, no tests, no words.

Glad you asked! "Things" are moving along well enough, much of the equipment has been chosen, yet needs to be integrated. Flight 1 will be tethered to shake down the payload, flight two will be a short, relatively low altitude flight. At flight 3, the payload form factor will be changed. Beyond that, the flights will build on the success or problems encountered during previous flights.

That's all the words you get.

There will be web pages devoted to the project. At that time you can read and ridicule, but you'll have to go to the website to get yer material.

- Mike KB3EIA -

616. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:07:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_VHye.45$cg.39@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111926

Yep,

And I know why I wish I was back in the country my grandfather was born in.

You folks are off the top.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - my grandfather was born in Scotland.

"LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
> YAWN
>
> i seen that movie
>
> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>
> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz
>
> LGA

617. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:16:01 UTC
From: Han's Buddy <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com>
Message-ID: <dafi6d$ur8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111927

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>
> I am hardly a "toady".
////////remaining drivel flushed//////

OH I see now. You are not only a K0HB sycophant, but
you also repeat the often debunked and infamous
"Hans Radio Hero" story. OK, you can go back to hitting
the shot 'n beers down at the Legion Hall.

618. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:21:47 UTC
From: Han's Buddy <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com>
Message-ID: <dafih7pi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111928

There's a sequel coming out soon. They are filming it down at
the Legion Hall. The title of the remake movie is going to be:

"Hans And His Sycophants Tell Radio Hero 2"

The new story line will involve how Hans personally changed
the course of a Typhoon, so that radio traffic could get
through to the fleet.

"LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
> YAWN
>
> i seen that movie
>
> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>
> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz
>
> LGA
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:YAEye.32$cg.9@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
>> news:daf2ehjqq0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6DCye.557$j21.11@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on
>>> > it.
>>> >
>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>> So how long have you been a K0HB sycophant?
>>>
>>
>> I am hardly a "toady". You may not be aware, but I served in the U.S.
>> Navy
>> during the Vietnam war. There had been a typhoon on Saipan that wiped
>> out
>> the Coast Guard tower there.

>>
>> Hans was sent to try and re-establish communications with Guam.
>>
>> I was the individual on Guam who made the initial contact and the
>> amateurs
>> relayed traffic for a number of days between Saipan and ComNavMar. Hans
>> was
>> on the Saipan end, where most everything had been leveled.
>>
>> I respect Hans simply because he has *earned* it.
>>
>> Is that such a difficult concept?
>>
>> Hans did more for his country in a week than most do in a lifetime.
>> Frankly, I couldn't care less about the folks that want to dismiss Hans;
>> as
>> I stated, he served, served well, and served proudly. I have no problem
>> with that.
>>
>> I suspect you'll be one of the folks in the years to come that will
>> condemn
>> the guys and gals serving in Iraq and Afghanistan today. You'll be
>> another
>> one voting to cut VA services. Thank you so much.
>>
>> Where did you serve? Or did you serve like our current
>> Commandant-In-Chief?
>>
>>
>>
>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

619. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:24:39 UTC
From: Han's Buddy <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com>
Message-ID: <dafimh$1ku$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111929

```
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:VqEye.31$cg.3@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
>> John Smith II:
>>
>> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
>> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
>> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
>> here.
>>
>> John Smith
>>
>> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews...
>> >I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!
>> >
>> >
>>
>
> They only are anonymous to the average John Doe.
>
> Believe me, you say the wrong thing and attract the wrong attention,
> you'll
> be anonymous for only about an hour at best.
>
> After which, you may well be thrown into prison and held without charges
> for
> years.
>
> Is this a great country or what?
>
> Get over it, anonymity has been gone for over a decade.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Oh my, are you also a NetKKKop ?
```

620. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:27:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <IcIye.47$cg.21@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111931

Oh come on! Of course not.

I am simply pointing out that anonymity has been gone for a decade or more.

Doesn't make any difference whether you agree or not.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
news:dafimh$1ku$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:VqEye.31$cg.3@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
> >> John Smith II:
> >>
> >> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
> >> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
> >> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
> >> here.
> >>
> >> John Smith
> >>
> >> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews...
> >> >I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> > They only are anonymous to the average John Doe.
> >
> > Believe me, you say the wrong thing and attract the wrong attention,
> > you'll
> > be anonymous for only about an hour at best.
> >
> > After which, you may well be thrown into prison and held without charges
> > for
> > years.
> >
> > Is this a great country or what?
> >

> > Get over it, anonymity has been gone for over a decade.
> >
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
>
>
> Oh my, are you also a NetKKKop ?
>
>
>
>

621. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:28:49 UTC
From: Han's Buddy <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com>
Message-ID: <dafiuc$2po$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111930

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:_VHye.45$cg.39@news02.roc.ny...
> Yep,
>
> And I know why I wish I was back in the country my grandfather was born
> in.
>
> You folks are off the top.
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
> ps - my grandfather was born in Scotland.
>
>
>
> "LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
> news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
>> YAWN
>>
>> i seen that movie
>>
>> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>>
>> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzz
>>
>> LGA
>
>

622. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:33:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.moc>
Message-ID: <HNKdnccG4ICflFHfRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112020

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> you don't even check your admission for of typos for typos

You don't even check your statements to see if they make sense. Care to try that one again?

623. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:33:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zilye.13507$jX6.1600@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111933

b.b. wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
>>'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
>
>
> Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?

Quit stalking him, "bb".

Dave K8MN

624. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:36:00 UTC
From: Han's Buddy <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com>
Message-ID: <dafjbr$51j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111932

What part will you be playing in Han's new movie,

"Radio Hero 2" Will you still be a sycophant?

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:IcIye.47$cg.21@news02.roc.ny...
> Oh come on! Of course not.
>
> I am simply pointing out that anonymity has been gone for a decade or
> more.
>
> Doesn't make any difference whether you agree or not.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
> news:dafimh$1ku$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:VqEye.31$cg.3@news02.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
>> >> John Smith II:
>> >>
>> >> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
>> >> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
>> >> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
>> >> here.
>> >>
>> >> John Smith
>> >>
>> >> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews...
>> >> >I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> > They only are anonymous to the average John Doe.
>> >
>> > Believe me, you say the wrong thing and attract the wrong attention,

>> > you'll
>> > be anonymous for only about an hour at best.
>> >
>> > After which, you may well be thrown into prison and held without
>> > charges
>> > for
>> > years.
>> >
>> > Is this a great country or what?
>> >
>> > Get over it, anonymity has been gone for over a decade.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>>
>>
>> Oh my, are you also a NetKKKop ?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

625. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:36:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WkIye.13508$jX6.869@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111934

Mike Coslo wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Tsk. Coslonautics, ink, is still challenged to reach the "threshold
>> of space" as announced last year...going where other ham radio
>> balloons have gone before. It is now nearly mid-summer and no
>> flight, no tests, no words.
>
>
> Glad you asked! "Things" are moving along well enough, much of the
> equipment has been chosen, yet needs to be integrated. Flight 1 will be
> tethered to shake down the payload, flight two will be a short,
> relatively low altitude flight. At flight 3, the payload form factor
> will be changed. Beyond that, the flights will build on the success or
> problems encountered during previous flights.
>
> That's all the words you get.
>
> There will be web pages devoted to the project. At that time you can
> read and ridicule, but you'll have to go to the website to get yer
> material.

Why dontcha ask Len how that "Extra right out of the box" is coming
along. How many years down the road has that been now?

Dave K8MN

626. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:45:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <GtIye.580$j21.69@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111935

"Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
news:dafjbr$51j$0@pita.alt.net...
> What part will you be playing in Han's new movie,
>
> "Radio Hero 2" Will you still be a sycophant?
>
>
>
Give me a break. I remember the guys that were there. John L. Smith III (who I located, courtesy of QRZ.com), and Dean Seaver (not sure of the spelling; I couldn't locate him either on QRZ.com nor the Social Security Death Index, so I'm not sure). There were other folks, some of whom received commendations from the commanding officer, Captain Delaney. The next thing you will be telling me is that pi is equal to 3. It is *not*.

The fact is that I *was* there as was Hans. I honestly do *not* care what you believe as that will not change facts.

Which begs the question, what did you do for your country (other than whine and complain)?

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

627. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:55:15 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <arnie@terminators.org>
Message-ID: <2ot0h5qggib3w6j.050720052055@kirk>
Source record: 111936

JAH!

tell who you are or we put you on the rack and hold your feet to the
fire

you will talk, yah

arnold

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:IcIye.47$cg.21@news02.roc.ny...
> Oh come on! Of course not.
>
> I am simply pointing out that anonymity has been gone for a decade
> or more.
>
> Doesn't make any difference whether you agree or not.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
> news:dafimh$1ku$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:VqEye.31$cg.3@news02.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
>> >> John Smith II:
>> >>
>> >> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
>> >> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in
>> >> the
>> >> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest
>> >> out
>> >> here.
>> >>
>> >> John Smith
>> >>
>> >> "John Smith" <JS@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1120588855.30fd97cb0e920ee136693f0b467db631@teranews...
>> >> >I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> > They only are anonymous to the average John Doe.
>> >
>> > Believe me, you say the wrong thing and attract the wrong
>> > attention,
>> > you'll
>> > be anonymous for only about an hour at best.
>> >
>> > After which, you may well be thrown into prison and held without
>> > charges
>> > for
>> > years.
>> >
>> > Is this a great country or what?
>> >
>> > Get over it, anonymity has been gone for over a decade.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>>
>>
>> Oh my, are you also a NetKKKop ?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

628. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:57:12 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <arnie@terminators.org>
Message-ID: <5febuvnbut75lsr.050720052057@kirk>
Source record: 111937

JAH!

off the top dude

you want I should terminate their little girly man butts for you?

arnold

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:_VHye.45$cg.39@news02.roc.ny...
> Yep,
>
> And I know why I wish I was back in the country my grandfather was
> born in.
>
> You folks are off the top.
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
> ps - my grandfather was born in Scotland.
>
>
>
> "LittleGreenAlien" <lga@aliens.r.us.org> wrote in message
> news:rhijva8nvzh3mk0.050720051629@kirk...
>> YAWN
>>
>> i seen that movie
>>
>> arnold swartzenegger played the part better
>>
>> ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzz
>>
>> LGA
>
>
>

629. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:58:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <RFIye.48$cg.45@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. Someone more savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.

I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into being in the 50s.

The commercial licenses were a bit tougher. The standard circuits (commercial mariners) operated around 25 to 30 words per minute in the mid 60s. I know as I was with some folks that inspected a commercial vessel on Guam in the mid 60s and I chatted with the radio officer.

The 5 words per minute test was to allow entry level folks a chance to get their feet wet. It had nothing to do with being able to chase someone off a frequency.

What no one appreciates is that way back when, in almost any endeavor, there were no licenses. Who would Wilbur Wright apply to for an aircraft license? The first automobiles had no licensing restrictions. After a bit, they started posting speed limits as the "horseless carriages" startled horses! The same applied to radio. No licenses at first. Only when the airways started to get a bit crowded and folks started acting like they do in this newsgroup did laws come into being.

Eventually, folks needed laws. They needed to have a method of going down a street and knowing who had the right of way. Do you want someone parking in the middle of a street? Or going 60 or 80 miles per hour down a residential street?

Unfortunately, many do not understand that laws are to allow all of us to use limited resources in such a way that all can enjoy them.

As to Morse, I'm neither for nor against; I am however, somewhat aghast at the folks that do have a license and appear quite clueless as to any of the rules and regulations that are designed to protect all.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120534333.292265.243100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> b.b. wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > > > You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this
> > > > way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue.
Besides, I
> > > > bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in
> > > > 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.
> > > >
> > >
> > > No need to feel guilty. Besides if look at the history of licensing
the
> > > earliest requirements were only 5wpm on code.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > I've been pointing that out for years, but the PCTA tend to ignore that
> > aspect of testing.
>
> And another thing. I recall Jim or Kelly or some other Extra explain
> that prior to the first 5wpm code exam, that there was no code exam.
> But it was important to be able to understand code so that a government
> station could tell you that you were interfering with them. And so
> they implemented the 5wpm exam. And now that no other service uses
> code...
>

630. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 03:59:07 UTC
From: Arnold Schwarzenegger <arnie@terminators.org>
Message-ID: <77lp532okrhweap.050720052059@kirk>
Source record: 111939

JAH!

terminate the stalking

you girly man stalker you

arnold

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ziIye.13507$jX6.1600@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> b.b. wrote:
>>
>> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>
>>>And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
>>>'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are
>>>complaining'?
>>
>>
>> Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?
>
> Quit stalking him, "bb".
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>

631. Re: Please forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 04:15:44 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AF542A.21154405072005@newssvr13-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1120613768.425570.94020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

> LittleGreenAlien wrote:

< Snip >

Talk about SW radio or go to another news group please.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

632. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 04:42:04 UTC
From: strange orange chimpanzee <noboinkerw@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <bee99$42cb6114$d1cc7a74$20187@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 111941

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
> John Smith II:
>
> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
> here.
>
> John Smith

That's why Bin Laden's man in Iraq uses them so much!

633. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 04:47:14 UTC
From: George Washington Bush <gb@presidents.r.us.gov>
Message-ID: <gpg2yeavwux12u3.050720052147@kirk>
Source record: 111942

Bin Laden?

Now you know bin laden huh? Well buddy, just how do you know bin laden, are you related to him? Are you one of his buddies?

Maybe you should just come on in and have a chat with rummy and me and let us know what you are up to.

If you are hiding bin laden at your place it would be best to give him up now. We'll go easier on you if you do.

G.W.B.

"strange orange chimpanzee" <noboinkerw@amishonline.com> wrote in message
news:bee99$42cb6114$d1cc7a74$20187@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:PXAye.14607$1a3.14144609@news.sisna.com...
>> John Smith II:
>>
>> Yes. They do serve a very important purpose in today's world.
>> Anonymity is more valuable today than at any previous time in the
>> past. And, remailers go a long way to keeping everyone honest out
>> here.
>>
>> John Smith
>
> That's why Bin Laden's man in Iraq uses them so much!
>
>

634. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 05:11:00 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <EJJye.22575$mr4.22217@trnddc05>
Source record: 111943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"m II" <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in message
news:wvGye.118762$tt5.44244@edtnps90...
> Honus wrote:
>
> >>"bassing', is that some kind of fishing?
> >
> >
> > There's a trolling joke in there, but I'm not going to be the one to
make
> > it.
>
>
> ..but we were waiting with baited breath..

I knew I had you hooked. Now, if I could tie you up with a line and cover
you with sinkers before I tossed you back....

635. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 06:18:03 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42CB779B.15A1AD01@yapoo.com>
Source record: 111944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Opcom wrote:
>
> that was so funny I almost sh*t my pants! No doubt the final
> arrangements will be completed very early in April!

Like April 1st, 2006...

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> > From: ARRA [mailto:press@ARRA.COM]
> > Sent: Friday, July 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> > To: All Radio Amateurs [mailto:all@arra.com]
> > Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
> >

636. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 07:49:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120636172.059511.4590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111945

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > But again why should I care about HF regs
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > if you are going take take a staement out of context well I can't stop
> > > > > > > you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He didn't take anything "out of context".
> > > > >
> > > > > yes he did
> > > >
> > > > No, he did not.
> > >
> > > yes

Whellllllp...We already have your admission of blatant lying, Mark,
so we'll just chalk this one up to that too..

But we'll also add in a dose of foolishness and stupidity for
having thought that you could pass it by anyone so overtly.

> > > > You asked a specific question, he gave a specific and technically
> > > > accurate answer.
> > > >
> > > > But not to the question asked
> > >
> > > Sure he did. Specifically and accurately.
> >
> > nope question was soely about CW not freqs or power or times of day or
> > even class of license required

Well, Mark, YOU asked about the 60 meter "band". Hans quite
appropriately corrected you taht there is no "band" allocated to
Amateur Radio, and he was right....Just five discreet channels.

And you did indeed ask about modes. And he precisely reported the
one and only mode authorized for U. S. Amateurs on those five channels.

> > > > > > You asked about HF regs when you inquired about the 60M band.
> > > > >
> > > > > again context
> > > >
> > > > So...Telling you what modes are authorized on the 60M band in
> > > > response to your question about modes was "out of context"...?!?!?
> > >
> > > no you were cuting thing out of conext and now repling with unrelated
> > > crap
> >
> > No, YOU are the one rel=plying with "unrelated crap"...that "crap"
> > being your disrespectful and foolish response to his answer.
>
> rel=plying
>
> BTW I agree I was direspectful, however respect has to be earned

Mark, you are not one that ANYone has to worry about earning
respect from. You're an admitted liar and deceiver.

A creep.

> well anything you call foolish. Geewhy do I think of a quote of acting
> Pres Walken(west wing) anything that pisses off the arab s must be
> about right. Something you think is foolish must be about right

Mark, EVERYthing you do is foolish.

A rational mind wouldn't lie in a public forum in the presence of
people who know better and a sane mind wouldn't admit to the lying.

> > > > > > If you ask, I assume you cared, so I answered the question in straightforward
> > > > > > language directly from the applicable FCC regulation.
> > > > >
> > > > > J8f9lk5
> > > > >
> > > > > Is no more straight forward than your answer
> > > >
> > > > Then you are without question or consideration an absolute liar.
> > >
> > > wrong
> >
> > Nope.
>
> it is no more straight forward than what he gave.
>
> It also wasn't answer to the question asked
>
> >
> > Mark C. Morgan is a liar.
> >
> > You've said so yourself this very day.
>

> yes your point.

The point is you're a liar. Not as lowlife as a child molester or
a spouse abuser, but certainly in that bracket.

> You are also a liar, just not man enough to admit it (or is it not
> smart enough to know)

So far, you've made that claim several times, as has Brain Burke,
but neither of you has generated a single bit of posting to back it up.

> > And you've done it again right here.
>
> yes
>
> why do you insist on beating long dead equines?

Because you keep raising your head up, Mark.

Liars need to be kept in a corner with a big yellow "L" on their
foreheads.

I'm not close enough to actually paint one on you, so this will
have to do.

> > > > He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
> > > > on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.
> > >
> > > but it had nothing to do with the question ASKED neither uper or lower
> > > and single sideaband is CW whci was the question
> >
> > He gave you the specific data on authorized mode for those
> > channels.
>
> nope

Yep.

And we will again chalk this up to your lair status.

> > Nothing more...nothing less...
>
> Nothing indeed

Nothing but the right information.

That you were too ignorant to understand.

> > To insist otherwise is to further prove yourself a liar, Mark.
>
> further prove is that even possible certinaly not in your eyes
>
> which means it is another lie on your part

Nope.

(1) You've admitted to being a chronic liar.

(2) The proof is here:

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "KØHB" <groupk...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author

Date: Sat, 02 Jul 2005 01:55:13 GMT
Local: Fri,Jul 1 2005 9:55 pm
Subject: Re: another point of Info

"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote

> In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> some region of the band.

> Is my impression corect.

There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E
channels
centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.

73, de Hans, K0HB

> > And haven't you done that enough today...???
>
> guess not

Guess you enjoy a good slapping around at the expense of whatever
character you have left.

> > > > > > If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about HF
> > > > > > regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please hold to that. or try answering the question asked which was a
> > > > > > simple yes/no question instaed of some other question
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, Hans...Heaven forbid we confuse this idiot with facts!
> > > >
> > > more veonom been saving it up?
> > >
> > Nope...
> >
> nope venom

Nope.

Just rubbing your nose in your words.

> > A fact.
> >
> yep a fact you can't stand anyone daring to defy you

There's nothing to defy but logic, Mark. And you certainly do
that.

Steve, K4YZ

637. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 09:16:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120641374.119230.99460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111946

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You'd have to show me where I've insulted your father, Markie...As
> > a matter of fact, I seem to remember where I praised him for not
> > holding a pillow over your head when you were an infant
> >
>
> you just admitted to insulting by repating one of them

I didn't admit anything.

I said I praised him.

> Is the pillow trick how your little girl died?

Nope. She fell asleep peacefully.

You should be as lucky to go as peacefully as she did.

> > > and you can't spell "ahve" you have been blwoing that one all day
> >
> > Yep. I transpose the a and h more thyan I care to say.
>
> and you are allowed to do that and I am not allowed my problems
>
> Hypocrite

Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
we can all understand those.

Yours are in almost every sentence.

You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.

It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
with WANTING to be "special".

Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
on several levels.

> > > > > > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
> > > >
> > > Thanks a REAL god that I'm not stupid enough to be a Democrat

> > >
> > > Now a dig at my religion
> >
> > What religion?
>
> Mine

Where did I say anything about paganism?

> > > God please protect me form your (alleged) followers
> >
> > Who's alleged to follow what?
>
> You have alleged to be christian

No...

I've said that I adhere to many Christian principles. I have not
said I am Christian.

> > > > > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > > > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> > > > >
> > > > > Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
> > > >
> > > Either way you look at it, neither of you are capable of
> > > maneuvering without someone to set your course for you.
> > >
> > > Bull####, plain and simple
> >
> > Yes, you are.
>
> nope
>
> and it was Bull#### not bull####

I choose to not wilfully recite your profanity, Mark.

It's easy enough to show people what an idiot you are without it.

> > And yes, you require guidance.
>
> nope esp not yours

Yes...Anyone's. You need help. More than I could ever offer.

Anyone who admits, boastfully so, that he's a prolific liar needs
help.

> > > I decide my course...(SNIP)
> >
> > That's the part where you require guidance. You don't see this

> > jerky, all over the road behaviour that the rest of us see.
>
> excuse me? now you claim I don't reconize my tempter is eratic, that I
> am touchy

Correct.

You do not see the consequences of your actions.

If you did, you'd correct your behaviour.

> In addtion your statement I am not lead by another

Then you should be.

You are in desperate need of adult supervision.

> > > you do influence it a bit, but their is no "No Code
> > > conspricy" we nocoders don't even agree on all that much besides ending
> > > code testing
> > >
> > "No code conspiracy..."...?!?!?
>
> My latest guess as to which puppeteer you are refering to

What? Huh?

> > You're slipping,
> > Markie.....waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay
> > down....
>
> But you will always be down there in case I fall

Just to point and laugh.

The slippery spot has been pointed out to you...But you keep
trying to walk through it. That deserves a good laugh when you fall.

And lately that's been just about every post you make.

> > I'd offer you a rope, but only with the caveat that you put it
> > around your neck and let go...
>
> enouaging suicide? and you call yourself a Medic for shame

No, I don't call myself a medic.

> > > > > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > > > > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > > > > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Missed that one
> > > > >
> > > > > You missed a lot more than Brain lets on.
> > > >

> > > Ok fine sure you don't need meds
> >
> > Nope. What I need is to see liars and misfits like you and Brian
> > P Burke dealt with in some fashion.
>
> Why?

Because it's the right thing to do...Like stopping little old
ladies from being mugged or reporting drunk drivers.

> and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It binds
> YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,
> guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"

My Oath to the Constitution of the United States has nothing to do
with pointing a finger and calling a liar a liar, Mark.

And you are, by your own admssion, a liar.

You've even reproved it numerous times in the last 24 hours.

And you'll never know what "Semper Fi" stands for...Oh yes, I know
you can cite the translation, but you'll never know what it is to be
one of the Band of Brothers.

Never.

> > > > I could do exactly what HE does and extract single words from
> > > > posts and make it sound ominous, too.
> > >
> > > You do that often enough yourself
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

See what I mean. There's a lie right now.

> > > > But there's a difference...
> > > >
> > > > Brian P Burke is a chronic and deceitful liar....He NEEDS to
> > > > manufacture such things in order tod etract from his online misdeeds.
> > > >
> > > > I don't need to do such things.
> > >
> > > Then why do you?
> >
> > I don't.
>
> But you do you spin pages out of your deciding that a word must men
> just what you want it to mean.

Never have done that, Markie!

YOU have...ie: "drafted".

You never did adequately explain your meaning of "drafted". I, of
course, gave you the true definition as it pertains to the Armed
Forces, whcih YOU were alleging membership in...

So let's hear it...

> > > > > But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny
> > > > > him what you but grant him his due
> > > > >
> > > > Not as pure as the driven snow, but certainly not the YELLOW snow
> > > > like the two of you.
> > > >
> > > Now the Kinky references again missed those
> > >
> > What "kinky references"...???
>
> go look up the Fetish Watersports, and don't complain about being asked
> since you also complain about sexual and/or kinky content in here

What I am trying to figure out is how you worked that in to the
conversation...I certainly didn't and neither did anyone else I see.

Of course you blame others for such introductions...Yet here you
are doing it yorself...

Again....

> > > > > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > > > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > > > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
> > > > > efforts will be detected.
> > > > >
> > > > "...places where his efforts will be detected..."...?!?!
> > > >
> > > yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I heard
> > > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> > > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> > > there
> > >
> > BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!
>
> Right

More lies, Markie...Or at least paranoid delusions.

I bet they are plentiful in your realm.

> > > > > Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
> > > > > group
> > > > >
> > > > > Too late...You're already here.
> > > >
> > > > and you you are vermin
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes you are vermin

Nope.

> an Oathbreaker (your service oath to the constitution)

I dare you to recite that oath in here, Mark, and then provide
some even remote substantiation of your claim.

> > > > > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > > > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Vermin! Hi!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
> > > > > > someone was a thief
> > > > > >
> > > > > > A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it
> > > > >
> > > > > But one that's easily disproven. NCIC refers.
> > > >
> > > > NCIC? gigo
> >
> > again NCIC means what?

Look it up, idiot. What were you saying about "carrying
baggage"...?!?!

> > > and so what
> > >
> > > "So what"...?!?!
> > >
> > > You've just re=proven my assertions about your cowardace in the
> > > face of facts.
> > >
> > > Insinuate my father was a thief, and when I suggest that you find
> > > out for your selg you try that "GIGO" routine.
> >
> > My selg?
> > But you lie I never insinulated your father was a thief I stated quite
> > plainly that one of your
> > male forefathers was a thief

Lies, lies, lies, Markie.

I have only one father. He's deceased. His Amateur call was
N8DOS and it's still on QRZ. I dare you to get his name and run it
through NCIC

> Roberson or robeson are coruptions of RobberSon look it up in the work
> of the Brothers Grim

The "Brothers Grim" wrote tales.

"Robeson" is not a corruption of "Robberson"

Perhaps you should be doing your research somewhere other than in
children's fairey tales...

> > If you want to see "garbage", re-read all of the posts under your
> > own hand...THERE'S garbage.
>
> nO don't want to see garbe which maybe I should stop reading your posts

There's more of that very same garbage, Mark.

Spellchecker.

Hooked On Phonics

Get them both...Use them.

Steve, K4YZ

638. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 09:19:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120641590.012182.18040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111947

Dee Flint wrote:

> Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300
> baud on HF
> without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole
> lot of things,
> not just video, that would be nice to do.

The answer (in large part) is to use different modulation and encoding schemes. Such as QPSK instead of BFSK. Multiple carriers spaced just far enough apart to avoid interference. Simultaneous AM and PM.

Of course such modes may not have the HF performance we're used to from, say, PSK31 or SSB. You don't get something for nothing; Shannon's Theorem shows that the increased data rate in a given bandwidth comes at the price of needing more S/N to get acceptable results.

As K0HB points out, the 300 baud limit only applies in the CW/data subbands. If you can stuff "TV" into a reasonable bandwidth, it can be sent in the voice/image subbands.

As a simple example, imagine 25 PSK31 carriers spaced cheek-by-jowl in a typical SSB bandwidth, running QPSK. If one gets you 100 baud, 25 will get you 2500 baud. Go to 8PSK and you get 5000 baud. Then add compression on top of that...
>
> How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>
Only if "all else is equal". The trick is to make the tradeoff somewhere else. The familiar "56K" modem trades off S/N rather than bandwidth.

It's not a complex subject at all.

You've probably heard the old engineering adage:

"You can have it fast, good or cheap. Choose any two"

All Shannon's Theorem does is equate fast to data rate, good to S/N, and cheap to bandwidth.

73 de Jim, N2EY

639. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 09:20:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120641618.132531.185890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111948

b.b. wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> > And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
> > 'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
>
> Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?

Did YOU know that Mark's a chronic liar?

Did YOU know that dyslexia is treatable?

Did YOU know that technology exists to allow him to correct his
sloppy, lazy writing style before ever hitting the "send" key, and that
in many cases it's a freeware item?

One chronic liar supporting another...why am I not
surprised...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

640. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 09:58:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120643927.790280.307340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111949

Dave Heil wrote:

> Why dontcha ask Len how that "Extra right out of the box"
> is coming
> along. How many years down the road has that been now?

More than five and a half years ago - January 19, 2000, to be exact.
But hey, Len didn't say *when* he was going to do it....

Neither did Mike, IIRC....

73 de Jim, N2EY

641. Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 10:16:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120644996.596519.325200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112000

b.b. (Brian P Burke, N0IMD) wrote:

> an_old_friend (Mark C Morgan, KB9RQZ) wrote:

> > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet

Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
him "Brain"...

> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> > > > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
> > >
> > > Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
> > > rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
> > > "below 30MHz."
> >
> > well said
> >
> > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > should have

Why?

The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.

> There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.

Several points:

(1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
any treaty.

(2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
with said treaty.

> > > > FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
> > > > a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some
> > > > research),
> > > >
> > > You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it. Makes
> > > you the idiot.
> > > >
> > > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
> > >
> > No it does not

Yes, it does.

> > it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
> > general and extra bands

Uh huh....Which are...?!?!

BELOW 30MHZ ! ! ! ! !

QUICK, MARKIE! REVIEW TIME!

What are the four exceptions that allow a "Technician" to act as
control operator of an Amateur Radio station below 30MHz...?!?!?

And WHAT common thread do each of those exceptions have in common
with the present Novice, General, Advanced and Extra class licensees?

> Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
> Quitefine at it.

Techs can use Morse Code on HF, too. But hey, I thought YOU
insisted that SOMEone has chased all the Techs away...?!?!

Another QuiteWrongLie it seems.

Steve, K4YZ

642. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 10:34:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120646042.761916.321150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112001

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.
> > >
> >
> > Maybe in the Air Farce. In the military services you advanced based on your
> > professional performance, demonstrated leadership record, test scores, and
> > breadth of experience. WTF is a "benefactor"?
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > (E9 at 17 years TIS, 3 years TIG)
>
> A benefactor is the O-6 or preferably an O-7+ who puts on your
> performance report, "Definitely Promote."

Sheesh...All I needed to do to get promoted was to do my job as
directed.

We either did our jobs and got promoted, or we didn't.

No "benefactor" needed.

Steve, K4YZ

643. Re: Burke On the Lie Path Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 10:40:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120646449.049775.268770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112002

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.
> > >
> > More deceitfulness, Brain.
> > >
> > Why do you lie so prolifically?
> >
> Prove me wrong. Post each of your promotion orders.

Not necessary.

It's public record.

> > > I can tell you
> > > > that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> > > > NOTHING to do with it.
> > > >
> > > Above E-7? Up to E-7 you can get by on decent performance and fitness
> > > reports, awards and decs, and TIS/TIG.
> > > >
> > > But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.
> > >
> > You mean you need to be a suck-up, don't you, Brain?
> >
> You certainly do.

Nope.

Got promoted the Marine Corps way...I earned it.

> > Perhaps in the Weather Service of the Blue Crew, but USMC and USN
> > promotion citeria is very specific.
> >
> It's very specific in all services. The top grades are also political.

Only for the top enlisted grade representitive, ie: Sergean Major
of the Marine Corps.

The rest of us (in the Corps) earned ours.

> > > > > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
> > > > >
> > > > That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
> > > > expect?
> > > > >

> > > > > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
> > > > >
> > > > > You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> > > > > Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Robber's Son hootiness began on day one and continues to this very day.
> > >
> > You misrepresent the character of a deceased honest man.
>
> I was commenting on your character.

Nope.

You need an English comprehension course too, Brain.

> But if you want to make it about your ancestors, they got that name
> somehow. Now you have it.

Not a liar, thief or deceitful man in the bunch.

> You explain how they got it honestly, and provide proof.

Simple.

Show me a public record showing they didn't.

> > Why do you do that, Brain? (Sheesh..now I AM "losing it"...
>
> You lost it a long time ago.

Only in expecting you to be a decent sort.

You've reproven yourself to be a chronic liar. Did it today, too.

> I'm
> > asking a pathological liar why he's lying...Get me beer, someone!)
>
> Bender time?

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

644. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 11:02:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1_SdnW6N9fEQJ1bfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 112005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:RFIye.48$cg.45@news02.roc.ny...
> I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. Someone
> more
> savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.
>
> I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into being in the
> 50s.
>

See "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy" available as a free download.
It documents the history of testing for amateur radio. It was 5 wpm at the
beginning of testing. The multilevel code tests came in later.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

645. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 11:03:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120647789.252425.250300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jim Hampton wrote:
> I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. > Someone more
> savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.

Quick history of code tests for USA ham licenses:

The 1912 rules that moved amateurs to "200 meters and down" required a code test of 5 wpm for a standard amateur license. That state of affairs existed until the WW1 shut down in May, 1917.

It should be noted that during the WW1 shutdown, receiving as well as transmitting was forbidden.

When amateurs were allowed back on the air after WW1 (thanks to the work of Maxim, Stewart, and a few others, mostly prewar ARRL directors), the new licenses required a 10 wpm code test.

>From 1919 until 1936, the code test speed was 10 wpm. There was also an "Amateur Extra First Grade" license that required 20 wpm. It was short-lived in the early 1920s.

In 1936, the code test speed was raised to 13 wpm. The written exams were improved at the same time.

In 1951, the Novice and Technician licenses were created, and required 5 wpm. The Extra (as we know it) was also created then and required 20 wpm.

That state of affairs existed until 1990, when the code test waivers were introduced, and then 2000, when all code testing was eliminated except 5 wpm.

> I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into
> being in the 50s.

>From 1936 to 1951, the *only* code test for US hams was 13 wpm.

>
> The commercial licenses were a bit tougher. The standard
> circuits
> (commercial mariners) operated around 25 to 30 words per minute > in the mid
> 60s. I know as I was with some folks that inspected a
> commercial vessel on
> Guam in the mid 60s and I chatted with the radio officer.

> The 5 words per minute test was to allow entry level folks a
> chance to get

> their feet wet. It had nothing to do with being able to chase > someone off a frequency.

Exactly. The idea was that it would be easier and faster to learn by doing.

However, one of the reasons for code testing back in 1912 was to avoid interference. This was really a way of insuring that all radio stations knew and used the *same* code, because before 1912 there was more than one code in use on the air!

But interference mitigation was not the only reason for code testing. There are still good reasons for code testing today, such as its widespread use by amateurs.

Fun fact: Until 1927, Amateur Radio was not a separate service that was recognized by international treaty. Instead, it existed solely at the pleasure of each country, and the privileges varied all over the place.

All that changed in 1927, when a new treaty set up worldwide bands for hams, basic rules and procedures, etc.

One of the new 1927 requirements was that all amateurs pass a code test. Prior to that, code testing for hams existed only because individual countries required it.

> What no one appreciates is that way back when, in almost any
> endeavor, there were no licenses. Who would Wilbur Wright
> apply to for an aircraft license?
> The first automobiles had no licensing restrictions. After a
> bit, they
> started posting speed limits as the "horseless carriages"
> startled horses!
> The same applied to radio. No licenses at first. Only when
> the airways
> started to get a bit crowded and folks started acting like they > do in this newsgroup did laws come into being.

Yep. "Wireless" was around for more than a decade before 1912. There were a few treaties and some regulations but they didn't do much, compared to what came after.

It should be remembered that many concepts we see clearly today were not even imagined in the early days. For example, broadcasting was not considered in the 1912 rules - nobody really thought that millions of people would own radio receivers to listen to stations that did nothing but transmit.

There were many bills written and proposed to regulate wireless before 1912, some of them very draconian. One concept that was fortunately defeated was the idea of licensing *receiving* stations...

> Eventually, folks needed laws. They needed to have a method of > going down a
> street and knowing who had the right of way. Do you want

> someone parking in
> the middle of a street? Or going 60 or 80 miles per hour down > a residential street?

Yup. Of course if there's only a few cars on the road and they go 10
mph top speed, you don't need many rules.

Didja know that it was Hiram Percy Maxim hisself who convinced the
fledgling US auto industry that traffic should keep to the right and
the driver sit on the left? HPM was into a lot more things than radio.
>
> Unfortunately, many do not understand that laws are to allow
> all of us to
> use limited resources in such a way that all can enjoy them.

Yep. Some folks don't understand that with rights come
responsibilities.
>
> As to Morse, I'm neither for nor against; I am however,
> somewhat aghast at
> the folks that do have a license and appear quite clueless as
> to any of the
> rules and regulations that are designed to protect all.

Me too!

73 de Jim, N2EY

646. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 11:03:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Hp6dnUnVP4okJ1bfRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 112004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120610538.823374.158450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Paul Traina wrote:
>> Thanks to all of you, data was found, I'm now kc6tcn/ag.
>
> Paul, congrats.
>
> Live long and prosper and don't sweat over the code.
>

He doesn't need to sweat over the code. As you can see, he earned his
General license.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

647. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 12:47:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120654050.350309.309990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112006

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > First none wonders why you consider me an idiot
> >
> > You finally got one thing right this week...
>
> Mighty white of you old man

Now THAT'S bigotry, Mark.

> > Yes, it's true that you don't understand emission designators...Yet
> > it's supposed to be a part of your licensing scope.
>
> not a question about alph numeric mode codes in my question pool

I didn't say it was a licensing question, Mark.

I said it's within the scope of your licensure.

> If you think it should you shouldaddress your coments to the NVEC

Why should I address the fact that Mark Morgan is ignorant of the
rules and regulations that he is responsible for knowing and complying
with to the NVEC?

> > > crying cut for formating improvements
> >
> > You cut yourself out? Why?
>
> nop cut out your crying

Huh?

> > > > And get this...MARK MORGAN talking about what is or is not
> > > > "english".....
> > >
> > > indeed and you have a problem with that, and need to start another of
> > > your personal attack threads
> >
> > It's not a personal attack, Mark. It's a professional
> > observation.
>
> Nope a BIG lie for an ER nurse, not your feild

Nope.

Being able to assess the basic level of social development and competency with "ADL'S", or "Activites of Daily Living", is a number-one criterion for a good ER Nurse.

Now...Would YOU please show me where YOU have any credentials in determining what is and is not within the scope of practice for a Nurse at ANY level of licensure...?!?!

> > You have a problem with English. You're not very proficient at
> > it.
>
> but your coment that I am more proficent in Sebian is a lie

I never said you were competent in Sebian...Whatever THAT is...

> > > crying cut for formating improvements
> >
> > Snipped more embarrassing stuff, you mean...
>
> Nope just one of your crying

I'm not the one crying, Markie.

I'm not the one having his dirty laundry and failed character held up to the light of day.

> > > > > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > >
> > > > > 73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect
> > > >
> > > > And you're one of the singularly most idiotic and narrow brained
> > > > idiots to ever be allowed to get on the Internet or hold an Amateur
> > > > Radio license!
> > >
> > > which even if true (and franky you have a real sample problem) has
> > > nothing to do with the statement
> >
> > No...not "...even if true..."
>
> yes even if true

Then it's true and we've found something else we can agree on.

You're idiotic and narrow brained.

Good show.

> You are the close to most narrowminded idoit haolding a Ham license.

Just when I think I can't laugh any harder, Mark pushes the button one more time!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

> Yo Doc was the worst I know of

You mean he was more aggressive in rubbing your nose in your own
droppings.

I can live with that.

> > It's absolutely true.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > > > "Snide"...?!?!?!
> > > >
> > > > What an IDIOT!
> > >
> > > thinking somebody snide makes one an idiot
> >
> > No...YOU calling Hans "snide" for having the temerity to actually
> > think he was doing you a favor by answering your question for you in an
> > accurate and prompt manner makes you an idiot.
>
> Well he amswered some OTHER question not mine and not one tht had been
> asked

You asked about the "60 meter band" and about what mode could be
used there.

He answered you precisely.

You responded in your typical idiotic, kneejerk fashion, once again
showing the world what kind of "man" Mark Morgan is.

> >
> > > well then Hoist you idiot
> >
> > Huh...?!?!
> >
> > "Hoist you"...?!?!
>
> yes aren't well enough educated

"Hoist you"...?!?!

"yes aren't well enough educated"...?!?!

Mark, there's not one coherent thought there...Not to the rest of
the world, anyway.

> > Some gay pagan salute?
>
> Nope
>
> and more of your gaybashing

>
> more of your pagan bashing

What gaybashing?

What pagan bashing?

Steve, K4YZ

648. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 13:02:27 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120654947.153214.44540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Scottiekins...gotta get it done by April, 2006 so you can meet him at the dam and play together as it becomes springtime in MD. Maybe mopathetic will join you.

649. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 13:02:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120654963.420926.155570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112007

an_old_friend wrote:

> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120592492.976495.218590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> hack

Yes, Mark...that's what you do best...

> That I lack a knowledge of the arcane ways in which mode were described
> is NOT disputed by me

It's not arcane.

It's "here and now". It's codified into the regulations for a
federal license that YOU hold and are required to at least be familiar
with.

> > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on it.
> >
> > "2K8J3E" is broken down into two parts. 2K8 and J3E.
> >
> > Years ago, A1 was CW. F3 was FM. A3 was AM. Well, they clarified the
> > emission types to indicate whether a signal was single sideband, double
> > sideband, independent sidebands and whether the carrier was suppressed,
> > reduced, or full carrier.
>
> I have seen fragment of this but in my reading of way part 97 recently
> I don't recall it

Becasue you skipped over the parts not written in crayon, Mark.

> > J3E refers to the emission type. I have a strong suspicion that 2K8
> > represents a 2.8 kilohertz bandwidth.
>
> you may well be right but even you don't know, not a slame on you but
> pointing that you clearly know better than are not sure how can I be
> expected to know it

You can be expected to at least know where to find the information
since you ARE responsible for it as a Commisssion licensee of an
Amateur Radio station.

I don't know them all either, but I know where to find out.

> > We often refer to single sideband, fm, cw, or whatever. The nomenclature
> > that Steve put forth is a formal one that pins down the emission type and

>
> Corecting you Hans put it forth, Steve is taking me to task for not
> knowing it

No, not at all.

I am taking you to task for treating Hans so rudely then lying
about it afterwards.

> > So Steve may have been a little strong in his wording, but his conclusion
> > was not in error. You appear to have little knowledge of emission
> > types/bandwidth occupancy.
>
> Agreed
> and Steve and for that Hans know this and choose to give an answer
> technical correct (is it in fact technicaly correct) but dsigned to be
> useless to me

It was only useless to you since you refused to follow-up on it
from there.

You wanted spoon-fed, written in crayon answers.

Hans' only mistake was assuming that you might be albe to
understand the answer.

> > Not slamming you, but Steve was not entirely remiss in his post.
>
> I accept thatyou intend no slam but I miss you have missed Stevies
> intent

There's no "intent" on my part, Mark.

You asked a question. You got the answer. You then insulted the
respondant and called him a liar.

You were wrong on several levels.

You owe Hans Brakob an apology.

Steve, K4YZ

650. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 13:12:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120655542.849363.149120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112009

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > First none wonders why you consider me an idiot
> > >
> > > You finally got one thing right this week...
> >
> > Mighty white of you old man
>
> Now THAT'S bigotry, Mark.

Perhaps

it is also sarcasm

>
> > > Yes, it's true that you don't understand emission designators...Yet
> > > it's supposed to be a part of your licensing scope.
> >
> > not a question about alph numeric mode codes in my question pool
>
> I didn't say it was a licensing question, Mark.
>
> I said it's within the scope of your licensure.

auf anglish bitte
>
> > If you think it should you shouldaddress your coments to the NVEC
>
> Why should I address the fact that Mark Morgan is ignorant of the
> rules and regulations that he is responsible for knowing and complying
> with to the NVEC?

you should not, but given your control freak mentality you may well
have done so already,

OTOH it is what I said. what I said is that if you think knowing such
codes is so important you address your concenr to NVEC

There is no requirement that I know any rule or regulation Stevie,
merely that I obey them.

I freely admit I don't or care about the meaning of j87fh76k or the
differences between it and j6gd748jd

>
> > > > crying cut for formating improvements
> > >
> > > You cut yourself out? Why?
> >
> > nop cut out your crying
>
> Huh?

grow up

>
> > > > > And get this...MARK MORGAN talking about what is or is not
> > > > > "english".....
> > > > >
> > > > > indeed and you have a problem with that, and need to start another of
> > > > > your personal attack threads
> > > >
> > > > It's not a personal attack, Mark. It's a professional
> > > > observation.
> > >
> > > Nope a BIG lie for an ER nurse, not your feild
> >
> Nope.

Yep
>
> Being able to assess the basic level of social development and
> competency with "ADL'S", or "Activites of Daily Living", is a
> number-one criterion for a good ER Nurse.

if so then why are you so bad at it

oH excuse you said Good ER nurse that does let you out

>
> Now...Would YOU please show me where YOU have any credentials in
> determining what is and is not within the scope of practice for a Nurse
> at ANY level of licensure...?!?!

NO I will not

There are limits to the extent I will help you play your divisionary
games

>
> > > You have a problem with English. You're not very proficient at
> > > it.
> >
> > but your coment that I am more proficent in Sebian is a lie
>
> I never said you were competent in Sebian...Whatever THAT is...

more avoidance

>
> > > > crying cut for formating improvements
> > >
> > > Snipped more embarrassing stuff, you mean...
> >
> > Nope just one of your crying
>
> I'm not the one crying, Markie.

yes are the one crying your are the word typing crap like
bbbbbbbbbbahhhhhhhhhhhh

Iam just cuting it out of my replies

grow up

>
> I'm not the one having his dirty laundry and failed character held
> up to the light of day.

you are just the one inistsing that irelavant info must be repated over
and over again

Dirty laundry, bullshit

I am admit to being Bisexual I admit to being Pagan. I admit to
spelling poorly

Now what that has to do with Ham radio or policy therin I don't know

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please

your judgement of "failed character" only by perhaps by YOUR standards
fine so my Stevies standard my character is poor

Grow up and move on

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please

>
> > > > > > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73's on an couple of snide posts is about what you'd expect
> > > > >
> > > > > And you're one of the singularly most idiotic and narrow brained
> > > > > idiots to ever be allowed to get on the Internet or hold an Amateur
> > > > > Radio license!
> > > >
> > > > which even if true (and franky you have a real sample problem) has
> > > > nothing to do with the statement
> > >
> > > No...not "...even if true..."
> >
> > yes even if true
>

> Then it's true and we've found something else we can agree on.

nope another

or are you goingto pull a Clinton and start a debate on the meaning of
the word "IF"

Grow up

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please
>
> You're idiotic and narrow brained.

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please
>
> Good show.

glad you have enjoyed it sadist

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please
>
> > You are the close to most narrowminded idoit haolding a Ham license.
>
> Just when I think I can't laugh any harder, Mark pushes the button
> one more time!

mashochist as well I guess they do often go together

>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! !

why must you make it even harder to just read and format you bullshit
with these outbrusts?

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please
>
> > Yo Doc was the worst I know of
>
> You mean he was more aggressive in rubbing your nose in your own
> droppings.

No I don't I mean what I mean, not what you in your warped mind say I
mean

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please
>
> I can live with that.

yes you can so?

>
> > > It's absolutely true.
> >

> > nope
>
> Yep.

Nope you are norrow minded
>
> > > > > "Snide"...?!?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What an IDIOT!
> > > > >
> > > > > thinking somebody snide makes one an idiot
> > >
> > > No...YOU calling Hans "snide" for having the temerity to actually
> > > think he was doing you a favor by answering your question for you in an
> > > accurate and prompt manner makes you an idiot.
> >
> > Well he amswered some OTHER question not mine and not one tht had been
> > asked
>
> You asked about the "60 meter band" and about what mode could be
> used there.

No Iasked wether CW could be use on the 60 M band

>
> He answered you precisely.

nope

>
> You responded in your typical idiotic, kneejerk fashion, once again
> showing the world what kind of "man" Mark Morgan is.

a better man than you
>
> > >
> > > > well then Hoist you idiot
> > >
> > > Huh...?!?!
> > >
> > > "Hoist you"...?!?!
> >
> > yes aren't well enough educated
>
> "Hoist you"...?!?!

yep go look it up

>
> "yes aren't well enough educated"...?!?!

yes it is true you don't seem to be well enough educated
>

> Mark, there's not one coherent thought there...Not to the rest of
> the world, anyway.

more overblown bullshit

Stevie Blunder speaker onbehalf of the world

>
> > > Some gay pagan salute?
> >
> > Nope
> >
> > and more of your gaybashing
> >
> > more of your pagan bashing
>
> What gaybashing?

Yours

>
> What pagan bashing?

yours

>
> Steve, K4YZ

651. Re: Burke On the Lie Path Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 13:21:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120656108.068398.141480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112010

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.
> > >
> > > More deceitfulness, Brain.
> > >
> > > Why do you lie so prolifically?
> >
> > Prove me wrong. Post each of your promotion orders.
>
> Not necessary.

wrong

>
> It's public record.

somewhere

>
> > > > I can tell you
> > > > > that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> > > > > NOTHING to do with it.
> > > >
> > > > Above E-7? Up to E-7 you can get by on decent performance and fitness
> > > > reports, awards and decs, and TIS/TIG.
> > > >
> > > > But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.
> > >
> > > You mean you need to be a suck-up, don't you, Brain?
> >
> > You certainly do.
>
> Nope.

yep indeed I doubt you can get as high as E-7, but that is nit picking

>
> Got promoted the Marine Corps way...I earned it.

By sucking up and playing the game like everywhere else, your belief
that it was just hard work showed that you were brainless or
brainwashed enough to believe that crap

>
> > > Perhaps in the Weather Service of the Blue Crew, but USMC and USN
> > > promotion citeria is very specific.
> >
> > It's very specific in all services. The top grades are also political.
>
> Only for the top enlisted grade representitive, ie: Sergean Major
> of the Marine Corps.

Sergean Major

"judge not lest ye be judged"

>
> The rest of us (in the Corps) earned ours.

Not according to Omar Bradley

>
> > > > > > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
> > > > > > expect?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> > > > > > Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Robber's Son hootiness began on day one and continues to this very day.
> > > >
> > > You misrepresent the character of a deceased honest man.
> >
> > I was commenting on your character.
>
> Nope.

You know what is in Brains Mind form how far away?

Gee Mighty Stevie does not even need Morse Code or radio

>
> You need an English comprehension course too, Brain.
>
> > But if you want to make it about your ancestors, they got that name
> > somehow. Now you have it.
>
> Not a liar, thief or deceitful man in the bunch.

Go learn about Linguatistics from the Brother Grim
>
> > You explain how they got it honestly, and provide proof.

>
> Simple.
>
> Show me a public record showing they didn't.

Your claim you prove it

>
>
> > > Why do you do that, Brain? (Sheesh..now I AM "losing it"...
> >
> > You lost it a long time ago.
>
> Only in expecting you to be a decent sort.

Ok then you admit to having lost long ago

Why you only admiting to it now

>
> You've reproven yourself to be a chronic liar. Did it today, too.
>
> > I'm
> > > asking a pathological liar why he's lying...Get me beer, someone!)
> >
> > Bender time?
>
> Nope.

Looks like it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

652. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 13:31:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120656660.231417.131250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112011

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. (Brian P Burke, N0IMD) wrote:
>
> > an_old_friend (Mark C Morgan, KB9RQZ) wrote:
>
> > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
>
> Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> him "Brain"...

he doesn't mind

BTW nobody gotta love Stevie just because you say so

>
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> > > > > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
> > > > rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
> > > > "below 30MHz."
> > >
> > > well said
> > >
> > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > should have
>
> Why?

Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
to use it if code is forbidden

>
> The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.

So what?

Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?

There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more

>
> > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes

> > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
>
> Several points:
>
> (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
> strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
> any treaty.
>
> (2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
> much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
> rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
> with said treaty.

so he should have defunct provision

grow up

>
> > > > > FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
> > > > > a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some
> > > > > research),
> > > >
> > > > You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it. Makes
> > > > you the idiot.
> > > >
> > > > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > > > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
> > >
> > > No it does not
>
> Yes, it does.

nope
>
> > > it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
> > > general and extra bands
>
> Uh huh....Which are...?!?!

well known to you. till they are avalable to me I'll not bother to
learn

>
> BELOW 30MHZ ! ! ! ! !

So what

>
> QUICK, MARKIE! REVIEW TIME!

>
> What are the four exceptions that allow a "Technician" to act as
> control operator of an Amateur Radio station below 30MHz...?!?!?

none that apply to me

and what I think you are referring isbad decision of the FCCto end
thech vs thech plus license

hopefully that mistake will be fixed

>
> And WHAT common thread do each of those exceptions have in common
> with the present Novice, General, Advanced and Extra class licensees?

so?

Now on to turth

Nothing prevents the FCC from allowing hambands anywhere in the
spectrum and assigning them to Tech class, and allowing techs without
code tests to use them

NOTHING but tradition perhaps

>
> > Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
> > Quitefine at it.
>
> Techs can use Morse Code on HF, too. But hey, I thought YOU
> insisted that SOMEone has chased all the Techs away...?!?!

indeed he has you chase them away not all stay away
>
> Another QuiteWrongLie it seems.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

653. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 13:52:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120657931.117063.300290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112012

sorry folks but i have to hacking these posts

>
> Well, Mark, YOU asked about the 60 meter "band". Hans quite
> appropriately corrected you taht there is no "band" allocated to
> Amateur Radio, and he was right....Just five discreet channels.

I never asked about freqs
>
> And you did indeed ask about modes. And he precisely reported the
> one and only mode authorized for U. S. Amateurs on those five channels.

I asked about CW

>
> > > No, YOU are the one rel=plying with "unrelated crap"...that "crap"
> > > being your disrespectful and foolish response to his answer.
> >
> > rel=plying
> >
> > BTW I agree I was direspectful, however respect has to be earned
>
> Mark, you are not one that ANYone has to worry about earning
> respect from. You're an admitted liar and deceiver.

and neither are you

But is Hans or you or anyone else WANTS it then they have to earn it,
and for that matter I get to decide what is required

>
> A creep.
>
> > well anything you call foolish. Geewhy do I think of a quote of acting
> > Pres Walken(west wing) anything that pisses off the arab s must be
> > about right. Something you think is foolish must be about right
>
> Mark, EVERYthing you do is foolish.

in your eyes sure thing long ago conceeded

Stop beating the poor dead equine, please
>
> A rational mind wouldn't lie in a public forum in the presence of
> people who know better and a sane mind wouldn't admit to the lying.

bullshit
>
.
> > >
> > > You've said so yourself this very day.
> >
> > yes your point.
>
> The point is you're a liar. Not as lowlife as a child molester or
> a spouse abuser, but certainly in that bracket.

fine when you going to admit to your lies

well then I am in Decent Company In cluding amoug other FDR, Truman,
thousands of congressmen and senators, alomst everyone who ever served
in the CIA (or MI6) every cop in the nation, almsot all of whom have
lied fromtime to time

Stevie you and I simply don't agree on a basic premise, you think it is
imoral a sin even to lie.

therefore you attach some moral tone to proving the lies of others

I OTOH see it as some a good thing to do

I salute FDR's lies that got the USA ready for WW2

perhaps my biggest mistake in ham radio was from being honest in my
youth, after my history with Morse code test failures some folks
offered to "fake it" for me. I was honest enough to not to let them.

>
> > You are also a liar, just not man enough to admit it (or is it not
> > smart enough to know)
>
> So far, you've made that claim several times, as has Brain Burke,
> but neither of you has generated a single bit of posting to back it up.

yes we both have

Btw you did not deny being a lair just claimed we haven't proven it
>
> > > And you've done it again right here.
> >
> > yes
> >
> > why do you insist on beating long dead equines?
>
> Because you keep raising your head up, Mark.

Huh
>
> Liars need to be kept in a corner with a big yellow "L" on their
> foreheads.

ah Poor Steveie is frustrated
>
> I'm not close enough to actually paint one on you, so this will
> have to do.

threatening to assualt me if you come within range again tsk tsk

>
> > > > > He gave you the specific frequencies allowed and the mode allowed
> > > > > > on them. Simply saying "single side band" is not completely accurate.
> > > > >
> > > > > but it had nothing to do with the question ASKED neither uper or lower
> > > > > and single sideaband is CW whci was the question
> > > >
> > > > He gave you the specific data on authorized mode for those
> > > > channels.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> Yep.
wasn't the question

>
> And we will again chalk this up to your lair status.

fine then move on please

>
> > > Nothing more...nothing less...
> > >
> > > Nothing indeed
> >
> Nothing but the right information.

right answer maybe but not the right answer to question asked

>
> That you were too ignorant to understand.

perhaps a point I have admitted over and over I don't know or what A6J
might mean

I don't need to know

>
> > > To insist otherwise is to further prove yourself a liar, Mark.
> > >
> > > further prove is that even possible certinaly not in your eyes
> > >
> > > which means it is another lie on your part
> >
> Nope.
>
> (1) You've admitted to being a chronic liar.

>
> (2) The proof is here:

no it isn't
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "KØHB" <groupk...@earthlink.net> - Find messages by this author
>
> Date: Sat, 02 Jul 2005 01:55:13 GMT
> Local: Fri,Jul 1 2005 9:55 pm
> Subject: Re: another point of Info
>
> "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > In reading the newsgroup lately I get the impression that the the
> > relitvely new 60 band does NOT premit Morse Encoded CW in at least
> > some region of the band.
>
> > Is my impression corect.
>
> There is no 60M "band". US amateurs are allocated 5 discreet 2K8J3E
> channels
> centered on 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz and 5405 kHz.

nothing about ferqecies in the question

and the rest is gibberish that

no answer to the question of wether CW is allowed

Indeed to expound on that

Why isn't it allowed in mode 2K8J3E to send MCW over than Air?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> > > And haven't you done that enough today...???
> >
> > guess not
>
> Guess you enjoy a good slapping around at the expense of whatever
> character you have left.

no I deinfe character differently

are you truely stupid enough not to see that after all these years?

>
> > > > > > > If you didn't care about HF regs, you shouldn't have asked a question about
HF
> > > > > > > regs. I'll be careful not to answer any more of your questions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please hold to that. or try answering the question asked which was a

> > > > > > simple yes/no question instaed of some other question
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, Hans...Heaven forbid we confuse this idiot with facts!
> > > > >
> > > > > more veonom been saving it up?
> > > >
> > > > Nope...
> > >
> > nope venom
>
> Nope.
>
> Just rubbing your nose in your words.

no just distorting

>
> > > A fact.
> > >
> > yep a fact you can't stand anyone daring to defy you
>
> There's nothing to defy but logic, Mark. And you certainly do
> that.

If you care to say that sure fine

Don't make it true
>
> Steve, K4YZ

654. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 14:06:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120658776.647658.187830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112013

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1120592492.976495.218590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > hack
>
> Yes, Mark...that's what you do best...

why thank you

>
> > That I lack a knowledge of the arcane ways in which mode were described
> > is NOT disputed by me
>
> It's not arcane.

it is arcane

>
> It's "here and now". It's codified into the regulations for a
> federal license that YOU hold and are required to at least be familiar
> with.

One being codised in regs does NOT mean it is not Arcane? Indeed most
Federal regs are the very defination of Arcane

Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
to obey them.
>
> > > I hate to see this thread continue; perhaps I can shed some light on it.
> > >
> > > "2K8J3E" is broken down into two parts. 2K8 and J3E.
> > >
> > > Years ago, A1 was CW. F3 was FM. A3 was AM. Well, they clarified the
> > > emission types to indicate whether a signal was single sideband, double
> > > sideband, independent sidebands and whether the carrier was suppressed,
> > > reduced, or full carrier.
> >
> > I have seen fragment of this but in my reading of way part 97 recently
> > I don't recall it
>
> Becasue you skipped over the parts not written in crayon, Mark.

more venom

>
> > > J3E refers to the emission type. I have a strong suspicion that 2K8
> > > represents a 2.8 kilohertz bandwidth.
> >
> > you may well be right but even you don't know, not a slame on you but
> > pointing that you clearly know better than are not sure how can I be
> > expected to know it
>
> You can be expected to at least know where to find the information
> since you ARE responsible for it as a Commisssion licensee of an
> Amateur Radio station.

expected sure I am expected by you to do lots of stuff

Required no

BTW exactly where are they defined by paragraph Oh font of Knowledge
rival of the Oracle of Delphi

>
> I don't know them all either, but I know where to find out.

The Guide me Oh truely tremenous one (the title of the great Goblin of
the Misty Moutian, JRR tolkien)

>
> > > We often refer to single sideband, fm, cw, or whatever. The nomenclature
> > > that Steve put forth is a formal one that pins down the emission type and
> >
> > Corecting you Hans put it forth, Steve is taking me to task for not
> > knowing it
>
> No, not at all.

Yes Indeed Hans put it forth that is corect but you are right I was
merely guessing at what you are upto. I rarely know and hardly care
exactly what you are upto

>
> I am taking you to task for treating Hans so rudely then lying
> about it afterwards.

and Hans can't defend himself?

I was rdue to Han's

he was rude to me.

Why are (to use a comon figure of speech)you allowing your panties to
get into such bunch over it?

>
> > > So Steve may have been a little strong in his wording, but his conclusion
> > > was not in error. You appear to have little knowledge of emission

> > > types/bandwidth occupancy.
> >
> > Agreed
> > and Steve and for that Hans know this and choose to give an answer
> > technical correct (is it in fact technicaly correct) but dsigned to be
> > useless to me
>
> It was only useless to you since you refused to follow-up on it
> from there.

which does not alter the FACT the answer was useless as given

>
> You wanted spoon-fed, written in crayon answers.

No crayon needed

You take me to task when I don't answer your yes or no questions.I have
the same right as you do

>
> Hans' only mistake was assuming that you might be albe to
> understand the answer.

gee Hans can't defend himself

>
> > > Not slamming you, but Steve was not entirely remiss in his post.
> >
> > I accept thatyou intend no slam but I miss you have missed Stevies
> > intent
>
> There's no "intent" on my part, Mark.

If you say there was no intent on your part then we chalk up another
lie. you intended something

>
> You asked a question. You got the answer. You then insulted the
> respondant and called him a liar.

yes I askeda question

No I did not

I did rebuke the respondant (it is his place to ecide if he was
insulted) rudely if you like

Never called him a lair
>
> You were wrong on several levels.

nope

>
> You owe Hans Brakob an apology.

IF he asks I will consider it

But not on your say so
>
> Steve, K4YZ

655. HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 14:07:45 UTC
From: Bonobo Roger <hpsucks@hpsucks.com>
Message-ID: <d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 112014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !

HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
understand a word they are saying.

Buy an HP....
Have a problem...
Call up their Tech Support hotline....
You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
a word your saying because he'e been trained to
read from a script like a trained spider monkey....

(HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
tech support..)

---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
going to half-ass
overseas tech support in)

Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
too!)
to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.

Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.

656. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 14:17:07 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dagp53$169i$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112015

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
>>Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300
>>baud on HF
>>without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole
>>lot of things,
>>not just video, that would be nice to do.
>
>
> The answer (in large part) is to use different modulation and encoding
> schemes. Such as QPSK instead of BFSK. Multiple carriers spaced just
> far enough apart to avoid interference. Simultaneous AM and PM.
>
> Of course such modes may not have the HF performance we're used to
> from, say, PSK31 or SSB. You don't get something for nothing; Shannon's
> Theorem shows that the increased data rate in a given bandwidth comes
> at the price of needing more S/N to get acceptable results.

That old s/n bugaboo. PSK31 does very well at low power levels in great part because the PA of present day transmitters work well at lower power levels. Crank 'em up to full power, and things aren't always so pretty. You can visually see the results on the waterfall, just as you can see when the drive level is too high.

Now is that an insurmountable problem? No. But it means that we would probably have to design new transmitters that can put out a PSK-friendly output at high power levels.

Another method is of course to increase the ERP. So we can put up a directional antenna.

But now we're certainly a long way from simple, and many present day rigs and users wouldn't be able to participate in the fun.

I wonder if any experiments have been performed by Amateurs along these lines to find a practical limit to how many different phase angles can be accommodated. Sounds like fun.

> As K0HB points out, the 300 baud limit only applies in the CW/data
> subbands. If you can stuff "TV" into a reasonable bandwidth, it can be
> sent in the voice/image subbands.
>
> As a simple example, imagine 25 PSK31 carriers spaced cheek-by-jowl in
> a typical SSB bandwidth, running QPSK. If one gets you 100 baud, 25

> will get you 2500 baud. Go to 8PSK and you get 5000 baud. Then add
> compression on top of that...

PSK31 is 31 baud, so the numbers have to be shifted a bit. The baud rate was chosen because it is around the level that a good typist can type at. But it can be changed PSK100 baud easily, just sacrifice a bit of bandwidth.

>>How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>>
>
> Only if "all else is equal". The trick is to make the tradeoff
> somewhere else. The familiar "56K" modem trades off S/N rather than
> bandwidth.
>
> It's not a complex subject at all.
>
> You've probably heard the old engineering adage:
>
> "You can have it fast, good or cheap. Choose any two"

Same with light bulbs:

Bright, long lasting, or cheap.

> All Shannon's Theorem does is equate fast to data rate, good to S/N,
> and cheap to bandwidth.

657. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 14:20:40 UTC
From: Bonobo Roger <hpsucks@hpsucks.com>
Message-ID: <c2726$42cbe8b2$d1cc5062$26277@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 112016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Read about some overseas Tech Support HORROR STORIES here:

(you'll laugh...you'll cry...you'll want to go take a shi+...they are all
so very familiar!)

http://www.gamingforce.com/forums/archive/index.php/t-44421.html

http://www.techcomedy.com/users/submitted_content.php?nick=drachen

MAIN: http://www.techcomedy.com/

http://reviews.cnet.com/5208-10168-0-10.html?forumID=104&threadID=59876&messageID=732020&s
tart=-137

658. on topic given Stevie interest in my affairs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 14:21:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120659680.184268.79880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112017

tonight or tomarrow My aprtner and I will be traveling to allow my partner to fufill a require of my partners religion

So Stevie please don't burst a blood vessel waitng for my replies or assmuning my silence is consent

Gay bashers go ahead have fun while I am gone consider growing up

659. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 15:07:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120662454.995246.308550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112018

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You'd have to show me where I've insulted your father, Markie...As
> > > a matter of fact, I seem to remember where I praised him for not
> > > holding a pillow over your head when you were an infant
> > >
> >
> > you just admitted to insulting by repating one of them
>
> I didn't admit anything.

you

>
> I said I praised him.

and according to him your words were insulting to him

>
> > Is the pillow trick how your little girl died?
>
> Nope. She fell asleep peacefully.
>
> You should be as lucky to go as peacefully as she did.
>
> > > > and you can't spell "ahve" you have been blwoing that one all day
> > >
> > > Yep. I transpose the a and h more thyan I care to say.
> >
> > and you are allowed to do that and I am not allowed my problems
> >
> > Hypocrite
>
> Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
> reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
> we can all understand those.

still a hypoctrite

you don't even check your admission for of typos for typos

OTOH you do have point I have a complete and utter contempt for those
people reading my stuff most closely. First on the that list is your

Name these days.

Anything you want is more likely to get the opposite than your desired
result, and I certainly am not spending an effort on plesing you

Grow up, get over it, move on

and please stop beating the dead equine

>
> Yours are in almost every sentence.

I deny almost ervy sentence but tha is a quibble

I don't deny making typos

I don't deny making LOTS of typos

Grow up, get over it, move on

and please stop beating the dead equine
>
> You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.

not realy stevie, it is as other have agreed that I treat this medium
DIFFERENTLY than you.

I don't see the Usenet as truely a written form sinc it is not
inteneded for any formal purpose.

I see it as more akin to spoken engligh

Indeed I seem to recall other agreeing that reading my aloud and
lsitening to it often clears things up

You can choose to do this or not

but you lack the right to dictate what I must do

>
> It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
> with WANTING to be "special".

Nope

Defferent perhaps. indeed if you are Normal Stevie I don't want it,
would turn it down if offered,

Your concerns as near as I can see them disgust me.

>
> Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> on several levels.

he says he has

Ok I am lazy on several levels

Lazy is not a crime

If you feel it is chacter flaw the to you it is
Grow up, get over it, move on

and please stop beating the dead equine

>
> > > > > > > He may be our next democrat candidate for president.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks a REAL god that I'm not stupid enough to be a Democrat
> > > > >
> > > > Now a dig at my religion
> > >
> > > What religion?
> >
> > Mine
>
> Where did I say anything about paganism?

Never said you did

>
> > > > God please protect me form your (alleged) followers
> > >
> > > Who's alleged to follow what?
> >
> > You have alleged to be christian
>
> No...
>
> I've said that I adhere to many Christian principles. I have not
> said I am Christian.

HMM i thought you had still

but do you deny being christain?

>
> > > > > > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > > > > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Either way you look at it, neither of you are capable of
> > > > > > maneuvering without someone to set your course for you.
> > > > >
> > > > Bull####, plain and simple
> > >
> > > Yes, you are.

> >
> > nope
> >
> > and it was Bull#### not bull####
>
> I choose to not wilfully recite your profanity, Mark.

No you choose to alter my words

Shit is not profanity

Shit is shit

>
> It's easy enough to show people what an idiot you are without it.

then do so without forging my words into soemthing else

>
> > > And yes, you require guidance.
> >
> > nope esp not yours
>
> Yes...Anyone's. You need help. More than I could ever offer.

realy another lie. Anyone's Help? Ole Jeffery Dahmer perhaps?

>
> Anyone who admits, boastfully so, that he's a prolific liar needs
> help.

IYO just becuase you say it don't make it so

Let me quote from again from lost in space, "don't mistake my deception
for a character flaw it is a philosical choice, a result of a profund
understandering of the universe works"or words to that effect

perhaps by your standards I am in fact EVIL. I however am entitled to
be evil in your eyes if I choose, that is what the first amendments
means in part. It is something YOU SWORE an oath to uphold

Fine go ahead and think that

>
> > > > I decide my course...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > That's the part where you require guidance. You don't see this
> > > jerky, all over the road behaviour that the rest of us see.
> >
> > excuse me? now you claim I don't reconize my tempter is eratic, that I
> > am touchy
>
> Correct.
>

> You do not see the consequences of your actions.

You know this how? I foresaw most of what has been happening (in wide strokes) since i returned to the newsgroup Made a couple of mistakes but that is ok One was assmuing it would take you more than a few hours to start up your gay bashing again, but everyone makes mistakes

>
> If you did, you'd correct your behaviour.

One, MY behaviour IS correct by the only standard that matter to me, mine

Two, everything is proceeding much as I expected it to

Can't you get it through your head that I am different than you. Are you so narrow minded that you can't conceive that any would look at the world in other ways than you? I guess so, and then you have the nerve to call ME narrow minded

>
> > In addtion your statement I am not lead by another
>
> Then you should be.

IYO

In mine as you should know by now you are full of shit

>
> You are in desperate need of adult supervision.

IYO

Grow up, get over it, move on

and please stop beating the dead equine

>
> > > > you do influence it a bit, but their is no "No Code
> > > > conspricy" we nocoders don't even agree on all that much besides ending
> > > > code testing
> > >
> > > "No code conspiracy..."...?!?!?
> >
> > My latest guess as to which puppeteer you are refering to
>
> What? Huh?

you said I was a puppet I am still trying to figure out who you think is pulling the strings?

>
> > > You're slipping,
> > > Markie.....waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay

> > > down....
> >
> > But you will always be down there in case I fall
>
> Just to point and laugh.

no you will be under me do watch out
>
> The slippery spot has been pointed out to you...But you keep
> trying to walk through it. That deserves a good laugh when you fall.

Fall?

>
> And lately that's been just about every post you make.

IYO

>
> > > I'd offer you a rope, but only with the caveat that you put it
> > > around your neck and let go...
> > >
> > enouaging suicide? and you call yourself a Medic for shame
> >
> No, I don't call myself a medic.

good thing too

>
> > > > > > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > > > > > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > > > > > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Missed that one
> > > > > >
> > > > > You missed a lot more than Brain lets on.
> > > > >
> > > > Ok fine sure you don't need meds
> > > >
> > > Nope. What I need is to see liars and misfits like you and Brian
> > > P Burke dealt with in some fashion.
> > >
> > Why?
> >
> Because it's the right thing to do...Like stopping little old
> ladies from being mugged or reporting drunk drivers.

Harassing people lying about them stalking them is the right thing to
do

>
> > and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It binds
> > YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,

> > guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"
>
> My Oath to the Constitution of the United States has nothing to do
> with pointing a finger and calling a liar a liar, Mark.

No it doesn't but that was the point of course.

It is about respecting the religous diversity of the nation.

It is about upholding the rule of law, not vigilantism

>
> And you are, by your own admssion, a liar.

Grow up, get over it, move on

and please stop beating the dead equine
>
> You've even reproved it numerous times in the last 24 hours.

Repoved an odd use of the word
>
> And you'll never know what "Semper Fi" stands for...Oh yes, I know
> you can cite the translation, but you'll never know what it is to be
> one of the Band of Brothers.

But I do
>
> Never.

always
>
> > > > > I could do exactly what HE does and extract single words from
> > > > > posts and make it sound ominous, too.
> > > >
> > > > You do that often enough yourself
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep
>
> See what I mean. There's a lie right now.

Nope

>
> > > > > But there's a difference...
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian P Burke is a chronic and deceitful liar....He NEEDS to
> > > > > manufacture such things in order tod etract from his online misdeeds.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't need to do such things.
> > > >
> > > Then why do you?

> > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > But you do you spin pages out of your deciding that a word must men
> > just what you want it to mean.
>
> Never have done that, Markie!

Bullshit

>
> YOU have...ie: "drafted".
>
> You never did adequately explain your meaning of "drafted". I, of
> course, gave you the true definition as it pertains to the Armed
> Forces, whcih YOU were alleging membership in...

yes I did

>
> So let's hear it...

Reatined In any service involontary, or having a reserve activation
used as a threat to in negoating compesatiion, or haveing legal force
used on a person to force their consent to something

>
> > > > > > But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny
> > > > > > him what you but grant him his due
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not as pure as the driven snow, but certainly not the YELLOW snow
> > > > > > like the two of you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Now the Kinky references again missed those
> > > >
> > > > What "kinky references"...???
> > >
> > > go look up the Fetish Watersports, and don't complain about being asked
> > > since you also complain about sexual and/or kinky content in here
> >
> > What I am trying to figure out is how you worked that in to the
> > conversation...I certainly didn't and neither did anyone else I see.

Yellow snow is pisson on snow

If you are that clueless I give up

Can't even satify you by being polite

>
> Of course you blame others for such introductions...Yet here you
> are doing it yorself...

Nope you were

>
> Again....
nope

>
> > > > > > > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > > > > > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > > > > > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
> > > > > > > > > efforts will be detected.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "...places where his efforts will be detected..."...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I heard
> > > > > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> > > > > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> > > > > there
> > >
> > > BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!
> >
> > Right
>
> More lies, Markie...Or at least paranoid delusions.

prove it

>
> I bet they are plentiful in your realm.

you lose
but
Grow up, get over it, move on

and please stop beating the dead equine
>
> > > > > > Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
> > > > > > group
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Too late...You're already here.
> > > > >
> > > > > and you you are vermin
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes you are vermin
>
> Nope.

you are

>
> > an Oathbreaker (your service oath to the constitution)
>
> I dare you to recite that oath in here, Mark, and then provide
> some even remote substantiation of your claim.

I dare you? what next you will double dog dare me

I<name>sware to uphold and defend the constitution of the US and the to
obey the offers put over me etc

You derespect the Constitution.

You deny the rights of other to practice their faith unmoslested by you

>
> > > > > > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > > > > > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Vermin! Hi!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
> > > > > > > someone was a thief
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But one that's easily disproven. NCIC refers.
> > > > >
> > > > > NCIC? gigo
> > >
> > > again NCIC means what?
> >
> > Look it up, idiot. What were you saying about "carrying
> > baggage"...?!?!

but yo knwo I am lazy stevie you said so yourself

>
> > > > and so what
> > > >
> > > > "So what"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > You've just re=proven my assertions about your cowardace in the
> > > > face of facts.
> > > >
> > > > Insinuate my father was a thief, and when I suggest that you find
> > > > out for your selg you try that "GIGO" routine.
> > >
> > > My selg?
> > > But you lie I never insinulated your father was a thief I stated quite

> > plainly that one of your
> > male forefathers was a thief
>
> Lies, lies, lies, Markie.

IT is not a lie that I have stated one of your male forefathers was a
thief

>
> I have only one father. He's deceased. His Amateur call was
> N8DOS and it's still on QRZ. I dare you to get his name and run it
> through NCIC

ah NCIC now get it

Forefather not 4 fathers you idoit

Your ancestors if you now that word

but I never said he was the theif

merely that either several generations back when your family got the
anme His father was a thief

that is how names were given in english (and most other langs)

MacNiel starts as son of Neil,

Cooperson is son of a copper (barrelmaker)

etc

>
> > Roberson or robeson are coruptions of RobberSon look it up in the work
> > of the Brothers Grim
>
> The "Brothers Grim" wrote tales.

they recoded fairy tales and that is what they are best known for but
Like, Heddy Lamar was best known as actress not an inventor, they were
also linguists and wote most of the basic work in that field

>
> "Robeson" is not a corruption of "Robberson"

Prove it

>
> Perhaps you should be doing your research somewhere other than in
> children's fairey tales...

Maybe you should know what you are talking about

>
> > > If you want to see "garbage", re-read all of the posts under your
> > > own hand...THERE'S garbage.

> >
> > nO don't want to see garbe which maybe I should stop reading your posts
>
> There's more of that very same garbage, Mark.
>
> Spellchecker.
>
> Hooked On Phonics
>
> Get them both...Use them.

To please you Never
>
> Steve, K4YZ

660. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 16:19:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120666792.162715.250250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112019

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:

> >>Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300
> >>baud on HF
> >>without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole
> >>lot of things,
> >>not just video, that would be nice to do.

> > The answer (in large part) is to use different modulation and encoding
> > schemes. Such as QPSK instead of BFSK. Multiple carriers spaced just
> > far enough apart to avoid interference. Simultaneous AM and PM.

> > Of course such modes may not have the HF performance we're used to
> > from, say, PSK31 or SSB. You don't get something for nothing; Shannon's
> > Theorem shows that the increased data rate in a given bandwidth comes
> > at the price of needing more S/N to get acceptable results.

> That old s/n bugaboo. PSK31 does very well at low power levels in great
> part because the PA of present day transmitters work well at lower power
> levels. Crank 'em up to full power, and things aren't always so pretty.
> You can visually see the results on the waterfall, just as you can see
> when the drive level is too high.

That's transmitter IMD - just one part of the problem.
>
> Now is that an insurmountable problem? No. But it means that we would
> probably have to design new transmitters that can put out a PSK-friendly
> output at high power levels.

Which can be done and is being done.

> Another method is of course to increase the ERP. So we can put up a
> directional antenna.

And an amplifier.
>
> But now we're certainly a long way from simple, and many present day
> rigs and users wouldn't be able to participate in the fun.

But all that is doable. After all, Morse Code has a big S/N advantage
over even SSB voice, yet hams manage to make SSB contacts on HF. Morse
Code simply does better under marginal conditions. Or perhaps we should
say that its margins are lower.

> I wonder if any experiments have been performed by Amateurs along these
> lines to find a practical limit to how many different phase angles can
> be accommodated. Sounds like fun.

The problem is in two parts: First there's the accuracy of the
hardware, which is probably pretty good using modern parts and methods.

But second is the distortion of the RF path, which is not under our
control. If, say, the ionosphere causes the phase to wander a couple of
degrees each way, modes like BPSK and QPSK may still work, but "256PSK"
will be full of errors.

Note how there are times when PSK31, even if the signals are loud,
won't work in QPSK mode but will work in BPSK mode. That's not because
of narrower bandwidth or some hardware or software change. It's because
the path is introducing so much phase distortion (a form of noise) that
the distortion exceeds the QPSK demodulation criteria.

W0EX observed path-induced phase distortion that was so high that PSK31
wouldn't work even in BPSK mode, yet the PSK31 carrier could be heard
clearly and seen easily on the waterfall.

> > As K0HB points out, the 300 baud limit only applies in the CW/data
> > subbands. If you can stuff "TV" into a reasonable bandwidth, it can be
> > sent in the voice/image subbands.
> >
> > As a simple example, imagine 25 PSK31 carriers spaced cheek-by-jowl in
> > a typical SSB bandwidth, running QPSK. If one gets you 100 baud, 25
> > will get you 2500 baud. Go to 8PSK and you get 5000 baud. Then add
> > compression on top of that...
>
> PSK31 is 31 baud, so the numbers have to be shifted a bit.

Yes - I should have written "PSK31-like"

> The baud
> rate was chosen because it is around the level that a good typist can
> type at. But it can be changed PSK100 baud easily, just sacrifice a bit
> of bandwidth.

Exactly. The principle is what matters. The problem is that the
transmitter and receiver must be very linear to avoid IMD products
causing trouble.

> >>How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.

> > Only if "all else is equal". The trick is to make the tradeoff
> > somewhere else. The familiar "56K" modem trades off S/N rather than
> > bandwidth.
> >
> > It's not a complex subject at all.
> >
> > You've probably heard the old engineering adage:
> >

> > "You can have it fast, good or cheap. Choose any two"
>
> Same with light bulbs:
>
> Bright, long lasting, or cheap.

I'd say "bright, long lasting, or efficient".

> > All Shannon's Theorem does is equate fast to data rate, good to S/N,
> > and cheap to bandwidth.

There's also the factor of error rate. In the above simplified discussion I assumed the same error rate for all cases. Obviously there are some situations where a higher error rate is tolerable. Error-correction can help, but error correction carries its own overhead, slowing down data rate.

A dramatic example of the effect of errors can be seen on TV. Conventional analog NTSC-type TV shows "errors" as "snow" and sometimes even loss of sync. But you can still watch a "snowy" picture. Digital TV methods often show errors as pixelation or complete loss - you see *nothing*.

---

btw, the US military is investigating the use of near-space balloons for communications and intelligence-gathering applications.....

73 de Jim, N2EY

661. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 17:36:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120671370.894264.62610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112021

K4YZ wrote:
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -
>
> WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?
>
> You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
> hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
> ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
> QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?
>
> I'd say "nothing". What obligation do those other entities have
> to promulgate your BS and sad story?
>

Stevbie, I think K1MAN arguement is that his side of the story isn't
getting out, because most of those groups he mention either banned him
or don't want to here his side of the story. Secondly, this is a FREE
country and I know a Nazi, sadist hateful person like youself don't
believe in allowing people telling there side of the story,

> You got yourself into this corner all by yourself.
>
> The ignorant one is the idiot facing his third NAL just before his
> license is due to be renewed!
>
>
> No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much.
>
> For whatever reason persons of Arabic nationalities may dislike
> the United States, I'm willing to be that NONE of them have ANYthing to
> do with Amateur Radio.
>
> No wonder Amateur Radio is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.
>
> It's not going down ANY tubes led by the ARRL.
>
> It's going "down the tubes" coutesy of lawbreaking,
> hate-mongering, selfish punks like you, N9OGL, AB8MQ, etc etc etc...
>
OH, your high and mighty ARRL hasn't screwed amateur radio
over...right, and your a basard and a sadist for calling me a
hate-monger, as for selfish, yeah I am, I didn't get in this hobby for
you or your asshole buddies at the ARRL, I got into for myself, I'm not

a fucking communist you believe this hobby is a "collective" like you
are.
> I love both America and Amateur Radio, however.
>
> No you don't.

And you do stevbie?? you and your little commie buddies on here
suppress people right to free speech, or you bad mouth them and then
turn around and try to justify yourself as if it's your moral duty
because you can face a debate head on,

>
> If you did, you wouldn't break the laws of the first and
> practicies of the second, then try to rub everyone's nose in it.
>
> > K1MAN will be on for many many years to come...(SNIP)
>
> I forecast no longer than your next renewl date plus the period of
> time your application will be under review/prosecution.
>

Well wait and see, it's a long process, he has the Administrative
process (through the FCC), then the legal process (through the courts)

> >...(UNSNIP) and the true scum of our precious hobby and
> service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.
>
> "We" already know where the scum is. He's a selfish, self-centered
> egotistical idiot living in Maine, with an ugly step-cousin in
> Illinois.
>

Well Crybaby Steve, Unlike K1MAN, I USE an UnUSED frequency, and I
check to see if the frequency is not in uses, Secondly, all my programs
deal directly with amateur radio, no off the topic subject matterand no
pencuniary interest, but hey a asshole like you hasn't heard my
transmission, so you wouldn't know.

> K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
> and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to stamp
> out amateur radio ignorance.
>
> Like I said....Your next renewal plus the period of time your case
> is being litigated...Then...QRT.
well see stevbie, I hope K1MAN brings up section 326 of the
Communication Act of 1934..

TITLE 47 > CHAPTER 5 > SUBCHAPTER III > Part I > § 326

§ 326. Censorship

Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or

signals transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or
condition shall be promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall
interfere with the right of free speech by means of radio
communication.

> Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs. You have the constitutional right to be
> stupid!
>
> That's really the way to generate sympathy and support for your
> cause.
>
> Only in America!
>
> Only in America would a law-breaker like you be tolerated for so
> long. Your "day" is past due. It's time to get the garbage off the
> front step.
>

NICE COMING FROM A NAZI/COMMUNIST

> Join the American Amateur Radio Association today!
>
> Why? It's not going to be around much longer either.
>
> See www.K1MAN.com
>
> Finally ponied-up the bucks for another Yahoo domain, I see.
>
> K1MAN SAYS:
>
> FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN
>
> In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box,
> according to Glenn Baxter...(SNIP)
>
> What a self-glorifying putz.
>
WHAT A SCUMBAG

> Bet he's got family in Southern California.
>
> Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, and
> AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association. Mr. Baxter was
> recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March 13, 1991 and
> named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on October 1, 1993 for
> international amateur radio communications work with his IARN.
>
> Jim Jones and Ted Bundy won civic service awards too.
>

Your proabably in that catagory too...

> Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham
> jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years
> to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency
> communications work.
>
> BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Yeah...that's why the fed's are pouring hundreds-of-thousands of
> bucks into ARRL-sponsored and operated Emergency Communications
> programs!
>

Show me scumbag, where, it says the ARRL can be the only national
orginization

> Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to "face
> off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are finally
> going to roll," acording to Baxter.
>
> Nope.
>
we will see stevbie

> Just one.
>
> K1MAN's response is printed below together with the full text of the
> NAL.
>
> In the matter of
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
> Registered Professional Engineer
>
> .....and UNregistered Court Jester...
>
> Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN
>
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975
>
> Reply dated 12 June 2005
>
> Respondent Glenn A. Baxter, K1MAN, denies any apparent liability for
> forfeiture in the amount of $21,000 or any other violations of FCC
> rules, state law, or federal law...(SNIP TO...)
>
> Respondent hereby requests the Commission to supply all documentation
> regarding the alleged apparent liability and criminal violations for
> respondent to use in preparation for the again demanded trial like

> hearing before the full Commission and for the other court actions.
>
> It's apparent that Glennda is going to be representing hisself.
> Had a real attorney been requeting this information, there wouldn't
> have been any grandstanding or "opening remarks" in his request.
>
> Respondent will be calling witnesses, introducing affivavits, and
> further demonstrating many ACTUAL criminal violations of Sections 333
> and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act by numerous persons complained
> about by your respondent and others in Felony Complaint Affidavits
> filed with the U.S. Attorney including the two FCC officials mentioned
> above.
>
> Oh no! Glennda is going to waive his "felony complaint
> affidavits" in front of a REAL judge ! !
>
> BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Maybe this is where Glennda leads the court to believe he's off
> his rocker in an attempt to avoid prosecution of the complaints!
>
> Whatta schmuck!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
SCUMBAG,

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00MHz.
Saturday's @4.00 pm CST

662. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 19:29:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <w_Kdnaluu_6_rFHfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 112022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell was also
outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!

Bill Crocker
(Unemployed Computer Engineer)

"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
> understand a word they are saying.
>
> Buy an HP....
> Have a problem...
> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
> tech support..)
>
> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
> going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
> too!)
> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>
>
>
>

663. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:05:03 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <PPWye.18464$eM6.11716@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> He doesn't need to sweat over the code. As you can see, he earned his
> General license.
>

He never need touch a key again, but if he wants to,
have at it.....

664. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:11:36 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dahdto$oc2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112025

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
>>>>Please show me and everyone else how we can run more than 300
>>>>baud on HF
>>>>without exceeding reasonable band widths. There are a whole
>>>>lot of things,
>>>>not just video, that would be nice to do.
>
>
>>>The answer (in large part) is to use different modulation and encoding
>>>schemes. Such as QPSK instead of BFSK. Multiple carriers spaced just
>>>far enough apart to avoid interference. Simultaneous AM and PM.
>
>
>>>Of course such modes may not have the HF performance we're used to
>>>from, say, PSK31 or SSB. You don't get something for nothing; Shannon's
>>>Theorem shows that the increased data rate in a given bandwidth comes
>>>at the price of needing more S/N to get acceptable results.
>
>
>> That old s/n bugaboo. PSK31 does very well at low power levels in great
>>part because the PA of present day transmitters work well at lower power
>>levels. Crank 'em up to full power, and things aren't always so pretty.
>>You can visually see the results on the waterfall, just as you can see
>>when the drive level is too high.
>
>
> That's transmitter IMD - just one part of the problem.
>
>> Now is that an insurmountable problem? No. But it means that we would
>>probably have to design new transmitters that can put out a PSK-friendly
>>output at high power levels.
>
>
> Which can be done and is being done.
>
>
>>Another method is of course to increase the ERP. So we can put up a

>>directional antenna.
>
>
> And an amplifier.

Better be a skweeky clean one!

>> But now we're certainly a long way from simple, and many present day
>>rigs and users wouldn't be able to participate in the fun.
>
>
> But all that is doable. After all, Morse Code has a big S/N advantage
> over even SSB voice, yet hams manage to make SSB contacts on HF. Morse
> Code simply does better under marginal conditions. Or perhaps we should
> say that its margins are lower.
>
>
>>I wonder if any experiments have been performed by Amateurs along these
>>lines to find a practical limit to how many different phase angles can
>>be accommodated. Sounds like fun.
>
>
> The problem is in two parts: First there's the accuracy of the
> hardware, which is probably pretty good using modern parts and methods.
>
>
> But second is the distortion of the RF path, which is not under our
> control. If, say, the ionosphere causes the phase to wander a couple of
> degrees each way, modes like BPSK and QPSK may still work, but "256PSK"
> will be full of errors.
>
> Note how there are times when PSK31, even if the signals are loud,
> won't work in QPSK mode but will work in BPSK mode. That's not because
> of narrower bandwidth or some hardware or software change. It's because
> the path is introducing so much phase distortion (a form of noise) that
> the distortion exceeds the QPSK demodulation criteria.
>
> W0EX observed path-induced phase distortion that was so high that PSK31
> wouldn't work even in BPSK mode, yet the PSK31 carrier could be heard
> clearly and seen easily on the waterfall.
>
>
>>>As K0HB points out, the 300 baud limit only applies in the CW/data
>>>subbands. If you can stuff "TV" into a reasonable bandwidth, it can be
>>>sent in the voice/image subbands.
>>>
>>>As a simple example, imagine 25 PSK31 carriers spaced cheek-by-jowl in
>>>a typical SSB bandwidth, running QPSK. If one gets you 100 baud, 25
>>>will get you 2500 baud. Go to 8PSK and you get 5000 baud. Then add
>>>compression on top of that...
>>

>> PSK31 is 31 baud, so the numbers have to be shifted a bit.
>
>
> Yes - I should have written "PSK31-like"
>
>
>>The baud
>>rate was chosen because it is around the level that a good typist can
>>type at. But it can be changed PSK100 baud easily, just sacrifice a bit
>>of bandwidth.
>
>
> Exactly. The principle is what matters. The problem is that the
> transmitter and receiver must be very linear to avoid IMD products
> causing trouble.
>
>
>>>>How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
>
>
>>>Only if "all else is equal". The trick is to make the tradeoff
>>>somewhere else. The familiar "56K" modem trades off S/N rather than
>>>bandwidth.
>>>
>>>It's not a complex subject at all.
>>>
>>>You've probably heard the old engineering adage:
>>>
>>>"You can have it fast, good or cheap. Choose any two"
>>
>> Same with light bulbs:
>>
>> Bright, long lasting, or cheap.
>
>
> I'd say "bright, long lasting, or efficient".

Maybe, but you ought to see people choke when I tell them what their
replacement bulb in a data projector is!

Bright, long lasting and .5 kilobucks.
>
>
>>>All Shannon's Theorem does is equate fast to data rate, good to S/N,
>>>and cheap to bandwidth.
>
>
> There's also the factor of error rate. In the above simplified
> discussion I assumed the same error rate for all cases. Obviously there
> are some situations where a higher error rate is tolerable.
> Error-correction can help, but error correction carries its own

> overhead, slowing down data rate.

That it will. PSK *is* a delicate mode, and gets more delicate the more phase shifts in use. Interestingly enough, it works pretty well at frequencies where there is more bandwidth available

> A dramatic example of the effect of errors can be seen on TV.
> Conventional analog NTSC-type TV shows "errors" as "snow" and sometimes
> even loss of sync. But you can still watch a "snowy" picture. Digital
> TV methods often show errors as pixelation or complete loss - you see
> *nothing*.

That brings up a useful analogy. When I got started in video, the portable work was being done with the old 3/4 inch U-Matic tapes. As time progressed, we shifted to formats like Betacam, S-VHS and the like. Eventually some interesting formats such as Hi-8 came out. The Hi-8 had a pretty decent video quality to it, and looked like it was going to revolutionize things.

But there was a problem. I'm sure you are familiar with the way that modern video lays the tracks down on the tape - the record heads are at an angle, and there are at least two of them. This way the tape is "striped", with the video laid on at an angle to provide more linear space with which to write the image.

This was videotaping's bandwidth "cheat". And it works fairly well.

But the needed bandwidth didn't go away, and there was tremendous demand to make the tape smaller and more slender.

So the fix was to lay the heads at an even greater angle, so as to compensate for the smaller tape. What may have been a 70 degree angle for the 3/4 inch tape might be 45 degrees for 1/2 inch tapes, and perhaps 22 degrees for the 8mm tapes. (note: approximate angles)

A dropout - a fairly common thing - on U-Matic would just make a little white dot on the screen. On the 1/2 inch tapes, an entire line might be lost. On th 8mm tapes the entire signal might go away for a little bit.

This is because that missing oxide will be cutting across a lot more of those stripes on the tape as it got smaller.

- Mike KB3EIA -

665. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:12:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120680770.402245.161790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112024

From: Mike Coslo on Tues 5 Jul 2005 22:44

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Tsk. Coslonautics, ink, is still challenged to reach the "threshold
>> of space" as announced last year...going where other ham radio
>> balloons have gone before. It is now nearly mid-summer and no
>> flight, no tests, no words.
>
> Glad you asked! "Things" are moving along well enough, much of the
>equipment has been chosen, yet needs to be integrated. Flight 1 will be
>tethered to shake down the payload, flight two will be a short,
>relatively low altitude flight. At flight 3, the payload form factor
>will be changed. Beyond that, the flights will build on the success or
>problems encountered during previous flights.
>
> That's all the words you get.

So, "flight 1" hasn't gotten off the ground yet...

Been almost a year since you started cheering for yourself
in this newsgroup.

> There will be web pages devoted to the project. At that time you can
>read and ridicule, but you'll have to go to the website to get yer material.

Nothing's happening yet, is it? Everything on the ground.

Think of it this way: "Outer space" is only an hour's drive
away...if your car can drive straight up, that is...

Be sure and notify the NY Times, Wall Street Journal, National
Geographic to be on the alert for the Latest News from Launch
Control. Once you are out to launch, you might be out to lunch.

Bone apetite.

BTW, will you have "live streaming video" from das balloon?

Keep the bandwidth down, senior, you can't interfere with
others' communications, despite the glory and grandeur of this
(not yet) accomplishment.

"Up, up, and awwaaaayyyyyy!" Yawn.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

666. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:14:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120680858.787813.262190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112026

From: kelly@dvol.com on Tues 5 Jul 2005 16:41

>John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a separate
>> transmitter and receiver.
>
>Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter transmits.
>On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!

Did John say "on the same frequency?" I don't think so.

FULL duplex IS possible using SEPARATE frequencies for
transmit and receive. [note spelling of "receive"]

Did that over 50 years ago on 9 terminals at 1.8 GHz.
Full duplex. Twenty-four voice channels each terminal.
No problem.

>Bwwwahaha!

Tsk. Started "happy hour" early, did you? :-)

bit bit
LenAndeson@ieee.org

667. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:33:23 UTC
From: Larry <spin@libleftspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dahevc$ea0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112027

Boy, you *really* are a whiney cry-baby. Guess they were
right.

73s,

Larry

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:GtIye.580$j21.69@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
> news:dafjbr$51j$0@pita.alt.net...
>> What part will you be playing in Han's new movie,
>>
>> "Radio Hero 2" Will you still be a sycophant?
>>
>>
>>
> Give me a break. I remember the guys that were there. John L. Smith III
> (who I located, courtesy of QRZ.com), and Dean Seaver (not sure of the
> spelling; I couldn't locate him either on QRZ.com nor the Social Security
> Death Index, so I'm not sure). There were other folks, some of whom
> received commendations from the commanding officer, Captain Delaney. The
> next thing you will be telling me is that pi is equal to 3. It is *not*.
>
> The fact is that I *was* there as was Hans. I honestly do *not* care what
> you believe as that will not change facts.
>
> Which begs the question, what did you do for your country (other than
> whine
> and complain)?
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

668. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:41:30 UTC
From: Larry <spin@libleftspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dahfekgge0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh stop your stupid whining a crying! You live in a 1950s time warp.
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:RFIye.48$cg.45@news02.roc.ny...
> I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. Someone
> more
> savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.
>
> I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into being in the
> 50s.
>
> The commercial licenses were a bit tougher. The standard circuits
> (commercial mariners) operated around 25 to 30 words per minute in the mid
> 60s. I know as I was with some folks that inspected a commercial vessel
> on
> Guam in the mid 60s and I chatted with the radio officer.
>
> The 5 words per minute test was to allow entry level folks a chance to get
> their feet wet. It had nothing to do with being able to chase someone off
> a
> frequency.
>
> What no one appreciates is that way back when, in almost any endeavor,
> there
> were no licenses. Who would Wilbur Wright apply to for an aircraft
> license?
> The first automobiles had no licensing restrictions. After a bit, they
> started posting speed limits as the "horseless carriages" startled horses!
> The same applied to radio. No licenses at first. Only when the airways
> started to get a bit crowded and folks started acting like they do in this
> newsgroup did laws come into being.
>
> Eventually, folks needed laws. They needed to have a method of going down
> a
> street and knowing who had the right of way. Do you want someone parking
> in
> the middle of a street? Or going 60 or 80 miles per hour down a
> residential
> street?
>
> Unfortunately, many do not understand that laws are to allow all of us to
> use limited resources in such a way that all can enjoy them.

>
> As to Morse, I'm neither for nor against; I am however, somewhat aghast at
> the folks that do have a license and appear quite clueless as to any of
> the
> rules and regulations that are designed to protect all.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120534333.292265.243100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > > "Paul Traina" <paul.traina@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1120257804.428104.171250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > > > You could be right, sigh. I feel a little guilty for doing it this
>> > > > way, but if the FCC says it's good, then who am I to argue.
> Besides, I
>> > > > bet a few of them couldn't pass the new element 3. I took it in
>> > > > 197<8-9> timeframe, and it's a new ballgame.
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > > No need to feel guilty. Besides if look at the history of licensing
> the
>> > > earliest requirements were only 5wpm on code.
>> > >
>> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > I've been pointing that out for years, but the PCTA tend to ignore that
>> > aspect of testing.
>>
>> And another thing. I recall Jim or Kelly or some other Extra explain
>> that prior to the first 5wpm code exam, that there was no code exam.
>> But it was important to be able to understand code so that a government
>> station could tell you that you were interfering with them. And so
>> they implemented the 5wpm exam. And now that no other service uses
>> code...
>>
>
>

669. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:45:35 UTC
From: George Washington Bush <gb@presidents.r.us.gov>
Message-ID: <paiqhbur85p3gik.060720051345@kirk>
Source record: 112030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is going to be tough boys, you are going to have to tighten your belts for your country, we have to provide excessive profits for out buddies in the large corporations and the friends of our noble families who need a standard of living approaching that of royalty (did you ever notice my dad was president too, we are the beginning of a blue-blood line of royalty, respect that.)

We just have to outsource these jobs to the people of the world who are left to take advantage of, your fellow americans have become too educated to work as slaves and live in poverty.

If things really get tough for you here, catch a boat to india or china, we will have a job for you there, just tell them george sent you.

Have you ever been to mexico, you might want to try there, it is closer and they have great tacos there for lunch... although smuggling drugs across the border is highly dangerous, it does provide big lucrative returns if you do not get caught.

Don't be afraid to try something new...

G.W.B.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:w_Kdnaluu_6_rFHfRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
> Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell
> was also outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!
>
> Bill Crocker
> (Unemployed Computer Engineer)
>
>
>
>
> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>
>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>> understand a word they are saying.
>>
>> Buy an HP....
>> Have a problem...

>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>
>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local
>> Dunkin-Dounutz for
>> tech support..)
>>
>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company
>> that's
>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer
>> service by
>> going to half-ass
>> overseas tech support in)
>>
>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>> Technologies
>> too!)
>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and
>> support.
>>
>>
>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

670. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:47:12 UTC
From: Larry <spin@liblefttspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dahfp9$i3h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN will win his case, because the FCC and ARRL have been
involved in illegal and unethical collusion for decades.

73s de Larry
Detroit, Michigan

671. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:53:58 UTC
From: Larry <spin@liblefttspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dahg5ujoh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You can still get hired by the FCC, if you are a
black female drug addict.

"George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in message
news:paiqhbur85p3gik.060720051345@kirk...
> It is going to be tough boys, you are going to have to tighten your belts
> for your country, we have to provide excessive profits for out buddies in
> the large corporations and the friends of our noble families who need a
> standard of living approaching that of royalty (did you ever notice my dad
> was president too, we are the beginning of a blue-blood line of royalty,
> respect that.)
>
> We just have to outsource these jobs to the people of the world who are
> left to take advantage of, your fellow americans have become too educated
> to work as slaves and live in poverty.
>
> If things really get tough for you here, catch a boat to india or china,
> we will have a job for you there, just tell them george sent you.
>
> Have you ever been to mexico, you might want to try there, it is closer
> and they have great tacos there for lunch... although smuggling drugs
> across the border is highly dangerous, it does provide big lucrative
> returns if you do not get caught.
>
> Don't be afraid to try something new...
>
> G.W.B.
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:w_Kdnaluu_6_rFHfRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
>> Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell was
>> also outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>> (Unemployed Computer Engineer)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
>> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>>

>>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>>> understand a word they are saying.
>>>
>>> Buy an HP....
>>> Have a problem...
>>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>>
>>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz
>>> for
>>> tech support..)
>>>
>>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service
>>> by
>>> going to half-ass
>>> overseas tech support in)
>>>
>>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>>> Technologies
>>> too!)
>>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>>>
>>>
>>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

672. Re: I LOVE ANON REMAILERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 20:57:52 UTC
From: George Washington Bush <gb@presidents.r.us.gov>
Message-ID: <bd4pmyidef8wy2d.060720051357@kirk>
Source record: 112032

Larry;

You are right, in Texas we call them boys whimps. My buddy arnie in california calls them girly-men.

You ever seen a president cry? When those whimps/girly-men all start up their whining battle cry, it is enough to bring a president to his knees and tears to his eyes. Not a pleasant sight, I can assure you. So, try to keep those boys out there quite if you can, we are trying to get some sleep here.

We are all worn out from cleaning up the whitehouse after clinton and his bunch left, the ones which did not die mysteriously that is. It was a mess, ewwwwwieee! You know that stain on monica's dress. Well that was not the only stain that dirty bugger and his lot left around here. Darn near wore my wife and I out scrubbing those stains off the walls, carpet, drapes, toilet seats, etc.

Ya'all take care, ya hear?

he,he,heeeeeee!

G.W.B.

"Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote in message
news:dahevc$ea0$0@pita.alt.net...
> Boy, you *really* are a whiney cry-baby. Guess they were
> right.
>
> 73s,
>
> Larry
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:GtIye.580$j21.69@news01.roc.ny...
>>
>> "Han's Buddy" <hansboy@hansboybuddy.com> wrote in message
>> news:dafjbr$51j$0@pita.alt.net...
>>> What part will you be playing in Han's new movie,
>>>
>>> "Radio Hero 2" Will you still be a sycophant?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> Give me a break. I remember the guys that were there. John L.

>> Smith III
>> (who I located, courtesy of QRZ.com), and Dean Seaver (not sure of
>> the
>> spelling; I couldn't locate him either on QRZ.com nor the Social
>> Security
>> Death Index, so I'm not sure). There were other folks, some of
>> whom
>> received commendations from the commanding officer, Captain
>> Delaney. The
>> next thing you will be telling me is that pi is equal to 3. It is
>> *not*.
>>
>> The fact is that I *was* there as was Hans. I honestly do *not*
>> care what
>> you believe as that will not change facts.
>>
>> Which begs the question, what did you do for your country (other
>> than whine
>> and complain)?
>>
>>
>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

673. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 21:08:39 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <rLXye.18488$eM6.17394@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112033

Bonobo Roger wrote:

>
> Buy an HP....
> Have a problem...
> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab"

Hell, tech support was pretty much worthless even
before. Had a problem with an HP PC I bought in 1998,
they didn't have a clue. Seems a video driver bug would
make the machine turn itself off at random times every
few days... Found an answer in some forum, even after
updating the video drivers it would occasionally shut down
but only every few months.

Since upgraded the OS to Win 2000 Pro, last fall, haven't had this
problem yet... Knock on wood grained Formica....

sklee@ati.com

674. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 21:26:46 UTC
From: "helmsman@mindspring.com" <helmsman@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <v2joc1l50cuc8v61dqjqkr9kk43ufsn3ef@4ax.com>
Source record: 112034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Good luck! HP bought Compaq, so same applies. Last I heard, Dell was also
>outsourcing to India for support. IBM sold out to China!
Dell also outsources to the Philippines.
>
>Bill Crocker
>(Unemployed Computer Engineer)
>
>
>
>
>"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
>news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>
>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>> understand a word they are saying.
>>
>> Buy an HP....
>> Have a problem...
>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>
>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
>> tech support..)
>>
>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
>> going to half-ass
>> overseas tech support in)
>>
>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
>> too!)
>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>>
>>
>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>

>>
>>
>>
>

675. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 22:03:55 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fzYye.16940$0i3.1781@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 112035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
> understand a word they are saying.

I've had good experiences lately with a HP PC problem and a HP printer
problem using the on-line chat option. Both problems were taken care of,
and quickly.

>
> Buy an HP....
> Have a problem...
> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
> tech support..)
>
> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
> going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
> too!)
> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>
>
>
>

676. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 22:25:40 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <Z5SdnU_tiKGZx1HfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 112036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Right, like thats friggin new you idiot! HP, DELL, and pretty much any other MFG today is there!

"Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
> understand a word they are saying.
>
> Buy an HP....
> Have a problem...
> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
> tech support..)
>
> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
> going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
> too!)
> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>
>
>
>

677. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 22:34:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120689279.652830.288600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112037

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Dee Flint wrote:

> >>Another method is of course to increase the ERP.
> >>So we can put up a
> >>directional antenna.

> > And an amplifier.
>
> Better be a skweeky clean one!
>
> >>But now we're certainly a long way from simple, and many
> >>present day
> >>rigs and users wouldn't be able to participate in the fun.

> > But all that is doable. After all, Morse Code has a big S/N
> > advantage
> > over even SSB voice, yet hams manage to make SSB contacts on
> > HF. Morse
> > Code simply does better under marginal conditions. Or perhaps
> > we should
> > say that its margins are lower.

> >>I wonder if any experiments have been performed by
> >>Amateurs along these
> >>lines to find a practical limit to how many
> >>different phase angles can
> >>be accommodated. Sounds like fun.

> > The problem is in two parts: First there's the accuracy of the
> > hardware, which is probably pretty good using modern
> > parts and methods.

> > But second is the distortion of the RF path, which is not
> > under our
> > control. If, say, the ionosphere causes the phase to wander
> > a couple of
> > degrees each way, modes like BPSK and QPSK may still
> > work, but "256PSK" will be full of errors.
> >
> > Note how there are times when PSK31, even if the signals
> > are loud,
> > won't work in QPSK mode but will work in BPSK mode.

> > That's not because
> > of narrower bandwidth or some hardware or software
> > change. It's because
> > the path is introducing so much phase distortion
> > (a form of noise) that
> > the distortion exceeds the QPSK demodulation criteria.
> >
> > W0EX observed path-induced phase distortion that
> > was so high that PSK31
> > wouldn't work even in BPSK mode, yet the PSK31
> > carrier could be heard
> > clearly and seen easily on the waterfall.

> >>>As K0HB points out, the 300 baud limit only
> >>>applies in the CW/data
> >>>subbands. If you can stuff "TV" into a
> >>>reasonable bandwidth, it can be
> >>>sent in the voice/image subbands.

However, receiving it may be another matter...

> >>The baud
> >>rate was chosen because it is around the level that a good typist can
> >>type at. But it can be changed PSK100 baud easily, just sacrifice a bit
> >>of bandwidth.
> >
> >
> > Exactly. The principle is what matters. The problem is that the
> > transmitter and receiver must be very linear to avoid IMD products
> > causing trouble.
> >
> >
> >>>>How can we do it? Bandwidth is directly related to baud rate.
> >
> >
> >>>Only if "all else is equal". The trick is to make the tradeoff
> >>>somewhere else. The familiar "56K" modem trades off S/N rather than
> >>>bandwidth.
> >>>
> >>>It's not a complex subject at all.
> >>>
> >>>You've probably heard the old engineering adage:
> >>>
> >>>"You can have it fast, good or cheap. Choose any two"
> >>
> >> Same with light bulbs:
> >>
> >> Bright, long lasting, or cheap.
> >
> >
> > I'd say "bright, long lasting, or efficient".

>
> Maybe, but you ought to see people choke when I tell them what their
> replacement bulb in a data projector is!
>
> Bright, long lasting and .5 kilobucks.

pick out two...
> >
> >
> >>>All Shannon's Theorem does is equate fast to data rate, good to S/N,
> >>>and cheap to bandwidth.
> >
> >
> > There's also the factor of error rate. In the above simplified
> > discussion I assumed the same error rate for all cases. Obviously there
> > are some situations where a higher error rate is tolerable.
> > Error-correction can help, but error correction carries its own
> > overhead, slowing down data rate.
>
> That it will. PSK *is* a delicate mode, and gets more
> delicate the more
> phase shifts in use.

It's only delicate to certain kinds of disturbance. PSK has been the
mode of choice for deep space communications for over 40 years because
of its performance in a Gausssian-noise environment.

> Interestingly enough, it works pretty well at
> frequencies where there is more bandwidth available

Don't forget that filters can cause phase distortion!
>
> > A dramatic example of the effect of errors can be seen on TV.
> > Conventional analog NTSC-type TV shows "errors" as "snow" and sometimes
> > even loss of sync. But you can still watch a "snowy" picture. Digital
> > TV methods often show errors as pixelation or complete loss - you see
> > *nothing*.
>
> That brings up a useful analogy. When I got started in video, the
> portable work was being done with the old 3/4 inch U-Matic tapes. As
> time progressed, we shifted to formats like Betacam, S-VHS and the like.
> Eventually some interesting formats such as Hi-8 came out. The Hi-8 had
> a pretty decent video quality to it, and looked like it was going to
> revolutionize things.
>
> But there was a problem. I'm sure you are familiar with the way that
> modern video lays the tracks down on the tape - the record heads are at
> an angle, and there are at least two of them.

Helical scan. Goes back at least 45 years...

This way the tape is
> "striped", with the video laid on at an angle to provide more linear
> space with which to write the image.
>
> This was videotaping's bandwidth "cheat". And it works fairly well.

What it does is to increase the effective tape speed.
>
> But the needed bandwidth didn't go away, and there was tremendous
> demand to make the tape smaller and more slender.
>
> So the fix was to lay the heads at an even greater angle, so as to
> compensate for the smaller tape. What may have been a 70 degree angle
> for the 3/4 inch tape might be 45 degrees for 1/2 inch tapes, and
> perhaps 22 degrees for the 8mm tapes. (note: approximate angles)
>
> A dropout - a fairly common thing - on U-Matic would just make a little
> white dot on the screen. On the 1/2 inch tapes, an entire line might be
> lost. On th 8mm tapes the entire signal might go away for a little bit.
>
> This is because that missing oxide will be cutting across a lot more of
> those stripes on the tape as it got smaller.

At the same time, the tape media has improved, as have the heads and
transports.

But HF is still HF.

73 de Jim, N2EY

678. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 22:54:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120690440.933868.101030@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112038

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Tues 5 Jul 2005 16:41
>
> >John Smith wrote:
> >> N2EY:
> >>
> >> First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a separate
> >> transmitter and receiver.
> >>
> >Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter transmits.
> >On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!
>
> Did John say "on the same frequency?" I don't think so.

He didn't say *not* on the same frequency either Sweetums. Now what?

>
> FULL duplex IS possible using SEPARATE frequencies for
> transmit and receive.

No kidding Sweetums I've done it several times myself. With my own
equipment operated under my own operators and station license.

But in your case of course . . <sigh>

> LenAndeson@ieee.org

679. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-06 23:00:06 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120690806.684466.220580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Larry wrote:
> K1MAN will win his case, because the FCC and ARRL have been
> involved in illegal and unethical collusion for decades.
>
>
> 73s de Larry
> Detroit, Michigan

K1MAN is a wristflipper

680. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:21:32 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120699292.501514.152930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:RFIye.48$cg.45@news02.roc.ny...
> > I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. Someone
> > more
> > savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.
> >
> > I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into being in the
> > 50s.
> >
>
> See "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy" available as a free download.
> It documents the history of testing for amateur radio. It was 5 wpm at the
> beginning of testing.

Hmmm? What was the code test at the beginning of licensing?

681. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:22:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120699368.775814.32650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120610538.823374.158450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Paul Traina wrote:
> >> Thanks to all of you, data was found, I'm now kc6tcn/ag.
> >
> > Paul, congrats.
> >
> > Live long and prosper and don't sweat over the code.
> >
>
> He doesn't need to sweat over the code. As you can see, he earned his
> General license.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, don't you sweat over the code either.

682. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:24:31 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120699471.875984.200240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > He doesn't need to sweat over the code. As you can see, he earned his
> > General license.
> >
>
> He never need touch a key again, but if he wants to,
> have at it.....

Yep! There are plenty of Code Tape Extras out there who've never sent
a single dit in their lives.

683. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:26:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Ax%ye.155$cg.46@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1_SdnW6N9fEQJ1bfRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:RFIye.48$cg.45@news02.roc.ny...
> > I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. Someone
> > more
> > savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.
> >
> > I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into being in
the
> > 50s.
> >
>
> See "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy" available as a free download.
> It documents the history of testing for amateur radio. It was 5 wpm at
the
> beginning of testing. The multilevel code tests came in later.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Thanks for information, Dee.

I wonder if that's where Jim, N2EY got his information ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

684. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:28:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Uy%ye.156$cg.50@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120647789.252425.250300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. >
Someone more
> > savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.
>
> Quick history of code tests for USA ham licenses:
>
> The 1912 rules that moved amateurs to "200 meters and down" required a
> code test of 5 wpm for a standard amateur license. That
> state of affairs existed until the WW1 shut down in May, 1917.
>
> It should be noted that during the WW1 shutdown, receiving as well as
> transmitting was forbidden.
>
> When amateurs were allowed back on the air after WW1 (thanks to the
> work of Maxim, Stewart, and a few others, mostly prewar ARRL
> directors), the new licenses required a 10 wpm code test.
>
> >From 1919 until 1936, the code test speed was 10 wpm. There was also an
> "Amateur Extra First Grade" license that required 20 wpm. It was
> short-lived in the early 1920s.
>
> In 1936, the code test speed was raised to 13 wpm. The written exams
> were improved at the same time.
>
> In 1951, the Novice and Technician licenses were created, and required
> 5 wpm. The Extra (as we know it) was also created then and required 20
> wpm.
>
> That state of affairs existed until 1990, when the code test waivers
> were introduced, and then 2000, when all code testing was eliminated
> except 5 wpm.
>
> > I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into
> > being in the 50s.
>
> >From 1936 to 1951, the *only* code test for US hams was 13 wpm.
>
> >
> > The commercial licenses were a bit tougher. The standard
> > circuits

> > (commercial mariners) operated around 25 to 30 words per minute > in the
mid
> > 60s. I know as I was with some folks that inspected a
> > commercial vessel on
> > Guam in the mid 60s and I chatted with the radio officer.
>
> > The 5 words per minute test was to allow entry level folks a
> > chance to get
> > their feet wet. It had nothing to do with being able to chase > someone
off a frequency.
>
> Exactly. The idea was that it would be easier and faster to learn by
> doing.
>
> However, one of the reasons for code testing back in 1912 was to avoid
> interference. This was really a way of insuring that all radio stations
> knew and used the *same* code, because before 1912 there was more than
> one code in use on the air!
>
> But interference mitigation was not the only reason for code testing.
> There are still good reasons for code testing today, such as its
> widespread use by amateurs.
>
> Fun fact: Until 1927, Amateur Radio was not a separate service that was
> recognized by international treaty. Instead, it existed solely at the
> pleasure of each country, and the privileges varied all over the place.
>
> All that changed in 1927, when a new treaty set up worldwide bands for
> hams, basic rules and procedures, etc.
>
> One of the new 1927 requirements was that all amateurs pass
> a code test. Prior to that, code testing for hams existed only because
> individual countries required it.
> >
> > What no one appreciates is that way back when, in almost any
> > endeavor, there were no licenses. Who would Wilbur Wright
> > apply to for an aircraft license?
> > The first automobiles had no licensing restrictions. After a
> > bit, they
> > started posting speed limits as the "horseless carriages"
> > startled horses!
> > The same applied to radio. No licenses at first. Only when
> > the airways
> > started to get a bit crowded and folks started acting like they > do in
this newsgroup did laws come into being.
>
> Yep. "Wireless" was around for more than a decade before 1912. There
> were a few treaties and some regulations but they didn't do much,
> compared to what came after.
>

> It should be remembered that many concepts we see clearly today were
> not even imagined in the early days. For example, broadcasting was not
> considered in the 1912 rules - nobody really thought that millions of
> people would own radio receivers to listen to stations that did nothing
> but transmit.
>
> There were many bills written and proposed to regulate wireless before
> 1912, some of them very draconian. One concept that was fortunately
> defeated was the idea of licensing *receiving* stations...
>
> > Eventually, folks needed laws. They needed to have a method of > going
down a
> > street and knowing who had the right of way. Do you want
> > someone parking in
> > the middle of a street? Or going 60 or 80 miles per hour down > a
residential street?
>
> Yup. Of course if there's only a few cars on the road and they go 10
> mph top speed, you don't need many rules.
>
> Didja know that it was Hiram Percy Maxim hisself who convinced the
> fledgling US auto industry that traffic should keep to the right and
> the driver sit on the left? HPM was into a lot more things than radio.
> >
> > Unfortunately, many do not understand that laws are to allow
> > all of us to
> > use limited resources in such a way that all can enjoy them.
>
> Yep. Some folks don't understand that with rights come
> responsibilities.
> >
> > As to Morse, I'm neither for nor against; I am however,
> > somewhat aghast at
> > the folks that do have a license and appear quite clueless as
> > to any of the
> > rules and regulations that are designed to protect all.
>
> Me too!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Thanks for a *lot* of information, Jim

Either you're an Einstein, or a good Google user ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

685. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:31:54 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120699914.115360.325020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112045

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1120481187.286229.201920
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in
> >> > This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-
> >> > http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm This has all three regions on
> >> > it, although published on the Region 2 web
> >> > site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one
> >> > the US happens to be in).
> >> >
> >> > If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other
> >> > countries, this
> >> > is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan necessarily
> >> > incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might
> >> > change it, or might not, but then I am not a member...
> >> >
> >> > For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments
> >> > for R2 start at
> >> > 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225.
> > >
> >> > In fact,
> >> > phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference
> >> > basis, but if
> >> > you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!
> >>
> >> Whatever, took a whole two minutes Alun. I would not like to operate
> >> in that regime.
> > >
> > Nor I!
> > >
> >> In the first place it's far too complicated, much more
> >> complicated than the ARRL plan, complexity being an auto-
> >> turnoff bound
> >> to get busted right and left in practice. Second it's sorely
> >> out of
> >> date with it's "allocation" for ax.25 ops. How much packet to
> >> we hear
> >> any longer on 40?? Further where do the modern digital modes like
> >> PSK-31 fit into this plan? They're not even mentioned.
> > >
> > I suppose they're all "digimode".

> >
> >> Ditto the
> >> looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the > thing
> >> was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.
> >
> > Bingo! So much for "dynamic reallocation of resources"..
> >>
> >> My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW- exclusive
> >> segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz
> >> for U.S.
> >> non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.
> >
> > And that's if everybody plays by the bandplan!
> >
> > Alun says the 'phone segment starts at 7050 under that plan. Which
> > means that 'phone is primary on ~83% of the band! CW is primary on
> > ~11%. The "digimodes" are stuck in between.
> >
> > The spectrum-efficient modes are sacrificed to the spectrum-wasters.
> > "From each according to his [spectrum] ability, to each according to
> > his [spectrum] need...." where have we heard that before?
> >
> >> Particularly given the ongoing surge of 40M QRP CW ops by all classes
> >> of HF-enabled licensees in all regions.
> >
> > 7040...
> >>
> >> In a word fuhgeddit.
> >>
> > The 800 pound problem is that nobody sez whose bandplan is to be
> > followed. Does IARU outrank ARRL in the USA, or the other way around?
> > What if NCVEC, NCI, RSGB, CQ or qrz.com comes up with a bandplan of
> > their own?
> >
> > Like you said - fugedaboudit.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
>
> Nobody outranks anyone, except the FCC! Voluntary means voluntary, and if
> we had voluntary bandplanning then some would undoubtedly use the wrong
> mode in the wrong place, but they would have a right to do that.

I'm not so sure. Riley said that not following band plans was poor
amateur practice. Riley also said that poor amateur practice was
enforceable.

686. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:42:13 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120700533.277756.49080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112046

Dave Heil wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >
> >>And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
> >>'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
> >
> >
> > Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?
>
> Quit stalking him, "bb".
>
> Dave K8MN

It's interesting that we has a post from Jim (I think) where every word in a paragraph was misspelled, but was easily readable. But Steve constantly has issues with everyone's misspellings, except his own.

Now we've got the W.V. banjo picker trying to make an important point.

What a bunch of misfits.

687. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:42:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120700546.199311.39240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1120647789.252425.250300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > I'm not to sure about the first test being 5 words per minute. >
> Someone more
> > > savvy than I will have to let us know what the real scoop was.
> >
> > Quick history of code tests for USA ham licenses:
> >
> > The 1912 rules that moved amateurs to "200 meters and down" required a
> > code test of 5 wpm for a standard amateur license. That
> > state of affairs existed until the WW1 shut down in May, 1917.
> >
> > It should be noted that during the WW1 shutdown, receiving as well as
> > transmitting was forbidden.
> >
> > When amateurs were allowed back on the air after WW1 (thanks to the
> > work of Maxim, Stewart, and a few others, mostly prewar ARRL
> > directors), the new licenses required a 10 wpm code test.
> >
> > >From 1919 until 1936, the code test speed was 10 wpm. There was also an
> > "Amateur Extra First Grade" license that required 20 wpm. It was
> > short-lived in the early 1920s.
> >
> > In 1936, the code test speed was raised to 13 wpm. The written exams
> > were improved at the same time.
> >
> > In 1951, the Novice and Technician licenses were created, and required
> > 5 wpm. The Extra (as we know it) was also created then and required 20
> > wpm.
> >
> > That state of affairs existed until 1990, when the code test waivers
> > were introduced, and then 2000, when all code testing was eliminated
> > except 5 wpm.
> >
> > > I believe that 13 was the minimum until the novice came into
> > > being in the 50s.
> >
> > >From 1936 to 1951, the *only* code test for US hams was 13 wpm.
> >
> > >
> > > The commercial licenses were a bit tougher. The standard

> > > circuits
> > > (commercial mariners) operated around 25 to 30 words per minute > in the
> mid
> > > 60s. I know as I was with some folks that inspected a
> > > commercial vessel on
> > > Guam in the mid 60s and I chatted with the radio officer.
> >
> > > The 5 words per minute test was to allow entry level folks a
> > > chance to get
> > > their feet wet. It had nothing to do with being able to chase > someone
> off a frequency.
> >
> > Exactly. The idea was that it would be easier and faster to learn by
> > doing.
> >
> > However, one of the reasons for code testing back in 1912 was to avoid
> > interference. This was really a way of insuring that all radio stations
> > knew and used the *same* code, because before 1912 there was more than
> > one code in use on the air!
> >
> > But interference mitigation was not the only reason for code testing.
> > There are still good reasons for code testing today, such as its
> > widespread use by amateurs.
> >
> > Fun fact: Until 1927, Amateur Radio was not a separate service that was
> > recognized by international treaty. Instead, it existed solely at the
> > pleasure of each country, and the privileges varied all over the place.
> >
> > All that changed in 1927, when a new treaty set up worldwide bands for
> > hams, basic rules and procedures, etc.
> >
> > One of the new 1927 requirements was that all amateurs pass
> > a code test. Prior to that, code testing for hams existed only because
> > individual countries required it.
> > >
> > > What no one appreciates is that way back when, in almost any
> > > endeavor, there were no licenses. Who would Wilbur Wright
> > > apply to for an aircraft license?
> > > The first automobiles had no licensing restrictions. After a
> > > bit, they
> > > started posting speed limits as the "horseless carriages"
> > > startled horses!
> > > The same applied to radio. No licenses at first. Only when
> > > the airways
> > > started to get a bit crowded and folks started acting like they > do in
> this newsgroup did laws come into being.
> >
> > Yep. "Wireless" was around for more than a decade before 1912. There
> > were a few treaties and some regulations but they didn't do much,
> > compared to what came after.

> >
> > It should be remembered that many concepts we see clearly today were
> > not even imagined in the early days. For example, broadcasting was not
> > considered in the 1912 rules - nobody really thought that millions of
> > people would own radio receivers to listen to stations that did nothing
> > but transmit.
> >
> > There were many bills written and proposed to regulate wireless before
> > 1912, some of them very draconian. One concept that was fortunately
> > defeated was the idea of licensing *receiving* stations...
> >
> > > Eventually, folks needed laws. They needed to have a method of > going
> down a
> > > street and knowing who had the right of way. Do you want
> > > someone parking in
> > > the middle of a street? Or going 60 or 80 miles per hour down > a
> residential street?
> >
> > Yup. Of course if there's only a few cars on the road and they go 10
> > mph top speed, you don't need many rules.
> >
> > Didja know that it was Hiram Percy Maxim hisself who convinced the
> > fledgling US auto industry that traffic should keep to the right and
> > the driver sit on the left? HPM was into a lot more things than radio.
> > >
> > > Unfortunately, many do not understand that laws are to allow
> > > all of us to
> > > use limited resources in such a way that all can enjoy them.
> >
> > Yep. Some folks don't understand that with rights come
> > responsibilities.
> > >
> > > As to Morse, I'm neither for nor against; I am however,
> > > somewhat aghast at
> > > the folks that do have a license and appear quite clueless as
> > > to any of the
> > > rules and regulations that are designed to protect all.
> >
> > Me too!
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Thanks for a *lot* of information, Jim

Heck, that was the *short* version!
>
> Either you're an Einstein, or a good Google user ;)

I'm neither - all that came from other sources. Writing that piece
about the past 50 years of US amateur radio licensing about 5 years ago

helped a lot. (you did see that piece?)

Didja know that in 1964-65 when the "incentive licensing" idea was being developed, FCC proposed a *fourth* code test speed of 16 wpm? Existing Advanceds would have been demoted to General, and a new "Amateur First Class" would have taken the spot between General and Extra. Fortunately that idea was abandoned.

73 de Jim, N2EY

688. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:43:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <PM%ye.663$j21.622@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote in message
news:dahfekgge0@pita.alt.net...
> Oh stop your stupid whining a crying! You live in a 1950s time warp.
> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>
>
>
Nope, not really. It is about rules.

I just finally solved a problem I've had. These cars and those stupid *loud* stereo systems in 'em. An idiot parked outside my house (lovely day, around 70 and low humidity) and I was trying to eat dinner.

I finally decided that "rules" don't work (there is a noise ordinance here). So .... I turned Direct TV to the big bands and started cranking. All 5 channels are hooked to Bose speakers rated sufficiently to handle it. Several hundred watts. Normally, the TV is too loud at 8 and I usually run it at 6 for television and perhaps 7 for music.

I only had it up to around 35 or 40 when I knocked 4 pictures off the walls. The whole house was shaking. I left it there for about 60 seconds, then turned it back down. The idiot figured it out. Since his windows were also open, I suspect he got the drift in a hurry. I could no longer hear his car system ;)

As to the folks that are discouraged by encroachment on the ham bands, they should figure out that sporadic e on the 10 meter band can be fickle. If you hear a very loud signal, that doesn't necessarily mean you can interfere with his transmission as he may be talking to someone you can't hear and can't hear you. Wait till he stops talking, then turn on the RTTY. Even barefoot, you'll likely kill his reception. If he can't hear 'em, he can't work 'em.

It ain't the number of guns you have, it's who you shoot.
:)))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

689. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:49:26 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120700966.377305.172000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112049

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> > > And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
> > > 'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
> >
> > Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?
>
> Did YOU know that Mark's a chronic liar?

I'm aware that you are.

> Did YOU know that dyslexia is treatable?

Nurse, heal thyself.

> Did YOU know that technology exists to allow him to correct his
> sloppy, lazy writing style before ever hitting the "send" key, and that
> in many cases it's a freeware item?

Sloppy, lazy writing style??? We're talking about a disability here.

So we've got a guy who claims to be perfectly fit enough to kick
anyone's ass on RRAP pulling down a disability check, and he's making
fun of people with a real disability, calling them sloppy and lazy.

> One chronic liar supporting another...why am I not
> surprised...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Because you're on anti-depressants?

690. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:50:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ZT%ye.17294$pa3.7041@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
>
> Yep! There are plenty of Code Tape Extras out there who've never sent
> a single dit in their lives.
>

Well, *I* had to send as well as copy code at the FCC field
office back in 1976. :-) Albeit at 5WPM.

691. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:56:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706215629.382$Fk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!
>
Hey Steve, hey do you get those losers to hump your leg so readily?

You've got toad and bi-boi standing in line.

692. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:57:12 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120701432.244472.265250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112052

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
> reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
> we can all understand those.
>
> Yours are in almost every sentence.
>
> You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.
>
> It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
> with WANTING to be "special".
>
> Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> on several levels.

Yep. Tom hires a reader to read his scripts out loud to him which he then memorizes. Doesn't have to do any writing himself, either.

Some cure.

Meanwhile, you crucify a disabled person for not having the wherewithall to hire an assistant as Tom Cruise is able to do. You're a pig.

693. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:00:24 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120701624.541940.105580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112053

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> CW + digital from 7.000 to 7.100, phone and image from 7.100 to 7.300
> and call it a day.

didit

694. Re: another point of Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:09:25 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120702165.133794.199510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112055

Arnold Schwarzenegger wrote:
> no
>
> no girly men on that frequency
>
> now you go stand in corner
>
> arnold

Now that's some on-topic policy discussion.

695. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:09:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <SN6dnVYVoLN3E1HfRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112054

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> Tsk. Coslonautics, ink, is still challenged to reach the "threshold
>>> of space" as announced last year...going where other ham radio
>>> balloons have gone before. It is now nearly mid-summer and no
>>> flight, no tests, no words.
>>
>>
>>
>> Glad you asked! "Things" are moving along well enough, much of the
>> equipment has been chosen, yet needs to be integrated. Flight 1 will
>> be tethered to shake down the payload, flight two will be a short,
>> relatively low altitude flight. At flight 3, the payload form factor
>> will be changed. Beyond that, the flights will build on the success or
>> problems encountered during previous flights.
>>
>> That's all the words you get.
>>
>> There will be web pages devoted to the project. At that time you
>> can read and ridicule, but you'll have to go to the website to get yer
>> material.
>
>
> Why dontcha ask Len how that "Extra right out of the box" is coming
> along. How many years down the road has that been now?

No point to it.

Not sure why he is so anxious for us to launch something. I don't
recall setting any particular deadline.

No biggie.

- Mike KB3EIA -

696. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:11:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706221127.833$nh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote:
> "AKC owns this NG" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1120690806.684466.220580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Larry wrote:
> >> K1MAN will win his case, because the FCC and ARRL have been
> >> involved in illegal and unethical collusion for decades.
> >>
> >>
> >> 73s de Larry
> >> Detroit, Michigan
> >
> > K1MAN is a wristflipper
> >
>
> And your IP is dangling in the open
> buckeye-express.com
>
Ask him how he made out after the rain report, and felony.

697. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:14:01 UTC
From: Larry <spin@liblefttspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dai2u1$c12$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"AKC owns this NG" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1120690806.684466.220580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Larry wrote:
>> K1MAN will win his case, because the FCC and ARRL have been
>> involved in illegal and unethical collusion for decades.
>>
>>
>> 73s de Larry
>> Detroit, Michigan
>
> K1MAN is a wristflipper
>

And your IP is dangling in the open
buckeye-express.com

698. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:16:10 UTC
From: Larry <spin@libleftspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dai322cgf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112058

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120699914.115360.325020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1120481187.286229.201920
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in
>> >> > This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-
>> >> > http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm This has all three regions on
>> >> > it, although published on the Region 2 web
>> >> > site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one
>> >> > the US happens to be in).
>> >> >
>> >> > If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other
>> >> > countries, this
>> >> > is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan necessarily
>> >> > incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might
>> >> > change it, or might not, but then I am not a member...
>> >> >
>> >> > For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments
>> >> > for R2 start at
>> >> > 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225.
>> >
>> >> > In fact,
>> >> > phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference
>> >> > basis, but if
>> >> > you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!
>> >>
>> >> Whatever, took a whole two minutes Alun. I would not like to operate
>> >> in that regime.
>> >
>> > Nor I!
>> >
>> >> In the first place it's far too complicated, much more
>> >> complicated than the ARRL plan, complexity being an auto-
>> >> turnoff bound
>> >> to get busted right and left in practice. Second it's sorely
>> >> out of
>> >> date with it's "allocation" for ax.25 ops. How much packet to
>> >> we hear

>> >> any longer on 40?? Further where do the modern digital modes like
>> >> PSK-31 fit into this plan? They're not even mentioned.
>> >
>> > I suppose they're all "digimode".
>> >
>> >> Ditto the
>> >> looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the >
>> >> thing
>> >> was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.
>> >
>> > Bingo! So much for "dynamic reallocation of resources"..
>> >>
>> >> My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW- exclusive
>> >> segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz
>> >> for U.S.
>> >> non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.
>> >
>> > And that's if everybody plays by the bandplan!
>> >
>> > Alun says the 'phone segment starts at 7050 under that plan. Which
>> > means that 'phone is primary on ~83% of the band! CW is primary on
>> > ~11%. The "digimodes" are stuck in between.
>> >
>> > The spectrum-efficient modes are sacrificed to the spectrum-wasters.
>> > "From each according to his [spectrum] ability, to each according to
>> > his [spectrum] need...." where have we heard that before?
>> >
>> >> Particularly given the ongoing surge of 40M QRP CW ops by all classes
>> >> of HF-enabled licensees in all regions.
>> >
>> > 7040...
>> >>
>> >> In a word fuhgeddit.
>> >>
>> > The 800 pound problem is that nobody sez whose bandplan is to be
>> > followed. Does IARU outrank ARRL in the USA, or the other way around?
>> > What if NCVEC, NCI, RSGB, CQ or qrz.com comes up with a bandplan of
>> > their own?
>> >
>> > Like you said - fugedaboudit.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Nobody outranks anyone, except the FCC! Voluntary means voluntary, and if
>> we had voluntary bandplanning then some would undoubtedly use the wrong
>> mode in the wrong place, but they would have a right to do that.
>
> I'm not so sure. Riley said that not following band plans was poor

> amateur practice. Riley also said that poor amateur practice was
> enforceable.
>

That is your biggest mistake, believing *anything* that Hollingsworth
says.

699. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:20:02 UTC
From: Larry <spin@libleftspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dai39adgo0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050706221127.833$nh@newsreader.com...
> "Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote:
>> "AKC owns this NG" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120690806.684466.220580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Larry wrote:
>> >> K1MAN will win his case, because the FCC and ARRL have been
>> >> involved in illegal and unethical collusion for decades.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> 73s de Larry
>> >> Detroit, Michigan
>> >
>> > K1MAN is a wristflipper
>> >
>>
>> And your IP is dangling in the open
>> buckeye-express.com
>>
> Ask him how he made out after the rain report, and felony.

It is raining quite heavily here in Detroit, what about by you?

700. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:21:54 UTC
From: George Washington Bush <gb@presidents.r.us.gov>
Message-ID: <uk7doewm7zbf3uw.060720051922@kirk>
Source record: 112060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo:

Ever since my buddy ronnie regan closed down the mental wards (nut houses) in california you have been harassing people at random. Give them a bit of a break son, do it for your president, your congress, your supreme court, your country and your fellow citizens.

I know you are a bit peeved about the lack of resources to handle you particular condition. Be patient, as soon as rummy and me can wrap up our little "death for oil" business venture in the middle east, perhaps we can squeeze out a few bucks and take care of you.

Just concentrate on holding together that declining mental condition of yours for another decade or so and we will get to you son.

Read my lips, "We will leave when we have the oil."

G.W.B.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050706215629.382$Fk@newsreader.com...
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!
>>
> Hey Steve, hey do you get those losers to hump your leg so readily?
>
> You've got toad and bi-boi standing in line.
>

701. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:25:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706222531.147$d8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050706221127.833$nh@newsreader.com...
> > "Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote:
> >> "AKC owns this NG" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1120690806.684466.220580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Larry wrote:
> >> >> K1MAN will win his case, because the FCC and ARRL have been
> >> >> involved in illegal and unethical collusion for decades.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> 73s de Larry
> >> >> Detroit, Michigan
> >> >
> >> > K1MAN is a wristflipper
> >> >
> >>
> >> And your IP is dangling in the open
> >> buckeye-express.com
> >>
> > Ask him how he made out after the rain report, and felony.
>
> It is raining quite heavily here in Detroit, what about by you?
>
NO!!! It's drier than a popcorn fart here.
```

702. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:28:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706222803.977$AI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote:
> Steveo:
>
> Ever since my buddy ronnie regan closed down the mental wards (nut
> houses) in california you have been harassing people at random.
>
Read better, I harass no one. I only answer invitations, if they are close
by and ask repeatedly.

HTH

703. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:34:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706223436.423$hI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote:
> Those hams
> had better watch out when Laura comes freebanding on 10m.
>
Freebanders should stay the hell out of the 10 meter band, unless they have
the ticket to transmit there.

What's the big attraction, anyway?

704. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:34:44 UTC
From: Larry <spin@libleftspinlarry.com>
Message-ID: <dai44shda0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey W, is it true that Laura sneaks down to the basement to
operate Freeband with her linear and TS-2000? Those hams
had better watch out when Laura comes freebanding on 10m.

"George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in message
news:uk7doewm7zbf3uw.060720051922@kirk...
> Steveo:
>
> Ever since my buddy ronnie regan closed down the mental wards (nut houses)
> in california you have been harassing people at random. Give them a bit
> of a break son, do it for your president, your congress, your supreme
> court, your country and your fellow citizens.
>
> I know you are a bit peeved about the lack of resources to handle you
> particular condition. Be patient, as soon as rummy and me can wrap up our
> little "death for oil" business venture in the middle east, perhaps we can
> squeeze out a few bucks and take care of you.
>
> Just concentrate on holding together that declining mental condition of
> yours for another decade or so and we will get to you son.
>
> Read my lips, "We will leave when we have the oil."
>
> G.W.B.
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050706215629.382$Fk@newsreader.com...
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>> How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!
>>>
>> Hey Steve, hey do you get those losers to hump your leg so readily?
>>
>> You've got toad and bi-boi standing in line.
>>
>
>
>

705. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:45:36 UTC
From: George Washington Bush <gb@presidents.r.us.gov>
Message-ID: <y2fybtlst6g040o.060720051945@kirk>
Source record: 112065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo:

Now, now son, there is one hell-of-a-lot more freebanders and cbers
than hams.

Think about it like this, company is coming and you are going to have
to give up your room for a bit.

Do what is right for the country son, standing their sniveling in your
pink skirt you just look foolish, get a hold on yourself son, take it
like a man!

Here in america, son, people are empowered by their creator. We the
government have no right taking away the radio bandwidth which their
creator bestowed upon them.

I am afraid you are just going to have to learn to play well with the
other children and get along. Perhaps a freeband tech will help you
set up a station and get on the freebands and learn the ropes.

Do what is right for your country son. Your president is counting on
you to be a team player now, remember that.

G.W.B.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050706223436.423$hI@newsreader.com...
> "Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote:
>> Those hams
>> had better watch out when Laura comes freebanding on 10m.
>>
> Freebanders should stay the hell out of the 10 meter band, unless
> they have
> the ticket to transmit there.
>
> What's the big attraction, anyway?

706. Re: need help proving old call (copy of callbook page?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:47:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ij8crka.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 112070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 06 Jul 2005 03:58:41 GMT, Jim Hampton wrote:

>Do you want someone parking in the middle of a street? Or going 60
>or 80 miles per hour down a residential street?

Happens all the time in suburbia.... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

707. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:51:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706225147.161$gZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote:
> Steveo:
>
> Now, now son
>
-snippage-

You ain't my dad, unless you're 73 and live in Ohio.

After I wring the sap from your post..ain't nothing left worth quoting. I'm not surprised you run your pie hole too much tho.

708. Re: Correcting the Biggest RRAP Idiot...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 02:56:54 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120705014.928017.156900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112067

K4YZ (idiot savante) wrote:

> b.b. wrote:
>
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
>
> Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> him "Brain"...

Only Robber's Son would enjoy making fun of a disabled person's
disability.

> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> > > > > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
> > > > rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
> > > > "below 30MHz."
> > >
> > > well said
> > >
> > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > should have
>
> Why?
>
> The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.

No treaty issues anymore. So why not?

> > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
>
> Several points:
>
> (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> prefer) ...

I can handle either. Would you like to attempt a hertz conversion?

I've got a pretty good memory (and can remember most of your lies), and it was previously posted in Part 97 as 5.170 MHz.

The regs have been rewritten to allow for people who don't understand the bandwidth of an J3E or R3E emission. Congratulations. You qualify.

Don't believe me? Ask amateur historian Jim.

However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
> strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> accomodation to safety.

Yes, that's what I said, "There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies."

Thanks for your unnecessary confirmation. You're Extra special.

> A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of any treaty.

No stretch. It was the law. This provision has been in place since I've been an amateur (Jan 87), and don't know how far back it goes. Clearly a violation of S25 as No Code Techs were authorized to use it. The frequency was below 30MHz. No Code Techs (obviously) didn't have to show any proficiency in Morse Code. I think that pretty much unravels up your claim of stretch. It was a clear violation. Robber's Son desperate attempts fail to make an issue. bb prevails.

And it could easily be rewritten to read all licensed stations on U.S. soil, and within 200nm offshore. No?

709. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:00:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aV0ze.96$Mx3.5142657@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Laura, bless her little heart, has a bad addiction to freebanding.
Jenna gets together in that whitehouse basement with that 50KW collins
linear and I don't see them for days. Like mother, like daughter I am
afraid.

Almost scarred the secret service to death with the stealth antenna
they were using (the whitehouse flagpole) melted and caught fire when
it shorted and heated up the coils with the 50KW. Just thank goodness
it is not against the law to burn a flag, yet. No one would like to
see their presidents first lady in prison (however, I do have a second
and third in the oval office, just in case :)

But hey, everyone has to have a hobby.

G.W.B.

"Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote in message
news:dai44shda0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Hey W, is it true that Laura sneaks down to the basement to
> operate Freeband with her linear and TS-2000? Those hams
> had better watch out when Laura comes freebanding on 10m.
>
>
>
>
> "George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in message
> news:uk7doewm7zbf3uw.060720051922@kirk...
>> Steveo:
>>
>> Ever since my buddy ronnie regan closed down the mental wards (nut
>> houses) in california you have been harassing people at random.
>> Give them a bit of a break son, do it for your president, your
>> congress, your supreme court, your country and your fellow
>> citizens.
>>
>> I know you are a bit peeved about the lack of resources to handle
>> you particular condition. Be patient, as soon as rummy and me can
>> wrap up our little "death for oil" business venture in the middle
>> east, perhaps we can squeeze out a few bucks and take care of you.
>>
>> Just concentrate on holding together that declining mental
>> condition of yours for another decade or so and we will get to you
>> son.

>>
>> Read my lips, "We will leave when we have the oil."
>>
>> G.W.B.
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050706215629.382$Fk@newsreader.com...
>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!
>>>>
>>> Hey Steve, hey do you get those losers to hump your leg so
>>> readily?
>>>
>>> You've got toad and bi-boi standing in line.
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

710. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:13:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <U51ze.99$ju3.4958232@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Very kewl. You figured it out, huh?

Time for a header change I see...

Warmest regards,
John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:aV0ze.96$Mx3.5142657@news.sisna.com...
> Laura, bless her little heart, has a bad addiction to freebanding.
> Jenna gets together in that whitehouse basement with that 50KW
> collins linear and I don't see them for days. Like mother, like
> daughter I am afraid.
>
> Almost scarred the secret service to death with the stealth antenna
> they were using (the whitehouse flagpole) melted and caught fire
> when it shorted and heated up the coils with the 50KW. Just thank
> goodness it is not against the law to burn a flag, yet. No one would
> like to see their presidents first lady in prison (however, I do
> have a second and third in the oval office, just in case :)
>
> But hey, everyone has to have a hobby.
>
> G.W.B.
>
> "Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote in message
> news:dai44shda0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> Hey W, is it true that Laura sneaks down to the basement to
>> operate Freeband with her linear and TS-2000? Those hams
>> had better watch out when Laura comes freebanding on 10m.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in message
>> news:uk7doewm7zbf3uw.060720051922@kirk...
>>> Steveo:
>>>
>>> Ever since my buddy ronnie regan closed down the mental wards (nut
>>> houses) in california you have been harassing people at random.
>>> Give them a bit of a break son, do it for your president, your
>>> congress, your supreme court, your country and your fellow
>>> citizens.

>>>
>>> I know you are a bit peeved about the lack of resources to handle
>>> you particular condition. Be patient, as soon as rummy and me can
>>> wrap up our little "death for oil" business venture in the middle
>>> east, perhaps we can squeeze out a few bucks and take care of you.
>>>
>>> Just concentrate on holding together that declining mental
>>> condition of yours for another decade or so and we will get to you
>>> son.
>>>
>>> Read my lips, "We will leave when we have the oil."
>>>
>>> G.W.B.
>>>
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050706215629.382$Fk@newsreader.com...
>>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>> How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!
>>>>>
>>>> Hey Steve, hey do you get those losers to hump your leg so
>>>> readily?
>>>>
>>>> You've got toad and bi-boi standing in line.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

711. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:15:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120706147.910973.73940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112071

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. (Brian P Burke, N0IMD) wrote:
> >
> > > an_old_friend (Mark C Morgan, KB9RQZ) wrote:
> >
> > > > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
> >
> > > Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> > > him "Brain"...
> >
> > he doesn't mind

I've cashed government checks made out to "Brain." I think they're on
to something.

> BTW nobody gotta love Stevie just because you say so

Few could love Steve. My best guess is his overdominant mother. His
wife probably hangs around for his VA disability check.

> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Also, (is this guy REALLY this stupid...?!?!) the "60 meter band"
> > > > > > > DOES fall below 30Mhz, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Actually, you are. He is the one who stated, "interesting that a band
> > > > > > rquiring code testing forbids code use." Morse Code testing is for
> > > > > > "below 30MHz."
> > > > >
> > > > > well said
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > > > should have
> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
> > to use it if code is forbidden

Not so fast. Where is Steve's apology to Mark for making false
accusations of stupidity, and getting the "below 30MHz" wrong???

Fess up Steve. You got it ALL wrong. Admit it. Apologize.

> > The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.
>
> So what?
>
> Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?
>
> There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more

The gears of tyranny usually turn slowly.

> > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> >
> > Several points:
> >
> > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> > stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
> > strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> > an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> > accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
> > any treaty.
> >
> > (2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
> > much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
> > rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
> > with said treaty.
>
> so he should have defunct provision
>
> grow up

Impossible. One of Steve's personalities is related to Dick Clark,
America's oldest teenager.

> > > > > > FYI...Since you've been in a time warp (and obviously enjoy making
> > > > > > a fool out of yourself rather than use a search engine to do some
> > > > > > research),
> > > > >
> > > > > You're the one who made the mistake then attacked Mark over it. Makes
> > > > > you the idiot.
> > > > >
> > > > > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > > > > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
> > > >
> > > > No it does not
> > >
> > > Yes, it does.
>
> nope

Sorry. Except in the 5.170MHz/Alaska provision that I cited, it does.

> > > > it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
> > > > general and extra bands
> >
> > Uh huh....Which are...?!?!
>
> well known to you. till they are avalable to me I'll not bother to
> learn

160, 80/75, 60, 30, 20, 17, 15, 12, and 10M.

> > BELOW 30MHZ ! ! ! ! !
>
> So what

I've already verified Robber's Sons wrongness. No need to go rubbing
his nose in it. And it wouldn't matter anyway. He's a brown noser
from the gitgo! The smell never changes.

> > QUICK, MARKIE! REVIEW TIME!
> >
> > What are the four exceptions that allow a "Technician" to act as
> > control operator of an Amateur Radio station below 30MHz...?!?!?
>
> none that apply to me
>
> and what I think you are referring isbad decision of the FCCto end
> thech vs thech plus license
>
> hopefully that mistake will be fixed
>
> > And WHAT common thread do each of those exceptions have in common
> > with the present Novice, General, Advanced and Extra class licensees?
>
> so?
>
> Now on to turth
>
> Nothing prevents the FCC from allowing hambands anywhere in the
> spectrum and assigning them to Tech class, and allowing techs without
> code tests to use them
>
> NOTHING but tradition perhaps

The gears of tyranny turn slowly.

> > > Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
> > > Quitefine at it.
> >
> > Techs can use Morse Code on HF, too. But hey, I thought YOU
> > insisted that SOMEone has chased all the Techs away...?!?!
>
> indeed he has you chase them away not all stay away

A Tech can use morse code on HF with a General, Advanced, or Extra
control operator at his/her side.

> > Another QuiteWrongLie it seems.
>
> nope

Robber's Son can't be wrong. You must accept his flaws.

712. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:21:24 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120706484.759730.78140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112072

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> > >
> > > >
> > > > But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Maybe in the Air Farce. In the military services you advanced based on your
> > > professional performance, demonstrated leadership record, test scores, and
> > > breadth of experience. WTF is a "benefactor"?
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > (E9 at 17 years TIS, 3 years TIG)
> >
> > A benefactor is the O-6 or preferably an O-7+ who puts on your
> > performance report, "Definitely Promote."
>
> Sheesh...All I needed to do to get promoted was to do my job as
> directed.
>
> We either did our jobs and got promoted, or we didn't.
>
> No "benefactor" needed.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And you didn't.

So you never got a "Definitely Promote" on your fitness/performance
report?

Hi!

713. Re: (No Subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:27:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706232731.479$Zi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Xref: news.newsreader.com

> Very kewl. You figured it out, huh?
>
> Time for a header change I see...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
Reckon what Curt thinks about it?

714. Steven J. Robeson K4YZ authorizes full review of his military records

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:30:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120707008.068260.322920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112074

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.
> > >
> > > More deceitfulness, Brain.
> > >
> > > Why do you lie so prolifically?
> >
> > Prove me wrong. Post each of your promotion orders.
>
> Not necessary.

I merely asked for a little honesty from you. You could have sanitized
sensitive information such as your SSN on posted copies of your
promotion orders.

> It's public record.

OK. Please post your full name, social security number, date of birth,
branch of service, and >>>Explicit<<< permission to review all of your
military files.

Thanks, I knew you'd be a good sport.

715. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:30:52 UTC
From: George Washington Bush <gb@presidents.r.us.gov>
Message-ID: <wv4oeosaebla40y.060720052030@kirk>
Source record: 112075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Ohhhh, OHHHHH.

BIG Bad Jonny Boy is going to do a header change, ooooohhhhhhh

BAWHHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:U51ze.99$ju3.4958232@news.sisna.com...
> Very kewl. You figured it out, huh?
>
> Time for a header change I see...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:aV0ze.96$Mx3.5142657@news.sisna.com...
>> Laura, bless her little heart, has a bad addiction to freebanding.
>> Jenna gets together in that whitehouse basement with that 50KW
>> collins linear and I don't see them for days. Like mother, like
>> daughter I am afraid.
>>
>> Almost scarred the secret service to death with the stealth antenna
>> they were using (the whitehouse flagpole) melted and caught fire
>> when it shorted and heated up the coils with the 50KW. Just thank
>> goodness it is not against the law to burn a flag, yet. No one
>> would like to see their presidents first lady in prison (however, I
>> do have a second and third in the oval office, just in case :)
>>
>> But hey, everyone has to have a hobby.
>>
>> G.W.B.
>>
>> "Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote in message
>> news:dai44shda0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> Hey W, is it true that Laura sneaks down to the basement to
>>> operate Freeband with her linear and TS-2000? Those hams
>>> had better watch out when Laura comes freebanding on 10m.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in message

>>> news:uk7doewm7zbf3uw.060720051922@kirk...
>>>> Steveo:
>>>>
>>>> Ever since my buddy ronnie regan closed down the mental wards
>>>> (nut houses) in california you have been harassing people at
>>>> random. Give them a bit of a break son, do it for your president,
>>>> your congress, your supreme court, your country and your fellow
>>>> citizens.
>>>>
>>>> I know you are a bit peeved about the lack of resources to handle
>>>> you particular condition. Be patient, as soon as rummy and me can
>>>> wrap up our little "death for oil" business venture in the middle
>>>> east, perhaps we can squeeze out a few bucks and take care of
>>>> you.
>>>>
>>>> Just concentrate on holding together that declining mental
>>>> condition of yours for another decade or so and we will get to
>>>> you son.
>>>>
>>>> Read my lips, "We will leave when we have the oil."
>>>>
>>>> G.W.B.
>>>>
>>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:20050706215629.382$Fk@newsreader.com...
>>>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>>> How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!
>>>>>>
>>>>> Hey Steve, hey do you get those losers to hump your leg so
>>>>> readily?
>>>>>
>>>>> You've got toad and bi-boi standing in line.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

716. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:34:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9p1ze.1909$BK1.593@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112076

b.b. wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>b.b. wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
>>>>'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
>>>
>>>
>>>Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?
>>
>>Quit stalking him, "bb".
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> It's interesting that we has a post from Jim (I think) where every word
> in a paragraph was misspelled, but was easily readable. But Steve
> constantly has issues with everyone's misspellings, except his own.
>
> Now we've got the W.V. banjo picker trying to make an important point.

W.V.? Do you live in O.H.? Oh, and I play the guitar.

If pointing out that Mark is bisexual is stalking him and pointing out
that he is a Pagan is stalking and if publishing his FCC address of
record is stalking, then surely you are guilty of stalking by even
mentioning that he is reading/writing impaired.

> What a bunch of misfits.

Well, you and the California gas bag certainly are.

Dave K8MN

717. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:34:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CISdneySUuFrP1HfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 112077

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120641590.012182.18040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> You've probably heard the old engineering adage:
>
> "You can have it fast, good or cheap. Choose any two"
>
> All Shannon's Theorem does is equate fast to data rate, good to S/N,
> and cheap to bandwidth.
>

BINGO! That's exactly the problem. What is Jim going to give up to get it?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

718. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:36:09 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120707369.937117.306390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112078

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Do you want me to deny the truth just to permit Living in Harmony?

Holy Moly!

Jim used to alter Kim's post to live in Harmony?

Jim used to insult Len just to live in harmony?

Jim said that a Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use
just to live in harmony?

Jim very very surprising individual.

719. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:38:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Gs1ze.1910$BK1.92@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112079

b.b. wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
>>reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
>>we can all understand those.
>>
>> Yours are in almost every sentence.
>>
>> You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.
>>
>> It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
>>with WANTING to be "special".
>>
>> Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
>>on several levels.
>
>
> Yep. Tom hires a reader to read his scripts out loud to him which he
> then memorizes. Doesn't have to do any writing himself, either.
>
> Some cure.
>
> Meanwhile, you crucify a disabled person for not having the
> wherewithall to hire an assistant as Tom Cruise is able to do. You're
> a pig.

I understand that Mark's dyslexia is also responsible for his
bisexuality, his Paganism and his fabrications about his past military
service. He's a real victim.

Dave K8MN

720. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:39:27 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120707567.064421.290770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112080

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
>
> > He's been absent for a while. Do you think he was picked up and in
> > therapy?
>
> Nope.
>
> Working.
>
> You should try meaningful labor, Brain..

Therapy is laborious? You go, Steve!

721. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:40:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ju1ze.1912$BK1.1744@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112081

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>> Tsk. Coslonautics, ink, is still challenged to reach the "threshold
>>>> of space" as announced last year...going where other ham radio
>>>> balloons have gone before. It is now nearly mid-summer and no
>>>> flight, no tests, no words.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Glad you asked! "Things" are moving along well enough, much of
>>> the equipment has been chosen, yet needs to be integrated. Flight 1
>>> will be tethered to shake down the payload, flight two will be a
>>> short, relatively low altitude flight. At flight 3, the payload form
>>> factor will be changed. Beyond that, the flights will build on the
>>> success or problems encountered during previous flights.
>>>
>>> That's all the words you get.
>>>
>>> There will be web pages devoted to the project. At that time you
>>> can read and ridicule, but you'll have to go to the website to get
>>> yer material.
>>
>>
>>
>> Why dontcha ask Len how that "Extra right out of the box" is coming
>> along. How many years down the road has that been now?
>
>
> No point to it.
>
> Not sure why he is so anxious for us to launch something. I don't
> recall setting any particular deadline.
>
> No biggie.

Surely you know that Len is the very opposite of being anxious for you
to launch. If you had a sucessful launch, it'd take away his ammunition.

Dave K8MN

722. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:43:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050706234304.509$gZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112082

References: [googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com]
[googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com]
[googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com]
[googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com]
[googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com] [googlegroups.com]
[googlegroups.com]

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
> >>reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
> >>we can all understand those.
> >>
> >> Yours are in almost every sentence.
> >>
> >> You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.
> >>
> >> It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
> >>with WANTING to be "special".
> >>
> >> Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> >>on several levels.
> >>
> >>
> > Yep. Tom hires a reader to read his scripts out loud to him which he
> > then memorizes. Doesn't have to do any writing himself, either.
> >
> > Some cure.
> >
> > Meanwhile, you crucify a disabled person for not having the
> > wherewithall to hire an assistant as Tom Cruise is able to do. You're
> > a pig.
>
> I understand that Mark's dyslexia is also responsible for his
> bisexuality, his Paganism and his fabrications about his past military
> service. He's a real victim.
>
> Dave K8MN

723. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 03:58:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uL1ze.102$ry3.5166133@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

ok assbite. You crossed the line!!!

I just sent my net logs to my isp and had a rep on the phone.

Buddy, you just screwed up BIG TIME! This is my corporation's net, do
you even understand the implications of that? ROFLOL

Start hoping you proxyed though a bunch of isp's in third world
countries. Spoofing is one thing, using my corporations network
WITHOUT it's permission is a whole 'nother ballgame friend. That IS a
computer crime!

And, let'us hope you didn't do it across state lines huh? You really
don't need a felony on your record, do you? <grin>

Oh, and by the way, I just happened to find a little email bomb. Now
I wonder who put that there? And, where a net-trace on that mail will
end up. Oh well, maybe my people can help with that, huh? SEE YA!

Warmest regards,
John

"George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in message
news:wv4oeosaebla40y.060720052030@kirk...
> Ohhhh, OHHHHH.
>
> BIG Bad Jonny Boy is going to do a header change, ooooohhhhhhh
>
> BAWHHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHA
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:U51ze.99$ju3.4958232@news.sisna.com...
>> Very kewl. You figured it out, huh?
>>
>> Time for a header change I see...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:aV0ze.96$Mx3.5142657@news.sisna.com...
>>> Laura, bless her little heart, has a bad addiction to freebanding.
>>> Jenna gets together in that whitehouse basement with that 50KW
>>> collins linear and I don't see them for days. Like mother, like
>>> daughter I am afraid.

>>>
>>> Almost scarred the secret service to death with the stealth
>>> antenna they were using (the whitehouse flagpole) melted and
>>> caught fire when it shorted and heated up the coils with the 50KW.
>>> Just thank goodness it is not against the law to burn a flag, yet.
>>> No one would like to see their presidents first lady in prison
>>> (however, I do have a second and third in the oval office, just in
>>> case :)
>>>
>>> But hey, everyone has to have a hobby.
>>>
>>> G.W.B.
>>>
>>> "Larry" <spin@libleftspinlarry.com> wrote in message
>>> news:dai44shda0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>> Hey W, is it true that Laura sneaks down to the basement to
>>>> operate Freeband with her linear and TS-2000? Those hams
>>>> had better watch out when Laura comes freebanding on 10m.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "George Washington Bush" <gb@presidents.r.us.gov> wrote in
>>>> message news:uk7doewm7zbf3uw.060720051922@kirk...
>>>>> Steveo:
>>>>>
>>>>> Ever since my buddy ronnie regan closed down the mental wards
>>>>> (nut houses) in california you have been harassing people at
>>>>> random. Give them a bit of a break son, do it for your
>>>>> president, your congress, your supreme court, your country and
>>>>> your fellow citizens.
>>>>>
>>>>> I know you are a bit peeved about the lack of resources to
>>>>> handle you particular condition. Be patient, as soon as rummy
>>>>> and me can wrap up our little "death for oil" business venture
>>>>> in the middle east, perhaps we can squeeze out a few bucks and
>>>>> take care of you.
>>>>>
>>>>> Just concentrate on holding together that declining mental
>>>>> condition of yours for another decade or so and we will get to
>>>>> you son.
>>>>>
>>>>> Read my lips, "We will leave when we have the oil."
>>>>>
>>>>> G.W.B.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:20050706215629.382$Fk@newsreader.com...
>>>>>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>>>>>>> How can I "hate" someone I am laughing at so hard...?!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hey Steve, hey do you get those losers to hump your leg so
>>>>>> readily?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You've got toad and bi-boi standing in line.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

724. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 04:05:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050707000511.356$ul@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
> > After I wring the sap from your post..ain't nothing left worth quoting.
> > I'm not surprised you run your pie hole too much tho.
>
> As soon as I hit one of these bozo's posts, I change to sender sort and
> click the green dots to make them appear as read. I then change back to
> thread view. I don't even read the first post as I'm marking them read.
>
Good advice, Scott. The jackass hams are full of betrayal, conspiracy,
sacrilege and hieracy in rec.radio.cb. (present company excluded)

725. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 04:09:53 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42CCAAC2.393166FF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 112084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Jerseyj wrote:
>
> Too bad you weren't into the hobby and didn't have some helpful ham
> buddies to help fix the roof.

Every ham I know in the area is either inactive, retired, or
disabled. The others moved out of the state when the Microdyne plant was
moved to Pennsylvania.

On the other hand, If I had charged them for repairs to their radios
over the last couple years that I was able to work I would have the
money to pay for a new roof.

It will happen, I just have to be patient. In the mean time I will
try to work in the 10' X 10' office in the back corner. I managed to
put the undamaged parts and tools in there. Now, if I can just arrange
it enough to set up a workbench.

--
http://home.earthlink.net/~computersforvets/

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

726. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 04:30:29 UTC
From: Ian <two@twowaycomprpr.org>
Message-ID: <daiau4huj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

One thing I learned in the electronic repair business was to never
take a check from a ham, cash only. Hams will always stiff you, but
I never met a freebander whose check did not clear. A Frebander's
check is always good.

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42CCAAC2.393166FF@earthlink.net...
> Jerseyj wrote:
>>
>> Too bad you weren't into the hobby and didn't have some helpful ham
>> buddies to help fix the roof.
>
>
> Every ham I know in the area is either inactive, retired, or
> disabled. The others moved out of the state when the Microdyne plant was
> moved to Pennsylvania.
>
> On the other hand, If I had charged them for repairs to their radios
> over the last couple years that I was able to work I would have the
> money to pay for a new roof.
>
> It will happen, I just have to be patient. In the mean time I will
> try to work in the 10' X 10' office in the back corner. I managed to
> put the undamaged parts and tools in there. Now, if I can just arrange
> it enough to set up a workbench.
>
> --
> http://home.earthlink.net/~computersforvets/
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

727. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 04:35:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050707003533.551$t3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Ian" <two@twowaycomprpr.org> wrote:
> One thing I learned in the electronic repair business was to never
> take a check from a ham, cash only. Hams will always stiff you, but
> I never met a freebander whose check did not clear. A Frebander's
> check is always good.
>
Good vittles and brew too.

728. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 04:41:16 UTC
From: Sparky Voltz <sparky_unit_412@yahooEY.com>
Message-ID: <kccpc1p8l28pbbluesj7lng8cuihcmhvvj@4ax.com>
Source record: 112087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Yuk. Plonk again. Goodness... this place is full of 'em. I think
maybe I'll go to another bar and order up a cold one and see if the
clientele there is of any higher caliber. This place is one scary
outfit.

Is this commonplace, or is it... wait... this is a cross-post. Guess
it's not isolated to this particular newsgroup.

Adios, loser.

~Sparky~

On 3 Jul 2005 06:53:44 -0700, "Stagger Lee, Childraper"
<stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> replied:

>
>
>Billy Bob wrote:
>> "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message news:1120217497.313929.137730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
>> .
>> And he doesn't have greasy hair, either, loser. But we all know you are
>> a mongrel kike.
>>
>> .
>>
>> Not
>
>No, dumbass, Roger doesn't have long hair. You are a fucking idiot,
>Billy Boob....

729. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 05:07:16 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42CCB831.56CD8CD8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 112088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Ian wrote:
>
> One thing I learned in the electronic repair business was to never
> take a check from a ham, cash only. Hams will always stiff you, but
> I never met a freebander whose check did not clear. A Frebander's
> check is always good.

I got some bad checks from CBers when I did 2-way radio and
commercail sound systems about 20 years ago in Ohio. I got even with
one jerk. He would put just enough in his checking account to pay his
rent and utilities so I waited till the first of the month to resubmit
the check. It cleared, and his rent check bounced. Boy, was he pissed!
;-)

After that, it was cash only, unless it was a commercial account.
Most of my customers were schools, churches and factories who didn't pay
in cash, but wouldn't write a bad check because you could file papers to
seize assets to cover a check and they weren't returned till the payment
was made.
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~computersforvets/

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

730. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 05:41:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120714874.001283.229630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112090

an_old_friend wrote:
> sorry folks but i have to hacking these posts
>
>
> >
> > Well, Mark, YOU asked about the 60 meter "band". Hans quite
> > appropriately corrected you taht there is no "band" allocated to
> > Amateur Radio, and he was right....Just five discreet channels.
>
> I never asked about freqs

But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
discreet frequencies.

> > And you did indeed ask about modes. And he precisely reported the
> > one and only mode authorized for U. S. Amateurs on those five channels.
>
> I asked about CW

And he told you what mode was authorized there.

> > > > No, YOU are the one rel=plying with "unrelated crap"...that "crap"
> > > > being your disrespectful and foolish response to his answer.
> > >
> > > rel=plying
> > >
> > > BTW I agree I was direspectful, however respect has to be earned
> >
> > Mark, you are not one that ANYone has to worry about earning
> > respect from. You're an admitted liar and deceiver.
>
> and neither are you

No problem. I could care less what level of "respect" I enjoy in
the eyes of a lying creep such as yourself.

> But is Hans or you or anyone else WANTS it then they have to earn it,
> and for that matter I get to decide what is required

There is no amount of money, gold, glory or other reward that
could cause me to be even remotely interested in what level of respect
I hold with you, Mark.

You're a professed liar.

Of what level of respect do you think YOU hold with ANYONE in this
forum?

Big snip of the rest of it.

He's admitted lying...of what other interest can the rest be?

> > There's nothing to defy but logic, Mark. And you certainly do
> > that.
>
> If you care to say that sure fine
>
> Don't make it true

My "saying it" doesn't make it true...Your being illogical does.

Steve, K4YZ

731. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 07:46:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Zp6dnepP2p5xCFDfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112102

Kenny wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
>
>>Hello, gang
>>
>>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
>>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
>
> /////////remaining drivel flushed////////
>
>
>
> Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially skillful
> the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.

But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
make a call.

732. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 08:33:48 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <juOdnY6-mqZyPVDfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Kenny wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:Zp6dnepP2p5xCFDfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
>
>>Kenny wrote:
>>
>>>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hello, gang
>>>>
>>>
>>>>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications.
>>>
>>>>Usually,
>>>>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
>>>
>>>/////////remaining drivel flushed////////
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially skillful
>>>the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.
>>
>>But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of providing
>>all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out, then the cell
>>sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to make a call.
>
>
>
> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
> in emergencies.
>

So how many have these 'certain very closely guarded codes" to use for
emergency? Do all emergency personell have access to these codes?

Hardly. How many emergency workers at the scene of a disaster have these codes? Probably none.
Ham radio is included in NORAD's emergency planning, cell phones aren't. Why? Because they know cells phones are practically useless in a real disaster.

What good do those 'very closely guarded codes' do if all the cell sites are down?

733. Re: Woger gone missing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 09:59:08 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <NotRoger@Glendale>
Message-ID: <daiubn$6pa$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112091
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120620904.081208.183040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Humm once again I ask has their ever been a time when Woger knew what
he was talking about?

Has Wogie ever worried about differing between fact and fiction?
It is doubtful he knows the difference. Even Roger doesn't like Roger, ergo
his penchant for speaking in the third person. Like that is going to fool
anybody.

When Wogie is accused of a wrongdoing one can judge how close one came to
the truth by the amount of vehemence in Wogie's denial.
For instance, "Wrong again, Asshole." That means the accuser was close to
the truth.
Or, "Prove Roger was ever in a mental institution." That means the accuser
was on the money.
Then there is, "Prove Roger ever beat his mother, asshole." Translation? The
accuser got him on that one.

Of course Wogie in all his brilliance usually follows up with a much
repeated and worn retort such as, "I see you still have that *****ing lisp."

If Wogie is anything, he has proven himself to be unimaginative,
resourceless, and predictably repetitive.
....
This message was NOT sent via two or more anonymous remailing services from
Aruba

734. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 12:04:38 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <daj5om$rl0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112092

Dave Heil wrote:

> b.b. wrote:
>
>>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>> Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
>>> reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
>>> we can all understand those.
>>>
>>> Yours are in almost every sentence.
>>>
>>> You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.
>>>
>>> It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
>>> with WANTING to be "special".
>>>
>>> Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
>>> on several levels.
>>
>>
>>
>> Yep. Tom hires a reader to read his scripts out loud to him which he
>> then memorizes. Doesn't have to do any writing himself, either.
>>
>> Some cure.
>>
>> Meanwhile, you crucify a disabled person for not having the
>> wherewithall to hire an assistant as Tom Cruise is able to do. You're
>> a pig.
>
>
> I understand that Mark's dyslexia is also responsible for his
> bisexuality, his Paganism and his fabrications about his past military
> service. He's a real victim.

What's wrong with Pagans?

They're cute, they look like they're wearing tuxedo's, and are very
entertaining when we watch them at the zoo!

I'm a big fan of the Pittsburgh Pagan's hockey team....

- Mike KB3EIA -

735. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 12:44:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120740243.706515.53030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112093

b.b. (Brian Burke, N0IMD) wrote:

> What a bunch of misfits.

Ironic, coming from a known chronic liar and deceiver.

Steve, K4YZ

736. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 13:27:17 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4_ydnUL5-bQpeFDfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112126

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120774582.900474.137060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>Kenny wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Hello, gang
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications.
>
> Usually,
>
>>>>>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications
>
> needed.
>
>>>>/////////remaining drivel flushed////////
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially
>
> skillful
>
>>>>the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.
>>>
>>>But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
>>>providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
>>>then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
>>>make a call.
>>
>>Kindly poll the RSGB and get the number of amateur radio operators
>>(complete with portable radios) that were in the subways and busses
>>affected.
>>
>>Thanks.

>>
>
>
> You know as well as I that neither cell phone, cb, nor amateur radio will
> work well (if at all) if you are in a subway far from an exit. Radio waves
> do not fare well from underground nor under water ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

Gee, didn't know bb made such a stupid statement as I never read bryan
burps meaningless postings.

737. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 13:44:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120743850.801497.80260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112094

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > You'd have to show me where I've insulted your father, Markie...As
> > > > a matter of fact, I seem to remember where I praised him for not
> > > > holding a pillow over your head when you were an infant
> > > >
> > >
> > > you just admitted to insulting by repating one of them
> >
> > I didn't admit anything.
>
> you

What?

> > I said I praised him.
>
> and according to him your words were insulting to him

I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
father yourself?

That would explain a lot of things...

> > Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
> > reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
> > we can all understand those.
>
> still a hypoctrite

Nope.

> you don't even check your admission for of typos for typos

There's no typo in that paragraph, Mark.

> OTOH you do have point I have a complete and utter contempt for those
> people reading my stuff most closely. First on the that list is your
> Name these days.

I have a fix for that...

Just stop writing this trash and I won't have to show you what an
idiot you are.

> Anything you want is more likely to get the opposite than your desired
> result, and I certainly am not spending an effort on plesing you

Thank God for that. I'd hate to be plesed, whatever that is..

> Grow up, get over it, move on

Get over what?

That you're an idiot?

How can I? You keep reproving it in every post you make.

> and please stop beating the dead equine

Then stop posting. Everytime you post you prove the "equine"
isn't dead!

> > Yours are in almost every sentence.
>
> I deny almost ervy sentence but tha is a quibble

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! !
! ! !

> I don't deny making typos
>
> I don't deny making LOTS of typos
>
> Grow up, get over it, move on

Nope.

Get a spellchecker.

Get Hooked On Phonics.

Try to at least LOOK like an adult in writing.

> and please stop beating the dead equine

But the equine keeps posting.

> > You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.
>
> not realy stevie, it is as other have agreed that I treat this medium
> DIFFERENTLY than you.

No...you are an idoiot.

> I don't see the Usenet as truely a written form sinc it is not
> inteneded for any formal purpose.

What you are is too lazy to fix your problem and foist it off on
the rest of us.

> I see it as more akin to spoken engligh

God forbid you talk like you write.

> Indeed I seem to recall other agreeing that reading my aloud and
> lsitening to it often clears things up

No, it doesn't.

But it does get me rolling on the floor laughing ! ! !

> You can choose to do this or not
>
> but you lack the right to dictate what I must do

I'm not dictating anything.

> > It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
> > with WANTING to be "special".
>
> Nope

Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
become too easy for you to be weak.

> Defferent perhaps. indeed if you are Normal Stevie I don't want it,
> would turn it down if offered

No. Lazy.

> Your concerns as near as I can see them disgust me.

Too bad. I think you need help.

> > Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> > on several levels.
>
> he says he has

And judging by his bankroll and popularity, I'd say he has.

> Ok I am lazy on several levels

Many levels.

> Lazy is not a crime

Nope, it's not.

> If you feel it is chacter flaw the to you it is

What?

> Grow up, get over it, move on

Nope. You need to fix this. I'll keep on you as long as you post
in this forum and obviously need the encouragement to fix your problem.

> and please stop beating the dead equine

I can't. You keep raising your head back up.

> > Where did I say anything about paganism?
>
> Never said you did

Oh? You've accused me several times this week as "bashing" your
religion.

Are you now admitting that that was yet ANOTHER of your lies,
Mark?

> > > > > God please protect me form your (alleged) followers
> > > >
> > > > Who's alleged to follow what?
> > >
> > > You have alleged to be christian
> >
> > No...
> >
> > I've said that I adhere to many Christian principles. I have not
> > said I am Christian.
>
> HMM i thought you had still

Had still what?

> but do you deny being christain?

Of course I deny being Christain. I am Steve.

Idiot.

> > > and it was Bull#### not bull####
> >
> > I choose to not wilfully recite your profanity, Mark.
>
> No you choose to alter my words
>
> #### is not profanity

Sure it is.

Walk up to a Priest or Minster and tell them you need to take a
####

> #### is ####

No...YOU are ####

> > It's easy enough to show people what an idiot you are without it.
>
> then do so without forging my words into soemthing else

I haven't. I simply choose to not wilfully repeat your profanity.

If anyone notices where I have "pound signed" your profanities,
they may, on their own volition, page back to see what it was you said.

> > > > And yes, you require guidance.
> > >
> > > nope esp not yours
> >
> > Yes...Anyone's. You need help. More than I could ever offer.
>
> realy another lie.

Nope.

> Anyone's Help? Ole Jeffery Dahmer perhaps?

If you can dig him up and resuscitate him, sure.

Maybe some cooking tips.

> > Anyone who admits, boastfully so, that he's a prolific liar needs
> > help.
>
> IYO just becuase you say it don't make it so

It's not because "I" said it...

It's because you need help.

> Let me quote from again from lost in space, "don't mistake my deception
> for a character flaw it is a philosical choice, a result of a profund
> understandering of the universe works"or words to that effect

"Lost in Space" is fiction.

Like you being a Colonel in the US Army.

And more evidence that you need help.

> perhaps by your standards I am in fact EVIL. I however am entitled to
> be evil in your eyes if I choose, that is what the first amendments
> means in part. It is something YOU SWORE an oath to uphold

There's nothing in my Oath as a Marine that is compromised by
calling you an idiot or hoping you'll seek help.

NOTHING in my Oath said "...and you must tolerate pathological
liars without comment"

> Fine go ahead and think that

I think you need help.

The only other persons in here who DON'T think you need help are
themsleves documented liars. Even the anonies see you for what you
are.

> > Correct.
> >
> > You do not see the consequences of your actions.
>
> You know this how?

Because you keep on doing it.

> I foresaw most of what has been happening (in wide
> strokes) since i returned to the newsgroup Made a couple of mistakes
> but that is ok One was assmuing it would take you more than a few hours
> to start up your gay bashing again, but everyone makes mistakes

No...Every post you make is strewn with mistakes...Not only your
utter rape of the English language, but your obvious loss of
situational awareness with reality.

> > If you did, you'd correct your behaviour.
>
> One, MY behaviour IS correct by the only standard that matter to me,
> mine

I am sure that Bundy, Gacy, McVeigh and Dahmer thought that too...

But see what it got them...?!?!

> Two, everything is proceeding much as I expected it to

You mean the part where eveeryone points a finger at you and
laughs uproariously?

> Can't you get it through your head that I am different than you.

Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh nooooooooooooooooooo....I get that part
juuuuuuuuuuuuust fine!

> Are you so narrow minded that you can't conceive that any would look at the
> world in other ways than you? I guess so, and then you have the nerve
> to call ME narrow minded

I don't tolerate liars.

You're not only a liar, but an admitted liar.

Your only plus is that you admit you're lying, which puts you
about 1/2 of a tenth of a percent over Lennie and Brian Burke.

> > You are in desperate need of adult supervision.
>
> IYO

In my PROFESSIONAL opinion.

> Grow up, get over it, move on
>
> and please stop beating the dead equine

Then stop raising your head.

> > > My latest guess as to which puppeteer you are refering to
> >
> > What? Huh?
>
> you said I was a puppet I am still trying to figure out who you think
> is pulling the strings?

You don't know? I do!

More junk snipped.

> > > > Nope. What I need is to see liars and misfits like you and Brian
> > > > P Burke dealt with in some fashion.
> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Because it's the right thing to do...Like stopping little old
> > ladies from being mugged or reporting drunk drivers.
>
> Harassing people lying about them stalking them is the right thing to
> do

I'm not harrassing you, nor am I lying about you. Nor am I
stalking you. You came to this forum of your own volition and act the
way you do of that same volition.

> > > and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It binds
> > > YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,
> > > guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"
> >
> > My Oath to the Constitution of the United States has nothing to do
> > with pointing a finger and calling a liar a liar, Mark.
>
> No it doesn't but that was the point of course.

Of course it's the point, but not what you intended.

> It is about respecting the religous diversity of the nation.

I do.

> It is about upholding the rule of law, not vigilantism

What vigilantism?

> > And you are, by your own admssion, a liar.
>
> Grow up, get over it, move on
>
> and please stop beating the dead equine

Nope.

You're a liar. It needs to stop.

> > You've even reproved it numerous times in the last 24 hours.
>
> Repoved an odd use of the word

Not "repoved". "REPROVED"

> > And you'll never know what "Semper Fi" stands for...Oh yes, I know
> > you can cite the translation, but you'll never know what it is to be
> > one of the Band of Brothers.
>
> But I do

No, you don't.

> > Never.
>
> always

Not in THIS life, Markie!

> > > But you do you spin pages out of your deciding that a word must men
> > > just what you want it to mean.
> >
> > Never have done that, Markie!
>
> Bull#####

Nope.

YOU are the one who picks and chooses "definitions".

> > YOU have...ie: "drafted".
> >
> > You never did adequately explain your meaning of "drafted". I, of
> > course, gave you the true definition as it pertains to the Armed
> > Forces, whcih YOU were alleging membership in...
>
> yes I did

Then you just lied again.

> > So let's hear it...
>
> Reatined In any service involontary, or having a reserve activation
> used as a threat to in negoating compesatiion, or haveing legal force
> used on a person to force their consent to something

You are NOT "retained" in service involuntarily by being drafted.
To be "retained" you have to already be there.

You've NEVER been there. The closest you'll ever get is buying an
old military uniform at the local "Army/Navy" store.

You do not "negotiate" compensation in the Armed Forces. They
establish a pay scale approved by COngress with certain other
occassional bonuses for enlsitment, re-enlistment or specialty, but you

either take what they offer or you don't.

And the draft does not "force" your "consent". You have the
option, with consequences, to refuse to be drafted.

You were never a member of, nor are you now a Veteran of, the
Armed Forces of the United States.

But you ARE a liar.

> > > go look up the Fetish Watersports, and don't complain about being asked
> > > since you also complain about sexual and/or kinky content in here
> >
> > What I am trying to figure out is how you worked that in to the
> > conversation...I certainly didn't and neither did anyone else I see.
>
> Yellow snow is ####on on snow

Urine.

> If you are that clueless I give up

Voiding in the snow is not a "Fetish Watersport".

> Can't even satify you by being polite

If you were, I might be. But you're not.

> > > > > yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I heard
> > > > > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> > > > > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> > > > > there
> > > >
> > > > BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! ! ! ! ! ! !
!
> > > >
> > > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!
> > >
> > > Right
> >
> > More lies, Markie...Or at least paranoid delusions.
>
> prove it

Sure.

QUOTE: yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will
hear, as I heard
> > > > > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> > > > > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> > > > > there UNQUOTE

You posted pic's of your house in Illiopolis on your Geocities web
page, Mark. How long do you thik it would take anyone to find you in a

town the size of Illiopolis?

But your "Illiopolis PD" story was imaginative, anyway!

> > > an Oathbreaker (your service oath to the constitution)
> > >
> > > I dare you to recite that oath in here, Mark, and then provide
> > > some even remote substantiation of your claim.
> >
> > I dare you? what next you will double dog dare me

Nope. If I put two dogs in one room, you'd probably be doing
something unnatural with one of them and offering the other up for
sacrifice.

> I<name>sware to uphold and defend the constitution of the US and the to
> obey the offers put over me etc
>
> You derespect the Constitution.

You're a liar, Mark.

> You deny the rights of other to practice their faith unmoslested by you

Who? When? Where?

I've never "moslested" you at all. Nor would I.

> > Look it up, idiot. What were you saying about "carrying
> > baggage"...?!?!
> >
> but yo knwo I am lazy stevie you said so yourself

You've gotten one right today, Mark. Congratulations.

> > > But you lie I never insinulated your father was a thief I stated quite
> > > plainly that one of your
> > > male forefathers was a thief
> > >
> > > Lies, lies, lies, Markie.
> >
> > IT is not a lie that I have stated one of your male forefathers was a
> > thief

Nope.

Quick English Comprehension class, Mark.

By calling me "Robber's Son" you made my father the possessive
object.

Not my grandfather, nor great-grandfather, nor any other person in
my DNA string...Just my father.

> > I have only one father. He's deceased. His Amateur call was
> > N8DOS and it's still on QRZ. I dare you to get his name and run it
> > through NCIC
>
> ah NCIC now get it
>
> Forefather not 4 fathers you idoit

I think it's IRONIC that everytime you TRY to call me an "IDIOT",
you spell it wrong.

> Your ancestors if you now that word

Do what now?

> but I never said he was the theif

Sure you did.

What's a "robber" if not a thief?

> merely that either several generations back when your family got the
> anme His father was a thief

None of them apply since that's not the geneaology of the family
name.

> that is how names were given in english (and most other langs)

But not Robeson.

> MacNiel starts as son of Neil,
>
> Cooperson is son of a copper (barrelmaker)

Son of a copper what? A copper barrel?

> > > Roberson or robeson are coruptions of RobberSon look it up in the work
> > > of the Brothers Grim
> >
> > The "Brothers Grim" wrote tales.
>
> they recoded fairy tales and that is what they are best known for but
> Like, Heddy Lamar was best known as actress not an inventor, they were
> also linguists and wote most of the basic work in that field

But their writings, and what YOU cited, were tales.

Period.

> > "Robeson" is not a corruption of "Robberson"
>
> Prove it

Your assertion, Markie...YOU prove it.

> > Perhaps you should be doing your research somewhere other than in
> > children's fairey tales...
>
> Maybe you should know what you are talking about

I do.

And I know you should be doing your research some place other than
from fairey tales of the middle ages.

> > > > If you want to see "garbage", re-read all of the posts under your
> > > > own hand...THERE'S garbage.
> > >
> > > nO don't want to see garbe which maybe I should stop reading your posts
> >
> > There's more of that very same garbage, Mark.
> >
> > Spellchecker.
> >
> > Hooked On Phonics
> >
> > Get them both...Use them.
>
> To please you Never

It's not about pleasing me.

It's about pretending you're an adult.

Steve, K4YZ

738. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 13:49:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120744142.479314.136190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112095

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > No "benefactor" needed.
>
> And you didn't.
>
> So you never got a "Definitely Promote" on your fitness/performance
> report?

Not on a USMC fitness report, Brain. Or I should be more precise,
not on a USMC fitness report while I was on Active Duty.

They add up the OS, EX, AA, etc, make a score, then add it up.
They establish a "cutting score" based upon a curve of all fitness
reports for the grade sought and the needs of that OCC FIELD at that
time, and you either make the cut or you don't.

Steve, K4YZ

739. Brian P Burke Creating Archive Of Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 13:53:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120744402.923562.85500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112096

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.
> > > > >
> > > > > More deceitfulness, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why do you lie so prolifically?
> > > >
> > > > Prove me wrong. Post each of your promotion orders.
> > >
> > > Not necessary.
> >
> > I merely asked for a little honesty from you. You could have sanitized
> > sensitive information such as your SSN on posted copies of your
> > promotion orders.

Being asked for some "honesty" by you, Brain, is insulting.

> > It's public record.
> >
> > OK. Please post your full name, social security number, date of birth,
> > branch of service, and >>>Explicit<<< permission to review all of your
> > military files.
> >
> > Thanks, I knew you'd be a good sport.

That which you seek (my rank, promotions, etc) are public records
without the other data. Just as are the other records I've given you
information on.

And the rest will be forthcoming...Right after you pony up answers
to the questions that have been knocking on YOUR door for the last few
YEARS.

Brian P Burke is a chronic liar.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

740. Democrat Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 16:45:51 UTC
From: Rush <libs@democreeplefties.net>
Message-ID: <dajm0icga0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Avoid hamfests. All money earned goes to support the
Democrat spin machine.

Today's Democrat party is controlled by radical extremist
far left liberals.

741. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 17:44:32 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <4Sdze.28983$ro.17419@fed1read02>
Source record: 112098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Ian wrote:

> One thing I learned in the electronic repair business was to never
> take a check from a ham, cash only. Hams will always stiff you, but
> I never met a freebander whose check did not clear. A Frebander's
> check is always good.
>
>
>
fascinating. its rather funny. you don't have to be a ham to bounce checks
(seen plenty of them from the likes of: little old ladies, young couples,
professionals and even freebanders and regular cb'ers AND hams. doesn't
matter which group you are in, a check bouncer comes in any form.

perhaps you should reconsider your overgeneralization?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

742. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 18:53:11 UTC
From: OM <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <rSeze.130610$_o.31084@attbi_s71>
Source record: 112099

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:4Sdze.28983$ro.17419@fed1read02...
> Ian wrote:
>
> > One thing I learned in the electronic repair business was to never
> > take a check from a ham, cash only. Hams will always stiff you, but
> > I never met a freebander whose check did not clear. A Frebander's
> > check is always good.
> >
> >
> >
> fascinating. its rather funny. you don't have to be a ham to bounce checks
> (seen plenty of them from the likes of: little old ladies, young couples,
> professionals and even freebanders and regular cb'ers AND hams. doesn't
> matter which group you are in, a check bouncer comes in any form.
>
> perhaps you should reconsider your overgeneralization?

Singular??

743. Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 19:00:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112100

Hello, gang

From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually, one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.

I just received this in a group I belong to. Please notice the second sentence in the note:
********** begin copy of note**********
When you can't get in touch with your DD and there are
bombs going off where she works, I guess that that is
stress.

Landlines and mobile phone lines are down.

My dd finally emailed me. One of the bombs went off
near her place of work. She's ok.

I just got word from a very good friend that the
police might evacuate her building coz she is in the
mile square of all this activity.

Sp prayers please for all those in London who were
caught up in this horrible event.

Thanks.

Carol(England)

********** end of copied message*******

I certainly hope our friends across the pond will come out of this with little loss of life and the individuals behind those bombs are brought to justice.

That aside, do *not* count too heavily on cell phones (or land lines, for that matter) in an emergency.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

744. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 20:52:40 UTC
From: Kenny <cell@cellphonewrkgreat.net>
Message-ID: <dak4fa$f6b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112101

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
> Hello, gang
>
> From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
> one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
/////////remaining drivel flushed////////

Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially skillful
the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.

745. I'M NOW ON ECHOLINK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 21:20:42 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120771242.405373.15620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL ON ECHOLINK

N9OGL IS NOW ECHOLINK
YOU CAN TALK TO ME DIRECT (N9OGL) OR VIA K9GO-L. K9GO SYSTEM IS HOOKED
UP TO MY COMPUTER AS WELL AS HOOKED UP WITH MY REPEATER, WHICH IS
146.835.

TODD N9OGL

746. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 21:21:58 UTC
From: Kenny <cell@cellphonewrkgreat.net>
Message-ID: <dak66n$nk6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:Zp6dnepP2p5xCFDfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
> Kenny wrote:
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>>>Hello, gang
>>>
>>>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications.
>>>Usually,
>>>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
>>
>> /////////remaining drivel flushed////////
>>
>>
>>
>> Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially skillful
>> the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.
>
> But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of providing
> all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out, then the cell
> sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to make a call.

Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
in emergencies.

747. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 21:29:54 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <pi7rc19p6b1v2gjvse7hgtjpm7u9nncpc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 112105

On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.

You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....

73, Leo

>
>So here goes:
>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 29 Jun 2005 16:10:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> You must decide!
>> >> >> >> You must decide.
>> >> >> You must.
>> >
>> >> >You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
>
>> >You missed the reference completely, then.
>>
>> You cannot answer a question with a question.
>>
>I didn't!
>
>> Heh heh heh......you have been a puppet for a long time.
>
>Some would say since Arrival
>>
>> Decide!
> >> Break free.
>> >> Decide.
>> >
>> >Why?
>>

>> You must.
>
>Sounds like a Hammer pounding Into an Anvil
>
>> >> >> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
>> >> >> >> >suggest *I* take.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> That is your current reality.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Not at all.
>> >> >
>> >> >I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>
>> >>
>> >> There are only two choices available to you.
>> >
>> >Says who?
>>
>> There are two.
>
>> >> A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
>> >>
>> >> There is no C.
>> >
>> >There's always a C.
>>
>> Not in a two variable equation.
>>
>> Such as this.
>
>Do you want me to deny the truth just to permit Living in Harmony?
>
>> Owned. Or Free.
>>
>> Wimp. Or Strong.
>>
>> Dit. Or Dah. (mandatory radio content hi hi)
>
>Sounds like an order from The General..
>> >If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
>> >Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.
>>
>> Not true.
>
>That's what it all boils down to.
> >
>> >All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to > >tell me to shut up
without using those words.

>>
>> Not true.
>>
>> Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?
>
>No.
>>
>> Why?
>
>> >> Polish - or Avoid.
>> >>
>> >I'm not Polish.
>>
>> You are polishing. Turds.
>
>Not me!
>>
>> >> >
>> >> >That's what you're telling me to do.
>> >> >
>> >> >You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
>> >>
>> >> It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.
>> >
>> >Sure it can: the two words "shut up"
>>
>> Do you feel that this is your only logical option, then?
>>
>> Is there no other way?
>>
>> >
>> >> Owned - or Free.
>> >
>> >Speak out - or Shut Up.
>> >
>> >That's what it means.
>>
>> Be trolled - or not.
>>
>> That's what it means.
>>
>How is one different from the other?
>
>> >
>> The series "Kung Fu" was set in the American Southwest in the 1870s.
>>
>> Before radio was invented.
>
>But not before callsigns were invented!
>>

>> Wow! That's one five star education yer packin there,
>> podner......yee haw!
>
>Do you think callsigns were invented for radio? Think again.
>
>> >> Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>
>> >> "A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
>> >> path. What should he do?
>
>> >> If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his
>> >> way. But, if
>> >> he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the
>> >> expectation that
>> >> it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he
>> >> would be quite foolish indeed."
>
>Agreed!
>
>He could also avoid the hazard, and point it out to others so
>they do not trod in it.
>
>> >So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here
>> >are turds.
>> >
>> >I think you have a point there!
>>
>> Hope springs anew!
>>
>> That's why the polishing isn't going too well sometimes.
>>
>So you agree! Excellent!
>
>Now let us consider.....
>
>If your description of Len's posts (the product) is accurate, what does
>that make the producer of said product?
>
>That pretty much sums it up, I think.
>
>> >--
>> >
>> >Psychotics build castles in the air
>> >Neurotics live in them
>> >Shrinks collect the rent
>> -

????

Where'd the rest of it go?

748. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 22:13:27 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120774407.774050.218800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112107

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Hello, gang
>
>
> From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
> one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.

A false assumption promoted by Steve Robeson, K4YZ.

Of course, the cell phone is more widely available to the public than
your amateur radio. The cell phone may keep working just fine in
personal or small emergencies. The cell phone often works during the
initial stages of a larger emergency. In other words, the cell phone
can be extremely useful.

> I just received this in a group I belong to. Please notice the second
> sentence in the note:
> ********** begin copy of note**********
> When you can't get in touch with your DD and there are
> bombs going off where she works, I guess that that is
> stress.
>
> Landlines and mobile phone lines are down.
>
> My dd finally emailed me. One of the bombs went off
> near her place of work. She's ok.

I'm going to guess that if she's OK, that "dd" doesn't mean "dearly
departed."

so what does "dd" mean?

> I just got word from a very good friend that the
> police might evacuate her building coz she is in the
> mile square of all this activity.
>
> Sp prayers please for all those in London who were
> caught up in this horrible event.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Carol(England)
>
> ********** end of copied message*******

Prayers go out.

> I certainly hope our friends across the pond will come out of this with
> little loss of life and the individuals behind those bombs are brought to
> justice.
>
> That aside, do *not* count too heavily on cell phones (or land lines, for
> that matter) in an emergency.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Jim, rely on no SINGLE form of communications. Always have a back-up
plan.

749. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 22:16:22 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120774582.900474.137060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112108

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Kenny wrote:
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> >>Hello, gang
> >>
> >>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
> >>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
> >
> > /////////remaining drivel flushed////////
> >
> >
> >
> > Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially skillful
> > the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.
>
> But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
> providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
> then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
> make a call.

Kindly poll the RSGB and get the number of amateur radio operators
(complete with portable radios) that were in the subways and busses
affected.

Thanks.

750. Re: I'M NOW ON ECHOLINK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 22:21:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <RVhze.6183$aY6.5704@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bet that's gonna be a hell of a pile-up.

BWAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHAAAAAA.

Dan/W4NTI

"n9ogl" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1120771242.405373.15620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N9OGL ON ECHOLINK
>
> N9OGL IS NOW ECHOLINK
> YOU CAN TALK TO ME DIRECT (N9OGL) OR VIA K9GO-L. K9GO SYSTEM IS HOOKED
> UP TO MY COMPUTER AS WELL AS HOOKED UP WITH MY REPEATER, WHICH IS
> 146.835.
>
> TODD N9OGL
>

751. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 22:28:37 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120775317.615257.280880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112110

Leo wrote:
> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
>
> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
>
> 73, Leo

Jim ran the entire text through his DaVinci Code Reader. The part
snipped spelled out K5TIT, so he very well couldn't have quoted it.
Rest assured that the LaLeche reLay League has been informed.

752. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 22:35:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120775708.937312.77330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112111

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > No "benefactor" needed.
> >
> > And you didn't.
> >
> > So you never got a "Definitely Promote" on your fitness/performance
> > report?
>
> Not on a USMC fitness report, Brain. Or I should be more precise,
> not on a USMC fitness report while I was on Active Duty.

You received one after you left the service? Posthumously?

> They add up the OS, EX, AA, etc, make a score, then add it up.
> They establish a "cutting score" based upon a curve of all fitness
> reports for the grade sought and the needs of that OCC FIELD at that
> time, and you either make the cut or you don't.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sounds familiar for the lower grades. For the top grades, there's a board. When the board members read their CINC's remark (sounds more like an order, doesn't it?), "Definitely promote," and there is a billet, the Definitely Promote gets it.

753. Steven J. Robeson K4YZ authorizes full review of his military records

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 22:43:14 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120776193.980375.94520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112112

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > More deceitfulness, Brain.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why do you lie so prolifically?
> > > > >
> > > > > Prove me wrong. Post each of your promotion orders.
> > >
> > > Not necessary.
> >
> > I merely asked for a little honesty from you. You could have sanitized
> > sensitive information such as your SSN on posted copies of your
> > promotion orders.
>
> Being asked for some "honesty" by you, Brain, is insulting.

Shouldn't be. You earned your reputation the old-fashioned way.

> > > It's public record.
> >
> > OK. Please post your full name, social security number, date of birth,
> > branch of service, and >>>Explicit<<< permission to review all of your
> > military files.
> >
> > Thanks, I knew you'd be a good sport.
>
> That which you seek (my rank, promotions, etc) are public records
> without the other data.

Without what other data?

Just as are the other records I've given you
> information on.

You have no MARS records.

> And the rest will be forthcoming...

Hi!

754. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 23:09:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120777747.383879.179150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112113

Leo wrote:
> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
>
> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
>
> 73, Leo
>
> >
> >So here goes:
> >
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 29 Jun 2005 16:10:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> You must decide!
> >> >> >> >> You must decide.
> >> >> >> You must.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
> >> >
> >> >>You missed the reference completely, then.
> >> >>
> >> >> You cannot answer a question with a question.
> >> >>
> >> >I didn't!
> >> >
> >> >> Heh heh heh......you have been a puppet for a long time.
> >> >
> >> >Some would say since Arrival
> >> >>
> >> >> Decide!
> >> > >> Break free.
> >> >> >> Decide.
> >> >> >

> >> >Why?
> >>
> >> You must.
> >
> >Sounds like a Hammer pounding Into an Anvil
> >
> >> >> >> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
> >> >> >> >> >suggest *I* take.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> That is your current reality.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Not at all.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
> >
> >> >>
> >> >> There are only two choices available to you.
> >> >
> >> >Says who?
> >>
> >> There are two.
> >
> >> >> A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
> >> >>
> >> >> There is no C.
> >> >
> >> >There's always a C.
> >>
> >> Not in a two variable equation.
> >>
> >> Such as this.
> >
> >Do you want me to deny the truth just to permit Living in Harmony?
> >
> >> Owned. Or Free.
> >>
> >> Wimp. Or Strong.
> >>
> >> Dit. Or Dah. (mandatory radio content hi hi)
> >
> >Sounds like an order from The General..
> >> >If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
> >> >Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.
> >>
> >> Not true.
> >
> >That's what it all boils down to.
> > >

> >> >All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to > >tell me to shut up without using those words.
> >>
> >> Not true.
> >>
> >> Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?
> >
> >No.
> >>
> >> Why?
> >
> >> >> Polish - or Avoid.
> >> >>
> >> >I'm not Polish.
> >>
> >> You are polishing. Turds.
> >
> >Not me!
> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That's what you're telling me to do.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
> >> >>
> >> >> It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.
> >> >
> >> >Sure it can: the two words "shut up"
> >>
> >> Do you feel that this is your only logical option, then?
> >>
> >> Is there no other way?
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> Owned - or Free.
> >> >
> >> >Speak out - or Shut Up.
> >> >
> >> >That's what it means.
> >>
> >> Be trolled - or not.
> >>
> >> That's what it means.
> >>
> >How is one different from the other?
> >
> >> >
> >> The series "Kung Fu" was set in the American Southwest in the 1870s.
> >>
> >> Before radio was invented.
> >

> >But not before callsigns were invented!
> >>
> >> Wow! That's one five star education yer packin there,
> >> podner......yee haw!
> >
> >Do you think callsigns were invented for radio? Think again.
> >
> >> >> Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
> >
> >> >> "A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
> >> >> path. What should he do?
> >
> >> >> If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his
> >> >> way. But, if
> >> >> he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the
> >> >> expectation that
> >> >> it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he
> >> >> would be quite foolish indeed."
> >
> >Agreed!
> >
> >He could also avoid the hazard, and point it out to others so
> >they do not trod in it.
> >
> >> >So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here
> >> >are turds.
> >> >
> >> >I think you have a point there!
> >>
> >> Hope springs anew!
> >>
> >> That's why the polishing isn't going too well sometimes.
> >>
> >So you agree! Excellent!
> >
> >Now let us consider.....
> >
> >If your description of Len's posts (the product) is accurate, what does
> >that make the producer of said product?
> >
> >That pretty much sums it up, I think.
> >
> >> >--
> >> >
> >> >Psychotics build castles in the air
> >> >Neurotics live in them
> >> >Shrinks collect the rent
> >> -
>
>

> ????
>
> Where'd the rest of it go?

I edited it out as being redundant.

Your basic message was that the way to deal with
certain.....unpleasant items....that one may encounter,
is to simply avoid them completely, rather than picking them
up and fooling with them (which you refer to as
"polishing" - an illustrative description!)

This course of action is particularly appropriate
if the....unpleasant items....were intentionally
placed for you to find, in the hopes you would
react to them in a certain way.

That's really what you've been trying to tell me
all along, isn't it, Leo?

That course of action (avoidance) does make a lot of sense.

However, it occurs to me that the nature of
the...items...tells us something about the
person who created them.

If you encounter a watch, you would
logically conclude that it was made by a watchmaker.

If you encounter a loaf of bread, you would
logically conclude that it was made by a baker.

And if you encountered the....unpleasant items...
you describe as being unpolishable, you would
logically conclude that is was made by an

73 de Jim, N2EY

755. Re: I'M NOW ON ECHOLINK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 23:19:23 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120778363.762121.280790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

When we had it hooked up last year we had close to 1,000 people that had connect, that's not counting repeats.

I'm just so sad that some backwater toothless southerner can operate something fancy like echolink

Todd

756. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 23:45:26 UTC
From: RJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q8jze.398424$cg1.311990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 112115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Never buy a HP or Compaq as you will not get any tech support. When I had to restore my system I found one of the disk in the restore set was bad. Never able to receive help needed to fix problem and HP didn't have the correct replacement CD. Ended up scraping system although it was only over a year old. NEVER BUY HP PRODUCTS.
R. John

"Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message news:Z5SdnU_tiKGZx1HfRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> Right, like thats friggin new you idiot! HP, DELL, and pretty much any
> other
> MFG today is there!
>
>
> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>
>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>> understand a word they are saying.
>>
>> Buy an HP....
>> Have a problem...
>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>
>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
>> tech support..)
>>
>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service
>> by
>> going to half-ass
>> overseas tech support in)
>>
>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>> Technologies
>> too!)
>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.

>>
>>
>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

757. Re: I'M NOW ON ECHOLINK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 23:50:53 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <dd881$42cdbfcb$4e1052c$20716@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 112116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toad ,
You might try to learn how to operate a spell checker.
Just a friendly suggestion.
Ace - WH2T

"n9ogl" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1120778363.762121.280790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> When we had it hooked up last year we had close to 1,000 people that
> had connect, that's not counting repeats.
>
> I'm just so sad that some backwater toothless southerner can operate
> something fancy like echolink
>
> Todd
>
>

758. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 23:58:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ykjze.255$cg.119@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112117

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120774407.774050.218800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > Hello, gang
> >
> >
> > From time to time arguments start over emergency communications.
Usually,
> > one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
>
> A false assumption promoted by Steve Robeson, K4YZ.
>
> Of course, the cell phone is more widely available to the public than
> your amateur radio. The cell phone may keep working just fine in
> personal or small emergencies. The cell phone often works during the
> initial stages of a larger emergency. In other words, the cell phone
> can be extremely useful.
>
> > I just received this in a group I belong to. Please notice the second
> > sentence in the note:
> > ********** begin copy of note**********
> > When you can't get in touch with your DD and there are
> > bombs going off where she works, I guess that that is
> > stress.
> >
> > Landlines and mobile phone lines are down.
> >
> > My dd finally emailed me. One of the bombs went off
> > near her place of work. She's ok.
>
> I'm going to guess that if she's OK, that "dd" doesn't mean "dearly
> departed."
>
> so what does "dd" mean?
>
> > I just got word from a very good friend that the
> > police might evacuate her building coz she is in the
> > mile square of all this activity.
> >
> > Sp prayers please for all those in London who were
> > caught up in this horrible event.
> >

> > Thanks.
> >
> > Carol(England)
> >
> > ********** end of copied message*******
>
> Prayers go out.
>
> > I certainly hope our friends across the pond will come out of this with
> > little loss of life and the individuals behind those bombs are brought
to
> > justice.
> >
> > That aside, do *not* count too heavily on cell phones (or land lines,
for
> > that matter) in an emergency.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> Jim, rely on no SINGLE form of communications. Always have a back-up
> plan.
>

You are correct; one cannot count on any one form of communications. Cell phones are *great* in a personal situation; I cannot imagine a more useful form of communications. The larger the area impacted, the more you need to rely on something that can communicate outside of the affected area. A direct to satellite cell phone might work well in such an instance, assuming that it does not suffer from traffic overload (not to mention the cost of owning such a device).

When 911 hit, however, things were a bit different. I was on AT&T and I lost my ISP for a week despite being over 300 miles away from NYC. Of course, the normal phones and cell phones worked (in Rochester) normally. AT&T had a major network in NYC and their service was affected considerably.

In the note that I copied, the dd refers, I believe, to diabetes dietitian. It was copied from a diabetes group which is international in scope.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

759. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 00:01:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <mnjze.771$j21.535@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112118

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120774582.900474.137060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > Kenny wrote:
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
> > >
> > >>Hello, gang
> > >>
> > >>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications.
Usually,
> > >>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications
needed.
> > >
> > > /////////remaining drivel flushed////////
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially
skillful
> > > the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.
> >
> > But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
> > providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
> > then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
> > make a call.
>
> Kindly poll the RSGB and get the number of amateur radio operators
> (complete with portable radios) that were in the subways and busses
> affected.
>
> Thanks.
>

You know as well as I that neither cell phone, cb, nor amateur radio will
work well (if at all) if you are in a subway far from an exit. Radio waves
do not fare well from underground nor under water ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

760. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 00:23:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <NHjze.774$j21.759@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050707000511.356$uI@newsreader.com...
> Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
> > > After I wring the sap from your post..ain't nothing left worth
quoting.
> > > I'm not surprised you run your pie hole too much tho.
> >
> > As soon as I hit one of these bozo's posts, I change to sender sort and
> > click the green dots to make them appear as read. I then change back to
> > thread view. I don't even read the first post as I'm marking them read.
> >
> Good advice, Scott. The jackass hams are full of betrayal, conspiracy,
> sacrilege and hieracy in rec.radio.cb. (present company excluded)

Hello, Mopar and Scott

And at least one of them falsely accused ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

761. Re: WAS: Intro to [someone]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 00:56:09 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42CDCF29.4228D377@yapoo.com>
Source record: 112120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> > Good advice, Scott. The jackass hams are full of betrayal, conspiracy,
> > sacrilege and hieracy in rec.radio.cb. (present company excluded)
>
> Hello, Mopar and Scott
>
> And at least one of them falsely accused ;)

Who's that?

BTW, did I mention repeaters suck?

The owners/control ops read whatever they want into
Part 97. I'm simplex only now. I told someone on
simplex last night that I wouldn't get on a repeater
now if a tornado was bearing down on me.

They wanted me to take over Skywarn, but since the control op
doesn't seem to like the way I communicate (fully in compliance
with Part 97), he wishes I wasn't on the repeater. He got his
wish. He didn't even have the balls to tell me. I heard it 3rd
party. The person that had been doing Skywarn isn't available
anymore in the afternoons, and they need a replacement. Well,
they f*cked themselves. It won't be me.

Good luck to them.

They're going to need it. The club is pissing people off left
and right, and no one is renewing their dues. Their hamfest
isn't working out, either. To tell you any more, you might be
able to figure out which club it is.

-Scott, KB3xxx

(ask in a private email with YOUR callsign)

I'm on simplex and EchoStink, once in a while.

762. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 00:57:07 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42CDCF63.875CDC40@yapoo.com>
Source record: 112121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Kenny, you troller, this was only on RRAP until you cross-posted!

763. Re: WAS: Intro to [someone]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 01:49:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <OYkze.268$cg.241@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Scott in Baltimore" <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote in message
news:42CDCF29.4228D377@yapoo.com...
> > > Good advice, Scott. The jackass hams are full of betrayal, conspiracy,
> > > sacrilege and hieracy in rec.radio.cb. (present company excluded)
> >
> > Hello, Mopar and Scott
> >
> > And at least one of them falsely accused ;)
>
>
> Who's that?
>

Me, unfortunately

> BTW, did I mention repeaters suck?
>

It isn't the repeaters, it's the owner/operators :)
Most of 'em here are great.

>
> The owners/control ops read whatever they want into
> Part 97. I'm simplex only now. I told someone on
> simplex last night that I wouldn't get on a repeater
> now if a tornado was bearing down on me.
>
> They wanted me to take over Skywarn, but since the control op
> doesn't seem to like the way I communicate (fully in compliance
> with Part 97), he wishes I wasn't on the repeater. He got his
> wish. He didn't even have the balls to tell me. I heard it 3rd
> party. The person that had been doing Skywarn isn't available
> anymore in the afternoons, and they need a replacement. Well,
> they f*cked themselves. It won't be me.
>

More radio cops, I presume?

>
> Good luck to them.
>
> They're going to need it. The club is pissing people off left
> and right, and no one is renewing their dues. Their hamfest
> isn't working out, either. To tell you any more, you might be
> able to figure out which club it is.

>
> -Scott, KB3xxx
>
> (ask in a private email with YOUR callsign)
>

Thanks Scott, but no need. I already have your real e-mail. I just didn't happen to notice it right next to the other one you have :))

>
> I'm on simplex and EchoStink, once in a while.

Haven't been very active on either Echo Link nor the local repeaters. I've got to change the memory backup battery on the HT. If I leave it off overnight, it looses its' marbles.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

764. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 02:05:40 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120788340.581054.77280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112123

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120774582.900474.137060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > Kenny wrote:
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
> > > >
> > > >>Hello, gang
> > > >>
> > > >>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications.
> Usually,
> > > >>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications
> needed.
> > > >
> > > > /////////remaining drivel flushed////////
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially
> skillful
> > > > the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.
> > >
> > > But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
> > > providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
> > > then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
> > > make a call.
> >
> > Kindly poll the RSGB and get the number of amateur radio operators
> > (complete with portable radios) that were in the subways and busses
> > affected.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
>
> You know as well as I that neither cell phone, cb, nor amateur radio will
> work well (if at all) if you are in a subway far from an exit. Radio waves
> do not fare well from underground nor under water ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

and the bus?

765. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 02:06:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <662dnTNba4UNQIDfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112124

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1120641590.012182.18040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>You've probably heard the old engineering adage:
>>
>>"You can have it fast, good or cheap. Choose any two"
>>
>>All Shannon's Theorem does is equate fast to data rate, good to S/N,
>>and cheap to bandwidth.
>>
>
>
> BINGO! That's exactly the problem. What is Jim going to give up to get it?

And my, have we come a long way from simply hooking up a modem To our rig haven't we?

Lessee, Split operation, perhaps 2 modems? Lotsa power, very high quality transmitter and PA, as well as a great soundcard. A marked sensitivity to phase distortion. At HF, it would be a very expensive system that would work on a good day maybe.

And it is a superior thing?

Makes BPL look like a masterpiece!

- Mike KB3EIA -

766. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 02:14:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120788848.362620.270620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112125

Larry wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120699914.115360.325020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1120481187.286229.201920
> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> >> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in
> >> >> > This is a link to the IARU HF bandplans:-
> >> >> > http://www.iaru-region2.org/hf_e.htm This has all three regions on
> >> >> > it, although published on the Region 2 web
> >> >> > site, so probably most up to date for that region (the one
> >> >> > the US happens to be in).
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If we had a voluntary system in the US, as per most other
> >> >> > countries, this
> >> >> > is the bandplan I would go by. OTOH, the ARRL bandplan necessarily
> >> >> > incorporates the current restrictions on phone. They might
> >> >> > change it, or might not, but then I am not a member...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > For those who haven't followed the link, the phone segments
> >> >> > for R2 start at
> >> >> > 1840, 3635, 7050, 14112, 18110.5, 21150.5, 24930.5 and 28225.
> >> >
> >> >> > In fact,
> >> >> > phone is allowed somewhat lower down on a non-interference
> >> >> > basis, but if
> >> >> > you want that info you'll have to actually read the thing!
> >> >>
> >> >> Whatever, took a whole two minutes Alun. I would not like to operate
> >> >> in that regime.
> >> >
> >> > Nor I!
> >> >
> >> >> In the first place it's far too complicated, much more
> >> >> complicated than the ARRL plan, complexity being an auto-
> >> >> turnoff bound
> >> >> to get busted right and left in practice. Second it's sorely
> >> >> out of
> >> >> date with it's "allocation" for ax.25 ops. How much packet to

> >> >> we hear
> >> >> any longer on 40?? Further where do the modern digital modes like
> >> >> PSK-31 fit into this plan? They're not even mentioned.
> >> >
> >> > I suppose they're all "digimode".
> >> >
> >> >> Ditto the
> >> >> looming opening of 7100-7200 in regions 1 and 3. Looks like the >
> >> >> thing
> >> >> was laid out 20 years ago, it's an artifact.
> >> >
> >> > Bingo! So much for "dynamic reallocation of resources"..
> >> >>
> >> >> My big squawk with it though is that the top end of the CW- exclusive
> >> >> segemnt is 7.035. That's just plain nuts, only allows 10 Khz
> >> >> for U.S.
> >> >> non-Extras to operaste phone-free which simply will not work.
> >> >
> >> > And that's if everybody plays by the bandplan!
> >> >
> >> > Alun says the 'phone segment starts at 7050 under that plan. Which
> >> > means that 'phone is primary on ~83% of the band! CW is primary on
> >> > ~11%. The "digimodes" are stuck in between.
> >> >
> >> > The spectrum-efficient modes are sacrificed to the spectrum-wasters.
> >> > "From each according to his [spectrum] ability, to each according to
> >> > his [spectrum] need...." where have we heard that before?
> >> >
> >> >> Particularly given the ongoing surge of 40M QRP CW ops by all classes
> >> >> of HF-enabled licensees in all regions.
> >> >
> >> > 7040...
> >> >>
> >> >> In a word fuhgeddit.
> >> >>
> >> > The 800 pound problem is that nobody sez whose bandplan is to be
> >> > followed. Does IARU outrank ARRL in the USA, or the other way around?
> >> > What if NCVEC, NCI, RSGB, CQ or qrz.com comes up with a bandplan of
> >> > their own?
> >> >
> >> > Like you said - fugedaboudit.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Nobody outranks anyone, except the FCC! Voluntary means voluntary, and if
> >> we had voluntary bandplanning then some would undoubtedly use the wrong
> >> mode in the wrong place, but they would have a right to do that.
> >

> > I'm not so sure. Riley said that not following band plans was poor
> > amateur practice. Riley also said that poor amateur practice was
> > enforceable.
>
> That is your biggest mistake, believing *anything* that Hollingsworth
> says.

You underestimate me. I've made far bigger mistakes.

767. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 02:45:32 UTC
From: Clark Neider <cneider@cox.net>
Message-ID: <eNlze.64679$go.16261@fed1read05>
Source record: 112127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger that. I finally gave up on my HP S20 Photosmart scanner after sending it in for out of warranty repair (to take care of any hardware problem) and have it sent back with note that there isn't anything wrong with it. I also paid for and went through their tech support line with no success. I finally tossed it and bought a much nicer Epson Perfection 4870 that does double duty as a document scanner. My other HP flatbed scanner is gathering dust on a shelf. HP? Never again!

"RJ" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:q8jze.398424$cg1.311990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Never buy a HP or Compaq as you will not get any tech support. When I had
> to restore my system I found one of the disk in the restore set was bad.
> Never able to receive help needed to fix problem and HP didn't have the
> correct replacement CD. Ended up scraping system although it was only over
> a year old. NEVER BUY HP PRODUCTS.
> R. John
>
>
>
>
> "Jim Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> news:Z5SdnU_tiKGZx1HfRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>> Right, like thats friggin new you idiot! HP, DELL, and pretty much any
>> other
>> MFG today is there!
>>
>>
>> "Bonobo Roger" <hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote in message
>> news:d177c$42cbe5bc$d1cc5062$25442@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>> If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>>>
>>> HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>>> Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>>> understand a word they are saying.
>>>
>>> Buy an HP....
>>> Have a problem...
>>> Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>>> You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>>> he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>>> a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>>> read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>>>

>>> (HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz
>>> for
>>> tech support..)
>>>
>>> ---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
>>> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service
>>> by
>>> going to half-ass
>>> overseas tech support in)
>>>
>>> Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient
>>> Technologies
>>> too!)
>>> to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>>>
>>>
>>> Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

768. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 03:11:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Q9mze.785$j21.421@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Scott in Baltimore" <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote in message
news:42CDCF63.875CDC40@yapoo.com...
> Kenny, you troller, this was only on RRAP until you cross-posted!

Good call, Scott

Always happy to see someone exhibiting more than a modest double-digit IQ in
the newsgroups ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

769. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 03:44:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <MEmze.274$cg.167@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Kenny" <cell@cellphonewrkgreat.net> wrote in message
news:dak66n$nk6$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:Zp6dnepP2p5xCFDfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
> > Kenny wrote:
> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> news:vZeze.231$cg.58@news02.roc.ny...
> >>
> >>>Hello, gang
> >>>
> >>>From time to time arguments start over emergency communications.
> >>>Usually,
> >>>one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications
needed.
> >>
> >> /////////remaining drivel flushed////////
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Not bad! I rate your troll 6 on a scale of 10, especially skillful
> >> the way you take advantage of a tragedy currently in the news.
> >
> > But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
providing
> > all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out, then the cell
> > sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to make a call.
>
>
> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
> in emergencies.
>

<MorseMail>2001.05.27
+180-180+60-60+60-60+60-60
+60-180+60-60+60-180+60-60+60-60
+60-420+60-60+60-180+60-60+60-60

+60-420+180-60+180-60+180-180+180-60
+60-180+60-60+180-60+60-60+60-180
+180-60+60-60+180-60+180-420+60-60
+180-420+180-180+60-180+60-60+60-60
+60-180+180-180+60-60+180-60+60-60
+180-60+60-60+180-501
</MorseMail>

I can be silly too :))

I can use Canadian repeaters just fine from my HT with a whip antenna on it. No external power supply and no external antenna (beyond the whip attached to the HT). Oh, I'd best specify - that is from Rochester, NY, not up in Canada.

That is across Lake Ontario using only 6 aa alkaline batteries. I have no problem accessing Bristol Mountain either (located a bunch of miles away). Nor about 13 other repeaters in the area. Which gives me a reliable range of 40 or 50 miles (or more) in any direction to a repeater. Add a bunch more miles from there to a mobile or another HT.

Run your linear from your cb (with gas at $2.25 per gallon). See what you can hear on a reliable 24/7 basis. I do not have to contend with someone yelling "audiooooo".

BTW, I'm only 155 pounds and 5' 8" tall. No fat.

Troll, troll, troll your boat :)))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

770. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 03:53:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120794837.942284.58920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112130

From: Leo on Jul 7, 5:29 pm

>On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
>
>You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
>
>73, Leo

Oh, my, now we can expect another 10- to 20-screen "reply"
consisting mainly of his chopping up paragraphs into
individual sentences and then committing ritual slaughter
on grabbing out-of-context inferences of selected sentences.

Tsk. Jimmie must have his basement coal bin filled with
old dried turds he is busy polishing, polishing, polishing
in order to admire his own reflection in them... :-(

But, there's a good side. He may insert a personal anecdote
of his doing with mentor Reggie Fessenden in '06 when Reggie
"set the standard for AM broadcasting" by sticking a carbon
microphone in series with a transmitter's antenna. [like
ANY AM voice transmitter does that now...]

Yawn.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

771. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 03:55:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120794906.678174.98350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112131

From: "b.b." on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 15:28

>Leo wrote:
>> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
>>
>> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
>>
>> 73, Leo
>
>Jim ran the entire text through his DaVinci Code Reader. The part
>snipped spelled out K5TIT, so he very well couldn't have quoted it.

Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of women? <gasp>

I wonder if all those "children he parented" (implied) were
by Immaculate Conception?

>Rest assured that the LaLeche reLay League has been informed.

Ah! The organization in Newington, the one with the "milk
of ham-an kindness?" :-)

"Polish, polish, polish he goes, and where he stops, nobody
knows..." <with some hat tips to Major Bowes' Amateur Hour>

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

772. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 03:58:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120795084.425786.227590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112132

From: kelly@dvol.com on Wed 6 Jul 2005 15:54

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: kelly@dvol.com on Tues 5 Jul 2005 16:41
>> >John Smith wrote:
>> >> N2EY:
>> >>
>> >> First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a separate
>> >> transmitter and receiver.
>> >>
>> >Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter transmits.
>> >On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!
>> >
>> Did John say "on the same frequency?" I don't think so.
>>
>He didn't say *not* on the same frequency either Sweetums. Now what?

Two questions:

1. What ELSE did John "*not* say?"

2. What are you going to say he "really said?" :-)

This is all very clever of you, but it really boils down to
YOU saying a lot of snit (that another didn't say) and then
trying to tap-dance around admitting you fudged things up.

Next time just accept being caught and hang up.

Do NOT try to MAKE UP STORIES to try and snow-job everybody.
It doesn't work and it annoys the other pigs.

>> FULL duplex IS possible using SEPARATE frequencies for
>> transmit and receive.
>>
>No kidding Sweetums I've done it several times myself. With my own
>equipment operated under my own operators and station license.

Mostly I think you "did it" with only your own computer and
more literary license than you ever earned. :-)

But, that's just my opinion...and with some considerable
thinking about HF operations that hardly EVER go FULL duplex,
senior. For one thing, the rather large near field at HF
wavelengths mean you NEED considerable separation of antennas
or the most bodaciously-many-section-dual-filter to keep the
unwanted frequencies (transmitter leaking into receiver) OUT.

That attenuation has to be VERY large in order to keep the receiver input from overloading.

Now, WHY would you want to go FULL duplex "operating under any license?" for personal use? You would need someone at the other end of the circuit doing the same thing...and that is an almost-extreme rarity.

Telephone Cell sites operate 24/7 at FULL duplex. They HAVE to and the equipment is designed to do that...such as separate transmitting and receiving bands with plenty of diplexing filter connecting the transmitter(s) and receiver(s).

The General Electric microwave terminals I've described used a (approximately) 5-foot long dual waveguide bandpass filter to keep separate transmit and receive frequencies from interfering with one another. The pulse-position-modulation pulse trains were not synchronous with one another, thus aiding in isolation. The peak power output of the transmitter was only 12 Watts (at base of antenna tower) yet the receiver was about as sensitive as one could get (using radar receiver techniques) of the 1950s.

If you are going to mumble about "your own moonbounce" (what is mistakenly called "EME" by hams), then you need not worry about mutual interference. A return signal won't return from the moon for about 2.5 seconds, long enough for you to manually swtich coax or waveguide between transmit and receive (as one of the early ham moonbouncers did and pictured in CQ long ago).

Or, you could go into broadband BS about using morse and auto-switchover Tx/Rx by fancy diode T/R switching...so much so that you could "read the other station between dots and dashes" like your buddie Jimmie once said in here. :-)

>But in your case of course . . <sigh>

In "my case" you are resentful/insulted/irritated because another had LONG AGO experience in something YOU DID NOT. <shrug> I've come to expect that in here from the self-propelled wunderkinder of the PCTA extra crowd. It's practically a given. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

773. Len remains undeterred by lack of responses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 05:05:27 UTC
From: Ed McPheeter <OldFarmer@anon>
Message-ID: <dal1h2pqu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112133

Len is once again babbling into the wind. His overly wordy trolls are not garnering the responses he is obviousaly hoping for.
Sorry, Len. You haven't been the same since Dan "outed" you and you, in anger, resorted to cheap gutter language.
We all knew you had a tawdry, banal side to you Len. It took Dan but two sentences to bring you out of the closet with both of your Official Roy Rogers Cap Guns blazing.

You haven't been the same since.

774. Re: Len remains undeterred by lack of responses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 05:12:04 UTC
From: "Lloyd Ver 1.3" <NotMe@novalidmail>
Message-ID: <dal1tf$rq9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112134

"Ed McPheeter" <OldFarmer@anon> wrote in message
news:dal1h2pqu0@pita.alt.net...
Len is once again babbling into the wind. His overly wordy trolls are
not garnering the responses he is obviousaly hoping for.
Sorry, Len. You haven't been the same since Dan "outed" you and you, in
anger, resorted to cheap gutter language.
We all knew you had a tawdry, banal side to you Len. It took Dan but two
sentences to bring you out of the closet with both of your Official Roy
Rogers Cap Guns blazing.

You haven't been the same since.

(correction...it is obviously, not obviousaly)

775. Brian P Burke Leaves Archive of Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 13:43:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120830180.528838.134670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112135

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> Just as are the other records I've given you
> > information on.
>
> You have no MARS records.

And here we have clear evidence that supports my contention that one day your kids will see what a pathological liar you've been, Brain...

Assuming they didn't find out sooner anyway...

Steve, K4YZ

776. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 14:01:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120831274.868079.276330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112136

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. (Brian P Burke, N0IMD) wrote:
> >
> > > an_old_friend (Mark C Morgan, KB9RQZ) wrote:
> >
> > > > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
> >
> > > Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> > > him "Brain"...
> >
> > he doesn't mind

Sure he does.

> BTW nobody gotta love Stevie just because you say so

And where did I say so, Markie?

> > > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > > should have
> >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
> > to use it if code is forbidden

(A) It's not a "band". It's five discreet channels.

(B) The mode restriction is due to other adjacent channel users
that we must dovetail with...Not due to any altruistic debate over
Morse Code use or testing.

> > The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.
>
> So what?
>
> Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?
>
> There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more

Sure there is.

YOU need to read how it's worded.

The international treat gives individual administrations the
OPTION of requiring code testing.

Guess what...?!?!

The United States is in compliance with the treaty.

> > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> >
> > Several points:
> >
> > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> > stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
> > strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> > an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> > accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
> > any treaty.
> >
> > (2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
> > much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
> > rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
> > with said treaty.
>
> so he should have defunct provision

What? Huh?

> grow up

I have. And someday you will too. But not today, apparently.

> > > > > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > > > > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
> > > >
> > > > No it does not
> > >
> > > Yes, it does.
>
> nope

Oh?

Markie...You're either disagreeing for arguments sake, or you are
again demonstrating your absolute ignorance of United States policy as
it pertains to the Amateur Radio service.

Or just lying again.

> > > > it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
> > > > general and extra bands
> >
> > Uh huh....Which are...?!?!
>
> well known to you. till they are avalable to me I'll not bother to

> learn

So...Got slapped around and now you gonna schlep away with your
tail between your legs!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

> > BELOW 30MHZ ! ! ! ! !
>
> So what

So you're patently wrong again.

> > QUICK, MARKIE! REVIEW TIME!
> >
> > What are the four exceptions that allow a "Technician" to act as
> > control operator of an Amateur Radio station below 30MHz...?!?!?
>
> none that apply to me

Absolutely correct.

> and what I think you are referring isbad decision of the FCCto end
> thech vs thech plus license

They only eneded their admistrative tracking of it. The license,
in practice, still exisits.

> hopefully that mistake will be fixed

The FCC has clarly demonstrated that they are all about "less"
regulation and administrative burden...not more.

> > And WHAT common thread do each of those exceptions have in common
> > with the present Novice, General, Advanced and Extra class licensees?
>
> so?

"so?" was the wrong answer. You lose.

> Now on to turth

Turth? Is that near Intercourse, Pennsylvania?

> Nothing prevents the FCC from allowing hambands anywhere in the
> spectrum and assigning them to Tech class, and allowing techs without
> code tests to use them
>
> NOTHING but tradition perhaps

And nothing but it's current policy that takes advantage of treaty
language that gives administrations the priviledge of determining their
own course.

I DO agree that the FCC COULD have immediately enacted interim
"policy" that could have allowed licensees who pass the higher class
WRITTEN exams to exercise those privileges without the benefit of
passing Element 1 until it had been "officially" dealt with.

But they didn't, so it's still here. Oh well.

> > > Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
> > > Quitefine at it.
> >
> > Techs can use Morse Code on HF, too. But hey, I thought YOU
> > insisted that SOMEone has chased all the Techs away...?!?!
>
> indeed he has you chase them away not all stay away

I haven't chased anyone away, Markie. My VHF log has many, many
NCT's on 6 and 2M SSB.

> > Another QuiteWrongLie it seems.
>
> nope

Yep.

Steve, K4YZ

777. Re: Correcting the Biggest RRAP Idiot...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 15:01:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120831796.213025.268060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112137

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > b.b. wrote:
> >
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
> >
> > Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> > him "Brain"...
>
> Only Robber's Son would enjoy making fun of a disabled person's
> disability.

He's not disabled.

He's lazy.

Massive snip of usual Brain P Burke deceptions and lies...his kids
will have enough to deal with when they get older...

> > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> >
> > Several points:
> >
> > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > prefer) ...
>
> I can handle either. Would you like to attempt a hertz conversion?
>
> I've got a pretty good memory (and can remember most of your lies)

Then you've got a lot of unused storage space available.

You've not yet substantiated a single one of your "lie"
accusations.

> it was previously posted in Part 97 as 5.170 MHz.

Not in recent history...recent being back into the 80's when I
first remember seeing it.

> The regs have been rewritten to allow for people who don't understand
> the bandwidth of an J3E or R3E emission. Congratulations. You

> qualify.
>
> Don't believe me? Ask amateur historian Jim.

No, I don't believe you. You're a chronic liar.

And what you don't lie about, you manage to get wrong as a consequence of inadequate experience or research.

Either way, a "bet" on information provided by you would be money lost.

Steve, K4YZ

778. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 17:44:33 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d388585b053e05f98969e@news.citlink.net>
Source record: 112138

> But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
> providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
> then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
> make a call.
>

And that is why montioring the PD on my scanner most of the radio comms
start with " Call me on your NEXTEL"

779. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 17:55:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120845315.279517.199240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112139

From: "Jim Hampton" on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 23:58

>"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
>> > one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
>>
>> A false assumption promoted by Steve Robeson, K4YZ.
>>
>> Of course, the cell phone is more widely available to the public than
>> your amateur radio. The cell phone may keep working just fine in
>> personal or small emergencies. The cell phone often works during the
>> initial stages of a larger emergency. In other words, the cell phone
>> can be extremely useful.

That's HERESY in this newsgroup! :-)

In this morning's Los Angeles TIMES, page one, is a story on
the London bombings stating that the estimated number of
cell phones in the USA is 192 MILLION now and about a third
of them have video capability. Network news on TV showed many
short video clips from the immediate disaster areas in London.

I have yet to see any TV news talking about "amateur radio
emergency communications" in the London area. <shrug>

No doubt someone in here will insist that all the video clips
are "fakes" because "everyone knows that cell phones go down
in any emergency." :-)

>> Jim, rely on no SINGLE form of communications. Always have a back-up
>> plan.
>
>You are correct; one cannot count on any one form of communications. Cell
>phones are *great* in a personal situation; I cannot imagine a more useful
>form of communications. The larger the area impacted, the more you need to
>rely on something that can communicate outside of the affected area.

We can always count on the Amateur Emergency Corps to come
through with "CW" and the johnny-on-the-spot morsemen.

>When 911 hit, however, things were a bit different. I was on AT&T and I
>lost my ISP for a week despite being over 300 miles away from NYC. Of
>course, the normal phones and cell phones worked (in Rochester) normally.
>AT&T had a major network in NYC and their service was affected considerably.

AT&T divestiture was some time ago...:-)

"9/11" (the attack on the World Trade Center) was 4 years ago. NYC government communications managed to work through despite considerable damage to lower Manhattan Borough. <shrug> Area of damage was only a few square miles. You were 300 miles away?

The 1994 Northridge Earthquake was 11 years ago. I was IN it. Area affected was roughly 400 square miles. TOTAL primary electrical power was CUT OFF for about 10 million population. LA and surrounding incorporated city communications continued to function, local utilities' communications continued to function despite loss of primary electrical supply. Conspicuously absent was amateur emergency communications which arrived (for health and welfare messaging) AFTER the FEMA fly-away-terminals arrived and were functioning. I don't know if the cell phones "all went down" since I didn't have one then...but the wired telephones worked fine after the first two hours of subscriber panic was over.

BTW, the cell sites in the L.A. area are equipped with back-up power in case the primary electricity goes out. Central offices have had battery back-up since the year dot. AM and FM broadcast stations had emergency power generators and were functioning okay even if the personnel were a bit shaky.

In London and, earlier, Madrid, the government communications kept on going through bombings. Gosh, with the way so many in here talk, absolutely NOBODY but the hams had any comms at all! <shrug> BTW, Yurp has an even higher density of cell phones than the USA according to the L.A. TIMES story.

>It was copied from a diabetes group which is international in scope.

Tektronix is international in 'scope.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

780. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 17:56:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120845376.874556.58950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112140

From: "Jim Hampton" on Fri 8 Jul 2005 00:01

>"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> > Kenny wrote:
>> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>
>> Kindly poll the RSGB and get the number of amateur radio operators
>> (complete with portable radios) that were in the subways and busses
>> affected.
>>
>> Thanks.
>
>You know as well as I that neither cell phone, cb, nor amateur radio will
>work well (if at all) if you are in a subway far from an exit. Radio waves
>do not fare well from underground nor under water ;)

Use "CW." "CW gets through when nothing else will..."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

781. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 18:02:00 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <damf2o$lg0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112141

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Wed 6 Jul 2005 15:54
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: kelly@dvol.com on Tues 5 Jul 2005 16:41
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY:
>>>>>
>>>>>First, you can run duplex, simply use two modems and a separate
>>>>>transmitter and receiver.
>>>>
>>>>Uh-huh. Got it. The reciever listens while the transmitter transmits.
>>>>On the same frequency. 'Way to go "John", slap a patent on it!
>>>
>>> Did John say "on the same frequency?" I don't think so.
>>
>>He didn't say *not* on the same frequency either Sweetums. Now what?
>
>
> Two questions:
>
> 1. What ELSE did John "*not* say?"

John said it was easy.

The way it is turning out is anything but. We were going to attach our
56K modem to our rig, IIRC. One quick connection, and welcome to the
modern digital world for the olde tyme hammes.

DAT's okay, those Olde tymers would probably just use it to send Morse
code sounds anyhow. ;^)

> 2. What are you going to say he "really said?" :-)

We thought we would let that part up to you. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

782. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 18:04:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <damf7g$lg0$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112142

Dave Heil wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:

>> No point to it.
>>
>> Not sure why he is so anxious for us to launch something. I don't
>> recall setting any particular deadline.
>>
>> No biggie.
>
>
> Surely you know that Len is the very opposite of being anxious for you
> to launch. If you had a sucessful launch, it'd take away his ammunition.

I doubt that, Dave. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

783. Re: Correcting the Biggest RRAP Idiot...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 18:24:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120847044.563509.166280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112143

From: K4YZ <MadAsHellAndCantTakeItAnymore@aol.com> on Jul 8, 11:01 am

>b.b. wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > b.b. wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:

> Massive snip of usual Brain P Burke deceptions and lies...his kids
>will have enough to deal with when they get older...

Marty McFly flies again in Back To Futureworld!

>> > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
>> > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
>> > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
>
>> > Several points:
>
>> > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
>> > prefer) ...

The FCC does not "prefer," it MANDATES in Part 97.401 (c) !!!

5.1675 MHz, J3E or R3E modulation, RF power out 150 W maximum.

Source: ARRL's own text on the latest version of Part 97!

>> I can handle either. Would you like to attempt a hertz conversion?
>
>> I've got a pretty good memory (and can remember most of your lies)
>
> Then you've got a lot of unused storage space available.
>
> You've not yet substantiated a single one of your "lie" accusations.

Tsk, tsk. Again with the LIE! LIE! LIE! :-)

>> it was previously posted in Part 97 as 5.170 MHz.
>
> Not in recent history...recent being back into the 80's when I
>first remember seeing it.

Tsk, tsk, the CURRENT issue of Part 97 on the ARRL website is
a <gasp!> "LIE?" :-)

>> The regs have been rewritten to allow for people who don't understand
>> the bandwidth of an J3E or R3E emission. Congratulations. You
>> qualify.

>
>> Don't believe me? Ask amateur historian Jim.

[...that's "renowned" amateur historian...]

> No, I don't believe you. You're a chronic liar.
>
> And what you don't lie about, you manage to get wrong as a
>consequence of inadequate experience or research.

Poor baby...mad as hell and can't take it anymore!

EVERYBODY ELSE *LIES* *LIES* *LIES* ! ! ! :-)

> Either way, a "bet" on information provided by you would be money
>lost.

Guess that's why the ARRL BoD doesn't meet much in Las Vegas, NV,
huh? :-)

Yawn...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

784. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 19:52:31 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.ten>
Message-ID: <po2dncA6yv1YdVPfRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112144

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> No doubt someone in here will insist that all the video clips
> are "fakes" because "everyone knows that cell phones go down
> in any emergency." :-)
>
You really are an idiot lennyboy, taking pictures with a cell phone, in
which case it is just acting as a digital camera, isn't quite the same
as attempting to use it to communicate.

785. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 21:49:34 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <vostc1p3s2snmi0r5edtdlkqbgk5sc4iug@4ax.com>
Source record: 112145

On 7 Jul 2005 16:09:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
>>
>> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
>>
>> 73, Leo
>>
>> >
>> >So here goes:
>> >
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 29 Jun 2005 16:10:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> You must decide!
>> >> >> >> >> You must decide.
>> >> >> >> You must.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
>> >> >
>> >> >You missed the reference completely, then.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You cannot answer a question with a question.
>> >> >>
>> >> >I didn't!
>> >> >
>> >> >> Heh heh heh......you have been a puppet for a long time.
>> >> >
>> >> >Some would say since Arrival
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Decide!
>> >> > >> Break free.

>> >> >> Decide.
>> >> >
>> >> >Why?
>> >>
>> >> You must.
>> >
>> >Sounds like a Hammer pounding Into an Anvil
>> >
>> >> >> >> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
>> >> >> >> >> >suggest *I* take.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> That is your current reality.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Not at all.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There are only two choices available to you.
>> >> >
>> >> >Says who?
>> >>
>> >> There are two.
>> >
>> >> >> A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There is no C.
>> >> >
>> >> >There's always a C.
>> >>
>> >> Not in a two variable equation.
>> >>
>> >> Such as this.
>> >
>> >Do you want me to deny the truth just to permit Living in Harmony?
>> >
>> >> Owned. Or Free.
>> >>
>> >> Wimp. Or Strong.
>> >>
>> >> Dit. Or Dah. (mandatory radio content hi hi)
>> >
>> >Sounds like an order from The General..
>> >> >If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
>> >> >Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.
>> >>
>> >> Not true.
>> >

>> >That's what it all boils down to.
>> > >
>> >> >All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to > >tell me to shut up without using those words.
>> >>
>> >> Not true.
>> >>
>> >> Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?
>> >
>> >No.
>> >>
>> >> Why?
>> >
>> >> >> Polish - or Avoid.
>> >> >>
>> >> >I'm not Polish.
>> >>
>> >> You are polishing. Turds.
>> >
>> >Not me!
>> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That's what you're telling me to do.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.
>> >> >
>> >> >Sure it can: the two words "shut up"
>> >>
>> >> Do you feel that this is your only logical option, then?
>> >>
>> >> Is there no other way?
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> Owned - or Free.
>> >> >
>> >> >Speak out - or Shut Up.
>> >> >
>> >> >That's what it means.
>> >>
>> >> Be trolled - or not.
>> >>
>> >> That's what it means.
>> >>
>> >How is one different from the other?
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> The series "Kung Fu" was set in the American Southwest in the 1870s.
>> >>

>> >> Before radio was invented.
>> >
>> >But not before callsigns were invented!
>> >>
>> >> Wow! That's one five star education yer packin there,
>> >> podner......yee haw!
>> >
>> >Do you think callsigns were invented for radio? Think again.
>> >
>> >> >> Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>> >
>> >> >> "A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
>> >> >> path. What should he do?
>> >
>> >> >> If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his
>> >> >> way. But, if
>> >> >> he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the
>> >> >> expectation that
>> >> >> it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he
>> >> >> would be quite foolish indeed."
>> >
>> >Agreed!
>> >
>> >He could also avoid the hazard, and point it out to others so
>> >they do not trod in it.
>> >
>> >> >So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here
>> >> >are turds.
>> >> >
>> >> >I think you have a point there!
>> >>
>> >> Hope springs anew!
>> >>
>> >> That's why the polishing isn't going too well sometimes.
>> >>
>> >So you agree! Excellent!
>> >
>> >Now let us consider.....
>> >
>> >If your description of Len's posts (the product) is accurate, what does
>> >that make the producer of said product?
>> >
>> >That pretty much sums it up, I think.
>> >
>> >> >--
>> >> >
>> >> >Psychotics build castles in the air
>> >> >Neurotics live in them
>> >> >Shrinks collect the rent
>> >> -

>>
>>
>> ????
>>
>> Where'd the rest of it go?
>
>I edited it out as being redundant.

Fair enough - as long as you get the idea!

>
>Your basic message was that the way to deal with
>certain.....unpleasant items....that one may encounter,
>is to simply avoid them completely, rather than picking them
>up and fooling with them (which you refer to as
>"polishing" - an illustrative description!)
>
>This course of action is particularly appropriate
>if the....unpleasant items....were intentionally
>placed for you to find, in the hopes you would
>react to them in a certain way.
>
>That's really what you've been trying to tell me
>all along, isn't it, Leo?

That's it - you have it!

>
>That course of action (avoidance) does make a lot of sense.
>
>However, it occurs to me that the nature of
>the...items...tells us something about the
>person who created them.
>
>If you encounter a watch, you would
>logically conclude that it was made by a watchmaker.
>
>If you encounter a loaf of bread, you would
>logically conclude that it was made by a baker.
>
>And if you encountered the....unpleasant items...
>you describe as being unpolishable, you would
>logically conclude that is was made by an

There you go.

And, from what I've read over the last week or so, you have discovered
that application of this philosophy does not limit your posts very
much at all!

Well done.

>
>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

786. Re: I'M NOW ON ECHOLINK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 22:50:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <brDze.19656$eM6.14798@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:dd881$42cdbfcb$4e1052c$20716@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> Toad ,
> You might try to learn how to operate a spell checker.
> Just a friendly suggestion.
> Ace - WH2T
>
>
> "n9ogl" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1120778363.762121.280790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> When we had it hooked up last year we had close to 1,000 people that
>> had connect, that's not counting repeats.
>>
>> I'm just so sad that some backwater toothless southerner can operate
>> something fancy like echolink
>>
>> Todd
>>
>>
>
Ya just gotta love it.

Dan/W4NTI

787. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 22:57:24 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120863444.733273.207390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112147

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Jim Hampton" on Fri 8 Jul 2005 00:01
>
>
> >"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> > Kenny wrote:
> >> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > >
> >> Kindly poll the RSGB and get the number of amateur radio operators
> >> (complete with portable radios) that were in the subways and busses
> >> affected.
> >>
> >> Thanks.
> > >
> >You know as well as I that neither cell phone, cb, nor amateur radio will
> >work well (if at all) if you are in a subway far from an exit. Radio waves
> >do not fare well from underground nor under water ;)
>
> Use "CW." "CW gets through when nothing else will..."
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Not true. "CW gets through when everything else will..."

788. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 23:08:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <OHDze.19669$eM6.12604@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112148

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120845376.874556.58950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Jim Hampton" on Fri 8 Jul 2005 00:01
>
>
>>"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> > Kenny wrote:
>>> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>
>>> Kindly poll the RSGB and get the number of amateur radio operators
>>> (complete with portable radios) that were in the subways and busses
>>> affected.
>>>
>>> Thanks.
>>
>>You know as well as I that neither cell phone, cb, nor amateur radio will
>>work well (if at all) if you are in a subway far from an exit. Radio
>>waves
>>do not fare well from underground nor under water ;)
>
> Use "CW." "CW gets through when nothing else will..."
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Sure does.....you can get a metal object, perhaps even a hammer or a wrench,
and tap Morse Code on the rails.

Come on Lennie the Loser......tell us why it won't work.

Dan/W4NTI

789. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 23:16:36 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120864595.946805.92660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112149

Steve Stone wrote:
> > But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
> > providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
> > then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
> > make a call.
> >
>
> And that is why montioring the PD on my scanner most of the radio comms
> start with " Call me on your NEXTEL"

This is RRAP. Facts are neither solicited, understood, nor
appreciated.

790. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 23:32:23 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120865543.032679.316080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112150

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > > Why?
> > >
> > > Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
> > > to use it if code is forbidden
> >
> > (A) It's not a "band". It's five discreet channels.

Why is it called 60 Meters? is 60 meters a discrete frequency?

> > (B) The mode restriction is due to other adjacent channel users
> > that we must dovetail with...Not due to any altruistic debate over
> > Morse Code use or testing.

Wrong answer. A CW signal would dovetail nicely given the allocated
bandwidth. So why not CW?

> > > The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.
> > >
> > > So what?
> > >
> > > Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?
> > >
> > > There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more
> >
> > Sure there is.
> >
> > YOU need to read how it's worded.
> >
> > The international treat gives individual administrations the
> > OPTION of requiring code testing.

Gotta love those International "Treats." Better than smores.

The FCC's reply to the question was that S25.2 required it.
The PCTA's answer to the question was that S25.2 required it.

> > Guess what...?!?!
> >
> > The United States is in compliance with the treaty.

Arbitrary licensing requirements are still a problem.

The treaty no longer requires such arbitrary licensing requirements.

More Robeson Wrongness snipped.

791. Steven J. Robeson K4YZ , a liar then or now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 23:49:53 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120866593.157613.264270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112151

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Just as are the other records I've given you
> > > information on.
> >
> > You have no MARS records.
>
> And here we have clear evidence that supports my contention that
> one day your kids will see what a pathological liar you've been,
> Brain...

Steve, anybody can have a MARS license and be inactive for years before finally being removed from the record for non-participation. Such a person may make claims to having held MARS calls, but should never make claims of having held a leadership position in MARS.

But you claimed to have held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa, and you told us that you had no letter of appointment.

Were you lying then or are you lying now?

Your lack of knowledge of MARS indicates that you were:

a. lying when you said that you held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa.

and

b. not lying when you said you had no letter of appointment because not having such a letter goes hand in hand with not having such a leadership position.

So are you now claiming that you do have such a letter?

Hi!

> Assuming they didn't find out sooner anyway...

The sad truth is that they'll realize that there are bad people in the world, and their father's role was to protect them from it.

792. Re: Correcting the Biggest RRAP Idiot...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-08 23:59:27 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120867167.338640.124040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112152

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > >
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
> > >
> > > Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> > > him "Brain"...
> > >
> > Only Robber's Son would enjoy making fun of a disabled person's
> > disability.
>
> He's not disabled.
>
> He's lazy.

You're crazy.

> > > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> > >
> > > Several points:
> > >
> > > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > > prefer) ...
> > >
> > I can handle either. Would you like to attempt a hertz conversion?
> >
> > I've got a pretty good memory (and can remember most of your lies)
>
> Then you've got a lot of unused storage space available.

Everyone does. It's said that we use only 10% of our brain.

You use less than 10% emotional maturity.

793. Re: Correcting the Biggest RRAP Idiot...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 00:04:28 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120867468.513815.246900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112153

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ <MadAsHellAndCantTakeItAnymore@aol.com> on Jul 8, 11:01 am
>
> >b.b. wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > b.b. wrote:
> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
>
> > Massive snip of usual Brain P Burke deceptions and lies...his kids
> >will have enough to deal with when they get older...
>
> Marty McFly flies again in Back To Futureworld!
>
> >> > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> >> > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> >> > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> >
> >> > Several points:
> >
> >> > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> >> > prefer) ...
>
> The FCC does not "prefer," it MANDATES in Part 97.401 (c) !!!
>
> 5.1675 MHz, J3E or R3E modulation, RF power out 150 W maximum.
>
> Source: ARRL's own text on the latest version of Part 97!
>
>
> >> I can handle either. Would you like to attempt a hertz conversion?
> >
> >> I've got a pretty good memory (and can remember most of your lies)
> >
> > Then you've got a lot of unused storage space available.
> >
> > You've not yet substantiated a single one of your "lie" accusations.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Again with the LIE! LIE! LIE! :-)
>
>
> >> it was previously posted in Part 97 as 5.170 MHz.
> >
> > Not in recent history...recent being back into the 80's when I

> >first remember seeing it.
>
> Tsk, tsk, the CURRENT issue of Part 97 on the ARRL website is
> a <gasp!> "LIE?" :-)
>
>
> >> The regs have been rewritten to allow for people who don't understand
> >> the bandwidth of an J3E or R3E emission. Congratulations. You
> >> qualify.
> >
> >> Don't believe me? Ask amateur historian Jim.
>
> [...that's "renowned" amateur historian...]
>
>
> > No, I don't believe you. You're a chronic liar.
> >
> > And what you don't lie about, you manage to get wrong as a
> >consequence of inadequate experience or research.
>
> Poor baby...mad as hell and can't take it anymore!
>
> EVERYBODY ELSE *LIES* *LIES* *LIES* ! ! ! :-)
>
>
> > Either way, a "bet" on information provided by you would be money
> >lost.
>
> Guess that's why the ARRL BoD doesn't meet much in Las Vegas, NV,
> huh? :-)
>
> Yawn...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

In RRAP we have the uniformed, and we have the uninformed. Some are
both, some are neither.

794. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 02:52:43 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.07.09.02.52.40.239716@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 112154

On Fri, 08 Jul 2005 17:44:33 +0000, Steve Stone wrote:

>
>> But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
>> providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
>> then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
>> make a call.
>>
>
> And that is why montioring the PD on my scanner most of the radio comms
> start with " Call me on your NEXTEL"

They do that because they don't want you to listen and the system is up
and running...

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

795. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 02:56:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.ten>
Message-ID: <Qv-dnel-nNOZ0VLfRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112155

Korbin Dallas wrote:
> On Fri, 08 Jul 2005 17:44:33 +0000, Steve Stone wrote:
>
>
>>>But the truth remains, that cell phones often are not capable of
>>>providing all the communications needed. If they aren't knocked out,
>>>then the cell sites are overloaded with every cell user attempting to
>>>make a call.
>>>
>>
>>And that is why montioring the PD on my scanner most of the radio comms
>>start with " Call me on your NEXTEL"
>
>
> They do that because they don't want you to listen and the system is up
> and running...
>
>
>
And also it isn't a major disaster situation where cell sites are down
or overloaded. Sheesh.

796. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 05:31:02 UTC
From: granteer@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1120887062.846118.79180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112156

What does the "Cmd" in "Cmd Buzz Corey" stand for?

797. Brian P Burke Leaving More Damning Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 12:19:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120911549.974335.210990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112157

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Just as are the other records I've given you
> > > > information on.
> > > >
> > > You have no MARS records.
> > >
> > And here we have clear evidence that supports my contention that
> > one day your kids will see what a pathological liar you've been,
> > Brain...
>
> Steve, anybody can have a MARS license and be inactive for years before
> finally being removed from the record for non-participation. Such a
> person may make claims to having held MARS calls, but should never make
> claims of having held a leadership position in MARS.

I didn't say I had a "leadership position in MARS"...

I said I had a leadership position in a Marine Corps unit that
supported the MARS program on Okinawa.

> But you claimed to have held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa, and
> you told us that you had no letter of appointment.

Nope. Just my orders to MCB Camp Butler for temporary FAP duty to
the MARS program.

> Were you lying then or are you lying now?

Neither.

> Your lack of knowledge of MARS indicates that you were:
>
> a. lying when you said that you held a leadership position in
> MARS/Okinawa.

Nope.

> and
>
> b. not lying when you said you had no letter of appointment because
> not having such a letter goes hand in hand with not having such a
> leadership position.
>

> So are you now claiming that you do have such a letter?

No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.
Nor do I have a copy of my orders to boot camp from the processing
station, nor do I have a currrent copy of my transfer to my last duty
station.

But those duty stations are part of the records I've refered you
to but you are too cowardly to write and find out about.

Over and over.

> Hi!

Hello yourself.

If that was supposed to be laughter, just remember, we're laughing
AT you, not WITH you, Brain.

> > Assuming they didn't find out sooner anyway...
>
> The sad truth is that they'll realize that there are bad people in the
> world, and their father's role was to protect them from it.

The sad truth is they are going to read.

And read some more.

At first they'll be mad at me for having taken-to-task the man
they grew up loving and respecting. That's only natural and human
nature.

But so is curiosity.

Then they'll read some more.

They'll follow the crumps back to the source and they will realize
that you were, indeed, a dishonest and deceitful person who showed no
concern for his own reputation in public.

Then they'll start looking into other activities that you may be
involved in but have to date not mentioned here. No doubt they'll find
more to be ashamed of.

They'll always love you...they're your kids.

But they'll realize you are NOT that same man.

Shame on you.

Steve, K4YZ

798. KB9RQZ Leaving To Consider Growing Up...It's About Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 12:26:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120911965.261418.272430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112158

an_old_friend wrote:
> tonight or tomarrow My aprtner and I will be traveling to allow my
> partner to fufill a require of my partners religion

There's a religion for inept illiterate idiots?

The III...???

> So Stevie please don't burst a blood vessel waitng for my replies or
> assmuning my silence is consent

Better turn to your "aprtner" for consent, Markie.

Every day you don't post here is like a day of sunshine.

> Gay bashers go ahead have fun while I am gone consider growing up

You're going to consider growing up...?!?!

Congratulations!

It's about time!

Steve, K4YZ

799. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 12:46:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120913219.659332.69940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112159

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

Big Snip To The Funny Stuff:

> > It's "here and now". It's codified into the regulations for a
> > federal license that YOU hold and are required to at least be familiar
> > with.
>
> One being codised in regs does NOT mean it is not Arcane? Indeed most
> Federal regs are the very defination of Arcane
>
> Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> to obey them.

BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !

And by the way...Yes you ARE required to be familiar with Part 97.

Ask the FCC.

> > > I have seen fragment of this but in my reading of way part 97 recently
> > > I don't recall it
> >
> > Becasue you skipped over the parts not written in crayon, Mark.
>
> more venom

Nope.

> > > > J3E refers to the emission type. I have a strong suspicion that 2K8
> > > > represents a 2.8 kilohertz bandwidth.
> > >
> > > you may well be right but even you don't know, not a slame on you but
> > > pointing that you clearly know better than are not sure how can I be
> > > expected to know it
> >

> > You can be expected to at least know where to find the information
> > since you ARE responsible for it as a Commisssion licensee of an
> > Amateur Radio station.
>
> expected sure I am expected by you to do lots of stuff
>
> Required no

Required by the FCC...Yes.

> BTW exactly where are they defined by paragraph Oh font of Knowledge
> rival of the Oracle of Delphi

Where are the rules defined by paragraph?

Try Part 97 you blithering idiot!

> > > Corecting you Hans put it forth, Steve is taking me to task for not
> > > knowing it
> >
> > No, not at all.
>
> Yes Indeed Hans put it forth that is corect but you are right I was
> merely guessing at what you are upto. I rarely know and hardly care
> exactly what you are upto

I am not "upto" anything, other than showing the world that, yes,
Mark C Morgan is an idiot.

But so far YOU keep stealing all my glory by beating me to it.

> > I am taking you to task for treating Hans so rudely then lying
> > about it afterwards.
>
> and Hans can't defend himself?
>
> I was rdue to Han's

Yes, you were.

> he was rude to me.

No, he was not.

> Why are (to use a comon figure of speech)you allowing your panties to
> get into such bunch over it?

Because you're so blatant in lying about it.

> > > > So Steve may have been a little strong in his wording, but his conclusion
> > > > was not in error. You appear to have little knowledge of emission
> > > > types/bandwidth occupancy.
> > >
> > > Agreed
> > > and Steve and for that Hans know this and choose to give an answer

> > > technical correct (is it in fact technicaly correct) but dsigned to be
> > > useless to me
> >
> > It was only useless to you since you refused to follow-up on it
> > from there.
>
> which does not alter the FACT the answer was useless as given

Useless to YOU, perhaps...

Anyone else over 12 with an interest in Amateur Radio had no
problem with it.

> > You wanted spoon-fed, written in crayon answers.
>
> No crayon needed

Obviously you do.

> You take me to task when I don't answer your yes or no questions.I have
> the same right as you do

Actually, I consider you to have MORE rights than I consider
necesssary for myself.

Being an idiot is one of them. Being a chronic liar is yet
another.

Help yourself to them...They were made for you. They fit you like
a glove.

> > Hans' only mistake was assuming that you might be able to
> > understand the answer.
>
> gee Hans can't defend himself

He could quite ably if he chose to.

He just wouldn't enjoy rubbing your nose in your droppings as much
as I do, though...

> > > > Not slamming you, but Steve was not entirely remiss in his post.
> > >
> > > I accept thatyou intend no slam but I miss you have missed Stevies
> > > intent
> >
> > There's no "intent" on my part, Mark.
>
> If you say there was no intent on your part then we chalk up another
> lie. you intended something

Only in that you suggest something improper. My REAL intent was
to try and get you to spontaneously issue Hans an apology for your
smart mouth and abuse of his rendering of information to you.

Didn't work. My bad.

> > You asked a question. You got the answer. You then insulted the
> > respondant and called him a liar.
>
> yes I askeda question

Well...SORT of a question. One can never be quite sure where your
sentences start and stop, or if they were statements, quotes or
questions.

> No I did not

Lying again, Mark.

If you want to lie, got lie next your your "aprtnr" for a while.
Be sure to offer him some "Ben-Gay" first, though.

> I did rebuke the respondant (it is his place to ecide if he was
> insulted) rudely if you like

There was nothing to "rebuke".

The shortfallwas your own.

> Never called him a lair

Good thing, too...Because you'd already called him a liar. Just
one more thing might ahve set him off.

> > You were wrong on several levels.
>
> nope

Absolutely wrong on several levels.

> > You owe Hans Brakob an apology.
>
> IF he asks I will consider it
>
> But not on your say so

If you don't consider it pertinent to do so without his asking,
then you're obviously not sincere.

But then what else is new...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

800. Re: Correcting the Biggest RRAP Idiot...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 13:02:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120914130.688572.21970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112160

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> on Jul 8, 11:01 am
> >b.b. wrote:

> >> > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> >> > prefer) ...
>
> The FCC does not "prefer," it MANDATES in Part 97.401 (c) !!!
>
> 5.1675 MHz, J3E or R3E modulation, RF power out 150 W maximum.
>
> Source: ARRL's own text on the latest version of Part 97!

I suppose there was a point to that, but then again, considering
the author, I'd be wrong.....

Big snip of ususal LennieRant Diversions

> Yawn...

Lay off the Geritol and you'll be a bit less drowsy, Lennie...

> LenIdontCareHowSillyIlookInPublicAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

801. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 14:40:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120920027.239529.167200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112161

```
Leo wrote:
> On 7 Jul 2005 16:09:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
> >>
> >> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
> >>
> >> 73, Leo
> >>
> >> >
> >> >So here goes:
> >> >
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 29 Jun 2005 16:10:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> You must decide!
> >> >> >> >> >> >> You must decide.
> >> >> >> >> >> You must.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You missed the reference completely, then.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> You cannot answer a question with a question.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >I didn't!
> >> >> >
> >> >> Heh heh heh......you have been a puppet for a long time.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Some would say since Arrival
> >> >> >>
> >> >> Decide!
```

> >> > >> Break free.
> >> >> >> Decide.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Why?
> >> >>
> >> >> You must.
> >> >
> >> >Sounds like a Hammer pounding Into an Anvil
> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
> >> >> >> >> >suggest *I* take.
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> That is your current reality.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Not at all.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There are only two choices available to you.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Says who?
> >> >>
> >> >> There are two.
> >> >
> >> >> >> A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There is no C.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >There's always a C.
> >> >>
> >> >> Not in a two variable equation.
> >> >>
> >> >> Such as this.
> >> >
> >> >Do you want me to deny the truth just to permit Living in Harmony?
> >> >
> >> >> Owned. Or Free.
> >> >>
> >> >> Wimp. Or Strong.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dit. Or Dah. (mandatory radio content hi hi)
> >> >
> >> >Sounds like an order from The General..
> >> >> >If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
> >> >> >Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.
> >> >>
> >> >> Not true.

> >> >
> >> >That's what it all boils down to.
> >> > >
> >> >> >All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to > >tell me to shut up without using those words.
> >> >>
> >> >> Not true.
> >> >>
> >> >> Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?
> >> >
> >> >No.
> >> >>
> >> >> Why?
> >> >
> >> >> >> Polish - or Avoid.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >I'm not Polish.
> >> >>
> >> >> You are polishing. Turds.
> >> >
> >> >Not me!
> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >That's what you're telling me to do.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Sure it can: the two words "shut up"
> >> >>
> >> >> Do you feel that this is your only logical option, then?
> >> >>
> >> >> Is there no other way?
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Owned - or Free.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Speak out - or Shut Up.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That's what it means.
> >> >>
> >> >> Be trolled - or not.
> >> >>
> >> >> That's what it means.
> >> >>
> >> >How is one different from the other?
> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> The series "Kung Fu" was set in the American Southwest in the 1870s.

> >> >>
> >> >> Before radio was invented.
> >> >
> >> >But not before callsigns were invented!
> >> >>
> >> >> Wow! That's one five star education yer packin there,
> >> >> podner......yee haw!
> >> >
> >> >Do you think callsigns were invented for radio? Think again.
> >> >
> >> >> >> Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
> >> >
> >> >> >> "A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
> >> >> >> path. What should he do?
> >> >
> >> >> >> If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his
> >> >> >> way. But, if
> >> >> >> he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the
> >> >> >> expectation that
> >> >> >> it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he
> >> >> >> would be quite foolish indeed."
> >> >
> >> >Agreed!
> >> >
> >> >He could also avoid the hazard, and point it out to others so
> >> >they do not trod in it.
> >> >
> >> >> >So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here
> >> >> >are turds.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I think you have a point there!
> >> >>
> >> >> Hope springs anew!
> >> >>
> >> >> That's why the polishing isn't going too well sometimes.
> >> >>
> >> >So you agree! Excellent!
> >> >
> >> >Now let us consider.....
> >> >
> >> >If your description of Len's posts (the product) is accurate, what does
> >> >that make the producer of said product?
> >> >
> >> >That pretty much sums it up, I think.
> >> >
> >> >> >--
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Psychotics build castles in the air
> >> >> >Neurotics live in them
> >> >> >Shrinks collect the rent

> >> >> -
> >>
> >>
> >> ????
> >>
> >> Where'd the rest of it go?
> >
> >I edited it out as being redundant.
>
> Fair enough - as long as you get the idea!

Exactly!

> >Your basic message was that the way to deal with
> >certain.....unpleasant items....that one may encounter,
> >is to simply avoid them completely, rather than picking them
> >up and fooling with them (which you refer to as
> >"polishing" - an illustrative description!)
> >
> >This course of action is particularly appropriate
> >if the....unpleasant items....were intentionally
> >placed for you to find, in the hopes you would
> >react to them in a certain way.
> >
> >That's really what you've been trying to tell me
> >all along, isn't it, Leo?
>
> That's it - you have it!

Of course it could be argued that what I was doing was
not "polishing" but pointing out the...unpleasant items...
but that's a minor issue. The decision to be made is the
same.
>
> >That course of action (avoidance) does make a lot of sense.
> >
> >However, it occurs to me that the nature of
> >the...items...tells us something about the
> >person who created them.
> >
> >If you encounter a watch, you would
> >logically conclude that it was made by a watchmaker.
> >
> >If you encounter a loaf of bread, you would
> >logically conclude that it was made by a baker.
> >
> >And if you encountered the....unpleasant items...
> >you describe as being unpolishable, you would
> >logically conclude that is was made by an
>
> There you go.

So you agree!
>
> And, from what I've read over the last week or so,
> you have discovered
> that application of this philosophy does not limit your posts
> very much at all!

Of course it limits my posts - to a very great degree. However,
that's not really the issue, is it?

The lack of "polishing" does not seem to have reduced the
production of said ...unpleasant items...though.

>
> Well done.

TNX

There's always the possibility that I might decide to
...point out...one of those....unpleasant items....

Who knows?

73 de Jim, N2EY

802. Re: Emergency communications (Cell Phones)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 15:35:27 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <39Sze.7709$HV1.3654@fed1read07>
Source record: 112162

Don't Count on Using Your Cell Phone for Disaster Rescue
URL:
http://www.pcworld.com/news/article/0,aid,121744,00.asp

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

803. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 16:54:26 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120928065.885788.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112163

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Jim Hampton" on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 23:58
>
> >"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> > From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
> >> > one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
> >>
> >> A false assumption promoted by Steve Robeson, K4YZ.
> >>
> >> Of course, the cell phone is more widely available to the public than
> >> your amateur radio. The cell phone may keep working just fine in
> >> personal or small emergencies. The cell phone often works during the
> >> initial stages of a larger emergency. In other words, the cell phone
> >> can be extremely useful.
>
> That's HERESY in this newsgroup! :-)

Welp, that's just the way it is. Not everyone is able to walk around
with their very own amateur radio communicator at their side.

> In this morning's Los Angeles TIMES, page one, is a story on
> the London bombings stating that the estimated number of
> cell phones in the USA is 192 MILLION now and about a third
> of them have video capability. Network news on TV showed many
> short video clips from the immediate disaster areas in London.

They didn't weight for a bunch of overwait ATVers to show up and do
damage assessments? What's the state of emergency comms coming to?

> I have yet to see any TV news talking about "amateur radio
> emergency communications" in the London area. <shrug>

Jims says the bus was underground and amateur comms would work. Not
even CW.

> No doubt someone in here will insist that all the video clips
> are "fakes" because "everyone knows that cell phones go down
> in any emergency." :-)

Doesn't everyone know that?

> >> Jim, rely on no SINGLE form of communications. Always have a back-up
> >> plan.
> >
> >You are correct; one cannot count on any one form of communications. Cell
> >phones are *great* in a personal situation; I cannot imagine a more useful

> >form of communications. The larger the area impacted, the more you need to
> >rely on something that can communicate outside of the affected area.
>
> We can always count on the Amateur Emergency Corps to come
> through with "CW" and the johnny-on-the-spot morsemen.

I thought I saw a few frames of a guy in a CAP flight suit...

> >When 911 hit, however, things were a bit different. I was on AT&T and I
> >lost my ISP for a week despite being over 300 miles away from NYC. Of
> >course, the normal phones and cell phones worked (in Rochester) normally.
> >AT&T had a major network in NYC and their service was affected considerably.
>
> AT&T divestiture was some time ago...:-)
>
> "9/11" (the attack on the World Trade Center) was 4 years ago.
> NYC government communications managed to work through despite
> considerable damage to lower Manhattan Borough. <shrug> Area
> of damage was only a few square miles. You were 300 miles away?

I recall AOL not connecting me a few years before Jim's complaint.

> The 1994 Northridge Earthquake was 11 years ago. I was IN it.
> Area affected was roughly 400 square miles. TOTAL primary
> electrical power was CUT OFF for about 10 million population.
> LA and surrounding incorporated city communications continued
> to function, local utilities' communications continued to
> function despite loss of primary electrical supply.
> Conspicuously absent was amateur emergency communications
> which arrived (for health and welfare messaging) AFTER the
> FEMA fly-away-terminals arrived and were functioning. I don't
> know if the cell phones "all went down" since I didn't have
> one then...but the wired telephones worked fine after the
> first two hours of subscriber panic was over.

Panic is the primary problem in emergencies.

> BTW, the cell sites in the L.A. area are equipped with back-
> up power in case the primary electricity goes out. Central
> offices have had battery back-up since the year dot. AM
> and FM broadcast stations had emergency power generators and
> were functioning okay even if the personnel were a bit shaky.

But they all used CW, right?

> In London and, earlier, Madrid, the government communications
> kept on going through bombings. Gosh, with the way so many in
> here talk, absolutely NOBODY but the hams had any comms at all!
> <shrug> BTW, Yurp has an even higher density of cell phones
> than the USA according to the L.A. TIMES story.

All those people without any comms at all?

> >It was copied from a diabetes group which is international in scope.
>
> Tektronix is international in 'scope.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Leupold is international in scopes.

804. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 16:58:07 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120928287.339822.273860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112164

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > No doubt someone in here will insist that all the video clips
> > are "fakes" because "everyone knows that cell phones go down
> > in any emergency." :-)
> >
> You really are an idiot lennyboy, taking pictures with a cell phone, in
> which case it is just acting as a digital camera, isn't quite the same
> as attempting to use it to communicate.

Hi! Probably an Extra.

The act of getting the video out of the cell phone is the
communications.

Best of Luck next time, buzzed.

805. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 17:00:34 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42D0029F.51A971C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 112165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> I am 100%
> > disabled
>
> And you keep telling us that, over and over. So you want a medal or
> something?

No, it means that I am still learning to function, in spite of my physical disabilities. I spent two years that I couldn't walk without help, and didn't have the strength in my hands to hold on to tools or lift anything over about a half pound. I have made a lot of progress WRT my recovery, but it appears that you will always be a useless pain in the ass. Just pray that you don't reach a point in your life where you would welcome your own death.

--
Link to my "Computers for disabled Veterans" project website deleted after threats were telephoned to my church.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

806. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 17:01:22 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42D002CE.974E119@earthlink.net>
Source record: 112166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN wrote:
>
> On Tue, 05 Jul 2005 00:29:03 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> wrote:
>
> Terrell wrote:
>
> >> that I will ever go back to a movie theater. My ears rang for three
> >> days from the excessively loud sound system, and I had a headache for a
> >> week.
> > >
> >Poor baby.
> >>
> >> I started the project below to keep myself busy a few hours a week,
> >> and to help others, rather than sit around and bitch about everyone
> >> else.
> > >
> >Now if you could just fing a project so you wouldn't sit around and
> >whine about being disabled.
>
> Yeah - it didn't work well. He ended up sitting around bitching all
> day anyway (grin!)

Yawn. D-
--
Link to my "Computers for disabled Veterans" project website deleted
after threats were telephoned to my church.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

807. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 17:04:34 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120928674.476346.62660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112167

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "b.b." on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 15:28
>
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
> >>
> >> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
> >>
> >> 73, Leo
> >
> >Jim ran the entire text through his DaVinci Code Reader. The part
> >snipped spelled out K5TIT, so he very well couldn't have quoted it.
>
> Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of women? <gasp>

I think his DaVinci Code reader malfunctioned.

> I wonder if all those "children he parented" (implied) were
> by Immaculate Conception?

I think that Jim was bottle fed.

> >Rest assured that the LaLeche reLay League has been informed.
>
> Ah! The organization in Newington, the one with the "milk
> of ham-an kindness?" :-)
>
> "Polish, polish, polish he goes, and where he stops, nobody
> knows..." <with some hat tips to Major Bowes' Amateur Hour>
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

When the Mad LaLeche reLay League Mothers discover all of the K5TIT
haters in here...

808. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 17:11:52 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120929112.550375.313050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112168

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > to obey them.
>
> BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
>
> I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !

"Ignorance of the law is no excuse."

IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
law.

Or are you too ignorant to see that?
```

809. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 17:43:42 UTC
From: "deneb@bobdbob.com" <deneb@bobdbob.com>
Message-ID: <1120931022.491806.252830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I think this is the first time I've ever heard anyone talk about CB in an emergency communications context.

I guess the police still routinely monitor channel 9 just about everywhere, but just about every square inch of North America is covered by 2m repeaters, too. I can only remember one occasion when I had to make an emergency call from the road. I didn't have a cell phone or a CB handy, but I did have a 2m mobile, and I was able to get in touch with a ham who called the police and fire departments for me. The repeater was about 30 miles away, and the ham was 75 miles away, but the state police are local everywhere.

I don't think ham radio has become irrelevant as a result of a few other services backing them up. You don't see too many CB'ers or cell phone enthusiasts conducting SETs or training with paramedics.

You can't count on hams to tell you where the speed traps are either.

810. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 18:41:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120934460.899133.128200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112170

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> Big Snip To The Funny Stuff:
>
> > > It's "here and now". It's codified into the regulations for a
> > > federal license that YOU hold and are required to at least be familiar
> > > with.
> >
> > One being codised in regs does NOT mean it is not Arcane? Indeed most
> > Federal regs are the very defination of Arcane
> >
> > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > to obey them.
>

must you engage in crying Stevi

> BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
>
> I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !

What spin? It is a simple matter, obvious to anyone with a brain, ah
prehaps that does let you out.

Obeying the rules is all that is required.In the case of mode which
sparked this thread.

don't need to what number letter combination is USB in order to use
it, I push a botton on the rig and it does that for me

>
> And by the way...Yes you ARE required to be familiar with Part 97.
>
> Ask the FCC.
```

Nope

>
> > > > I have seen fragment of this but in my reading of way part 97 recently
> > > > I don't recall it
> > >
> > > Becasue you skipped over the parts not written in crayon, Mark.
> >
> > more venom
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > > > J3E refers to the emission type. I have a strong suspicion that 2K8
> > > > > represents a 2.8 kilohertz bandwidth.
> > > >
> > > > you may well be right but even you don't know, not a slame on you but
> > > > pointing that you clearly know better than are not sure how can I be
> > > > expected to know it
> > >
> > > You can be expected to at least know where to find the information
> > > since you ARE responsible for it as a Commisssion licensee of an
> > > Amateur Radio station.
> >
> > expected sure I am expected by you to do lots of stuff
> >
> > Required no
>
> Required by the FCC...Yes.

You show where the FCC requires it. by rules and paragraph

I am merely required to the USE the airwaves correctly. If i di by use
Tarrot definiation the FCC doesn't care.

If I ID every 5 minute or 9 minutes because i don't remember the FCC
requires it every 10 the FCC doesn't care

Indeed in large discussions I generaly id every transmisstion since it
might not get back around to me in 10 minutes

>
> > BTW exactly where are they defined by paragraph Oh font of Knowledge
> > rival of the Oracle of Delphi
>
> Where are the rules defined by paragraph?
>
> Try Part 97 you blithering idiot!

Stevie thinks Part 97 is a single paragraph

>
> > > > Corecting you Hans put it forth, Steve is taking me to task for not
> > > > knowing it
> > > >
> > > No, not at all.
> > >
> > Yes Indeed Hans put it forth that is corect but you are right I was
> > merely guessing at what you are upto. I rarely know and hardly care
> > exactly what you are upto
>
> I am not "upto" anything, other than showing the world that, yes,
> Mark C Morgan is an idiot.

well if you are not lieing yet again you say I do that already

Instaead the turth is you up to stalking and harassment but everyone
(except perhaps you) knows that

>
> But so far YOU keep stealing all my glory by beating me to it.

Then shut up if showing me an idoit is your sole goal and you you feel
I am beating you to it

You just manged to prove YOURself a lair
>
> > > I am taking you to task for treating Hans so rudely then lying
> > > about it afterwards.
> > >
> > and Hans can't defend himself?
> > >
> > I was rdue to Han's
>
> Yes, you were.

and you say Hans can't defend himself?

>
> > he was rude to me.
>
> No, he was not.

Yes he was. Only I can decide what I consider rude treatment

>
> > Why are (to use a comon figure of speech)you allowing your panties to
> > get into such bunch over it?
>
> Because you're so blatant in lying about it.

no lie at all, a defference of opinion perhaps but (hear I guess is a
newsflash stevie) I don't have to agree with you

>
> > > > > So Steve may have been a little strong in his wording, but his conclusion

> > > > > was not in error. You appear to have little knowledge of emission
> > > > > types/bandwidth occupancy.
> > > > >
> > > > Agreed
> > > > and Steve and for that Hans know this and choose to give an answer
> > > > technical correct (is it in fact technicaly correct) but dsigned to be
> > > > useless to me
> > > >
> > > It was only useless to you since you refused to follow-up on it
> > > from there.
> >
> > which does not alter the FACT the answer was useless as given
>
> Useless to YOU, perhaps...

indeed as stated

More dead equine kicking stevie

>
> Anyone else over 12 with an interest in Amateur Radio had no
> problem with it.

a hyperbole at least, I can at least one other person other 12 with an
interest in Ham radio hwho did not understand it

>
> > > You wanted spoon-fed, written in crayon answers.
> >
> > No crayon needed
>
> Obviously you do.

nope indeed crayon would not have help

All that was required was a simple yes or no answer You go on for days
and day when I decline to give YOU one

>
> > You take me to task when I don't answer your yes or no questions.I have
> > the same right as you do
>
> Actually, I consider you to have MORE rights than I consider
> necesssary for myself.

auf Anglish bitte
>
> Being an idiot is one of them. Being a chronic liar is yet
> another.

Then if you "grant" me these "rights" why do you haraas me for using
them?

>
> Help yourself to them...They were made for you. They fit you like
> a glove.

Then grant me the rights you say I have and get on the topic of Ham
Radio, instead of people bashing

>
> > > Hans' only mistake was assuming that you might be able to
> > > understand the answer.
> >
> > gee Hans can't defend himself
>
> He could quite ably if he chose to.

then let him

>
> He just wouldn't enjoy rubbing your nose in your droppings as much
> as I do, though...

Ah admiting to sadism again, but...

to work, it real has have some substance

>
> > > > > Not slamming you, but Steve was not entirely remiss in his post.
> > > > >
> > > > > I accept thatyou intend no slam but I miss you have missed Stevies
> > > > > intent
> > > >
> > > There's no "intent" on my part, Mark.
> >
> > If you say there was no intent on your part then we chalk up another
> > lie. you intended something
>
> Only in that you suggest something improper. My REAL intent was
> to try and get you to spontaneously issue Hans an apology for your
> smart mouth and abuse of his rendering of information to you.

That is an improper intent, and one doomed to failure,

In addition I claim the right to respond to what you post , and deny
any responiblity to figure out what other crap is lurking in your mind

>
> Didn't work. My bad.

a begining

>
> > > You asked a question. You got the answer. You then insulted the
> > > respondant and called him a liar.
> >
> > yes I askeda question

>
> Well...SORT of a question. One can never be quite sure where your
> sentences start and stop, or if they were statements, quotes or
> questions.

then indeed if you can't figure it out you don't know wether or not you
understand it

>
> > No I did not
>
> Lying again, Mark.

I know the question even if you don't therefore ONLY I know if I got
the answer, you by your own addmission can't

>
> If you want to lie, got lie next your your "aprtnr" for a while.
> Be sure to offer him some "Ben-Gay" first, though.

Gee you know the gender of my partner? I have made a point of not
mentioning that takes a lot of typing in english.

Been stalking me more closely than I think or making stuff up again?

>
> > I did rebuke the respondant (it is his place to ecide if he was
> > insulted) rudely if you like
>
> There was nothing to "rebuke".

yes there was. his failure to answer a yes or no question

>
> The shortfallwas your own.

what?

Nope If i follow you then

you explain how a string of number s can be answer to "Is my impression
correct"

>
> > Never called him a lair
>
> Good thing, too...Because you'd already called him a liar. Just
> one more thing might ahve set him off.

If Hans lies i may well call him on it to date I have heard nothing I'd
clearly a lie. I have my doubts on some details of some of his stories
but I don't care enough to follow up

OTOH I don't care wether I set Hans off

BTW are you ever going to bother to learn to spell the word "Have"?

>
> > > You were wrong on several levels.
> >
> > nope
>
> Absolutely wrong on several levels.

not at all on any level

>
> > > You owe Hans Brakob an apology.
> >
> > IF he asks I will consider it
> >
> > But not on your say so
>
> If you don't consider it pertinent to do so without his asking,
> then you're obviously not sincere.

Not at all

Hans (nor anyone else) is entitled an apology where no offense was
intended with indaicating in some form he was offended

>
> But then what else is new...?!?!

another stveie falsehood

Is theire a manual of this fake socail rules or do you just make it all
up

as I said I'll consider apologizing to hans if he asks, but I apologize
to NONE on your say so Stevie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

811. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 18:46:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120934789.886134.136910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112171

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > sorry folks but i have to hacking these posts
> >
> >
> > >
> > > Well, Mark, YOU asked about the 60 meter "band". Hans quite
> > > appropriately corrected you taht there is no "band" allocated to
> > > Amateur Radio, and he was right....Just five discreet channels.
> >
> > I never asked about freqs
>
> But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
> reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
> discreet frequencies.

so and BTW if he had bottherd to answer in their the real question I
would not have rebuked him

>
> > > And you did indeed ask about modes. And he precisely reported the
> > > one and only mode authorized for U. S. Amateurs on those five channels.
> >
> > I asked about CW
>
> And he told you what mode was authorized there.

but not in english

making the remark

auf anglish bitte

prefect

>
> > > > > No, YOU are the one rel=plying with "unrelated crap"...that "crap"
> > > > > being your disrespectful and foolish response to his answer.
> > > >
> > > > rel=plying
> > > >
> > > > BTW I agree I was direspectful, however respect has to be earned
> > >
> > > Mark, you are not one that ANYone has to worry about earning
> > > respect from. You're an admitted liar and deceiver.
> >

> > and neither are you
>
> No problem. I could care less what level of "respect" I enjoy in
> the eyes of a lying creep such as yourself.

GOOOOOD
>
> > But is Hans or you or anyone else WANTS it then they have to earn it,
> > and for that matter I get to decide what is required
>
> There is no amount of money, gold, glory or other reward that
> could cause me to be even remotely interested in what level of respect
> I hold with you, Mark.

I am sure that is a lie, everyone has their price
>
> You're a professed liar.

so you are a lair in deneil

>
> Of what level of respect do you think YOU hold with ANYONE in this
> forum?

Honesty I don't know, and I don't realy care in many cases,

However anyone who wants mine must earn it

and Hans BTW realy racked a defeict of point right before I rebuked his
"answer" to me with his hoax about K1MAN

>
> Big snip of the rest of it.
>
> He's admitted lying...of what other interest can the rest be?
>
> > > There's nothing to defy but logic, Mark. And you certainly do
> > > that.
> >
> > If you care to say that sure fine
> >
> > Don't make it true
>
> My "saying it" doesn't make it true...Your being illogical does.

No it can't

Something has to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not
becuase it is logical or illogical

>
> Steve, K4YZ

812. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 18:55:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120935341.296605.141980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112172

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > > > And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
> > > > 'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
> > >
> > > Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?
> >
> > Did YOU know that Mark's a chronic liar?
>
> I'm aware that you are.
>
> > Did YOU know that dyslexia is treatable?

Accroding to those reknown medcial experts Tom Cruise and L Ron Hubbard
it is cureable

IT is in fact treatable to some extent varing on the case

>
> Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> > Did YOU know that technology exists to allow him to correct his
> > sloppy, lazy writing style before ever hitting the "send" key, and that
> > in many cases it's a freeware item?
>
> Sloppy, lazy writing style??? We're talking about a disability here.

Break
>
> So we've got a guy who claims to be perfectly fit enough to kick
> anyone's ass on RRAP pulling down a disability check, and he's making
> fun of people with a real disability, calling them sloppy and lazy.

sorry Not questioning your facts just missing some?

What are you refering to here BB
>
> > One chronic liar supporting another...why am I not
> > surprised...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Because you're on anti-depressants?

sorry BB you blew that one Because he NEEDS them

813. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 19:25:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120937147.623862.12420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112173

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. (Brian P Burke, N0IMD) wrote:
> > >
> > > > an_old_friend (Mark C Morgan, KB9RQZ) wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
> > >
> > > > Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> > > > him "Brain"...
> > >
> > > he doesn't mind
> >
> > Sure he does.

BB say he oesn't

>
> > BTW nobody gotta love Stevie just because you say so
> >
> > And where did I say so, Markie?

never said you said it

you hacked up the post enough so that I not sure but I think BB said
you gotta love Stevie

I disagreed

I do disagree with more folks that just yourself Stevie

>
> > > > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > > > should have
> > >
> > > > Why?
> > >
> > > Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
> > > to use it if code is forbidden
> >
> > (A) It's not a "band". It's five discreet channels.

so?

>
> (B) The mode restriction is due to other adjacent channel users

> that we must dovetail with...Not due to any altruistic debate over
> Morse Code use or testing.

and I am as cappable as they are or you are of using USB on the bands
or channels or whatever you care to call em

since Morse Code is forbidden there there is NO logical reason that
Morse Code test should be required in order to operate there, or at
worst a tech liecnsee with CSSE for the general writen test, should be
able to operate there

>
> > > The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.
> >
> > So what?
> >
> > Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?
> >
> > There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more
>
> Sure there is.

Nope

>
> YOU need to read how it's worded.
>
> The international treat gives individual administrations the
> OPTION of requiring code testing.

yes I know that

but given the only legal barriar to assgining freqs was the treaty the
FCC was free to assign them to tech's

If they can do that then there is NO legal magic

Indeed as BB has pointed out Techs are allowed to operate below 30mhz
NOW which you claim is illegal

You go read the rules before you lecture others

>
> Guess what...?!?!
>
> The United States is in compliance with the treaty.

Never said otherwise

>
> > > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> > >

> > > Several points:
> > >
> > > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > > prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> > > stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
> > > strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> > > an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> > > accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
> > > any treaty.
> > >
> > > (2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
> > > much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
> > > rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
> > > with said treaty.
> >
> > so he should have defunct provision
>
> What? Huh?

defunct provision

>
> > grow up
>
> I have. And someday you will too. But not today, apparently.

nope you haven't

>
> > > > > > the United States STILL requires that an Amateur licensee to
> > > > > > > have passed Element 1 to operate below 30Mhz.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No it does not
> > > >
> > > > Yes, it does.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Oh?

nope
>
> Markie...You're either disagreeing for arguments sake, or you are
> again demonstrating your absolute ignorance of United States policy as
> it pertains to the Amateur Radio service.

niether of course I am disagreeing with YOUR despection of the LAWS of
the US

>
> Or just lying again.

nope

>
> > > > > it requires them to be tested on bands assigned to the
> > > > > general and extra bands
> > >
> > > Uh huh....Which are...?!?!
> >
> > well known to you. till they are avalable to me I'll not bother to
> > learn
>
> So...Got slapped around and now you gonna schlep away with your
> tail between your legs!

Nursie learn anatomy

I don't know or care except in general terms what HF bands exist at
present those rules will likely change before i make any use of them

>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !

crybaby

>
> > > BELOW 30MHZ ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > So what
>
> So you're patently wrong again.

No I am not

>
> > > QUICK, MARKIE! REVIEW TIME!
> > >
> > > What are the four exceptions that allow a "Technician" to act as
> > > control operator of an Amateur Radio station below 30MHz...?!?!?
> >
> > none that apply to me
>
> Absolutely correct.
>
> > and what I think you are referring isbad decision of the FCCto end
> > thech vs thech plus license
>
> They only eneded their admistrative tracking of it. The license,
> in practice, still exisits.

That is one way of looking at it.

BTW do you agree or disagree that whatever you said they did was a
mistake?

>
> > hopefully that mistake will be fixed
>
> The FCC has clarly demonstrated that they are all about "less"
> regulation and administrative burden...not more.

Then of course FCC should (from it's own point of view) go a one
license soloutaion
which would fix what I call a mistake

as would (as I understand the ARRL's proposal) the ARRL would fix this
by making all tech inclduing myself General License holder.

Thus I have hopes that this mistake will be fixed in some fashion

>
> > > And WHAT common thread do each of those exceptions have in common
> > > with the present Novice, General, Advanced and Extra class licensees?
> >
> > so?
>
> "so?" was the wrong answer. You lose.

no it wasn't but you don't know that
>
> > Now on to turth
>
> Turth? Is that near Intercourse, Pennsylvania?
>
> > Nothing prevents the FCC from allowing hambands anywhere in the
> > spectrum and assigning them to Tech class, and allowing techs without
> > code tests to use them
> >
> > NOTHING but tradition perhaps
>
> And nothing but it's current policy that takes advantage of treaty
> language that gives administrations the priviledge of determining their
> own course.

Current Policy? You have reason to believe that S25.2 will be put back
into something like it older form

The treaty is LAW a part of the hisest law of the Land in the US (to
forgeiners well YMMV)

>
> I DO agree that the FCC COULD have immediately enacted interim
> "policy" that could have allowed licensees who pass the higher class
> WRITTEN exams to exercise those privileges without the benefit of
> passing Element 1 until it had been "officially" dealt with.
>
> But they didn't, so it's still here. Oh well.

which misses the point of course

60m was a new (to us band or set of reqs or whatever) in setting the
rules they could have assigned to the tech class if they choose

>
> > > > Techs can use Morse Code on VHF and above. I knew one who did
> > > > Quitefine at it.
> > >
> > > Techs can use Morse Code on HF, too. But hey, I thought YOU
> > > insisted that SOMEone has chased all the Techs away...?!?!
> >
> > indeed he has you chase them away not all stay away
>
> I haven't chased anyone away, Markie. My VHF log has many, many
> NCT's on 6 and 2M SSB.

liar you chssed me of for awhile

>
> > > Another QuiteWrongLie it seems.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

814. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 19:42:32 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <IMVze.7845$HV1.2450@fed1read07>
Source record: 112174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<deneb@bobdbob.com> wrote in message
news:1120931022.491806.252830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I think this is the first time I've ever heard anyone talk about CB in
> an emergency communications context.

Have a look at

http://www.reactintl.org/

815. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 22:41:35 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <zoYze.1098$j21.959@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<deneb@bobdbob.com> wrote in message
news:1120931022.491806.252830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I think this is the first time I've ever heard anyone talk about CB in
> an emergency communications context.
>
> I guess the police still routinely monitor channel 9 just about
> everywhere, but just about every square inch of North America is
> covered by 2m repeaters, too. I can only remember one occasion when I
> had to make an emergency call from the road. I didn't have a cell phone
> or a CB handy, but I did have a 2m mobile, and I was able to get in
> touch with a ham who called the police and fire departments for me. The
> repeater was about 30 miles away, and the ham was 75 miles away, but
> the state police are local everywhere.
>
> I don't think ham radio has become irrelevant as a result of a few
> other services backing them up. You don't see too many CB'ers or cell
> phone enthusiasts conducting SETs or training with paramedics.
>
> You can't count on hams to tell you where the speed traps are either.
>

Actually, a lot has to do with the population density of a given area.

I suspect cb is much more useful in sparsely populated areas as you will
find few repeaters and even fewer hams. Of course, everyone (myself
included) love to give opinions on what works based upon their local
situation. Human nature, I suspect ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

816. Re: Emergency communications (Cell Phones)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 22:48:46 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120949325.971779.50300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112176

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Don't Count on Using Your Cell Phone for Disaster Rescue
> URL:
> http://www.pcworld.com/news/article/0,aid,121744,00.asp

"""
"If attacks similar to those that occurred earlier Thursday in London happened in the United States, most cell phone users would have trouble getting emergency crews to find them, according to experts."
"""

Jim says radio doesn't work underground. Do the experts know something that Jim doesn't know?

817. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 22:51:07 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120949467.944482.252860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112177

granteer@yahoo.com wrote:
> What does the "Cmd" in "Cmd Buzz Corey" stand for?

What does "Buzz" stand for?

818. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 23:07:47 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120950467.418810.135370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112178

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. (Brian P Burke, N0IMD) wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > an_old_friend (Mark C Morgan, KB9RQZ) wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Hmm Brain responding to a post i haven't seen yet
> > > >
> > > > Ya gotta love seeing Markie responding to Burke's posts calling
> > > > him "Brain"...
> > >
> > > he doesn't mind
> >
> > Sure he does.
>
> BB say he oesn't

The very, very small mind of Robeson thinks it's a baaad thing to call
me. He lies awake at night thinking of names to call people.

> > > BTW nobody gotta love Stevie just because you say so
> >
> > And where did I say so, Markie?
>
> never said you said it
>
> you hacked up the post enough so that I not sure but I think BB said
> you gotta love Stevie
>
> I disagreed
>
> I do disagree with more folks that just yourself Stevie

The prozac people gotta love Steve.

> > > > > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > > > > should have
> > > >
> > > > Why?
> > >
> > > Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
> > > to use it if code is forbidden
> >
```

> > (A) It's not a "band". It's five discreet channels.
>
> so?

Mark's logic is perfect. Steve's reply is not applicable.

> > (B) The mode restriction is due to other adjacent channel users
> > that we must dovetail with...Not due to any altruistic debate over
> > Morse Code use or testing.
>
> and I am as cappable as they are or you are of using USB on the bands
> or channels or whatever you care to call em
>
> since Morse Code is forbidden there there is NO logical reason that
> Morse Code test should be required in order to operate there, or at
> worst a tech liecnsee with CSSE for the general writen test, should be
> able to operate there

Ding, ding, ding! We have a winner!!!

> > > > The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.
> > >
> > > So what?
> > >
> > > Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?
> > >
> > > There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more
> >
> > Sure there is.
>
> Nope

It's all PFM for the followers of St. Hiram.

> > YOU need to read how it's worded.
> >
> > The international treat gives individual administrations the
> > OPTION of requiring code testing.
>
>
> yes I know that
>
> but given the only legal barriar to assgining freqs was the treaty the
> FCC was free to assign them to tech's
>
> If they can do that then there is NO legal magic
>
> Indeed as BB has pointed out Techs are allowed to operate below 30mhz
> NOW which you claim is illegal
>
> You go read the rules before you lecture others

Hmmm? I don't think so. Tech's with a 5WPM Farnsworth CSCE can.

> >
> > Guess what...?!?!
> >
> > The United States is in compliance with the treaty.
>
> Never said otherwise

I didn't see you say otherwise either.

> > > > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> > > >
> > > > Several points:
> > > >
> > > > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > > > prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> > > > stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
> > > > strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> > > > an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> > > > accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
> > > > any treaty.
> > > >
> > > > (2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
> > > > much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
> > > > rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
> > > > with said treaty.
> > >
> > > so he should have defunct provision
> >
> > What? Huh?
>
> defunct provision
>
> >
> > > grow up
> >
> > I have. And someday you will too. But not today, apparently.
>
> nope you haven't

He's the Dick Clark of Amateur Radio. The USA's most immature Extra.

819. Re: Brian P Burke Leaving More Damning Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 23:24:40 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120951480.160769.164310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112179

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Just as are the other records I've given you
> > > > > information on.
> > > >
> > > > You have no MARS records.
> > >
> > > And here we have clear evidence that supports my contention that
> > > one day your kids will see what a pathological liar you've been,
> > > Brain...
> >
> > Steve, anybody can have a MARS license and be inactive for years before
> > finally being removed from the record for non-participation. Such a
> > person may make claims to having held MARS calls, but should never make
> > claims of having held a leadership position in MARS.
>
> I didn't say I had a "leadership position in MARS"...
>
> I said I had a leadership position in a Marine Corps unit that
> supported the MARS program on Okinawa.

Support? billets? chow? laundry? Just how did you support the MARS
program?

Just as I suspected. You had absolutely nothing to do with MARS. No
wonder your ignorance vast ignorance shines through when you try to
speak authoratatively about MARS.

> > But you claimed to have held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa, and
> > you told us that you had no letter of appointment.
>
> Nope. Just my orders to MCB Camp Butler for temporary FAP duty to
> the MARS program.

Clerk? Runner? Mailboy?

> > Were you lying then or are you lying now?
>
> Neither.

Your stories just don't add up.

> > Your lack of knowledge of MARS indicates that you were:
> >
> > a. lying when you said that you held a leadership position in
> > MARS/Okinawa.
>
> Nope.
>
> > and
> >
> > b. not lying when you said you had no letter of appointment because
> > not having such a letter goes hand in hand with not having such a
> > leadership position.
> >
> > So are you now claiming that you do have such a letter?
>
> No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.

In your own words, not having the paperwork means you didn't do it.

> Nor do I have a copy of my orders to boot camp from the processing
> station, nor do I have a currrent copy of my transfer to my last duty
> station.

You sure lack a lot.

> But those duty stations are part of the records I've refered you
> to but you are too cowardly to write and find out about.
>
> Over and over.

Mr. Robeson, it is yours to prove. Remember, if you can't prove your
assertions it means it didn't happen. And that you're a liar. Your
words, your rules, your logic.

> > Hi!
>
> Hello yourself.

Hi yourself.

> If that was supposed to be laughter, just remember, we're laughing
> AT you, not WITH you, Brain.

We? You are all alone in this.

> > > Assuming they didn't find out sooner anyway...
> >
> > The sad truth is that they'll realize that there are bad people in the
> > world, and their father's role was to protect them from it.
>
> The sad truth is they are going to read.

Indeed.

Doctor Robeson, heal thyself.

820. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 23:26:31 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wuSdnU_kIN24wE3fRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 112180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> Actually, a lot has to do with the population density of a given area.
>
> I suspect cb is much more useful in sparsely populated areas as you will
> find few repeaters and even fewer hams. Of course, everyone (myself
> included) love to give opinions on what works based upon their local
> situation. Human nature, I suspect ;)

50 watts and a 5/8 wave antenna does real good on VHF.
A lot better then one would assume unless you've tried it.

It took me two months to realize that I could hit places on VHF
that one could only dream of on 27 MHz.

I still use my CB. I also use my 2 meter.

Now, if our Gov't would get on the WRC03 bandwagon...

821. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-09 23:41:13 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120952473.236444.326580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112181

an_old_friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
> > > > > 'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
> > > >
> > > > Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?
> > >
> > > Did YOU know that Mark's a chronic liar?
> >
> > I'm aware that you are.
> >
> > > Did YOU know that dyslexia is treatable?
>
> Accroding to those reknown medcial experts Tom Cruise and L Ron Hubbard
> it is cureable
>
> IT is in fact treatable to some extent varing on the case
>
> >
> > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >
> > > Did YOU know that technology exists to allow him to correct his
> > > sloppy, lazy writing style before ever hitting the "send" key, and that
> > > in many cases it's a freeware item?
> >
> > Sloppy, lazy writing style??? We're talking about a disability here.
>
> Break
> >
> > So we've got a guy who claims to be perfectly fit enough to kick
> > anyone's ass on RRAP pulling down a disability check, and he's making
> > fun of people with a real disability, calling them sloppy and lazy.
>
> sorry Not questioning your facts just missing some?
>
> What are you refering to here BB

Steve claims a disability retirement from the DoD.

> > > One chronic liar supporting another...why am I not
> > > surprised...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Because you're on anti-depressants?
>
> sorry BB you blew that one Because he NEEDS them

Steve needs all of the Super High Intensity Therapy that he can get.

822. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 00:22:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <iTZze.1104$j21.648@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:wuSdnU_klN24wE3fRVn-vg@comcast.com...
> > Actually, a lot has to do with the population density of a given area.
> >
> > I suspect cb is much more useful in sparsely populated areas as you will
> > find few repeaters and even fewer hams. Of course, everyone (myself
> > included) love to give opinions on what works based upon their local
> > situation. Human nature, I suspect ;)
>
>
> 50 watts and a 5/8 wave antenna does real good on VHF.
> A lot better then one would assume unless you've tried it.
>
> It took me two months to realize that I could hit places on VHF
> that one could only dream of on 27 MHz.
>
> I still use my CB. I also use my 2 meter.
>
> Now, if our Gov't would get on the WRC03 bandwagon...

Hello, Scott

50 watts into a 5/8 wave would likely fetch you 80 or 100 miles (I'm
guessing here) on ssb or cw. You are starting to get into scatter a bit.
Of course, I suspect ssb stations (and cw) are very likely to be
horizontally polarized whilst fm is invariably vertical polarization. Using
the wrong polarization can result in a hefty loss.

Several hundred and a beam and you'd likely cover a couple of hundred miles
24/7 due to scatter. The signal won't be strong far out, but would be fine
on ssb or cw.

Of course, keeping the cb *and* the ham radio give you even more choices ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

823. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 00:37:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120955849.579807.53910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112183

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > You'd have to show me where I've insulted your father, Markie...As
> > > > > a matter of fact, I seem to remember where I praised him for not
> > > > > holding a pillow over your head when you were an infant
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > you just admitted to insulting by repating one of them
> > >
> > > I didn't admit anything.
> >
> > you
>
> What?
>
> > > I said I praised him.
> >
> > and according to him your words were insulting to him
>
> I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
> father yourself?

My father has read your words
>
> That would explain a lot of things...

nope

>
> > > Your "problems" are complete and utter contempt for the people
> > > reading your stuff, Mark. Most people do make OCCASSIONAL typos, and
> > > we can all understand those.
> >
> > still a hypoctrite
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> > you don't even check your admission for of typos for typos
>
> There's no typo in that paragraph, Mark.

yes there was you edited it here

>
> > OTOH you do have point I have a complete and utter contempt for those
> > people reading my stuff most closely. First on the that list is your
> > Name these days.
>
> I have a fix for that...

yea I bet you do

>
> Just stop writing this trash and I won't have to show you what an
> idiot you are.

Lair you said I do that myself which you are not doing that

>
> > Anything you want is more likely to get the opposite than your desired
> > result, and I certainly am not spending an effort on plesing you
>
> Thank God for that. I'd hate to be plesed, whatever that is..

always knew you a soul unhappy fellow, nice to have it confirmed

>
> > Grow up, get over it, move on
>
> Get over what?

get over your obsession with me

>
> That you're an idiot?
>
> How can I? You keep reproving it in every post you make.

you know what repoving means stevie
I don't think so

>
> > and please stop beating the dead equine
>
> Then stop posting. Everytime you post you prove the "equine"
> isn't dead!

at last you admit your goal censorship, a crimal intent BTW

>
> > > Yours are in almost every sentence.
> >

> > I deny almost ervy sentence but tha is a quibble
>
> BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! !
> ! ! !

cry baby
>
> > I don't deny making typos
> >
> > I don't deny making LOTS of typos
> >
> > Grow up, get over it, move on
>
> Nope.

Indeed admitng that you will not grow up Not surprised a, little
disapointed

>
> Get a spellchecker.
>
> Get Hooked On Phonics.

nope

>
> Try to at least LOOK like an adult in writing.

keep your stories staight i can't

>
> > and please stop beating the dead equine
>
> But the equine keeps posting.

and the ass keeps kicking

>
> > > You also have extremely poor grammar and sentence construction.
> >
> > not realy stevie, it is as other have agreed that I treat this medium
> > DIFFERENTLY than you.
>
> No...you are an idoiot.

prehaps, prehaps not but still I treat the medium differently as is my
RIGHT

>
> > I don't see the Usenet as truely a written form sinc it is not
> > inteneded for any formal purpose.
>
> What you are is too lazy to fix your problem and foist it off on
> the rest of us.

if you like
>
> > I see it as more akin to spoken engligh
>
> God forbid you talk like you write.

what has religion got to do with this

>
> > Indeed I seem to recall other agreeing that reading my aloud and
> > lsitening to it often clears things up
>
> No, it doesn't.

have you tried?

>
> But it does get me rolling on the floor laughing ! ! !

I don't that more hyperbole at beest a lie at worst

>
> > You can choose to do this or not
> >
> > but you lack the right to dictate what I must do
>
> I'm not dictating anything.

yes you are

>
> > > It's got nothing to do with dyslexia. It's got everything to do
> > > with WANTING to be "special".
> >
> > Nope
>
> Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
> is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
> become too easy for you to be weak.

Stevie I am not the one taking money of the public dole and go off
pretending how macho i am
>
> > Defferent perhaps. indeed if you are Normal Stevie I don't want it,
> > would turn it down if offered
>
> No. Lazy.

No I would turn down normal if offered if you are normal I want no part
of it

>
> > Your concerns as near as I can see them disgust me.
>
> Too bad. I think you need help.

fine you can think that all you like

>
> > > Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> > > on several levels.
> >
> > he says he has
>
> And judging by his bankroll and popularity, I'd say he has.

Dyslexia does not affect acting skill which Tom has

that you think it is conceted shows you ignorance and likely your
bigotry

>
> > Ok I am lazy on several levels
>
> Many levels.

ageed get over it

>
> > Lazy is not a crime
>
> Nope, it's not.

mighty generous of you

>
> > If you feel it is chacter flaw the to you it is
>
> What?

ageeing with you as to your point of view even that isn't enough for
stevie

>
> > Grow up, get over it, move on
>
> Nope. You need to fix this. I'll keep on you as long as you post
> in this forum and obviously need the encouragement to fix your problem.

no I don't you need to get a life

I have no problems in need of fixing. I am fine just the way I am

>
> > and please stop beating the dead equine
>
> I can't. You keep raising your head back up.

and you keep kicking

>
> > > Where did I say anything about paganism?

> >
> > Never said you did
>
> Oh? You've accused me several times this week as "bashing" your
> religion.

in that post you had not said anything about pgan sim and i pointed
that out now you fraudulently hack the post to take it out of context

Fraud

>
> Are you now admitting that that was yet ANOTHER of your lies,
> Mark?

nope

>
> > > > > > God please protect me form your (alleged) followers
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Who's alleged to follow what?
> > > > >
> > > > > You have alleged to be christian
> > > >
> > > No...
> > >
> > > I've said that I adhere to many Christian principles. I have not
> > > said I am Christian.
> >
> > HMM i thought you had still
>
> Had still what?
>
> > but do you deny being christain?
>
> Of course I deny being Christain. I am Steve.

evading again?

>
> Idiot.
>
> > > > and it was Bull##### not bull####
> > >
> > > I choose to not wilfully recite your profanity, Mark.
> >
> > No you choose to alter my words
> >
> > ##### is not profanity
>
> Sure it is.

nope is isn't it is a vulgarity

>
> Walk up to a Priest or Minster and tell them you need to take a
> ####

why?

>
> > #### is ####
>
> No...YOU are ####

more name calling and you can't even calll me the name

grow up

>
> > > It's easy enough to show people what an idiot you are without it.
> >
> > then do so without forging my words into soemthing else
>
> I haven't. I simply choose to not wilfully repeat your profanity.

nope you are altering my post and others

>
> If anyone notices where I have "pound signed" your profanities,
> they may, on their own volition, page back to see what it was you said.

you have still misquoted me

>
> > > > > And yes, you require guidance.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope esp not yours
> > > >
> > > Yes...Anyone's. You need help. More than I could ever offer.
> >
> > realy another lie.
>
> Nope.

have to admit it you were right when you said More than you could
offer, you have none to give therefore...

>
> > Anyone's Help? Ole Jeffery Dahmer perhaps?
>
> If you can dig him up and resuscitate him, sure.

more venom?
>
> Maybe some cooking tips.

ok Stevie you need help

>
> > > Anyone who admits, boastfully so, that he's a prolific liar needs
> > > help.
> >
> > IYO just becuase you say it don't make it so
>
> It's not because "I" said it...

yes it is

>
> It's because you need help.

nope I don't

>
> > Let me quote from again from lost in space, "don't mistake my deception
> > for a character flaw it is a philosical choice, a result of a profund
> > understandering of the universe works"or words to that effect
>
> "Lost in Space" is fiction.

so it is nice line
>
> Like you being a Colonel in the US Army.

prehaps so your point?

>
> And more evidence that you need help.

nope
>
> > perhaps by your standards I am in fact EVIL. I however am entitled to
> > be evil in your eyes if I choose, that is what the first amendments
> > means in part. It is something YOU SWORE an oath to uphold
>
> There's nothing in my Oath as a Marine that is compromised by
> calling you an idiot or hoping you'll seek help.

but it is a violation of your oath to harass or stalk me

>
> NOTHING in my Oath said "...and you must tolerate pathological
> liars without comment"

niether did it say you may not abuse the disabled but that is a
disgrace to your oath

>
> > Fine go ahead and think that
>
> I think you need help.

fine I know that

>
> The only other persons in here who DON'T think you need help are
> themsleves documented liars. Even the anonies see you for what you
> are.

fine the other folks you call liarsfine decent company

>
> > > Correct.
> > >
> > > You do not see the consequences of your actions.
> >
> > You know this how?
>
> Because you keep on doing it.

how?

>
> > I foresaw most of what has been happening (in wide
> > strokes) since i returned to the newsgroup Made a couple of mistakes
> > but that is ok One was assmuing it would take you more than a few hours
> > to start up your gay bashing again, but everyone makes mistakes
>
> No...Every post you make is strewn with mistakes...Not only your
> utter rape of the English language, but your obvious loss of
> situational awareness with reality.

Yes and what you are saying has nothing to do with

BTw I did know was going to make mistakes in my posts

none of this shit says I did not forsee this in general terms

You have hardly suprised me nor has nayone else realy I knew what would
happen when I came and it has pretty much has gone as I guessed

>
> > > If you did, you'd correct your behaviour.
> >
> > One, MY behaviour IS correct by the only standard that matter to me,
> > mine
>
> I am sure that Bundy, Gacy, McVeigh and Dahmer thought that too...

yea

I even admire McViegh to a point he put his beliefs out on the line
pretty much

and Stveie thinks also I must live to his standards

>
> But see what it got them...?!?!

well Gacy seem to have met his needs for years not that familer ith
Bundy, Mcvigh I have addressed and Dahmer met his needs for his
lifetime for themselves they could have done worse

but putting me in there class is showing how sick you are

>
> > Two, everything is proceeding much as I expected it to
>
> You mean the part where eveeryone points a finger at you and
> laughs uproariously?

not everyone but yes

>
> > Can't you get it through your head that I am different than you.
>
> Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh nooooooooooooooooooo....I get that part
> juuuuuuuuuuuuust fine!

good toook you long enough

>
> > Are you so narrow minded that you can't conceive that any would look at the
> > world in other ways than you? I guess so, and then you have the nerve
> > to call ME narrow minded
>
> I don't tolerate liars.

then go deal with yourself

>
> You're not only a liar, but an admitted liar.

yep

>
> Your only plus is that you admit you're lying, which puts you
> about 1/2 of a tenth of a percent over Lennie and Brian Burke.

fine so go bother Lennie

>
> > > You are in desperate need of adult supervision.
> >
> > IYO
>
> In my PROFESSIONAL opinion.

you have no professional opinion Nursie

>
> > Grow up, get over it, move on
> >
> > and please stop beating the dead equine
>

> Then stop raising your head.

no one is making you kick

>
> > > > My latest guess as to which puppeteer you are refering to
> > >
> > > What? Huh?
> >
> > you said I was a puppet I am still trying to figure out who you think
> > is pulling the strings?
>
> You don't know? I do!

well it is not true so of course I don't know

>
> More junk snipped.
>
> > > > > > Nope. What I need is to see liars and misfits like you and Brian
> > > > > > P Burke dealt with in some fashion.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why?
> > > >
> > > > Because it's the right thing to do...Like stopping little old
> > > > ladies from being mugged or reporting drunk drivers.
> > >
> > > Harassing people lying about them stalking them is the right thing to
> > > do
> >
> > I'm not harrassing you, nor am I lying about you. Nor am I

You are harrrasing and lieing baout not harassing me

> stalking you. You came to this forum of your own volition and act the

you are stalking me. I have the right to come here I don't need your
permission

> way you do of that same volition.

>
> > > > > and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It binds
> > > > > YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,
> > > > > guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"
> > > >
> > > > My Oath to the Constitution of the United States has nothing to do
> > > > with pointing a finger and calling a liar a liar, Mark.
> > >
> > > No it doesn't but that was the point of course.
> >
> > Of course it's the point, but not what you intended.

which means you are admiting to distorting my point and taking it out
of context

>
> > It is about respecting the religous diversity of the nation.
>
> I do.

no you don't

>
> > It is about upholding the rule of law, not vigilantism
>
> What vigilantism?

yours

>
> > > And you are, by your own admssion, a liar.
> >
> > Grow up, get over it, move on
> >
> > and please stop beating the dead equine
>
> Nope.
>
> You're a liar. It needs to stop.

Why becuase you say so

>
> > > You've even reproved it numerous times in the last 24 hours.
> >
> > Repoved an odd use of the word
>
> Not "repoved". "REPROVED"

so spelling cop
>
> > > And you'll never know what "Semper Fi" stands for...Oh yes, I know
> > > you can cite the translation, but you'll never know what it is to be
> > > one of the Band of Brothers.
> >
> > But I do
>
> No, you don't.

yes I do
>
> > > Never.
> >
> > always
>
> Not in THIS life, Markie!

well that is a metaphyscal matter not suited to this newsgroup

but I know damm well even in this life

>
> > > > But you do you spin pages out of your deciding that a word must men
> > > > just what you want it to mean.
> > >
> > > Never have done that, Markie!
> >
> > Bull#####
>
> Nope.

Forger fraud cheat
and lair you spin out pages of bullshit

>
> YOU are the one who picks and chooses "definitions".

Of course I pick definaition when I am writing
>
> > > YOU have...ie: "drafted".
> > >
> > > You never did adequately explain your meaning of "drafted". I, of
> > > course, gave you the true definition as it pertains to the Armed
> > > Forces, whcih YOU were alleging membership in...
> >
> > yes I did
>
> Then you just lied again.

nope

I did this years ago several times Indeed noone had the slightest
trouble but you understanding

>
> > > So let's hear it...
> >
> > Reatined In any service involontary, or having a reserve activation
> > used as a threat to in negoating compesatiion, or haveing legal force
> > used on a person to force their consent to something
>
> You are NOT "retained" in service involuntarily by being drafted.
> To be "retained" you have to already be there.

I was and am for that matter

>
> You've NEVER been there. The closest you'll ever get is buying an
> old military uniform at the local "Army/Navy" store.

I am so glad you think so. I realy am makes me safer, and my family
safer

>
> You do not "negotiate" compensation in the Armed Forces. They

No you negoataite compensation as a civilian more distortionon your
part

> establish a pay scale approved by COngress with certain other
> occassional bonuses for enlsitment, re-enlistment or specialty, but you
> either take what they offer or you don't.

and none of which has anything to do with what I was talking about

using reserve status and threat to reactive in active duty and assugn
me the job if I don't do it as a civilian at thier offer of pay

>
> And the draft does not "force" your "consent". You have the
> option, with consequences, to refuse to be drafted.

That is mighty generous of you to admit you have imporved over the
years I guess

>
> You were never a member of, nor are you now a Veteran of, the
> Armed Forces of the United States.

go ahead think that

>
> But you ARE a liar.

Indeed I am
does anyone in the newsgroup not know it?

>
> > > > go look up the Fetish Watersports, and don't complain about being asked
> > > > since you also complain about sexual and/or kinky content in here
> > >
> > > What I am trying to figure out is how you worked that in to the
> > > conversation...I certainly didn't and neither did anyone else I see.
> >
> > Yellow snow is ####on on snow
>
> Urine.

indeed urinin Piss pee what have you, I at least am not so afraid of
mere words as you

>
> > If you are that clueless I give up
>
> Voiding in the snow is not a "Fetish Watersport".

clueless

totaly clueless

>
> > Can't even satify you by being polite
>
> If you were, I might be. But you're not.

you never have been statifed
>
> > > > > > yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will hear, as I heard
> > > > > > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> > > > > > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> > > > > > there
> > > > > >
> > > > > BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! ! ! ! ! !
! !
> > > > >
> > > > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!
> > > >
> > > > Right
> > >
> > > More lies, Markie...Or at least paranoid delusions.
> >
> > prove it
>
> Sure.
>
> QUOTE: yep, places where if you do something (serious) I will
> hear, as I heard
> > > > > > of someone making calls to the Illiopolis PD (maybe you maybe ole MD
> > > > > > maybe someone else)trying to get the address that went with the PoB
> > > > > > there UNQUOTE
>
> You posted pic's of your house in Illiopolis on your Geocities web
> page, Mark. How long do you thik it would take anyone to find you in a
> town the size of Illiopolis?

in point of fact quite awhile, you ever seen the houses in that town

but more proof that you have been stalking me, that website has offline
for years and your still know about

checking out my house? and you claim not to be a stalker

>
> But your "Illiopolis PD" story was imaginative, anyway!

and you claimed to have proven that none called them asking the
question

your admissions have nothing to do with the call

>
> > > > an Oathbreaker (your service oath to the constitution)
> > >
> > > I dare you to recite that oath in here, Mark, and then provide

> > > some even remote substantiation of your claim.
> >
> > I dare you? what next you will double dog dare me
>
> Nope. If I put two dogs in one room, you'd probably be doing
> something unnatural with one of them and offering the other up for
> sacrifice.

more gay bashing and more pagan bashing

>
> > I<name>sware to uphold and defend the constitution of the US and the to
> > obey the offers put over me etc
> >
> > You derespect the Constitution.
>
> You're a liar, Mark.

Ture you disrespect the constituion

>
> > You deny the rights of other to practice their faith unmoslested by you
>
> Who? When? Where?

right there your words a few line up

> Nope. If I put two dogs in one room, you'd probably be doing
> something unnatural with one of them and offering the other up for
> sacrifice.

>
> I've never "moslested" you at all. Nor would I.

you have, and do daily

>
> > > Look it up, idiot. What were you saying about "carrying
> > > baggage"...?!?!
> >
> > but yo knwo I am lazy stevie you said so yourself
>
> You've gotten one right today, Mark. Congratulations.

thank you

>
> > > > But you lie I never insinulated your father was a thief I stated quite
> > > > plainly that one of your
> > > > male forefathers was a thief
> > >
> > > Lies, lies, lies, Markie.
> >
> > IT is not a lie that I have stated one of your male forefathers was a

> > thief
>
> Nope.
>
> Quick English Comprehension class, Mark.
>
> By calling me "Robber's Son" you made my father the possessive
> object.

I did not do that BB did

I called you RoberSon

>
> Not my grandfather, nor great-grandfather, nor any other person in
> my DNA string...Just my father.

You have taken it up with the wroung fellow Stevie

BB did the Rober'sSon thing

>
> > > I have only one father. He's deceased. His Amateur call was
> > > N8DOS and it's still on QRZ. I dare you to get his name and run it
> > > through NCIC
> >
> > ah NCIC now get it
> >
> > Forefather not 4 fathers you idoit
>
> I think it's IRONIC that everytime you TRY to call me an "IDIOT",
> you spell it wrong.

It is ironic but i don't do it everytime, and you know it and you still
lie about it

>
> > Your ancestors if you now that word
>
> Do what now?
>
> > but I never said he was the theif
>
> Sure you did.

nope wroung guy

get your facts straight

>
> What's a "robber" if not a thief?

nothing but you blew it about 10 lines ago

>
> > merely that either several generations back when your family got the
> > anme His father was a thief
>
> None of them apply since that's not the geneaology of the family
> name.
>
> > that is how names were given in english (and most other langs)
>
> But not Robeson.

check your facts

>
> > MacNiel starts as son of Neil,
> >
> > Cooperson is son of a copper (barrelmaker)
>
> Son of a copper what? A copper barrel?
>
> > > > Roberson or robeson are coruptions of RobberSon look it up in the work
> > > > of the Brothers Grim
> > >
> > > The "Brothers Grim" wrote tales.
> >
> > they recoded fairy tales and that is what they are best known for but
> > Like, Heddy Lamar was best known as actress not an inventor, they were
> > also linguists and wote most of the basic work in that field
>
> But their writings, and what YOU cited, were tales.

No idoot they wrote down tales and they were linguists something youd
know if you were educated

I did not cite their fairy tales Stevie, I cite their sholarly studies
of language

>
> Period.

idoit

>
> > > "Robeson" is not a corruption of "Robberson"
> >
> > Prove it
>
> Your assertion, Markie...YOU prove it.

you are the one asserting here that Robeson is not a corruption of
Robberson you prove it

with your name SS#number I can't prove or disprove it in your case but
only in general

Besides is it so bad to suggest that you had a Robber in your family

My but you are touchy

>
> > > Perhaps you should be doing your research somewhere other than in
> > > children's fairey tales...
> >
> > Maybe you should know what you are talking about
>
> I do.

nope you don't

>
> And I know you should be doing your research some place other than
> from fairey tales of the middle ages.

I am but you you are so narrown minded to assume that the recorders of
fairy tales can do nothing else that you missed it

>
> > > > > If you want to see "garbage", re-read all of the posts under your
> > > > > own hand...THERE'S garbage.
> > > > >
> > > > > nO don't want to see garbe which maybe I should stop reading your posts
> > > >
> > > > There's more of that very same garbage, Mark.
> > > >
> > > > Spellchecker.
> > > >
> > > > Hooked On Phonics
> > > >
> > > > Get them both...Use them.
> > >
> > > To please you Never
> >
> > It's not about pleasing me.

yes it is

>
> It's about pretending you're an adult.

I don't need to pretend. I am an adult, if in your opinion I am not
acting like one. fine I don't mind your thinking what you please. I
defend your right to be a jerk.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

824. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 00:39:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120955941.902174.163320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112184

```
b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > And you can't can't spell 'about' or 'return' or 'blowing' or
> > > > > > 'conspiracy'. And what is 'are complain', do you mean 'are complaining'?
> > > > >
> > > > > Did you know that Mark is dyslexic?
> > > >
> > > > Did YOU know that Mark's a chronic liar?
> > >
> > > I'm aware that you are.
> > >
> > > > Did YOU know that dyslexia is treatable?
> >
> > Accroding to those reknown medcial experts Tom Cruise and L Ron Hubbard
> > it is cureable
> >
> > IT is in fact treatable to some extent varing on the case
> >
> > >
> > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> > >
> > > > Did YOU know that technology exists to allow him to correct his
> > > > sloppy, lazy writing style before ever hitting the "send" key, and that
> > > > in many cases it's a freeware item?
> > >
> > > Sloppy, lazy writing style??? We're talking about a disability here.
> >

Break
> > >
> > > So we've got a guy who claims to be perfectly fit enough to kick
> > > anyone's ass on RRAP pulling down a disability check, and he's making
> > > fun of people with a real disability, calling them sloppy and lazy.
> >
> > sorry Not questioning your facts just missing some?
> >
> > What are you refering to here BB
>
```

> Steve claims a disability retirement from the DoD.

Thank you

so Stevie is a double dipping welfare queen

>
> > > > One chronic liar supporting another...why am I not
> > > > surprised...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Because you're on anti-depressants?
> >
> > sorry BB you blew that one Because he NEEDS them
>
> Steve needs all of the Super High Intensity Therapy that he can get.

a good thing for him he can't be forced to take it

825. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 00:40:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120956021.704813.261280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112185

b.b. wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "b.b." on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 15:28
> >
> >
> > >Leo wrote:
> > >> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
> > >>
> > >> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
> > >>
> > >> 73, Leo
> > >
> > >Jim ran the entire text through his DaVinci Code Reader. The part
> > >snipped spelled out K5TIT, so he very well couldn't have quoted it.
> >
> > Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of women? <gasp>
>
> I think his DaVinci Code reader malfunctioned.
>
> > I wonder if all those "children he parented" (implied) were
> > by Immaculate Conception?
>
> I think that Jim was bottle fed.
>
> > >Rest assured that the LaLeche reLay League has been informed.
> >
> > Ah! The organization in Newington, the one with the "milk
> > of ham-an kindness?" :-)
> >
> > "Polish, polish, polish he goes, and where he stops, nobody
> > knows..." <with some hat tips to Major Bowes' Amateur Hour>
> >
> > bit bit
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

break

>
> When the Mad LaLeche reLay League Mothers discover all of the K5TIT
> haters in here...

oh that could be painfull to watch

826. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 01:57:37 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <3FPDRBM738543.1650115741@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 112186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1120843605.488379.268920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"n9ogl" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> whined from AT&T:
>
>
>
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > In article
<1120778147.892095.32...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "n9ogl" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > surprised about what????
> >
> > Surprised that AT&T hasn't booted your gay faggot ass,
Toadie!
> >
> > 12.156.123.39
> >
> > 12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
> > AT&T WorldNet Services
> > AT&T
> > 200 S. LAUREL AVE.
> > MIDDLETOWN, NJ
> > US
> >
> > Kostick, Deirdre
> > h...@ip.att.net
> > +1-919-319-8249
> >
> > 12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
> > COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINES
> > 1100 SPORTSMAN DR
> > TAYLORVILLE, IL
> > US
> >
> > Vocks, Adam
> > A...@ctitech.com
> > +1-217-824-6398
>
> I'm sure you or one of your buddies on here will sent them
lies and
> have booted off again showing everyone what total creeps you
really

> are,

Cry you little four eyed faggot, cry, you fucking little geek.
Some were already sent in, queer boy.

> and FYI I am not gay and I'll find out who you are and sue you
for
> slander.

Toad, you can't even move out of your fucking parents' basement.

Toadie sobered up briefly and whines an afterthought in
<1120845023.988000.146690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>....not to mention harassment...

Shit, Toad, wait until copies of your posts are introduced as
evidence. What will you cry about then?

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

827. Todd, meet me on Echolink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 03:41:12 UTC
From: Wogie The Anon Squirrel <"Not Roger"@anonremailer>
Message-ID: <daq5b2$3tm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112187

Todd, meet me on Elkolink as soon as you can. I have inside infiormation about Saggy Lee that I can share and I think you will interesdedt in. I also have names and address and records for others that you can post.

828. Why does the ARRL seem to NOT want hams to join?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 04:02:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120968167.407949.10260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112188

I am not currently a meber of the ARRL. The ARRL sent me a letter trying to get me to join.

First thing, they don't me by Name, they use my Call letters as if they were name, they are not

Summer asks that if you have "ways the ARRL has let you down to tel them

Tried about a week ago still not even a response of a form note to my email

You know this is not the way for the ARRL to get someone to join.

(Stevie you can save your typing I already know what you are likely to say)

829. Re: Why does the ARRL seem to NOT want hams to join?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 04:55:17 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <VS1Ae.20412$eM6.11582@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112189

an_old_friend wrote:

> I am not currently a meber of the ARRL. The ARRL sent me a letter
> trying to get me to join.
>
> First thing, they don't me by Name, they use my Call letters as if they
> were name, they are not
>

It's probably a very nerdy thing we do, but most hams adopt
their callsign as a name of sorts. Of course used only in
ham radio circles. Also it makes a unique username for
accounts on ISPs, email accounts and internet forums.

830. Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 05:27:25 UTC
From: Who Needs The ARRL? <JIm@GuessWho>
Message-ID: <daqbi7$5k0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

The ARRL is not a necessary institution these days. It is self-propagating, demands hefty dues and represents less than half of the licensed Amateurs in the United States.
Besides, what does one get for the $40.00 per annum (or is it more?) fee? A glossy magazine rife with advertisements? Admission to 'private' sections of the ARRL web site? A monthly 'bulletin' sent to your email address? (more spam)
Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if the FCC has ever given that much credence.

I guess there are still some old warhorses out and about who feel that it is a mark of distinction to have the ARRL logo on their QSL cards...especially those who boast of being part of the elite few, the proud, the Life Members.

One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better things, such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice the elitism.
The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak with those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the elitism.

CQ Contest, anyone?

831. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 06:01:46 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3uadncYj0uBVJE3fRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 112191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> 50 watts into a 5/8 wave would likely fetch you 80 or 100 miles (I'm
> guessing here) on ssb or cw. You are starting to get into scatter a bit.
> Of course, I suspect ssb stations (and cw) are very likely to be
> horizontally polarized whilst fm is invariably vertical polarization. Using
> the wrong polarization can result in a hefty loss.
>
> Several hundred and a beam and you'd likely cover a couple of hundred miles
> 24/7 due to scatter. The signal won't be strong far out, but would be fine
> on ssb or cw.

I can get more distance with 50 watts VHF-FM then I do with a 2x455 box on 27 MHz local. With conditions, 27 MHz will work wonders. With tropo, I've worked 100 miles on VHF with the mobile antenna. With my portable 3 element beam, I did almost 200 miles.

There is a guy in DC that works FM horizontal. I can tell he's in there when I'm vertical. Since I can flip my beam 90 degrees, I can work him horizontal. What a difference 20db can make!

> Of course, keeping the cb *and* the ham radio give you even more choices ;)

Nothing like 19 on the highway, when the drivers aren't cussing too much.

832. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 10:23:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120990990.528497.251070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112192

an_old_friend wrote:

> > But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
> > reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
> > discreet frequencies.
>
> so and BTW if he had bottherd to answer in their the real question I
> would not have rebuked him

There was nothing to rebuke.

He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a
gambling man but I bet Hans doesn't help you again.

Big snip. Usual abuse of The King's English.

> > > > There's nothing to defy but logic, Mark. And you certainly do
> > > > that.
> > >
> > > If you care to say that sure fine
> > >
> > > Don't make it true
> >
> > My "saying it" doesn't make it true...Your being illogical does.
>
> No it can't

Sure it does.

You act illogically. Repeatedly.

> Something has to true to be true.

Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.

Witness the following:

"Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
illogical"

Steve, K4YZ

833. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 11:06:11 UTC
From: "Joe S." <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <daqver022hg@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 112195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Who Needs The ARRL?" <JIm@GuessWho> wrote in message
news:daqbi7$5k0$0@pita.alt.net...
> The ARRL is not a necessary institution these days. It is
self-propagating,
> demands hefty dues and represents less than half of the licensed Amateurs
in
> the United States.
> Besides, what does one get for the $40.00 per annum (or is it more?) fee?
A
> glossy magazine rife with advertisements? Admission to 'private' sections
of
> the ARRL web site? A monthly 'bulletin' sent to your email address? (more
> spam)
> Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if
the
> FCC has ever given that much credence.
>
> I guess there are still some old warhorses out and about who feel that it
is
> a mark of distinction to have the ARRL logo on their QSL
cards...especially
> those who boast of being part of the elite few, the proud, the Life
Members.
>
> One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better
things,
> such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
> wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
> Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice
the
> elitism.
> The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak
with
> those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
> elitism.
>
> CQ Contest, anyone?
>
>
>
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
||
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 +10dB +20dB

```
Troll-O-Meter

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
||
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 +10dB +20dB
Dumbass-O-Meter
```

834. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 11:22:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120994534.234631.80700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112193

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

Lot's of snips to clip out most of Mark's sandlot one word
responses to lengthy reposts.

> > I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
> > father yourself?
>
> My father has read your words

I doubt it.

> > Just stop writing this trash and I won't have to show you what an
> > idiot you are.
>
> Lair you said I do that myself which you are not doing that

Lair?

And do you mind breaking that down from Markiesque to English?

> get over your obsession with me

You flatter yourself, Markie.

On several levels, too!

> > > I deny almost ervy sentence but tha is a quibble
> >
> > BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! !
> > ! ! !
>
> cry baby

Nope. Laughing. But I am sure that you're used to people laughing
at you.

> > Get a spellchecker.
> >
> > Get Hooked On Phonics.
>
> nope

Lazy, apathetic punk.

> > Try to at least LOOK like an adult in writing.
>
> keep your stories staight i can't

What's "staight"...?!

What stories?

> > Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
> > is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
> > become too easy for you to be weak.
>
> Stevie I am not the one taking money of the public dole and go off
> pretending how macho i am

"Public dole"..?!?!

Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.

> > > > Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> > > > on several levels.
> > >
> > > he says he has
> > >
> > And judging by his bankroll and popularity, I'd say he has.
>
> Dyslexia does not affect acting skill which Tom has

Sure it does.

> that you think it is conceted shows you ignorance and likely your
> bigotry

"conceted"...?!?!

What bigotry?

> > Nope. You need to fix this. I'll keep on you as long as you post
> > in this forum and obviously need the encouragement to fix your problem.
>
> no I don't you need to get a life

Huh?

> I have no problems in need of fixing. I am fine just the way I am

Yes you do and no you aren't.

> > > and please stop beating the dead equine
> > >
> > I can't. You keep raising your head back up.
>
> and you keep kicking

Obviously not hard enough.

> > Oh? You've accused me several times this week as "bashing" your
> > religion.
>
> in that post you had not said anything about pgan sim and i pointed
> that out now you fraudulently hack the post to take it out of context
>
> Fraud

What's "pgan sim"...?!?!

A new on-line virtual reality thing?

Otherwiise you're looking silly again.

> > > but do you deny being christain?
> >
> > Of course I deny being Christain. I am Steve.
>
> evading again?

Nope.

You've made several assertions that I have said I "am" certain
things and I've asked you repeatedly to show where you get that from.

> > > > > and it was Bull##### not bull####
> > > >
> > > > I choose to not wilfully recite your profanity, Mark.
> > >
> > > No you choose to alter my words
> > >
> > > ##### is not profanity
> >
> > Sure it is.
>
> nope is isn't it is a vulgarity

Sure it is.

> > Walk up to a Priest or Minster and tell them you need to take a
> > #####
>
> why?

Why not? You insist it's not a vulgarity.

If it's not, you can say it in the presence of priests and ladies.

> > > ##### is ####
> >
> > No...YOU are ####
>
> more name calling and you can't even calll me the name

What name?

> grow up

I have. That's why I don't use your kind of profanity in public.

> > I haven't. I simply choose to not wilfully repeat your profanity.
>
> nope you are altering my post and others

Nope. I choose to not retransmit your profanities.

Anyone that wonders what you said can back up in Google and see
what it was.

> > If anyone notices where I have "pound signed" your profanities,
> > they may, on their own volition, page back to see what it was you said.
>
> you have still misquoted me

How I can misquote you?

You've not said anything quotable.

> > > Let me quote from again from lost in space, "don't mistake my deception
> > > for a character flaw it is a philosical choice, a result of a profund
> > > understandering of the universe works"or words to that effect
> >
> > "Lost in Space" is fiction.
>
> so it is nice line
> >
> > Like you being a Colonel in the US Army.
>
> prehaps so your point?

The "point" is most of this stuff of yours is fiction.

> > > perhaps by your standards I am in fact EVIL. I however am entitled to
> > > be evil in your eyes if I choose, that is what the first amendments
> > > means in part. It is something YOU SWORE an oath to uphold
> >
> > There's nothing in my Oath as a Marine that is compromised by
> > calling you an idiot or hoping you'll seek help.
>
> but it is a violation of your oath to harass or stalk me

I'm neither harassing nor srtalking you.

And I've asked you to recite that oath in here and then point out
to me where it is yoiu think I am in "violation" of this oath.

So far...squat.

(Don't sweat it...You're in good company. Brian Burke is well
known for making silly assertions then not backing them up too.)

> > NOTHING in my Oath said "...and you must tolerate pathological
> > liars without comment"
>
> niether did it say you may not abuse the disabled but that is a
> disgrace to your oath

You're not disabled.

You're lazy and arrogant. There's a difference.

> > > > You do not see the consequences of your actions.
> > >
> > > You know this how?
> >
> > Because you keep on doing it.
>
> how?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

See...There you go again!

> > No...Every post you make is strewn with mistakes...Not only your
> > utter rape of the English language, but your obvious loss of
> > situational awareness with reality.
>
> Yes and what you are saying has nothing to do with
>
> BTw I did know was going to make mistakes in my posts
>
> none of this #### says I did not forsee this in general terms

Simple fix: PROOFREADING

> > I am sure that Bundy, Gacy, McVeigh and Dahmer thought that too...
>
> yea
>
> I even admire McViegh to a point he put his beliefs out on the line
> pretty much

I have no doubt you do.

> and Stveie thinks also I must live to his standards

So now you support a known domestic terrorist.

> > But see what it got them...?!?!
>
> well Gacy seem to have met his needs for years not that familer ith
> Bundy, Mcvigh I have addressed and Dahmer met his needs for his
> lifetime for themselves they could have done worse

So it's OK in the Markie Book of Logic to murder as long as you're
"meeting (your) needs"...?!?!

> > > Can't you get it through your head that I am different than you.
> >
> > Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh nooooooooooooooooooo....I get that part
> > juuuuuuuuuuuuust fine!
>
> good toook you long enough

Read the sarcasm, blockhead.

> > > Are you so narrow minded that you can't conceive that any would look at the
> > > world in other ways than you? I guess so, and then you have the nerve
> > > to call ME narrow minded
> >
> > I don't tolerate liars.
>
> then go deal with yourself

I'm not a liar. But you have some serious issues to deal with.

> > You're not only a liar, but an admitted liar.
>
> yep

Sheesh.

> > In my PROFESSIONAL opinion.
>
> you have no professional opinion Nursie

Sure I do...And by acknowledging that I am a Nurse you contradict
yourself.

> > I'm not harrassing you, nor am I lying about you. Nor am I
>
> You are harrrasing and lieing baout not harassing me

I'm not harrassing you, Mark.

Nor am I lying about you.
>
> > stalking you. You came to this forum of your own volition and act the
>
> you are stalking me. I have the right to come here...(SNIP)

Yes, you do.

> I don't need your permission

No, you don't.

But if you do and I don't agree with your lifestyle, opinion or
behaviour, >>I<< have the same rights YOU do to post my opinions about
them.

> > > > > and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It binds
> > > > > YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,
> > > > > guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"
> > > >
> > > > My Oath to the Constitution of the United States has nothing to do
> > > > with pointing a finger and calling a liar a liar, Mark.
> > >
> > > No it doesn't but that was the point of course.
> >
> > Of course it's the point, but not what you intended.
>
> which means you are admiting to distorting my point and taking it out
> of context

If you could really comprehend English well, Mark, you'd
understand why that looks so stupid.

> > > It is about respecting the religous diversity of the nation.
> >
> > I do.
>
> no you don't

There you go lying agin, Markie.

Why are you lying?

> > > It is about upholding the rule of law, not vigilantism
> >
> > What vigilantism?
>
> yours

I'd ask you to prove that, but you, like Brain, just seem to like
and point blindly going "SEE! SEE!"

> > > > And you are, by your own admssion, a liar.
> > >
> > > Grow up, get over it, move on
> > >
> > > and please stop beating the dead equine
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > You're a liar. It needs to stop.
>
> Why becuase you say so

No. Because decent people in a decent society don't lie like you
do, Mark.

It's a slap in the face to good people.

You're not "good people", Mark

> > > > You've even reproved it numerous times in the last 24 hours.
> > > >
> > > Repoved an odd use of the word
> > >
> > Not "repoved". "REPROVED"
> >
> so spelling cop

Just trying to figure out what you're saying, Mark...

> > > > And you'll never know what "Semper Fi" stands for...Oh yes, I know
> > > > you can cite the translation, but you'll never know what it is to be
> > > > one of the Band of Brothers.
> > > >
> > > But I do
> > >
> > No, you don't.
> >
> yes I do

How? Have you ever served in the Armed Forces? Where were you
stationed? What rank did you obtain? What MOS did you hold?

> > > > Never.
> > > >
> > > always
> > >
> > Not in THIS life, Markie!
> >
> well that is a metaphyscal matter not suited to this newsgroup
>
> but I know damm well even in this life

No you don't. See what lying does, Mark? Now you actually
believe your own lies.

> Forger fraud cheat
> and lair you spin out pages of bull####

Nope.

> > YOU are the one who picks and chooses "definitions".
> >
> Of course I pick definaition when I am writing

Uh huh.

> > > > YOU have...ie: "drafted".
> > > > >
> > > > You never did adequately explain your meaning of "drafted". I, of
> > > > course, gave you the true definition as it pertains to the Armed
> > > > Forces, whcih YOU were alleging membership in...
> > > >
> > > yes I did

> >
> > Then you just lied again.
>
> nope
>
> I did this years ago several times Indeed noone had the slightest
> trouble but you understanding

I understand fine. And you're wrong about anyone "understanding"
about your lies.

You misrepresented your status as a Commissioned Officer in the
Armed Forces. You lied about being drafted. You lied about serviing
in the "chemical corps".

Lied, lied, and more lies...

> > > > So let's hear it...
> > >
> > > Reatined In any service involontary, or having a reserve activation
> > > used as a threat to in negoating compesatiion, or haveing legal force
> > > used on a person to force their consent to something
> >
> > You are NOT "retained" in service involuntarily by being drafted.
> > To be "retained" you have to already be there.
>
> I was and am for that matter

MORE lies!

> > You've NEVER been there. The closest you'll ever get is buying an
> > old military uniform at the local "Army/Navy" store.
>
> I am so glad you think so. I realy am makes me safer, and my family
> safer

Who'd hurt your family? Just more white trash on the "public
dole"...Who needs that...?!?!

> > You do not "negotiate" compensation in the Armed Forces. They
>
> No you negoataite compensation as a civilian more distortionon your
> part

No distortion.

YOU claimed to be a "Colonel".

You're not. You're a liar.

> using reserve status and threat to reactive in active duty and assugn
> me the job if I don't do it as a civilian at thier offer of pay

You don't qualify for military service on several levels. NO ONE
can be compelled to serve in the Armed Forces except by way of the
Selective Service, and they are not actively compelling military
conscription.

In other words...MORE LIES!

Mark, you keep telling ME to "stop beating the dead equine", yet
here YOU are trying to perpetuate a story that is so ludicrous as to
make one wonder what 8 year old hatched it!

> > And the draft does not "force" your "consent". You have the
> > option, with consequences, to refuse to be drafted.
>
> That is mighty generous of you to admit you have imporved over the
> years I guess

There's nothing generous here.

What's "here" is rubbing your nose in your lies.

And there's a lot of stuff for it to be rubbed in!

> > You were never a member of, nor are you now a Veteran of, the
> > Armed Forces of the United States.
>
> go ahead think that

I not only "think that", I KNOW that...

Big Snip

> > Nope.
> >
> > Quick English Comprehension class, Mark.
> >
> > By calling me "Robber's Son" you made my father the possessive
> > object.
>
> I did not do that BB did
>
> I called you RoberSon

And you agreed with him. You repeated it.

> > Not my grandfather, nor great-grandfather, nor any other person in
> > my DNA string...Just my father.
>
> You have taken it up with the wroung fellow Stevie
>
> BB did the Rober'sSon thing

You repeated it. Agreed with it. Makes you equally culpable.

> > I think it's IRONIC that everytime you TRY to call me an "IDIOT",
> > you spell it wrong.

>
> It is ironic but i don't do it everytime, and you know it and you still
> lie about it

No lie.

You do it.

> > > they recoded fairy tales and that is what they are best known for but
> > > Like, Heddy Lamar was best known as actress not an inventor, they were
> > > also linguists and wote most of the basic work in that field
> >
> > But their writings, and what YOU cited, were tales.
>
> No idoot they wrote down tales and they were linguists something youd
> know if you were educated

See what I mean.

You ARE an idiot.

And the "Brothers Grim" were "linguists" like Cheech and Chong
were "pharmaceutical consumption specialists"

> > It's about pretending you're an adult.
>
> I don't need to pretend. I am an adult, if in your opinion I am not
> acting like one. fine I don't mind your thinking what you please. I
> defend your right to be a jerk.

I am not a jerk, and there's nothing of mine you could defend.

You didn't take that oath.................

Steve, K4YZ

835. Re: Brian P Burke Leaving More Damning Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 11:24:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120994684.453870.306400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112194

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I didn't say I had a "leadership position in MARS"...
> >
> > I said I had a leadership position in a Marine Corps unit that
> > supported the MARS program on Okinawa.
>
> Support? billets? chow? laundry? Just how did you support the MARS
> program?
>
> Just as I suspected. You had absolutely nothing to do with MARS. No
> wonder your ignorance vast ignorance shines through when you try to
> speak authoratatively about MARS.

And again you post yet more proof and evidence that you are the
liar I claim you to be, and that you care little for the dishonor you
bring to your family name in the process.

Shame on you.

Steve, K4YZ

836. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 13:14:19 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121001259.957164.91910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Who Needs The ARRL? wrote:
> The ARRL is not a necessary institution these days. It is self-propagating,
> demands hefty dues and represents less than half of the licensed Amateurs in
> the United States.
> Besides, what does one get for the $40.00 per annum (or is it more?) fee? A
> glossy magazine rife with advertisements? Admission to 'private' sections of
> the ARRL web site? A monthly 'bulletin' sent to your email address? (more
> spam)
> Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if the
> FCC has ever given that much credence.
>
> I guess there are still some old warhorses out and about who feel that it is
> a mark of distinction to have the ARRL logo on their QSL cards...especially
> those who boast of being part of the elite few, the proud, the Life Members.
>
> One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better things,
> such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
> wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
> Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice the
> elitism.
> The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak with
> those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
> elitism.
>
> CQ Contest, anyone?

That's just twitlips convincing himself and nobody else that he can
write. The queenboy aint even a ham.

837. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 14:46:14 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <WwaAe.9513$HV1.3196@fed1read07>
Source record: 112197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

ONLY GAME IN TOWN

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

838. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 14:50:03 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.07.10.14.49.54.843127@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 112198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 06:14:19 -0700, AKC owns this NG wrote:

>
>
> Who Needs The ARRL? wrote:

I'm surprised you found the news groups since you seem too stupid to
understand that the ARRL does...

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

839. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 15:29:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121009347.558910.43710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112199

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
> > > reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
> > > discreet frequencies.
> >
> > so and BTW if he had bottherd to answer in their the real question I
> > would not have rebuked him
>
> There was nothing to rebuke.

that is for ME to decide not you

You are simply butting in to make more trouble
>
> He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a

No he did not do me a favor so gigo form there

> gambling man but I bet Hans doesn't help you again.
>
> Big snip. Usual abuse of The King's English.
>
> > > > > There's nothing to defy but logic, Mark. And you certainly do
> > > > > that.
> > > >
> > > > If you care to say that sure fine
> > > >
> > > > Don't make it true
> > >
> > > My "saying it" doesn't make it true...Your being illogical does.
> >
> > No it can't
>
> Sure it does.

NO it doesn't simply being illogical or logical for that matter does
not afect the truth of statement

>
> You act illogically. Repeatedly.
>
> > Something has to true to be true.
>
> Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.

In your eyes perhaps
>
> Witness the following:
>
> "Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
> illogical"

The statement is perfectly logical, and absolutely true, of course it helps if you don't destroy the context of a statement. "Something has to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or illogical " in full

>
> Steve, K4YZ

840. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 16:41:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121013683.156951.172940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112200

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> Lot's of snips to clip out most of Mark's sandlot one word
> responses to lengthy reposts.
>
> > > I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
> > > father yourself?
> >
> > My father has read your words
>
> I doubt it.

I am sure you do, OTOH that also has nothing to do with the turth

>
> > > Just stop writing this trash and I won't have to show you what an
> > > idiot you are.
> >
> > Lair you said I do that myself which you are not doing that
>
> Lair?

lair liar you know what I mean

>
> And do you mind breaking that down from Markiesque to English?

Yes I do

>
> > get over your obsession with me
>
> You flatter yourself, Markie.

You answer every word I post that is obsession

and it is not flattery

>
> On several levels, too!

>
> > > > I deny almost ervy sentence but tha is a quibble
> > >

> > > BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! !
> > > ! ! !
> >
> > cry baby
>
> Nope. Laughing. But I am sure that you're used to people laughing
> at you.

yes I am to some extent I am used to your rudeness took awhile but I
have about 7 years of them, enough to get used to alomst anything

>
> > > Get a spellchecker.
> > >
> > > Get Hooked On Phonics.
> >
> > nope
>
> Lazy, apathetic punk.

Name calling? gee and you claim to so mature

>
> > > Try to at least LOOK like an adult in writing.
> >
> > keep your stories staight i can't
>
> What's "staight"...?!

what you claim to be

>
> What stories?

the ones you make up

>
> > > Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
> > > is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
> > > become too easy for you to be weak.
> >
> > Stevie I am not the one taking money of the public dole and go off
> > pretending how macho i am
>
> "Public dole"..?!?!

Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole

>
> Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.

You deny being on disability?

>
> > > > > Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> > > > > on several levels.
> > > > >
> > > > > he says he has
> > > >
> > > > And judging by his bankroll and popularity, I'd say he has.
> > >
> > > Dyslexia does not affect acting skill which Tom has
> >
> > Sure it does.

idoit, ignorant cracker

>
> > that you think it is conceted shows you ignorance and likely your
> > bigotry
> >
> > "conceted"...?!?!
> >
> > What bigotry?

your bigotry

>
> > > Nope. You need to fix this. I'll keep on you as long as you post
> > > in this forum and obviously need the encouragement to fix your problem.
> > >
> > > no I don't you need to get a life
> >
> > Huh?
what part of get a life don't you understand?

>
> > I have no problems in need of fixing. I am fine just the way I am
> >
> > Yes you do and no you aren't.

NO I am fine no problem in need of fixing, The Help you think I need
would try (and suceeded long before we met) would focus on making me
conformatable with who I am. I am comfortable with who I am.

>
> > > > and please stop beating the dead equine
> > > >
> > > > I can't. You keep raising your head back up.
> > >
> > > and you keep kicking
> >
> > Obviously not hard enough.

Stevie the only way you can change is to kill me, nothing less is going
to change my behavoir. I don't know you(don't want to) you clearly have
far too much of an ideology I have no respect for.

All you can do is harrass me without changing my behavoir

>
> > > Oh? You've accused me several times this week as "bashing" your
> > > religion.
> >
> > in that post you had not said anything about pgan sim and i pointed
> > that out now you fraudulently hack the post to take it out of context
> >
> > Fraud
>
> What's "pgan sim"...?!?!

you are a fraud and a forger

>
> A new on-line virtual reality thing?
nope

more mockey of my religion give it up

>
> Otherwiise you're looking silly again.

Otherwiise?
>
> > > > but do you deny being christain?
> > >
> > > Of course I deny being Christain. I am Steve.
> >
> > evading again?
>
> Nope.

yes
>
> You've made several assertions that I have said I "am" certain
> things and I've asked you repeatedly to show where you get that from.

and i have done so

more than you have shown anything about your Conections to Mars or
your promotions of your great action hero stuff

>
> > > > > > and it was Bull##### not bull#####
> > > > >
> > > > > I choose to not wilfully recite your profanity, Mark.
> > > >
> > > > No you choose to alter my words
> > > >
> > > > ##### is not profanity
> > >
> > > Sure it is.

> >
> > nope is isn't it is a vulgarity
>
> Sure it is.

shit is merely a vulgarity

now for example godamned is a profanity

>
> > > Walk up to a Priest or Minster and tell them you need to take a
> > > #####
> >
> > why?
>
> Why not? You insist it's not a vulgarity.

I said nothing of the kind I said it is a not a profanity merely a
vulgarity, and for that matter if I had a reason I would certainly say
shit to preat or minister,

but more likely to say "fuck off" my normal response to efforts to
convert me, than shit

In the words of Good morning vietnam I'd say someone was for of shit.
Id never say take a shit the phrase doesn't work if you think about it.
One leaves shit not take it

>
> If it's not, you can say it in the presence of priests and ladies.

I can and will, and have but only the rigt phrases at the right time

>
> > > > ##### is #####
> > >
> > > No...YOU are #####
> >
> > more name calling and you can't even calll me the name
>
> What name?

Shit

>
> > grow up
>
> I have. That's why I don't use your kind of profanity in public.

well you missed the parts about minding yuor own busness, and and being
polite, you were never polite, still are never polite to anyone you
adress in here

you also did not learn not to forge peoples words
>
> > > I haven't. I simply choose to not wilfully repeat your profanity.
> >
> > nope you are altering my post and others
>
> Nope. I choose to not retransmit your profanities.

and thereby altering them

>
> Anyone that wonders what you said can back up in Google and see
> what it was.

but none one should have to

>
> > > If anyone notices where I have "pound signed" your profanities,
> > > they may, on their own volition, page back to see what it was you said.
> >
> > you have still misquoted me
>
> How I can misquote you?

Stevie I don't know how manage to doa lot of things, but hanks the Gods
and Goddesses, and allpowers Celestial and infernal, that explaining
why you do stuff is not my task.

>
> You've not said anything quotable.

a Lie since you are quoting it

>
> > > > Let me quote from again from lost in space, "don't mistake my deception
> > > > for a character flaw it is a philosical choice, a result of a profund
> > > > understandering of the universe works"or words to that effect
> > >
> > > "Lost in Space" is fiction.
> >
> > so it is nice line
> > >
> > > Like you being a Colonel in the US Army.
> >
> > prehaps so your point?
>
> The "point" is most of this stuff of yours is fiction.

Stevie
>
> > > > perhaps by your standards I am in fact EVIL. I however am entitled to
> > > > be evil in your eyes if I choose, that is what the first amendments
> > > > means in part. It is something YOU SWORE an oath to uphold
> > >

> > > There's nothing in my Oath as a Marine that is compromised by
> > > calling you an idiot or hoping you'll seek help.
> >
> > but it is a violation of your oath to harass or stalk me
>
> I'm neither harassing nor srtalking you.

you are harrassing me and stalking

>
> And I've asked you to recite that oath in here and then point out
> to me where it is yoiu think I am in "violation" of this oath.

already have

>
> So far...squat.

realy guess you can't read

>
> (Don't sweat it...You're in good company. Brian Burke is well
> known for making silly assertions then not backing them up too.)
>
> > > NOTHING in my Oath said "...and you must tolerate pathological
> > > liars without comment"
> >
> > niether did it say you may not abuse the disabled but that is a
> > disgrace to your oath
>
> You're not disabled.

you Know this how?

>
> You're lazy and arrogant. There's a difference.

and thank god I live nowhere that I might ever be brought to your
hospital for treatment

I am lazy and a touch arrogant, which does not of course mean I am or
that I am not diabled. Legaly I am quite disabled, and you swore to
uphold the law

>
> > > > > You do not see the consequences of your actions.
> > > >
> > > > You know this how?
> > >
> > > Because you keep on doing it.
> >
> > how?
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! !
>
> See...There you go again!

But I did not Do

"BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA"

you did

I expect you to so I have seen the consequenes of my actions

I don't realy mind you going

"BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA"
>
if you will please keep the line length to a screen width otherwise it
makes repling so much harder

> > > No...Every post you make is strewn with mistakes...Not only your
> > > utter rape of the English language, but your obvious loss of
> > > situational awareness with reality.
> >
> > Yes and what you are saying has nothing to do with
> >
> > BTw I did know was going to make mistakes in my posts
> >
> > none of this #### says I did not forsee this in general terms
>
> Simple fix: PROOFREADING

why? proofread it it for your eyes most of this. That it offends you in
current form mans it is doing double duty

To fix something it needs be broken

>
> > > I am sure that Bundy, Gacy, McVeigh and Dahmer thought that too...
> >
> > yea
> >
> > I even admire McViegh to a point he put his beliefs out on the line
> > pretty much
>
> I have no doubt you do.

mighty generous of you

>
> > and Stveie thinks also I must live to his standards
>
> So now you support a known domestic terrorist.

No I admire some of his Character traits, wish he had put them to
better use

For that Matter The nerve of the 9/11 attackers, wish they had put
their virtures to better use

>
> > > But see what it got them...?!?!
> >
> > well Gacy seem to have met his needs for years not that familer ith
> > Bundy, Mcvigh I have addressed and Dahmer met his needs for his
> > lifetime for themselves they could have done worse
>
> So it's OK in the Markie Book of Logic to murder as long as you're
> "meeting (your) needs"...?!?!

Nope never said that, never said anything about logic about about
murder being ok

>
> > > > Can't you get it through your head that I am different than you.
> > >
> > > Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh nooooooooooooooooooo....I get that part
> > > juuuuuuuuuuuuust fine!
> > >
> > good toook you long enough
>
> Read the sarcasm, blockhead.

then get it through your head I don'tshare your sense of moral values

>
> > > > Are you so narrow minded that you can't conceive that any would look at the
> > > > world in other ways than you? I guess so, and then you have the nerve
> > > > to call ME narrow minded
> > >
> > > I don't tolerate liars.
> > >
> > then go deal with yourself
>
> I'm not a liar. But you have some serious issues to deal with.

You are a liar and a fraud.
Perhaps I have some issues you have a subscription to deal with (still
like that phrase stolen from Law & Order)

>
> > > You're not only a liar, but an admitted liar.
> > >
> > yep
>
> Sheesh.

Yes deal with it

and as the time comes I will teach my children to that there are times
to lie

>
> > > In my PROFESSIONAL opinion.
> >
> > you have no professional opinion Nursie
>
> Sure I do...And by acknowledging that I am a Nurse you contradict
> yourself.

you are not quailified to have one.

One nobody not even a doctor can dianose without meeting the pataint

Two, you are a nurse, and not a doctor

Three, you are in the lowest grade of Nurse

Four, your field are in the wroung field to make the judgement

so I don't contrdict myself

>
> > > I'm not harrassing you, nor am I lying about you. Nor am I
> >
> > You are harrrasing and lieing baout not harassing me
>
> I'm not harrassing you, Mark.
.
sure are

>
> Nor am I lying about you.

you are big time

> >
> > > stalking you. You came to this forum of your own volition and act the
> >
> > you are stalking me. I have the right to come here...(SNIP)
>
> Yes, you do.

mighty generous of you

>
> > I don't need your permission
>
> No, you don't.

again mighty generous of you

>
> But if you do and I don't agree with your lifestyle, opinion or
> behaviour, >>I<< have the same rights YOU do to post my opinions about

> them.

Steve read the header someplace it say Radio and Amateur

My sexual orientation has nothing to do with either.

My religion has nothing to do with either

and yet you go and go about issues those issues and issues of my
religious philosophy

I can't recall your saying much about Radio of the ARS in weeks

>
> > > > > > and what of your oath to the constitution of the US , Marine. It binds
> > > > > > YOU to rspect the rule of LAW,
> > > > > > guess you don't qualify as "semper fi"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > My Oath to the Constitution of the United States has nothing to do
> > > > > > with pointing a finger and calling a liar a liar, Mark.
> > > > >
> > > > > No it doesn't but that was the point of course.
> > > >
> > > > Of course it's the point, but not what you intended.
> > >
> > which means you are admiting to distorting my point and taking it out
> > of context
> >
> If you could really comprehend English well, Mark, you'd
> understand why that looks so stupid.

to you I am sure it does, doesn't mean shit though

>
> > > > It is about respecting the religous diversity of the nation.
> > > >
> > > I do.
> > >
> > no you don't
> >
> There you go lying agin, Markie.

It is true you are NOT respecting the Fact I hold a different religion
and that the tenents of my faith don't include many things you hold
dear.

Honesty for example you claim it is vital, I that it is often dangerous
and to avoided

>
> Why are you lying?

I am not you simply don't understand what you are doing

If I were I lying though fine I is my right even you grant that it is
my right
why do you spend such effort trying to stop me from doing something
even you agree is my right

>
> > > > It is about upholding the rule of law, not vigilantism
> > > >
> > > What vigilantism?
> > >
> > yours
>
> I'd ask you to prove that, but you, like Brain, just seem to like
> and point blindly going "SEE! SEE!"

and you are sadly truely blind

>
> > > > > And you are, by your own admssion, a liar.
> > > > >
> > > > Grow up, get over it, move on
> > > > >
> > > > and please stop beating the dead equine
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > You're a liar. It needs to stop.
> > >
> > Why becuase you say so
>
> No. Because decent people in a decent society don't lie like you
> do, Mark.

Assuming again

Next to pick apart your assumtion

central assumetion The US is a decent society, is something I reject.

In a Decent Society a person could publish his address without having
to fear being harmed for being dfferent

In a Decent Soceity diferences are tolerated

Next you assume I aspire to being decent in your eyes, I don't

Therefore from my point of view I am a person (decent or not) in an
indecent society, and I make my choices accordingly. I am lied too
everyday (unless I don't get out of bed and I don't turn on the TV), so
are you
>
> It's a slap in the face to good people.

perhaps if you like

>
> You're not "good people", Mark

already said I am not I am by your standards EVIL fine

>
> > > > > You've even reproved it numerous times in the last 24 hours.
> > > > >
> > > > > Repoved an odd use of the word
> > > >
> > > > Not "repoved". "REPROVED"
> > >
> > so spelling cop
>
> Just trying to figure out what you're saying, Mark...

You typed the orignal "reproved" i comented on your choice of word,
then you dump on me for it (which you typed) being mispelled

>
> > > > > > And you'll never know what "Semper Fi" stands for...Oh yes, I know
> > > > > > you can cite the translation, but you'll never know what it is to be
> > > > > > one of the Band of Brothers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I do
> > > > >
> > > > > No, you don't.
> > > >
> > > > yes I do
> > >
> > How? Have you ever served in the Armed Forces? Where were you
> > stationed? What rank did you obtain? What MOS did you hold?

53E trained at Ft McCellan AL, served active Duty Ft Sill Ok Ft Hood
and FT Bliss TX did TDY Sawyer AFB (which is why I finaly moved up here
loverly area) was discharged for active Army joined the Nation Gaurd (I
will not say what state except it wasn't Illinois or Michigan),
answered questions about rank
>
> > > > > > Never.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > always
> > > > >
> > > > > Not in THIS life, Markie!
> > > >
> > > > well that is a metaphyscal matter not suited to this newsgroup
> > >
> > > but I know damm well even in this life
> >
> > No you don't. See what lying does, Mark? Now you actually
> > believe your own lies.

not altogether a lie, but enough lies in mortar that you can't (more
importantly others can't) find me

>
> > Forger fraud cheat
> > and lair you spin out pages of bull####
>
> Nope.

yep you spin out pages of bullshit

>
> > > YOU are the one who picks and chooses "definitions".
> >
> > Of course I pick definaition when I am writing
>
> Uh huh.

yep
>
> > > > > YOU have...ie: "drafted".
> > > > >
> > > > > You never did adequately explain your meaning of "drafted". I, of
> > > > > course, gave you the true definition as it pertains to the Armed
> > > > > Forces, whcih YOU were alleging membership in...
> > > >
> > > > yes I did
> > >
> > > Then you just lied again.
> >
> > nope
> >
> > I did this years ago several times Indeed noone had the slightest
> > trouble but you understanding
>
> I understand fine. And you're wrong about anyone "understanding"
> about your lies.

no you still don't understand but is in some ways good

>
> You misrepresented your status as a Commissioned Officer in the
> Armed Forces. You lied about being drafted. You lied about serviing
> in the "chemical corps".

never lied about being drafted never leid about being in Chimical
corps. I did tell lies to devirt a threatening stalker about my rank
>
> Lied, lied, and more lies...

and you can't tell the truth from the lie which I have done well in my
purpose

>
> > > > > So let's hear it...
> > > >
> > > > Reatined In any service involontary, or having a reserve activation
> > > > used as a threat to in negoating compesatiion, or haveing legal force
> > > > used on a person to force their consent to something
> > >
> > > You are NOT "retained" in service involuntarily by being drafted.
> > > To be "retained" you have to already be there.
> >
> > I was and am for that matter
>
> MORE lies!

nope

>
> > > You've NEVER been there. The closest you'll ever get is buying an
> > > old military uniform at the local "Army/Navy" store.
> >
> > I am so glad you think so. I realy am makes me safer, and my family
> > safer
>
> Who'd hurt your family? Just more white trash on the "public
> dole"...Who needs that...?!?!

You are on the public dole not me. I make my own living, could be
better but good enough for me

You threaten to shot me, and if you'd gone through with it then it
would have been my father you killed not me. In those days I traveled a
lot and used my fathers address for mail and such did not live there

>
> > > You do not "negotiate" compensation in the Armed Forces. They
> >
> > No you negoataite compensation as a civilian more distortionon your
> > part
>
> No distortion.
>
> YOU claimed to be a "Colonel".

yep but that has nothing to do with the issue

>
> You're not. You're a liar.

agreed

>
> > using reserve status and threat to reactive in active duty and assugn
> > me the job if I don't do it as a civilian at thier offer of pay
>

> You don't qualify for military service on several levels. NO ONE
> can be compelled to serve in the Armed Forces except by way of the
> Selective Service, and they are not actively compelling military
> conscription.

wroung even you can recalled I believe, and I could find some folks in
Iraq that would deny your statement

>
> In other words...MORE LIES!

nope

>
> Mark, you keep telling ME to "stop beating the dead equine", yet
> here YOU are trying to perpetuate a story that is so ludicrous as to
> make one wonder what 8 year old hatched it!

no you are perpetuating it

You brought it,

you keep on about it

I am merely trying to answer you

If you drop it it goes away

>
> > > And the draft does not "force" your "consent". You have the
> > > option, with consequences, to refuse to be drafted.
> >
> > That is mighty generous of you to admit you have imporved over the
> > years I guess
>
> There's nothing generous here.

for what i expected it was generous of you. I adknowledge something
good about you and you bitch

>
> What's "here" is rubbing your nose in your lies.

and that harms me How? It helps you How?

>
> And there's a lot of stuff for it to be rubbed in!
>
> > > You were never a member of, nor are you now a Veteran of, the
> > > Armed Forces of the United States.
> >
> > go ahead think that
>
> I not only "think that", I KNOW that...

go ahead

>
> Big Snip
>
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Quick English Comprehension class, Mark.
> > >
> > > By calling me "Robber's Son" you made my father the possessive
> > > object.
> >
> > I did not do that BB did
> >
> > I called you RoberSon
>
> And you agreed with him. You repeated it.

No I comented on it. I refrained as is proper from altering his words.

Unlike you I don't engage in forgery

>
> > > Not my grandfather, nor great-grandfather, nor any other person in
> > > my DNA string...Just my father.
> >
> > You have taken it up with the wroung fellow Stevie
> >
> > BB did the Rober'sSon thing
>
> You repeated it. Agreed with it. Makes you equally culpable.

never repaeted except to coment on it agreed no

OTOH your portesting so loud about it are makking me wonder if you
aren't Robber's Son to be so senitive about it

>
> > > I think it's IRONIC that everytime you TRY to call me an "IDIOT",
> > > you spell it wrong.
> >
> > It is ironic but i don't do it everytime, and you know it and you still
> > lie about it
>
> No lie.

yes a lie

>
> You do it.

not every time

>
> > > > they recoded fairy tales and that is what they are best known for but

> > > > Like, Heddy Lamar was best known as actress not an inventor, they were
> > > > also linguists and wote most of the basic work in that field
> > >
> > > But their writings, and what YOU cited, were tales.
> >
> > No idoot they wrote down tales and they were linguists something youd
> > know if you were educated
>
> See what I mean.

No I don't

>
> You ARE an idiot.
>
> And the "Brothers Grim" were "linguists" like Cheech and Chong
> were "pharmaceutical consumption specialists"

Ignorant uneducated fool

www.webcrawler.com seacrh Girmm Linguist

4. How is a Hippo Like a Feather? - alphaDictionary * Free English ...
Jakob Grimm, the famous linguist who collected fairy tales on the side,
figured out the rules by which Germanic sounds differed from those of
Indo-European. ...
www.alphadictionary.com/articles/ling007.html [Found on Google,
Yahoo!]

6. StarPundit
... The few data known about him are summarized by Jacob Grimm:(1)
[LexiLine note: this is the linguist Grimm who with his brother
published Grimm's Fairy Tales and ...
starpundit.blogspot.com/ [Found on Yahoo!, Ask Jeeves]

are just a few of the things you will fined

64 entires on webcrawler most looked to be on target

>
> > > It's about pretending you're an adult.
> >
> > I don't need to pretend. I am an adult, if in your opinion I am not
> > acting like one. fine I don't mind your thinking what you please. I
> > defend your right to be a jerk.
>
> I am not a jerk, and there's nothing of mine you could defend.

but I can

>
> You didn't take that oath.................

and I did

>
> Steve, K4YZ

841. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 17:54:46 UTC
From: Tim <bob@flutesarrlnuts.org>
Message-ID: <darn5r$438$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.

Tim

842. Re: Brian P Burke Leaving More Damning Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 18:04:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121018681.907454.105000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112202

From: "b.b." on Sat 9 Jul 2005 16:24

>K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > b.b. wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > Just as are the other records I've given you
>> > > > > information on.
>> > > >
>> > > > You have no MARS records.
>> > >
>> > > And here we have clear evidence that supports my contention that
>> > > one day your kids will see what a pathological liar you've been,
>> > > Brain...
>> >
>> > Steve, anybody can have a MARS license and be inactive for years before
>> > finally being removed from the record for non-participation. Such a
>> > person may make claims to having held MARS calls, but should never make
>> > claims of having held a leadership position in MARS.
>>
>> I didn't say I had a "leadership position in MARS"...
>>
>> I said I had a leadership position in a Marine Corps unit that
>> supported the MARS program on Okinawa.
>
>Support? billets? chow? laundry? Just how did you support the MARS
>program?

He gave at the orifice?

>Just as I suspected. You had absolutely nothing to do with MARS. No
>wonder your ignorance vast ignorance shines through when you try to
>speak authoratatively about MARS.

In other words, Stebie did the Snow Job thing again... :-)

>> > But you claimed to have held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa, and
>> > you told us that you had no letter of appointment.
>>
>> Nope. Just my orders to MCB Camp Butler for temporary FAP duty to
>> the MARS program.
>
>Clerk? Runner? Mailboy?

"FAP?" Whuzzat? "Foreign Anglo Provacateur?" :-)

>> > Were you lying then or are you lying now?
>>
>> Neither.
>
>Your stories just don't add up.

His stories subtract from his credibility. His stories multiply
the massive amounts of wasted crud he claims. His stories can
be divided into confetti by simple logic.

>> > Your lack of knowledge of MARS indicates that you were:
>> >
>> > a. lying when you said that you held a leadership position in
>> > MARS/Okinawa.
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> > and
>> >
>> > b. not lying when you said you had no letter of appointment because
>> > not having such a letter goes hand in hand with not having such a
>> > leadership position.
>> >
>> > So are you now claiming that you do have such a letter?
>>
>> No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.
>
>In your own words, not having the paperwork means you didn't do it.

...and there we have it!

>> Nor do I have a copy of my orders to boot camp from the processing
>> station, nor do I have a currrent copy of my transfer to my last duty
>> station.
>
>You sure lack a lot.

He could be a knight of the Round Table...Sir Lackalot.

>> But those duty stations are part of the records I've refered you
>> to but you are too cowardly to write and find out about.
>>
>> Over and over.
>
>Mr. Robeson, it is yours to prove. Remember, if you can't prove your
>assertions it means it didn't happen. And that you're a liar. Your
>words, your rules, your logic.

Tsk. Nobody can get such records (under the FOIA laws) without
proving that they should have them. Family members qualify and
recognized corporate personnel departments qualify and so do
law officers.

Meanwhile, without SOME corroboration from SOMETHING else besides
"his records," all he's got is a bunch of smelly BS. <shrug>

<Stebie making some "threat" about Brian's children...>

>> > The sad truth is that they'll realize that there are bad people in the
>> > world, and their father's role was to protect them from it.
>>
>> The sad truth is they are going to read.
>
>Indeed.

Yup...they'll see ol' Stebies' bilious hatred standing out in
the Google archives for all time to come. Nothing but yards
and yards and yards and yards of his hatred against any who
dare disagree with him on anything...trash-mouth extraordinaire.

>Doctor Robeson, heal thyself.

He couldn't heal hisself afore. He be a walking sore, no
healing possible, lots of hurt in there and that makes him
HATE all that don't agree with him.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

843. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 18:08:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121018885.851777.183540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112203

From: b.b. on Jul 9, 12:54 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Jim Hampton" on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 23:58
>> >"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> > From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
> >> > one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.

>> >> Of course, the cell phone is more widely available to the public than
>> >> your amateur radio. The cell phone may keep working just fine in
>> >> personal or small emergencies. The cell phone often works during the
>> >> initial stages of a larger emergency. In other words, the cell phone
>> >> can be extremely useful.

Latest estimate in the Los Angeles TIMES of Saturday morning
(9 Jul 05) has OVER 175 MILLION cell phones among the USA
public. There are now MORE cell phone subscribers than there
are wired-line phone subscribers!

>Welp, that's just the way it is. Not everyone is able to walk around
>with their very own amateur radio communicator at their side.

A few previous PCTA extra stalwarts in here (now gone) used
to talk about "shack on a belt" denigration of HTs. :-)

>> In this morning's Los Angeles TIMES, page one, is a story on
>> the London bombings stating that the estimated number of
>> cell phones in the USA is 192 MILLION now and about a third
>> of them have video capability. Network news on TV showed many
>> short video clips from the immediate disaster areas in London.
>
>They didn't weight for a bunch of overwait ATVers to show up and do
>damage assessments? What's the state of emergency comms coming to?

I'm still waiting to see what sort of Creative Writing the
ARRL is going to put on their "news" web page where ham
radio "went to the rescue in London" etc., etc. So far, the
network news and services have been mum on ham radio used in
this latest emergency over there.

>> I have yet to see any TV news talking about "amateur radio
>> emergency communications" in the London area. <shrug>
>
>Jims says the bus was underground and amateur comms would work. Not
>even CW.

No?!? Gosh, kinda puts the lie to "CW gets through when
nothing else will..." :-)

Ackshully, there's such a thing as a "leaky wave antenna"
that is used in Boston's "Big Dig" tunnels, the Los Angeles
Subway (yes, I know, strange concept that) system, and many
other places. With the right shaping and dimensions, a tunnel
can be a sort of waveguide above cutoff for high VHF and up.

>> No doubt someone in here will insist that all the video clips
>> are "fakes" because "everyone knows that cell phones go down
>> in any emergency." :-)
>
>Doesn't everyone know that?

Stebie might say it's a "LIE!" but then he says lots and lots of
things are "LIES!" :-)

>> We can always count on the Amateur Emergency Corps to come
>> through with "CW" and the johnny-on-the-spot morsemen.
>
>I thought I saw a few frames of a guy in a CAP flight suit...

With a moustache? :-)

Not to worry...in a couple years Stebie will be claiming He
Was There (with/without MARS).

>> BTW, the cell sites in the L.A. area are equipped with back-
>> up power in case the primary electricity goes out. Central
>> offices have had battery back-up since the year dot. AM
>> and FM broadcast stations had emergency power generators and
>> were functioning okay even if the personnel were a bit shaky.
>
>But they all used CW, right?

Yes, to most of them! "Continuous Wave" carrier with FM. :-)

>> In London and, earlier, Madrid, the government communications
>> kept on going through bombings. Gosh, with the way so many in
>> here talk, absolutely NOBODY but the hams had any comms at all!
>> <shrug> BTW, Yurp has an even higher density of cell phones
>> than the USA according to the L.A. TIMES story.
>
>All those people without any comms at all?

Yup...according to the MYTH in here. Whenever an emergency hits,
"ALL the infrastructure GOES DOWN, quits, becomes defunct, won't
work at all! The only thing that works is the radio amateur's
mighty morsemachine radio!"

Talk about peyote puffing...those mythmakers are dreaming harder
than ever...:-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

844. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 18:09:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121018984.620215.114890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112204

From: b.b. on Jul 9, 1:04 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "b.b." on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 15:28
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> >Jim ran the entire text through his DaVinci Code Reader. The part
>> >snipped spelled out K5TIT, so he very well couldn't have quoted it.
>
>> Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of women? <gasp>
>
>I think his DaVinci Code reader malfunctioned.

Well, that's what he gets for spending less than $100 and
designing it with vacuum tubes in the 1990s...

>> I wonder if all those "children he parented" (implied) were
>> by Immaculate Conception?
>
>I think that Jim was bottle fed.

That would explain much! :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

845. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 20:36:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Y-ydnVNIUJK3C0zfRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Who Needs The ARRL? wrote:

> Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if the
> FCC has ever given that much credence.

You don't have to be an ARRL member to vote on proposed rule changes,
but I guess a troll wouldn't know that.

846. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 20:38:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Y-ydnVJIUJIUC0zfRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tim wrote:
> Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
> all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
> drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
> of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.
>
> Tim
>
>
>
Have you seen it? How many? Where were they? What highway? How do you
know they were chasing trucks?

847. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 22:33:38 UTC
From: Not Roger 2 <Roger@BlowingTrannyI>
Message-ID: <das7mb$bd7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:Y-ydnVNIUJK3C0zfRVn-1w@gbronline.com...
Who Needs The ARRL? wrote:

> Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if
the
> FCC has ever given that much credence.

You don't have to be an ARRL member to vote on proposed rule changes,
but I guess a troll wouldn't know that.
>

Read the post again, this time without moving your lips. Nowhere did it
state that one must be an ARRL member in order to vote on proposed rule
changes.

848. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 22:47:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OOudnbVX7LQeOEzfRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Tim wrote:
>
>> Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
>> all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
>> drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
>> of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.
>>
>> Tim
>>
>>
>>
> Have you seen it? How many? Where were they? What highway? How do you
> know they were chasing trucks?

And whats the deal with 50 and 60 y.o. men anyhow? That's the age when people become president, move into the upper management positions, and generally run things....

sunnavagun!

- Mike KB3EIA -

849. Re: Why does the ARRL seem to NOT want hams to join?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 22:51:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <o5mdncqxCKzsO0zfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112209

robert casey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> I am not currently a meber of the ARRL. The ARRL sent me a letter
>> trying to get me to join.
>>
>> First thing, they don't me by Name, they use my Call letters as if they
>> were name, they are not
>>
>
> It's probably a very nerdy thing we do, but most hams adopt
> their callsign as a name of sorts.

For some reason, a lot of other Hams can remember my callsign, but
forget my name. And it's such a hard name.... 8^)

But that's okay.

> Of course used only in
> ham radio circles. Also it makes a unique username for
> accounts on ISPs, email accounts and internet forums.

And it's handy for getting in touch with other Hams too!

- Mike KB3EIA -

850. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 23:20:35 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <b82dnfMLh7QWIUzfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

james wrote:
> On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 13:54:46 -0400, "Tim" <bob@flutesarrlnuts.org>
> wrote:
>
>
>>>+<Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
>>>+<all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
>>>+<drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
>>>+<of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.
>>>+<
>>>+<Tim
>
> ******
>
> Better yet 4 older hams on a 2 meter repeater on a saturday morning
> discribing their heart attacks. How long they were in intensive care
> and how much mobility they have lost.
>
> james

So did anyone hold a gun to you to make you listen?

851. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 23:41:35 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <870133.2458F79@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 112210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 18:47:32 -0400, Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> sunnavagun!
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Wow. He has a copyright on "sunnavagun" I'll bet; or maybe a it's an obsession. Either way, he sure thinks he's being perspicacious while everyone else thinks he's being repetitious.

Get a new word, Droid.

852. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-10 23:48:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <sNOdnZ-ILLZeLkzfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112211

Lloyd wrote:
> On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 18:47:32 -0400, Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>> sunnavagun!
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Wow. He has a copyright on "sunnavagun" I'll bet; or maybe a it's an
> obsession. Either way, he sure thinks he's being perspicacious while
> everyone else thinks he's being repetitious.
>
> Get a new word, Droid.

Hans has the copyright!

Sunnavagun!

- Mike KB3EIA -

853. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 00:11:37 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <hle3d1h3rtgacecvd1feq6duokb9q5ah6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 112212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 13:54:46 -0400, "Tim" <bob@flutesarrlnuts.org>
wrote:

>+<Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
>+<all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
>+<drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
>+<of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.
>+<
>+<Tim
******

Better yet 4 older hams on a 2 meter repeater on a saturday morning
discribing their heart attacks. How long they were in intensive care
and how much mobility they have lost.

james

854. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 02:01:43 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <C6qdnZpVt_HTf0zfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

running dogg wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>james wrote:
>>
>>>On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 13:54:46 -0400, "Tim" <bob@flutesarrlnuts.org>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>+<Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
>>>>+<all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
>>>>+<drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
>>>>+<of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.
>>>>+<
>>>>+<Tim
>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>
>>>Better yet 4 older hams on a 2 meter repeater on a saturday morning
>>>discribing their heart attacks. How long they were in intensive care
>>>and how much mobility they have lost.
>>>
>>>james
>>
>>So did anyone hold a gun to you to make you listen?
>
>
> I, personally, would be bored silly-probably a main reason why I never
> felt motivated to become a ham. (I'm posting from rec.radio.shortwave.)

Well, if you were listening to something that boring to you, you
probably have enough sense to change to another repeater or turn the
radio off. James evidently can't do that. (I'm posting from my chair).

855. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 02:36:18 UTC
From: running dogg <run@ning.dog>
Message-ID: <42d1dc20$1_1@spool9-west.superfeed.net>
Source record: 112214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> james wrote:
> > On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 13:54:46 -0400, "Tim" <bob@flutesarrlnuts.org>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >>+<Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
> >>+<all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
> >>+<drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
> >>+<of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.
> >>+<
> >>+<Tim
> >
> > ******
> >
> > Better yet 4 older hams on a 2 meter repeater on a saturday morning
> > discribing their heart attacks. How long they were in intensive care
> > and how much mobility they have lost.
> >
> > james
>
> So did anyone hold a gun to you to make you listen?

I, personally, would be bored silly-probably a main reason why I never
felt motivated to become a ham. (I'm posting from rec.radio.shortwave.)

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

856. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 07:21:31 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>
Source record: 112216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Kenny wrote:
>
>
> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
> in emergencies.

heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as someone who needs to go back to school.

you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation. they'll just key right over you until you die.

by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people (that training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very same EOC offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are certain to get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old man or a young kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup (it has happened before).

also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).

just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I say: NAY!

besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of young and old hams there and not many of them would even match your description.

of course, we only have your words on what they appeared (at least to you). what the hey. you must need a white cane and dark glasses (you certainly

sound blind to the truth to me).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

857. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 09:30:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121074254.000155.86530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112217

b.b. wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "b.b." on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 15:28
> >
> >
> > >Leo wrote:
> > >> On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
> > >>
> > >> You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
> > >>
> > >> 73, Leo
> > >
> > >Jim ran the entire text through his DaVinci Code Reader. The part
> > >snipped spelled out K5TIT, so he very well couldn't have quoted it.
> >
> > Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of women? <gasp>
>
> I think his DaVinci Code reader malfunctioned.
>
> > I wonder if all those "children he parented" (implied) were
> > by Immaculate Conception?
>
> I think that Jim was bottle fed.

So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?

> > >Rest assured that the LaLeche reLay League has been informed.
> >
> > Ah! The organization in Newington, the one with the "milk
> > of ham-an kindness?" :-)
> >
> > "Polish, polish, polish he goes, and where he stops, nobody
> > knows..." <with some hat tips to Major Bowes' Amateur Hour>
> >
> > bit bit
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> When the Mad LaLeche reLay League Mothers discover all of the K5TIT
> haters in here...

858. Re: Brian P Burke Leaving More Damning Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 09:37:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121074652.956459.242720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112218

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "b.b." on Sat 9 Jul 2005 16:24

> >Just as I suspected. You had absolutely nothing to do with MARS. No
> >wonder your ignorance vast ignorance shines through when you try to
> >speak authoratatively about MARS.
>
> In other words, Stebie did the Snow Job thing again...
>
> >> > But you claimed to have held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa, and
> >> > you told us that you had no letter of appointment.
> >>
> >> Nope. Just my orders to MCB Camp Butler for temporary FAP duty to
> >> the MARS program.
> >
> >Clerk? Runner? Mailboy?
>
> "FAP?" Whuzzat? "Foreign Anglo Provacateur?"

LENNIE!~ You mean there's a military acronym that you DON'T
know...?!?!?!

> >> > Were you lying then or are you lying now?
> >>
> >> Neither.
> >
> >Your stories just don't add up.
>
> His stories subtract from his credibility.

They're not "stories".

A "story" is a tale without substantiation or validation.

I've provided numerous references to verify what I've said.

Contrary to Brian P Burke's "stories".

> His stories multiply
> the massive amounts of wasted crud he claims. His stories can
> be divided into confetti by simple logic.

The "simple logic" is that you "CAN'T" disprove or rebutt my
references.

All you (or Brain and Markie) can do is TRY to blow enough smoke
in hopes that it covers your trail.

> >> No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.
> >
> >In your own words, not having the paperwork means you didn't do it.
>
> ...and there we have it!

Nope.

The same orders are STILL in my SRB.

The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
"take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...

> >Mr. Robeson, it is yours to prove. Remember, if you can't prove your
> >assertions it means it didn't happen. And that you're a liar. Your
> >words, your rules, your logic.
>
> Tsk. Nobody can get such records (under the FOIA laws) without
> proving that they should have them. Family members qualify and
> recognized corporate personnel departments qualify and so do
> law officers.

However certain parts of military records ARE researchable.

You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
YOU.

And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.

Lennie's not far behind. Still wondier what HIS "station" looks
like...He's tried to humilate everyone else who's dared show a picture
of ANY kinf of radio.

> Meanwhile, without SOME corroboration from SOMETHING else besides
> "his records," all he's got is a bunch of smelly BS.

Nope.

References provided. Can't help it if you won't avail yourself of
them.

> <Stebie making some "threat" about Brian's children...>

What "threat"...?!?!

> >> > The sad truth is that they'll realize that there are bad people in the
> >> > world, and their father's role was to protect them from it.
> >>
> >> The sad truth is they are going to read.
> >
> >Indeed.
>
> Yup...they'll see ol' Stebies' bilious hatred standing out in
> the Google archives for all time to come. Nothing but yards

> and yards and yards and yards of his hatred against any who
> dare disagree with him on anything...trash-mouth extraordinaire.

Nope.

They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
unfortunate one, at that...

> >Doctor Robeson, heal thyself.
>
> He couldn't heal hisself afore. He be a walking sore, no
> healing possible, lots of hurt in there and that makes him
> HATE all that don't agree with him.

And again Leonard H Anderson expresses a lie in public.

As if it was somehting NEW....

Steve, K4YZ

859. Re: Intro to Mark Morgan KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 09:46:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121075192.428981.124540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112219

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > > But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
> > > > > reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
> > > > > discreet frequencies.
> > > >
> > > so and BTW if he had bottherd to answer in their the real question I
> > > would not have rebuked him
> > >
> > There was nothing to rebuke.
> >
> that is for ME to decide not you

Nope.

Anyone who reads this forum can comment.

Hans did you a favor and you treated him rudely.

Period.

> You are simply butting in to make more trouble

Nope.

YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
person who provided it to you.

> > He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a
> >
> No he did not do me a favor so gigo form there

Yes he DID do you a favor.

The ONLY "garbage" here is your cowardly attempts to dodge
responsibility for your rude behaviour.

> > > > My "saying it" doesn't make it true...Your being illogical does.
> > > >
> > > No it can't
> > >
> > Sure it does.
> >
> NO it doesn't simply being illogical or logical for that matter does
> not afect the truth of statement

Sure it does.

> > You act illogically. Repeatedly.
> >
> > > Something has to true to be true.
> >
> > Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.
>
> In your eyes perhaps

In everyones EXCEPT yours.

> > Witness the following:
> >
> > "Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
> > illogical"
>
> The statement is perfectly logical, and absolutely true, of course it
> helps if you don't destroy the context of a statement. "Something has
> to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is
> logical or illogical " in full

It's "illogical" because it says absolutely nothing.

It's several multisyllable words in what APPEARS to be a sentence.

You are now, have been and shall continue to be the "court jester"
of RRAP as long as you refuse to do somehting about your fractured
English and alleged "dyslexia".

And as long as you do continue to refuse to fix the problem, don't
fuss at people who laugh at you for looking like an idiot...

Steve, K4YZ

860. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 11:09:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bfd4d1d5dldg7k9pougdmlblfk3ugn1fup@4ax.com>
Source record: 112220

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>:

>Kenny wrote:
>>
>>
>> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>> in emergencies.
>
>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>someone who needs to go back to school.

I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
the potential of CB radio.

>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>they'll just key right over you until you die.

Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.

>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people (that
>training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very same EOC
>offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are certain to
>get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old man or a young
>kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup (it has
>happened before).

Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams
out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find
out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.

How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people; the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over 4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling that kind of traffic.

And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.

In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services; CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.

But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption, or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do so in the future.

>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).

The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes down.

>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>say: NAY!

Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be said for ham radio.

>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of young
>and old hams there and not many of them would even match your description.

Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating Republican.

>of course, we only have your words on what they appeared (at least to you).
>what the hey. you must need a white cane and dark glasses (you certainly
>sound blind to the truth to me).

How is your CW coming along, Eric?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

861. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 11:23:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8vk4d1d6th7nojuapol45ouunqvomdd8jt@4ax.com>
Source record: 112221

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:

>Kenny wrote:
>>
>>
>> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>> in emergencies.
>
>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>someone who needs to go back to school.

I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
the potential of CB radio.

>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>they'll just key right over you until you die.

Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.

>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people (that
>training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very same EOC
>offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are certain to
>get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old man or a young
>kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup (it has
>happened before).

Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams
out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find
out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.
How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's

being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people; the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over 4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling that kind of traffic.

And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.

In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services; CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.

But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption, or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do so in the future.

>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).

The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes down.

>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>say: NAY!

Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be said for ham radio.

>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of young
>and old hams there and not many of them would even match your description.

Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating Republican.

>of course, we only have your words on what they appeared (at least to you).
>what the hey. you must need a white cane and dark glasses (you certainly
>sound blind to the truth to me).

How is your CW coming along, Eric?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

862. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 12:15:15 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <datnsj$sp6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112222

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Leo wrote:
>
>>On 7 Jul 2005 16:09:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 5 Jul 2005 17:44:07 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I thought I'd answered this one, but apparently not.
>>>>
>>>>You still haven't, actually - 2/3 of the original post is missing....
>>>>
>>>>73, Leo
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>So here goes:
>>>>>
>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 29 Jun 2005 16:10:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>You must decide!
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>You must decide.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>You must.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
>>>>>
>>>>>>>You missed the reference completely, then.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You cannot answer a question with a question.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I didn't!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Heh heh heh......you have been a puppet for a long time.
>>>>>
>>>>>Some would say since Arrival
>>>>>
>>>>>>Decide!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Break free.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Decide.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Why?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You must.
>>>>>
>>>>>Sounds like a Hammer pounding Into an Anvil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
>>>>>>>>>>>>>suggest *I* take.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>That is your current reality.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Not at all.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>There are only two choices available to you.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Says who?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>There are two.
>>>>>

>>>>>>>>A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>There is no C.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>There's always a C.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Not in a two variable equation.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Such as this.
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you want me to deny the truth just to permit Living in Harmony?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Owned. Or Free.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Wimp. Or Strong.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dit. Or Dah. (mandatory radio content hi hi)
>>>>>
>>>>>Sounds like an order from The General..
>>>>>
>>>>>>>If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
>>>>>>>Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Not true.
>>>>>
>>>>>That's what it all boils down to.
>>>>>
>>>>>>>All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to > >tell me to shut up without using those words.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Not true.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?
>>>>>
>>>>>No.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Why?
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Polish - or Avoid.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'm not Polish.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You are polishing. Turds.
>>>>>
>>>>>Not me!
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>That's what you're telling me to do.
>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Sure it can: the two words "shut up"
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Do you feel that this is your only logical option, then?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Is there no other way?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Owned - or Free.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Speak out - or Shut Up.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>That's what it means.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Be trolled - or not.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>That's what it means.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>How is one different from the other?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The series "Kung Fu" was set in the American Southwest in the 1870s.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Before radio was invented.
>>>>>
>>>>>But not before callsigns were invented!
>>>>>
>>>>>>Wow! That's one five star education yer packin there,
>>>>>>podner......yee haw!
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you think callsigns were invented for radio? Think again.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>"A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
>>>>>>>>>>>>path. What should he do?
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his
>>>>>>>>>>>>way. But, if
>>>>>>>>>>>>he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the
>>>>>>>>>>>>expectation that
>>>>>>>>>>>>it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he
>>>>>>>>>>>>would be quite foolish indeed."
>>>>>
>>>>>Agreed!

>>>>>
>>>>>He could also avoid the hazard, and point it out to others so
>>>>>they do not trod in it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here
>>>>>>>are turds.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I think you have a point there!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Hope springs anew!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>That's why the polishing isn't going too well sometimes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>So you agree! Excellent!
>>>>>
>>>>>Now let us consider.....
>>>>>
>>>>>If your description of Len's posts (the product) is accurate, what does
>>>>>that make the producer of said product?
>>>>>
>>>>>That pretty much sums it up, I think.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>--
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Psychotics build castles in the air
>>>>>>>Neurotics live in them
>>>>>>>Shrinks collect the rent
>>>>>>
>>>>>>-
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>????
>>>>
>>>>Where'd the rest of it go?
>>>
>>>I edited it out as being redundant.
>>
>>Fair enough - as long as you get the idea!
>
>
> Exactly!
>
>
>>>Your basic message was that the way to deal with
>>>certain.....unpleasant items....that one may encounter,
>>>is to simply avoid them completely, rather than picking them
>>>up and fooling with them (which you refer to as

>>>"polishing" - an illustrative description!)
>>>
>>>This course of action is particularly appropriate
>>>if the....unpleasant items....were intentionally
>>>placed for you to find, in the hopes you would
>>>react to them in a certain way.
>>>
>>>That's really what you've been trying to tell me
>>>all along, isn't it, Leo?
>>
>>That's it - you have it!
>
>
> Of course it could be argued that what I was doing was
> not "polishing" but pointing out the...unpleasant items...
> but that's a minor issue. The decision to be made is the
> same.
>
>>>That course of action (avoidance) does make a lot of sense.
>>>
>>>However, it occurs to me that the nature of
>>>the...items...tells us something about the
>>>person who created them.
>>>
>>>If you encounter a watch, you would
>>>logically conclude that it was made by a watchmaker.
>>>
>>>If you encounter a loaf of bread, you would
>>>logically conclude that it was made by a baker.
>>>
>>>And if you encountered the....unpleasant items...
>>>you describe as being unpolishable, you would
>>>logically conclude that is was made by an
>>
>>There you go.
>
>
> So you agree!
>
>>And, from what I've read over the last week or so,
>>you have discovered
>>that application of this philosophy does not limit your posts
>>very much at all!
>
>
> Of course it limits my posts - to a very great degree. However,
> that's not really the issue, is it?
>
> The lack of "polishing" does not seem to have reduced the
> production of said ...unpleasant items...though.

>
>
>>Well done.
>
>
> TNX
>
> There's always the possibility that I might decide to
> ...point out...one of those....unpleasant items....
>
> Who knows?

I must say, this thread has just about crapped out, eh guys?

- Mike KB3EIA -

863. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 14:00:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121090453.347072.184170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112223

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > Lot's of snips to clip out most of Mark's sandlot one word
> > responses to lengthy reposts.
> > >
> > > > I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
> > > > father yourself?
> > > >
> > > My father has read your words
> > >
> > I doubt it.
> >
> I am sure you do, OTOH that also has nothing to do with the turth

What's a "turth"..?!?! Some pagan worship idol?

> > > get over your obsession with me
> > >
> > You flatter yourself, Markie.
> >
> You answer every word I post that is obsession

You don't pay attention. That's stupid.

> and it is not flattery

It's flattery when you think that ANYONE needs to "earn" respect
with you.

You're an admitted liar and sexual pervert. No one need prove
anything to you.

> > > > Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
> > > > is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
> > > > become too easy for you to be weak.
> > >
> > > Stevie I am not the one taking money of the public dole and go off
> > > pretending how macho i am
> > >
> > "Public dole"..?!?!
> >
> Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what

> it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
>
> >
> > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
>
> You deny being on disability?

Absolutely.

Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.

I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I
might add.

> > > > > > Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> > > > > > on several levels.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > he says he has
> > > > >
> > > > > And judging by his bankroll and popularity, I'd say he has.
> > > >
> > > Dyslexia does not affect acting skill which Tom has
> > >
> > Sure it does.
>
> idoit, ignorant cracker

There you go with "idoit" again, Markie.

Just the day before yesterday you insisted you don't mispell that
when you use it "against" someone. Wheelp...You've blown it every
single time so far.

And "ignorant cracker"...?!?!

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm...You insist that YOU are not a bigot, yet here
you are using a racial epithet.

And you call me "ignorant" in the same sentence where YOU mispell
"idiot"...

ROTMFFLMMFAO!

> > > no I don't you need to get a life
> > >
> > Huh?
>
> what part of get a life don't you understand?

The part where you run all your words together without sentence
breaks or punctuation.

> > > I have no problems in need of fixing. I am fine just the way I am
> > >

> > Yes you do and no you aren't.
>
> NO I am fine no problem in need of fixing

You are wrong.

> The Help you think I need
> would try (and suceeded long before we met) would focus on making me
> conformatable with who I am. I am comfortable with who I am.

I see you've been to counselling before.

Too bad it didn't work.

Is that why you left Illinois? In any case, no MHCW worth their
salt is going to let you be "comfortable" as a sexual deviant and
admitted liar.

> > > in that post you had not said anything about pgan sim and i pointed
> > > that out now you fraudulently hack the post to take it out of context
> > >
> > > Fraud
> >
> > What's "pgan sim"...?!?!
>
> you are a fraud and a forger

That's how you answer the question "What's a "pgan sim"...?!?!

And no, I am not a fraud and forger.

> > You've made several assertions that I have said I "am" certain
> > things and I've asked you repeatedly to show where you get that from.
>
> and i have done so

In your imagination, perhaps. But not in THIS forum.

> more than you have shown anything about your Conections to Mars or
> your promotions of your great action hero stuff

Mars? I've never been to Mars. Seen it through a telescope,
though.

And "great action hero stuff"...What are you talking about?

> #### is merely a vulgarity

It's merely unacceptable.

> now for example ########## is a profanity

No...that's a blasphemy.

> but more likely to say "#### off" my normal response to efforts to
> convert me, than ####

Who'd want to "convert" you...???

Not me.

I wouldn't want a liar of your magnitude in any place of worship
that I was in, even though you sorely need the help...

> In the words of Good morning vietnam...

It's not "...In the words of Good morning vietnam..." It's
"...from the movie Good Morning Viet Nam"

And do you have ANY real-world quotes you like? Or is this
evidence of your lay-about lifestyle...

> well you missed the parts about minding yuor own busness...(SNIP)

You post in a public forum, it becomes "my business".

> (UNSNIP)...and and being
> polite, you were never polite, still are never polite to anyone you
> adress in here

That's a blatant lie.

> you also did not learn not to forge peoples words

I haven't forged anything.

You need to get a Webster's and use it from time to time,
Markie...Either that, or public a Markie-to-English

> > > > I haven't. I simply choose to not wilfully repeat your profanity.
> > >
> > > nope you are altering my post and others
> >
> > Nope. I choose to not retransmit your profanities.
>
> and thereby altering them

Nope. They're still there for all to see. Just not under MY
hand.

> > Anyone that wonders what you said can back up in Google and see
> > what it was.
>
> but none one should have to

No one should have to see themm in the first place, but you insist
on acting like a foul-mouthed 5 year old in an adult forum.

> > I'm neither harassing nor srtalking you.
>
> you are harrassing me and stalking

Nope.

You come to this forum and post here of your own volition. To the
best of my knowledge, no one tied you up and forced or coerced you to
come here.

> > You're not disabled.
>
> you Know this how?

By reading your messages here.

> > You're lazy and arrogant. There's a difference.
>
> and thank god I live nowhere that I might ever be brought to your
> hospital for treatment

Sure you do.

My license allows me to practice in Michigan.

> I am lazy and a touch arrogant...(SNIP)

Don't underestimate yourself, Mark. You're hugely arrogant.

> which does not of course mean I am or
> that I am not diabled. Legaly I am quite disabled, and you swore to
> uphold the law

And my Oath in the Armed Forces has nothing to do with rubbing
your nose in your lies and deceit, Mark.

> "BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA"
> >
> if you will please keep the line length to a screen width otherwise it
> makes repling so much harder

Too bad, Markie.

You insist on acting like a profane prepubescent. I laugh my butt
off at it.

> > Simple fix: PROOFREADING
>
> why? proofread it it for your eyes most of this. That it offends you in
> current form mans it is doing double duty
>
> To fix something it needs be broken

Oh...You're broken alright...

> > > and Stveie thinks also I must live to his standards
> >
> > So now you support a known domestic terrorist.
>
> No I admire some of his Character traits, wish he had put them to
> better use

So now you support a known domestic terrorist.

> For that Matter The nerve of the 9/11 attackers, wish they had put
> their virtures to better use

...and international terrorists.

No big surprise there, either...

> > > well Gacy seem to have met his needs for years not that familer ith
> > > Bundy, Mcvigh I have addressed and Dahmer met his needs for his
> > > lifetime for themselves they could have done worse
> >
> > So it's OK in the Markie Book of Logic to murder as long as you're
> > "meeting (your) needs"...?!?!
>
> Nope never said that, never said anything about logic about about
> murder being ok

Sure you did...

You said "...well Gacy seem to have met his needs for years..."

His "needs" were to murder young men.

Your post suggests you find that OK.

Are you willing to clarly state that murder and anyone who murders
is abhorrent?

> > Read the sarcasm, blockhead.
>
> then get it through your head I don'tshare your sense of moral values

Oh, I get that...

You don't share the idea that honesty and trustworthniess are good
moral values.

No problem.

> You are a liar and a fraud.
> Perhaps I have some issues you have a subscription to deal with (still
> like that phrase stolen from Law & Order)

More TV-land quotes.

Is there ANYthing you do in "the real world"...?!?!

> > > > You're not only a liar, but an admitted liar.
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> > Sheesh.
>
> Yes deal with it
>

> and as the time comes I will teach my children to that there are times
> to lie

God forbid you ever procreate. I'll have to change my mond on
abortion.

> > > > In my PROFESSIONAL opinion.
> > >
> > > you have no professional opinion Nursie
> >
> > Sure I do...And by acknowledging that I am a Nurse you contradict
> > yourself.
>
> you are not quailified to have one.

Sure I am. I have a professional license.

> One nobody not even a doctor can dianose without meeting the pataint

No one has "diagnosed" you with anything.

> Two, you are a nurse, and not a doctor

Uh huh.

> Three, you are in the lowest grade of Nurse

Not quite.

> Four, your field are in the wroung field to make the judgement

No I'm not.

> so I don't contrdict myself

Sure you do. Repeatedly.

> > > > I'm not harrassing you, nor am I lying about you. Nor am I
> > >
> > > You are harrrasing and lieing baout not harassing me
> >
> > I'm not harrassing you, Mark.
> .
> sure are

Nope. You came here. I didn't come looking for you.

> > Nor am I lying about you.
>
> you are big time

Nope. Not one word of it untrue.

> > > which means you are admiting to distorting my point and taking it out
> > > of context
> >

> > If you could really comprehend English well, Mark, you'd
> > understand why that looks so stupid.
>
> to you I am sure it does, doesn't mean #### though

Sure it does. It means you don't comprehend English well. And
it's not what I think...It's what you demonstrate in this forum.

> > There you go lying agin, Markie.
>
> It is true you are NOT respecting the Fact I hold a different religion
> and that the tenents of my faith don't include many things you hold
> dear.

> Honesty for example you claim it is vital, I that it is often dangerous
> and to avoided

But not because you claim to be a pagan.

Because you're an admitted liar and deceiver.

> If I were I lying though fine I is my right even you grant that it is
> my right
> why do you spend such effort trying to stop me from doing something
> even you agree is my right

Because it's MY right to address your lying in a public forum.

That's MY right.

> > No. Because decent people in a decent society don't lie like you
> > do, Mark.
>
> Assuming again
>
> Next to pick apart your assumtion
>
> central assumetion The US is a decent society, is something I reject.
>
> In a Decent Society a person could publish his address without having
> to fear being harmed for being dfferent

Who's harming you?

I've never touched you..Wouldn't either. There ARE things in this
world I would kill or maim for.

You being a lying punk isn't one of them.

> In a Decent Soceity diferences are tolerated

Differences are.

Lying and deceit are not.

> Next you assume I aspire to being decent in your eyes, I don't

I only assume that you understand that if you're going to keep
trying to make an issue of what your "rights" are, I am going to use
those SAME rights.

If you don't like them, all I can say is YOU have a problem.

> Therefore from my point of view I am a person (decent or not) in an
> indecent society, and I make my choices accordingly.

Society is "indecent" only where decent people allow it to be that
way (Or where indecent people choose to try and make it that way...).
Unfortunately it sometimes takes a slap in the face, directly or
otherwise, to get people to defend that society or lose it.

Weak gene pool individuals such as yourself contribute to that
indcent society.

> I am lied too
> everyday (unless I don't get out of bed and I don't turn on the TV)...(SNIP)

You forgot "...or look in the mirror to shave..."

>so are you

Yep.

Difference between you and I is that I do my best to not tolerate
it.

> > You're not "good people", Mark
>
> already said I am not I am by your standards EVIL fine

I never said you were "EVIL", Mark...

Please remeind me who was calling who a "forger" here...?!?!

> > How? Have you ever served in the Armed Forces? Where were you
> > stationed? What rank did you obtain? What MOS did you hold?
>
> 53E trained at Ft McCellan AL, served active Duty Ft Sill Ok Ft Hood
> and FT Bliss TX did TDY Sawyer AFB (which is why I finaly moved up here
> loverly area) was discharged for active Army joined the Nation Gaurd (I
> will not say what state except it wasn't Illinois or Michigan),
> answered questions about rank

Still a lie. No matter how many times you re-state it.

And the only "rank" (other than 'very') You've ever claimed was as
a Colonel, then LT in the "Chemical Corps"

You are not a Veteran in ANY branch of the Armed Forces.

> > No you don't. See what lying does, Mark? Now you actually
> > believe your own lies.

>
> not altogether a lie, but enough lies in mortar that you can't (more
> importantly others can't) find me

Mark, if I wanted to "find" you, you'd be no more than two phone
calls away from a knock on your front door. Don't delude yourself.

> > I understand fine. And you're wrong about anyone "understanding"
> > about your lies.
>
> no you still don't understand but is in some ways good

I understand fine.

You're a liar and deceiver.

Not to be trusted under ANY circumstances.

> > You misrepresented your status as a Commissioned Officer in the
> > Armed Forces. You lied about being drafted. You lied about serviing
> > in the "chemical corps".
>
> never lied about being drafted...(SNIP)

Lie.

> never leid about being in Chimical corps.

Lie.

> I did tell lies to devirt a threatening stalker about my rank

Lies, lies and more lies.

Lies to cover up lies about lies.

> > Lied, lied, and more lies...
>
> and you can't tell the truth from the lie which I have done well in my
> purpose

Adding up lies is like adding up zeros, Markie...

> > Who'd hurt your family? Just more white trash on the "public
> > dole"...Who needs that...?!?!
>
> You are on the public dole not me. I make my own living, could be
> better but good enough for me

There's that "public dole" thing again.

Who told you I am on Welfare?

> You threaten to shot me...(SNIP)

It's "shoot me", and no, I didn't.

> (UNSNIP)...and if you'd gone through with it then it
> would have been my father you killed not me. In those days I traveled a
> lot and used my fathers address for mail and such did not live there

You think I'm not able to discern between a punk in his 30's as
opposed to someone your father's age???

> > YOU claimed to be a "Colonel".
>
> yep but that has nothing to do with the issue

Sure it does. The "issue" is that Mark C Morgan is a pathological
liar.

That you purported to be a Field Grade officer is evidence of it.

> > > using reserve status and threat to reactive in active duty and assugn
> > > me the job if I don't do it as a civilian at thier offer of pay
> >
> > You don't qualify for military service on several levels. NO ONE
> > can be compelled to serve in the Armed Forces except by way of the
> > Selective Service, and they are not actively compelling military
> > conscription.
>
> wroung even you can recalled I believe, and I could find some folks in
> Iraq that would deny your statement

Hot flash, Markie....

There's not a single Soldier, Sailor, Airman or Marine in Iraq
that's not a volunteer.

They may not LIKE being there, however not a single one of them
was compelled to join the Armed Forces.

> > In other words...MORE LIES!
>
> nope

Yep.

> > Mark, you keep telling ME to "stop beating the dead equine", yet
> > here YOU are trying to perpetuate a story that is so ludicrous as to
> > make one wonder what 8 year old hatched it!
>
> no you are perpetuating it
>
> You brought it,
>
> you keep on about it
>
> I am merely trying to answer you
>
> If you drop it it goes away

What goes away?

If I stop replying to you you'll stop lying?

The truth is that if I "drop it", you'll get to go on with your
lying and deceiveing.

> > > > And the draft does not "force" your "consent". You have the
> > > > option, with consequences, to refuse to be drafted.
> > >
> > > That is mighty generous of you to admit you have imporved over the
> > > years I guess
> >
> > There's nothing generous here.
>
> for what i expected it was generous of you. I adknowledge something
> good about you and you ######

You did no such thing.

> Unlike you I don't engage in forgery

I don't engage in "forgery".

> > > > Not my grandfather, nor great-grandfather, nor any other person in
> > > > my DNA string...Just my father.
> > >
> > > You have taken it up with the wroung fellow Stevie
> > >
> > > BB did the Rober'sSon thing
> >
> > You repeated it. Agreed with it. Makes you equally culpable.
>
> never repaeted except to coment on it agreed no

Sure you did.

> OTOH your portesting so loud about it are makking me wonder if you
> aren't Robber's Son to be so senitive about it

Nope. Already suggested that you get my dad's name from QRZ and
check NCIC.

> > > > I think it's IRONIC that everytime you TRY to call me an "IDIOT",
> > > > you spell it wrong.
> > >
> > > It is ironic but i don't do it everytime, and you know it and you still
> > > lie about it
> >
> > No lie.
>
> yes a lie

Nope.

You've mispelled "idiot" every time in this post alone.

> > You do it.
>
> not every time

Enough to be well over 99%. Somewhere between 99.999% and 100%

> > > > > they recoded fairy tales and that is what they are best known for but
> > > > > Like, Heddy Lamar was best known as actress not an inventor, they were
> > > > > also linguists and wote most of the basic work in that field
> > > > >
> > > > > But their writings, and what YOU cited, were tales.
> > > >
> > > > No idoot they wrote down tales and they were linguists something youd
> > > > know if you were educated
> > >
> > > See what I mean.
> >
> > No I don't

Yes...Yo do.

> > You ARE an idiot.
> >
> > And the "Brothers Grim" were "linguists" like Cheech and Chong
> > were "pharmaceutical consumption specialists"
>
> Ignorant uneducated fool

Yes, you are.

> www.webcrawler.com seacrh Girmm Linguist

Why?

What's in "Girmn Linguist"...?!?!

> are just a few of the things you will fined

I am fined? For what?

> 64 entires on webcrawler most looked to be on target

I can also find thousands of web hits on sexual deviants...I bet
if I was weak minded enough to let it happen, I could beleive that a
guy like you was "comfortable".

> > I am not a jerk, and there's nothing of mine you could defend.
>
> but I can
>
> >
> > You didn't take that oath.................
>
> and I did

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

864. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 14:08:00 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <datug0lni1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112224

K4YZ wrote:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>> Lot's of snips to clip out most of Mark's sandlot one word
>>>responses to lengthy reposts.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
>>>>>>father yourself?
>>>>
>>>>My father has read your words
>>>
>>> I doubt it.
>>
>>I am sure you do, OTOH that also has nothing to do with the turth
>
>
> What's a "turth"..?!?! Some pagan worship idol?

Isn't it the amount you are supposed to pay to your church? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

865. Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 14:14:16 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <daturo$1846$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112225

To all the posters who eschew anonymity, post civilly, argue sensibly
and without rancor, and who make interesting posts - Thank you very much!

- Mike KB3EIA -

866. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 14:41:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121092891.075716.159330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112226

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > Big Snip To The Funny Stuff:
> > >
> > > > It's "here and now". It's codified into the regulations for a
> > > > federal license that YOU hold and are required to at least be familiar
> > > > with.
> > >
> > > One being codised in regs does NOT mean it is not Arcane? Indeed most
> > > Federal regs are the very defination of Arcane
> > >
> > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > to obey them.
> > >
> >
> must you engage in crying Stevi

I'm not.

But I AM laughing at you...

Again...

> > BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
> >
> What spin? It is a simple matter, obvious to anyone with a brain, ah
> prehaps that does let you out.

It IS a spin, Markie...

Answer my question, please...

How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
with them...???

> Obeying the rules is all that is required.In the case of mode which
> sparked this thread.

How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
with them...???

> don't need to what number letter combination is USB in order to use
> it, I push a botton on the rig and it does that for me

How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
with them...???

> > And by the way...Yes you ARE required to be familiar with Part 97.
> >
> > Ask the FCC.
>
> Nope

Uh huh...about what I figured...

> > > > You can be expected to at least know where to find the information
> > > > since you ARE responsible for it as a Commisssion licensee of an
> > > > Amateur Radio station.
> > >
> > > expected sure I am expected by you to do lots of stuff
> > >
> > > Required no
> >
> > Required by the FCC...Yes.
>
> You show where the FCC requires it. by rules and paragraph

The line on the back of your license where it says you will abide
by FCC rules and regualtions as thhey pertain to your Amateur Radio
station.

> I am merely required to the USE the airwaves correctly. If i di by use
> Tarrot definiation the FCC doesn't care.

Sure they do.

Show me a Tarot (...not Tarrot) card deck that has Part 97 in it.

> If I ID every 5 minute or 9 minutes because i don't remember the FCC
> requires it every 10 the FCC doesn't care

The FCC doesn't care if you "ID" once a minute...As long as there's
no more than a 10 minute interval between them.

Then they care.

Read recent FCC NOV's and NAL's...Read the ARRL's webpage that
cites letters sent to Amateurs who violated that very paragraph.

> Indeed in large discussions I generaly id every transmisstion since it
> might not get back around to me in 10 minutes

If you didn't cause RF to be emitted, it doesn't matter.

> > > BTW exactly where are they defined by paragraph Oh font of Knowledge
> > > rival of the Oracle of Delphi
> >
> > Where are the rules defined by paragraph?
> >
> > Try Part 97 you blithering idiot!
>
> Stevie thinks Part 97 is a single paragraph

The rules ARE defined in paragraphs in Part 97, Markie.

If you meant only ONE paragraph, that was due to YOUR failure to
effectively express that thought...

Maybe a gradeshcool English writing course would help you...

> Instaead the turth is you up to stalking and harassment but everyone
> (except perhaps you) knows that

Nope. You came here. No one forced you.

> > But so far YOU keep stealing all my glory by beating me to it.
>
> Then shut up if showing me an idoit is your sole goal and you you feel
> I am beating you to it

There's that "idoit" thing again.

You're holding at 100%.

> You just manged to prove YOURself a lair

I am not a lair. Nor am I a liar.

> > > > I am taking you to task for treating Hans so rudely then lying
> > > > about it afterwards.
> > >
> > > and Hans can't defend himself?
> > >
> > > I was rdue to Han's
> >
> > Yes, you were.
>
> and you say Hans can't defend himself?

Nope. That's YOU "forging" my words.

> > > he was rude to me.
> >
> > No, he was not.
>

> Yes he was. Only I can decide what I consider rude treatment

No. Society can set the parameters by which rude treatment is
determined.

In no way shape or form did Hans cross that line.

YOU did, however....

> > Anyone else over 12 with an interest in Amateur Radio had no
> > problem with it.
>
> a hyperbole at least, I can at least one other person other 12 with an
> interest in Ham radio hwho did not understand it

I think they need to disperse flyers in your town warning them
about you...No one should allow a 12 year old alone with you.

> Then if you "grant" me these "rights" why do you haraas me for using
> them?

I don't "grant" you anything.

> > Help yourself to them...They were made for you. They fit you like
> > a glove.
>
> Then grant me the rights you say I have and get on the topic of Ham
> Radio, instead of people bashing

I am not people bashing.

> > > > Hans' only mistake was assuming that you might be able to
> > > > understand the answer.
> > >
> > > gee Hans can't defend himself
> >
> > He could quite ably if he chose to.
>
> then let him

But I am having such fun showing you for the rude creep that you
are.

But it's easy fun...You don't make it much of a challenge.

> > He just wouldn't enjoy rubbing your nose in your droppings as much
> > as I do, though...
>
> Ah admiting to sadism again, but...
>
> to work, it real has have some substance

Sure it does...

Folks can just surf Google under any of your "KONSTANS"
nomme-de-guerre's you have used.

> > Only in that you suggest something improper. My REAL intent was
> > to try and get you to spontaneously issue Hans an apology for your
> > smart mouth and abuse of his rendering of information to you.
>
> That is an improper intent, and one doomed to failure

Doomed to failure, perhaps.

Not improper, however.

> In addition I claim the right to respond to what you post , and deny
> any responiblity to figure out what other crap is lurking in your mind
>
> > Didn't work. My bad.
>
> a begining

You missed the point again, but hey, what's new...?!?!

> > If you want to lie, got lie next your your "aprtnr" for a while.
> > Be sure to offer him some "Ben-Gay" first, though.
>
> Gee you know the gender of my partner? I have made a point of not
> mentioning that takes a lot of typing in english.
>
> Been stalking me more closely than I think or making stuff up again?

Nope. If you weren't such an idiot you'd remember what you wrote
a week ago.

Or more MarkieLying..?!?!

> > > I did rebuke the respondant (it is his place to ecide if he was
> > > insulted) rudely if you like
> >
> > There was nothing to "rebuke".
>
> yes there was. his failure to answer a yes or no question

So he's "guilty" for imparting MORE knowledge than you are capble
of processing...

But you STILL couldn't be polite to him and ask for a better
clarification if you didn't understand...?!?!

> > Good thing, too...Because you'd already called him a liar. Just
> > one more thing might ahve set him off.
>
> If Hans lies i may well call him on it to date I have heard nothing I'd
> clearly a lie. I have my doubts on some details of some of his stories
> but I don't care enough to follow up
>

> OTOH I don't care wether I set Hans off

You should.
>
> BTW are you ever going to bother to learn to spell the word "Have"?

Lessee.....

I OCCASSIONALLY transpose "h" and "a"...

As opposed to your near-every sentence errors?

That's a load!

BTW...Getting your "partner" to do your writing lately?

> > > > You were wrong on several levels.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Absolutely wrong on several levels.
>
> not at all on any level

Wrong.

> > > > You owe Hans Brakob an apology.
> > >
> > > IF he asks I will consider it
> > >
> > > But not on your say so
> >
> > If you don't consider it pertinent to do so without his asking,
> > then you're obviously not sincere.
>
> Not at all

Absolutely.

> Hans (nor anyone else) is entitled an apology where no offense was
> intended with indaicating in some form he was offended

Obviously he was.

> > But then what else is new...?!?!
>
> another stveie falsehood

Nope.

> Is theire a manual of this fake socail rules or do you just make it all
> up
>
> as I said I'll consider apologizing to hans if he asks, but I apologize
> to NONE on your say so Stevie

And like I said...if you haven't got the cajones to jsut offer him
a sincere apology on your own, it's not..well...sincere...

Steve, K4YZ

867. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 14:52:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121093543.356161.28680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112227

b.b. wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > BB say he oesn't
>
> The very, very small mind of Robeson thinks it's a baaad thing to call
> me. He lies awake at night thinking of names to call people.

Nope. You just fit a mold, Brain.

> > you hacked up the post enough so that I not sure but I think BB said
> > you gotta love Stevie
> >
> > I disagreed
> >
> > I do disagree with more folks that just yourself Stevie
>
> The prozac people gotta love Steve.

Why?

> > > > > > > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > > > > > > should have
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
> > > > > > to use it if code is forbidden
> > > >
> > > > (A) It's not a "band". It's five discreet channels.
> > >
> > > so?
>
> Mark's logic is perfect. Steve's reply is not applicable.

No his isn't and yer mine is.

> > > (B) The mode restriction is due to other adjacent channel users
> > > that we must dovetail with...Not due to any altruistic debate over
> > > Morse Code use or testing.
> >
> > and I am as cappable as they are or you are of using USB on the bands
> > or channels or whatever you care to call em
> >
> > since Morse Code is forbidden there there is NO logical reason that

> > Morse Code test should be required in order to operate there, or at
> > worst a tech liecnsee with CSSE for the general writen test, should be
> > able to operate there
>
> Ding, ding, ding! We have a winner!!!

Not until the FCC says otehrwise, Brain.

> > > > > The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.
> > > > >
> > > > > So what?
> > > > >
> > > > > Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?
> > > > >
> > > > > There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more
> > > >
> > > > Sure there is.
> > >
> > > Nope
> >
> > It's all PFM for the followers of St. Hiram.

I follow the FCC, and they say it requires an Amateur license to
operate on those "channels". Those channels fall below 30Mhz. The
present ITU document that facilitates international amateur radio
regulations permits individual administrations to test for Morse Code
competency for access to HF.

The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
HF.

> > > YOU need to read how it's worded.
> > >
> > > The international treat gives individual administrations the
> > >
> > > yes I know that

Obviously not.

You've made statements that indicate you don't understand.

> > but given the only legal barriar to assgining freqs was the treaty the
> > FCC was free to assign them to tech's
> >
> > If they can do that then there is NO legal magic
> >
> > Indeed as BB has pointed out Techs are allowed to operate below 30mhz
> > NOW which you claim is illegal

There you go lying again.

I very specifically delineated under what circumstances "Techs"
may operate on HF.

> > You go read the rules before you lecture others

I have.

You're the one with the comprehension deficit.

> Hmmm? I don't think so. Tech's with a 5WPM Farnsworth CSCE can.

There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.

> > > Guess what...?!?!
> > >
> > > The United States is in compliance with the treaty.
> >
> > Never said otherwise
>
> I didn't see you say otherwise either.

Sure he did.

When he said that there was no longer a treaty that permitted code
testing.

He was wrong.

> > > > > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > > > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > > > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Several points:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > > > > > prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> > > > > > stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is
> > > > > > strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> > > > > > an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> > > > > > accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
> > > > > > any treaty.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
> > > > > > much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
> > > > > > rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
> > > > > > with said treaty.
> > > > >
> > > > > so he should have defunct provision
> > > >
> > > > What? Huh?
> > >
> > > defunct provision
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > grow up
> > > >
> > > > I have. And someday you will too. But not today, apparently.

> >
> > nope you haven't
>
> He's the Dick Clark of Amateur Radio. The USA's most immature Extra.

Nope....we'll hold that "honor" for you, Brain.

Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...

Steve, K4YZ

868. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 15:38:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112228

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02...
> Kenny wrote:
>>
>>
>> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>> in emergencies.
>
> heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
> laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
> someone who needs to go back to school.
>
> you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
> filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
> that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
> they'll just key right over you until you die.
>
> by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people
> (that
> training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very same
> EOC
> offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are certain to
> get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old man or a
> young
> kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup (it has
> happened before).
>
> also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
> designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
> certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
> of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
> you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
> things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).
>
> just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
> services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
> same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
> say: NAY!

>
> besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of
> young
> and old hams there and not many of them would even match your description.
>
> of course, we only have your words on what they appeared (at least to
> you).
> what the hey. you must need a white cane and dark glasses (you certainly
> sound blind to the truth to me).
>
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

Good job and slapping of the troll was a worthy read.

Talking of doing work for NO PAY, and BTW very very little recognition. Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in saving lives and fortune.

And guess what? Ain't no one said a good thing yet. Amazing.

But that's alright. We don't do it for the fame and fortune. It's just the right thing to do.

Dan/W4NTI

869. Re: Brian P Burke Leaving More Damning Shame for his Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 15:51:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121097085.380643.71350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112229

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "b.b." on Sat 9 Jul 2005 16:24
>
> > >Just as I suspected. You had absolutely nothing to do with MARS. No
> > >wonder your ignorance vast ignorance shines through when you try to
> > >speak authoratatively about MARS.
> >
> > In other words, Stebie did the Snow Job thing again...
> >
> > >> > But you claimed to have held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa, and
> > >> > you told us that you had no letter of appointment.
> > >>
> > >> Nope. Just my orders to MCB Camp Butler for temporary FAP duty to
> > >> the MARS program.
> > >
> > >Clerk? Runner? Mailboy?
> >
> > "FAP?" Whuzzat? "Foreign Anglo Provacateur?"
>
> LENNIE!~ You mean there's a military acronym that you DON'T
> know...?!?!?!
>
> > >> > Were you lying then or are you lying now?
> > >>
> > >> Neither.
> > >
> > >Your stories just don't add up.

break
> >
> > His stories subtract from his credibility.
>
> They're not "stories".
>
> A "story" is a tale without substantiation or validation.

and your certainly have none

>
> I've provided numerous references to verify what I've said.

clues to clues, don't count oh lazy one

>
> Contrary to Brian P Burke's "stories".

>
> > His stories multiply
> > the massive amounts of wasted crud he claims. His stories can
> > be divided into confetti by simple logic.
break
>
> The "simple logic" is that you "CAN'T" disprove or rebutt my
> references.

Well you got that one right but not for the reason you seem to think

You dispove something so nebulous

>
> All you (or Brain and Markie) can do is TRY to blow enough smoke
> in hopes that it covers your trail.

prehaps true but it is all you can do too

>
> > >> No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.
> > >
> > >In your own words, not having the paperwork means you didn't do it.
> >
> > ...and there we have it!
>
> Nope.
>
> The same orders are STILL in my SRB.

and your SRB is not here in the RRAP

>
> The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...

then you do it if it is so easy

>
> > >Mr. Robeson, it is yours to prove. Remember, if you can't prove your
> > >assertions it means it didn't happen. And that you're a liar. Your
> > >words, your rules, your logic.
> >
> > Tsk. Nobody can get such records (under the FOIA laws) without
> > proving that they should have them. Family members qualify and
> > recognized corporate personnel departments qualify and so do
> > law officers.
>
> However certain parts of military records ARE researchable.

and we might get fragments but it would amount to your sereachs for me
without my name SS number dates of service etc

yougot nothing on me so I would not get anything on you

>
> You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> YOU.

it would be embarrashing to waste time that way on a wild goose chase

>
> And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.

and you are a lair under your own rules

>
> Lennie's not far behind. Still wondier what HIS "station" looks
> like...He's tried to humilate everyone else who's dared show a picture
> of ANY kinf of radio.
>
> > Meanwhile, without SOME corroboration from SOMETHING else besides
> > "his records," all he's got is a bunch of smelly BS.
>
> Nope.
>
> References provided. Can't help it if you won't avail yourself of
> them.

no references provided

>
> > <Stebie making some "threat" about Brian's children...>
>
> What "threat"...?!?!

the implied to contact them
>
> > >> > The sad truth is that they'll realize that there are bad people in the
> > >> > world, and their father's role was to protect them from it.
> > >>
> > >> The sad truth is they are going to read.
> > >
> > >Indeed.
> >
> > Yup...they'll see ol' Stebies' bilious hatred standing out in
> > the Google archives for all time to come. Nothing but yards
> > and yards and yards and yards of his hatred against any who
> > dare disagree with him on anything...trash-mouth extraordinaire.
>
> Nope.
>
> They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> unfortunate one, at that...

repating your theats

>
> > >Doctor Robeson, heal thyself.
> >
> > He couldn't heal hisself afore. He be a walking sore, no
> > healing possible, lots of hurt in there and that makes him
> > HATE all that don't agree with him.
>
> And again Leonard H Anderson expresses a lie in public.

what "lie" are you reffering to?

>
> As if it was somehting NEW....

what is somehting?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

870. Re: Brian P Burke Leaving More Damning Shame for his Heirs...KB9RQZ Helping Him Along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 16:07:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121098076.570780.298030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112230

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I've provided numerous references to verify what I've said.
>
> clues to clues, don't count oh lazy one

NMC and AF MARS callsigns are not "clues to clues".

> > All you (or Brain and Markie) can do is TRY to blow enough smoke
> > in hopes that it covers your trail.
>
> prehaps true but it is all you can do too

Nope.

I've given dates, times, plaes, and in some cases persons.

Brain's given squat.

> > > >> No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.
> > > >
> > > >In your own words, not having the paperwork means you didn't do it.
> > >
> > > ...and there we have it!
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > The same orders are STILL in my SRB.
>
> and your SRB is not here in the RRAP

But it is veribyable by letter.

> > The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> > "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...
>
> then you do it if it is so easy

And if >>I<< do it, someone says "Oh yeah...you doctored that..."

If THEY do it, they have no excuse.

> > However certain parts of military records ARE researchable.
>
> and we might get fragments but it would amount to your sereachs for me
> without my name SS number dates of service etc

I did a thorough search, Markie...You didn't serve.

And you were too stupid to already have a "fake" name in place
when I joined RRAP.

> yougot nothing on me so I would not get anything on you

I got nothing on you because there was nothing to get.

You would fare better.

> > You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> > YOU.
>
> it would be embarrashing to waste time that way on a wild goose chase

Nope.

It would be embarrassing for you to see that I had been telling
the truth all along.

> > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
>
> and you are a lair under your own rules

I'm not a "lair" under any rules.

> > Lennie's not far behind. Still wondier what HIS "station" looks
> > like...He's tried to humilate everyone else who's dared show a picture
> > of ANY kinf of radio.
> >
> > > Meanwhile, without SOME corroboration from SOMETHING else besides
> > > "his records," all he's got is a bunch of smelly BS.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > References provided. Can't help it if you won't avail yourself of
> > them.
>
> no references provided

Sure there were. Ask Brain.

> > > <Stebie making some "threat" about Brian's children...>
> >
> > What "threat"...?!?!
>
> the implied to contact them

Oh make no mistake, I don't paln on nor will I "contact" them
before they are each age of majority.

However they are going to get an eyeful of thier father's
misbehaviour and dishonesty if they ever do a Google search for "Brian

Burke", N0IMD, etc.

> > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > unfortunate one, at that...
>
> repating your theats

"repating" what "theats"...???

Your "partner" have enough of typing your RRAP positngs
today...?!?!

> > > >Doctor Robeson, heal thyself.
> > >
> > > He couldn't heal hisself afore. He be a walking sore, no
> > > healing possible, lots of hurt in there and that makes him
> > > HATE all that don't agree with him.
> >
> > And again Leonard H Anderson expresses a lie in public.
>
> what "lie" are you reffering to?

That I "hate" all who "disagree" wit me.

> >
> > As if it was somehting NEW....
>
> what is somehting?

A clue.

Steve, K4YZ

871. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 16:22:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121098946.518088.321150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112231

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Big Snip To The Funny Stuff:
> > >
> > > > > It's "here and now". It's codified into the regulations for a
> > > > > federal license that YOU hold and are required to at least be familiar
> > > > > with.
> > > >
> > > > One being codised in regs does NOT mean it is not Arcane? Indeed most
> > > > Federal regs are the very defination of Arcane
> > > >
> > > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > > to obey them.
> > >
> >
> > must you engage in crying Stevi
>
> I'm not.
>
> But I AM laughing at you...
>
> Again...
>
> > > BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > >

break
> > > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
> >
> > What spin? It is a simple matter, obvious to anyone with a brain, ah
> > prehaps that does let you out.
```

>
> It IS a spin, Markie...

nope it isn't

>
> Answer my question, please...
>
> How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> with them...???

well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
signals wether i know the letter codes or not

My rig will not tranmit out band so I am covered there

>
> > Obeying the rules is all that is required.In the case of mode which
> > sparked this thread.
>
> How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> with them...???

several methods are possible such apllaince operating

not operating also insures I don't break the rules

one could count on simple luck (not wise but possible)

I am sure there are additional examples

>
> > don't need to what number letter combination is USB in order to use
> > it, I push a botton on the rig and it does that for me
>
> How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> with them...???

asked and answered

>
> > > And by the way...Yes you ARE required to be familiar with Part 97.
> > >
> > > Ask the FCC.
> >
> > Nope
>
> Uh huh...about what I figured...

I am required to obey
not understand

Nothing in part 97 says I have to understand it

>
> > > > > You can be expected to at least know where to find the information
> > > > > since you ARE responsible for it as a Commisssion licensee of an
> > > > > Amateur Radio station.
> > > > >
> > > > > expected sure I am expected by you to do lots of stuff
> > > > >
> > > > > Required no
> > > >
> > > Required by the FCC...Yes.
> >
> > You show where the FCC requires it. by rules and paragraph
>
> The line on the back of your license where it says you will abide
> by FCC rules and regualtions as thhey pertain to your Amateur Radio
> station.
>

...Abide by the FCC rules and regulation... nothing about understanding
them there

another Stevie LIE

> > I am merely required to the USE the airwaves correctly. If i di by use
> > Tarrot definiation the FCC doesn't care.
>
> Sure they do.

prove it. or even show something that suggests it

>
> Show me a Tarot (...not Tarrot) card deck that has Part 97 in it.

Tarrot Torot and Tarot are all vaild speling of the words

and you question merely shows you ignorance of the Tarrot

>
> > If I ID every 5 minute or 9 minutes because i don't remember the FCC
> > requires it every 10 the FCC doesn't care
>
> The FCC doesn't care if you "ID" once a minute...As long as there's
> no more than a 10 minute interval between them.

which I am fine if I id every signal I send regards of what I rember
about the rules

>
> Then they care.
>
> Read recent FCC NOV's and NAL's...Read the ARRL's webpage that
> cites letters sent to Amateurs who violated that very paragraph.

your point?

>
> > Indeed in large discussions I generaly id every transmisstion since it
> > might not get back around to me in 10 minutes
>
> If you didn't cause RF to be emitted, it doesn't matter.

not by what I hear maybe I just don't understand, and htta is what what
some of the old timers claim very loudy at time when they break and
play radio cop

>
> > > > BTW exactly where are they defined by paragraph Oh font of Knowledge
> > > > rival of the Oracle of Delphi
> > >
> > > Where are the rules defined by paragraph?
> > >
> > > Try Part 97 you blithering idiot!
> >
> > Stevie thinks Part 97 is a single paragraph
>
> The rules ARE defined in paragraphs in Part 97, Markie.

you have been asked for a citaion by paragraph All federal reg are
numbered by section and most by paragraph

>
> If you meant only ONE paragraph, that was due to YOUR failure to
> effectively express that thought...

I don't know how paragraphs you would need to cite So I don't mean One
para or ten you claim to know so you tell me

>
> Maybe a gradeshcool English writing course would help you...

nope

You learning what the rule say instead what you think they say is what
is needed

>
> > Instaead the turth is you up to stalking and harassment but everyone
> > (except perhaps you) knows that
>
> Nope. You came here. No one forced you.

so

You claim you have the right to stalk and harrass anyone that comes in
your feild of vision?

>
> > > But so far YOU keep stealing all my glory by beating me to it.
> >
> > Then shut up if showing me an idoit is your sole goal and you you feel

> > I am beating you to it
>
> There's that "idoit" thing again.

so

>
> You're holding at 100%.
>
> > You just manged to prove YOURself a lair
>
> I am not a lair. Nor am I a liar.

yes you are

>
> > > > > I am taking you to task for treating Hans so rudely then lying
> > > > > about it afterwards.
> > > > >
> > > > > and Hans can't defend himself?
> > > > >
> > > > > I was rdue to Han's
> > > >
> > > > Yes, you were.
> > >
> > > and you say Hans can't defend himself?
> >
> Nope. That's YOU "forging" my words.

then explain what you are doing

>
> > > > he was rude to me.
> > > >
> > > > No, he was not.
> > >
> > > Yes he was. Only I can decide what I consider rude treatment
> >
> > No. Society can set the parameters by which rude treatment is
> > determined.

not ture

>
> In no way shape or form did Hans cross that line.

says you? even IF I grant your "No. Society can set the parameters by
which rude treatment is
> determined" you are not empowered to act for Society, trying to do is what Makes you a
Vigilanty something form another thread.

>
> YOU did, however....

Auf anglish bitte is a phrase forbidden by Society?

Bullshit

>
> > > Anyone else over 12 with an interest in Amateur Radio had no
> > > problem with it.
> >
> > a hyperbole at least, I can at least one other person other 12 with an
> > interest in Ham radio hwho did not understand it
>
> I think they need to disperse flyers in your town warning them
> about you...No one should allow a 12 year old alone with you.

and more libel from you

If I thought you had anything worth taking that is enough to take you
to court for Stevie, at least in the Opinion of a paralegal (my partner
not me)

>
> > Then if you "grant" me these "rights" why do you haraas me for using
> > them?
>
> I don't "grant" you anything.

then you were lieing when you made the statement

" Actually, I consider you to have MORE rights than I consider
necesssary for myself.

Being an idiot is one of them. Being a chronic liar is yet
another.

Help yourself to them...They were made for you. They fit you like

a glove. "

your word not mine
you cut them out ofyour last post

but by your words I have those rights and if I am merely exercising
them what right do you have to complain

>
> > > Help yourself to them...They were made for you. They fit you like
> > > a glove.
> >
> > Then grant me the rights you say I have and get on the topic of Ham
> > Radio, instead of people bashing
>
> I am not people bashing.

you only engage in personal attack

you edit stuff to cover your tracks

>
> > > > > Hans' only mistake was assuming that you might be able to
> > > > > understand the answer.
> > > > >
> > > > gee Hans can't defend himself
> > > >
> > > He could quite ably if he chose to.
> > >
> > then let him
>
> But I am having such fun showing you for the rude creep that you
> are.
>
> But it's easy fun...You don't make it much of a challenge.
>
> > > He just wouldn't enjoy rubbing your nose in your droppings as much
> > > as I do, though...
> > >
> > Ah admiting to sadism again, but...
> > >
> > to work, it real has have some substance
>
> Sure it does...
>
> Folks can just surf Google under any of your "KONSTANS"
> nomme-de-guerre's you have used.
>

your point? or do you have one?

> > > Only in that you suggest something improper. My REAL intent was
> > > to try and get you to spontaneously issue Hans an apology for your
> > > smart mouth and abuse of his rendering of information to you.
> > >
> > That is an improper intent, and one doomed to failure
>
> Doomed to failure, perhaps.

a begining boyo
>
> Not improper, however.

It is imporper

>
> > In addition I claim the right to respond to what you post , and deny
> > any responiblity to figure out what other crap is lurking in your mind
> > >
> > > Didn't work. My bad.
> > >
> > a begining
>
> You missed the point again, but hey, what's new...?!?!

You had a point?

>
> > > If you want to lie, got lie next your your "aprtnr" for a while.
> > > Be sure to offer him some "Ben-Gay" first, though.
> >
> > Gee you know the gender of my partner? I have made a point of not
> > mentioning that takes a lot of typing in english.
> >
> > Been stalking me more closely than I think or making stuff up again?
>
> Nope. If you weren't such an idiot you'd remember what you wrote
> a week ago.

making up stuff as a point of fact my partner is female was avoiding it
becuase it wasn't and isn't any of your affair

>
> Or more MarkieLying..?!?!

no just Stevie lieing

>
> > > > I did rebuke the respondant (it is his place to ecide if he was
> > > > insulted) rudely if you like
> > >
> > > There was nothing to "rebuke".
> >
> > yes there was. his failure to answer a yes or no question
>
> So he's "guilty" for imparting MORE knowledge than you are capble
> of processing...

He imparted no knowledge something you have already agreed

>
> But you STILL couldn't be polite to him and ask for a better
> clarification if you didn't understand...?!?!

I did ask politely

I said "Auf Anglish Bitte"

I could have said "answer the question asked shithead" or other far
ruder responses

>
> > > Good thing, too...Because you'd already called him a liar. Just
> > > one more thing might ahve set him off.
> >
> > If Hans lies i may well call him on it to date I have heard nothing I'd
> > clearly a lie. I have my doubts on some details of some of his stories
> > but I don't care enough to follow up
> >
> > OTOH I don't care wether I set Hans off

>
> You should.

why?

> >
> > BTW are you ever going to bother to learn to spell the word "Have"?
>
> Lessee.....
>
> I OCCASSIONALLY transpose "h" and "a"...

I can't recallseeing the word have speeled right by you in days

>
> As opposed to your near-every sentence errors?

"judge not lest ye be judged"

>
> That's a load!
>
> BTW...Getting your "partner" to do your writing lately?

no why do you ask?

>
> > > > > You were wrong on several levels.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > Absolutely wrong on several levels.
> >
> > not at all on any level
>
> Wrong.

Stevie grow up

>
> > > > > > You owe Hans Brakob an apology.
> > > > >
> > > > > IF he asks I will consider it
> > > > >
> > > > > But not on your say so
> > > >
> > > If you don't consider it pertinent to do so without his asking,
> > > then you're obviously not sincere.
> >
> > Not at all
>
> Absolutely.

Stevie YOU insting on means it will not happen if hans asks I will
consider it If Jim Ney asks I will consider it, but the more you go the
less likely i will do it

I do nothing to please you

>
> > Hans (nor anyone else) is entitled an apology where no offense was
> > intended with indaicating in some form he was offended
>
> Obviously he was.

obviously was what?

auf anglish bitte

>
> > > But then what else is new...?!?!
> >
> > another stveie falsehood
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> > Is theire a manual of this fake socail rules or do you just make it all
> > up
> >
> > as I said I'll consider apologizing to hans if he asks, but I apologize
> > to NONE on your say so Stevie
>
> And like I said...if you haven't got the cajones to jsut offer him
> a sincere apology on your own, it's not..well...sincere...

oh I see what you mean now took you long enough to say what you mean
(if you are saying what you mean now) Then you have been chasing a wild
goose form moment one in this thread since of course If aplogize to
Hans now you will not consider it sincere, so logicly thier is
certianly no point in my doing so

>
> Steve, K4YZ

872. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 16:35:47 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1121099747.842143.3600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

beerbarrel wrote:
> On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:27:25 -0500, "Who Needs The ARRL?"
> <JIm@GuessWho> wrote:
>
> >The ARRL is not a necessary institution these days. It is self-propagating,
> >demands hefty dues and represents less than half of the licensed Amateurs in
> >the United States.
> >Besides, what does one get for the $40.00 per annum (or is it more?) fee? A
> >glossy magazine rife with advertisements? Admission to 'private' sections of
> >the ARRL web site? A monthly 'bulletin' sent to your email address? (more
> >spam)
> >Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if the
> >FCC has ever given that much credence.
> >
> >I guess there are still some old warhorses out and about who feel that it is
> >a mark of distinction to have the ARRL logo on their QSL cards...especially
> >those who boast of being part of the elite few, the proud, the Life Members.
> >
> >One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better things,
> >such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
> >wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
> >Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice the
> >elitism.
> >The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak with
> >those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
> >elitism.
> >
> >CQ Contest, anyone?
> >
> >
>
>
> So, what is your stance? Seems like you think they should be done away
> with? Is that true?
>
> What is your recommendation for a replacement? Do you have any
> solution at all?
>
> If you are not a part of the solution then you are part of the
> problem! I love folks that whine and cry. It is usually what they do
> best.

Imperfect though it is, the ARRL is the only voice the ham hobby has these days. It would be a very poor idea to do away with the ARRL without having something better to replace it.

The ARRL does need to refocus it's efforts toward attracting newer younger members to the hobby if it is to survive long term. The hobby won't survive if its quasi-regulator continues to do treat amateur radio as though it operates in the heyday that was the 1950's.

873. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 16:36:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 112233

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in saving
>lives and fortune.

How? What was their "major role"?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

874. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 16:47:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <BoxAe.1826$oZ.350@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112234

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
>>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in saving
>>lives and fortune.
>
>
> How? What was their "major role"?
>
>
I can only speak for us here in Bama. On HF we provided communication
between the State Headquarter EOC (Emergency Operations Center) to all
other local EOC's in the State of Alabama.

We provided logistic support concerning deployment of personnel to affected
area's and kept accurate information on various storm shelters around the
state.

We also provided inter-agency communications at a local and county level,
as required by the local on the scene commander.

This is but a small sample.

Dan/W4NTI

875. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 17:22:44 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <FVxAe.31744$up5.3360@lakeread02>
Source record: 112235

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>:
>
>>Kenny wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>>> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>>> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>>> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>>> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>>> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>>> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>>> in emergencies.
>>
>>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>>someone who needs to go back to school.
>
>
> I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
> the potential of CB radio.

really? have you even asked?
just for your info, I did here at the MCEOC. from what they told me, they
don't consider the "chicken band" to be of any use in a real emergency. too
many untrained idiots to have to manage was their words on the subject.

>
>
>>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>>they'll just key right over you until you die.
>
>
> Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.

again, thats real funny. I heard lots of reports of hams getting involved
and helping out. I did not hear even one report in any of the news media
regarding cb operators at all. care to site a source that I can verify?

>
>

>>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people
>>(that training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very
>>same EOC offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are
>>certain to get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old
>>man or a young kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup
>>(it has happened before).
>
>
> Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams
> out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
> to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
> willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
> case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
> that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
> grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
> ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find
> out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
> out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.
> How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's
> being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people;
> the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to
> drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the
> disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are
> both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over
> 4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling
> that kind of traffic.

your math is flawed..... 1 ham for every 461 people would only occur IF (and
only if) the entire nation were attacked en-masse by either biologics or
nuclear means (and by then, the telco infrastructure would have long since
been disabled). Besides, I have done emergency comms work before and have
handled upwards of 200+ communications per hour (such comms include name,
address, type of info, its priority, etc). thats an avg of 3 communications
per second (and a human can handle this quite easily - case in point: air
traffic controllers). point is, the type of disaster that would take out
everything (your scenario) just doesn't have any real basis in reality
currently. now it might down the road, but your "just suppose" take on this
is just not relevant.

>
> And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
> establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
> of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.

BULLSHIT.

recent fires in Arizona would prove you wrong. hams were passing a majority
of both the emergency and health/welfare traffic in and out of the fire
areas, as well as acting as coordinators for several emergency zones within
less than 100 miles of each other (it can be a real PITA to have someone
dispatching a plane to one area only to have someone else dispatch the same

plane elsewhere at the same time).

>
> In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB
> radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra
> radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular
> mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy
> in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even
> by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services;
> CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it
> or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately
> during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.

quantity is not quality. just because there are more, doesn't mean they are
any more useful. in fact, the average CB operator doesn't have even the
minimal training required to handle emergency communications where lives
might be at stake. couple that with all the idiot operators on the band who
would just as soon key over any traffic (hence "AUDIOOOOOO" or "HAMMER!")
as pay attention. I witness this time and again on local chan 19 down by
the truck stop (located some 5 miles south of me). you are lucky if you can
get a word in edgewise and god help you if you had a real emergency! I
could always record some of this "noise" save it as an mp3 and post it here
for all to hear as a typical morning on chan 19.

>
> But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a
> communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a
> nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption,
> or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary
> scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with
> the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger
> role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do
> so in the future.

yes. radio does have that purpose (an infrastructure backup) and only when
trained people are at the mic does it work effectively. how many people
do you know of that have worked CB during an emergency situation that have
been taken seriously by any EOC? so far, I can't find any real reports of
any, other than those "numerous and conflicting reports" that never pan out
as factual. again, I require you cite me some factual sources that can be
verified.

>
>
>>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).
>
>

> The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes
> down.

WRONG. land lines have their own emergency backup power (the same cannot be said for most cellular towers which often die due to load and cheap backup supplies. the telco system (hard land lines) run on a 48 volt system that is backed up at multiple locationsusing batteroes (batteries that are 2 volts each setup in series and capable of delivering several thousands aperes each). these backup supplies are usually located in central offices which are distributed throughout the area and cross connected to offer redundant capability (lose one co, and you have 3 others to take up the slack). I may not be a telcom engineer, but I know a fair number of them personally, so asking this type of question wasn't hard.

>
>
>>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>>say: NAY!
>
>
> Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
> selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
> said for ham radio.

again, BULLSHIT. I have never heard any ham operator charge for his services. in fact, it is a violation of part 97 if he tries (and also several other regulations and a few laws).

>
>
>>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of
>>young and old hams there and not many of them would even match your
>>description.
>
>
> Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
> carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
> dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
> Republican.

oh really? and what facts do you base this low opinion on? I think the only arrogant, elitist SOB here is you. you have offered no facts, you have argued on baseless points and yet you still cannot accept the fact that you are wrong. you are just arguing to be arguing.

>
>
>>of course, we only have your words on what they appeared (at least to
>>you). what the hey. you must need a white cane and dark glasses (you
>>certainly sound blind to the truth to me).

>
>
> How is your CW coming along, Eric?

the same could be asked for you.....
whether I decide to learn CW or not is not relevant to this discussion. just
because I didn't take that portion of the exam doesn't mean I don't know
it. besides, your entire argument with regards to this thread is:
_... .._ ._.. ._.. ... . _

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

876. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 17:40:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <38a5d19fsbc3cpvebcs03r6ql6lflfj772@4ax.com>
Source record: 112236

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:47:29 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<BoxAe.1826$oZ.350@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>>>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in saving
>>>lives and fortune.
>>
>>
>> How? What was their "major role"?
>>
>>
>I can only speak for us here in Bama. On HF we provided communication
>between the State Headquarter EOC (Emergency Operations Center) to all
>other local EOC's in the State of Alabama.

Don't they use landlines used for the primary comm and keep wireless
in standby for backup? And don't they have sat-phones? PSP repeaters?

>We provided logistic support concerning deployment of personnel to affected
>area's and kept accurate information on various storm shelters around the
>state.

Paperwork.

>We also provided inter-agency communications at a local and county level,
>as required by the local on the scene commander.

Most states already have those systems in place using the PSP. Your's
doesn't?

>This is but a small sample.

I don't see any "major role" or "life-saving" operations. I -do- see
an emergency communications system that's barely evolved from the days
of Marconi. Did you monitor any CB chatter? Hear any distress calls on
channel 9? I'm sure there were a few. Do you have a REACT group in
your area?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

877. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 17:40:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121103629.454255.289720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112237

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Lot's of snips to clip out most of Mark's sandlot one word
> > > responses to lengthy reposts.
> > >
> > > > > I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
> > > > > father yourself?
> > > >
> > > > My father has read your words
> > >
> > > I doubt it.
> >
> > I am sure you do, OTOH that also has nothing to do with the turth
>

break

> What's a "turth"..?!?! Some pagan worship idol?

another example of Stevie's alleged respect for religous tolerance

>
> > > > get over your obsession with me
> > >
> > > You flatter yourself, Markie.
> >
> > You answer every word I post that is obsession
>
> You don't pay attention. That's stupid.

I pay attention I just don't agree with you

>
> > and it is not flattery
>
> It's flattery when you think that ANYONE needs to "earn" respect
> with you.

Another Stevie lie

I said anyone that WANTS my repsect NEED to earn it, and by MY
standards

and that isn't flattery that is a fact

it even aplies equaly to you

>
> You're an admitted liar and sexual pervert. No one need prove
> anything to you.

and you are a sex prevert by being so concerned with what other other
consenting adult are doing with THIER genitals
>
> > > > > Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
> > > > > is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
> > > > > become too easy for you to be weak.
> > > > >
> > > > > Stevie I am not the one taking money of the public dole and go off
> > > > > pretending how macho i am
> > > >
> > > > "Public dole"..?!?!
> > >
> > > Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
> > > it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
> > >
> > > You deny being on disability?
> >
> > Absolutely.
> >
> > Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.
> >
> > I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I
> > might add.

By the way the above statement is redherring means nothing to the point

>
> > > > > > > > Tom Cruise is dyslexic. He fixed his problem. You're just lazy
> > > > > > > > on several levels.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > he says he has
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And judging by his bankroll and popularity, I'd say he has.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dyslexia does not affect acting skill which Tom has
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure it does.
> > > >
> > > > idoit, ignorant cracker

>
> There you go with "idoit" again, Markie.
>
> Just the day before yesterday you insisted you don't mispell that
> when you use it "against" someone. Wheelp...You've blown it every
> single time so far.
>
> And "ignorant cracker"...?!?!

yep ignorant cracker

don't you know what that means

>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm...You insist that YOU are not a bigot, yet here
> you are using a racial epithet.

Not at all racial I am calling you poor and southern

>
> And you call me "ignorant" in the same sentence where YOU mispell
> "idiot"...

one is not conected to the other

>
> ROTMFFLMMFAO!
>
> > > > no I don't you need to get a life
> > >
> > > Huh?
> >
> > what part of get a life don't you understand?
>
> The part where you run all your words together without sentence
> breaks or punctuation.

How do you handle Morse Code? really the slightest error in text that
you go back over and you are lost. but in more code you can follow
things no matter what

>
> > > > I have no problems in need of fixing. I am fine just the way I am
> > >
> > > Yes you do and no you aren't.
> >
> > NO I am fine no problem in need of fixing
>
> You are wrong.

wrong about what?

>
> > The Help you think I need

> > would try (and suceeded long before we met) would focus on making me
> > conformatable with who I am. I am comfortable with who I am.
>
> I see you've been to counselling before.

yes so?

>
> Too bad it didn't work.

it did, if it had not you would likely be dead by now, and my lawyer
would have tried an insanity defense, when you threaten to kill those
years ago

>
> Is that why you left Illinois? In any case, no MHCW worth their
> salt is going to let you be "comfortable" as a sexual deviant and
> admitted liar.

Stevie the mental health field does not consider Homosexaul or bisexaul
behavoir to be mentaly ill

Lying is not an illness either, it is a choice, sadly the actions of
folks like you, and worse than you have convinced me that lieing about
myself on line is sadly the only safe way to live and be online

and none of this has anything to do why I left Illinois mst folks do
move every few years you seem to have have few moves since I have been
gone.

and why should I explain why I choose to move to the likes of you

>
> > > > in that post you had not said anything about pgan sim and i pointed
> > > > that out now you fraudulently hack the post to take it out of context
> > > >
> > > > Fraud
> > >
> > > What's "pgan sim"...?!?!
> >
> > you are a fraud and a forger
>
> That's how you answer the question "What's a "pgan sim"...?!?!

nope it is how I choose to repsond to word games

>
> And no, I am not a fraud and forger.

sure are

>
> > > You've made several assertions that I have said I "am" certain
> > > things and I've asked you repeatedly to show where you get that from.

> >
> > and i have done so
>
> In your imagination, perhaps. But not in THIS forum.

I have done a beter than you have other YOUR miliatary record

>
> > more than you have shown anything about your Conections to Mars or
> > your promotions of your great action hero stuff
>
> Mars? I've never been to Mars. Seen it through a telescope,
> though.

never said had been to Mars

>
> And "great action hero stuff"...What are you talking about?

Don't you know? guess you realy were lying then

>
> > ##### is merely a vulgarity
>
> It's merely unacceptable.

to you

but nothing your answer to indicate that Shit even is unacceptable to
you as opposed to say Fuck
>
> > now for example ########## is a profanity
>
> No...that's a blasphemy.

######### is neither which is my point

>
> > but more likely to say "##### off" my normal response to efforts to
> > convert me, than #####
>
> Who'd want to "convert" you...???

most christains

>
> Not me.
>
> I wouldn't want a liar of your magnitude in any place of worship
> that I was in, even though you sorely need the help...
>
> > In the words of Good morning vietnam...
>
> It's not "...In the words of Good morning vietnam..." It's

> "...from the movie Good Morning Viet Nam"

you clearly understand the reference grow up
>
> And do you have ANY real-world quotes you like? Or is this
> evidence of your lay-about lifestyle...

you did not like the Omar Bradley quote, and i Love the Bush quote "The senator has many strngly held views..he just doesn't hold em for very long" haven't had a good reason to trot that one out.

evidence it is I suppose that I do watch movies, Something wrong with that?

>
> > well you missed the parts about minding yuor own busness...(SNIP)
>
> You post in a public forum, it becomes "my business".

Nope

when you have to go off and hunt something down and bring it here as you did long ago with my sexaul orientation

>
> > (UNSNIP)...and and being
> > polite, you were never polite, still are never polite to anyone you
> > adress in here
>
> That's a blatant lie.

no it is not

you came in here full of smug shit calling yourself so tolerant that YOU were willing to see granted 5WPM licenses, if we were gratefull enough to you and accepted that as the final words

that was rude as hell

>
> > you also did not learn not to forge peoples words
>
> I haven't forged anything.

you have forged my words in the post I am replieing to
>
> You need to get a Webster's and use it from time to time,
> Markie...Either that, or public a Markie-to-English

You will forge my words when you alter this sentence you are full of shit

>
> > > > > I haven't. I simply choose to not wilfully repeat your profanity.
> > > >

> > > > nope you are altering my post and others
> > >
> > > Nope. I choose to not retransmit your profanities.
> >
> > and thereby altering them
>
> Nope. They're still there for all to see. Just not under MY
> hand.

No they are there for all to see you have hidden them

>
> > > Anyone that wonders what you said can back up in Google and see
> > > what it was.
> >
> > but none one should have to
>
> No one should have to see themm in the first place, but you insist
> on acting like a foul-mouthed 5 year old in an adult forum.

am I 5 or 12 yo? do make up your mind

Btw Few 5 yo's could act as I do, they don't know what I know or say
what I say

And I don't see you "correcting" the foul words of other posters just
those you disagree with

>
> > > I'm neither harassing nor srtalking you.
> >
> > you are harrassing me and stalking
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> You come to this forum and post here of your own volition. To the
> best of my knowledge, no one tied you up and forced or coerced you to
> come here.

So I have the right to come here

>
> > > You're not disabled.
> >
> > you Know this how?
>
> By reading your messages here.
>
> > > You're lazy and arrogant. There's a difference.
> >

> > and thank god I live nowhere that I might ever be brought to your
> > hospital for treatment
>
> Sure you do.

No I don't

>
> My license allows me to practice in Michigan.

but you live (if you call it that) in Tenneese

>
> > I am lazy and a touch arrogant...(SNIP)
>
> Don't underestimate yourself, Mark. You're hugely arrogant.

I don't I simple don't claim more than my due

>
> > which does not of course mean I am or
> > that I am not diabled. Legaly I am quite disabled, and you swore to
> > uphold the law
>
> And my Oath in the Armed Forces has nothing to do with rubbing
> your nose in your lies and deceit, Mark.

again with aviodance of the issue

>
> > "BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA"
> > >
> > if you will please keep the line length to a screen width otherwise it
> > makes repling so much harder
>
> Too bad, Markie.

to bad that you are rude, certainly but it is not in my power to change
you

>
> You insist on acting like a profane prepubescent. I laugh my butt
> off at it.

then laugh

>
> > > Simple fix: PROOFREADING
> >
> > why? proofread it it for your eyes most of this. That it offends you in
> > current form mans it is doing double duty
> >
> > To fix something it needs be broken

>
> Oh...You're broken alright...

not at all
more of your personal attacks

Stevie you are boring me

>
> > > > and Stveie thinks also I must live to his standards
> > >
> > > So now you support a known domestic terrorist.
> >
> > No I admire some of his Character traits, wish he had put them to
> > better use
>
> So now you support a known domestic terrorist.

Can't you read

I admire BTW Adolf Hitler, Satlin, Charles II. They did Great things
terrible yes but great (a harry potter quote yes)

>
> > For that Matter The nerve of the 9/11 attackers, wish they had put
> > their virtures to better use
>
> ...and international terrorists.

nope

More Stevie Fraud

>
> No big surprise there, either...

that you are lying again no there isn't much surprise

>
> > > > well Gacy seem to have met his needs for years not that familer ith
> > > > Bundy, Mcvigh I have addressed and Dahmer met his needs for his
> > > > lifetime for themselves they could have done worse
> > >
> > > So it's OK in the Markie Book of Logic to murder as long as you're
> > > "meeting (your) needs"...?!?!
> >
> > Nope never said that, never said anything about logic about about
> > murder being ok
>
> Sure you did...

No I did not. I am able to look at them see the man and the Monster
(another Lost in space quote, I admire the Doc Smith character in many
way, while being appaled by him to)

>
> You said "...well Gacy seem to have met his needs for years..."
>
> His "needs" were to murder young men.

yes I said that never said that they were right or not. You assumed you
can't infer one from the other

>
> Your post suggests you find that OK.

only to you as far as I know

to anyone else I do apologise for any misunderstanding

>
> Are you willing to clarly state that murder and anyone who murders
> is abhorrent?

not at all

Murder is sometimes the only thing to do, for example if you decide to
show up at my QTH one of us will die. I will rsik the charge of murder

If the law breaks down such as in a roit I may ahve no real choice but
to murder those that seem to threaten me.

To stalk and kill some that rpes you or a loved one byt escaped the
ends of justice is not abhorant to me, Illgel yes

Not saying any of this aplies to Gacy. some might aplly though to
McViegh.

Indeed on the Subject of McViegh let me make myself clear In My Opinion
if McViegh had claimed that he was comtting an act of war against the
USA, he should have been held as a POW until and unless the USA
Military decided to porale him. This detention would require no trial,
and he essentaily could be kept as we keep folks in gitmo today.

>
> > > Read the sarcasm, blockhead.
> >
> > then get it through your head I don'tshare your sense of moral values
>
> Oh, I get that...

But you don't understand what it means

>
> You don't share the idea that honesty and trustworthniess are good
> moral values.

no I don't

>
> No problem.

you seem to have a problem with it

>
> > You are a liar and a fraud.
> > Perhaps I have some issues you have a subscription to deal with (still
> > like that phrase stolen from Law & Order)
>
> More TV-land quotes.

so

>
> Is there ANYthing you do in "the real world"...?!?!

yes of course

but the writers do come up with better quotes, they get paid for it

>
> > > > > You're not only a liar, but an admitted liar.
> > > > >
> > > > > yep
> > > >
> > > Sheesh.
> > >
> > Yes deal with it
> >
> > and as the time comes I will teach my children to that there are times
> > to lie
>
> God forbid you ever procreate. I'll have to change my mond on
> abortion.

God will not forbid it on YOUR say so.

and abortion remaining legal will not stop me from procreating, unless
you add the NAZI measure of asserting a legal right of the State to
force abortion
>
> > > > > In my PROFESSIONAL opinion.
> > > > >
> > > > > you have no professional opinion Nursie
> > > >
> > > Sure I do...And by acknowledging that I am a Nurse you contradict
> > > yourself.
> > >
> > you are not quailified to have one.
>
> Sure I am. I have a professional license.

but in the wrong field

>
> > One nobody not even a doctor can dianose without meeting the pataint
>
> No one has "diagnosed" you with anything.

you have claimed to do so

>
> > Two, you are a nurse, and not a doctor
>
> Uh huh.
>
> > Three, you are in the lowest grade of Nurse
>
> Not quite.
>
> > Four, your field are in the wroung field to make the judgement
>
> No I'm not.

ER vs Mental health yep you are

>
> > so I don't contrdict myself
>
> Sure you do. Repeatedly.

haven't yet

>
> > > > > I'm not harrassing you, nor am I lying about you. Nor am I
> > > > >
> > > > > You are harrrasing and lieing baout not harassing me
> > > >
> > > > I'm not harrassing you, Mark.
> > > .
> > > sure are
>
> Nope. You came here. I didn't come looking for you.

You came looking for my home (you referied to my website) you bring up
things that have no bearing here

you are harrasing me

>
> > > Nor am I lying about you.
> >
> > you are big time
>
> Nope. Not one word of it untrue.

lair

>
> > > > which means you are admiting to distorting my point and taking it out
> > > > of context
> > >
> > > If you could really comprehend English well, Mark, you'd
> > > understand why that looks so stupid.
> >
> > to you I am sure it does, doesn't mean #### though
>
> Sure it does. It means you don't comprehend English well. And
> it's not what I think...It's what you demonstrate in this forum.

more forgery and fraud on your part
>
> > > There you go lying agin, Markie.
> >
> > It is true you are NOT respecting the Fact I hold a different religion
> > and that the tenents of my faith don't include many things you hold
> > dear.
>
>
> > Honesty for example you claim it is vital, I that it is often dangerous
> > and to avoided
>
> But not because you claim to be a pagan.

thenwhy do you mention my religion. What has my religion got to do Ham
radio

>
> Because you're an admitted liar and deceiver.

Huh?

>
> > If I were I lying though fine I is my right even you grant that it is
> > my right
> > why do you spend such effort trying to stop me from doing something
> > even you agree is my right
>
> Because it's MY right to address your lying in a public forum.

it is never your right to harrass another person

>
> That's MY right.

nope

You have NO legal right to libel

>
> > > No. Because decent people in a decent society don't lie like you
> > > do, Mark.

> >
> > Assuming again
> >
> > Next to pick apart your assumtion
> >
> > central assumetion The US is a decent society, is something I reject.
> >
> > In a Decent Society a person could publish his address without having
> > to fear being harmed for being dfferent
>
> Who's harming you?

you are be choosing to engage in actions that you know or should know endanger my Safety (and Todds and BB for that matter)

You know or should that labeling someone Gay in the USA Today puts them at risk of attack, and that publishing thier addrss or aiding others in finding there address aids such attackers

>
> I've never touched you..Wouldn't either. There ARE things in this
> world I would kill or maim for.

You merely give and confort to those that would

>
> You being a lying punk isn't one of them.
>
> > In a Decent Soceity diferences are tolerated
>
> Differences are.

no they are not

>
> Lying and deceit are not.

in a decent socieity they are not vital to survial

>
> > Next you assume I aspire to being decent in your eyes, I don't
>
> I only assume that you understand that if you're going to keep
> trying to make an issue of what your "rights" are, I am going to use
> those SAME rights.

You are not being endangered Steve. I am. You have NO right to take action that Endanger Me Todd or BB such as you do when you post satements claiming we are gay tue or not that is dangerous in the USA today

I know you will continue to assert your alleged right to endanger anyone you choose

To threaten their lives and safety

and sadly prove we don't live in a decent Society

>
> If you don't like them, all I can say is YOU have a problem.

Indeed I have one, as does Society

>
> > Therefore from my point of view I am a person (decent or not) in an
> > indecent society, and I make my choices accordingly.
>
> Society is "indecent" only where decent people allow it to be that

and you are amoug that allow (if you are in fact a decent person which
I doubt)

> way (Or where indecent people choose to try and make it that way...).
> Unfortunately it sometimes takes a slap in the face, directly or
> otherwise, to get people to defend that society or lose it.

then defend it stop endanering others stop objecting to other taking
measures designed to improve their safety and still exercise their
rights
>
> Weak gene pool individuals such as yourself contribute to that
> indcent society.

NAZI as soon as you start on genes you show your true colors hate
monger

>
> > I am lied too
> > everyday (unless I don't get out of bed and I don't turn on the TV)...(SNIP)
>
> You forgot "...or look in the mirror to shave..."

I shave? ever these days?

>
> >so are you
>
> Yep.
>
> Difference between you and I is that I do my best to not tolerate
> it.

no the defference is that you can afford the luxury

>
> > > You're not "good people", Mark
> >
> > already said I am not I am by your standards EVIL fine
>

> I never said you were "EVIL", Mark...

but I did

by your standards It is clear I am

Don't bother me a bit

>
> Please remeind me who was calling who a "forger" here...?!?!

never said you said, I said it,

However bsaying someone is Not Good is normaly about the same thing

>
> > > How? Have you ever served in the Armed Forces? Where were you
> > > stationed? What rank did you obtain? What MOS did you hold?
> >
> > 53E trained at Ft McCellan AL, served active Duty Ft Sill Ok Ft Hood
> > and FT Bliss TX did TDY Sawyer AFB (which is why I finaly moved up here
> > loverly area) was discharged for active Army joined the Nation Gaurd (I
> > will not say what state except it wasn't Illinois or Michigan),
> > answered questions about rank
>
> Still a lie. No matter how many times you re-state it.

nope

>
> And the only "rank" (other than 'very') You've ever claimed was as
> a Colonel, then LT in the "Chemical Corps"

yep

>
> You are not a Veteran in ANY branch of the Armed Forces.

prove it

without my name or SS number, date of service

>
> > > No you don't. See what lying does, Mark? Now you actually
> > > believe your own lies.
> >
> > not altogether a lie, but enough lies in mortar that you can't (more
> > importantly others can't) find me
>
> Mark, if I wanted to "find" you, you'd be no more than two phone
> calls away from a knock on your front door. Don't delude yourself.

more threats

If you are so sure I am a criminal make the call, but be preapred to go
to jail your self

>
> > > I understand fine. And you're wrong about anyone "understanding"
> > > about your lies.
> >
> > no you still don't understand but is in some ways good
>
> I understand fine.

no you don't but that is ok good little boy

>
> You're a liar and deceiver.

yes when I need to be
>
> Not to be trusted under ANY circumstances.

If you don't trust me fine no skin off my nose

>
> > > You misrepresented your status as a Commissioned Officer in the
> > > Armed Forces. You lied about being drafted. You lied about serviing
> > > in the "chemical corps".
> >
> > never lied about being drafted...(SNIP)
>
> Lie.

truth

>
> > never leid about being in Chimical corps.
>
> Lie.

Truth

>
> > I did tell lies to devirt a threatening stalker about my rank
>
> Lies, lies and more lies.

Your words "one shot one kill even in Illiopolis"

>
> Lies to cover up lies about lies.

got that one rigth

>
> > > Lied, lied, and more lies...
> >
> > and you can't tell the truth from the lie which I have done well in my

> > purpose
>
> Adding up lies is like adding up zeros, Markie...

then it would not bother you if they were zeros

>
> > > Who'd hurt your family? Just more white trash on the "public
> > > dole"...Who needs that...?!?!
> >
> > You are on the public dole not me. I make my own living, could be
> > better but good enough for me
>
> There's that "public dole" thing again.

it is reprted that yu are on disablity prove yourself not

>
> Who told you I am on Welfare?

you did

>
> > You threaten to shot me...(SNIP)
>
> It's "shoot me", and no, I didn't.

"one shot one kill even in Illiopolis"

that is a threat

>
> > (UNSNIP)...and if you'd gone through with it then it
> > would have been my father you killed not me. In those days I traveled a
> > lot and used my fathers address for mail and such did not live there
>
> You think I'm not able to discern between a punk in his 30's as
> opposed to someone your father's age???

no I don't nor between my father and who I hired to take care of him,
and if you shot either of him or me youd have to kill my dad too or die
yourself

>
> > > YOU claimed to be a "Colonel".
> >
> > yep but that has nothing to do with the issue
>
> Sure it does. The "issue" is that Mark C Morgan is a pathological
> liar.

Lair yes Pathological well there you go practicing med without the
right license nursie

>
> That you purported to be a Field Grade officer is evidence of it.

nope

>
> > > > using reserve status and threat to reactive in active duty and assugn
> > > > me the job if I don't do it as a civilian at thier offer of pay
> > >
> > > You don't qualify for military service on several levels. NO ONE
> > > can be compelled to serve in the Armed Forces except by way of the
> > > Selective Service, and they are not actively compelling military
> > > conscription.
> >
> > wroung even you can recalled I believe, and I could find some folks in
> > Iraq that would deny your statement
>
> Hot flash, Markie....
>
> There's not a single Soldier, Sailor, Airman or Marine in Iraq
> that's not a volunteer.

Bullshit

then why is the Stop loss order needed

plenty of them have served thier time and would leave if they could

I can name one them them LT (wether jg or not i don't know) Hojnacki
USN

In addtion the British army includes consprits even by your language

>
> They may not LIKE being there, however not a single one of them
> was compelled to join the Armed Forces.

to reamin after there tour of duty

the moment that ended and they had to stay they BECAME draftees as I
use the term

>
> > > In other words...MORE LIES!
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

no

>
> > > Mark, you keep telling ME to "stop beating the dead equine", yet
> > > here YOU are trying to perpetuate a story that is so ludicrous as to
> > > make one wonder what 8 year old hatched it!

> >
> > no you are perpetuating it
> >
> > You brought it,
> >
> > you keep on about it
> >
> > I am merely trying to answer you
> >
> > If you drop it it goes away
>
> What goes away?

most of these threads

>
> If I stop replying to you you'll stop lying?

I will stop repeating statement you say are lies yes

>
> The truth is that if I "drop it", you'll get to go on with your
> lying and deceiveing.

nope

>
> > > > > And the draft does not "force" your "consent". You have the
> > > > > option, with consequences, to refuse to be drafted.
> > > > >
> > > > That is mighty generous of you to admit you have imporved over the
> > > > years I guess
> > > >
> > > There's nothing generous here.
> > >
> > for what i expected it was generous of you. I adknowledge something
> > good about you and you ######
>
> You did no such thing.

yes I did

I did not expect you to admit a person could refuse under any
circumstances a selective service order

>
> > Unlike you I don't engage in forgery
>
> I don't engage in "forgery".

you sure do
and you will shortly when you turn the word shit into something else

>
> > > > > Not my grandfather, nor great-grandfather, nor any other person in
> > > > > my DNA string...Just my father.
> > > > >
> > > > > You have taken it up with the wroung fellow Stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > BB did the Rober'sSon thing
> > > >
> > > > You repeated it. Agreed with it. Makes you equally culpable.
> > >
> > never repaeted except to coment on it agreed no
>
> Sure you did.

lie

>
> > OTOH your portesting so loud about it are makking me wonder if you
> > aren't Robber's Son to be so senitive about it
>
> Nope. Already suggested that you get my dad's name from QRZ and
> check NCIC.

His name or Callsign would be a start
I am sure that I could plenty of Roberson or Robeson or varinats that
are in the NCIC

>
> > > > > I think it's IRONIC that everytime you TRY to call me an "IDIOT",
> > > > > you spell it wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > > It is ironic but i don't do it everytime, and you know it and you still
> > > > > lie about it
> > > >
> > > > No lie.
> > >
> > yes a lie
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> You've mispelled "idiot" every time in this post alone.
so

you understand?

Idiot

and Idoit

>
> > > You do it.

> >
> > not every time
>
> Enough to be well over 99%. Somewhere between 99.999% and 100%

now you prove yourself a lair in going form everytime to whatever you
are claiming now

>
> > > > > > they recoded fairy tales and that is what they are best known for but
> > > > > > Like, Heddy Lamar was best known as actress not an inventor, they were
> > > > > > also linguists and wote most of the basic work in that field
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But their writings, and what YOU cited, were tales.
> > > > >
> > > > > No idoot they wrote down tales and they were linguists something youd
> > > > > know if you were educated
> > > >
> > > > See what I mean.
> > >
> > > No I don't
> >
> > Yes...Yo do.

nope

>
> > > You ARE an idiot.
> > >
> > > And the "Brothers Grim" were "linguists" like Cheech and Chong
> > > were "pharmaceutical consumption specialists"
> > >
> > > Ignorant uneducated fool
> >
> > Yes, you are.
> >
> > > www.webcrawler.com seacrh Girmm Linguist
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > What's in "Girmn Linguist"...?!?!

I posted it for you

>
> > are just a few of the things you will fined
> >
> > I am fined? For what?

more lies

>
> > 64 entires on webcrawler most looked to be on target

>

www.thefreedictionary.com/linguist [Found on Google]
3. Jakob Ludwig Karl Grimm - definition of Jakob Ludwig Karl Grimm by
...
Information about Jakob Ludwig Karl Grimm in the free online English
dictionary and encyclopedia. ... Jakob Grimm linguist linguistic
scientist ...
www.thefreedictionary.com/Jakob Ludwig Karl Grimm [Found on Google]

Re: Grimm's Law
Grimm's Law From: Mark Young <Saintmgy> Prev by Subject: Re: Grimm's
Law. Next by Subject: Greatest Linguist. Prev by thread: Re: Grimm's
Law...
linguist.emich.edu/~ask-ling/archive-1998.4/msg00830.html [Found on
Ask Jeeves]
6. Encyclopedia: Grimm's Law
As formulated nowadays, Grimm's Law describes the development of
inherited Proto-Indo-European ... Karl Adolf Verner (1846 - 1896) was a
Danish linguist. ...
www.nationmaster.com/encyclopedia/Grimm's-Law [Found on Google]

> I can also find thousands of web hits on sexual deviants...I bet
> if I was weak minded enough to let it happen, I could beleive that a
> guy like you was "comfortable".

more avoidence

you are busted you lying sack of shit
'
>
> > > I am not a jerk, and there's nothing of mine you could defend.
> >
> > but I can
> >
> > >
> > > You didn't take that oath.................
> >
> > and I did
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> Steve, K4YZ

and you are a lying sack of shit

878. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 17:54:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121104440.115729.185210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

deneb@bobdbob.com wrote:
> I think this is the first time I've ever heard anyone talk about CB in
> an emergency communications context.
>
> I guess the police still routinely monitor channel 9 just about
> everywhere, but just about every square inch of North America is
> covered by 2m repeaters, too. I can only remember one occasion when I

sorry ole boy but you are overstateing things

> had to make an emergency call from the road. I didn't have a cell phone
> or a CB handy, but I did have a 2m mobile, and I was able to get in
> touch with a ham who called the police and fire departments for me. The
> repeater was about 30 miles away, and the ham was 75 miles away, but
> the state police are local everywhere.

all depends on wht you call local

>
> I don't think ham radio has become irrelevant as a result of a few
> other services backing them up. You don't see too many CB'ers or cell
> phone enthusiasts conducting SETs or training with paramedics.
>
> You can't count on hams to tell you where the speed traps are either.

879. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 18:12:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121105522.353239.72880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112239

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I've provided numerous references to verify what I've said.
> >
> > clues to clues, don't count oh lazy one
>
> NMC and AF MARS callsigns are not "clues to clues".

nothing posted Stevie nothing not burried in the shit you shovel out

>
> > > All you (or Brain and Markie) can do is TRY to blow enough smoke
> > > in hopes that it covers your trail.
> >
> > prehaps true but it is all you can do too
>
> Nope.

then do it post something

>
> I've given dates, times, plaes, and in some cases persons.

not that I can find

you post too much stuff to sort through

61 pages of entiries in google

>
> Brain's given squat.

you are the subject

>
> > > > >> No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.
> > > > >
> > > > >In your own words, not having the paperwork means you didn't do it.
> > > >
> > > > ...and there we have it!
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > The same orders are STILL in my SRB.
> >

> > and your SRB is not here in the RRAP
>
> But it is veribyable by letter.

veribyable?

without knowing names or dates or ss numbers yea right
>
> > > The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> > > "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...
> >
> > then you do it if it is so easy
>
> And if >>I<< do it, someone says "Oh yeah...you doctored that..."

gee get the idea tha prove is hard to manage boyo

>
> If THEY do it, they have no excuse.

really and if we do it you calim we did wroung or didn't do it all

>
> > > However certain parts of military records ARE researchable.
> >
> > and we might get fragments but it would amount to your sereachs for me
> > without my name SS number dates of service etc
>
> I did a thorough search, Markie...You didn't serve.

i know better

>
> And you were too stupid to already have a "fake" name in place
> when I joined RRAP.

realy?

not fake btw just different

>
> > yougot nothing on me so I would not get anything on you
>
> I got nothing on you because there was nothing to get.

becuase you went where I lead you

>
> You would fare better.

doubt it

you stories cahnge so much I'd never know what I found or if it had
anything to do with you

Not even certain you are Steve Robeson K4YZ or K4CAP instead someone
using his name and so on (you likely are but it is hardly proven)

>
> > > You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> > > YOU.
> >
> > it would be embarrashing to waste time that way on a wild goose chase
>
> Nope.

yes it would. without anything to look for it would be a wild goose
chase

>
> It would be embarrassing for you to see that I had been telling
> the truth all along.

No it would not be, it would be shocking but hardly embarrassing, very
little embassris me, haven't you noticed Stevie

If I were proved wroung id admit it and move on, not beat it to death

>
> > > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
> >
> > and you are a lair under your own rules
>
> I'm not a "lair" under any rules.

yes you are

you own.

You are not a lair in This matter BTW by mine, simply the telling of an
unconfirmed story

I call you a lait for other thing but not this

>
> > > Lennie's not far behind. Still wondier what HIS "station" looks
> > > like...He's tried to humilate everyone else who's dared show a picture
> > > of ANY kinf of radio.
> > >
> > > > Meanwhile, without SOME corroboration from SOMETHING else besides
> > > > "his records," all he's got is a bunch of smelly BS.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > References provided. Can't help it if you won't avail yourself of
> > > them.
> >
> > no references provided

>
> Sure there were. Ask Brain.

BB were there references?

>
> > > > <Stebie making some "threat" about Brian's children...>
> > >
> > > What "threat"...?!?!
> >
> > the implied to contact them
>
> Oh make no mistake, I don't paln on nor will I "contact" them
> before they are each age of majority.

but you implied you would that becomes a threat

just like it is crime to threaten a president even if you don't mean
it.

that fact clearly implies you CAN threaten thing even if you don't mean
to follow up

>
> However they are going to get an eyeful of thier father's
> misbehaviour and dishonesty if they ever do a Google search for "Brian
> Burke", N0IMD, etc.

They will get a confusing mass of claims counter claims and name
calling I'd not change how I felt abotu my dad on the basis of any
such drivel

>
> > > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > > unfortunate one, at that...
> >
> > repating your theats
>
> "repating" what "theats"...???

your implied threats

2 phone call to knock on my door

One Shot one kill...

you implied threat to contact them

your threat to paint a blue L on my and brains head

>
> Your "partner" have enough of typing your RRAP positngs
> today...?!?!

my partner has never typed one of my posts

if she did they'd be her posts

>
> > > > >Doctor Robeson, heal thyself.
> > > > >
> > > > > He couldn't heal hisself afore. He be a walking sore, no
> > > > > healing possible, lots of hurt in there and that makes him
> > > > > HATE all that don't agree with him.
> > > >
> > > > And again Leonard H Anderson expresses a lie in public.
> > >
> > what "lie" are you reffering to?
> >
> That I "hate" all who "disagree" wit me.

you do
you clearly do

you show it in losing you ability to spell

>
> > >
> > > As if it was somehting NEW....
> > >
> > what is somehting?
> >
> A clue.

a mispelled word for the Great Delphi of the NET, is a clue, I guess it
is that you have long since lost it

I hope your kids don't read your stuff on here

I know one will not, and we can count that amoug her blessings

>
> Steve, K4YZ

880. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 18:34:47 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <daue47fgu1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112240

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com...
>
>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>><7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>>>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in saving
>>>lives and fortune.
>>
>>
>>How? What was their "major role"?
>>
>>
>
> I can only speak for us here in Bama. On HF we provided communication
> between the State Headquarter EOC (Emergency Operations Center) to all
> other local EOC's in the State of Alabama.
>
> We provided logistic support concerning deployment of personnel to affected
> area's and kept accurate information on various storm shelters around the
> state.
>
> We also provided inter-agency communications at a local and county level,
> as required by the local on the scene commander.
>
> This is but a small sample.

Dan, wy don't you ask Frank what the CBer's did?

- Mike KB3EIA -

881. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 18:58:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <sgc5d11st4d0ao7f658gvug3klk8c2uo6e@4ax.com>
Source record: 112241

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 10:22:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <FVxAe.31744$up5.3360@lakeread02>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>:
>>
>>>Kenny wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>>>> get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>>>> relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>>>> old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>>>> and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>>>> sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>>>> at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>>>> in emergencies.
>>>
>>>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>>>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>>>someone who needs to go back to school.
>>
>>
>> I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
>> the potential of CB radio.
>
>really? have you even asked?

As a matter of fact I have. Haven't you ever heard of REACT?

>just for your info, I did here at the MCEOC. from what they told me, they
>don't consider the "chicken band" to be of any use in a real emergency. too
>many untrained idiots to have to manage was their words on the subject.

I guess it depends on your perspective. When the shit hits the fan,
the power and phones go down, and the only line of communication is
some redneck CBer driving around looking to help people in trouble, do
you think it's a good idea to wave him off and bleed to death while
waiting for a ham?

>>>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>>>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>>>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>>>they'll just key right over you until you die.

>>
>>
>> Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.
>
>again, thats real funny. I heard lots of reports of hams getting involved
>and helping out. I did not hear even one report in any of the news media
>regarding cb operators at all. care to site a source that I can verify?

That was an analogy, Eric. People are people. Some of us may behave
poorly and irresponsibly when things are hunky-dory, but a disaster
usually brings out the best from even the worst of us. 9/11 proved
that on many levels, as did just about every major earthquake,
hurricane, or other disaster that has struck since civilization began.

>>>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people
>>>(that training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very
>>>same EOC offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are
>>>certain to get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old
>>>man or a young kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup
>>>(it has happened before).
>>
>>
>> Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams
>> out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
>> to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
>> willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
>> case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
>> that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
>> grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
>> ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find
>> out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
>> out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.
>> How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's
>> being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people;
>> the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to
>> drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the
>> disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are
>> both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over
>> 4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling
>> that kind of traffic.
>
>your math is flawed..... 1 ham for every 461 people would only occur IF (and
>only if) the entire nation were attacked en-masse by either biologics or
>nuclear means (and by then, the telco infrastructure would have long since
>been disabled).

It's a perfectly valid distribution sample. If a disaster zone affects
620,000 people, there will be about 1345 hams in that population
(assuming hams are evenly distributed throughout the population).

> Besides, I have done emergency comms work before and have
>handled upwards of 200+ communications per hour (such comms include name,
>address, type of info, its priority, etc). thats an avg of 3 communications
>per second (and a human can handle this quite easily - case in point: air
>traffic controllers).

Three "communications" per second? Hmmm.... I guess that depends on
how you define a "communication".

> point is, the type of disaster that would take out
>everything (your scenario)

No, that's -your- scenario.

> just doesn't have any real basis in reality
>currently. now it might down the road, but your "just suppose" take on this
>is just not relevant.
>
>>
>> And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>> establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>> of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.
>
>BULLSHIT.
>
>recent fires in Arizona would prove you wrong. hams were passing a majority
>of both the emergency and health/welfare traffic in and out of the fire
>areas, as well as acting as coordinators for several emergency zones within
>less than 100 miles of each other (it can be a real PITA to have someone
>dispatching a plane to one area only to have someone else dispatch the same
>plane elsewhere at the same time).

Maybe you missed the point: I'm not saying hams are useless. If an
agency has the time and means to mobilize some hams to man some air
conditioned communication op-centers, great, I'm sure that happens
quite often. But you don't see too many of them in the trenches, which
is where the wars are fought. That's where you'll find the CBers
picking up the slack when the phone system doesn't work, when the PSP
is overloaded, and when pre-planned logistics aren't flexible enough
to accommodate unforseen circumstances. Happens all the time.

>> In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB
>> radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra
>> radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular
>> mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy
>> in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even
>> by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services;
>> CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it
>> or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately
>> during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.
>
>quantity is not quality.

No, but it -is- quantity. You can have the best trained ham manning a
radio in the center of a disaster zone, but you =MUST= have mobile
comm within that zone. There simply aren't enough hams in any given
area to do that job. And CB radio is almost -ideal- for the task.
Wherever you are, there are lots of CBers already there, ready and
willing.

> just because there are more, doesn't mean they are
>any more useful.

It most certainly makes a difference when there is a need for a lot of
lines of communication. And CB radios allow neighborhoods and small
communities to take care of many problems by themselves, relieving the
burden on the emergency services and their communication networks.

> in fact, the average CB operator doesn't have even the
>minimal training required to handle emergency communications where lives
>might be at stake.

Hogwash. Almost every CBer knows that Channel 9 is for emergencies,
and most CBers already know how to communicate with their radio. The
only "training" needed is the ability to organize communications, but
that's why there's REACT. Even without REACT, it doesn't take any
intensive training to learn how to cooperate with others during an
emergency -- it happens whether people are trained for it or not.

> couple that with all the idiot operators on the band who
>would just as soon key over any traffic (hence "AUDIOOOOOO" or "HAMMER!")
>as pay attention.

Yeah, that happens all the time in disaster situations, especially on
channel 9......

> I witness this time and again on local chan 19 down by
>the truck stop (located some 5 miles south of me). you are lucky if you can
>get a word in edgewise and god help you if you had a real emergency!

Pay attention, Eric: Channel 9 is used for emergencies, not 19.

> I
>could always record some of this "noise" save it as an mp3 and post it here
>for all to hear as a typical morning on chan 19.

You go right ahead and record all this stuff while listening to
channel 9. Post it, as well as the time and location it was recorded.

>> But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a
>> communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a
>> nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption,
>> or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary
>> scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with
>> the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger
>> role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do
>> so in the future.
>

>yes. radio does have that purpose (an infrastructure backup) and only when
>*trained* people are at the mic does it work effectively. how many people
>do you know of that have worked CB during an emergency situation that have
>been taken seriously by any EOC?

Several.

> so far, I can't find any real reports of
>any, other than those "numerous and conflicting reports" that never pan out
>as factual. again, I require you cite me some factual sources that can be
>verified.

You "require me"? Get a life, Eric. Then get a CB radio and talk a
while with some of the folks on the band. You'll get your sources
there.

>>>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>>>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>>>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>>>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>>>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>>>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).
>>
>>
>> The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes
>> down.
>
>WRONG. land lines have their own emergency backup power (the same cannot be
>said for most cellular towers which often die due to load and cheap backup
>supplies. the telco system (hard land lines) run on a 48 volt system that
>is backed up at multiple locationsusing batteroes (batteries that are 2
>volts each setup in series and capable of delivering several thousands
>aperes each). these backup supplies are usually located in central offices
>which are distributed throughout the area and cross connected to offer
>redundant capability (lose one co, and you have 3 others to take up the
>slack). I may not be a telcom engineer, but I know a fair number of them
>personally, so asking this type of question wasn't hard.

You don't get it -- if the grid is down then it's down for a reason.
If it's not a transmission or generator plant failure, the reason is
usually because a number power lines are down. If the power lines are
down then the phone lines will probably also be down, and it doesn't
matter if the relay stations have backup power or not -- the phones
won't work. And BTW, most cell-phone towers have battery backups just
like telcom relay stations.

>>>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>>>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>>>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>>>say: NAY!
>>
>>

>> Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>> selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>> said for ham radio.
>
>again, BULLSHIT. I have never heard any ham operator charge for his
>services. in fact, it is a violation of part 97 if he tries (and also
>several other regulations and a few laws).

It most certainly is against the law. Remember the Jim Jones suicide
cult? Maybe you should look at their radio activities with US hams
before they drank the kool-aid. I mention this as only one instance
because the magazine with the article was sitting on the top of the
pile on my desk. There have been many other instances.

>>>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of
>>>young and old hams there and not many of them would even match your
>>>description.
>>
>>
>> Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>> carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
>> dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>> Republican.
>
>oh really? and what facts do you base this low opinion on? I think the only
>arrogant, elitist SOB here is you. you have offered no facts, you have
>argued on baseless points and yet you still cannot accept the fact that you
>are wrong. you are just arguing to be arguing.

Three "communications" per second?

>>>of course, we only have your words on what they appeared (at least to
>>>you). what the hey. you must need a white cane and dark glasses (you
>>>certainly sound blind to the truth to me).
>>
>>
>> How is your CW coming along, Eric?
>
>
>the same could be asked for you.....
>whether I decide to learn CW or not is not relevant to this discussion. just
>because I didn't take that portion of the exam doesn't mean I don't know
>it. besides, your entire argument with regards to this thread is:
>_... ..._ ._.. ._.. ... . _

Still can't hack the code, huh? LOL!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

882. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 19:09:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ktg5d19up54r4u2eaeij2o9hh9rd4220u0@4ax.com>
Source record: 112242

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 14:34:47 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
<daue47fgu1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:

<snip>
> Dan, wy don't you ask Frank what the CBer's did?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Why ask me? I wasn't there. And why don't you ask them yourself?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

883. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 19:12:43 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <daugbbfne1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112243

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:47:29 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <BoxAe.1826$oZ.350@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>><7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>>>>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in saving
>>>>lives and fortune.
>>>
>>>
>>>How? What was their "major role"?
>>>
>>>
>>
>>I can only speak for us here in Bama. On HF we provided communication
>>between the State Headquarter EOC (Emergency Operations Center) to all
>>other local EOC's in the State of Alabama.
>
>
>
> Don't they use landlines used for the primary comm and keep wireless
> in standby for backup? And don't they have sat-phones? PSP repeaters?

Apparently not always.

>>We provided logistic support concerning deployment of personnel to affected
>>area's and kept accurate information on various storm shelters around the
>>state.
>
>
> Paperwork.

I suppose that you're saying that isn't important?

>
>
>>We also provided inter-agency communications at a local and county level,
>>as required by the local on the scene commander.
>
>
>
> Most states already have those systems in place using the PSP. Your's
> doesn't?
>
>
>
>>This is but a small sample.
>
>
>
> I don't see any "major role" or "life-saving" operations.

I see that life saviing operations is in quotes. where did Dan write
that? He told ya what they did. EOC's appreciate it, even if you don't.

> I -do- see
> an emergency communications system that's barely evolved from the days
> of Marconi.

Umm, that is what the comms are all about. The highly evolved stuf
tends to go away when disaster hits.

Did you monitor any CB chatter? Hear any distress calls on
> channel 9? I'm sure there were a few. Do you have a REACT group in
> your area?
>

Tell us about REACT........

As far as I can see, a lot of them *are* Hams, and they provide
services in the same way. If'n you don't like the Hams, ya don't like
REACT either.

- Mike KB3EIA -

884. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 19:53:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n7AAe.2162$dU3.2124@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112244

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> Sounds familiar for the lower grades. For the top grades, there's a
> board. When the board members read their CINC's remark (sounds more
> like an order, doesn't it?), "Definitely promote," and there is a
> billet, the Definitely Promote gets it.

Having been promoted through all the Navy enlisted grades through E9, I understand how the promotion system works, and there is no magic "Definitely Promote" tag which will get a lesser qualified person promoted over better qualified candidates.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

885. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:09:57 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <90l5d1pupcpmmj7o86kfqlh6ohrvt92655@4ax.com>
Source record: 112245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 19:20:35 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
wrote:

>+<james wrote:
>+<> On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 13:54:46 -0400, "Tim" <bob@flutesarrlnuts.org>
>+<> wrote:
>+<>
>+<>
>+<>>+<Seeing 50 & 60 year old men paste "ARRL Member" stickers
>+<>>+<all over their cars, then go out on the highway and chase truck
>+<>>+<drivers around to see what frequency they are on, has got to one
>+<>>+<of the most hilarious things anyone has ever seen.
>+<>>+<
>+<>>+<Tim
>+<>
>+<> ******
>+<>
>+<> Better yet 4 older hams on a 2 meter repeater on a saturday morning
>+<> discribing their heart attacks. How long they were in intensive care
>+<> and how much mobility they have lost.
>+<>
>+<> james
>+<
>+<So did anyone hold a gun to you to make you listen?
****

No. I laughed through the whole thing.

james

886. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:11:54 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <q2l5d192q01afuqdo487pvid9evn43n1bu@4ax.com>
Source record: 112246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 22:01:43 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
wrote:

>+<Well, if you were listening to something that boring to you, you
>+<probably have enough sense to change to another repeater or turn the
>+<radio off. James evidently can't do that. (I'm posting from my chair).
*****

Actually found it boring and funny in a wierd way.

I guess at 7:30 am while drinking my coffee, I had nothing better to
do myself.

james

887. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:13:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Tr2dnYKznIzNf0_fRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

james wrote:
> On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 22:01:43 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
> wrote:
>
>
>>>+<Well, if you were listening to something that boring to you, you
>>>+<probably have enough sense to change to another repeater or turn the
>>>+<radio off. James evidently can't do that. (I'm posting from my chair).
>
> *****
>
> Actually found it boring and funny in a wierd way.
>
> I guess at 7:30 am while drinking my coffee, I had nothing better to
> do myself.
>
> james

You must lead a boring life.

888. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:15:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Tr2dnb2znlwxf0_fRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Holford wrote:
>>>One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better things,
>>>such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
>>>wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
>>>Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice the
>>>elitism.
>>>The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak with
>>>those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
>>>elitism.
>>>
>
>
> Double the 'frequency' ??
>

What do you expect from a cber?

889. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:18:25 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dauk6g$16bg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112247

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 10:22:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <FVxAe.31744$up5.3360@lakeread02>:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>><n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Kenny wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>>>>>get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>>>>>relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>>>>>old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>>>>>and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>>>>>sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>>>>>at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>>>>>in emergencies.
>>>>
>>>>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>>>>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>>>>someone who needs to go back to school.
>>>
>>>
>>>I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
>>>the potential of CB radio.
>>
>>really? have you even asked?
>
>
>
> As a matter of fact I have. Haven't you ever heard of REACT?
>
>
>
>>just for your info, I did here at the MCEOC. from what they told me, they
>>don't consider the "chicken band" to be of any use in a real emergency. too
>>many untrained idiots to have to manage was their words on the subject.

>
>
>
> I guess it depends on your perspective. When the shit hits the fan,
> the power and phones go down, and the only line of communication is
> some redneck CBer driving around looking to help people in trouble, do
> you think it's a good idea to wave him off and bleed to death while
> waiting for a ham?
>
>
>
>>>>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>>>>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>>>>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>>>>they'll just key right over you until you die.
>>>
>>>
>>>Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.
>>
>>again, thats real funny. I heard lots of reports of hams getting involved
>>and helping out. I did not hear even one report in any of the news media
>>regarding cb operators at all. care to site a source that I can verify?
>
>
>
> That was an analogy, Eric.

Exceptionally poor analogy. Why don't you go stand in Time Square and
make your analogy?

> People are people. Some of us may behave
> poorly and irresponsibly when things are hunky-dory, but a disaster
> usually brings out the best from even the worst of us.

And sometimes not, but that's all beside the point.

> 9/11 proved
> that on many levels, as did just about every major earthquake,
> hurricane, or other disaster that has struck since civilization began.
>
>
>
>>>>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people
>>>>(that training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very
>>>>same EOC offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are
>>>>certain to get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old
>>>>man or a young kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup
>>>>(it has happened before).
>>>
>>>
>>>Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams

>>>out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
>>>to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
>>>willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
>>>case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
>>>that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
>>>grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
>>>ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find
>>>out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
>>>out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.
>>>How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's
>>>being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people;
>>>the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to
>>>drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the
>>>disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are
>>>both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over
>>>4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling
>>>that kind of traffic.
>>
>>your math is flawed..... 1 ham for every 461 people would only occur IF (and
>>only if) the entire nation were attacked en-masse by either biologics or
>>nuclear means (and by then, the telco infrastructure would have long since
>>been disabled).
>
>
>
> It's a perfectly valid distribution sample. If a disaster zone affects
> 620,000 people, there will be about 1345 hams in that population
> (assuming hams are evenly distributed throughout the population).

No, sorry, it isn't. If it was, the same distribution would hold
regarding professional EOC communicators, or REACT members, or whoever.

Just as a point of interest, you write of some apparent necessity for
stations to be mobile. How many mobile stations are going to be in use
during a major hurricane? Are we supposed to head out in our pickups,
tuned to channel 9, and cruise up and down the beach as the storm surge
comes in?

That might be the job of the police and other highly trained emergency
workers, but not us.

What do you see emergency comms as anyhow?

>
>
>>Besides, I have done emergency comms work before and have
>>handled upwards of 200+ communications per hour (such comms include name,
>>address, type of info, its priority, etc). thats an avg of 3 communications
>>per second (and a human can handle this quite easily - case in point: air
>>traffic controllers).
>
>

>
> Three "communications" per second? Hmmm.... I guess that depends on
> how you define a "communication".
>
>
>
>>point is, the type of disaster that would take out
>>everything (your scenario)
>
>
>
> No, that's -your- scenario.
>
>
>
>>just doesn't have any real basis in reality
>>currently. now it might down the road, but your "just suppose" take on this
>>is just not relevant.
>>
>>
>>>And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>>>establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>>>of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.
>>
>>BULLSHIT.
>>
>>recent fires in Arizona would prove you wrong. hams were passing a majority
>>of both the emergency and health/welfare traffic in and out of the fire
>>areas, as well as acting as coordinators for several emergency zones within
>>less than 100 miles of each other (it can be a real PITA to have someone
>>dispatching a plane to one area only to have someone else dispatch the same
>>plane elsewhere at the same time).
>
>
>
> Maybe you missed the point: I'm not saying hams are useless.

You wrote:

**And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
**establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
**of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.

and

**Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
**selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
**said for ham radio

**Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
**carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
**dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating

**Republican.

hmmmm...

> If an
> agency has the time and means to mobilize some hams to man some air
> conditioned communication op-centers, great, I'm sure that happens
> quite often. But you don't see too many of them in the trenches, which
> is where the wars are fought. That's where you'll find the CBers
> picking up the slack when the phone system doesn't work, when the PSP
> is overloaded, and when pre-planned logistics aren't flexible enough
> to accommodate unforseen circumstances. Happens all the time.

Have any news releases?

>>>In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB
>>>radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra
>>>radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular
>>>mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy
>>>in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even
>>>by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services;
>>>CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it
>>>or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately
>>>during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.
>>
>>quantity is not quality.
>
>
>
> No, but it -is- quantity. You can have the best trained ham manning a
> radio in the center of a disaster zone, but you =MUST= have mobile
> comm within that zone. There simply aren't enough hams in any given
> area to do that job. And CB radio is almost -ideal- for the task.
> Wherever you are, there are lots of CBers already there, ready and
> willing.

SO these CB'ers are manning emergency comms right in the middle of the
disaster area? Seems we would hear more about it.

>
>
>>just because there are more, doesn't mean they are
>>any more useful.
>
>
>
> It most certainly makes a difference when there is a need for a lot of
> lines of communication. And CB radios allow neighborhoods and small
> communities to take care of many problems by themselves, relieving the
> burden on the emergency services and their communication networks.
>
>

>
>>in fact, the average CB operator doesn't have even the
>>minimal training required to handle emergency communications where lives
>>might be at stake.
>
>
>
> Hogwash. Almost every CBer knows that Channel 9 is for emergencies,
> and most CBers already know how to communicate with their radio. The
> only "training" needed is the ability to organize communications, but
> that's why there's REACT. Even without REACT, it doesn't take any
> intensive training to learn how to cooperate with others during an
> emergency -- it happens whether people are trained for it or not.
>
>
>
>>couple that with all the idiot operators on the band who
>>would just as soon key over any traffic (hence "AUDIOOOOOO" or "HAMMER!")
>>as pay attention.
>
>
>
> Yeah, that happens all the time in disaster situations, especially on
> channel 9......
>
>
>
>>I witness this time and again on local chan 19 down by
>>the truck stop (located some 5 miles south of me). you are lucky if you can
>>get a word in edgewise and god help you if you had a real emergency!
>
>
>
> Pay attention, Eric: Channel 9 is used for emergencies, not 19.

The truckers use 9 around here too.

>>I
>>could always record some of this "noise" save it as an mp3 and post it here
>>for all to hear as a typical morning on chan 19.
>
>
>
> You go right ahead and record all this stuff while listening to
> channel 9. Post it, as well as the time and location it was recorded.
>
>
>
>>>But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a
>>>communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a
>>>nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption,

>>>or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary
>>>scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with
>>>the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger
>>>role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do
>>>so in the future.
>>
>>yes. radio does have that purpose (an infrastructure backup) and only when
>>*trained* people are at the mic does it work effectively. how many people
>>do you know of that have worked CB during an emergency situation that have
>>been taken seriously by any EOC?
>
>
>
> Several.

Great, let us know where to find the documentation. BTW, I wen to the
REACT site, and they could do a better job of getting this news out.

>
>
>>so far, I can't find any real reports of
>>any, other than those "numerous and conflicting reports" that never pan out
>>as factual. again, I require you cite me some factual sources that can be
>>verified.
>
>
>
> You "require me"? Get a life, Eric. Then get a CB radio and talk a
> while with some of the folks on the band. You'll get your sources
> there.

I only ask. Requiring is a tad strong.

And as for getting a CB, I have one. I don't hear the things you write
of....

>
>
>>>>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>>>>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>>>>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>>>>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>>>>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>>>>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).
>>>
>>>
>>>The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes
>>>down.
>>
>>WRONG. land lines have their own emergency backup power (the same cannot be
>>said for most cellular towers which often die due to load and cheap backup
>>supplies. the telco system (hard land lines) run on a 48 volt system that

>>is backed up at multiple locationsusing batteroes (batteries that are 2
>>volts each setup in series and capable of delivering several thousands
>>aperes each). these backup supplies are usually located in central offices
>>which are distributed throughout the area and cross connected to offer
>>redundant capability (lose one co, and you have 3 others to take up the
>>slack). I may not be a telcom engineer, but I know a fair number of them
>>personally, so asking this type of question wasn't hard.
>
>
>
> You don't get it -- if the grid is down then it's down for a reason.
> If it's not a transmission or generator plant failure, the reason is
> usually because a number power lines are down. If the power lines are
> down then the phone lines will probably also be down, and it doesn't
> matter if the relay stations have backup power or not -- the phones
> won't work. And BTW, most cell-phone towers have battery backups just
> like telcom relay stations.

And after a little while, they go down.

>
>
>>>>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>>>>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>>>>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>>>>say: NAY!
>>>
>>>
>>>Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>>>selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>>>said for ham radio.
>>
>>again, BULLSHIT. I have never heard any ham operator charge for his
>>services. in fact, it is a violation of part 97 if he tries (and also
>>several other regulations and a few laws).
>
>
>
> It most certainly is against the law. Remember the Jim Jones suicide
> cult? Maybe you should look at their radio activities with US hams
> before they drank the kool-aid. I mention this as only one instance
> because the magazine with the article was sitting on the top of the
> pile on my desk. There have been many other instances.
>
>
>
>>>>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of
>>>>young and old hams there and not many of them would even match your
>>>>description.
>>>
>>>

>>>Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>>>carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
>>>dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>>>Republican.
>>
>>oh really? and what facts do you base this low opinion on? I think the only
>>arrogant, elitist SOB here is you. you have offered no facts, you have
>>argued on baseless points and yet you still cannot accept the fact that you
>>are wrong. you are just arguing to be arguing.
>
>
>
> Three "communications" per second?

Yeah, that is a little hard to believe. I'd like to see some
explanation of that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

890. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:22:15 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <daukdn$16bi$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112248

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 14:34:47 -0400, Michael Coslo
> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
> <daue47fgu1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:
>
> <snip>
>
>> Dan, wy don't you ask Frank what the CBer's did?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> Why ask me? I wasn't there. And why don't you ask them yourself?

I went to the REACT site. Lots of stuff on training, and going to conventions. Nothing much on actual operations. I note that they do use Ham gear, so I suspect some of the members are Hams

And locally, I hear truck drivers, a few locals and skip occasionally. None of which appear to have much to do with emergency comms.

- Mike KB3EIA -

891. Stevie shit 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:40:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121114452.775196.89960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112249

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
> > > > > reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
> > > > > discreet frequencies.
> > > >
> > > > so and BTW if he had bottherd to answer in their the real question I
> > > > would not have rebuked him
> > >
> > > There was nothing to rebuke.
> >
> > that is for ME to decide not you
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> Anyone who reads this forum can comment.

yes you can but yu can't decide wether or not I may rebuke him

>
> Hans did you a favor and you treated him rudely.

Nope

>
> Period.

nope

>
> > You are simply butting in to make more trouble
>
> Nope.
yep

>
> YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
> person who provided it to you.

the inforamation was not provided

then the poster was rebuked mildly on the scale of things

>
> > > He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a
> > >
> > No he did not do me a favor so gigo form there
>
> Yes he DID do you a favor.

nope

>
> The ONLY "garbage" here is your cowardly attempts to dodge
> responsibility for your rude behaviour.

I adknowledge my actions and yours I am indeed respinible for rebuking
him as a smart ass

>
> > > > > My "saying it" doesn't make it true...Your being illogical does.
> > > > >
> > > > > No it can't
> > > >
> > > Sure it does.
> > >
> > NO it doesn't simply being illogical or logical for that matter does
> > not afect the truth of statement
>
> Sure it does.

nope

>
> > > You act illogically. Repeatedly.
> > >
> > > > Something has to true to be true.
> > >
> > > Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.
> > >
> > In your eyes perhaps
>
> In everyones EXCEPT yours.

funny I should that back at you

>
> > > Witness the following:
> > >
> > > "Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
> > > illogical"
> > >
> > The statement is perfectly logical, and absolutely true, of course it
> > helps if you don't destroy the context of a statement. "Something has
> > to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is
> > logical or illogical " in full
>

> It's "illogical" because it says absolutely nothing.

False in every sence

>
> It's several multisyllable words in what APPEARS to be a sentence.
>
> You are now, have been and shall continue to be the "court jester"
> of RRAP as long as you refuse to do somehting about your fractured
> English and alleged "dyslexia".

so

>
> And as long as you do continue to refuse to fix the problem, don't
> fuss at people who laugh at you for looking like an idiot...

that isn't what is happening you are choosing to pick a fight over
nothing BTW I am choosing to in it to a point

>
> Steve, K4YZ

892. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 20:51:25 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <42D2DBCD.DFBFF0D9@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 112250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> >
> >One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better things,
> >such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
> >wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
> >Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice the
> >elitism.
> >The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak with
> >those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
> >elitism.
> >

Double the 'frequency' ??

893. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 21:14:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_iBAe.6459$8f7.4340@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Who Needs The ARRL?" <JIm@GuessWho> wrote

> The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse
> to speak with those not a member of their snooty group. Double
> the frequency, double the elitism.

Damn, and here I thought that the 20M band was at about half the frequency of the 10M band. Did those rascals at ARRL go and change that too? Where on the dial can I now find my 20M buddies, up in the old 5M band? I thought we'd lost that band way back in the 40's.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

894. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 22:03:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121119407.508333.103000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:
> beerbarrel wrote:
> > On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:27:25 -0500, "Who Needs The ARRL?"
> > <JIm@GuessWho> wrote:
> >
> > >The ARRL is not a necessary institution these days. It is self-propagating,
> > >demands hefty dues and represents less than half of the licensed Amateurs in
> > >the United States.
> > >Besides, what does one get for the $40.00 per annum (or is it more?) fee? A
> > >glossy magazine rife with advertisements? Admission to 'private' sections of
> > >the ARRL web site? A monthly 'bulletin' sent to your email address? (more
> > >spam)
> > >Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if the
> > >FCC has ever given that much credence.
> > >
> > >I guess there are still some old warhorses out and about who feel that it is
> > >a mark of distinction to have the ARRL logo on their QSL cards...especially
> > >those who boast of being part of the elite few, the proud, the Life Members.
> > >
> > >One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better things,
> > >such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
> > >wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
> > >Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice the
> > >elitism.
> > >The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak with
> > >those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
> > >elitism.
> > >
> > >CQ Contest, anyone?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > So, what is your stance? Seems like you think they should be done away
> > with? Is that true?
> >
> > What is your recommendation for a replacement? Do you have any
> > solution at all?
> >
> > If you are not a part of the solution then you are part of the
> > problem! I love folks that whine and cry. It is usually what they do
> > best.

Break
>
> Imperfect though it is, the ARRL is the only voice the ham hobby has
> these days. It would be a very poor idea to do away with the ARRL
> without having something better to replace it.
>
> The ARRL does need to refocus it's efforts toward attracting newer
> younger members to the hobby if it is to survive long term. The hobby
> won't survive if its quasi-regulator continues to do treat amateur
> radio as though it operates in the heyday that was the 1950's.

and it needs to avoid pissing those folks as it reaches out to them

895. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 22:17:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <elp5d117qa3f071e6dm5h84musc6cuehrs@4ax.com>
Source record: 112255

```
On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:18:25 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
<dauk6g$16bg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:

>
>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 10:22:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <FVxAe.31744$up5.3360@lakeread02>:
>>
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>>><n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Kenny wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>>>>>>get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>>>>>>relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>>>>>>old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>>>>>>and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>>>>>>sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>>>>>>at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>>>>>>in emergencies.
>>>>>
>>>>>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>>>>>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>>>>>someone who needs to go back to school.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
>>>>the potential of CB radio.
>>>
>>>really? have you even asked?
>>
>>
>> As a matter of fact I have. Haven't you ever heard of REACT?
```

>>
>>
>>
>>>just for your info, I did here at the MCEOC. from what they told me, they
>>>don't consider the "chicken band" to be of any use in a real emergency. too
>>>many untrained idiots to have to manage was their words on the subject.
>>
>>
>>
>> I guess it depends on your perspective. When the shit hits the fan,
>> the power and phones go down, and the only line of communication is
>> some redneck CBer driving around looking to help people in trouble, do
>> you think it's a good idea to wave him off and bleed to death while
>> waiting for a ham?
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>>>>>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>>>>>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>>>>>they'll just key right over you until you die.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.
>>>
>>>again, thats real funny. I heard lots of reports of hams getting involved
>>>and helping out. I did not hear even one report in any of the news media
>>>regarding cb operators at all. care to site a source that I can verify?
>>
>>
>>
>> That was an analogy, Eric.
>
>
> Exceptionally poor analogy. Why don't you go stand in Time Square and
>make your analogy?

I thought it was a pretty good analogy. In fact, it was a compliment
to the people of NYC. If you didn't read it that way then maybe you
should pull that corn cob out of your ass so you don't spaz whenever
someone mentions 9/11.

>> People are people. Some of us may behave
>> poorly and irresponsibly when things are hunky-dory, but a disaster
>> usually brings out the best from even the worst of us.
>
> And sometimes not, but that's all beside the point.

Then why mention it?

>> 9/11 proved
>> that on many levels, as did just about every major earthquake,
>> hurricane, or other disaster that has struck since civilization began.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people
>>>>>(that training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very
>>>>>same EOC offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are
>>>>>certain to get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old
>>>>>man or a young kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup
>>>>>(it has happened before).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams
>>>>out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
>>>>to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
>>>>willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
>>>>case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
>>>>that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
>>>>grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
>>>>ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find
>>>>out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
>>>>out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.
>>>>How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's
>>>>being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people;
>>>>the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to
>>>>drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the
>>>>disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are
>>>>both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over
>>>>4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling
>>>>that kind of traffic.
>>>
>>>your math is flawed..... 1 ham for every 461 people would only occur IF (and
>>>only if) the entire nation were attacked en-masse by either biologics or
>>>nuclear means (and by then, the telco infrastructure would have long since
>>>been disabled).
>>
>>
>>
>> It's a perfectly valid distribution sample. If a disaster zone affects
>> 620,000 people, there will be about 1345 hams in that population
>> (assuming hams are evenly distributed throughout the population).
>
> No, sorry, it isn't. If it was, the same distribution would hold
>regarding professional EOC communicators, or REACT members, or whoever.

Hmmm.... did you flunk 5th grade math?

300,000,000 (number of people in US) / 650,000 (number of hams in US)
= 461.54 (people per ham)

> Just as a point of interest, you write of some apparent necessity for
>stations to be mobile. How many mobile stations are going to be in use
>during a major hurricane? Are we supposed to head out in our pickups,
>tuned to channel 9, and cruise up and down the beach as the storm surge
>comes in?

Depends on how stupid you are.

> That might be the job of the police and other highly trained emergency
>workers, but not us.
>
>
> What do you see emergency comms as anyhow?

There is plenty of warning for hurricanes these days, so there's
plenty of time to mobilize whatever resources that may be needed.
Regardless, you don't see too many hams out cruising the aftermath
looking for victims. Tornados give a much better picture on the use of
CB radios in an emergency. Haven't you ever seen "Twister"? Ever think
that there was a reason the writers chose to use CB radios instead of
ham radios in the movie?

>>>Besides, I have done emergency comms work before and have
>>>handled upwards of 200+ communications per hour (such comms include name,
>>>address, type of info, its priority, etc). thats an avg of 3 communications
>>>per second (and a human can handle this quite easily - case in point: air
>>>traffic controllers).
>>
>>
>>
>> Three "communications" per second? Hmmm.... I guess that depends on
>> how you define a "communication".
>>
>>
>>
>>>point is, the type of disaster that would take out
>>>everything (your scenario)
>>
>>
>>
>> No, that's -your- scenario.
>>
>>
>>
>>>just doesn't have any real basis in reality
>>>currently. now it might down the road, but your "just suppose" take on this
>>>is just not relevant.
>>>
>>>
>>>>And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>>>>establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>>>>of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.

>>>
>>>BULLSHIT.
>>>
>>>recent fires in Arizona would prove you wrong. hams were passing a majority
>>>of both the emergency and health/welfare traffic in and out of the fire
>>>areas, as well as acting as coordinators for several emergency zones within
>>>less than 100 miles of each other (it can be a real PITA to have someone
>>>dispatching a plane to one area only to have someone else dispatch the same
>>>plane elsewhere at the same time).
>>
>>
>>
>> Maybe you missed the point: I'm not saying hams are useless.
>
>You wrote:
>
>**And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>**establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>**of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.

Yep, that's pretty much all I hear on the box. If they are doing
anything more than occasional radio checks and net tests then maybe
they are using some sort of new 'stealth radio' technology.....?

>and
>
>**Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>**selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>**said for ham radio

So have -YOU- heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
selling CB operator services?

>**Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>**carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
>**dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>**Republican.
>
> hmmmm...

Careful.... wearing a yellow spotted shirt doesn't always disguise
those cheese stains.

>> If an
>> agency has the time and means to mobilize some hams to man some air
>> conditioned communication op-centers, great, I'm sure that happens
>> quite often. But you don't see too many of them in the trenches, which
>> is where the wars are fought. That's where you'll find the CBers
>> picking up the slack when the phone system doesn't work, when the PSP
>> is overloaded, and when pre-planned logistics aren't flexible enough
>> to accommodate unforseen circumstances. Happens all the time.
>

> Have any news releases?

Nope, just first-hand experience in three different disasters.

>>>>In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB
>>>>radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra
>>>>radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular
>>>>mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy
>>>>in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even
>>>>by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services;
>>>>CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it
>>>>or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately
>>>>during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.
>>>
>>>quantity is not quality.
>>
>>
>>
>> No, but it -is- quantity. You can have the best trained ham manning a
>> radio in the center of a disaster zone, but you =MUST= have mobile
>> comm within that zone. There simply aren't enough hams in any given
>> area to do that job. And CB radio is almost -ideal- for the task.
>> Wherever you are, there are lots of CBers already there, ready and
>> willing.
>
>
> SO these CB'ers are manning emergency comms right in the middle of the
>disaster area? Seems we would hear more about it.

You probably would have had you ever been a CBer in the middle of a
disaster area.

>>>just because there are more, doesn't mean they are
>>>any more useful.
>>
>>
>>
>> It most certainly makes a difference when there is a need for a lot of
>> lines of communication. And CB radios allow neighborhoods and small
>> communities to take care of many problems by themselves, relieving the
>> burden on the emergency services and their communication networks.
>>
>>
>>
>>>in fact, the average CB operator doesn't have even the
>>>minimal training required to handle emergency communications where lives
>>>might be at stake.
>>
>>
>>
>> Hogwash. Almost every CBer knows that Channel 9 is for emergencies,

>> and most CBers already know how to communicate with their radio. The
>> only "training" needed is the ability to organize communications, but
>> that's why there's REACT. Even without REACT, it doesn't take any
>> intensive training to learn how to cooperate with others during an
>> emergency -- it happens whether people are trained for it or not.
>>
>>
>>
>>>couple that with all the idiot operators on the band who
>>>would just as soon key over any traffic (hence "AUDIOOOOOO" or "HAMMER!")
>>>as pay attention.
>>
>>
>>
>> Yeah, that happens all the time in disaster situations, especially on
>> channel 9......
>>
>>
>>
>>>I witness this time and again on local chan 19 down by
>>>the truck stop (located some 5 miles south of me). you are lucky if you can
>>>get a word in edgewise and god help you if you had a real emergency!
>>
>>
>>
>> Pay attention, Eric: Channel 9 is used for emergencies, not 19.
>
> The truckers use 9 around here too.

First, channel 9 is designated an emergency channel by law; using it
for anything other than emergency comm is a violation of FCC regs.
Second, where's "here"? Dave Hall country? That doesn't suprise me.

>>>I
>>>could always record some of this "noise" save it as an mp3 and post it here
>>>for all to hear as a typical morning on chan 19.
>>
>>
>>
>> You go right ahead and record all this stuff while listening to
>> channel 9. Post it, as well as the time and location it was recorded.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a
>>>>communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a
>>>>nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption,
>>>>or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary
>>>>scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with
>>>>the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger
>>>>role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do

>>>>so in the future.
>>>
>>>yes. radio does have that purpose (an infrastructure backup) and only when
>>>*trained* people are at the mic does it work effectively. how many people
>>>do you know of that have worked CB during an emergency situation that have
>>>been taken seriously by any EOC?
>>
>>
>>
>> Several.
>
>
> Great, let us know where to find the documentation. BTW, I wen to the
>REACT site, and they could do a better job of getting this news out.

I guess they don't toot their own horn as much as the hams do.

>>>so far, I can't find any real reports of
>>>any, other than those "numerous and conflicting reports" that never pan out
>>>as factual. again, I require you cite me some factual sources that can be
>>>verified.
>>
>>
>>
>> You "require me"? Get a life, Eric. Then get a CB radio and talk a
>> while with some of the folks on the band. You'll get your sources
>> there.
>
> I only ask. Requiring is a tad strong.
>
> And as for getting a CB, I have one. I don't hear the things you write
>of....

Your CB is a two-way radio, right? Ok then.

>>>>>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>>>>>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>>>>>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>>>>>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>>>>>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>>>>>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes
>>>>down.
>>>
>>>WRONG. land lines have their own emergency backup power (the same cannot be
>>>said for most cellular towers which often die due to load and cheap backup
>>>supplies. the telco system (hard land lines) run on a 48 volt system that
>>>is backed up at multiple locationsusing batteroes (batteries that are 2
>>>volts each setup in series and capable of delivering several thousands

>>>aperes each). these backup supplies are usually located in central offices
>>>which are distributed throughout the area and cross connected to offer
>>>redundant capability (lose one co, and you have 3 others to take up the
>>>slack). I may not be a telcom engineer, but I know a fair number of them
>>>personally, so asking this type of question wasn't hard.
>>
>>
>>
>> You don't get it -- if the grid is down then it's down for a reason.
>> If it's not a transmission or generator plant failure, the reason is
>> usually because a number power lines are down. If the power lines are
>> down then the phone lines will probably also be down, and it doesn't
>> matter if the relay stations have backup power or not -- the phones
>> won't work. And BTW, most cell-phone towers have battery backups just
>> like telcom relay stations.
>
> And after a little while, they go down.

Yes they do. But they are usually overloaded before they fail.

>>>>>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>>>>>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>>>>>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>>>>>say: NAY!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>>>>selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>>>>said for ham radio.
>>>
>>>again, BULLSHIT. I have never heard any ham operator charge for his
>>>services. in fact, it is a violation of part 97 if he tries (and also
>>>several other regulations and a few laws).
>>
>>
>>
>> It most certainly is against the law. Remember the Jim Jones suicide
>> cult? Maybe you should look at their radio activities with US hams
>> before they drank the kool-aid. I mention this as only one instance
>> because the magazine with the article was sitting on the top of the
>> pile on my desk. There have been many other instances.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of
>>>>>>young and old hams there and not many of them would even match your
>>>>>>description.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>>>>carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as

>>>>dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>>>>Republican.
>>>
>>>oh really? and what facts do you base this low opinion on? I think the only
>>>arrogant, elitist SOB here is you. you have offered no facts, you have
>>>argued on baseless points and yet you still cannot accept the fact that you
>>>are wrong. you are just arguing to be arguing.
>>
>>
>>
>> Three "communications" per second?
>
> Yeah, that is a little hard to believe. I'd like to see some
>explanation of that.

So would I.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

896. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 22:33:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121121237.032313.275210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112256

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?

While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
Genessee Cream Ale.

As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
"progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
automatically.

The "old-fashioned way" was
put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
"horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
old ways met with resistance, opposition and
insults.

After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
best, right?

As if!

73 de Jim, N2EY

897. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 22:34:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d1s5d1hg73mrqhdsu7unpe74phmiumcdag@4ax.com>
Source record: 112257

```
On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:12:43 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
<daugbb$fne$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:

>
>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:47:29 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <BoxAe.1826$oZ.350@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>
>>>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>>news:cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>>><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>>><7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>>>>>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in saving
>>>>>lives and fortune.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>How? What was their "major role"?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>I can only speak for us here in Bama. On HF we provided communication
>>>between the State Headquarter EOC (Emergency Operations Center) to all
>>>other local EOC's in the State of Alabama.
>>
>>
>>
>> Don't they use landlines used for the primary comm and keep wireless
>> in standby for backup? And don't they have sat-phones? PSP repeaters?
>
> Apparently not always.

I suppose some of those states in the deep south might not have enough
spare change for a reliable comm system, but you'd think they could
shake down Uncle Sam for a federal grant or two considering all the
```

hurricanes they get down there.

>>>We provided logistic support concerning deployment of personnel to affected
>>>area's and kept accurate information on various storm shelters around the
>>>state.
>>
>>
>> Paperwork.
>
> I suppose that you're saying that isn't important?

No, I'm saying it's irrelevant to the topic -- paperwork might require
a trained chimp, but not necessarily a trained ham.

>>>We also provided inter-agency communications at a local and county level,
>>>as required by the local on the scene commander.
>>
>>
>>
>> Most states already have those systems in place using the PSP. Your's
>> doesn't?
>>
>>
>>
>>>This is but a small sample.
>>
>>
>>
>> I don't see any "major role" or "life-saving" operations.
>
> I see that life saviing operations is in quotes. where did Dan write
>that? He told ya what they did. EOC's appreciate it, even if you don't.

"The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, Louisiana and
points further North played a major role in saving lives and fortune."

>> I -do- see
>> an emergency communications system that's barely evolved from the days
>> of Marconi.
>
> Umm, that is what the comms are all about. The highly evolved stuf
>tends to go away when disaster hits.

Thus rendering sat-comm useless, right?

> Did you monitor any CB chatter? Hear any distress calls on
>> channel 9? I'm sure there were a few. Do you have a REACT group in
>> your area?
>>
>
> Tell us about REACT........
>

> As far as I can see, a lot of them *are* Hams, and they provide
>services in the same way. If'n you don't like the Hams, ya don't like
>REACT either.

Gee, another armchair logician. First of all, I never said that I
don't like hams. Last time I checked, hams are people. Some people I
like and others I don't. I don't like hams who think that their ticket
gives them the right to take credit where credit isn't due (or deny
credit where it -is- due). I give hams credit for lots of things, and
I even encourage people to get their ticket if that's what interests
them. But I also recommend that they avoid the nacho cheese stands.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

898. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 22:35:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <v0t5d19vmv0l8ep3nid4ab6ar925idfmo1@4ax.com>
Source record: 112258

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:22:15 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
<daukdn$16bi$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:

>
>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 14:34:47 -0400, Michael Coslo
>> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>> <daue47fgu1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>> Dan, wy don't you ask Frank what the CBer's did?
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>> Why ask me? I wasn't there. And why don't you ask them yourself?
>
> I went to the REACT site. Lots of stuff on training, and going to
>conventions. Nothing much on actual operations. I note that they do use
>Ham gear, so I suspect some of the members are Hams
>
> And locally, I hear truck drivers, a few locals and skip occasionally.
>None of which appear to have much to do with emergency comms.

What part of "ask" did you not understand?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

899. New BBS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 22:58:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121122719.662966.272340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I've got a new messageboard up for discussion of amateur radio stuff
and other things.

http://12.156.123.39/n9ogl.html

Todd N9OGL

900. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 23:52:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dDDAe.21367$eM6.10070@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112260

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:38a5d19fsbc3cpvebcs03r6ql6lflfj772@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:47:29 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <BoxAe.1826$oZ.350@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>> <7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>>>>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in
>>>>saving
>>>>lives and fortune.
>>>
>>>
>>> How? What was their "major role"?
>>>
>>>
>>I can only speak for us here in Bama. On HF we provided communication
>>between the State Headquarter EOC (Emergency Operations Center) to all
>>other local EOC's in the State of Alabama.
>
>
> Don't they use landlines used for the primary comm and keep wireless
> in standby for backup? And don't they have sat-phones? PSP repeaters?
>
Sure do....but when the Hurricane is tearing things up...wires hit the
ground, Sat phones break up. And I don't think we actually have Sat phones
BTW. Repeaters do not cover outside a local area. Hams are the
backups....get it?
>

>>We provided logistic support concerning deployment of personnel to
>>affected
>>area's and kept accurate information on various storm shelters around the
>>state.
>
>
> Paperwork.

>

Necessary communications.
>

>>We also provided inter-agency communications at a local and county level,
>>as required by the local on the scene commander.
>
>
> Most states already have those systems in place using the PSP. Your's
> doesn't?
>
You asked the question, I answered. If you can't handle the facts, it
ain't my fault.
>

>>This is but a small sample.
>
>
> I don't see any "major role" or "life-saving" operations. I -do- see
> an emergency communications system that's barely evolved from the days
> of Marconi. Did you monitor any CB chatter? Hear any distress calls on
> channel 9? I'm sure there were a few. Do you have a REACT group in
> your area?
>
Yes I did monitor the local "CB Chatter". I heard a guy yelling "Five
Pills, Five Pills" for hours on end. This was on channel 9.

Then I heard a local fire up his "Lineyear" and blow away the entire band.

Didn't manage to hear any emergency activity. Especially not from the
Emergency Management Folks.

Heard a lot of that on two meters tho.

Dan/W4NTI

Just tellin the truth, and you cain't stand it.

901. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-11 23:55:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IFDAe.21369$eM6.7107@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112261

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:daugbbfne1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:47:29 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>> <BoxAe.1826$oZ.350@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>
>>
>>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>>news:cv75d1h8agaprv78tij6c6bb3p5rnp84k0@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 15:38:43 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>>>><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
>>>><7owAe.8370$aY6.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>Check out Hurricane Dennis. The hams in Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
>>>>>Georgia, Louisiana and points further North played a major role in
>>>>>saving
>>>>>lives and fortune.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>How? What was their "major role"?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>I can only speak for us here in Bama. On HF we provided communication
>>>between the State Headquarter EOC (Emergency Operations Center) to all
>>>other local EOC's in the State of Alabama.
>>
>>
>>
>> Don't they use landlines used for the primary comm and keep wireless
>> in standby for backup? And don't they have sat-phones? PSP repeaters?
>
> Apparently not always.
>
>
>>>We provided logistic support concerning deployment of personnel to

>>>affected area's and kept accurate information on various storm shelters
>>>around the state.
>>
>> Paperwork.
>
> I suppose that you're saying that isn't important?
>
>>
>>
>>>We also provided inter-agency communications at a local and county level,
>>>as required by the local on the scene commander.
>>
>>
>>
>> Most states already have those systems in place using the PSP. Your's
>> doesn't?
>>
>>
>>
>>>This is but a small sample.
>>
>>
>>
>> I don't see any "major role" or "life-saving" operations.
>
> I see that life saviing operations is in quotes. where did Dan write that?
> He told ya what they did. EOC's appreciate it, even if you don't.
>
>
>> I -do- see
>> an emergency communications system that's barely evolved from the days
>> of Marconi.
>
> Umm, that is what the comms are all about. The highly evolved stuf tends
> to go away when disaster hits.
>
> Did you monitor any CB chatter? Hear any distress calls on
>> channel 9? I'm sure there were a few. Do you have a REACT group in
>> your area?
>>
>
> Tell us about REACT.....
>
> As far as I can see, a lot of them *are* Hams, and they provide services
> in the same way. If'n you don't like the Hams, ya don't like REACT either.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

I can see a definite value in using the 11 meter band, with its good ground wave coverage.

In fact I have been wondering for years why I don't hear REACT anymore. Guess all the "good CB ops" ran em off eh?

I can just imagine trying to get on Channel 9 in a emergency and asking one of the "big boys" to standby.

Dan/W4NTI

902. Where was Blapster during Dennis???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 00:20:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <L1EAe.8573$aY6.5228@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am in shock, totally distraught. Beyond myself even. I kept looking on 14.275 for K1MAN with instructions on the Jump team.

I even checked my antenna to make sure it was working. I heard several loud signals from his area so .....WHERE WAS BAXTER??????????????

Come on LLOOOOOOYYYYYYYDDDDDD and the TOAD, lets hear it for your hero.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Dan/W4NTI

903. Re: Where was Blapster during Dennis???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 00:40:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121128844.530990.182650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well Danny I heard he had been running on a different frequency and is
no longer on 14.275. Won't it be a bitch for you if he get's kicked off
the radio? You won't have nothing to bitch and cry about, K1MAN is your
life and once they get rid of him, your life is over, how pathetic.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/n9ogl.html
THE N9OGL BBS

904. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 01:28:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121131712.208829.79230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112264

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Leo wrote:
> >

. . . .
> >>Well done.

As if.

> >
> >
> > TNX
> >
> > There's always the possibility that I might decide to
> > ...point out...one of those....unpleasant items....
> >
> > Who knows?
>
>
> I must say, this thread has just about crapped out, eh guys?

It crapped out less than 24 hours after Hans tossed out his post about
how smelly diesel summarine bug slappers always won their bets on
ballgame scores.

WTF where you been??

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Red Velvet

905. Re: Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 01:44:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <egFAe.954$cg.419@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112265

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:daturo$1846$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> To all the posters who eschew anonymity, post civilly, argue sensibly
> and without rancor, and who make interesting posts - Thank you very much!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Hello, Mike

Well, at least I eschew anonymity ;)

As to the rest, I simply blame it on my age :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

906. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 02:59:55 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121137195.246008.59410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112266

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?
>
> While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> Genessee Cream Ale.
>
> As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
> that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> automatically.
>
> The "old-fashioned way" was
> put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> insults.
>
> After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> best, right?
>
> As if!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

And now we have a whole generation of hams who hate K5TIT's.

907. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 03:03:04 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121137384.038418.7440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112267

KØHB wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > Sounds familiar for the lower grades. For the top grades, there's a
> > board. When the board members read their CINC's remark (sounds more
> > like an order, doesn't it?), "Definitely promote," and there is a
> > billet, the Definitely Promote gets it.
>
> Having been promoted through all the Navy enlisted grades through E9, I
> understand how the promotion system works,

I'm certain that you do.

and there is no magic "Definitely
> Promote" tag which will get a lesser qualified person promoted over better
> qualified candidates.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

I accept that you beleive that. I do not.

908. Re: More Damning Shame for Robber's Son Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 03:16:43 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121138203.095302.252130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112268

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "b.b." on Sat 9 Jul 2005 16:24
>
> > >Just as I suspected. You had absolutely nothing to do with MARS. No
> > >wonder your ignorance vast ignorance shines through when you try to
> > >speak authoratatively about MARS.
> >
> > In other words, Stebie did the Snow Job thing again...
> >
> > >> > But you claimed to have held a leadership position in MARS/Okinawa, and
> > >> > you told us that you had no letter of appointment.
> > >>
> > >> Nope. Just my orders to MCB Camp Butler for temporary FAP duty to
> > >> the MARS program.
> > >
> > >Clerk? Runner? Mailboy?
> >
> > "FAP?" Whuzzat? "Foreign Anglo Provacateur?"
>
> LENNIE!~ You mean there's a military acronym that you DON'T
> know...?!?!?!

Clerk? Runner? Mailboy?

> > >> > Were you lying then or are you lying now?
> > >>
> > >> Neither.
> > >
> > >Your stories just don't add up.
> >
> > His stories subtract from his credibility.
>
> They're not "stories".
>
> A "story" is a tale without substantiation or validation.

Exactly.

> I've provided numerous references to verify what I've said.

And there's a reference to Grimm's Fairy Tales in the card catalog at
the public library.

> > His stories multiply
> > the massive amounts of wasted crud he claims. His stories can

> > be divided into confetti by simple logic.
>
> The "simple logic" is that you "CAN'T" disprove or rebutt my
> references.

You can't divide by zero, either.

> All you (or Brain and Markie) can do is TRY to blow enough smoke
> in hopes that it covers your trail.

It is your trail that you wish covered? or your tail?

> > >> No Letter. Orders. No, I don't have a copy of my old orders.
> > >
> > >In your own words, not having the paperwork means you didn't do it.
> >
> > ...and there we have it!
>
> Nope.
>
> The same orders are STILL in my SRB.

Excellent! Show them.

> The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...

Not my yob to validate your "stories."

> > >Mr. Robeson, it is yours to prove. Remember, if you can't prove your
> > >assertions it means it didn't happen. And that you're a liar. Your
> > >words, your rules, your logic.
> >
> > Tsk. Nobody can get such records (under the FOIA laws) without
> > proving that they should have them. Family members qualify and
> > recognized corporate personnel departments qualify and so do
> > law officers.
>
> However certain parts of military records ARE researchable.
>
> You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> YOU.

I'm good either way. Either you did what you claim (and can/will prove
it), or you're a liar.

> And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.

Yes, yes, yes. That's what got this whole thing started. Don't you
remember???

You claimed that I am a liar.

Now the foo is on the other shoot, and you have the very same
credibility problems that >>>you<<< claim that I have.

So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck

> > >> > The sad truth is that they'll realize that there are bad people in the
> > >> > world, and their father's role was to protect them from it.
> > >>
> > >> The sad truth is they are going to read.
> > >
> > >Indeed.
> >
> > Yup...they'll see ol' Stebies' bilious hatred standing out in
> > the Google archives for all time to come. Nothing but yards
> > and yards and yards and yards of his hatred against any who
> > dare disagree with him on anything...trash-mouth extraordinaire.
>
> Nope.
>
> They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> unfortunate one, at that...

Prove your unfounded assertions. Best of Luck.

909. K4YZ: And You Wonder Why I Consider Myself An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 03:20:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121138407.998472.318220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112269

```
b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > to obey them.
> >
> > BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
>
> "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
>
> IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> law.
>
> Or are you too ignorant to see that?

Why Steve no answer?
```

910. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 03:28:36 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121138916.670035.171710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112270

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > BB say he oesn't
> >
> > The very, very small mind of Robeson thinks it's a baaad thing to call
> > me. He lies awake at night thinking of names to call people.
>
> Nope. You just fit a mold, Brain.

..

> > > you hacked up the post enough so that I not sure but I think BB said
> > > you gotta love Stevie
> > >
> > > I disagreed
> > >
> > > I do disagree with more folks that just yourself Stevie
> >
> > The prozac people gotta love Steve.
>
> Why?

Sales.

> > > > > > > > > The FCC could of course have allowed it to techs and frankly they
> > > > > > > > > should have
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Why?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Because it is a NoCode band and logicaly should not require a code test
> > > > > > > > to use it if code is forbidden
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > (A) It's not a "band". It's five discreet channels.
> > > > >
> > > > > so?
> > >
> > > Mark's logic is perfect. Steve's reply is not applicable.
>
> No his isn't and yer mine is.

Hi!

> > > > (B) The mode restriction is due to other adjacent channel users
> > > > that we must dovetail with...Not due to any altruistic debate over
> > > > Morse Code use or testing.
> > >
> > > and I am as cappable as they are or you are of using USB on the bands
> > > or channels or whatever you care to call em
> > >
> > > since Morse Code is forbidden there there is NO logical reason that
> > > Morse Code test should be required in order to operate there, or at
> > > worst a tech liecnsee with CSSE for the general writen test, should be
> > > able to operate there
> >
> > Ding, ding, ding! We have a winner!!!
>
> Not until the FCC says otehrwise, Brain.

The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.

> > > > > > The 5Mhz channels still fall below 30Mhz.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So what?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is it a newsflash to you that S25.2 has changed?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There is NO LEGAL magic at 30Mhz there was but no more
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure there is.
> > > >
> > > > Nope
> > >
> > > It's all PFM for the followers of St. Hiram.
>
> I follow the FCC, and they say it requires an Amateur license to
> operate on those "channels". Those channels fall below 30Mhz. The
> present ITU document that facilitates international amateur radio
> regulations permits individual administrations to test for Morse Code
> competency for access to HF.
>
> The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
> HF.

For now. And it doesn't have to make sense or be consistent with other
regulations. That's why it is arbitrary.

> > > > YOU need to read how it's worded.
> > > >
> > > > The international treat gives individual administrations the
> > >
> > > yes I know that
>
> Obviously not.
>

> You've made statements that indicate you don't understand.
>
> > > but given the only legal barriar to assgining freqs was the treaty the
> > > FCC was free to assign them to tech's
> > >
> > > If they can do that then there is NO legal magic
> > >
> > > Indeed as BB has pointed out Techs are allowed to operate below 30mhz
> > > NOW which you claim is illegal
>
> There you go lying again.
>
> I very specifically delineated under what circumstances "Techs"
> may operate on HF.
>
> > > You go read the rules before you lecture others
>
> I have.
>
> You're the one with the comprehension deficit.
>
> > Hmmm? I don't think so. Tech's with a 5WPM Farnsworth CSCE can.
>
> There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.

Hi! Tell it to the ARRL VEC.

> > > > Guess what...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > The United States is in compliance with the treaty.
> > >
> > > Never said otherwise
> >
> > I didn't see you say otherwise either.
>
> Sure he did.
>
> When he said that there was no longer a treaty that permitted code
> testing.
>
> He was wrong.
>
> > > > > > > There's a frequency, I think 5.170 off the top of my head, that all
> > > > > > > amateurs in Alaska are allowed to use for emergencies. Includes
> > > > > > > Technicians. Oooops. Violates the defunct treaty.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Several points:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (1) The exact frequency is 5167.5KHz...or 5.1675MHz if you
> > > > > > prefer) ...However it's restricted to stations (>>ALL<< FCC licensed
> > > > > > stations...) in or within 50 miles of the State of Alaska, and is

> > > > > > strictly for Emergency use only. One discreet channel. And it's not
> > > > > > an "Amateur" channel. Amateurs are allowed on this frequency as an
> > > > > > accomodation to safety. A real stretch to cite it as a "violation" of
> > > > > > any treaty.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > (2) The treaty you refer to is NOT "defunct". It is still very
> > > > > > much in force. It HAS been ammended, however present United States
> > > > > > rules and regulations as they pertain to the treaty are in compliance
> > > > > > with said treaty.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > so he should have defunct provision
> > > > > >
> > > > > What? Huh?
> > > > >
> > > > defunct provision
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > grow up
> > > > > >
> > > > > I have. And someday you will too. But not today, apparently.
> > > > >
> > > > nope you haven't
> > >
> > He's the Dick Clark of Amateur Radio. The USA's most immature Extra.
>
> Nope....we'll hold that "honor" for you, Brain.

I'm no Extra.

> Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
> doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve and Bruce on the same team.

911. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 03:29:25 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <pOGAe.500$c41.123@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 112271

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121121237.032313.275210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> Genessee Cream Ale.
>

PAH!!!! Genny Cream Ale. Long, long time since I sipped an ice cold one of
them. Hey, they still brewing the 10-Horse Ale? Or, is my memory fuzzy and
Genny Cream IS the 10-Horse Ale. I remember the 10-Horse nearly when it was
new, I was up there for a visit. It was stout, but it'd give a grin on 1/2
a one! :)

>
> As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
> that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> automatically.
>

Whatever's the advertising win for the "period" is what is supposed to be
ahem healthy.

>
> The "old-fashioned way" was
> put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> insults.
>
> After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> best, right?
>
> As if!
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Heh, adverstisers.

Kim W5TIT

912. Re: K4YZ: And You Wonder Why I Consider Myself An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 03:31:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121139115.693705.321040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112272

```
b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > > to obey them.
> > >
> > > BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
> >
> > "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
> >
> > IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> > law.
> >
> > Or are you too ignorant to see that?
>
> Why Steve no answer?

Because he is too busy figuring out more name to call me most likely
after he is plain wrong

esp when he rests that on my not knowing the codes for pushing button
like FM on my rig
```

913. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 03:48:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121140124.883635.177820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112273

Kim wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121121237.032313.275210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> > Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> > Genessee Cream Ale.
>
> PAH!!!! Genny Cream Ale.

Yup.

> Long, long time since I sipped an ice cold one of
> them. Hey, they still brewing the 10-Horse Ale?

Yes, but it's an acquired taste. Some odd stories about
why it's called that....

> Or, is my memory fuzzy and
> Genny Cream IS the 10-Horse Ale.

Nope, two different things.

> I remember the 10-Horse nearly when it was
> new, I was up there for a visit. It was stout, but it'd give a > grin on 1/2 a one! :)

I'm getting thirsty!

> > As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
> > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> > automatically.
>
> Whatever's the advertising win for the "period" is what is
> supposed to be *ahem* healthy.

BINGO!!

The "old fashioned way" didn't sell as much apparatus as the
"new scientific" way.
>
> > The "old-fashioned way" was
> > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> > insults.
> >
> > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> > best, right?
> >
> > As if!

> Heh, adverstisers.

Yup. If there's no market for something, create one!
>
> Kim W5TIT

73 de Jim, N2EY

914. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 06:05:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121148303.518099.101360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112274

From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 11 Jul 2005 15:17

>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:18:25 -0400, Michael Coslo
><mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 10:22:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>>>>>Kenny wrote:

>>> It's a perfectly valid distribution sample. If a disaster zone affects
>>> 620,000 people, there will be about 1345 hams in that population
>>> (assuming hams are evenly distributed throughout the population).
>>
>> No, sorry, it isn't. If it was, the same distribution would hold
>>regarding professional EOC communicators, or REACT members, or whoever.
>
>Hmmm.... did you flunk 5th grade math?
>
>300,000,000 (number of people in US) / 650,000 (number of hams in US)
>= 461.54 (people per ham)
>
>> Just as a point of interest, you write of some apparent necessity for
>>stations to be mobile. How many mobile stations are going to be in use
>>during a major hurricane? Are we supposed to head out in our pickups,
>>tuned to channel 9, and cruise up and down the beach as the storm surge
>>comes in?
>
>Depends on how stupid you are.

Right now there are somewhere between 4 and 6 MILLION CB sets in
presumed operating condition in the USA.

Right now there are approximately 180 MILLION cell phones in the
USA; the number of cell subscribers has now exceeded the wired-
telephone subscibers.

There are at least a MILLION assorted PLMRS mobile radios in the
USA now, divided among public safety, railroad, utility, private
boat owners, businesses.

That's not counting the various mobile units operated by TV news
teams using a variety of modulations and relay facilities.

There's the Guard units in each state, all equipped with military
grade communications radios, some capable of working through the

rather private military satellites.

There's lots and lots of RADIO equipment out there that CAN work
(other than amateur and CB) and has been working right along,
just as it did 11 years ago in the '94 Northridge Earthquake.

>> That might be the job of the police and other highly trained emergency
>>workers, but not us.
>>
>> What do you see emergency comms as anyhow?
>
>There is plenty of warning for hurricanes these days, so there's
>plenty of time to mobilize whatever resources that may be needed.
>Regardless, you don't see too many hams out cruising the aftermath
>looking for victims. Tornados give a much better picture on the use of
>CB radios in an emergency. Haven't you ever seen "Twister"? Ever think
>that there was a reason the writers chose to use CB radios instead of
>ham radios in the movie?

As I recall, some time back for that movie, I thought they were
just NOAA radios. <shrug>

Motion picture industry folks have their own coordinating group
for frequency assignments in the PLMRS. At one time (before
privatization of frequency coordination) the movie people had
their own little sub-service listed in Title 47. Motion picture
production crews daily and regularly use two-way radios on sets.

>>> Maybe you missed the point: I'm not saying hams are useless.
>>
>>You wrote:
>>
>>**And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>>**establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>>**of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.
>
>Yep, that's pretty much all I hear on the box. If they are doing
>anything more than occasional radio checks and net tests then maybe
>they are using some sort of new 'stealth radio' technology.....?

USA amateur radio is basically a HOBBY radio activity. Anyone
who joins ham radio looking to be some kind of hero saving the
day during emergencies and disasters doesn't have their head(s)
on straight.

The ONLY radio operator license that comes with any guarantee of
proficiency during a REAL emergency is the GMDSS commercial radio
operator license.

>> SO these CB'ers are manning emergency comms right in the middle of the
>>disaster area? Seems we would hear more about it.
>
>You probably would have had you ever been a CBer in the middle of a

>disaster area.

As you said earlier, he should do the math. CB sets outnumber
radio amateurs (total, all kinds) by at least 5 to 8 to one.
There's a higher probability of CB involvement in any random
emergency situation.

>> Great, let us know where to find the documentation. BTW, I wen to the
>>REACT site, and they could do a better job of getting this news out.
>
>I guess they don't toot their own horn as much as the hams do.

ARRL has been around since 1914 and emerged as the single
national organization of USA radio amateurs through some
excellent early-on marketing technique. One such technique
was to make all readers "feel good" and "important" by
relating their HOBBY to "national service" and other emotional
baggage-claim notices.

>>> You don't get it -- if the grid is down then it's down for a reason.
>>> If it's not a transmission or generator plant failure, the reason is
>>> usually because a number power lines are down. If the power lines are
>>> down then the phone lines will probably also be down, and it doesn't
>>> matter if the relay stations have backup power or not -- the phones
>>> won't work. And BTW, most cell-phone towers have battery backups just
>>> like telcom relay stations.
>>
>> And after a little while, they go down.
>
>Yes they do. But they are usually overloaded before they fail.

The BIG example of recent times is 11 years ago and the '94
Northridge Earthquake. The ENTIRE primary electrical power
to about 10 million folks was OFF. One MVe tower tipped over
and tripped out the whole of L.A. Telephone systems kept on
working on internal batteries.

Fire departments here rolled as needed on calls that came to the
stations over LEASED FIXED LINES that do NOT go through the
central office switchers. Lots and lots of various groups use
leased lines that do NOT go through central office switchers
and those will NOT be "overloaded" with subscribers in a panic.

I'm still waiting to hear of the amateur radio groups that came
to the immediate rescue here in L.A. when the entire electrical
system went down. The L.A. center emergency comms Hq didn't go
down; that was built to operate right through such things. The
PDs didn't go down, the FDs didn't go down, neither did the
various Utilities who had to restore things damaged.

I have yet to see ANY ham group that suddenly got operational
within minutes of the onset of ANY disaster...regardless of
the squibs inserted in little weekly newspapers...and "feel

good" stories in QST and on the 'web. The closest I remember
is a young teen girl in Washington state hearing a distress
call on her FRS HT...from a stranded climbing team on
Mt. Rainier. That was five years ago. The stranded group was
rescued by regular rescue workers, thanks to that lil gal's tip.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

915. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 10:06:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k247d11hj6fd34fsd2n2a35oe6teobrdmr@4ax.com>
Source record: 112275

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 23:52:41 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<dDDAe.21367$eM6.10070@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>Just tellin the truth, and you cain't stand it.

I just did a cursory google search and found dozens of examples where
CB radio was used to save lives in emergency and disaster situations.
If you can't do it yourself just let me know and I'll compile a list
of links for you to browse through. In the meantime, maybe you should
remember who caught the DC sniper -- a CBer.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

916. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 11:28:56 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <YPNAe.555$c41.48@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 112276

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121140124.883635.177820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Kim wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1121121237.032313.275210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> > > Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> > > Genessee Cream Ale.
> > >
. . .

> > I remember the 10-Horse nearly when it was
> > new, I was up there for a visit. It was stout, but it'd give a > grin
on 1/2 a one! :)
>
> I'm getting thirsty!
>

Down here, back in the day when having a few was more regular for me, there
was a "new" ale called Big Mouth Mickey...'least I think that's what it was.
Don't remember the brewer. It was cheap, as I recall, and some pretty
darned good stuff, taste-wise. We used to buy a 6-pack and have leftovers
after a night at the drive-in, because the stuff was stout enough on one or
two to make ya sit there during a drama movie and grin through the whole
thing...LOL

> > > As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
> > > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> > > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> > > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> > > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> > > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> > > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> > > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> > > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> > > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> > > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> > > automatically.
> > >
> > Whatever's the advertising win for the "period" is what is
> > supposed to be *ahem* healthy.
>
> BINGO!!
>

> The "old fashioned way" didn't sell as much apparatus as the
> "new scientific" way.
> >
> > > The "old-fashioned way" was
> > > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> > > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> > > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> > > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> > > insults.
> > >
> > > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> > > best, right?
> > >
> > > As if!
>
> > Heh, adverstisers.
>
> Yup. If there's no market for something, create one!
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

When I had my babies, I knew the hospital gave a "care" package of samples of all kinds of stuff when leaving. Well, when some girlfriends had their kids, a few months before me, it was Huggies (or whatever competitor brand it was back then--can't remember now) and a baby food that was out back then made by, I think, Beechnut, or some such anyway. When I was leaving, it was Pampers and Gerber. I asked about that and they said it was whoever won the "contract" each period that determined whose "stuff" was given out. Good grief.

Same, by the way, with prescription drugs--for a pretty good majority of the time anyway. Whatever a salesperson is peddaling at the doctor's office and leaves a better impression (read: more free samples) is what the doc pushes for that malady....

Kim W5TIT

917. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 12:59:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121173186.029550.234250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112277

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?
>
> While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> Genessee Cream Ale.

Beats me, I'm not into suds.

> As for what is fed to babies,

Ye gawds in all the years I've been lurking in this funny-farm I can't think of another topic having popped up which is a far afield from the code test war. Ever. .

WEIRD!

> it should be remembered
> that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> automatically.
>
> The "old-fashioned way" was
> put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> insults.

Uhhh . . are you "explaining" all this to me James or what? If so spare me willya, I was raised in those days and so were my kids and those times spanned more than just a couple decades. Yeah there was a bit of hardware involved but the process was a no-brainer and it wasn't nearly as complicated as you've intimated. Tongs? sterilizer pot? Bottle rack? What? Nonsense. Never had any of 'em. By the way the handiest widgets by far were the 'lectric bottle warmers. Didn't have any friggin'

pacifiers ether.

> After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> best, right?
>
> As if!

Yeah as if. In the first place you weren't there, I was but never mind that little detail. The bottle-feeding days were the biggest move forward ever in the liberation of women, especially moms. Finally moms didn't have to hover over their wee ones 24/7 and were able to do "radical" things like trudge off to jobs and even short vacations without the kid thus getting the ravenous little beasties out of their lives for awhile for a break for others to feed. I sure did my share and so did grandparents and others.

The two problems with the current politically correct gotta-do-the-boobs drill are (1) it puts the moms back into the same crippled sorts of lives the cave women lived and (2) fathers don't have to be bothered with the feeding so they can wander off and be Real Men again. Bullshit. Lemmee clue you about the biggie which has been lost. A non-mom reapetedly having the sole responsibility for feeding an infant is by far the second most powerful bonding force there is.

I spent thousands of hours in that mode and looking back I wouldn't have missed it for all the world. My sons-in-laws have no idea what I'm talking about when the topic comes up and the grumpy old ex couldn't agree more despite the fact that agreeing with me on any subject galls her no end.

What paper diapers? Don't be silly . .

In closing here James ponder this: You've spent more than just a few minutes rachet-jawing with my youngest. Who was 100% bottle-fed as often as not by her daddy. What evidence do have to offer which indicates that she'd have been better off if she'd been boob-fed instead?

Watch bottle-feeding come back again and remember where ya heard it.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

918. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 13:49:22 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <db0hp2$15ue$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112278

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:18:25 -0400, Michael Coslo
> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
> <dauk6g$16bg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:
>
>
>>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 10:22:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>><n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <FVxAe.31744$up5.3360@lakeread02>:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>>>><n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Kenny wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>>>>>>>get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>>>>>>>relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>>>>>>>old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>>>>>>>and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>>>>>>>sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>>>>>>>at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>>>>>>>in emergencies.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>>>>>>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>>>>>>someone who needs to go back to school.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
>>>>>the potential of CB radio.
>>>>

>>>>really? have you even asked?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>As a matter of fact I have. Haven't you ever heard of REACT?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>just for your info, I did here at the MCEOC. from what they told me, they
>>>>don't consider the "chicken band" to be of any use in a real emergency. too
>>>>many untrained idiots to have to manage was their words on the subject.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I guess it depends on your perspective. When the shit hits the fan,
>>>the power and phones go down, and the only line of communication is
>>>some redneck CBer driving around looking to help people in trouble, do
>>>you think it's a good idea to wave him off and bleed to death while
>>>waiting for a ham?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>>>>>>>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>>>>>>>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>>>>>>>they'll just key right over you until you die.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.
>>>>
>>>>again, thats real funny. I heard lots of reports of hams getting involved
>>>>and helping out. I did not hear even one report in any of the news media
>>>>regarding cb operators at all. care to site a source that I can verify?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>That was an analogy, Eric.
>>
>>
>> Exceptionally poor analogy. Why don't you go stand in Time Square and
>>make your analogy?
>
>
>
> I thought it was a pretty good analogy. In fact, it was a compliment
> to the people of NYC. If you didn't read it that way then maybe you
> should pull that corn cob out of your ass so you don't spaz whenever
> someone mentions 9/11.

Hey, good one, Frank! Always good to see when someone decides to start
throwing insults around.....

>
>
>>>People are people. Some of us may behave
>>>poorly and irresponsibly when things are hunky-dory, but a disaster
>>>usually brings out the best from even the worst of us.
>>
>> And sometimes not, but that's all beside the point.
>
>
>
> Then why mention it?

IIRC, you are the one who mentioned it.

>
>
>>>9/11 proved
>>>that on many levels, as did just about every major earthquake,
>>>hurricane, or other disaster that has struck since civilization began.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people
>>>>>(that training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very
>>>>>same EOC offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are
>>>>>certain to get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old
>>>>>man or a young kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup
>>>>>(it has happened before).
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams
>>>>>out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
>>>>>to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
>>>>>willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
>>>>>case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
>>>>>that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
>>>>>grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
>>>>>ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find
>>>>>out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
>>>>>out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.
>>>>>How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's
>>>>>being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people;
>>>>>the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to
>>>>>drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the
>>>>>disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are
>>>>>both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over
>>>>>4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling
>>>>>that kind of traffic.

>>>>
>>>>your math is flawed..... 1 ham for every 461 people would only occur IF (and
>>>>only if) the entire nation were attacked en-masse by either biologics or
>>>>nuclear means (and by then, the telco infrastructure would have long since
>>>>been disabled).
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>It's a perfectly valid distribution sample. If a disaster zone affects
>>>620,000 people, there will be about 1345 hams in that population
>>>(assuming hams are evenly distributed throughout the population).
>>
>> No, sorry, it isn't. If it was, the same distribution would hold
>>regarding professional EOC communicators, or REACT members, or whoever.
>
>
>
> Hmmm.... did you flunk 5th grade math?

Why, no. No I didn't.
>
> 300,000,000 (number of people in US) / 650,000 (number of hams in US)
> = 461.54 (people per ham)

But the math is irrelevant.

>
>
>> Just as a point of interest, you write of some apparent necessity for
>>stations to be mobile. How many mobile stations are going to be in use
>>during a major hurricane? Are we supposed to head out in our pickups,
>>tuned to channel 9, and cruise up and down the beach as the storm surge
>>comes in?
>
>
>
> Depends on how stupid you are.
>
>
>
>> That might be the job of the police and other highly trained emergency
>>workers, but not us.
>>
>>
>> What do you see emergency comms as anyhow?
>
>
>
> There is plenty of warning for hurricanes these days, so there's
> plenty of time to mobilize whatever resources that may be needed.
> Regardless, you don't see too many hams out cruising the aftermath

> looking for victims. Tornados give a much better picture on the use of
> CB radios in an emergency. Haven't you ever seen "Twister"? Ever think
> that there was a reason the writers chose to use CB radios instead of
> ham radios in the movie?
>

Because they knew of the inherent superiority of Citizen band radio?

Because they knew that if they were to use Hams, they couldn't be
accurate unless they used a 75 y.o morbidly obese person with no teeth,
and gravy stains on his XXXXXL filthy T-shirt, that they wouldn't be
accurate?

<Sarc mode off>

Or much more likely they didn't want to interfere with the plot, and an
unlicensed service wouldn't introduce any complications?

>
>>>>Besides, I have done emergency comms work before and have
>>>>handled upwards of 200+ communications per hour (such comms include name,
>>>>address, type of info, its priority, etc). thats an avg of 3 communications
>>>>per second (and a human can handle this quite easily - case in point: air
>>>>traffic controllers).
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Three "communications" per second? Hmmm.... I guess that depends on
>>>how you define a "communication".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>point is, the type of disaster that would take out
>>>>>>everything (your scenario)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No, that's -your- scenario.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>just doesn't have any real basis in reality
>>>>>>currently. now it might down the road, but your "just suppose" take on this
>>>>>>is just not relevant.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>>>>>establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>>>>>of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.

>>>>
>>>>BULLSHIT.
>>>>
>>>>recent fires in Arizona would prove you wrong. hams were passing a majority
>>>>of both the emergency and health/welfare traffic in and out of the fire
>>>>areas, as well as acting as coordinators for several emergency zones within
>>>>less than 100 miles of each other (it can be a real PITA to have someone
>>>>dispatching a plane to one area only to have someone else dispatch the same
>>>>plane elsewhere at the same time).
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Maybe you missed the point: I'm not saying hams are useless.
>>
>>You wrote:
>>
>>>**And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>>>**establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>>>**of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.
>
>
>
> Yep, that's pretty much all I hear on the box. If they are doing
> anything more than occasional radio checks and net tests then maybe
> they are using some sort of new 'stealth radio' technology.....?

Perhaps your antenna needs some work?

>
>>and
>>
>>>**Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>>>**selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>>>**said for ham radio
>
>
>
> So have -YOU- heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
> selling CB operator services?

On I-80 in western PA, there is a Gentlemans club that uses CB to hawk
their wares. Fine graphic descriptions of the various YL's names and
"features, and if you want, you can talk to the YL's themselves.

Near the border with OH, another fine fellow is selling flea Market
type items, and spends time trying to entice truckers and other to stop
on in and do a little shoppin'.

Not selling their services, but selling nonetheless.

>
>

>>**Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>>**carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
>>**dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>>**Republican.
>>
>> hmmmm...
>
>
>
> Careful.... wearing a yellow spotted shirt doesn't always disguise
> those cheese stains.
>
>
>
>>>If an
>>>agency has the time and means to mobilize some hams to man some air
>>>conditioned communication op-centers, great, I'm sure that happens
>>>quite often. But you don't see too many of them in the trenches, which
>>>is where the wars are fought. That's where you'll find the CBers
>>>picking up the slack when the phone system doesn't work, when the PSP
>>>is overloaded, and when pre-planned logistics aren't flexible enough
>>>to accommodate unforseen circumstances. Happens all the time.
>>
>> Have any news releases?
>
>
>
> Nope, just first-hand experience in three different disasters.

Then you good folk need to get the word out.

>
>
>>>>In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB
>>>>radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra
>>>>radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular
>>>>mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy
>>>>in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even
>>>>by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services;
>>>>CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it
>>>>or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately
>>>>during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.
>>>>
>>>>quantity is not quality.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No, but it -is- quantity. You can have the best trained ham manning a
>>>radio in the center of a disaster zone, but you =MUST= have mobile
>>>comm within that zone. There simply aren't enough hams in any given
>>>area to do that job. And CB radio is almost -ideal- for the task.

>>>Wherever you are, there are lots of CBers already there, ready and
>>>willing.
>>
>>
>> SO these CB'ers are manning emergency comms right in the middle of the
>>disaster area? Seems we would hear more about it.
>
>
>
> You probably would have had you ever been a CBer in the middle of a
> disaster area.
>
>
>
>>>>just because there are more, doesn't mean they are
>>>>any more useful.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>It most certainly makes a difference when there is a need for a lot of
>>>lines of communication. And CB radios allow neighborhoods and small
>>>communities to take care of many problems by themselves, relieving the
>>>burden on the emergency services and their communication networks.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>in fact, the average CB operator doesn't have even the
>>>>minimal training required to handle emergency communications where lives
>>>>might be at stake.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Hogwash. Almost every CBer knows that Channel 9 is for emergencies,
>>>and most CBers already know how to communicate with their radio. The
>>>only "training" needed is the ability to organize communications, but
>>>that's why there's REACT. Even without REACT, it doesn't take any
>>>intensive training to learn how to cooperate with others during an
>>>emergency -- it happens whether people are trained for it or not.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>couple that with all the idiot operators on the band who
>>>>would just as soon key over any traffic (hence "AUDIOOOOOO" or "HAMMER!")
>>>>as pay attention.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yeah, that happens all the time in disaster situations, especially on

>>>channel 9......
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I witness this time and again on local chan 19 down by
>>>>the truck stop (located some 5 miles south of me). you are lucky if you can
>>>>get a word in edgewise and god help you if you had a real emergency!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Pay attention, Eric: Channel 9 is used for emergencies, not 19.
>>
>> The truckers use 9 around here too.
>
>
>
> First, channel 9 is designated an emergency channel by law; using it
> for anything other than emergency comm is a violation of FCC regs.
> Second, where's "here"? Dave Hall country? That doesn't suprise me.

Central PA

>
>
>>>>I
>>>>could always record some of this "noise" save it as an mp3 and post it here
>>>>for all to hear as a typical morning on chan 19.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>You go right ahead and record all this stuff while listening to
>>>channel 9. Post it, as well as the time and location it was recorded.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a
>>>>>communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a
>>>>>nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption,
>>>>>or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary
>>>>>scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with
>>>>>the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger
>>>>>role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do
>>>>>so in the future.
>>>>
>>>>yes. radio does have that purpose (an infrastructure backup) and only when
>>>>*trained* people are at the mic does it work effectively. how many people
>>>>do you know of that have worked CB during an emergency situation that have
>>>>been taken seriously by any EOC?
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>Several.
>>
>>
>> Great, let us know where to find the documentation. BTW, I wen to the
>>REACT site, and they could do a better job of getting this news out.
>
>
>
> I guess they don't toot their own horn as much as the hams do.

Probably be a good idea to start.

>
>
>>>>so far, I can't find any real reports of
>>>>any, other than those "numerous and conflicting reports" that never pan out
>>>>as factual. again, I require you cite me some factual sources that can be
>>>>verified.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>You "require me"? Get a life, Eric. Then get a CB radio and talk a
>>>while with some of the folks on the band. You'll get your sources
>>>there.
>>
>> I only ask. Requiring is a tad strong.
>>
>> And as for getting a CB, I have one. I don't hear the things you write
>>of....
>
>
>
> Your CB is a two-way radio, right? Ok then.

?

>
>
>>>>>>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>>>>>>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>>>>>>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>>>>>>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>>>>>>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>>>>>>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes
>>>>>down.
>>>>

>>>>WRONG. land lines have their own emergency backup power (the same cannot be
>>>>said for most cellular towers which often die due to load and cheap backup
>>>>supplies. the telco system (hard land lines) run on a 48 volt system that
>>>>is backed up at multiple locationsusing batteroes (batteries that are 2
>>>>volts each setup in series and capable of delivering several thousands
>>>>aperes each). these backup supplies are usually located in central offices
>>>>which are distributed throughout the area and cross connected to offer
>>>>redundant capability (lose one co, and you have 3 others to take up the
>>>>slack). I may not be a telcom engineer, but I know a fair number of them
>>>>personally, so asking this type of question wasn't hard.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>You don't get it -- if the grid is down then it's down for a reason.
>>>If it's not a transmission or generator plant failure, the reason is
>>>usually because a number power lines are down. If the power lines are
>>>down then the phone lines will probably also be down, and it doesn't
>>>matter if the relay stations have backup power or not -- the phones
>>>won't work. And BTW, most cell-phone towers have battery backups just
>>>like telcom relay stations.
>>
>> And after a little while, they go down.
>
>
>
> Yes they do. But they are usually overloaded before they fail.

We agree on that!

>
>
>>>>>>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>>>>>>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>>>>>>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>>>>>>say: NAY!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>>>>>selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>>>>>said for ham radio.
>>>>
>>>>again, BULLSHIT. I have never heard any ham operator charge for his
>>>>services. in fact, it is a violation of part 97 if he tries (and also
>>>>several other regulations and a few laws).
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>It most certainly is against the law. Remember the Jim Jones suicide
>>>cult? Maybe you should look at their radio activities with US hams
>>>before they drank the kool-aid. I mention this as only one instance
>>>because the magazine with the article was sitting on the top of the

>>>pile on my desk. There have been many other instances.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of
>>>>>young and old hams there and not many of them would even match your
>>>>>description.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>>>>>carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
>>>>>dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>>>>>Republican.
>>>>
>>>>oh really? and what facts do you base this low opinion on? I think the only
>>>>arrogant, elitist SOB here is you. you have offered no facts, you have
>>>>argued on baseless points and yet you still cannot accept the fact that you
>>>>are wrong. you are just arguing to be arguing.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Three "communications" per second?
>>
>> Yeah, that is a little hard to believe. I'd like to see some
>>explanation of that.
>
>
>
> So would I.

I expect it won't be forthcoming though, unless he is talking about
sending a a broad message to a large group at one time. At that metric,
broadcast stations are sending out *millions* of comms per second.

The best I ever did while contesting is about 6 two
ways/operator/minute. And depending on the signals, it goes down pretty
quickly from there.

- Mike KB3EIA -

919. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 14:04:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121177058.705168.325790@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112279

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Answer my question, please...
> >
> > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > with them...???
>
> well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
> radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
> signals wether i know the letter codes or not

You are responsible for the proper operation of your radio
station.

Yeasu (NOT "Yeaszu") is NOT responsible for the emissions of the
radio.

> My rig will not tranmit out band so I am covered there

Bravo Sierra.

E V E R Y RF generating device has the potential of radiating a
signal other than the one designed for.

Ask Lennie.

> > > Obeying the rules is all that is required.In the case of mode which
> > > sparked this thread.
> >
> > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > with them...???
>
> several methods are possible such apllaince operating

"Apllaince operating" does not make you familiar with FCC rules
and regulations.

It makes you an "apllaince" operator...that's all.

> not operating also insures I don't break the rules

And keeps them free of your "dreck".

> one could count on simple luck (not wise but possible)
>
> I am sure there are additional examples

None of which meet the letter of the spirit of the law.

SO you're bascially saying that you don't care what the rules are,
you'll just do what you darn well please.

> > > don't need to what number letter combination is USB in order to use
> > > it, I push a botton on the rig and it does that for me
> >
> > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > with them...???
>
> asked and answered

Asked and answered with stupid answers.

(...as if I expected anything different...?!?!)

> > > > And by the way...Yes you ARE required to be familiar with Part 97.
> > > >
> > > > Ask the FCC.
> > >
> > > Nope
> >
> > Uh huh...about what I figured...
>
> I am required to obey
> not understand

And you STILL have not adequately explained how you can "obey"
laws that you do not understand.

> Nothing in part 97 says I have to understand it

Your signature on FCC form 660 an the provisions of the
Communications Act of 1934 in Section 310 and others do.

And yes, you're supposed to know them. You sign the document.

> > The line on the back of your license where it says you will abide
> > by FCC rules and regualtions as thhey pertain to your Amateur Radio
> > station.
> >
>
> ...Abide by the FCC rules and regulation... nothing about understanding
> them there
>
> another Stevie LIE

Nope.

You cannot "abide" by laws you do not understand.

> > > I am merely required to the USE the airwaves correctly. If i di by use
> > > Tarrot definiation the FCC doesn't care.
> >
> > Sure they do.
>

> prove it. or even show something that suggests it

Done.

http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices

> > Show me a Tarot (...not Tarrot) card deck that has Part 97 in it.
>
> Tarrot Torot and Tarot are all vaild speling of the words
>
> and you question merely shows you ignorance of the Tarrot

I know that it's spelled "Tarot" in English.

> > > Indeed in large discussions I generaly id every transmisstion since it
> > > might not get back around to me in 10 minutes
> >
> > If you didn't cause RF to be emitted, it doesn't matter.
>
> not by what I hear maybe I just don't understand, and htta is what what
> some of the old timers claim very loudy at time when they break and
> play radio cop

Perhaps if you knew the laws that you are governed by you wouldn't
have to depend on "...not by what I hear..."

And yes, you DON'T understand. Being wilfully ignorant doesn't
excuse you from compliance.

> > > Instaead the turth is you up to stalking and harassment but everyone
> > > (except perhaps you) knows that
> >
> > Nope. You came here. No one forced you.
>
> so
>
> You claim you have the right to stalk and harrass anyone that comes in
> your feild of vision?

I'm not stalking anyone nor am I harassing anyone.

You voluntarilly reply to these posts.

No one dragged you here.

> > > > But so far YOU keep stealing all my glory by beating me to it.
> > >
> > > Then shut up if showing me an idoit is your sole goal and you you feel
> > > I am beating you to it
> >
> > There's that "idoit" thing again.
>
> so

So you've proven yourself wrong.

Over and over.

> > You're holding at 100%.
> >
> > > You just manged to prove YOURself a lair
> >
> > I am not a lair. Nor am I a liar.
>
> yes you are

Nope. You SAY I am a liar, but you've not yet shown a single one.
(Websters refers...)

> > > and you say Hans can't defend himself?
> >
> > Nope. That's YOU "forging" my words.
>
> then explain what you are doing

I've not forged a single word, Markie.

Look up the definition of "forged" in Websters.

> > No. Society can set the parameters by which rude treatment is
> > determined.
>
> not ture

Absolutely "ture".

> > In no way shape or form did Hans cross that line.
>
> says you?

Says everyone EXCEPT you.

> even IF I grant your...(SNIP)

You're not empowered to "grant" me anything, Markie.

You haven't got the proper genetics.

> > YOU did, however....
>
> Auf anglish bitte is a phrase forbidden by Society?

Where did I say it was? (Even if you continue to mispell the
germanic reference to "English"

> Bull####

Yes, You are.

> > I think they need to disperse flyers in your town warning them
> > about you...No one should allow a 12 year old alone with you.

>
> and more libel from you

Nope.

Fact.

Based upon your own words.

> If I thought you had anything worth taking that is enough to take you
> to court for Stevie, at least in the Opinion of a paralegal (my partner
> not me)

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

I don't know who the bigger idiot would be...

You for trying to file such a "case" considering the tons of
admissions of sexual perversion and wilfull mistruthfulness on your
part,

Or your "partner" for suggesting such might be possible
considering the aforementioned evidence that exists in Google archives.

> > > Then if you "grant" me these "rights" why do you haraas me for using
> > > them?
> >
> > I don't "grant" you anything.
>
> then you were lieing when you made the statement

I never "granted" you anything. Those are YOUR words...Not mine,
you "forger" ! ! !

> " Actually, I consider you to have MORE rights than I consider
> necesssary for myself.
>
> Being an idiot is one of them. Being a chronic liar is yet
> another.

Yes, you are an idiot and you are a chronic liar.

> > I am not people bashing.
>
> you only engage in personal attack

It's not an attack.

It's the truth.

> you edit stuff to cover your tracks

Nope.

I "edit" stuff to keep these posts from being yards and yards
long.

Anyone can go back and review them if they care to...Even you....

> > Folks can just surf Google under any of your "KONSTANS"
> > nomme-de-guerre's you have used.
>
> your point? or do you have one?

Sure.

My point is that anyone can surf Google under any of your
"KONSTANS" nomme-de-guerre's you've used and see what kind of a lyig
creep you are.

They can also surf KB9RQZ, "MWMORGAN" and a plethora of othr names
you've come and gone under as you bounce from ISP to ISP...

Speaking of which, I can only hope and pray that you finally
ditched that ISP you alleged was charging you $5/hr.

> > > > Only in that you suggest something improper. My REAL intent was
> > > > to try and get you to spontaneously issue Hans an apology for your
> > > > smart mouth and abuse of his rendering of information to you.
> > >
> > > That is an improper intent, and one doomed to failure
> >
> > Doomed to failure, perhaps.
>
> a begining boyo

Huh?

> > Not improper, however.
>
> It is imporper

What's "imporper"...???

> > Nope. If you weren't such an idiot you'd remember what you wrote
> > a week ago.
>
> making up stuff as a point of fact my partner is female was avoiding it
> becuase it wasn't and isn't any of your affair

Your sister? Or mom?

No self-respecting English speaking woman >>I<< know would
tolerate a professed liar like you unless they were in your gene pool
or your will.

> > So he's "guilty" for imparting MORE knowledge than you are capble
> > of processing...
>
> He imparted no knowledge something you have already agreed

No, I have NOT agreed.

Hans clearly DID "impart knowledge"...YOU, on the otherhand, were
just too stupid to assimilate it.

> > But you STILL couldn't be polite to him and ask for a better
> > clarification if you didn't understand...?!?!
>
> I did ask politely
>
> I said "Auf Anglish Bitte"

Why do you insist on trying to look like you can speak German when
you clearly can't even do it in English?

> I could have said "answer the question asked ####head" or other far
> ruder responses

You basically said that anyway. That WAS the nature, if not
verbatim, response.

> > I OCCASSIONALLY transpose "h" and "a"...
>
> I can't recallseeing the word have speeled right by you in days

Becasue you haven't been paying attention.

Scroll back.

> > As opposed to your near-every sentence errors?
>
> "judge not lest ye be judged"

I'll take my chances.

> > > > If you don't consider it pertinent to do so without his asking,
> > > > then you're obviously not sincere.
> > >
> > > Not at all
> >
> > Absolutely.
>
> Stevie YOU insting on means it will not happen if hans asks I will
> consider it If Jim Ney asks I will consider it, but the more you go the
> less likely i will do it
>
> I do nothing to please you

Sure you do.

And who's "Jim Ney"...???

> > > Hans (nor anyone else) is entitled an apology where no offense was
> > > intended with indaicating in some form he was offended
> >
> > Obviously he was.
>

> obviously was what?
>
> auf anglish bitte

If you're going to ask a question, Markie, regardless of the
language you're trying to abuse, please use the proper punctuation.

And what part of "offended" do you not understand?

> > And like I said...if you haven't got the cajones to just offer him
> > a sincere apology on your own, it's not..well...sincere...
>
> oh I see what you mean now took you long enough to say what you mean
> (if you are saying what you mean now)...(SNIP)

Huh?

> Then you have been chasing a wild goose form...(SNIP)

A "wild goose form"..?!?!

Is that as opposed to a tame goose form?

How can you tell?

> (UNSNIP)...moment one in this thread since of course If aplogize to
> Hans now you will not consider it sincere, so logicly thier is
> certianly no point in my doing so

Sure there is...

Because it's the right thing to do.

If that's not reason enough, I don't know what is...

Steve, K4YZ

920. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 14:14:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121177681.771936.186680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112280

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > The prozac people gotta love Steve.
> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Sales.

Why?

> > > > since Morse Code is forbidden there there is NO logical reason that
> > > > Morse Code test should be required in order to operate there, or at
> > > > worst a tech liecnsee with CSSE for the general writen test, should be
> > > > able to operate there
> > > >
> > > Ding, ding, ding! We have a winner!!!
> > >
> > > Not until the FCC says otehrwise, Brain.
> >
> > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.

So have you.

Like why does a married Veteran with small children lie repeatedly
and prolifically in a public forum...?!?!

> > The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
> > HF.
> >
> > For now. And it doesn't have to make sense or be consistent with other
> > regulations. That's why it is arbitrary.

It's not "arbitrary".

That's an "out" that people try to use when they want to avoid
facing reality.

> > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.
> >
> > Hi! Tell it to the ARRL VEC.

I'll tell it to them all.

There's no "Farnsworth CSCE". Not in the ARRL VEC, the W%YI, VEC,
or any of the others.

It doesn't exist.

> > > He's the Dick Clark of Amateur Radio. The USA's most immature Extra.
> >
> > Nope....we'll hold that "honor" for you, Brain.
>
> I'm no Extra.

So right on so many levels.

> > Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
> > doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...
>
> Steve and Bruce on the same team.

Bruce who?

If you're refering to N8DOS (SK), why shouldn't we be? He was my
father.

If you refer to ULX, well, there's yet another silly assertion on
YOUR part that will never stand the test of scrutiny...

Steve, K4YZ

921. Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 14:35:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xyQAe.6930$8f7.3822@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112281

Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the amateur radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio would be:

a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
b) Extinct

Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would you include a Morse examination in the license qualification?

a) Yes
b) No

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

922. Re: More Damning Shame for Robber's Son Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 14:44:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121179492.685611.24750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112282

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> > "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...
>
> Not my yob to validate your "stories."

What stories?

What's a "yob"..?!?!

> > You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> > YOU.
>
> I'm good either way. Either you did what you claim (and can/will prove
> it), or you're a liar.

There's no "either way" to it, Brain.

I did do those things.

I did provided you with corroborating data.

And the liar is in your bathroom waiting to peek out at you from
the mirror...QUICK! Go catch a peek!

> > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
>
> Yes, yes, yes. That's what got this whole thing started. Don't you
> remember???
>
> You claimed that I am a liar.

You are.

It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.

> Now the foo is on the other shoot, and you have the very same
> credibility problems that >>>you<<< claim that I have.

Nope.

I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
authorizing source and validate.

On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they have allegedly gone...

Oh...and why you claim ARES is "overblown" and allegedly unable to respond to disasters when they clearly continue to do exactly that.

> So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck

No luck needed, Brain.

The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.

You just keep dribbling, though...

> > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > unfortunate one, at that...
>
> Prove your unfounded assertions. Best of Luck.

No luck needed.

The proof that what I claimed is just a phone call or letter away. All you ahve to do it "do it".

And the proof that you're a chronic liar can be found in almost any thread wherein you've posted.

Lord knows there's enough of them to choose from.

Steve, K4YZ

923. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 14:52:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pck7d116pe7m8assj0d1lg874jitke9ml0@4ax.com>
Source record: 112283

On Tue, 12 Jul 2005 09:49:22 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
<db0hp2$15ue$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 16:18:25 -0400, Michael Coslo
>> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>> <dauk6g$16bg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 10:22:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>>><n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <FVxAe.31744$up5.3360@lakeread02>:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:21:31 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>>>>><n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <06pAe.31737$up5.2821@lakeread02>:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Kenny wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Ahh, but if you have certain very closely guarded codes, you can
>>>>>>>>get right through. Hams are still in denial that they stopped being
>>>>>>>>relevant a couple decades ago, but it is understandable that obese
>>>>>>>>old white men still want to hang on to memories of years gone by
>>>>>>>>and 80 lbs. ago. Truth is, go to any hamfest. The nachos and cheese
>>>>>>>>sell faster than cell phones. Yuk, those dried cheese stains you see
>>>>>>>>at hamfests always gross me out. CB radios are far more useful
>>>>>>>>in emergencies.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>heh. try telling that to any EOC office in any county in the US. you'll be
>>>>>>>laughed right out of the office and probably hounded right to your car as
>>>>>>>someone who needs to go back to school.
>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>>I know of no emergency service agency that would so quickly dismiss
>>>>>>the potential of CB radio.
>>>>>
>>>>>really? have you even asked?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>As a matter of fact I have. Haven't you ever heard of REACT?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>just for your info, I did here at the MCEOC. from what they told me, they
>>>>>don't consider the "chicken band" to be of any use in a real emergency. too
>>>>>many untrained idiots to have to manage was their words on the subject.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I guess it depends on your perspective. When the shit hits the fan,
>>>>the power and phones go down, and the only line of communication is
>>>>some redneck CBer driving around looking to help people in trouble, do
>>>>you think it's a good idea to wave him off and bleed to death while
>>>>waiting for a ham?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>you haven't been listening much on 11 meters of late, have you. its still
>>>>>>>>filled with yahoo's of every description and there are nutcases on there
>>>>>>>>that won't give a rats ass if you are in a life threatening situation.
>>>>>>>>they'll just key right over you until you die.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Sounds like what was said about the people of NYC before 9/11.
>>>>>
>>>>>again, thats real funny. I heard lots of reports of hams getting involved
>>>>>and helping out. I did not hear even one report in any of the news media
>>>>>regarding cb operators at all. care to site a source that I can verify?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That was an analogy, Eric.
>>>
>>>
>>> Exceptionally poor analogy. Why don't you go stand in Time Square and
>>>make your analogy?
>>
>>
>>

>> I thought it was a pretty good analogy. In fact, it was a compliment
>> to the people of NYC. If you didn't read it that way then maybe you
>> should pull that corn cob out of your ass so you don't spaz whenever
>> someone mentions 9/11.
>
> Hey, good one, Frank! Always good to see when someone decides to start
>throwing insults around.....

It's a gift.

>>>>People are people. Some of us may behave
>>>>poorly and irresponsibly when things are hunky-dory, but a disaster
>>>>usually brings out the best from even the worst of us.
>>>
>>> And sometimes not, but that's all beside the point.
>>
>>
>>
>> Then why mention it?
>
> IIRC, you are the one who mentioned it.

Yes I did, and I mentioned it for a reason -- because it's -not-
"beside the point". It's very relevant to this topic since the vast
majority of people -do- cooperate and help others during emergencies
-despite- how they behave otherwise. The rest are sociopaths. Are you
willing to make a claim that CBers are, by definition, sociopaths?

>>>>9/11 proved
>>>>that on many levels, as did just about every major earthquake,
>>>>hurricane, or other disaster that has struck since civilization began.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>by contrast, the amateur radio community has some well trained people
>>>>>>>(that training is provided at classes offered by officials of those very
>>>>>>>same EOC offices). if you call in an emergency on the ham bands, you are
>>>>>>>certain to get some useful help, even if it comes in the form of an old
>>>>>>>man or a young kid sitting at the other end of a multi-hundred mile linkup
>>>>>>>(it has happened before).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Do the math: According to info on the net there are about 650,000 hams
>>>>>>out of roughly 300,000,000 people in the US. So each ham must be able
>>>>>>to handle emergency traffic for 461 people, assuming every station is
>>>>>>willing and able to operate during an emergency. But that isn't the
>>>>>>case. So just to make the numbers a little more realistic, let's say
>>>>>>that only one out of every five hams can be up and running off the
>>>>>>grid (assuming all the hams in the zone survive the disaster). The new
>>>>>>ratio is 2300 people per ham. Now how many people know (or can find

>>>>>>out) where their local ham resides? Not many, I'm sure. Let's say one
>>>>>>out of ten. So that ham isn't much help unless the station is mobile.
>>>>>>How many hams are mobile? Oh heck, let's just say half (and that's
>>>>>>being generous). So there needs to be -two- hams for -4600- people;
>>>>>>the base-bound ham handles 460 people while the mobile ham has to
>>>>>>drive around looking for the other 4000+ potential victims of the
>>>>>>disaster. Now.... are there at least 65,000 hams in the US that are
>>>>>>both trained and capable to handle emergency communications for over
>>>>>>4000 people? Nope. I doubt there are -ANY- hams capable of handling
>>>>>>that kind of traffic.
>>>>>
>>>>>your math is flawed..... 1 ham for every 461 people would only occur IF (and
>>>>>only if) the entire nation were attacked en-masse by either biologics or
>>>>>nuclear means (and by then, the telco infrastructure would have long since
>>>>>been disabled).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's a perfectly valid distribution sample. If a disaster zone affects
>>>>620,000 people, there will be about 1345 hams in that population
>>>>(assuming hams are evenly distributed throughout the population).
>>>
>>> No, sorry, it isn't. If it was, the same distribution would hold
>>>regarding professional EOC communicators, or REACT members, or whoever.
>>
>>
>>
>> Hmmm.... did you flunk 5th grade math?
>
> Why, no. No I didn't.
>>
>> 300,000,000 (number of people in US) / 650,000 (number of hams in US)
>> = 461.54 (people per ham)
>
>
> But the math is irrelevant.

Why? (....this should be good!)

>>> Just as a point of interest, you write of some apparent necessity for
>>>stations to be mobile. How many mobile stations are going to be in use
>>>during a major hurricane? Are we supposed to head out in our pickups,
>>>tuned to channel 9, and cruise up and down the beach as the storm surge
>>>comes in?
>>
>>
>>
>> Depends on how stupid you are.
>>
>>
>>

>>> That might be the job of the police and other highly trained emergency
>>>workers, but not us.
>>>
>>>
>>> What do you see emergency comms as anyhow?
>>
>>
>>
>> There is plenty of warning for hurricanes these days, so there's
>> plenty of time to mobilize whatever resources that may be needed.
>> Regardless, you don't see too many hams out cruising the aftermath
>> looking for victims. Tornados give a much better picture on the use of
>> CB radios in an emergency. Haven't you ever seen "Twister"? Ever think
>> that there was a reason the writers chose to use CB radios instead of
>> ham radios in the movie?
>>
>
> Because they knew of the inherent superiority of Citizen band radio?
>
> Because they knew that if they were to use Hams, they couldn't be
>accurate unless they used a 75 y.o morbidly obese person with no teeth,
>and gravy stains on his XXXXXL filthy T-shirt, that they wouldn't be
>accurate?
>
><Sarc mode off>
>
> Or much more likely they didn't want to interfere with the plot, and an
>unlicensed service wouldn't introduce any complications?

Or maybe the technical advisors suggested they use radios that are a
little more realistic to the circumstances? Or when they were
researching for the movie they found that CB radios were widely used
for emergency communication in Tornado Alley? Those scenarios sound a
little more likely than because they were worried if ham radios would
confuse the audience. After all, ham radios didn't seem to cause any
matinee meltdowns with "Phenomenon" and "Frequency", did they?

>>>>>Besides, I have done emergency comms work before and have
>>>>>handled upwards of 200+ communications per hour (such comms include name,
>>>>>address, type of info, its priority, etc). thats an avg of 3 communications
>>>>>per second (and a human can handle this quite easily - case in point: air
>>>>>traffic controllers).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Three "communications" per second? Hmmm.... I guess that depends on
>>>>how you define a "communication".
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>point is, the type of disaster that would take out
>>>>>everything (your scenario)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No, that's -your- scenario.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>just doesn't have any real basis in reality
>>>>>currently. now it might down the road, but your "just suppose" take on this
>>>>>is just not relevant.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>>>>>>establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>>>>>>of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.
>>>>>
>>>>>BULLSHIT.
>>>>>
>>>>>recent fires in Arizona would prove you wrong. hams were passing a majority
>>>>>of both the emergency and health/welfare traffic in and out of the fire
>>>>>areas, as well as acting as coordinators for several emergency zones within
>>>>>less than 100 miles of each other (it can be a real PITA to have someone
>>>>>dispatching a plane to one area only to have someone else dispatch the same
>>>>>plane elsewhere at the same time).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Maybe you missed the point: I'm not saying hams are useless.
>>>
>>>You wrote:
>>>
>>>>**And historically, what do hams -really- do during a disaster? Some
>>>>**establish comm nets that are rarely used, while others take advantage
>>>>**of the opportunity to make "disaster-zone QSLs". That's about it.
>>
>>
>>
>> Yep, that's pretty much all I hear on the box. If they are doing
>> anything more than occasional radio checks and net tests then maybe
>> they are using some sort of new 'stealth radio' technology.....?
>
> Perhaps your antenna needs some work?

Perhaps some hams need some exercise instead of more nachos.

>>>and
>>>

>>>**Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>>>**selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>>>**said for ham radio
>>
>>
>>
>> So have -YOU- heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>> selling CB operator services?
>
> On I-80 in western PA, there is a Gentlemans club that uses CB to hawk
>their wares. Fine graphic descriptions of the various YL's names and
>"features, and if you want, you can talk to the YL's themselves.
>
> Near the border with OH, another fine fellow is selling flea Market
>type items, and spends time trying to entice truckers and other to stop
>on in and do a little shoppin'.
>
> Not selling their services, but selling nonetheless.

That's about the lamest argument yet -- you know it's not the same
thing, and that hams have swap-nets all the time.

>>>**Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>>>**carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
>>>**dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>>>**Republican.
>>>
>>> hmmmm...
>>
>>
>>
>> Careful.... wearing a yellow spotted shirt doesn't always disguise
>> those cheese stains.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>If an
>>>>agency has the time and means to mobilize some hams to man some air
>>>>conditioned communication op-centers, great, I'm sure that happens
>>>>quite often. But you don't see too many of them in the trenches, which
>>>>is where the wars are fought. That's where you'll find the CBers
>>>>picking up the slack when the phone system doesn't work, when the PSP
>>>>is overloaded, and when pre-planned logistics aren't flexible enough
>>>>to accommodate unforseen circumstances. Happens all the time.
>>>
>>> Have any news releases?
>>
>>
>>
>> Nope, just first-hand experience in three different disasters.
>

> Then you good folk need to get the word out.

Why? CBers aren't hams -- we don't need to stroke our egos by bragging
about how CB radio plays such a significant role in emergencies and
disasters -- most people already know it!

>>>>>>In contrast: There are -way- more CB radios than ham radios; Most CB
>>>>>>radios are mobile; Many CBers have more than one radio, and extra
>>>>>>radios can be quickly installed in a vehicle; CB radio is a popular
>>>>>>mode of communication with 4-wheelers, and 4x4's come in mighty handy
>>>>>>in many types of emergencies; Most CB antennas are easy to spot even
>>>>>>by non-CBers; CB radios are readily available to emergency services;
>>>>>>CB radios are insanely easy to operate; etc, etc, etc. And believe it
>>>>>>or not, CBers can and do organize quickly and behave appropriately
>>>>>>during an emergency, a fact which has been proven many, many times.
>>>>>
>>>>>quantity is not quality.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No, but it -is- quantity. You can have the best trained ham manning a
>>>>radio in the center of a disaster zone, but you =MUST= have mobile
>>>>comm within that zone. There simply aren't enough hams in any given
>>>>area to do that job. And CB radio is almost -ideal- for the task.
>>>>Wherever you are, there are lots of CBers already there, ready and
>>>>willing.
>>>
>>>
>>> SO these CB'ers are manning emergency comms right in the middle of the
>>>disaster area? Seems we would hear more about it.
>>
>>
>>
>> You probably would have had you ever been a CBer in the middle of a
>> disaster area.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>just because there are more, doesn't mean they are
>>>>>any more useful.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It most certainly makes a difference when there is a need for a lot of
>>>>lines of communication. And CB radios allow neighborhoods and small
>>>>communities to take care of many problems by themselves, relieving the
>>>>burden on the emergency services and their communication networks.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>in fact, the average CB operator doesn't have even the
>>>>>minimal training required to handle emergency communications where lives
>>>>>might be at stake.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Hogwash. Almost every CBer knows that Channel 9 is for emergencies,
>>>>and most CBers already know how to communicate with their radio. The
>>>>only "training" needed is the ability to organize communications, but
>>>>that's why there's REACT. Even without REACT, it doesn't take any
>>>>intensive training to learn how to cooperate with others during an
>>>>emergency -- it happens whether people are trained for it or not.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>couple that with all the idiot operators on the band who
>>>>>would just as soon key over any traffic (hence "AUDIOOOOOO" or "HAMMER!")
>>>>>as pay attention.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yeah, that happens all the time in disaster situations, especially on
>>>>channel 9......
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I witness this time and again on local chan 19 down by
>>>>>the truck stop (located some 5 miles south of me). you are lucky if you can
>>>>>get a word in edgewise and god help you if you had a real emergency!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Pay attention, Eric: Channel 9 is used for emergencies, not 19.
>>>
>>> The truckers use 9 around here too.
>>
>>
>>
>> First, channel 9 is designated an emergency channel by law; using it
>> for anything other than emergency comm is a violation of FCC regs.
>> Second, where's "here"? Dave Hall country? That doesn't suprise me.
>
> Central PA
>
>>
>>
>>>>>I
>>>>>could always record some of this "noise" save it as an mp3 and post it here

>>>>>for all to hear as a typical morning on chan 19.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You go right ahead and record all this stuff while listening to
>>>>channel 9. Post it, as well as the time and location it was recorded.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>But ham radio -does- have a place in emergency communications -- as a
>>>>>communications infrastructure backup for extreme situations such as a
>>>>>nuclear holocaust, a large asteroid impact, a super-volanic eruption,
>>>>>or some other calamity that may happen on a continental or planetary
>>>>>scale. Until that happens, hams will just have to learn to live with
>>>>>the fact that, since the early 70's, CB radio has played a much larger
>>>>>role in disaster communication than ham radio, and will continue to do
>>>>>so in the future.
>>>>>
>>>>>yes. radio does have that purpose (an infrastructure backup) and only when
>>>>>*trained* people are at the mic does it work effectively. how many people
>>>>>do you know of that have worked CB during an emergency situation that have
>>>>>been taken seriously by any EOC?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Several.
>>>
>>>
>>> Great, let us know where to find the documentation. BTW, I wen to the
>>>REACT site, and they could do a better job of getting this news out.
>>
>>
>>
>> I guess they don't toot their own horn as much as the hams do.
>
> Probably be a good idea to start.
>
>>
>>
>>>>>so far, I can't find any real reports of
>>>>>any, other than those "numerous and conflicting reports" that never pan out
>>>>>as factual. again, I require you cite me some factual sources that can be
>>>>>verified.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You "require me"? Get a life, Eric. Then get a CB radio and talk a
>>>>while with some of the folks on the band. You'll get your sources
>>>>there.

>>>
>>> I only ask. Requiring is a tad strong.
>>>
>>> And as for getting a CB, I have one. I don't hear the things you write
>>>of....
>>
>>
>>
>> Your CB is a two-way radio, right? Ok then.
>
>
>?

IOW, quit listening and start communicating.

>>>>>>>also, contrary to what you might believe, most of the phone systems aren't
>>>>>>>designed to have everyone in America on at the same time (which will most
>>>>>>>certainly be the case in a natural disaster or a man made one). regardless
>>>>>>>of the "codes" you speak of, if the system is busy, its busy and NOTHING
>>>>>>>you can do will get your call through any faster (and you'll just tie
>>>>>>>things up that much harder for someone with a real emergency anyway).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The point is moot; land lines are usually dead when the grid goes
>>>>>>down.
>>>>>
>>>>>WRONG. land lines have their own emergency backup power (the same cannot be
>>>>>said for most cellular towers which often die due to load and cheap backup
>>>>>supplies. the telco system (hard land lines) run on a 48 volt system that
>>>>>is backed up at multiple locationsusing batteroes (batteries that are 2
>>>>>volts each setup in series and capable of delivering several thousands
>>>>>aperes each). these backup supplies are usually located in central offices
>>>>>which are distributed throughout the area and cross connected to offer
>>>>>redundant capability (lose one co, and you have 3 others to take up the
>>>>>slack). I may not be a telcom engineer, but I know a fair number of them
>>>>>personally, so asking this type of question wasn't hard.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You don't get it -- if the grid is down then it's down for a reason.
>>>>If it's not a transmission or generator plant failure, the reason is
>>>>usually because a number power lines are down. If the power lines are
>>>>down then the phone lines will probably also be down, and it doesn't
>>>>matter if the relay stations have backup power or not -- the phones
>>>>won't work. And BTW, most cell-phone towers have battery backups just
>>>>like telcom relay stations.
>>>
>>> And after a little while, they go down.
>>
>>
>>

>> Yes they do. But they are usually overloaded before they fail.
>
> We agree on that!
>
>>
>>
>>>>>>>just because you don't like hams, doesn't give you the right to trash the
>>>>>>>services they offer, WITHOUT PAY and often at their own expense. can the
>>>>>>>same be said for the typical cb operator (without any formal training)? I
>>>>>>>say: NAY!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Have you ever heard of anyone trying to capitalize on a disaster by
>>>>>>selling CB operator services? I haven't. Too bad the same can't be
>>>>>>said for ham radio.
>>>>>
>>>>>again, BULLSHIT. I have never heard any ham operator charge for his
>>>>>services. in fact, it is a violation of part 97 if he tries (and also
>>>>>several other regulations and a few laws).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It most certainly is against the law. Remember the Jim Jones suicide
>>>>cult? Maybe you should look at their radio activities with US hams
>>>>before they drank the kool-aid. I mention this as only one instance
>>>>because the magazine with the article was sitting on the top of the
>>>>pile on my desk. There have been many other instances.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>besides, the last ham fest I was at (williams Az), there were a lot of
>>>>>>>>young and old hams there and not many of them would even match your
>>>>>>>>description.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Then you should have looked in a mirror. The point is that many hams
>>>>>>carry an elitist attitude that is just as visible (and offensive) as
>>>>>>dried cheese stains on a XXXL T-shirt stretched around a flatulating
>>>>>>Republican.
>>>>>
>>>>>oh really? and what facts do you base this low opinion on? I think the only
>>>>>arrogant, elitist SOB here is you. you have offered no facts, you have
>>>>>argued on baseless points and yet you still cannot accept the fact that you
>>>>>are wrong. you are just arguing to be arguing.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Three "communications" per second?
>>>

>>> Yeah, that is a little hard to believe. I'd like to see some
>>>explanation of that.
>>
>>
>>
>> So would I.
>
> I expect it won't be forthcoming though, unless he is talking about
>sending a a broad message to a large group at one time. At that metric,
>broadcast stations are sending out *millions* of comms per second.
>
> The best I ever did while contesting is about 6 two
>ways/operator/minute. And depending on the signals, it goes down pretty
>quickly from there.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

924. Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 15:01:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121180503.540248.150670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112284

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
> > > > > > reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
> > > > > > discreet frequencies.
> > > > >
> > > > > so and BTW if he had bottherd to answer in their the real question I
> > > > > would not have rebuked him
> > > >
> > > > There was nothing to rebuke.
> > >
> > > that is for ME to decide not you
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Nope.

You were a heel. On several levels.

You perpetuate the act by refusing to acknowledge your impropriety
and apologize.

> > Anyone who reads this forum can comment.
>
> yes you can but yu can't decide wether or not I may rebuke him

I didn't say "may". I said "should".

> > Hans did you a favor and you treated him rudely.
>
> Nope

Absolutely rudely. You were (as if THIS is news...) a creep.

> > Period.
>
> nope

Still a creep, Markie.

> > > You are simply butting in to make more trouble
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Nope. Public forum. You were an idiot and it's my "right" to
comment on it as long as I care to.

> > YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
> > person who provided it to you.
>
> the inforamation was not provided

Sure it was. Exactly and concisely.

> then the poster was rebuked mildly on the scale of things

YOU had nothing to "rebuke" him on.

> > > > He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a
> > >
> > > No he did not do me a favor so gigo form there
> >
> > Yes he DID do you a favor.
>
> nope

Yep. Several favors, actually.

The first was to correct your erroneous conception of that
allocation as a "band". He did so without calling you names or
insulting you.

Then he gave you the EXACT mode that IS authorized on those
frequencies for US use. If you couldn't extrapolate that since SSB is
the only mode authorized there that Morse Code is not, that's YOUR
problem...

Lastly, he treated you as if you MIGHT be able to understand it.
Perhaps a bit of a stretch knowing what an idiot you really are, but he
DID give you the benefit of the doubt.

> > The ONLY "garbage" here is your cowardly attempts to dodge
> > responsibility for your rude behaviour.
>
> I adknowledge my actions and yours I am indeed respinible for rebuking
> him as a smart ###

No...

You are "respinible" for acting like a spoiled, irresponsible
brat.

> > > > You act illogically. Repeatedly.
> > > >

> > > > > Something has to true to be true.
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.
> > >
> > > In your eyes perhaps
> >
> > In everyones EXCEPT yours.
>
> funny I should that back at you

More illogical abuse of the language.

> > > > Witness the following:
> > > >
> > > > "Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
> > > > illogical"
> > >
> > > The statement is perfectly logical, and absolutely true, of course it
> > > helps if you don't destroy the context of a statement. "Something has
> > > to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is
> > > logical or illogical " in full
> >
> > It's "illogical" because it says absolutely nothing.
>
> False in every sence

"Sense"...And no, it's not.

> > It's several multisyllable words in what APPEARS to be a sentence.
> >
> > You are now, have been and shall continue to be the "court jester"
> > of RRAP as long as you refuse to do somehting about your fractured
> > English and alleged "dyslexia".
>
> so

So you're even MORE laughable for doing it.

> > And as long as you do continue to refuse to fix the problem, don't
> > fuss at people who laugh at you for looking like an idiot...
>
> that isn't what is happening you are choosing to pick a fight over
> nothing BTW I am choosing to in it to a point

It is "something"...It's YOU being rude.

Steve, K4YZ

925. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 15:19:19 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <FPadnf6oL8JXc07fRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112287

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> I just did a cursory google search and found dozens of examples where
> CB radio was used to save lives in emergency and disaster situations.

WOW, dozens!! Hams have been participating in emergency and disaster situations and saving lives for some 75+ years.

> If you can't do it yourself just let me know and I'll compile a list
> of links for you to browse through. In the meantime, maybe you should
> remember who caught the DC sniper -- a CBer.

Big deal.

926. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 15:32:02 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <GJKdnWsrJrVebE7fRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112288

an_old_idiot wrote:

>
> My rig will not tranmit out band so I am covered there

So what about the sub-bands you are allowed/not allowed to operate on with you tech license? Do you know which part of the band you are allowed to operate according to your license? Your Yeasu rig sure doesn't know. Do you just depend on something else to keep you legal instead of knowing the rules yourself? Looks like if your rig isn't smart enough know the rules you're in trouble, because you sure don't seem that smart.
>
> I am required to obey
> not understand
>
> Nothing in part 97 says I have to understand it

So how do you intend to obey rules you don't understand?

927. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 15:50:19 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <4FRAe.11209$js.4677@fe10.lga>
Source record: 112285

"K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xyQAe.6930$8f7.3822@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the
> amateur radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio
> would be:
>
> a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
> b) Extinct

A: a (Viva el Elecraft, NorCal, Wilderness Radio, Oak Hills Research, etc.!)

> Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would you
> include a Morse examination in the license qualification?
>
> a) Yes
> b) No

A: a (Something or other to do with being "fully qualified," not to mention
a hearty tradition.)

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

928. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 16:03:16 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <8RRAe.111134$j51.36588@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 112286

In article <xyQAe.6930$8f7.3822@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:

```
| Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the amateur
| radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio would be:
|
| a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
| b) Extinct

C) alive and well, but not revitialized or even really changed.

After all, 1) these aren't the only manufacturers of ham band
equipment, and 2) the majority of the equipment I own is 10+ years old
already (I'm cheap) and it works fine, so I'd imagine that the
equipment made yesterday will probably work fine in 10 years too.
(And my equipment probably will too, as long as I don't let out too
much more magic smoke ...)

| Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would you
| include a Morse examination in the license qualification?

When amateur radio was originally `invented', there was no licence
qualificiations or even license involved at all. The radio spectrum
was sort of the `wild west' ...

If you wanted to `invent' amateur radio _today_, you'd have to explain
more about what you mean to answer the question accurately. Do all
hams suddenly disappear and we're starting from scratch? Are the hams
still around (and therefore the traditions probably would be too), but
the existing regulations are all thrown out and rewritten from
scratch?

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
"I don't know the scientific explaination, BUT FIRE MAKES IT GOOD!"
--Homer Simpson
```

929. Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 17:00:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121187634.720645.296660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112289

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Answer my question, please...
> > >
> > > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > > with them...???
> >
> > well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
> > radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
> > signals wether i know the letter codes or not
>
> You are responsible for the proper operation of your radio
> station.

agreed
>
> Yeasu (NOT "Yeaszu") is NOT responsible for the emissions of the
> radio.

agreed but it can none the less be relied on to to make the right
emissions, indeed I can'r tell if it were not neither can most Hams

Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules

>
> > My rig will not tranmit out band so I am covered there
>
> Bravo Sierra.

Alpha Tango

>
> E V E R Y RF generating device has the potential of radiating a
> signal other than the one designed for.

yep it does your point

If your radio was doing how would YOU know

>
> Ask Lennie.

why you aran't saying something I don't know

>
> > > Obeying the rules is all that is required.In the case of mode which

> > > > sparked this thread.
> > >
> > > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > > with them...???
> > >
> > several methods are possible such apllaince operating
>
> "Apllaince operating" does not make you familiar with FCC rules
> and regulations.

never said it did

>
> It makes you an "apllaince" operator...that's all.

and makes it a very high likelyhood that I am following the rules

>
> > not operating also insures I don't break the rules
>
> And keeps them free of your "dreck".

But the method would work for keeping in complaince

>
> > one could count on simple luck (not wise but possible)
> > >
> > I am sure there are additional examples
>
> None of which meet the letter of the spirit of the law.

The letter of spirit of the law?

Auf anglish Bittie

I am only required to obey the letter of the law. I don't have to obey
the Spirit of the law, if I can even devine such without a seance/ The
letter of the spririt in nonsense

>
> SO you're bascially saying that you don't care what the rules are,
> you'll just do what you darn well please.

nope not at all

never said that
>
> > > > don't need to what number letter combination is USB in order to use
> > > > it, I push a botton on the rig and it does that for me
> > > >
> > > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > > with them...???
> > >
> > asked and answered

>
> Asked and answered with stupid answers.

stupid to your mind sure maybe but not incorrect

>
> (...as if I expected anything different...?!?!)
>
> > > > > > And by the way...Yes you ARE required to be familiar with Part 97.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ask the FCC.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope
> > > >
> > > > Uh huh...about what I figured...
> > >
> > I am required to obey
> > not understand
>
> And you STILL have not adequately explained how you can "obey"
> laws that you do not understand.

I have indeed done so I given you an example abolsutely certian of
compling and 2 others that are safe enough

what more is required

>
> > Nothing in part 97 says I have to understand it
>
> Your signature on FCC form 660 an the provisions of the
> Communications Act of 1934 in Section 310 and others do.

not sure I ever signed a form 660 may have not sure

But the courts have ruled that no one need understand tany rule or law
in order to be held to it, therefore it must be legaly possible to obey
without understanding

>
> And yes, you're supposed to know them. You sign the document.

Supposed, gee that isn't required merely supposed

>
>
> > > The line on the back of your license where it says you will abide
> > > by FCC rules and regualtions as thhey pertain to your Amateur Radio
> > > station.
> > >
> >
> > ...Abide by the FCC rules and regulation... nothing about understanding
> > them there

> >
> > another Stevie LIE
>
> Nope.

yes it says will abide not will understand

>
> You cannot "abide" by laws you do not understand.

yes you can

>
> > > > I am merely required to the USE the airwaves correctly. If i di by use
> > > > Tarrot definiation the FCC doesn't care.
> > >
> > > Sure they do.
> >
> > prove it. or even show something that suggests it
>
> Done.

nope

>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices

so what nothing on the pages sates or suggests that the FCC cares
wether the cited enities knew the rules

>
> > > Show me a Tarot (...not Tarrot) card deck that has Part 97 in it.
> >
> > Tarrot Torot and Tarot are all vaild speling of the words
> >
> > and you question merely shows you ignorance of the Tarrot
>
> I know that it's spelled "Tarot" in English.

how ? when did you become the supreme arbiter of english

>
> > > > Indeed in large discussions I generaly id every transmisstion since it
> > > > might not get back around to me in 10 minutes
> > >
> > > If you didn't cause RF to be emitted, it doesn't matter.
> >
> > not by what I hear maybe I just don't understand, and htta is what what
> > some of the old timers claim very loudy at time when they break and
> > play radio cop
>
> Perhaps if you knew the laws that you are governed by you wouldn't
> have to depend on "...not by what I hear..."

perhaps if everyone was a decent human being there would be not need
for laws

or what if angel danced on pin heads

But givent he behavooir of others I choose to ID every signal to avoid
the wanna be radio cops My choice and the FCC doesn't care

>
> And yes, you DON'T understand. Being wilfully ignorant doesn't
> excuse you from compliance.

No it doesn't never said it did, but complaince and even willfull
ignorance are possible and legal

>
> > > > Instaead the turth is you up to stalking and harassment but everyone
> > > > (except perhaps you) knows that
> > >
> > > Nope. You came here. No one forced you.
> >
> > so
> >
> > You claim you have the right to stalk and harrass anyone that comes in
> > your feild of vision?
>
> I'm not stalking anyone nor am I harassing anyone.

lair

>
> You voluntarilly reply to these posts.

so

YOU made the posts concerning my sexuality etc

you did so with the intent to harras

>
> No one dragged you here.

so

>
> > > > > But so far YOU keep stealing all my glory by beating me to it.
> > > >
> > > > Then shut up if showing me an idoit is your sole goal and you you feel
> > > > I am beating you to it
> > >
> > > There's that "idoit" thing again.
> >
> > so
>
> So you've proven yourself wrong.

no I haven't

you make the claim I have to know the rules in order to obey them

you prove it

I claim it is possible to obey the rules without understanding them

I have done so

>
> Over and over.

yes over and over ignorant cracker

>
> > > You're holding at 100%.
> > >
> > > > You just manged to prove YOURself a lair
> > >
> > > I am not a lair. Nor am I a liar.
> >
> > yes you are
>
> Nope. You SAY I am a liar, but you've not yet shown a single one.
> (Websters refers...)

I Have many times, mst ly by traping you in your inconsistanies

>
> > > > and you say Hans can't defend himself?
> > >
> > > Nope. That's YOU "forging" my words.
> >
> > then explain what you are doing
>
> I've not forged a single word, Markie.

yes you have

>
> Look up the definition of "forged" in Websters.

do you prefer the word altered?

>
> > > No. Society can set the parameters by which rude treatment is
> > > determined.
> >
> > not ture
>
> Absolutely "ture".

not ture

>
> > > In no way shape or form did Hans cross that line.
> >
> > says you?
>
> Says everyone EXCEPT you.

say no one BUT you

Hans hasn't even said he did not being condesending

>
> > even IF I grant your...(SNIP)
>
> You're not empowered to "grant" me anything, Markie.

yes I am, indeed you hold no power over that I don't grant

>
> You haven't got the proper genetics.

what?

judging by the health of your now dead daughter mine are at least as
proper as yours

>
> > > YOU did, however....
> >
> > Auf anglish bitte is a phrase forbidden by Society?
>
> Where did I say it was? (Even if you continue to mispell the
> germanic reference to "English"

My response to Hans was

Auf Anglish Bitte

you claim I cross a line in something forbidden by society therefore
you calim my response was forbidden by socity

>
> > Bull#####
>
> Yes, You are.

more alterations Stevie

>
> > > I think they need to disperse flyers in your town warning them
> > > about you...No one should allow a 12 year old alone with you.
> >
> > and more libel from you
>
> Nope.

yes it is

>
> Fact.

Stevie being fact does nopt bear on wether something is Libel

>
> Based upon your own words.

what words?

>
> > If I thought you had anything worth taking that is enough to take you
> > to court for Stevie, at least in the Opinion of a paralegal (my partner
> > not me)
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

yea right

you are too poor to be worth it

>
> I don't know who the bigger idiot would be...
>
> You for trying to file such a "case" considering the tons of
> admissions of sexual perversion and wilfull mistruthfulness on your
> part,

Being homosexaul or bisexual is not ilegal, nor lieing on the Interent

you impling I am a pedophile is and remain Libel (or slander depneding
on wether the net is viewed as written or spoken)
>
> Or your "partner" for suggesting such might be possible
> considering the aforementioned evidence that exists in Google archives.

Huh?

again I can free admit to everything you I posted none of which make me
a pedophile as you imply

>
> > > > Then if you "grant" me these "rights" why do you haraas me for using
> > > > them?
> > >
> > > I don't "grant" you anything.
> >
> > then you were lieing when you made the statement
>
> I never "granted" you anything. Those are YOUR words...Not mine,
> you "forger" ! ! !

your words are below agian

you granted or agreed or conceeded or whatever but you said I had the
rigt below\

>
> > " Actually, I consider you to have MORE rights than I consider
> > necesssary for myself.
> >
> > Being an idiot is one of them. Being a chronic liar is yet
> > another.
>
> Yes, you are an idiot and you are a chronic liar.

and you yourself say I have that right

so in hassling me you admit to being a lair

>
> > > I am not people bashing.
> >
> > you only engage in personal attack
>
> It's not an attack.

it sure is

>
> It's the truth.

If it were true that has nothing to do with wether it is a personal
attack or not

the 2 words have different meanings
>
> > you edit stuff to cover your tracks
>
> Nope.

yes you
>
> I "edit" stuff to keep these posts from being yards and yards
> long.

you edit them in distorting ways that convently cover many of your lies

>
> Anyone can go back and review them if they care to...Even you....

and everyone know you distort lie and evade at almost every
oppurturnity

>
> > > Folks can just surf Google under any of your "KONSTANS"
> > > nomme-de-guerre's you have used.
> >
> > your point? or do you have one?

>
> Sure.
>
> My point is that anyone can surf Google under any of your
> "KONSTANS" nomme-de-guerre's you've used and see what kind of a lyig
> creep you are.

what is wrong with using my name online?

you have changed Call sign a few times (4 changes 3 calls by my count)

and where I do deny that I do lie

I do reservse to right to point out where you make up things I never
said, but I am not honest about many facts in my bio. I have my
reasons, and it is my right.

>
> They can also surf KB9RQZ, "MWMORGAN" and a plethora of othr names
> you've come and gone under as you bounce from ISP to ISP...

you oppose shoping for better deals on interent?

>
> Speaking of which, I can only hope and pray that you finally
> ditched that ISP you alleged was charging you $5/hr.

well I still subcribe to their service for use when I travel, since the
5$/hour rate came wit the use of a 1-800number to reach them was
cheaper than paying long distance

>
> > > > > Only in that you suggest something improper. My REAL intent was
> > > > > to try and get you to spontaneously issue Hans an apology for your
> > > > > smart mouth and abuse of his rendering of information to you.
> > > > >
> > > > > That is an improper intent, and one doomed to failure
> > > >
> > > > Doomed to failure, perhaps.
> > >
> > > a begining boyo
> >
> > Huh?

a beging that you begin realize something boyo

>
> > > Not improper, however.
> >
> > It is imporper
> >
> > What's "imporper"...???

aren't you claiming to be the sole judge of that Stevie and you don't
know

>
> > > Nope. If you weren't such an idiot you'd remember what you wrote
> > > a week ago.
> >
> > making up stuff as a point of fact my partner is female was avoiding it
> > becuase it wasn't and isn't any of your affair
>
> Your sister? Or mom?

more libel

>
> No self-respecting English speaking woman >>I<< know would
> tolerate a professed liar like you unless they were in your gene pool
> or your will.

you have a limited aquantanceship, but then she is in my will of course
isn't your wifein yours?

as to wether she is self respecting you have never met me now you
presume to judge a women you have not even read her words?

>
> > > So he's "guilty" for imparting MORE knowledge than you are capble
> > > of processing...
> >
> > He imparted no knowledge something you have already agreed
>
> No, I have NOT agreed.
>
> Hans clearly DID "impart knowledge"...YOU, on the otherhand, were
> just too stupid to assimilate it.

whcih means you agree he imparted nothing to me, for what ever reason

>
> > > But you STILL couldn't be polite to him and ask for a better
> > > clarification if you didn't understand...?!?!
> >
> > I did ask politely
> >
> > I said "Auf Anglish Bitte"
>
> Why do you insist on trying to look like you can speak German when
> you clearly can't even do it in English?

huh?

I am trying to make it look like I speak german?

news to me

Auf Anglish bitte

oder auf Deutche bitte

prehaps you will make more sense in german

If I wrote Je parle Fransse that would I was trying to show people I
speak french?

>
> > I could have said "answer the question asked ####head" or other far
> > ruder responses
>
> You basically said that anyway. That WAS the nature, if not
> verbatim, response.

no it wasn't

>
> > > I OCCASSIONALLY transpose "h" and "a"...
> >
> > I can't recallseeing the word have speeled right by you in days
>
> Becasue you haven't been paying attention.
>
> Scroll back.

have done can't find where you spell have correctly

You do seem to spell haven't ok but not Have itself it s a stranage
thing
>
> > > As opposed to your near-every sentence errors?
> >
> > "judge not lest ye be judged"
>
> I'll take my chances.

you certainly do

>
> > > > > If you don't consider it pertinent to do so without his asking,
> > > > > then you're obviously not sincere.
> > > > >
> > > > Not at all
> > > >
> > > Absolutely.
> >
> > Stevie YOU insting on means it will not happen if hans asks I will
> > consider it If Jim Ney asks I will consider it, but the more you go the
> > less likely i will do it
> >
> > I do nothing to please you
>

> Sure you do.

reallY then of course what is your beef?

>
> And who's "Jim Ney"...???

Jim you he is round here

>
> > > > Hans (nor anyone else) is entitled an apology where no offense was
> > > > intended with indaicating in some form he was offended
> > >
> > > Obviously he was.
> >
> > obviously was what?
> >
> > auf anglish bitte
>
> If you're going to ask a question, Markie, regardless of the
> language you're trying to abuse, please use the proper punctuation.

why?

>
> And what part of "offended" do you not understand?

no part but Hans and Hans alone is a proper judge of wether Hans was
offended, not Stevie, Unless of you are posting as Hans yourself and as
Stevie

Hans can ask and I'll consider it, Bill Sohl could ask and Id consdier
it etc, I don't do it for Stevie

>
> > > And like I said...if you haven't got the cajones to just offer him
> > > a sincere apology on your own, it's not..well...sincere...
> >
> > oh I see what you mean now took you long enough to say what you mean
> > (if you are saying what you mean now)...(SNIP)
>
> Huh?

clear enough slerly than your intent has been

>
> > Then you have been chasing a wild goose form...(SNIP)
>
> A "wild goose form"..?!?!
>
> Is that as opposed to a tame goose form?
>
> How can you tell?

Don't you know?

>
> > (UNSNIP)...moment one in this thread since of course If aplogize to
> > Hans now you will not consider it sincere, so logicly thier is
> > certianly no point in my doing so
>
> Sure there is...
>
> Because it's the right thing to do.

according to you No it would not be

since IF i were to apoligize to Hans without meaning it then I would be
making another LIE, and to you lying is basical evil

so NOw you want to do what you take me to task for all the time?

Lie?
>
> If that's not reason enough, I don't know what is...

you got that right Stevie you don't what is
>
> Steve, K4YZ

930. Re: Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 17:09:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121188151.697866.241960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112290

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > But you asked about the "band". Hans accurately corrected you by
> > > > > > > reminding you that it is not a traditional "band" for our use but five
> > > > > > > discreet frequencies.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > so and BTW if he had bottherd to answer in their the real question I
> > > > > > would not have rebuked him
> > > > >

break
> > > > > There was nothing to rebuke.
> > > > >
> > > > that is for ME to decide not you
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > yep
> >
> Nope.

Yep
>
> You were a heel. On several levels.

IYO

>
> You perpetuate the act by refusing to acknowledge your impropriety
> and apologize.

I simply disagree with you

>
> > > Anyone who reads this forum can comment.
> >
> > yes you can but yu can't decide wether or not I may rebuke him
> >
> I didn't say "may". I said "should".

IYO

>
> > > Hans did you a favor and you treated him rudely.
> >
> > Nope
>
> Absolutely rudely. You were (as if THIS is news...) a creep.

and he treated me rudely he reaped what he sowed, I call that fair

You disagree

>
> > > Period.
> >
> > nope
>
> Still a creep, Markie.

creep or not I treated him better than he merited, for his actions in
the Newsgroups as a whole

>
> > > > You are simply butting in to make more trouble
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep
>
> Nope. Public forum. You were an idiot and it's my "right" to
> comment on it as long as I care to.

which doesn't alter the fact that you simply stiring up trouble

never said you can't coment
>
> > > YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
> > > person who provided it to you.
> >
> > the inforamation was not provided
>
> Sure it was. Exactly and concisely.

nope
>
> > then the poster was rebuked mildly on the scale of things
>
> YOU had nothing to "rebuke" him on.

I certianly did

>
> > > > > He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a
> > > > >
> > > > No he did not do me a favor so gigo form there

> > >
> > > Yes he DID do you a favor.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. Several favors, actually.

nope

>
> The first was to correct your erroneous conception of that
> allocation as a "band". He did so without calling you names or
> insulting you.

he DID insult me

and it is band or so the ARRL calls the freqs between 218 and 220 MHZ

The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website

so My assumtion was not errounous
>
> Then he gave you the EXACT mode that IS authorized on those
> frequencies for US use. If you couldn't extrapolate that since SSB is
> the only mode authorized there that Morse Code is not, that's YOUR
> problem...

which means he did not answer may question

>
> Lastly, he treated you as if you MIGHT be able to understand it.
> Perhaps a bit of a stretch knowing what an idiot you really are, but he
> DID give you the benefit of the doubt.

No has was condesnding and insulting

>
> > > The ONLY "garbage" here is your cowardly attempts to dodge
> > > responsibility for your rude behaviour.
> >
> > I adknowledge my actions and yours I am indeed respinible for rebuking
> > him as a smart ###
>
> No...
>
> You are "respinible" for acting like a spoiled, irresponsible
> brat.

IYO

>
> > > > > You act illogically. Repeatedly.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Something has to true to be true.

> > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.
> > > > >
> > > > > In your eyes perhaps
> > > >
> > > > In everyones EXCEPT yours.
> > >
> > funny I should that back at you
> >
> More illogical abuse of the language.

not logical or illogical

>
> > > > > Witness the following:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
> > > > > > illogical"
> > > > > >
> > > > > The statement is perfectly logical, and absolutely true, of course it
> > > > > helps if you don't destroy the context of a statement. "Something has
> > > > > to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is
> > > > > logical or illogical " in full
> > > >
> > > > It's "illogical" because it says absolutely nothing.
> > >
> > False in every sence
> >
> "Sense"...And no, it's not.

yes it says something, it also says something, and nothing good that
you can't see it

>
> > > It's several multisyllable words in what APPEARS to be a sentence.
> > > >
> > > > You are now, have been and shall continue to be the "court jester"
> > > > of RRAP as long as you refuse to do somehting about your fractured
> > > > English and alleged "dyslexia".
> > >
> > so
> >
> So you're even MORE laughable for doing it.

so

>
> > > And as long as you do continue to refuse to fix the problem, don't
> > > fuss at people who laugh at you for looking like an idiot...
> > >
> > that isn't what is happening you are choosing to pick a fight over
> > nothing BTW I am choosing to in it to a point

>
> It is "something"...It's YOU being rude.

IYO sure I am rude

Grow up

>
> Steve, K4YZ

931. Re: Correcting Two Idiots In One Thread...Bandwidth Conservation At It's Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 17:15:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121188505.694236.169740@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112291

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > The prozac people gotta love Steve.
> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Sales.
>
> Why?
>
> > > > > since Morse Code is forbidden there there is NO logical reason that
> > > > > Morse Code test should be required in order to operate there, or at
> > > > > worst a tech liecnsee with CSSE for the general writen test, should be
> > > > > able to operate there
> > > >
> > > Ding, ding, ding! We have a winner!!!
> > >
> > Not until the FCC says otehrwise, Brain.
> >
> > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
>
> So have you.

Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo

>
> Like why does a married Veteran with small children lie repeatedly
> and prolifically in a public forum...?!?!

Why do you lie so much Stevie I can't undersatnd it, either

an example like Saying the Borther Grimm were not linguists

>
> > > The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
> > > HF.
> >
> > For now. And it doesn't have to make sense or be consistent with other
> > regulations. That's why it is arbitrary.
>
> It's not "arbitrary".

It sure is

>
> That's an "out" that people try to use when they want to avoid
> facing reality.

BTW the FCC does not require Morse testing in all cases for HF use.

>
> > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.
> >
> > Hi! Tell it to the ARRL VEC.
>
> I'll tell it to them all.
>
> There's no "Farnsworth CSCE". Not in the ARRL VEC, the W%YI, VEC,
> or any of the others.

can't even give W5YI the proper speling Stevie

>
> It doesn't exist.

All hail Stevie
>
> > > > He's the Dick Clark of Amateur Radio. The USA's most immature Extra.
> > >
> > > Nope....we'll hold that "honor" for you, Brain.
> >
> > I'm no Extra.
>
> So right on so many levels.

if you are an example then it hardly much to aspire too

>
> > > Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
> > > doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...
> >
> > Steve and Bruce on the same team.
>
> Bruce who?
>
> If you're refering to N8DOS (SK), why shouldn't we be? He was my
> father.

because he is dead and I hope burried (please if you are keeping him
round the house don't tell us)

>
> If you refer to ULX, well, there's yet another silly assertion on
> YOUR part that will never stand the test of scrutiny...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

932. Re: More Damning Shame for Robber's Son Heirs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 17:21:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121188917.782368.115330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112292

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> > > "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...
> >
> > Not my yob to validate your "stories."
>
> What stories?

Your claims of combat

>
> What's a "yob"..?!?!

job

How do you follow morse code if a single letter stimies you?

>
> > > You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> > > YOU.
> >
> > I'm good either way. Either you did what you claim (and can/will prove
> > it), or you're a liar.
>
> There's no "either way" to it, Brain.
>
> I did do those things.

prove it

>
> I did provided you with corroborating data.

really?

Well never provided any to me

Google sereach turned up none

and to you Google is close to gospel,

so logicaly by your standard Henceforth (BYS)

>
> And the liar is in your bathroom waiting to peek out at you from

> the mirror...QUICK! Go catch a peek!
>
> > > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
> >
> > Yes, yes, yes. That's what got this whole thing started. Don't you
> > remember???
> >
> > You claimed that I am a liar.

you are

The Brothers Grimm are Linguists

You said they are not therefore BYS you are a lair

>
> You are.
>
> It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.

that you are a lair I guess it is

>
> > Now the foo is on the other shoot, and you have the very same
> > credibility problems that >>>you<<< claim that I have.
>
> Nope.
>
> I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
> authorizing source and validate.

Nope nothing a google search

>
> On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> have allegedly gone...

You steve chase them away they ander about about and sometimes come
back

>From what I read Unliseneced devices played major roles In Denis

>
> Oh...and why you claim ARES is "overblown" and allegedly unable to
> respond to disasters when they clearly continue to do exactly that.

ARES is over blown in the claims made of the degree of their role

>
> > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck

>
> No luck needed, Brain.
>
> The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.

Nope it is in yours your claim you prove em

>
> You just keep dribbling, though...
>
> > > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > > unfortunate one, at that...
> >
> > Prove your unfounded assertions. Best of Luck.
>
> No luck needed.
>
> The proof that what I claimed is just a phone call or letter away.
> All you ahve to do it "do it".

again Have Stevie Boy

a whole post without spelling it right even once

>
> And the proof that you're a chronic liar can be found in almost
> any thread wherein you've posted.
>
> Lord knows there's enough of them to choose from.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

933. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 17:24:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121189046.741327.244300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112293

KØHB wrote:
> Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the amateur
> radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio would be:
>
> a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
> b) Extinct

c) very small and would have lost most of its spectrum but would exist
as token of days gone by

>
> Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would you include a
> Morse examination in the license qualification?
>
> a) Yes
> b) No

B)
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org

934. a Blast from the past or Stevie Obsession with me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 18:58:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121194713.344973.92680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112294

from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM

been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
evidence of Combat

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up
> to
> > > them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> >
> > You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
>
> You're in denial, Brian...No problem...WE see it...You still haev
> a ways to go to get better.
>
> > > (1) I am not a victim
> >
> > "(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
>
> I imagine you hear a lot of laughter, Brian....and not all of it
> from kids playing in the yard or the "laugh track" on your favorite
> sitcom.
>
> > > (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
> problem
> > > with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in
> the
> > > e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.
> >
> > Hmmmm?
> >
> > In another post you said that no one other than the mystery e-mailer
> > saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you lying?
> > Then or now?
>
> There's no lying involved, Brian, other than your attempt to
> redirect the specific comments being made.
>
> Nice try. Very transparent.
>
> > Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
> >

> > But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
> > another lie?
>
> Nope.
>
> > > > I am no victim of yours.
> > >
> > > I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE victimized
> > > irritates (grates your cheese) you.
> > >
> > Not at all. I have no intention of making you a victim, and I want
> no
> > responsibility for your actions. You handily do the victim routine
> all
> > by yourself (see mirror).
>
> You still say you're holding up a mirror, yet all the foolish
> assertions are still yours and still unanswered.
>
> You try to redirect, and I refuse to allow you. No problem.
>
> > > > You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just don't
> > > matter.
> > >
> > > Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make accounts
> > for
> > > over 85% of YOUR posts.
> > >
> > What's your track record?
>
> Oh, I make no "warranty" about what and how I post. I quite
> readily acknowledge that I am quite ready to stand toe-to-toe with
> those who are obviously lying, misrepresenting Amateur Radio, or
> inisist on acting foolishly in public.
>

Break

> Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow. You.
> Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
> cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to stand
> behind thier already lame game.

Mark Morgan. After not being in the Newsgroup at all in several years
Stevie was still acting out his obsession with me,

BTW he was using anything like proper sentence form

>
> > > >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.
> > >
> > > What emotional defect, Brian?

> >
> > The one you exhibit daily on rrap.

break
>
> You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.

Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good

picking on someone not even around at the time

>
> Try again.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

935. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 19:14:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121195655.776096.84940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112295

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_idiot wrote:

forgery btw
>
> >
> > My rig will not tranmit out band so I am covered there
>
> So what about the sub-bands you are allowed/not allowed to operate on
> with you tech license? Do you know which part of the band you are
> allowed to operate according to your license? Your Yeasu rig sure
> doesn't know. Do you just depend on something else to keep you legal
> instead of knowing the rules yourself? Looks like if your rig isn't
> smart enough know the rules you're in trouble, because you sure don't
> seem that smart.

gee what part of which Band has space restircted from tech operating

Tech like myself Have no prevlidges below 30 MHZ and all prevlidges
above

Don't YOU know that?

I did not say I depended on .. but that I could pretty much if I chose

But there is NO current need for me to know much of anything below 30
MHZ except what concerns the aurgments I may make

One's abilty to follow the rules is improved by some understanding of
the rules, However may contention that it is possible not to understand
and legaly operate satnds

> >
> > I am required to obey
> > not understand
> >
> > Nothing in part 97 says I have to understand it
>
> So how do you intend to obey rules you don't understand?

asked and answered

936. Re: K4YZ: And You Wonder Why I Consider Myself An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 19:16:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121195814.774506.278770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112296

Still No answer to this Stevie

b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > > to obey them.
> > >
> > > BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !

break

> >
> > "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
> >
> > IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> > law.
> >
> > Or are you too ignorant to see that?
>
> Why Steve no answer?

Still No answer and yet you seem to have come and gone for the day

That is becuase it is true

937. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 20:27:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yIVAe.4177$BK1.2877@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112297

My original questions weren't very well worded. I wanted to engage us in two "thought exercises".

1. Is amateur radio a hobby which significantly appeals to experimenters and tinkerers, or does it continue to flourish only because of the wide availability of commercial equipment?

During the 60's-70's there was an interesting "real world" test of this notion. The US and western European hams had readily available and affordable commercial equipment, while the USSR hams did not. During that period USSR hams homebrewed most all their equipment, or converted military surplus stuff (sound familiar?), their numbers grew at a much higher rate than US numbers, and they were generally acknowledged to be among the best operators on the air.

2. My second "thought exercise" (unrelated to the first) probably should have been stated: "Supposing that amateur radio first came under government regulation in 2005 (instead of 1912), would a Morse test be included in the qualification process?"

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

938. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 20:40:44 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <db19sd$1848$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112298

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the amateur
> radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio would be:
>
> a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
> b) Extinct

Probably alive and well. Certainly the used equipment market would be interesting. But there isn't anything stopping us from making our own equipment.

Wouldn't bother me much at all!

> Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would you include a
> Morse examination in the license qualification?
>
> a) Yes
> b) No

Part 1....I posed a similar question a while back and mostly caught crap for it. People are going to tell you that the question is irrelevent because Ham radio wasn't invented today, it was invented a long time ago.

Part 2...But the answer is of course no.

And of course, the people that are really gung ho for a Morse test are the ones that will parade part one in front of you.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org
>

- Mike KB3EIA -

939. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:09:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121202557.122107.131240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112299

KØHB wrote:
> My original questions weren't very well worded. I wanted to engage us in two
> "thought exercises".
>
> 1. Is amateur radio a hobby which significantly appeals to experimenters and
> tinkerers, or does it continue to flourish only because of the wide availability
> of commercial equipment?

in the US I don't think there an either/or answer

the answer to that question becomes Yes

Ham radio appeals to experiments etc in part becuase florishes due to
the numbers of people to expeirment with driven by the avability of
Comercail gear

an Example is CW and it's contiued use

If folks were prevented from buying comercail CW gear I don't think the
homebrewers of the US could sustain enough to allow Cw use to continue

>
> During the 60's-70's there was an interesting "real world" test of this notion.
> The US and western European hams had readily available and affordable commercial
> equipment, while the USSR hams did not. During that period USSR hams homebrewed
> most all their equipment, or converted military surplus stuff (sound familiar?),
> their numbers grew at a much higher rate than US numbers, and they were
> generally acknowledged to be among the best operators on the air.

The Soviets are Not americans

>
> 2. My second "thought exercise" (unrelated to the first) probably should have
> been stated: "Supposing that amateur radio first came under government
> regulation in 2005 (instead of 1912), would a Morse test be included in the
> qualification process?"

Of Course Not
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org

> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org

940. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:14:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QoWAe.2538$dU3.1034@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112350

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules
>

Yes, as required by &#65533;97.103(a) and &#65533;97.105(a).

Can't you?

73, de Hans, K0HB

941. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:32:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121203979.512654.111690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112351

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules
> >
>

why did you edit out the fact i was asking Stevie

> Yes, as required by §97.103(a) and §97.105(a).

Sec. 97.105 Control operator duties.

(a) The control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation

of the station, regardless of the type of control.
(b) A station may only be operated in the manner and to the extent
permitted by the privileges authorized for the class of operator
license
held by the control operator.

Sec. 97.103 Station licensee responsibilities.

(a) The station licensee is responsible for the proper operation of

the station in accordance with the FCC Rules. When the control operator

is a different amateur operator than the station licensee, both persons

are equally responsible for proper operation of the station.
(b) The station licensee must designate the station control
operator. The FCC will presume that the station licensee is also the
control operator, unless documentation to the contrary is in the
station
records.
(c) The station licensee must make the station and the station
records available for inspection upon request by an FCC representative.

When deemed necessary by an EIC to assure compliance with the FCC
Rules,
the station licensee must maintain a record of station operations
containing such items of information as the EIC may require in accord
with Sec. 0.314(x) of the FCC Rules.

nothing in the citied section reqiuring me to be able to test the
emission of my rig

>
> Can't you?

By that I don't have too

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

942. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:35:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <ZJWdnUuPbuaf2knfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112359

an_old_friend wrote:
> gee what part of which Band has space restircted from tech operating
>
> Tech like myself Have no prevlidges below 30 MHZ and all prevlidges
> above
>
>
> Don't YOU know that?

I am surprised you do as you say you don't need to understand the rules.

943. a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:38:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121204333.791981.309100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112352

Sec. 97.305 Authorized emission types.
(a) An amateur station may transmit a CW emission on any frequency
authorized to the control operator.

and

75 m Entire band.......... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
40 m 7.000-7.100 MHz...... RTTY,
data..... (3), (9).
40 m 7.075-7.100 MHz...... Phone,
image..... (1), (2), (9), (11).
40 m 7.100-7.150 MHz...... RTTY,
data..... (3), (9).
40 m 7.150-7.300 MHz...... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).

No mention int the section of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all

944. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:42:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <S62dnSzB4NwC1UnfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112360

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules

Sure can. Can you? No? I believe that somewhere in the rules it say you
should be able to. But then you don't understand the rules.

945. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:43:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YPWAe.2544$dU3.1596@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112353

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> By that I don't have too
>

If you are responsible for proper operation of your station (and those rules apply equally above 30MHz as they do below), then you must have a method to determine that your station complies with the Rules. How do you do that at your station?

73, de Hans, K0HB

946. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:51:10 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <gYOdneBnKtME10nfRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112361

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>By that I don't have too
>>
>
>
>
> If you are responsible for proper operation of your station (and those rules
> apply equally above 30MHz as they do below), then you must have a method to
> determine that your station complies with the Rules. How do you do that at your
> station?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
He hasn't a clue, he just hopes his radio will always work properly and
even if it does it can't compensate for any misunderstanding on his part
about operating it properly.

947. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 21:51:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RXWAe.7221$8f7.114@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112354

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> ...of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all...

&#65533;97.303(s) is very clear on the topic. You're correct that it doesn't specifically call it a "60 metter" band, but it DOES spell out in detail the mode restrictions and other technical requirements and restrictions of the frequencies I >think< you call "60 metters".

"97.303(s) An amateur station having an operator holding a General, Advanced or Amateur Extra Class license may only transmit single sideband, suppressed carrier, (emission type 2K8J3E) upper sideband on the channels 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz, and 5405 kHz. Amateur stations shall ensure that their transmission occupies only the 2.8 kHz centered around each of these frequencies. Transmissions shall not exceed an effective radiated power (e.r.p.) of 50 W PEP. For the purpose of computing e.r.p. the transmitter PEP will be multiplied with the antenna gain relative to a dipole or equivalent calculation in decibels. A half wave dipole antenna will be presumed to have a gain of 0 dBd. Licenses using other antennas must maintain in their records either the manufacturer data on the antenna gain or calculations of the antenna gain. No amateur station may cause harmful interference to stations authorized in the mobile and fixed services; nor is any amateur station protected from interference due to the operation of any such station."

73, de Hans, K0HB

948. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 22:20:58 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <enXAe.799$c41.78@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 112355

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1121173186.029550.234250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > > So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?
> >
> > While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> > Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> > Genessee Cream Ale.
>
> Beats me, I'm not into suds.
>
> > As for what is fed to babies,
>
> Ye gawds in all the years I've been lurking in this funny-farm I can't
> think of another topic having popped up which is a far afield from the
> code test war. Ever. .
>
> WEIRD!
>

Oh, I think you could find some pretty darned furhter off-topic discussions
than this. In fact, bottle feeding frees up a woman to pick up a mic or
slam a fist, as it were.

Whether a protest of the topic or not, I couldn't tell, but you've bit right
into it, I see.

> > it should be remembered
> > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> > automatically.
> >
> > The "old-fashioned way" was
> > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically

> > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> > insults.
>
> Uhhh . . are you "explaining" all this to me James or what? If so spare
> me willya, I was raised in those days and so were my kids and those
> times spanned more than just a couple decades. Yeah there was a bit of
> hardware involved but the process was a no-brainer and it wasn't nearly
> as complicated as you've intimated. Tongs? sterilizer pot? Bottle rack?
> What? Nonsense. Never had any of 'em. By the way the handiest widgets
> by far were the 'lectric bottle warmers. Didn't have any friggin'
> pacifiers ether.
>

I had pacifiers in my generation of child-rearing, but I didn't/don't believe in them and took it away from my granddaughter as quick as I could (meaning as soon as I had her enough to literally keep her off it until she didn't know what one was any more when her Mom went to give it to her, heh heh). You seem a bit miffed above, don't know why. Actually, Jim wasn't "explaining a thing to you." What Jim is doing is conversing. Get the idea? Plus, you ask him that in your "tone," then proceed to get as involved with what you are relaying as Jim did. So, are you "explaining" also and, if so, let's agree that for the sake of this topic in this thread, 'splainin' might be a good thing...

> > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> > best, right?
> >
> > As if!
>
> Yeah as if. In the first place you weren't there, I was but never mind
> that little detail. The bottle-feeding days were the biggest move
> forward ever in the liberation of women, especially moms. Finally moms
> didn't have to hover over their wee ones 24/7 and were able to do
> "radical" things like trudge off to jobs and even short vacations
> without the kid thus getting the ravenous little beasties out of their
> lives for awhile for a break for others to feed. I sure did my share
> and so did grandparents and others.
>

Good grief. Take a breath there. I really don't know if you're being gruff with a reason or if you are somehow insulted by Jim's attempt to caution at what "experts" may say at any given time. I think the more demonstrable part of Jim's post was that it is the advertising that drives what is "best" for...well, anything. Here, it happens to be whether breast feeding or bottle feeding is good/better for people.

> The two problems with the current politically correct
> gotta-do-the-boobs drill are (1) it puts the moms back into the same
> crippled sorts of lives the cave women lived and (2) fathers don't have
> to be bothered with the feeding so they can wander off and be Real Men

> again. Bullshit. Lemmee clue you about the biggie which has been lost.
> A non-mom reapetedly having the sole responsibility for feeding an
> infant is by far the second most powerful bonding force there is.
>

Ah. So, this is going to come down to some argument for or against the "politically correct" angle, for you. Let me give you a clue: moms who "gotta-do-the-boobs" drill are quite capable of doing the boobs AND all that you mention above. I bottle fed my first baby and breast fed my second. I got to try both and enjoyed both. Neither method prohibited me from doing anything and I didn't feel cave-like at all, as your neandrathalian attitude suggests. One thing that pretty much cannot be argued is that, in a healthy environment where mom is healthy, breast milk is far superior to manufactured formula. Given that, dads are not at all locked out of the experience of feeding, as breast milk can be pumped into bottles and fed to the baby. Moms are free to pump their breasts at work, saving the milk for bottle feeding at the nursery, or by dad, or by gramma, or whomever. Feeding a baby breast milk does mean that there are any cave relationships that have to be endured.

A non-mom can repeatedly have the sole responsibility for feeding an infant AND experience the most powerful bonding force there is, simply by feeding the baby with breast milk through a bottle.

> I spent thousands of hours in that mode and looking back I wouldn't
> have missed it for all the world. My sons-in-laws have no idea what I'm
> talking about when the topic comes up and the grumpy old ex couldn't
> agree more despite the fact that agreeing with me on any subject galls
> her no end.
>

You are a dedicated dad and that is admirable in terms of the men in your generation who wanted nothing to do with the babyhood of their children. My childrens' father; nor any of my gal-friends husbands, wanted to change diapers, feed, bathe, or even watch their child alone. We dragged the kids everywhere, were expected to maintain the home, get the food on the table reliably, keep the "kid" quiet and, not only no, but hell no, dad wasn't about to watch a baby while mom just took a break.

> What paper diapers? Don't be silly . .
>
> In closing here James ponder this: You've spent more than just a few
> minutes rachet-jawing with my youngest. Who was 100% bottle-fed as
> often as not by her daddy. What evidence do have to offer which
> indicates that she'd have been better off if she'd been boob-fed
> instead?
>
> Watch bottle-feeding come back again and remember where ya heard it.
>
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

> w3rv
>

You seem way too defensive, as though Jim was shunning one style of feeding over another. I think what Jim was shunning is the readiness of people to believe so-called experts, when the experts driving mechanism is advertising or influence, etc. I think bottle feeding is the preferred mode today, isn't it? It doesn't have to "come back," because it hasn't gone anywhere in the past 20 years, or so. Most women I know who are having babies these days are bottle feeding--though many more than used to are feeding breast milk, pumped while they are at breaks at work and refrigerating the milk for future use.

Kim W5TIT

949. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 22:25:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121207133.100092.58660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112356

First of all Don't hack out the context

Sec. 97.105 Control operator duties.

(a) The control operator must ensure the immediate proper operation

of the station, regardless of the type of control.
(b) A station may only be operated in the manner and to the extent
permitted by the privileges authorized for the class of operator
license
held by the control operator.

Sec. 97.103 Station licensee responsibilities.

(a) The station licensee is responsible for the proper operation of

the station in accordance with the FCC Rules. When the control operator

is a different amateur operator than the station licensee, both persons

are equally responsible for proper operation of the station.
(b) The station licensee must designate the station control
operator. The FCC will presume that the station licensee is also the
control operator, unless documentation to the contrary is in the
station
records.
(c) The station licensee must make the station and the station
records available for inspection upon request by an FCC representative.

When deemed necessary by an EIC to assure compliance with the FCC
Rules,
the station licensee must maintain a record of station operations
containing such items of information as the EIC may require in accord
with Sec. 0.314(x) of the FCC Rules.

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > By that I don't have too
> >
>
>
> If you are responsible for proper operation of your station (and those rules
> apply equally above 30MHz as they do below), then you must have a method to

Doesn't say any such thing Hans

maybe it should but that is another matter

But My method is to asume my unmodifified is working fine until/unless
I have reason to believe otherwise, then send it off for mainatance by
pro's

No way I am going to try and work on my rig, can't even see the
components /circutlines have the time

> determine that your station complies with the Rules. How do you do that at your
> station?

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

950. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 22:27:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121207221.048837.250010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112357

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > ...of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> > mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all...

Hans I copied from FCC.gov

>
> §97.303(s) is very clear on the topic. You're correct that it doesn't
> specifically call it a "60 metter" band, but it DOES spell out in detail the
> mode restrictions and other technical requirements and restrictions of the
> frequencies I >think< you call "60 metters".
>
> "97.303(s) An amateur station having an operator holding a General, Advanced or
> Amateur Extra Class license may only transmit single sideband, suppressed
> carrier, (emission type 2K8J3E) upper sideband on the channels 5332 kHz, 5348
> kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz, and 5405 kHz. Amateur stations shall ensure that their
> transmission occupies only the 2.8 kHz centered around each of these
> frequencies. Transmissions shall not exceed an effective radiated power (e.r.p.)
> of 50 W PEP. For the purpose of computing e.r.p. the transmitter PEP will be
> multiplied with the antenna gain relative to a dipole or equivalent calculation
> in decibels. A half wave dipole antenna will be presumed to have a gain of 0
> dBd. Licenses using other antennas must maintain in their records either the
> manufacturer data on the antenna gain or calculations of the antenna gain. No
> amateur station may cause harmful interference to stations authorized in the
> mobile and fixed services; nor is any amateur station protected from
> interference due to the operation of any such station."

That Is not accessable from the FCC's website

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

951. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 22:33:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wyXAe.4220$BK1.417@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112358

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> That Is not accessable from the FCC's website

I got it from the FCC website. (Did you think I made it up?)

73, de Hans, K0HB

952. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 22:58:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121209088.579724.321200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112362

KØHB wrote:
> Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the amateur
> radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio would be:
>
> a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
> b) Extinct

c) I'd use ICOM, Ten-Tec, Yaesu/Vertex, or Kenwood commercial gear.
Wouldn't you?

> Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would you include a
> Morse examination in the license qualification?
>
> a) Yes
> b) No

No.

953. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:02:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hJadnTjim79d1knfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 112363

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121204333.791981.309100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Sec. 97.305 Authorized emission types.
> (a) An amateur station may transmit a CW emission on any frequency
> authorized to the control operator.
>
>
> and
>
> 75 m Entire band..... Phone,
> image..... (1), (2).
> 40 m 7.000-7.100 MHz..... RTTY,
> data..... (3), (9).
> 40 m 7.075-7.100 MHz..... Phone,
> image..... (1), (2), (9), (11).
> 40 m 7.100-7.150 MHz..... RTTY,
> data..... (3), (9).
> 40 m 7.150-7.300 MHz..... Phone,
> image..... (1), (2).
>
>
> No mention int the section of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all
>

You have an out-of-date copy or website.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

954. Re: Where was Blapster during Dennis???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:03:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <O_XAe.9115$aY6.1805@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1121128844.530990.182650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Well Danny I heard he had been running on a different frequency and is
> no longer on 14.275. Won't it be a bitch for you if he get's kicked off
> the radio? You won't have nothing to bitch and cry about, K1MAN is your
> life and once they get rid of him, your life is over, how pathetic.
>
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://12.156.123.39/n9ogl.html
> THE N9OGL BBS
>
```

What frequency is it Toad? And where did you hear it? Perhaps in the deep
recesses of your limited gray matter?

No Toad.....MAN is not my "life". You are. Think about it.

Dan/W4NTI

955. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:03:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Q_XAe.9116$aY6.5920@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112365

This discussion is about Ham Radio. You seem to want to talk about CB radio. How about taking your CB radio talk to a CB radio discussion board?

Dan/W4NTI

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:k247d11hj6fd34fsd2n2a35oe6teobrdmr@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 23:52:41 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
> <dDDAe.21367$eM6.10070@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>Just tellin the truth, and you cain't stand it.
>
>
> I just did a cursory google search and found dozens of examples where
> CB radio was used to save lives in emergency and disaster situations.
> If you can't do it yourself just let me know and I'll compile a list
> of links for you to browse through. In the meantime, maybe you should
> remember who caught the DC sniper -- a CBer.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

956. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:03:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <R_XAe.9117$aY6.2030@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112366

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xyQAe.6930$8f7.3822@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the
> amateur radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio
> would be:
>
> a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
> b) Extinct
>

A

> Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would you
> include a Morse examination in the license qualification?
>
> a) Yes
> b) No
>

YES

Dan/W4NTI
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org
>
>
>
>

957. Re: Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:05:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rL-dnaqWNI0G0UnfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112367

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:daturo$1846$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>To all the posters who eschew anonymity, post civilly, argue sensibly
>>and without rancor, and who make interesting posts - Thank you very much!
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> Hello, Mike
>
>
> Well, at least I eschew anonymity ;)

And that is the main thing.

One of the most important things that I like to remind the anonymous is
that they should look at their brethren.

> As to the rest, I simply blame it on my age :))

Your rancor is not aimed at the rest of us, but somewhere else! 8^)

And always interesting!

- Mike KB3EIA -

958. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:15:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121210108.588726.108440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112369

pre4haps but that is where the FCC sent me

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1121204333.791981.309100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Sec. 97.305 Authorized emission types.
> > (a) An amateur station may transmit a CW emission on any frequency
> > authorized to the control operator.
> >
> >
> > and
> >
> > 75 m Entire band..... Phone,
> > image..... (1), (2).
> > 40 m 7.000-7.100 MHz..... RTTY,
> > data..... (3), (9).
> > 40 m 7.075-7.100 MHz..... Phone,
> > image..... (1), (2), (9), (11).
> > 40 m 7.100-7.150 MHz..... RTTY,
> > data..... (3), (9).
> > 40 m 7.150-7.300 MHz..... Phone,
> > image..... (1), (2).
> >
> >
> > No mention int the section of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> > mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all
> >
>
> You have an out-of-date copy or website.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

959. Re: And You Wonder Why I Consider KB9RQZ An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:16:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121210167.748021.81020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112368

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > gee what part of which Band has space restircted from tech operating
> >
> > Tech like myself Have no prevlidges below 30 MHZ and all prevlidges
> > above
> >
> >
> > Don't YOU know that?
>
> I am surprised you do as you say you don't need to understand the rules.

The need or lack of need has nothing to do with the exent I do or not
understand them

960. Robeson on the Lying Path again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:18:09 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121210289.269271.267470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112371

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> > > "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...
> >
> > Not my yob to validate your "stories."
>
> What stories?
>
> What's a "yob"..?!?!
>
> > > You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> > > YOU.
> >
> > I'm good either way. Either you did what you claim (and can/will prove
> > it), or you're a liar.
>
> There's no "either way" to it, Brain.

I say there is.

> I did do those things.

Maybe. Probably not.

> I did provided you with corroborating data.

You cannot be beleived. Provide authentic documentation.

> And the liar is in your bathroom waiting to peek out at you from
> the mirror...QUICK! Go catch a peek!

Stay out of my bathroom.

> > > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
> >
> > Yes, yes, yes. That's what got this whole thing started. Don't you
> > remember???
> >
> > You claimed that I am a liar.
>
> You are.

I'm not.

> It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.

Funny. You say I lack documentation, so that makes me a liar. Now you
say that you have documentation that I don't have. That makes you a
liar on several levels.

> > Now the foo is on the other shoot, and you have the very same
> > credibility problems that >>>you<<< claim that I have.
>
> Nope.

I say you do.

> I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
> authorizing source and validate.

Quit asking everyone else to do your legwork.

> On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> have allegedly gone...

Please hold your breath.

> Oh...and why you claim ARES is "overblown" and allegedly unable to
> respond to disasters when they clearly continue to do exactly that.

Is taht what I claimed?

> > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
>
> No luck needed, Brain.

Your luck has run out. You are a lair.

> The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.

The ball's been in your court for months now.

> You just keep dribbling, though...

You keep fouling people.

> > > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > > unfortunate one, at that...
> >
> > Prove your unfounded assertions. Best of Luck.
>
> No luck needed.

It must be. You've been unable to provide authentic documentation for
months now.

> The proof that what I claimed is just a phone call or letter away.
> All you ahve to do it "do it".

So make the call. "Dialing...." Hi!

> And the proof that you're a chronic liar can be found in almost
> any thread wherein you've posted.

And you wonder why people think you're nuts.

> Lord knows there's enough of them to choose from.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I feel sorry for you.

961. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:18:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121210307.250653.16180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112370

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > That Is not accessable from the FCC's website
>
> I got it from the FCC website. (Did you think I made it up?)

but that is NOT what clicking on FCC.gov get you when you click through
thier link "Rules and regulations"

I don't know where you got it

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

962. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:24:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <h7ydnUHvxut4zUnfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 112372

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:enXAe.799$c41.78@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121173186.029550.234250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> >
>> > > So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?
>> >
>> > While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
>> > Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
>> > Genessee Cream Ale.
>>
>> Beats me, I'm not into suds.
>>
>> > As for what is fed to babies,
>>
>> Ye gawds in all the years I've been lurking in this funny-farm I can't
>> think of another topic having popped up which is a far afield from the
>> code test war. Ever. .
>>
>> WEIRD!
>>
>
> Oh, I think you could find some pretty darned furhter off-topic
> discussions
> than this. In fact, bottle feeding frees up a woman to pick up a mic or
> slam a fist, as it were.
>

Well that's one way to tie it into amateur radio (or any other topic for
that matter).

> Whether a protest of the topic or not, I couldn't tell, but you've bit
> right
> into it, I see.
>
>> > it should be remembered
>> > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
>> > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
>> > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
>> > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
>> > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
>> > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
>> > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and

>> > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
>> > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
>> > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
>> > automatically.
>> >
>> > The "old-fashioned way" was
>> > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
>> > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
>> > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
>> > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
>> > insults.
>>
>> Uhhh . . are you "explaining" all this to me James or what? If so spare
>> me willya, I was raised in those days and so were my kids and those
>> times spanned more than just a couple decades. Yeah there was a bit of
>> hardware involved but the process was a no-brainer and it wasn't nearly
>> as complicated as you've intimated. Tongs? sterilizer pot? Bottle rack?
>> What? Nonsense. Never had any of 'em. By the way the handiest widgets
>> by far were the 'lectric bottle warmers. Didn't have any friggin'
>> pacifiers ether.
>>

Well when I was planning to have children, I sat down and evaluated the alternatives based on my lifestyle and the technology available to me. I ended up working full time and choosing breast feeding for both children. I didn't care one bit for historical precedence or political correctness.

>
[snip]
>
>> > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
>> > best, right?
>> >
>> > As if!
>>
>> Yeah as if. In the first place you weren't there, I was but never mind
>> that little detail. The bottle-feeding days were the biggest move
>> forward ever in the liberation of women, especially moms. Finally moms
>> didn't have to hover over their wee ones 24/7 and were able to do
>> "radical" things like trudge off to jobs and even short vacations
>> without the kid thus getting the ravenous little beasties out of their
>> lives for awhile for a break for others to feed. I sure did my share
>> and so did grandparents and others.
>>

I personally found breast feeding to be liberating. If I wanted to go somewhere, all I had to do was stuff a couple of diapers in my purse, grab the baby and go. Didn't have to worry about how much formula to take or how to keep it from spoiling, etc.

>
> Good grief. Take a breath there. I really don't know if you're being
> gruff
> with a reason or if you are somehow insulted by Jim's attempt to caution
> at
> what "experts" may say at any given time. I think the more demonstrable
> part of Jim's post was that it is the advertising that drives what is
> "best"
> for...well, anything. Here, it happens to be whether breast feeding or
> bottle feeding is good/better for people.
>
>> The two problems with the current politically correct
>> gotta-do-the-boobs drill are (1) it puts the moms back into the same
>> crippled sorts of lives the cave women lived and (2) fathers don't have
>> to be bothered with the feeding so they can wander off and be Real Men
>> again. Bullshit. Lemmee clue you about the biggie which has been lost.
>> A non-mom reapetedly having the sole responsibility for feeding an
>> infant is by far the second most powerful bonding force there is.
>>
>
> Ah. So, this is going to come down to some argument for or against the
> "politically correct" angle, for you. Let me give you a clue: moms who
> "gotta-do-the-boobs" drill are quite capable of doing the boobs AND all
> that
> you mention above. I bottle fed my first baby and breast fed my second.
> I
> got to try both and enjoyed both. Neither method prohibited me from doing
> anything and I didn't feel cave-like at all, as your neandrathalian
> attitude
> suggests. One thing that pretty much cannot be argued is that, in a
> healthy
> environment where mom is healthy, breast milk is far superior to
> manufactured formula. Given that, dads are not at all locked out of the
> experience of feeding, as breast milk can be pumped into bottles and fed
> to
> the baby. Moms are free to pump their breasts at work, saving the milk
> for
> bottle feeding at the nursery, or by dad, or by gramma, or whomever.
> Feeding a baby breast milk does mean that there are any cave relationships
> that have to be endured.
>

Kim's got it 100% right here. As mentioned above, I worked full time.

Breast milk has many advantages. If the mother is healthy, it is
automatically the correct balance for the infant. In addition, it tranfers
any immunities that the mother may have to the infant for the duration of
the time that the baby is breast fed.

> A non-mom can repeatedly have the sole responsibility for feeding an
> infant
> AND experience the most powerful bonding force there is, simply by feeding

> the baby with breast milk through a bottle.
>
>> I spent thousands of hours in that mode and looking back I wouldn't
>> have missed it for all the world. My sons-in-laws have no idea what I'm
>> talking about when the topic comes up and the grumpy old ex couldn't
>> agree more despite the fact that agreeing with me on any subject galls
>> her no end.
>>
>
> You are a dedicated dad and that is admirable in terms of the men in your
> generation who wanted nothing to do with the babyhood of their children.
> My
> childrens' father; nor any of my gal-friends husbands, wanted to change
> diapers, feed, bathe, or even watch their child alone. We dragged the
> kids
> everywhere, were expected to maintain the home, get the food on the table
> reliably, keep the "kid" quiet and, not only no, but hell no, dad wasn't
> about to watch a baby while mom just took a break.
>

It is fantastic to find a dad that takes part as you did. I have to give my daughters' fathers credit for participating in child rearing even though in both cases we divorced for other reasons.

>> What paper diapers? Don't be silly . .
>>
>> In closing here James ponder this: You've spent more than just a few
>> minutes rachet-jawing with my youngest. Who was 100% bottle-fed as
>> often as not by her daddy. What evidence do have to offer which
>> indicates that she'd have been better off if she'd been boob-fed
>> instead?
>>
>> Watch bottle-feeding come back again and remember where ya heard it.
>>

What I hope to see is that mothers are free to choose whichever method suits them and their lifestyles. While breast milk has a slight edge, modern pediatrics has insured that formula is an adequate substitute.

>>
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> w3rv
>>
>
> You seem way too defensive, as though Jim was shunning one style of
> feeding
> over another. I think what Jim was shunning is the readiness of people to
> believe so-called experts, when the experts driving mechanism is
> advertising
> or influence, etc. I think bottle feeding is the preferred mode today,

> isn't it? It doesn't have to "come back," because it hasn't gone anywhere
> in the past 20 years, or so. Most women I know who are having babies
> these
> days are bottle feeding--though many more than used to are feeding breast
> milk, pumped while they are at breaks at work and refrigerating the milk
> for
> future use.
>
> Kim W5TIT

At least in my lifetime, there have always been more women bottle feeding than breast feeding. Most of my daughter's friends bottle feed although she chose breast feeding. She made her decision on her own without pressure from me. She got herself an extra fancy breast pump. It is motorized and does both breasts at once. Somehow this bring about visions of the farm and the milking machines we used to have when I was a child!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

963. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:27:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DcydnePnPY0xzEnfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 112373

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:S62dnSzB4NwC1UnfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>
>> Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules
>
> Sure can. Can you? No? I believe that somewhere in the rules it say you
> should be able to. But then you don't understand the rules.

I don't think it says you have to test it but it does say that you are
responsible for insuring that it complies. However it would be difficult to
insure that it complies without some modest test equipment.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

964. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:29:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121210945.833122.72410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112374

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 11, 6:33 pm

>k...@dvol.com wrote:
>> So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?
>
>While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
>Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
>Genessee Cream Ale.

You would "bottle feed" babies BEER?!?!?

HOW MANY CHILDREN HAS JIMMIE "PARENTED"?

>As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
>that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
>20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
>us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
>the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
>and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
>"progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
>pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
>bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
>tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
>processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
>automatically.

Now explain all of the above to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

Show your work.

>The "old-fashioned way" was
>put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
>"horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
>and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
>old ways met with resistance, opposition and
>insults.

"Luddite?!?" :-)

Did you breast-feed buggy horses?!?

["Gettum' up Scout...go, kimosabe..."]

>After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
>best, right?

Poor baby...still smarting after trying to hold back the
dawn on newer-than-radiotelegraphy modes in amateur radio?

Remember Burke's Law: "CW gets through when everything else does."

>As if!

"As if" WHAT? "As if" you made any sense in here with that post?

"As if" everyone spoke eastern PA tuff-ese? :-)

"As if" anyone else gives a damn?

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

965. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:30:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121211051.634400.50430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112375

From: K0HB on Jul 12, 6:33 pm

>an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote

>> That Is not accessable from the FCC's website
>
>I got it from the FCC website. (Did you think I made it up?)

Incorrect. You got it from the GPO website (Government Printing
Office). [FCC website will link all users to the GPO website]

The ONLY Parts of Title 47 available AT the FCC webiste is a
"courtesy copy" of Part 15 regulations.

Title 47 C.F.R. is published at two-year intervals. For
CHANGES to any Part, you and all others can get them from
NARA (National Archives and Records Administration) via the
Federal Register website.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

966. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:30:55 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121211055.881234.272660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112376

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Jul 9, 12:54 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Jim Hampton" on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 23:58
> >> >"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > >> > From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
> > > >> > one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
>
> >> >> Of course, the cell phone is more widely available to the public than
> >> >> your amateur radio. The cell phone may keep working just fine in
> >> >> personal or small emergencies. The cell phone often works during the
> >> >> initial stages of a larger emergency. In other words, the cell phone
> >> >> can be extremely useful.
>
> Latest estimate in the Los Angeles TIMES of Saturday morning
> (9 Jul 05) has OVER 175 MILLION cell phones among the USA
> public. There are now MORE cell phone subscribers than there
> are wired-line phone subscribers!

LA Times just has to be wrong. Send a letter to the editor calling him
a PUTZ!

;^)

> >Welp, that's just the way it is. Not everyone is able to walk around
> >with their very own amateur radio communicator at their side.
>
> A few previous PCTA extra stalwarts in here (now gone) used
> to talk about "shack on a belt" denigration of HTs. :-)

Yep. A "shack on a belt" is a terrible thing to have in an emergency.

> >> In this morning's Los Angeles TIMES, page one, is a story on
> >> the London bombings stating that the estimated number of
> >> cell phones in the USA is 192 MILLION now and about a third
> >> of them have video capability. Network news on TV showed many
> >> short video clips from the immediate disaster areas in London.
> >
> >They didn't weight for a bunch of overwait ATVers to show up and do
> >damage assessments? What's the state of emergency comms coming to?
>
> I'm still waiting to see what sort of Creative Writing the
> ARRL is going to put on their "news" web page where ham
> radio "went to the rescue in London" etc., etc. So far, the

> network news and services have been mum on ham radio used in
> this latest emergency over there.

It's a secret.

> >> I have yet to see any TV news talking about "amateur radio
> >> emergency communications" in the London area. <shrug>
> >
> >Jims says the bus was underground and amateur comms would work. Not
> >even CW.
>
> No?!? Gosh, kinda puts the lie to "CW gets through when
> nothing else will..." :-)

The #1 Morse Myth. Aaron Jones, where are you?

> Ackshully, there's such a thing as a "leaky wave antenna"
> that is used in Boston's "Big Dig" tunnels, the Los Angeles
> Subway (yes, I know, strange concept that) system, and many
> other places. With the right shaping and dimensions, a tunnel
> can be a sort of waveguide above cutoff for high VHF and up.

Sometimes I get GPS signals indoors.

> >> No doubt someone in here will insist that all the video clips
> >> are "fakes" because "everyone knows that cell phones go down
> >> in any emergency." :-)
> >
> >Doesn't everyone know that?
>
> Stebie might say it's a "LIE!" but then he says lots and lots of
> things are "LIES!" :-)

He's a Liarphobe.

> >> We can always count on the Amateur Emergency Corps to come
> >> through with "CW" and the johnny-on-the-spot morsemen.
> >
> >I thought I saw a few frames of a guy in a CAP flight suit...
>
> With a moustache? :-)

Yikes! I think so...

> Not to worry...in a couple years Stebie will be claiming He
> Was There (with/without MARS).

He was at Woodstock and Vietnam at the same time. ;))

> >> BTW, the cell sites in the L.A. area are equipped with back-
> >> up power in case the primary electricity goes out. Central
> >> offices have had battery back-up since the year dot. AM
> >> and FM broadcast stations had emergency power generators and
> >> were functioning okay even if the personnel were a bit shaky.

> >
> >But they all used CW, right?
>
> Yes, to most of them! "Continuous Wave" carrier with FM. :-)

beep beep.

> >> In London and, earlier, Madrid, the government communications
> >> kept on going through bombings. Gosh, with the way so many in
> >> here talk, absolutely NOBODY but the hams had any comms at all!
> >> <shrug> BTW, Yurp has an even higher density of cell phones
> >> than the USA according to the L.A. TIMES story.
> >
> >All those people without any comms at all?
>
> Yup...according to the MYTH in here. Whenever an emergency hits,
> "ALL the infrastructure GOES DOWN, quits, becomes defunct, won't
> work at all! The only thing that works is the radio amateur's
> mighty morsemachine radio!"

Good thing amateur radio is a vibrant, growing service.

> Talk about peyote puffing...those mythmakers are dreaming harder
> than ever...:-)
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Yep. They got on their "dreaming caps."

967. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:33:40 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eqYAe.60939$Tt.36084@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 112377

Maybe you missed the point: I'm not saying hams are useless. If an agency
has the time and means to mobilize some hams to man some air conditioned
communication op-centers, great, I'm sure that happens
quite often. But you don't see too many of them in the trenches, which is
where the wars are fought. That's where you'll find the CBers picking up the
slack when the phone system doesn't work, when the PSP
is overloaded, and when pre-planned logistics aren't flexible enough
to accommodate unforseen circumstances. Happens all the time.
"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message

Now, now Frank,

All of this because of a troll, Few EOC's have an absense of ARES in a
disaster. In fact most counties I am aware have Amateur presence in their
EOC centers and are loathe to do without them. I have NEVER seen a "CB"
desk in an EOC, and that is simply a fact, not based on my like or dislike
of CB.

Fact is, the Homeland Security Department hands out grants to ARRL for
training emergency operators, traffic handling, and even mobile command
centers. One such mobile command center, filled with state-of-the-art
communications and data equipment, went to Aiken County, SC. The cost was
around $150,000. I can't definitively say, but I have honestly heard of NO
such grants to CB "clubs", REACT, or any other CB 'emergency' group. The
local EOC, if you were to suggest that CBers man radios or handle traffic,
honestly, would laugh you out of the house! Now, that is NOT to say that
they wouldn't welcome "legwork" such as taking photos of damaged
infrastructure, bringing in food/coffee, checking on the elderly, and
running other errands. For expeditious handling of emergency radio traffic?
No! At least, around here, it simply doesn't happen. It isn't meant
to be derisive or condescending: it is simply the way it is!

There is a perception amongst emergency folks, mostly brought on by CBers
themselves, that CBers are not organized, undisciplined, and are just plain
rowdy---too individualistic to be effectively used. It is an image problem
left over from Smokey & the Bandit. tan fer thar, good buddy, etc.

That is neither here nor there and does not satisfy the argument for or
against CB "emergency" radio. But what IS true, like it or not, Amateur
radio has a proven track record all thru its history of responding
professionally and quickly to emergencies both Federal, State, and local. It
has, thus, been recognized with permanent seats in EOC's nationwide and with
grants from the US Gov't. CB is basically a consumer "toy" that lacks
training and discipline to be an effective, professional radio asset.

J

968. Re: Where was Blapster during Dennis???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-12 23:39:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121211551.854128.273210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It was 0n 80 meters, i've read that a few people on QRZ had heard
him....

>No Toad.....MAN is not my "life". You are. Think about it.

I don't think so Danny

Todd N9OGL
http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi
THE N9OGL BBS

969. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 00:29:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121214586.544800.104110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112379

Kim wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121140124.883635.177820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Kim wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > news:1121121237.032313.275210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> > > > Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> > > > Genessee Cream Ale.
> > >
> . . .
>
> > > I remember the 10-Horse nearly when it was
> > > new, I was up there for a visit. It was stout, but it'd give a > grin
> on 1/2 a one! :)
> >
> > I'm getting thirsty!

> Down here, back in the day when having a few was more regular
> for me, there
> was a "new" ale called Big Mouth Mickey...'least I think that's > what it was.
> Don't remember the brewer. It was cheap, as I recall, and some > pretty
> darned good stuff, taste-wise. We used to buy a 6-pack and
> have leftovers
> after a night at the drive-in, because the stuff was stout
> enough on one or
> two to make ya sit there during a drama movie and grin through > the whole thing...LOL

Never heard of that stuff but the description is one reason I like Sam
Adams or Guinness Stout. One or two is plenty.

> > > > As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
> > > > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> > > > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> > > > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> > > > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> > > > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> > > > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> > > > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> > > > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> > > > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> > > > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> > > > automatically.
> > >

> > > Whatever's the advertising win for the "period" is what is
> > > supposed to be *ahem* healthy.
> >
> > BINGO!!
> >
> > The "old fashioned way" didn't sell as much apparatus as the
> > "new scientific" way.
> > >
> > > > The "old-fashioned way" was
> > > > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> > > > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> > > > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> > > > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> > > > insults.
> > > >
> > > > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> > > > best, right?
> > > >
> > > > As if!
> > >
> > > Heh, adverstisers.
> > >
> > > Yup. If there's no market for something, create one!
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

> When I had my babies, I knew the hospital gave a "care" package > of samples
> of all kinds of stuff when leaving. Well, when some
> girlfriends had their
> kids, a few months before me, it was Huggies (or whatever
> competitor brand
> it was back then--can't remember now) and a baby food that was > out back then
> made by, I think, Beechnut, or some such anyway. When I was
> leaving, it was
> Pampers and Gerber. I asked about that and they said it was
> whoever won the
> "contract" each period that determined whose "stuff" was given > out. Good grief.

Product placement. Inexpensive advertising that reaches the target
audience directly. And it can't hurt.
>
> Same, by the way, with prescription drugs--for a pretty good
> majority of the
> time anyway. Whatever a salesperson is peddaling at the
> doctor's office and
> leaves a better impression (read: more free samples) is what
> the doc pushes for that malady....

Depends on the doc in my experience. But when the drugs are expensive
there's surely a reason to hand out freebies if possible, particularly
for those with less than perfect insurance coverage.
>
> Kim W5TIT

obtw - dunno if I ever explained why I stopped editing your call out,
Kim. (Forgive me if you've seen this before.)

I still think your callsign is "inappropriate" for ham radio. Just my
opinion. But it's not my callsign, it's yours, and FCC handed it out
and some others like it, including one in 6 land that has been held by
someone with the first name "Michael" as far back as 1979.

Then it occurred to me that if I heard you on the air I'd certainly
give you a call and hopefully have a QSO. Which would mean giving your
callsign on the ham bands.

Which meant that, inappropriate or not, I'd use your call on the air
but not on Usenet. And that's quite illogical, I think.

So I stopped editing it out.

73 de Jim, N2EY

970. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 00:59:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121216340.087118.302220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112380

From: "K0HB" on Tues 12 Jul 2005 21:43

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>> By that I don't have too
>
>If you are responsible for proper operation of your station (and those rules
>apply equally above 30MHz as they do below), then you must have a method to
>determine that your station complies with the Rules.

Absolutely TRUE! Has always been such...

> How do you do that at your station?

Irrelevant and a troll-cast.

"Everyone knows" that amateur extras are SO gifted by their
federal "qualifications" that they merely lay hands on the
equipment and they can immediately sense all and determine
the EXACT operating characteristics. Not a problem.

Morsemanship insures immediate compliance to the
RESPONSIBILITES of station technical characteristics...

Or...as an alternate...they can come in here and blame it all
on the evil manufacturers (for not giving them indestructible,
always-in-calibration radios) or the Citizen Banders.
[the CB crowd is the root of all evil to mighty macho
morsemen...caused them to lose that big, wide 11m band
way back 47 yarns ago]

Meanwhile, the pros, who long ago realized that electrons
only obey the natural laws of physics (and not the prideful
accomplished "qualifications" of morsemen's emotions) and
therefore use calibrated equipment, either ready-built or
built-tested-calibrated by themselves, just measure the
radios as best they can. <shrug> Hams will believe as
Holy Gospel the once-made-and-never-repeated-later "reviews"
in QST. ARRL is "on the job" with fine-looking numbers,
oddly coincident with the radio makers advertising in QST.
The league measures all for the hams' benefit...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

971. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 01:02:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121216528.626559.147440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112381

From: b.b. on Jul 12, 7:30 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: b.b. on Jul 9, 12:54 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "Jim Hampton" on Thurs 7 Jul 2005 23:58
>> >> >"b.b." <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > >> > From time to time arguments start over emergency communications. Usually,
>> > >> > one big point is that cell phones provide all the communications needed.
>
>> >> >> Of course, the cell phone is more widely available to the public than
>> >> >> your amateur radio. The cell phone may keep working just fine in
>> >> >> personal or small emergencies. The cell phone often works during the
>> >> >> initial stages of a larger emergency. In other words, the cell phone
>> >> >> can be extremely useful.
>
>> Latest estimate in the Los Angeles TIMES of Saturday morning
>> (9 Jul 05) has OVER 175 MILLION cell phones among the USA
>> public. There are now MORE cell phone subscribers than there
>> are wired-line phone subscribers!
>
>LA Times just has to be wrong. Send a letter to the editor calling him
>a PUTZ!

I did. Put Stebie's name and address at the end... :-)

>> >Welp, that's just the way it is. Not everyone is able to walk around
>> >with their very own amateur radio communicator at their side.
>
>> A few previous PCTA extra stalwarts in here (now gone) used
>> to talk about "shack on a belt" denigration of HTs. :-)
>
>Yep. A "shack on a belt" is a terrible thing to have in an emergency.

Isn't it awful? Why, "everyone knows" that morse code has been
used "forever" in ham radio to "save lives." Ham radio equipment
survives everything that nature (and man) can throw at it while
all other radios "go down."

>> >> In this morning's Los Angeles TIMES, page one, is a story on
>> >> the London bombings stating that the estimated number of
>> >> cell phones in the USA is 192 MILLION now and about a third
>> >> of them have video capability. Network news on TV showed many
>> >> short video clips from the immediate disaster areas in London.
>

>> >They didn't weight for a bunch of overwait ATVers to show up and do
>> >damage assessments? What's the state of emergency comms coming to?
>
>> I'm still waiting to see what sort of Creative Writing the
>> ARRL is going to put on their "news" web page where ham
>> radio "went to the rescue in London" etc., etc. So far, the
>> network news and services have been mum on ham radio used in
>> this latest emergency over there.
>
>It's a secret.

At the Church of St. Hiram such info is classified "top sacred."

>> >> I have yet to see any TV news talking about "amateur radio
>> >> emergency communications" in the London area. <shrug>
>
>> >Jims says the bus was underground and amateur comms would work. Not
>> >even CW.
>
>> No?!? Gosh, kinda puts the lie to "CW gets through when
>> nothing else will..." :-)
>
>The #1 Morse Myth. Aaron Jones, where are you?

Prolly rolling on the floor, laffing his ass off... :-)

>> Ackshully, there's such a thing as a "leaky wave antenna"
>> that is used in Boston's "Big Dig" tunnels, the Los Angeles
>> Subway (yes, I know, strange concept that) system, and many
>> other places. With the right shaping and dimensions, a tunnel
>> can be a sort of waveguide above cutoff for high VHF and up.
>
>Sometimes I get GPS signals indoors.

I wonder what others' position is on that? :-)

>> >> No doubt someone in here will insist that all the video clips
>> >> are "fakes" because "everyone knows that cell phones go down
>> >> in any emergency." :-)
>
>> >Doesn't everyone know that?
>
>> Stebie might say it's a "LIE!" but then he says lots and lots of
>> things are "LIES!" :-)
>
>He's a Liarphobe.

He is SOMETHING, fer sure...

>> >> We can always count on the Amateur Emergency Corps to come
>> >> through with "CW" and the johnny-on-the-spot morsemen.
>
>> >I thought I saw a few frames of a guy in a CAP flight suit...

>
>> With a moustache? :-)
>
>Yikes! I think so...

TiVO never lies. :-)

>> Not to worry...in a couple years Stebie will be claiming He
>> Was There (with/without MARS).
>
>He was at Woodstock and Vietnam at the same time. ;))

LSD is at the heart of his problem?!?

>> >> BTW, the cell sites in the L.A. area are equipped with back-
>> >> up power in case the primary electricity goes out. Central
>> >> offices have had battery back-up since the year dot. AM
>> >> and FM broadcast stations had emergency power generators and
>> >> were functioning okay even if the personnel were a bit shaky.
>
>> >But they all used CW, right?
>
>> Yes, to most of them! "Continuous Wave" carrier with FM. :-)
>
>beep beep.

There you go, sounding all "qualified" again... :-)

>> >> In London and, earlier, Madrid, the government communications
>> >> kept on going through bombings. Gosh, with the way so many in
>> >> here talk, absolutely NOBODY but the hams had any comms at all!
>> >> <shrug> BTW, Yurp has an even higher density of cell phones
>> >> than the USA according to the L.A. TIMES story.
>
>> >All those people without any comms at all?
>
>> Yup...according to the MYTH in here. Whenever an emergency hits,
>> "ALL the infrastructure GOES DOWN, quits, becomes defunct, won't
>> work at all! The only thing that works is the radio amateur's
>> mighty morsemachine radio!"
>
>Good thing amateur radio is a vibrant, growing service.

There ya go! According to Hamdata website for 0015 Z on 13 Jul 05:

721,639 total licensees in USA (exclusive of "club" calls).

There were 728,660 total individual licensees on 13 Jul 03 or a
two-year drop of 7,030 licensees.

In the last year 16,019 NEW licensees but 19,352 expirations.
That's a one-year loss of 3,333.

The total of Technician and Technician Plus class licensees is
349,515 or 48.43 percent of total individual licensees.

BTW, Technician class licensees (294,166) are just a tad
over twice as many General class licensees (146,728).

Seems to my memory that lots of amateur extra morsemen in here
were saying that the Technician class was "going to decline
drastically when their renewal is up." So much for the
"morsetradamuses" in here on predictions...

>> Talk about peyote puffing...those mythmakers are dreaming harder
>> than ever...:-)
>
>Yep. They got on their "dreaming caps."

Homebrewed Reynolds Wrap variety, I'm sure...

Pass the peyote, pardner, I feel another "prediction" percolating.

pop pop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

972. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 01:25:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t4_Ae.2629$dU3.1740@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112382

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> but that is NOT what clicking on FCC.gov get you
> when you click through thier link "Rules and regulations"

Yes, it is.

> I don't know where you got it

I'll give you step-by-step directions.

1) Start at http://www.fcc.gov

2) On the right column of that page, click the "Wireless Telecommunications" link.

3) When that page loads, in the left column click the "Rules and Regulations" link.

4) A new frame comes up. Scroll down and click "97 Amateur radio service"

5) On the page which loads, click the link near the top ending in "...47cfr97_04.html"

6) On the page which loads, scroll down and click the pdf icon for "97.303"

7) Scroll to the bottom of page 605 and read:

"97.303(s) An amateur station having an operator holding a General, Advanced or Amateur Extra Class license may only transmit single sideband, suppressed carrier, (emission type 2K8J3E) upper sideband on the channels 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz, and 5405 kHz. Amateur stations shall ensure that their transmission occupies only the 2.8 kHz centered around each of these frequencies. Transmissions shall not exceed an effective radiated power (e.r.p.) of 50 W PEP. For the purpose of computing e.r.p. the transmitter PEP will be multiplied with the antenna gain relative to a dipole or equivalent calculation in decibels. A half wave dipole antenna will be presumed to have a gain of 0 dBd. Licenses using other antennas must maintain in their records either the manufacturer data on the antenna gain or calculations of the antenna gain. No amateur station may cause harmful interference to stations authorized in the mobile and fixed services; nor is any amateur station protected from interference due to the operation of any such station."

73, de Hans, K0HB

973. Re: Where was Blapster during Dennis???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 01:40:41 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <8c380$42d470f4$4fc453d$2194@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 112383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Please be sure to inform us all of the exact freq .
Some of us may be having withdrawal symptoms.
Ace - WH2T

P.S. The Toad Rules ;-)

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1121211551.854128.273210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It was 0n 80 meters, i've read that a few people on QRZ had heard
> him....
>
>>No Toad.....MAN is not my "life". You are. Think about it.
>
> I don't think so Danny
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi
> THE N9OGL BBS
>

974. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 01:46:04 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <wn_Ae.824$c41.121@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 112384

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121214586.544800.104110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> obtw - dunno if I ever explained why I stopped editing your call out,
> Kim. (Forgive me if you've seen this before.)
>
> I still think your callsign is "inappropriate" for ham radio. Just my
> opinion. But it's not my callsign, it's yours, and FCC handed it out
> and some others like it, including one in 6 land that has been held by
> someone with the first name "Michael" as far back as 1979.
>
> Then it occurred to me that if I heard you on the air I'd certainly
> give you a call and hopefully have a QSO. Which would mean giving your
> callsign on the ham bands.
>
> Which meant that, inappropriate or not, I'd use your call on the air
> but not on Usenet. And that's quite illogical, I think.
>
> So I stopped editing it out.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Well...no explanation needed but, no, I had not seen any reason why you were
not, or whether you were even conscious of the fact that you were not.

Yep, I always wondered what would happen if I gave you a call on the air.
Now, I know. And, I'm glad to see that you would not have ignored me!
Although, there are ways around using my callsign on the air. I am the only
one who has to give it, ya know.

Hmmmm, maybe I shoulda just left well enough alone. By the way, Michael, in
Florida (if that is who you are speaking of) doesn't have that call any
more.

Kim W5TIT

975. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 01:57:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k3p8d11bd6vo4gnbv6kqasbhioq5qdue86@4ax.com>
Source record: 112385

On Tue, 12 Jul 2005 19:33:40 -0400, "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
wrote in <eqYAe.60939$Tt.36084@bignews3.bellsouth.net>:

<snip>
>Now, now Frank,
>
>All of this because of a troll, Few EOC's have an absense of ARES in a
>disaster. In fact most counties I am aware have Amateur presence in their
>EOC centers and are loathe to do without them. I have NEVER seen a "CB"
>desk in an EOC, and that is simply a fact, not based on my like or dislike
>of CB.

Well, let's clear something up here..... not all emergency
communication is routed through an EOC. Communication happens on -all-
levels, not just at some command hub. The fact is that the -majority-
of communication occurs among the -majority- of people. Hams are few.
CBers are plenty. Which one do -you- think gets used more? Regardless,
I found this link in my quick-n-dirty search in response to Dan's
post:

http://www.react4800.org/reports/default.htm

I found several more from other REACT groups that look very similar,
including cooperation with EOC's. Looks like reality is a little
different than your perception of it.

>Fact is, the Homeland Security Department hands out grants to ARRL for
>training emergency operators, traffic handling, and even mobile command
>centers. One such mobile command center, filled with state-of-the-art
>communications and data equipment, went to Aiken County, SC. The cost was
>around $150,000. I can't definitively say, but I have honestly heard of NO
>such grants to CB "clubs", REACT, or any other CB 'emergency' group.

You are right: you -can't- definitely say if they have received any
grants from Homeland Security. So what if they haven't? Does that make
them a non-entity?

> The
>local EOC, if you were to suggest that CBers man radios or handle traffic,
>honestly, would laugh you out of the house! Now, that is NOT to say that
>they wouldn't welcome "legwork" such as taking photos of damaged
>infrastructure, bringing in food/coffee, checking on the elderly, and
>running other errands. For expeditious handling of emergency radio traffic?
>No! At least, around here, it simply doesn't happen. It isn't meant
> to be derisive or condescending: it is simply the way it is!

I think the American Red Cross might disagree with you.

>There is a perception amongst emergency folks, mostly brought on by CBers
>themselves, that CBers are not organized, undisciplined, and are just plain
>rowdy---too individualistic to be effectively used. It is an image problem
>left over from Smokey & the Bandit. tan fer thar, good buddy, etc.

I don't know where you developed this perception, but believe me on
this one.... you are very wrong: http://www.reactintl.org

>That is neither here nor there and does not satisfy the argument for or
>against CB "emergency" radio. But what IS true, like it or not, Amateur
>radio has a proven track record all thru its history of responding
>professionally and quickly to emergencies both Federal, State, and local. It
>has, thus, been recognized with permanent seats in EOC's nationwide and with
>grants from the US Gov't. CB is basically a consumer "toy" that lacks
>training and discipline to be an effective, professional radio asset.

Aw, come on Jerry, you aren't one of those nacho-drooling hams, are
you? I thought at least -you-, after listening to Bert in rrcb for
several years, would recognize the valuable contributions that CB
radio has made, and continues to make, in emergency communications.
Too bad.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

976. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 01:59:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a8t8d1dp3qhq534nb29k642dc6k3jm0bqn@4ax.com>
Source record: 112386

On Tue, 12 Jul 2005 23:03:12 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in
<Q_XAe.9116$aY6.5920@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

>This discussion is about Ham Radio. You seem to want to talk about CB
>radio. How about taking your CB radio talk to a CB radio discussion board?

It's about -both- ham radio and CB radio, but I'll be sure to remember
your request the next time some of the rrap dribble gets crossposted
to rrcb (which is how I got involved in this discussion).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

977. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 02:19:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QS_Ae.4285$BK1.3771@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112390

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote>

> You got it from the GPO website (Government Printing
> Office). [FCC website will link all users to the GPO website]

Wherever it physically (virtually?) resides, it is directly accessable from the http://www.fcc.gov website "Rules and Regulations" link which Todd asserts it is NOT accessable from. He did some half-vast browsing, told me it wasn't accessable, but now has step-by-step directions to reach it.

You can lead a Todd to water......

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

978. Re: Where was Blapster during Dennis???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 02:39:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121222371.301053.108710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Please be sure to inform us all of the exact freq .
>Some of us may be having withdrawal symptoms.

I know one and he will remain nameless.......W4NTI
HEHEHEHEHE

TODD N9OGL

979. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 03:06:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PpudnQcIYJSBGEnfRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112391

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>
>>So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?
>
>
> While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> Genessee Cream Ale.

I love the cream ale, but it can leave me with a headache th e next day. Darnit! Genny Red, on the other hand, has the right balance of flavor, non-complexity, and cost to get a thumbs up from me.

As for Yuengling, I don't want that Porter mixed with anything, thankyouverymuch! Porter or the Amber ale works for me.

> As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
> that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> automatically.

I'd be remiss if I did not add that in Ireland, New and nursing mothers received Guiness Stout even while still "in hospital", as it is considered to have many good health effects. While the more Baptist among us might be aghast at such a thing, I suspect there might just be a bit of wisdom in that.

> The "old-fashioned way" was
> put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> insults.

Quite perverted, that!

> After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> best, right?
>
> As if!

Snort

- Mike KB3EIA -

980. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 03:09:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <woSdnRmO7KdDGEnfRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112392

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>
>
> . . . .
>
>>>>Well done.
>
>
> As if.
>
>
>>>
>>>TNX
>>>
>>>There's always the possibility that I might decide to
>>>...point out...one of those....unpleasant items....
>>>
>>>Who knows?
>>
>>
>> I must say, this thread has just about crapped out, eh guys?
>
>
> It crapped out less than 24 hours after Hans tossed out his post about
> how smelly diesel summarine bug slappers always won their bets on
> ballgame scores.
>
> WTF where you been??

Well, the way my mail reader works, the quotequotequotequote marks
were making me dizzy, so I didn't read the thread for a while. Then I
just had to see what was the big deal.

Polishing turds pretty much fits the thread, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

981. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 03:15:47 UTC
From: RJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DH%Ae.1130773$w62.559051@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 112394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I have again written to Headquarter regarding their stand on CC&R. I was a hot issue with them but now nothing but silence on this very important issue for amateurs.

"Who Needs The ARRL?" <JIm@GuessWho> wrote in message news:daqbi7$5k0$0@pita.alt.net...
> The ARRL is not a necessary institution these days. It is
> self-propagating,
> demands hefty dues and represents less than half of the licensed Amateurs
> in
> the United States.
> Besides, what does one get for the $40.00 per annum (or is it more?) fee?
> A
> glossy magazine rife with advertisements? Admission to 'private' sections
> of
> the ARRL web site? A monthly 'bulletin' sent to your email address? (more
> spam)
> Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if
> the
> FCC has ever given that much credence.
>
> I guess there are still some old warhorses out and about who feel that it
> is
> a mark of distinction to have the ARRL logo on their QSL
> cards...especially
> those who boast of being part of the elite few, the proud, the Life
> Members.
>
> One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better
> things,
> such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
> wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
> Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice
> the
> elitism.
> The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak
> with
> those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
> elitism.
>
> CQ Contest, anyone?
>
>

>

982. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 03:16:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nomdnelSuoIFGknfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112393

Kim wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121121237.032313.275210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
>>Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
>>Genessee Cream Ale.
>>
>
>
> PAH!!!! Genny Cream Ale. Long, long time since I sipped an ice cold one of
> them.

Does Genny Cream make it down to Texas?

> Hey, they still brewing the 10-Horse Ale? Or, is my memory fuzzy and
> Genny Cream IS the 10-Horse Ale.

Nope, I've never had 10HA. Genny cream has a lot of good taste, but the
lager yeast brewed in an ale style makes for other less desirable
effects for some of us.

I remember the 10-Horse nearly when it was
> new, I was up there for a visit. It was stout, but it'd give a grin on 1/2
> a one! :)
>
>
>>As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
>>that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
>>20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
>>us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
>>the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
>>and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
>>"progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
>>pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
>>bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
>>tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
>>processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
>>automatically.
>>
>
>
> Whatever's the advertising win for the "period" is what is supposed to be
> *ahem* healthy.

I always wondered how on earth babies survived before formula came along.....

The natural way first, and if that doesn't work, then formula is a Godsend.

- Mike KB3EIA -

983. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 03:31:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121225499.719307.17260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112387

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:S62dnSzB4NwC1UnfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com...
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules
> >
> > Sure can. Can you? No? I believe that somewhere in the rules it say you
> > should be able to. But then you don't understand the rules.
>
> I don't think it says you have to test it but it does say that you are
> responsible for insuring that it complies. However it would be difficult to

What it says as near as I can find it is that the ham is lieable if it
doesn't comply, I don't anything about having to insure it does etc

> insure that it complies without some modest test equipment.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

984. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 03:34:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121225665.429839.159780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112389

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > but that is NOT what clicking on FCC.gov get you
> > when you click through thier link "Rules and regulations"
>
> Yes, it is.
>
> > I don't know where you got it
>
> I'll give you step-by-step directions.
>
> 1) Start at http://www.fcc.gov
>
> 2) On the right column of that page, click the "Wireless Telecommunications"
> link.
>
> 3) When that page loads, in the left column click the "Rules and Regulations"
> link.
>
> 4) A new frame comes up. Scroll down and click "97 Amateur radio service"
>
> 5) On the page which loads, click the link near the top ending in
> "...47cfr97_04.html"
>
> 6) On the page which loads, scroll down and click the pdf icon for "97.303"
>
> 7) Scroll to the bottom of page 605 and read:

Amusing that at FCC.gov there is a link labeled rules and regulation
anf then you scroll for part 97 and you get something diferent

>
> "97.303(s) An amateur station having an operator holding a General, Advanced or
> Amateur Extra Class license may only transmit single sideband, suppressed
> carrier, (emission type 2K8J3E) upper sideband on the channels 5332 kHz, 5348
> kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz, and 5405 kHz. Amateur stations shall ensure that their
> transmission occupies only the 2.8 kHz centered around each of these
> frequencies. Transmissions shall not exceed an effective radiated power (e.r.p.)
> of 50 W PEP. For the purpose of computing e.r.p. the transmitter PEP will be
> multiplied with the antenna gain relative to a dipole or equivalent calculation
> in decibels. A half wave dipole antenna will be presumed to have a gain of 0
> dBd. Licenses using other antennas must maintain in their records either the
> manufacturer data on the antenna gain or calculations of the antenna gain. No
> amateur station may cause harmful interference to stations authorized in the

> mobile and fixed services; nor is any amateur station protected from
> interference due to the operation of any such station."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

985. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 04:48:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S21Be.4342$BK1.2160@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112395

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> Amusing that at FCC.gov there is a link labeled rules
> and regulation anf then you scroll for part 97 and you
> get something diferent

Nope. Just skip Step 2 in my list. I just tried it, and it takes you to
EXACTLY the same place.

Bottom line of this whole Chinese fire drill....

.... your opening statement in this thread about "no mention" is disproven.
There's a whole paragraph devoted to "mentioning" the topic, placed there 2
years ago in July of 2003 by Docket 02-98.

Good luck on this one now!

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

986. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 08:13:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121242404.629437.60000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112396

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> The ONLY Parts of Title 47 available AT the FCC webiste...(SNIP)

See what being Markie's buddy get's you, Lennie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

987. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 08:24:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121243089.175999.117840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112397

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > ...of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> > mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all...
>
> §97.303(s) is very clear on the topic. You're correct that it doesn't
> specifically call it a "60 metter" band, but it DOES spell out in detail the
> mode restrictions and other technical requirements and restrictions of the
> frequencies I >think< you call "60 metters".

Markie doesn't like getting his nose just RUBBBED in
something...he has to have it slammed, poked, jabbed and nearly ripped
off until the point gets through to him.

See y'all on "60 metters"...! All except Markie and Lennie, that
is!

Steve, K4YZ

988. Re: K4YZ: And You Wonder Why I Consider Myself An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 08:28:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121243292.695916.210620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112398

```
b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > > to obey them.
> > >
> > > BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
> >
> > "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
> >
> > IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> > law.
> >
> > Or are you too ignorant to see that?
>
> Why Steve no answer?

Because Steve's too busy laughing at Markie ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ
```

989. Why Does Mark Morgan Insist On Humiliating Himself In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 08:54:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121244858.900322.302910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112405

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > Answer my question, please...
> > > >
> > > > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > > > with them...???
> > >
> > > well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
> > > radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
> > > signals wether i know the letter codes or not
> >
> > You are responsible for the proper operation of your radio
> > station.
>
> agreed
> >
> > Yaesu (NOT "Yeaszu") is NOT responsible for the emissions of the
> > radio.
>
> agreed but it can none the less be relied on to to make the right
> emissions, indeed I can'r tell if it were not neither can most Hams

No...it CANNOT be "relied on" to make the right emissions.

YOU are required to ensure that it does.

> Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules

Absolutely.

> > E V E R Y RF generating device has the potential of radiating a
> > signal other than the one designed for.
>
> yep it does your point
>
> If your radio was doing how would YOU know
>
> >
> > Ask Lennie.
>
> why you aran't saying something I don't know

There's a LOT of things I can say that you don't know.
```

For example, just about anything about Amateur Radio, the Armed
Forces, healthcare, sociology, and a wealth of other topics.

Huge snip. This punk is beinging to bore me....

> > You for trying to file such a "case" considering the tons of
> > admissions of sexual perversion and wilfull mistruthfulness on your
> > part,
>
> Being homosexaul or bisexual is not ilegal, nor lieing on the Interent
>
> you impling I am a pedophile is and remain Libel (or slander depneding
> on wether the net is viewed as written or spoken)

I didn't state nor imply that you were a pedophile...

I >>>DID<<< state it was dangerous to have children around
you...but that's only becasue you are ignorant, illiterate, and have
OTHER sexual deviations. Pedophilia was not brought up by me, but since
it's obviously on YOUR mind, why IS it on your mind...?!?!

> > Or your "partner" for suggesting such might be possible
> > considering the aforementioned evidence that exists in Google archives.
>
> Huh?

Have your "partner" read it, then write it out on gradeschool
learning tablet in crayone for you, Mikeie.

> again I can free admit to everything you I posted none of which make me
> a pedophile as you imply

I didn't say you were a pedophile.

I said it would be dangerous to leave children in your care.

There's a big difference.

> > I never "granted" you anything. Those are YOUR words...Not mine,
> > you "forger" ! ! !
>
> your words are below agian
>
> you granted or agreed or conceeded or whatever but you said I had the
> rigt below
>
> >
> > > " Actually, I consider you to have MORE rights than I consider
> > > necesssary for myself.
> > >
> > > Being an idiot is one of them. Being a chronic liar is yet
> > > another.
> >
> > Yes, you are an idiot and you are a chronic liar.
>

> and you yourself say I have that right
>
> so in hassling me you admit to being a lair

I'm neither hassling you nor am I a "lair".

You come here voluntarily. You post in a publc forum, ergo you
grant permission for any who care to do so to comment on your posts.

I simply point out your errors, lack of English comprehension and
your volumous pages of lies and deceit.

> > My point is that anyone can surf Google under any of your
> > "KONSTANS" nomme-de-guerre's you've used and see what kind of a lyig
> > creep you are.
>
> what is wrong with using my name online?

"KONSTANS" is not your name.

> you have changed Call sign a few times (4 changes 3 calls by my count)

We're not discussing Amateur Radio callsigns. All of which were
legal administratives procedures, documented in public archives.

> and where I do deny that I do lie
>
> I do reservse to right to point out where you make up things I never
> said, but I am not honest about many facts in my bio. I have my
> reasons, and it is my right.

I've not made up ANYthing about anything you've "said" Markie.

I couldn't have made that stuff up if I wanted to.

> > They can also surf KB9RQZ, "MWMORGAN" and a plethora of othr names
> > you've come and gone under as you bounce from ISP to ISP...
>
> you oppose shoping for better deals on interent?

Sure you can.

It's just been funny on those occassions (like with "mwmorgan")
where you initially tried to "hide" your identity with that creepy
abortion of what you call "English".

> > Speaking of which, I can only hope and pray that you finally
> > ditched that ISP you alleged was charging you $5/hr.
>
> well I still subcribe to their service for use when I travel, since the
> 5$/hour rate came wit the use of a 1-800number to reach them was
> cheaper than paying long distance

Sheeesh....

And my AOL still only costs less than $23 A MONTH.

NetZero, Wal-Mart Connect and others are even less.

> > > making up stuff as a point of fact my partner is female was avoiding it
> > > becuase it wasn't and isn't any of your affair
> >
> > Your sister? Or mom?
>
> more libel

Nope.

Questions.

Notice the "question marks" after each?

> > No self-respecting English speaking woman >>I<< know would
> > tolerate a professed liar like you unless they were in your gene pool
> > or your will.
>
> you have a limited aquantanceship, but then she is in my will of course
> isn't your wifein yours?
>
> as to wether she is self respecting you have never met me now you
> presume to judge a women you have not even read her words?

I am sure if they make a keyboard set up in jibberishese we'll be
hearing from her too,

> > > > So he's "guilty" for imparting MORE knowledge than you are capble
> > > > of processing...
> > >
> > > He imparted no knowledge something you have already agreed
> >
> > No, I have NOT agreed.
> >
> > Hans clearly DID "impart knowledge"...YOU, on the otherhand, were
> > just too stupid to assimilate it.
>
> whcih means you agree he imparted nothing to me, for what ever reason

No.

It means you were too stupid to assimilate it.

> > > > But you STILL couldn't be polite to him and ask for a better
> > > > clarification if you didn't understand...?!?!
> > >
> > > I did ask politely
> > >
> > > I said "Auf Anglish Bitte"
> >
> > Why do you insist on trying to look like you can speak German when
> > you clearly can't even do it in English?

>
> huh?
>
> I am trying to make it look like I speak german?
>
> news to me

I am sure a lot of things are "news to (you)", Mikie.

> Auf Anglish bitte
>
> oder auf Deutche bitte
>
> prehaps you will make more sense in german
>
> If I wrote Je parle Fransse that would I was trying to show people I
> speak french?

Yes. And you mispelled "French" wrong.

If you're going to try and write in that language, can you not so
it correctly?

> > > Stevie YOU insting on means it will not happen if hans asks I will
> > > consider it If Jim Ney asks I will consider it, but the more you go the
> > > less likely i will do it
> > >
> > > I do nothing to please you
> >
> > Sure you do.
>
> reallY then of course what is your beef?

You're a liar. I don't tolerate liars.

> > And who's "Jim Ney"...???
>
> Jim you he is round here

Huh?

Who are you talking about?

There a half dozen "Jim's" who participate in this forum.

> > > > > Hans (nor anyone else) is entitled an apology where no offense was
> > > > > intended with indaicating in some form he was offended
> > > >
> > > > Obviously he was.
> > >
> > > obviously was what?
> > >
> > > auf anglish bitte
> >

> > If you're going to ask a question, Markie, regardless of the
> > language you're trying to abuse, please use the proper punctuation.
>
> why?
>
> >
> > And what part of "offended" do you not understand?
>
> no part but Hans and Hans alone is a proper judge of wether Hans was
> offended, not Stevie, Unless of you are posting as Hans yourself and as
> Stevie
>
> Hans can ask and I'll consider it, Bill Sohl could ask and Id consdier
> it etc, I don't do it for Stevie
>
> >
> > > > And like I said...if you haven't got the cajones to just offer him
> > > > a sincere apology on your own, it's not..well...sincere...
> > >
> > > oh I see what you mean now took you long enough to say what you mean
> > > (if you are saying what you mean now)...(SNIP)
> >
> > Huh?
>
> clear enough slerly than your intent has been
>
> >
> > > Then you have been chasing a wild goose form...(SNIP)
> >
> > A "wild goose form"..?!?!
> >
> > Is that as opposed to a tame goose form?
> >
> > How can you tell?
>
> Don't you know?
>
> >
> > > (UNSNIP)...moment one in this thread since of course If aplogize to
> > > Hans now you will not consider it sincere, so logicly thier is
> > > certianly no point in my doing so
> >
> > Sure there is...
> >
> > Because it's the right thing to do.
>
> according to you No it would not be

Sure it would.

It would show that you've got at least a SMALL spark of civility in you.

So far.....Squat.

Steve, K4YZ

990. Why Does Mark Morgan Lie Prolifically in Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 09:45:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121247959.127299.16650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112403

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> > > > "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...
> > > >
> > > Not my yob to validate your "stories."
> > >
> > What stories?
> >
> Your claims of combat

They weren't "claims".

> > What's a "yob"..?!?!
> >
> job
> >
> How do you follow morse code if a single letter stimies you?

Because I've not met a Morse operator who spells like you do.

> > > > You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> > > > YOU.
> > > >
> > > I'm good either way. Either you did what you claim (and can/will prove
> > > it), or you're a liar.
> > >
> > There's no "either way" to it, Brain.
> > >
> > I did do those things.
> >
> prove it

It's proven. The fact that I held callsigns NNN0VVU, AFA1OQ and
AAT4SA/T.

The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC of
Oki Areawide MARS proves it.

> > I did provided you with corroborating data.
> >
> really?
> >

> Well never provided any to me

Perhaps becasue none of the MARS programs puts their records in
Google Archives.

> Google sereach turned up none
>
> and to you Google is close to gospel

Nope.

It's only an archive. One that's only been viable for the last
8-10 years.

> so logicaly by your standard Henceforth (BYS)

What?

> > And the liar is in your bathroom waiting to peek out at you from
> > the mirror...QUICK! Go catch a peek!
> >
> > > > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > > > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > > > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
> > >
> > > Yes, yes, yes. That's what got this whole thing started. Don't you
> > > remember???
> > >
> > > You claimed that I am a liar.
>
> you are

No, I'm not.

> The Brothers Grimm are Linguists

No...they're dead.

> You said they are not therefore BYS you are a lair

I am not a "lair".

> > You are.
> >
> > It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.
>
> that you are a lair I guess it is

I am not a "lair".

> > > Now the foo is on the other shoot, and you have the very same
> > > credibility problems that >>>you<<< claim that I have.
> >
> > Nope.
> >

> > I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
> > authorizing source and validate.
>
> Nope nothing a google search

Google wasn't the verifying source, Markie.

The various MARS departments were.

And HQ 1stMAW

> > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > have allegedly gone...
>
> You steve chase them away they ander about about and sometimes come
> back

What? Huh?

> >From what I read Unliseneced devices played major roles In Denis
> > Oh...and why you claim ARES is "overblown" and allegedly unable to
> > respond to disasters when they clearly continue to do exactly that.
>
> ARES is over blown in the claims made of the degree of their role

Oh?

According to EVERY agency that has employed their services,
including the Department of State, the American Red Cross, FEMA, The
Salvation Army, and other, you are wrong.

Are you telling me that Mark Morgan knows better than those
agencies?

> > > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
> > >
> > No luck needed, Brain.
> > >
> > The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.
>
> Nope it is in yours your claim you prove em

I have.

> > You just keep dribbling, though...
> > >
> > > > > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > > > > unfortunate one, at that...
> > > >
> > > > Prove your unfounded assertions. Best of Luck.
> > >
> > No luck needed.

> >
> > The proof that what I claimed is just a phone call or letter away.
> > All you ahve to do it "do it".
>
> again Have Stevie Boy
>
> a whole post without spelling it right even once

Wrong.

To wit:

> > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > have allegedly gone...

Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.

Steve, K4YZ

991. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 09:50:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121248211.493671.153140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112411

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > > So what's wrong with being fed bottles of Bud?
> >
> > While I wouldn't turn down a Bud, I much prefer a
> > Yuengling Black & Tan or a Guinness Stout. Or a
> > Genessee Cream Ale.
>
> Beats me, I'm not into suds.

I've begun to develop an appreciation for distilled stuff too. But it's
rare that I have the time...
>
> > As for what is fed to babies,
>
> Ye gawds in all the years I've been lurking in this
> funny-farm I can't
> think of another topic having popped up which
> is a far afield from the code test war. Ever. .
>
I didn't bring it up...

> WEIRD!

Not any weirder than some of what has gone on here.
>
> > it should be remembered
> > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> > automatically.
> >
> > The "old-fashioned way" was
> > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the

> > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> > insults.
>
> Uhhh . . are you "explaining" all this to me James or what?

I'm simply pointing out what happened. I was there.

> If so spare
> me willya, I was raised in those days and so were my kids and
> those
> times spanned more than just a couple decades. Yeah there
> was a bit of
> hardware involved but the process was a no-brainer and it
> wasn't nearly
> as complicated as you've intimated. Tongs? sterilizer pot?
> Bottle rack?
> What? Nonsense. Never had any of 'em.

You didn't. Others did - the apparatus varied over time.

The point was that bottle-feeding was pronounced to be
"better" by the "professionals" and "experts". The "old
fashioned way" was put down, as if there was something
wrong both medically and in a vaguely Freudian way
about it.

> By the way the handiest widgets
> by far were the 'lectric bottle warmers. Didn't have any
> friggin' pacifiers ether.

All sorts of things went into and out of fashion.
>
> > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> > best, right?
> >
> > As if!
>
> Yeah as if. In the first place you weren't there,

I was there. Not raising kids in the '50s and '60s but
do you think I was an unobservant illiterate only child?
I saw what was done and read the popular literature of
the times - and the past.

> I was but never mind
> that little detail. The bottle-feeding days were
> the biggest move
> forward ever in the liberation of women, especially moms.

Ya missed the point. Also the options.

> Finally moms
> didn't have to hover over their wee ones 24/7 and were

> able to do
> "radical" things like trudge off to jobs and
> even short vacations
> without the kid thus getting the ravenous little beasties out
> of their
> lives for awhile for a break for others to feed.

Like that never happened before!

> I sure did my share
> and so did grandparents and others.
>
> The two problems with the current politically correct
> gotta-do-the-boobs drill are (1) it puts the moms
> back into the same
> crippled sorts of lives the cave women lived and
> (2) fathers don't have
> to be bothered with the feeding so they can wander
> off and be Real Men
> again. Bullshit. Lemmee clue you about the biggie
> which has been lost.
> A non-mom reapetedly having the sole responsibility for feeding > an
> infant is by far the second most powerful bonding force there
> is.
>
> I spent thousands of hours in that mode and looking back I
> wouldn't
> have missed it for all the world. My sons-in-laws have no idea > what I'm
> talking about when the topic comes up and the grumpy old ex
> couldn't
> agree more despite the fact that agreeing with me on any
> subject galls
> her no end.

Did the grumpy old ex have a fulltime job when the kids were small?

The point you miss is that it's not an either-or situation.

What was being pushed back in those days was bottles and
formula as being the *best* thing for babies, and the "old fashioned
way" being something to be abandoned as inferior.

What I've seen used by many families is a combination. Baby gets
Mom exclusively for about a week. Then bottles are introduced,
maybe one or two a day, with both formula and "the real thing".
Mom pumps and builds up a reserve supply in the freezer.

Result: Baby quickly gets used to a variety of feeds and feeders.
Dad and the rest of the family get to bond, Mom isn't on call 24/7 and
all the benefits of both ways are available.

> What paper diapers? Don't be silly . .

Cloth has made a comeback.
>
> In closing here James ponder this: You've spent more than
> just a few
> minutes rachet-jawing with my youngest.

Lovely lady, she is.

> Who was 100% bottle-fed as
> often as not by her daddy. What evidence do have to offer which
> indicates that she'd have been better off if she'd been boob-fed
> instead?

Look at the studies comparing the two methods - the old fashioned way
has a slight edge. But that's not the point. The fact is and was that
bottle/formula was pushed as *the best* way for *everyone*, and the
"old fashioned" way put down as inferior. That's a fact. The
"professional experts" were dead wrong.
>
> Watch bottle-feeding come back again and remember where ya
> heard it.

Both methods work. Combined is probably the best of all worlds in most
cases. Depends on the situation and the family.

But it doesn't have to be one or the other 100%.

73 de Jim, N2EY

992. Markie's Obssession With Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 09:51:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121248319.042879.98170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112408

an_old_friend wrote:
> from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM
>
> been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
> evidence of Combat

And where on line do you expect to find that?

> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> > Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow. You.
> > Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
> > cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to stand
> > behind thier already lame game.
>
> Mark Morgan. After not being in the Newsgroup at all in several years
> Stevie was still acting out his obsession with me

No obssession.

Please note the people with whom you were grouped, Mark.

Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.

> BTW he was using anything like proper sentence form

Yep. Absolutley proper. Read a newspaper, Tine or Newsweek once
in a while, Markie.

> > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
>
> Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good

Like a good "what", Markie?

Finish your sentences of you expect to be understood.

> picking on someone not even around at the time

Obviously you were.

Steve, K4YZ

993. Re: Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 10:05:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121249126.733903.305070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112401

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You were a heel. On several levels.
>
> IYO

In my well informed and substantiated opinon, Mark.

> > You perpetuate the act by refusing to acknowledge your impropriety
> > and apologize.
>
> I simply disagree with you

You simpy lie.

> > > > Anyone who reads this forum can comment.
> > >
> > > yes you can but yu can't decide wether or not I may rebuke him
> >
> > I didn't say "may". I said "should".
>
> IYO

In my well informed and substantiated opinon, Mark.

> > > > Hans did you a favor and you treated him rudely.
> > >
> > > Nope
> >
> > Absolutely rudely. You were (as if THIS is news...) a creep.
>
> and he treated me rudely he reaped what he sowed, I call that fair
>
> You disagree

It's not a matter of disagreeing.

It's a matter of fact.

> > > > Period.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Still a creep, Markie.
>
> creep or not I treated him better than he merited, for his actions in

> the Newsgroups as a whole

Nope.

He answered your question...thoroughly, completely, and
accurately.

You acted like a punk.

> > Nope. Public forum. You were an idiot and it's my "right" to
> > comment on it as long as I care to.
>
> which doesn't alter the fact that you simply stiring up trouble

Nope. Commenting on your rudeness.

> never said you can't coment

I didn't say you said that. But you're still rude.

> > > > YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
> > > > person who provided it to you.
> > >
> > > the inforamation was not provided
> >
> > Sure it was. Exactly and concisely.
>
> nope

Absolutely.

> > > then the poster was rebuked mildly on the scale of things
> >
> > YOU had nothing to "rebuke" him on.
>
> I certianly did

No you didn't.

> > > > > > He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No he did not do me a favor so gigo form there
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes he DID do you a favor.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Yep. Several favors, actually.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > The first was to correct your erroneous conception of that
> > allocation as a "band". He did so without calling you names or

> > insulting you.
>
> he DID insult me

How?

> and it is band or so the ARRL calls the freqs between 218 and 220 MHZ

A grouping of frequencies IS a band, Markie. The FCC does not
assign channels in any Amateur allocation EXCEPT one.

> The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website

The ARRL is not the FCC.

> so My assumtion was not errounous

"Assumption"

And yes, it was wrong.

> > Then he gave you the EXACT mode that IS authorized on those
> > frequencies for US use. If you couldn't extrapolate that since SSB is
> > the only mode authorized there that Morse Code is not, that's YOUR
> > problem...
>
> which means he did not answer may question

He answered your July question, though.

> > Lastly, he treated you as if you MIGHT be able to understand it.
> > Perhaps a bit of a stretch knowing what an idiot you really are, but he
> > DID give you the benefit of the doubt.
>
> No has was condesnding and insulting

No.

You PERCIEVE it as "condesnding and insulting" only because you
didn't understand the asnwer.

I understood it fine. I also did NOT see a single "condescending"
or insulting comment in there.

> > > > The ONLY "garbage" here is your cowardly attempts to dodge
> > > > responsibility for your rude behaviour.
> > >
> > > I adknowledge my actions and yours I am indeed respinible for rebuking
> > > him as a smart ###
> >
> > No...
> >
> > You are "respinible" for acting like a spoiled, irresponsible
> > brat.
>

> IYO

In my well informed and substantiated opinion, Mark.

> > > > > > You act illogically. Repeatedly.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Something has to true to be true.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In your eyes perhaps
> > > > >
> > > > > In everyones EXCEPT yours.
> > > >
> > > funny I should that back at you
> >
> > More illogical abuse of the language.
>
> not logical or illogical

Things must be one of the two, Markie.

Which is it?

> > > > > > Witness the following:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
> > > > > > > illogical"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The statement is perfectly logical, and absolutely true, of course it
> > > > > > helps if you don't destroy the context of a statement. "Something has
> > > > > > to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is
> > > > > > logical or illogical " in full
> > > > >
> > > > > It's "illogical" because it says absolutely nothing.
> > > >
> > > False in every sence
> >
> > "Sense"...And no, it's not.
>
> yes it says something, it also says something, and nothing good that
> you can't see it

What is "says" is that Markie Morgan jumbled a bunch of words in a
paragraph and passed it off as "something".

"Something" has yet to be determined.

> > > > It's several multisyllable words in what APPEARS to be a sentence.
> > > >
> > > > You are now, have been and shall continue to be the "court jester"
> > > > of RRAP as long as you refuse to do somehting about your fractured
> > > > English and alleged "dyslexia".

> > >
> > > so
> >
> > So you're even MORE laughable for doing it.
>
> so

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > > And as long as you do continue to refuse to fix the problem, don't
> > > > fuss at people who laugh at you for looking like an idiot...
> > >
> > > that isn't what is happening you are choosing to pick a fight over
> > > nothing BTW I am choosing to in it to a point
> >
> > It is "something"...It's YOU being rude.
>
> IYO sure I am rude

In FACT you are rude, Markie...My opinion has little to do with it.

> Grow up

Learn English. Learn manners. Avoid being seen in the daylight.

Steve, K4YZ

994. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 10:13:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121249611.379080.275600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112412

Michael Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > What's a "turth"..?!?! Some pagan worship idol?
>
>
> Isn't it the amount you are supposed to pay to your church? ;^)

Hey, Mike...

But who can think about paying anything while you're gutting
chickens and sacrificing virgins...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

995. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 10:31:50 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <r46Be.36251$up5.8566@lakeread02>
Source record: 112414

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Tue, 12 Jul 2005 19:33:40 -0400, "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
> wrote in <eqYAe.60939$Tt.36084@bignews3.bellsouth.net>:
>
> <snip>
>>Now, now Frank,
>>
>>All of this because of a troll, Few EOC's have an absense of ARES in a
>>disaster. In fact most counties I am aware have Amateur presence in their
>>EOC centers and are loathe to do without them. I have NEVER seen a "CB"
>>desk in an EOC, and that is simply a fact, not based on my like or dislike
>>of CB.
>
>
> Well, let's clear something up here..... not all emergency
> communication is routed through an EOC. Communication happens on -all-
> levels, not just at some command hub. The fact is that the -majority-
> of communication occurs among the -majority- of people. Hams are few.
> CBers are plenty. Which one do -you- think gets used more? Regardless,
> I found this link in my quick-n-dirty search in response to Dan's
> post:
>
> http://www.react4800.org/reports/default.htm
>
> I found several more from other REACT groups that look very similar,
> including cooperation with EOC's. Looks like reality is a little
> different than your perception of it.
>
>
>>Fact is, the Homeland Security Department hands out grants to ARRL for
>>training emergency operators, traffic handling, and even mobile command
>>centers. One such mobile command center, filled with state-of-the-art
>>communications and data equipment, went to Aiken County, SC. The cost was
>>around $150,000. I can't definitively say, but I have honestly heard of NO
>>such grants to CB "clubs", REACT, or any other CB 'emergency' group.
>
>
> You are right: you -can't- definitely say if they have received any
> grants from Homeland Security. So what if they haven't? Does that make
> them a non-entity?
>
>
>> The

>>local EOC, if you were to suggest that CBers man radios or handle traffic,
>>honestly, would laugh you out of the house! Now, that is NOT to say that
>>they wouldn't welcome "legwork" such as taking photos of damaged
>>infrastructure, bringing in food/coffee, checking on the elderly, and
>>running other errands. For expeditious handling of emergency radio
>>traffic? No! At least, around here, it simply doesn't happen. It isn't
>>meant
>> to be derisive or condescending: it is simply the way it is!
>
>
> I think the American Red Cross might disagree with you.
>
>
>>There is a perception amongst emergency folks, mostly brought on by CBers
>>themselves, that CBers are not organized, undisciplined, and are just
>>plain rowdy---too individualistic to be effectively used. It is an image
>>problem left over from Smokey & the Bandit. tan fer thar, good buddy, etc.
>
>
> I don't know where you developed this perception, but believe me on
> this one.... you are very wrong: http://www.reactintl.org
>
>
>>That is neither here nor there and does not satisfy the argument for or
>>against CB "emergency" radio. But what IS true, like it or not, Amateur
>>radio has a proven track record all thru its history of responding
>>professionally and quickly to emergencies both Federal, State, and local.
>>It has, thus, been recognized with permanent seats in EOC's nationwide and
>>with grants from the US Gov't. CB is basically a consumer "toy" that lacks
>>training and discipline to be an effective, professional radio asset.
>
>
> Aw, come on Jerry, you aren't one of those nacho-drooling hams, are
> you? I thought at least -you-, after listening to Bert in rrcb for
> several years, would recognize the valuable contributions that CB
> radio has made, and continues to make, in emergency communications.
> Too bad.
>
>
I see you are still trying the "quantity v. Quality" argument.

it just won't wash.....
read the facts again:
1. fact, amateur radio has a presence in every EOC across the country
2. fact, There are government grants given to ham radio every year for
system upgrades and disaster training
3. fact, ham radio has long been recognized as an essential part of the
Emergency preparedness backbone for nearly 80 years!
4. fact, no cb organization in the last 20 years has ever received a federal
grant for any reason, has no presense in any EOC and does not have a

probable track record of usefulness in any natural or man made disaster of note in the last 20 years or so.

your "obtuse point" about there being many more cb sets is irrelevant. fact is, only a fraction of those are ever in operation on a daily basis. a lot of them languish (unused) either in vehicles or removed and in storage.

lastly, with regard to the cellular services: they have their own set of problems resulting from too many subscribers and not enough available infrastructure to handle them (hence "dropped calls", poor service and enormous complaints about QOS).

Frankly, you make a claim to having personal experience with distasters (3 of them I believe), yet, you still argue against a service which has done more to save lives than any other (outside of fema or the us military)? this make no logical sense. ah well. you aren't the only one conversant with natural (or man made) disasters. I have served my fair share of "disaster relief" time through 3 major earthquakes, 2 major fires and a major flood and 2 "cat 5" hurricanes. so don't go trying to impress anyone with your so-called expertice. you don't have any (and I freely admit that more training is always a must as one can never be too prepared).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

996. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 10:40:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121251228.614274.10520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112407

Kim wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121214586.544800.104110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > obtw - dunno if I ever explained why I stopped editing your call out,
> > Kim. (Forgive me if you've seen this before.)
> >
> > I still think your callsign is "inappropriate" for ham radio. Just my
> > opinion. But it's not my callsign, it's yours, and FCC handed it out
> > and some others like it, including one in 6 land that has been held by
> > someone with the first name "Michael" as far back as 1979.
> >
> > Then it occurred to me that if I heard you on the air I'd certainly
> > give you a call and hopefully have a QSO. Which would mean giving your
> > callsign on the ham bands.
> >
> > Which meant that, inappropriate or not, I'd use your call on the air
> > but not on Usenet. And that's quite illogical, I think.
> >
> > So I stopped editing it out.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Well...no explanation needed but, no, I had not seen any reason why you were
> not, or whether you were even conscious of the fact that you were not.

OK
>
> Yep, I always wondered what would happen if I gave you a
> call on the air.

I would have responded if possible, of course.

> Now, I know. And, I'm glad to see that you would not have
> ignored me!

Only way would have been if I could not respond.

> Although, there are ways around using my callsign on the air. > I am the only
> one who has to give it, ya know.

Sure - but at some point I'd probably have to give you call just to be
clear about who I was in QSO with.
>
> Hmmmm, maybe I shoulda just left well enough alone.

You mean you should have kept your old call? ;-)

Besides, when have you left well enough alone?

> By the way, Michael, in
> Florida (if that is who you are speaking of) doesn't have that > call any more.

I did not know that! That call moved from CA to MD, last I looked. It
was in my 1979 Callbook and wasn't new then.

Of course "Michael" isn't necessarily a male-only name (remember
actress Michael Learned, who played the mother on "The Waltons"?)

>
> Kim W5TIT

73 de Jim, N2EY

997. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 11:42:04 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <g67Be.890$c41.353@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 112413

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121251228.614274.10520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Kim wrote:
>
> > By the way, Michael, in
> > Florida (if that is who you are speaking of) doesn't have that > call
any more.
>
> I did not know that! That call moved from CA to MD, last I looked. It
> was in my 1979 Callbook and wasn't new then.
>
> Of course "Michael" isn't necessarily a male-only name (remember
> actress Michael Learned, who played the mother on "The Waltons"?)
>
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Heh heh. I have a good friend here, a lady, whose first name is Jimmy. Spelled that way. My mom was going to name me Kimberly Charles. When I learned of this as a teen-ager, I was quite relieved that she had not. However, I now kinda wish she had, because I think it would be kinda neat to have the name "Chuck!"

Michael in Florida and I corresponded about his call. I thought sure you'd seen that news, as I have posted about it a couple of times. Let's see, he had the call "N5TIT" didn't he? I hope my memory is serving me OK. I had wanted the N over the W call, but he had it when I was looking it up. I sent him a note and asked him why a man would have a call like that--let him know I was just curious. He had the called because he wanted to "honor" the Tet Offensive (Viet Nam era) but, when he'd been looking, TET was taken. So, he got the TIT call.

When we corresponded, I'd asked him to let me know if he ever gave it up. When he did, he sent me a note and let me know. I didn't do anything about it, because W5TIT is "my call" and just fits until I end up losing my license or die, whichever shall come first. BUT, he has now changed his call to a "college" call, I believe it was. Isn't his last name something like Hunter, or something? Look up the name on QRZ and you'll see what college...or whatever it is--maybe it's even a sports team, I just don't remember.

By the way, I pulled a little handheld out here a few weeks ago, carried it up to my 33-story office and got on the air with my dad for about 10 minutes. First time in .... 3-4 years I've been on the air and the first time I've even had a radio on in .... 2-3 years.

Kim W5TIT

998. Re: K4YZ: And You Wonder Why I Consider Myself An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 11:51:56 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121255516.593474.299550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112409


```
K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > > > to obey them.
> > > >
> > > > BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > >
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > >
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > >
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > > > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > > > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > > > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
> > >
> > > "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
> > >
> > > IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> > > law.
> > >
> > > Or are you too ignorant to see that?
> >
> > Why Steve no answer?
>
> Because Steve's too busy laughing at Markie ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve got caught again.
```

999. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 11:59:38 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1121255978.760373.207260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112400

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Jul 12, 7:30 pm
> >
> >Good thing amateur radio is a vibrant, growing service.
>
> There ya go! According to Hamdata website for 0015 Z on 13 Jul 05:
>
> 721,639 total licensees in USA (exclusive of "club" calls).
>
> There were 728,660 total individual licensees on 13 Jul 03 or a
> two-year drop of 7,030 licensees.
>
> In the last year 16,019 NEW licensees but 19,352 expirations.
> That's a one-year loss of 3,333.
>
> The total of Technician and Technician Plus class licensees is
> 349,515 or 48.43 percent of total individual licensees.
>
> BTW, Technician class licensees (294,166) are just a tad
> over twice as many General class licensees (146,728).
>
> Seems to my memory that lots of amateur extra morsemen in here
> were saying that the Technician class was "going to decline
> drastically when their renewal is up." So much for the
> "morsetradamuses" in here on predictions...

I think Mark may speak in quatrains, but he's on the wrong side of the
argument for that. I discard the thought.

1000. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 12:53:25 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <nh3ad11p3rdn6lldrf1n15nqnl3sr3t2ob@4ax.com>
Source record: 112416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:27:25 -0500, "Who Needs The ARRL?" <JIm@GuessWho> wrote:

>The ARRL is not a necessary institution these days. It is self-propagating,
>demands hefty dues and represents less than half of the licensed Amateurs in
>the United States.
>Besides, what does one get for the $40.00 per annum (or is it more?) fee? A
>glossy magazine rife with advertisements? Admission to 'private' sections of
>the ARRL web site? A monthly 'bulletin' sent to your email address? (more
>spam)
>Oh, wait. ARRL members get to vote on proposed rule changes, too. As if the
>FCC has ever given that much credence.
>
>I guess there are still some old warhorses out and about who feel that it is
>a mark of distinction to have the ARRL logo on their QSL cards...especially
>those who boast of being part of the elite few, the proud, the Life Members.
>
>One could likewise spend their Amateur Radio $$ on bigger and better things,
>such as paying good money after bad to join the Ten Ten Club, yet another
>wasteful expenditure of hard-earned money. Why not start a Twenty-Twenty
>Club? One could double the dues paid to the Ten Ten Club and claim twice the
>elitism.
>The Twenty-Twenty Club could do as the Ten-Tenners do...refuse to speak with
>those not a member of their snooty group. Double the frequency, double the
>elitism.
>
>CQ Contest, anyone?

Well, other than reading their website or using their books to pass an exam, I know little about them. But it seems they run the show, and are very powerful. Sometimes, they are better off having 2 of these type organizations. But one is better than none.

Vinnie S.

1001. Brian P Burke's Heirs to Suffer Embarrassment. Brian P Burke Is A Chronic Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 13:17:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121260652.198728.55510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112404

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > I'm good either way. Either you did what you claim (and can/will prove
> > > it), or you're a liar.
> >
> > There's no "either way" to it, Brain.
>
> I say there is.

Then that adds to my substantiation of your mistruthfullness...not
that it needed any more.

> > I did do those things.
>
> Maybe. Probably not.

No maybe. No maybe not.

> > I did provided you with corroborating data.
>
> You cannot be beleived. Provide authentic documentation.

You have the details.

What you lack is the testosterone to follow up on them.

> > And the liar is in your bathroom waiting to peek out at you from
> > the mirror...QUICK! Go catch a peek!
>
> Stay out of my bathroom.

I won't be there.

But you need to stay away from that mirror...

> > > > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > > > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > > > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
> > >
> > > Yes, yes, yes. That's what got this whole thing started. Don't you
> > > remember???
> > >
> > > You claimed that I am a liar.
> >
> > You are.

>
> I'm not.

You are.

And you reprove it almost everytime you post.

> > It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.
>
> Funny. You say I lack documentation, so that makes me a liar.

Nope. I said you lack proof...evidence.

The name of your commander or some reference to other sources that
corroborate your claims would be all we'd need.

> Now that you have documentation that I don't have. That makes you a
> liar on several levels.

Nope.

It makes you a coward on several levels for having the answers
in-hand but not following up on them.

Speaking of which...when your wife shops for pants for the man of
the family, whose size does she buy them in...Hers or yours?

> > > Now the foo is on the other shoot, and you have the very same
> > > credibility problems that >>>you<<< claim that I have.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> I say you do.

And you've been proven wrong on that too.

> > I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
> > authorizing source and validate.
>
> Quit asking everyone else to do your legwork.

No legwoek on my part.

All YOU have to do is make a phone call or send an e-mail.

For all the dodging and deciving you've done on the issue, you
could have had the answers a long time ago.

> > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > have allegedly gone...
>
> Please hold your breath.

So you admit that you've lied and have no intention of making good
on your assertions...

> > Oh...and why you claim ARES is "overblown" and allegedly unable to
> > respond to disasters when they clearly continue to do exactly that.
>
> Is taht what I claimed?

"taht's" what you claimed.

> > > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
> > >
> > No luck needed, Brain.
>
> Your luck has run out. You are a lair.

LOL!

You and Lennie both! Sheeesh! You, Lennie, Markie and Toiddie
all in the same club! WhooooHooooooo! ! ! !

> > The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.
>
> The ball's been in your court for months now.

Nope. It passed to you the second I gave you the specifics of
who, what. when, where, the callsigns, etc.

> > You just keep dribbling, though...
>
> You keep fouling people.

No more than they do to themselves.

Such as your many unsubstantiated claims.

> > > > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > > > unfortunate one, at that...
> > >
> > > Prove your unfounded assertions. Best of Luck.
> > >
> > No luck needed.
>
> It must be. You've been unable to provide authentic documentation for
> months now.

But I provided authentic details.

That you are too cowardly to follow up on them is not my problem.

> > The proof that what I claimed is just a phone call or letter away.
> > All you ahve to do it "do it".
>
> So make the call. "Dialing...." Hi!

Don't laugh, Brain.

A call's been made.

You name is known.

> > And the proof that you're a chronic liar can be found in almost
> > any thread wherein you've posted.
>
> And you wonder why people think you're nuts.

I don't wonder about anything so ludicrous.

I've proven you to be the liar I claim you to be, Brain.

You reinforce it with you incessant refusals to substantiate YOUR
claims and to take the information I've given you and act on it.

> > Lord knows there's enough of them to choose from.
>
> Steve, I feel sorry for you.

You should. It's not easy trying to deal with individuals such as
yourself who have the problems you obviously do.

But the people you should REALLY feel sorry for are the family
members who look you in the eye today...for it will be those eyes that
have to look at you in a new light some day in the future...And you'll
have no one to blame but yourself.

Pity that.

Steve, K4YZ

1002. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 13:33:09 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1121261589.384650.102680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> John S. wrote:
> > beerbarrel wrote:
> > > On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:27:25 -0500, "Who Needs The ARRL?"
> > > <JIm@GuessWho> wrote:
> > Imperfect though it is, the ARRL is the only voice the ham hobby has
> > these days. It would be a very poor idea to do away with the ARRL
> > without having something better to replace it.
> >
> > The ARRL does need to refocus it's efforts toward attracting newer
> > younger members to the hobby if it is to survive long term. The hobby
> > won't survive if its quasi-regulator continues to do treat amateur
> > radio as though it operates in the heyday that was the 1950's.
>
> and it needs to avoid pissing those folks as it reaches out to them

I doubt that the ARRL will piss off the folks that it reaches out to.
Sadly it will probably continue to bore those potential new members.
The ARRL may (and should) piss off the complacent members who cling to
the idea that out of date license tests should continue to be required
because the old-timers had to take them.

1003. Re: Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 13:35:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oM8Be.2861$dU3.2232@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112418

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> he DID insult me
>

I'm not sure which words were insults, but if you'll point out the specific words I'll be happy to consider withdrawing them.

You asked a question (was CW allowed) and I pointed out that the only allowed emission type (by FCC rule) is 2K8J3E. I mistakenly assumed that you'd know that ruled out CW.

You've since pointed out that you don't feel obligated to understand FCC regulations, but since I don't know which specific ones you understand I'll assume in the future that you don't understand any of them. That will require me to give very detailed explanations, which I hope will not also be insulting. If I inadvertantly use an insulting word, please point out those specific words.

Later in a new thread, when you had difficulty verifying that information, I gave you step-by-step directions to the FCC language on the web.

If you are insulted by that assistance, then that's your problem --- not mine.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

1004. F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 15:22:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121268142.991858.31580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112402

>From the Arizona Republic online:

Quote: A wake-up call from Luke's jets

Jun. 23, 2005 12:00 AM

"Question of the day for Luke Air Force Base: Whom do we thank for the morning air show?

Last Wednesday, at precisely 9:11 a.m., a tight formation of four F-16 jets made a low pass over Arrowhead Mall, continuing west over Bell Road at approximately 500 feet. Imagine our good fortune!

Do the Tom Cruise-wannabes feel we need this wake-up call, or were they trying to impress the cashiers at Mervyns' early-bird special?

Any response would be appreciated."

****

The reply is classic, and a testament to the professionalism and heroism of the folks in the armed services. The response:

Quote: Regarding "A wake-up call from Luke's jets" (Letters, Thursday):

On June 15, at precisely 9:12 a.m., a perfectly timed four-ship of F-16s from the 63rd Fighter Squadron at Luke Air Force Base flew over the grave of Capt Jeremy Fresques.

Capt. Fresques was an Air Force officer who was previously stationed at Luke Air Force Base and was killed in Iraq on May 30, Memorial Day.

At 9 a.m. on June 15, his family and friends gathered at Sunland Memorial Park in Sun City to mourn the loss of a husband, son and friend.

Based on the letter writer's recount of the flyby, and because of the jet noise, I'm sure you didn't hear the 21-gun salute, the playing of taps, or my words to the widow and parents of Capt. Fresques as I gave them their son's flag on behalf of the president of the United States and all those veterans and servicemen and women who understand the sacrifices they have endured.

A four-ship flyby is a display of respect the Air Force pays to those who give their lives in defense of freedom. We are professional aviators and take our jobs seriously, and on June 15 what the letter writer witnessed was four officers lining up to pay their ultimate respects.

The letter writer asks, "Whom do we thank for the morning air show?"

The 56th Fighter Wing will call for you, and forward your thanks to the widow and parents of Capt. Fresques, and thank them for you, for it was in their honor that my pilots flew the most honorable formation of their lives.

Lt. Col. Scott Pleus
CO 63rd Fighter Squadron
Luke Air Force Base

1005. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 15:53:16 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <db3dde$hi2$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112420

An interesting story, indeed, but this isn't rec.aviation.military

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121268142.991858.31580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >From the Arizona Republic online:
>
> Quote: A wake-up call from Luke's jets
>

1006. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 17:20:58 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <db3ihr$16bc$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112419

K4YZ wrote:
>>From the Arizona Republic online:
>
> Quote: A wake-up call from Luke's jets
>
> Jun. 23, 2005 12:00 AM
>
> "Question of the day for Luke Air Force Base: Whom do we thank for the
> morning air show?
>
> Last Wednesday, at precisely 9:11 a.m., a tight formation of four
> F-16 jets made a low pass over Arrowhead Mall, continuing west over
> Bell Road at approximately 500 feet. Imagine our good fortune!
>
> Do the Tom Cruise-wannabes feel we need this wake-up call, or were they
> trying to impress the cashiers at Mervyns' early-bird special?
>
> Any response would be appreciated."
>
> **********
>
> The reply is classic, and a testament to the professionalism and
> heroism of the folks in the armed services. The response:
>
> Quote: Regarding "A wake-up call from Luke's jets" (Letters, Thursday):
>
> On June 15, at precisely 9:12 a.m., a perfectly timed four-ship of
> F-16s from the 63rd Fighter Squadron at Luke Air Force Base flew over
> the grave of Capt Jeremy Fresques.
>
> Capt. Fresques was an Air Force officer who was previously stationed at
> Luke Air Force Base and was killed in Iraq on May 30, Memorial Day.
>
> At 9 a.m. on June 15, his family and friends gathered at Sunland
> Memorial Park in Sun City to mourn the loss of a husband, son and
> friend.
>
> Based on the letter writer's recount of the flyby, and because of the
> jet noise, I'm sure you didn't hear the 21-gun salute, the playing of
> taps, or my words to the widow and parents of Capt. Fresques as I gave
> them their son's flag on behalf of the president of the United States
> and all those veterans and servicemen and women who understand the
> sacrifices they have endured.
>

> A four-ship flyby is a display of respect the Air Force pays to those
> who give their lives in defense of freedom. We are professional
> aviators and take our jobs seriously, and on June 15 what the letter
> writer witnessed was four officers lining up to pay their ultimate
> respects.
>
> The letter writer asks, "Whom do we thank for the morning air show?"
>
> The 56th Fighter Wing will call for you, and forward your thanks to the
> widow and parents of Capt. Fresques, and thank them for you, for it was
> in their honor that my pilots flew the most honorable formation of
> their lives.

On a somewhat related note, some years ago I was riding my motorcycle along an abandoned railway near Orviston PA. The line was once used to ferry coal from the coal fields near Snoe Shoe PA, and was abandoned sometime in the 50's as the WWII demand for coal had obviously eased. Lots of tunnels, and a lot of bridges. It makes for a great ride in the mountains. The only part that is a little "interesting" is that crossing the bridges demands control and concentration, as you are riding on the railroad ties with no guardrails, and some of the drops are over 100 feet to the river below. No place for sissies.

I was just coming out of a tunnel that had about 50 feet of open space before starting across one of those bridges.

When along comes a jet, full afterburner, supersonic, and not too far above my head. On his tail was another, doing the same. The area is as much wilderness as you can get in PA, so the AF sometimes flew excercises there.

It was a life flashing before my eyes moment, and I damm near pissed myself before I figured out what happened. I hopped off the bike, and shook for a couple minutes to wear off the adrenaline.

Thank whoever that I was not on the bridge. If that were the case, I would almost certainly *not* be here to annoy Len!

Even so, I'll thank all the pilots. I consider it a privelige to see the warbirds - anytime.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1007. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 17:59:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cEcBe.4506$BK1.3259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112410

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> When along comes a jet, full afterburner, supersonic, and not too far above my
> head. On his tail was another, doing the same. The area is as much wilderness
> as you can get in PA, so the AF sometimes flew excercises there.
>

Skipper of one of the ships I served in was Capt. Deke "Guinea One" Bordone who came up through the "Top Gun" F4 (Tomcat) environment. While he'd been promoted out of being an active driver (O6), he still had a lot of connections in the carrier air wings.
Often when we were operating in support a carrier battle group, he'd call down to radio central and ask us to bring up "Land/Launch" Nestor circuit and patch it to the bridge wing. (Land/Launch is the "air control" channel for carrier operations.)

That was everyones signal to get out on the main deck and put your fingers in your ears and look to the sky, because he'd then coordinate fake strafing/bombing runs on his own ship.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1008. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 18:00:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121277615.324463.166020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112406

Kim wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121173186.029550.234250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Good grief. Take a breath there. I really don't know if you're being gruff
> with a reason or if you are somehow insulted by Jim's attempt to caution at
> what "experts" may say at any given time.

Jim went and punched my buttons again, he's really good at it, it's all
HIS fault dammit . . . !

> You seem way too defensive,

ME? Defensive?! You gotta be kiddding! I've been called a lotta things
over a lot a lot of years by a lot of people but that's a new one.

> I think bottle feeding is the preferred mode today,
> isn't it?

Definitely not from my vantage point in my particular world. I'm
responding to Kim here too since her comments on the subject are very
similar to yours. Problem is that being "retired" for a couple years
drove me batty so I went out and picked up an industrial machine
design/build project. It's coming together fast at this point and I
don't have much time now to go keyboarding here so I need to keep this
as brief as possible and get back to work.

I have three daughters 41, 35 and 33. All are professionals in various
fields. The eldest is single and in Louisville, no kids, the middle one
is local, has four kids and is a stay-at-home mom. The youngest is also
local, has a 3-year-old daughter and works part time out of her home
office.

The two locals are part of a mob of thirtysomethings, maybe 12 all
told, family, classmates, etc. It's basically a sorority. Almost all of
them are educated and they all live in better-than-average
circumstances here in the suburbs of the northeast corridor. I'm not
certain on this point but I don't know of any who are not in their own
homes. This not Texas or Michigan which brings up the possibility of
some differences in demographics plus I'm not really plugged into what
"average" actually means around here. Very few of these women work full
time. Some peck at part-time jobs. About 2/3 of them are stay-at-home
moms.

Several times a year they all clump together for some birthday or
holiday gathering and I'm usually part of it and is where I make my

"observations". Not to ignore the fact that I also have gobs of hands-on experience from the "bad old days" Jim cited when the marketeers supposedly glorified bottle-feeding and sold it. Which I dispute.

I never counted heads but this mob has a virtual army of under-12 type kids. Breast feeding amongst this bunch is overwhelmingly prevails. Once in awhile a bottle has shown up here and there but I don't know if it was "pumped" or if it was Enfimile . . (sp? Been a LONG time!). So that's where I'm coming from for whatever it might be worth.

Dee wrote:

"Well when I was planning to have children, I sat down and evaluated the alternatives based on my lifestyle and the technology available to me. I ended up working full time and choosing breast feeding for both children. I didn't care one bit for historical precedence or political correctness".

Exactly the way I'd expect an engineer to deal with the matter.

Yee-haw!

> Kim W5TIT

w3rv

1009. Re: Where was Blapster during Dennis???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 18:26:31 UTC
From: Harry The Bagelmaker <roveisaliar@drblcck400.com>
Message-ID: <5948a$42d55cc8$d1cc7cf5$19245@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 112422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:8c380$42d470f4$4fc453d$2194@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> Please be sure to inform us all of the exact freq .
> Some of us may be having withdrawal symptoms.
> Ace - WH2T

Try 14.313 on the weekends.
The screwball TV repairman from Evansville has been getting
on more of late and pontification with is mentally unbalanced
monologues of late. (just like he used to do years ago)

I guess this means he ain't been
takin his Luvox or Paxil as
he should be again....

> P.S. The Toad Rules ;-)

But is it true if you lick his back you'll see psychedelic colors?

1010. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 18:36:12 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121279772.439107.214120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

what's your problem??? Was you molested when you were younger, or
perhaps it's due to an very small penis, so you have to vent your hate
onto other people because of your small penis.
perhaps you the one gay and you can't admit to yourself that your gay
and you have a small penis not to mention you were molested as a small
child.

1011. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 21:23:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <i3vad158i0c93m6sjp5ctua2r22opn6bb3@4ax.com>
Source record: 112417

On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 03:31:50 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <r46Be.36251$up5.8566@lakeread02>:

<snip>
>I see you are still trying the "quantity v. Quality" argument.

Each has it's advantages and disadvantages. But what you -still- don't
seem to understand that a disaster usually affects a great -quantity-
of people, not just a few -quality- people.

>it just won't wash.....
>read the facts again:
>1. fact, amateur radio has a presence in every EOC across the country

They also have a presence in REACT. If CB radio was as useless as you
claim, why would the ARRL and American Red Cross consider the service
to be useful for emergency communications? Or are you going to claim
that you are smarter than the ARRL and the Red Cross?

>2. fact, There are government grants given to ham radio every year for
>system upgrades and disaster training

Fact: the government hands out grants to anyone who has a lobbyist in
congress. Another fact: REACT is a volunteer, self-supported org that
apparently doesn't need government handouts.

>3. fact, ham radio has long been recognized as an essential part of the
>Emergency preparedness backbone for nearly 80 years!

Essential? I don't think so, Eric.

>4. fact, no cb organization in the last 20 years has ever received a federal
>grant for any reason,

Is it all about the money?

> has no presense in any EOC

Wrong. REACT has had a role -many- times in EOC operations during
emergencies, or didn't you read that link I cited in another post?

> and does not have a
>probable track record of usefulness in any natural or man made disaster of
>note in the last 20 years or so.

Wrong again, Eric. Instead of making statements based on conjecture
and your own prejudicial bias against CB radio, why don't you spend a
little time to actually -learn- something about REACT, its operation
and its history.

>your "obtuse point" about there being many more cb sets is irrelevant.

Once again, why is it irrelevant?

> fact
>is, only a fraction of those are ever in operation on a daily basis. a lot
>of them languish (unused) either in vehicles or removed and in storage.

It doesn't take very long to hook up a CB radio. And it doesn't take a
ham to do it, either.

>lastly, with regard to the cellular services: they have their own set of
>problems resulting from too many subscribers and not enough available
>infrastructure to handle them (hence "dropped calls", poor service and
>enormous complaints about QOS).

I don't know why you are arguing about cell-phones -- I agree that
they are mostly useless in an emergency. Or are you just arguing for
the sake of arguing?

>Frankly, you make a claim to having personal experience with distasters (3
>of them I believe), yet, you still argue against a service which has done
>more to save lives than any other (outside of fema or the us military)?

HA! You are -=WAY=- out of the loop on THAT one, Eric. There are MANY
organizations that have done more to save lives than ham radio, not
the least of which is the Red Cross, an organization that works very
closely with REACT. And REACT works on a daily basis, monitoring for
emergencies both large and small 24/7/365. I could easily make a list
of organizations that have done more to save lives than ARRL, and it
would be a rather long list to be sure.

But once again, it's not a matter of any organization as much as a
means of communication. CB radios are common, cheap, easy to use, and
are the most readily available means of communication to the average
Joe when phone systems fail. Those are things that can -never- be said
about ham radio. Ham radio might consider itself to be the "backbone"
of emergency comm (even though the PSP really holds that title), but
the backbone just stands there like a stick in the mud unless there's
something for it to support. And since AMATEUR radio is really the
"backbone backup" (because PROFESSIONALS handle the primary means of
communications), the hams are usually just sticks in the mud.

>this make no logical sense. ah well. you aren't the only one conversant
>with natural (or man made) disasters. I have served my fair share of
>"disaster relief" time through 3 major earthquakes, 2 major fires and a
>major flood and 2 "cat 5" hurricanes. so don't go trying to impress anyone
>with your so-called expertice.

Not "expertice", Eric -- my "experiences". Things I have learned
first-hand. And if -you- had any then you wouldn't be making some of
these horrendously ignorant arguments.

> you don't have any (and I freely admit that
>more training is always a must as one can never be too prepared).

Then get a little training with REACT -- that is, if you can supress
your contempt and suck up your ego long enough to speak objectively
with CBers (many of which are also hams, the traitors!).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1012. Re: New BBS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 22:15:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121292926.080456.139450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello Again!!!!!!!!

The N9OGL BULLETIN BOARD has been updated with a number of forums to
check out

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

THE N9OGL BBS

http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi

1013. New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-13 22:29:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121293758.103980.293320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112421

```
>From Steve Wed Jul 13 12:57:28 2005
X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.208; Wed, 13 Jul
2005 12:57:53 -0700
X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
Return-Path: <k4yz@aol.com>
Authentication-Results: mta177.mail.re2.yahoo.com from=aol.com;
domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
mta177.mail.re2.yahoo.com with SMTP; Wed, 13 Jul 2005 12:57:53 -0700
Received: from bla163.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 33F621A873 for
<toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Wed, 13 Jul 2005 12:57:28 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID:
<30809456.1121284643199.JavaMail.root@bla163.prod.google.com>
From: "Steve" <k4yz@aol.com> Add to Address Book
To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: NEW BBS
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Wed, 13 Jul 2005 12:57:28 -0700 (PDT)
Content-Length: 352
Steve has sent you a link to a weblog:

Stop writing to me and harrassing me,
or else I will contact your new ISP
and complain. Do you really want to
lose another ISP, Toad?

God, you are stupid.

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: NEW BBS
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/07/new-bbs.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/
```

1014. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 01:21:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121304076.669614.311760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112424

Kim wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121173186.029550.234250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > WEIRD!
> >
>
> Oh, I think you could find some pretty darned furhter
> off-topic discussions than this.

Heck yes.

> In fact, bottle feeding frees up a woman to pick up a mic or
> slam a fist, as it were.

Or pick up a sodder arn...

> > > it should be remembered
> > > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> > > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> > > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> > > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> > > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> > > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> > > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> > > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> > > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> > > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> > > automatically.
> > >
> > > The "old-fashioned way" was
> > > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> > > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> > > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> > > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> > > insults.
> >
> > Uhhh . . are you "explaining" all this to me James or what? If so spare
> > me willya, I was raised in those days and so were my kids and those
> > times spanned more than just a couple decades. Yeah there was a bit of
> > hardware involved but the process was a no-brainer and it wasn't nearly
> > as complicated as you've intimated. Tongs? sterilizer pot? Bottle rack?
> > What? Nonsense. Never had any of 'em. By the way the handiest widgets
> > by far were the 'lectric bottle warmers. Didn't have any friggin'

> > pacifiers ether.
> >
>
> I had pacifiers in my generation of child-rearing, but I
> didn't/don't
> believe in them and took it away from my granddaughter
> as quick as I could
> (meaning as soon as I had her enough to literally keep
> her off it until she
> didn't know what one was any more when her Mom went to
> give it to her, heh
> heh).

Pacifier's better than a thumb.

> Actually, Jim wasn't
> "explaining a thing to you." What Jim is doing is conversing.
> Get the
> idea? Plus, you ask him that in your "tone," then proceed to
> get as
> involved with what you are relaying as Jim did. So, are
> you "explaining"
> also and, if so, let's agree that for the sake of this topic in > this thread,
> 'splainin' might be a good thing...
>
> > > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> > > best, right?
> > >
> > > As if!
> >
> > Yeah as if. In the first place you weren't there, I was but
> > never mind
> > that little detail. The bottle-feeding days were the biggest > > move
> > forward ever in the liberation of women, especially moms.
> > Finally moms
> > didn't have to hover over their wee ones 24/7 and were able
> > to do
> > "radical" things like trudge off to jobs and even short
> > vacations
> > without the kid thus getting the ravenous little beasties out > > of their
> > lives for awhile for a break for others to feed. I sure did
> > my share and so did grandparents and others.

Of course - but that did not mean there was no longer any
need to do it the "old fashioned way".
>
> Good grief. Take a breath there. I really don't know if
> you're being gruff
> with a reason or if you are somehow insulted by Jim's attempt
> to caution at
> what "experts" may say at any given time. I think the more

> demonstrable
> part of Jim's post was that it is the advertising that drives
> what is "best"
> for...well, anything. Here, it happens to be whether breast
> feeding or
> bottle feeding is good/better for people.

That's part of it, Kim, and well said! But there's more.

I think a lot of the "professionals" and "experts" really
bought into the idea that bottles/formula were better, and
that the "old fashioned way" was "obsolete". Not because of
$$ or advertising but because they thought "newer is better"
applies to everything.

> > The two problems with the current politically correct
> > gotta-do-the-boobs drill are (1) it puts the moms back into
> > the same
> > crippled sorts of lives the cave women lived and (2) fathers > > don't have
> > to be bothered with the feeding so they can wander off and be > > Real Men
> > again. Bullshit. Lemmee clue you about the biggie which has
> > been lost.
> > A non-mom reapetedly having the sole responsibility for
> > feeding an
> > infant is by far the second most powerful bonding force there > > is.

> Ah. So, this is going to come down to some argument for or
> against the
> "politically correct" angle, for you. Let me give you a clue: > moms who
> "gotta-do-the-boobs" drill are quite capable of doing the boobs > AND all that
> you mention above. I bottle fed my first baby and breast fed
> my second. I
> got to try both and enjoyed both. Neither method prohibited me > from doing
> anything and I didn't feel cave-like at all, as your
> neandrathalian attitude
> suggests. One thing that pretty much cannot be argued is that, > in a healthy
> environment where mom is healthy, breast milk is far superior to
> manufactured formula.

Also less expensive, requires less preparation, and conveys some
immunities.

> Given that, dads are not at all locked
> out of the
> experience of feeding, as breast milk can be pumped into
> bottles and fed to the baby.

By *anyone*!

> Moms are free to pump their breasts at work, saving the milk for
> bottle feeding at the nursery, or by dad, or by gramma, or
> whomever.
> Feeding a baby breast milk does mean that there are any cave

> relationships that have to be endured.

And there's no reason a baby can't have both formula and the real
thing, from bottles or from Mom. Another tool in the toolbox, as it
were.

> A non-mom can repeatedly have the sole responsibility for
> feeding an infant
> AND experience the most powerful bonding force there is, simply > by feeding
> the baby with breast milk through a bottle.

It should be remembered that formula was not invented with the idea
that it would "liberate" moms.

> > I spent thousands of hours in that mode and looking back I
> > wouldn't
> > have missed it for all the world. My sons-in-laws have no
> > idea what I'm
> > talking about when the topic comes up

That's really sad.

> > and the grumpy old ex couldn't
> > agree more despite the fact that agreeing with me on any
> > subject galls
> > her no end.

> You are a dedicated dad and that is admirable in terms of the
> men in your
> generation who wanted nothing to do with the babyhood of their > children. My
> childrens' father; nor any of my gal-friends husbands, wanted
> to change
> diapers, feed, bathe, or even watch their child alone.

They missed out big-time, then.

> We dragged the kids
> everywhere, were expected to maintain the home, get the food on > the table
> reliably, keep the "kid" quiet and, not only no, but hell no,
> dad wasn't about to watch a baby while mom just took a break.

gawd - I dunno any women around here that would put up with that!

> > What paper diapers? Don't be silly . .
> >
> > In closing here James ponder this: You've spent more than
> > just a few
> > minutes rachet-jawing with my youngest. Who was 100% bottle-
> > fed as
> > often as not by her daddy. What evidence do have to offer
> > which
> > indicates that she'd have been better off if she'd been boob-> > fed instead?
> >
> > Watch bottle-feeding come back again and remember where ya

> > heard it.

> You seem way too defensive, as though Jim was shunning one
> style of feeding
> over another. I think what Jim was shunning is the readiness
> of people to
> believe so-called experts, when the experts driving mechanism
> is advertising or influence, etc.

You got it. I wish I had some of the books and articles from
those days that pushed bottle/formula as the *only* way for
a good parent to feed a child.

I recall cases where babies were allergic to cow's milk, and
the family had the extra cost and complexity of getting goat's
milk for the formula. The idea of using the "old fashioned way" wasn't
even considered.

And yet despite all that repression, the "old fashioned way" was reborn
in the 1960s from near-oblivion, and has increased in popularity ever
since.

Of course there's a direct connection between that example and
the "newer is always better" mindset in many technological areas...

> I think bottle feeding is the preferred mode today,
> isn't it? It doesn't have to "come back," because it hasn't
> gone anywhere
> in the past 20 years, or so. Most women I know who are having > babies these
> days are bottle feeding--though many more than used to are
> feeding breast
> milk, pumped while they are at breaks at work and refrigerating > the milk for future
use.

What I see the most of is "mixed mode". Some Mom, some formula, some
right from the source, some in bottles. If you see someone
feeding a baby with a bottle, the bottle's contents may or may
not be "formula".

And we're only talking about 6 months to a year before the kid is using
a sippycup or similar device.

> Kim W5TIT

73 de Jim, N2EY

1015. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 01:40:41 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <tojBe.1020$c41.374@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 112425

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1121277615.324463.166020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Kim wrote:
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1121173186.029550.234250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Good grief. Take a breath there. I really don't know if you're being
gruff
> > with a reason or if you are somehow insulted by Jim's attempt to caution
at
> > what "experts" may say at any given time.
>
> Jim went and punched my buttons again, he's really good at it, it's all
> HIS fault dammit . . . !
>

heh heh. Can I actually begin to believe that I am having a "fun" exchange
with you, Mr. Kelly?

> > You seem way too defensive,
>
> ME? Defensive?! You gotta be kiddding! I've been called a lotta things
> over a lot a lot of years by a lot of people but that's a new one.
>

Well, after all, you are discoursing with me, and it really did seem just a
little on the defensive side. Maybe a misinterpretation on my part.

> > I think bottle feeding is the preferred mode today,
> > isn't it?
>
> Definitely not from my vantage point in my particular world. I'm
> responding to Kim here too since her comments on the subject are very
> similar to yours. Problem is that being "retired" for a couple years
> drove me batty so I went out and picked up an industrial machine
> design/build project. It's coming together fast at this point and I
> don't have much time now to go keyboarding here so I need to keep this
> as brief as possible and get back to work.
>

Ah. Don't get caught outside the door (ummm, you'd have to read the book,
"Prey" to understand). <GRIN> I think you meant you were responding to
Dee, too, as you are directly responding to my comments--er, this is Kim
here--above. I can only wish I'll get to retire and get to play a lot at
ham radio before the company I work for ends up sending all our work

"elsewhere" for other people to do.

> I have three daughters 41, 35 and 33. All are professionals in various
> fields. The eldest is single and in Louisville, no kids, the middle one
> is local, has four kids and is a stay-at-home mom. The youngest is also
> local, has a 3-year-old daughter and works part time out of her home
> office.
>

Sounds like a cut across the average for even around here, I'd say. I am 50 and I've resembled any one of them (well, except for the single part--I never got to be "single" for any real length of time. Married pretty much outta HS, divorced three years later, a year after that began a 14-year relationship with my long-time, and still, friend; now married 16 years and this is it. No more.

> The two locals are part of a mob of thirtysomethings, maybe 12 all
> told, family, classmates, etc. It's basically a sorority.

I understand. This sounds kind of the way my younger sister, now about 45 or so, lived her life. Always had a clutch of girlfriends to "run around" with and play the suburban mom/wife with. I was witness to this for a couple of days and ran screaming away from it. Moms who just seemed to love getting up, loading x-number of kids into their vehicle as the drive-to-school mom for that week, run around and do errands--some even for the other moms--, etc., etc. I mean, I have an office job and have even lived in a cubicle for an extended period of time, but PUHLEEZE put the brakes on when it comes to that kind of happiness....LOL

> Almost all of
> them are educated and they all live in better-than-average
> circumstances here in the suburbs of the northeast corridor. I'm not
> certain on this point but I don't know of any who are not in their own
> homes. This not Texas or Michigan which brings up the possibility of
> some differences in demographics plus I'm not really plugged into what
> "average" actually means around here. Very few of these women work full
> time. Some peck at part-time jobs. About 2/3 of them are stay-at-home
> moms.
>

Believe it or not, you are describing pretty darned average for around here. I'll never forget mid-chewing on a tuna sandwich a couple of years ago as the 22-year old on the other side of the cubicle wall was having a melt-down because her childrens' "nanny" and house maid were embroiled in a real argument over whose responsibility it actually was to be sure the baby was ready for mum and pop in the afternoons when they get home from work!!!!! WHAT???!!!

> Several times a year they all clump together for some birthday or
> holiday gathering and I'm usually part of it and is where I make my
> "observations". Not to ignore the fact that I also have gobs of
> hands-on experience from the "bad old days" Jim cited when the

> marketeers supposedly glorified bottle-feeding and sold it. Which I
> dispute.
>

Well, Jim? Have you anything to say about whether you were actually making it the bad ol days, or whether you were drawing a picture of how "we" like to listen to and believe the, uh, experts, when they really may only be spewing what gets them the buck that particular day? I think it's the latter and that Mr. Kelly is misunderstanding your intention. But, even with an intention such as he may believe, this has made for an interesting topic in this here amateur radio newsgroup...heh heh

> I never counted heads but this mob has a virtual army of under-12 type
> kids. Breast feeding amongst this bunch is overwhelmingly prevails.
> Once in awhile a bottle has shown up here and there but I don't know if
> it was "pumped" or if it was Enfimile . . (sp? Been a LONG time!). So
> that's where I'm coming from for whatever it might be worth.
>

Well, imagine the lifestyle you describe, above, and trying to lug around bottles, etc. I mean, I did it, but MAYBE (big maybe) if I had it to do over, the ease of breastfeeding would bring a bigger appeal next time around. I bet if you ask your daughters or their friends, though, that they are breast pumping. It is so commonplace today that women walk through our halls at work non-chalantly (sp?) carrying the apparatus with which they do the pumping, often in an unused office or in the restroom.

> Dee wrote:
>
> "Well when I was planning to have children, I sat down and evaluated
> the alternatives based on my lifestyle and the technology available to
> me. I ended up working full time and choosing breast feeding for both
> children. I didn't care one bit for historical precedence or political
> correctness".
>
> Exactly the way I'd expect an engineer to deal with the matter.
>
> Yee-haw!
>
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> w3rv
>

Kim W5TIT

1016. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 02:06:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121306766.929077.113160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112426

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> Skipper of one of the ships I served in was Capt. Deke "Guinea One" Bordone who
> came up through the "Top Gun" F4 (Tomcat) environment.

With apologies beforehand for doing a Foghorn Lenhorn level nit pick
here but F4s are Phantoms and F-14s are the Tomcats.

I've watched two "missing man" formation flybys. They both definitely
made their intended statements.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1017. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 02:11:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gRjBe.7803$8f7.2498@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112427

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> With apologies beforehand for doing a Foghorn
> Lenhorn level nit pick here but F4s are Phantoms
> and F-14s are the Tomcats.

Yer abserluterly correct, OT! But I have an excuse --- I'm a blackshoe, not a brownshoe. In any case, "Guinea One" was an F4 driver.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1018. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 03:29:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O_kBe.4773$BK1.2008@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112428

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Skipper of one of the ships I served in was Capt. Deke "Guinea One" Bordone who
>>came up through the "Top Gun" F4 (Tomcat) environment.

> With apologies beforehand for doing a Foghorn Lenhorn level nit pick
> here but F4s are Phantoms and F-14s are the Tomcats.

Your couple of lines don't even begin to resemble Foghorn. A couple of
lines is a correction. Four or five lengthy paragraphs on the workings
of either aircraft would be windy pontification.

> I've watched two "missing man" formation flybys. They both definitely
> made their intended statements.

Indeed.

Dave K8MN

1019. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 04:11:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DBlBe.7843$8f7.3867@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112429

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> Your couple of lines don't even begin to resemble Foghorn. A couple of lines
> is a correction. Four or five lengthy paragraphs on the workings of either
> aircraft would be windy pontification.
>

You'd also need to include a statement to the effect that neither aircraft is
equipped with a J38.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1020. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 05:53:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L5nBe.7881$8f7.229@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112430

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>
>>Your couple of lines don't even begin to resemble Foghorn. A couple of lines
>>is a correction. Four or five lengthy paragraphs on the workings of either
>>aircraft would be windy pontification.
>>
>
>
> You'd also need to include a statement to the effect that neither aircraft is
> equipped with a J38.

...and that, "nothing in this post has anything to do with the amateur
radio HOBBY and whether all who attempt entry need jump through
arbitrary hoops in order to enter the Archaic Telegraphic Service."

Dave K8MN

1021. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 06:19:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121321976.848693.158200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112431

W2DNE wrote:
> An interesting story, indeed, but this isn't rec.aviation.military

Nope, it's not.

But a fair share of us on BOTH sides of the issues ARE Veterans
and have stakes in such issues.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1022. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 06:22:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121322167.606636.128860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112432

Like you said, Todd....

Read the IP adressess...

Steve, K4YZ

1023. Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 06:27:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121322426.624044.93350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> Hello Again!!!!!!!!
>
> The N9OGL BULLETIN BOARD has been updated with a number of forums to
> check out

Yeah...same stuff that's already on QRZ, here, otehr places...

Ho hum....

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

Back to fake names already, Todd? Sheeesh...

Why do you lie about your name all-the-while attaching it to an
FCC callsign where people can see that this is NOT your legal name?

I'd try to remind you how stupid it makes you look, but then it
does seem that you enjoy such behaviour.

Steve, K4YZ

1024. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 06:31:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121322681.265834.27420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n9ogl wrote:
> what's your problem??? Was you molested when you were younger, or
> perhaps it's due to an very small penis, so you have to vent your hate
> onto other people because of your small penis.
> perhaps you the one gay and you can't admit to yourself that your gay
> and you have a small penis not to mention you were molested as a small
> child.

Only five lines of text and seven references to sexual perversion
and child abuse.

And Todd wonders why he gets treated the way he does.

Steve, K4YZ

1025. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 07:21:57 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <qooBe.36290$up5.33161@lakeread02>
Source record: 112435

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 03:31:50 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <r46Be.36251$up5.8566@lakeread02>:
>
> <snip>
>>I see you are still trying the "quantity v. Quality" argument.
>
>
> Each has it's advantages and disadvantages. But what you -still- don't
> seem to understand that a disaster usually affects a great -quantity-
> of people, not just a few -quality- people.

but, as you seem to pass over every time, its not the quantity of communications, but the QUALITY of the information conveyed that is the real point. The better your communications infrastructure (I.E. Redundancy), the better your quality of communication (even if the actual number of hams is fewer than anticipated)

>
>
>>it just won't wash.....
>>read the facts again:
>>1. fact, amateur radio has a presence in every EOC across the country
>
>
> They also have a presence in REACT. If CB radio was as useless as you
> claim, why would the ARRL and American Red Cross consider the service
> to be useful for emergency communications? Or are you going to claim
> that you are smarter than the ARRL and the Red Cross?

funny that. REACT doesn't have a local presence at all in Phoenix (that I know of). they more or less went out of business as a going concern sometime in the mid 1980's (due primarily to a lack of interest, malicious interference from yahoo's on the band and other reasons). Besides, its not a claim. just turn on the radio and tune around. more than 90% of the traffic on a typical cb channel these days is meaningless chatter, malicious interference or just simply noise.

>
>
>>2. fact, There are government grants given to ham radio every year for
>>system upgrades and disaster training
>
>
> Fact: the government hands out grants to anyone who has a lobbyist in

> congress. Another fact: REACT is a volunteer, self-supported org that
> apparently doesn't need government handouts.
>

gee, perhaps a little FOI request should yield some results. perhaps they
don't bother because they have no real credible nationwide support
structure (like ham radio does)?

>
>>3. fact, ham radio has long been recognized as an essential part of the
>>Emergency preparedness backbone for nearly 80 years!
>
>
> Essential? I don't think so, Eric.

care to back that up with some facts?
automatically "gain saying" the opposing point just won't cut it.

>
>
>>4. fact, no cb organization in the last 20 years has ever received a
>>federal grant for any reason,
>
>
> Is it all about the money?

its about training and resources. the fact that money is even involved
indicates than an economy is at work even here. it takes money to acquire
equipment. it takes money to get training and it takes money to do other
things. but that isn't the point. the fact still stands of its own merit:
"no cb organization in the last 20 years has ever received a federal grant
for any reason"

>
>
>> has no presense in any EOC
>
>
> Wrong. REACT has had a role -many- times in EOC operations during
> emergencies, or didn't you read that link I cited in another post?

oh. the claim. I followed that one up. seem their report doesn't match with
facts as read elsewhere (such as FEMA, national Guard and a few other well
placed sources of INFO). its "their side" with no real support from
anywhere else. try again.

>
>
>> and does not have a
>>probable track record of usefulness in any natural or man made disaster of
>>note in the last 20 years or so.
>
>

> Wrong again, Eric. Instead of making statements based on conjecture
> and your own prejudicial bias against CB radio, why don't you spend a
> little time to actually -learn- something about REACT, its operation
> and its history.

conjecture? pardon me while you HOLD THE PHONE. you don't know my history. I was a member of react back in the 1980's (before it went under in Arizona). I was a CB user from the age of 10 and left the band for good after problems with a fellow CB operator impersonating a ham caused excessive (and potentially life threatening) problems (1995). I have nothing against CB radio as a hobby and perhaps even as a commercial medium, but as a resource in an emergency situation? I would rather depend on people I *KNOW* are trained in disaster relief *by legitimate authorities* than some wannabe group whose actual training might be called into question, *ESPECIALLY* should litigation result due to circumstances that could have been prevented if properly trained people were on site to begin with (seen this too).

>
>
>>your "obtuse point" about there being many more cb sets is irrelevant.
>
>
> Once again, why is it irrelevant?

I see you snipped the answer to that one. read it again.

better yet, I'll explain in greater detail:
1. of the 20 million odd cb radios floating around in the US and other places the US controlls: 35% of them would not meet type acceptance (due to illegal modification), 40% go unused (due to lack of interest, noise, storage or breakdown). The remainder are often in the hands of either children or adults who have virtually no training in their proper use. that leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question as to that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily basis? How many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least a good knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use? how many of those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster relief by accredited agencies?

why don't you give me some really good hard data to work with?

whats your real problem with ham radio?

>
>
>> fact
>>is, only a fraction of those are ever in operation on a daily basis. a lot
>>of them languish (unused) either in vehicles or removed and in storage.
>
>
> It doesn't take very long to hook up a CB radio. And it doesn't take a

> ham to do it, either.

uh huh. and it doesn't take damned long to blow a fuse and start a fire either because some twit decided to do it himself and didn't have the knowhow to hook it up right (I have witnessed this first hand on more than one occassion). at least, with hams, you have some education (its part of being a ham: learning about electricity, electronics and operations and procedures).

>
>
>>lastly, with regard to the cellular services: they have their own set of
>>problems resulting from too many subscribers and not enough available
>>infrastructure to handle them (hence "dropped calls", poor service and
>>enormous complaints about QOS).
>
>
> I don't know why you are arguing about cell-phones -- I agree that
> they are mostly useless in an emergency. Or are you just arguing for
> the sake of arguing?

you initially brought up the cell phone issue and now you've backpeddled knowing I was right (and having a few others in here point that out to you probably helped a bit)

>
>
>>Frankly, you make a claim to having personal experience with distasters (3
>>of them I believe), yet, you still argue against a service which has done
>>more to save lives than any other (outside of fema or the us military)?
>
>
> HA! You are -=WAY=- out of the loop on THAT one, Eric. There are MANY
> organizations that have done more to save lives than ham radio, not
> the least of which is the Red Cross, an organization that works very
> closely with REACT. And REACT works on a daily basis, monitoring for
> emergencies both large and small 24/7/365. I could easily make a list
> of organizations that have done more to save lives than ARRL, and it
> would be a rather long list to be sure.

yeah, I didn't name them all (like the red cross, MARS, CAP, Goodwill, Salvation Army, and others). heres a point for you to consider: 1989 October, oakland California... I wasn't a ham then and I was at that baseball game (had been visually impaired only 4 months at this point and was traveling with a friend). I had a cb HT with me, a small am radio (to listen to the play-by-play and my friend had a ham rig (he was licensed). the quake happened and you know what, my repeated calls for help on CB went unanswered or were blown off by some idiots as "what? is this a joke". meanwhile, that ham I was with, he got through to another ham via repeater and had my helpth/welfare traffic passed to family here in phoenix and he was on and off the air in less than 2 minutes (all very professional). he was even handling other traffic for those around us in the stadium. we were

stuck there for 12 hours (traffic problems) and he had helped more people. I listened to my next to useless CB HT and marveled at the amount of interference, cross talk, and lack of organization (and that was on chan 9!) Point is, that ham friend of mine got a lot more done in far shorter time than anyone with a Cb set would ever dream of. I know, I witnessed it.

It took me less than 3 years to make up my mind to get my ham ticket and I aced that exam on the first try. I have a shortwave radio I listen to daily and I still marvel at the amount of noise, keyclowns and other nonsense that still pervades the 11 meter band. I have hauled that radio with me to places like the northridge quake in southern California, hurricane Andrew and Hugo (this one I was right in the middle of during a vacation visiting friends) and a few other places. in all those times, I actually listened on 11 meters during my off times and I was amazed at the amount of noise, interference and the like in all those times. there was no pretense to any help. it was a useless band filled with a bunch of useless operators.

in all these times, I saw ham radio shine as an example of what a real service should do and in all those times, I regretted ever having been an 11 meter operator before being a ham.
>
> But once again, it's not a matter of any organization as much as a
> means of communication. CB radios are common, cheap, easy to use, and
> are the most readily available means of communication to the average
> Joe when phone systems fail. Those are things that can -never- be said
> about ham radio. Ham radio might consider itself to be the "backbone"
> of emergency comm (even though the PSP really holds that title), but
> the backbone just stands there like a stick in the mud unless there's
> something for it to support. And since AMATEUR radio is really the
> "backbone backup" (because PROFESSIONALS handle the primary means of
> communications), the hams are usually just sticks in the mud.

cb is cheap. the operators are unprofessional (for the most part). half of the time, they don't even work (because they were either broken, installed improperly or the operator had no clue how to use them). you know something, the next disaster that comes along, grab a portable shortwave and start listening around 11. I think you'll have a whole new appreciation for how professional hams are in times like those.

>
>
>>this make no logical sense. ah well. you aren't the only one conversant
>>with natural (or man made) disasters. I have served my fair share of
>>"disaster relief" time through 3 major earthquakes, 2 major fires and a
>>major flood and 2 "cat 5" hurricanes. so don't go trying to impress anyone
>>with your so-called expertice.
>
>
> Not "expertice", Eric -- my "experiences". Things I have learned
> first-hand. And if -you- had any then you wouldn't be making some of
> these horrendously ignorant arguments.

you didn't stipulate 'experience". you implied expertice. I am, by no means an expert and never claimed to be. just because I volunteer for every public service even that comes along, get training at the EOC each year, work with local law enforcement and fire, do every emergency service drill that comes along, doesn't make me an expert. it does, however say one thing: I have a lot more training than the "average Joe" you speak of on CB radio (and I have been active in this since I acquired my ticket). How many "avergage Joe" REACT operators can you say have this level of training and experience (longer than a decade)? here's and answer I challenge you to refute with real facts: DAMNED FEW!

>
>
>> you don't have any (and I freely admit that
>>more training is always a must as one can never be too prepared).
>
>
> Then get a little training with REACT -- that is, if you can supress
> your contempt and suck up your ego long enough to speak objectively
> with CBers (many of which are also hams, the traitors!).

hello? pot? theres a guy on the phone with a message for you (says his name is kettle). message is: your black!

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

1026. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 09:45:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121334325.037655.193750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112436

K4YZ wrote:
> >From the Arizona Republic online:
>
> Quote: A wake-up call from Luke's jets
>
With even more detail:

http://www.snopes.com/politics/military/wakeup.asp

73 de Jim, N2EY

"....that's not 'noise' - that's the sound of freedom...."

1027. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 11:27:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121340431.980304.239010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112437

Kim wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121277615.324463.166020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Kim wrote:
> > > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1121173186.029550.234250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > Good grief. Take a breath there. I really don't know if you're being
> gruff
> > > with a reason or if you are somehow insulted by Jim's attempt to caution
> at
> > > what "experts" may say at any given time.
> > >
> > > Jim went and punched my buttons again, he's
> > > really good at it, it's all HIS fault dammit . . . !

Heck, I wasn't even trying to do that...

> heh heh. Can I actually begin to believe that I am having
> a "fun" exchange with you, Mr. Kelly?

They're all fun, aren't they?
> > > I think bottle feeding is the preferred mode today,
> > > isn't it?
> >
> > Definitely not from my vantage point in my particular world.

> > I have three daughters 41, 35 and 33. All are professionals
> > in various
> > fields. The eldest is single and in Louisville, no kids,
> > the middle one
> > is local, has four kids and is a stay-at-home mom. The
> > youngest is also
> > local, has a 3-year-old daughter and works part time
> > out of her home office.
>
> Sounds like a cut across the average for even around here,
> I'd say. I am 50
> and I've resembled any one of them (well, except for
> the single part--I
> never got to be "single" for any real length of time. Married
> pretty much
> outta HS, divorced three years later, a year after that began a > 14-year
> relationship with my long-time, and still, friend; now married > 16 years and this is it.

No more.
>
> > The two locals are part of a mob of thirtysomethings,
> > maybe 12 all
> > told, family, classmates, etc. It's basically a sorority.

They're lucky to have all that support around.
>
> I understand. This sounds kind of the way my younger sister,
> now about 45
> or so, lived her life. Always had a clutch of girlfriends
> to "run around"
> with and play the suburban mom/wife with. I was witness to
> this for a
> couple of days and ran screaming away from it. Moms who just
> seemed to love
> getting up, loading x-number of kids into their vehicle as the
> drive-to-school mom for that week, run around and do errands--
> some even for
> the other moms--, etc., etc. I mean, I have an office job and > have even
> lived in a cubicle for an extended period of time, but PUHLEEZE > put the
> brakes on when it comes to that kind of happiness....LOL

Main point is whether it's a choice or not, I think.

Side note: How many men get those kinds of choices?
>
> > Almost all of
> > them are educated and they all live in better-than-average
> > circumstances here in the suburbs of the northeast corridor. > > I'm not
> > certain on this point but I don't know of any who are not
> > in their own
> > homes. This not Texas or Michigan which brings up the
> > possibility of
> > some differences in demographics

Also local culture. Plays a big role, believe it or not.

> > plus I'm not really plugged into what
> > "average" actually means around here. Very few of these
> > women work full
> > time. Some peck at part-time jobs. About 2/3 of them are
> > stay-at-home moms.

Here on Philly's Main Line, we have the whole spectrum, from
stay at home moms with babies to moms with highpower professional jobs
and nannies who are doing full-time-plus jobs. And pumping...
Also single moms, blended families, families where one or the other
parent travels a *lot* for work, etc., etc.

> Believe it or not, you are describing pretty darned average for > around here.
> I'll never forget mid-chewing on a tuna sandwich a couple of
> years ago as

> the 22-year old on the other side of the cubicle wall was
> having a melt-down
> because her childrens' "nanny" and house maid were embroiled in > a real
> argument over whose responsibility it actually was to be sure
> the baby was
> ready for mum and pop in the afternoons when they get home from > work!!!!!
> WHAT???!!!

What's the problem? That's an important issue and mom was miles away.

One problem in many careers today is that you cannot simply drop
out of the workforce for several years and then hope to find a
job later, because things change too fast.

> > Several times a year they all clump together for some
> > birthday or
> > holiday gathering and I'm usually part of it and is where I
> > make my
> > "observations". Not to ignore the fact that I also have gobs
> > of
> > hands-on experience from the "bad old days" Jim cited when the
> > marketeers supposedly glorified bottle-feeding and sold it.
> > Which I dispute.

Your oldest is 41 and youngest is 33. That means you were in baby mode
from about 1964 to 1975 or so. The period of intense selling I was
talking about was somewhat earlier.

And all of yours were 100% bottlefed, right?
>
> Well, Jim? Have you anything to say about whether you were
> actually making
> it the bad ol days, or whether you were drawing a picture of
> how "we" like
> to listen to and believe the, uh, experts, when they really may > only be
> spewing what gets them the buck that particular day?

My first point is that there *was* a time when bottle/formula feeding
was definitely pushed as *the best way* to feed a
baby. At least in the USA that I lived in. The old fashioned way was
definitely discouraged, or at least put way down on the
bottom shelf behind the counter.

But the main point is that the "professional experts" pushed that
idea, and people bought it hook, line and sinker. And there are
major analogies to "modern" technologies.

> I think it's the
> latter and that Mr. Kelly is misunderstanding your intention.

Oh yes.

> But, even
> with an intention such as he may believe, this has made for an > interesting
> topic in this here amateur radio newsgroup...heh heh

Then I have succeeded in my purpose!
>
> > I never counted heads but this mob has a virtual army of
> > under-12 type
> > kids. Breast feeding amongst this bunch is overwhelmingly
> > prevails.

Sure - because, for them, it's easier and better.

> > Once in awhile a bottle has shown up here and there but I
> > don't know if
> > it was "pumped" or if it was Enfimile . . (sp? Been a LONG
> > time!).

Enfamil. Isomil. All sorts of stuff. Price a case some time.

> > So
> > that's where I'm coming from for whatever it might be worth.

> Well, imagine the lifestyle you describe, above, and trying to > lug around bottles, etc.

As opposed to lugging around the....alternative apparatus...

> I mean, I did it, but MAYBE (big maybe) if I had it to do
> over, the ease of breastfeeding would bring a bigger appeal
> next time around.

BINGO! You've done both and found "the old fashioned way" was actually
easier and better for *you*.

> I bet if you ask your daughters or their friends, though, that
> they
> are breast pumping. It is so commonplace today that women walk > through our
> halls at work non-chalantly (sp?) carrying the apparatus with
> which they do
> the pumping, often in an unused office or in the restroom.

'zactly.
>
> > Dee wrote:
> >
> > "Well when I was planning to have children, I sat down and > evaluated
> > the alternatives based on my lifestyle and the technology available to
> > me. I ended up working full time and choosing breast feeding for both
> > children. I didn't care one bit for historical precedence or political
> > correctness".
> >
> > Exactly the way I'd expect an engineer to deal with the matter.

And look which technology won out....

73 de Jim, N2EY

1028. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 11:53:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121342013.631833.25130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112438

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > With apologies beforehand for doing a Foghorn
> > Lenhorn level nit pick here but F4s are Phantoms
> > and F-14s are the Tomcats.
>
> Yer abserluterly correct, OT! But I have an excuse --- I'm a blackshoe, not a
> brownshoe.

Don't sweat it, fact is that brownshoes would as useful as tits on a
bull any farther than 500 miles east of Atlantic City if their wasn't
for the blackshoes.

> In any case, "Guinea One" was an F4 driver.

Your skippper flew the best of the best by my measure. Hookup/thumbs
up. First ready. Standby. Second Ready. Salute. Launch. Duck! WHOOSH!
60 seconds later he's seven miles up and looking for a MIG to gnaw on.
In 1958. Any number of sons have flown their father's F4s. If the
Pentagon hadn't finally retired the last operational F4G "Wild Weasels"
in 1996 some hotshot kids would prolly be kicking their grandpappy's
fine rides around in the skies over Afghanistan and Iraq right now.

A half century from now, even a century from now the F4, the B-52 and
the DC-3/C-47/R4D will be the enduring legends they earned. Guinea One
has untouchable bragging rights. The rest are wannabes.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1029. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 12:06:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121342765.484505.94540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112439

Dave Heil wrote:

> Your couple of lines don't even begin to resemble Foghorn. A couple of
> lines is a correction. Four or five lengthy paragraphs on the workings
> of either aircraft would be windy pontification.

Don't give up on him yet Dave. He's obviously been slowing down of late
and the thread is only a day old, he'll catch up with it eventually and
do one of his usual goofy dumps.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

1030. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 12:50:54 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <db5n3e$16q2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112440

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:

<snip>

>>Once again, why is it irrelevant?
>
>
> I see you snipped the answer to that one. read it again.
>
> better yet, I'll explain in greater detail:
> 1. of the 20 million odd cb radios floating around in the US and other
> places the US controlls: 35% of them would not meet type acceptance (due to
> illegal modification), 40% go unused (due to lack of interest, noise,
> storage or breakdown). The remainder are often in the hands of either
> children or adults who have virtually no training in their proper use. that
> leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question as to
> that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily basis? How
> many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least a good
> knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use? how many of
> those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster relief by
> accredited agencies?
>
> why don't you give me some really good hard data to work with?
>
> whats your real problem with ham radio?

The odd thing is that while we've gotten a breakdown on the number of
Ham radio operators as compared to the population of the US (as if every
person in the US is going to have traffic for every Ham op) we now go in
complete reverse - miraculously every CB rig is going to be pressed into
service in time of need. 20 million radios ready to save life and property.

This whole part of the argument is weird and borders on irrelevant.

For an interesting coomparison, why don't we come up with the ratio of
say EMT's to the general population. Yet somehow they do their jobs.

>>
>>>fact
>>>is, only a fraction of those are ever in operation on a daily basis. a lot
>>>of them languish (unused) either in vehicles or removed and in storage.
>>
>>
>>It doesn't take very long to hook up a CB radio. And it doesn't take a
>>ham to do it, either.

>
>
> uh huh. and it doesn't take damned long to blow a fuse and start a fire
> either because some twit decided to do it himself and didn't have the
> knowhow to hook it up right (I have witnessed this first hand on more than
> one occassion). at least, with hams, you have some education (its part of
> being a ham: learning about electricity, electronics and operations and
> procedures).

In high school, I used to make some extra cash by repairing electrical
wiring in vehicles. A lot of them had melted and shorted wiring caused
by the owner hooking up a CB rig incorrectly. You'de be surprised how
often it happens.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1031. Re: Markie's Obssession With Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 13:03:25 UTC
From: Harry The Bagelmaker <roveisaliar@drblcck400.com>
Message-ID: <3248a$42d66297$d1cc50e4$29417@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 112441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I have a FAR BETTER idea for both of you.

Why don't you guys start a pissing-contest on 14.313 mHz ?

I mean, remember when KV4FZ and his group would occupy
that frequency in years gone past?

BOY WAS THAT FUN LISTENING! It was almost better than the
Comedy Channel listening to these senile old fartknockers
beat each other up with their walkers and canes and false
teeth on the air.

Just think of it! If you two and all your friends started to
camp out on 313 and trade barbs over the air LIVE you would
have 80% of the ham population listening to "The Show" in
no time, and you two guys would become so famous that
you'd be asked to give out AUTOGRAPHED QSL CARDS
at all the hamfests, give speeches, write for QST etc..etc..

Whatcha waiting for? It's a WIN-WIN fer sure!

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121248319.042879.98170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM
> >
> > been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
> > evidence of Combat
>
> And where on line do you expect to find that?
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
>
> > > Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow.
You.
> > > Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
> > > cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to stand
> > > behind thier already lame game.
> >
> > Mark Morgan. After not being in the Newsgroup at all in several years
> > Stevie was still acting out his obsession with me
>

> No obssession.
>
> Please note the people with whom you were grouped, Mark.
>
> Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.
>
> > BTW he was using anything like proper sentence form
>
> Yep. Absolutley proper. Read a newspaper, Tine or Newsweek once
> in a while, Markie.
>
> > > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> > > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> >
> > Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good
>
> Like a good "what", Markie?
>
> Finish your sentences of you expect to be understood.
>
> > picking on someone not even around at the time
>
> Obviously you were.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1032. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 13:20:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4bpcd1hpfdp4kq3tnuqekmdei5d38ncno1@4ax.com>
Source record: 112442

On Thu, 14 Jul 2005 00:21:57 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <qooBe.36290$up5.33161@lakeread02>:

<snip BS>
>whats your real problem with ham radio?

I have no problem at all with ham radio, just the holier-than-thou
attitudes of a few hams such as yourself. What's -your- problem with
CB radio?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1033. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 13:26:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <eppcd1tql5f0hilqqs8ar5a7avkst0ur1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 112443

On Thu, 14 Jul 2005 08:50:54 -0400, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
<db5n3e$16q2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>:

<snip>
> In high school, I used to make some extra cash by repairing electrical
>wiring in vehicles. A lot of them had melted and shorted wiring caused
>by the owner hooking up a CB rig incorrectly. You'de be surprised how
>often it happens.

No, I wouldn't. But -you- would be suprised at how many times I've had
to do some rewiring (house -and- vehicle) because a -ham- hooked up
his rig incorrectly..... and a couple times because a ham hooked up
someone's CB incorrectly!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1034. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 14:27:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121351239.867114.69080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112444

Well steve,

all the letters you show on here was written the same way, they all were sent via my blog but, hey that did stop you and your little girlfriends on here from sending them to my last ISP.

Todd N9OGL

1035. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 14:30:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121351448.667512.298190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Um, no not really steve, but hey what would you know

as the old saying goes "what's in a nme"

1036. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 15:54:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121356482.408232.234900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> Um, no not really steve, but hey what would you know

I know that your name's not "O'Docartaigh".

> as the old saying goes "what's in a nme"

A man's reputation.

Steve, K4YZ

1037. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 15:56:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121356600.789040.46040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112447

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > ...of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> > > mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all...
> >
> > §97.303(s) is very clear on the topic. You're correct that it doesn't
> > specifically call it a "60 metter" band, but it DOES spell out in detail the
> > mode restrictions and other technical requirements and restrictions of the
> > frequencies I >think< you call "60 metters".
>
> Markie doesn't like getting his nose just RUBBBED in
> something...he has to have it slammed, poked, jabbed and nearly ripped
> off until the point gets through to him.
>
> See y'all on "60 metters"...! All except Markie and Lennie, that
> is!

as is normal for HIm Steve chooses to post without bothing with the
subject
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1038. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 15:57:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121356667.130184.31730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112448

N9OGL wrote:
> Well steve,
>
> all the letters you show on here was written the same way, they all
> were sent via my blog but, hey that did stop you and your little
> girlfriends on here from sending them to my last ISP.

I guess you don't see that pattern here....

YOUR BLOG seems to be at the center of all YOUR woes, along with
your profanities, childish behaviour, etc.

So...are you going to do anything to fix it or just whine and
snivvel about it...(there's where my money is...)...???

Steve, K4YZ

1039. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:03:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121357038.287216.213500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112449

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > >From the Arizona Republic online:
> >
> > Quote: A wake-up call from Luke's jets
> >
> With even more detail:
>
> http://www.snopes.com/politics/military/wakeup.asp
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "....that's not 'noise' - that's the sound of freedom...."

Gotta give the guy who wrote the initial letter credit for being "stand-up" and immeidately issuing an unconditional apology for his comments.

Too bad some in this forum can't find the same strength of character.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1040. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:13:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121357603.465839.193450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112300

>So...are you going to do anything to fix it or just whine and
>snivvel about it...(there's where my money is...)...???

I on't know how I'm suppose to fix it but I do have a suggestion that you look up the definition of what a blog is, because frankily IMHO you don't know what a BLOG (AKA WEBLOG) is

>From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.

A weblog (usually shortened to blog, but occasionally spelled web log or weblog) is a web-based publication consisting primarily of periodic articles (normally in reverse chronological order). Although most early weblogs were manually updated, tools to automate the maintenance of such sites made them accessible to a much larger population, and the use of some sort of browser-based software is now a typical aspect of "blogging".

Blogs range in scope from individual diaries to arms of political campaigns, media programs, and corporations. They range in scale from the writings of one occasional author, to the collaboration of a large community of writers. Many weblogs enable visitors to leave public comments, which can lead to a community of readers centered around the blog; others are non-interactive. The totality of weblogs or blog-related websites is often called the blogosphere. When a large amount of activity, information and opinion erupts around a particular subject or controversy in the blogosphere, it is sometimes called a blogstorm or blog swarm.
The format of weblogs varies, from simple bullet lists of hyperlinks, to article summaries or complete articles with user-provided comments and ratings. Individual weblog entries are almost always date and time-stamped, with the newest post at the top of the page, and reader comments often appearing below it. Because incoming links to specific entries are important to many weblogs, most have a way of archiving older entries and generating a static address for them; this static link is referred to as a permalink. The latest headlines, with hyperlinks and summaries, are frequently offered in weblogs in the RSS or Atom XML format, to be read with a feed reader.
The tools for editing, organizing, and publishing weblogs are variously referred to as "content management systems", "publishing platforms", "weblog software", and simply "blogware".

1041. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:13:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121357618.708472.293690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112301

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > ...of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> > > > mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all...
> > >
> > > §97.303(s) is very clear on the topic. You're correct that it doesn't
> > > specifically call it a "60 metter" band, but it DOES spell out in detail the
> > > mode restrictions and other technical requirements and restrictions of the
> > > frequencies I >think< you call "60 metters".
> >
> > Markie doesn't like getting his nose just RUBBBED in
> > something...he has to have it slammed, poked, jabbed and nearly ripped
> > off until the point gets through to him.
> >
> > See y'all on "60 metters"...! All except Markie and Lennie, that
> > is!
>
> as is normal for HIm Steve chooses to post without bothing with the
> subject

It had everything to do with the subject, Markie. You.

For the last 2 weeks I've been berating you for your childish,
uncivil behaviour towards Hans. You treated him rudely, and there was
no excuse for it.

Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
"there".

So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by
opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
arrogance and ignorance.

This had zero-point-squat to do with your "cmoment about Part
97"....It had everything to do with you trying to find some way out of
the spanking you were taking for your behaviour. You were looking for
SOME vector to allow you to redirect ANY of that to Hans.

It didn't work. Landed right back in YOUR lap AGAIN.

Steve, K4YZ

1042. Re: Markie's Obssession With Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:15:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121357727.505228.231650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Harry The Bagelmaker wrote:
> I have a FAR BETTER idea for both of you.
>
> Why don't you guys start a pissing-contest on 14.313 mHz ?

Because there are rules against that. Traffic in here
notwithstanding, I don't care to "discuss" or "debate" on the air.

Steve, K4YZ

1043. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:16:43 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EcwBe.73874$ho.14275@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 112303

Eric, (forgive the top posting to avoid all the text below)

During 9/11, I was on the air handling communications for
one of those "other" agencies", arranging some transportation that to be
used if needed, coordinating local activities with ARES, talking to FEMA,
and monitoring all my other comm. resources--one of which I finally turned
off due to sheer annoyance (guess which one). Of course, none of us knew
what would happen next. During a lull in the activity in my shack, the CB
was, of course, alive with discussions of what was happening (before it got
on my nerves and it was turned OFF) But I almost fell off my chair when a
loud, over-modulated voice said, "..............
An' we is a standin' by thar to copy that 'ere EEE-mer-gen-cee" traffic
thar, shore thang thar, mercy me, BREAK, SQUEEEEEEEK" LMAO!!!!!!! Hardly
an encouraging example of "professional" communications abilities.

No question, CB radio can be used in emergencies: no question, no argument.
BUT! I have to agree with you, Eric that, there is NO C O O R D I N A T E
D, cohesive, or effective official use of CB radio, nor is it planned for or
depended upon by local and state EOC's. CB could be used to do many things,
and no doubt it would be. Like CBers could organize to go check on the
elderly to see if they are provided for in their homes if the power is out,
see that they have heat, or fans, or food. They could be used to clean up
after a disaster, canvas an area--lots of things, but this would still have
to be coordinated by people that are TRAINED to do this. CB radio is a
consumer toy: the CBer is usually an appliance operator. He normally has NO
skills, in and of his mere access to some sort of a radio. Thus, I contend,
based on 40 years of involvement in military and civilian emergency radio
operations, there is N O official sanction, planning for, or use of CB radio
in state and local EOC's. Period. Sure, the general public will use CB
radio--just like they would use the landline phone or cell. So long as it
is available. Yes, THAT is "emergency" communications. But dedicated,
formal, purpose-oriented communications is handled thru official Federal,
State, and local channels. And Amateur radio was and IS part of that
function. Amateurs CAN cross-communicate with the military-they have MARS
with which to pass traffic FOR the military": CB radio does NOT! Amateur
Radio has permanent desks in most state and local EOC's: CB radio does NOT!
Amateur Radio has direct access to FEMA via FEMA's radio network and
frequencies: CB radio does NOT! Amateur Radio, thru ARRL and local clubs,
recieves Federal grants for training and equipment: CB radio does NOT!
Whether "REACT" *could* is debatable, but does this lack of funding or
demonstration of worth reflect disorganization and lack of
internal cohesiveness--even lack of confidence on the part of HomeLand
Security towards REACT? (What do they really 'react' to? I've never even
SEEN 'em!).

The thread, or troll, asks about Amateur Radio's role in emergency communications VS CB radio. On one hand, it is apples and oranges, the other, is not a fair comparison.

So let's boil it down to the central questions.

1) Does/Can CB radio get used for emergency communications? Bottom line.....YES! For local, immediate incidents such as wrecks on the highway, fires,
lost persons--things the public would do with a phone or cell. Volunteer Firemen use them for cheap comms.

2) Is CB radio recognized as a dependable, trained, effective resource in a disaster such as a hurricane, flood, landslide, earthquake? One to be used to coordinate official rescue and recovery operations? One to be relied upon to get OFFICIAL, formal messages thru? Recognized by authorities and sanctioned as part of their EOP's? No.

3) Is Amateur radio part of most official operations plans both on the State and Federal level? Yes.

An' dem's de facts cuz we'uns is a-standin' by to pass that' ere eee-mer-gen-cee traffic, shore thang, gre't day thar, mercy sakes thar Sheriff!!! :) LOL!!

J

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:qooBe.36290$up5.33161@lakeread02...
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 03:31:50 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in <r46Be.36251$up5.8566@lakeread02>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>I see you are still trying the "quantity v. Quality" argument.
>>
>>
>> Each has it's advantages and disadvantages. But what you -still- don't
>> seem to understand that a disaster usually affects a great -quantity-
>> of people, not just a few -quality- people.
>
> but, as you seem to pass over every time, its not the quantity of
> communications, but the QUALITY of the information conveyed that is the
> real point. The better your communications infrastructure (I.E.
> Redundancy), the better your quality of communication (even if the actual
> number of hams is fewer than anticipated)
>
>>
>>
>>>it just won't wash.....
>>>read the facts again:
>>>1. fact, amateur radio has a presence in every EOC across the country

>>
>>
>> They also have a presence in REACT. If CB radio was as useless as you
>> claim, why would the ARRL and American Red Cross consider the service
>> to be useful for emergency communications? Or are you going to claim
>> that you are smarter than the ARRL and the Red Cross?
>
> funny that. REACT doesn't have a local presence at all in Phoenix (that I
> know of). they more or less went out of business as a going concern
> sometime in the mid 1980's (due primarily to a lack of interest, malicious
> interference from yahoo's on the band and other reasons). Besides, its not
> a claim. just turn on the radio and tune around. more than 90% of the
> traffic on a typical cb channel these days is meaningless chatter,
> malicious interference or just simply noise.
>
>>
>>
>>>2. fact, There are government grants given to ham radio every year for
>>>system upgrades and disaster training
>>
>>
>> Fact: the government hands out grants to anyone who has a lobbyist in
>> congress. Another fact: REACT is a volunteer, self-supported org that
>> apparently doesn't need government handouts.
>>
>
> gee, perhaps a little FOI request should yield some results. perhaps they
> don't bother because they have no real credible nationwide support
> structure (like ham radio does)?
>
>>
>>>3. fact, ham radio has long been recognized as an essential part of the
>>>Emergency preparedness backbone for nearly 80 years!
>>
>>
>> Essential? I don't think so, Eric.
>
> care to back that up with some facts?
> automatically "gain saying" the opposing point just won't cut it.
>
>>
>>
>>>4. fact, no cb organization in the last 20 years has ever received a
>>>federal grant for any reason,
>>
>>
>> Is it all about the money?
>
> its about training and resources. the fact that money is even involved
> indicates than an economy is at work even here. it takes money to acquire

> equipment. it takes money to get training and it takes money to do other
> things. but that isn't the point. the fact still stands of its own merit:
> "no cb organization in the last 20 years has ever received a federal grant
> for any reason"
>
>>
>>
>>> has no presense in any EOC
>>
>>
>> Wrong. REACT has had a role -many- times in EOC operations during
>> emergencies, or didn't you read that link I cited in another post?
>
> oh. the claim. I followed that one up. seem their report doesn't match
> with
> facts as read elsewhere (such as FEMA, national Guard and a few other well
> placed sources of INFO). its "their side" with no real support from
> anywhere else. try again.
>
>
>>
>>
>>> and does not have a
>>>probable track record of usefulness in any natural or man made disaster
>>>of
>>>note in the last 20 years or so.
>>
>>
>> Wrong again, Eric. Instead of making statements based on conjecture
>> and your own prejudicial bias against CB radio, why don't you spend a
>> little time to actually -learn- something about REACT, its operation
>> and its history.
>
> conjecture? pardon me while you HOLD THE PHONE. you don't know my history.
> I
> was a member of react back in the 1980's (before it went under in
> Arizona).
> I was a CB user from the age of 10 and left the band for good after
> problems with a fellow CB operator impersonating a ham caused excessive
> (and potentially life threatening) problems (1995). I have nothing against
> CB radio as a hobby and perhaps even as a commercial medium, but as a
> resource in an emergency situation? I would rather depend on people I
> *KNOW* are trained in disaster relief *by legitimate authorities* than
> some
> wannabe group whose actual training might be called into question,
> *ESPECIALLY* should litigation result due to circumstances that could have
> been prevented if properly trained people were on site to begin with (seen
> this too).
>
>>

>>
>>>your "obtuse point" about there being many more cb sets is irrelevant.
>>
>>
>> Once again, why is it irrelevant?
>
> I see you snipped the answer to that one. read it again.
>
> better yet, I'll explain in greater detail:
> 1. of the 20 million odd cb radios floating around in the US and other
> places the US controlls: 35% of them would not meet type acceptance (due
> to
> illegal modification), 40% go unused (due to lack of interest, noise,
> storage or breakdown). The remainder are often in the hands of either
> children or adults who have virtually no training in their proper use.
> that
> leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question as to
> that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily basis? How
> many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least a good
> knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use? how many of
> those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster relief by
> accredited agencies?
>
> why don't you give me some really good hard data to work with?
>
> whats your real problem with ham radio?
>
>
>>
>>
>>> fact
>>>is, only a fraction of those are ever in operation on a daily basis. a
>>>lot
>>>of them languish (unused) either in vehicles or removed and in storage.
>>
>>
>> It doesn't take very long to hook up a CB radio. And it doesn't take a
>> ham to do it, either.
>
> uh huh. and it doesn't take damned long to blow a fuse and start a fire
> either because some twit decided to do it himself and didn't have the
> knowhow to hook it up right (I have witnessed this first hand on more than
> one occassion). at least, with hams, you have some education (its part of
> being a ham: learning about electricity, electronics and operations and
> procedures).
>
>>
>>
>>>lastly, with regard to the cellular services: they have their own set of
>>>problems resulting from too many subscribers and not enough available

>>>infrastructure to handle them (hence "dropped calls", poor service and
>>>enormous complaints about QOS).
>>
>>
>> I don't know why you are arguing about cell-phones -- I agree that
>> they are mostly useless in an emergency. Or are you just arguing for
>> the sake of arguing?
>
> you initially brought up the cell phone issue and now you've backpeddled
> knowing I was right (and having a few others in here point that out to you
> probably helped a bit)
>
>>
>>
>>>Frankly, you make a claim to having personal experience with distasters
>>>(3
>>>of them I believe), yet, you still argue against a service which has done
>>>more to save lives than any other (outside of fema or the us military)?
>>
>>
>> HA! You are -=WAY=- out of the loop on THAT one, Eric. There are MANY
>> organizations that have done more to save lives than ham radio, not
>> the least of which is the Red Cross, an organization that works very
>> closely with REACT. And REACT works on a daily basis, monitoring for
>> emergencies both large and small 24/7/365. I could easily make a list
>> of organizations that have done more to save lives than ARRL, and it
>> would be a rather long list to be sure.
>
> yeah, I didn't name them all (like the red cross, MARS, CAP, Goodwill,
> Salvation Army, and others). heres a point for you to consider: 1989
> October, oakland California... I wasn't a ham then and I was at that
> baseball game (had been visually impaired only 4 months at this point and
> was traveling with a friend). I had a cb HT with me, a small am radio (to
> listen to the play-by-play and my friend had a ham rig (he was licensed).
> the quake happened and you know what, my repeated calls for help on CB
> went
> unanswered or were blown off by some idiots as "what? is this a joke".
> meanwhile, that ham I was with, he got through to another ham via repeater
> and had my helpth/welfare traffic passed to family here in phoenix and he
> was on and off the air in less than 2 minutes (all very professional). he
> was even handling other traffic for those around us in the stadium. we
> were
> stuck there for 12 hours (traffic problems) and he had helped more people.
> I listened to my next to useless CB HT and marveled at the amount of
> interference, cross talk, and lack of organization (and that was on chan
> 9!) Point is, that ham friend of mine got a lot more done in far shorter
> time than anyone with a Cb set would ever dream of. I know, I witnessed
> it.
>
> It took me less than 3 years to make up my mind to get my ham ticket and I

> aced that exam on the first try. I have a shortwave radio I listen to
> daily
> and I still marvel at the amount of noise, keyclowns and other nonsense
> that still pervades the 11 meter band. I have hauled that radio with me to
> places like the northridge quake in southern California, hurricane Andrew
> and Hugo (this one I was right in the middle of during a vacation visiting
> friends) and a few other places. in all those times, I actually listened
> on
> 11 meters during my off times and I was amazed at the amount of noise,
> interference and the like in all those times. there was no pretense to any
> help. it was a useless band filled with a bunch of useless operators.
>
> in all these times, I saw ham radio shine as an example of what a real
> service should do and in all those times, I regretted ever having been an
> 11 meter operator before being a ham.
>>
>> But once again, it's not a matter of any organization as much as a
>> means of communication. CB radios are common, cheap, easy to use, and
>> are the most readily available means of communication to the average
>> Joe when phone systems fail. Those are things that can -never- be said
>> about ham radio. Ham radio might consider itself to be the "backbone"
>> of emergency comm (even though the PSP really holds that title), but
>> the backbone just stands there like a stick in the mud unless there's
>> something for it to support. And since AMATEUR radio is really the
>> "backbone backup" (because PROFESSIONALS handle the primary means of
>> communications), the hams are usually just sticks in the mud.
>
> cb is cheap. the operators are unprofessional (for the most part). half of
> the time, they don't even work (because they were either broken, installed
> improperly or the operator had no clue how to use them). you know
> something, the next disaster that comes along, grab a portable shortwave
> and start listening around 11. I think you'll have a whole new
> appreciation
> for how professional hams are in times like those.
>
>
>>
>>
>>>this make no logical sense. ah well. you aren't the only one conversant
>>>with natural (or man made) disasters. I have served my fair share of
>>>"disaster relief" time through 3 major earthquakes, 2 major fires and a
>>>major flood and 2 "cat 5" hurricanes. so don't go trying to impress
>>>anyone
>>>with your so-called expertice.
>>
>>
>> Not "expertice", Eric -- my "experiences". Things I have learned
>> first-hand. And if -you- had any then you wouldn't be making some of
>> these horrendously ignorant arguments.
>

> you didn't stipulate 'experience". you implied expertice. I am, by no
> means
> an expert and never claimed to be. just because I volunteer for every
> public service even that comes along, get training at the EOC each year,
> work with local law enforcement and fire, do every emergency service drill
> that comes along, doesn't make me an expert. it does, however say one
> thing: I have a lot more training than the "average Joe" you speak of on
> CB
> radio (and I have been active in this since I acquired my ticket). How
> many
> "avergage Joe" REACT operators can you say have this level of training and
> experience (longer than a decade)? here's and answer I challenge you to
> refute with real facts: DAMNED FEW!
>
>>
>>
>>> you don't have any (and I freely admit that
>>>more training is always a must as one can never be too prepared).
>>
>>
>> Then get a little training with REACT -- that is, if you can supress
>> your contempt and suck up your ego long enough to speak objectively
>> with CBers (many of which are also hams, the traitors!).
>
> hello? pot? theres a guy on the phone with a message for you (says his
> name
> is kettle). message is: your black!
>
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

1044. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:19:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121357989.872382.87950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

O'Dochartaigh is the gaelic spelling of my last name you freaking nazi, secondly you freaking scumbag I can under anyname I want on the Internet....SO UP YOUR!!!

1045. stevie shit n

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:21:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121358069.880278.61140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112305

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The same orders you can validate but refuse to do because it would
> > > > > "take too long", as if you have anything BUT time...
> > > >
> > > > Not my yob to validate your "stories."
> > >
> > > What stories?
> >
> > Your claims of combat
>
> They weren't "claims".

they are claims

wether they are true or not they are claims

>
> > > What's a "yob"..?!?!
> >
> > job
> >
> > How do you follow morse code if a single letter stimies you?
>
> Because I've not met a Morse operator who spells like you do.
>
> > > > > You refuse to do it because the results would be humiliating for
> > > > > YOU.
> > > >
> > > > I'm good either way. Either you did what you claim (and can/will prove
> > > > it), or you're a liar.
> > >
> > > There's no "either way" to it, Brain.
> > >
> > > I did do those things.
> >
> > prove it
>
> It's proven. The fact that I held callsigns NNN0VVU, AFA1OQ and
> AAT4SA/T.

proveing what exactly
>
> The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC of
> Oki Areawide MARS proves it.

proves what?

never been exactly what you are claiming

>
> > > I did provided you with corroborating data.
> >
> > really?
> >
> > Well never provided any to me
>
> Perhaps becasue none of the MARS programs puts their records in
> Google Archives.

Why would I check MARS for your posts on RRAP where you claim that you
made these statements?

>
> > Google sereach turned up none
> >
> > and to you Google is close to gospel
>
> Nope.
>
> It's only an archive. One that's only been viable for the last
> 8-10 years.

Claimn that you posted to RRAP prove of your claims more than 8 years
ago?

>
> > so logicaly by your standard Henceforth (BYS)
>
> What?
>
> > > And the liar is in your bathroom waiting to peek out at you from
> > > the mirror...QUICK! Go catch a peek!
> > >
> > > > > And under "(my) rules, as you suggest, Brian P Burke >>IS<< a
> > > > > liar, since in several YEARS of requests to do so, he's not ONCE
> > > > > provided so much as a hint of ANY validation of ANY claim he's made.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, yes, yes. That's what got this whole thing started. Don't you
> > > > > remember???
> > > > >
> > > > > You claimed that I am a liar.
> > >
> > > you are

>
> No, I'm not.

yep

>
> > The Brothers Grimm are Linguists
>
> No...they're dead.

as long thier books and writing are sremebered and still some of the
statndrds of their feild many would say they say they are, but you LOVE
to quibble

they were if you like

>
> > You said they are not therefore BYS you are a lair
>
> I am not a "lair".

yep

>
> > > You are.
> > >
> > > It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.
> >
> > that you are a lair I guess it is
>
> I am not a "lair".

even a docemented fact remains a claim

>
> > > > Now the foo is on the other shoot, and you have the very same
> > > > credibility problems that >>>you<<< claim that I have.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
> > > authorizing source and validate.
> >
> > Nope nothing a google search
>
> Google wasn't the verifying source, Markie.

Google is the Archive of RRAP

therefore Google is the verufing source of what data you claim to have
posted

>
> The various MARS departments were.

MARS does not archive RRAP, and as you say I can't search there achives
if they did

>
> And HQ 1stMAW

ditto

>
> > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > have allegedly gone...
> >
> > You steve chase them away they ander about about and sometimes come
> > back
>
> What? Huh?
>
> > > >From what I read Unliseneced devices played major roles In Denis
> > > Oh...and why you claim ARES is "overblown" and allegedly unable to
> > > respond to disasters when they clearly continue to do exactly that.
> >
> > ARES is over blown in the claims made of the degree of their role
>
> Oh?
>
> According to EVERY agency that has employed their services,
> including the Department of State, the American Red Cross, FEMA, The
> Salvation Army, and other, you are wrong.

So?

>
> Are you telling me that Mark Morgan knows better than those
> agencies?

Nope, but that is not the exaplanation. I know as they do that to keep
the ARES folks providing what help they are you have to feed their
egos. Therefore as is their duty to Nation they are lying or if you
prefer egaeratinng the role of ARES

>From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
ARES but not the degree of that benifit

>
> > > > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
> > >
> > > No luck needed, Brain.
> > >
> > > The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.
> >
> > Nope it is in yours your claim you prove em
>

> I have.

you have hardly made your claims in public (here) so you haven't proven
them

>
>
> > > You just keep dribbling, though...
> > >
> > > > > They'll see the man they loved in a different light...An
> > > > > unfortunate one, at that...
> > > >
> > > > > Prove your unfounded assertions. Best of Luck.
> > >
> > > No luck needed.
> > >
> > > The proof that what I claimed is just a phone call or letter away.
> > > All you ahve to do it "do it".
> >
> > again Have Stevie Boy
> >
> > a whole post without spelling it right even once
>
> Wrong.
>
> To wit:
>
> > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > have allegedly gone...
>
> Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.

BUZZ worng answer you did not type that use have in that post including
it in reply when I it reamins unclear who typed does not count
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1046. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:23:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121358192.695108.102020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112306

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Well steve,
> >
> > all the letters you show on here was written the same way, they all
> > were sent via my blog but, hey that did stop you and your little
> > girlfriends on here from sending them to my last ISP.
>
> I guess you don't see that pattern here....

He se well enough as do I
>
> YOUR BLOG seems to be at the center of all YOUR woes, along with
> your profanities, childish behaviour, etc.

Childish behavoir, I grown man like yourself dirven to make threats
over being called names, grow up Marine

>
> So...are you going to do anything to fix it or just whine and
> snivvel about it...(there's where my money is...)...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1047. Stevie obsessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:30:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121358638.404867.136520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112307

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM
> >
> > been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
> > evidence of Combat
>
> And where on line do you expect to find that?

you claimed you had posted to the newsgroup proof of your claims of
combat

I guess from your new line you are no longer claimg that you posted
proof

>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
>
> > > Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow. You.
> > > Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
> > > cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to stand
> > > behind thier already lame game.
> >
> > Mark Morgan. After not being in the Newsgroup at all in several years
> > Stevie was still acting out his obsession with me
>
> No obssession.

YES Obsession

>
> Please note the people with whom you were grouped, Mark.

So I wasn't there, had not said word one to you in years, and you still
still forth hate and venom at me

>
> Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.

form you I guess I have to take that as an Honor

That you were still timking of me after all those years was in a sense
flatering, also a bit frightening as to the degree and depth of your
hate for a man you never met

>
> > BTW he was using anything like proper sentence form
>
> Yep. Absolutley proper. Read a newspaper, Tine or Newsweek once
> in a while, Markie.

No verb

not proper written english just done

>
> > > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> > > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> >
> > Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good
>
> Like a good "what", Markie?

clear enough

>
> Finish your sentences of you expect to be understood.

Stevie I don't expect you to understand any sentence I write

I choose to let the reader fill it in, again you try the times

>
> > picking on someone not even around at the time
>
> Obviously you were.

No I wasn't

had not been here in years you lieing sack of shit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1048. Stevie shit n+1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:46:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121359587.494320.11990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112308

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You were a heel. On several levels.
> >
> > IYO
>
> In my well informed and substantiated opinon, Mark.

well now backing it off to your opinion, progress little boy

>
> > > You perpetuate the act by refusing to acknowledge your impropriety
> > > and apologize.
> >
> > I simply disagree with you
>
> You simpy lie.

simpy?

disagreing with Stveie means one is a "simpy" lair

I guess well that IYO too

>
> > > > > Anyone who reads this forum can comment.
> > > >
> > > > yes you can but yu can't decide wether or not I may rebuke him
> > >
> > > I didn't say "may". I said "should".
> >
> > IYO
>
> In my well informed and substantiated opinon, Mark.

well now backing it off to your opinion, progress little boy
>
> > > > > Hans did you a favor and you treated him rudely.
> > > >
> > > > Nope
> > >
> > > Absolutely rudely. You were (as if THIS is news...) a creep.
> >
> > and he treated me rudely he reaped what he sowed, I call that fair

> >
> > You disagree
>
> It's not a matter of disagreeing.

yes it is

>
> It's a matter of fact.

nope it is as you have already admited a matter of opinion

>
> > > > > Period.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > > Still a creep, Markie.
> >
> > creep or not I treated him better than he merited, for his actions in
> > the Newsgroups as a whole
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> He answered your question...thoroughly, completely, and
> accurately.

nope

>
> You acted like a punk.

define punk perhaps I will agree with you, doubt it though
>
> > > Nope. Public forum. You were an idiot and it's my "right" to
> > > comment on it as long as I care to.
> >
> > which doesn't alter the fact that you simply stiring up trouble
>
> Nope. Commenting on your rudeness.

stiring up trouble

>
> > never said you can't coment
>
> I didn't say you said that. But you're still rude.

IYO

>
> > > > > YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
> > > > > person who provided it to you.
> > > > >
> > > > the inforamation was not provided
> > > >
> > > Sure it was. Exactly and concisely.
> > >
> > nope
>
> Absolutely.

Not at all

>
> > > > then the poster was rebuked mildly on the scale of things
> > > >
> > > YOU had nothing to "rebuke" him on.
> > >
> > I certianly did
>
> No you didn't.

YEP I did

you just think you are Miss Manners

I do suspect the real one is prettier

>
> > > > > > > He did you a favor. You treated him like crap. I'm not a
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No he did not do me a favor so gigo form there
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yes he DID do you a favor.
> > > > >
> > > > nope
> > > >
> > > Yep. Several favors, actually.
> > >
> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
> > > The first was to correct your erroneous conception of that
> > > allocation as a "band". He did so without calling you names or
> > > insulting you.
> > >
> > he DID insult me
>
> How?

by presuming to crroct me when I was not wrong. It is a Band The ARRL
calls it one

>
> > and it is band or so the ARRL calls the freqs between 218 and 220 MHZ
>
> A grouping of frequencies IS a band, Markie. The FCC does not
> assign channels in any Amateur allocation EXCEPT one.

Wrong please turn in your license 218-220 Mhz does

>
> > The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website
>
> The ARRL is not the FCC.

so

the ARRL is generaly acceptned as an authority on Ham radio

>
> > so My assumtion was not errounous
>
> "Assumption"
>
> And yes, it was wrong.

nope

>
> > > Then he gave you the EXACT mode that IS authorized on those
> > > frequencies for US use. If you couldn't extrapolate that since SSB is
> > > the only mode authorized there that Morse Code is not, that's YOUR
> > > problem...
> >
> > which means he did not answer may question
>
> He answered your July question, though.

what july question? I ask more than one a month

Indeed I even tlak about Ham Radio on here unlike your yourself

>
> > > Lastly, he treated you as if you MIGHT be able to understand it.
> > > Perhaps a bit of a stretch knowing what an idiot you really are, but he
> > > DID give you the benefit of the doubt.
> >
> > No has was condesnding and insulting
>
> No.

yes he was

>
> You PERCIEVE it as "condesnding and insulting" only because you
> didn't understand the asnwer.

and my preception makes it fact in this case. consult the law on
obsense or harrasing mail for example

>
> I understood it fine. I also did NOT see a single "condescending"
> or insulting comment in there.

as is YOUR right to view it deferently than I

Does not affect one way or the other wether I was insulted

>
> > > > > The ONLY "garbage" here is your cowardly attempts to dodge
> > > > > responsibility for your rude behaviour.
> > > > >
> > > > > I adknowledge my actions and yours I am indeed respinible for rebuking
> > > > > him as a smart ###
> > > >
> > > > No...
> > > >
> > > > You are "respinible" for acting like a spoiled, irresponsible
> > > > brat.
> > >
> > > IYO
> >
> In my well informed and substantiated opinion, Mark.

well now backing it off to your opinion, progress little boy
>
> > > > > > > You act illogically. Repeatedly.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Something has to true to be true.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Absolutely. And it's true that you're illogical.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > In your eyes perhaps
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In everyones EXCEPT yours.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > funny I should that back at you
> > > > >
> > > > > More illogical abuse of the language.
> > > >
> > > > not logical or illogical
> >
> Things must be one of the two, Markie.

no they don't

>
> Which is it?

neither

not a matter of logic at all

>
> > > > > > > Witness the following:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is logical or
> > > > > > > illogical"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The statement is perfectly logical, and absolutely true, of course it
> > > > > > helps if you don't destroy the context of a statement. "Something has
> > > > > > to true to be true. Not becuase you say so and not becuase it is
> > > > > > logical or illogical " in full
> > > > >
> > > > > It's "illogical" because it says absolutely nothing.
> > > >
> > > > False in every sence
> > >
> > > "Sense"...And no, it's not.
> >
> > yes it says something, it also says something, and nothing good that
> > you can't see it
>
> What is "says" is that Markie Morgan jumbled a bunch of words in a
> paragraph and passed it off as "something".

ok one level you are indeed correct

that you are unable to understand it meaning says a lot about you,
nothing good I assure you

>
> "Something" has yet to be determined.

No It already has, just not by you

>
> > > > > It's several multisyllable words in what APPEARS to be a sentence.
> > > > >
> > > > > You are now, have been and shall continue to be the "court jester"
> > > > > of RRAP as long as you refuse to do somehting about your fractured
> > > > > English and alleged "dyslexia".
> > > >
> > > > so
> > >
> > > So you're even MORE laughable for doing it.
> >
> > so
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! !

more crying Stevie that is what it looks like not laughter

>
> > > > > And as long as you do continue to refuse to fix the problem, don't
> > > > > fuss at people who laugh at you for looking like an idiot...
> > > > >
> > > > > that isn't what is happening you are choosing to pick a fight over
> > > > > nothing BTW I am choosing to in it to a point
> > > >
> > > > It is "something"...It's YOU being rude.
> > >
> > > IYO sure I am rude
> >
> > In FACT you are rude, Markie...My opinion has little to do with it.

ah well backsliding again

>
> > Grow up
> >
> Learn English. Learn manners. Avoid being seen in the daylight.

Grow up little boy please

and drop the daylight references

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1049. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 16:54:39 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121360079.098084.282130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

24. K4YZ Jul 14, 2:31 am show options

n9ogl wrote:
> what's your problem??? Was you molested when you were younger, or
> perhaps it's due to an very small penis, so you have to vent your hate
> onto other people because of your small penis.
> perhaps you the one gay and you can't admit to yourself that your gay
> and you have a small penis not to mention you were molested as a small
> child.

>Only five lines of text and seven references to sexual perversion
>and child abuse.
>And Todd wonders why he gets treated the way he does.

>Steve, K4YZ
Well steve, I don't let little anonymous pansy go around call me names
when the asshole don't even know me.

Todd N9OGL

1050. point of Order corecting N9OGL Stevie ain't ever slick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 17:02:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121358297.573758.293950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112310

sorry but you are worng stevie isn't slick he leaves his fingerprints
all over stuff

N9OGL wrote:
> >From Steve Wed Jul 13 12:57:28 2005
> X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.208; Wed, 13 Jul
> 2005 12:57:53 -0700
> X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
> Return-Path: <k4yz@aol.com>
> Authentication-Results: mta177.mail.re2.yahoo.com from=aol.com;
> domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
> Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
> mta177.mail.re2.yahoo.com with SMTP; Wed, 13 Jul 2005 12:57:53 -0700
> Received: from bla163.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
> blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 33F621A873 for
> <toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Wed, 13 Jul 2005 12:57:28 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-ID:
> <30809456.1121284643199.JavaMail.root@bla163.prod.google.com>
> From: "Steve" <k4yz@aol.com> Add to Address Book
> To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
> Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: NEW BBS
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Wed, 13 Jul 2005 12:57:28 -0700 (PDT)
> Content-Length: 352
> Steve has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> Stop writing to me and harrassing me,
> or else I will contact your new ISP
> and complain. Do you really want to
> lose another ISP, Toad?
>
> God, you are stupid.
>
> Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
> Post: NEW BBS
> Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/07/new-bbs.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/

1051. Stevie n+2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 17:06:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121360772.565374.248490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112311

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Answer my question, please...
> > > > >
> > > > > How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> > > > > with them...???
> > > >
> > > > well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
> > > > radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
> > > > signals wether i know the letter codes or not
> > >
> > > You are responsible for the proper operation of your radio
> > > station.
> >
> > agreed
> > >
> > > Yaesu (NOT "Yeaszu") is NOT responsible for the emissions of the
> > > radio.
> >
> > agreed but it can none the less be relied on to to make the right
> > emissions, indeed I can'r tell if it were not neither can most Hams
>
> No...it CANNOT be "relied on" to make the right emissions.

why not

>
> YOU are required to ensure that it does.

nope I am respoible if doesn't

>
> > Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules
>
> Absolutely.

prove it

>
> > > E V E R Y RF generating device has the potential of radiating a
> > > signal other than the one designed for.
> >

> > yep it does your point
> >
> > If your radio was doing how would YOU know
> >
> > >
> > > Ask Lennie.
> >
> > why you aran't saying something I don't know
>
> There's a LOT of things I can say that you don't know.

which doesn't answer the question

>
> For example, just about anything about Amateur Radio, the Armed
> Forces, healthcare, sociology, and a wealth of other topics.

more venom
>
> Huge snip. This punk is beinging to bore me....
>
> > > You for trying to file such a "case" considering the tons of
> > > admissions of sexual perversion and wilfull mistruthfulness on your
> > > part,
> >
> > Being homosexaul or bisexual is not ilegal, nor lieing on the Interent
> >
> > you impling I am a pedophile is and remain Libel (or slander depneding
> > on wether the net is viewed as written or spoken)
>
> I didn't state nor imply that you were a pedophile...
>
> I >>>DID<<< state it was dangerous to have children around
> you...but that's only becasue you are ignorant, illiterate, and have
> OTHER sexual deviations. Pedophilia was not brought up by me, but since
> it's obviously on YOUR mind, why IS it on your mind...?!?!

it is the clear implication indeed you repat the libel when you say
anything about my sexuality has anything to do with children

None of my sexaul practices have anything to do with children

If being ignorant was a reason to take children away yours would be
long gone

>
> > > Or your "partner" for suggesting such might be possible
> > > considering the aforementioned evidence that exists in Google archives.
> >
> > Huh?
>
> Have your "partner" read it, then write it out on gradeschool

> learning tablet in crayone for you, Mikeie.

Mikeie who is that

>
> > again I can free admit to everything you I posted none of which make me
> > a pedophile as you imply
>
> I didn't say you were a pedophile.

You implied and you contiue to do so

>
> I said it would be dangerous to leave children in your care.

by impling my sexaulity has anything to do with children that is
>
> There's a big difference.

not realy all is libel

>
> > > I never "granted" you anything. Those are YOUR words...Not mine,
> > > you "forger" ! ! !
> >
> > your words are below agian
> >
> > you granted or agreed or conceeded or whatever but you said I had the
> > rigt below
> >
> > >
> > > > " Actually, I consider you to have MORE rights than I consider
> > > > necesssary for myself.
> > > >
> > > > Being an idiot is one of them. Being a chronic liar is yet
> > > > another.
> > >
> > > Yes, you are an idiot and you are a chronic liar.
> >
> > and you yourself say I have that right
> >
> > so in hassling me you admit to being a lair
>
> I'm neither hassling you nor am I a "lair".

yes you are
>
> You come here voluntarily. You post in a publc forum, ergo you
> grant permission for any who care to do so to comment on your posts.

coment yes

thraten libel harrass stalk no

>
> I simply point out your errors, lack of English comprehension and
> your volumous pages of lies and deceit.

no you make threats, comit libel

>
> > > My point is that anyone can surf Google under any of your
> > > "KONSTANS" nomme-de-guerre's you've used and see what kind of a lyig
> > > creep you are.
> >
> > what is wrong with using my name online?
>
> "KONSTANS" is not your name.

but it is my middle name Stevie

>
> > you have changed Call sign a few times (4 changes 3 calls by my count)
>
> We're not discussing Amateur Radio callsigns. All of which were
> legal administratives procedures, documented in public archives.

I am never sure what you are discussing I am discussing issues I am
sure you are not

but then that is my right

>
> > and where I do deny that I do lie
> >
> > I do reservse to right to point out where you make up things I never
> > said, but I am not honest about many facts in my bio. I have my
> > reasons, and it is my right.
>
> I've not made up ANYthing about anything you've "said" Markie.

yes you have

>
> I couldn't have made that stuff up if I wanted to.

you did make a lot of it up

>
> > > They can also surf KB9RQZ, "MWMORGAN" and a plethora of othr names
> > > you've come and gone under as you bounce from ISP to ISP...
> >
> > you oppose shoping for better deals on interent?
>
> Sure you can.

then what is your beef with my doing so

you clearly have one to be bring it up after 8 years

>
> It's just been funny on those occassions (like with "mwmorgan")
> where you initially tried to "hide" your identity with that creepy
> abortion of what you call "English".

a simple lie on your part, each I change ISP I end with a different
email address

>
> > > Speaking of which, I can only hope and pray that you finally
> > > ditched that ISP you alleged was charging you $5/hr.
> >
> > well I still subcribe to their service for use when I travel, since the
> > 5$/hour rate came wit the use of a 1-800number to reach them was
> > cheaper than paying long distance
>
> Sheeesh....

you object to me deciding what service are of value to me

>
> And my AOL still only costs less than $23 A MONTH.

Plus long distanse if needed

and the trouble of reporgrming the phone evrytime I change city on a
trip

>
> NetZero, Wal-Mart Connect and others are even less.

but don't provide the service I want and am willing to pay for when I
travel

>
> > > > making up stuff as a point of fact my partner is female was avoiding it
> > > > becuase it wasn't and isn't any of your affair
> > >
> > > Your sister? Or mom?
> >
> > more libel
>
> Nope.

yes it is libel

>
> Questions.
>
> Notice the "question marks" after each?

so

you are impling I am have sex with those persons

>
> > > No self-respecting English speaking woman >>I<< know would
> > > tolerate a professed liar like you unless they were in your gene pool
> > > or your will.
> >
> > you have a limited aquantanceship, but then she is in my will of course
> > isn't your wifein yours?
> >
> > as to wether she is self respecting you have never met me now you
> > presume to judge a women you have not even read her words?
>
> I am sure if they make a keyboard set up in jibberishese we'll be
> hearing from her too,

more insults

Learn manners

>
> > > > > So he's "guilty" for imparting MORE knowledge than you are capble
> > > > > of processing...
> > > > >
> > > > He imparted no knowledge something you have already agreed
> > > >
> > > No, I have NOT agreed.
> > >
> > > Hans clearly DID "impart knowledge"...YOU, on the otherhand, were
> > > just too stupid to assimilate it.
> >
> > whcih means you agree he imparted nothing to me, for what ever reason
>
> No.

yes

>
> It means you were too stupid to assimilate it.

even if ture it maens he imparted nothing

>
> > > > > But you STILL couldn't be polite to him and ask for a better
> > > > > clarification if you didn't understand...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > I did ask politely
> > > >
> > > > I said "Auf Anglish Bitte"
> > >
> > > Why do you insist on trying to look like you can speak German when
> > > you clearly can't even do it in English?
> >
> > huh?
> >

> > I am trying to make it look like I speak german?
> >
> > news to me
>
> I am sure a lot of things are "news to (you)", Mikie.

I am often surpirsed when you inform me what I am up to

>
> > Auf Anglish bitte
> >
> > oder auf Deutche bitte
> >
> > prehaps you will make more sense in german
> >
> > If I wrote Je parle Fransse that would I was trying to show people I
> > speak french?
>
> Yes. And you mispelled "French" wrong.

nope would mean I was making a point

>
> If you're going to try and write in that language, can you not so
> it correctly?

obvously, not why do ask?
>
> > > > Stevie YOU insting on means it will not happen if hans asks I will
> > > > consider it If Jim Ney asks I will consider it, but the more you go the
> > > > less likely i will do it
> > > >
> > > > I do nothing to please you
> > >
> > > Sure you do.
> >
> > reallY then of course what is your beef?
>
> You're a liar. I don't tolerate liars.

grow up

you do tolerate them several hunderd of them they are called Congress

>
> > > And who's "Jim Ney"...???
> >
> > Jim you he is round here
>
> Huh?
>
> Who are you talking about?
>

> There a half dozen "Jim's" who participate in this forum.

Only see one these days
but he is a person with 2 properites relavant at the moment, he isn't
you or ahns,a dn he is procode

>
> > > > > > Hans (nor anyone else) is entitled an apology where no offense was
> > > > > > intended with indaicating in some form he was offended
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously he was.
> > > > >
> > > > > obviously was what?
> > > > >
> > > > > auf anglish bitte
> > > >
> > > If you're going to ask a question, Markie, regardless of the
> > > language you're trying to abuse, please use the proper punctuation.
> > >
> > why?
> > >
> > >
> > > And what part of "offended" do you not understand?
> > >
> > no part but Hans and Hans alone is a proper judge of wether Hans was
> > offended, not Stevie, Unless of you are posting as Hans yourself and as
> > Stevie
> > >
> > Hans can ask and I'll consider it, Bill Sohl could ask and Id consdier
> > it etc, I don't do it for Stevie
> > >
> > >
> > > > > And like I said...if you haven't got the cajones to just offer him
> > > > > a sincere apology on your own, it's not..well...sincere...
> > > > >
> > > > > oh I see what you mean now took you long enough to say what you mean
> > > > > (if you are saying what you mean now)...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > Huh?
> > >
> > clear enough slerly than your intent has been
> > >
> > >
> > > > > Then you have been chasing a wild goose form...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > A "wild goose form"..?!?!
> > >
> > > Is that as opposed to a tame goose form?
> > >
> > > How can you tell?
> > >

> > Don't you know?
> >
> > >
> > > > (UNSNIP)...moment one in this thread since of course If aplogize to
> > > > Hans now you will not consider it sincere, so logicly thier is
> > > > certianly no point in my doing so
> > >
> > > Sure there is...
> > >
> > > Because it's the right thing to do.
> >
> > according to you No it would not be
>
> Sure it would.

No it would not BYS since if I aplogize to Hans I would be sateing
another lie

>
> It would show that you've got at least a SMALL spark of civility in
> you.

then you are leing about your intolerance of lying Stevie
>
> So far.....Squat.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1052. Stevie shit n+3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 17:11:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121361110.831054.198120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112312

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > ...of any restriction of modes on 60m nor any
> > > > > mention of 60 metter in part 97 at all...
> > > >
> > > > §97.303(s) is very clear on the topic. You're correct that it doesn't
> > > > specifically call it a "60 metter" band, but it DOES spell out in detail the
> > > > mode restrictions and other technical requirements and restrictions of the
> > > > frequencies I >think< you call "60 metters".
> > >
> > > Markie doesn't like getting his nose just RUBBBED in
> > > something...he has to have it slammed, poked, jabbed and nearly ripped
> > > off until the point gets through to him.
> > >
> > > See y'all on "60 metters"...! All except Markie and Lennie, that
> > > is!
> >
> > as is normal for HIm Steve chooses to post without bothing with the
> > subject

break
>
> It had everything to do with the subject, Markie. You.

Nope the Subject was amonoulous data coming fromt the FCC on the Rules
of the ARS
>
> For the last 2 weeks I've been berating you for your childish,
> uncivil behaviour towards Hans. You treated him rudely, and there was
> no excuse for it.

wether I was or wasnt has nothing to do with what posted and accesd
through FCC.gov

>
> Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
> THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
> there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
> "there".
>
> So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by

> opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
> arrogance and ignorance.

no I was comening on content of FCC.gov

you are the one that never let anything drop

You are still harrasing me about changing ISP's In 1998
>
> This had zero-point-squat to do with your "cmoment about Part
> 97"....It had everything to do with you trying to find some way out of
> the spanking you were taking for your behaviour. You were looking for
> SOME vector to allow you to redirect ANY of that to Hans.

nope
>
> It didn't work. Landed right back in YOUR lap AGAIN.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1053. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 17:13:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121361197.298293.67800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112313

K4YZ wrote:
> >From the Arizona Republic online:
>
> Quote: A wake-up call from Luke's jets
>
> Jun. 23, 2005 12:00 AM
>
> "Question of the day for Luke Air Force Base: Whom do we thank for the
> morning air show?
>
> Last Wednesday, at precisely 9:11 a.m., a tight formation of four
> F-16 jets made a low pass over Arrowhead Mall, continuing west over
> Bell Road at approximately 500 feet. Imagine our good fortune!
>
> Do the Tom Cruise-wannabes feel we need this wake-up call, or were they
> trying to impress the cashiers at Mervyns' early-bird special?
>
> Any response would be appreciated."

Off topic having nothing to do the ARS or subject RRAP

>
> **********
>
> The reply is classic, and a testament to the professionalism and
> heroism of the folks in the armed services. The response:
>
> Quote: Regarding "A wake-up call from Luke's jets" (Letters, Thursday):
>
> On June 15, at precisely 9:12 a.m., a perfectly timed four-ship of
> F-16s from the 63rd Fighter Squadron at Luke Air Force Base flew over
> the grave of Capt Jeremy Fresques.
>
> Capt. Fresques was an Air Force officer who was previously stationed at
> Luke Air Force Base and was killed in Iraq on May 30, Memorial Day.
>
> At 9 a.m. on June 15, his family and friends gathered at Sunland
> Memorial Park in Sun City to mourn the loss of a husband, son and
> friend.
>
> Based on the letter writer's recount of the flyby, and because of the
> jet noise, I'm sure you didn't hear the 21-gun salute, the playing of
> taps, or my words to the widow and parents of Capt. Fresques as I gave
> them their son's flag on behalf of the president of the United States
> and all those veterans and servicemen and women who understand the

> sacrifices they have endured.
>
> A four-ship flyby is a display of respect the Air Force pays to those
> who give their lives in defense of freedom. We are professional
> aviators and take our jobs seriously, and on June 15 what the letter
> writer witnessed was four officers lining up to pay their ultimate
> respects.
>
> The letter writer asks, "Whom do we thank for the morning air show?"
>
> The 56th Fighter Wing will call for you, and forward your thanks to the
> widow and parents of Capt. Fresques, and thank them for you, for it was
> in their honor that my pilots flew the most honorable formation of
> their lives.
>
> Lt. Col. Scott Pleus
> CO 63rd Fighter Squadron
> Luke Air Force Base

1054. kinda of topic) A lession in Manners for Hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 17:29:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121362169.598385.173620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112314

If you are going to send me email and Block my reply, then that is certianly a rude thing to do

don't send me email. I'll report it and/or repost it or about thing I like, esp if you not prepared for a reple

1055. Re: kinda of topic) A lession in Manners for Hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 17:54:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5FxBe.3418$dU3.3178@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112315

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> If you are going to send me email and Block my reply, then that is
> certianly a rude thing to do

Nothing personal, Mark. My ISP blocks >everyone< unless they specifically ask to be unblocked. You didn't follow my ISP directions to become unblocked. I apologize for them if that was an inconvenience to you.

> don't send me email.

Above you say "If you are going to send me email....", then you say "don't send me email." Did you change your mind between those two statements, or do they both apply? If they both apply, which applies the most?

Again, my apologies for my ISP if you couldn't understand their instructions on becoming unblocked.

de Hans, K0HB

1056. Markie Continues To Prove Claims of Ignorance. Thanks, Markie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 18:42:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121366544.099764.201530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112316

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > It had everything to do with the subject, Markie. You.
>
> Nope the Subject was amonoulous data coming fromt the FCC on the Rules
> of the ARS

Nope.

It had to do with YOUR gross ignorance and inability to comprehend
even the

> > For the last 2 weeks I've been berating you for your childish,
> > uncivil behaviour towards Hans. You treated him rudely, and there was
> > no excuse for it.
>
> wether I was or wasnt has nothing to do with what posted and accesd
> through FCC.gov

I found the items Hans was refering to without the slightest
impediment or error.

> > Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
> > THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
> > there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
> > "there".
> >
> > So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by
> > opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
> > arrogance and ignorance.
>
> no I was comening on content of FCC.gov

You were trying to find a way out of the public spanking you've
been taking for your rude behaviour.

It backfired on you.

> you are the one that never let anything drop

Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
you right now.

> You are still harrasing me about changing ISP's In 1998

You just stated 2 days ago that you allegedly continue to use the
fictitious $5.00/hr ISP.

And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
your humourous

> > This had zero-point-squat to do with your "cmoment about Part
> > 97"....It had everything to do with you trying to find some way out of
> > the spanking you were taking for your behaviour. You were looking for
> > SOME vector to allow you to redirect ANY of that to Hans.
>
> nope

Yep. And it STILL didn't work.

> > It didn't work. Landed right back in YOUR lap AGAIN.

Do you not see that there's nothing you do that even the most
common of common sense can't see through, Markie? You're SO
transparent that one not need be a gastroenerologist to see where your
head is....

Steve, K4yz

1057. MARK MORGAN, KB9RQZ, HAS AIDS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:16:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121368584.370872.283090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112317

AIDS: (as it applies to Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ

Arrogant
Ignorant
Depreciating
Selfish

Just a lesson for you, Markie...

Since you like to make up "definitions" as you go...there's one of
my own.

See how doing so confuses the reader and the conversation...?!?!

Now...back to the ususal rants.....

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > agreed but it can none the less be relied on to to make the right
> > > emissions, indeed I can'r tell if it were not neither can most Hams
> > >
> > > No...it CANNOT be "relied on" to make the right emissions.
> >
> > why not

Becasue it's a machine, and machines wear out.

> > YOU are required to ensure that it does.
> >
> > nope I am respoible if doesn't

You are "respoible" to make sure it doesn't happen...NOT to wait
until it does.

> > > Can you test YOUR rig to see that it complies with the rules
> > >
> > > Absolutely.
> >
> > prove it

Simple.

Write a letter to Riley Hollingsworth.

Ask him how many times I've been cited for busting Part 97
technical standards.

> > > > E V E R Y RF generating device has the potential of radiating a
> > > > signal other than the one designed for.
> > >
> > > yep it does your point
> > >
> > > If your radio was doing how would YOU know
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Ask Lennie.
> > >
> > > why you aran't saying something I don't know
> >
> > There's a LOT of things I can say that you don't know.
>
> which doesn't answer the question

There's not enough bandwidth to address what you don't know,
Markie.

That you DON'T know a lot about Amateur Radio is enough for this
forum.

> > For example, just about anything about Amateur Radio, the Armed
> > Forces, healthcare, sociology, and a wealth of other topics.
>
> more venom

Nope.

It's all true.

Sorry you don't agree, but thems the facts.

> > I >>>DID<<< state it was dangerous to have children around
> > you...but that's only becasue you are ignorant, illiterate, and have
> > OTHER sexual deviations. Pedophilia was not brought up by me, but since
> > it's obviously on YOUR mind, why IS it on your mind...?!?!
>
> it is the clear implication indeed you repat the libel when you say
> anything about my sexuality has anything to do with children

One can be a danger to children without being a pedophile.

> None of my sexaul practices have anything to do with children

One can be a danger to children without being a pedophile.

> If being ignorant was a reason to take children away yours would be
> long gone

Being ignorant IS a reason to get kids taken away which is why my
non-majority daughter is still here.

> > > > Or your "partner" for suggesting such might be possible
> > > > considering the aforementioned evidence that exists in Google archives.

> > >
> > > Huh?
> >
> > Have your "partner" read it, then write it out on gradeschool
> > learning tablet in crayone for you, Mikeie.
>
> Mikeie who is that

That would be you.

> > > again I can free admit to everything you I posted none of which make me
> > > a pedophile as you imply
> >
> > I didn't say you were a pedophile.
>
> You implied and you contiue to do so

I implied nothing of the sort.

I DID say you are dangerous to leave children around. That
doesn't necessarilly mean you're a pesophile.

> > I said it would be dangerous to leave children in your care.
>
> by impling my sexaulity has anything to do with children that is

Not about sexuality...about deviance.

> > There's a big difference.
>
> not realy all is libel

Nope.

The fact alone that you've professed mental defect and
acknowledged your predaliction for lying in public sets that stage.

> > > so in hassling me you admit to being a lair
> >
> > I'm neither hassling you nor am I a "lair".
>
> yes you are

No, I'm not.

> > You come here voluntarily. You post in a publc forum, ergo you
> > grant permission for any who care to do so to comment on your posts.
>
> coment yes
>
> thraten libel harrass stalk no

Was that a sentence?

What's "thraten"...????

An no one's threatening, harrassing or stalking you.

> > I simply point out your errors, lack of English comprehension and
> > your volumous pages of lies and deceit.
>
> no you make threats, comit libel

No to both.

You'e an admitted liar and sexual deviant, Markie. Your words,
not mine.

> > > > My point is that anyone can surf Google under any of your
> > > > "KONSTANS" nomme-de-guerre's you've used and see what kind of a lyig
> > > > creep you are.
> > >
> > > what is wrong with using my name online?
> >
> > "KONSTANS" is not your name.
>
> but it is my middle name Stevie

But not here. And not with a "K".

> > > you have changed Call sign a few times (4 changes 3 calls by my count)
> >
> > We're not discussing Amateur Radio callsigns. All of which were
> > legal administratives procedures, documented in public archives.
>
> I am never sure what you are discussing I am discussing issues I am
> sure you are not
>
> but then that is my right

It's your right to be confused? Missed that one in Civics class.

> > > and where I do deny that I do lie
> > >
> > > I do reservse to right to point out where you make up things I never
> > > said, but I am not honest about many facts in my bio. I have my
> > > reasons, and it is my right.
> >
> > I've not made up ANYthing about anything you've "said" Markie.
>
> yes you have

Nope. All of it true.

> > I couldn't have made that stuff up if I wanted to.
>
> you did make a lot of it up

Nope. Not a lot. The N9OGL and KB9RQZ thing was a joke, but
everything else is true.

You continue to prove it.

> > > > They can also surf KB9RQZ, "MWMORGAN" and a plethora of othr names
> > > > you've come and gone under as you bounce from ISP to ISP...
> > >
> > > you oppose shoping for better deals on interent?
> >
> > Sure you can.
>
> then what is your beef with my doing so
>
> you clearly have one to be bring it up after 8 years

Nope.

It's pointing out how you've (hillariously) tried to "hide" behind
some of them...As if that abortion of the English language of yours
could be hidden.

> > It's just been funny on those occassions (like with "mwmorgan")
> > where you initially tried to "hide" your identity with that creepy
> > abortion of what you call "English".
>
> a simple lie on your part, each I change ISP I end with a different
> email address

Not even a complex lie, Markie.

You tried to hide.

> > > > Speaking of which, I can only hope and pray that you finally
> > > > ditched that ISP you alleged was charging you $5/hr.
> > >
> > > well I still subcribe to their service for use when I travel, since the
> > > 5$/hour rate came wit the use of a 1-800number to reach them was
> > > cheaper than paying long distance
> >
> > Sheeesh....
>
> you object to me deciding what service are of value to me

It's not about what's "of value" to you Markie...YOU were the one
who stated that it was costing you so much money to read "dreck" in
your mailbox because of the cost of yoru ISP...

> > And my AOL still only costs less than $23 A MONTH.
>
> Plus long distanse if needed

Not needed. I have yet to go anywhere where using remote dial-up
was necessary.

You are not now in such circumstances, nor as best I can tell,
were you in Illiopolis.

> and the trouble of reporgrming the phone evrytime I change city on a
> trip

Oh whoopie-doo...10-15 seconds of time.

> > NetZero, Wal-Mart Connect and others are even less.
>
> but don't provide the service I want and am willing to pay for when I
> travel

Uh huh....

> > > > > making up stuff as a point of fact my partner is female was avoiding it
> > > > > becuase it wasn't and isn't any of your affair
> > > >
> > > > Your sister? Or mom?
> > >
> > > more libel
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes it is libel

Nope.

> > Questions.
> >
> > Notice the "question marks" after each?
>
> so
>
> you are impling I am have sex with those persons

Are you?

> > > > No self-respecting English speaking woman >>I<< know would
> > > > tolerate a professed liar like you unless they were in your gene pool
> > > > or your will.
> > >
> > > you have a limited aquantanceship, but then she is in my will of course
> > > isn't your wifein yours?
> > >
> > > as to wether she is self respecting you have never met me now you
> > > presume to judge a women you have not even read her words?
> >
> > I am sure if they make a keyboard set up in jibberishese we'll be
> > hearing from her too,
>
> more insults
>
> Learn manners

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA

! ! !

Hey punk! YOU are NOT the person to be telling ANYone about
"manners!"

> > > > > > So he's "guilty" for imparting MORE knowledge than you are capble
> > > > > > of processing...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He imparted no knowledge something you have already agreed
> > > > >
> > > > > No, I have NOT agreed.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hans clearly DID "impart knowledge"...YOU, on the otherhand, were
> > > > > just too stupid to assimilate it.
> > > >
> > > whcih means you agree he imparted nothing to me, for what ever reason
> > >
> > No.
> >
> yes

Nope. Hans did impart knowledge to you.

You WERE and STILL CONTINUE TO BE too ignorant to assimilate it.

> > It means you were too stupid to assimilate it.
> >
> even if ture it maens he imparted nothing

It's "ture" and it "maens" you are too ignorant to understand it.

Hans' ONLY mistake was assuming you'd make use of it.

> > I am sure a lot of things are "news to (you)", Mikie.
> >
> I am often surpirsed when you inform me what I am up to

I ahve no doubt that you often require external forces to guide
your day.

> > > Auf Anglish bitte
> > >
> > > oder auf Deutche bitte
> > >
> > > prehaps you will make more sense in german
> > >
> > > If I wrote Je parle Fransse that would I was trying to show people I
> > > speak french?
> > >
> > Yes. And you mispelled "French" wrong.
> >
> nope would mean I was making a point

No...it would mean you look ignorant trying to "speak" foreign
languages you clearly don't know how to speak.

> > If you're going to try and write in that language, can you not so
> > it correctly?
>
> obvously, not why do ask?

Was that a sentence?

What were you asking?

> > You're a liar. I don't tolerate liars.
>
> grow up

I have. And you're still a liar and I don't tolerate liars.

> you do tolerate them several hunderd of them they are called Congress

There's some good libel for ya...

> > > > And who's "Jim Ney"...???
> > > >
> > > Jim you he is round here
> > >
> > Huh?
> > >
> > Who are you talking about?
> > >
> > There a half dozen "Jim's" who participate in this forum.
> >
> Only see one these days
> but he is a person with 2 properites relavant at the moment, he isn't
> you or ahns,a dn he is procode

Huh?

What's "ahns'a"...?!?!

What two properties? We're discussing real estate now?

> > > > > (UNSNIP)...moment one in this thread since of course If aplogize to
> > > > > Hans now you will not consider it sincere, so logicly thier is
> > > > > certianly no point in my doing so
> > > > >
> > > > Sure there is...
> > > > >
> > > > Because it's the right thing to do.
> > > >
> > > according to you No it would not be
> > >
> > Sure it would.
> >
> No it would not BYS since if I aplogize to Hans I would be sateing

> another lie

I have no doubt that you are sated by many lies.

> > It would show that you've got at least a SMALL spark of civility in
> > you.
>
> then you are leing about your intolerance of lying Stevie

Nope.

> > So far.....Squat.

Still squat.

Steve, K4YZ

1058. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:18:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121368696.849726.197310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112318

an_old_friend wrote:

> Off topic having nothing to do the ARS or subject RRAP

Take two aspirin and call someone who cares, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1059. Re: Stevie shit n+1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:32:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121369538.756973.313320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112319

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > You were a heel. On several levels.
> > >
> > > IYO
> >
> > In my well informed and substantiated opinon, Mark.
>
> well now backing it off to your opinion, progress little boy

You really DO have a reading comprehension problem, don't
you...?!?!

> > He answered your question...thoroughly, completely, and
> > accurately.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > You acted like a punk.
>
> define punk perhaps I will agree with you, doubt it though

A punk is what YOU are, Markie...Selfish...Ignorant...Arrogant...

> > > > Nope. Public forum. You were an idiot and it's my "right" to
> > > > comment on it as long as I care to.
> > >
> > > which doesn't alter the fact that you simply stiring up trouble
> >
> > Nope. Commenting on your rudeness.
>
> stiring up trouble

You provided the ingredients, Mark.

> > > never said you can't coment
> >
> > I didn't say you said that. But you're still rude.
>
> IYO

Fact.

> > > > > > YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
> > > > > > person who provided it to you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > the inforamation was not provided
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure it was. Exactly and concisely.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > Absolutely.
>
> Not at all

Then we have caught you in one of your admitted lies again,Markie.

> > > > > > then the poster was rebuked mildly on the scale of things
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU had nothing to "rebuke" him on.
> > >
> > > I certianly did
> >
> > No you didn't.
>
> YEP I did

No, you did not.

> you just think you are Miss Manners

Mr Manners, actually.

> I do suspect the real one is prettier

No doubt. ANYthing's prettier than what you wake up to in the
mirror, Markie...

> > > > The first was to correct your erroneous conception of that
> > > > allocation as a "band". He did so without calling you names or
> > > > insulting you.
> > >
> > > he DID insult me
> >
> > How?
>
> by presuming to crroct me when I was not wrong. It is a Band The ARRL
> calls it one

The ARRL is not the FCC.

And you were obvioulsy ill-informed on all other aspects of the
nature of the 60 meter allocation.

> > The ARRL is not the FCC.
>
> so

>
> the ARRL is generaly acceptned as an authority on Ham radio

Even "authorities" get things wrong.

> > > so My assumtion was not errounous
> >
> > "Assumption"
> >
> > And yes, it was wrong.
>
> nope

Yep. Was wrong the first time, and every time you've tried to
defend it.

> > > > Then he gave you the EXACT mode that IS authorized on those
> > > > frequencies for US use. If you couldn't extrapolate that since SSB is
> > > > the only mode authorized there that Morse Code is not, that's YOUR
-> > > > problem...
> > >
> > > which means he did not answer may question
> >
> > He answered your July question, though.
>
> what july question? I ask more than one a month
>
> Indeed I even tlak about Ham Radio on here unlike your yourself

What "Ham Radio"..???

You can't get it right.

> > > > Lastly, he treated you as if you MIGHT be able to understand it.
> > > > Perhaps a bit of a stretch knowing what an idiot you really are, but he
> > > > DID give you the benefit of the doubt.
> > >
> > > No has was condesnding and insulting
> >
> > No.
>
> yes he was

No, he wasn't.

> > You PERCIEVE it as "condesnding and insulting" only because you
> > didn't understand the asnwer.
>
> and my preception makes it fact in this case. consult the law on
> obsense or harrasing mail for example

Nope.

He gave you precise technical information.

You then insulted him for his efforts.

> > I understood it fine. I also did NOT see a single "condescending"
> > or insulting comment in there.
>
> as is YOUR right to view it deferently than I
>
> Does not affect one way or the other wether I was insulted

You weren't insulted...Not by Hans, anyway.

> > > Grow up
> >
> > Learn English. Learn manners. Avoid being seen in the daylight.
>
> Grow up little boy please

Learn English. Learn manners. Avoid being seen in the daylight.

> and drop the daylight references

I'll do no such thing. If YOU can be a chronic liar, an
unrepentant abuser, sexual deviatant and all-around creep, >>I<< can
talk about "daylight".

Steve, K4YZ

1060. Re: stevie shit n

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:38:08 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <VsednYykDNDzU0vfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112333

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:

>>>
>>>How do you follow morse code if a single letter stimies you?
>>
>> Because I've not met a Morse operator who spells like you do.

How do you know? Bet you can't even copy Morse.

1061. Markie Suffering Alzheimers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:39:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121369955.204776.63680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112320

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM
> > >
> > > been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
> > > evidence of Combat
> >
> > And where on line do you expect to find that?
>
> you claimed you had posted to the newsgroup proof of your claims of
> combat

I never said that.

> I guess from your new line you are no longer claimg that you posted
> proof

I never said that.

> > Please note the people with whom you were grouped, Mark.
>
> So I wasn't there, had not said word one to you in years, and you still
> still forth hate and venom at me

It's not hate and vbenom, Markie.

It's a complete lack of respect for a fellow American who abuses
the priviliges of "freedom of speech" with the chronic use of lies and
deceit.

> > Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.
>
> form you I guess I have to take that as an Honor

You would.

> That you were still timking of me after all those years was in a sense
> flatering, also a bit frightening as to the degree and depth of your
> hate for a man you never met

I don't hate you, Mark.

I pity you.

And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.

> > > BTW he was using anything like proper sentence form
> >
> > Yep. Absolutley proper. Read a newspaper, Time or Newsweek once
> > in a while, Markie.
>
> No verb
>
> not proper written english just done

I sure do wish you could appreciate just how funny that "sentence"
was, Markie!

> > > > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > > > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > > > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> > > > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> > >
> > > Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good
> >
> > Like a good "what", Markie?
>
> clear enough

No, it wasn't.

You didn't finish the sentence.

> > Finish your sentences of you expect to be understood.
>
> Stevie I don't expect you to understand any sentence I write

Then you should feel quite fulfilled.

> I choose to let the reader fill it in, again you try the times

Try what times?

> > > picking on someone not even around at the time
> >
> > Obviously you were.
>
> No I wasn't

Obviously you were.

> had not been here in years you lieing sack of ####

Obviously you were.

Steve, K4YZ

1062. Re: Stevie claims More authority than the ARRL about the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:44:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112335

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> No I don't you are often confused between facts and oinions, it is
> progress on your part to admit that the topic was merely a matter of
> your inpinion
>

So wonder which Steve puts on his hamburger, fact or oinions? I prefer
oinions myself.

1063. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:44:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121370289.652942.28850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112322

but it does prove you were liying when you said everyone was welcome
to comment

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Off topic having nothing to do the ARS or subject RRAP
>
> Take two aspirin and call someone who cares, Markie.

stop breaking the law btw
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1064. Re: Stevie shit n+1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:44:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TgzBe.3454$dU3.409@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112321

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website

The FCC, who is the only regulatory authority on Amateur Radio in the USA, does not call it a band.

The ARRL has it wrong.

There is no 60m band allocated to amateur radio. There are just 5 specific discrete 5.xxx MHz frequencies allocated.

A "band" is a contiguous block of frequency spectrum bounded by an upper and lower limit, such as the 160m band which is bounded by 1.8 MHz and 2.0MHz as the lower and upper frequency limits, or the 1.25cm data band which is bounded by 219MHz and 220MHz as the lower and upper frequency limits respectively. There is no similar bounded "band" in the vicinity of 60m.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

1065. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:48:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <SN2dnWICDtxTTUvfRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112337

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
>>>>with them...???
>>>
>>>well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
>>>radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
>>>signals wether i know the letter codes or not

Let's see, I am required to obey the speed limit, but I don't have a
clue as to what the speed limit is.

1066. Stevie claims More authority than the ARRL about the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:51:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121370668.593394.186860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112323

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > You were a heel. On several levels.
> > > >
> > > > IYO
> > >
> > > In my well informed and substantiated opinon, Mark.
> >
> > well now backing it off to your opinion, progress little boy
>
> You really DO have a reading comprehension problem, don't
> you...?!?!

No I don't you are often confused between facts and oinions, it is
progress on your part to admit that the topic was merely a matter of
your inpinion

>
> > > He answered your question...thoroughly, completely, and
> > > accurately.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.
>
>
> > > You acted like a punk.
> >
> > define punk perhaps I will agree with you, doubt it though
>
> A punk is what YOU are, Markie...Selfish...Ignorant...Arrogant...

nope thought so

>
> > > > > Nope. Public forum. You were an idiot and it's my "right" to
> > > > > comment on it as long as I care to.
> > > >
> > > > which doesn't alter the fact that you simply stiring up trouble
> > >
> > > Nope. Commenting on your rudeness.

> >
> > stiring up trouble
>
> You provided the ingredients, Mark.

stiring up trouble

>
> > > > never said you can't coment
> > >
> > > I didn't say you said that. But you're still rude.
> >
> > IYO
>
> Fact.

nope IYO

>
> > > > > > > YOU made the trouble by asking for information then insulting the
> > > > > > > person who provided it to you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > the inforamation was not provided
> > > > > >
> > > > > Sure it was. Exactly and concisely.
> > > > >
> > > > nope
> > > >
> > > Absolutely.
> > >
> > Not at all
>
> Then we have caught you in one of your admitted lies again,Markie.

Stevie can't be an admited lie I f don't admit it steve

>
> > > > > > then the poster was rebuked mildly on the scale of things
> > > > > >
> > > > > YOU had nothing to "rebuke" him on.
> > > > >
> > > > I certianly did
> > > >
> > > No you didn't.
> > >
> > YEP I did
>
> No, you did not.

certainly did

>
> > you just think you are Miss Manners

>
> Mr Manners, actually.

you ain't

>
> > I do suspect the real one is prettier
>
> No doubt. ANYthing's prettier than what you wake up to in the
> mirror, Markie...

been stlaking even closer Stevie

>
> > > > > The first was to correct your erroneous conception of that
> > > > > allocation as a "band". He did so without calling you names or
> > > > > insulting you.
> > > > >
> > > > > he DID insult me
> > > >
> > > > How?
> > >
> > > by presuming to crroct me when I was not wrong. It is a Band The ARRL
> > > calls it one
> >
> > The ARRL is not the FCC.

never said it was

>
> And you were obvioulsy ill-informed on all other aspects of the
> nature of the 60 meter allocation.

so

>
> > > The ARRL is not the FCC.
> > >
> > > so
> > >
> > > the ARRL is generaly acceptned as an authority on Ham radio
> >
> > Even "authorities" get things wrong.

they can but youd never know about ti

>
> > > > > so My assumtion was not errounous
> > > >
> > > > "Assumption"
> > > >
> > > > And yes, it was wrong.
> > >

> > nope
>
> Yep. Was wrong the first time, and every time you've tried to
> defend it.

never was wrong still isn't

Old Stveie one note

When was the last time you posted about Radio?
>
> > > > > Then he gave you the EXACT mode that IS authorized on those
> > > > > frequencies for US use. If you couldn't extrapolate that since SSB is
> > > > > the only mode authorized there that Morse Code is not, that's YOUR
> -> > > > problem...
> > > >
> > > > which means he did not answer may question
> > >
> > > He answered your July question, though.
> >
> > what july question? I ask more than one a month
> >
> > Indeed I even tlak about Ham Radio on here unlike your yourself
>
> What "Ham Radio"..???

You don't know what Ham radio is?
>
> You can't get it right.
>
> > > > > Lastly, he treated you as if you MIGHT be able to understand it.
> > > > > Perhaps a bit of a stretch knowing what an idiot you really are, but he
> > > > > DID give you the benefit of the doubt.
> > > >
> > > > No has was condesnding and insulting
> > >
> > > No.
> >
> > yes he was
>
> No, he wasn't.

he was

>
> > > You PERCIEVE it as "condesnding and insulting" only because you
> > > didn't understand the asnwer.
> >
> > and my preception makes it fact in this case. consult the law on
> > obsense or harrasing mail for example
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> He gave you precise technical information.

but not the answer to my question
>
> You then insulted him for his efforts.

yes I did

>
> > > I understood it fine. I also did NOT see a single "condescending"
> > > or insulting comment in there.
> >
> > as is YOUR right to view it deferently than I
> >
> > Does not affect one way or the other wether I was insulted
>
> You weren't insulted...Not by Hans, anyway.

I was

>
> > > > Grow up
> > >
> > > Learn English. Learn manners. Avoid being seen in the daylight.
> >
> > Grow up little boy please
>
> Learn English. Learn manners. Avoid being seen in the daylight.
>
> > and drop the daylight references
>
> I'll do no such thing. If YOU can be a chronic liar, an
> unrepentant abuser, sexual deviatant and all-around creep, >>I<< can
> talk about "daylight".

more bashing stevie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1067. stevie shit n+4 i think

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:55:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121370942.524478.115700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112324

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > It had everything to do with the subject, Markie. You.
> >
> > Nope the Subject was amonoulous data coming fromt the FCC on the Rules
> > of the ARS
>
> Nope.
>
> It had to do with YOUR gross ignorance and inability to comprehend
> even the

even the ...

gues you can't even read the topic

>
> > > For the last 2 weeks I've been berating you for your childish,
> > > uncivil behaviour towards Hans. You treated him rudely, and there was
> > > no excuse for it.
> >
> > wether I was or wasnt has nothing to do with what posted and accesd
> > through FCC.gov
>
> I found the items Hans was refering to without the slightest
> impediment or error.

I found something very different
as well as what Hans posted

>
> > > Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
> > > THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
> > > there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
> > > "there".
> > >
> > > So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by
> > > opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
> > > arrogance and ignorance.
> >
> > no I was comening on content of FCC.gov
>
> You were trying to find a way out of the public spanking you've
> been taking for your rude behaviour.

nope

>
> It backfired on you.

Back fired how?

You decided as expected to attack anything and everything I post

>
> > you are the one that never let anything drop
>
> Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
> you right now.

more threats

>
> > You are still harrasing me about changing ISP's In 1998
>
> You just stated 2 days ago that you allegedly continue to use the
> fictitious $5.00/hr ISP.

which harms you how? affect you how?

I still use concentric on the road to gather my email why does that
concern you?

>
> And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
> your humourous

you were going on and on about the ISP, one would tend to assume you
were tlaking about what you were saying but even that is rash with you

>
> > > This had zero-point-squat to do with your "cmoment about Part
> > > 97"....It had everything to do with you trying to find some way out of
> > > the spanking you were taking for your behaviour. You were looking for
> > > SOME vector to allow you to redirect ANY of that to Hans.
> > >
> > nope
>
> Yep. And it STILL didn't work.

wasn't doin git

>
> > > It didn't work. Landed right back in YOUR lap AGAIN.
>
> Do you not see that there's nothing you do that even the most
> common of common sense can't see through, Markie? You're SO
> transparent that one not need be a gastroenerologist to see where your
> head is....

Stevie grow up

>
> Steve, K4yz

1068. Re: kinda of topic) A lession in Manners for Hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:58:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121371081.098008.19420@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112325

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > If you are going to send me email and Block my reply, then that is
> > certianly a rude thing to do
>
> Nothing personal, Mark. My ISP blocks >everyone< unless they specifically ask
> to be unblocked. You didn't follow my ISP directions to become unblocked. I
> apologize for them if that was an inconvenience to you.

I should not have to ask to reply to you if you send me email

>
> > don't send me email.
>
> Above you say "If you are going to send me email....", then you say "don't send
> me email." Did you change your mind between those two statements, or do they
> both apply? If they both apply, which applies the most?

Both apply It is rude to send my mail and block my mail, but i don't
want your email at all

>
> Again, my apologies for my ISP if you couldn't understand their instructions on
> becoming unblocked.

no insturction were recieved was informed you could choose to but
nothing i could do

>
> de Hans, K0HB

1069. Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 19:58:08 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blumpkin.com>
Message-ID: <db6g61$k2q$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112326

Which one of these is more moron tolerant?

http://www.rara.org/
http://www.lambdaarc.com/

Or should I just join both?

1070. Stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:01:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121371305.276313.301480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112327

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM
> > > >
> > > > been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
> > > > evidence of Combat
> > >
> > > And where on line do you expect to find that?
> >
> > you claimed you had posted to the newsgroup proof of your claims of
> > combat
>
> I never said that.

sure did

>
> > I guess from your new line you are no longer claimg that you posted
> > proof
>
> I never said that.

sure did

>
> > > Please note the people with whom you were grouped, Mark.
> >
> > So I wasn't there, had not said word one to you in years, and you still
> > still forth hate and venom at me
>
> It's not hate and vbenom, Markie.

yes it is
you obviously hate what for I can't understand

>
> It's a complete lack of respect for a fellow American who abuses
> the priviliges of "freedom of speech" with the chronic use of lies and
> deceit.

hate in other words

>
> > > Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.
> >

> > form you I guess I have to take that as an Honor
>
> You would.
>
> > That you were still timking of me after all those years was in a sense
> > flatering, also a bit frightening as to the degree and depth of your
> > hate for a man you never met
>
> I don't hate you, Mark.

sure do hate and/or fear me for some reason

>
> I pity you.

thanks I think

>
> And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.

ah yes self loathing Stevie

>
> > > > BTW he was using anything like proper sentence form
> > >
> > > Yep. Absolutley proper. Read a newspaper, Time or Newsweek once
> > > in a while, Markie.
> >
> > No verb
> >
> > not proper written english just done
>
> I sure do wish you could appreciate just how funny that "sentence"
> was, Markie!

gald you enjoyed it

>
> > > > > > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > > > > > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > > > > > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> > > > > > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> > > > >
> > > > > Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good
> > > >
> > > > Like a good "what", Markie?
> > >
> > > clear enough
> >
> > No, it wasn't.

yes it was

>
> You didn't finish the sentence.
>
> > > Finish your sentences of you expect to be understood.
> >
> > Stevie I don't expect you to understand any sentence I write
>
> Then you should feel quite fulfilled.

why? I simply don't expect you to understand

>
> > I choose to let the reader fill it in, again you try the times
>
> Try what times?

the times either NY or london times if you like

>
> > > > picking on someone not even around at the time
> > >
> > > Obviously you were.
> >
> > No I wasn't
>
> Obviously you were.

nope I wasn't had not posted anything in years

>
> > had not been here in years you lieing sack of ####
>
> Obviously you were.

not a post of mine in years yet you were still spilling hate and venom

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1071. Re: kinda of topic) A lession in Manners for Hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:02:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NxzBe.5073$BK1.4778@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112328

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> I should not have to ask to reply to you if you send me email

You may have a good point. Take it up with my ISP and perhaps they will change
their system. I apologize that they make it so difficult for you.

> i don't want your email at all

Works for me. I apologize for the confusion.

1072. Mark Getting More and More Incoherent As The Week Goes On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:16:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121372217.258244.324250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112329

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > They weren't "claims".
>
> they are claims
>
> wether they are true or not they are claims

They are facts.

> > > > What's a "yob"..?!?!
> > >
> > > job
> > >
> > > How do you follow morse code if a single letter stimies you?
> >
> > Because I've not met a Morse operator who spells like you do.

No comment on that one, Markie?

> > It's proven. The fact that I held callsigns NNN0VVU, AFA1OQ and
> > AAT4SA/T.
>
> proveing what exactly

Proving I did waht I said I did.

You are at liberty to validate the information independently.

> > The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC of
> > Oki Areawide MARS proves it.
>
> proves what?
>
> never been exactly what you are claiming

"...never been exactly what you are claiming..."

What in the Blue Blazes was that supposed to be...????

> > Perhaps becasue none of the MARS programs puts their records in
> > Google Archives.
>
> Why would I check MARS for your posts on RRAP where you claim that you
> made these statements?

It wasn't about "RRAP" statements.

It's about verifying that I did what I said I did.

Brainless says I was never in MARS.

I've given Brainless the referneces to show I was...

> > It's only an archive. One that's only been viable for the last
> > 8-10 years.
>
> Claimn that you posted to RRAP prove of your claims more than 8 years
> ago?

Markie...If you want to participate in this exchange, why don't
you spend a day or two going back through old archives to bring
yourself up-to-speed on what's going on...?!?!

I'm not going to waste time and bandwidth repeating what's in
archive already.

> > > The Brothers Grimm are Linguists
> >
> > No...they're dead.
>
> as long thier books and writing are sremebered and still some of the
> statndrds of their feild many would say they say they are, but you LOVE
> to quibble

It's not quibbling.

They're dead. It's a matte of fact.

> > > You said they are not therefore BYS you are a lair
> >
> > I am not a "lair".
>
> yep

Nope....Not a "lair".

> > > > It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.
> > >
> > > that you are a lair I guess it is
> >
> > I am not a "lair".
>
> even a docemented fact remains a claim

Nope.

A "claim" is "I cured cancer".

A "fact" would be the formula and lab tests to prove it.

> > > > I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
> > > > authorizing source and validate.
> > >

> > > Nope nothing a google search
> >
> > Google wasn't the verifying source, Markie.
>
> Google is the Archive of RRAP

It's not about RRAP, Markie.

> therefore Google is the verufing source of what data you claim to have
> posted

Google only files what is written here.

It's not the "verifying source" of ANYthing accepts what's in it's
files.

> > The various MARS departments were.
>
> MARS does not archive RRAP, and as you say I can't search there achives
> if they did

That's not the point.

Learn English, THEN try to participate in the conversation,
Markie.

> > And HQ 1stMAW
>
> ditto

That's not the point.

> > According to EVERY agency that has employed their services,
> > including the Department of State, the American Red Cross, FEMA, The
> > Salvation Army, and other, you are wrong.
>
> So?

So I've provided references that contradict Brainless's claims.

> > Are you telling me that Mark Morgan knows better than those
> > agencies?
>
> Nope, but that is not the exaplanation. I know as they do that to keep
> the ARES folks providing what help they are you have to feed their
> egos. Therefore as is their duty to Nation they are lying or if you
> prefer egaeratinng the role of ARES

What was THAT spiel, Markie?

You're claiming that it's the "duty" of the Red Cross, etc etc to
LIE to the country just to validate the existance of ARES...?!?!

WHAT AN IDIOT!~

> From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
> ARES but not the degree of that benifit

Completely incoherent, Markie.

Try again.

> > > > > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
> > > > >
> > > > > No luck needed, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.
> > > >
> > > > Nope it is in yours your claim you prove em
> > >
> > > I have.
> >
> > you have hardly made your claims in public (here) so you haven't proven
> > them

Made WHAT claims "public"...?!?!

Markie..as I said earlier...GO BACK AND DO SOME RESEARCH...

You're looking even MORE ignorant than usual!

> > > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > > have allegedly gone...
> > >
> > > Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.
> >
> > BUZZ worng answer you did not type that use have in that post including
> > it in reply when I it reamins unclear who typed does not count

Absolutely incoherent.

Try again,Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1073. Re: kinda of topic) A lession in Manners for Hans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:30:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121373045.744311.316980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112330

an_old_friend wrote:

> no insturction were recieved was informed you could choose to but
> nothing i could do

There was one thing in particular you could have done...

Follow the instructions from Earthlink, just like I did...

Steve, K4YZ

1074. Re: stevie #### n+4 i think (but not often...it hurts for me to think)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:39:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121373585.131394.46590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112331

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > wether I was or wasnt has nothing to do with what posted and accesd
> > > through FCC.gov
> >
> > I found the items Hans was refering to without the slightest
> > impediment or error.
>
> I found something very different as well as what Hans posted

I am sure that you find a lot of things very different, Markie.

> > > > Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
> > > > THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
> > > > there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
> > > > "there".
> > > >
> > > > So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by
> > > > opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
> > > > arrogance and ignorance.
> > >
> > > no I was comening on content of FCC.gov
> >
> > You were trying to find a way out of the public spanking you've
> > been taking for your rude behaviour.
>
> nope

Absolutely. First you claim that you want the information. Then
you insult the person who gives it to you. Then you claim you don't
need to know anyway, but then open another thread about Part 97 IRT "60
mettres"

> > It backfired on you.
>
> Back fired how?
>
> You decided as expected to attack anything and everything I post

Not everything. Just the assinine, idiotic and mistruthful parts.

> > > you are the one that never let anything drop
> >
> > Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
> > you right now.

>
> more threats

Nope.

Just a figure of speech.

> > > You are still harrasing me about changing ISP's In 1998
> >
> > You just stated 2 days ago that you allegedly continue to use the
> > fictitious $5.00/hr ISP.
>
> which harms you how? affect you how?

It doesn't. I just laugh out loud when you complain about it on
the one hand, then defend it on the other.

> I still use concentric on the road to gather my email why does that
> concern you?

Concentric what?

> > And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
> > your humourous
>
> you were going on and on about the ISP, one would tend to assume you
> were tlaking about what you were saying but even that is rash with you

You weren't paying attention. (news there, eh?)

> > > > This had zero-point-squat to do with your "cmoment about Part
> > > > 97"....It had everything to do with you trying to find some way out of
> > > > the spanking you were taking for your behaviour. You were looking for
> > > > SOME vector to allow you to redirect ANY of that to Hans.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Yep. And it STILL didn't work.
>
> wasn't doin git

Huh?

> > > > It didn't work. Landed right back in YOUR lap AGAIN.
> >
> > Do you not see that there's nothing you do that even the most
> > common of common sense can't see through, Markie? You're SO
> > transparent that one not need be a gastroenerologist to see where your
> > head is....
>
> Stevie grow up

Back at ya, LiarBoy.

Steve, K4YZ

1075. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:43:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121373781.233614.230330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112332

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > They weren't "claims".
> >
> > they are claims
> >
> > wether they are true or not they are claims
>
> They are facts.

they might be facts, they are claims still

>
> > > > > What's a "yob"..?!?!
> > > >
> > > > job
> > > >
> > > > How do you follow morse code if a single letter stimies you?
> > >
> > > Because I've not met a Morse operator who spells like you do.
>
> No comment on that one, Markie?
>
> > > It's proven. The fact that I held callsigns NNN0VVU, AFA1OQ and
> > > AAT4SA/T.
> >
> > proveing what exactly
>
> Proving I did waht I said I did.

just what are saying you did

>
> You are at liberty to validate the information independently.

thank you I think

>
> > > The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC of
> > > Oki Areawide MARS proves it.
> >
> > proves what?
> >
> > never been exactly what you are claiming

>
> "...never been exactly what you are claiming..."

I don't know exactly what you are claiming

so without knowing what I am checking I can't check on it

What does "The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC
of
> > > Oki Areawide MARS proves it." prove

>
> What in the Blue Blazes was that supposed to be...????

what you are claiming is something Id like to knwo as a matter of
record

you are confused good makes 2 of us

>
> > > Perhaps becasue none of the MARS programs puts their records in
> > > Google Archives.
> >
> > Why would I check MARS for your posts on RRAP where you claim that you
> > made these statements?
>
> It wasn't about "RRAP" statements.

that Is what I am talking about, not sure what you are. Care to
explain?

>
> It's about verifying that I did what I said I did.

which is what

what are you saying you did

>
> Brainless says I was never in MARS.

being NCOIC anywhere does not prove you were in MARS or not. The
existance of MARS callsign proves what?

Never sen BB say you were not in MARS but that you don't seem to know
about MARS

>
> I've given Brainless the referneces to show I was...

you claimed you given them where I coudl find them as well

>
> > > It's only an archive. One that's only been viable for the last
> > > 8-10 years.
> >

> > Claimn that you posted to RRAP prove of your claims more than 8 years
> > ago?
>
> Markie...If you want to participate in this exchange, why don't
> you spend a day or two going back through old archives to bring
> yourself up-to-speed on what's going on...?!?!

I have. the fact is you don't make anymore sense in storage than in
real time
i have read pages and pages of you insisting your claims are also
facts, without finding in the 60 pages of the titles of your posts your
claims

>
> I'm not going to waste time and bandwidth repeating what's in
> archive already.

then I must assume you have lied no problem
'
>
> > > > The Brothers Grimm are Linguists
> > >
> > > No...they're dead.
> >
> > as long thier books and writing are sremebered and still some of the
> > statndrds of their feild many would say they say they are, but you LOVE
> > to quibble
>
> It's not quibbling.

it sure is

>
> They're dead. It's a matte of fact.

a matte of facts

>
> > > > You said they are not therefore BYS you are a lair
> > >
> > > I am not a "lair".
> >
> > yep
>
> Nope....Not a "lair".

yep

>
> > > > > It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.
> > > >
> > > > that you are a lair I guess it is
> > >

> > > I am not a "lair".
> >
> > even a docemented fact remains a claim
>
> Nope.

yes it does

>
> A "claim" is "I cured cancer".
>
> A "fact" would be the formula and lab tests to prove it.

but if you cured cancer then you statement I cured cancer would also
remain a claim, that it may also be a fact not withstanding

>
> > > > > I provided specific, verifyable data that anyone can take to the
> > > > > authorizing source and validate.
> > > > >
> > > > Nope nothing a google search
> > >
> > > Google wasn't the verifying source, Markie.
> >
> > Google is the Archive of RRAP
>
> It's not about RRAP, Markie.

yes it is

>
> > therefore Google is the verufing source of what data you claim to have
> > posted
>
> Google only files what is written here.

Brillaint, I never would have guessed that one

>
> It's not the "verifying source" of ANYthing accepts what's in it's
> files.

where you claimed your claims resided

>
> > > The various MARS departments were.
> >
> > MARS does not archive RRAP, and as you say I can't search there achives
> > if they did
>
> That's not the point.

there is a point?
>

> Learn English, THEN try to participate in the conversation,
> Markie.

Stevie you need learn english

>
> > > And HQ 1stMAW
> >
> > ditto
>
> That's not the point.
>
>
> > > According to EVERY agency that has employed their services,
> > > including the Department of State, the American Red Cross, FEMA, The
> > > Salvation Army, and other, you are wrong.
> >
> > So?
>
> So I've provided references that contradict Brainless's claims.
>
> > > Are you telling me that Mark Morgan knows better than those
> > > agencies?
> >
> > Nope, but that is not the exaplanation. I know as they do that to keep
> > the ARES folks providing what help they are you have to feed their
> > egos. Therefore as is their duty to Nation they are lying or if you
> > prefer egaeratinng the role of ARES
>
> What was THAT spiel, Markie?

the truth as best I know it

>
> You're claiming that it's the "duty" of the Red Cross, etc etc to
> LIE to the country just to validate the existance of ARES...?!?!

yes indeed

As it was the Duty of FDR to nation to tell lies about Lend lease and
cash and cary in order to ready the USA for WW2. FDR was one of the
biggest liars to hold that office, and I bless him for hoving the
courage to do his duty even if by lies and deceit

>
> WHAT AN IDIOT!~
>
> > From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
> > ARES but not the degree of that benifit
>
> Completely incoherent, Markie.

not realy just expressing a type of thought you can't follow

>
> Try again.

nope

>
> > > > > > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No luck needed, Brain.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope it is in yours your claim you prove em
> > > >
> > > > I have.
> > >
> > > you have hardly made your claims in public (here) so you haven't proven
> > > them
>
> Made WHAT claims "public"...?!?!

claims that you have made claims
'

>
> Markie..as I said earlier...GO BACK AND DO SOME RESEARCH...

I have

you put out too much shit to sort through

>
> You're looking even MORE ignorant than usual!
>
> > > > > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > > > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > > > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > > > > have allegedly gone...
> > > >
> > > > Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.
> > >
> > > BUZZ worng answer you did not type that use have in that post including
> > > it in reply when I it reamins unclear who typed does not count
>
> Absolutely incoherent.

perfectly

>
> Try again,Markie.

nope
I don't waste my time that way steve
>

> Steve, K4YZ

1076. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:45:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121373936.603003.295540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112334

fraud

1077. Re: stevie shit n

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 20:51:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121374282.893358.131960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112336

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >>>
> >>>How do you follow morse code if a single letter stimies you?
> >>
> >> Because I've not met a Morse operator who spells like you do.
>
> How do you know? Bet you can't even copy Morse.

sadly I suspect you correct

1078. Re: stevie shit n+4 i think

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 21:02:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121374196.677685.247230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112338

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > wether I was or wasnt has nothing to do with what posted and accesd
> > > > through FCC.gov
> > >
> > > I found the items Hans was refering to without the slightest
> > > impediment or error.
> >
> > I found something very different as well as what Hans posted
>
> I am sure that you find a lot of things very different, Markie.

then you admit I am right so lets move on

>
> > > > > Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
> > > > > THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
> > > > > there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
> > > > > "there".
> > > > >
> > > > > So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by
> > > > > opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
> > > > > arrogance and ignorance.
> > > >
> > > > no I was comening on content of FCC.gov
> > >
> > > You were trying to find a way out of the public spanking you've
> > > been taking for your rude behaviour.
> >
> > nope
>
> Absolutely. First you claim that you want the information. Then
> you insult the person who gives it to you. Then you claim you don't
> need to know anyway, but then open another thread about Part 97 IRT "60
> mettres"

so? you berate for not doing my reasearch and then berate for doing it

>
> > > It backfired on you.
> >
> > Back fired how?
> >

> > You decided as expected to attack anything and everything I post
>
> Not everything. Just the assinine, idiotic and mistruthful parts.

evrything

>
> > > > you are the one that never let anything drop
> > >
> > > Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
> > > you right now.
> >
> > more threats
>
> Nope.
>
> Just a figure of speech.

a threatening one

but you admit it more bluster then

BTW we will see what Aol thinks of you Some has Aids post. Likely
nothing as AOl is notorious for toleating the abuse of folks from their
domain

>
> > > > You are still harrasing me about changing ISP's In 1998
> > >
> > > You just stated 2 days ago that you allegedly continue to use the
> > > fictitious $5.00/hr ISP.
> >
> > which harms you how? affect you how?
>
> It doesn't. I just laugh out loud when you complain about it on
> the one hand, then defend it on the other.

again with the distoritons

>
> > I still use concentric on the road to gather my email why does that
> > concern you?
>
> Concentric what?

concetric they have another name but they use use the domain last time
I cjecked

>
> > > And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
> > > your humourous
> >
> > you were going on and on about the ISP, one would tend to assume you

> > were tlaking about what you were saying but even that is rash with you
>
> You weren't paying attention. (news there, eh?)

yes I was you were ranting and raving

>
> > > > > This had zero-point-squat to do with your "cmoment about Part
> > > > > 97"....It had everything to do with you trying to find some way out of
> > > > > the spanking you were taking for your behaviour. You were looking for
> > > > > SOME vector to allow you to redirect ANY of that to Hans.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > Yep. And it STILL didn't work.
> > >
> > > wasn't doin git
> >
> > Huh?
> >
> > > > > > It didn't work. Landed right back in YOUR lap AGAIN.
> > > >
> > > > Do you not see that there's nothing you do that even the most
> > > > common of common sense can't see through, Markie? You're SO
> > > > transparent that one not need be a gastroenerologist to see where your
> > > > head is....
> > >
> > > Stevie grow up
> >
> > Back at ya, LiarBoy.

I am adult not sure you are even human

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1079. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 21:03:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121375023.676959.313160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112339

```
Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>>> How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
> >>>>with them...???
> >>>
> >>>well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
> >>>radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
> >>>signals wether i know the letter codes or not
> >
> > Let's see, I am required to obey the speed limit, but I don't have a
> > clue as to what the speed limit is.

Thank for that example often not sure of the speed limit myself, I will
steal it for future use
if needed
```

1080. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 22:25:33 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ijmtyld.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 112342

On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 17:59:36 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

>That was everyones signal to get out on the main deck and put your fingers in
>your ears and look to the sky, because he'd then coordinate fake
>strafing/bombing runs on his own ship.

When I was working at March AFB in the early 60s (the civilian
component of the 22nd SAC Communications Squadron) the 3 am B-52
launches would fly over my apartment at 500 feet or so before
rising into "the wild blue yonder".

No need to own an alarm clock in those days.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1081. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 22:32:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ijmua5e.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 112341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 09 Jul 2005 17:00:34 GMT, Michael A. Terrell wrote:

> No, it means that I am still learning to function, in spite of my
>physical disabilities. I spent two years that I couldn't walk without
>help, and didn't have the strength in my hands to hold on to tools or
>lift anything over about a half pound. I have made a lot of progress WRT
>my recovery, but it appears that you will always be a useless pain in
>the ass. Just pray that you don't reach a point in your life where you
>would welcome your own death.

Good for you. I spent two months in that condition last summer and
it was no fun. Good to see that you made it through. I still limp
a little.

Don't take any crap from bozos.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1082. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 22:44:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ijmuuxf.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 112340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 03:15:47 GMT, RJ wrote:

>I have again written to Headquarter regarding their stand on CC&R. I was a
>hot issue with them but now nothing but silence on this very important issue
>for amateurs.

The only solution to that is to get The Congress to pass the bill
that has been introduced three times to assert Federal jurisdiction
and get the problem solved (I deal with CC&R cases professionally).
The Congress stonewalls it every time. Few if any states even want
to touch it.

What do YOU suggest?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1083. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 23:12:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xjCBe.23114$eM6.13789@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"n9ogl" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1121360079.098084.282130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> 24. K4YZ Jul 14, 2:31 am show options
>
> n9ogl wrote:
>> what's your problem??? Was you molested when you were younger, or
>> perhaps it's due to an very small penis, so you have to vent your hate
>> onto other people because of your small penis.
>> perhaps you the one gay and you can't admit to yourself that your gay
>> and you have a small penis not to mention you were molested as a small
>> child.
>
>>Only five lines of text and seven references to sexual perversion
>>and child abuse.
>>And Todd wonders why he gets treated the way he does.
>
>>Steve, K4YZ
> Well steve, I don't let little anonymous pansy go around call me names
> when the asshole don't even know me.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
But we do know you Toad. We know you from your actions and statements.

Dan/W4NTI

1084. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 23:29:35 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <98fd7$42d6f54e$4fc6194$14398@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 112344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:xjCBe.23114$eM6.13789@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> Well steve, I don't let little anonymous pansy go around call me names
>> when the asshole don't even know me.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>>

> But we do know you Toad. We know you from your actions and statements.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Truer words have not been spoken. Once again Dan tells it like it is.
Ace - WH2T

1085. Re: Stevie can't tell a wild from a tame Goose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-14 23:49:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <7NydnZjTF9H-lErfRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112345

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>>> How do you comply with the regulations if you aren't "familiar"
>>>>>>with them...???
>>>>>
>>>>>well the regular you are referring to by punching the botton on my
>>>>>radio, Yeaszu and FCC have seen to it that it generates legal types of
>>>>>signals wether i know the letter codes or not
>>
>>Let's see, I am required to obey the speed limit, but I don't have a
>>clue as to what the speed limit is.
>
>
> Thank for that example often not sure of the speed limit myself, I will
> steal it for future use
> if needed
>

That was meant as an example of how you deal with FCC rules.

1086. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 01:01:14 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42D70AC5.55B1A22B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 112346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Sat, 09 Jul 2005 17:00:34 GMT, Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>
> > No, it means that I am still learning to function, in spite of my
> >physical disabilities. I spent two years that I couldn't walk without
> >help, and didn't have the strength in my hands to hold on to tools or
> >lift anything over about a half pound. I have made a lot of progress WRT
> >my recovery, but it appears that you will always be a useless pain in
> >the ass. Just pray that you don't reach a point in your life where you
> >would welcome your own death.
>
> Good for you. I spent two months in that condition last summer and
> it was no fun. Good to see that you made it through. I still limp
> a little.
>
> Don't take any crap from bozos.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Thanks, Phil. I have to walk with a cane and can't do much, but I
haven't given up.

The Bozos are just sore that their TV show was canceled and that no
one wants the tired old clowns in their way. ;-)
--
Link to my "Computers for disabled Veterans" project website deleted
after threats were telephoned to my church.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1087. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 01:04:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121389451.241755.198420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112348

From: K0HB on Jul 14, 12:11 am

>"Dave Heil" <k...@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>> Your couple of lines don't even begin to resemble Foghorn. A couple of lines
>> is a correction. Four or five lengthy paragraphs on the workings of either
>> aircraft would be windy pontification.
>
>You'd also need to include a statement to the effect that neither aircraft is
>equipped with a J38.

Ahem, "J-38" was dropped as a nomenclature in the military yarns
and yarns ago. [try "KY-nnn" and I don't mean jelly... :-)]

Are they equipped for ANY kind of morse code radio reception? NO.
The military stopped using "BFOs" or equivalent in the 225-400
MHz military band transceivers years and years ago.

You can check that out with the "military veterans" in here, such
as Miccolis, Coslo, and Kelly. :-) [ESPECIALLY Kelly...]

Okay, let us see a show of hands...shall we load Heil on an
F-16 hardpoint and drop him on Guinea-Bisseau as a "radio
CARE consultant" for that fourth-world nation? :-) We can
tape on a wind-up key a la the South African company's
wind-up radio...Ginnea-Bisseauians can "wind him up" and
he can establish SS to spice up the cashew nut production.

Meanwhile, we can all sit back and watch the Mighty Macho
Murine Morseman, Stebie, on his ever-vigilant Mouth-Off For
Freedom! Must be a real wanna-be case there...his fast-attack
CAP patrol plane can't cruise faster than the NASCARs at
Daytona. Maybe he needs a brain bucket with fancy legends
painted all over it? [goes well with that pudgy poopy suit
in the QRZ photo]

Ho hum, another day, some dendrites have been kept from growing
and the steady decline of U.S. ham radio licensees keeps on
truckin'.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1088. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 01:06:29 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <anonremailer@anon>
Message-ID: <db724p$2to$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112347

"KB9RQZ" <KB9RQZ@blumpkin.com> wrote in message
news:db6g61$k2q$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
Which one of these is more moron tolerant?

http://www.rara.org/
http://www.lambdaarc.com/

Or should I just join both?
>

Ask Todd. He and Roger seem to prefer Lambda, however.

1089. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 01:47:57 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121392077.219943.219860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112349

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Your couple of lines don't even begin to resemble Foghorn. A couple of
> > lines is a correction. Four or five lengthy paragraphs on the workings
> > of either aircraft would be windy pontification.
>
> Don't give up on him yet Dave. He's obviously been slowing down of late
> and the thread is only a day old, he'll catch up with it eventually and
> do one of his usual goofy dumps.

There he is. Like clockwork. How much more reliable can he get?

w3rv

1090. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 02:16:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121393799.442820.317030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112450

an_old_friend wrote:
> but it does prove you were liying when you said everyone was welcome
> to comment

Oh?

This is twice you've made comment here.

Who stopped you?

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > Off topic having nothing to do the ARS or subject RRAP
> >
> > Take two aspirin and call someone who cares, Markie.
>
> stop breaking the law btw

And that law would be...?!?!

(And please don't tell me that telling you to take an
over-the-counter drug was "prescribing"...YOU would be lying...)

Steve, K4YZ

1091. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 02:26:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121394365.492828.238420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112451

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> You can check that out with the "military veterans" in here, such
> as Miccolis, Coslo, and Kelly. :-) [ESPECIALLY Kelly...]

I'll take a civilian defense worker who's word can be trusted over
a veteran who takes pride in his lies and deceit any day.

Too bad we have two examples of those here.

Three if we were to believe Markie's deceit about being a "vet".

> Okay, let us see a show of hands...shall we load Heil on an
> F-16 hardpoint and drop him on Guinea-Bisseau as a "radio
> CARE consultant" for that fourth-world nation? We can
> tape on a wind-up key a la the South African company's
> wind-up radio...Ginnea-Bisseauians can "wind him up" and
> he can establish SS to spice up the cashew nut production.

Or we could load Lennie into a time machine so he can "serve" with
guys who were KIA three years before he was even inducted.

> Meanwhile, we can all sit back and watch the Mighty Macho
> Murine Morseman, Stebie, on his ever-vigilant Mouth-Off For
> Freedom! Must be a real wanna-be case there...his fast-attack
> CAP patrol plane can't cruise faster than the NASCARs at
> Daytona. Maybe he needs a brain bucket with fancy legends
> painted all over it? [goes well with that pudgy poopy suit
> in the QRZ photo]

Pudgy..Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> Ho hum, another day, some dendrites have been kept from growing
> and the steady decline of U.S. ham radio licensees keeps on
> truckin'.

And Amateur Radio will fare far better without the likes of a
pathological, rear-area war-hero wannabe like Lennie Anderson...

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1092. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 02:27:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121394467.400608.260910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112452

Kim wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121251228.614274.10520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Kim wrote:
> >
> > > By the way, Michael, in
> > > Florida (if that is who you are speaking of) doesn't have that > call
> any more.
> >
> > I did not know that! That call moved from CA to MD, last I looked. It
> > was in my 1979 Callbook and wasn't new then.
> >
> > Of course "Michael" isn't necessarily a male-only name (remember
> > actress Michael Learned, who played the mother on "The Waltons"?)
> >
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Heh heh. I have a good friend here, a lady, whose first name is Jimmy.
> Spelled that way.

There's also the actress James King...

> My mom was going to name me Kimberly Charles. When I
> learned of this as a teen-ager, I was quite relieved that she
> had not.
> However, I now kinda wish she had, because I think it would be > kinda neat to
> have the name "Chuck!"

Could be worse. Could have been "Kimberley Clark..."
>
> Michael in Florida and I corresponded about his call. I
> thought sure you'd
> seen that news, as I have posted about it a couple of times.
> Let's see, he
> had the call "N5TIT" didn't he? I hope my memory is serving me > OK.

It was a 6 land call. Same suffix, tho.

> I had
> wanted the N over the W call, but he had it when I was looking it up. I
> sent him a note and asked him why a man would have a call like that--let him
> know I was just curious. He had the called because he wanted to "honor" the

> Tet Offensive (Viet Nam era) but, when he'd been looking, TET was taken.

Yes! I remember now!

> So, he got the TIT call.

And FCC gave it to him.

> When we corresponded, I'd asked him to let me know if he ever
> gave it up.
> When he did, he sent me a note and let me know. I didn't do
> anything about
> it, because W5TIT is "my call" and just fits until I end up
> losing my
> license or die, whichever shall come first. BUT, he has now
> changed his
> call to a "college" call, I believe it was. Isn't his last
> name something
> like Hunter, or something?

Oh no, I'm not going for that old joke...

> Look up the name on QRZ and you'll see what
> college...or whatever it is--maybe it's even a sports team, I
> just don't remember.

I'll take a look. Thanks for the info.
>
> By the way, I pulled a little handheld out here a few weeks ago, carried it
> up to my 33-story office and got on the air with my dad for about 10
> minutes. First time in .... 3-4 years I've been on the air and the first
> time I've even had a radio on in .... 2-3 years.

Cool! Glad to hear it.
>
> Kim W5TIT

73 de Jim, N2EY

1093. Mark Morgan is Psychotic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 02:42:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121395340.913760.193360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112453

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > wether I was or wasnt has nothing to do with what posted and accesd
> > > > > > > through FCC.gov
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I found the items Hans was refering to without the slightest
> > > > > impediment or error.
> > > >
> > > > I found something very different as well as what Hans posted
> > >
> > > I am sure that you find a lot of things very different, Markie.
> >
> > then you admit I am right so lets move on

I didn't say you are right...Not by a long stretch...

I said you find them different.

"Different" is not "right"...

> > > > > > Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
> > > > > > THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
> > > > > > there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
> > > > > > "there".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by
> > > > > > opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
> > > > > > arrogance and ignorance.
> > > > >
> > > > > no I was comening on content of FCC.gov
> > > >
> > > > You were trying to find a way out of the public spanking you've
> > > > been taking for your rude behaviour.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Absolutely. First you claim that you want the information. Then
> > you insult the person who gives it to you. Then you claim you don't
> > need to know anyway, but then open another thread about Part 97 IRT "60
> > mettres"
>
> so? you berate for not doing my reasearch and then berate for doing it

No. I am just berating you for being a rude punk.

> > > > It backfired on you.
> > >
> > > Back fired how?
> > >
> > > You decided as expected to attack anything and everything I post
> >
> > Not everything. Just the assinine, idiotic and mistruthful parts.
>
> evrything

Nope. Just the assinine, idiotic and mistruthful parts.

Unless you're AGREEING that ALL of your posts are assinine,
idiotic and mistruthful...?!?!

> > > > > you are the one that never let anything drop
> > > >
> > > > Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
> > > > you right now.
> > >
> > > more threats
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Just a figure of speech.
>
> a threatening one

Nope. One used quite frequently in common American lexicon.

> but you admit it more bluster then

Nope.

> BTW we will see what Aol thinks of you Some has Aids post. Likely
> nothing as AOl is notorious for toleating the abuse of folks from their
> domain

Since I clearly defined what AIDS stood for, good luck.

You see, Mark...Unlike you, I clearly define the parameters of
what I am discussing. Not making them up as I go and hoping they are
understood along the way...

> > > > > You are still harrasing me about changing ISP's In 1998
> > > >
> > > > You just stated 2 days ago that you allegedly continue to use the
> > > > fictitious $5.00/hr ISP.
> > >
> > > which harms you how? affect you how?
> >
> > It doesn't. I just laugh out loud when you complain about it on
> > the one hand, then defend it on the other.

>
> again with the distoritons

No distortions.

Facts.

"Back in the day" you used to claim that you were paying those
rediculous fees and as such refused to keep these discussions in e
mail.

The truth, of course, is that you LIKE to be publically
humiliated, hence your insistance to be slapped around in here.

No problem. You certainly provide enough materail to work with.

> > > I still use concentric on the road to gather my email why does that
> > > concern you?
> >
> > Concentric what?
>
> concetric they have another name but they use use the domain last time
> I cjecked

That sentence made no sense at all.

> > > > And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
> > > > your humourous
> > >
> > > you were going on and on about the ISP, one would tend to assume you
> > > were tlaking about what you were saying but even that is rash with you
> >
> > You weren't paying attention. (news there, eh?)
>
> yes I was you were ranting and raving

Nope. Just pointing out your inconsistencies.

Again...there are so many to work with...

> > > Stevie grow up
> >
> > Back at ya, LiarBoy.
>
> I am adult not sure you are even human

Your body may have reached the age of majority, Markie, but there
is STRONG evidence that you have some very significant development
issues yet to be resolved.

First and foremeost being your writing and English comprehension
skills.

Steve, K4YZ

1094. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 02:51:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121395919.463985.4320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112454

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 17:59:36 GMT, KØHB wrote:
>
> >That was everyones signal to get out on the main deck and put your fingers in
> >your ears and look to the sky, because he'd then coordinate fake
> >strafing/bombing runs on his own ship.
>
> When I was working at March AFB in the early 60s (the civilian
> component of the 22nd SAC Communications Squadron) the 3 am B-52
> launches would fly over my apartment at 500 feet or so before
> rising into "the wild blue yonder".
>
> No need to own an alarm clock in those days.

AGREED. The things have to be the loudest most obnoxious aircraft ever built. I had to work on a technical paper for a few days at what was originally an LTV plant in Ft. Worth. I forget who operated it then, maybe General Dynamics which was building F-16s then. My contact recommended a motel so I booked it and signed in when I got to Ft. Worth late on night. I was in bed not more than ten minutes after I landed in the room. Maybe a couple hours later I was jolted awake by the most gawdawful noise I'd ever heard, I thought there was an explosion and headed for the door.

Then came another one, then another. It finally dawned on me that the racket was aircraft takeoffs and tried to get back to sleep. Nah, not that night. Come morning I was just starting to shave when another bunch took off. I couldn't see my puss because the mirror was bouncing all over the wall. Final straw.

The motel was directly off the end of whatever B-52 base it was which was alongside the plant. Found another motel, logged outta that dump, moved, went to the plant and thanked the contact profusely for recommendation.

Even worse I also bit on his recommendation for a steakhouse figuring that hey, this is Texas, the home of the ultimate steaks. Worst steak I ever ran into. If I had enough of them I coulda pieced together a high-pressure boiler out of the things.

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

1095. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:04:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121396654.310535.75330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112455

NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.

TODD

1096. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:05:56 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121396756.523516.187320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112456

>There he is. Like clockwork. How much more reliable can he get?

...I was out shooting bears for navel intelligence and had a dinner
date with the captain of an aircraft carrier. Sorry about the
delay.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1097. Markie Stuff..If You Can Tolerate The Fractured English.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:08:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121396929.283364.262440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112457

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> just what are saying you did

How can we "discuss" anything, Markie, when you are still refusing
to get help for your alleged dyslexia?

> > You are at liberty to validate the information independently.
>
> thank you I think

You're welcome and no you don't.

> I don't know exactly what you are claiming

Then go back through old RRAP archives and do some research

> so without knowing what I am checking I can't check on it

I just gave you some of the details.

Callsigns.

> What does "The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC
> of Oki Areawide MARS proves it." prove

The statement itself proves nothing.

That the USMC can validate my service does.

> > What in the Blue Blazes was that supposed to be...????
>
> what you are claiming is something Id like to knwo as a matter of
> record
>
> you are confused good makes 2 of us

If I could just understand what you were writing, it would be a lot
easier.

YOU are confused becasue you're a lazy idiot.

I am confused because you refuse to learn how to put a sentence
together.

> > > > Perhaps because none of the MARS programs puts their records in
> > > > Google Archives.
> > >

> > > Why would I check MARS for your posts on RRAP where you claim that you
> > > made these statements?
> >
> > It wasn't about "RRAP" statements.
>
> that Is what I am talking about, not sure what you are. Care to
> explain?

I already have.

Brain insists I've not had experience in MARS. I say I have, and
have provided information to corroborate it.

> > It's about verifying that I did what I said I did.
>
> which is what

Which is for you to use Google archives and go back through
Brain's and my exchanges.

> what are you saying you did

A R C H I V E S.

> > Brainless says I was never in MARS.
>
> being NCOIC anywhere does not prove you were in MARS or not. The
> existance of MARS callsign proves what?
>
> Never sen BB say you were not in MARS but that you don't seem to know
> about MARS

He's done both.

I've provided corroborating facts.

He refuses to follow-up on them.

> > I've given Brainless the referneces to show I was...
>
> you claimed you given them where I coudl find them as well

Right in front of you DyslexiaBoy.

> > > > It's only an archive. One that's only been viable for the last
> > > > 8-10 years.
> > >
> > > Claimn that you posted to RRAP prove of your claims more than 8 years
> > > ago?
> >
> > Markie...If you want to participate in this exchange, why don't
> > you spend a day or two going back through old archives to bring
> > yourself up-to-speed on what's going on...?!?!
>

> I have. the fact is you don't make anymore sense in storage than in
> real time

I make far greater sense in ANY time than you do.

> i have read pages and pages of you insisting your claims are also
> facts, without finding in the 60 pages of the titles of your posts your
> claims

You're lying.

Wanna know HOW I know...?!?!

> > I'm not going to waste time and bandwidth repeating what's in
> > archive already.
>
> then I must assume you have lied no problem

Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhh! Remember "assume"...?!?!

> > > > > You said they are not therefore BYS you are a lair
> > > > >
> > > > I am not a "lair".
> > > >
> > > yep
> > >
> > Nope....Not a "lair".
>
> yep

Still not getting it, are you?

> > > > > > It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.
> > > > > >
> > > > > that you are a lair I guess it is
> > > > >
> > > > I am not a "lair".
> > > >
> > > even a docemented fact remains a claim
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> yes it does

Not if it's been proven as a fact...

> > A "claim" is "I cured cancer".
> >
> > A "fact" would be the formula and lab tests to prove it.
>
> but if you cured cancer then you statement I cured cancer would also
> remain a claim, that it may also be a fact not withstanding

It would no longer be a "claim".

It would be a fact.

> > > Google is the Archive of RRAP
> >
> > It's not about RRAP, Markie.
>
> yes it is

Then you're completely lost, Markie.

And a complete idiot.

> > > therefore Google is the verufing source of what data you claim to have
> > > posted
> >
> > Google only files what is written here.
>
> Brillaint, I never would have guessed that one

Very "brillaint", I am sure.

Figure that out on your own?

> > It's not the "verifying source" of ANYthing accepts what's in it's
> > files.
>
> where you claimed your claims resided

Huh..?!?!

ENGLISH, MARKIE! Use complete sentences with proper format and
punctuation, please.

> > > > The various MARS departments were.
> > >
> > > MARS does not archive RRAP, and as you say I can't search there achives
> > > if they did
> >
> > That's not the point.
>
> there is a point?

OTHER than the tip of your head, you mean...?!?!

> > Learn English, THEN try to participate in the conversation,
> > Markie.
>
> Stevie you need learn english

"English" is capitalized.

Know how >>I<< know, Markie?

Because I am already competent in it's use and comprehension.

You would have known that had you been too!

> > > Nope, but that is not the exaplanation. I know as they do that to keep
> > > the ARES folks providing what help they are you have to feed their
> > > egos. Therefore as is their duty to Nation they are lying or if you
> > > prefer egaeratinng the role of ARES
> >
> > What was THAT spiel, Markie?
>
> the truth as best I know it

Then you don't know what's "best", Markie.

Just ill-prepared opinion unsubstantiated by facts.

> > You're claiming that it's the "duty" of the Red Cross, etc etc to
> > LIE to the country just to validate the existance of ARES...?!?!
>
> yes indeed

Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh Kayyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy.....

> As it was the Duty of FDR to nation to tell lies about Lend lease and
> cash and cary in order to ready the USA for WW2. FDR was one of the
> biggest liars to hold that office, and I bless him for hoving the
> courage to do his duty even if by lies and deceit
>
> >
> > WHAT AN IDIOT!~

I reiterate....

WHAT AN IDIOT!

> > > From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
> > > ARES but not the degree of that benifit
> >
> > Completely incoherent, Markie.
>
> not realy just expressing a type of thought you can't follow

You mean the illogical type? No kidding.

> > Try again.
>
> nope

Lazy punk.

> > > > > > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No luck needed, Brain.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope it is in yours your claim you prove em
> > > >

> > > > I have.
> > >
> > > you have hardly made your claims in public (here) so you haven't proven
> > > them
> > >
> > Made WHAT claims "public"...?!?!
>
> claims that you have made claims

You've been watching the neighbor's dog chase it's tali, haven't
you...?!?!

> > Markie..as I said earlier...GO BACK AND DO SOME RESEARCH...
>
> I have
>
> you put out too much #### to sort through

Nope.

You're just too lazy to spend the time.

Just like your rudeness to Hans. You want things one way, spoon
fed to you, and anything less is "condescending"

> > You're looking even MORE ignorant than usual!
> >
> > > > > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > > > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > > > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > > > > have allegedly gone...
> > > >
> > > > Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.
> > >
> > > BUZZ worng answer you did not type that use have in that post including
> > > it in reply when I it reamins unclear who typed does not count
> >
> > Absolutely incoherent.
>
> perfectly

Perfectly incoherent.

> > Try again,Markie.

> nope

Yep.

> I don't waste my time that way steve

Sure you do. Your whole life is a waste of time.

Steve, K4YZ

1098. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:10:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121397034.967697.214900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112458

an_old_friend wrote:

> fraud

Who can tell?

Steve, K4YZ

1099. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:13:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121397193.305552.224240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112459

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.

Probably not.

NAMBLA is more to your likings, no doubt.

Steve, K4YZ

1100. KB9RQZ Stuff...Read If You Enjoy A Good Laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:23:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121397828.297208.49130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112460

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM
> > > > >
> > > > > been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
> > > > > evidence of Combat
> > > >
> > > > And where on line do you expect to find that?
> > >
> > > you claimed you had posted to the newsgroup proof of your claims of
> > > combat
> >
> > I never said that.
>
> sure did

Then show it here.

> > > So I wasn't there, had not said word one to you in years, and you still
> > > still forth hate and venom at me
> >
> > It's not hate and venom, Markie.
>
> yes it is

Nope. It's your own words and behaviour, Markie.

> you obviously hate what for I can't understand

Huh?

> > It's a complete lack of respect for a fellow American who abuses
> > the priviliges of "freedom of speech" with the chronic use of lies and
> > deceit.
>
> hate in other words

Nope.

"Hate" in YOUR words.

> > > > Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.
> > >
> > > form you I guess I have to take that as an Honor

> >
> > You would.
> >
> > > That you were still timking of me after all those years was in a sense
> > > flatering, also a bit frightening as to the degree and depth of your
> > > hate for a man you never met
> >
> > I don't hate you, Mark.
>
> sure do hate and/or fear me for some reason

I don't hate you nor fear you.

I reserve "hate" for child molesters, spousal abusers, murderers
etc.

You're not one of those, are you?

And FEAR you...?!?!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !!

> > I pity you.
>
> thanks I think

You're welcome and no you don't.

> > And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.
>
> ah yes self loathing Stevie

Yes, I am sure you are self-loathing. You should be.

> > I sure do wish you could appreciate just how funny that "sentence"
> > was, Markie!
>
> gald you enjoyed it

I am "gald" by a lot of what you attempt to write, Markie.

> > > > > > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > > > > > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > > > > > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> > > > > > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> > > > >
> > > > > Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good
> > > >
> > > > Like a good "what", Markie?
> > >
> > > clear enough
> >

> > No, it wasn't.
>
> yes it was

No, it wasn't.

> > You didn't finish the sentence.
> >
> > > > Finish your sentences of you expect to be understood.
> > >
> > > Stevie I don't expect you to understand any sentence I write
> >
> > Then you should feel quite fulfilled.
>
> why? I simply don't expect you to understand

So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
see....

> > > I choose to let the reader fill it in, again you try the times
> >
> > Try what times?
>
> the times either NY or london times if you like

I guess there was an attempt at humor there...

> > > > > picking on someone not even around at the time
> > > > >
> > > > Obviously you were.
> > >
> > > No I wasn't
> >
> > Obviously you were.
>
> nope I wasn't had not posted anything in years

Obviously you were. You've involved yourself in "debate" spanning
several years now.

> > > had not been here in years you lieing sack of ####
> >
> > Obviously you were.
>
> not a post of mine in years yet you were still spilling hate and venom

No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
cage.

Steve

1101. Mark Morgan...Watta Concept!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:33:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121398415.493367.168470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112461

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You really DO have a reading comprehension problem, don't
> > you...?!?!
>
> No I don't you are often confused between facts and oinions, it is
> progress on your part to admit that the topic was merely a matter of
> your inpinion

"oinions"...?!?! Love 'em on a Philly Cheese Steak.

"inpinion"...?!?!

Do you just close your eyes and hope for the best when you "type",
Markie?

Huge Snip

> > > and drop the daylight references
> >
> > I'll do no such thing. If YOU can be a chronic liar, an
> > unrepentant abuser, sexual deviatant and all-around creep, >>I<< can
> > talk about "daylight".
>
> more bashing stevie

Where?

You ARE an abuser, whether you care to admit it or not.

You ARE a sexual deviant, and you've admitted it yourself.

Now...where's the alleged "bashing"...???

Steve, K4YZ

1102. Re: Stevie shit n+1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:36:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121398611.572925.156690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112462

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website
>
> The FCC, who is the only regulatory authority on Amateur Radio in the USA, does
> not call it a band.
>
> The ARRL has it wrong.
>
> There is no 60m band allocated to amateur radio. There are just 5 specific
> discrete 5.xxx MHz frequencies allocated.
>
> A "band" is a contiguous block of frequency spectrum bounded by an upper and
> lower limit, such as the 160m band which is bounded by 1.8 MHz and 2.0MHz as the
> lower and upper frequency limits, or the 1.25cm data band which is bounded by
> 219MHz and 220MHz as the lower and upper frequency limits respectively. There
> is no similar bounded "band" in the vicinity of 60m.

OH NO!

It's more of those demeaning and condescending FACTS!

CALL THE ACLU! CALL THE ADA!

You've confused him with too many facts, Hans. The boy doesn't
know what to do with them all!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1103. Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:39:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121398760.626788.237560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112463

I let one get through.

Ooops.

Steve, K4YZ

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website
> >
> > The FCC, who is the only regulatory authority on Amateur Radio in the USA, does
> > not call it a band.
> >
> > The ARRL has it wrong.
> >
> > There is no 60m band allocated to amateur radio. There are just 5 specific
> > discrete 5.xxx MHz frequencies allocated.
> >
> > A "band" is a contiguous block of frequency spectrum bounded by an upper and
> > lower limit, such as the 160m band which is bounded by 1.8 MHz and 2.0MHz as the
> > lower and upper frequency limits, or the 1.25cm data band which is bounded by
> > 219MHz and 220MHz as the lower and upper frequency limits respectively. There
> > is no similar bounded "band" in the vicinity of 60m.
>
> OH NO!
>
> It's more of those demeaning and condescending FACTS!
>
> CALL THE ACLU! CALL THE ADA!
>
> You've confused him with too many facts, Hans. The boy doesn't
> know what to do with them all!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1104. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:41:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121398911.364945.17110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112465

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > just what are saying you did
>
> How can we "discuss" anything, Markie, when you are still refusing
> to get help for your alleged dyslexia?

Stevie I don't need help, I could use for you cut down on the sheer
volume that would help

>
> > > You are at liberty to validate the information independently.
> >
> > thank you I think
>
> You're welcome and no you don't.

yes I do think

>
> > I don't know exactly what you are claiming
>
> Then go back through old RRAP archives and do some research

I have can't find it

How many do I have to say that before you understand

>
> > so without knowing what I am checking I can't check on it
>
> I just gave you some of the details.
>
> Callsigns.

Proving WHAT?

Having a MARS callsign doesn't proof you did anything with MARS or that
you Know anything about MARS

Otherwise you have to accept that MY callsign make me an expert on the
ARS

>
> > What does "The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC
> > of Oki Areawide MARS proves it." prove

>
> The statement itself proves nothing.
>
> That the USMC can validate my service does.

to what end I do believe you were military likely a marine

but what does it have to do with the callsigns

>
> > > What in the Blue Blazes was that supposed to be...????
> >
> > what you are claiming is something Id like to knwo as a matter of
> > record
> >
> > you are confused good makes 2 of us
>
> If I could just understand what you were writing, it would be a lot
> easier.

if you would come up and make repaet the claims you claim to be proving
the rest of us could judge wether or not you had done so. but...

>
> YOU are confused becasue you're a lazy idiot.

prehaps, but I am confused by your refusual to says what you are
claimingwhile offering proof of a claim you refuse to repeat

>
> I am confused because you refuse to learn how to put a sentence
> together.

maybe

so

>
> > > > > Perhaps because none of the MARS programs puts their records in
> > > > > Google Archives.
> > > >
> > > > Why would I check MARS for your posts on RRAP where you claim that you
> > > > made these statements?
> > >
> > > It wasn't about "RRAP" statements.
> >
> > that Is what I am talking about, not sure what you are. Care to
> > explain?
>
> I already have.

a Lie

>
> Brain insists I've not had experience in MARS. I say I have, and
> have provided information to corroborate it.

no you have not even if those are valid Mars Callsign (not disputing
that yet but reserving the right) proves nothing without some kind of
logs.

By the Same Stanard the existance of MY callsign proves I know how the
NTS works, since I don't your logic seem flawed, at best

I would hope that MARS does not keep and is not able to sort though
such trivia, (although it might be so foolish it has something to do
with the Military)

>
> > > It's about verifying that I did what I said I did.
> >
> > which is what
>
> Which is for you to use Google archives and go back through
> Brain's and my exchanges.

i have if you are claiming experetise with MARS you have not presented
any proof of it

>
> > what are you saying you did
>
> A R C H I V E S.
>
> > > Brainless says I was never in MARS.
> >
> > being NCOIC anywhere does not prove you were in MARS or not. The
> > existance of MARS callsign proves what?
> >
> > Never sen BB say you were not in MARS but that you don't seem to know
> > about MARS
>
> He's done both.

Prehaps not arguing that

>
> I've provided corroborating facts.

where?
what you have given here, proves nothing

>
> He refuses to follow-up on them.

follow them where

What do you sugest to follow them up and what do you claim someone
would find if they did/

this is vital to even considering trying to follow up

>
> > > I've given Brainless the referneces to show I was...
> >
> > you claimed you given them where I coudl find them as well
>
> Right in front of you DyslexiaBoy.

if that is it then you have provided nothing

some dates would help

Your rank at the time

what you would like one of us to do with it

>
> > > > > It's only an archive. One that's only been viable for the last
> > > > > 8-10 years.
> > > > >
> > > > > Claimn that you posted to RRAP prove of your claims more than 8 years
> > > > > ago?
> > > >
> > > > Markie...If you want to participate in this exchange, why don't
> > > > you spend a day or two going back through old archives to bring
> > > > yourself up-to-speed on what's going on...?!?!
> > >
> > > I have. the fact is you don't make anymore sense in storage than in
> > > real time
>
> I make far greater sense in ANY time than you do.

even granting that , you just hem and haw and refuse to answer you use
words games to point I can't follow you or find just what you are
reffering to

>
> > i have read pages and pages of you insisting your claims are also
> > facts, without finding in the 60 pages of the titles of your posts your
> > claims
>
> You're lying.

no try a Google serch and you will find 60 pages of of the title and a
few lines of your dreck

>
> Wanna know HOW I know...?!?!

try it since I have seen the search result
>

> > > I'm not going to waste time and bandwidth repeating what's in
> > > archive already.
> >
> > then I must assume you have lied no problem
>
> Ah ah ahhhhhhhhhhhh! Remember "assume"...?!?!

yeah remember it is YOU and Mee made an ASS

>
> > > > > > You said they are not therefore BYS you are a lair
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am not a "lair".
> > > > >
> > > > > yep
> > > >
> > > > Nope....Not a "lair".
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> Still not getting it, are you?

repating yourself does not make you turthful

>
> > > > > > > > It's not a "claim". It's a documented fact.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > that you are a lair I guess it is
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I am not a "lair".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > even a docemented fact remains a claim
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > yes it does
> > >
> > Not if it's been proven as a fact...

Nothing changes it status as a claim being proven or dispoven not
withstanding

>
> > > A "claim" is "I cured cancer".
> > >
> > > A "fact" would be the formula and lab tests to prove it.
> >
> > but if you cured cancer then you statement I cured cancer would also
> > remain a claim, that it may also be a fact not withstanding
> >
> It would no longer be a "claim".

learn English

It remains a claim even if proven

>
> It would be a fact.

it would also be a fact its facthood does not alter it's status as a
claim

Learn English

>
> > > > Google is the Archive of RRAP
> > >
> > > It's not about RRAP, Markie.
> >
> > yes it is
>
> Then you're completely lost, Markie.

yes lost in your bullshit

>
> And a complete idiot.
>
> > > > therefore Google is the verufing source of what data you claim to have
> > > > posted
> > >
> > > Google only files what is written here.
> >
> > Brillaint, I never would have guessed that one
>
> Very "brillaint", I am sure.
>
> Figure that out on your own?

sarcasm

>
> > > It's not the "verifying source" of ANYthing accepts what's in it's
> > > files.
> >
> > where you claimed your claims resided
>
> Huh..?!?!
>
> ENGLISH, MARKIE! Use complete sentences with proper format and
> punctuation, please.

you LEARN English learn the meaning of the words Claim and Fact for a
start

you tell me to look for your claims in RRAP archives then tell me it
isn't there

you use logic and plain reason

>
> > > > > The various MARS departments were.
> > > > >
> > > > MARS does not archive RRAP, and as you say I can't search there achives
> > > > if they did
> > > >
> > > That's not the point.
> > >
> > there is a point?
> >
> OTHER than the tip of your head, you mean...?!?!

More Stevie venom

>
> > > Learn English, THEN try to participate in the conversation,
> > > Markie.
> > >
> > Stevie you need learn english
> >
> "English" is capitalized.

so you understood didn't you

why do you waste bandwidth with such trivia

>
> Know how >>I<< know, Markie?
>
> Because I am already competent in it's use and comprehension.
>
> You would have known that had you been too!

I just did not bother

you generate so much drivel I can't afford the time to care about shit
like that

>
> > > > Nope, but that is not the exaplanation. I know as they do that to keep
> > > > the ARES folks providing what help they are you have to feed their
> > > > egos. Therefore as is their duty to Nation they are lying or if you
> > > > prefer egaeratinng the role of ARES
> > > >
> > > What was THAT spiel, Markie?
> > >
> > the truth as best I know it
> >
> Then you don't know what's "best", Markie.

but I know how the goverment works

>
> Just ill-prepared opinion unsubstantiated by facts.

well prepared but unsupported by any facts i have presented

Why present no facts becuase you are not listening anyway

>
> > > You're claiming that it's the "duty" of the Red Cross, etc etc to
> > > LIE to the country just to validate the existance of ARES...?!?!
> >
> > yes indeed
>
> Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh Kayyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy.........
>
> > As it was the Duty of FDR to nation to tell lies about Lend lease and
> > cash and cary in order to ready the USA for WW2. FDR was one of the
> > biggest liars to hold that office, and I bless him for hoving the
> > courage to do his duty even if by lies and deceit
> >
> > >
> > > WHAT AN IDIOT!~
>
> I reiterate....
>
> WHAT AN IDIOT!

not at all a valid representation fo History

>
> > > > From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
> > > > ARES but not the degree of that benifit
> > >
> > > Completely incoherent, Markie.
> >
> > not realy just expressing a type of thought you can't follow
>
> You mean the illogical type? No kidding.

no a type of logic that escapes you

>
> > > Try again.
> >
> > nope
>
> Lazy punk.

narrowminded fool

>
> > > > > > > > So prove your assertions or forever be known as a liar. Best of Luck
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No luck needed, Brain.

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The ball's been in YOUR court for months now.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope it is in yours your claim you prove em
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have.
> > > > >
> > > > > you have hardly made your claims in public (here) so you haven't proven
> > > > > them
> > > >
> > > Made WHAT claims "public"...?!?!
> >
> claims that you have made claims
>
> You've been watching the neighbor's dog chase it's tali, haven't
> you...?!?!

no been watching you dance and evade

>
> > > Markie..as I said earlier...GO BACK AND DO SOME RESEARCH...
> >
> > I have
> >
> > you put out too much #### to sort through
>
> Nope.

you put out too much shit to sort through

>
> You're just too lazy to spend the time.

I don't have a supply of time to chase down something you will not make
clear what you claim it will result in

>
> Just like your rudeness to Hans. You want things one way, spoon
> fed to you, and anything less is "condescending"

if you like

I am just defferent

>
> > > You're looking even MORE ignorant than usual!
> > >
> > > > > > > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > > > > > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > > > > > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > > > > > > have allegedly gone...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.

> > > >
> > > > BUZZ worng answer you did not type that use have in that post including
> > > > it in reply when I it reamins unclear who typed does not count
> > >
> > > Absolutely incoherent.
> >
> > perfectly
>
> Perfectly incoherent.

prefectly clear to anyone with a brain

>
> > > Try again,Markie.
>
> > nope
>
> Yep.

why?

>
> > I don't waste my time that way steve
>
> Sure you do. Your whole life is a waste of time.

right at least I have a life

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1105. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:42:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121398969.635994.145030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112464

N9OGL wrote:
> >So...are you going to do anything to fix it or just whine and
> >snivvel about it...(there's where my money is...)...???
>
> I on't know how I'm suppose to fix it but I do have a suggestion that
> you look up the definition of what a blog is, because frankily IMHO you
> don't know what a BLOG (AKA WEBLOG) is

Sure I do.

But the PROBLEM is that you foster and encourage the kind of
bahaviour that has created THIS problem.

You've been told, by more than me, but you refuse to fix the
problem...

So YOU get to deal with the consequences.

Steve, K4YZ

1106. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:46:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121399198.792751.321450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112466

N9OGL wrote:
> O'Dochartaigh is the gaelic spelling of my last name you freaking nazi...(SNIP)

Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.

> (UNSNIP)...secondly you freaking scumbag I can under anyname I want on the
> Internet....SO UP YOUR!!!

Sure you can. It's your right to make yourself look foolish in any
forum you care to...And I see you're quite good at it.

Steve, K4YZ

1107. Stevie is obsessed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:49:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121399352.732953.325580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112467

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > wether I was or wasnt has nothing to do with what posted and accesd
> > > > > > through FCC.gov
> > > > >
> > > > > I found the items Hans was refering to without the slightest
> > > > > impediment or error.
> > > >
> > > > I found something very different as well as what Hans posted
> > >
> > > I am sure that you find a lot of things very different, Markie.
> >
> > then you admit I am right so lets move on
>
> I didn't say you are right...Not by a long stretch...

yes you did

>
> I said you find them different.
>
> "Different" is not "right"...

nor is it wrong

you see things deferently than I

Grow up and get over it

>
> > > > > > Hans already gave you the exact information that you needed...And
> > > > > > THEN you said "I don't need to know that since I can't operate
> > > > > > there"...Well...Obviously it's an issue for you since you DO keep going
> > > > > > "there".
> > > > >
> > > > > So instead of letting it drop, you further exacerbate the issue by
> > > > > opening a whole new thread and get your nose rubbed even deeper in your
> > > > > arrogance and ignorance.
> > > >
> > > > no I was comening on content of FCC.gov
> > >
> > > You were trying to find a way out of the public spanking you've

> > > > > been taking for your rude behaviour.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > > Absolutely. First you claim that you want the information. Then
> > > you insult the person who gives it to you. Then you claim you don't
> > > need to know anyway, but then open another thread about Part 97 IRT "60
> > > mettres"
> >
> > so? you berate for not doing my reasearch and then berate for doing it
>
> No. I am just berating you for being a rude punk.

rude to ask question

fuck you
>
> > > > > It backfired on you.
> > > >
> > > > Back fired how?
> > > >
> > > > You decided as expected to attack anything and everything I post
> > >
> > > Not everything. Just the assinine, idiotic and mistruthful parts.
> >
> > evrything
>
> Nope. Just the assinine, idiotic and mistruthful parts.

everything

>
> Unless you're AGREEING that ALL of your posts are assinine,
> idiotic and mistruthful...?!?!

Nope I am not I am asaying that you claim everthing is assinine idoitic
and un truthfull Never seen the word Mistruthful before

>
> > > > > > you are the one that never let anything drop
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
> > > > > you right now.
> > > >
> > > > more threats
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Just a figure of speech.
> >
> > a threatening one
>

> Nope. One used quite frequently in common American lexicon.

which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one
shot one Kill even in illiopolis"

>
> > but you admit it more bluster then
>
> Nope.

then you are lying if not admiting bluster

>
> > BTW we will see what Aol thinks of you Some has Aids post. Likely
> > nothing as AOl is notorious for toleating the abuse of folks from their
> > domain
>
> Since I clearly defined what AIDS stood for, good luck.

you header is enough in theory

>
> You see, Mark...Unlike you, I clearly define the parameters of
> what I am discussing. Not making them up as I go and hoping they are
> understood along the way...

what didn't I define?

>
> > > > > > You are still harrasing me about changing ISP's In 1998
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You just stated 2 days ago that you allegedly continue to use the
> > > > > > fictitious $5.00/hr ISP.
> > > > >
> > > > > which harms you how? affect you how?
> > > >
> > > It doesn't. I just laugh out loud when you complain about it on
> > > the one hand, then defend it on the other.
> > >
> > again with the distoritons
>
> No distortions.

plenty of distorion

>
> Facts.
>
> "Back in the day" you used to claim that you were paying those
> rediculous fees and as such refused to keep these discussions in e
> mail.

I complains about paying for your dreck twice

any other statemnt is a lie on your part

>
> The truth, of course, is that you LIKE to be publically
> humiliated, hence your insistance to be slapped around in here.

another Stevie Lie

>
> No problem. You certainly provide enough materail to work with.

sadist then

>
> > > > I still use concentric on the road to gather my email why does that
> > > > concern you?
> > >
> > > Concentric what?
> >
> > concetric they have another name but they use use the domain last time
> > I cjecked
>
> That sentence made no sense at all.

to you nothing I say makes sense so?

>
> > > > > And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
> > > > > your humourous
> > > > >
> > > > you were going on and on about the ISP, one would tend to assume you
> > > > were tlaking about what you were saying but even that is rash with you
> > >
> > > You weren't paying attention. (news there, eh?)
> >
> > yes I was you were ranting and raving
>
> Nope. Just pointing out your inconsistencies.

what in consitancies

you frabricate some kind of intent to decieve out of changing ISP
sorely to confuse you and others

>
> Again...there are so many to work with...

all in your head

>
> > > > Stevie grow up
> > >
> > > Back at ya, LiarBoy.
> >
> > I am adult not sure you are even human

>
> Your body may have reached the age of majority, Markie, but there
> is STRONG evidence that you have some very significant development
> issues yet to be resolved.

and you display signs that you are dangerously unbalanced

>
> First and foremeost being your writing and English comprehension
> skills.

I understand english but you are not using english very well either

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1108. More Markie Dreck Corrected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 03:54:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121399644.789056.90730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112468

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
> > >
> > So have you.
> >
> Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo

"Boyo"..?!?!

Fixation with kids, Markie?

You've been claiming the opposite this week.

> > Like why does a married Veteran with small children lie repeatedly
> > and prolifically in a public forum...?!?!
> >
> Why do you lie so much Stevie I can't undersatnd it, either

But I don't. That's what confuses you.

You can't understand why someone would tell the truth when lying
and making stuff up is so much "fun".

> an example like Saying the Borther Grimm were not linguists

They're not.

They're dead.

> > > > The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
> > > > HF.
> > > >
> > > For now. And it doesn't have to make sense or be consistent with other
> > > regulations. That's why it is arbitrary.
> > >
> > It's not "arbitrary".
> >
> It sure is

Nope.

> > That's an "out" that people try to use when they want to avoid
> > facing reality.
> >
> BTW the FCC does not require Morse testing in all cases for HF use.

Of course not. But it does for Amateur use.

> > > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.
> > >
> > > Hi! Tell it to the ARRL VEC.
> >
> > I'll tell it to them all.
> >
> > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE". Not in the ARRL VEC, the W%YI, VEC,
> > or any of the others.
>
> can't even give W5YI the proper speling Stevie

Doesn't matter. There's still no "Farnsworth CSCE".

> > It doesn't exist.
>
> All hail Stevie

For making a point about the truth?

> > > > > He's the Dick Clark of Amateur Radio. The USA's most immature Extra.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope....we'll hold that "honor" for you, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > I'm no Extra.
> > >
> > > So right on so many levels.
>
> if you are an example then it hardly much to aspire too

Good. Then I don't have to worry about you drecking up the phone
OR CW subbands anytime soon.

> > > > Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
> > > > doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...
> > >
> > > Steve and Bruce on the same team.
> >
> > Bruce who?
> >
> > If you're refering to N8DOS (SK), why shouldn't we be? He was my
> > father.
>
> because he is dead and I hope burried (please if you are keeping him
> round the house don't tell us)

He was "burried" with something YOU will never have, Markie...

Military Honors.

Steve, K4YZ

1109. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:00:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121400044.754778.33230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112469

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > from Aprl 5 2005 5:o6 AM
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > been trying to track haveing seen seen looking for Stevie's alleged
> > > > > > evidence of Combat
> > > > > >
> > > > > And where on line do you expect to find that?
> > > > >
> > > > you claimed you had posted to the newsgroup proof of your claims of
> > > > combat
> > > >
> > > I never said that.
> > >
> > sure did
> >
> Then show it here.
>
> > > > So I wasn't there, had not said word one to you in years, and you still
> > > > still forth hate and venom at me
> > > >

break

> > > It's not hate and venom, Markie.
> > >
> > yes it is
> >
> Nope. It's your own words and behaviour, Markie.

why did you attack me when I had not posted in years

can you answer that?

>
> > you obviously hate what for I can't understand
> >
> Huh?

you obviously hate me why I don't know

>
> > > It's a complete lack of respect for a fellow American who abuses
> > > the priviliges of "freedom of speech" with the chronic use of lies and
> > > deceit.
> > >
> > hate in other words
>
> Nope.

yes hate

you might have a point if it were just me but you go off on Lenn and
Tood in the same hate filled manner

>
> "Hate" in YOUR words.

yes Hate

you are a hate monger

>
> > > > > Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.
> > > > >
> > > > form you I guess I have to take that as an Honor
> > > >
> > > You would.
> > > >
> > > > That you were still timking of me after all those years was in a sense
> > > > flatering, also a bit frightening as to the degree and depth of your
> > > > hate for a man you never met
> > > >
> > > I don't hate you, Mark.
> > >
> > sure do hate and/or fear me for some reason
>
> I don't hate you nor fear you.

yes you do nothing else explains your behavoir hate or fear

>
> I reserve "hate" for child molesters, spousal abusers, murderers
> etc.

well you have all but accused me of being a Child molester

>
> You're not one of those, are you?

you accuse me of it

>
> And FEAR you...?!?!

yep

>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !!

grow up and stopp crying on the RRAP

>
> > > I pity you.
> >
> > thanks I think
>
> You're welcome and no you don't.

yes I do

You don't think

you react which is the real reason I tend to beleive you may well have
been a marine. That is aprt of military mindset and much folks that
spend any time have it. some never aquire it

>
> > > And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.
> >
> > ah yes self loathing Stevie
>
> Yes, I am sure you are self-loathing. You should be.

no I like myself I even love myself

>
> > > I sure do wish you could appreciate just how funny that "sentence"
> > > was, Markie!
> >
> > gald you enjoyed it
>
> I am "gald" by a lot of what you attempt to write, Markie.

then what is your beef if I make you glad then you should not be upset
with me

>
> > > > > > > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > > > > > > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > > > > > > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> > > > > > > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obsession or perhaps OCD seems like a good
> > > > >
> > > > > Like a good "what", Markie?
> > > >
> > > > clear enough
> > >

> > > No, it wasn't.
> >
> > yes it was
>
> No, it wasn't.

it was

OCD and obseesion look good for you
>
> > > You didn't finish the sentence.
> > >
> > > > > Finish your sentences of you expect to be understood.
> > > > >
> > > > > Stevie I don't expect you to understand any sentence I write
> > > >
> > > > Then you should feel quite fulfilled.
> > >
> > why? I simply don't expect you to understand
>
> So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
> see....

More making shit up stevie

I simply don't expcept you to understand, simple fact

indeed I am certian even if you understood your mind would not allow
you to admit it

>
> > > > I choose to let the reader fill it in, again you try the times
> > > >
> > > Try what times?
> > >
> > the times either NY or london times if you like
>
> I guess there was an attempt at humor there...

not at all

you refered to the newspaper in stuff now clipped out

I msitakenly assumed you could follow anything

>
> > > > > > picking on someone not even around at the time
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously you were.
> > > > >
> > > > > No I wasn't
> > > >
> > > > Obviously you were.

> >
> > nope I wasn't had not posted anything in years
>
> Obviously you were. You've involved yourself in "debate" spanning
> several years now.

Nope

I was here a while starting around 97 till about 2000 then left for
about 4-5 years and came back

with nothing in between

>
> > > > had not been here in years you lieing sack of ####
> > >
> > > Obviously you were.
> >
> > not a post of mine in years yet you were still spilling hate and venom
>
> No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> cage.

that sure sound like hate to me

You can't even adknowledge our status as humans

must be hate or fear

>
> Steve

1110. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:02:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121400123.197847.170070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112470

say you

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > O'Dochartaigh is the gaelic spelling of my last name you freaking nazi...(SNIP)
>
> Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.

it is not your place to say how his name is spelled

>
> > (UNSNIP)...secondly you freaking scumbag I can under anyname I want on the
> > Internet....SO UP YOUR!!!
>
> Sure you can. It's your right to make yourself look foolish in any
> forum you care to...And I see you're quite good at it.

and you are a hate fill bag of shit

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1111. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:04:18 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <SK2dnaxKfvOQ2ErfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112486

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
> The motel was directly off the end of whatever B-52 base it was which
> was alongside the plant.

Carswell, and if you think the B-52s were loud you should have been
there when the B-36s were.

1112. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:04:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121400294.385528.184020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112471

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >So...are you going to do anything to fix it or just whine and
> > >snivvel about it...(there's where my money is...)...???
> >
> > I on't know how I'm suppose to fix it but I do have a suggestion that
> > you look up the definition of what a blog is, because frankily IMHO you
> > don't know what a BLOG (AKA WEBLOG) is
>
> Sure I do.
>
> But the PROBLEM is that you foster and encourage the kind of
> bahaviour that has created THIS problem.

well you make yourself a target by spewing out your hate

makes plenty of folk unhappy with you

you words offend

so expect offense in turn

>
> You've been told, by more than me, but you refuse to fix the
> problem...

it is your problem a lack of maturity that you can't accept any name
calling in reutrn for your name calling

Very Childish

>
> So YOU get to deal with the consequences.
as do you

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1113. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:07:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121400434.362646.307160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112472

anyone who looks at the ehader knows, but then I guess that lets you
out

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > fraud
>
> Who can tell?

both of us

indeed I suspect that you sent it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1114. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:07:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121400458.657978.263280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112473

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
>
> Probably not.
>
> NAMBLA is more to your likings, no doubt.

now you are accsuing Tod of pedophilia

more libel

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1115. Re: Stevie is obsessed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:08:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121400498.265565.250880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112474

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > then you admit I am right so lets move on
> > >
> > I didn't say you are right...Not by a long stretch...
> >
> yes you did

We've found yet ANOTHER MarkieLie.

> > I said you find them different.
> > >
> > "Different" is not "right"...
> >
> nor is it wrong
> >
> you see things deferently than I
> >
> Grow up and get over it

In this case I >>AM<< "right". Not that it takes a rocket
scientist to be so with you.

> > > so? you berate for not doing my reasearch and then berate for doing it
> > >
> > No. I am just berating you for being a rude punk.
> >
> rude to ask question

No. Rude for treating Hans the way you did for ANSWERING your
question.

> #### you

Now see...there you go with your sexual deviance again.

> > Unless you're AGREEING that ALL of your posts are assinine,
> > idiotic and mistruthful...?!?!
> >
> Nope I am not I am asaying that you claim everthing is assinine idoitic
> and un truthfull Never seen the word Mistruthful before

And I've never seen the word "truthfull" either.

"Mistruthful" is in Websters.

> > > > > > > you are the one that never let anything drop
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
> > > > > > > you right now.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more threats
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just a figure of speech.
> > >
> > > a threatening one
> >
> > Nope. One used quite frequently in common American lexicon.
>
> which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one
> shot one Kill even in illiopolis"

More out-of-context quotes, Markie!

Forgot your "Is that your best shot" comment that inspired the
response?

> > > but you admit it more bluster then
> >
> > Nope.
>
> then you are lying if not admiting bluster

It's not lying, nor was the comment "bluster".

> > > BTW we will see what Aol thinks of you Some has Aids post. Likely
> > > nothing as AOl is notorious for toleating the abuse of folks from their
> > > domain
> >
> > Since I clearly defined what AIDS stood for, good luck.
>
> you header is enough in theory

That you have some minimum quantity of human DNA qualifies you as
a human...

in theory...

> > You see, Mark...Unlike you, I clearly define the parameters of
> > what I am discussing. Not making them up as I go and hoping they are
> > understood along the way...
>
> what didn't I define?

About half of your uses of words.

Like "drafted" until a year later....

> > No distortions.
>
> plenty of distorion

Only yours. Markie.

> > Facts.
> >
> > "Back in the day" you used to claim that you were paying those
> > rediculous fees and as such refused to keep these discussions in e
> > mail.
>
> I complains about paying for your dreck twice
>
> any other statemnt is a lie on your part

Nope.

I sent you several letters to keep thsi stuff off the board.

You came to the board and lied, saying I was threatening you, and
costing you all that money for your ISP.

> > The truth, of course, is that you LIKE to be publically
> > humiliated, hence your insistance to be slapped around in here.
>
> another Stevie Lie

Nope.

> > No problem. You certainly provide enough material to work with.
>
> sadist then

Nope.

> > > concetric they have another name but they use use the domain last time
> > > I cjecked
> >
> > That sentence made no sense at all.
>
> to you nothing I say makes sense so?

So fix it.

> > > > > > And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
> > > > > > your humourous
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you were going on and on about the ISP, one would tend to assume you
> > > > > > were tlaking about what you were saying but even that is rash with you
> > > > >
> > > > > You weren't paying attention. (news there, eh?)
> > >
> > > yes I was you were ranting and raving
> >

> > Nope. Just pointing out your inconsistencies.
>
> what in consitancies
>
> you frabricate some kind of intent to decieve out of changing ISP
> sorely to confuse you and others

You do.

Like lying about your "identity" when you changed screen
names...trying to make it appear as though there were "others" who
supported you, when indeed all it was WAS you...

> > Again...there are so many to work with...
>
> all in your head

Nope.

All from your keyboard.

> > > > > Stevie grow up
> > > >
> > > > Back at ya, LiarBoy.
> > >
> > > I am adult not sure you are even human
> >
> > Your body may have reached the age of majority, Markie, but there
> > is STRONG evidence that you have some very significant development
> > issues yet to be resolved.
>
> and you display signs that you are dangerously unbalanced

And you claim >>I<< make comments "not in your fiield"

Please, Markie...

Tell us of YOUR credentials to determine mental instability...!

> > First and foremeost being your writing and English comprehension
> > skills.
>
> I understand english but you are not using english very well either

If you understood English well, you'd have a better than average
likelyhood of spelling it correctly.

Obviously I was correct.

Steve, K4YZ

1116. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:08:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121400504.828083.121890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112475

No steve, I heard your a life member of NAMBLA

1117. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:11:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121400660.012993.222300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112477

an_old_friend wrote:
> anyone who looks at the ehader knows, but then I guess that lets you
> out

What's an "ehader"...?!?!

And what's with the top posting? Forget how to post?

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > fraud
> >
> > Who can tell?
>
> both of us

Then neither of you since you can't seem to figure it out.

> indeed I suspect that you sent it

Then there's yet another disappointment you'll ahve to deal with.

Steve, K4YZ

1118. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:11:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121400703.666321.308170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112476

>Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.

BOO HOO What are going to do steve cry to yourr mommy because I don't
spell my name like you want me too.

Todd O'Dochartaigh
Ar nDutchas

1119. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:16:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121400993.869645.262420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112478

>But the PROBLEM is that you foster and encourage the kind of
>bahaviour that has created THIS problem.

HOW AM I SUPPOSE TO FIX THE PROBLEM YOU MORON!!!!

Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does, I'm not a little
dicktator like you who wants to control everyone action.

Todd N9OGL

http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/

N9OGL BBS

1120. Re: Stevie is obsessed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:31:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121401886.392693.306660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112479

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > then you admit I am right so lets move on
> > >
> > > I didn't say you are right...Not by a long stretch...
> >
> > yes you did
>
> We've found yet ANOTHER MarkieLie.

nope first you hack out the post and then you enter with another lie

>
> > > I said you find them different.
> > >
> > > "Different" is not "right"...
> >
> > nor is it wrong
> >
> > you see things deferently than I
> >
> > Grow up and get over it
>
> In this case I >>AM<< "right". Not that it takes a rocket
> scientist to be so with you.

no you simply see things differently

and you refuse to accept that I will ans should respond to things as I
preceive them

>
> > > > so? you berate for not doing my reasearch and then berate for doing it
> > >
> > > No. I am just berating you for being a rude punk.
> >
> > rude to ask question
>
> No. Rude for treating Hans the way you did for ANSWERING your
> question.

stevie you are flaimng me for reareaching part 97 which you complained
I wasn't doing

making up wityhput eveident that I am trying to decieve folks

you are a paraniod

and obseesed

>
> > ##### you
>
> Now see...there you go with your sexual deviance again.

fuck you

fuck off

the use of language you don't like is not eveidennce of sexual
devaiance

even if I wanted to fuck your butt that would not be a sign a deviance
merely a lack of taste

>
> > > Unless you're AGREEING that ALL of your posts are assinine,
> > > idiotic and mistruthful...?!?!
> >
> > Nope I am not I am asaying that you claim everthing is assinine idoitic
> > and un truthfull Never seen the word Mistruthful before
>
> And I've never seen the word "truthfull" either.

lie

Ich habe 'truthfull" im desse Morgan schriben
>
> "Mistruthful" is in Websters.

never seen it before

>
> > > > > > > > you are the one that never let anything drop
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure I can. As a matter of fact, I'm letting the hammer drop on
> > > > > > > you right now.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more threats
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just a figure of speech.
> > > >
> > > > a threatening one
> > >
> > > Nope. One used quite frequently in common American lexicon.
> >
> > which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one

> > shot one Kill even in illiopolis"
>
> More out-of-context quotes, Markie!

nope

>
> Forgot your "Is that your best shot" comment that inspired the
> response?

nope

>
> > > > but you admit it more bluster then
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > then you are lying if not admiting bluster
>
> It's not lying, nor was the comment "bluster".

yes it was
>
> > > > BTW we will see what Aol thinks of you Some has Aids post. Likely
> > > > nothing as AOl is notorious for toleating the abuse of folks from their
> > > > domain
> > >
> > > Since I clearly defined what AIDS stood for, good luck.
> >
> > you header is enough in theory
>
> That you have some minimum quantity of human DNA qualifies you as
> a human...

huh

I have 100% Hukman DNA
>
> in theory...

In fact too but your point?

>
> > > You see, Mark...Unlike you, I clearly define the parameters of
> > > what I am discussing. Not making them up as I go and hoping they are
> > > understood along the way...
> >
> > what didn't I define?
>
> About half of your uses of words.

Stevie noone can gues what distorted uses you will aply to words

witness your torture of the words CLaim

>
> Like "drafted" until a year later....

Lair

answers as soon as you asked

a amatter of days

You needed reapeated a year later and again 6 years later

>
> > > No distortions.
> >
> > plenty of distorion
>
> Only yours. Markie.

nope

>
> > > Facts.
> > >
> > > "Back in the day" you used to claim that you were paying those
> > > rediculous fees and as such refused to keep these discussions in e
> > > mail.
> > >
> > I complains about paying for your dreck twice
> >
> > any other statemnt is a lie on your part
>
> Nope.

yes it is

>
> I sent you several letters to keep thsi stuff off the board.

you sent abusive and threatening letters off the borad

you demanded I stop posting or you would out me on RRAP as Gay (dispite
my being Bisexual)

You are a blackmailer, and a foolish one since don't my sxual
orientation just don't advertise it
>
> You came to the board and lied, saying I was threatening you, and
> costing you all that money for your ISP.

you were blackmailing me

you were also sending a copy of every post to me both in RRAP and in
private Email

wasting my time and money

>
> > > The truth, of course, is that you LIKE to be publically
> > > humiliated, hence your insistance to be slapped around in here.
> >
> > another Stevie Lie
>
> Nope.

yes

You don't humilate me. anoy harrass stalker threaten me yes humilate me
no

therefore it is a lie to say I like being humilated since it doesn't
happen

You might be humilatied if treated the way you trat me, but it is old
home week for me

>
> > > No problem. You certainly provide enough material to work with.
> >
> > sadist then
>
> Nope.

since you claim to enjoy trying to humilate me you are a sadist

>
> > > > concetric they have another name but they use use the domain last time
> > > > I cjecked
> > >
> > > That sentence made no sense at all.
> >
> > to you nothing I say makes sense so?
>
> So fix it.

why?

you are still ranting on about my changing ISP's 6 years ago

>
> > > > > > > And it wasn't about changing ISP's to which I alluded...It was to
> > > > > > > your humourous
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you were going on and on about the ISP, one would tend to assume you
> > > > > > > were tlaking about what you were saying but even that is rash with you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You weren't paying attention. (news there, eh?)
> > > > >
> > > > > yes I was you were ranting and raving
> > >
> > > Nope. Just pointing out your inconsistencies.

> >
> > what in consitancies
> >
> > you frabricate some kind of intent to decieve out of changing ISP
> > sorely to confuse you and others
>
> You do.

prove it

I simply look for better deals

and take them

>
> Like lying about your "identity" when you changed screen
> names...trying to make it appear as though there were "others" who
> supported you, when indeed all it was WAS you...

never did that

>
> > > Again...there are so many to work with...
> >
> > all in your head
>
> Nope.

yes all in that shit brains head of yours

>
> All from your keyboard.

nope

>
> > > > > > Stevie grow up
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Back at ya, LiarBoy.
> > > > >
> > > > > I am adult not sure you are even human
> > > >
> > > > Your body may have reached the age of majority, Markie, but there
> > > > is STRONG evidence that you have some very significant development
> > > > issues yet to be resolved.
> > >
> > > and you display signs that you are dangerously unbalanced
> >
> > And you claim >>I<< make comments "not in your fiield"

Not in my feild I agree

but I can tell a threat when i read it

and anyne who make the threats you do over Ham radio must be unblanced,
not sure exactly how, but you must be

>
> Please, Markie...
>
> Tell us of YOUR credentials to determine mental instability...!

years of reading your posts

>
> > > First and foremeost being your writing and English comprehension
> > > skills.
> >
> > I understand english but you are not using english very well either
>
> If you understood English well, you'd have a better than average
> likelyhood of spelling it correctly.

not realy proves you don't know much about dyslexia

still reling on Tom Cruise for expertise on the subject

>
> Obviously I was correct.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1121. Re: More Markie Dreck Corrected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:39:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121402370.572092.171780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112480

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
> > >
> > > So have you.
> >
> > Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo
>
> "Boyo"..?!?!

an irish expresion
>
> Fixation with kids, Markie?

more implacation of pedophilia stevie

>
> You've been claiming the opposite this week.

Indeed No I have not that is lie on your part I have neither confirmed
nor denied pedophilia, that was neevr the topic

What I have been claiming and what you are proving as fact is that YOU
are impling I am a pedophile

so Another Stevie lie

>
> > > Like why does a married Veteran with small children lie repeatedly
> > > and prolifically in a public forum...?!?!
> >
> > Why do you lie so much Stevie I can't undersatnd it, either
>
> But I don't. That's what confuses you.

yes you do

you have lied in the post I am repleing to
>
> You can't understand why someone would tell the truth when lying
> and making stuff up is so much "fun".

another lie. never said lying was fun.

you seem to enjoy making up stuff

>
> > an example like Saying the Borther Grimm were not linguists
>
> They're not.
>
> They're dead.

they still were can't be a man and admit error

have to bluster and evade and lie

>
> > > > > The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
> > > > > HF.
> > > >
> > > > For now. And it doesn't have to make sense or be consistent with other
> > > > regulations. That's why it is arbitrary.
> > >
> > > It's not "arbitrary".
> >
> > It sure is
>
> Nope.

sure is

entirely arbitary

>
> > > That's an "out" that people try to use when they want to avoid
> > > facing reality.
> >
> > BTW the FCC does not require Morse testing in all cases for HF use.
>
> Of course not. But it does for Amateur use.

currently yes

>
> > > > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hi! Tell it to the ARRL VEC.
> > > >
> > > > I'll tell it to them all.
> > > >
> > > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE". Not in the ARRL VEC, the W%YI, VEC,
> > > > or any of the others.
> > >
> > > can't even give W5YI the proper speling Stevie
>
> Doesn't matter. There's still no "Farnsworth CSCE".

sure does show you can't even respect folks that have never to my
knowledge psted here

that you have never meet to my knowledge

>
> > > It doesn't exist.
> >
> > All hail Stevie
>
> For making a point about the truth?

for your bluster

>
> > > > > > He's the Dick Clark of Amateur Radio. The USA's most immature Extra.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope....we'll hold that "honor" for you, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm no Extra.
> > > >
> > > > So right on so many levels.
> > >
> > > if you are an example then it hardly much to aspire too
> >
> Good. Then I don't have to worry about you drecking up the phone
> OR CW subbands anytime soon.

well as I undestand it there are no CW subbands except at VHF there are
CW/data subbands

and you may have even heard me on field day

>
> > > > > > Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
> > > > > > doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve and Bruce on the same team.
> > > >
> > > > Bruce who?
> > > >
> > > > If you're refering to N8DOS (SK), why shouldn't we be? He was my
> > > > father.
> > >
> > > because he is dead and I hope burried (please if you are keeping him
> > > round the house don't tell us)
> >
> He was "burried" with something YOU will never have, Markie...

then in saying nothing wrong with teaming up with him you are sick he
is a corpse

>
> Military Honors.

right stevie keep believeing that
>

> Steve, K4YZ

1122. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:40:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121402454.636458.27750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112481

top posting stevie you can stop me

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > anyone who looks at the ehader knows, but then I guess that lets you
> > out
>
> What's an "ehader"...?!?!
>
> And what's with the top posting? Forget how to post?

I choose to.

obviously I have not forgoten how to post

I simplly don't hold to the conventions you would like to impose
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > fraud
> > >
> > > Who can tell?
> >
> > both of us
>
> Then neither of you since you can't seem to figure it out.
>
> > indeed I suspect that you sent it
>
> Then there's yet another disappointment you'll ahve to deal with.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1123. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:42:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121402525.714756.167950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112482

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > anyone who looks at the ehader knows, but then I guess that lets you
> > out
>
> What's an "ehader"...?!?!
>
> And what's with the top posting? Forget how to post?
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > fraud
> > >
> > > Who can tell?
> >
> > both of us
>
> Then neither of you since you can't seem to figure it out.
>
> > indeed I suspect that you sent it
>
> Then there's yet another disappointment you'll ahve to deal with..

another post without spelling Have right

what disapointment?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1124. Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 04:53:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121403217.285341.196240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112483

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website
> >
> > The FCC, who is the only regulatory authority on Amateur Radio in the USA, does
> > not call it a band.
> >
> > The ARRL has it wrong.

then go complain to them

I doubt they will listen

> >
> > There is no 60m band allocated to amateur radio. There are just 5 specific
> > discrete 5.xxx MHz frequencies allocated.
> >
> > A "band" is a contiguous block of frequency spectrum bounded by an upper and
> > lower limit, such as the 160m band which is bounded by 1.8 MHz and 2.0MHz as the
> > lower and upper frequency limits, or the 1.25cm data band which is bounded by
> > 219MHz and 220MHz as the lower and upper frequency limits respectively. There
> > is no similar bounded "band" in the vicinity of 60m.

there certainly is

nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it is dived into
100hz channels etc

>
> OH NO!
>
> It's more of those demeaning and condescending FACTS!

no another stevie lie

or rathe rhis arogance in claiming HE matters more than ARRL, try that
out with the FCC

Stevie if you are so important, why do you bother with RRAP?

>
> CALL THE ACLU! CALL THE ADA!
>
> You've confused him with too many facts, Hans. The boy doesn't
> know what to do with them all!

>
> 73

another Lie
you do not have best regrads for me

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1125. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 05:01:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121403690.469461.39750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112484

apears as though you went to the blog and posted there, therefore BYS
anyoone else seeing that is entitled to coment on your post you etc

but then you don't trat others as you demand to be treated

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Well steve,
> >
> > all the letters you show on here was written the same way, they all
> > were sent via my blog but, hey that did stop you and your little
> > girlfriends on here from sending them to my last ISP.
>
> I guess you don't see that pattern here....
>
> YOUR BLOG seems to be at the center of all YOUR woes, along with
> your profanities, childish behaviour, etc.
>
> So...are you going to do anything to fix it or just whine and
> snivvel about it...(there's where my money is...)...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1126. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 05:07:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121404030.675732.24590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112485

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > but it does prove you were liying when you said everyone was welcome
> > to comment
>
> Oh?
>
> This is twice you've made comment here.
>
> Who stopped you?

another stevie lie never said stopped I said you lied when you said you
welcome coment from aynone
that was and reamins a lie

>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > Off topic having nothing to do the ARS or subject RRAP
> > >
> > > Take two aspirin and call someone who cares, Markie.
> >
> > stop breaking the law btw
>
> And that law would be...?!?!

laws against internet stalking

>
> (And please don't tell me that telling you to take an
> over-the-counter drug was "prescribing"...YOU would be lying...)

gigo

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1127. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 06:50:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121410207.149954.34180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112487

N9OGL wrote:
> >But the PROBLEM is that you foster and encourage the kind of
> >bahaviour that has created THIS problem.
>
> HOW AM I SUPPOSE TO FIX THE PROBLEM YOU MORON!!!!

Well, stopping behaviour like that is one of them.

> Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does...(SNIP)

Sure you can.

> I'm not a little
> dicktator like you who wants to control everyone action.

If you're not willing to take steps to curb the actions that cause
your problems, they will always be able to take advantage of you.

Steve, K4YZ

1128. Mark Morgan Perpetuates More Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 06:57:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121410658.808762.290470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112488

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website
> > >
> > > The FCC, who is the only regulatory authority on Amateur Radio in the USA, does
> > > not call it a band.
> > >
> > > The ARRL has it wrong.
> >
> > then go complain to them
> >
> > I doubt they will listen

Sure they will listen.

> > > There is no 60m band allocated to amateur radio. There are just 5 specific
> > > discrete 5.xxx MHz frequencies allocated.
> > >
> > > A "band" is a contiguous block of frequency spectrum bounded by an upper and
> > > lower limit, such as the 160m band which is bounded by 1.8 MHz and 2.0MHz as the
> > > lower and upper frequency limits, or the 1.25cm data band which is bounded by
> > > 219MHz and 220MHz as the lower and upper frequency limits respectively. There
> > > is no similar bounded "band" in the vicinity of 60m.
> >
> > there certainly is

No, there's not.

You operate on one of those five specific frequencies, or you get
a QSL card from Riley and Company.

> nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it is dived into
> 100hz channels etc

Those "channels" are set up by Amateur Radio programs...NOT the
FCC or NTIA. Those channels exist within a BAND granted Amateurs by
the FCC.

> > OH NO!
> >
> > It's more of those demeaning and condescending FACTS!
> >
> > no another stevie lie

Not a lie.

Facts.

> or rathe rhis arogance in claiming HE matters more than ARRL, try that
> out with the FCC

Where did I say ANYthing like that, Markie?

> Stevie if you are so important, why do you bother with RRAP?

Where did I say that, Markie? Why are you perpetuating yet
ANOTHER lie in a public forum?

> > CALL THE ACLU! CALL THE ADA!
> >
> > You've confused him with too many facts, Hans. The boy doesn't
> > know what to do with them all!
> >
> > 73
>
> another Lie
> you do not have best regrads for me

Good thing that those comments were in response to HANS' post, not
yours, eh, Markie..?!?!

Sheeesh.

You accuse ME of allegedly being "obssessed" with YOU, and then
here you are assuming that just because I am posting in RRAP that I am
talking to you!

ROTMFFLMMFAO! ! ! ! ! ! !

Your Idiot Factor hit a 7.9 on that one, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

1129. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 06:59:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121410786.674134.87280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112489

an_old_friend wrote:
> say you
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > O'Dochartaigh is the gaelic spelling of my last name you freaking nazi...(SNIP)
> >
> > Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
>
> it is not your place to say how his name is spelled

Where did I say it was, Markie?

I said it's not his name...and it isn't.

> > > (UNSNIP)...secondly you freaking scumbag I can under anyname I want on the
> > > Internet....SO UP YOUR!!!
> >
> > Sure you can. It's your right to make yourself look foolish in any
> > forum you care to...And I see you're quite good at it.
>
> and you are a hate fill bag of ####

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

1130. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 07:02:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121410949.556977.78080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112490

N9OGL wrote:
> >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
>
> BOO HOO

That's the little girl we know!

> What are going to do steve cry to yourr mommy because I don't
> spell my name like you want me too.

Nope. Just tell her how funny you look. When she says "no one
could be that funny looking", I'll show her the pictures.

> Todd McDochartaigh

Misrepresenter Of Truth.

Steve, K4YZ

1131. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 07:21:02 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ztJBe.37594$up5.26640@lakeread02>
Source record: 112491

Michael Coslo wrote:

> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
>
>>>Once again, why is it irrelevant?
>>
>>
>> I see you snipped the answer to that one. read it again.
>>
>> better yet, I'll explain in greater detail:
>> 1. of the 20 million odd cb radios floating around in the US and other
>> places the US controlls: 35% of them would not meet type acceptance (due
>> to illegal modification), 40% go unused (due to lack of interest, noise,
>> storage or breakdown). The remainder are often in the hands of either
>> children or adults who have virtually no training in their proper use.
>> that leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question
>> as to that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily
>> basis? How many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least
>> a good knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use? how
>> many of those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster
>> relief by accredited agencies?
>>
>> why don't you give me some really good hard data to work with?
>>
>> whats your real problem with ham radio?
>
> The odd thing is that while we've gotten a breakdown on the number of
> Ham radio operators as compared to the population of the US (as if every
> person in the US is going to have traffic for every Ham op) we now go in
> complete reverse - miraculously every CB rig is going to be pressed into
> service in time of need. 20 million radios ready to save life and
> property.
>
> This whole part of the argument is weird and borders on irrelevant.
>
> For an interesting coomparison, why don't we come up with the ratio of
> say EMT's to the general population. Yet somehow they do their jobs.

I know, but that is his "obtuse point". I doesn't matter the actual number
of trained operators, just the number of radio sets.
>

>
>>>
>>>>fact
>>>>is, only a fraction of those are ever in operation on a daily basis. a
>>>>lot of them languish (unused) either in vehicles or removed and in
>>>>storage.
>>>
>>>
>>>It doesn't take very long to hook up a CB radio. And it doesn't take a
>>>ham to do it, either.
>>
>>
>> uh huh. and it doesn't take damned long to blow a fuse and start a fire
>> either because some twit decided to do it himself and didn't have the
>> knowhow to hook it up right (I have witnessed this first hand on more
>> than one occassion). at least, with hams, you have some education (its
>> part of being a ham: learning about electricity, electronics and
>> operations and procedures).
>
> In high school, I used to make some extra cash by repairing electrical
> wiring in vehicles. A lot of them had melted and shorted wiring caused
> by the owner hooking up a CB rig incorrectly. You'de be surprised how
> often it happens.

been there, sen that many times.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1132. Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 07:22:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121412135.412429.318340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112492

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > It's not hate and venom, Markie.
> > >
> > > yes it is
> >
> > Nope. It's your own words and behaviour, Markie.
>
> why did you attack me when I had not posted in years

I didn't "attack" you.

> can you answer that?

I did...See above.

> > > you obviously hate what for I can't understand
> >
> > Huh?
>
> you obviously hate me why I don't know

I don't hate you.

> > > > It's a complete lack of respect for a fellow American who abuses
> > > > the priviliges of "freedom of speech" with the chronic use of lies and
> > > > deceit.
> > >
> > > hate in other words
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes hate

So you claim I "forge words", yet here you are doing it.

No..Not hate.

> you might have a point if it were just me but you go off on Lenn and
> Tood in the same hate filled manner

Lennie and Todd are cut from the same cloth. Oh, Lennie's far
more competent with the English language than you'll ever hope to be,
but you're "cousins" none-the-less.

> > "Hate" in YOUR words.
>

> yes Hate

But not in mine. You may stop "forging" any time you like,

> you are a hate monger

No, I'm not.

> > > > > > Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.
> > > > >
> > > > > form you I guess I have to take that as an Honor
> > > >
> > > > You would.
> > > >
> > > > > That you were still timking of me after all those years was in a sense
> > > > > flatering, also a bit frightening as to the degree and depth of your
> > > > > hate for a man you never met
> > > >
> > > > I don't hate you, Mark.
> > >
> > > sure do hate and/or fear me for some reason
> >
> > I don't hate you nor fear you.
>
> yes you do nothing else explains your behavoir hate or fear

Intolerance of the deceitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.

> > I reserve "hate" for child molesters, spousal abusers, murderers
> > etc.
>
> well you have all but accused me of being a Child molester

No, I've not.

I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
liar, and a sexual deviant.

> > You're not one of those, are you?
>
> you accuse me of it

No, I didn't.

> > And FEAR you...?!?!
>
> yep

You're falttering yourself again, Markie.
>
> >
> > BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! ! ! !!

>
> grow up and stopp crying on the RRAP

I'm not crying.

That's rolling-on-the-floor laughter!

> > > > I pity you.
> > >
> > > thanks I think
> >
> > You're welcome and no you don't.
>
> yes I do
>
> You don't think

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> you react which is the real reason I tend to beleive you may well have
> been a marine. That is aprt of military mindset and much folks that
> spend any time have it. some never aquire it

If you really knew what compromises the training of Marines, you'd
know better.

And even more evidence that you know nothing of, nor were ever
part of, "the military".

> > > > And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.
> > >
> > > ah yes self loathing Stevie
> >
> > Yes, I am sure you are self-loathing. You should be.
>
> no I like myself I even love myself

I am sure you do.

> > > > I sure do wish you could appreciate just how funny that "sentence"
> > > > was, Markie!
> > >
> > > gald you enjoyed it
> >
> > I am "gald" by a lot of what you attempt to write, Markie.
>
> then what is your beef if I make you glad then you should not be upset
> with me

You continue to miss the points of laughter within your own broken
English and that makes it even MORE hillarious! The Marx Brothers or
the Three Stooges would have felt right at home with you!

> OCD and obseesion look good for you

I am sure that you've watched enough episodes of ER and General
Hospital to think so.

> > > why? I simply don't expect you to understand
> >
> > So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
> > see....
>
> More making more #### stevie

Nope.

I just make fun of what YOU make up.

> I simply don't expcept you to understand, simple fact

I understand that YOU are INTENTIONALLY attempting to not be
understood clearly.

Why..I have no idea...

> indeed I am certian even if you understood your mind would not allow
> you to admit it

I'm sure if I am ever traumatically lobotomized it will all become
clear to me.

In the mean time, your posts look like Scrabble tiles dumped on
the floor.

> > > > > I choose to let the reader fill it in, again you try the times
> > > >
> > > > Try what times?
> > >
> > > the times either NY or london times if you like
> >
> > I guess there was an attempt at humor there...
>
> not at all
>
> you refered to the newspaper in stuff now clipped out
>
> I msitakenly assumed you could follow anything

I can follow a lot.

Your fractured English takes a while to get through.

> > Obviously you were. You've involved yourself in "debate" spanning
> > several years now.
>
> Nope

Yep.

You have involved yourself in Brain's deceit and lies about my
MARS participation.

> I was here a while starting around 97 till about 2000 then left for
> about 4-5 years and came back
>
> with nothing in between

You posted here briefly in 2002 or 3...Several days worth.

> > No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> > cage.
>
> that sure sound like hate to me

Nope. Just a zookeeper doing his duty.

> You can't even adknowledge our status as humans

Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.

> must be hate or fear

Must be Markie on drugs.

Steve, K4YZ

1133. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 07:35:01 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <GGJBe.37596$up5.37051@lakeread02>
Source record: 112493

Jerry wrote:

> Eric, (forgive the top posting to avoid all the text below)

not a problem... :)

>
> During 9/11, I was on the air handling communications for
> one of those "other" agencies", arranging some transportation that to be
> used if needed, coordinating local activities with ARES, talking to FEMA,
> and monitoring all my other comm. resources--one of which I finally turned
> off due to sheer annoyance (guess which one). Of course, none of us knew
> what would happen next. During a lull in the activity in my shack, the CB
> was, of course, alive with discussions of what was happening (before it
> got on my nerves and it was turned OFF) But I almost fell off my chair
> when a loud, over-modulated voice said, "..............
> An' we is a standin' by thar to copy that 'ere EEE-mer-gen-cee" traffic
> thar, shore thang thar, mercy me, BREAK, SQUEEEEEEEK" LMAO!!!!!!!
> Hardly an encouraging example of "professional" communications abilities.

saw that here more than I'd care to admit. :)

>
> No question, CB radio can be used in emergencies: no question, no
> argument.

true. I do believe the operative term for this would be "trained operators"?

> BUT! I have to agree with you, Eric that, there is NO C O O R D I N A T
> E D, cohesive, or effective official use of CB radio, nor is it planned
> for or
> depended upon by local and state EOC's. CB could be used to do many
> things, and no doubt it would be. Like CBers could organize to go check on
> the elderly to see if they are provided for in their homes if the power is
> out,
> see that they have heat, or fans, or food. They could be used to clean up
> after a disaster, canvas an area--lots of things, but this would still
> have
> to be coordinated by people that are TRAINED to do this. CB radio is a
> consumer toy: the CBer is usually an appliance operator. He normally has
> NO skills, in and of his mere access to some sort of a radio. Thus, I
> contend, based on 40 years of involvement in military and civilian
> emergency radio operations, there is N O official sanction, planning for,
> or use of CB radio
> in state and local EOC's. Period. Sure, the general public will use CB
> radio--just like they would use the landline phone or cell. So long as it

> is available. Yes, THAT is "emergency" communications. But dedicated,
> formal, purpose-oriented communications is handled thru official Federal,
> State, and local channels. And Amateur radio was and IS part of that
> function. Amateurs CAN cross-communicate with the military-they have MARS
> with which to pass traffic FOR the military": CB radio does NOT! Amateur
> Radio has permanent desks in most state and local EOC's: CB radio does
> NOT! Amateur Radio has direct access to FEMA via FEMA's radio network and
> frequencies: CB radio does NOT! Amateur Radio, thru ARRL and local clubs,
> recieves Federal grants for training and equipment: CB radio does NOT!
> Whether "REACT" *could* is debatable, but does this lack of funding or
> demonstration of worth reflect disorganization and lack of
> internal cohesiveness--even lack of confidence on the part of HomeLand
> Security towards REACT? (What do they really 'react' to? I've never even
> SEEN 'em!).

all true. but you just don't see any of this in the current CB operator.
asking any of the current bunch in phoenix to do any real work would be
like pulling teeth without any anesthetic. in fact, I remember a couple of
events that transpired over the years here were cb operators (of a
particularly malicious group) actually attempted to directly interfere with
some on-going public service events (the Olympic torch rally was one). that
and many other small events (such as the multi-years long feud between
Trueman Ellard and H.L. Kingston pretty much put anyone in the EOC off ever
using cb for any serious work. no one on that band could demonstrate any
competence with the radio....

>
> The thread, or troll, asks about Amateur Radio's role in emergency
> communications VS CB radio. On one hand, it is apples and oranges, the
> other, is not a fair comparison.

very true. unfortunately, my sensibilities would not allow this F.U.D.
campaign to go unchallenged. its still up to him to prove that CB is really
as useful as he claimed.

>
> So let's boil it down to the central questions.
>
> 1) Does/Can CB radio get used for emergency communications? Bottom
> line.............YES! For local, immediate incidents such as wrecks on
> the highway, fires,
> lost persons--things the public would do with a phone or cell. Volunteer
> Firemen use them for cheap comms.

it can.... I haven't seen it used much in those capacities of late. had far
better response using ham radio, an autopatch and the 911 system.

>
> 2) Is CB radio recognized as a dependable, trained, effective resource in
> a disaster such as a hurricane, flood, landslide, earthquake? One to be
> used to coordinate official rescue and recovery operations? One to be
> relied upon to get OFFICIAL, formal messages thru? Recognized by

> authorities and
> sanctioned as part of their EOP's? No.

agreed. additional question: can it ever be relied upon in such situations?
only of operators were trained and drills held monthly. even then, there is
no guarantee that some "outside agitator" will try to muck things up.

>
> 3) Is Amateur radio part of most official operations plans both on the
> State and Federal level? Yes.

don't forget the local/county levels too. :)

>
> An' dem's de facts cuz we'uns is a-standin' by to pass that' ere
> eee-mer-gen-cee traffic, shore thang, gre't day thar, mercy sakes thar
> Sheriff!!! :) LOL!!
>
> J
hehehe

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1134. More Markie Dreck Continued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 08:11:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121415076.406205.320950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112494

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
> > > > >
> > > > So have you.
> > > >
> > > Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo
> > >
> > "Boyo"..?!?!
> >
> an irish expresion

Uh huh...

> > Fixation with kids, Markie?
> >
> more implacation of pedophilia stevie

Nope.

> > You've been claiming the opposite this week.
> >
> Indeed No I have not that is lie on your part I have neither confirmed
> nor denied pedophilia, that was neevr the topic

No...you just "confirm" being a liar and sexual deviant.

> What I have been claiming and what you are proving as fact is that YOU
> are impling I am a pedophile

Nope.

You're still having trouble with the English, Markie.

I said you're dangerous (and rightly so) to leave kids around.

> so Another Stevie lie

Nope.

> > > > Like why does a married Veteran with small children lie repeatedly
> > > > and prolifically in a public forum...?!?!
> > > >
> > > Why do you lie so much Stevie I can't undersatnd it, either
> > >

> > But I don't. That's what confuses you.
>
> yes you do
>
> you have lied in the post I am repleing to

No, I've not.

> > You can't understand why someone would tell the truth when lying
> > and making stuff up is so much "fun".
>
> another lie. never said lying was fun.

Sure you have.

> you seem to enjoy making up stuff

I couldn't make up the silliness you do, Markie.

It comes from a very deep and troubled mind.

> > > an example like Saying the Borther Grimm were not linguists
> >
> > They're not.
> >
> > They're dead.
>
> they still were can't be a man and admit error

What error?

They're not dead?

> have to bluster and evade and lie

They're not dead?

> > > > > > The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
> > > > > > HF.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > For now. And it doesn't have to make sense or be consistent with other
> > > > > > regulations. That's why it is arbitrary.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not "arbitrary".
> > > >
> > > It sure is
> >
> > Nope.
>
> sure is
>
> entirely arbitary

Only to you and Lennie.

Lennie won't do it because it would somehow "minimize" him in his
own eyes...taking a test that "mere mortals" all take.

You won't do it because you're lazy and unmotivated.

> > > > That's an "out" that people try to use when they want to avoid
> > > > facing reality.
> > > >
> > > > BTW the FCC does not require Morse testing in all cases for HF use.
> > >
> > > Of course not. But it does for Amateur use.
> >
> > currently yes

Well well...speaking of admitting an error...Finally got tired of
getting spanked, eh?

> > > > > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hi! Tell it to the ARRL VEC.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'll tell it to them all.
> > > > >
> > > > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE". Not in the ARRL VEC, the W%YI, VEC,
> > > > > or any of the others.
> > > >
> > > > can't even give W5YI the proper speling Stevie
> > >
> > > Doesn't matter. There's still no "Farnsworth CSCE".
> >
> > sure does show you can't even respect folks that have never to my
> > knowledge psted here
> >
> > that you have never meet to my knowledge

Huh? Who are you talking about?

W5YI?

Read the context, Markie...It's about code testing. "W5YI" is a
VEC that administers code tests.

Brain claims there's a "Farnsworth" code test.

I've dared him to show evidence of one, but that's heaped up on
his pile of "things I won't do because it will prove me wrong" stack.

> > > > It doesn't exist.
> > > >
> > > > All hail Stevie
> > >
> > > For making a point about the truth?
> >
> > for your bluster

The truth is bluster?

> > Good. Then I don't have to worry about you drecking up the phone
> > OR CW subbands anytime soon.
>
> well as I undestand it there are no CW subbands except at VHF there are
> CW/data subbands

And I won't ahve to worry about you on any of THOSE, eitehr.

> and you may have even heard me on field day

I doubt it.

> > > > > > Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
> > > > > > doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve and Bruce on the same team.
> > > > >
> > > > > Bruce who?
> > > > >
> > > > > If you're refering to N8DOS (SK), why shouldn't we be? He was my
> > > > > father.
> > > >
> > > > because he is dead and I hope burried (please if you are keeping him
> > > > round the house don't tell us)
> > >
> > He was "burried" with something YOU will never have, Markie...
>
> then in saying nothing wrong with teaming up with him you are sick he
> is a corpse

Now THAT is sick, Mark...Even for you.

More evidence of why it's unsafe to leave kids around you.

> > Military Honors.
>
> right stevie keep believeing that.

I do believe it.

And so do you......

Steve, K4YZ

1135. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 08:14:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121415273.332550.139920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112495

an_old_friend wrote:
> top posting stevie you can stop me

Nope.

Only point out what an idiot you look like...Again...

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > anyone who looks at the ehader knows, but then I guess that lets you
> > > out
> >
> > What's an "ehader"...?!?!
> >
> > And what's with the top posting? Forget how to post?
>
> I choose to.

You choose to look like an idiot?

> obviously I have not forgoten how to post

Obviously you have.

> I simplly don't hold to the conventions you would like to impose

They're not my conventions.

Steve, K4YZ

1136. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 08:53:57 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121417637.636809.32530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Actually, you doped up drunk, it is a good thing. When a keyclown loses his job cuz he is a keyclown then a step is taken toward overall keyclown eradication.

1137. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 08:55:18 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121417718.581074.161660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

He doesn't have a mom...the cocker spaniel who voided its' bowels to create him got hit by a car.

1138. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 08:56:38 UTC
From: AKC owns this NG <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121417798.912594.16080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

The original poster is jealous because they are heterosexual 50 and 60 year old men who won't bother with his wristflipping idiocy.

1139. Mark Morgan Making More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 09:15:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121418900.019851.196980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112499

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > then you admit I am right so lets move on
> > > > > >
> > > > > I didn't say you are right...Not by a long stretch...
> > > >
> > > yes you did
> > >
> > We've found yet ANOTHER MarkieLie.
>
> nope first you hack out the post and then you enter with another lie

Nope.

You keep insisting that I am "agreeing" with you.

You lied.

> > No. Rude for treating Hans the way you did for ANSWERING your
> > question.
>
> stevie you are flaimng me for reareaching part 97 which you complained
> I wasn't doing

No...I was complaining that you're lying.

Which was evident in your post.

> making up wityhput eveident that I am trying to decieve folks

"wityhput eveident"...?!?!

What the heck is that?

> you are a paraniod

Nope.

> and obseesed

Nope.

> > > ##### you
> >
> > Now see...there you go with your sexual deviance again.
>

> #### you
>
> #### off

WTG! 50% of those 2 sentences was profanities!

> the use of language you don't like is not eveidennce of sexual
> devaiance

No.

You suggested intercourse. We are the same gender, and that's
deviant.

> even if I wanted to #### your butt that would not be a sign a deviance
> merely a lack of taste

It would be deviance. And you could do worse...

> > > > Unless you're AGREEING that ALL of your posts are assinine,
> > > > idiotic and mistruthful...?!?!
> > >
> > > Nope I am not I am asaying that you claim everthing is assinine idoitic
> > > and un truthfull Never seen the word Mistruthful before
> >
> > And I've never seen the word "truthfull" either.
>
> lie

Nope.

> Ich habe 'truthfull" im desse Morgan schriben

> > "Mistruthful" is in Websters.
>
> never seen it before

Maybe because Webster's isn't written in crayon...?!?!

> > > which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one
> > > shot one Kill even in illiopolis"
> >
> > More out-of-context quotes, Markie!
>
> nope

Yep. Sure is. Just like Brain keeps trying to insinuate that I
allegedly threatened to "slash tires" and "terrorize wives".

> > Forgot your "Is that your best shot" comment that inspired the
> > response?
>
> nope

Obviously you did.

What "shot" were YOU suggesting, Markie...?!?!

> > That you have some minimum quantity of human DNA qualifies you as
> > a human...
>
> huh

See what I mean...

"Minimum quantity"

> I have 100% Hukman DNA

ROTMFFLMMFAO...AGAIN ! ! ! !

WHAT AN IDIOT ! ! ! ! !

> > > > You see, Mark...Unlike you, I clearly define the parameters of
> > > > what I am discussing. Not making them up as I go and hoping they are
> > > > understood along the way...
> > >
> > > what didn't I define?
> >
> > About half of your uses of words.
>
> Stevie noone can gues what distorted uses you will aply to words

There's no "distorted uses" I can apply to words that YOU won't
print clearly.

> > Like "drafted" until a year later....
>
> Lair

I'm not a "lair"

> answers as soon as you asked
>
> a amatter of days

An absolute lie, Markie. You were MONTHS with that
song-and-dance!

> You needed reapeated a year later and again 6 years later

Nope. YOU needed to be reminded that there is only ONE meaning of
the word "drafted" as applied to military service.

It's not for Mark Morgan to change at will.

> > I sent you several letters to keep thsi stuff off the board.
>
> you sent abusive and threatening letters off the borad

LiarLiar pants on fire!

> you demanded I stop posting or you would out me on RRAP as Gay (dispite
> my being Bisexual)

More lies! Sheesh, Morgan, where DO you get this stuff...?!?!

> You are a blackmailer, and a foolish one since don't my sxual
> orientation just don't advertise it

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

> > You came to the board and lied, saying I was threatening you, and
> > costing you all that money for your ISP.
>
> you were blackmailing me

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> you were also sending a copy of every post to me both in RRAP and in
> private Email
>
> wasting my time and money

What time? What money? You're a useless layabout. What have YOU
got to do?

> > > > The truth, of course, is that you LIKE to be publically
> > > > humiliated, hence your insistance to be slapped around in here.
> > >
> > > another Stevie Lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes
>
> You don't humilate me.

No, I don't.

You humilate yourself.

> anoy harrass stalker threaten me yes

No. No. No. No.

> humilate me no
>
> therefore it is a lie to say I like being humilated since it doesn't
> happen

Sure it does.

Everytime you post.

> > > you frabricate some kind of intent to decieve out of changing ISP
> > > sorely to confuse you and others
> >

> > You do.
>
> prove it

Already did.

Refered folks to any of your old screen names.

> I simply look for better deals
>
> and take them

I bet you do...

> > Like lying about your "identity" when you changed screen
> > names...trying to make it appear as though there were "others" who
> > supported you, when indeed all it was WAS you...
>
> never did that

Yep.

Refer to posts made under "MWMORGAN"

> > > > Again...there are so many to work with...
> > >
> > > all in your head
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes all in that #### brains head of yours
>
> >
> > All from your keyboard.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > And you claim >>I<< make comments "not in your fiield"
>
> Not in my feild I agree
>
> but I can tell a threat when i read it

Obviously not.

> and anyne who make the threats you do over Ham radio must be unblanced,
> not sure exactly how, but you must be

What threats have I made "...over Ham Radio..."

I don't discuss you on the air. I wouldn't be able to stop
laughing long enough to get my call and QTH across...

> > Please, Markie...
> >
> > Tell us of YOUR credentials to determine mental instability...!
>
> years of reading your posts

Then you are acknowledging that you ahve NO credentials.

Just more MarkieDreck.

> > > > First and foremeost being your writing and English comprehension
> > > > skills.
> > >
> > > I understand english but you are not using english very well either
> >
> > If you understood English well, you'd have a better than average
> > likelyhood of spelling it correctly.
>
> not realy proves you don't know much about dyslexia

I know it can be treated.

> still reling on Tom Cruise for expertise on the subject

Nope. The American Medical Association

> > Obviously I was correct.
>
> nope

Yep. Again.

Steve, K4YZ

1140. Markie Makes Manic Mudholes of Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 09:40:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121420439.307155.39580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112500

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > just what are saying you did
> > >
> > How can we "discuss" anything, Markie, when you are still refusing
> > to get help for your alleged dyslexia?
>
> Stevie I don't need help, I could use for you cut down on the sheer
> volume that would help

I do cut down on the volume.

You've not been paying attention.

> > > > You are at liberty to validate the information independently.
> > >
> > > thank you I think
> > >
> > You're welcome and no you don't.
>
> yes I do think

No, you do not.

> > > I don't know exactly what you are claiming
> > >
> > Then go back through old RRAP archives and do some research
>
> I have can't find it

You can't find RRAP archives?

What an idiot.

> How many do I have to say that before you understand

So you're admitting you're computer illiterate too...?!?!

Sheesh. Are there no bounds to your limitations...?!?!

(Chew on that Mobius Loop for a while!)

> > > so without knowing what I am checking I can't check on it
> > >
> > I just gave you some of the details.

> >
> > Callsigns.
>
> Proving WHAT?
>
> Having a MARS callsign doesn't proof you did anything with MARS or that
> you Know anything about MARS
>
> Otherwise you have to accept that MY callsign make me an expert on the
> ARS

They "prove" I was a member. Further information can be gleaned
from there.

> > > What does "The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC
> > > of Oki Areawide MARS proves it." prove
> >
> > The statement itself proves nothing.
> >
> > That the USMC can validate my service does.
>
> to what end I do believe you were military likely a marine
>
> but what does it have to do with the callsigns

(Sheesh...)

Markie, it's in the archives...Just like the rules you couldn't
find about the 60 meter allocations "weren't" in Part 97.

> > > > What in the Blue Blazes was that supposed to be...????
> > >
> > > what you are claiming is something Id like to knwo as a matter of
> > > record
> > >
> > > you are confused good makes 2 of us
> >
> > If I could just understand what you were writing, it would be a lot
> > easier.
>
> if you would come up and make repaet the claims you claim to be proving
> the rest of us could judge wether or not you had done so. but...

But nothing. Go back and read them.

> > YOU are confused becasue you're a lazy idiot.
>
> prehaps, but I am confused by your refusual to says what you are
> claimingwhile offering proof of a claim you refuse to repeat

I refuse to repeat all the goings-on between Brain and I for the
last 6 months.

Ask him. Maybe he'll e-mail you the links.

I bet HIS ISP doesn't chage him $5/hr.

> > Brain insists I've not had experience in MARS. I say I have, and
> > have provided information to corroborate it.
>
> no you have not even if those are valid Mars Callsign (not disputing
> that yet but reserving the right) proves nothing without some kind of
> logs.

MARS maintains participation reports.

> By the Same Stanard the existance of MY callsign proves I know how the
> NTS works, since I don't your logic seem flawed, at best

No, it doesn't.

> I would hope that MARS does not keep and is not able to sort though
> such trivia, (although it might be so foolish it has something to do
> with the Military)

Records of previous memebers is "trivia"...???

BIG SNIP

> > > > Markie...If you want to participate in this exchange, why don't
> > > > you spend a day or two going back through old archives to bring
> > > > yourself up-to-speed on what's going on...?!?!
> > >
> > > I have. the fact is you don't make anymore sense in storage than in
> > > real time
> >
> > I make far greater sense in ANY time than you do.
>
> even granting that , you just hem and haw and refuse to answer you use
> words games to point I can't follow you or find just what you are
> reffering to

Because it's months and months of posts, and I'm not going to
repeat it all here when you can spend some of your daylight hours
reading it for yourself.

> > Still not getting it, are you?
>
> repating yourself does not make you turthful

Maybe YOU like "repating" yourself, Markie...

Matter of fact, I bet that "partner" of yours is just beyond your
wrists.

> > It would be a fact.
>
> it would also be a fact its facthood does not alter it's status as a
> claim

>
> Learn English

I already have.

I wish YOU would.

> > > > > Google is the Archive of RRAP
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not about RRAP, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > yes it is
> > >
> > Then you're completely lost, Markie.
>
> yes lost in your bull####

Still can't communicate without the profanity, eh?

> > ENGLISH, MARKIE! Use complete sentences with proper format and
> > punctuation, please.
>
> you LEARN English learn the meaning of the words Claim and Fact for a
> start

Already know them.

> you tell me to look for your claims in RRAP archives then tell me it
> isn't there
>
> you use logic and plain reason

I do use logic and plain reason.

YOU don't keep track of what's being said. I can't help that.

> > Know how >>I<< know, Markie?
> >
> > Because I am already competent in it's use and comprehension.
> >
> > You would have known that had you been too!
>
> I just did not bother

Lazy punk...Just like I said.

> you generate so much drivel I can't afford the time to care about ####
> like that

The divvel's in Michigan.

> > > > > Nope, but that is not the exaplanation. I know as they do that to keep
> > > > > the ARES folks providing what help they are you have to feed their
> > > > > egos. Therefore as is their duty to Nation they are lying or if you
> > > > > prefer egaeratinng the role of ARES

> > > >
> > > > What was THAT spiel, Markie?
> > >
> > > the truth as best I know it
> >
> > Then you don't know what's "best", Markie.
>
> but I know how the goverment works

No you don't.

> > Just ill-prepared opinion unsubstantiated by facts.
>
> well prepared but unsupported by any facts i have presented

You've not presented any facts.

> Why present no facts becuase you are not listening anyway

Why should I listen when this is a visual media?

> > > > You're claiming that it's the "duty" of the Red Cross, etc etc to
> > > > LIE to the country just to validate the existance of ARES...?!?!
> > >
> > > yes indeed
> >
> > Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh Kayyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy.....
> >
> > > As it was the Duty of FDR to nation to tell lies about Lend lease and
> > > cash and cary in order to ready the USA for WW2. FDR was one of the
> > > biggest liars to hold that office, and I bless him for hoving the
> > > courage to do his duty even if by lies and deceit
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > WHAT AN IDIOT!~
> >
> > I reiterate....
> >
> > WHAT AN IDIOT!
>
> not at all a valid representation fo History

You're right...Nothing you've said is a valid representation of
history.

Then WHY did you lie in the first place?

> > > > > From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
> > > > > ARES but not the degree of that benifit
> > > > >
> > > > Completely incoherent, Markie.
> > >
> > > not realy just expressing a type of thought you can't follow

> >
> > You mean the illogical type? No kidding.
>
> no a type of logic that escapes you

It's not "logic" of ANY kind, other than chaotic.

> > > > Try again.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Lazy punk.
>
> narrowminded fool

Yes, you are. I stand corrected.

> > You're just too lazy to spend the time.
>
> I don't have a supply of time to chase down something you will not make
> clear what you claim it will result in

In other words: You're just too lazy to spend the time.

> > Just like your rudeness to Hans. You want things one way, spoon
> > fed to you, and anything less is "condescending"
>
> if you like
>
> I am just defferent

Oh, you're "defferent", OK...

> > > > You're looking even MORE ignorant than usual!
> > > >
> > > > > > > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > > > > > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > > > > > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > > > > > > have allegedly gone...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > BUZZ worng answer you did not type that use have in that post including
> > > > > > it in reply when I it reamins unclear who typed does not count
> > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely incoherent.
> > >
> > > perfectly
> >
> > Perfectly incoherent.
>
> prefectly clear to anyone with a brain

No, it's not.

> > > I don't waste my time that way steve
> >
> > Sure you do. Your whole life is a waste of time.
>
> right at least I have a life

Uh huh..riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...Sacrificing chickens and using your "Hook On Phonics" kit to hold your beer and TV Dinner.

Steve, K4YZ

1141. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 10:00:20 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <0819acdedc35e3f2bf590163abdab55e@dizum.com>
Source record: 112501

In article <db724p$2to$0@pita.alt.net>
"Nomen Nescio" <anonremailer@anon> wrote:
>
>
> "KB9RQZ" <KB9...@blumpkin.com> wrote in message
> news:db6g61$k2q$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
> Which one of these is more moron tolerant?
>
>
> http://www.rara.org/
> http://www.lambdaarc.com/
>
> Or should I just join both?
> >
>
> Ask Todd. He and Roger seem to prefer Lambda, however.

Just like you prefer to join Baby Rapers of
America........Snotty Cumball, er Campbell.

1142. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 10:14:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121422461.378326.140820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112502

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >From the Arizona Republic online:
> > > Quote: A wake-up call from Luke's jets
> > With even more detail:
> > http://www.snopes.com/politics/military/wakeup.asp
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > "....that's not 'noise' - that's the sound of freedom...."

(originally heard at NAS Willow Grove during an air show)
>
> Gotta give the guy who wrote the initial letter credit for being
> "stand-up" and immeidately issuing an unconditional apology for
> his comments.

Yup. He simply didn't know. Now he does.

> Too bad some in this forum can't find the same strength of
> character.

Yup!

I find the Snopes website to be invaluable when confronted with similar stories. Some are demonstrated urban legends or even outright fabrications, while others are shown to be even more impressive than the original story indicates.

www.snopes.com

I wonder if they have anything on the Morse Code vs. text messaging contest?

One thing I find somewhat amusing are those folks who buy houses near airports, highways, etc., and then complain about the noise. It would be different if the house were there first but in most cases the opposite is true. Newer civilian aircraft are quieter than older models of similar size, too.

--

I recall fondly a stunt I saw years ago at Moffett AFB in California during a show there.

The AF Thunderbirds were the final act, and did a number of impressive formations. Some were fast, some dramatic and others more technical

(they showed the pilots' skills and the aircraft capabilities more than top speed or fancy maneuvering).

One of the latter was the slow diamond roll formation. Four F-16s in diamond formation came over the runway low and slow, (airspeed less than 200) and the entire formation did a slow roll. The announcer explained the move and what to watch for as they did it. Crowd watched like a bunch of kittens following a bird, following the formation from left to right over the runway.

What the announcer didn't point out to the crowd was that the Tbirds had *five* planes in the air. As the diamond formation finished their roll and the spectators were almost all looking to the right, the fifth plane came over the crowd from the right, at just under supersonic speed. Hilarity ensued.

A few of us knew to "always watch your six" and saw it coming. But we didn't spoil the fun.

I dunno if anybody does that move anymore but it sure was cool.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1143. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 10:36:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121423778.390087.119850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112503

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> What the announcer didn't point out to the crowd was that the Tbirds
> had *five* planes in the air. As the diamond formation finished their
> roll and the spectators were almost all looking to the right, the fifth
> plane came over the crowd from the right, at just under supersonic
> speed. Hilarity ensued.
>
> A few of us knew to "always watch your six" and saw it coming. But we
> didn't spoil the fun.
>
> I dunno if anybody does that move anymore but it sure was cool.

My favorite comes at the end of the Blue Angel's routine, and has
basically the same climax. (surprising the crowd)

They approach in diamond formation with all six birds. They begin
a vertical climb at mid-field then "blossom" at the top of the climb
into six different directions.

They do a split-S over the top, then depart. What folks DON'T see
is that at about a mile out they all do a loop and reverse course for
mid-field again. That's when at least two of the birds come in close
to the crowd in the aforementioned near-sonic speed!
KaaaaaaaaaaaaBOOM!

People always wonder what I'm doing when I cover my ears while the
planes are "out"...I tell them they'll find out in a few seconds!

73

Steve. K4YZ

1144. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 10:39:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121423989.081599.57220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112504

N9OGL wrote:
> No steve, I heard your a life member of NAMBLA

I imagine you hear a LOT of voices, Toiddie.

Kinda like the "monster" under the bed.

Steve, K4YZ

1145. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 11:01:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121424297.468810.268350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n9ogl wrote:
> 24. K4YZ Jul 14, 2:31 am show options
>
> n9ogl wrote:
> > what's your problem??? Was you molested when you were younger, or
> > perhaps it's due to an very small penis, so you have to vent your hate
> > onto other people because of your small penis.
> > perhaps you the one gay and you can't admit to yourself that your gay
> > and you have a small penis not to mention you were molested as a small
> > child.
>
> >Only five lines of text and seven references to sexual perversion
> >and child abuse.
> >And Todd wonders why he gets treated the way he does.

> Well steve, I don't let little anonymous pansy go around call me names
> when the ###hole don't even know me.

We "know you" just fine, Todd.

I imagine taht even the Anonnies know you too. You certainly give
everyone ample supplies of your behaviour to "know" you well.

Steve, K4YZ

1146. N8WWM enjoys being an indigent criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 11:17:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050715071759.708$0D_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"random-letters-&-numbers" <the-akc-way@spewing-hate-speach.kom> wrote:
> N8WWM DOUG ADAIR "AKC owns this NG" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote
> in news:1121415982.388129.321740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Reported to the FCC and ebay for offering illegal radio for sale.
> >
> > ATKINS, TODD A, K4MSW (General)
> > PO BOX 83725
> > BATON ROUGE, LA 70884
> > Previous license class: Tech Plus
> > Licensee ID: L00765370
> > FRN: 0009841818
> > Issue Date: Mar 22, 1999
> > Expire Date: Feb 10, 2009
> > Date of last Change: Nov 11, 2003 (Administrative Update Applied)
> >
>
> ---
>
> You're my psychotic hero, dogie.. :D
>
> http://sj.blacksteel.com/padded-cell/Posey03.jpg
>
> ----- January 6, 2003
>
> Toledo Amateur Radio Club
> 7234 Latcha Road
> Perrysburg, OH 43551
> Attn: Trustee John C. Lagger, AA8XS
>
> RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM
>
> Dear Mr. Lagger:
>
> Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater
> system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by N8WWM.
> The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no
> evidence of a control operator.
>
> Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you to
> understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The
> decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one. Repeaters
> are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A

> repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section
> 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee and
> control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control operators
> must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless of
> the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does not
> mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized
> under the rules.
>
> The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring
> violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a licensee
> is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the licensee's
> home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the
> repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users,
> limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary commensurate
> with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are
> unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.
>
> If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM
> repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to
> enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include monetary
> forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license revocation.
>

And this N8WWM gem:

LUCAS COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
J. BERNIE QUILTER, CLERK
700 ADAMS STREET
TOLEDO, OHIO TIME: 11:28:12 AM
DATE: 4/18/2004

CASE: G -4801 -CR -199606161
TITLE: S/O V DOUGLAS W ADAIR <----- N8WWM
JUDGE: JAMES D. JENSEN
FILING DATE: 6/12/1996 CASE TYPE: CR CRIMINAL

----- MONETARY AMOUNT: DOCKET/PAGE:
ORIGINAL COURT: TAX TYPE:
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: STATE OF OHIO NUMBER:

Party Counsel Prosecutor
Defendant 1:
ADAIR DOUGLAS W
5319 SECOR RD.
TOLEDO , OH 43623 MARY S. SWANSON
4199365120
411 N MICHIGAN
TOLEDO , OH 436241606

```
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
----- COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS F4

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
----- DATE SEQ EVENT
6/12/1996 1 Title : JRE:CASE ACTIVATION IN FACTS
PARTY : -
5/31/2000 1 Title : WAR:CAPIAS ORDERED PER LCAPD
803-00499 issued by PJ (CAPIAS REQUEST GRANTED)
Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is ordered
issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED & JOURNALIZED
CAPIAS C-174-842
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 2 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED & JOURNALIZED
CAPIAS C-174-843
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 3 Title : WAR:CAPIAS ISSUED BY CLERK
C01-02080 issued by 163 (8931) WAR:CAPIAS ISSUED BY CLERK
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 1 Title : WAR:DEFD'T ARREST WARR/CAPIAS
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 2 Title : WAR:CAPIAS SERVED ON DEFENDANT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 3 Title : HRG:PV HEARING SET
November 17, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 4 Title : WAR:CAPIAS RETURN FILED
SERVED ON 11/13/00
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 5 Title : WAR:CAPIAS RETURN FILED
SERVED ON 11/12/00
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/17/2000 1 Title : BND:SUPERVISED OR BOND ISSUED
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/17/2000 2 Title : MIS:CASE CONT AT DEF REQUEST
772-28458 issued by JTS (GENERAL CONTINUANCE FOR CRIMINAL CA
Dated November 15, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. Defendant, DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
SWANSON appointed as counsel.
Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
```

Sue Swanson
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court.
Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
associated with being granted probation. Payment of
financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1147. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 13:26:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121433973.967691.3210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112507

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> >
> > The motel was directly off the end of whatever B-52 base it was which
> > was alongside the plant.
>
> Carswell,

There ya go, tnx.

> and if you think the B-52s were loud you should have been
> there when the B-36s were.

Found this:

"The engines and propellers produced an unforgettable throbbing sound
when the B-36 flew overhead. A friend of mine remembers the sound from
his boyhood as a "captivating drone. The noise went down to your heels,
it was so resonant. It just stopped you in your tracks. You looked up
into the sky to try to find this thing, and it was just a tiny cross,
it was so high." Others remember that it rattled windows on the ground
from 40,000 feet."

w3rv

1148. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 13:28:57 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121434137.761005.320790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112508

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> >
> > The motel was directly off the end of whatever B-52 base it was which
> > was alongside the plant.
>
> Carswell,

Tnx.

> and if you think the B-52s were loud you should have been
> there when the B-36s were.

Found this:

"The engines and propellers produced an unforgettable throbbing sound
when the B-36 flew overhead. A friend of mine remembers the sound from
his boyhood as a "captivating drone. The noise went down to your heels,
it was so resonant. It just stopped you in your tracks. You looked up
into the sky to try to find this thing, and it was just a tiny cross,
it was so high." Others remember that it rattled windows on the ground
from 40,000 feet."

w3rv

1149. Re: K1MAN Info Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 13:54:27 UTC
From: Franciscvs <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <YPGdndgMl5aOXUrfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 112509

I wonder if Larry Colby would be interested? You know, Herb's former attorney.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:360xe.1676$aY6.139@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Rob Sheldon" <sheldon391@shelltdcommsco.org> wrote in message
> news:da1qeemkb0@pita.alt.net...
> > Does anyone know the name of the communications law firm
> > which was retained this week by MAN?
> >
> > tnx
> >
> > Rob
> > Syracuse NY
> >
> >
> >
>
> Must have got it on a AARU credit card.
>
>
> BWAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAA
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

1150. Re: Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 14:02:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jmPBe.5347$BK1.4697@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112510

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> there certainly is

No, there isn't.

> nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it
> is dived into 100hz channels etc

That statement is wrong on a number of levels.

FACT: First, that band is only half the size you claim. It extends from 219Mhz to 220Mhz.

FACT: Second, "Gorden west" is not a rule making authority, so even if he actually said what you attribute to him (which I doubt) it is not a rule which regulates the use of that band.

FACT: Third, the FCC rules designate a contiguous >band< of frequencies bounded by 219MHz and 220MHz.

FACT: Fourth, the FCC rules do not divide the 219-220MHz band into any sized channels, let alone >100hz< channels. Channels only 100hz wide would be ludicrous since the purpose of the allocation is for a point-to-point fixed digital message forwarding system. In practice, channels one-thousand times that wide would be the more likely case.

FACT: Fifth, just as users of the various repeater band segments have voluntarily agreed on specific frequency assignments for repeater pairs, the users of 219-220MHz have agreed on a voluntary band plan for this band. This voluntary plan is not spelled out in the FCC rules, and can be modified by the users at any time to meet changing requirements, regardless what "Gorden west" says.

de Hans, K0HB

1151. Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 14:42:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6XPBe.23388$eM6.1361@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1121261589.384650.102680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> John S. wrote:
>> > beerbarrel wrote:
>> > > On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:27:25 -0500, "Who Needs The ARRL?"
>> > > <JIm@GuessWho> wrote:
>> > Imperfect though it is, the ARRL is the only voice the ham hobby has
>> > these days. It would be a very poor idea to do away with the ARRL
>> > without having something better to replace it.
>> >
>> > The ARRL does need to refocus it's efforts toward attracting newer
>> > younger members to the hobby if it is to survive long term. The hobby
>> > won't survive if its quasi-regulator continues to do treat amateur
>> > radio as though it operates in the heyday that was the 1950's.
>>
>> and it needs to avoid pissing those folks as it reaches out to them
>
> I doubt that the ARRL will piss off the folks that it reaches out to.
> Sadly it will probably continue to bore those potential new members.
> The ARRL may (and should) piss off the
> complacent members who cling to
> the idea that out of date license tests should continue to be required
> because the old-timers had to take them.

Are you suggesting there should not be any testing?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1152. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 14:52:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121439126.944192.207600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112512

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > The ARRL also calls 60m a band on its website
> > > >
> > > > The FCC, who is the only regulatory authority on Amateur Radio in the USA, does
> > > > not call it a band.
> > > >
> > > > The ARRL has it wrong.
> > >
> > > then go complain to them
> > >
> > > I doubt they will listen
> >
> > Sure they will listen.

then go do let us know

>
> > > > There is no 60m band allocated to amateur radio. There are just 5 specific
> > > > discrete 5.xxx MHz frequencies allocated.
> > > >
> > > > A "band" is a contiguous block of frequency spectrum bounded by an upper and
> > > > lower limit, such as the 160m band which is bounded by 1.8 MHz and 2.0MHz as the
> > > > lower and upper frequency limits, or the 1.25cm data band which is bounded by
> > > > 219MHz and 220MHz as the lower and upper frequency limits respectively. There
> > > > is no similar bounded "band" in the vicinity of 60m.
> > >
> > > there certainly is
> >
> > No, there's not.
> >
> > You operate on one of those five specific frequencies, or you get
> > a QSL card from Riley and Company.

one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
band?

>
> > nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it is dived into
> > 100hz channels etc
> >

> Those "channels" are set up by Amateur Radio programs...NOT the
> FCC or NTIA. Those channels exist within a BAND granted Amateurs by
> the FCC.

no they are set not to interffere with other user of the band

>
> > > OH NO!
> > >
> > > It's more of those demeaning and condescending FACTS!
> >
> > no another stevie lie
>
> Not a lie.
>
> Facts.

lies

bigest of which is your claim to greater status than the ARRL

>
> > or rathe rhis arogance in claiming HE matters more than ARRL, try that
> > out with the FCC
>
> Where did I say ANYthing like that, Markie?
>
> > Stevie if you are so important, why do you bother with RRAP?
>
> Where did I say that, Markie? Why are you perpetuating yet
> ANOTHER lie in a public forum?
>
> > > CALL THE ACLU! CALL THE ADA!
> > >
> > > You've confused him with too many facts, Hans. The boy doesn't
> > > know what to do with them all!
> > >
> > > 73
> >
> > another Lie
> > you do not have best regrads for me
>
> Good thing that those comments were in response to HANS' post, not
> yours, eh, Markie..?!?!

when you title the thread Markie dreck it is all derected to me

>
> Sheeesh.
>
> You accuse ME of allegedly being "obssessed" with YOU, and then
> here you are assuming that just because I am posting in RRAP that I am

> talking to you!

you are talking to me and to hans and bill sohl

you are talking to unless you thought you were sending provate email

>
> ROTMFFLMMFAO! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Your Idiot Factor hit a 7.9 on that one, Markie!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1153. Re: Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 14:56:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121439382.764721.261480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112513

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > there certainly is
>
> No, there isn't.
>
> > nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it
> > is dived into 100hz channels etc
>
> That statement is wrong on a number of levels.
>
> FACT: First, that band is only half the size you claim. It extends from 219Mhz
> to 220Mhz.

fine and error not that it has anything to do with the point

>
> FACT: Second, "Gorden west" is not a rule making authority, so even if he
> actually said what you attribute to him (which I doubt) it is not a rule which
> regulates the use of that band.

never he said he was was just quoting him
>
> FACT: Third, the FCC rules designate a contiguous >band< of frequencies bounded
> by 219MHz and 220MHz.

not how I read it but then I don't see anything wrong with calling 60m
a band

you and Stevie are obseessed with trivia

>
> FACT: Fourth, the FCC rules do not divide the 219-220MHz band into any sized
> channels, let alone >100hz< channels. Channels only 100hz wide would be
> ludicrous since the purpose of the allocation is for a point-to-point fixed
> digital message forwarding system. In practice, channels one-thousand times
> that wide would be the more likely case.

type 100khz

sorry grow up

the point was not the size of the chanel but the nature of the
allocation

>
> FACT: Fifth, just as users of the various repeater band segments have
> voluntarily agreed on specific frequency assignments for repeater pairs, the
> users of 219-220MHz have agreed on a voluntary band plan for this band. This
> voluntary plan is not spelled out in the FCC rules, and can be modified by the
> users at any time to meet changing requirements, regardless what "Gorden west"
> says.

never said gorder said this or that

merely that hes described a Band withut theis freedom you seem to think
is vital to the use of the word Band

>
> de Hans, K0HB

1154. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 15:00:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121439627.514159.58870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112514

if this make someone an Idoit then I guess I have to agree with you

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > top posting stevie you can stop me
>
> Nope.
>
> Only point out what an idiot you look like...Again...

only in a very small mind Stevie like yours

>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > anyone who looks at the ehader knows, but then I guess that lets you
> > > > out
> > >
> > > What's an "ehader"...?!?!
> > >
> > > And what's with the top posting? Forget how to post?
> >
> > I choose to.
>
> You choose to look like an idiot?

another Stevie distorion

Becuase I choose where I wish to type on a page

you don't like it fuck off

>
> > obviously I have not forgoten how to post
>
> Obviously you have.

I am managing Stevie therefore I obviously remember

>
> > I simplly don't hold to the conventions you would like to impose
>
> They're not my conventions.

but they are some set you wish to imposee

small Minded cracker
>

> Steve, K4YZ

Foolish consitancy is the Hobgoblin of small minds (Emerson)

a non movie tv quote BTW Stevie

1155. Re: Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 15:06:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kiQBe.3902$dU3.1416@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112515

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> sorry grow up

> the point was not the size of the chanel but the nature of the
> allocation

The allocation from the FCC is for a contiguous band of frequencies from 219MHz to 220MHz. The allocation does not specify any "channels", just as (for another example) the FCC does not specify any "channels" in the repeater sub-bands at 2m. This is completely different than the situation at 5.xxx MHz where no band of frequencies is specified, but rather a set of specific non-contiguous frequencies.

Ergo, there is no >band< of frequencies assigned to amateurs at 60m.

Good luck on this one now!

de Hans, K0HB

1156. Re: Re: Who needs the ARRL, anyway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 15:12:24 UTC
From: ZoobsR GoodForYerToobs <noname@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <2ikfd1d36gf85f4bjmrk0sj2mgp00ich4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 112516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 13:03:35 -0400, beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>Agreed 110%...but most posts that you see are about the problems with
>ARRL. I realize that it has problems, but it would be best to see
>these folks directing their attention at rectifying the situation.
>Bottom line, it's all we got. If it's broke, let's fix it.

... or replace it.

1157. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 15:25:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121441127.388648.183950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112517

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > then you admit I am right so lets move on
> > > > > >
> > > > > I didn't say you are right...Not by a long stretch...
> > > > >
> > > > yes you did
> > > >
> > > We've found yet ANOTHER MarkieLie.
> > >
> > nope first you hack out the post and then you enter with another lie
> >
> Nope.

You have agreed that I am deffeent and that I have the right to be
defferent
therfore I all right or at least not wroug

>
> You keep insisting that I am "agreeing" with you.

you seem tobut then it like Senator Kerry you flipflop very fast

>
> You lied.

nope

Disagreed

as is my right

>
> > > No. Rude for treating Hans the way you did for ANSWERING your
> > > question.
> > >
> > stevie you are flaimng me for reareaching part 97 which you complained
> > I wasn't doing
> >
> No...I was complaining that you're lying.

prove it

i was rading the sections of part 97 and noticed this hole in the stuff
from the FCC

and decided to coment on it

you claim everything I do is some of plot to confuse you and now Hans

get over your self

When was you last post on Radio here

>
> Which was evident in your post.

lie

>
> > making up wityhput eveident that I am trying to decieve folks
>
> "wityhput eveident"...?!?!
>
> What the heck is that?

what you are engaged in attacks with nothing to back em up

>
> > you are a paraniod
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > and obseesed
>
> Nope.
yep

>
> > > > ##### you
> > >
> > > Now see...there you go with your sexual deviance again.
> >
> > ##### you
> >
> > ##### off
>
> WTG! 50% of those 2 sentences was profanities!

so what?

nothing ilegal about it

>
> > the use of language you don't like is not eveidennce of sexual
> > devaiance

>
> No.
>
> You suggested intercourse. We are the same gender, and that's
> deviant.

not according to medical authority

nor did I suggest I would fuck you

and I never suggested you get fucked by a man or a woman, but it is
clear you need something abding is a good thing to try for someone
obsessed with people sex lives

>
> > even if I wanted to #### your butt that would not be a sign a deviance
> > merely a lack of taste
>
> It would be deviance. And you could do worse...

not according to medcial authority

>
> > > > > Unless you're AGREEING that ALL of your posts are assinine,
> > > > > idiotic and mistruthful...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Nope I am not I am asaying that you claim everthing is assinine idoitic
> > > > and un truthfull Never seen the word Mistruthful before
> > >
> > > And I've never seen the word "truthfull" either.
> >
> > lie
>
> Nope.

and you cut stuff out again

I have used the word before therefore you have seen therefore you are
lieing about not having seen it

>
> > Ich habe 'truthfull" im desse Morgan schriben
>
> > > "Mistruthful" is in Websters.
> >
> > never seen it before
>
> Maybe because Webster's isn't written in crayon...?!?!

doubt that

why are you so obsessed with crayons?

>
> > > > which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one
> > > > shot one Kill even in illiopolis"
> > > >
> > > More out-of-context quotes, Markie!
> > >
> > nope
> >
> Yep. Sure is. Just like Brain keeps trying to insinuate that I
> allegedly threatened to "slash tires" and "terrorize wives".

you typed it

>
> > > Forgot your "Is that your best shot" comment that inspired the
> > > response?
> > >
> > nope
> >
> Obviously you did.

nope you just think you are above the law, fortunately for you it
doesn't seem to bother with usenet

>
> What "shot" were YOU suggesting, Markie...?!?!

I was not suggesting a gunshot

>
> > > That you have some minimum quantity of human DNA qualifies you as
> > > a human...
> > >
> > huh
> >
> See what I mean...
> >
> "Minimum quantity"

more ad homien attacks

>
> > I have 100% Hukman DNA
> >
> ROTMFFLMMFAO...AGAIN ! ! ! !

so

>
> WHAT AN IDIOT ! ! ! ! !
>
> > > > > You see, Mark...Unlike you, I clearly define the parameters of
> > > > > what I am discussing. Not making them up as I go and hoping they are
> > > > > understood along the way...

> > > >
> > > > what didn't I define?
> > >
> > > About half of your uses of words.
> >
> > Stevie noone can gues what distorted uses you will aply to words
>
> There's no "distorted uses" I can apply to words that YOU won't
> print clearly.

Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not

>
>
> > > Like "drafted" until a year later....
> >
> > Lair
>
> I'm not a "lair"
>
> > answers as soon as you asked
> >
> > a amatter of days
>
> An absolute lie, Markie. You were MONTHS with that
> song-and-dance!

nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it

and then these years later you claim I never did at all

which lie is your final answer?

>
> > You needed reapeated a year later and again 6 years later
>
> Nope. YOU needed to be reminded that there is only ONE meaning of
> the word "drafted" as applied to military service.

the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
narrowminded vision

>
> It's not for Mark Morgan to change at will.

I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
you do

>
> > > I sent you several letters to keep thsi stuff off the board.
> >
> > you sent abusive and threatening letters off the borad
>

> LiarLiar pants on fire!

nope

>
> > you demanded I stop posting or you would out me on RRAP as Gay (dispite
> > my being Bisexual)
>
> More lies! Sheesh, Morgan, where DO you get this stuff...?!?!

you sent those email I remember them well

>
> > You are a blackmailer, and a foolish one since don't my sxual
> > orientation just don't advertise it
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

yep

>
> > > You came to the board and lied, saying I was threatening you, and
> > > costing you all that money for your ISP.
> >
> > you were blackmailing me
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

yep

>
> > you were also sending a copy of every post to me both in RRAP and in
> > private Email
> >
> > wasting my time and money
>
> What time? What money? You're a useless layabout. What have YOU
> got to do?

Stevie I run my own company. a small one, I take care of a Terminal ill
man, I am active in politics. I even try to get on the air

my income is the range of about 30K a year, and I own my home (not me
and the bank but me) I have a family

that you are bigoted enough to judge a person by his typing is not my
fault

>
> > > > > The truth, of course, is that you LIKE to be publically
> > > > > humiliated, hence your insistance to be slapped around in here.
> > > >
> > > > another Stevie Lie
> > >

> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes
> >
> > You don't humilate me.
>
> No, I don't.

progress boyo

>
> You humilate yourself.

nope

>
> > anoy harrass stalker threaten me yes
>
> No. No. No. No.

yes yes yes

you dare to claim you don't anoy me?

Jesus Mary and Joeseph

>
> > humilate me no
> >
> > therefore it is a lie to say I like being humilated since it doesn't
> > happen
>
> Sure it does.

nope it does not

>
> Everytime you post.

nope only in your mind (and prehaps a few others)

>
>
> > > > you frabricate some kind of intent to decieve out of changing ISP
> > > > sorely to confuse you and others
> > >
> > > You do.
> >
> > prove it
>
> Already did.

no you have not

you simply claimed it

>
> Refered folks to any of your old screen names.

which porvenothing but i changed ISP's
as I said

>
> > I simply look for better deals
> >
> > and take them
>
> I bet you do...

something wroung with that too getting a better deal

>
> > > Like lying about your "identity" when you changed screen
> > > names...trying to make it appear as though there were "others" who
> > > supported you, when indeed all it was WAS you...
> >
> > never did that
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> Refer to posts made under "MWMORGAN"

so

>
> > > > > Again...there are so many to work with...
> > > > >
> > > > > all in your head
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes all in that #### brains head of yours

that shit for brains of yours
> >
> > >
> > > All from your keyboard.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> > > And you claim >>I<< make comments "not in your fiield"
> >
> > Not in my feild I agree

> >
> > but I can tell a threat when i read it
>
> Obviously not.

yes I can

talking about killing people is always a threat and never justified on
usenet

>
> > and anyne who make the threats you do over Ham radio must be unblanced,
> > not sure exactly how, but you must be
>
> What threats have I made "...over Ham Radio..."

to kill me
of course you are distring the context again I gues I shoudl have said
over the subject of Ham radio but that would hardly help since youd
just find some other way to twist my words

>
> I don't discuss you on the air. I wouldn't be able to stop
> laughing long enough to get my call and QTH across...

never said you did another of your distortions

>
> > > Please, Markie...
> > >
> > > Tell us of YOUR credentials to determine mental instability...!
> >
> > years of reading your posts
>
> Then you are acknowledging that you ahve NO credentials.

i don't ahve anything nor does anyone else

yes a cheap shot at your spelling, but I pass on most of them

>
> Just more MarkieDreck.
>
> > > > > First and foremeost being your writing and English comprehension
> > > > > skills.
> > > >
> > > > I understand english but you are not using english very well either
> > >
> > > If you understood English well, you'd have a better than average
> > > likelyhood of spelling it correctly.
> >
> > not realy proves you don't know much about dyslexia
>

> I know it can be treated.

Yes according to Tom Cruise where did he get his MD?

and as I have said it has been just ot to your satisfaction,which you
seem to think is more important than my own

>
> > still reling on Tom Cruise for expertise on the subject
>
> Nope. The American Medical Association
>
> > > Obviously I was correct.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. Again.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1158. Stevie claims to be reducing the volume of his posting...Lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 15:46:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121442399.431065.227740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112518

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > just what are saying you did
> > >
> > > How can we "discuss" anything, Markie, when you are still refusing
> > > to get help for your alleged dyslexia?
> >
> > Stevie I don't need help, I could use for you cut down on the sheer
> > volume that would help
>
> I do cut down on the volume.

steveie you are not cutting the volume you are incresing it
>
> You've not been paying attention.

I have indeed I have been giving you more attention than you deserve,
practicing my skills at dealing with narrowminded jerks (one of the big
reason for coming to RRAP these days)

but you haven't been saying much anything

>
> > > > > You are at liberty to validate the information independently.
> > > >
> > > > thank you I think
> > >
> > > You're welcome and no you don't.
> >
> > yes I do think
>
> No, you do not.

yes I do

>
> > > > I don't know exactly what you are claiming
> > >
> > > Then go back through old RRAP archives and do some research
> >
> > I have can't find it
>

> You can't find RRAP archives?

can't find what you are reffering to

of course part of that is I do not know exactly what you are reffering
to which makes reconizing it really hard

>
> What an idiot.

another stevie distorion

>
> > How many do I have to say that before you understand
>
> So you're admitting you're computer illiterate too...?!?!

nope
>
> Sheesh. Are there no bounds to your limitations...?!?!
>
> (Chew on that Mobius Loop for a while!)

Mobius has nothing do with it

you tell me to look for something without giving me enough to id it in
the first place

>
> > > > so without knowing what I am checking I can't check on it
> > >
> > > I just gave you some of the details.
> > >
> > > Callsigns.
> >
> > Proving WHAT?
> >
> > Having a MARS callsign doesn't proof you did anything with MARS or that
> > you Know anything about MARS
> >
> > Otherwise you have to accept that MY callsign make me an expert on the
> > ARS
>
> They "prove" I was a member. Further information can be gleaned
> from there.

If they are yours, and If you did what you were suposed to and if
records are maintianed and IF they can be accessed

>
> > > > What does "The fact that I was NCOIC of MCB Camp Butler MARS and ANCOIC
> > > > of Oki Areawide MARS proves it." prove
> > >
> > > The statement itself proves nothing.

> > >
> > > That the USMC can validate my service does.
> >
> > to what end I do believe you were military likely a marine
> >
> > but what does it have to do with the callsigns
>
> (Sheesh...)

what does it have to do with the cal signs

>
> Markie, it's in the archives...Just like the rules you couldn't
> find about the 60 meter allocations "weren't" in Part 97.

They are not it a text put out by the FCC if you follow one set of link
Hans then points out a Defferent links that do

>
> > > > > What in the Blue Blazes was that supposed to be...????
> > > >
> > > > what you are claiming is something Id like to knwo as a matter of
> > > > record
> > > >
> > > > you are confused good makes 2 of us
> > >
> > > If I could just understand what you were writing, it would be a lot
> > > easier.
> >
> > if you would come up and make repaet the claims you claim to be proving
> > the rest of us could judge wether or not you had done so. but...
>
> But nothing. Go back and read them.

go read what I can't tell which claims you claim are answered by
callsigns

>
> > > YOU are confused becasue you're a lazy idiot.
> >
> > prehaps, but I am confused by your refusual to says what you are
> > claimingwhile offering proof of a claim you refuse to repeat
>
> I refuse to repeat all the goings-on between Brain and I for the
> last 6 months.

you refuse to repeat the claim that began it, likely because it would
seem so petty that you have been carrying on about it for 6 months

after all you are still carrying on about my changing ISP's after 7
years

>
> Ask him. Maybe he'll e-mail you the links.
>
> I bet HIS ISP doesn't chage him $5/hr.

I had not read this yet see

You never let anything drop

>
> > > Brain insists I've not had experience in MARS. I say I have, and
> > > have provided information to corroborate it.
> >
> > no you have not even if those are valid Mars Callsign (not disputing
> > that yet but reserving the right) proves nothing without some kind of
> > logs.
>
> MARS maintains participation reports.

accessable to the public how?

>
> > By the Same Stanard the existance of MY callsign proves I know how the
> > NTS works, since I don't your logic seem flawed, at best
>
> No, it doesn't.

yes it does

>
> > I would hope that MARS does not keep and is not able to sort though
> > such trivia, (although it might be so foolish it has something to do
> > with the Military)
>
> Records of previous memebers is "trivia"...???

yes

wether or not you serv and how meesages you may have passed is trivia.

>
> BIG SNIP
>
> > > > > Markie...If you want to participate in this exchange, why don't
> > > > > you spend a day or two going back through old archives to bring
> > > > > yourself up-to-speed on what's going on...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > I have. the fact is you don't make anymore sense in storage than in
> > > > real time
> > >
> > > I make far greater sense in ANY time than you do.
> >
> > even granting that , you just hem and haw and refuse to answer you use
> > words games to point I can't follow you or find just what you are

> > reffering to
>
> Because it's months and months of posts, and I'm not going to
> repeat it all here when you can spend some of your daylight hours
> reading it for yourself.

no it would be simple

and it matters when I read your post? what are they typed with?

>
> > > Still not getting it, are you?
> >
> > repating yourself does not make you turthful
>
> Maybe YOU like "repating" yourself, Markie...

not esp, but you leave me few choices

>
> Matter of fact, I bet that "partner" of yours is just beyond your
> wrists.

More about my sex life? and you deny being obsessesed?

>
> > > It would be a fact.
> >
> > it would also be a fact its facthood does not alter it's status as a
> > claim
> >
> > Learn English
>
> I already have.

then use it

>
> I wish YOU would.

I do

>
> > > > > > Google is the Archive of RRAP
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's not about RRAP, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes it is
> > > >
> > > Then you're completely lost, Markie.
> >
> > yes lost in your bull#####
>
> Still can't communicate without the profanity, eh?

was geting anywhere without so decided to try using it no better result
no worse either

and it is vulgarity not profanity

>
> > > ENGLISH, MARKIE! Use complete sentences with proper format and
> > > punctuation, please.
> >
> > you LEARN English learn the meaning of the words Claim and Fact for a
> > start
>
> Already know them.

no you don't

>
> > you tell me to look for your claims in RRAP archives then tell me it
> > isn't there
> >
> > you use logic and plain reason
>
> I do use logic and plain reason.

nope

>
> YOU don't keep track of what's being said. I can't help that.

you keep changing your stories

worse than John Kerry

>
> > > Know how >>I<< know, Markie?
> > >
> > > Because I am already competent in it's use and comprehension.
> > >
> > > You would have known that had you been too!
> >
> > I just did not bother
>
> Lazy punk...Just like I said.

right I am too lazy to dance your dance, do your work, carry your
freight

>
> > you generate so much drivel I can't afford the time to care about ####
> > like that
>
> The divvel's in Michigan.

nope it is in RRAP

>
> > > > > > Nope, but that is not the exaplanation. I know as they do that to keep
> > > > > > the ARES folks providing what help they are you have to feed their
> > > > > > egos. Therefore as is their duty to Nation they are lying or if you
> > > > > > prefer egaeratinng the role of ARES
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What was THAT spiel, Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > > the truth as best I know it
> > > >
> > > > Then you don't know what's "best", Markie.
> > >
> > but I know how the goverment works
>
> No you don't.

yes I do

>
> > > Just ill-prepared opinion unsubstantiated by facts.
> >
> > well prepared but unsupported by any facts i have presented
>
> You've not presented any facts.

right I said that Stevie

>
> > Why present no facts becuase you are not listening anyway
>
> Why should I listen when this is a visual media?

since you can't seem to read thought it might help to listen

>
> > > > > > You're claiming that it's the "duty" of the Red Cross, etc etc to
> > > > > > LIE to the country just to validate the existance of ARES...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > yes indeed
> > > >
> > > > Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh Kayyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy.........
> > > >
> > > > As it was the Duty of FDR to nation to tell lies about Lend lease and
> > > > cash and cary in order to ready the USA for WW2. FDR was one of the
> > > > biggest liars to hold that office, and I bless him for hoving the
> > > > courage to do his duty even if by lies and deceit
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > WHAT AN IDIOT!~
> > >
> > > I reiterate....
> > >
> > > WHAT AN IDIOT!

> >
> > not at all a valid representation fo History
>
> You're right...Nothing you've said is a valid representation of
> history.

more stevie distrtion I have accurately describe FDR's terms in office

>
> Then WHY did you lie in the first place?

did not lie

>
> > > > > > From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
> > > > > > ARES but not the degree of that benifit
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Completely incoherent, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > not realy just expressing a type of thought you can't follow
> > > >
> > > > You mean the illogical type? No kidding.
> > >
> > > no a type of logic that escapes you
> >
> > It's not "logic" of ANY kind, other than chaotic.

progress boyo

>
> > > > > > Try again.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > Lazy punk.
> > >
> > > narrowminded fool
> >
> > Yes, you are. I stand corrected.

you are the narrow minded fool stevie

so stuck in a box that you can't even see it

>
> > > You're just too lazy to spend the time.
> >
> > I don't have a supply of time to chase down something you will not make
> > clear what you claim it will result in
>
> In other words: You're just too lazy to spend the time.

No you are too lazy to do your work

>
> > > Just like your rudeness to Hans. You want things one way, spoon
> > > fed to you, and anything less is "condescending"
> > >
> > if you like
> >
> > I am just defferent
>
> Oh, you're "defferent", OK...

mighty generous of you

>
> > > > > You're looking even MORE ignorant than usual!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > On the otherhand, we're still waiting for the name of your
> > > > > > > > > > "commander", where those "unlicesed devices" play such a major role in
> > > > > > > > > > emergency comms, who allegedly chased the NCT's away and where they
> > > > > > > > > > have allegedly gone...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Thanks, Markie. Always a pleasure proving you wrong.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > BUZZ worng answer you did not type that use have in that post including
> > > > > > > > > > it in reply when I it reamins unclear who typed does not count
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Absolutely incoherent.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > perfectly
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Perfectly incoherent.
> > > > >
> > > > prefectly clear to anyone with a brain
> > >
> > No, it's not.

like I said elsewhere you need a brain that lest you out

>
> > > > I don't waste my time that way steve
> > > >
> > > Sure you do. Your whole life is a waste of time.
> > >
> > right at least I have a life
> >
> Uh huh..riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...Sacrificing chickens and using
> your "Hook On Phonics" kit to hold your beer and TV Dinner.

more pagan bashing, and bile

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1159. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 16:06:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121443613.098759.150700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112519

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > It's not hate and venom, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes it is
> > > >
> > > > Nope. It's your own words and behaviour, Markie.
> > >
> > > why did you attack me when I had not posted in years
> >
> > I didn't "attack" you.

yes you did

>
> > can you answer that?
>
> I did...See above.

no you did not

>
> > > > > you obviously hate what for I can't understand
> > > >
> > > > Huh?
> > >
> > > you obviously hate me why I don't know
> >
> > I don't hate you.

yes you do or fear me

>
> > > > > > It's a complete lack of respect for a fellow American who abuses
> > > > > > the priviliges of "freedom of speech" with the chronic use of lies and
> > > > > > deceit.
> > > > >
> > > > > hate in other words
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yes hate
> >
> > So you claim I "forge words", yet here you are doing it.

nope

Hate in other words with it still clear what I type I shortened it to
hate

You alter words of mine and then "respond" to them that is forgery I am
assesing your words and commenting on their meaning

>
> No..Not hate.

yes hate or fear

>
> > you might have a point if it were just me but you go off on Lenn and
> > Tood in the same hate filled manner
>
> Lennie and Todd are cut from the same cloth. Oh, Lennie's far
> more competent with the English language than you'll ever hope to be,
> but you're "cousins" none-the-less.

not we are very different people all we really have in comon is that we
are not cowed by a bully like yourself

>
> > > "Hate" in YOUR words.
> >
> > yes Hate
>
> But not in mine. You may stop "forging" any time you like,

yes hate

no forgeing

and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too

>
> > you are a hate monger
>
> No, I'm not.

yes you are

You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity

>
> > > > > > > Not an honest one in the bunch. All liars, cheats or deceivers.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > form you I guess I have to take that as an Honor
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You would.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > That you were still timking of me after all those years was in a sense
> > > > > > > flatering, also a bit frightening as to the degree and depth of your
> > > > > > > hate for a man you never met

> > > > >
> > > > > I don't hate you, Mark.
> > > > >
> > > > sure do hate and/or fear me for some reason
> > > >
> > > I don't hate you nor fear you.
> > >
> > yes you do nothing else explains your behavoir hate or fear
> >
> Intolerance of the deceitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.

hate or fear

>
> > > I reserve "hate" for child molesters, spousal abusers, murderers
> > > etc.
> > >
> > well you have all but accused me of being a Child molester
> >
> No, I've not.

yes you have

>
> I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
> because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> liar, and a sexual deviant.

not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
you are

>
> > > You're not one of those, are you?
> > >
> > you accuse me of it
> >
> No, I didn't.

yes you do

>
> > > And FEAR you...?!?!
> > >
> > yep
> >
> You're falttering yourself again, Markie.

not flattering just sating the obvious

> >
> > >
> > > BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH! ! ! !!

> >
> > grow up and stopp crying on the RRAP
>
> I'm not crying.

sure looks like it

>
> That's rolling-on-the-floor laughter!

looks like crying to me

>
> > > > > I pity you.
> > > >
> > > > thanks I think
> > >
> > > You're welcome and no you don't.
> >
> > yes I do
> >
> > You don't think
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

you don't think I explained on this elsewhere

>
> > you react which is the real reason I tend to beleive you may well have
> > been a marine. That is aprt of military mindset and much folks that
> > spend any time have it. some never aquire it
>
> If you really knew what compromises the training of Marines, you'd
> know better.

I know the training of the Army and The from others the Navy Adm Ray
spruance said that basic training was mostly brain washing to produce a
guy that would react as his comanders wish him to

from a speech about 1970 at the redication fo the Naval reservse armory
in Indy

>
> And even more evidence that you know nothing of, nor were ever
> part of, "the military".

in some way you right when you say i was never part of the military,
since i never ever could stop thinking, as was desired by my trainers

>
> > > > > And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.
> > > >
> > > > ah yes self loathing Stevie
> > >

> > > Yes, I am sure you are self-loathing. You should be.
> >
> > no I like myself I even love myself
>
> I am sure you do.

then you were lyinng when you said I loathed myself

you lie with evidence right on the page

>
> > > > > I sure do wish you could appreciate just how funny that "sentence"
> > > > > was, Markie!
> > > >
> > > > gald you enjoyed it
> > >
> > > I am "gald" by a lot of what you attempt to write, Markie.
> >
> > then what is your beef if I make you glad then you should not be upset
> > with me
>
> You continue to miss the points of laughter within your own broken
> English and that makes it even MORE hillarious! The Marx Brothers or
> the Three Stooges would have felt right at home with you!

I reconize them but you refuse to answer the question if you enjoy my
posts so much why do you attack me for providing you with amusement

>
> > OCD and obseesion look good for you
>
> I am sure that you've watched enough episodes of ER and General
> Hospital to think so.

nope I have never sat through an episode of General hospital, never
seen ER. It is on network TV haven't seen it in years
>
> > > > why? I simply don't expect you to understand
> > >
> > > So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
> > > see....
> >
> > More making more #### stevie
>
> Nope.

yes more shit

>
> I just make fun of what YOU make up.

by lying about it

and you can't tolerate lying so resolve your conflict comit suicide

>
> > I simply don't expcept you to understand, simple fact
>
> I understand that YOU are INTENTIONALLY attempting to not be
> understood clearly.

another lie

>
> Why..I have no idea...

no ideas at all have you (in my best Yoda voice, back to normal) which
is why I say you are so narrow minded

>
> > indeed I am certian even if you understood your mind would not allow
> > you to admit it
>
> I'm sure if I am ever traumatically lobotomized it will all become
> clear to me.

another lie but it would at least make you easier to deal with

prehaps you should schedule the procedure at once

>
> In the mean time, your posts look like Scrabble tiles dumped on
> the floor.

if that sin't a le then your claim to understand any part of is which
would mean your claims I said any given thing would be a lie etc

>
> > > > > > I choose to let the reader fill it in, again you try the times
> > > > >
> > > > > Try what times?
> > > >
> > > > the times either NY or london times if you like
> > >
> > > I guess there was an attempt at humor there...
> >
> > not at all
> >
> > you refered to the newspaper in stuff now clipped out
> >
> > I msitakenly assumed you could follow anything
>
> I can follow a lot.

but you choose not to?

>
> Your fractured English takes a while to get through.

then don't bother

>
> > > Obviously you were. You've involved yourself in "debate" spanning
> > > several years now.
> >
> > Nope
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> You have involved yourself in Brain's deceit and lies about my
> MARS participation.

which accrding to you has lasted about 6 months not years

>
> > I was here a while starting around 97 till about 2000 then left for
> > about 4-5 years and came back
> >
> > with nothing in between
>
> You posted here briefly in 2002 or 3...Several days worth.

no I did not

Nothing after 9/11 till late june of this years

>
> > > No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> > > cage.
> >
> > that sure sound like hate to me
>
> Nope. Just a zookeeper doing his duty.

more of your Compassion it is overwhleming

>
> > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
>
> Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.

I have the DNA

then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS

>
> > must be hate or fear
>
> Must be Markie on drugs.

not on drugs don't need em

prehaps you do

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1160. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 16:08:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121443689.349973.272990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112520

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
> >
> > BOO HOO
>
> That's the little girl we know!

still with your obsession over gentials stevie

>
> > What are going to do steve cry to yourr mommy because I don't
> > spell my name like you want me too.
>
> Nope. Just tell her how funny you look. When she says "no one
> could be that funny looking", I'll show her the pictures.

a vailed threat but likely you don't realy "mean" it

>
> > Todd McDochartaigh
>
> Misrepresenter Of Truth.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1161. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 16:26:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121444792.597753.236870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112521

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
> > > > > >
> > > > > So have you.
> > > > >
> > > > Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo
> > > >
> > > "Boyo"..?!?!
> > >
> > an irish expresion
> >
> Uh huh...

yes

>
> > > Fixation with kids, Markie?
> > >
> > more implacation of pedophilia stevie
> >
> Nope.

yes it is

>
> > > You've been claiming the opposite this week.
> > >
> > Indeed No I have not that is lie on your part I have neither confirmed
> > nor denied pedophilia, that was neevr the topic
> >
> No...you just "confirm" being a liar and sexual deviant.

nope I do not confirm being a sexual deviant

>
> > What I have been claiming and what you are proving as fact is that YOU
> > are impling I am a pedophile
> >
> Nope.

yes

>
> You're still having trouble with the English, Markie.

nope you are

>
> I said you're dangerous (and rightly so) to leave kids around.

prove it

your claim is what ever else Libel

>
> > so Another Stevie lie
>
> Nope.

can't tell after you editing

>
> > > > > Like why does a married Veteran with small children lie repeatedly
> > > > > and prolifically in a public forum...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Why do you lie so much Stevie I can't undersatnd it, either
> > > >
> > > > But I don't. That's what confuses you.
> > >
> > > yes you do
> > >
> > > you have lied in the post I am repleing to
> >
> > No, I've not.

yes you have

>
> > > > You can't understand why someone would tell the truth when lying
> > > > and making stuff up is so much "fun".
> > >
> > > another lie. never said lying was fun.
> >
> > Sure you have.

where?

>
> > you seem to enjoy making up stuff
>
> I couldn't make up the silliness you do, Markie.

you make your silliness and attribute it to me which is another matter

>
> It comes from a very deep and troubled mind.

not bad I deep mind I have that, and yes It is troubled by many things
esp lately by the rising hate in society of which you are a great
example of

>
> > > > an example like Saying the Borther Grimm were not linguists
> > >
> > > They're not.
> > >
> > > They're dead.
> >
> > they still were can't be a man and admit error
>
> What error?

saying they weren't lingugists

>
> They're not dead?

already answered

apoligize for slight them

be a man

if you can

>
> > have to bluster and evade and lie
>
> They're not dead?

more bluster

>
> > > > > > > The United States still requires Morse Code testing for access to
> > > > > > > HF.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > For now. And it doesn't have to make sense or be consistent with other
> > > > > > > regulations. That's why it is arbitrary.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > It's not "arbitrary".
> > > > >
> > > > It sure is
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > sure is
> >
> > entirely arbitary
>
> Only to you and Lennie.

anyone else with a brain, which let you out

all the rules are arbitary Why 50mhz for 6 m instead of 49mhz no logic
there just arbitary choices made

>
> Lennie won't do it because it would somehow "minimize" him in his
> own eyes...taking a test that "mere mortals" all take.

all take

ah I see you don't onsider me a mere mortal I gues that is sort of
compliment certainly nicer than anything you normaly say

>
> You won't do it because you're lazy and unmotivated.

did not last

>
> > > > > That's an "out" that people try to use when they want to avoid
> > > > > facing reality.
> > > > >
> > > > > BTW the FCC does not require Morse testing in all cases for HF use.
> > > >
> > > Of course not. But it does for Amateur use.
> >
> > currently yes
>
> Well well...speaking of admitting an error...Finally got tired of
> getting spanked, eh?

gee havve been spanked in weeks but why do you complain of alternitve
sexauly and then invoke it

but I am not addmitting error at all

>
> > > > > > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE" in existance, Brain.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hi! Tell it to the ARRL VEC.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'll tell it to them all.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There's no "Farnsworth CSCE". Not in the ARRL VEC, the W%YI, VEC,
> > > > > > or any of the others.
> > > > >
> > > > can't even give W5YI the proper speling Stevie
> > >
> > > Doesn't matter. There's still no "Farnsworth CSCE".
> >
> > sure does show you can't even respect folks that have never to my
> > knowledge psted here
> >
> > that you have never meet to my knowledge
>

> Huh? Who are you talking about?

your refusal to show respect for W5YI a amn as far I know you have
never met

he wasn't her you mangled his call and says it does not atter that you
mangled his call, That was rude

you should apologize

>
> W5YI?
>
> Read the context, Markie...It's about code testing. "W5YI" is a
> VEC that administers code tests.

so that means you don't treat him and his call with respect

>
> Brain claims there's a "Farnsworth" code test.

as I understand the matter so does the ARRL but what has that got to do
with your disrepect for w5yi

>
> I've dared him to show evidence of one, but that's heaped up on
> his pile of "things I won't do because it will prove me wrong" stack.

no he has put it on his stack of "not carring stevie frieght" I am
guessing of course and not speaking for Brain

>
> > > > > It doesn't exist.
> > > > >
> > > > > All hail Stevie
> > > >
> > > For making a point about the truth?
> > >
> > for your bluster
> >
> The truth is bluster?

what truth

you don't post truth mainly lie inuendo evasion and distortions

>
> > > Good. Then I don't have to worry about you drecking up the phone
> > > OR CW subbands anytime soon.
> > >
> > well as I undestand it there are no CW subbands except at VHF there are
> > CW/data subbands
> >
> And I won't ahve to worry about you on any of THOSE, eitehr.

but you still can't state without correction by me the facts about HF
rules

>
> > and you may have even heard me on field day
>
> I doubt it.

I would not know after all I don't know if you even do feild day

but you might. you may have even worked me without knowing it.

>
> > > > > > > Brain and Markie on the same "team"...A gene pool that still
> > > > > > > doesn't come up to a 2 digit number...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve and Bruce on the same team.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Bruce who?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > If you're refering to N8DOS (SK), why shouldn't we be? He was my
> > > > > > father.
> > > > > >
> > > > > because he is dead and I hope burried (please if you are keeping him
> > > > > round the house don't tell us)
> > > >
> > > He was "burried" with something YOU will never have, Markie...
> > >
> > then in saying nothing wrong with teaming up with him you are sick he
> > is a corpse
>
> Now THAT is sick, Mark...Even for you.

you said "If you're refering to N8DOS (SK), why shouldn't we be? He
was my
father" it was all right for you to team up with a corpse, you are
the sicko

>
> More evidence of why it's unsafe to leave kids around you.

maybe I should call child welfare about your daughter

>
> > > Military Honors.
> > >
> > right stevie keep believeing that.
>
> I do believe it.

I know

>
> And so do you......

no I don't

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1162. Re: a cmoment about Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 16:28:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DuRBe.8357$8f7.6003@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112522

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> Hans I copied from FCC.gov

So did I.

> That Is not accessable from the FCC's website

Yes, it is.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1163. a question for Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 16:30:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121445009.573326.41690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112523

why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?

Is it ok to lie in private?

1164. Re: Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 17:38:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121449108.778709.252020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112524

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > sorry grow up
>
> Ergo, there is no >band< of frequencies assigned to amateurs at 60m.

then what do you want to call if not a band?

I disgree about you and setvies elaborate definaifion of band but I
have yet to read either you say in one how to fill the the blank in 60m
____ I sau band fits well enough is not confusing, but if you don't
like then at least venture an alternitive

are you confused if someone calls it a band?

>
> Good luck on this one now!
>
> de Hans, K0HB

1165. Re: Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 18:11:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <k%SBe.3958$dU3.3753@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112525

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> then what do you want to call if not a band?

I call them the 5MHz frequencies. If I want to meet someone there, I don't ask them to QSY to 60m, but rather to the particular frequency such as 5348 or 5405 (or whatever).

> I disgree about you and setvies elaborate definaifion of band

I don't think the definition is elaborate. It generally describes the way FCC rules refer to a "band" ("a set of contiguous frequencies with an upper and lower bound"). Since I have worked all my life in radio and telecommunications, it is a natural way for me to describe a "band". In my extensive training and experience, a small selection of discrete non-contiguous frequencies is not called a "band".

> but I have yet to read either you say in one how to fill the the blank
> in 60m ____

Actually, I'd not use the term "60m (anything)" to refer to these allocations, but if I were referring to them as a group, I'd call them "5MHz frequencies".

> I sau band fits well enough is not confusing

If it fits well enough for you, then feel free to use that terminology, but be prepared to find people who've never heard of a "60 meter band".

> but if you don't like then at least venture an alternitive

I have ventured my alternative, which is to refer to them by frequency.

de Hans, K0HB

1166. Re: Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 18:48:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121453313.453600.285230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112526

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > then what do you want to call if not a band?
>
> I call them the 5MHz frequencies. If I want to meet someone there, I don't ask
> them to QSY to 60m, but rather to the particular frequency such as 5348 or 5405
> (or whatever).
>
> > I disgree about you and setvies elaborate definaifion of band
>
> I don't think the definition is elaborate. It generally describes the way FCC
> rules refer to a "band" ("a set of contiguous frequencies with an upper and
> lower bound"). Since I have worked all my life in radio and telecommunications,
> it is a natural way for me to describe a "band". In my extensive training and
> experience, a small selection of discrete non-contiguous frequencies is not
> called a "band".
>
> > but I have yet to read either you say in one how to fill the the blank
> > in 60m ____
>
> Actually, I'd not use the term "60m (anything)" to refer to these allocations,
> but if I were referring to them as a group, I'd call them "5MHz frequencies".
>
> > I sau band fits well enough is not confusing
>
> If it fits well enough for you, then feel free to use that terminology, but be
> prepared to find people who've never heard of a "60 meter band".

will you stop busting my chops over it then

after all you might notices i'll do nothing but mangle the words
frequencies if i try to use very often

>
> > but if you don't like then at least venture an alternitive
>
> I have ventured my alternative, which is to refer to them by frequency.
>
> de Hans, K0HB

1167. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 18:50:20 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121453420.797034.287160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1121360079.098084.282130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"n9ogl" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> 24. K4YZ Nursie whined
>
> >Only five lines of text and seven references to sexual perversion
> >and child abuse.
> >And Todd wonders why he gets treated the way he does.
>
> >Steve, K4YZ
> Well steve, I don't let little anonymous pansy go around call me names
> when the asshole don't even know me.

No, you little four eyed Queer cocksucker, you just whine like the
fucking little bitch you are.
I do know you, queer Toadie, and you like to talk tough over the
internet, but are a chickenshit in person. Your type is all over the
net.

1168. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 19:44:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <mpWdnS7i0JnJvEXfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112531

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Found this:
>
> "The engines and propellers produced an unforgettable throbbing sound
> when the B-36 flew overhead. A friend of mine remembers the sound from
> his boyhood as a "captivating drone. The noise went down to your heels,
> it was so resonant. It just stopped you in your tracks. You looked up
> into the sky to try to find this thing, and it was just a tiny cross,
> it was so high." Others remember that it rattled windows on the ground
> from 40,000 feet."
>
> w3rv
>

Thats about how high they would be when they came over our house some
200 miles west of Ft. Worth and the windows would rattle. It was an
unmistakeable sound.

1169. Re: Stevie raves against the ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 19:45:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <mpWdnSni0JkivEXfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112532

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>there certainly is
>
>
> No, there isn't.
>
>
>>nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it
>>is dived into 100hz channels etc
>
>
> That statement is wrong on a number of levels.
>
> FACT: First, that band is only half the size you claim. It extends from 219Mhz
> to 220Mhz.
>
> FACT: Second, "Gorden west" is not a rule making authority, so even if he
> actually said what you attribute to him (which I doubt) it is not a rule which
> regulates the use of that band.
>
> FACT: Third, the FCC rules designate a contiguous >band< of frequencies bounded
> by 219MHz and 220MHz.
>
> FACT: Fourth, the FCC rules do not divide the 219-220MHz band into any sized
> channels, let alone >100hz< channels. Channels only 100hz wide would be
> ludicrous since the purpose of the allocation is for a point-to-point fixed
> digital message forwarding system. In practice, channels one-thousand times
> that wide would be the more likely case.
>
> FACT: Fifth, just as users of the various repeater band segments have
> voluntarily agreed on specific frequency assignments for repeater pairs, the
> users of 219-220MHz have agreed on a voluntary band plan for this band. This
> voluntary plan is not spelled out in the FCC rules, and can be modified by the
> users at any time to meet changing requirements, regardless what "Gorden west"
> says.
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

As he says, he knows nothing about the rules.

1170. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 19:50:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <PqidnfR8n6x8v0XfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112533

an_old_friend wrote:

>
>
> one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
> band?

80m is a band idjit.
>
>
>>>nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it is dived into
>>>100hz channels etc
>>
>> Those "channels" are set up by Amateur Radio programs...NOT the
>>FCC or NTIA. Those channels exist within a BAND granted Amateurs by
>>the FCC.
>
>
> no they are set not to interffere with other user of the band

It's called a *band* plan idjit.

1171. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 19:58:25 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112534

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
> amateur radios.

Something you sure can't seem to master.

1172. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 19:59:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <PqidnfZ8n6xyuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112535

N9OGL wrote:
>>I imagine you hear a LOT of voices, Toiddie.
>>Kinda like the "monster" under the bed.
>
>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> The bottom line steve you little bitchwhore is I'm not gay, nor have I
> ever been gay. Until you prove othewise my suggestion to you is eat
> shit and die!
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Toddieboy, you really are a pathetic little weenie. Why don't you go
play in the traffic?

1173. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 20:07:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121458037.797168.99980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112528

From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Fri 15 Jul 2005 00:21

>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>>>Once again, why is it irrelevant?
>>>
>>> I see you snipped the answer to that one. read it again.
>>>
>>> better yet, I'll explain in greater detail:
>>> 1. of the 20 million odd cb radios floating around in the US and other
>>> places the US controlls: 35% of them would not meet type acceptance (due
>>> to illegal modification), 40% go unused (due to lack of interest, noise,
>>> storage or breakdown). The remainder are often in the hands of either
>>> children or adults who have virtually no training in their proper use.

Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
amateur radios. [ham radio "in the hands of children" etc.]

Those "statistics" are of dubious value since NO source is
referenced.

>>> that leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question
>>> as to that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily
>>> basis?

Drive the highways of the USA sometime and you will find out...:-)

>>> How many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least
>>> a good knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use?

Define this "good knowledge."

Are you going to say that passing a federal test last year, a few
years ago, or even many years ago constitutes "good knowledge of
the CURRENT regulations of amateur radio?

>>> how
>>> many of those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster
>>> relief by accredited agencies?

So, SHOW YOUR WORK in regards to how many licensed amateurs are
"actually trained in some disaster reflief" by anyone but their
own imagination?

Sorry, but all those "news" stories on the ARRL website don't
prove much other than the league is very busy promoting itself
first, amateur radio second.

Now, if there were so "many" amateur radio operators "actually
trained in some disaster relief," WHY would the ARRL be offering
those "training classes" in same?

>>> why don't you give me some really good hard data to work with?
>>>
>>> whats your real problem with ham radio?
>>
>> The odd thing is that while we've gotten a breakdown on the number of
>> Ham radio operators as compared to the population of the US (as if every
>> person in the US is going to have traffic for every Ham op) we now go in
>> complete reverse - miraculously every CB rig is going to be pressed into
>> service in time of need. 20 million radios ready to save life and
>> property.
>>
>> This whole part of the argument is weird and borders on irrelevant.

Quite true! :-)

Especially the part where - as INPLIED - that amateur radio
operators are (miraculously) ALL capable of actual emergency
communications and ready (at a moment's notice) to "save lives
and property."

All of that intimation is very nice, warm, and fuzzy self-promotion
for what is basically a HOBBY pursuit, a recreation, something done
by individuals for their own pleasure. Tall Tales of derring-do
(and usually of daring doo-doo).

>> For an interesting coomparison, why don't we come up with the ratio of
>> say EMT's to the general population. Yet somehow they do their jobs.
>
>I know, but that is his "obtuse point". I doesn't matter the actual number
>of trained operators, just the number of radio sets.

Okay, now YOU show the rest of us how many radio amateurs are
"trained" in emergency communications.

Please, NO more tall tales of derring-doo-doo as federally-
authorized radio AMATEURS, implied or otherwise. Be specific.

When push comes to shove, you CANNOT show those numbers of radio
amateurs. You are bluffing your way through this non-argument.

All that is left is an estimation of the various radios used in
the various radio services in the USA...by the Electronic
Industries Association, the Census Bureau, Department of the
Interior, Department of Transportaion, NTIA, etc., etc.

Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal

authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or much of anything else for "statistics."

Neither can you "prove" that licensed amateur radio operators are ALL or even partly "trained in emergency communications" or are automatically some kind of "life savers." A "Life Saver" is a name of a candy, a sucker without a stick. Try not to schtick us as if we were suckers.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1174. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 20:18:02 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <r4mdnaNWNaDXtEXfRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112537

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
>>>band?
>>
>>80m is a band idjit.
>
>
> altering number shame on you

What number did I alter? The post is quoted *exactly* as you wrote it.
Are you truly as dumb as you seem to be or is it an act?

1175. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 20:22:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121458952.235396.117220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112529

>I imagine you hear a LOT of voices, Toiddie.
>Kinda like the "monster" under the bed.

>Steve, K4YZ

The bottom line steve you little bitchwhore is I'm not gay, nor have I ever been gay. Until you prove othewise my suggestion to you is eat shit and die!

Todd N9OGL

1176. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 20:22:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121458953.826642.111330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112530

>I imagine you hear a LOT of voices, Toiddie.
>Kinda like the "monster" under the bed.

>Steve, K4YZ

The bottom line steve you little bitchwhore is I'm not gay, nor have I ever been gay. Until you prove othewise my suggestion to you is eat shit and die!

Todd N9OGL

1177. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 21:17:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121462232.993306.53540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112536

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
> > band?
>
> 80m is a band idjit.

altering number shame on you

> >
> >
> >>>nor is 218-220 continuous accrdoing to Gorden west it is dived into
> >>>100hz channels etc
> >>
> >> Those "channels" are set up by Amateur Radio programs...NOT the
> >>FCC or NTIA. Those channels exist within a BAND granted Amateurs by
> >>the FCC.
> >
> >
> > no they are set not to interffere with other user of the band
>
> It's called a *band* plan idjit.

1178. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 21:33:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_YVBe.4009$dU3.3298@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112538

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote

> Are you truly as dumb as you seem to be?

It's time I stood up for Mark. No, he's >not< as dumb as he seems to be.

He >couldn't< be.......

.....could he?

de Hans, K0HB

1179. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 21:59:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121464772.741983.174350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112539

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>
> >>>one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
> >>>band?
> >>
> >>80m is a band idjit.
> >
> >
> > altering number shame on you
>
> What number did I alter? The post is quoted *exactly* as you wrote it.

you altered 60 into 80

> Are you truly as dumb as you seem to be or is it an act?

1180. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 22:18:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zCWBe.5548$BK1.1307@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112540

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> you altered 60 into 80
>

No, he did not. That was a direct verbatim quote from your first "Stevie shit"
post to K4YZ.

"one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a band?"
(Ain't Google grand?)

At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. I still do.

de Hans, K0HB

1181. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 22:21:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121466093.473256.199310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112541

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> I love the cream ale, but it can leave me with a headache th e next
> day. Darnit! Genny Red, on the other hand, has the right balance of
> flavor, non-complexity, and cost to get a thumbs up from me.
>
> As for Yuengling, I don't want that Porter mixed with anything,
> thankyouverymuch! Porter or the Amber ale works for me.

We'll have to do a taste-test some time, Mike.

> > As for what is fed to babies, it should be remembered
> > that for a couple of decades in the middle of the
> > 20th century, the "professionals" and "experts" told
> > us that bottle-feeding was *better* for infants than
> > the "old-fashioned way". The newfangled "formula"
> > and all the attendant apparatus was "scientific" and
> > "progressive", they said. Of course it took a whole
> > pile of hardware (bottles, sterilizer pot with lid and
> > bottle rack, nipples, nipple rings, seals, bottle tops,
> > tongs) the formula itself, and a kitchen to do all the
> > processing to do what "the old fashioned way" did semi-
> > automatically.

> I'd be remiss if I did not add that in Ireland, New and nursing mothers
> received Guiness Stout even while still "in hospital", as it is
> considered to have many good health effects. While the more Baptist
> among us might be aghast at such a thing, I suspect there might just be
> a bit of wisdom in that.
>
More than "a bit"...
>
> > The "old-fashioned way" was
> > put down as being vaguely third-world, Luddite,
> > "horse and buggy" and inferior both physically
> > and psychologically. Moms who tried to keep the
> > old ways met with resistance, opposition and
> > insults.
>
> Quite perverted, that!

Mid-20thcentury US culture could be hilariously funny, too.

Check out:

http://www.lileks.com/institute/gallery/index.html

particularly the "regrettable food" section.

> > After all, the "professionals" and "experts" knew
> > best, right?
> >
> > As if!
>
> Snort
>
Yet some of them, even today, will tell us that they know
how ham radio should be, even better than we hams do.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1182. Re: K1MAN Info Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 22:31:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <XOWBe.4093$oZ.547@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112542

I seriously doubt Mr. Colby will take on another hams case , especially
after the BARF stiffed him.

Dan/W4NTI

"Franciscvs" <none@none.com> wrote in message
news:YPGdndgMl5aOXUrfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
>I wonder if Larry Colby would be interested? You know, Herb's former
> attorney.
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:360xe.1676$aY6.139@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Rob Sheldon" <sheldon391@shelltdcommsco.org> wrote in message
>> news:da1qeemkb0@pita.alt.net...
>> > Does anyone know the name of the communications law firm
>> > which was retained this week by MAN?
>> >
>> > tnx
>> >
>> > Rob
>> > Syracuse NY
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Must have got it on a AARU credit card.
>>
>>
>> BWAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAA
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

1183. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 22:36:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4UWBe.4099$oZ.1275@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112543

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>
>> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>> amateur radios.
>
> Something you sure can't seem to master.

And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW, and ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.

Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did manage to get a ham license.

He is a pathetic loser.

Dan/W4NTI

1184. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 22:41:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121467291.185060.192400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112544

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
> >> amateur radios.
> >
> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>
> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW, and
> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>
> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
> manage to get a ham license.

that is a lie never seen Lenn blame me for anything of the sort

>
> He is a pathetic loser.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1185. Re: Two unrelated far-fetched questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 22:55:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121468125.231611.297320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112545

KØHB wrote:
> Question 1: If Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio left the amateur
> radio equipment market this afternoon, in ten years amateur radio would be:
>
> a) Revitalized as a experimenters technical hobby
> b) Extinct

c) Neither

1) There's a lot of good used ham gear around,

2) New companies would arise to take their place

3) Some hams would actually build stuff.

It may seem farfetched to think that
Icom/Ten-Tec/Yaesu-Standard/MFJ/Kenwood-Trio would disappear. But back
in 1967, when I became a ham, it seemed farfetched that
Collins/Drake/Swan/Heath/WRL/Johnson/National/Hammarlund/Hallicrafters
would disappear from the amateur market. But they did.
>
> Question 2: If you were 'inventing' amateur radio today, would > you include a
> Morse examination in the license qualification?
>
> a) Yes
> b) No
>
Yes!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1186. And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 23:01:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121468489.242331.321620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112546

http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

73 de Jim, N2EY

1187. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 23:11:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121469072.838851.50090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112547

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > you altered 60 into 80
> >
>
> No, he did not. That was a direct verbatim quote from your first "Stevie shit"
> post to K4YZ.

really how many post ago was that hard to keep track it looks like a
more recent one

oh well my bad

>
> "one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a band?"
> (Ain't Google grand?)
>
> At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. I still do.

you realy can't understand a typo. I think not

>
> de Hans, K0HB

1188. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 23:14:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121469291.371909.243110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112548

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

nice looking radio but did you catch the price starting at 3000 for a
kit

1189. And yet another kit (much cheaper LOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 23:29:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <PFXBe.1284$cg.118@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112549

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121468489.242331.321620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Well, how about a kit in the $100.00 range ..... with opposite sideband rejection in excess of 50 dB. Even a few hundred Hz away from the carrier? Direct conversion. With selectable sideband. Hmmmm ....

Of course, it is a receiver. They do make an exciter. But the thought of a phasing direct conversion receiver or phasing transmitter with sideband suppression of that order is interesting. Especially since the lower audio frequencies will also be highly suppressed.

I've got the QSTs referenced. All in the 1990s. Of course, they've replaced the analog audio quadrature generation with DSP to obtain the increase from 40 to 50+ dB suppression of the unwanted sideband.

Here is a URL:
http://www.wwc.edu/~frohro/R2_DSP/R2-DSP.html

Nothing like DSP.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1190. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 23:32:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121470373.213186.197330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112550

From: "Cmd Buzz Corey" <aka Brewster Rockit, Space Guy> on Fri 15 Jul 2005 15:58

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>
>> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>> amateur radios.
>
>Something you sure can't seem to master.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Very, very weak troll you cast.

Buzziebaby, you just don't understand that this isn't a playground outside a public school. Quit acting like a none-year-old.

About 53 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to fully operate high-power HF transmitters WITHOUT needing any "license" nor any morse code "skills."

About 49 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to pass, in one sitting, an FCC First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) license (done in an FCC Chicago Field Office).

About 45 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to be given circuit design responsibility by my employer...and successfully continued an entire CAREER in radio-electronics design. Although retired from regular hours, I still do some design work (for money usually).

About 32 years ago I had "mastered" enough engineering knowledge to get affidavit statements acknowledging that from three IEEE members at work so that I could join the IEEE...which is a Professional Association of electrical and electronic engineers world-wide. I am now a Life Member of the IEEE and EARNED it. [IEEE Life Members do not pay "extra" for that title nor do they pay any membership dues to the IEEE] Note the "signature" address at the bottom of this message.

Worse yet, you poor Olde-Tyme "video hero," I've been both a contributor and an Associate Editor for Ham Radio magazine without having any amateur radio license nor even meeting any of the regular staff at HR (my work sold itself). I've been a co-owner of a PLMRS base-mobile station (and its technically responsible signature person with the FCC) as part of a business venture. During the course of my CAREER, I've had responsibility for transmitting on frequencies ranging from

VLF on up through all EM spectrum bands to 25 GHz. Not only that, I once worked a station ON the Moon (none of this "moonbounce" stuff). I've handled more different modulations and more different frequencies than hams are ALLOWED to use, all legally and all responsibly...and NEVER ONCE have I had to know or use any morsemanship "skills."

Brewster Rockit, Space Guy, maybe YOU want to stay regressed at kiddie age and play like a big, strong, macho morseman in the HF sandbox with other AMATEURS. Watch out, mommie may take away your toys if you misbehanve. Us grown-ups will just look on and smile at kiddie actions in a make-believe fantasy of "radio expertise" through ham radio.

Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1191. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-15 23:53:52 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121471632.073451.76800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>No, you little four eyed Queer cocksucker, you just whine like the
>fucking little bitch you are.
>I do know you, queer Toadie, and you like to talk tough over the
>internet, but are a chickenshit in person. Your type is all over the net.

You don't know me that well you little troll I'm NOT a "chickenshit" as
you claim.

1192. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:03:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <hdWdnQ4KUYevw0XfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112555

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
>>>>>band?
>>>>
>>>>80m is a band idjit.
>>>
>>>
>>>altering number shame on you
>>
>>What number did I alter? The post is quoted *exactly* as you wrote it.
>
>
> you altered 60 into 80
>
>
>>Are you truly as dumb as you seem to be or is it an act?
>
>
Go read your original post you idiot, *you* wrote 80m.

1193. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:05:25 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <hdWdnQkKUYcOw0XfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112556

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote
>
>
>>Are you truly as dumb as you seem to be?
>
>
> It's time I stood up for Mark. No, he's >not< as dumb as he seems to be.
>
> He >couldn't< be.......
>
> .....could he?
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
Even dumber.

1194. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:05:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121472334.279797.186340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112552

From: "Dan/W4NTI" <southern-fried Einstein> on Jul 15, 6:36 pm

>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <m...@this.ten> wrote in message
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>>> amateur radios.
>
>> Something you sure can't seem to master.
>
>And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW, and
>ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.

Incorrect. Tsk, tsk, tsk. Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
in the early 60s, reached about 8 WPM, and GAVE UP. I DIDN'T NEED
any of that morseman nonsense for a "recreational hobby." Hadn't
been required to know that for three years at a major military
radio station working on HF, haven't needed it since.

>Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
>snuff".

I've never been into snuff. Tobacco isn't good for you.

Neither have I "always wanted to be a ham." I wanted to be a
professional as well as an amateur hobbyist...and did that...
am still doing it. It paid off.

> And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
>manage to get a ham license.

"Bluster?!?" BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

What you term "bluster" is from your own ignorance about radio
(restricted as it is to amateur practice)...I call it
CONFIDENCE based on EXPERIENCE. IN radio, sweetums, not just
fooling around pretending to be an "expert" morseman long after
the rest of the world gave up on that mode.

The only "blame" YOU get is for that IGNORANCE you display and
the southern-fried bigotry on "radio" you display regularly.

The only "blame" anyone gets from me is due to the same factors
that THEY display, ranging from simple stupidity to outright
sociopathy (displayed by the Tennessee Talibanian).

>He is a pathetic loser.

Poor baby...still doing your trash-mouth schtick are you?

"Loser?" I've had a nice CAREER in radio-electronics and that is still going on - when I want to work (don't have to). No liens on my possessions, money available, time free to do as I wish, and I'm happily married to my high school sweetheart. "Loser" in WHAT? :-)

What is "winning" to you, Dannieboy? Fantasizing you are some kind of "radio pioneer" championing the airwaves with morse? Regressing to none-year-old levels on a school playground? Being as obnoxious as you can be? Weird sort of "winning."

Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1195. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:06:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <hdWdnQgKUYd9w0XfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112557

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>>news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>>>>> amateur radios.
>>>
>>>Something you sure can't seem to master.
>>
>>And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW, and
>>ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>>
>>Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
>>snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
>>manage to get a ham license.
>
>
> that is a lie never seen Lenn blame me for anything of the sort
>
>
>>He is a pathetic loser.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
He meant everyone else that counts.

1196. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:17:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qmYBe.4043$dU3.1230@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112553

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121468489.242331.321620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

It's a delight to see a startup in the high-end kit business.

Some off-the-top comments....

Integrated PC got me thinking "SDR", but must not be, because the embedded PC is an option.

He calls it a "3-night" kit --- I wonder how much of the assembly work is already complete?

$6-grand fully optioned, puts it up there at about three times the price of an FT-100MP Mk V or an Icom 756PRO II, and almost 4 times the price of a Kenwood TS-2000. Only the IC-7800 and FT-9000 are spendier!

Would be nice to see some performance numbers.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1197. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:21:10 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <nKmdnX1MrIva_0XfRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112559

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Very, very weak troll you cast.
>
> Buzziebaby, you just don't understand that this isn't a playground
> outside a public school. Quit acting like a none-year-old.
>
> About 53 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to fully operate
> high-power HF transmitters WITHOUT needing any "license" nor any
> morse code "skills."

So did a lot of other folks in the military, so you want a medal or
something?
>
> About 49 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to pass, in one
> sitting, an FCC First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) license
> (done in an FCC Chicago Field Office).

As did I, so you think that is something special?
>
> About 45 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to be given
> circuit design responsibility by my employer...and successfully
> continued an entire CAREER in radio-electronics design.
> Although retired from regular hours, I still do some design
> work (for money usually).

As did a lot of real engineers, so what?
>
> About 32 years ago I had "mastered" enough engineering
> knowledge to get affidavit statements acknowledging that from
> three IEEE members at work so that I could join the IEEE...which
> is a Professional Association of electrical and electronic
> engineers world-wide. I am now a Life Member of the IEEE and
> EARNED it. [IEEE Life Members do not pay "extra" for that
> title nor do they pay any membership dues to the IEEE] Note
> the "signature" address at the bottom of this message.

You think you are the elite of the IEEE? Think again. You are only one
small member of many.
>
> Worse yet, you poor Olde-Tyme "video hero," I've been both a
> contributor and an Associate Editor for Ham Radio magazine
> without having any amateur radio license nor even meeting any
> of the regular staff at HR (my work sold itself). I've been
> a co-owner of a PLMRS base-mobile station (and its technically

> responsible signature person with the FCC) as part of a
> business venture. During the course of my CAREER, I've had
> responsibility for transmitting on frequencies ranging from
> VLF on up through all EM spectrum bands to 25 GHz. Not only
> that, I once worked a station ON the Moon (none of this
> "moonbounce" stuff). I've handled more different modulations
> and more different frequencies than hams are ALLOWED to use,
> all legally and all responsibly...and NEVER ONCE have I had
> to know or use any morsemanship "skills."
>
> Brewster Rockit, Space Guy, maybe YOU want to stay regressed
> at kiddie age and play like a big, strong, macho morseman in
> the HF sandbox with other AMATEURS. Watch out, mommie may
> take away your toys if you misbehanve. Us grown-ups will just
> look on and smile at kiddie actions in a make-believe fantasy
> of "radio expertise" through ham radio.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Yet with all that bragging, you could never *master* the simple code
required to get a ham license, something that many nine-year-olds have done.

> Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port...

So who's acting like a child on the playground? You know lennieboy, when
you pass on to that big transmitter in the sky, your family can save a
lot of money by just giving you an enema and burying you in a shoe box.

1198. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:22:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <nKmdnXxMrIsM_0XfRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n9ogl wrote:
>>No, you little four eyed Queer cocksucker, you just whine like the
>>fucking little bitch you are.
>>I do know you, queer Toadie, and you like to talk tough over the
>>internet, but are a chickenshit in person. Your type is all over the net.
>
>
> You don't know me that well you little troll I'm NOT a "chickenshit" as
> you claim.
>

That is a matter of opinion.

1199. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 00:22:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <irYBe.4044$dU3.1012@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112554

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> $6-grand fully optioned, puts it up there at about three times the price of an
> FT-100MP Mk V or an Icom 756PRO II, and almost 4 times the price of a Kenwood
> TS-2000. Only the IC-7800 and FT-9000 are spendier!
>
> Would be nice to see some performance numbers.
>

As another "reality check", a fully optioned Orion is about $4-grand vs $6-grand
for this "kit". Now I >>REALLY<< would like to see some performance numbers.
Ed Hare, crank up the lab please!

73, de Hans, K0HB

1200. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 01:14:54 UTC
From: BH <radiobillxx@zzgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <2PidnROdJf5D80XfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112561

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:

<lennieboys usless drivel deleted>
>
> its better than passing no test at all... but passing a test is only part of
> it (as I had pointed out much earlier in this thread). there is training by
> EOC professionals on a volunteer basis, ride along with police/fire, public
> service events, disaster drills (we have the Palo Verde nuclear power plant
> drills here 4x a year) and we get full on emergency work during both the
> summer fire/monsoon seasons and the winter rainy season (where floods can
> result). We here in Arizona are kept very busy (as are those in tornado
> alley, the quake/fire/flood zones of the west coast and hurricane alley of
> the southeast).
>
> imaginations? gee, I think you missed it. I said "accredited agencies" (like
> an EOC, FEMA, red cross, and others). now some disaster relief ops are
> "common sense" but when it comes to handling emergency traffic for backup
> to a failing telco system, training is a must! There is no way around that
> fact of this point.
>
>
>

> c'mon!
>
> Let me give you a few good expamples of what I work with here in Arizona:
> 1. a "stay at home ham" with a complete shack and a backup 10kw UPS can
> still be operating even when power is out to significant portions of the
> city (it has happened many times here in Arizona)
> 2. a mobile hamshack with both a 10 kw UPS and a little honda ec-3500
> portable generator can setup shop anywhere (prime examples of this are
> field day setups, the mobile command center for the MCSO and the last
> firebase operations facility for the cave creek complex fire.
> 3. any ham with more than 2 HT's and plenty of spare batteries to do the
> job.
>
> in all these, training is a must (and most here in the phoenix area have
> varying degrees of that training.
>
> Just for your edification, we have summer monsoon storms here that can spark
> fires (seen the news lately), we carry on with 40 public service events
> during the course of the year, have 4 major disaster drills and deal with
> winter floods and summer flash floods every year. the only places busier
> than us are those with a tornado in progress or the hurricane alley states.
>

>
> Arizona (the state I live in), we had, over the last 5 years: 48 major fires
> (A good example). on the last fire (cave creek-complex), we had 300 hams
> working at various points (some in the field, others at firebase command
> and a some at the EOC for the state (located in phoenix)). The average time
> spent on site for each ham was 12 out of every 24 hours (which means we had
> around the clock coverage). A lot of these hams have other emergency
> service qualifications as well (a large p[ortion of them also work in
> various capacities for the Sheriff's Dept as either volunteers or paid
> employees, others in the private sector and a few (like me) who have time
> on their hands due to either retirement or disability. That was just 1
> fire. we had several others burning across the state that also had hams
> involved, PLUS there were 3 public service events concurrent to this that
> had a fair number of hams working.
>
> Now, I don't know how many hams live here in the state, but over the last 2
> months, we had upwards of 3100 hams working any number of these events *in
> total*. I would have to ask the ARRL Liason how many hams are resident in
> the state. However, as a good guess, we probably had a 10% turnout among
> the ham community. Thats just the state of Arizona and only the last 2
> months. these are HARD FACTS that can be researched by you (and I'll just
> bet you'll hem and haw and claim its all fluff and never bother to look it
> up for yourself just like you always have <you and some others>!).

>
> yeah yeah yeah..... still, we hams make *better* use of our gear than any
> CB operator can, because we are more organized and have a system in place
> to deal with such things. we hams are a valuable asset to FEMA, NTIA,
> Various depts of the military, etc. you can't say that about CB no matter
> how hard you try.
>

But Eric, all that means absolutly nothing because you don't belong to
the IEEE (at least in lennieboy's eyes).

1201. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 01:19:18 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <rgZBe.45113$up5.39903@lakeread02>
Source record: 112558

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Fri 15 Jul 2005 00:21
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>>>Once again, why is it irrelevant?
>>>>
>>>> I see you snipped the answer to that one. read it again.
>>>>
>>>> better yet, I'll explain in greater detail:
>>>> 1. of the 20 million odd cb radios floating around in the US and other
>>>> places the US controlls: 35% of them would not meet type acceptance
>>>> (due to illegal modification), 40% go unused (due to lack of interest,
>>>> noise, storage or breakdown). The remainder are often in the hands of
>>>> either children or adults who have virtually no training in their
>>>> proper use.
>
> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
> amateur radios. [ham radio "in the hands of children" etc.]

AND, if you';; note, they have DEMONSTRATED that they are competant radio ops (even at such a young age. note though, these are the exceptions, not the general rule (in so far as cb ops are concerned). Usually in such cases where a child op is involved in ham radio, there is always an adult not far away to offer assistance should things get out of hand. find that on cb? NO!

>
> Those "statistics" are of dubious value since NO source is
> referenced.

The source itself *is* the CB band (what with all the ops hawking their wares, advertising "multi-pill amps", "broadbanded radios" and the like. take a good look at whats advertised in such places as the local CB ships, some of the radio mail order houses and the like).

>
>>>> that leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question
>>>> as to that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily
>>>> basis?
>

> Drive the highways of the USA sometime and you will find out...:-)

beem there, done that. in any local area (range under 50 miles), you might hear 3-500 conversations across the band (on a busy day) and when the skip is in, you can't tell because of all the noise (hetrodynes, etc).

>
>>>> How many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least
>>>> a good knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use?
>
> Define this "good knowledge."

Studied, read, applied to their radio operations, monthly drills, etc.

>
> Are you going to say that passing a federal test last year, a few
> years ago, or even many years ago constitutes "good knowledge of
> the CURRENT regulations of amateur radio?

its better than passing no test at all... but passing a test is only part of it (as I had pointed out much earlier in this thread). there is training by EOC professionals on a volunteer basis, ride along with police/fire, public service events, disaster drills (we have the Palo Verde nuclear power plant drills here 4x a year) and we get full on emergency work during both the summer fire/monsoon seasons and the winter rainy season (where floods can result). We here in Arizona are kept very busy (as are those in tornado alley, the quake/fire/flood zones of the west coast and hurricane alley of the southeast).

>
>>>> how
>>>> many of those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster
>>>> relief by accredited agencies?
>
> So, SHOW YOUR WORK in regards to how many licensed amateurs are
> "actually trained in some disaster reflief" by anyone but their
> own imagination?

imaginations? gee, I think you missed it. I said "accredited agencies" (like an EOC, FEMA, red cross, and others). now some disaster relief ops are "common sense" but when it comes to handling emergency traffic for backup to a failing telco system, training is a must! There is no way around that fact of this point.

>
> Sorry, but all those "news" stories on the ARRL website don't
> prove much other than the league is very busy promoting itself
> first, amateur radio second.

arrl wasn't the omly source. try cnn, fox, abc, cbs, nbc, api, reuters, etc..... what about all those sources (the malaysian tsunami was an excellent example of hams in action (and not a single cb operator was ever mentioned).

>
> Now, if there were so "many" amateur radio operators "actually
> trained in some disaster relief," WHY would the ARRL be offering
> those "training classes" in same?

because, training requires being refreshed if one doesn't use it often.
also, new techniques and new ideas are also brought forth in such classes.
man, you just don't think before asking questions, do you?

>
>>>> why don't you give me some really good hard data to work with?
>>>>
>>>> whats your real problem with ham radio?
>>>
>>> The odd thing is that while we've gotten a breakdown on the number of
>>> Ham radio operators as compared to the population of the US (as if every
>>> person in the US is going to have traffic for every Ham op) we now go in
>>> complete reverse - miraculously every CB rig is going to be pressed into
>>> service in time of need. 20 million radios ready to save life and
>>> property.
>>>
>>> This whole part of the argument is weird and borders on irrelevant.
>
> Quite true! :-)
>
> Especially the part where - as INPLIED - that amateur radio
> operators are (miraculously) ALL capable of actual emergency
> communications and ready (at a moment's notice) to "save lives
> and property."

you are putting words in the mouth that never stated them. "miraculously"?
c'mon!

Let me give you a few good expamples of what I work with here in Arizona:
1. a "stay at home ham" with a complete shack and a backup 10kw UPS can
still be operating even when power is out to significant portions of the
city (it has happened many times here in Arizona)
2. a mobile hamshack with both a 10 kw UPS and a little honda ec-3500
portable generator can setup shop anywhere (prime examples of this are
field day setups, the mobile command center for the MCSO and the last
firebase operations facility for the cave creek complex fire.
3. any ham with more than 2 HT's and plenty of spare batteries to do the
job.

in all these, training is a must (and most here in the phoenix area have
varying degrees of that training.

Just for your edification, we have summer monsoon storms here that can spark
fires (seen the news lately), we carry on with 40 public service events
during the course of the year, have 4 major disaster drills and deal with
winter floods and summer flash floods every year. the only places busier
than us are those with a tornado in progress or the hurricane alley states.

>
> All of that intimation is very nice, warm, and fuzzy self-promotion
> for what is basically a HOBBY pursuit, a recreation, something done
> by individuals for their own pleasure. Tall Tales of derring-do
> (and usually of daring doo-doo).

uh? "intimation"? where the hell did that come from?

>
>>> For an interesting coomparison, why don't we come up with the ratio of
>>> say EMT's to the general population. Yet somehow they do their jobs.
>>
>>I know, but that is his "obtuse point". I doesn't matter the actual number
>>of trained operators, just the number of radio sets.
>
> Okay, now YOU show the rest of us how many radio amateurs are
> "trained" in emergency communications.

come off it. that point hashed and rehashed already. go back and read it.

>
> Please, NO more tall tales of derring-doo-doo as federally-
> authorized radio AMATEURS, implied or otherwise. Be specific.

what tall tails? what daring-do? what implications? C'mon man, you accused,
now back it up!

>
> When push comes to shove, you CANNOT show those numbers of radio
> amateurs. You are bluffing your way through this non-argument.

I wasn't the one that started this F.U.D campaigh.... but for numbers?

Arizona (the state I live in), we had, over the last 5 years: 48 major fires
(A good example). on the last fire (cave creek-complex), we had 300 hams
working at various points (some in the field, others at firebase command
and a some at the EOC for the state (located in phoenix)). The average time
spent on site for each ham was 12 out of every 24 hours (which means we had
around the clock coverage). A lot of these hams have other emergency
service qualifications as well (a large p[ortion of them also work in
various capacities for the Sheriff's Dept as either volunteers or paid
employees, others in the private sector and a few (like me) who have time
on their hands due to either retirement or disability. That was just 1
fire. we had several others burning across the state that also had hams
involved, PLUS there were 3 public service events concurrent to this that
had a fair number of hams working.

Now, I don't know how many hams live here in the state, but over the last 2
months, we had upwards of 3100 hams working any number of these events *in
total*. I would have to ask the ARRL Liason how many hams are resident in
the state. However, as a good guess, we probably had a 10% turnout among
the ham community. Thats just the state of Arizona and only the last 2
months. these are HARD FACTS that can be researched by you (and I'll just
bet you'll hem and haw and claim its all fluff and never bother to look it

up for yourself just like you always have <you and some others>!).

>
> All that is left is an estimation of the various radios used in
> the various radio services in the USA...by the Electronic
> Industries Association, the Census Bureau, Department of the
> Interior, Department of Transportaion, NTIA, etc., etc.

yeah yeah yeah..... still, we hams make *better* use of our gear than any CB operator can, because we are more organized and have a system in place to deal with such things. we hams are a valuable asset to FEMA, NTIA, Various depts of the military, etc. you can't say that about CB no matter how hard you try.

>
> Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
> make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal
> authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways
> and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB
> sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or
> much of anything else for "statistics."

does experience with "citizens band" count? how about 15 years worth (6 years concurrently being a ham too) and at least 12 years AS a ham?

>
> Neither can you "prove" that licensed amateur radio operators
> are ALL or even partly "trained in emergency communications" or
> are automatically some kind of "life savers." A "Life Saver"
> is a name of a candy, a sucker without a stick. Try not to
> schtick us as if we were suckers.

I can prove that at least *SOME* of them are. can you even prove that ANY cb operators are trained by professionals (such as those at FEMA, any EOC, NTIA, etc)?

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

are we trying to impress? looks like braggin to me.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

1202. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 03:10:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EU_Be.5639$BK1.5638@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112562

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
>>>>>band?
>>>>
>>>>80m is a band idjit.
>>>
>>>
>>>altering number shame on you
>>
>>What number did I alter? The post is quoted *exactly* as you wrote it.
>
>
> you altered 60 into 80

Sheesh, Mark! Even *you* can't read what you write.

Dave K8MN

1203. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 03:14:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YX_Be.5644$BK1.4368@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112563

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:

>>At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. I still do.
>
>
> you realy can't understand a typo.

Mark, your posts are filled with typos, horribly mangled grammar and
senseless gibberish.

> I think not

I'll buy that.

Dave K8MN

1204. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 04:28:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ijp5g2i.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 112565

On 15 Jul 2005 03:14:21 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>I recall fondly a stunt I saw years ago at Moffett AFB in California
>during a show there.

Moffitt (note spelling - everyone gets it wrong) was never an Air Force Base. It was a Naval Air Station (NAS Moffitt Field) until it was recommissioned as a NASA facility (Moffitt Field Federal Airport, IIRC) NASA's Ames Research Center and Dryden Flight Test Facility and others are there and the Navy is gone with the exception of special flights.

NASA still has their big wind tunned there. An engineering school classmate of mine worked for them for 30 years, retired, and came back as a contract employee for another 20......our taxpayers' money at work.

At the south end of the field was a large building which we affectionateley dubbed "The Blue Cube". It was offically named Onizuka Air Force Base after the Challenger disaster. For a long time it was the home of the National Reconnaissance Office (What office? What cube? What Building?) but they moved the operation elsewhere and caused a big layoff at Lockheed which ran it. I did read/hear that OAFB was decommissioned recently.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1205. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 04:36:58 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ijp5tmj.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 112564

On Fri, 15 Jul 2005 15:44:01 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

>Thats about how high they would be when they came over our house some
>200 miles west of Ft. Worth and the windows would rattle. It was an
>unmistakeable sound.

Six a'turnin' and four a'burnin.....

A good friend of mine who died too young was an engine mechanic on
the '36 in the 50s, which prepared him for his full-time hobby of
rebuilding the two Jaguar engines that he had - one was in his
wife's "Saloon Car" and the other one was up on the hoist. Then
they would switch. How many folks do YOU know who have a chain
hoist and a full engine rebuilding shop in their 2-car garage?

No wonder I could never interest him in ham radio.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1206. No stinking badges!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 05:40:42 UTC
From: dude <scorpiox@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20050716054042.28041.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 112566

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

We don' gotta show ya naa stinkin' badjees!

Read my IP and weep you sissy-girly-men!

You guys that have your noses shoved so far up the anuses of posters here, to the point it
tickles, anaylze this until your cajones fall off.

You bunch of estrogen empowered silly old men playing radio should be ashamed to have your
skirts blown up in the wind you are passing for speech.

Signed,
Scorpiox

~~~
This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the message.
It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
Date: Sat Jul 16 05:40:14 2005 GMT
From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3ia
Charset: noconv

iQEVAwUBQtid1U5NDhYLYPHNAQEQFAf9HC6+acwaO8ULhXZ+dfci8iNIqpJYDwbB
d06pemS2ivcyeAOotgktiYTjXV0EyoaboxOSJWsiIpGioGuNj4NsA8LqwJH1dEyM
g5cTDi0JcjgjUbQBPUM6mDnXBp7dhUeQF5IUB/7MkQFHO5O0aGtkbqJd++3mASZ/
b4PTY1swoJNLw4loAz/4hvX7fsfcWcjo6/LnLpcFLKXW2xUHt7n2eCr8vlBY+frt
JRkczmS2i/fSoMre+B5cgrV1g8GSLCIQDXnJVqAs26+PWnPzfZzt+RfYkwMA5KT1
21DDtvEVSHh2k6AeJjtoO6JomxMHD1asSRuKEcOPhmAg4SyeZAX+4A==
=Y4Rm
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1207. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 05:52:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121493177.866951.217410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112567

> Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does...(SNIP)
> Sure you can.

How, and we are not about here were talking about my blog.

> If you're not willing to take steps to curb the actions that cause
>your problems, they will always be able to take advantage of you.

Stebie, why you were off gay bashing on other threads I was on here
nicely talking to people until that dickhead annoymous person and Danny
started running their mouths. So you see dickhead, I not the cause of
it all. That asshole annoymous person was threatening me and that
dickhead Dan was running his redneck mouth
Todd N9OGL

1208. Re: No stinking badges!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 06:18:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KE1Ce.131$mC.6038569@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112568

Is it dude? Or scorpiox? Or, G.W.B.? You can fool some of the people
here, not me. You didn't think I was still here, did you?
<grin>

John

"dude" <scorpiox@nym.alias.net> wrote in message
news:20050716054042.28041.qmail@nym.alias.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>
> We don' gotta show ya naa stinkin' badjees!
>
> Read my IP and weep you sissy-girly-men!
>
> You guys that have your noses shoved so far up the anuses of posters
> here, to the point it tickles, anaylze this until your cajones fall
> off.
>
> You bunch of estrogen empowered silly old men playing radio should
> be ashamed to have your skirts blown up in the wind you are passing
> for speech.
>
>
> Signed,
> Scorpiox
>
> ~~~
> This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the
> message.
> It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
> Date: Sat Jul 16 05:40:14 2005 GMT
> From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: 2.6.3ia
> Charset: noconv
>
> iQEVAwUBQtid1U5NDhYLYPHNAQEQFAf9HC6+acwaO8ULhXZ+dfci8iNIqpJYDwbB
> d06pemS2ivcyeAOotgktiYTjXV0EyoaboxOSJWsiIpGioGuNj4NsA8LqwJH1dEyM
> g5cTDi0JcjgjUbQBPUM6mDnXBp7dhUeQF5IUB/7MkQFHO5O0aGtkbqJd++3mASZ/
> b4PTY1swoJNLw4loAz/4hvX7fsfcWcjo6/LnLpcFLKXW2xUHt7n2eCr8vlBY+frt
> JRkczmS2i/fSoMre+B5cgrV1g8GSLCIQDXnJVqAs26+PWnPzfZzt+RfYkwMA5KT1
> 21DDtvEVSHh2k6AeJjtoO6JomxMHD1asSRuKEcOPhmAg4SyeZAX+4A==
> =Y4Rm
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

1209. Re: No stinking badges!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 06:45:29 UTC
From: Folger <NotMe@NoFool>
Message-ID: <dbaacd$7k4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112569

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:KE1Ce.131$mC.6038569@news.sisna.com...
Is it dude? Or scorpiox? Or, G.W.B.? You can fool some of the people
here, not me. You didn't think I was still here, did you?
<grin>

John

Good going. Just goes to show you, "John" that you aren't the every day,
typical fool.
You are an exception...you are an imcomperable fool as compared to 'normal'
folks.

<grin>

1210. Re: No stinking badges!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 06:56:54 UTC
From: Folger <NotMe@NoFool>
Message-ID: <dbab1pagh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112570

"dude" <scorpiox@nym.alias.net> wrote in message
news:20050716054042.28041.qmail@nym.alias.net...
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

We don' gotta show ya naa stinkin' badjees!

Read my IP and weep you sissy-girly-men!

You guys that have your noses shoved so far up the anuses of posters here,
to the point it tickles, anaylze this until your cajones fall off.

You bunch of estrogen empowered silly old men playing radio should be
ashamed to have your skirts blown up in the wind you are passing for speech.

Signed,
Scorpiox

.....
.....

AW, give the Oldsters a break, willya? They are much too busy doddering on
about their operations, comparing who has more stents than the other, and
whose colon produces more gas.

And as for you? Print your complaints out on eight and a half by eleven
paper and lay them before my dog.
Your comments will be dealt with accordingly.

1211. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 08:29:20 UTC
From: Tom <NoCall@anonHam>
Message-ID: <dbagf3$1nj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112571

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:YX_Be.5644$BK1.4368@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:

>>At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. I still do.
>
>
> you realy can't understand a typo.

Mark, your posts are filled with typos, horribly mangled grammar and
senseless gibberish.

> I think not

I'll buy that.

Dave K8MN

David. Pointing out the errors of misguided and undereducated persons is
well meaning.
However, stupid is as stupid does. You cannot change that, try as you may.

1212. Secwet Woger outs his MSN account again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 09:46:12 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <dbal14$k4m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112572
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Jul 2005 11:53:38 -0700, olivermartin15170@yahoo.com <Secwet Woger> wrote:
: Date: 15 Jul 2005 11:53:38 -0700
: Organization: http://groups.google.com
: NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.97.196
: X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
: NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:53:44 +0000 (UTC)
[the rest is unimportant]

From whois 65.54.97.196:

OrgName: Microsoft Corp
OrgID: MSFT
Address: One Microsoft Way
City: Redmond
StateProv: WA
PostalCode: 98052
Country: US

NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK

From DNS lookup:

196.97.54.65.in-addr.arpa domain name pointer waproxya31.msn.com

Which means that Woger has to be on an MSN or WebTV account, because
that proxy server is only used by Microsoft for subscriber net access.

And to think that Woger actually *lied* about not having an MSN
account. I'm shocked. (not)

1213. Spellcheckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 10:42:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121510529.140926.14880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112573

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> >>At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. > >>I still do.

> > you realy can't understand a typo.

> Mark, your posts are filled with typos, horribly mangled
> grammar and senseless gibberish.
>
> > I think not
>
> I'll buy that.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave and Hans,

A reminder in case you've forgotten....

Mark understands what he's trying to say. He therefore thinks
that if anyone else doesn't understand him, it's *their*
fault, not his. He's said as much over the years.

Way back in 1999, it was pointed out to Mark that he could turn on the
spellchecker in his posting software and eliminate a lot
of confusion and effort on the part of those who read his posts.
He replied that the use of a spellchecker slowed him down too
much.

IOW, he expects you exert whatever time and effort it takes to
figure out what he's saying, rather than having *him* write it
clearly in the first place.

Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a
spellchecker. But he won't.

That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1214. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 10:53:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121511191.646149.135770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112574

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 15 Jul 2005 03:14:21 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >I recall fondly a stunt I saw years ago at Moffett AFB in
> >California during a show there.
>
> Moffitt (note spelling - everyone gets it wrong)

Well, not *everyone*.

See:

http://www.moffettfieldmuseum.org/index.html

> was never an Air Force Base.

Yep, I was mistaken about that. It was used by the AAF during WW2,
but that was before the Air Force existed as a separate branch of
the US military.

In fact the Navy turned the place over to the Army, then got it back.

> It was a Naval Air Station (NAS Moffitt Field) until it
> was recommissioned as a NASA facility (Moffitt Field Federal
> Airport, IIRC) NASA's Ames Research Center and Dryden Flight > Test
> Facility and others are there and the Navy is gone with the
> exception of special flights.
>
> NASA still has their big wind tunned there. An engineering > school
> classmate of mine worked for them for 30 years, retired, and > came
> back as a contract employee for another 20......our
> taxpayers' money at work.

Yup.
>
> At the south end of the field was a large building which we
> affectionateley dubbed "The Blue Cube". It was offically
> named
> Onizuka Air Force Base after the Challenger disaster.
> For a long
> time it was the home of the National Reconnaissance Office
> (What
> office? What cube? What Building?) but they moved the
> operation
> elsewhere and caused a big layoff at Lockheed which ran it.
> I did read/hear that OAFB was decommissioned recently.
>

> --

Thanks for the info, Phil. The above website has a lot of history on
it.

> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

73 de Jim, N2EY

1215. Re: Looking for new "owner" of the previously announced Yahoo! Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 11:45:30 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121514330.554591.279020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n9ogl wrote:
> >No, you little four eyed Queer cocksucker, you just whine like the
> >fucking little bitch you are.
> >I do know you, queer Toadie, and you like to talk tough over the
> >internet, but are a chickenshit in person. Your type is all over the net.
>
> You don't know me that well you little troll I'm NOT a "chickenshit" as
> you claim.

Yes, it's easy to see what you are, Toadie.

1216. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 11:52:10 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121514730.927636.64380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112576

N9OGL wrote:
> > Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does...(SNIP)
> > Sure you can.
>
> How, and we are not about here were talking about my blog.
>
> > If you're not willing to take steps to curb the actions that cause
> >your problems, they will always be able to take advantage of you.
>
> Stebie, why you were off gay bashing on other threads I was on here
> nicely talking to people until that dickhead annoymous person and Danny
> started running their mouths. So you see dickhead, I not the cause of
> it all. That asshole annoymous person was threatening me and that
> dickhead Dan was running his redneck mouth
> Todd N9OGL

The way you seem to like throwing around the word "dickhead" reinforces
the point that you are a queer, Toadie. And an uneducated one, at that.

1217. Re: Secwet Woger outs his MSN account again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 11:54:39 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121514879.199424.264120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112577

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On 15 Jul 2005 11:53:38 -0700, olivermartin15...@yahoo.com <Secwet Woger> wrote:
> : Date: 15 Jul 2005 11:53:38 -0700
> : Organization: http://groups.google.com
> : NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.97.196
> : X-Complaints-To: groups-ab...@google.com
> : NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:53:44 +0000 (UTC)
> [the rest is unimportant]

> From whois 65.54.97.196:

> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
> OrgID: MSFT
> Address: One Microsoft Way
> City: Redmond
> StateProv: WA
> PostalCode: 98052
> Country: US

> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK

> From DNS lookup:

> 196.97.54.65.in-addr.arpa domain name pointer waproxya31.msn.com

> Which means that Woger has to be on an MSN or WebTV account, because
> that proxy server is only used by Microsoft for subscriber net access.

Sure it is, sure it is. Nice to see that you keep so much track of
everybody's posts, Snotty.

> And to think that Woger actually *lied* about not having an MSN
> account. I'm shocked. (not)

But *you* claimed he was kicked off of MSN. Why is it I'm not surprised

that you are wrong again and sees Roger behind every post. Go take a
spin on your little Honda, Snotty.

1218. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 12:06:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121515612.389389.105430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112578

an/W4NTI wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
> >> amateur radios.
> >
> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>
> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW, and
> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>
> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
> manage to get a ham license.
>
> He is a pathetic loser.

Well, Dan, that may be how it seems to you, but here's another
viewpoint.

There's a certain kind of person for whom "book learning" comes
easily, but for whom practical skills take a lot of effort. You've
probably run into people like that in your life.

IOW, they may be able to quickly and easily learn and tell you
all about baseball, but learning to actually throw/hit/catch
one requires a *lot* of practice and effort on their part. They
can learn to do those skills, it just takes a lot more effort
than they're used to putting out to learn "book knowledge".

Such folks often become highly critical of activities that
involve skills they find hard to learn. The classic case is
the kid who makes fun of sports as being pointless, and
athletes as being stupid, overrated, etc.

Technology-related activities are a magnet for such folks,
because a lot of technology involves replacing human skill
and effort with machines, and they can symbolically "win"
by pointing out how much "better" machines can do something.
For example, it's possible to build a pitching machine that
can throw a baseball at speeds no human can achieve,
and the pitching machine can do it far longer than any
human pitcher. So, they argue, there's no reason to value
the skill and achievement of someone who can throw a
fastball, say, 100 mph, because a machine can do that and a lot more.

The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours and some minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone can beat that record if they're allowed to use a car....

Of course the person who thinks that way has missed the entire point.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1219. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 12:42:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121517749.441591.162560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112579

N9OGL wrote:

> > Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does...(SNIP)
> > Sure you can.
>
> How, and we are not about here were talking about my blog.
>
> > If you're not willing to take steps to curb the actions that cause
> >your problems, they will always be able to take advantage of you.
>
> Stebie, why you were off gay bashing on other threads I was on here
> nicely talking to people until that ####head annoymous person and Danny
> started running their mouths.

Sounds to me like YOU have a problem, Toiddie. And I wasn't gay bashing.

> So you see ####head, I not the cause of
> it all.

Sure you are.

> That ###hole annoymous person was threatening me and that
> ####head Dan was running his redneck mouth

Do you think it has anything to do with your profanities and immature behaviour? Or is it everyone else's fault?

Steve, K4YZ

1220. Re: a question for Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 12:47:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121518056.509764.176870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112580

an_old_friend wrote:
> why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?

Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.

> Is it ok to lie in private?

Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1221. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 12:55:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121518556.630401.213750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112582

KØHB wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote
> >
> > $6-grand fully optioned, puts it up there at about three times the price of an
> > FT-100MP Mk V or an Icom 756PRO II, and almost 4 times the price of a Kenwood
> > TS-2000. Only the IC-7800 and FT-9000 are spendier!
> >
> > Would be nice to see some performance numbers.
> >
>
> As another "reality check", a fully optioned Orion is about $4-grand vs $6-grand
> for this "kit". Now I >>REALLY<< would like to see some performance numbers.
> Ed Hare, crank up the lab please!

Nice guy that Hare but he's a QRPer fer cripes sake. Ship one of the
things to Sherwood and get the *real* poop.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1222. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 12:56:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121518561.177363.327260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112581

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> nice looking radio but did you catch the price starting at 3000 for a
> kit

You ain't kidding!

That must be why they saved that for the very last paragraph! I
was getting ready to break out the checkbook until I caught that!

I'll take my chances on Gigaparts Sweepstakes!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1223. Re: a question for Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:03:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121519019.584737.143240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112583

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?
>
> Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.

realy then why do youlie in public
>
> > Is it ok to lie in private?
>
> Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!

answer the question
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1224. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:05:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121519143.699507.207190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112585

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > > Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does...(SNIP)
> > > Sure you can.
> >
> > How, and we are not about here were talking about my blog.
> >
> > > If you're not willing to take steps to curb the actions that cause
> > >your problems, they will always be able to take advantage of you.
> >
> > Stebie, why you were off gay bashing on other threads I was on here
> > nicely talking to people until that ####head annoymous person and Danny
> > started running their mouths.
>
> Sounds to me like YOU have a problem, Toiddie. And I wasn't gay
> bashing.

more lies Stevies

Although you were not just gay bashing you have been doing that
>
> > So you see ####head, I not the cause of
> > it all.
>
> Sure you are.
>
> > That ###hole annoymous person was threatening me and that
> > ####head Dan was running his redneck mouth
>
> Do you think it has anything to do with your profanities and
> immature behaviour? Or is it everyone else's fault?

grow up Stevie

No adult would be so obsessive about profanities

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1225. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:07:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121519223.236414.104780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112584

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > nice looking radio but did you catch the price starting at 3000 for a
> > kit
>
> You ain't kidding!
>
> That must be why they saved that for the very last paragraph! I
> was getting ready to break out the checkbook until I caught that!

indeed for Stevie and I are complete agreement

>
> I'll take my chances on Gigaparts Sweepstakes!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1226. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:08:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121519308.483721.257930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112586

simple lie sure you are not stevie

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a
> >>>>>band?
> >>>>
> >>>>80m is a band idjit.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>altering number shame on you
> >>
> >>What number did I alter? The post is quoted *exactly* as you wrote it.
> >
> >
> > you altered 60 into 80
>
> Sheesh, Mark! Even *you* can't read what you write.
>
> Dave K8MN

1227. Re: And yet another kit (much cheaper LOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:11:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121519492.649662.167230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112587

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121468489.242331.321620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

> Hello, Jim

Greetings!
>
> Well, how about a kit in the $100.00 range .....
> with opposite sideband
> rejection in excess of 50 dB.
> Even a few hundred Hz away from the carrier?
> Direct conversion. With selectable sideband. Hmmmm ....
>
> Of course, it is a receiver. They do make an exciter.
> But the thought of a
> phasing direct conversion receiver or phasing transmitter
> with sideband
> suppression of that order is interesting. Especially since the > lower audio
> frequencies will also be highly suppressed.

Yep. Not a new idea, there was such a receiver in QST way back in the
early 1970s.

The problem is that it's not a $100 kit. You have:

- the basic R2 board
- the DSP board
- a VFO (probably DDS to generate the I and Q signals)
- the T2 board
- a housing and control system for the whole setup

Each of those will run you $100 or so if made from new parts.

And what you get is a QRP monobander. More bands and more power
will add considerably to the cost.

And pretty soon you wind up with something that costs as much
as an Elecraft K2/100.
>
> I've got the QSTs referenced. All in the 1990s. Of course,
> they've
> replaced the analog audio quadrature generation with DSP to
> obtain the
> increase from 40 to 50+ dB suppression of the unwanted sideband.
>

> Here is a URL:
> http://www.wwc.edu/~frohro/R2_DSP/R2-DSP.html
>
> Nothing like DSP.
>
50 dB unwanted sideband rejection on receive isn't *that* great,
though. The Ancient Ones did better a half-century or more ago.
But it *is* a neat rig.

N7VE's Tayloe Mixer was featured in a Red Hot Radio 30 meter CW
transceiver. But it's not available now, last I looked.

OTOH, a lot of rig can be built for $100 if you use other than new
parts from sources like hamfests:

http://hometown.aol.com/n2ey/myhomepage/index.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

1228. Re: Steve claims to be reducing the volume of his posting..And he is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:14:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121519661.042706.312280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112588

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > just what are saying you did
> > > >
> > > > How can we "discuss" anything, Markie, when you are still refusing
> > > > to get help for your alleged dyslexia?
> > >
> > > Stevie I don't need help, I could use for you cut down on the sheer
> > > volume that would help
> >
> > I do cut down on the volume.
>
> steveie you are not cutting the volume you are incresing it

Nope. You need to go back and compare, Markie. Print some pages
off and comatre

> > You've not been paying attention.
>
> I have indeed I have been giving you more attention than you deserve,
> practicing my skills at dealing with narrowminded jerks (one of the big
> reason for coming to RRAP these days)
>
> but you haven't been saying much anything

Sure I have.

Big snip of Mark's excuses for "not finding" things...Maybe if we
can get Google to do it in crayon?

> >
> > What an idiot.
>
> another stevie distorion

No distortion. Pretty brief and concise, I thought.

> > > How many do I have to say that before you understand
> >
> > So you're admitting you're computer illiterate too...?!?!
>

> nope

Sure seems like you are!

> > Sheesh. Are there no bounds to your limitations...?!?!
> >
> > (Chew on that Mobius Loop for a while!)
>
> Mobius has nothing do with it
>
> you tell me to look for something without giving me enough to id it in
> the first place

If you knew HOW to do a search, you'd find it. Ask Brain.

> > Markie, it's in the archives...Just like the rules you couldn't
> > find about the 60 meter allocations "weren't" in Part 97.
>
> They are not it a text put out by the FCC if you follow one set of link
> Hans then points out a Defferent links that do

Hans found it.

I found it.

What was your problem?

> > > By the Same Stanard the existance of MY callsign proves I know how the
> > > NTS works, since I don't your logic seem flawed, at best
> >
> > No, it doesn't.
>
> yes it does

Nope. It just means you have a license.

MARS >>REQUIRES<< participation in a training period followed by
regular participation.

> > Because it's months and months of posts, and I'm not going to
> > repeat it all here when you can spend some of your daylight hours
> > reading it for yourself.
>
> no it would be simple
>
> and it matters when I read your post? what are they typed with?

Unlike your posts, I use my fingers. Yours appear to be done in
QLF mode.

> > > yes lost in your bull#####
> >
> > Still can't communicate without the profanity, eh?
>

> was geting anywhere without so decided to try using it no better result
> no worse either
>
> and it is vulgarity not profanity

It is profanity.

> > YOU don't keep track of what's being said. I can't help that.
>
> you keep changing your stories
>
> worse than John Kerry

No I don't.

> > > I just did not bother
> >
> > Lazy punk...Just like I said.
>
> right I am too lazy to dance your dance, do your work, carry your
> freight

No.

You're just a lazy punk.

> > You're right...Nothing you've said is a valid representation of
> > history.
>
> more stevie distrtion I have accurately describe FDR's terms in office

No you didn't.

> > Then WHY did you lie in the first place?
>
> did not lie

Sure you did.

> > > > > > > From their statement one may infer that they recieve net benifit from
> > > > > > > ARES but not the degree of that benifit
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Completely incoherent, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > not realy just expressing a type of thought you can't follow
> > > >
> > > > You mean the illogical type? No kidding.
> > >
> > > no a type of logic that escapes you
> >
> > It's not "logic" of ANY kind, other than chaotic.
>
> progress boyo

No progress, Wusso.

> > > > > > Try again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope
> > > > >
> > > > > Lazy punk.
> > > >
> > > narrowminded fool
> >
> > Yes, you are. I stand corrected.
>
> you are the narrow minded fool stevie

Nope.

> so stuck in a box that you can't even see it

Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > > > You're just too lazy to spend the time.
> > > >
> > > I don't have a supply of time to chase down something you will not make
> > > clear what you claim it will result in
> >
> > In other words: You're just too lazy to spend the time.
>
> No you are too lazy to do your work

No, You're just too lazy to spend the time.

> > > right at least I have a life
> >
> > Uh huh..riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...Sacrificing chickens and using
> > your "Hook On Phonics" kit to hold your beer and TV Dinner.
>
> more pagan bashing, and bile

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

1229. Re: Spellcheckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:17:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121519844.196155.317350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112589

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > >>At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. > >>I still do.
>
> > > you realy can't understand a typo.
>
> > Mark, your posts are filled with typos, horribly mangled
> > grammar and senseless gibberish.
> >
> > > I think not
> >
> > I'll buy that.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave and Hans,
>
> A reminder in case you've forgotten....
>
> Mark understands what he's trying to say. He therefore thinks
> that if anyone else doesn't understand him, it's *their*
> fault, not his. He's said as much over the years.

No I don't and have not but get allong

>
> Way back in 1999, it was pointed out to Mark that he could turn on the
> spellchecker in his posting software and eliminate a lot

there was never spell checking on my software then nor is there now

> of confusion and effort on the part of those who read his posts.
> He replied that the use of a spellchecker slowed him down too
> much.

it would require I use change out each post twice still would

>
> IOW, he expects you exert whatever time and effort it takes to
> figure out what he's saying, rather than having *him* write it
> clearly in the first place.

Nope I expect youall (to use the southernism) to either figure it out
or ignore it as you will it is inaccurate to state I expect Stevie or

yourself to do any work at all. I simply (try) provide content folks
may find interesting if they care to bother.

I also note there has always seemed to relaionship between wether or
not someone agrees with me and thier ability to read my stuff
accordingly Jim if you don't want to bother the don't.

>
> Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
> Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a
> spellchecker. But he won't.

no spelling checker in google posting which is my current access, so
your statement is n't true any more that their is any need for spell
chekcing

but you are right i won't so deal with it or not as YOU choose nobody
making try to read it

Although I do wonder how such mighty Morse men are so easliy stumped by
a typo or 5. It must happen on air with morse to you. Other are honest
enough to admit that (none of them procoders on RRAP though)
>
> That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.

as is your right
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1230. Once Again, Markie Misses the Mark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:46:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121521589.238079.139640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112590

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >
> > > >
> > > you altered 60 into 80
> > >
> >
> > No, he did not. That was a direct verbatim quote from your first "Stevie ####"
> > post to K4YZ.
>
> really how many post ago was that hard to keep track it looks like a
> more recent one

It was your post, Wusso!

> oh well my bad

Is that anything like an apology to the person you claimed was
making the errors, while all along it was YOUR fault...?!?!

> > "one who else besides you and hans seem confused at refering to 80m as a band?"
> > (Ain't Google grand?)
> >
> > At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. I still do.
>
> you realy can't understand a typo. I think not

We understand typos.

OCCASSIONALLY transposing a letter or hitting a caps key when you
needed lower case...OCCASSIONALLY...

The PROBLEM is that you do it soooooooooooooooooo often, we don't
know what was a simple "typo" or if you intended it.!

Steve, K4YZ

1231. Re: Stevie claims to be reducing the volume of his posting...Lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:55:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DI8Ce.5758$BK1.2747@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112592

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> They are not it a text put out by the FCC if you follow one set of link
> Hans then points out a Defferent links that do
>

I used your link set and it leads to >EXACTLY< the same text.

de Hans, K0HB

1232. Re: Spellcheckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 13:56:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121522175.586781.73830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112591

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > >>At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. > >>I still do.
> >
> > > > you realy can't understand a typo.
> >
> > > Mark, your posts are filled with typos, horribly mangled
> > > grammar and senseless gibberish.
> > >
> > > > I think not
> > >
> > > I'll buy that.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave and Hans,
> >
> > A reminder in case you've forgotten....
> >
> > Mark understands what he's trying to say. He therefore thinks
> > that if anyone else doesn't understand him, it's *their*
> > fault, not his. He's said as much over the years.
>
> No I don't and have not but get allong

Nonsensical.

> > Way back in 1999, it was pointed out to Mark that he could turn on the
> > spellchecker in his posting software and eliminate a lot
>
> there was never spell checking on my software then nor is there now

Sure there was. You were just too inept to operate it.

> > of confusion and effort on the part of those who read his posts.
> > He replied that the use of a spellchecker slowed him down too
> > much.
>
> it would require I use change out each post twice still would

Again nonsensical...I think...Maybe...Was that a sentence...?!?!

> > IOW, he expects you exert whatever time and effort it takes to
> > figure out what he's saying, rather than having *him* write it
> > clearly in the first place.
>
> Nope I expect youall (to use the southernism)...(SNIP)

It's "y'all", Wusso. No true Southerner says "you all"

> to either figure it out
> or ignore it as you will it is inaccurate to state I expect Stevie or
> yourself to do any work at all. I simply (try) provide content folks
> may find interesting if they care to bother.

They may "bother" more if it wasn't SUCH a bother to read such
DRECK.

> I also note there has always seemed to relaionship between wether or
> not someone agrees with me and thier ability to read my stuff
> accordingly Jim if you don't want to bother the don't.

No one "agrees" with your stuff, Mark...Except where personal
attacks and such are involved. You've not uttered a single
constructive thing since your "return".

> > Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
> > Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a
> > spellchecker. But he won't.
>
> no spelling checker in google posting which is my current access, so
> your statement is n't true any more that their is any need for spell
> chekcing

Sure there is, and it was pointed out to you 1000 times before.
Type your posts in something like "WordPerfect", clean it up, then
paste it here.

> but you are right i won't so deal with it or not as YOU choose nobody
> making try to read it
>
> Although I do wonder how such mighty Morse men are so easliy stumped by
> a typo or 5. It must happen on air with morse to you. Other are honest
> enough to admit that (none of them procoders on RRAP though)

I reiterate my previous statement...Most "Morse Men" are not
grossly incompetent with the language like you are.

> > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
>
> as is your right

Missed the point again.

Steve, K4YZ

1233. Re: a question for Steve and Simple Answers Markie Can't Comprehend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 14:00:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121522409.081900.127490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112593

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?
> >
> > Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.
>
> realy then why do youlie in public

I don't.

> > > Is it ok to lie in private?
> >
> > Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!
>
> answer the question

I did. ARE you THAT stupid that you need to ask a stupid question
like that?

Steve, K4YZ

1234. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 14:03:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121522629.859582.64930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112594

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > > Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does...(SNIP)
> > > > Sure you can.
> > >
> > > How, and we are not about here were talking about my blog.
> > >
> > > > If you're not willing to take steps to curb the actions that cause
> > > >your problems, they will always be able to take advantage of you.
> > >
> > > Stebie, why you were off gay bashing on other threads I was on here
> > > nicely talking to people until that ####head annoymous person and Danny
> > > started running their mouths.
> >
> > Sounds to me like YOU have a problem, Toiddie. And I wasn't gay
> > bashing.
>
> more lies Stevies

Nope.

> Although you were not just gay bashing you have been doing that

Nope.

> > > So you see ####head, I not the cause of
> > > it all.
> >
> > Sure you are.
> >
> > > That ###hole annoymous person was threatening me and that
> > > ####head Dan was running his redneck mouth
> >
> > Do you think it has anything to do with your profanities and
> > immature behaviour? Or is it everyone else's fault?
>
> grow up Stevie
>
> No adult would be so obsessive about profanities

Sure they would.

No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.

Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.

Steve, K4YZ

1235. Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 14:23:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121523801.271827.51630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112956

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So have you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo
> > > > >
> > > > > "Boyo"..?!?!
> > > >
> > > > an irish expresion
> > >
> > > Uh huh...
> >
> > yes

OK, Wusso...

That's an American expression for a spineless wimp.

> > > > You've been claiming the opposite this week.
> > >
> > > Indeed No I have not that is lie on your part I have neither confirmed
> > > nor denied pedophilia, that was neevr the topic
> >
> > No...you just "confirm" being a liar and sexual deviant.
>
> nope I do not confirm being a sexual deviant

Sure you have. You've claimed that you sleep with men. You are
yourself male.

A deviation of the norm. Deviant.

> > It comes from a very deep and troubled mind.
>
> not bad I deep mind I have that...(SNIP)

Your mind's "deep", alright...an empty shaft.

> and yes It is troubled by many things
> esp lately by the rising hate in society of which you are a great

> example of

Nope. I just don't tolerate liars. You're a liar.

> apoligize for slight them

When one of the "Brothers Grimm" show up at my door and request an
apology, I'll tell them their stories suck and their "lingusitics" were
lame.

> > Lennie won't do it because it would somehow "minimize" him in his
> > own eyes...taking a test that "mere mortals" all take.
>
> all take
>
> ah I see you don't onsider me a mere mortal I gues that is sort of
> compliment certainly nicer than anything you normaly say

Did you take an Amateur Radio exam, Markie?

Then you ARE one of the mortals.

> > Well well...speaking of admitting an error...Finally got tired of
> > getting spanked, eh?
>
> gee havve been spanked in weeks but why do you complain of alternitve
> sexauly and then invoke it

I don't "invoke it" in sexual innuendo, Markie.

Funny you did after insisting that you're not a sexual deviant.

> > Huh? Who are you talking about?
>
> your refusal to show respect for W5YI a amn as far I know you have
> never met
>
> he wasn't her you mangled his call and says it does not atter that you
> mangled his call, That was rude
>
> you should apologize

For dragging my finger for a split second longer on the shift
key...?!?!

> > W5YI?
> >
> > Read the context, Markie...It's about code testing. "W5YI" is a
> > VEC that administers code tests.
>
> so that means you don't treat him and his call with respect

Very little.

Which VEC has been cited for more than 80% of all FCC-mandated
retests of exams administered under their cogniznece?

> > Brain claims there's a "Farnsworth" code test.
>
> as I understand the matter so does the ARRL but what has that got to do
> with your disrepect for w5yi

W5YI is a snake oil salesman.

See the above.

> > > well as I undestand it there are no CW subbands except at VHF there are
> > > CW/data subbands
> >
> > And I won't have to worry about you on any of THOSE, either.
>
> but you still can't state without correction by me the facts about HF
> rules

Sure I can.

And have.

You still can't deal with your ineptness and incompetence with
Part 97.

> > > and you may have even heard me on field day
> >
> > I doubt it.
>
> I would not know after all I don't know if you even do feild day
>
> but you might. you may have even worked me without knowing it.

I doubt it.

How proficient are you at CW?

> > More evidence of why it's unsafe to leave kids around you.
>
> maybe I should call child welfare about your daughter

Maybe you should.

Do you know the consequences for filing a false report?

You'll find out.

> > > > Military Honors.
> > >
> > > right stevie keep believeing that.
> >
> > I do believe it.
>
> I know

>
> >
> > And so do you......
>
> no I don't

Then you're lying to yourself, too.

Now you've REALLY lied to EVERYone...

Steve, K4YZ

1236. Re: F-16 Noise Complaint in Arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 14:57:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121525831.815911.194650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112957

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > Found this:
> >
> > "The engines and propellers produced an unforgettable throbbing sound
> > when the B-36 flew overhead. A friend of mine remembers the sound from
> > his boyhood as a "captivating drone. The noise went down to your heels,
> > it was so resonant. It just stopped you in your tracks. You looked up
> > into the sky to try to find this thing, and it was just a tiny cross,
> > it was so high." Others remember that it rattled windows on the ground
> > from 40,000 feet."
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> Thats about how high they would be when they came over our house some
> 200 miles west of Ft. Worth and the windows would rattle. It was an
> unmistakeable sound.

One of the unique features of the B-36 is it's geared-down props, i.e., the props turn much slower than it's six big Wasps. The rotational speed reduction was necessary because given the 19 foot diameter prop disk the tips of the blades would go supersonic and all hell would break if loose if they turned at engine RPMs. I don't know of any other reciprocating engine powered fixed-wing military A/C which had geared-down props like the B-36 had.

Seems reasonable to me to wonder if the B-36 also churned out an acoustic signature which was also quite unique in that from the ground they apparently sounded something like six "down to your heels" thumping overpowered military helicopters which I'm sure we've all heard in our neighborhoods. The little speck in the sky does thumps galore on the ground from miles away. With it's six big, unusually slow-turning props the B-36 it might very well be that it's acoustics were helicopter-like. Except a whole lot more so. Acoustics is radio in a different medium and frequency range. The results of resonance apply to both. The B-36 probably had an acoustic SWR of 1:1 at ground level.

I never saw a B-36 in flight but I was there when Bell-Boeing V-22 Osprey airframe No. 8 arrived in the pattern over Brandywine airport in West Chester PA and flew it's last flight before being turned over to the American Helicopter Museum which is on the airport property. The V-22 also has monster slow-turning props and can fly like a fixed-wing A/C at speeds not too disimilar from B-36 speeds. I'm here to tell you

the thing damned near drove all of us who were there that day thru the tarmac during it's high-speed demo passes. The Apache did not.

w3rv

1237. Re: No stinking badges!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 15:17:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6y9Ce.150$_N.7937089@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112596

Folger:

I would really hate to comment on what type of fool you have yourself classified as. I have found that my comments which may challenge the ego of a person are almost never well taken.

Instead, I will allow you to live under the image(s) which you have created... good luck...

John

"Folger" <NotMe@NoFool> wrote in message news:dbaacd$7k4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:KE1Ce.131$mC.6038569@news.sisna.com...
> Is it dude? Or scorpiox? Or, G.W.B.? You can fool some of the
> people
> here, not me. You didn't think I was still here, did you?
> <grin>
>
> John
>
> Good going. Just goes to show you, "John" that you aren't the every
> day,
> typical fool.
> You are an exception...you are an imcomperable fool as compared to
> 'normal'
> folks.
>
> <grin>
>
>
>

1238. LennieRant 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 15:34:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121528099.320255.239490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112595

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Cmd Buzz Corey" on Fri 15 Jul
> 2005 15:58
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
> >> amateur radios.
> >
> >Something you sure can't seem to master.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Very, very weak troll you cast.
>
> Buzziebaby, you just don't understand that this isn't a playground
> outside a public school. Quit acting like a none-year-old.

The point remains...

You do not hold either an operator's license or station license in
the Amateur Radio service.

> About 53 years ago...(SNIP)

YadaYadaYada...

No license today.

> About 49 years ago...(SNIP)

YadaYadaYada

No license today.

> About 45 years ago...(SNIP)

YadaYadaYada

No license today.

> About 32 years ago...(SNIP)

YadaYadaYada

No license today.

> Worse yet, you poor Olde-Tyme "video hero," I've been both a
> contributor and an Associate Editor for Ham Radio magazine
> without having any amateur radio license nor even meeting any

> of the regular staff at HR (my work sold itself).

And that magazine subsequently went belly-up with people like you
on the masthead.

Not a single one of any of your "articles" as ever been part and
parcel of ANY project in ANY Amateur Radio magazine or forum.

In other words...Jim Fisk should have spent his money on a
MEANINGFUL investment...Not you. Maybe then "Ham Radio" would still be
in publication.

> I've been a co-owner of a PLMRS base-mobile station...(SNIP)

As could any other persons WITHOUT the technical credentials)

> Not only that, I once worked a station ON the Moon (none of this
> "moonbounce" stuff).

No, you didn't.

You may have remotely controlled an object, but you did nopt
"work" a station on the moon.

Whoopie doo....You pushed a button in Pasadena and made the robot
go "beepbeep".

That's about as impressive as those ceremonial "plungers" they use
to let a dignitary "blow up" some structure for a new public works
project.

> I've handled more different modulations
> and more different frequencies than hams are ALLOWED to use,
> all legally and all responsibly...and NEVER ONCE have I had
> to know or use any morsemanship "skills."

And never once was ANY of that done in a radio station YOU built,
on modes YOU selected and at times of YOUR choosing.

Your GROL is ONLY valid while operating a facility with an FCC
STATION license or appropriate STA. Said station license specifes the
power, mode, frequencies, etc.

> Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port...

You're still unlicensed...still have NO practical experience, and
STILL living out your "deeds" of five decades ago.

> LenIloveLivingInThePastButYoureNotAllowedAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1239. Re: a question for Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 18:29:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121538566.964454.85470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112597

> Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society

Society is advancing despite the fact that people lie. If people
shouldn't lie as you so hope for then the vast member of our beloved
govenment should should be removed from society because they LIE!!! not
the mention the lobbying groups who lie to Congress to get their way.

Todd N9OGL

1240. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 18:30:07 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blumpkin.com>
Message-ID: <dbbjp4$h48$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112598

That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last night hun.

N9OGL wrote:

> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
>
> TODD
>

1241. Re: a question for Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 18:33:04 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121538784.299102.132540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112599

N9OGL wrote:
> > Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society
>
> Society is advancing despite the fact that people lie.

And you certainly aren't contributing to it.

> If people shouldn't lie as you so hope for then the vast member of our > beloved govenment should should be removed from society because they
> LIE!!! not the mention the lobbying groups who lie to Congress to get
> their way.

Or you saying you aren't queer, Toad. Or educated.

1242. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 19:14:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121541272.475040.250620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112600

From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Fri 15 Jul 2005 18:19

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Fri 15 Jul 2005 00:21
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>> amateur radios. [ham radio "in the hands of children" etc.]
>
>AND, if you';; note, they have DEMONSTRATED that they are competant radio
>ops (even at such a young age. note though, these are the exceptions, not
>the general rule (in so far as cb ops are concerned). Usually in such cases
>where a child op is involved in ham radio, there is always an adult not far
>away to offer assistance should things get out of hand. find that on cb?
>NO!

NOT a good answer to either. You've NOT "proved" anything other
than you can make a speculation.

"...there is always an adult not far away..." for ALL amateur
radio? Tsk, tsk. That's like saying all kids obey their
parents when the parents aren't around. Disproven every day in
the USA by PDs' and EMTs' visits to homes.

In any other venue, the "source" for those statements would be
called bragging.

>> Those "statistics" are of dubious value since NO source is
>> referenced.
>
>The source itself *is* the CB band (what with all the ops hawking their
>wares, advertising "multi-pill amps", "broadbanded radios" and the like.
>take a good look at whats advertised in such places as the local CB ships,
>some of the radio mail order houses and the like).

Marketing media is your "source?" Tsk, tsk. In that case, open
any newspaper and read the ads instead of the news for "real
news." :-)

>>>>> that leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question
>>>>> as to that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily
>>>>> basis?
>>
>> Drive the highways of the USA sometime and you will find out...:-)

>
>beem there, done that. in any local area (range under 50 miles), you might
>hear 3-500 conversations across the band (on a busy day) and when the skip
>is in, you can't tell because of all the noise (hetrodynes, etc).

So, in case of "real emergency" (meteor impact, alien invasion?)
everybody on CB going to be "on" and heterodyning? Couldn't prove
it by me since I've never "been there, done that" for any meteor
impact or alien invasion. :-)

In going 6000 miles per trip at least twice in the last five
years I've not heard a lot of simultaneous convos along the
highways. Lots of "smokey" spottings, a few breakdowns of
vehicles, grass fires suddenly in one area, but not a lot of
"illegal" operation. [I can understand where some die-hards
would think non-morse on HF was considered "illegal" and a fate
too terrible for words if those users did not take an official
TEST to authorize their use of HF...]

>>
>>>>> How many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least
>>>>> a good knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use?
>>
>> Define this "good knowledge."
>
>Studied, read, applied to their radio operations, monthly drills, etc.

"WORKED real HARD" at it? Get an endorsement from the "ham
community" for showing your "dedication and committment?" :-)

Spent 8 hours a day as a "ham" six days a week? THAT sounds
like "work" to me. Work that one gets paid for...

>> Are you going to say that passing a federal test last year, a few
>> years ago, or even many years ago constitutes "good knowledge of
>> the CURRENT regulations of amateur radio?
>
>its better than passing no test at all... but passing a test is only part of
>it (as I had pointed out much earlier in this thread).

Tsk. The federal government does not require a TEST for a
LICENSE to operate on the 40 channels of CB. As a citizen, you
CAN petition your government for a redress of this grievance of
yours if you wish. CB has NEVER had a "test" even when they
did license such operation.

> there is training by
>EOC professionals on a volunteer basis, ride along with police/fire, public
>service events, disaster drills (we have the Palo Verde nuclear power plant
>drills here 4x a year) and we get full on emergency work during both the
>summer fire/monsoon seasons and the winter rainy season (where floods can
>result).

Sounds like you want a full-time JOB doing emergency stuff.
Jobs involve PAYMENT for services rendered...and that isn't
permitted in AMATEUR radio.

Speaking of "Palo Verde" ('green stick' in Spanish), the L.A.
area just had a grass fire in the Palos Verdes Penninsula a few
miles south of LAX. Went right up to the edge of big homes.
Professionals handled it quickly, put it out, evacuated about
300 folks as a precaution. Not a "real emergency" like war,
meteor impact, or alien invasion. [can't have everything...]

> We here in Arizona are kept very busy (as are those in tornado
>alley, the quake/fire/flood zones of the west coast and hurricane alley of
>the southeast).

Things are tough all over, except wherever I'm writing from, ey?

>>>>> how
>>>>> many of those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster
>>>>> relief by accredited agencies?
>>
>> So, SHOW YOUR WORK in regards to how many licensed amateurs are
>> "actually trained in some disaster reflief" by anyone but their
>> own imagination?
>
>imaginations? gee, I think you missed it.

Noooooo...I NAILED it. Show your "badge."

> I said "accredited agencies" (like
>an EOC, FEMA, red cross, and others). now some disaster relief ops are
>"common sense" but when it comes to handling emergency traffic for backup
>to a failing telco system, training is a must! There is no way around that
>fact of this point.

Training and drilling is something I've brought up since I've
been here. I've pointed out the California Auxilliary
Communications Service as a model but have been met with lots
and lots of geographic bigotry from easterner herohams. The
California ACS doesn't require any ham license to participate
and neither do they rely on just amateur radio; they will use
EVERY means possible to effect communications.

But, you bring up the hoary old myth of "telco systems FAIL"
in real emergencies. :-) During the '94 Northridge Quake
here, the telco SYSTEM never "failed." PDs and FDs were
connected via leased lines that never go through telco switchers
and thus aren't subject to overload...the PDs and FDs rolled as
required. Got an incoming call from my uncle in Florida early
that first day afternoon...no problem calling IN via POTS.
VHF-UHF mobiles were very busy for PDs, FDs, medical rescue,
utilities (especially them), and construction services. A mere
53 or so dead, many more injured, total electrical power outage
for 10 million. I guess it wasn't a "real emergency" such as

war, meteor impact, or alien invasion. [conspicuously absent
(at least to me at the time) was any amateur emergency comms]

In truth I did NOT monitor CB activity locally...too busy later
on with utility/construction service radio stuff. However, I've
been told by those who are active in CB that the channels were
"strangely quiet" for hours after. Must have been that CB folks
were understanding the nature of an emergency! Imagine that,
rotten-dirty-scoundrel CBers acting decent and helpful! [what
is the world coming to?]

>> Sorry, but all those "news" stories on the ARRL website don't
>> prove much other than the league is very busy promoting itself
>> first, amateur radio second.
>
>arrl wasn't the omly source. try cnn, fox, abc, cbs, nbc, api, reuters,
>etc..... what about all those sources (the malaysian tsunami was an
>excellent example of hams in action (and not a single cb operator was ever
>mentioned).

"Hams in action?!?" By golly, I've just GOT to get a set of NEMOS
(NEtwork MOnitor CRTs in old broadcasting parlance) in the house
to see all those "hams in action!" Missed them entirely on TV cable
(about 220 channels) here in the last decade! One of my neighbors
up the street works for local Channel 11 (journalist behind the
camera, them what writes up what the talking heads talk about) and
he never saw any "hams in action!"

Does Malaysia have "CB?" I don't know and don't much care. I did
see LOTS and LOTS of U.S. military "in action" on TV...for once
saving lives instead of destroying them in the recent Tsunami
areas. [military has lots of good communications...as does the
National Guard units]

>> Now, if there were so "many" amateur radio operators "actually
>> trained in some disaster relief," WHY would the ARRL be offering
>> those "training classes" in same?
>
>because, training requires being refreshed if one doesn't use it often.

No kidding!?! Duhhhhh....whut I bin sayin' in here afore? :-)

>also, new techniques and new ideas are also brought forth in such classes.

"New ways to send morse code" by "hams in action?" :-)

Morse code was first used commercially in 1844. The only "new
technique" was the changeover from all-numbers to letters,
numbers, and some punctuation in "morse code" a few years after.
In 1896 there was the first "new idea" to use radio in place of
wire lines to send morse code! [breakthrough!]

What is "new" in emergency communications? Do you have some
kind of invention supplanting radio?!?!? A "new language" to
use?

How about just KEEPING UP TO DATE WITH EVERYONE ELSE in the
local emergency action organizations? Know the organization,
know the centers, the coordinators, who is responsible for what?

>man, you just don't think before asking questions, do you?

That's the fault of ALL who disagree with your noble words in
here...they are all "stupid, ignorant, slothful, and probably
have underarm odor."

By the way, it is customary in the written English language
to capitalize the beginning letter of each word. That's not
a "new way" to write in non-morse modes. "e.e.cummings" tried
that decades ago but didn't get far...

>>>> This whole part of the argument is weird and borders on irrelevant.
>>
>> Quite true! :-)
>>
>> Especially the part where - as INPLIED - that amateur radio
>> operators are (miraculously) ALL capable of actual emergency
>> communications and ready (at a moment's notice) to "save lives
>> and property."
>
>you are putting words in the mouth that never stated them. "miraculously"?
>c'mon!

Awwwww...ain't that just AWFUL?

Tsk, my bad, accusing a "ham in action" of something he ain't done.

>Let me give you a few good expamples of what I work with here in Arizona:
>1. a "stay at home ham" with a complete shack and a backup 10kw UPS can
>still be operating even when power is out to significant portions of the
>city (it has happened many times here in Arizona)
>2. a mobile hamshack with both a 10 kw UPS and a little honda ec-3500
>portable generator can setup shop anywhere (prime examples of this are
>field day setups, the mobile command center for the MCSO and the last
>firebase operations facility for the cave creek complex fire.
>3. any ham with more than 2 HT's and plenty of spare batteries to do the
>job.

Good on them. However, that is totally against the grain of others
in here (of the eastern persuasion) who boast of getting on "the
bands" (HF) costing less than $100 in "recycled radio parts." :-)

Hams #1 and #2 have spent a bit more than the $6000 for a full-on
DZ Sienna to be on the market in September. :-)

#3 category is obviously NOT a "real ham" if not able to work HF
with "CW." All "real hams" have to know how to "work CW." :-)

Well, all that you've given is very fine for your area. Easterners
and "southerners" (southeastern states of the USA) complain about
"not being able to afford all that." Tsk. Here in the about 5000
square mile area (give or take) of Greater Los Angeles we have a
professional organization of many PDs, FDs, medical, etc. that
handle that 24/7 for any of the "minor" emergencies we've had
(brush fire confligrations, earthquakes, bad wind storms, etc.).
Not like northern California where we've already had one coastal
town destroyed by a Tsunami.

>in all these, training is a must (and most here in the phoenix area have
>varying degrees of that training.
>
>Just for your edification, we have summer monsoon storms here that can spark
>fires (seen the news lately), we carry on with 40 public service events
>during the course of the year, have 4 major disaster drills and deal with
>winter floods and summer flash floods every year. the only places busier
>than us are those with a tornado in progress or the hurricane alley states.

Your emergencies are always better/worse than others' emergencies?

>> All of that intimation is very nice, warm, and fuzzy self-promotion
>> for what is basically a HOBBY pursuit, a recreation, something done
>> by individuals for their own pleasure. Tall Tales of derring-do
>> (and usually of daring doo-doo).
>
>uh? "intimation"? where the hell did that come from?

Based on the "hams in action" all over, busy jawing up a storm.

Sounds vaguely familiar to the bar at the old Legion Hall
towards the end of Happy Hour. :-)

>>>> For an interesting coomparison, why don't we come up with the ratio of
>>>> say EMT's to the general population. Yet somehow they do their jobs.
>>>
>>>I know, but that is his "obtuse point". I doesn't matter the actual number
>>>of trained operators, just the number of radio sets.
>>
>> Okay, now YOU show the rest of us how many radio amateurs are
>> "trained" in emergency communications.
>
>come off it. that point hashed and rehashed already. go back and read it.

Can't do that yet...I'm still going through old news videotape
looking for "hams in action" over the last decade. :-)

>> Please, NO more tall tales of derring-doo-doo as federally-
>> authorized radio AMATEURS, implied or otherwise. Be specific.
>
>what tall tails? what daring-do? what implications? C'mon man, you accused,
>now back it up!

Tsk, I've given more real stuff than you've put forth as
generalities. :-)

Stuff like (others) saying ham radio "saves lives" or yourself
on "hams in action." News put out by ABC, CBS, CNN, NBC, API,
Reuters, etc., etc., etc. :-) Documentaries and special
news shows on TV cable or broadcast networks on amateur radio
being so wonderful, humanitarian, etc...and then the opposite
side of the coin where CB is so terribly evil that it is
next to worthless, should never have been born 47 years ago,
etc., etc., etc.

>> When push comes to shove, you CANNOT show those numbers of radio
>> amateurs. You are bluffing your way through this non-argument.
>
>I wasn't the one that started this F.U.D campaigh.... but for numbers?
>
>Arizona (the state I live in), we had, over the last 5 years: 48 major fires
>(A good example). on the last fire (cave creek-complex), we had 300 hams
>working at various points (some in the field, others at firebase command
>and a some at the EOC for the state (located in phoenix)). The average time
>spent on site for each ham was 12 out of every 24 hours (which means we had
>around the clock coverage). A lot of these hams have other emergency
>service qualifications as well (a large p[ortion of them also work in
>various capacities for the Sheriff's Dept as either volunteers or paid
>employees, others in the private sector and a few (like me) who have time
>on their hands due to either retirement or disability. That was just 1
>fire. we had several others burning across the state that also had hams
>involved, PLUS there were 3 public service events concurrent to this that
>had a fair number of hams working.
>
>Now, I don't know how many hams live here in the state, but over the last 2
>months, we had upwards of 3100 hams working any number of these events *in
>total*. I would have to ask the ARRL Liason how many hams are resident in
>the state. However, as a good guess, we probably had a 10% turnout among
>the ham community. Thats just the state of Arizona and only the last 2
>months. these are HARD FACTS that can be researched by you (and I'll just
>bet you'll hem and haw and claim its all fluff and never bother to look it
>up for yourself just like you always have <you and some others>!).

Tsk, you are getting all angry and huffing and stuff here. Cool it.

That's all GOOD WORKS that you and other AZ hams are doing, no
doubt about it. Problem is, it's about the southwest USA and
the easterners don't want to be bothered with it. I understand
the problems faced with natural disasters, living in a different
part of the southwest, but we do have similar fire problems.
Here we also have earthquakes which the easterners don't think
are much...:-)

>> All that is left is an estimation of the various radios used in
>> the various radio services in the USA...by the Electronic
>> Industries Association, the Census Bureau, Department of the

>> Interior, Department of Transportaion, NTIA, etc., etc.
>
>yeah yeah yeah...... still, we hams make *better* use of our gear than any
>CB operator can, because we are more organized and have a system in place
>to deal with such things. we hams are a valuable asset to FEMA, NTIA,
>Various depts of the military, etc. you can't say that about CB no matter
>how hard you try.

NOBODY is as good as the radio amateurs...in THIS grope at least.

>> Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
>> make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal
>> authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways
>> and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB
>> sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or
>> much of anything else for "statistics."
>
>does experience with "citizens band" count? how about 15 years worth (6
>years concurrently being a ham too) and at least 12 years AS a ham?

Not for a normal debate. What you have expressed so far is
YOUR OPINION...of CB.

So...CB has no potential? For 15 years you just accepted it as
you heard it? <shrug>

>> Neither can you "prove" that licensed amateur radio operators
>> are ALL or even partly "trained in emergency communications" or
>> are automatically some kind of "life savers." A "Life Saver"
>> is a name of a candy, a sucker without a stick. Try not to
>> schtick us as if we were suckers.
>
>I can prove that at least *SOME* of them are. can you even prove that ANY cb
>operators are trained by professionals (such as those at FEMA, any EOC,
>NTIA, etc)?

No, but then I'm not trying to paint CB dark, either.

In minor emergencies (windstorm, earthwuake, fires) or real
emergencies (meteor impact, alien invasion), communications by
ANY radio can be valuable. ANY radio, ANY mode. Even wirelines.

The California ACS knows that and acts on it. Where there are
professional organizations to deal with emergencies, they can
and do deal with them, promptly and efficiently. Amateurs CAN
help, but let's face it, most of them are NOT trained in that
any more than evil CBers are "trained." Amateur radio is basically
a HOBBY, a recreational pursuit done for personal pleasure. While
there might be some souls who long to be citizen heroes and get
into amateur radio to "help others in need," the vast majority
get into it for the recreational value, as a HOBBY.

Amateur radio is regulated by the federal government along with other civil radio services. As such, the regulating agency uses licensing and testing as a TOOL of regulation. Having that "federal authorization" of a license is not an academic certificate nor is it some "special recognition of excellence" because those that pass tests get "callsigns." Yet so many hams use that callsign as a "title" or "tribal name" or other self-imposed grandiose thing. Callsigns don't imply nobility or expertise, not even with the "highest" class, nor does a "lower" class imply stupidity or ignorance. Except to others who USE such callsigns/licenses just to be better than others (in their own self-propelled ego).

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>are we trying to impress? looks like braggin to me.

"It ain't braggin' if ya done it!" is a misquote of a baseball pitcher's remark used in here by Mr. J. Miccolis.

I did it. No brags, no inflations, and that e-mail address can ONLY be achieved by actual membership in the IEEE, a professional organization of electrical and electronic engineers. I'm a Life Member of the IEEE. Been doing radio-electronics as a hobby since 1947, been doing it as a professional since 1952 and haven't stopped since. Others get angry about that, usually from resentment at being countered in public replies. No problem to me. I'll reply anyway. Tough skin developed by doing this computer-modem communications mode since 1984...:-)

Now, do you want to continue ranting against CB and prettying up ham radio ("dress to impress") in just a ham radio forum? There's millions upon millions of USA citizens that don't know much about "ham radio." The need Your Word on that. [ARRL can't seem to get the word out, even after decades of trying]

I've been exposed to all kinds of radio communications, done a lot in different radio services, including the military. I'm just not that impressed that ham radio is to emergency comms as Mother Theresa is to charity work or that CB is the Antichrist of the EM spectrum. Experience hasn't shown me either. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1243. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 19:44:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121543087.707509.157160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112601

From: Cmd Buzz Corey <2-demensional TV hero in B&W> on Fri 15 Jul 2005
20:21

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Very, very weak troll you cast.
>>
>> Buzziebaby, you just don't understand that this isn't a playground
>> outside a public school. Quit acting like a none-year-old.
>>
>> About 53 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to fully operate
>> high-power HF transmitters WITHOUT needing any "license" nor any
>> morse code "skills."
>
>So did a lot of other folks in the military, so you want a medal or
>something?

No, I still have my Good Conduct Ribbon. :-)

>> About 49 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to pass, in one
>> sitting, an FCC First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) license
>> (done in an FCC Chicago Field Office).
>
>As did I, so you think that is something special?

For this Grope, yet, because I didn't walk to the Field Office
barefoot in the freezing winter, uphill both ways!

I took the train. 90 miles. :-)

>>
>> About 45 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to be given
>> circuit design responsibility by my employer...and successfully
>> continued an entire CAREER in radio-electronics design.
>> Although retired from regular hours, I still do some design
>> work (for money usually).
>
>As did a lot of real engineers, so what?

So what indeed. :-) To hear tell, all of these Mighty Macho
Morsemen WORKED HARD at ham radio, 8 hours a day, 6 days a week
to show their "dedication and committment to the amateur
community!" :-)

>> About 32 years ago I had "mastered" enough engineering
>> knowledge to get affidavit statements acknowledging that from
>> three IEEE members at work so that I could join the IEEE...which

>> is a Professional Association of electrical and electronic
>> engineers world-wide. I am now a Life Member of the IEEE and
>> EARNED it. [IEEE Life Members do not pay "extra" for that
>> title nor do they pay any membership dues to the IEEE] Note
>> the "signature" address at the bottom of this message.
>
>You think you are the elite of the IEEE? Think again. You are only one
>small member of many.

250,000 (more or less) members of IEEE internationally.

Do I think I'm in the "elite?!?!?" Nah. Never did. :-)

Of course, in this group, anyone knowing more than Ohm's Law
(of Resistance) is considered on par with "rocket scientists!"

>Yet with all that bragging, you could never *master* the simple code
>required to get a ham license, something that many nine-year-olds have done.

Oooooo! Ooooooo! "Nine-year-olds have done it!" :-)

"*Master*!!!" Wow! Love those words you misuse. :-)

Hey, let's all regress to being nine-year-olds and do morse code!

>> Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port...
>
>So who's acting like a child on the playground?

Well, this grope IS a "playground" and you certainly are childish!

> You know lennieboy, when
>you pass on to that big transmitter in the sky, your family can save a
>lot of money by just giving you an enema and burying you in a shoe box.

Are you revealing secrets of YOUR family? :-)

Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1244. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 19:50:08 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dZSdnXwzdKvZ6UTfRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112602

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Oooooo! Ooooooo! "Nine-year-olds have done it!" :-)

Yep, and you can't.
>
>
> Well, this grope IS a "playground" and you certainly are childish!
>

What is a grope? So what are you doing in the 'playground'? You must
consider yourself to be pretty childish as well.

1245. Loser Len finally tells the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:24:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <eWeCe.24240$eM6.23893@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112603

>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
> in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
>PM, and GAVE UP.
>
>Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.

Now shut the hell up about it.

Dan/W4NTI

1246. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:31:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <o0fCe.24242$eM6.3409@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112604

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121515612.389389.105430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> an/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>> news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>> >> amateur radios.
>> >
>> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>>
>> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
>> and
>> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>>
>> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
>> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
>> manage to get a ham license.
>>
>> He is a pathetic loser.
>
> Well, Dan, that may be how it seems to you, but here's another
> viewpoint.
>
> There's a certain kind of person for whom "book learning" comes
> easily, but for whom practical skills take a lot of effort. You've
> probably run into people like that in your life.
>
> IOW, they may be able to quickly and easily learn and tell you
> all about baseball, but learning to actually throw/hit/catch
> one requires a *lot* of practice and effort on their part. They
> *can* learn to do those skills, it just takes a lot more effort
> than they're used to putting out to learn "book knowledge".
>
> Such folks often become highly critical of activities that
> involve skills they find hard to learn. The classic case is
> the kid who makes fun of sports as being pointless, and
> athletes as being stupid, overrated, etc.
>
> Technology-related activities are a magnet for such folks,
> because a lot of technology involves replacing human skill
> and effort with machines, and they can symbolically "win"
> by pointing out how much "better" machines can do something.
> For example, it's possible to build a pitching machine that

> can throw a baseball at speeds no human can achieve,
> and the pitching machine can do it far longer than any
> human pitcher. So, they argue, there's no reason to value
> the skill and achievement of someone who can throw a
> fastball, say, 100 mph, because a machine can do that and a lot more.
>
> The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours and some
> minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone can beat
> that record if they're allowed to use a car....
>
> Of course the person who thinks that way has missed the entire
> point.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Thanks for the enlightenment Jim. I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude. His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.

This flake is not even a licensed Amateur, and yet he keeps on and on about how stupid, out of touch, people we are.

Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go talk with his engineer buddies and try to impress him.

He don't like us....so leave.

Dan/W4NTI

1247. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:31:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <51fCe.24243$eM6.913@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112605

Well there it is folks. I say it like it is, and HE can't stand it.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121467291.185060.192400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>> news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>> >> amateur radios.
>> >
>> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>> >
>> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
>> and
>> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>>
>> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
>> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
>> manage to get a ham license.
>
> that is a lie never seen Lenn blame me for anything of the sort
>
>>
>> He is a pathetic loser.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

1248. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:34:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <L3fCe.24244$eM6.21026@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112606

I love that ending "Cmd Buzz Corey"

Dan/W4NTI

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:nKmdnX1MrIva_0XfRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Very, very weak troll you cast.
>>
>> Buzziebaby, you just don't understand that this isn't a playground
>> outside a public school. Quit acting like a none-year-old.
>>
>> About 53 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to fully operate
>> high-power HF transmitters WITHOUT needing any "license" nor any
>> morse code "skills."
>
> So did a lot of other folks in the military, so you want a medal or
> something?
>>
>> About 49 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to pass, in one
>> sitting, an FCC First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) license
>> (done in an FCC Chicago Field Office).
>
> As did I, so you think that is something special?
>>
>> About 45 years ago I "mastered" enough knowledge to be given
>> circuit design responsibility by my employer...and successfully
>> continued an entire CAREER in radio-electronics design.
>> Although retired from regular hours, I still do some design
>> work (for money usually).
>
> As did a lot of real engineers, so what?
>>
>> About 32 years ago I had "mastered" enough engineering
>> knowledge to get affidavit statements acknowledging that from
>> three IEEE members at work so that I could join the IEEE...which
>> is a Professional Association of electrical and electronic
>> engineers world-wide. I am now a Life Member of the IEEE and
>> EARNED it. [IEEE Life Members do not pay "extra" for that
>> title nor do they pay any membership dues to the IEEE] Note
>> the "signature" address at the bottom of this message.
>
> You think you are the elite of the IEEE? Think again. You are only one
> small member of many.

>>
>> Worse yet, you poor Olde-Tyme "video hero," I've been both a
>> contributor and an Associate Editor for Ham Radio magazine
>> without having any amateur radio license nor even meeting any
>> of the regular staff at HR (my work sold itself). I've been
>> a co-owner of a PLMRS base-mobile station (and its technically
>> responsible signature person with the FCC) as part of a
>> business venture. During the course of my CAREER, I've had
>> responsibility for transmitting on frequencies ranging from
>> VLF on up through all EM spectrum bands to 25 GHz. Not only
>> that, I once worked a station ON the Moon (none of this
>> "moonbounce" stuff). I've handled more different modulations
>> and more different frequencies than hams are ALLOWED to use,
>> all legally and all responsibly...and NEVER ONCE have I had
>> to know or use any morsemanship "skills."
>>
>> Brewster Rockit, Space Guy, maybe YOU want to stay regressed
>> at kiddie age and play like a big, strong, macho morseman in
>> the HF sandbox with other AMATEURS. Watch out, mommie may
>> take away your toys if you misbehanve. Us grown-ups will just
>> look on and smile at kiddie actions in a make-believe fantasy
>> of "radio expertise" through ham radio.
>>
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
> Yet with all that bragging, you could never *master* the simple code
> required to get a ham license, something that many nine-year-olds have
> done.
>
>
>> Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port...
>
> So who's acting like a child on the playground? You know lennieboy, when
> you pass on to that big transmitter in the sky, your family can save a lot
> of money by just giving you an enema and burying you in a shoe box.

1249. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550622.397216.222030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112609

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1250. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550622.466525.149640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112610

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1251. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550622.060717.69560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112613

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1252. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550623.294251.13740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112607

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1253. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550623.390825.97520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112608

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1254. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550622.955995.63220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112612

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1255. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550623.091539.247170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112614

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1256. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550623.461831.121780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112616

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1257. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550623.906729.147760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112611

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1258. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550624.070647.29060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112615

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1259. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550623.964793.99970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112617

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1260. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550624.378664.154300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112618

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1261. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550624.912130.46020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112619

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1262. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550624.660244.160050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112620

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1263. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 21:50:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121550624.974468.129650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112621

>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>night hun.

- Hide quoted text -

N9OGL wrote:
> NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> TODD

FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

1264. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 22:42:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121553772.891939.218370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112622

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sat 16 Jul 2005 21:31

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> an/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>>> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>>> >> amateur radios.
>>> >
>>> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>>>
>>> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
>>> and
>>> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>>>
>>> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
>>> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
>>> manage to get a ham license.
>>>
>>> He is a pathetic loser.
>>
>> Well, Dan, that may be how it seems to you, but here's another
>> viewpoint.
>>
>> There's a certain kind of person for whom "book learning" comes
>> easily, but for whom practical skills take a lot of effort. You've
>> probably run into people like that in your life.

No problem with me...practical skills are just as easy as
"book learning." :-)

>> IOW, they may be able to quickly and easily learn and tell you
>> all about baseball, but learning to actually throw/hit/catch
>> one requires a *lot* of practice and effort on their part. They
>> *can* learn to do those skills, it just takes a lot more effort
>> than they're used to putting out to learn "book knowledge".

One can "learn baseball" by reading a book? :-)

>> Such folks often become highly critical of activities that
>> involve skills they find hard to learn. The classic case is
>> the kid who makes fun of sports as being pointless, and
>> athletes as being stupid, overrated, etc.

To be great in amateur radio one has to be a jock? :-)

>> Technology-related activities are a magnet for such folks,
>> because a lot of technology involves replacing human skill
>> and effort with machines, and they can symbolically "win"
>> by pointing out how much "better" machines can do something.
>> For example, it's possible to build a pitching machine that
>> can throw a baseball at speeds no human can achieve,
>> and the pitching machine can do it far longer than any
>> human pitcher. So, they argue, there's no reason to value
>> the skill and achievement of someone who can throw a
>> fastball, say, 100 mph, because a machine can do that and a lot more.

Well, there ya go, one has to be a JOCK in amateur radio!

Or maybe it's just "showing one's dedication and committment to
the amateur community" by learning how to throw a fastball? :-)

>> The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours and some
>> minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone can beat
>> that record if they're allowed to use a car....
>>
>> Of course the person who thinks that way has missed the entire
>> point.

Tsk, tsk. Jimmie's "point" seems to be ALL about doing
things in amateur radio "simply" and with lots and lots of
manual effort? WORK HARD! Heh heh heh...sado-masochism
arrives as a new class of license in U.S. amateur radio?

>Thanks for the enlightenment Jim.

Goodie, now you know ALL about marathoning and "book larnin'"!

>I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude.

Poor baby. Did you think yours was universally loved? :-)

> His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down
>that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.

Oh, yeah, if'n ya don' lak Jeswald, ya "hate" everything
he likes. :-)

Wow, talk about an EGO PROBLEM, Dannyboy, you got one!

>This flake is not even a licensed Amateur,

...right you are (for once). Now tell us all about how all
who want to enter amateur radio must LOVE and WORK HARD at
morsemanship...emulating the 1930's standards and practices?

...and yet he keeps on and on about how stupid, out of touch,
people we are.

I'm just trying to fix stupid. Sigh. Too big a task for me.

"Ya jes' cain't fix stupid!!!" You seem fix-less, Dannyboy.

>Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go talk with his
>engineer buddies and try to impress him.

WHOA! Ya said the WRONG words! Jimmie he no like anyone whut
says "shut up!" :-)

>He don't like us....so leave.

Poor baby. Looking for Love and Respect in here? Tsk.

Are you the "amateur community" all newcomers must show their
"dedication and committment to amateur radio?"

You must be. You keep implying that to love amateur radio is
to love you. Dislike you and all dislike amateur radio. Not
how it goes but you must think that way. Very insular, all
wrapped up in yourself.

So far you ain't said dink about "emergency communications."
You've started up a nice Flame Fest claiming "homie" for you
and your mighty macho morsemen. Good luck on that now...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1265. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 23:21:24 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121556084.077249.35070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Yeah...same stuff that's already on QRZ, here, otehr places...
> Ho hum....

Not really steve, but what would you know,

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL BBS
http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi

1266. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-16 23:28:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121556512.978218.256120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112624

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121515612.389389.105430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > an/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> >> news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
> >> >> amateur radios.
> >> >
> >> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
> >>
> >> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
> >> and
> >> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
> >>
> >> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
> >> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
> >> manage to get a ham license.
> >>
> >> He is a pathetic loser.
> >
> > Well, Dan, that may be how it seems to you, but here's another
> > viewpoint.
> >
> > There's a certain kind of person for whom "book learning"
> > comes
> > easily, but for whom practical skills take a lot of effort.
> > You've
> > probably run into people like that in your life.
> >
> > IOW, they may be able to quickly and easily learn and tell you
> > all about baseball, but learning to actually throw/hit/catch
> > one requires a *lot* of practice and effort on their part.
> > They
> > *can* learn to do those skills, it just takes a lot
> > more effort
> > than they're used to putting out to learn "book knowledge".
> >
> > Such folks often become highly critical of activities that
> > involve skills they find hard to learn. The classic case is
> > the kid who makes fun of sports as being pointless, and
> > athletes as being stupid, overrated, etc.
> >

> > Technology-related activities are a magnet for such folks,
> > because a lot of technology involves replacing human skill
> > and effort with machines, and they can symbolically "win"
> > by pointing out how much "better" machines can do something.
> > For example, it's possible to build a pitching machine that
> > can throw a baseball at speeds no human can achieve,
> > and the pitching machine can do it far longer than any
> > human pitcher. So, they argue, there's no reason to value
> > the skill and achievement of someone who can throw a
> > fastball, say, 100 mph, because a machine can do that
> > and a lot more.
> >
> > The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours
> > and some
> > minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone
> > can beat
> > that record if they're allowed to use a car....
> >
> > Of course the person who thinks that way has missed the entire
> > point.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Thanks for the enlightenment Jim.

You're welcome, Dan.

> I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude.

Consider that his "attitude" may be specifically
designed to get a certain reaction from you or
anyone else who bothers to respond.

> His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down
> that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.

> This flake is not even a licensed Amateur, and yet he keeps on > and on about how stupid, out of touch, people we are.

So why bother with him at all, Dan?

Think of how long you've been a ham - all the QSOs, the contests,
the rigs and antennas, etc. Think of how much more fun there is to
be had in the wonderful world of Amateur Radio. Why should one
naysayer get any attention at all from you?
>
> Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go
> talk with his engineer buddies and try to impress him.

Perhaps that is not possible. I'm an engineer, and he does
not impress me one bit.

> He don't like us....so leave.

His hobby is wasting time. Your time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1267. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 00:29:19 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121560159.695900.252010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112625

N9OGL wrote:
> >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> >night hun.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > TODD
>
>
> FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
> HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!

Having another fag fit, Toad? You certainly must be, having posted it
several times.

1268. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 00:31:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121560287.490460.35300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112626

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of July 15, 2005:

Novice - 28,080 (decrease of 21,249)
Technician - 269,658 (increase of 64,264)
Technician Plus - 48,064 (decrease of 80,796)
General - 136,377 (increase of 23,700)
Advanced - 75,730 (decrease of 24,052)
Extra - 106,908 (increase of 28,158)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,722 (decrease of 16,532)

Total all classes - 664,817 (decrease of 9,975)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued, and that all existing
Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1269. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 01:12:41 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbcbcp$1km$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112627

N9OGL wrote:

> >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> >night hun.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > TODD
>
>
> FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
> HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!
>
You sure are cute when you're angry todd the bod. Did your new rash
clear up?

1270. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:00:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121565632.957153.75110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112628

more fraud

KB9RQZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> > >night hun.
> >
> > - Hide quoted text -
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > > TODD
> >
> >
> > FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> > ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
> > HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!
> >
> You sure are cute when you're angry todd the bod. Did your new rash
> clear up?

1271. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:02:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121565763.459629.286880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112629

more fraud

KB9RQZ wrote:
> That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> night hun.
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> >
> > TODD
> >

1272. Re: a question for Steve and Simple Answers Markie Can't Comprehend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:05:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121565905.673719.204290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112630

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?
> > >
> > > Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.
> > >
> > realy then why do youlie in public
> >
> I don't.

you certainly every day you post as far as I can tell

>
> > > > Is it ok to lie in private?
> > >
> > > Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!
> > >
> > answer the question
> >
> I did. ARE you THAT stupid that you need to ask a stupid question
> like that?

Steve I realy don't how screwed up you are

so answer the question
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1273. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:13:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121566409.775995.238910@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112631

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > >>At the time I saw it I wondered WTF you were talking about. > >>I still do.
> > >
> > > > > you realy can't understand a typo.
> > >
> > > > Mark, your posts are filled with typos, horribly mangled
> > > > grammar and senseless gibberish.
> > > >
> > > > > I think not
> > > >
> > > > I'll buy that.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave and Hans,
> > >
> > > A reminder in case you've forgotten....
> > >
> > > Mark understands what he's trying to say. He therefore thinks
> > > that if anyone else doesn't understand him, it's *their*
> > > fault, not his. He's said as much over the years.
> >
> > No I don't and have not but get allong
>
> Nonsensical.

prefectly senable

>
> > > Way back in 1999, it was pointed out to Mark that he could turn on the
> > > spellchecker in his posting software and eliminate a lot
> >
> > there was never spell checking on my software then nor is there now
>
> Sure there was. You were just too inept to operate it.

no spelling check optin existed for Free agent software (what i was
using in those days and you knew it back then insisted I should spend
MY money to please you

so another Stevie lie

>
> > > of confusion and effort on the part of those who read his posts.
> > > He replied that the use of a spellchecker slowed him down too
> > > much.
> >
> > it would require I use change out each post twice still would
>
> Again nonsensical...I think...Maybe...Was that a sentence...?!?!

don't you know?

>
> > > IOW, he expects you exert whatever time and effort it takes to
> > > figure out what he's saying, rather than having *him* write it
> > > clearly in the first place.
> >
> > Nope I expect youall (to use the southernism)...(SNIP)
>
> It's "y'all", Wusso. No true Southerner says "you all"

that is how they say it in Alabama or did last I was there

>
> > to either figure it out
> > or ignore it as you will it is inaccurate to state I expect Stevie or
> > yourself to do any work at all. I simply (try) provide content folks
> > may find interesting if they care to bother.
>
> They may "bother" more if it wasn't SUCH a bother to read such
> DRECK.

Stevie you are proof it isn't that hard

but wether they bother or not is not my concern
which was ofcourse the point but you missed it, again

>
> > I also note there has always seemed to relaionship between wether or
> > not someone agrees with me and thier ability to read my stuff
> > accordingly Jim if you don't want to bother the don't.
>
> No one "agrees" with your stuff, Mark...Except where personal
> attacks and such are involved. You've not uttered a single
> constructive thing since your "return".

lies steve, more lies, why do you lie in public so?

Btw what "constructives post have you made while I was one, Haven't
read them all but looking over the google search can find on in 5 years

>
> > > Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
> > > Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a

> > > spellchecker. But he won't.
> >
> > no spelling checker in google posting which is my current access, so
> > your statement is n't true any more that their is any need for spell
> > chekcing
>
> Sure there is, and it was pointed out to you 1000 times before.
> Type your posts in something like "WordPerfect", clean it up, then
> paste it here.

another Stevie lie No spelling check in teh GOOgle interface

you sugestion I cut and paste is simply too much bother esp with the
sheer volomue of shit you put out, as i have said a few times

>
> > but you are right i won't so deal with it or not as YOU choose nobody
> > making try to read it
> >
> > Although I do wonder how such mighty Morse men are so easliy stumped by
> > a typo or 5. It must happen on air with morse to you. Other are honest
> > enough to admit that (none of them procoders on RRAP though)
>
> I reiterate my previous statement...Most "Morse Men" are not
> grossly incompetent with the language like you are.

gee not it is only most Morsemen last week it was NO marseman, so which
was the lie Stevie

>
> > > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
> >
> > as is your right
>
> Missed the point again.

nope I got his point just disgree with it, haven't seen yours yet

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1274. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:14:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121566470.273358.30380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112632

your point in these looks like biweekly updates?

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Technician - 205,394
> Technician Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of July 15, 2005:
>
> Novice - 28,080 (decrease of 21,249)
> Technician - 269,658 (increase of 64,264)
> Technician Plus - 48,064 (decrease of 80,796)
> General - 136,377 (increase of 23,700)
> Advanced - 75,730 (decrease of 24,052)
> Extra - 106,908 (increase of 28,158)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,722 (decrease of 16,532)
>
> Total all classes - 664,817 (decrease of 9,975)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses
> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>
> They also do not include club, military, RACES
> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued, and that all existing
> Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as
> Technician.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1275. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:19:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121566780.378779.8030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112633

ill offer a few coctection ol boy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sat 16 Jul 2005 21:31
>
> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> an/W4NTI wrote:
> >>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> >>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >>> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
> >>> >> amateur radios.
> >>> >
> >>> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
> >>>
> >>> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
> >>> and
> >>> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
> >>>
> >>> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up to
> >>> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
> >>> manage to get a ham license.
> >>>
> >>> He is a pathetic loser.
> >>
> >> Well, Dan, that may be how it seems to you, but here's another
> >> viewpoint.
> >>
> >> There's a certain kind of person for whom "book learning" comes
> >> easily, but for whom practical skills take a lot of effort. You've
> >> probably run into people like that in your life.
>

break

> No problem with me...practical skills are just as easy as
> "book learning." :-)

might have asked how Morse quilifies as a practical skill
>
> >> IOW, they may be able to quickly and easily learn and tell you
> >> all about baseball, but learning to actually throw/hit/catch
> >> one requires a *lot* of practice and effort on their part. They
> >> *can* learn to do those skills, it just takes a lot more effort
> >> than they're used to putting out to learn "book knowledge".

>
> One can "learn baseball" by reading a book? :-)
>
> >> Such folks often become highly critical of activities that
> >> involve skills they find hard to learn. The classic case is
> >> the kid who makes fun of sports as being pointless, and
> >> athletes as being stupid, overrated, etc.
>
> To be great in amateur radio one has to be a jock? :-)
>
> >> Technology-related activities are a magnet for such folks,
> >> because a lot of technology involves replacing human skill
> >> and effort with machines, and they can symbolically "win"
> >> by pointing out how much "better" machines can do something.
> >> For example, it's possible to build a pitching machine that
> >> can throw a baseball at speeds no human can achieve,
> >> and the pitching machine can do it far longer than any
> >> human pitcher. So, they argue, there's no reason to value
> >> the skill and achievement of someone who can throw a
> >> fastball, say, 100 mph, because a machine can do that and a lot more.
>
> Well, there ya go, one has to be a JOCK in amateur radio!
>
> Or maybe it's just "showing one's dedication and committment to
> the amateur community" by learning how to throw a fastball? :-)
>
> >> The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours and some
> >> minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone can beat
> >> that record if they're allowed to use a car....
> >>
> >> Of course the person who thinks that way has missed the entire
> >> point.
>

break

> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie's "point" seems to be ALL about doing
> things in amateur radio "simply" and with lots and lots of
> manual effort? WORK HARD! Heh heh heh...sado-masochism
> arrives as a new class of license in U.S. amateur radio?

Corection Lenn Stevie interodcued it back in '98 or '99
>
>
> >Thanks for the enlightenment Jim.
>
> Goodie, now you know ALL about marathoning and "book larnin'"!
>
> >I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude.
>
> Poor baby. Did you think yours was universally loved? :-)

>
> > His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down
> >that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.
>
> Oh, yeah, if'n ya don' lak Jeswald, ya "hate" everything
> he likes. :-)
>
> Wow, talk about an EGO PROBLEM, Dannyboy, you got one!
>
> >This flake is not even a licensed Amateur,
>
> ...right you are (for once). Now tell us all about how all
> who want to enter amateur radio must LOVE and WORK HARD at
> morsemanship...emulating the 1930's standards and practices?
>
> ...and yet he keeps on and on about how stupid, out of touch,
> people we are.
>
> I'm just trying to fix stupid. Sigh. Too big a task for me.
>
> "Ya jes' cain't fix stupid!!!" You seem fix-less, Dannyboy.
>
> >Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go talk with his
> >engineer buddies and try to impress him.
>
> WHOA! Ya said the WRONG words! Jimmie he no like anyone whut
> says "shut up!" :-)
>
> >He don't like us....so leave.
>
> Poor baby. Looking for Love and Respect in here? Tsk.
>
> Are you the "amateur community" all newcomers must show their
> "dedication and committment to amateur radio?"
>
> You must be. You keep implying that to love amateur radio is
> to love you. Dislike you and all dislike amateur radio. Not
> how it goes but you must think that way. Very insular, all
> wrapped up in yourself.
>
> So far you ain't said dink about "emergency communications."
> You've started up a nice Flame Fest claiming "homie" for you
> and your mighty macho morsemen. Good luck on that now...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1276. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:21:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121566910.681070.296350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112634

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > Secondly I can't control what a "third party" does...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > > Sure you can.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How, and we are not about here were talking about my blog.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you're not willing to take steps to curb the actions that cause
> > > > > > >your problems, they will always be able to take advantage of you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Stebie, why you were off gay bashing on other threads I was on here
> > > > > > nicely talking to people until that ####head annoymous person and Danny
> > > > > > started running their mouths.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sounds to me like YOU have a problem, Toiddie. And I wasn't gay
> > > > > bashing.
> > >
> > > more lies Stevies
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > Although you were not just gay bashing you have been doing that
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > > > > So you see ####head, I not the cause of
> > > > > > it all.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure you are.
> > > > >
> > > > > > That ###hole annoymous person was threatening me and that
> > > > > > ####head Dan was running his redneck mouth
> > > > >
> > > > > Do you think it has anything to do with your profanities and
> > > > > immature behaviour? Or is it everyone else's fault?
> > >
> > > grow up Stevie
> > >

break

> > No adult would be so obsessive about profanities
>
> Sure they would.

no adult would

>
> No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.

wasn't the subject the subject is your obseesion with profanity

>
> Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.

Fuck you your a sack of shit, and dylexics untie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1277. Re: Stevie claims to be reducing the volume of his posting...Lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:25:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121567131.264359.131040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112635

then you did not follow them right still get from FFC.gov to a
defferent set as well what you posted

is the end point
http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/12feb20041500/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2004/octq
tr/47cfr97.305.htm

Subpart D_Technical Standards

Sec. 97.305 Authorized emission types.

(a) An amateur station may transmit a CW emission on any frequency
authorized to the control operator.
(b) A station may transmit a test emission on any frequency
authorized to the control operator for brief periods for experimental
purposes, except that no pulse modulation emission may be transmitted
on
any frequency where pulse is not specifically authorized and no SS
modulation emission may be transmitted on any frequency where SS is not

specifically authorized.
(c) A station may transmit the following emission types on the
frequencies indicated, as authorized to the control operator, subject
to
the standards specified in Sec. 97.307(f) of this part.

Standards see Sec. 97.307(f),
Wavelength band Frequencies Emission types authorized
paragraph:

MF:
160 m Entire band..... RTTY,
data..... (3).
160 m Entire band..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
HF:
80 m Entire band..... RTTY,
data..... (3), (9).
75 m Entire band..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
40 m 7.000-7.100 MHz..... RTTY,
data..... (3), (9).

40 m 7.075-7.100 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2), (9), (11).
40 m 7.100-7.150 MHz..... RTTY,
data..... (3), (9).
40 m 7.150-7.300 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
30 m Entire band..... RTTY,
data..... (3).
20 m 14.00-14.15 MHz..... RTTY,
data..... (3).
20 m 14.15-14.35 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
17 m 18.068-18.110 MHz.... RTTY,
data..... (3).
17 m 18.110-18.168 MHz.... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
15 m 21.0-21.2 MHz..... RTTY,
data..... (3), (9).
15 m 21.20-21.45 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
12 m 24.89-24.93 MHz..... RTTY,
data..... (3).
12 m 24.93-24.99 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
10 m 28.0-28.3 MHz..... RTTY,
data..... (4).
10 m 28.3-28.5 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2), (10).
10 m 28.5-29.0 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (1), (2).
10 m 29.0-29.7 MHz..... Phone,
image..... (2).
VHF:
6 m 50.1-51.0 MHz..... MCW, phone, image, RTTY,
data..... (2), (5).
Do 51.0-54.0 MHz..... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
(2), (5), (8).
test.
2 m 144.1-148.0 MHz..... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
(2), (5), (8).
test.
1.25 m 219-220 MHz.....
Data..... (13).
Do 222-225 MHz..... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
(2), (6), (8).
test.
UHF:
70 cm Entire band..... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (6), (8).
test.

33 cm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
23 cm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test.
13 cm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
SHF:
9 cm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
5 cm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
3 cm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test.
1.2 cm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
EHF:
6 mm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
4 mm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
2.5 mm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
2 mm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.
1mm Entire band.......... MCW, phone, image, RTTY, data,
SS, (7), (8), and (12).
test, pulse.

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > They are not it a text put out by the FCC if you follow one set of link
> > Hans then points out a Defferent links that do
> >
>
> I used your link set and it leads to >EXACTLY< the same text.

so you did not follow the same set of links

>
> de Hans, K0HB

1278. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:37:50 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BEFF3D87.42521%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 112636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
> Organization: http://home.earthlink.net/~mike.terrell/
> Reply-To: mike.terrell@earthlink.net
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
> Date: Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:01:14 GMT
> Subject: Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL
>

(Snip)

> Link to my "Computers for disabled Veterans" project website deleted
> after threats were telephoned to my church.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

Michael, that sucks. What happened?
You can email me directly if you want.

Greg
Lakeland

1279. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:47:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xFjCe.8965$8f7.1354@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112637

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> your point in these looks like biweekly updates?
>

1st and 15th, just like clockwork.

It's a nasty job, but somebody has to do it.

1280. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:51:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121568689.777591.17090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112638

an_old_friend wrote:
> your point in these looks like biweekly updates?

Simple:

They provide a running record of the number of licensed
amateurs in the USA, by license class. Growth and decline
over time can be seen. And the information will be preserved
as long as rrap is archived somewhere.

It should be noted that the number of US hams grew slightly after the
rules changes of April 2000, peaking in early 2003. Since then, all the
gain has gone and the totals are now almost 10,000 below what they were
before the rules changes.

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> > amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> > on the stated dates:
> >
> > As of May 14, 2000:
> >
> > Novice - 49,329
> > Technician - 205,394
> > Technician Plus - 128,860
> > General - 112,677
> > Advanced - 99,782
> > Extra - 78,750
> >
> > Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
> >
> > Total all classes - 674,792
> >
> > As of July 15, 2005:
> >
> > Novice - 28,080 (decrease of 21,249)
> > Technician - 269,658 (increase of 64,264)
> > Technician Plus - 48,064 (decrease of 80,796)
> > General - 136,377 (increase of 23,700)
> > Advanced - 75,730 (decrease of 24,052)
> > Extra - 106,908 (increase of 28,158)
> >
> > Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,722 (decrease of 16,532)
> >

> > Total all classes - 664,817 (decrease of 9,975)
> >
> > Note that these totals do not include licenses
> > that have expired but are in the grace period.
> >
> > They also do not include club, military, RACES
> > or other station-only licenses.
> >
> > Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> > Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> > are no longer issued, and that all existing
> > Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as
> > Technician.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

1281. Here's the rule, using >>your<< link:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 02:58:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kPjCe.6042$BK1.3563@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112639

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> then you did not follow them right

Yes, I followed them right, and got to:

http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/12feb20041500/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2004/octqtr/47cfr97.303.htm

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 47, Volume 5]
[Revised as of October 1, 2004]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 47CFR97.303]

[Page 602-606]

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION (CONTINUED)

PART 97_AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents

Subpart D_Technical Standards
.
.
.
.
.
Sec. 97.303 (s)An amateur station having an operator holding a General, Advanced or Amateur Extra Class license may only transmit single sideband, suppressed carrier, (emission type 2K8J3E) upper sideband on the channels 5332 kHz, 5348 kHz, 5368 kHz, 5373 kHz, and 5405 kHz. Amateur operators shall ensure that their transmission occupies only the 2.8 kHz centered around each of these frequencies. Transmissions shall not exceed an effective radiated power (e.r.p) of 50 W PEP. For the purpose of computing e.r.p. the transmitter PEP will be multiplied with the antenna

[[Page 606]]

gain relative to a dipole or the equivalent calculation in decibels. A half wave dipole antenna will be presumed to have a gain of 0 dBd. Licensees using other antennas must maintain in their station records either manufacturer data on the antenna gain or calculations of the antenna gain. No amateur station shall cause harmful interference to stations authorized in the mobile and fixed services; nor is any amateur

station protected from interference due to the operation of any such station.

[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989; 54 FR 39536, Sept. 27, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 19611, Apr. 29, 1991; 56 FR 23025, May 20, 1991; 56 FR 32518, July 17, 1991; 56 FR 40801, Aug. 16, 1991; 57 FR 40344, Sept. 3, 1992; 60 FR 15687, Mar. 27, 1995; 61 FR 15386, Apr. 8, 1996; 62 FR 9673, Mar. 3, 1997; 63 FR 42280, Aug. 7, 1998; 68 FR 33026, June 3, 2003; 69 FR 3265, Jan. 23, 2004]

1282. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 03:03:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gUjCe.6044$BK1.1198@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112640

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> ill offer a few coctection ol boy

WTF is a "coctection" --- does Trojan sell them?

1283. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 03:05:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nWjCe.6046$BK1.3749@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112641

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> Fuck you your a sack of shit, and dylexics untie
>

Mark doesn't believe in dog.

1284. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 03:32:57 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <l5kjd1dplb54vjnbeog6fgqceccsj1cr25@4ax.com>
Source record: 112642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 6 Jul 2005 10:07:45 -0400, "Bonobo Roger"
<hpsucks@hpsucks.com> wrote:

>If your thinking of buying an HP computer product....DON'T !
>
>HP has gone to India for all it's telephone Customer
>Support and they all speak bad English and you can't
>understand a word they are saying.
>
>Buy an HP....
>Have a problem...
>Call up their Tech Support hotline....
>You'll get "Pasheesh-from-Punjab" who talks like
>he's got a mouthfull of marbles and can't understand
>a word your saying because he'e been trained to
>read from a script like a trained spider monkey....
>
>(HINT: You'd be better off calling Habib at the local Dunkin-Dounutz for
>tech support..)
>
>---> F*CK Hewlett-Packard!! (another formerly great USA company that's
> now frigged it's historic reputation for great customer service by
>going to half-ass
> overseas tech support in)
>
>Buy someone else's product instead and tell HP (..and Aglient Technologies
>too!)
>to go do somehing with themselves and their crap products and support.
>
>
>Signed: a former HP Business/Commercial customer.

I've had recent tech support for two HP computers I own. Both
the US and the Indian support men and women were of very high caliber.

1285. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 03:38:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121571527.005248.171270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112643

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 16, 7:28 pm

>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <m...@this.ten> wrote in message
>> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>> >> >> amateur radios.
>
>> >> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>
>> >> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
>> >> and ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.

<snip of etc., etc. pseudo-scientific non-thinking done by morsemen>

Actually it was in the 1960s, not the 1950s, but it is difficult
to correct PCTAs who act like know-it-alls. :-)

> > The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours and some
> > minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone can beat
> > that record if they're allowed to use a car....

How about a choo-choo train? :-)

>> Thanks for the enlightenment Jim.
>
>You're welcome, Dan.

[everyone is just soooo thrilled to get marathon news...!]

>> I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude.
>
>Consider that his "attitude" may be specifically
>designed to get a certain reaction from you or
>anyone else who bothers to respond.

Awwwwwww....

Hey Jimmie, hey danno, ever consider that YOUR respective
attitudes aren't universally loved and respected? :-)

>> His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down
>> that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.
>> This flake is not even a licensed Amateur, and yet he keeps on
>> and on about how stupid, out of touch, people we are.
>

>So why bother with him at all, Dan?

Dan needs a HATE object? <shrug>

He seems "mad as hell and can't take it anymore!"

>Think of how long you've been a ham - all the QSOs, the contests,
>the rigs and antennas, etc. Think of how much more fun there is to
>be had in the wonderful world of Amateur Radio. Why should one
>naysayer get any attention at all from you?

Right! Holding fast to the 1930s standards and practices,
Dan has been having 1930s style "fun" all along and he
damn well wants to MAKE SURE the federales keep hamme raddio
JUST LIKE DAN WANTS IT! :-)

>> Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go
>> talk with his engineer buddies and try to impress him.
>
>Perhaps that is not possible. I'm an engineer, and he does
>not impress me one bit.

An "engineer" of what? A diesel locomotive? :-)

Sweetums, a "homebrew TUBE transmitter 'designed and built' in
the 1990s" isn't exackle "state of the art," is it? :-)

Real "impressive" accomplishment? In the 1990s? Okay, so you
are roughly three decades BEHIND in the state of the radio-
electronics art. What happened to you? Lose your
professionalism?

>> He don't like us....so leave.
>
>His hobby is wasting time. Your time.

SPOILER ALERT! Danno said "shut up!" Tsk, tsk. Either that is
a no-no in here or Jimmie done got a glorious case of HYPOCRISY
hanging out!

Oh...maybe its just the common old ordinary PCTA Extra Double
Standard in operation? You know...the PCTAs can say anydamnthing
they want but NCTAs have to be oh-so-polite and obliging and
never EVER say nasty to a PCTA?

Yeah, THAT's what's happening!

Have "fun" in the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society). :-)

dit dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1286. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 03:41:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121571670.643156.240260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112644

From: an_old_friend on Jul 16, 10:14 pm

>your point in these looks like biweekly updates?

He feels "important" and "of service" to the "amateur community.

Never mind that he cribs from www.qrz.com which is what anyone
can do...or even www.hamdata.com.

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>> on the stated dates:
>
>> As of May 14, 2000:

That's very nice but the PEAK of licensing happened on
2 July 2003. <shrug>

As of the end of 16 July 2005, www.hamdata.com had the
following number of licensees per class, obtained from the
publicly-available FCC database;

Technician 294,523 (40.80%)
Technician Plus 55,280 (7.66%)
Novice 33,521 (4.64%)
General 146,748 (20.33%)
Advanced 82,371 (11.41%)
Extra 109,509 (15.17%)

Total Individual 721,952 [less 9,590 "club" calls]

In the last 12 months there were 16,149 NEW licenses granted
but there were 19,086 expirations for a net gain of -2,937.

In the last 24 months there was an overall gain of -6,835
licenses.

The total of Technician and Technician Plus licensees now
make up 48.46% of all individual licensees. The number of
Technician class licensees is over twice as many as Generals.

>> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
>> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
>> are no longer issued, and that all existing
>> Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as
>> Technician.

Note also that, effective 1934, the FCC is the civil radio
regulating agency in the United States. [might as well be
"complete" about old notices... :-)]

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1287. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 03:45:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121571934.309359.157190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112645

From: an_old_friend Jul 16, 10:19 pm show options

>> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie's "point" seems to be ALL about doing
>> things in amateur radio "simply" and with lots and lots of
>> manual effort? WORK HARD! Heh heh heh...sado-masochism
>> arrives as a new class of license in U.S. amateur radio?
>
>Corection Lenn Stevie interodcued it back in '98 or '99

Stebie >>IS<< amateur sado-masochism!!! :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1288. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 04:05:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AOkCe.6061$BK1.1604@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112646

N9OGL wrote:
>>That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
>>night hun.
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
>>TODD
>
>
>
> F--K YOU YOU MOTHER F--KING B---HW--RE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> A-- BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT S--T AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU F--K ROTTEN IN
> HELL YOU F--KING B---HW--RE MOTHERF--KER!!!!!!

How about gaining control over yourself and acting like an adult, Todd?

Dave K8MN

1289. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 04:28:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O7lCe.6072$BK1.1821@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112647

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> How about gaining control over yourself and acting like an adult, Todd?
>

Aw, c'mon Dave. If he did that, then who could we point at and snicker?

73, de Hans, K0HB

1290. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 05:11:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121577080.773488.7620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112648

YOUR THE LITTLE FAG, ASSHOLE

1291. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 05:11:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121577082.872280.111990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112649

YOUR THE LITTLE FAG, ASSHOLE

1292. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 05:37:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121578633.200908.89530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112650

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 16, 10:51 pm

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> your point in these looks like biweekly updates?
>
>Simple:
>
>They provide a running record of the number of licensed
>amateurs in the USA, by license class. Growth and decline
>over time can be seen. And the information will be preserved
>as long as rrap is archived somewhere.

Oh, woweeee. Is that like "preserved for posterity?" :-)

Don't QRZ and Hamdata do any "archiving?"

I'm sure the FCC does "archiving." After all, they are the
ones granting the licenses, keeping the database... :-)

>It should be noted that the number of US hams grew slightly after the
>rules changes of April 2000, peaking in early 2003. Since then, all the
>gain has gone and the totals are now almost 10,000 below what they were
>before the rules changes.

Based on "archived data" on Hamdata.com, the number of
individual licensees on 16 July 2003 were 738,787. The
number of individual licensees on 16 July 2005 were
721,542. That's a difference of 6,835...HARDLY anything
close to "almost 10,000 below." Turns out that 6,835 is
68.35 percent of 10,000 and a LOT closer to two-thirds.

I'm just using the total number of individual licensees.
If I were to use "only" those who were not in the grace
period, that number would be SMALLER than that, even
farther from 10,000 that you state.

So far, you haven't been able to do much in the way of
Real Numbers, Mr. Engineer. Too much diesel smoke from
the engine sooting up your notes? Tsk.

Well, okay, maybe its all that "effort" to download the
FCC publicly-available database clouded your thinking, right?
You DO download that all by yourself, don't you? DSL you
got, right? Then do the sorting by fields to get what you
want? Did you write the program yourself or did you have
help? Let us know, okay?

Myself, all I need is to glance at Hamdata or QRZ website and trust them. <shrug> In here we have YOUR "service," right? [e pluribus micollis] [sounds like a microbe...]

Did you know that your "service" postings for posterity have now reached "1800" posts on Google? Actually its only about 1200 by Google count...I used "1800" because that is about like your math of "almost 10,000"..."1800" is "almost like" 1200. :-)

No, that's wrong! "800" is "almost like" 1200! But the Google message count hasn't changed! Amazing...you post more and it totals up less! :-) [fun with numbers!]

So, Jimmah, what about the two Technician classes getting close to the 50% point? No snarly gotta-love-morse comments on that? Didn't you try to say all those Technicians were going to drastically DROP in numbers a year or so ago? Why haven't they dropped, oh servant seer to the grope?

Quick, waste everyone's time with your old PCTA mantras chanted in here!

dit dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1293. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 08:05:13 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ZioCe.46782$up5.6234@lakeread02>
Source record: 112651

BH wrote:

>
>
> But Eric, all that means absolutly nothing because you don't belong to
> the IEEE (at least in lennieboy's eyes).
I *was* going to comment regarding his so-called e-mail address. but ehy
bother. its not important enough. perhaps he can post his IEEE certs here
for all to see. then I *might* be duly impressed.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1294. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 08:06:42 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <nkoCe.46783$up5.4252@lakeread02>
Source record: 112652

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Fri 15 Jul 2005 18:19
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Fri 15 Jul 2005 00:21
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>>> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>>> amateur radios. [ham radio "in the hands of children" etc.]
>>
>>AND, if you';; note, they have DEMONSTRATED that they are competant radio
>>ops (even at such a young age. note though, these are the exceptions, not
>>the general rule (in so far as cb ops are concerned). Usually in such
>>cases where a child op is involved in ham radio, there is always an adult
>>not far away to offer assistance should things get out of hand. find that
>>on cb? NO!
>
> NOT a good answer to either. You've NOT "proved" anything other
> than you can make a speculation.
>
> "...there is always an adult not far away..." for ALL amateur
> radio? Tsk, tsk. That's like saying all kids obey their
> parents when the parents aren't around. Disproven every day in
> the USA by PDs' and EMTs' visits to homes.
>
> In any other venue, the "source" for those statements would be
> called bragging.
gee, thats all I am hearing out of you: Wah wah waaaaah!

why don't you cry me a freakin river. better yet, get some real facts.

I'm done with you.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1295. Re: No stinking badges!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 08:08:13 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <OloCe.46784$up5.21880@lakeread02>
Source record: 112653

dude wrote:
> We don' gotta show ya naa stinkin' badjees!
>
> Read my IP and weep you sissy-girly-men!
>
> You guys that have your noses shoved so far up the anuses of posters here,
> to the point it tickles, anaylze this until your cajones fall off.
>
> You bunch of estrogen empowered silly old men playing radio should be
> ashamed to have your skirts blown up in the wind you are passing for
> speech.
>
>
> Signed,
> Scorpiox
>
yeah yeah yeah. what the fuck ever.

go play somewhere else you freaking troll.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1296. Re: Stevie claims More authority than the ARRL about the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 09:29:35 UTC
From: Herbiebabynumberone <kf4fz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <c96a865a177150e5c480bf0c261f1220@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 112655

In article <VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > No I don't you are often confused between facts and oinions,
it is
> > progress on your part to admit that the topic was merely a
matter of
> > your inpinion
> >
>
> So wonder which Steve puts on his hamburger, fact or oinions?
I prefer
> oinions myself.

"oinions" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA You are such a fuck up,
fake pilot's license boy.
--
Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out
of school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

1297. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 09:30:05 UTC
From: Not Roger <ab8mq@anonHam>
Message-ID: <dbd8cv$17o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112654
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> No, Scott. It comes naturally to him.

Hey, Snott, are you posting as one of your anonymous "supporters"
again?

>

Hey, Wogie. Are you posting via one of your "two or more" anonymous
remailers again?

Chickenshit is as Chickenshit does.
You and Toad are two peas in a pod.

Now go to the outhouse and change your Rely diaper. You are already two
quarts past due.

1298. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 09:38:48 UTC
From: Andy <n97**@no>
Message-ID: <dbd8ta$381$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112656

How about gaining control over yourself and acting like an adult, Todd?

Dave K8MN

>

Not gonna happen, Dave.
Asking Todd to exert self control is asking the impossible.

Todd is just a step and a half away from being a complete, hands-down idiot.

1299. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 09:43:38 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <9u9kd1dvqlro15643dujqqs53jrocaj5lh@4ax.com>
Source record: 112659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 16:38:08 -0400, "Net" <net@whoanetscntro.com>
wrote:

>
>Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one,

Trying to sound tough by using trite sayings just lets
everyone know what a weenie you are.

1300. Lennie Posts Drivel Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 09:44:31 UTC
From: Andy <n97**@no>
Message-ID: <dbd982$4gr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112657

Lennie does it again...says in three chapters that which more intelligent users could say in three sentences.

Verbose? Blowhard? Troll?

Poor Lennie. He talks so much but says so little.

1301. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 09:44:50 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <p2akd1tthfhr2sek5jj4firh1lsa2lbq8s@4ax.com>
Source record: 112658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 18:16:12 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 16:38:08 -0400, "Net" <net@whoanetscntro.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:d9sak9vhk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>> How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
>>> binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot of
>>> people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license renewed.
>>> It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because it is
>>> protected by the Constitution.
>>>
>>> And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.
>>>
>>>
>>> Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>
>>Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one,
>
>I forgot about that one. But that is a great line,

What rock have you been under since that dumb line was first
used in the seventies?

1302. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 09:45:49 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <35akd15akcv29agma9jriqc2mamub267qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 112660

On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 19:10:48 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>Net wrote:
>
>>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d9sak9vhk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>> How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
>>> binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot
>>> of people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license
>>> renewed. It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because
>>> it is protected by the Constitution.
>>>
>>> And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.
>>>
>>>
>>> Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>
>> Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one, and yours
>> is hanging out in the open, when it comes to K1MAN. You
>> had better pull up your pants, before you become the
>> laughing stock, if you aren't already. You had better read
>> up on the Holegergenski case and petitions, before you make
>> yourself look even stupider than you are now. And when
>> were you given permission to trim newsgroups?

Like whose permission is required, dumb shit?

1303. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 12:16:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121602613.228758.89470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112661

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121468489.242331.321620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> > http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>
> It's a delight to see a startup in the high-end kit business.

Yep. Fortunately it does not compete with any Southgate Radio products.
>
> Some off-the-top comments....
>
> Integrated PC got me thinking "SDR", but must not be, because
> the embedded PC is an option.

Could be SDR without the embedded PC. The PC option seems to me
to be a way of putting the entire station in one box, without
the usual cabling - if you want that.
>
> He calls it a "3-night" kit --- I wonder how much of the
> assembly work is already complete?

Most of it, appears to me.
>
> $6-grand fully optioned, puts it up there at about three times > the price of an
> FT-100MP Mk V or an Icom 756PRO II, and almost 4 times the
> price of a Kenwood
> TS-2000. Only the IC-7800 and FT-9000 are spendier!

Sure, but what do the options include?

> Would be nice to see some performance numbers.

That's the real crunch, as it were. At the posted price I'd think it
would need to top everything else on the market on the important
numbers.

One good sign is that the company will not accept any money until they
are actually shipping the product.

I wonder how many they will actually ship?

--

For comparison, a fully optioned K2/100 tops out at maybe $1600 (IIRC)
without power supply or computer. That's with 160-10, SSB, NB, audio
DSP, ATU, RS-232 port and 100W.

Over 5000 K2s have been sold, with minimal advertising.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1304. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 12:29:52 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbdj1m$a2i$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112670

an_old_friend wrote:

>

> Fuck you your a sack of shit, and dylexics untie
>
>
Man in man fun is GREAT!

1305. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 12:34:45 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbdjascjm1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112671

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> your point in these looks like biweekly updates?
>

I love anything qith *bi* in it!!!

1306. Re: Emergency coctection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 12:40:19 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbdjlecto1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112669

an_old_friend wrote:

> ill offer a few coctection ol boy
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> ill offer a few coctection ol boy
>
Coctection? is that like gaydar? (mine works good)
>

1307. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 12:44:01 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbdjs7$d8p$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112662

N9OGL wrote:

> YOUR THE LITTLE FAG, ASSHOLE
>
My asshole does have fag desires.

1308. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 13:25:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <q%sCe.4466$dU3.218@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112663

an_old_friend wrote:

> Foolish consitancy is the Hobgoblin of small minds (Emerson)
>
> a non movie tv quote BTW Stevie

Then again, to paraphrase Mencken, a mediocre man is always at his best.
That really doesn't suit you though, Mark. You've not yet risen to the
level of mediocre.

Dave K8MN

1309. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 13:32:03 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121607123.618473.151840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112664
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not Roger wrote:
> > No, Scott. It comes naturally to him.
>
> Hey, Snott, are you posting as one of your anonymous "supporters"
> again?
>
> >
>
> Hey, Wogie.

Hey Snotty!, When are you going to tell us about another one of your
relatives dying is the reason you took a quick powder again?

> Are you posting via one of your "two or more" anonymous
> remailers again?

Are you going to post under your real name again?

> Chickenshit is as Chickenshit does.

You should know, Snotty, hiding behind another name again.

> You and Toad are two peas in a pod.

No, stupid.

> Now go to the outhouse and change your Rely diaper. You are already two
> quarts past due.

Now go to the mental health free clinic and get your medications
changed, the ones you are on aren't working for you, Snotty.

1310. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 13:35:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L8tCe.6218$BK1.2859@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112665

an_old_friend wrote:

> Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not

He torted your words? You might have a legal case. ;-)

> nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it

Does that procedure involve a hair transplant?

> the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
> narrowminded vision

It surely was "unforunate" since it wasn't the truth. Of course, you
aren't bound by any narrow minded stuff like truth.

> I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
> you do

When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
abortions.

Dave K8MN

1311. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 14:34:23 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbdqb7$l1f$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112666

N9OGL wrote:

>
> FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
> HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!
>
Flooding abuse report sent to abuse@att.net your welcome!

1312. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 14:35:41 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbdqdj$l42$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112667

N9OGL wrote:

> FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
> HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!
>
Flooding abuse report sent to abuse@att.net you're welcome!

1313. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 14:36:37 UTC
From: KB9RQZ <KB9RQZ@blowhard.com>
Message-ID: <dbdqfc$l64$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112668

N9OGL wrote:

>
> FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
> HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!
>
Flooding abuse report sent to abuse@att.net you're welcome!

1314. Re: Emergency coctection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 16:26:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121617619.169472.265210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112672

more fraud
KB9RQZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > ill offer a few coctection ol boy
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > ill offer a few coctection ol boy
> >
> Coctection? is that like gaydar? (mine works good)
> >

1315. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 16:27:03 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121617623.189045.13800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Nemo wrote:
> On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 10:36:37 -0400, KB9RQZ imposter wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >
> > > >
> > > FUCK YOU YOU MOTHER FUCKING BITCHWHORE, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> > > ASS BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT SHIT AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU FUCK ROTTEN IN
> > > HELL YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!!
> > > >
> > Flooding abuse report sent to abuse@att.net you're welcome!
>
> Duhhh.
>
> You sent it to the wrong place, numbnuts. AT&T will laugh their
> asses off at you!
>
> I know that I am.

Perhaps, but will Adam Vocks?

12.156.123.39

12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
AT&T WorldNet Services
AT&T
200 S. LAUREL AVE.
MIDDLETOWN, NJ
US

Kostick, Deirdre
help@ip.att.net
+1-919-319-8249

12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINES
1100 SPORTSMAN DR
TAYLORVILLE, IL
US

Vocks, Adam
ADAM@ctitech.com
+1-217-824-6398

1316. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 16:27:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121617669.385581.165460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112675

more fraud

KB9RQZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > your point in these looks like biweekly updates?
> >
>
> I love anything qith *bi* in it!!!

1317. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 16:28:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121617720.688281.43480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112674

more fraud
KB9RQZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > >
>
> > > Fuck you your a sack of shit, and dylexics untie
> > >
> > >
> > Man in man fun is GREAT!

1318. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 17:02:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121619729.230703.44810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112676

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not
>
> He torted your words? You might have a legal case. ;-)
>
>
> > nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it
>
> Does that procedure involve a hair transplant?
>
>
> > the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
> > narrowminded vision
>
> It surely was "unforunate" since it wasn't the truth. Of course, you
> aren't bound by any narrow minded stuff like truth.
>
> > I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
> > you do
>
> When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
> accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
> you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
> abortions.

only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them
>
>
> Dave K8MN

1319. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 19:08:46 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <CZDBB30B38550.631087963@anonymous>
Source record: 112677
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <dbd8cv$17o$0@pita.alt.net>
"Not Roger" <ab8mq@anonHam> wrote:

> Hey, Wogie. Are you posting via one of your "two or more"
anonymous
> remailers again?

Hey, Shit for brains, are you still a 31 year old VIRGIN?
When you use two or more remailers and the message is encrypted,
it is impossible to trace.
So keep referring to your owner, Dumbass!

> Chickenshit is as Chickenshit does.

That why you never showed up at Dalton hamfest? And thanks for
plagiarizing from your hero, Forrest Gump, he looks and sounds
like Einstein compared to you.

Oh, and make sure you have the CIA send out those black
helicopters to all the anonymous remailers, too.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

1320. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 19:32:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <%myCe.1427$cg.417@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112678

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1121578633.200908.89530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 16, 10:51 pm
>
>
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> your point in these looks like biweekly updates?
> > >
> > >Simple:
> > >
> > >They provide a running record of the number of licensed
> > >amateurs in the USA, by license class. Growth and decline
> > >over time can be seen. And the information will be preserved
> > >as long as rrap is archived somewhere.
>
> Oh, woweeee. Is that like "preserved for posterity?" :-)
>
> Don't QRZ and Hamdata do any "archiving?"
>
> I'm sure the FCC does "archiving." After all, they are the
> ones granting the licenses, keeping the database... :-)
>
>
> >It should be noted that the number of US hams grew slightly after the
> >rules changes of April 2000, peaking in early 2003. Since then, all the
> >gain has gone and the totals are now almost 10,000 below what they were
> >before the rules changes.
>
> Based on "archived data" on Hamdata.com, the number of
> individual licensees on 16 July 2003 were 738,787. The
> number of individual licensees on 16 July 2005 were
> 721,542. That's a difference of 6,835...HARDLY anything
> close to "almost 10,000 below." Turns out that 6,835 is
> 68.35 percent of 10,000 and a LOT closer to two-thirds.
>
> I'm just using the total number of individual licensees.
> If I were to use "only" those who were not in the grace
> period, that number would be SMALLER than that, even
> farther from 10,000 that you state.
>
> So far, you haven't been able to do much in the way of
> Real Numbers, Mr. Engineer. Too much diesel smoke from
> the engine sooting up your notes? Tsk.
>

> Well, okay, maybe its all that "effort" to download the
> FCC publicly-available database clouded your thinking, right?
> You DO download that all by yourself, don't you? DSL you
> got, right? Then do the sorting by fields to get what you
> want? Did you write the program yourself or did you have
> help? Let us know, okay?
>
> Myself, all I need is to glance at Hamdata or QRZ website
> and trust them. <shrug> In here we have YOUR "service,"
> right? [e pluribus micollis] [sounds like a microbe...]
>
> Did you know that your "service" postings for posterity
> have now reached "1800" posts on Google? Actually its only
> about 1200 by Google count...I used "1800" because that is
> about like your math of "almost 10,000"..."1800" is "almost
> like" 1200. :-)
>
> No, that's wrong! "800" is "almost like" 1200! But the
> Google message count hasn't changed! Amazing...you post
> more and it totals up less! :-) [fun with numbers!]
>
> So, Jimmah, what about the two Technician classes getting
> close to the 50% point? No snarly gotta-love-morse comments
> on that? Didn't you try to say all those Technicians were
> going to drastically DROP in numbers a year or so ago?
> Why haven't they dropped, oh servant seer to the grope?
>
> Quick, waste everyone's time with your old PCTA mantras
> chanted in here!
>
> dit dot
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

I wonder what the number of engineers with BS or higher degrees is doing these days? Since we export jobs to India (for the smart stuff) and China (for the slave labor stuff), no one feels a need to know anything.

This is not a rant for Morse (I think you know me better than that); rather a question as to whether or not folks care to expend even one iota of effort to learn anything of substance. We have more mystics around now and few folks of substance. I had to chuckle about a new website for hams, cbers, and folks that like to discuss *theoretical physics*?!?!

Right.

Perhaps we should hire a priest to sprinkle some Holy Water on the group to fix everything LOL.

All one needs is a scientific calculator or compooter and punch the numbers in. Funny how some folks can't even make change without a calculator. Just punch the number into the calculator. Uh, was that +i or -i ... or was that j? Impedance? Um .... is that like series or parallel resistors? Just punch the numbers in. I certainly hope that someone understands what they are punching in.

Gawd, what are these things? Resistors, but how are they connected. Someone said something about a bridge? Now what?

Ohm ohm on the range .....

Have a good one, Len. I'm heading to the 'fridge to get a couple of tall, cool 807s.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1321. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 20:20:02 UTC
From: Wogie Two Shoes <Coward@Glendale>
Message-ID: <dbeefl$7ms$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<kashe@sonic.net> wrote in message
news:9u9kd1dvqlro15643dujqqs53jrocaj5lh@4ax.com...
On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 16:38:08 -0400, "Net" <net@whoanetscntro.com>
wrote:

>
>Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one,

Trying to sound tough by using trite sayings just lets
everyone know what a weenie you are.

>
It takes one to know one.

Trite enough?

1322. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 20:31:40 UTC
From: Wogie Two Shoes <Coward@Glendale>
Message-ID: <dbef5e$ae3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112680
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.flame,alt.flame.faggots,alt.flame.jews,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
news:CZDBB30B38550.631087963@anonymous...
In article <dbd8cv$17o$0@pita.alt.net>
"Not Roger" <ab8mq@anonHam> wrote:

> Hey, Wogie. Are you posting via one of your "two or more"
anonymous
> remailers again?

Hey, Shit for brains, are you still a 31 year old VIRGIN? I still live at
610 Glen Haven Avenue, Glendale, West Virginia, 26038. Just make your cards
and letters out to Roger Wiseman.
You can email me at ab8mq@arrl.net

ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
ab8mq@arrl.net

1323. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 20:42:13 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <dbefsb$1bk$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112682

"Wogie Two Shoes" <Coward@Glendale> wrote in message
news:dbef5e$ae3$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
> news:CZDBB30B38550.631087963@anonymous...
>> Hey, Wogie. Are you posting via ...

Nobody gives a shit.

1324. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 20:42:48 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <dbefse$1bk$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 112681

"Wogie Two Shoes" <Coward@Glendale> wrote in message
news:dbef5e$ae3$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
> news:CZDBB30B38550.631087963@anonymous...
>> Hey, Wogie. Are you posting via ...

Nobody gives a shit.

1325. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 21:42:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121636574.602753.187480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112683

From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Jul 17, 4:05 am

>I *was* going to comment regarding his so-called e-mail address. but ehy
>bother. its not important enough. perhaps he can post his IEEE certs here
>for all to see. then I *might* be duly impressed.

You want "certs?" :-) Go get ANY IEEE Membership Directory
for the last 30 years. I'm in there...and at the same mail
address. Any technical library should have such a directory.

Or, you can contact KD6JG or W6MJN to verify I'm a member. :-)

Or, simply send me an e-message at the "signature" address. It
will be forwarded to my ISP and I can answer.

You COULD go to the IEEE directly (website www.ieee.org) and
pester them about my membership but you won't get far with
a bad 'tude. You are NOT going to get my membership number.
Easily. Bad 'tudes make untrustworthy e-tampering.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1326. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 21:45:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121636714.362252.92920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112684

From: Jim Hampton on Jul 17, 3:32 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>Hello, Len
>
>I wonder what the number of engineers with BS or higher degrees is doing
>these days? Since we export jobs to India (for the smart stuff) and China
>(for the slave labor stuff), no one feels a need to know anything.

Well, still IN the electronics industry (although not at regular
hours), I don't see that gloomy a picture as far as engineers
and technicians are concerned.

"Exported jobs" are only in certain occupations, a very few to be
exact. What with the availability of multi-channel satellite
telephonic relays, lots and lots of telephone-contact jobs have
moved to India and similar places...but that is largely in the
"service jobs" which don't do that much design, development, and
manufacturing. Some software development has moved to India but
not some "mass exodus" of jobs...there's still plenty of software
development going on within our borders.

I don't have any firm numbers on occupation trends but can point
you to: www.doleta.gov/jobseekers [Department of Labor}

You can check out the in-demand jobs now and projections to the
year 2012. By state if you wish. Starting salaries, mid-term
salaries, top ten-percent salaries given.

>This is not a rant for Morse (I think you know me better than that); rather
>a question as to whether or not folks care to expend even one iota of effort
>to learn anything of substance. We have more mystics around now and few
>folks of substance. I had to chuckle about a new website for hams, cbers,
>and folks that like to discuss *theoretical physics*?!?!

Offhand, from my observation out in the southwest corner of the
USA, there's lots and lots and lots and lots of folks who DO
expend many many "iotas" of effort to learn new things or to
keep up with changing trends. For hobby activities in electronics
there's a humongous amount of folks interested in robotics of all
kinds, a very electronics-intensive hobby...everything from
making SMT soldering ovens out of countertop toaster-ovens to
all kinds of infra-red and supersonic sensing (with accuracy)
and, of course, microprocessor programming. At least two
monthly periodicals on such things as well as the good old Nuts
and Volts magazine.

Case in point involves Neil Hecht's cute little microcontroller frequency meter with readout that he sells out of AADE in Seattle, WA. Very popular unit to mod any old receiver or transmitter for accurate frequency indication. However, like all such microcontroller-architecture units, it outputs some stray RF that can get into receiver front ends. A fella on AOL came up with a NON-microcontroller frequency meter in a very small size, a conventional time-base gated counter with presets that does the same but with much less stray RF output. Full plans and PCBExpress data files for the three small PCBs that hold SMD logic packages, detailed parts list, schematics, etc. In effect, it is a fully engineered project, both electrical and mechanical. Lots of thought went into it. There's all kinds of that "lots of thought" project stuff out on the web if anyone cares to look.

"Mystics?" Those have always been around...for centuries before you and I existed. Mostly those are con-artists duping the lay people by all kinds of "magic." I don't concern myself with those since I recognize what they are and who the people who frequent such places are...from curiosity looking in to some BBSs years ago.

>Right.
>
>Perhaps we should hire a priest to sprinkle some Holy Water on the group to
>fix everything LOL.

Turn the power off the group first. We don't want them with shorts.

>All one needs is a scientific calculator or compooter and punch the numbers
>in.

THANK THE LORD for providing humans with minds to think those things up!!!

>Funny how some folks can't even make change without a calculator. Just
>punch the number into the calculator.

I have two "everyday" watches. One is a La Crosse radio watch that sets itself to WWVB between midnight and 6 AM. Made in China. Don't know where the engineering design came from other than its been done for years by hobbyists and is in the example software from PIC Microchip (freebie). Cost me all of $30 plus change (shipping included) via e-order. The other is a watch-calculator purchased at the Sav-On drug store chain for $15 plus tax. I wear that one for shopping to check out totals and tax and things. The little microprocessor inside handles the watch functions and the four-function calculator functions plus decoding the little "keyboard."

At home, I've got an excellent programmable scientific calculator from HP, an HP 32S II bought at Fry's (a supermarket of consumer electronics here in the west) for $60 plus tax. CMOS circuitry

allows the long-life batteries to keep all memories "alive" with
the last calculations. Many, many built-in functions including
conversions Metric-English, etc., plus constant storage and a
small memory for program storage. Batteries last for over five
years. Two Pi is always stored in there to 10 places for
convenience and it does do complex number arithmetic, keeping the
real and imaginary parts separate. Excellent unit! A newer
HP-33S came out in late 2004 for about $55, essentially the same
guts but a "trendy" keyboard styling I don't personally care for.

> Uh, was that +i or -i ... or was that
>j? Impedance? Um .... is that like series or parallel resistors? Just
>punch the numbers in. I certainly hope that someone understands what they
>are punching in.

I do and so do all my compadres in the electronics biz here.

If others don't know, that's their choice...but they can't say
they are "superior" to those who DO know. :-)

If someone doesn't know Z and Y but show a sincere desire to
learn, I'll try to help them learn. [if they demand I teach
them they will get an "impedance" up their I/O ports...:-)]

>Gawd, what are these things? Resistors, but how are they connected.
>Someone said something about a bridge? Now what?
>
>Ohm ohm on the range .....

...where the dits and dahs all do play...? :-)

>Have a good one, Len. I'm heading to the 'fridge to get a couple of tall,
>cool 807s.

Good on that...but just don't bite off the plate cap with yer teeth.

Remember: Vacuum sucks! :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1327. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 22:01:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lzACe.6366$BK1.3169@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112685

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not
>>
>>He torted your words? You might have a legal case. ;-)
>>
>>
>>
>>>nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it
>>
>>Does that procedure involve a hair transplant?
>>
>>
>>
>>>the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
>>>narrowminded vision
>>
>>It surely was "unforunate" since it wasn't the truth. Of course, you
>>aren't bound by any narrow minded stuff like truth.
>>
>>
>>>I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
>>>you do
>>
>>When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
>>accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
>>you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
>>abortions.
>
>
> only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them

Gee, Mark, don't you get it? You are one of the narrow minded people who wants to be spoon fed. You want amateur radio to be easier in order to accomodate you. You want explanations about 5 MHz modes to be in Mark-sized chunks. You want all others to have to try to decipher your hieroglyphs rather than you doing the work to post messages in properly-spelled and grammatically correct English. You'd like folks to guess as to how you'll define terms which have a specific meaning to all

the rest of us.

Dave K8MN

1328. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 22:11:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OIACe.9295$8f7.5746@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112686

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 17, 3:32 pm

>>Funny how some folks can't even make change without a calculator. Just
>>punch the number into the calculator.
>
>
> I have two "everyday" watches. One is a La Crosse radio watch
> that sets itself to WWVB between midnight and 6 AM. Made in
> China. Don't know where the engineering design came from
> other than its been done for years by hobbyists and is in the
> example software from PIC Microchip (freebie). Cost me all of
> $30 plus change (shipping included) via e-order. The other is
> a watch-calculator purchased at the Sav-On drug store chain for
> $15 plus tax. I wear that one for shopping to check out totals
> and tax and things. The little microprocessor inside handles
> the watch functions and the four-function calculator functions
> plus decoding the little "keyboard."

You change watches to go shopping? You wear a calculator watch so you can enter each item, total the items and punch in the tax? Who knew one could waste so much time in a supermarket?

I generally wear the same Skagen watch each day. When I've finished shopping, the clerk scans each item. The electronic cash register keeps track of each item and adds the proper amount of state tax if I'm here in West Virginia. If food shopping across the river in Ohio, there is no tax to be calculated. A printed receipt provides a detailed list of what I've paid for each item.

It is a Brave, New World you live in, Leonard.

Dave K8MN

1329. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 22:23:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bTACe.5114$oZ.3260@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112687

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121556512.978218.256120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1121515612.389389.105430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > an/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>> >> news:Pqidnfd8n6wsuUXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to
>> >> >> operate
>> >> >> amateur radios.
>> >> >
>> >> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>> >>
>> >> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
>> >> and
>> >> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>> >>
>> >> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up
>> >> to
>> >> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
>> >> manage to get a ham license.
>> >>
>> >> He is a pathetic loser.
>> >
>> > Well, Dan, that may be how it seems to you, but here's another
>> > viewpoint.
>> >
>> > There's a certain kind of person for whom "book learning"
>> > comes
>> > easily, but for whom practical skills take a lot of effort.
>> > You've
>> > probably run into people like that in your life.
>> >
>> > IOW, they may be able to quickly and easily learn and tell you
>> > all about baseball, but learning to actually throw/hit/catch
>> > one requires a *lot* of practice and effort on their part.
>> > They
>> > *can* learn to do those skills, it just takes a lot
>> > more effort
>> > than they're used to putting out to learn "book knowledge".
>> >
>> > Such folks often become highly critical of activities that
```

>> > involve skills they find hard to learn. The classic case is
>> > the kid who makes fun of sports as being pointless, and
>> > athletes as being stupid, overrated, etc.
>> >
>> > Technology-related activities are a magnet for such folks,
>> > because a lot of technology involves replacing human skill
>> > and effort with machines, and they can symbolically "win"
>> > by pointing out how much "better" machines can do something.
>> > For example, it's possible to build a pitching machine that
>> > can throw a baseball at speeds no human can achieve,
>> > and the pitching machine can do it far longer than any
>> > human pitcher. So, they argue, there's no reason to value
>> > the skill and achievement of someone who can throw a
>> > fastball, say, 100 mph, because a machine can do that
>> > and a lot more.
>> >
>> > The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours
>> > and some
>> > minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone
>> > can beat
>> > that record if they're allowed to use a car....
>> >
>> > Of course the person who thinks that way has missed the entire
>> > point.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Thanks for the enlightenment Jim.
>
> You're welcome, Dan.
>
>> I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude.
>
> Consider that his "attitude" may be specifically
> designed to get a certain reaction from you or
> anyone else who bothers to respond.
>
>> His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down
>> that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.
>
>> This flake is not even a licensed Amateur, and yet he keeps on > and on
>> about how stupid, out of touch, people we are.
>
> So why bother with him at all, Dan?
>
> Think of how long you've been a ham - all the QSOs, the contests,
> the rigs and antennas, etc. Think of how much more fun there is to
> be had in the wonderful world of Amateur Radio. Why should one
> naysayer get any attention at all from you?
>>

>> Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go
>> talk with his engineer buddies and try to impress him.
>
> Perhaps that is not possible. I'm an engineer, and he does
> not impress me one bit.
>
>> He don't like us....so leave.
>
> His hobby is wasting time. Your time.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Interesting point of view Jim. Your right, why the heck do we bother with this flake? I don't think I would have cared to be a engineer. I prefered to be a Technician. I enjoyed fixing and making things work. I like Morse Code, he don't. So what.

Dan/W4NTI

1330. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 22:26:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lWACe.5115$oZ.4089@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112688

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1121571527.005248.171270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 16, 7:28 pm
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> >> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <m...@this.ten> wrote in message
>>> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to
>>> >> >> operate
>>> >> >> amateur radios.
>>
>>> >> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>>
>>> >> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the
>>> >> CW,
>>> >> and ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>
> <snip of etc., etc. pseudo-scientific non-thinking done by morsemen>
>
> Actually it was in the 1960s, not the 1950s, but it is difficult
> to correct PCTAs who act like know-it-alls. :-)
>
>
>> > The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours and some
>> > minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone can beat
>> > that record if they're allowed to use a car....
>
> How about a choo-choo train? :-)
>
>>> Thanks for the enlightenment Jim.
>>
>>You're welcome, Dan.
>
> [everyone is just soooo thrilled to get marathon news...!]
>
>>> I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude.
>>
>>Consider that his "attitude" may be specifically
>>designed to get a certain reaction from you or
>>anyone else who bothers to respond.
>
> Awwwwwww....

>
> Hey Jimmie, hey danno, ever consider that YOUR respective
> attitudes aren't universally loved and respected? :-)
>
>
>>> His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down
>>> that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.
>>> This flake is not even a licensed Amateur, and yet he keeps on
>>> and on about how stupid, out of touch, people we are.
>>
>>So why bother with him at all, Dan?
>
> Dan needs a HATE object? <shrug>
>
> He seems "mad as hell and can't take it anymore!"
>
>>Think of how long you've been a ham - all the QSOs, the contests,
>>the rigs and antennas, etc. Think of how much more fun there is to
>>be had in the wonderful world of Amateur Radio. Why should one
>>naysayer get any attention at all from you?
>
> Right! Holding fast to the 1930s standards and practices,
> Dan has been having 1930s style "fun" all along and he
> damn well wants to MAKE SURE the federales keep hamme raddio
> JUST LIKE DAN WANTS IT! :-)
>
>>> Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go
>>> talk with his engineer buddies and try to impress him.
>>
>>Perhaps that is not possible. I'm an engineer, and he does
>>not impress me one bit.
>
> An "engineer" of what? A diesel locomotive? :-)
>
> Sweetums, a "homebrew TUBE transmitter 'designed and built' in
> the 1990s" isn't exackle "state of the art," is it? :-)
>
> Real "impressive" accomplishment? In the 1990s? Okay, so you
> are roughly three decades BEHIND in the state of the radio-
> electronics art. What happened to you? Lose your
> professionalism?
>
>
>>> He don't like us....so leave.
>>
>>His hobby is wasting time. Your time.
>
> SPOILER ALERT! Danno said "shut up!" Tsk, tsk. Either that is
> a no-no in here or Jimmie done got a glorious case of HYPOCRISY
> hanging out!

>
> Oh...maybe its just the common old ordinary PCTA Extra Double
> Standard in operation? You know...the PCTAs can say anydamnthing
> they want but NCTAs have to be oh-so-polite and obliging and
> never EVER say nasty to a PCTA?
>
> Yeah, THAT's what's happening!
>
> Have "fun" in the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society). :-)
>
>
> dit dit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Just more proof that you are jealous as hell at those that have achieved what you can't, or should I say won't. Anyone can pass the ham test today. Even you could Lennie. Course then you wouldn't be able to sit atop your self made pedestal and pontificate all day long then.

Dan/W4NTI

1331. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 22:29:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <oZACe.5117$oZ.4480@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112689

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1121553772.891939.218370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sat 16 Jul 2005 21:31
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> an/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>>>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>>> >> Tsk. Nine-year-old amateur extras have federal RIGHTS to operate
>>>> >> amateur radios.
>>>> >
>>>> > Something you sure can't seem to master.
>>>>
>>>> And never will. He tried once back in the 50s, couldn't pass the CW,
>>>> and
>>>> ever since has bitched and moaned like a little baby.
>>>>
>>>> Deep down inside he always wanted to be a ham, but was just never "up
>>>> to
>>>> snuff". And now with all his bluster he blames everyone else that did
>>>> manage to get a ham license.
>>>>
>>>> He is a pathetic loser.
>>>
>>> Well, Dan, that may be how it seems to you, but here's another
>>> viewpoint.
>>>
>>> There's a certain kind of person for whom "book learning" comes
>>> easily, but for whom practical skills take a lot of effort. You've
>>> probably run into people like that in your life.
>
> No problem with me...practical skills are just as easy as
> "book learning." :-)
>
>>> IOW, they may be able to quickly and easily learn and tell you
>>> all about baseball, but learning to actually throw/hit/catch
>>> one requires a *lot* of practice and effort on their part. They
>>> *can* learn to do those skills, it just takes a lot more effort
>>> than they're used to putting out to learn "book knowledge".
>
> One can "learn baseball" by reading a book? :-)
>
>>> Such folks often become highly critical of activities that

>>> involve skills they find hard to learn. The classic case is
>>> the kid who makes fun of sports as being pointless, and
>>> athletes as being stupid, overrated, etc.
>
> To be great in amateur radio one has to be a jock? :-)
>
>>> Technology-related activities are a magnet for such folks,
>>> because a lot of technology involves replacing human skill
>>> and effort with machines, and they can symbolically "win"
>>> by pointing out how much "better" machines can do something.
>>> For example, it's possible to build a pitching machine that
>>> can throw a baseball at speeds no human can achieve,
>>> and the pitching machine can do it far longer than any
>>> human pitcher. So, they argue, there's no reason to value
>>> the skill and achievement of someone who can throw a
>>> fastball, say, 100 mph, because a machine can do that and a lot more.
>
> Well, there ya go, one has to be a JOCK in amateur radio!
>
> Or maybe it's just "showing one's dedication and committment to
> the amateur community" by learning how to throw a fastball? :-)
>
>>> The world record in the 26.22 mile marathon is two hours and some
>>> minutes, equating to a bit under 13 mph. Almost anyone can beat
>>> that record if they're allowed to use a car....
>>>
>>> Of course the person who thinks that way has missed the entire
>>> point.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie's "point" seems to be ALL about doing
> things in amateur radio "simply" and with lots and lots of
> manual effort? WORK HARD! Heh heh heh...sado-masochism
> arrives as a new class of license in U.S. amateur radio?
>
>
>>Thanks for the enlightenment Jim.
>
> Goodie, now you know ALL about marathoning and "book larnin'"!
>
>>I guess I just don't like Lennie's attitude.
>
> Poor baby. Did you think yours was universally loved? :-)
>
>> His holier than though approach, and his putting everyone down
>>that enjoys Morse Code, and ham radio in general.
>
> Oh, yeah, if'n ya don' lak Jeswald, ya "hate" everything
> he likes. :-)
>
> Wow, talk about an EGO PROBLEM, Dannyboy, you got one!

>
>>This flake is not even a licensed Amateur,
>
> ...right you are (for once). Now tell us all about how all
> who want to enter amateur radio must LOVE and WORK HARD at
> morsemanship...emulating the 1930's standards and practices?
>
> ...and yet he keeps on and on about how stupid, out of touch,
> people we are.
>
> I'm just trying to fix stupid. Sigh. Too big a task for me.
>
> "Ya jes' cain't fix stupid!!!" You seem fix-less, Dannyboy.
>
>>Personally I wish he would just shut the hell up and go talk with his
>>engineer buddies and try to impress him.
>
> WHOA! Ya said the WRONG words! Jimmie he no like anyone whut
> says "shut up!" :-)
>
>>He don't like us....so leave.
>
> Poor baby. Looking for Love and Respect in here? Tsk.
>
> Are you the "amateur community" all newcomers must show their
> "dedication and committment to amateur radio?"
>
> You must be. You keep implying that to love amateur radio is
> to love you. Dislike you and all dislike amateur radio. Not
> how it goes but you must think that way. Very insular, all
> wrapped up in yourself.
>
> So far you ain't said dink about "emergency communications."
> You've started up a nice Flame Fest claiming "homie" for you
> and your mighty macho morsemen. Good luck on that now...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
What text are you reading? Has living in the LA basin all this time caused
you brain damage from sucking in all that smog?

Dan/W4NTI

1332. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-17 23:37:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <UYBCe.1818$j21.552@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112690

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1121636714.362252.92920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 17, 3:32 pm
>
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>
> >Hello, Len
> >
> >I wonder what the number of engineers with BS or higher degrees is doing
> >these days? Since we export jobs to India (for the smart stuff) and
China
> >(for the slave labor stuff), no one feels a need to know anything.
>
> Well, still IN the electronics industry (although not at regular
> hours), I don't see that gloomy a picture as far as engineers
> and technicians are concerned.
>
> "Exported jobs" are only in certain occupations, a very few to be
> exact. What with the availability of multi-channel satellite
> telephonic relays, lots and lots of telephone-contact jobs have
> moved to India and similar places...but that is largely in the
> "service jobs" which don't do that much design, development, and
> manufacturing. Some software development has moved to India but
> not some "mass exodus" of jobs...there's still plenty of software
> development going on within our borders.
>
> I don't have any firm numbers on occupation trends but can point
> you to: www.doleta.gov/jobseekers [Department of Labor}
>
> You can check out the in-demand jobs now and projections to the
> year 2012. By state if you wish. Starting salaries, mid-term
> salaries, top ten-percent salaries given.
>
> >This is not a rant for Morse (I think you know me better than that);
rather
> >a question as to whether or not folks care to expend even one iota of
effort
> >to learn anything of substance. We have more mystics around now and few
> >folks of substance. I had to chuckle about a new website for hams,
cbers,
> >and folks that like to discuss *theoretical physics*?!?!
>
> Offhand, from my observation out in the southwest corner of the

> USA, there's lots and lots and lots and lots of folks who DO
> expend many many "iotas" of effort to learn new things or to
> keep up with changing trends. For hobby activities in electronics
> there's a humongous amount of folks interested in robotics of all
> kinds, a very electronics-intensive hobby...everything from
> making SMT soldering ovens out of countertop toaster-ovens to
> all kinds of infra-red and supersonic sensing (with accuracy)
> and, of course, microprocessor programming. At least two
> monthly periodicals on such things as well as the good old Nuts
> and Volts magazine.
>
> Case in point involves Neil Hecht's cute little microcontroller
> frequency meter with readout that he sells out of AADE in
> Seattle, WA. Very popular unit to mod any old receiver or
> transmitter for accurate frequency indication. However, like
> all such microcontroller-architecture units, it outputs some
> stray RF that can get into receiver front ends. A fella on AOL
> came up with a NON-microcontroller frequency meter in a very
> small size, a conventional time-base gated counter with presets
> that does the same but with much less stray RF output. Full
> plans and PCBExpress data files for the three small PCBs that
> hold SMD logic packages, detailed parts list, schematics, etc.
> In effect, it is a fully engineered project, both electrical
> and mechanical. Lots of thought went into it. There's all kinds
> of that "lots of thought" project stuff out on the web if anyone
> cares to look.
>
> "Mystics?" Those have always been around...for centuries before
> you and I existed. Mostly those are con-artists duping the lay
> people by all kinds of "magic." I don't concern myself with those
> since I recognize what they are and who the people who frequent
> such places are...from curiosity looking in to some BBSs years
> ago.
>
> >Right.
> >
> >Perhaps we should hire a priest to sprinkle some Holy Water on the group
to
> >fix everything LOL.
>
> Turn the power off the group first. We don't want them with shorts.
>
>
>
> >All one needs is a scientific calculator or compooter and punch the
numbers
> >in.
>
> THANK THE LORD for providing humans with minds to think those
> things up!!!

>
> >Funny how some folks can't even make change without a calculator. Just
> >punch the number into the calculator.
>
> I have two "everyday" watches. One is a La Crosse radio watch
> that sets itself to WWVB between midnight and 6 AM. Made in
> China. Don't know where the engineering design came from
> other than its been done for years by hobbyists and is in the
> example software from PIC Microchip (freebie). Cost me all of
> $30 plus change (shipping included) via e-order. The other is
> a watch-calculator purchased at the Sav-On drug store chain for
> $15 plus tax. I wear that one for shopping to check out totals
> and tax and things. The little microprocessor inside handles
> the watch functions and the four-function calculator functions
> plus decoding the little "keyboard."
>
> At home, I've got an excellent programmable scientific calculator
> from HP, an HP 32S II bought at Fry's (a supermarket of consumer
> electronics here in the west) for $60 plus tax. CMOS circuitry
> allows the long-life batteries to keep all memories "alive" with
> the last calculations. Many, many built-in functions including
> conversions Metric-English, etc., plus constant storage and a
> small memory for program storage. Batteries last for over five
> years. Two Pi is always stored in there to 10 places for
> convenience and it does do complex number arithmetic, keeping the
> real and imaginary parts separate. Excellent unit! A newer
> HP-33S came out in late 2004 for about $55, essentially the same
> guts but a "trendy" keyboard styling I don't personally care for.
>
> > Uh, was that +i or -i ... or was that
> >j? Impedance? Um .... is that like series or parallel resistors? Just
> >punch the numbers in. I certainly hope that someone understands what
they
> >are punching in.
>
> I do and so do all my compadres in the electronics biz here.
>
> If others don't know, that's their choice...but they can't say
> they are "superior" to those who DO know. :-)
>
> If someone doesn't know Z and Y but show a sincere desire to
> learn, I'll try to help them learn. [if they demand I teach
> them they will get an "impedance" up their I/O ports...:-)]
>
> >Gawd, what are these things? Resistors, but how are they connected.
> >Someone said something about a bridge? Now what?
> >
> >Ohm ohm on the range .....
>
> ...where the dits and dahs all do play...? :-)

>
>
> >Have a good one, Len. I'm heading to the 'fridge to get a couple of
tall,
> >cool 807s.
>
> Good on that...but just don't bite off the plate cap with yer teeth.
>
>
> Remember: Vacuum sucks! :-)
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

"where the dits and the dahs play" .... LOL. Cute.

As to storing pi to 10 places, I don't need it. I have pi stored in the gray matter to 100 places although I doubt I'd ever need that many places. It took some effort, but I managed to forget some places beyond 100.

Yes, there are some folks that wish to learn; my point is that as more and more folks opt out of learning it is only a matter of time before we become a 3rd world country.

We are about 8th in the world for broadband penetration. We are about 12th in the world for life expectancy.

We'd best raise the terrorist level to red for a while to distract everyone from what is happening.

I'm not too sure, but I find a lot of what you say does make sense. I'm sure I'll take a few shots for saying this, but I really am not too worried.

What is it you say? "temper fry"? LOL

Do have a good one, Len. I may not always agree with you, but you do have some good points and if it takes some stirring of the pot to keep the group thinking, so be it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1333. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 00:14:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121645671.051729.146180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112691

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> >>Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not
> >>
> >>He torted your words? You might have a legal case. ;-)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> >>nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it
> >>
> >>Does that procedure involve a hair transplant?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>> >>the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
> >>> >>narrowminded vision
> >>
> >>It surely was "unforunate" since it wasn't the truth. Of course, you
> >>aren't bound by any narrow minded stuff like truth.
> >>
> >>
> >>> >>I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
> >>> >>you do
> >>
> >>When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
> >>accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
> >>you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
> >>abortions.
> >>
> >>
> > only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them
>
> Gee, Mark, don't you get it? You are one of the narrow minded people
> who wants to be spoon fed. You want amateur radio to be easier in order

No not at all, I want the ARs to respect the liberites of all Americans
myself included

you want to deprive american of their rights if they don't jump though
the same hoops you did

I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
airwaves

> to accomodate you. You want explanations about 5 MHz modes to be in

No I want people to answer questions aksed instaed of droning on about
irelavant garbage

Hans has spent a couple of weeks ont eh suject of what is a band that
is waste

> Mark-sized chunks. You want all others to have to try to decipher your

can't you read it is a matter of indifference to me read or don't read
at your pleasure

> hieroglyphs rather than you doing the work to post messages in

> properly-spelled and grammatically correct English. You'd like folks to
> guess as to how you'll define terms which have a specific meaning to all
> the rest of us.

Really then form Stevie the NBA and NFL are lying ervy years when they
say they are holding a draft

no one has yet to come up with a term other than band to describe 60 m
doesn't stop setvie and hans from going on and on about how wrong it is

>
> Dave K8MN

1334. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 00:27:57 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1121646477.815580.319440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112692
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.flame.faggots,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wogie Two Shoes wrote:
> "Anonymous" <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org> wrote in message
> news:CZDBB30B38550.631087963@anonymous...
> In article <dbd8cv$17o$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Not Roger" <ab8mq@anonHam> wrote:
>
> > Hey, Wogie. Are you posting via one of your "two or more"
> anonymous
> > remailers again?
>
>> Hey, Shit for brains, are you still a 31 year old VIRGIN?

>I still live at
> 610 Glen Haven Avenue, Glendale, West Virginia, 26038. Just make your cards
> and letters out to Roger Wiseman.
> You can email me at ab8mq@arrl.net
>
> ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net ab8mq@arrl.net
> ab8mq@arrl.net

Poor Snotty. You don't even know where you live.
You live at 1 Grove Ct.in Austin.

1335. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 00:52:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a3DCe.6454$BK1.4375@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112693

```
an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not
>>>>
>>>>He torted your words? You might have a legal case. ;-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it
>>>>
>>>>Does that procedure involve a hair transplant?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
>>>>>narrowminded vision
>>>>
>>>>It surely was "unforunate" since it wasn't the truth. Of course, you
>>>>aren't bound by any narrow minded stuff like truth.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
>>>>>you do
>>>>
>>>>When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
>>>>accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
>>>>you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
>>>>abortions.
>>>
>>>
```

>>>only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them
>>
>>Gee, Mark, don't you get it? You are one of the narrow minded people
>>who wants to be spoon fed. You want amateur radio to be easier in order
>
>
> No not at all, I want the ARs to respect the liberites of all Americans
> myself included

Manure! Nothing in amateur radio takes away any of the "liberites"
granted by the Constitution.

> you want to deprive american of their rights if they don't jump though
> the same hoops you did

You have no Constitutional right to an amateur radio license.

> I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> airwaves

I opossum your view.

>>to accomodate you. You want explanations about 5 MHz modes to be in
>
>
> No I want people to answer questions aksed instaed of droning on about
> irelavant garbage

Everything you needed for an answer was right before you--spelled out.
That, of course, might have been the problem. Next time, ask Hans for
the aural version.

> Hans has spent a couple of weeks ont eh suject of what is a band that
> is waste

To try explaining it to you was certainly a waste.

>
>>Mark-sized chunks. You want all others to have to try to decipher your
>
>
> can't you read it is a matter of indifference to me read or don't read
> at your pleasure
>
>
>>hieroglyphs rather than you doing the work to post messages in
>
>
>
>>properly-spelled and grammatically correct English. You'd like folks to
>>guess as to how you'll define terms which have a specific meaning to all
>>the rest of us.
>

>
> Really then form Stevie the NBA and NFL are lying ervy years when they
> say they are holding a draft

Do you know the difference between a military draft and an NFL or NBA
draft? Were you being drafted by either the NFL or NBA? The definition
of both types of draft is the same, by the way.

> no one has yet to come up with a term other than band to describe 60 m
> doesn't stop setvie and hans from going on and on about how wrong it is

Hans gave you a term to use. There is no 60m band by definition.

Dave K8MN

1336. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 01:04:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121648665.165300.246490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112694

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not
> >>>>
> >>>>He torted your words? You might have a legal case. ;-)
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it
> >>>>
> >>>>Does that procedure involve a hair transplant?
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
> >>>>>narrowminded vision
> >>>>
> >>>>It surely was "unforunate" since it wasn't the truth. Of course, you
> >>>>aren't bound by any narrow minded stuff like truth.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
> >>>>>you do
> >>>>
> >>>>When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
> >>>>accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
> >>>>you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
> >>>>abortions.
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them
> >>
> >>Gee, Mark, don't you get it? You are one of the narrow minded people
> >>who wants to be spoon fed. You want amateur radio to be easier in order
> >
> >
> > No not at all, I want the ARs to respect the liberites of all Americans
> > myself included
>
> Manure! Nothing in amateur radio takes away any of the "liberites"
> granted by the Constitution.

the code test does indeed do so
>
> > you want to deprive american of their rights if they don't jump though
> > the same hoops you did
>
> You have no Constitutional right to an amateur radio license.

I have a right to anything not denied to me by the constition of the
USa unless regulated by treaty since the constitution of the US and the
treaties we sign are the supreme law of the land

Nothing in the Consittution allows the FCC to cintinue codetesting ,
and nothing in the treaty gives it that power there the power does not
exist in law

>
> > I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > airwaves
>
> I opossum your view.

and the Constitution of the US

>
>
> >>to accomodate you. You want explanations about 5 MHz modes to be in
> >
> >
> > No I want people to answer questions aksed instaed of droning on about
> > irelavant garbage
>
> Everything you needed for an answer was right before you--spelled out.
> That, of course, might have been the problem. Next time, ask Hans for
> the aural version.

not it wasn't

>
> > Hans has spent a couple of weeks ont eh suject of what is a band that
> > is waste

>
> To try explaining it to you was certainly a waste.

do understand what I am saying when I type 60m Band or not?

>
> >
> >>Mark-sized chunks. You want all others to have to try to decipher your
> >
> >
> > can't you read it is a matter of indifference to me read or don't read
> > at your pleasure
> >
> >
> >>hieroglyphs rather than you doing the work to post messages in
> >
> >
> >
> >>properly-spelled and grammatically correct English. You'd like folks to
> >>guess as to how you'll define terms which have a specific meaning to all
> >>the rest of us.
> >
> >
> > Really then form Stevie the NBA and NFL are lying ervy years when they
> > say they are holding a draft
>
> Do you know the difference between a military draft and an NFL or NBA
> draft? Were you being drafted by either the NFL or NBA? The definition
> of both types of draft is the same, by the way.

nope it isn't at least ot according to Stevie

>
> > no one has yet to come up with a term other than band to describe 60 m
> > doesn't stop setvie and hans from going on and on about how wrong it is
>
> Hans gave you a term to use. There is no 60m band by definition.

and yet you, steve and Hans know better than the ARRL and W5YI

>
> Dave K8MN

1337. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 01:29:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121650191.244245.246380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112695

From: Jim Hampton on Jul 17, 7:37 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 17, 3:32 pm
>> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

>Hello, Len
>
>"where the dits and the dahs play" .... LOL. Cute.

Ought to be changed to "where the dits and the dahs all play"
in order to fit the music better. :-)

>As to storing pi to 10 places, I don't need it. I have pi stored in the
>gray matter to 100 places although I doubt I'd ever need that many places.
>It took some effort, but I managed to forget some places beyond 100.

Holy transcendentals, Batman! A "hundred places?!?"

Geez, beyond "3.14159" I never bothered...learned that back in
slide-rule days in prehistory of early 1960s...:-)

Two Pi gets the "radian frequency" which is a common calculation
in resonance, reactance, L and C values, etc. I store 10 places
(could store 12) because it doesn't take any more energy than
storing 6 places or even 3 in this little HP-32S II.

>Yes, there are some folks that wish to learn; my point is that as more and
>more folks opt out of learning it is only a matter of time before we become
>a 3rd world country.

That, sir, will be a lonnnnnng time from now as I see it.

Wife and I picked up a new Chebby Malibu MAXX a bit over two
weeks ago. Designed and built in Detroit, MI, USA, and is a
fine, fine vehicle with all kinds of nice bells and whistles
and an excellent ride and handling characteristics. The
imports don't quite have as good a one at the same price range,
in our estimation...even if the imports were "outselling" the
USA-made brands. Chevrolet's ten-percent discount (labeled
"employee" pricing) certainly helps boost sales. We went to
Ventura for lunch the other day, driving distance about 155
miles total. Ventura has a fine marina with hundreds and
hundreds of private boats docked there, most of them a lot
heavier displacement than the "sabot" size. :-) Food was
good (thanks to regular state and federal inspections) and
the highway system was two lanes plus in either direction.

Outside air peaked at about 96 F that day but the interior
was kept comfortable through air conditioning. That wasn't
ox-cart transport...not even if we passed through Oxnard,
CA, on the return leg. "Third world?" Not yet. Not even
close.

>We are about 8th in the world for broadband penetration.

Oh? Really? The Comcast cable TV to the house is digital
with over 200 video channels available...the free ones, that
is, haven't counted the pay-per-view channels which are at
least a couple dozen more. Fairly "broadband" to me. :-)
[not a speck of TVI or RFI over that digital cable TV]

We can add high-speed Internet access via Comcast but
haven't bothered yet. Same with other cable TV monopolies
in the general area. If I want a really big file download
like 10 or 20 MB, I just let it run and do something else.

> We are about 12th in the world for life expectancy.

Well, that's probably true enough, but it worries me not.
We are what we got in our genes from our parents and
general ancestors. Besides, some folks appear to be
dead brain-wise anyway, so let THEM worry...! :-)

>We'd best raise the terrorist level to red for a while to distract everyone
>from what is happening.

Er, not quite. There's other problems (besides the geographic
bigotry and ethnic bigotry and "other" bigotry seen in here)
due to the rest of the world. Besides terrorism, that is.

One thing I've been involved with is RoHS, Reduction of
Hazardous Substances, something Yurp has been plugging for
and apparently got. NO lead in solder. Wanna see
"emergency comms" from the QC department when the stored
production boards show up with lots and lots of SHORTS?
Dendrites from tin want to grow with time if not damped,
something that USED to be damped by the lead in solder.
QC at one local company went nuts when RoHS was put into
production. More shorts than the men's department at
Target. But...everything is "lead free" and toddlers
(or 9-year-old amateur extras) won't have to worry about
sucking in evil old LEAD from today's parts. Lots and lots
of other electronics companies have been experiencing the
same problems with RoHS.

In order to compete on the world market NOW, the USA has
to comply. It's bad enough that workers here want to get
paid actual money, but add the cost of RoHS dendrite
prevention, and the USA is having a terrible problem with
being competitive. The cures for RoHS are several but an

NDA keeps me from revealing what was found.

>I'm not too sure, but I find a lot of what you say does make sense.

Careful! Careful! Even HINTING that will get you in trouble
in this newsgrope full of brainwashed "traditional" hams. :-)

Some of these denizens in this din of inequity have forgotten
that electrons, fields, and waves obey their natural laws,
NOT the myths and emotionalisms of humans operating under
the "traditionalist conservative league-ist" notions.

> I'm
>sure I'll take a few shots for saying this, but I really am not too worried.

Way to go! Life is tough and then ya die, despite all the
titles and awards and certificates (suitable for framing) on
the walls.

>What is it you say? "temper fry"? LOL

Only to those that yell out the "halls of Montezuma" thing
too much! :-)

>Do have a good one, Len. I may not always agree with you, but you do have
>some good points and if it takes some stirring of the pot to keep the group
>thinking, so be it.

"Stir the pot?" Tsk. I am Bonded. "Shaken, not stirred!"

Excuse me, "M" just called a meeting...see ya...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1338. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 01:55:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <nt6dnb4aQKbXhkbfRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112697

an_old_friend wrote:
>

>
> Nothing in the Consittution allows the FCC to cintinue codetesting ,
> and nothing in the treaty gives it that power there the power does not
> exist in law

BZZZZZTTTT!!! Wrong!!! The Constitution has nothing to do with code testing, and the treaty now says that code testing isn't required. The FCC certainly can continue to require it if they deem it necessary, only they are no longer required by treaty to do so.

1339. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 02:01:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zvidnYRqRu9OgUbfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112698

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> airwaves

Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".

Looks like the Childrens Band is a perfect fit for you.

1340. Re: Snotty makes a fool of himself again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 02:20:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <64b786eb81ff1ee7687d9b778ea099fd@dizum.com>
Source record: 112696
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article
"W2DNE" wrote:
>
>
> "Wogie Two Shoes" wrote in message
> news:dbef5e$ae3$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Anonymous" wrote in
message
> > news:CZDBB30B38550.631087963@anonymous...
> >> Hey, Wogie. Are you posting via ...
>
> Nobody gives a shit.

Lardass Lloydie Davies N0VFP does. He got fired from his pizza
boy career at Papa John's and stays drunk all the time now.

1341. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 02:40:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <H_GdnSogNcpyuEbfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112703

an_old_friend wrote:

> What power gives the FCC that power NOTHING

The same power that gives the FCC to power to require a test of technical knowledge and regulations in order for one to operate a transmitter on the ham bands. IDJIT.

1342. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 02:41:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <H_GdnSUgNcrdu0bfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112704

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
>>>airwaves
>>
>>
>>Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything
>>about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable
>>of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".
>
>
> guess you failed english
>
>
>>Looks like the Childrens Band is a perfect fit for you.
>
>

You failed English and spelling. Like I say, you would fit perfectly on
the Chicken Band, they are all on just about your level.

1343. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 03:32:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kpFCe.9378$8f7.6189@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112699

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>>>>>>I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
>>>>>>you do
>>>>>>
>>>>>>When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
>>>>>>accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
>>>>>>you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
>>>>>>abortions.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them
>>>>
>>>>Gee, Mark, don't you get it? You are one of the narrow minded people
>>>>who wants to be spoon fed. You want amateur radio to be easier in order
>>>
>>>
>>>No not at all, I want the ARs to respect the liberites of all Americans
>>>myself included
>>
>>Manure! Nothing in amateur radio takes away any of the "liberites"
>>granted by the Constitution.
>
>
> the code test does indeed do so

As with any number of things of late, you're simply wrong.

>>>you want to deprive american of their rights if they don't jump though
>>>the same hoops you did
>>
>>You have no Constitutional right to an amateur radio license.
>

>
> I have a right to anything not denied to me by the constition of the
> USa unless regulated by treaty since the constitution of the US and the
> treaties we sign are the supreme law of the land

Oh, you have a right to attempt to pass an amateur radio exam but you
aren't guaranteed success. The "constition" doesn't address or regulate
motor car licensing but you still have to pass a test in order to obtain
a driving license. It can be revoked by your state or denied if you
have a medical or mental condition rendering you a hazard to others.
Deal with the fact that you don't a right to something simply because
you want to do it.

> Nothing in the Consittution allows the FCC to cintinue codetesting ,
> and nothing in the treaty gives it that power there the power does not
> exist in law

Nothing in the U.S. Constitution prevents the FCC from administering a
morse code test for amateur radio licensing. You have no right to an
amateur radio license.

>>>I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
>>>airwaves
>>
>>I opossum your view.
>
>
> and the Constitution of the US

Okay, I opossum the Constitution.

>
>>
>>>>to accomodate you. You want explanations about 5 MHz modes to be in
>>>
>>>
>>>No I want people to answer questions aksed instaed of droning on about
>>>irelavant garbage
>>
>>Everything you needed for an answer was right before you--spelled out.
>>That, of course, might have been the problem. Next time, ask Hans for
>>the aural version.
>
>
> not it wasn't

Yes, Mark, it was. Your repeated denials of reality are just making you
look stupid.

>>>Hans has spent a couple of weeks ont eh suject of what is a band that
>>>is waste
>>
>>To try explaining it to you was certainly a waste.

>
>
> do understand what I am saying when I type 60m Band or not?

Yes, you mean the spot frequencies which are not a band at all.

>
>>>>Mark-sized chunks. You want all others to have to try to decipher your
>>>
>>>
>>>can't you read it is a matter of indifference to me read or don't read
>>>at your pleasure
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>hieroglyphs rather than you doing the work to post messages in
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>properly-spelled and grammatically correct English. You'd like folks to
>>>>guess as to how you'll define terms which have a specific meaning to all
>>>>the rest of us.
>>>
>>>
>>>Really then form Stevie the NBA and NFL are lying ervy years when they
>>>say they are holding a draft
>>
>>Do you know the difference between a military draft and an NFL or NBA
>>draft? Were you being drafted by either the NFL or NBA? The definition
>>of both types of draft is the same, by the way.
>
>
> nope it isn't at least ot according to Stevie

I don't know Steve's views on the NBA or NFL draft. I do know what
you've written previously about being drafted. Now we're to understand
that you didn't actually mean "drafted".

>
>>>no one has yet to come up with a term other than band to describe 60 m
>>>doesn't stop setvie and hans from going on and on about how wrong it is
>>
>>Hans gave you a term to use. There is no 60m band by definition.
>
>
> and yet you, steve and Hans know better than the ARRL and W5YI

Yeah, it surely looks that way. It certainly won't be the first time.

Dave K8MN

1344. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 03:39:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xvFCe.9379$8f7.3926@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112700

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
>
>>How about gaining control over yourself and acting like an adult, Todd?
>>
>
>
> Aw, c'mon Dave. If he did that, then who could we point at and snicker?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Well, for one, there's Mark. Len and the red-hatted monkey are still available, though they're rather tame fare compared to some of those now posting.

Didja ever notice that there is a periodic surge in r.r.a.p. postings by a gaggle of societal outcasts and misfits?

Dave K8MN

1345. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 03:39:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121657984.575757.320430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112701

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
>
> >
> > Nothing in the Consittution allows the FCC to cintinue codetesting ,
> > and nothing in the treaty gives it that power there the power does not
> > exist in law
>
> BZZZZZTTTT!!! Wrong!!! The Constitution has nothing to do with code

Agreed which the FCC has not authority

> testing, and the treaty now says that code testing isn't required. The
> FCC certainly can continue to require it if they deem it necessary, only

What power gives the FCC that power NOTHING

> they are no longer required by treaty to do so.

1346. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 03:40:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121658025.988119.199540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112702

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > airwaves
>
>
> Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything
> about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable
> of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".

guess you failed english

>
> Looks like the Childrens Band is a perfect fit for you.

1347. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 03:51:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121658689.747613.152730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112705

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >>>>>>>I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
> >>>>>>>you do
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
> >>>>>>accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
> >>>>>>you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
> >>>>>>abortions.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them
> >>>>
> >>>>Gee, Mark, don't you get it? You are one of the narrow minded people
> >>>>who wants to be spoon fed. You want amateur radio to be easier in order
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No not at all, I want the ARs to respect the liberites of all Americans
> >>>myself included
> >>
> >>Manure! Nothing in amateur radio takes away any of the "liberites"
> >>granted by the Constitution.
> >
> >
> > the code test does indeed do so
>
> As with any number of things of late, you're simply wrong.

IYO opinion

doesn't make it so

>
> >>>you want to deprive american of their rights if they don't jump though
> >>>the same hoops you did
> >>
> >>You have no Constitutional right to an amateur radio license.
> >
> >
> > I have a right to anything not denied to me by the constition of the
> > USa unless regulated by treaty since the constitution of the US and the
> > treaties we sign are the supreme law of the land
>
> Oh, you have a right to attempt to pass an amateur radio exam but you
> aren't guaranteed success. The "constition" doesn't address or regulate
> motor car licensing but you still have to pass a test in order to obtain
> a driving license. It can be revoked by your state or denied if you
> have a medical or mental condition rendering you a hazard to others.
> Deal with the fact that you don't a right to something simply because
> you want to do it.

But to use your analogy I am propected from making me take a test on
the use of the Buggy whip in order to drive

>
>
> > Nothing in the Consittution allows the FCC to cintinue codetesting ,
> > and nothing in the treaty gives it that power there the power does not
> > exist in law
>
> Nothing in the U.S. Constitution prevents the FCC from administering a
> morse code test for amateur radio licensing. You have no right to an
> amateur radio license.

Nothing gives it he power to impose an uneeded test

I have a right to a fair test
>
> >>>I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> >>>airwaves
> >>
> >>I opossum your view.
> >
> >
> > and the Constitution of the US
>
> Okay, I opossum the Constitution.

You support frat boy shit and don't bother to use language as you
demand I do

>
> >
> >>
> >>>>to accomodate you. You want explanations about 5 MHz modes to be in

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No I want people to answer questions aksed instaed of droning on about
> >>>irelavant garbage
> >>
> >>Everything you needed for an answer was right before you--spelled out.
> >>That, of course, might have been the problem. Next time, ask Hans for
> >>the aural version.
> >
> >
> > not it wasn't
>
> Yes, Mark, it was. Your repeated denials of reality are just making you
> look stupid.

He did not answer the question of wether CW was premitted

>
> >>>Hans has spent a couple of weeks ont eh suject of what is a band that
> >>>is waste
> >>
> >>To try explaining it to you was certainly a waste.
> >
> >
> > do understand what I am saying when I type 60m Band or not?
>
> Yes, you mean the spot frequencies which are not a band at all.

then stop your whining becuase that is all it is whining

>
> >
> >>>>Mark-sized chunks. You want all others to have to try to decipher your
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>can't you read it is a matter of indifference to me read or don't read
> >>>at your pleasure
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>hieroglyphs rather than you doing the work to post messages in
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>properly-spelled and grammatically correct English. You'd like folks to
> >>>>guess as to how you'll define terms which have a specific meaning to all
> >>>>the rest of us.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Really then form Stevie the NBA and NFL are lying ervy years when they
> >>>say they are holding a draft

> >>
> >>Do you know the difference between a military draft and an NFL or NBA
> >>draft? Were you being drafted by either the NFL or NBA? The definition
> >>of both types of draft is the same, by the way.
> >
> >
> > nope it isn't at least ot according to Stevie
>
> I don't know Steve's views on the NBA or NFL draft. I do know what
> you've written previously about being drafted. Now we're to understand
> that you didn't actually mean "drafted".

never said that either as I then a year later , and a few days ago I
using the word in other senses. That you and Stevie are hung out on one
meaning is not my fault. I have also admitted the use was unforunate
but you are just in attacking

>
> >
> >>>no one has yet to come up with a term other than band to describe 60 m
> >>>doesn't stop setvie and hans from going on and on about how wrong it is
> >>
> >>Hans gave you a term to use. There is no 60m band by definition.
> >
> >
> > and yet you, steve and Hans know better than the ARRL and W5YI
>
> Yeah, it surely looks that way. It certainly won't be the first time.

such humility

>
> Dave K8MN

1348. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 03:53:42 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ijst5i1.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 112706

On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 01:05:13 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:

>I *was* going to comment regarding his so-called e-mail address. but ehy
>bother. its not important enough. perhaps he can post his IEEE certs here
>for all to see. then I *might* be duly impressed.

I am a former Senior Member of the IEEE (I dropped out years ago
even as I was being proposed for Fellow status) and a very close
friend is married to a =very high= IEEE staffer (I was a witness at
their wedding, that close) so I am no stranger to what the IEEE is
and what it isn't.

The IEEE of today does not resemble either the AIEE or the IRE from
which it was formed many decades ago or even the IEEE of the 1980s.
It is much more for the academics than for the working engineers.

It certainly doesn't impress me any more.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1349. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 07:32:15 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <3WICe.47188$up5.8489@lakeread02>
Source record: 112707

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Jul 17, 4:05 am
>
>
>>I *was* going to comment regarding his so-called e-mail address. but ehy
>>bother. its not important enough. perhaps he can post his IEEE certs here
>>for all to see. then I *might* be duly impressed.
>
> You want "certs?" :-) Go get ANY IEEE Membership Directory
> for the last 30 years. I'm in there...and at the same mail
> address. Any technical library should have such a directory.
yeah yeah yeah. you sound just like every other bullshit artist that comes
along to me. for all we know, you could have easily chosen this name from
such a book, took it as your own (quite easy to do on the net), did a
little research and the only ones who would know the truth are you and the
guy who actually owns the name.

personally, I don't care. you come off as a bullshit artist, you argue
around the points instead of directly addressing them, you can't stick to a
point even when its being used to stab you to death and your generally poor
attitude about ham radio and its usefulness just won't make for a good
debate.

e-mail addresses can be had for a dime a million. IEEE certs and a listing
in their big book of eggheads costs a bit more.

now I know 3 people who are "card carrying members" of the IEEE and they
don't even come close to behaving as bad as you do. They know their shit
and don't have to brag. all I've seen from you is a lot of braggin.

so, with that, enough said.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1350. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 10:31:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121682680.791136.162670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112708

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> > >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>>>>>I can any definifation I care to I don't have to choose the same one as
> > >>>>>>you do
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>When your "definifations" mean something other than what is commonly
> > >>>>>>accepted, you make it impossible to communicate with others. Of course
> > >>>>>>you've pretty much done that with your misspellings and grammatical
> > >>>>>>abortions.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>only to narrow minded people that everything spoon fed to them
> > >>>>
> > >>>>Gee, Mark, don't you get it? You are one of the narrow minded people
> > >>>>who wants to be spoon fed. You want amateur radio to be easier in order
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>No not at all, I want the ARs to respect the liberites of all Americans
> > >>>myself included
> > >>
> > >>Manure! Nothing in amateur radio takes away any of the "liberites"
> > >>granted by the Constitution.
> > >
> > >
> > > the code test does indeed do so
> >
> > As with any number of things of late, you're simply wrong.
>
> IYO opinion
>
> doesn't make it so

Here's a plain, simple fact: The license requirements are
determined almost entirely by someone's opinion.

For example, why is the written test for Extra 50 questions
rather than, say, 100? Or 25?

For that matter, why do Advanceds an Generals have to take the
same test to upgrade to Extra?

The Advanced and Extra licenses don't add any bands, modes or
power level to General privileges. Why is more testing required
for those licenses?

Etc.

In all cases the reason is the same: FCC's opinion says it's
a good idea.

> > >>>you want to deprive american of their rights if they don't jump though
> > >>>the same hoops you did
> > >>
> > >>You have no Constitutional right to an amateur radio license.
> > >
> > >
> > > I have a right to anything not denied to me by the constition of the
> > > USa unless regulated by treaty since the constitution of the US and the
> > > treaties we sign are the supreme law of the land

An amateur radio license is a privilige, not a right.

> > Oh, you have a right to attempt to pass an amateur radio exam but you
> > aren't guaranteed success.

Exactly.

> The "constition" doesn't address or regulate
> > motor car licensing but you still have to pass a test in order to obtain
> > a driving license. It can be revoked by your state or denied if you
> > have a medical or mental condition rendering you a hazard to others.
> > Deal with the fact that you don't a right to something simply because
> > you want to do it.
>
> But to use your analogy I am propected from making me take
> a test on the use of the Buggy whip in order to drive

That's true - but buggy whips aren't used much on the roads of
America by people driving cars. Morse Code is very extensively
used on the MF/HF amateur bands by radio amateurs operating
amateur radio stations.

The "buggy whip" argument just doesn't hold up. A more valid
argument would be that you don't want to have to answer
questions on driving at night, because you only intend to
drive in the daytime, nor questions on driving in ice and
snow because you live in a place that rarely if ever has
those weather conditions.

> > > Nothing in the Consittution allows the FCC to cintinue codetesting ,
> > > and nothing in the treaty gives it that power there the power does not
> > > exist in law
>

> > Nothing in the U.S. Constitution prevents the FCC from administering a
> > morse code test for amateur radio licensing. You have no right to an
> > amateur radio license.
>
> Nothing gives it he power to impose an uneeded test

Whether a test is "needed" or "unneeded" is a matter of
opinion. Nothing more or less.

> I have a right to a fair test

That's true. "A fair test" means that everyone applying for
a license at a particular time has to meet the same basic
test requirements.

IOW, if someone has a Ph.D in EE, or is a member of some
organization or other, they don't get a pass on the exam.

> > >>>I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > >>>airwaves
> > >>
> > >>I opossum your view.
> > >
> > >
> > > and the Constitution of the US
> >
> > Okay, I opossum the Constitution.
>
> You support frat boy shit
> and don't bother to use language as you
> demand I do

Your example is not one to be emulated.

> > >>>>to accomodate you. You want explanations about 5 MHz modes to be in
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>No I want people to answer questions aksed instaed of droning on about
> > >>>irelavant garbage

Sounds like "internet disease" to me - the desire to have
everything spelled out in custom-made explanations rather
than having to look anything up or exert some mental
effort to understand the available information.

The refusal to use a spellchecker when one is obviouslysorely needed is
a clear symptom.
> > >>
> > >>Everything you needed for an answer was right before you--spelled out.
> > >>That, of course, might have been the problem. Next time, ask Hans for
> > >>the aural version.
> > >
> > >

> > > not it wasn't
> >
> > Yes, Mark, it was. Your repeated denials of reality are just making you
> > look stupid.
>
> He did not answer the question of wether CW was premitted

Yes, he did. By direct quote of the relevant sections of
Part 97.

If you won't make the effort to use correct grammar, spelling,
punctuation and capitalization, why should anyone make the
effort to answer your questions?

If you won't make the effort to look up the relevant rules and
understand them, why should anyone make the
effort to answer your questions?

1351. Return of Son of HamBlog.Com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 11:30:27 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <npMCe.439$9D1.294@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 112709

After several weeks offline, HamBlog.Com is back with new software and a new look.

It's the same old deal though... A free weblog for Hams.

The old software had created a haven for SPAMMERS, who had ads for sex, drugs, and home mortgage loans infesting all of the blogs and comments.

The new software doesn't have much sense of humor about SPAM though, and does a great job of eliminating it before you ever get a chance to see any of it.

Come and see us at HamBlog.Com, and don't forget HamBlog's sister-site, HamPoll.Com , another great place to express your ideas and opinions as a Ham!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket
Admin: HamBlog.Com
Webmaster: HamPoll.Com

1352. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 11:36:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050718073603.866$NH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112710

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>> You failed English and spelling. Like I say, you would fit perfectly on
> the Chicken Band, they are all on just about your level.
>
You're no netter than him jj, your drivel is worse than what's on CB.

hth

1353. More Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 13:55:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121694925.789467.34680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112711

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> You have agreed that I am deffeent and that I have the right to be
> defferent
> therfore I all right or at least not wroug

You can be "deffeent" and wrong too.

> > You keep insisting that I am "agreeing" with you.
>
> you seem tobut then it like Senator Kerry you flipflop very fast

Nope.

> > > > No. Rude for treating Hans the way you did for ANSWERING your
> > > > question.
> > >
> > > stevie you are flaimng me for reareaching part 97 which you complained
> > > I wasn't doing
> >
> > No...I was complaining that you're lying.
>
> prove it

See all under "an_old_friend"

Pretty hillarious stuff there.

> i was rading the sections of part 97 and noticed this hole in the stuff
> from the FCC

There's no hole. Other than in your head, that is......

> and decided to coment on it

And made a fool out of yourself in the process.

> you claim everything I do is some of plot to confuse you and now Hans

The only thing confusing about you is your broken grammar and
illogical expressive patterns.

> get over your self

Get over WHAT about myself?

> When was you last post on Radio here
>

> >
> > Which was evident in your post.
>
> lie
>
> >
> > > making up wityhput eveident that I am trying to decieve folks
> >
> > "wityhput eveident"...?!?!
> >
> > What the heck is that?
>
> what you are engaged in attacks with nothing to back em up

You didn't answer the question.

WhatEVER that was, it wasn't English.

Try again.

> > You suggested intercourse. We are the same gender, and that's
> > deviant.
>
> not according to medical authority

Sure it is. What "medical authority" are YOU refering to? Jack
Kevorkian?

> nor did I suggest I would #### you

Good thing. Or you WOULD have something to worry about.

> > > > And I've never seen the word "truthfull" either.
> > >
> > > lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> and you cut stuff out again

I see no need to re-post things that are three or more posts old,
Mark.

> I have used the word before therefore you have seen therefore you are
> lieing about not having seen it

"Truthfull" is not correct, Mark.

That YOU spell "mistruthful" taht way does NOT make it right.

> > > never seen it before
> >
> > Maybe because Webster's isn't written in crayon...?!?!
>

> doubt that
>
> why are you so obsessed with crayons?

Because I am certain that writing with crayons is about your
developmental level.

You act childish, so I assume you function better at a child-like
level.

> > > > > which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one
> > > > > shot one Kill even in illiopolis"
> > > > >
> > > > > More out-of-context quotes, Markie!
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > Yep. Sure is. Just like Brain keeps trying to insinuate that I
> > allegedly threatened to "slash tires" and "terrorize wives".
>
> you typed it

And Brain snipped it and quoted it out of context.

> > > > Forgot your "Is that your best shot" comment that inspired the
> > > > response?
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > Obviously you did.
>
> nope you just think you are above the law, fortunately for you it
> doesn't seem to bother with usenet

So...it's OK for YOU to choose words that can be misconstrued to a
violent intent, but if anyone else uses such words, it's "above the
law"...

Uh huh....

> > What "shot" were YOU suggesting, Markie...?!?!
>
> I was not suggesting a gunshot

That's not what I asked you.

I asked you what "shot" were YOU suggesting.

Do you not understand the question?

> > > I have 100% Hukman DNA
> >
> > ROTMFFLMMFAO...AGAIN ! ! ! !
>

> so

So what is "Hukman DNA"...?!?!

Is that some distant alien race that seeded the Earth...?!?!?

> > There's no "distorted uses" I can apply to words that YOU won't
> > print clearly.
>
> Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not

How can I "didtort" your words any worse than YOU
do...?!?!?!?!?!?!!?!?

> > An absolute lie, Markie. You were MONTHS with that
> > song-and-dance!
>
> nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it

You're lying, Morgan.

You lied about being in the Army.

You lied aboout being threatened.

You continue to lie about being "stalked".

Why not just TRY being truthful for ONE WEEK and see if you aren't
accepted just a wee bit better than what you are now.

> and then these years later you claim I never did at all
>
> which lie is your final answer?

There's no lie on my part, ergo no final answer.

> > > You needed reapeated a year later and again 6 years later
> > >
> > Nope. YOU needed to be reminded that there is only ONE meaning of
> > the word "drafted" as applied to military service.
>
> the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
> narrowminded vision

It isn't MY "vision".

YOU chose to represent yourself as a commissioned officer in the
Armed Forces, ergo YOUR "choie" of words could only be construed as
being in the military vernacular.

> > More lies! Sheesh, Morgan, where DO you get this stuff...?!?!
>
> you sent those email I remember them well

I am sure you "remember" a lot of things in your few lucid
moments.

None of it correct, but I am sure you THINK it is.

> > What time? What money? You're a useless layabout. What have YOU
> > got to do?
>
> Stevie I run my own company. a small one, I take care of a Terminal ill
> man, I am active in politics. I even try to get on the air

Oh God...that's scary....

Bad enough the poor fellow is ill, but to have to wake up and see
YOUR mug...

> my income is the range of about 30K a year, and I own my home (not me
> and the bank but me) I have a family

Congratulations.

Most sexual deviants live in the middle of "Middle America", and
everyone is aghast when the news hits the presses.

> that you are bigoted enough to judge a person by his typing is not my
> fault

It's not just your "typing", Mark.

It's your ignorance. It's your behaviour. It's your arrogance.

But most of all, it's the lying.

Note that Lennie Anderson is quite verbose...bordering poetic on
rare occassion, yet I despise him.

I despise him because he's a liar...And he doesn't take too great
care to hide his misrepresentations, either.

> > > You don't humilate me.
> >
> > No, I don't.
>
> progress boyo

But not what you think wusso.

> > > anoy harrass stalker threaten me yes
> >
> > No. No. No. No.
>
> yes yes yes

Three out of four.

"Progress boyo"

> you dare to claim you don't anoy me?

Do I?

I hadn't noticed.

> Jesus Mary and Joeseph

Peter, Paul and Mary.

> > > yes all in that #### brains head of yours
>
> that #### for brains of yours

Have you been taking Toiddie's correspondence course for online
cussing?

> talking about killing people is always a threat and never justified on
> usenet

Then why did you start it?

> > > and anyne who make the threats you do over Ham radio must be unblanced,
> > > not sure exactly how, but you must be
> >
> > What threats have I made "...over Ham Radio..."
>
> to kill me

I've NEVER discussed you over Ham Radio, Mark.

> of course you are distring the context again I gues I shoudl have said
> over the subject of Ham radio but that would hardly help since youd
> just find some other way to twist my words

No twisting needed, Mark.

If you'd learn PROPER ENGLISH instead of exepcting the rest of the
world to adapt to you, you'd find life a lot more pleasant.

Speaking the same language as those around you does NOT detract
from your individuality or "freedom", Mark. It enhances it.

> > I don't discuss you on the air. I wouldn't be able to stop
> > laughing long enough to get my call and QTH across...
>
> never said you did another of your distortions

No distortion.

YOU said that I threatened to harm you "..over Ham Radio"...

In the COMPLETE context of the sentence, any reasonable person
competent in English would ahve drawn the same conclusion

> > > > Please, Markie...
> > > >
> > > > Tell us of YOUR credentials to determine mental instability...!
> > >
> > > years of reading your posts
> >

> > Then you are acknowledging that you ahve NO credentials.
>
> i don't ahve anything nor does anyone else
>
> yes a cheap shot at your spelling, but I pass on most of them

Don't use the letter transposition as an excuse to ignore the
question, Mark.

What are YOUR credentials for determining mental instability?

Do you have any? Yes or no will suffice.

> > > > If you understood English well, you'd have a better than average
> > > > likelyhood of spelling it correctly.
> > >
> > > not realy proves you don't know much about dyslexia
> >
> > I know it can be treated.
>
> Yes according to Tom Cruise where did he get his MD?

Tom Cruise needs an MD in order to tell people he had a dysfunction
that he got help for?

Steve, K4YZ

1354. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 14:02:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <bvydnbPG-dEpWEbfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112716

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>>You failed English and spelling. Like I say, you would fit perfectly on
>>
>>the Chicken Band, they are all on just about your level.
>>
>
> You're no netter than him jj, your drivel is worse than what's on CB.
>
> hth

Stick to the Childrens Band with the rest of the scum of radio land, you
are way out classed here steveio.

1355. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 14:11:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121695897.335712.275790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112712

an_old_friend wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > > airwaves
> >
> >
> > Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything
> > about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable
> > of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".
>
> guess you failed english

Better to "fail" it than bend it over and rape it in broad
daylight.

And let's face it, Mark...That IS what you suggest.

For the past week you've been refusing to apologize to Hans because
he had the temerity to use proper emission designators in response to
an inquiry BY you...

He provided you specific information, but you've since made a
"federal case" out of having been "forced" to learn something.

Steve, K4YZ

1356. More Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 14:28:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121696929.949198.67090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112713

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > >>Manure! Nothing in amateur radio takes away any of the "liberites"
> > >>granted by the Constitution.
> > >
> > >
> > > the code test does indeed do so
> >
> > As with any number of things of late, you're simply wrong.
>
> IYO opinion
>
> doesn't make it so

But in this case he's right.

Neither you or any other person has been denied their
Constitutional rights due to ANY Amateur examination.

> > Nothing in the U.S. Constitution prevents the FCC from administering a
> > morse code test for amateur radio licensing. You have no right to an
> > amateur radio license.
>
> Nothing gives it he power to impose an uneeded test

Uneeded in YOUR opinion.

> I have a right to a fair test

It IS fair.

The FCC will allow almost ANY accomodation for the test other than
just paying someone to tkae it for you.

> > >>>I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > >>>airwaves
> > >>
> > >>I opossum your view.
> > >
> > >
> > > and the Constitution of the US
> >
> > Okay, I opossum the Constitution.
>
> You support frat boy #### and don't bother to use language as you
> demand I do

He was using YOUR style, Mark.

What's a matter?

Having trouble understanding it?

> > >>Everything you needed for an answer was right before you--spelled out.
> > >>That, of course, might have been the problem. Next time, ask Hans for
> > >>the aural version.
> > >
> > >
> > > not it wasn't
> >
> > Yes, Mark, it was. Your repeated denials of reality are just making you
> > look stupid.
>
> He did not answer the question of wether CW was premitted

Yes he did.

Why do you continue to blatantly lie when Hans' post is archived
for all to see?

> > > do understand what I am saying when I type 60m Band or not?
> >
> > Yes, you mean the spot frequencies which are not a band at all.
>
> then stop your whining becuase that is all it is whining

No, it's correcting your misconceptions.

> > I don't know Steve's views on the NBA or NFL draft. I do know what
> > you've written previously about being drafted. Now we're to understand
> > that you didn't actually mean "drafted".
>
> never said that either as I then a year later , and a few days ago I
> using the word in other senses. That you and Stevie are hung out on one
> meaning is not my fault. I have also admitted the use was unforunate
> but you are just in attacking

It's not "one meaning".

It's that you lied.

Ask any American citizen what "drafted" means in military terms,
and they will tell you it means involuntary conscription, or words to
that effect.

> > >>>no one has yet to come up with a term other than band to describe 60 m
> > >>>doesn't stop setvie and hans from going on and on about how wrong it is
> > >>
> > >>Hans gave you a term to use. There is no 60m band by definition.
> > >
> > >
> > > and yet you, steve and Hans know better than the ARRL and W5YI

> >
> > Yeah, it surely looks that way. It certainly won't be the first time.
>
> such humility

Facts are facts.

If I (or any person, for that matter...) is "right" but not part
of some "organization", does it make it any LESS "true"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1357. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 14:37:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121697472.551935.299760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112714

N9OGL wrote:
> >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> >night hun.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > TODD
>
>
> #### YOU YOU MOTHER ####ING ##########, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> ### BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT #### AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU #### ROTTEN IN
> #### YOU ####ING ########## MOTHER#######!!!!!!

Not bad, Todd, but not up to your own standard! Not quite 33%
profantities, but hey, you've not been this drunk in a long time, have
you?

Guess it's all the money you've been able to save by not forking
it over to Consolidated for broadband, eh...???

Steve, K4YZ

1358. More Markie Dreck Untied

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 15:01:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121698888.257918.297160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112715

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > No adult would be so obsessive about profanities
> >
> > Sure they would.
>
> no adult would

Sure they would.

How many newscasters talk the way you talk on camera?

How many grade school teachers teach that kind of language?

In what business schools is your prefered adjectives encouraged?

And when was the last time any member of the clergy worth his or
her salt screamed out "PRAISE ####ING JESUS! WHAT A MOTHER####ER OF A
DUDE!"...?!?!

> > No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.
>
> wasn't the subject the subject is your obseesion with profanity

What's YOUR obsession with USING it in a public forum?

Why can't you act like the adult you profess to be?

> > Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.
>
> #### you your a sack of ####, and dylexics untie

You're untied, alright...

Or maybe just "unglued"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1359. Markie Dreck: A Study In The Workings Of An Adolescent Mind In An Adult Body

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 15:11:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121699504.082902.218670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112717

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?
> > > >
> > > > Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.
> > >
> > > realy then why do youlie in public
> >
> > I don't.
>
> you certainly every day you post as far as I can tell

You can't tell the difference between a hamburger and a hooker.

> > > > > Is it ok to lie in private?
> > > >
> > > > Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!
> > >
> > > answer the question
> >
> > I did. ARE you THAT stupid that you need to ask a stupid question
> > like that?
>
> Steve I realy don't how screwed up you are
>
> so answer the question

A "DEMAND"...?!?!

Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
eighth-grade level, Markie!

(And the question's been answered)

Steve, K4YZ

1360. Markie Dreck Unravelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 15:44:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121701482.216312.236000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112718

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > > Mark understands what he's trying to say. He therefore thinks
> > > > that if anyone else doesn't understand him, it's *their*
> > > > fault, not his. He's said as much over the years.
> > >
> > > No I don't and have not but get allong
> >
> > Nonsensical.
>
> prefectly senable

It's not "senable" either, whatever that's supposed to be.

> > > > Way back in 1999, it was pointed out to Mark that he could turn on the
> > > > spellchecker in his posting software and eliminate a lot
> > >
> > > there was never spell checking on my software then nor is there now
> >
> > Sure there was. You were just too inept to operate it.
>
> no spelling check optin existed for Free agent software (what i was
> using in those days and you knew it back then insisted I should spend
> MY money to please you
>
> so another Stevie lie

Nope. Any wordprocessing program, of which every computer is
provided at least Word Perfect or (Heaven forbid!) Word Star could be
used, and each has it's own spell chcking program.

No lie on my part, but nice try getting around it, Markie.

> > > > of confusion and effort on the part of those who read his posts.
> > > > He replied that the use of a spellchecker slowed him down too
> > > > much.
> > >
> > > it would require I use change out each post twice still would
> >
> > Again nonsensical...I think...Maybe...Was that a sentence...?!?!
>
> don't you know?

Obviously not, or I wouldn't have asked.

> > > > IOW, he expects you exert whatever time and effort it takes to
> > > > figure out what he's saying, rather than having *him* write it
> > > > clearly in the first place.
> > >
> > > Nope I expect youall (to use the southernism)...(SNIP)
> >
> > It's "y'all", Wusso. No true Southerner says "you all"
>
> that is how they say it in Alabama or did last I was there

Then you obviously never got too far away from the airport or bus
station because it's "y'all". (I'm only 20 minutes from the 'Bama
state line.)

> > > to either figure it out
> > > or ignore it as you will it is inaccurate to state I expect Stevie or
> > > yourself to do any work at all. I simply (try) provide content folks
> > > may find interesting if they care to bother.
> >
> > They may "bother" more if it wasn't SUCH a bother to read such
> > DRECK.
>
> Stevie you are proof it isn't that hard

It is hard. Some times more than others.

> but wether they bother or not is not my concern
> which was ofcourse the point but you missed it, again

Oh I got it...You don't care who you offend or how confused you
leave them.

> > > I also note there has always seemed to relaionship between wether or
> > > not someone agrees with me and thier ability to read my stuff
> > > accordingly Jim if you don't want to bother the don't.
> >
> > No one "agrees" with your stuff, Mark...Except where personal
> > attacks and such are involved. You've not uttered a single
> > constructive thing since your "return".
>
> lies steve, more lies, why do you lie in public so?

There's not a single lie of my constrct there, Mark.

> Btw what "constructives post have you made while I was one, Haven't
> read them all but looking over the google search can find on in 5 years

There's a lot you can't find, Mark. Like your common sense and
maturity.

> > > > Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
> > > > Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a
> > > > spellchecker. But he won't.

> > >
> > > no spelling checker in google posting which is my current access, so
> > > your statement is n't true any more that their is any need for spell
> > > chekcing
> >
> > Sure there is, and it was pointed out to you 1000 times before.
> > Type your posts in something like "WordPerfect", clean it up, then
> > paste it here.
>
> another Stevie lie No spelling check in teh GOOgle interface

Where, in the above paragraph, did I say ANYTHING about Google,
Wusso?

> you sugestion I cut and paste is simply too much bother esp with the
> sheer volomue of #### you put out, as i have said a few times

It would take you only a few minutes longer to do this.

Think of all the ribbings you'd NOT get...

> > > but you are right i won't so deal with it or not as YOU choose nobody
> > > making try to read it
> > >
> > > Although I do wonder how such mighty Morse men are so easliy stumped by
> > > a typo or 5. It must happen on air with morse to you. Other are honest
> > > enough to admit that (none of them procoders on RRAP though)
> >
> > I reiterate my previous statement...Most "Morse Men" are not
> > grossly incompetent with the language like you are.
>
> gee not it is only most Morsemen last week it was NO marseman, so which
> was the lie Stevie

None.

No quantity of "Morse Men" I know of "write" like you.

> > > > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > > > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
> > >
> > > as is your right
> >
> > Missed the point again.
>
> nope I got his point just disgree with it, haven't seen yours yet

Here it is.

You're an irresponsible, immature, lying punk.

Satisfaction in just six words!

Steve, K4YZ

1361. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 15:48:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121701681.786056.181870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112719

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
> > > >
> > > BOO HOO
> > >
> > That's the little girl we know!
> >
> still with your obsession over gentials stevie

What genitals?

Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.

> > > What are going to do steve cry to yourr mommy because I don't
> > > spell my name like you want me too.
> > >
> > Nope. Just tell her how funny you look. When she says "no one
> > could be that funny looking", I'll show her the pictures.
> >
> a vailed threat but likely you don't realy "mean" it

I am sure you meant "veiled".

And yes, I "realy" mean it.

> > > Todd McDochartaigh
> > >
> > Misrepresenter Of Truth.

First Cousin to Markie the Menace

Steve, K4YZ

1362. Masses of stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 15:56:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121702200.201705.159390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112720

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.
> > > > >
> > > > realy then why do youlie in public
> > > >
> > > I don't.
> > >
> > you certainly every day you post as far as I can tell

you lie all the time starting todat with
>
> You can't tell the difference between a hamburger and a hooker.

I certainly know
>
> > > > > > Is it ok to lie in private?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > answer the question
> > > >
> > > I did. ARE you THAT stupid that you need to ask a stupid question
> > > like that?
> > >
> > Steve I realy don't how screwed up you are
> >
> > so answer the question
> >
> A "DEMAND"...?!?!

yes a demand you make em all the time

>
> Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
> eighth-grade level, Markie!
>
> (And the question's been answered)

nope another stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1363. Markie Dreck: Pollution on USENET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:08:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121702938.307389.141610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112721

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > It's not hate and venom, Markie.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yes it is
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope. It's your own words and behaviour, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > why did you attack me when I had not posted in years
> > >
> > I didn't "attack" you.
> >
> yes you did

It's not an "attack" if it's true.

> > > can you answer that?
> > >
> > I did...See above.
> >
> no you did not

Yes I did. See above.

> > > > > you obviously hate what for I can't understand
> > > > >
> > > > Huh?
> > > >
> > > you obviously hate me why I don't know
> > >
> > I don't hate you.
> >
> yes you do or fear me

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! !

> You alter words of mine and then "respond" to them that is forgery I am
> assesing your words and commenting on their meaning

You're already an admitted liar, Markie...This is only more
evidence that that accusation is accurate.

> > No..Not hate.
>
> yes hate or fear

You flatter yourself, Markie.

> > > you might have a point if it were just me but you go off on Lenn and
> > > Tood in the same hate filled manner
> >
> > Lennie and Todd are cut from the same cloth. Oh, Lennie's far
> > more competent with the English language than you'll ever hope to be,
> > but you're "cousins" none-the-less.
>
> not we are very different people all we really have in comon is that we
> are not cowed by a bully like yourself

Your "common thread" are the lies in THESE threads.

> > > > "Hate" in YOUR words.
> > >
> > > yes Hate
> >
> > But not in mine. You may stop "forging" any time you like,
>
> yes hate
>
> no forgeing
>
> and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too

Huh? Stop what the quoting?

> You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity

Huh? I what the worst impulses?

> > Intolerance of the deceitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.
>
> hate or fear

Intolerance of the decitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.

> > > > I reserve "hate" for child molesters, spousal abusers, murderers
> > > > etc.
> > >
> > > well you have all but accused me of being a Child molester
> >
> > No, I've not.
>
> yes you have

Nope.

I said you were dangerous to leave kids around and I explained
why.

The only person using your name and "child molester" in the same
sentences is YOU, Markie...

> > I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
> > because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> > influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> > liar, and a sexual deviant.
>
> not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
> you are

That's a lie in and of itself.

> > If you really knew what compromises the training of Marines, you'd
> > know better.
>
> I know the training of the Army and The from others the Navy Adm Ray
> spruance said that basic training was mostly brain washing to produce a
> guy that would react as his comanders wish him to

ADM Spruance was not a Marine.
>
> from a speech about 1970 at the redication fo the Naval reservse armory
> in Indy

An affair you were not at.

> > And even more evidence that you know nothing of, nor were ever
> > part of, "the military".
>
> in some way you right when you say i was never part of the military,
> since i never ever could stop thinking, as was desired by my trainers

You were never in the Armed Forces...Never inducted, never sworn
in, never served a day in uniform (Good Humor Man and Crossing Guard do
not count....)

> > > > > > And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.
> > > > >
> > > > > ah yes self loathing Stevie
> > > >
> > > > Yes, I am sure you are self-loathing. You should be.
> > >
> > > no I like myself I even love myself
> >
> > I am sure you do.
>
> then you were lyinng when you said I loathed myself

"Lyinng"...?!?! No, I wasn't "lyinng".

> you lie with evidence right on the page

Nope.

> > I am sure that you've watched enough episodes of ER and General
> > Hospital to think so.
>
> nope I have never sat through an episode of General hospital, never
> seen ER. It is on network TV haven't seen it in years

Both shows are on cable...non-network.

> > > > > why? I simply don't expect you to understand
> > > > >
> > > > > So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
> > > > > see....
> > > >
> > > > More making more #### stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes more ####

Nope.

> > I just make fun of what YOU make up.
>
> by lying about it

What lying about YOUR words, Markie?

There's a dozen people here who'd be on me like white on rice if
you had even a THREAD of validity in any of YOUR claims.

> and you can't tolerate lying so resolve your conflict comit suicide

After you.

You ahve soooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo much more to
"resolve", Markie.

> > > I simply don't expcept you to understand, simple fact
> > >
> > > I understand that YOU are INTENTIONALLY attempting to not be
> > > understood clearly.
> >
> > another lie
> >
> > >
> > > Why..I have no idea...
> >
> > no ideas at all have you (in my best Yoda voice, back to normal) which
> > is why I say you are so narrow minded

I love how you try to claim I have no ideas all-the-while having
to mimic a movie character to find the words to do it with...

Sheeeesh.

> > In the mean time, your posts look like Scrabble tiles dumped on
> > the floor.
>
> if that sin't a le then your claim to understand any part of is which
> would mean your claims I said any given thing would be a lie etc

I am sure that was a coherent thought as you typed it out, Markie,
but it didn't make it to the keyboard that way...

> > You have involved yourself in Brain's deceit and lies about my
> > MARS participation.
>
> which accrding to you has lasted about 6 months not years

There's more to the story, Markie.

You just jumped in in the middle.....

> > > I was here a while starting around 97 till about 2000 then left for
> > > about 4-5 years and came back
> > >
> > > with nothing in between
> >
> > You posted here briefly in 2002 or 3...Several days worth.
>
> no I did not

Liar. Again.

> Nothing after 9/11 till late june of this years

Actually it was AFTER 9/11 since I had moved from Sequatchie
County to Franklin County.

> > > > No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> > > > cage.
> > > >
> > > that sure sound like hate to me
> > >
> > Nope. Just a zookeeper doing his duty.
>
> more of your Compassion it is overwhleming

You should be glad I'm passing out compassion rather than syringes
from the euthanasia department.

> > > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
> > >
> > Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.
>
> I have the DNA

Not human, you don't.

You're Hukman, remember...?!?!

> then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
> doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS

They didn't claim to be Hukmans, so I take it on faith they were
humans.

> > > must be hate or fear
> >
> > Must be Markie on drugs.
>
> not on drugs don't need em

Yes, Markie...You NEED drugs...lots and lots of drugs.

Steve, K4YZ

1364. Markie Mayhem: Maligning Moral Men

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:21:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121703673.091145.211260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112722

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So have you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo
> > > >
> > > > "Boyo"..?!?!
> > >
> > > an irish expresion
> >
> > Uh huh...
>
> yes

Uh huh....

> > > > Fixation with kids, Markie?
> > >
> > > more implacation of pedophilia stevie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes it is

You're the one talking about "boyo".

Sounds like a fixation to me.

> > > > You've been claiming the opposite this week.
> > >
> > > Indeed No I have not that is lie on your part I have neither confirmed
> > > nor denied pedophilia, that was neevr the topic
> >
> > No...you just "confirm" being a liar and sexual deviant.
>
> nope I do not confirm being a sexual deviant

Sure you do. You've already stated it clearly.

You're male and have sex with men.

That's all it took.

> > I said you're dangerous (and rightly so) to leave kids around.
>
> prove it
>
> your claim is what ever else Libel

No it's not.

Go tell your local DHS Agent about your sexual preferences and see
what s/he has to say about your fitness to care for children
unsupervised...

> > > so Another Stevie lie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> can't tell after you editing

Sure you can.

I'm just trying to keep these things from having 30 >>>>>>>>>>>>.
If you get TOO confused, Markie, back up the thread.

> > > > You can't understand why someone would tell the truth when lying
> > > > and making stuff up is so much "fun".
> > >
> > > another lie. never said lying was fun.
> >
> > Sure you have.
>
> where?

Over and over again, Markie.

And why would you do something if it wasn't fun?

> > > you seem to enjoy making up stuff
> >
> > I couldn't make up the silliness you do, Markie.
>
> you make your silliness and attribute it to me which is another matter

Nope.

Everything I cite is quoted from YOU.

Take a bow.

> > It comes from a very deep and troubled mind.
>
> not bad I deep mind I have that, and yes It is troubled by many things
> esp lately by the rising hate in society of which you are a great

> example of

Nope.

Big snip of usual Markie Dreck....

> > More evidence of why it's unsafe to leave kids around you.
>
> maybe I should call child welfare about your daughter

You made that statement two days ago and I asked you if you knew what the penalties were for filing false accusations with DHS...And I'll extend that to CPS, or Child Protective Services.

Go right ahead...Make my Millenium!

Steve, K4YZ

1365. Markie Morgan: Will He Ever Stop Lying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:24:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121703871.977418.161740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112724

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.
> > > > > >
> > > > > realy then why do youlie in public
> > > > >
> > > > I don't.
> > > >
> > > you certainly every day you post as far as I can tell
> >
> you lie all the time starting todat with
> >
> > You can't tell the difference between a hamburger and a hooker.
> >
> I certainly know

I doubt it. Seriously.

> > > > > > > Is it ok to lie in private?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > answer the question
> > > > >
> > > > I did. ARE you THAT stupid that you need to ask a stupid question
> > > > like that?
> > > >
> > > Steve I realy don't how screwed up you are
> > >
> > > so answer the question
> > >
> > A "DEMAND"...?!?!
> >
> yes a demand you make em all the time

Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.

> > Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
> > eighth-grade level, Markie!
> >
> > (And the question's been answered)
>
> nope another stevie

Huh...???

You really have to try harder, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

1366. Masses of stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:24:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121703873.552878.161750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112723

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > You have agreed that I am deffeent and that I have the right to be
> > defferent
> > therfore I all right or at least not wroug
>
> You can be "deffeent" and wrong too.

ture a little progress Boyo

However I can't be wroung about a matter of opinion
>
> > > You keep insisting that I am "agreeing" with you.
> >
> > you seem tobut then it like Senator Kerry you flipflop very fast
>
> Nope.

sure do

>
> > > > > No. Rude for treating Hans the way you did for ANSWERING your
> > > > > question.
> > > >
> > > > stevie you are flaimng me for reareaching part 97 which you complained
> > > > I wasn't doing
> > >
> > > No...I was complaining that you're lying.
> >
> > prove it
>
> See all under "an_old_friend"
>
> Pretty hillarious stuff there.

funny to you prehaps but no proof of lying

>
> > i was rading the sections of part 97 and noticed this hole in the stuff
> > from the FCC
>
> There's no hole. Other than in your head, that is......

more lies

>
> > and decided to coment on it
>
> And made a fool out of yourself in the process.

nope according to you I can't make a fool of myself

>
> > you claim everything I do is some of plot to confuse you and now Hans
>
> The only thing confusing about you is your broken grammar and
> illogical expressive patterns.

then you are lieing in saying i saying I was ploting to confuse/divert
you

>
> > get over your self
>
> Get over WHAT about myself?

get over yourself

>
> > When was you last post on Radio here

again whenwas YOUR last port on Radio 1998

> >
> > >
> > > Which was evident in your post.
> >
> > lie
> >
> > >
> > > > making up wityhput eveident that I am trying to decieve folks
> > >
> > > "wityhput eveident"...?!?!
> > >
> > > What the heck is that?
> >
> > what you are engaged in attacks with nothing to back em up
>
> You didn't answer the question.

nope I didn't

I have the same rights as you do

>
> WhatEVER that was, it wasn't English.
>
> Try again.
>
> > > You suggested intercourse. We are the same gender, and that's

> > > deviant.
> >
> > not according to medical authority
>
> Sure it is. What "medical authority" are YOU refering to? Jack
> Kevorkian?

The standard refernces of the mental health feild disagree

>
> > nor did I suggest I would #### you
>
> Good thing. Or you WOULD have something to worry about.

You should be worring about your obsession with sex

>
> > > > > And I've never seen the word "truthfull" either.
> > > > >
> > > > > lie
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > and you cut stuff out again
>
> I see no need to re-post things that are three or more posts old,
> Mark.

no you cut stuff inorder to build a stawman to nock down

>
> > I have used the word before therefore you have seen therefore you are
> > lieing about not having seen it
>
> "Truthfull" is not correct, Mark.

sure is

>
> That YOU spell "mistruthful" taht way does NOT make it right.

does not make it wroung either

>
> > > > never seen it before
> > >
> > > Maybe because Webster's isn't written in crayon...?!?!
> >
> > doubt that
> >
> > why are you so obsessed with crayons?
>
> Because I am certain that writing with crayons is about your

> developmental level.

then you are simply stupid

>
> You act childish, so I assume you function better at a child-like
> level.

more personal attack boring

>
> > > > > > which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one
> > > > > > shot one Kill even in illiopolis"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > More out-of-context quotes, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > >
> > > Yep. Sure is. Just like Brain keeps trying to insinuate that I
> > > allegedly threatened to "slash tires" and "terrorize wives".
> >
> > you typed it
>
> And Brain snipped it and quoted it out of context.

Why do you claim that only you may decide how you are quoted
The context looked pretty clear to me

>
> > > > > > Forgot your "Is that your best shot" comment that inspired the
> > > > > > response?
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > >
> > > Obviously you did.
> >
> > nope you just think you are above the law, fortunately for you it
> > doesn't seem to bother with usenet
>
> So...it's OK for YOU to choose words that can be misconstrued to a
> violent intent, but if anyone else uses such words, it's "above the
> law"...

what violent intent are you talking about

and "One shot one kill.." can ONLY be taken as a violent intent
>
> Uh huh....
>
> > > What "shot" were YOU suggesting, Markie...?!?!
> >
> > I was not suggesting a gunshot
>

> That's not what I asked you.

I was refering to some now forgottn cheap retorical shot you made just
before that as you well knew

>
> I asked you what "shot" were YOU suggesting.
>
> Do you not understand the question?

simply don't remeber enough from 5 years ago

>
> > > > I have 100% Hukman DNA
> > >
> > > ROTMFFLMMFAO...AGAIN ! ! ! !
> >
> > so
>
> So what is "Hukman DNA"...?!?!
>
> Is that some distant alien race that seeded the Earth...?!?!?
>
> > > There's no "distorted uses" I can apply to words that YOU won't
> > > print clearly.
> >
> > Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not
>
> How can I "didtort" your words any worse than YOU

Stevie I don't know how can do it? esp and make the claim that you are
upholding some kind of civlized standard, but you do

> do...?!?!?!?!?!!?!?
>
> > > An absolute lie, Markie. You were MONTHS with that
> > > song-and-dance!
> >
> > nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it
>
> You're lying, Morgan.

nope

>
> You lied about being in the Army.

nope
>
> You lied aboout being threatened.

nope

>
> You continue to lie about being "stalked".

nope

>
> Why not just TRY being truthful for ONE WEEK and see if you aren't
> accepted just a wee bit better than what you are now.

why don't you try being truthfull for even one post

>
> > and then these years later you claim I never did at all
> >
> > which lie is your final answer?
>
> There's no lie on my part, ergo no final answer.

mases of lies to many to sort out

>
> > > > You needed reapeated a year later and again 6 years later
> > >
> > > Nope. YOU needed to be reminded that there is only ONE meaning of
> > > the word "drafted" as applied to military service.
> >
> > the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
> > narrowminded vision
>
> It isn't MY "vision".

yes it is

>
> YOU chose to represent yourself as a commissioned officer in the
> Armed Forces, ergo YOUR "choie" of words could only be construed as
> being in the military vernacular.

bullshit nor is selected service the only use of drafted in military
vernacular
>
> > > More lies! Sheesh, Morgan, where DO you get this stuff...?!?!
> >
> > you sent those email I remember them well
>
> I am sure you "remember" a lot of things in your few lucid
> moments.

and you are not man enough to admit your crimes

>
> None of it correct, but I am sure you THINK it is.
>
> > > What time? What money? You're a useless layabout. What have YOU
> > > got to do?

> >
> > Stevie I run my own company. a small one, I take care of a Terminal ill
> > man, I am active in politics. I even try to get on the air
>
> Oh God...that's scary....

what is scary. that you are stupid
>
> Bad enough the poor fellow is ill, but to have to wake up and see
> YOUR mug...

it was bad enough that your daughter had to see your till she died many
that had something to do with her death

>
> > my income is the range of about 30K a year, and I own my home (not me
> > and the bank but me) I have a family
>
> Congratulations.

your welcome

>
> Most sexual deviants live in the middle of "Middle America", and
> everyone is aghast when the news hits the presses.

not a sexual deviant according the satndrads of mental health

>
> > that you are bigoted enough to judge a person by his typing is not my
> > fault
>
> It's not just your "typing", Mark.

yes it is

>
> It's your ignorance. It's your behaviour. It's your arrogance.

arogance you are far more aroagnt than I

and more childish to be carrying on about th facts I don't choose to
use words as narowwly as you do for 5 fucking years

>
> But most of all, it's the lying.

then grow and face facts, "everyone lies"(B5)
>
> Note that Lennie Anderson is quite verbose...bordering poetic on
> rare occassion, yet I despise him.

I was under no impression you like him

>
> I despise him because he's a liar...And he doesn't take too great
> care to hide his misrepresentations, either.

again then grow and face facts, "everyone lies"(B5)
>
> > > > You don't humilate me.
> > >
> > > No, I don't.
> >
> > progress boyo
>
> But not what you think wusso.

Like i said progress not sucesss

>
> > > > anoy harrass stalker threaten me yes
> > >
> > > No. No. No. No.
> >
> > yes yes yes
>
> Three out of four.

progress boyo

>
> "Progress boyo"
>
> > you dare to claim you don't anoy me?
>
> Do I?

yes right above
you did you dening it now

get yoour stories striaght

>
> I hadn't noticed.
>
> > Jesus Mary and Joeseph
>
> Peter, Paul and Mary.
>
> > > > yes all in that #### brains head of yours
> >
> > that #### for brains of yours
>
> Have you been taking Toiddie's correspondence course for online
> cussing?

nope just excerising my free speech rights

>
> > talking about killing people is always a threat and never justified on
> > usenet
>
> Then why did you start it?

another lie

One shot one kill even in Illiolpolis" were your words not mine
>
> > > > and anyne who make the threats you do over Ham radio must be unblanced,
> > > > not sure exactly how, but you must be
> > >
> > > What threats have I made "...over Ham Radio..."
> >
> > to kill me
>
> I've NEVER discussed you over Ham Radio, Mark.

and as normal you chosee to distort the meaning

>
> > of course you are distring the context again I gues I shoudl have said
> > over the subject of Ham radio but that would hardly help since youd
> > just find some other way to twist my words
>
> No twisting needed, Mark.

yep

right you threaten me over the subject of ham radio and can't even
accept that

>
> If you'd learn PROPER ENGLISH instead of exepcting the rest of the
> world to adapt to you, you'd find life a lot more pleasant.
>
> Speaking the same language as those around you does NOT detract
> from your individuality or "freedom", Mark. It enhances it.

yes it does detract from my freedom if it forced upon me, the very use
of force denies freedom

>
> > > I don't discuss you on the air. I wouldn't be able to stop
> > > laughing long enough to get my call and QTH across...
> >
> > never said you did another of your distortions
>
> No distortion.

yes another distortion

>
> YOU said that I threatened to harm you "..over Ham Radio"...

then when you did not "understand" that I corrected to over the subject
of ham radio and you continue to ignore the corection sthereby
dieffecting a distortion

>
> In the COMPLETE context of the sentence, any reasonable person
> competent in English would ahve drawn the same conclusion

nope

>
> > > > > Please, Markie...
> > > > >
> > > > > Tell us of YOUR credentials to determine mental instability...!
> > > > >
> > > > years of reading your posts
> > >
> > > Then you are acknowledging that you ahve NO credentials.
> >
> > i don't ahve anything nor does anyone else
> >
> > yes a cheap shot at your spelling, but I pass on most of them
>
> Don't use the letter transposition as an excuse to ignore the
> question, Mark.

why not you do all the time I try to keep it to just once a week

>
> What are YOUR credentials for determining mental instability?

don't claim any don't need any to repeat the words of a professional
who in my case knows enough about me to make a pronouncement

>
> Do you have any? Yes or no will suffice.
>
>
> > > > > If you understood English well, you'd have a better than average
> > > > > likelyhood of spelling it correctly.
> > > > >
> > > > not realy proves you don't know much about dyslexia
> > >
> > > I know it can be treated.
> >
> > Yes according to Tom Cruise where did he get his MD?
>
> Tom Cruise needs an MD in order to tell people he had a dysfunction
> that he got help for?

he needs to say it can simply be cured

you are claiming I need credencails to repeat my word of my own
therapist

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1367. masses of steve lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:30:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121704218.891373.140180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112725

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > You have agreed that I am deffeent and that I have the right to be
> > defferent
> > therfore I all right or at least not wroug
>
> You can be "deffeent" and wrong too.

not over a matter of opinion
>
> > > You keep insisting that I am "agreeing" with you.
> >
> > you seem tobut then it like Senator Kerry you flipflop very fast
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > > > No. Rude for treating Hans the way you did for ANSWERING your
> > > > > question.
> > > >
> > > > stevie you are flaimng me for reareaching part 97 which you complained
> > > > I wasn't doing
> > >
> > > No...I was complaining that you're lying.
> >
> > prove it
>
> See all under "an_old_friend"
>
> Pretty hillarious stuff there.

what proof?

nothing as normal for stevie

claims everyone should do his work for him

>
> > i was rading the sections of part 97 and noticed this hole in the stuff
> > from the FCC
>
> There's no hole. Other than in your head, that is......

yes there is

Indeed the FCC adknowleds the proble with them refering people to both
an out of date copy and a corect one

>
> > and decided to coment on it
>
> And made a fool out of yourself in the process.

accroding to you that is not possibe, so another lie Stevie

>
> > you claim everything I do is some of plot to confuse you and now Hans
>
> The only thing confusing about you is your broken grammar and
> illogical expressive patterns.
>
> > get over your self
>
> Get over WHAT about myself?

get over yourself is comon expression corretly used

so get over yourself

>
> > When was you last post on Radio here

when was it BTW

> >
> > >
> > > Which was evident in your post.
> >
> > lie
> >
> > >
> > > > making up wityhput eveident that I am trying to decieve folks
> > >
> > > "wityhput eveident"...?!?!
> > >
> > > What the heck is that?
> >
> > what you are engaged in attacks with nothing to back em up
>
> You didn't answer the question.

no I did not

>
> WhatEVER that was, it wasn't English.
>
> Try again.
>

> > > You suggested intercourse. We are the same gender, and that's
> > > deviant.
> >
> > not according to medical authority
>
> Sure it is. What "medical authority" are YOU refering to? Jack
> Kevorkian?

members of AMA
>
> > nor did I suggest I would #### you
>
> Good thing. Or you WOULD have something to worry about.
>
> > > > > And I've never seen the word "truthfull" either.
> > > >
> > > > lie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > and you cut stuff out again
>
> I see no need to re-post things that are three or more posts old,
> Mark.

no you choose to change context

>
> > I have used the word before therefore you have seen therefore you are
> > lieing about not having seen it
>
> "Truthfull" is not correct, Mark.
>
> That YOU spell "mistruthful" taht way does NOT make it right.

doesn't make it worung either

>
> > > > never seen it before
> > >
> > > Maybe because Webster's isn't written in crayon...?!?!
> >
> > doubt that
> >
> > why are you so obsessed with crayons?
>
> Because I am certain that writing with crayons is about your
> developmental level.

proves you are stupid then

>
> You act childish, so I assume you function better at a child-like
> level.
>
> > > > > > which does not alter its threatening nature esp from a guy saying "one
> > > > > > shot one Kill even in illiopolis"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > More out-of-context quotes, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > Yep. Sure is. Just like Brain keeps trying to insinuate that I
> > > > allegedly threatened to "slash tires" and "terrorize wives".
> > >
> > > you typed it
>
> And Brain snipped it and quoted it out of context.

no out of context quote either by Brain or me

>
> > > > > > Forgot your "Is that your best shot" comment that inspired the
> > > > > > response?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope
> > > > >
> > > > > Obviously you did.
> > > >
> > > > nope you just think you are above the law, fortunately for you it
> > > > doesn't seem to bother with usenet
>
> So...it's OK for YOU to choose words that can be misconstrued to a
> violent intent, but if anyone else uses such words, it's "above the
> law"...

you though I was threantening you with give my your best shot

>
> Uh huh....
>
> > > What "shot" were YOU suggesting, Markie...?!?!
> >
> > I was not suggesting a gunshot
>
> That's not what I asked you.
>
> I asked you what "shot" were YOU suggesting.
>
> Do you not understand the question?

not really and more to the point it has been 5 years

>
> > > > I have 100% Hukman DNA
> > >
> > > ROTMFFLMMFAO...AGAIN ! ! ! !
> >
> > so
>
> So what is "Hukman DNA"...?!?!
>
> Is that some distant alien race that seeded the Earth...?!?!?
>
> > > There's no "distorted uses" I can apply to words that YOU won't
> > > print clearly.
> >
> > Stevie you didtort my words wether I type them clearly or not
>
> How can I "didtort" your words any worse than YOU
> do...?!?!?!?!?!!?!?
>
> > > An absolute lie, Markie. You were MONTHS with that
> > > song-and-dance!
> >
> > nope you just did not bother to read it so I had to repate it
>
> You're lying, Morgan.
>
> You lied about being in the Army.
>
> You lied aboout being threatened.
>
> You continue to lie about being "stalked".
>
> Why not just TRY being truthful for ONE WEEK and see if you aren't
> accepted just a wee bit better than what you are now.
>
> > and then these years later you claim I never did at all
> >
> > which lie is your final answer?
>
> There's no lie on my part, ergo no final answer.
>
> > > > You needed reapeated a year later and again 6 years later
> > >
> > > Nope. YOU needed to be reminded that there is only ONE meaning of
> > > the word "drafted" as applied to military service.
> >
> > the choie of the word was alas unforunate, but I am not bound by your
> > narrowminded vision
>
> It isn't MY "vision".
>

> YOU chose to represent yourself as a commissioned officer in the
> Armed Forces, ergo YOUR "choie" of words could only be construed as
> being in the military vernacular.
>
> > > More lies! Sheesh, Morgan, where DO you get this stuff...?!?!
> >
> > you sent those email I remember them well
>
> I am sure you "remember" a lot of things in your few lucid
> moments.
>
> None of it correct, but I am sure you THINK it is.
>
> > > What time? What money? You're a useless layabout. What have YOU
> > > got to do?
> >
> > Stevie I run my own company. a small one, I take care of a Terminal ill
> > man, I am active in politics. I even try to get on the air
>
> Oh God...that's scary....
>
> Bad enough the poor fellow is ill, but to have to wake up and see
> YOUR mug...
>
> > my income is the range of about 30K a year, and I own my home (not me
> > and the bank but me) I have a family
>
> Congratulations.
>
> Most sexual deviants live in the middle of "Middle America", and
> everyone is aghast when the news hits the presses.
>
> > that you are bigoted enough to judge a person by his typing is not my
> > fault
>
> It's not just your "typing", Mark.
>
> It's your ignorance. It's your behaviour. It's your arrogance.
>
> But most of all, it's the lying.
>
> Note that Lennie Anderson is quite verbose...bordering poetic on
> rare occassion, yet I despise him.
>
> I despise him because he's a liar...And he doesn't take too great
> care to hide his misrepresentations, either.
>
> > > > You don't humilate me.
> > >
> > > No, I don't.

> >
> > progress boyo
>
> But not what you think wusso.
>
> > > > anoy harrass stalker threaten me yes
> > >
> > > No. No. No. No.
> >
> > yes yes yes
>
> Three out of four.
>
> "Progress boyo"
>
> > you dare to claim you don't anoy me?
>
> Do I?
>
> I hadn't noticed.
>
> > Jesus Mary and Joeseph
>
> Peter, Paul and Mary.
>
> > > > yes all in that #### brains head of yours
> >
> > that #### for brains of yours
>
> Have you been taking Toiddie's correspondence course for online
> cussing?
>
> > talking about killing people is always a threat and never justified on
> > usenet
>
> Then why did you start it?
>
> > > > and anyne who make the threats you do over Ham radio must be unblanced,
> > > > not sure exactly how, but you must be
> > >
> > > What threats have I made "...over Ham Radio..."
> >
> > to kill me
>
> I've NEVER discussed you over Ham Radio, Mark.
>
> > of course you are distring the context again I gues I shoudl have said
> > over the subject of Ham radio but that would hardly help since youd
> > just find some other way to twist my words
>

> No twisting needed, Mark.
>
> If you'd learn PROPER ENGLISH instead of exepcting the rest of the
> world to adapt to you, you'd find life a lot more pleasant.
>
> Speaking the same language as those around you does NOT detract
> from your individuality or "freedom", Mark. It enhances it.
>
> > > I don't discuss you on the air. I wouldn't be able to stop
> > > laughing long enough to get my call and QTH across...
> >
> > never said you did another of your distortions
>
> No distortion.
>
> YOU said that I threatened to harm you "..over Ham Radio"...
>
> In the COMPLETE context of the sentence, any reasonable person
> competent in English would ahve drawn the same conclusion
>
> > > > > Please, Markie...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Tell us of YOUR credentials to determine mental instability...!
> > > > >
> > > > years of reading your posts
> > > >
> > > Then you are acknowledging that you ahve NO credentials.
> >
> > i don't ahve anything nor does anyone else
> >
> > yes a cheap shot at your spelling, but I pass on most of them
>
> Don't use the letter transposition as an excuse to ignore the
> question, Mark.
>
> What are YOUR credentials for determining mental instability?
>
> Do you have any? Yes or no will suffice.
>
>
> > > > > If you understood English well, you'd have a better than average
> > > > > likelyhood of spelling it correctly.
> > > > >
> > > > not realy proves you don't know much about dyslexia
> > > >
> > > I know it can be treated.
> >
> > Yes according to Tom Cruise where did he get his MD?
>
> Tom Cruise needs an MD in order to tell people he had a dysfunction

> that he got help for?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1368. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:35:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121704527.395381.208710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112726

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > > > airwaves
> > >
> > >
> > > Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything
> > > about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable
> > > of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".
> >
> > guess you failed english
>
> Better to "fail" it than bend it over and rape it in broad
> daylight.

break
>
> And let's face it, Mark...That IS what you suggest.

no it is not that is another Stevie lie

Morse code test proves nothing about anything technical or any way
determine my ability to operate a tranmitter

Morse Code testing is simply a hazing ritual a frat house game, and you
support becuase it is that.

>
> For the past week you've been refusing to apologize to Hans because
> he had the temerity to use proper emission designators in response to
> an inquiry BY you...

right I refuse, and you like junkyard dog think you can bully me into
doing so
>
> He provided you specific information, but you've since made a
> "federal case" out of having been "forced" to learn something.

"Auf Anglish bitte" is making a "federal case" of it.

You lying sack of shit, you are the one making a 'federal case' of it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1369. Markie Humiliated.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:45:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121705113.915633.232590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112728

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > > > > > airwaves
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything
> > > > > > about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable
> > > > > > of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".
> > > > >
> > > > guess you failed english
> > >
> > Better to "fail" it than bend it over and rape it in broad
> > daylight.
>
> break
> >
> > And let's face it, Mark...That IS what you suggest.
>
> no it is not that is another Stevie lie

No, it's not.

Everything you post about Amateur Radio licensing is about "how can
I get more without doing anything"...

Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!

> Morse code test proves nothing about anything technical or any way
> determine my ability to operate a tranmitter

Sure it does.

It shows you know how to operate an Amateur Radio transmitter in
the CW mode.

> Morse Code testing is simply a hazing ritual a frat house game, and you
> support becuase it is that.

Nope.

I support it becasue it's the simplest mode to get on the air and
acts as a "level playing field" for Amateurs of all nations and all
tongues.

> > For the past week you've been refusing to apologize to Hans because
> > he had the temerity to use proper emission designators in response to
> > an inquiry BY you...
>
> right I refuse, and you like junkyard dog think you can bully me into
> doing so

You refuse because you're an immoral, selfish, self-centered punk.

> > He provided you specific information, but you've since made a
> > "federal case" out of having been "forced" to learn something.
>
> "Auf Anglish bitte" is making a "federal case" of it.

Your whinings about how he was "condescending" to you by using the
very same language the FCC uses was...

> You lying sack of ####, you are the one making a 'federal case' of it

Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.

Steve, K4YZ

1370. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:45:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121705150.359309.108060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112727

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > >>Manure! Nothing in amateur radio takes away any of the "liberites"
> > > >>granted by the Constitution.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > the code test does indeed do so
> > >
> > > As with any number of things of late, you're simply wrong.
> >
> > IYO opinion
> >
> > doesn't make it so
>
> But in this case he's right.

nope
>
> Neither you or any other person has been denied their
> Constitutional rights due to ANY Amateur examination.
>
> > > Nothing in the U.S. Constitution prevents the FCC from administering a
> > > morse code test for amateur radio licensing. You have no right to an
> > > amateur radio license.
> >
> > Nothing gives it he power to impose an uneeded test
>
> Uneeded in YOUR opinion.

then defend it as needed

>
> > I have a right to a fair test
>
> It IS fair.

nope no fairer than a buggy whip test

>
> The FCC will allow almost ANY accomodation for the test other than
> just paying someone to tkae it for you.
>
> > > >>>I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > > >>>airwaves

> > > >>
> > > >>I opossum your view.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > and the Constitution of the US
> > >
> > > Okay, I opossum the Constitution.
> >
> > You support frat boy ##### and don't bother to use language as you
> > demand I do
>
> He was using YOUR style, Mark.

not realy

>
> What's a matter?
>
> Having trouble understanding it?

no

>
> > > >>Everything you needed for an answer was right before you--spelled out.
> > > >>That, of course, might have been the problem. Next time, ask Hans for
> > > >>the aural version.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > not it wasn't
> > >
> > > Yes, Mark, it was. Your repeated denials of reality are just making you
> > > look stupid.
> >
> > He did not answer the question of wether CW was premitted
>
> Yes he did.

nope

>
> Why do you continue to blatantly lie when Hans' post is archived
> for all to see?

why do you lie about my reply

>
> > > > do understand what I am saying when I type 60m Band or not?
> > >
> > > Yes, you mean the spot frequencies which are not a band at all.
> >
> > then stop your whining becuase that is all it is whining
>
> No, it's correcting your misconceptions.

no misconceptions

>
> > > I don't know Steve's views on the NBA or NFL draft. I do know what
> > > you've written previously about being drafted. Now we're to understand
> > > that you didn't actually mean "drafted".
> >
> > never said that either as I then a year later , and a few days ago I
> > using the word in other senses. That you and Stevie are hung out on one
> > meaning is not my fault. I have also admitted the use was unforunate
> > but you are just in attacking
>
> It's not "one meaning".
>
> It's that you lied.
>
> Ask any American citizen what "drafted" means in military terms,
> and they will tell you it means involuntary conscription, or words to
> that effect.

as an american citzen myself your staement falls down

>
> > > >>>no one has yet to come up with a term other than band to describe 60 m
> > > >>>doesn't stop setvie and hans from going on and on about how wrong it is
> > > >>
> > > >>Hans gave you a term to use. There is no 60m band by definition.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > and yet you, steve and Hans know better than the ARRL and W5YI
> > >
> > > Yeah, it surely looks that way. It certainly won't be the first time.
> >
> > such humility
>
> Facts are facts.

but only if they are facts

>
> If I (or any person, for that matter...) is "right" but not part
> of some "organization", does it make it any LESS "true"...?!?!

your point?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1371. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:47:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121705272.997501.148400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112729

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
> > > > >
> > > > > BOO HOO
> > > >
> > > That's the little girl we know!
> > >
> > still with your obsession over gentials stevie
> >
> What genitals?

exactly
>
> Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.

you can't seem to tell boy from girl

>
> > > > What are going to do steve cry to yourr mommy because I don't
> > > > spell my name like you want me too.
> > > >
> > > Nope. Just tell her how funny you look. When she says "no one
> > > could be that funny looking", I'll show her the pictures.
> > >
> > a vailed threat but likely you don't realy "mean" it
> >
> I am sure you meant "veiled".

ok

>
> And yes, I "realy" mean it.

then you are threatening Todd
>
> > > > Todd McDochartaigh
> > > >
> > > Misrepresenter Of Truth.
> >
> First Cousin to Markie the Menace

another lie
>

> Steve, K4YZ

1372. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:48:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121705293.622644.294100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

n9ogl wrote:
> > Yeah...same stuff that's already on QRZ, here, otehr places...
> > Ho hum....
>
> Not really steve, but what would you know,

he may well know he is a stalker after all
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL BBS
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi

1373. Re: Markie Dreck Unravelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:56:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121705779.014604.307480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112731

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > > > > Mark understands what he's trying to say. He therefore thinks
> > > > > > that if anyone else doesn't understand him, it's *their*
> > > > > > fault, not his. He's said as much over the years.
> > > > >
> > > > > No I don't and have not but get allong
> > > >
> > > > Nonsensical.
> > >
> > > prefectly senable
> >
> It's not "senable" either, whatever that's supposed to be.
> >
> > > > > > Way back in 1999, it was pointed out to Mark that he could turn on the
> > > > > > spellchecker in his posting software and eliminate a lot
> > > > >
> > > > > there was never spell checking on my software then nor is there now
> > > >
> > > > Sure there was. You were just too inept to operate it.
> > >
> > > no spelling check optin existed for Free agent software (what i was
> > > using in those days and you knew it back then insisted I should spend
> > > MY money to please you
> > >
> > > so another Stevie lie
> >

break

> Nope. Any wordprocessing program, of which every computer is
> provided at least Word Perfect or (Heaven forbid!) Word Star could be
> used, and each has it's own spell chcking program.

so what wasn't using a word processing program to post therefore
>
> No lie on my part, but nice try getting around it, Markie.

no just another lie

>
> > > > > > of confusion and effort on the part of those who read his posts.

> > > > > He replied that the use of a spellchecker slowed him down too
> > > > > much.
> > > > >
> > > > > it would require I use change out each post twice still would
> > > >
> > > > Again nonsensical...I think...Maybe...Was that a sentence...?!?!
> > >
> > > don't you know?
> >
> > Obviously not, or I wouldn't have asked.

anything but obvious

>
> > > > > IOW, he expects you exert whatever time and effort it takes to
> > > > > figure out what he's saying, rather than having *him* write it
> > > > > clearly in the first place.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope I expect youall (to use the southernism)...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > It's "y'all", Wusso. No true Southerner says "you all"
> > >
> > > that is how they say it in Alabama or did last I was there
> >
> > Then you obviously never got too far away from the airport or bus
> > station because it's "y'all". (I'm only 20 minutes from the 'Bama
> > state line.)

never did. never claimed I did, nevr claimed I wandered int he back
woods there. your point?

>
> > > > > to either figure it out
> > > > > or ignore it as you will it is inaccurate to state I expect Stevie or
> > > > > yourself to do any work at all. I simply (try) provide content folks
> > > > > may find interesting if they care to bother.
> > > >
> > > > They may "bother" more if it wasn't SUCH a bother to read such
> > > > DRECK.
> > >
> > > Stevie you are proof it isn't that hard
> >
> > It is hard. Some times more than others.

perhap it is hard but not that hard since you manage it as lazy as you
are

>
> > but wether they bother or not is not my concern
> > which was ofcourse the point but you missed it, again
> >
> > Oh I got it...You don't care who you offend or how confused you

> leave them.

not exactly

I do intend to offend you for example, but I don't care that in many I
offend folks by looking at the world deffeently, and I don't try to
confuse anyone, but if they can't follow then they should bother to try

>
> > > > I also note there has always seemed to relaionship between wether or
> > > > not someone agrees with me and thier ability to read my stuff
> > > > accordingly Jim if you don't want to bother the don't.
> > >
> > > No one "agrees" with your stuff, Mark...Except where personal
> > > attacks and such are involved. You've not uttered a single
> > > constructive thing since your "return".
> >
> > lies steve, more lies, why do you lie in public so?
>
> There's not a single lie of my constrct there, Mark.

yes there is

I have posted about radio and feild day I have even agreed completly
with one of your posts

>
> > Btw what "constructives post have you made while I was one, Haven't
> > read them all but looking over the google search can find on in 5 years
>
> There's a lot you can't find, Mark. Like your common sense and
> maturity.

got those they just don't take the shape that you would like

>
> > > > > Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
> > > > > Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a
> > > > > spellchecker. But he won't.
> > > >
> > > > no spelling checker in google posting which is my current access, so
> > > > your statement is n't true any more that their is any need for spell
> > > > chekcing
> > >
> > > Sure there is, and it was pointed out to you 1000 times before.
> > > Type your posts in something like "WordPerfect", clean it up, then
> > > paste it here.
> >
> > another Stevie lie No spelling check in teh GOOgle interface
>
> Where, in the above paragraph, did I say ANYTHING about Google,
> Wusso?

out of context

>
> > you sugestion I cut and paste is simply too much bother esp with the
> > sheer volomue of #### you put out, as i have said a few times
>
> It would take you only a few minutes longer to do this.

a few mintues times all your posts is a lot of time

>
> Think of all the ribbings you'd NOT get...

nope you would simply find something else

>
> > > > but you are right i won't so deal with it or not as YOU choose nobody
> > > > making try to read it
> > > >
> > > > Although I do wonder how such mighty Morse men are so easliy stumped by
> > > > a typo or 5. It must happen on air with morse to you. Other are honest
> > > > enough to admit that (none of them procoders on RRAP though)
> > >
> > > I reiterate my previous statement...Most "Morse Men" are not
> > > grossly incompetent with the language like you are.
> >
> > gee not it is only most Morsemen last week it was NO marseman, so which
> > was the lie Stevie
>
> None.

one has to be

>
> No quantity of "Morse Men" I know of "write" like you.

then there it is your satement "Most "Morse Men" are not grossly
incompetent with the language like you are" is a lie

>
> > > > > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > > > > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
> > > >
> > > > as is your right
> > >
> > > Missed the point again.
> >
> > nope I got his point just disgree with it, haven't seen yours yet
>
> Here it is.
>
> You're an irresponsible, immature, lying punk.

that is a point?

>
> Satisfaction in just six words!

glad you enjoyed it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1374. Re: Which one of these?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 16:57:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121705864.635201.251000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112732

bully and bigot

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> > >night hun.
> >
> > - Hide quoted text -
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > > TODD
> >
> >
> > ##### YOU YOU MOTHER #####ING ##########, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> > ### BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT #### AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU #### ROTTEN IN
> > ##### YOU ####ING ########## MOTHER########!!!!!!
>
> Not bad, Todd, but not up to your own standard! Not quite 33%
> profantities, but hey, you've not been this drunk in a long time, have
> you?
>
> Guess it's all the money you've been able to save by not forking
> it over to Consolidated for broadband, eh...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1375. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:02:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121706139.420875.104820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112733

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > No adult would be so obsessive about profanities
> > >
> > > Sure they would.
> >
> > no adult would
>
> Sure they would.

nope

>
> How many newscasters talk the way you talk on camera?

this isn't a newcast on camera

>
> How many grade school teachers teach that kind of language?

not a grade school either or you constant bulling would be stoped

>
> In what business schools is your prefered adjectives encouraged?

not a busness school either

>
> And when was the last time any member of the clergy worth his or
> her salt screamed out "PRAISE ####ING JESUS! WHAT A MOTHER####ER OF A
> DUDE!"...?!?!

not a church

>
> > > No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.
> >
> > wasn't the subject the subject is your obseesion with profanity
>
> What's YOUR obsession with USING it in a public forum?

not obsessed at all
>
> Why can't you act like the adult you profess to be?

I do. you are the one acting like a child

>
> > > Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.
> >
> > ##### you your a sack of #####, and dylexics untie
>
> You're untied, alright...

nope but then you can't undersatnd anything outside your box

>
> Or maybe just "unglued"...?!?!

not at all

BTW Fuck you, your a sack of shit and dylexics untie

if a bully would drop dead that would be fine as well

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1376. Re: Markie Humiliated.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:11:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121706684.199260.17410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112735

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > > > > > airwaves
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything
> > > > > > about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable
> > > > > > of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".
> > > > >
> > > > > guess you failed english
> > > >
> > > > Better to "fail" it than bend it over and rape it in broad
> > > > daylight.
> > >
> > > break
> > >
> > > And let's face it, Mark...That IS what you suggest.
> >

break
> > no it is not that is another Stevie lie
>
> No, it's not.
>
> Everything you post about Amateur Radio licensing is about "how can
> I get more without doing anything"...

nope

>
> Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!

i may well yet take part in such a suit

>
> > Morse code test proves nothing about anything technical or any way
> > determine my ability to operate a tranmitter
>
> Sure it does.

nope

>
> It shows you know how to operate an Amateur Radio transmitter in
> the CW mode.

no it does not

I can do that right now, and have done so for providing code pratice, I
use comptuer to encode and decode

>
> > Morse Code testing is simply a hazing ritual a frat house game, and you
> > support becuase it is that.
>
> Nope.

yes it is

>
> I support it becasue it's the simplest mode to get on the air and
> acts as a "level playing field" for Amateurs of all nations and all
> tongues.

so?

and withdrwaing your remarks about needing work to earn the license?

>
> > > For the past week you've been refusing to apologize to Hans because
> > > he had the temerity to use proper emission designators in response to
> > > an inquiry BY you...
> >
> > right I refuse, and you like junkyard dog think you can bully me into
> > doing so
>
> You refuse because you're an immoral, selfish, self-centered punk.

no I refuse becuase I don't owe one, and I will not be bullied into one

even if you had gotten the reason right, doesn't alter the facts you
have beentrying to harass and bully me into making it

>
> > > He provided you specific information, but you've since made a
> > > "federal case" out of having been "forced" to learn something.
> >
> > "Auf Anglish bitte" is making a "federal case" of it.
>
> Your whinings about how he was "condescending" to you by using the
> very same language the FCC uses was...

that was after you made the federal case, he was condsending (as is the
FCC and almost the entire govt)

>
> > You lying sack of ####, you are the one making a 'federal case' of it
>
> Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.

IYO

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1377. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:11:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121706702.747182.203270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112734

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I opose hazing ritual frat boy rituals for access to the public
> > > > > > airwaves
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Translation: "I don't want to learn anything technical, or anything
> > > > > > about regulations, or take a test to determine if I am half-way capable
> > > > > > of operating a transmitter responsibably on the ham bands".
> > > > >
> > > > > guess you failed english
> > > >
> > > > Better to "fail" it than bend it over and rape it in broad
> > > > daylight.
> > >
> > > break
> > >
> > > And let's face it, Mark...That IS what you suggest.
> >

break
> > no it is not that is another Stevie lie
>
> No, it's not.
>
> Everything you post about Amateur Radio licensing is about "how can
> I get more without doing anything"...

nope

>
> Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!

i may well yet take part in such a suit

>
> > Morse code test proves nothing about anything technical or any way
> > determine my ability to operate a tranmitter
>
> Sure it does.

nope

>
> It shows you know how to operate an Amateur Radio transmitter in
> the CW mode.

no it does not

I can do that right now, and have done so for providing code pratice, I
use comptuer to encode and decode

>
> > Morse Code testing is simply a hazing ritual a frat house game, and you
> > support becuase it is that.
>
> Nope.

yes it is

>
> I support it becasue it's the simplest mode to get on the air and
> acts as a "level playing field" for Amateurs of all nations and all
> tongues.

so?

and withdrwaing your remarks about needing work to earn the license?

>
> > > For the past week you've been refusing to apologize to Hans because
> > > he had the temerity to use proper emission designators in response to
> > > an inquiry BY you...
> >
> > right I refuse, and you like junkyard dog think you can bully me into
> > doing so
>
> You refuse because you're an immoral, selfish, self-centered punk.

no I refuse becuase I don't owe one, and I will not be bullied into one

even if you had gotten the reason right, doesn't alter the facts you
have beentrying to harass and bully me into making it

>
> > > He provided you specific information, but you've since made a
> > > "federal case" out of having been "forced" to learn something.
> >
> > "Auf Anglish bitte" is making a "federal case" of it.
>
> Your whinings about how he was "condescending" to you by using the
> very same language the FCC uses was...

that was after you made the federal case, he was condsending (as is the
FCC and almost the entire govt)

>
> > You lying sack of ####, you are the one making a 'federal case' of it
>
> Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.

IYO

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1378. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:19:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121707176.603725.283270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112736

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The FCC has failed so many logic tests already.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So have you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Agreeing that the FCC is not always logical progress Boyo
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Boyo"..?!?!
> > > > >

break
> > > > an irish expresion
> > >
> > > Uh huh...
> >
> > yes
>
> Uh huh....

I'll get back this

>
> > > > > Fixation with kids, Markie?
> > > >
> > > > more implacation of pedophilia stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes it is
>
> You're the one talking about "boyo".

I refer you above

>
> Sounds like a fixation to me.

maybe it does to you

>
> > > > > You've been claiming the opposite this week.
> > > > >
> > > > Indeed No I have not that is lie on your part I have neither confirmed
> > > > nor denied pedophilia, that was neevr the topic
> > > >
> > > No...you just "confirm" being a liar and sexual deviant.
> >
> > nope I do not confirm being a sexual deviant
>
> Sure you do. You've already stated it clearly.

no I have not more lies on your part

>
> You're male and have sex with men.

not according to the sandard reference in the feild of mental health
>
> That's all it took.

nope

>
> > > I said you're dangerous (and rightly so) to leave kids around.
> >
> > prove it
> >
> > your claim is what ever else Libel
>
> No it's not.

it sure is Libel

>
> Go tell your local DHS Agent about your sexual preferences and see
> what s/he has to say about your fitness to care for children
> unsupervised...

i am sure you can find bigots in socail services, but no one believing
in the standards of the field would

>
> > > > so Another Stevie lie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > can't tell after you editing
>
> Sure you can.

No i can't

>
> I'm just trying to keep these things from having 30 >>>>>>>>>>>>.

> If you get TOO confused, Markie, back up the thread.

with volume of shit you spew

>
> > > > > You can't understand why someone would tell the truth when lying
> > > > > and making stuff up is so much "fun".
> > > > >
> > > > > another lie. never said lying was fun.
> > > >
> > > > Sure you have.
> > >
> > > where?
> >
> > Over and over again, Markie.

never

>
> And why would you do something if it wasn't fun?

because it suited my prupose that being improving the safety of my
family form freaks like you and those even worse than you.

I do regret that the world is in a state that I find it neccasary to
lie

>
> > > > > you seem to enjoy making up stuff
> > > >
> > > > I couldn't make up the silliness you do, Markie.
> > >
> > > you make your silliness and attribute it to me which is another matter
> >
> > Nope.

yep

>
> Everything I cite is quoted from YOU.

nope

>
> Take a bow.

no it is your bow

>
> > > > It comes from a very deep and troubled mind.
> > >
> > > not bad I deep mind I have that, and yes It is troubled by many things
> > > esp lately by the rising hate in society of which you are a great
> > > example of

>
> Nope.

yes it is

>
> Big snip of usual Markie Dreck....

big cut into context

>
> > > More evidence of why it's unsafe to leave kids around you.
> >
> > maybe I should call child welfare about your daughter
>
> You made that statement two days ago and I asked you if you knew
> what the penalties were for filing false accusations with DHS...And
> I'll extend that to CPS, or Child Protective Services.

did not see it

but you are discusing what are the penalities for making false
statements

>
> Go right ahead...Make my Millenium!

you certainly make me wonder if it is safe to leave her in your care
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1379. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:33:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121708027.918837.197560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112737

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > It's not hate and venom, Markie.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > yes it is
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. It's your own words and behaviour, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > why did you attack me when I had not posted in years
> > >
> > > I didn't "attack" you.
> >

> > yes you did
>
> It's not an "attack" if it's true.

wrong the truth of stement has nothing to do with wether the statement
is an attack

it was an attack and on someone who had not been around in years

>
> > > > can you answer that?
> > >
> > > I did...See above.
> >
> > no you did not
>
> Yes I did. See above.

nope

>
> > > > > > you obviously hate what for I can't understand
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Huh?
> > > > >
> > > > you obviously hate me why I don't know
> > >
> > > I don't hate you.
> >

> > yes you do or fear me
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! !

crybaby

>
> > You alter words of mine and then "respond" to them that is forgery I am
> > assesing your words and commenting on their meaning
>
> You're already an admitted liar, Markie...This is only more
> evidence that that accusation is accurate.

nope

>
> > > No..Not hate.
> >
> > yes hate or fear
>
> You flatter yourself, Markie.

no it isn't flatering

>
> > > > you might have a point if it were just me but you go off on Lenn and
> > > > Tood in the same hate filled manner
> > >
> > > Lennie and Todd are cut from the same cloth. Oh, Lennie's far
> > > more competent with the English language than you'll ever hope to be,
> > > but you're "cousins" none-the-less.
> >
> > not we are very different people all we really have in comon is that we
> > are not cowed by a bully like yourself
>
> Your "common thread" are the lies in THESE threads.

nope

>
> > > > > "Hate" in YOUR words.
> > > >
> > > > yes Hate
> > >
> > > But not in mine. You may stop "forging" any time you like,
> >
> > yes hate
> >
> > no forgeing
> >

> > and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too
>
> Huh? Stop what the quoting?

you understand

>
> > You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity
>
> Huh? I what the worst impulses?

you indulge them, and pander to them

a simple letter tranposition as you know I make often, and which you
say is all when you do it

>
> > > Intolerance of the deceitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.
> >
> > hate or fear
>
> Intolerance of the decitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.

of deitful...

hate and/or fear

>
> > > > > I reserve "hate" for child molesters, spousal abusers, murderers
> > > > > etc.
> > > >
> > > > well you have all but accused me of being a Child molester
> > >
> > > No, I've not.
> >
> > yes you have
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> I said you were dangerous to leave kids around and I explained
> why.

wby calling me somekind of olester

>
> The only person using your name and "child molester" in the same
> sentences is YOU, Markie...

you just used them both stevie more honest on your part

>
> > > I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not

> > > because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> > > influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> > > liar, and a sexual deviant.
> >
> > not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
> > you are
>
> That's a lie in and of itself.

nope I am more honest than you

nor Ileterate or deviant

I am dysgraphic of course

>
> > > If you really knew what compromises the training of Marines, you'd
> > > know better.
> >
> > I know the training of the Army and The from others the Navy Adm Ray
> > spruance said that basic training was mostly brain washing to produce a
> > guy that would react as his comanders wish him to
>
> ADM Spruance was not a Marine.

never said he was

> >
> > from a speech about 1970 at the redication fo the Naval reservse armory
> > in Indy
>
> An affair you were not at.

yes I was

>
> > > And even more evidence that you know nothing of, nor were ever
> > > part of, "the military".
> >
> > in some way you right when you say i was never part of the military,
> > since i never ever could stop thinking, as was desired by my trainers
>
> You were never in the Armed Forces...Never inducted, never sworn
> in, never served a day in uniform (Good Humor Man and Crossing Guard do
> not count....)

yes I did

>
> > > > > > > And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ah yes self loathing Stevie
> > > > > >

> > > > > Yes, I am sure you are self-loathing. You should be.
> > > >
> > > > no I like myself I even love myself
> > >
> > > I am sure you do.
> >
> > then you were lyinng when you said I loathed myself
>
> "Lyinng"...?!?! No, I wasn't "lyinng".

but you were lying

>
> > you lie with evidence right on the page
>
> Nope.

yep is it

>
> > > I am sure that you've watched enough episodes of ER and General
> > > Hospital to think so.
> >
> > nope I have never sat through an episode of General hospital, never
> > seen ER. It is on network TV haven't seen it in years
>
> Both shows are on cable...non-network.

are they? hadn't noticed

>
> > > > > > why? I simply don't expect you to understand
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
> > > > > > see....
> > > > >
> > > > > More making more #### stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes more ####
>
> Nope.

yes it is

this statement of yours

" So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
see...."

is a lie. It is not intentional and you know it or should know it

>
> > > I just make fun of what YOU make up.
> >
> > by lying about it
>
> What lying about YOUR words, Markie?

you lie about my meaning

>
> There's a dozen people here who'd be on me like white on rice if
> you had even a THREAD of validity in any of YOUR claims.

there are not a dozen poster here any more Stevie so clawk up another
lie

>
> > and you can't tolerate lying so resolve your conflict comit suicide
>
> After you.

no thanks

>
> You ahve sooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo much more to
> "resolve", Markie.

nope

>
> > > > I simply don't expcept you to understand, simple fact
> > >
> > > I understand that YOU are INTENTIONALLY attempting to not be
> > > understood clearly.
> >
> > another lie
> >
> > >
> > > Why..I have no idea...
> >
> > no ideas at all have you (in my best Yoda voice, back to normal) which
> > is why I say you are so narrow minded
>
> I love how you try to claim I have no ideas all-the-while having
> to mimic a movie character to find the words to do it with...

glad you enjoyed it, too bad your were not wee paying ateention

>
> Sheeeesh.

indeed

>
> > > In the mean time, your posts look like Scrabble tiles dumped on

> > > the floor.
> >
> > if that sin't a le then your claim to understand any part of is which
> > would mean your claims I said any given thing would be a lie etc
>
> I am sure that was a coherent thought as you typed it out, Markie,
> but it didn't make it to the keyboard that way...

progress boyo

>
> > > You have involved yourself in Brain's deceit and lies about my
> > > MARS participation.
> >
> > which accrding to you has lasted about 6 months not years
>
> There's more to the story, Markie.

then you were lying in saying it went 6 months

>
> You just jumped in in the middle.....

not at all likely since you will no doubt spin it on for years more

>
> > > > I was here a while starting around 97 till about 2000 then left for
> > > > about 4-5 years and came back
> > > >
> > > > with nothing in between
> > >
> > > You posted here briefly in 2002 or 3...Several days worth.
> >
> > no I did not
>
> Liar. Again.

no I did not

>
> > Nothing after 9/11 till late june of this years
>
> Actually it was AFTER 9/11 since I had moved from Sequatchie
> County to Franklin County.

i did not post anything after 9/11 stopped well before it. Perhaps
someone was jerking your chain

>
> > > > > No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> > > > > cage.
> > > >
> > > > that sure sound like hate to me

> > >
> > > Nope. Just a zookeeper doing his duty.
> >
> > more of your Compassion it is overwhleming
>
> You should be glad I'm passing out compassion rather than syringes
> from the euthanasia department.

Nazi

>
> > > > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
> > >
> > > Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.
> >
> > I have the DNA
>
> Not human, you don't.

yes i do more atacks

>
> You're Hukman, remember...?!?!

typo stupid

>
> > then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
> > doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS
>
> They didn't claim to be Hukmans, so I take it on faith they were
> humans.

thus You support and condone lying

>
> > > > must be hate or fear
> > >
> > > Must be Markie on drugs.
> >
> > not on drugs don't need em
>
> Yes, Markie...You NEED drugs...lots and lots of drugs.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1380. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:43:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <8p-dnQEja6QSZEbfRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

n9ogl wrote:
>>he may well know he is a stalker after all
>
>
> He's a staker, bully, and narrow-minded, along with a few of friends on
> here.
>

And you and old_friend are both dumber than a bowl of dirt.

1381. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:46:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121708791.556107.236220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112738

first a few words of explaination

It seem google has limits on how much one can pus through one account
in a period of time

limits that repling to stevie have exceeded so addd another account to
take up the slack sorry for any confused caused

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > It's not hate and venom, Markie.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > yes it is
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nope. It's your own words and behaviour, Markie.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > why did you attack me when I had not posted in years
> > > > > >
> > > > > I didn't "attack" you.
> > >

> > yes you did
>
> It's not an "attack" if it's true.

wrong the truth of stement has nothing to do with wether the statement
is an attack

it was an attack and on someone who had not been around in years

>
> > > > can you answer that?
> > >
> > > I did...See above.
> >
> > no you did not
>
> Yes I did. See above.

nope

>
> > > > > > you obviously hate what for I can't understand

> > > > >
> > > > > Huh?
> > > > >
> > > > you obviously hate me why I don't know
> > > >
> > > I don't hate you.
> > >
> > yes you do or fear me
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! !

crybaby

>
> > You alter words of mine and then "respond" to them that is forgery I am
> > assesing your words and commenting on their meaning
>
> You're already an admitted liar, Markie...This is only more
> evidence that that accusation is accurate.

nope

>
> > > No..Not hate.
> > >
> > yes hate or fear
>
> You flatter yourself, Markie.

no it isn't flatering

>
> > > > you might have a point if it were just me but you go off on Lenn and
> > > > Tood in the same hate filled manner
> > >
> > > Lennie and Todd are cut from the same cloth. Oh, Lennie's far
> > > more competent with the English language than you'll ever hope to be,
> > > but you're "cousins" none-the-less.
> > >
> > not we are very different people all we really have in comon is that we
> > are not cowed by a bully like yourself
>
> Your "common thread" are the lies in THESE threads.

nope

>
> > > > > "Hate" in YOUR words.
> > > > >
> > > > yes Hate

> > >
> > > But not in mine. You may stop "forging" any time you like,
> >
> > yes hate
> >
> > no forgeing
> >
> > and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too
>
> Huh? Stop what the quoting?

you understand

>
> > You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity
>
> Huh? I what the worst impulses?

you indulge them, and pander to them

a simple letter tranposition as you know I make often, and which you
say is all when you do it

>
> > > Intolerance of the deceitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.
> >
> > hate or fear
>
> Intolerance of the decitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.

of deitful...

hate and/or fear

>
> > > > > I reserve "hate" for child molesters, spousal abusers, murderers
> > > > > etc.
> > > > >
> > > > well you have all but accused me of being a Child molester
> > > >
> > > No, I've not.
> >
> > yes you have
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> I said you were dangerous to leave kids around and I explained
> why.

wby calling me somekind of olester

>
> The only person using your name and "child molester" in the same
> sentences is YOU, Markie...

you just used them both stevie more honest on your part

>
> > > I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
> > > because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> > > influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> > > liar, and a sexual deviant.
> >
> > not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
> > you are
>
> That's a lie in and of itself.

nope I am more honest than you

nor Ileterate or deviant

I am dysgraphic of course

>
> > > If you really knew what compromises the training of Marines, you'd
> > > know better.
> >
> > I know the training of the Army and The from others the Navy Adm Ray
> > spruance said that basic training was mostly brain washing to produce a
> > guy that would react as his comanders wish him to
>
> ADM Spruance was not a Marine.

never said he was

> >
> > from a speech about 1970 at the redication fo the Naval reservse armory
> > in Indy
>
> An affair you were not at.

yes I was

>
> > > And even more evidence that you know nothing of, nor were ever
> > > part of, "the military".
> >
> > in some way you right when you say i was never part of the military,
> > since i never ever could stop thinking, as was desired by my trainers
>
> You were never in the Armed Forces...Never inducted, never sworn
> in, never served a day in uniform (Good Humor Man and Crossing Guard do
> not count....)

yes I did

>
> > > > > > > And I loathe liars. And you ARE a prolific liar.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ah yes self loathing Stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, I am sure you are self-loathing. You should be.
> > > > >
> > > > > no I like myself I even love myself
> > > >
> > > I am sure you do.
> >
> > then you were lyinng when you said I loathed myself
>
> "Lyinng"...?!?! No, I wasn't "lyinng".

but you were lying

>
> > you lie with evidence right on the page
>
> Nope.

yep is it

>
> > > I am sure that you've watched enough episodes of ER and General
> > > Hospital to think so.
> >
> > nope I have never sat through an episode of General hospital, never
> > seen ER. It is on network TV haven't seen it in years
>
> Both shows are on cable...non-network.

are they? hadn't noticed

>
> > > > > > why? I simply don't expect you to understand
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
> > > > > > see....
> > > > >
> > > > > More making more #### stevie
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes more ####
>
> Nope.

yes it is

this statement of yours

" So...your fractured English is some sort of INTENTIONAL deceit, I
see...."

is a lie. It is not intentional and you know it or should know it

>
> > > I just make fun of what YOU make up.
> >
> > by lying about it
>
> What lying about YOUR words, Markie?

you lie about my meaning

>
> There's a dozen people here who'd be on me like white on rice if
> you had even a THREAD of validity in any of YOUR claims.

there are not a dozen poster here any more Stevie so clawk up another
lie

>
> > and you can't tolerate lying so resolve your conflict comit suicide
>
> After you.

no thanks

>
> You ahve soooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo much more to
> "resolve", Markie.

nope

>
> > > > I simply don't expcept you to understand, simple fact
> > >
> > > I understand that YOU are INTENTIONALLY attempting to not be
> > > understood clearly.
> >
> > another lie
> >
> > >
> > > Why..I have no idea...
> >
> > no ideas at all have you (in my best Yoda voice, back to normal) which
> > is why I say you are so narrow minded
>
> I love how you try to claim I have no ideas all-the-while having
> to mimic a movie character to find the words to do it with...

glad you enjoyed it, too bad your were not wee paying ateention

>
> Sheeeesh.

indeed

>
> > > In the mean time, your posts look like Scrabble tiles dumped on
> > > the floor.
> >
> > if that sin't a le then your claim to understand any part of is which
> > would mean your claims I said any given thing would be a lie etc
>
> I am sure that was a coherent thought as you typed it out, Markie,
> but it didn't make it to the keyboard that way...

progress boyo

>
> > > You have involved yourself in Brain's deceit and lies about my
> > > MARS participation.
> >
> > which accrding to you has lasted about 6 months not years
>
> There's more to the story, Markie.

then you were lying in saying it went 6 months

>
> You just jumped in in the middle.....

not at all likely since you will no doubt spin it on for years more

>
> > > > I was here a while starting around 97 till about 2000 then left for
> > > > about 4-5 years and came back
> > > >
> > > > with nothing in between
> > >
> > > You posted here briefly in 2002 or 3...Several days worth.
> >
> > no I did not
>
> Liar. Again.

no I did not

>
> > Nothing after 9/11 till late june of this years
>
> Actually it was AFTER 9/11 since I had moved from Sequatchie
> County to Franklin County.

i did not post anything after 9/11 stopped well before it. Perhaps
someone was jerking your chain

>
> > > > > No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> > > > > cage.

> > > >
> > > > that sure sound like hate to me
> > > >
> > > Nope. Just a zookeeper doing his duty.
> > >
> > more of your Compassion it is overwhleming
> >
> You should be glad I'm passing out compassion rather than syringes
> from the euthanasia department.

Nazi

>
> > > > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
> > > >
> > > Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.
> > >
> > I have the DNA
> >
> Not human, you don't.

yes i do more atacks

>
> You're Hukman, remember...?!?!

typo stupid

>
> > then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
> > doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS
> >
> They didn't claim to be Hukmans, so I take it on faith they were
> humans.

thus You support and condone lying

>
> > > > must be hate or fear
> > > >
> > > Must be Markie on drugs.
> > >
> > not on drugs don't need em
> >
> Yes, Markie...You NEED drugs...lots and lots of drugs.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1382. more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 17:48:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121708906.233438.115710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112739

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > why are you so interested in wether some lies in public?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Because it's detrimental to the advancement of society.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > realy then why do youlie in public
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't.
> > > > >
> > > > you certainly every day you post as far as I can tell
> > >
> > you lie all the time starting todat with
> > >

> > > You can't tell the difference between a hamburger and a hooker.
> > >
> > I certainly know
>
> I doubt it. Seriously.

the you are stupid or yling which is it?

>
> > > > > > > > Is it ok to lie in private?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a "summer thing"...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > answer the question
> > > > > >
> > > > > I did. ARE you THAT stupid that you need to ask a stupid question
> > > > > like that?
> > > > >
> > > > Steve I realy don't how screwed up you are
> > > >
> > > so answer the question
> > >
> > > A "DEMAND"...?!?!
```

break
> >
> > yes a demand you make em all the time
>
> Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.

which is a demand of your made often and forcfully

>
> > > Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
> > > eighth-grade level, Markie!
> > >
> > > (And the question's been answered)
> >
> > nope another stevie
>
> Huh...???

perfectly clear

another stevie or would you prefer another stiveism

>
> You really have to try harder, Markie.

No don't
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1383. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 18:11:53 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121710313.061611.122440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>he may well know he is a stalker after all

He's a staker, bully, and narrow-minded, along with a few of friends on
here.

1384. Markie Dreck (Markie WIll Change This To A Subject With Profanity In It)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 18:26:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121711217.848563.242930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112741

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > You can't tell the difference between a hamburger and a hooker.
> > >
> > > I certainly know
> >
> > I doubt it. Seriously.
>
> the you are stupid or yling which is it?

Re-read that sentence and then ask yourself if you NEED to ask
your self why I am rolling on the floor laughing at you!

> > > yes a demand you make em all the time
> >
> > Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.
>
> which is a demand of your made often and forcfully

Only because I would like to have a more clear picture of what
REALLY is going on in your, (ahem) mind.

> > > > Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
> > > > eighth-grade level, Markie!
> > > >
> > > > (And the question's been answered)
> > >
> > > nope another stevie
> >
> > Huh...???
>
> perfectly clear
>
> another stevie or would you prefer another stiveism

Still not clear.

I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.

> > You really have to try harder, Markie.
>
> No don't

OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.

You win either way!

Steve, K4YZ

1385. Re: Markie Dreck Unravelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 18:30:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ncydnbW7H-ECmUHfRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112751

>>>>>Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ

I think that was another one of his typos. The 8 shouldn't be there.

1386. Another Profane Header Deleted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 18:48:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121712517.885931.297580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112742

an old friend wrote:
> first a few words of explaination
>
> It seem google has limits on how much one can pus through one account
> in a period of time
>
> limits that repling to stevie have exceeded so addd another account to
> take up the slack sorry for any confused caused

The confusion comes from trying to make sense of that dreck you
call English.

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:

> > It's not an "attack" if it's true.
>
> wrong the truth of stement has nothing to do with wether the statement
> is an attack

If not said anything untrue about you.

> it was an attack and on someone who had not been around in years

You were around. That you're hear now to whine about it proves
it.

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! !
>
> crybaby

Nope.

Ribauld laughter.

You can lie to yourself if you care to and call it "crying",
however anyone else reading knows better.

> > > You alter words of mine and then "respond" to them that is forgery I am
> > > assesing your words and commenting on their meaning
> >
> > You're already an admitted liar, Markie...This is only more
> > evidence that that accusation is accurate.
>
> nope

Yep..."boyo"

> > > > No..Not hate.
> > >
> > > yes hate or fear
> >
> > You flatter yourself, Markie.
>
> no it isn't flatering

Yes...You are flattering yourself to think that I, or anyone for
that matter, would "fear" you.

LEAST of all me!

> > > not we are very different people all we really have in comon is that we
> > > are not cowed by a bully like yourself
> >
> > Your "common thread" are the lies in THESE threads.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > > and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too
> >
> > Huh? Stop what the quoting?
>
> you understand

No, I don't. Try it in English, please.

> > > You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity
> >
> > Huh? I what the worst impulses?
>
> you indulge them, and pander to them

No, I don't.

But I put people who pander in places where they can't hurt anyone
anymore.

> a simple letter tranposition as you know I make often, and which you
> say is all when you do it

No...YOU do it ALL the time.

> > > > Intolerance of the deceitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.
> > >
> > > hate or fear
> >
> > Intolerance of the decitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.
>
> of deitful...

>
> hate and/or fear

Fool and/or idiot.

Which are you?

> > I said you were dangerous to leave kids around and I explained
> > why.
>
> wby calling me somekind of olester

English. Use English

> > The only person using your name and "child molester" in the same
> > sentences is YOU, Markie...
>
> you just used them both stevie more honest on your part

Only to point out that YOU are the only one making the accusation,
Markie.

I still have not called you a molester.

> > > > I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
> > > > because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> > > > influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> > > > liar, and a sexual deviant.
> > >
> > > not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
> > > you are
> >
> > That's a lie in and of itself.
>
> nope I am more honest than you

Nope. You've even admitted being a chronic liar, Markie.

Who's going to believe you now?

> nor Ileterate or deviant

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

"...nor Ileterate..."

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

You just don't get it, do you...?!?!

Mark Morgan is Mark Morgan's biggest enemy!

> I am dysgraphic of course

You're lazy and incompetent.

The rest is "repairable" with therapy and work.

> > > > If you really knew what compromises the training of Marines, you'd
> > > > know better.
> > > >
> > > > I know the training of the Army and The from others the Navy Adm Ray
> > > > spruance said that basic training was mostly brain washing to produce a
> > > > guy that would react as his comanders wish him to
> > >
> > > ADM Spruance was not a Marine.
> >
> > never said he was

Good. You woke up.

Because in the paragraph where you go into some dialog about NAVY
officers, I correctly cited where YOU don't know about what makes up
the training of Marines.

Big snip of Markie tit-for-tat

> > > if that sin't a le then your claim to understand any part of is which
> > > would mean your claims I said any given thing would be a lie etc
> > >
> > > I am sure that was a coherent thought as you typed it out, Markie,
> > > but it didn't make it to the keyboard that way...
> >
> > progress boyo

What's progress, wusso?

Nothing YOU have done.

As I said, that paragraph was incoherent.

> > > Nothing after 9/11 till late june of this years
> > >
> > > Actually it was AFTER 9/11 since I had moved from Sequatchie
> > > County to Franklin County.
> >
> > i did not post anything after 9/11 stopped well before it. Perhaps
> > someone was jerking your chain

Nope. It was you.

> > > > > > No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> > > > > > cage.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > that sure sound like hate to me
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope. Just a zookeeper doing his duty.
> > > >
> > > > more of your Compassion it is overwhleming

> >
> > You should be glad I'm passing out compassion rather than syringes
> > from the euthanasia department.
>
> Nazi

Veterinarians are nazis?

> > > > > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
> > > > >
> > > > Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.
> > > >
> > > I have the DNA
> > >
> > Not human, you don't.
>
> yes i do more atacks

Nope.

You claimed you were Hukman.

> > You're Hukman, remember...?!?!
>
> typo stupid

I'm not stupid. I didn't make the typo.

The STUPID one was the idiot that made the Hukman typo.

> > > then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
> > > doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS
> >
> > They didn't claim to be Hukmans, so I take it on faith they were
> > humans.
>
> thus You support and condone lying

Nope.

You said that I said they weren't human.

I never said anything of the like.

I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
Hukman.

Must be a "pagan" thing.

> > > > > must be hate or fear
> > > > >
> > > > Must be Markie on drugs.
> > > >
> > > not on drugs don't need em

> >
> > Yes, Markie...You NEED drugs...lots and lots of drugs.
>
> nope

Absolutely.

Steve, K4YZ

1387. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 18:52:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121712779.745516.17530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112744

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
> > > > >
> > > > > BOO HOO
> > > >
> > > > That's the little girl we know!
> > >
> > > still with your obsession over gentials stevie
> >
> > What genitals?
>
> exactly

Here again you go making illogical statements.

> > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
>
> you can't seem to tell boy from girl

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...YOU are the one with THAT probelm, Markie...I have
NO problem making THAT distinction!

> > > > > What are going to do steve cry to yourr mommy because I don't
> > > > > spell my name like you want me too.
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Just tell her how funny you look. When she says "no one
> > > > could be that funny looking", I'll show her the pictures.
> > >
> > > a vailed threat but likely you don't realy "mean" it
> >
> > I am sure you meant "veiled".
>
> ok
>
> > And yes, I "realy" mean it.
>
> then you are threatening Todd

With showing someone his picture...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !

! ! !

> > > > > Todd McDochartaigh
> > > >
> > > > Misrepresenter Of Truth.
> >
> > First Cousin to Markie the Menace
>
> another lie

Nope. A gene pool correlation.

Steve, K4YZ

1388. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 18:53:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5VSCe.362$0C.279@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

n9ogl wrote:

> He's a staker...

Todd, meet Mark. Mark, this is Todd.

Dave K8MN

1389. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 19:01:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121712896.598335.62600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112746

I'll stop changing them if you will learn and use some manner in
writing them

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > You can't tell the difference between a hamburger and a hooker.
> > > > >
> > > > > I certainly know
> > > >
> > > > I doubt it. Seriously.
> > >
> > > the you are stupid or yling which is it?
>
> Re-read that sentence and then ask yourself if you NEED to ask
> your self why I am rolling on the floor laughing at you!

I don't to i blew it so

But you are still stupid if you think I son't know hamburger from a
hooker
>
> > > > yes a demand you make em all the time
> > >
> > > Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.
> >
> > which is a demand of your made often and forcfully
>
> Only because I would like to have a more clear picture of what
> REALLY is going on in your, (ahem) mind.

I am pretty sure that is lie

what you want is for me to go away, and at a time of my choosing I
likely will

>
> > > > > Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
> > > > > eighth-grade level, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > > (And the question's been answered)
> > > >
> > > > nope another stevie
> > >
> > > Huh...???

> >
> > perfectly clear
> >
> > another stevie or would you prefer another stiveism
>
> Still not clear.

then you are stupid

>
> I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.

nope just suing you as adjective

>
> > > You really have to try harder, Markie.
> >
> > No don't
>
> OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.
>
> You win either way!

I turely wish but
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1390. vulgar not profane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 19:07:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121713636.331992.254830@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112747

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > first a few words of explaination
> >
> > It seem google has limits on how much one can pus through one account
> > in a period of time
> >
> > limits that repling to stevie have exceeded so addd another account to
> > take up the slack sorry for any confused caused
>
> The confusion comes from trying to make sense of that dreck you
> call English.

not here another stevie

>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > It's not an "attack" if it's true.
> >
> > wrong the truth of stement has nothing to do with wether the statement
> > is an attack
>
> If not said anything untrue about you.
>
> > it was an attack and on someone who had not been around in years
>
> You were around. That you're hear now to whine about it proves
> it.

no it sdoesn't
was aroound had not posted in years
>
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! !
> >
> > crybaby
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> Ribauld laughter.
>
> You can lie to yourself if you care to and call it "crying",
> however anyone else reading knows better.

whining prehaps

>
> > > > You alter words of mine and then "respond" to them that is forgery I am
> > > > assesing your words and commenting on their meaning
> > >
> > > You're already an admitted liar, Markie...This is only more
> > > evidence that that accusation is accurate.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep..."boyo"

no it isn't

>
> > > > > No..Not hate.
> > > >
> > > > yes hate or fear
> > >
> > > You flatter yourself, Markie.
> >
> > no it isn't flatering
>
> Yes...You are flattering yourself to think that I, or anyone for
> that matter, would "fear" you.

you do fear me in fact i seem to terrify you that someone like myself
is allowed to exist seems to get you into a rage

>
> LEAST of all me!

most of all you

>
> > > > not we are very different people all we really have in comon is that we
> > > > are not cowed by a bully like yourself
> > >
> > > Your "common thread" are the lies in THESE threads.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> > > > and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too

> > >
> > > Huh? Stop what the quoting?
> >
> > you understand
>
> No, I don't. Try it in English, please.

then you are stupid

>
> > > > You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity
> > >
> > > Huh? I what the worst impulses?
> >
> > you indulge them, and pander to them
>
> No, I don't.

yes you do

>
> But I put people who pander in places where they can't hurt anyone
> anymore.

another threat?

>
> > a simple letter tranposition as you know I make often, and which you
> > say is all when you do it
>
> No...YOU do it ALL the time.

no i don't

>
> > > > > Intolerance of the deceitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.
> > > >
> > > > hate or fear
> > >
> > > Intolerance of the decitful and liars, Markie. Nothing else.
> >
> > of deitful...
> >
> > hate and/or fear
>
> Fool and/or idiot.

fool most likely

>
> Which are you?
>
> > > I said you were dangerous to leave kids around and I explained

> > > why.
> >
> > wby calling me somekind of olester
>
> English. Use English

I do

>
> > > The only person using your name and "child molester" in the same
> > > sentences is YOU, Markie...
> >
> > you just used them both stevie more honest on your part
>
> Only to point out that YOU are the only one making the accusation,
> Markie.

which never the less proves the statement a lie. it is your clear
inplication

again if I though you had anything worth taking Id venture a lawyers
reatiner on a contigency Libel/slander suit

>
> I still have not called you a molester.

you imply it as I have said

>
> > > > > I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
> > > > > because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> > > > > influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> > > > > liar, and a sexual deviant.
> > > >
> > > > not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
> > > > you are
> > >
> > > That's a lie in and of itself.
> >
> > nope I am more honest than you
>
> Nope. You've even admitted being a chronic liar, Markie.

Yes Becuase I have admited to lying and you haven't That is one of the
things that makes me more honest than you

>
> Who's going to believe you now?

who beleives you?

>
> > nor Ileterate or deviant
>

> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> "...nor Ileterate..."
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> You just don't get it, do you...?!?!
>
> Mark Morgan is Mark Morgan's biggest enemy!

nope

>
> > I am dysgraphic of course
>
> You're lazy and incompetent.

lazy sure BYS. incompentant well not sure of the definitionyou are
using. I am not legaly incompetant that I know

>
> The rest is "repairable" with therapy and work.

nothing needs repair

>
> > > > > If you really knew what compromises the training of Marines, you'd
> > > > > know better.
> > > >
> > > > I know the training of the Army and The from others the Navy Adm Ray
> > > > spruance said that basic training was mostly brain washing to produce a
> > > > guy that would react as his comanders wish him to
> > >
> > > ADM Spruance was not a Marine.
> >
> > never said he was
>
> Good. You woke up.

nope you simply made up a claim that you said I made which I then
dienied

>
> Because in the paragraph where you go into some dialog about NAVY
> officers, I correctly cited where YOU don't know about what makes up
> the training of Marines.

Marine Officers are trained at the US Naval academy therefore a Naval
officer does know something about the training of Marines

>
> Big snip of Markie tit-for-tat

more cuting context

>
> > > > if that sin't a le then your claim to understand any part of is which
> > > > would mean your claims I said any given thing would be a lie etc
> > >
> > > I am sure that was a coherent thought as you typed it out, Markie,
> > > but it didn't make it to the keyboard that way...
> >
> > progress boyo
>
> What's progress, wusso?

you don't know?

sorry that subject is not in the RRAP charter

>
> Nothing YOU have done.

missed the point again

>
> As I said, that paragraph was incoherent.

you sure said it

>
> > > > Nothing after 9/11 till late june of this years
> > >
> > > Actually it was AFTER 9/11 since I had moved from Sequatchie
> > > County to Franklin County.
> >
> > i did not post anything after 9/11 stopped well before it. Perhaps
> > someone was jerking your chain
>
> Nope. It was you.

nope

prove it

>
> > > > > > > No hate. No venom. Just putting all the animals in the same
> > > > > > > cage.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > that sure sound like hate to me
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. Just a zookeeper doing his duty.
> > > > >
> > > > more of your Compassion it is overwhleming
> > >

> > > You should be glad I'm passing out compassion rather than syringes
> > > from the euthanasia department.
> >
> > Nazi
>
> Veterinarians are nazis?

nope but you are

>
> > > > > > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have the DNA
> > > >
> > > > Not human, you don't.
> >
> > yes i do more atacks
>
> Nope.

yep
not even man enough to admit you are attacking someone

>
> You claimed you were Hukman.
>
> > > You're Hukman, remember...?!?!
> >
> > typo stupid
>
> I'm not stupid. I didn't make the typo.

You are stupid to base you point on a typo

>
> The STUPID one was the idiot that made the Hukman typo.

nope typos are not evidence of iq or a lack of IQ

only someone stupid like yourself would claim it was

>
> > > > then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
> > > > doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS
> > >
> > > They didn't claim to be Hukmans, so I take it on faith they were
> > > humans.
> >
> > thus You support and condone lying
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> You said that I said they weren't human.

but you did

>
> I never said anything of the like.

Yes you did

you said No human being would lie in public
they lied therfore BYS they are human BYS

>
> I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
> history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
> Hukman.

nope typos do not affect DNA if you think so please turn in your LPN
license

>
> Must be a "pagan" thing.

more shit from you

>
> > > > > > must be hate or fear
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Must be Markie on drugs.
> > > > >
> > > > > not on drugs don't need em
> > > >
> > > > Yes, Markie...You NEED drugs...lots and lots of drugs.
> > >
> > nope
> >
> Absolutely.

maybe an asprin after reading your shit

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1391. Re: Stevie Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 19:09:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121713768.225615.281140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112748

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > BOO HOO
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That's the little girl we know!
> > > > >
> > > > still with your obsession over gentials stevie
> > > >
> > > What genitals?
> > >
> > exactly
> >
> Here again you go making illogical statements.
>
> > > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
> > >
> > you can't seem to tell boy from girl

more lies
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...YOU are the one with THAT probelm, Markie...I have
> NO problem making THAT distinction!

I know the difference between male and female you can't seemto get it
right yourself though

>
> > > > > > What are going to do steve cry to yourr mommy because I don't
> > > > > > spell my name like you want me too.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. Just tell her how funny you look. When she says "no one
> > > > > > could be that funny looking", I'll show her the pictures.
> > > > >
> > > > a vailed threat but likely you don't realy "mean" it
> > > >
> > > I am sure you meant "veiled".
> > >
> > ok
> > >

> > > And yes, I "realy" mean it.
> >
> > then you are threatening Todd
>
> With showing someone his picture...?!?!

and making libelous coments about it

>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> ! ! !
>
> > > > > > Todd McDochartaigh
> > > > >
> > > > > Misrepresenter Of Truth.
> > >
> > > First Cousin to Markie the Menace
> >
> > another lie
>
> Nope. A gene pool correlation.

stpupid fool stevie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1392. Re: Todd Lying In Public Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 19:10:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121713854.087258.215900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

stooping to making a complete hash of context

and as far i can tell using someone fake

Dave Heil wrote:
> n9ogl wrote:
>
> > He's a staker...
>
> Todd, meet Mark. Mark, this is Todd.
>
> Dave K8MN

1393. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 19:21:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121714471.672538.51600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112750

From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Mon 18 Jul 2005 00:32

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen on Jul 17, 4:05 am
>>
>>>I *was* going to comment regarding his so-called e-mail address. but ehy
>>>bother. its not important enough. perhaps he can post his IEEE certs here
>>>for all to see. then I *might* be duly impressed.
>>
>> You want "certs?" :-) Go get ANY IEEE Membership Directory
>> for the last 30 years. I'm in there...and at the same mail
>> address. Any technical library should have such a directory.
>yeah yeah yeah. you sound just like every other bullshit artist that comes
>along to me. for all we know, you could have easily chosen this name from
>such a book, took it as your own (quite easy to do on the net), did a
>little research and the only ones who would know the truth are you and the
>guy who actually owns the name.

Heh heh heh. The same can be said for "Eric Oyen" in here! :-)

Tsk. If I digitized my membership documents you would STILL
bitch and moan about it being "fake." :-) Or rationalized
your response somehow to make it appear you came out a winner
in this message exchange.

>personally, I don't care. you come off as a bullshit artist, you argue
>around the points instead of directly addressing them, you can't stick to a
>point even when its being used to stab you to death and your generally poor
>attitude about ham radio and its usefulness just won't make for a good
>debate.

So...a "group think" is somehow "necessary" in this newsgrope
to be "acceptible?" :-)

Real DEBATE requires first that all debaters accept the FACT
that there are as many opinions on any subject as there are
people. What you seem to classify as "accceptible" is just
YOUR opinion...and all who disagree with that opinion are
"bullshit artists" or other nastygram labels. Common attitude
in here and rather unfortunate since it leads to needless
Flaming of others (who disagree with you).

Your response to my simple statement that I've been in the
IEEE Membership Directory paper edition for 30 years (minimum)
was very predictable. You got pissed off at what you perceived

as a smart remark. I joined in 1973, 32 years ago. Been a
regular dues-paying member of that professional association
until I was eligible for Life Member status.

In the IEEE, Life Member status is automatic after reaching an
age where the sum of the years of membership and chronological
age is 100 or greater. There are NO extras required for that.
Further, all annual dues for Life Members are FREE. The
forwarding alias address is also free to members...just sign
up for it, provided the data input agrees with IEEE records
of past membership.

>e-mail addresses can be had for a dime a million. IEEE certs and a listing
>in their big book of eggheads costs a bit more.

Cost me exactly NOTHING. :-) If I wanted my own personal
copy of the Membership Director, that would cost me. I don't
have one...I've just seen it in a technical library and
verified it.

"Eggheads"? :-) IEEE is a professional association. Yes,
there are PhDs there, lots and lots of EEs and MSEEs, and a
few non-EEs. When one joins up certain information is
provided the association which isn't public knowledge. That
is used to verify member status by the association later.
Yes, I suppose one could forge membership, but WHY? Did you
think I joined in 1973 in some kind of anticipation of a
ham radio newsgroup "argument" 32 years later? :-) Not.

>now I know 3 people who are "card carrying members" of the IEEE and they
>don't even come close to behaving as bad as you do.

No doubt ALL THREE are in perfect agreement with "Eric Oyen"
and all his opinions. That makes them "know their shit." :-)

There's a quarter million IEEE members worldwide. Three out of
a quarter million isn't too "representative" of "goodness" or
"badness" is it? :-)

Yes, you will say I "behave badly" in the usual snide "reply"
to anyone with an opposite opinion to yours. That's very
predictable since others - equally hide-bound to the nobility
and superiority of their opinions - say nearly the same thing.
All must THINK ALIKE, THINK POSITIVE IN THE BEST GROUPTHINK
AS PUBLISHED BY THE ARRL! All others are "bad" for disagreeing
with such superior thoughts. :-)

> They know their shit
>and don't have to brag. all I've seen from you is a lot of braggin.

What I say I've done is what I've done. No "brag." It simply
is/was.

"It ain't braggin' if ya done it!" I did it. <shrug>

>so, with that, enough said.

Tsk. Not near enough. Given a few hours of creative thinking I'm sure you can come up with more and better nastygrams to send in my direction. All good "right-thinking hams" or the olde-tyme hamme persuasion do that. All must do as they say or be mercilessly talked down as despicable enemies of their thinking state. Newsgrope SOP. :-)

Keep your mind closed. Don't let the cool breeze of different thoughts enter. You might catch a terrible sickness and actually consider others' opinions! [can't have that!] :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[real member for 32 years...all natural ingredients, accept no substitutes]

1394. Re: Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 19:45:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050718154508.670$uA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112752

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >>>You failed English and spelling. Like I say, you would fit perfectly
> >>>on
> >>
> >>the Chicken Band, they are all on just about your level.
> >>
> >
> > You're no netter than him jj, your drivel is worse than what's on CB.
> >
> > hth
>
> Stick to the Childrens Band with the rest of the scum of radio land, you
> are way out classed here steveio.
>
I certainly am...but not by you, commander buzz-boi. You used to be ok to converse with a year or two ago, 'jj the buzz'. Dunno wtf crawled up your ass enough for you to reference cb'ers so much. (penis envy?)

1395. More Markie Dreck Unravelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 21:10:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121721008.976515.117700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112753

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
>
> i may well yet take part in such a suit

You'll do no such thing.

You're a pathological liar and a coward to boot.

When your "lawyer" gets a load of your posts here and sees that
your credibility is zilch-point-squat, you won't be able to get him to
litigate a case for you even if the Pope swears on CNN Live that you're
the "wronged" party.

Huge snip.

> > Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.
>
> IYO

In the opinions of civilized people.

Steve, K4YZ

1396. Markie Dreck Snipped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 21:32:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121722358.652676.29140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112754

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > first a few words of explaination
> > >
> > > It seem google has limits on how much one can pus through one account
> > > in a period of time
> > >
> > > limits that repling to stevie have exceeded so addd another account to
> > > take up the slack sorry for any confused caused
> >
> > The confusion comes from trying to make sense of that dreck you
> > call English.
>
> not here another stevie

Uh huh.

Another "Markie"...Trying to "diss" me with the very same
gang-raped English he claims he doesn't use!

Huge snip of tit-for-tat Markie Dreck

> > I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
> > history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
> > Hukman.
>
> nope typos do not affect DNA if you think so please turn in your LPN
> license

But you're not human. You're a Hukman. You said so yourself.

> > Must be a "pagan" thing.
>
> more #### from you

More profanity from you.

> > > > > > > must be hate or fear
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Must be Markie on drugs.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not on drugs don't need em
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, Markie...You NEED drugs...lots and lots of drugs.
> > >
> > > nope

> >
> > Absolutely.
>
> maybe an asprin after reading your ####

Perhaps if you understood English a bit better...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1397. Markie Can Only Express Himself In Profanity: Unable To Express Himslef In Reasonable Language

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 21:55:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121723756.937852.297790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112755

an old friend wrote:
> I'll stop changing them if you will learn and use some manner in
> writing them

Stop changing what? You're top posting so who can tell what is
what?

As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:

> > Re-read that sentence and then ask yourself if you NEED to ask
> > your self why I am rolling on the floor laughing at you!
>
> I don't to i blew it so
>
> But you are still stupid if you think I son't know hamburger from a
> hooker

There's a lot you OBVIOUSLY don't know.

You keep showing us with every post.

> > > > > yes a demand you make em all the time
> > > >
> > > > Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.
> > >
> > > which is a demand of your made often and forcfully
> >
> > Only because I would like to have a more clear picture of what
> > REALLY is going on in your, (ahem) mind.
>
> I am pretty sure that is lie

"Pretty sure"...?!?!

> what you want is for me to go away, and at a time of my choosing I
> likely will

No...

I want you to express yourself in language and in a manner that
one would expect of an Amateur Radio operator.

You don't.

> > > > > > Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
> > > > > > eighth-grade level, Markie!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (And the question's been answered)
> > > > >
> > > > > nope another stevie
> > > >
> > > > Huh...???
> > >
> > > perfectly clear
> > >
> > > another stevie or would you prefer another stiveism
> >
> > Still not clear.
>
> then you are stupid

Nope.

I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.

> > I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.
>
> nope just suing you as adjective

"...suing..." is not an adjective.

> > > > You really have to try harder, Markie.
> > >
> > > No don't
> >
> > OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.
> >
> > You win either way!
>
> I turely wish but

Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesssssssssssssssh.

You make this tooooooooooooooooooooo easy, Mark.

Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

1398. Mark Morgan: Enter Childish Profanity Here and Prove Me Right...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:10:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121724631.578709.188390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112756

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > It's not an "attack" if it's true.
>
> wrong the truth of stement has nothing to do with wether the statement
> is an attack

I sure do wish you could have said that in English.

> it was an attack and on someone who had not been around in years

You're here. You've "been around" for years.

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! !
>
> crybaby

Still laughing at you, Wusso.

> > > yes hate or fear
> >
> > You flatter yourself, Markie.
>
> no it isn't flatering

It is if you think YOU engender fear in ANYone, Markie!

> > > and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too
> >
> > Huh? Stop what the quoting?
>
> you understand

No, I don't. Too much dreck.

> > > You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity
> >
> > Huh? I what the worst impulses?
>
> you indulge them, and pander to them

Nope.

> a simple letter tranposition as you know I make often, and which you
> say is all when you do it

"...make often..."...?!?!

T H A T is an UNDERSTATEMENT ! ! !

> > I said you were dangerous to leave kids around and I explained
> > why.
>
> wby calling me somekind of olester

I didn't call you a molester.

I dare you to quote, with appropriate headers, where I called you
a molester, Mark.

I >>D I D<< say you're dangerous to leave kids around with and
explained why.

> > The only person using your name and "child molester" in the same
> > sentences is YOU, Markie...
>
> you just used them both stevie more honest on your part

Only pointing out YOUR humiliation, Markie.

Stop calling yourself a molester. It will only get you worked up.

> > > > I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
> > > > because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> > > > influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> > > > liar, and a sexual deviant.
> > >
> > > not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
> > > you are
> >
> > That's a lie in and of itself.
>
> nope I am more honest than you

That's a lie in and of itself.

> nor Ileterate or deviant

BBBWWWWHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !!

"...nor Ileterate..."

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

> I am dysgraphic of course

You're lazy.

Huge snip of Markie Dreck

> > > > > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
> > > >
> > > > Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.
> > >
> > > I have the DNA
> >
> > Not human, you don't.
>
> yes i do more atacks

Yes, I KNOW you do more attacks.

You attack the concept of human decency by trying to include
yourself in it despite your laziness, foulness and indecent behaviour.

> > You're Hukman, remember...?!?!
>
> typo stupid

Yes, typos make you look stupid.

So why persist?

> > > then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
> > > doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS
> >
> > They didn't claim to be Hukmans, so I take it on faith they were
> > humans.
>
> thus You support and condone lying

Nope.

I support the concept that the persons you cited were human.

You, on the other hand, are not human, and stated so by your own
hand.

Happy Hukman Day.

Steve, K4YZ

1399. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:12:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121724756.820523.218450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112757

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > I'll stop changing them if you will learn and use some manner in
> > writing them
>
> Stop changing what? You're top posting so who can tell what is
> what?

if you truely can't tell then you are just stupid

>
> As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".

I have everyright same as anyone else

>
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
>
> > > Re-read that sentence and then ask yourself if you NEED to ask
> > > your self why I am rolling on the floor laughing at you!
> >
> > I don't to i blew it so
> >
> > But you are still stupid if you think I son't know hamburger from a
> > hooker
>
> There's a lot you OBVIOUSLY don't know.

indeed there is OTOH the diffeence between Hamburgers and hookers

>
> You keep showing us with every post.

Never denied it

>
> > > > > > yes a demand you make em all the time
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.
> > > > >
> > > > which is a demand of your made often and forcfully
> > > >
> > > Only because I would like to have a more clear picture of what
> > > REALLY is going on in your, (ahem) mind.
> > >

> > I am pretty sure that is lie
>
> "Pretty sure"...?!?!

pretty sure

>
> > what you want is for me to go away, and at a time of my choosing I
> > likely will
>
> No...

yes

>
> I want you to express yourself in language and in a manner that
> one would expect of an Amateur Radio operator.

"One would expect" you mean "that you would expect"

>
> You don't..

I certainly don't and I don't have to express myself as you wish

>
> > > > > > > Right after you learn to read and write English to at least the
> > > > > > > eighth-grade level, Markie!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > (And the question's been answered)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope another stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > Huh...???
> > > >
> > > > perfectly clear
> > > >
> > > > another stevie or would you prefer another stiveism
> > >
> > > Still not clear.
> >
> > then you are stupid
>
> Nope.

yep you are stupid

>
> I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.

no you have not haven't even got near my level today I am a more honest
toleratant forgiving open minded than you. Not that any of these
things are hard with you as the standard rather like being more in
foavor of abolition than a southern planter in 1860 (or even 1960 as I

typed breifly before correcting it)

>
> > > I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.
> >
> > nope just suing you as adjective
>
> "...suing..." is not an adjective.

no it isn't it is a verb

>
> > > > > You really have to try harder, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > No don't
> > >
> > > OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.
> > >
> > > You win either way!
> >
> > I turely wish but
>
>
> Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesssssssssssssssh.
>
> You make this toooooooooooooooooooo easy, Mark.

no i don't you do by spending so much effort on it

>
> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

1400. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:15:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121724928.956610.213240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112758

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > first a few words of explaination
> > > >
> > > > It seem google has limits on how much one can pus through one account
> > > > in a period of time
> > > >
> > > > limits that repling to stevie have exceeded so addd another account to
> > > > take up the slack sorry for any confused caused
> > > >
> > > The confusion comes from trying to make sense of that dreck you
> > > call English.
> > >
> > not here another stevie
> >
> Uh huh.
>
> Another "Markie"...Trying to "diss" me with the very same
> gang-raped English he claims he doesn't use!

just using the same sort of english you do. gues you are admiting to
gang raping english then

But i certainly don't gang rape english or anything else being only one
person

>
> Huge snip of tit-for-tat Markie Dreck

more doging the point you mean

>
> > > I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
> > > history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
> > > Hukman.
> > >
> > nope typos do not affect DNA if you think so please turn in your LPN
> > license
> >
> But you're not human. You're a Hukman. You said so yourself.

nope

>
> > > Must be a "pagan" thing.
> >
> > more #### from you
>
> More profanity from you.
>

sure thing but your shit is still shit

> > > > > > > > must be hate or fear
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Must be Markie on drugs.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not on drugs don't need em
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, Markie...You NEED drugs...lots and lots of drugs.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > Absolutely.
> > >
> > maybe an asprin after reading your ####
>
> Perhaps if you understood English a bit better...?!?!

I understand it and I understand your shit too

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1401. Thanks For Proving Your Indecency, Mark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:23:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121725415.350429.190500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112759

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Another "Markie"...Trying to "diss" me with the very same
> > gang-raped English he claims he doesn't use!
>
> just using the same sort of english you do. gues you are admiting to
> gang raping english then

Nope.

You're just a liar, Markie.

You'll always be second place...more like 3rd or 4th.

> But i certainly don't gang rape english or anything else being only one
> person

Sure you do. Your abuse of the language is horrific.

> > Huge snip of tit-for-tat Markie Dreck
>
> more doging the point you mean

Nope.

More snipping your tit-for-tat.

> > > > I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
> > > > history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
> > > > Hukman.
> > >
> > > nope typos do not affect DNA if you think so please turn in your LPN
> > > license
> >
> > But you're not human. You're a Hukman. You said so yourself.
>
> nope

Yep. You said it.

> > > > Must be a "pagan" thing.
> > >
> > > more #### from you
> >
> > More profanity from you.
> >
>

> sure thing but your #### is still ####

Now you're a proctologist? A pathologist?

I doubt it.

> > Perhaps if you understood English a bit better...?!?!
>
> I understand it and I understand your #### too

No, Mark...no you don't.

Steve, K4YZ

1402. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:24:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121725468.900755.73970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112760

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > It's not an "attack" if it's true.
> >
> > wrong the truth of stement has nothing to do with wether the statement
> > is an attack
>
> I sure do wish you could have said that in English.

I did
>
> > it was an attack and on someone who had not been around in years
>
> You're here. You've "been around" for years.

nope

>
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! !
> >
> > crybaby
>
> Still laughing at you, Wusso.

looks like whining to me

>
> > > > yes hate or fear
> > >
> > > You flatter yourself, Markie.
> >
> > no it isn't flatering
>
> It is if you think YOU engender fear in ANYone, Markie!

i engender fear and hate in you

>
> > > > and you can stop distring the quoting anytime too
> > >
> > > Huh? Stop what the quoting?
```

> >
> > you understand
>
> No, I don't. Too much dreck.

maybe guess you just stupid

>
> > > > You apnder to and indulge the worst impulses of Soceity
> > >
> > > Huh? I what the worst impulses?
> >
> > you indulge them, and pander to them
>
> Nope.

yes you do

everytime you engage in

gaybashing
stalking
harrassing

>
> > a simple letter tranposition as you know I make often, and which you
> > say is all when you do it
>
> "...make often..."...?!?!

make often

>
> T H A T is an UNDERSTATEMENT ! ! !

prehaps

>
> > > I said you were dangerous to leave kids around and I explained
> > > why.
> >
> > wby calling me somekind of olester
>
> I didn't call you a molester.

you implied it

>
> I dare you to quote, with appropriate headers, where I called you
> a molester, Mark.

I sated you implied over and over again

>
> I >>D I D<< say you're dangerous to leave kids around with and

> explained why.

by impling I was a molestor

>
> > > The only person using your name and "child molester" in the same
> > > sentences is YOU, Markie...
> >
> > you just used them both stevie more honest on your part
>
> Only pointing out YOUR humiliation, Markie.

Not at all

>
> Stop calling yourself a molester. It will only get you worked up.

Not calling myself a molestor

>
> > > > > I HAVE said it's dangerous to leave kids around you, but not
> > > > > because I think you'd take advantage of them physically. You're a bad
> > > > > influence. You're functionally illiterate, you are an acknowledged
> > > > > liar, and a sexual deviant.
> > > >
> > > > not illerate or deviant or a bad influnece, and I am more honest than
> > > > you are
> > >
> > > That's a lie in and of itself.
> >
> > nope I am more honest than you
>
> That's a lie in and of itself.

no it is not

>
> > nor Ileterate or deviant
>
> BBBWWWWHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !!

whining
>
> "...nor Ileterate..."
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

still more whining

>
> > I am dysgraphic of course
>

> You're lazy.

prehaps not arguing about it but you will accept that and drop it

>
> Huge snip of Markie Dreck

more hacking

>
> > > > > > You can't even adknowledge our status as humans
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Are you? Then prove it. Stop lying.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have the DNA
> > > >
> > > Not human, you don't.
> >
> > yes i do more atacks
>
> Yes, I KNOW you do more attacks.

more shit stevie come up with something new please you are boring us
all

>
> You attack the concept of human decency by trying to include
> yourself in it despite your laziness, foulness and indecent behaviour.

stupid fool stevie

you are foul

and in decent

I may be lazy esp since laziness BYS is merely being unwilling to do
your work for you

>
> > > You're Hukman, remember...?!?!
> >
> > typo stupid
>
> Yes, typos make you look stupid.
>
> So why persist?

why persist? the only choice is death so I keep on risking typos, after
you don't mind making them

>
> > > > then you are Claimng FDR was not human Nixon was not Human even JFK
> > > > doesn't seem likely to quailify BYS
> > >

> > > They didn't claim to be Hukmans, so I take it on faith they were
> > > humans.
> >
> > thus You support and condone lying
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> I support the concept that the persons you cited were human.

then you support that I am

>
> You, on the other hand, are not human, and stated so by your own
> hand.

nope
more stevie lies and distrotion

>
> Happy Hukman Day.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1403. Re: More Markie Dreck Unravelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:27:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121725625.173467.37440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112761

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> >
> > i may well yet take part in such a suit
>
> You'll do no such thing.

I might not but then I might

>
> You're a pathological liar and a coward to boot.

not true

>
> When your "lawyer" gets a load of your posts here and sees that
> your credibility is zilch-point-squat, you won't be able to get him to
> litigate a case for you even if the Pope swears on CNN Live that you're
> the "wronged" party.

stevie it your posts that will be at evidence not mine

it is you that have comitted libel

>
> Huge snip.
>
> > > Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.
> >
> > IYO
>
> In the opinions of civilized people.

if you are civilized then I don't want to be civilized

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1404. Markie Can't Think, Markie's Got Mouth, Markie Wouldn't Last A Day in the South

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:34:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121726078.743486.9220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112762

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > I'll stop changing them if you will learn and use some manner in
> > > writing them
> >
> > Stop changing what? You're top posting so who can tell what is
> > what?
>
> if you truely can't tell then you are just stupid

Uh huh...riggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggght

> > As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".
>
> I have everyright same as anyone else

Not with that potty mouth of yours.

> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > > Re-read that sentence and then ask yourself if you NEED to ask
> > > > your self why I am rolling on the floor laughing at you!
> > >
> > > I don't to i blew it so
> > >
> > > But you are still stupid if you think I son't know hamburger from a
> > > hooker
> >
> > There's a lot you OBVIOUSLY don't know.
>
> indeed there is OTOH the diffeence between Hamburgers and hookers

You S T I L L don't know, Mark.

> > You keep showing us with every post.
>
> Never denied it

Do you really keep throwing me these bits that PROVE my assertions
about your idiocity, or is it truly just a lapse of situational
awareness on your part?

> > > > > > > yes a demand you make em all the time
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > which is a demand of your made often and forcfully
> > > > >
> > > > > Only because I would like to have a more clear picture of what
> > > > > REALLY is going on in your, (ahem) mind.
> > >
> > > I am pretty sure that is lie
> >
> > "Pretty sure"...?!?!
>
> pretty sure

Which is to say you have nothing at all.

No problem...I already knew that.
>

> > > what you want is for me to go away, and at a time of my choosing I
> > > likely will
> >
> > No...
>
> yes

Now you're putting words in my mouth, yet YOU insist you don't
"forge" other people's comments.

LiarLiar Pants on fire A G A I N! ! !

> > I want you to express yourself in language and in a manner that
> > one would expect of an Amateur Radio operator.
>
> "One would expect" you mean "that you would expect"

Nope.

I can tell you now that your behaviour and your mouth wouldn't
last 2 days in this neighborhood.

> > You don't..
>
> I certainly don't and I don't have to express myself as you wish

Be my guest.

> > I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.
>
> no you have not haven't even got near my level today I am a more honest
> toleratant forgiving open minded than you.

Nope.

You're a documented liar, Markie. On a federal felony level.

> Not that any of these
> things are hard with you as the standard rather like being more in
> foavor of abolition than a southern planter in 1860 (or even 1960 as I
> typed breifly before correcting it)

You should have kept right on correcting "breifly", Mark.

And I am trying to figure out where you think you're going with
the "southern planter" routine.

Don't remember where I was born and raised, do ya?

> > > > I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.
> > >
> > > nope just suing you as adjective
> >
> > "...suing..." is not an adjective.
>
> no it isn't it is a verb

But YOU called it an adjective.

> > > > > > You really have to try harder, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > No don't
> > > >
> > > > OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.
> > > >
> > > > You win either way!
> > >
> > > I turely wish but
> >
> >
> > Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesssssssssssssssh.
> >
> > You make this tooooooooooooooooooooo easy, Mark.
>
> no i don't you do by spending so much effort on it

Practice does make perfect...

But you being an inattentive idiot sure helps.

Steve, K4YZ

1405. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:51:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121727106.799003.316050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112763

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > I'll stop changing them if you will learn and use some manner in
> > > > writing them
> > >
> > > Stop changing what? You're top posting so who can tell what is
> > > what?
> >
> > if you truely can't tell then you are just stupid
>
> Uh huh...riggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggght

you claim in you subjects and then you claim know what I am doing deny
it in the text

lying sack of shit

>
> > > As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".
> >
> > I have everyright same as anyone else
>
> Not with that potty mouth of yours.

yes I do

I am labeling the posts accurately if you don't like the content or the
subject then you should show some manners and change the tone

>
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Re-read that sentence and then ask yourself if you NEED to ask
> > > > > your self why I am rolling on the floor laughing at you!
> > > >
> > > > I don't to i blew it so
> > > >
> > > > But you are still stupid if you think I son't know hamburger from a
> > > > hooker
> > >
> > > There's a lot you OBVIOUSLY don't know.
> >
> > indeed there is OTOH the diffeence between Hamburgers and hookers

>
> You S T I L L don't know, Mark.

I do stevie

>
> > > You keep showing us with every post.
> >
> > Never denied it
>
> Do you really keep throwing me these bits that PROVE my assertions
> about your idiocity, or is it truly just a lapse of situational
> awareness on your part?

neither but then you cut out the context

since you have cut ti out and I am lazy I will not discuss what I have
admitted to there

>
> > > > > > > > yes a demand you make em all the time
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hardly...other than trying to get you to fix your writing skills.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > which is a demand of your made often and forcfully
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Only because I would like to have a more clear picture of what
> > > > > > REALLY is going on in your, (ahem) mind.
> > > > >
> > > > > I am pretty sure that is lie
> > > >
> > > > "Pretty sure"...?!?!
> > >
> > > pretty sure
> >
> > Which is to say you have nothing at all.

Hmm? what are you saying?

I am pretty you are lying about you wanting a clear idea of what is my
mind. Not sure wether the lie is that you don't know or that you want
to know

as I said I am pretty sure you are lying not sure in this exactly what
the lie is

>
> No problem...I already knew that.

knew what do tell

> >
>
> > > > what you want is for me to go away, and at a time of my choosing I

> > > > likely will
> > >
> > > No...
> >
> > yes
>
> Now you're putting words in my mouth, yet YOU insist you don't
> "forge" other people's comments.

you do forge other people words

I have made a conclusion logicaly following form your statements over
the last 7 years, no forgery there

>
> LiarLiar Pants on fire A G A I N! ! !

my pants are not on fire

>
> > > I want you to express yourself in language and in a manner that
> > > one would expect of an Amateur Radio operator.
> >
> > "One would expect" you mean "that you would expect"
>
> Nope.
>
> I can tell you now that your behaviour and your mouth wouldn't
> last 2 days in this neighborhood.

No what I would not, one of us would be dead if I tried to live in your
neighboorhood
>
> > > You don't..
> >
> > I certainly don't and I don't have to express myself as you wish
>
> Be my guest.

then shut up and let me get on with it

>
> > > I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.
> >
> > no you have not haven't even got near my level today I am a more honest
> > toleratant forgiving open minded than you.
>
> Nope.
>
> You're a documented liar, Markie. On a federal felony level.

prove it

you are an documented felon yourself

One shot one kill even in illiopolis

>
> > Not that any of these
> > things are hard with you as the standard rather like being more in
> > foavor of abolition than a southern planter in 1860 (or even 1960 as I
> > typed breifly before correcting it)
>
> You should have kept right on correcting "breifly", Mark.

IYO

>
> And I am trying to figure out where you think you're going with
> the "southern planter" routine.

then you are stupid
>
> Don't remember where I was born and raised, do ya?

Yes I do and that is why I choose that metaphor

>
> > > > > I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.
> > > >
> > > > nope just suing you as adjective
> > >
> > > "...suing..." is not an adjective.
> >
> > no it isn't it is a verb
>
> But YOU called it an adjective.

no I did not

I am calling YOU an adjective

Stevism

>
> > > > > > > You really have to try harder, Markie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No don't
> > > > >
> > > > > OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.
> > > > >
> > > > > You win either way!
> > > >
> > > > I turely wish but
> > >
> > >
> > > Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeessssssssssssssh.
> > >

> > > You make this tooooooooooooooooooo easy, Mark.
> >
> > no i don't you do by spending so much effort on it
>
> Practice does make perfect...

admitt to the efforts you take boyo

>
> But you being an inattentive idiot sure helps.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1406. Re: Thanks For Proving Your Indecency, Mark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 22:53:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121727224.580915.270360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112764

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Another "Markie"...Trying to "diss" me with the very same
> > > gang-raped English he claims he doesn't use!
> >
> > just using the same sort of english you do. gues you are admiting to
> > gang raping english then
>
> Nope.
>
> You're just a liar, Markie.

as are you

>
> You'll always be second place...more like 3rd or 4th.

to what

>
> > But i certainly don't gang rape english or anything else being only one
> > person
>
> Sure you do. Your abuse of the language is horrific.

not possible I am one personson gang mean at least 2 or more

>
> > > Huge snip of tit-for-tat Markie Dreck
> >
> > more doging the point you mean
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> More snipping your tit-for-tat.
>
> > > > > I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
> > > > > history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
> > > > > Hukman.
> > > >
> > > > nope typos do not affect DNA if you think so please turn in your LPN
> > > > license

> > >
> > > But you're not human. You're a Hukman. You said so yourself.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. You said it.

nope

>
> > > > > Must be a "pagan" thing.
> > > > >
> > > > > more #### from you
> > > >
> > > > More profanity from you.
> > > >
> > >
> > > sure thing but your #### is still ####
>
> Now you're a proctologist? A pathologist?

neither but your shit is still shit

>
> I doubt it.

me too

>
> > > Perhaps if you understood English a bit better...?!?!
> >
> > I understand it and I understand your #### too
>
> No, Mark...no you don't.

yes I did

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1407. Why Does Mark Morgan Keep Using Profanity In The Subject Lines?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 23:02:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121726395.673521.251860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112765

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > It is if you think YOU engender fear in ANYone, Markie!
>
> i engender fear and hate in you

Uh huh. Right.

Not in this life, Markie. Not yesterday. Not today. Not ever.

Huge Snip

> > I support the concept that the persons you cited were human.
>
> then you support that I am

No, I don't.

You don't act it, and you said you're a Hukman.

So Hukman it is!

> > You, on the other hand, are not human, and stated so by your own
> > hand.
>
> nope
> more stevie lies and distrotion

Well...Congrats on fianlly spelling "lies" right, even though it
further substantiated your mistruthfulness.

> > Happy Hukman Day.

Aren't you going to say "thanks"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1408. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-18 23:59:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121731189.724461.125900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112766

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > It is if you think YOU engender fear in ANYone, Markie!
> >
> > i engender fear and hate in you
>
> Uh huh. Right.

right
>
> Not in this life, Markie. Not yesterday. Not today. Not ever.

yes you do

>
> Huge Snip
>
> > > I support the concept that the persons you cited were human.
> >
> > then you support that I am
>
> No, I don't.
>
> You don't act it, and you said you're a Hukman.

I certainly do morehumana nd more humane than yourself

>
> So Hukman it is!
>
> > > You, on the other hand, are not human, and stated so by your own
> > > hand.
> >
> > nope
> > more stevie lies and distrotion
>
> Well...Congrats on fianlly spelling "lies" right, even though it
> further substantiated your mistruthfulness.

nope

>
> > > Happy Hukman Day.
>

> Aren't you going to say "thanks"...?!?!

no
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1409. shir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 00:01:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121728197.779176.327100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112767

Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Keep Using Profanity In The Subject Lines?

several reasons

One it offends you

Two I am expressing my difianace of you

Three, because itaccrutely reflect what I am discussing

Your shit

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > It is if you think YOU engender fear in ANYone, Markie!
> >
> > i engender fear and hate in you
>
> Uh huh. Right.
>
> Not in this life, Markie. Not yesterday. Not today. Not ever.

you sure do

>
> Huge Snip
>
> > > I support the concept that the persons you cited were human.
> >
> > then you support that I am
>
> No, I don't.
>
> You don't act it, and you said you're a Hukman.

I do act human

even humane certianly better than you manage

>
> So Hukman it is!
>
> > > You, on the other hand, are not human, and stated so by your own
> > > hand.
> >
> > nope

> > more stevie lies and distrotion
>
> Well...Congrats on fianlly spelling "lies" right, even though it
> further substantiated your mistruthfulness.

nope

>
> > > Happy Hukman Day.
>
> Aren't you going to say "thanks"...?!?!

why?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1410. Re: shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 01:32:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <yKmdnUNWi7bj-kHfRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112768

an old friend wrote:

>
>
> no you have not haven't even got near my level today

I will have to admit that it would take someone with less than three
working brain cells to get to your level.

1411. Re: shir <<< Idiot Can't Even Spell His Own Profanities Right ! ! ! ! Morgan, You Crack Me Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:06:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121745968.642527.71960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112769

an old friend wrote:
> Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Keep Using Profanity In The Subject Lines?
>
> several reasons
>
> One it offends you

No...It offends YOU. It's a black mark on you, Markie...Not me.

> Two I am expressing my difianace of you

You "difi" every thing, Markie. Common sense...Common decency...

> Three, because itaccrutely reflect what I am discussing
>
> Your #####

Wrong.

YOUR #####.

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > It is if you think YOU engender fear in ANYone, Markie!
> > >
> > > i engender fear and hate in you
> >
> > Uh huh. Right.
> >
> > Not in this life, Markie. Not yesterday. Not today. Not ever.
>
> you sure do

I sure what?

> > Huge Snip
> >
> > > > I support the concept that the persons you cited were human.
> > >
> > > then you support that I am
> >
> > No, I don't.
> >
> > You don't act it, and you said you're a Hukman.

>
> I do act human

No you don't.

You act foolishly.

> even humane certianly better than you manage

Then your standards are pretty low anyway.

> > So Hukman it is!
> >
> > > > You, on the other hand, are not human, and stated so by your own
> > > > hand.
> > >
> > > nope
> > > more stevie lies and distrotion
> >
> > Well...Congrats on fianlly spelling "lies" right, even though it
> > further substantiated your mistruthfulness.
>
> nope

Yep.

Mark Morgan is a liar...Said so himself.

Are you now stating that you were lying about your lying?

> > > > Happy Hukman Day.
> >
> > Aren't you going to say "thanks"...?!?!
>
> why?

For wishing you Happy Hukman day, Hukman.

Steve, K4YZ

1412. Markie Dreck Humiliated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:24:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121747059.158395.251380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112770

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > I'll stop changing them if you will learn and use some manner in
> > > > > writing them
> > > > >
> > > > Stop changing what? You're top posting so who can tell what is
> > > > what?
> > >
> > > if you truely can't tell then you are just stupid
> >
> > Uh huh...riggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggght
>
> you claim in you subjects and then you claim know what I am doing deny
> it in the text
>
> lying sack of ####

Whoa...THAT was meaningful.

And I can see that in addition to not having a really good grasp
on English, you have a poor understanding of the concept of sarcasm.

> > > > As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".
> > >
> > > I have everyright same as anyone else
> >
> > Not with that potty mouth of yours.
>
> yes I do

Nope.

> I am labeling the posts accurately if you don't like the content or the
> subject then you should show some manners and change the tone

I already have.

Just by deleting the profanities and refusing to resort to them
myself.

The rest is "all you", Markie.

> > > indeed there is OTOH the diffeence between Hamburgers and hookers
> >

> > You S T I L L don't know, Mark.
>
> I do stevie

So then...TELL us the difference between a hooker and a hamburger,
Markie...

> > > > You keep showing us with every post.
> > >
> > > Never denied it
> >
> > Do you really keep throwing me these bits that PROVE my assertions
> > about your idiocity, or is it truly just a lapse of situational
> > awareness on your part?
>
> neither but then you cut out the context
>
> since you have cut ti out and I am lazy I will not discuss what I have
> admitted to there

Too late, Markie.

You've admitted to lying, and anyone who has been following this
has already seen it. Anyone who doubts MY word can just step back
throught he archives and see YOURS!

There's nothing for ME to have to do!

> > > > > I am pretty sure that is lie
> > > > >
> > > > "Pretty sure"...?!?!
> > >
> > > pretty sure
> >
> > Which is to say you have nothing at all.
>
> Hmm? what are you saying?
>
> I am pretty you are lying about you wanting a clear idea of what is my
> mind. Not sure wether the lie is that you don't know or that you want
> to know
>
> as I said I am pretty sure you are lying not sure in this exactly what
> the lie is

And there's my point. You ahve no idea what's going on. Without
re-copying every line of ever post ad-nauseum, you're lost.

> > No problem...I already knew that.
>
> knew what do tell

That you're an incompetent idiot.

> > > > > what you want is for me to go away, and at a time of my choosing I
> > > > > likely will
> > > > >
> > > > > No...
> > > >
> > > > yes
> > >
> > Now you're putting words in my mouth, yet YOU insist you don't
> > "forge" other people's comments.
>
> you do forge other people words

Nope.

> I have made a conclusion logicaly following form your statements over
> the last 7 years, no forgery there

No, YOU chose the words and then presumed to state them as if I had
said them.

That is a lie.

A transparent one at that.

> > LiarLiar Pants on fire A G A I N! ! !
>
> my pants are not on fire

I am sure your "partner" wished otherwise.

> > > > I want you to express yourself in language and in a manner that
> > > > one would expect of an Amateur Radio operator.
> > > >
> > > "One would expect" you mean "that you would expect"
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I can tell you now that your behaviour and your mouth wouldn't
> > last 2 days in this neighborhood.
>
> No what I would not, one of us would be dead if I tried to live in your
> neighboorhood

Yes, you would be.

There's a great many things I love about the South.

One is the sense of community, even when you're not in yours.
Drive down Southern roads, especially in TN, AR, MS and AL. Folks in
opposing traffic wave...with all five fingers.

And they don't long suffer perverts or idiots.

> > > > You don't..
> > >

> > > I certainly don't and I don't have to express myself as you wish
> >
> > Be my guest.
>
> then shut up and let me get on with it

I haven't once stopped you.

The only one stopping you is yourself.

> > > > I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.
> > >
> > > no you have not haven't even got near my level today I am a more honest
> > > toleratant forgiving open minded than you.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > You're a documented liar, Markie. On a federal felony level.
>
> prove it

You already did it...

Every post where you said "I am a Colonel in the US Army Chemical
Corps"

> you are an documented felon yourself
>
> One shot one kill even in illiopolis

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Markie.

Out of context again.

And yet another lie.

> > > Not that any of these
> > > things are hard with you as the standard rather like being more in
> > > foavor of abolition than a southern planter in 1860 (or even 1960 as I
> > > typed breifly before correcting it)
> >
> > You should have kept right on correcting "breifly", Mark.
>
> IYO

Nope. In fact.

> > And I am trying to figure out where you think you're going with
> > the "southern planter" routine.
>
> then you are stupid

Nope. Just confused by your irrational "logic" and misplaced
anger.

> > Don't remember where I was born and raised, do ya?
>
> Yes I do and that is why I choose that metaphor

Thee was no metaphor there, Markie. (You obviously don't know the
definition of "metaphor"), because nothing you said addressed where I
am from.

> > > > > > I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope just suing you as adjective
> > > >
> > > > "...suing..." is not an adjective.
> > >
> > > no it isn't it is a verb
> >
> > But YOU called it an adjective.
>
> no I did not
>
> I am calling YOU an adjective
>
> Stevism

That's not an adjective.

Sheesh, "boyo", you sure are big on using words that you don't
know the definition of tonight, aren't you...?!?!

> > > > > > > > You really have to try harder, Markie.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No don't
> > > > > >
> > > > > > OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You win either way!
> > > > >
> > > > > I turely wish but
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeessssssssssssssssh.
> > > >
> > > > You make this tooooooooooooooooooo easy, Mark.
> > >
> > > no i don't you do by spending so much effort on it
> >
> > Practice does make perfect...
>
> admitt to the efforts you take boyo

It's not effort, Mark.

Like I said, YOU make this all too easy!

> > But you being an inattentive idiot sure helps.

See...I ahd it right the first time!

Steve, K4YZ

1413. Markie Dreck to the Nth Degree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:33:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121747585.377911.143790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112771

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > No adult would be so obsessive about profanities
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure they would.
> > > >
> > > > no adult would
> > >
> > > Sure they would.
> >
> > nope

Already proven, Mark...Just look around you.

Pick up the daily paper and show me where thye use profanity like
yours in "common" conversation.

> > How many newscasters talk the way you talk on camera?
>
> this isn't a newcast on camera

But isn't a newscast people talking to people?

> > How many grade school teachers teach that kind of language?
>
> not a grade school either or you constant bulling would be stoped

I'm not "bulling". And if your suggestion that profanity is such
a common and accepted way of expression, why is it that there's not one
single day of classtime from Kindergarten to Graduation addressing
"Proper Profanity for Public Pontification"...?!?!

> > In what business schools is your prefered adjectives encouraged?
>
> not a busness school either

Business schools are not people talking to people?

> > And when was the last time any member of the clergy worth his or
> > her salt screamed out "PRAISE ####ING JESUS! WHAT A MOTHER####ER OF A
> > DUDE!"...?!?!
>
> not a church

Are church's not people talking to people? If profanity is so
"OK", why don't we have Jesus telling the Roman's to "get ####ed" as
they nail him to the cross?

> > > > No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.
> > >
> > > wasn't the subject the subject is your obseesion with profanity
> >
> > What's YOUR obsession with USING it in a public forum?
>
> not obsessed at all

Sure you are.

> > Why can't you act like the adult you profess to be?
>
> I do. you are the one acting like a child

A child telling an adult not to swear...Now THERE'S a new one!

> > > > Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.
> > >
> > > #### you your a sack of ####, and dylexics untie
> >
> > You're untied, alright...
>
> nope but then you can't undersatnd anything outside your box

My "box" is civilized society.

What's yours?

> > Or maybe just "unglued"...?!?!
>
> not at all

Absolutely. Withness :

> BTW #### you, your a sack of #### and dylexics untie
>
> if a bully would drop dead that would be fine as well

Hope you have your will made out in that case.

Steve, K4YZ

1414. Markie Calls Me "Obscessed", Yet Creates Extra Google Account To Add More Dreck...Go Figure!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:39:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121747950.613072.257410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112772

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > > >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > BOO HOO
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That's the little girl we know!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > still with your obsession over gentials stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > What genitals?
> > > > >
> > > > exactly
> > >
> > Here again you go making illogical statements.
> > >
> > > > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
> > > >
> > > you can't seem to tell boy from girl
> >
> more lies

Nope.

> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...YOU are the one with THAT probelm, Markie...I have
> > NO problem making THAT distinction!
> >
> I know the difference between male and female you can't seemto get it
> right yourself though

I have it quite right.

You're the one with the gender identity crisis, Markie.

> > > then you are threatening Todd
> > >
> > With showing someone his picture...?!?!
> >
> and making libelous coments about it

It's not "libelous" if it's true.

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> > ! ! !
> >
> > > > > > > Todd McDochartaigh
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Misrepresenter Of Truth.
> > > > >
> > > > > First Cousin to Markie the Menace
> > > >
> > > > another lie
> >
> > Nope. A gene pool correlation.
>
> stpupid fool stevie

I LOVE it when you call me "stpupid" or an "idoit", Markie!

You only serve to prove me right!

Steve, K4YZ

1415. Mark Calls Todd "bully and bigot"...Maybe There's A Ray Of Hope For Him Yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:42:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121748137.964722.136880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112773

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> > > >night hun.
> > >
> > > - Hide quoted text -
> > >
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > > > TODD
> > >
> > >
> > > ##### YOU YOU MOTHER #####ING ##########, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> > > ### BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT #### AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU #### ROTTEN IN
> > > ##### YOU ####ING ########## MOTHER########!!!!!!
> >
> > Not bad, Todd, but not up to your own standard! Not quite 33%
> > profantities, but hey, you've not been this drunk in a long time, have
> > you?
> >
> > Guess it's all the money you've been able to save by not forking
> > it over to Consolidated for broadband, eh...???
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> bully and bigot

Yes, Mark, he is.

But don't fret! You're catching up!

Steve, K4YZ

1416. Re: More Markie Dreck Unravelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:45:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121748324.598214.57200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112774

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> > >
> > > i may well yet take part in such a suit
> > >
> > You'll do no such thing.
>
> I might not but then I might

No...you won't.

> > You're a pathological liar and a coward to boot.
>
> not true

A B S O L U T E L Y true!

> > When your "lawyer" gets a load of your posts here and sees that
> > your credibility is zilch-point-squat, you won't be able to get him to
> > litigate a case for you even if the Pope swears on CNN Live that you're
> > the "wronged" party.
>
> stevie it your posts that will be at evidence not mine

Nope. Sorry. I get to bring "evidence" too.

And "boyo", have YOU stacked it up!

> it is you that have comitted libel

Nope.

> > Huge snip.
> >
> > > > Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.
> > >
> > > IYO
> > >
> > In the opinions of civilized people.
>
> if you are civilized then I don't want to be civilized

No problem. You've already missed the bus.

Steve, K4YZ

1417. Re: Thanks For Proving Your Indecency, Mark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:50:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121748637.624501.227230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112775

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Another "Markie"...Trying to "diss" me with the very same
> > > > gang-raped English he claims he doesn't use!
> > > >
> > > just using the same sort of english you do. gues you are admiting to
> > > gang raping english then
> > >
> > Nope.
> > >
> > You're just a liar, Markie.
> >
> as are you

Nope.>

> > You'll always be second place...more like 3rd or 4th.
> >
> to what

To everything.

You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor

> > > But i certainly don't gang rape english or anything else being only one
> > > person
> > >
> > Sure you do. Your abuse of the language is horrific.
> >
> not possible I am one personson gang mean at least 2 or more

Not for people who think they are better than any two other
people, Mark.

> > > > Huge snip of tit-for-tat Markie Dreck
> > > >
> > > more doging the point you mean
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> yep

Nope. Same tit-for-tat one word answers from you for the most
part.

> > More snipping your tit-for-tat.
> >
> > > > > > I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
> > > > > > history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
> > > > > > Hukman.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope typos do not affect DNA if you think so please turn in your LPN
> > > > > > license
> > > > >
> > > > > But you're not human. You're a Hukman. You said so yourself.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > Yep. You said it.
> >
> > nope

Yep...Said you're Hukman.

Were you lying them or lying now?

> > > > > > Must be a "pagan" thing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more #### from you
> > > > >
> > > > > More profanity from you.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > sure thing but your #### is still ####
> > >
> > Now you're a proctologist? A pathologist?
> >
> > neither but your #### is still ####

How can you tell?

If you're not a proctologist or pathologist, how did you know?

Taste test...?!?!

> > > > Perhaps if you understood English a bit better...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > I understand it and I understand your #### too
> > >
> > No, Mark...no you don't.
> >
> > yes I did

You NEVER "did"...

Steve, K4YZ

1418. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 04:58:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121749087.916814.243080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112776

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > > > >Gaelic-schmaelic. It's not your name.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > BOO HOO
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That's the little girl we know!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > still with your obsession over gentials stevie
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What genitals?
> > > > > >
> > > > > exactly
> > > > >
> > > > Here again you go making illogical statements.
> > > >
> > > > > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
> > > > >
> > > > you can't seem to tell boy from girl
> > >
> > more lies
> >
> Nope.

yep either in calling tod a girl or in saying I have gender id problems
or

>
> > > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...YOU are the one with THAT probelm, Markie...I have
> > > NO problem making THAT distinction!
> > >
> > I know the difference between male and female you can't seemto get it
> > right yourself though
> >
> I have it quite right.

don't seem to if you are calling Todd a girl or were you lying then

>
> You're the one with the gender identity crisis, Markie.

nope
I am male. more over I am a man unlike you
>
> > > > then you are threatening Todd
> > >
> > > With showing someone his picture...?!?!
> >
> > and making libelous coments about it
>
> It's not "libelous" if it's true.

it certainly can be but then you would not know the turth if hit you
in the face
>
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> > > ! ! !
> > >
> > > > > > > > Todd McDochartaigh
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Misrepresenter Of Truth.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > First Cousin to Markie the Menace
> > > > >
> > > > > another lie
> > >
> > > Nope. A gene pool correlation.
> >
> > stpupid fool stevie
>
> I LOVE it when you call me "stpupid" or an "idoit", Markie!

gald to be of service

>
> You only serve to prove me right!

onl serve to show you for te filth you are

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1419. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:04:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121749485.387824.27360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112777

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > No adult would be so obsessive about profanities
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure they would.
> > > > >
> > > > > no adult would
> > > >
> > > Sure they would.
> > >
> > nope
>
> Already proven, Mark...Just look around you.
>
> Pick up the daily paper and show me where thye use profanity like
> yours in "common" conversation.

never said it was in the papers
>
> > > How many newscasters talk the way you talk on camera?
> > >
> > this isn't a newcast on camera
>
> But isn't a newscast people talking to people?

not a conversation it is as you point out a broadcast

>
> > > How many grade school teachers teach that kind of language?
> > >
> > not a grade school either or you constant bulling would be stoped
>
> I'm not "bulling". And if your suggestion that profanity is such
> a common and accepted way of expression, why is it that there's not one
> single day of classtime from Kindergarten to Graduation addressing
> "Proper Profanity for Public Pontification"...?!?!

becuase plenty of people are stuck prigs no doubtunable to deal the
turth

>
> > > In what business schools is your prefered adjectives encouraged?
```

> >
> > not a busness school either
>
> Business schools are not people talking to people?

you point?

>
> > > And when was the last time any member of the clergy worth his or
> > > her salt screamed out "PRAISE ####ING JESUS! WHAT A MOTHER#####ER OF A
> > > DUDE!"...?!?!
> >
> > not a church
>
> Are church's not people talking to people? If profanity is so
> "OK", why don't we have Jesus telling the Roman's to "get ####ed" as
> they nail him to the cross?

that is theological question I really woudn't care

>
> > > > > No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.
> > > > >
> > > > wasn't the subject the subject is your obseesion with profanity
> > >
> > > What's YOUR obsession with USING it in a public forum?
> >
> > not obsessed at all
>
> Sure you are.

not at all more of your absurd pretention

>
> > > Why can't you act like the adult you profess to be?
> >
> > I do. you are the one acting like a child
>
> A child telling an adult not to swear...Now THERE'S a new one!

no it isn't

I am not telling you not to swear nor I am a child

you are trying to browbeat other in doing things your way

>
> > > > > Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.
> > > > >
> > > > #### you your a sack of ####, and dylexics untie
> > >
> > > You're untied, alright...
> >

> > nope but then you can't undersatnd anything outside your box
>
> My "box" is civilized society.

as I have said if you are civilized then I want no part of it

>
> What's yours?

I am not in a box (at least none that I can see and if I see one I work
on stepping outside of it), I stand outside your box for certain

>
> > > Or maybe just "unglued"...?!?!
> >
> > not at all
>
> Absolutely. Withness :

nope

>
> > BTW #### you, your a sack of #### and dylexics untie
> >
> > if a bully would drop dead that would be fine as well
>
> Hope you have your will made out in that case.

it isn't my will that would be needed

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1420. Re: Markie Dreck Unravelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:06:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121749611.503218.184210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112778

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Nope. Any wordprocessing program, of which every computer is
> > provided at least Word Perfect or (Heaven forbid!) Word Star could be
> > used, and each has it's own spell chcking program.
>
> so what wasn't using a word processing program to post therefore
> >
> > No lie on my part, but nice try getting around it, Markie.
>
> no just another lie

Everything from you is a lie, Morgan.

Like calling me "obsessed", but then creating an whole extra
Google account just so you can get around their posting limit.

> > > > > Nope I expect youall (to use the southernism)...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > > It's "y'all", Wusso. No true Southerner says "you all"
> > > >
> > > > that is how they say it in Alabama or did last I was there
> > >
> > Then you obviously never got too far away from the airport or bus
> > station because it's "y'all". (I'm only 20 minutes from the 'Bama
> > state line.)
>
> never did. never claimed I did, nevr claimed I wandered int he back
> woods there. your point?

You don't have to wander into the "back woods", Markie.

But it's a good thing you didn't.

In any case, it's obvious you were commenting on yet another
subject for which you have no knowledge, skill, education or
experience.

> > > > > to either figure it out
> > > > > or ignore it as you will it is inaccurate to state I expect Stevie or
> > > > > yourself to do any work at all. I simply (try) provide content folks
> > > > > may find interesting if they care to bother.
> > > > >
> > > > They may "bother" more if it wasn't SUCH a bother to read such
> > > > DRECK.
> > > >

> > > Stevie you are proof it isn't that hard
> >
> > It is hard. Some times more than others.
>
> perhap it is hard but not that hard since you manage it as lazy as you
> are

You know nothing of what my work ethic is, Markie.

It's enough to make your claimed income pale by more than half.

Even at the "lowest step" as a Nurse.

> > > but wether they bother or not is not my concern
> > > which was ofcourse the point but you missed it, again
> >
> > Oh I got it...You don't care who you offend or how confused you
> > leave them.
>
>
> not exactly

Yes, exactly.

> I do intend to offend you for example, but I don't care that in many I
> offend folks by looking at the world deffeently, and I don't try to
> confuse anyone, but if they can't follow then they should bother to try

You're just like those Islamic insurgents in Baghdad...They claim
it's OK to kill everyone around an intended target since the means
justifies the ends, regardless of gender, age or infirmity.

> > There's not a single lie of my constrct there, Mark.
>
> yes there is
>
> I have posted about radio and feild day I have even agreed completly
> with one of your posts

Whellp...You claimed I probably "worked" you in "feild day".
Unlikely since I doubt your as proficient at CW as I am.

You only other "meaningful" post on "radio" was you sleight and
insults of Hans after he tried to help you.

Some track record.

> > > Btw what "constructives post have you made while I was one, Haven't
> > > read them all but looking over the google search can find on in 5 years
> >
> > There's a lot you can't find, Mark. Like your common sense and
> > maturity.
>
> got those they just don't take the shape that you would like

They don't take any shape at all...Other than like a splatter of
mud on the sidewalk...

> > > > > > Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
> > > > > > Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a
> > > > > > spellchecker. But he won't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no spelling checker in google posting which is my current access, so
> > > > > > your statement is n't true any more that their is any need for spell
> > > > > > chekcing
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure there is, and it was pointed out to you 1000 times before.
> > > > > Type your posts in something like "WordPerfect", clean it up, then
> > > > > paste it here.
> > > >
> > > > another Stevie lie No spelling check in teh GOOgle interface
> > >
> > Where, in the above paragraph, did I say ANYTHING about Google,
> > Wusso?
>
> out of context

Nope.

Left it completely intact.

>>I<< didn't say a single thing about Google.

> > > you sugestion I cut and paste is simply too much bother esp with the
> > > sheer volomue of #### you put out, as i have said a few times
> >
> > It would take you only a few minutes longer to do this.
>
> a few mintues times all your posts is a lot of time

Oh?

I manage to get through yours?

Am I too fast for you, Sonny Boy?

Need the Old Man to slow down for ya...?!?!

> > Think of all the ribbings you'd NOT get...
>
> nope you would simply find something else

With the exception of Toiddie, I seriously doubt I'd find anyone
else as idiotic as you, Markie.

> > > > > but you are right i won't so deal with it or not as YOU choose nobody
> > > > > making try to read it
> > > > >
> > > > > Although I do wonder how such mighty Morse men are so easliy stumped by
> > > > > a typo or 5. It must happen on air with morse to you. Other are honest

> > > > > enough to admit that (none of them procoders on RRAP though)
> > > >
> > > > I reiterate my previous statement...Most "Morse Men" are not
> > > > grossly incompetent with the language like you are.
> > >
> > > gee not it is only most Morsemen last week it was NO marseman, so which
> > > was the lie Stevie
> >
> > None.
>
> one has to be

Only if you learn Code Markie...And we already know you're too
incompetent.

> > No quantity of "Morse Men" I know of "write" like you.
>
> then there it is your satement "Most "Morse Men" are not grossly
> incompetent with the language like you are" is a lie

Nope.

You can argue the semantics all yo care to, Markie, but I've never
ran into anyone who was as incompetent in Morse as you are with a
keyboard.

> > > > > > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > > > > > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
> > > > >
> > > > > as is your right
> > > >
> > > > Missed the point again.
> > >
> > > nope I got his point just disgree with it, haven't seen yours yet
> >
> > Here it is.
> >
> > You're an irresponsible, immature, lying punk.
>
> that is a point?

A big one. A valid one.

> > Satisfaction in just six words!
>
> glad you enjoyed it

I should. It's true.

Steve, K4YZ

1421. Mark Morgan Working Hard To Prove Himself An Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:16:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121750200.401239.182250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112779

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > No adult would be so obsessive about profanities
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure they would.
> > > > >
> > > > > no adult would
> > > >
> > > > Sure they would.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Already proven, Mark...Just look around you.
> >
> > Pick up the daily paper and show me where thye use profanity like
> > yours in "common" conversation.
>
> never said it was in the papers

But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
profanity.

So where is it?

> > > > How many newscasters talk the way you talk on camera?
> > >
> > > this isn't a newcast on camera
> >
> > But isn't a newscast people talking to people?
>
> not a conversation it is as you point out a broadcast

But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
profanity.

So where is it?

> > > > How many grade school teachers teach that kind of language?
> > >
> > > not a grade school either or you constant bulling would be stoped
> >
```

> > I'm not "bulling". And if your suggestion that profanity is such
> > a common and accepted way of expression, why is it that there's not one
> > single day of classtime from Kindergarten to Graduation addressing
> > "Proper Profanity for Public Pontification"...?!?!
>
> becuase plenty of people are stuck prigs no doubtunable to deal the
> turth

(I'd try to get you to interpret that into English for me.....)

But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
profanity.

So where is it?

> > > > In what business schools is your prefered adjectives encouraged?
> > >
> > > not a busness school either
> >
> > Business schools are not people talking to people?
>
> you point?

But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
profanity.

So where is it?

> > > > And when was the last time any member of the clergy worth his or
> > > > her salt screamed out "PRAISE ####ING JESUS! WHAT A MOTHER####ER OF A
> > > > DUDE!"...?!?!
> > >
> > > not a church
> >
> > Are church's not people talking to people? If profanity is so
> > "OK", why don't we have Jesus telling the Roman's to "get ####ed" as
> > they nail him to the cross?
>
> that is theological question I really woudn't care

It has nothing to do with theology.

YOU insist it's a common-conversation technique.

I've given you several very specific examples of why you're wrong.

> > > > > > No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > wasn't the subject the subject is your obseesion with profanity
> > > >
> > > > What's YOUR obsession with USING it in a public forum?
> > >
> > > not obsessed at all
> >

> > Sure you are.
>
> not at all more of your absurd pretention

But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
profanity.

So where is it?

> > > > Why can't you act like the adult you profess to be?
> > >
> > > I do. you are the one acting like a child
> >
> > A child telling an adult not to swear...Now THERE'S a new one!
>
> no it isn't
>
> I am not telling you not to swear nor I am a child

You ARE a child. An very old, fat child.

> you are trying to browbeat other in doing things your way

If I were to browbeat you, Markie, I'd probably get a Community
Beautification Award.

> > > > > > Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.
> > > > >
> > > > > #### you your a sack of ####, and dylexics untie
> > > >
> > > > You're untied, alright...
> > >
> > > nope but then you can't undersatnd anything outside your box
> >
> > My "box" is civilized society.
>
> as I have said if you are civilized then I want no part of it

Because you're lazy. Being a good, responsible citizen takes some
work.

Obviously you're not up to the task.

> > What's yours?
>
> I am not in a box (at least none that I can see and if I see one I work
> on stepping outside of it), I stand outside your box for certain

"...for certain..." what?

> > > > Or maybe just "unglued"...?!?!
> > >
> > > not at all
> >

> > Absolutely. Withness :
>
> nope

Yep. Witness:

> > > BTW ~~####~~ you, your a sack of ~~####~~ and dylexics untie
> > >
> > > if a bully would drop dead that would be fine as well
> >
> > Hope you have your will made out in that case.
>
> it isn't my will that would be needed

Yes, it would. Or was THAT a "thinly veiled" threat, Mark?

Steve, K4YZ

1422. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:21:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121750490.664996.36070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112780

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > I'll stop changing them if you will learn and use some manner in
> > > > > > writing them
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Stop changing what? You're top posting so who can tell what is
> > > > > > what?
> > > > > >
> > > > > if you truely can't tell then you are just stupid
> > > > >
> > > > Uh huh...riggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggght
> > >
> > you claim in you subjects and then you claim know what I am doing deny
> > it in the text
> >
> > lying sack of ####
>
> Whoa...THAT was meaningful.

enough that you have to alter it

>
> And I can see that in addition to not having a really good grasp
> on English, you have a poor understanding of the concept of sarcasm.

I understand it, Indeed there seem to veiw I don't understand better
than you

Go ahead laugh

>
> > > > > > As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".
> > > > > >
> > > > > I have everyright same as anyone else
> > > > >
> > > > Not with that potty mouth of yours.
> > >
> > yes I do
>
> Nope.

Yes I do that in fundemental to USA and to say otherwise is unamercian
My rights are always the same as yours

if they are not then no one has any real rights

>
> > I am labeling the posts accurately if you don't like the content or the
> > subject then you should show some manners and change the tone
>
> I already have.

nope you go on and on in one note
>
> Just by deleting the profanities and refusing to resort to them
> myself.

not at all

same tone same tone you have used for years

>
> The rest is "all you", Markie.

nope

>
> > > > indeed there is OTOH the diffeence between Hamburgers and hookers
> > >
> > > You S T I L L don't know, Mark.
> >
> > I do stevie
>
> So then...TELL us the difference between a hooker and a hamburger,
> Markie...

well one you have as diner one you rent for sex (if you don't mind a
good chance of catching an infection)

>
> > > > > You keep showing us with every post.
> > > >
> > > > Never denied it
> > >
> > > Do you really keep throwing me these bits that PROVE my assertions
> > > about your idiocity, or is it truly just a lapse of situational
> > > awareness on your part?
> >
> > neither but then you cut out the context
> >
> > since you have cut ti out and I am lazy I will not discuss what I have
> > admitted to there
>
> Too late, Markie.

not at all

>
> You've admitted to lying, and anyone who has been following this
> has already seen it. Anyone who doubts MY word can just step back
> throught he archives and see YOURS!

he archives?

losing it are we Stevie

>
> There's nothing for ME to have to do!

no you can scertainly continue you hate filled attacks

>
> > > > > > I am pretty sure that is lie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Pretty sure"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > pretty sure
> > > >
> > > Which is to say you have nothing at all.
> >
> > Hmm? what are you saying?
> >
> > I am pretty you are lying about you wanting a clear idea of what is my
> > mind. Not sure wether the lie is that you don't know or that you want
> > to know
> >
> > as I said I am pretty sure you are lying not sure in this exactly what
> > the lie is
>
> And there's my point. You ahve no idea what's going on. Without
> re-copying every line of ever post ad-nauseum, you're lost.

again with ahve

No Stevie I don't bother memorizing you shit, I do have better things
but you clearly don't

>
> > > No problem...I already knew that.
> >
> > knew what do tell
>
> That you're an incompetent idiot.

nope
but then I am sure you think so

>
> > > > > > what you want is for me to go away, and at a time of my choosing I
> > > > > > likely will
> > > > > >

> > > > > No...
> > > >
> > > > yes
> > >
> > > Now you're putting words in my mouth, yet YOU insist you don't
> > > "forge" other people's comments.
> >
> > you do forge other people words
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> > I have made a conclusion logicaly following form your statements over
> > the last 7 years, no forgery there
>
> No, YOU chose the words and then presumed to state them as if I had
> said them.

Yes exactly what I have done, after due and carfull consdieration of
posts over the past seven years

>
> That is a lie.

no absolutely honest

>
> A transparent one at that.

the truth generaly is

>
> > > LiarLiar Pants on fire A G A I N! ! !
> >
> > my pants are not on fire
>
> I am sure your "partner" wished otherwise.

I just checked no she does not

another lie on your your part

>
> > > > > I want you to express yourself in language and in a manner that
> > > > > one would expect of an Amateur Radio operator.
> > > >
> > > > "One would expect" you mean "that you would expect"
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > I can tell you now that your behaviour and your mouth wouldn't
> > > last 2 days in this neighborhood.

> >
> > No what I would not, one of us would be dead if I tried to live in your
> > neighboorhood
>
> Yes, you would be.

maybe. maybe you would be dead or perhaps both of us

>
> There's a great many things I love about the South.

that they are barbariains?

>
> One is the sense of community, even when you're not in yours.
> Drive down Southern roads, especially in TN, AR, MS and AL. Folks in
> opposing traffic wave...with all five fingers.
>
> And they don't long suffer perverts or idiots.

gues you have never been to memphis or atlanta, or certainly not to New
Orleans now there is a wild town

>
> > > > > You don't..
> > > > >
> > > > > I certainly don't and I don't have to express myself as you wish
> > > >
> > > > Be my guest.
> > >
> > > then shut up and let me get on with it
> >
> > I haven't once stopped you.

you try
you have not suceeded
>
> The only one stopping you is yourself.

there is certainly a lie

>
> > > > > > I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no you have not haven't even got near my level today I am a more honest
> > > > > > toleratant forgiving open minded than you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're a documented liar, Markie. On a federal felony level.
> > > >
> > > > prove it
> >

> You already did it...

no I haven't.

>
> Every post where you said "I am a Colonel in the US Army Chemical
> Corps"

then turn me in

>
> > you are an documented felon yourself
> >
> > One shot one kill even in illiopolis
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Markie.

tsk tsk yourself

>
> Out of context again.

no in perfect context

>
> And yet another lie.

nope the truth

>
> > > > Not that any of these
> > > > things are hard with you as the standard rather like being more in
> > > > foavor of abolition than a southern planter in 1860 (or even 1960 as I
> > > > typed breifly before correcting it)
> > >
> > > You should have kept right on correcting "breifly", Mark.
> >
> > IYO
>
> Nope. In fact.

Nope IYO

>
> > > And I am trying to figure out where you think you're going with
> > > the "southern planter" routine.
> >
> > then you are stupid
>
> Nope. Just confused by your irrational "logic" and misplaced
> anger.

neither I'd laugh at you if I were not a better man than that

you can't figure it then don't bother trying

>
> > > Don't remember where I was born and raised, do ya?
> >
> > Yes I do and that is why I choose that metaphor
>
> Thee was no metaphor there, Markie. (You obviously don't know the
> definition of "metaphor"), because nothing you said addressed where I
> am from.

sure did adress where you are from admittly it would better if you
lived futher south but nothing is perfect

>
> > > > > > > I THINK you're trying to be humorous, however that's dubious.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nope just suing you as adjective
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "...suing..." is not an adjective.
> > > > >
> > > > > no it isn't it is a verb
> > >
> > > But YOU called it an adjective.
> >
> > no I did not
> >
> > I am calling YOU an adjective
> >
> > Stevism
>
> That's not an adjective.

it is now

>
> Sheesh, "boyo", you sure are big on using words that you don't
> know the definition of tonight, aren't you...?!?!

gues the Boyo must be getting to you

>
> > > > > > > > > You really have to try harder, Markie.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No don't
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > OK...Don't. A fool's a fool no matter how hard he tries.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You win either way!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I turely wish but
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Shhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeessssssssssssssssh.
> > > > > >

> > > > > You make this tooooooooooooooooooo easy, Mark.
> > > >
> > > > no i don't you do by spending so much effort on it
> > >
> > > Practice does make perfect...
> >
> > admitt to the efforts you take boyo
>
> It's not effort, Mark.

lie

>
> Like I said, YOU make this all too easy!
>
> > > But you being an inattentive idiot sure helps.
>
> See...I ahd it right the first time!

ahd it right indeed could not have said it better myself

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1423. Markie Dreck Exposed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:22:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121750529.446428.249820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112781

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you can't seem to tell boy from girl
> > > >
> > > more lies
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> yep either in calling tod a girl or in saying I have gender id problems
> or

You do.

You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
sleeping with.

> > > > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...YOU are the one with THAT probelm, Markie...I have
> > > > NO problem making THAT distinction!
> > >
> > > I know the difference between male and female you can't seemto get it
> > > right yourself though
> >
> > I have it quite right.
>
> don't seem to if you are calling Todd a girl or were you lying then

He is one...He's just like you, except he's not come to griops
with his deviance yet.

> > You're the one with the gender identity crisis, Markie.
>
> nope

Yep.

> I am male. more over I am a man unlike you

No, You're not.

You're a publically exposed fraud and liar.

> > > > > > then you are threatening Todd
> > > > >
> > > > With showing someone his picture...?!?!
> > >

> > > and making libelous coments about it
> >
> > It's not "libelous" if it's true.
>
> it certainly can be but then you would not know the turth if hit you
> in the face

Sure i would.

For example I know you're a known liar and deceiver who doesn't
like having his dirty laundry held up for all to see....

Yet you keep hanging more out there...

> > I LOVE it when you call me "stpupid" or an "idoit", Markie!
>
> gald to be of service
>
> >
> > You only serve to prove me right!
>
> onl serve to show you for te filth you are

You're "proving" thatn I am "filth" by lying and acting like an
idiot...?!?!

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

Steve, K4YZ

1424. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:28:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121750884.105594.178230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112782

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Keep Using Profanity In The Subject Lines?
> >
> > several reasons
> >
> > One it offends you
>
> No...It offends YOU. It's a black mark on you, Markie...Not me.

It does not offend me in the slightest

you must realy be losing it

>
> > Two I am expressing my difianace of you
>
> You "difi" every thing, Markie. Common sense...Common decency...

as i have said if you are decent I'll pass on being decent

>
> > Three, because itaccrutely reflect what I am discussing
> >
> > Your #####
>
> Wrong.

nope

>
> YOUR #####.

your ##### .can't even type out you insults

LOL
being human I can't resist. i should I know but I am human

>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > It is if you think YOU engender fear in ANYone, Markie!
> > > >
> > > > > i engender fear and hate in you
> > >

> > > Uh huh. Right.
> > >
> > > Not in this life, Markie. Not yesterday. Not today. Not ever.
> >
> > you sure do
>
> I sure what?

hate and fear me

>
> > > Huge Snip
> > >
> > > > > I support the concept that the persons you cited were human.
> > > > >
> > > > > then you support that I am
> > > >
> > > > No, I don't.
> > > >
> > > > You don't act it, and you said you're a Hukman.
> > >
> > > I do act human
> >
> > No you don't.

yes I do

>
> You act foolishly.

human can be wise. humans can be foolish, Indeed only humans can mange
either wisdon or foolishness, it is according some of the philosphy
types what makes us Human

>
> > even humane certianly better than you manage
>
> Then your standards are pretty low anyway.

admiting that you are not humane progress

>
> > > So Hukman it is!
> > >
> > > > > You, on the other hand, are not human, and stated so by your own
> > > > > hand.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > > > more stevie lies and distrotion
> > > >
> > > > Well...Congrats on fianlly spelling "lies" right, even though it
> > > > further substantiated your mistruthfulness.
> > >

> > nope
>
> Yep.

nope

>
> Mark Morgan is a liar...Said so himself.

yes which makes me more honest than you

>
> Are you now stating that you were lying about your lying?

not at all stevie

>
> > > > > Happy Hukman Day.
> > >
> > > Aren't you going to say "thanks"...?!?!
> >
> > why?
>
> For wishing you Happy Hukman day, Hukman.

no
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1425. stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:31:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121751084.113221.165980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112783

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> > > > >night hun.
> > > >
> > > > - Hide quoted text -
> > > >
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > > > > TODD
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ##### YOU YOU MOTHER #####ING ##########, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> > > > ### BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT #### AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU #### ROTTEN IN
> > > > ##### YOU ####ING ########## MOTHER########!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Not bad, Todd, but not up to your own standard! Not quite 33%
> > > profantities, but hey, you've not been this drunk in a long time, have
> > > you?
> > >
> > > Guess it's all the money you've been able to save by not forking
> > > it over to Consolidated for broadband, eh...???
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > bully and bigot
>
> Yes, Mark, he is.

nope you are the bully and bigot

Todd is more than a bit homophobic

you are lying again Never said he was a bully or a bigot
>
> But don't fret! You're catching up!

ok go take your meds, your psych meds
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1426. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:36:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121751392.811340.49120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112784

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> > > >
> > > > i may well yet take part in such a suit
> > >
> > > You'll do no such thing.
> >
> > I might not but then I might
>
> No...you won't.

I hope I don't have to

but depending on event never sure what I will do and I avoid making
such decisons till I need to

>
> > > You're a pathological liar and a coward to boot.
> >
> > not true
>
> A B S O L U T E L Y true!

not at all

>
> > > When your "lawyer" gets a load of your posts here and sees that
> > > your credibility is zilch-point-squat, you won't be able to get him to
> > > litigate a case for you even if the Pope swears on CNN Live that you're
> > > the "wronged" party.
> >
> > stevie it your posts that will be at evidence not mine
>
> Nope. Sorry. I get to bring "evidence" too.

sure do but only relavant stuff gets into the record

and I would not need even to win in order to harm you the cost of
defending a suit can run quite high

You threatened to kill me you therten everyone here that you disagree
with.

My own do/would make the case harder but not impossible

>
> And "boyo", have YOU stacked it up!

you have been stacking it deeper

and it is all Shit

>
> > it is you that have comitted libel
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > > Huge snip.
> > >
> > > > > Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.
> > > >
> > > > IYO
> > >
> > > In the opinions of civilized people.
> >
> > if you are civilized then I don't want to be civilized
>
> No problem. You've already missed the bus.

in all truth I think it is you that is uncivliized but suit yourself

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1427. Re: Markie Dreck Exposed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:40:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121751621.582188.266600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112785

>don't seem to if you are calling Todd a girl or were you lying then

> He is one...He's just like you, except he's not come to griops
>with his deviance yet.

No I am not steve, you freaking asshole.

Todd

1428. Markie Dreck Continued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:46:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121751993.777208.312720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112786

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > lying sack of ####
> > >
> > Whoa...THAT was meaningful.
> >
> enough that you have to alter it

I didn't alter it...it's still there...I just masked the stench.

> > And I can see that in addition to not having a really good grasp
> > on English, you have a poor understanding of the concept of sarcasm.
> >
> I understand it, Indeed there seem to veiw I don't understand better
> than you
> >
> Go ahead laugh

It's easy to when you make such a farce out of it!

> > > > > > As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have everyright same as anyone else
> > > > >
> > > > Not with that potty mouth of yours.
> > > >
> > > yes I do
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> Yes I do that in fundemental to USA and to say otherwise is unamercian
> My rights are always the same as yours
> >
> if they are not then no one has any real rights

You substitue "rights" for privileges.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> > > I am labeling the posts accurately if you don't like the content or the
> > > subject then you should show some manners and change the tone
> > >
> > I already have.
> >
> nope you go on and on in one note

It's yours to change, Markie. You're the one who keeps up with
the profanities.

> > Just by deleting the profanities and refusing to resort to them
> > myself.
>
> not at all
>
> same tone same tone you have used for years

You mean not tolerating liars and idiots...?!?!

> > The rest is "all you", Markie.
>
> nope

A B S O L U T E L Y all you, Markie!

> > > > > indeed there is OTOH the diffeence between Hamburgers and hookers
> > > > >
> > > > You S T I L L don't know, Mark.
> > >
> > > I do stevie
> >
> > So then...TELL us the difference between a hooker and a hamburger,
> > Markie...
>
> well one you have as diner one you rent for sex (if you don't mind a
> good chance of catching an infection)

You already ahve an infection, Markie...It's obviously gotten to
your brain.

> > > > > > You keep showing us with every post.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Never denied it
> > > > >
> > > > Do you really keep throwing me these bits that PROVE my assertions
> > > > about your idiocity, or is it truly just a lapse of situational
> > > > awareness on your part?
> > >
> > > neither but then you cut out the context
> > >
> > > since you have cut ti out and I am lazy I will not discuss what I have
> > > admitted to there
> >
> > Too late, Markie.
>
> not at all
>
> >
> > You've admitted to lying, and anyone who has been following this
> > has already seen it. Anyone who doubts MY word can just step back

> > throught he archives and see YOURS!
>
> he archives?
>
> losing it are we Stevie
>
> >
> > There's nothing for ME to have to do!
>
> no you can scertainly continue you hate filled attacks

There's no hate here, Markie.

If there was, you wouldn't be reading these lines.

> > And there's my point. You ahve no idea what's going on. Without
> > re-copying every line of ever post ad-nauseum, you're lost.
>
> again with ahve
>
> No Stevie I don't bother memorizing you ####, I do have better things
> but you clearly don't

You mean like how you created another Google account just to get
around posting limits...?!?!

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

> > > I have made a conclusion logicaly following form your statements over
> > > the last 7 years, no forgery there
> >
> > No, YOU chose the words and then presumed to state them as if I had
> > said them.
>
> Yes exactly what I have done, after due and carfull consdieration of
> posts over the past seven years

Then you admit YOU are the one "forging" words.

> > > > LiarLiar Pants on fire A G A I N! ! !
> > >
> > > my pants are not on fire
> >
> > I am sure your "partner" wished otherwise.
>
> I just checked no she does not
>
> another lie on your your part

You're calling him "she" now...?!?!

Make it easier to kiss "her" good night, does it...???

> > > No what I would not, one of us would be dead if I tried to live in your
> > > neighboorhood
> >
> > Yes, you would be.
>
> maybe. maybe you would be dead or perhaps both of us

Nope.

> > There's a great many things I love about the South.
>
> that they are barbariains?

Nope.

I left the barbarians when I moved South.

> > One is the sense of community, even when you're not in yours.
> > Drive down Southern roads, especially in TN, AR, MS and AL. Folks in
> > opposing traffic wave...with all five fingers.
> >
> > And they don't long suffer perverts or idiots.
>
> gues you have never been to memphis or atlanta, or certainly not to New
> Orleans now there is a wild town

I've been to all of them...

None of them match New York, Boston, etc.

> > > > > > You don't..
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I certainly don't and I don't have to express myself as you wish
> > > > >
> > > > > Be my guest.
> > > >
> > > then shut up and let me get on with it
> >
> > I haven't once stopped you.
>
> you try

Nope.

> you have not suceeded

I have succeeded in rubbing your nose in YOUR silliness.

> > The only one stopping you is yourself.
>
> there is certainly a lie

Nope.

> > > > > > I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.
> > > > > >

> > > > > no you have not haven't even got near my level today I am a more honest
> > > > > toleratant forgiving open minded than you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're a documented liar, Markie. On a federal felony level.
> > > >
> > > > prove it
> > >
> > You already did it...
>
> no I haven't.

Sure you have. Witness:

> > Every post where you said "I am a Colonel in the US Army Chemical
> > Corps"
>
> then turn me in

Why?

So you could get to go to Levenworth where you'd live out your
greatest fantsies yet?

> > > you are an documented felon yourself
> > >
> > > One shot one kill even in illiopolis
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk, Markie.
>
> tsk tsk yourself
>
> >
> > Out of context again.
>
> no in perfect context

Why do you insist on lying in public, Mark.

> > And yet another lie.
>
> nope the truth

Mark Morgan does not know the truth.

> > > > > Not that any of these
> > > > > things are hard with you as the standard rather like being more in
> > > > > foavor of abolition than a southern planter in 1860 (or even 1960 as I
> > > > > typed breifly before correcting it)
> > > > >
> > > > > You should have kept right on correcting "breifly", Mark.
> > >

> > > IYO
> >
> > Nope. In fact.
>
> Nope IYO

Nope. In fact.

Here...let me slow down for you:

B R I E F L Y

Did you get it that time, Markie?

> > > > And I am trying to figure out where you think you're going with
> > > > the "southern planter" routine.
> > >
> > > then you are stupid
> >
> > Nope. Just confused by your irrational "logic" and misplaced
> > anger.
>
> neither I'd laugh at you if I were not a better man than that

But you're not, so go right ahead and have it out!

> you can't figure it then don't bother trying

How can you "figure out" that which is irrational?

> > > > Don't remember where I was born and raised, do ya?
> > >
> > > Yes I do and that is why I choose that metaphor
> >
> > Thee was no metaphor there, Markie. (You obviously don't know the
> > definition of "metaphor"), because nothing you said addressed where I
> > am from.
>
> sure did adress where you are from admittly it would better if you
> lived futher south but nothing is perfect

Still not right.

Try again.

You don't even have to get the city right, Markie...Just the
state.

> > > Stevism
> >
> > That's not an adjective.
>
> it is now

No it's not. Try again.

> > Sheesh, "boyo", you sure are big on using words that you don't
> > know the definition of tonight, aren't you...?!?!
>
> gues the Boyo must be getting to you

Nope. Just throwing it back at ya, since you insist like actiong
like a little boy.

BTW..Do Hukman's HAVE little boys?

> > > admitt to the efforts you take boyo
> >
> > It's not effort, Mark.
>
> lie

Nope. Not much effort needed. You provide almost all of the
material!

> > Like I said, YOU make this all too easy!
> >
> > > > But you being an inattentive idiot sure helps.
> >
> > See...I ahd it right the first time!
>
> ahd it right indeed could not have said it better myself

Probably not, Mark.

Steve, K4YZ

1429. Coward Morgan Continues Seven Year Threat to "Sue"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:54:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121752467.325672.29080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112787

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> > > > >
> > > > > i may well yet take part in such a suit
> > > >
> > > > You'll do no such thing.
> > >
> > > I might not but then I might
> >
> > No...you won't.
>
> I hope I don't have to

You won't.

> but depending on event never sure what I will do and I avoid making
> such decisons till I need to

You were making those assinine assertions 7 years ago. You lied
then, you're lying now.

Just like Toiddie, you're one of those wusso's who thinks that all
things are solved by threatening to "sue".

> > > > You're a pathological liar and a coward to boot.
> > >
> > > not true
> >
> > A B S O L U T E L Y true!
>
> not at all

Still true.

> > > > When your "lawyer" gets a load of your posts here and sees that
> > > > your credibility is zilch-point-squat, you won't be able to get him to
> > > > litigate a case for you even if the Pope swears on CNN Live that you're
> > > > the "wronged" party.
> > >
> > > stevie it your posts that will be at evidence not mine

> >
> > Nope. Sorry. I get to bring "evidence" too.
>
> sure do but only relavant stuff gets into the record

Your misrepresentations as being a commissioned officer will be
enough. We can add in your numerous posts wherein you insist it's "OK"
to be a liar will round it out.

> and I would not need even to win in order to harm you the cost of
> defending a suit can run quite high

I've got lawyers and money in the family, Markie.

Knock yourself out, Boyo.

> You threatened to kill me you therten everyone here that you disagree
> with.

Nope. Not one word of a threat.

> My own do/would make the case harder but not impossible

It most certainly will make the case impossible for you. You have
no credibility...Certainly none here.

> > And "boyo", have YOU stacked it up!
>
> you have been stacking it deeper
>
> and it is all ####

Did you know that #### is spelled "M O R G A N" where I come
from..?!?!

Imagine that!

> > > it is you that have comitted libel
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Where?

> > > > Huge snip.
> > > >
> > > > > > Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.
> > > > >
> > > > > IYO
> > > >
> > > > In the opinions of civilized people.
> > >
> > > if you are civilized then I don't want to be civilized
> >

> > No problem. You've already missed the bus.
>
> in all truth I think it is you that is uncivliized but suit yourself

Nope. You're the one on the outside looking in.

Try to stay warm.

Steve, K4YZ

1430. Re: Markie Dreck Exposed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:57:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121752623.908447.165550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112788

N9OGL wrote:
> >don't seem to if you are calling Todd a girl or were you lying then
>
> > He is one...He's just like you, except he's not come to grips
> >with his deviance yet.
>
> No I am not steve, you freaking ###hole.

Sure you are, Toiddie.

You whine like one.

You're almost 40 and living with mumsie and popsie.

Steve, K4YZ

1431. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:57:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121752632.985222.301620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112789

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Nope. Any wordprocessing program, of which every computer is
> > > provided at least Word Perfect or (Heaven forbid!) Word Star could be
> > > used, and each has it's own spell chcking program.
> >
> > so what wasn't using a word processing program to post therefore
> > >
> > > No lie on my part, but nice try getting around it, Markie.
> >
> > no just another lie
>
> Everything from you is a lie, Morgan.

not realy most of it is the truth with lie here and there to keep you
off balance

>
> Like calling me "obsessed", but then creating an whole extra
> Google account just so you can get around their posting limit.

it just shows the depth of your obsession with me that to repsond
mostly to your shit filled posts I need to do that

>
> > > > > > Nope I expect youall (to use the southernism)...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > > It's "y'all", Wusso. No true Southerner says "you all"
> > > >
> > > > that is how they say it in Alabama or did last I was there
> > >
> > > Then you obviously never got too far away from the airport or bus
> > > station because it's "y'all". (I'm only 20 minutes from the 'Bama
> > > state line.)
> >
> > never did. never claimed I did, nevr claimed I wandered int he back
> > woods there. your point?
>
> You don't have to wander into the "back woods", Markie.

of course I don't nice that you agree

>
> But it's a good thing you didn't.

yes it is but for the reasons you think

too many pine trees in those woods (I am alegic to pine)

>
> In any case, it's obvious you were commenting on yet another
> subject for which you have no knowledge, skill, education or
> experience.

I am sure it is obvious to you, don't make it so though

>
> > > > > > to either figure it out
> > > > > > or ignore it as you will it is inaccurate to state I expect Stevie or
> > > > > > yourself to do any work at all. I simply (try) provide content folks
> > > > > > may find interesting if they care to bother.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > They may "bother" more if it wasn't SUCH a bother to read such
> > > > > > DRECK.
> > > > >
> > > > > Stevie you are proof it isn't that hard
> > > >
> > > > It is hard. Some times more than others.
> > >
> > > perhap it is hard but not that hard since you manage it as lazy as you
> > > are
> >
> > You know nothing of what my work ethic is, Markie.

I know you are too lazy to do your own work

I knowyou can't realy think either

that you don't understand the use of english

that you don't undersatnd the legal system at all (that could realy get
you in trouble one day)

>
> It's enough to make your claimed income pale by more than half.

you may well so I have what I need and what I want I basicaly able to
almost retire and at 41 that is good enough for me

>
> Even at the "lowest step" as a Nurse.

and you have to labour for it too

my work consists of looking at text and pictures when I feel like it,
talking on the phone offering advice, don't have to even get dressed to
work
>
> > > > but wether they bother or not is not my concern
> > > > which was ofcourse the point but you missed it, again

> > >
> > > Oh I got it...You don't care who you offend or how confused you
> > > leave them.
> >
> >
> > not exactly
>
> Yes, exactly.

not at all
>
> > I do intend to offend you for example, but I don't care that in many I
> > offend folks by looking at the world deffeently, and I don't try to
> > confuse anyone, but if they can't follow then they should bother to try
>
> You're just like those Islamic insurgents in Baghdad...They claim
> it's OK to kill everyone around an intended target since the means
> justifies the ends, regardless of gender, age or infirmity.

no you are the terrorist. I harm no one not even you, and yet you can't
abide it

I say it isn't good to kill anyone and certainly not to threten them
either

You claim it is ok to kill people you don't like

>
> > > There's not a single lie of my constrct there, Mark.
> >
> > yes there is
> >
> > I have posted about radio and feild day I have even agreed completly
> > with one of your posts
>
> Whellp...You claimed I probably "worked" you in "feild day".
> Unlikely since I doubt your as proficient at CW as I am.

no i calimed I may have if you are saying you have never worked other
than CW well I have downgrade that estimation

OTOH I may still have worked on Field day since I have over a hunderd
contacts in that mode with nothing but cumptuer and keyboard

>
> You only other "meaningful" post on "radio" was you sleight and
> insults of Hans after he tried to help you.

now that is meaningfull make up your mind, if you have one

>
> Some track record.
>

> > > > Btw what "constructives post have you made while I was one, Haven't
> > > > read them all but looking over the google search can find on in 5 years
> > > >
> > > There's a lot you can't find, Mark. Like your common sense and
> > > maturity.
> > >
> > got those they just don't take the shape that you would like
>
> They don't take any shape at all...Other than like a splatter of
> mud on the sidewalk...

still nothing on your aleged construive posts I see

>
> > > > > > > Mark claimed to have a 248 IQ and some sort of dyslexia.
> > > > > > > Whether or not those claims are true, he could still use a
> > > > > > > spellchecker. But he won't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > no spelling checker in google posting which is my current access, so
> > > > > > your statement is n't true any more that their is any need for spell
> > > > > > chekcing
> > > > > >
> > > > > Sure there is, and it was pointed out to you 1000 times before.
> > > > > Type your posts in something like "WordPerfect", clean it up, then
> > > > > paste it here.
> > > > >
> > > > another Stevie lie No spelling check in teh GOOgle interface
> > > >
> > > Where, in the above paragraph, did I say ANYTHING about Google,
> > > Wusso?
> > >
> > out of context
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> Left it completely intact.

which doesn't alter that you tried to change to context by talking
about word perfect rather than google

>
> >>I<< didn't say a single thing about Google.

which is how you changed the context

>
> > > > you sugestion I cut and paste is simply too much bother esp with the
> > > > sheer volomue of ##### you put out, as i have said a few times
> > > >
> > > It would take you only a few minutes longer to do this.

> >
> > a few mintues times all your posts is a lot of time
>
> Oh?

yep

>
> I manage to get through yours?

you do

>
> Am I too fast for you, Sonny Boy?

Not your son

but you are way faster than I and a better typist to be sure

and pocessed of more time and clearly more motivation although why you
are motivated to enage in personal attack day after dayI raly don't
understand

You are wasted here, prefect you realy should get a job writing for a
PAC
>
> Need the Old Man to slow down for ya...?!?!

not realy but it would be welcome

>
> > > Think of all the ribbings you'd NOT get...
> >
> > nope you would simply find something else
>
> With the exception of Toiddie, I seriously doubt I'd find anyone
> else as idiotic as you, Markie.

funny you say alomst the same to Braina and to Len

>
> > > > > > but you are right i won't so deal with it or not as YOU choose nobody
> > > > > > making try to read it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Although I do wonder how such mighty Morse men are so easliy stumped by
> > > > > > a typo or 5. It must happen on air with morse to you. Other are honest
> > > > > > enough to admit that (none of them procoders on RRAP though)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I reiterate my previous statement...Most "Morse Men" are not
> > > > > > grossly incompetent with the language like you are.
> > > > >
> > > > > gee not it is only most Morsemen last week it was NO marseman, so which
> > > > > was the lie Stevie
> > > >

> > > None.
> >
> > one has to be
>
> Only if you learn Code Markie...And we already know you're too
> incompetent.

nonsenseand worse than normal even for you

one of the statements was a lie

>
> > > No quantity of "Morse Men" I know of "write" like you.
> >
> > then there it is your satement "Most "Morse Men" are not grossly
> > incompetent with the language like you are" is a lie
>
> Nope.

yep

caught

>
> You can argue the semantics all yo care to, Markie, but I've never
> ran into anyone who was as incompetent in Morse as you are with a
> keyboard.

then you were lying when you said you had
that is all you had to say Boyo

>
> > > > > > > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > > > > > > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > as is your right
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Missed the point again.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope I got his point just disgree with it, haven't seen yours yet
> > > >
> > > > Here it is.
> > >
> > > You're an irresponsible, immature, lying punk.
> >
> > that is a point?
>
> A big one. A valid one.

neither big nor valid

>
> > > Satisfaction in just six words!

> >
> > glad you enjoyed it
>
> I should. It's true.

you should enjoy it

doesn't make it true

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1432. specail shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 05:58:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121752695.727602.324040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112790

K4YZ wrote:
cut
>
> Everything from you is a lie, Morgan.
>
big time lie from Stevie

1433. Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:00:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121752849.602248.306200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112791

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> > > > > >night hun.
> > > > > >
> > > > > - Hide quoted text -
> > > > > >
> > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > > > > > TODD
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > #### YOU YOU MOTHER ####ING ##########, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> > > > > > ### BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT #### AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU ##### ROTTEN IN
> > > > > > #### YOU ####ING ########## MOTHER########!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > Not bad, Todd, but not up to your own standard! Not quite 33%
> > > > profantities, but hey, you've not been this drunk in a long time, have
> > > > you?
> > > > >
> > > > Guess it's all the money you've been able to save by not forking
> > > > it over to Consolidated for broadband, eh...???
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > bully and bigot
> > >
> > Yes, Mark, he is.
> >
> nope you are the bully and bigot

Nope.

> Todd is more than a bit homophobic

No...he's a "homophile", Markie.

> you are lying again Never said he was a bully or a bigot

Sure you did. It was right there in the last post.

Now you're lying about stuff that the ink hasn't even dried on
yet!

> >
> > But don't fret! You're catching up!
>
> ok go take your meds, your psych meds

Sorry Markie. Clean URDS here. But I bet YOURS lights up like a Christmas tree.

Steve, K4YZ

1434. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:09:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121753359.702632.13650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112792

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > i may well yet take part in such a suit
> > > > > >
> > > > > You'll do no such thing.
> > > > >
> > > > I might not but then I might
> > > >
> > > No...you won't.
> > >
> > I hope I don't have to
> >
> You won't.

then you agree My side on code tesing will win?

>
> > but depending on event never sure what I will do and I avoid making
> > such decisons till I need to
>
> You were making those assinine assertions 7 years ago. You lied
> then, you're lying now.

nope I thought and still think the matter will be resolved as I wish
without needing to sue and spend that kind of money

it does remain one of those wepaons in the arsenal

>
> Just like Toiddie, you're one of those wusso's who thinks that all
> things are solved by threatening to "sue".

nope

never threaten to sue

merely pointed out the nature of the case

>
> > > > > You're a pathological liar and a coward to boot.
> > > > >
> > > > > not true
> > > >
> > > > A B S O L U T E L Y true!
> > >
> > > not at all
>
> Still true.

not pathological nor a coward

>
> > > > > When your "lawyer" gets a load of your posts here and sees that
> > > > > your credibility is zilch-point-squat, you won't be able to get him to
> > > > > litigate a case for you even if the Pope swears on CNN Live that you're
> > > > > the "wronged" party.
> > > > >
> > > > > stevie it your posts that will be at evidence not mine
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Sorry. I get to bring "evidence" too.
> > >
> > > sure do but only relavant stuff gets into the record
>
> Your misrepresentations as being a commissioned officer will be
> enough. We can add in your numerous posts wherein you insist it's "OK"
> to be a liar will round it out.

but I can say that if I think it will serve to defend myself, as it did
you flustered around for days

your post that you can attack and harrass anyone you like will sink
yours

>
> > and I would not need even to win in order to harm you the cost of
> > defending a suit can run quite high
>
> I've got lawyers and money in the family, Markie.

good for you

>
> Knock yourself out, Boyo.

why?

as I have said I'd give real thought tomsuing you if I thought you
anything worth taking

You do increasingly make me consider doing it anyway, but I doubt it
would be worth the bother
>

> > You threatened to kill me you therten everyone here that you disagree
> > with.
>
> Nope. Not one word of a threat.

"one shot one kill..."

That is a threat
>
> > My own do/would make the case harder but not impossible
>
> It most certainly will make the case impossible for you. You have
> no credibility...Certainly none here.

credibility is not what I would need but you years of attacks should
get the judgement

but collecting on it well that is always the problem

>
> > > And "boyo", have YOU stacked it up!
> >
> > you have been stacking it deeper
> >
> > and it is all ####
>
> Did you know that #### is spelled "M O R G A N" where I come
> from..?!?!

no it is not, #### is Spelled ####, while shit is spelled shit
everywhere

more stevie lies
>
> Imagine that!

I can a piss poor joke

>
> > > > it is you that have comitted libel
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> Where?

in you fist post Gay pagan ...to wed todd

that was libel

>
> > > > > Huge snip.
> > > > > >

> > > > > > > Profanity is the adjective of choce of the weak mind.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > IYO
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In the opinions of civilized people.
> > > > >
> > > > > if you are civilized then I don't want to be civilized
> > > >
> > > No problem. You've already missed the bus.
> >
> > in all truth I think it is you that is uncivliized but suit yourself
>
> Nope. You're the one on the outside looking in.

like i said suit yourself

>
> Try to stay warm.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1435. Mark Morgan Continues Attack On Civil Language

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:10:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121753414.697349.189480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112793

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Like calling me "obsessed", but then creating an whole extra
> > Google account just so you can get around their posting limit.
>
> it just shows the depth of your obsession with me that to repsond
> mostly to your #### filled posts I need to do that

Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?

Huge snip. Nothing new there.

> > It's enough to make your claimed income pale by more than half.
>
> you may well so I have what I need and what I want I basicaly able to
> almost retire and at 41 that is good enough for me

So they will let you on state aid at that age?

> > Even at the "lowest step" as a Nurse.
>
> and you have to labour for it too

And I "labour" well.

> my work consists of looking at text and pictures when I feel like it,
> talking on the phone offering advice, don't have to even get dressed to
> work

PORNO PHONE SEX! I KNEW IT!

> > > I do intend to offend you for example, but I don't care that in many I
> > > offend folks by looking at the world deffeently, and I don't try to
> > > confuse anyone, but if they can't follow then they should bother to try
> >
> > You're just like those Islamic insurgents in Baghdad...They claim
> > it's OK to kill everyone around an intended target since the means
> > justifies the ends, regardless of gender, age or infirmity.
>
> no you are the terrorist. I harm no one not even you, and yet you can't
> abide it

You do "harm" me, Markie.

You lie about Amateur Radio.

You lie about the Armed Forces.

You lie about being threatened.

You lie about your lying.

And I consider lying to be harmful.

> I say it isn't good to kill anyone and certainly not to threten them
> either
>
> You claim it is ok to kill people you don't like

I've never said that, Markie.

> > You can argue the semantics all yo care to, Markie, but I've never
> > ran into anyone who was as incompetent in Morse as you are with a
> > keyboard.
>
> then you were lying when you said you had

I didn't say I did.

> that is all you had to say Boyo

More of your "forgery", Boyo.

> > > > > > > > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > > > > > > > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > as is your right
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Missed the point again.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nope I got his point just disgree with it, haven't seen yours yet
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Here it is.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're an irresponsible, immature, lying punk.
> > > > >
> > > > > that is a point?
> > >
> > > A big one. A valid one.
>
> neither big nor valid

You are irresponsible. A "busnissman" and "veteran" wouldn't lie
like you do.

You are immature. The flagrant profanity witnessess.

Add the two up = punk.

Steve, K4YZ

1436. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:16:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121753795.777397.212880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112794

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you can't seem to tell boy from girl
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more lies
> > > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > yep either in calling tod a girl or in saying I have gender id problems
> > or
>
> You do.

nope

>
> You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
> sleeping with.

I know full well, any consenting person I wish too

>
> > > > > > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...YOU are the one with THAT probelm, Markie...I have
> > > > > > NO problem making THAT distinction!
> > > > >
> > > > > I know the difference between male and female you can't seemto get it
> > > > > right yourself though
> > > >
> > > I have it quite right.
> > >
> > don't seem to if you are calling Todd a girl or were you lying then
>
> He is one...He's just like you, except he's not come to griops
> with his deviance yet.

he is not a girl

don't you use english

>
> > > You're the one with the gender identity crisis, Markie.
> > >

> > nope
>
> Yep.

Nope not at all transgendered I havea firm gender Id that being male,
and it macths my antomy

>
> > I am male. more over I am a man unlike you
>
> No, You're not.

yes I am on all those counts

>
> You're a publically exposed fraud and liar.

nothing there about gender

>
> > > > > > then you are threatening Todd
> > > > > >
> > > > > > With showing someone his picture...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > and making libelous coments about it
> > >
> > > It's not "libelous" if it's true.
> >
> > it certainly can be but then you would not know the turth if hit you
> > in the face
>
> Sure i would.

no you would not

>
> For example I know you're a known liar and deceiver who doesn't
> like having his dirty laundry held up for all to see....

then you are wrong, were we living in civlized society I would not care
at all who knew mY sexaul orientation, no dirty laundry at all, just
prefer not to dodge the bullets of bigots like yourself either physcaly
or figureitvely

>
> Yet you keep hanging more out there...

gigo

>
> > > I LOVE it when you call me "stpupid" or an "idoit", Markie!
> >
> > gald to be of service
> >

> > >
> > > You only serve to prove me right!
> >
> > onl serve to show you for te filth you are
>
> You're "proving" thatn I am "filth" by lying and acting like an
> idiot...?!?!

no you are proving yourself filth by engaging in stalking, harassment,
hate speech

>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!
right boyo

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1437. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:33:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121754799.277461.293850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112795

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > lying sack of ####
> > >
> > > Whoa...THAT was meaningful.
> >
> > enough that you have to alter it
>
> I didn't alter it...it's still there...I just masked the stench.

lying again you altered it

>
> > > And I can see that in addition to not having a really good grasp
> > > on English, you have a poor understanding of the concept of sarcasm.
> >
> > I understand it, Indeed there seem to veiw I don't understand better
> > than you
> >
> > Go ahead laugh
>
> It's easy to when you make such a farce out of it!

farce is at least humor

>
> > > > > > > As for manners...YOU have NO right to tell anyone about "manners".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I have everyright same as anyone else
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not with that potty mouth of yours.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes I do
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Yes I do that in fundemental to USA and to say otherwise is unamercian
> > > My rights are always the same as yours
> > >
> > > if they are not then no one has any real rights
> >
> > You substitue "rights" for privileges.

no I do not

you want to rewrite rights into revokable priviledges

>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> > > > I am labeling the posts accurately if you don't like the content or the
> > > > subject then you should show some manners and change the tone
> > >
> > > I already have.
> >
> > nope you go on and on in one note
>
> It's yours to change, Markie. You're the one who keeps up with
> the profanities.

your tone never changes as dangerous as it is to use the word never it
seems to fit

>
> > > Just by deleting the profanities and refusing to resort to them
> > > myself.
> >
> > not at all
> >
> > same tone same tone you have used for years
>
> You mean not tolerating liars and idiots...?!?!

you have macros programs with this shit?

>
> > > The rest is "all you", Markie.
> >
> > nope
>
> A B S O L U T E L Y all you, Markie!

nope

>
> > > > > > indeed there is OTOH the diffeence between Hamburgers and hookers
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You S T I L L don't know, Mark.
> > > > >
> > > > > I do stevie
> > > >
> > > > So then...TELL us the difference between a hooker and a hamburger,
> > > > Markie...
> > >
> > > well one you have as diner one you rent for sex (if you don't mind a
> > > good chance of catching an infection)
> >
> > You already ahve an infection, Markie...It's obviously gotten to

> your brain.

ahve again

more simple personal attacks

with no basis and no constructive purpose

>
> > > > > > > You keep showing us with every post.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Never denied it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Do you really keep throwing me these bits that PROVE my assertions
> > > > > > about your idiocity, or is it truly just a lapse of situational
> > > > > > awareness on your part?
> > > > >
> > > > > neither but then you cut out the context
> > > > >
> > > > > since you have cut ti out and I am lazy I will not discuss what I have
> > > > > admitted to there
> > > >
> > > Too late, Markie.
> >
> > not at all
> >
> > >
> > > You've admitted to lying, and anyone who has been following this
> > > has already seen it. Anyone who doubts MY word can just step back
> > > throught he archives and see YOURS!
> >
> > he archives?
> >
> > losing it are we Stevie

losing it stevie

> >
> > >
> > > There's nothing for ME to have to do!
> >
> > no you can scertainly continue you hate filled attacks
>
> There's no hate here, Markie.

yes there is

>
> If there was, you wouldn't be reading these lines.

losing it stevie

>
> > > And there's my point. You ahve no idea what's going on. Without
> > > re-copying every line of ever post ad-nauseum, you're lost.
> >
> > again with ahve
> >
> > No Stevie I don't bother memorizing you #####, I do have better things
> > but you clearly don't
>
> You mean like how you created another Google account just to get
> around posting limits...?!?!

yea you make a guy do stuff like to just to keep up with you

>
> BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! !

whining baby

>
> > > > I have made a conclusion logicaly following form your statements over
> > > > the last 7 years, no forgery there
> > >
> > > No, YOU chose the words and then presumed to state them as if I had
> > > said them.
> >
> > Yes exactly what I have done, after due and carfull consdieration of
> > posts over the past seven years
>
> Then you admit YOU are the one "forging" words.

nope

I admit to making and stating conclusions supported by eveidence

>
> > > > > LiarLiar Pants on fire A G A I N! ! !
> > > >
> > > > my pants are not on fire
> > >
> > > I am sure your "partner" wished otherwise.
> >
> > I just checked no she does not
> >
> > another lie on your your part
>
> You're calling him "she" now...?!?!

she happens to be female My partner could have been male sure did not
work out that way

>
> Make it easier to kiss "her" good night, does it...???

no it does not

I know you can't understand but other than for purposes of having kids,
and using pronouns, I realy don't about the gender of my partner,
merely my feeling for them.

That people can decide (homo or hetero) based solely on gender who
theywill love has always rather disgusted me when I think about it

>
> > > > No what I would not, one of us would be dead if I tried to live in your
> > > > neighboorhood
> > >
> > > Yes, you would be.
> >
> > maybe. maybe you would be dead or perhaps both of us
>
> Nope.

yep i got it right

only a fool assumes he knows the outcome of a fight

>
> > > There's a great many things I love about the South.
> >
> > that they are barbariains?
>
> Nope.

you seem to be

>
> I left the barbarians when I moved South.

well we yankees rarely threaten to kill people over their postions on
Morse code

>
> > > One is the sense of community, even when you're not in yours.
> > > Drive down Southern roads, especially in TN, AR, MS and AL. Folks in
> > > opposing traffic wave...with all five fingers.
> > >
> > > And they don't long suffer perverts or idiots.
> >
> > gues you have never been to memphis or atlanta, or certainly not to New
> > Orleans now there is a wild town
>
> I've been to all of them...

and you were not apying attenion or you kept your blinders on

>
> None of them match New York, Boston, etc.

New york has Nothing on New Orleans, Only SanFran can begin to match it

>
> > > > > > > You don't..
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I certainly don't and I don't have to express myself as you wish
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Be my guest.
> > > > >
> > > > then shut up and let me get on with it
> > >
> > > I haven't once stopped you.
> >
> > you try
>
> Nope.

you try make demand after demand

>
> > you have not suceeded
>
> I have succeeded in rubbing your nose in YOUR silliness.

nope

>
> > > The only one stopping you is yourself.
> >
> > there is certainly a lie
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> > > > > > > I just grew past YOUR level about the...oh...fourth grade.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no you have not haven't even got near my level today I am a more honest
> > > > > > > toleratant forgiving open minded than you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're a documented liar, Markie. On a federal felony level.
> > > > >
> > > > prove it
> > >
> > > You already did it...
> >
> > no I haven't.
>
> Sure you have. Witness:
>

> > > Every post where you said "I am a Colonel in the US Army Chemical
> > > Corps"
> >
> > then turn me in
>
> Why?
>
> So you could get to go to Levenworth where you'd live out your
> greatest fantsies yet?

becuase you already tried and failed to convince anyone I had done
anything wrong

You posted of your efforts back then

>
> > > > you are an documented felon yourself
> > > >
> > > > One shot one kill even in illiopolis
> > >
> > > Tsk, tsk, tsk, Markie.
> >
> > tsk tsk yourself
> >
> > >
> > > Out of context again.
> >
> > no in perfect context
>
> Why do you insist on lying in public, Mark.

that isn't a lie

you said "One shot one kill even in illiopolis"

>
> > > And yet another lie.
> >
> > nope the truth
>
> Mark Morgan does not know the truth.

yes I do

one has to know the truth in order to lie

>
> > > > > > Not that any of these
> > > > > > things are hard with you as the standard rather like being more in
> > > > > > foavor of abolition than a southern planter in 1860 (or even 1960 as I
> > > > > > typed breifly before correcting it)
> > > > >
> > > > > You should have kept right on correcting "breifly", Mark.

> > > >
> > > > IYO
> > >
> > > Nope. In fact.
> >
> > Nope IYO
>
> Nope. In fact.

nope
no facts on your part

>
> Here...let me slow down for you:
>
> B R I E F L Y
>
> Did you get it that time, Markie?

so what does anyone care when you bash my spelling again

>
> > > > > And I am trying to figure out where you think you're going with
> > > > > the "southern planter" routine.
> > > > >
> > > > then you are stupid
> > >
> > > Nope. Just confused by your irrational "logic" and misplaced
> > > anger.
> >
> > neither I'd laugh at you if I were not a better man than that
>
> But you're not, so go right ahead and have it out!

I am a better man than you

>
> > you can't figure it then don't bother trying
>
> How can you "figure out" that which is irrational?

good question go off and consider it awhile

>
> > > > > Don't remember where I was born and raised, do ya?
> > > > >
> > > > Yes I do and that is why I choose that metaphor
> > >
> > > Thee was no metaphor there, Markie. (You obviously don't know the
> > > definition of "metaphor"), because nothing you said addressed where I
> > > am from.
> >
> > sure did adress where you are from admittly it would better if you

> > lived futher south but nothing is perfect
>
> Still not right.

still was right

>
> Try again.
>
> You don't even have to get the city right, Markie...Just the
> state.

no mention of any city and no need to mention any city

>
> > > > Stevism
> > >
> > > That's not an adjective.
> >
> > it is now
>
> No it's not. Try again.

yep it is

another newsflash for you

English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed

>
> > > Sheesh, "boyo", you sure are big on using words that you don't
> > > know the definition of tonight, aren't you...?!?!
> >
> > gues the Boyo must be getting to you
>
> Nope. Just throwing it back at ya, since you insist like actiong
> like a little boy.

actiong?

>
> BTW..Do Hukman's HAVE little boys?
>
> > > > admitt to the efforts you take boyo
> > >
> > > It's not effort, Mark.
> >
> > lie
>
> Nope. Not much effort needed. You provide almost all of the
> material!

but you supply the twisted mind

>
> > > Like I said, YOU make this all too easy!
> > >
> > > > > But you being an inattentive idiot sure helps.
> > >
> > > See...I ahd it right the first time!
> >
> > ahd it right indeed could not have said it better myself
>
> Probably not, Mark.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1438. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:40:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121755255.586775.127400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112796

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Like calling me "obsessed", but then creating an whole extra
> > > Google account just so you can get around their posting limit.
> >
> > it just shows the depth of your obsession with me that to repsond
> > mostly to your #### filled posts I need to do that
>
> Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?

you have a different service provider

>
> Huge snip. Nothing new there.
>
> > > It's enough to make your claimed income pale by more than half.
> >
> > you may well so I have what I need and what I want I basicaly able to
> > almost retire and at 41 that is good enough for me
>
> So they will let you on state aid at that age?

no sate aid needed

and if I were my age would not be an issue

>
> > > Even at the "lowest step" as a Nurse.
> >
> > and you have to labour for it too
>
> And I "labour" well.

and I don't have to

>
> > my work consists of looking at text and pictures when I feel like it,
> > talking on the phone offering advice, don't have to even get dressed to
> > work
>
> PORNO PHONE SEX! I KNEW IT!

nope sex obsessed moron

>
> > > > I do intend to offend you for example, but I don't care that in many I
> > > > offend folks by looking at the world deffeently, and I don't try to
> > > > confuse anyone, but if they can't follow then they should bother to try
> > > >
> > > You're just like those Islamic insurgents in Baghdad...They claim
> > > it's OK to kill everyone around an intended target since the means
> > > justifies the ends, regardless of gender, age or infirmity.
> > >
> > no you are the terrorist. I harm no one not even you, and yet you can't
> > abide it
>
> You do "harm" me, Markie.

not at all

>
> You lie about Amateur Radio.

nope

>
> You lie about the Armed Forces.

at times

>
> You lie about being threatened.

not at all

One shot one kill even in illiopolis

>
> You lie about your lying.

nope

>
> And I consider lying to be harmful.

and you are simply wrong

>
> > I say it isn't good to kill anyone and certainly not to threten them
> > either
> >
> > You claim it is ok to kill people you don't like
>
> I've never said that, Markie.

One shot one kill even in illiopolis

you have indeed

>
> > > You can argue the semantics all yo care to, Markie, but I've never
> > > ran into anyone who was as incompetent in Morse as you are with a
> > > keyboard.
> >
> > then you were lying when you said you had
>
> I didn't say I did.

sure did

>
> > that is all you had to say Boyo
>
> More of your "forgery", Boyo.

nope more of your leting yuorself get tengled in your stories

>
> > > > > > > > > That's why I don't bother to read his stuff anymore. Too little
> > > > > > > > > signal buried in too much noise, most of it of his own making.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > as is your right
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Missed the point again.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > nope I got his point just disgree with it, haven't seen yours yet
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Here it is.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're an irresponsible, immature, lying punk.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > that is a point?
> > > > > >
> > > > > A big one. A valid one.
> > >
> > > neither big nor valid
>
> You are irresponsible. A "busnissman" and "veteran" wouldn't lie
> like you do.

no a busnessman would lie even bigger I am strickly small time in that
department ask the folks at Enron

I am responible you are the one that claim you are allowed to endanger
folks with accepting responiblity for your action

You take no responiblity for your actions

>
> You are immature. The flagrant profanity witnessess.

you are ignorant of the world

>
> Add the two up = punk.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1439. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:44:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121755449.540656.34690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112797

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > >That's not what you told me during while you were biting the pillow last
> > > > > > >night hun.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > - Hide quoted text -
> > > > > >
> > > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > > NO I DONT....I DON'T LIKE EITHER ONE.
> > > > > > > TODD
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > #### YOU YOU MOTHER ####ING ##########, I HOPE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY
> > > > > > ### BOYFRIENDS ON HERE EAT #### AND DIE!!! I HOPE YOU #### ROTTEN IN
> > > > > > #### YOU ####ING ########## MOTHER########!!!!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not bad, Todd, but not up to your own standard! Not quite 33%
> > > > > profantities, but hey, you've not been this drunk in a long time, have
> > > > > you?
> > > > >
> > > > > Guess it's all the money you've been able to save by not forking
> > > > > it over to Consolidated for broadband, eh...???
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > bully and bigot
> > >
> > > Yes, Mark, he is.
> >
> > nope you are the bully and bigot
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > Todd is more than a bit homophobic
>
> No...he's a "homophile", Markie.

nope he has issues other the subject Homophobic is the word

and you enage in gay bashing when you say he is homophilic

>
> > you are lying again Never said he was a bully or a bigot
>
> Sure you did. It was right there in the last post.

I said you were stevie not Todd

>
> Now you're lying about stuff that the ink hasn't even dried on
> yet!

no ink at all

> > >
> > > But don't fret! You're catching up!
> >
> > ok go take your meds, your psych meds
>
> Sorry Markie. Clean URDS here. But I bet YOURS lights up like a
> Christmas tree.

how much? and how do I collect?

more libel that

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1440. more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:48:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121755718.626002.129050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112798

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Another "Markie"...Trying to "diss" me with the very same
> > > > > gang-raped English he claims he doesn't use!
> > > >
> > > > just using the same sort of english you do. gues you are admiting to
> > > > gang raping english then
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > You're just a liar, Markie.
> >
> > as are you
>
> Nope.

yes you are
>
>
> > > You'll always be second place...more like 3rd or 4th.
> >
> > to what
>
> To everything.

never to you

>
> You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor

nope more Stevie lies

You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume

Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men

>
> > > > But i certainly don't gang rape english or anything else being only one
> > > > person
> > >
> > > Sure you do. Your abuse of the language is horrific.

> >
> > not possible I am one personson gang mean at least 2 or more
>
> Not for people who think they are better than any two other
> people, Mark.

never said I was better than any 2 people

just better than you

which isn't hard

>
> > > > > Huge snip of tit-for-tat Markie Dreck
> > > > >
> > > > > more doging the point you mean
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> Nope. Same tit-for-tat one word answers from you for the most
> part.

all that is needed

>
> > > More snipping your tit-for-tat.
> > >
> > > > > > > > I DID say YOU have some DNA anomolies that make YOUR genetic
> > > > > > > > history dubious. YOU then validated my assumption by claiming to be a
> > > > > > > > Hukman.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > nope typos do not affect DNA if you think so please turn in your LPN
> > > > > > > > license
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But you're not human. You're a Hukman. You said so yourself.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep. You said it.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > Yep...Said you're Hukman.

nope

>
> Were you lying them or lying now?

neither

>
> > > > > > > Must be a "pagan" thing.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > more #### from you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > More profanity from you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > sure thing but your #### is still ####
> > > >
> > > > Now you're a proctologist? A pathologist?
> > >
> > neither but your #### is still ####
>
> How can you tell?

becuase I know shit is shit

>
> If you're not a proctologist or pathologist, how did you know?

i know even if you might happen to think your shit isn't shit it still
is

>
> Taste test...?!?!

nope
>
> > > > > > Perhaps if you understood English a bit better...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > I understand it and I understand your #### too
> > > >
> > > > No, Mark...no you don't.
> > >
> > yes I did
>
> You NEVER "did"...

I always have understood you for what you are

A coward, a Bully, a Bigot
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1441. yet more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 06:59:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121756350.404909.44770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112799

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No adult would be so obsessive about profanities
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure they would.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no adult would
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure they would.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope
> > > >
> > > Already proven, Mark...Just look around you.
> > >
> > > Pick up the daily paper and show me where thye use profanity like
> > > yours in "common" conversation.
> >
> > never said it was in the papers
>
> But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
> profanity.

right they are not

>
> So where is it?

It is all around you just don't listen

>
> > > > > How many newscasters talk the way you talk on camera?
> > > > >
> > > > this isn't a newcast on camera
> > >
> > > But isn't a newscast people talking to people?
> >
> > not a conversation it is as you point out a broadcast
>
> But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
```

> profanity.

no they are not

>
> So where is it?

all around you
profanity and vulgar are generaly verbal and done in person mostly due
to the latent effects of Victorian morals

>
> > > > > How many grade school teachers teach that kind of language?
> > > >
> > > > not a grade school either or you constant bulling would be stoped
> > >
> > > I'm not "bulling". And if your suggestion that profanity is such
> > > a common and accepted way of expression, why is it that there's not one
> > > single day of classtime from Kindergarten to Graduation addressing
> > > "Proper Profanity for Public Pontification"...?!?!
> >
> > becuase plenty of people are stuck prigs no doubtunable to deal the
> > turth
>
> (I'd try to get you to interpret that into English for me.....)
>
> But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
> profanity.

and they are not

>
> So where is it?

all around you

>
> > > > > In what business schools is your prefered adjectives encouraged?
> > > > >
> > > > > not a busness school either
> > > >
> > > > Business schools are not people talking to people?
> > >
> > > you point?
> >
> > But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
> > profanity.

right they are not

they certianly don't waste hours of their time objecting to it as you
do

>
> So where is it?

all around you
>
> > > > > And when was the last time any member of the clergy worth his or
> > > > > her salt screamed out "PRAISE ####ING JESUS! WHAT A MOTHER####ER OF A
> > > > > DUDE!"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > not a church
> > > >
> > > Are church's not people talking to people? If profanity is so
> > > "OK", why don't we have Jesus telling the Roman's to "get ####ed" as
> > > they nail him to the cross?
> > >
> > that is theological question I really woudn't care
>
> It has nothing to do with theology.

it sure is

personal I would have said something get fucked to Pilate

>
> YOU insist it's a common-conversation technique.

No I don't

I do insit that NO adult wouldspend the time you do prusing the issue

Vulgarity is relitively common in some circles

Bullshit is something I hear everydau that I do go out and about

Shit is almost as common

Fuck I used to hear a lot but it seems out of fashion

>
> I've given you several very specific examples of why you're wrong.

no you have not

not a one
>
> > > > > > > No ADULT would be so obsessive about acting so immature...Like you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > wasn't the subject the subject is your obseesion with profanity
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's YOUR obsession with USING it in a public forum?
> > > > >
> > > > > not obsessed at all
> > > >
> > > Sure you are.
> > >

> > not at all more of your absurd pretention
>
> But you insist "adults" aren't "obsessed" with NOT using
> profanity.

becuase they are not

>
> So where is it?

all around you

>
> > > > > Why can't you act like the adult you profess to be?
> > > >
> > > > I do. you are the one acting like a child
> > >
> > > A child telling an adult not to swear...Now THERE'S a new one!
> >
> > no it isn't
> >
> > I am not telling you not to swear nor I am a child
>
> You ARE a child. An very old, fat child.

you are fat not I

>
> > you are trying to browbeat other in doing things your way
>
> If I were to browbeat you, Markie, I'd probably get a Community
> Beautification Award.

nope

>
> > > > > > > Stop the profanities. Stop the vulgarities. Learn to spell.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > #### you your a sack of ####, and dylexics untie
> > > > >
> > > > > You're untied, alright...
> > > >
> > > > nope but then you can't undersatnd anything outside your box
> > >
> > > My "box" is civilized society.
> >
> > as I have said if you are civilized then I want no part of it
>
> Because you're lazy. Being a good, responsible citizen takes some
> work.

as i said if you are good i want no part of it

>
> Obviously you're not up to the task.

maybe maybe not but I don't want to be like you

>
> > > What's yours?
> >
> > I am not in a box (at least none that I can see and if I see one I work
> > on stepping outside of it), I stand outside your box for certain
>
> "...for certain..." what?

end of sentence

I stand outside your box for certain

complete thought
>
> > > > > Or maybe just "unglued"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely. Withness :
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> Yep. Witness:

nope

>
> > > > > BTW #### you, your a sack of #### and dylexics untie
> > > > >
> > > > > if a bully would drop dead that would be fine as well
> > > >
> > > > Hope you have your will made out in that case.
> > >
> > > it isn't my will that would be needed
> >
> Yes, it would. Or was THAT a "thinly veiled" threat, Mark?

Not a theart just expressing the wish you drop dead, which I do wish
forin that case you need a will

Although of course I do have one everyone realy should

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1442. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 13:28:32 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <e5spd1dqcea1ul96eoppl22bgb006afdpe@4ax.com>
Source record: 112800

On 15 Jul 2005 13:07:17 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Now, if there were so "many" amateur radio operators "actually
> trained in some disaster relief," WHY would the ARRL be offering
> those "training classes" in same?

Because that is one of the ways that amateur radio operators receive
that training, of course.

The Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses are part of the
league's ARRL Certification and Continuing Education program. This
particular part of the program is being funded in part by a grant from
the United Technologies Corporation (UTC), through which the $45
registration fee paid upon enrollment is reimbursed to students who
complete the course requirements and are "Passed" within the 8-week
course period.

Of course, ARRL isn't the only organization offering training
opportunities that hams take advantage of. The American Red Cross
does, too. I've taken Introduction To Disaster, and Mass Care.

So does FEMA. Emergency Response To Terrorism was mandatory for me due
to my status as a communications volunteer with the Erie County (NY)
SMART team (Specialized Medical Assistance Response Team, the disaster
response arm of the county health Department).

So do emergency management organizations at the state level, which is
how I got certificated on the Incident Command system.

>>> The odd thing is that while we've gotten a breakdown on the number of
>>> Ham radio operators as compared to the population of the US (as if every
>>> person in the US is going to have traffic for every Ham op) we now go in
>>> complete reverse - miraculously every CB rig is going to be pressed into
>>> service in time of need. 20 million radios ready to save life and
>>> property.
>>>
>>> This whole part of the argument is weird and borders on irrelevant.
>
> Quite true! :-)

Well...the "wierd" part maybe, but not the "irrelevant" part. In the
aftermath of a real disaster, *any* device or system that is capable
of getting information from Point A to Point B is potentially useful,
which includes not only ham and CB rigs, but also FRS, GMRS, MURS,
sundry Part 15 devices, as well as commercial two-way systems used for
business and public safety on a daily basis.

Mind you, I say that the devices or systems are potentially useful. That says nothing about the operator(s) thereof, since that is a matter that depends on ability and training.

> Especially the part where - as INPLIED - that amateur radio
> operators are (miraculously) ALL capable of actual emergency
> communications and ready (at a moment's notice) to "save lives
> and property."

Undoubtedly, many are, and many aren't. The point that is being missed here is that the percentage of operators who are properly trained is higher among hams than it is among operators in other radio services such as CB, MURS, GMRS, FRS, etc.

This is largely because emergency management authorities at the Federal, state, and local level have incorporated amateur radio into their SOPs, SOGs, and IPs and thus training opportunities for the operators have been made available - and taken advantage of - whereas those other radio services have NOT been incorporated into disaster planning...with the result that fewer operators, percentage-wise, in those radio services have any formal training at all.

> All of that intimation is very nice, warm, and fuzzy self-promotion
> for what is basically a HOBBY pursuit, a recreation, something done
> by individuals for their own pleasure. Tall Tales of derring-do
> (and usually of daring doo-doo).

While it may appear that way to someone on the outside looking in, the fact is that people become licensed in the Amateur radio Service for a variety of reasons. For some it is, indeed, a hobby or recreation. For others, it is an elegant solution to the need for reliable personal communications. For still others, it is a means of giving back something to the communities in which we live, and an opportunity to be able to do something when the proverbial merde hits the proverbial ventillateur, just as people do when they become volunteer firemen or EMT/paramedics or auxiliary police.

When I took ICS-100 the instructor was a deputy sheriff with considerable practical experience in law enforcement and as a first responder in hazmat and mass casualty incidents. During this course, he happened to remark that communications is invariably the first thing that breaks down when an incident starts to get out of control or when the scope and magnitude of an incident has overwhelmed available resources.

Which is where we hams come into the picture.

> Okay, now YOU show the rest of us how many radio amateurs are
> "trained" in emergency communications.
>
> Please, NO more tall tales of derring-doo-doo as federally-
> authorized radio AMATEURS, implied or otherwise. Be specific.
>
> When push comes to shove, you CANNOT show those numbers of radio

> amateurs. You are bluffing your way through this non-argument.

While I'd imagine ARRL has numbers on how many hams have completed the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses, in general the agencies that offer training opportunities don't keep track of things to that degree of detail.

However, those of us who are involved with disaster relief, emergency response, Red Cross, ARES/RACES, and other agencies with similiar missions (as opposed to those on the outside looking in) and who have received appropriate training, can see with our own eyes how many hams there are in the classes when we go to receive our own training in ICS, ERT, CERT, the Red Cross courses...and of course ALL of the folks who've completed the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses are hams.

When I took Introduction to Disaster, under the auspices of the Greater Buffalo chapter of American Red Cross, the *entire* class was made up of hams from Erie County ARES/RACES. Ditto for the Mass Care class that followed a few weeks later.

I took ICS and ERT as part of Erie County SMART and completely separate from ARES/RACES - but I do know that the ARES/RACES guys all took at least ICS-100 (awareness level), most also took ICS-200 (operations level) and many went right on through the whole gamut (to Incident management level training).

(Note for the uninitiated, there are five levels: Awareness, Operations, Technician, Specialist, Incident Management. This is a standard specified by OSHA.)

At least a dozen hams from the club I belong to have taken the CERT training course...just one club out of several in the area whose members also participated.

Nobody at any of these courses has said anything about being a CB operator, or MURS, or GMRS, etc.

> All that is left is an estimation of the various radios used in
> the various radio services in the USA...by the Electronic
> Industries Association, the Census Bureau, Department of the
> Interior, Department of Transportaion, NTIA, etc., etc.

The number of radios does not equate to the number of properly trained operators that are available to operate them.

> Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
> make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal
> authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways
> and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB
> sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or
> much of anything else for "statistics."

As the old saying goes, "Statistics are for losers."

All the proof anyone could really need of modified and illegal CB rigs is to fire up a receiver and listen between 26 MHz and 28 MHz.

> Neither can you "prove" that licensed amateur radio operators
> are ALL or even partly "trained in emergency communications" or
> are automatically some kind of "life savers."

Sure I can. I myself am a ham and I am trained in emergency communications, and other skill sets necessary to disaster relief, and I know for a fact that so are many other hams in my area. Again, you're on the outside looking in. Get back to me when you yourself have taken the courses on Incident Command, Emergency Response To Terrorism, Mass Care, Community Emergency Response Team, Introduction To Disaster, and/or the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses that the ARRL offers.

Of course, in the latter case you'll need a ham license first. I know you don't have one. Don't feel bad. Most of the operators of those millions of CB transceivers you mentioned don't either.

John Kasupski, KC2HMZ, Tonawanda, New York
President, Amateur Radio Association of the Tonawandas
Co-Coordinator, ARATS Emergency Communications Team
Member, Erie County ARES/RACES
Erie County SMART Volunteer
ARRL WNY Public Information Officer

1443. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:08:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121782127.805473.25290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112801

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Keep Using Profanity In The Subject Lines?
> > >
> > > several reasons
> > >
> > > One it offends you
> >
> > No...It offends YOU. It's a black mark on you, Markie...Not me.
>
> It does not offend me in the slightest
>
> you must realy be losing it

Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.

Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

1444. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:11:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121782273.273951.244880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112802

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > i may well yet take part in such a suit
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You'll do no such thing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I might not but then I might
> > > > >
> > > > No...you won't.
> > > >
> > > I hope I don't have to
> > >
> > You won't.
>
> then you agree My side on code tesing will win?

There's nothing to discuss about "your" side.

Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.

Either way, you lose.

Steve, K4YZ

1445. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:13:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121782436.739338.220530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112803

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > you can't seem to tell boy from girl
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > more lies
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > yep either in calling tod a girl or in saying I have gender id problems
> > > > or
> > >
> > You do.
> >
> nope
> >
> > >
> > You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
> > sleeping with.
> >
> I know full well, any consenting person I wish too

Consenting or not, deviance is deviance.

And you're a deviant.

A feeble minded one at that.

Steve, K4YZ

1446. Re: shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:18:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121782694.569388.42540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112804

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > lying sack of ####
> > > > >
> > > > > Whoa...THAT was meaningful.
> > > >
> > > > enough that you have to alter it
> > >
> > > I didn't alter it...it's still there...I just masked the stench.
> >
> > lying again you altered it

Nope. Just went back a post and checked. It's still there. Just
the way your feeble mind wrote it.

> > > > And I can see that in addition to not having a really good grasp
> > > > on English, you have a poor understanding of the concept of sarcasm.
> > >
> > > I understand it, Indeed there seem to veiw I don't understand better
> > > than you
> > >
> > > Go ahead laugh
> >
> > It's easy to when you make such a farce out of it!
> >
> > farce is at least humor

Not always, Mark.

> > It's yours to change, Markie. You're the one who keeps up with
> > the profanities.
> >
> > your tone never changes as dangerous as it is to use the word never it
> > seems to fit

A feeble mind is a feeble mind, Mark.

You've proven that.

Steve, K4YZ

1447. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:21:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121782868.902726.45460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112805

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
> > profanity.
>
> right they are not

Then why isn't it in the papaers? The six o'clock news? Sunday
sermon?

> > So where is it?
>
> It is all around you just don't listen

I must be hanging out in the wrong circles. I hear an occassional
"damn" and that's about it.

You're feeble minded, Mark. A coward who won't come join the rest
of us. Guess that's why you moved so far away from the rest of
society.

Steve, K4YZ

1448. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:24:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121783042.648672.216330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112806

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed

But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed punk like you redefine that.

Steve, K4YZ

1449. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:28:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121783293.034810.222040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112807

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor
>
> nope more Stevie lies
>
> You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
>
> Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men

Nope.

An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
English language well.

> > > > > I understand it and I understand your #### too
> > > >
> > > > No, Mark...no you don't.
> > >
> > > yes I did
> >
> > You NEVER "did"...
>
> I always have understood you for what you are
>
> A coward, a Bully, a Bigot

Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
all of us get with YOUR program.

Ain't happening.

Steve, K4YZ

1450. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:33:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121783637.361110.185450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112808

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > nope you are the bully and bigot
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Nope. Your posts prove it. To scared to be a real man.
Demanding that the rest of society get on your program instead of the
other way around.

> > > Todd is more than a bit homophobic
> >
> > No...he's a "homophile", Markie.
>
> nope he has issues other the subject Homophobic is the word

Nope. Homophile.

> and you enage in gay bashing when you say he is homophilic

What's "homophilic"...?!?!

> > > you are lying again Never said he was a bully or a bigot
> >
> > Sure you did. It was right there in the last post.
>
> I said you were stevie not Todd

Not the way you wrote it, Marke.

See what I mean about your deficits with the written word?

That's why you have a hard time making yourself understood.

Steve, K4YZ

1451. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 14:38:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121783937.220405.67350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112809

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Like calling me "obsessed", but then creating an whole extra
> > > > Google account just so you can get around their posting limit.
> > > >
> > > it just shows the depth of your obsession with me that to repsond
> > > mostly to your #### filled posts I need to do that
> > >
> > Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?
> >
> you have a different service provider

It doesn't matter. Google doesn't care HOW you get to it.

> > You are irresponsible. A "busnissman" and "veteran" wouldn't lie
> > like you do.
> >
> no a busnessman would lie even bigger I am strickly small time in that
> department ask the folks at Enron

You are "small time" im more ways than you realize, Markie.

> I am responible you are the one that claim you are allowed to endanger
> folks with accepting responiblity for your action

I really am trying to figure out what you were trying to say, but
it fell flat on it's face.

> You take no responiblity for your actions

Sure I do. Every day.

> > You are immature. The flagrant profanity witnessess.
> >
> you are ignorant of the world

Hardly. I deal with the world everyday.

Unlilke you who tried to get as far north as he could to run away
from the world.

> > Add the two up = punk.
> >
> nope

Yep. Unquestionably.

Steve, K4YZ

1452. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 15:47:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121788056.951983.324190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112810

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Keep Using Profanity In The Subject Lines?
> > > >
> > > > several reasons
> > > >
> > > > One it offends you
> > >
> > > No...It offends YOU. It's a black mark on you, Markie...Not me.
> >
> > It does not offend me in the slightest
> >
> > you must realy be losing it
>
> Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.

not at all

as I said does not offend me in the slightest

>
> Coward.

prehaps
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1453. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 15:49:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121788146.719703.80790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112811

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > i may well yet take part in such a suit
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You'll do no such thing.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I might not but then I might
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No...you won't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I hope I don't have to
> > > > > >
> > > > > You won't.
> > > > >
> > > > then you agree My side on code tesing will win?
> > >
> > There's nothing to discuss about "your" side.

right you have nothing to offer
>
> Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.

not feeble minded

no resmeblence
>
> Either way, you lose.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1454. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 15:49:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121788167.888556.33010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112812

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Your "I'll sue using ADA!" rants were classics!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > i may well yet take part in such a suit
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You'll do no such thing.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I might not but then I might
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No...you won't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I hope I don't have to
> > > > > >
> > > > > You won't.
> > > > >
> > > > then you agree My side on code tesing will win?
> > > >
> > > There's nothing to discuss about "your" side.

right you have nothing to offer
>
> Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.

not feeble minded

no resmeblence
>
> Either way, you lose.

I can't lose
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1455. gay bashing lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 15:50:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121788256.414213.205060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112813

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Seems to me it's YOU with the gender identification problems, Mark.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > you can't seem to tell boy from girl
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > more lies
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > yep either in calling tod a girl or in saying I have gender id problems
> > > > or
> > >
> > > You do.
> >
> > nope
> >
> > > You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
> > > sleeping with.
> >
> > I know full well, any consenting person I wish too
>

> Consenting or not, deviance is deviance.

more gay bashing lies
>
> And you're a deviant.

not according the mebers of the AMA

>
> A feeble minded one at that.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1456. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 15:53:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121788422.236525.248420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112814

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
> > > profanity.
> >
> > right they are not
>
> Then why isn't it in the papaers? The six o'clock news? Sunday
> sermon?

explained that already
>
> > > So where is it?
> >
> > It is all around you just don't listen
>
> I must be hanging out in the wrong circles. I hear an occassional
> "damn" and that's about it.

well there you go,

also it have something to the stuff in your ears

Or has indeed morese code made you deaf
>
> You're feeble minded, Mark. A coward who won't come join the rest
> of us. Guess that's why you moved so far away from the rest of
> society.

your are feeble minded Stevie

If you are there with your holier than thou shit not want to jion you

indeed first thing you got right in ages

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1457. more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 15:56:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121788571.329416.281380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112815

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
>
> But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> punk like you redefine that.

you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
langauage. the users of it do

The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
goin on
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1458. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 16:00:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121788852.840515.74640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112816

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor
> >
> > nope more Stevie lies
> >
> > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
> >
> > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
>
> Nope.

you seem preopied with it
>
> An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> English language well.

I understand it quite

But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
Radio newsgroup
>
> > > > > > I understand it and I understand your #### too
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, Mark...no you don't.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes I did
> > > >
> > > > You NEVER "did"...
> >
> > I always have understood you for what you are
> >
> > A coward, a Bully, a Bigot
>
> Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> all of us get with YOUR program.

Projecting again

I am a man

you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant

you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself

no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights

what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)

>
> Ain't happening.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1459. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 16:04:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121789068.862144.243830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112817

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > nope you are the bully and bigot
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep
>
> Nope. Your posts prove it. To scared to be a real man.
> Demanding that the rest of society get on your program instead of the
> other way around.

bully and bigot

not demanding society do anything,

only demanding you stop speading hate and fear

society program?

>
> > > > > Todd is more than a bit homophobic
> > > >
> > > > No...he's a "homophile", Markie.
> > >
> > > nope he has issues other the subject Homophobic is the word
>
> Nope. Homophile.

and you dare deny being a bigot

>
> > and you enage in gay bashing when you say he is homophilic
>
> What's "homophilic"...?!?!

don't you know

>
> > > > > you are lying again Never said he was a bully or a bigot
> > > >
> > > > Sure you did. It was right there in the last post.
> > >
> > > I said you were stevie not Todd
>

> Not the way you wrote it, Marke.

more lies steve

>
> See what I mean about your deficits with the written word?

look in a mirror at your twist and sick mind

>
> That's why you have a hard time making yourself understood.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1460. stevie cheats on bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 16:06:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121789163.058773.172170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112818

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > nope you are the bully and bigot
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep
>
> Nope. Your posts prove it. To scared to be a real man.
> Demanding that the rest of society get on your program instead of the
> other way around.

bully and bigot

not demanding society do anything,

only demanding you stop speading hate and fear

society program?

>
> > > > > Todd is more than a bit homophobic
> > > >
> > > > No...he's a "homophile", Markie.
> > >
> > > nope he has issues other the subject Homophobic is the word
>
> Nope. Homophile.

and you dare deny being a bigot

>
> > and you enage in gay bashing when you say he is homophilic
>
> What's "homophilic"...?!?!

don't you know

>
> > > > > you are lying again Never said he was a bully or a bigot
> > > >
> > > > Sure you did. It was right there in the last post.
> > >
> > > I said you were stevie not Todd
>

> Not the way you wrote it, Marke.

more lies steve

>
> See what I mean about your deficits with the written word?

look in a mirror at your twist and sick mind

>
> That's why you have a hard time making yourself understood.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

BTW

> Sorry Markie. Clean URDS here. But I bet YOURS lights up like a
> Christmas tree.

how much? and how do I collect?

I guess RoberSon looks pretty good

1461. stevie: Feeble Minded fool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 16:10:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121789404.450673.300660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112819

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Like calling me "obsessed", but then creating an whole extra
> > > > > Google account just so you can get around their posting limit.
> > > >
> > > > it just shows the depth of your obsession with me that to repsond
> > > > mostly to your #### filled posts I need to do that
> > >
> > > Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?
> >
> > you have a different service provider
>
> It doesn't matter. Google doesn't care HOW you get to it.

gigo

>
> > > You are irresponsible. A "busnissman" and "veteran" wouldn't lie
> > > like you do.
> >
> > no a busnessman would lie even bigger I am strickly small time in that
> > department ask the folks at Enron
>
> You are "small time" im more ways than you realize, Markie.

but bigger than you will ever be

>
> > I am responible you are the one that claim you are allowed to endanger
> > folks with accepting responiblity for your action
>
> I really am trying to figure out what you were trying to say, but
> it fell flat on it's face.

then you are as the title indacted a Feeble minded fool

>
> > You take no responiblity for your actions
>
> Sure I do. Every day.
no you don't

>
> > > You are immature. The flagrant profanity witnessess.
> >
> > you are ignorant of the world
>
> Hardly. I deal with the world everyday.

yes you deal with it after a fashion but you are too stupid to
undersatnd much of it

>
> Unlilke you who tried to get as far north as he could to run away
> from the world.

you are a feeble minded fool

I could have moved to Canada, Canada is futher north than this
>
> > > Add the two up = punk.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. Unquestionably.

nope
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1462. ARRL Admits Mistakes in Regulation By Bandwidth Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 17:20:57 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121793657.529367.261800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

NEWINGTON, CT, Jul 19, 2005--Following considerable discussion and debate, the ARRL Board of Directors has approved a modified set of recommendations to regulate the use of amateur spectrum by emission bandwidth rather than by emission mode. Last April, the ARRL Executive Committee reached consensus on a set of regulation-by-bandwidth proposals to serve as the basis of an FCC Petition for Rule Making. Following additional fine tuning based on hundreds of comments from the amateur community, the Board formally adopted a further-modified plan at its July 15-16 meeting. The revised plan includes a stipulation that the League "will promptly undertake a procedure to establish a band plan to be utilized with the proposed subband allocation petition, and, until such time as that band plan is in place, the existing band plan will be in force." ARRL CEO David Sumner, K1ZZ, remarked after Board's 12-3 vote that improved band planning is critical to the success of the League's regulation-by-bandwidth proposals and will require the support of the amateur community at large.

"I think it's fair to say that the Board recognizes that regulation by bandwidth is not going to work without a spirit of cooperation among amateurs pursuing different interests," he said, "any more than current regulations would be adequate without a spirit of cooperation." As one example, Sumner pointed out that under the current rules, RTTY and data enthusiasts may, by rule, operate in the low end of the CW subbands. "They don't, because to do so would disrupt amateur CW," he said.

Sumner has discussed various facets of regulation-by-bandwidth and detailed the evolution of the ARRL Executive Committee's recommendations in his "It Seems to Us . . ." editorials in the September 2004, April 2005 and June 2005 issues of QST. He said that if the FCC ultimately implements the modified ARRL recommendations, there's no reason to believe that amateurs will operate right up to the absolute limit of what the FCC says they may, any more than they do now.

The regulation-by-bandwidth issue dominated the Board's second meeting of the year in Windsor, Connecticut. After a great deal of give and take among its members, the Board ultimately okayed raising the maximum bandwidth proposed for frequencies below 29 MHz from 3.0 kHz to 3.5 kHz. A provision permitting the continued use of double-sideband AM with bandwidth of up to 9 kHz was retained.

Significantly, the Board also agreed that maximum permitted bandwidth should be defined in terms of necessary rather than occupied bandwidth. In addition, the modified proposal removes the exception for

independent sideband (ISB) emissions--which by all reports are not used in the Amateur Service--and drops certain mode restrictions on Novice and Technician class operators.

The ARRL proposal would leave two important FCC rules unchanged. §97.307(a) says: "No amateur station transmission shall occupy more bandwidth than necessary for the information rate and emission type being transmitted, in accordance with good amateur practice." §97.101(a) reads: "In all respects not specifically covered by FCC Rules each amateur station must be operated in accordance with good engineering and good amateur practice."

Per the Board's motion, the ARRL Executive Committee will review the final rule making petition, which will be filed with the FCC at the Committee's discretion.

The Board began work on the bandwidth concept in 2002, and the League sought members' comments on specific concepts at several steps along the way. Many amateurs have expressed concern about interference between incompatible modes in the most popular HF bands. The EC's proposals take into account the ARRL's prior "Novice refarming" petition that includes expansion of some HF 'phone bands, incorporated in the FCC Notice of Proposed Rule Making in WT Docket 04-140.

In other action, the ARRL Board adopted a resolution to establish an ARRL VHF/UHF Advisory Committee (VUAC) to address contesting issues over a period of no more than three years. The new panel, to be comprised of "exceptionally qualified and recognized members of the VHF/UHF community," will seek input from VHF/UHF contesting "public," identify important issues and "seek the sense of the 'public'" on those issues.

The Board also adopted five strategies to include in the League's 2006 Operational Plan. These address improvements in the ARRL advocacy program and members-only features of the Web site, and review and analysis of ARRL programs.

ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, chaired this month's Board meeting. Radio Amateurs of Canada President Earle Smith, VE6NM, was a guest of the Board.

1463. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 18:47:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121798835.858275.158410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112821

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?
> > >
> > > you have a different service provider
> >
> > It doesn't matter. Google doesn't care HOW you get to it.
>
> gigo

You're right, but not for the same reason.

> > Unlilke you who tried to get as far north as he could to run away
> > from the world.
>
> you are a feeble minded fool

Nope.

> I could have moved to Canada, Canada is futher north than this

Nope. Canada doesn't allow the importation of garbage.

Steve, K4YZ

1464. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 18:49:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121798992.502065.298560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112822

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > nope you are the bully and bigot
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> > Nope. Your posts prove it. To scared to be a real man.
> > Demanding that the rest of society get on your program instead of the
> > other way around.
>
> bully and bigot
>
> not demanding society do anything,
>
> only demanding you stop speading hate and fear
>
> society program?

Yes, you are a bully and bigot.

Yes, YOU demand that society adapt to you rather than vice versa.

> > See what I mean about your deficits with the written word?
>
> look in a mirror at your twist and sick mind

Nope.

You're the functioanlly illiterate one.

> > That's why you have a hard time making yourself understood.
>
> nope

Yep.

Steve, K4YZ

1465. Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 18:59:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121799570.796505.207890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112823

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> > > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor
> > >
> > > nope more Stevie lies
> > >
> > > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
> > >
> > > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
> >
> > Nope.
>
> you seem preopied with it

"...preopied...? Someone who knew Ron Howard before he was on
Mayberry?

> > An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> > English language well.
>
> I understand it quite

No, you don't.
>
> But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> Radio newsgroup

That WOULD be you.

> > Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> > a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> > all of us get with YOUR program.
>
> Projecting again
>
> I am a man

No, you're not.

> you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant

Nope. You'e a liar. Everyone needs to know.

> you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself

Seems I've ben matching you one-for-one, alright, ergo your
assessment is in error.

> no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights

Sure you do.

> what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)

That the world adapt to Markie instead of vice versa.

Steve, K4YZ

1466. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 18:59:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121799584.994640.40700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112824

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?
> > > > >
> > > > > you have a different service provider
> > > >
> > > > It doesn't matter. Google doesn't care HOW you get to it.
> > >
> > > gigo
>
> You're right, but not for the same reason.

for exactly the right reason Your provider regulates what you post

>
> > > Unlilke you who tried to get as far north as he could to run away
> > > from the world.
> >
> > you are a feeble minded fool
>
> Nope.

afraid so
>
> > I could have moved to Canada, Canada is futher north than this
>
> Nope. Canada doesn't allow the importation of garbage.

Canada allow people in the country

which mean you would not have made

But what is up your ass becuase I live where I please

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1467. Mark Morgan: Enter Weak Minded Vulgarity or Profanity Here, Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 19:02:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121799727.015190.265350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112828

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > >
> > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > punk like you redefine that.
>
> you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> langauage. the users of it do

An adjective is still an adjective.

> The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> goin on

And what's YOUR job as an abuser of the language? To see just how
crappy you can abuse it and still get by?

1468. more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 19:04:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121799849.291429.216590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112826

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > nope you are the bully and bigot
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > yep
> > >
> > > Nope. Your posts prove it. To scared to be a real man.
> > > Demanding that the rest of society get on your program instead of the
> > > other way around.
> >
> > bully and bigot
> >
> > not demanding society do anything,
> >
> > only demanding you stop speading hate and fear
> >
> > society program?
>
> Yes, you are a bully and bigot.

you are the bully not trying to get you tyo do anything but leave me
and other alone

to allow folks to enjoy our freedom in peace

>
> Yes, YOU demand that society adapt to you rather than vice versa.

nope nothing of the sort

I don't ask anyone to do anything at all

>
> > > See what I mean about your deficits with the written word?
> >
> > look in a mirror at your twist and sick mind
>
> Nope.
>
> You're the functioanlly illiterate one.

not at all dysgraphic get it moron

> > > > That's why you have a hard time making yourself understood.
> > >
> > > nope
> >
> > Yep.

you undersatnd well enough when you want to

> Steve, K4YZ

1469. Mark Morgan: Enter Weak Minded Vulgarity or Profanity Here, Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 19:04:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121799849.741607.323160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112827

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> > feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.
>
> not feeble minded
>
> no resmeblence

Not only do you resemble it, you're teh poster boy for it!

Steve, K4YZ

1470. Mark Morgan: Enter Weak Minded Vulgarity or Profanity Here, Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 19:05:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121799953.280408.29430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112825

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
> > > > sleeping with.
> > >
> > > I know full well, any consenting person I wish too
> >
>
> > Consenting or not, deviance is deviance.
>
> more gay bashing lies
> >
> > And you're a deviant.
>
> not according the mebers of the AMA

The one's who suffer from the same deviance, no doubt.

1471. Mark Morgan: Enter Weak Mided Vulgarity or Profanity Here, Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 19:08:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121800086.398426.174750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112829

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.
>
> not at all

Absolutely. You relabel all posts with the same profanity
>
> as I said does not offend me in the slightest
>
> >
> > Coward.
>
> prehaps

No "prehaps"

You're a coward.

Steve, K4YZ

1472. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 19:21:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121800879.685123.166830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112830

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> > > > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor
> > > >
> > > > nope more Stevie lies
> > > >
> > > > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
> > > >
> > > > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > you seem preopied with it
>
> "...preopied...? Someone who knew Ron Howard before he was on
> Mayberry?
>
> > > An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> > > English language well.
> >
> > I understand it quite
>
> No, you don't.

yes i do understand

> >
> > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> > Radio newsgroup
>
> That WOULD be you.

lying sack of shit again

you started out first off your keyboard was calling me gay

>
> > > Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> > > a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> > > all of us get with YOUR program.
> >

> > Projecting again
> >
> > I am a man
>
> No, you're not.

yes I am

>
> > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
>
> Nope. You'e a liar. Everyone needs to know.

anyone that doesn't know please raise their hand

>
> > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
>
> Seems I've ben matching you one-for-one, alright, ergo your
> assessment is in error.

matching me

you are the one whing about it

>
> > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
>
> Sure you do.

not at all

>
> > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
>
> That the world adapt to Markie instead of vice versa.

nope

you are the sole target right now

adapting no, just leave my alone to exercise my rights

but allowing anyone there right is something stevie can't do

>
> Steve, K4YZ

can you tell the truth?

doesn't look like it

1473. Re: Mark Morgan: Feeble Minded Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 19:58:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kXcDe.846$0C.741@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112831

> an_old_friend wrote:

> You're the functioanlly illiterate one.

Thanks for the laughs, Mark. Really.

Dave K8MN

1474. Breaker, Breaker, Is this a flame group now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 20:45:03 UTC
From: 10 - 4 Good Buddies <Roger.beep@ARRL.CBers>
Message-ID: <42dd65dc$0$91618$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 112832

See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.

Thank you ARRL.

1475. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:02:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121806933.502023.108160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112833

Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > You're the functioanlly illiterate one.
>
> Thanks for the laughs, Mark. Really.

you are welcome I guess
>
> Dave K8MN

1476. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:03:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121807020.685478.158740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112834

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Absolutely. You relabel all posts with the same profanity

but I am what?

you cutt that part

you object to the truth as normal

> >
> > as I said does not offend me in the slightest
> >
> > >
> > > Coward.
> >
> > prehaps
>
> No "prehaps"

yes prehaps

cowardlyness is not a crime

>
> You're a coward.

Really some one that stands up to a bully like you is a coward check
you websters

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1477. News Steve claims the AMA is composed of sexual devaints

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:05:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121807105.543860.228030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112835

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
> > > > > > sleeping with.
> > > > >
> > > > > I know full well, any consenting person I wish too
> > > >
> > >
> > > > Consenting or not, deviance is deviance.
> > >
> > > more gay bashing lies
> > >
> > > > And you're a deviant.
> > >
> > > not according the mebers of the AMA
>
> The one's who suffer from the same deviance, no doubt.

a real newsflash like the one where Dean called every republican a
christain
```

1478. shit was re pottajohns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:06:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121807178.391838.254760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112836

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> > > > feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.
> > >
> > > not feeble minded
> > >
> > > no resmeblence
>
> Not only do you resemble it, you're teh poster boy for it!

teh poster boy

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1479. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:07:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121807239.163021.303650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112837

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > >
> > > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > > punk like you redefine that.
> >
> > you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> > langauage. the users of it do
>
> An adjective is still an adjective.
>
> > The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> > goin on
>
> And what's YOUR job as an abuser of the language? To see just how
> crappy you can abuse it and still get by?

not at all I don't do this professoinaly as you know

1480. Re: Breaker, Breaker, Is this a flame group now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:08:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121807298.886074.245810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112838

yes it

10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.

but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
>
> Thank you ARRL.

1481. Mark Morgan: Enter Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:14:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121807692.183668.168720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112839

an old friend wrote:
> yes it
>
> 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
>
> but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
> >
> > Thank you ARRL.

No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea that profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.

Steve, K4YZ

1482. Mark Morgan: Enter Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:17:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121807845.787980.12640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112840

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > > >
> > > > > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > > > > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > > > > punk like you redefine that.
> > >
> > > you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> > > langauage. the users of it do
> >
> > An adjective is still an adjective.
> >
> > > The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> > > goin on
> >
> > And what's YOUR job as an abuser of the language? To see just how
> > crappy you can abuse it and still get by?
>
> not at all I don't do this professoinaly as you know

I didn't say anything about "professionally".

I asked you what was YOU job as an abuser of the language.

If you understood English as well as you say, you WOULD have
understood.

Steve, K4YZ

1483. Mark Morgan: Enter Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:19:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121807955.390641.191710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112842

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> > > > feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.
> > > >
> > > not feeble minded
> > >
> > > no resmeblence
> > >
> > Not only do you resemble it, you're teh poster boy for it!
> >
> teh poster boy

My bad on the "teh"

However the previous commetn stands: You're poster boy for the
potty mouthed.

Steve, K4YZ

1484. Mark Morgan: Enter Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:20:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121808044.913256.227510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112841

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
> > > > > > > > > sleeping with.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I know full well, any consenting person I wish too
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Consenting or not, deviance is deviance.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more gay bashing lies
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And you're a deviant.
> > > > >
> > > > > not according the mebers of the AMA
> > > >
> > > > The one's who suffer from the same deviance, no doubt.
> >
> > a real newsflash like the one where Dean called every republican a
> > christain

I am sure that meant something, but not in English.

Steve, K4YZ

1485. Mark Morgan: Enter Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:23:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121808218.248538.238210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112843

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > >
> > > Absolutely. You relabel all posts with the same profanity
> >
> > but I am what?

A coward. A liar.

> you cutt that part
>
> you object to the truth as normal

I don't object to knowing that you're a coward, Markie.

> > > as I said does not offend me in the slightest
> > >
> > > > > Coward.
> > > >
> > > > prehaps
> > >
> > > No "prehaps"
> >
> > yes prehaps
> >
> > cowardlyness is not a crime

And you DO make it an art form.

> > You're a coward.
> >
> > Really some one that stands up to a bully like you is a coward check
> > you websters

You are a coward, Mark.

If you weren't, you'd have the guts to fix your problems and act
like a man.

You find it easier to cower as a liar and deviant.

Steve, K4YZ

1486. Mark Morgan: Enter Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:30:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121808633.972068.35260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112844

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> > > > English language well.
> > >
> > > I understand it quite
> >
> > No, you don't.
>
> yes i do understand

No, you don't.

> > > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> > > Radio newsgroup
> >
> > That WOULD be you.
>
> lying sack of #### again

Don't be so hard on yourself, Mark. You are a liar, but I
wouldn't go so far as to call you a sack of ####.

> you started out first off your keyboard was calling me gay

No, YOU are calling you gay. You admit you have sex with men.

> > > > Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> > > > a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> > > > all of us get with YOUR program.
> > >
> > > Projecting again
> > >
> > > I am a man
> >
> > No, you're not.
>
> yes I am

Not on this planet you're not...

> > > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
> >
> > Nope. You'e a liar. Everyone needs to know.
>
> anyone that doesn't know please raise their hand

Sheesh.

> > > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> > > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
> >
> > Seems I've ben matching you one-for-one, alright, ergo your
> > assessment is in error.
>
> matching me
>
> you are the one whing about it

"Whing" about what?

> > > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
> >
> > Sure you do.
>
> not at all

Sure you do.

> > > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
> >
> > That the world adapt to Markie instead of vice versa.
>
> nope
>
> you are the sole target right now

Nope. You've said EVERYONE can just tuough it out, that you know
you have a problem but have no intention of dealing with it.

That puts it on EVERYONE to have to deal with you.

> adapting no, just leave my alone to exercise my rights

So go right ahead.

You seem to think that no one ELSE has "rights" too.

> but allowing anyone there right is something stevie can't do

Sure I can.

You seem to think, however, that you're the only one with "rights".

By posting in this forum, you leave yourself open to anyone elses
comments too.

Sucks to be you.
>
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> can you tell the truth?

>
> doesn't look like it

Sure I do. Sorry you can't deal with it.

Steve, K4YZ

1487. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 21:59:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121810388.258591.78920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112845

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> > > > > > English language well.
> > > > >
> > > > > I understand it quite
> > > >
> > > > No, you don't.
> > >
> > > yes i do understand
> >
> > No, you don't.
> >
> > > > > > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> > > > > > Radio newsgroup
> > > >
> > > > That WOULD be you.
> > >
> > > lying sack of #### again
> >
> > Don't be so hard on yourself, Mark. You are a liar, but I
> > wouldn't go so far as to call you a sack of ####.
> >
> > > you started out first off your keyboard was calling me gay
> >
> > No, YOU are calling you gay. You admit you have sex with men.

nope another lie

>
> > > > > > Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> > > > > > a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> > > > > > all of us get with YOUR program.
> > > > >
> > > > > Projecting again
> > > > >
> > > > > I am a man
> > > >
> > > > No, you're not.
> > >
> > > yes I am
> >
> > Not on this planet you're not...

yes I am on thsi planet or off

>
> > > > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
> > > >
> > > Nope. You'e a liar. Everyone needs to know.
> > >
> > anyone that doesn't know please raise their hand
> >
> Sheesh.

everyone know stevie

and it seem nobody but you cares

>
> > > > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> > > > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
> > > >
> > > Seems I've ben matching you one-for-one, alright, ergo your
> > > assessment is in error.
> > >
> > matching me
> > >
> > you are the one whing about it
> >
> "Whing" about what?

whining well you do it so well

>
> > > > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
> > > >
> > > Sure you do.
> > >
> > not at all
> >
> Sure you do.

just want basic rights that you the bully and bigot you are refuse to
aceept

>
> > > > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
> > > >
> > > That the world adapt to Markie instead of vice versa.
> > >
> > nope
> > >
> > you are the sole target right now
> >
> Nope. You've said EVERYONE can just tuough it out, that you know
> you have a problem but have no intention of dealing with it.

Nobody cares but you

Noone is being asked to do anything but stop harrasing me

>
> That puts it on EVERYONE to have to deal with you.

nobdoy but you seem to realy mind

>
> > adapting no, just leave my alone to exercise my rights
>
> So go right ahead.
>
> You seem to think that no one ELSE has "rights" too.

more lies from you

you don't have the right to harrass people
>
> > but allowing anyone there right is something stevie can't do
>
> Sure I can.

maybe you can but you don't

>
> You seem to think, however, that you're the only one with "rights".

No I think Todd has rights. BB has rights. You have rights. Dave has
rights.

You don't have a right to harrass anyone
>
> By posting in this forum, you leave yourself open to anyone elses
> comments too.

but not to harrasment

>
> Sucks to be you.

not at all

> >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > can you tell the truth?
> >
> > doesn't look like it
>
> Sure I do. Sorry you can't deal with it.

feel free to start anytime telling the turth

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1488. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 22:00:24 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2J-dnYgngJDIGkDfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112852

John Kasupski wrote:

> Because that is one of the ways that amateur radio operators receive
> that training, of course.

<Rest of excellent post deleted>

Thanks for an excellent post John, it really puts it all into perspective. Unfortunately Lennieboy can't see it that way he is too busy being jealous that amateur radio does indeed play a very important role in emergency communications today and he can't be a part of it.

He is still lost in the 50's when he was playing around with military stuff.

1489. more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 22:01:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121810460.102169.281430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112846

sure was stevie

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > You're a male and can't figure out who you're supposed to be
> > > > > > > > > > sleeping with.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I know full well, any consenting person I wish too
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Consenting or not, deviance is deviance.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more gay bashing lies
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And you're a deviant.
> > > > >
> > > > > not according the mebers of the AMA
> > > >
> > > > The one's who suffer from the same deviance, no doubt.
> > >
> > > a real newsflash like the one where Dean called every republican a
> > > christain
> >
> > I am sure that meant something, but not in English.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

1490. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 22:03:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121810608.869771.305080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112847

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > >
> > > Absolutely. You relabel all posts with the same profanity
> >
> > but I am what?
>
> A coward. A liar.

a coawrd for standing up to a bully

>
> > you cutt that part
> >
> > you object to the truth as normal
>
> I don't object to knowing that you're a coward, Markie.

if I am a cowrd so what?

>
> > > > as I said does not offend me in the slightest
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Coward.
> > > >
> > > > prehaps
> > >
> > > No "prehaps"
> >
> > yes prehaps
> >
> > cowardlyness is not a crime
>
> And you DO make it an art form.

not an honnor I can claim sorry

>
> > > You're a coward.
> >
> > Really some one that stands up to a bully like you is a coward check
> > you websters
>
> You are a coward, Mark.

nope

>
> If you weren't, you'd have the guts to fix your problems and act
> like a man.

I act like man

You act like a little kids on the playground screaming "he said the s
word" stop him stop him

>
> You find it easier to cower as a liar and deviant.

not cowering not a devaint
according to the AMA

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1491. shitf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 22:03:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121810635.145464.154260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112848

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> > > > > feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.
> > > >
> > > > not feeble minded
> > > >
> > > > no resmeblence
> > >
> > > Not only do you resemble it, you're teh poster boy for it!
> >
> > teh poster boy
>
> My bad on the "teh"
>
> However the previous commetn stands: You're poster boy for the
> potty mouthed.

not at all there are far worse

>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

1492. flaming shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 22:05:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112849

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > yes it
> >
> > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
> >
> > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
> > >
> > > Thank you ARRL.
>
> No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea that
> profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
> this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.

no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
years
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1493. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 22:07:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121810871.203053.163610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112850

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > > > > > > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > > > > > > punk like you redefine that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> > > > > > langauage. the users of it do
> > > > >
> > > > > An adjective is still an adjective.
> > > > >
> > > > > The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> > > > > goin on
> > > >

> > > > And what's YOUR job as an abuser of the language? To see just how
> > > > crappy you can abuse it and still get by?
> > >
> > > not at all I don't do this professoinaly as you know
> >
> > I didn't say anything about "professionally".
> >
> > I asked you what was YOU job as an abuser of the language.

was you what try was "your"

But it is not my job i certainly don't get paid for it

don't even abuse the langauage as a hobby
>
> If you understood English as well as you say, you WOULD have
> understood.

I understood just choose to repsond as I saw fit

I know you object to people using there personaly freedoms

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1494. Re: flaming shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 22:54:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QwfDe.146$GJ5.7625845@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112851

```
go home you flaming-fagot

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > yes it
>> >
>> > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
>> > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
>> >
>> > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
>> > >
>> > > Thank you ARRL.
>>
>> No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea
>> that
>> profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
>> this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
>
> no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
> years
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
```

1495. Re: shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 23:10:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jLfDe.149$Vk7.7909486@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112853

go away gay bugger...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121788852.840515.74640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
>> > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the
>> > > endeavor
>> >
>> > nope more Stevie lies
>> >
>> > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
>> >
>> > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
>>
>> Nope.
>
> you seem preopied with it
>>
>> An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
>> English language well.
>
> I understand it quite
>
> But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> Radio newsgroup
>>
>> > > > > > I understand it and I understand your #### too
>> > > > >
>> > > > > No, Mark...no you don't.
>> > > >
>> > > > yes I did
>> > >
>> > > You NEVER "did"...
>> >
>> > I always have understood you for what you are
>> >
>> > A coward, a Bully, a Bigot

>>
>> Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out
>> and be
>> a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding
>> that
>> all of us get with YOUR program.
>
> Projecting again
>
> I am a man
>
> you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
>
> you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it
> (lately
> esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
>
> no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
>
> what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
>
>>
>> Ain't happening.
>
>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

1496. the threat of Stevie words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 23:17:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121815063.880213.195250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112854

go ahead it and accept the responiblity for making it an issue

you will not of course, being a bully and coward

John Smith wrote:
> go home you flaming-fagot

I am home boyo
>
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >> > yes it
> >> >
> >> > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> >> > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
> >> >
> >> > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
> >> > >
> >> > > Thank you ARRL.
> >>
> >> No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea
> >> that
> >> profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
> >> this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
> >
> > no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
> > years
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >

1497. the threat of Stevie words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 23:22:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121815377.206002.252670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112855

go ahead it and accept the responiblity for making it an issue

you will not of course, being a bully and coward

John Smith wrote:
> go away gay bugger..

I am home boyo

.
>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1121788852.840515.74640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> >> > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the
> >> > > endeavor
> >> >
> >> > nope more Stevie lies
> >> >
> >> > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
> >> >
> >> > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >
> > you seem preopied with it
> >>
> >> An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> >> English language well.
> >
> > I understand it quite
> >
> > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> > Radio newsgroup
> >>
> >> > > > > > I understand it and I understand your #### too
> >> > > > > >

> >> > > > > No, Mark...no you don't.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > yes I did
> >> > > >
> >> > > You NEVER "did"...
> >> > >
> >> > I always have understood you for what you are
> >> >
> >> > A coward, a Bully, a Bigot
> >>
> >> Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out
> >> and be
> >> a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding
> >> that
> >> all of us get with YOUR program.
> >
> > Projecting again
> >
> > I am a man
> >
> > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
> >
> > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it
> > (lately
> > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
> >
> > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
> >
> > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
> >
> >>
> >> Ain't happening.
> >
> >
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >

1498. Re: the threat of Stevie words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 23:27:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <L%fDe.152$VN7.8112185@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112856

look...

If you were in my church drinking, I'd have enough sense to tell you
to go to a bar...

If you were in my bar preaching, I'd have enough sense to tell you to
go to a church...

You are in a amateur group "faggoting", I still have enough sense to
tell you to go faggot elsewhere!

Start a new newsgroup--perhaps rec.radio.amateur.faggots

I don't give a damn if everyone else is so politically correct they
don't have the cajones to tell you out straight--big deal--I do...

John
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1121815063.880213.195250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> go ahead it and accept the responiblity for making it an issue
>
> you will not of course, being a bully and coward
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> go home you flaming-fagot
>
> I am home boyo
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an old friend wrote:
>> >> > yes it
>> >> >
>> >> > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
>> >> > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
>> >> >
>> >> > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Thank you ARRL.
>> >>

>> >> No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea
>> >> that
>> >> profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible
>> >> for
>> >> this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
>> >
>> > no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days
>> > and
>> > years
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>

1499. Re: the threat of Stevie words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 23:28:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <a1gDe.153$YB7.7956533@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112857

faggot elsewhere deviate...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121815377.206002.252670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> go ahead it and accept the responiblity for making it an issue
>
> you will not of course, being a bully and coward
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> go away gay bugger..
>
> I am home boyo
>
> .
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1121788852.840515.74640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being
>> >> > > the
>> >> > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the
>> >> > > endeavor
>> >> >
>> >> > nope more Stevie lies
>> >> >
>> >> > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
>> >> >
>> >> > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
>> >>
>> >> Nope.
>> >
>> > you seem preopied with it
>> >>
>> >> An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand
>> >> the
>> >> English language well.

>> >
>> > I understand it quite
>> >
>> > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality
>> > into a
>> > Radio newsgroup
>> >>
>> >> > > > > > I understand it and I understand your #### too
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > No, Mark...no you don't.
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > yes I did
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > You NEVER "did"...
>> >> >
>> >> > I always have understood you for what you are
>> >> >
>> >> > A coward, a Bully, a Bigot
>> >>
>> >> Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out
>> >> and be
>> >> a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen,
>> >> demanding
>> >> that
>> >> all of us get with YOUR program.
>> >
>> > Projecting again
>> >
>> > I am a man
>> >
>> > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
>> >
>> > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it
>> > (lately
>> > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
>> >
>> > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
>> >
>> > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Ain't happening.
>> >
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>

1500. CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 23:38:28 UTC
From: dude <scorpiox@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20050719233828.13455.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 112858

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

CW is a rather amazing phenomenon. Being as difficult as a child learning to jump rope, skate board, roller skate, ice skate, or, an old woman learning to knit, crochet or tat, a person learning CW seems to take it as seriously as a BS degree or a doctorate.

CW seems to be the mark of rather eccentric and peculiar personalities. One trait all ﷺCWﷺersﷺ seem to share is a bent for anti-social behavior.

Signed,
scorpiox

~~~
This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the message.
It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
Date: Tue Jul 19 23:38:05 2005 GMT
From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3ia
Charset: noconv

iQEVAwUBQt2O805NDhYLYPHNAQFkVQf/XBVeoit+GOuIZye1kF3vEG5vT5IQ2KzW
SD2vWfdTsBjbinIvut5onAHT58Wk9/YF8s+SB28iY0r/8XSjzQztTO9jEAYYqubV
CBnr6e4ZdSeWubsD7T8cnLxmykm0AmD2AyT80WdBCqUn/Qu4TB+z4KGfqiDuacil
hENgJCLmm9fuNbzN6JprOHHE419UUOGtFrJWU0XnrBif9A67rIIHVoI0OgxJuJP3
jGYI300kOT8KCG19kixr1tj2JX4vPjKCruwNHqcKW8yZqdNNBIKAY0A6VSJ0Zr3N
lZCJXcNt+aOqQ8rvvuceVBKKrpBqrwUJsJpQMkPmhNGaHvAuorJ6cA==
=+dCf
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
~~~

1501. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-19 23:51:12 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <SQHME23E38553.0772222222@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 112859

In article <dbeefl$7ms$0@pita.alt.net>
"Wogie Two Shoes" <Coward@Glendale> wrote:

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Chason makes good spell!

>
> <k...@sonic.net> wrote in message
> news:9u9kd1dvqlro15643dujqqs53jrocaj5lh@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 16:38:08 -0400, "Net"
<n...@whoanetscntro.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one,
>
>
>
> Trying to sound tough by using trite sayings just lets
> everyone know what a weenie you are.
>
> >
> It takes one to know one.
>
> Trite enough?

Yes, and it's all you are capable of.

1502. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 00:08:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5tmdnWZIQqGFC0DfRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112860

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

My thoughts.

First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup might just be fun to use.

Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop, with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But that's just me.

Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that might be expanded upon.

Don't like the computer interface screenshot.

I really hope that they do well. I do think the price point is too high. I would be willing to pay extra for an American made unit, but 3K for the entry level, and 6K for the works unit is pretty high.

Unless of course, the rig has outstanding performance. I would love to see some test results.

Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a good one....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1503. Re: N9OGL's future ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 01:23:59 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050720012359.0F9F1171EC@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 112861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1121726193.333669.248870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"n9ogl" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >You better think so you illiterate retard!
> >You are about on a level of Lloyd A. Davies.
>
> >Think twice before answering, asshole!
>
> >George Ashley W4FQF
>
> I don't know Lloyd A Davies, and no way am I anything like
him, I
> suggest you get facts correct old timer.

Toadie, if you don't know him, how can you know that you aren't
like him?
I pretty much am certain you *do* know him, and are helping him
out.

I suggest you die of your Aids, FAGGOT.

1504. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 01:34:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DO-dna3-ppmAN0DfRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112862

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>
>>>>Once again, why is it irrelevant?
>>>
>>>
>>>I see you snipped the answer to that one. read it again.
>>>
>>>better yet, I'll explain in greater detail:
>>>1. of the 20 million odd cb radios floating around in the US and other
>>>places the US controlls: 35% of them would not meet type acceptance (due
>>>to illegal modification), 40% go unused (due to lack of interest, noise,
>>>storage or breakdown). The remainder are often in the hands of either
>>>children or adults who have virtually no training in their proper use.
>>>that leaves us with somewhat less than 1% (and there is even a question
>>>as to that figure). now, how many of those sets are active on a daily
>>>basis? How many of those sets are being used by someone who has, at least
>>>a good knowledge of the rules and regulations governing their use? how
>>>many of those actual operators are actually trained in some disaster
>>>relief by accredited agencies?
>>>
>>>why don't you give me some really good hard data to work with?
>>>
>>>whats your real problem with ham radio?
>>
>>The odd thing is that while we've gotten a breakdown on the number of
>>Ham radio operators as compared to the population of the US (as if every
>>person in the US is going to have traffic for every Ham op) we now go in
>>complete reverse - miraculously every CB rig is going to be pressed into
>>service in time of need. 20 million radios ready to save life and
>>property.
>>
>>This whole part of the argument is weird and borders on irrelevant.
>>
>>For an interesting coomparison, why don't we come up with the ratio of
>>say EMT's to the general population. Yet somehow they do their jobs.

>
>
> I know, but that is his "obtuse point". I doesn't matter the actual number
> of trained operators, just the number of radio sets.

Which is a strange way to look at things, IMO.
>>
>>>>>fact
>>>>>is, only a fraction of those are ever in operation on a daily basis. a
>>>>>lot of them languish (unused) either in vehicles or removed and in
>>>>>storage.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It doesn't take very long to hook up a CB radio. And it doesn't take a
>>>>ham to do it, either.
>>>
>>>
>>>uh huh. and it doesn't take damned long to blow a fuse and start a fire
>>>either because some twit decided to do it himself and didn't have the
>>>knowhow to hook it up right (I have witnessed this first hand on more
>>>than one occassion). at least, with hams, you have some education (its
>>>part of being a ham: learning about electricity, electronics and
>>>operations and procedures).
>>
>>In high school, I used to make some extra cash by repairing electrical
>>wiring in vehicles. A lot of them had melted and shorted wiring caused
>>by the owner hooking up a CB rig incorrectly. You'de be surprised how
>>often it happens.
>
>
> been there, sen that many times.

I guess I should be nice though. Between repairing radio wrecked
automobiles, and the bands I was in, I was making somewhere near 200
dollars a week in the late 60's - early 70's. Not bad at all for a H.S.
Student!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1505. Re: shitf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 01:59:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IdiDe.5600$dU3.4452@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112863

an old friend wrote:

(see subject)

Mark establishes himself as a Gearvakf (the "f" is silent) fan.

It would seem that your sole purpose is to foul the newsgroup, Mark.

Dave K8MN

1506. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 02:02:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hhiDe.5602$dU3.4887@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112864

dude wrote:

>
> CW seems to be the mark of rather eccentric and peculiar personalities. One trait all ï¿½CWï¿½ersï¿½ seem to share is a bent for anti-social behavior.
>
> Signed,
> scorpiox

All ï¿½CWï¿½ersï¿½? Do you even known all ï¿½CWï¿½ersï¿½? I'm not even sure that *most* ï¿½CWï¿½ersï¿½ do that.

It could always be worse. We could all go about anonymously trolling in newsgroups.

Dave K8MN

1507. Re: ARRL Admits Mistakes in Regulation By Bandwidth Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 03:11:49 UTC
From: none <"duffy\\@(none)">
Message-ID: <d49b2$42ddc0f5$48312371$18733@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 112865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

So what? Nobody is perfect. At least they are trying to get it right.
Geez,some people complain about anything the ARRL does. Get a life.

policy-ham wrote:
> NEWINGTON, CT, Jul 19, 2005--Following considerable discussion and
> debate, the ARRL Board of Directors has approved a modified set of
> recommendations to regulate the use of amateur spectrum by emission
> bandwidth rather than by emission mode. Last April, the ARRL Executive
> Committee reached consensus on a set of regulation-by-bandwidth
> proposals to serve as the basis of an FCC Petition for Rule Making.
> Following additional fine tuning based on hundreds of comments from the
> amateur community, the Board formally adopted a further-modified plan
> at its July 15-16 meeting. The revised plan includes a stipulation that
> the League "will promptly undertake a procedure to establish a band
> plan to be utilized with the proposed subband allocation petition, and,
> until such time as that band plan is in place, the existing band plan
> will be in force." ARRL CEO David Sumner, K1ZZ, remarked after Board's
> 12-3 vote that improved band planning is critical to the success of the
> League's regulation-by-bandwidth proposals and will require the support
> of the amateur community at large.
>
> "I think it's fair to say that the Board recognizes that regulation by
> bandwidth is not going to work without a spirit of cooperation among
> amateurs pursuing different interests," he said, "any more than current
> regulations would be adequate without a spirit of cooperation." As one
> example, Sumner pointed out that under the current rules, RTTY and data
> enthusiasts may, by rule, operate in the low end of the CW subbands.
> "They don't, because to do so would disrupt amateur CW," he said.
>
> Sumner has discussed various facets of regulation-by-bandwidth and
> detailed the evolution of the ARRL Executive Committee's
> recommendations in his "It Seems to Us . . ." editorials in the
> September 2004, April 2005 and June 2005 issues of QST. He said that if
> the FCC ultimately implements the modified ARRL recommendations,
> there's no reason to believe that amateurs will operate right up to the
> absolute limit of what the FCC says they may, any more than they do
> now.
>
> The regulation-by-bandwidth issue dominated the Board's second meeting
> of the year in Windsor, Connecticut. After a great deal of give and
> take among its members, the Board ultimately okayed raising the maximum
> bandwidth proposed for frequencies below 29 MHz from 3.0 kHz to 3.5
> kHz. A provision permitting the continued use of double-sideband AM

> with bandwidth of up to 9 kHz was retained.
>
> Significantly, the Board also agreed that maximum permitted bandwidth
> should be defined in terms of necessary rather than occupied bandwidth.
> In addition, the modified proposal removes the exception for
> independent sideband (ISB) emissions--which by all reports are not used
> in the Amateur Service--and drops certain mode restrictions on Novice
> and Technician class operators.
>
> The ARRL proposal would leave two important FCC rules unchanged.
> ï¿½97.307(a) says: "No amateur station transmission shall occupy more
> bandwidth than necessary for the information rate and emission type
> being transmitted, in accordance with good amateur practice."
> ï¿½97.101(a) reads: "In all respects not specifically covered by FCC
> Rules each amateur station must be operated in accordance with good
> engineering and good amateur practice."
>
> Per the Board's motion, the ARRL Executive Committee will review the
> final rule making petition, which will be filed with the FCC at the
> Committee's discretion.
>
> The Board began work on the bandwidth concept in 2002, and the League
> sought members' comments on specific concepts at several steps along
> the way. Many amateurs have expressed concern about interference
> between incompatible modes in the most popular HF bands. The EC's
> proposals take into account the ARRL's prior "Novice refarming"
> petition that includes expansion of some HF 'phone bands, incorporated
> in the FCC Notice of Proposed Rule Making in WT Docket 04-140.
>
> In other action, the ARRL Board adopted a resolution to establish an
> ARRL VHF/UHF Advisory Committee (VUAC) to address contesting issues
> over a period of no more than three years. The new panel, to be
> comprised of "exceptionally qualified and recognized members of the
> VHF/UHF community," will seek input from VHF/UHF contesting "public,"
> identify important issues and "seek the sense of the 'public'" on those
> issues.
>
> The Board also adopted five strategies to include in the League's 2006
> Operational Plan. These address improvements in the ARRL advocacy
> program and members-only features of the Web site, and review and
> analysis of ARRL programs.
>
> ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, chaired this month's Board meeting.
> Radio Amateurs of Canada President Earle Smith, VE6NM, was a guest of
> the Board.
>

1508. CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 03:24:41 UTC
From: dude <scorpiox@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20050720032441.11201.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 112866

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

It could indeed be worse, one could have the misfortune of suffering a room temperature IQ,
such as the victim of such an occurrence, dave heil.

You do serve well as an example of this phenomenon.

Thanks.

Signed,
scorpiox

~~~
This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the message.
It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
Date: Wed Jul 20 03:24:34 2005 GMT
From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3ia
Charset: noconv

iQEVAwUBQt3D+E5NDhYLYPHNAQGH0Af9GS5bv6Y25AVntmAC5G60cxfF8Dibq4q6
cIDhTKlyRxVI5rDViDta6wSLkQkbXzRHgf6NiusFyCsSt71nrYzRZb1W2zwz116Y
HG41gBs+AdWmqUpLEP4eoJk3JO00DR+g/JIXdtOYkGqtLaRSi2veWknyR/jFPvw9
+S4yCvI+3zWaoD/aFngDjGdjvNa6XRu5112pYGeaRkMNY2Q1WWuNgJCokVoDhxmR
TFHfvswzZiwbf10KzXuasGgRCsPrpwsm/SbtsDe+FJEsYqrfNdzOK9zPT6sB4QtK
vVyRdUxYc7NDR+p4D9EIsCQwkACwzC4Ej3R/b80awGIwsTbnL8paAg==
=mQRW
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1509. New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 03:25:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121829952.842160.317020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I've started a new message board for the discussion of K1MAN, feel free
to express your views about him on there.

http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi?board=k1man&page=1

Todd N9OGL
N9OGL BBS
ADMINISTRATOR

1510. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 04:32:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vtkDe.1015$0C.715@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112868

dude wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>
> It could indeed be worse, one could have the misfortune of suffering a room temperature IQ, such as the victim of such an occurrence, dave heil.
>
> You do serve well as an example of this phenomenon.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Signed,
> scorpiox

Yeah, right, Bubba. Happy ï¿½CWï¿½ersï¿½!

Dave K8MN

1511. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 04:33:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xukDe.1016$0C.643@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112869

N9OGL wrote:
> I've started a new message board for the discussion of K1MAN, feel free
> to express your views about him on there.
>
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi?board=k1man&page=1

If it is all the same to you, Todd, I'll express my views about him
here. What do I need with your blog?

Dave K8MN

1512. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 04:39:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121834349.013604.214310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112871

that isn't a blog it's a bbs, two different things

1513. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 04:39:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121834354.652746.26840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112870

that isn't a blog it's a bbs, two different things

1514. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 07:27:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121844454.933005.228510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112872

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> > > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor
> > >
> > > nope more Stevie lies
> > >
> > > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
> > >
> > > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> you seem preopied with it

"preopied"...?!?!

The time before Ron Howard on Mayberry RFD...???

> > An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> > English language well.
> >
> I understand it quite

Quite what?

You suggest you have a handle on English but you can't even finish
a five word sentence without botvhing it up one way or the other!

> But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> Radio newsgroup

Sure you are.

> > Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> > a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> > all of us get with YOUR program.
> >
> Projecting again

Nope. You're the profanity guy...Well, you and Toiddie.

> I am a man

You're a girl in man's clothing.

> you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant

What "word"...?!?!?

> you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself

I stay step-for-step with you, Wusso...Seem's I can take it fairly
well.

> no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights

You have yet to demonstrate any "right" that's been violated.

Did you go to "church" unhindered this week?

Did anyone sit at your side with a gun to your head and prevent
you from typing the "words" you wanted to type?

> what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)

You're demanding that the world adapt to you, not the other way
around...You tell everyone else to "deal" with your incompetence with
English rather than doing something to fix it yourself.

You're a lazy coward.

Steve, K4YZ

1515. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 07:30:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121844639.411470.131470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112873

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > yes it
> > >
> > > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> > > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
> > >
> > > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
> > > >
> > > > Thank you ARRL.
> >
> > No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea that
> > profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
> > this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
>
> no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
> years

No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea that
profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.

Steve, K4YZ
```

1516. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 07:34:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121844879.553932.15850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112874

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > And what's YOUR job as an abuser of the language? To see just how
> > > > crappy you can abuse it and still get by?
> > >
> > > not at all I don't do this professoinaly as you know
> >
> > I didn't say anything about "professionally".
> >
> > I asked you what was YOU job as an abuser of the language.
>
> was you what try was "your"

Like you say...you got the message.

> But it is not my job i certainly don't get paid for it
>
> don't even abuse the langauage as a hobby

Then is has to be a sickness, and you STILL need help.

> > If you understood English as well as you say, you WOULD have
> > understood.
>
> I understood just choose to repsond as I saw fit

As I said...You "choose" to abuse us ALL with it. You're forcing
everyone else to adapt to you rahter than the other way around.

> I know you object to people using there personaly freedoms

"personaly freedoms"...?!?!

I object to idiots being allowed to abuse others, hence I object
to you.

Steve, K4YZ

1517. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 07:39:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121845186.898491.114750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112875

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.
> > > > >
> > > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely. You relabel all posts with the same profanity
> > >
> > > but I am what?
> >
> > A coward. A liar.
>
> a coawrd for standing up to a bully

You are a coward. There's no bully here.

> > > you cutt that part
> > >
> > > you object to the truth as normal
> >
> > I don't object to knowing that you're a coward, Markie.
>
> if I am a cowrd so what?

Then you're a "cowrd". Just want to make sure it's documented
along with your admission to being a liar.

So far we now have documentation as to your lying,
misrepresentations as to military service, sexual deviance and now
cowardice.

> > > > > as I said does not offend me in the slightest
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Coward.
> > > > >
> > > > > prehaps
> > > >
> > > > No "prehaps"

> > >
> > > yes prehaps
> > >
> > > cowardlyness is not a crime
> >
> > And you DO make it an art form.
>
> not an honnor I can claim sorry

Don't worry, you don't have to "claim" anything.

Consider it "bestowed".

> > > > You're a coward.
> > >
> > > Really some one that stands up to a bully like you is a coward check
> > > you websters
> >
> > You are a coward, Mark.
>
> nope

Yep.

Prove me wrong.

Seek help for your deviance and your "dyslexia".

> > If you weren't, you'd have the guts to fix your problems and act
> > like a man.
>
> I act like man

Nope.

You're still ill and your still potty-mouthed.

> You act like a little kids on the playground screaming "he said the s
> word" stop him stop him

You try to dilute the civility of our social strata with your
profanities and deviance.

> > You find it easier to cower as a liar and deviant.
>
> not cowering not a devaint
> according to the AMA

You're both.

Steve, K4YZ

1518. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 07:42:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121845333.037595.91960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112876

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I've started a new message board for the discussion of K1MAN, feel free
> > to express your views about him on there.
> >
> > http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi?board=k1man&page=1
>
> If it is all the same to you, Todd, I'll express my views about him
> here. What do I need with your blog?

This way he feels like he gets to "control" something, Dave.

But he can't control his own mouth, so why he thinks he'll do any
better at this is beyond me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1519. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 07:44:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121845456.838519.326230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112877

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> > > > > > feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.
> > > > >
> > > > > not feeble minded
> > > > >
> > > > > no resmeblence
> > > >
> > > > Not only do you resemble it, you're teh poster boy for it!
> > >
> > > teh poster boy
> >
> > My bad on the "teh"
> >
> > However the previous comment stands: You're poster boy for the
> > potty mouthed.
>
> not at all there are far worse

If there are, they aren't HERE.

Steve, K4YZ
```

1520. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 07:46:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121845581.638673.254700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112878

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > a real newsflash like the one where Dean called every republican a
> > > christain
> >
> > I am sure that meant something, but not in English.
>
> sure was stevie

OK..WHAT was it?

Steve, K4YZ

1521. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 08:02:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121846558.270449.49790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112879

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > you started out first off your keyboard was calling me gay
> > >
> > No, YOU are calling you gay. You admit you have sex with men.
> >
> nope another lie

Nope. You admit you ahve sex with men.

> > > > > I am a man
> > > > >
> > > > No, you're not.
> > > >
> > > yes I am
> > >
> > Not on this planet you're not...
> >
> yes I am on thsi planet or off

Nope. You're a deviant.

> > > > > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
> > > > >
> > > > Nope. You'e a liar. Everyone needs to know.
> > > >
> > > anyone that doesn't know please raise their hand
> > >
> > Sheesh.
> >
> everyone know stevie
> >
> and it seem nobody but you cares

And you. You keep coming back for more.

> > > > > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> > > > > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
> > > > >
> > > > Seems I've ben matching you one-for-one, alright, ergo your
> > > > assessment is in error.
> > > >
> > > matching me
> > >

> > > you are the one whing about it
> >
> > "Whing" about what?
>
> whining well you do it so well

Another non-sentence sentence.

> > > > > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure you do.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > >
> > > Sure you do.
>
> just want basic rights that you the bully and bigot you are refuse to
> aceept

Oh, I "aceept" your rights to be ignorant, deviant and
functionally illiterate. I just exercise >>MY<< right to comment on
them.

> > > > > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
> > > > >
> > > > > That the world adapt to Markie instead of vice versa.
> > > >
> > > > nope
> > > >
> > > > you are the sole target right now
> > >
> > > Nope. You've said EVERYONE can just tuough it out, that you know
> > > you have a problem but have no intention of dealing with it.
>
> Nobody cares but you

And you.

> Noone is being asked to do anything but stop harrasing me

No one's harrassing you.

If you don't like being made fun of, then stop doing what it is
that people make fun of...in this case writing like a 5 year old in the
middle of a seizure.

> > That puts it on EVERYONE to have to deal with you.
>
> nobdoy but you seem to realy mind

Guess you've not been paying attention.

I am NOT the only person commenting on your potty-mouth and
irrational behaviour.

> > > adapting no, just leave my alone to exercise my rights
> >
> > So go right ahead.
> >
> > You seem to think that no one ELSE has "rights" too.
>
> more lies from you

Nope.

Just commenting on the obvious.

> you don't have the right to harrass people

I'm not harrassing anyone any more than you are. You keep
re-labelling these posts with profanities and I keep commenting on it.

Your sandlot use of it makes you look all-the-more childisn, if
THAT were possible!

> > > but allowing anyone there right is something stevie can't do
> >
> > Sure I can.
>
> maybe you can but you don't

Sure I do.

When was the last time I sat beside you with a gun to your head
and said "don't post that dreck!"...?!?!

> > You seem to think, however, that you're the only one with "rights".
>
> No I think Todd has rights. BB has rights. You have rights. Dave has
> rights.
>
> You don't have a right to harrass anyone

I'm not harrassing anyone.

You comment in a public forum.

I respond to them, "as is my right to do so".

> > By posting in this forum, you leave yourself open to anyone elses
> > comments too.
>
> but not to harrasment

If you consider it harrassment, then ask yourself what inspires
such resposnses and stop doing it.

> > Sucks to be you.
>
> not at all

Sure it does.

You're a deviant, you're functionally illiterate, and you're a known and despised liar.

> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > can you tell the truth?
> > >
> > > doesn't look like it
> >
> > Sure I do. Sorry you can't deal with it.
>
> feel free to start anytime telling the turth

If you meant the "truth", I am waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay ahead of you, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

1522. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 08:07:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121846860.953970.21630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112880

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you have a different service provider
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It doesn't matter. Google doesn't care HOW you get to it.
> > > > >
> > > > > gigo
> > >
> > > You're right, but not for the same reason.
> >
> for exactly the right reason Your provider regulates what you post

My "provider" doesn't regulate what I post.

> > > > Unlilke you who tried to get as far north as he could to run away
> > > > from the world.
> > > >
> > > > you are a feeble minded fool
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> afraid so

Yes, you are. You provide so many examples of exactly why that's
true, sorry-to-say.

> > > I could have moved to Canada, Canada is futher north than this
> > >
> > > Nope. Canada doesn't allow the importation of garbage.
> >
> Canada allow people in the country

Yes, Canada DOES allow PEOPLE in the country. Which is NOT what I
said.

> which mean you would not have made

Too late. Been there. Repeatedly.

> But what is up your ###...(SNIP)
```

Unlike you, only what my intestinal tract put there.

> (UNSNIP)...becuase I live where I please

The farther away from the impressionable and the innocent the better.

Steve, K4YZ

1523. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 08:12:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121847165.652240.84390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112881

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
> > > > > profanity.
> > > >
> > > > right they are not
> > >
> > > Then why isn't it in the papaers? The six o'clock news? Sunday
> > > sermon?
> >
> > explained that already

No, you've not.

You only said "we're not in church".

You've not explained why you are so foul mouthed.

> > > > So where is it?
> > > >
> > > > It is all around you just don't listen
> > >
> > > I must be hanging out in the wrong circles. I hear an occassional
> > > "damn" and that's about it.
> >
> > well there you go,
> >
> > also it have something to the stuff in your ears
> >
> > Or has indeed morese code made you deaf

Nope.

I just associate with a better crowd of people is all.

You prefer the weak-minded, the feeble, the easliy impressionable.

I prefer a more mature, responsible and professional enviroment.

> > You're feeble minded, Mark. A coward who won't come join the rest
> > of us. Guess that's why you moved so far away from the rest of
> > society.
>

> your are feeble minded Stevie

Nope.

> If you are there with your holier than thou #### not want to jion you
>
> indeed first thing you got right in ages

It's not "holier than thou"...It's a desire to live a better, more
civilized life.

People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
gene pool and spoil the party.

Steve, K4YZ

1524. Re: Loser Lennie finally tells the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 08:15:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121847351.361220.239920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112882

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
> > in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
> >PM, and GAVE UP.
> >
> >Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
> Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.
>
> Now shut the hell up about it.

Just like Lennie to attain mediocrity and then quit.

That's why his CV is loaded with jobs, but no real achievements.

Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.

Oh well...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1525. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 08:19:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1121847553.880910.176540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112883

It's called FREEDDOM OF SPEECH steve, not control, but hey, what the hell would you know about freedom of speech. You and your attempt to push broadcast standards onto the Internet.

Todd N9OGL

1526. Live-At-Home Toiddie Lectures About "Freedom of Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 09:52:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121853147.861299.294540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112884

N9OGL wrote:
> It's called FREEDDOM OF SPEECH steve, not control

For you, it's about control.

You're still at home with mumsie and popsie..No doubt due to some
Oedipus thing at home, but that's just a guess on my part.

More like the doctor that delivered you should be sued for not
cutting the umbilical cord. You've been mommy's boy for so long now
that you now don't know HOW to be "in control", but you know
something's missing. So you create these "boards" so your name can be
on top.

> but hey, what the hell would you know about freedom of speech.

More than you, Potty Mouth.

> You and your attempt to
> push broadcast standards onto the Internet.

Not "broadcast standards"...Just some civility.

That's what YOU wouldn't know about.

Steve, K4YZ

1527. Re: ARRL Admits Mistakes in Regulation By Bandwidth Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 10:00:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121853654.522869.81120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

policy-ham wrote:

> NEWINGTON, CT, Jul 19, 2005--Following considerable discussion and
> debate...(SNIP)

I read it through twice and no where did I read the words "ARRL Admits Mistakes..." or any other such language.

They DID say they were continuing to "tune" the proposal and were working with Amateur groups to refine their proposal after their previous requests for comments.

Isn't that what an essentially democratic organization is supposed to do?

No where in there did I see "The ARRL 'says-so', therefore that is that", either.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1528. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 12:52:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121863956.698472.229510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> I've started a new message board for the discussion of K1MAN, feel free
> to express your views about him on there.

Fifteen views and not one vote...not even Toiddie!

Tell ya something about where K1MAN ranks with most Hams, Toiddie?

Care to venture a guess where YOU rank...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1529. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 13:03:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121864633.652683.141640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112887

John Kasupski wrote:
> On 15 Jul 2005 13:07:17 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Now, if there were so "many" amateur radio operators "actually
> > trained in some disaster relief," WHY would the ARRL be offering
> > those "training classes" in same?
>
> Because that is one of the ways that amateur radio operators receive
> that training, of course.

John, you'll have to forgive Lennie...He had his hands on an Army
HF transmitter back in 1953 and now thinks that once you've done it,
there's no need for continuing training, drills, etc.

> > Especially the part where - as INPLIED - that amateur radio
> > operators are (miraculously) ALL capable of actual emergency
> > communications and ready (at a moment's notice) to "save lives
> > and property."

"IMPLIED", Lennie. Remmeber...typos mean you're mad!

> Undoubtedly, many are, and many aren't. The point that is being missed
> here is that the percentage of operators who are properly trained is
> higher among hams than it is among operators in other radio services
> such as CB, MURS, GMRS, FRS, etc.

Like 99% higher...?!?!

> This is largely because emergency management authorities at the
> Federal, state, and local level have incorporated amateur radio into
> their SOPs, SOGs, and IPs and thus training opportunities for the
> operators have been made available - and taken advantage of - whereas
> those other radio services have NOT been incorporated into disaster
> planning...with the result that fewer operators, percentage-wise, in
> those radio services have any formal training at all.

He knows this.

He was trolling for a fight.

> > All of that intimation is very nice, warm, and fuzzy self-promotion
> > for what is basically a HOBBY pursuit, a recreation, something done
> > by individuals for their own pleasure. Tall Tales of derring-do
> > (and usually of daring doo-doo).
>
> While it may appear that way to someone on the outside looking in, the
> fact is that people become licensed in the Amateur radio Service for a

> variety of reasons. For some it is, indeed, a hobby or recreation. For
> others, it is an elegant solution to the need for reliable personal
> communications. For still others, it is a means of giving back
> something to the communities in which we live, and an opportunity to
> be able to do something when the proverbial merde hits the proverbial
> ventillateur, just as people do when they become volunteer firemen or
> EMT/paramedics or auxiliary police.

Lennie's as "outside looking in" as anyone can get.

> > Okay, now YOU show the rest of us how many radio amateurs are
> > "trained" in emergency communications.
> >
> > Please, NO more tall tales of derring-doo-doo as federally-
> > authorized radio AMATEURS, implied or otherwise. Be specific.
> >
> > When push comes to shove, you CANNOT show those numbers of radio
> > amateurs. You are bluffing your way through this non-argument.

Is there a requirement to have such numbers at hand in order to
sate your trolling, Lennie?

> While I'd imagine ARRL has numbers on how many hams have completed the
> Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses, in general the
> agencies that offer training opportunities don't keep track of things
> to that degree of detail.
>
> However, those of us who are involved with disaster relief, emergency
> response, Red Cross, ARES/RACES, and other agencies with similiar
> missions (as opposed to those on the outside looking in) and who have
> received appropriate training, can see with our own eyes how many hams
> there are in the classes when we go to receive our own training in
> ICS, ERT, CERT, the Red Cross courses...and of course ALL of the folks
> who've completed the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses
> are hams.
>
> When I took Introduction to Disaster, under the auspices of the
> Greater Buffalo chapter of American Red Cross, the *entire* class was
> made up of hams from Erie County ARES/RACES. Ditto for the Mass Care
> class that followed a few weeks later.
>
> I took ICS and ERT as part of Erie County SMART and completely
> separate from ARES/RACES - but I do know that the ARES/RACES guys all
> took at least ICS-100 (awareness level), most also took ICS-200
> (operations level) and many went right on through the whole gamut (to
> Incident management level training).
>
> (Note for the uninitiated, there are five levels: Awareness,
> Operations, Technician, Specialist, Incident Management. This is a
> standard specified by OSHA.)
>

> At least a dozen hams from the club I belong to have taken the CERT
> training course...just one club out of several in the area whose
> members also participated.
>
> Nobody at any of these courses has said anything about being a CB
> operator, or MURS, or GMRS, etc.

All of them reasonable adjuncts in the right role.

None of them of any use other than "intercom" service, however.

> > All that is left is an estimation of the various radios used in
> > the various radio services in the USA...by the Electronic
> > Industries Association, the Census Bureau, Department of the
> > Interior, Department of Transportaion, NTIA, etc., etc.
>
> The number of radios does not equate to the number of properly trained
> operators that are available to operate them.
>
> > Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
> > make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal
> > authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways
> > and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB
> > sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or
> > much of anything else for "statistics."
>
> As the old saying goes, "Statistics are for losers."

I've dared Lennie to drive across town and then try to conduct any
meaningful communication on a CB other than getting a "Smokey Report".

Needless to say, it's yet to happen.

> All the proof anyone could really need of modified and illegal CB rigs
> is to fire up a receiver and listen between 26 MHz and 28 MHz.
>
> > Neither can you "prove" that licensed amateur radio operators
> > are ALL or even partly "trained in emergency communications" or
> > are automatically some kind of "life savers."
>
> Sure I can. I myself am a ham and I am trained in emergency
> communications, and other skill sets necessary to disaster relief, and
> I know for a fact that so are many other hams in my area. Again,
> you're on the outside looking in. Get back to me when you yourself
> have taken the courses on Incident Command, Emergency Response To
> Terrorism, Mass Care, Community Emergency Response Team, Introduction
> To Disaster, and/or the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses
> that the ARRL offers.
>
> Of course, in the latter case you'll need a ham license first. I know
> you don't have one. Don't feel bad. Most of the operators of those
> millions of CB transceivers you mentioned don't either.

>
> John Kasupski, KC2HMZ, Tonawanda, New York
> President, Amateur Radio Association of the Tonawandas
> Co-Coordinator, ARATS Emergency Communications Team
> Member, Erie County ARES/RACES
> Erie County SMART Volunteer
> ARRL WNY Public Information Officer

Lennie "nay-says" any report that reaches his eyes via any Amatuer "media" regardless of how valid the report.

As you point out, Lennie is licenseless and does not enjoy the benefit of any organized training in emergency communications. Never did, never will.

Well done piece, John.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1530. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 13:14:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121865258.132026.154710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112888

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> good one....

I don't think that's going to happen, Mike.

First off, I don't think very many folks will drop $3K to $6K on a radio that comes incomplete, computer or not. I can spend a heck of a lot of LESS money and get more radio AND computer for my bucks!

Secondly, it's a "kit" only in the sense that it does come "disassembled". The potential buyer is actually paying the "manufacturer" for the privilege of him (the "manufacturer) not having to pay an assembly line.

Lastly, he brags about the "kit" being "built in America" because the owner "builds it right here in America". I wish I had the spare change to drop to buy one for no other reason than to see where all of the pre-assembled boards are actually assembled. No doubt in some PacRim nation where the guy who REALLY built it wouldn't be able to afford it in a lifetime.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1531. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 13:51:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sFsDe.1159$0C.880@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112889

N9OGL wrote:
> It's called FREEDDOM OF SPEECH steve, not control, but hey, what the
> hell would you know about freedom of speech. You and your attempt to
> push broadcast standards onto the Internet.

There's much more freedom here on r.r.a.p. than one could ever find on your BBS or blog, Todd. You do control those.

Instead of thinking in terms of "broadcast standards", think "home standards". Would you speak to your parents, in their home, the things you've written here?

I'll ask again: Why would I have need to go to either your blog or your BBS to discuss K1MAN when I can express my opinions here? After all, it is a certainty that more people will read my views here than there.

Dave K8MN

1532. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 14:08:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UVsDe.953$Uk3.316@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112890

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
>>good one....
>
>
> I don't think that's going to happen, Mike.
>
> First off, I don't think very many folks will drop $3K to $6K on a
> radio that comes incomplete, computer or not. I can spend a heck of a
> lot of LESS money and get more radio AND computer for my bucks!
>
> Secondly, it's a "kit" only in the sense that it does come
> "disassembled". The potential buyer is actually paying the
> "manufacturer" for the privilege of him (the "manufacturer) not having
> to pay an assembly line.
>
> Lastly, he brags about the "kit" being "built in America" because
> the owner "builds it right here in America". I wish I had the spare
> change to drop to buy one for no other reason than to see where all of
> the pre-assembled boards are actually assembled. No doubt in some
> PacRim nation where the guy who REALLY built it wouldn't be able to
> afford it in a lifetime.

There aren't nearly as many components built in the U.S. as in the past, Steve. Even back in the late seventies and early eighties, most solid state devices were produced in Mexico, Maylasia, Hong Kong, Taiwan, etc.

Among the reasons that I continue to use Ten-Tec gear is that families in the U.S. receive pay checks when I do so. Ten-Tec manufactures their enclosures, plastic components, variable caps and the like. When I telephone for service, a real person answers the telephone and directs my call. A service tech in the U.S. handles my inquiry. If my equipment needs service, I ship it a relatively short distance, pay a fair price and it is turned around in short order. Parts are on hand for most Ten-Tec gear produced.

My "made in USA" Orion is filled with components manufactured in the far east. Everybody's else's rig is filled with 'em.

I have, within the past couple of years, bought Japanese gear. I used to use a Paragon as an IF for two Ten-Tec transverters and one Microwave

Modules transverter for VHF/UHF bands. The switching became a pain.
I decided on an FT-847 because it had separate antenna ports for HF, 6m,
2m and 70cm. I bought an Icom IC-706 MkIIG for a mobile rig because
there simply wasn't room for even a Ten-Tec Scout to be mounted in my
Neon--and I still wouldn't have addressed the need for a 2m and 70cm rig.

For me to buy this latest assemble-it-yourself transceiver, it'd have to
be considerably better than what I have now. From what I've read, it
has numerous features which I see as having dubious value.

Dave K8MN

1533. Re: ARRL Admits Mistakes in Regulation By Bandwidth Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 15:49:07 UTC
From: arrl_member <arrlmember@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <42DE7272.B67CC8F9@arrl.org>
Source record: 112891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Where is Policy-Ham proposal ??

IF anyone don't like what arrl is or is not doing,
consider joining arrl and making changes.

Just like NRA or other associations,
everyone complains but stays on the sidelines.

1534. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:22:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121876533.087415.230800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112892

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > But you insist "adults" aren't "obssessed" with NOT using
> > > > > profanity.
> > > >
> > > > right they are not
> > >
> > > Then why isn't it in the papaers? The six o'clock news? Sunday
> > > sermon?
> >
> > explained that already
>
> No, you've not.

yes I have
>
> You only said "we're not in church".

I have said everything has its place
>
> You've not explained why you are so foul mouthed.

not realy that foul mouthed just using it for effect

and becuase your constant attacks post call for it

>
> > > > > So where is it?
> > > >
> > > > It is all around you just don't listen
> > >
> > > I must be hanging out in the wrong circles. I hear an occassional
> > > "damn" and that's about it.
> >
> > well there you go,
> >
> > also it have something to the stuff in your ears
> >
> > Or has indeed morese code made you deaf
>
> Nope.

something has

>
> I just associate with a better crowd of people is all.
>
> You prefer the weak-minded, the feeble, the easliy impressionable.
>
> I prefer a more mature, responsible and professional enviroment.
>
> > > You're feeble minded, Mark. A coward who won't come join the rest
> > > of us. Guess that's why you moved so far away from the rest of
> > > society.
> >
> > your are feeble minded Stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> > If you are there with your holier than thou #### not want to jion you
> >
> > indeed first thing you got right in ages
>
> It's not "holier than thou"...It's a desire to live a better, more
> civilized life.

you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but
a lower one
you are a bigot and a bully

>
> People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
> gene pool and spoil the party.

you are a greater threat to the pool than I

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1535. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:23:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121876626.498193.226710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112893

Dave Heil wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> >>good one....

> > I don't think that's going to happen, Mike.
> >
> > First off, I don't think very many folks will drop $3K to $6K on a
> > radio that comes incomplete, computer or not.

Incomplete?

Yes, $3000 to $6000 is a lot of dough. Definitely way above *my* ham
radio
budget. But that's just me, and what I'm willing to spend. Others will
put
>down a lot more for just a tower..

The DZ website makes the point of comparing popular rigs of ~40 years
ago
and their prices when adjusted for inflation. New ham gear in the bad
old
days was amazingly expensive when you consider the inflation factor.

In fact, consider what PCs cost just ten years ago! A 486DX50 with 32
meg of RAM and a half-gig hard drive could cost you big bucks then -
and it's a doorstop today.

> > I can spend a heck of a
> > lot of LESS money and get more radio AND computer for my bucks!

Maybe more computer, but not more radio, if the performance is up to
the claims.

But the big issue is how many hams will spend the money, and how many
DZ needs to sell to be viable. Maybe he only needs/plans to sell 100
or so.

Consider the Elecraft K2. When it first came out, I heard some
scoffing in the peanut gallery that said very few hams would pay
almost $600 for a rig that was, in basic form, CW only, hambands only
and QRP. They said that having SSB, 160, NB, ATU and 100W all as
extra-cost options would doom the rig and the company.

But more than 5000 have been sold since 1999 with minimal advertising.
> >

> > Secondly, it's a "kit" only in the sense that it does come
> > "disassembled". The potential buyer is actually paying the
> > "manufacturer" for the privilege of him (the "manufacturer) not having
> > to pay an assembly line.

I don't think so. It's not clear from the website how much assembly the
buyer actually does. Obviously it's less than the bag-o-parts scenario
of some kits but less than fully assembled.

> > Lastly, he brags about the "kit" being "built in America" because
> > the owner "builds it right here in America". I wish I had the spare
> > change to drop to buy one for no other reason than to see where all of
> > the pre-assembled boards are actually assembled. No doubt in some
> > PacRim nation where the guy who REALLY built it wouldn't be able to
> > afford it in a lifetime.

I think the boards may be assembled here in the USA. There are all
sorts
of prototype and assembly outfits here in the USA to do small runs. Of
course
they cost a lot more per unit than "offshore", but if you're not making
a
million units or even 10,000 units, the total package price can be very
competitive.

> There aren't nearly as many components built in the U.S. as in the past,
> Steve. Even back in the late seventies and early eighties, most solid
> state devices were produced in Mexico, Maylasia, Hong Kong, Taiwan, etc.

Yep. And while first runs of new devices may be US made, the production
quickly moves away. Not just silicon devices, either, but many other
components.
>
> Among the reasons that I continue to use Ten-Tec gear is that families
> in the U.S. receive pay checks when I do so. Ten-Tec manufactures their
> enclosures, plastic components, variable caps and the like. When I
> telephone for service, a real person answers the telephone and directs
> my call. A service tech in the U.S. handles my inquiry. If my
> equipment needs service, I ship it a relatively short distance, pay a
> fair price and it is turned around in short order. Parts are on hand
> for most Ten-Tec gear produced.

Yep. Service alone is a reason to buy Ten Tec.
>
> My "made in USA" Orion is filled with components manufactured in the far
> east. Everybody's else's rig is filled with 'em.

Not everybody's! ;-)

Not mine!

> For me to buy this latest assemble-it-yourself transceiver, it'd have to
> be considerably better than what I have now. From what I've read, it

> has numerous features which I see as having dubious value.

The real telling point will be the performance up against an Orion or other top-of-the-line state-of-the-art rig.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1536. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:25:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121876717.071238.53600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112894

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Gee...they don't limit ME...what's YOUR problem?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you have a different service provider
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It doesn't matter. Google doesn't care HOW you get to it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > gigo
> > > > >
> > > > You're right, but not for the same reason.
> > >
> > for exactly the right reason Your provider regulates what you post
> >
> My "provider" doesn't regulate what I post.

ah that is why AOl is such a sewer

>
> > > > > Unlilke you who tried to get as far north as he could to run away
> > > > > from the world.
> > > > >
> > > > you are a feeble minded fool
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > afraid so
> >
> Yes, you are. You provide so many examples of exactly why that's
> true, sorry-to-say.

not realy just fail to conform to your wishes

it is all about control on your part

but some folks don't think you are hot shit could not resist

>
> > > > I could have moved to Canada, Canada is futher north than this
> > >
> > Nope. Canada doesn't allow the importation of garbage.
> >

> > Canada allow people in the country
>
> Yes, Canada DOES allow PEOPLE in the country. Which is NOT what I
> said.

I reconize your bigoted and hate fill remark for what it is Shit

>
> > which mean you would not have made
>
> Too late. Been there. Repeatedly.
>
> > But what is up your ###...(SNIP)
>
> Unlike you, only what my intestinal tract put there.

sound more like you head

>
> > (UNSNIP)...becuase I live where I please
>
> The farther away from the impressionable and the innocent the
> better.

then if I am doing what you think I should stopp giving my shit over it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1537. shit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:26:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121876782.496596.211560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112895

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > a real newsflash like the one where Dean called every republican a
> > > > christain
> > >
> > > I am sure that meant something, but not in English.
> >
> > sure was stevie
>
> OK..WHAT was it?

sure was english

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1538. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:27:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121876863.364272.230330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112896

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> > > > > > > feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not feeble minded
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no resmeblence
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not only do you resemble it, you're teh poster boy for it!
> > > > >
> > > > teh poster boy
> > > >
> > > My bad on the "teh"
> > >
> > > However the previous comment stands: You're poster boy for the
> > > potty mouthed.
> >
> > not at all there are far worse
>
> If there are, they aren't HERE.

so
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1539. Bully

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:32:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121877153.719382.25600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112897

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope. But you are. Six threads all labelled with profanities.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not at all
> > > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely. You relabel all posts with the same profanity
> > > > >
> > > > but I am what?
> > > >
> > > A coward. A liar.
> > >
> > a coawrd for standing up to a bully

>
> You are a coward. There's no bully here.

a pair of stevie lies

stevie spend his time bulling people I guess because as a nursie he has
take shit at work

>
> > > > you cutt that part
> > > >
> > > you object to the truth as normal
> > >
> > I don't object to knowing that you're a coward, Markie.
> >
> > if I am a cowrd so what?
>
> Then you're a "cowrd". Just want to make sure it's documented
> along with your admission to being a liar.

and there is anyone here that does not know your opinion

>
> So far we now have documentation as to your lying,
> misrepresentations as to military service, sexual deviance and now
> cowardice.

no sexaul devaince no cawrdaice just more Stvie lies

>
> > > > > > as I said does not offend me in the slightest
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Coward.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > prehaps
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No "prehaps"
> > > > > >
> > > > > yes prehaps
> > > > >
> > > > > cowardlyness is not a crime
> > > >
> > > And you DO make it an art form.
> > >
> > not an honnor I can claim sorry
> >
> Don't worry, you don't have to "claim" anything.

no you will simply lie and cliam it for me

>
> Consider it "bestowed".
>
> > > > > > You're a coward.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Really some one that stands up to a bully like you is a coward check
> > > > > you websters
> > > >
> > > You are a coward, Mark.
> > >
> > nope
> >
> Yep.

nop

>
> Prove me wrong.
>
> Seek help for your deviance and your "dyslexia".

no Help needed for either, nursie

>
> > > If you weren't, you'd have the guts to fix your problems and act
> > > like a man.
> > >
> > I act like man
> >

> Nope.

I do you should start acting one

>
> You're still ill and your still potty-mouthed.

feeling fine and not realy

>
> > You act like a little kids on the playground screaming "he said the s
> > word" stop him stop him
>
> You try to dilute the civility of our social strata with your
> profanities and deviance.

no you polute civility nrign it futher and further down

Not a devaint and not being profanemerely labeling vagar shit as vugar
shit

>
> > > You find it easier to cower as a liar and deviant.
> >
> > not cowering not a devaint
> > according to the AMA
>
> You're both.

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1540. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:35:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121877336.331179.213780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112898

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > And what's YOUR job as an abuser of the language? To see just how
> > > > > > crappy you can abuse it and still get by?
> > > > >
> > > > > not at all I don't do this professoinaly as you know
> > > >
> > > > I didn't say anything about "professionally".
> > > >
> > > > I asked you what was YOU job as an abuser of the language.
> > >
> > > was you what try was "your"
>
> Like you say...you got the message.
>
> > But it is not my job i certainly don't get paid for it
> >
> > don't even abuse the langauage as a hobby
>
> Then is has to be a sickness, and you STILL need help.

not at all

>
> > > If you understood English as well as you say, you WOULD have
> > > understood.
> >
> > I understood just choose to repsond as I saw fit
>
> As I said...You "choose" to abuse us ALL with it. You're forcing
> everyone else to adapt to you rahter than the other way around.

no I am not nobody is forcing to read a word of it.

Indeed you have promised not in the past and then broke that promise

>
> > I know you object to people using there personaly freedoms
>
> "personaly freedoms"...?!?!

you understand and you can't stand anyone asserting their rights

Bigot and bully and childish fool

>
> I object to idiots being allowed to abuse others, hence I object
> to you.

only object to idoits abusing others is that how you rationlize you
attacks on Todd

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1541. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:38:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121877487.235544.137970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112899

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

> My thoughts.
>
> First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate
> components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup
> might just be fun to use.

Some folks might like the integrated internal computer. It's certainly
the first rig to offer it. My only concern is that a computer's life
cycle is much shorter than most ham rigs'.

> Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the
> modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop,
> with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But
> that's just me.

You can plug an external VGA display into the rig.

> Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
> might be expanded upon.

It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
form of standardization.

> Don't like the computer interface screenshot.
>
> I really hope that they do well. I do think the price point is too
> high. I would be willing to pay extra for an American made unit, but 3K
> for the entry level, and 6K for the works unit is pretty high.

Perhaps - but a lot depends on how well it really performs.

> Unless of course, the rig has outstanding performance. I would love to
> see some test results.

Mo bettah, some actual on-air performance. Somebody wins CQWW DX with
one, then watch the fireworks...

Or to really get to the bottom of it: Is it $6000 worth of fun?

> Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> good one....
>
Expand which concept? Kits have been around a long time - curious that
they never mention Elecraft or any of the other kits...

Of course my entire main HF rig cost me less than one of their optional filters, so I guess everything is relative....

One more observation on price:

Go to the local Home Depot, Lowes or similar outfit, as long as they carry a full range of appliances and such. Look at the ranges, refrigerators, countertops, etc.

You can get a nice range for $300-400, or spend double, triple, quadruple that price for one with all the gizmos like self-cleaning, stainless steel, high-capacity oven, dissimilar burners, etc. Same for dishwashers, refrigerators, etc. Countertops? Granite seems to have replaced Corian and similar materials around here, at $100 a square foot, installed. Me, I prefer a nice laminate like Formica, but apparently a lot of folks prefer fancier stuff.

Does a burger taste any better if it's made in such a kitchen? I dunno, but they must be selling the fancy stuff because they continue to stock it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1542. Re: Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:41:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121877696.437757.64950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112900

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> > > > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor
> > > > >
> > > > nope more Stevie lies
> > > >
> > > > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
> > > >
> > > > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > you seem preopied with it
> >
> "preopied"...?!?!
>
> The time before Ron Howard on Mayberry RFD...???
>
> > > An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> > > English language well.
> > >
> > I understand it quite
> >
> Quite what?
>
> You suggest you have a handle on English but you can't even finish
> a five word sentence without botvhing it up one way or the other!

so?

>
> > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> > Radio newsgroup
> >
> Sure you are.

I do respond you use of sexuality with it when I choose

you lying sack of shit

you drug it in years ago. you keep bring it up

you were calling tood a homsexaul beofre I arrived back

>
> > > Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> > > a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> > > all of us get with YOUR program.
> >
> > Projecting again
>
> Nope. You're the profanity guy...Well, you and Toiddie.

don't demand you do a thing
not anything

hiding

How am I hiding?

>
> > I am a man
>
> You're a girl in man's clothing.

nope more of your gential obsession

>
> > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
>
> What "word"...?!?!?

shit

>
> > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
>
> I stay step-for-step with you, Wusso...Seem's I can take it fairly
> well.

you whine about it constantly

you lie constantly

you bully constanly

>
> > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
>
> You have yet to demonstrate any "right" that's been violated.

the right to exist with being harrased
you harass 20 -30 time a day

>
> Did you go to "church" unhindered this week?

GIGO

>
> Did anyone sit at your side with a gun to your head and prevent
> you from typing the "words" you wanted to type?

no but you contiue to harras me

>
> > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
>
> You're demanding that the world adapt to you, not the other way
> around...You tell everyone else to "deal" with your incompetence with
> English rather than doing something to fix it yourself.

not at all

stop lying

then don't read as you promised

>
> You're a lazy coward.

not realy but then you are not honest
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1543. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:41:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121877710.038621.190750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112901

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > You enjoy being the victim. Your own fetish for being the
> > > > > whipping boy will keep you second place regardless of the endeavor
> > > > >
> > > > nope more Stevie lies
> > > >
> > > > You are projecting it seems You enjoy whiping so you assume
> > > >
> > > > Indeed you realy seem to get off on whiping men
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > you seem preopied with it
> >
> "preopied"...?!?!
>
> The time before Ron Howard on Mayberry RFD...???
>
> > > An other obvious bit of evidence that you don't understand the
> > > English language well.
> > >
> > I understand it quite
> >
> Quite what?
>
> You suggest you have a handle on English but you can't even finish
> a five word sentence without botvhing it up one way or the other!

so?

>
> > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> > Radio newsgroup
> >
> Sure you are.

I do respond you use of sexuality with it when I choose

you lying sack of shit

you drug it in years ago. you keep bring it up

you were calling tood a homsexaul beofre I arrived back

> > > > Nope. That's your schtick, Markie. Too afraid to come out and be
> > > > a man...Hiding behind profanities and insults on screen, demanding that
> > > > all of us get with YOUR program.
> > >
> > > Projecting again
> >
> > Nope. You're the profanity guy...Well, you and Toiddie.

don't demand you do a thing
not anything

hiding

How am I hiding?

> > > I am a man
> >
> > You're a girl in man's clothing.

nope more of your gential obsession

> > > you are so afraid of a word that you go on a enternal rant
> >
> > What "word"...?!?!?

shit

> > > you are the personal attack man and a coward that can dish it (lately
> > > esp to Todd) but you can't take it yourself
> >
> > I stay step-for-step with you, Wusso...Seem's I can take it fairly
> > well.

you whine about it constantly

you lie constantly

you bully constanly

> > > no demand at all beyond being allowed basic rights
> >
> > You have yet to demonstrate any "right" that's been violated.

the right to exist with being harrased
you harass 20 -30 time a day

> > Did you go to "church" unhindered this week?

GIGO

>
> Did anyone sit at your side with a gun to your head and prevent
> you from typing the "words" you wanted to type?

no but you contiue to harras me

>
> > what program BTW am I pusshing (according to you)
>
> You're demanding that the world adapt to you, not the other way
> around...You tell everyone else to "deal" with your incompetence with
> English rather than doing something to fix it yourself.

not at all

stop lying

then don't read as you promised

>
> You're a lazy coward.

not realy but then you are not honest
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1544. Re: Loser Stevie continues that attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:43:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121877820.021917.321390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112902

K4YZ wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
> > > in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
> > >PM, and GAVE UP.
> > >
> > >Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >
> > Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.
> >
> > Now shut the hell up about it.
>
> Just like Lennie to attain mediocrity and then quit.
>
> That's why his CV is loaded with jobs, but no real achievements.

judgemental as always
>
> Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
>
> Oh well...
>
> 73

another stevie lie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1545. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 16:48:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121878089.909067.272260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112903

Dave Heil wrote:
> dude wrote:
> > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> >
> > It could indeed be worse, one could have the misfortune of suffering a room temperature IQ, such as the victim of such an occurrence, dave heil.
> >
> > You do serve well as an example of this phenomenon.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Signed,
> > scorpiox
>
> Yeah, right, Bubba. Happy ôCWÆersö!

confessing Dave?

guess you can't renoize a foregin fount and so much for the Claim that
CW folks are more international

>
> Dave K8MN

1546. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 17:02:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121876845.699523.208830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112904

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Code testing or not, the majority of people will not tolerate a
> > > > > > > feebleminded coward who's mouth looks like an ad for Porta-Potties.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not feeble minded
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no resmeblence
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not only do you resemble it, you're teh poster boy for it!
> > > > >
> > > > teh poster boy
> > > >
> > > My bad on the "teh"
> > >
> > > However the previous comment stands: You're poster boy for the
> > > potty mouthed.
> >
> > not at all there are far worse
>
> If there are, they aren't HERE.

so
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1547. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 17:05:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xvvDe.5805$dU3.3569@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112905

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>dude wrote:
>>
>>>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>>>
>>>It could indeed be worse, one could have the misfortune of suffering a room temperature IQ, such as the victim of such an occurrence, dave heil.
>>>
>>>You do serve well as an example of this phenomenon.
>>>
>>>Thanks.
>>>
>>>Signed,
>>>scorpiox
>>
>>Yeah, right, Bubba. Happy ï¿½CWï¿½ersï¿½!
>
>
> confessing Dave?
>
> guess you can't renoize a foregin fount and so much for the Claim that
> CW folks are more international

You're right, Mark. I can't "renoize a foregin fount". I had some
friends who traveled to Nevada once. They may have been Renoized.

Dave K8MN

1548. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 17:17:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121879829.503771.175630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112906

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> > good one....
>
> I don't think that's going to happen, Mike.
>
> First off, I don't think very many folks will drop $3K to $6K on a
> radio that comes incomplete, computer or not. I can spend a heck of a
> lot of LESS money and get more radio AND computer for my bucks!
>
> Secondly, it's a "kit" only in the sense that it does come
> "disassembled". The potential buyer is actually paying the
> "manufacturer" for the privilege of him (the "manufacturer) not having
> to pay an assembly line.
>
> Lastly, he brags about the "kit" being "built in America" because
> the owner "builds it right here in America". I wish I had the spare
> change to drop to buy one for no other reason than to see where all of
> the pre-assembled boards are actually assembled.

> No doubt in some
> PacRim nation where the guy who REALLY built it wouldn't be able to
> afford it in a lifetime.

You just might be surprised to find out that the boards were stuffed in the U.S. Not all board design and stuffing is done overseas by a long shot. The Asians can't be touched when it comes to designing and cranking out the boards which go into mid to high volume equipment.

Conversly the U.S. is the global top gun when it comes to very competitvely producing boards which go into low volume equipment. Which includes the likes of Ten-tec gear kits and otherwise. So I suspect that the boards in all the few "Made in America" pieces of solid state ham gear available today are designed, burned and stuffed right here.

The big tricks the U.S. has in the short-run board biz invlove our extremely automated, flexible, quick turnaround design/burn/stuff capabilities which are unique at the international level and it's a *big* bucks biz.

If a Ten-tec decided the next-off-the-line series of OhRyans needs a newly-designed boards any number of circuit board job shops in this country can put a few protypes in Ten-tec's hands inside 72 hours for test and evaluation and be set to make whatever revisions Ten-tech

wants and be set to roll into production within hours after it gets the feedback from Ten-tec.

As if any ricbox radio builder can come even close.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

1549. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 17:32:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121880720.064699.36660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112907

From: Mike Coslo on Jul 19, 8:08 pm

> http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

> My thoughts.
>
> First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate
>components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup
>might just be fun to use.

It's not the first such integrated radio transceiver.

The DZ Sienna "predecessor" - called the PSKUBE - no longer on
the market, was even more integrated. See the assembly-
instruction-theory manual available on the DZ website. A single
box with an LCD screen for a Windows-like display, plug-in
keyboard, obviously intended to be a "starter rig" (20 W)
mainly for PSK-31. Kind of a niche market in itself.

> Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the
>modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop,
>with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But
>that's just me.

You can get those now from other folks, use a "real" laptop for
the entire control of them. Otherwise the modern ready-built HF
transceivers generally have the same size "teeny" displays.

> Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
>might be expanded upon.

Why is that "interesting?" SGC makes several autotuners, buildable
inside or use externally. The DZ Sienna isn't the first to offer
a built-in automatic antenna tuner.

> Don't like the computer interface screenshot.

Okay, then program up an interface using the Windows format and
calls. Maybe the internal operating system is as accessible as
the Sienna itself? Like "addressing it on-line like it was
another PC." It uses a single-board computer, PC compatible.

> I really hope that they do well. I do think the price point is too
>high.

What, with your choice of three different front panel colors? :-)

> I would be willing to pay extra for an American made unit, but 3K
>for the entry level, and 6K for the works unit is pretty high.

Hmmm...sounds vaguely like the Signal One and MIL-Spec market
tries.

Of course, if you can't afford a Rolls-Royce, there is always
the Bentley... :-)

> Unless of course, the rig has outstanding performance. I would love to
>see some test results.

Technically, it should have equal performance with all other HF
ham transceivers in its price range. Emotionally, that's another
story, and that is entirely subjective.

> Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
>good one....

Maybe, maybe not.

Take a look at the predecessor, the PSKUBE. Looked like a neat
idea but it was withdrawn as a product...even though it was
obviously all set for kit production. On-board PC-compatible
computer, built-in screen. Probably did not "look like a
radio" to many potential buyers. There's a terrible conservatism
to many hams who could afford such a rig. A product has to fit
the market first...innovation can be introduced gradually later.

The off-shore designer-manufacturers got into the American
market by first entering with radios that had the same features
as Heath, Hallicrafters, National, and Collins. Heath and
Hallicrafters went defunct. National just kind of disappeared.
Collins is still making radios but for government/commercial
use, having left the ham market decades ago. The innovations in
modern ready-built ham radios (PLL-DDS frequency control with
memory storage, easy "split" operation, RIT (or equivalent),
DSP (of many kinds), all through an internal microprocessor
(which allows even more features) were done by Yaesu, Kenwood,
Icom. The latter-day American saints came after them with the
possible exception (maybe) of Drake. [arguments from Ten-Tec
fanatics but then they always argue a lot anyway...]

Incorporation of ready-built assemblies and sub-systems is not
a new thing. That's been in the works here and off-shore for
about four decades. Using an industry-standard single-board
PC in an amateur transceiver IS a new thing, carrying on-board
flexibility to uncharted new heights. Having (total?) remote,
done through the net kind of operation is going to batter some
of the Part 97 regulations on remote control if this had been
a ready-built instead of a kit. [a small pause while Hans
assembles the appropriate sub-Parts for publication here]

An on-board computer - using a true ADAPTING algorithm (I've seen one such in operation) - could obviate the need for the "trained morse operator" who could "work" through the worst QRM or QRN that is in the universe. :-) Right away that makes it a no-no for the PCTA extras in here. :-)

If Brian and his small DZ company succeed, they will have a niche market still in my viewpoint. Sort of like Elecraft. If there are complaints about a "kit," then look at Elecraft's "service" of having "builders" who will assemble sets for those customers that want that particular model ready-built. Assembly costs extra, of course.

I'll tip my hat to Wood and the DZ Sienna just for trying. It looks like a well-engineered set and the Heathkit-flavored manual format is right on the money for Heath fans. It looks like the design is a Labor of Love for Brian Wood...a good start for a long relationship.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1550. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 17:35:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121880941.521283.56220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112908

From: John Kasupski on Jul 19, 9:28 am

>On 15 Jul 2005 13:07:17 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Especially the part where - as INPLIED - that amateur radio
>> operators are (miraculously) ALL capable of actual emergency
>> communications and ready (at a moment's notice) to "save lives
>> and property."
>
>Undoubtedly, many are, and many aren't. The point that is being missed
>here is that the percentage of operators who are properly trained is
>higher among hams than it is among operators in other radio services
>such as CB, MURS, GMRS, FRS, etc.

Whoa...things were fine until you mentioned "percentages" in
regards to those "other radio services such as..."

Was there some kind of "poll" done by anyone on "those other
services?" I don't think so. :-) I'm sure you'd like to
think so, but I don't think your use there was anything more
than a figure of speech.

"Other radio services" include ALL the Public Safety radio
services "such as" police, fire, medical mobiles, etc., etc.
ALL of those Public Safety radio service folks are trained
for emergency work since that is - essentially - their work.

>This is largely because emergency management authorities at the
>Federal, state, and local level have incorporated amateur radio into
>their SOPs, SOGs, and IPs and thus training opportunities for the
>operators have been made available - and taken advantage of - whereas
>those other radio services have NOT been incorporated into disaster
>planning...with the result that fewer operators, percentage-wise, in
>those radio services have any formal training at all.

You ought to investigate what other states do for emergencies.
The California Auxilliary Communications Service (ACS) will
"incorporate" anyone as a volunteer and they do NOT restrict
such communications to amateur radio. The ACS doesn't restrict
"communications" solely to radio, either.

I hate to do this to an easterner, especially a New Yorker,
but California is the most populous state in the Union. :-)
It's also one of the biggest (about third in area) and thus
includes a variety of geographic and temperate enviornments
(requires the entirety of a day at highway speeds to go from
northern-southern borders...roughly 20 hours of driving, not

recommended to be done in that day). Given a lengthy seacoast,
California faces tsunami dangers and has had one small seacoast
town destroyed by one. [tsunami proceedures are in place in
the CA Office of Emergency Services] California hasn't had
any hurricanes but a few tornados, what we substitute for wind
is earthquakes. Earthquake science hasn't progressed to being
predicted with any accuracy although hurricanes can be plotted
and predicted for paths for days.

>> All of that intimation is very nice, warm, and fuzzy self-promotion
>> for what is basically a HOBBY pursuit, a recreation, something done
>> by individuals for their own pleasure. Tall Tales of derring-do
>> (and usually of daring doo-doo).
>
>While it may appear that way to someone on the outside looking in, the
>fact is that people become licensed in the Amateur radio Service for a
>variety of reasons. For some it is, indeed, a hobby or recreation. For
>others, it is an elegant solution to the need for reliable personal
>communications.

I'm sure all those XYL wives are "elegant." :-)

"Reliable personal communications?" [koff, chok, sputter, haw!]

There's upwards of 180 MILLION cell phones in the USA right now.
So many that their number now EXCEEDS that of wired telephone
subscriber installations. There was nothing like that a decade
or two ago, yet amateur radio has been around since before 1914
(birth of the ARRL). If amateur radio was such an "elegant
solution to the need for reliable personal communications," then
one would expect that all those mobile communicators would be
little ham transceivers, yes? No...

> For still others, it is a means of giving back
>something to the communities in which we live, and an opportunity to
>be able to do something when the proverbial merde hits the proverbial
>ventillateur, just as people do when they become volunteer firemen or
>EMT/paramedics or auxiliary police.

I've served four tours of Jury Duty (in-court, not sitting around
"on call"). Does this mean I should go and study LAW in order to
"give back to my community" (aphorism for ordinary public civic
duty)?

I've voted in every election, local to general-federal, since
1956 (in person, not absentee ballot). Does this mean I have to
go into POLITICS in order to "give back to my community" (aphorism
for civic duty)?

Since the urban area I live in (since 1956) has professional
police and fire departments for a population area of about 12
million citizens, "volunteers" and "auxilliary" exist but are
not any sort of high percentage of the total. Those that

REALLY want to serve - and can meet the physical standards -
join the pro ranks and do it right.

>When I took ICS-100 the instructor was a deputy sheriff with
>considerable practical experience in law enforcement and as a first
>responder in hazmat and mass casualty incidents. During this course,
>he happened to remark that communications is invariably the first
>thing that breaks down when an incident starts to get out of control
>or when the scope and magnitude of an incident has overwhelmed
>available resources.

Are you going to "illustrate" such an "overwhelming available
resource" for ME?!? :-) Eleven and a half years ago this
entire urban area (Los Angeles) experienced an earthquake that
tripped off the ENTIRE primary electrical power supply to about
10 million residents. ENTIRE. A "black start" (a rarity of
rares to the power folks) had to be done. That didn't disturb
the EXISTING emergency communications system then. Police
departments all had their comms...fire departments all had their
comms...abulance bases all had their comms...utility services
(who would have the brunt of the work ahead) all had their comms.
Comms worked right through the electrical power blackout.

I'm sure your deputy sheriff means well, but it sounds more like
he was "playing to the house" (working up to making audience feel
good) rather than going by example. What he probably meant was
the ordinary "communications" to the AVERAGE CITIZEN got over-
whelmed. Of course the telephone system would be overloaded by
panic-stricken folks looking for some kind of assurance during
a most anxious time. Had he been cognizant of emergency comms
planning and IMPLIMENTATION as done on the west coast, he would
have been a bit more circumspect in what he said.

>Which is where we hams come into the picture.

"Give me some men who are stout-hearted men..." etc., like the
stirring songs of old musical movies... :-)

>> Okay, now YOU show the rest of us how many radio amateurs are
>> "trained" in emergency communications.
>
>> Please, NO more tall tales of derring-doo-doo as federally-
>> authorized radio AMATEURS, implied or otherwise. Be specific.
>
>> When push comes to shove, you CANNOT show those numbers of radio
>> amateurs. You are bluffing your way through this non-argument.
>
>While I'd imagine ARRL has numbers on how many hams have completed the
>Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses, in general the
>agencies that offer training opportunities don't keep track of things
>to that degree of detail.

Thank you for your honesty.

>However, those of us who are involved with disaster relief, emergency
>response, Red Cross, ARES/RACES, and other agencies with similiar
>missions (as opposed to those on the outside looking in) and who have
>received appropriate training, can see with our own eyes how many hams
>there are in the classes when we go to receive our own training in
>ICS, ERT, CERT, the Red Cross courses...and of course ALL of the folks
>who've completed the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses
>are hams.

By golly, all them acreditation certificates (probably suitable
for framing) look so damn IMPRESSIVE!

>When I took Introduction to Disaster, under the auspices of the
>Greater Buffalo chapter of American Red Cross, the *entire* class was
>made up of hams from Erie County ARES/RACES. Ditto for the Mass Care
>class that followed a few weeks later.

Darn...I should have been taking all those CLASSES instead of
being thrown into the real thing over here. [Northridge Quake
of '94 wasn't my first experience] All I've done was participate
in drills rather than in classrooms, doing it on-the-air as
necessary instead of some kind of "net protocol requirements."

Well, that's what I get for not wanting all them titles and
certificates... :-(

>I took ICS and ERT as part of Erie County SMART and completely
>separate from ARES/RACES - but I do know that the ARES/RACES guys all
>took at least ICS-100 (awareness level), most also took ICS-200
>(operations level) and many went right on through the whole gamut (to
>Incident management level training).
>
>(Note for the uninitiated, there are five levels: Awareness,
>Operations, Technician, Specialist, Incident Management. This is a
>standard specified by OSHA.)

Geez, John, does this mean I gotta salute you and say "sir"
on any response?

>At least a dozen hams from the club I belong to have taken the CERT
>training course...just one club out of several in the area whose
>members also participated.
>
>Nobody at any of these courses has said anything about being a CB
>operator, or MURS, or GMRS, etc.

If those courses had only radio amateur attendance, it would
be folly to mention other radio services... :-)

>> All that is left is an estimation of the various radios used in
>> the various radio services in the USA...by the Electronic
>> Industries Association, the Census Bureau, Department of the

>> Interior, Department of Transportaion, NTIA, etc., etc.
>
>The number of radios does not equate to the number of properly trained
>operators that are available to operate them.

Yes, SIR! <salute>

>> Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
>> make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal
>> authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways
>> and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB
>> sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or
>> much of anything else for "statistics."
>
>As the old saying goes, "Statistics are for losers."

Shall the United States disestablish the Bureau of the Census?

Shall the NTIS disestablish its precision time-frequency
standards operations? [see things like "Allan Variance" for
precision determination]

Shall the insurance companies disincorporate because hams
are immortal and therefore do not meet actuarial tables?

>All the proof anyone could really need of modified and illegal CB rigs
>is to fire up a receiver and listen between 26 MHz and 28 MHz.

There you have it. "Obviously" with all users stuck in a
little teeny bandspace for 4 million plus operational radios,
it is "full of illegal operators!" :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The same little receiver that lets me pick up
all those "illegal operators" lets me listen to all the MF-HF
ham bands. :-) Want to argue your point...especially during
the Contest events? :-)

>> Neither can you "prove" that licensed amateur radio operators
>> are ALL or even partly "trained in emergency communications" or
>> are automatically some kind of "life savers."
>
>Sure I can. I myself am a ham and I am trained in emergency
>communications, and other skill sets necessary to disaster relief, and
>I know for a fact that so are many other hams in my area. Again,
>you're on the outside looking in.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I "shouda stayed in school..." :-)

Yeah, John, I'm just a "know-nothing" for being IN a few
real emergencies...and doing some comms. Unfortunately, none
of that was with a Real Ham License. Not at all important.
Haven't handled a single health and welfare message. Tsk.

> Get back to me when you yourself
>have taken the courses on Incident Command, Emergency Response To
>Terrorism, Mass Care, Community Emergency Response Team, Introduction
>To Disaster, and/or the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses
>that the ARRL offers.

Okay, John, now YOU get back to ME when you've been IN a REAL
EMERGENCY SITUATION. Earthquakes not necessary...substitute
something that affects about 10 million or so locals.

Tell us how it feels to confront a "terrorist" face-to-face,
armed with your trusty ham rig.

Tell us how it feels to jury-rig a pole hoist to restore part
of the electrical supply using your morse key. ["just rub two
wires together, right?]

Tell us how it feels to mass-QSO a storm front into submission
so that hams can walk down the snow-cleared street proudly
with their certificates raised on high...

>Of course, in the latter case you'll need a ham license first.

In New York state, maybe. Not in the California ACS.

Oh, and I've had a commercial license since 1956...

>I know you don't have one.

That sounds vaguely treasonous. Like I don't have a birth
certificate or equivalent... :-)

> Don't feel bad.

I don't. :-)

>Most of the operators of those
>millions of CB transceivers you mentioned don't either.

Correction, John. NOT ONE of those millions of CB transceivers
has a ham license. Never did. Not even before they were created
47 years ago. See "type accepted" under Part 95.

>John Kasupski, KC2HMZ, Tonawanda, New York
>President, Amateur Radio Association of the Tonawandas
>Co-Coordinator, ARATS Emergency Communications Team
>Member, Erie County ARES/RACES
>Erie County SMART Volunteer
>ARRL WNY Public Information Officer

<salute!> Yes, SIR! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[no particular "titles" of nobility here other than being IN a
few real emergencies...which doesn't count, of course, since I
wan't in any course to make it "credible." Incredible! :-)]

1551. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 17:54:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121882055.221982.294450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112909

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Here coms another "radical" startup. Yuri strikes again.

w3rv

1552. CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 19:26:39 UTC
From: dude <scorpiox@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20050720192639.8922.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 112910

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Did your friends visit area 51? Was it there they "Renoized?"

Perhaps that is the explaination for your "high strangeness?" You are an alien?

Signed,
scorpiox

~~~
This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the message.
It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
Date: Wed Jul 20 19:26:34 2005 GMT
From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3ia
Charset: noconv

iQEVAwUBQt6lbk5NDhYLYPHNAQExbAf+Ky4fsVqafUuLK3gvXWT5tQSqkctrk3ux
GvMDOpiKRvwK00gDgYkRBQ5n65H0pbdEbpCLDH87HGQPvZHp7FUi9Cy1kx+Ip52G
TCA/ESmMBFneLRBlnS9fHyxLR2jVOYoCmb2VM9jPPZvrnrzgwa7adILKp5lt4Rlk
FTirUXOUJyY9PX2QJ39xyXCGfoxZfblTKUh+elgy8jHYBCr3yV36WgY8P39lenTw
e5xuddYX0zU0lL6oz8iLMwI7hnoFQkXuWxPHRg6jZHrYb/a4EdQ7tvd5HwyrpnPt
DCeRTbNSL9Vh7B3Fy2aJ5aeCgku68ROGkv6ciFqfH7yaW1jNgKhN/w==
=4ZaC
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1553. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 21:11:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <fqidncdhXdanUEPfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112916

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> guess you can't renoize a foregin fount and so much for the Claim that
> CW folks are more international

Do you every write anything that makes sense?

1554. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 21:21:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <FfzDe.14485$aY6.14309@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121863956.698472.229510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> I've started a new message board for the discussion of K1MAN, feel free
>> to express your views about him on there.
>
> Fifteen views and not one vote...not even Toiddie!
>
> Tell ya something about where K1MAN ranks with most Hams, Toiddie?
>
> Care to venture a guess where YOU rank...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Dan/W4NTI

1555. Re: Loser Lennie finally tells the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 21:36:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <StzDe.14490$aY6.11750@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112912

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121847351.361220.239920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
>> > in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
>> >PM, and GAVE UP.
>> >
>> >Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>
>> Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.
>>
>> Now shut the hell up about it.
>
> Just like Lennie to attain mediocrity and then quit.
>
> That's why his CV is loaded with jobs, but no real achievements.
>
> Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
>
> Oh well...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

That's right Steve. If Lennie would have "lowered" himself to pass just the
5wpm and the General theory....then he would be a present day General light.
With a real old timers W or K call.

Then he could be on here bragging about the good old days when he just got
into ham radio......on second thought, I'm glad he is a quitter.

Dan/W4NTI

1556. A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 21:51:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112913

http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc

73 de Jim, N2EY

1557. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 21:54:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lKzDe.2087$6f.971@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112914

Here you go Lennie, chew on this a while.

I know, we hams don't do anything worth while. Funny you seem to be the ONLY one with that opinion. Even the President of the United States disagrees with you. (what a surprise, eh folks?)

And just for grins I included some stuff on foreign shores, see Lennie, even the Europeans disagree with you. Thus Lennie= Lennie the loser.

Dan/W4NTI

==>PRESIDENT BUSH SENDS GREETINGS TO FIELD DAY 2005 PARTICIPANTS

President George W. Bush has sent greetings from the White House to everyone participating in ARRL Field Day 2005.

"I send greetings to those celebrating the annual Field Day for Amateur Radio, hosted by the American Radio Relay League. Across our country, radio plays a vital role in relaying important information to the public and emergency service personnel in times of need," the president said.

"By providing emergency communications at the federal, state, and local level, licensed Amateur Radio operators help first responders and law enforcement officials save lives and make our country safer. Your efforts help ensure the right assistance gets to the right people at the right time. I appreciate all ham operators who give their time and energy to help make our citizens more secure. Your good work reflects the spirit of America and contributes to a culture of responsibility and citizenship that strengthens our nation. Laura and I send our best wishes."

An annual exercise aimed at developing skills to meet the challenges of emergency preparedness as well as to acquaint the general public with the capabilities of Amateur Radio, ARRL Field Day takes place this year on Saturday and Sunday, June 25-26. Stations throughout the Americas may participate.

==>AMATEUR RADIO EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION FOCUS OF WORLD CONFERENCE

Tampere, Finland, played host June 13-14 to the first Global Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Conference (GAREC 2005). Participants from 17 countries and representatives of all three International Amateur Radio Union (IARU) regions gathered to discuss and exchange information on the role of Amateur Radio in emergency communication. A conference statement summarized the value of Amateur Radio to emergency communication worldwide.

"The Amateur Radio Service has the proven capabilities and capacities to serve the international community through its global network of infrastructure-independent stations," the statement concluded. "Such

stations are not only most likely to withstand the physical impact of disasters, but their flexibility furthermore avoids the overload all public networks inevitably experience in the aftermath of disasters."

The statement also pointed to the Amateur Service as "an invaluable resource of skilled operators, trained and experienced in maintaining communications under the most adverse conditions." It further concluded that it's essential "to ensure that this resource can be fully utilized in the service of emergency and disaster response providers." Conferees agreed as well that the Amateur Service needs access to "appropriate portions of the shared and limited resource of the radio frequency spectrum."

The IARU has submitted the summary as an input document to the World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS), set to take place in Tunisia this November.

Representing the IARU and chairing GAREC 2005 was Hans Zimmermann, HB9AQS, the IARU's International Coordinator for Emergency Communications. Past ARRL President Rod Stafford, W6ROD, represented IARU in his capacity as Region 2 President and the League in his capacity as International Affairs Vice President.

Major topics included cooperation between radio amateurs and institutional emergency response providers on the national level, and an exchange of experiences from recent events. Presentations showed how hams support emergency responders as skilled volunteer telecommunication operators as well as via their own global networks.

Conferees also talked over ways to improve and facilitate the work of emergency communication networks. Participants agreed on the desirability of establishing a "Center of Activity Frequency" for emergency traffic on 80, 40, 20, 17 and 15 meters. SRAL, the IARU member-society for Finland and the host of GAREC 2005 will forward a proposal to that effect to the IARU for its consideration. This could happen during the IARU Region 1 Conference this September. GAREC-2005 did not put forth specific center-of-activity frequencies, but the proposal did recommend calling them "The Tampere Frequencies."

Because of Tampere's association with the history of emergency and disaster communication, the city's name has become nearly synonymous with emergency telecommunication. Among signal events, an experts' conference there in 1991 adopted the Tampere Declaration on Disaster Communications. In 1998, the Intergovernmental Conference on Emergency Telecommunications (ICET-98) adopted the Tampere Convention on the Provision of Telecommunication Resources for Disaster Mitigation and Relief Operations. Effective as of January 8, 2005, the convention largely eliminates roadblocks to moving telecommunications personnel and equipment across international borders into and within disaster-stricken areas. Tampere has hosted several related conferences on emergency telecommunication as well. To maintain the momentum, plans already are being discussed for a second global conference in 2006.

A GAREC 2005 summary is available on the IARU Web site
<http://www.iaru.org/emergency/summary.html>.--IARU

1558. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 21:55:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ILzDe.2088$6f.112@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112915

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1121834354.652746.26840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> that isn't a blog it's a bbs, two different things
>

It doesn't matter if it is framed in gold and given away free.....You wrote it, or contribute to it, or advertise it. Which means no one with any sense will be there.

Dan/W4NTI

1559. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 22:24:11 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <moudnWi44dz8Q0PfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112923

dude wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>
> This thread has certainly ran on long enough that intelligent men can draw conclusion--indeed, it is enough that even "mor'roons" can get a clue.
>
> All here participate in gang activity like a pack of angry, scabby, pestilence ridden wolves. Like a gang of uneducated thugs from some ghetto, you rise to the call of a buffoon to attack.
>
> None here could you ever call a friend, as when bad times came around they would turn upon you like they have the poor fallen individual they have here. Their metal has been revealed and it is rusted and worthless. They prove themselves more worthless than the fool they attack, in hopes all will be diverted from seeing their true worth.
>
> It is always good to give such fools as these yards of rope, they end up hanging themselves much more sufficiently than anyone else could ever accomplish.
>
> You group of puke-covered-less-than-human-animals disgust me much more than any sick animal you can drag your disease ridden carcasses over to attack.
>
> You defile the world with your mere, sick, presence.
>
> Signed,
> scorpox

Does that mean you will be leaving the group then? Could we be so lucky?

1560. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 22:29:43 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <xfADe.59$mf5.32@fe12.lga>
Source record: 112917

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Looks like it's time to write more letter. To the FCC? Nope, to your local elected officials! All it takes is to get the attention of someone who has a say in the FCC's budget and is willing to make an inquiry re. "this Morse code thing."

Don't let this discourage you, the battle for the integrity of the US ARS is far from over. Remember the vanity-call system and how it came about.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

1561. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 22:32:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3iADe.1093$Uk3.1029@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 112918

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> If a Ten-tec decided the next-off-the-line series of OhRyans needs a
> newly-designed boards any number of circuit board job shops in this
> country can put a few protypes in Ten-tec's hands inside 72 hours for
> test and evaluation and be set to make whatever revisions Ten-tech
> wants and be set to roll into production within hours after it gets the
> feedback from Ten-tec.
>
> As if any ricbox radio builder can come even close.

We may have a chance to find out soon. Ten-Tec announced the Orion II
today. It is set for October shipping.

Dave K8MN

1562. Re: THIS IS MY BEEF!! For Da Helluvit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 23:01:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050720190109.096$zI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> james wrote:
> > On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 14:42:55 -0400, "Anonymous CBer" <anon@anon.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >+<The F.C.C. Does Not Exist!
> > *******
> >
> > Actually the FCC does exist. Their biggest problem is that they get
>
> well you are both right sorta
>
> the FCC doesn't exist, in pratical term for the reason you mention
>
> It has a few other problems like trying regulate the laws of physics
>
> puting a service like CB intended for local use on HF was plain stupid
>
What's 'plain stupid' is you craving a mans dick up your ass, fuckstick.

hth

1563. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 23:03:41 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <qbltd1tvgoc7c85srlabbmn75htu68lgi9@4ax.com>
Source record: 112920

On 20 Jul 2005 14:51:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

It is sad, inasmuch as this definitely represents a dramatic change to the structure of Amateur Radio in the US - Morse has been an integral part of the hobby for as long as most anyone living can remember.

Surprisingly, there is no impetus to change the technical content of the exams - I would have bet on that one!

There are some good things in the NPRM as well, though - the massive proposals for upgrading hundreds of thousands of current licensees to the next higher license class did not survive. This topic seemed to polarize folks as much (or more than!) the Morse issue itself.

However, Morse has too big a following to just disappear from the bands completely - it will be there for many years to come!

The feeling here in Canada is that we are probably weeks away from a similar announcement......one which, in all probability, will mirror the US NPRM very closely.

We'll soon see!

73, Leo

1564. Re: Loser Lennie finally tells the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 23:12:28 UTC
From: Rumpleforeskin <Misfit@Glendale>
Message-ID: <dbmlmv$uo8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 112921

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:StzDe.14490$aY6.11750@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121847351.361220.239920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
>> > in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
>> >PM, and GAVE UP.
>> >
>> >Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>
>> Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.
>>
>> Now shut the hell up about it.
>
> Just like Lennie to attain mediocrity and then quit.
>
> That's why his CV is loaded with jobs, but no real achievements.
>
> Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
>
> Oh well...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

That's right Steve. If Lennie would have "lowered" himself to pass just the
5wpm and the General theory....then he would be a present day General light.
With a real old timers W or K call.

Then he could be on here bragging about the good old days when he just got
into ham radio......on second thought, I'm glad he is a quitter.

Dan/W4NTI
>

Dan. Lennie is not worth the waste of effort you are expending.
Flush him with the others of his ilk.

1565. New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 23:20:32 UTC
From: dude <scorpiox@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20050720232032.2732.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 112922

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

This thread has certainly ran on long enough that intelligent men can draw conclusion--indeed, it is enough that even "mor'roons" can get a clue.

All here participate in gang activity like a pack of angry, scabby, pestilence ridden wolves. Like a gang of uneducated thugs from some ghetto, you rise to the call of a buffoon to attack.

None here could you ever call a friend, as when bad times came around they would turn upon you like they have the poor fallen individual they have here. Their metal has been revealed and it is rusted and worthless. They prove themselves more worthless than the fool they attack, in hopes all will be diverted from seeing their true worth.

It is always good to give such fools as these yards of rope, they end up hanging themselves much more sufficiently than anyone else could ever accomplish.

You group of puke-covered-less-than-human-animals disgust me much more than any sick animal you can drag your disease ridden carcasses over to attack.

You defile the world with your mere, sick, presence.

Signed,
scorpiox
~~~
This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the message.
It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
Date: Wed Jul 20 23:20:09 2005 GMT
From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3ia
Charset: noconv

iQEVAwUBQt7cPk5NDhYLYPHNAQEC9wf/XCE8Zd2yyr3ij4tqlFvQORJNN57OLH2N
QGhjrHM1nepoUsKI/8f+L7E9muM5qFgSCqMvlc3FEJaQZHVLiu7w/Ner6Uf4BQdS
3UdpgpAjN8v6X0U7DTd5qGbNK1qC8R3m7OmQ8rRjejn5Wb2sH1KeGHBpZEk8Twtx
Pf7cicy1HnMuY6PqRzUiS95kRg4gMF9vi4A1o2uOBh/Y7i5OQyhI8FDIp8xrh9W9
eToWAlwgFxeajR0WUhpV1kvNEe9DySAqofL61sew2LhBXeU6Y4fyAmySR39+cDUS
/5NzkDXs1/VMdFIWHtVAWWWbk7YZmROntsHn5ZGt2i48l/pXmTcLLQ==
=TTJe
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
~~~

1566. Yes!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-20 23:57:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121903846.677574.262750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112924

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

about time or rather long past time

1567. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 00:07:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MvadncpjXeKnekPfRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 112925

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>
>
>> My thoughts.
>>
>> First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate
>>components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup
>>might just be fun to use.
>
>
> Some folks might like the integrated internal computer. It's certainly
> the first rig to offer it. My only concern is that a computer's life
> cycle is much shorter than most ham rigs'.

Of course, the computer would work for all the Ham radio functions at
the time of manufacture, so it wouldn't "go" out of date.

Plus my idea given down below might be a winner.

>
>> Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the
>>modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop,
>>with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But
>>that's just me.
>
>
> You can plug an external VGA display into the rig.

Sometimes.

But you see, here is what I'm thinking of. A computer with the RF guts,
and a laptop of some choice that plugs into the computer, and sets on
top of it. Many of the features now used in hardware could be handled by
the laptop. You would have logging, digital modes, dsp - probably not
important to you, but for a growing group of us, it would be great.

If the laptop were to become obsolete, say if a new mode came along
that required too much horsepower, then the unit could be replaced. A
mounting kit could be made available. In fact, a radio could be designed
so that you could attach your present laptop.

>
>>Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
>>might be expanded upon.
>
>
> It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
> form of standardization.

Sometimes.

>
>> Don't like the computer interface screenshot.
>>
>> I really hope that they do well. I do think the price point is too
>>high. I would be willing to pay extra for an American made unit, but 3K
>>for the entry level, and 6K for the works unit is pretty high.
>
>
> Perhaps - but a lot depends on how well it really performs.
>
>
>> Unless of course, the rig has outstanding performance. I would love to
>>see some test results.
>
>
> Mo bettah, some actual on-air performance. Somebody wins CQWW DX with
> one, then watch the fireworks...
>
> Or to really get to the bottom of it: Is it $6000 worth of fun?

And is it 6K worth of fun for the XYL? ;^)

>
>>Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
>>good one....
>>
>
> Expand which concept? Kits have been around a long time - curious that
> they never mention Elecraft or any of the other kits...

For me, the concept isn't the kit - its the idea of the integral
computer, the modular construction, even to using another manufacturers
tuner.

> Of course my entire main HF rig cost me less than one of their optional
> filters, so I guess everything is relative....
>
> One more observation on price:
>
> Go to the local Home Depot, Lowes or similar outfit, as long as they
> carry a full range of appliances and such. Look at the ranges,
> refrigerators, countertops, etc.
>

> You can get a nice range for $300-400, or spend double, triple,
> quadruple that price for one with all the gizmos like self-cleaning,
> stainless steel, high-capacity oven, dissimilar burners, etc. Same for
> dishwashers, refrigerators, etc. Countertops? Granite seems to have
> replaced Corian and similar materials around here, at $100 a square
> foot, installed. Me, I prefer a nice laminate like
> Formica, but apparently a lot of folks prefer fancier stuff.

> Does a burger taste any better if it's made in such a kitchen? I dunno,
> but they must be selling the fancy stuff because they continue to stock
> it.

If its involved with real estate, people will shuck out astoundingly
foolish amounts of money.

BTW, those McMansions are becoming very, very hard to resell.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1568. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 00:10:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IJBDe.122$o6.1833012@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112927

Finally, the FCC has seen the light of day.

Allowing a few senile old men to kill amateur radio with their moth-ridden egos was too much to sit by watching. Apparently, someone else also noticed.

This may be a hint that a new dawn may be rising on amateur radio and some new energetic fellows may be allowed to step in and move amateur radio from the stoneage.

I think all knew, it was only a matter of time. I just expected more would have to fall to death by old age before this would happen, but I knew time was close. Still, keep your fingers crossed, a few old "girly-boy" old hams bit*hing like old women can hold back true advancement.

This does prophesize the future, however, a few years may still need to pass before this is finally realized.

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1569. New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 00:13:32 UTC
From: dude <scorpiox@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20050721001332.7751.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 112926

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Ahhh, you popup your sick bastard ass, perhaps the sick vileness of these individuals
excites you? Perhaps you seek to be their leader?

I assure your maggot-ridden-faggot ass; you are more than qualified and have proved such
from your sick and twisted posts of the past.

Forward to more devious back-stabbing, cheap, vile actions! At least we know you and keep
far from your dark shadow.

Signed,
scorpiox
~~~
This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the message.
It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
Date: Thu Jul 21 00:13:09 2005 GMT
From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3ia
Charset: noconv

iQEVAwUBQt7oqk5NDhYLYPHNAQF4Rgf8DZrzMPjbUeiA1e9uPMfXTQznXShz1TJl
yy7SdZIYSTsBiTm+yjLBqLjWi9UlRxmZdYQ2FhSLKRU92lWRfhJL7Bv5YFeJ2eOa
yNn1v/+/twce0pdcByVZIfpmUOiWP7PxmqwyeH6HZCHPh26ZuZRt6E4QqevifvIr
ADFD+w5DoTwlG/mZdBCZWqB4t5O4ShdExUCPmsjH/+MKcSCaRopQ76h/JrrP4xWN
U8IcOmfGHu2MRCJstvWg7ru1+0H+sWSBMLkuUFOt/uIEycjLk7migs/Th7lC+GIq
Yh9BlHb281cNWPXJYLZ+67JzLjmiyCTwRzgxFbPBBt4MpDVsKRmjZw==
=EGIe
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1570. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 01:15:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121908524.432829.150680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112929

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

> >> My thoughts.

> >> First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate
> >>components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup
> >>might just be fun to use.
> >>
> >>
> > Some folks might like the integrated internal computer. It's certainly
> > the first rig to offer it. My only concern is that a computer's life
> > cycle is much shorter than most ham rigs'.
>
> Of course, the computer would work for all the Ham radio
> functions at
> the time of manufacture, so it wouldn't "go" out of date.

Would you like a nice 4100 MHz Pentium 1 running Win95 for your shack
computer, Mike? Ten years ago, that was a dream machine...
>
> Plus my idea given down below might be a winner.
>
> >> Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the
> >>modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop,
> >>with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But
> >>that's just me.
> >>
> >>
> > You can plug an external VGA display into the rig.
>
> Sometimes.
>
> But you see, here is what I'm thinking of. A computer with the RF guts,
> and a laptop of some choice that plugs into the computer, and sets on
> top of it. Many of the features now used in hardware could be handled by
> the laptop. You would have logging, digital modes, dsp - probably not
> important to you, but for a growing group of us, it would be great.

Been done. Kachina 505. Also TT Pegasus. Rigs without a front panel.

> If the laptop were to become obsolete, say if a new mode came along
> that required too much horsepower, then the unit could be replaced. A

> mounting kit could be made available. In fact, a radio could be designed
> so that you could attach your present laptop.

Remember the Warbler?

In any event what you're suggesting is a rig that is controlled by the
computer - an RF modem of sorts. Great if you like that sort of thing,
but they didn't sell all that well. Maybe times have changed.

> >>Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
> >>might be expanded upon.
> >
> >
> > It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
> > form of standardization.
>
> Sometimes.

Why not? Look at what it's done for PCs. Why not for ham rigs?
> >
> >> Don't like the computer interface screenshot.
> >>
> >> I really hope that they do well. I do think the price point is too
> >>high. I would be willing to pay extra for an American made unit, but 3K
> >>for the entry level, and 6K for the works unit is pretty high.
> >
> >
> > Perhaps - but a lot depends on how well it really performs.
> >
> >
> >> Unless of course, the rig has outstanding performance. I would love to
> >>see some test results.
> >
> >
> > Mo bettah, some actual on-air performance. Somebody wins CQWW DX with
> > one, then watch the fireworks...
> >
> > Or to really get to the bottom of it: Is it $6000 worth of fun?
>
> And is it 6K worth of fun for the XYL? ;^)

Who is to say that the spouse doesn't get his/her fun items too?

> >>Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> >>good one....
> >>
> >
> > Expand which concept? Kits have been around a long time - curious that
> > they never mention Elecraft or any of the other kits...
>
> For me, the concept isn't the kit - its the idea of the integral
> computer, the modular construction, even to using another manufacturers

> tuner.

That *would be* a good thing!

> > Of course my entire main HF rig cost me less than one of
> > their optional
> > filters, so I guess everything is relative....
> >
> > One more observation on price:
> >
> > Go to the local Home Depot, Lowes or similar outfit, as long > > as they
> > carry a full range of appliances and such. Look at the ranges,
> > refrigerators, countertops, etc.
> >
> > You can get a nice range for $300-400, or spend double,
> > triple,
> > quadruple that price for one with all the gizmos like self-
> > cleaning,
> > stainless steel, high-capacity oven, dissimilar burners, etc. > > Same for
> > dishwashers, refrigerators, etc. Countertops? Granite seems
> > to have
> > replaced Corian and similar materials around here, at $100 a > > square
> > foot, installed. Me, I prefer a nice laminate like
> > Formica, but apparently a lot of folks prefer fancier stuff.

> > Does a burger taste any better if it's made in such a
> > kitchen? I dunno,
> > but they must be selling the fancy stuff because they
> > continue to stock it.
>
> If its involved with real estate, people will
> shuck out astoundingly
> foolish amounts of money.

Sure! But consider:

1) How do home values relate to incomes when adjusted for inflation? Go back 20, 30, 40, 50 years and compare house prices to income levels. Houses were cheap then until you look at what the typical income level was.

2) A house is one of the few investments an individual can make that can be used without selling it.

3) A house is one of the few necessities an individual can buy that will almost certainly appreciate in value. Look at the everyday things you own - how many of them will be worth more in 10 years?

4) Home loan interest is a tax deduction for most people. What other debt reduces your taxes?

> BTW, those McMansions are becoming very, very hard to resell.
>
Not around here!

And it's not the McMansion owners that are buying the stuff. It's the people in older homes who are fixing up. Often it's easier to fix up than to move.

73 de Jim, N2EY

36 years ago today.....

1571. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 01:16:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aJ-dnTHX5PnyakPfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 112928

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention. If they are, we should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people taking element 3 over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped up before their CSCEs expire.

And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the Morse code". Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if their is a trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1572. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 01:37:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <74OdnVLWvfvAYUPfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 112930

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Note also that the FCC took what I always considered the most likely course on this issue and that was to simply drop the requirement, assuming that this NPRM is implemented.

If people had not tried to overhaul the entire system in their various (18) petitions, this most likely would have happened over a year ago.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1573. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 01:43:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WKudnUVgc9VcYEPfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 112931

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:IJBDe.122$o6.1833012@news.sisna.com...
> Finally, the FCC has seen the light of day.
>
> Allowing a few senile old men to kill amateur radio with their moth-ridden
> egos was too much to sit by watching. Apparently, someone else also
> noticed.
>
> This may be a hint that a new dawn may be rising on amateur radio and some
> new energetic fellows may be allowed to step in and move amateur radio
> from the stoneage.
>
> I think all knew, it was only a matter of time. I just expected more
> would have to fall to death by old age before this would happen, but I
> knew time was close. Still, keep your fingers crossed, a few old
> "girly-boy" old hams bit*hing like old women can hold back true
> advancement.
>
> This does prophesize the future, however, a few years may still need to
> pass before this is finally realized.
>
> John
>

Well we'll have to wait and see. Personally I predict a flurry of upgrades
but no sustained growth.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>

1574. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 01:46:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <q8DDe.133$O77.1688695@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112932

Dee:

Although we have differences in our thoughts and evaluation of this
whole situation, let us both hope you are wrong--dear.

We need some good news for a change! Amateur radio and a bright
future for it is bigger than you and I put together, indeed, it is
more important than all of us here.

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee
Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:WKudnUVgc9VcYEPfRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:IJBDe.122$o6.1833012@news.sisna.com...
>> Finally, the FCC has seen the light of day.
>>
>> Allowing a few senile old men to kill amateur radio with their
>> moth-ridden egos was too much to sit by watching. Apparently,
>> someone else also noticed.
>>
>> This may be a hint that a new dawn may be rising on amateur radio
>> and some new energetic fellows may be allowed to step in and move
>> amateur radio from the stoneage.
>>
>> I think all knew, it was only a matter of time. I just expected
>> more would have to fall to death by old age before this would
>> happen, but I knew time was close. Still, keep your fingers
>> crossed, a few old "girly-boy" old hams bit*hing like old women can
>> hold back true advancement.
>>
>> This does prophesize the future, however, a few years may still
>> need to pass before this is finally realized.
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Well we'll have to wait and see. Personally I predict a flurry of
> upgrades but no sustained growth.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>>
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1575. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 01:48:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121910486.863238.180480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112933

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > If a Ten-tec decided the next-off-the-line series of OhRyans needs a
> > newly-designed boards any number of circuit board job shops in this
> > country can put a few protypes in Ten-tec's hands inside 72 hours for
> > test and evaluation and be set to make whatever revisions Ten-tech
> > wants and be set to roll into production within hours after it gets the
> > feedback from Ten-tec.
> >
> > As if any ricbox radio builder can come even close.
>
> We may have a chance to find out soon. Ten-Tec announced the Orion II
> today. It is set for October shipping.

Woo-woo, here we go again - ought to be an another major
attention-grabber from Seiverville. I'll be waiting and watching
because whatever it turns out to be it'll sure as God made little
apples render used versions of it's predecessor much more available in
the used market. Which is my money usually goes.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

1576. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 02:00:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7fadnVotvr55nELfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 112934

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:q8DDe.133$O77.1688695@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Although we have differences in our thoughts and evaluation of this whole
> situation, let us both hope you are wrong--dear.
>
> We need some good news for a change! Amateur radio and a bright future
> for it is bigger than you and I put together, indeed, it is more important
> than all of us here.
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Dee

I see a fine future for amateur radio but I also see a stabilization of numbers just as is occuring in our population growth and all the other activities to which I belong. The news of the FCC action and the action itself will have little to no noticeable impact. Ham radio would continue to develop either way.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1577. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 02:19:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5DDDe.136$Wd2.2118752@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112939

Dee:

If you would chart developments and advancements in every technical field--amateur radio would come in last; frankly, I would doubt ones mental abilities who would even move in the direction of challenging that statement.

A religious devotion to cw and a real "good old boys club" has damaged amateur radio for decades. Personalities which have an "anti-social bent" have been in control here far too long, calling them just "eccentric" is far too kind.

Let us hope that decades of damage which has been done can be repaired quickly by the young men I am wishing and hoping to be here with us.

Too often, tunnel vision only allows us to see that which we wish to see, but none can deny amateur radio has been in decline for decades--there is some reason for that.

Now we need to encourage bright young men from industry here, so that we may mass produce cheap equipment and make amateur radio easy to step into. Hopefully, china and other developing countries will find it profitable and worth doing, to mass produce amateur equipment in a flowing abundance. Hopefully, soon, in the future the bands will be so congested calls are made for the bands to be expanded to accommodate all the hams needing bandwidth. A boom like that which CB experienced in the 70's would be most desirable, however, I do realize this is probably too much to even hope for.

As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in "advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement. Spreading the word and helping others to study and pass the written exam will be key in getting the numbers we need at that time.

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:7fadnVotvr55nELfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:q8DDe.133$077.1688695@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Although we have differences in our thoughts and evaluation of this
>> whole situation, let us both hope you are wrong--dear.
>>

>> We need some good news for a change! Amateur radio and a bright
>> future for it is bigger than you and I put together, indeed, it is
>> more important than all of us here.
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Dee
>
> I see a fine future for amateur radio but I also see a stabilization
> of numbers just as is occuring in our population growth and all the
> other activities to which I belong. The news of the FCC action and
> the action itself will have little to no noticeable impact. Ham
> radio would continue to develop either way.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1578. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 02:21:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <y6Sdne4x_qx3iELfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 112942

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>guess you can't renoize a foregin fount and so much for the Claim that
>>>CW folks are more international
>>
>>
>>Do you every write anything that makes sense?
>
>
> you are a fine one to talk "Cmdr"
>

I have read so much of the jumbled up mess you attempt at English I am
even doing it now.

1579. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 02:33:46 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <42df0a89$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net>
Source record: 112935

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:aJ-dnTHX5PnyakPfRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention. If they are, we
> should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people taking element
3
> over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped up before
> their CSCEs expire.
>
> And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the Morse code".
> Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if their is a
> trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

I am a TechPlus who never upgraded to General, or any other class. There
are some considerations that may set me apart from the broad (no pun
intended) population of the ARS:

I am a female
I have a husband who is a General Class (i.e., not sure how many licensees
are spouses to each other)--and I could, technically, get on HF General
Class freqs any time I wanted
I enjoy simple ragchewing and emergency communications at a local level WHEN
I am even "hooked up"
I enjoy the "quiet" mode of communicating--HF makes way too much noise

There's probably some other differentiating items, and there may be no
correlation at all.

Kim W5TIT

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1580. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 02:34:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121913249.149888.97350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112936

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention. If they are, we
> should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people taking element 3
> over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped up before
> their CSCEs expire.

while I hope you areright on the timeline I personaly will likely wait
till it is done, unles my partner get to to point of being rady to take
her tech test
>
> And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the Morse code".
> Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if their is a
> trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1581. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 02:36:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121913374.304304.207360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112937

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > guess you can't renoize a foregin fount and so much for the Claim that
> > CW folks are more international
>
>
> Do you every write anything that makes sense?

you are a fine one to talk "Cmdr"

1582. Re: THIS IS MY BEEF!! For Da Helluvit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 02:39:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121913562.939531.293250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > james wrote:
> > > On Sun, 17 Jul 2005 14:42:55 -0400, "Anonymous CBer" <anon@anon.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >+<The F.C.C. Does Not Exist!
> > > *******
> > >
> > > Actually the FCC does exist. Their biggest problem is that they get
> >
> > well you are both right sorta
> >
> > the FCC doesn't exist, in pratical term for the reason you mention
> >
> > It has a few other problems like trying regulate the laws of physics
> >
> > puting a service like CB intended for local use on HF was plain stupid
> >
> What's 'plain stupid' is you craving a mans dick up your ass, fuckstick.

plain stupid is making the above stement and pretending it has any
place in civilized society
>
> hth

1583. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 03:01:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MZKdnS9KQZWOjULfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 112940

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:42df0a89$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:aJ-dnTHX5PnyakPfRVn-og@comcast.com...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention. If they are, we
>> should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people taking element
> 3
>> over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped up
>> before
>> their CSCEs expire.
>>
>> And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the Morse code".
>> Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if their is a
>> trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
> I am a TechPlus who never upgraded to General, or any other class. There
> are some considerations that may set me apart from the broad (no pun
> intended) population of the ARS:
>
> I am a female
> I have a husband who is a General Class (i.e., not sure how many licensees
> are spouses to each other)--and I could, technically, get on HF General
> Class freqs any time I wanted
> I enjoy simple ragchewing and emergency communications at a local level
> WHEN
> I am even "hooked up"
> I enjoy the "quiet" mode of communicating--HF makes way too much noise
>
> There's probably some other differentiating items, and there may be no
> correlation at all.

>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Actually Kim, it supports the point of view that I've had all along. Namely that, for the most part, those who wanted to upgrade did so despite the Morse code and those who didn't upgrade were getting what they wanted out of ham radio with their current license level.

Have you ever got involved with the local VHF traffic nets? This may or may not be something that you would enjoy.

I personally enjoy HF and working DX (especially if I'm lucky enough to get someone who wants to ragchew). My favorite band has always been 40m despite the issue with the foreign broadcasters in our voice portion. The morning (right around dawn) I worked New Zealand on 40m CW really started my day off with a bang.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1584. Re: THIS IS MY BEEF!! For Da Helluvit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 03:09:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050720230943.387$6f@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>> > What's 'plain stupid' is you craving a mans dick up your ass,
> > fuckstick.
>
> plain stupid is making the above stement and pretending it has any
> place in civilized society
> >
> > hth
>
Don't ask, don't tell. Especially on usenet, fudgepacker.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

1585. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 03:29:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qEEDe.148$y65.2283619@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112943

Kim:

I think a woman "taking a free ride" on her husbands license are probably not a significant number to really thwart the benefits which are possible. Let's face it, marriage too is becoming a thing of the past, and certainly is no longer a real lifetime commitment for the younger generations. Gay marriage is probably the final nail in that coffin.

The younger women of today are a different breed and don't want to be seen as "getting something for nothing." (which might imply, at least to some, that they are less able or intelligent)

I would venture a guess that at least half of under 35 year old women would never stand for a free ride on their husbands license--choosing to make a point they "have what it takes." (today's women are much more competitive)

I feel the dropping of CW will open this hobby up to these women. Even if they are only dating a guy which is in amateur radio, it may be enough to prompt them into studying and grabbing a license of their own--especially if the boyfriend is smart enough to set up a competitive spirit and invoke the challenge. <grin>

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:42df0a89$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:aJ-dnTHX5PnyakPfRVn-og@comcast.com...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention. If they
>> are, we
>> should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people taking
>> element
> 3
>> over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped up
>> before

>> their CSCEs expire.
>>
>> And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the Morse
>> code".
>> Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if their
>> is a
>> trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
> I am a TechPlus who never upgraded to General, or any other class.
> There
> are some considerations that may set me apart from the broad (no pun
> intended) population of the ARS:
>
> I am a female
> I have a husband who is a General Class (i.e., not sure how many
> licensees
> are spouses to each other)--and I could, technically, get on HF
> General
> Class freqs any time I wanted
> I enjoy simple ragchewing and emergency communications at a local
> level WHEN
> I am even "hooked up"
> I enjoy the "quiet" mode of communicating--HF makes way too much
> noise
>
> There's probably some other differentiating items, and there may be
> no
> correlation at all.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1586. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 03:39:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121917183.788952.316620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112944

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:

> In fact, consider what PCs cost just ten years ago! A 486DX50 with 32
> meg of RAM and a half-gig hard drive could cost you big bucks then -
> and it's a doorstop today.

Depends on what it's used for. If a 10-year-old dedicated-purpose computer is still doing it's specific job there's no particular reason to replace it. One of my customers has had an original IBM 8088 (?) PC in his panel shop which has been churning out alphanumeric wire number tapes daily for well over 20 years.

> But the big issue is how many hams will spend the money, and how
many
> DZ needs to sell to be viable. Maybe he only needs/plans to sell 100
> or so.

To accomplish what?? Makes no business sense at all.

> I don't think so. It's not clear from the website how much assembly the
> buyer actually does. Obviously it's less than the bag-o-parts scenario
> of some kits but less than fully assembled.

Smells to me like a scam to duck around having to get the things "type accepted".

> The real telling point will be the performance up against an Orion or
> other top-of-the-line state-of-the-art rig.

. . . the story of the demise of the Kachina. Whatta dismal POS that thing is! Was.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1587. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 03:41:50 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <42df1a7d$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net>
Source record: 112945

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MZKdnS9KQZWOjULfRVn-hA@comcast.com...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:42df0a89$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
> >
> > I am a TechPlus who never upgraded to General, or any other class.
There
> > are some considerations that may set me apart from the broad (no pun
> > intended) population of the ARS:
> >
> > I am a female
> > I have a husband who is a General Class (i.e., not sure how many
licensees
> > are spouses to each other)--and I could, technically, get on HF General
> > Class freqs any time I wanted
> > I enjoy simple ragchewing and emergency communications at a local level
> > WHEN
> > I am even "hooked up"
> > I enjoy the "quiet" mode of communicating--HF makes way too much noise
> >
> > There's probably some other differentiating items, and there may be no
> > correlation at all.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
> Actually Kim, it supports the point of view that I've had all along.
Namely
> that, for the most part, those who wanted to upgrade did so despite the
> Morse code and those who didn't upgrade were getting what they wanted out
of
> ham radio with their current license level.
>
> Have you ever got involved with the local VHF traffic nets? This may or
may
> not be something that you would enjoy.
>

Absolutely. I've been Net Control, Asst Net Control and generally involved
with Traffic--back some time ago and only steadily for about six months on
the local scene. However, I served as Net Control for Navy MARS for about a
year and was a participant in Navy MARS for about 2 1/2 years. I really

enjoyed the traffic nets.

Then, while I was Hunt County ARES Emergency Coordinator, and a founder of a local emergency service group, we had "traffic" at the beginning of every net.

> I personally enjoy HF and working DX (especially if I'm lucky enough to get
> someone who wants to ragchew). My favorite band has always been 40m despite
> the issue with the foreign broadcasters in our voice portion. The morning
> (right around dawn) I worked New Zealand on 40m CW really started my day off
> with a bang.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

Well, I should clarify. I adore HF operation, contesting when I happen upon it, and ragchewing. However, as a matter of regular operation, VHF is my freqs of choice. But, I do really enjoy HF. When we had radios hooked up, the HF radio was always on in the office, usually at 28.400 so we could listen there for any signals. I think that is what burned me out on the noise. When Cliff would turn it off, I would feel like a million pounds had been lifted! (I also can't stand the "wind" noise in A/C and air ventilation devices). I'd almost rather broil than have A/C on when it is noisy, or where I can hear it running. OH, and the tone of CW sends me just about into a spiral after about 5 minutes of it. ANY droning, including heavy metal guitars, and I am just a basket case...LOL

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1588. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 04:42:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1121920948.022173.138190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112946

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc

Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp, realistically, actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would take any other path?

This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an announcement about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru the NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of desparate commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done deal.

I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest "restructing" will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more then back then the bands as usual.

I could care less about any of it at this late date because none of it has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and PSK my buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 wpm fast enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half century ago. With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my abilty to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC "restructuring" brainfart.

Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any of this BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? Good: Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1589. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 05:20:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FgGDe.159$Js6.2570296@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112947

In one way, I can picture it being a "sad day." One of the posts here pointed out this possibility to me.

For decades now a few of the guys (hams) here in my local area have terrorized CB'ers and freebanders. Invoking the FCC to send countless notices and confiscate equip and linears on a quite frequent basis. And, even two truck stops in the area have been favorite "hunting grounds" for these guys.

Can you imagine the retaliation which is going to be spun against those hams which have been so inclined? The term "repaid in spades" occurs to me. I imagine some may forsake the hobby for other pursuits, or move to another area!

Thank gawd I have always had enough common sense to practice the "good neighbor policy!" <grin>

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1590. Mark Does As Expected-Enters Profanity Here And Show's Us What He's Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 08:52:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121935964.199417.310990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112953

Thanks, Mark!

Appreciate your cooperation!

Steve, K4YZ

1591. Mark Morgan: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here and Show Us What You're Made Of!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 08:56:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121936201.440329.163270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112950

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > But I am not the guy that keep insisting on draging sexuality into a
> > > Radio newsgroup
> >
> > Sure you are.
>
> I do respond you use of sexuality with it when I choose
>
> you lying sack of ####

Nope.

> you drug it in years ago. you keep bring it up

Nope. You did. How would I have had a clue otherwise, Blockhead?

> you were calling tood a homsexaul beofre I arrived back

If you weren't "around" as you say you weren't...How did you know
what was going one?

And yes, Todd is gay.

> > You're a lazy coward.
>
> not realy but then you are not honest

No. I'm not. But you ARE lazy.

Steve, K4YZ

1592. Mark Morgan Does As Expected: Enters Profanity And Shows Us What He's Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 09:00:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121936454.580229.109350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112954

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I object to idiots being allowed to abuse others, hence I object
> > to you.
>
> only object to idoits abusing others is that how you rationlize you
> attacks on Todd

There you are again with "idoits" again, Mark. Remember, you said
you don't do that...?!?!

Todd get's what he get's for HIS profanities and attacks.

You need to go back a bit and read through his "information
bulletin" rants before you presume to know what you're talking about.

Steve, K4YZ

1593. Mark Morgan Does As Expected: Enters Profanity And Shows Us What He's Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 09:05:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121936719.722810.209090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112951

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > You act like a little kids on the playground screaming "he said the s
> > > word" stop him stop him
> >
> > You try to dilute the civility of our social strata with your
> > profanities and deviance.
>
> no you polute civility nrign it futher and further down

"nrign"...?!?!?

> Not a devaint and not being profanemerely labeling vagar #### as vugar
> ####

"devaint" "profanemerely" "vagar" "vugar"

English, Markie...E N G L I S H ! ! ! !

> > > > You find it easier to cower as a liar and deviant.
> > >
> > > not cowering not a devaint
> > > according to the AMA
> >
> > You're both.
>
> nope

Yep. You're a liar (admitted) and you're a deviant (admitted)

Denying either onl;y reinforces the first.

Steve, K4YZ

1594. Mark Morgan Does As Expected: Enters Profanity And Shows Us What He's Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 09:06:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121936791.182485.232840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112952

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > a real newsflash like the one where Dean called every republican a
> > > > > > christain
> > > > >
> > > > > I am sure that meant something, but not in English.
> > >
> > > sure was stevie
> >
> > OK..WHAT was it?
>
> sure was english

Then what did it say?

Steve, K4YZ
```

1595. Mark Morgan Does As Expected: Enters Profanity And Shows Us What He's Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 09:11:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121937105.250319.92700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112948

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
> > gene pool and spoil the party.
>
> you are a greater threat to the pool than I

Nope.

I've got 3 kids in college on GPA-based scholarships.

You've got...?!?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1596. Markie Runs Mouth On Topic He's Not Prepared for...Increases his MarkieFool Factor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 09:36:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121938585.941505.148620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112955

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
> > > > > in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
> > > > >PM, and GAVE UP.
> > > >
> > > > >Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
> > > >
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > >
> > > Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.
> > >
> > > Now shut the hell up about it.
> >
> > Just like Lennie to attain mediocrity and then quit.
> >
> > That's why his CV is loaded with jobs, but no real achievements.
>
> judgemental as always

Nope.

Just making an observation.

He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
ultimate retirement.

You're welcome to publish a link or provide a reference to some
source that proves otherwise if you know of one...Otherwise you're just
running YOUR mouth off.

> > Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> > that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
> >
> > Oh well...
> >
> > 73
>
> another stevie lie

Where?

Had Lennie taken the code test when he alleges to have been up to "8 wpm", he would indeed have "all he needed" for an EXTRA today. That is 100% factual.

And the "73"...?!?!

That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.

Steve, K4YZ

1597. Mark Morgan Does As Expected: Enters Profanity And Shows Us What He's Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 10:01:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121936998.062270.111130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112949

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Nope. Canada doesn't allow the importation of garbage.
> > >
> > > Canada allow people in the country
> >
> > Yes, Canada DOES allow PEOPLE in the country. Which is NOT what I
> > said.
>
> I reconize your bigoted and hate fill remark for what it is ####

Nope. It was a comment. Factual. Concise.

> > > which mean you would not have made
> >
> > Too late. Been there. Repeatedly.
> >
> > > But what is up your ###...(SNIP)
> >
> > Unlike you, only what my intestinal tract put there.
>
> sound more like you head

Nice try at a funny, Mark. Still doesn't change what I said in
the first place.

> > > (UNSNIP)...becuase I live where I please
> >
> > The farther away from the impressionable and the innocent the
> > better.
>
> then if I am doing what you think I should stopp giving my #### over it

We've got you farther away from civilized people...Now all we do
is need to teach you PROPER English and fix your deviance problem.

Steve, K4YZ

1598. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 11:12:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121944377.511852.83680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112958

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp,
> realistically,
> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would
> take any other path?

As I often said in the past 5 years, when FCC wrote that
code testing served no regulatory purpose other than
treaty compliance, the deal was essentially done.

The amazing thing was that it's taken two years to get
this far.
>
> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an
> announcement
> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go
> thru the
> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of
> desparate
> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a
> done deal.

I'll comment, like always.
>
> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
> latest "restructing"
> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then back then the bands
as usual.

Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.

Got my antenna back up last night (had to take it down Sunday for
the new siding to go on the house). 40 was full of CW signals.
Nice chat with a VE2 on 7031. Life is good.
>
> I could care less about any of it at this late date because
> none of it
> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and > PSK my
> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 > wpm fast
> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half
> century ago.
> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my > abilty

> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
> "restructuring" brainfart.

Exactly.

> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any
> of this
> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? > Good:
> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.

bwaahaahaa
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

1599. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 13:15:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112959

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
> > latest "restructing"
> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then back then the bands as usual.
>
> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.

Yep.

Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and Restructuring...

I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't true then.

Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So where were all those engineering-types then?

People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and "shoot skip", nothing more.

I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that much.

The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only one or two license classes.

When that is done we can remove all references to training and technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97. Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?

Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can operate legally...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1600. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 13:18:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121951929.993125.133000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hey Dan...

You gonna make it to Huntsville this year? I may be going the
opposite way to St Louis that weekend, but if not, will look for you
there...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1601. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 13:46:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121953577.143067.289580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112961

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >>Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> > >>good one....
> >
> > > I don't think that's going to happen, Mike.
> > >
> > > First off, I don't think very many folks will drop $3K to $6K on a
> > > radio that comes incomplete, computer or not.
> >
> Incomplete?

Incomplete as it requires more than providing a power source,
ground and an antenna to get operating.

> Yes, $3000 to $6000 is a lot of dough. Definitely way above *my* ham
> radio
> budget. But that's just me, and what I'm willing to spend. Others will
> put
> >down a lot more for just a tower..

But a tower is a rigid structural item. That's one of the LAST
things I'd skimp any money on!

> The DZ website makes the point of comparing popular rigs of ~40 years
> ago
> and their prices when adjusted for inflation. New ham gear in the bad
> old
> days was amazingly expensive when you consider the inflation factor.
>
> In fact, consider what PCs cost just ten years ago! A 486DX50 with 32
> meg of RAM and a half-gig hard drive could cost you big bucks then -
> and it's a doorstop today.

Whelllp...it's 2005 and $3000 is still a chunk of change!

> > > I can spend a heck of a
> > > lot of LESS money and get more radio AND computer for my bucks!
> >
> Maybe more computer, but not more radio, if the performance is up to
> the claims.

Is that "performance" worth it, Jim, for a non-professional
station? Sure, if I was trying to transmit high fidelity audio I might

want to drop those extra bucks. But in an era when I can communicate
via a satellie with an HT and a hand help yagi, I'd be hard pressed to
justify the cost.

> But the big issue is how many hams will spend the money, and how many
> DZ needs to sell to be viable. Maybe he only needs/plans to sell 100
> or so.

How many Amateurs might have the need for the specifications?

> Consider the Elecraft K2. When it first came out, I heard some
> scoffing in the peanut gallery that said very few hams would pay
> almost $600 for a rig that was, in basic form, CW only, hambands only
> and QRP. They said that having SSB, 160, NB, ATU and 100W all as
> extra-cost options would doom the rig and the company.
>
> But more than 5000 have been sold since 1999 with minimal advertising.

True.

But a topped-out K2 still comes in UNDER $2000 if you add in ALL
of the options...And not mcuh more if you add in the new VHF
transverters. And in it's present configuration, you can get started
for well under $750. That's a lot easier pill to swallow.

> > > Secondly, it's a "kit" only in the sense that it does come
> > > "disassembled". The potential buyer is actually paying the
> > > "manufacturer" for the privilege of him (the "manufacturer) not having
> > > to pay an assembly line.
>
> I don't think so. It's not clear from the website how much assembly the
> buyer actually does. Obviously it's less than the bag-o-parts scenario
> of some kits but less than fully assembled.

OK...maybe you ahve to solder the wires going to the front panel
pots and antenna connectors and a couple of point-to-point chassis
connectors.

To make his "three evening" claim it can't be much more elaborate
than that.

> > > Lastly, he brags about the "kit" being "built in America" because
> > > the owner "builds it right here in America". I wish I had the spare
> > > change to drop to buy one for no other reason than to see where all of
> > > the pre-assembled boards are actually assembled. No doubt in some
> > > PacRim nation where the guy who REALLY built it wouldn't be able to
> > > afford it in a lifetime.
>
> I think the boards may be assembled here in the USA. There are all
> sorts
> of prototype and assembly outfits here in the USA to do small runs. Of
> course
> they cost a lot more per unit than "offshore", but if you're not making

> a
> million units or even 10,000 units, the total package price can be very
> competitive.

I know. I did that for a while, as Lennie will quickly remind
you!

But even on "limited production runs" of 500 or less units, the
cost was prohibitive

> > There aren't nearly as many components built in the U.S. as in the past,
> > Steve. Even back in the late seventies and early eighties, most solid
> > state devices were produced in Mexico, Maylasia, Hong Kong, Taiwan, etc.
>
> Yep. And while first runs of new devices may be US made, the production
> quickly moves away. Not just silicon devices, either, but many other
> components.

By "components", I didn't mean the actual resistors, SMD's,
etc...My bust.

> > Among the reasons that I continue to use Ten-Tec gear is that families
> > in the U.S. receive pay checks when I do so. Ten-Tec manufactures their
> > enclosures, plastic components, variable caps and the like. When I
> > telephone for service, a real person answers the telephone and directs
> > my call. A service tech in the U.S. handles my inquiry. If my
> > equipment needs service, I ship it a relatively short distance, pay a
> > fair price and it is turned around in short order. Parts are on hand
> > for most Ten-Tec gear produced.
>
> Yep. Service alone is a reason to buy Ten Tec.

Yep!

> > My "made in USA" Orion is filled with components manufactured in the far
> > east. Everybody's else's rig is filled with 'em.
>
> Not everybody's! ;-)
>
> Not mine!

Thank-you old TV's!

> > For me to buy this latest assemble-it-yourself transceiver, it'd have to
> > be considerably better than what I have now. From what I've read, it
> > has numerous features which I see as having dubious value.
>
> The real telling point will be the performance up against an Orion or
> other top-of-the-line state-of-the-art rig.

Absolutely.

But who's gonna pony-up the change for one to find out...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1602. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 15:59:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sDPDe.193$xc1.3943549@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112973

I agree. With the congestion on CB and there being only 40 chans for
all the truck drivers, four wheelers, base stations and freebanders,
it is a mess.

More bandwidth has been needed for over a decade.

Most do want to chat with others in other countries, "skip" will be
the mode of the day on HF--at least I'd imagine that to be the case.

However, I have never seen a real case of where what actually happens
is able to be "prophesized" accurately before the actual situation--it
will be interesting to watch.

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>> > latest "restructing"
>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more >
>> > then back then the bands as usual.
>>
>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>
> Yep.
>
> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT
> and
> Restructuring...
>
> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the
> exact
> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it
> wasn't
> true then.
>
> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum.
> The

> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
> where were all those engineering-types then?
>
> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO
> argue
> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of
> the
> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>
> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep
> that
> much.
>
> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all
> of
> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
> one or two license classes.
>
> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part
> 97.
> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>
> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters
> so
> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
> operate legally...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1603. a great day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 16:58:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121965104.606604.198040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112962

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
> > > latest "restructing"
> > > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then back then the bands as usual.
> >
> > Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>
> Yep.
>
> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
> Restructuring...
>
> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
> true then.
>
> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
> where were all those engineering-types then?
>
> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>
> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
> much.
>
> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of
> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
> one or two license classes.
>

> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>
> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
> operate legally...?!?!

always with the obsessive attacks steve

wel you can't spoil a day like this for me

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1604. hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:00:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121965247.834336.220250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112963

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Nope. Canada doesn't allow the importation of garbage.
> > > > >
> > > > > Canada allow people in the country
> > > >
> > > > Yes, Canada DOES allow PEOPLE in the country. Which is NOT what I
> > > > said.
> > >
> > > I reconize your bigoted and hate fill remark for what it is #####
> >
break

> Nope. It was a comment. Factual. Concise.

it was and remain your bigoted abd hate fill shit
>
> > > > which mean you would not have made
> > > >
> > > > Too late. Been there. Repeatedly.
> > > >
> > > > But what is up your ###...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > Unlike you, only what my intestinal tract put there.
> > >
> > > sound more like you head
> >
> Nice try at a funny, Mark. Still doesn't change what I said in
> the first place.

you just show your bigtry with remarks like that

>
> > > > (UNSNIP)...becuase I live where I please
> > > >
> > > > The farther away from the impressionable and the innocent the
> > > > better.
> > >
> > > then if I am doing what you think I should stopp giving my ##### over it
> >

> We've got you farther away from civilized people...Now all we do
> is need to teach you PROPER English and fix your deviance problem.

you got nothing

and again with you hate fill bigtry
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1605. hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:04:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121965465.603135.268230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112964

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
> > > > > in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
> > > > >PM, and GAVE UP.
> > > > >
> > > > >Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
> > > > >
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.
> > > >
> > > > Now shut the hell up about it.
> > >
> > > Just like Lennie to attain mediocrity and then quit.
> > >
> > > That's why his CV is loaded with jobs, but no real achievements.
> >
> > judgemental as always
>
> Nope.

judemental as always
>
> Just making an observation.

and being judemental

>
> He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> ultimate retirement.

IYO

>
> You're welcome to publish a link or provide a reference to some
> source that proves otherwise if you know of one...Otherwise you're just
> running YOUR mouth off.

not at all with your taste for precision I am typing my fingers off not saying a word

you have your opinion. Indeed I don't that Len disagress with you on "signifacant achivements, but we all judge things in our own way

at least when bigots like you give the chance

>
> > > Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> > > that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
> > >
> > > Oh well...
> > >
> > > 73
> >
> > another stevie lie
>
> Where?

the 73 you have no regard for him

>
> Had Lennie taken the code test when he alleges to have been up to
> "8 wpm", he would indeed have "all he needed" for an EXTRA today. That
> is 100% factual.
>
> And the "73"...?!?!
>
> That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.

nope another lie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1606. hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:06:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121965584.181443.284950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112966

you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but

a lower one
you are a bigot and a bully
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
> > > gene pool and spoil the party.
> >
> > you are a greater threat to the pool than I
>
> Nope.
>
> I've got 3 kids in college on GPA-based scholarships.
>
> You've got...?!?!?!

my life is my busness
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1607. hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:07:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121965639.304459.126300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112965

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > a real newsflash like the one where Dean called every republican a
> > > > > > christain
> > > > >
> > > > > I am sure that meant something, but not in English.
> > > >
> > > > sure was stevie
> > >
> > > OK..WHAT was it?
> >
> > sure was english
>
> Then what did it say?

learn to read and find out

or not as you wil
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1608. hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:11:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121965868.304127.315210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112967

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > You act like a little kids on the playground screaming "he said the s
> > > > word" stop him stop him
> > >

> > > You try to dilute the civility of our social strata with your
> > > profanities and deviance.

hate monger

> >
> > no you polute civility nrign it futher and further down
>
> "nrign"...?!?!?

you defile the nation with your hate
>
> > Not a devaint and not being profanemerely labeling vagar ##### as vugar
> > #####
>
> "devaint" "profanemerely" "vagar" "vugar"
>
> English, Markie...E N G L I S H ! ! ! !

it is english

>
> > > > > You find it easier to cower as a liar and deviant.
> > > >
> > > > not cowering not a devaint
> > > > according to the AMA
> > >
> > > You're both.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep. You're a liar (admitted) and you're a deviant (admitted)

nope
you are a hate monger

>
> Denying either onl;y reinforces the first.

not at all

hate monger

although I will take this chance to take you. I am sure you hate and that of other like you (the wizards of 80m) helped to bring about this day

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1609. hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:15:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121966124.305233.191780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112968

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I object to idiots being allowed to abuse others, hence I object
> > > to you.
> >
> > only object to idoits abusing others is that how you rationlize you
> > attacks on Todd
>
> There you are again with "idoits" again, Mark. Remember, you said
> you don't do that...?!?!

never said that another stevie lie

>
> Todd get's what he get's for HIS profanities and attacks.
>
> You need to go back a bit and read through his "information
> bulletin" rants before you presume to know what you're talking about.

I have read some of them

they are all of better content than your avergage post

but then I am not afraid of a mere word

it takes something serious (like your hate filled bigotry) to worry me
the worst I see form Todd is form time to time he loess on being
called Gay. that is unforunate but one can't expect anyone to take
abuse forever without lashing out

You (and others) goad him and wonder at the result

everything negitive I have seen from Todd is as much your fault as his

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1610. hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:15:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121966158.090068.202480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112969

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I object to idiots being allowed to abuse others, hence I object
> > > to you.
> >
> > only object to idoits abusing others is that how you rationlize you
> > attacks on Todd
>
> There you are again with "idoits" again, Mark. Remember, you said
> you don't do that...?!?!

never said that another stevie lie

>
> Todd get's what he get's for HIS profanities and attacks.
>
> You need to go back a bit and read through his "information
> bulletin" rants before you presume to know what you're talking about.

I have read some of them

they are all of better content than your avergage post

but then I am not afraid of a mere word

it takes something serious (like your hate filled bigotry) to worry me
the worst I see form Todd is form time to time he loess on being
called Gay. that is unforunate but one can't expect anyone to take
abuse forever without lashing out

You (and others) goad him and wonder at the result

everything negitive I have seen from Todd is as much your fault as his

you simply rationalize away your guilt and your hate of anyone
different

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1611. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:17:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112970

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.

well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie

1612. hack job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:18:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121966292.400904.258920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112971

K4YZ wrote:
> Thanks, Mark!
>
> Appreciate your cooperation!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

realy losing it stevie cant find anything to leave

1613. a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:29:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121966944.681444.204160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112972

It having been a few years since the last round I and prehaps other could a use a reminder. Doesn't the FCC have to take some action beyond this to put there new rules in effect

1614. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 17:54:54 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dbonhe$168q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 112974

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>
>
>>>> My thoughts.
>
>
>>>> First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate
>>>>components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup
>>>>might just be fun to use.
>>>
>>>
>>>Some folks might like the integrated internal computer. It's certainly
>>>the first rig to offer it. My only concern is that a computer's life
>>>cycle is much shorter than most ham rigs'.
>>
>>Of course, the computer would work for all the Ham radio
>>functions at
>>the time of manufacture, so it wouldn't "go" out of date.
>
>
> Would you like a nice 4100 MHz Pentium 1 running Win95 for your shack
> computer, Mike? Ten years ago, that was a dream machine...

I want a computer that can do the work. I can run Digipan (as well as a
lot of other soundcard software) on a P1 class computer, as well as a
lot of logging software. So assuming that there is not aome huge change
in something, the computer will continue to function, the radio will
continue to work.

Obviously an up to date laptop would be included in any modern designed
system.

And it would be upgradeable, so it wouldn't be much of a problem.

>> Plus my idea given down below might be a winner.
>

> >
>
>>>> Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the
>>>>modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop,
>>>>with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But
>>>>that's just me.
>>>
>>>
>>>You can plug an external VGA display into the rig.
>>
>> Sometimes.
>>
>> But you see, here is what I'm thinking of. A computer with the RF guts,
>>and a laptop of some choice that plugs into the computer, and sets on
>>top of it. Many of the features now used in hardware could be handled by
>>the laptop. You would have logging, digital modes, dsp - probably not
>>important to you, but for a growing group of us, it would be great.
>
>
> Been done. Kachina 505. Also TT Pegasus. Rigs without a front panel.

Not what I am describing. Imagine some sort of HF transciever. Size
would be similar to what we use today. A laptop or the equivalent would
be setting on top of the device. The laptop would dock into the
transciever. Functions would be controlled by the laptop. Although
transciever front panel controls would be a possibility, the ideal
method for what I am talking about would be all control via the laptop.

This would not be a unit that needed a take-along computer, or an LCD
screen, both with their own separate power supplies. It would be a unit
that you plug in, open the case and "boot" the computer and transciever.
There were a few devices that touched on the idea, including the
unmanufactured PSK rig by the Sienna people, and another PSK31 kit rig
that sits outboard of a laptop.

But this would be a full featured rig, SSB, AM, FM, CW, RTTY, PSK Hell,
and onaonaonaonaon. At least 100 watts output. DSP that is upgradeable,
logging software, and whatever else you might do with the rig.

>
>>If the laptop were to become obsolete, say if a new mode came along
>>that required too much horsepower, then the unit could be replaced. A
>>mounting kit could be made available. In fact, a radio could be designed
>>so that you could attach your present laptop.
>
>
> Remember the Warbler?

Yup. That's about 25 percent of the way there.

> In any event what you're suggesting is a rig that is controlled by the
> computer - an RF modem of sorts. Great if you like that sort of thing,

> but they didn't sell all that well. Maybe times have changed.

Not completely. This rig isn't "controlled by the computer, any more
than it is controlled by the transmitter. cuz if either one isn't there,
it isn't going to work.

>>>>Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
>>>>might be expanded upon.
>>>
>>>
>>>It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
>>>form of standardization.
>>
>> Sometimes.
>
>
> Why not? Look at what it's done for PCs. Why not for ham rigs?

One of the areas in which digital people often make a mistake is in
thinking that RF is the same thing as digital. That is why they
sometimes design skunks like BPL.

The idea of an RF PCI board is a little chilling. Perhaps a very low
power UHF version, such as my PC card wireless card, of course, but not
likely any substsntial power.

>>>> Don't like the computer interface screenshot.
>>>>
>>>> I really hope that they do well. I do think the price point is too
>>>>high. I would be willing to pay extra for an American made unit, but 3K
>>>>for the entry level, and 6K for the works unit is pretty high.
>>>
>>>
>>>Perhaps - but a lot depends on how well it really performs.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Unless of course, the rig has outstanding performance. I would love to
>>>>see some test results.
>>>
>>>
>>>Mo bettah, some actual on-air performance. Somebody wins CQWW DX with
>>>one, then watch the fireworks...
>>>
>>>Or to really get to the bottom of it: Is it $6000 worth of fun?
>>
>> And is it 6K worth of fun for the XYL? ;^)
>
>
> Who is to say that the spouse doesn't get his/her fun items too?
>
>

>>>>Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
>>>>good one....
>>>>
>>>
>>>Expand which concept? Kits have been around a long time - curious that
>>>they never mention Elecraft or any of the other kits...
>>
>>For me, the concept isn't the kit - its the idea of the integral
>>computer, the modular construction, even to using another manufacturers
>>tuner.
>
>
> That *would be* a good thing!
>
>
>>>Of course my entire main HF rig cost me less than one of
>>>their optional
>>>filters, so I guess everything is relative....
>>>
>>>One more observation on price:
>>>
>>>Go to the local Home Depot, Lowes or similar outfit, as long > > as they
>>>carry a full range of appliances and such. Look at the ranges,
>>>refrigerators, countertops, etc.
>>>
>>>You can get a nice range for $300-400, or spend double,
>>>triple,
>>>quadruple that price for one with all the gizmos like self-
>>>cleaning,
>>>stainless steel, high-capacity oven, dissimilar burners, etc. > > Same for
>>>dishwashers, refrigerators, etc. Countertops? Granite seems
>>>to have
>>>replaced Corian and similar materials around here, at $100 a > > square
>>>foot, installed. Me, I prefer a nice laminate like
>>>Formica, but apparently a lot of folks prefer fancier stuff.
>
>
>>>Does a burger taste any better if it's made in such a
>>>kitchen? I dunno,
>>>but they must be selling the fancy stuff because they
>>>continue to stock it.
>>
>>If its involved with real estate, people will
>>shuck out astoundingly
>>foolish amounts of money.
>
>
> Sure! But consider:
>
> 1) How do home values relate to incomes when adjusted for inflation? Go

> back 20, 30, 40, 50 years and compare house prices to income levels.
> Houses were cheap then until you look at what the typical income level
> was.

There is an old paradigm about the amount of money spent on a house
should be 2X your yearly income. Perhaps 10 years ago, it went to
2.5-3X. Now it seems to have been abandoned into whatever the maximum
amount the bank will loan you.

That progression indicates that a change has happened. A lot higher
percentage goes to putting the roof over the head. That's "okay", just
get another Credit card or two!

> 2) A house is one of the few investments an individual can make
> that can be used without selling it.

If you do the home equity thing, you sort of have sold it.

>
> 3) A house is one of the few necessities an individual can buy
> that will almost certainly appreciate in value. Look at the everyday
> things you own - how many of them will be worth more
> in 10 years?
>
> 4) Home loan interest is a tax deduction for most people. What other
> debt reduces your taxes?

All true, Jim. and all used to get people to become "house poor".

>
>>BTW, those McMansions are becoming very, very hard to resell.
>>
>
> Not around here!

Interesting! My XYL, who works in the industry doing a lot of high-end
houses around here, notes that the people who have 500K to a million and
up almost always insist on building their own. If you have a million
dollar property around here that you want to sell, you better be
prepared to wait a while. And oddly enough, another persom might be
building an almost identical 1 mil house on the lot next to you!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1615. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 18:12:35 UTC
From: Grandpa Moses <nocodeinternational@boinkboink.org>
Message-ID: <e9b1$42dfe59b$d8536fce$21134@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 112983

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I disagree Jim. Code has been at 5 WPM for some time
now. That's just about a de-facto elimination of the same
as I have yet to have a person come thru my classes who
could not get 5 WPM.

1616. Re: CW'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:01:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <1iSDe.2212$j21.1836@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112975

"dude" <scorpiox@nym.alias.net> wrote in message
news:20050719233828.13455.qmail@nym.alias.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>
> CW is a rather amazing phenomenon. Being as difficult as a child learning
to jump rope, skate board, roller skate, ice skate, or, an old woman
learning to knit, crochet or tat, a person learning CW seems to take it as
seriously as a BS degree or a doctorate.
>
> CW seems to be the mark of rather eccentric and peculiar personalities.
One trait all CW ers seem to share is a bent for anti-social behavior.
>
> Signed,
> scorpiox
>

Not really, but you make an excellent point. Since cw is so easy to learn
(like jumping rope or roller skating), why not learn it?

After learning it, you should find it easy to get back to the old mark of 20
words per minute.

Heck, if you practice 15 minutes a day for a few weeks, you should be able
to do 40.

So, you make a good point. We should keep the cw test ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1617. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:05:48 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <gmSDe.2214$j21.193@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112976

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Is the glass half empty or half full?

I look at it this way: we will always know where to head for an interesting
chat with someone knowlegeable.

We'll know where to head to avoid profanity.

We'll know where to head to avoid interference and folks screaming
"AUDIOOOOOOO"/

We'll head for the cw bands. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1618. Re: THIS IS MY BEEF!! For Da Helluvit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:09:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121972973.284177.230290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> > What's 'plain stupid' is you craving a mans dick up your ass,
> > > fuckstick.
> > >
> > > plain stupid is making the above stement and pretending it has any
> > > place in civilized society
> > > >
> > > > hth
> > >
> > Don't ask, don't tell. Especially on usenet, fudgepacker.

then go derect your attack K4YZ who inisted on saeching out and making
an issue of my sexuality

>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com/

1619. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:17:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121973429.302818.60590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112978

From: Leo on Jul 20, 7:03 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote
>
>>http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>It is sad, inasmuch as this definitely represents a dramatic change to
>the structure of Amateur Radio in the US - Morse has been an integral
>part of the hobby for as long as most anyone living can remember.

At least since 1913...a mere 92 years. :-)

[we await the Terrible Hue and Cry to be raised, first on QRZ.com
then all over in here as the MMM* rise up in anger and dismay at
the Falling of the Sky! Sound the Alarum!]

>Surprisingly, there is no impetus to change the technical content of
>the exams - I would have bet on that one!

Considering a two-year period and EIGHTEEN proposals sitting
around, I think that would have been out of the question in
DC. The FCC noted (for WT Docket 05-235) that the VEC
Question Pool Committee makes up the questions. Further, under
U.S. regulations, the FCC specifies only a MINIMUM of ten
times the number of required questions per class. The VEC
QPC is under NO obligation to limit the Pool limit to 10.

Given the electronic transfer capability of the VEC QPC
Pool and the ubiquity of the modern PC and Internet, the
number of questions could have been at least 100 times the
minimum required number of questions for U.S. examinees.
"Difficulty" is a matter for the VEC QPC, themselves made
up ONLY of licensed radio amateurs.

>There are some good things in the NPRM as well, though - the massive
>proposals for upgrading hundreds of thousands of current licensees to
>the next higher license class did not survive. This topic seemed to
>polarize folks as much (or more than!) the Morse issue itself.

Judging by the massive outpouring of grief/anger/dismay/
etc. on www.qrz.com this morning, the "polarization" seems
very focussed on morse code testing. [31 pages of messages
there by mid-morning on the 21st of July...:-)]

By the latter part of 2010, the issue will be moot on
"auto-upgrades" of classes. The Technician Plus, Novice,
and Advanced classes will HAVE to disappear...a result of the

"Restructuring" Report and Order of late 1999 that took
effect in mid-2000.

By the way, as of 1200 UTC on 21 July 2005, the number of
"lower-class" Technician and Technician Plus class licensees
in the USA were 349,859 or 48.46% of the total individual
licensees (722,023). In one year's time, the FCC granted
16,085 NEW amateur radio licenses but 19,072 licenses were
expired. Net gain of -2,987 in 12 months, a trend that has
existed since the peak in July 2003.

>However, Morse has too big a following to just disappear from the
>bands completely - it will be there for many years to come!

Yes, and the stirring tales of daring-doo by "CW", saving
lives and protecting the nation agains terrorists will
always be part of the mythology! :-)

Some 47 years ago the FCC "took away" the U.S. ham band
called "11 meters" and reassigned it to the new-fangled
Class C and D Citizens Band. Some of today's hams weren't
even born yet but they are "mad as hell [about that] and
can't take it anymore!" [a la the famous "Network" rant
in the movie by actor Peter Finch (SK)]

About the only thing "lost" was the TITLES and DISTINCTION
of being part of the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society, a sort
of quasi-royal status assumed by those few who were able to
make it through 13 and 20 WPM. They feel they "own" the
"rights" to the "titles" and those should be kept in
perpetuity...naturally to show the "greatness" of these
MMMs at "pioneering the airwaves." :-) [none of those
hams in here were alive in those pioneer days but they
apparently have laid claim to that "land," "fief," and
all "titles!" :-)]

>The feeling here in Canada is that we are probably weeks away from a
>similar announcement......one which, in all probability, will mirror
>the US NPRM very closely.

As long as British Columbia is still the production home of
"Stargate," "Stargate Atlantis," and "Battlestar Galactica,"
I will not comment on what Canada does or should do. :-)

The U.S. NPRM has NO great changes in U.S. amateur radio
regulations other than the pending removal of Test Element
1 (morse code test). That's about as simple a law change
as possible.

The emotional catastrophe of Title/Status/Distinction/etc.
loss to the MMM is at least an order of magnitude above the
largest megatonage special weapon! The "fall-out" has
begun...some will not survive the "radio-ation."

>We'll soon see!

The writing on the wall happened in Switzerland two years ago with the revision of S25 at WRC-03...with the consent and input of the IARU and a few others. The ARRL was opposed to that then...but now try to spin that they were "in support." <shrug>

Modernization will continue despite what the "boyz in da ham hood" think...

>73, Leo

Best regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

* MMM = Mighty Macho Morsemen, hee-rows of the hamways.

1620. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:20:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <IzSDe.1792$cg.1448@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 112979

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MZKdnS9KQZWOjULfRVn-hA@comcast.com...
>
> Actually Kim, it supports the point of view that I've had all along.
Namely
> that, for the most part, those who wanted to upgrade did so despite the
> Morse code and those who didn't upgrade were getting what they wanted out
of
> ham radio with their current license level.
>
> Have you ever got involved with the local VHF traffic nets? This may or
may
> not be something that you would enjoy.
>
> I personally enjoy HF and working DX (especially if I'm lucky enough to
get
> someone who wants to ragchew). My favorite band has always been 40m
despite
> the issue with the foreign broadcasters in our voice portion. The morning
> (right around dawn) I worked New Zealand on 40m CW really started my day
off
> with a bang.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

Hello, Dee

Funny thing, that is what I used to love. Even trying out Spanish (when I could speak a bit) for a half an hour with a Portugese station (I told him I knew he spoke Portugese, but did he speak any Spanish as he knew little English - he did and we had some fun).

I used to chat for hours on end with VK2NP, Norm, in Brisbane Australia via teletype (ah the sound of those old clanking machines). He didn't even know until about the fourth QSO that I was not using the tape reader. At a leisurely 60 words per minute, I had to wait for the mechanical beast to allow the key to depress and I kept it busy at full tilt (except when sending such goodies as Santa Claus etc. - the old ascii - oops baudot - 'graphics' LOL). The 100 word per minute machines in the comm center were something else. My best burst speed was around 92 words per minute and I could never have fooled anyone.

Too bad so many spend hours arguing whilst there is a lot of fun to be had on the air ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1621. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:28:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <%HSDe.2219$j21.1185@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112980

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
> > > latest "restructing"
> > > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
back then the bands as usual.
> >
> > Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>
> Yep.
>
> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
> Restructuring...
>
> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
> true then.
>
> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
> where were all those engineering-types then?
>
> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>
> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
> much.
>
> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of

> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
> one or two license classes.
>
> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>
> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
> operate legally...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Steve,

So far (cross fingers) cw is legal *anywhere* in the ham bands (other than, I believe, 60 meters) so long as one's license class permits transmitting.

I have, in the past, found it an excellent way of confounding someone who jumps on me (of course, that was when all amateurs had some ability on cw - a minimum of 13 words per minute on hf in the voice bands). Funny thing how Mike, W2OY's killerwatt couldn't touch my 75 watts LOL. Even funnier was the way his blood pressure was going up whilst I continued a nice contact with Ohio despite his best efforts. Both the other guy and I could handle cw quite well. After a number of "qrq" sent back and fourth, we were humming along at a nice rate, neither pushing speed limits nor plodding along. It was somewhere in the neighborhood of 35 to 45 words per minute and we continued for a good half hour more. Every now and then, I'd open the rx bandwidth to hear Mike screaming "take those toys down into the cw band". Sure, Mike, just don't hold your breath. <snort>

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1622. Re: THIS IS MY BEEF!! For Da Helluvit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:30:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050721153027.768$iz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 112981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > >> > What's 'plain stupid' is you craving a mans dick up your ass,
> > > > fuckstick.
> > >
> > > plain stupid is making the above stement and pretending it has any
> > > place in civilized society
> > > >
> > > > hth
> > >
> > Don't ask, don't tell. Especially on usenet, fudgepacker.
>
> then go derect your attack K4YZ who inisted on saeching out and making
> an issue of my sexuality
>
I'd tell you to go suck a dick but you enjoy that too much, fruitcake.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/
```

1623. Re: THIS IS MY BEEF!! For Da Helluvit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:35:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121974554.734740.55150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > >> > What's 'plain stupid' is you craving a mans dick up your ass,
> > > > > fuckstick.
> > > >
> > > > plain stupid is making the above stement and pretending it has any
> > > > place in civilized society
> > > > >
> > > > > hth
> > > >
> > > Don't ask, don't tell. Especially on usenet, fudgepacker.
> > >
> > then go derect your attack K4YZ who inisted on saeching out and making
> > an issue of my sexuality
> >
> I'd tell you to go suck a dick but you enjoy that too much, fruitcake.

and if you would like to stop with dealing even in your mind with
homosexaulity then stop posting lines like "I'd tell you to go suck a
dick but you enjoy that too much, fruitcake."
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com/

1624. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:44:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <BWSDe.2221$j21.1026@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112984

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121966944.681444.204160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> It having been a few years since the last round I and prehaps other
> could a use a reminder. Doesn't the FCC have to take some action
> beyond this to put there new rules in effect
>

Usually, this is the next to last stop. This was a notice of proposed rulemaking. That means that they intend to make this a reality, unless Bush says that they should not change the rules due to dangers of weapons of mass destruction.

Code red, code red! Battle stations, everyone. Danger, Will Robinson. Man the photon torpedos. Engage trans-warp engins.

Unless there is a threat of weapons of mass destruction, the FCC will follow through with the new rules. Of course, it might happen. Bush is always working on things, even when on vaction. There are cell phones and they are working on ... um, things. There are fax machines and his is always busy working on things. Even satellite communications and he is um ... working .. on .... things ...

Did you see Farenheit 911? Always working on .... things. Golly, he seemed so indecisive in Florida with the schoolchildren when the first tower was hit. Eyes kept going back and fourth ... then the secret service guy whispered in his ear (the second tower was hit). Still he sat there with his eyeballs clicking back and fourth.

He must have been working .... working on things ...

My guess is that the changes will occur as Bush is too busy with ..... things :)))

I see they are laying off another 10,000 worldwide at Kodak with 7,000 of those local jobs. Glad Bush is working .... um, on things.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1625. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:54:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <i4TDe.239$wl4.4411456@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112985

The kodak layoffs should be no surprise what-so-ever...

They have invented the digital cam yanno, and even ancient dinosaurs
(after a few years of practice) are able to push the button on one
correctly these days... LOL!

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:BWSDe.2221$j21.1026@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1121966944.681444.204160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> It having been a few years since the last round I and prehaps other
>> could a use a reminder. Doesn't the FCC have to take some action
>> beyond this to put there new rules in effect
>>
>
> Usually, this is the next to last stop. This was a notice of
> proposed
> rulemaking. That means that they intend to make this a reality,
> unless Bush
> says that they should not change the rules due to dangers of weapons
> of mass
> destruction.
>
> Code red, code red! Battle stations, everyone. Danger, Will
> Robinson. Man
> the photon torpedos. Engage trans-warp engins.
>
> Unless there is a threat of weapons of mass destruction, the FCC
> will follow
> through with the new rules. Of course, it might happen. Bush is
> always
> working on things, even when on vaction. There are cell phones and
> they are
> working on ... um, things. There are fax machines and his is always
> busy
> working on things. Even satellite communications and he is um ...
> working
> .. on .... things ...
>
> Did you see Farenheit 911? Always working on .... things. Golly,
> he seemed
> so indecisive in Florida with the schoolchildren when the first

> tower was
> hit. Eyes kept going back and fourth ... then the secret service
> guy
> whispered in his ear (the second tower was hit). Still he sat there
> with
> his eyeballs clicking back and fourth.
>
> He must have been working .... working on things ...
>
> My guess is that the changes will occur as Bush is too busy with
> .....
> things :)))
>
> I see they are laying off another 10,000 worldwide at Kodak with
> 7,000 of
> those local jobs. Glad Bush is working .... um, on things.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

1626. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 19:56:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <C5TDe.240$w_3.4305044@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112986

OMG:

I never thought about that, with the great influx of all these new
hams firing up their keys and going CW on us, phone might be in
danger!!! <grin>

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:%HSDe.2219$j21.1185@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>> > > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>> > > latest "restructing"
>> > > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more
>> > > > then
> back then the bands as usual.
>> >
>> > Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT
>> and
>> Restructuring...
>>
>> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out
>> and
>> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
>> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the
>> exact
>> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it
>> wasn't
>> true then.
>>
>> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
>> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum.
>> The
>> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations.

>> So
>> where were all those engineering-types then?
>>
>> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk
>> don't
>> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO
>> argue
>> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of
>> the
>> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
>> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
>> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>>
>> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
>> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
>> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep
>> that
>> much.
>>
>> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
>> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all
>> of
>> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have
>> only
>> one or two license classes.
>>
>> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
>> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part
>> 97.
>> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
>> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>>
>> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc
>> Meters so
>> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
>> operate legally...?!?!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> Steve,
>
> So far (cross fingers) cw is legal *anywhere* in the ham bands
> (other than,
> I believe, 60 meters) so long as one's license class permits
> transmitting.
>
> I have, in the past, found it an excellent way of confounding

> someone who
> jumps on me (of course, that was when all amateurs had some ability
> on cw -
> a minimum of 13 words per minute on hf in the voice bands). Funny
> thing how
> Mike, W2OY's killerwatt couldn't touch my 75 watts LOL. Even
> funnier was
> the way his blood pressure was going up whilst I continued a nice
> contact
> with Ohio despite his best efforts. Both the other guy and I could
> handle
> cw quite well. After a number of "qrq" sent back and fourth, we
> were
> humming along at a nice rate, neither pushing speed limits nor
> plodding
> along. It was somewhere in the neighborhood of 35 to 45 words per
> minute
> and we continued for a good half hour more. Every now and then, I'd
> open
> the rx bandwidth to hear Mike screaming "take those toys down into
> the cw
> band". Sure, Mike, just don't hold your breath. <snort>
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

1627. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 20:00:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121976025.005583.110890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112987

From: Dee Flint on Jul 20, 9:16 pm

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
>Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention.

As of 1200 UTC on 21 July 2005, there were 349,859 of them...

That's the total of Technician and Technician Plus classes
in the USA...48.46% of all individual amateur radio licensees.

>If they are, we
>should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people taking element 3
>over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped up before
>their CSCEs expire.

There are a third of a million CSCEs outstanding?!? Outstanding!

>And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the Morse code".

"Kept out of WHAT?" :-) Radio? No.

Had the NO-CODE-TEST Technician class not been created 14 years
ago, the present number of U.S. amateur radio licensees would
have DROPPED by at least 200,000.

>Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if their is a
>trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.

WT Docket 05-235 has to be published in the Federal Register
first...with at least 75 days of Comments/Replies to Comments
following, then a (long?) wait for the Report and Order.

A "trend?!?" The total number of U.S. amateur radio licensees
has been dropping about three thousand per year since the peak
of July 2003. Expirations (of all classes) has exceeded the
number of NEW licensees. Novice class licensee totals have
been dropping steadily for almost two decades. The total of
Technician and Technician Plus classes is at 48.46% of all
individual licensees and might hit 50% by the end of 2005.

"Their [sic] IS a trend!" It's been there all along. New
licensees are NOT attracted by the majestic nobility and
sanctity of morse code as much as you'd like to think. The
old ways are dying...not quickly, but inexorably. Embrace

the NEW, not the old. RADIO...all of it...has been in a constant transition in the 52+ years I've been in it...and I started in it without any license, certainly not requiring any morsemanship skills.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1628. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 20:29:31 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LATDe.5416$bG4.4194@fe06.lga>
Source record: 112988

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> If you would chart developments and advancements in every technical
> field--amateur radio would come in last; frankly, I would doubt ones
> mental abilities who would even move in the direction of challenging
> that statement.
>
> A religious devotion to cw and a real "good old boys club" has damaged
> amateur radio for decades. Personalities which have an "anti-social
> bent" have been in control here far too long, calling them just
> "eccentric" is far too kind.
>
> Let us hope that decades of damage which has been done can be repaired
> quickly by the young men I am wishing and hoping to be here with us.
>
> Too often, tunnel vision only allows us to see that which we wish to
> see, but none can deny amateur radio has been in decline for
> decades--there is some reason for that.
>
> Now we need to encourage bright young men from industry here, so that
> we may mass produce cheap equipment and make amateur radio easy to
> step into. Hopefully, china and other developing countries will find
> it profitable and worth doing, to mass produce amateur equipment in a
> flowing abundance. Hopefully, soon, in the future the bands will be
> so congested calls are made for the bands to be expanded to
> accommodate all the hams needing bandwidth. A boom like that which CB
> experienced in the 70's would be most desirable, however, I do realize
> this is probably too much to even hope for.
>
> As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in
> "advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement. Spreading
> the word and helping others to study and pass the written exam will be
> key in getting the numbers we need at that time.
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:7fadnVotvr55nELfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>
>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:q8DDe.133$077.1688695@news.sisna.com...
>>

>>>Dee:
>>>
>>>Although we have differences in our thoughts and evaluation of this
>>>whole situation, let us both hope you are wrong--dear.
>>>
>>>We need some good news for a change! Amateur radio and a bright
>>>future for it is bigger than you and I put together, indeed, it is
>>>more important than all of us here.
>>>
>>>Warmest regards,
>>>John
>>>
>>>"Dee
>>
>>I see a fine future for amateur radio but I also see a stabilization
>>of numbers just as is occuring in our population growth and all the
>>other activities to which I belong. The news of the FCC action and
>>the action itself will have little to no noticeable impact. Ham
>>radio would continue to develop either way.
>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>
>

1629. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 20:36:53 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GHTDe.5430$bG4.4163@fe06.lga>
Source record: 112989

John Smith wrote:

> Dee:
>
> If you would chart developments and advancements in every technical field--amateur radio would come in last; frankly, I would doubt ones mental abilities who would even move in the direction of challenging that statement.

Exactly right on point. Not since the 1950's has amateur radio had much of an impact on the "radio art". Packet briefly did, but it was rapidly eclipsed by technology.

>
> A religious devotion to cw and a real "good old boys club" has damaged amateur radio for decades. Personalities which have an "anti-social bent" have been in control here far too long, calling them just "eccentric" is far too kind.

Not CW, but a general eccentric flavor has damaged amateur radio. Since the 1960's amateur radio has attracted the social misfits who fit in by the virtue of having a license and their social ineptitude excused because of the license.

Nothing wrong with a "good old boys club", it's just that it's moved from a technical organization to a beer and belching organization with no real roots in advancement of the art. Sitting around and talking about scratching your testicals on 75 meter SSB has ZERO attraction to people with half a brain, and THIS is the problem with amateur radio. It isn't CW, it isn't lack of social skills or good hygiene, it's just that it doesn't attract engineers and good electronics technicians because it simply isn't challenging enough.

>
> Let us hope that decades of damage which has been done can be repaired quickly by the young men I am wishing and hoping to be here with us.

Ain't gonna happen, I'm afraid. The bright young men are shooting 2.4 gig WiFi at each other and bypassing amateur radio entirely. It's too late.

> Now we need to encourage bright young men from industry here, so that we may mass produce cheap equipment and make amateur radio easy to step into. Hopefully, china and other developing countries will find it profitable and worth doing, to mass produce amateur equipment in a flowing abundance. Hopefully, soon, in the future the bands will be so congested calls are made for the bands to be expanded to accommodate all

the hams needing bandwidth. A boom like that which CB experienced in the 70's would be most desirable, however, I do realize this is probably too much to even hope for.
>

All you're going to get are people from CB. The bright young engineers are not going to touch amateur radio because there isn't anything here to attract them.

> As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in "advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement. Spreading the word and helping others to study and pass the written exam will be key in getting the numbers we need at that time.
>

It's not an issue of numbers, it's an issue of why would anyone want to become an amateur radio operator. Really now, why would you want to do that? To talk on repeaters? To work some guy on 20 meters? The whole hobby is passe.

If you want to attract the bright intelligent minds, you better be prepared to challenge them. Challenge them to let them in, challenge them when they get here. Do you think ax.25 is going to attract people?

HA!

1630. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 20:49:18 UTC
From: Win <w0lz@winlyn.us>
Message-ID: <qf20e1djba79ddcqfal86pj6cj0rt5s0t5@4ax.com>
Source record: 112990

Wow, Jim, a democrat in New Jersey. Imagine that. You sound like
one of those guys that lives off entitlements and bitches about the
pay. Bet you look like Michael Moore, too.

Win

1631. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 21:09:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <W9UDe.250$686.4716609@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 112991

Jayson:

On a few points you are right on. However, try to net a high speed data link on 2.4 GHZ to hawaii, australia, the uk--fat chance!!! (and you might as well forget 220 MHz too--50MHz with ducting, well, maybe)

This is what HF is for, old farts got confused and though it was for brass keys and brass balls!

John

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:GHTDe.5430$bG4.4163@fe06.lga...
> John Smith wrote:
>
> > Dee:
> >
> > If you would chart developments and advancements in every
> > technical
> field--amateur radio would come in last; frankly, I would doubt ones
> mental abilities who would even move in the direction of challenging
> that statement.
>
>
> Exactly right on point. Not since the 1950's has amateur radio had
> much of an impact on the "radio art". Packet briefly did, but it
> was rapidly eclipsed by technology.
>
>
> >
> > A religious devotion to cw and a real "good old boys club" has
> damaged amateur radio for decades. Personalities which have an
> "anti-social bent" have been in control here far too long, calling
> them just "eccentric" is far too kind.
>
>
> Not CW, but a general eccentric flavor has damaged amateur radio.
> Since the 1960's amateur radio has attracted the social misfits who
> fit in by the virtue of having a license and their social ineptitude
> excused because of the license.
>
> Nothing wrong with a "good old boys club", it's just that it's moved
> from a technical organization to a beer and belching organization
> with no real roots in advancement of the art. Sitting around and
> talking about scratching your testicals on 75 meter SSB has ZERO

> attraction to people with half a brain, and THIS is the problem with
> amateur radio. It isn't CW, it isn't lack of social skills or good
> hygiene, it's just that it doesn't attract engineers and good
> electronics technicians because it simply isn't challenging enough.
>
>
> >
> > Let us hope that decades of damage which has been done can be
> repaired quickly by the young men I am wishing and hoping to be here
> with us.
>
>
> Ain't gonna happen, I'm afraid. The bright young men are shooting
> 2.4 gig WiFi at each other and bypassing amateur radio entirely.
> It's too late.
>
>
> > Now we need to encourage bright young men from industry here, so
> > that
> we may mass produce cheap equipment and make amateur radio easy to
> step into. Hopefully, china and other developing countries will
> find it profitable and worth doing, to mass produce amateur
> equipment in a flowing abundance. Hopefully, soon, in the future
> the bands will be so congested calls are made for the bands to be
> expanded to accommodate all the hams needing bandwidth. A boom like
> that which CB experienced in the 70's would be most desirable,
> however, I do realize this is probably too much to even hope for.
> >
>
>
> All you're going to get are people from CB. The bright young
> engineers are not going to touch amateur radio because there isn't
> anything here to attract them.
>
>
> > As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in
> "advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement.
> Spreading the word and helping others to study and pass the written
> exam will be key in getting the numbers we need at that time.
> >
>
>
> It's not an issue of numbers, it's an issue of why would anyone want
> to become an amateur radio operator. Really now, why would you want
> to do that? To talk on repeaters? To work some guy on 20 meters?
> The whole hobby is passe.
>
> If you want to attract the bright intelligent minds, you better be
> prepared to challenge them. Challenge them to let them in,
> challenge them when they get here. Do you think ax.25 is going to

> attract people?
>
> HA!

1632. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:01:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i-ydnVbjL_b5hn3fRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 112992

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121944377.511852.83680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>
>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp,
>> realistically,
>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would
>> take any other path?
>
> As I often said in the past 5 years, when FCC wrote that
> code testing served no regulatory purpose other than
> treaty compliance, the deal was essentially done.
>
> The amazing thing was that it's taken two years to get
> this far.

That's because the people wanting to eliminate the code "shot themselves in
the foot" with a plethora of wide ranging petitions.

>>
>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an
>> announcement
>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go
>> thru the
>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of
>> desparate
>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a
>> done deal.
>
> I'll comment, like always.
>>
>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>> latest "restructing"
>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
>> back then the bands as usual.
>
> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>
> Got my antenna back up last night (had to take it down Sunday for
> the new siding to go on the house). 40 was full of CW signals.
> Nice chat with a VE2 on 7031. Life is good.

Yup it is. And I will continue as always to try to introduce amateur radio
to new people.

>>
>> I could care less about any of it at this late date because
>> none of it
>> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and > PSK my
>> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 > wpm fast
>> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half
>> century ago.
>> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my > abilty
>> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
>> "restructuring" brainfart.
>
> Exactly.
>
>> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any
>> of this
>> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? > Good:
>> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
>
> bwaahaahaa
>>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1633. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:08:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121983737.230967.97920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112993

From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 3:44 pm

>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> It having been a few years since the last round I and prehaps other
>> could a use a reminder. Doesn't the FCC have to take some action
>> beyond this to put there new rules in effect
>
>Usually, this is the next to last stop. This was a notice of proposed
>rulemaking. That means that they intend to make this a reality, unless Bush
>says that they should not change the rules due to dangers of weapons of mass
>destruction.

The NOTICE of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) is (nearly always) first.
Then follows the Comment period...itself followed by a long wait
while the lawyers at the FCC draft the Report and Order (R&O).
Once the R&O is published in the Federal Register it is LAW.

>Code red, code red! Battle stations, everyone. Danger, Will Robinson. Man
>the photon torpedos. Engage trans-warp engins.
>
>Unless there is a threat of weapons of mass destruction, the FCC will follow
>through with the new rules. Of course, it might happen. Bush is always
>working on things, even when on vaction. There are cell phones and they are
>working on ... um, things. There are fax machines and his is always busy
>working on things. Even satellite communications and he is um ... working
>.. on .... things ...

In one way you are right...WT Docket 05-235 is a WMD.

A Weapon of MORSE Destruction! :-)

Do the Mighty Macho Morsemen want "Iraq III" on that WMD?

>My guess is that the changes will occur as Bush is too busy with .....
>things :)))

Which "Bush?" The elimination of the morse code test has
been worked on by various groups for over two decades. It is
NOT relative to any political politics or any particular
administration.

>I see they are laying off another 10,000 worldwide at Kodak with 7,000 of
>those local jobs. Glad Bush is working .... um, on things.

Irrelevant topic in here. Eastman Kodak has been a big name
for years. That doesn't mean they get to claim fief and title

for anything. Face it (with or without portrait lens), the future of silver halide photography media is NOT advancing.

Eastman Kodak started up in the photo biz with a little box camera pre-loaded with film. One took the box camera to a developer (usually a drug store), had the film developed and the camera re-loaded, all for one price. A few years ago they "pioneered" the already-loaded "one-shot" camera one brought into the dealer for developing. Some "pioneering!" BTW, Eastman sells (or maybe re-sells) digital cameras, too (see Ritz Camera chain for details).

Would you say Xerox is a big company? Yes? Okay, their PARC (Palo Alto Research Center) INVENTED both the mouse and the GUI (Graphical User Interface). Xerox head honchos decided neither had a "future" and allowed PARC to sell their invention and didn't bother pursuing any more R&D on them. Guess what all personal computers of today have in common? [a mouse and a GUI operating system!]

Where was radio 110 years ago? The first "radios" used on-off keying (by "morse" code) because that was they ONLY way to use them for communications in this new "low-tech." Radiotelegraphy reached a high art around 1940 (give or take) and then slowly slid into less and less use by all but radio amateurs. Now it isn't used for communications anywhere else in radio but the "ham bands." Even then, its use is slowly dropping.

You can't Hold Back The Dawn. The best you can do is put up some curtains or tent to shield your old skills from damage of direct sunlight in this new era.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1634. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:22:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XIadnaXA84qCvX3fRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 112994

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>> > latest "restructing"
>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
>> > back then the bands as usual.
>>
>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>
> Yep.
>
> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
> Restructuring...
>
> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
> true then.
>
> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
> where were all those engineering-types then?
>
> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>
> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
> much.
>

I'm betting that it will go just like the NPRM is now and that is code elimination across the board. They specifically discussed the proposals that had that concept in it and discounted the possibility.

> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of
> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
> one or two license classes.
>

You know I doubt this as those who really wanted General upgraded anyway. Of those who haven't upgraded yet, many are relatively inactive anyhow.

As far as two license classes go, FCC is not considering it right now and it won't really matter what they do as I believe that there will be a de facto two class system.

> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>
> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
> operate legally...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1635. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:27:09 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <noedndukYJjJvH3fRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 112995

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1121966944.681444.204160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> It having been a few years since the last round I and prehaps other
> could a use a reminder. Doesn't the FCC have to take some action
> beyond this to put there new rules in effect
>

Yes. First they will allow a comment and comment reply period. After the close of that period, they will "digest" the comments. Then finally they will issue a Report and Order with an implementation date specified. It's my guess that this will be done around the first of the year.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1636. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:32:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <8oVDe.2240$j21.798@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 112996

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:C5TDe.240$w_3.4305044@news.sisna.com...
> OMG:
>
> I never thought about that, with the great influx of all these new
> hams firing up their keys and going CW on us, phone might be in
> danger!!! <grin>
>
> John
>

Hello, John

Nope, but I've never encountered a foul word nor bad operating procedure on cw. 160 used to be fine, even on voice mode, but other bands were ... well, a bit different. With the 14.313 gang going a while back plus the 75 meter folks .... well, 80 meter cw sounds good to me LOL.

The nice thing about cw is that there is seldom, if ever, rude operation (contests don't count. Never cared for 'em and it is going to be hot and heavy in a contest. That is part of the game. Not rude, just hot and heavy and sometimes a bit chaotic). SSB needs close to 3 kHz of room and the power is spread over that 3 kHz (albeit not evenly). You don't need more than a few hundred Hertz for cw, so once you set your bandwidth to 300 Hz or less, you've just obtained a good 10 dB attenuation of the guy trying to irritate you. Of course, you can reduce bandwidth a bit more, but the audio isn't evenly spread over the spectrum. In many cases you can gain 20 dB or more advantage. Mike was running 1 kw input vs my 75 watts. His bandwidth was 50% in the carrier (not to be heard) and the remaining 50% of his power was spread over 6 kHz as he was running am. I didn't even hear him.

With a good notch filter, he didn't even have to hear me. He could have carried on a conversation on am with another station whilst I chatted with the other guy with virtually no mutual interference. Four stations in the same "channel" .... with no interference.

Dang! I just may become more pro-code LOL ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1637. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:38:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121985515.767622.113760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
again.

I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE

username: k4yz
password: dimwit

In order to use your account, you must activate it. You can activate
your account at the following location:

http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi?action=activateaccount&username=k4yz&key=xowktnkyk8
w6uf397p76

Your activation key is: xowktnkyk8w6uf397p76

--
N9OGL BBS
http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi
This is an automated email. Do not reply to this message, you will
receive no reply.

UNQUOTE

1638. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:40:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121985632.494077.18820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112998

Hate

1639. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:41:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121985681.923418.129140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 112999

hate

1640. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:42:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121985733.190185.133740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113000

Hate

1641. Stevie admits to his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:44:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121985857.516316.175280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113002

K4YZ wrote:
> hate

what more needs to be said

1642. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:44:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121985861.794089.175630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113001

an_old_friend wrote:
> you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but
> a lower one
> you are a bigot and a bully

HAte, hate and more hate.

You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.

Imagine that.
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
> > > > gene pool and spoil the party.
> > >
> > > you are a greater threat to the pool than I
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I've got 3 kids in college on GPA-based scholarships.
> >
> > You've got...?!?!?!
>
> my life is my busness

In other words, You've got squat.

Just your hate.

Steve, K4YZ

1643. stevie final admits to his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:45:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121985939.844006.175230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113003

K4YZ wrote:
> Hate

facing up to it is progress boyo

1644. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:47:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121986063.817671.53750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113004

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> > significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> > ultimate retirement.
>
> IYO

In fact.

He's not even produced any achievments.

> > You're welcome to publish a link or provide a reference to some
> > source that proves otherwise if you know of one...Otherwise you're just
> > running YOUR mouth off.
>
> not at all with your taste for precision I am typing my fingers off not
> saying a word
>
> you have your opinion. Indeed I don't that Len disagress with you on
> "signifacant achivements, but we all judge things in our own way
>
> at least when bigots like you give the chance

He's had eight years of chances.

> > > > Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> > > > that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
> > > >
> > > > Oh well...
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > >
> > > another stevie lie
> >
> > Where?
>
> the 73 you have no regard for him

For him, I have pleant of "regard"...

For you..?!?! Squat.

> > Had Lennie taken the code test when he alleges to have been up to
> > "8 wpm", he would indeed have "all he needed" for an EXTRA today. That
> > is 100% factual.

> >
> > And the "73"...?!?!
> >
> > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
>
> nope another lie

Not a lie, not another lie...

Fact.

Steve, K4YZ

1645. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:48:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121986102.885118.184810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
> again.
>
> I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
> Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.

yea right

>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> QUOTE
>
> username: k4yz
> password: dimwit
>
> In order to use your account, you must activate it. You can activate
> your account at the following location:
>
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi?action=activateaccount&username=k4yz&key=xowktnky
k8w6uf397p76
>
> Your activation key is: xowktnkyk8w6uf397p76
>
> --
> N9OGL BBS
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi
> This is an automated email. Do not reply to this message, you will
> receive no reply.

sounds more like you upto yours
>
>
> UNQUOTE

you might be inocent but I doubt it

another example of how you can dish out abuse but not take it

1646. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:49:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121986141.146260.317940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113006

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Hate
>
> facing up to it is progress boyo

Obviously you didn't reread the subject line

Steve, K4YZ

1647. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:50:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121986254.584847.182290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113007

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > We've got you farther away from civilized people...Now all we do
> > is need to teach you PROPER English and fix your deviance problem.
>
> you got nothing
>
> and again with you hate fill bigtry

I've got my honor.

Something you'll never know as long as you're an admitted liar and
deviant.

Steve, K4YZ

1648. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:51:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xFVDe.2522$6f.1@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I doubt it. Have fun.

Dan/W4NTI

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121951929.993125.133000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hey Dan...
>
> You gonna make it to Huntsville this year? I may be going the
> opposite way to St Louis that weekend, but if not, will look for you
> there...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1649. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:51:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KFVDe.274$ID.5367900@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113009

Jim:

Well friend, you have been isolated.

Out here in the real world kids are cussing like sailors in grade school, 11 year old girls are actively seeking to become pregnant, drugs are much more prevalent than ever in the 60's and 70's.

The only areas where cussing is not seen is churches...

Whether you like it or not reality has changed...

You have hidden from the real world on 160m for far too long, and since you have not joined the real world, the real world now comes to you...

Get over it, for at least the near future, things are NOT going the way most of us like--the answer to this is not setting aside 160m for ancient old men to hide in...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:8oVDe.2240$j21.798@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:C5TDe.240$w_3.4305044@news.sisna.com...
>> OMG:
>>
>> I never thought about that, with the great influx of all these new
>> hams firing up their keys and going CW on us, phone might be in
>> danger!!! <grin>
>>
>> John
>>
>
>
> Hello, John
>
> Nope, but I've never encountered a foul word nor bad operating
> procedure on
> cw. 160 used to be fine, even on voice mode, but other bands were
> ... well,
> a bit different. With the 14.313 gang going a while back plus the
> 75 meter
> folks .... well, 80 meter cw sounds good to me LOL.
>

> The nice thing about cw is that there is seldom, if ever, rude
> operation
> (contests don't count. Never cared for 'em and it is going to be
> hot and
> heavy in a contest. That is part of the game. Not rude, just hot
> and heavy
> and sometimes a bit chaotic). SSB needs close to 3 kHz of room and
> the
> power is spread over that 3 kHz (albeit not evenly). You don't need
> more
> than a few hundred Hertz for cw, so once you set your bandwidth to
> 300 Hz or
> less, you've just obtained a good 10 dB attenuation of the guy
> trying to
> irritate you. Of course, you can reduce bandwidth a bit more, but
> the audio
> isn't evenly spread over the spectrum. In many cases you can gain
> 20 dB or
> more advantage. Mike was running 1 kw input vs my 75 watts. His
> bandwidth
> was 50% in the carrier (not to be heard) and the remaining 50% of
> his power
> was spread over 6 kHz as he was running am. I didn't even hear him.
>
> With a good notch filter, he didn't even have to hear me. He could
> have
> carried on a conversation on am with another station whilst I
> chatted with
> the other guy with virtually no mutual interference. Four stations
> in the
> same "channel" .... with no interference.
>
> Dang! I just may become more pro-code LOL ;)
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

1650. MARK MORGAN: Change This Tag Line And Tell Us What You're Filled With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:52:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1121986326.730268.20780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113010

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hate
>
> what more needs to be said

And you still didn't read the tag line.

Silly Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

1651. more stevie bile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:53:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121986415.573032.15390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113011

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> > > significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> > > ultimate retirement.
> >
> > IYO
>
> In fact.

IYO

>
> He's not even produced any achievments.

even if true so what

>
> > > You're welcome to publish a link or provide a reference to some
> > > source that proves otherwise if you know of one...Otherwise you're just
> > > running YOUR mouth off.
> >
> > not at all with your taste for precision I am typing my fingers off not
> > saying a word
> >
> > you have your opinion. Indeed I don't that Len disagress with you on
> > "signifacant achivements, but we all judge things in our own way
> >
> > at least when bigots like you give the chance
>
> He's had eight years of chances.

and another would impress you without being morse tested so why bother
to try and impress a peice of shit yourself

>
> > > > > Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> > > > > that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh well...
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > another stevie lie

> > >
> > > Where?
> >
> > the 73 you have no regard for him
>
> For him, I have pleant of "regard"...
pleant? speling cop

>
> For you..?!?! Squat.

>
> > > Had Lennie taken the code test when he alleges to have been up to
> > > "8 wpm", he would indeed have "all he needed" for an EXTRA today. That
> > > is 100% factual.
> > >
> > > And the "73"...?!?!
> > >
> > > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
> >
> > nope another lie
>
> Not a lie, not another lie...

another lie

>
> Fact.

you don't know the meaning of the word fact
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1652. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:54:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <EIVDe.275$Ht.5226652@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113012

Dee:

You are correct about one thing, those who are in danger of having
their egos deflated, of being forced to wakeup, those realizing they
are not going to be allowed to "be special" anymore are going to
scream like pigs and look for some way to divert the fixes which are
coming this way...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:XIadnaXA84qCvX3fRVn-tw@comcast.com...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>>> > latest "restructing"
>>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more >
>>> > then back then the bands as usual.
>>>
>>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT
>> and
>> Restructuring...
>>
>> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out
>> and
>> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
>> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the
>> exact
>> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it
>> wasn't
>> true then.
>>
>> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
>> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum.
>> The

>> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations.
>> So
>> where were all those engineering-types then?
>>
>> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
>> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO
>> argue
>> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of
>> the
>> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
>> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
>> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>>
>> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
>> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
>> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep
>> that
>> much.
>>
>
> I'm betting that it will go just like the NPRM is now and that is
> code elimination across the board. They specifically discussed the
> proposals that had that concept in it and discounted the
> possibility.
>
>> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
>> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all
>> of
>> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have
>> only
>> one or two license classes.
>>
>
> You know I doubt this as those who really wanted General upgraded
> anyway. Of those who haven't upgraded yet, many are relatively
> inactive anyhow.
>
> As far as two license classes go, FCC is not considering it right
> now and it won't really matter what they do as I believe that there
> will be a de facto two class system.
>
>> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
>> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part
>> 97.
>> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
>> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>>
>> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters
>> so
>> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can

>> operate legally...?!?!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1653. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:55:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <CJVDe.276$gx.5290125@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

don't bitch, they got the password right for you...

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121985515.767622.113760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old
> tricks
> again.
>
> I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
> Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> QUOTE
>
> username: k4yz
> password: dimwit
>
> In order to use your account, you must activate it. You can
> activate
> your account at the following location:
>
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi?action=activateaccount&username=k4yz&key=xowktnky
k8w6uf397p76
>
> Your activation key is: xowktnkyk8w6uf397p76
>
> --
> N9OGL BBS
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.cgi
> This is an automated email. Do not reply to this message, you will
> receive no reply.
>
>
> UNQUOTE
>

1654. stevie admits his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:56:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121986576.088455.3980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113014

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Hate
> >
> > facing up to it is progress boyo
>
> Obviously you didn't reread the subject line

no I did not reread the subject line

don't know what reread is other than sounding like a WMD

OTOH I just quoted you and your entire message
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1655. stevie hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:57:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121986644.070625.31640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113016

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hate
> >
> > what more needs to be said
>
> And you still didn't read the tag line.

Yes I did read it
>
> Silly Markie!

stupid stevie

Feel better

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1656. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 22:57:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yOWdnbaZpvfjtX3fRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 113015

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:EIVDe.275$Ht.5226652@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> You are correct about one thing, those who are in danger of having their
> egos deflated, of being forced to wakeup, those realizing they are not
> going to be allowed to "be special" anymore are going to scream like pigs
> and look for some way to divert the fixes which are coming this way...
>
> John
>

I find it difficult to see how extracted that concept from what I said.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:XIadnaXA84qCvX3fRVn-tw@comcast.com...
>>
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>>>> > latest "restructing"
>>>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
>>>> > back then the bands as usual.
>>>>
>>>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>>>
>>> Yep.
>>>
>>> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
>>> Restructuring...
>>>
>>> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
>>> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
>>> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
>>> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
>>> true then.
>>>
>>> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur

>>> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
>>> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
>>> where were all those engineering-types then?
>>>
>>> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
>>> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
>>> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
>>> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
>>> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
>>> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>>>
>>> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
>>> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
>>> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
>>> much.
>>>
>>
>> I'm betting that it will go just like the NPRM is now and that is code
>> elimination across the board. They specifically discussed the proposals
>> that had that concept in it and discounted the possibility.
>>
>>> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
>>> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of
>>> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
>>> one or two license classes.
>>>
>>
>> You know I doubt this as those who really wanted General upgraded anyway.
>> Of those who haven't upgraded yet, many are relatively inactive anyhow.
>>
>> As far as two license classes go, FCC is not considering it right now and
>> it won't really matter what they do as I believe that there will be a de
>> facto two class system.
>>
>>> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
>>> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
>>> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
>>> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>>>
>>> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
>>> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
>>> operate legally...?!?!
>>>
>>> 73
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>

>
>

1657. No honnor in hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:00:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121986827.246728.25210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113017

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > We've got you farther away from civilized people...Now all we do
> > > is need to teach you PROPER English and fix your deviance problem.
> >
> > you got nothing
> >
> > and again with you hate fill bigtry
>
> I've got my honor.

no honnor in hate and bigotry

you wear your white robes with pride?

>
> Something you'll never know as long as you're an admitted liar and
> deviant.

I know it full well

Not a devaint BTW do stopp lying or at least admit to your lies

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1658. Stevie cries out for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:02:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121986948.084158.301520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113018

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but
> > a lower one
> > you are a bigot and a bully
>
> HAte, hate and more hate.

yes you are admitting to it

now get it out a nd maybe profesional help can make you better

>
> You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.

not realy
>
> Imagine that.
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
> > > > > gene pool and spoil the party.
> > > > >
> > > > you are a greater threat to the pool than I
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > I've got 3 kids in college on GPA-based scholarships.
> > > >
> > > You've got...?!?!?!
> > >
> > my life is my busness
>
> In other words, You've got squat.

well My folks did not need a handout to send me to school or my brother

>
> Just your hate.

no hate HERE you are the one burning up with hate

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1659. Stevie loses it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:03:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121987019.501628.285390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113019

K4YZ wrote:
> Hate

stevie go take some meds

1660. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:09:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1121987370.041918.317790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113020

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Here you go Lennie, chew on this a while.
>
> I know, we hams don't do anything worth while. Funny you seem to be the
> ONLY one with that opinion. Even the President of the United States
> disagrees with you. (what a surprise, eh folks?)
>
> And just for grins I included some stuff on foreign shores, see Lennie,
> even the Europeans disagree with you. Thus Lennie= Lennie the loser.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

you think the President has anything to do with sending out that
materail

his people write this stuff

Lennie does say hams don nothing merely that they don't do as much as
their press releases
>
>
>
>
> ==>PRESIDENT BUSH SENDS GREETINGS TO FIELD DAY 2005 PARTICIPANTS
>
> President George W. Bush has sent greetings from the White House to everyone
> participating in ARRL Field Day 2005.
>
> "I send greetings to those celebrating the annual Field Day for Amateur
> Radio, hosted by the American Radio Relay League. Across our country, radio
> plays a vital role in relaying important information to the public and
> emergency service personnel in times of need," the president said.
>
> "By providing emergency communications at the federal, state, and local
> level, licensed Amateur Radio operators help first responders and law
> enforcement officials save lives and make our country safer. Your efforts
> help ensure the right assistance gets to the right people at the right time.
> I appreciate all ham operators who give their time and energy to help make
> our citizens more secure. Your good work reflects the spirit of America and
> contributes to a culture of responsibility and citizenship that strengthens
> our nation. Laura and I send our best wishes."
>
> An annual exercise aimed at developing skills to meet the challenges of
> emergency preparedness as well as to acquaint the general public with the
> capabilities of Amateur Radio, ARRL Field Day takes place this year on

> Saturday and Sunday, June 25-26. Stations throughout the Americas may
> participate.
>
> ==>AMATEUR RADIO EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION FOCUS OF WORLD CONFERENCE
>
> Tampere, Finland, played host June 13-14 to the first Global Amateur Radio
> Emergency Communications Conference (GAREC 2005). Participants from 17
> countries and representatives of all three International Amateur Radio Union
> (IARU) regions gathered to discuss and exchange information on the role of
> Amateur Radio in emergency communication. A conference statement summarized
> the value of Amateur Radio to emergency communication worldwide.
>
> "The Amateur Radio Service has the proven capabilities and capacities to
> serve the international community through its global network of
> infrastructure-independent stations," the statement concluded. "Such
> stations are not only most likely to withstand the physical impact of
> disasters, but their flexibility furthermore avoids the overload all public
> networks inevitably experience in the aftermath of disasters."
>
> The statement also pointed to the Amateur Service as "an invaluable resource
> of skilled operators, trained and experienced in maintaining communications
> under the most adverse conditions." It further concluded that it's essential
> "to ensure that this resource can be fully utilized in the service of
> emergency and disaster response providers." Conferees agreed as well that
> the Amateur Service needs access to "appropriate portions of the shared and
> limited resource of the radio frequency spectrum."
>
> The IARU has submitted the summary as an input document to the World Summit
> on the Information Society (WSIS), set to take place in Tunisia this
> November.
>
> Representing the IARU and chairing GAREC 2005 was Hans Zimmermann, HB9AQS,
> the IARU's International Coordinator for Emergency Communications. Past ARRL
> President Rod Stafford, W6ROD, represented IARU in his capacity as Region 2
> President and the League in his capacity as International Affairs Vice
> President.
>
> Major topics included cooperation between radio amateurs and institutional
> emergency response providers on the national level, and an exchange of
> experiences from recent events. Presentations showed how hams support
> emergency responders as skilled volunteer telecommunication operators as
> well as via their own global networks.
>
> Conferees also talked over ways to improve and facilitate the work of
> emergency communication networks. Participants agreed on the desirability of
> establishing a "Center of Activity Frequency" for emergency traffic on 80,
> 40, 20, 17 and 15 meters. SRAL, the IARU member-society for Finland and the
> host of GAREC 2005 will forward a proposal to that effect to the IARU for
> its consideration. This could happen during the IARU Region 1 Conference
> this September. GAREC-2005 did not put forth specific center-of-activity

> frequencies, but the proposal did recommend calling them "The Tampere
> Frequencies."
>
> Because of Tampere's association with the history of emergency and disaster
> communication, the city's name has become nearly synonymous with emergency
> telecommunication. Among signal events, an experts' conference there in 1991
> adopted the Tampere Declaration on Disaster Communications. In 1998, the
> Intergovernmental Conference on Emergency Telecommunications (ICET-98)
> adopted the Tampere Convention on the Provision of Telecommunication
> Resources for Disaster Mitigation and Relief Operations. Effective as of
> January 8, 2005, the convention largely eliminates roadblocks to moving
> telecommunications personnel and equipment across international borders into
> and within disaster-stricken areas. Tampere has hosted several related
> conferences on emergency telecommunication as well. To maintain the
> momentum, plans already are being discussed for a second global conference
> in 2006.
>
> A GAREC 2005 summary is available on the IARU Web site
> <http://www.iaru.org/emergency/summary.html>.--IARU

1661. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:14:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121987650.469905.288660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113021

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm

> >>>> My thoughts.
> >>>> First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate
> >>>>components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup
> >>>>might just be fun to use.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Some folks might like the integrated internal computer. It's certainly
> >>>the first rig to offer it. My only concern is that a computer's life
> >>>cycle is much shorter than most ham rigs'.
> >>
> >>Of course, the computer would work for all the Ham radio
> >>functions at
> >>the time of manufacture, so it wouldn't "go" out of date.
> >
> >
> > Would you like a nice

> >100 MHz Pentium 1 running Win95 for your shack
> > computer, Mike? Ten years ago, that was a dream machine...
>
> I want a computer that can do the work. I can run Digipan (as well as a
> lot of other soundcard software) on a P1 class computer, as well as a
> lot of logging software. So assuming that there is not aome huge change
> in something, the computer will continue to function, the radio will
> continue to work.

Of course, but over time support disappears.
>
> Obviously an up to date laptop would be included
> in any modern designed system.

I think we're saying the same thing two different ways. If the
computer.
>
> And it would be upgradeable, so it wouldn't be much of a problem.
>
>

> >> Plus my idea given down below might be a winner.
> >
> > >
> >
> >>>> Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the
> >>>>modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop,
> >>>>with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But
> >>>>that's just me.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>You can plug an external VGA display into the rig.
> >>
> >> Sometimes.
> >>
> >> But you see, here is what I'm thinking of. A computer with the RF guts,
> >>and a laptop of some choice that plugs into the computer, and sets on
> >>top of it. Many of the features now used in hardware could be handled by
> >>the laptop. You would have logging, digital modes, dsp - probably not
> >>important to you, but for a growing group of us, it would be great.
>
> > Been done. Kachina 505. Also TT Pegasus. Rigs without a front > > panel.
>
> Not what I am describing.

Except for the form factor, it's exactly what you're describing.

> Imagine some sort of HF transciever. Size
> would be similar to what we use today. A laptop or the
> equivalent would
> be setting on top of the device. The laptop would dock into the
> transciever. Functions would be controlled by the laptop.
> Although
> transciever front panel controls would be a possibility, the
> ideal
> method for what I am talking about would be all control via the > laptop.

Except for the docking station part, that's what a Kachina was.
And a Pegasus. Computer did all the control functions, transceiver was
an RF modem of sorts.
>
> This would not be a unit that needed a take-along computer,
> or an LCD
> screen, both with their own separate power supplies.
> It would be a unit
> that you plug in, open the case and "boot" the computer and
> transciever.

All that's really changed is the form factor. And maybe the integration
of power supply.

> There were a few devices that touched on the idea, including
> the

> unmanufactured PSK rig by the Sienna people, and another PSK31 > kit rig that sits outboard of a laptop.

You mean the Warbler?
>
> But this would be a full featured rig, SSB, AM, FM, CW, RTTY,
> PSK Hell,
> and onaonaonaonaon. At least 100 watts output. DSP that is
> upgradeable,
> logging software, and whatever else you might do with the rig.

Right. Now who is going to bell that cat?

I can well understand your dislike of the klugey nature of many rig-computer setups. Two different big boxes (computer and rig) interconnected by a bunch of cables, and a bunch of little boxes too. Bulky and clunky and very unportable.

Meanwhile, there are excellent CW rigs like the KX1 that can be configured to be self-contained (rig, battery, ATU, even paddles) except for antenna and headphones. Toss up a wire, plug in the 'phones, turn on the rig and you're on the air.

You'd think the digital folk would be ahead of that curve...

> >>If the laptop were to become obsolete, say if a new mode came along
> >>that required too much horsepower, then the unit could be replaced. A
> >>mounting kit could be made available. In fact, a radio could be designed
> >>so that you could attach your present laptop.
> >
> >
> > Remember the Warbler?
>
> Yup. That's about 25 percent of the way there.
>
> > In any event what you're suggesting is a rig that is controlled by the
> > computer - an RF modem of sorts. Great if you like that sort of thing,
> > but they didn't sell all that well. Maybe times have changed.
>
> Not completely. This rig isn't "controlled by the computer, any more
> than it is controlled by the transmitter. cuz if either one isn't there,
> it isn't going to work.

Can it be used without a computer or not?

> >>>>Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
> >>>>might be expanded upon.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
> >>>form of standardization.
> >>
> >> Sometimes.

> >
> >
> > Why not? Look at what it's done for PCs. Why not for ham rigs?
>
> One of the areas in which digital people often make a mistake is in
> thinking that RF is the same thing as digital. That is why they
> sometimes design skunks like BPL.

I'm not talking about using PC methods, just the basic idea. The rig would have certain standard insides that could be made by a variety of sources.

Example: Suppose we decide on a basic architecture with a given IF, LO/BFO frequencies, power voltages, control signals, signal & impedance levels, and form factor.

Once those things are set, the parts could come from anywhere. Want a DDS VFO? All it has to do is meet the interface requirements. PLL? Same difference.

> e idea of an RF PCI board is a little chilling. Perhaps a very low
> power UHF version, such as my PC card wireless card, of course, but not
> likely any substsntial power.
>
>
> >>>> Don't like the computer interface screenshot.
> >>>>
> >>>> I really hope that they do well. I do think the price point is too
> >>>>high. I would be willing to pay extra for an American made unit, but 3K
> >>>>for the entry level, and 6K for the works unit is pretty high.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Perhaps - but a lot depends on how well it really performs.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Unless of course, the rig has outstanding performance. I would love to
> >>>>see some test results.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Mo bettah, some actual on-air performance. Somebody wins CQWW DX with
> >>>one, then watch the fireworks...
> >>>
> >>>Or to really get to the bottom of it: Is it $6000 worth of fun?
> >>
> >> And is it 6K worth of fun for the XYL? ;^)
> >
> >
> > Who is to say that the spouse doesn't get his/her fun items too?
> >
> >

> >>>>Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> >>>>good one....
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Expand which concept? Kits have been around a long time - curious that
> >>>they never mention Elecraft or any of the other kits...
> >>
> >>For me, the concept isn't the kit - its the idea of the integral
> >>computer, the modular construction, even to using another manufacturers
> >>tuner.
> >
> >
> > That *would be* a good thing!
> >
> >
> >>>Of course my entire main HF rig cost me less than one of
> >>>their optional
> >>>filters, so I guess everything is relative....
> >>>
> >>>One more observation on price:
> >>>
> >>>Go to the local Home Depot, Lowes or similar outfit, as long > > as they
> >>>carry a full range of appliances and such. Look at the ranges,
> >>>refrigerators, countertops, etc.
> >>>
> >>>You can get a nice range for $300-400, or spend double,
> >>>triple,
> >>>quadruple that price for one with all the gizmos like self-
> >>>cleaning,
> >>>stainless steel, high-capacity oven, dissimilar burners, etc. > > Same for
> >>>dishwashers, refrigerators, etc. Countertops? Granite seems
> >>>to have
> >>>replaced Corian and similar materials around here, at $100 a > > square
> >>>foot, installed. Me, I prefer a nice laminate like
> >>>Formica, but apparently a lot of folks prefer fancier stuff.
> >
> >
> >>>Does a burger taste any better if it's made in such a
> >>>kitchen? I dunno,
> >>>but they must be selling the fancy stuff because they
> >>>continue to stock it.
> >>
> >>If its involved with real estate, people will
> >>shuck out astoundingly
> >>foolish amounts of money.
> >
> >
> > Sure! But consider:
> >
> > 1) How do home values relate to incomes when adjusted for inflation? Go

> > back 20, 30, 40, 50 years and compare house prices to income levels.
> > Houses were cheap then until you look at what the typical income level
> > was.
>
> There is an old paradigm about the amount of money spent on a house
> should be 2X your yearly income.

Not as I remember it!

The old rule was that your *mortgage* should not exceed 2x your annual
income. But that was in the days when most people were SILKs, not
DINKs.

> Perhaps 10 years ago, it went to
> 2.5-3X. Now it seems to have been abandoned into whatever the
> maximum
> amount the bank will loan you.

That's due in part to lower interest rates, and in part to a big flow
of money from the stock market to real estate.
>
> That progression indicates that a change has happened. A lot higher
> percentage goes to putting the roof over the head. That's "okay", just
> get another Credit card or two!

There are lots of other factors. For example, longer term mortgages
increase buying power. Two-income families, and
delayed family starts, have their own effects.

The biggest change is that RE values vary widely with location. *Very*
widely.
>
> > 2) A house is one of the few investments an individual can
> > make that can be used without selling it.
>
> If you do the home equity thing, you sort of have sold it.

Not at all! You still live there.
>
> > 3) A house is one of the few necessities an individual can buy
> > that will almost certainly appreciate in value. Look at the
> > everyday
> > things you own - how many of them will be worth more
> > in 10 years?
> >
> > 4) Home loan interest is a tax deduction for most people.
> > What other debt reduces your taxes?
>
>All true, Jim. and all used to get people to become "house poor".
>
What's the alternative, Mike?

Some people resort to living a long way from their jobs in order to pay
less for a house, get away from CC&Rs and HOAs, etc. Trouble is, they
spend lots of time and money commuting, and are at the mercy of
weather, traffic and fuel prices.

> >>BTW, those McMansions are becoming very, very hard to resell.

> > Not around here!
>
> Interesting! My XYL, who works in the industry doing a lot of high-end
> houses around here, notes that the people who have 500K to a million and
> up almost always insist on building their own.

Where *you* are, $500K is high end. Around here, $500K is a 30-40 year
old 4 br 2-1/2 ba Cape Cod tract house on a half-acre lot that needs a
bit of work.

And it will sell in a week.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1662. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:25:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121988343.148261.52110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113022

From: John Smith on Jul 21, 11:59 am

>I agree. With the congestion on CB and there being only 40 chans for
>all the truck drivers, four wheelers, base stations and freebanders,
>it is a mess.
>
>More bandwidth has been needed for over a decade.

More than THREE decades, John. CB is 47 years old. The sudden
explosion of imported transceivers on the marketplace took
place in the early 1960s.

>Most do want to chat with others in other countries, "skip" will be
>the mode of the day on HF--at least I'd imagine that to be the case.

Sorry, you can't really say "skip" in here. That's a four-
letter word used by CBers, as in "shooting skip." :-)

One can still "pioneer the airwaves below 200 meters!" That's
the MYTH. Of course the commercial and government and military
people already did that early, used it, and moved on. :-)
[I was there, on it, helping to use it, 50 years ago...]

>However, I have never seen a real case of where what actually happens
>is able to be "prophesized" accurately before the actual situation--it
>will be interesting to watch.

No? Oh, my, I've met dozens of "nostrahamus" predictors in
my time. The ham sky fell in 1958 when CODELESS, TESTLESS
CB happened on HF!!! The ham sky fell in 1990 when FCC 90-53
created the NO CODE TEST Technician (ugh, ptui, spit) was
to start in 1991. The ham sky fell in 1999 when the latest
"restructuring" was ordered to take place in 2001 with the
maximum rate of 5 WPM for ALL morse code tests!

The ham sky has fallen so many times that it's a wonder the
Earth hasn't turned into an ultra dense ball of neutrons. :-)

WT Docket 05-235 is going to turn out to be more of a TITLE,
RANK, PRIVILEGE bust for the ham "nobility." Oh, they will
still trumpet their high-skill morsemanship as the "best of
the best [morse] operators" and snarl at all others for being
mere "yakkers into a mike." The snarls will still be there,
frozen into rictus grins when they assume room temperature.

The FCC defines U.S. amateur radio operators as (partly)
having a "proven unique ability to enhance international

goodwill." Funny, they don't comment about their unique
ability to be as quarrelsome as possible domestically...

It's a Great Day a Dawning! Huzzah! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1663. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:30:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1121988649.121737.224930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113023

From: Dee Flint on Jul 21, 6:01 pm

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> k...@dvol.com wrote:
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp,
>>> realistically,
>>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would
>>> take any other path?
>
>> As I often said in the past 5 years, when FCC wrote that
>> code testing served no regulatory purpose other than
>> treaty compliance, the deal was essentially done.

Errr....the FCC "said that" (wrote it, actually) in 1990
in regards to 90-53 on the creation of the no-code-test
Technician class. So, what did you do between 15 and 5
years ago? :-)

>> The amazing thing was that it's taken two years to get
>> this far.
>
>That's because the people wanting to eliminate the code "shot themselves in
>the foot" with a plethora of wide ranging petitions.

Tsk. Dee, you should really READ the NPRM more carefully,
especially pages 6 through 9 and the footnotes on page 2. Then
go to pages 26 through 28 to see which Petition was DENIED and
which was granted in part.

I've read all 18 Petitions as they were put on the ECFS...and
Commented on all 18. Did YOU Comment on any of those
Petitions or were you too busy doing DX in the morning before
work?

Hello? There was a WIDE RANGE of "restructuring" in those 18
Petitions. Had you actually studied them you would have seen
that the more Byzantine plans were done by the PCTAs. <shrug>

>>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an announcement
>>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru the
>>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
>>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of desparate
>>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done deal.

Was that "disparate" or "desperate?" :-)

>> I'll comment, like always.

Tsk. You will comment on anything, especially about subjects not
germane to this newsgroup! :-)

>>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest "restructing"
>>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more then
>>> back then the bands as usual.
>
>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.

Tsk. The "barrier" has been up for over 92 years. The "olde
folkes' home" (in radio) has been established, located on the
HF ham bands. Remarkable "new technology" on those HF ham
bands? Only that devised by those in the UK and Europe...and
the designer-manufacturers in Asia.

>> Got my antenna back up last night (had to take it down Sunday for
>> the new siding to go on the house). 40 was full of CW signals.
>> Nice chat with a VE2 on 7031. Life is good.
>
>Yup it is. And I will continue as always to try to introduce amateur radio
>to new people.

Keep on plugging that vital to the nation's needs, morse code,
the one that "saves lives" etc., and supposedly "gets through
when nothing else will." Is it "pioneering the (radio) airwaves
through HF QSOs?" :-)

I'll continue boosting ALL of ELECTRONICS...of which radio is
a subset. It's a good occupation, interesting, challenging,
constantly evolving, breaking new ground, on the cutting edges
of electronics technology. Pays reasonably well, too. I've
been in it for 53 years as a professional, as a hobbyist for
about 58 years.

Life IS good. The NPRM has finally arrived!

The light at the end of the tunnel is not another train, just
a representative of the rest of the radio world with
Diogenes' borrowed lantern wondering where in the hell amateur
radio has been... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1664. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:41:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%oWDe.286$vx.5305510@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113024

Yes, except for a little terrorist activity which has not proved to be
worth my worry lately--this dropping of CW seems to be the most
exciting thing in some people life... <yawn>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1121988343.148261.52110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 21, 11:59 am
>
>>I agree. With the congestion on CB and there being only 40 chans
>>for
>>all the truck drivers, four wheelers, base stations and freebanders,
>>it is a mess.
>>
>>More bandwidth has been needed for over a decade.
>
> More than THREE decades, John. CB is 47 years old. The sudden
> explosion of imported transceivers on the marketplace took
> place in the early 1960s.
>
>>Most do want to chat with others in other countries, "skip" will be
>>the mode of the day on HF--at least I'd imagine that to be the case.
>
> Sorry, you can't really say "skip" in here. That's a four-
> letter word used by CBers, as in "shooting skip." :-)
>
> One can still "pioneer the airwaves below 200 meters!" That's
> the MYTH. Of course the commercial and government and military
> people already did that early, used it, and moved on. :-)
> [I was there, on it, helping to use it, 50 years ago...]
>
>>However, I have never seen a real case of where what actually
>>happens
>>is able to be "prophesized" accurately before the actual
>>situation--it
>>will be interesting to watch.
>
> No? Oh, my, I've met dozens of "nostrahamus" predictors in
> my time. The ham sky fell in 1958 when CODELESS, TESTLESS
> CB happened on HF!!! The ham sky fell in 1990 when FCC 90-53
> created the NO CODE TEST Technician (ugh, ptui, spit) was
> to start in 1991. The ham sky fell in 1999 when the latest
> "restructuring" was ordered to take place in 2001 with the
> maximum rate of 5 WPM for ALL morse code tests!

>
> The ham sky has fallen so many times that it's a wonder the
> Earth hasn't turned into an ultra dense ball of neutrons. :-)
>
> WT Docket 05-235 is going to turn out to be more of a TITLE,
> RANK, PRIVILEGE bust for the ham "nobility." Oh, they will
> still trumpet their high-skill morsemanship as the "best of
> the best [morse] operators" and snarl at all others for being
> mere "yakkers into a mike." The snarls will still be there,
> frozen into rictus grins when they assume room temperature.
>
> The FCC defines U.S. amateur radio operators as (partly)
> having a "proven unique ability to enhance international
> goodwill." Funny, they don't comment about their unique
> ability to be as quarrelsome as possible domestically...
>
> It's a Great Day a Dawning! Huzzah! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1665. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:44:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XrWDe.288$Ox.5324905@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113025

Dee:

Your words, "... I believe that there will be a de facto
two class system."

Did I misunderstand their intent of meaning?

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:XIadnaXA84qCvX3fRVn-tw@comcast.com...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>>> > latest "restructing"
>>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more >
>>> > then back then the bands as usual.
>>>
>>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT
>> and
>> Restructuring...
>>
>> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out
>> and
>> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
>> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the
>> exact
>> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it
>> wasn't
>> true then.
>>
>> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
>> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum.
>> The
>> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations.

>> So
>> where were all those engineering-types then?
>>
>> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
>> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO
>> argue
>> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of
>> the
>> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
>> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
>> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>>
>> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
>> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
>> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep
>> that
>> much.
>>
>
> I'm betting that it will go just like the NPRM is now and that is
> code elimination across the board. They specifically discussed the
> proposals that had that concept in it and discounted the
> possibility.
>
>> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
>> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all
>> of
>> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have
>> only
>> one or two license classes.
>>
>
> You know I doubt this as those who really wanted General upgraded
> anyway. Of those who haven't upgraded yet, many are relatively
> inactive anyhow.
>
> As far as two license classes go, FCC is not considering it right
> now and it won't really matter what they do as I believe that there
> will be a de facto two class system.
>
>> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
>> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part
>> 97.
>> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
>> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>>
>> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters
>> so
>> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
>> operate legally...?!?!

>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1666. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:50:57 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <BxWDe.2536$6f.2424@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113026

>
>
> As I often said in the past 5 years, when FCC wrote that
> code testing served no regulatory purpose other than
> treaty compliance, the deal was essentially done.
>
> The amazing thing was that it's taken two years to get
> this far.

More likely it took that long because the FCC had more
important stuff to deal with.
>

They didn't ban Morse Code. They just dropped the
license test for it. CW will survive anyway.

We ought to start CW training nets to get hams to
get into CW. Use the old novice subbands for it.
Sure, you'll hear lots of bad sending at first, but
people will improve over time.

1667. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-21 23:59:02 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <aFWDe.14987$aY6.8112@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113027

>
> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.

"Technical" is still covered in the written tests. Aside from
no more CW test, "operational" still has a few questions in the
writtens. Oh, people newly licensed will make newbie errors,
but most people are smart enough to soon spot and correct
such errors.

Remember that 14.313 was that way back in the days of 13wpm
generals... And that HF didn't go down the toilet after
Restructuring 2000 happened.

1668. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:00:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <pGWDe.7101$oZ.3647@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113028

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1121920948.022173.138190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp, realistically,
> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would take any
> other path?
>
> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an announcement
> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru the
> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of desparate
> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done
> deal.
>
> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest "restructing"
> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more then
> back then the bands as usual.
>
> I could care less about any of it at this late date because none of it
> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and PSK my
> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 wpm fast
> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half century ago.
> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my abilty
> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
> "restructuring" brainfart.
>
> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any of this
> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? Good:
> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv
>

Rest assured the FCC is going to do whatever is easiest for THEM.

There may be a upward surge of new "Ultra Lite Extra's" (Did I really say
that???).....and in a short time the bands will still sound deserted.

There is a lot more at work here then just dropping CW.

Check out the bands lately? Where are all those new "light" hams anyway? Danged if I can find them.

All you that gloat over losing one of the glues that hold/held ham radio together will rue this day. And no I'm not a stuck in the mud, cw only operator, I just see the hand writing on the wall.

Lennie is happy now. He can sneak over to a test session and get his General......

Dan/W4NTI

1669. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:02:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0IWDe.7103$oZ.2327@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113029

Try 30 meters, good CW band.

Dan/W4NTI

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1121944377.511852.83680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>
>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp,
>> realistically,
>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would
>> take any other path?
>
> As I often said in the past 5 years, when FCC wrote that
> code testing served no regulatory purpose other than
> treaty compliance, the deal was essentially done.
>
> The amazing thing was that it's taken two years to get
> this far.
>>
>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an
>> announcement
>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go
>> thru the
>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of
>> desparate
>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a
>> done deal.
>
> I'll comment, like always.
>>
>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>> latest "restructing"
>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
>> back then the bands as usual.
>
> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>
> Got my antenna back up last night (had to take it down Sunday for
> the new siding to go on the house). 40 was full of CW signals.
> Nice chat with a VE2 on 7031. Life is good.
>>

>> I could care less about any of it at this late date because
>> none of it
>> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and > PSK my
>> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 > wpm fast
>> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half
>> century ago.
>> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my > abilty
>> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
>> "restructuring" brainfart.
>
> Exactly.
>
>> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any
>> of this
>> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? > Good:
>> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
>
> bwaahaahaa
>>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1670. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:04:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <RJWDe.7104$oZ.5715@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113030

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>> > latest "restructing"
>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
>> > back then the bands as usual.
>>
>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>
> Yep.
>
> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
> Restructuring...
>
> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
> true then.
>
> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
> where were all those engineering-types then?
>
> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>
> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
> much.
>
> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of

> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
> one or two license classes.
>
> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>
> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
> operate legally...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
May not be all that far removed from reality there Steve.

Dan/W4NTI

1671. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:07:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6NWDe.7107$oZ.3716@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113031

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:%HSDe.2219$j21.1185@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>> > > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>> > > latest "restructing"
>> > > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
> back then the bands as usual.
>> >
>> > Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
>> Restructuring...
>>
>> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
>> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
>> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
>> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
>> true then.
>>
>> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
>> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
>> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
>> where were all those engineering-types then?
>>
>> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
>> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
>> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
>> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
>> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
>> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>>
>> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
>> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
>> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
>> much.

>>
>> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
>> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of
>> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
>> one or two license classes.
>>
>> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
>> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
>> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
>> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>>
>> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
>> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
>> operate legally...?!?!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> Steve,
>
> So far (cross fingers) cw is legal *anywhere* in the ham bands (other
> than,
> I believe, 60 meters) so long as one's license class permits transmitting.
>
> I have, in the past, found it an excellent way of confounding someone who
> jumps on me (of course, that was when all amateurs had some ability on
> cw -
> a minimum of 13 words per minute on hf in the voice bands). Funny thing
> how
> Mike, W2OY's killerwatt couldn't touch my 75 watts LOL. Even funnier was
> the way his blood pressure was going up whilst I continued a nice contact
> with Ohio despite his best efforts. Both the other guy and I could handle
> cw quite well. After a number of "qrq" sent back and fourth, we were
> humming along at a nice rate, neither pushing speed limits nor plodding
> along. It was somewhere in the neighborhood of 35 to 45 words per minute
> and we continued for a good half hour more. Every now and then, I'd open
> the rx bandwidth to hear Mike screaming "take those toys down into the cw
> band". Sure, Mike, just don't hold your breath. <snort>
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
So that's why Mike was up on his tower.....putting up a better 3.805
antenna...hi.

Dan/W4NTI

1672. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:20:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <uZWDe.7117$oZ.2636@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113032

So you are advocating the illegal jerks that got nailed (rightfully so) to now get the freebee give away new ham ticket right? Then come onto the ham bands and act in a same or worse manner against those that find following the rules a good thing? Right?

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:FgGDe.159$Js6.2570296@news.sisna.com...
> In one way, I can picture it being a "sad day." One of the posts here
> pointed out this possibility to me.
>
> For decades now a few of the guys (hams) here in my local area have
> terrorized CB'ers and freebanders. Invoking the FCC to send countless
> notices and confiscate equip and linears on a quite frequent basis. And,
> even two truck stops in the area have been favorite "hunting grounds" for
> these guys.
>
> Can you imagine the retaliation which is going to be spun against those
> hams which have been so inclined? The term "repaid in spades" occurs to
> me. I imagine some may forsake the hobby for other pursuits, or move to
> another area!
>
> Thank gawd I have always had enough common sense to practice the "good
> neighbor policy!" <grin>
>
> John
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>

1673. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:22:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7%WDe.7118$oZ.736@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113033

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:gmSDe.2214$j21.193@news01.roc.ny...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> Hello, Jim
>
>
> Is the glass half empty or half full?
>
> I look at it this way: we will always know where to head for an
> interesting
> chat with someone knowlegeable.
>
> We'll know where to head to avoid profanity.
>
> We'll know where to head to avoid interference and folks screaming
> "AUDIOOOOOOO"/
>
> We'll head for the cw bands. ;)
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

I really feel sorry for the stations outside of conus. They are really
going to hate us now.

Dan/W4NTI

1674. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:25:38 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tt2dnVZ4TMN_oX3fRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 113034

> Remember that 14.313 was that way back in the days of 13wpm
> generals... And that HF didn't go down the toilet after
> Restructuring 2000 happened.

Yep Bob it is not the end of western civilization as we know it ...that will come when we will get a work any where with an any mode structure. I really can't see the need for this to happen but it will. At present there are loads of bandwidth out there that are not being utilized. Anyone who has been on the air over the last 20 years can see the decline in usage of CW and I may also add phone. This is just the way it is ...all one has to do is to look with in 3 feet of them and they will find the answer. The computer has been an adjunct to ham radio and a very interesting one at that but it also has swept ops off of the bands. We will survive in one form or another ...progress is progress or so it seems. I am sure that there will be someone 2-300 yrs from now on CW ....it is just to eloquent to simply dry up and blow away. Back to 40 CW and my state of the art EICO 723.

Take care everyone ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

1675. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:26:35 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <%2XDe.2592$6f.719@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113035

Go here:
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/upload_v2.cgi
to file a comment. The proceeding number is
"05-235". I did my comment, I said "Do it".

1676. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:28:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z4XDe.299$031.5403792@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113036

robert:

Yes, in fact you should get ready for the flood of anxious cw'ers...

I'd go there now and wait if I were you, and wait, and wait, and
wait... <grin>

... don't kid yourself.

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:BxWDe.2536$6f.2424@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>>
>> As I often said in the past 5 years, when FCC wrote that
>> code testing served no regulatory purpose other than
>> treaty compliance, the deal was essentially done.
>>
>> The amazing thing was that it's taken two years to get
>> this far.
>
> More likely it took that long because the FCC had more
> important stuff to deal with.
>>
>
> They didn't ban Morse Code. They just dropped the
> license test for it. CW will survive anyway.
>
> We ought to start CW training nets to get hams to
> get into CW. Use the old novice subbands for it.
> Sure, you'll hear lots of bad sending at first, but
> people will improve over time.

1677. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:32:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <k8XDe.300$xY1.5476392@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113037

Dan:

I don't think you an idiot yet, don't make me re-evaluate my opinion
by attacking me in a "girly-man maneuver" and attempting to put words
in my mouth.

I advocate nothing. Being a student of human nature, I am betting it
will happen!

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:uZWDe.7117$oZ.2636@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> So you are advocating the illegal jerks that got nailed (rightfully
> so) to now get the freebee give away new ham ticket right? Then
> come onto the ham bands and act in a same or worse manner against
> those that find following the rules a good thing? Right?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:FgGDe.159$Js6.2570296@news.sisna.com...
>> In one way, I can picture it being a "sad day." One of the posts
>> here pointed out this possibility to me.
>>
>> For decades now a few of the guys (hams) here in my local area have
>> terrorized CB'ers and freebanders. Invoking the FCC to send
>> countless notices and confiscate equip and linears on a quite
>> frequent basis. And, even two truck stops in the area have been
>> favorite "hunting grounds" for these guys.
>>
>> Can you imagine the retaliation which is going to be spun against
>> those hams which have been so inclined? The term "repaid in
>> spades" occurs to me. I imagine some may forsake the hobby for
>> other pursuits, or move to another area!
>>
>> Thank gawd I have always had enough common sense to practice the
>> "good neighbor policy!" <grin>
>>
>> John
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>>

>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1678. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:40:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1121992808.925979.284520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113038

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > >>Hoping that they can sell enough to expand the concept, because it is a
> > > > >>good one....
> > > > > I don't think that's going to happen, Mike.
> > > > >
> > > > > First off, I don't think very many folks will drop $3K to $6K on a
> > > > > radio that comes incomplete, computer or not.
> > >
> > > Incomplete?
> >
> > Incomplete as it requires more than providing a power
> > source, ground and an antenna to get operating.

So what?
>
> > Yes, $3000 to $6000 is a lot of dough. Definitely way above *my* ham
> > radio
> > budget. But that's just me, and what I'm willing to spend. Others will
> > put
> > >down a lot more for just a tower..
> >
> > But a tower is a rigid structural item. That's one of the LAST
> > things I'd skimp any money on!

The point is that there are plenty of hams for whom the cost is not
prohibitive.

> > The DZ website makes the point of comparing popular rigs of
> > ~40 years ago
> > and their prices when adjusted for inflation. New ham gear
> > in the bad old
> > days was amazingly expensive when you consider the inflation factor.
> >
> > In fact, consider what PCs cost just ten years ago! A 486DX50 with 32
> > meg of RAM and a half-gig hard drive could cost you big bucks then -
> > and it's a doorstop today.
>
> Whelllp...it's 2005 and $3000 is still a chunk of change!

Sure - but not what it was back when.

> > > > I can spend a heck of a
> > > > lot of LESS money and get more radio AND computer for my > > > > bucks!
> >
> > Maybe more computer, but not more radio, if the performance is up to
> > the claims.
>
> Is that "performance" worth it, Jim, for a non-professional
> station?

"non-professional"? What does that mean?

Let's talk about how it relates to *amateur* radio.

> Sure, if I was trying to transmit high fidelity audio I might
> want to drop those extra bucks.

For most applications the difference isn't noticeable.

But the competitive DXer/contester types *do* notice the difference.
Much of that difference is in the receiver, not
the transmitter. For example, when the band is full of big
signals during a contest, lesser rigs experience an apparent
rise in noise level. What's really going on is all sorts of
mixing between signals in the rx front end, which limits the
ability to hear the weak ones. A better rx can handle the mass
of big signals without problems.

The "professionals" often avoided this problem by having separate
transmitting and receiving sites. Most hams can't do that.

> But in an era when I can communicate
> via a satellie with an HT and a hand help yagi, I'd be hard
> pressed to justify the cost.

If you're going to make that argument, why not just use the internet?
>
> > But the big issue is how many hams will spend the money, and > > how many
> > DZ needs to sell to be viable. Maybe he only needs/plans to
> > sell 100 or so.
>
> How many Amateurs might have the need for the specifications?

I dunno. But look how many FT-1000s have been sold. Or any other
top-end rig.

Remember when Icom introduced the IC-781? How many did they sell?

> > Consider the Elecraft K2. When it first came out, I heard some
> > scoffing in the peanut gallery that said very few hams would > > pay
> > almost $600 for a rig that was, in basic form, CW only,
> > hambands only
> > and QRP. They said that having SSB, 160, NB, ATU and 100W all > > as extra-cost options
would doom the rig and the company.
> >
> > But more than 5000 have been sold since 1999 with minimal

> > advertising.
>
> True.
>
> But a topped-out K2 still comes in UNDER $2000 if you add > in ALL of the options...

And you can get a lot of other rigs for less than $2000, ready built.
Yet the K2 succeeds - why?

> And not mcuh more if you add in the new VHF
> transverters. And in it's present configuration, you can get
> started
> for well under $750. That's a lot easier pill to swallow.

There are plenty of hams out there for whom $750 is what they'll spend
on IF filters....
>
> > > > Secondly, it's a "kit" only in the sense that it does come
> > > > "disassembled". The potential buyer is actually paying the
> > > > "manufacturer" for the privilege of him (the "manufacturer) not having
> > > > to pay an assembly line.
> >
> > I don't think so. It's not clear from the website how much assembly the
> > buyer actually does. Obviously it's less than the bag-o-parts scenario
> > of some kits but less than fully assembled.
>
> OK...maybe you ahve to solder the wires going to the front panel
> pots and antenna connectors and a couple of point-to-point chassis
> connectors.

I wonder if the instruction manual will show that?
>
> To make his "three evening" claim it can't be much more elaborate
> than that.

Sure it can. Heck, back in high school, I built a complete 150 watt
transmitter in one evening. Of course I had the metalwork done and all
the parts on hand, and it was a long night.

> > > > Lastly, he brags about the "kit" being "built in America" because
> > > > the owner "builds it right here in America". I wish I had the spare
> > > > change to drop to buy one for no other reason than to see where all of
> > > > the pre-assembled boards are actually assembled. No doubt in some
> > > > PacRim nation where the guy who REALLY built it wouldn't be able to
> > > > afford it in a lifetime.
> >
> > I think the boards may be assembled here in the USA. There are all
> > sorts
> > of prototype and assembly outfits here in the USA to do small runs. Of
> > course
> > they cost a lot more per unit than "offshore", but if you're not making
> > a

> > million units or even 10,000 units, the total package price can be very
> > competitive.
>
> I know. I did that for a while, as Lennie will quickly remind
> you!

Lennie who?
>
> But even on "limited production runs" of 500 or less units, the
> cost was prohibitive

For what?

>
> > > There aren't nearly as many components built in the U.S. as in the past,
> > > Steve. Even back in the late seventies and early eighties, most solid
> > > state devices were produced in Mexico, Maylasia, Hong Kong, Taiwan, etc.
> >
> > Yep. And while first runs of new devices may be US made, the production
> > quickly moves away. Not just silicon devices, either, but many other
> > components.
>
> By "components", I didn't mean the actual resistors, SMD's,
> etc...My bust.
>
> > > Among the reasons that I continue to use Ten-Tec gear is that families
> > > in the U.S. receive pay checks when I do so. Ten-Tec manufactures their
> > > enclosures, plastic components, variable caps and the like. When I
> > > telephone for service, a real person answers the telephone and directs
> > > my call. A service tech in the U.S. handles my inquiry. If my
> > > equipment needs service, I ship it a relatively short distance, pay a
> > > fair price and it is turned around in short order. Parts are on hand
> > > for most Ten-Tec gear produced.
> >
> > Yep. Service alone is a reason to buy Ten Tec.
>
> Yep!
>
> > > My "made in USA" Orion is filled with components manufactured in the far
> > > east. Everybody's else's rig is filled with 'em.
> >
> > Not everybody's! ;-)
> >
> > Not mine!
>
> Thank-you old TV's!

Old TVs, od BC radios, old test gear, military surplus (swords into
plowshares), old ham gear, etc., etc.

> > > For me to buy this latest assemble-it-yourself transceiver, it'd have to
> > > be considerably better than what I have now. From what I've read, it

> > > has numerous features which I see as having dubious value.
> >
> > The real telling point will be the performance up against an Orion or
> > other top-of-the-line state-of-the-art rig.
>
> Absolutely.
>
> But who's gonna pony-up the change for one to find out...?!?!

Not me! But some will, and then we'll know.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1679. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 00:45:31 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <5ag0e1972cd75c27ld9tpotocj8kqruum9@4ax.com>
Source record: 113039

On 20 Jul 2005 14:51:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc

Thirty years overdue, but better late than never.

KC2HMZ

1680. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:09:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VGXDe.317$TE2.5912690@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113040

amen.

John

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:5ag0e1972cd75c27ld9tpotocj8kqruum9@4ax.com...
> On 20 Jul 2005 14:51:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
> Thirty years overdue, but better late than never.
>
> KC2HMZ
>

1681. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:15:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <apKdnQmX9v9Q1X3fRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 113041

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:XrWDe.288$Ox.5324905@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Your words, "... I believe that there will be a de facto
> two class system."
>
> Did I misunderstand their intent of meaning?
>
> John
>

Yes you did. It was simply a prediction of what I think will happen and had
nothing to do with how anybody will feel about it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:XIadnaXA84qCvX3fRVn-tw@comcast.com...
>>
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>>>> > latest "restructing"
>>>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more > then
>>>> > back then the bands as usual.
>>>>
>>>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>>>
>>> Yep.
>>>
>>> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
>>> Restructuring...
>>>
>>> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
>>> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
>>> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
>>> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
>>> true then.
>>>

>>> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
>>> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
>>> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
>>> where were all those engineering-types then?
>>>
>>> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
>>> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
>>> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
>>> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
>>> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
>>> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>>>
>>> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
>>> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
>>> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
>>> much.
>>>
>>
>> I'm betting that it will go just like the NPRM is now and that is code
>> elimination across the board. They specifically discussed the proposals
>> that had that concept in it and discounted the possibility.
>>
>>> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
>>> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of
>>> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
>>> one or two license classes.
>>>
>>
>> You know I doubt this as those who really wanted General upgraded anyway.
>> Of those who haven't upgraded yet, many are relatively inactive anyhow.
>>
>> As far as two license classes go, FCC is not considering it right now and
>> it won't really matter what they do as I believe that there will be a de
>> facto two class system.
>>
>>> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
>>> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
>>> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
>>> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>>>
>>> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
>>> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
>>> operate legally...?!?!
>>>
>>> 73
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

>>
>
>

1682. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:17:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dL-dnTg1z57c1H3fRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 113042

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:pGWDe.7101$oZ.3647@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1121920948.022173.138190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>
>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp, realistically,
>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would take any
>> other path?
>>
>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an announcement
>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru the
>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of desparate
>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done
>> deal.
>>
>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest "restructing"
>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more then
>> back then the bands as usual.
>>
>> I could care less about any of it at this late date because none of it
>> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and PSK my
>> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 wpm fast
>> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half century ago.
>> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my abilty
>> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
>> "restructuring" brainfart.
>>
>> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any of this
>> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? Good:
>> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
>>
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> w3rv
>>
>
> Rest assured the FCC is going to do whatever is easiest for THEM.
>

> There may be a upward surge of new "Ultra Lite Extra's" (Did I really say
> that???).....and in a short time the bands will still sound deserted.
>
> There is a lot more at work here then just dropping CW.
>
> Check out the bands lately? Where are all those new "light" hams anyway?
> Danged if I can find them.
>
> All you that gloat over losing one of the glues that hold/held ham radio
> together will rue this day. And no I'm not a stuck in the mud, cw only
> operator, I just see the hand writing on the wall.
>
> Lennie is happy now. He can sneak over to a test session and get his
> General......
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dollars to donuts that he comes up with another excuse not to.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1683. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:20:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NRXDe.322$UJ2.6015098@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113043

Dee:

You have done it again.

Naturally (being a stupid male), I expect women to be "emotion based",
and you toss me a curve and a simple prediction--totally devoid of
feelings... <grin>

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:apKdnQmX9v9Q1X3fRVn-1g@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:XrWDe.288$Ox.5324905@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Your words, "... I believe that there will be a de facto
>> two class system."
>>
>> Did I misunderstand their intent of meaning?
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Yes you did. It was simply a prediction of what I think will happen
> and had nothing to do with how anybody will feel about it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:XIadnaXA84qCvX3fRVn-tw@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
>>>>> > latest "restructing"
>>>>> > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more
>>>>> > > then back then the bands as usual.
>>>>>

>>>>> Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
>>>>
>>>> Yep.
>>>>
>>>> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the
>>>> NCT and
>>>> Restructuring...
>>>>
>>>> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out
>>>> and
>>>> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
>>>> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the
>>>> exact
>>>> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it
>>>> wasn't
>>>> true then.
>>>>
>>>> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
>>>> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum.
>>>> The
>>>> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations.
>>>> So
>>>> where were all those engineering-types then?
>>>>
>>>> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk
>>>> don't
>>>> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO
>>>> argue
>>>> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All
>>>> of the
>>>> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so
>>>> the
>>>> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF
>>>> and
>>>> "shoot skip", nothing more.
>>>>
>>>> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
>>>> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow
>>>> band
>>>> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep
>>>> that
>>>> much.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I'm betting that it will go just like the NPRM is now and that is
>>> code elimination across the board. They specifically discussed
>>> the proposals that had that concept in it and discounted the
>>> possibility.
>>>
>>>> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the

>>>> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since
>>>> all of
>>>> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have
>>>> only
>>>> one or two license classes.
>>>>
>>>
>>> You know I doubt this as those who really wanted General upgraded
>>> anyway. Of those who haven't upgraded yet, many are relatively
>>> inactive anyhow.
>>>
>>> As far as two license classes go, FCC is not considering it right
>>> now and it won't really matter what they do as I believe that
>>> there will be a de facto two class system.
>>>
>>>> When that is done we can remove all references to training
>>>> and
>>>> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of
>>>> Part 97.
>>>> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
>>>> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
>>>>
>>>> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc
>>>> Meters so
>>>> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia"
>>>> can
>>>> operate legally...?!?!
>>>>
>>>> 73
>>>>
>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1684. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:21:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <hSXDe.1821$cg.1289@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113044

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1121983737.230967.97920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 3:44 pm
>
> >"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> >> It having been a few years since the last round I and prehaps other
> >> could a use a reminder. Doesn't the FCC have to take some action
> >> beyond this to put there new rules in effect
> >
> >Usually, this is the next to last stop. This was a notice of proposed
> >rulemaking. That means that they intend to make this a reality, unless
Bush
> >says that they should not change the rules due to dangers of weapons of
mass
> >destruction.
>
> The NOTICE of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) is (nearly always) first.
> Then follows the Comment period...itself followed by a long wait
> while the lawyers at the FCC draft the Report and Order (R&O).
> Once the R&O is published in the Federal Register it is LAW.
>
> >Code red, code red! Battle stations, everyone. Danger, Will Robinson.
Man
> >the photon torpedos. Engage trans-warp engins.
> >
> >Unless there is a threat of weapons of mass destruction, the FCC will
follow
> >through with the new rules. Of course, it might happen. Bush is always
> >working on things, even when on vaction. There are cell phones and they
are
> >working on ... um, things. There are fax machines and his is always busy
> >working on things. Even satellite communications and he is um ...
working
> >.. on .... things ...
>
> In one way you are right...WT Docket 05-235 is a WMD.
>
> A Weapon of MORSE Destruction! :-)
>
> Do the Mighty Macho Morsemen want "Iraq III" on that WMD?
>
>
> >My guess is that the changes will occur as Bush is too busy with .....

> >things :)))
>
> Which "Bush?" The elimination of the morse code test has
> been worked on by various groups for over two decades. It is
> NOT relative to any political politics or any particular
> administration.
>
>
> >I see they are laying off another 10,000 worldwide at Kodak with 7,000 of
> >those local jobs. Glad Bush is working .... um, on things.
>
> Irrelevant topic in here. Eastman Kodak has been a big name
> for years. That doesn't mean they get to claim fief and title
> for anything. Face it (with or without portrait lens), the
> future of silver halide photography media is NOT advancing.
>
> Eastman Kodak started up in the photo biz with a little box
> camera pre-loaded with film. One took the box camera to a
> developer (usually a drug store), had the film developed and
> the camera re-loaded, all for one price. A few years ago
> they "pioneered" the already-loaded "one-shot" camera one
> brought into the dealer for developing. Some "pioneering!"
> BTW, Eastman sells (or maybe re-sells) digital cameras, too
> (see Ritz Camera chain for details).
>
> Would you say Xerox is a big company? Yes? Okay, their PARC
> (Palo Alto Research Center) INVENTED both the mouse and the
> GUI (Graphical User Interface). Xerox head honchos decided
> neither had a "future" and allowed PARC to sell their
> invention and didn't bother pursuing any more R&D on them.
> Guess what all personal computers of today have in common?
> [a mouse and a GUI operating system!]
>
> Where was radio 110 years ago? The first "radios" used on-
> off keying (by "morse" code) because that was they ONLY
> way to use them for communications in this new "low-tech."
> Radiotelegraphy reached a high art around 1940 (give or take)
> and then slowly slid into less and less use by all but radio
> amateurs. Now it isn't used for communications anywhere else
> in radio but the "ham bands." Even then, its use is slowly
> dropping.
>
> You can't Hold Back The Dawn. The best you can do is put up
> some curtains or tent to shield your old skills from damage of
> direct sunlight in this new era.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

Weapon of Morse destruction .... :)))

LOL I can't help but respond. At least someone has a sense of humor around here. I might not always agree with you, but don't change. It would kill me :)

Good grief, as many times as I've explained. I have sent and received Morse faster than many folks can type. Perfect copy in the Navy at 40 words per minute (the fastest tape they had).

No big deal, but I get concerned when someone someone says "we don't type anymore; we use word processors". I had that at an interview once some years back. Next time, I'll ask them to bring on the fastest, meanest "word processing person" they've got. I might not win, but they will be up for a considerable fight. Everyone takes everything out of context. When I say I can type 65 words per minute plus on a bad day into a head wind, I am not saying "cut and paste". I mean how the heck do folks get the info into the dang thing in the first place? As to the GUI, Apple "stole" that from Xerox (which didn't believe there was a future) and then sued Microsoft over the GUI!

I've heard too much of this "ancient technology" stuff and I see to many "hunt and peck" alleged typists - excuse me, word processors..... or is that word processing folks?

Anyone can forward a joke received in an e-mail. I'm not impressed. Sorry.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - but I did enjoy the comment on Weapon of Morse Destruction.
pps - now go wind up some core memory!!!! :)))
ppps - (gawd, do I *ever* shut up?) now you might understand how some feel about the code. We enjoy it but don't care to be kidded about it. It works, it is simple, and it is quite effective compared to most folks typing abilities. You lay off the code, I'll quit about the core memory ;)

1685. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:21:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3oydnZOZydip133fRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113045

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:NRXDe.322$UJ2.6015098@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> You have done it again.
>
> Naturally (being a stupid male), I expect women to be "emotion based", and
> you toss me a curve and a simple prediction--totally devoid of feelings...
> <grin>
>
> John
>

All you have to do is keep in mind that I am an engineer.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1686. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:30:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <s%XDe.324$JN2.6088797@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113046

Dee:

I am quite serious. Look over all the posts here. I see where most here are rather anti-social, they don't have much ability to get along well with "other children."

Frankly, I would be hard pressed to present the group here as a good reason to enter the hobby of amateur radio and to enjoy an exchange of spoken and mental ideas with others... I include myself in that appraisal also, as I have been direct and to the point also... to do less would seem a waste of time, both theirs and mine...

Indeed, some may even be able to see why the Chicken Band is preferable some of the time... still, I am hoping the right people can and will change all that--that is what we are really talking about, and these guys know it, and fear it!

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:dL-dnTg1z57c1H3fRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:pGWDe.7101$oZ.3647@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1121920948.022173.138190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>>
>>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp, realistically,
>>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would take
>>> any
>>> other path?
>>>
>>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an
>>> announcement
>>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru
>>> the
>>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
>>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of
>>> desparate
>>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done
>>> deal.
>>>

>>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest
>>> "restructing"
>>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more
>>> then
>>> back then the bands as usual.
>>>
>>> I could care less about any of it at this late date because none
>>> of it
>>> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and
>>> PSK my
>>> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 wpm
>>> fast
>>> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half century
>>> ago.
>>> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my
>>> abilty
>>> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
>>> "restructuring" brainfart.
>>>
>>> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any of
>>> this
>>> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020?
>>> Good:
>>> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
>>>
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>> w3rv
>>>
>>
>> Rest assured the FCC is going to do whatever is easiest for THEM.
>>
>> There may be a upward surge of new "Ultra Lite Extra's" (Did I
>> really say that???).....and in a short time the bands will still
>> sound deserted.
>>
>> There is a lot more at work here then just dropping CW.
>>
>> Check out the bands lately? Where are all those new "light" hams
>> anyway? Danged if I can find them.
>>
>> All you that gloat over losing one of the glues that hold/held ham
>> radio together will rue this day. And no I'm not a stuck in the
>> mud, cw only operator, I just see the hand writing on the wall.
>>
>> Lennie is happy now. He can sneak over to a test session and get
>> his General......
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI

>
> Dollars to donuts that he comes up with another excuse not to.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1687. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:32:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <S0YDe.2252$j21.1334@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113047

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:KFVDe.274$lD.5367900@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> Well friend, you have been isolated.
>
> Out here in the real world kids are cussing like sailors in grade
> school, 11 year old girls are actively seeking to become pregnant,
> drugs are much more prevalent than ever in the 60's and 70's.
>
> The only areas where cussing is not seen is churches...
>
> Whether you like it or not reality has changed...
>
> You have hidden from the real world on 160m for far too long, and
> since you have not joined the real world, the real world now comes to
> you...
>
> Get over it, for at least the near future, things are NOT going the
> way most of us like--the answer to this is not setting aside 160m for
> ancient old men to hide in...
>
> John
>

Hello, John

Not isolated; simply realistic. We are either going to learn to live together or we will die together.

I haven't been on 160 meters since 1966.

You are correct about the 11 year olds. We've had something like 100 shootings in Rochester so far this year.

May I assume from your post that you like the brave new world .... ?

I'm not for forcing folks to use cw; I'm simply pointing out that many folks are ignoring certain facts.

Yep, I'm connected on 3 megabaud DSL. I can send a picture so fast .... so long as something else (in this case a camera) put the information in the computer first. Hey, I can cut and paste and forward very easily.

I've caught kids making mistakes, even with a calculator, when making change. One kid had to get the manager to figure it out when she punched a button showing I paid exact change (no, I gave her a twenty for a purchase a

bit over $12.00). I watched the manager show her how to fix it. Um ... $21.00? No, I don't think so. He pushed a few more buttons. Over $32.00?

I finally suggested that he clear the whole thing and try again. Meanwhile, I knew exactly what my change should have been. Another kid at the pharmacy one time made the same error. He ran it on a calculator and gave me my change. I gave him a penny and told him to hold on to it as his drawer was going to be short one cent (assuming he didn't screw up another order in a different column).

Obviously, you feel that not only should folks not learn The Calculus, they shouldn't even have to add and subtract since a calculator can do that for them.

More jobs for India and China.

Many thanks, however, for a good chuckle. Enjoy life whilst there is anything left after Bush spent it all and everyone is clueless :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1688. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:34:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <j2YDe.2254$j21.1357@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113048

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:6NWDe.7107$oZ.3716@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:%HSDe.2219$j21.1185@news01.roc.ny...
> >
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1121951721.846887.99230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >>
> >> > > I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this
> >> > > latest "restructing"
> >> > > will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more >
then
> > back then the bands as usual.
> >> >
> >> > Yup. Then the "barrier" folks will find another excuse.
> >>
> >> Yep.
> >>
> >> Just like with Novice Enhancement, the introduction of the NCT and
> >> Restructuring...
> >>
> >> I find it laughable that the FCC would use the same worn out and
> >> obviously untrue language that "this" change will bring all those
> >> technically-oriented people into the Amateur fold. They said the exact
> >> same thing with the last three aforementioned evolutions and it wasn't
> >> true then.
> >>
> >> Indeed we dropped the Code Test in 91 for 97% of all Amateur
> >> allocations, including the highly sought after VHF/UHF spectrum. The
> >> REAL argument has been over that last 3%, or the HF allocations. So
> >> where were all those engineering-types then?
> >>
> >> People like "You-Know-Who" have been arguing that his ilk don't
> >> get licenses due to not being able to get on HF...Yet they ALSO argue
> >> that the license is most valuable for experimenting. Well...All of the
> >> REAL "experimenting" is going on ABOVE 30MHz, not below it, so the
> >> argument is moot. They, like everyone else, want to get on HF and
> >> "shoot skip", nothing more.
> >>

> >> I'm betting that it remains CW for Extra, and no code for
> >> Generals, unless the FCC want's to disband the phone-vs-narrow band
> >> subdivisions. I think there will be sufficient argument to keep that
> >> much.
> >>
> >> The next two arguments are going to be to squeeze all of the
> >> non-voice modes into 50 or 75KHz of spectrum on each band since all of
> >> those new codeless Generals will want to spead out, and to have only
> >> one or two license classes.
> >>
> >> When that is done we can remove all references to training and
> >> technical/operational competency from the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.
> >> Shortly thereafter we can move all of Part 97 to Part 95. Maybe
> >> re-write both parts into one, new, Part 96?
> >>
> >> Perhaps we can also add new bands at 061, 08, 04, 03 etc Meters so
> >> those claiming unfairness in testing criteria due to "dyslexia" can
> >> operate legally...?!?!
> >>
> >> 73
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >
> > Steve,
> >
> > So far (cross fingers) cw is legal *anywhere* in the ham bands (other
> > than,
> > I believe, 60 meters) so long as one's license class permits
transmitting.
> >
> > I have, in the past, found it an excellent way of confounding someone
who
> > jumps on me (of course, that was when all amateurs had some ability on
> > cw -
> > a minimum of 13 words per minute on hf in the voice bands). Funny thing
> > how
> > Mike, W2OY's killerwatt couldn't touch my 75 watts LOL. Even funnier
was
> > the way his blood pressure was going up whilst I continued a nice
contact
> > with Ohio despite his best efforts. Both the other guy and I could
handle
> > cw quite well. After a number of "qrq" sent back and fourth, we were
> > humming along at a nice rate, neither pushing speed limits nor plodding
> > along. It was somewhere in the neighborhood of 35 to 45 words per
minute
> > and we continued for a good half hour more. Every now and then, I'd
open
> > the rx bandwidth to hear Mike screaming "take those toys down into the

cw
> > band". Sure, Mike, just don't hold your breath. <snort>
> >
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> So that's why Mike was up on his tower.....putting up a better 3.805
> antenna...hi.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
Dan,

All kidding aside, I heard he had a heart attack whilst on his tower way back when and that is when he cashed in his chips.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1689. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:36:38 UTC
From: dana.myers@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1121996198.666788.168480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113049

I suppose it is sad from a sentimental point of view.

I'll be writing comments to the FCC - in support of the proposal.

73,
Dana K6JQ

1690. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:42:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <TYadnYHEDaTxw33fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113053

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:EIVDe.275$Ht.5226652@news.sisna.com...
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>You are correct about one thing, those who are in danger of having their
>>egos deflated, of being forced to wakeup, those realizing they are not
>>going to be allowed to "be special" anymore are going to scream like pigs
>>and look for some way to divert the fixes which are coming this way...
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> I find it difficult to see how extracted that concept from what I said.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

It came to him via the voices in his head.

1691. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 01:55:54 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <BumdnRxGieMd_H3fRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113054

Jim Hampton wrote:

> I've caught kids making mistakes, even with a calculator, when making
> change. One kid had to get the manager to figure it out when she punched a
> button showing I paid exact change (no, I gave her a twenty for a purchase a
> bit over $12.00). I watched the manager show her how to fix it. Um ...
> $21.00? No, I don't think so. He pushed a few more buttons. Over $32.00?
>
> I finally suggested that he clear the whole thing and try again. Meanwhile,
> I knew exactly what my change should have been. Another kid at the pharmacy
> one time made the same error. He ran it on a calculator and gave me my
> change. I gave him a penny and told him to hold on to it as his drawer was
> going to be short one cent (assuming he didn't screw up another order in a
> different column).

The difference between the older and newer generation is, if the new
generation does calculations by long hand, then use a calculator to see
if their cyphering was correct. The older generation cyphers on a
calculator, the does it by long hand to see if the calculator is correct.

1692. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 02:05:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cwYDe.332$hd3.6169722@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113050

Jim:

No. You make one big mistake if you think I asked for all these changes, or for that matter, find some easy to tolerate. Dumpsters full of aborted fetuses, 14 year old child prostitutes, gangs of murderous thug teenagers, homeless people in masses, child molesters demanding rights, etc, etc...--looks kind of like a third world country if you ask me...

CW is a simple thing for me. I never did like it, and still bitched I had to learn it to get a decent license!

I have passed a 20 WPM test--and managed NEVER to use it on the bands, if you catch me on CW it will be a code reader/keyboard handling my key--I hate the sound of morse in my ears, I type 80+ words a minute--and can talk more words than both those two sums combined, a minute! Which, I vastly prefer to do (I prefer women to chat with too--you don't have a 45-55 year old sister do ya <evil-glint-in-eye>?)

I simply want more people on am/ssb phone to chat with, as it stands now you will generally only catch me chatting with the aussie gals on HF--if not there, then on CB...

In fact, because of the lack of interesting and/or younger people on the bands, I have been on msn chat, yahoo messenger, IRC, etc, etc lately, and of course--here in the newsgroups...

One thing I am positive of, amateur radio needs to change just to get me back, let alone new guys/gals into the hobby...

I can't say I don't like arguing, but my real goal is a change here.

My bark is much worse than my bite, I am here for the long haul (where they haul my coffin off that is, and I'd sooner not be in it!!! But, really can't promise I will be able to pull that trick off... <grin>)

I am actually a friendly type--I don't expect you to be able to tell from our exchanges here... ROFLOL!!!

We march on and hold a stiff upper lip--it'll all work out...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:S0YDe.2252$j21.1334@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message

> news:KFVDe.274$lD.5367900@news.sisna.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Well friend, you have been isolated.
>>
>> Out here in the real world kids are cussing like sailors in grade
>> school, 11 year old girls are actively seeking to become pregnant,
>> drugs are much more prevalent than ever in the 60's and 70's.
>>
>> The only areas where cussing is not seen is churches...
>>
>> Whether you like it or not reality has changed...
>>
>> You have hidden from the real world on 160m for far too long, and
>> since you have not joined the real world, the real world now comes
>> to
>> you...
>>
>> Get over it, for at least the near future, things are NOT going the
>> way most of us like--the answer to this is not setting aside 160m
>> for
>> ancient old men to hide in...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Hello, John
>
> Not isolated; simply realistic. We are either going to learn to
> live
> together or we will die together.
>
> I haven't been on 160 meters since 1966.
>
> You are correct about the 11 year olds. We've had something like
> 100
> shootings in Rochester so far this year.
>
> May I assume from your post that you like the brave new world .... ?
>
> I'm not for forcing folks to use cw; I'm simply pointing out that
> many folks
> are ignoring certain facts.
>
> Yep, I'm connected on 3 megabaud DSL. I can send a picture so fast
> .... so
> long as something else (in this case a camera) put the information
> in the
> computer first. Hey, I can cut and paste and forward very easily.
>

> I've caught kids making mistakes, even with a calculator, when
> making
> change. One kid had to get the manager to figure it out when she
> punched a
> button showing I paid exact change (no, I gave her a twenty for a
> purchase a
> bit over $12.00). I watched the manager show her how to fix it. Um
> ...
> $21.00? No, I don't think so. He pushed a few more buttons. Over
> $32.00?
>
> I finally suggested that he clear the whole thing and try again.
> Meanwhile,
> I knew exactly what my change should have been. Another kid at the
> pharmacy
> one time made the same error. He ran it on a calculator and gave me
> my
> change. I gave him a penny and told him to hold on to it as his
> drawer was
> going to be short one cent (assuming he didn't screw up another
> order in a
> different column).
>
> Obviously, you feel that not only should folks not learn The
> Calculus, they
> shouldn't even have to add and subtract since a calculator can do
> that for
> them.
>
> More jobs for India and China.
>
> Many thanks, however, for a good chuckle. Enjoy life whilst there
> is
> anything left after Bush spent it all and everyone is clueless :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

1693. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 02:15:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5FYDe.336$Nr3.6544485@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113051

Dee:

Yes, you are indeed a cut above most I have run across, your text reflects that well, what is your engineering degree in?

I have a BS in EE and a MS in CS... I keep threatening to complete my doctorate--just so I can make 'em call me "Dr. Smith" <innocent-look>

I have been called a software engineer frequently--but I am just a white hacker at heart... in fact, some can still remember when I was just a barefoot kid with holes in the knees of my blue jeans and a curiosity which dove 'em all nuts!

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:3oydnZOZydip133fRVn-vA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:NRXDe.322$UJ2.6015098@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> You have done it again.
>>
>> Naturally (being a stupid male), I expect women to be "emotion
>> based", and you toss me a curve and a simple prediction--totally
>> devoid of feelings... <grin>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> All you have to do is keep in mind that I am an engineer.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1694. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 02:37:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lPednTVCPM-EwX3fRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 113052

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:5FYDe.336$Nr3.6544485@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Yes, you are indeed a cut above most I have run across, your text reflects
> that well, what is your engineering degree in?
>
> I have a BS in EE and a MS in CS... I keep threatening to complete my
> doctorate--just so I can make 'em call me "Dr. Smith" <innocent-look>
>
> I have been called a software engineer frequently--but I am just a white
> hacker at heart... in fact, some can still remember when I was just a
> barefoot kid with holes in the knees of my blue jeans and a curiosity
> which dove 'em all nuts!
>
> John
>

My degree is in Aerospace Engineering. However, I now work in the
automotive industry since the aerospace industry took a major slump a few
years ago.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1695. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 03:07:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gqZDe.346$xI3.6928489@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113055

commander buzzoff:

... those voices tell me you are a bad man, a very, very bad man...

ROFLOL!!!

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:TYadnYHEDaTxw33fRVn-rw@gbronline.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:EIVDe.275$Ht.5226652@news.sisna.com...
>>
>>>Dee:
>>>
>>>You are correct about one thing, those who are in danger of having
>>>their egos deflated, of being forced to wakeup, those realizing
>>>they are not going to be allowed to "be special" anymore are going
>>>to scream like pigs and look for some way to divert the fixes which
>>>are coming this way...
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>
>>
>> I find it difficult to see how extracted that concept from what I
>> said.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> It came to him via the voices in his head.

1696. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 03:11:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <RtZDe.348$Ej4.7137377@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113056

Dee:

Just could explain why all these cars have taken on an "aerodynamic look" to 'em... yes indeed, I think I can see your hand at work here.

If fact, now I look closer, some pretty feline lines grace those rigs! <grin>

Just offhand, I'd bet aerospace was much more interesting...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:lPednTVCPM-EwX3fRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:5FYDe.336$Nr3.6544485@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Yes, you are indeed a cut above most I have run across, your text
>> reflects that well, what is your engineering degree in?
>>
>> I have a BS in EE and a MS in CS... I keep threatening to complete
>> my doctorate--just so I can make 'em call me "Dr. Smith"
>> <innocent-look>
>>
>> I have been called a software engineer frequently--but I am just a
>> white hacker at heart... in fact, some can still remember when I
>> was just a barefoot kid with holes in the knees of my blue jeans
>> and a curiosity which dove 'em all nuts!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> My degree is in Aerospace Engineering. However, I now work in the
> automotive industry since the aerospace industry took a major slump
> a few years ago.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

1697. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 03:21:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122002501.480354.295390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113057

From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 9:21 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 3:44 pm
>>> >"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>Hello, Len
>
>Weapon of Morse destruction .... :)))

That's what the PCTA extras think... :-)

BTW, I've referred to myself as a "WMD" back when Shrub put
the USA into Iraq for a "dry-fire Vietnam."

>LOL I can't help but respond. At least someone has a sense of humor around
>here. I might not always agree with you, but don't change. It would kill
>me :)

Wouldn't think of it. My wife is the musician here. I say
"no violins!"

>Good grief, as many times as I've explained. I have sent and received Morse
>faster than many folks can type. Perfect copy in the Navy at 40 words per
>minute (the fastest tape they had).

Good on that. I have no objection to someone USING morsemanship.
I have lots and lots of objections to federal law MAKING me
demonstrate morsemanship...when that same law doesn't require
me to USE that morsemanship (in the amateur bands). I've NEVER
had to use morsemanship to effect radio communications in the
last half century in any OTHER radio service...including
government radio AFTER I was discharged from the Army.

>No big deal, but I get concerned when someone someone says "we don't type
>anymore; we use word processors". I had that at an interview once some
>years back. Next time, I'll ask them to bring on the fastest, meanest "word
>processing person" they've got. I might not win, but they will be up for a
>considerable fight. Everyone takes everything out of context. When I say I
>can type 65 words per minute plus on a bad day into a head wind, I am not
>saying "cut and paste". I mean how the heck do folks get the info into the
>dang thing in the first place?

I learned in middle school typing class around 1946/1947 (we
called it "junior high school" then before the feel-good PC
crowd wanted to remove the nasty "junior" label). NO KEY TOP
MARKINGS on those mechanical typewriters! :-)

I used to run the old Model 15/19s to their limit of 60 WPM
in the Army. No problem. I can still cruise at 60 WPM,
burst at 100 WPM. On a Model 60 Selectric or this PC with
WP 8 installed.

> As to the GUI, Apple "stole" that from Xerox
>(which didn't believe there was a future) and then sued Microsoft over the
>GUI!

I disagree on both points. PARC got paid. The later civil
suit Microsoft v. Apple Computer wasn't about GUI per se...
it was on the "look and feel"...BTASE, not relevant to this
newsgroup. The PCTA extras in here don't like "digital"
because it "isn't RADIO"! :-)

>I've heard too much of this "ancient technology" stuff and I see to many
>"hunt and peck" alleged typists - excuse me, word processors..... or is that
>word processing folks?

I think that's a reference to using MECHANICAL typewriters versus
computer-plus-inkjet/laserjet-printer mechanics with software
that can handle proportional-type-pitch fonts. I may be the only
person in here who has operated a proportional-type-pitch
mechanical typewriter used in ready-for-offset manuscript
preparation. Again, irrelevant to this newsgroup. This newsgroup
now seems to be about mortgages and real estate! :-)

Our two houses don't have any mortgages, nor any "covenants"
so I guess I can't get a ham license! :-)

>Anyone can forward a joke received in an e-mail. I'm not impressed. Sorry.

I never sent you any jokes, forwarded or not, in e-mail...nor
used one in here. ? Nan desuka?

>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim AA2QA
>
>ps - but I did enjoy the comment on Weapon of Morse Destruction.
>
>pps - now go wind up some core memory!!!! :)))

Not on yer life! I've repaired a core plane once, long long
ago. That was a "huge" core thing (30 mm cores). Can't
for the life of me see doing that core stringing 8 hours a
day! Worse than my wife's cross-stitching nit-pickyness!

>ppps - (gawd, do I *ever* shut up?) now you might understand how some feel
>about the code. We enjoy it but don't care to be kidded about it.

Okay, YOU i won't kid. Others, well, that's a different story.
Others in here can get downright arrogant and totally
obnoxious about it. They deserve commentary on their arrogance
and obnoxious behavior. Capice?

Don't forget that I was doing HF communications at least 8 hours
a day for three years in the Army...on a 24/7 basis for the
station. All TTY or voice with a twist of facsimile now and
then. I KNOW the comparative speeds and the massive amounts of
traffic go through an Area Headquarters comm facility. TTY at
the old standard of 60 WPM always outclassed the manual morse
handlers for a whole day's worth of messaging.

>It
>works, it is simple, and it is quite effective compared to most folks typing
>abilities.

"CW gets through when everything else will..." - Brian Burke

>You lay off the code, I'll quit about the core memory ;)

Not a chance. Although I am curious about the "core memory"
mention. I'm familiar with magnetic core memory and its
read-modify-write sequencing. I'm also familiar with solid-
state CMOS RAM of low standby power, terrific fast access,
and terrific fast write. I'm using a 512K by 8 RAM package
right now (in the workshop) in a three-package computer-on-
board thingy. Up until about a decade ago, mag core memory
was de rigeur for spaceflight due to Alpha radiation messing
about with ordinary solid-state RAM. No longer. Solid-state
memory techniques keep improving and outer space radiation
ain't a problem it once was to RAM.

Was there anything else, then? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1698. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:00:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ib_De.1835$cg.1305@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113058

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122002501.480354.295390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 9:21 pm
>
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 3:44 pm
> >>> >"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>
> >Hello, Len
> >
> >Weapon of Morse destruction .... :)))
>
> That's what the PCTA extras think... :-)
>
> BTW, I've referred to myself as a "WMD" back when Shrub put
> the USA into Iraq for a "dry-fire Vietnam."
>
> >LOL I can't help but respond. At least someone has a sense of humor
around
> >here. I might not always agree with you, but don't change. It would
kill
> >me :)
>
> Wouldn't think of it. My wife is the musician here. I say
> "no violins!"
>
> >Good grief, as many times as I've explained. I have sent and received
Morse
> >faster than many folks can type. Perfect copy in the Navy at 40 words
per
> >minute (the fastest tape they had).
>
> Good on that. I have no objection to someone USING morsemanship.
> I have lots and lots of objections to federal law MAKING me
> demonstrate morsemanship...when that same law doesn't require
> me to USE that morsemanship (in the amateur bands). I've NEVER
> had to use morsemanship to effect radio communications in the
> last half century in any OTHER radio service...including
> government radio AFTER I was discharged from the Army.
>
> >No big deal, but I get concerned when someone someone says "we don't type
> >anymore; we use word processors". I had that at an interview once some
> >years back. Next time, I'll ask them to bring on the fastest, meanest

"word
> >processing person" they've got. I might not win, but they will be up for
a
> >considerable fight. Everyone takes everything out of context. When I
say I
> >can type 65 words per minute plus on a bad day into a head wind, I am not
> >saying "cut and paste". I mean how the heck do folks get the info into
the
> >dang thing in the first place?
>
> I learned in middle school typing class around 1946/1947 (we
> called it "junior high school" then before the feel-good PC
> crowd wanted to remove the nasty "junior" label). NO KEY TOP
> MARKINGS on those mechanical typewriters! :-)
>
> I used to run the old Model 15/19s to their limit of 60 WPM
> in the Army. No problem. I can still cruise at 60 WPM,
> burst at 100 WPM. On a Model 60 Selectric or this PC with
> WP 8 installed.
>
> > As to the GUI, Apple "stole" that from Xerox
> >(which didn't believe there was a future) and then sued Microsoft over
the
> >GUI!
>
> I disagree on both points. PARC got paid. The later civil
> suit Microsoft v. Apple Computer wasn't about GUI per se...
> it was on the "look and feel"...BTASE, not relevant to this
> newsgroup. The PCTA extras in here don't like "digital"
> because it "isn't RADIO"! :-)
>
> >I've heard too much of this "ancient technology" stuff and I see to many
> >"hunt and peck" alleged typists - excuse me, word processors..... or is
that
> >word processing folks?
>
> I think that's a reference to using MECHANICAL typewriters versus
> computer-plus-inkjet/laserjet-printer mechanics with software
> that can handle proportional-type-pitch fonts. I may be the only
> person in here who has operated a proportional-type-pitch
> mechanical typewriter used in ready-for-offset manuscript
> preparation. Again, irrelevant to this newsgroup. This newsgroup
> now seems to be about mortgages and real estate! :-)
>
> Our two houses don't have any mortgages, nor any "covenants"
> so I guess I can't get a ham license! :-)
>
> >Anyone can forward a joke received in an e-mail. I'm not impressed.
Sorry.
>

> I never sent you any jokes, forwarded or not, in e-mail...nor
> used one in here. ? Nan desuka?
>
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim AA2QA
> >
> >ps - but I did enjoy the comment on Weapon of Morse Destruction.
> >
> >pps - now go wind up some core memory!!!! :)))
>
> Not on yer life! I've repaired a core plane once, long long
> ago. That was a "huge" core thing (30 mm cores). Can't
> for the life of me see doing that core stringing 8 hours a
> day! Worse than my wife's cross-stitching nit-pickyness!
>
> >ppps - (gawd, do I *ever* shut up?) now you might understand how some
feel
> >about the code. We enjoy it but don't care to be kidded about it.
>
> Okay, YOU i won't kid. Others, well, that's a different story.
> Others in here can get downright arrogant and totally
> obnoxious about it. They deserve commentary on their arrogance
> and obnoxious behavior. Capice?
>
> Don't forget that I was doing HF communications at least 8 hours
> a day for three years in the Army...on a 24/7 basis for the
> station. All TTY or voice with a twist of facsimile now and
> then. I KNOW the comparative speeds and the massive amounts of
> traffic go through an Area Headquarters comm facility. TTY at
> the old standard of 60 WPM always outclassed the manual morse
> handlers for a whole day's worth of messaging.
>
> >It
> >works, it is simple, and it is quite effective compared to most folks
typing
> >abilities.
>
> "CW gets through when everything else will..." - Brian Burke
>
> >You lay off the code, I'll quit about the core memory ;)
>
> Not a chance. Although I am curious about the "core memory"
> mention. I'm familiar with magnetic core memory and its
> read-modify-write sequencing. I'm also familiar with solid-
> state CMOS RAM of low standby power, terrific fast access,
> and terrific fast write. I'm using a 512K by 8 RAM package
> right now (in the workshop) in a three-package computer-on-
> board thingy. Up until about a decade ago, mag core memory
> was de rigeur for spaceflight due to Alpha radiation messing
> about with ordinary solid-state RAM. No longer. Solid-state

> memory techniques keep improving and outer space radiation
> ain't a problem it once was to RAM.
>
> Was there anything else, then? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

They don't call it "random" for nothing :)))

Put a micro$oft operating system with it and you have a perfect random machine.

What ever happened to bubble memory? With my beer intake, I have a reasonable fascimile of bubble memory.

Best regards,
Jim AA2QA

1699. MARK MORGAN: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:15:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122005747.428320.198130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113059

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Hate
>
> stevie go take some meds

Why?

Are you a licensed physician?

Steve, K4YZ

1700. MARK MORGAN: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:20:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122006006.560754.194600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113060

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but
> > > a lower one
> > > you are a bigot and a bully
> >
> > HAte, hate and more hate.
>
> yes you are admitting to it

Nope. Just echoing your call for help back to you, Markie.

> now get it out a nd maybe profesional help can make you better

Why do you insist that everyone else in the world needs help, yet
you are the one who clearly needs it...?!?!

Read the above sentence and try to make sense out of it.

> > You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.
>
> not realy

Absolutely really.

> > Imagine that.

As I said....

> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
> > > > > > gene pool and spoil the party.
> > > > >
> > > > > you are a greater threat to the pool than I
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > I've got 3 kids in college on GPA-based scholarships.
> > > >
> > > > You've got...?!?!?!
> > >
> > > my life is my busness
```

> >
> > In other words, You've got squat.
>
> well My folks did not need a handout to send me to school or my brother

So you're saying that anyone who qualifies for a scholarship,
regardless of ability to pay, is getting a hand out?

And I thought schools like yours were covered as a handicap?

> > Just your hate.
>
> no hate HERE you are the one burning up with hate

Sorry, Markie. You're wrong. Again.

Steve, K4YZ

1701. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:21:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Cv_De.2272$j21.688@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113061

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:cwYDe.332$hd3.6169722@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> No. You make one big mistake if you think I asked for all these
> changes, or for that matter, find some easy to tolerate. Dumpsters
> full of aborted fetuses, 14 year old child prostitutes, gangs of
> murderous thug teenagers, homeless people in masses, child molesters
> demanding rights, etc, etc...--looks kind of like a third world
> country if you ask me...
>
> CW is a simple thing for me. I never did like it, and still bitched I
> had to learn it to get a decent license!
>
> I have passed a 20 WPM test--and managed NEVER to use it on the bands,
> if you catch me on CW it will be a code reader/keyboard handling my
> key--I hate the sound of morse in my ears, I type 80+ words a
> minute--and can talk more words than both those two sums combined, a
> minute! Which, I vastly prefer to do (I prefer women to chat with
> too--you don't have a 45-55 year old sister do ya
> <evil-glint-in-eye>?)
>
> I simply want more people on am/ssb phone to chat with, as it stands
> now you will generally only catch me chatting with the aussie gals on
> HF--if not there, then on CB...
>
> In fact, because of the lack of interesting and/or younger people on
> the bands, I have been on msn chat, yahoo messenger, IRC, etc, etc
> lately, and of course--here in the newsgroups...
>
> One thing I am positive of, amateur radio needs to change just to get
> me back, let alone new guys/gals into the hobby...
>
> I can't say I don't like arguing, but my real goal is a change here.
>
> My bark is much worse than my bite, I am here for the long haul (where
> they haul my coffin off that is, and I'd sooner not be in it!!! But,
> really can't promise I will be able to pull that trick off... <grin>)
>
> I am actually a friendly type--I don't expect you to be able to tell
> from our exchanges here... ROFLOL!!!
>
> We march on and hold a stiff upper lip--it'll all work out...
>

> John
>
>

Hello, John

Actually, I enjoyed your response (did I admit that? For shame! LOL).

If you can type 80 words per minute, you'll certainly beat me. My best days, years (and years!) ago, I might have been able to keep an average of 75 or so. I did 65 on the typing test on a manual typewriter in high school after a 1 year business typing course (I can not write or print decently; readable, perhaps, but not well). I must admit to having slowed a tad (not a lot, but a bit) as I've aged. Sigh.

I honestly don't know what can or should be changed with amateur radio. If someone is truly interested in it, they will learn and pass the tests. The tests are hardly difficult. Heck, I got a commercial telegraph ticket and never used it. Very difficult technical questions such as "why do you avoid long horizontal sections of waveguide?". Ooops, that was the radar endorsement. Everyone should know that radio waves have to bounce up and down to be reflected. Horizontal doesn't cut it. Right? :))) Common sense dictates about condensation and many of the questions on the radiotelegraph, radio telephone, and amateur tickets are simply common sense. Sure, there are some tougher questions, but common sense will get you through perhaps 1/3 of the exam. With no study.

The fact is that between cell phones and the Internet, a lot of kids don't even see why anyone would be interested in radio. Should they not even think about it until they are 12 or 13, then their minds tend to gravitate *very* strongly towards the opposite sex and just about anything else seems unimportant. Been there, worn that T-shirt LOL. I had been bitten, however, around the age of 11 and the radio bug just didn't completely go away (although it did fade quite a bit from time to time).

I've seen folks try and start honest threads about what should be done to try and kickstart amateur radio again. I honestly don't have an answer. Usually, arguments result.

Eliminating cw may eliminate a "barrier", but how do amateurs interest others in amateur radio? Forget the barrier as it appears about to be eliminated.

There are a lot of good CBers, but I certainly don't want folks screaming "AUDIOOOO" into their mics. I also don't care to hear the "radio cops" slamming someone for saying something like "my preferred first personal is ..." or "the handle here is ....". All of us were beginners at some point.

Quite frankly, in the early 60s, it was common in amateur radio to use the term "handle". My guess is that when the CB community picked it up, the radio cops started condemning it on amateur frequencies.

Unless you fear causing real hate and dissent amongst this group, I'd like to hear some suggestions concerning getting folks interested in amateur radio.

As to me, I'm not going anywhere very soon as I've a number of graves I've yet to p*ss on LOL

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1702. MARK MORGAN: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:24:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122006249.559788.244140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113062

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > We've got you farther away from civilized people...Now all we do
> > > > is need to teach you PROPER English and fix your deviance problem.
> > > >
> > > you got nothing
> > >
> > > and again with you hate fill bigtry
> > >
> > I've got my honor.
> >
> no honnor in hate and bigotry

And since I harbor neither, I can claim the latter.

> you wear your white robes with pride?

Nope.

Just American Red, White and Blue

> > Something you'll never know as long as you're an admitted liar and
> > deviant.
> >
> I know it full well
> >
> Not a devaint BTW do stopp lying or at least admit to your lies

You admit that you'll not know honor as long as you're an admitted
liar and deviant, and then in the next sentence claim you're NOT a
deviant.

Then you want ME to admit to "lies" that I did not utter.

The funniest part is that you've told me to "take your meds" in
another post, when it's absolutely clear to anyone else that you're the
one with confusion and honesty issues.

Steve, K4YZ

1703. Re: flaming shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:26:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7A_De.2273$j21.1093@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113063

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > yes it
> > >
> > > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> > > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
> > >
> > > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
> > > >
> > > > Thank you ARRL.
> >
> > No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea that
> > profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
> > this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
>
> no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
> years
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

Time to regroup.

What is the difference between "their", "there", and "they're"?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - this beer is *good*!

1704. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:29:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122006572.971372.227470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113064

an_old_friend wrote:

> always with the obsessive attacks steve
>
> wel you can't spoil a day like this for me

Why are you dragging your silliness into another thread, Markie?

And any day with you in it has to be a spoiled day for
SOMEone......

Steve, K4YZ

1705. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:39:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122007177.872093.5390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113065

Jayson Davis wrote:

> > As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in
> "advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement. Spreading
> the word and helping others to study and pass the written exam will be
> key in getting the numbers we need at that time.

I don't even see that as being a "happening thing", Jayson.
Amateur Radio, just like fishing, or NASCAR, or R/C models, etc etc has
always attracted a certain "crowd".

> It's not an issue of numbers, it's an issue of why would anyone want to
> become an amateur radio operator. Really now, why would you want to do
> that? To talk on repeaters? To work some guy on 20 meters? The whole
> hobby is passe.

So's dropping a line in the water and trying to hook a fish, but
millions of folks do it every year...Not because it's the only way to
feed their families, but just for fun.

Anyone can get into chat rooms or exchange e-mail with folks
almost anywhere in the world...but there will still be the thrill of
doing it with "wireless".

> If you want to attract the bright intelligent minds, you better be
> prepared to challenge them. Challenge them to let them in, challenge
> them when they get here. Do you think ax.25 is going to attract people?
>
> HA!

Wheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeelllp....Markie wil chime in here and say I
am "attacking" Lennie, but there's been a no code license for just that
very kind of experimentation for 14 years now, and Lennie and hi ilk
have been more than welcome to jump right in and develop all the new
data protocols they'd like to do.

Yet all they whine about is the code test and that 3% of the
allocations that do require a code test.

Why?

Because all THEY are interested in is playing on HF...If all those
engineering types had any interest in obtaining an Amateur Radio
license for "experimenting" purposes, it would be a done deal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1706. Re: flaming shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:41:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pO_De.361$ow5.7662736@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113066

Jim:

Their:
Their hair is long.

There:
Over there is there is the place.

They're:
They are having fun.

However, I frequently misuse their and there, it is an easy mistake
which no spell checker will aid you with...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:7A_De.2273$j21.1093@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > yes it
>> > >
>> > > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
>> > > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
>> > >
>> > > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
>> > > >
>> > > > Thank you ARRL.
>> >
>> > No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea
>> > that
>> > profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible
>> > for
>> > this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
>>
>> no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
>> years
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>

> Time to regroup.
>
> What is the difference between "their", "there", and "they're"?
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
> ps - this beer is *good*!
>
>
>

1707. Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:42:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122007358.746444.325800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113067

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > yes it
> > > >
> > > > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> > > > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
> > > >
> > > > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
> > > > >
> > > > > Thank you ARRL.
> > >
> > > No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea that
> > > profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
> > > this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
> >
> > no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
> > years
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Time to regroup.
>
> What is the difference between "their", "there", and "they're"?

You're asking MARKIE a grammar question, Jim...?!?!

Hope you've got a tight ride in that saddle!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1708. MARK MORGAN: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:46:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122007560.403372.247440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113068

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hate
> > >
> > > what more needs to be said
> >
> > And you still didn't read the tag line.
>
> Yes I did read it

And still you fell for it...What a schmuck.

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ
```

1709. Re: flaming shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:46:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%S_De.363$tm5.7611541@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113069

"Over there is the place." even!

When it gets late, I make other errors too... :(

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pO_De.361$ow5.7662736@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> Their:
> Their hair is long.
>
> There:
> Over there is there is the place.
>
> They're:
> They are having fun.
>
> However, I frequently misuse their and there, it is an easy mistake
> which no spell checker will aid you with...
>
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:7A_De.2273$j21.1093@news01.roc.ny...
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> > an old friend wrote:
>>> > > yes it
>>> > >
>>> > > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
>>> > > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
>>> > >
>>> > > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Thank you ARRL.
>>> >
>>> > No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea
>>> > that
>>> > profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible

>>> > for
>>> > this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
>>>
>>> no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days
>>> and
>>> years
>>> >
>>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>
>> Time to regroup.
>>
>> What is the difference between "their", "there", and "they're"?
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>> ps - this beer is *good*!
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1710. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:48:36 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <EU_De.2683$6f.1457@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113070

John Smith wrote:
> robert:
>
> Yes, in fact you should get ready for the flood of anxious cw'ers...
>
> I'd go there now and wait if I were you, and wait, and wait, and
> wait... <grin>
>
> ... don't kid yourself.
>

Well, it was a fantasy of sorts. Probably not gonna
happen....

1711. MARK MORGAN: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:49:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122007747.631261.37300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113071

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Hate
> > >
> > > facing up to it is progress boyo
> >
> > Obviously you didn't reread the subject line
>
> no I did not reread the subject line

"reread"...?!?!

To re-expose to a quantity of radiation?

> don't know what reread is other than sounding like a WMD
>
> OTOH I just quoted you and your entire message

And it's all that you ahve left in your life, wimpo.

And I see in an other thread you're still refering to your
"partner" as "she" and "her"...Does that make it easier for you to
rationalize sleeping with men?

Steve, K4YZ

1712. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:50:25 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <IW_De.2685$6f.52@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113072

>
>
> It came to him via the voices in his head.

Not voice (phone), but in CW! CW in his head. :-)

1713. MARK MORGAN: Enter Your Favorite Profanity Here And Show Us What You're Made Of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:55:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122008136.713679.259680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113073

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> > > > significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> > > > ultimate retirement.
> > >
> > > IYO
> >
> > In fact.
>
> IYO

In fact.

You're welcome to read the archives if you like.

> > He's not even produced any achievments.
>
> even if true so what

It proves that his claims of superiority as a "professional in
radio" are unsubstantiated...Squat...Zilch...Nada...

> > > > You're welcome to publish a link or provide a reference to some
> > > > source that proves otherwise if you know of one...Otherwise you're just
> > > > running YOUR mouth off.
> > >
> > > not at all with your taste for precision I am typing my fingers off not
> > > saying a word
> > >
> > > you have your opinion. Indeed I don't that Len disagress with you on
> > > "signifacant achivements, but we all judge things in our own way
> > >
> > > at least when bigots like you give the chance
> >
> > He's had eight years of chances.
>
> and another would impress you without being morse tested so why bother
> to try and impress a peice of #### yourself

I am trying to figure out what yuo said here, other than to again
refer to me with a profanity, but I can't make heads or tails out of
```

it.

> > > > > > Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> > > > > > that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh well...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > > another stevie lie
> > > >
> > > > Where?
> > >
> > the 73 you have no regard for him
> >
> > For him, I have pleant of "regard"...
> pleant? speling cop

Yep. "speling" cop yourself, Markie...

> > For you..?!?! Squat.
>
> >
> > > > Had Lennie taken the code test when he alleges to have been up to
> > > > "8 wpm", he would indeed have "all he needed" for an EXTRA today. That
> > > > is 100% factual.
> > > >
> > > > And the "73"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > > > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > > > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
> > >
> > > nope another lie
> >
> > Not a lie, not another lie...
>
> another lie

Where?

You insist I don't have "best regards" for Dan..?!?!

Prove it.

> > Fact.
>
> you don't know the meaning of the word fact

Sure I do.

It's what you don't have any of.

Your whole life is lies, Markie, and you try, but fail, to pass them on here...

Sorry..

We're smarter than you.

Steve, K4YZ

1714. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:57:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122008256.955819.5310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113074

an_old_friend wrote:
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
>
> well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie

Nope, it wasn't me...but I am wondering...Why HAVEN'T you had that plate de-magnetized, Markie?

Steve, K4YZ

1715. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:58:10 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <C1%De.2686$6f.2580@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113075

> Did you see Farenheit 911? Always working on .... things. Golly, he seemed
> so indecisive in Florida with the schoolchildren when the first tower was
> hit. Eyes kept going back and fourth ... then the secret service guy
> whispered in his ear (the second tower was hit). Still he sat there with
> his eyeballs clicking back and fourth.
>

If I was president, I would have just terminated the
classroom photo op. "Sorry kids, something's come up,
I gotta go." Even if I didn't have a clue as to what
to do about it, I'd still split. Maybe go to the
principal's office and borrow the phone and call various
people at the Pentagon and such.

Back on topic, the FCC released it as a proposed rule
making. But unless someone can come up with a really
good reason to keep code, it will be history. But
I doubt that there is anything about code that the
FCC hasn't already seen.

1716. Re: flaming shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 04:58:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <h2%De.1836$cg.602@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113076

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:%S_De.363$tm5.7611541@news.sisna.com...
> "Over there is the place." even!
>
> When it gets late, I make other errors too... :(
>
> John
>

Don't worry; I'm getting to the poinst thast I'mmmm makeing msitakes.

DanG! Thish beer ish good!!

LOL, don't sweat the small stuff.

I'm just relaxing this evening.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1717. Re: Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 05:01:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <75%De.1837$cg.1082@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113077

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122007358.746444.325800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > yes it
> > > > >
> > > > > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
> > > > > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
> > > > > >
> > > > > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thank you ARRL.
> > > > >
> > > > No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the idea
that
> > > > profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are responsible for
> > > > this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
> > >
> > > no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days and
> > > years
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> >
> > Time to regroup.
> >
> > What is the difference between "their", "there", and "they're"?
>
> You're asking MARKIE a grammar question, Jim...?!?!
>
> Hope you've got a tight ride in that saddle!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
```

Steve,

I'm finally having a couple of brews. It feels good and I'm just having some fun in the group (until Frontiernet cancels my account LOL - nope, I paid 'em, they still love me). Money makes everything good. If you believe that, I've got a couple of bridges to sell you (hmmm ... think I should put 'em up for sale on e-bay?)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1718. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 05:16:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ri%De.368$wF5.7720011@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113078

Robert:

I would think a real president would have surrounded himself with highly capable people.

In the event of ANY threat, accident or other occurrence, a contingency plan would already be in place which would cover the emergency in question--indeed, if it were I which were president, there would even be a plan in place which would ensure America is quite safe and well protected and life could go on as usual with EVERYTHING being taken in step, even upon my death, or even the death of myself and a great number of my people. If you are president there is no reason to expect the American people to settle for anything less!

Indeed, even if I were blocked from communication and interaction, for some period of time, my people would have been instructed what to do until such time as I could take my place beside them.

Now, it is fine for someone to think themself "GOD" and that the whole universe spins about them, but as you seen with bush, this hardly is the case when an adept person is in charge.

Indeed, if bush was visiting our most important resource (the children of this country, as indeed what was happening) and an emergency took place--I would go on handling the problems knowing full well that he would need sometime to make a safe and sane exit from whatever situations he was in, and that he was counting on me to perform in his immediate absence--with a professional performance which would do him justice and this nation full justice.

And, in looking back upon the whole affair, now that it has passed, that is exactly what happened... no great surprise is it?

Indeed, now that he has had "real life" practice in just such a situation, I would even expect it to smoother next time, and there would even be less of a need for his quick departure...

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:C1%De.2686$6f.2580@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> Did you see Farenheit 911? Always working on .... things. Golly,
>> he seemed
>> so indecisive in Florida with the schoolchildren when the first
>> tower was

>> hit. Eyes kept going back and fourth ... then the secret service
>> guy
>> whispered in his ear (the second tower was hit). Still he sat
>> there with
>> his eyeballs clicking back and fourth.
>>
>
> If I was president, I would have just terminated the
> classroom photo op. "Sorry kids, something's come up,
> I gotta go." Even if I didn't have a clue as to what
> to do about it, I'd still split. Maybe go to the
> principal's office and borrow the phone and call various
> people at the Pentagon and such.
>
> Back on topic, the FCC released it as a proposed rule
> making. But unless someone can come up with a really
> good reason to keep code, it will be history. But
> I doubt that there is anything about code that the
> FCC hasn't already seen.

1719. Re: Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 05:17:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0k%De.369$7M5.7769194@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113079

Jim:

Hey, stop that! I don't think you are supposed to have fun here, no
one else is!

ROFLOL!!!

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:75%De.1837$cg.1082@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122007358.746444.325800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1121810700.321430.287150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > > yes it
>> > > > >
>> > > > > 10 - 4 Good Buddies wrote:
>> > > > > > See what happens when you dumb down ham radio.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > but the flamers are the old timers not the new guys
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Thank you ARRL.
>> > > >
>> > > > No...thank-you Mark Morgan. People who perpetuate the
>> > > > idea
> that
>> > > > profanity and least-common-denominator thinking are
>> > > > responsible for
>> > > > this...Tolerating liars and sexual deviants is another.
>> > >
>> > > no bigots that demand there way or the whine and whine for days
>> > > and
>> > > years
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > >

>> >
>> > Time to regroup.
>> >
>> > What is the difference between "their", "there", and "they're"?
>>
>> You're asking MARKIE a grammar question, Jim...?!?!
>>
>> Hope you've got a tight ride in that saddle!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> Steve,
>
> I'm finally having a couple of brews. It feels good and I'm just
> having
> some fun in the group (until Frontiernet cancels my account LOL -
> nope, I
> paid 'em, they still love me). Money makes everything good. If
> you
> believe that, I've got a couple of bridges to sell you (hmmm ...
> think I
> should put 'em up for sale on e-bay?)
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

1720. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 06:06:33 UTC
From: dana.myers@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1122012393.075399.149300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113080

> Did you see Farenheit 911?

Are you laboring under the naive misimpression that Moore's flicks
are anything but *fictional* works _loosely_ based on history?

Kodak was canceling traditional photographic products and laying
off staff during the Clinton administration. The fact that film has
been
rightly replaced by digital capture has essentially nothing to do with
politics or the President.

Cheers,
Dana K6JQ

1721. Re: Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 10:40:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122028818.675974.43050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113081

Jim Hampton wrote:

> I'm finally having a couple of brews. It feels good and I'm just having
> some fun in the group (until Frontiernet cancels my account LOL - nope, I
> paid 'em, they still love me).

Hey...me too...Amazing how some folks provide all that
entertainment for (almost) free, isn't it?

> Money makes everything good.

Better ask Toiddie. Didn't work for HIM!

> If you
> believe that, I've got a couple of bridges to sell you (hmmm ... think I
> should put 'em up for sale on e-bay?)

Suspension or canteliever?

73!

Steve, K4YZ

1722. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 10:51:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122029493.293441.297430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113082

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> > Here you go Lennie, chew on this a while.
> > ==>PRESIDENT BUSH SENDS GREETINGS TO FIELD DAY 2005 PARTICIPANTS

Snip of message content.

> > I know, we hams don't do anything worth while. Funny you seem to be the
> > ONLY one with that opinion. Even the President of the United States
> > disagrees with you. (what a surprise, eh folks?)
> >
> > And just for grins I included some stuff on foreign shores, see Lennie,
> > even the Europeans disagree with you. Thus Lennie= Lennie the loser.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> you think the President has anything to do with sending out that
> materail
>
> his people write this stuff

It doesn't matter who writes what...If he put's his name on it or
says it in public, it's as if it were his own thoughts. Anything that
leaves the White House with "President Bush Sends..." on it is FROM the
President, whether he wrote it himslef or staffed it out.

> Lennie does say hams don nothing merely that they don't do as much as
> their press releases

But so far Lennie's not provided one iota of evidence, however
remote factually, that DISPROVES anything that any press release has
EVER said on behalf of Amatuer Radio.

Not ONCE in seven years.

Why?

The ANSWER is that there has not been a single incident in all
those years that he could disprove!

As a matter of fact, there have been numerous ocassions where
Lennie, and his surrogate Brian "Brain" Burke have made assinine
allegations about Amateur Radio in general or the ARRL/ARES in
particular, only to have yet another agency "go public" with accolades
or kudos for a "job well done".

Go figure.

Steve, K4YZ

1723. MARK MORGAN: Wanna See Your Picture On A Phone Pole?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 11:10:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122030649.907894.31100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113083

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Thanks, Mark!
> >
> > Appreciate your cooperation!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> realy losing it stevie cant find anything to leave

Haven't lost anything, Markie.

And what's to "leave"?

Just more of your silly "nope" to things you've already admitted to
then try to say you DIDN'T say..?!?!

You're an admitted liar, Morgan. You're a disgrace to your family
name and ought to have your picture nailed to phone poles all over town
warning people that you're a danger to society and yourself (especially
children that you'd intentionally teach to lie...)

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...

Steve, K4YZ

1724. Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 11:22:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122031324.117414.85570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113084

Observations:

- Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18 proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely eliminate it.

- There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to all of them.

- The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code testing.

Predictions:

- There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.

- There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses. But not long term growth.

- The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.

- There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought by the new folks.

- The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands or even having no mode subbands at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1725. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 11:42:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122032532.388691.322860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113085

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Observations:
>
> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> eliminate it.

Amazing, isn't it?

They ask for public opinion then say "We'll do what we want
anyway..."

> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> all of them.

They said it in 98...They want to reduce all administrative burden
to an absolute minimum...

The fewer regulations there are on the books, the less enforcement
they have to do. I am surprised they haven't tried to "outsource"
their enforcement duties.

> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
> testing.
>
>
> Predictions:
>
> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.

I'm still holding out for 5WPM for Extra, but prudence says you're
right.

> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> But not long term growth.

There never has been, there never will be. Reducing testing,
either the number of written test or the 13/20WPM Code tests, has not
done a thing to move the service forward.

> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....For a while there will be...All those new
"Generals" will plop down some bucks on HF stations, work some DX, then
go back to whining about how tough it is to get to Extra.

> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> by the new folks.

They've had their chance since 1991 to do that if they wanted.

> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> or even having no mode subbands at all.

As I was saying in another thread...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1726. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 12:08:12 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <42e0e2ab$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113086

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:qEEDe.148$y65.2283619@news.sisna.com...
> Kim:
>
> I think a woman "taking a free ride" on her husbands license
>

Oh buhruther, that is almost a scarey comment--but I won't go there

>
> are
> probably not a significant number to really thwart the benefits which
> are possible.
>

Hmmmm, yeah, I am not sure how anything would thwart a benefit...(?)

>
> Let's face it, marriage too is becoming a thing of the
> past, and certainly is no longer a real lifetime commitment for the
> younger generations. Gay marriage is probably the final nail in that
> coffin.
>

Uh oh. Yeah, 'dem gays is ruining everything. It's because of them that parents don't raise their kids with enough gumption to make smart decisions on love and marriage, and having kids. It's because of them that skillsets to handle the baggage of everyday life aren't instilled by our society and, therefore, our children. It's because of them (gays--to remind everyone) that parents and educators are too busy getting their pockets lined and so can't raise kids to have more dignity and respect than to go out as a teen-ager and get pregnant. It's because of them that, well hell, let's just lay it out there for everyone to see: it's because of those darned friggin' faggots and lesbians that our whole damned world has gone to hell in a handbasket.

>
> The younger women of today are a different breed and don't want to be
> seen as "getting something for nothing." (which might imply, at least
> to some, that they are less able or intelligent)
>

OK, so wait a minute. One sentiment you express is that gays have ruined the institution of marriage. The next, you are saying that "the younger women of today" (spoken, it seems, as a true male chauvinist asshole, by the way) have, ummmm, higher standards? Is this the same women who get all

those divorces, screw other womens' husbands, suck their boss' you-know-whats, etc., as you mentioned above? The very same women who, today, are so wrapped up in using their bodies and sex to get anything and anywhere that the workplace has become a disgusting display of seething sex fashions? Because, I want to make sure we are on the same page...uh, if that's even possible?

OK, back to reality. You are one piece of work. I am pretty convinced that it's ridiculous for me to mention here that you are spewing a whole huge trashcan of idiocy over: A WOMAN TALKING ON HAM RADIO WITH HER HUSBAND AS CONTROL OPERATOR!!!! For friggin' cryin' out loud, dude, get a chill pill.

> I would venture a guess that at least half of under 35 year old women
> would never stand for a free ride on their husbands license--choosing
> to make a point they "have what it takes." (today's women are much
> more competitive)

You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the under-35 year old women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST, help them let their wimpy-assed husbands get into it and get a radio. THEN come back to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k? Where'd y'all drag this one up from?

> I feel the dropping of CW will open this hobby up to these women.

Love it. Hey, Jim? While you're sitting there laughing hysterically (:o) Get a load of this: "these" women.

> Even if they are only dating a guy which is in amateur radio, it may
> be enough to prompt them into studying and grabbing a license of their
> own--especially if the boyfriend is smart enough to set up a
> competitive spirit and invoke the challenge. <grin>
>
> John

Oh my good Lord. If you were sitting across the table from me, I'd have to see your--uh, Dee, please close your eyes, oh wait, I can put it this way: see your "thing." How tiny is that thing? You are speaking of strong-willed, competive, and--I'll inject here--independent women, of whom it is obvious you have absolutely NO EXPERIENCE. Because THIS strong-willed, competitve, independent woman, would shrivel your mighty little thing up in half-a second and throw you back out to the curb. By the way, if the women you have been around need your permission, or your guidance, to get competitive and have the challenge "invoked," you need to get out of that closet you're in.

Oh my gawsh...now, I am going to go back to normal and get ready for the office--where a *FEW* of us women still get around on our own. My little pal here, to whom I am responding with this post, is obviously surrounded by idiot women, the only types he can deal with.

Kim W5TIT

> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:42df0a89$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:aJ-dnTHX5PnyakPfRVn-og@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >>
> >> Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention. If they
> >> are, we
> >> should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people taking
> >> element
> > 3
> >> over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped up
> >> before
> >> their CSCEs expire.
> >>
> >> And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the Morse
> >> code".
> >> Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if their
> >> is a
> >> trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> > I am a TechPlus who never upgraded to General, or any other class.
> > There
> > are some considerations that may set me apart from the broad (no pun
> > intended) population of the ARS:
> >
> > I am a female
> > I have a husband who is a General Class (i.e., not sure how many
> > licensees
> > are spouses to each other)--and I could, technically, get on HF
> > General
> > Class freqs any time I wanted
> > I enjoy simple ragchewing and emergency communications at a local

> > level WHEN
> > I am even "hooked up"
> > I enjoy the "quiet" mode of communicating--HF makes way too much
> > noise
> >
> > There's probably some other differentiating items, and there may be
> > no
> > correlation at all.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
> >
> > -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> > News==----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > 120,000+ Newsgroups
> > ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> > Encryption =----
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1727. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 12:15:01 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <42e0e444$1_1@spool9-west.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113087

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:yOWdnbaZpvfjtX3fRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:EIVDe.275$Ht.5226652@news.sisna.com...
> > Dee:
> >
> > You are correct about one thing, those who are in danger of having their
> > egos deflated, of being forced to wakeup, those realizing they are not
> > going to be allowed to "be special" anymore are going to scream like
pigs
> > and look for some way to divert the fixes which are coming this way...
> >
> > John
> >
>
> I find it difficult to see how extracted that concept from what I said.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

ROFLMAO...Dee, just look at what he extracted from me getting on the radio
under my husband's General Class license and talking whenever I want.
Which, by the way, I have never done yet. <snorkle> We haven't had HF on
much since he was licensed as a General.

Kim W5TIT

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1728. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 13:34:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122039258.596439.63020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113088

Kim wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qEEDe.148$y65.2283619@news.sisna.com...
> > Kim:
> >
> > I think a woman "taking a free ride" on her husbands license

Big snip to....

> OK, back to reality. You are one piece of work. I am pretty convinced that
> it's ridiculous for me to mention here that you are spewing a whole huge
> trashcan of idiocy over: A WOMAN TALKING ON HAM RADIO WITH HER HUSBAND AS
> CONTROL OPERATOR!!!! For friggin' cryin' out loud, dude, get a chill pill.

The point, I think, Kim, was that there was, once upon a time, a
suggestion to allow the spouse to operate a "unit" of an Amateur Radio
station just like CB or GMRS...

And ultimately that's what the patitioner was told...Get a GMRS
license!

> > I would venture a guess that at least half of under 35 year old women
> > would never stand for a free ride on their husbands license--choosing
> > to make a point they "have what it takes." (today's women are much
> > more competitive)
>
> You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the under-35 year old
> women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST, help them
> let their wimpy-###ed husbands get into it and get a radio.

Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...A picture of a scantilly clad Schwartzenegger
brandishing an HT saying "Don't be a girlie girl....." maybe?

THEN come back
> to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k? Where'd
> y'all drag this one up from?
>
> >
> > I feel the dropping of CW will open this hobby up to these women.
> >
>
> Love it. Hey, Jim? While you're sitting there laughing hysterically (:o)
> Get a load of this: "these" women.
>
> >
> > Even if they are only dating a guy which is in amateur radio, it may

> > be enough to prompt them into studying and grabbing a license of their
> > own--especially if the boyfriend is smart enough to set up a
> > competitive spirit and invoke the challenge. <grin>
> >
> > John
> >
>
> Oh my good Lord. If you were sitting across the table from me, I'd have to
> see your--uh, Dee, please close your eyes, oh wait, I can put it this way:
> see your "thing." How tiny is that thing? You are speaking of
> strong-willed, competive, and--I'll inject here--independent women, of whom
> it is obvious you have absolutely NO EXPERIENCE. Because THIS
> strong-willed, competitve, independent woman, would shrivel your mighty
> little thing up in half-a second and throw you back out to the curb. By the
> way, if the women you have been around need your permission, or your
> guidance, to get competitive and have the challenge "invoked," you need to
> get out of that closet you're in.

Kim...if you were in Tennessee right now, or I in Texas, I'd have
only two words for a woman with an attitude like that...

SADDLE UP! =) =) =) =)

> Oh my gawsh...now, I am going to go back to normal and get ready for the
> office--where a *FEW* of us women still get around on our own. My little
> pal here, to whom I am responding with this post, is obviously surrounded by
> idiot women, the only types he can deal with.

A bit, uuh...dare I say it..."hormonal" are we, today...?!?!

=)

88

Steve, K4YZ

1729. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 14:27:10 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dbqvnu$171q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113089

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>
>
>>>>>> My thoughts.
>>>>>> First, the unit has a lot of promise. While I think I prefer separate
>>>>>>components between the computer and the rig for flexibility, this setup
>>>>>>might just be fun to use.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Some folks might like the integrated internal computer. It's certainly
>>>>>the first rig to offer it. My only concern is that a computer's life
>>>>>cycle is much shorter than most ham rigs'.
>>>>
>>>>Of course, the computer would work for all the Ham radio
>>>>functions at
>>>>the time of manufacture, so it wouldn't "go" out of date.
>>>
>>>
>>>Would you like a nice
>
>
>>>100 MHz Pentium 1 running Win95 for your shack
>>>computer, Mike? Ten years ago, that was a dream machine...
>>
>>I want a computer that can do the work. I can run Digipan (as well as a
>>lot of other soundcard software) on a P1 class computer, as well as a
>>lot of logging software. So assuming that there is not aome huge change
>>in something, the computer will continue to function, the radio will
>>continue to work.
>
>

> Of course, but over time support disappears.

I pretty much have to support myself with my old Icom.

>>Obviously an up to date laptop would be included
>>in any modern designed system.
>
>
> I think we're saying the same thing two different ways. If the
> computer.

Whoops - think we missed something there.

>> And it would be upgradeable, so it wouldn't be much of a problem.
>>
>>
>>
>>>> Plus my idea given down below might be a winner.
>>>
>>> >
>>>
>>>>>> Next, I don't buy the teeny florescent screen rationale. My idea of the
>>>>>>modern integrated rig is more along the line of looking like a laptop,
>>>>>>with a folding large screen on top of the RF portions of the box. But
>>>>>>that's just me.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You can plug an external VGA display into the rig.
>>>>
>>>> Sometimes.
>>>>
>>>>But you see, here is what I'm thinking of. A computer with the RF guts,
>>>>and a laptop of some choice that plugs into the computer, and sets on
>>>>top of it. Many of the features now used in hardware could be handled by
>>>>the laptop. You would have logging, digital modes, dsp - probably not
>>>>important to you, but for a growing group of us, it would be great.
>>
>>>Been done. Kachina 505. Also TT Pegasus. Rigs without a front > > panel.
>>
>>Not what I am describing.
>
>
> Except for the form factor, it's exactly what you're describing.

The form factor, having several different pieces of equipment to hook
together, cabling, and different power supplies.

Imagine a rig that has a 15 - 17 inch screen and a keyboard that
otherwise looks like a typical HF rig. You turn it on and use it.

You arent going to use the Pegasus unless you plug it into a computer.

IMO, those things are similar, but in the same way that a transciever
and separate transmitter/reciever setups are similar.

In fact, I see this sort of thing as as a natural progression, just
like from separates to transcivers.

>
>>Imagine some sort of HF transciever. Size
>>would be similar to what we use today. A laptop or the
>>equivalent would
>>be setting on top of the device. The laptop would dock into the
>>transciever. Functions would be controlled by the laptop.
>>Although
>>transciever front panel controls would be a possibility, the
>>ideal
>>method for what I am talking about would be all control via the > laptop.
>
>
> Except for the docking station part, that's what a Kachina was.
> And a Pegasus. Computer did all the control functions, transceiver was
> an RF modem of sorts.

This system would be much more highly integrated.

You might thiink of this as a system that someone would consider using
in their automobile. I doubt that there were too many mobile Pegasus
setups. 8^) Of course, I don't suggest using a keyboard while mobile!
But that is okay, the rig would perform all the other functions.

>>This would not be a unit that needed a take-along computer,
>>or an LCD
>>screen, both with their own separate power supplies.
>>It would be a unit
>>that you plug in, open the case and "boot" the computer and
>>transciever.
>
>
> All that's really changed is the form factor. And maybe the integration
> of power supply.

I guess I'm having trouble making myself clear.

>>There were a few devices that touched on the idea, including
>>the
>>unmanufactured PSK rig by the Sienna people, and another PSK31 > kit rig that sits
outboard of a laptop.
>
>
> You mean the Warbler?
>
>>But this would be a full featured rig, SSB, AM, FM, CW, RTTY,
>>PSK Hell,
>>and onaonaonaonaon. At least 100 watts output. DSP that is

>>upgradeable,
>>logging software, and whatever else you might do with the rig.
>
>
> Right. Now who is going to bell that cat?

Oh, I imagine someone will eventually. I can't imagine that I'm the
only one begging for better system integration.

The Warbler, the unmanufactured kit, and a lot of the others are
interesting, but they are toys by comparison - thats not an insult, just
an observation, because I enjoy toys too.

But the new Sienna HF rig is where it is starting to come together
>
> I can well understand your dislike of the klugey nature of many
> rig-computer setups. Two different big boxes (computer and rig)
> interconnected by a bunch of cables, and a bunch of little boxes too.
> Bulky and clunky and very unportable.
>
> Meanwhile, there are excellent CW rigs like the KX1 that can be
> configured to be self-contained (rig, battery, ATU, even paddles)
> except for antenna and headphones. Toss up a wire, plug in the 'phones,
> turn on the rig and you're on the air.
>
> You'd think the digital folk would be ahead of that curve...

We're trying! Seems some people don't like our ideas though! ;^)

>
>>>>If the laptop were to become obsolete, say if a new mode came along
>>>>that required too much horsepower, then the unit could be replaced. A
>>>>mounting kit could be made available. In fact, a radio could be designed
>>>>so that you could attach your present laptop.
>>>
>>>
>>>Remember the Warbler?
>>
>> Yup. That's about 25 percent of the way there.
>>
>>
>>>In any event what you're suggesting is a rig that is controlled by the
>>>computer - an RF modem of sorts. Great if you like that sort of thing,
>>>but they didn't sell all that well. Maybe times have changed.
>>
>> Not completely. This rig isn't "controlled by the computer, any more
>>than it is controlled by the transmitter. cuz if either one isn't there,
>>it isn't going to work.
>
>
> Can it be used without a computer or not?

Nope.

>
>>>>>>Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
>>>>>>might be expanded upon.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
>>>>>form of standardization.
>>>>
>>>> Sometimes.
>>>
>>>
>>>Why not? Look at what it's done for PCs. Why not for ham rigs?
>>
>> One of the areas in which digital people often make a mistake is in
>>thinking that RF is the same thing as digital. That is why they
>>sometimes design skunks like BPL.
>
>
> I'm not talking about using PC methods, just the basic idea. The rig
> would have certain standard insides that could be made by a variety of
> sources.
>
> Example: Suppose we decide on a basic architecture with a given IF,
> LO/BFO frequencies, power voltages, control signals, signal & impedance
> levels, and form factor.
>
> Once those things are set, the parts could come from anywhere. Want a
> DDS VFO? All it has to do is meet the interface requirements. PLL? Same
> difference.

It is an interesting concept. We wouldn't get all the advantadges that
the PC people would have, given that we wouldn't have the economy of
scale. But one *very* nice feature is that the homebrewers could make up
their own subassy boards.

I guess that should wait until after the warranty is over!!

<did some snipping>
>
> That's due in part to lower interest rates, and in part to a big flow
> of money from the stock market to real estate.
>
>> That progression indicates that a change has happened. A lot higher
>>percentage goes to putting the roof over the head. That's "okay", just
>>get another Credit card or two!
>
>
> There are lots of other factors. For example, longer term mortgages
> increase buying power.

For that house, they do. But the longer the term, the greater the
future impact. No free lunch. More house now by stretching the paymoents
out, the less capital available later

> Two-income families, and
> delayed family starts, have their own effects.

> The biggest change is that RE values vary widely with location. *Very*
> widely.
>
>>>2) A house is one of the few investments an individual can
>>>make that can be used without selling it.
>>
>> If you do the home equity thing, you sort of have sold it.
>
>
> Not at all! You still live there.

Kinda like renting, eh?

The money isn't free, yaknow. I have a relative who has refied her
house ever time she could. She bought her place a few years before we
bought ours. The house prices were almost the same. We are almost
finished with our mortgage (15 years), and she's still paying, as every
time she refied, she reset the mortgage. Looks like she'll be making
mortgage payments for her entire life.

>
>>>3) A house is one of the few necessities an individual can buy
>>>that will almost certainly appreciate in value. Look at the
>>>everyday
>>>things you own - how many of them will be worth more
>>>in 10 years?
>>>
>>>4) Home loan interest is a tax deduction for most people.
>>>What other debt reduces your taxes?
>>
>>All true, Jim. and all used to get people to become "house poor".
>>
>
> What's the alternative, Mike?

Living within ones means. When we bought our place, we spent around
100K less than we were "approved" for. The banks thought we were nuts,
the real estate people thought we were nuts (as well as making for a
smaller commission) Big deal - we bought a nice place, and have
discretionary money for investment and other stuff. And the dot.com
folks thought we were nuts for not investing in them, too.....

> Some people resort to living a long way from their jobs in order to pay
> less for a house, get away from CC&Rs and HOAs, etc. Trouble is, they
> spend lots of time and money commuting, and are at the mercy of
> weather, traffic and fuel prices.

Sometimes it is just nice to not live in a city too.

>
>>>>BTW, those McMansions are becoming very, very hard to resell.
>
>
>>>Not around here!
>>
>>Interesting! My XYL, who works in the industry doing a lot of high-end
>>houses around here, notes that the people who have 500K to a million and
>>up almost always insist on building their own.
>
>
> Where *you* are, $500K is high end. Around here, $500K is a 30-40 year
> old 4 br 2-1/2 ba Cape Cod tract house on a half-acre lot that needs a
> bit of work.

Philadelphia area right? No doubt that they are more expensive.

But 500K isn't really the high end around here.

> And it will sell in a week.

As I said, all those "benefits" of owning a house convince people to do
extrordinarily stupid things...

- Mike KB3EIA -

1730. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 14:41:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KA7Ee.29$j43.243836@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113151

Kim:

I expected as much, a women libber who isn't a libber at all.

Rather a spoiled female brat which wants all the perks of being female
and none of the responsibility.

And, you are right, gays marrying makes a mockery out of marriage. Two
butt buddies (or two lesbian lick masters) are ridiculous and should
be give mental health to repair their suffering mental conditions...

You wouldn't know a real female if she ran up and bit ya on yer bum.
Already you are whinning because your tricks ain't going to work here.

Screw off, you are just a new age wacko and they are a dime a dozen!
You are too used to people kissing yer bum to be politically correct,
ain't gonna happen here--I have too much respect for myself.

If you want to stay focused on ham issues good. If you want to argue
being politically correct or I have to suffer your opinions and
views--chuck it girl!

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:42e0e2ab$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qEEDe.148$y65.2283619@news.sisna.com...
>> Kim:
>>
>> I think a woman "taking a free ride" on her husbands license
>>
>
> Oh buhruther, that is almost a scarey comment--but I won't go there
>
>>
>> are
>> probably not a significant number to really thwart the benefits
>> which
>> are possible.
>>
>
> Hmmmm, yeah, I am not sure how anything would thwart a benefit...(?)
>
>>
>> Let's face it, marriage too is becoming a thing of the
>> past, and certainly is no longer a real lifetime commitment for the

>> younger generations. Gay marriage is probably the final nail in
>> that
>> coffin.
>>
>
> Uh oh. Yeah, 'dem gays is ruining everything. It's because of them
> that
> parents don't raise their kids with enough gumption to make smart
> decisions
> on love and marriage, and having kids. It's because of them that
> skillsets
> to handle the baggage of everyday life aren't instilled by our
> society and,
> therefore, our children. It's because of them (gays--to remind
> everyone)
> that parents and educators are too busy getting their pockets lined
> and so
> can't raise kids to have more dignity and respect than to go out as
> a
> teen-ager and get pregnant. It's because of them that, well hell,
> let's
> just lay it out there for everyone to see: it's because of those
> darned
> friggin' faggots and lesbians that our whole damned world has gone
> to hell
> in a handbasket.
>
>>
>> The younger women of today are a different breed and don't want to
>> be
>> seen as "getting something for nothing." (which might imply, at
>> least
>> to some, that they are less able or intelligent)
>>
>
> OK, so wait a minute. One sentiment you express is that gays have
> ruined
> the institution of marriage. The next, you are saying that "the
> younger
> women of today" (spoken, it seems, as a true male chauvinist
> asshole, by the
> way) have, ummmm, higher standards? Is this the same women who get
> all
> those divorces, screw other womens' husbands, suck their boss'
> you-know-whats, etc., as you mentioned above? The very same women
> who,
> today, are so wrapped up in using their bodies and sex to get
> anything and
> anywhere that the workplace has become a disgusting display of
> seething sex

> fashions? Because, I want to make sure we are on the same
> page...uh, if
> that's even possible?
>
> OK, back to reality. You are one piece of work. I am pretty
> convinced that
> it's ridiculous for me to mention here that you are spewing a whole
> huge
> trashcan of idiocy over: A WOMAN TALKING ON HAM RADIO WITH HER
> HUSBAND AS
> CONTROL OPERATOR!!!! For friggin' cryin' out loud, dude, get a
> chill pill.
>
>>
>> I would venture a guess that at least half of under 35 year old
>> women
>> would never stand for a free ride on their husbands
>> license--choosing
>> to make a point they "have what it takes." (today's women are much
>> more competitive)
>>
>
> You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the under-35
> year old
> women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST,
> help them
> let their wimpy-assed husbands get into it and get a radio. THEN
> come back
> to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k?
> Where'd
> y'all drag this one up from?
>
>>
>> I feel the dropping of CW will open this hobby up to these women.
>>
>
> Love it. Hey, Jim? While you're sitting there laughing
> hysterically (:o)
> Get a load of this: "these" women.
>
>>
>> Even if they are only dating a guy which is in amateur radio, it
>> may
>> be enough to prompt them into studying and grabbing a license of
>> their
>> own--especially if the boyfriend is smart enough to set up a
>> competitive spirit and invoke the challenge. <grin>
>>
>> John
>>

>
> Oh my good Lord. If you were sitting across the table from me, I'd
> have to
> see your--uh, Dee, please close your eyes, oh wait, I can put it
> this way:
> see your "thing." How tiny is that thing? You are speaking of
> strong-willed, competive, and--I'll inject here--independent women,
> of whom
> it is obvious you have absolutely NO EXPERIENCE. Because THIS
> strong-willed, competitve, independent woman, would shrivel your
> mighty
> little thing up in half-a second and throw you back out to the curb.
> By the
> way, if the women you have been around need your permission, or your
> guidance, to get competitive and have the challenge "invoked," you
> need to
> get out of that closet you're in.
>
> Oh my gawsh...now, I am going to go back to normal and get ready for
> the
> office--where a *FEW* of us women still get around on our own. My
> little
> pal here, to whom I am responding with this post, is obviously
> surrounded by
> idiot women, the only types he can deal with.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:42df0a89$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
>> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> > news:aJ-dnTHX5PnyakPfRVn-og@comcast.com...
>> >>
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1121896314.211269.182080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Well now we will see if the Techs are paying attention. If they
>> >> are, we
>> >> should (but I bet we won't) see a major increase in people
>> >> taking
>> >> element
>> > 3
>> >> over the next several months as the FCC should have this wrapped
>> >> up

>> >> before
>> >> their CSCEs expire.
>> >>
>> >> And now we'll see how many people have been "kept out by the
>> >> Morse
>> >> code".
>> >> Of course we'll need to monitor over several years to see if
>> >> their
>> >> is a
>> >> trend. A few months won't tell us a thing.
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> > I am a TechPlus who never upgraded to General, or any other
>> > class.
>> > There
>> > are some considerations that may set me apart from the broad (no
>> > pun
>> > intended) population of the ARS:
>> >
>> > I am a female
>> > I have a husband who is a General Class (i.e., not sure how many
>> > licensees
>> > are spouses to each other)--and I could, technically, get on HF
>> > General
>> > Class freqs any time I wanted
>> > I enjoy simple ragchewing and emergency communications at a local
>> > level WHEN
>> > I am even "hooked up"
>> > I enjoy the "quiet" mode of communicating--HF makes way too much
>> > noise
>> >
>> > There's probably some other differentiating items, and there may
>> > be
>> > no
>> > correlation at all.
>> >
>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure
>> > Usenet
>> > News==----
>> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> > 120,000+ Newsgroups
>> > ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via

>> > Encryption =----
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1731. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:03:03 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113090

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Observations:
>
> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> eliminate it.
>
> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> all of them.
>
> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
> testing.
>
>
> Predictions:
>
> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.

Agreed

> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> But not long term growth.

Agreed

> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.

MOre activity, but not likely overrun.

> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> by the new folks.

No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a group. But I
don't doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.

> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> or even having no mode subbands at all.

Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element 1 debate!

One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I anticipate a bit of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try to establish superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.

Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse problem than the newbies coming into the service.

Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened to enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my face, from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk about how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than it should.

I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as possible, instead of grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed F.C.C testing agents.

That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the new people.

I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks. Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.

Just some thoughts.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1732. more stevie threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:34:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122046491.945667.33400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113091

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Thanks, Mark!
> > >
> > > Appreciate your cooperation!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > realy losing it stevie cant find anything to leave
>
> Haven't lost anything, Markie.

so you never had a mind to lose stevie
>
> And what's to "leave"?
>
> Just more of your silly "nope" to things you've already admitted to
> then try to say you DIDN'T say..?!?!

all you can do is lie and threaten you are as bad as the freuders of
the past of your region,
>
> You're an admitted liar, Morgan. You're a disgrace to your family
> name and ought to have your picture nailed to phone poles all over town
> warning people that you're a danger to society and yourself (especially
> children that you'd intentionally teach to lie...)

obsessive compulsive

>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...

more threats

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1733. Stevie revaels his stpuidity about politcis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:38:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122046714.527348.31870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113092

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > > Here you go Lennie, chew on this a while.
> > > ==>PRESIDENT BUSH SENDS GREETINGS TO FIELD DAY 2005 PARTICIPANTS
>
> Snip of message content.
>
> > > I know, we hams don't do anything worth while. Funny you seem to be the
> > > ONLY one with that opinion. Even the President of the United States
> > > disagrees with you. (what a surprise, eh folks?)
> > >
> > > And just for grins I included some stuff on foreign shores, see Lennie,
> > > even the Europeans disagree with you. Thus Lennie= Lennie the loser.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > you think the President has anything to do with sending out that
> > materail
> >
> > his people write this stuff
>
> It doesn't matter who writes what...If he put's his name on it or

Bush did not put his name on this, it was put

indeed no president in the last few years has had much to do with all
the pronouncemnts and proclmation made in his name

> says it in public, it's as if it were his own thoughts. Anything that
> leaves the White House with "President Bush Sends..." on it is FROM the
> President, whether he wrote it himslef or staffed it out.

It is from the presdinets staff

>
> > Lennie does say hams don nothing merely that they don't do as much as
> > their press releases
>
> But so far Lennie's not provided one iota of evidence, however
> remote factually, that DISPROVES anything that any press release has
> EVER said on behalf of Amatuer Radio.

Iota of evidence for what?

you Believe press releaeses?

god get some help

>
> Not ONCE in seven years.

pretty sure that is another lie

>
> Why?
>
> The ANSWER is that there has not been a single incident in all
> those years that he could disprove!
>
> As a matter of fact, there have been numerous ocassions where
> Lennie, and his surrogate Brian "Brain" Burke have made assinine
> allegations about Amateur Radio in general or the ARRL/ARES in
> particular, only to have yet another agency "go public" with accolades
> or kudos for a "job well done".
>
> Go figure.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1734. Stevie hard at work chasing off hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:42:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122046947.234448.87400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113093

K4YZ wrote:
> Kim wrote:
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:qEEDe.148$y65.2283619@news.sisna.com...
> > > Kim:
> > >
> > > I think a woman "taking a free ride" on her husbands license
>
> Big snip to....
>
> > OK, back to reality. You are one piece of work. I am pretty convinced that
> > it's ridiculous for me to mention here that you are spewing a whole huge
> > trashcan of idiocy over: A WOMAN TALKING ON HAM RADIO WITH HER HUSBAND AS
> > CONTROL OPERATOR!!!! For friggin' cryin' out loud, dude, get a chill pill.
>
> The point, I think, Kim, was that there was, once upon a time, a
> suggestion to allow the spouse to operate a "unit" of an Amateur Radio
> station just like CB or GMRS...

thepoint is that you are obsessed with the need to control other
people. the rules are not being broken, so grow up

>
> And ultimately that's what the patitioner was told...Get a GMRS
> license!
>
> > > I would venture a guess that at least half of under 35 year old women
> > > would never stand for a free ride on their husbands license--choosing
> > > to make a point they "have what it takes." (today's women are much
> > > more competitive)
> >
> > You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the under-35 year old
> > women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST, help them
> > let their wimpy-###ed husbands get into it and get a radio.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhhh...A picture of a scantilly clad Schwartzenegger
> brandishing an HT saying "Don't be a girlie girl....." maybe?

more gratuious insults

>
>
>
> THEN come back
> > to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k? Where'd

> > y'all drag this one up from?
> >
> > >
> > > I feel the dropping of CW will open this hobby up to these women.
> > >
> >
> > Love it. Hey, Jim? While you're sitting there laughing hysterically (:o)
> > Get a load of this: "these" women.
> >
> > >
> > > Even if they are only dating a guy which is in amateur radio, it may
> > > be enough to prompt them into studying and grabbing a license of their
> > > own--especially if the boyfriend is smart enough to set up a
> > > competitive spirit and invoke the challenge. <grin>
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> >
> > Oh my good Lord. If you were sitting across the table from me, I'd have to
> > see your--uh, Dee, please close your eyes, oh wait, I can put it this way:
> > see your "thing." How tiny is that thing? You are speaking of
> > strong-willed, competive, and--I'll inject here--independent women, of whom
> > it is obvious you have absolutely NO EXPERIENCE. Because THIS
> > strong-willed, competitve, independent woman, would shrivel your mighty
> > little thing up in half-a second and throw you back out to the curb. By the
> > way, if the women you have been around need your permission, or your
> > guidance, to get competitive and have the challenge "invoked," you need to
> > get out of that closet you're in.
>
> Kim...if you were in Tennessee right now, or I in Texas, I'd have
> only two words for a woman with an attitude like that...
>
> SADDLE UP! =) =) =) =)

more sexism

You realy inist on showing all your bigotries in one day don't you

>
> > Oh my gawsh...now, I am going to go back to normal and get ready for the
> > office--where a *FEW* of us women still get around on our own. My little
> > pal here, to whom I am responding with this post, is obviously surrounded by
> > idiot women, the only types he can deal with.
>
> A bit, uuh...dare I say it..."hormonal" are we, today...?!?!

more sexism

>
> =)
>
> 88

>
> Steve, K4YZ

all this one the "man" who nevr chases anybody off

1735. Stevie offer to buy bridge sight unseen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:45:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122047109.895592.241640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113094

K4YZ wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > I'm finally having a couple of brews. It feels good and I'm just having
> > some fun in the group (until Frontiernet cancels my account LOL - nope, I
> > paid 'em, they still love me).
>
> Hey...me too...Amazing how some folks provide all that
> entertainment for (almost) free, isn't it?
>
> > Money makes everything good.
>
> Better ask Toiddie. Didn't work for HIM!

you don't let anything drop

>
> > If you
> > believe that, I've got a couple of bridges to sell you (hmmm ... think I
> > should put 'em up for sale on e-bay?)
>
> Suspension or canteliever?

do pay up

Still waiting on your payment of the drug bet

>
> 73!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1736. stevie foams at mouth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:51:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122047472.872419.102660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113095

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> > > > > significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> > > > > ultimate retirement.
> > > >
> > > > IYO
> > >
> > > In fact.
> >
> > IYO
>
> In fact.

Bullshit Stevie it your opinion. If I had happened to see it might be
my opinion too but neither makes it a fact

>
> You're welcome to read the archives if you like.

mighty generous of you

>
> > > He's not even produced any achievments.
> >
> > even if true so what
>
> It proves that his claims of superiority as a "professional in
> radio" are unsubstantiated...Squat...Zilch...Nada...

well it makes them unsupproted just like all your claims that you
provide no prove of
>
> > > > > You're welcome to publish a link or provide a reference to some
> > > > > source that proves otherwise if you know of one...Otherwise you're just
> > > > > running YOUR mouth off.
> > > >
> > > > not at all with your taste for precision I am typing my fingers off not
> > > > saying a word
> > > >
> > > > you have your opinion. Indeed I don't that Len disagress with you on
> > > > "signifacant achivements, but we all judge things in our own way

> > > >
> > > > at least when bigots like you give the chance
> > >
> > > He's had eight years of chances.
> >
> > and another would impress you without being morse tested so why bother
> > to try and impress a peice of #### yourself
>
> I am trying to figure out what yuo said here, other than to again
> refer to me with a profanity, but I can't make heads or tails out of
> it.

Lie you are not trying to figure it out

>
> > > > > > > Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> > > > > > > that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh well...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > another stevie lie
> > > > > >
> > > > > Where?
> > > > >
> > > > the 73 you have no regard for him
> > >
> > > For him, I have pleant of "regard"...
> > pleant? speling cop
>
> Yep. "speling" cop yourself, Markie...

I only do it for you

>
> > > For you..?!?! Squat.
> >
> > >
> > > > > Had Lennie taken the code test when he alleges to have been up to
> > > > > "8 wpm", he would indeed have "all he needed" for an EXTRA today. That
> > > > > is 100% factual.
> > > > >
> > > > > And the "73"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > > > > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > > > > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
> > > >
> > > > nope another lie
> > >

> > > Not a lie, not another lie...
> >
> > another lie
>
> Where?

there

>
> You insist I don't have "best regards" for Dan..?!?!
>
> Prove it.

don't have to

>
> > > Fact.
> >
> > you don't know the meaning of the word fact
>
> Sure I do.

nope

>
> It's what you don't have any of.

lie

>
> Your whole life is lies, Markie, and you try, but fail, to pass
> them on here...

irelavant

>
> Sorry..

another lie

>
> We're smarter than you.

We depends on we

you are just one of those dumb hillbilly like the hatfeild , Mcoy
bakers and white's ready to kill over preceieved slights

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1737. Re: Stevie hard at work chasing off hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:53:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MD8Ee.41$LN6.378987@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113097

an_old_pervert:

Ahhh, I see you win the "Antagonistic Fool Award" again today.

There is no real competition here, you always win hands down...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122046947.234448.87400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Kim wrote:
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:qEEDe.148$y65.2283619@news.sisna.com...
>> > > Kim:
>> > >
>> > > I think a woman "taking a free ride" on her husbands license
>>
>> Big snip to....
>>
>> > OK, back to reality. You are one piece of work. I am pretty
>> > convinced that
>> > it's ridiculous for me to mention here that you are spewing a
>> > whole huge
>> > trashcan of idiocy over: A WOMAN TALKING ON HAM RADIO WITH HER
>> > HUSBAND AS
>> > CONTROL OPERATOR!!!! For friggin' cryin' out loud, dude, get a
>> > chill pill.
>>
>> The point, I think, Kim, was that there was, once upon a time,
>> a
>> suggestion to allow the spouse to operate a "unit" of an Amateur
>> Radio
>> station just like CB or GMRS...
>
>
> thepoint is that you are obsessed with the need to control other
> people. the rules are not being broken, so grow up
>
>>
>> And ultimately that's what the patitioner was told...Get a GMRS
>> license!
>>

>> > > I would venture a guess that at least half of under 35 year old
>> > > women
>> > > would never stand for a free ride on their husbands
>> > > license--choosing
>> > > to make a point they "have what it takes." (today's women are
>> > > much
>> > > more competitive)
>> >
>> > You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the
>> > under-35 year old
>> > women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST,
>> > help them
>> > let their wimpy-###ed husbands get into it and get a radio.
>>
>> Uhhhhhhhhhhhhh...A picture of a scantilly clad Schwartzenegger
>> brandishing an HT saying "Don't be a girlie girl....." maybe?
>
> more gratuious insults
>
>>
>>
>>
>> THEN come back
>> > to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k?
>> > Where'd
>> > y'all drag this one up from?
>> >
>> > >
>> > > I feel the dropping of CW will open this hobby up to these
>> > > women.
>> > >
>> >
>> > Love it. Hey, Jim? While you're sitting there laughing
>> > hysterically (:o)
>> > Get a load of this: "these" women.
>> >
>> > >
>> > > Even if they are only dating a guy which is in amateur radio,
>> > > it may
>> > > be enough to prompt them into studying and grabbing a license
>> > > of their
>> > > own--especially if the boyfriend is smart enough to set up a
>> > > competitive spirit and invoke the challenge. <grin>
>> > >
>> > > John
>> > >
>> >
>> > Oh my good Lord. If you were sitting across the table from me,
>> > I'd have to
>> > see your--uh, Dee, please close your eyes, oh wait, I can put it

>> > this way:
>> > see your "thing." How tiny is that thing? You are speaking of
>> > strong-willed, competive, and--I'll inject here--independent
>> > women, of whom
>> > it is obvious you have absolutely NO EXPERIENCE. Because THIS
>> > strong-willed, competitve, independent woman, would shrivel your
>> > mighty
>> > little thing up in half-a second and throw you back out to the
>> > curb. By the
>> > way, if the women you have been around need your permission, or
>> > your
>> > guidance, to get competitive and have the challenge "invoked,"
>> > you need to
>> > get out of that closet you're in.
>>
>> Kim...if you were in Tennessee right now, or I in Texas, I'd
>> have
>> only two words for a woman with an attitude like that...
>>
>> SADDLE UP! =) =) =) =)
>
> more sexism
>
> You realy inist on showing all your bigotries in one day don't you
>
>>
>> > Oh my gawsh...now, I am going to go back to normal and get ready
>> > for the
>> > office--where a *FEW* of us women still get around on our own.
>> > My little
>> > pal here, to whom I am responding with this post, is obviously
>> > surrounded by
>> > idiot women, the only types he can deal with.
>>
>> A bit, uuh...dare I say it..."hormonal" are we, today...?!?!
>
> more sexism
>
>>
>> =)
>>
>> 88
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> all this one the "man" who nevr chases anybody off
>

1738. Stevie showcases his stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:54:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122047664.636590.155650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113096

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Hate
> > > >
> > > > facing up to it is progress boyo
> > >
> > > Obviously you didn't reread the subject line
> >
> > no I did not reread the subject line
>
> "reread"...?!?!
>
> To re-expose to a quantity of radiation?

prehaps you typed it first first and you don't know what it means

>
> > don't know what reread is other than sounding like a WMD
> >
> > OTOH I just quoted you and your entire message
>
> And it's all that you ahve left in your life, wimpo.

you have lost it

>
> And I see in an other thread you're still refering to your
> "partner" as "she" and "her"...Does that make it easier for you to
> rationalize sleeping with men?

my current partner is female. another in future might be male. I don't
need to rationlize sleeping with men.

You are the homophobic bigot not I

you need to rationalize you constant harassment

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1739. meglomania : Stevie claims to be the world

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:58:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122047919.266408.180560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113098

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but
> > > > a lower one
> > > > you are a bigot and a bully
> > >
> > > HAte, hate and more hate.
> >
> > yes you are admitting to it
>
> Nope. Just echoing your call for help back to you, Markie.

too bad

too bad you can't face facts

>
> > now get it out a nd maybe profesional help can make you better
>
> Why do you insist that everyone else in the world needs help, yet
> you are the one who clearly needs it...?!?!

another Stevie lie

You need help for your hate

other than a few of the anonies some of whom may also be you don't
recall the last person I sugested needed help

you are not the world stevie

nor do you control it

>
> Read the above sentence and try to make sense out of it.

I have read it and sadly you are likely beyond help steve

>
> > > You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.
> >
> > not realy
>
> Absolutely really.

nope

>
> > > Imagine that.
>
> As I said....

get helped

>
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > People like you are the least common denominator. You dilute the
> > > > > > > gene pool and spoil the party.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you are a greater threat to the pool than I
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've got 3 kids in college on GPA-based scholarships.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You've got...?!?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > my life is my busness
> > >
> > > In other words, You've got squat.
> >
> > well My folks did not need a handout to send me to school or my brother
>
> So you're saying that anyone who qualifies for a scholarship,
> regardless of ability to pay, is getting a hand out?
>
> And I thought schools like yours were covered as a handicap?
>
> > > Just your hate.
> >
> > no hate HERE you are the one burning up with hate
>
> Sorry, Markie. You're wrong. Again.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1740. hate and fear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 15:59:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122047977.613435.184740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113099

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Hate
> >
> > stevie go take some meds
>
> Why?

becuase you need to
>
> Are you a licensed physician?

if I say yes well that help you to get meds

then of course I am

>
> Steve, K4YZ

get help for your obsesstion and delusions

1741. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:06:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122048419.346989.241730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113150

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Observations:
>
> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> eliminate it.

which show the strengh of the augue emnts against continued code
testing

>
> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> all of them.

wrong they considered and rejected them

The FCC is taking one of the easist ways out as should have been
expected

>
> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
> testing.

none promised you a revolution

i was arround and Know you nor anyone else was promised a revolution

>
>
> Predictions:
>
> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.

most likely right

>
> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> But not long term growth.

maybe all we can hope for form just the rules is to slow the bleeding

next stepp is up to us if we can't shakes the notion that Ham radio is
still back in what is seen as the dark ages of radio we have some real
hope

>
> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>
> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> by the new folks.

no revolution was promised

merely progress

>
> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> or even having no mode subbands at all.

the discusion hasalways included that so you are safe

Your tone seems to me to forsee fear that your your preffered mode is
going to squeezed out

>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1742. Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:10:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122048614.074891.144860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113153

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Why?
>
> becuase you need to

How do you know?

Have you performed a pateint assessment?

Are you licesed to do so?

> > Are you a licensed physician?
>
> if I say yes well that help you to get meds

Nope. It would be just one more lie we could chalk up to a known
pathological liar.
>
> then of course I am

Thanks.

Yet another MarkieLie.

> get help for your obsesstion and delusions

What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1743. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:10:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gU8Ee.45$kh.501860@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113152

an_old_pervert:

Duh!

Think about it, they were not just asking for comments on peoples
likes, dislikes and wants...

They actually wanted relevant arguments of worth, purpose and logic to
be presented--obliviously, when none could be presented which posed
sufficient reasons for keeping it--it was decided to drop it.

Imagine that, using logic and worth to decide something--now you don't
see that type of common sense these days--how dare they do something
like that!!!

ROFLOL!!!!

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122048419.346989.241730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Observations:
>>
>> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>> eliminate it.
>
> which show the strengh of the augue emnts against continued code
> testing
>
>>
>> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
>> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
>> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
>> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No"
>> to
>> all of them.
>
> wrong they considered and rejected them
>
> The FCC is taking one of the easist ways out as should have been
> expected
>

>>
>> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
>> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
>> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in
>> code
>> testing.
>
> none promised you a revolution
>
> i was arround and Know you nor anyone else was promised a revolution
>
>>
>>
>> Predictions:
>>
>> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC
>> will
>> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>
> most likely right
>
>>
>> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in
>> licenses.
>> But not long term growth.
>
> maybe all we can hope for form just the rules is to slow the
> bleeding
>
> next stepp is up to us if we can't shakes the notion that Ham radio
> is
> still back in what is seen as the dark ages of radio we have some
> real
> hope
>
>
>>
>> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>>
>> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams
>> brought
>> by the new folks.
>
> no revolution was promised
>
> merely progress
>
>>
>> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone

>> subbands
>> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>
> the discusion hasalways included that so you are safe
>
> Your tone seems to me to forsee fear that your your preffered mode
> is
> going to squeezed out
>
>
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1744. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:12:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122048772.654248.4170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113154

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Observations:
> >
> > - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> > proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> > eliminate it.
>
> Amazing, isn't it?

why that is the way the system is set up

why are you amazed it is being followed

>
> They ask for public opinion then say "We'll do what we want
> anyway..."

they have to ask for it

so they do

you can't make listen to it

gorw up and learn how the world works

>
> > - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> > test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> > Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> > classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> > all of them.
>
> They said it in 98...They want to reduce all administrative burden
> to an absolute minimum...
>
> The fewer regulations there are on the books, the less enforcement
> they have to do. I am surprised they haven't tried to "outsource"
> their enforcement duties.

I do hear rumous they have looked into that

>
> > - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> > growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> > licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> > there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code

> > testing.
> >
> >
> > Predictions:
> >
> > - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> > just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>
> I'm still holding out for 5WPM for Extra, but prudence says you're
> right.

a few sign of light stevie

>
> > - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> > But not long term growth.
>
> There never has been, there never will be. Reducing testing,
> either the number of written test or the 13/20WPM Code tests, has not
> done a thing to move the service forward.

esp with attitudes like your it will be hard

>
> > - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....For a while there will be...All those new
> "Generals" will plop down some bucks on HF stations, work some DX, then
> go back to whining about how tough it is to get to Extra.

yea right

grow up and drink your sour grapes

>
> > - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> > by the new folks.
>
> They've had their chance since 1991 to do that if they wanted.

if they wanted?

what have you done to advance the radio in your time as a ham

I have tried to add a mode or band or something each year to my
operating

I have not made it (was just too busy moving and building) but I try

you just want to sit back and let "Them" carry the freight
>
> > - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> > or even having no mode subbands at all.
>

> As I was saying in another thread...!

been around as long as I have been likely longer

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1745. Why Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:15:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122048912.736896.274360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113155

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but
> > > > > a lower one
> > > > > you are a bigot and a bully
> > > >
> > > > HAte, hate and more hate.
> > >
> > > yes you are admitting to it
> >
> > Nope. Just echoing your call for help back to you, Markie.
>
> too bad
>
> too bad you can't face facts

I do face facts.

You're a lying, sexually deviant pathological liar who won't get
his OWN help until he's forced into it by State Mental Health or Law
Enforcement Agencies.

> > > now get it out a nd maybe profesional help can make you better
> >
> > Why do you insist that everyone else in the world needs help, yet
> > you are the one who clearly needs it...?!?!
>
> another Stevie lie

Nope. It's a fact. You do it often.

> You need help for your hate

What hate?

> other than a few of the anonies some of whom may also be you don't
> recall the last person I sugested needed help

The ONLY people here who even remotely tolerate you, Markie, are
those who they themsleves are archived liars.

So where do you think that leaves you ranking?

> you are not the world stevie
>
> nor do you control it

I don't have to.

> > Read the above sentence and try to make sense out of it.
>
> I have read it and sadly you are likely beyond help steve

What help? Does "not tolerating liars" constitute a mental health
issue?

> > > > You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.
> > >
> > > not realy
> >
> > Absolutely really.
>
> nope

Absolutely really.

> > > > Imagine that.
> >
> > As I said....
>
> get helped

For what?

Steve, K4YZ

1746. Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:18:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122049091.675610.320930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113156

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > OTOH I just quoted you and your entire message
> > >
> > And it's all that you ahve left in your life, wimpo.
> >
> you have lost it

Nope. I know right where "it" is.

> > And I see in an other thread you're still refering to your
> > "partner" as "she" and "her"...Does that make it easier for you to
> > rationalize sleeping with men?
> >
> my current partner is female. another in future might be male. I don't
> need to rationlize sleeping with men.

Sure you do.

It's a sexual deviance.

You are a deviant.

Embrace it. Live it.

> You are the homophobic bigot not I

Nope. I just know that certain parts of the anatomy were designed
for certain functions. Using them otherwise constitutes a deviance of
nature.

> you need to rationalize you constant harassment

What harrassment?

Steve, K4YZ

1747. Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:23:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122049405.026912.33540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113157

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> > > > > > significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> > > > > > ultimate retirement.
> > > > >
> > > > > IYO
> > > > >
> > > > In fact.
> > > >
> > > IYO
> > >
> > In fact.
>
> Bull#### Stevie it your opinion. If I had happened to see it might be
> my opinion too but neither makes it a fact

That he published his CV here is FACT, MArkie...No matter how much
profanity you use or how YOU re-reationalize the argument.

> > You're welcome to read the archives if you like.
>
> mighty generous of you

Anything to help a less-mentally capable person along, Markie.

> > It proves that his claims of superiority as a "professional in
> > radio" are unsubstantiated...Squat...Zilch...Nada...
>
> well it makes them unsupproted just like all your claims that you
> provide no prove of

With the exception of certain parts of my military service,
there's nothing here I've stated that I've not been able to provide
corroborating information for.

> > I am trying to figure out what yuo said here, other than to again
> > refer to me with a profanity, but I can't make heads or tails out of
> > it.
>
> Lie you are not trying to figure it out

Sure I am. But you just keep making it more difficult with ever
changing spellings of even the most common words.

> > > > For him, I have pleant of "regard"...
> > > pleant? speling cop
> >
> > Yep. "speling" cop yourself, Markie...
>
> I only do it for you

Oh, don't be bashful, Markie...

There's more than that that you do for me!

> > We're smarter than you.
>
> We depends on we
>
> you are just one of those dumb hillbilly like the hatfeild , Mcoy
> bakers and white's ready to kill over preceieved slights

And you keep trying to use ethnic sleights that don't apply to me,
Markie. Why?

Steve, K4YZ

1748. Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:25:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122049544.747671.60030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113158

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > > I'm finally having a couple of brews. It feels good and I'm just having
> > > some fun in the group (until Frontiernet cancels my account LOL - nope, I
> > > paid 'em, they still love me).
> >
> > Hey...me too...Amazing how some folks provide all that
> > entertainment for (almost) free, isn't it?
> >
> > > Money makes everything good.
> >
> > Better ask Toiddie. Didn't work for HIM!
>
> you don't let anything drop

Why? It's factual.

> > > If you
> > > believe that, I've got a couple of bridges to sell you (hmmm ... think I
> > > should put 'em up for sale on e-bay?)
> >
> > Suspension or canteliever?
>
> do pay up

For what? I've made no contract with you, other than to keep
rubbing your nose in your own foulness until you get fed up and leave
again.

> Still waiting on your payment of the drug bet

You keep making reference to a "bet" that was never made.

Why do you persist in lying in public?

Steve, K4YZ

1749. Re: Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:33:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <md9Ee.49$de.459808@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113185

K4YZ:

A little joke here, just to "liven up this party..."

I'll bet that faggot is a "pain in the A$$" in more ways than one!

ROFLOL!!!!

... sorry, couldn't resist... <juvenile-smirk>

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122049544.747671.60030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Jim Hampton wrote:
>> >
>> > > I'm finally having a couple of brews. It feels good and I'm
>> > > just having
>> > > some fun in the group (until Frontiernet cancels my account
>> > > LOL - nope, I
>> > > paid 'em, they still love me).
>> >
>> > Hey...me too...Amazing how some folks provide all that
>> > entertainment for (almost) free, isn't it?
>> >
>> > > Money makes everything good.
>> >
>> > Better ask Toiddie. Didn't work for HIM!
>>
>> you don't let anything drop
>
> Why? It's factual.
>
>> > > If you
>> > > believe that, I've got a couple of bridges to sell you (hmmm
>> > > ... think I
>> > > should put 'em up for sale on e-bay?)
>> >
>> > Suspension or canteliever?
>>
>> do pay up
>

> For what? I've made no contract with you, other than to keep
> rubbing your nose in your own foulness until you get fed up and
> leave
> again.
>
>> Still waiting on your payment of the drug bet
>
> You keep making reference to a "bet" that was never made.
>
> Why do you persist in lying in public?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1750. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:34:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122050058.971655.107830@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113159

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Observations:
> > >
> > > - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> > > proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> > > eliminate it.
> >
> > Amazing, isn't it?
>
> why that is the way the system is set up
>
> why are you amazed it is being followed

It's not being followed if the public says "A" and the government
does "B".

> > They ask for public opinion then say "We'll do what we want
> > anyway..."
>
> they have to ask for it
>
> so they do
>
> you can't make listen to it

Sure you can.

> gorw up and learn how the world works

Why don't you, Deviant Boy?

> > > - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> > > test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> > > Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> > > classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> > > all of them.
> >
> > They said it in 98...They want to reduce all administrative burden
> > to an absolute minimum...
> >
> > The fewer regulations there are on the books, the less enforcement
> > they have to do. I am surprised they haven't tried to "outsource"
> > their enforcement duties.
>

> I do hear rumous they have looked into that

Uh huh.

Rumors.

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > > - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> > > growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> > > licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> > > there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
> > > testing.
> > >
> > >
> > > Predictions:
> > >
> > > - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> > > just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
> >
> > I'm still holding out for 5WPM for Extra, but prudence says you're
> > right.
>
> a few sign of light stevie
>
> >
> > > - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> > > But not long term growth.
> >
> > There never has been, there never will be. Reducing testing,
> > either the number of written test or the 13/20WPM Code tests, has not
> > done a thing to move the service forward.
>
> esp with attitudes like your it will be hard

It's not MY attitude that needs to be dealt with, Markie.

It's the attitudes of the people who keep making these assinine
assertions about how this change or that change is going to be the
salvation of the Amateur Radio service.

The FCC has said it so many times now they even believe thier own
rhetoric and repeat it in this NPRM.

> > > - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
> >
> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....For a while there will be...All those new
> > "Generals" will plop down some bucks on HF stations, work some DX, then
> > go back to whining about how tough it is to get to Extra.
>
> yea right

It happened with "Novice Enhancement"

It happened with the introduction of the NCT.

It happened with the last Restructuring round.

What makes Deviant Markie even the least bit doubtful that it
won't happen THIS time?

> grow up and drink your sour grapes

What sour grapes?

It happened. Three times.

> > > - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> > > by the new folks.
> >
> > They've had their chance since 1991 to do that if they wanted.
>
> if they wanted?

If they wanted, Markie.

W H E R E are all the "engineering types that the NCT was
supposed to bring into Amateur Radio?

> what have you done to advance the radio in your time as a ham

A lot more than you, Markie. Starting with being an Elmer and a
VE. Add to that ARES, MARS, etc etc etc

> I have tried to add a mode or band or something each year to my
> operating
>
> I have not made it (was just too busy moving and building) but I try
>
> you just want to sit back and let "Them" carry the freight

There's that "carry the freight" line again.

Still not applicable, but at least you spelled "freight" right
this time.
> >
> > > - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> > > or even having no mode subbands at all.
> >
> > As I was saying in another thread...!
>
> been around as long as I have been likely longer

No doubt.

Steve, K4YZ

1751. Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:42:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122050545.120676.152770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113160

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > Here you go Lennie, chew on this a while.
> > > > ==>PRESIDENT BUSH SENDS GREETINGS TO FIELD DAY 2005 PARTICIPANTS
> > >
> > Snip of message content.
> > >
> > > > I know, we hams don't do anything worth while. Funny you seem to be the
> > > > ONLY one with that opinion. Even the President of the United States
> > > > disagrees with you. (what a surprise, eh folks?)
> > > >
> > > > And just for grins I included some stuff on foreign shores, see Lennie,
> > > > even the Europeans disagree with you. Thus Lennie= Lennie the loser.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > you think the President has anything to do with sending out that
> > > materail
> > >
> > > his people write this stuff
> > >
> > It doesn't matter who writes what...If he put's his name on it or
> >
> Bush did not put his name on this, it was put

...what you meant to say but didn't finish was "...it was put out
in his name..."

Uh huh.

Exactly what I said, Markie.

> indeed no president in the last few years has had much to do with all
> the pronouncemnts and proclmation made in his name

It doesn't matter.

If it leaves the White House with the President's name on it, then
it's as if he sent it.

NO PRESIDENT allows ANY proclaimation or other letter to leave the
White House without his consent.

> > says it in public, it's as if it were his own thoughts. Anything that
> > leaves the White House with "President Bush Sends..." on it is FROM the
> > President, whether he wrote it himslef or staffed it out.
>
> It is from the presdinets staff

It doesn't matter who wrote it.

If it leaves the White House with the President's name on it, it's
as if HE said it himself.

You're free to ask the White House press secretary's office
yourself

> > > Lennie does say hams don nothing merely that they don't do as much as
> > > their press releases
> >
> > But so far Lennie's not provided one iota of evidence, however
> > remote factually, that DISPROVES anything that any press release has
> > EVER said on behalf of Amatuer Radio.
>
> Iota of evidence for what?

That any press releases regarding Amateur Radio are not just what
they say.

> you Believe press releaeses?

When it covers situations from which I have working knowledge and
happen to know are accurate, of course I do.

> god get some help

God needs help?

Well...When I think about it, he does have a lot of "Markie
Morgan's" int eh world to deal with, so maybe he does.....

> > Not ONCE in seven years.
>
> pretty sure that is another lie

I'm not "pretty sure" your wrong...I K N O W you're wrong.

Evidence the following:

> > Why?
> >
> > The ANSWER is that there has not been a single incident in all
> > those years that he could disprove!
> >
> > As a matter of fact, there have been numerous ocassions where
> > Lennie, and his surrogate Brian "Brain" Burke have made assinine
> > allegations about Amateur Radio in general or the ARRL/ARES in
> > particular, only to have yet another agency "go public" with accolades
> > or kudos for a "job well done".

> >
> > Go figure.

Go figure...

Steve, K4YZ

1752. stevie obsession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:44:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122050651.544417.42450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113161

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Why?
> >
> > becuase you need to
>
> How do you know?

becuase I do
>
> Have you performed a pateint assessment?

yep

>
> Are you licesed to do so?

doesn't stop you
>
> > > Are you a licensed physician?
> >
> > if I say yes well that help you to get meds
>
> Nope. It would be just one more lie we could chalk up to a known
> pathological liar.

then why did you ask

> >
> > then of course I am
>
> Thanks.
>
> Yet another MarkieLie.

nope another stevie lie

>
> > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
>
> What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
get help

1753. Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:46:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122050806.837333.246800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113162

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Thanks, Mark!
> > > >
> > > > Appreciate your cooperation!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > realy losing it stevie cant find anything to leave
> >
> > Haven't lost anything, Markie.
>
> so you never had a mind to lose stevie

I ahve more "mind" behind the left eye than you do in the entire
cranial cavity, Markie.

> > And what's to "leave"?
> >
> > Just more of your silly "nope" to things you've already admitted to
> > then try to say you DIDN'T say..?!?!
>
> all you can do is lie and threaten you are as bad as the freuders of
> the past of your region,

"...freuders..."

You trying to make some silly reference to the Hatfields and
McCoys, Markie?

You better do some history on where that all took place them look
up Winchester, TN on Mapquest.

> > You're an admitted liar, Morgan. You're a disgrace to your family
> > name and ought to have your picture nailed to phone poles all over town
> > warning people that you're a danger to society and yourself (especially
> > children that you'd intentionally teach to lie...)
>
> obsessive compulsive

Yes, yes you are, Markie! Come out of your shell! Good step Boyo!

Now DO something about your problem!

> > Hmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> > just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...
>
> more threats

Nope...just a whimful idea on how to spend a few days off.

Steve, K4YZ

1754. Stevie get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:49:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122050956.709797.217860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113163

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > you espouse hate enage in stalking and harrasment not a better life but
> > > > > > a lower one
> > > > > > you are a bigot and a bully
> > > > > >
> > > > > > HAte, hate and more hate.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes you are admitting to it
> > > >
> > > > Nope. Just echoing your call for help back to you, Markie.
> > >
> > > too bad
> > >
> > > too bad you can't face facts
> >
> > I do face facts.

not you don't

>
> You're a lying, sexually deviant pathological liar who won't get

neither lying nor sexualy devaint and certainly not pathogloical

> his OWN help until he's forced into it by State Mental Health or Law
> Enforcement Agencies.

get help stevie

>
> > > > now get it out a nd maybe profesional help can make you better
> > >
> > > Why do you insist that everyone else in the world needs help, yet
> > > you are the one who clearly needs it...?!?!
> >
> > another Stevie lie
>
> Nope. It's a fact. You do it often.

who other than yourself

>
> > You need help for your hate
>
> What hate?

the hate you spew forth over usenet

>
> > other than a few of the anonies some of whom may also be you don't
> > recall the last person I sugested needed help
>
> The ONLY people here who even remotely tolerate you, Markie, are
> those who they themsleves are archived liars.

realy Jim N2EY and kim are lairs too Bill Sohl too

everyone you don't is a liars

right stevie

>
> So where do you think that leaves you ranking?

doig ok

You you and Dave seem to have a real problem with me

>
> > you are not the world stevie
> >
> > nor do you control it
>
> I don't have to.

but you clearly want to

>
> > > Read the above sentence and try to make sense out of it.
> >
> > I have read it and sadly you are likely beyond help steve
>
> What help? Does "not tolerating liars" constitute a mental health
> issue?

no mental health issues here

you are obsessed

>
> > > > > You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.
> > > > >
> > > > > not realy
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely really.
> > >
> > > nope

>
> Absolutely really.

nope

>
> > > > > Imagine that.
> > >
> > > As I said....
> >
> > get helped
>
> For what?

obsession

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1755. Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:49:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122050977.056703.69840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113164

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Why?
> > > >
> > > > becuase you need to
> > >
> > > How do you know?
> >
> > becuase I do

Because you're a lair, perhaps?

> > Have you performed a pateint assessment?
> >
> > yep

Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!

> > Are you licesed to do so?
> >
> > doesn't stop you

I AM licensed, Markie.

> > > > Are you a licensed physician?
> > > >
> > > > if I say yes well that help you to get meds
> > >
> > > Nope. It would be just one more lie we could chalk up to a known
> > > pathological liar.
> >
> > then why did you ask

Just to get that cleared up...And you did.

> > > then of course I am
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Yet another MarkieLie.
> >
> > nope another stevie lie

You're the one uttering the lie, Markie.

> > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> >
> > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
>
> get help

For what?

And what's an "obsesstion"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1756. Stevie get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:53:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122051200.263333.245720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113165

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> > > > > > > significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> > > > > > > ultimate retirement.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > IYO
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In fact.
> > > > >
> > > > > IYO
> > > >
> > > > In fact.
> > >
> > > Bull#### Stevie it your opinion. If I had happened to see it might be
> > > my opinion too but neither makes it a fact
> >

> That he published his CV here is FACT, MArkie...No matter how much
> profanity you use or how YOU re-reationalize the argument.

I don't rationlize or rerationalize

I state the simple fact that you are judgmental and are expressing your
opinion of his CV, that you hold a given opinion does not make it fact
>
> > > You're welcome to read the archives if you like.
> >
> > mighty generous of you
>
> Anything to help a less-mentally capable person along, Markie.

right more venom and hate

>
> > > It proves that his claims of superiority as a "professional in
> > > radio" are unsubstantiated...Squat...Zilch...Nada...
> >
> > well it makes them unsupproted just like all your claims that you
> > provide no prove of

>
> With the exception of certain parts of my military service,
> there's nothing here I've stated that I've not been able to provide
> corroborating information for.

bigg whopping lie

have have never corroborated anything that I have seen

>
> > > I am trying to figure out what yuo said here, other than to again
> > > refer to me with a profanity, but I can't make heads or tails out of
> > > it.
> >
> > Lie you are not trying to figure it out
>
> Sure I am. But you just keep making it more difficult with ever
> changing spellings of even the most common words.

nope

>
> > > > > For him, I have pleant of "regard"...
> > > > pleant? speling cop
> > >
> > > Yep. "speling" cop yourself, Markie...
> >
> > I only do it for you
>
> Oh, don't be bashful, Markie...

not at all

>
> There's more than that that you do for me!

like whom?

>
> > > We're smarter than you.
> >
> > We depends on we
> >
> > you are just one of those dumb hillbilly like the hatfeild , Mcoy
> > bakers and white's ready to kill over preceieved slights
>
> And you keep trying to use ethnic sleights that don't apply to me,
> Markie. Why?

they do apply and not ethnic at all

you have engaged in a 7 year feud over what? lying and who I may sleep
with?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1757. stevie get help ....soon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 16:58:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122051493.089821.240750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113166

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > OTOH I just quoted you and your entire message
> > >
> > > And it's all that you ahve left in your life, wimpo.
> >
> > you have lost it
>
> Nope. I know right where "it" is.

then maybe you should use your mind if you know where it is

or put it back in your head

>
> > > And I see in an other thread you're still refering to your
> > > "partner" as "she" and "her"...Does that make it easier for you to
> > > rationalize sleeping with men?
> >
> > my current partner is female. another in future might be male. I don't
> > need to rationlize sleeping with men.
>
> Sure you do.

another lie
>
> It's a sexual deviance.

nope
>
> You are a deviant.

nope

>
> Embrace it. Live it.

I am quite happy as a bisexual which means since you don't seem to
understand, that I may have a partner of either genders or for that
matter one of each or various other combinations

My current partner happens to be female

>
> > You are the homophobic bigot not I

>
> Nope. I just know that certain parts of the anatomy were designed
> for certain functions. Using them otherwise constitutes a deviance of
> nature.

No You are the homophobic bigot, and you don't accept the truth abotu
anything sexual

Homosexaul activity occours throughout nature

my chickens remind me of this from time to time, the rooster will jump
each other if the hens getts anoyed enough to fight em off

>
> > you need to rationalize you constant harassment
>
> What harrassment?

yours

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1758. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:11:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122052294.551790.59320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113168

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > Observations:
> > > >
> > > > - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> > > > proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> > > > eliminate it.
> > >
> > > Amazing, isn't it?
> >
> > why that is the way the system is set up
> >
> > why are you amazed it is being followed
>
> It's not being followed if the public says "A" and the government
> does "B".

yes it is the public is allowed coment, not control

the system as I understand it at one time did not even allow coment by
the public

this is not a democratic govt but a republican one
>
> > > They ask for public opinion then say "We'll do what we want
> > > anyway..."
> >
> > they have to ask for it
> >
> > so they do
> >
> > you can't make listen to it
>
> Sure you can.

not realy you can try

>
> > gorw up and learn how the world works
>
> Why don't you, Deviant Boy?

I have. I know how it works. you don't
>

> > > > - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> > > > test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> > > > Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> > > > classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> > > > all of them.
> > >
> > > They said it in 98...They want to reduce all administrative burden
> > > to an absolute minimum...
> > >
> > > The fewer regulations there are on the books, the less enforcement
> > > they have to do. I am surprised they haven't tried to "outsource"
> > > their enforcement duties.
> >
> > I do hear rumous they have looked into that
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Rumors.

even in agreeing with you can't be polite

>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> > > > - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> > > > growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> > > > licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> > > > there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
> > > > testing.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Predictions:
> > > >
> > > > - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> > > > just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
> > >
> > > I'm still holding out for 5WPM for Extra, but prudence says you're
> > > right.
> >
> > a few sign of light stevie
> >
> > >
> > > > - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> > > > But not long term growth.
> > >
> > > There never has been, there never will be. Reducing testing,
> > > either the number of written test or the 13/20WPM Code tests, has not
> > > done a thing to move the service forward.
> >
> > esp with attitudes like your it will be hard
>

> It's not MY attitude that needs to be dealt with, Markie.

it certainly is

as you diatribe against Kim clearly shows

>
> It's the attitudes of the people who keep making these assinine
> assertions about how this change or that change is going to be the
> salvation of the Amateur Radio service.

Nobody is saying that only the oponents of change are saying someone is
saying it

It will certainly help but you may yet manage to help kill the ars
stevie

I hope you will then be happy but I doubt it

you likely will find some way in your head of blaming me personaly

>
> The FCC has said it so many times now they even believe thier own
> rhetoric and repeat it in this NPRM.

You saying the FCC was lying when it said it found no reason for code
testing besides the treaty. It found none, none have been advanced that
meet the laugh test, and now they are lowing the boom on your egomania

>
> > > > - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
> > >
> > > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....For a while there will be...All those new
> > > "Generals" will plop down some bucks on HF stations, work some DX, then
> > > go back to whining about how tough it is to get to Extra.
> >
> > yea right
>
> It happened with "Novice Enhancement"

wouldn't know, but I doubt it

>
> It happened with the introduction of the NCT.

not likely, it almost can't have

>
> It happened with the last Restructuring round.

nope I was there

>
> What makes Deviant Markie even the least bit doubtful that it
> won't happen THIS time?

looking at reality

>
> > grow up and drink your sour grapes
>
> What sour grapes?

yours
the sour grapes you ares pilling all over the group

>
> It happened. Three times.

I know better on at least one of those case

>
> > > > - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> > > > by the new folks.
> > >
> > > They've had their chance since 1991 to do that if they wanted.
> >
> > if they wanted?
>
> If they wanted, Markie.

right you are lazy and let other do your work for you

>
> W H E R E are all the "engineering types that the NCT was
> supposed to bring into Amateur Radio?

Stevie can't coment on the claims made by those before NCT but I have
seen your (lack of) track record for honesty and do not accept your
accessment

beside it is clear you did not do YOUR part in bring that about

>
> > what have you done to advance the radio in your time as a ham
>
> A lot more than you, Markie. Starting with being an Elmer and a
> VE. Add to that ARES, MARS, etc etc etc

realy lets see I am not aloowed to be a VE done ares stuff, not done
anything with Mars. I elmer (even elmer generals and extras in digital
modes)

but all that is operating. what have you done to advance radio?

>
> > I have tried to add a mode or band or something each year to my
> > operating
> >
> > I have not made it (was just too busy moving and building) but I try
> >

> > you just want to sit back and let "Them" carry the freight
>
> There's that "carry the freight" line again.

yes becuase it is true you want everyone else to work while you rest on
your laurels

>
> Still not applicable, but at least you spelled "freight" right
> this time.

yep it is

you still have not advanced any occasion when you have even tried
something new on the air

> > >
> > > > - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> > > > or even having no mode subbands at all.
> > >
> > > As I was saying in another thread...!
> >
> > been around as long as I have been likely longer
>
> No doubt.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1759. Mark Morgan Revels In Deviant Behaviour: People of UP Michigan BEWARE ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:13:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122052422.501512.182630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113167

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Nope. I just know that certain parts of the anatomy were designed
> > for certain functions. Using them otherwise constitutes a deviance of
> > nature.
>
> No You are the homophobic bigot, and you don't accept the truth abotu
> anything sexual

I didn't say it didn't happen, Markie.

But it's still deviant behaviour...because it D E V I A T E S
from the norm.

> Homosexaul activity occours throughout nature

But it's still deviant behaviour...because it D E V I A T E S
from the norm.

> my chickens remind me of this from time to time, the rooster will jump
> each other if the hens getts anoyed enough to fight em off

Oh jeesus h...

Now he likes to watch rooters fornicate.

> > > you need to rationalize you constant harassment
> >
> > What harrassment?
>
> yours

What harrassment?

Steve, K4YZ

1760. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:18:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qT9Ee.62$MS1.590977@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113184

Can you blame them for not wanting comment.

Bet that only fills their mailbox with those expecting special
treatment, action for historical reasons, and a demand for them to be
observant of the writers wants and desires!

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122052294.551790.59320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > Observations:
>> > > >
>> > > > - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the
>> > > > 18
>> > > > proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>> > > > eliminate it.
>> > >
>> > > Amazing, isn't it?
>> >
>> > why that is the way the system is set up
>> >
>> > why are you amazed it is being followed
>>
>> It's not being followed if the public says "A" and the
>> government
>> does "B".
>
> yes it is the public is allowed coment, not control
>
> the system as I understand it at one time did not even allow coment
> by
> the public
>
>
> this is not a democratic govt but a republican one
>>
>> > > They ask for public opinion then say "We'll do what we want
>> > > anyway..."
>> >

>> > they have to ask for it
>> >
>> > so they do
>> >
>> > you can't make listen to it
>>
>> Sure you can.
>
> not realy you can try
>
>>
>> > gorw up and learn how the world works
>>
>> Why don't you, Deviant Boy?
>
> I have. I know how it works. you don't
>>
>> > > > - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than
>> > > > the code
>> > > > test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except*
>> > > > dumping
>> > > > Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry
>> > > > level
>> > > > classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses?
>> > > > "No" to
>> > > > all of them.
>> > >
>> > > They said it in 98...They want to reduce all
>> > > administrative burden
>> > > to an absolute minimum...
>> > >
>> > > The fewer regulations there are on the books, the less
>> > > enforcement
>> > > they have to do. I am surprised they haven't tried to
>> > > "outsource"
>> > > their enforcement duties.
>> >
>> > I do hear rumous they have looked into that
>>
>> Uh huh.
>>
>> Rumors.
>
> even in agreeing with you can't be polite
>
>>
>> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>>
>> > > > - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some
>> > > > short-term

>> > > > growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US
>> > > > ham
>> > > > licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000.
>> > > > Nor has
>> > > > there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the
>> > > > reductions in code
>> > > > testing.
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Predictions:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the
>> > > > > end FCC will
>> > > > > just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>> > > >
>> > > > I'm still holding out for 5WPM for Extra, but prudence says
>> > > > you're
>> > > > right.
>> > >
>> > a few sign of light stevie
>> >
>> > > >
>> > > > > - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in
>> > > > > licenses.
>> > > > > But not long term growth.
>> > > >
>> > > There never has been, there never will be. Reducing
>> > > testing,
>> > > either the number of written test or the 13/20WPM Code tests,
>> > > has not
>> > > done a thing to move the service forward.
>> >
>> > esp with attitudes like your it will be hard
>>
>> It's not MY attitude that needs to be dealt with, Markie.
>
> it certainly is
>
> as you diatribe against Kim clearly shows
>
>>
>> It's the attitudes of the people who keep making these
>> assinine
>> assertions about how this change or that change is going to be the
>> salvation of the Amateur Radio service.
>
> Nobody is saying that only the oponents of change are saying someone
> is
> saying it
>

> It will certainly help but you may yet manage to help kill the ars
> stevie
>
> I hope you will then be happy but I doubt it
>
> you likely will find some way in your head of blaming me personaly
>
>>
>> The FCC has said it so many times now they even believe thier
>> own
>> rhetoric and repeat it in this NPRM.
>
> You saying the FCC was lying when it said it found no reason for
> code
> testing besides the treaty. It found none, none have been advanced
> that
> meet the laugh test, and now they are lowing the boom on your
> egomania
>
>>
>> > > > - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>> > >
>> > > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....For a while there will be...All those
>> > > new
>> > > "Generals" will plop down some bucks on HF stations, work some
>> > > DX, then
>> > > go back to whining about how tough it is to get to Extra.
>> >
>> > yea right
>>
>> It happened with "Novice Enhancement"
>
> wouldn't know, but I doubt it
>
>
>>
>> It happened with the introduction of the NCT.
>
> not likely, it almost can't have
>
>>
>> It happened with the last Restructuring round.
>
> nope I was there
>
>>
>> What makes Deviant Markie even the least bit doubtful that it
>> won't happen THIS time?
>
> looking at reality

>
>>
>> > grow up and drink your sour grapes
>>
>> What sour grapes?
>
> yours
> the sour grapes you ares pilling all over the group
>
>>
>> It happened. Three times.
>
> I know better on at least one of those case
>
>
>>
>> > > > - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams
>> > > > brought
>> > > > by the new folks.
>> > >
>> > > They've had their chance since 1991 to do that if they
>> > > wanted.
>> >
>> > if they wanted?
>>
>> If they wanted, Markie.
>
> right you are lazy and let other do your work for you
>
>>
>> W H E R E are all the "engineering types that the NCT was
>> supposed to bring into Amateur Radio?
>
> Stevie can't coment on the claims made by those before NCT but I
> have
> seen your (lack of) track record for honesty and do not accept your
> accessment
>
> beside it is clear you did not do YOUR part in bring that about
>
>>
>> > what have you done to advance the radio in your time as a ham
>>
>> A lot more than you, Markie. Starting with being an Elmer and
>> a
>> VE. Add to that ARES, MARS, etc etc etc
>
> realy lets see I am not aloowed to be a VE done ares stuff, not done
> anything with Mars. I elmer (even elmer generals and extras in
> digital

> modes)
>
> but all that is operating. what have you done to advance radio?
>
>
>>
>> > I have tried to add a mode or band or something each year to my
>> > operating
>> >
>> > I have not made it (was just too busy moving and building) but I
>> > try
>> >
>> > you just want to sit back and let "Them" carry the freight
>>
>> There's that "carry the freight" line again.
>
> yes becuase it is true you want everyone else to work while you rest
> on
> your laurels
>
>>
>> Still not applicable, but at least you spelled "freight" right
>> this time.
>
> yep it is
>
> you still have not advanced any occasion when you have even tried
> something new on the air
>
>> > >
>> > > > - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone
>> > > > subbands
>> > > > or even having no mode subbands at all.
>> > >
>> > > As I was saying in another thread...!
>> >
>> > been around as long as I have been likely longer
>>
>> No doubt.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

1761. MARK MORGAN: Why Do You Enjoy Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:19:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122052751.689461.108710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113169

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> > > > > > > > significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> > > > > > > > ultimate retirement.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > IYO
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > In fact.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > IYO
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In fact.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Bull#### Stevie it your opinion. If I had happened to see it might be
> > > > > > my opinion too but neither makes it a fact
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > That he published his CV here is FACT, Markie...No matter how much
> > > > profanity you use or how YOU re-reationalize the argument.
> > >
> > > I don't rationlize or rerationalize
> > >
> > > I state the simple fact that you are judgmental and are expressing your
> > > opinion of his CV, that you hold a given opinion does not make it fact
>
> It's not an opinion.
>
> It's fact.
>
> > > > > You're welcome to read the archives if you like.
> > > > >
> > > > > mighty generous of you
> > > >
> > > > Anything to help a less-mentally capable person along, Markie.
> > >
> > > right more venom and hate

Only if you think so, but only because you don't know the
difference.

> > > > It proves that his claims of superiority as a "professional in
> > > > radio" are unsubstantiated...Squat...Zilch...Nada...
> > > >
> > > > well it makes them unsuppproted just like all your claims that you
> > > > provide no prove of
> > >
> > > With the exception of certain parts of my military service,
> > > there's nothing here I've stated that I've not been able to provide
> > > corroborating information for.
> >
> > bigg whopping lie
> >
> > have have never corroborated anything that I have seen

Then there YOU go with a "bigg whopping lie>

> > > > I am trying to figure out what yuo said here, other than to again
> > > > refer to me with a profanity, but I can't make heads or tails out of
> > > > it.
> > > >
> > > > Lie you are not trying to figure it out
> > >
> > > Sure I am. But you just keep making it more difficult with ever
> > > changing spellings of even the most common words.
> >
> > nope

Yep.

> > > > > > For him, I have pleant of "regard"...
> > > > > > pleant? speling cop
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep. "speling" cop yourself, Markie...
> > > >
> > > > I only do it for you
> > >
> > > Oh, don't be bashful, Markie...
> >
> > not at all
> >
> > >
> > > There's more than that that you do for me!
> >
> > like whom?

Not "whom", DeviantBoy..."what"...

> > > > We're smarter than you.
> > > >
> > > > We depends on we

> > >
> > > you are just one of those dumb hillbilly like the hatfeild , Mcoy
> > > bakers and white's ready to kill over preceieved slights
> >
> > And you keep trying to use ethnic sleights that don't apply to me,
> > Markie. Why?
>
> they do apply and not ethnic at all

Nope. And yes it IS "ethnic".

> you have engaged in a 7 year feud over what? lying and who I may sleep
> with?

I've not been in a 7 year fued...And as for whom you sleep with,
if they have so little self respect as to absorb the body fluids of a
deviant and public liar, then I guess that makes them just slightly
worse than YOU, Markie!

Make's ya feel a bit better about yourself, doesn't it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1762. stevie get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:21:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122052886.447013.325590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113170

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Nope. I just know that certain parts of the anatomy were designed
> > > for certain functions. Using them otherwise constitutes a deviance of
> > > nature.
> >
> > No You are the homophobic bigot, and you don't accept the truth abotu
> > anything sexual
>
> I didn't say it didn't happen, Markie.
>
> But it's still deviant behaviour...because it D E V I A T E S
> from the norm.

nope it happens in nature all the time there it is NORMAL behavoir

>
> > Homosexaul activity occours throughout nature
>
> But it's still deviant behaviour...because it D E V I A T E S
> from the norm.

nope

>
> > my chickens remind me of this from time to time, the rooster will jump
> > each other if the hens getts anoyed enough to fight em off
>
> Oh jeesus h...
>
> Now he likes to watch rooters fornicate.

more stevie lies

sometimes you have to kick the fucking chickens out of the way

>
> > > > you need to rationalize you constant harassment
> > >
> > > What harrassment?
> >
> > yours
>
> What harrassment?

your day and day out ranting and raving is harasment stevie

grow up

get out of the sand box and try to act like an adult
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1763. stevie can't even face the fact he is judgmental

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:26:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122053178.056333.280990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113171

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Tried to learn morse for 13 WPM back
> > > > > in the early 60s, reached about 8 W>
> > > > >PM, and GAVE UP.
> > > > >
> > > > >Kiss my yes and stick it in yer I/O port.
> > > > >
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Good boy Lennie, the truth will set you free.
> > > >
> > > > Now shut the hell up about it.
> > >
> > > Just like Lennie to attain mediocrity and then quit.
> > >
> > > That's why his CV is loaded with jobs, but no real achievements.
> >
> > judgemental as always
>
> Nope.

yes it is

Your are judegemntal, not that being judgemental is always a bad thing,
but you can't even face that fact

>
> Just making an observation.

and being judgemental at the same time

>
> He has published his "CV" here several times, yet there's not one
> significant "achivement", professioanl or otherwise, other than his
> ultimate retirement.

so
that is your opinion might even be his or mine

>
> You're welcome to publish a link or provide a reference to some
> source that proves otherwise if you know of one...Otherwise you're just
> running YOUR mouth off.

not claiming anything about len's bio

I am pointing out your behavoir about lens bio

>
> > > Just think...Had he gotten an "old" Technician back in the day,
> > > that ONE test he took would have been all he needed today.
> > >
> > > Oh well...
> > >
> > > 73
> >
> > another stevie lie
>
> Where?
>
> Had Lennie taken the code test when he alleges to have been up to
> "8 wpm", he would indeed have "all he needed" for an EXTRA today. That
> is 100% factual.

which has nothing to do with what I was saying

>
> And the "73"...?!?!
>
> That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.

It is pumpous to assume that when you addrss your Subject to me by name
it is not for me

Lying sack of shit

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1764. MARK MORGAN: A Danger To Himself and the Community He Lives In

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:27:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122053231.594486.79990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113172

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I do face facts.
>
> not you don't

Sure I do.

Witness:

> > You're a lying, sexually deviant pathological liar who won't get
>
> neither lying nor sexualy devaint and certainly not pathogloical

Sure you are. By your own admission on all accounts.

> > his OWN help until he's forced into it by State Mental Health or Law
> > Enforcement Agencies.
>
> get help stevie

For what?

I'm not the pathologically lying sexual deviant here, Markie.

> > > > > now get it out a nd maybe profesional help can make you better
> > > > >
> > > > Why do you insist that everyone else in the world needs help, yet
> > > > you are the one who clearly needs it...?!?!
> > >
> > > another Stevie lie
> >
> > Nope. It's a fact. You do it often.
>
> who other than yourself

Half a dozen others...You have, on occassion, suggested that Jim,
N2EY, Dave K8MN, and others "get help".

Yet none of them are documented liars. Nor are they sexual
deviants...Or if they are, they at least have the extremely good sense
to keep it to them selves.

You, on the otherhand...

> > > You need help for your hate
> >

> > What hate?
>
> the hate you spew forth over usenet

What hate?

You keep insisting that I "hate"...Yet you've not proved it.

> > > other than a few of the anonies some of whom may also be you don't
> > > recall the last person I sugested needed help
> >
> > The ONLY people here who even remotely tolerate you, Markie, are
> > those who they themsleves are archived liars.
>
> realy Jim N2EY and kim are lairs too Bill Sohl too
>
> everyone you don't is a liars
>
> right stevie

Not a one of them has lied about so much as the time of day,
Markie.

And you lower yourself one more rung for havig tried it.

> > So where do you think that leaves you ranking?
>
> doig ok

If you think so, then you really are ill.

> You you and Dave seem to have a real problem with me

Because you're a pathological liar, Markie!

L I A R ! ! ! !

> > > you are not the world stevie
> > >
> > > nor do you control it
> >
> > I don't have to.
>
> but you clearly want to

Nope.

> > > > Read the above sentence and try to make sense out of it.
> > >
> > > I have read it and sadly you are likely beyond help steve
> >
> > What help? Does "not tolerating liars" constitute a mental health
> > issue?
>

> no mental health issues here

Therein lies your FIRST problem.

> you are obsessed

With what?

> > > > > > You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.
> > > > >
> > > > > not realy
> > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely really.
> > > >
> > > nope
> > >
> > Absolutely really.
>
> nope

Absolutely really.

> > > > > > Imagine that.
> > > > >
> > > > > As I said....
> > > >
> > > get helped
> > >
> > For what?
>
> obsession

What obsession?

Steve, K4YZ

1765. MARK MORGAN: A Danger To Himself and his Community

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:30:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122053401.362497.185250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113173

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
>
> It is pumpous to assume that when you addrss your Subject to me by name
> it is not for me

It's pompous for YOU to assume that just because your name was in
the subject line, that ALL the comments therein were for you.

A secondgrader could follow the attributes, DeviantBoy.

> Lying sack of ####

Yes, you are, Markie.

What are you going to do about it?

Steve, K4YZ

1766. Re: Why Does K4YZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:30:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122053441.616153.60920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113174

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Here you go Lennie, chew on this a while.
> > > > > ==>PRESIDENT BUSH SENDS GREETINGS TO FIELD DAY 2005 PARTICIPANTS
> > >
> > > Snip of message content.
> > >
> > > > > I know, we hams don't do anything worth while. Funny you seem to be the
> > > > > ONLY one with that opinion. Even the President of the United States
> > > > > disagrees with you. (what a surprise, eh folks?)
> > > > >
> > > > > And just for grins I included some stuff on foreign shores, see Lennie,
> > > > > even the Europeans disagree with you. Thus Lennie= Lennie the loser.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > you think the President has anything to do with sending out that
> > > materail
> > > >
> > > his people write this stuff
> > >
> > > It doesn't matter who writes what...If he put's his name on it or
> >
> > Bush did not put his name on this, it was put
>
> ...what you meant to say but didn't finish was "...it was put out
> in his name..."

no I did not mean to say it

>
> Uh huh.
>
> Exactly what I said, Markie.

nope

>
> > indeed no president in the last few years has had much to do with all
> > the pronouncemnts and proclmation made in his name
>

> It doesn't matter.

sure does

>
> If it leaves the White House with the President's name on it, then
> it's as if he sent it.

yea

>
> NO PRESIDENT allows ANY proclaimation or other letter to leave the
> White House without his consent.

wrong happens all the time, some of bigest problem come form it too

>
> > > says it in public, it's as if it were his own thoughts. Anything that
> > > leaves the White House with "President Bush Sends..." on it is FROM the
> > > President, whether he wrote it himslef or staffed it out.
> >
> > It is from the presdinets staff
>
> It doesn't matter who wrote it.

sure does

>
> If it leaves the White House with the President's name on it, it's
> as if HE said it himself.

sorta
but not realy

>
> You're free to ask the White House press secretary's office
> yourself

No in point of fact i am not no access

>
> > > > Lennie does say hams don nothing merely that they don't do as much as
> > > > their press releases
> > >
> > > But so far Lennie's not provided one iota of evidence, however
> > > remote factually, that DISPROVES anything that any press release has
> > > EVER said on behalf of Amatuer Radio.
> >
> > Iota of evidence for what?
>
> That any press releases regarding Amateur Radio are not just what
> they say.

you believe press realeases

>
> > you Believe press releaeses?
>
> When it covers situations from which I have working knowledge and
> happen to know are accurate, of course I do.

anyone tthat believes a press release needs their head examined

>
> > god get some help
>
> God needs help?

wel he could use it but you blew it agian

>
> Well...When I think about it, he does have a lot of "Markie
> Morgan's" int eh world to deal with, so maybe he does.....

>
> > > Not ONCE in seven years.
> >
> > pretty sure that is another lie
>
> I'm not "pretty sure" your wrong...I K N O W you're wrong.

about?

>
> Evidence the following:

I have read it

>
> > > Why?
> > >
> > > The ANSWER is that there has not been a single incident in all
> > > those years that he could disprove!
> > >
> > > As a matter of fact, there have been numerous ocassions where
> > > Lennie, and his surrogate Brian "Brain" Burke have made assinine
> > > allegations about Amateur Radio in general or the ARRL/ARES in
> > > particular, only to have yet another agency "go public" with accolades
> > > or kudos for a "job well done".
> > >
> > > Go figure.
>
> Go figure...

You assme the Govt tells the turth the whole turth and nothing but the
truth? then you are a phyc case plain and simple

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1767. Markie Fancies Himself A Linguist!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:32:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122053534.720506.185300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113175

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > >
> > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > punk like you redefine that.
> >
> you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> langauage. the users of it do

But an adjective is still and adjective.

> The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> goin on

And they still won't let a foul mouthed punk like you redefine the
parameters of the language.

Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

1768. Re: WHy Does K4YZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:33:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122053606.380854.210090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113176

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Why?
> > > >
> > > > becuase you need to
> > >
> > > How do you know?
> >
> > becuase I do
>
> Because you're a lair, perhaps?

nope
because you are sick

>
> > > Have you performed a pateint assessment?
> >
> > yep
>
> Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!

nope you are sick

>
> > > Are you licesed to do so?
> >
> > doesn't stop you
>
> I AM licensed, Markie.

no you are not licensed no more than I for making that kind of
assesment

>
> > > > > Are you a licensed physician?
> > > >
> > > > if I say yes well that help you to get meds
> > >
> > > Nope. It would be just one more lie we could chalk up to a known
> > > pathological liar.
> >

> > then why did you ask
>
> Just to get that cleared up...And you did.

more stevie shit then

>
> > > > then of course I am
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Yet another MarkieLie.
> >
> > nope another stevie lie
>
> You're the one uttering the lie, Markie.

I said IF would get you to seek then Then I would claim the title

since it will not I have done no such things
If lying to yo will get you to seek help then It is my public duty to
lie to you

>
> > > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> > >
> > > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
> >
> > get help
>
> For what?

delusions and obsessions

>
> And what's an "obsesstion"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1769. Why Does KB9RQZ Persist On Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:35:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122053705.163445.152020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113177

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > As a matter of fact, there have been numerous ocassions where
> > > > Lennie, and his surrogate Brian "Brain" Burke have made assinine
> > > > allegations about Amateur Radio in general or the ARRL/ARES in
> > > > particular, only to have yet another agency "go public" with accolades
> > > > or kudos for a "job well done".
> > > >
> > > > Go figure.
> > >
> > Go figure...
>
> You assme the Govt tells the turth the whole turth and nothing but the
> truth? then you are a phyc case plain and simple

A "phyc case"...What the heck is that...?!?!

And W H O said anything about teh government, DeviantBoy...?!?!

There are more entities other than the government who have anything
to say about Amateur Radio!

Steve, K4YZ

1770. stevie get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:36:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122053764.074618.207210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113178

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
> >
> > It is pumpous to assume that when you addrss your Subject to me by name
> > it is not for me
>
> It's pompous for YOU to assume that just because your name was in
> the subject line, that ALL the comments therein were for you.

not at all

>
> A secondgrader could follow the attributes, DeviantBoy.

more shit

>
> > Lying sack of ####
>
> Yes, you are, Markie.

not at all

>
> What are you going to do about it?

forunately for me little I can do about it

You are a lying sack of shit

you are sick and in desperate need of help

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1771. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:37:02 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dbraru$15uc$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113179

K4YZ wrote:

>
> Jayson Davis wrote:
>
>
>> > As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in
>>"advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement. Spreading
>>the word and helping others to study and pass the written exam will be
>>key in getting the numbers we need at that time.
>
>
> I don't even see that as being a "happening thing", Jayson.
> Amateur Radio, just like fishing, or NASCAR, or R/C models, etc etc has
> always attracted a certain "crowd".

And a strange line of thought Jayson uses. Kind of like we're supposed
to say:

"Ham Radio - we don't suck now!"

>
>>It's not an issue of numbers, it's an issue of why would anyone want to
>>become an amateur radio operator. Really now, why would you want to do
>>that? To talk on repeaters? To work some guy on 20 meters? The whole
>>hobby is passe.

To Jayson:

Then why the heck do you want us to advertise that the Morse test is gone.

I wonder why so many people who hate Ham radio seem to know exactly how
Ham radio is supposed to be?

> So's dropping a line in the water and trying to hook a fish, but
> millions of folks do it every year...Not because it's the only way to
> feed their families, but just for fun.
>
> Anyone can get into chat rooms or exchange e-mail with folks
> almost anywhere in the world...but there will still be the thrill of
> doing it with "wireless".
>
>
>>If you want to attract the bright intelligent minds, you better be
>>prepared to challenge them. Challenge them to let them in, challenge
>>them when they get here. Do you think ax.25 is going to attract people?

To Jayson again: (Sorry Steve, I didn't see the post until now)

Question number 1 - Why do so many people seem to think that the
internet is some kind of Hi-Tech wonderland - and that Amateur Radio
darn well better emulate it?

Side note..... If you think that the Internet is at the cutting
edge.... well, we know that you aren't.

And yes, mentioning AX. 25 is telling me that. Packet radio is FAIAP
not at all about transmission of large amounts of data. It has morphed
into APRS, GPS apps, C and C and other areas which do indeed attract
bright people who want to experiment.

BTW, isn't there a slight contradiction between wanting to attract
large number of people, and wanting to attract the bright and intelligent?

I suspect it might sound snobbish, but it *is* true that 50 percent of
people are below average. Gospel truth!

>
>
> Wheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeelllp....Markie wil chime in here and say I
> am "attacking" Lennie, but there's been a no code license for just that
> very kind of experimentation for 14 years now, and Lennie and hi ilk
> have been more than welcome to jump right in and develop all the new
> data protocols they'd like to do.
>
> Yet all they whine about is the code test and that 3% of the
> allocations that do require a code test.
>
> Why?

Those type hate Hams. Maybe that isn't gospel truth, but its close enough.

> Because all THEY are interested in is playing on HF...If all those
> engineering types had any interest in obtaining an Amateur Radio
> license for "experimenting" purposes, it would be a done deal.

Yup.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1772. K4YZ: A Danger To Himself and the Community He Lives In

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:42:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122054136.014426.241830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113180

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I do face facts.
> >
> > not you don't
>
> Sure I do.

nope
>
> Witness:
>
> > > You're a lying, sexually deviant pathological liar who won't get
> >
> > neither lying nor sexualy devaint and certainly not pathogloical
>
> Sure you are. By your own admission on all accounts.

not all

only by your efforts to make shit up
>
> > > his OWN help until he's forced into it by State Mental Health or Law
> > > Enforcement Agencies.
> >
> > get help stevie
>
> For what?

you mental problems of course

>
> I'm not the pathologically lying sexual deviant here, Markie.

you are the Homophobic meglomanic control freak

and their is no pathologically lying sexual deviant here

>
> > > > > > now get it out a nd maybe profesional help can make you better
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why do you insist that everyone else in the world needs help, yet
> > > > > > you are the one who clearly needs it...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > another Stevie lie

> > >
> > > Nope. It's a fact. You do it often.

when besides you

> >
> > who other than yourself
>
> Half a dozen others...You have, on occassion, suggested that Jim,
> N2EY, Dave K8MN, and others "get help".

occasion perhaps

>
> Yet none of them are documented liars. Nor are they sexual
> deviants...Or if they are, they at least have the extremely good sense
> to keep it to them selves.

but you are a documented liar stevie

>
> You, on the otherhand...

yes
more shit

>
> > > > You need help for your hate
> > >
> > > What hate?
> >
> > the hate you spew forth over usenet
>
> What hate?

your hate

>
> You keep insisting that I "hate"...Yet you've not proved it.

You prove it for me

>
> > > > > other than a few of the anonies some of whom may also be you don't
> > > > > recall the last person I sugested needed help
> > > >
> > > > The ONLY people here who even remotely tolerate you, Markie, are
> > > > those who they themsleves are archived liars.
> > >
> > > realy Jim N2EY and kim are lairs too Bill Sohl too
> > >
> > > everyone you don't is a liars
> > >
> > > right stevie

>
> Not a one of them has lied about so much as the time of day,
> Markie.

and thus you were lying when you typed "The ONLY people here who even
remotely tolerate you, Markie, are those who they themsleves are
archived liars."
>
> And you lower yourself one more rung for havig tried it.

I have not you did

>
> > > So where do you think that leaves you ranking?
> >
> > doig ok
>
> If you think so, then you really are ill.

everone that disgress with you is ill right stevie

>
> > You you and Dave seem to have a real problem with me
>
> Because you're a pathological liar, Markie!

nope you keep including the lie "pathological"

>
> L I A R ! ! ! !

sure thing

>
> > > > you are not the world stevie
> > > >
> > > > nor do you control it
> > >
> > > I don't have to.
> >
> > but you clearly want to
>
> Nope.

yes you do clearly

>
> > > > > Read the above sentence and try to make sense out of it.
> > > >
> > > > I have read it and sadly you are likely beyond help steve
> > >
> > > What help? Does "not tolerating liars" constitute a mental health
> > > issue?
> >

> > no mental health issues here
>
> Therein lies your FIRST problem.

no

>
> > you are obsessed
>
> With what?

with me, with what I may do with my genitals
amoug other things

>
> > > > > > > You want to act like a punk but don't want to be treated like one.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not realy
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Absolutely really.
> > > > >
> > > > nope
> > >
> > > Absolutely really.
> >
> > nope
>
> Absolutely really.
>
> > > > > > > Imagine that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As I said....
> > > > >
> > > > get helped
> > >
> > > For what?
> >
> > obsession
>
> What obsession?

your obsession with other folks exuality

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1773. Re: Why Does K4YZ Persist On Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:46:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122054406.106297.144720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113181

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > As a matter of fact, there have been numerous ocassions where
> > > > > > Lennie, and his surrogate Brian "Brain" Burke have made assinine
> > > > > > allegations about Amateur Radio in general or the ARRL/ARES in
> > > > > > particular, only to have yet another agency "go public" with accolades
> > > > > > or kudos for a "job well done".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Go figure.
> > > >
> > > Go figure...
> >
> > You assme the Govt tells the turth the whole turth and nothing but the
> > truth? then you are a phyc case plain and simple
>
> A "phyc case"...What the heck is that...?!?!
>
> And W H O said anything about teh government, DeviantBoy...?!?!

you did the president is part of the Govt

>
> There are more entities other than the government who have anything
> to say about Amateur Radio!

and they work in much the same way
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1774. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 17:49:49 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dbrbjuuqs1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113182

Kim wrote:

> You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the under-35 year old
> women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST, help them
> let their wimpy-assed husbands get into it and get a radio. THEN come back
> to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k? Where'd
> y'all drag this one up from?

Don't hold back Kim! Let the troll know exactly how you feel!

Well put BTW.. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1775. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 18:05:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122055512.179969.148400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113183

From: Michael Coslo on Jul 22, 11:03 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Observations:
>
>> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>> eliminate it.

That "observation" is ERRONEOUS unless the statistics work
can be presented to "prove" that the "majority 'supported'
code testing."

>> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
>> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
>> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
>> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
>> all of them.
>
>> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
>> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
>> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
>> testing.

Again, an ERRONEOUS observation based on rather public data
websites showing that the peak of licensee numbers happened
in July of 2003...and the drop to present-day numbers was only
TWO-THIRDS of that "10,000."

>> Predictions:
>
>> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
>> by the new folks.
>
> No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a group. But I
>don't doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.

What are you saying in that last sentence?

Since when has "a group" brought about sudden changes (a
"revolution")?

Nearly ALL technical innovation in amateur radio has been
brought on by INDIVIDUALS or individual corporations.

>> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
>> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>
> Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element 1 debate!

TS

> One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I anticipate a
>bit of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try to establish
>superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.

Great leaping whatzits! These Mighty Macho Morsemen have been
making "superiority" noises over others for DECADES!

The "class warfare" stuff was what created the old Byzantine
CLASS SYSTEM in U.S. ham radio!!!

> Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse problem
>than the newbies coming into the service.

What you mean NOW, kimosabe?

Try 40 to 20 years before now. Seen it. Part of the reason I
never bothered with an amateur radio license was the attitudes
of the vocal yokels and their "authorized by the federal
government" importance and "superiority."

> Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened to
>enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my face,
>from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk about
>how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than it
>should.

Total agreement with you on that!

> I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as possible, instead
>of grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed F.C.C testing agents.

:-)

> That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the new people.
>
> I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
>that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new
>folks. Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good
>thing.

Will you nominate K4YZ to lead that group? :-) :-) :-)

> Just some thoughts.....

...and mine, SUPPORTING the elimination of the morse code test.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1776. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 18:07:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122055643.023137.262220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113186

From: "Jim Hampton" on Fri 22 Jul 2005 04:00

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1122002501.480354.295390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 9:21 pm
>> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> >> From: Jim Hampton on Jul 21, 3:44 pm
>> >>> >"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>Hello, Len

[on memory in digital stuff]

>They don't call it "random" for nothing :)))

RANDOM access memory is a term that came from the prehistory
days of computing such as the magnetic drum memory, a sort of
electromechanical version of a shift register. The F-106
interceptor fire control system [by Hughes] had a vacuum tube
digital computer with a magnetic drum memory, circa 1957. I
was in on environmental testing of that fire control system
at Hughes, primarily the radar and missle launch control parts.

The first mass memory things were magnetic tape transports,
then "hard" magneitc disks in addition to somewhat large
solid-state shift registers. All of those had to go through
an ordered sequence of data storage to reach the desired data.
RANDOM access memory took a while to develop manufacturing
processes for large memory storage on a chip. RAM is like the
old "crossbar" telephone switching that enables control of the
exact "position" of data storage at will. No sequencing
through other data to get to what you want.

>Put a micro$oft operating system with it and you have a perfect random
>machine.

The only thing "wrong" with Microsoft is that Bill Gates and
Paul Allen got into the monopoly business FIRST. :-)

Everyone else bitches and moans about MS because they didn't
get all the megabucks. <shrug>

I'm just getting started on actual Windows programming and have
come to appreciate the enormous flexibility/programmability of
the Windows32 system. There's a lot more to it than just some
flashy GUI and it has enormous potential beyond the booring
PR squibs in the newsstand magazines on "computing."

>What ever happened to bubble memory? With my beer intake, I have a
>reasonable fascimile of bubble memory.

Magnetic bubble memory, splashy though it was in countless little
PR squibs, just never got into reliable nanosecond read/write
times nor did the manufacturing process result in high yields
of really MASS memory.

Bubble memory went flat when the FLASH and extraordinary-
low keep-alive power CMOS memory technologies were developed.
Had it been brewed in Milwaukee it might have had a chance.

FLASH memory technology packages have been built by the MILLIONS
and now used all over the world in everything from TV sets to
lawn sprinkler controllers to modern ham HF-VHF-UHF transceivers.
CMOS technology went a couple of plateau magnitudes farther and
resulted in nanosecond-speed digital gates with zilch standby
power requirements as well as huge, huge capacity RAM. The
little watch-fob size "USB disk" portable memory things use up
to half a Gigabyte of CMOS RAM and plug into a USB port for
mass transfer of data. The same things are used in digital
cameras...motion picture cameras that eliminate the need for a
magnetic tape cartridge storage (you can get those for under
$300 at Good Guys or Best Buy)!

Brian Woods' DZ Sienna (and its no-longer-on-sale PSKUBE) use
a single-board PC made by another company. Easily enough RAM
and ROM on that small plug-in PC-on-a-single-board to hold a
half-Gigabyte RAM plus peripheral interface circuitry to do
the Sienna controlling, internal DSP, whatevers, plus whatever
a clever user can adapt (programs written and developed on any
standard PC). Those single-board-PC plug-ins are used in the
electronics industry in all kinds of things, built by at least
a couple dozen USA companies...plus more available from off-
shore designer-makers. There's even more microcontroller and
microprocessor hardware-software on the market from USA
companies, UK companies, Asian companies for everything from
robotics hobby thingies to appliance and precision instrument
control...including at least three monthly periodicals covering
just the subject of hobby robotics. Hobby robotics is an
activity area which has had extraordinary growth and mainly
involves "simple" electronics of the digital kind...but is
adapted with all sorts of home-grown programming of the
PIC and Atmel microcontrollers.

Microchip Corporation here in the southwest has shown a
phenomenal growth pattern over the last decade plus. They
make the PIC series of microcontrollers...plus both CMOS
and FLASH memory plus (now) many kinds of interface devices
for use with their PICs. Economical prices without
scrimping on function or processing speed. [see Allied,
DigiKey, Newark, Mouser catalogs for listings]

Withoutadoubt the BIGGEST use of on-off keyed CW "rigs" is the keyless auto lock (key fob transmitter) for autos. Made by the millions, in use today in the millions, damn good security, "sends" and "receives" at rates far above the ability of the best-trained, most-experienced USN radio operator! :-) Far more keyless auto locks now than the sum total of all "CW" radios put together in the history of radio. [no, one can't "work DX" on those "CW" "rigs" but it enables one to get in a vehicle to GO where lots of that DX is located...] [similarly, you can't get the security with a "CW" ham rig to open a car or start the ignition or even open a garage door...it ain't fast enough and the "CW op" can't do the math to set or decode that kind of code] Little battery inside is said to be good for five years of "normal use" in the Chebby Malibu MAXX my wife and I got three weeks ago. Love it! Love that "control panel" on it and all its features, all possible with microcontrollers and digital devices and sensors all over the car. Drives well, too. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1777. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 18:20:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JNaEe.66$4U3.635030@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113187

Troll?

As if you can utter that word and people stay blind to the fact you
are one?

Get real. Open your eyes, you may have convinced yourself that
everyone else is stupid except you--but buddy, you only have yourself
believing it...

ROFLOL!

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dbrbjuuqs1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Kim wrote:
>
>
>> You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the under-35
>> year old
>> women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST,
>> help them
>> let their wimpy-assed husbands get into it and get a radio. THEN
>> come back
>> to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k?
>> Where'd
>> y'all drag this one up from?
>
>
> Don't hold back Kim! Let the troll know exactly how you feel!
>
> Well put BTW.. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

1778. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 19:06:26 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dbrg3isdi1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113188

K4YZ wrote:

> Because all THEY are interested in is playing on HF...If all those
> engineering types had any interest in obtaining an Amateur Radio
> license for "experimenting" purposes, it would be a done deal.

Rather a sad sign of our times, Steve. We live in an era in which "things" are so simple. NeoCons can attribute ALL problems to the mythical "liberal", who despite being almost nonexistant today, is still somehow responsible for all the ills of society.

In the same way, we have a lot of people who are willing to attest every problem, real or imagined, to that dreaded Element 1 test. It is responsible for the "declining number of Hams", etc etc, etc.

They will get the chance to put their theory to the test. They will also find out they are wrong.

By the way, has no one else noticed that the drop off in numbers is primarily Technicians who were not code tested?

Kinda puts the lie to that theory right away doesn't it?

There was not that much effort, and those who were not all that interested got their license. Ten years later, they allowed the license to lapse.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1779. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 19:09:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122059385.870459.21650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113189

From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Jayson Davis wrote:
>>
>>> As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in
>>>"advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement. Spreading
>>>the word and helping others to study and pass the written exam will be
>>>key in getting the numbers we need at that time.
>>
>> I don't even see that as being a "happening thing", Jayson.
>> Amateur Radio, just like fishing, or NASCAR, or R/C models, etc etc has
>> always attracted a certain "crowd".
>
> And a strange line of thought Jayson uses. Kind of like we're supposed
>to say:
>
> "Ham Radio - we don't suck now!"

That would be a REALISTIC beginning... :-)

Oh, Michael, we've all seen the "crowd" represented by K4YZ. :-)

So, other than the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society)
thinking their sky has fallen, what would YOU really
advertise U.S. amateur radio in its "new beginning" after
WT Docket 05-235 turns into an R&O?

>>>It's not an issue of numbers, it's an issue of why would anyone want to
>>>become an amateur radio operator. Really now, why would you want to do
>>>that? To talk on repeaters? To work some guy on 20 meters? The whole
>>>hobby is passe.
>
> To Jayson:
>
> Then why the heck do you want us to advertise that the Morse test is gone.

Not the YOU "us," Michael. The ARRL badly needs new membership.
They've never had as many as a quarter of all U.S. amateur
radio licensees as members and are currently down around just
20% of licensees. ARRL is more business than organization and
the business side of the house has to show a profit.

> I wonder why so many people who hate Ham radio seem to know exactly how
>Ham radio is supposed to be?

Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.

You are sounding EXACTLY like the "superior OTs" you decry in
another message...like everyone MUST accept what YOU accept
as a definition. Tsk.

>> So's dropping a line in the water and trying to hook a fish, but
>> millions of folks do it every year...Not because it's the only way to
>> feed their families, but just for fun.
>>
>> Anyone can get into chat rooms or exchange e-mail with folks
>> almost anywhere in the world...but there will still be the thrill of
>> doing it with "wireless".

"Thrill?!?" Has Stebie gotten an Orion and having oriongasms?

"Wireless" today refers to LANs coupled by radio, not wires.
See the acronym WLAN and what the "W" stands for.

Almost exactly 50 years ago I picked up a handset in Tokyo
and spoke to my counterpart in San Francisco, all a part of
the Army network. First time I'd "done DX" on HF. WAs
there supposed to be a "thrill" associated with using that
24/7 communications circuit? :-) Perhaps novel is the word,
but I already knew the circuit was there 24/7 as were all the
other radio circuits.

I've used radio to communicate from land, sea, and air. Not
a magic thing. If there be "magic" then it was listening to
Edward R. Murrow reporting live from London in 1940 in the
midst of the London Bombing. "Magic" is a subjective thing.

Radio waves and electrons do NOT, nor ever did operate
according to human emotional dictates. Try to remember that.

>>>If you want to attract the bright intelligent minds, you better be
>>>prepared to challenge them. Challenge them to let them in, challenge
>>>them when they get here. Do you think ax.25 is going to attract people?
>
> To Jayson again: (Sorry Steve, I didn't see the post until now)
>
> Question number 1 - Why do so many people seem to think that the
>internet is some kind of Hi-Tech wonderland - and that Amateur Radio
>darn well better emulate it?

Alternate Question number 1: Why are you getting defensive?

> Side note..... If you think that the Internet is at the cutting
>edge.... well, we know that you aren't.
>
> And yes, mentioning AX. 25 is telling me that. Packet radio is FAIAP
>not at all about transmission of large amounts of data. It has morphed
>into APRS, GPS apps, C and C and other areas which do indeed attract

>bright people who want to experiment.

Aren't you conflicting with yourself on that?

"Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
that skill goes back 161 years! :-)

> BTW, isn't there a slight contradiction between wanting to attract
>large number of people, and wanting to attract the bright and intelligent?

How does a requirement of knowing 161-year-old morsemanship
skill attract the "bright and intelligent?" :-)

What the six-tiered-class system of old U.S. amateur radio
licensing did was attract some people who NEEDED to climb
ladders of accomplishment through the classes to get to the
top...and then proclaim their "superiority in radio!" :-)

>> Yet all they whine about is the code test and that 3% of the
>> allocations that do require a code test.
>>
>> Why?
>
> Those type hate Hams. Maybe that isn't gospel truth, but its close enough.

Poor babies...feeling "hated" are you both?

Feeling "hated" because so few agree with your self-proclaimed
"definitions" of What It Is All About?

Yes, it's all a big conspiracy. All those who disagree with you
two HATE YOU! Not only that, THEY HATE HAM RADIO...because you
two define yourself as "ham radio!" [you two have the conspiracy
thing all in a row, lined up...in your minds]

>> Because all THEY are interested in is playing on HF...If all those
>> engineering types had any interest in obtaining an Amateur Radio
>> license for "experimenting" purposes, it would be a done deal.
>
> Yup.

Tsk. How little you two know of REAL engineering and
"experimentation." :-)

You two sound like an anvil chorus playing a Newington stage.
SRO you ain't.

Have fun in the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society). [why
are you here instead of playing with your HF radios?]

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1780. So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 19:13:33 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EzbEe.18025$xn.314@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113190

Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?

1781. Knuckle Draggin CBplussers need to start a New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 19:17:35 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1CbEe.18039$xn.2465@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113191

Movement now? How about No Testing International, lets face it, who needs a License anyway

1782. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 19:29:36 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <53i2e1dhhdp134daklt495o469n1q19hsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 113192

On 22 Jul 2005 06:34:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> Uhhhhhhhhhhhhh...A picture of a scantilly clad Schwartzenegger
>brandishing an HT saying "Don't be a girlie girl....." maybe?

A smoking Desert Eagle in .50AE, an HT with a large hole in one side
and out the other, and Arnold uttering his excellent line (spoken to a
recently deceased Sharon Stone) from the movie Total Recall: "Consider
that a divorce!"

73 de John, KC2HMZ

1783. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 19:43:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122061400.651082.296870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113193

From: Michael Coslo on Jul 22, 3:06 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Because all THEY are interested in is playing on HF...If all those
>> engineering types had any interest in obtaining an Amateur Radio
>> license for "experimenting" purposes, it would be a done deal.
>
> Rather a sad sign of our times, Steve. We live in an era in which
>"things" are so simple. NeoCons can attribute ALL problems to the
>mythical "liberal", who despite being almost nonexistant today, is still
>somehow responsible for all the ills of society.

Poor babies. Suffering the cynicsm and angst of the middle-aged
are you? :-)

> In the same way, we have a lot of people who are willing to attest
>every problem, real or imagined, to that dreaded Element 1 test. It is
>responsible for the "declining number of Hams", etc etc, etc.

You two aren't cut out to be forest rangers...you two are unable
to see the forest for the trees... :-)

Had you bothered to look (outside of your respective insides),
you would have seen an INCREASE in amateur radio licenses in
the USA between the mid-2000 restructuring change and mid-2003.
A three-year period of growth in numbers.

Unfortunately, since then there has been a DECREASE in numbers
over the last two years. Steady - but small - decrease. That
is borne out by the delta between NEW licensees and EXPIRED
licenses. About 3,623 in one year's time from the 21 Jul 05
numbers on www.hamdata.com.

> They will get the chance to put their theory to the test. They will
>also find out they are wrong.

Love those ABSOLUTE predictions! So...well..."predictable!" :-)

> By the way, has no one else noticed that the drop off in numbers is
>primarily Technicians who were not code tested?

Really? Who wrote that...besides an obvious PCTA, that is...?

Joe Speroni said that about 5 years ago. He tried to "prove"
it with some number juggling but only made headway with his
fellow PCTAs.

> Kinda puts the lie to that theory right away doesn't it?

Kinda puts your statement into a POS without some proof...:-)

> There was not that much effort, and those who were not all that
>interested got their license. Ten years later, they allowed the license
>to lapse.

Sunnuvagun! All those no-code-test Techs being "quitters?"

NOBODY else left the U.S. ARS? :-)

Novice class has been dropping steadily for over five years.
They were NEVER "no-code-test" and once heralded as the
"entry point" into amateur radio, years ago. "Bunch of
quitters," right? :-)

Sweetums, go look at the present-day numbers. Technician
class now (as of 21 Jul 05) has 40.81% of all individual
licensees. That's over twice as many General class
licensees (only 20.33%). Together with Technician Plus,
they make up 48.46% of all individual licensees.

The no-code-test Technician class did not exist in 1990.
Since the inception of that class in 1991, those licensees
have ADDED about 200 THOUSAND hams to the U.S. numbers.
Without them the U.S. amateur radio "community" would have
DECREASED markedly over the last 14 years...like DROPPING
a whopping 27% compared to today's total individual licenses.

But, you don't want to see reality, do you? The fantasies
are much more appealing and "it's always someone else's
fault," isn't it?

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1784. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 19:47:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <G3cEe.76$GL5.1025096@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113197

John K.

I'd prefer Dee stating, "Don't be no girly-man, Now!!!

Then demanding, "Pick up that damn brass key and tap me out an
apology, NOW!" (leather and whips are optional, of course)
<grin>

John

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:53i2e1dhhdp134daklt495o469n1q19hsu@4ax.com...
> On 22 Jul 2005 06:34:18 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Uhhhhhhhhhhhhh...A picture of a scantilly clad Schwartzenegger
>>brandishing an HT saying "Don't be a girlie girl....." maybe?
>
> A smoking Desert Eagle in .50AE, an HT with a large hole in one side
> and out the other, and Arnold uttering his excellent line (spoken to
> a
> recently deceased Sharon Stone) from the movie Total Recall:
> "Consider
> that a divorce!"
>
> 73 de John, KC2HMZ
>

1785. Re: So the Winners got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:01:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122062466.402901.74130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113194

Bruce wrote:
> Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?

I was sure the losers would not

The winners got there way and the winners were Nocoders

BTW wining and losing define winers and losers

1786. Re: Wny Does K4YZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:05:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122062752.527240.177080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113195

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Thanks, Mark!
> > > > >
> > > > > Appreciate your cooperation!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > realy losing it stevie cant find anything to leave
> > >
> > > Haven't lost anything, Markie.
> >
> > so you never had a mind to lose stevie
>
> I ahve more "mind" behind the left eye than you do in the entire
> cranial cavity, Markie.

then use it

>
> > > And what's to "leave"?

well if you are repling to something you need something to reply too

> > >
> > > Just more of your silly "nope" to things you've already admitted to
> > > then try to say you DIDN'T say..?!?!
> >
> > all you can do is lie and threaten you are as bad as the freuders of
> > the past of your region,
>
> "...freuders..."
>
>
> You trying to make some silly reference to the Hatfields and
> McCoys, Markie?

not at all silly

you sure sound like the type

>
> You better do some history on where that all took place them look
```

> up Winchester, TN on Mapquest.

TN KY and then the folks spread out over the state so they would not
kill each othe roff sounds about right to me

Indeed watched something in which bad feelings presist in the Bakers
about the actions of the Whites a hunderd years ago

>
> > > You're an admitted liar, Morgan. You're a disgrace to your family
> > > name and ought to have your picture nailed to phone poles all over town
> > > warning people that you're a danger to society and yourself (especially
> > > children that you'd intentionally teach to lie...)
> >
> > obsessive compulsive
>
> Yes, yes you are, Markie! Come out of your shell! Good step Boyo!

you are the obsessive compulsive
>
>
> Now DO something about your problem!

my problem is frankly you

lets see I can ask you to grow up

>
> > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> > > just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...
> >
> > more threats
>
> Nope...just a whimful idea on how to spend a few days off.

more threats
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1787. stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:09:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122062973.576988.112110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113196

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > >
> > > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > > punk like you redefine that.
> >
> > you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> > langauage. the users of it do
>
> But an adjective is still and adjective.

so?
>
> > The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> > goin on
>
> And they still won't let a foul mouthed punk like you redefine the
> parameters of the language.

yes we all do even a stupid piece of shit like yourself gets to
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

1788. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:15:44 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <QtcEe.15460$aY6.9533@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113198

> friggin' faggots and lesbians that our whole damned world has gone to hell
> in a handbasket.
>

Yeah, the world's been going to hell in a handbasket for as
long as I can remember. Been going there in the 60's, the
70's, the 80's, the 90's and right now. Funny how things
haven't really degraded any.

1789. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:24:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122063873.738523.206850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113199

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Jul 22, 3:06 pm
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> Because all THEY are interested in is playing on HF...If all those
> >> engineering types had any interest in obtaining an Amateur Radio
> >> license for "experimenting" purposes, it would be a done deal.
> > >
> > > Rather a sad sign of our times, Steve. We live in an era in which
> > >"things" are so simple. NeoCons can attribute ALL problems to the
> > >mythical "liberal", who despite being almost nonexistant today, is still
> > >somehow responsible for all the ills of society.
> >
> > Poor babies. Suffering the cynicsm and angst of the middle-aged
> > are you? :-)
> >
> > > In the same way, we have a lot of people who are willing to attest
> > >every problem, real or imagined, to that dreaded Element 1 test. It is
> > >responsible for the "declining number of Hams", etc etc, etc.
> >
> > You two aren't cut out to be forest rangers...you two are unable
> > to see the forest for the trees... :-)
> >
> > Had you bothered to look (outside of your respective insides),
> > you would have seen an INCREASE in amateur radio licenses in
> > the USA between the mid-2000 restructuring change and mid-2003.
> > A three-year period of growth in numbers.
> >
> > Unfortunately, since then there has been a DECREASE in numbers
> > over the last two years. Steady - but small - decrease. That
> > is borne out by the delta between NEW licensees and EXPIRED
> > licenses. About 3,623 in one year's time from the 21 Jul 05
> > numbers on www.hamdata.com.
> >
> >
> > > They will get the chance to put their theory to the test. They will
> > >also find out they are wrong.
> >
> > Love those ABSOLUTE predictions! So...well..."predictable!" :-)
> >
> > > By the way, has no one else noticed that the drop off in numbers is
> > >primarily Technicians who were not code tested?
> >

> Really? Who wrote that...besides an obvious PCTA, that is...?
>
> Joe Speroni said that about 5 years ago. He tried to "prove"
> it with some number juggling but only made headway with his
> fellow PCTAs.
>
> > Kinda puts the lie to that theory right away doesn't it?
>
> Kinda puts your statement into a POS without some proof...:-)
>
> > There was not that much effort, and those who were not all that
> >interested got their license. Ten years later, they allowed the license
> >to lapse.
>
> Sunnuvagun! All those no-code-test Techs being "quitters?"
>
break

> NOBODY else left the U.S. ARS? :-)

nobody even the SK's have manged to renew their licenses don't you know
Morse Code goes everywhere

>
> Novice class has been dropping steadily for over five years.
> They were NEVER "no-code-test" and once heralded as the
> "entry point" into amateur radio, years ago. "Bunch of
> quitters," right? :-)
>
> Sweetums, go look at the present-day numbers. Technician
> class now (as of 21 Jul 05) has 40.81% of all individual
> licensees. That's over twice as many General class
> licensees (only 20.33%). Together with Technician Plus,
> they make up 48.46% of all individual licensees.
>
> The no-code-test Technician class did not exist in 1990.
> Since the inception of that class in 1991, those licensees
> have ADDED about 200 THOUSAND hams to the U.S. numbers.
> Without them the U.S. amateur radio "community" would have
> DECREASED markedly over the last 14 years...like DROPPING
> a whopping 27% compared to today's total individual licenses.
>
> But, you don't want to see reality, do you? The fantasies
> are much more appealing and "it's always someone else's
> fault," isn't it?
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1790. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:34:28 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <oLcEe.3011$6f.905@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113100

John Smith wrote:

> Robert:
>
> I would think a real president would have surrounded himself with
> highly capable people.
>
> In the event of ANY threat, accident or other occurrence, a
> contingency plan would already be in place which would cover the
> emergency in question

To have such plans, you have to think of all possible
threats ahead of time. But in this case, enough people
figured out what to do to handle it. Someone at the FAA
decided on his own to clear the air of all aircraft.
A sensible move, you don't know if there are more
aircraft that will get hijacked. But we had the people
in the government who were smart enough to make these
decisions as needed. So the president did have a good
staff.

My comment about Bush's not bailing out of a 2nd grade
classroom was that it tended to make him look as
if he was thinking "Oh Sh-t, now what am I gonna do".
Maybe the kids would be disappointed if I bailed
right then, but they'd soon understand that night that I had
a whole country to take care of.

1791. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:35:49 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <m_OdnRppqNcfxXzfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 113101

> Predictions:
>
> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.

Probably ...can't see much of anything else happening of note ..

> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> But not long term growth.

Definately ....I don't really see a mass exodus from 2 meters to the HF phone bands ....come to think about it there isn't a heck of a lot going on about the 2 meter band here either ......

> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.

I hope that I am wrong but I do agree .... I think that the majority of those who want to be on HF would already be there .... one thing fer sure is that they ain't gonna be on CW ..... again wherein lies the true sadness ....

> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> by the new folks.

Wait a second Jim ....I know this will happen ...the no-code tech business was just too early and on the wrong bands .... give 'em 6 months and we will all be using those new whizz bang modes with a half watt into a 2 foot antenna on 20 meters ... I may dare say that DXCC will be available to all with in 48 hours with fantistic influx of MIT and CMU grads ..... I personally know 20 engineers who have avoided getting their ticket due to the CW requirement .... 15 of them called me last night to see when the next nocode HF test will be ....yeahhh right ....

> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> or even having no mode subbands at all.

I only want Lebensraum fom my people ..... just a little ...... besides the propagation on the freebands have been iffy at best of late ....

That is a for sure there Jim ...the NC tact will rear its head into the true reason of its existance ....we will be calling each other citizen as the guillotine falls on the neck of the CW subbands ..... as crickets can be heard between QSOs on both phone and CW ....

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Back to 40 CW and listening for hints of that new whizbang mode ....

73 KI3R Belle Vernon Pa ....

1792. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:39:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7QcEe.86$es7.1482895@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113102

robert:

Oh no, not at all...

My second in command of the military would know my wishes and take
immediate action--with my blessings...

... it would be the same for all my "second in commands"...

Only an idiot would think one man really runs this country. Not even
bill gates can run ms all by himself... this country is a MUCH LARGER
problem to put under one man...

Indeed, old little georgie boy does something that congress doesn't
like and his little butt could be toast...

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:oLcEe.3011$6f.905@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Robert:
>>
>> I would think a real president would have surrounded himself with
>> highly capable people.
>>
>> In the event of ANY threat, accident or other occurrence, a
>> contingency plan would already be in place which would cover the
>> emergency in question
>
> To have such plans, you have to think of all possible
> threats ahead of time. But in this case, enough people
> figured out what to do to handle it. Someone at the FAA
> decided on his own to clear the air of all aircraft.
> A sensible move, you don't know if there are more
> aircraft that will get hijacked. But we had the people
> in the government who were smart enough to make these
> decisions as needed. So the president did have a good
> staff.
>
> My comment about Bush's not bailing out of a 2nd grade
> classroom was that it tended to make him look as
> if he was thinking "Oh Sh-t, now what am I gonna do".
> Maybe the kids would be disappointed if I bailed
> right then, but they'd soon understand that night that I had

> a whole country to take care of.

1793. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:40:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122064827.177975.17880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113103

robert casey wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
> > Robert:
> >
> > I would think a real president would have surrounded himself with
> > highly capable people.
> >
> > In the event of ANY threat, accident or other occurrence, a
> > contingency plan would already be in place which would cover the
> > emergency in question
>
> To have such plans, you have to think of all possible
> threats ahead of time. But in this case, enough people
> figured out what to do to handle it. Someone at the FAA
> decided on his own to clear the air of all aircraft.
> A sensible move, you don't know if there are more
> aircraft that will get hijacked. But we had the people
> in the government who were smart enough to make these
> decisions as needed. So the president did have a good
> staff.

break
>
> My comment about Bush's not bailing out of a 2nd grade
> classroom was that it tended to make him look as
> if he was thinking "Oh Sh-t, now what am I gonna do".
> Maybe the kids would be disappointed if I bailed
> right then, but they'd soon understand that night that I had
> a whole country to take care of.

and just what would bush's leaving have done to improve the situation

1794. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:41:23 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <TRcEe.3012$6f.2266@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113104

>
> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>

As far as the FCC is concerned, they are just a regulatory
agency. If something serves no regulatory purpose, then
why have it?

1795. Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:43:12 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <nTcEe.21217$TU.5791@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113105

Knuckle Dragging CBplussers can support the thing.

1796. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:48:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NYcEe.87$WR6.1358941@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113126

The old tech who coached me into amateur radio insisted I support
ARRL...

Soon as he wasn't looking, I ditched it, that was back in the early
70's!

ARRL has never been a voice for amateurs, just a business for a
handful of old farts and their buddies and a strange religious cult
which ministered to the needs of misfits and eccentrics...

John

"Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:nTcEe.21217$TU.5791@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
> Knuckle Dragging CBplussers can support the thing.
>

1797. Knuckle draggers rejoice, the HAM CB SERVICE is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 20:53:42 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <a0dEe.21222$TU.11883@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113106

[No readable article body recovered]

1798. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:02:06 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122066126.601641.95860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Dimwit Said
>Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
>again.

>I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
>Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.

When did they become my friends, I think you need to get your facts
streight. but hey I forgot you think I can control a third parties
behavior.

Todd N9OGL

N9OGL BBS
http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/i ndex.cgi
This is an automated email. Do not reply to this message, you will
receive no reply.

1799. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:06:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZcdEe.90$MZ6.1391726@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113108

oh yeah, I forgot...

They do run a rest home for old timers who have become brain damaged
from exposure to high power RF fields!

As a matter of fact, I think they are an equal opportunity employer
(they hire the disabled), and their employee base comes from there!

ROFLOL!!!

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:NYcEe.87$WR6.1358941@news.sisna.com...
> The old tech who coached me into amateur radio insisted I support
> ARRL...
>
> Soon as he wasn't looking, I ditched it, that was back in the early
> 70's!
>
> ARRL has never been a voice for amateurs, just a business for a
> handful of old farts and their buddies and a strange religious cult
> which ministered to the needs of misfits and eccentrics...
>
> John
>
> "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:nTcEe.21217$TU.5791@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>> Knuckle Dragging CBplussers can support the thing.
>>
>
>

1800. Re: stevie get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:25:14 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122067514.460909.133720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113109

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > > > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > > > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
> > >
> > > It is pumpous to assume that when you addrss your Subject to me by name
> > > it is not for me
> >
> > It's pompous for YOU to assume that just because your name was in
> > the subject line, that ALL the comments therein were for you.
>
> not at all
>
> >
> > A secondgrader could follow the attributes, DeviantBoy.
>
> more shit
>
> >
> > > Lying sack of ####
> >
> > Yes, you are, Markie.
>
> not at all
>
> >
> > What are you going to do about it?
>
> forunately for me little I can do about it
>
> You are a lying sack of shit
>
> you are sick and in desperate need of help

So, do you drink a lot after taking a dip in Lake Superior to warm up
or to get your brain chugging along on one cylinder again?

1801. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:28:36 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <d4SEe.25401$dz.16605@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113457

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ZcdEe.90$MZ6.1391726@news.sisna.com...
> As a matter of fact, I think they are an equal opportunity employer (they
> hire the disabled), and their employee base comes from there!
>

That's a given, a prime example is ED. Let see
what there track record is now. Zero on BPL, Zero on restructuring, Zero on
gaining New Members. sounds like a GREAT PLACE to be from or not associated
with at all. Can anyone say ARRL ineffective?

1802. Re: stevie get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:31:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122067877.303340.168040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113110

olivermartin15...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>> > You are a lying sack of shit
> >
> > you are sick and in desperate need of help
>
> So, do you drink a lot after taking a dip in Lake Superior to warm up
> or to get your brain chugging along on one cylinder again?

no wHy do you ask?

it doesn't take much brain power to keep up with stevie

1803. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:31:26 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122067886.941042.169070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

n9ogl wrote:
> >Dimwit Said
> >Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
> >again.
>
> >I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
> >Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.
>
> When did they become my friends, I think you need to get your facts
> streight. but hey I forgot you think I can control a third parties
> behavior.

Oh, so you don't have any friends. At all.

1804. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:32:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122067923.436009.41210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

n9ogl wrote:
> >Dimwit Said
> >Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
> >again.
>
> >I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
> >Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.
>
> When did they become my friends, I think you need to get your facts
> streight. but hey I forgot you think I can control a third parties
> behavior.

in all fairness to the dimiwt do rememebr he thinks He can control us
all
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> N9OGL BBS
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/i ndex.cgi
> This is an automated email. Do not reply to this message, you will
> receive no reply.

1805. Re: stevie get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:33:01 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122067981.627016.177430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113113

an_old_friend wrote:
> olivermartin15...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> > You are a lying sack of shit
> > >
> > > you are sick and in desperate need of help
> > >
> > > So, do you drink a lot after taking a dip in Lake Superior to warm up
> > > or to get your brain chugging along on one cylinder again?
> >
> > no wHy do you ask?
> >
> > it doesn't take much brain power to keep up with stevie

Good thing for you yours is limited to a picowatt.

1806. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:39:41 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122068381.297199.254880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> n9ogl wrote:
> > >Dimwit Said
> > >Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
> > >again.
> >
> > >I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
> > >Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.
> >
> > When did they become my friends, I think you need to get your facts
> > streight. but hey I forgot you think I can control a third parties
> > behavior.
>
> in all fairness to the dimiwt do rememebr he thinks He can control us
> all

And as mindless as you are, it would be quite easy.

1807. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:43:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122068637.215988.65710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

olivermartin15...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > n9ogl wrote:
> > > >Dimwit Said
> > > >Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
> > > >again.
> > >
> > > >I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
> > > >Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.
> > >
> > > When did they become my friends, I think you need to get your facts
> > > streight. but hey I forgot you think I can control a third parties
> > > behavior.
> >
> > in all fairness to the dimiwt do rememebr he thinks He can control us
> > all
>
> And as mindless as you are, it would be quite easy.

another fool It is very hard to control anyone on the net

1808. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 21:47:19 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122068839.895948.197210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> olivermartin15...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > n9ogl wrote:
> > > > >Dimwit Said
> > > > >Seems like Ain't-Got-A-Clue Toiddie's buddies are up to the old tricks
> > > > >again.
> > > > >
> > > > >I got this e-mail "confriming" taht I had opened an account on
> > > > >Toiddies' "BBS"...Which I haven't done.
> > > > >
> > > > When did they become my friends, I think you need to get your facts
> > > > streight. but hey I forgot you think I can control a third parties
> > > > behavior.
> > > >
> > > in all fairness to the dimiwt do rememebr he thinks He can control us
> > > all
> > >
> > And as mindless as you are, it would be quite easy.
>
> another fool

Projecting again?

>It is very hard to control anyone on the net

Really? I wonder if DirectPC would think so?

HTTP-Posting-Host: 66.82.9.69

AC5-Webproxy30.direcpc.com (66.82.9.69)

66.82.0.0 - 66.82.255.255
Hughes Network Systems
11717 Exploration Lane
DirecWAY Network Management Center
attn: Network Security Manager
Germantown, MD
US

DirecPC
abuse@direcpc.com
+1-301-601-7205

1809. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:02:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122069743.889053.266840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

and what about you Olivermartin15@yahoo.com

OrgName: Microsoft Corp
OrgID: MSFT
Address: One Microsoft Way
City: Redmond
StateProv: WA
PostalCode: 98052
Country: US

NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Assignment
NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET
Comment:
RegDate: 2001-02-14
Updated: 2004-12-09

TechHandle: ZM23-ARIN
TechName: Microsoft Corporation
TechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
TechEmail: noc@microsoft.com

OrgAbuseHandle: HOTMA-ARIN
OrgAbuseName: Hotmail Abuse
OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@hotmail.com

OrgAbuseHandle: MSNAB-ARIN
OrgAbuseName: MSN ABUSE
OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@msn.com

OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE231-ARIN
OrgAbuseName: Abuse
OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@microsoft.com

```
OrgNOCHandle: ZM23-ARIN
OrgNOCName: Microsoft Corporation
OrgNOCPhone: +1-425-882-8080
OrgNOCEmail: noc@microsoft.com

OrgTechHandle: MSFTP-ARIN
OrgTechName: MSFT-POC
OrgTechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
OrgTechEmail: iprrms@microsoft.com

# ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-07-21 19:10
# Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.
```

1810. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:06:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <56eEe.101$Sc1.1712168@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

OMG, you have discovered a whole ISP...

MY GAWD MAN, do you know the implications of that?

AND, MY GAWD BILL GATES OWNS IT, I FEEL LIKE HUMBLING MYSELF BEFORE
HIS DEVINE NAME!!!!

ROFLOL!!!!!!!!!!

John

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122069743.889053.266840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> and what about you Olivermartin15@yahoo.com
>
> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
> OrgID: MSFT
> Address: One Microsoft Way
> City: Redmond
> StateProv: WA
> PostalCode: 98052
> Country: US
>
> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
> NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
> Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
> NetType: Direct Assignment
> NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET
> Comment:
> RegDate: 2001-02-14
> Updated: 2004-12-09
>
> TechHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> TechName: Microsoft Corporation
> TechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> TechEmail: noc@microsoft.com
>
> OrgAbuseHandle: HOTMA-ARIN
> OrgAbuseName: Hotmail Abuse

```
> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@hotmail.com
>
> OrgAbuseHandle: MSNAB-ARIN
> OrgAbuseName: MSN ABUSE
> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@msn.com
>
> OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE231-ARIN
> OrgAbuseName: Abuse
> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@microsoft.com
>
> OrgNOCHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> OrgNOCName: Microsoft Corporation
> OrgNOCPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgNOCEmail: noc@microsoft.com
>
> OrgTechHandle: MSFTP-ARIN
> OrgTechName: MSFT-POC
> OrgTechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgTechEmail: iprrms@microsoft.com
>
> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-07-21 19:10
> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.
>
```

1811. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:15:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hrKdnY6n3dys7XzfRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 113119

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:RtZDe.348$Ej4.7137377@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Just could explain why all these cars have taken on an "aerodynamic look"
> to 'em... yes indeed, I think I can see your hand at work here.
>
> If fact, now I look closer, some pretty feline lines grace those rigs!
> <grin>
>
> Just offhand, I'd bet aerospace was much more interesting...
>
> John
>

Actually I have nothing to do with the exterior lines of automobiles. I
work for a supplier developing underhood components.

Well every job I've had has been interesting when you really dive into it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1812. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:18:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jK6dneuRUNQk7XzfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113120

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:C1%De.2686$6f.2580@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> Did you see Farenheit 911? Always working on .... things. Golly, he
>> seemed
>> so indecisive in Florida with the schoolchildren when the first tower was
>> hit. Eyes kept going back and fourth ... then the secret service guy
>> whispered in his ear (the second tower was hit). Still he sat there with
>> his eyeballs clicking back and fourth.
>>
>
> If I was president, I would have just terminated the
> classroom photo op. "Sorry kids, something's come up,
> I gotta go." Even if I didn't have a clue as to what
> to do about it, I'd still split. Maybe go to the
> principal's office and borrow the phone and call various
> people at the Pentagon and such.
>
> Back on topic, the FCC released it as a proposed rule
> making. But unless someone can come up with a really
> good reason to keep code, it will be history. But
> I doubt that there is anything about code that the
> FCC hasn't already seen.

All the arguments on both sides have been presented a multitude of times.
Since there's really nothing new to be said, I'm pretty sure this will go
through in this form.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1813. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:23:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RvydncmJZKpm7HzfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 113122

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122031324.117414.85570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Observations:
>
> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> eliminate it.
>
> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> all of them.
>
> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
> testing.
>
>
> Predictions:
>
> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>
> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> But not long term growth.
>
> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>
> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> by the new folks.
>
> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

That's the way I see it too.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1814. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:24:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pmeEe.104$DC1.1895497@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113121

Dee:

This is going to sound like a joke (and heaven knows I NEVER
JOKE!--but it isn't...), I once heard the little windshield wiper
pumps, the ones which spray on the window cleaner were the by-product
of nasa technology adopted by the auto industry; you wouldn't know
about that, would you?

Funny, but that question has stuck in my mind for years. I had made a
mental note to ask, if ever given the chance... sometimes doesn't take
much to entertain me... <frown> I actually doubt it... I mean, the
cleaner would freeze in the tank in space, immediately freeze and/or
crystallize to ice when discharged, etc...

or, perhaps they meant the pumps used by nasa were actually for
another purpose and just adapted to windshields here on terafirma...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:hrKdnY6n3dys7XzfRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:RtZDe.348$Ej4.7137377@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Just could explain why all these cars have taken on an "aerodynamic
>> look" to 'em... yes indeed, I think I can see your hand at work
>> here.
>>
>> If fact, now I look closer, some pretty feline lines grace those
>> rigs! <grin>
>>
>> Just offhand, I'd bet aerospace was much more interesting...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Actually I have nothing to do with the exterior lines of
> automobiles. I work for a supplier developing underhood components.
>
> Well every job I've had has been interesting when you really dive
> into it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1815. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:25:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <oneEe.7538$oZ.5627@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113123

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

> I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
> that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks.
> Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.
>
> Just some thoughts.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Not superior Mike, just more proficient as a radio operator, with more
communication capabilities than those without cw capabilities. Better able
to serve his/her community. Etc.

Dan/W4NTI

1816. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:27:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <mpeEe.7540$oZ.3591@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113124

It's not amazing at all. The FCC consists mainly of Lawyers now a days.

Using a technical argument in a brief is a waste of time. Want proof?
Read the BPL garbage.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:gU8Ee.45$kh.501860@news.sisna.com...
> an_old_pervert:
>
> Duh!
>
> Think about it, they were not just asking for comments on peoples likes,
> dislikes and wants...
>
> They actually wanted relevant arguments of worth, purpose and logic to be
> presented--obliviously, when none could be presented which posed
> sufficient reasons for keeping it--it was decided to drop it.
>
> Imagine that, using logic and worth to decide something--now you don't see
> that type of common sense these days--how dare they do something like
> that!!!
>
> ROFLOL!!!!
>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122048419.346989.241730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Observations:
>>>
>>> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>>> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>>> eliminate it.
>>
>> which show the strengh of the augue emnts against continued code
>> testing
>>
>>>
>>> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
>>> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping

>>> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
>>> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
>>> all of them.
>>
>> wrong they considered and rejected them
>>
>> The FCC is taking one of the easist ways out as should have been
>> expected
>>
>>>
>>> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
>>> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>>> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
>>> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
>>> testing.
>>
>> none promised you a revolution
>>
>> i was arround and Know you nor anyone else was promised a revolution
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Predictions:
>>>
>>> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
>>> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>>
>> most likely right
>>
>>>
>>> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
>>> But not long term growth.
>>
>> maybe all we can hope for form just the rules is to slow the bleeding
>>
>> next stepp is up to us if we can't shakes the notion that Ham radio is
>> still back in what is seen as the dark ages of radio we have some real
>> hope
>>
>>
>>>
>>> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>>>
>>> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
>>> by the new folks.
>>
>> no revolution was promised
>>
>> merely progress
>>

>>>
>>> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
>>> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>>
>> the discusion hasalways included that so you are safe
>>
>> Your tone seems to me to forsee fear that your your preffered mode is
>> going to squeezed out
>>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>

1817. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:34:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3Jednc_CAKMF6XzfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 113125

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Observations:
>>
>> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>> eliminate it.
>>
>> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
>> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
>> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
>> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
>> all of them.
>>
>> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
>> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
>> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
>> testing.
>>
>>
>> Predictions:
>>
>> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
>> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>
> Agreed
>
>> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
>> But not long term growth.
>
> Agreed
>
>> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>
> MOre activity, but not likely overrun.
>
>> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
>> by the new folks.
>

> No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a group. But I don't
> doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.
>
>
>
>> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
>> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>
>
> Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element 1 debate!
>
> One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I anticipate a bit
> of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try to establish
> superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.
>

Let's hope not. A prospective ham can only follow the licensing procedure
in place at the time he/she licenses. The important issue now, as it has
always been, is the post licensing personal development.

> Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse problem than
> the newbies coming into the service.
>
> Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened to
> enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my face,
> from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk about
> how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than it
> should.
>

I would've gotten right in their faces and asked them what they had done
lately for ham radio.

> I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as possible, instead of
> grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed F.C.C testing agents.
>

Yup. There's no point in grousing. Besides one can turn the old
requirements into an icebreaker just by telling the story in a humorous way.

> That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the new people.
>

Absolutely correct. Of course, I'll attempt to foster learning the code and
code use. I'll just tell them about the rare and exotic DX that I've found
only on CW. And how most contests offer extra points for CW.

> I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
> that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks.
> Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.
>

That is the only true measure.

> Just some thoughts.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1818. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:42:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TDeEe.7551$oZ.4374@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113127

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:j2YDe.2254$j21.1357@news01.roc.ny...

>>
>>
> Dan,
>
> All kidding aside, I heard he had a heart attack whilst on his tower way
> back when and that is when he cashed in his chips.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

I'm fully aware of that Jim.

Dan/W4NTI

1819. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:47:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SHeEe.110$jh1.1758365@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113128

I think the part in my hair is much better than yours. Yes it is, I
am a much more professional "hair parter!"

However, if you wish me wrong, seems like you will need a wig!!!

ROFLOL!!!!!

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:oneEe.7538$oZ.5627@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>> I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior
>> establish that superiority by example, not by grousing or
>> ridiculing the new folks. Foster the idea that good manners and
>> technical acumen is a good thing.
>>
>> Just some thoughts.....
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Not superior Mike, just more proficient as a radio operator, with
> more communication capabilities than those without cw capabilities.
> Better able to serve his/her community. Etc.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

1820. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:48:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6JeEe.7553$oZ.5122@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113129

"John Smith"

This particular group of misfits with licenses do NOT represent ham radio.
Real hams can be found on 20 meters.

And that is where I am right now, BTW.

Dan/W4NTI
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:s%XDe.324$JN2.6088797@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> I am quite serious. Look over all the posts here. I see where most here
> are rather anti-social, they don't have much ability to get along well
> with "other children."
>
> Frankly, I would be hard pressed to present the group here as a good
> reason to enter the hobby of amateur radio and to enjoy an exchange of
> spoken and mental ideas with others... I include myself in that appraisal
> also, as I have been direct and to the point also... to do less would seem
> a waste of time, both theirs and mine...
>
> Indeed, some may even be able to see why the Chicken Band is preferable
> some of the time... still, I am hoping the right people can and will
> change all that--that is what we are really talking about, and these guys
> know it, and fear it!
>
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:dL-dnTg1z57c1H3fRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:pGWDe.7101$oZ.3647@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1121920948.022173.138190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>>>
>>>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp, realistically,
>>>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would take any
>>>> other path?
>>>>

>>>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an announcement
>>>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru the
>>>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
>>>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of desparate
>>>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done
>>>> deal.
>>>>
>>>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest "restructing"
>>>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more then
>>>> back then the bands as usual.
>>>>
>>>> I could care less about any of it at this late date because none of it
>>>> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and PSK my
>>>> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 wpm fast
>>>> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half century ago.
>>>> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my abilty
>>>> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
>>>> "restructuring" brainfart.
>>>>
>>>> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any of this
>>>> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? Good:
>>>> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>>> w3rv
>>>>
>>>
>>> Rest assured the FCC is going to do whatever is easiest for THEM.
>>>
>>> There may be a upward surge of new "Ultra Lite Extra's" (Did I really
>>> say that???).....and in a short time the bands will still sound
>>> deserted.
>>>
>>> There is a lot more at work here then just dropping CW.
>>>
>>> Check out the bands lately? Where are all those new "light" hams
>>> anyway? Danged if I can find them.
>>>
>>> All you that gloat over losing one of the glues that hold/held ham radio
>>> together will rue this day. And no I'm not a stuck in the mud, cw only
>>> operator, I just see the hand writing on the wall.
>>>
>>> Lennie is happy now. He can sneak over to a test session and get his
>>> General......
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> Dollars to donuts that he comes up with another excuse not to.

>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

1821. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:51:20 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <KLeEe.1527$sf6.1126@fe08.lga>
Source record: 113130

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:6JeEe.7553$oZ.5122@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> "John Smith"
>
> This particular group of misfits with licenses do NOT represent ham radio.
> Real hams can be found on 20 meters.
>
> And that is where I am right now, BTW.
>

Listening on 14020. :-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

1822. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:53:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nNeEe.111$FC1.1897601@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113131

Dee:

Oh you and your feminine wiles. I do already hear your "sirens song"
and fear for the lives of those men you will sing of CW to...

Yes, I will bet that you can wreck more than one young man on the
shoals and dangerous reefs of CW.

Me, I will just tell 'em about the rare and luscious females I have
met in obscure and out-of-the-way pubs and the wonders I have beheld
there... <grin>

... maybe offer to buy 'em a beer and argue antenna theory with 'em...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:3Jednc_CAKMF6XzfRVn-2g@comcast.com...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>> Observations:
>>>
>>> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>>> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>>> eliminate it.
>>>
>>> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the
>>> code
>>> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except*
>>> dumping
>>> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry
>>> level
>>> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No"
>>> to
>>> all of them.
>>>
>>> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some
>>> short-term
>>> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>>> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor
>>> has

>>> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in
>>> code
>>> testing.
>>>
>>>
>>> Predictions:
>>>
>>> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC
>>> will
>>> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>>
>> Agreed
>>
>>> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in
>>> licenses.
>>> But not long term growth.
>>
>> Agreed
>>
>>> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>>
>> MOre activity, but not likely overrun.
>>
>>> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams
>>> brought
>>> by the new folks.
>>
>> No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a group. But
>> I don't doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.
>>
>>
>>
>>> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone
>>> subbands
>>> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>>
>>
>> Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element 1
>> debate!
>>
>> One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I
>> anticipate a bit of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try
>> to establish superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.
>>
>
> Let's hope not. A prospective ham can only follow the licensing
> procedure in place at the time he/she licenses. The important issue
> now, as it has always been, is the post licensing personal
> development.
>

>> Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse problem
>> than the newbies coming into the service.
>>
>> Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened
>> to enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my
>> face, from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years,
>> talk about how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more
>> often than it should.
>>
>
> I would've gotten right in their faces and asked them what they had
> done lately for ham radio.
>
>> I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as possible,
>> instead of grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed
>> F.C.C testing agents.
>>
>
> Yup. There's no point in grousing. Besides one can turn the old
> requirements into an icebreaker just by telling the story in a
> humorous way.
>
>> That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the new
>> people.
>>
>
> Absolutely correct. Of course, I'll attempt to foster learning the
> code and code use. I'll just tell them about the rare and exotic DX
> that I've found only on CW. And how most contests offer extra
> points for CW.
>
>> I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior
>> establish that superiority by example, not by grousing or
>> ridiculing the new folks. Foster the idea that good manners and
>> technical acumen is a good thing.
>>
>
> That is the only true measure.
>
>> Just some thoughts.....
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1823. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:54:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZOeEe.112$kz1.1882218@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113142

Lawyers???

GAWD MAN, that is a joke!!!

They need psychiatrists to get these guys over there penis envy....

errr, strike that, I meant "CW FIXATION!"

ROFLOL!!!!

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:mpeEe.7540$oZ.3591@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> It's not amazing at all. The FCC consists mainly of Lawyers now a
> days.
>
> Using a technical argument in a brief is a waste of time. Want
> proof? Read the BPL garbage.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:gU8Ee.45$kh.501860@news.sisna.com...
>> an_old_pervert:
>>
>> Duh!
>>
>> Think about it, they were not just asking for comments on peoples
>> likes, dislikes and wants...
>>
>> They actually wanted relevant arguments of worth, purpose and logic
>> to be presented--obliviously, when none could be presented which
>> posed sufficient reasons for keeping it--it was decided to drop it.
>>
>> Imagine that, using logic and worth to decide something--now you
>> don't see that type of common sense these days--how dare they do
>> something like that!!!
>>
>> ROFLOL!!!!
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122048419.346989.241730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>

>>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Observations:
>>>>
>>>> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>>>> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>>>> eliminate it.
>>>
>>> which show the strengh of the augue emnts against continued code
>>> testing
>>>
>>>>
>>>> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the
>>>> code
>>>> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except*
>>>> dumping
>>>> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry
>>>> level
>>>> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses?
>>>> "No" to
>>>> all of them.
>>>
>>> wrong they considered and rejected them
>>>
>>> The FCC is taking one of the easist ways out as should have been
>>> expected
>>>
>>>>
>>>> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some
>>>> short-term
>>>> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>>>> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor
>>>> has
>>>> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in
>>>> code
>>>> testing.
>>>
>>> none promised you a revolution
>>>
>>> i was arround and Know you nor anyone else was promised a
>>> revolution
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Predictions:
>>>>
>>>> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end
>>>> FCC will
>>>> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>>>

>>> most likely right
>>>
>>>>
>>>> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in
>>>> licenses.
>>>> But not long term growth.
>>>
>>> maybe all we can hope for form just the rules is to slow the
>>> bleeding
>>>
>>> next stepp is up to us if we can't shakes the notion that Ham
>>> radio is
>>> still back in what is seen as the dark ages of radio we have some
>>> real
>>> hope
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>>>>
>>>> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams
>>>> brought
>>>> by the new folks.
>>>
>>> no revolution was promised
>>>
>>> merely progress
>>>
>>>>
>>>> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone
>>>> subbands
>>>> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>>>
>>> the discusion hasalways included that so you are safe
>>>
>>> Your tone seems to me to forsee fear that your your preffered mode
>>> is
>>> going to squeezed out
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1824. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:57:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122073070.730239.281500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113132

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> > I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
> > that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks.
> > Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.
> >
> > Just some thoughts.....
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> Not superior Mike, just more proficient as a radio operator, with more
> communication capabilities than those without cw capabilities. Better able
> to serve his/her community. Etc.

but cw capcitiy exists without testing for it

Indeed I have CW capablities at my station NOW, just use machines to
get it

>
> Dan/W4NTI

1825. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 22:58:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122073128.161497.101390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113133

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "John Smith"
>

break
> This particular group of misfits with licenses do NOT represent ham radio.
> Real hams can be found on 20 meters.
>
> And that is where I am right now, BTW.

your statement is proof of why ending codetesting the right way to go

>
> Dan/W4NTI
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:s%XDe.324$JN2.6088797@news.sisna.com...
> > Dee:
> >
> > I am quite serious. Look over all the posts here. I see where most here
> > are rather anti-social, they don't have much ability to get along well
> > with "other children."
> >
> > Frankly, I would be hard pressed to present the group here as a good
> > reason to enter the hobby of amateur radio and to enjoy an exchange of
> > spoken and mental ideas with others... I include myself in that appraisal
> > also, as I have been direct and to the point also... to do less would seem
> > a waste of time, both theirs and mine...
> >
> > Indeed, some may even be able to see why the Chicken Band is preferable
> > some of the time... still, I am hoping the right people can and will
> > change all that--that is what we are really talking about, and these guys
> > know it, and fear it!
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:dL-dnTg1z57c1H3fRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >> news:pGWDe.7101$oZ.3647@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>>
> >>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:1121920948.022173.138190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
> >>>>
> >>>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp, realistically,
> >>>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would take any
> >>>> other path?
> >>>>
> >>>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an announcement
> >>>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru the
> >>>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
> >>>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of desparate
> >>>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a done
> >>>> deal.
> >>>>
> >>>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest "restructing"
> >>>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more then
> >>>> back then the bands as usual.
> >>>>
> >>>> I could care less about any of it at this late date because none of it
> >>>> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and PSK my
> >>>> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13 wpm fast
> >>>> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half century ago.
> >>>> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my abilty
> >>>> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
> >>>> "restructuring" brainfart.
> >>>>
> >>>> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any of this
> >>>> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020? Good:
> >>>> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
> >>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>>
> >>>> w3rv
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> Rest assured the FCC is going to do whatever is easiest for THEM.
> >>>
> >>> There may be a upward surge of new "Ultra Lite Extra's" (Did I really
> >>> say that???).....and in a short time the bands will still sound
> >>> deserted.
> >>>
> >>> There is a lot more at work here then just dropping CW.
> >>>
> >>> Check out the bands lately? Where are all those new "light" hams
> >>> anyway? Danged if I can find them.
> >>>
> >>> All you that gloat over losing one of the glues that hold/held ham radio
> >>> together will rue this day. And no I'm not a stuck in the mud, cw only
> >>> operator, I just see the hand writing on the wall.
> >>>

> >>> Lennie is happy now. He can sneak over to a test session and get his
> >>> General......
> >>>
> >>> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >> Dollars to donuts that he comes up with another excuse not to.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
> >
> >

1826. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 23:01:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <IYmdnZqFSfp-53zfRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113134

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>Jayson Davis wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>As soon as cw falls, I see the most important step being in
>>>>"advertising" the fact that cw is no longer a requirement. Spreading
>>>>the word and helping others to study and pass the written exam will be
>>>>key in getting the numbers we need at that time.
>>>
>>> I don't even see that as being a "happening thing", Jayson.
>>>Amateur Radio, just like fishing, or NASCAR, or R/C models, etc etc has
>>>always attracted a certain "crowd".
>>
>> And a strange line of thought Jayson uses. Kind of like we're supposed
>>to say:
>>
>> "Ham Radio - we don't suck now!"
>
>
> That would be a REALISTIC beginning... :-)
>
> Oh, Michael, we've all seen the "crowd" represented by K4YZ. :-)

Steve represents mostly himself. I've seen a lot of people who
represent a lot of things.

> So, other than the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society)
> thinking their sky has fallen, what would YOU really
> advertise U.S. amateur radio in its "new beginning" after
> WT Docket 05-235 turns into an R&O?
>
>
>>>>It's not an issue of numbers, it's an issue of why would anyone want to
>>>>become an amateur radio operator. Really now, why would you want to do
>>>>that? To talk on repeaters? To work some guy on 20 meters? The whole
>>>>hobby is passe.
>>
>> To Jayson:
>>
>> Then why the heck do you want us to advertise that the Morse test is gone.

>
>
> Not the YOU "us," Michael. The ARRL badly needs new membership.
> They've never had as many as a quarter of all U.S. amateur
> radio licensees as members and are currently down around just
> 20% of licensees.

ARR?

> ARRL is more business than organization and
> the business side of the house has to show a profit.
>
>
>> I wonder why so many people who hate Ham radio seem to know exactly how
>>Ham radio is supposed to be?
>
>
> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.

Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
insecure?

> You are sounding EXACTLY like the "superior OTs" you decry in
> another message...like everyone MUST accept what YOU accept
> as a definition. Tsk.

Tsk indeed. My question is a simple one. Its a rough equivalent of the
US basing our economic policy on what a group of olde tyme Russian
communists think it should be. They didn't like us much at all. I bet
they had some "good ideas" on how we should run things though...

>
>>> So's dropping a line in the water and trying to hook a fish, but
>>>millions of folks do it every year...Not because it's the only way to
>>>feed their families, but just for fun.
>>>
>>> Anyone can get into chat rooms or exchange e-mail with folks
>>>almost anywhere in the world...but there will still be the thrill of
>>>doing it with "wireless".
>
>
> "Thrill?!?" Has Stebie gotten an Orion and having oriongasms?
>
> "Wireless" today refers to LANs coupled by radio, not wires.
> See the acronym WLAN and what the "W" stands for.
>
> Almost exactly 50 years ago I picked up a handset in Tokyo
> and spoke to my counterpart in San Francisco, all a part of
> the Army network. First time I'd "done DX" on HF. WAs
> there supposed to be a "thrill" associated with using that
> 24/7 communications circuit? :-) Perhaps novel is the word,

> but I already knew the circuit was there 24/7 as were all the
> other radio circuits.
>
> I've used radio to communicate from land, sea, and air. Not
> a magic thing. If there be "magic" then it was listening to
> Edward R. Murrow reporting live from London in 1940 in the
> midst of the London Bombing. "Magic" is a subjective thing.
>
> Radio waves and electrons do NOT, nor ever did operate
> according to human emotional dictates. Try to remember that.
>
>
>>>>If you want to attract the bright intelligent minds, you better be
>>>>prepared to challenge them. Challenge them to let them in, challenge
>>>>them when they get here. Do you think ax.25 is going to attract people?
>>
>> To Jayson again: (Sorry Steve, I didn't see the post until now)
>>
>> Question number 1 - Why do so many people seem to think that the
>>internet is some kind of Hi-Tech wonderland - and that Amateur Radio
>>darn well better emulate it?
>
>
> Alternate Question number 1: Why are you getting defensive?
>
>
>> Side note..... If you think that the Internet is at the cutting
>>edge.... well, we know that you aren't.
>>
>> And yes, mentioning AX. 25 is telling me that. Packet radio is FAIAP
>>not at all about transmission of large amounts of data. It has morphed
>>into APRS, GPS apps, C and C and other areas which do indeed attract
>>bright people who want to experiment.
>
>
> Aren't you conflicting with yourself on that?
>
> "Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
> with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
> individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
> that skill goes back 161 years! :-)

Lots of bright students don't want to learn anything that they don't
think is relevant.

>> BTW, isn't there a slight contradiction between wanting to attract
>>large number of people, and wanting to attract the bright and intelligent?
>
>
> How does a requirement of knowing 161-year-old morsemanship
> skill attract the "bright and intelligent?" :-)

non sequitur. I wasn't writing of Morse code.

> What the six-tiered-class system of old U.S. amateur radio
> licensing did was attract some people who NEEDED to climb
> ladders of accomplishment through the classes to get to the
> top...and then proclaim their "superiority in radio!" :-)
>
>
>
>>> Yet all they whine about is the code test and that 3% of the
>>>allocations that do require a code test.
>>>
>>> Why?
>>
>> Those type hate Hams. Maybe that isn't gospel truth, but its close enough.
>
>
> Poor babies...feeling "hated" are you both?

Everyone is hated by someone. If a person allows themselves to be
bothered by it, they are a poor baby indeed.

> Feeling "hated" because so few agree with your self-proclaimed
> "definitions" of What It Is All About?

If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is true, it remains a
foolish thing.

> Yes, it's all a big conspiracy. All those who disagree with you
> two HATE YOU! Not only that, THEY HATE HAM RADIO...because you
> two define yourself as "ham radio!" [you two have the conspiracy
> thing all in a row, lined up...in your minds]

Easy there, don't get the B.P. up!

>
>>> Because all THEY are interested in is playing on HF...If all those
>>>engineering types had any interest in obtaining an Amateur Radio
>>>license for "experimenting" purposes, it would be a done deal.
>>
>> Yup.
>
>
> Tsk. How little you two know of REAL engineering and
> "experimentation." :-)

So what you are saying is that those who do know about REAL engineering
and "experimentation" won't do it unless only everything is to their
exact liking? Otherwise they will simply wait until everything is to
their exact liking?

> You two sound like an anvil chorus playing a Newington stage.
> SRO you ain't.
>

> Have fun in the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society). [why
> are you here instead of playing with your HF radios?]

I am here *while* I'm playing with my HF radio.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1827. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 23:03:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122073401.084684.82810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113135

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> Observations:
> >>
> >> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
> >> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
> >> eliminate it.
> >>
> >> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
> >> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
> >> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
> >> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
> >> all of them.
> >>
> >> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
> >> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
> >> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
> >> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
> >> testing.
> >>
> >>
> >> Predictions:
> >>
> >> - There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
> >> just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
> >
> > Agreed
> >
> >> - There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
> >> But not long term growth.
> >
> > Agreed
> >
> >> - The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
> >
> > MOre activity, but not likely overrun.
> >
> >> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
> >> by the new folks.

> >
> > No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a group. But I don't
> > doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.
> >
> >
> >
> >> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
> >> or even having no mode subbands at all.
> >
> >
> > Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element 1 debate!
> >

break
> > One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I anticipate a bit
> > of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try to establish
> > superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.
> >
>
> Let's hope not. A prospective ham can only follow the licensing procedure
> in place at the time he/she licenses. The important issue now, as it has
> always been, is the post licensing personal development.

I have no such such hope. Having watched hams compare there tests as
the best and making them better hams for 28 years and still grousing
about every change along the way, I know the Class warfare will
continue

>
> > Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse problem than
> > the newbies coming into the service.
> >
> > Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened to
> > enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my face,
> > from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk about
> > how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than it
> > should.
> >
break
>
> I would've gotten right in their faces and asked them what they had done
> lately for ham radio.

Good for you. glad to hear it and pleased be prepared to keep it up

>
> > I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as possible, instead of
> > grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed F.C.C testing agents.
> >
>
> Yup. There's no point in grousing. Besides one can turn the old
> requirements into an icebreaker just by telling the story in a humorous way.

>
> > That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the new people.
> >
>
> Absolutely correct. Of course, I'll attempt to foster learning the code and
> code use. I'll just tell them about the rare and exotic DX that I've found
> only on CW. And how most contests offer extra points for CW.

good luck on that truely

>
> > I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
> > that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks.
> > Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.
> >
>
> That is the only true measure.
>
> > Just some thoughts.....
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1828. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 23:31:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Zqednee8rJclHHzfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113136

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Jul 22, 11:03 am
>
>
>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Observations:
>>
>>>- Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>>>proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>>>eliminate it.
>
>
> That "observation" is ERRONEOUS unless the statistics work
> can be presented to "prove" that the "majority 'supported'
> code testing."

You talkin to me?

>
>>>- There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
>>>test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
>>>Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
>>>classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
>>>all of them.
>>
>>>- The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
>>>growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>>>licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
>>>there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
>>>testing.
>
>
> Again, an ERRONEOUS observation based on rather public data
> websites showing that the peak of licensee numbers happened
> in July of 2003...and the drop to present-day numbers was only
> TWO-THIRDS of that "10,000."

You talkin to me?

>
>>>Predictions:
>>
>>>- There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
>>>by the new folks.

>>
>> No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a group. But I
>>don't doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.
>
>
> What are you saying in that last sentence?

> Since when has "a group" brought about sudden changes (a
> "revolution")?

Seriously, who are you talkin to Len? You seem to be responding to half of what Jim wrote, and half what I wrote. We're two different people. So I'll reserve comment.

> Nearly ALL technical innovation in amateur radio has been
> brought on by INDIVIDUALS or individual corporations.

Once again.....

How can we have good conversations when it appears that you are arguing with me while in the next sentence you are essentially agreeing with the part that I wrote in my reply to Jim - yet you're still disagreeing with me. Oy...

>
>>>- The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
>>>or even having no mode subbands at all.
>>
>> Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element 1 debate!
>
>
> TS

NP

>
>> One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I anticipate a
>>bit of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try to establish
>>superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.
>
>
> Great leaping whatzits! These Mighty Macho Morsemen have been
> making "superiority" noises over others for DECADES!
>
> The "class warfare" stuff was what created the old Byzantine
> CLASS SYSTEM in U.S. ham radio!!!
>
>
>
>> Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse problem
>>than the newbies coming into the service.
>
>

> What you mean NOW, kimosabe?
>
> Try 40 to 20 years before now. Seen it. Part of the reason I
> never bothered with an amateur radio license was the attitudes
> of the vocal yokels and their "authorized by the federal
> government" importance and "superiority."

Why do you allow other people to force you to not do something? There
are plenty enough horses patoots on the air. But there are plenty more
who are fine folk.

>
>> Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened to
>>enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my face,
>
>>from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk about
>
>>how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than it
>>should.
>
>
> Total agreement with you on that!
>
>
>> I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as possible, instead
>>of grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed F.C.C testing agents.
>
>
> :-)
>
>
>> That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the new people.
>>
>> I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
>>that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new
>>folks. Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good
>>thing.
>
>
> Will you nominate K4YZ to lead that group? :-) :-) :-)

Steve is his own person. Why do you ask? Are all pro-coders clones of
say our own ex-member Larry Roll? and are all no-coders clones of yourself?

I suppose it's easier to think that way.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1829. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 23:32:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <imfEe.125$xf1.1728626@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113137

Wait right there, on 20 meters. As soon as CW hits the bucket, I think
I will be able to supply you with students from my night class,
soon...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:6JeEe.7553$oZ.5122@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> "John Smith"
>
> This particular group of misfits with licenses do NOT represent ham
> radio. Real hams can be found on 20 meters.
>
> And that is where I am right now, BTW.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:s%XDe.324$JN2.6088797@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> I am quite serious. Look over all the posts here. I see where
>> most here are rather anti-social, they don't have much ability to
>> get along well with "other children."
>>
>> Frankly, I would be hard pressed to present the group here as a
>> good reason to enter the hobby of amateur radio and to enjoy an
>> exchange of spoken and mental ideas with others... I include
>> myself in that appraisal also, as I have been direct and to the
>> point also... to do less would seem a waste of time, both theirs
>> and mine...
>>
>> Indeed, some may even be able to see why the Chicken Band is
>> preferable some of the time... still, I am hoping the right people
>> can and will change all that--that is what we are really talking
>> about, and these guys know it, and fear it!
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:dL-dnTg1z57c1H3fRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>> news:pGWDe.7101$oZ.3647@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message

>>>> news:1121920948.022173.138190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>>>>>
>>>>> Ugly. Really ugly. But who of us in the PCTA camp,
>>>>> realistically,
>>>>> actually had themselves deluded into thinking the FCC would take
>>>>> any
>>>>> other path?
>>>>>
>>>>> This "NPRM" is not "an opportunity to comment", it's an
>>>>> announcement
>>>>> about the way it's absolutely gonna be. Period. They'll go thru
>>>>> the
>>>>> NPRM motions only because the law sez they have to and they'll
>>>>> patiently tap their fingers on the table until the deluge of
>>>>> desparate
>>>>> commnents is over then declare the POS they published today a
>>>>> done
>>>>> deal.
>>>>>
>>>>> I agree with Dee, the only visible impact this latest
>>>>> "restructing"
>>>>> will be is another quickie bubble of upgrades and nothing more
>>>>> then
>>>>> back then the bands as usual.
>>>>>
>>>>> I could care less about any of it at this late date because none
>>>>> of it
>>>>> has any effect at all on me. I've been allowed to beep, yak and
>>>>> PSK my
>>>>> buns off everywhere band edge to band edge ever since I did 13
>>>>> wpm fast
>>>>> enough for the examiner to make me a General over a half century
>>>>> ago.
>>>>> With an annoying side trip in 1968 to do 20 wpm to reconfirm my
>>>>> abilty
>>>>> to beep good enough to retain my privs under that particular FCC
>>>>> "restructuring" brainfart.
>>>>>
>>>>> Game over, I'm opting out of any further participation in any of
>>>>> this
>>>>> BS. Seeya in the pileups on 14.020. Ya dunno how to do 14.020?
>>>>> Good:
>>>>> Less QRM for me. Eat yer heart out.
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>>

>>>>> w3rv
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Rest assured the FCC is going to do whatever is easiest for THEM.
>>>>
>>>> There may be a upward surge of new "Ultra Lite Extra's" (Did I
>>>> really say that???).....and in a short time the bands will still
>>>> sound deserted.
>>>>
>>>> There is a lot more at work here then just dropping CW.
>>>>
>>>> Check out the bands lately? Where are all those new "light"
>>>> hams anyway? Danged if I can find them.
>>>>
>>>> All you that gloat over losing one of the glues that hold/held
>>>> ham radio together will rue this day. And no I'm not a stuck in
>>>> the mud, cw only operator, I just see the hand writing on the
>>>> wall.
>>>>
>>>> Lennie is happy now. He can sneak over to a test session and get
>>>> his General......
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> Dollars to donuts that he comes up with another excuse not to.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1830. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 23:43:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3YCdnTtUCacOGXzfRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113138

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
>>I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
>>that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks.
>>Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.
>>
>>Just some thoughts.....
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> Not superior Mike, just more proficient as a radio operator, with more
> communication capabilities than those without cw capabilities. Better able
> to serve his/her community. Etc.

No argument on that, Dan. It's one of the reasons that I support Morse testing. It's a skill that is just plain good to have. But being a better operator is no reason to lord it over others. (I'm not saying that you do - it's just for the discussion)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1831. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 23:44:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uxfEe.128$8h1.1750169@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113139

Len:

Dumb it down a bit man, you have them confused!

Now they think they are clones! They even suspect you of being a clone!!! (too much startrek when young I suppose.)

They want to be thought of as special, with each one having a "stark in contrast" and "special religious fervor" to CW for their own very PERSONAL and UNIQUE reasons...

I am afraid unless you are able to maintain that fantasy for them--there is going to be trouble....
<straight-faced-look>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1122055512.179969.148400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: Michael Coslo on Jul 22, 11:03 am
>
>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Observations:
>>
>>> - Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>>> proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>>> eliminate it.
>
> That "observation" is ERRONEOUS unless the statistics work
> can be presented to "prove" that the "majority 'supported'
> code testing."
>
>>> - There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the
>>> code
>>> test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except*
>>> dumping
>>> Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry
>>> level
>>> classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No"
>>> to
>>> all of them.
>>
>>> - The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some
>>> short-term
>>> growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>>> licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor

>>> has
>>> there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in
>>> code
>>> testing.
>
> Again, an ERRONEOUS observation based on rather public data
> websites showing that the peak of licensee numbers happened
> in July of 2003...and the drop to present-day numbers was only
> TWO-THIRDS of that "10,000."
>
>>> Predictions:
>>
>>> - There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams
>>> brought
>>> by the new folks.
>>
>> No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a
>> group. But I
>>don't doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.
>
> What are you saying in that last sentence?
>
> Since when has "a group" brought about sudden changes (a
> "revolution")?
>
> Nearly ALL technical innovation in amateur radio has been
> brought on by INDIVIDUALS or individual corporations.
>
>>> - The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone
>>> subbands
>>> or even having no mode subbands at all.
>>
>> Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element
>> 1 debate!
>
> TS
>
>> One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I
>> anticipate a
>>bit of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try to establish
>>superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.
>
> Great leaping whatzits! These Mighty Macho Morsemen have been
> making "superiority" noises over others for DECADES!
>
> The "class warfare" stuff was what created the old Byzantine
> CLASS SYSTEM in U.S. ham radio!!!
>
>
>> Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse

>> problem
>>than the newbies coming into the service.
>
> What you mean NOW, kimosabe?
>
> Try 40 to 20 years before now. Seen it. Part of the reason I
> never bothered with an amateur radio license was the attitudes
> of the vocal yokels and their "authorized by the federal
> government" importance and "superiority."
>
>
>> Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and
>> listened to
>>enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my
>>face,
>>from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk
>>about
>>how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than
>>it
>>should.
>
> Total agreement with you on that!
>
>> I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as
>> possible, instead
>>of grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed F.C.C testing
>>agents.
>
> :-)
>
>> That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the
>> new people.
>>
>> I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as
>> superior establish
>>that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new
>>folks. Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a
>>good
>>thing.
>
> Will you nominate K4YZ to lead that group? :-) :-) :-)
>
>> Just some thoughts.....
>
> ...and mine, SUPPORTING the elimination of the morse code test.
>
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1832. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-22 23:54:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <feKdnfP5JsisGnzfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113140

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Observations:
>>>
>>>- Although the majority of individuals who commented on the 18
>>>proposals supported code testing, FCC proposes to completely
>>>eliminate it.
>>>
>>>- There were a lot of ideas in the 18 proposals other than the code
>>>test. FCC simply denied all suggestions for change *except* dumping
>>>Element 1. Free upgrades for Advanceds and Novices? New entry level
>>>classes? More HF bandspace/modes for Novices and Tech Pluses? "No" to
>>>all of them.
>>>
>>>- The changes of 2000 brought a lot of upgrades and some short-term
>>>growth, but now the number of individuals with unexpired US ham
>>>licenses is almost 10,000 below what it was in May of 2000. Nor has
>>>there been any sort of "techno-revolution" from the reductions in code
>>>testing.
>>>
>>>
>>>Predictions:
>>>
>>>- There will be a lot of debate and commentary. But in the end FCC will
>>>just dump Element 1 and make no other changes.
>>
>>Agreed
>>
>>
>>>- There will be a lot of upgrades and a short-term peak in licenses.
>>>But not long term growth.
>>
>>Agreed
>>
>>
>>>- The HF/MF bands will not be overrun with more activity.
>>
>>MOre activity, but not likely overrun.

>>
>>
>>>- There will not be a "revolution" in technology used by hams brought
>>>by the new folks.
>>
>>No, certainly not brought about by the new folks - as a group. But I don't
>>doubt that some of the new folks may very well involved.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>- The focus will shift to a debate about widening the 'phone subbands
>>>or even having no mode subbands at all.
>>
>>
>>Yawn! That won't be anywhere near as much fun as the Element 1 debate!
>>
>>One more thing that will happen, and I hope it doesn't. I anticipate a bit
>>of class warfare, as (some of) the code tested try to establish
>>superiority over the great unwashed who enter the ARS.
>>
>
>
> Let's hope not. A prospective ham can only follow the licensing procedure
> in place at the time he/she licenses. The important issue now, as it has
> always been, is the post licensing personal development.
>
>
>>Quite frankly, that will make those so called elite a worse problem than
>>the newbies coming into the service.
>>
>>Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened to
>>enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my face,
>>from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk about
>>how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than it
>>should.
>>
>
>
> I would've gotten right in their faces and asked them what they had done
> lately for ham radio.

Well, my mode of operation is not to get in peoples faces. If someone
does manage to get me mad, we usually have a private talk. Lets them
save face. Usually I just say something like "I resemble that remark!"

>>I intend to provide as much help to the newcomers as possible, instead of
>>grousing about the "good old days", and steely eyed F.C.C testing agents.
>>
>
>

> Yup. There's no point in grousing. Besides one can turn the old
> requirements into an icebreaker just by telling the story in a humorous way.
>
>
>>That I support Element 1 testing is of no consequence to the new people.
>>
>
>
> Absolutely correct. Of course, I'll attempt to foster learning the code and
> code use. I'll just tell them about the rare and exotic DX that I've found
> only on CW. And how most contests offer extra points for CW.
>
>
>>I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
>>that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks.
>>Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.
>>
>
>
> That is the only true measure.

Will we measure up?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1833. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 00:06:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113141

Dee:

Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one of the guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female; you have not only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.

Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature might lead you to that conclusion.

Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices here--it is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should really (well, encourage more females so there is something resembling a "balance" here.)

Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:hrKdnY6n3dys7XzfRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:RtZDe.348$Ej4.7137377@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Just could explain why all these cars have taken on an "aerodynamic
>> look" to 'em... yes indeed, I think I can see your hand at work
>> here.
>>
>> If fact, now I look closer, some pretty feline lines grace those
>> rigs! <grin>
>>
>> Just offhand, I'd bet aerospace was much more interesting...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Actually I have nothing to do with the exterior lines of
> automobiles. I work for a supplier developing underhood components.
>
> Well every job I've had has been interesting when you really dive
> into it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1834. anyone know about kit modifation to CW rigs for RTTY or other digital modes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 00:42:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122079369.854847.47250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Back a long (pre 2000)while in Policy someone was tlaking about it being easy to modify one the lowpower CW transceiver kits for some or other digital modes

Anyone have some thoiught and info on this With Nocde HF comming I'd love to build something that, either stand alone or laptop asisted

1835. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 00:47:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122079662.715908.50400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113144

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>>>http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
> >>>>But you see, here is what I'm thinking of. A computer with the RF guts,
> >>>>and a laptop of some choice that plugs into the computer, and sets on
> >>>>top of it. Many of the features now used in hardware could be handled by
> >>>>the laptop. You would have logging, digital modes, dsp - probably not
> >>>>important to you, but for a growing group of us, it would be great.
> >>
> >>>Been done. Kachina 505. Also TT Pegasus. Rigs without a front > > panel.
> >>
> >>Not what I am describing.
> > >
> > >
> > > Except for the form factor, it's exactly what you're describing.
> >
> > The form factor, having several different pieces of equipment to hook
> > together, cabling, and different power supplies.

Exactly. You want it all in an integrated box rather than bits and
pieces.
>
> Imagine a rig that has a 15 - 17 inch screen and a keyboard that
> otherwise looks like a typical HF rig. You turn it on and use it.

The 'DZ is almost there.

As I see it, the trick would be to have the RF part as a lapper docking
station. The laptop makers do the heavy lifting on the digital end.
>
>You arent going to use the Pegasus unless you plug it into a computer.
>
> IMO, those things are similar, but in the same way that a transciever
> and separate transmitter/reciever setups are similar.
>
> In fact, I see this sort of thing as as a natural progression, just
> like from separates to transcivers.
>
Total integration rather than boxes patched together.

>
> >>Imagine some sort of HF transciever. Size
> >>would be similar to what we use today. A laptop or the
> >>equivalent would
> >>be setting on top of the device. The laptop would dock into the
> >>transciever. Functions would be controlled by the laptop.
> >>Although
> >>transciever front panel controls would be a possibility, the
> >>ideal
> >>method for what I am talking about would be all control via the > laptop.
> >
> >
> > Except for the docking station part, that's what a Kachina was.
> > And a Pegasus. Computer did all the control functions, transceiver was
> > an RF modem of sorts.
>
> This system would be much more highly integrated.
>
> You might thiink of this as a system that someone would consider using
> in their automobile. I doubt that there were too many mobile Pegasus
> setups. 8^) Of course, I don't suggest using a keyboard while mobile!
> But that is okay, the rig would perform all the other functions.

One big difference would be that the DSP/SDR would happen at IF from
the getgo.
>
>
> >>This would not be a unit that needed a take-along computer,
> >>or an LCD
> >>screen, both with their own separate power supplies.
> >>It would be a unit
> >>that you plug in, open the case and "boot" the computer and
> >>transciever.
> >
> >
> > All that's really changed is the form factor. And maybe the integration
> > of power supply.
>
>
> I guess I'm having trouble making myself clear.

No, I see what you mean now. Rather than the computer and rig being two
separate entities connected by cables, they'd be one integrated unit.
Avoids duplication of function. Like in a transceiver where one set of
oscillators and filters does the job for both transmit and receive.
>
> >>There were a few devices that touched on the idea, including
> >>the
> >>unmanufactured PSK rig by the Sienna people, and another PSK31 > kit rig that sits
outboard of a laptop.
> >

> >
> > You mean the Warbler?
> >
> >>But this would be a full featured rig, SSB, AM, FM, CW, RTTY,
> >>PSK Hell,
> >>and onaonaonaonaon. At least 100 watts output. DSP that is
> >>upgradeable,
> >>logging software, and whatever else you might do with the rig.
> >
> >
> > Right. Now who is going to bell that cat?
>
> Oh, I imagine someone will eventually. I can't imagine that I'm the
> only one begging for better system integration.

Fun fact: The earliest example of a true heterodyne transceiver I have
been able to find in the amateur radio literature was a CW rig made
from a BC-453. "True heterodyne transceiver" means:

1) The same tunable oscillator tunes both transmitter and receiver
simultaneously,

2) the receiver is a superhet

3) the whole unit is an integrated one-box unit (except for power
supply, antenna, headphones/speaker, and key or microphone).

What you're asking for is the next step - integrated rig/computer.
>
> The Warbler, the unmanufactured kit, and a lot of the others are
> interesting, but they are toys by comparison - thats not an insult, just
> an observation, because I enjoy toys too.
>
> But the new Sienna HF rig is where it is starting to come together
> >
> > I can well understand your dislike of the klugey nature of many
> > rig-computer setups. Two different big boxes (computer and rig)
> > interconnected by a bunch of cables, and a bunch of little boxes too.
> > Bulky and clunky and very unportable.
> >
> > Meanwhile, there are excellent CW rigs like the KX1 that can be
> > configured to be self-contained (rig, battery, ATU, even paddles)
> > except for antenna and headphones. Toss up a wire, plug in the 'phones,
> > turn on the rig and you're on the air.
> >
> > You'd think the digital folk would be ahead of that curve...
>
> We're trying! Seems some people don't like our ideas though! ;^)

Who would that be?

Seems to me the real problem is the divide between the digital and RF worlds. On one side you have the folks who build "radios" - the digital stuff is simply a way to get the job done. So you have dedicated custom microcontrollers in the rig to run the DDS, choose bands, do DSP, etc., but they don't do things like word processing, logging, PSK31 interface, etc. On the other side you have the computer folks with the neat software and generic hardware - but it's all external to the rig.

> >>>>If the laptop were to become obsolete, say if a new mode came along
> >>>>that required too much horsepower, then the unit could be replaced. A
> >>>>mounting kit could be made available. In fact, a radio could be designed
> >>>>so that you could attach your present laptop.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Remember the Warbler?
> >>
> >> Yup. That's about 25 percent of the way there.
> >>
> >>
> >>>In any event what you're suggesting is a rig that is controlled by the
> >>>computer - an RF modem of sorts. Great if you like that sort of thing,
> >>>but they didn't sell all that well. Maybe times have changed.
> >>
> >> Not completely. This rig isn't "controlled by the computer, any more
> >>than it is controlled by the transmitter. cuz if either one isn't there,
> >>it isn't going to work.
> >
> >
> > Can it be used without a computer or not?
>
> Nope.
>
> >
> >>>>>>Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
> >>>>>>might be expanded upon.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
> >>>>>form of standardization.
> >>>>
> >>>> Sometimes.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Why not? Look at what it's done for PCs. Why not for ham rigs?
> >>
> >> One of the areas in which digital people often make a mistake is in
> >>thinking that RF is the same thing as digital. That is why they
> >>sometimes design skunks like BPL.
> >
> >
> > I'm not talking about using PC methods, just the basic idea. The rig

> > would have certain standard insides that could be made by a variety of
> > sources.
> >
> > Example: Suppose we decide on a basic architecture with a given IF,
> > LO/BFO frequencies, power voltages, control signals, signal & impedance
> > levels, and form factor.
> >
> > Once those things are set, the parts could come from anywhere. Want a
> > DDS VFO? All it has to do is meet the interface requirements. PLL? Same
> > difference.
>
> It is an interesting concept. We wouldn't get all the advantadges that
> the PC people would have, given that we wouldn't have the economy of
> scale. But one *very* nice feature is that the homebrewers could make up
> their own subassy boards.
>
> I guess that should wait until after the warranty is over!!

The big problem is that somebody has to make up the standards, and then
the manufacturers stand to lose because people will buy a piece here
and a piece there.

>
>
> <did some snipping>
> >
> > That's due in part to lower interest rates, and in part to a big flow
> > of money from the stock market to real estate.
> >
> >> That progression indicates that a change has happened. A lot higher
> >>percentage goes to putting the roof over the head. That's "okay", just
> >>get another Credit card or two!
> >
> >
> > There are lots of other factors. For example, longer term mortgages
> > increase buying power.
>
> For that house, they do. But the longer the term, the greater the
> future impact. No free lunch. More house now by stretching the paymoents
> out, the less capital available later

Of course. But people are living longer and working longer. Two careers
has become very common.

>
> > Two-income families, and
> > delayed family starts, have their own effects.
>
> > The biggest change is that RE values vary widely with
> > location. *Very* widely.
> >

> >>>2) A house is one of the few investments an individual can
> >>>make that can be used without selling it.
> >>
> >> If you do the home equity thing, you sort of have sold it.
> >
> >
> > Not at all! You still live there.
>
> Kinda like renting, eh?

Except renters have *no* equity, and no tax breaks.
>
> The money isn't free, yaknow. I have a relative who has
> refied her
> house ever time she could. She bought her place a
> few years before we
> bought ours. The house prices were almost the same.

The big question is - why did she refi and take the cash out? What
happened to the money?

A refi to pay for improvements can be a good idea. Say you take out
$100K for a renovation, but that renovation makes the house
worth $100K more and you avoid needing to move. That's a win.

> We are almost
> finished with our mortgage (15 years), and she's still paying, > as every
> time she refied, she reset the mortgage. Looks like she'll be
> making
> mortgage payments for her entire life.
>
Why did she cash out with every refi? I did the refi thing some time
back to get a lower interest rate and to shorten the term.
> >
> >>>3) A house is one of the few necessities an individual can buy
> >>>that will almost certainly appreciate in value. Look at the
> >>>everyday
> >>>things you own - how many of them will be worth more
> >>>in 10 years?
> >>>
> >>>4) Home loan interest is a tax deduction for most people.
> >>>What other debt reduces your taxes?
> >>
> >>All true, Jim. and all used to get people to become "house poor".
> >>
> >
> > What's the alternative, Mike?
>
>
> Living within ones means.

Of course. But who defines what that is?

At one extreme, "living within your means" is saving up for everything
and never carrying any debt at all. Which means you'll be quite old
before you buy a house.

At the other extreme, "living within your means" is taking advantage of
every financial tool there is, to make debt work for you.

Somewhere in the middle is the best path.

> When we bought our place, we spent around
> 100K less than we were "approved" for. The banks thought we
> were nuts,
> the real estate people thought we were nuts (as well as making >for a
> smaller commission) Big deal - we bought a nice place, and have
> discretionary money for investment and other stuff.

But you could find such a place. In a lot of situations, finding a
house you can afford is a real challenge.

> And the dot.com
> folks thought we were nuts for not investing in them, too.....

Yep!

> > Some people resort to living a long way from their jobs in
> > order to pay
> > less for a house, get away from CC&Rs and HOAs, etc. Trouble > > is, they
> > spend lots of time and money commuting, and are at the mercy > > of weather, traffic
and fuel prices.
>
> Sometimes it is just nice to not live in a city too.
>
Of course. Point is, it's a question of balance and situation.

Suppose A buys a house for $500K that means a short commute, good
schools, etc. B buys a similar house for $300K but then has to spend
hours and dollars each day commuting, puts the kids in private schools,
and has to hire out a lot of things s/he could
have DIT'ed except for lack of time.

Who is really ahead?

> >>>>BTW, those McMansions are becoming very, very hard to resell.
> >
> >
> >>>Not around here!
> >>
> >>Interesting! My XYL, who works in the industry doing a lot of high-end
> >>houses around here, notes that the people who have 500K to a million and
> >>up almost always insist on building their own.
> >
> >
> > Where *you* are, $500K is high end. Around here, $500K is a 30-40 year
> > old 4 br 2-1/2 ba Cape Cod tract house on a half-acre lot that needs a

> > bit of work.
>
> Philadelphia area right?

Philadelphia's Main Line. Where suburbia and commuting was invented
over 100 years ago.

>No doubt that they are more expensive.

Exactly.
>
> But 500K isn't really the high end around here.

Nor here. Check out realtor.com with my zip code. Make sure the
properties are in Radnor or Lower Merion school districts. Also make
sure they're houses where a ham could have an antenna, even if it's
just a G5RV.

> > And it will sell in a week.

> As I said, all those "benefits" of owning a house convince
> people to do
> extrordinarily stupid things...
>
For me, "stupid" is putting that kind of money into a house in a place
where the ground shakes every so often. Or the hurricanes come in and
wipe everything out.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1836. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 00:55:14 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122080257_193@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113145

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:KA7Ee.29$j43.243836@news.sisna.com...
> Kim:
>
> I expected as much, a women libber who isn't a libber at all.
>

And you are showing your real ignorance. I am far, far from being a Pansy-ass women's libber. I disagree with most Women's Liberation Theology, I disagree with the tenent of being a Women's Liberation victim (yes, I said victim); and I think most of the Women's Libbers I've met are about as misrepresentative of everyday life as they can possibly be.

I think women should be as capable as anyone else. I try not to see this as a male/female world, because I think that is very devisive and nonproductive. I will get into the ring of life along with anyone else, and I don't look to anyone else to live it for me. If you call that "bad," it's my opinion that you are threatened by that. I don't need any man's permission for thing, nor would I ever allow a man to think I did.

>
> Rather a spoiled female brat which wants all the perks of being female
> and none of the responsibility.
>

Hardly. I can't think of a perk that I'm interested in.

>
> And, you are right, gays marrying makes a mockery out of marriage. Two
> butt buddies (or two lesbian lick masters) are ridiculous and should
> be give mental health to repair their suffering mental conditions...
>

Nope. That isn't right at all--at least not from my perspective. I was cutting into the tragic philosophy that you have. I notice you didn't say much about any of the shortcomings we have as people who aren't gay or lesbian. I believe you are quite mistaken about your beliefs. But, you are entitled to your thoughts on the matter.

>
> You wouldn't know a real female if she ran up and bit ya on yer bum.
> Already you are whinning because your tricks ain't going to work here.
>

Mmm. Your perverted thoughts of women's body parts is noted. And, what tricks are you speaking of? You just can't handle a woman who is quite capable of holding her own. Like I said, that thing your packin' is pretty

worthless.

>
> Screw off, you are just a new age wacko and they are a dime a dozen!
> You are too used to people kissing yer bum to be politically correct,
> ain't gonna happen here--I have too much respect for myself.
>

I am not the slightest bit interested in anyone kissing my ass...right now
in particular: you. And, I doubt you have "too much" respect for yourself.
Your actions say otherwise. I'd pretty much come to the decision that you
are a man in peril with himself.

>
> If you want to stay focused on ham issues good. If you want to argue
> being politically correct or I have to suffer your opinions and
> views--chuck it girl!
>
> John
>

Oh, yeah. I see the "ham issues" you're discussing. ROFLMAO... What a
friggin' weanie... When you get to be HALF the irritant that Larry Roll is,
come back and see me.

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1837. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 00:55:58 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122080301_195@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113146

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dbrbjuuqs1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Kim wrote:
>
>
> > You friggin' nitwit. FIRST, you'd have to get half of the under-35 year
old
> > women the slightest bit interested IN HAM RADIO and/or AT LEAST, help
them
> > let their wimpy-assed husbands get into it and get a radio. THEN come
back
> > to me and discuss this flood of estrogen on YOUR ham bands, k? Where'd
> > y'all drag this one up from?
>
>
> Don't hold back Kim! Let the troll know exactly how you feel!
>
> Well put BTW.. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

LOL, what a twit this freak is!!! He doesn't even realize when he's been
eaten for lunch! ;)

Kim W5TIT

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1838. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 00:58:49 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122080472_197@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113147

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one of
> the guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female; you
> have not only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
>
> Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature
> might lead you to that conclusion.
>
> Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices
> here--it is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should
> really (well, encourage more females so there is something resembling
> a "balance" here.)
>
> Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
>
> John
>

OHMYGAWD!!!! Hey, Dee? Wanna borrow my pahuke bucket?

bleh....

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1839. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:11:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BPKdnXGjorrHBHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113148

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pmeEe.104$DC1.1895497@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> This is going to sound like a joke (and heaven knows I NEVER JOKE!--but it
> isn't...), I once heard the little windshield wiper pumps, the ones which
> spray on the window cleaner were the by-product of nasa technology adopted
> by the auto industry; you wouldn't know about that, would you?
>
> Funny, but that question has stuck in my mind for years. I had made a
> mental note to ask, if ever given the chance... sometimes doesn't take
> much to entertain me... <frown> I actually doubt it... I mean, the
> cleaner would freeze in the tank in space, immediately freeze and/or
> crystallize to ice when discharged, etc...
>
> or, perhaps they meant the pumps used by nasa were actually for another
> purpose and just adapted to windshields here on terafirma...
>
> John
>

Don't know about the pumps unfortunately. However it is amazing some of the things that came out of the space program. The original ball point pen was one. In the form developed for NASA, they would write in any position and did not have to rely on gravity feed for the ink (more of a capillary action or some such and took very high quality ink). This was then commercialized in a much cheaper form for the public. These are the pens that would cease to write if you had the point higher than the body.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1840. NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:13:39 UTC
From: Joe Guthart <jguthart@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YO2dnfRCpo1ZBHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113149

I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and decided to drop the code requirements. Here's why ...

I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby for me ... however, I just plainly can't take time to effectively study the code at this point in my life. I think my story is pretty common for most of the new people entering Amateur Radio today. I am a 43 year old married father of three children. I have a background in Aerospace Engineering and my full time work is in the high technology sector working with many well known computing infrastructure companies. I got my Technician license about two and a half years ago because it was a neat little challenge for me to combine radio communications with some of the newer digital modes. Basically, it was fun, not really technical challenging, but a nice way to relax. I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would play around with some different radio, antenna, and computer configurations and started to develop a relationship with some fellow ham buddies. Soon I became limited with the VHF/UHF band and wanted to hop onto HF. Of course, by the current rules one would have to jump on and take on learning Morse Code. I am absolutely sure I could do this if I had enough time. Having an ongoing career and being a family man, doesn't really leave a whole lot of time to practice dits and dahs. I have actually played around with the code several times, but real life events and changing priorities always got in the way; not en excuse just reality. I really do see learning the code as similar to my older engineering brethren making me learn how to use a slide rule; it's kind of neat, but not really effective for me, or most folks, given the advance of other computing methods and devices.

Fellow hams need to sit back and take an objective look at this hobby because it is dying a rapid death! Amateur Radio needs me and people just like me to join in on the HF bands and to use our real world high tech expertise to help further Amateur Radio. Amateur Radio also needs my $$$$. Take a look at the declining number of amateur radio manufacturers and radios. How could any business justify spending a lot on research and development in a market that is collapsing?

Finally, I honor all those of you who are code proficient. I too may one day still be code proficient even if there is no formal testing. However, I do think that the right decision has been made by the FCC and will ultimately be finalized with little changes. This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into it.

73's to All,

Joe / KG4YJS

1841. anyone know doofus?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:16:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rTgEe.147$hH3.2084924@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113200

http://www.3950.net/

John

1842. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:16:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xpGdnXQyp_YnNHzfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113221

John Smith wrote:
> Robert:
>
> I would think a real president would have surrounded himself with
> highly capable people.
>
> In the event of ANY threat, accident or other occurrence, a
> contingency plan would already be in place which would cover the
> emergency in question--indeed, if it were I which were president,
> there would even be a plan in place which would ensure America is
> quite safe and well protected and life could go on as usual with
> EVERYTHING being taken in step, even upon my death, or even the death
> of myself and a great number of my people. If you are president there
> is no reason to expect the American people to settle for anything
> less!
>
> Indeed, even if I were blocked from communication and interaction, for
> some period of time, my people would have been instructed what to do
> until such time as I could take my place beside them.
>

So you can think of every possible threating event that could ever
possibly occur and be prepaired for it. Sure you can.

1843. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:23:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <d5ednXl6fKzfAXzfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113201

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:feKdnfP5JsisGnzfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>

[snip]

>>>Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and listened to
>>>enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself, right to my face,
>>>from OT's who haven't done a thing for the service for years, talk about
>>>how "Any idiot can become a Ham now." This happens more often than it
>>>should.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I would've gotten right in their faces and asked them what they had done
>> lately for ham radio.
>
> Well, my mode of operation is not to get in peoples faces. If someone does
> manage to get me mad, we usually have a private talk. Lets them save face.
> Usually I just say something like "I resemble that remark!"
>

Actually Mike, it depends. If they have spoken in private, I speak in
private. If they choose to make a fool of themselves in public, then I'll
help them along and point out that foolishness in public. I've had
experience with people who will deliberately put you down in public based on
the concept that you are too polite to respond in public. I had a former
husband who was like that and I finally had to go ahead and speak out in
public to get him to stop. Chewing him out in private did no good.

[snip]
>>>I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior establish
>>>that superiority by example, not by grousing or ridiculing the new folks.
>>>Foster the idea that good manners and technical acumen is a good thing.
>>>
>>
>>
>> That is the only true measure.
>
> Will we measure up?
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

I hope so. I know both you and I and many others will try.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1844. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:24:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5OydnVXpeYjqAXzfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 113202

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one of the
> guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female; you have not
> only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
>
> Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature might
> lead you to that conclusion.
>
> Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices here--it
> is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should really (well,
> encourage more females so there is something resembling a "balance" here.)
>
> Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
>
> John
>

Thank you.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1845. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:25:14 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xpGdnXcyp_ZPNnzfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113223

John Smith wrote:
> Kim:
>
> I expected as much, a women libber who isn't a libber at all.
>
> Rather a spoiled female brat which wants all the perks of being female
> and none of the responsibility.
>
> And, you are right, gays marrying makes a mockery out of marriage. Two
> butt buddies (or two lesbian lick masters) are ridiculous and should
> be give mental health to repair their suffering mental conditions...
>
> You wouldn't know a real female if she ran up and bit ya on yer bum.
> Already you are whinning because your tricks ain't going to work here.
>
> Screw off, you are just a new age wacko and they are a dime a dozen!
> You are too used to people kissing yer bum to be politically correct,
> ain't gonna happen here--I have too much respect for myself.
>
> If you want to stay focused on ham issues good. If you want to argue
> being politically correct or I have to suffer your opinions and
> views--chuck it girl!
>
> John

LOL!!! Gotta luv it! Why do you think she got that call John? She needs
attention.

1846. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:28:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9eOdnUROkZPQAHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113203

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122080472_197@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one of
>> the guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female; you
>> have not only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
>>
>> Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature
>> might lead you to that conclusion.
>>
>> Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices
>> here--it is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should
>> really (well, encourage more females so there is something resembling
>> a "balance" here.)
>>
>> Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> OHMYGAWD!!!! Hey, Dee? Wanna borrow my pahuke bucket?
>
> bleh....
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

I'm not too worried about it as I'm a very mellow person. I accept it as
the compliment that it appears to have been intended to be.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1847. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:29:36 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122082318_223@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113204

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:5OydnVXpeYjqAXzfRVn-pg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
> > Dee:
> >
> > Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one of the
> > guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female; you have
not
> > only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
> >
> > Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature
might
> > lead you to that conclusion.
> >
> > Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices here--it
> > is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should really (well,
> > encourage more females so there is something resembling a "balance"
here.)
> >
> > Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
> >
> > John
> >
>
> Thank you.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

I am shocked, Dee. You actually think this attitude is OK from a guy?

Kim W5TIT

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1848. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:36:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uahEe.151$Ec3.2000034@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113205

look, what you think, what you expect, what you want--don't waste your time or mine telling me--get it or don't, I am here neither to stop you nor assist you...

... next, if you have to go though quoting each and ever line and/or paragraph you are responding to, and you cannot organize your thought and create your own text without intermingling it with mine--don't expect me to read it, I don't have the time to be wading though a bunch of text I have written myself--create a work of your own which can stand on its own two feet! I know you have seen others incapable of free thought and an attention span shorter than their thumb do it--but dependence on another to weed through that mess you create is way too much to ask for!

So, you proclaim yourself neither a libber nor to follow what was a conventional woman's behavior from before--ok, big deal, free world, you waste my time, don't tell me about it do it!!! I find such proclamation neither new, original or threatening... perhaps boring, I have been doing it since I was born!

I note you wish to argue, can you stay focused, what is it you are saying here, use your own text... don't cut and paste mine or others, if you can't write--take a class!

If you have anything real to say, I'll be here...

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122080257_193@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:KA7Ee.29$j43.243836@news.sisna.com...
>> Kim:
>>
>> I expected as much, a women libber who isn't a libber at all.
>>
>
> And you are showing your real ignorance. I am far, far from being a
> Pansy-ass women's libber. I disagree with most Women's Liberation
> Theology,
> I disagree with the tenent of being a Women's Liberation victim
> (yes, I said
> victim); and I think most of the Women's Libbers I've met are about
> as
> misrepresentative of everyday life as they can possibly be.
>

> I think women should be as capable as anyone else. I try not to see
> this as
> a male/female world, because I think that is very devisive and
> nonproductive. I will get into the ring of life along with anyone
> else, and
> I don't look to anyone else to live it for me. If you call that
> "bad," it's
> my opinion that you are threatened by that. I don't need any man's
> permission for thing, nor would I ever allow a man to think I did.
>
>>
>> Rather a spoiled female brat which wants all the perks of being
>> female
>> and none of the responsibility.
>>
>
> Hardly. I can't think of a perk that I'm interested in.
>
>>
>> And, you are right, gays marrying makes a mockery out of marriage.
>> Two
>> butt buddies (or two lesbian lick masters) are ridiculous and
>> should
>> be give mental health to repair their suffering mental
>> conditions...
>>
>
> Nope. That isn't right at all--at least not from my perspective. I
> was
> cutting into the tragic philosophy that you have. I notice you
> didn't say
> much about any of the shortcomings we have as people who aren't gay
> or
> lesbian. I believe you are quite mistaken about your beliefs. But,
> you are
> entitled to your thoughts on the matter.
>
>>
>> You wouldn't know a real female if she ran up and bit ya on yer
>> bum.
>> Already you are whinning because your tricks ain't going to work
>> here.
>>
>
> Mmm. Your perverted thoughts of women's body parts is noted. And,
> what
> tricks are you speaking of? You just can't handle a woman who is
> quite
> capable of holding her own. Like I said, that thing your packin' is
> pretty

> worthless.
>
>>
>> Screw off, you are just a new age wacko and they are a dime a
>> dozen!
>> You are too used to people kissing yer bum to be politically
>> correct,
>> ain't gonna happen here--I have too much respect for myself.
>>
>
> I am not the slightest bit interested in anyone kissing my
> ass...right now
> in particular: you. And, I doubt you have "too much" respect for
> yourself.
> Your actions say otherwise. I'd pretty much come to the decision
> that you
> are a man in peril with himself.
>
>>
>> If you want to stay focused on ham issues good. If you want to
>> argue
>> being politically correct or I have to suffer your opinions and
>> views--chuck it girl!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Oh, yeah. I see the "ham issues" you're discussing. ROFLMAO...
> What a
> friggin' weanie... When you get to be HALF the irritant that Larry
> Roll is,
> come back and see me.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1849. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:37:06 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122082769_245@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113206

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:d5ednXI6fKzfAXzfRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >
> > Will we measure up?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I hope so. I know both you and I and many others will try.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

What, exactly, are people supposed to "measure up" to in ham radio? We are all amateur radio operators. None of us has a thing to prove to the other--at least not as far as I can see it. We each have our preferences, etc. I would no more expect anyone to "measure up" to me; than I would have them expect me to "measure up" to them.

That is a basic fault of amateur radio, I think--the "competitiveness" of it. I think the minute that limitations are introduced into an environment, it is set up for such a pitfall--because people cannot generally rise above it. By the very nature of the licensing structure, ham radio is a contentious environment.

Anyway, came into this late, and it chaps my hide to see people expecting others to "measure up" to, what: their importance, or something? I don't know...maybe I am misunderstanding this one post I've read in this thread.

Kim W5TIT
Not planning on trying to measure up to anything, but my own expectations of myself.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1850. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:38:05 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122082828_247@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113207

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:9eOdnUROkZPQAHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122080472_197@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
> >> Dee:
> >>
> >> Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one of
> >> the guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female; you
> >> have not only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
> >>
> >> Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature
> >> might lead you to that conclusion.
> >>
> >> Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices
> >> here--it is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should
> >> really (well, encourage more females so there is something resembling
> >> a "balance" here.)
> >>
> >> Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >
> > OHMYGAWD!!!! Hey, Dee? Wanna borrow my pahuke bucket?
> >
> > bleh....
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> I'm not too worried about it as I'm a very mellow person. I accept it as
> the compliment that it appears to have been intended to be.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

hmmmmm, appearances are nearly always, always deceiving.

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1851. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:39:06 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122082746.122619.130280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mr. Davies rises from the dead to advise us:
I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the stupid ham licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and whatever else I want to and it will all be legel.

Aha ! ! ! You're alive and well. Thank you for checking in to let us know the rumors about your demise were bogus.

We can't wait till you check in to The Great Liberty Net. Maybe Kevin will be on the air for your first check-in. I'll advise Sir Sidney and Kind Jewish Indian and a few others to expect you very soon.

Maybe Tarzan and Doofus Jammer and Red Panty Man and Raincoat Charlie will help you with your first check-in, too.

Bro. Lawrence and Bro. Les and Y-Man and a few others will be ring-side with their sw radios tuned in for the big event, too. Y-Man will bring popcorn for everybody.

Nashville Joe will be delighted to hear the news - I can't wait to tell him.

Now stick around here - get your abuse from a much better class of people. Nimbusters doesn't do you justice.

1852. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:40:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122082830.369986.234980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113208

From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>Jayson Davis wrote:

>>> And a strange line of thought Jayson uses. Kind of like we're supposed
>>>to say:
>
>>> "Ham Radio - we don't suck now!"
>
>> That would be a REALISTIC beginning... :-)
>
>> Oh, Michael, we've all seen the "crowd" represented by K4YZ. :-)
>
> Steve represents mostly himself. I've seen a lot of people who
>represent a lot of things.

Ahhhh...but to merely disagree with K4YZ is to HATE HAM RADIO!

Ergo, he >>IS<< ham radio! :-)

>>> To Jayson:
>
>>> Then why the heck do you want us to advertise that the Morse test is gone.
>
>> Not the YOU "us," Michael. The ARRL badly needs new membership.
>> They've never had as many as a quarter of all U.S. amateur
>> radio licensees as members and are currently down around just
>> 20% of licensees.
>
>ARR?

I wrote "ARRL" sweetums...and it comes back as "ARRL."

>> ARRL is more business than organization and
>> the business side of the house has to show a profit.
>
>>> I wonder why so many people who hate Ham radio seem to know exactly how
>>>Ham radio is supposed to be?
>
>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>
> Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also

>insecure?

Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
IN THE UNITED STATES. Period. They grant the licenses for
same.

Others merely INTERPRET what THEY THINK it is about.

>> You are sounding EXACTLY like the "superior OTs" you decry in
>> another message...like everyone MUST accept what YOU accept
>> as a definition. Tsk.
>
> Tsk indeed. My question is a simple one. Its a rough equivalent of the
>US basing our economic policy on what a group of olde tyme Russian
>communists think it should be. They didn't like us much at all. I bet
>they had some "good ideas" on how we should run things though...

"Russian communists" have NOTHING to do with this NPRM.

Try to stay focussed.

>> "Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
>> with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
>> individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
>> that skill goes back 161 years! :-)
>
> Lots of bright students don't want to learn anything that they don't
>think is relevant.

Har! That's one of the WEAKEST arguments mumbled by so many.

The FCC is NOT an academic institution and licensees are NOT
"students"...NOT even prospective licensees going for a test.

Actually, that "argument" is total bullshit OUT of the academic
arena. ALL the OTHER radio services (except maritime radio on
the Great Lakes) have GIVEN UP on morse code for communications.
It simply hasn't proven to be "better" than other modes, takes
longer, and no longer "gets through" better.

All you are doing with that "argument" is really enforcing a
sort of tribal myth, aka a "hazing" ritual. Note: The FCC
isn't a fraternity house either.

>>> BTW, isn't there a slight contradiction between wanting to attract
>>>large number of people, and wanting to attract the bright and intelligent?
>
>> How does a requirement of knowing 161-year-old morsemanship
>> skill attract the "bright and intelligent?" :-)
>
> non sequitur. I wasn't writing of Morse code.

Hello? See that Subject Line up on the Header? This whole thread
is about the NPRM in WT Docket 05-235...which is about DROPPING

the MORSE CODE TEST.

I really do NOT know what YOU are writing about...some of the time.

>>>> Yet all they whine about is the code test and that 3% of the
>>>>allocations that do require a code test.
>
>>>> Why?
>
>>> Those type hate Hams. Maybe that isn't gospel truth, but its close enough.
>
>> Poor babies...feeling "hated" are you both?
>
> Everyone is hated by someone. If a person allows themselves to be
>bothered by it, they are a poor baby indeed.

No, sweetums, YOU got the non-sequitur. Note what I said about
K4YZ: Anyone simply disagreeing with him is ACCUSED to be
HATING HAM RADIO! Search all you want through Google and you
will find him using that "phrase of hate." Do YOU want to be
like him?

>> Feeling "hated" because so few agree with your self-proclaimed
>> "definitions" of What It Is All About?
>
> If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is true, it remains a
>foolish thing.

"50 million people in WHAT?" Where are you dreaming today?

Hello? Concentrate on the thread subject.

>> Yes, it's all a big conspiracy. All those who disagree with you
>> two HATE YOU! Not only that, THEY HATE HAM RADIO...because you
>> two define yourself as "ham radio!" [you two have the conspiracy
>> thing all in a row, lined up...in your minds]
>
> Easy there, don't get the B.P. up!

Are you now a "nurse?" My "beep" is as close to 120 over 80 as an
adult male can get.

Tsk, Michael, your "visual hearing" is suffering from "visual
tinnitus" also. Or its your ego getting in the way of logical
objectivity. Try to understand that YOU do NOT define U.S.
amateur radio...the FCC does. Just because others don't accept
your interpretation does NOT mean they "hate ham radio."

>> Tsk. How little you two know of REAL engineering and
>> "experimentation." :-)
>
> So what you are saying is that those who do know about REAL engineering
>and "experimentation" won't do it unless only everything is to their

>exact liking? Otherwise they will simply wait until everything is to
>their exact liking?

No, I'm just saying you two don't know dink about REAL
engineering...or experimentation. :-)

You both like to posture as if you do, but neither does. :-)

>> Have fun in the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society). [why
>> are you here instead of playing with your HF radios?]
>
> I am here *while* I'm playing with my HF radio.

BS. At a little after 1:30 on a Friday afternoon you should
be WORKING at your place of employment. You only work 4 days
a week? Tsk, NOT the Capitalist Way!

At the close of FCC business in DC on 22 Jul 05, the Comments
on WT Docket 05-235 are running about 2:1 in favor of dropping
the code test. [just a little reminder] Stay "tuned." On your
"HF radio" or your computer. [don't get them mixed up]

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1853. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:41:13 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122083016_249@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113209

Are you drunk? Or, is it drugs?

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1854. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:43:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VMWdnUUn87h6PXzfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113211

Boy did you fall for a bunch of propaganda! Although we have fallen off the all time high as far as numbers go, we are in no significant decline. Rather we are in a period of stabilization. Some weeks ago, I had shown the hams as a percentage of population and it's been holding pretty stable. Unfortunately, I had a bit of a computer problem and lost the file. When I get time, I'll have to research the data again and recreate the file.

As far as the declining number of manufacturers goes, the normal progression in any business is towards fewer companies serving the market. Unless one takes steps to stop it, a free market economy goes through a cycle. New product with lots of new companies. Then continuing consolidation until there is a near monopoly by one or a handful of companies. Occasionally, a new company will break in but not too often. This has happened in EVERY industry not just radio manufacturing. At least a dozen car companies, if not more, have come and gone in the US and we are down to three. Dozens of computer companies have come and gone. Dozens of software companies and so on.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:YO2dnfRCpo1ZBHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com...
I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and decided to drop the code requirements. Here's why ...

I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby for me ... however, I just plainly can't take time to effectively study the code at this point in my life. I think my story is pretty common for most of the new people entering Amateur Radio today. I am a 43 year old married father of three children. I have a background in Aerospace Engineering and my full time work is in the high technology sector working with many well known computing infrastructure companies. I got my Technician license about two and a half years ago because it was a neat little challenge for me to combine radio communications with some of the newer digital modes. Basically, it was fun, not really technical challenging, but a nice way to relax. I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would play around with some different radio, antenna, and computer configurations and started to develop a relationship with some fellow ham buddies. Soon I became limited with the VHF/UHF band and wanted to hop onto HF. Of course, by the current rules one would have to jump on and take on learning Morse Code. I am absolutely sure I could do this if I had enough time. Having an ongoing career and being a family man, doesn't really leave a whole lot of time to practice dits and dahs. I have actually played around with the code several times, but real life events and changing priorities always got in the way; not en excuse just reality. I really do see learning the code as similar to my older engineering brethren making me learn how to use a slide rule; it's kind of neat, but not really effective for me, or most folks, given the advance of other computing methods and devices.

Fellow hams need to sit back and take an objective look at this hobby because it is dying a rapid death! Amateur Radio needs me and people just like me to join in on the HF bands and to use our real world high tech expertise to help further Amateur Radio. Amateur Radio also needs my $$$$. Take a look at the declining number of amateur radio manufacturers and

radios. How could any business justify spending a lot on research and development in a market that is collapsing?

Finally, I honor all those of you who are code proficient. I too may one day still be code proficient even if there is no formal testing. However, I do think that the right decision has been made by the FCC and will ultimately be finalized with little changes. This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into it.

73's to All,

Joe / KG4YJS

1855. There are no legitimate arguments for CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:44:35 UTC
From: dude <scorpiox@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20050723014435.8897.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 113212

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

The subject said it all.

Signed,
scorpiox

~~~
This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the message.
It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
Date: Sat Jul 23 01:44:32 2005 GMT
From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3ia
Charset: noconv

iQEVAwUBQuGhAk5NDhYLYPHNAQHlNgf7BK+A2Z855K4R0AcefSXIUgVl1UmhTAIs
x6GfTty1nnFDe9Fl8ZY6a24bPLHb3lErXhkN4BvGHM0JLtDr4/hPnnwoKsFTwcgx
QhtWNAd7l/Qpxw3tQcDgs3zD8dyJwofJ0/gQPLyUx3llEFJBcDMdAr7Mn4YVSVu/
CIfzJOX1FV8SzZ52cUxMvSt29TI1h9uAPzQNnvG3u56S2TcxgJLPhJyMuuX7N/Rs
IANxjj3DIUDXrjKJjzt975T5qJZVtjxi36Fh1E4eSGc3lLvj4CuhkWfXEa9LL9PX
YM6vBKhnOl+y4firTP/tmnCpMCvEinszwf3ez+0CbnMXP5v5TFGjiw==
=Bsaf
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1856. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:45:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rjhEe.154$yQ3.2096189@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113213

Kim:

Don't even imagine you have earned such a "peace offering" yet...

ROFLOL!!!

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122080472_197@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one
>> of
>> the guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female;
>> you
>> have not only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
>>
>> Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature
>> might lead you to that conclusion.
>>
>> Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices
>> here--it is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should
>> really (well, encourage more females so there is something
>> resembling
>> a "balance" here.)
>>
>> Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> OHMYGAWD!!!! Hey, Dee? Wanna borrow my pahuke bucket?
>
> bleh....
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1857. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:47:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ao-dnd9VVc96PHzfRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113214

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122082769_245@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:d5ednXI6fKzfAXzfRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >
>> > Will we measure up?
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> I hope so. I know both you and I and many others will try.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> What, exactly, are people supposed to "measure up" to in ham radio? We
> are
> all amateur radio operators. None of us has a thing to prove to the
> other--at least not as far as I can see it. We each have our preferences,
> etc. I would no more expect anyone to "measure up" to me; than I would
> have
> them expect me to "measure up" to them.
>
> That is a basic fault of amateur radio, I think--the "competitiveness" of
> it. I think the minute that limitations are introduced into an
> environment,
> it is set up for such a pitfall--because people cannot generally rise
> above
> it. By the very nature of the licensing structure, ham radio is a
> contentious environment.
>
> Anyway, came into this late, and it chaps my hide to see people expecting
> others to "measure up" to, what: their importance, or something? I don't
> know...maybe I am misunderstanding this one post I've read in this thread.
>
> Kim W5TIT
> Not planning on trying to measure up to anything, but my own expectations
> of
> myself.
>
>

To me it is doing my best to provide a good example and a good Elmer. That is the standard to which I (and I believe Mike) hope to be able to measure up to.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1858. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:48:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QlhEe.155$U24.2118713@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113215

Kim:

When I view your text a peculiar thing happens... the letters
rearrange themselves and end up spelling:

"'CHIT STIRRER"

Ahhh, maybe I need new glasses...
<grin>

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122082828_247@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:9eOdnUROkZPQAHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122080472_197@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
>> >> Dee:
>> >>
>> >> Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as
>> >> "one of
>> >> the guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female;
>> >> you
>> >> have not only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
>> >>
>> >> Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive
>> >> nature
>> >> might lead you to that conclusion.
>> >>
>> >> Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices
>> >> here--it is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor
>> >> should
>> >> really (well, encourage more females so there is something
>> >> resembling
>> >> a "balance" here.)
>> >>
>> >> Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >
>> > OHMYGAWD!!!! Hey, Dee? Wanna borrow my pahuke bucket?

>> >
>> > bleh....
>> >
>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>>
>> I'm not too worried about it as I'm a very mellow person. I accept
>> it as
>> the compliment that it appears to have been intended to be.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> hmmmmm, appearances are nearly always, always deceiving.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1859. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:49:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FmhEe.157$Xb3.1996864@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113216

Dee:

You are quite welcome, and deserving... thank you for being so
gracious...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:5OydnVXpeYjqAXzfRVn-pg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one
>> of the guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female;
>> you have not only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
>>
>> Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive nature
>> might lead you to that conclusion.
>>
>> Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices
>> here--it is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should
>> really (well, encourage more females so there is something
>> resembling a "balance" here.)
>>
>> Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Thank you.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1860. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:51:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tohEe.158$ji3.2013318@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113217

Kim:

Do you ever wonder how deep my "perversion" goes, the one you accused
me of--in that mess you made by intermingling my text with yours?

Well, don't, I am sitting here undressing you with my eyes!!!

ROFLOL!!!!!

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122082318_223@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:5OydnVXpeYjqAXzfRVn-pg@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:URfEe.132$7k1.1779788@news.sisna.com...
>> > Dee:
>> >
>> > Let us both look at this, we both know I have treated you as "one
>> > of the
>> > guys" here, I have pulled no punches because you are female; you
>> > have
> not
>> > only taken it in stride, you have taken it well.
>> >
>> > Don't feel I do not value your comments, even if my abrasive
>> > nature
> might
>> > lead you to that conclusion.
>> >
>> > Because you are female, you are one of the most valuable voices
>> > here--it
>> > is just that way, nothing anyone can do about, nor should really
>> > (well,
>> > encourage more females so there is something resembling a
>> > "balance"
> here.)
>> >
>> > Hang tough girl, ya got what it takes... <warm smile>
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>>
>> Thank you.

>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> I am shocked, Dee. You actually think this attitude is OK from a
> guy?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1861. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:52:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IphEe.159$YF3.2074769@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113218

Geesh...

Kim, I don't have time... neither for drugs, alcohol or you...

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122083016_249@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> Are you drunk? Or, is it drugs?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1862. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 01:56:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <YshEe.160$io1.1838222@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113219

Dee:

Measure up?

Girl, take a look at the man holding the yardstick... a damn midget!

'nuff said...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:d5ednXI6fKzfAXzfRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:feKdnfP5JsisGnzfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:dbr1r7$16s8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>>>
>
> [snip]
>
>>>>Now will be a time for Elmering and openness. I've sat and
>>>>listened to enough grumbling about Nickel Extras such as myself,
>>>>right to my face, from OT's who haven't done a thing for the
>>>>service for years, talk about how "Any idiot can become a Ham
>>>>now." This happens more often than it should.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I would've gotten right in their faces and asked them what they
>>> had done lately for ham radio.
>>
>> Well, my mode of operation is not to get in peoples faces. If
>> someone does manage to get me mad, we usually have a private talk.
>> Lets them save face. Usually I just say something like "I resemble
>> that remark!"
>>
>
>
> Actually Mike, it depends. If they have spoken in private, I speak
> in private. If they choose to make a fool of themselves in public,
> then I'll help them along and point out that foolishness in public.
> I've had experience with people who will deliberately put you down
> in public based on the concept that you are too polite to respond in

> public. I had a former husband who was like that and I finally had
> to go ahead and speak out in public to get him to stop. Chewing him
> out in private did no good.
>
> [snip]
>>>>I suggest that the Ham who wants to be thought of as superior
>>>>establish that superiority by example, not by grousing or
>>>>ridiculing the new folks. Foster the idea that good manners and
>>>>technical acumen is a good thing.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> That is the only true measure.
>>
>> Will we measure up?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I hope so. I know both you and I and many others will try.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1863. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:12:14 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TLednVqZtd3EOnzfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 113220

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ZcdEe.90$MZ6.1391726@news.sisna.com...
> oh yeah, I forgot...
>
> They do run a rest home for old timers who have become brain damaged
> from exposure to high power RF fields!
>
> As a matter of fact, I think they are an equal opportunity employer
> (they hire the disabled), and their employee base comes from there!
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> John

John if that inane statement of yours causes you to ROFLOL ......then I
would have to ask what your RF exposure has been of late ....

Also I hope you never become disabled ....and above that ..... need a job
....

73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

1864. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:23:54 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zsOdnX5dz9EPJHzfRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113235

John Smith wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz:
>
> Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
>
> <disappointed-look-on-face>
>
> John

Don't know but you probably don't want to mentally undress her unless
you can take being scared half to death.

1865. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:24:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MThEe.163$kU4.2199676@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113222

Tom and/or garigue:

How do you know I am not one of those "brain damaged" hams? Made so
from running illegal-multiple-kilowatts off my bedsprings (and
experiencing a HIGH SWR which bathed me in gamma rays like got the
green hulk!) in a cheap-wino-hotel?

(well, ok, I am lying--it was a motel)

<toothless-deranged-grin>

Keep a sense of humor about you man--it helps when all else fails
you...

John

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TLednVqZtd3EOnzfRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ZcdEe.90$MZ6.1391726@news.sisna.com...
>> oh yeah, I forgot...
>>
>> They do run a rest home for old timers who have become brain
>> damaged
>> from exposure to high power RF fields!
>>
>> As a matter of fact, I think they are an equal opportunity employer
>> (they hire the disabled), and their employee base comes from there!
>>
>> ROFLOL!!!
>>
>> John
>
> John if that inane statement of yours causes you to ROFLOL
> ......then I
> would have to ask what your RF exposure has been of late ....
>
> Also I hope you never become disabled ....and above that .....
> need a job
> ....
>
>
> 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
>
>

1866. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:31:23 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wYadnZ6Vh8RKNnzfRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113224

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:YO2dnfRCpo1ZBHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com...
I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and decided to drop the code requirements. Here's why ...

I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby for me ... however, I just plainly can't take time to effectively study the code at this point in my life.

10 min a night Joe would get you there in several weeks ..... don't have to be an expert just 5 wpm .....

I think my story is pretty common for most of the new people entering Amateur Radio today. I am a 43 year old married father of three children. I have a background in Aerospace Engineering and my full time work is in the high technology sector working with many well known computing infrastructure companies. I got my Technician license about two and a half years ago because it was a neat little challenge for me to combine radio communications with some of the newer digital modes. Basically, it was fun, not really technical challenging, but a nice way to relax.

Exactly Joe it is a good way to relax ..... if it were work then I wouldn't be doing it ......

I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would play around with some different radio, antenna, and computer configurations and started to develop a relationship with some fellow ham buddies. Soon I became limited with the VHF/UHF band and wanted to hop onto HF. Of course, by the current rules one would have to jump on and take on learning Morse Code. I am absolutely sure I could do this if I had enough time. Having an ongoing career and being a family man, doesn't really leave a whole lot of time to practice dits and dahs. I have actually played around with the code

10 min a night for several weeks would get the job done quite well .... no problem for 5 wpm

Finally, I honor all those of you who are code proficient.

Joe .... honor the vets not people who have a simple skill ..... proficient ???? I don't have a good answer for that except 5 WPM is proficient for a 5 WPM op ....

I too may one day still be code proficient even if there is no formal testing.

Good Joe as you will be missing out on one "relaxing" mode ..... excuse me protocol ..... I look forward to seeing you on the lower edges .....

However, I do think that the right decision has been made by the FCC and will ultimately be finalized with little changes. This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into it.

Joe I wish I could agree with you but I just don't see how that will happen ..... unless testing is done away with entirely.
However if it does .....I will buy all a virtual beer on this group.

73's to All,

Joe / KG4YJS

Take care Joe ...73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

1867. Re: And It's a *Kit*!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:34:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I9edna8nft8uMXzfRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113225

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>http://www.getboost.com/dz/sienna.htm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>But you see, here is what I'm thinking of. A computer with the RF guts,
>>>>>>and a laptop of some choice that plugs into the computer, and sets on
>>>>>>top of it. Many of the features now used in hardware could be handled by
>>>>>>the laptop. You would have logging, digital modes, dsp - probably not
>>>>>>important to you, but for a growing group of us, it would be great.
>>>>
>>>>Been done. Kachina 505. Also TT Pegasus. Rigs without a front > > panel.
>>>>
>>>>Not what I am describing.
>>>
>>>
>>>Except for the form factor, it's exactly what you're describing.
>>
>> The form factor, having several different pieces of equipment to hook
>>together, cabling, and different power supplies.
>
>
> Exactly. You want it all in an integrated box rather than bits and
> pieces.
>
>>Imagine a rig that has a 15 - 17 inch screen and a keyboard that
>>otherwise looks like a typical HF rig. You turn it on and use it.
>
>
> The 'DZ is almost there.

>
> As I see it, the trick would be to have the RF part as a lapper docking
> station. The laptop makers do the heavy lifting on the digital end.
>
>>You arent going to use the Pegasus unless you plug it into a computer.
>>
>> IMO, those things are similar, but in the same way that a transciever
>>and separate transmitter/reciever setups are similar.
>>
>> In fact, I see this sort of thing as as a natural progression, just
>>like from separates to transcivers.
>>
>
> Total integration rather than boxes patched together.
>
>>>>Imagine some sort of HF transciever. Size
>>>>would be similar to what we use today. A laptop or the
>>>>equivalent would
>>>>be setting on top of the device. The laptop would dock into the
>>>>transciever. Functions would be controlled by the laptop.
>>>>Although
>>>>transciever front panel controls would be a possibility, the
>>>>ideal
>>>>method for what I am talking about would be all control via the > laptop.
>>>
>>>
>>>Except for the docking station part, that's what a Kachina was.
>>>And a Pegasus. Computer did all the control functions, transceiver was
>>>an RF modem of sorts.
>>
>> This system would be much more highly integrated.
>>
>> You might thiink of this as a system that someone would consider using
>>in their automobile. I doubt that there were too many mobile Pegasus
>>setups. 8^) Of course, I don't suggest using a keyboard while mobile!
>>But that is okay, the rig would perform all the other functions.
>
>
> One big difference would be that the DSP/SDR would happen at IF from
> the getgo.
>
>>
>>>>This would not be a unit that needed a take-along computer,
>>>>or an LCD
>>>>screen, both with their own separate power supplies.
>>>>It would be a unit
>>>>that you plug in, open the case and "boot" the computer and
>>>>transciever.
>>>
>>>

>>>All that's really changed is the form factor. And maybe the integration
>>>of power supply.
>>
>>
>> I guess I'm having trouble making myself clear.
>
>
> No, I see what you mean now. Rather than the computer and rig being two
> separate entities connected by cables, they'd be one integrated unit.
> Avoids duplication of function. Like in a transceiver where one set of
> oscillators and filters does the job for both transmit and receive.
>
>>>>There were a few devices that touched on the idea, including
>>>>the
>>>>unmanufactured PSK rig by the Sienna people, and another PSK31 > kit rig that sits
outboard of a laptop.
>>>
>>>
>>>You mean the Warbler?
>>>
>>>
>>>>But this would be a full featured rig, SSB, AM, FM, CW, RTTY,
>>>>PSK Hell,
>>>>and onaonaonaonaon. At least 100 watts output. DSP that is
>>>>upgradeable,
>>>>logging software, and whatever else you might do with the rig.
>>>
>>>
>>>Right. Now who is going to bell that cat?
>>
>>Oh, I imagine someone will eventually. I can't imagine that I'm the
>>only one begging for better system integration.
>
>
> Fun fact: The earliest example of a true heterodyne transceiver I have
> been able to find in the amateur radio literature was a CW rig made
> from a BC-453. "True heterodyne transceiver" means:
>
> 1) The same tunable oscillator tunes both transmitter and receiver
> simultaneously,
>
> 2) the receiver is a superhet
>
> 3) the whole unit is an integrated one-box unit (except for power
> supply, antenna, headphones/speaker, and key or microphone).
>
> What you're asking for is the next step - integrated rig/computer.

Yup! And it is kind of a scary-hairy step! But I think it is the sort of
thing that can revolutionize the industry.

>> The Warbler, the unmanufactured kit, and a lot of the others are
>>interesting, but they are toys by comparison - thats not an insult, just
>>an observation, because I enjoy toys too.
>>
>> But the new Sienna HF rig is where it is starting to come together
>>
>>>I can well understand your dislike of the klugey nature of many
>>>rig-computer setups. Two different big boxes (computer and rig)
>>>interconnected by a bunch of cables, and a bunch of little boxes too.
>>>Bulky and clunky and very unportable.
>>>
>>>Meanwhile, there are excellent CW rigs like the KX1 that can be
>>>configured to be self-contained (rig, battery, ATU, even paddles)
>>>except for antenna and headphones. Toss up a wire, plug in the 'phones,
>>>turn on the rig and you're on the air.
>>>
>>>You'd think the digital folk would be ahead of that curve...
>>
>> We're trying! Seems some people don't like our ideas though! ;^)
>
> Who would that be?

Perhaps I exxagerate. But the earlier digital rigs were not total successes. Perhaps they were just ahead of their time.

> Seems to me the real problem is the divide between the digital and RF
> worlds. On one side you have the folks who build "radios" - the digital
> stuff is simply a way to get the job done. So you have dedicated custom
> microcontrollers in the rig to run the DDS, choose bands, do DSP, etc.,
> but they don't do things like word processing, logging, PSK31
> interface, etc. On the other side you have the computer folks with the
> neat software and generic hardware - but it's all external to the rig.

There does need to be a sort of meeting between the two. The digital parts of radios are a tad new and strange (but cool) to me. I've spent most of my life in the computer end of things. But the potential is there, and waiting....

Weeohhh, I'm starting to sound like a cheerleader again - Brian is going to have to come in and slap some sense back into me!!!!! hehe...

>
>>>>>>If the laptop were to become obsolete, say if a new mode came along
>>>>>>that required too much horsepower, then the unit could be replaced. A
>>>>>>mounting kit could be made available. In fact, a radio could be designed
>>>>>>so that you could attach your present laptop.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Remember the Warbler?
>>>>
>>>> Yup. That's about 25 percent of the way there.
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>In any event what you're suggesting is a rig that is controlled by the
>>>>>computer - an RF modem of sorts. Great if you like that sort of thing,
>>>>>but they didn't sell all that well. Maybe times have changed.
>>>>
>>>> Not completely. This rig isn't "controlled by the computer, any more
>>>>than it is controlled by the transmitter. cuz if either one isn't there,
>>>>it isn't going to work.
>>>
>>>
>>>Can it be used without a computer or not?
>>
>>Nope.
>>
>>
>>>>>>>>Interesting that they chose an LDG autotuner. This is a concept that
>>>>>>>>might be expanded upon.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It would be wonderful if ham rigs were like desktop computers in the
>>>>>>>form of standardization.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sometimes.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Why not? Look at what it's done for PCs. Why not for ham rigs?
>>>>
>>>> One of the areas in which digital people often make a mistake is in
>>>>thinking that RF is the same thing as digital. That is why they
>>>>sometimes design skunks like BPL.
>>>
>>>
>>>I'm not talking about using PC methods, just the basic idea. The rig
>>>would have certain standard insides that could be made by a variety of
>>>sources.
>>>
>>>Example: Suppose we decide on a basic architecture with a given IF,
>>>LO/BFO frequencies, power voltages, control signals, signal & impedance
>>>levels, and form factor.
>>>
>>>Once those things are set, the parts could come from anywhere. Want a
>>>DDS VFO? All it has to do is meet the interface requirements. PLL? Same
>>>difference.
>>
>> It is an interesting concept. We wouldn't get all the advantadges that
>>the PC people would have, given that we wouldn't have the economy of
>>scale. But one *very* nice feature is that the homebrewers could make up
>>their own subassy boards.
>>
>> I guess that should wait until after the warranty is over!!

>
>
> The big problem is that somebody has to make up the standards, and then
> the manufacturers stand to lose because people will buy a piece here
> and a piece there.

Which is why the first rigs of this sort are almost certainly NOT going
to come from YaeComWood. It will probably be from a much smaller
manufacturer who is either a start-up, or a visionary.

>>
>><did some snipping>
>>
>>>That's due in part to lower interest rates, and in part to a big flow
>>>of money from the stock market to real estate.
>>>
>>>
>>>> That progression indicates that a change has happened. A lot higher
>>>>percentage goes to putting the roof over the head. That's "okay", just
>>>>get another Credit card or two!
>>>
>>>
>>>There are lots of other factors. For example, longer term mortgages
>>>increase buying power.
>>
>> For that house, they do. But the longer the term, the greater the
>>future impact. No free lunch. More house now by stretching the paymoents
>>out, the less capital available later
>
>
> Of course. But people are living longer and working longer. Two careers
> has become very common.

No doubt. Even so, to me it makes a lot of sense to live well within
your means, and to be sure that as retirement looms, that your bills
will be lower.

>
>>>Two-income families, and
>>>delayed family starts, have their own effects.
>>
>>>The biggest change is that RE values vary widely with
>>>location. *Very* widely.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>2) A house is one of the few investments an individual can
>>>>>make that can be used without selling it.
>>>>
>>>> If you do the home equity thing, you sort of have sold it.
>>>
>>>

>>>Not at all! You still live there.
>>
>> Kinda like renting, eh?
>
>
> Except renters have *no* equity, and no tax breaks.
>
>>The money isn't free, yaknow. I have a relative who has
>>refied her
>>house ever time she could. She bought her place a
>>few years before we
>>bought ours. The house prices were almost the same.
>
>
> The big question is - why did she refi and take the cash out? What
> happened to the money?

To pay bills! Gasp!! NOt emergency ones either, Just everyday running a
gazillion Credit cards up to the max sort of bills.

> A refi to pay for improvements can be a good idea. Say you take out
> $100K for a renovation, but that renovation makes the house
> worth $100K more and you avoid needing to move. That's a win.
>
>
>>We are almost
>>finished with our mortgage (15 years), and she's still paying, > as every
>>time she refied, she reset the mortgage. Looks like she'll be
>>making
>>mortgage payments for her entire life.
>>
>
> Why did she cash out with every refi? I did the refi thing some time
> back to get a lower interest rate and to shorten the term.

That bill thing. We also refied to lower the interest rate. There are
good reasons that you might refi of course.

I'm waiting for her to find out about the "interest-only" loans.

>>>>>3) A house is one of the few necessities an individual can buy
>>>>>that will almost certainly appreciate in value. Look at the
>>>>>everyday
>>>>>things you own - how many of them will be worth more
>>>>>in 10 years?
>>>>>
>>>>>4) Home loan interest is a tax deduction for most people.
>>>>>What other debt reduces your taxes?
>>>>
>>>>All true, Jim. and all used to get people to become "house poor".
>>>>
>>>

>>>What's the alternative, Mike?
>>
>>
>> Living within ones means.
>
>
> Of course. But who defines what that is?

> At one extreme, "living within your means" is saving up for everything
> and never carrying any debt at all. Which means you'll be quite old
> before you buy a house.

Whoah! I have several Credit cards that are paid off every month. So I essentially pay for most things with cash, excepting the mortgage, and the cars.

And I've found out a big secret. It is called discipline. When I was in my early 20's, and just having gotten married, we had old furniture, old cars, lived in a mobile home, and went without a few of the things that a lot of young people simply "have to have." And after we got credit cards, they were paid off every month, with the exception of the odd month when the re was a big car bill or somesuch.

After 10 years or so, I noticed that many of our friends were divorced, yet still paying off their CC card bought furniture and other stuff. I knew that because they were grousing about it. Cool huh? I'm assuming that many of the ones who still were together were doing the same.

Slowly but surely, we were buying new furniture - often with cash, taking nice vacations - with cash, and doing other things that our friends popped out the plastic for - with cash. Hard to imagine that paying for 10 year old furniture is ever a good idea.

Do you know what the interest rate is for paying with cash? 8^)

I don't think the CC companies like us all that much tho'..

> At the other extreme, "living within your means" is taking advantage of
> every financial tool there is, to make debt work for you.

Yeah, another person we know is into that. He spends a lot of time figuring out what to do, almost like a hobby for him. As far as I can figure out, he just about breaks even.

> Somewhere in the middle is the best path.
>
>
>>When we bought our place, we spent around
>>100K less than we were "approved" for. The banks thought we
>>were nuts,
>>the real estate people thought we were nuts (as well as making >for a
>>smaller commission) Big deal - we bought a nice place, and have
>>discretionary money for investment and other stuff.

>
>
> But you could find such a place. In a lot of situations, finding a
> house you can afford is a real challenge.
>
>
>>And the dot.com
>>folks thought we were nuts for not investing in them, too.....
>
>
> Yep!
>
>
>>>Some people resort to living a long way from their jobs in
>>>order to pay
>>>less for a house, get away from CC&Rs and HOAs, etc. Trouble > > is, they
>>>spend lots of time and money commuting, and are at the mercy > > of weather, traffic and fuel prices.
>>
>> Sometimes it is just nice to not live in a city too.
>>
>
> Of course. Point is, it's a question of balance and situation.
>
> Suppose A buys a house for $500K that means a short commute, good
> schools, etc. B buys a similar house for $300K but then has to spend
> hours and dollars each day commuting, puts the kids in private schools,
> and has to hire out a lot of things s/he could
> have DIT'ed except for lack of time.
>
> Who is really ahead?

Well now wait a second! Does not living in a city mean that you have to
put your kids in private schools? Our so called rural area has a "world
class" school system.

>
>>>>>BTW, those McMansions are becoming very, very hard to resell.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Not around here!
>>>>
>>>>Interesting! My XYL, who works in the industry doing a lot of high-end
>>>>houses around here, notes that the people who have 500K to a million and
>>>>up almost always insist on building their own.
>>>
>>>
>>>Where *you* are, $500K is high end. Around here, $500K is a 30-40 year
>>>old 4 br 2-1/2 ba Cape Cod tract house on a half-acre lot that needs a
>>>bit of work.
>>

>> Philadelphia area right?
>
>
> Philadelphia's Main Line. Where suburbia and commuting was invented
> over 100 years ago.

>
>>No doubt that they are more expensive.
>
>
> Exactly.
>
>> But 500K isn't really the high end around here.
>
>
> Nor here. Check out realtor.com with my zip code. Make sure the
> properties are in Radnor or Lower Merion school districts. Also make
> sure they're houses where a ham could have an antenna, even if it's
> just a G5RV.

(whining) But I don't wanna live there Jim! 8^)

>
>>>And it will sell in a week.
>
>
>>As I said, all those "benefits" of owning a house convince
>>people to do
>>extrordinarily stupid things...
>>
>
> For me, "stupid" is putting that kind of money into a house in a place
> where the ground shakes every so often. Or the hurricanes come in and
> wipe everything out.

No doubt. But then again, I realize that my financial outlook is just
about 180 degrees out of synch with most other people. Different
strokes-different folks..

- Mike KB3EIA -

1868. Re: a point of order, and info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:37:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <14iEe.165$aY4.2247721@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113227

commander buzzoff:

You mean you bought georgies line?
The one where he is the only capable man of running this country?

Get real, cheney can do just as good a job...

The house speaker can too...

However, yes, I would have wrote down plans on what to do if attacked
by a foreign power....

... would have written down plans if a giant meteor struck the earth
in my absence too... and the first step in BOTH instances would be to
raise the guard and do damage/tactical assessment--if a clear enemy is
evident--strike back--if the attack was conventional weapons--then use
conventional weapons, if nuclear--respond with a minimum megaton-age
of 10X that used against us (well, maybe not 10X, but at least 2X
<grin>.) Frankly, I can't go into any more detail here, if I win the
presidency--it will weaken my position having everyone knowing my
plans... ROFLOL!!!!

I believe was being done as the pres finished his little chat with the
kids... assessment and raising the alert level... no clear enemy was
identified at that time (even now that is difficult)

... frankly, those kids are more important that a couple of
buildings--and, like you see here, takes awhile to get ahold of the
bad guys and string 'em up!

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:xpGdnXQyp_YnNHzfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Robert:
>>
>> I would think a real president would have surrounded himself with
>> highly capable people.
>>
>> In the event of ANY threat, accident or other occurrence, a
>> contingency plan would already be in place which would cover the
>> emergency in question--indeed, if it were I which were president,
>> there would even be a plan in place which would ensure America is
>> quite safe and well protected and life could go on as usual with
>> EVERYTHING being taken in step, even upon my death, or even the
>> death of myself and a great number of my people. If you are

>> president there is no reason to expect the American people to
>> settle for anything less!
>>
>> Indeed, even if I were blocked from communication and interaction,
>> for some period of time, my people would have been instructed what
>> to do until such time as I could take my place beside them.
>>
>
>
> So you can think of every possible threating event that could ever
> possibly occur and be prepaired for it. Sure you can.

1869. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:37:45 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122086265.698530.217700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mr. Davies - again from the dead - predicts (no pun intended) ..
BARF will rise again!

Yep - and there will be a RRRRoooollllll ccccaaaallll to mark the
event.

This is sooooo exciting. :-)

1870. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:41:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <B7iEe.241$5Y4.2242707@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113228

Fat people would look a lot better and be healthier by losing just 10 pounds a month...

... just about as much chance as someone studying a worthless means of communication as that...

... well, I did, but I am kinda slow, by the time I passed the test it was too late to protest effectively! ROFLOL!!!

John

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message news:wYadnZ6Vh8RKNnzfRVn-gA@comcast.com...

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message news:YO2dnfRCpo1ZBHzfRVn-tw@comcast.com...
I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and decided to drop the code requirements. Here's why ...

I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby for me ... however, I just plainly can't take time to effectively study the code at this point in my life.

10 min a night Joe would get you there in several weeks ..... don't have to be an expert just 5 wpm .....

I think my story is pretty common for most of the new people entering Amateur Radio today. I am a 43 year old married father of three children. I have a background in Aerospace Engineering and my full time work is in the high technology sector working with many well known computing infrastructure companies. I got my Technician license about two and a half years ago because it was a neat little challenge for me to combine radio communications with some of the newer digital modes. Basically, it was fun, not really technical challenging, but a nice way to relax.

Exactly Joe it is a good way to relax ..... if it were work then I wouldn't be doing it ......

I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would play around with some different radio, antenna, and computer configurations and started to develop a relationship with some fellow ham buddies. Soon I became limited with the VHF/UHF band and wanted to hop onto HF. Of course, by the current rules one would have to jump on and take on learning Morse Code. I am absolutely sure I could do this if I had enough time. Having an ongoing career and being a family man, doesn't really leave a whole lot of time to practice dits and dahs. I have actually

played around with the code

10 min a night for several weeks would get the job done quite well .... no problem for 5 wpm

Finally, I honor all those of you who are code proficient.

Joe .... honor the vets not people who have a simple skill ..... proficient ???? I don't have a good answer for that except 5 WPM is proficient for a 5 WPM op ....

I too may one day still be code proficient even if there is no formal testing.

Good Joe as you will be missing out on one "relaxing" mode ..... excuse me protocol ..... I look forward to seeing you on the lower edges ....

However, I do think that the right decision has been made by the FCC and will ultimately be finalized with little changes. This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into it.

Joe I wish I could agree with you but I just don't see how that will happen .... unless testing is done away with entirely. However if it does ....I will buy all a virtual beer on this group.

73's to All,

Joe / KG4YJS

Take care Joe ...73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

1871. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 02:45:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7biEe.322$TF3.2072703@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113229

Cmdr Buzz:

Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?

<disappointed-look-on-face>

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:xpGdnXcyp_ZPNnzfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Kim:
>>
>> I expected as much, a women libber who isn't a libber at all.
>>
>> Rather a spoiled female brat which wants all the perks of being
>> female and none of the responsibility.
>>
>> And, you are right, gays marrying makes a mockery out of marriage.
>> Two butt buddies (or two lesbian lick masters) are ridiculous and
>> should be give mental health to repair their suffering mental
>> conditions...
>>
>> You wouldn't know a real female if she ran up and bit ya on yer
>> bum. Already you are whinning because your tricks ain't going to
>> work here.
>>
>> Screw off, you are just a new age wacko and they are a dime a
>> dozen! You are too used to people kissing yer bum to be politically
>> correct, ain't gonna happen here--I have too much respect for
>> myself.
>>
>> If you want to stay focused on ham issues good. If you want to
>> argue being politically correct or I have to suffer your opinions
>> and views--chuck it girl!
>>
>> John
>
> LOL!!! Gotta luv it! Why do you think she got that call John? She
> needs attention.

1872. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 03:00:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DpSdnVJk5d9SL3zfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113230

Kim wrote:

> Anyway, came into this late, and it chaps my hide to see people expecting
> others to "measure up" to, what: their importance, or something? I don't
> know...maybe I am misunderstanding this one post I've read in this thread.

Don't get chapped Kim! There are a lot of different people in the world, with all kinds of different ideas on how things "ought to be". My version of that is that people should have respect for each other, and be considerate of each other. I also like the concept of bettering ones self through acquisition of knowledge and skills, and that people should be an asset to, and to give back to their communities. I'm also aware that a lot of people think that my ideas are some sort of sugar coated dreck.

My sole failing is that I get a bit of enjoyment in knowing that that irritates the crap out of some people for some reason! 8^)

Well okay - its not my only failing. Mr Anderson and Mr Smith can probably fill you in on the others....

Okay, for them, but I'm not going to change my mind.

> Kim W5TIT
> Not planning on trying to measure up to anything, but my own expectations of
> myself.

We can't really ask for much more!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1873. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 03:02:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DpSdnU1k5d-3LnzfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113231

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> Measure up?
>
> Girl, take a look at the man holding the yardstick... a damn midget!
>
> 'nuff said...

John doesn't seem to like me much, eh Dee?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1874. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 03:05:17 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x9KdnVw1mIdVLnzfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 113232

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:MThEe.163$kU4.2199676@news.sisna.com...
> Tom and/or garigue:
>
> How do you know I am not one of those "brain damaged" hams? Made so
> from running illegal-multiple-kilowatts off my bedsprings (and
> experiencing a HIGH SWR which bathed me in gamma rays like got the
> green hulk!) in a cheap-wino-hotel?
>
> (well, ok, I am lying--it was a motel)
>
> <toothless-deranged-grin>
>
> Keep a sense of humor about you man--it helps when all else fails
> you...
>
> John

Well I have yet to have all else fail ......and besides I run QRP most of
the time ....

A sense of humor ...... boy that is what gets me through the day ....

Tom and or Garigue ....actually both ..... Garrigue is my middle name ......
due to my grandmothers love of Thomas Garrigue Masaryk ..the first president
of Czechoslovakia .... I dropped the extra r ....opps I'm off topic ...don't
tell
Len .....

Take care ... Tom KI3R .....

1875. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 03:12:26 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2eOdnevapIboKHzfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113233

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:B7iEe.241$5Y4.2242707@news.sisna.com...
> Fat people would look a lot better and be healthier by losing just 10
> pounds a month...
>
> ... just about as much chance as someone studying a worthless means of
> communication as that...
>
> ... well, I did, but I am kinda slow, by the time I passed the test it
> was too late to protest effectively! ROFLOL!!!
>
> John

Huh ?????? I am glad it is Friday night and you don't have to work
tomorrow ..... how about sharing some of that stuff you are drinking or
smoking .... cough syrup ?????? John get off of that bedspring antenna
your using for that KW I think something has fried ....

dit dit 73 Tom KI3R ......

1876. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 03:25:02 UTC
From: Les <Llgpt@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122089102.267242.219220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kk4tl wrote:
> Mr. Davies - again from the dead - predicts (no pun intended) ..
> BARF will rise again!
>
> Yep - and there will be a RRRRoooollllll cccccaaaallll to mark the
> event.
>
> This is sooooo exciting. :-)

That is a Lloyd imposter LW! Even the timetard doesn't spell that
badly.

Les

1877. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 03:35:19 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122089719.282584.128120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Awww shucks .. I knew it was too good to be true, Bro. Les.

I guess we'll have to get profit Stair to predict whether Mr. Davies is alive or not.

Well if Mr. Davies has gone on to his eternal reward, we hope he can pass the code test and get his heavenly ham license and check into The Great Liberty Net from the great ham shack in the sky.

Hey he can even have a heavenly qso with Loose Orifice and Arky Bob. I bet he'll have a bigger amp than Nashville Joe and Sir Sidney put together.

1878. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:08:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VojEe.336$fu6.2664581@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113237

Geesh...

You guys are for real huh?
You think code and a radio makes you special guys?

Was the license really so difficult for you, you believe the rest of us with much different opinions are stupid and find it that big a challenge?

I started building tesla coils at ~10, I got my novice ticket and built my first single tube transmitter when I was 12, my first degree was in electronic engineering in '72... I ate this chit up... I was bored and didn't know what I wanted to be--until they invented the computer... I returned to college in 1978 and got my BS in CS in 1981 along with a BA in journalism, I completed my MS in CS in '83... since then I have always taught a night class at the local jr. college and continue to take classes at the local university to stay current and be with fresh young minds...

Get real... lay down the opium pipe and step out of the gutter!

And, I just consider myself an average guy (you should see my sister, she has all the brains in the family!)... of course, I do live in a nice neighborhood and have nice neighbors...

John

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2eOdnevapIboKHzfRVn-rA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:B7iEe.241$5Y4.2242707@news.sisna.com...
>> Fat people would look a lot better and be healthier by losing just
>> 10
>> pounds a month...
>>
>> ... just about as much chance as someone studying a worthless means
>> of
>> communication as that...
>>
>> ... well, I did, but I am kinda slow, by the time I passed the test
>> it
>> was too late to protest effectively! ROFLOL!!!
>>
>> John
>

>
> Huh ?????? I am glad it is Friday night and you don't have to work
> tomorrow ..... how about sharing some of that stuff you are
> drinking or
> smoking .... cough syrup ?????? John get off of that bedspring
> antenna
> your using for that KW I think something has fried ....
>
> dit dit 73 Tom KI3R .....
>
>

1879. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:12:09 UTC
From: Francois <tbree@fastnet.ca>
Message-ID: <ikg3e1lj7oo3j08kldgleffbrib3hdusco@4ax.com>
Source record: 113241

On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 14:13:33 -0500, "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
>

It goes to say, but there are code for those who wish to have it. Does
it mean that if one does not MAKE the code that it will still be?
Should not code be of it's own and the "CBplusser" make the code
instead of it making him?

But you come into this newsgroup and say you have code! I've have news
for you! Your code will not make it for you but what you don't have!

1880. Re: Knuckle Draggin CBplussers need to start a New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:13:56 UTC
From: Francois <tbree@fastnet.ca>
Message-ID: <4tg3e15jpjvsa2ldfm2ub7og3ronocs4rm@4ax.com>
Source record: 113242

On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 14:17:35 -0500, "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>Movement now? How about No Testing International, lets face it, who needs a
>License anyway

I have movement with fiber, but it is what you speak from YOUR mouth
that is the movement! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

1881. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:18:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hyjEe.337$Wi7.2790464@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113238

Well Tom Garigue:

Glad to meet you!

Interesting, I have never really done QRP, I am guilty of being a bit heavy on large amps and input power... but do find it a bit interesting, qrp.

I had developed a simple led swr meter in another newsgroup (original design--I think) and had been looking for a QRP'er to check it out... will have to look up the schematic and pics and have you take a look and see if it is any use at QRP levels... I was using it at 5+ watts out...

Well Tom, my bark is much worse than my bite and I don't hold a grudge long... perhaps we will find out what we agree and disagree on as we go along here...

One thing, I'll tell ya how it looks to me... <grin>

I hope you don't mind my anonymity here, but I have been burnt when people know my name, call and address. But I assure you, there is a real person behind this text, and only one...

Warmest regards,
John Smith

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:x9KdnVw1mIdVLnzfRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:MThEe.163$kU4.2199676@news.sisna.com...
>> Tom and/or garigue:
>>
>> How do you know I am not one of those "brain damaged" hams? Made
>> so
>> from running illegal-multiple-kilowatts off my bedsprings (and
>> experiencing a HIGH SWR which bathed me in gamma rays like got the
>> green hulk!) in a cheap-wino-hotel?
>>
>> (well, ok, I am lying--it was a motel)
>>
>> <toothless-deranged-grin>
>>
>> Keep a sense of humor about you man--it helps when all else fails
>> you...
>>

>> John
>
>
>
> Well I have yet to have all else fail ......and besides I run QRP
> most of
> the time ....
>
> A sense of humor ...... boy that is what gets me through the day
> ....
>
> Tom and or Garigue ....actually both ..... Garrigue is my middle
> name ......
> due to my grandmothers love of Thomas Garrigue Masaryk ..the first
> president
> of Czechoslovakia .... I dropped the extra r ....opps I'm off topic
> ...don't
> tell
> Len .....
>
> Take care ... Tom KI3R .....
>
>

1882. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:20:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jAjEe.339$r%5.2538622@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113239

Mike:

Actually, I don't even consider whether I "like" you or not--but as
long as the discourse here is interesting--count me in!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:DpSdnU1k5d-3LnzfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> Measure up?
>>
>> Girl, take a look at the man holding the yardstick... a damn
>> midget!
>>
>> 'nuff said...
>
> John doesn't seem to like me much, eh Dee?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1883. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:22:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dCjEe.340$Ph7.2765873@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmm, wasn't there an episode of Dr. Who where the Dr. was in someone else's body--that could explain it--I think time lords can do that yanno! <grin>

John

"Les" <Llgpt@aol.com> wrote in message news:1122089102.267242.219220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> kk4tl wrote:
>> Mr. Davies - again from the dead - predicts (no pun intended) ..
>> BARF will rise again!
>>
>> Yep - and there will be a RRRRoooollllll ccccaaaallll to mark the
>> event.
>>
>> This is sooooo exciting. :-)
>
> That is a Lloyd imposter LW! Even the timetard doesn't spell that
> badly.
>
> Les
>

1884. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:31:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122093072.680812.282770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113243

Joe Guthart wrote
> I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and decided to drop the code requirements. Here's why ...
>

"I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby for me ... however, I just plainly can't take time to effectively study the code at this point in my life . . . I got my Technician license about two and a half years ago . . because it was a neat little challenge for me to combine radio communications with some of the newer digital modes . . . Basically, it was fun, not really technical challenging, but a nice way to relax. I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would play around with some different radio, antenna, and computer configurations and started to develop a relationship with some fellow ham buddies."

- - - -

If you had the time to do all that you had the time to learn 5wpm.

- - - -

"Fellow hams need to sit back and take an objective look at this hobby because it is dying a rapid death!"

- - - -

The hobby will have to "die" by about 80% before the number of hams becomes the same as it was when I first got on the bands.
- - - -

"Take a look at the declining number of amateur radio manufacturers and radios. How could any business justify spending a lot on research and development in a market that is collapsing?"

- - - -

Within the past 2-3 years the ham equipment manufacturers have introduced or are about to introduce at least new top-of-the-line HF xcvrs, the least expensive $3,500, the most expensive, the Icom 7800 costs around $10.5k. Icom didn't thnk they'd sell more than 200 of them in this country. They sold the whole batch in six weeks. This is a "collapse"?! You've been listening to the wrong talking heads and you're simply parroting them and/or rationales are where you find 'em eh? .

- - - -

"This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into it."

- - - -

For maybe two years. Then what?

- - - -

> 73's to All,
>
> Joe / KG4YJS

w3rv

1885. Re: anyone know about kit modifation to CW rigs for RTTY or other digital modes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:33:05 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <11e3i41qkdkcje7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 113244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1122079369.854847.47250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Back a long (pre 2000)while in Policy someone was tlaking about it
>being easy to modify one the lowpower CW transceiver kits for some or
>other digital modes
>
>Anyone have some thoiught and info on this With Nocde HF comming I'd
>love to build something that, either stand alone or laptop asisted

It shouldn't be difficult to modify a VFO-tuned CW transmitter to
transmit RTTY. You could do this with a varactor diode, in parallel
with the main tuning capacitor - drive the varactor with a two-state
variable voltage, to shift the transmit frequency the necessary number
of Hz.

If you have a VFO-tuned CW transceiver, which uses a varactor to
offset the transmit and receive frequencies by the appropriate
distance to generate a receive sidetone, then this transmit-offset
circuit could probably be modified pretty easily for dual-offset RTTY
operation.

You might need to retune your receiver bandpass filter to widen the
passband a bit... this would depend on the mode and the rig.

You might be able to do something similar to this with a
crystal-controlled QRP rig, but I suspect that pulling a crystal that
far with a varactor might be somewhat difficult.

This basic approach was, I believe, the preferred one for RTTY
transmission years ago, back before sideband rigs had good carrier and
opposite-sideband suppression. It can result in a cleaner RTTY signal
than you'd get if you use AFSK modulation into a sloppy sideband
transmitter.

Doing more-sophisticated digital modulations (e.g. PSK31) would
probably require starting with a sideband rig.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

1886. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:40:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122093632.926179.150210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113245

> Within the past 2-3 years the ham equipment manufacturers have
> introduced or are about to introduce at least *three* new top-of-the-line HF
> xcvrs . . .

1887. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:40:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <oTjEe.346$6e7.2712644@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113246

kelly:

Yep, really dynamic chit goin' on here. Just a quick tune across
those old HF's and you are taken back with the overcrowding and
congestion, my gawd man--it is a damn nightmare!

zzzzzzzzzzzzzz....

Ohhhh, that was just a dream, huh? Darn, was just starting to get
interesting! (or, shortly will <grin>)

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1122093072.680812.282770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Joe Guthart wrote
>> I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and decided
>> to drop the code requirements. Here's why ...
>>
>
> "I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby for me ...
> however, I just plainly can't take time to effectively study the
> code
> at this point in my life . . . I got my Technician license about two
> and a half years ago . . because it was a neat little challenge for
> me
> to combine radio communications with some of the newer digital modes
> .
> . . Basically, it was fun, not really technical challenging, but a
> nice
> way to relax. I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would play
> around with some different radio, antenna, and computer
> configurations
> and started to develop a relationship with some fellow ham buddies."
>
> - - - -
>
> If you had the time to do all that you had the time to learn 5wpm.
>
> - - - -
>
> "Fellow hams need to sit back and take an objective look at this
> hobby
> because it is dying a rapid death!"
>

> - - - -
>
> The hobby will have to "die" by about 80% before the number of hams
> becomes the same as it was when I first got on the bands.
> - - - -
>
> "Take a look at the declining number of amateur radio manufacturers
> and
> radios. How could any business justify spending a lot on research
> and
> development in a market that is collapsing?"
>
> - - - -
>
> Within the past 2-3 years the ham equipment manufacturers have
> introduced or are about to introduce at least new top-of-the-line HF
> xcvrs, the least expensive $3,500, the most expensive, the Icom 7800
> costs around $10.5k. Icom didn't thnk they'd sell more than 200 of
> them
> in this country. They sold the whole batch in six weeks. This is a
> "collapse"?! You've been listening to the wrong talking heads and
> you're simply parroting them and/or rationales are where you find
> 'em
> eh? .
>
> - - - -
>
> "This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into it."
>
> - - - -
>
> For maybe two years. Then what?
>
> - - - -
>
>> 73's to All,
>>
>> Joe / KG4YJS
>
> w3rv
>

1888. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:47:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VZjEe.347$Fb7.2707608@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113247

Francois:

I see it make take you a bit longer to catch on than most others...

I'll tell you what, you just get on phone--if you don't understand the morse, just key down and tell 'em back "NO COMPRENDE!!!", do it in pig latin--that is a kind of code, well, kinda...
<straight-face> :|

John

"Francois" <tbree@fastnet.ca> wrote in message news:ikg3e1lj7oo3j08kldgleffbrib3hdusco@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 14:13:33 -0500, "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
>>
>
> It goes to say, but there are code for those who wish to have it.
> Does
> it mean that if one does not MAKE the code that it will still be?
> Should not code be of it's own and the "CBplusser" make the code
> instead of it making him?
>
> But you come into this newsgroup and say you have code! I've have
> news
> for you! Your code will not make it for you but what you don't have!

1889. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:51:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <P1kEe.348$Mn7.2834548@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113248

commander:

I would consider it to be as likely I like the woman, as not, if I
ever meet her...

These news threads are hardly "real life."

(just be disappointed if she doesn't really have five size w boobs!
Can you just imagine the bra which would hold those puppies!!!!)
<joking-grin>

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:zsOdnX5dz9EPJHzfRVn-gQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Cmdr Buzz:
>>
>> Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
>>
>> <disappointed-look-on-face>
>>
>> John
>
> Don't know but you probably don't want to mentally undress her
> unless you can take being scared half to death.

1890. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 04:59:55 UTC
From: Opie <Anon@noremailers>
Message-ID: <dbsiqu$q2h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113249

... next, if you have to go though quoting each and ever line and/or
paragraph you are responding to, and you cannot organize your thought
and create your own text without intermingling it with mine--don't
expect me to read it, I don't have the time...

>
Good and valid point. Many respondees have decided that it is necessary to
repost an entire thread, ad nauseum, as they "make their point".
It appears to me to be a waste of time and space and regurgitating the
entire thread serves little, if any purpose.

I suppose that it may be well beyond some of their capabilities to be
concise and to the point.

John Smith, forgive them, for they know not what they do.

1891. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 05:00:41 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dbsis3$f4i$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 113250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:dCjEe.340$Ph7.2765873@news.sisna.com...
> Hmmm, wasn't there an episode of Dr. Who where the Dr. was in someone
> else's body--that could explain it--I think time lords can do that
> yanno! <grin>

Don't recall any eps when the Doctor was in anyone else's body, but he does
regenerate from time to time into a new body of his own..

1892. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 05:01:16 UTC
From: Opie <Anon@noremailers>
Message-ID: <dbsitfqeu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122086265.698530.217700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Mr. Davies - again from the dead - predicts (no pun intended) ..
BARF will rise again!

Yep - and there will be a RRRRoooollllll ccccaaaallll to mark the
event.

This is sooooo exciting. :-)

(...and Les strikes again)

1893. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 05:12:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <blkEe.349$C5.3019851@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113252

Opie:

Ohhh, isn't that nice of you, worried about the bandwidth of sending
all the text... how thoughtful!!!!
<yawn>

Hey, if you have a minute, would you mind clipping off all the junk
following this text here?

John

"Opie" <Anon@noremailers> wrote in message
news:dbsiqu$q2h$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
> ... next, if you have to go though quoting each and ever line and/or
> paragraph you are responding to, and you cannot organize your
> thought
> and create your own text without intermingling it with mine--don't
> expect me to read it, I don't have the time...
>
>>
> Good and valid point. Many respondees have decided that it is
> necessary to
> repost an entire thread, ad nauseum, as they "make their point".
> It appears to me to be a waste of time and space and regurgitating
> the
> entire thread serves little, if any purpose.
>
> I suppose that it may be well beyond some of their capabilities to
> be
> concise and to the point.
>
> John Smith, forgive them, for they know not what they do.
>
>
>
>
>

1894. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 05:24:10 UTC
From: Francois <tbree@fastnet.ca>
Message-ID: <6vk3e11r1pbj44vubd010q271fmsu8430v@4ax.com>
Source record: 113253

On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 21:47:45 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Francois:
>
>I see it make take you a bit longer to catch on than most others...
>
>I'll tell you what, you just get on phone--if you don't understand the
>morse, just key down and tell 'em back "NO COMPRENDE!!!", do it in pig
>latin--that is a kind of code, well, kinda...
><straight-face> :|
>
>John

Straight face? You do not have a face. You only have what you write.
If you have a face to say it with, you would not need what you write.

1895. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 05:43:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UNkEe.352$8o.3127427@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113259

Francois:

Good, very good, remember that line!!! They'll love it on 80M...

My gawd man, you are going to be a real asset to this hobby.

John

"Francois" <tbree@fastnet.ca> wrote in message
news:6vk3e11r1pbj44vubd010q271fmsu8430v@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 21:47:45 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Francois:
>>
>>I see it make take you a bit longer to catch on than most others...
>>
>>I'll tell you what, you just get on phone--if you don't understand
>>the
>>morse, just key down and tell 'em back "NO COMPRENDE!!!", do it in
>>pig
>>latin--that is a kind of code, well, kinda...
>><straight-face> :|
>>
>>John
>
> Straight face? You do not have a face. You only have what you write.
> If you have a face to say it with, you would not need what you
> write.

1896. Re: There are no legitimate arguments for CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 06:19:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <YjlEe.355$PW.3499080@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113254

I thought the argument about the POW's tapping out morse in the
concentration camps was kewl...

Most probably, would work best if the guards were all deaf... or
fools...

My luck, the guards would be listening and know everything...

John

"dude" <scorpiox@nym.alias.net> wrote in message
news:20050723014435.8897.qmail@nym.alias.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>
> The subject said it all.
>
> Signed,
> scorpiox
>
> ~~~
> This PGP signature only certifies the sender and date of the
> message.
> It implies no approval from the administrators of nym.alias.net.
> Date: Sat Jul 23 01:44:32 2005 GMT
> From: scorpiox@nym.alias.net
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: 2.6.3ia
> Charset: noconv
>
> iQEVAwUBQuGhAk5NDhYLYPHNAQHlNgf7BK+A2Z855K4R0AcefSXIUgVl1UmhTAIs
> x6GfTty1nnFDe9Fl8ZY6a24bPLHb3lErXhkN4BvGHM0JLtDr4/hPnnwoKsFTwcgx
> QhtWNAd7l/Qpxw3tQcDgs3zD8dyJwofJ0/gQPLyUx3llEFJBcDMdAr7Mn4YVSVu/
> CIfzJOX1FV8SzZ52cUxMvSt29TI1h9uAPzQNnvG3u56S2TcxgJLPhJyMuuX7N/Rs
> IANxjj3DIUDXrjKJjzt975T5qJZVtjxi36Fh1E4eSGc3lLvj4CuhkWfXEa9LL9PX
> YM6vBKhnOl+y4firTP/tmnCpMCvEinszwf3ez+0CbnMXP5v5TFGjiw==
> =Bsaf
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

1897. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 07:39:30 UTC
From: Opie <Anon@noremailers>
Message-ID: <dbss62$2lo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113255

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:blkEe.349$C5.3019851@news.sisna.com...
Opie:

Ohhh, isn't that nice of you, worried about the bandwidth of sending
all the text... how thoughtful!!!!
<yawn>

Hey, if you have a minute, would you mind clipping off all the junk...
<snip>

Consider it done, Good Buddy. It was, after all, YOUR complaint.

10-4?

1898. Re: There are no legitimate arguments for CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 07:44:58 UTC
From: Opie <Anon@noremailers>
Message-ID: <dbssga$405$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113256

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:YjlEe.355$PW.3499080@news.sisna.com...
I thought the argument about the POW's tapping out morse in the
concentration camps was kewl...

Most probably, would work best if the guards were all deaf... or
fools...

My luck, the guards would be listening and know everything...

John

>
Your "service" in the Cub Scouts would not have placed you in such a
precarious position.

1899. Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 07:55:35 UTC
From: Opie <Anon@noremailers>
Message-ID: <dbst47$6nu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113257

"... next, if you have to go though quoting each and ever line and/or paragraph you are responding to, and you cannot organize your thought and create your own text without intermingling it with mine--don't expect me to read it, I don't have the time..."

What is "ever" line?

A "typo", right?

And what is "to go though" ???

Spell check, John, spell check.

It separates the educated from the mobile home types.

1900. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 09:38:54 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <0cb62f54a7854fa8c0147ec1c7c8f692@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 113258

In article
<1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
>
>
> well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie

And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.

1901. Re: There are no legitimate arguments for CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 12:03:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SmqEe.378$PA7.4347921@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113267

Opie:

Did it work in the asylum for you?

Did the shrinks know morse? Do you still hear voices, that ain't
phone yanno?

ROFLOL!!!!

John

"Opie" <Anon@noremailers> wrote in message
news:dbssga$405$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:YjlEe.355$PW.3499080@news.sisna.com...
> I thought the argument about the POW's tapping out morse in the
> concentration camps was kewl...
>
> Most probably, would work best if the guards were all deaf... or
> fools...
>
> My luck, the guards would be listening and know everything...
>
> John
>
>>
> Your "service" in the Cub Scouts would not have placed you in such a
> precarious position.
>
>

1902. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 12:10:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_sqEe.379$3M7.4458640@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113261

Opie:

Naaa, this is a damn newsgroup, I haven't the time for "speelcheek."

Tell you what, when you have a hard time grasping the concepts I am presenting, because of all the typos, spelling errors and errors in grammar--just let me know bud, I will tone it down for your mental powers and your limited ability to use logic and to extrapolate from the rest of the text to reconstruct the whole "picture."

Hey, that is what we are here for, to help each other... <grin>

... it ain't rocket science, just looks like that to some...

John

"Opie" <Anon@noremailers> wrote in message
news:dbst47$6nu$0@pita.alt.net...
> "... next, if you have to go though quoting each and ever line
> and/or
> paragraph you are responding to, and you cannot organize your
> thought
> and create your own text without intermingling it with mine--don't
> expect me to read it, I don't have the time..."
>
> What is "ever" line?
>
> A "typo", right?
>
> And what is "to go though" ???
>
> Spell check, John, spell check.
>
> It separates the educated from the mobile home types.
>
>
>

1903. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 12:11:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MtqEe.380$NF7.4417693@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113260

Dopie:

Thanks bud!!!!

John

"Opie" <Anon@noremailers> wrote in message
news:dbss62$2lo$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:blkEe.349$C5.3019851@news.sisna.com...
> Opie:
>
> Ohhh, isn't that nice of you, worried about the bandwidth of sending
> all the text... how thoughtful!!!!
> <yawn>
>
> Hey, if you have a minute, would you mind clipping off all the
> junk...
> <snip>
>
> Consider it done, Good Buddy. It was, after all, YOUR complaint.
>
> 10-4?
>
>

1904. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 12:35:21 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122122264_335@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113262

Oh no, we've been raided by the CB gang. DUH!! I didn't even put the
"John" and "Smith" together as being so annonymous it's ridiculous. And
Cmdr Buzz. Haven't ever noticed that User Name but, what's that mean? Cmdr
Buzz--mean something like that you command a bunch of dildoes, or something?

Dang, I got caught up in CB crap. LOL

Kim W5TIT

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7biEe.322$TF3.2072703@news.sisna.com...
> Cmdr Buzz:
>
> Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
>
> <disappointed-look-on-face>
>
> John
>
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:xpGdnXcyp_ZPNnzfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Kim:
> >>
> >> I expected as much, a women libber who isn't a libber at all.
> >>
> >> Rather a spoiled female brat which wants all the perks of being
> >> female and none of the responsibility.
> >>
> >> And, you are right, gays marrying makes a mockery out of marriage.
> >> Two butt buddies (or two lesbian lick masters) are ridiculous and
> >> should be give mental health to repair their suffering mental
> >> conditions...
> >>
> >> You wouldn't know a real female if she ran up and bit ya on yer
> >> bum. Already you are whinning because your tricks ain't going to
> >> work here.
> >>
> >> Screw off, you are just a new age wacko and they are a dime a
> >> dozen! You are too used to people kissing yer bum to be politically
> >> correct, ain't gonna happen here--I have too much respect for
> >> myself.
> >>
> >> If you want to stay focused on ham issues good. If you want to
> >> argue being politically correct or I have to suffer your opinions

> >> and views--chuck it girl!
> >>
> >> John
> >
> > LOL!!! Gotta luv it! Why do you think she got that call John? She
> > needs attention.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1905. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 12:38:44 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122122467_337@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113263

"Opie" <Anon@noremailers> wrote in message news:dbst47$6nu$0@pita.alt.net...
> "... next, if you have to go though quoting each and ever line and/or
> paragraph you are responding to, and you cannot organize your thought
> and create your own text without intermingling it with mine--don't
> expect me to read it, I don't have the time..."
>
> What is "ever" line?
>
> A "typo", right?
>
> And what is "to go though" ???
>
> Spell check, John, spell check.
>
> It separates the educated from the mobile home types.
>
>

Let's not generalize, "Opie." Some of the sharpest people I know are great at verbal communications and fail at written--at every level, I might add.

And, while Tiny John seems to have a knack for criticizing communication style while, at the same time, not being able to spell or wisely construct a sentence, he may very well have some demeaning quality...somewhere. OH, DOH!! I meant redeeming!!! ;)

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1906. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 12:45:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QZqEe.397$8H7.4424843@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113264

OMG!

This was the newsgroup where we were supposed to post the final
version of our doctorates thesis--damn--blew it again... <frown>

... well, always next time I guess...

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122122467_337@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "Opie" <Anon@noremailers> wrote in message
> news:dbst47$6nu$0@pita.alt.net...
>> "... next, if you have to go though quoting each and ever line
>> and/or
>> paragraph you are responding to, and you cannot organize your
>> thought
>> and create your own text without intermingling it with mine--don't
>> expect me to read it, I don't have the time..."
>>
>> What is "ever" line?
>>
>> A "typo", right?
>>
>> And what is "to go though" ???
>>
>> Spell check, John, spell check.
>>
>> It separates the educated from the mobile home types.
>>
>>
>
> Let's not generalize, "Opie." Some of the sharpest people I know
> are great
> at verbal communications and fail at written--at every level, I
> might add.
>
> And, while Tiny John seems to have a knack for criticizing
> communication
> style while, at the same time, not being able to spell or wisely
> construct a
> sentence, he may very well have some demeaning quality...somewhere.
> OH,
> DOH!! I meant redeeming!!! ;)
>

> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1907. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 12:50:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <r2rEe.398$hH7.4430918@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113265

Kim's text just happened to contain, "...mean something like that you command a bunch of dildoes, or something?"

Hey, is this another secret lesbian newsgroup? Is the above in code, or, just the rantings of a bunch of women presenting gripes about the size of their husbands/bf's equipment?

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1122122264_335@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> Oh no, we've been raided by the CB gang. DUH!! I didn't even put
> the
> "John" and "Smith" together as being so annonymous it's ridiculous.
> And
> Cmdr Buzz. Haven't ever noticed that User Name but, what's that
> mean? Cmdr
> Buzz--mean something like that you command a bunch of dildoes, or
> something?
>
> Dang, I got caught up in CB crap. LOL
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7biEe.322$TF3.2072703@news.sisna.com...
>> Cmdr Buzz:
>>
>> Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
>>
>> <disappointed-look-on-face>
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>> news:xpGdnXcyp_ZPNnzfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> Kim:
>> >>
>> >> I expected as much, a women libber who isn't a libber at all.
>> >>
>> >> Rather a spoiled female brat which wants all the perks of being
>> >> female and none of the responsibility.
>> >>
>> >> And, you are right, gays marrying makes a mockery out of

>> >> marriage.
>> >> Two butt buddies (or two lesbian lick masters) are ridiculous
>> >> and
>> >> should be give mental health to repair their suffering mental
>> >> conditions...
>> >>
>> >> You wouldn't know a real female if she ran up and bit ya on yer
>> >> bum. Already you are whinning because your tricks ain't going to
>> >> work here.
>> >>
>> >> Screw off, you are just a new age wacko and they are a dime a
>> >> dozen! You are too used to people kissing yer bum to be
>> >> politically
>> >> correct, ain't gonna happen here--I have too much respect for
>> >> myself.
>> >>
>> >> If you want to stay focused on ham issues good. If you want to
>> >> argue being politically correct or I have to suffer your
>> >> opinions
>> >> and views--chuck it girl!
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >
>> > LOL!!! Gotta luv it! Why do you think she got that call John? She
>> > needs attention.
>>
>>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
> Encryption =----

1908. Re: Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:02:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122123748.994979.194450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113266

John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:
>
> A little joke here, just to "liven up this party..."
>
> I'll bet that faggot is a "pain in the A$$" in more ways than one!
>
> ROFLOL!!!!
>
> ... sorry, couldn't resist... <juvenile-smirk>

Maybe...but then I doubt he has what it takes to satisfy a partner
of EITHER gender!

Markie's Amateur Radio station is probably not the ONLY thing he
owns suggering from "too little equipment...!"

73

Steve, K4YZ

1909. Re: Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:05:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8grEe.400$Jk.4524122@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113268

K4YZ:

Hmmm, we are drifting off topic here, perhaps we should take this to
rec.radio.amateur.equipment?

Any girls there?

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122123748.994979.194450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> K4YZ:
>>
>> A little joke here, just to "liven up this party..."
>>
>> I'll bet that faggot is a "pain in the A$$" in more ways than one!
>>
>> ROFLOL!!!!
>>
>> ... sorry, couldn't resist... <juvenile-smirk>
>
> Maybe...but then I doubt he has what it takes to satisfy a
> partner
> of EITHER gender!
>
> Markie's Amateur Radio station is probably not the ONLY thing he
> owns suggering from "too little equipment...!"
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1910. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:08:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122124122.295107.263470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113269

John Smith wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz:
>
> Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
>
> <disappointed-look-on-face>

Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these days...

Steve, K4YZ

1911. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:25:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122125099.921591.216950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113270

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37

> >> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
> >> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
> >
> > Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
> >insecure?
>
> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period. They grant the licenses for
> same.

Your "answer" doesn't answer the question, Lennie.

Poor attempt at a redirect.

> Try to stay focussed.

"Focused", Lennie.

Webster's refers. Or are you just "mad" again...?!?!

> >> "Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
> >> with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
> >> individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
> >> that skill goes back 161 years! :-)
> >
> > Lots of bright students don't want to learn anything that they don't
> >think is relevant.
>
> Har! That's one of the WEAKEST arguments mumbled by so many.

No, it's not.

It's still as "real" today as it was when I was in grade school,
and probably when your grandfather was in grade school, Lennie.

I don't think I've ever met any student in any learning enviroment
that didn't want to "cut through the BS" and jsut "learn what I need to
know..."

> The FCC is NOT an academic institution and licensees are NOT
> "students"...NOT even prospective licensees going for a test.

(Oh jeeze...same Lennie crap, fermented and dropped on the door
step again...)

But Part 97 DOES mandate that the Amateur Radio Service serve as a
training enviroment for self-education and training.

Unless they set the goals, what are they to train for?

> Actually, that "argument" is total bull#### OUT of the academic
> arena.

You and Markie must have shareware'd that dictionary of
profanities, Lennie.

> ALL the OTHER radio services (except maritime radio on
> the Great Lakes) have GIVEN UP on morse code for communications.

A-hem.....

(reading back across header on top...
'rec.radio.AMATEUR.policy'....)

> It simply hasn't proven to be "better" than other modes, takes
> longer, and no longer "gets through" better.

"Takes longer" than what? You taking that Extra right out of the
box?

> All you are doing with that "argument" is really enforcing a
> sort of tribal myth, aka a "hazing" ritual. Note: The FCC
> isn't a fraternity house either.

And you're lying again. Sheeesh...couldn't keep it to even
marginally valid arguments, could you...?!?!

> I really do NOT know what YOU are writing about...some of the time.

Funny...

We've thought the same of you a LOT of the times...

> > Everyone is hated by someone. If a person allows themselves to be
> >bothered by it, they are a poor baby indeed.
>
> No, sweetums, YOU got the non-sequitur. Note what I said about
> K4YZ: Anyone simply disagreeing with him is ACCUSED to be
> HATING HAM RADIO! Search all you want through Google and you
> will find him using that "phrase of hate." Do YOU want to be
> like him?

No hate, Lennie.

I just don't tolerate liars.

You're a documented liar. You're more verbose and grammatically
correct than other liars in this forum (specifically N0IMD and KB9RQZ)
but you're a liar none-the-less.

> >> Feeling "hated" because so few agree with your self-proclaimed
> >> "definitions" of What It Is All About?
> >
> > If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is true, it remains a
> >foolish thing.
>
> "50 million people in WHAT?" Where are you dreaming today?
>
> Hello? Concentrate on the thread subject.

EVERYONE PAY ATTENTION.

The newsgroup moderator has spoken.

Carry on, Lennie...

Steve, K4YZ

1912. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:26:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <RzrEe.404$YX7.4491370@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113271

K4YZ:

Sounds logical, and even symmetrical!

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122124122.295107.263470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Cmdr Buzz:
>>
>> Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
>>
>> <disappointed-look-on-face>
>
> Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these days...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1913. Re: Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:27:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122125226.808196.297590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113272

John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:
>
> Hmmm, we are drifting off topic here, perhaps we should take this to
> rec.radio.amateur.equipment?
>
> Any girls there?

I dunno...

Where's Vipul? He's always trying to scope out "preeti fems"...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1914. KB9RQZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:32:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122125568.463445.311340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113273

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > > > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > > > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
> > > >
> > > It is pumpous to assume that when you addrss your Subject to me by name
> > > it is not for me
> > >
> > It's pompous for YOU to assume that just because your name was in
> > the subject line, that ALL the comments therein were for you.
> >
> not at all

Yes, "at all".

> > A secondgrader could follow the attributes, DeviantBoy.
> >
> more ####

Only for me to rub your nose in, Markie.

> > > Lying sack of ####
> > >
> > Yes, you are, Markie.
> >
> not at all

Absolutely at all....

> > What are you going to do about it?
> >
> forunately for me little I can do about it

"fournately"...

> You are a lying sack of ####

And you're just a documented pathological lair with a sexual
deviance history...

> you are sick and in desperate need of help

Not according to any licensed physicians I know.

And I know a LOT of them.

Steve, K4YZ

1915. KB9RQZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:34:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122125666.931901.235430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113274

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > > >
> > > > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > > > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > > > punk like you redefine that.
> > >
> > > you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> > > langauage. the users of it do
> >
> > But an adjective is still and adjective.
>
> so?
> >
> > > The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> > > goin on
> >
> > And they still won't let a foul mouthed punk like you redefine the
> > parameters of the language.
>
> yes we all do even a stupid piece of #### like yourself gets to

I love it when you try and insult me about language, yet can't form
a coherent sentence.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

1916. Re: Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:36:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WJrEe.407$gr.4552843@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113275

K4YZ:

Hey. I live in calif.

I have almost been fooled by those "girly-men" arnold has mentioned.

Yanno, those guys with the surgery and boob jobs are getting better
all the time, still I can tell the difference--FROM A SAFE DISTANCE!!!
<grin>

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122125226.808196.297590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> K4YZ:
>>
>> Hmmm, we are drifting off topic here, perhaps we should take this
>> to
>> rec.radio.amateur.equipment?
>>
>> Any girls there?
>
> I dunno...
>
> Where's Vipul? He's always trying to scope out "preeti fems"...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1917. KB9RQZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:40:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122126008.471212.158620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113276

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Thanks, Mark!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Appreciate your cooperation!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > realy losing it stevie cant find anything to leave
> > > > >
> > > > Haven't lost anything, Markie.
> > > >
> > > so you never had a mind to lose stevie
> > >
> > I have more "mind" behind the left eye than you do in the entire
> > cranial cavity, Markie.
>
> then use it

I do.

That's why I won't let you lie uncontested.

> > > > And what's to "leave"?
>
> well if you are repling to something you need something to reply too

"repling"..?!?!

> > > > Just more of your silly "nope" to things you've already admitted to
> > > > then try to say you DIDN'T say..?!?!
> > >
> > > all you can do is lie and threaten you are as bad as the freuders of
> > > the past of your region,
> >
> > "...freuders..."
> >
> > You trying to make some silly reference to the Hatfields and
> > McCoys, Markie?
>
> not at all silly
>
```

> you sure sound like the type

Then what "freuders" do you refer to?

> > You better do some history on where that all took place them look
> > up Winchester, TN on Mapquest.
>
> TN KY and then the folks spread out over the state so they would not
> kill each othe roff sounds about right to me

Then you're as ignorant of Southern history as you are Amateur
Radio.

And that's pretty ignorant.

> Indeed watched something in which bad feelings presist in the Bakers
> about the actions of the Whites a hunderd years ago
>
> >
> > > > You're an admitted liar, Morgan. You're a disgrace to your family
> > > > name and ought to have your picture nailed to phone poles all over town
> > > > warning people that you're a danger to society and yourself (especially
> > > > children that you'd intentionally teach to lie...)
> > >
> > > obsessive compulsive
> >
> > Yes, yes you are, Markie! Come out of your shell! Good step Boyo!
>
> you are the obsessive compulsive

Nope. You are. Youa dmitted it right there.

> > Now DO something about your problem!
>
> my problem is frankly you

Then you have a big problem. Because MY problem is you and your
lying.

Stop lying and making assinine accusations and your "problem" will
disappear.

> lets see I can ask you to grow up

I turn 50 in September, Markie...Already there.

> > > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> > > > just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...
> > >
> > > more threats
> >
> > Nope...just a whimful idea on how to spend a few days off.
>
> more threats

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

1918. Huge Snip Of Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:43:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122126233.790908.85490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113277

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > You're a lying, sexually deviant pathological liar who won't get
> > >
> > > neither lying nor sexualy devaint and certainly not pathogloical
> >
> > Sure you are. By your own admission on all accounts.
>
> not all

Absolutely. And by your own admission.

> only by your efforts to make #### up

I couldn't make assinine stuff like yours up if I was sleeping
with J K Rowling.

Huge Snip

> > > you are obsessed
> >
> > With what?
>
> with me, with what I may do with my genitals
> amoug other things

Sorry, Markie...I'm not in to "microbiology".

> > > > > get helped
> > > >
> > > > For what?
> > >
> > > obsession
> >
> > What obsession?
>
> your obsession with other folks exuality

What's "exuality"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1919. KB9RQZ: What Makes A "Man" Like Mark Morgan Lie So Prolifically?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:47:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122126463.132920.222590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113278

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > As a matter of fact, there have been numerous ocassions where
> > > > > > > > > Lennie, and his surrogate Brian "Brain" Burke have made assinine
> > > > > > > > > allegations about Amateur Radio in general or the ARRL/ARES in
> > > > > > > > > particular, only to have yet another agency "go public" with accolades
> > > > > > > > > or kudos for a "job well done".
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Go figure.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Go figure...
> > > > >
> > > > > You assme the Govt tells the turth the whole turth and nothing but the
> > > > > truth? then you are a phyc case plain and simple
> > > >
> > > > A "phyc case"...What the heck is that...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > And W H O said anything about the government, DeviantBoy...?!?!
> > >
> > > you did the president is part of the Govt

You didn't answer my question in regards to the OTHER agencies who
have publically lauded and complimented Amateur Radio

> > There are more entities other than the government who have anything
> > to say about Amateur Radio!
>
> and they work in much the same way

And you have the stones to say >>I<< am "losing it"...?!?!

1920. KB9RQZ: What Makes A "Man" Like Mark Morgan Lie So Prolifically?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 13:52:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122126773.682315.286710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113279

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Why?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > becuase you need to
> > > > >
> > > > > How do you know?
> > > >
> > > > becuase I do
> > >
> > > Because you're a lair, perhaps?
> >
> > nope
> > because you are sick

You keep saying that but you've neither offered evidence nor a
licesne to practice medicine.

> > > > Have you performed a pateint assessment?
> > > >
> > > > yep
> > >
> > > Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!
> >
> > nope you are sick

Nope! You're just a liar, Boyo.

> > > > Are you licesed to do so?
> > > >
> > > > doesn't stop you
> > >
> > > I AM licensed, Markie.
> >
> > no you are not licensed no more than I for making that kind of
> > assesment

Sure I am.

> > > > > > Are you a licensed physician?
> > > > > >

> > > > > if I say yes well that help you to get meds
> > > >
> > > > Nope. It would be just one more lie we could chalk up to a known
> > > > pathological liar.
> > >
> > > then why did you ask
> >
> > Just to get that cleared up...And you did.
>
> more stevie #### then

More Markie hiding behind profanities that are supposed to make
him the "Big Man On Campus"

> > > > > then of course I am
> > > >
> > > > Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > Yet another MarkieLie.
> > >
> > > nope another stevie lie
> >
> > You're the one uttering the lie, Markie.
>
> I said IF would get you to seek then Then I would claim the title

Yep.

You clearly stated that you would claim a title to which you were
NOT entitled to claim.

> since it will not I have done no such things
> If lying to yo will get you to seek help then It is my public duty to
> lie to you

"public duty to lie to you..."!

BBBWWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! !

> > > > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> > > >
> > > > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
> > >
> > > get help
> >
> > For what?
>
> delusions and obsessions

What delusions and obsessions?

Steve, K4YZ

1921. Re: Huge Snip Of Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 14:06:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <D9sEe.411$RS.4597915@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113280

K4YZ:

Scuttle-butt has it that you are sleeping with J.K. Rowling.

Hey, if things don't work out, how about introducing me? I have
always been a sucker for tall women with british accents.

Besides, I have read all her novels, even the latest, "Harry Potter
and the Half-Blood Prince."

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122126233.790908.85490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > > > You're a lying, sexually deviant pathological liar who
>> > > > won't get
>> > >
>> > > neither lying nor sexualy devaint and certainly not
>> > > pathogloical
>> >
>> > Sure you are. By your own admission on all accounts.
>>
>> not all
>
> Absolutely. And by your own admission.
>
>> only by your efforts to make #### up
>
> I couldn't make assinine stuff like yours up if I was sleeping
> with J K Rowling.
>
> Huge Snip
>
>> > > you are obsessed
>> >
>> > With what?
>>
>> with me, with what I may do with my genitals
>> amoug other things
>
> Sorry, Markie...I'm not in to "microbiology".

>
>> > > > > get helped
>> > > >
>> > > > For what?
>> > >
>> > > obsession
>> >
>> > What obsession?
>>
>> your obsession with other folks exuality
>
> What's "exuality"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1922. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 14:38:02 UTC
From: Low SWR <wouff@hong.arrl.sux>
Message-ID: <42e255f6$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 113281

"Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in news:nTcEe.21217$TU.5791
@bignews1.bellsouth.net:

> Knuckle Dragging CBplussers can support the thing.

I hear ya.

The league will give these glorified CB'ers, a discount on memberships too.

Buy a membership and we'll reduce the cost of it your test fee. I wish the
ARRL leadership wasn't full of whiney wimpy limp wristed liberal democrats
that feel everyone should have a fee pass. They need to pull their heads out
of their ass! It's the integrity of the service that's important.

Hiram Maxim is turning over in his grave.

1923. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 14:48:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4NsEe.421$%81.4790332@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113282

LS:

You think the droves of new hams (EX CB'ers) coming here are actually
stupid enough to join the ARRL--naaaa, not even chicken banders are
that dumb!

John

"Low SWR" <wouff@hong.arrl.sux> wrote in message
news:42e255f6$0$16207$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in news:nTcEe.21217$TU.5791
> @bignews1.bellsouth.net:
>
>> Knuckle Dragging CBplussers can support the thing.
>
>
> I hear ya.
>
> The league will give these glorified CB'ers, a discount on
> memberships too.
>
> Buy a membership and we'll reduce the cost of it your test fee. I
> wish the
> ARRL leadership wasn't full of whiney wimpy limp wristed liberal
> democrats
> that feel everyone should have a fee pass. They need to pull their
> heads out
> of their ass! It's the integrity of the service that's important.
>
> Hiram Maxim is turning over in his grave.

1924. Re K4YZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:20:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122132034.960116.98370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113283

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > That wasn't for you, Markie...It was for Dan. Don't be a
> > > > > presumptuous putz and assume any "73" I type is aimed at you. I was
> > > > > responding to DAN'S comments...Not yours.
> > > >
> > > > It is pumpous to assume that when you addrss your Subject to me by name
> > > > it is not for me
> > >
> > > It's pompous for YOU to assume that just because your name was in
> > > the subject line, that ALL the comments therein were for you.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Yes, "at all".

more shit
>
> > > A secondgrader could follow the attributes, DeviantBoy.
> >
> > more ####
>
> Only for me to rub your nose in, Markie.

more shit
>
> > > > Lying sack of ####
> > >
> > > Yes, you are, Markie.
> >
> > not at all
>
> Absolutely at all....

meaning what

You are a lying sack of shit plain and simple

>
> > > What are you going to do about it?
> >
> > forunately for me little I can do about it

>
> "fournately"...
>
> > You are a lying sack of ####
>
> And you're just a documented pathological lair with a sexual
> deviance history...

more lies stevie

>
> > you are sick and in desperate need of help
>
> Not according to any licensed physicians I know.

you may be right there, I am sure like most sick folks you can cover it
to some extent

but you are sick and in need of help

>
> And I know a LOT of them.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1925. Re: K4YZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:21:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122132101.099953.16850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113284

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > English is a Living tongue not one who's words are forever fixed
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But the meaning of what an adjective is. I doubt that linguistics
> > > > > > > scholars around the world will be hard pressed to let a foul mouthed
> > > > > > > punk like you redefine that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you are feeble minded the linguists don't define or redine the
> > > > > > langauage. the users of it do
> > > > >
> > > > > But an adjective is still and adjective.
> > > >
> > > > so?
> > > >
> > > > > The job of the linguist is to study the changes and they are always
> > > > > goin on
> > > >
> > > > And they still won't let a foul mouthed punk like you redefine the
> > > > parameters of the language.
> > >
> > > yes we all do even a stupid piece of #### like yourself gets to
> >
> > I love it when you try and insult me about language, yet can't form
> > a coherent sentence.

fully coheant and makes you title another lie

>
> Idiot.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1926. Stveie still obseesed with mans gential

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:23:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122132219.362767.168930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113285

K4YZ wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > K4YZ:
> >
> > A little joke here, just to "liven up this party..."
> >
> > I'll bet that faggot is a "pain in the A$$" in more ways than one!
> >
> > ROFLOL!!!!
> >
> > ... sorry, couldn't resist... <juvenile-smirk>
>
> Maybe...but then I doubt he has what it takes to satisfy a partner
> of EITHER gender!

more of your sick obsesstion

>
> Markie's Amateur Radio station is probably not the ONLY thing he
> owns suggering from "too little equipment...!"

get over your in terest in Male geitals or admit to being homosexual
yourself

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1927. Re: K4YZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:30:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122132604.572542.268060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113286

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > Thanks, Mark!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Appreciate your cooperation!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > realy losing it stevie cant find anything to leave
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Haven't lost anything, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > so you never had a mind to lose stevie
> > > >
> > > > I have more "mind" behind the left eye than you do in the entire
> > > > cranial cavity, Markie.
> > >
> > > then use it
> >
break
> I do.

then use the mind you claim to have
>
> That's why I won't let you lie uncontested.

no your obsesstion is why you keep this up

>
> > > > > And what's to "leave"?
> > >
> > > well if you are repling to something you need something to reply too
> >
> > "repling"..?!?!
> >
> > > > > > Just more of your silly "nope" to things you've already admitted to
> > > > > > then try to say you DIDN'T say..?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > all you can do is lie and threaten you are as bad as the freuders of
> > > > > the past of your region,

> > >
> > > "...freuders..."
> > >
> > > You trying to make some silly reference to the Hatfields and
> > > McCoys, Markie?
> > >
> > not at all silly
> >
> > you sure sound like the type
>
> Then what "freuders" do you refer to?

you mostly

when you carry on a multiyears feud over morse code basicaly

>
> > > You better do some history on where that all took place them look
> > > up Winchester, TN on Mapquest.
> > >
> > TN KY and then the folks spread out over the state so they would not
> > kill each othe roff sounds about right to me
>
> Then you're as ignorant of Southern history as you are Amateur
> Radio.

not at all the feuding families started in there backwoods and then
spread over the south to where they live now, rather like a cancer

>
> And that's pretty ignorant.

not realy

>
> > Indeed watched something in which bad feelings presist in the Bakers
> > about the actions of the Whites a hunderd years ago
> >
> > >
> > > > > You're an admitted liar, Morgan. You're a disgrace to your family
> > > > > name and ought to have your picture nailed to phone poles all over town
> > > > > warning people that you're a danger to society and yourself (especially
> > > > > children that you'd intentionally teach to lie...)
> > > > >
> > > > obsessive compulsive
> > > >
> > > Yes, yes you are, Markie! Come out of your shell! Good step Boyo!
> > >
> > you are the obsessive compulsive
>
> Nope. You are. Youa dmitted it right there.

more lies Stevie boy

>
> > > Now DO something about your problem!
> >
> > my problem is frankly you
>
> Then you have a big problem. Because MY problem is you and your
> lying.

nope my problem is a little boy in mans body who can't face facts, that
being yourself

>
> Stop lying and making assinine accusations and your "problem" will
> disappear.

admitting at last to aid supresioon of disent

>
> > lets see I can ask you to grow up
>
> I turn 50 in September, Markie...Already there.

so let your alleged mind get out the preteen years

>
> > > > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> > > > > just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...
> > > > >
> > > > > more threats
> > > >
> > > > Nope...just a whimful idea on how to spend a few days off.
> > >
> > > more threats
> >
> > Nope.

more threats

and not even man enough to admit it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1928. Re: K4YZ: What Makes A "Man" Like Steve RoberSon Lie So Prolifically?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:32:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122132776.115185.278140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113287

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
hack

> > > And W H O said anything about the government, DeviantBoy...?!?!
> >
> > you did the president is part of the Govt
>
> You didn't answer my question in regards to the OTHER agencies who
> have publically lauded and complimented Amateur Radio

yes I did a line or three

more stevie lies
>
> > > There are more entities other than the government who have anything
> > > to say about Amateur Radio!
> >
> > and they work in much the same way
>
> And you have the stones to say >>I<< am "losing it"...?!?

more obsession with male anotomy Stevie

and do make up your mind wether i am a coward or brave

1929. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:39:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122133173.382270.145180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113289

Dee Flint wrote:
> Boy did you fall for a bunch of propaganda!

Amen. In spades.

> As far as the declining number of manufacturers goes, the normal progression in any business
> is towards fewer companies serving the market. Unless one takes steps to stop it, a
> free market economy goes through a cycle. New product with lots of new companies. Then
> continuing consolidation until there is a near monopoly by one or a handful of companies.

That's true in general Dee but what "declining number of manufacturers" - within the context of ham radio? It just ain't so. I suspect that if somebody put the effort into pulling together the actual facts they'd find that the total number of manufacturers in the ham radio market is higher today than it's ever been in the past.

Almost all of the "glory-days" U.S. supply siders went bust or abandoned the ham radio market and moved on to survive when the JA's landed 1975-1980. Yaesu, Icom, Kenwood, Alinco, Honda, Toyota and Datsun pulled off what Yamamoto and Nagumo failed to pull off their way a bit earlier. Within ham radio Hallicrafters, Swan, National, Hammarlund, Drake and Heath simply evaporated with barely a trace left in the ham biz, Collins is one which simply moved on. Not a consolidation in sight anywhere.

Off on another tangent consider the implications of another aspect: Collins offered only two basics routes a ham could use to get on the HF bands with their gear at any given point in time. One xcvr and one pair of separates. Period. Ditto Drake and for the most part Heath too. Those three companies overwhelmingly dominated the HF ham gear market for years. Today Icom is offering four desktop HF xcvrs with two more in the pipeline, Kenwood offers four, and Yeasu has seven in their catalog with another one coming. Ten-tec and Elecraft are doing nicely. Not counting all the HF mobile rigs and the equipment being developed in various skunk works. Yeah it's a free market economy cycle alrighty.

We've never had it better and it keeps getting better. These Junior Grade "the sky is falling" yahoos need to be written off as as just that.

w3rv

1930. Re: K4YZ: What Makes A "Man" Like Steve RoberSon Lie So Prolifically?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:39:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122133185.342964.163510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113288

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > becuase you need to
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > How do you know?
> > > > > >
> > > > > becuase I do
> > > > >
> > > > Because you're a lair, perhaps?
> > >
> > nope

> > because you are sick
>
> You keep saying that but you've neither offered evidence nor a
> licesne to practice medicine.

you offer the evidence

It is plain to see your obsession with my and what I may do with my
genitlas at any given time

>
> > > > > > Have you performed a pateint assessment?
> > > > > >
> > > > > yep
> > > >
> > > Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!
> > >
> > nope you are sick
>
> Nope! You're just a liar, Boyo.

you are a liar and very sick boyo

>
> > > > > > Are you licesed to do so?
> > > > > >
```

> > > > doesn't stop you
> > >
> > > I AM licensed, Markie.
> >
> > no you are not licensed no more than I for making that kind of
> > assesment
>
> Sure I am.

Another lie Stevie

You are an LPN with delusion of granduer

>
> > > > > > > Are you a licensed physician?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > if I say yes well that help you to get meds
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope. It would be just one more lie we could chalk up to a known
> > > > > pathological liar.
> > > >
> > > > then why did you ask
> > >
> > > Just to get that cleared up...And you did.
> >
> > more stevie #### then
>
> More Markie hiding behind profanities that are supposed to make
> him the "Big Man On Campus"

no interest in any campus

and learn englih it is vulgarities

it takes somethinginvolved the devine to be profane
such you as are a God damned liar
>
> > > > > > then of course I am
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > Yet another MarkieLie.
> > >
> > > nope another stevie lie
> >
> > You're the one uttering the lie, Markie.
> >
> > I said IF would get you to seek then Then I would claim the title
>
> Yep.

which means I haven't lied yet

>
> You clearly stated that you would claim a title to which you were
> NOT entitled to claim.

that I would do so not that I have

If it will get you to seek I will claim to be the president, but tille
you agree to seek that help I haven't made the claim

go study the concept "if... then"

and still obsessed with petty shit
>
> > since it will not I have done no such things
> > If lying to yo will get you to seek help then It is my public duty to
> > lie to you
>
> "public duty to lie to you..."!

anything to get to seek help

>
> BBBWWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! !

cry baby
>
> > > > > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> > > > >
> > > > > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
> > > >
> > > > get help
> > >
> > > For what?
> >
> > delusions and obsessions
>
> What delusions and obsessions?

yours

one being that you have the right to stalker harras and bully people

the other your unhealthy interest in other people genitals

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1931. K4YZ: What Makes A "Man" Like Steve RoberSon Lie So Prolifically?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:43:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122133386.820678.5960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113290

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > You're a lying, sexually deviant pathological liar who won't get
> > > > >
> > > > > neither lying nor sexualy devaint and certainly not pathogloical
> > > >
> > > > Sure you are. By your own admission on all accounts.
> > >
> > > not all
> >
> > Absolutely. And by your own admission.

not at all

Stevie if I don't admit something it can't be by my own admssion

Learn english

>
> > only by your efforts to make #### up
>
> I couldn't make assinine stuff like yours up if I was sleeping
> with J K Rowling.

you certainly manage to make it up

I don't realy care about you sex life

I have sense of manners about such things

>
> Huge Snip
>
> > > > you are obsessed
> > >
> > > With what?
> >
> > with me, with what I may do with my genitals
> > amoug other things
>
> Sorry, Markie...I'm not in to "microbiology".

more shit

>
> > > > > > get helped
> > > > > >
> > > > > > For what?
> > > > >
> > > > > obsession
> > > >
> > > What obsession?
> >
> > your obsession with other folks exuality
>
> What's "exuality"...?!?!

that you don't understand sexuality is something you make plain every
day

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1932. more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:49:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122133759.843423.197740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113291

K4YZ wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz:
> >
> > Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
> >
> > <disappointed-look-on-face>
>
> Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these days...

and you call any of that english

It does go on to show your obsession with gentials

prehaps the porblem is that you realy need to get laid

>
> Steve, K4YZ

1933. Moderator apointed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:50:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122133858.084918.226310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113292

K4YZ wrote:
hack

>
> EVERYONE PAY ATTENTION.
>
> The newsgroup moderator has spoken.

look like Stvie is indeed claiming the title and..
>
> Carry on, Lennie...

giving lenn the floor
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1934. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:52:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122133960.503674.281660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113293

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article
> <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
> >
> >
> > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie
>
> And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
> Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.

why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net

1935. Re: more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:57:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8OtEe.428$s22.4847684@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113295

an_old_pervert:

My gawd yes man, we are fascinated by female genitalia and boobs.

As a very young man, 10-12 years of age, they became a lifetime
pursuit of mine! I have been studying them and doing "experiments"
ever since, I am no where nearing the end of such endeavors!

I suspect they effect me in exactly the way men's genitalia and buttz
set you off...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122133759.843423.197740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Cmdr Buzz:
>> >
>> > Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W
>> > boobs?
>> >
>> > <disappointed-look-on-face>
>>
>> Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these
>> days...
>
> and you call any of that english
>
> It does go on to show your obsession with gentials
>
> prehaps the porblem is that you realy need to get laid
>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

1936. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 15:58:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122134287.186674.258220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113294

John Smith wrote:
> Well Tom Garigue:
>
> Glad to meet you!
>
cut
> I hope you don't mind my anonymity here, but I have been burnt when
> people know my name, call and address. But I assure you, there is a
> real person behind this text, and only one...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John Smith
>

strange you should day that but prehaps I will ahve to reosrt to the
same thing for the much the same reason

1937. Re: Stveie still obseesed with mans gential

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 16:02:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7XtEe.429$0S2.5062794@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113296

an_old_pervert:

Hey, I own a set of that "male genitalia" you speak of, gotten quite a
bit of use and enjoyment out of it too!

Now you accuse me of being "genitalia-phobic" in addition to your
accusations of my being "homophobic?"

Get a grip, I have looked in the mirror, doesn't scare me one bit.
Hell, doesn't even scare the girls I have shown it to... <grin>

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122132219.362767.168930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > K4YZ:
>> >
>> > A little joke here, just to "liven up this party..."
>> >
>> > I'll bet that faggot is a "pain in the A$$" in more ways than
>> > one!
>> >
>> > ROFLOL!!!!
>> >
>> > ... sorry, couldn't resist... <juvenile-smirk>
>>
>> Maybe...but then I doubt he has what it takes to satisfy a
>> partner
>> of EITHER gender!
>
> more of your sick obsesstion
>
>>
>> Markie's Amateur Radio station is probably not the ONLY thing
>> he
>> owns suggering from "too little equipment...!"
>
> get over your in terest in Male geitals or admit to being homosexual
> yourself
>
>>
>> 73

>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

1938. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 17:09:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122138569.109657.204240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113297

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > Boy did you fall for a bunch of propaganda!
>
> Amen. In spades.
>
> > As far as the declining number of manufacturers goes,
> > the normal progression in any business
> > is towards fewer companies serving the market.
> > Unless one takes steps to stop it, a
> > free market economy goes through a cycle.
> > New product with lots of new companies. Then
> > continuing consolidation until there is a near monopoly by
> > one or a handful of companies.
>
> That's true in general Dee but what "declining number
> of manufacturers"
> - within the context of ham radio? It just ain't so.
> I suspect that if
> somebody put the effort into pulling together the actual
> facts they'd
> find that the total number of manufacturers in the ham
> radio market is
> higher today than it's ever been in the past.

Well, let's see...

Back in the '60s we had Collins, Drake, National,
Hallicrafters, Hammarlund, Gonset, Heath, Swan, Johnson...
and that's about it for major manufacturers of ham gear
that lasted more than a few years and made more than a
few products. Even in the above list there were limitations
because many of the above did not offer a complete line (EFJ
made mostly transmitters, for example).

Today we have Yaesu, Icom, Kenwood, TenTec, Alinco, Standard,
Elecraft, SGC, and maybe a few more. But see below.
>
> Almost all of the "glory-days" U.S. supply siders went bust or
> abandoned the ham radio market and moved on to survive when the > JA's landed 1975-1980.
Yaesu, Icom, Kenwood, Alinco, Honda,
> Toyota and
> Datsun pulled off what Yamamoto and Nagumo failed to pull off
> their way
> a bit earlier. Within ham radio Hallicrafters, Swan, National,

> Hammarlund, Drake and Heath simply evaporated with barely a
> trace left
> in the ham biz, Collins is one which simply moved on. Not a
> consolidation in sight anywhere.

Yep. In some cases it was that the founder had died or retired, and the
company wasn't able to adjust to the new market reality.

This didn't just happen in ham gear - consumer electronics
went the same route. PCs followed.

> Off on another tangent consider the implications of another
> aspect:
> Collins offered only two basics routes a ham could use to get
> on the HF
> bands with their gear at any given point in time. One xcvr and > one pair
> of separates. Period. Ditto Drake and for the most part Heath
> too.

Drake and Heath had slightly more elaborate product lines - but not by
much. The point is still valid, though. The variety of new rigs today
is amazing.

Here's a game: Look up all the "100 watt class" HF rigs available today
(mid 2005). Compare to what was available 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 years ago.
I bet today's variety is the largest.

> Those three companies overwhelmingly dominated the HF ham
> gear market
> for years. Today Icom is offering four desktop HF xcvrs
> with two more
> in the pipeline, Kenwood offers four, and Yeasu has seven
> in their
> catalog with another one coming. Ten-tec and Elecraft are doing > nicely.

Yup - even the small outfits offer product lines that are more
diverse than the big boys offered in "the bad old days".

> Not counting all the HF mobile rigs and the equipment being
> developed in various skunk works.

And not counting the enormous variety of clean, late-model used
equipment that is still very much usable. Take TenTec - if an Orion is
too much and you don't like the Jupiter, there's the Omni 6 in various
flavors, its predecessor the Omni V, the Pegasus, the Paragon, and the
Corsair 2, among others.

> Yeah it's a free market economy cycle alrighty.

> We've never had it better and it keeps getting better.

The big change is the cost in inflation-adjusted dollars.

The other night I saw an ad for the Kenwood TS-520 in a 1975 QST. $629. That was back in the days when a new car was less than $4000 and starting salary for a degreed engineer was maybe $12,000.

That TS-520 was a nice rig in its time, and can still do a good job. But it won't do the WARC bands, has analog readout, no passband tuning, no ATU, no RS-232 port, no memories and no blanker. The external second VFO, CW filter, 12VDC supply and digital readout were extra-cost features ($179 for the digital readout alone!)

It did have three tubes inside (driver and finals) and you could turn off their heaters if you wanted. Of course you had to tune it up...

What's $629 from 1975 equate to in 2005, adjusted for inflation? Probably as much as an IC-756 costs now.

Or look at the SB-101 from the mid 1960s. $369 for the rig, almost another hundred for the power supply, CW filter and speaker. Say $450 - for a kit! What's that in today's dollars?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1939. Re: Stveie still obseesed with mans gential

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 17:14:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122138872.943015.224840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113298

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_pervert:
>
> Hey, I own a set of that "male genitalia" you speak of, gotten quite a
> bit of use and enjoyment out of it too!
>
> Now you accuse me of being "genitalia-phobic" in addition to your
> accusations of my being "homophobic?"

not unless you are in fact Stevie k4yz
>
> Get a grip, I have looked in the mirror, doesn't scare me one bit.
> Hell, doesn't even scare the girls I have shown it to... <grin>
>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122132219.362767.168930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > K4YZ:
> >> >
> >> > A little joke here, just to "liven up this party..."
> >> >
> >> > I'll bet that faggot is a "pain in the A$$" in more ways than
> >> > one!
> >> >
> >> > ROFLOL!!!!
> >> >
> >> > ... sorry, couldn't resist... <juvenile-smirk>
> >>
> >> Maybe...but then I doubt he has what it takes to satisfy a
> >> partner
> >> of EITHER gender!
> >
> > more of your sick obsesstion
> >
> >>
> >> Markie's Amateur Radio station is probably not the ONLY thing
> >> he
> >> owns suggering from "too little equipment...!"
> >

> > get over your in terest in Male geitals or admit to being homosexual
> > yourself
> >
> >>
> >> 73
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >

1940. Re: more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 17:22:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122139358.003092.23260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113299

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_pervert:
>
> My gawd yes man, we are fascinated by female genitalia and boobs.
>
> As a very young man, 10-12 years of age, they became a lifetime
> pursuit of mine! I have been studying them and doing "experiments"
> ever since, I am no where nearing the end of such endeavors!
>
> I suspect they effect me in exactly the way men's genitalia and buttz
> set you off...

indeed although you over look 2 things

One female gential are also exceitng to me

two this just isn't the newsgroup for it

there is a whole seris alt.sex. anything you can imgaine and few things
you you see and still can't

Stevie you for that matter need ot learn a place ofr everything and
evrything in its place

This gential obsession of stevie came out when he, in looking for ways
to attack me went out on USEnet looking for shit to use, first to tried
to black mail me into silence by threatening to expose my interests
(not ashamed of them just know this isn't the place) on RRAP

>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122133759.843423.197740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > Cmdr Buzz:
> >> >
> >> > Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W
> >> > boobs?
> >> >
> >> > <disappointed-look-on-face>
> >>
> >> Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these

> >> days...
> >
> > and you call any of that english
> >
> > It does go on to show your obsession with gentials
> >
> > prehaps the porblem is that you realy need to get laid
> >
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >

1941. Re: more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 17:30:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <79vEe.438$%%3.5465227@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113300

You idgit. From the study done years ago, it was revealed we men think about sex every 3 to 5 minutes, I am sure the women realize that by now--our male secret is out.

And, since that is indeed true, sex permeates all our waking hours (sometimes I even dream about it), quite often while carrying on a QSO with an aussie gal--I think about it. I have thought about it when building antennas. Working on radios. Stringing coax. Reading ARRL literature (ever notice those guys never have a pin-up girl on their website? ARRL gone gay?)

Get real... hey, you aren't sexophobic are ya?

Anyway, don't give me the bisexual line, you are either gay or straight in my book--there is NOT a half-way point buddy! And, gays are perverts!

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122139358.003092.23260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> an_old_pervert:
>>
>> My gawd yes man, we are fascinated by female genitalia and boobs.
>>
>> As a very young man, 10-12 years of age, they became a lifetime
>> pursuit of mine! I have been studying them and doing "experiments"
>> ever since, I am no where nearing the end of such endeavors!
>>
>> I suspect they effect me in exactly the way men's genitalia and
>> buttz
>> set you off...
>
> indeed although you over look 2 things
>
> One female gential are also exceitng to me
>
> two this just isn't the newsgroup for it
>
> there is a whole seris alt.sex. anything you can imgaine and few
> things
> you you see and still can't
>

> Stevie you for that matter need ot learn a place ofr everything and
> evrything in its place
>
> This gential obsession of stevie came out when he, in looking for
> ways
> to attack me went out on USEnet looking for shit to use, first to
> tried
> to black mail me into silence by threatening to expose my interests
> (not ashamed of them just know this isn't the place) on RRAP
>
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122133759.843423.197740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >> > Cmdr Buzz:
>> >> >
>> >> > Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W
>> >> > boobs?
>> >> >
>> >> > <disappointed-look-on-face>
>> >>
>> >> Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these
>> >> days...
>> >
>> > and you call any of that english
>> >
>> > It does go on to show your obsession with gentials
>> >
>> > prehaps the porblem is that you realy need to get laid
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>>
>

1942. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:09:48 UTC
From: Francois <tbree@fastnet.ca>
Message-ID: <pl15e1dfu58aauhhrtt35302fj5713i6i3@4ax.com>
Source record: 113303

On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 22:43:15 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Francois:
>
>Good, very good, remember that line!!! They'll love it on 80M...
>
>My gawd man, you are going to be a real asset to this hobby.
>
>John

...and be with friends you have like Lying Larry, Rambling Rodney, or
Flatulent Freddy? Not, I think, except that when W1AW is vanity call
becomes.

1943. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:11:48 UTC
From: Francois <tbree@fastnet.ca>
Message-ID: <i125e15eo9uffq5oco8aelj1t4eqhtl764@4ax.com>
Source record: 113304

On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 07:38:44 -0500, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:

>Let's not generalize, "Opie." Some of the sharpest people I know are great
>at verbal communications and fail at written--at every level, I might add.
>
>And, while Tiny John seems to have a knack for criticizing communication
>style while, at the same time, not being able to spell or wisely construct a
>sentence, he may very well have some demeaning quality...somewhere. OH,
>DOH!! I meant redeeming!!! ;)
>
>Kim W5TIT

Words, judge you, by people who see them...

1944. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:13:46 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <r025e1160av972qh64ft67ac8b8qt0e8gu@4ax.com>
Source record: 113301

On 23 Jul 2005 06:25:00 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>
>snip
>
> Your "answer" doesn't answer the question, Lennie.
>
> Poor attempt at a redirect.
>
>> Try to stay focussed.
>
> "Focused", Lennie.
>
> Webster's refers. Or are you just "mad" again...?!?!

The Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary does indeed refer - both
spellings are correct:

Main Entry: focus
Function: verb
Inflected Form(s): foï¿½cused also foï¿½cussed

http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=focussed

>snip
>
>Steve, K4YZ

73, Leo

1945. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:23:22 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <sg25e11aodpdsj3p3t2f6p7oeud6m0o1b0@4ax.com>
Source record: 113302

On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 21:11:21 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:pmeEe.104$DC1.1895497@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> This is going to sound like a joke (and heaven knows I NEVER JOKE!--but it
>> isn't...), I once heard the little windshield wiper pumps, the ones which
>> spray on the window cleaner were the by-product of nasa technology adopted
>> by the auto industry; you wouldn't know about that, would you?
>>
>> Funny, but that question has stuck in my mind for years. I had made a
>> mental note to ask, if ever given the chance... sometimes doesn't take
>> much to entertain me... <frown> I actually doubt it... I mean, the
>> cleaner would freeze in the tank in space, immediately freeze and/or
>> crystallize to ice when discharged, etc...
>>
>> or, perhaps they meant the pumps used by nasa were actually for another
>> purpose and just adapted to windshields here on terafirma...
>>
>> John
>>
>
>Don't know about the pumps unfortunately. However it is amazing some of the
>things that came out of the space program. The original ball point pen was
>one.

Not true. The ball-point pen was invented in 1935 - a long time
before space travel became a reality. NASA did spawn the invention of
a pressurized ball-point pen that would write in zero-gravity
conditions (where the regular pen was quite useless) - I assume that
you were referring to this more modern adaptation of a much older
design.

http://www.ideafinder.com/history/inventions/story055.htm

>snip

>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

73, Leo

1946. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:28:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122143311.129532.247980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113305

From: "John Smith" on Fri 22 Jul 2005 21:08

>Geesh...
>
>You guys are for real huh?
>You think code and a radio makes you special guys?

It does in their minds. They are the PCTA *Extras*!

>Was the license really so difficult for you, you believe the rest of
>us with much different opinions are stupid and find it that big a
>challenge?

Yes they do. :-)

PCTA extras are the Gods of Radio! [amateur radio, that is]

>I started building tesla coils at ~10, I got my novice ticket and
>built my first single tube transmitter when I was 12, my first degree
>was in electronic engineering in '72... I ate this chit up... I was
>bored and didn't know what I wanted to be--until they invented the
>computer... I returned to college in 1978 and got my BS in CS in 1981
>along with a BA in journalism, I completed my MS in CS in '83...
>since then I have always taught a night class at the local jr. college
>and continue to take classes at the local university to stay current
>and be with fresh young minds...

Careful, John, careful. Gonad the Librarian (K4YZ) will come
in here and totally denounce "night classes" as something for
immigrants or "stupids." :-)

>Get real... lay down the opium pipe and step out of the gutter!

That be a bit strong, John. Tom/Garique is basically an OK
kind of guy. It's the OTHER PCTA extras in here who need
their hairy legs (covered by jackboots) shaved a bit (words
toned down considerably to be 'courteous' to the arrogant).

>And, I just consider myself an average guy (you should see my sister,
>she has all the brains in the family!)... of course, I do live in a
>nice neighborhood and have nice neighbors...

Oh, no...another segue into Real Estate and Mortgages! :-)

That's even worse than "ham balloons reaching the threshold
of space!" [which others have already done but one in here
wants congratulatory messages praising him for announcing
he is "going to do it!"]

There can be NO controversial commentary on the code test for radio amateurs in here. All MUST do it to show their dedication and committment to the amateur community! It has always been that way and it must always be! It is the heart and soul of U.S. amateur radio! CW uber alles!! Sieg heil!!!

Oops, got carried away with PCTA spirit words there... :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1947. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:31:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122143480.527268.301250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113306

From: "John Smith" on Fri 22 Jul 2005 16:44

>Len:
>
>Dumb it down a bit man, you have them confused!

Not my fault...they were confused when I first got here...:-)

>Now they think they are clones! They even suspect you of being a
>clone!!! (too much startrek when young I suppose.)

Careful there, "John," I watched the OS since the 3rd episode aired in '67 (was away on biz trip for first two aired). :-)

The neo-20s, neo-30s Re-Enactor pioneers of the airwaves with "CW" seem to think that ham radio is some kind of 25th Century subspace radio. HUGE technology gap. But, no different than the re-enactors of Civil War battles, Revolutionary War battles, etc., etc. in this modern age.

Back just after the FIRST Gulf War, some BS slinger came in here announcing he was a "colonel" (retired) and that his son "was 'behind enemy lines' (supposedly in Iraq) and that "he sent intel on CW" during that short, brief, brutal, fast-moving ground action. Pure BS, of course. In 1990 the military had grown out of the 1940 era of two-tube modulated oscillator and super-regen battery portables and used a VHF-UHF portable (PSQ-3) having a 1200 BPS "chiclet" keyboard that relayed through the military aviation band and orbiting aircraft (or satellites). The ground war lasted only about four days with Plan 73 Easting topping ANYTHING that famed Rommel panzerfausts couldn't hope to top. This BSing dingbat had overloaded on antiquated History Channel footage or other pipe-dreaming and wanted to be a "name" in here. Back in 1997 - before the announcement of the "restructuring" NPRM - some PCTA (that's Pro-Code Test Advocate if you weren't here back then) types still thought the military USED "CW" for communications. They don't, but I couldn't convince anyone (except a very few) of that. It upset their FANTASIES and the high regard they had for their "valuable skill" (which no other radio service wanted).

>They want to be thought of as special, with each one having a "stark
>in contrast" and "special religious fervor" to CW for their own very
>PERSONAL and UNIQUE reasons...

Some seem to NEED being "better than others" on SOMETHING. :-)

They have spiffy ready-built radios (designed and built by others) costing many $$$ or they have spiffy designed and built by themselves for less than $100 (using state-of-the-art vacuum tube technology of the 1990s). They use that wonder of all radio modes, On-Off Keying of a Continuous Wave carrier ("OOK CW"). Those PCTAs are probably very good at this "CW" and could get a nice job as a "radio op" of the 1930s era in "shortwave communications." Trouble is, this is the New Millennium and the year 2005. Ain't NO demand for such "radio operators." But, they are the BEST! :-)

Some common arguments are "CW is what amateur radio is all about!" or "CW is the heart and soul of amateur radio!" or that "'Anyone' can learn morse"...provided they devote a large part of their free time "to show their dedication and committment to the amateur community!" Whoopee on the last since that makes them top-notch radio ops for the 1930s... 75 years too late.

I've never seen the address of this "amateur community" or seen it on a map...nor is there information on how this "community" rates new amateurs...it must all be some kind of transcendental telepathic ability from the epiphany of passing a morse test.

Now, it's not all that absurd to label the CW fanatics as members of the ARS...the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. [not my original line, just picked up from another in here and used unmercilessly] If they want to be "expert" at a skill that is 75 years out of date, why "FB OM." Thanks, but I prefer this New Millennium just fine after pursuing a radio-electronics career begun over a half century ago, working REAL HF comms en masse on 24/7 "DX" circuits.

>I am afraid unless you are able to maintain that fantasy for
>them--there is going to be trouble....
><straight-faced-look>

Well, "that's how it goes..." <straight-faced look in return>

The fantasizers keep insisting They >>ARE<< ham radio and everybody (by damn) better well take that morse test in order to be "as good as They." As the old latin phrase has it, screum. :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1948. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:32:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <03wEe.454$5K4.5695524@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113308

I am a card carrying member of MENSA, judge me all you care too... got
any interesting tests I can take?

ROFLOL!!!!!!

John

"Francois" <tbree@fastnet.ca> wrote in message
news:i125e15eo9uffq5oco8aelj1t4eqhtl764@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 07:38:44 -0500, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Let's not generalize, "Opie." Some of the sharpest people I know
>>are great
>>at verbal communications and fail at written--at every level, I
>>might add.
>>
>>And, while Tiny John seems to have a knack for criticizing
>>communication
>>style while, at the same time, not being able to spell or wisely
>>construct a
>>sentence, he may very well have some demeaning quality...somewhere.
>>OH,
>>DOH!! I meant redeeming!!! ;)
>>
>>Kim W5TIT
>
> Words, judge you, by people who see them...

1949. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:38:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <A8wEe.456$eK4.5704773@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113307

Len:

Trust me, there are no "stupid people" in the class I will be
instructing this fall... "C++", well, they are all gone by the end of
the first quiz, at the latest...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122143311.129532.247980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Fri 22 Jul 2005 21:08
>
>>Geesh...
>>
>>You guys are for real huh?
>>You think code and a radio makes you special guys?
>
> It does in their minds. They are the PCTA *Extras*!
>
>>Was the license really so difficult for you, you believe the rest of
>>us with much different opinions are stupid and find it that big a
>>challenge?
>
> Yes they do. :-)
>
> PCTA extras are the Gods of Radio! [amateur radio, that is]
>
>>I started building tesla coils at ~10, I got my novice ticket and
>>built my first single tube transmitter when I was 12, my first
>>degree
>>was in electronic engineering in '72... I ate this chit up... I was
>>bored and didn't know what I wanted to be--until they invented the
>>computer... I returned to college in 1978 and got my BS in CS in
>>1981
>>along with a BA in journalism, I completed my MS in CS in '83...
>>since then I have always taught a night class at the local jr.
>>college
>>and continue to take classes at the local university to stay current
>>and be with fresh young minds...
>
> Careful, John, careful. Gonad the Librarian (K4YZ) will come
> in here and totally denounce "night classes" as something for
> immigrants or "stupids." :-)
>
>>Get real... lay down the opium pipe and step out of the gutter!

>
> That be a bit strong, John. Tom/Garique is basically an OK
> kind of guy. It's the OTHER PCTA extras in here who need
> their hairy legs (covered by jackboots) shaved a bit (words
> toned down considerably to be 'courteous' to the arrogant).
>
>>And, I just consider myself an average guy (you should see my
>>sister,
>>she has all the brains in the family!)... of course, I do live in a
>>nice neighborhood and have nice neighbors...
>
> Oh, no...another segue into Real Estate and Mortgages! :-)
>
> That's even worse than "ham balloons reaching the threshold
> of space!" [which others have already done but one in here
> wants congratulatory messages praising him for announcing
> he is "going to do it!"]
>
> There can be NO controversial commentary on the code test
> for radio amateurs in here. All MUST do it to show their
> dedication and committment to the amateur community! It
> has always been that way and it must always be! It is the
> heart and soul of U.S. amateur radio! CW uber alles!!
> Sieg heil!!!
>
> Oops, got carried away with PCTA spirit words there... :-)
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1950. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:45:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wfwEe.457$IV4.5764240@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113309

Francois:

I am confused. Do you mean "Channel 10 Ben?" or "The Big Bore of Channel 4?", perhaps, "The Teen on Channel 17?", maybe "Diesel Dummy?", or "BozoBob?", "LadyBug?", "BeaverBiter?", "The Wild Chicken-Bander?"...

ahhh, never mind, you'll know 'em when ya hear 'em, diversity--ain't it wonderful? <grin>

John

"Francois" <tbree@fastnet.ca> wrote in message news:pl15e1dfu58aauhhrtt35302fj5713i6i3@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 22:43:15 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Francois:
>>
>>Good, very good, remember that line!!! They'll love it on 80M...
>>
>>My gawd man, you are going to be a real asset to this hobby.
>>
>>John
>
> ...and be with friends you have like Lying Larry, Rambling Rodney,
> or
> Flatulent Freddy? Not, I think, except that when W1AW is vanity call
> becomes.

1951. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:57:40 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122145060.572994.133430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> and what about you Olivermartin15@yahoo.com
>
> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
> OrgID: MSFT
> Address: One Microsoft Way
> City: Redmond
> StateProv: WA
> PostalCode: 98052
> Country: US
>
> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
> NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
> Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
> NetType: Direct Assignment
> NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
> NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET
> Comment:
> RegDate: 2001-02-14
> Updated: 2004-12-09
>
> TechHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> TechName: Microsoft Corporation
> TechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> TechEmail: noc@microsoft.com
>
> OrgAbuseHandle: HOTMA-ARIN
> OrgAbuseName: Hotmail Abuse
> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@hotmail.com
>
> OrgAbuseHandle: MSNAB-ARIN
> OrgAbuseName: MSN ABUSE
> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@msn.com
>
> OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE231-ARIN
> OrgAbuseName: Abuse

> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@microsoft.com
>
> OrgNOCHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> OrgNOCName: Microsoft Corporation
> OrgNOCPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgNOCEmail: noc@microsoft.com
>
> OrgTechHandle: MSFTP-ARIN
> OrgTechName: MSFT-POC
> OrgTechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> OrgTechEmail: iprrms@microsoft.com
>
> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-07-21 19:10
> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.

LOL! You are retarded. Does this ring a bell for you?

12.156.123.39

12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
AT&T WorldNet Services
AT&T
200 S. LAUREL AVE.
MIDDLETOWN, NJ
US

Kostick, Deirdre
help@ip.att.net
+1-919-319-8249

12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINESS
1100 SPORTSMAN DR
TAYLORVILLE, IL
US

Vocks, Adam
ADAM@ctitech.com
+1-217-824-6398

1952. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 18:59:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <YrwEe.458$AD4.5567588@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113311

Len:

Do you think we should set up a website to help the hams to transition and be accepted by the CB'ers which will be flooding in like a lot of okies ready to pick cotton?

Maybe a dictionary of CB Terms and a list of the 10 Codes which are accepted for use by the CB Community? Yanno, "10-fer Good Buddy", and "I gotta go 10-100!", and "I just had a 10-33 in 'ma pants!", etc...

And, what about power requirements now? Do these hams here have "BEEG LEENEAIRS?", big enough to be able to compete with monsters which are coming in from CB? You know as well as I, those multi-kilowatt pileups on 160 and 80 ain't gonna be pretty!

And, just think, the new pirate chans will probably reach down into AM Broadcast Band... what about the hams down there listening to art bell and his con man guest--wayne green? They aren't going to like that interference yanno... <frown>

And, what about etiquette? Will these hams be able to adopt a quick retarded drawl to their voices and speech, and more important--can they do it and make it believable?

OMG, there is a lot to deal with Len, I am afraid we may not have time enough to get the hams prepared for the new way of life on the bands! Got any ideas to ease their transition period--poor buggers?

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122143480.527268.301250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Fri 22 Jul 2005 16:44
>
>>Len:
>>
>>Dumb it down a bit man, you have them confused!
>
> Not my fault...they were confused when I first got here...:-)
>
>>Now they think they are clones! They even suspect you of being a
>>clone!!! (too much startrek when young I suppose.)
>
> Careful there, "John," I watched the OS since the 3rd episode
> aired in '67 (was away on biz trip for first two aired). :-)
>
> The neo-20s, neo-30s Re-Enactor pioneers of the airwaves with

> "CW" seem to think that ham radio is some kind of 25th Century
> subspace radio. HUGE technology gap. But, no different than
> the re-enactors of Civil War battles, Revolutionary War
> battles, etc., etc. in this modern age.
>
> Back just after the FIRST Gulf War, some BS slinger came in
> here announcing he was a "colonel" (retired) and that his
> son "was 'behind enemy lines' (supposedly in Iraq) and that
> "he sent intel on CW" during that short, brief, brutal,
> fast-moving ground action. Pure BS, of course. In 1990 the
> military had grown out of the 1940 era of two-tube modulated
> oscillator and super-regen battery portables and used a VHF-
> UHF portable (PSQ-3) having a 1200 BPS "chiclet" keyboard
> that relayed through the military aviation band and orbiting
> aircraft (or satellites). The ground war lasted only about
> four days with Plan 73 Easting topping ANYTHING that famed
> Rommel panzerfausts couldn't hope to top. This BSing dingbat
> had overloaded on antiquated History Channel footage or other
> pipe-dreaming and wanted to be a "name" in here. Back in
> 1997 - before the announcement of the "restructuring" NPRM -
> some PCTA (that's Pro-Code Test Advocate if you weren't here
> back then) types still thought the military USED "CW" for
> communications. They don't, but I couldn't convince anyone
> (except a very few) of that. It upset their FANTASIES and
> the high regard they had for their "valuable skill" (which
> no other radio service wanted).
>
>>They want to be thought of as special, with each one having a "stark
>>in contrast" and "special religious fervor" to CW for their own very
>>PERSONAL and UNIQUE reasons...
>
> Some seem to NEED being "better than others" on SOMETHING. :-)
>
> They have spiffy ready-built radios (designed and built by
> others) costing many $$$ or they have spiffy designed and
> built by themselves for less than $100 (using state-of-the-
> art vacuum tube technology of the 1990s). They use that
> wonder of all radio modes, On-Off Keying of a Continuous
> Wave carrier ("OOK CW"). Those PCTAs are probably very good
> at this "CW" and could get a nice job as a "radio op" of the
> 1930s era in "shortwave communications." Trouble is, this
> is the New Millennium and the year 2005. Ain't NO demand
> for such "radio operators." But, they are the BEST! :-)
>
> Some common arguments are "CW is what amateur radio is all
> about!" or "CW is the heart and soul of amateur radio!" or
> that "'Anyone' can learn morse"...provided they devote a
> large part of their free time "to show their dedication and
> committment to the amateur community!" Whoopee on the last
> since that makes them top-notch radio ops for the 1930s...

> 75 years too late.
>
> I've never seen the address of this "amateur community" or
> seen it on a map...nor is there information on how this
> "community" rates new amateurs...it must all be some kind of
> transcendental telepathic ability from the epiphany of passing
> a morse test.
>
> Now, it's not all that absurd to label the CW fanatics as
> members of the ARS...the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
> [not my original line, just picked up from another in here
> and used unmercilessly] If they want to be "expert" at a
> skill that is 75 years out of date, why "FB OM." Thanks,
> but I prefer this New Millennium just fine after pursuing
> a radio-electronics career begun over a half century ago,
> working REAL HF comms en masse on 24/7 "DX" circuits.
>
>>I am afraid unless you are able to maintain that fantasy for
>>them--there is going to be trouble....
>><straight-faced-look>
>
> Well, "that's how it goes..." <straight-faced look in return>
>
> The fantasizers keep insisting They >>ARE<< ham radio and
> everybody (by damn) better well take that morse test in
> order to be "as good as They." As the old latin phrase
> has it, screum. :-)
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1953. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:00:58 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122145258.878135.255520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113312

an_old_friend wrote:
> Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > In article
> > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
> > >
> > >
> > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie
> >
> > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
> > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
>
> why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net

No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.

1954. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:01:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GuwEe.459$WU4.5760073@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113313

Leo:

I found Dee's description close enough, I got my first "Parker" (the civilian adaptation of the nasa pen) in about 1969-70, so was familiar with it from that time on....

Yanno, the Russians just used a pencil, lighter, cheaper and I'll just venture to guess--their development costs much less...

John

"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message news:sg25e11aodpdsj3p3t2f6p7oeud6m0o1b0@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 21:11:21 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:pmeEe.104$DC1.1895497@news.sisna.com...
>>> Dee:
>>>
>>> This is going to sound like a joke (and heaven knows I NEVER
>>> JOKE!--but it
>>> isn't...), I once heard the little windshield wiper pumps, the
>>> ones which
>>> spray on the window cleaner were the by-product of nasa technology
>>> adopted
>>> by the auto industry; you wouldn't know about that, would you?
>>>
>>> Funny, but that question has stuck in my mind for years. I had
>>> made a
>>> mental note to ask, if ever given the chance... sometimes doesn't
>>> take
>>> much to entertain me... <frown> I actually doubt it... I mean,
>>> the
>>> cleaner would freeze in the tank in space, immediately freeze
>>> and/or
>>> crystallize to ice when discharged, etc...
>>>
>>> or, perhaps they meant the pumps used by nasa were actually for
>>> another
>>> purpose and just adapted to windshields here on terafirma...
>>>
>>> John
>>>

>>
>>Don't know about the pumps unfortunately. However it is amazing
>>some of the
>>things that came out of the space program. The original ball point
>>pen was
>>one.
>
> Not true. The ball-point pen was invented in 1935 - a long time
> before space travel became a reality. NASA did spawn the invention
> of
> a pressurized ball-point pen that would write in zero-gravity
> conditions (where the regular pen was quite useless) - I assume that
> you were referring to this more modern adaptation of a much older
> design.
>
> http://www.ideafinder.com/history/inventions/story055.htm
>
>>snip
>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> 73, Leo

1955. Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:07:09 UTC
From: loray <loray@monqreforg.net>
Message-ID: <dbu48r$l4i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113314

My qth is Bowling Green KY.

Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
following:

6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.

14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.

28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.

Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
enforcement going on.

73,

loray

1956. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:08:12 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122145692.077926.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:
> I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the stupid ham
> licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and whatever
> else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you simpltons that
> one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting until I can
> get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest of us
> and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!

Not to worry, however, you couldn't pass the written test anyways.

1957. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:08:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WAwEe.461$Zn4.5519505@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113316

Loray:

Yeah, kinda like the fall of the "Berlin Wall", all at once and quite
surprising, at least to those hams caught sleeping, huh?

John

"loray" <loray@monqreforg.net> wrote in message
news:dbu48r$l4i$0@pita.alt.net...
> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>
> Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> following:
>
> 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
>
> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>
> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>
> Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
> invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
> enforcement going on.
>
>
> 73,
>
> loray
>
>
>
>

1958. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:10:08 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122145808.343292.126770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Opie wrote:
> "kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122086265.698530.217700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Mr. Davies - again from the dead - predicts (no pun intended) ..
> BARF will rise again!
>
> Yep - and there will be a RRRRoooollllll cccccaaaallll to mark the
> event.
>
> This is sooooo exciting. :-)
>
> (...and Les strikes again)

No, the drunk who did the "Roll Call" got his butt fragged by the FCC
for reading police and credit reoprts over the air.

1959. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:19:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5LwEe.463$aK4.5700728@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Good work, another complete ISP...

Hey, keep it up, someone will come along and create a database of
'em...

If ever you get tired, that info is already on the web though...

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122145060.572994.133430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> and what about you Olivermartin15@yahoo.com
>>
>> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
>> OrgID: MSFT
>> Address: One Microsoft Way
>> City: Redmond
>> StateProv: WA
>> PostalCode: 98052
>> Country: US
>>
>> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
>> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
>> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
>> NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
>> Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
>> NetType: Direct Assignment
>> NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET
>> Comment:
>> RegDate: 2001-02-14
>> Updated: 2004-12-09
>>
>> TechHandle: ZM23-ARIN
>> TechName: Microsoft Corporation
>> TechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> TechEmail: noc@microsoft.com
>>

>> OrgAbuseHandle: HOTMA-ARIN
>> OrgAbuseName: Hotmail Abuse
>> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@hotmail.com
>>
>> OrgAbuseHandle: MSNAB-ARIN
>> OrgAbuseName: MSN ABUSE
>> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@msn.com
>>
>> OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE231-ARIN
>> OrgAbuseName: Abuse
>> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@microsoft.com
>>
>> OrgNOCHandle: ZM23-ARIN
>> OrgNOCName: Microsoft Corporation
>> OrgNOCPhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgNOCEmail: noc@microsoft.com
>>
>> OrgTechHandle: MSFTP-ARIN
>> OrgTechName: MSFT-POC
>> OrgTechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgTechEmail: iprrms@microsoft.com
>>
>> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-07-21 19:10
>> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.
>
> LOL! You are retarded. Does this ring a bell for you?
>
> 12.156.123.39
>
> 12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
> AT&T WorldNet Services
> AT&T
> 200 S. LAUREL AVE.
> MIDDLETOWN, NJ
> US
>
>
> Kostick, Deirdre
> help@ip.att.net
> +1-919-319-8249
>
>
> 12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
> COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINESS
> 1100 SPORTSMAN DR
> TAYLORVILLE, IL
> US

>
>
> Vocks, Adam
> ADAM@ctitech.com
> +1-217-824-6398
>

1960. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:21:01 UTC
From: An Metet <anon@ananonmetet.net>
Message-ID: <dbu52k$oh9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122145808.343292.126770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Opie wrote:
>> "kk4tl" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122086265.698530.217700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Mr. Davies - again from the dead - predicts (no pun intended) ..
>> BARF will rise again!
>>
>> Yep - and there will be a RRRRoooollllll ccccaaaallll to mark the
>> event.
>>
>> This is sooooo exciting. :-)
>>
>> (...and Les strikes again)
>
> No, the drunk who did the "Roll Call" got his butt fragged by the FCC
> for reading police and credit reoprts over the air.
>

Who was he? Callsign? Can you post location of the FCC enforcement
action? Heard so much about this roll call guy that I would love to
who he was?

73s de John

1961. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:26:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SRwEe.466$nt5.5785660@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

oliver:

Quite obvious, if you passed the test, any wino in my city would with
flying colors! Ya damn ham-ma'roon!

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122145692.077926.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the stupid
>> ham
>> licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and whatever
>> else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you simpltons that
>> one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting until I
>> can
>> get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest of us
>> and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!
>
> Not to worry, however, you couldn't pass the written test anyways.
>

1962. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:31:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dWwEe.468$dO5.5808193@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

oh yeah, and the business, ever heard of a proxy? A NNTP/SMTP proxy?

ROFLOL!!!!

Gawd, the boy is a regular sherlock holmes!!! But, bit of a slow
one... :(

uhhh, you can proxy though anyone setting up a home mailserver too...

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122145060.572994.133430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> and what about you Olivermartin15@yahoo.com
>>
>> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
>> OrgID: MSFT
>> Address: One Microsoft Way
>> City: Redmond
>> StateProv: WA
>> PostalCode: 98052
>> Country: US
>>
>> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
>> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
>> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
>> NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
>> Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
>> NetType: Direct Assignment
>> NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
>> NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET
>> Comment:
>> RegDate: 2001-02-14
>> Updated: 2004-12-09
>>
>> TechHandle: ZM23-ARIN
>> TechName: Microsoft Corporation
>> TechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> TechEmail: noc@microsoft.com

>>
>> OrgAbuseHandle: HOTMA-ARIN
>> OrgAbuseName: Hotmail Abuse
>> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@hotmail.com
>>
>> OrgAbuseHandle: MSNAB-ARIN
>> OrgAbuseName: MSN ABUSE
>> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@msn.com
>>
>> OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE231-ARIN
>> OrgAbuseName: Abuse
>> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@microsoft.com
>>
>> OrgNOCHandle: ZM23-ARIN
>> OrgNOCName: Microsoft Corporation
>> OrgNOCPhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgNOCEmail: noc@microsoft.com
>>
>> OrgTechHandle: MSFTP-ARIN
>> OrgTechName: MSFT-POC
>> OrgTechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
>> OrgTechEmail: iprrms@microsoft.com
>>
>> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-07-21 19:10
>> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.
>
> LOL! You are retarded. Does this ring a bell for you?
>
> 12.156.123.39
>
> 12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
> AT&T WorldNet Services
> AT&T
> 200 S. LAUREL AVE.
> MIDDLETOWN, NJ
> US
>
>
> Kostick, Deirdre
> help@ip.att.net
> +1-919-319-8249
>
>
> 12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
> COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINESS
> 1100 SPORTSMAN DR
> TAYLORVILLE, IL

> US
>
>
> Vocks, Adam
> ADAM@ctitech.com
> +1-217-824-6398
>

1963. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:31:35 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122147095.512722.73100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> oliver:
>
> Quite obvious, if you passed the test, any wino in my city would with
> flying colors! Ya damn ham-ma'roon!
>
> John

You really shouldn't compare others to your siblings.

> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122145692.077926.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Lloyd wrote:
> >> I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the stupid
> >> ham
> >> licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and whatever
> >> else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you simpltons that
> >> one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting until I
> >> can
> >> get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest of us
> >> and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!
> >
> > Not to worry, however, you couldn't pass the written test anyways.
> >

1964. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:34:00 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122147240.124402.38650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> oh yeah, and the business, ever heard of a proxy? A NNTP/SMTP proxy?
>
> ROFLOL!!!!
>
> Gawd, the boy is a regular sherlock holmes!!! But, bit of a slow
> one... :(
>
> uhhh, you can proxy though anyone setting up a home mailserver too...

Indeed. Like an msn proxy.

> John

And you are just figuring this out?

> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122145060.572994.133430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> and what about you Olivermartin15@yahoo.com
> >>
> >> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
> >> OrgID: MSFT
> >> Address: One Microsoft Way
> >> City: Redmond
> >> StateProv: WA
> >> PostalCode: 98052
> >> Country: US
> >>
> >> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
> >> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
> >> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
> >> NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
> >> Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
> >> NetType: Direct Assignment
> >> NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET
> >> Comment:
> >> RegDate: 2001-02-14

> >> Updated: 2004-12-09
> >>
> >> TechHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> >> TechName: Microsoft Corporation
> >> TechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> TechEmail: noc@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> OrgAbuseHandle: HOTMA-ARIN
> >> OrgAbuseName: Hotmail Abuse
> >> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@hotmail.com
> >>
> >> OrgAbuseHandle: MSNAB-ARIN
> >> OrgAbuseName: MSN ABUSE
> >> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@msn.com
> >>
> >> OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE231-ARIN
> >> OrgAbuseName: Abuse
> >> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> OrgNOCHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> >> OrgNOCName: Microsoft Corporation
> >> OrgNOCPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgNOCEmail: noc@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> OrgTechHandle: MSFTP-ARIN
> >> OrgTechName: MSFT-POC
> >> OrgTechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgTechEmail: iprrms@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-07-21 19:10
> >> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.
> >
> > LOL! You are retarded. Does this ring a bell for you?
> >
> > 12.156.123.39
> >
> > 12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
> > AT&T WorldNet Services
> > AT&T
> > 200 S. LAUREL AVE.
> > MIDDLETOWN, NJ
> > US
> >
> >
> > Kostick, Deirdre
> > help@ip.att.net
> > +1-919-319-8249

> >
> >
> > 12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
> > COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINESS
> > 1100 SPORTSMAN DR
> > TAYLORVILLE, IL
> > US
> >
> >
> > Vocks, Adam
> > ADAM@ctitech.com
> > +1-217-824-6398
> >

1965. Re: New K1MAN BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:35:47 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122147347.954563.326720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> Good work, another complete ISP...
>
> Hey, keep it up, someone will come along and create a database of
> 'em...

They already have. Try to keep up.

> If ever you get tired, that info is already on the web though...
>
> John

You seem to have a penchant for stating the obvious.

> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122145060.572994.133430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> and what about you Olivermartin15@yahoo.com
> >>
> >> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
> >> OrgID: MSFT
> >> Address: One Microsoft Way
> >> City: Redmond
> >> StateProv: WA
> >> PostalCode: 98052
> >> Country: US
> >>
> >> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
> >> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
> >> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
> >> NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
> >> Parent: NET-65-0-0-0-0
> >> NetType: Direct Assignment
> >> NameServer: NS1.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS5.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS2.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS3.MSFT.NET
> >> NameServer: NS4.MSFT.NET
> >> Comment:
> >> RegDate: 2001-02-14
> >> Updated: 2004-12-09
> >>

> >> TechHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> >> TechName: Microsoft Corporation
> >> TechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> TechEmail: noc@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> OrgAbuseHandle: HOTMA-ARIN
> >> OrgAbuseName: Hotmail Abuse
> >> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@hotmail.com
> >>
> >> OrgAbuseHandle: MSNAB-ARIN
> >> OrgAbuseName: MSN ABUSE
> >> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@msn.com
> >>
> >> OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE231-ARIN
> >> OrgAbuseName: Abuse
> >> OrgAbusePhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> OrgNOCHandle: ZM23-ARIN
> >> OrgNOCName: Microsoft Corporation
> >> OrgNOCPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgNOCEmail: noc@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> OrgTechHandle: MSFTP-ARIN
> >> OrgTechName: MSFT-POC
> >> OrgTechPhone: +1-425-882-8080
> >> OrgTechEmail: iprrms@microsoft.com
> >>
> >> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-07-21 19:10
> >> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.
> >
> > LOL! You are retarded. Does this ring a bell for you?
> >
> > 12.156.123.39
> >
> > 12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
> > AT&T WorldNet Services
> > AT&T
> > 200 S. LAUREL AVE.
> > MIDDLETOWN, NJ
> > US
> >
> >
> > Kostick, Deirdre
> > help@ip.att.net
> > +1-919-319-8249
> >
> >

> > 12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
> > COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINESS
> > 1100 SPORTSMAN DR
> > TAYLORVILLE, IL
> > US
> >
> >
> > Vocks, Adam
> > ADAM@ctitech.com
> > +1-217-824-6398
> >

1966. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:40:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <23xEe.472$KI4.5670048@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
oliver:

yeah, well, what comes around goes around, improve your manners and
your mouth to be treated better...

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122147095.512722.73100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> oliver:
>>
>> Quite obvious, if you passed the test, any wino in my city would
>> with
>> flying colors! Ya damn ham-ma'roon!
>>
>> John
>
> You really shouldn't compare others to your siblings.
>
>
>> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122145692.077926.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Lloyd wrote:
>> >> I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the stupid
>> >> ham
>> >> licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and
>> >> whatever
>> >> else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you simpltons
>> >> that
>> >> one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting until
>> >> I
>> >> can
>> >> get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest of
>> >> us
>> >> and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!
>> >
>> > Not to worry, however, you couldn't pass the written test
>> > anyways.
>> >
```

>

1967. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:44:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122147870.418823.322850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113326

From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am

>On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 21:11:21 -0400, "Dee Flint"
><deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote:
>>"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message

>>> Funny, but that question has stuck in my mind for years. I had made a
>>> mental note to ask, if ever given the chance... sometimes doesn't take
>>> much to entertain me... <frown> I actually doubt it... I mean, the
>>> cleaner would freeze in the tank in space, immediately freeze and/or
>>> crystallize to ice when discharged, etc...
>
>>> or, perhaps they meant the pumps used by nasa were actually for another
>>> purpose and just adapted to windshields here on terafirma...
>
>>Don't know about the pumps unfortunately. However it is amazing some of the
>>things that came out of the space program. The original ball point pen was
>>one.
>
>Not true. The ball-point pen was invented in 1935 - a long time
>before space travel became a reality. NASA did spawn the invention of
>a pressurized ball-point pen that would write in zero-gravity
>conditions (where the regular pen was quite useless) - I assume that
>you were referring to this more modern adaptation of a much older
>design.

From a quick trip to the living room bookshelves -

"...the fountain pen was invented in 1884. Then in the 1930s
Ladislau Biro, a Hungarian artist and journalist, invented the
ball-point pen in Budapest. He fled when the Second World War
broke out, eventually reaching Argentina."
" With the help of his brother Georg, a chemist, he perfected
the pen and manufactured it in Buenos Aires during the war. In
1944 he sold his interests in the invention to one of his
backers, who produced the Biro pen for the Allied air forces
because it was not affected by changes in air pressure."

From Reader's Digest "How In The World?" 1990, published
in Pleasantville, NY, and Montreal, Canada, page 14.

In fiction, novelist Len Deighton's excellent 5th book in his
'WWOCP' espionage series, "Horse Under Water," 1963, is the
discovery of a ball-point pen in the submerged wreckage of
a German submarine, said submarine supposedly sunk prior to
1944 (it wasn't and was used in post-WW2 times to smuggle

contraband and heroin - the "horse" of the title).

A ball-point pen requires SOME air pressure INSIDE the ink reservoir in order for it to feed ink. Without that, there would be a partial pressure loss inside the ink tube that would inhibit ink flow. Yes, it works by capilliary action at the TIP, but that requires feeding from the ink reservoir INSIDE the pen. The ink is oil-based, of more viscosity than the ink in fountain pens (which are entirely operating on gravity and capilliary action). While a ball-point pen can operate at high altitudes much better than a fountain pen, both are inhibited in writing action in microgravity. The "Biro Pen" use by the RAF in 1944 may lead, erroneously, to its alleged ability to be used in microgravity.

Similarly, the Phase-Locked Loop or PLL was invented in France in 1932! The basic PLL principle was not adaptable to any consumer electronics frequency control applications until the 1960s and the availability of digital circuit packages. That principle led to the Fractional-N frequency synthesis and, quickly, to the Direct Digital Synthesis (DDS) now found in single chip products of Advanced Micro Devices. An offshoot of the original PLL was the "locked oscillator" operating at a multiple of a reference frequency. The locked oscillator principle was used in early TV receivers for sweep circuits but its fussiness in operation confined it to limited commercial applications.

While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.

NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas. :-)

For an example of cross-pollination of myths, the "space amateur radio" carried on by space station and (previous) shuttle astronauts is done almost entirely by no-code-test Technician class licensed astronauts. It is part of their overall task assignment (every astronaut must adhere to NASA PR rules) and relatively minor in relation to all that they must do. Contrary to the fantasy of some, astronauts did not become hams first, THEN astronauts. :-)

[we now return you to James Burke's "Connections" show already in progress...]

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1968. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 19:56:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QhxEe.476$z56.5819505@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113335

Len:

Yes. But do they use pencils like the russians, or pens like our
girly-men astronauts. That is the important question now, isn't it?
<grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122147870.418823.322850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
>
>>On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 21:11:21 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>><deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
>>>> Funny, but that question has stuck in my mind for years. I had
>>>> made a
>>>> mental note to ask, if ever given the chance... sometimes doesn't
>>>> take
>>>> much to entertain me... <frown> I actually doubt it... I mean,
>>>> the
>>>> cleaner would freeze in the tank in space, immediately freeze
>>>> and/or
>>>> crystallize to ice when discharged, etc...
>>
>>>> or, perhaps they meant the pumps used by nasa were actually for
>>>> another
>>>> purpose and just adapted to windshields here on terafirma...
>>
>>>Don't know about the pumps unfortunately. However it is amazing
>>>some of the
>>>things that came out of the space program. The original ball point
>>>pen was
>>>one.
>>
>>Not true. The ball-point pen was invented in 1935 - a long time
>>before space travel became a reality. NASA did spawn the invention
>>of
>>a pressurized ball-point pen that would write in zero-gravity
>>conditions (where the regular pen was quite useless) - I assume that
>>you were referring to this more modern adaptation of a much older
>>design.
>
> From a quick trip to the living room bookshelves -

>
> "...the fountain pen was invented in 1884. Then in the 1930s
> Ladislau Biro, a Hungarian artist and journalist, invented the
> ball-point pen in Budapest. He fled when the Second World War
> broke out, eventually reaching Argentina."
> " With the help of his brother Georg, a chemist, he perfected
> the pen and manufactured it in Buenos Aires during the war. In
> 1944 he sold his interests in the invention to one of his
> backers, who produced the Biro pen for the Allied air forces
> because it was not affected by changes in air pressure."
>
> From Reader's Digest "How In The World?" 1990, published
> in Pleasantville, NY, and Montreal, Canada, page 14.
>
> In fiction, novelist Len Deighton's excellent 5th book in his
> 'WWOCP' espionage series, "Horse Under Water," 1963, is the
> discovery of a ball-point pen in the submerged wreckage of
> a German submarine, said submarine supposedly sunk prior to
> 1944 (it wasn't and was used in post-WW2 times to smuggle
> contraband and heroin - the "horse" of the title).
>
> A ball-point pen requires SOME air pressure INSIDE the ink
> reservoir in order for it to feed ink. Without that, there
> would be a partial pressure loss inside the ink tube that would
> inhibit ink flow. Yes, it works by capilliary action at the
> TIP, but that requires feeding from the ink reservoir INSIDE
> the pen. The ink is oil-based, of more viscosity than the ink
> in fountain pens (which are entirely operating on gravity and
> capilliary action). While a ball-point pen can operate at
> high altitudes much better than a fountain pen, both are
> inhibited in writing action in microgravity. The "Biro Pen"
> use by the RAF in 1944 may lead, erroneously, to its alleged
> ability to be used in microgravity.
>
> Similarly, the Phase-Locked Loop or PLL was invented in France
> in 1932! The basic PLL principle was not adaptable to any
> consumer electronics frequency control applications until the
> 1960s and the availability of digital circuit packages. That
> principle led to the Fractional-N frequency synthesis and,
> quickly, to the Direct Digital Synthesis (DDS) now found in
> single chip products of Advanced Micro Devices. An offshoot
> of the original PLL was the "locked oscillator" operating at
> a multiple of a reference frequency. The locked oscillator
> principle was used in early TV receivers for sweep circuits
> but its fussiness in operation confined it to limited
> commercial applications.
>
> While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.

>
> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas. :-)
>
> For an example of cross-pollination of myths, the "space
> amateur radio" carried on by space station and (previous)
> shuttle astronauts is done almost entirely by no-code-test
> Technician class licensed astronauts. It is part of their
> overall task assignment (every astronaut must adhere to
> NASA PR rules) and relatively minor in relation to all that
> they must do. Contrary to the fantasy of some, astronauts
> did not become hams first, THEN astronauts. :-)
>
> [we now return you to James Burke's "Connections" show
> already in progress...]
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1969. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 20:13:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qxxEe.2867$0C.2661@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113328

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> John Smith wrote:

>>I hope you don't mind my anonymity here, but I have been burnt when
>>people know my name, call and address. But I assure you, there is a
>>real person behind this text, and only one...
>>
>>Warmest regards,
>>John Smith
>>
>
>
> strange you should day that but prehaps I will ahve to reosrt to the
> same thing for the much the same reason

Hmmmmpffff!

Well, Mark, I don't think it would work for you. You could choose any
e-mail address/user name that you'd like and it would be pretty evident
who was writing as soon as one attempted to read it.

Dave K8MN

1970. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 20:14:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122149653.831337.31710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113327

olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie
> > >
> > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
> > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
> >
> > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
>
> No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.

and banging my head around would help

Gee you sure are you Stevie

1971. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 20:31:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9OxEe.2945$Uk3.2149@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113329

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From a quick trip to the living room bookshelves -
>
> "...the fountain pen was invented in 1884. Then in the 1930s
> Ladislau Biro, a Hungarian artist and journalist, invented the
> ball-point pen in Budapest. He fled when the Second World War
> broke out, eventually reaching Argentina."
> " With the help of his brother Georg, a chemist, he perfected
> the pen and manufactured it in Buenos Aires during the war. In
> 1944 he sold his interests in the invention to one of his
> backers, who produced the Biro pen for the Allied air forces
> because it was not affected by changes in air pressure."
>
> From Reader's Digest "How In The World?" 1990, published
> in Pleasantville, NY, and Montreal, Canada, page 14.
>
> In fiction, novelist Len Deighton's excellent 5th book in his
> 'WWOCP' espionage series, "Horse Under Water," 1963, is the
> discovery of a ball-point pen in the submerged wreckage of
> a German submarine, said submarine supposedly sunk prior to
> 1944 (it wasn't and was used in post-WW2 times to smuggle
> contraband and heroin - the "horse" of the title).
>
> A ball-point pen requires SOME air pressure INSIDE the ink
> reservoir in order for it to feed ink. Without that, there
> would be a partial pressure loss inside the ink tube that would
> inhibit ink flow. Yes, it works by capilliary action at the
> TIP, but that requires feeding from the ink reservoir INSIDE
> the pen. The ink is oil-based, of more viscosity than the ink
> in fountain pens (which are entirely operating on gravity and
> capilliary action). While a ball-point pen can operate at
> high altitudes much better than a fountain pen, both are
> inhibited in writing action in microgravity. The "Biro Pen"
> use by the RAF in 1944 may lead, erroneously, to its alleged
> ability to be used in microgravity.
>
> Similarly, the Phase-Locked Loop or PLL was invented in France
> in 1932! The basic PLL principle was not adaptable to any
> consumer electronics frequency control applications until the
> 1960s and the availability of digital circuit packages. That
> principle led to the Fractional-N frequency synthesis and,
> quickly, to the Direct Digital Synthesis (DDS) now found in
> single chip products of Advanced Micro Devices. An offshoot

> of the original PLL was the "locked oscillator" operating at
> a multiple of a reference frequency. The locked oscillator
> principle was used in early TV receivers for sweep circuits
> but its fussiness in operation confined it to limited
> commercial applications.

Fascinating! It is really tough to write with one of those PLLs.

How about filling us in on Gallium-Arsenide substrates, Len?

Dave K8MN

1972. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 20:42:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nZxEe.491$HL6.6014026@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113337

all I know is the sensitivity and enhanced s/n ratio of the
Gallium-Arsenide semiconductor can be used to great advantage in ones
front end... (don't know about girls though, their front ends are best
handle with bras I believe--or no bra even works for me! <grin>)

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9OxEe.2945$Uk3.2149@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> From a quick trip to the living room bookshelves -
>>
>> "...the fountain pen was invented in 1884. Then in the 1930s
>> Ladislau Biro, a Hungarian artist and journalist, invented the
>> ball-point pen in Budapest. He fled when the Second World War
>> broke out, eventually reaching Argentina."
>> " With the help of his brother Georg, a chemist, he perfected
>> the pen and manufactured it in Buenos Aires during the war. In
>> 1944 he sold his interests in the invention to one of his
>> backers, who produced the Biro pen for the Allied air forces
>> because it was not affected by changes in air pressure."
>>
>> From Reader's Digest "How In The World?" 1990, published
>> in Pleasantville, NY, and Montreal, Canada, page 14.
>>
>> In fiction, novelist Len Deighton's excellent 5th book in his
>> 'WWOCP' espionage series, "Horse Under Water," 1963, is the
>> discovery of a ball-point pen in the submerged wreckage of
>> a German submarine, said submarine supposedly sunk prior to
>> 1944 (it wasn't and was used in post-WW2 times to smuggle
>> contraband and heroin - the "horse" of the title).
>>
>> A ball-point pen requires SOME air pressure INSIDE the ink
>> reservoir in order for it to feed ink. Without that, there
>> would be a partial pressure loss inside the ink tube that would
>> inhibit ink flow. Yes, it works by capilliary action at the
>> TIP, but that requires feeding from the ink reservoir INSIDE
>> the pen. The ink is oil-based, of more viscosity than the ink
>> in fountain pens (which are entirely operating on gravity and
>> capilliary action). While a ball-point pen can operate at
>> high altitudes much better than a fountain pen, both are
>> inhibited in writing action in microgravity. The "Biro Pen"
>> use by the RAF in 1944 may lead, erroneously, to its alleged
>> ability to be used in microgravity.

>>
>> Similarly, the Phase-Locked Loop or PLL was invented in France
>> in 1932! The basic PLL principle was not adaptable to any
>> consumer electronics frequency control applications until the
>> 1960s and the availability of digital circuit packages. That
>> principle led to the Fractional-N frequency synthesis and,
>> quickly, to the Direct Digital Synthesis (DDS) now found in
>> single chip products of Advanced Micro Devices. An offshoot
>> of the original PLL was the "locked oscillator" operating at
>> a multiple of a reference frequency. The locked oscillator
>> principle was used in early TV receivers for sweep circuits
>> but its fussiness in operation confined it to limited
>> commercial applications.
>
> Fascinating! It is really tough to write with one of those PLLs.
>
> How about filling us in on Gallium-Arsenide substrates, Len?
>
> Dave K8MN

1973. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 20:44:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122151471.528195.265100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113330

From: John Smith on Jul 23, 11:38 am

[on Night School]

>Trust me, there are no "stupid people" in the class I will be
>instructing this fall... "C++", well, they are all gone by the end of
>the first quiz, at the latest...

You are a TOUGH instructor! Not letting students get a B,
making them settle for a C++..... :-)

I think you should insert at least one class on the morse code.
That's the state-of-the-art communications code first used in
1844 and again in 1896 at the first demonstrations of radio as
a communications means! "Tradition" and all that... :-)

For the geeks, there is Fortran IV. For the get-ahead-in-
business types, Cobol. For the girlie-men, Lisp. :-)

Then there's Bentley's Commercial Codes, a superencipherment
on top of morse code, several editions...one of the bringers
of the "five-letter-group" concept for a telegraphic "word."

By the time you get to DES you will have everyone primed for
NSA and CIA and DIA. But, FIRST, they ALL have to do the
morse CODE! Muy importante!

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

[a former voting member of the ACM]

1974. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 20:46:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122151614.887945.255990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113331

From: John Smith on Jul 23, 11:59 am

>Do you think we should set up a website to help the hams to
>transition and be accepted by the CB'ers which will be flooding in
>like a lot of okies ready to pick cotton?

No.

These olde-tyme hammes will do ALL the "help" just as they
have "helped" others in the past.

For example, in 1958 the FCC deallocated the 11m band from
hams and created Class C and D CB. Oh, the Hue and Cry they
raised in the US of A! Imagine, below-30-MHz privileges
WITHOUT TESTING FOR MORSE CODE! Imagine, NO TEST AT ALL TO
GET A FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED LICENSE!!

All them olde-tymers quickly got into action to Demand Their
Big Band Sound BACK! They're still working on getting it
back. :-)

>Maybe a dictionary of CB Terms and a list of the 10 Codes which are
>accepted for use by the CB Community? Yanno, "10-fer Good Buddy", and
>"I gotta go 10-100!", and "I just had a 10-33 in 'ma pants!", etc...

I'd rather see a "dictionary" of ham terms and what they
REALLY mean...like "your sig is 599 here!" or "FB on that,
OM" or things like <spoken> "hi hi."

Or all them obscure Q codes that all are supposed to memorize
by heart or something...to sound very "IN" to radio.

<insert sound of stifled laughter here>

>And, what about etiquette? Will these hams be able to adopt a quick
>retarded drawl to their voices and speech, and more important--can
>they do it and make it believable?

They have and they do. Heard them do it over the years.
Not a pretty sound. But they don't really care HOW they
sound...they ain't in broadcasting nor do they get paid for
what they do. They are HAMS!

>OMG, there is a lot to deal with Len, I am afraid we may not have time
>enough to get the hams prepared for the new way of life on the bands!
>Got any ideas to ease their transition period--poor buggers?

None at all...for the money you are offering.

I haven't been able to "ease" the olde-tyme hammes out of their stuck-in-the-1930s-standards-and-practices rut for years. So few know "life" in any other radio service and their raddddios seldom tune outside of ham bands.

Not to worry, Yanno Smith. Ain't gonna be the "overrun" of the (HF) ham bands. All those elmering olde-tymers done discouraged so many for so long with their obsessive NEEDS to test for morse that most others have simply moved on...

The playground is safe for the Mighty Macho Morsemen. Long may they beep. Burp.

Say hello to your brother Yanni, Yanno.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1975. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 20:56:36 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122152338_107@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113332

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:DpSdnU1k5d-3LnzfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
> > Dee:
> >
> > Measure up?
> >
> > Girl, take a look at the man holding the yardstick... a damn midget!
> >
> > 'nuff said...
>
> John doesn't seem to like me much, eh Dee?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

From what I've even noticed of this nitwit, so far, Mike--you're a far more interesting person than he. And, I suspect, a person I'd find better to be in the company of.

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1976. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 21:00:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N4CdnTcUvZO7LX_fRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113333

"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:sg25e11aodpdsj3p3t2f6p7oeud6m0o1b0@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 21:11:21 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:pmeEe.104$DC1.1895497@news.sisna.com...
>>> Dee:
>>>
>>> This is going to sound like a joke (and heaven knows I NEVER JOKE!--but
>>> it
>>> isn't...), I once heard the little windshield wiper pumps, the ones
>>> which
>>> spray on the window cleaner were the by-product of nasa technology
>>> adopted
>>> by the auto industry; you wouldn't know about that, would you?
>>>
>>> Funny, but that question has stuck in my mind for years. I had made a
>>> mental note to ask, if ever given the chance... sometimes doesn't take
>>> much to entertain me... <frown> I actually doubt it... I mean, the
>>> cleaner would freeze in the tank in space, immediately freeze and/or
>>> crystallize to ice when discharged, etc...
>>>
>>> or, perhaps they meant the pumps used by nasa were actually for another
>>> purpose and just adapted to windshields here on terafirma...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>
>>Don't know about the pumps unfortunately. However it is amazing some of
>>the
>>things that came out of the space program. The original ball point pen
>>was
>>one.
>
> Not true. The ball-point pen was invented in 1935 - a long time
> before space travel became a reality. NASA did spawn the invention of
> a pressurized ball-point pen that would write in zero-gravity
> conditions (where the regular pen was quite useless) - I assume that
> you were referring to this more modern adaptation of a much older
> design.
>
> http://www.ideafinder.com/history/inventions/story055.htm

>
>>snip
>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> 73, Leo

I stand corrected. Guess I got all those History/Discovery Channels shows mixed up a bit.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1977. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 21:07:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kkyEe.499$Xm.6173857@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113338

Yep Len:

Bit tough here. Gawd, I was shocked last semester... thought a couple
of girly-men had snuck into my class... on closer inspection I found
it was just some very assertive women--I let 'em stay...

Lisp for girly-men? I love that idea! ROFLOL!
<grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122151471.528195.265100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 23, 11:38 am
>
> [on Night School]
>
>>Trust me, there are no "stupid people" in the class I will be
>>instructing this fall... "C++", well, they are all gone by the end
>>of
>>the first quiz, at the latest...
>
> You are a TOUGH instructor! Not letting students get a B,
> making them settle for a C++..... :-)
>
> I think you should insert at least one class on the morse code.
> That's the state-of-the-art communications code first used in
> 1844 and again in 1896 at the first demonstrations of radio as
> a communications means! "Tradition" and all that... :-)
>
> For the geeks, there is Fortran IV. For the get-ahead-in-
> business types, Cobol. For the girlie-men, Lisp. :-)
>
> Then there's Bentley's Commercial Codes, a superencipherment
> on top of morse code, several editions...one of the bringers
> of the "five-letter-group" concept for a telegraphic "word."
>
> By the time you get to DES you will have everyone primed for
> NSA and CIA and DIA. But, FIRST, they ALL have to do the
> morse CODE! Muy importante!
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> [a former voting member of the ACM]

>

1978. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 21:11:37 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1122153246_135@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 113334

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:DpSdnVJk5d9SL3zfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com...
> Kim wrote:
>
> > Anyway, came into this late, and it chaps my hide to see people
expecting
> > others to "measure up" to, what: their importance, or something? I
don't
> > know...maybe I am misunderstanding this one post I've read in this
thread.
>
> Don't get chapped Kim! There are a lot of different people in the
> world, with all kinds of different ideas on how things "ought to be".
>

I suspect if we continue communicating in the way people usually do on newsgroups (by responding to previous text), then Little Johnny will get tired of reading and, well, he just doesn't have time to do it this way :o

Anyway, absolutel. I wasn't really miffed about the idea of "it takes all kinds." What gets me is when I am "expected" to live up to something in ham radio. I took my test(s) to get my license(s). That's it. That's all it takes. And, I hope that if anyone is perusing the newsgroup, they will get the idea that they don't have to live up to anything to be an amateur radio operator. They'll find someone just like them on the air...no doubt.

>
> My version of that is that people should have respect for each other, and
> be considerate of each other. I also like the concept of bettering ones
> self through acquisition of knowledge and skills, and that people should
> be an asset to, and to give back to their communities. I'm also aware
> that a lot of people think that my ideas are some sort of sugar coated
> dreck.
>

Yes, I like that idea as well. But, I don't begrudge anyone who'd rather not and/or who is happy with what they have or where they are. For instance, IF I ever get back into ham radio (other than just having a ticket), I am happy enough playing around on VHF, mostly 2-M, mostly voice. There's lots of us who are and who'll never be much interested in any of the rest of amateur radio. I've played with other modes and always come back home to VHF voice. It "angers" me to think of people who expect more than that. I expect nothing of anyone in, save what you said about just being a decent person.

>
> My sole failing is that I get a bit of enjoyment in knowing that that
> irritates the crap out of some people for some reason! 8^)
>

Aw, that's not a failing. Think about it: it's mostly a failing of THEIRS
to get irritated. :)

>
> Well okay - its not my only failing. Mr Anderson and Mr Smith can
> probably fill you in on the others....
>

I won't speak of Len. I think he's a great agitator and I love great
agitators (from an observing perspective, mind you--I can't stand being in
it myself...LOL). "Mr. Smith" is somewhat disturbed and that concerns me.
However, once on guard with someone and I am always pretty much on guard
with that person. I have a feeling he's a bit of a misfit and plays to the
meek minded--no offense to anyone.

>
> Okay, for them, but I'm not going to change my mind.
>
> > Kim W5TIT
> > Not planning on trying to measure up to anything, but my own
expectations of
> > myself.
>
> We can't really ask for much more!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Well, especially since I really do demand a lot of myself. LOL

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1979. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 21:15:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qsyEe.501$FB7.6136908@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113339

Len:

Consider it done, Yanni will be greeted in your name...

Well, I suppose you know what you are talkin' about, you have been
their baby sitter all these years.

However, sometimes it is difficult to even know a CB'er is on band and
CQ DX'ing... some have accused the CB'er of "hanging a carrier" in an
attempt to block communications when this happens...

That isn't the case though and the hams should be warned... have them
just listen closely, if they hear the sound of drooling--that is the
CB'er attempting to communicate--when he un-keys, (the CB'er) on
phone, just have 'em go ahead with the QSO--although in this state the
CB'ers eyes are glazed over--I am still pretty sure he is able to
comprehend every-other-word or so...
<grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122151614.887945.255990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 23, 11:59 am
>
>>Do you think we should set up a website to help the hams to
>>transition and be accepted by the CB'ers which will be flooding in
>>like a lot of okies ready to pick cotton?
>
> No.
>
> These olde-tyme hammes will do ALL the "help" just as they
> have "helped" others in the past.
>
> For example, in 1958 the FCC deallocated the 11m band from
> hams and created Class C and D CB. Oh, the Hue and Cry they
> raised in the US of A! Imagine, below-30-MHz privileges
> WITHOUT TESTING FOR MORSE CODE! Imagine, NO TEST AT ALL TO
> GET A FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED LICENSE!!
>
> All them olde-tymers quickly got into action to Demand Their
> Big Band Sound BACK! They're still working on getting it
> back. :-)
>
>
>>Maybe a dictionary of CB Terms and a list of the 10 Codes which are

>>accepted for use by the CB Community? Yanno, "10-fer Good Buddy",
>>and
>>"I gotta go 10-100!", and "I just had a 10-33 in 'ma pants!", etc...
>
> I'd rather see a "dictionary" of ham terms and what they
> REALLY mean...like "your sig is 599 here!" or "FB on that,
> OM" or things like <spoken> "hi hi."
>
> Or all them obscure Q codes that all are supposed to memorize
> by heart or something...to sound very "IN" to radio.
>
> <insert sound of stifled laughter here>
>
>>And, what about etiquette? Will these hams be able to adopt a quick
>>retarded drawl to their voices and speech, and more important--can
>>they do it and make it believable?
>
> They have and they do. Heard them do it over the years.
> Not a pretty sound. But they don't really care HOW they
> sound...they ain't in broadcasting nor do they get paid for
> what they do. They are HAMS!
>
>
>>OMG, there is a lot to deal with Len, I am afraid we may not have
>>time
>>enough to get the hams prepared for the new way of life on the
>>bands!
>>Got any ideas to ease their transition period--poor buggers?
>
> None at all...for the money you are offering.
>
> I haven't been able to "ease" the olde-tyme hammes out of
> their stuck-in-the-1930s-standards-and-practices rut for
> years. So few know "life" in any other radio service and
> their raddddios seldom tune outside of ham bands.
>
> Not to worry, Yanno Smith. Ain't gonna be the "overrun"
> of the (HF) ham bands. All those elmering olde-tymers done
> discouraged so many for so long with their obsessive NEEDS
> to test for morse that most others have simply moved on...
>
> The playground is safe for the Mighty Macho Morsemen. Long
> may they beep. Burp.
>
> Say hello to your brother Yanni, Yanno.
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1980. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 21:38:01 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ZMyEe.3482$6f.3257@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113336

>
>
> By the way, has no one else noticed that the drop off in numbers is
> primarily Technicians who were not code tested?
>

Maybe all of them learned the code and upgraded.... ;-)

1981. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 22:24:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122157479.858295.141810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113340

loray wrote:
> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>
> Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> following:
>
> 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
>
> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>
> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>
> Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
> invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
> enforcement going on.

all a pretty good that even the lack of experence of the new folks able
to legaly use hf will not harm anything
>
>
> 73,
>
> loray

1982. Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 22:26:04 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <dbug5s$7jk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 23 Jul 2005 12:34:00 -0700, olivermartin15170@yahoo.com <Secwet
Woger> wrote:
:
:
: John Smith wrote:
: > oh yeah, and the business, ever heard of a proxy? A NNTP/SMTP proxy?
: >
: > ROFLOL!!!!
: >
: > Gawd, the boy is a regular sherlock holmes!!! But, bit of a slow
: > one... :(
: >
: > uhhh, you can proxy though anyone setting up a home mailserver too...
:
: Indeed. Like an msn proxy.

Except that only MSN customers can connect to that proxy. It is a
caching proxy, intended to speed web access. It is not there to
provide anonymity.

AOL runs caching proxies too, and both services log the IP addresses
of the users which go through their proxies. That way, the abuse
department can track down renegade users.

So, Woger, all any of your many enemies have to do is to complain by
the usual means, and you can be twacked down and dumped by your ISP.
You should be familiar with the routine by now after so many other
ISPs have dumped you.

==========
In message <acg2jt$b6q$3@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ issues a West Virginia,
hillbilly insult when he says: "Faggot Lee is gnetically [sic] related
to his sister."

1983. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 22:29:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1xzEe.522$IL2.6424733@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113414

... here in the central valley, freebanders are everywhere, especially
the truckers...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122157479.858295.141810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> loray wrote:
>> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>>
>> Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
>> following:
>>
>> 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
>> they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
>>
>> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
>> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>>
>> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
>> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
>> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>>
>> Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
>> invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
>> enforcement going on.
>
> all a pretty good that even the lack of experence of the new folks
> able
> to legaly use hf will not harm anything
>>
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> loray
>

1984. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 22:31:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZyzEe.523$%23.6587495@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stagger:

Oh. I forgot, yep they got it all secure, nothing to worry about...
Crud, hope my corp never figures that out, I'll have nothing to do!
<grin>

John

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:dbug5s$7jk$0@pita.alt.net...
> On 23 Jul 2005 12:34:00 -0700, olivermartin15170@yahoo.com <Secwet
> Woger> wrote:
> :
> :
> : John Smith wrote:
> : > oh yeah, and the business, ever heard of a proxy? A NNTP/SMTP
> proxy?
> : >
> : > ROFLOL!!!!
> : >
> : > Gawd, the boy is a regular sherlock holmes!!! But, bit of a
> slow
> : > one... :(
> : >
> : > uhhh, you can proxy though anyone setting up a home mailserver
> too...
> :
> : Indeed. Like an msn proxy.
>
> Except that only MSN customers can connect to that proxy. It is a
> caching proxy, intended to speed web access. It is not there to
> provide anonymity.
>
> AOL runs caching proxies too, and both services log the IP addresses
> of the users which go through their proxies. That way, the abuse
> department can track down renegade users.
>
> So, Woger, all any of your many enemies have to do is to complain by
> the usual means, and you can be twacked down and dumped by your ISP.
> You should be familiar with the routine by now after so many other
> ISPs have dumped you.
>
>
> ====================

> In message <acg2jt$b6q$3@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ issues a West
> Virginia,
> hillbilly insult when he says: "Faggot Lee is gnetically [sic]
> related
> to his sister."

1985. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 22:54:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <PUzEe.2025$cg.2005@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113343

"Francois" <tbree@fastnet.ca> wrote in message
news:ikg3e1lj7oo3j08kldgleffbrib3hdusco@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 14:13:33 -0500, "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
> >
>
> It goes to say, but there are code for those who wish to have it. Does
> it mean that if one does not MAKE the code that it will still be?
> Should not code be of it's own and the "CBplusser" make the code
> instead of it making him?
>
> But you come into this newsgroup and say you have code! I've have news
> for you! Your code will not make it for you but what you don't have!

I'm afraid I don't quite understand your post.

I've no problem with folks that don't wish to use code. But should you need to put it on paper, I can send and receive code (on paper) faster than most folks can type.

The best bet is something like psk-31 (or some other digital mode) if you need something on paper. Until you send and receive street names (or any names) with addresses (and numbers), you won't understand the disadvantage of phone (whether am, ssb, or fm).

Anyone can yell "Audiooooo". That is a no brainer.

I really think the Morse exam should be eliminated - and replaced with a *real* theory test.

Series/parallel dc resistance is far to easy for anything beyond general. Possibly to easy for anything beyond technician.

General should involve bridges.

Extra should involve the same stuff with ac - reactance and such.

Simple rules and safety for entry level, dc theory (including bridges, etc.) for general.

Heavier stuff with complex impedance for extra.

Just my thoughts.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1986. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 23:04:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <W1AEe.2446$j21.2153@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113344

Hello, John

Yep, and I'm gonna laugh my *ss off when they start using the FM band (oh - I forgot, they already are) and the AM band. I hope they clobber your ball game on dish network too ;)

The reality is that somehow there has to be some regulation. Ever been on a freeway and encountered some idiot (likely drunk) going the wrong way?

Heck, if it gets bad, I can handle it. It isn't the number of guns you have, it's who you shoot.

25 feet of bell wire on a spark plug to a lawn mower will kill the idiot running 25 kw screaming "audiooooo....". If he can't hear 'em, he can't work 'em.

That is just a very simple "for openers".

I've got more twists than a snake, but hope I'll not need them :)))

I mention only the obvious; I'll not reveal the really nasty stuff that is hard to track ..... pins in coax doesn't count; too simple.

Bring 'em on!!!! ROTFLMAO

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:WAwEe.461$Zn4.5519505@news.sisna.com...
> Loray:
>
> Yeah, kinda like the fall of the "Berlin Wall", all at once and quite
> surprising, at least to those hams caught sleeping, huh?
>
> John
>
> "loray" <loray@monqreforg.net> wrote in message
> news:dbu48r$l4i$0@pita.alt.net...
> > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> >
> > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> > following:
> >
> > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
> >

> > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
> >
> > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
> >
> > Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
> > invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
> > enforcement going on.
> >
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > loray
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1987. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 23:17:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <xeAEe.2447$j21.1114@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113345

Yep, Wayne Green was one of the founders of Mensa. Yet another way to separate the fools from their money LOLL.

I'm probably as far removed from a double-digit IQ as you are, yet, like not buying lottery tickets, didn't bother to try and join mensal. A waste of money.

You need folks with similar interests. There are janitors who are members of mensal due to their IQ. There also may be theoretical physicists. What might they have in common to talk about?

Enquiring minds and such ..... ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:03wEe.454$5K4.5695524@news.sisna.com...
> I am a card carrying member of MENSA, judge me all you care too... got
> any interesting tests I can take?
>
> ROFLOL!!!!!!
>
> John
>
> "Francois" <tbree@fastnet.ca> wrote in message
> news:i125e15eo9uffq5oco8aelj1t4eqhtl764@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 07:38:44 -0500, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Let's not generalize, "Opie." Some of the sharpest people I know
> >>are great
> >>at verbal communications and fail at written--at every level, I
> >>might add.
> >>
> >>And, while Tiny John seems to have a knack for criticizing
> >>communication
> >>style while, at the same time, not being able to spell or wisely
> >>construct a
> >>sentence, he may very well have some demeaning quality...somewhere.
> >>OH,
> >>DOH!! I meant redeeming!!! ;)
> >>
> >>Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Words, judge you, by people who see them...

>

1988. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 23:24:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MkAEe.531$KG3.6761599@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113346

Jim:

I agree, regulation is a given necessity and only a fool would have a problem with it.

I just realized before everyone else (not really, people have been screaming it from the tops of towers, for decades), there is a need to give avg citizens a slice of bandwidth and communications here. If not, the freebanders are going to be everywhere, it is going to be a mess, and regulation impossible.

With sufficient bandwidth and access, they will all find it too easy to conform and be good "responsible" citizens and won't be forced into becoming "radio criminals." Besides, we have no room in our prisons for these people and certainly not the money to imprison, abuse and turn them into "real" criminals which will then endanger and kill us and our families and friends.

Like I say, sheer logic just dictates no other course of action...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:W1AEe.2446$j21.2153@news01.roc.ny...
> Hello, John
>
> Yep, and I'm gonna laugh my *ss off when they start using the FM
> band (oh -
> I forgot, they already are) and the AM band. I hope they clobber
> your ball
> game on dish network too ;)
>
> The reality is that somehow there has to be some regulation. Ever
> been on a
> freeway and encountered some idiot (likely drunk) going the wrong
> way?
>
> Heck, if it gets bad, I can handle it. It isn't the number of guns
> you
> have, it's who you shoot.
>
> 25 feet of bell wire on a spark plug to a lawn mower will kill the
> idiot
> running 25 kw screaming "audiooooo....". If he can't hear 'em, he
> can't
> work 'em.

>
> That is just a very simple "for openers".
>
> I've got more twists than a snake, but hope I'll not need them :)))
>
> I mention only the obvious; I'll not reveal the really nasty stuff
> that is
> hard to track ..... pins in coax doesn't count; too simple.
>
> Bring 'em on!!!! ROTFLMAO
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:WAwEe.461$Zn4.5519505@news.sisna.com...
>> Loray:
>>
>> Yeah, kinda like the fall of the "Berlin Wall", all at once and
>> quite
>> surprising, at least to those hams caught sleeping, huh?
>>
>> John
>>
>> "loray" <loray@monqreforg.net> wrote in message
>> news:dbu48r$l4i$0@pita.alt.net...
>> > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>> >
>> > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
>> > following:
>> >
>> > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
>> > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
>> >
>> > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
>> > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>> >
>> > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
>> > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
>> > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>> >
>> > Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
>> > invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
>> > enforcement going on.
>> >
>> >
>> > 73,

>> >
>> > loray
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1989. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 23:39:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0zAEe.534$jj3.6704281@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113347

Jim:

Bear with me, my life has been a strange one...

All I have ever wanted to be is "just a guy" and get along (argument and debate are ok though.) Somedays are better than others... but ain't it like that for us all?

P.S. I really kind of find Wayne interesting, strange, but interesting... <grin>

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:xeAEe.2447$j21.1114@news01.roc.ny...
> Yep, Wayne Green was one of the founders of Mensa. Yet another way
> to
> separate the fools from their money LOLL.
>
> I'm probably as far removed from a double-digit IQ as you are, yet,
> like not
> buying lottery tickets, didn't bother to try and join mensal. A
> waste of
> money.
>
> You need folks with similar interests. There are janitors who are
> members
> of mensal due to their IQ. There also may be theoretical
> physicists. What
> might they have in common to talk about?
>
> Enquiring minds and such ..... ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:03wEe.454$5K4.5695524@news.sisna.com...
>> I am a card carrying member of MENSA, judge me all you care too...
>> got
>> any interesting tests I can take?
>>

>> ROFLOL!!!!!!
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Francois" <tbree@fastnet.ca> wrote in message
>> news:i125e15eo9uffq5oco8aelj1t4eqhtl764@4ax.com...
>> > On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 07:38:44 -0500, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >>Let's not generalize, "Opie." Some of the sharpest people I know
>> >>are great
>> >>at verbal communications and fail at written--at every level, I
>> >>might add.
>> >>
>> >>And, while Tiny John seems to have a knack for criticizing
>> >>communication
>> >>style while, at the same time, not being able to spell or wisely
>> >>construct a
>> >>sentence, he may very well have some demeaning
>> >>quality...somewhere.
>> >>OH,
>> >>DOH!! I meant redeeming!!! ;)
>> >>
>> >>Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> > Words, judge you, by people who see them...
>>
>
>

1990. Re: Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 23:41:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <YAAEe.2030$cg.410@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113348

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:0k%De.369$7M5.7769194@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> Hey, stop that! I don't think you are supposed to have fun here, no
> one else is!
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> John
>

Hello, John

Troll, troll, troll our boat ...

No need to know anything anymore. Heck, who cares if we import 80% of our oil? More fun to simply stir the pot and see who blame who when everything starts to unravel.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1991. Re: K4YZ: And You Wonder Why I Consider Myself An Idiot...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 23:45:30 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122162330.509394.208750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113349

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > > > > to obey them.
> > > > >
> > > > >
BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > >
> > > > >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > >
> > > > >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > >
> > > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > > > >
> > > > > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > > > > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > > > > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > > > > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
> > > >
> > > > IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> > > > law.
> > > >
> > > > Or are you too ignorant to see that?
> > >
> > > Why Steve no answer?
> >
> > Because Steve's too busy laughing at Markie ! ! ! !
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve got caught again.

Steve was wrong.

1992. Re: Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-23 23:49:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <1IAEe.2031$cg.625@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113400

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:WJrEe.407$gr.4552843@news.sisna.com...
> K4YZ:
>
> Hey. I live in calif.
>
> I have almost been fooled by those "girly-men" arnold has mentioned.
>
> Yanno, those guys with the surgery and boob jobs are getting better
> all the time, still I can tell the difference--FROM A SAFE DISTANCE!!!
> <grin>
>
> John
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122125226.808196.297590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> K4YZ:
> >>
> >> Hmmm, we are drifting off topic here, perhaps we should take this
> >> to
> >> rec.radio.amateur.equipment?
> >>
> >> Any girls there?
> >
> > I dunno...
> >
> > Where's Vipul? He's always trying to scope out "preeti fems"...
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
>
Hello, John

Yep, you bring up an important point. Go after the girly men! Social Security. Danger, danger Will Robinson! Condition red, man the photon torpedos. Weapons of mass destruction.

Ignore the fact that we import 80% of our oil. You know, I almost bought into the fact that Bush, along with the Saudis, was so involved in stock

with our weapons makers. Interesting, however, as to what he could do when the Bin Laudin (not sure of spelling) relatives wanted to leave the country two days after 911. Perhaps he realized that he was in deep doo-doo over the oil stuff. Could we have *dared* to do anything different? Didn't think so.

So, go after the "girly men". Better suggest an "protection of marriage" amendment to the constitution. Hey, we have a serious problem with Social Security. The AARP is a gay organization. Anything to avoid the question of the deficit or the dependency on foreign oil.

I must assume you are on the far religious right. Well, pray like heck. That should save you should the Muslim countries decide to shut off the spigot.

Mensa, my tail section.

73 From Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1993. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 00:15:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122164100.955196.244620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113401

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

I knew I'd coax you outta yer lair when I tossed that one out.

> > I suspect that if
> > somebody put the effort into pulling together the actual
> > facts they'd
> > find that the total number of manufacturers in the ham
> > radio market is
> > higher today than it's ever been in the past.
>
> Well, let's see...
>
> Back in the '60s we had Collins, Drake, National,
> Hallicrafters, Hammarlund, Gonset, Heath, Swan, Johnson...

. . . five dollar 12V ARC-5 Jeep radios . . . or were they 6V?

> and that's about it for major manufacturers of ham gear
> that lasted more than a few years and made more than a
> few products. Even in the above list there were limitations
> because many of the above did not offer a complete line (EFJ
> made mostly transmitters, for example).
>
> Today we have Yaesu, Icom, Kenwood, TenTec, Alinco, Standard,
> Elecraft, SGC, and maybe a few more. But see below.

. . . yeah, OK so far . . .

> > . . . Within ham radio Hallicrafters, Swan, National,
> > Hammarlund, Drake and Heath simply evaporated with barely a
> > trace left . . .

> Yep. In some cases it was that the founder had died or retired, and the
> company wasn't able to adjust to the new market reality.

Founders exits aside it was the "adjusting to the new market realities"
which knocked out the U.S. radio builders. GM is still trying to catch
up with Honda. Darwin prevails.

> > . . . Collins offered only two basics routes a ham could use to get
> > on the HF
> > bands with their gear at any given point in time. One xcvr and > one pair
> > of separates. Period. Ditto Drake and for the most part Heath
> > too.
>

> Drake and Heath had slightly more elaborate product lines - but not by
> much. The point is still valid, though. The variety of new rigs today
> is amazing.

Not even a discussion.

> Here's a game: Look up all the "100 watt class" HF rigs available today
> (mid 2005). Compare to what was available 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 years ago.
> I bet today's variety is the largest.

Not even a discussion

> > Not counting all the HF mobile rigs and the equipment being
> > developed in various skunk works.
>
> *And* not counting the enormous variety of clean, late-model used
> equipment that is still very much usable. Take TenTec - if an Orion is
> too much and you don't like the Jupiter, there's the Omni 6 in various
> flavors, its predecessor the Omni V, the Pegasus, the Paragon, and the
> Corsair 2, among others.

Well yeah, scarfing up used gear to get more bang for the buck has been
a ham tradition going back into the mists of time long before either of
us came about.

> The other night I saw an ad for the Kenwood TS-520 in a 1975 QST. $629.
> That was back in the days when a new car was less than $4000 and
> starting salary for a degreed engineer was maybe $12,000.

Sounds low to me because most of my classmates started for $9-10k right
out of school in 1963. I started for $7,600 for the gummint which was
quite low then.

> Or look at the SB-101 from the mid 1960s. $369 for the rig, almost
> another hundred for the power supply, CW filter and speaker. Say $450 -
> for a kit! What's that in today's dollars?

Beats me but the point is there.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1994. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 00:16:52 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <unl5e1ln9qm6s2ka3ano0vfn48ep8j150r@4ax.com>
Source record: 113403

On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 14:13:33 -0500, "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?

I think you're a bit confused about who the losers were in this one,
there, fella.

1995. Re: Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 00:29:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <phBEe.560$fo4.6808680@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113402

Jim:

Can you remember when, here in America, we used to discuss matters, listen to points of view, examine every possibility--in the end, draw on everything, and finally reach real solutions, real fixes, and provide solutions everyone could live under?

Do you see how now the word "troll" is tossed out and cowards fly to hiding places to escape any association with it? Do any of us really know what a "troll" is?

Frankly, the only definition I will accept for "troll" is someone who only has the intent of causing anger, confusion and hate--for NO real purpose...

Do you really see where I do that? Have you even seen where most accused of being a "troll" really are?

I haven't!

I see where some wish to open up discussions, debate and argument--and some simply wish to stop all discussion because they are afraid they will be in disagree with the final conclusions of that--and somehow they view that as a danger to themselves...

Yanno, some of this is the strangest chit I have ever seen in my life...

How about your take on it?

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:YAAEe.2030$cg.410@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0k%De.369$7M5.7769194@news.sisna.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Hey, stop that! I don't think you are supposed to have fun here,
>> no
>> one else is!
>>
>> ROFLOL!!!
>>
>> John
>>

>
> Hello, John
>
>
> Troll, troll, troll our boat ...
>
> No need to know anything anymore. Heck, who cares if we import 80%
> of our
> oil? More fun to simply stir the pot and see who blame who when
> everything
> starts to unravel.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

1996. Re: Wny Does KB9RQZ Persist In Lying In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 00:39:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xrBEe.561$Qg4.6796349@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113404

Jim:

I fail to see your point, yes, I think the figure is right around 80% importation of oil.

Indeed, the by-products of burning that oil is killing us. Have you noticed the figure for smokers are way down, but lung cancer continues to climb? We are being fooled and lied to...

Build more nuclear plants here, have them lie and tell us that is safe. That we will be able to handle the waste safely? It that a viable answer?

Jim, we are already stealing all the Iraqi oil, if you don't think so--try to get some figures on where that oil is going. We are selling it directly to other countries, it leaves Iraq and ends up in india or china, pakistan, etc... only the money comes here. And, who gets that money? It isn't paying for the war. It isn't going to the Iraqis. It isn't going into my pocket...

Jim. We have lost control. A group of criminals are in control of our gov't. A group of gangsters more dangerous than ever encountered before in our history.

But, getting control back is probably going to cost a LOT of lives, is anyone ready for that yet?

Plus, I am at least partially convinced that the muslims are a grave enough danger that they will have to dealt with first--that danger may have been manufactured by our very gov't.

But they do that yanno? Distract you on another enemy, while the real enemy takes advantage of you, or, maybe not...

Who can know for sure?

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:1IAEe.2031$cg.625@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:WJrEe.407$gr.4552843@news.sisna.com...
>> K4YZ:
>>
>> Hey. I live in calif.
>>
>> I have almost been fooled by those "girly-men" arnold has

>> mentioned.
>>
>> Yanno, those guys with the surgery and boob jobs are getting better
>> all the time, still I can tell the difference--FROM A SAFE
>> DISTANCE!!!
>> <grin>
>>
>> John
>>
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122125226.808196.297590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> K4YZ:
>> >>
>> >> Hmmm, we are drifting off topic here, perhaps we should take
>> >> this
>> >> to
>> >> rec.radio.amateur.equipment?
>> >>
>> >> Any girls there?
>> >
>> > I dunno...
>> >
>> > Where's Vipul? He's always trying to scope out "preeti
>> > fems"...
>> >
>> > 73
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>>
>>
> Hello, John
>
> Yep, you bring up an important point. Go after the girly men!
> Social
> Security. Danger, danger Will Robinson! Condition red, man the
> photon
> torpedos. Weapons of mass destruction.
>
> Ignore the fact that we import 80% of our oil. You know, I almost
> bought
> into the fact that Bush, along with the Saudis, was so involved in
> stock
> with our weapons makers. Interesting, however, as to what he could
> do when
> the Bin Laudin (not sure of spelling) relatives wanted to leave the
> country

> two days after 911. Perhaps he realized that he was in deep doo-doo
> over
> the oil stuff. Could we have *dared* to do anything different?
> Didn't
> think so.
>
> So, go after the "girly men". Better suggest an "protection of
> marriage"
> amendment to the constitution. Hey, we have a serious problem with
> Social
> Security. The AARP is a gay organization. Anything to avoid the
> question
> of the deficit or the dependency on foreign oil.
>
> I must assume you are on the far religious right. Well, pray like
> heck.
> That should save you should the Muslim countries decide to shut off
> the
> spigot.
>
> Mensa, my tail section.
>
>
>
> 73 From Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

1997. Re: There are no legitimate arguments for CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 00:46:53 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122166013.658919.222350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113405

dude wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>
> The subject said it all.
>
> Signed,
> scorpiox

Dude, not quite. You forgot sumptin'.

"testing"

"There are no legitimate arguments for Morse Code Testing."

1998. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 00:49:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jABEe.564$jN4.6856807@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113406

John:

I think he is referring to the fact that the old guys who had snuck
and were getting the FCC to protect amateur radio so they could sit
there, pass gass and rant with their buds as the ones who would lose
if CW falls, and he is right. And, it is right that it happens...

Wouldn't you say?

John

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:unl5e1ln9qm6s2ka3ano0vfn48ep8j150r@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 14:13:33 -0500, "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
>
> I think you're a bit confused about who the losers were in this one,
> there, fella.
>

1999. WA8ULX Knuckle dragger rejoices, the HAM CB SERVICE is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 00:52:59 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122166379.474077.88710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113407

Bruce wrote:
>

Hey Bruce, still beating that drum?

2000. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:01:53 UTC
From: Francois <tbree@fastnet.ca>
Message-ID: <91q5e1tvso2n52901csja199mjs2sjog5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 113410

On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 17:49:17 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>John:
>
>I think he is referring to the fact that the old guys who had snuck
>and were getting the FCC to protect amateur radio so they could sit
>there, pass gass and rant with their buds as the ones who would lose
>if CW falls, and he is right. And, it is right that it happens...
>
>Wouldn't you say?
>
>John

Yes, I wouldn't say. It goes that Bruce of the English language
command has, does not.

2001. Re: WA8ULX Knuckle dragger rejoices, the HAM CB SERVICE is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:05:25 UTC
From: Francois <tbree@fastnet.ca>
Message-ID: <t5q5e15ngguobhpidma98aa9knu9ko2630@4ax.com>
Source record: 113411

On 23 Jul 2005 17:52:59 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>
>
>Bruce wrote:
>>
>
>Hey Bruce, still beating that drum?

Of old, he beats...but it is to that of a single digit that he beats.
Perhaps a priest, he is. Sick not, is it?

2002. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:06:22 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122167182.004802.76970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113408

garigue wrote:
>
> Well I have yet to have all else fail ......and besides I run QRP most of
> the time ....

Playing it safe, huh?

I run a full 1/10th of a gallon on HF. When I'm on 6M, then I run
1/5.88 of a gallon. Best of luck figuring all of that out.

> A sense of humor ...... boy that is what gets me through the day ....

Gets me through some nights, too.

> Tom and or Garigue ....actually both ..... Garrigue is my middle name ......
> due to my grandmothers love of Thomas Garrigue Masaryk ..the first president
> of Czechoslovakia .... I dropped the extra r ....opps I'm off topic ...don't
> tell
> Len .....
>
> Take care ... Tom KI3R .....

Belle Vernon exit

2003. WA8ULX/Knuckle Draggin Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:08:37 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122167317.210412.277740@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113409

Bruce wrote:
> Movement now? How about No Testing International, lets face it, who needs a
> License anyway

Jim/N2EY started that when you were still active on the group.

Whassa matta witchew???

2004. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:16:04 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <qnq5e15b8rursrja432j7pub1a5rkkcm84@4ax.com>
Source record: 113412

On Sat, 23 Jul 2005 17:49:17 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>John:
>
>I think he is referring to the fact that the old guys who had snuck
>and were getting the FCC to protect amateur radio so they could sit
>there, pass gass and rant with their buds as the ones who would lose
>if CW falls, and he is right. And, it is right that it happens...
>
>Wouldn't you say?
>
>John
>
>"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
>news:unl5e1ln9qm6s2ka3ano0vfn48ep8j150r@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 22 Jul 2005 14:13:33 -0500, "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
>>
>> I think you're a bit confused about who the losers were in this one,
>> there, fella.

Uh, well...

http://www.urbandictionary.com/define.php?term=loser

A word used by many idiots to make them feel better about themselves
while laughing at the misfortunes of many people who are many times
1. Depressed
2. Unable to raise their social status
3. Have gone through many hardships
4. Will probably be virgins for a LONG time
5. Have been tormented about being losers for all their life and don't
need to hear any more bull shit.

Yeah, I guess a Usenet troll qualifies on several of those points.

Welcome to Whine World, the line to bitch about the latest NPRM from
the FCC forms on the right.

73 de John, KC2HMZ

2005. Re: There are no legitimate arguments for CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:25:45 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ppqdnXYZaZLuYH_fRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113417

John Smith wrote:
> I thought the argument about the POW's tapping out morse in the
> concentration camps was kewl...
>
> Most probably, would work best if the guards were all deaf... or
> fools...
>
> My luck, the guards would be listening and know everything...
>
> John

Yeah, with my luck I would get some guard that could copy 45 wpm in his
sleep.

2006. Re: Johnny Smith says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:27:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ppqdnXEZaZJ4YH_fRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113418

John Smith wrote:
> Opie:
>
> Naaa, this is a damn newsgroup, I haven't the time for "speelcheek."

Well, anyone who can't spell a word more than one way simply has no
imigenation.

2007. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:29:35 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ppqdnXAZaZLFY3_fRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113420

Kim wrote:
> Oh no, we've been raided by the CB gang. DUH!! I didn't even put the
> "John" and "Smith" together as being so annonymous it's ridiculous. And
> Cmdr Buzz. Haven't ever noticed that User Name but, what's that mean? Cmdr
> Buzz--mean something like that you command a bunch of dildoes, or something?
>
> Dang, I got caught up in CB crap. LOL
>
> Kim W5TIT

It's beyond you kimmie and obviously before your time.

2008. Re: New Threats from a Steve Want-a-be or perhaps it's from Slick Steve Roberson himself....you guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:33:53 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122168833.433668.47940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113413

N9OGL wrote:
> Well steve,
>
> all the letters you show on here was written the same way, they all
> were sent via my blog but, hey that did stop you and your little
> girlfriends on here from sending them to my last ISP.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Steve has a girlfriend?

2009. Re: Im so Glad I dropped ARRL, now maybe the

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:40:09 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4cWdnTAL2IROnX7fRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113422

John Smith wrote:
> LS:
>
> You think the droves of new hams (EX CB'ers) coming here are actually
> stupid enough to join the ARRL--naaaa, not even chicken banders are
> that dumb!
>
> John

More like they aren't smart enough to fill out the application.

2010. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:52:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <iPydnUYpIOZNnn7fRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113423

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> No.
>
> These olde-tyme hammes will do ALL the "help" just as they
> have "helped" others in the past.
>
> For example, in 1958 the FCC deallocated the 11m band from
> hams and created Class C and D CB. Oh, the Hue and Cry they
> raised in the US of A! Imagine, below-30-MHz privileges
> WITHOUT TESTING FOR MORSE CODE! Imagine, NO TEST AT ALL TO
> GET A FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED LICENSE!!
>
> All them olde-tymers quickly got into action to Demand Their
> Big Band Sound BACK! They're still working on getting it
> back. :-)

And like other fools you attempt to keep the myth alive. In 40+ years of hamming I have never heard one ham (that inclueds the olde-tyme hammes) that complained about about the loss of 11 meters. The hams had 10 meters where they operated and rarely used 11, so the loss to the ham community was nothing.

2011. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 01:55:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <iPydnUEplObxmX7fRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113424

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> Yes. But do they use pencils like the russians, or pens like our
> girly-men astronauts. That is the important question now, isn't it?
> <grin>
>
> John

Bet you wouldn't stand face-to-face with the astronuats and call them
'girly-men'.

2012. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 02:14:01 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <JPCEe.16124$aY6.9515@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113415

Bruce wrote:
> Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
>
>
No, but my English teacher in high school said that
he'd give anyone who misused there/they're/their
on their compositions an F...

2013. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 02:25:52 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Q_CEe.16128$aY6.8858@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113416

>>> The fewer regulations there are on the books, the less enforcement
>>>they have to do. I am surprised they haven't tried to "outsource"
>>>their enforcement duties.
>>
>>I do hear rumous they have looked into that
>
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Rumors.
>

Just set up a few radio monitoring stations in Mexico or
India....

2014. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 02:33:39 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122172419.500619.132650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Who was he? Callsign? Can you post location of the FCC enforcement action? Heard so much about this roll call guy that I would love to who he was?

He was Bob, KT4TR, had a signal to die for the times I heard him on 313 and 75m. Don't know where to find the stuff about the FCC action, it was a few years ago. I remember his trip to Spartanburg that precipitated the subsequent reading of court records that resulted in his alleged problems with the FCC.

Seems that KT4TR had it in for WB2OTK for some reason. Don't know all the particulars or reasons behind the hostility. Perhaps someone else could fill in the blanks here. Mr. Davies seemed quite involved with those goings on. While KT4TR was taking it to 'em on the airwaves, Mr. Davies was maintaining a website quite hostile to Mr. Whiten, WB2OTK.

2015. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 02:40:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122172838.927895.321530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113421

robert casey wrote:
> Bruce wrote:
> > Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
> >
> >
> No, but my English teacher in high school said that
> he'd give anyone who misused there/they're/their
> on their compositions an F...

Newsflash this isn't a high school english class

2016. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 03:21:04 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HPKdndSJWlZmlX7fRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113425

> Geesh...
>
> You guys are for real huh?
> You think code and a radio makes you special guys?

Ah com' on John ....did you ever hear me say anything about CW being special ..... in fact the older I get the less "specialness" means to be ..... as if it really meant anything back then .....

>
> Was the license really so difficult for you, you believe the rest of
> us with much different opinions are stupid and find it that big a
> challenge?

Never studpidity ....motivation .... well based on some of the arguments I have seen here and in my ham d'jour life ....it just seems that if someone really wanted HF privlidges then suck it up ...study a bit ...pass and have the ritualistic back yard key burial ....then get on with life ..... but that apparently will not be necessary now .....no carping ...just fact ....and I will welcome anyone to the bands and if they are on CW without being tested ...no big deal. I call that a win for band useage which we need and for ham radio in general ....

> I started building tesla coils at ~10, I got my novice ticket and
> built my first single tube transmitter when I was 12, my first degree
> was in electronic engineering in '72... I ate this chit up... I was
> bored and didn't know what I wanted to be--until they invented the
> computer... I returned to college in 1978 and got my BS in CS in 1981
> along with a BA in journalism, I completed my MS in CS in '83...
> since then I have always taught a night class at the local jr. college
> and continue to take classes at the local university to stay current
> and be with fresh young minds...

Gee John ...then why are your posts so bizzare ??? I really don't like to prejudge anyone but your comments over the last several months really don't reflect the above ...I am sorry if I say this but I am a bit surprized .... I deal a lot with "old timers" in my profession .....and I find them interesting and their journey through this life more so in a positive rather then a negative ..... Also comments about people with problems, disabilities and diseases don't fit.

> Get real... lay down the opium pipe and step out of the gutter!

Huh .....???? oh well ...never tried the stuff thank God but I do like a couple of beers now and then ....

> And, I just consider myself an average guy (you should see my sister,
> she has all the brains in the family!)... of course, I do live in a
> nice neighborhood and have nice neighbors...
>
> John

I'm glad you do ...as I have good ones also ....have to tell you one of these days about my old ones ... .."adventures in paradise" ..... complete with the pit bulls and multiple 20 second visits from strangers throughout the day ....

Take care John ...73 Tom KI3R

2017. Re: Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 03:24:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <YRDEe.2473$j21.1117@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113426

Hello, John

Well, troll is when someone starts a thread with the intent of starting a flame war.

Since the Republicans came to power and some of the stuff I've seen, I'm willing to troll now. Not much matters anymore. The cw/no cw threads - which, from what I've seen, means "I don't want any tests".

I seriously don't care that much anymore. I'm seriously thinking of moving to Florida and simply spending my days in the sunshine (and pool LOL). Let the freebanders have at it. Don't call me if there is a problem; I spent my time in 'Nam and figure the no-test folks will have all the answers anyways.

There are no discussions anymore as politics is controlled via the various programs concerning national security and the sheep follow the leader regardless. Why study the calculus when you can pick up a calculator (never mind I've caught one kid making a mistake even using a calculator - if the owner looses his butt, that's his problem, not mine)?

As to communications or electronics, it won't matter. I remember going down to a television station over 10 years ago and being told I'd have to join SMPTE (I think - Society of Motion Picture and Televison Employees .... not sure) and was shown a "difficult" test I'd have to take. Same damn test I passed as a teenager in front of the FCC to get my 1st class radiotelephone license. I was also a full member of that organization when I was designing UHF translator stations in 1971.

Unfortunately, I reset my newsgroups as they were taking up considerable space and lost the start of this thread. Unfortunately, I have not been able to engage in any reasonable discussion in quite some time myself and I've about resigned myself to avoiding the flames and simply basking in the sun.

I'm sure some enterprising individual will find a way to blame the folks that finally got tired of all the mess. Do let me know if Bush ever gets a clue or the No Test International folks ever think there might be a problem with letting everyone on any frequency they desire.

I do not believe you ever started a thread with the intent of causing grief, but I've had some question as to some of your posts in the middle of threads. Then again, I've been getting a bit testy of late myself ;)

I heard that AOL died in London. The cell phones overload. Of course, everyone could be assigned their own "frequency" (rather than a free for all "freeband" agreement). With something like 6 billion folks in the world, that would take 6 GHz of channels 1 Hz wide. I don't think one can push

much information through a channel 1 Hz wide. Also, no one could have a very useful antenna much below several hundred KHz.

Maybe the answer is let everyone drive on whatever side of the road they want?

LOL - no, John, it isn't you. I'm just getting a bit tired. Perhaps I'll go to bed. I'm seriously considering moving and trashing the computer. Retire and go fishing, perhaps. I might even invest in a beam and a good hf rig. I've never found a lid on cw and have had many interesting discussions on cw (as well as 440 MHz fm).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:phBEe.560$fo4.6808680@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> Can you remember when, here in America, we used to discuss matters,
> listen to points of view, examine every possibility--in the end, draw
> on everything, and finally reach real solutions, real fixes, and
> provide solutions everyone could live under?
>
> Do you see how now the word "troll" is tossed out and cowards fly to
> hiding places to escape any association with it? Do any of us really
> know what a "troll" is?
>
> Frankly, the only definition I will accept for "troll" is someone who
> only has the intent of causing anger, confusion and hate--for NO real
> purpose...
>
> Do you really see where I do that? Have you even seen where most
> accused of being a "troll" really are?
>
> I haven't!
>
> I see where some wish to open up discussions, debate and argument--and
> some simply wish to stop all discussion because they are afraid they
> will be in disagree with the final conclusions of that--and somehow
> they view that as a danger to themselves...
>
> Yanno, some of this is the strangest chit I have ever seen in my
> life...
>
> How about your take on it?
>
> John
>

2018. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 03:30:54 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <maSdndisN77Uln7fRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 113427

>
> That be a bit strong, John. Tom/Garique is basically an OK
> kind of guy.

Gee Len ...thanks .... my wife tells me the same thing .....

I think that most of the people in here are OK .....just some more OK than
others ....

Have a good one Len ..take care .....

dit dit beep beep or brap brap .....whatever that means ....

Tom KI3R

2019. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 03:49:17 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1dEEe.16164$aY6.13637@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113428

```
>
>
> Newsflash this isn't a high school english class
>

That's a fact ;-)
```

2020. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 04:06:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ktEEe.3315$Uk3.1959@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113429

an old friend wrote:
>
> robert casey wrote:
>
>>Bruce wrote:
>>
>>>Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
>>>
>>>
>>
>>No, but my English teacher in high school said that
>>he'd give anyone who misused there/they're/their
>>on their compositions an F...
>
>
> Newsflash this isn't a high school english class

Lucky for you!

Dave K8MN

2021. Re: So the winners got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 05:53:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122184426.142937.195240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113430

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> >
> > robert casey wrote:
> >
> >>Bruce wrote:
> >>
> >>>Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>No, but my English teacher in high school said that
> >>he'd give anyone who misused there/they're/their
> >>on their compositions an F...
> >
> >
> > Newsflash this isn't a high school english class
>
> Lucky for you!

not a matter of luck at all
>
> Dave K8MN

2022. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 06:03:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122185025.768314.309380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113431

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > No.
> >
> > These olde-tyme hammes will do ALL the "help" just as they
> > have "helped" others in the past.
> >
> > For example, in 1958 the FCC deallocated the 11m band from
> > hams and created Class C and D CB. Oh, the Hue and Cry they
> > raised in the US of A! Imagine, below-30-MHz privileges
> > WITHOUT TESTING FOR MORSE CODE! Imagine, NO TEST AT ALL TO
> > GET A FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED LICENSE!!
> >
> > All them olde-tymers quickly got into action to Demand Their
> > Big Band Sound BACK! They're still working on getting it
> > back. :-)
>
>
> And like other fools you attempt to keep the myth alive. In 40+ years of
> hamming I have never heard one ham (that inclueds the olde-tyme hammes)
> that complained about about the loss of 11 meters. The hams had 10
> meters where they operated and rarely used 11, so the loss to the ham
> community was nothing.

well ole Stvie complained (k4yz) as did yodoc and MD the later too not
active

heard In person K0HMO coomplain loudly and often a fewe other who's
call I don't remeber, and that in less than 10 years

2023. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 08:32:22 UTC
From: Secwet Wogie <notme@glendale>
Message-ID: <dbvjn5$6qc$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ZyzEe.523$%23.6587495@news.sisna.com...
Stagger:

Oh. I forgot, yep they got it all secure, nothing to worry about...
Crud, hope my corp never figures that out, I'll have nothing to do!
<grin>

John

Aw, gee, John. Fess up. You really didn't "forget", did you?
Stagger simply pointed out a fact you were not aware of.

Nice attempt at back pedaling, however.
You almost pulled it off.

2024. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 09:48:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122198538.299212.36550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113433

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> I knew I'd coax you outta yer lair when I tossed that one out.

bwaahaahaa

> > Back in the '60s we had Collins, Drake, National,
> > Hallicrafters, Hammarlund, Gonset, Heath, Swan, Johnson...
>
> . . . five dollar 12V ARC-5 Jeep radios . . . or were they 6V?

I've seen 12 volt ARC-5s (special units from a collection) and it was
no hard task to convert them for six volts. Easy compared to
homebrewing.
>
> > and that's about it for major manufacturers of ham gear
> > that lasted more than a few years and made more than a
> > few products. Even in the above list there were limitations
> > because many of the above did not offer a complete line (EFJ
> > made mostly transmitters, for example).
> >
> > Today we have Yaesu, Icom, Kenwood, TenTec, Alinco, Standard,
> > Elecraft, SGC, and maybe a few more. But see below.
>
> . . . yeah, OK so far . . .
>
> > > . . . Within ham radio Hallicrafters, Swan, National,
> > > Hammarlund, Drake and Heath simply evaporated with barely a
> > > trace left . . .
>
> > Yep. In some cases it was that the founder had died
> > or retired, and the
> > company wasn't able to adjust to the new market reality.
>
> Founders exits aside it was the "adjusting to the new
> market realities"
> which knocked out the U.S. radio builders. GM is
> still trying to catch
> up with Honda. Darwin prevails.

Darwin got some help in those areas, though. Detroit spent the '50s and
'60s building big cars and was completely surprised by the oil
embargoes. American electronics manufacturers, run
by "PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO", didn't know how to compete with

Japanese products. The rest is history.
>
> > > . . . Collins offered only two basics routes a ham
> > > could use to get on the HF
> > > bands with their gear at any given point in time. One xcvr and > one pair
> > > of separates. Period. Ditto Drake and for the most part Heath
> > > too.
> >
> > Drake and Heath had slightly more elaborate product lines - but not by
> > much. The point is still valid, though. The variety of new rigs today
> > is amazing.
>
> Not even a discussion.
>
> > Here's a game: Look up all the "100 watt class" HF rigs available today
> > (mid 2005). Compare to what was available 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 years ago.
> > I bet today's variety is the largest.
>
> Not even a discussion
>
> > > Not counting all the HF mobile rigs and the equipment being
> > > developed in various skunk works.
> >
> > *And* not counting the enormous variety of clean, late-model used
> > equipment that is still very much usable. Take TenTec - if an Orion is
> > too much and you don't like the Jupiter, there's the Omni 6 in various
> > flavors, its predecessor the Omni V, the Pegasus, the Paragon, and the
> > Corsair 2, among others.
>
> Well yeah, scarfing up used gear to get more bang
> for the buck has been
> a ham tradition going back into the mists
> of time long before either of
> us came about.

Yup. Or converting surplus - military or otherwise. Hams were doing
that in the 1920s with surplus tubes - WW1 surplus tubes....
>
> > The other night I saw an ad for the Kenwood TS-520 in a 1975
> > QST. $629.
> > That was back in the days when a new car was less than $4000 > > and
> > starting salary for a degreed engineer was maybe $12,000.
>
> Sounds low to me because most of my classmates started for $9-
> 10k right
> out of school in 1963. I started for $7,600 for the gummint
> which was
> quite low then.

Inflation in the '60s was quite low too until the end.

> > Or look at the SB-101 from the mid 1960s. $369 for the rig,
> > almost
> > another hundred for the power supply, CW filter and
> > speaker. Say $450 -
> > for a kit! What's that in today's dollars?
>
> Beats me but the point is there.

http://www.westegg.com/inflation

says:

$629 in 1975 inflates to $2355.99 in 2005

and

$450 in 1965 inflates to $2681.16 in 2005

Either of those will buy quite a bit more rig than a TS-520S or SB-101.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2025. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 10:39:24 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <wdKEe.47876$up5.18148@lakeread02>
Source record: 113434

Bruce wrote:

> Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?

here's a phrase for you: adapt or die.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2026. More Markie Mularkie To Muddle Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 11:26:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122204360.303183.137630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113435

an_old_friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > an_old_pervert:
> >
> > Hey, I own a set of that "male genitalia" you speak of, gotten quite a
> > bit of use and enjoyment out of it too!
> >
> > Now you accuse me of being "genitalia-phobic" in addition to your
> > accusations of my being "homophobic?"
>
> not unless you are in fact Stevie k4yz

John...you'll have to forgive Markie...He was a regular in this forum in the late 90's, then he blew off. Then we only had Lennie and brain as our regular miscreants, but at least they can spell and put a sentence together.

Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely sounds like it's gender related get's him all wound up.

I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar. His previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army Chemical Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie would have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)

Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's quite entertaining!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2027. Re: K4YZ: And You Wonder Why I Consider Myself An Idiot...?!?! (No, Markie..."WE" Don't Wonder Why You Consier Yourself An Idiot!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 11:29:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122204551.342946.44250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113436

```
b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Two, No I am not required to be famiar with them, I am merely required
> > > > > > > to obey them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > > >
> > > > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I am going to wait with bated breath while you try to spin up an
> > > > > > explanation as to how you are not required to "be familiar" with the
> > > > > > regulations of the radio service in which you are a licensee, yet you
> > > > > > ARE required to obey them.... ! ! !
> > > > >
> > > > > "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
> > > > >
> > > > > IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> > > > > law.
> > > > >
> > > > > Or are you too ignorant to see that?
> > > >
> > > > Why Steve no answer?
> > >
> > > Because Steve's too busy laughing at Markie ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve got caught again.
>
> Steve was wrong.
```

No, Steve wasn't.

But it will be fun to watch Brainless try to substantiate that.

Steve, K4YZ

2028. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 11:30:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122204650.273354.116530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113437

an old friend wrote:
> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie
> > > >
> > > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
> > > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
> > >
> > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> >
> > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
>
> and banging my head around would help
>
> Gee you sure are you Stevie

Nope.

I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.

But he's right. You're mentally damaged.

Steve, K4YZ

2029. KB9EQZ: Liar..Plain and Simple.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 11:45:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122205534.916753.184700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113438

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You keep saying that but you've neither offered evidence nor a
> > license to practice medicine.
>
> you offer the evidence

We're talking about YOUR lack of licensure, Markie. You haven't
got it.

> It is plain to see your obsession with my and what I may do with my
> genitlas at any given time

No..YOU are obsessed witht he idea that others are obsessed with
it.

I just call yuo for what you are...A deviant.

> > > > > > Have you performed a pateint assessment?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yep
> > > > >
> > > > Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!
> > >
> > > nope you are sick
> >
> > Nope! You're just a liar, Boyo.
>
> you are a liar and very sick boyo

Nope and nope again, Wimpo.

> > > > > > Are you licesed to do so?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > doesn't stop you
> > > > >
> > > > I AM licensed, Markie.
> > >
> > > no you are not licensed no more than I for making that kind of
> > > assesment
> >
> > Sure I am.
>
> Another lie Stevie

Nope.

> You are an LPN with delusion of granduer

Half right.

I'm an LPN. With over 20 years of experience and EMS credentials.

> > More Markie hiding behind profanities that are supposed to make
> > him the "Big Man On Campus"
>
> no interest in any campus
>
> and learn englih it is vulgarities

Learn WHAT, Markie?

> it takes somethinginvolved the devine to be profane

Nope. That's blasphemous.

> such you as are a God #####ed lair.

That's blasphemy, Mark. And vulgar.

> > > I said IF would get you to seek then Then I would claim the title
> > >
> > > Yep.
> >
> which means I haven't lied yet

Which means you said you would take something that's not yours.

We can now ad "thief" to your credentials.

> > You clearly stated that you would claim a title to which you were
> > NOT entitled to claim.
>
> that I would do so not that I have

We can just add it to your list of other things that you ahve
ALREADY claimed to be but aren't, COLONEL....

> If it will get you to seek I will claim to be the president, but tille
> you agree to seek that help I haven't made the claim

Help for what?

> go study the concept "if... then"

Go study the concept "English Writing and Composition".

> and still obsessed with petty ####

Hmmmmmmmmmmm...I'm not obsessed, so the rest is moot.

> > > since it will not I have done no such things
> > > If lying to yo will get you to seek help then It is my public duty to
> > > lie to you

> >
> > "public duty to lie to you..."!
>
> anything to get to seek help

You're just a liar, Mark. Period.

> > BBBWWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! !
>
> cry baby

Who's crying?

> > > > > > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > get help
> > > >
> > > > For what?
> > >
> > > delusions and obsessions
> >
> > What delusions and obsessions?
>
> yours
>
> one being that you have the right to stalker harras and bully people

I'm not stalking nor harrassing anyone.

Nor am I bullying anyone.

I may bite the bullet on "annoying", however you started it, so
turn about is fair play.

> the other your unhealthy interest in other people genitals

Whose?

Yours?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
! ! ! ! !

I told you Mark, I'm not in to "microbiology" ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

2030. KB9RQZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 11:56:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122206192.174107.53190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113439

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > I have more "mind" behind the left eye than you do in the entire
> > > > cranial cavity, Markie.
> > >
> > > then use it
> >
> break
> > I do.
>
> then use the mind you claim to have

I do.

But I have fun, too.

Rubbing your nose in your silliness is recreation. Not much
recreation, though, because you make this almost too easy!

> > That's why I won't let you lie uncontested.
>
> no your obsesstion is why you keep this up

I see.

And what is the part that YOU keep up?

To the best of my knowledge, no one is there twisting your arm,
FORCING you to reply to a single word of this...

> > Then what "freuders" do you refer to?
>
> you mostly

Then since you insist that I am one, please tell us what a
"freuder" is supposed to be...

> when you carry on a multiyears feud over morse code basicaly

I'm not fueding over Morse Code.

You, Lennie and Brain may be...I'm just wating for the FCC to make
up my mind for me. I'm still proficient to about 35WPM...more in the
contests.

> > > > You better do some history on where that all took place them look
> > > > up Winchester, TN on Mapquest.
> > >

> > > TN KY and then the folks spread out over the state so they would not
> > > kill each othe roff sounds about right to me
> >
> > Then you're as ignorant of Southern history as you are Amateur
> > Radio.
>
> not at all the feuding families started in there backwoods and then
> spread over the south to where they live now, rather like a cancer

Ahhhhhhhhhhhh! FEUDING! So now you spell it right.

You're obviously ill-prepared to discuss THAT issue too, Markie,
since the last "feud" in this area was between Federal and Confederate
troops.

> > And that's pretty ignorant.
>
> not realy

Yes really. You obviously have no comprehension of where I am,
what the history is or what the current state of economy and lifestyle
is.

> > > > Yes, yes you are, Markie! Come out of your shell! Good step Boyo!
> > >
> > > you are the obsessive compulsive
> >
> > Nope. You are. Youa dmitted it right there.
>
> more lies Stevie boy

Nope.

And now you've gone and backtracked from your admission...Guess we
have to withdraw the "Good step Boyo" and exchange it for a "Liar as
usual, Wimpo".

> > > > Now DO something about your problem!
> > >
> > > my problem is frankly you
> >
> > Then you have a big problem. Because MY problem is you and your
> > lying.
>
> nope my problem is a little boy in mans body who can't face facts, that
> being yourself

I face lots of facts.

First being that you're an admitted sexual deviant and
untrustworthy liar (as if there was a TRUSTworthy liar...?!?!)

See! Faced right up to it!

> > Stop lying and making assinine accusations and your "problem" will
> > disappear.
>
> admitting at last to aid supresioon of disent

Wish I knew what that said...

> > > lets see I can ask you to grow up
> >
> > I turn 50 in September, Markie...Already there.
>
> so let your alleged mind get out the preteen years

Huh?

> > > > > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> > > > > > just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more threats
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope...just a whimful idea on how to spend a few days off.
> > > >
> > > more threats
> >
> > Nope.
>
> more threats

Nope.

> and not even man enough to admit it

Admit what?

Steve, K4YZ

2031. Re: KB9RQZ: Liar..Plain and Simple.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 11:57:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122206247.082847.163010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113440

.

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You keep saying that but you've neither offered evidence nor a
> > > license to practice medicine.
> >
> > you offer the evidence
>
> We're talking about YOUR lack of licensure, Markie. You haven't
> got it.
>
> > It is plain to see your obsession with my and what I may do with my
> > genitlas at any given time
>
> No..YOU are obsessed witht he idea that others are obsessed with
> it.
>
> I just call yuo for what you are...A deviant.
>
> > > > > > > Have you performed a pateint assessment?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > yep
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!
> > > > >
> > > > > nope you are sick
> > > >
> > > Nope! You're just a liar, Boyo.
> >
> > you are a liar and very sick boyo
>
> Nope and nope again, Wimpo.
>
> > > > > > > Are you licesed to do so?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > doesn't stop you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I AM licensed, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > no you are not licensed no more than I for making that kind of
> > > > assesment

> > >
> > > Sure I am.
> >
> > Another lie Stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> > You are an LPN with delusion of granduer
>
> Half right.
>
> I'm an LPN. With over 20 years of experience and EMS credentials.
>
> > > More Markie hiding behind profanities that are supposed to make
> > > him the "Big Man On Campus"
> >
> > no interest in any campus
> >
> > and learn englih it is vulgarities
>
> Learn WHAT, Markie?
>
> > it takes somethinginvolved the devine to be profane
>
> Nope. That's blasphemous.
>
> > such you as are a God #####ed lair.
>
> That's blasphemy, Mark. And vulgar.
>
> > > > I said IF would get you to seek then Then I would claim the title
> > >
> > > Yep.
> >
> > which means I haven't lied yet
>
> Which means you said you would take something that's not yours.
>
> We can now ad "thief" to your credentials.
>
> > > You clearly stated that you would claim a title to which you were
> > > NOT entitled to claim.
> >
> > that I would do so not that I have
>
> We can just add it to your list of other things that you ahve
> ALREADY claimed to be but aren't, COLONEL....
>
> > If it will get you to seek I will claim to be the president, but tille
> > you agree to seek that help I haven't made the claim

>
> Help for what?
>
> > go study the concept "if... then"
>
> Go study the concept "English Writing and Composition".
>
> > and still obsessed with petty ####
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmm...I'm not obsessed, so the rest is moot.
>
> > > > since it will not I have done no such things
> > > > If lying to yo will get you to seek help then It is my public duty to
> > > > lie to you
> > >
> > > "public duty to lie to you..."!
> >
> > anything to get to seek help
>
> You're just a liar, Mark. Period.
>
>
>
> > > BBBWWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! !
> >
> > cry baby
>
> Who's crying?
>
> > > > > > > > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > get help
> > > > > >
> > > > > > For what?
> > > > >
> > > > > delusions and obsessions
> > > >
> > > What delusions and obsessions?
> >
> > yours
> >
> > one being that you have the right to stalker harras and bully people
>
> I'm not stalking nor harrassing anyone.
>

> Nor am I bullying anyone.
>
> I may bite the bullet on "annoying", however you started it, so
> turn about is fair play.
>
> > the other your unhealthy interest in other people genitals
>
> Whose?
>
> Yours?
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> ! ! ! ! !
>
> I told you Mark, I'm not in to "microbiology" ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2032. Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 12:53:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122209609.251126.132150@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113441

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am

> While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.

Nope.

The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.

It is additionally noted that Leonard H Anderson had nothing to do
with the creation for the aforementioned PLL or DDS that he's
attempting to brag on.

> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.

NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???

> For an example of cross-pollination of myths, the "space
> amateur radio" carried on by space station and (previous)
> shuttle astronauts is done almost entirely by no-code-test
> Technician class licensed astronauts. It is part of their
> overall task assignment (every astronaut must adhere to
> NASA PR rules) and relatively minor in relation to all that
> they must do. Contrary to the fantasy of some, astronauts
> did not become hams first, THEN astronauts.

Some of them certainly do. Witness Owen Garriot, W5LFL. The
FIRST Amateur-Astronaut to operate from the Space Shuttle.

I know that facts aren't your forte, Lennie...Sorry to bust your
rant.

Steve, K4YZ

2033. Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 12:59:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122209980.998248.206140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113442

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> hack
>
> > > > And W H O said anything about the government, DeviantBoy...?!?!
> > >
> > > you did the president is part of the Govt
> >
> > You didn't answer my question in regards to the OTHER agencies who
> > have publically lauded and complimented Amateur Radio
>
> yes I did a line or three

I have absolutely NO DOUBT that you've done a LOT of "lines".

In as much as that was NOT an answer to a question I had posed, I
wonder what made you slip and admit that you are a Cocaine abuser
too...?!?!

> more stevie lies

> > > There are more entities other than the government who have anything
> > > > to say about Amateur Radio!
> > >
> > > and they work in much the same way
> >
> > And you have the stones to say >>I<< am "losing it"...?!?
>
> more obsession with male anotomy Stevie

Nope.

You see, Markie, if you understood the language, colloquial OR
traditional, that you're abusing, you'd know the history and the
integration of the term "do you have the stones"...?!?!

YOU think it's got to due with male anatomy, but that's only
because you're gay and it's a lifestyle issue for you.

> and do make up your mind wether i am a coward or brave

Oh, you're NOT brave...Stupid...Confused...Functioanlly
Illiterate...All of those, but "brave" is not an adjective that fits
you.

Steve, K4YZ

2034. A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 13:11:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122210676.625576.65210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113443

Note the date.

http://tinyurl.com/bg5gc

73 de Jim, N2EY

2035. Re: Huge Snip Of Markie Dreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 14:04:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122213840.302358.171060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113444

John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:
>
> Scuttle-butt has it that you are sleeping with J.K. Rowling.

I'd be happy to be sleeping with her investment banker!

> Hey, if things don't work out, how about introducing me? I have
> always been a sucker for tall women with british accents.

Ditto.

Have you seen the movie "The Girl In The Cafe"..?!?! It's a campy May-December romance thingy...The female lead is a bit on the, uh..."common" side, but she's got this cute-as-a-bug accent. I'd be glad to let her lay next to me whispering naughty things in her cute little way!

> Besides, I have read all her novels, even the latest, "Harry Potter
> and the Half-Blood Prince."

"Half-Blood"..??

What's the other half? A Big Mac? =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2036. E N G L I S H You Deviant Idiot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 14:28:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122215292.940087.68060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113445

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I love it when you try and insult me about language, yet can't form
> > a coherent sentence.
>
> fully coheant and makes you title another lie

"COHEANT"...?!?!

You can't even spell words correctly when they are already right
in front of you!

"...makes you title..."

What an I D I O T ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

2037. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 14:28:44 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <CANEe.13034$_x.155@fe11.lga>
Source record: 113446

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122210676.625576.65210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Note the date.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/bg5gc
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

It was never about CW/Morse code, just the effort required to learn it.
Requiring anything for which there is no open question/answer pool will
generate the same bellyaching, including the Smith Chart.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

2038. Markie Can't Decide Whether He's A Liar Or Not...He's One Confused Little Boyo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 14:35:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122215724.899009.220360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113447

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > It's pompous for YOU to assume that just because your name was in
> > > > the subject line, that ALL the comments therein were for you.
> > >
> > > not at all
> >
> > Yes, "at all".
>
> more #####

More evidence that you don't have a defensible argument.

> > > > A secondgrader could follow the attributes, DeviantBoy.
> > >
> > > more #####
> >
> > Only for me to rub your nose in, Markie.
>
> more #####

Still more evidence that you don't have a defensible argument.

> > > > > Lying sack of #####
> > > >
> > > > Yes, you are, Markie.
> > >
> > > not at all
> >
> > Absolutely at all....
>
> meaning what
>
> You are a lying sack of ##### plain and simple

Nope.

That you are.

You've even admitted to BEING a liar.

So why do you recoil from it now...?!?!

> > > > What are you going to do about it?
> > >

> > > forunately for me little I can do about it
> >
> > "fournately"...
> >
> > > You are a lying sack of ####
> >
> > And you're just a documented pathological lair with a sexual
> > deviance history...
>
> more lies stevie

Nope.

Why do you call your OWN admissions a "lie", Markie...?!?!

> > > you are sick and in desperate need of help
> >
> > Not according to any licensed physicians I know.
>
> you may be right there, I am sure like most sick folks you can cover it
> to some extent

Cover what?

> but you are sick and in need of help

No, I'm not.

Why do you keep making assertions of fact that are clearly
unsubstantiated, Markie?

The first time is an error.

The second time is a mistake.

The third time is a lie.

You're on 357,986,555,089.

Steve, K4YZ

2039. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:14:31 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122218071.158704.169530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113448

an old friend wrote:
> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie
> > > >
> > > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
> > > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
> > >
> > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> >
> > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
>
> and banging my head around would help

Almost anything would be an improvement. So you *do* have a steel plate
in your skull. Interesting confession there.

> Gee you sure are you Stevie

English, stupid. Do you know it?

2040. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:16:09 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122218169.101772.73720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On 23 Jul 2005 12:34:00 -0700, olivermartin15170@yahoo.com <Secwet
> Woger> wrote:
> :
> :
> : John Smith wrote:
> : > oh yeah, and the business, ever heard of a proxy? A NNTP/SMTP proxy?
> : >
> : > ROFLOL!!!!
> : >
> : > Gawd, the boy is a regular sherlock holmes!!! But, bit of a slow
> : > one... :(
> : >
> : > uhhh, you can proxy though anyone setting up a home mailserver too...
> :
> : Indeed. Like an msn proxy.
>
> Except

Except you have it wrong, as usual.

2041. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:18:23 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122218303.472518.290710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Secwet Wogie wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ZyzEe.523$%23.6587495@news.sisna.com...
> Stagger:
>
> Oh. I forgot, yep they got it all secure, nothing to worry about...
> Crud, hope my corp never figures that out, I'll have nothing to do!
> <grin>
>
> John
>
> Aw, gee, John. Fess up. You really didn't "forget", did you?
> Stagger simply pointed out a fact you were not aware of.
>
> Nice attempt at back pedaling, however.
> You almost pulled it off.

Why are you using this nick, when you could just answer him as Stagger
Lee?

2042. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:21:25 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122218485.374904.78850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> oliver:
>
> yeah, well, what comes around goes around,

John, you just remember that.

> improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...

Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have you.

> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122147095.512722.73100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> oliver:
> >>
> >> Quite obvious, if you passed the test, any wino in my city would
> >> with
> >> flying colors! Ya damn ham-ma'roon!
> >>
> >> John
> >
> > You really shouldn't compare others to your siblings.
> >
> >
> >> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1122145692.077926.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Lloyd wrote:
> >> >> I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the stupid
> >> >> ham
> >> >> licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and
> >> >> whatever
> >> >> else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you simpltons
> >> >> that
> >> >> one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting until
> >> >> I
> >> >> can
> >> >> get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest of
> >> >> us
> >> >> and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!

> >> >
> >> > Not to worry, however, you couldn't pass the written test
> >> > anyways.
> >> >
> >

2043. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:24:00 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122218640.937802.32570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And besides, *you* said Roger lost his MSN account.

2044. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:24:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <HtOEe.22$Fn3.567409@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113353

Bert:

Interesting idea.

No question pools!!!

Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.

Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!

I just love the original ideas people come up with here...

John

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message news:CANEe.13034$_x.155@fe11.lga...
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122210676.625576.65210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> Note the date.
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/bg5gc
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> It was never about CW/Morse code, just the effort required to learn
> it. Requiring anything for which there is no open question/answer
> pool will generate the same bellyaching, including the Smith Chart.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

2045. Re:stevie Can't Decide Whether He's A Liar Or Not...He's One Confused Little Boyo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:31:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122219091.101738.232210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113354

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > It's pompous for YOU to assume that just because your name was in
> > > > > > the subject line, that ALL the comments therein were for you.
> > > > >
> > > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > Yes, "at all".
> > >
> > > more ####
>
> More evidence that you don't have a defensible argument.

not realy but then you nothing of evidence either

>
> > > > > > A secondgrader could follow the attributes, DeviantBoy.
> > > > >
> > > > > more ####
> > > >
> > > > Only for me to rub your nose in, Markie.
> > >
> > > more ####
>
> Still more evidence that you don't have a defensible argument.

not realy but then you nothing of evidence either
>
> > > > > > > Lying sack of ####
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, you are, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely at all....
> > >
> > > meaning what
> >
> > You are a lying sack of #### plain and simple
>
> Nope.

yes you are

>
> That you are.
>
> You've even admitted to BEING a liar.

which has nothing to do with the fact you are a lying sack of shit

>
> So why do you recoil from it now...?!?!

I don't

more stevie lies

>
> > > > > What are you going to do about it?
> > > >
> > > > forunately for me little I can do about it
> > >
> > > "fournately"...
> > >
> > > > You are a lying sack of ####
> > >
> > > And you're just a documented pathological lair with a sexual
> > > deviance history...
> >
> > more lies stevie
>
> Nope.

yes indeed

I am not a "documented pathological lair"

A compentant medcial person would have to make that decision

nor am I a sexual devaint

I fall within the normal range of behavoir

>
> Why do you call your OWN admissions a "lie", Markie...?!?!

I don't you lying sack of shit

I call what you add to my admssions a lie

>
> > > > you are sick and in desperate need of help
> > >
> > > Not according to any licensed physicians I know.
> >
> > you may be right there, I am sure like most sick folks you can cover it
> > to some extent

>
> Cover what?

your obsessive complusive behanvoir

>
> > but you are sick and in need of help
>
> No, I'm not.

sure are

>
> Why do you keep making assertions of fact that are clearly
> unsubstantiated, Markie?

why do you lie so much?

They are facts

You are clearly obsessed with me

>
> The first time is an error.

more bull shit

>
> The second time is a mistake.

still more bullshit

>
> The third time is a lie.

even more bullshit

>
> You're on 357,986,555,089.

absolutly full of shit

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2046. Stevie Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:32:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122219147.461227.90840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113355

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I love it when you try and insult me about language, yet can't form
> > > a coherent sentence.
> >
> > fully coheant and makes you title another lie
>
> "COHEANT"...?!?!
>
> You can't even spell words correctly when they are already right
> in front of you!

Nope just makes me lazy

>
> "...makes you title..."
>
> What an I D I O T ! ! ! !

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2047. more libel Re: Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:37:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122219476.066472.252730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113356

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > hack
> >
> > > > > > And W H O said anything about the government, DeviantBoy...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > you did the president is part of the Govt
> > > >
> > > You didn't answer my question in regards to the OTHER agencies who
> > > have publically lauded and complimented Amateur Radio
> >
> > yes I did a line or three
>
> I have absolutely NO DOUBT that you've done a LOT of "lines".
>
> In as much as that was NOT an answer to a question I had posed, I
> wonder what made you slip and admit that you are a Cocaine abuser
> too...?!?!

no such admision

more libel

>
> > more stevie lies
>
>
> > > > > > There are more entities other than the government who have anything
> > > > > > to say about Amateur Radio!
> > > > >
> > > > > and they work in much the same way
> > > >
> > > And you have the stones to say >>I<< am "losing it"...?!?
> >
> > more obsession with male anotomy Stevie
>
> Nope.

yes indeed

>
> You see, Markie, if you understood the language, colloquial OR

> traditional, that you're abusing, you'd know the history and the
> integration of the term "do you have the stones"...?!?!

I certainly do know it and know therefore you are shwoing you genital
obsession again

I have fogotten more linguists than you will ever know

>
> YOU think it's got to due with male anatomy, but that's only
> because you're gay and it's a lifestyle issue for you.

not gay ole boy
>
> > and do make up your mind wether i am a coward or brave
>
> Oh, you're NOT brave...Stupid...Confused...Functioanlly
> Illiterate...All of those, but "brave" is not an adjective that fits
> you.

then you have told anothe rlie
Cobfused by you stpuidity is only true thing in there

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2048. More stevie lies attacking lenn for change though

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:41:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122219688.406762.3680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113357

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
>
> > While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> > in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> > accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
>
> Nope.
>
> The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
> ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.

the rigs do that the operator may or may not be checking thier work but
the rigs do it
>
> It is additionally noted that Leonard H Anderson had nothing to do
> with the creation for the aforementioned PLL or DDS that he's
> attempting to brag on.

so?

>
> > NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> > "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> > to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> > higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> > a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
>
> NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???

sure does most Govt agnecies do

of course for the sack of PC they call it PR
>
> > For an example of cross-pollination of myths, the "space
> > amateur radio" carried on by space station and (previous)
> > shuttle astronauts is done almost entirely by no-code-test
> > Technician class licensed astronauts. It is part of their
> > overall task assignment (every astronaut must adhere to
> > NASA PR rules) and relatively minor in relation to all that
> > they must do. Contrary to the fantasy of some, astronauts
> > did not become hams first, THEN astronauts.
>
> Some of them certainly do. Witness Owen Garriot, W5LFL. The
> FIRST Amateur-Astronaut to operate from the Space Shuttle.

>
> I know that facts aren't your forte, Lennie...Sorry to bust your
> rant.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2049. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:45:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lIOEe.24$gj3.552026@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
oliver:

I will take your suggestion to:
rec.how.do.I.get.a.woman
and see if they feel that is a viable suggestion.

ROFLOL!

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122218485.374904.78850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> oliver:
>>
>> yeah, well, what comes around goes around,
>
> John, you just remember that.
>
>> improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...
>
> Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have you.
>
>> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122147095.512722.73100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> oliver:
>> >>
>> >> Quite obvious, if you passed the test, any wino in my city would
>> >> with
>> >> flying colors! Ya damn ham-ma'roon!
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >
>> > You really shouldn't compare others to your siblings.
>> >
>> >
>> >> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1122145692.077926.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
```

>> >> >
>> >> > Lloyd wrote:
>> >> >> I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the
>> >> >> stupid
>> >> >> ham
>> >> >> licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and
>> >> >> whatever
>> >> >> else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you
>> >> >> simpltons
>> >> >> that
>> >> >> one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting
>> >> >> until
>> >> >> I
>> >> >> can
>> >> >> get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest
>> >> >> of
>> >> >> us
>> >> >> and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!
>> >> >
>> >> > Not to worry, however, you couldn't pass the written test
>> >> > anyways.
>> >> >
>> >
>

2050. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:51:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <yOOEe.25$LZ3.640028@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113359

garigue:

Ahhh, this is all a moot point.

From here on out we will just have to concentrate on keeping CW to a minimum.

All CW will do is PI$$ OFF the CB'ers as they screw to have the key thumpers shove the morse where the sun doesn't shine...

Shhhhhhhhhhhhh.... <grin>

John

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message news:HPKdndSJWIZmlX7fRVn-rw@comcast.com...
>
>
>> Geesh...
>>
>> You guys are for real huh?
>> You think code and a radio makes you special guys?
>
> Ah com' on John ....did you ever hear me say anything about CW being
> special
> ..... in fact the older I get the less "specialness" means to be
> ..... as
> if it really meant anything back then .....
>
>
>>
>> Was the license really so difficult for you, you believe the rest
>> of
>> us with much different opinions are stupid and find it that big a
>> challenge?
>
>
> Never studpidity ....motivation .... well based on some of the
> arguments I
> have seen here and in my ham d'jour life ....it just seems that if
> someone
> really wanted HF privlidges then suck it up ...study a bit ...pass
> and have
> the ritualistic back yard key burial ....then get on with life
> ..... but
> that apparently will not be necessary now .....no carping ...just

> fact
> ....and I will welcome anyone to the bands and if they are on CW
> without
> being tested ...no big deal. I call that a win for band useage
> which we
> need and for ham radio in general ....
>
>
>
>
>> I started building tesla coils at ~10, I got my novice ticket and
>> built my first single tube transmitter when I was 12, my first
>> degree
>> was in electronic engineering in '72... I ate this chit up... I
>> was
>> bored and didn't know what I wanted to be--until they invented the
>> computer... I returned to college in 1978 and got my BS in CS in
>> 1981
>> along with a BA in journalism, I completed my MS in CS in '83...
>> since then I have always taught a night class at the local jr.
>> college
>> and continue to take classes at the local university to stay
>> current
>> and be with fresh young minds...
>
>
> Gee John ...then why are your posts so bizzare ??? I really don't
> like to
> prejudge anyone but your comments over the last several months
> really don't
> reflect the above ...I am sorry if I say this but I am a bit
> surprized ....
> I deal a lot with "old timers" in my profession .....and I find them
> interesting and their journey through this life more so in a
> positive rather
> then a negative ..... Also comments about people with problems,
> disabilities
> and diseases don't fit.
>
>
>> Get real... lay down the opium pipe and step out of the gutter!
>
>
> Huh .....???? oh well ...never tried the stuff thank God but I
> do like
> a couple of beers now and then ....
>
>
>> And, I just consider myself an average guy (you should see my
>> sister,

>> she has all the brains in the family!)... of course, I do live in a
>> nice neighborhood and have nice neighbors...
>>
>> John
>
>
> I'm glad you do ...as I have good ones also ....have to tell you one
> of
> these days about my old ones ... .."adventures in paradise" ......
> complete
> with the pit bulls and multiple 20 second visits from strangers
> throughout
> the day ....
>
> Take care John ...73 Tom KI3R
>
>

2051. Re: KB9EQZ: Liar..Plain and Simple.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 15:53:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122220432.817198.194290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113360

can't even get the call right

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You keep saying that but you've neither offered evidence nor a
> > > license to practice medicine.
> >
> > you offer the evidence
>
> We're talking about YOUR lack of licensure, Markie. You haven't
> got it.

more stevie lies

and more stevie covering his lie by cutting posts without document ing
the cut

you keep claim you are licenced

you are not

I am not licenced never claimed to be

>
> > It is plain to see your obsession with my and what I may do with my
> > genitlas at any given time
>
> No..YOU are obsessed witht he idea that others are obsessed with
> it.

nope you are the one how keep bring them up

not me

>
> I just call yuo for what you are...A deviant.

you are just lying stevie

>
> > > > > > > Have you performed a pateint assessment?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > yep
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!
> > > > >

> > > > nope you are sick
> > >
> > > Nope! You're just a liar, Boyo.
> >
> > you are a liar and very sick boyo
>
> Nope and nope again, Wimpo.

I have prefromed a pataint assement

i have read you shit I read mucyh of the shit you have posted while I
was here
and found you obsessed with me at times I hadn't posted in years

you are obsessed

>
> > > > > > > Are you licesed to do so?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > doesn't stop you
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I AM licensed, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > no you are not licensed no more than I for making that kind of
> > > > > assesment
> > > >
> > > > Sure I am.
> > >
> > > Another lie Stevie
> >
> > Nope.

you have no license to make the statements you have

you are ham shrink same as me

>
> > You are an LPN with delusion of granduer
>
> Half right.

you mean you have been lying about being an LPN

>
> I'm an LPN. With over 20 years of experience and EMS credentials.

then I am fully correct

like a lot of Nursie they get too bigg for their pants, and start
claiming powers beyond their ken

as you have done in claiming you could disanose that someone did not
have a hearing problem meeting them at a VE session

indeed you claimed you knew the MD was wrong

>
> > > More Markie hiding behind profanities that are supposed to make
> > > him the "Big Man On Campus"
> >
> > no interest in any campus
> >
> > and learn englih it is vulgarities
>
> Learn WHAT, Markie?

english

>
> > it takes somethinginvolved the devine to be profane
>
> Nope. That's blasphemous.

that too

>
> > such you as are a God ####ed lair.
>
> That's blasphemy, Mark. And vulgar.

not at all vulgar

>
> > > > I said IF would get you to seek then Then I would claim the title
> > >
> > > Yep.
> >
> > which means I haven't lied yet
>
> Which means you said you would take something that's not yours.

not at all

>
> We can now ad "thief" to your credentials.

nope more stevie lies

>
> > > You clearly stated that you would claim a title to which you were
> > > NOT entitled to claim.
> >
> > that I would do so not that I have
>
> We can just add it to your list of other things that you ahve
> ALREADY claimed to be but aren't, COLONEL....

not yet not till you get help

>
> > If it will get you to seek I will claim to be the president, but tille
> > you agree to seek that help I haven't made the claim
>
> Help for what?

you delusion and your obsessive stalking and threatening behavoir

are you so stupid I have to keep repeating that everytime?
>
> > go study the concept "if... then"
>
> Go study the concept "English Writing and Composition".

i use it bettre than you

>
> > and still obsessed with petty ####
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmm...I'm not obsessed, so the rest is moot.

you are obsessed with it

you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"
>

grow up

> > > > since it will not I have done no such things
> > > > If lying to yo will get you to seek help then It is my public duty to
> > > > lie to you
> > >
> > > "public duty to lie to you..."!
> >
> > anything to get to seek help
>
> You're just a liar, Mark. Period.

and you are plain sick period

you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"

>
>
>
> > > BBBWWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! !
> >
> > cry baby
>
> Who's crying?

you are

you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"

>
> > > > > > > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > get help
> > > > >
> > > > > For what?
> > > >
> > > > delusions and obsessions
> > >
> > > What delusions and obsessions?
> >
> > yours
> >
> > one being that you have the right to stalker harras and bully people
>
> I'm not stalking nor harrassing anyone.

bullshit

>
> Nor am I bullying anyone.

bullshit

>
> I may bite the bullet on "annoying", however you started it, so
> turn about is fair play.

you started it

with your threats and blackmail years ago

>
> > the other your unhealthy interest in other people genitals
>
> Whose?

anyones
>
> Yours?

anyones

Mine, kim's, todd's, Brain's

>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> ! ! ! ! !

more crybaby

>
> I told you Mark, I'm not in to "microbiology" ! ! !

more of your interest in genitals

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2052. Stevie admits to homosexual; desires? or desire for embezzlment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:01:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122219295.087141.319160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113361

K4YZ wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > K4YZ:
> >
> > Scuttle-butt has it that you are sleeping with J.K. Rowling.
>
> I'd be happy to be sleeping with her investment banker!

gee most investment bankers are Men

and why sleep with the banker unless you are planing to steal
>
> > Hey, if things don't work out, how about introducing me? I have
> > always been a sucker for tall women with british accents.
>
> Ditto.
>
> Have you seen the movie "The Girl In The Cafe"..?!?! It's a campy
> May-December romance thingy...The female lead is a bit on the,
> uh..."common" side, but she's got this cute-as-a-bug accent. I'd be
> glad to let her lay next to me whispering naughty things in her cute
> little way!
>
> > Besides, I have read all her novels, even the latest, "Harry Potter
> > and the Half-Blood Prince."
>
> "Half-Blood"..??

Stevie learn what you are tlaking before jumping in

>
> What's the other half? A Big Mac? =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2053. Re: K4YZ Continues To Lie..Mostly to Himself...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:03:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122220983.671342.161260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113362

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > I have more "mind" behind the left eye than you do in the entire
> > > > > > cranial cavity, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > then use it
> > > >
> > > break
> > > > > I do.
> > >
> > then use the mind you claim to have
>
> I do.

realy never seen any sign of it

>
> But I have fun, too.
>
> Rubbing your nose in your silliness is recreation. Not much
> recreation, though, because you make this almost too easy!

then you admit to sadism again but you will not have the coarage to
admit your admission

>
> > > That's why I won't let you lie uncontested.
> >
> > no your obsesstion is why you keep this up
>
> I see.
>
> And what is the part that YOU keep up?

i defend myself from your attacks

as is my right

if you stopp attacking me I'll stop defending

>
> To the best of my knowledge, no one is there twisting your arm,
> FORCING you to reply to a single word of this...

no you are harrassing me in other ways

no one forcing you either

>
> > > Then what "freuders" do you refer to?
> >
> > you mostly
>
> Then since you insist that I am one, please tell us what a
> "freuder" is supposed to be...

one who keeps reffering to people genitals

>
> > when you carry on a multiyears feud over morse code basicaly
>
> I'm not fueding over Morse Code.

yes you are

>
> You, Lennie and Brain may be...I'm just wating for the FCC to make
> up my mind for me. I'm still proficient to about 35WPM...more in the
> contests.

wow that is sick you are willing to allow the FCC to make up your mind
for you

you are much sicker than I ever thought

>
> > > > > You better do some history on where that all took place them look
> > > > > up Winchester, TN on Mapquest.
> > > >
> > > > TN KY and then the folks spread out over the state so they would not
> > > > kill each othe roff sounds about right to me
> > >
> > > Then you're as ignorant of Southern history as you are Amateur
> > > Radio.
> >
> > not at all the feuding families started in there backwoods and then
> > spread over the south to where they live now, rather like a cancer
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhh! FEUDING! So now you spell it right.

and you were too stupid to figure it out before

>
> You're obviously ill-prepared to discuss THAT issue too, Markie,
> since the last "feud" in this area was between Federal and Confederate
> troops.

nope more stevie stupidity

The Bakers and the whites are still feuding if more gentilly then
before

>
> > > And that's pretty ignorant.
> >
> > not realy
>
> Yes really. You obviously have no comprehension of where I am,
> what the history is or what the current state of economy and lifestyle
> is.

I know the history of the south the north and the enitre country quite
well

I have seen the south but in the near current frame of time and over
the past

The south is like regions of the US fairly well devided, esp over race,
but class as well

>
> > > > > Yes, yes you are, Markie! Come out of your shell! Good step Boyo!
> > > > >
> > > > you are the obsessive compulsive
> > >
> > > Nope. You are. Youa dmitted it right there.
> >
> > more lies Stevie boy
>
> Nope.
>
> And now you've gone and backtracked from your admission...Guess we
> have to withdraw the "Good step Boyo" and exchange it for a "Liar as
> usual, Wimpo".

never made the admission so it was another of your lies

>
> > > > > Now DO something about your problem!
> > > > >
> > > > my problem is frankly you
> > >
> > > Then you have a big problem. Because MY problem is you and your
> > > lying.
> >
> > nope my problem is a little boy in mans body who can't face facts, that
> > being yourself
>
> I face lots of facts.

maybe

>
> First being that you're an admitted sexual deviant and
> untrustworthy liar (as if there was a TRUSTworthy liar...?!?!)

false

>
> See! Faced right up to it!

nope

>
> > > Stop lying and making assinine accusations and your "problem" will
> > > disappear.
> >
> > admitting at last to aid supresioon of disent
>
> Wish I knew what that said...

then learn

>
> > > > lets see I can ask you to grow up
> > >
> > > I turn 50 in September, Markie...Already there.
> >
> > so let your alleged mind get out the preteen years
>
> Huh?

your brain is stuck back in your preteen years

you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"

>
> > > > > > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> > > > > > > just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more threats
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope...just a whimful idea on how to spend a few days off.
> > > >
> > > > more threats
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > more threats
>
> Nope.

yes indeed

>
> > and not even man enough to admit it
>
> Admit what?

to making threats

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2054. Re: Unravelling Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:03:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rZOEe.27$FE4.682139@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113376

Jim:

Your definition, "Well, troll is when someone starts a thread with the intent of starting a
flame war."

I don't think that happens often.

Indeed, it is EXACTLY the opposite which is REALLY occurring. Here is how that really functions and ends up being blamed on the poster:

"If you start a thread on a subject which is unpopular or I do not agree with--I will flame war there and accuse you of being a troll which provoked it all--and my flames!" (devil made me do it statement)

I can see how some are confused by these two totally different situations though, unless you look closely the two can be confused.

Perhaps we could call such, "Terrorist Flame War Threats" and report 'em to homeland security!!!

ROFLOL!

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:YRDEe.2473$j21.1117@news01.roc.ny...
> Hello, John
>
> Well, troll is when someone starts a thread with the intent of
> starting a
> flame war.
>
> Since the Republicans came to power and some of the stuff I've seen,
> I'm
> willing to troll now. Not much matters anymore. The cw/no cw
> threads -
> which, from what I've seen, means "I don't want any tests".
>
> I seriously don't care that much anymore. I'm seriously thinking of
> moving
> to Florida and simply spending my days in the sunshine (and pool
> LOL). Let
> the freebanders have at it. Don't call me if there is a problem; I
> spent my
> time in 'Nam and figure the no-test folks will have all the answers
> anyways.

>
> There are no discussions anymore as politics is controlled via the
> various
> programs concerning national security and the sheep follow the
> leader
> regardless. Why study the calculus when you can pick up a
> calculator (never
> mind I've caught one kid making a mistake even using a calculator -
> if the
> owner looses his butt, that's his problem, not mine)?
>
> As to communications or electronics, it won't matter. I remember
> going
> down to a television station over 10 years ago and being told I'd
> have to
> join SMPTE (I think - Society of Motion Picture and Televison
> Employees ....
> not sure) and was shown a "difficult" test I'd have to take. Same
> damn test
> I passed as a teenager in front of the FCC to get my 1st class
> radiotelephone license. I was also a full member of that
> organization when
> I was designing UHF translator stations in 1971.
>
> Unfortunately, I reset my newsgroups as they were taking up
> considerable
> space and lost the start of this thread. Unfortunately, I have not
> been
> able to engage in any reasonable discussion in quite some time
> myself and
> I've about resigned myself to avoiding the flames and simply basking
> in the
> sun.
>
> I'm sure some enterprising individual will find a way to blame the
> folks
> that finally got tired of all the mess. Do let me know if Bush ever
> gets a
> clue or the No Test International folks ever think there might be a
> problem
> with letting everyone on any frequency they desire.
>
> I do not believe you ever started a thread with the intent of
> causing grief,
> but I've had some question as to some of your posts in the middle of
> threads. Then again, I've been getting a bit testy of late myself
> ;)
>
> I heard that AOL died in London. The cell phones overload. Of
> course,

> everyone could be assigned their own "frequency" (rather than a free
> for all
> "freeband" agreement). With something like 6 billion folks in the
> world,
> that would take 6 GHz of channels 1 Hz wide. I don't think one can
> push
> much information through a channel 1 Hz wide. Also, no one could
> have a
> very useful antenna much below several hundred KHz.
>
> Maybe the answer is let everyone drive on whatever side of the road
> they
> want?
>
> LOL - no, John, it isn't you. I'm just getting a bit tired.
> Perhaps I'll
> go to bed. I'm seriously considering moving and trashing the
> computer.
> Retire and go fishing, perhaps. I might even invest in a beam and a
> good hf
> rig. I've never found a lid on cw and have had many interesting
> discussions
> on cw (as well as 440 MHz fm).
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:phBEe.560$fo4.6808680@news.sisna.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Can you remember when, here in America, we used to discuss matters,
>> listen to points of view, examine every possibility--in the end,
>> draw
>> on everything, and finally reach real solutions, real fixes, and
>> provide solutions everyone could live under?
>>
>> Do you see how now the word "troll" is tossed out and cowards fly
>> to
>> hiding places to escape any association with it? Do any of us
>> really
>> know what a "troll" is?
>>
>> Frankly, the only definition I will accept for "troll" is someone
>> who
>> only has the intent of causing anger, confusion and hate--for NO
>> real

>> purpose...
>>
>> Do you really see where I do that? Have you even seen where most
>> accused of being a "troll" really are?
>>
>> I haven't!
>>
>> I see where some wish to open up discussions, debate and
>> argument--and
>> some simply wish to stop all discussion because they are afraid
>> they
>> will be in disagree with the final conclusions of that--and somehow
>> they view that as a danger to themselves...
>>
>> Yanno, some of this is the strangest chit I have ever seen in my
>> life...
>>
>> How about your take on it?
>>
>> John
>>
>
>

2055. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:05:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122221148.487807.5070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113364

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > an_old_pervert:
> > >
> > > Hey, I own a set of that "male genitalia" you speak of, gotten quite a
> > > bit of use and enjoyment out of it too!
> > >
> > > Now you accuse me of being "genitalia-phobic" in addition to your
> > > accusations of my being "homophobic?"
> >
> > not unless you are in fact Stevie k4yz
>
> John...you'll have to forgive Markie...He was a regular in this
> forum in the late 90's, then he blew off. Then we only had Lennie and
> brain as our regular miscreants, but at least they can spell and put a
> sentence together.
>

break
> Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a
> consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely sounds
> like it's gender related get's him all wound up.

more lies stevie

>
> I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an
> absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar. His

not pathological

> previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army Chemical
> Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely
> hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie would
> have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)

and more of your lies there
>
> Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's quite
> entertaining!

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2056. Stevie claims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:06:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122221178.306985.151770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113363

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > > > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
> > > > > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
> > > > >
> > > > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> > > >
> > > > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> > >
> > > and banging my head around would help
> > >
> > > Gee you sure are you Stevie
> >
> > Nope.
break
>
> I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.

prove it
>
> But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2057. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:07:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122221261.633399.24860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113365

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
hack

> > > > > > "Ignorance of the law is no excuse."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > IOW, a person can be ignorant of the law, and yet they must obey the
> > > > > > law.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Or are you too ignorant to see that?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Why Steve no answer?
> > > > >
> > > > Because Steve's too busy laughing at Markie ! ! ! !
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Steve got caught again.
> >
> Steve was wrong.
>
> No, Steve wasn't.

stvie IS wrong

but will not admit it
>
> But it will be fun to watch Brainless try to substantiate that.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2058. Re: More Markie Mularkie To Muddle Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:08:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <C2PEe.28$bS3.619646@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113381

K4YZ:

Well, we may have all been guilty of that a time or two, but just might take some of longer to learn from our mistakes--tell ya the truth, I have been dishonest with a couple of women early on in my life to attempt to impress 'em. I suppose I can forgive another for such silliness...

On the gender identification problem, that IS and DOES SEEM TO BE a real problem and stumbling block for him. I think he is full well to wage battle for decades in the attempt to get people to accept him which consider such a "lifestyle" (and I use that word loosely) PERVERSION!!!!

The militarys' suggest of, "Don't ask, don't tell..." is about the best I can accommodate such people with...

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122204360.303183.137630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > an_old_pervert:
>> >
>> > Hey, I own a set of that "male genitalia" you speak of, gotten
>> > quite a
>> > bit of use and enjoyment out of it too!
>> >
>> > Now you accuse me of being "genitalia-phobic" in addition to your
>> > accusations of my being "homophobic?"
>>
>> not unless you are in fact Stevie k4yz
>
> John...you'll have to forgive Markie...He was a regular in this
> forum in the late 90's, then he blew off. Then we only had Lennie
> and
> brain as our regular miscreants, but at least they can spell and put
> a
> sentence together.
>
> Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a
> consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely
> sounds

> like it's gender related get's him all wound up.
>
> I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an
> absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar.
> His
> previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army
> Chemical
> Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely
> hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie
> would
> have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)
>
> Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's
> quite
> entertaining!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2059. Is oliver realy Stevie they look much the same

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:09:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122221366.770495.278110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113366

olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > > In article
hack
> > > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> > >
> > > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> >
> > and banging my head around would help
>
> Almost anything would be an improvement. So you *do* have a steel plate
> in your skull. Interesting confession there.

no such confession
>
> > Gee you sure are you Stevie
>
> English, stupid. Do you know it?

it is english

gee you are looking more and more like stevie

2060. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:10:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <74PEe.29$rH4.691274@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

oliver:

Really, hmmm, seems to work for me...

LOL!!!!!

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122218169.101772.73720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Stagger Lee wrote:
>> On 23 Jul 2005 12:34:00 -0700, olivermartin15170@yahoo.com <Secwet
>> Woger> wrote:
>> :
>> :
>> : John Smith wrote:
>> : > oh yeah, and the business, ever heard of a proxy? A NNTP/SMTP
>> proxy?
>> : >
>> : > ROFLOL!!!!
>> : >
>> : > Gawd, the boy is a regular sherlock holmes!!! But, bit of a
>> slow
>> : > one... :(
>> : >
>> : > uhhh, you can proxy though anyone setting up a home mailserver
>> too...
>> :
>> : Indeed. Like an msn proxy.
>>
>> Except
>
> Except you have it wrong, as usual.
>

2061. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:11:19 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122221479.371519.240100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113367

John Smith wrote:
> Bert:
>
> Interesting idea.
>
> No question pools!!!
>
> Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant
> material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the
> instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.
>
> Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em
> nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!
>
> I just love the original ideas people come up with here...
>
> John

Jim/N2EY was the original "No Test International" proponent.

But the Cecil/Jim proposal has a serious flaw. Few if any VE's have
the ability to differentiate a right solution from a wrong solution.
They might need to have Len@ieee.org look over their shoulder's. Hi!

bb

> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:CANEe.13034$_x.155@fe11.lga...
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1122210676.625576.65210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> Note the date.
> >>
> >> http://tinyurl.com/bg5gc
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > It was never about CW/Morse code, just the effort required to learn
> > it. Requiring anything for which there is no open question/answer
> > pool will generate the same bellyaching, including the Smith Chart.

It came down to a cost/benefit analysis. None of the services had the
time or money to continue training people to emulate a modem. As an
antiquated radio service, amateur radio can force people to do things
that no other service is willing to do.

2062. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:11:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <i5PEe.30$Mn3.571502@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

oliver:

Actually, I would suggest no one EVER get a msn account...

... I suggest you use someone elses, by proxy...

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122218640.937802.32570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> And besides, *you* said Roger lost his MSN account.
>

2063. oliver blows it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:16:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122221766.101933.113140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > oliver:
> >
> > yeah, well, what comes around goes around,
>
> John, you just remember that.
>
> > improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...
>
> Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have you.

that does not invole a woman even if it is somehow possible in Johns
case

which i doubt
>
>

2064. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:18:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122221899.024786.242600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113369

Bert Craig wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122210676.625576.65210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Note the date.
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/bg5gc
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> It was never about CW/Morse code, just the effort required to learn it.

the US Govt is not supoosed to engage in S&M as part of public policy

> Requiring anything for which there is no open question/answer pool will
> generate the same bellyaching, including the Smith Chart.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

2065. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:20:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BdPEe.31$5N4.719896@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113372

Commander:

At 6'2" and 200 lbs with no fat, I have never had to cower in fear of many...

However, I would expect it much more likely I would enjoy a pleasing verbal exchange with the decent fellow--if ever I was to sit down and have a chat with one of the fellows... and you know, by the time you become an astronaut, petty name calling and taunts from most would not even be heard, let alone responded to...

Life is never full of conflict--unless you go hunting it...

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:iPydnUEplObxmX7fRVn-vg@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> Yes. But do they use pencils like the russians, or pens like our
>> girly-men astronauts. That is the important question now, isn't
>> it?
>> <grin>
>>
>> John
>
> Bet you wouldn't stand face-to-face with the astronuats and call
> them 'girly-men'.

2066. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:23:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZfPEe.32$7A3.592964@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113371

K4YZ:

Everyone should know how to use anonymous remailers, pgp, proxies,
etc...

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122204650.273354.116530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an old friend wrote:
>> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
>> > > > In article
>> > > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
>> > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>> > > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull
>> > > > > > de-magnetized.
>> > > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an
>> > > > > > electromagnet.
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been
>> > > > > stevie
>> > > >
>> > > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As
>> > > > usual.
>> > > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
>> > >
>> > > why on the say so of
>> > > spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
>> >
>> > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
>>
>> and banging my head around would help
>>
>> Gee you sure are you Stevie
>
> Nope.

>
> I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.
>
> But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2067. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:27:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kkPEe.34$_D4.677964@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113378

too late, he/they had his chance when I was their (grin) in college
and the professors could enact there (grin) punishments...

... out here it is "Naa Naa, Na, Naa!!!"...

Let 'em stick they're (grin) punishments!!!

LOL!!!

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:JPCEe.16124$aY6.9515@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Bruce wrote:
>> Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
> No, but my English teacher in high school said that
> he'd give anyone who misused there/they're/their
> on their compositions an F...

2068. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:44:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QzPEe.35$q55.797823@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113377

... well said without wasted text...

John

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:wdKEe.47876$up5.18148@lakeread02...
> Bruce wrote:
>
>> Did anyone think the losers wouldn't get there way?
>
> here's a phrase for you: adapt or die.
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that
> intelligence
> has its limits.

2069. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 16:53:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sIPEe.37$7c5.852043@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113375

commander:

Well, now they have virtually lost it all--at least all the bands to CB'ers...

I can see how that will now prompt them to whine and pee all over themselves...

Awareness, denial, acceptance is the progression with which new ideas and methods are adopted--most amateurs whose greatest accomplishment in life has been to pass a CW test and grab a license will now be stuck in the denial phase a long time now... they just lost their whole world, image and ego!

Even the slowest of citizens will now realize that being an amateur has never really been of any real merit or standing--and darn CB'er can use a damn radio!

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:iPydnUYplOZNnn7fRVn-vg@gbronline.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > No.
>>
>> These olde-tyme hammes will do ALL the "help" just as they
>> have "helped" others in the past.
>>
>> For example, in 1958 the FCC deallocated the 11m band from
>> hams and created Class C and D CB. Oh, the Hue and Cry they
>> raised in the US of A! Imagine, below-30-MHz privileges
>> WITHOUT TESTING FOR MORSE CODE! Imagine, NO TEST AT ALL TO
>> GET A FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED LICENSE!!
>>
>> All them olde-tymers quickly got into action to Demand Their
>> Big Band Sound BACK! They're still working on getting it
>> back. :-)
>
>
> And like other fools you attempt to keep the myth alive. In 40+
> years of hamming I have never heard one ham (that inclueds the
> olde-tyme hammes) that complained about about the loss of 11 meters.
> The hams had 10 meters where they operated and rarely used 11, so
> the loss to the ham community was nothing.

2070. Re: oliver blows it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 17:15:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <w0QEe.40$f95.821411@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend:

I am dating and thinking about female companionship. Problem is, I end up judging them against my ex-wife, an amazingly gifted and intelligent woman--I never realized there were so few such as her in the world... <frown>

However, most of the female professors/instructors at college are married, or have gross physical attributes which removes them from consideration. All of the women at my corp. are either married or dim witted secretaries and receptionists!

Any woman with a degree in math, engineering or the sciences, if at least tolerable to the eye, I examine and are up for consideration... unfortunately, the guys ain't stupid out there and have already seemed to have grabbed up the good ones!

Frankly, I may have to wait for one of those guys to die and court the girl they leave behind!
<evil-plotting-girn>

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122221766.101933.113140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > oliver:
>> >
>> > yeah, well, what comes around goes around,
>>
>> John, you just remember that.
>>
>> > improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...
>>
>> Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have
>> you.
>
> that does not invole a woman even if it is somehow possible in
> Johns
> case
>
> which i doubt

>>
>>
>

2071. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 17:37:34 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <amelon@amelonanonpatch.net>
Message-ID: <dc0jce$gc2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> a.k.a. Secwet Woger wrote in message
news:1122218169.101772.73720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Except you have it wrong, as usual.
>

Woger, errrr Oliver, could you please tell me where I
can obtain a Secwet Woger decoder ring? I have been
twying and twyining but cant find one. Dont get angwy.

Lloyd

2072. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 17:48:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JLidnZVpEIvrSX7fRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113379

K4YZ wrote:
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>
>>
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>
>
>>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>>>
>>> Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>>>insecure?
>>
>> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period. They grant the licenses for
>> same.
>
>
> Your "answer" doesn't answer the question, Lennie.

Seldom does. I didn't reply before, because I couldn't figure out just
how to reply.

> Poor attempt at a redirect.
>
>
>> Try to stay focussed.
>
>
> "Focused", Lennie.
>
> Webster's refers. Or are you just "mad" again...?!?!
>
>
>>>> "Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
>>>> with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
>>>> individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
>>>> that skill goes back 161 years! :-)
>>>
>>> Lots of bright students don't want to learn anything that they don't

>>>think is relevant.
>>
>> Har! That's one of the WEAKEST arguments mumbled by so many.
>
>
> No, it's not.
>
> It's still as "real" today as it was when I was in grade school,
> and probably when your grandfather was in grade school, Lennie.
>
> I don't think I've ever met any student in any learning enviroment
> that didn't want to "cut through the BS" and jsut "learn what I need to
> know..."

There are plenty enough of that type running around.

It is one of the defining elements of the truly ignorant. The remark
must be answered with:

Tell me everything you are ever going to do in your career, and we'll
get started on what you need to know.

>
>> The FCC is NOT an academic institution and licensees are NOT
>> "students"...NOT even prospective licensees going for a test.
>
>
> (Oh jeeze...same Lennie crap, fermented and dropped on the door
> step again...)
>
> But Part 97 DOES mandate that the Amateur Radio Service serve as a
> training enviroment for self-education and training.
>
> Unless they set the goals, what are they to train for?
>
>
>> Actually, that "argument" is total bull#### OUT of the academic
>> arena.
>
>
> You and Markie must have shareware'd that dictionary of
> profanities, Lennie.

Yuck - potty mouth ;^)

>
>> ALL the OTHER radio services (except maritime radio on
>> the Great Lakes) have GIVEN UP on morse code for communications.
>
>
> A-hem.....
>

> (reading back across header on top...
> 'rec.radio.AMATEUR.policy'....)

I'll bet it's hotter down south than it is in the summer, too!

>
>> It simply hasn't proven to be "better" than other modes, takes
>> longer, and no longer "gets through" better.
>
>
> "Takes longer" than what? You taking that Extra right out of the
> box?
>
>
>> All you are doing with that "argument" is really enforcing a
>> sort of tribal myth, aka a "hazing" ritual. Note: The FCC
>> isn't a fraternity house either.
>
>
> And you're lying again. Sheeesh...couldn't keep it to even
> marginally valid arguments, could you...?!?!
>
>
>> I really do NOT know what YOU are writing about...some of the time.
>
>
> Funny...
>
> We've thought the same of you a LOT of the times...

>
>>> Everyone is hated by someone. If a person allows themselves to be
>>>bothered by it, they are a poor baby indeed.
>>
>> No, sweetums, YOU got the non-sequitur. Note what I said about
>> K4YZ: Anyone simply disagreeing with him is ACCUSED to be
>> HATING HAM RADIO! Search all you want through Google and you
>> will find him using that "phrase of hate." Do YOU want to be
>> like him?
>
>
> No hate, Lennie.
>
> I just don't tolerate liars.

And I don't always agree with you. Which kinda blows his theory out of
the water.

> You're a documented liar. You're more verbose and grammatically
> correct than other liars in this forum (specifically N0IMD and KB9RQZ)
> but you're a liar none-the-less.
>

>
>>>> Feeling "hated" because so few agree with your self-proclaimed
>>>> "definitions" of What It Is All About?
>>>
>>> If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is true, it remains a
>>>foolish thing.
>>
>> "50 million people in WHAT?" Where are you dreaming today?
>>
>> Hello? Concentrate on the thread subject.

Okay, I'll slow down and explain.

See, like someone goes like: "Feeling "hated" because so few agree with
your self-proclaimed "definitions" of What It Is All About?".

Then like I go like: "If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is
true, it remains a foolish thing.

It's like if so few agree with my definitions, its like tons and tons
of people don't agree with my definitions, you know - totally.

So I was going like: those tons and tons of people, like maybe 50
million of them, don't agree you know?, and like maybe I think they are
like wrong, and like maybe if I am not wrong, like maybe they are still
wrong, and like just cuz theres like tons and tons of them, that won't
like make it right, you know?

Whew....

>
> EVERYONE PAY ATTENTION.
>
> The newsgroup moderator has spoken.
>
> Carry on, Lennie...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Can I go to the bathroom now????

- Mike KB3EIA -

2073. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 17:55:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122227739.851552.323190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113382

From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 05:53

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
>
>> While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
>> in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
>> accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
>
> Nope.

What do you mean "nope," opie?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

What you use then, big PCTA extra of the Double Standard, a
couple crates of crystals?!?!?

> The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
>ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.

Yeah, riiiight...the extra can do "laying on of hands" and
instantly tell (by magic of some kind of telepathy) what
frequency he is tuned to....

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> It is additionally noted that Leonard H Anderson had nothing to do
>with the creation for the aforementioned PLL or DDS that he's
>attempting to brag on.

Tsk, tsk. The fire in your hate-filled eyes is robbing you
of any comprehension of what was written.

NOBODY was "bragging" about PLLs or DDSs...just pointing out
that they exist. The PLL was first innovated in France in
1932. The ball-point pen was innovated in Hungary in the
1930s. You don't see any connection chronologically?
No, you can't...you are WAY too busy trying trying to trash
those who won't agree with you.

>> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
>> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
>> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
>> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
>> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
>
> NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???

Again, you FAIL in reading comprehension...but, what the hell, you've probably never read "NASA Technology Review" issues, either. :-)

NASA Public Relations TAILORS and CRAFTS their words very carefully...as ALL good marketing types do. They can CREATE the IMPRESSION of things in their favor...as what ALL good marketting types do. All they have to do is some word re-arranging and some convenient OMISSION of certain things and those who read/listen/view what they are talking about will do the "myth creation" for them. Examples:

"Ball point pen invented by NASA." Not so...it was invented before NASA existed.

"TANG" (the breakfast drink) came out of NASA as a drink for astronauts. Not so...it was invented for consumer use and to make money.

"Velcro was invented by NASA" to hold things in place in zero gravity. Not so. It's obvious that it does so but the invention was for the clothing industry.

"Teflon was invented by NASA" to withstand the rigors of spaceflight, etc. Not so. Fluoroethylene chemistry came out of needs of the Manhattan Project to use in extracting uranium and plutonium...which was later commercialized by DuPont as a polymer.

ALL of those common myths are further reinforced by the everyday journalists and news editors who simply take news copy and "run with it" in their media. Everyone sees it in print (real ink on real paper) or sees it on TV (TV never lies in its news)...and they accept it as "fact."

>> For an example of cross-pollination of myths, the "space
>> amateur radio" carried on by space station and (previous)
>> shuttle astronauts is done almost entirely by no-code-test
>> Technician class licensed astronauts. It is part of their
>> overall task assignment (every astronaut must adhere to
>> NASA PR rules) and relatively minor in relation to all that
>> they must do. Contrary to the fantasy of some, astronauts
>> did not become hams first, THEN astronauts.
>
> Some of them certainly do. Witness Owen Garriot, W5LFL. The
>FIRST Amateur-Astronaut to operate from the Space Shuttle.

Believe at least one thing: There's NO such thing as an AMATEUR astronaut. Each and every one of them is a professional in what they do. If anyone wants to read DOCTOR Garriott's bio, just go to:

http://www.jsc.nasa.gov/Bios/htmlbios/garriott-ok.html

This 74-year-old FORMER astronaut has got a resume there that makes ordinary terrestrial mortals look puny. Doctor Garriott has been a PROFESSIONAL in aeronautics, as both astronaut and academic, all his life. It should be obvious to anyone reading that bio. To you, all you can read is a tiny paragraph about Skylab 3 (first flight of only two) and using an amateur callsign.

About the only space flyers who come even close to the "amateur" description are the two with money who rode on Russian rockets and they had to go through a rigorous half-year training program to be allowed to do so. The two pilots of private-venture Space Ship One were long-time professionals prior to being hired by Scaled Composites, Inc.

> I know that facts aren't your forte, Lennie...Sorry to bust your
>rant.

The ONLY thing YOU "busted" was - once again - that you don't know dink of what you talk about. You are a poseur, a fraud, trying to make yourself look like somebody "important" when you don't know dink, haven't done dink in space OR aeronautics.

Quit trying to read the ARRL "news" bulletins as if they are the primo word on "radio." That will help you overcome your quite-obvious IGNORANCE. Maybe. I don't have optimism that you can...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[Life Member of IEEE, as is Dr. Garriott]

2074. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 17:58:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122227886.652264.141780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113383

From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 23:04

>Hello, John
>
>Yep, and I'm gonna laugh my *ss off when they start using the FM band (oh -
>I forgot, they already are) and the AM band. I hope they clobber your ball
>game on dish network too ;)
>
>The reality is that somehow there has to be some regulation. Ever been on a
>freeway and encountered some idiot (likely drunk) going the wrong way?
>
>Heck, if it gets bad, I can handle it. It isn't the number of guns you
>have, it's who you shoot.
>
>25 feet of bell wire on a spark plug to a lawn mower will kill the idiot
>running 25 kw screaming "audiooooo....". If he can't hear 'em, he can't
>work 'em.

Malicious interference to anyone is still malicious interference.
By the existing regulations. You are the one with the license
that can be pulled, NOT the "25 kw" freebander.

Where do you find this mythical freebander running TWENTY FIVE
KILOWATTS?!? [only in newsgroups is where...]

>That is just a very simple "for openers".
>
>I've got more twists than a snake, but hope I'll not need them :)))
>
>I mention only the obvious; I'll not reveal the really nasty stuff that is
>hard to track ..... pins in coax doesn't count; too simple.

You aren't thinking sneaky enough. Try benign acts...such as a
very short wrapping of cellophane tape on any PL-259 plug
center pin. With only one turn wrapping it will insulate that
pin very nicely. Using transparent tape instead of translucent
"magic" tape will make it less easy to spot.

Or get an old auto of the 50s or earlier, do an insulated
wrapping of one of the old plug wires to the existing radio
antenna on the car. Radiates malicious interference very
nicely. If you are caught, blame the auto repair place.

Not to worry. Riley H. knows where to send the federal
marshalls to go get ya...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2075. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 17:59:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122227965.960696.155270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113384

John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:
>
> Everyone should know how to use anonymous remailers, pgp, proxies,
> etc...
>
> John

looks like I need to investagte that field of knowledge myself I had
hoped...

>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122204650.273354.116530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> >> > > > In article
> >> > > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> >> > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> >> > > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull
> >> > > > > > de-magnetized.
> >> > > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an
> >> > > > > > electromagnet.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been
> >> > > > > > stevie
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As
> >> > > > > usual.
> >> > > > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
> >> > > >
> >> > > why on the say so of
> >> > > spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> >> >
> >> > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> >>

> >> and banging my head around would help
> >>
> >> Gee you sure are you Stevie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.
> >
> > But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >

2076. Re: So the CBplussers got there way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:00:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122228036.537269.47440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113385

From: "John Smith" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 17:49

>John:
>
>I think he is referring to the fact that the old guys who had snuck
>and were getting the FCC to protect amateur radio so they could sit
>there, pass gass and rant with their buds as the ones who would lose
>if CW falls, and he is right. And, it is right that it happens...
>
>Wouldn't you say?
>
>John
>
>"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message

You two have it nailed and in public view.

These olde-tyme hammes have been in hamme raddio for decades,
working 8 hours a day, 6 days a week, at their ham rigs,
living the fantasy of being top-notch "radio operators." :-)

They want THEIRS (rightfully theirs by divine right or
something...the gods of radio talk to them when they
don't work DX on HF with CW. Ain't no room for "druthers."

Hence those that just want a HOBBY, a fun relaxation, a
recreation involving various radio arts, are MISFITS who
don't deserve a damn thing. :-)

The Mighty Macho Morsemen >>ARE<< ham radio...as the ARRL
has always implied in print for yarns and yarns. Ask them.
After looking down their noses they will scornfully reply
OF COURSE WE ARE in stentorian tones of immodesty.

Mighty Macho Morsemen have always considered "consensus"
to be equivalent to "capitulation" (to their desires).
Those who give in to their personal wishes are "good for
ham radio" while those who object are "bad." Simple rule.
[simple minds] [selfish minds]

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2077. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:04:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122228244.690027.213240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113386

From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Sat 23 Jul 2005 21:52

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> For example, in 1958 the FCC deallocated the 11m band from
>> hams and created Class C and D CB. Oh, the Hue and Cry they
>> raised in the US of A! Imagine, below-30-MHz privileges
>> WITHOUT TESTING FOR MORSE CODE! Imagine, NO TEST AT ALL TO
>> GET A FEDERALLY-AUTHORIZED LICENSE!!
>>
>> All them olde-tymers quickly got into action to Demand Their
>> Big Band Sound BACK! They're still working on getting it
>> back. :-)
>
>And like other fools you attempt to keep the myth alive.

Nope. That's not a "myth" but ACTUAL FACT. Look at Broose
and his constant "CBplusser" snarlings. "Lid" like operation
is commonly referred to as "CB" activity. All kinds of
nastygrams are sent when olde-tyme hammes have a need to
cuss out someone. :-)

> In 40+ years of
>hamming I have never heard one ham (that inclueds the olde-tyme hammes)
>that complained about about the loss of 11 meters.

Tsk. You obviously don't get out much and your hearing is
way worse than Coslo's.

Go to the Comments at the FCC ECFS on WT Docket 05-235. All
them olde-tymers complaining about ham radio gonna be "worse
than CB!" (along with the threats of the sky falling, etc.).

> The hams had 10
>meters where they operated and rarely used 11, so the loss to the ham
>community was nothing.

Yeah, yeah, tell us all about olde-tymer. In 1958 you were
just another pretty face on the TV tube (in black and white)
doing adventures in space. Very heroic. Your limited fan
base must have swelled your cranium past the ability to sense
ordinary terrestrial hobby activities in radio.

Buzziebaby, be prepared to go digital by 2009. And get some
better writers, okay? Your scripts in here are a POS.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2078. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:07:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122228441.873068.302360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113387

From: "Kim" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 16:11

>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:DpSdnVJk5d9SL3zfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com...
>> Kim wrote:

>> My sole failing is that I get a bit of enjoyment in knowing that that
>> irritates the crap out of some people for some reason! 8^)
>
>Aw, that's not a failing. Think about it: it's mostly a failing of THEIRS
>to get irritated. :)

Tsk. Ask the Coslonaut how his "reaching the threshold of space"
project is going. :-)

>> Well okay - its not my only failing. Mr Anderson and Mr Smith can
>> probably fill you in on the others....
>>
>I won't speak of Len. I think he's a great agitator and I love great
>agitators (from an observing perspective, mind you--I can't stand being in
>it myself...LOL).

You are IN the agitation tub whenever you post in here...

Agitation is necessary to get the DIRT out. Think of alternate
viewpoints as a kind of "laundry" to get things clean again...

> "Mr. Smith" is somewhat disturbed and that concerns me.
>However, once on guard with someone and I am always pretty much on guard
>with that person. I have a feeling he's a bit of a misfit and plays to the
>meek minded--no offense to anyone.

Ahem...what did you say about "agitation" above? :-)

More than likely, "Mr. Smith" is simply observing the scene
and is UNAFRAID to venture a personal opinion on the scene. :-)

>> Okay, for them, but I'm not going to change my mind.

[Mike, "we" don't give a damn whether you change or not...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[a clean nuclear bomb...a detergent weapon...]

2079. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:20:48 UTC
From: Lyman <notme@glendale>
Message-ID: <dc0m4f$sb5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> Aw, gee, John. Fess up. You really didn't "forget", did you?
> Stagger simply pointed out a fact you were not aware of.
>
> Nice attempt at back pedaling, however.
> You almost pulled it off.

Why are you using this nick, when you could just answer him as Stagger
Lee?
/////////

For the same reason you don't use your name, Roger.

2080. Re: oliver blows it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:23:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122229423.129902.273810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> I am dating and thinking about female companionship. Problem is, I end
> up judging them against my ex-wife, an amazingly gifted and
> intelligent woman--I never realized there were so few such as her in
> the world... <frown>

think you missed the point ole boy

If you followed oli "sugestion then their would be no woman involed
>
> However, most of the female professors/instructors at college are
> married, or have gross physical attributes which removes them from
> consideration. All of the women at my corp. are either married or dim
> witted secretaries and receptionists!
>
> Any woman with a degree in math, engineering or the sciences, if at
> least tolerable to the eye, I examine and are up for consideration...
> unfortunately, the guys ain't stupid out there and have already seemed
> to have grabbed up the good ones!
>
> Frankly, I may have to wait for one of those guys to die and court the
> girl they leave behind!
> <evil-plotting-girn>
>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122221766.101933.113140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > oliver:
> >> >
> >> > yeah, well, what comes around goes around,
> >>
> >> John, you just remember that.
> >>
> >> > improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...
> >>
> >> Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have
> >> you.

> >
> > that does not invole a woman even if it is somehow possible in
> > Johns
> > case
> >
> > which i doubt
> >>
> >>
> >

2081. 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:30:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122229804.076299.9650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113390

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 23:04
>
> >Hello, John
> >
>

cut
> >25 feet of bell wire on a spark plug to a lawn mower will kill the idiot
> >running 25 kw screaming "audiooooo....". If he can't hear 'em, he can't
> >work 'em.
>
> Malicious interference to anyone is still malicious interference.
> By the existing regulations. You are the one with the license
> that can be pulled, NOT the "25 kw" freebander.
>
> Where do you find this mythical freebander running TWENTY FIVE
> KILOWATTS?!? [only in newsgroups is where...]

I'd love to see such a station just for the experence I can hardly
imagine it

>
hack

2082. bigtry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:33:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122230035.713566.200120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113391

cut
>
> On the gender identification problem, that IS and DOES SEEM TO BE a

what gender Id problem I know male and female I am aware of the various
cases where some question can up

> real problem and stumbling block for him. I think he is full well to
> wage battle for decades in the attempt to get people to accept him
> which consider such a "lifestyle" (and I use that word loosely)
> PERVERSION!!!!

why is Ham radio so bigoted?

indeed in other threads it seem one the worstthings people can do to
each other is call them homosexual

why do so many hams care who is sleeping with whom
>
> The militarys' suggest of, "Don't ask, don't tell..." is about the
> best I can accommodate such people with...

then when do you start trying to get stev
>
> John
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122204360.303183.137630@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > an_old_pervert:
> >> >
> >> > Hey, I own a set of that "male genitalia" you speak of, gotten
> >> > quite a
> >> > bit of use and enjoyment out of it too!
> >> >
> >> > Now you accuse me of being "genitalia-phobic" in addition to your
> >> > accusations of my being "homophobic?"
> >>
> >> not unless you are in fact Stevie k4yz
> >
> > John...you'll have to forgive Markie...He was a regular in this
> > forum in the late 90's, then he blew off. Then we only had Lennie
> > and

> > brain as our regular miscreants, but at least they can spell and put
> > a
> > sentence together.
> >
> > Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a
> > consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely
> > sounds
> > like it's gender related get's him all wound up.
> >
> > I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an
> > absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar.
> > His
> > previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army
> > Chemical
> > Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely
> > hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie
> > would
> > have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)
> >
> > Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's
> > quite
> > entertaining!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >

2083. bigtry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:34:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122230091.700614.258900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113392

cut
>
> On the gender identification problem, that IS and DOES SEEM TO BE a

what gender Id problem I know male and female I am aware of the various
cases where some question can up

> real problem and stumbling block for him. I think he is full well to
> wage battle for decades in the attempt to get people to accept him
> which consider such a "lifestyle" (and I use that word loosely)
> PERVERSION!!!!

why is Ham radio so bigoted?

indeed in other threads it seem one the worstthings people can do to
each other is call them homosexual

why do so many hams care who is sleeping with whom
>
> The militarys' suggest of, "Don't ask, don't tell..." is about the
> best I can accommodate such people with...

then when do you start trying to get steve

and a favor if you please finish the statement don't ask don't tell
DON"T PURSUE, which is how it was written

>
> John
>
>

2084. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 18:37:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122230221.642850.222520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113393

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> >
> > . . . five dollar 12V ARC-5 Jeep radios . . . or were they 6V?
>
> I've seen 12 volt ARC-5s (special units from a collection) and it was
> no hard task to convert them for six volts. Easy compared to
> homebrewing.

. . mmmm . . maybe mine was a 24V unit . . heh . . The only mods I
made to my 80M version to change it from a military version to a
Novice ham version were (1) rewired the filaments (2) yanked the the
tubes in the calibration circuit and circular filed 'em (3)
disconnected the roller inductor loading coil from the PA tank circuit
(4) fired it up and used a flourescent lamp tube taped to the antenna
wire to find the "hot spot" along tank coil and soldered the end of the
wire to that spot on the coil and took it to the airwaves.

> > Founders exits aside it was the "adjusting to the new
> > market realities"
> > which knocked out the U.S. radio builders. GM is
> > still trying to catch
> > up with Honda. Darwin prevails.
>
> Darwin got some help in those areas, though. Detroit spent the '50s and
> '60s building big cars and was completely surprised by the oil
> embargoes. American electronics manufacturers, run
> by "PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO", didn't know how

I can see him now, sternly lecturing Art Collins about how to design a
ham rig . . .

> to compete with
> Japanese products. The rest is history.

. . . Darwin treated those of us out here in the trenches well . . he
did not treat Detroit, Collins or Drake well . .

> > > Or look at the SB-101 from the mid 1960s. $369 for the rig,
> > > almost
> > > another hundred for the power supply, CW filter and
> > > speaker. Say $450 -
> > > for a kit! What's that in today's dollars?
> >
> > Beats me but the point is there.

>
> http://www.westegg.com/inflation
>
> says:
>
> $629 in 1975 inflates to $2355.99 in 2005

Slick. Love those little Java calculators.

> and
>
> $450 in 1965 inflates to $2681.16 in 2005
>
> Either of those will buy quite a bit more rig than a TS-520S or SB-101.

I did a "reverse" run. I paid $500 for my little TS-50 HF mobile xcvr a
few years ago which is far more radio than either of those boat
anchors. I would have paid $99.25 in 1970. Which is about what one of
the early clunker CB SSB xcvrs cost in those days.

If I paid $500 for it in 1965 it would be $2,900+ today, I coulda
bought an S-Line for $2,900.

Ahhh . . the "good old days" . . but enough of wallowing in 'em . .
ONWARD!

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2085. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:07:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9GREe.64$147.1564792@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113461

... search the net out there...

... there are 50KW monster mobiles in big rigs running multiple industrial alternators...

... they are more show than go (even the FCC would take interest in those rigs if they about all the time!), and you see them at the monster RF get togethers back east in Tennessee and surrounding states...

I have walked though such a "meet" (they are kinda like "car shows") before with a 4 ft. fluorescent tube, amazing, the ether is filled with RF!!!

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122229804.076299.9650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 23:04
>>
>> >Hello, John
>> >
>>
>
> cut
>> >25 feet of bell wire on a spark plug to a lawn mower will kill the idiot
>> >running 25 kw screaming "audiooooo....". If he can't hear 'em, he can't
>> >work 'em.
>>
>> Malicious interference to anyone is still malicious interference.
>> By the existing regulations. You are the one with the license
>> that can be pulled, NOT the "25 kw" freebander.
>>
>> Where do you find this mythical freebander running TWENTY FIVE
>> KILOWATTS?!? [only in newsgroups is where...]
>
> I'd love to see such a station just for the experence I can hardly
> imagine it
>
>>
> hack
>

2086. Re: bigtry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:12:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WKREe.65$2a7.1630257@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113462

an_old_friend:

I am also a bigot against drug addicts in my neighborhood, I am bigoted against child molesters in my neighborhood, thieves, crooks, mobsters, crooked lawyers, crooked politicians, serial killers...

Hey, sometimes ya just gotta be a bigot! I can live with that...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122230091.700614.258900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> cut
>>
>> On the gender identification problem, that IS and DOES SEEM TO BE a
>
> what gender Id problem I know male and female I am aware of the various
> cases where some question can up
>
>> real problem and stumbling block for him. I think he is full well to
>> wage battle for decades in the attempt to get people to accept him
>> which consider such a "lifestyle" (and I use that word loosely)
>> PERVERSION!!!!
>
> why is Ham radio so bigoted?
>
> indeed in other threads it seem one the worstthings people can do to
> each other is call them homosexual
>
> why do so many hams care who is sleeping with whom
>>
>> The militarys' suggest of, "Don't ask, don't tell..." is about the
>> best I can accommodate such people with...
>
> then when do you start trying to get steve
>
> and a favor if you please finish the statement don't ask don't tell
> DON"T PURSUE, which is how it was written
>
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>

2087. Re: Is oliver realy Stevie they look much the same

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:14:55 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122232495.014243.180790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113394

an_old_friend wrote:
> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > > > In article
> hack
> > > > > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> > > >
> > > > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> > >
> > > and banging my head around would help
> >
> > Almost anything would be an improvement. So you *do* have a steel plate
> > in your skull. Interesting confession there.
>
> no such confession

Learn to read, or at least lay off the booze.

> > > Gee you sure are you Stevie
> >
> > English, stupid. Do you know it?
>
> it is english

No, idiot. It may pass as English within your mentally challenged
circle of friends, but not with everybody else.

> gee you are looking more and more like stevie

And you are looking more and more like you are a retard.

2088. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:15:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122232558.423583.38180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113395

From: "b.b." on Sun 24 Jul 2005 09:11

>John Smith wrote:
>> Bert:
>>
>> Interesting idea.
>>
>> No question pools!!!
>>
>> Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant
>> material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the
>> instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.
>>
>> Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em
>> nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!
>>
>> I just love the original ideas people come up with here...
>>
>> John
>
>Jim/N2EY was the original "No Test International" proponent.
>
>But the Cecil/Jim proposal has a serious flaw. Few if any VE's have
>the ability to differentiate a right solution from a wrong solution.

Brian, ya have to cut "john smith" some slack in here...he
hasn't seen the Battle Royals of the Mighty Macho Morsemen
against the no-code-test forces of evil in older days. :-)

Absolutely the BEST in my mind was the wonderfully-done
parody of No Code International called "No SSB International"
and 'sponsored' by Jim Kehler, KH2D. A whole website devoted
to entirely destroying any no-code-test movements. Alas, no
more "No SSB International" but NCI is still chugging right
along. Kehler left Guam and moved to the states, hasn't been
in here much, but his satirical bite can still be felt on
his own little home page.

Jimmie Noserve tried his best satirical rant with the "NTI"
thing and drew a blank. He was trying to pick up on Smith
Charts as a diatribe-weapon, falling short on his attempts
at making such knowledge sound foolish.

"Smith Charts" aren't ham lore. Smith Charts are a terrific
aid in seeing solutions to complex impedances over frequency
but Phil Smith's wonderful diagram came out of pre-WW2

testing of telephone lines! Telephony involves voice and
the Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of voice! That the
entire RF industry picked up on Smith Charts, used them, even
had the format hardwired into test instrumentation, is beside
the point. Jimmie, a supposed professional in electronics,
despises professionalism, the rest of the RF world, and any
no-coder within spitting distance.

>They might need to have Len@ieee.org look over their shoulder's. Hi!

BWAAAAHAAAHAHAHAHAH!!!!

Brian, I doubt there's enough money in the ARRL treasury to
pay me for that task! :-)

The FCC is NOT an academic organization and its "tests" are
just regulatory tools, a part of the licensing process the FCC
uses to regulate ALL of U.S. civil radio. It's no more than
that...but lots and lots of hams are into the FANTASY that it
shows some "expertise" in radio. Such test passings show
ONLY that an individual has passed a few tests. Note: Some
like to puff up their chests and say "they are authorized
by the federal government(!)" as if they were junior G-men.

Privatized radio operator testing is a part of NOW and has
been for several years. [privatization of other government
regulations has been the norm too] As such, multiple-choice
questions are the logical choice for private testers...avoids
the need for testing the testers on their ability to discern
correct written responses from wrong ones. That avoids all
the layering of beaurocracy that so many bitch about. The
multiple-choice format has been around for decades and there's
nothing actually wrong with it (except in the newsgroup
environment where everyone seems to be an "expert" on testing).

Further, the FCC only states that a MINIMUM of ten questions
for each of the required questions per written test element
for a class be generated. There is NO LIMIT on the maximum
number! The questions (and answers) themselves left
ENTIRELY up to the VEC Question Pool Committee. The VEC QPC
is made up ONLY of licensed radio amateurs. By law.

Those who piss and moan about "today's multiple-choice" need
to contact the VEC QPC...their fellows in the 'amateur
community.' Open Pools are NOT going away as long as
privatized testing exists. All that "memorization" BS is
just BS by the olde-tymers who probably haven't taken a
single written test of any kind in over a decade (except
maybe a driver's license thing, depending on their state).

Could anyone "memorize" a thousand questions...along with
the single correct answer against three other incorrect
answers? Might be possible for stage actors, I don't know

for sure. I'm only acquainted with a few TV and screen actors of less than stellar rank...they have learned to memorize dialogue LINES, at least enough for a day's shooting (perhaps 6 to 8 pages of standard-format script).

So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers, and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test. That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little value.

U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY, not an occupation, not some vital service to the nation. I just wish that more would treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of their clouded imaginations about their "federal authorization" license and imagined self-importance.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2089. Re: Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:16:45 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122232605.749710.199070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113396

an_old_friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > K4YZ:
> >
> > Everyone should know how to use anonymous remailers, pgp, proxies,
> > etc...
> >
> > John
>
> looks like I need to investagte that field of knowledge myself I had
> hoped...

You should save your money and spend it on getting an English tutor.

> >
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1122204650.273354.116530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > >> > > > In article
> > >> > > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > >> > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >> > > > >
> > >> > > > >
> > >> > > > >
> > >> > > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > >> > > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull
> > >> > > > > > de-magnetized.
> > >> > > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an
> > >> > > > > > electromagnet.
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been
> > >> > > > > > stevie
> > >> > > > >
> > >> > > > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As
> > >> > > > > usual.
> > >> > > > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > why on the say so of
> > >> > > > spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net

> > >> >
> > >> > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> > >>
> > >> and banging my head around would help
> > >>
> > >> Gee you sure are you Stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.
> > >
> > > But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >

2090. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:18:28 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122232708.794802.188960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

A. Melon wrote:
> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> a.k.a. Secwet Woger wrote in message
> news:1122218169.101772.73720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Except you have it wrong, as usual.
> >
>
> Woger, errrr Oliver, could you please tell me where I
> can obtain a Secwet Woger decoder ring? I have been
> twying and twyining but cant find one. Dont get angwy.
>
> Lloyd

No, Dave, you should spend it on being the newsgroup Nazi.

2091. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:20:34 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122232834.768280.75360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> oliver:
>
> Actually, I would suggest no one EVER get a msn account...
>
> ... I suggest you use someone elses, by proxy...

<chuckle> How do you think I post, stupid?

> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122218640.937802.32570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > And besides, *you* said Roger lost his MSN account.
> >

2092. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:22:41 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122232961.950331.27870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> oliver:
>
> Really, hmmm, seems to work for me...

Yes, keep trying for mediocrity, one day you just might make up to that level.

2093. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:24:07 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122233047.444753.162940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lyman wrote:
> >
> > Aw, gee, John. Fess up. You really didn't "forget", did you?
> > Stagger simply pointed out a fact you were not aware of.
> >
> > Nice attempt at back pedaling, however.
> > You almost pulled it off.
>
> Why are you using this nick, when you could just answer him as Stagger
> Lee?
> /////////
>
> For the same reason you don't use your name, Roger.

Hi, Steve! Keep guessing, dumbass.

2094. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:26:33 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122233193.733576.296880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
John Smith wrote:
> oliver:
>
> I will take your suggestion to:
> rec.how.do.I.get.a.woman
> and see if they feel that is a viable suggestion.

Too bad you can't even get a woman that way.
>
> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122218485.374904.78850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> oliver:
> >>
> >> yeah, well, what comes around goes around,
> >
> > John, you just remember that.
> >
> >> improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...
> >
> > Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have you.
> >
> >> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1122147095.512722.73100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> oliver:
> >> >>
> >> >> Quite obvious, if you passed the test, any wino in my city would
> >> >> with
> >> >> flying colors! Ya damn ham-ma'roon!
> >> >>
> >> >> John
> >> >
> >> > You really shouldn't compare others to your siblings.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1122145692.077926.210360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
```

> >> >> >
> >> >> > Lloyd wrote:
> >> >> >> I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the
> >> >> >> stupid
> >> >> >> ham
> >> >> >> licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and
> >> >> >> whatever
> >> >> >> else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you
> >> >> >> simpltons
> >> >> >> that
> >> >> >> one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting
> >> >> >> until
> >> >> >> I
> >> >> >> can
> >> >> >> get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest
> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> us
> >> >> >> and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Not to worry, however, you couldn't pass the written test
> >> >> > anyways.
> >> >> >
> >> >
> > >

2095. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:26:38 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <kaqdnT9K_fZUZ37fRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113466

John Smith wrote:
> Bert:
>
> Interesting idea.
>
> No question pools!!!
>
> Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant
> material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the
> instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.
>
> Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em
> nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!
>
> I just love the original ideas people come up with here...
>
> John

So just give the students a pool of questions, some of which will be on the test, then give them all the answers to those questions. Sure wouldn't want to be treated by an MD that passed his exams that way.

2096. An Old friend babbles and babbles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:28:10 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122233289.964725.33390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > oliver:
> > >
> > > yeah, well, what comes around goes around,
> > >
> > John, you just remember that.
> >
> > > improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...
> > >
> > Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have you.
>
> that does not invole a woman even if it is somehow possible in Johns
> case
>
> which i doubt

As if *you* would know the difference.
```

2097. Re: oliver blows it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:31:27 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122233487.594002.48720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > an_old_friend:
> >
> > I am dating and thinking about female companionship. Problem is, I end
> > up judging them against my ex-wife, an amazingly gifted and
> > intelligent woman--I never realized there were so few such as her in
> > the world... <frown>
>
> think you missed the point ole boy
>
> If you followed oli "sugestion then their would be no woman involed

No, *you* missed the point. The only woman involved would be John
himself. In other words, I was calling him a woman, dumbass!

> > However, most of the female professors/instructors at college are
> > married, or have gross physical attributes which removes them from
> > consideration. All of the women at my corp. are either married or dim
> > witted secretaries and receptionists!
> >
> > Any woman with a degree in math, engineering or the sciences, if at
> > least tolerable to the eye, I examine and are up for consideration...
> > unfortunately, the guys ain't stupid out there and have already seemed
> > to have grabbed up the good ones!
> >
> > Frankly, I may have to wait for one of those guys to die and court the
> > girl they leave behind!
> > <evil-plotting-girn>
> >
> > John
> >
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1122221766.101933.113140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> John Smith wrote:
> > >> > oliver:
> > >> >
> > >> > yeah, well, what comes around goes around,
> > >>
> > >> John, you just remember that.
> > >>

> > >> > improve your manners and your mouth to be treated better...
> > >>
> > >> Go screw yourself. That will be the only way a woman would have
> > >> you.
> > >
> > > that does not invole a woman even if it is somehow possible in
> > > Johns
> > > case
> > >
> > > which i doubt
> > >>
> > >>
> > >

2098. Re: oliver blows it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:31:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <kaqdnT5K_fZnZn7fRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> I am dating and thinking about female companionship. Problem is, I end
> up judging them against my ex-wife, an amazingly gifted and
> intelligent woman--I never realized there were so few such as her in
> the world... <frown>
>
> However, most of the female professors/instructors at college are
> married, or have gross physical attributes which removes them from
> consideration. All of the women at my corp. are either married or dim
> witted secretaries and receptionists!
>
> Any woman with a degree in math, engineering or the sciences, if at
> least tolerable to the eye, I examine and are up for consideration...
> unfortunately, the guys ain't stupid out there and have already seemed
> to have grabbed up the good ones!
>
> Frankly, I may have to wait for one of those guys to die and court the
> girl they leave behind!
> <evil-plotting-girn>
>
> John

Well, maybe whenever an_old_whateverheis decides to dump his girl for
some other male, you can go after her. Or maybe not.

2099. Re: oliver blows it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:32:23 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122233543.449217.117830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> I am dating and thinking about female companionship. Problem is, I end
> up judging them against my ex-wife, an amazingly gifted and
> intelligent woman--I never realized there were so few such as her in
> the world... <frown>
>
> However, most of the female professors/instructors at college are
> married, or have gross physical attributes which removes them from
> consideration. All of the women at my corp. are either married or dim
> witted secretaries and receptionists!
>
> Any woman with a degree in math, engineering or the sciences, if at
> least tolerable to the eye, I examine and are up for consideration...
> unfortunately, the guys ain't stupid out there and have already seemed
> to have grabbed up the good ones!
>
> Frankly, I may have to wait for one of those guys to die and court the
> girl they leave behind!
> <evil-plotting-girn>

<guffaw!> Quite a plan, there.

2100. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:34:39 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122233679.933314.172570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113455

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 23:04
> >
> > >Hello, John
> > >
> >
>
> cut
> > >25 feet of bell wire on a spark plug to a lawn mower will kill the idiot
> > >running 25 kw screaming "audiooooo....". If he can't hear 'em, he can't
> > >work 'em.
> >
> > Malicious interference to anyone is still malicious interference.
> > By the existing regulations. You are the one with the license
> > that can be pulled, NOT the "25 kw" freebander.
> >
> > Where do you find this mythical freebander running TWENTY FIVE
> > KILOWATTS?!? [only in newsgroups is where...]
>
> I'd love to see such a station just for the experence I can hardly
> imagine it

I would say you find *many* things "hard to imagine."

2101. We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:37:02 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <d4SEe.25402$dz.7921@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113456

The fact that we have a Brain DEAD written test now, and soon to have no CW testing, why put any burden on the KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers, lets just Dump all licensing and call it CB.

2102. Dumbfuck Saggytits Lee KKKevin "Waldo" Strom fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:44:19 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <GCXOEULD38557.655775463@anonymous>
Source record: 113458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee

In article <dbug5s$7jk$0@pita.alt.net>
Saggytits Lee <SaggytitsLee@houston-hunksofshit.com> whined:
>
> On 23 Jul 2005 12:34:00 -0700, olivermartin15...@yahoo.com
<Secwet
> Woger> wrote:

No, stupid. But you can't even find the Marshall County, WV,
ARES website, either.

P.S.: MSN doesn't allow SMTP, so how can it be my provider?

> : John Smith wrote:
> : > oh yeah, and the business, ever heard of a proxy? A
NNTP/SMTP proxy?
> : >
> : > ROFLOL!!!!
> : >
> : > Gawd, the boy is a regular sherlock holmes!!! But, bit of
a slow
> : > one... :(
> : >
> : > uhhh, you can proxy though anyone setting up a home
mailserver too...
> :
> : Indeed. Like an msn proxy.
>
> Except that only MSN customers can connect to that proxy. It
is a
> caching proxy, intended to speed web access. It is not there
to
> provide anonymity.

<GUFFAW!> Just like the CIA is watching anonymous remailers,
too. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
What a fucking moron you are, KKKevin.

> AOL runs caching proxies too, and both services log the IP
addresses
> of the users which go through their proxies. That way, the
abuse
> department can track down renegade users.

Saggytits knows this because that's how he got kicked off of AOL.
Saggytits, do you still beat your children with coax jumpers

with the PL-259's attached?

> So, Woger,

Except the fact that you fucked up again (Or as usual), shit for
brains.

[Space reserved for Saggytits' whining]

--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat
ignorant ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are
operated
by the CIA?"

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

2103. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:45:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122234305.021483.192200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113459

From: an old friend on Jul 24, 11:30 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 23:04

>> Where do you find this mythical freebander running TWENTY FIVE
>> KILOWATTS?!? [only in newsgroups is where...]
>
>I'd love to see such a station just for the experence I can hardly
>imagine it

You can see pictures of how it used to be at:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/

Go to the sub-heading "Stations" and click on "ADA." It's about
an Army station in the 1950s whose transmitter site at over
three dozen HF transmitters ranging from 1 KW to 40 KW output.
Station ADA was only the third largest in the worldwide Army
network at the time. I was there for three years and most of
the pictures are from my own camera. All vacuum tubes, of
course, this was in the 1950s.

The other document under "Stations" is from a brochure done
up by the same battalion I served in, but ten years later.
All Signal Corps photographs.

Control was transferred from Army to USAF in 1963 and the USAF
terminated the station in 1978. HF was becoming less and less
used by the U.S. military in the 1980s. Civilian use of HF
was downsizing and the AFRS->AFRTS worldwide broadcasting went
to comm satellite relay. Even VOA downsized in that time and
most of those HUGE cabinets of power went to the scrap heap.

Some of the old stand-bys are still there, such as WWV. For
a look at the transmitters of WWV, WWVH, and (for 60 KHz)
WWVB, go to http://www.nist.gov and click the "Time and
Frequency" heading. WWVB puts out 50 KW and keeps thousands
of clocks and wristwatches on time every night...automatically.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2104. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:46:53 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <JPmdnc6dkOwTYn7fRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113469

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Sat 23 Jul 2005 21:52
>>And like other fools you attempt to keep the myth alive.
>
>
> Nope. That's not a "myth" but ACTUAL FACT. Look at Broose
> and his constant "CBplusser" snarlings. "Lid" like operation
> is commonly referred to as "CB" activity. All kinds of
> nastygrams are sent when olde-tyme hammes have a need to
> cuss out someone. :-)

And where in that do you get they are somehow upset about losing 11
meters? It is a FACT that the cbers turned 11 meters into the sewer pit
of radio.
>
>
>>In 40+ years of
>>hamming I have never heard one ham (that inclueds the olde-tyme hammes)
>>that complained about about the loss of 11 meters.
>
>
> Tsk. You obviously don't get out much and your hearing is
> way worse than Coslo's.
>
> Go to the Comments at the FCC ECFS on WT Docket 05-235. All
> them olde-tymers complaining about ham radio gonna be "worse
> than CB!" (along with the threats of the sky falling, etc.).

And again, how do you see in that anyone complaining about losing 11
meters? No, most hams don't want the ham bands to become what the cbers
have made of 11 meters. No complaing about losing it, just don't want
the ham bands to become like it.
>
>
>>The hams had 10
>>meters where they operated and rarely used 11, so the loss to the ham
>>community was nothing.

>
>
> Yeah, yeah, tell us all about olde-tymer. In 1958 you were
> just another pretty face on the TV tube (in black and white)
> doing adventures in space. Very heroic. Your limited fan
> base must have swelled your cranium past the ability to sense
> ordinary terrestrial hobby activities in radio.

The hams hardly used 11 meters. In 1958 I was listening to hams almost on a daily basis. Sure there were a few at the time who may have complained a bit, but you attempt to make it sound as if half the ham community is still upset. Not so lennieboy, the hams never missed not having 11 meters. If they knew what a sewer pit of radio communication the brain-dead cbers would make of it, they probably would have raise a real stink.

>
> Buzziebaby, be prepared to go digital by 2009. And get some
> better writers, okay? Your scripts in here are a POS.

Here, you are the ever present POS lennieboy.

2105. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:47:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122234479.263791.203120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113460

Broose, take your meds REGULARLY like a good little mental
misfit midget...

With all due bestest regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2106. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 19:54:03 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <JPmdncmdkOyrnHnfRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113471

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> You can see pictures of how it used to be at:
>
> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/
>
> Go to the sub-heading "Stations" and click on "ADA." It's about
> an Army station in the 1950s whose transmitter site at over
> three dozen HF transmitters ranging from 1 KW to 40 KW output.
> Station ADA was only the third largest in the worldwide Army
> network at the time. I was there for three years and most of
> the pictures are from my own camera. All vacuum tubes, of
> course, this was in the 1950s.
>
> The other document under "Stations" is from a brochure done
> up by the same battalion I served in, but ten years later.
> All Signal Corps photographs.
>
> of clocks and wristwatches on time every night...automatically.

So lennieboy thinks that by getting to walk by a high power Army radio installation sometime in the 1950's and taking a few pictures made him an expert radioman. Kinda like how he blusters on about ham radio "Morse Men".

2107. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 20:03:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6uSEe.78$nP7.1760355@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

olive oil:

How do you post, you ask me?

Well, your mother helps you, doesn't she? I mean, I just took that for granted...

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122232834.768280.75360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> oliver:
>>
>> Actually, I would suggest no one EVER get a msn account...
>>
>> ... I suggest you use someone elses, by proxy...
>
>
> <chuckle> How do you think I post, stupid?
>
>> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122218640.937802.32570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > And besides, *you* said Roger lost his MSN account.
>> >
>

2108. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 20:04:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <yvSEe.79$PH7.1727560@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

olive oil:

I will keep trying, and keep consulting with those whos' opinion, advice and critique I respect and believe...

If you will stop distracting me from them!!!

John

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122232961.950331.27870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> oliver:
>>
>> Really, hmmm, seems to work for me...
>
> Yes, keep trying for mediocrity, one day you just might make up to that
> level.
>

2109. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 20:19:15 UTC
From: hrh <hrh@hrgentersigs.org>
Message-ID: <dc0sva$ra2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113465

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122227886.652264.141780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 23:04
>
>>Hello, John
>>
>>Yep, and I'm gonna laugh my *ss off when they start using the FM band
>>(oh -
>>I forgot, they already are) and the AM band. I hope they clobber your
>>ball
>>game on dish network too ;)
>>
>>The reality is that somehow there has to be some regulation. Ever been on
>>a
>>freeway and encountered some idiot (likely drunk) going the wrong way?
>>
>>Heck, if it gets bad, I can handle it. It isn't the number of guns you
>>have, it's who you shoot.
>>
>>25 feet of bell wire on a spark plug to a lawn mower will kill the idiot
>>running 25 kw screaming "audiooooo....". If he can't hear 'em, he can't
>>work 'em.
>
> Malicious interference to anyone is still malicious interference.
> By the existing regulations. You are the one with the license
> that can be pulled, NOT the "25 kw" freebander.
>
> Where do you find this mythical freebander running TWENTY FIVE
> KILOWATTS?!? [only in newsgroups is where...]
>

I don't know about 25 kw amps, but amps (leenyers) in the
5 kw to 10 kw range are in wide use and easily obtained.
Amps below 5 kw are as easily procured as just walking in
the store, paying for it and walking out. Now don't go getting
your ham op blood pressure up! Any amplifier should only
be used in a lawful manner and only when in full compliance
with the law and FCC rules & regulations. My point is the
illegal market has a glut of very high power amps. Buddy up
with some local cb-freeband ops, you can learn a lot they don't
teach in the schoolhouse.

2110. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 20:39:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <90TEe.87$NV7.1804388@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113468

commander:

Where do you see, "... all the answer to questions" given?

All I see is the pool of questions!

Now, examine any college textbook, not only will you find it containing all the answers AND questions--those doctorate professors actually believe it is worthwhile running an educational institution that way!!!

The sheer gall of those intellectual idiots!!!

ROFLOL!!!!

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:kaqdnT9K_fZUZ37fRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Bert:
>>
>> Interesting idea.
>>
>> No question pools!!!
>>
>> Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant
>> material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the
>> instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.
>>
>> Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em
>> nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!
>>
>> I just love the original ideas people come up with here...
>>
>> John
>
>
> So just give the students a pool of questions, some of which will be on
> the test, then give them all the answers to those questions. Sure
> wouldn't want to be treated by an MD that passed his exams that way.

2111. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 20:59:30 UTC
From: Ralph <notme@glendale>
Message-ID: <dc0ve1$7em$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122233141.064097.100970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

John Smith wrote:
> oliver:
>
> I will take your suggestion to:
> rec.how.do.I.get.a.woman
> and see if they feel that is a viable suggestion.

Too bad you can't even get a woamn.

It is a safe bet that few of us have ever gotten a "woamn", whatever that
is.

2112. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:14:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JwTEe.98$3K.1949715@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Ralph:

Seems your sexual frustration in having women in your area finding you lacking causes your suspicion that others also suffer your situation...

Therapy may help your condition...

John

"Ralph" <notme@glendale> wrote in message news:dc0ve1$7em$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122233141.064097.100970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> oliver:
>>
>> I will take your suggestion to:
>> rec.how.do.I.get.a.woman
>> and see if they feel that is a viable suggestion.
>
> Too bad you can't even get a woamn.
>
> It is a safe bet that few of us have ever gotten a "woamn", whatever that
> is.
>
>

2113. Re: oliver blows it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:19:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hBTEe.100$qH.1927224@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

commanders' words express the following, a most intellectually designed discourse:

"Well, maybe whenever an_old_whateverheis decides to dump his girl.."

Rather, we are speaking of a type, caliber and class of woman a man would die for--and not relinquish willingly--other than perhaps on his death...

We ain't talkin' about pickin' up some floozy in a country bar here...

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:kaqdnT5K_fZnZn7fRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> an_old_friend:
>>
>> I am dating and thinking about female companionship. Problem is, I end
>> up judging them against my ex-wife, an amazingly gifted and
>> intelligent woman--I never realized there were so few such as her in
>> the world... <frown>
>>
>> However, most of the female professors/instructors at college are
>> married, or have gross physical attributes which removes them from
>> consideration. All of the women at my corp. are either married or dim
>> witted secretaries and receptionists!
>>
>> Any woman with a degree in math, engineering or the sciences, if at
>> least tolerable to the eye, I examine and are up for consideration...
>> unfortunately, the guys ain't stupid out there and have already seemed
>> to have grabbed up the good ones!
>>
>> Frankly, I may have to wait for one of those guys to die and court the
>> girl they leave behind!
>> <evil-plotting-girn>
>>
>> John
>
> Well, maybe whenever an_old_whateverheis decides to dump his girl for
> some other male, you can go after her. Or maybe not.

2114. Re: HP Tech Support now gone overseas (ot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:21:16 UTC
From: Frances Mullane <mullanef@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1CTEe.22524$Wt3.5125@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is true that almost everyone outsources. Intuit also does. I have had very good support people from India with impeccable English and good skills and I have also had horrid ones who could not understand or speak English and did not know what they were doing.

I was told by one TS guy from India... one for Intuit that they are really trained and it is a lengthly process etc. etc. He was excellent. So I think each company decides how much to invest in this outsourced TS. Even if they don't outsource... if they don't train then it is garbage... It is the company's ethics rather than merely outsourcing per se.

2115. Re: Twacking Secwet Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:22:50 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122240170.374298.264060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> olive oil:
>
> How do you post, you ask me?

I use a computer. Don't you?

> Well, your mother helps you, doesn't she? I mean, I just took that for granted...

Well, you are stupid that way.

>
> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122232834.768280.75360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> oliver:
> >>
> >> Actually, I would suggest no one EVER get a msn account...
> >>
> >> ... I suggest you use someone elses, by proxy...
> >
> >
> > <chuckle> How do you think I post, stupid?
> >
> >> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1122218640.937802.32570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > And besides, *you* said Roger lost his MSN account.
> >> >
> >
>

2116. Re: bigtry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:23:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122240181.269804.190750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113475

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> I am also a bigot against drug addicts in my neighborhood, I am bigoted against child molesters in my neighborhood, thieves, crooks, mobsters, crooked lawyers, crooked politicians, serial killers...
>
> Hey, sometimes ya just gotta be a bigot! I can live with that...

well at least you are honest about it

>
> John
>

2117. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:24:50 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122240290.325874.321900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Ralph wrote:
> <olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122233141.064097.100970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > oliver:
> >
> > I will take your suggestion to:
> > rec.how.do.I.get.a.woman
> > and see if they feel that is a viable suggestion.
>
> Too bad you can't even get a woamn.
>
> It is a safe bet that few of us have ever gotten a "woamn", whatever that
> is.

Looks like a typo. But you couldn't get a "woman," regardless.
```

2118. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:26:55 UTC
From: BugsBunny666 <google@fernblatt.net>
Message-ID: <1122240415.841180.272350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113478

loray wrote:
> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> [snip]
> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>
> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>
>> loray

I agree with you. There aren't any technical people at the FCC to monitor things, most of the folks are politicians who don't give a rat's ass.

As far as content and lack of ID, tune around 75 meter phone late at night any night, you'll hear that and much much more - animal noises, farting in the mic, lots of well behaved adult stuff. Most of these guys have been hams for years. Thank goodness it isn't all those no-code folks clogging up the bands. That would be horrible.

BB

2119. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:33:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0LKdnS-7MaXYlHnfRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113479

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Kim" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 16:11
>
>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>news:DpSdnVJk5d9SL3zfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>Kim wrote:
>
>
>
>
>>>My sole failing is that I get a bit of enjoyment in knowing that that
>>>irritates the crap out of some people for some reason! 8^)
>>
>>Aw, that's not a failing. Think about it: it's mostly a failing of THEIRS
>>to get irritated. :)
>
>
> Tsk. Ask the Coslonaut how his "reaching the threshold of space"
> project is going. :-)

It's going well enough, Kim.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2120. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 21:55:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <z7UEe.117$3c7.1655920@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113480

bugs:

Actually, it is the insane rants by alzheimers victims who keep forgetting what they were saying, lose track, become confused and start out on another rant before finishing the last one...

Twenty minute keydowns with less than 10 farts are ok though...

John

"BugsBunny666" <google@fernblatt.net> wrote in message
news:1122240415.841180.272350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> loray wrote:
>> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>> [snip]
>> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
>> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>>
>> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
>> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
>> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>>
>>> loray
>
> I agree with you. There aren't any technical people at the FCC to
> monitor things, most of the folks are politicians who don't give
> a rat's ass.
>
> As far as content and lack of ID, tune around 75 meter phone late
> at night any night, you'll hear that and much much more - animal
> noises, farting in the mic, lots of well behaved adult stuff. Most of
> these guys have been hams for years. Thank goodness it isn't all
> those no-code folks clogging up the bands. That would be horrible.
>
> BB
>

2121. Re: bigtry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 22:01:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122240597.428783.238250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113481

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> I am also a bigot against drug addicts in my neighborhood, I am bigoted against child molesters in my neighborhood, thieves, crooks, mobsters, crooked lawyers, crooked politicians, serial killers...
>
> Hey, sometimes ya just gotta be a bigot! I can live with that...
>
> John
>
sorry need to follow up in being a bigot you taking the line like
stevie that I have no rights and so forth

2122. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 22:09:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122242944.868510.17490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113483

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Bert:
> >
> > Interesting idea.
> >
> > No question pools!!!
> >
> > Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant
> > material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the
> > instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.
> >
> > Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em
> > nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!
> >
> > I just love the original ideas people come up with here...
> >
> > John
>

break
>
> So just give the students a pool of questions, some of which will be on
> the test, then give them all the answers to those questions. Sure
> wouldn't want to be treated by an MD that passed his exams that way.

don't go the docs in the US then

2123. Re: bigtry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 22:09:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qkUEe.119$BX.1974346@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113482

an_old_friend:

No rights?

Hell son, you have all the rights your creator endowed you with, you will never have anymore, and you are a damn fool if you let another, a group or a gov't deprive you of them!

You just don't have the right to force your ethics, morals, beliefs, "lifestyle" (perversions) or even YOURSELF on others.

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122240597.428783.238250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> an_old_friend:
>>
>> I am also a bigot against drug addicts in my neighborhood, I am bigoted against child molesters in my neighborhood, thieves, crooks, mobsters, crooked lawyers, crooked politicians, serial killers...
>>
>> Hey, sometimes ya just gotta be a bigot! I can live with that...
>>
>> John
>>
> sorry need to follow up in being a bigot you taking the line like
> stevie that I have no rights and so forth
>

2124. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 22:13:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8oUEe.120$1V7.1800330@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113484

an_old_pervert:

What?

Fact is, either you know the answers (possess the knowledge) or you do not--simple as that... there is NO magic in how you came by those answers, even if someone gave you the answers while causing you walk on broken glass and through flames--in the end, either way, all you would have are the answers...

There ain't no magic to it and any dumb fool that knows the answers can do it...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122242944.868510.17490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Bert:
>> >
>> > Interesting idea.
>> >
>> > No question pools!!!
>> >
>> > Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant
>> > material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the
>> > instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.
>> >
>> > Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em
>> > nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!
>> >
>> > I just love the original ideas people come up with here...
>> >
>> > John
>>
>
> break
>>
>> So just give the students a pool of questions, some of which will be on
>> the test, then give them all the answers to those questions. Sure
>> wouldn't want to be treated by an MD that passed his exams that way.
>
> don't go the docs in the US then
>

2125. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:02:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122246177.335328.91100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113485

From: John Smith on Jul 24, 12:07 pm

>... search the net out there...

John, don't get carried away.

"Searching the net" can get you "evidence" of alien abductions,
aliens stored at "Area 51," alternative medicine of all forms
that will "cure" all your ills, quackery and buffoonery that
doesn't stop. Try a Swiss by name of Erich von Daniken who
managed to get at least five printed books published showing
"positive proof" of the existance of "ancient astronauts."

>... there are 50KW monster mobiles in big rigs running multiple industrial >alternators...

John, this was already "discussed" in here along about five
years ago...but it was in ordinary cars and pickups, not "big
rigs." We went through the Watts and Joules and Horsepowers
ad nauseum to prove it infeasible.

"Industrial alternators" like the Leece-Neville units are only
capable of so much. At above 5 KW we are talking serious
SEPARATE electrical generators. Even if this mythical 50 KW
transmitter exists, its efficiency will be no more than 70%
running Class C, no more than 55% (at best) running AB_2.
So, the waste heat of a Class C 50 KW transmitter is 22 KW
all by itself. That's like 22 portable room heaters all
blazing away in one tiny place. A "reefer" truck can probably
handle it, but WHY?

["reefer" truck is a refrigerated trailer, not a transport for
marijuana]

50 KW is 40 db over a conventional truck-stop 5 W transceiver.
Anyone on the highways with THAT much power would suddenly
find themselves rather turned off by dozens and dozens of
other truckers who got totally blocked by this super-power
transmitter. Geez, at 50 KW, a little crystal set can be
used for DFing! :-)

>... they are more show than go (even the FCC would take interest in those rigs >if they
about all the time!), and you see them at the monster RF get togethers >back east in
Tennessee and surrounding states...

Riiiiight. :-(

>I have walked though such a "meet" (they are kinda like "car shows") before with >a 4 ft.
fluorescent tube, amazing, the ether is filled with RF!!!

Oh, geez, John. An ordinary fluorescent tube will light up at less than a hundred watts incident RF...but one has to be CLOSE to the field in order to do that. At ADA we did "SWR" checks on open-wire feedlines using a fluorescent tube, two bamboo poles, and two low-rank pole holders trudging through the flickering dark (feedlines were 12 to 15 feet above ground). The electric power demand (at the old site) was about 350 KWe so I figure roughly 250 KW RF tossed out by 30 some transmitters at any one time. Those fluorescent tubes did NOT light up in the middle of the antenna field.

At the new ADA transmitter site, built on an old airfield about 1 x 2 miles, resident farmers were IN the antenna field. A few had fluorescent lights. None were turned on by about 400 KW RF radiated in that field. Pretty well made all the radios they had useless, though, from rectification blockage. Note: Not all the 40 KW Collins amplifiers had arrived by the time I made that estimate. The existing 600 KWe generators were increased by another 200 KWe shortly before 1956. The adjacent but separate microwave radio relay building had fluorescent lights as did the office area in the main building. Those lights did NOT come on from all that RF of 400 KW plus...

Do I challenge your assertion of this RF field strength? YES. Based on my experience I don't believe it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2126. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:04:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122246267.972085.28100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113486

From: Cmdr Buzziebaby Corey on Jul 24, 12:54 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> You can see pictures of how it used to be at:
>
>> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/>
>
>> Go to the sub-heading "Stations" and click on "ADA." It's about
>> an Army station in the 1950s whose transmitter site at over
>> three dozen HF transmitters ranging from 1 KW to 40 KW output.
>> Station ADA was only the third largest in the worldwide Army
>> network at the time. I was there for three years and most of
>> the pictures are from my own camera. All vacuum tubes, of
>> course, this was in the 1950s.

>So lennieboy thinks that by getting to walk by a high power Army radio
>installation sometime in the 1950's and taking a few pictures made him
>an expert radioman.

No, "commander" buzziebaby, I didn't do that...I WORKED IN
that station and learned how from on-the-job instruction,
manuals, texts, and a bunch of experts on HF transmitters.
From the beginning of February 1953 to late January 1956.
I didn't have a lot of choice in that even if I was a
voluntary enlistee (ASN RA16408336). If you don't believe
me, just check with Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV. Gene was in the
the same outfit, worked at the same station, but on another
of the four operating teams at ADA. I worked up to be an
operating team leader until the microwave radio relay
equipment arrived...and I became lead NCO at the ADA
microwave installation. BTASE.

If you are pissed or something, I'd suggest seeking medical
help for your rampant resentment. Personally, I don't give
a snit how jealous you are. I took advantage of my
assignment and learned all I could. That was enough for me
to change my career goal in life from industrial art to
electronics engineering after my Honorable Discharge. You
don't like that? TS. Pass me your TS card, I punch it.

> Kinda like how he blusters on about ham radio "Morse
>Men".

That's Mighty Macho Morsemen, eedjut. Get it RIGHT.

Anony-mousie buzziebaby, I've seen, operated HF stuff along with VHF, UHF, and microwave stuff IN the military and know damn well that the vast majority of military communications of half a century ago was NOT by any magic of morsemanship. Worse yet for you jealous types, I do have written confirmation of what I did AND the word of both military and civilian personnel who were THERE at the same time I was. No brags, just fact.

Now go play with your olde-tyme raddios, buzziebaby. Pretend you're a hotshot 1930s morseman and dream your impossible dreams of radio importance. Cuss out all of those who were doing the REAL stuff if that makes your ego feel better. It won't matter in the long run. I was there, you weren't. Hell, you can't even summon enough courage to use a real name or ham callsign!

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2127. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:07:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122246445.324666.55230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113487

From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Jul 24, 12:46 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Sat 23 Jul 2005 21:52

>>>And like other fools you attempt to keep the myth alive.
>
>> Nope. That's not a "myth" but ACTUAL FACT. Look at Broose
>> and his constant "CBplusser" snarlings. "Lid" like operation
>> is commonly referred to as "CB" activity. All kinds of
>> nastygrams are sent when olde-tyme hammes have a need to
>> cuss out someone. :-)
>
>And where in that do you get they are somehow upset about losing 11
>meters?

From just about every olde-tymer in the West San Fernando
Valley electronics industry of 1958 through 1960, those
working everywhere from Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation in
Canoga Park, CA, to Gilfillan in Van Nuys, CA. Several
hundred of them. Lockheed ARC was in east San Fernando
Valley and I didn't get to east Valley much then.

Tsk. I didn't keep count. I should have known that good
old anony-mousie buzziebaby would be upset 47 years later
and demand respect to his imagination on what was. :-)

> It is a FACT that the cbers turned 11 meters into the sewer pit
>of radio.

Awww...poor baby. Upset are you? Now WHAT have YOU DONE
to "clean up" that "sewer pit?" Bitched and moaned to yer
anony-mousie ham buddies?

In 47 years a LOT can happen. 47 years is enough time to
graduate from school, get married, have kids, have a career
in something, have grandkids, and retire. Class A and B
CB were created BEFORE 1958 but were eventually deallocated
entirely. Class C CB became the Radio Control Radio Service
and got added channels around 72 MHz, has much activity
among model enthusiasts (more members of AMA than ARRL!).
Class D CB became just Citizens Band Radio Service and
dropped the need for licensing. CB never had ANY test of
any kind to get a license or "federal athorization" to
transmit...nothing but a Restricted 3rd Class Radiotelephone
FORM to send in when that was required.

>And again, how do you see in that anyone complaining about losing 11
>meters? No, most hams don't want the ham bands to become what the cbers
>have made of 11 meters. No complaing about losing it, just don't want
>the ham bands to become like it.

Oh? Did you poll "most hams?" Of course you did, you will say
so! [that makes it "fact?"]

You haven't been paying attention to CB. The big increase in
CB use didn't come until about in the mid-1960s and the
availability of economical, imported CB transceivers. The
trucking industry had already picked up on it.

So, there's about a seven-year period where there was NO
"sewer pit" of 11 meters. Seven years is a long time, too.
In seven years a couple can conceive a child, raise them
to enter the first grade.

Did all you olde-tyme hammes DO anything to keep this
"sewer" from overflowing? No? Tsk, not very civic radio
minded, I'd say.

>The hams hardly used 11 meters. In 1958 I was listening to hams almost
>on a daily basis.

Yeah, suuuure you were. As a SWL, I presume? :-)

> Sure there were a few at the time who may have
>complained a bit, but you attempt to make it sound as if half the ham
>community is still upset.

Awwww...buzziebaby, meet Broose (the extra on a bet).

Tsk. I'm still trying to find this mythical "ham community"
so that I can send in my "dedication and committment" papers
and sworn statements.

Riiiight and all the ham periodicals didn't say dink about
this growing menace of CB, did they? :-)

>Here, you are the ever present POS lennieboy.

I exist. My present mail address is the same one that was
on all my Ham Radio magazine bylines. None of those
were any "POS" sweetums...they were reviewed by other hams
on the staff before publishing.

What are YOU? Another anony-mousie with a ridiculous
handle, some ancient B&W TV "hero" character. Wow, the
number of bona fides you got is ZERO. ZIP. NADA. NYET.

I'll bet you are just an SWL or a CBPlusser who don't
know da code! Bye... :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2128. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:10:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122246616.588509.300970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113488

From: hrh on Jul 24, 1:19 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 23:04

>> Where do you find this mythical freebander running TWENTY FIVE
>> KILOWATTS?!? [only in newsgroups is where...]
>
>I don't know about 25 kw amps, but amps (leenyers) in the
>5 kw to 10 kw range are in wide use and easily obtained.

PROVE IT. Show your work.

>Amps below 5 kw are as easily procured as just walking in
>the store, paying for it and walking out. Now don't go getting
>your ham op blood pressure up! Any amplifier should only
>be used in a lawful manner and only when in full compliance
>with the law and FCC rules & regulations.

Okay, His Royal Highness, why haven't you REPORTED THIS to
the federal government?!?

> My point is the
>illegal market has a glut of very high power amps.

My point is that the Internet has a lot of bullshit on it,
everything from these mythical "25 KW 'leenyars'" to aliens
from outer space stored in "Area 51." Doesn't make it true.

If you ARE such a "good citizen," why haven't you DONE
anything about it? Present your evidence to the feds.
If you have any, besides a lot of wind and imagination.

It is the civic DUTY of all good citizens to report
infractions of the LAW. Are you a good citizen or just
another anony-mousie in a newsgroup shoveling BS?

> Buddy up
>with some local cb-freeband ops, you can learn a lot they don't
>teach in the schoolhouse.

Royal Highness, I learned a LOT a LONG TIME ago and NOT in any
"schoolhouse" full of conspiracy theorists all trying to out-BS
the BSers. A very long time ago I learned to fire up HF
transmitters with outputs of 15 to 40 KW. Legally. Don't try
to foist off some anony-mousie "inside knowledge" on anyone
who has been IN the industry for a long time.

Go somewhere else where you think you can pull off a con on some unsuspecting yokel, okay?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2129. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:14:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122246858.896973.138760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113489

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Jul 24, 12:46 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Cmdr Buzz Corey on Sat 23 Jul 2005 21:52
> >
> >>>And like other fools you attempt to keep the myth alive.
> >>
> >> Nope. That's not a "myth" but ACTUAL FACT. Look at Broose
> >> and his constant "CBplusser" snarlings. "Lid" like operation
> >> is commonly referred to as "CB" activity. All kinds of
> >> nastygrams are sent when olde-tyme hammes have a need to
> >> cuss out someone. :-)
> >>
> >>And where in that do you get they are somehow upset about losing 11
> >>meters?
> >
> From just about every olde-tymer in the West San Fernando
> Valley electronics industry of 1958 through 1960, those
> working everywhere from Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation in
> Canoga Park, CA, to Gilfillan in Van Nuys, CA. Several
> hundred of them. Lockheed ARC was in east San Fernando
> Valley and I didn't get to east Valley much then.
>
> Tsk. I didn't keep count. I should have known that good
> old anony-mousie buzziebaby would be upset 47 years later
> and demand respect to his imagination on what was. :-)
>
> > It is a FACT that the cbers turned 11 meters into the sewer pit
> >of radio.
> >
> Awww...poor baby. Upset are you? Now WHAT have YOU DONE
> to "clean up" that "sewer pit?" Bitched and moaned to yer
> anony-mousie ham buddies?
>
> In 47 years a LOT can happen. 47 years is enough time to
> graduate from school, get married, have kids, have a career
> in something, have grandkids, and retire. Class A and B
> CB were created BEFORE 1958 but were eventually deallocated
> entirely. Class C CB became the Radio Control Radio Service
> and got added channels around 72 MHz, has much activity
> among model enthusiasts (more members of AMA than ARRL!).

One reason for that (ama vs arrl) is that the AMA provides it members
something they realy should should have insurance for their aircraft
hiting and damaging something some one

> Class D CB became just Citizens Band Radio Service and
> dropped the need for licensing. CB never had ANY test of
> any kind to get a license or "federal athorization" to
> transmit...nothing but a Restricted 3rd Class Radiotelephone
> FORM to send in when that was required.
>
>
> >And again, how do you see in that anyone complaining about losing 11
> >meters? No, most hams don't want the ham bands to become what the cbers
> >have made of 11 meters. No complaing about losing it, just don't want
> >the ham bands to become like it.
>
> Oh? Did you poll "most hams?" Of course you did, you will say
> so! [that makes it "fact?"]
>
> You haven't been paying attention to CB. The big increase in
> CB use didn't come until about in the mid-1960s and the
> availability of economical, imported CB transceivers. The
> trucking industry had already picked up on it.
>
> So, there's about a seven-year period where there was NO
> "sewer pit" of 11 meters. Seven years is a long time, too.
> In seven years a couple can conceive a child, raise them
> to enter the first grade.
>
> Did all you olde-tyme hammes DO anything to keep this
> "sewer" from overflowing? No? Tsk, not very civic radio
> minded, I'd say.
>
>
> >The hams hardly used 11 meters. In 1958 I was listening to hams almost
> >on a daily basis.
>
> Yeah, suuuure you were. As a SWL, I presume? :-)
>
> > Sure there were a few at the time who may have
> >complained a bit, but you attempt to make it sound as if half the ham
> >community is still upset.
>
> Awwww...buzziebaby, meet Broose (the extra on a bet).
>
> Tsk. I'm still trying to find this mythical "ham community"
> so that I can send in my "dedication and committment" papers
> and sworn statements.
>
> Riiiight and all the ham periodicals didn't say dink about
> this growing menace of CB, did they? :-)

>
>
> >Here, you are the ever present POS lennieboy.
>
> I exist. My present mail address is the same one that was
> on all my Ham Radio magazine bylines. None of those
> were any "POS" sweetums...they were reviewed by other hams
> on the staff before publishing.
>
> What are YOU? Another anony-mousie with a ridiculous
> handle, some ancient B&W TV "hero" character. Wow, the
> number of bona fides you got is ZERO. ZIP. NADA. NYET.
>
> I'll bet you are just an SWL or a CBPlusser who don't
> know da code! Bye... :-)
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2130. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:24:27 UTC
From: steve <steve@lexswrantsorg.net>
Message-ID: <dc17mqcrb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113490

"BugsBunny666" <google@fernblatt.net> wrote in message
news:1122240415.841180.272350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> loray wrote:
>> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>> [snip]
>> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
>> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>>
>> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
>> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
>> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>>
>>> loray
>
> I agree with you. There aren't any technical people at the FCC to
> monitor things, most of the folks are politicians who don't give
> a rat's ass.
>

One source tells of a typical day at the FCC, they call it survival,
and it consists of protecting your back by gathering enough
documentation on your boss, peers, and subordinates, that should
the need arise, you can use it to protect yourself. Sounds like a
place with soaring morale and little inclination to perform technical
duties.

steve

2131. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:26:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122247605.583417.145220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113492

steve wrote:
> "BugsBunny666" <google@fernblatt.net> wrote in message
> news:1122240415.841180.272350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > loray wrote:
> >> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> >> [snip]
> >> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> >> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
> >>
> >> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> >> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> >> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
> >>
> >>> loray
> >
> > I agree with you. There aren't any technical people at the FCC to
> > monitor things, most of the folks are politicians who don't give
> > a rat's ass.
> >
>
>
> One source tells of a typical day at the FCC, they call it survival,
> and it consists of protecting your back by gathering enough
> documentation on your boss, peers, and subordinates, that should
> the need arise, you can use it to protect yourself. Sounds like a
> place with soaring morale and little inclination to perform technical
> duties.

sounds like busness as normal in federal govt
and most private industry too

>
> steve

2132. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:28:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122247725.574849.289870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113493

From: Mike Coslo on Sun 24 Jul 2005 17:33

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Kim" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 16:11
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>Kim wrote:

>>>>My sole failing is that I get a bit of enjoyment in knowing that that
>>>>irritates the crap out of some people for some reason! 8^)
>>>
>>>Aw, that's not a failing. Think about it: it's mostly a failing of THEIRS
>>>to get irritated. :)
>>
>> Tsk. Ask the Coslonaut how his "reaching the threshold of space"
>> project is going. :-)
>
> It's going well enough, Kim.

I ain't "Kim." Don't get your hopes up, Mikey... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2133. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:32:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122247928.174562.211190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113494

From:. steve on Jul 24, 4:32 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> ranted and raved in message:
>(remainder of incoherent psycho-babble flushed)

Oh, frabjous joy, another Robeson clone!!! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2134. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:32:16 UTC
From: steve <steve@lexswrantsorg.net>
Message-ID: <dc185g$er5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113491

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> ranted and raved in message:
(remainder of incoherent psycho-babble flushed)

2135. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-24 23:34:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7PWdndaa-aYLuHnfRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113495

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on Sun 24 Jul 2005 17:33
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "Kim" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 16:11
>>>
>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>>>Kim wrote:
>
>
>>>>>My sole failing is that I get a bit of enjoyment in knowing that that
>>>>>irritates the crap out of some people for some reason! 8^)
>>>>
>>>>Aw, that's not a failing. Think about it: it's mostly a failing of THEIRS
>>>>to get irritated. :)
>>>
>>> Tsk. Ask the Coslonaut how his "reaching the threshold of space"
>>> project is going. :-)
>>
>> It's going well enough, Kim.
>
>
> I ain't "Kim." Don't get your hopes up, Mikey... :-)
>

I was writing to Kim.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2136. Dr. Robeson diagnoses Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 00:14:07 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122250447.498603.173810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113496

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <1121966241.019800.250920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > > > > > Time to have that steel plate in your skull de-magnetized.
> > > > > > > Report to the nearest salvage yard that has an electromagnet.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > well at least an orginal attack means it can't have been stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And your response fails to meaure up in originality. As usual.
> > > > > > Better get to that salvage yard, faggot.
> > > > >
> > > > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> > > >
> > > > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> > >
> > > and banging my head around would help
> > >
> > > Gee you sure are you Stevie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.
> >
> > But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

Still practicing without a license?

2137. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 00:26:18 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <KkWEe.4231$6f.786@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113497

>
>> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
>> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
>> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
>> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
>> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
>
>
> NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???

He probably was talking about the supposed "spin-offs"
from the space program to regular consumer products.
Many such were actually spin doctoring. But the space
program was/is still worth doing, and some products were
developed quicker because of it. ICs and such.
>

2138. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 01:26:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122254799.431145.205110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113498

Joe Guthart wrote:
> I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and
> decided to drop the code requirements.

Well, it's what they're proposing to do, anyway.

>Here's why ...
>
> I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby
> for me ... however, I just plainly can't take time
> to effectively study the code at this point in my life.
> I think my story is pretty common for most of the
> new people entering Amateur Radio today. I am a
> 43 year old married father of three children. I
> have a background in Aerospace Engineering and my
> full time work is in the high technology sector
> working with many well known computing infrastructure
> companies. I got my Technician license about two and
> a half years ago because it was a neat little challenge
> for me to combine radio communications with some of
> the newer digital modes. Basically, it was fun, not
> really technical challenging, but a nice way to relax.
> I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would
> play around with some different radio, antenna, and
> computer configurations and started to develop a relationship
> with some fellow ham buddies. Soon I became limited
> with the VHF/UHF band and wanted to hop onto HF. Of
> course, by the current rules one would have to jump on
> and take on learning Morse Code. I am absolutely sure
> I could do this if I had enough time. Having an ongoing
> career and being a family man, doesn't really leave
> a whole lot of time to practice dits and dahs. I have
> actually played around with the code several times, but
> real life events and changing priorities always got in
> the way; not en excuse just reality. I really do see
> learning the code as similar to my older engineering
> brethren making me learn how to use a slide rule; it's kind of > neat, but not really effective for me, or most folks, given the > advance of other computing methods and devices.

So what that boils down to is this, Joe: You don't want to
spend the time or effort needed to learn enough Morse Code
to pass the test.

What about the written tests for General and Extra? In order
to use digital modes on HF, you need at least a General
Class license.
>
> Fellow hams need to sit back and take an objective look
> at this hobby because it is dying a rapid death!

How do you figure?

When I became a ham in 1967, there were about 260,000 US hams.
Now there are over 650,000. The peak was about two years ago,
but there have been ups and downs before.

> Amateur Radio needs me and people just like me to join in on
> the HF bands and to use our real world high tech expertise to
> help further Amateur Radio.

OK, fine, how *exactly* will that happen?

Will you design and build new high tech radios?
Develop new high-performance modes of communication?
Set up some sort of new radio network?
Get more people interested in amateur radio by
demos, articles, etc.?

Where will the time come from to do that stuff?

For that matter, where will the time come from for
you to set up an HF station and operate it?

>Amateur Radio also needs my $$$$. Take a look at
>the declining number of amateur radio manufacturers
>and radios.

Did that in another part of this thread. The trend is
opposite to what you're saying.

>How could any business justify spending a
>lot on research and development in a market
>that is collapsing?

Well, the manufacturers don't think it's collapsing!
>
> Finally, I honor all those of you who are code proficient.

Thank you!

> I too may one day still be code proficient even if there is no > formal testing.

Why not now?

20-45 minutes a day for 4-6 weeks should get you to 5 wpm. There
are freeware Morse Code trainers that will run on most PCs. I
recommend the G4FON one.

> However, I do think that the right decision has been made
> by the FCC and will ultimately be finalized with little
> changes.

I don't think it's the right thing, but I'm afraid FCC will
do it anyway.

> This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into
> it.

I hope you're right.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2139. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 01:27:26 UTC
From: Aristotle <wandering_philosopher@socratic_discipline.org>
Message-ID: <ssf8e11un25hhkrbl4kkn91qgpb32cbn16@4ax.com>
Source record: 113499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

No you did not win, we all lose. I am sorry you are to damn lazy and
or stupid to learn code.

>I am so glad that the code is going to be droped from the stupid ham
>licence reqirements. Now I can get on the liberty net and whatever
>else I want to and it will all be legel. I told you simpltons that
>one day I would win and now it is just a mater of waiting until I can
>get on HF ssb. The FCC has done the right thing for the rest of us
>and I am graitful. BARF will rise again!

2140. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 01:29:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122254942.789799.85420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113500

robert casey wrote:
> >
> >> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> >> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> >> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> >> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> >> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
> >
> >
> > NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???
>
> He probably was talking about the supposed "spin-offs"
> from the space program to regular consumer products.
> Many such were actually spin doctoring. But the space
> program was/is still worth doing, and some products were
> developed quicker because of it. ICs and such.

I Think Len agrees. I certainly but it just goes to show that NASA like anything else in the Govt. Always spin yourself up as much as possible.

which of course is a part of the basis on which various folks claim that ARES and MARS press is also over blown, there is something there but it spun up and out of reality
> >

2141. Re: Dr. Robeson diagnoses Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 01:37:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122255443.724182.106890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113501

From: b.b. on Jul 24, 5:14 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > olivermartin15...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
>> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.
>
>> But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Still practicing without a license?

He HAS a license...amateur extra radio operator!!!

Problem is, he's in his Dr. Killgore personna again...

Thinks he's "medically qualified" to perform diagnoses.
Can't diagnose himself... :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2142. Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 01:38:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <DoXEe.158$sN2.2122875@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113502

How does every one feel about downloading all the question pools, aligning them with correct answers and posting them here?

This way, we need only redirect rec.radio.cb etc people here, and we can get some new licensees very quickly...

I also have a webpage where we can set the Q's and A's up at and make 'em available for download...

Anyone with more ideas on where we can begin advertising?

I mean, the more cb'ers and freebanders we can get informed, the more new licenses we can generate...

I can hardly wait, I am getting ahold of "Toothless Ted" on Chicken-Band channel 19 and letting him know right now--I just know he will be excited!

John

2143. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 01:39:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122255579.018906.320290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113503

From: robert casey on Jul 24, 5:26 pm

>>> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
>>> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
>>> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
>>> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
>>> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
>
>> NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???
>
>He probably was talking about the supposed "spin-offs"
>from the space program to regular consumer products.

Not "probably," DEFINITELY. :-)

>Many such were actually spin doctoring. But the space
>program was/is still worth doing, and some products were
>developed quicker because of it. ICs and such.

I think the whole of the space program is DEFINITELY
worth doing. Thought so before I was a part of it
and still think so.

But, another of those "spins" is that "ICs got developed
through NASA help." Yes, NASA did - eventually - use ICs
but most designs of the Mercury-Gemini-Apollo program
era were discretes, diodes and transistors that were
screened, life-tested, lot-tested, measured three ways
from Sunday, and recorded in many pages of logs along
the "TX" (Tested eXtra) route to being man-rated.

Those of us who had been in clean rooms and had to attend
"MRBs" (Material Review Board) of minimum three persons
to change a single part were well aware of all those
things being discrete solid-state devices. Instrumentation
electronics had unmerciful QC procedures...man-rated was
another plateau above that.

I got to hold in my hand a TI flat-pack (old style) in
1958. A J-K flip-flop. Marveled at how small, tiny,
etc., it was. [I'd just built a "fast" (1 MHz clock)
discrete flip-flop with much difficulty] NASA was
barely out of the Geophysical Year launch failures of 1956.

The IBM guidance computer for Apollo wasn't even designed
yet in '58 but it would eventually be made...using nearly
all discrete semis and core memory..."magnetic rope" (of

cores) for a "ROM." State of the art when design was begun...not even comparable to what consumers got a decade or two ago.

The COMMERCIAL-INDUSTRIAL part of electronics spurred on the development of better, newer ICs. Government, primarily military, was second, just not near as desirous of small solid-state ICs as the commercial-industrial side of electronics. Semiconductor makers enjoyed a boom on top of boom in the commercial and industrial electronics area. Even now, the "space rated" ICs are terribly few in number. Check the NASA preferred parts listings against the giant listings of any semi distributor. No comparison.

NASA's big mainframe computers and fancy consoles at MSFC and the Cape? All supplied by the commercial-industrial makers as essentially stock parts.

...and that's the way it is...(borrowing from Walter C.)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2144. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 01:42:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122255724.745572.267590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113504

From: Mike Coslo on Jul 24, 4:34 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Mike Coslo on Sun 24 Jul 2005 17:33
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>From: "Kim" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 16:11
>>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>Kim wrote:

>>>>>Aw, that's not a failing. Think about it: it's mostly a failing of THEIRS
>>>>>to get irritated. :)
>
>>>> Tsk. Ask the Coslonaut how his "reaching the threshold of space"
>>>> project is going. :-)
>
>>> It's going well enough, Kim.
>
>> I ain't "Kim." Don't get your hopes up, Mikey... :-)
>
> I was writing to Kim.

Were you now? Tsk. Sounds like your browser "got irritated!"

Attributions, my boy, attributions and right-arrows...

:-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2145. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 02:01:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122256859.993040.151610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113505

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > > . . . five dollar 12V ARC-5 Jeep radios . . . or were they 6V?
> >
> > I've seen 12 volt ARC-5s (special units from a collection) and it was
> > no hard task to convert them for six volts. Easy compared to
> > homebrewing.
>
> . . mmmm . . maybe mine was a 24V unit . . heh . .

Most of them were 24 volt units, but some 12 volt ones were made.
I've seen 'em.

The only mods I
> made to my 80M version to change it from a military version to a
> Novice ham version were (1) rewired the filaments (2) yanked the the
> tubes in the calibration circuit and circular filed 'em (3)
> disconnected the roller inductor loading coil from the PA tank circuit
> (4) fired it up and used a flourescent lamp tube taped to the antenna
> wire to find the "hot spot" along tank coil and soldered the end of the
> wire to that spot on the coil and took it to the airwaves.

I did all sorts of mods to the transmitters but they weren't really
that good unless you got lucky. The receivers were and
are much better.

They're also good as parts sources and VFOs. The Southgate Type 6
design has a lot of ARC-5 parts in it. You should see the
VFO - took a transmitter, cut off the chassis from just behind the 1625
sockets forward, drilled out the rivets and made a squashed chassis for
the VFO. A lot less work than making one
from scratch. Which I did for the Type 7
>
> > > Founders exits aside it was the "adjusting to the new
> > > market realities"
> > > which knocked out the U.S. radio builders. GM is
> > > still trying to catch
> > > up with Honda. Darwin prevails.
> >
> > Darwin got some help in those areas, though. Detroit
> > spent the '50s and
> > '60s building big cars and was completely surprised by the oil
> > embargoes. American electronics manufacturers, run
> > by "PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO", didn't know how

>
> I can see him now, sternly lecturing Art Collins about how to
> design a ham rig . . .

yeah, right - couldn't even solve a simple heterodyne design
problem...

> > to compete with
> > Japanese products. The rest is history.
>
> . . . Darwin treated those of us out here in the trenches
> well . . he
> did not treat Detroit, Collins or Drake well . .

Collins was still selling the S-line in 1975 (saw it in the same QST as
the TS-520).

What finally happened to Collins was that it was bought out by
Rockwell. Part of the deal was that they'd still make ham gear.
So Rockwell/Collins developed the KWM-380, an advanced rig with
an incredible price tag. Not many were sold, of course. There
was a general-coverage version called the HF-380, too.

It should be remembered that Collins' main products were avionics,
BC transmitters and such. Ham gear was a sideline - some sources
say the ham division was not intended to show a profit.

Drake, OTOH, is still around in a way, making general coverage
receivers. But not a line of ham gear.
>
> > > > Or look at the SB-101 from the mid 1960s. $369 for
> > > > the rig, almost
> > > > another hundred for the power supply, CW filter and
> > > > speaker. Say $450 -
> > > > for a kit! What's that in today's dollars?
> > >
> > > Beats me but the point is there.
> >
> > http://www.westegg.com/inflation
> >
> > says:
> >
> > $629 in 1975 inflates to $2355.99 in 2005
>
> Slick. Love those little Java calculators.
>
> > and
> >
> > $450 in 1965 inflates to $2681.16 in 2005
> >
> > Either of those will buy quite a bit more rig than a TS-520S > > or SB-101.
>

> I did a "reverse" run. I paid $500 for my little TS-50 HF
> mobile xcvr a
> few years ago which is far more radio than either of those boat
> anchors. I would have paid $99.25 in 1970.

Which would have got you a Heath HW-16. As a kit, without any
accessories.

> Which is about what one of
> the early clunker CB SSB xcvrs cost in those days.

Never followed that stuff closely. As I recall the AM ones cost about
that much back then. They were primitive single-conversion 455 kc IF
jobs with basic MOPA transmitters.
>
> If I paid $500 for it in 1965 it would be $2,900+ today, I
> coulda bought an S-Line for $2,900.

Well, IIRC, the cost of a 75S-3, 32S-3, power supply and filters would
run you more than $1500 back then. Which works out to almost
$9000 today.
>
> Ahhh . . the "good old days" . . but enough of wallowing
> in 'em . . ONWARD!

The best part is that if someone wants to use old gear, it's out there.
Some bargains, some not, but we have more choice now than ever before.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv

2146. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 02:12:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122257535.278385.300030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113506

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 24, 6:26 pm

>Joe Guthart wrote:
>
>> I am glad the FCC finally took charge of the situation and
>> decided to drop the code requirements.
>
>Well, it's what they're proposing to do, anyway.

You thought that out by yourself from Notice of PROPOSED
Rule Making (NPRM)? :-)

>>Here's why ...
>
>> I like Amateur Radio and want it to be a life-long hobby
>> for me ... however, I just plainly can't take time
>> to effectively study the code at this point in my life.
>> I think my story is pretty common for most of the
>> new people entering Amateur Radio today. I am a
>> 43 year old married father of three children. I
>> have a background in Aerospace Engineering and my
>> full time work is in the high technology sector
>> working with many well known computing infrastructure
>> companies. I got my Technician license about two and
>> a half years ago because it was a neat little challenge
>> for me to combine radio communications with some of
>> the newer digital modes. Basically, it was fun, not
>> really technical challenging, but a nice way to relax.
>> I do enjoy making contacts on 6 meters. I would
>> play around with some different radio, antenna, and
>> computer configurations and started to develop a relationship
>> with some fellow ham buddies. Soon I became limited
>> with the VHF/UHF band and wanted to hop onto HF. Of
>> course, by the current rules one would have to jump on
>> and take on learning Morse Code. I am absolutely sure
>> I could do this if I had enough time. Having an ongoing
>> career and being a family man, doesn't really leave
>> a whole lot of time to practice dits and dahs. I have
>> actually played around with the code several times, but
>> real life events and changing priorities always got in
>> the way; not en excuse just reality. I really do see
>> learning the code as similar to my older engineering
>> brethren making me learn how to use a slide rule; it's kind of
>> neat, but not really effective for me, or most folks, given the
>> advance of other computing methods and devices.

>
>So what that boils down to is this, Joe: You don't want to
>spend the time or effort needed to learn enough Morse Code
>to pass the test.

Amazing intellect you display, Jimmie!

However, before you slide into more snide remarks, try
to understand that NOT everybody lives a life of
radiotelegraphy. True!

>What about the written tests for General and Extra? In order
>to use digital modes on HF, you need at least a General
>Class license.

Tsk, tsk. Misdirecting into the writtens again, are you?

Let's get back to the MORSE CODE TEST NPRM, WT Docket
05-235. NOTHING in that NPRM proposes to change
anydamnthing in the WRITTEN test elements.

>> Fellow hams need to sit back and take an objective look
>> at this hobby because it is dying a rapid death!
>
>How do you figure?

Is morse code ANYTHING in radio in this new millennium
besides being a niche interest area for a bunch of
olde-tyme hammes stuck on telegraphy?

>When I became a ham in 1967, there were about 260,000 US hams.
>Now there are over 650,000. The peak was about two years ago,
>but there have been ups and downs before.

Tsk. There has been a slow but STEADY decline in
licenses for two years.

>> Amateur Radio needs me and people just like me to join in on
>> the HF bands and to use our real world high tech expertise to
>> help further Amateur Radio.
>
>OK, fine, how *exactly* will that happen?
>
>Will you design and build new high tech radios?
>Develop new high-performance modes of communication?
>Set up some sort of new radio network?
>Get more people interested in amateur radio by
>demos, articles, etc.?

Let's put it this way: It AIN'T gonna be by "designing
and building state-of-the-art VACUUM TUBE transmitters
in the 1990s!" :-)

>Where will the time come from to do that stuff?

What...are you now a time-study man for the "amateur
community" management?!?

>For that matter, where will the time come from for
>you to set up an HF station and operate it?

Isn't it about time YOU came up with some solid-state
designs yourself?!?

The solid-state era in all electronics happened 40
years ago.

>> Finally, I honor all those of you who are code proficient.
>
>Thank you!

Jimmie, you are an absolute CREDIT to amateur radio...of
the 1940s.

>> I too may one day still be code proficient even if there is no
>> formal testing.
>
>Why not now?

Why EVER?

>20-45 minutes a day for 4-6 weeks should get you to 5 wpm. There
>are freeware Morse Code trainers that will run on most PCs. I
>recommend the G4FON one.

Gosh, Jimmie, a half hour to an hour a day studying solid-
state circuitry could get you into shape to "design and
build your very own TRUE state-of-the-art transmitter!"

Why, heck and darn, all your neighbors could come over and
admire it, go oooh and aaahh and you explain every solid-
state bit of it!

>> However, I do think that the right decision has been made
>> by the FCC and will ultimately be finalized with little
>> changes.
>
>I don't think it's the right thing, but I'm afraid FCC will
>do it anyway.

Have courage. Do not be afraid!

The sun will come up...tomorrow...<down music>

>> This will not kill our hobby, but will inject more life into
>> it.
>
>I hope you're right.

He >>IS<< right, sweetums. All this radiotelegraphy was
beginning to stagnate in-place. Gangrene is sure to follow.

New, BETTER blood needed, stuff that doesn't want to revert
to 1940s and 1930s in standards and practices!

But...YOUR amateur radio world looks dark and dreary indeed.
No more will you be able to show off your "federally
authorized" morsemanship rank/title/privileges!

What will your neighbors say then?

dot dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2147. Re: Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 02:15:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122257733.646883.288420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113507

aren't web sites for that

a link shoud be enough

John Smith wrote:
> How does every one feel about downloading all the question pools, aligning them with correct answers and posting them here?
>
> This way, we need only redirect rec.radio.cb etc people here, and we can get some new licensees very quickly...
>
> I also have a webpage where we can set the Q's and A's up at and make 'em available for download...
>
> Anyone with more ideas on where we can begin advertising?
>
> I mean, the more cb'ers and freebanders we can get informed, the more new licenses we can generate...
>
> I can hardly wait, I am getting ahold of "Toothless Ted" on Chicken-Band channel 19 and letting him know right now--I just know he will be excited!
>
> John

2148. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 02:28:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122258508.228418.195410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113508

Bruce wrote:
> The fact that we have a Brain DEAD written test now, and soon to have no CW
> testing, why put any burden on the KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers, lets just
> Dump all licensing and call it CB.

I wonder can you pass the tests right now?

2149. Re: Is oliver realy Stevie they look much the same

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 03:07:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122260820.645341.128170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113509

olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > > > > In article
> > hack
> > > > > > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> > > > >
> > > > and banging my head around would help
> > > >
> > > Almost anything would be an improvement. So you *do* have a steel plate
> > > in your skull. Interesting confession there.
> > >
> > no such confession
> >
> Learn to read, or at least lay off the booze.

you need to learn to read

almost never touch booze

>
> > > > Gee you sure are you Stevie
> > > >
> > > English, stupid. Do you know it?
> > >
> > it is english
> >
> No, idiot. It may pass as English within your mentally challenged
> circle of friends, but not with everybody else.

sure soundly like stevie

>
> > gee you are looking more and more like stevie
> >
> And you are looking more and more like you are a retard.

2150. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 03:12:57 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <malbrook@trinet.com>
Message-ID: <mfl8e196a2m9rpsvqeigbrq6nupb7ljhs8@4ax.com>
Source record: 113510

On 24 Jul 2005 19:28:28 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Bruce wrote:
>> The fact that we have a Brain DEAD written test now, and soon to have no CW
>> testing, why put any burden on the KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers, lets just
>> Dump all licensing and call it CB.
>
>I wonder can you pass the tests right now?

Of course he can! Just ask him! In fact, he claims he made $250 a
piece on a bet that he made with a couple of "CB plussers" who said
that he couldn't pass an Extra Class exam without studying. Mind you,
this was AFTER the code requirements were reduced. There is, however,
no way to verify his story, but I do know that many of the hams who
knew Babbling Brucie when he lived in Ohio have said that he is a
bald-faced liar, so you decide...

2151. Re: Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 03:35:07 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzabigwigman.org>
Message-ID: <dc1mcqcch0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113511

Hey, lets get started. BARF will rise again, and Herb will
regain his throne.

73,

Lloyd

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:DoXEe.158$sN2.2122875@news.sisna.com...
> How does every one feel about downloading all the question pools, aligning
> them with correct answers and posting them here?
>
> This way, we need only redirect rec.radio.cb etc people here, and we can
> get some new licensees very quickly...
>
> I also have a webpage where we can set the Q's and A's up at and make 'em
> available for download...
>
> Anyone with more ideas on where we can begin advertising?
>
> I mean, the more cb'ers and freebanders we can get informed, the more new
> licenses we can generate...
>
> I can hardly wait, I am getting ahold of "Toothless Ted" on Chicken-Band
> channel 19 and letting him know right now--I just know he will be excited!
>
> John
>

2152. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 04:27:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <JeydnYus9LIm-3nfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113513

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> No, "commander" buzziebaby, I didn't do that...I WORKED IN
> that station and learned how from on-the-job instruction,
> manuals, texts, and a bunch of experts on HF transmitters.
> From the beginning of February 1953 to late January 1956.
> I didn't have a lot of choice in that even if I was a
> voluntary enlistee (ASN RA16408336). If you don't believe
> me, just check with Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV. Gene was in the
> the same outfit, worked at the same station, but on another
> of the four operating teams at ADA. I worked up to be an
> operating team leader until the microwave radio relay
> equipment arrived...and I became lead NCO at the ADA
> microwave installation. BTASE.

Sure you did lennieboy, sure. Bet you couldn't even turn the transmitter
on without help.
>
> If you are pissed or something, I'd suggest seeking medical
> help for your rampant resentment. Personally, I don't give
> a snit how jealous you are.

I have a ham license. Do you?

>
> That's Mighty Macho Morsemen, eedjut. Get it RIGHT.
>
> Anony-mousie buzziebaby, I've seen, operated HF stuff
> along with VHF, UHF, and microwave stuff IN the military
> and know damn well that the vast majority of military
> communications of half a century ago was NOT by any
> magic of morsemanship.

Sure you did lennieboy, sure. What do I have to be jealous of you? I
have a ham license. Something you can't do even with all these imaginary
skills you claim.

2153. Re: Monitoring Sample

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 04:30:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <JeydnYqs9LLN-nnfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113512

steve wrote:
>>
>
>
>
> One source tells of a typical day at the FCC, they call it survival,
> and it consists of protecting your back by gathering enough
> documentation on your boss, peers, and subordinates, that should
> the need arise, you can use it to protect yourself. Sounds like a
> place with soaring morale and little inclination to perform technical
> duties.
>
> steve
>
>
>

And that source is?

2154. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 05:11:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122268266.709690.117350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113514

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 24, 6:26 pm

>
> >For that matter, where will the time come from for
> >you to set up an HF station and operate it?
>
> Isn't it about time YOU came up with some solid-state
> designs yourself?!?

The question was " . . . you to set up an HF station and operate it?"
When was the last time you set up your personally-owned station and
operatated it yourself solid state or otherwise Sweetums?

Thought so.

One more laughable pot calling the kettle black pile of bafflegab from
the bowels of L.A.

> But...YOUR amateur radio world looks dark and dreary indeed.
> No more will you be able to show off your "federally
> authorized" morsemanship rank/title/privileges!

Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a Field Day getogether and
go brasspounding and watch the crowd of codeless wonders gather 'round
in amazement.

> What will your neighbors say then?
>
> dot dot
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

w3rv

2155. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 05:52:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <g6%Ee.4255$Uk3.1476@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113515

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 24, 6:26 pm
>
>
>>>For that matter, where will the time come from for
>>>you to set up an HF station and operate it?
>>
>> Isn't it about time YOU came up with some solid-state
>> designs yourself?!?
>
>
> The question was " . . . you to set up an HF station and operate it?"
> When was the last time you set up your personally-owned station and
> operatated it yourself solid state or otherwise Sweetums?
>
> Thought so.
>
> One more laughable pot calling the kettle black pile of bafflegab from
> the bowels of L.A.
>
>
>> But...YOUR amateur radio world looks dark and dreary indeed.
>> No more will you be able to show off your "federally
>> authorized" morsemanship rank/title/privileges!
>
>
> Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a Field Day getogether and
> go brasspounding and watch the crowd of codeless wonders gather 'round
> in amazement.

I'm sure that the world of amateur radio looks bleak indeed to a sourball standing on the outside, looking in. Morse Code testing or no, Mr. Anderson will still be on the outside looking in. There isn't a snowball's chance in hell that Leonard will obtain his "Extra right out of the box" before he completes his mission of taking up space and using up oxygen.

Dave K8MN

2156. Is KB9RQZ Really Mark Morgan? Is Mark Morgan KB9RQZ? Does Anyone Care?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 06:22:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122272574.967464.149480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113516

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > hack
> > > > > > > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > and banging my head around would help
> > > > >
> > > > Almost anything would be an improvement. So you *do* have a steel plate
> > > > in your skull. Interesting confession there.
> > >
> > no such confession
> >
> Learn to read, or at least lay off the booze.
>
> you need to learn to read

He doesn't need to learn to read nearly as bad as you need to learn
to write it.

> almost never touch booze

Almost never before 8AM, is more like it.

> > > > > Gee you sure are you Stevie
> > > > >
> > > > English, stupid. Do you know it?
> > >
> > it is english
> >
> No, idiot. It may pass as English within your mentally challenged
> circle of friends, but not with everybody else.
>
> sure soundly like stevie

"...soundly..."...?!?!
```

Only in that it's spelled correctly and is coherently organized.

Which is just about any average IQ'd 5th grader...but NOT Mark Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2157. MARKIE: Show Me The Proof...Where's The Myth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 06:26:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122272793.447489.127230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113517

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
> > >
> > > While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> > > in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> > > accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
> > >
> > Nope.
> > >
> > The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
> > ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.
>
> the rigs do that the operator may or may not be checking thier work but
> the rigs do it

And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!

> > It is additionally noted that Leonard H Anderson had nothing to do
> > with the creation for the aforementioned PLL or DDS that he's
> > attempting to brag on.
>
> so?
>
> >
> > > NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> > > "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> > > to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> > > higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> > > a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
> > >
> > NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???
>
> sure does most Govt agnecies do
>
> of course for the sack of PC they call it PR.

So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.

Steve

2158. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 06:45:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122273921.358383.19460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113518

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 05:53
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
> > >
> > >> While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> > >> in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> > >> accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > What do you mean "nope," opie?
> >
> > BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > What you use then, big PCTA extra of the Double Standard, a
> > couple crates of crystals?!?!?

Nope...Common sense, a signal generator and a spectrum analyzer.

> > > The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
> > >ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.
> >
> > Yeah, riiiight...the extra can do "laying on of hands" and
> > instantly tell (by magic of some kind of telepathy) what
> > frequency he is tuned to....

Nope.

But I don't depend on that digital display to be 100% accurate.

The FCC says "be sure", and I do.

> > > It is additionally noted that Leonard H Anderson had nothing to do
> > >with the creation for the aforementioned PLL or DDS that he's
> > >attempting to brag on.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. The fire in your hate-filled eyes is robbing you
> > of any comprehension of what was written.

Nope. Just noting that you're trying to "diss" Amateurs again.

> > NOBODY was "bragging" about PLLs or DDSs...just pointing out
> > that they exist. The PLL was first innovated in France in
> > 1932. The ball-point pen was innovated in Hungary in the
> > 1930s. You don't see any connection chronologically?

> No, you can't...you are WAY too busy trying trying to trash
> those who won't agree with you.

It's not about agreeing or disagreeing.

It's about assuming responsiblity for the proper operation of your
radio station and not surrendering your obligations to technology.

> >> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> >> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> >> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> >> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> >> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
> >
> > NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???
>
> Again, you FAIL in reading comprehension...but, what the hell,
> you've probably never read "NASA Technology Review" issues,
> either.

No, I don't.

But I ALSO know I've never read any "myths" from any of the NASA
originated texts that I have read.

You'll please provide some readily verifyable reference to ONE
"myth" that you suggest that NASA has promulgated...?!?!

> NASA Public Relations TAILORS and CRAFTS their words very
> carefully...as ALL good marketing types do. They can CREATE
> the IMPRESSION of things in their favor...as what ALL good
> marketting types do. All they have to do is some word
> re-arranging and some convenient OMISSION of certain things
> and those who read/listen/view what they are talking about
> will do the "myth creation" for them. Examples:
>
> "Ball point pen invented by NASA." Not so...it was invented
> before NASA existed.

Where was this written? What NASA source released it?

> "TANG" (the breakfast drink) came out of NASA as a drink for
> astronauts. Not so...it was invented for consumer use and to
> make money.

Where was this written? What NASA source released it?

> "Velcro was invented by NASA" to hold things in place in
> zero gravity. Not so. It's obvious that it does so but the
> invention was for the clothing industry.

Where was this written? What NASA source released it?

> "Teflon was invented by NASA" to withstand the rigors of
> spaceflight, etc. Not so. Fluoroethylene chemistry came
> out of needs of the Manhattan Project to use in extracting
> uranium and plutonium...which was later commercialized by
> DuPont as a polymer.

Where was this written? What NASA source released it?

At one time or another I've read about these various products and
some affiliation with NASA, but never as a product OF NASA research or
manufacture.

> ALL of those common myths are further reinforced by the
> everyday journalists and news editors who simply take news
> copy and "run with it" in their media.

That is the fault of the media that "runs" with it.

Not NASA.

> Everyone sees it in
> print (real ink on real paper) or sees it on TV (TV never
> lies in its news)...and they accept it as "fact."

Geeze...I've never ONCE seen any of those stories as you relate
them.

Maybe they are so fresh to you since you're the one generating
them?

> >> For an example of cross-pollination of myths, the "space
> >> amateur radio" carried on by space station and (previous)
> >> shuttle astronauts is done almost entirely by no-code-test
> >> Technician class licensed astronauts. It is part of their
> >> overall task assignment (every astronaut must adhere to
> >> NASA PR rules) and relatively minor in relation to all that
> >> they must do. Contrary to the fantasy of some, astronauts
> >> did not become hams first, THEN astronauts.
> >
> > Some of them certainly do. Witness Owen Garriot, W5LFL. The
> >FIRST Amateur-Astronaut to operate from the Space Shuttle.
>
> Believe at least one thing: There's NO such thing as an
> AMATEUR astronaut...(SNIP)

Huge Snip of 21 lines of tap-dancing to avoid the fact that you
were once again proven wrong.

But there ARE Astronauts who are licensed Amateur Radio operators
who WERE licensed Amateur Radio operators BEFORE they were designated
"Astronauts".

> > I know that facts aren't your forte, Lennie...Sorry to bust your
> >rant.
>

> The ONLY thing YOU "busted" was - once again - that you don't
> know dink of what you talk about. You are a poseur, a fraud,
> trying to make yourself look like somebody "important" when
> you don't know dink, haven't done dink in space OR aeronautics.

Neither have you judging by the above failed story lines, Lennie.

> Quit trying to read the ARRL "news" bulletins as if they are
> the primo word on "radio." That will help you overcome your
> quite-obvious IGNORANCE. Maybe. I don't have optimism that
> you can...

The only one ignorant of AMATEUR Radio here, Lennie, is you.

You made yet another attempt to make an end-run around facts and
got tripped up by the truth.

> LenIgotMyMouthInGearBeforeIwasThinkingAgainAnderson@ieee.org
>
> [Life Member of IEEE, as is Dr. Garriott]

Amazing what the right amount of cash can buy these days.

Steve, K4YZ

2159. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 06:48:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122274113.379015.306110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113519

robert casey wrote:
> >
> >> NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> >> "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> >> to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> >> higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> >> a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
> >
> >
> > NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???
>
> He probably was talking about the supposed "spin-offs"
> from the space program to regular consumer products.
> Many such were actually spin doctoring. But the space
> program was/is still worth doing, and some products were
> developed quicker because of it. ICs and such.

Actually it was yet another LennieRant effort to try and minimize
anything that he didn't do first, do better or "get paid for"...Of
course if he was able to take a swipe at Amateur Radio in the process,
well..that was a bonus...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2160. new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 06:59:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122274742.096807.27280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

There is a new group on google's called Amateur Radio Exprimentation.
It for the discussion of experimenting on the amateur radio bands and
under Part 15.

enjoy

Todd N9OGL

2161. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 07:09:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122275388.563775.17900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> There is a new group on google's called Amateur Radio Exprimentation.

Maybe they can "expriment" on a way to teach you English and some
better manners, Toiddie.

Enjoy.

Steve, K4YZ

2162. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 07:11:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122275465.320437.86920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113522

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 24, 6:26 pm
> >
> >
> >>>For that matter, where will the time come from for
> >>>you to set up an HF station and operate it?
> >>
> >> Isn't it about time YOU came up with some solid-state
> >> designs yourself?!?
> >
> >
> > The question was " . . . you to set up an HF station and operate it?"
> > When was the last time you set up your personally-owned station and
> > operatated it yourself solid state or otherwise Sweetums?
> >
> > Thought so.
> >
> > One more laughable pot calling the kettle black pile of bafflegab from
> > the bowels of L.A.
> >
> >
> >> But...YOUR amateur radio world looks dark and dreary indeed.
> >> No more will you be able to show off your "federally
> >> authorized" morsemanship rank/title/privileges!
> >
> >
> > Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a Field Day getogether and
> > go brasspounding and watch the crowd of codeless wonders gather 'round
> > in amazement.
>
> I'm sure that the world of amateur radio looks bleak indeed to a
> sourball standing on the outside, looking in. Morse Code testing or no,
> Mr. Anderson will still be on the outside looking in.

And he built that wall around himself himself. Unbelievable . . .

> There isn't a
> snowball's chance in hell that Leonard will obtain his "Extra right out
> of the box" before he completes his mission of taking up space and using
> up oxygen.

Yeah but yoicks would you really like to have him show up on the bands?? Ye Gods . . ! Maybe we oughta just leave well enough alone before he goes ape and climbs over his wall and does something we'll regret.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

2163. Markie Muddles Mistruths, Makes More Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 07:15:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122275744.891704.141170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113523

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > > > an_old_pervert:
> > > >
> > > > Hey, I own a set of that "male genitalia" you speak of, gotten quite a
> > > > bit of use and enjoyment out of it too!
> > > >
> > > > Now you accuse me of being "genitalia-phobic" in addition to your
> > > > accusations of my being "homophobic?"
> > > >
> > > not unless you are in fact Stevie k4yz
> > >
> > John...you'll have to forgive Markie...He was a regular in this
> > forum in the late 90's, then he blew off. Then we only had Lennie and
> > brain as our regular miscreants, but at least they can spell and put a
> > sentence together.
> >
>
> break
> > Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a
> > consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely sounds
> > like it's gender related get's him all wound up.
>
> more lies stevie

Nope.

Absolutely true.

Your own admissions of perversion refer.

> > I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an
> > absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar. His
>
> not pathological

Sure you are. You do it automatically. You think it's "OK" to
lie.

> > previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army Chemical
> > Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely
> > hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie would
> > have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)
>

> and more of your lies there

Nope...Just more exposure of YOUR lies, "Colonel"...

> > Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's quite
> > entertaining!

See what I mean?

Steve, K4YZ

2164. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 07:25:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122276320.444096.318130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

your the one who needs better manners instead of being an asshole.

Todd N9OGL

2165. Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 07:26:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122276382.987196.126030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113525

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > K4YZ:
> > >
> > > Scuttle-butt has it that you are sleeping with J.K. Rowling.
> >
> > I'd be happy to be sleeping with her investment banker!
>
> gee most investment bankers are Men

Mine's a woman. Why shouldn't her's be?

> and why sleep with the banker unless you are planing to steal

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...good sex?

> > > Hey, if things don't work out, how about introducing me? I have
> > > always been a sucker for tall women with british accents.
> >
> > Ditto.
> >
> > Have you seen the movie "The Girl In The Cafe"..?!?! It's a campy
> > May-December romance thingy...The female lead is a bit on the,
> > uh..."common" side, but she's got this cute-as-a-bug accent. I'd be
> > glad to let her lay next to me whispering naughty things in her cute
> > little way!
> >
> > > Besides, I have read all her novels, even the latest, "Harry Potter
> > > and the Half-Blood Prince."
> >
> > "Half-Blood"..??
>
> Stevie learn what you are tlaking before jumping in

Markie, Learn to write proper English before you try to admonish
someone for something you didn't understand in the first place.

Steve, K4YZ

PS: For Markie's benefit...yes, I know alllllllllllll about Harry
Potter and the current book...There's three copies in the house...It
was a play on words, you gene pool deficient deviant-idiot.

SR

2166. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 07:28:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122276486.722552.39320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> your the one who needs better manners instead of being an ###hole.

I love a twit who claims OTHERS need manners while using profanity
in a public forum...Proves me right...Again...

Toiddie...does mumsie and popsie know you're up this late?

Steve, K4YZ

2167. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 07:34:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122276893.621382.201960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

STEBIE, I'm 37 years old I don't need my parent's permission to stay up, secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see my parents.

Todd N9OGL

2168. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 09:49:16 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122284956.633162.61040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113529

an old friend wrote:
> Bruce wrote:
> > The fact that we have a Brain DEAD written test now, and soon to have no CW
> > testing, why put any burden on the KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers, lets just
> > Dump all licensing and call it CB.
>
> I wonder can you pass the tests right now?

He can, but only under the following conditions:

1. On a lark,
2. Without studying,
3. Scoring 100%,
4. In under 7 minutes,
5. And collect $250 from two CB-Plussers!

Or so he's said.

2169. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 09:49:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122284965.935295.203440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113528

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > >>From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 24, 6:26 pm

> > >>>For that matter, where will the time come from for
> > >>>you to set up an HF station and operate it?

> > >> Isn't it about time YOU came up with some solid-state
> > >> designs yourself?!?

> > > The question was " . . . you to set up an HF station and
> > > operate it?"
> > > When was the last time you set up your
> > > personally-owned station and
> > > operatated it yourself solid state or otherwise Sweetums?
> > >
> > > Thought so.

Well, we know that he once had a cb set. And was once co-owner of
some sort of land-mobile VHF system.

And about a quarter-century ago he plunked down the coin for
an R-70 - a manufactured general-covverage receiver.

All the rest I've read has been about his use of stations owned
and set up by others.

> > > One more laughable pot calling the kettle black pile of
> > > bafflegab from the bowels of L.A.

> > >> But...YOUR amateur radio world looks dark and dreary
> > >> indeed.
> > >> No more will you be able to show off your "federally
> > >> authorized" morsemanship rank/title/privileges!

> > > Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a
> > > Field Day getogether and
> > > go brasspounding and watch the crowd of
> > > codeless wonders gather 'round in amazement.

Been there. Done that.

Remember the year the one CW station made more points than
the three 'phone stations put together? Oh wait - that was
every year we operated...

> > I'm sure that the world of amateur radio looks bleak indeed
> > to a
> > sourball standing on the outside, looking in.
> > Morse Code testing or no,
> > Mr. Anderson will still be on the outside looking in.

> And he built that wall around himself himself.
> Unbelievable . . .

Like those "gated communities" where the houses are walled in...
>
> > There isn't a
> > snowball's chance in hell that Leonard will obtain
> > his "Extra right out
> > of the box" before he completes his mission of
> > taking up space and using up oxygen.

Maybe he will get a license once the code test goes away.
Doubtful, since he's never held any class of amateur
radio license, despite code test waivers, accomodations,
the creation of a license class with no code testing at
all, etc.
>
> Yeah but yoicks would you really like to have him show up on the
> bands?? Ye Gods . . ! Maybe we oughta just leave well enough
> alone
> before he goes ape and climbs over his wall and does something > we'll regret.
>
Getting a license is one thing. Actually setting up an effective
station is something quite different. For all of his talk and
bluster, he doesn't have a single ham radio project to show us.
Sure, he did some articles for a defunct magazine - a quarter century
ago. All basic theiry, covered elsewhere long before.

Nor will he set up a station unless somebody else is paying the
way and it's all manufactured appliances.

Antennas? Don't hold yer breath.

All talk - no action. That's ol' Len.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2170. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 10:19:34 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122286774.370885.133460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113531

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "b.b." on Sun 24 Jul 2005 09:11
>
> >John Smith wrote:
> >> Bert:
> >>
> >> Interesting idea.
> >>
> >> No question pools!!!
> >>
> >> Maybe apply that same principal to colleges. Don't put any relevant
> >> material necessary to pass the course in the textbook. Then have the
> >> instructor NEVER speak of anything which will be on the test.
> >>
> >> Now that would be a real test of what the students know!!! Teach 'em
> >> nothing and make the test DAMN DIFFICULT!
> >>
> >> I just love the original ideas people come up with here...
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >Jim/N2EY was the original "No Test International" proponent.
> >
> >But the Cecil/Jim proposal has a serious flaw. Few if any VE's have
> >the ability to differentiate a right solution from a wrong solution.
>
> Brian, ya have to cut "john smith" some slack in here...he
> hasn't seen the Battle Royals of the Mighty Macho Morsemen
> against the no-code-test forces of evil in older days. :-)
>
> Absolutely the BEST in my mind was the wonderfully-done
> parody of No Code International called "No SSB International"
> and 'sponsored' by Jim Kehler, KH2D. A whole website devoted
> to entirely destroying any no-code-test movements. Alas, no
> more "No SSB International" but NCI is still chugging right
> along. Kehler left Guam and moved to the states, hasn't been
> in here much, but his satirical bite can still be felt on
> his own little home page.
>
> Jimmie Noserve tried his best satirical rant with the "NTI"
> thing and drew a blank. He was trying to pick up on Smith
> Charts as a diatribe-weapon, falling short on his attempts
> at making such knowledge sound foolish.

But I think Jim/N2EY worked hard at it. At least as hard as KH2D.
Perhaps his mind isn't as efficient as Kehler's.

> "Smith Charts" aren't ham lore. Smith Charts are a terrific
> aid in seeing solutions to complex impedances over frequency
> but Phil Smith's wonderful diagram came out of pre-WW2
> testing of telephone lines!

Say it isn't so!

> Telephony involves voice and
> the Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of voice! That the
> entire RF industry picked up on Smith Charts, used them, even
> had the format hardwired into test instrumentation, is beside
> the point. Jimmie, a supposed professional in electronics,
> despises professionalism, the rest of the RF world, and any
> no-coder within spitting distance.

Or sputtering distance.

> >They might need to have Len@ieee.org look over their shoulder's. Hi!
>
> BWAAAAHAAAHAHAHAHAH!!!!
>
> Brian, I doubt there's enough money in the ARRL treasury to
> pay me for that task! :-)

It would be formidable...

> The FCC is NOT an academic organization and its "tests" are
> just regulatory tools, a part of the licensing process the FCC
> uses to regulate ALL of U.S. civil radio. It's no more than
> that...but lots and lots of hams are into the FANTASY that it
> shows some "expertise" in radio. Such test passings show
> ONLY that an individual has passed a few tests.

Paper chasers...

Everything has to be documented until it comes time to back their
claims.

> Note: Some
> like to puff up their chests and say "they are authorized
> by the federal government(!)" as if they were junior G-men.

As if???

> Privatized radio operator testing is a part of NOW and has
> been for several years. [privatization of other government
> regulations has been the norm too] As such, multiple-choice
> questions are the logical choice for private testers...avoids
> the need for testing the testers on their ability to discern
> correct written responses from wrong ones.

That is the only way that it can work.

> That avoids all
> the layering of beaurocracy that so many bitch about. The
> multiple-choice format has been around for decades and there's
> nothing actually wrong with it (except in the newsgroup
> environment where everyone seems to be an "expert" on testing).

They are many, many things.

> Further, the FCC only states that a MINIMUM of ten questions
> for each of the required questions per written test element
> for a class be generated. There is NO LIMIT on the maximum
> number! The questions (and answers) themselves left
> ENTIRELY up to the VEC Question Pool Committee. The VEC QPC
> is made up ONLY of licensed radio amateurs. By law.

And they can completely violate the Morse Code timing of elements. No wonder the FCC doesn't have a definition of Morse Code within the U.S. Statutes.

> Those who piss and moan about "today's multiple-choice" need
> to contact the VEC QPC...their fellows in the 'amateur
> community.' Open Pools are NOT going away as long as
> privatized testing exists. All that "memorization" BS is
> just BS by the olde-tymers who probably haven't taken a
> single written test of any kind in over a decade (except
> maybe a driver's license thing, depending on their state).

Some states have mandatory retesting for the elderly.

> Could anyone "memorize" a thousand questions...along with
> the single correct answer against three other incorrect
> answers? Might be possible for stage actors, I don't know
> for sure. I'm only acquainted with a few TV and screen
> actors of less than stellar rank...they have learned to
> memorize dialogue LINES, at least enough for a day's
> shooting (perhaps 6 to 8 pages of standard-format script).

Don't go giving them ideas. I can see at least one pretending to be a TV weatherman with one page of dialogue.

> So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> value.

They will claim that all new hams have photographic memories. It will be the only way of distinguishing themselves from the "riff-raff."

> U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY, not an occupation, not some
> vital service to the nation. I just wish that more would
> treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of
> their clouded imaginations about their "federal

> authorization" license and imagined self-importance.
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I consider it an important radio service that could be utilized in the service to nation. But I doubt that every single citizen or government agency can be guaranteed emergency communications via amateur radio, no matter what the MMM would have you think.

2171. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 10:32:46 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122287566.445894.173760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113532

k...@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a Field Day getogether and
> go brasspounding and watch the crowd of codeless wonders gather 'round
> in amazement.

You're certain that it's amazement?

2172. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 10:36:14 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122287774.119637.156670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113530

Dave Heil wrote:

> I'm sure that the world of amateur radio looks bleak indeed to a
> sourball standing on the outside, looking in. Morse Code testing or no,
> Mr. Anderson will still be on the outside looking in. There isn't a
> snowball's chance in hell that Leonard will obtain his "Extra right out
> of the box" before he completes his mission of taking up space and using
> up oxygen.
>
> Dave K8MN

Always the optimist.

2173. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:02:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122289345.689346.241510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It's renamed amateur-radio-experimentation

Todd N9OGL

2174. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:03:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122289388.995169.83370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Exprimentation?lnk=li&hl=en

2175. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:06:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122289564.553862.175520@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The link...

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/Amateur-Radio-Experimentation?lnk=li&hl=en

todd n9ogl

2176. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:09:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122289768.421640.304860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> STEBIE, I'm 37 years old...(SNIP)

My bet is on 3 POINT 7.

> I don't need my parent's permission to stay
> up...(SNIP)

But you are seriously in need of adult supervision, Toiddie. You
can't even keep an ISP without getting FRAG'd for your behaviour.

> secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see my
> parents.

Probably one of the few perks they perceive of having you in it.

Steve, K4YZ

2177. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:13:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122290024.825003.232530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113537

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>From: N...@AOL.COM on Jul 24, 6:26 pm
> >
> >
> >>>For that matter, where will the time come from for
> >>>you to set up an HF station and operate it?
> >>
> >> Isn't it about time YOU came up with some solid-state
> >> designs yourself?!?
> >
> >
> > The question was " . . . you to set up an HF station and operate it?"
> > When was the last time you set up your personally-owned station and
> > operatated it yourself solid state or otherwise Sweetums?
> >
> > Thought so.
> >
> > One more laughable pot calling the kettle black pile of bafflegab from
> > the bowels of L.A.
> >
> >
> >> But...YOUR amateur radio world looks dark and dreary indeed.
> >> No more will you be able to show off your "federally
> >> authorized" morsemanship rank/title/privileges!
> >
> >
> > Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a Field Day getogether and
> > go brasspounding and watch the crowd of codeless wonders gather 'round
> > in amazement.
>
> I'm sure that the world of amateur radio looks bleak indeed to a
> sourball standing on the outside, looking in. Morse Code testing or no,
> Mr. Anderson will still be on the outside looking in. There isn't a
> snowball's chance in hell that Leonard will obtain his "Extra right out
> of the box" before he completes his mission of taking up space and using
> up oxygen.

Personally I am saving up diuretics and caffinated beverages in
anticipation of paying my "final respects" to the Licenseless One.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2178. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:25:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122290710.415409.103430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113538

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> value.

But so far YOU can't pass a test with only 35 questions in it...So
why the subterfuge about 1000...?!?!

> U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY...(SNIP)

Says who?

The FCC doesn't.

No where in Part 97 does the word "hobby" appear.

Are there people who participate in Amateur Radio as a
"HOBBY"...?!?! Certainly..just as there are people who participate in
Emergency Medical Services, Search And Rescue, Meals-on-Wheels, etc for
whatever personal gratification they can glean from it.

That people do "have fun" does NOT negate the value of the Amateur
Radio SERVICE as the disaster relief, emergency communications and
health and welfare medium that it ALSO is.

> (UNSNIP)...not an occupation...(SNIP)

It is to many. It provides jobs.

> (UNSNIP)...not some vital service to the nation.

It is that too.

That YOU don't think it is is irrelevent.

> I just wish that more would
> treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of
> their clouded imaginations about their "federal
> authorization" license and imagined self-importance.

I am sure you DO wish that many would treat it that way. Then all
of your rantings, musings and other mispent efforts herein would be
justified.

Sorry for you "they" don't, eh?

> LenIwillAlwaysBeOnTheOutsideLookingInAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2179. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:27:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122290861.326395.203820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113539

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Broose, take your meds REGULARLY like a good little mental
> misfit midget...
>
> With all due bestest regards

There's the pot engaging the kettle in meaningful conversation.

> LenWhyDoIalwayManageToLookLikeAnidiotAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2180. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 11:37:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122291433.912247.136240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

but it takes dickheads like you to evoke it.....cause and effect,
beside Consolidated still are a bunch of assholes not to listen to my
side.

Todd N9OGL

2181. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 12:39:42 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8701733.2458F789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 113541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 24 Jul 2005 14:14:16 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> drooled:

> UmFscGg6DQoNClNlZW1zIHlvdXIgc2V4dWFsIGZydXN0cmF0aW9uIGluIGhhdmluZyB3b21lbiBp
> biB5b3VyIGFyZWEgZmluZGluZyB5b3UgbGFja2luZyBjYXVzZXMgeW91ciBzdXNwaWNpb24gdGhh
> dCBvdGhlcnMgYWxzbyBzdWZmZXIgeW91ciBzaXR1YXRpb24uLi4NCg0KVGhlcmFweSBtYXkgaGVs
> cCB5b3VyIGNvbmRpdGlvbi4uLg0KDQpKb2huDQoNCiJSYWxwaCIgPG5vdG1lQGdsZW5kYWxlPiB3
> cm90ZSBpbiBtZXNzYWdlIG5ld3M6ZGMwdmUxJDdlbSQwQHBpdGEuYWx0Lm5ldC4uLg0KPiANCj4g
> PG9saXZlcm1hcnRpbjE1MTcwQHlhaG9vLmNvbT4gd3JvdGUgaW4gbWVzc2FnZQ0KPiBuZXdzOjEx
> MjIyMzMxNDEuMDY0MDk3LjEwMDk3MEBnNDdnMjAwMGN3YS5nb29nbGVncm91cHMuY29tLi4uDQo+
> IA0KPiANCj4gSm9obiBTbWl0aCB3cm90ZToNCj4+IG9saXZlcjoNCj4+PiBBbJIHdpbGwgdGFr
> ZSB5b3VyIHN1Z2dlc3Rpb24gdG86DQo+PiByZWMuaG93LmRvLkkuZ2V0LmEud29tYW4NCj4+IGFu
> ZCBzZWUgaWYgdGhleSBmZWVsIHRoYXQgaXMgYSB2aWFibGUgc3VnZ2VzdGlvi4NCj4gDQo+IFRv
> byBiYWQgeW91IGNhbid0IGV2ZW4gZ2V0IGEgd29hbW4uDQo+IA0KPiBJdCBpcyBhIHNhZmUgYmV0
> IHRoYXQgZmV3IG9mIHVzIGhhdmUgZXZlciBnb3R0ZW4gYSAid29hbW4iLCB3aGF0ZXZlciB0aGF0
> DQo+IGlzLg0KPiANCj4=

Care to post that in utf-8 or in ascii?

2182. Re: Markie Mularkie <- malarkey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 12:44:29 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050725124429.A6882170A8@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 113542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <87017833.24F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" <l...@no.spam> wrote:
>
> The word is spelled 'malarkey' if you mean full of malarkey.

Translation: Dumbass Lloyd had to look it up himself.

> People who live in glass houses should not throw things.

And it's a good thing you live in that section 8 public
assistance housing, huh, FATASS?

2183. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 12:51:03 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrnde9o0b.cfh.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 113543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <1122289345.689346.241510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
N9OGL wrote:
> It's renamed amateur-radio-experimentation

It should be renamed:

amateur-radio-sit-around-and-wonder-what-to-do-with-my-useless-hf-gear

Now that Google and two other companies invested $100,000,000 in BPL.

Geoff.
--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (077)-424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
VoN Skype: mendelsonfamily. Looking for work as a CTO or consultant in
handheld gaming, large systems development, handheld device construction, etc.
Support amateur (ham) radio, boycott Google!!!

2184. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 13:41:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122298870.403964.215130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> but it takes ####heads like you to evoke it.....cause and effect,
> beside Consolidated still are a bunch of ###holes not to listen to my
> side.

Still not accepting responsibility for your own actions, I see.

Consolidated had all they needed...My complaint (and others...)
and YOUR own words in archives...

What more was to be said?

"Gee...He MADE me use all that profanity! Forced me at GUN POINT
to act lie a 2 year old in a tantrum!"

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

Steve, K4YZ

2185. Re: Markie Mularki

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 13:44:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122299083.846522.183590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113545

Lloyd wrote:
> The word is spelled 'malarkey' if you mean full of malarkey.
> People who live in glass houses should not throw things.

The whole thing is a play on words.

So who cares?

Steve, K4YZ

2186. More Morgan Mularkie To Muddle Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 13:48:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122299318.961942.82820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113546

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Steve was wrong.
> >
> > No, Steve wasn't.
>
> stvie IS wrong

Who's "stvie"...?!?!

More Irish

> but will not admit it

Why would I admit to something that isn't true?

2187. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 13:58:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122299880.577004.141290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113547

b.b. wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a Field Day getogether and
> > go brasspounding and watch the crowd of codeless wonders gather 'round
> > in amazement.
>
> You're certain that it's amazement?

No actually I'm not certain. At one point I jumped out of the seat to
do a pit stop and asked one of 'em to fill in for me while was gone. In
his case the look on his face was either fear or embarrassment, I
couldn't tell which . . .

2188. More Morgan Mularkie To Muddle Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 14:04:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122300258.667178.91120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113548

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > I have more "mind" behind the left eye than you do in the entire
> > > > > > > > cranial cavity, Markie.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > then use it
> > > > > >
> > > > > break
> > > > > > I do.
> > > > >
> > > > then use the mind you claim to have
> > > >
> > > I do.
> >
> realy never seen any sign of it

That's because you have an English comprehension deficit.

> > But I have fun, too.
> > >
> > > Rubbing your nose in your silliness is recreation. Not much
> > > recreation, though, because you make this almost too easy!
> >
> then you admit to sadism again but you will not have the coarage to
> admit your admission

It's not sadism.

There's nothing that I need the "coarage" to admit to.

> > > > That's why I won't let you lie uncontested.
> > > >
> > > no your obsesstion is why you keep this up
> > >
> > I see.
> > >
> > And what is the part that YOU keep up?
> >
> i defend myself from your attacks
>
> as is my right
>
> if you stopp attacking me I'll stop defending

There's no defense for devaintism and lying.

When you give up your deviance and apologize for and stop lying,
then things will get better. Until then, saddle up!

> > To the best of my knowledge, no one is there twisting your arm,
> > FORCING you to reply to a single word of this...
>
> no you are harrassing me in other ways

I am not harrassing you.

You lie, I respond by showing your lies.

> no one forcing you either

You finally got one right.

> > > > Then what "freuders" do you refer to?
> > >
> > > you mostly
> >
> > Then since you insist that I am one, please tell us what a
> > "freuder" is supposed to be...
>
> one who keeps reffering to people genitals

What's "reffering"...?!?!

I looked up "freuder" in Websters and Funk & Wagnalls.

Your alleged definition is no where to be found.

> > > when you carry on a multiyears feud over morse code basicaly
> >
> > I'm not fueding over Morse Code.
>
> yes you are

No I'm not.

I'm rubbing your nose in your on-line misbehaviour.

I've already stated that the FCC should just get it over with and
drop the tests. I did that a looooooooooooooooooooooooooong time
ago, Markie, but you have been too busy making up "stalking" and
harrassing" allegations to see it.

> > You, Lennie and Brain may be...I'm just wating for the FCC to make
> > up my mind for me. I'm still proficient to about 35WPM...more in the
> > contests.
>
> wow that is sick you are willing to allow the FCC to make up your mind
> for you
>
> you are much sicker than I ever thought

The REALLY sick aprt is that you are incapable of reading
"sarcasm"...

But then it all just bears out my assertion on YOUR comprehension
deficits.

> > > > > > You better do some history on where that all took place them look
> > > > > > up Winchester, TN on Mapquest.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > TN KY and then the folks spread out over the state so they would not
> > > > > > kill each othe roff sounds about right to me
> > > > >
> > > > > Then you're as ignorant of Southern history as you are Amateur
> > > > > Radio.
> > > >
> > > > not at all the feuding families started in there backwoods and then
> > > > spread over the south to where they live now, rather like a cancer
> > >
> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhh! FEUDING! So now you spell it right.
>
> and you were too stupid to figure it out before

No...

I was forcing you to slow down and spell a simple word correctly.

It worked.

It took me forever to get you to stop spelling "liar" as "leir" or
"lier"...Now you DO spell it "lair"...Still not right but at least
you're getting the letters right.

Progress, boyo...slow but sure.

> > You're obviously ill-prepared to discuss THAT issue too, Markie,
> > since the last "feud" in this area was between Federal and Confederate
> > troops.
>
> nope more stevie stupidity
>
> The Bakers and the whites are still feuding if more gentilly then
> before

Nope. Not here.

> > > > And that's pretty ignorant.
> > >
> > > not realy
> >
> > Yes really. You obviously have no comprehension of where I am,
> > what the history is or what the current state of economy and lifestyle
> > is.
>
> I know the history of the south the north and the enitre country quite

> well

No, you obviously don't.

> I have seen the south but in the near current frame of time and over
> the past

What?

> The south is like regions of the US fairly well devided, esp over race,
> but class as well

Less so than the North. And I grew up in the North. (Still not
got tyhe clue, have you, Markie...?!?!

> > > > > > Yes, yes you are, Markie! Come out of your shell! Good step Boyo!
> > > > >
> > > > > you are the obsessive compulsive
> > > >
> > > > Nope. You are. You admitted it right there.
> > >
> > > more lies Stevie boy
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > And now you've gone and backtracked from your admission...Guess we
> > have to withdraw the "Good step Boyo" and exchange it for a "Liar as
> > usual, Wimpo".
>
> never made the admission so it was another of your lies

Not a lie.

You've done it.

> > > > > > Now DO something about your problem!
> > > > >
> > > > > my problem is frankly you
> > > >
> > > > Then you have a big problem. Because MY problem is you and your
> > > > lying.
> > >
> > > nope my problem is a little boy in mans body who can't face facts, that
> > > being yourself
> >
> > I face lots of facts.
>
> maybe

No "maybe" to it...

> > First being that you're an admitted sexual deviant and
> > untrustworthy liar (as if there was a TRUSTworthy liar...?!?!)
>

> false

Absolutely true.

> > See! Faced right up to it!
>
> nope

Sure I did! Right there. You even quoted it!

> > > > Stop lying and making assinine accusations and your "problem" will
> > > > disappear.
> > >
> > > admitting at last to aid supresioon of disent
> >
> > Wish I knew what that said...
>
> then learn

I refuse the lobotomy, Boyo.

> > > > > lets see I can ask you to grow up
> > > > >
> > > > I turn 50 in September, Markie...Already there.
> > >
> > > so let your alleged mind get out the preteen years
> >
> > Huh?
>
> your brain is stuck back in your preteen years

No, it's not.

> you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"

Little boys run around "screaming" "he said a bad word" because
responsible adults try to teach impressionable children what the bad
words are.

Obviously you are not the product of responsible adults.

> > > > > > > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I've got a few days off coming up...Maybe I'll do
> > > > > > > > just that....I've always wanted to see upstate Michigan...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > more threats
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope...just a whimful idea on how to spend a few days off.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > more threats
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > more threats
> >

> > Nope.
>
> yes indeed

Nope.

> > > and not even man enough to admit it
> >
> > Admit what?
>
> to making threats

What threats?

Steve, K4YZ

2189. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 14:06:16 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <X2BQVNFT38558.6710185185@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 113549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<1122276893.621382.201960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> STEBIE, I'm 37 years old I don't need my parent's permission
to stay
> up, secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see
my
> parents.

They don't get down to the basement much, with you living there,
right, Toadie?

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2190. Mark Morgan Slips And Exposes His Cocaine Abuse Habit Then Tries To Lie About It

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 14:12:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122300725.210553.117200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113550

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > hack
> > >
> > > > > > > And W H O said anything about the government, DeviantBoy...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you did the president is part of the Govt
> > > > >
> > > > You didn't answer my question in regards to the OTHER agencies who
> > > > have publically lauded and complimented Amateur Radio
> > >
> > > yes I did a line or three
> >
> > I have absolutely NO DOUBT that you've done a LOT of "lines".
> >
> > In as much as that was NOT an answer to a question I had posed, I
> > wonder what made you slip and admit that you are a Cocaine abuser
> > too...?!?!
>
> no such admision

Sure there was..."yes I did a line or three..."

Cocaine users refer to their habit as "doing a line".

> more libel

It's not "libel" if it's true.

I quoted YOUR words.

Ergo: No libel.

> > > more stevie lies
> >
> >
> > > > > > There are more entities other than the government who have anything
> > > > > > to say about Amateur Radio!
> > > > >
> > > > > and they work in much the same way

> > > >
> > > > And you have the stones to say >>I<< am "losing it"...?!?
> > >
> > > more obsession with male anotomy Stevie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes indeed

The only one "obsessed" with male anatomy, Mark, is you.

> > You see, Markie, if you understood the language, colloquial OR
> > traditional, that you're abusing, you'd know the history and the
> > integration of the term "do you have the stones"...?!?!
>
> I certainly do know it and know therefore you are shwoing you genital
> obsession again
>
> I have fogotten more linguists than you will ever know

More linguists have forgotten you than you will ever know.

Why do you insist on lying about being so well versed in
linguistics when it's so patently obvious that you are incompetent in
even basic English skills...?!?!

> > YOU think it's got to due with male anatomy, but that's only
> > because you're gay and it's a lifestyle issue for you.
>
> not gay ole boy

Sure you are.

You said you have sex with men. You're gay. Period.

> > > and do make up your mind wether i am a coward or brave
> >
> > Oh, you're NOT brave...Stupid...Confused...Functioanlly
> > Illiterate...All of those, but "brave" is not an adjective that fits
> > you.
>
>
> then you have told anothe rlie

"anothe rlie"...?!?!

> Cobfused by you stpuidity is only true thing in there

"Cobfused"...?!?! I can only imagine what you were thinking when
you screwed that up.

"stpuidity"...?!?!

I just love it when you try to accuse someone of "stpiudity" yet
can't SPELL "stupidity"...

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

2191. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 14:20:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122301249.110783.62270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

anonym...@remailer.hastio.org wrote:
> In article
> <1122276893.621382.201960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > STEBIE, I'm 37 years old I don't need my parent's permission
> to stay
> > up, secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see
> my
> > parents.
>
> They don't get down to the basement much, with you living there,
> right, Toadie?

More likely he's got them tied up in the basement and uses their house and savings for whatever ungodly purposes he can dream up...

Maybe to finance that Liquid Oxygen-cooled storage capacitor idea of his...

I wonder if mumsie and popsie knows he got booted from the only local ISP in Taylorville for acting like an idiot on the Internet?

I wonder if they know he had their pictures on his webpage which was linked to other webpages of his that were rife with profanities, insults and assinine behaviour...?!?!

I wonder if he's still flying that illegal ultralight? He'll probably "park" it in some neighbor's tree someday, becoming the laughing stock of South Central Illinois and getting his name brought to the attention of the local FSDO.

Steve, K4YZ

2192. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 14:23:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1122301380.359232.187580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113552

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > > >
> > > > Thought so.
>
> Well, we know that he once had a cb set. And was once co-owner of
> some sort of land-mobile VHF system.

My MOTHER had her own CB mobile rig and was also part owner of VHF/FM
biz band xcvrs and she knew how to wire 'em and operate 'em.

When she she was seventy-something.

> > > > codeless wonders gather 'round in amazement.
>
> Been there. Done that.
>
> Remember the year the one CW station made more points than
> the three 'phone stations put together? Oh wait - that was
> every year we operated...

Then you Noel and I decided we were tired of doing all the work again
and didn't go back the following year. The year 50 of their ops ran up
a grand total of around 150 contacts for the entire 24 hour event.
BWAAAHAHA!

> All talk - no action. That's ol' Len.

"The Global Example"
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2193. Re: Markie Mularkie <- he means malarkey (No, I meant "Mularkie")

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 14:28:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122301711.270628.85380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113553

.

2194. Re: Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:40:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3K7Fe.33$kB2.369779@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113584

OK, got the tech and general done, here are the questions AND THE ANSWERS:
http://blake.prohosting.com/mailguy2/techtest.txt

http://blake.prohosting.com/mailguy2/generaltest.txt

I will have the extra Q/A as soon as I have enough time to put it together between chores...

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:DoXEe.158$sN2.2122875@news.sisna.com...
> How does every one feel about downloading all the question pools, aligning them with correct answers and posting them here?
>
> This way, we need only redirect rec.radio.cb etc people here, and we can get some new licensees very quickly...
>
> I also have a webpage where we can set the Q's and A's up at and make 'em available for download...
>
> Anyone with more ideas on where we can begin advertising?
>
> I mean, the more cb'ers and freebanders we can get informed, the more new licenses we can generate...
>
> I can hardly wait, I am getting ahold of "Toothless Ted" on Chicken-Band channel 19 and letting him know right now--I just know he will be excited!
>
> John
>

2195. Stevie libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:41:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122306110.792782.277080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113554

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut

> > > In as much as that was NOT an answer to a question I had posed, I
> > > wonder what made you slip and admit that you are a Cocaine abuser
> > > too...?!?!
> >
break
> > no such admision
>
> Sure there was..."yes I did a line or three..."
>
> Cocaine users refer to their habit as "doing a line".

so

and so much for your claim you don't make stuff up

and you
>
> > more libel
>
> It's not "libel" if it's true.

go ask a lawyer about that

>
> I quoted YOUR words.

so and distroted them

standard steve shit

>
> Ergo: No libel.

bulshit

one it isn't true

you "logic" is GIGO

>
> > > > more stevie lies

>
cut

2196. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:44:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122306264.320854.23570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> anonym...@remailer.hastio.org wrote:
> > In article
> > <1122276893.621382.201960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > STEBIE, I'm 37 years old I don't need my parent's permission
> > to stay
> > > up, secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see
> > my
> > > parents.
> > >
> > They don't get down to the basement much, with you living there,
> > right, Toadie?
>
> More likely he's got them tied up in the basement and uses their
> house and savings for whatever ungodly purposes he can dream up...

more libel stevie a good thing for your family you are poor
>
> Maybe to finance that Liquid Oxygen-cooled storage capacitor idea
> of his...
>
> I wonder if mumsie and popsie knows he got booted from the only
> local ISP in Taylorville for acting like an idiot on the Internet?
>
> I wonder if they know he had their pictures on his webpage which
> was linked to other webpages of his that were rife with profanities,
> insults and assinine behaviour...?!?!
>
> I wonder if he's still flying that illegal ultralight? He'll
> probably "park" it in some neighbor's tree someday, becoming the
> laughing stock of South Central Illinois and getting his name brought
> to the attention of the local FSDO.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2197. Stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:47:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122306456.384405.62100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113556

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
cut
> > i defend myself from your attacks
> >
> > as is my right
> >
> > if you stopp attacking me I'll stop defending
>
> There's no defense for devaintism and lying.

no need to defend either

merely my right to exist as I choose
>
> When you give up your deviance and apologize for and stop lying,
> then things will get better. Until then, saddle up!
>
> > > To the best of my knowledge, no one is there twisting your arm,
> > > FORCING you to reply to a single word of this...
> >
> > no you are harrassing me in other ways
>
> I am not harrassing you.

you are harrasing me

>
> You lie, I respond by showing your lies.

nope

You libel and conitue to libel
cut
> > > > and not even man enough to admit it
> > >
> > > Admit what?
> >
> > to making threats
>
> What threats?

"one shot one kill for exampl
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2198. Stvie coward first class

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:48:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122306532.293541.57210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113557

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Steve was wrong.
> > >
> > > No, Steve wasn't.
> >
> > stvie IS wrong
>
> Who's "stvie"...?!?!
>
> More Irish
>
> > but will not admit it
>
> Why would I admit to something that isn't true?

more lies

can't even leave behind the text you are dening

2199. Stevie libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:51:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122306714.903524.87840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113558

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:

cut
>
> >
> > break
> > > Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a
> > > consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely sounds
> > > like it's gender related get's him all wound up.
> >
> > more lies stevie
>
> Nope.

a lie on your part
>
> Absolutely true.
>
> Your own admissions of perversion refer.

nope Nothing I have ever typed suggests that I don't know male from
Female

>
> > > I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an
> > > absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar. His
> >
> > not pathological
>
> Sure you are. You do it automatically. You think it's "OK" to
> lie.

Nope, and not autoumaticaly

It is ok to lie, indeed you are proving (to me) it is a good idea to
lie
>
> > > previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army Chemical
> > > Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely
> > > hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie would
> > > have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)
> >
> > and more of your lies there

>
> Nope...Just more exposure of YOUR lies, "Colonel"...

mine plus the three you added to them with your assumtions asshole

>
> > > Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's quite
> > > entertaining!
>
> See what I mean?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2200. Stevie libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:52:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122306747.164331.242980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113559

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:

cut
>
> >
> > break
> > > Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a
> > > consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely sounds
> > > like it's gender related get's him all wound up.
> >
> > more lies stevie
>
> Nope.

a lie on your part
>
> Absolutely true.
>
> Your own admissions of perversion refer.

nope Nothing I have ever typed suggests that I don't know male from
Female

>
> > > I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an
> > > absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar. His
> >
> > not pathological
>
> Sure you are. You do it automatically. You think it's "OK" to
> lie.

Nope, and not autoumaticaly

It is ok to lie, indeed you are proving (to me) it is a good idea to
lie
>
> > > previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army Chemical
> > > Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely
> > > hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie would
> > > have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)
> >
> > and more of your lies there

>
> Nope...Just more exposure of YOUR lies, "Colonel"...

mine plus the three you added to them with your assumtions asshole

>
> > > Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's quite
> > > entertaining!
>
> See what I mean?

repsonding to yourself, not a good sign for your mental health
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2201. Stevie wasn't reading again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:55:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122306935.863476.13160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113560

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
> > >
> > > > While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> > > > in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> > > > accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
> > > ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.
> >
> > the rigs do that the operator may or may not be checking thier work but
> > the rigs do it
>
break
> And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!

the operator

If they notice

and if they can find him/or her

and more of your beating a dead horses ass

>
> > > It is additionally noted that Leonard H Anderson had nothing to do
> > > with the creation for the aforementioned PLL or DDS that he's
> > > attempting to brag on.
> >
> > so?
> >
> > >
> > > > NASA has a rather large PR department, adjacent to a large
> > > > "technology licensing" department, all of which is intended
> > > > to help support NASA operations' budgets. Their PR is on a
> > > > higher level than the ARRL's PR, but both tend to generate
> > > > a considerable number of MYTHS in their respective areas.
> > >
> > > NASA pays people to write "MYTHS"...???
> >
```

> > sure does most Govt agnecies do
> >
> > of course for the sack of PC they call it PR.
>
> So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.

Lenn already has provided several, he does know the feild better than I

>
> Steve

2202. Re: Is KB9RQZ Really Mark Morgan? Is Mark Morgan KB9RQZ? Does Anyone Care?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:57:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122307068.935330.221540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113561

```
inanswer to the title obviously you do

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > > > > > > > > In article
> > > > hack
> > > > > > > > > why on the say so of spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, because it is painfully obvious you are mentally damaged.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > and banging my head around would help
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Almost anything would be an improvement. So you *do* have a steel plate
> > > > > > in your skull. Interesting confession there.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no such confession
> > > > >
> > > > Learn to read, or at least lay off the booze.
> > >
> > you need to learn to read
>
> He doesn't need to learn to read nearly as bad as you need to learn
> to write it.

>
> > almost never touch booze
>
> Almost never before 8AM, is more like it.

more libel

>
> > > > > > Gee you sure are you Stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > English, stupid. Do you know it?
> > > > >
> > > > it is english
```

> > >
> > > No, idiot. It may pass as English within your mentally challenged
> > > circle of friends, but not with everybody else.
> >
> > sure soundly like stevie
>
> "...soundly..."...?!?!
>
> Only in that it's spelled correctly and is coherently organized.

no the tone and tennor is also quite close

same sort of mindless attack and libelous statments

>
> Which is just about any average IQ'd 5th grader...but NOT Mark
> Morgan.

You are claiming to only have reached the 5th grade?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2203. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:59:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122307157.713503.323670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113563

Mike Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37

> >>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
> >>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.

> >>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
> >>>insecure?

> >> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
> >> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.

You folks all missed an important point.

We are told in no uncertain terms that

"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio

"is a HOBBY".

Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
The FCC
doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
the Amateur Radio Service.

So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
the
word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
is a
hobby.

Do you see the contradiction?

Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....

> >> They grant the licenses for same.

> > Your "answer" doesn't answer the question, Lennie.
>
> Seldom does. I didn't reply before, because I couldn't figure out just
> *how* to reply.

Len rarely, if ever, answers direct questions. He says they're
"loaded".

> >>>> "Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
> >>>> with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
> >>>> individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
> >>>> that skill goes back 161 years! :-)

> >>>Lots of bright students don't want to learn anything that they don't
> >>>think is relevant.

> >> Har! That's one of the WEAKEST arguments mumbled by so many.

> > No, it's not.

> > It's still as "real" today as it was when I was in grade school,
> > and probably when your grandfather was in grade school, Lennie.

> > I don't think I've ever met any student in any learning enviroment
> > that didn't want to "cut through the BS" and jsut "learn what I need to
> > know..."

> There are plenty enough of that type running around.
>
> It is one of the defining elements of the truly ignorant. The remark
> must be answered with:

> Tell me everything you are ever going to do in your career, and we'll
> get started on what you need to know.

I'll have to remember that one!

> >> The FCC is NOT an academic institution and licensees are NOT
> >> "students"...NOT even prospective licensees going for a test.

> >>> Everyone is hated by someone. If a person allows themselves to be
> >>>bothered by it, they are a poor baby indeed.

> >> No, sweetums, YOU got the non-sequitur. Note what I said about
> >> K4YZ: Anyone simply disagreeing with him is ACCUSED to be
> >> HATING HAM RADIO! Search all you want through Google and you
> >> will find him using that "phrase of hate." Do YOU want to be
> >> like him?

> > No hate, Lennie.

> > I just don't tolerate liars.

> And I don't always agree with you. Which kinda blows his theory out of
> the water.

I don't agree with any of you on some things too. Another theory
destroyed.

> >>>If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is true, it remains a
> >>>foolish thing.
> >>

> >> "50 million people in WHAT?" Where are you dreaming today?

> >> Hello? Concentrate on the thread subject.

> Okay, I'll slow down and explain.

> See, like someone goes like: "Feeling "hated" because so few agree with
> your self-proclaimed "definitions" of What It Is All About?".

Bummer!

> Then like I go like: "If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is
> true, it remains a foolish thing.

To the max, man...

> It's like if so few agree with my definitions, its like tons and tons
> of people don't agree with my definitions, you know - totally.

It's where their heads are at. Different scene.

> So I was going like: those tons and tons of people, like maybe 50
> million of them, don't agree you know?, and like maybe I think they are
> like wrong, and like maybe if I am not wrong, like maybe they are still
> wrong, and like just cuz theres like tons and tons of them, that won't
> like make it right, you know?

Groovy! That totally rocks, Mike. Tubular, dude.

> Whew....

To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
believe"

73 de Jim, N2EY

2204. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 15:59:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122307171.496250.294840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113562

b.b. wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Bruce wrote:
> > > The fact that we have a Brain DEAD written test now, and soon to have no CW
> > > testing, why put any burden on the KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers, lets just
> > > Dump all licensing and call it CB.
> >
> > I wonder can you pass the tests right now?
>
> He can, but only under the following conditions:
>
> 1. On a lark,
> 2. Without studying,
> 3. Scoring 100%,
> 4. In under 7 minutes,
> 5. And collect $250 from two CB-Plussers!
>
> Or so he's said.

I was asking about reality that was my mistake of course

2205. A most insightfull message from Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:02:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122307360.395051.4660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113564

K4YZ wrote:
> .

I must admit I am confounded how does one answer such a message

2206. And Markie Calls ME "Obsessed"... ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:19:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122308364.925154.56120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113565

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > .
>
> I must admit I am confounded how does one answer such a message

Since the "message" wasn't for your benefit, no effort on your
part is required.

Hard for you to believe, I am sure, but not every post I make here
is for your benefit.

Some people call THAT "obsessed"...

Steve K4YZ

2207. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:20:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122308402.854535.259240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113566

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
> > >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>
> > >>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
> > >>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>
> > >>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
> > >>>insecure?
>
> > >> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
> > >> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>
> You folks all missed an important point.
>
> We are told in no uncertain terms that
>
> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>
> But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>
> "is a HOBBY".
>
break

> Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
> The FCC
> doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
> the Amateur Radio Service.

and it does not apear in the feining of CB or even the rules for
(controling is FCC) My R/C aircraft yet they are claerly hobies or at
least on the same basis as the ARS

>
> So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
> the
> word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
> *is* a
> hobby.

becuase by and large it is

regardless of its value or lack of it to the nation to the FCC it and
us are merely to be regulated and as easily as possible

If calling the ARS a service aids the FCC they will do so

If calling us a Pizza did so I bet that would be in the rules too

>
> Do you see the contradiction?

nope

I suspect you see one because you are operating under the assumetion
that to paraphase the Indians, "that the Great White Fathers agents
speak with forked tongue" is not SOP inside the beltway

>
> Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>
> > >> They grant the licenses for same.
>
> > > Your "answer" doesn't answer the question, Lennie.
> >
> > Seldom does. I didn't reply before, because I couldn't figure out just
> > *how* to reply.
>
> Len rarely, if ever, answers direct questions. He says they're
> "loaded".

many of the question are loaded and fall into the "have you stoped
beating your wife yet" catagory

>
> > >>>> "Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
> > >>>> with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
> > >>>> individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
> > >>>> that skill goes back 161 years! :-)
>
> > >>>Lots of bright students don't want to learn anything that they don't
> > >>>think is relevant.
>
> > >> Har! That's one of the WEAKEST arguments mumbled by so many.
>
> > > No, it's not.
>
> > > It's still as "real" today as it was when I was in grade school,
> > > and probably when your grandfather was in grade school, Lennie.
>
> > > I don't think I've ever met any student in any learning enviroment
> > > that didn't want to "cut through the BS" and jsut "learn what I need to
> > > know..."
>

> > There are plenty enough of that type running around.
> >
> > It is one of the defining elements of the truly ignorant. The remark
> > must be answered with:
>
> > Tell me everything you are ever going to do in your career, and we'll
> > get started on what you need to know.
>
> I'll have to remember that one!
>
> > >> The FCC is NOT an academic institution and licensees are NOT
> > >> "students"...NOT even prospective licensees going for a test.
>
> > >>> Everyone is hated by someone. If a person allows themselves to be
> > >>>bothered by it, they are a poor baby indeed.
>
> > >> No, sweetums, YOU got the non-sequitur. Note what I said about
> > >> K4YZ: Anyone simply disagreeing with him is ACCUSED to be
> > >> HATING HAM RADIO! Search all you want through Google and you
> > >> will find him using that "phrase of hate." Do YOU want to be
> > >> like him?
>
> > > No hate, Lennie.
>
> > > I just don't tolerate liars.
>
> > And I don't always agree with you. Which kinda blows his theory out of
> > the water.
>
> I don't agree with any of you on some things too. Another theory
> destroyed.
>
> > >>>If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is true, it remains a
> > >>>foolish thing.
> > >>
> > >> "50 million people in WHAT?" Where are you dreaming today?
>
> > >> Hello? Concentrate on the thread subject.
>
> > Okay, I'll slow down and explain.
>
> > See, like someone goes like: "Feeling "hated" because so few agree with
> > your self-proclaimed "definitions" of What It Is All About?".
>
> Bummer!
>
> > Then like I go like: "If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is
> > true, it remains a foolish thing.
>
> To the max, man...

>
> > It's like if so few agree with my definitions, its like tons and tons
> > of people don't agree with my definitions, you know - totally.
>
> It's where their heads are at. Different scene.
>
> > So I was going like: those tons and tons of people, like maybe 50
> > million of them, don't agree you know?, and like maybe I think they are
> > like wrong, and like maybe if I am not wrong, like maybe they are still
> > wrong, and like just cuz theres like tons and tons of them, that won't
> > like make it right, you know?
>
> Groovy! That totally rocks, Mike. Tubular, dude.
>
> > Whew....
>
> To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
> believe"

but objective reality and Govt reg never mix

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2208. Stevie unhenged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:24:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122308662.020724.200600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113567

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > .
> >
> > I must admit I am confounded how does one answer such a message
>
> Since the "message" wasn't for your benefit, no effort on your
> part is required.

and yet I choose to cament on it
>
> Hard for you to believe, I am sure, but not every post I make here
> is for your benefit.

never made any such claim
>
> Some people call THAT "obsessed"...

I suppose that some do

But I was merely reading the Newsgroup

if that is obsessive well you are are claerly guilty as well
>
> Steve K4YZ

2209. Re: Is KB9RQZ Really Mark Morgan? Is Mark Morgan KB9RQZ? Does Anyone Care?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:26:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122308789.401853.312770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113568

an_old_friend wrote:
> inanswer to the title obviously you do

Not me. An idiot's an idiot. You've proven that several times
over

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:

> > > > Learn to read, or at least lay off the booze.
> > >
> > > you need to learn to read
> >
> > He doesn't need to learn to read nearly as bad as you need to learn
> > to write it.
>
> >
> > > almost never touch booze
> >
> > Almost never before 8AM, is more like it.
>
> more libel

Nope. Prove me wrong.

> > > > > > > Gee you sure are you Stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > > English, stupid. Do you know it?
> > > > >
> > > > > it is english
> > > >
> > > > No, idiot. It may pass as English within your mentally challenged
> > > > circle of friends, but not with everybody else.
> > >
> > > sure soundly like stevie
> >
> > "...soundly..."...?!?!
> >
> > Only in that it's spelled correctly and is coherently organized.
>
> no the tone and tennor is also quite close

"tennor"...?!?!

Wrong word, Markie.

And "close" doesn't get it.

> same sort of mindless attack and libelous statments

What attack? What libel?

> > Which is just about any average IQ'd 5th grader...but NOT Mark
> > Morgan.
>
> You are claiming to only have reached the 5th grade?

Nope...far greater. You're the one with the IQ deficit, Markie.

And don't try that "I have an IQ of 248" routine again...It's
obvious on so many levels that that is not true.

Steve, K4YZ

2210. Re: Winners always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:26:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122308813.949139.110590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Aristotle wrote:
> No you did not win, we all lose. I am sorry you are to damn lazy and
> or stupid to learn code.
>

Yea sorry your morse welfare program has had its feeding tube removed

2211. Markie: Your Insults Might Be More Effective If They Were Spelled Correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:32:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122309123.718449.212610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113570

an_old_friend wrote:

> Re: Stevie unhenged

It's "unhinged", Mud-for-brains.

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > .
> > >
> > > I must admit I am confounded how does one answer such a message
> >
> > Since the "message" wasn't for your benefit, no effort on your
> > part is required.
>
> and yet I choose to cament on it

Well, "cament" all you care to...Whatever a "cament" is.

> > Hard for you to believe, I am sure, but not every post I make here
> > is for your benefit.
>
> never made any such claim

Sure you did.

You said you didn't know how to reply to it.

It wasn't addressed to you in the first place.

> > Some people call THAT "obsessed"...
>
> I suppose that some do

That "some" is everyone except you, obviously.

> But I was merely reading the Newsgroup

No you weren't...You were sitting on the edge of your seat waiting
for the opportunity to retort to one of my posts...Witness the time
stamps...

> if that is obsessive well you are are claerly guilty as well

What's "claerly"...?!?! Another alleged "irish" term, boyo?

Steve, K4YZ

2212. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:38:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122309520.937430.222880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113571

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
> > > >
> > > > > While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> > > > > in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> > > > > accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
> > > > ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.
> > >
> > > the rigs do that the operator may or may not be checking thier work but
> > > the rigs do it
> >
> > break
> > And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> > send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
>
> the operator

Then my point is made. Thanks Markie.

> If they notice
>
> and if they can find him/or her

Sure they can. Been following the FCC's lists of NOUO's? Seems
they are pretty good at it.

Matter of fact, I bet they can even find YOU!

> and more of your beating a dead horses ###

Is that what that was? I thought it was your face.

I'll apologize to Trigger later.

> > So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.
>
> Lenn already has provided several, he does know the feild better than I

No, he doesn't.

His list of ALLEGED NASA myths is nothing of the sort.

Any of the stories he related can be traced to any number of MEDIA sources that misrepresented the FACTS.

Lennie just like's to think he's a Mythbuster.

Fact of the matter is he's just an antiquated gaspasser.

Steve, K4YZ

2213. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:40:45 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <hC8Fe.16864$aY6.6362@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113572

Bruce wrote:
> The fact that we have a Brain DEAD written test now,

Vs "brain dead" code?

2214. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:43:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122309782.847772.202430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113573

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Markie has serious gender identification issues and as a
> > > > consequence of those issues, any discussion that even remotely sounds
> > > > like it's gender related get's him all wound up.
> > >
> > > more lies stevie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> a lie on your part

Nope.

Several years of your twisted rantings refer.

> > Absolutely true.
> >
> > Your own admissions of perversion refer.
>
> nope Nothing I have ever typed suggests that I don't know male from
> Female

Sure it does.

The fact that you sleep with men when you are yourself male is
indicative of it.

> > > > I am sure you've also discovered by now that Markie is an
> > > > absolutely unrepentant and actually quite proud pathological liar. His
> > >
> > > not pathological
> >
> > Sure you are. You do it automatically. You think it's "OK" to
> > lie.
>
> Nope, and not autoumaticaly

Sure "autoumaticaly" (idiot).

> It is ok to lie, indeed you are proving (to me) it is a good idea to
> lie

Your lying only get's the scrutiny turned up.

> > > > previous tales of his being a drafted "Colonel" in the US Army Chemical
> > > > Corps and subsequent unravelling of THAT tale was absolutely

> > > > hillarious. (In as much as the draft ended in the 70's, Markie would
> > > > have had to have been about 8 years old when he was "drafted"...!)
> > >
> > > and more of your lies there
> >
> > Nope...Just more exposure of YOUR lies, "Colonel"...
>
> mine plus the three you added to them with your assumtions ###hole

I didn't lie here. Or anywhere, for that matter.

> > > > Watch him splash around in his little mudhole of lies. It's quite
> > > > entertaining!
> >
> > See what I mean?

And STILL true!

Steve, K4YZ

2215. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:46:06 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <iH8Fe.16865$aY6.15895@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113574

>
>
> Sure you did lennieboy, sure. Bet you couldn't even turn the transmitter
> on without help.

He probably wasn't allowed to. He didn't want to go to the
stockade.
>

>
>
> I have a ham license. Do you?
>
Now that the code test will soon be history, it should
be easy for Len to get a license, if he in fact does
have all that RF experience and knowledge above. Still,
I'd check out the question pools for new technologies and
the rules and regs. I won't ask for Len to get an extra
out of the box. Just get any ham license and upgrade
later if he wants to.

2216. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:49:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122310178.894606.260020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113575

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> cut
> > > > i defend myself from your attacks
> > > >
> > > as is my right
> > > >
> > > if you stopp attacking me I'll stop defending
> > >
> > There's no defense for deviantism and lying.
> >
> no need to defend either
>
> merely my right to exist as I choose

Being a liar and a deviant is an "existance"...?!?!

> > When you give up your deviance and apologize for and stop lying,
> > then things will get better. Until then, saddle up!
> >
> > > > To the best of my knowledge, no one is there twisting your arm,
> > > > FORCING you to reply to a single word of this...
> > > >
> > > no you are harrassing me in other ways
> > >
> > I am not harrassing you.
> >
> you are harrasing me

No I'm not.

I'm responding to your public comments in a public forum.

If you don't like what I have to say, then you're welcome to either
ignore them and not comment, or stop posting here.

> > You lie, I respond by showing your lies.
> >
> nope

Yep.

> You libel and conitue to libel

"...conitue..."

It's not livel if it's true.

> > > > > and not even man enough to admit it
> > > >
> > > > Admit what?
> > >
> > > to making threats
> >
> > What threats?
>
> "one shot one kill for exampl

What threat?

Nice try at out-of-context cheap shot, Markie.

It doesn't work for Lennie the Liar or Brian the Brainless...

What makes you think it's going to work for you?

Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

2217. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:53:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122310421.984727.89860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > anonym...@remailer.hastio.org wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <1122276893.621382.201960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > > "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > STEBIE, I'm 37 years old I don't need my parent's permission
> > > to stay
> > > > up, secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see
> > > my
> > > > parents.
> > >
> > > They don't get down to the basement much, with you living there,
> > > right, Toadie?
> >
> > More likely he's got them tied up in the basement and uses their
> > house and savings for whatever ungodly purposes he can dream up...
>
> more libel stevie a good thing for your family you are poor

Where do you come up with this stuff, Markie?

Ten days ago you were insisting that I was "on the public dole" for disability, and now you come up with this "you are poor" silliness?

I asked you several times where you came up with that "public dole" thing and you never answered...THAT was "libel".

Steve, K4YZ

2218. ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:58:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <zS8Fe.40$tw2.312428@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113577

Ancient Retired Retards Languishing

... other definitions requested...

John

2219. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 16:59:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122310744.919786.295630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113578

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
>
> > > > In as much as that was NOT an answer to a question I had posed, I
> > > > wonder what made you slip and admit that you are a Cocaine abuser
> > > > too...?!?!
> > >
> break
> > > no such admision
> >
> > Sure there was..."yes I did a line or three..."
> >
> > Cocaine users refer to their habit as "doing a line".
>
> so
>
> and so much for your claim you don't make stuff up

I didn't.

YOU posted it...not me...

> and you

"...and you..." what?

> > > more libel
> >
> > It's not "libel" if it's true.
>
> go ask a lawyer about that

I did.

If something's true, it's not libel.

> > I quoted YOUR words.
>
> so and distroted them

"disroted" them?

Heaven's no...they were YOUR words...word FOR word...

> standard steve ####
>
> >
> > Ergo: No libel.
>
> bul#####

Sheesh...can't even spell your profanities right anymore.

It's TWO "L's" in "bull", Markie.

> one it isn't true

I am willing to bet it is.

> you "logic" is GIGO

Nope. Unless, of course, we are refering to YOUR garbage, which
is what I am quoting. That >>is<< GARBAGE.

Steve, K4YZ

2220. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:01:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122309899.119900.183660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113579

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > Steve was wrong.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, Steve wasn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > stvie IS wrong
> > >
> > > Who's "stvie"...?!?!
> > >
> > > More Irish
> > >
> > > > > but will not admit it
> > >
> > > Why would I admit to something that isn't true?
> >
> > more lies

Nope. But more tap dancing on YOUR part to try and hide yours.

> can't even leave behind the text you are dening

"...dening..."

What was that?

Steve, K4YZ

2221. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:07:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122311242.666585.108150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113580

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > Cmdr Buzz:
> > >
> > > Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
> > >
> > > <disappointed-look-on-face>
> >
> > Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these days...
>
> and you call any of that english

I do.

So does Webster's.

> It does go on to show your obsession with gentials

What genitals?

Breasts, Mud-for-brains, are not "genitals"

> prehaps the porblem is that you realy need to get laid

I don't ahve a "porblem", Markie...Whatever THAT is...

Steve, K4YZ

2222. Re: Time Lords always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:09:53 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <870ee17833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 113581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

And I am sorry that you are too damn lazy or stupid to learn how
to correctly use the words "too" and "to."

On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 01:27:26 GMT, Aristotle <guffaw> wrote:
> No you did not win, we all lose. I am sorry you are to damn lazy and
> or stupid to learn code.

2223. Re: More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:19:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ga9Fe.48$4F2.428151@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113582

K4YZ:

I prefer my breasts with genitalia... (well, chicken breasts with a salad, really)

Other than the girly-men, I am sure most guys would agree, you should probably mention that--for the clueless...

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122311242.666585.108150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> > > Cmdr Buzz:
>> > >
>> > > Hey, did you really think--I think, she really has 5 size W boobs?
>> > >
>> > > <disappointed-look-on-face>
>> >
>> > Six, but one got away and is living in UP Michigan these days...
>>
>> and you call any of that english
>
> I do.
>
> So does Webster's.
>
>> It does go on to show your obsession with gentials
>
> What genitals?
>
> Breasts, Mud-for-brains, are not "genitals"
>
>> prehaps the porblem is that you realy need to get laid
>
> I don't ahve a "porblem", Markie...Whatever THAT is...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2224. Re: Is KB9RQZ Really Mark Morgan? Is Mark Morgan KB9RQZ? Does Anyone Care?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:23:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122312204.182380.59060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113583

oobviously another lie stvie you clearly care

2225. Stevie lies and eveades again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:27:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122312461.805396.134090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113585

Stevie was and remains wrong

2226. more libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:29:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122312562.951067.154640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113586

nothing but libel and lies form steve

and all of stevie truth is left above

2227. more libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:30:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122312607.593045.307760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

nothing but libel and lies form steve

and all of stevie truth is left above

2228. more libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:30:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122312652.989360.126940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113589

nothing but libel and lies form steve

and all of stevie truth is left above

2229. more libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:31:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122312698.690660.16930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113588

nothing but libel and lies form steve

and all of stevie truth is left above

2230. finaly something from steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:35:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122312909.764219.108020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113590

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
> > > > > >
> > > > > > While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> > > > > > in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> > > > > > accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
> > > > > > ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.
> > > > > >
> > > > > the rigs do that the operator may or may not be checking thier work but
> > > > > the rigs do it
> > > > >
> > > > break
> > > > And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> > > > send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
> > > >
> > > the operator
> >
> Then my point is made. Thanks Markie..

your point then was conceeded weeks agoo then

>
> > If they notice
> > >
> > and if they can find him/or her
> >
> Sure they can. Been following the FCC's lists of NOUO's? Seems
> they are pretty good at it.

I certainly have

haven't seen one for a bad rig yet
>
> Matter of fact, I bet they can even find YOU!

if I do something wrong maybe they can if you they even know enough to
look

>
> > and more of your beating a dead horses ###
>
> Is that what that was? I thought it was your face.

more insults

>
> I'll apologize to Trigger later.
>
> > > So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.
> >
> > Lenn already has provided several, he does know the feild better than I
>
> No, he doesn't.

sure does

in fact you hacked this thread out of such an answer

>
> His list of ALLEGED NASA myths is nothing of the sort.
>
> Any of the stories he related can be traced to any number of MEDIA
> sources that misrepresented the FACTS.

wity NASA full cooperation

>
> Lennie just like's to think he's a Mythbuster.
>
> Fact of the matter is he's just an antiquated gaspasser.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2231. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:39:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122313185.435739.91630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113591

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> > and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> > a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> > That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> > BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> > value.
>
> But so far YOU can't pass a test with only 35 questions in it...So
> why the subterfuge about 1000...?!?!

can't more libel stevie chooses not to

I am sure he can

>
> > U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY...(SNIP)
>
> Says who?
>
> The FCC doesn't.
>
> No where in Part 97 does the word "hobby" appear.
>
> Are there people who participate in Amateur Radio as a
> "HOBBY"...?!?! Certainly..just as there are people who participate in
> Emergency Medical Services, Search And Rescue, Meals-on-Wheels, etc for
> whatever personal gratification they can glean from it.
>
> That people do "have fun" does NOT negate the value of the Amateur
> Radio SERVICE as the disaster relief, emergency communications and
> health and welfare medium that it ALSO is.

Lenn never said that the ARS had No value, just not the value that many
such as yourelf make out

>
> > (UNSNIP)...not an occupation...(SNIP)
>
> It is to many. It provides jobs.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...not some vital service to the nation.
>
> It is that too.

>
> That YOU don't think it is is irrelevent.
>
> > I just wish that more would
> > treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of
> > their clouded imaginations about their "federal
> > authorization" license and imagined self-importance.
>
> I am sure you DO wish that many would treat it that way. Then all
> of your rantings, musings and other mispent efforts herein would be
> justified.

you certainly do

you think it gives you powers beyond mortal men

>
> Sorry for you "they" don't, eh?
>
> > LenIwillAlwaysBeOnTheOutsideLookingInAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2232. Stevie brave bold spelling cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:42:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122313355.904750.295030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113592

Stevie brave bold spelling cop

and all of stevie not on speling is left above

2233. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:44:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122313447.935561.76740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113593

an_old_friend wrote:
> can't even get the call right

Let's see...the "E" and the "R" are..Geeze! Right next to each
other!
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > You keep saying that but you've neither offered evidence nor a
> > > > license to practice medicine.
> > > >
> > > you offer the evidence
> > >
> > We're talking about YOUR lack of licensure, Markie. You haven't
> > got it.
>
> more stevie lies
>
> and more stevie covering his lie by cutting posts without document ing
> the cut
>
> you keep claim you are licenced
>
> you are not

Sure I am.

Refer to the Tennessee State Board of Nursing

> I am not licenced never claimed to be

But you professed intent to claim a professional title to which
you are not entitled.

That makes you a thief.

> > I just call you for what you are...A deviant.
>
> you are just lying stevie

Nope.

You're a devaint. Said it yourself.

> > > > > > Went and lied again in public. You're racking them up, Boyo!
> > > > >
> > > > > > nope you are sick
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope! You're just a liar, Boyo.
> > > >
> > > > you are a liar and very sick boyo
> > >
> > Nope and nope again, Wimpo.
>
> I have prefromed a pataint assement

"prefromed"? "pataint"? "assement"?

You can't even get the phraseology right, Wimpo.

> i have read you ####

Now you pretend to be a proctologist or pathologist?

> I read mucyh of the #### you have posted while I
> was here
> and found you obsessed with me at times I hadn't posted in years
>
> you are obsessed

Nope.

I just find you hillarious.

> > > > > > > > > Are you licesed to do so?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > doesn't stop you
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I AM licensed, Markie.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > no you are not licensed no more than I for making that kind of
> > > > > > > > assesment
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure I am.
> > > > >
> > > > > Another lie Stevie
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> you have no license to make the statements you have
>
> you are ham shrink same as me

Nope.

I am more than qualified to make a patient assessment. From what
I've seen of you, all I can say is don't set foot in Tennessee and let
me know about it boyo. I've got a place all picked out for you.

> > > You are an LPN with delusion of granduer
> >
> > Half right.
>
> you mean you have been lying about being an LPN

Nope. Your assertions of "granduer".

> > I'm an LPN. With over 20 years of experience and EMS credentials.
>
> then I am fully correct

Nope.

> like a lot of Nursie they get too bigg for their pants, and start
> claiming powers beyond their ken

But the state of Tennessee allows me to make patient assessments.

You'd come in, but you wouldn't go out, Markie.

> as you have done in claiming you could disanose that someone did not
> have a hearing problem meeting them at a VE session

I've never claimed I could "disanose" anyone...whatever THAT is...

> indeed you claimed you knew the MD was wrong

And I was right.

A guy tried to claim "tone deafness" as a Morse Waiver. He was a
an ACTIVE professional musician.

It didn't take 6 years of med school to figure that one out.

> > > > More Markie hiding behind profanities that are supposed to make
> > > > him the "Big Man On Campus"
> > >
> > > no interest in any campus
> > >
> > > and learn englih it is vulgarities
> >
> > Learn WHAT, Markie?
>
> english

I know English. I also know it's capitalized.

> > > such you as are a God ####ed lair.
> >
> > That's blasphemy, Mark. And vulgar.
>
> not at all vulgar

Sure it is.

Walk up to a priest and say that...Or a schoolteacher...

> > > > You clearly stated that you would claim a title to which you were
> > > > NOT entitled to claim.
> > >
> > > that I would do so not that I have
> >
> > We can just add it to your list of other things that you ahve
> > ALREADY claimed to be but aren't, COLONEL....
>
> not yet not till you get help

You keep insisting I "need help" but you've not yet shown for what.

You insist I am "lying" (when you manage to spell it correctly),
yet haven't substantiated a single mistruth of my promulgation.

> > > If it will get you to seek I will claim to be the president, but tille
> > > you agree to seek that help I haven't made the claim
> >
> > Help for what?
>
> you delusion and your obsessive stalking and threatening behavoir
>
> are you so stupid I have to keep repeating that everytime?

Because you have yet to prove any of it.

YOU keep coming here of your own volition and then accusing me of
"stalking" you because I respond to the comments YOU made in PUBLIC.

And the thread tree shows you matching me pretty much one-for-one,
ergo YOUR suggestion that >>I<< am "obsessed" is ludicrous...

Lastly, your suggestions of "threats" are absolutely hillarious.

> > > go study the concept "if... then"
> >
> > Go study the concept "English Writing and Composition".
>
> i use it bettre than you

BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

"i use it bettre than you"........

BBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

WHAT AN IDIOT! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > and still obsessed with petty ####
> >
> > Hmmmmmmmmmmm...I'm not obsessed, so the rest is moot.
>
> you are obsessed with it

With what "it"...?!?!

> you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"

Little boys only kow what the "bad words" are because responsible
adults teach them that.

Obviously you are not the product of responsible adults.

> grow up
>
> > > > > since it will not I have done no such things
> > > > > If lying to yo will get you to seek help then It is my public duty to
> > > > > lie to you
> > > > >
> > > > "public duty to lie to you..."!
> > >
> > > anything to get to seek help
> >
> > You're just a liar, Mark. Period.
>
> and you are plain sick period
>
> you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"

Little boys only kow what the "bad words" are because responsible
adults teach them that.

Obviously you are not the product of responsible adults.

> > Who's crying?
>
> you are
>
> you run around like a little boy screaming "he said a bad word"

Little boys only kow what the "bad words" are because responsible
adults teach them that.

Obviously you are not the product of responsible adults.

> > > > > > > > > get help for your obsesstion and delusions
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > What's an "obsesstion"..?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > get help
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > For what?
> > > > > >

> > > > > delusions and obsessions
> > > >
> > > > What delusions and obsessions?
> > >
> > > yours
> > >
> > > one being that you have the right to stalker harras and bully people
> >
> > I'm not stalking nor harrassing anyone.
>
> bull#####

Nope.

You're here on your own volition. No one, least of all me,
dragged you here nor MADE you participate.

> > Nor am I bullying anyone.
>
> bull#####

Nope.

> > I may bite the bullet on "annoying", however you started it, so
> > turn about is fair play.
>
> you started it
>
> with your threats and blackmail years ago

Nope. You're lying again.

No one ever "blackmailed" you.

You should have kept your deviant lifestyle and mistruths to
yourself.

The only one in this forum responsible for making your lifestyle
"public" is you.

> > > the other your unhealthy interest in other people genitals
> >
> > Whose?
>
> anyones

Britney Spears? J.Lo? Ann-Margaret?

> > Yours?
>
> anyones
>
> Mine, kim's, todd's, Brain's

I'm glad this is here...Keeps me from having to "laugh" all over
again!

> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> > ! ! ! ! !
>
> more crybaby

Well, Markie...You don't understand English, why not
laughter...?!?!

> > I told you Mark, I'm not in to "microbiology" ! ! !
>
> more of your interest in genitals

What "genitals"...???

2234. Re: KB9EQZ: Liar..Plain and Simple.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:44:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122313477.863779.59830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113594

still not admitting to his mistakes

an_old_friend wrote:
> can't even get the call right
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

2235. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:46:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122313599.976764.326800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113595

an old friend wrote:
> Stevie was and remains wrong

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

2236. Re: Stevie brave bold spelling cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:48:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122313706.223047.90340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113596

an old friend wrote:
> Stevie brave bold spelling cop
>
>
> and all of stevie not on speling is left above

Huh? What?

English, DeviantBoy..E N G L I S H ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

2237. It's Going to Be Tough, Markie, But Read This REEEEEAL SLOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:55:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122314125.689498.187890@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113597

```
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > > From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
> > > > > > > in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
> > > > > > > accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
> > > > > > > ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > the rigs do that the operator may or may not be checking thier work but
> > > > > > the rigs do it
> > > > > >
> > > > break
> > > > > And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> > > > > send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > the operator
> > >
> > Then my point is made. Thanks Markie..
>
> your point then was conceeded weeks agoo then

No...

YOU made my point FOR me...

The O P E R A T O R is responsible for making sure the radio is
on the proper frequency and operating within established parameters.

YOU insisted the RADIO did it all, however YOU concede that if
there's a failure, the OPERATOR will get the violation notice, which is
exactly what I was saying...

> > > If they notice
> > >
> > > and if they can find him/or her
> >
```

> > Sure they can. Been following the FCC's lists of NOUO's? Seems
> > they are pretty good at it.
>
> I certainly have
>
> haven't seen one for a bad rig yet

You said "...and if they can find him/ or her..."

I pointed out the FCC seems to find folks just fine.

> > Matter of fact, I bet they can even find YOU!
>
> if I do something wrong maybe they can if you they even know enough to
> look

E N G L I S H, Markie!

> > > and more of your beating a dead horses ###
> >
> > Is that what that was? I thought it was your face.
>
> more insults

You were the one complaining about me beating your face.

> > I'll apologize to Trigger later.
> >
> > > > So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.
> > >
> > > Lenn already has provided several, he does know the feild better than I
> >
> > No, he doesn't.
>
> sure does
>
> in fact you hacked this thread out of such an answer

I addressed his comments right there. YOU even quoted it..Without
reading it, obviously.

> > His list of ALLEGED NASA myths is nothing of the sort.
> >
> > Any of the stories he related can be traced to any number of MEDIA
> > sources that misrepresented the FACTS.
>
> wity NASA full cooperation

Oh?

Prove it.

> > Lennie just like's to think he's a Mythbuster.
> >

> > Fact of the matter is he's just an antiquated gaspasser.

As I was saying.....

2238. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 17:59:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122314346.638052.308470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113598

an old friend wrote:
> still not admitting to his mistakes
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > can't even get the call right
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:

Gee...You're the one always alleging that stuff's not being
"carried":

QUOTE

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 25 Jul 2005 10:44:07 -0700
Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 12:44 pm
Subject: More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds

an_old_friend wrote:
> can't even get the call right

Let's see...the "E" and the "R" are..Geeze! Right next to each
other!

UNQUOTE

Right there, Markie.

Now..an apology for the lie?

Steve, K4YZ

2239. Re: Stevie brave bold spelling cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 18:18:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122315535.777384.202450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113599

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Stevie brave bold spelling cop
> >
> >
> > and all of stevie not on speling is left above
>
more sex obessesion cut

nothing to reply to hmmm

2240. stevie evasion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 18:19:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122315554.698292.152400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113600

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Stevie was and remains wrong
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2241. Re: KB9EQZ: Liar..Plain and Simple.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 18:20:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122315624.321647.105990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113601

stevie evades chages his title rather admit to his mistake above
I am not kb9Eqz

admit to your mistakes'

an old friend wrote:
> still not admitting to his mistakes
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > can't even get the call right
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:

2242. more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 18:21:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122315698.953072.137170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113602

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > still not admitting to his mistakes
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > can't even get the call right
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> Gee...You're the one always alleging that stuff's not being
> "carried":
>
> QUOTE
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> Date: 25 Jul 2005 10:44:07 -0700
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 12:44 pm
> Subject: More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > can't even get the call right
>
>
> Let's see...the "E" and the "R" are..Geeze! Right next to each
> other!
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Right there, Markie.
>
> Now..an apology for the lie?

no lie

you beleww you refused to correct it or apologize for it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2243. this real point less lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 18:25:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122315947.102161.289820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113603

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:

hack
> > > Then my point is made. Thanks Markie..
> >
> > your point then was conceeded weeks agoo then
>
> No...
>
> YOU made my point FOR me...
>
> The O P E R A T O R is responsible for making sure the radio is
> on the proper frequency and operating within established parameters.

never argued that point
>
> YOU insisted the RADIO did it all, however YOU concede that if
> there's a failure, the OPERATOR will get the violation notice, which is
> exactly what I was saying...

anotherlie you were claiming I to understand the how the radio did it

>
> > > > If they notice
> > > >
> > > > and if they can find him/or her
> > >
> > > Sure they can. Been following the FCC's lists of NOUO's? Seems
> > > they are pretty good at it.
> >
> > I certainly have
> >
> > haven't seen one for a bad rig yet
>

I said many thing and you make other thing your point?

> You said "...and if they can find him/ or her..."

if they can yes

>
> I pointed out the FCC seems to find folks just fine.

you think the FCC finds folks breaking the rules just fine

you have lost it

>
> > > Matter of fact, I bet they can even find YOU!
> >
> > if I do something wrong maybe they can if you they even know enough to
> > look
>
> E N G L I S H, Markie!
>
> > > > and more of your beating a dead horses ###
> > >
> > > Is that what that was? I thought it was your face.
> >
> > more insults
>

break

> You were the one complaining about me beating your face.

another lie
>
> > > I'll apologize to Trigger later.
> > >
> > > > > So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.
> > > >
> > > > Lenn already has provided several, he does know the feild better than I
> > >
> > > No, he doesn't.
> >
> > sure does
> >
> > in fact you hacked this thread out of such an answer
>
> I addressed his comments right there. YOU even quoted it..Without
> reading it, obviously.
>
> > > His list of ALLEGED NASA myths is nothing of the sort.
> > >
> > > Any of the stories he related can be traced to any number of MEDIA
> > > sources that misrepresented the FACTS.
> >
> > wity NASA full cooperation
>
> Oh?
>
> Prove it.

already been done

>
> > > Lennie just like's to think he's a Mythbuster.
> > >
> > > Fact of the matter is he's just an antiquated gaspasser.
>
> As I was saying.....

repling to your is not a sign of good mental health

2244. Re: ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:02:34 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzabigwigman.org>
Message-ID: <dc3cnl$j8e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113604

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:zS8Fe.40$tw2.312428@news.sisna.com...
> Ancient Retired Retards Languishing
>
> ... other definitions requested...
>
> John
>

American Radio Rip-off League

2245. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:14:34 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9pvFe.1698$G71.647@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113792

> Vs "brain dead" code?

You dont have to worry about the brain Dead Code any more, just that
really hard brain Dead written you Knuckle Draggers will have to take

2246. Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:31:42 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <y6bFe.207156$xm3.87178@attbi_s21>
Source record: 113605

I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was curious to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer necessary for ham license holders?

Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the FCC with your callsign?

Thanks in advance.

2247. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:34:05 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <N8bFe.16932$aY6.3785@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113606

> He can, but only under the following conditions:
>
> 1. On a lark,
> 2. Without studying,
> 3. Scoring 100%,
How does he prove that? A passing grade would be
good enough in my book...
> 4. In under 7 minutes,
> 5. And collect $250 from two CB-Plussers!
>
> Or so he's said.
>

Of course, but assuming it was true, it's still a pass
and an upgrade. People have been known to pass the
extra exam after finishing the general, as they took
it as a freebie at the same VE session at no extra cost,
on a lark.

When I took elements 4A and 4B (Jan 2000) I got two wrong
on 4A and one wrong on 4B. The CSCEs, coupled with my 1976
era tech plus, got me an extra upgrade on Restructuring Day
April 2000.

2248. Re: MARKIE: Show Me The Proof...Where's The Myth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:42:34 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <f-6dnb1oHasoonjfRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113608

K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
>

an_old_whateverheis probably thinks they will send it to Kenwood or
Yeasu, as he can't be responsible for knowing the rules or for any
malfunction in his equipment.

2249. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:44:41 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <f-6dnbxoHaup3XjfRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see my
> parents.

>

I bet they are glad of that.

2250. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:47:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122320850.768175.154340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113609

From: b.b. on Jul 25, 3:19 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "b.b." on Sun 24 Jul 2005 09:11
>> >John Smith wrote:

>> Jimmie Noserve tried his best satirical rant with the "NTI"
>> thing and drew a blank. He was trying to pick up on Smith
>> Charts as a diatribe-weapon, falling short on his attempts
>> at making such knowledge sound foolish.
>
>But I think Jim/N2EY worked hard at it. At least as hard as KH2D.
>Perhaps his mind isn't as efficient as Kehler's.

I'll grant you that. Inefficiency may come from being stuck
in a Time Warp of spirit back to the 20s and 30s of radio.

>> "Smith Charts" aren't ham lore. Smith Charts are a terrific
>> aid in seeing solutions to complex impedances over frequency
>> but Phil Smith's wonderful diagram came out of pre-WW2
>> testing of telephone lines!
>
>Say it isn't so!

Ah, but I have to tell the truth!

>> Telephony involves voice and
>> the Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of voice! That the
>> entire RF industry picked up on Smith Charts, used them, even
>> had the format hardwired into test instrumentation, is beside
>> the point. Jimmie, a supposed professional in electronics,
>> despises professionalism, the rest of the RF world, and any
>> no-coder within spitting distance.
>
>Or sputtering distance.

Tsk. This newsgroup should come with a spitoon attached. :-)

>> >They might need to have L...@ieee.org look over their shoulder's. Hi!
>
>> BWAAAAHAAAHAHAHAHAH!!!!
>
>> Brian, I doubt there's enough money in the ARRL treasury to
>> pay me for that task! :-)
>
>It would be formidable...

Well, I don't ask for too much. The ARRL's income (on their
federal income tax for 2002) was a mere $12.5 million or so...

>> The FCC is NOT an academic organization and its "tests" are
>> just regulatory tools, a part of the licensing process the FCC
>> uses to regulate ALL of U.S. civil radio. It's no more than
>> that...but lots and lots of hams are into the FANTASY that it
>> shows some "expertise" in radio. Such test passings show
>> ONLY that an individual has passed a few tests.
>
>Paper chasers...
>
>Everything has to be documented until it comes time to back their
>claims.

Then the fun begins! :-)

>> Note: Some
>> like to puff up their chests and say "they are authorized
>> by the federal government(!)" as if they were junior G-men.
>
>As if???

"As if." Except for those that actually BOUGHT one of those
fancy Ham Shields on sale! THOSE would be "true" radio cops!

Junior G-Men Hams! "Out of the box!" [FedEx or UPS shipping
box, that is...]

"As if." I had a bite of a hoagie last week, brought back
some of the Philly slang I heard...besides giving me
indigestion...but I didn't get "gaz." :-)

>> Privatized radio operator testing is a part of NOW and has
>> been for several years. [privatization of other government
>> regulations has been the norm too] As such, multiple-choice
>> questions are the logical choice for private testers...avoids
>> the need for testing the testers on their ability to discern
>> correct written responses from wrong ones.
>
>That is the only way that it can work.

Well, NOT according to the olde-tyme hammes read in here.
THEY want a full two-semester course with Finals for the
simplest class license...in order for newcomers to be as
smart as they. :-)

>> That avoids all
>> the layering of beaurocracy that so many bitch about. The
>> multiple-choice format has been around for decades and there's
>> nothing actually wrong with it (except in the newsgroup
>> environment where everyone seems to be an "expert" on testing).
>
>They are many, many things.

And each and everyone is absolutely CORRECT and any fool that disagrees in the slightest with any of them is a "Putz" or worse... :-)

>> Further, the FCC only states that a MINIMUM of ten questions
>> for each of the required questions per written test element
>> for a class be generated. There is NO LIMIT on the maximum
>> number! The questions (and answers) themselves left
>> ENTIRELY up to the VEC Question Pool Committee. The VEC QPC
>> is made up ONLY of licensed radio amateurs. By law.
>
>And they can completely violate the Morse Code timing of elements. No
>wonder the FCC doesn't have a definition of Morse Code within the U.S.
>Statutes.

Well, not a complete one for WORD RATE in the law. The referenced CCITT-to-ITU document on Telegraphy doesn't define RATE. Worse yet, that CCITT-to-ITU document reference is intended for COMMERCIAL telegraphy! :-)

>> Those who piss and moan about "today's multiple-choice" need
>> to contact the VEC QPC...their fellows in the 'amateur
>> community.' Open Pools are NOT going away as long as
>> privatized testing exists. All that "memorization" BS is
>> just BS by the olde-tymers who probably haven't taken a
>> single written test of any kind in over a decade (except
>> maybe a driver's license thing, depending on their state).
>
>Some states have mandatory retesting for the elderly.

California does not. :-) California drivers licenses do not require retesting for five years if there are no infractions of the Motor Vehicle Code on record for them. [more often depending on number of infractions]

However, the FCC has totally stricken AGE from all amateur radio regulations. "Six year old extras" are a legal possibility!

>> Could anyone "memorize" a thousand questions...along with
>> the single correct answer against three other incorrect
>> answers? Might be possible for stage actors, I don't know
>> for sure. I'm only acquainted with a few TV and screen
>> actors of less than stellar rank...they have learned to
>> memorize dialogue LINES, at least enough for a day's
>> shooting (perhaps 6 to 8 pages of standard-format script).
>
>Don't go giving them ideas. I can see at least one pretending to be a
>TV weatherman with one page of dialogue.

Har! "Ron Burgundy" comes to mind...! :-)

>> So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
>> and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but

>> a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
>> That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
>> BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
>> value.
>
>They will claim that all new hams have photographic memories. It will
>be the only way of distinguishing themselves from the "riff-raff."

THEY will always have excuses, rationales, etc., upon etc. :-)

>> U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY, not an occupation, not some
>> vital service to the nation. I just wish that more would
>> treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of
>> their clouded imaginations about their "federal
>> authorization" license and imagined self-importance.
>
>I consider it an important radio service that could be utilized in the
>service to nation.

True enough! However, there are two takes on the "official
phrases" in FCC regulations on that:

1. It is kind of a "standard boilerplate" political nice-nice
phrase to justify a radio service existance. ["appreciation"
letters sent by officials to hams, ham groups are in the
same category, but to say so out loud is to invoke total
warfare by the ham faithfull!]

2. There is SOME truth to it, however small the actual incidents
of "service to the nation [community]" are.

The ARRL long ago picked up on (2), disregarded (1), and pitched
it constantly to anyone reading. Nice-nice words that most hams
took to emotionally. They WANTED to hear such things in order to
justify their hobby to others...and perhaps to themselves. It
made them feel IMPORTANT!

> But I doubt that every single citizen or government
>agency can be guaranteed emergency communications via amateur radio, no
>matter what the MMM would have you think.

True enough also! However, the Mighty Macho Morsemen still dream
about "saving lives" a la the Titanic disaster, all done with that
morse code stuff. It is part of the fabric of their existance.
Fabric tailored for them by the PR troops in Newington. In any
other venue such would be called "brainwashing." But not here,
no sir, it is what they live for...

dit dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2251. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:50:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122321006.250882.198500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113611

From: robert casey on Jul 25, 9:46 am

>> Sure you did lennieboy, sure. Bet you couldn't even turn the transmitter
>> on without help.
>
>He probably wasn't allowed to. He didn't want to go to the
>stockade.

Tsk. Download:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/My3Years.pdf

No "stockade." Not even Stockton, CA.

Stocks and Bonds you don't get to know about... :-)

>> I have a ham license. Do you?
>
>Now that the code test will soon be history, it should
>be easy for Len to get a license, if he in fact does
>have all that RF experience and knowledge above.

I've had a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) license
since March, 1956. Kept it renewed. FCC turned it into
a General Radiotelephone license, lifetime.

BTW, any First 'Phone or GROL has places on the back of
the certificate for sign-offs by the responsible engineer
at a transmitter. I have such sign-offs on three of the
certificates, dating from 1956 to 1985.

I can supply a resume (short-form) if necessary...:-)
Have already done that in here. But, that generates
even more anguish/hatred/jealousy by those with little
or no commercial experience...especially those with no
military communications experience. :-)

> Still,
>I'd check out the question pools for new technologies and
>the rules and regs.

...at least every two years when a pool is reviewed by
the VEC QPC.

> I won't ask for Len to get an extra out of the box.

No? Tsk. I thought all PCTA extras were my "sworn
enemies" in here. :-)

>Just get any ham license and upgrade later if he wants to.

How about one from the Food and Drug Administration?
They are responsible for ham. And beef. And fish.
And etc. :-)

"Ham is the butchered meat of swine..." :-)

[oh, the skins are THIN in here...thinner than a microtome slice!]

tap tap
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2252. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 19:53:26 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <f-6dnb9oHavc33jfRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113610

DesignGuy wrote:
> I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was curious
> to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
> requirement,

No.

2253. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 20:38:02 UTC
From: steve <anon@mailaaaanon.net>
Message-ID: <dc3iasank0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113612

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:y6bFe.207156$xm3.87178@attbi_s21...
> I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was
> curious
> to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
> requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer necessary
> for ham license holders?
>
> Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the FCC
> with your callsign?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>

There are very few people who even bother anymore applying
for a GMRS license.

steve

2254. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 20:45:26 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dc3j16$on0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113613

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>
>
>>>>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>>>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>
>
>>>>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>>>>>insecure?
>
>
>>>> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>>>> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>
>
> You folks all missed an important point.
>
> We are told in no uncertain terms that
>
> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

NO point missed, Jim! Just didn't pay any attention to it.

> But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>
> "is a HOBBY".
> Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
> The FCC
> doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
> the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
> the
> word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio

> *is* a
> hobby.

Hey, Jim. some people in here offer a lot of qoutes not supported by
facts. I think they are interpreted qoutes. You know, when they say we
say something and it really isn't what we said, but what they wanted us
to say so they could qoute us on it so we could be wrong..... hehe

> Do you see the contradiction?

Always have

> Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>
>
>>>> They grant the licenses for same.
>
>
>>> Your "answer" doesn't answer the question, Lennie.
>>
>> Seldom does. I didn't reply before, because I couldn't figure out just
>> *how* to reply.
>
>
> Len rarely, if ever, answers direct questions. He says they're
> "loaded".

In a sense they are loaded questions. When the question is answered,
and it interferes with a preconcieved notion, it is loaded.

>
>>>>>> "Bright people wanting to experiment" aren't going to fall in love
>>>>>> with a radio service demanding all below-30-MHz-privileged
>>>>>> individuals demonstrate telegraphy skills...especially when
>>>>>> that skill goes back 161 years! :-)
>
>
>>>>>Lots of bright students don't want to learn anything that they don't
>>>>>think is relevant.
>
>
>>>> Har! That's one of the WEAKEST arguments mumbled by so many.
>
>
>>> No, it's not.
>
>
>>> It's still as "real" today as it was when I was in grade school,
>>>and probably when your grandfather was in grade school, Lennie.
>
>
>>> I don't think I've ever met any student in any learning enviroment

>>>that didn't want to "cut through the BS" and jsut "learn what I need to
>>>know..."
>
>
>>There are plenty enough of that type running around.
>>
>>It is one of the defining elements of the truly ignorant. The remark
>>must be answered with:
>
>
>>Tell me everything you are ever going to do in your career, and we'll
>>get started on what you need to know.
>
>
> I'll have to remember that one!

Ignorance is the basis of being absolutely sure about something.

>
>>>> The FCC is NOT an academic institution and licensees are NOT
>>>> "students"...NOT even prospective licensees going for a test.
>
>
>>>>>Everyone is hated by someone. If a person allows themselves to be
>>>>>bothered by it, they are a poor baby indeed.
>
>
>>>> No, sweetums, YOU got the non-sequitur. Note what I said about
>>>> K4YZ: Anyone simply disagreeing with him is ACCUSED to be
>>>> HATING HAM RADIO! Search all you want through Google and you
>>>> will find him using that "phrase of hate." Do YOU want to be
>>>> like him?
>
>
>>> No hate, Lennie.
>
>
>>> I just don't tolerate liars.
>
>
>>And I don't always agree with you. Which kinda blows his theory out of
>>the water.
>
>
> I don't agree with any of you on some things too. Another theory
> destroyed.
>
>
>>>>>If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is true, it remains a
>>>>>foolish thing.
>>>>

>>>> "50 million people in WHAT?" Where are you dreaming today?
>
>
>>>> Hello? Concentrate on the thread subject.
>
>
>> Okay, I'll slow down and explain.
>
>
>> See, like someone goes like: "Feeling "hated" because so few agree with
>>your self-proclaimed "definitions" of What It Is All About?".
>
>
> Bummer!

I hear ya man!

>
>> Then like I go like: "If 50 million people believe a foolish thing is
>>true, it remains a foolish thing.
>
>
> To the max, man...
>
>
>> It's like if so few agree with my definitions, its like tons and tons
>>of people don't agree with my definitions, you know - totally.
>
>
> It's where their heads are at. Different scene.

I jus tell'em "whoa, step down dude"!

>
>> So I was going like: those tons and tons of people, like maybe 50
>>million of them, don't agree you know?, and like maybe I think they are
>>like wrong, and like maybe if I am not wrong, like maybe they are still
>>wrong, and like just cuz theres like tons and tons of them, that won't
>>like make it right, you know?
>
>
> Groovy! That totally rocks, Mike. Tubular, dude.
>

Rightoeus! But then they just go like "What"?

>> Whew....
>
>
> To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
> believe"

Like in one sentence even! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2255. Re: Observations and predictions on the NPRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 20:49:40 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dc3j94$on0$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113614

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Mike Coslo on Jul 24, 4:34 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on Sun 24 Jul 2005 17:33
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: "Kim" on Sat 23 Jul 2005 16:11
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Kim wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>Aw, that's not a failing. Think about it: it's mostly a failing of THEIRS
>>>>>>to get irritated. :)
>>
>>>>> Tsk. Ask the Coslonaut how his "reaching the threshold of space"
>>>>> project is going. :-)
>>
>>>> It's going well enough, Kim.
>>
>>> I ain't "Kim." Don't get your hopes up, Mikey... :-)
>>
>> I was writing to Kim.
>
>
> Were you now? Tsk. Sounds like your browser "got irritated!"
>
> Attributions, my boy, attributions and right-arrows...

I see. I get mixed up some times.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2256. Re: MARKIE: Show Me The Proof...Where's The Myth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 20:50:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122324654.323671.4860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113615

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >
> > And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> > send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
> >
>
> an_old_whateverheis probably thinks they will send it to Kenwood or
> Yeasu, as he can't be responsible for knowing the rules or for any
> malfunction in his equipment.

BUZZ you missed again

2257. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 20:59:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122325151.727406.181210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113616

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
cut

> Hey, Jim. some people in here offer a lot of qoutes not supported by
> facts. I think they are interpreted qoutes. You know, when they say we
> say something and it really isn't what we said, but what they wanted us
> to say so they could qoute us on it so we could be wrong..... hehe

there is a lot of that
>
> > Do you see the contradiction?
>
> Always have
cut

> > To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
> > believe"
>
> Like in one sentence even! 8^)

and govt regs and objective reality are rarely compatable

just look at where the FCC put the Local only CB service

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2258. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:00:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122325222.962219.138560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see my
> > parents.
>
> > >
>
> I bet they are glad of that.

why would you claim to know and why would you care

2259. stevie why doesn't the FCC find these folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:01:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122325298.268430.224800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113618

loray wrote:
> My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>
> Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> following:

>
> 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.

>
> 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.

>
> 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.

if the FCC can find anybody they want why not these folks

>
> Above sampling would tend to indicate ham bands are being
> invaded, and the 6 mhz stuff tells me there is little or no
> enforcement going on.
>
>
> 73,
>
> loray

2260. Re: ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:06:27 UTC
From: steve <anon@mailaaaanon.net>
Message-ID: <dc3k05$hh1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113619

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:zS8Fe.40$tw2.312428@news.sisna.com...
> Ancient Retired Retards Languishing
>
> ... other definitions requested...
>
> John
>

Associated Retarded Radio Loons

2261. Re: stevie why doesn't the FCC find these folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:07:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122325639.099588.230320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113620

an_old_friend wrote:
> loray wrote:
> > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> >
> > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> > following:
>
>
> >
> > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
>
>
> >
> > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>
>
> >
> > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>
> if the FCC can find anybody they want why not these folks

Who said they haven't?

Look under NOUO in FCC sanctions and violations...lotsa 28Mhz
CB'ers busted there.

Steve, K4YZ

2262. Markie Accuses Others Of Libel But Won't Answer Questions About His Own Libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:11:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122325864.388718.155250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> nothing but libel and lies form steve

You've yet to show where one line of libel has been written,
Markie...

Not one.

> and all of stevie truth is left above

While we're talking about "truth", Markie, where's the answers to
these questions?

QUOTE

Where do you come up with this stuff, Markie?

Ten days ago you were insisting that I was "on the public dole" for
disability, and now you come up with this "you are poor" silliness?

UNQUOTE

So...where'd it come from, Markie, if not your imagination?

Steve, K4YZ

2263. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:16:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113623

DesignGuy wrote:
> I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was curious
> to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
> requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer necessary
> for ham license holders?

No Sir...You are still requied to apply for the GMRS license if
you intend to operate on GMRS frequencies. Your Amateur license will
not be sufficient there.

> Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the FCC
> with your callsign?

Can't answer that, but Amateur items are running around 2-4
weeks...The Good thing is that the FCC says you may operate as soon as
a callsign with your name on it appears in teh database.

BTW...Another poster suggests that "no one is bothering to apply
for GMRS licenses"...If you plan on doing nothing but using the simplex
channels on low power, you might get away with that...But operate on
someone's licensed repeater and you're likely to find that $75 was a
small price to pay for the legal trouble you could manifest.

Good luck.

Steve Robeson, LPN
Amateur Station K4YZ
>
> Thanks in advance.

2264. More Muddled Markie Mularkie to Mess With Mature Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:18:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122326307.596422.196100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113622

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> hack
> > > > Then my point is made. Thanks Markie..
> > >
> > > your point then was conceeded weeks agoo then
> >
> > No...
> >
> > YOU made my point FOR me...
> >
> > The O P E R A T O R is responsible for making sure the radio is
> > on the proper frequency and operating within established parameters.
>
> never argued that point

Sure you did.

> > YOU insisted the RADIO did it all, however YOU concede that if
> > there's a failure, the OPERATOR will get the violation notice, which is
> > exactly what I was saying...
>
> anotherlie you were claiming I to understand the how the radio did it

Not a lie.

It's archived, so why lie about it?

> > > > > If they notice
> > > > >
> > > > > and if they can find him/or her
> > > >
> > > > Sure they can. Been following the FCC's lists of NOUO's? Seems
> > > > they are pretty good at it.
> > >
> > > I certainly have
> > >
> > > haven't seen one for a bad rig yet
> >
>
> I said many thing and you make other thing your point?
>

> > You said "...and if they can find him/ or her..."
>
> if they can yes
>
> >
> > I pointed out the FCC seems to find folks just fine.
>
> you think the FCC finds folks breaking the rules just fine
>
> you have lost it

Nope.

Look under "NOUO" filings, Markie.

They do just fine.

> > > > Matter of fact, I bet they can even find YOU!
> > >
> > > if I do something wrong maybe they can if you they even know enough to
> > > look
> > >
> > E N G L I S H, Markie!
> >
> > > > > and more of your beating a dead horses ###
> > > > >
> > > > Is that what that was? I thought it was your face.
> > >
> > > more insults
> >
>
> break
>
> > You were the one complaining about me beating your face.
>
> another lie

Nope. You said it. Quoted it. Why lie about it?

> > > > I'll apologize to Trigger later.
> > > >
> > > > > > So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Lenn already has provided several, he does know the feild better than I
> > > > >
> > > > No, he doesn't.
> > >
> > > sure does
> > >
> > > in fact you hacked this thread out of such an answer
> >
> > I addressed his comments right there. YOU even quoted it..Without

> > reading it, obviously.
> >
> > > > His list of ALLEGED NASA myths is nothing of the sort.
> > > >
> > > > Any of the stories he related can be traced to any number of MEDIA
> > > > sources that misrepresented the FACTS.
> > >
> > > wity NASA full cooperation
> >
> > Oh?
> >
> > Prove it.
>
> already been done

Where?

Not by you or Lennie.

SHOW ME some verifiable reference where NASA allegedly "cooperated"
with the media to create some alleged "myth" about the products Lennie
cited.

Lennie didn't do it...He jsut said that those were the myths.

The problem is he didn't cite sources, published references, etc.

> > > > Lennie just like's to think he's a Mythbuster.
> > > >
> > > > Fact of the matter is he's just an antiquated gaspasser.
> > >
> > As I was saying.....
>
> repling to your is not a sign of good mental health

What? Huh? E N G L I S H is the standard language in this forum,
Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

2265. Markie Making Mayhem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:22:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122326543.020112.57950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113624

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > still not admitting to his mistakes
> > >
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > can't even get the call right
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > Gee...You're the one always alleging that stuff's not being
> > "carried":
> >
> > QUOTE
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 25 Jul 2005 10:44:07 -0700
> > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 12:44 pm
> > Subject: More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > can't even get the call right
> >
> >
> > Let's see...the "E" and the "R" are..Geeze! Right next to each
> > other!
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > Right there, Markie.
> >
> > Now..an apology for the lie?
>
> no lie
>
> you beleww you refused to correct it or apologize for it

I what..?!?! "beleww"...?!?!

I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.

YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it back.

I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they are culpable.

Steve, K4YZ

2266. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:29:28 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <oslae1hlvn48vd34lss9h40qjrllel1u58@4ax.com>
Source record: 113625

On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>
>> >>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>> >>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>
>> >>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>> >>>insecure?
>
>> >> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>> >> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>
>You folks all missed an important point.
>
>We are told in no uncertain terms that
>
>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

That is true. The definition and regulatory package ensures that
folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).

>
>But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>
>"is a HOBBY".
>
>Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
>The FCC
>doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
>the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
>the
>word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
>*is* a
>hobby.
>

>Do you see the contradiction?
>
>Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....

Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
too....quote:

"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
operator....."

http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html

Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?

>snip
>
>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>believe"

That's for sure!

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

2267. Extra study guide...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:35:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TWcFe.84$6O6.3171398@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113640

ALL of the correct answers which will be needed on the REAL "extra exam" are in here... just a little bit of digging will get them (they are NOT hidden)--best used with the actual questions on the REAL exam (second link here.)

http://telemetry.nmsu.edu/~shoran/general_student_manual.pdf

http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/pools.html

John

2268. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:38:57 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122327537.530090.162080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113626

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > >
> > > Nah, all we have to do is quietly show up at a Field Day getogether and
> > > go brasspounding and watch the crowd of codeless wonders gather 'round
> > > in amazement.
> > >
> > You're certain that it's amazement?
>
> No actually I'm not certain. At one point I jumped out of the seat to
> do a pit stop and asked one of 'em to fill in for me while was gone. In
> his case the look on his face was either fear or embarrassment, I
> couldn't tell which . . .

Figured you to have been outfitted with a catheter for such an
important event.

2269. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:41:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122327683.214711.293320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113627

Leo wrote:
> On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
> >> >>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
> >> >>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
> >> >>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
> >> >>>insecure?
> >
> >> >> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
> >> >> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
> >
> >You folks all missed an important point.
> >
> >We are told in no uncertain terms that
> >
> >"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>
> That is true.

It's true that we've been told

"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

But that statement (taken as a whole) may not be true.

> The definition and regulatory package ensures that
> folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
> of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
> not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).

OK

> >But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
> >
> >"is a HOBBY".
> >
> >Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
> >The FCC
> >doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
> >the Amateur Radio Service.
> >

> >So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
> >the
> >word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
> >*is* a hobby.
> >
> >Do you see the contradiction?
> >
> >Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>
> Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
> too....quote:
>
> "Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
> something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
> operator....."
>
> http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>
> Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?

Sure - which means that one of the statements must not be true.

Note that ARRL does not state:

"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

The contradiction comes from the idea that the FCC and *only*
the FCC defines amateur radio in the USA - which is what the
"Period" means.

> >snip
> >
> >To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
> >believe"
>
> That's for sure!
>
Yep.

Which is to say that, for some, Amateur Radio is a hobby. For others,
it's much more. For the FCC, it's a licensed radio service that is
regulated by Part 97.

In fact, Amateur Radio is all of those things and much more.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2270. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:43:08 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <M1dFe.9232$X76.4373@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 113628

In article <dc3iasank0@pita.alt.net>, steve <anon@mailaaaanon.net> wrote:

| There are very few people who even bother anymore applying
| for a GMRS license.

I have no idea how true this is, so I'll assume it's true.

However, as a ham radio operator, you've given the FCC one more stick they can use on you -- they can take your ham license. That, and you should be more familiar with the regulations than other people, so they're less likely to `go easy' on you. So being a ham means you need to be extra careful about obeying the FCC regulations, even if they're not related to ham radio.

I'm surprised that the FCC charges so much for a GMRS license, but they do, so that's that.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com Eject, Buckaroo! Eject!

2271. Re: We need no Licenses anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:43:39 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122327819.354721.118870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113629

robert casey wrote:
> > He can, but only under the following conditions:
> >
> > 1. On a lark,
> > 2. Without studying,
> > 3. Scoring 100%,
> How does he prove that? A passing grade would be
> good enough in my book...
> > 4. In under 7 minutes,
> > 5. And collect $250 from two CB-Plussers!
> >
> > Or so he's said.
> >
>
> Of course, but assuming it was true, it's still a pass
> and an upgrade.

Indeed. The FCC says he's an Extra. Stand proud.

2272. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:45:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122327922.411714.161040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113630

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> > > and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> > > a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> > > That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> > > BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> > > value.
> >
> > But so far YOU can't pass a test with only 35 questions in it...So
> > why the subterfuge about 1000...?!?!
>
> can't more libel stevie chooses not to

What libel?

> I am sure he can

Sure I can WHAT...?!?!

> > > U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY...(SNIP)
> >
> > Says who?
> >
> > The FCC doesn't.
> >
> > No where in Part 97 does the word "hobby" appear.
> >
> > Are there people who participate in Amateur Radio as a
> > "HOBBY"...?!?! Certainly..just as there are people who participate in
> > Emergency Medical Services, Search And Rescue, Meals-on-Wheels, etc for
> > whatever personal gratification they can glean from it.
> >
> > That people do "have fun" does NOT negate the value of the Amateur
> > Radio SERVICE as the disaster relief, emergency communications and
> > health and welfare medium that it ALSO is.
>
> Lenn never said that the ARS had No value, just not the value that many
> such as yourelf make out

Sure he has.

He's done it over and over.

> > > (UNSNIP)...not an occupation...(SNIP)
> >
> > It is to many. It provides jobs.
> >
> > > (UNSNIP)...not some vital service to the nation.
> >
> > It is that too.
> >
> > That YOU don't think it is is irrelevent.
> >
> > > I just wish that more would
> > > treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of
> > > their clouded imaginations about their "federal
> > > authorization" license and imagined self-importance.
> >
> > I am sure you DO wish that many would treat it that way. Then all
> > of your rantings, musings and other mispent efforts herein would be
> > justified.
>
> you certainly do
>
> you think it gives you powers beyond mortal men

You need to re-read my paragraph, Markie, then re-read YOUR reply...If you are even 1/100th the "man" you claim to be, try to be a bit objective and figure out why your reply doesn't even come anywhere close to sounding reasonably germane.

Then come back after I've finished laughing at you...Again...

Steve, K4YZ

>
> >
> > Sorry for you "they" don't, eh?
> >
> > > LenIwillAlwaysBeOnTheOutsideLookingInAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > Putz.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

2273. Markie Lying To Himslef Now...Can't Tell Difference Between Night/Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:47:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122328049.820632.213880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113631

an old friend wrote:
> nothing but libel and lies form steve
>
>
> and all of stevie truth is left above

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Steve, K4YZ

2274. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:50:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122328228.361071.130600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > nothing but libel and lies form steve
>
> You've yet to show where one line of libel has been written,
> Markie...

I certainly have

>
> Not one.

no not one but dozens

>
> > and all of stevie truth is left above
>
> While we're talking about "truth", Markie, where's the answers to
> these questions?

all answered

>
> QUOTE
>
> Where do you come up with this stuff, Markie?
>
> Ten days ago you were insisting that I was "on the public dole" for
> disability, and now you come up with this "you are poor" silliness?

from your posts

>
> UNQUOTE
>
> So...where'd it come from, Markie, if not your imagination?

asked andanswered

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2275. glad you agree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:51:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122328284.978351.40260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113633

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > nothing but libel and lies form steve
> >
> >
> > and all of stevie truth is left above
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

glad to see you agree
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2276. Dance Stevie dance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:53:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122328417.555959.138720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113635

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > still not admitting to his mistakes
> > > >
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > can't even get the call right
> > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Gee...You're the one always alleging that stuff's not being
> > > "carried":
> > >
> > > QUOTE
> > >
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > > Date: 25 Jul 2005 10:44:07 -0700
> > > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 12:44 pm
> > > Subject: More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds
> > >
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > can't even get the call right
> > >
> > >
> > > Let's see...the "E" and the "R" are..Geeze! Right next to each
> > > other!
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > Right there, Markie.
> > >
> > > Now..an apology for the lie?
> >
> > no lie
> >
> > you beleww you refused to correct it or apologize for it
>
> I what..?!?! "beleww"...?!?!
>
> I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.

you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible

>
> YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it
> back.

I replied to your title pointing your error

>
> I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they
> are culpable.

your typing not mine

you blew it then you tried to evade responiblity for it

you don't admit your mistakes

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2277. Re: stevie why doesn't the FCC find these folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 21:55:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122328524.707622.163140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113634

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > loray wrote:
> > > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> > >
> > > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> > > following:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> > > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> > > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> > > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> > > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
> > >
> > if the FCC can find anybody they want why not these folks
> >
> Who said they haven't?

the absesne of evdence they have
>
> Look under NOUO in FCC sanctions and violations...lotsa 28Mhz
> CB'ers busted there.

2 of the 3 cites are not 28mhz

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2278. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:00:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122328843.147737.193600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113636

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> > > > and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> > > > a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> > > > That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> > > > BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> > > > value.
> > >
> > > But so far YOU can't pass a test with only 35 questions in it...So
> > > why the subterfuge about 1000...?!?!
> > >
> > can't more libel stevie chooses not to
> >
> What libel?

you state that Len can't pass

that is libel stevie
>
> > I am sure he can
> >
> Sure I can WHAT...?!?!

you can no read the post He can Lenn since you can't follow the proper
use of pronouns

more of your arrogance Stevie

>
> > > > U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > Says who?
> > >
> > > The FCC doesn't.
> > >
> > > No where in Part 97 does the word "hobby" appear.
> > >
> > > Are there people who participate in Amateur Radio as a
> > > "HOBBY"...?!?! Certainly..just as there are people who participate in
> > > Emergency Medical Services, Search And Rescue, Meals-on-Wheels, etc for
> > > whatever personal gratification they can glean from it.
> > >

> > > That people do "have fun" does NOT negate the value of the Amateur
> > > Radio SERVICE as the disaster relief, emergency communications and
> > > health and welfare medium that it ALSO is.
> >
> > Lenn never said that the ARS had No value, just not the value that many
> > such as yourelf make out
>
> Sure he has.

nope

>
> He's done it over and over.

only in your distortions

Indeed he adkonwledges the role of the ARS further up in this thread

>
> > > > (UNSNIP)...not an occupation...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > It is to many. It provides jobs.
> > >
> > > > (UNSNIP)...not some vital service to the nation.
> > >
> > > It is that too.
> > >
> > > That YOU don't think it is is irrelevent.
> > >
> > > > I just wish that more would
> > > > treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of
> > > > their clouded imaginations about their "federal
> > > > authorization" license and imagined self-importance.
> > >
> > > I am sure you DO wish that many would treat it that way. Then all
> > > of your rantings, musings and other mispent efforts herein would be
> > > justified.
> >
> > you certainly do
> >
> > you think it gives you powers beyond mortal men
>
> You need to re-read my paragraph, Markie, then re-read YOUR
> reply...If you are even 1/100th the "man" you claim to be, try to be a
> bit objective and figure out why your reply doesn't even come anywhere
> close to sounding reasonably germane.

it is quite germane you and other of MMM claim amzing powers for the
ARS. The ARS does provide usefull serivce esp in getting a lot stuff
off the plates of the Cops and/or military (depends on the incident)
but no way the saves the day

The ARS makes a real and mojor difference for those people it helps ,
but the disasters remain much the same with or without us

>
> Then come back after I've finished laughing at you...Again...

you mean wiat a few years don't you
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> >
> > >
> > > Sorry for you "they" don't, eh?
> > >
> > > > LenIwillAlwaysBeOnTheOutsideLookingInAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > > Putz.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

2279. Re: MARKIE: Show Me The Proof...Where's The Myth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:01:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122325498.983285.5350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113637

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> > > send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
> > >
> >
> > an_old_whateverheis probably thinks they will send it to Kenwood or
> > Yeasu, as he can't be responsible for knowing the rules or for any
> > malfunction in his equipment.
>
> BUZZ you missed again

Buzz hit it right on the head.

You're the one who's a day late and a dlooar short, Markie...

Buzz, Ya gotta remember that Markie believes it's OK to lie and
that the world "owes" him because his parents didn't raise him with the
stones to be a man.

Steve, K4YZ

2280. Re: A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:06:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122329168.717540.49250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113638

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Jul 25, 3:19 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "b.b." on Sun 24 Jul 2005 09:11
> >> >John Smith wrote:
>
> >> Jimmie Noserve tried his best satirical rant with the "NTI"
> >> thing and drew a blank. He was trying to pick up on Smith
> >> Charts as a diatribe-weapon, falling short on his attempts
> >> at making such knowledge sound foolish.
> >>
> >But I think Jim/N2EY worked hard at it. At least as hard as KH2D.
> >Perhaps his mind isn't as efficient as Kehler's.
>
> I'll grant you that. Inefficiency may come from being stuck
> in a Time Warp of spirit back to the 20s and 30s of radio.

I love hearing of amateur radio's contributions during WWII.

> >> "Smith Charts" aren't ham lore. Smith Charts are a terrific
> >> aid in seeing solutions to complex impedances over frequency
> >> but Phil Smith's wonderful diagram came out of pre-WW2
> >> testing of telephone lines!
> >>
> >Say it isn't so!
>
> Ah, but I have to tell the truth!
>
> >> Telephony involves voice and
> >> the Mighty Macho Morsemen will have none of voice! That the
> >> entire RF industry picked up on Smith Charts, used them, even
> >> had the format hardwired into test instrumentation, is beside
> >> the point. Jimmie, a supposed professional in electronics,
> >> despises professionalism, the rest of the RF world, and any
> >> no-coder within spitting distance.
> >>
> >Or sputtering distance.
>
> Tsk. This newsgroup should come with a spitoon attached. :-)

Now that's the next growth industry in amateur radio; spitoons and
splash sheilds.

> >> >They might need to have L...@ieee.org look over their shoulder's. Hi!
> >
> >> BWAAAAHAAAHAHAHAHAH!!!!
> >
> >> Brian, I doubt there's enough money in the ARRL treasury to
> >> pay me for that task! :-)
> >
> >It would be formidable...
>
> Well, I don't ask for too much. The ARRL's income (on their
> federal income tax for 2002) was a mere $12.5 million or so...

Plus expenses.

> >> The FCC is NOT an academic organization and its "tests" are
> >> just regulatory tools, a part of the licensing process the FCC
> >> uses to regulate ALL of U.S. civil radio. It's no more than
> >> that...but lots and lots of hams are into the FANTASY that it
> >> shows some "expertise" in radio. Such test passings show
> >> ONLY that an individual has passed a few tests.
> >
> >Paper chasers...
> >
> >Everything has to be documented until it comes time to back their
> >claims.
>
> Then the fun begins! :-)

They have no sense of humor at all when it comes to "thier"
documentation.

> >> Note: Some
> >> like to puff up their chests and say "they are authorized
> >> by the federal government(!)" as if they were junior G-men.
> >
> >As if???
>
> "As if." Except for those that actually BOUGHT one of those
> fancy Ham Shields on sale! THOSE would be "true" radio cops!

But they wear that sheild on "thier" belt, partially obscured by
"thier" jacket. The uninformed (and sometimes the uniformed) assume
it's official in some capacity.

> Junior G-Men Hams! "Out of the box!" [FedEx or UPS shipping
> box, that is...]

[or the Quaker Oats round box or the Altoids tin box or the Tuna Tin
Two]

> "As if." I had a bite of a hoagie last week, brought back
> some of the Philly slang I heard...besides giving me
> indigestion...but I didn't get "gaz." :-)

Too much information.

> >> Privatized radio operator testing is a part of NOW and has
> >> been for several years. [privatization of other government
> >> regulations has been the norm too] As such, multiple-choice
> >> questions are the logical choice for private testers...avoids
> >> the need for testing the testers on their ability to discern
> >> correct written responses from wrong ones.
> > >
> > >That is the only way that it can work.
> >
> > Well, NOT according to the olde-tyme hammes read in here.
> > THEY want a full two-semester course with Finals for the
> > simplest class license...in order for newcomers to be as
> > smart as they. :-)

They may say that, but in relity, the newcomers can never measure up to
them.

> >> That avoids all
> >> the layering of beaurocracy that so many bitch about. The
> >> multiple-choice format has been around for decades and there's
> >> nothing actually wrong with it (except in the newsgroup
> >> environment where everyone seems to be an "expert" on testing).
> > >
> > >They are many, many things.
> >
> > And each and everyone is absolutely CORRECT and any fool that
> > disagrees in the slightest with any of them is a "Putz" or
> > worse... :-)

In "thier" zeal to put down any disagreement, they show what
disagreeable fellows they are.

> >> Further, the FCC only states that a MINIMUM of ten questions
> >> for each of the required questions per written test element
> >> for a class be generated. There is NO LIMIT on the maximum
> >> number! The questions (and answers) themselves left
> >> ENTIRELY up to the VEC Question Pool Committee. The VEC QPC
> >> is made up ONLY of licensed radio amateurs. By law.
> > >
> > >And they can completely violate the Morse Code timing of elements. No
> > >wonder the FCC doesn't have a definition of Morse Code within the U.S.
> > >Statutes.
> >
> > Well, not a complete one for WORD RATE in the law. The
> > referenced CCITT-to-ITU document on Telegraphy doesn't define
> > RATE. Worse yet, that CCITT-to-ITU document reference is
> > intended for COMMERCIAL telegraphy! :-)

And we know what commercial radio demands for a Morse Code Exam.

> >> Those who piss and moan about "today's multiple-choice" need
> >> to contact the VEC QPC...their fellows in the 'amateur
> >> community.' Open Pools are NOT going away as long as
> >> privatized testing exists. All that "memorization" BS is
> >> just BS by the olde-tymers who probably haven't taken a
> >> single written test of any kind in over a decade (except
> >> maybe a driver's license thing, depending on their state).
> >
> >Some states have mandatory retesting for the elderly.
>
> California does not. :-) California drivers licenses do
> not require retesting for five years if there are no
> infractions of the Motor Vehicle Code on record for them.
> [more often depending on number of infractions]
>
> However, the FCC has totally stricken AGE from all amateur
> radio regulations. "Six year old extras" are a legal
> possibility!

...Proven on RRAP every day. At least that's how old they act
sometimes.

> >> Could anyone "memorize" a thousand questions...along with
> >> the single correct answer against three other incorrect
> >> answers? Might be possible for stage actors, I don't know
> >> for sure. I'm only acquainted with a few TV and screen
> >> actors of less than stellar rank...they have learned to
> >> memorize dialogue LINES, at least enough for a day's
> >> shooting (perhaps 6 to 8 pages of standard-format script).
> >
> >Don't go giving them ideas. I can see at least one pretending to be a
> >TV weatherman with one page of dialogue.
>
> Har! "Ron Burgundy" comes to mind...! :-)

Hmmmm? Ron Burgundy in a cast-off Air Force flight suit...

> >> So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> >> and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> >> a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> >> That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> >> BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> >> value.
> >
> >They will claim that all new hams have photographic memories. It will
> >be the only way of distinguishing themselves from the "riff-raff."
>
> THEY will always have excuses, rationales, etc., upon etc. :-)

Stand back and watch.

> >> U.S. amateur radio is a HOBBY, not an occupation, not some
> >> vital service to the nation. I just wish that more would

> >> treat it as such instead of wandering off in the haze of
> >> their clouded imaginations about their "federal
> >> authorization" license and imagined self-importance.
> >
> >I consider it an important radio service that could be utilized in the
> >service to nation.
>
> True enough! However, there are two takes on the "official
> phrases" in FCC regulations on that:
>
> 1. It is kind of a "standard boilerplate" political nice-nice
> phrase to justify a radio service existance. ["appreciation"
> letters sent by officials to hams, ham groups are in the
> same category, but to say so out loud is to invoke total
> warfare by the ham faithfull!]
>
> 2. There is SOME truth to it, however small the actual incidents
> of "service to the nation [community]" are.
>
> The ARRL long ago picked up on (2), disregarded (1), and pitched
> it constantly to anyone reading. Nice-nice words that most hams
> took to emotionally. They WANTED to hear such things in order to
> justify their hobby to others...and perhaps to themselves. It
> made them feel IMPORTANT!

It's a way for those who didn't serve to be able to hang "thier" hats
on something and feel good.

> > But I doubt that every single citizen or government
> >agency can be guaranteed emergency communications via amateur radio, no
> >matter what the MMM would have you think.
>
> True enough also! However, the Mighty Macho Morsemen still dream
> about "saving lives" a la the Titanic disaster, all done with that
> morse code stuff. It is part of the fabric of their existance.
> Fabric tailored for them by the PR troops in Newington. In any
> other venue such would be called "brainwashing." But not here,
> no sir, it is what they live for...
>
> dit dot
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I recall Larry Roll creating a scenario where only a MMM could respond
effectively. Every "Shack on a Belt" so-called emergency communicator
"wannabe" was useless in Larry's scenario.

2281. more lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:07:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122329237.307602.225460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113642

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > hack
> > > > > > Then my point is made. Thanks Markie..
> > > > >
> > > > > your point then was conceeded weeks agoo then
> > > >
> > > No...
> > >
> > > YOU made my point FOR me...
> > >
> > > The O P E R A T O R is responsible for making sure the radio is
> > > on the proper frequency and operating within established parameters.
> > >
> > never argued that point
> >
> Sure you did.

never did

never said anything of the kind

you may well have added your own word to mine and then claim a lie but
that is your SOP

>
> > > YOU insisted the RADIO did it all, however YOU concede that if
> > > there's a failure, the OPERATOR will get the violation notice, which is
> > > exactly what I was saying...
> > >
> > anotherlie you were claiming I to understand the how the radio did it
> >
> Not a lie.

yes you are lying

>
> It's archived, so why lie about it?

indeed why are you lying about it

>
> > > > > > If they notice
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > and if they can find him/or her
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sure they can. Been following the FCC's lists of NOUO's? Seems
> > > > > > > they are pretty good at it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I certainly have
> > > > > >
> > > > > > haven't seen one for a bad rig yet
> > > >
> > >
> > > I said many thing and you make other thing your point?
> > >
> > > > You said "...and if they can find him/ or her..."
> > >
> > > if they can yes
> > >
> > > >
> > > > I pointed out the FCC seems to find folks just fine.
> >
> > you think the FCC finds folks breaking the rules just fine
> >
> > you have lost it
>
> Nope.

yes have

many folks nreak the rule all the time

not right but them is the facts

>
> Look under "NOUO" filings, Markie.
>
> They do just fine.

then why do we have the wizards of 80m

>
> > > > > > Matter of fact, I bet they can even find YOU!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > if I do something wrong maybe they can if you they even know enough to
> > > > > > look
> > > >
> > > > E N G L I S H, Markie!
> > > >
> > > > > > > and more of your beating a dead horses ###
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Is that what that was? I thought it was your face.
> > > > >

> > > > more insults
> > >
> >
> > break
> >
> > > You were the one complaining about me beating your face.
> >
> > another lie
>
> Nope. You said it. Quoted it. Why lie about it?

nope you made something up which was a lie

>
> > > > > I'll apologize to Trigger later.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > So...Provide me some example of NASA "PR" that is a myth.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Lenn already has provided several, he does know the feild better than I
> > > > >
> > > > > No, he doesn't.
> > > >
> > > > sure does
> > > >
> > > > in fact you hacked this thread out of such an answer
> > >
> > > I addressed his comments right there. YOU even quoted it..Without
> > > reading it, obviously.
> > >
> > > > His list of ALLEGED NASA myths is nothing of the sort.
> > > > >
> > > > > Any of the stories he related can be traced to any number of MEDIA
> > > > > sources that misrepresented the FACTS.
> > > >
> > > > wity NASA full cooperation
> > >
> > > Oh?
> > >
> > > Prove it.
> >
> > already been done
>
> Where?

round here

>
> Not by you or Lennie.

yep by lenn can't claim the credit

>
> SHOW ME some verifiable reference where NASA allegedly "cooperated"
> with the media to create some alleged "myth" about the products Lennie
> cited.

by failing to stop it they cooperated with it

>
> Lennie didn't do it...He jsut said that those were the myths.

as they are

and Nasa allows them to be put out in thier name

>
> The problem is he didn't cite sources, published references, etc.
>
> > > > > Lennie just like's to think he's a Mythbuster.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Fact of the matter is he's just an antiquated gaspasser.
> > > >
> > > As I was saying.....
> >
repling to yourself is not a sign of good mental health
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2282. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:08:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122329294.450430.302890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

See STEBIE, I got on here and announced a new Dissussion group on this here Usenet and what do you do, run your mouth, just like a women, No foul language on my part nor any Bad mannes as you claim in this posting, only after you got on here and ran your stupid mouth did I say anything of a "bad nature" as you claim.

> My bet is on 3 POINT 7.

Come on steve, now leave you IQ out of the disussion. we all know your narrow-minded with a low IQ. you are not going to get any pity from anyone here.

Todd N9OGL

2283. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:10:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122329430.988631.177020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>I wonder if he's still flying that illegal ultralight? He'll
>probably "park" it in some neighbor's tree someday, becoming the
>laughing stock of South Central Illinois and getting his name brought
>to the attention of the local FSDO.

Sure am Stebie, I going to an airshow in four weeks.

Todd N9OGL

2284. Steve got a problem, A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:10:57 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122329457.260152.58800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113643

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> > and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> > a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> > That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> > BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> > value.
>
> But so far YOU can't pass a test with only 35 questions in it...So
> why the subterfuge about 1000...?!?!

You pass out medical diagnoses and yet you haven't taken the doctor
test?

Why dat?

2285. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:12:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122329532.818359.22080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Now that Google and two other companies invested $100,000,000 in BPL.

...And if they don't go through with it, hey,that's a great tax write
off

Todd N9OGL

2286. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:12:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122329572.071376.33500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113645

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
> > > > send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
> > > >
> > >
> > > an_old_whateverheis probably thinks they will send it to Kenwood or
> > > Yeasu, as he can't be responsible for knowing the rules or for any
> > > malfunction in his equipment.
> >
> > BUZZ you missed again
>
> Buzz hit it right on the head.

nope

he making up a claim i never made
>
> You're the one who's a day late and a dlooar short, Markie...
>
> Buzz, Ya gotta remember that Markie believes it's OK to lie and

you are right here

> that the world "owes" him because his parents didn't raise him with the
> stones to be a man.

lying here

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2287. Re: Dr. Robeson diagnoses Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:16:03 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122329763.632916.141520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113646

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Jul 24, 5:14 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >> > olivermartin15...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> >> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> >> I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.
> >
> >> But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
> >
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Still practicing without a license?
>
> He HAS a license...amateur extra radio operator!!!
>
> Problem is, he's in his Dr. Killgore personna again...
>
> Thinks he's "medically qualified" to perform diagnoses.

Bed pans.

> Can't diagnose himself... :-)

If ever there were a need to practice medicine without a license....

2288. Re: Steve got a problem, A Possible Solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:20:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122330011.337493.280250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113649

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > So, given a thousand questions, a thousand correct answers,
> > > and three thousand incorrect answers, I doubt that all but
> > > a rare few eidetic-aptitude humans could "ace" such a test.
> > > That "memorization passing" excuse used by so many is just
> > > BS...BS of low quality at that, lots of smell but of little
> > > value.
> >
> > But so far YOU can't pass a test with only 35 questions in it...So
> > why the subterfuge about 1000...?!?!
>
> You pass out medical diagnoses and yet you haven't taken the doctor
> test?

haven't even taken the RN test
>
> Why dat?

he is an MMM perhaps

2289. Re: stevie why doesn't the FCC find these folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:22:09 UTC
From: steve <anon@mailaaaanon.net>
Message-ID: <dc3oe3$5sd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113647

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122325639.099588.230320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> loray wrote:
>> > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
>> >
>> > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
>> > following:
>>
>>
>> >
>> > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
>> > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
>>
>>
>> >
>> > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
>> > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
>>
>>
>> >
>> > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
>> > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
>> > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
>>
>> if the FCC can find anybody they want why not these folks
>
> Who said they haven't?
>
> Look under NOUO in FCC sanctions and violations...lotsa 28Mhz
> CB'ers busted there.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Yup, looked there, and didn't see a thing. Me thinks you fulla
crapola.

2290. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:26:34 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@herbliveshoho.net>
Message-ID: <dc3omd$7bb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113648

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>

K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
on Usenet.

2291. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:32:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122330741.115444.315640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113650

Herb wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
>
> K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> on Usenet.

ouch he isn't quite that bad the anomomous folks are generaly worse

2292. Re: Dr. Robeson diagnoses Mark C. Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:35:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122330910.879015.108690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113651

b.b. wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: b.b. on Jul 24, 5:14 pm
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> an old friend wrote:
> > >> > olivermartin15...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> > > > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > >> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >> I don't use anonymous remailers or pseudonames.
> > >
> > >> But he's right. You're mentally damaged.
> > >
> > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >Still practicing without a license?
> >
> > He HAS a license...amateur extra radio operator!!!
> >
> > Problem is, he's in his Dr. Killgore personna again...
> >
> > Thinks he's "medically qualified" to perform diagnoses.
>
> Bed pans.
>
> > Can't diagnose himself... :-)
>
> If ever there were a need to practice medicine without a license....

plenty of need lack of decent health care coverage or in some cases
decent health care ...
hm Stevie may have something to do with that as well

2293. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:36:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122330987.295560.56210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113652

DesignGuy wrote:
> I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was curious
> to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
> requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer necessary
> for ham license holders?

you should look at the power and freqs on your GMRS radio if they are
less than 2 watts you may be able to use the free MURS either on the
same fqs or retuning

>
> Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the FCC
> with your callsign?
>
> Thanks in advance.

2294. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 22:51:29 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122331889.630353.98510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113653

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-143A1.doc
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Sad? Did you lose the prediction?

2295. Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 23:20:52 UTC
From: Glendale Psycho <lavender@ladspresidente.net>
Message-ID: <dc3rs5$ku2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113654

than K4YZ

2296. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 23:48:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <eTeFe.17029$aY6.14909@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122291433.912247.136240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> but it takes dickheads like you to evoke it.....cause and effect,
> beside Consolidated still are a bunch of assholes not to listen to my
> side.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
Most people have better control of their emotions by the time they reach
their Mid 30s. Do you use Depends also Toad?

Dan/W4NTI

2297. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-25 23:56:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122335780.490297.212460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122291433.912247.136240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > but it takes dickheads like you to evoke it.....cause and effect,
> > beside Consolidated still are a bunch of assholes not to listen to my
> > side.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> Most people have better control of their emotions by the time they reach
> their Mid 30s. Do you use Depends also Toad?

most people also use better than you or Stevie,

Indeed most folks think seeking to incite emotions from other is abd
thing but not you and stevie
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2298. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:07:40 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <17070$42e57ec3$4e105a0$6678@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 113657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122335780.490297.212460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> most people also use better than you or Stevie,
>
> Indeed most folks think seeking to incite emotions from other is abd
> thing but not you and stevie
>>

The Toad and "an old friend" of Toad A.K.A. KB9RQZ must have similar
educations.
Just an observation.
Ace-WH2T

2299. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:09:12 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <IafFe.4857$6f.3533@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113658

DesignGuy wrote:
> I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was curious
> to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
> requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer necessary
> for ham license holders?
>

No, completely separate service. But if everyone in your
group are hams, you could use 70cm transceivers on a
simplex channel. Similar band as GMRS as far as technical
details are concerned. One thing is that you can't
do business traffic on the ham radios. But you won't
be competing with GMRS users.

2300. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:12:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122336736.551221.69990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113659

From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 23:45

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 05:53
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Leo on Jul 23, 11:23 am
>> >
>> >> While the ubiquitous ball-point pen is used for making notes
>> >> in ham "logs," the precise frequencies noted down are kept
>> >> accurate by the PLL or DDS in modern amateur transceivers.
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> What do you mean "nope," opie?
>>
>> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> What you use then, big PCTA extra of the Double Standard, a
>> couple crates of crystals?!?!?
>
> Nope...Common sense, a signal generator and a spectrum analyzer.

BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Idjit. Frequency is measured with a FREQUENCY COUNTER.

>> > The precise frequencies are "kept" by the station licensee who
>> >ensures that his or her station is kept in good operating order.
>>
>> Yeah, riiiight...the extra can do "laying on of hands" and
>> instantly tell (by magic of some kind of telepathy) what
>> frequency he is tuned to....
>
> Nope.
>
> But I don't depend on that digital display to be 100% accurate.
>
> The FCC says "be sure", and I do.

What, you said "I do" and MARRIED the digital display?!?!?

BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

TweeedleDUMB, the "display" doesn't do the measuring. Inside
your rig is a microcontroller doing a FREQUENCY COUNT. All
the display does is present its count state to something

humans can read. That internal FREQUENCY COUNTER is accurate
to its internal TIME BASE and that is calibrated against an
external standard (WWV for some rigs or another calibrated
frequency standard for other rigs). It's in the instructions
for your plug-and-play HF machine...just about ALL of those
HF machines work the same way.

There are OTHER ways to insure frequency calibration...but
you still don't know dink about how to do it other than the
trying-to-avoid-looking-stoopid "be sure" remark.

The ONLY spectrum analyzers that could possibly do the
calibration cost as much as the list price of a new auto.
Your "disability check" from ripping off the U.S. govt.
ain't gonna cover that. The same with a synthesizer-
controlled signal generator having a very low PPM rating
(Agilent makes some)...costing much much more than you
can afford.

FREQUENCY COUNTER, boy, FREQUENCY COUNTER. One with greater
accuracy in its time base than what is in your HF machine.

>> > It is additionally noted that Leonard H Anderson had nothing to do
>> >with the creation for the aforementioned PLL or DDS that he's
>> >attempting to brag on.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. The fire in your hate-filled eyes is robbing you
>> of any comprehension of what was written.
>
> Nope. Just noting that you're trying to "diss" Amateurs again.

Awwwww...letting your EGO run riot in being the sovereign
representative of ALL U.S. amateur radio again?!?!?

I am "dissing" ONE person...dumbfork Stebie of the emotion
machine. You don't know dink about metrology, can't even
describe how you check calibration of your rig's internal
frequency counter!

> It's about assuming responsiblity for the proper operation of your
>radio station and not surrendering your obligations to technology.

What in the HELL are you mumbling about? [have you gone nuts?]

Radio >>IS<< technology, tweedleDUMB.

By LAW you MUST stay INSIDE the allocated band edges.

Hello? Did you think that "will and idea" or some idiot
non-specific emotional pep talk is going to keep your
frequencies INSIDE the ham bands?

"...not surrendering your obligations to technology."
Geeee-susss! Think about what a dumbfork thing you wrote!

You sound like you've mounted a lecturn but forgot to
light your mental lantern. The light ain't come on yet.

Staying WITHIN the band edges >>IS<< "proper operation."
The ONLY way you CAN stay within (and be LEGAL) is to
USE TECHNOLOGY!

<giving up trying to explain radio to dumbfork stebie>

dit dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2301. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:14:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122336849.061066.96620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr.Ace - WH2T wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122335780.490297.212460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > most people also use better than you or Stevie,
> >
> > Indeed most folks think seeking to incite emotions from other is abd
> > thing but not you and stevie
> >>
>
> The Toad and "an old friend" of Toad A.K.A. KB9RQZ must have similar
> educations.
> Just an observation.

not likely

we have never met not am I a friend of his he has his fualts such as
his use of language when accused of being homosexual (not that anyone
should be making that claim but he doesn't take it well)

other than both being from the Middle west and ham not sure we have
much in common

> Ace-WH2T

2302. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:15:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122336912.069881.271690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113660

...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)

doo dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2303. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:22:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122337349.860080.138820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113662

From: b.b. on Jul 25, 2:38 pm

>k...@dvol.com wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > k...@dvol.com wrote:

>> No actually I'm not certain. At one point I jumped out of the seat to
>> do a pit stop and asked one of 'em to fill in for me while was gone. >> In
>> his case the look on his face was either fear or embarrassment, I
>> couldn't tell which . . .
>
>Figured you to have been outfitted with a catheter for such an
>important event.

How could he talk with that tube in his mouth? :-)

hee haw
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2304. Re: Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:24:38 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <apfFe.4867$6f.1302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113663

> I mean, the more cb'ers and freebanders we can get informed, the more new licenses we can generate...
>
> I can hardly wait, I am getting ahold of "Toothless Ted" on Chicken-Band channel 19 and letting him know right now--I just know he will be excited!
>

Don't know about Toothless Ted, but some on the "freeband" may want to get ham licenses. The sunspots are at a low right now, and there's not much "skip" on 11. But there is on 80, 40 and 20, worldwide. Many different bands and higher legal power (on the order of a kilowatt, varies on bands). "Repent you radio sinner, come to the salvation of a ham license". :-)

2305. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:27:31 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <TrfFe.4869$6f.45@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113664

>
> No? Tsk. I thought all PCTA extras were my "sworn
> enemies" in here. :-)
>

Infiltrate the ranks.... ;-)

2306. Re: Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 00:30:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KufFe.126$1P.4762677@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113665

robert:

My license has NEVER prevented me from running the russian leen-e-eer on 11 meters... heck, maybe I am special?

Ya think so? errr, I mean, "10-4 Good Buddy?"

Hey, I run the net on 160m which does the smokey reports!
<innocent-look>

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:apfFe.4867$6f.1302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> I mean, the more cb'ers and freebanders we can get informed, the more new licenses we can generate...
>>
>> I can hardly wait, I am getting ahold of "Toothless Ted" on Chicken-Band channel 19 and letting him know right now--I just know he will be excited!
>>
>
> Don't know about Toothless Ted, but some on the "freeband" may
> want to get ham licenses. The sunspots are at a low right
> now, and there's not much "skip" on 11. But there is on 80, 40
> and 20, worldwide. Many different bands and higher legal power (on
> the order of a kilowatt, varies on bands). "Repent you radio
> sinner, come to the salvation of a ham license". :-)

2307. Re: MARKIE: Show Me The Proof...Where's The Myth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 01:52:21 UTC
From: BH <radiobillxx@ccgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <ttWdnQALmPnCC3jfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113666

an old friend wrote:
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> And if the rigs FAIL to do it, who do you think the FCC is going to
>>>send the "Pink QSL Card" to...?!?!
>>>
>>
>>an_old_whateverheis probably thinks they will send it to Kenwood or
>>Yeasu, as he can't be responsible for knowing the rules or for any
>>malfunction in his equipment.
>
>
> BUZZ you missed again
>

Too bad your and toddie's mothers weren't amoung the group that eat
their young.

2308. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 02:07:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122343658.607128.229790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113667

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)
>
>
> doo dah
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I was wondering how you would handle that

well done

2309. another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 02:11:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122343908.982950.216660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113668

BH wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
cut

> Too bad your and toddie's mothers weren't amoung the group that eat
> their young.

such an "enlightened" fellow

2310. Re: Stevie brave bold spelling cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 02:50:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TxhFe.4492$0C.921@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113669

an old friend wrote:
> Stevie brave bold spelling cop
>
>
> and all of stevie not on speling is left above

What? I guess you really told him off, "Mork Margan".

Dave K8MN

2311. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 03:25:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nrydnZuDAYHZMHjfRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113670

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Leo wrote:
>
>>On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>>>>>>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>>>>>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>>>>>>>insecure?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>>>>>> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>>>>>
>>>>>You folks all missed an important point.
>>>>>
>>>>>We are told in no uncertain terms that
>>>>>
>>>>>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>>>
>>>>That is true.
>>>
>>> It's true that we've been told
>>>
>>> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>>
>>> But that statement (taken as a whole) may not be true.

It is an ambiguous statement though. It is true enough. But does it
mean that the FCC defines Amateur radio without input from amateurs or
anyone else?

No. The FCC defines it, and in taking opinion from Hams and others,
they will obviously disappoint some people.

I have no problem with the statement. The presentation of the statement
was intended to inflame though....period...

And it worked.

>>The definition and regulatory package ensures that
>>folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
>>of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
>>not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).
>
>
> OK
>
>
>>>But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>>>
>>>"is a HOBBY".
>>>
>>>Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
>>>The FCC
>>>doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
>>>the Amateur Radio Service.
>>>
>>>So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
>>>the
>>>word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
>>>*is* a hobby.
>>>
>>>Do you see the contradiction?
>>>
>>>Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>>
>>Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
>>too....quote:
>>
>>"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>>something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>>operator....."
>>
>>http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>>
>>Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?
>
> Sure - which means that one of the statements must not be true.

So it's a hobby. So what? It *is* a service too. Lets face it - if a
person makes the argument that it is a hobby because the ARRL says so on
a web page, they must concede that it is also a service, unless they are
going to declare the *actual* name of the FCC calls the ARS to be less

accurate than what ARRL types on a web page. To do otherwise is to
invite looking foolish. Period

In short, perhaps the FCC declares the Amateur Radio Service to be a
service..... Period???

Or maybe they were just like saying?

> Note that ARRL does not state:
>
> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

Why should they. Hardly polite talk! Period

> The contradiction comes from the idea that the FCC and *only*
> the FCC defines amateur radio in the USA - which is what the
> "Period" means.

Period

>>>snip
>>>
>>>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>>>believe"
>>
>>That's for sure!
>>
>
> Yep.

Period

> Which is to say that, for some, Amateur Radio is a hobby. For others,
> it's much more. For the FCC, it's a licensed radio service that is
> regulated by Part 97.

The FCC says so. Period

> In fact, Amateur Radio is all of those things and much more.

Period....

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Point of grammar, Jim. Is there a period after period? Does the period
suffice, or is it redundantly periodic?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2312. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 03:34:02 UTC
From: KU2S <ku2s@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <esbbe1hv1qmcrutrm7giqpe2615knnqe69@4ax.com>
Source record: 113671

On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:31:42 GMT, "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was curious
>to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
>requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer necessary
>for ham license holders?

No. Amateur radio and GMRS are seperate and unrelated. The
possession of a licence for one has no bearing on licensing for the
other.

>
>Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the FCC
>with your callsign?
>
>Thanks in advance.
>

Usually about a week, but since your first question should have been
answered in your preparation for taking the Amateur Radio licensing
exam, this license holder entertains severe reservations regarding
your ability to pass said examination.
Raymond Sirois KU2S
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6023

2313. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 04:27:52 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <cZiFe.180142$x96.88553@attbi_s72>
Source record: 113672

"KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:esbbe1hv1qmcrutrm7giqpe2615knnqe69@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:31:42 GMT, "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was
curious
> >to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
> >requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer
necessary
> >for ham license holders?
>
> No. Amateur radio and GMRS are seperate and unrelated. The
> possession of a licence for one has no bearing on licensing for the
> other.
>
> >
> >Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the FCC
> >with your callsign?
> >
> >Thanks in advance.
> >
>
> Usually about a week, but since your first question should have been
> answered in your preparation for taking the Amateur Radio licensing
> exam, this license holder entertains severe reservations regarding
> your ability to pass said examination.

Wow. That didn't take long. I only just decided to take the exam a couple
days ago (and awaiting prep material by mail), and I've already met my first
ham asshole.

2314. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 04:37:01 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <pmcbe19skcbta1u78vq6oi9jms37qnpk7k@4ax.com>
Source record: 113673

On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 23:25:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Leo wrote:
>>
>>>On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>>>>>>>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>>>>>>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>>>>>>>>insecure?
>>>>
>>>>>>> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>>>>>>> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>>>>
>>>>You folks all missed an important point.
>>>>
>>>>We are told in no uncertain terms that
>>>>
>>>>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>>
>>>That is true.
>>
>>
>> It's true that we've been told
>>
>> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>
>> But that statement (taken as a whole) may not be true.
>
> It is an ambiguous statement though. It is true enough. But does it

>mean that the FCC defines Amateur radio without input from amateurs or
>anyone else?

Based on the recent NPRM, it sure does appear that they do!

>
> No. The FCC defines it, and in taking opinion from Hams and others,
>they will obviously disappoint some people.
>
> I have no problem with the statement. The presentation of the statement
>was intended to inflame though....period...
>
> And it worked.

It always does!

>
>>>The definition and regulatory package ensures that
>>>folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
>>>of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
>>>not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).
>>
>>
>> OK
>>
>>
>>>>But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>>>>
>>>>"is a HOBBY".
>>>>
>>>>Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
>>>>The FCC
>>>>doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
>>>>the Amateur Radio Service.
>>>>
>>>>So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
>>>>the
>>>>word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
>>>>*is* a hobby.
>>>>
>>>>Do you see the contradiction?
>>>>
>>>>Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>>>
>>>Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
>>>too....quote:
>>>
>>>"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>>>something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>>>operator....."
>>>

>>>http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>>>
>>>Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?
>>
>> Sure - which means that one of the statements must not be true.
>
> So it's a hobby. So what? It *is* a service too. Lets face it - if a
>person makes the argument that it is a hobby because the ARRL says so on
>a web page, they must concede that it is also a service, unless they are
>going to declare the *actual* name of the FCC calls the ARS to be less
>accurate than what ARRL types on a web page. To do otherwise is to
>invite looking foolish. Period
>
>In short, perhaps the FCC declares the Amateur Radio Service to be a
>service..... Period???

A service, yes - in the sense that it is a service provided *to* the
users, not a service provided *by* the users.....CB and GMRS are also
defined as services.

>
> Or maybe they were just like saying?
>
>
>> Note that ARRL does not state:
>>
>> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

In the sense that, as the regulatory agency whose mandate it is to
define into law the definition and scope of the hobby - they do!

Period. :)

>
> Why should they. Hardly polite talk! Period
>
>> The contradiction comes from the idea that the FCC and *only*
>> the FCC defines amateur radio in the USA - which is what the
>> "Period" means.
>
> Period
>
>>>>snip
>>>>
>>>>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>>>>believe"
>>>
>>>That's for sure!
>>>
>>
>> Yep.
>

> Period
>
>> Which is to say that, for some, Amateur Radio is a hobby. For others,
>> it's much more. For the FCC, it's a licensed radio service that is
>> regulated by Part 97.
>
>The FCC says so. Period
>
>> In fact, Amateur Radio is all of those things and much more.
>
> Period....
>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Point of grammar, Jim. Is there a period after period? Does the period
>suffice, or is it redundantly periodic?

There is no period following the third period :)

>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

2315. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 05:16:55 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <1odbe1dhjt6cbd888kp54cco3nsi8lroso@4ax.com>
Source record: 113674

My ISP's usenet server really sucks. Here, a week later, is a reply to this post...

On 20 Jul 2005 10:35:41 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: John Kasupski on Jul 19, 9:28 am
>
>>Undoubtedly, many are, and many aren't. The point that is being missed
>>here is that the percentage of operators who are properly trained is
>>higher among hams than it is among operators in other radio services
>>such as CB, MURS, GMRS, FRS, etc.
>
> Whoa...things were fine until you mentioned "percentages" in
> regards to those "other radio services such as..."
>
> Was there some kind of "poll" done by anyone on "those other
> services?" I don't think so. :-) I'm sure you'd like to
> think so, but I don't think your use there was anything more
> than a figure of speech.
>
> "Other radio services" include ALL the Public Safety radio
> services "such as" police, fire, medical mobiles, etc., etc.
> *ALL* of those Public Safety radio service folks are trained
> for emergency work since that is - essentially - their work.

The problem with the public safety radio services is twofold:

1. All too often there is no interoperability between systems, which is to say, the fire department can't talk to the cops, the cops can't talk to the EMS people, and none of them can talk to emergency management. Incidentally, this is an area where we hams have a distinct advantage because we can basically all talk to each other.

2. Most Police/Fire/EMS people aren't trained specifically in emergency communications or the use of radios beyond what their everyday job requires. Yes, they mostly have ICS training and they mostly have taken some sort of training in how to conduct their normal communications, but when their systems break down or a radio doesn't want to work right, that's where the problem begins. The majority of these dedicated individuals' knowledge about their radio equipment boils down to this: Either it works or it doesn't. If it does, fine, if it doesn't, get another radio, or another system. Of course, getting another system in the middle of a major incident might prove a bit problematic, especially when you have fires to put out, patients to treat, crowds to control, or whatever other duties these folks have to perform - and which is where the majority of their training is

invested, for obvious reasons.

>>This is largely because emergency management authorities at the
>>Federal, state, and local level have incorporated amateur radio into
>>their SOPs, SOGs, and IPs and thus training opportunities for the
>>operators have been made available - and taken advantage of - whereas
>>those other radio services have NOT been incorporated into disaster
>>planning...with the result that fewer operators, percentage-wise, in
>>those radio services have any formal training at all.
>
> You ought to investigate what other states do for emergencies.
> The California Auxilliary Communications Service (ACS) will
> "incorporate" anyone as a volunteer and they do NOT restrict
> such communications to amateur radio. The ACS doesn't restrict
> "communications" solely to radio, either.

Yet, San Diego county's primary auxiliary emergency communications group is the ARES/RACES group, which is incorporated under their Sheriff's Dept. In other words - hams. :-)

>>While it may appear that way to someone on the outside looking in, the
>>fact is that people become licensed in the Amateur radio Service for a
>>variety of reasons. For some it is, indeed, a hobby or recreation. For
>>others, it is an elegant solution to the need for reliable personal
>>communications.
>
> I'm sure all those XYL wives are "elegant." :-)
>
> "Reliable personal communications?" [koff, chok, sputter, haw!]
>
> There's upwards of 180 MILLION cell phones in the USA right now.
> So many that their number now EXCEEDS that of wired telephone
> subscriber installations. There was nothing like that a decade
> or two ago, yet amateur radio has been around since before 1914
> (birth of the ARRL). If amateur radio was such an "elegant
> solution to the need for reliable personal communications," then
> one would expect that all those mobile communicators would be
> little ham transceivers, yes? No...

Amateur radio did not become a viable alternative for personal communications until the advent of the no-code license, which happened much more recently than 1914. There are, indeed, couples with Technician Class licenses who use the 2m repeaters instead of cell phones to chat during drive time. Especially in states such as New York where it's illegal to drive while using a cell phone unless you have hands-free operation.

>> For still others, it is a means of giving back
>>something to the communities in which we live, and an opportunity to
>>be able to do something when the proverbial merde hits the proverbial
>>ventillateur, just as people do when they become volunteer firemen or
>>EMT/paramedics or auxiliary police.

>
> I've served four tours of Jury Duty (in-court, not sitting around
> "on call"). Does this mean I should go and study LAW in order to
> "give back to my community" (aphorism for ordinary public civic
> duty)?

Not necessary, since before a jury is sent into deliberations, the
judge in the case will instruct the jury on the law that applies to
the case. Now, if only we could get terrorists to instruct people on
the dangers of responding to a nerve agent attack such as the one on
the Tokyo Subway in 1995...

> I've voted in every election, local to general-federal, since
> 1956 (in person, not absentee ballot). Does this mean I have to
> go into POLITICS in order to "give back to my community" (aphorism
> for civic duty)?

Obviously not. However, it *is* your responsibility to equip yourself
with a working knowledge of current issues and the various candidates'
positions relative to those issues, as well as their track record on
voting on previous issues, so as to be able to make an informed choice
when you vote - a form of self-study training, absent which you are
actually doing no service to your community at all, just going through
the motions.

> Since the urban area I live in (since 1956) has professional
> police and fire departments for a population area of about 12
> million citizens, "volunteers" and "auxilliary" exist but are
> not any sort of high percentage of the total. Those that
> REALLY want to serve - and can meet the physical standards -
> join the pro ranks and do it right.

This ignores the fact that a significant portion of the U.S.
population does not live in urban areas, and in many suburban and
rural areas, part or even all of the available emergency services are
provided by volunteers. Often those volunteers are every bit as
dedicated and well-trained as their professional counterparts. But
perhaps the defining factor is the likely attitude of their potential
customers...to wit, if you crash your car and the local EMS and fire
department have to come extricate you and provide you with emergency
medical care, what's more important to you - whether or not they
provide quality care, or whether they're getting paid or not?

>>When I took ICS-100 the instructor was a deputy sheriff with
>>considerable practical experience in law enforcement and as a first
>>responder in hazmat and mass casualty incidents. During this course,
>>he happened to remark that communications is invariably the first
>>thing that breaks down when an incident starts to get out of control
>>or when the scope and magnitude of an incident has overwhelmed
>>available resources.
>
> Are you going to "illustrate" such an "overwhelming available

> resource" for ME?!? :-) Eleven and a half years ago this
> entire urban area (Los Angeles) experienced an earthquake that
> tripped off the ENTIRE primary electrical power supply to about
> 10 million residents. ENTIRE. A "black start" (a rarity of
> rares to the power folks) had to be done. That didn't disturb
> the EXISTING emergency communications system then. Police
> departments all had their comms...fire departments all had their
> comms...abulance bases all had their comms...utility services
> (who would have the brunt of the work ahead) all had their comms.
> Comms worked right through the electrical power blackout.

Didn't work out that well on Sept. 11 in NYC, sport.

> I'm sure your deputy sheriff means well, but it sounds more like
> he was "playing to the house" (working up to making audience feel
> good) rather than going by example. What he probably meant was
> the ordinary "communications" to the AVERAGE CITIZEN got over-
> whelmed. Of course the telephone system would be overloaded by
> panic-stricken folks looking for some kind of assurance during
> a most anxious time. Had he been cognizant of emergency comms
> planning and IMPLIMENTATION as done on the west coast, he would
> have been a bit more circumspect in what he said.

No, he meant what he said, that communications is the first thing that
breaks down. Unlike the Red Cross courses I took, when I took ICS-100
it wasn't with a ham group at all, it was with Erie County SMART, and
the class included doctors, nurses, administrators, and a few hams.
What he was referring to is communications on the scene of an
incident. Batteries get weak and die, radios get dropped and cease to
function or get left on a rig while its former wearer is putting on
his Scott pack, etc.

>>While I'd imagine ARRL has numbers on how many hams have completed the
>>Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses, in general the
>>agencies that offer training opportunities don't keep track of things
>>to that degree of detail.
>
> Thank you for your honesty.

You're welcome. I'm not here to lie or flame people, just trying to
inject some practical knowledge and experience into this thread.

>>However, those of us who are involved with disaster relief, emergency
>>response, Red Cross, ARES/RACES, and other agencies with similiar
>>missions (as opposed to those on the outside looking in) and who have
>>received appropriate training, can see with our own eyes how many hams
>>there are in the classes when we go to receive our own training in
>>ICS, ERT, CERT, the Red Cross courses...and of course ALL of the folks
>>who've completed the Amateur Radio Emergency Communications courses
>>are hams.
>
> By golly, all them acreditation certificates (probably suitable

> for framing) look so damn IMPRESSIVE!

What's impressive isn't the certificates, but the knowledge they represent. Of course, it's only impressive if one is actually interested in knowledge, rather than glossing over such soncerns as the liability issues that go along with deploying untrained personnel to attempt to mitigate an icident.

>>When I took Introduction to Disaster, under the auspices of the
>>Greater Buffalo chapter of American Red Cross, the *entire* class was
>>made up of hams from Erie County ARES/RACES. Ditto for the Mass Care
>>class that followed a few weeks later.
>
> Darn...I should have been taking all those CLASSES instead of
> being thrown into the real thing over here. [Northridge Quake
> of '94 wasn't my first experience] All I've done was participate
> in drills rather than in classrooms, doing it on-the-air as
> necessary instead of some kind of "net protocol requirements."
>
> Well, that's what I get for not wanting all them titles and
> certificates... :-(

Drills are training too. However, if you wanted to serve as a Red Cross volunteer, the Mass Care and Introduction To Disaster courses are mandatory.

>>I took ICS and ERT as part of Erie County SMART and completely
>>separate from ARES/RACES - but I do know that the ARES/RACES guys all
>>took at least ICS-100 (awareness level), most also took ICS-200
>>(operations level) and many went right on through the whole gamut (to
>>Incident management level training).
>>
>>(Note for the uninitiated, there are five levels: Awareness,
>>Operations, Technician, Specialist, Incident Management. This is a
>>standard specified by OSHA.)
>
> Geez, John, does this mean I gotta salute you and say "sir"
> on any response?

You can if you want to, but as the saying goes, I ain't no fuckin' "sir", I work for a living. :-)

>>At least a dozen hams from the club I belong to have taken the CERT
>>training course...just one club out of several in the area whose
>>members also participated.
>>
>>Nobody at any of these courses has said anything about being a CB
>>operator, or MURS, or GMRS, etc.
>
> If those courses had only radio amateur attendance, it would
> be folly to mention other radio services... :-)

The only ones that were hams-only were the two Red Cross courses I took, Mass Care and Introduction To Disaster, which were held as a special session for the Erie County ARES/RACES, at our regular training facility (the county EOC/Training Center) rather than at the Red Cross chapter HQ where they are usually taught.

>>The number of radios does not equate to the number of properly trained
>>operators that are available to operate them.
>
> Yes, SIR! <salute>

<returns salute>

Now carry on, soldier, and remember, the number of radios does not equate to the number of properly trained operators that are available to operate them.

>>> Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
>>> make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal
>>> authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways
>>> and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB
>>> sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or
>>> much of anything else for "statistics."
>>
>>As the old saying goes, "Statistics are for losers."
>
> Shall the United States disestablish the Bureau of the Census?

They might as well, for all the effectiveness it has exhibited in carrying out its mission.

> Shall the NTIS disestablish its precision time-frequency
> standards operations? [see things like "Allan Variance" for
> precision determination]

What, and deprive us of WWV and WWVH?

David W. Allan would be proud, but that's the NIST. This computer uses an application provided by NIST to poll daily for the correct time and sync the computer clock to the real clock in Boulder, CO. Because of outside inmfluences, this only manages to keep my computer within about seven seconds of the actual current correct time, but that's good enough for my purposes. I'm not currently using this machine for determining short term phase noise of millimeter Local Oscillators.

> Shall the insurance companies disincorporate because hams
> are immortal and therefore do not meet actuarial tables?

Since we seem to have degenerated into swapping rhetorical questions instead of sticking to the issue under discussion: Have the new actuarial tables resulted in lower insurance premiums, as they should?

>>All the proof anyone could really need of modified and illegal CB rigs
>>is to fire up a receiver and listen between 26 MHz and 28 MHz.
>

> There you have it. "Obviously" with all users stuck in a
> little teeny bandspace for 4 million plus operational radios,
> it is "full of illegal operators!" :-)
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The same little receiver that lets me pick up
> all those "illegal operators" lets me listen to all the MF-HF
> ham bands. :-) Want to argue your point...especially during
> the Contest events? :-)

Why? You hearing contestors operating as much as a full 1 MHz outside their regulated band limits? With hundreds of times their legal output power? Interfering with other licensed services?

> Okay, John, now YOU get back to ME when you've been IN a REAL
> EMERGENCY SITUATION. Earthquakes not necessary...substitute
> something that affects about 10 million or so locals.

Up here we don't do earthquakes. We do, however, do blizzards. My first experience in disaster communications was the Blizzard Of '77.

http://www.wbuf.noaa.gov/bzpns.htm
http://larc.hamgate.net/blizzard_of_1977.htm

There was another similar event in 1981.

There was an ice storm a few years ago that left us with no electricity for a few days, though nothing like the one a few years before that, which left Lawrence County without a single utility pole left standing anywhere in the entire county.

There was the power outage of August 2003.

There was September 11.

Some of these may not have affected 10 million people, but some of them did kill people nevertheless, and when lives are in the balance, that's an emergency by definition.

> Tell us how it feels to confront a "terrorist" face-to-face,
> armed with your trusty ham rig.

I'd prefer to be armed with my trusty SKS, actually...but my thing is communications, not law enforcement. nevertheless, I have been trained on how to recognize the presence of, and identify, criminal activity or terrorism in an emergency, how to self-protect against the risks associated with such an incident, understand the potential outcomes associated with a terrorist incident, and how to preserve a crime scene. Have you?

> Tell us how it feels to jury-rig a pole hoist to restore part
> of the electrical supply using your morse key. ["just rub two
> wires together, right?]

I don't own a Morse key. However, I have gone out with a group of hams in the aftermath of an ice storm to do damage assessment on the power grid at the request of the local emergency management office. In fact, I coordinated the operation. Didn't use a gin pole. Did use a generator. Didn't use CW. Did use 2m FM and the city Civil Defense repeater. Didn't rub anything together except my hands - it was mighty friggin' cold out by midnight!

> Tell us how it feels to mass-QSO a storm front into submission
> so that hams can walk down the snow-cleared street proudly
> with their certificates raised on high...

If you want to talk about storms, follow those links to websites about the Blizzard of '77, a severe winter storm that killed people. Obviously, I survived...despite the fact that my street wasn't cleared of snow for two weeks afterward.

The guy whose broken ankle I splinted that Sunday, after he fell and broke it on Friday and lay there on his back porch until they found him Sunday afternoon, didn't seem to mind that he was getting medical help from a volunteer either...or that he was transported to the hospital by volunteer personnel from an all-volunteer fire company. In fact, he seemed genuinely delighted that he wasn't going to die.

>
>>Most of the operators of those
>>millions of CB transceivers you mentioned don't either.
>
> Correction, John. NOT ONE of those millions of CB transceivers
> has a ham license. Never did. Not even before they were created
> 47 years ago. See "type accepted" under Part 95.

Read carefully. I said most of the *operators* of those radios don't have ham licenses. Which is the whole point here, the radio can't do much of anything without an operator, now can it?

Now carry on, soldier, and remember, the number of radios does not equate to the number of properly trained operators that are available to operate them.

DE John, KC2HMZ

2316. What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 09:46:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113675

I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:

- No change to the written tests at all

- No new entry-level license class

- No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but not in name).

- No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license privileges except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-Plus-privileges change

And now the big one

- "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.

No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.

Seems FCC went for "minimum change".

Is that the best we can do?

73 de Jim, N2EY

-

2317. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 09:55:30 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122371730.011409.235210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113676

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>
> - No change to the written tests at all
>
> - No new entry-level license class
>
> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> not in name).
>
> - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license privileges
> except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-Plus-privileges change
>
> And now the big one
>
> - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
> Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
> very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
> Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
> SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
>
> No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
> those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
> access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
>
> Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
>
> Is that the best we can do?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Typical FCC bandaid fix that will leave in it's wake more problems than
the one it solves.

2318. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 10:24:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122373452.262014.271900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113677

Herb wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
>
> K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> on Usenet.

You're welcome to post any evidence that anything I said was
untrue or inappropriate, other than "because I said so", which is
pretty much stock-and-trade of the ToBraMarLen Quartet.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2319. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 10:32:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122373960.916653.213450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113678

DesignGuy wrote:
> "KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:esbbe1hv1qmcrutrm7giqpe2615knnqe69@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:31:42 GMT, "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was
> curious
> > >to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS license
> > >requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer
> necessary
> > >for ham license holders?
> >
> > No. Amateur radio and GMRS are seperate and unrelated. The
> > possession of a licence for one has no bearing on licensing for the
> > other.
> >
> > >
> > >Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the FCC
> > >with your callsign?
> > >
> > >Thanks in advance.
> > >
> >
> > Usually about a week, but since your first question should have been
> > answered in your preparation for taking the Amateur Radio licensing
> > exam, this license holder entertains severe reservations regarding
> > your ability to pass said examination.
>
>
> Wow. That didn't take long. I only just decided to take the exam a couple
> days ago (and awaiting prep material by mail), and I've already met my first
> ham ###hole.

I'll agree.

In the USMC, we were fond of the old saying "The Only "Stupid"
Question Is The One You Didn't Ask, But Would Have Kept You From
LOOKING Stupid Later"

The ironic thing is that many years ago there was a petition
request in front of the FCC to allow Amateurs onto GMRS without any
additional licensing requirements, inorder to facliltate "honey-do"
traffic without the spouse having to get an Amateur license. Needless
to say, it didn't go anywhere.

Welcome to Amateur Radio, Design Guy. If you're ever in the south/cental part of Tennessee try the 147.195 (+/COR) repeater in Manchester, TN and say hello.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2320. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 10:40:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122374424.765704.140860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113679

Glendale Psycho Jul 25, 5:20 pm
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Glendale Psycho" <laven...@ladspresidente.net> - Find messages
by this author
Date: Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:20:52 -0400
Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 5:20 pm

than K4YZ

LenAnder...@ieee.org Jul 25, 6:15 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: LenAnder...@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
Date: 25 Jul 2005 17:15:12 -0700
Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 6:15 pm

...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)

doo dah
LenAnder...@ieee.org

an old friend Jul 25, 8:07 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> - Find messages by this author

Date: 25 Jul 2005 19:07:38 -0700
Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 8:07 pm

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)

> doo dah
> LenAnder...@ieee.org

I was wondering how you would handle that

well done

Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !

An anonymous heckler and a known pathological liar/deviant coming
to Lennie's "defense" ! ! !

Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!

BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

And today wasn't even my BIRTHDAY! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

2321. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 11:16:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5tOdnW5iYIZOhnvfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 113680

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>
> - No change to the written tests at all
>

While I wish something had been included to keep the overall level of effort up, this does not surprise me.

> - No new entry-level license class
>

Here I happen to agree with the FCC's discussion. It's not needed as the current entry requirements are not prohibitive.

> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> not in name).
>

Are you sure of that? Originally, I thought so too but the wording of the NPRM is not clear. It says no one will gain privileges and no one will lose privileges. Perhaps the Tech with code (Tech Plus) will simply become a closed class like Novice and Advanced. Personally, if their doing away with the code, I think they might as well consolidate all Techs at the Tech Plus privilege level.

> - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license privileges
> except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-Plus-privileges change
>

The refarming of subbands is a separate issue and should be treated separately. An expansion of privileges is not needed since the upgrade route will be even easier.

> And now the big one
>
> - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
> Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
> very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
> Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
> SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
>
> No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
> those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No

> access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
>
> Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
>

Here again, I agree with the FCC's discussion. They entry level hams can gain all this by taking a simple written test to get their General ticket. Besides these privileges make a nice incentive to upgrade.

> Is that the best we can do?
>

Do we really need to do more on the code issue? There are already other proposals around to address refarming, etc.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

As I've stated before, I think it will become a defacto two license class system. People will upgrade to General soon after passing their Tech test. Personally, any Tech classes that I teach after the change goes through will be a combo class. During the first half of the session, I'll teach the Tech material and during the second half, the related General material. I will actively encourage my students to go for General right from the get go.

Perhaps the FCC is wanting to see how this will go for a while and depending on the results, reduce the number of classes to two.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2322. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 11:25:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122377106.711836.162460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113681

Dee Flint wrote:

> Perhaps the FCC is wanting to see how this will go for a while and depending
> on the results, reduce the number of classes to two.

I am willing to bet that there will be some arguments made to the
effect that once the code is dropped it WILL become a defacto 2-class
license, and they will just go ahead in this NPRM and do it.

Then how far till 1? Then none?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2323. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 11:26:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122377209.328918.299010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113682

an old friend wrote:
> BH wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> cut
>
> > Too bad your and toddie's mothers weren't amoung the group that eat
> > their young.
>
> such an "enlightened" fellow

Bet it took you 20 minutes going letter-by-letter to spell that.

You sure screwed up "civilized"...

Steve, K4YZ

2324. Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 11:35:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122377749.642370.208520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113683

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 23:45

> "...not surrendering your obligations to technology."
> Geeee-susss! Think about what a dumbfork thing you wrote!
> You sound like you've mounted a lecturn but forgot to
> light your mental lantern. The light ain't come on yet.

The light IS on, Lennie.

I guess it was MY fault for assuming you'd take the whole context
of the message and reply in kind, but then that would ruin your rant.

One does NOT ensure that one's station is operating properly
simply by virture of the digital display of the device in question.

The FCC requires routine diagnostics of the station to ensure it's
compliance.

> Staying WITHIN the band edges >>IS<< "proper operation."
> The ONLY way you CAN stay within (and be LEGAL) is to
> USE TECHNOLOGY!

Yep.

With diagnostic devices EXTERNAL to the device in
question...Signal generators, Spectrum Analyzers, etc etc etc.

> <giving up trying to explain radio to dumbfork stebie>

NOT giving up pointing out how Lennie Anderson tries to misdirect
and deceive on issues pertaining to Amateur Radio...

> LenMaybeIcanDazzleThemWithBSAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2325. More Markie Mularkie To Muddle Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 11:51:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122378683.064187.39010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113684

an old friend wrote:
> b.b. wrote:

> > If ever there were a need to practice medicine without a license....
>
> plenty of need lack of decent health care coverage or in some cases
> decent health care ...
> hm Stevie may have something to do with that as well

Nope.

Unfortunately too much of health care is innundated with people trying to leech off the health care system with bogus infirmaties and self-inflicted addictions. Add to this bogus worker's comp injuries and it's not hard to see where the problems lay.

And then compound this with a lecherous trial lawyers association that effectively blocks reasonable constraints on awards for these idiots and then makes it almost mandatory that when anyone comes to the ER that they get the "Million Dollar Work-Up" for thier "headache"...

That's why, for example, if a patient comes to my ER and needs some hydrogen peroxide for a wound, they get billed $12.75 rather than the $1.00 plus some REASONABLE mark-up it would have cost from the local dollar store...regardless if we use the WHOLE bottle or just a few ounces.

Current data trending shows that around 37.5% of our ER patients are LEGITIMATE ER patients...the rest are "clinical" patients or "frequent flyers"

None of which ae my "fault".

Nice try, though. Interesting that you accuse others of "libel" then make so glaringly transparent an accusation...

Sheesh.

Steve, K4YZ

2326. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 11:54:26 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dc589i$11tc$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113685

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> cut
>
>
>> Hey, Jim. some people in here offer a lot of qoutes not supported by
>>facts. I think they are interpreted qoutes. You know, when they say we
>>say something and it really isn't what we said, but what they wanted us
>>to say so they could qoute us on it so we could be wrong...... hehe
>
>
> there is a lot of that

Which sometimes makes it hard to have a good discussion..

>>>Do you see the contradiction?
>>
>> Always have
>
> cut
>
>
>>>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>>>believe"
>>
>> Like in one sentence even! 8^)
>
>
> and govt regs and objective reality are rarely compatable
>
> just look at where the FCC put the Local only CB service

You mean the frequency they use?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2327. Markie Makes More Mistruths, Mangles Misdirection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 12:10:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122379859.061166.63760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113686

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > still not admitting to his mistakes
> > > > >
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > can't even get the call right
> > > > > >
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Gee...You're the one always alleging that stuff's not being
> > > > "carried":
> > > >
> > > > QUOTE
> > > >
> > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > > > Date: 25 Jul 2005 10:44:07 -0700
> > > > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 12:44 pm
> > > > Subject: More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds
> > > >
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > can't even get the call right
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Let's see...the "E" and the "R" are..Geeze! Right next to each
> > > > other!
> > > >
> > > > UNQUOTE
> > > >
> > > > Right there, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > Now..an apology for the lie?
> > >
> > > no lie
> > >
> > > you beleww you refused to correct it or apologize for it
> >
> > I what..?!?! "beleww"...?!?!
> >

> > I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.
>
> you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible

No, you cannot change a post once it's hit the wire, however I DID
acknowledge the error in the VERY NEXT POST.

> > YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it
> > back.
>
> I replied to your title pointing your error

No...YOU re-typed "EQZ"...because I had already addressed it.

> > I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they
> > are culpable.
>
> your typing not mine

Yep. And I acknowledged the error. There was nothing to
"apologize" for.

> you blew it then you tried to evade responiblity for it

Sure I did.

> you don't admit your mistakes

Not true.

Ask Jim, N2EY, Cecil, W5DXP, Hans, K0HB, Brain, N0IMD.

Steve, K4YZ

2328. Re: Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 12:49:08 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <YX4VNAUG38559.3674537037@anonymous>
Source record: 113687

In article <dc1mcqcch0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <l...@pizzabigwigman.org> wrote:
>
> Hey, lets get started. BARF will rise again, and Herb will
> regain his throne.

Lardassed pizza BOY, you couldn't even pass the written tests,
even if they somehow manage to get rid of the code part of the
test.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

2329. stevie admits to evading repsoniblity hold the presses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 12:49:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122382183.917566.247700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113688

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > still not admitting to his mistakes
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > can't even get the call right
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Gee...You're the one always alleging that stuff's not being
> > > > > > > > "carried":
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > QUOTE
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > > > > > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > > > > > > > Date: 25 Jul 2005 10:44:07 -0700
> > > > > > > > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 12:44 pm
> > > > > > > > Subject: More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Mature Men's Minds
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > can't even get the call right
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Let's see...the "E" and the "R" are..Geeze! Right next to each
> > > > > > > > other!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > UNQUOTE
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Right there, Markie.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Now..an apology for the lie?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no lie
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you beleww you refused to correct it or apologize for it
> > > > > >
> > > > > I what..?!?! "beleww"...?!?!
```

> > >
> > > I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.
> >
> > you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible
>
> No, you cannot change a post once it's hit the wire, however I DID
> acknowledge the error in the VERY NEXT POST.

more asuming stevie

Gee NOW you insist I to read your shit in order

Never saw any correction

>
> > > YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it
> > > back.
> >
> > I replied to your title pointing your error
>
> No...YOU re-typed "EQZ"...because I had already addressed it.

more lies

I never typed it

just replied to it

More assuming everything works like AOL

>
> > > I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they
> > > are culpable.
> >
> > your typing not mine
>
> Yep. And I acknowledged the error. There was nothing to
> "apologize" for.

sure was

>
> > you blew it then you tried to evade responiblity for it
>
> Sure I did.

and now you admit to it

you sack of shit

>
> > you don't admit your mistakes
>
> Not true.

you admitted to evading your responiblity not more then one of your
lines up

>
> Ask Jim, N2EY, Cecil, W5DXP, Hans, K0HB, Brain, N0IMD.

why ask I have your admission
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2330. Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 12:51:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122382311.712850.230950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113689

They say that imitation is a form of flattery.

Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...

I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.

The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.

Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2331. stevie ranting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 12:57:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122382679.828304.47770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113690

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
>
> > > If ever there were a need to practice medicine without a license....
> >
> > plenty of need lack of decent health care coverage or in some cases
> > decent health care ...
> > hm Stevie may have something to do with that as well
>
> Nope.
>
cuting of the libel of persons even here

cuting of sexual content

cutting a admission of fraud by Stevies er

cutting of Stevie blaming the victums of our upside down health care
system
>
> None of which ae my "fault".

Someone made you type all I cut stevie you choose it, Indeed you are at
fault, heavy into the busness of fault finding at least, always blaming
others
>
> Nice try, though. Interesting that you accuse others of "libel"
> then make so glaringly transparent an accusation...

nothing transparent I wondered if you were not in part responible, and
you admitted to what I suspected. Stevie never adknowledges his own
role in it, you take your living from health care and deny ANY role in
what we get in the system.

enough folks like you and we get the hopeless muddle we have
>
> Sheesh.

Indeed

Take some responiblity for yourself

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2332. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:00:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122382814.220857.261570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113691

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 23:45
>
> > "...not surrendering your obligations to technology."
> > Geeee-susss! Think about what a dumbfork thing you wrote!
> > You sound like you've mounted a lecturn but forgot to
> > light your mental lantern. The light ain't come on yet.
>
> The light IS on, Lennie.
>
> I guess it was MY fault for assuming you'd take the whole context
> of the message and reply in kind, but then that would ruin your rant.
>
> One does NOT ensure that one's station is operating properly
> simply by virture of the digital display of the device in question.
>
> The FCC requires routine diagnostics of the station to ensure it's
> compliance.

where in the rules does it make that requirement?

>
> > Staying WITHIN the band edges >>IS<< "proper operation."
> > The ONLY way you CAN stay within (and be LEGAL) is to
> > USE TECHNOLOGY!
>
> Yep.
>
> With diagnostic devices EXTERNAL to the device in
> question...Signal generators, Spectrum Analyzers, etc etc etc.

still don't know what tools you need even after being told

>
> > <giving up trying to explain radio to dumbfork stebie>
>
> NOT giving up pointing out how Lennie Anderson tries to misdirect
> and deceive on issues pertaining to Amateur Radio...

then get your facts straight

>
> > LenMaybeIcanDazzleThemWithBSAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Putz.

probeing another of lennies points for him

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2333. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:01:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122382882.989849.165620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113692

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > BH wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > Too bad your and toddie's mothers weren't amoung the group that eat
> > > their young.
> >
> > such an "enlightened" fellow
>
> Bet it took you 20 minutes going letter-by-letter to spell that.

nope pay up

>
> You sure screwed up "civilized"...

so did he I simply choose to to make it plain

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2334. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:01:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122382897.193533.217720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113693

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > BH wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > Too bad your and toddie's mothers weren't amoung the group that eat
> > > their young.
> >
> > such an "enlightened" fellow
>
> Bet it took you 20 minutes going letter-by-letter to spell that.

nope pay up

>
> You sure screwed up "civilized"...

so did he I simply choose to to make it plain

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2335. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:02:03 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dc5c8b$152m$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113694

Leo wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 23:25:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>>>>>>>>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>>>>>>>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>>>>>>>>>insecure?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>>>>>>>> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You folks all missed an important point.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>We are told in no uncertain terms that
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."

>>>>
>>>>That is true.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's true that we've been told
>>>
>>>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>>
>>>But that statement (taken as a whole) may not be true.
>>
>> It is an ambiguous statement though. It is true enough. But does it
>>mean that the FCC defines Amateur radio without input from amateurs or
>>anyone else?
>
>
> Based on the recent NPRM, it sure does appear that they do!

One of the things that happens in any organization from time to time,
is that despite apparent majority opinion, a decision is made that is
not majority opinion. Sometimes it is because of the organizations
rules, or what the organization is tasked to do.

The ARS isn't a democracy.

Which brings up an interesting paradox. In a pure democracy, the votors
can vote to eliminate the democracy, and install a dictatorship, whic
can then ignore them and set up a democracy that they can vote to
dissolve, and on and on..... hehe

>
>> No. The FCC defines it, and in taking opinion from Hams and others,
>>they will obviously disappoint some people.
>>
>> I have no problem with the statement. The presentation of the statement
>>was intended to inflame though....period...
>>
>> And it worked.
>
>
> It always does!
>
>
>>>>The definition and regulatory package ensures that
>>>>folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
>>>>of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
>>>>not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).
>>>
>>>
>>>OK
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>>>But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>>>>>
>>>>>"is a HOBBY".
>>>>>
>>>>>Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
>>>>>The FCC
>>>>>doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
>>>>>the Amateur Radio Service.
>>>>>
>>>>>So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
>>>>>the
>>>>>word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
>>>>>*is* a hobby.
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you see the contradiction?
>>>>>
>>>>>Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>>>>
>>>>Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
>>>>too....quote:
>>>>
>>>>"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>>>>something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>>>>operator....."
>>>>
>>>>http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>>>>
>>>>Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?
>>>
>>>
>>>Sure - which means that one of the statements must not be true.
>>
>> So it's a hobby. So what? It *is* a service too. Lets face it - if a
>>person makes the argument that it is a hobby because the ARRL says so on
>>a web page, they must concede that it is also a service, unless they are
>>going to declare the *actual* name of the FCC calls the ARS to be less
>>accurate than what ARRL types on a web page. To do otherwise is to
>>invite looking foolish. Period
>>
>>In short, perhaps the FCC declares the Amateur Radio Service to be a
>>service..... Period???
>
>
> A service, yes - in the sense that it is a service provided *to* the
> users, not a service provided *by* the users.....CB and GMRS are also
> defined as services.
>
>
>> Or maybe they were just like saying?

>>
>>
>>
>>>Note that ARRL does not state:
>>>
>>>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>
>
> In the sense that, as the regulatory agency whose mandate it is to
> define into law the definition and scope of the hobby - they do!
>
> Period. :)
>
>
>> Why should they. Hardly polite talk! Period
>>
>>
>>>The contradiction comes from the idea that the FCC and *only*
>>>the FCC defines amateur radio in the USA - which is what the
>>>"Period" means.
>>
>> Period
>>
>>
>>>>>snip
>>>>>
>>>>>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>>>>>believe"
>>>>
>>>>That's for sure!
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yep.
>>
>> Period
>>
>>
>>>Which is to say that, for some, Amateur Radio is a hobby. For others,
>>>it's much more. For the FCC, it's a licensed radio service that is
>>>regulated by Part 97.
>>
>>The FCC says so. Period
>>
>>
>>>In fact, Amateur Radio is all of those things and much more.
>>
>> Period....
>>
>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY

>>
>> Point of grammar, Jim. Is there a period after period? Does the period
>>suffice, or is it redundantly periodic?
>
>
> There is no period following the third period :)
>

hehe.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2336. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:05:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122383124.076225.59500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113695

K4YZ wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > Perhaps the FCC is wanting to see how this will go for a while and depending
> > on the results, reduce the number of classes to two.
>
> I am willing to bet that there will be some arguments made to the
> effect that once the code is dropped it WILL become a defacto 2-class
> license, and they will just go ahead in this NPRM and do it.
>
> Then how far till 1? Then none?

typical always accusing folks of trying to eleiminate licenss all
together

but their certainly are virtues to 1 Class of license esp in POV of the
FCC, but also for hams maybe that would finaly end the classism of
Hamradio
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2337. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:07:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122383255.821442.201160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113696

K4YZ wrote:
> Glendale Psycho Jul 25, 5:20 pm
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "Glendale Psycho" <laven...@ladspresidente.net> - Find messages
> by this author
> Date: Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:20:52 -0400
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 5:20 pm
>
> than K4YZ
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org Jul 25, 6:15 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: LenAnder...@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
> Date: 25 Jul 2005 17:15:12 -0700
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 6:15 pm
>
> ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)
>
>
> doo dah
> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
> an old friend Jul 25, 8:07 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> - Find messages by this author
>
> Date: 25 Jul 2005 19:07:38 -0700
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 8:07 pm
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)
>
> > doo dah
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
> I was wondering how you would handle that
>
> well done

break
>
> Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !

what that Lennies was gracious and I adknowleged it

>
cutting stevie sexaul content

>
> Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
>
> BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> And today wasn't even my BIRTHDAY! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2338. More Markie Mangling of His Own Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:08:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122383301.914580.122070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113697

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.
> > >
> > > you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible
> >
> > No, you cannot change a post once it's hit the wire, however I DID
> > acknowledge the error in the VERY NEXT POST.
>
> more asuming stevie

No "assuming" to it, Markie. It happened.

> Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order

In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)

Get the FACTS...?!?!

Heaven Forbid, DeviantBoy, that you'd do THAT! ! ! !

> Never saw any correction

Because you were so "obsessed" with trying to find some way to
redirect all the attention landing in your lap for all the stupid
things you've been doing and saying.

You ALMOST had one, but even then you let THAT backfire on you.

Idiot.

> > > > YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it
> > > > back.
> > >
> > > I replied to your title pointing your error
> >
> > No...YOU re-typed "EQZ"...because I had already addressed it.
>
> more lies
>
> I never typed it
>
> just replied to it
>
> More assuming everything works like AOL

I'm not posting to this from AOL....Haven't since AOL dropped it's
newsreader features several months ago.

Again...there you are NOT paying attention.

> > > > I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they
> > > > are culpable.
> > >
> > > your typing not mine
> >
> > Yep. And I acknowledged the error. There was nothing to
> > "apologize" for.
>
> sure was

If ANYone deserves an apology, it would be KB9EQZ. However KB9EQZ
is NOT an active callsign, ergo there's no one to apologize to.

If YOU took some kind of offense to that, then you're every bit the
wimp I have accused you of being, DeviantBoy!

> > > you blew it then you tried to evade responiblity for it
> >
> > Sure I did.
>
> and now you admit to it

I admitted I hit the wrong key. Adjacent letters on the keyboard.

There was not attempt to be deceptive or mistrtuhful

> you sack of ####

Always the witty one, Markie.

Pretty much makes my argument about your maturity and lack of IQ.

> > > you don't admit your mistakes
> >
> > Not true.
>
> you admitted to evading your responiblity not more then one of your
> lines up

No, I didn't.

You're STILL not keeping pace with the attributes, DeviantBoy!

I >>C L E A R L Y<< acknowledged hitting the adjacent key. Oh
well.

> > Ask Jim, N2EY, Cecil, W5DXP, Hans, K0HB, Brain, N0IMD.
>
> why ask I have your admission

You insisted I don't admit to errors. And you also accuse me of "hacking out" text that you insist I don't want anyone to see, yet here YOU "hacked out" your accusation that I don't admit errors. Kinda makes you a hypocrite.

The Amateurs I cited can attest otherwise to my acknowledging errors or making apologies.

Now where's YOUR apology, Markie. You made an accusation and it was shown to be wrong. Are YOU going to own up to it, or just fall prey to your OWN rhetoric?

Steve, K4YZ

2339. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:10:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122383442.999245.79810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113698

K4YZ wrote:
> Herb wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> > on Usenet.
>
> You're welcome to post any evidence that anything I said was
> untrue or inappropriate, other than "because I said so", which is
> pretty much stock-and-trade of the ToBraMarLen Quartet.

he and I for that matter lack the bandwidth to itemize all your unture
or esp inappropriate materail
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2340. Re: Stevie brave bold spelling cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:12:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122383541.484292.25610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113699

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Stevie brave bold spelling cop
> >
> >
> > and all of stevie not on speling is left above
>
> What? I guess you really told him off, "Mork Margan".

and you too

it is mark BTW

but it seems I have told him off since he does not reply

>
> Dave K8MN

2341. Re: Lennie Knows More About Lying and Deception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:15:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122383754.231719.274910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113750

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Glendale Psycho Jul 25, 5:20 pm
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "Glendale Psycho" <laven...@ladspresidente.net> - Find messages
> > by this author
> > Date: Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:20:52 -0400
> > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 5:20 pm
> >
> > than K4YZ
> >
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org Jul 25, 6:15 pm show options
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: LenAnder...@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 25 Jul 2005 17:15:12 -0700
> > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 6:15 pm
> >
> > ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)
> >
> >
> > doo dah
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org
> >
> > an old friend Jul 25, 8:07 pm show options
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> - Find messages by this author
> >
> > Date: 25 Jul 2005 19:07:38 -0700
> > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 8:07 pm
> >
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)
> >
> > > > doo dah
> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org
> >
> > > I was wondering how you would handle that
> >
> > > well done
>
> break
> >

> > Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
>
> what that Lennies was gracious and I adknowleged it

No, Markie...

That you'd miss the irony that the only "support" that Lennie engenders is from a guy who won't sign his own name to the post and from a devaint/liar.

> cutting stevie sexaul content

There was no "sexaul content".

Once again, you lied.

> > Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
> >
> > BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > And today wasn't even my BIRTHDAY! ! ! !

Lennie and Markie just keep the laughs coming!

Steve, K4YZ

2342. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:18:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122383901.476020.152590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113751

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Herb wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> > > on Usenet.
> >
> > You're welcome to post any evidence that anything I said was
> > untrue or inappropriate, other than "because I said so", which is
> > pretty much stock-and-trade of the ToBraMarLen Quartet.
>
> he and I for that matter lack the bandwidth to itemize all your unture
> or esp inappropriate materail

What you lack is anything valid to submit as "inappropriate
materail".

Steve, K4YZ

2343. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:20:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122384056.310648.203140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113752

an old friend wrote:

> but their certainly are virtues to 1 Class of license esp in POV of the
> FCC, but also for hams maybe that would finaly end the classism of
> Hamradio

What will end is the decades old tradition of self-training.

No Incentive = No Advancement. An eons-old proven fact.

Steve, K4YZ

2344. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:24:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122384251.255799.242110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113753

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 23:45
> >
> > > "...not surrendering your obligations to technology."
> > > Geeee-susss! Think about what a dumbfork thing you wrote!
> > > You sound like you've mounted a lecturn but forgot to
> > > light your mental lantern. The light ain't come on yet.
> >
> > The light IS on, Lennie.
> >
> > I guess it was MY fault for assuming you'd take the whole context
> > of the message and reply in kind, but then that would ruin your rant.
> >
> > One does NOT ensure that one's station is operating properly
> > simply by virture of the digital display of the device in question.
> >
> > The FCC requires routine diagnostics of the station to ensure it's
> > compliance.
>
> where in the rules does it make that requirement?

You've GOT to be kidding...

> > > Staying WITHIN the band edges >>IS<< "proper operation."
> > > The ONLY way you CAN stay within (and be LEGAL) is to
> > > USE TECHNOLOGY!
> >
> > Yep.
> >
> > With diagnostic devices EXTERNAL to the device in
> > question...Signal generators, Spectrum Analyzers, etc etc etc.
>
> still don't know what tools you need even after being told

Sure I do. And those do just fine.

> > > <giving up trying to explain radio to dumbfork stebie>
> >
> > NOT giving up pointing out how Lennie Anderson tries to misdirect
> > and deceive on issues pertaining to Amateur Radio...
>
> then get your facts straight

I do.

It's you and Lennie who have some situation awareness issues to
fix.

> > > LenMaybeIcanDazzleThemWithBSAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > Putz.
>
> probeing another of lennies points for him

I'll never be probing ANYthing of Lennie's, Markie!

That's YOUR fetish!

Steve, K4YZ

2345. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:34:50 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050726133450.83052170EE@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 113754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<1122276893.621382.201960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> STEBIE, I'm 37 years old I don't need my parent's permission
to stay
> up, secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see
my
> parents.

They don't get down to the basement much, with you living down
there, right, Toadie?

2346. Stevie lying to cover mistakes still

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:37:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122385041.193718.19630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113755

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.
> > > > >
> > > > > you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible
> > > >
> > > No, you cannot change a post once it's hit the wire, however I DID
> > > acknowledge the error in the VERY NEXT POST.
> >
> > more asuming stevie
>
> No "assuming" to it, Markie. It happened.
>
> > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
>
> In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)

was complete is complete

you are insiting I must read your shit in order, I don't read in any
particular order and certainly not the order you typed them

your "explanation" is lame, at best

>
> Get the FACTS...?!?!

had the facts stated the facts you just don't bother with facts
yourself

>
more of steveie sexaul content cut

>
> > Never saw any correction
>
> Because you were so "obsessed" with trying to find some way to
> redirect all the attention landing in your lap for all the stupid
> things you've been doing and saying.

no becuase I am not under any burden to go find your explanation you
lazy piece of shit

>
> You ALMOST had one, but even then you let THAT backfire on you.

whatever you mean by that is unclear

>
> Idiot.
>
> > > > > YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it
> > > > > back.
> > > > >
> > > > > I replied to your title pointing your error
> > > >
> > > No...YOU re-typed "EQZ"...because I had already addressed it.
> >
> > more lies
> >
> > I never typed it
> >
> > just replied to it
> >
> > More assuming everything works like AOL
>
> I'm not posting to this from AOL....Haven't since AOL dropped it's
> newsreader features several months ago.

then more assumeing everyones interface works like yours

>
> Again...there you are NOT paying attention.

Now I need to pay attebtion to how aol handles thing or doesn't

but you still are trying to cover

You blew then you lie about it then you dance and evade

You want to dump your erros on veryone, anyone else

>
> > > > > I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they
> > > > > are culpable.
> > > > >
> > > > > your typing not mine
> > > >
> > > Yep. And I acknowledged the error. There was nothing to
> > > "apologize" for.
> >
> > sure was
>
> If ANYone deserves an apology, it would be KB9EQZ. However KB9EQZ
> is NOT an active callsign, ergo there's no one to apologize to.

more evasion more lies

>
more sexual stuff cut

>
> > > > you blew it then you tried to evade responiblity for it
> > >
> > > Sure I did.
> >
> > and now you admit to it
>
> I admitted I hit the wrong key. Adjacent letters on the keyboard.

and you claim it is ok when you do it, but only you can do it

yea right more of Stevie's double standard bullshit

>
> There was not attempt to be deceptive or mistrtuhful

not the point

the point was you make and then refuse to adknowledge mistakes and
refuse to apologize

something BYS standard you deserve a mulitweek triade for I'll give it
in few days

>
> > you sack of ####
>
> Always the witty one, Markie.

ty

>
> Pretty much makes my argument about your maturity and lack of IQ.

not realy but you never realy had one either

>
> > > > you don't admit your mistakes
> > >
> > > Not true.
> >
> > you admitted to evading your responiblity not more then one of your
> > lines up
>
> No, I didn't.

yes you did

>
more sexual stuff cut

>
> I >>C L E A R L Y<< acknowledged hitting the adjacent key. Oh
> well.

and clearly refused to apologize

>
> > > Ask Jim, N2EY, Cecil, W5DXP, Hans, K0HB, Brain, N0IMD.
> >
> > why ask I have your admission
>
> You insisted I don't admit to errors. And you also accuse me of
> "hacking out" text that you insist I don't want anyone to see, yet here
> YOU "hacked out" your accusation that I don't admit errors. Kinda
> makes you a hypocrite.

more stevie lies

I have not hacked out nor do I deny that I have and continue for that
matter to accuse you of refusing to admit to making error or to
apoligize for them

>
> The Amateurs I cited can attest otherwise to my acknowledging
> errors or making apologies.

anyone can attest to lie if they choose I don't ask people to do that

>
> Now where's YOUR apology, Markie. You made an accusation and it
> was shown to be wrong. Are YOU going to own up to it, or just fall
> prey to your OWN rhetoric?

it has not been shown wrong

you blew it

you refused to admit when called on it

refused to pologize for it

you try claiming I have to go looking for your admssions

you compond it by accusing me of retyping your error rather than just
repleing to it

you go on blaming me for your misdeeds

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2347. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:42:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <s5rFe.9340$oZ.3433@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113756

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ncdFe.85$s27.3675180@news.sisna.com...
> Let me see, doesn't our constitution indicate that gov't
> ONLY has the powers given it by the consent of the
> governed (the citizens of the United States)...

True, but read below.

> I mean really, I think it does, and the FCC
> can't claim any damn thing they like,

The FCC doesn't claim any damn thing they like.
The FCC has authority on regulation of radio.

> apparently even claims bordering on the line of
> being unconstitutional!

John,

The FCC has been given authority over all things that involve
radio via past congressional action. That congressional action
constituted the representative process whereby we citizens
OK'd FCC authority.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122327683.214711.293320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Leo wrote:
>>> On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>>> >> >>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>> >> >>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>>> >> >>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined
>>> >> >>>also
>>> >> >>>insecure?
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>>> >> >> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>>> >> >
>>> >You folks all missed an important point.

>>> >
>>> >We are told in no uncertain terms that
>>> >
>>> >"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>>
>>> That is true.
>>
>> It's true that we've been told
>>
>> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>
>> But that statement (taken as a whole) may not be true.
>>
>>> The definition and regulatory package ensures that
>>> folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
>>> of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
>>> not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).
>>
>> OK
>>
>>> >But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>>> >
>>> >"is a HOBBY".
>>> >
>>> >Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
>>> >The FCC
>>> >doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
>>> >the Amateur Radio Service.
>>> >
>>> >So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
>>> >the
>>> >word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
>>> >*is* a hobby.
>>> >
>>> >Do you see the contradiction?
>>> >
>>> >Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>>>
>>> Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
>>> too....quote:
>>>
>>> "Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>>> something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>>> operator....."
>>>
>>> http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>>>
>>> Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?
>>
>> Sure - which means that one of the statements must not be true.

>>
>> Note that ARRL does not state:
>>
>> "THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>
>> The contradiction comes from the idea that the FCC and *only*
>> the FCC defines amateur radio in the USA - which is what the
>> "Period" means.
>>
>>> >snip
>>> >
>>> >To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>>> >believe"
>>>
>>> That's for sure!
>>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> Which is to say that, for some, Amateur Radio is a hobby. For others,
>> it's much more. For the FCC, it's a licensed radio service that is
>> regulated by Part 97.
>>
>> In fact, Amateur Radio is all of those things and much more.
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>

2348. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:44:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122385453.569064.189310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113757

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > but their certainly are virtues to 1 Class of license esp in POV of the
> > FCC, but also for hams maybe that would finaly end the classism of
> > Hamradio
>
> What will end is the decades old tradition of self-training.
>
> No Incentive = No Advancement. An eons-old proven fact.

i guess we have your excuse

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2349. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:45:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122385507.229693.201420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113758

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Herb wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> > > > > on Usenet.
> > > >
> > > > You're welcome to post any evidence that anything I said was
> > > > untrue or inappropriate, other than "because I said so", which is
> > > > pretty much stock-and-trade of the ToBraMarLen Quartet.
> > >
> > > he and I for that matter lack the bandwidth to itemize all your unture
> > > or esp inappropriate materail
> >
> > What you lack is anything valid to submit as "inappropriate
> > materail".

just a few examples just from your titles

I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Obsessed Gay Lid

If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
WED..?!?!

> Steve, K4YZ

2350. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:48:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122385688.975923.159490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113759

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 23:45
> > >
> > > > "...not surrendering your obligations to technology."
> > > > Geeee-susss! Think about what a dumbfork thing you wrote!
> > > > You sound like you've mounted a lecturn but forgot to
> > > > light your mental lantern. The light ain't come on yet.
> > >
> > > The light IS on, Lennie.
> > >
> > > I guess it was MY fault for assuming you'd take the whole context
> > > of the message and reply in kind, but then that would ruin your rant.
> > >
> > > One does NOT ensure that one's station is operating properly
> > > simply by virture of the digital display of the device in question.
> > >
> > > The FCC requires routine diagnostics of the station to ensure it's
> > > compliance.
> >

break

> > where in the rules does it make that requirement?
>
> You've GOT to be kidding...

not at all been asking that for months you never answer

>
> > > > Staying WITHIN the band edges >>IS<< "proper operation."
> > > > The ONLY way you CAN stay within (and be LEGAL) is to
> > > > USE TECHNOLOGY!
> > >
> > > Yep.
> > >
> > > With diagnostic devices EXTERNAL to the device in
> > > question...Signal generators, Spectrum Analyzers, etc etc etc.
> >
> > still don't know what tools you need even after being told
>
> Sure I do. And those do just fine.
>

> > > > <giving up trying to explain radio to dumbfork stebie>
> > >
> > > NOT giving up pointing out how Lennie Anderson tries to misdirect
> > > and deceive on issues pertaining to Amateur Radio...
> >
> > then get your facts straight
>
> I do.

then try telling the turth if you know it

>
> It's you and Lennie who have some situation awareness issues to
> fix.

not realy

>
> > > > LenMaybeIcanDazzleThemWithBSAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > > Putz.
> >
> > probeing another of lennies points for him
>
ctuuing stevie sexual stuff again

but just typed adjacent keys on the keyboard

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2351. Re: Stevie Knows More About Lying and Deception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:50:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122385838.837628.168290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113760

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Glendale Psycho Jul 25, 5:20 pm

hack

> >
> > break
> > >
> > > Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
> >
> > what that Lennies was gracious and I adknowleged it
>
> No, Markie...
>
more sexual stuff cut

can you say anything without invoking sex

>
> > cutting stevie sexaul content
>
> There was no "sexaul content".

sure was

cutting a stevie lie

>
> > > Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
> > >
> > > BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > And today wasn't even my BIRTHDAY! ! ! !
>
> Lennie and Markie just keep the laughs coming!

and seual will insure that sex is always a part of the newsgroup
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2352. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 13:55:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122386157.020867.93030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113761

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
cut
> >
> >
> >>>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
> >>>believe"
> >>
> >> Like in one sentence even! 8^)
> >
> >
> > and govt regs and objective reality are rarely compatable
> >
> > just look at where the FCC put the Local only CB service
>
> You mean the frequency they use?

the freq and the fact they are not allowed beyond a certain range
dispite the freqs being quite cappable of sustaining it

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2353. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 14:00:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122386441.490282.320820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113762

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > where in the rules does it make that requirement?
> >
> > You've GOT to be kidding...
>
> not at all been asking that for months you never answer

Glad to prove you wrong again, Markie.

97.103 and 97.307, among others, require specification tolerances
be maintained.

There are others.

> > > > NOT giving up pointing out how Lennie Anderson tries to misdirect
> > > > and deceive on issues pertaining to Amateur Radio...
> > >
> > > then get your facts straight
> >
> > I do.
>
> then try telling the turth if you know it

"the "turth"...?!?!

> > It's you and Lennie who have some situation awareness issues to
> > fix.
>
> not realy

Absolutely "realy"

> > > > > LenMaybeIcanDazzleThemWithBSAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > > Putz.
> > >
> > > probeing another of lennies points for him
> >
> ctuuing stevie sexual stuff again

You're doing what? "ctuuing"...?!?!

> but just typed adjacent keys on the keyboard

Which adjacent keys? You haven't corrected whatever error you
made.

There's any number of idiotic mistakes you could have made.
Please show your work.

Steve, K4YZ

2354. Stevie's ego

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 14:01:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122386486.391822.163470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113763

K4YZ wrote:
> They say that imitation is a form of flattery.

such ego

>
> Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...

nope you owe the ham unknown as he/she is an apology for getting their
QSL
>
> I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
> Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.
>
> The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
> weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.
>
> Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...

such humility
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2355. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 14:12:37 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <pxrFe.5105$6f.2375@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113764

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>
> - No change to the written tests at all

The VEC organization has full authority to change written
tests (e.g. specific questions, subjects, etc) without any FCC
action needed. The NPRM specifically mentioned the
process is much quicker than rule making via the FCC.

> - No new entry-level license class

> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> not in name).

I'll have to read the NPRM again. I didn't come to that
conclusion myself.

> - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license
> privileges except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-
> Plus-privileges change

Didn't the FCC mention that was being considered under
different RMs?

> And now the big one
>
> - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
> Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
> very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
> Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
> SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
>
> No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
> those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
> access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
>
> Seems FCC went for "minimum change".

Seems that way to me too.

> Is that the best we can do?

What else do you want?

Cheers
Bill K2UNK

2356. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 14:23:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <YHrFe.197$2M1.5933081@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113767

N2EY:

Personally, I think they should dump tech.

Either let them study and get general or forget it, hopefully the newbie's will figure that out on their own...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>
> - No change to the written tests at all
>
> - No new entry-level license class
>
> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> not in name).
>
> - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license privileges
> except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-Plus-privileges change
>
> And now the big one
>
> - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
> Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
> very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
> Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
> SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
>
> No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
> those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
> access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
>
> Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
>
> Is that the best we can do?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> -
>

2357. Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 14:28:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <jMrFe.17418$aY6.14588@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113765

RADIOA HAMS: IT WILL NO LONGER BE NECESSARY TO KNOW MORSE
(AGI) - Rome, Italy, July 23 - To gain an amateur radio
operator's licence it will no longer be necessary to take
a test in Morse Code. Communications Minister, Mario
Landolfi, has signed the decree to eliminate such a test
already abolished some time ago by other countries. "The
Morse alphabet is certainly not pensioned off, but it is -
Landolfi said - a decision due to technological evolution
and the necessity of changing with the times. The bodies
that carried out the tests will remain active, as I have
proposed, for young people and fans, continuing to
recall the importance of the Morse Code for over 160
years. And furthermore there will be a room dedicated to
this at the Museum of Post and Telecommunications in
Rome." (AGI) -

2358. Re: Question pools and answers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 14:30:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3OrFe.198$M_1.5996705@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113766

It seems some have emailed me WITHOUT reviewing these "study guides" I have included here...

FIRST LOOK at the links!!!

I have REMOVED ALL the FALSE answers from the tests. (question where "ALL OF THE ABOVE" is true will have all answers left--so you can see every answer which is true--you'll figure it out)

There is ONLY the QUESTIONS and the CORRECT ANSWER TO EACH QUESTION...

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:3K7Fe.33$kB2.369779@news.sisna.com...
> OK, got the tech and general done, here are the questions AND THE ANSWERS:
> http://blake.prohosting.com/mailguy2/techtest.txt
>
> http://blake.prohosting.com/mailguy2/generaltest.txt
>
> I will have the extra Q/A as soon as I have enough time to put it together between chores...
>
> John
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:DoXEe.158$sN2.2122875@news.sisna.com...
>> How does every one feel about downloading all the question pools, aligning them with correct answers and posting them here?
>>
>> This way, we need only redirect rec.radio.cb etc people here, and we can get some new licensees very quickly...
>>
>> I also have a webpage where we can set the Q's and A's up at and make 'em available for download...
>>
>> Anyone with more ideas on where we can begin advertising?
>>
>> I mean, the more cb'ers and freebanders we can get informed, the more new licenses we can generate...
>>
>> I can hardly wait, I am getting ahold of "Toothless Ted" on Chicken-Band channel 19 and letting him know right now--I just know he will be excited!
>>
>> John
>>

2359. Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:01:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122390117.296938.214170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113768

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
>
> such ego

Nope.

Wasn't me that made the "old saying".

> > Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...
>
> nope you owe the ham unknown as he/she is an apology for getting their
> QSL

Nope.

Why would >>I<< owe somebody an apology for someone ELSE'S
error..?!?!

I didn't send the card nor did I ask that it be sent.

> > I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
> > Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.
> >
> > The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
> > weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.
> >
> > Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...
>
> such humility

Yep. You're welcome to provide some reference that it was anything
else...otherwise we'll jsut chalk it up to your jealousy.

I COULD have "pulled a Markie" and claimed it as my own. But that
would be lying.

Steve, K4YZ

2360. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:06:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122390400.737147.77190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113769

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > where in the rules does it make that requirement?
> > > >
> > > > You've GOT to be kidding...
> > >
> > > not at all been asking that for months you never answer
> >
> > Glad to prove you wrong again, Markie.

not at all
>
> 97.103 and 97.307, among others, require specification tolerances
> be maintained.

BUZZ you still are not quoting any rule requiring such

The operator is respaoniblie if the sation does not comply but nothing
requiring any particular method of ensuring it

same ole shit steve
>
> There are others.

then cite one of them finaly

>
> > > > > NOT giving up pointing out how Lennie Anderson tries to misdirect
> > > > > and deceive on issues pertaining to Amateur Radio...
> > > >
> > > > then get your facts straight
> > > >
> > > > I do.
> > >
> > > then try telling the turth if you know it
> >
> > "the "turth"...?!?!

yep the turth

>
> > > > It's you and Lennie who have some situation awareness issues to
> > > > fix.
> > >
> > > not realy

>
> Absolutely "realy"

not at all

you are the one that reads something, adds to it and then makes claims

>
> > > > > > LenMaybeIcanDazzleThemWithBSAnderson@ieee.org
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Putz.
> > > > >
> > > > > probeing another of lennies points for him
> > > >
> > > ctuuing stevie sexual stuff again
> >
> You're doing what? "ctuuing"...?!?!

sure

>
> > but just typed adjacent keys on the keyboard
>
> Which adjacent keys? You haven't corrected whatever error you
> made.

sure did
>
> There's any number of idiotic mistakes you could have made.
> Please show your work.

BYS i already have

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2361. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:10:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122390617.227407.110350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113770

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
cut
>
> > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> > not in name).
>
> I'll have to read the NPRM again. I didn't come to that
> conclusion myself.

I read it as Techs get the old tech plus preveldges and the title tech
>
cut
> > Is that the best we can do?
>
> What else do you want?

Code testing and others wanting a Public flogging to make folks suffer
in order to gain HF previlgeds of course

>
> Cheers
> Bill K2UNK

2362. Stevie need to learn english

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:15:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122390913.173521.314200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113771

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
> >
> > such ego
>
> Nope.
>
> Wasn't me that made the "old saying".

which of course was the point

but then I was expecting too much of you

The point was that you were claiming it had anything to do the subject

>
> > > Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...
> >
> > nope you owe the ham unknown as he/she is an apology for getting their
> > QSL
>
> Nope.

of course
>
> Why would >>I<< owe somebody an apology for someone ELSE'S
> error..?!?!

No you owe the apology for claiming the card which belong to someone
else

>
> I didn't send the card nor did I ask that it be sent.

but you are here on USENET boasting of getting it

>
> > > I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
> > > Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.
> > >
> > > The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
> > > weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.
> > >
> > > Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...
> >

> > such humility
>
> Yep. You're welcome to provide some reference that it was anything
> else...otherwise we'll jsut chalk it up to your jealousy.

I have cards I am prouder of, and that I in fact earned

>
> I COULD have "pulled a Markie" and claimed it as my own. But that
> would be lying.

then you admitt o lying good for you

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2363. Moving Markie Mularkie To Make Way For His Misdirects and Mayhem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:16:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122391001.815690.236060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113772

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Herb wrote:
> > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> > > > > on Usenet.
> > > > >
> > > > You're welcome to post any evidence that anything I said was
> > > > untrue or inappropriate, other than "because I said so", which is
> > > > pretty much stock-and-trade of the ToBraMarLen Quartet.
> > > >
> > > he and I for that matter lack the bandwidth to itemize all your unture
> > > or esp inappropriate materail
> > >
> > What you lack is anything valid to submit as "inappropriate
> > materail".
>
> just a few examples just from your titles
>
> I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

NOT "inappropriate".

Considering yours and Toiddie's fetishes and deviances, the first
was a possibility.

And you ARE philosophically if not actually "in bed" with N0IMD.

> Obsessed Gay Lid

He is.

> If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

A question. Please not the use of a question mark at the end of
the sentence.

> WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN!

Not inappropriate. You are gay. You are a pagan. You claimed to have been a draftee, and whether you care to admit to it or not, you ARE an idiot.

> KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Again...a question. You two DO have sooooooooooooooooo much in common. I am sure you'd be a cute couple...Couple of WHAT, I am not sure, but cute nonetheless.

Steve, K4YZ

2364. Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:24:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122391445.453895.11090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113773

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
> > >
> > > such ego
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Wasn't me that made the "old saying".
>
> which of course was the point
>
> but then I was expecting too much of you
>
> The point was that you were claiming it had anything to do the subject

Sure it did.

I MADE the subject.

> > > > Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...
> > >
> > > nope you owe the ham unknown as he/she is an apology for getting their
> > > QSL
> >
> > Nope.
>
> of course

Explain how?

You think I ran out and mugged the postman and intercepted a QSL
card I didn't know was being sent?

Are you REALLY that idiotic?

> > Why would >>I<< owe somebody an apology for someone ELSE'S
> > error..?!?!
>
> No you owe the apology for claiming the card which belong to someone
> else

I didn't claim it.

If I HAD "claimed" it, DeviantBoy, I wouldn't have admitted from
the FIRST post that I hadn't earned it.

> > I didn't send the card nor did I ask that it be sent.
>
> but you are here on USENET boasting of getting it

I am not boasting. I said I got it, and by mistake at that.

> > > > I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
> > > > Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.
> > > >
> > > > The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
> > > > weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...
> > >
> > > such humility
> >
> > Yep. You're welcome to provide some reference that it was anything
> > else...otherwise we'll jsut chalk it up to your jealousy.
>
> I have cards I am prouder of, and that I in fact earned

That's the point made, dimwit.

So do I...like my two cards from MIR. One when I was still
licensed as KC8M, the other with my (then new) K4YZ.

> > I COULD have "pulled a Markie" and claimed it as my own. But that
> > would be lying.
>
> then you admitt o lying good for you

Nope. I said just the opposite.

I stated that had I claimed the card it WOULD have been lying.

Are you really this idiotic, or is it just a "summer thing..."
???

Steve, K4YZ

2365. So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:46:13 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dc5ls4$150g$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113774

Hey Bill,

It looks like the long battle is just about over. Congratulations are in order, even though I still disagree, but it was probably inevitable. A grand new experiment will soon begin.

So, I guess the big question is what is NCI going to do next?

I remember old conversations with the previous prez in which I am pretty sure it was said that you folks were going to go away after your successful elimination of the the Morse code test requirement. (organizationally speaking, that is!) I do definitely remember that we were told that the sole purpose of NCI was elimination of the test.

Is dissolution still the target?

I've always thought, and still do, that one of the hardest things for an organization to do is go away, even when they are successful in their original purpose.

I predict that NCI will morph into something else. I'm certainly hoping it isn't relaxation of the other test requirements!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2366. Re: Stevie brave bold spelling cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:49:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7YsFe.8556$dU3.8322@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113776

an old friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Stevie brave bold spelling cop
>>>
>>>
>>>and all of stevie not on speling is left above
>>
>>What? I guess you really told him off, "Mork Margan".
>
>
> and you too

Oh? You told me off? I hadn't noticed.

> it is mark BTW

Okay, mark BTW.

> but it seems I have told him off since he does not reply

It could be that--or it could be that he is simply unable to decipher
that which looks like a Scrabble game.

Dave K8MN

2367. KB9RQZ Lying So Prolifically He Can't Keep His Own Stories Straight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 15:49:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122392965.492374.69220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113775

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, you cannot change a post once it's hit the wire, however I DID
> > > > > > > acknowledge the error in the VERY NEXT POST.
> > > > > >
> > > > > more asuming stevie
> > > >
> > > No "assuming" to it, Markie. It happened.
> > >
> > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > >
> > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> >
> was complete is complete

No, it wasn't.

"Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order"

In order to what?

You didn't finish the sentence.

> you are insiting I must read your #### in order, I don't read in any
> particular order and certainly not the order you typed them
>
> your "explanation" is lame, at best

One cannot formulate logical responses without reading the
material "in order", assuming you mean in "chronological" order.

Otherwise your sentence remains incomplete as we await your
explanation as to reading WHAT in order to do WHAT...?!?!

> > Get the FACTS...?!?!
> >
> had the facts stated the facts you just don't bother with facts
> yourself

That made almost as little sense as the previous sentence.

> more of steveie sexaul content cut

There was no sexual content there.

Mark C Morgan uttered a lie.

> > > Never saw any correction
> >
> > Because you were so "obsessed" with trying to find some way to
> > redirect all the attention landing in your lap for all the stupid
> > things you've been doing and saying.
>
> no becuase I am not under any burden to go find your explanation you
> lazy piece of ####

Talking to yourself I see.

> > You ALMOST had one, but even then you let THAT backfire on you.
>
> whatever you mean by that is unclear

It's patently clear.

You tried to redirect because I hit an "E" instead of an "R" when
typing "your" callsign.

I acknowledged it in the very next post, yet you tried to insist I
didn't.

It's archived for all to see.

You lied. Again.

> > Idiot.
> >
> > > > > > YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it
> > > > > > back.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I replied to your title pointing your error
> > > > >
> > > > > No...YOU re-typed "EQZ"...because I had already addressed it.
> > > >
> > > > more lies
> > > >
> > > > I never typed it
> > > >
> > > > just replied to it
> > > >
> > > > More assuming everything works like AOL
> > >
> > > I'm not posting to this from AOL....Haven't since AOL dropped it's
> > > newsreader features several months ago.
> >
> > then more assumeing everyones interface works like yours

Nope.

Where do you see that stated?

> > Again...there you are NOT paying attention.
>
> Now I need to pay attebtion to how aol handles thing or doesn't

Nope.

It doesn't matter WHAT ISP you use.

It DOES matter that YOU are not paying attention to the words
right in front of you.

> but you still are trying to cover
>
> You blew then you lie about it then you dance and evade
>
> You want to dump your erros on veryone, anyone else

I'm not dumping anything.

Mark C Morgan is a liar.

> > > > > > I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they
> > > > > > are culpable.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > your typing not mine
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep. And I acknowledged the error. There was nothing to
> > > > > "apologize" for.
> > > >
> > > sure was
> >
> > If ANYone deserves an apology, it would be KB9EQZ. However KB9EQZ
> > is NOT an active callsign, ergo there's no one to apologize to.
>
> more evasion more lies

Nope.

Type KB9EQZ into "QRZ", Markie.

> more sexual stuff cut

There was no sexual stuff there TO cut.

Mark C Morgan is a liar.

> > > > > you blew it then you tried to evade responiblity for it
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure I did.
> > > >
> > > and now you admit to it
> >

> > I admitted I hit the wrong key. Adjacent letters on the keyboard.
>
> and you claim it is ok when you do it, but only you can do it
>
> yea right more of Stevie's double standard bull####

You've not stated what keys you allegedly hit.

I quite CLEARLY stated what I did wrong.

YOU have NOT.

> > There was not attempt to be deceptive or mistruthful
>
> not the point

Sure it is.

Are you suggesting I "apologize" for telling the truth?

> the point was you make and then refuse to adknowledge mistakes and
> refuse to apologize

Apologize to who?

You?

You are as culpable for a "mistake" since YOU reintroduced it
AFTER I acknowledged the error.

No apology needed. And there's no KB9EQZ to apologize to.

> something BYS standard you deserve a mulitweek triade for I'll give it
> in few days

"mulitweek triade"

What the heck was that?

> > > you sack of ####
> >
> > Always the witty one, Markie.
>
> ty

That was sarcasm.

Truth is you're a clueless idiot.

> > Pretty much makes my argument about your maturity and lack of IQ.
>
> not realy but you never realy had one either

Sure I do.

That's how I stay ahead of your lies, mistruths and deceptions.

> > > > > you don't admit your mistakes
> > > >
> > > > Not true.
> > >
> > > you admitted to evading your responiblity not more then one of your
> > > lines up
> >
> > No, I didn't.
>
> yes you did

> more sexual stuff cut

You must be reading something else becasue there's no "sexual
stuff" here to cut.

> > I >>C L E A R L Y<< acknowledged hitting the adjacent key. Oh
> > well.
>
> and clearly refused to apologize

For what?

I already acknowledged it.

YOU reintroduced the error after I changed it.

> > > > Ask Jim, N2EY, Cecil, W5DXP, Hans, K0HB, Brain, N0IMD.
> > >
> > > why ask I have your admission
> >
> > You insisted I don't admit to errors. And you also accuse me of
> > "hacking out" text that you insist I don't want anyone to see, yet here
> > YOU "hacked out" your accusation that I don't admit errors. Kinda
> > makes you a hypocrite.
>
> more stevie lies

Nope.

You made the accusation.

I provided references to persons who can attest to YOUR error

> I have not hacked out nor do I deny that I have and continue for that
> matter to accuse you of refusing to admit to making error or to
> apoligize for them

What error?

Apologize for what?

> > The Amateurs I cited can attest otherwise to my acknowledging
> > errors or making apologies.
>
> anyone can attest to lie if they choose I don't ask people to do that

What lie?

Are you NOW saying that those persons would be lying if they indeed
acknowledged that I had offered public apologies before?

If so, you'd only be strengthening MY assertion of what a lying
idiot you are. (Not that it requires any...you do a good job of it
without special request or effort.)

> > Now where's YOUR apology, Markie. You made an accusation and it
> > was shown to be wrong. Are YOU going to own up to it, or just fall
> > prey to your OWN rhetoric?
>
> it has not been shown wrong

Sure it has.

YOU said that I don't admit errors.

I provided you references who can attest otherwise.

Why do you balk at asking them?

> you blew it

Nope.

> you refused to admit when called on it

I already had acknowledged my error, Markie.

It's right there in the archve of THIS thread.

Why do you persist in saying otherwise?

> refused to pologize for it

What's to 'pologize' for?

> you try claiming I have to go looking for your admssions

Nope.

You made a claim I don't do something.

I provided you references to sources that can verify otherwise.

> you compond it by accusing me of retyping your error rather than just
> repleing to it

You did.

> you go on blaming me for your misdeeds

Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.

Just more lies from Mark C Morgan.

Steve, K4YZ

2368. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 16:32:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122395574.239412.282650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113777

K4YZ wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:

> > Perhaps the FCC is wanting to see how this will go for a while and depending
> > on the results, reduce the number of classes to two.

> I am willing to bet that there will be some arguments made to the
> effect that once the code is dropped it WILL become a defacto 2-class
> license, and they will just go ahead in this NPRM and do it.

Well, let's look at the history....

Before 1951, there were effectively two license classes, A and B. (The third license class, C, was just a B taken by mail). Both allowed access to all
amateur frequencies and all authorized modes, at full power, with one exception.
The exception was that only Class A hams could operate 'phone on the ham bands between 2 and 25 MHz.

>From February 1953 until November 1968, there were effectively three license classes, Novice (1 year 1-time-only newcomer license), Technician (VHF-and-up experimenter license) and General/Conditional/Advanced/Extra (all privileges licenses). Some people refer to that time as a "golden age".....

In 1998 FCC proposed reduction to 4 license classes (open to new issues, that is) - Technician, General, Advanced, Extra. In 2000 they went one step further and closed off the Advanced, too.

So there's definitely a precedent. OTOH, FCC has steadfastly refused free upgrades.

> Then how far till 1? Then none?

Admin work - that's the rub.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2369. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 16:47:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122396471.581384.310260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113778

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
> >
> > - No change to the written tests at all
>
> The VEC organization has full authority to change written
> tests (e.g. specific questions, subjects, etc) without any FCC
> action needed. The NPRM specifically mentioned the
> process is much quicker than rule making via the FCC.

All the QPC/VECs are allowed to do is increase the size of the
question pool. They cannot change the methodology of the written test,
such as how many questions are on the test, the passing grade, the
marking method (some tests take off points for wrong answers so that if
you don't know the answer, you're better off leaving it balnk instead
of guessing), etc.

For example, the current format is multiple-choice with 4 possible
answers. Can the QPC/VEC go to six possible answers (reduces the
chances
of a pure-guess correct answer)?

> > - No new entry-level license class
>
> > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> > not in name).
>
> I'll have to read the NPRM again. I didn't come to that
> conclusion myself.

It's not clear to me - I just assumed that since the Tech requirement
would be Element 2, and since all Tech Pluses are being renewed as
Tech, and the only difference between Tech and Tech Plus is Element 1.

> > - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license
> > privileges except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-
> > Plus-privileges change
>
> Didn't the FCC mention that was being considered under
> different RMs?

Yep, but it seems odd to do it piecemeal.

> > And now the big one
> >
> > - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
> > Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
> > very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
> > Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
> > SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
> >
> > No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
> > those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
> > access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
> >
> > Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
>
> Seems that way to me too.
>
> > Is that the best we can do?
>
> What else do you want?

The problem I see is that the current entry-level license funnels people to VHF/UHF. This came about in part because of S25.5, which has been history for over two years now.

Now there's nothing wrong with VHF/UHF operating, but it has certain limitations. With basic equipment it's usually limited to local and maybe regional communications. It's also not the easiest part of the spectrum for homebrewing.

Wouldn't it be better to offer newcomers a more balanced selection of frequencies to use? And more than two modes on HF?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2370. Stevie trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 16:54:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122396878.474450.252580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113779

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Herb wrote:
> > > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> > > > > > > on Usenet.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're welcome to post any evidence that anything I said was
> > > > > > > untrue or inappropriate, other than "because I said so", which is
> > > > > > > pretty much stock-and-trade of the ToBraMarLen Quartet.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > he and I for that matter lack the bandwidth to itemize all your unture
> > > > > > or esp inappropriate materail
> > > > >
> > > > > What you lack is anything valid to submit as "inappropriate
> > > > > materail".
> > > >
> > > > just a few examples just from your titles
> > > >

> > > > I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...
> > >
> > > NOT "inappropriate".

entirely

since the sexuality of any the folks named is irrealavant to radio in
any form

>
Stevie sexaul rant cut

>
> > Obsessed Gay Lid
> >
> > He is.

who is?

I was just quoting titles don't know who you were taking about

next prove it, the gay part

finaly even if true being gay is not relavant or aproprate content for
this newsgroup

>
> > If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?
>
> A question. Please not the use of a question mark at the end of
> the sentence.

I just cut and pasted your words

>
> > WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN!
>
> Not inappropriate. <cut>

Entirely inappropriate

<cut> You are gay. <cut>

No I am bi but in either case Irrelavant

<cut>You are a pagan.<cut>

true but irelavant

<cut> You claimed to
> have been a draftee, and whether you care to admit to it or not,
<cut> you
> ARE an idiot.

again irelavant even if true

>
> > KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!
>
> Again...a question. <cut>
So what it is still inappropriate

<cut>You two DO have soooooooooooooooooo much in
> common. I am sure you'd be a cute couple...Couple of WHAT, I am not
> sure, but cute nonetheless.

more inappropriate materail

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2371. Stevie contiues to try and steal glory not due him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:00:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122397216.706329.259010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113780

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
> > > > >
> > > > such ego
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > Wasn't me that made the "old saying".
> > >
> > which of course was the point
> > >
> > but then I was expecting too much of you
> > >
> > The point was that you were claiming it had anything to do the subject
> >
> Sure it did.
not unless you were lying as explained in a line or two

>
> I MADE the subject.

then you lied when you typed in the subject line" Armed Forces Day
Crossband QSL Card

>
> > > > > Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...
> > > > >
> > > > nope you owe the ham unknown as he/she is an apology for getting their
> > > > QSL
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > of course
> >
> Explain how?

for stealing the golry their effort were due, their efforts not yours

cutting stevie juvi shit

> > > Why would >>I<< owe somebody an apology for someone ELSE'S
> > > error..?!?!

> >
> > No you owe the apology for claiming the card which belong to someone
> > else
>
> I didn't claim it.

you have in your title stevie

another cut of sexaul content

> > > I didn't send the card nor did I ask that it be sent.
> >
> > but you are here on USENET boasting of getting it
>
> I am not boasting. I said I got it, and by mistake at that.

you are boasting of it boasting with your admition "inside the paper as
they say

>
> > > > > I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
> > > > > Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.
> > > > >
> > > > > The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
> > > > > weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...
> > > >
> > > > such humility
> > >
> > > Yep. You're welcome to provide some reference that it was anything
> > > else...otherwise we'll jsut chalk it up to your jealousy.
> >
> > I have cards I am prouder of, and that I in fact earned
>
> That's the point made, dimwit.
>
cuting a stevie boast

>
> > > I COULD have "pulled a Markie" and claimed it as my own. But that
> > > would be lying.
> >
> > then you admitt o lying good for you
>
> Nope. I said just the opposite.

but did the deed and repated it again now 3 time trying to claim what
isn't yours

cuting more stevie juvi stuff

2372. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:06:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122397591.749323.100780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113781

John Kasupski wrote:

(an excellent fact-filled post which I have only a few minor issues with)

> Amateur radio did not become a viable alternative for personal
> communications until the advent of the no-code license, which happened
> much more recently than 1914. There are, indeed, couples with
> Technician Class licenses who use the 2m repeaters instead of cell
> phones to chat during drive time.

I disagree slightly. I saw the personal-communications aspect of amateur radio become a big draw in the middle 1970s, with the proliferation of repeaters, autopatch and small, lowcost VHF/UHF FM rigs and HTs.

I've known plenty of folks who got their licenses 20, 25, 30 years ago for just such purposes. Some went on to other activities in ham radio, some didn't. Some are still hams, others have replaced their ham rigs with cell phones.

Of course when the written testing for Tech was reduced in 1987, there was a boost. And when the Tech lost its code test in 1991 there was a boost as well.

> Especially in states such as New
> York where it's illegal to drive while using a cell phone unless you
> have hands-free operation.

Excellent point! It's legal to hold a mike or operate a Morse Code key while driving, though.

>
> >> For still others, it is a means of giving back
> >>something to the communities in which we live, and an opportunity to
> >>be able to do something when the proverbial merde hits the proverbial
> >>ventillateur, just as people do when they become volunteer firemen or
> >>EMT/paramedics or auxiliary police.

Well said!

> a significant portion of the U.S.
> population does not live in urban areas, and in many suburban and
> rural areas, part or even all of the available emergency services are
> provided by volunteers. Often those volunteers are every bit as
> dedicated and well-trained as their professional counterparts. But
> perhaps the defining factor is the likely attitude of their potential
> customers...to wit, if you crash your car and the local EMS and fire

> department have to come extricate you and provide you with emergency
> medical care, what's more important to you - whether or not they
> provide quality care, or whether they're getting paid or not?

Exactly. And I doubt that such volunteers would be called "hobbyists"
just because they're not paid..

There's also the fact that while cell phone coverage continues to
improve,
there are plenty of places where it's not dependable. The last time I
was in the Finger Lakes region there were plenty of "no service" places
on
my cell phone, but far fewer places unreachable by repeater.

> What's impressive isn't the certificates, but the knowledge they
> represent. Of course, it's only impressive if one is actually
> interested in knowledge, rather than glossing over such soncerns as
> the liability issues that go along with deploying untrained personnel
> to attempt to mitigate an icident.

Agreed!
> Up here we don't do earthquakes. We do, however, do blizzards. My
> first experience in disaster communications was the Blizzard Of '77.
>
> http://www.wbuf.noaa.gov/bzpns.htm
> http://larc.hamgate.net/blizzard_of_1977.htm
>
> There was another similar event in 1981.

I remember those...(my 2 land call is from my time in Wayne County NY)
>
> There was an ice storm a few years ago that left us with no
> electricity for a few days, though nothing like the one a few years
> before that, which left Lawrence County without a single utility pole
> left standing anywhere in the entire county.
>
> There was the power outage of August 2003.

IIRC that affected a lot more than 10 million people.

I'll close with this comment:

Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same and that all
localities are the same as what they've experienced. IOW, if they
didn't
see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role in a particular
emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio doesn't really play
such a role at all.

Which just isn't so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2373. Re: K4YZ Lying So Prolifically He Can't Keep His Own Stories Straight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:18:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122398288.531015.99820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113782

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, you cannot change a post once it's hit the wire, however I DID
> > > > > > > > acknowledge the error in the VERY NEXT POST.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > more asuming stevie
> > > > >
> > > > > No "assuming" to it, Markie. It happened.
> > > > >
> > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > >
> > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > >
> > > was complete is complete
> >
> > No, it wasn't.

yes it was
>
> "Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order"
>
> In order to what?

in order period

>
> You didn't finish the sentence.

yes I did

>
> > you are insiting I must read your #### in order, I don't read in any
> > particular order and certainly not the order you typed them
> >
> > your "explanation" is lame, at best

cuting a nonsense reply

>
>
> Otherwise your sentence remains incomplete as we await your
> explanation as to reading WHAT in order to do WHAT...?!?!

been done now three times

>
> > > Get the FACTS...?!?!
> >
> > had the facts stated the facts you just don't bother with facts
> > yourself
>
> That made almost as little sense as the previous sentence.

to you maybe
>
> > more of steveie sexaul content cut
>
> There was no sexual content there.

yes there was

>
> Mark C Morgan uttered a lie.

no

>
> > > > Never saw any correction
> > >
> > > Because you were so "obsessed" with trying to find some way to
> > > redirect all the attention landing in your lap for all the stupid
> > > things you've been doing and saying.
> >
> > no becuase I am not under any burden to go find your explanation you
> > lazy piece of ####
>
> Talking to yourself I see.

no but you don't fisrt person from second person or from thrid person

Learn english you piece of shit

>
> > > You ALMOST had one, but even then you let THAT backfire on you.
> >
> > whatever you mean by that is unclear
>
> It's patently clear.

not realy

>
> You tried to redirect because I hit an "E" instead of an "R" when

> typing "your" callsign.

I called you on your error

>
> I acknowledged it in the very next post, yet you tried to insist I
> didn't.

no you did not at least not in the order I ever saw them

you may have said something in some other thread but I am not under any
burden to go looking for your shit all over

>
> It's archived for all to see.

so it is

>
> You lied. Again.

nope you did

>
> > > Idiot.
> > >
> > > > > > > YOU however RE-INTRODUCED the error after I had already changed it
> > > > > > > back.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I replied to your title pointing your error
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No...YOU re-typed "EQZ"...because I had already addressed it.
> > > > >
> > > > > more lies
> > > > >
> > > > > I never typed it
> > > > >
> > > > > just replied to it
> > > > >
> > > > > More assuming everything works like AOL
> > > >
> > > > I'm not posting to this from AOL....Haven't since AOL dropped it's
> > > > newsreader features several months ago.
> > >
> > > then more assumeing everyones interface works like yours
> >
> > Nope.

then what?

I guess that you were lying again when you said "No...YOU re-typed
"EQZ"..."

more stevie juvi stuff

>
> > > Again...there you are NOT paying attention.
> >
> > Now I need to pay attebtion to how aol handles thing or doesn't
>
> Nope.

then you are lying when you state I should

>
> It doesn't matter WHAT ISP you use.

but according to you i am suppose tyo know hat your ISP does

>
> It DOES matter that YOU are not paying attention to the words
> right in front of you.

No such words in front of me

>
> > but you still are trying to cover
> >
> > You blew then you lie about it then you dance and evade
> >
> > You want to dump your erros on veryone, anyone else
>
> I'm not dumping anything.

sure are

>
ctuing a stevie misderection

>
> > > > > > > I don't apologize to pathological liars and deceivers when they
> > > > > > > are culpable.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > your typing not mine
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yep. And I acknowledged the error. There was nothing to
> > > > > > "apologize" for.
> > > > >
> > > > > sure was
> > >
> > > If ANYone deserves an apology, it would be KB9EQZ. However KB9EQZ
> > > is NOT an active callsign, ergo there's no one to apologize to.
> >
> > more evasion more lies
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> Type KB9EQZ into "QRZ", Markie.

I don't have to correct your shit

>
> > more sexual stuff cut
>
> There was no sexual stuff there TO cut.

sure was

cuting another stevie misderection

> > > > > > you blew it then you tried to evade responiblity for it
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure I did.
> > > > >
> > > > > and now you admit to it
> > > >
> > > > I admitted I hit the wrong key. Adjacent letters on the keyboard.
> > >
> > > and you claim it is ok when you do it, but only you can do it
> > >
> > > yea right more of Stevie's double standard bull####
>
> You've not stated what keys you allegedly hit.

when?

>
> I quite CLEARLY stated what I did wrong.

and you haven't apologized for it

>
> YOU have NOT.

have not done anything wrong in calling you on your mistakes

>
> > > There was not attempt to be deceptive or mistruthful
> >
> > not the point
>
> Sure it is.
not
>
> Are you suggesting I "apologize" for telling the truth?

no I am suggesting you apologize for making the mistake, for ebing lazy
and expecting to put together your stuff from several thread, that you
apoligize for you lying claim I ever typed my call EQZ except in
calling you on it

stuff like that

>
> > the point was you make and then refuse to adknowledge mistakes and
> > refuse to apologize
>
> Apologize to who?
>
> You?

Yep

>
> You are as culpable for a "mistake" since YOU reintroduced it
> AFTER I acknowledged the error.

more deceit stevie

>
> No apology needed. And there's no KB9EQZ to apologize to.
>
> > something BYS standard you deserve a mulitweek triade for I'll give it
> > in few days
>
> "mulitweek triade"

yep

>
> What the heck was that?
>
> > > > you sack of ####
> > >
> > > Always the witty one, Markie.
> >
> > ty
>
> That was sarcasm.

as was my TY

stevie misderection cut

> > > Pretty much makes my argument about your maturity and lack of IQ.
> >
> > not realy but you never realy had one either
>
> Sure I do.

nope

more misderection cut

>
> > > > > > you don't admit your mistakes

> > > > >
> > > > > Not true.
> > > > >
> > > > you admitted to evading your responiblity not more then one of your
> > > > lines up
> > > >
> > > No, I didn't.
> > >
> > yes you did
>
> > more sexual stuff cut
>
> You must be reading something else becasue there's no "sexual
> stuff" here to cut.

nope just your words

>
> > > I >>C L E A R L Y<< acknowledged hitting the adjacent key. Oh
> > > well.
> > >
> > and clearly refused to apologize
>
> For what?

for making a mistake

more evasion
>
> YOU reintroduced the error after I changed it.

another lie

>
> > > > > Ask Jim, N2EY, Cecil, W5DXP, Hans, K0HB, Brain, N0IMD.
> > > > >
> > > > why ask I have your admission
> > > >
> > > You insisted I don't admit to errors. And you also accuse me of
> > > "hacking out" text that you insist I don't want anyone to see, yet here
> > > YOU "hacked out" your accusation that I don't admit errors. Kinda
> > > makes you a hypocrite.
> > >
> > more stevie lies
>
> Nope.
>
> You made the accusation.

and it is true

>
> I provided references to persons who can attest to YOUR error

no error

>
> > I have not hacked out nor do I deny that I have and continue for that
> > matter to accuse you of refusing to admit to making error or to
> > apoligize for them
>
> What error?

errors many of them by now

>
> Apologize for what?

lying cheating evasion take your pick

>
> > > The Amateurs I cited can attest otherwise to my acknowledging
> > > errors or making apologies.
> >
> > anyone can attest to lie if they choose I don't ask people to do that
>
> What lie?

your lie

more misderection cut

> > > Now where's YOUR apology, Markie. You made an accusation and it
> > > was shown to be wrong. Are YOU going to own up to it, or just fall
> > > prey to your OWN rhetoric?
> >
> > it has not been shown wrong
>
> Sure it has.

nope

>
> YOU said that I don't admit errors.

or apoligize for it

>
> I provided you references who can attest otherwise.

nope

>
> Why do you balk at asking them?

>
> > you blew it
>
> Nope.
>

> > you refused to admit when called on it
>
> I already had acknowledged my error, Markie.
>
> It's right there in the archve of THIS thread.

only after you had been called on it twice

more misdection cut

> > you compond it by accusing me of retyping your error rather than just
> > repleing to it
>
> You did.

another stevie lie
>
> > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
>
> Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.

not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether

>
> Just more lies from Mark C Morgan.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2374. Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:18:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122398307.613847.60500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113784

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > such ego
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wasn't me that made the "old saying".
> > > >
> > > > which of course was the point
> > > >
> > > > but then I was expecting too much of you
> > > >
> > > > The point was that you were claiming it had anything to do the subject
> > >
> > > Sure it did.
> > not unless you were lying as explained in a line or two
> >
> > >
> > > I MADE the subject.
> >
> > then you lied when you typed in the subject line" Armed Forces Day
> > Crossband QSL Card

I didn't say MY card, blockhead.

I SAID:

They say that imitation is a form of flattery.

Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...

I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.

The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that

weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.

Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...

73

> > Explain how?
>
> for stealing the golry their effort were due, their efforts not yours
>
> cutting stevie juvi ####

Show me where I said it was mine, Markie.

> > > > Why would >>I<< owe somebody an apology for someone ELSE'S
> > > > error..?!?!
> > >
> > > No you owe the apology for claiming the card which belong to someone
> > > else
> >
> > I didn't claim it.
>
> you have in your title stevie

I identified an object, Markie.

I clearly stated I didn't earn it.

QUOTE:

They say that imitation is a form of flattery.

Guess I owe someone a "thanks", then...

I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.

The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that

weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.

Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...

73

> another cut of sexaul content

More evidence of your own delusion.

> > > > I didn't send the card nor did I ask that it be sent.
> > >
> > > but you are here on USENET boasting of getting it
> >
> > I am not boasting. I said I got it, and by mistake at that.
>
> you are boasting of it boasting with your admition "inside the paper as
> they say

No boasting.

Pointing out that ethier the Navy ops made an error or someone was
bootlegging my call.

> > > > > > I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
> > > > > > Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
> > > > > > weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > such humility
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep. You're welcome to provide some reference that it was anything
> > > > > else...otherwise we'll jsut chalk it up to your jealousy.
> > > >
> > > > I have cards I am prouder of, and that I in fact earned
> > >
> > > That's the point made, dimwit.
> >
> > cuting a stevie boast

There was no boast.

> > > > I COULD have "pulled a Markie" and claimed it as my own. But that
> > > > would be lying.
> > > >
> > > > then you admitt o lying good for you
> > >
> > > Nope. I said just the opposite.
>
> but did the deed and repated it again now 3 time trying to claim what
> isn't yours

Nope.

I clearly stated, and have repeated, that I didn't make the
contact.

YOU did.

> cuting more stevie juvi stuff

The "juvi" stuff is you trying to claim something that obviously
isn't true.

Steve, K4YZ

2375. Re: Stevie brave bold spelling cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:18:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122398333.749652.251970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113783

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Stevie brave bold spelling cop
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>and all of stevie not on speling is left above
> >>
> >>What? I guess you really told him off, "Mork Margan".
> >
> >
> > and you too
>
> Oh? You told me off? I hadn't noticed.
>
> > it is mark BTW
>
> Okay, mark BTW.
>
> > but it seems I have told him off since he does not reply
>
> It could be that--or it could be that he is simply unable to decipher
> that which looks like a Scrabble game.

never stopped him before
>
> Dave K8MN

2376. Re: So Bill.....it begins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:20:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122398443.352622.83850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113785

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Hey Bill,
>
> It looks like the long battle is just about over. Congratulations are
> in order, even though I still disagree, but it was probably inevitable.
> A grand new experiment will soon begin.

just about over
>
> So, I guess the big question is what is NCI going to do next?

keep on the few remaining nations
>
> I remember old conversations with the previous prez in which I am
> pretty sure it was said that you folks were going to go away after your
> successful elimination of the the Morse code test requirement.
> (organizationally speaking, that is!) I do definitely remember that we
> were told that the sole purpose of NCI was elimination of the test.
>
> Is dissolution still the target?
>
> I've always thought, and still do, that one of the hardest things for
> an organization to do is go away, even when they are successful in their
> original purpose.
>
> I predict that NCI will morph into something else. I'm certainly hoping
> it isn't relaxation of the other test requirements!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2377. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:23:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122398603.030022.46740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113786

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > > Perhaps the FCC is wanting to see how this will go for a while and depending
> > > on the results, reduce the number of classes to two.
>
> > I am willing to bet that there will be some arguments made to the
> > effect that once the code is dropped it WILL become a defacto 2-class
> > license, and they will just go ahead in this NPRM and do it.
>
> Well, let's look at the history....
>
> Before 1951, there were effectively two license classes, A and B. (The
> third license class, C, was just a B taken by mail). Both allowed
> access to all
> amateur frequencies and all authorized modes, at full power, with one
> exception.
> The exception was that only Class A hams could operate 'phone on the
> ham bands between 2 and 25 MHz.

your point? I have read this I don't know how many times from you

>
> >From February 1953 until November 1968, there were effectively three
> license classes, Novice (1 year 1-time-only newcomer license),
> Technician (VHF-and-up experimenter license) and
> General/Conditional/Advanced/Extra (all privileges licenses). Some
> people refer to that time as a "golden age".....

and many don't but you point?

>
> In 1998 FCC proposed reduction to 4 license classes (open to new
> issues, that is) - Technician, General, Advanced, Extra. In 2000 they
> went one step further and closed off the Advanced, too.
>
> So there's definitely a precedent. OTOH, FCC has steadfastly refused
> free upgrades.

so that is your point

Who cares

I oppose them after all it makes those that receive targets in the ARS
of the jealous masses

>
> > Then how far till 1? Then none?
>
> Admin work - that's the rub.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2378. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:23:35 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <rkuFe.9390$oZ.8221@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113787

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122396471.581384.310260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
>> > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>> >
>> > - No change to the written tests at all
>>
>> The VEC organization has full authority to change written
>> tests (e.g. specific questions, subjects, etc) without any FCC
>> action needed. The NPRM specifically mentioned the
>> process is much quicker than rule making via the FCC.
>
> All the QPC/VECs are allowed to do is increase the size of the
> question pool.

They could also, therefore lower the size of the pool.
Likewise, they can change subject areas addressed
by questions in each pool. In doing so, the VEC orgs
could migrate the current Tech question pool to a
pool the more aligns with a "beginner license" test.

> They cannot change the methodology of the written test,
> such as how many questions are on the test, the passing grade, the
> marking method (some tests take off points for wrong answers so that if
> you don't know the answer, you're better off leaving it balnk instead
> of guessing), etc.

Agreed. I was only talking content, not process.

(SNIP)
>> > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
>> > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
>> > not in name).
>>
>> I'll have to read the NPRM again. I didn't come to that
>> conclusion myself.
>
> It's not clear to me - I just assumed that since the Tech requirement
> would be Element 2, and since all Tech Pluses are being renewed as
> Tech, and the only difference between Tech and Tech Plus is Element 1.

I follow your logic, but I think it would be clearer if
so stated by the FCC in their final R&O.

(SNIP)

>> > And now the big one
>> >
>> > - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
>> > Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
>> > very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
>> > Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
>> > SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
>> >
>> > No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
>> > those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
>> > access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
>> >
>> > Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
>>
>> Seems that way to me too.
>>
>> > Is that the best we can do?
>>
>> What else do you want?
>
> The problem I see is that the current entry-level license funnels
> people to VHF/UHF. This came about in part because of S25.5, which has
> been history for over two years now.

Agree.

> Now there's nothing wrong with VHF/UHF operating, but it has certain
> limitations. With basic equipment it's usually limited to local and
> maybe regional communications. It's also not the easiest part of the
> spectrum for homebrewing.
>
> Wouldn't it be better to offer newcomers a more balanced selection of
> frequencies to use? And more than two modes on HF?

I agree. I liked the idea of a broader beginner license.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2379. False colors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:31:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122399118.382833.226370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113788

Try "Mistakenly recieved Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card what
happened?"

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:

cuting wasted bandwidth

> > > > but then I was expecting too much of you
> > > >
> > > > The point was that you were claiming it had anything to do the subject
> > >
> > > Sure it did.
> > not unless you were lying as explained in a line or two
> >
> > >
> > > I MADE the subject.
> >
> > then you lied when you typed in the subject line" Armed Forces Day
> > Crossband QSL Card
>
> I didn't say MY card, blockhead.

and said nothing otherwise in the title
>
> I SAID:
>
> They say that imitation is a form of flattery.

which has what to with "Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card"

cut of wasted rep

> > > Explain how?
> >
> > for stealing the golry their effort were due, their efforts not yours
> >
> > cutting stevie juvi #####
>
> Show me where I said it was mine, Markie.

in the title

>
> > > > > Why would >>I<< owe somebody an apology for someone ELSE'S

> > > > > error..?!?!
> > > >
> > > > No you owe the apology for claiming the card which belong to someone
> > > > else
> > >
> > > I didn't claim it.
> >
> > you have in your title stevie
>
> I identified an object, Markie.

and implied it was yours

>
> I clearly stated I didn't earn it.

not in your title
>
another cut of a wasted rep

cut of Dr robeson pactice without a license

> > > > > I didn't send the card nor did I ask that it be sent.
> > > > >
> > > > > but you are here on USENET boasting of getting it
> > > >
> > > > I am not boasting. I said I got it, and by mistake at that.
> > >
> > > you are boasting of it boasting with your admition "inside the paper as
> > > they say
> >
> > No boasting.

yes boasting

>
> Pointing out that ethier the Navy ops made an error or someone was
> bootlegging my call.

not in the title

>
> > > > > > > I just got a QSL card in the mail from "NBL", the Navy base at
> > > > > > > Groton CT for the 14 May 2005 Armed Forces Day Crossband Test.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only problem is that I was on a Civil Air Patrol exercise that
> > > > > > > weekend and nowhere near any Amateur HF gear.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps just a copy error at NBL, but who knows...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > such humility
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep. You're welcome to provide some reference that it was anything

> > > > > else...otherwise we'll jsut chalk it up to your jealousy.
> > > >
> > > > I have cards I am prouder of, and that I in fact earned
> > >
> > > That's the point made, dimwit.
> > >
> > cuting a stevie boast

certainly a boast and a divertion

> > > > > I COULD have "pulled a Markie" and claimed it as my own. But that
> > > > > would be lying.
> > > > >
> > > > then you admitt o lying good for you
> > >
> > > Nope. I said just the opposite.
> >
> > but did the deed and repated it again now 3 time trying to claim what
> > isn't yours
>
> Nope.

yes your deeds in titling the thread and continuing to retitle the
thread
Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

you keep trying to claim the glory not belongin to you

>
> I clearly stated, and have repeated, that I didn't make the
> contact.

inside the paper
>
> YOU did.

did what? Made the contact? nope
>
> > cuting more stevie juvi stuff
>
> The "juvi" stuff is you trying to claim something that obviously
> isn't true.
>

no it more of your juvi evasion
> Steve, K4YZ

2380. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:37:02 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <2xuFe.9393$oZ.7781@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113789

Mike,
Comments below...

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
message news:dc5ls4$150g$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Hey Bill (K2UNK)
> It looks like the long battle is just about over. Congratulations are in
> order, even though I still disagree, but it was probably inevitable. A
> grand new experiment will soon begin.
>
> So, I guess the big question is what is NCI going to do next?

In the USA short term, it still isn't over until the FCC issues an R&O.
Internationally (the I in NCI stands for International) there are still
many countries that have not dropped all code testing.

> I remember old conversations with the previous prez in which I am pretty
> sure it was said that you folks were going to go away after your
> successful elimination of the the Morse code test requirement.
> (organizationally speaking, that is!) I do definitely remember that we
> were told that the sole purpose of NCI was elimination of the test.
>
> Is dissolution still the target?

My personal viewpoint is that once the USA ends code testing,
then the role of NCI will pretty much be completed...especally
since many other countries already have done so.

> I've always thought, and still do, that one of the hardest things for an
> organization to do is go away, even when they are successful in their
> original purpose.
>
> I predict that NCI will morph into something else.

I doubt it myself. I'm also an ARRL member and focus
my other ham policy opinions primarily though ARRL.
But, again, I can only speak for myself.

> I'm certainly hoping it isn't relaxation of the other test requirements!

I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
for written.

Personally, I do like the idea of a broader entry/beginner
level license that allows more HF than the Tech does

now...but that's another issue and one that ARRL proposed.

Cheersm
Bill K2UNK
NCI Director
ARRL member/LGL

2381. Re: again stevie why doesn't the FCC find these folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 17:49:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122400183.242039.218560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113790

agian why aren't these folks caught by the FCC

and why aren't other like em

If I were doing something I expect not to be operating as I do on VHF
and up and not near the FCC's back yard as the poor unforuantes mention
in the why are you saying Shit thread elsewhere
but these folks and others like are operating on HF with it wide range
than my signals

Me if I blow it I can see them not missing it but these clowns

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > loray wrote:
> > > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> > >
> > > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> > > following:
> > >
> >
> > >
> > > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> > > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
> > >
> >
> > >
> > > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> > > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
> > >
> >
> > >
> > > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> > > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> > > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
> > >
> > if the FCC can find anybody they want why not these folks
>
> Who said they haven't?
>
> Look under NOUO in FCC sanctions and violations...lotsa 28Mhz
> CB'ers busted there.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2382. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 18:38:17 UTC
From: Bruce <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9pvFe.1699$G71.510@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113791

WHO CARES, Ham radio is dead CB is King

2383. KB9RQZ Lying So Prolifically He Can't Keep His Own Stories Straight..But Then He's Not Straight Either!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 18:41:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122403304.103294.10570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113793

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > I corrected the call, Markie, on the very next post.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you never corrected the post, not sure that is even possible
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, you cannot change a post once it's hit the wire, however I DID
> > > > > > > > acknowledge the error in the VERY NEXT POST.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > more asuming stevie
> > > > > >
> > > > > No "assuming" to it, Markie. It happened.
> > > > >
> > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your ##### in order
> > > > >
> > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > >
> > > was complete is complete
> > >
> > No, it wasn't.
> >
> yes it was

No, it wasn't.

Huge snip...Same Old Mark Morgan lies, deceit and misdirection.

Mark can't tell the truth to save his life.

> > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > >
> > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> >
> not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether

Nope.

In the very next post.

You've been caughyt lying, Markie. There's nothing else you can do or say to hide your misconduct.

Steve, K4YZ

2384. Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 18:47:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122403649.094685.251410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113703

an_old_friend wrote:
> Try "Mistakenly recieved Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card what
> happened?"
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> cuting wasted bandwidth

It's only wasted in that we expect you to belly up to the bar
about your deceit.

> > > then you lied when you typed in the subject line" Armed Forces Day
> > > Crossband QSL Card
> >
> > I didn't say MY card, blockhead.
>
> and said nothing otherwise in the title

I also did't say that it's 99 degrees and 83% humidity here in
Tennessee, but taht was relevent either.

> > I SAID:
> >
> > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
>
> which has what to with "Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card"

Which has to do with someone imitating me on the air, bonehead.

Big snip.

Markie's been caught lying for the billionth time this week.

> > The "juvi" stuff is you trying to claim something that obviously
> > isn't true.
>
> no it more of your juvi evasion

You're the one doing the evading, Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

2385. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:14:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LYvFe.254$Uc5.7180406@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113795

Naaa, CB has just absorbed amateur radio. Heck, what could we expect, they out number hams a few times over!

John

"Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:9pvFe.1699$G71.510@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> WHO CARES, Ham radio is dead CB is King
>
>

2386. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:21:09 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <F2wFe.9437$oZ.541@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113700

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Personally, I think they should dump tech.
>
> Either let them study and get general or forget it, hopefully the newbie's will figure that out on their own...
>

We should have some entry level test that reasonably smart
8th graders can handle. "Get them when they're young..."

2387. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:31:21 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <TL-dnfd_56YQEnvfRVn-uQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 113705

whats wrong with the one now that a reasonably smart 6 year old can pass?
http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2002/03/10/1/

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:F2wFe.9437$oZ.541@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Personally, I think they should dump tech.
>>
>> Either let them study and get general or forget it, hopefully the
>> newbie's will figure that out on their own...
>>
>
> We should have some entry level test that reasonably smart
> 8th graders can handle. "Get them when they're young..."

2388. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:33:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <vewFe.2327$cg.1996@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113708

"Herb" <Herb@herbliveshoho.net> wrote in message
news:dc3omd$7bb$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122326207.694741.302470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
>
> K4YZ a.k.a. the *least* credible source of information
> on Usenet.
>
>
>
>

Believe me, one does *not* want to use a repeater without authorization. It won't be the FCC that catches you, it will be the repeater owner. They may report you to the FCC, but will probably file a suit against you. See ya in court ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2389. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:35:31 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7gwFe.2731$j21.675@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113704

"Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:9pvFe.1699$G71.510@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> WHO CARES, Ham radio is dead CB is King
>
>

King for reliable 3 mile communications LOL.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2390. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:43:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <rnwFe.2328$cg.120@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113711

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122397591.749323.100780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> John Kasupski wrote:
>
> (an excellent fact-filled post which I have only a few minor issues
> with)
>
> > Amateur radio did not become a viable alternative for personal
> > communications until the advent of the no-code license, which happened
> > much more recently than 1914. There are, indeed, couples with
> > Technician Class licenses who use the 2m repeaters instead of cell
> > phones to chat during drive time.
>
> I disagree slightly. I saw the personal-communications aspect of
> amateur radio become a big draw in the middle 1970s, with the
> proliferation of repeaters, autopatch and small, lowcost VHF/UHF FM
> rigs and HTs.
>
> I've known plenty of folks who got their licenses 20, 25, 30 years ago
> for just such purposes. Some went on to other activities in ham
> radio, some didn't. Some are still hams, others have replaced their
> ham rigs with cell phones.
>
> Of course when the written testing for Tech was reduced in 1987, there
> was a boost. And when the Tech lost its code test in 1991 there was a
> boost as well.
>
> > Especially in states such as New
> > York where it's illegal to drive while using a cell phone unless you
> > have hands-free operation.
>
> Excellent point! It's legal to hold a mike or operate a Morse Code key
> while driving, though.
> >
> > >> For still others, it is a means of giving back
> > >>something to the communities in which we live, and an opportunity to
> > >>be able to do something when the proverbial merde hits the proverbial
> > >>ventillateur, just as people do when they become volunteer firemen or
> > >>EMT/paramedics or auxiliary police.
>
> Well said!
>
> > a significant portion of the U.S.
> > population does not live in urban areas, and in many suburban and

> > rural areas, part or even all of the available emergency services are
> > provided by volunteers. Often those volunteers are every bit as
> > dedicated and well-trained as their professional counterparts. But
> > perhaps the defining factor is the likely attitude of their potential
> > customers...to wit, if you crash your car and the local EMS and fire
> > department have to come extricate you and provide you with emergency
> > medical care, what's more important to you - whether or not they
> > provide quality care, or whether they're getting paid or not?
>
> Exactly. And I doubt that such volunteers would be called "hobbyists"
> just because they're not paid..
>
> There's also the fact that while cell phone coverage continues to
> improve,
> there are plenty of places where it's not dependable. The last time I
> was in the Finger Lakes region there were plenty of "no service" places
> on
> my cell phone, but far fewer places unreachable by repeater.
>
> > What's impressive isn't the certificates, but the knowledge they
> > represent. Of course, it's only impressive if one is actually
> > interested in knowledge, rather than glossing over such soncerns as
> > the liability issues that go along with deploying untrained personnel
> > to attempt to mitigate an icident.
>
> Agreed!
> > Up here we don't do earthquakes. We do, however, do blizzards. My
> > first experience in disaster communications was the Blizzard Of '77.
> >
> > http://www.wbuf.noaa.gov/bzpns.htm
> > http://larc.hamgate.net/blizzard_of_1977.htm
> >
> > There was another similar event in 1981.
>
> I remember those...(my 2 land call is from my time in Wayne County NY)
> >
> > There was an ice storm a few years ago that left us with no
> > electricity for a few days, though nothing like the one a few years
> > before that, which left Lawrence County without a single utility pole
> > left standing anywhere in the entire county.
> >
> > There was the power outage of August 2003.
>
> IIRC that affected a lot more than 10 million people.
>
> I'll close with this comment:
>
> Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same and that all
> localities are the same as what they've experienced. IOW, if they
> didn't

> see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role in a particular
> emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio doesn't really play
> such a role at all.
>
> Which just isn't so.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Very true. That nasty ice storm in the Northeast sometime around 1997 had folks screaming for amateurs with hf rigs. No power nor communications for hundreds of miles. No cb, vhf, or uhf rig is going to be of great use. You need 75, 60, or 40 meters for solid communications for hundreds of miles (near the disaster; you don't need 20 to talk to Italy LOL).

As you state, if someone doesn't see it in their immediate area, it must not exist. Something like folks thinking that despite gasoline reaching $2.40 per gallon and heading up, since they can get gas at their local gas station, we don't have an oil problem.

I think you hit the nail on the head.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2391. Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:45:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122407135.513425.33360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113794

an_old_friend wrote:
> Try "Mistakenly recieved Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card what
> happened?"

Why?

The original post said it all.

Why do you keep lying and re-labelling the post with a lie?

> > The "juvi" stuff is you trying to claim something that obviously
> > isn't true.
>
> no it more of your juvi evasion

No...It's Mark Morgan overtly lying.

Why are you lying when the archive clearly shows you are wrong?

Steve, K4YZ

2392. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:52:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <jwwFe.2330$cg.53@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113798

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:cZiFe.180142$x96.88553@attbi_s72...
>
> "KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:esbbe1hv1qmcrutrm7giqpe2615knnqe69@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:31:42 GMT, "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I'm getting read to take the exam for a ham ticket next month. I was
> curious
> > >to know if having a ham radio license precludes one from the GMRS
license
> > >requirement, so paying the $75 for the GMRS license is no longer
> necessary
> > >for ham license holders?
> >
> > No. Amateur radio and GMRS are seperate and unrelated. The
> > possession of a licence for one has no bearing on licensing for the
> > other.
> >
> > >
> > >Also, how long does it take to get the actual paper license from the
FCC
> > >with your callsign?
> > >
> > >Thanks in advance.
> > >
> >
> > Usually about a week, but since your first question should have been
> > answered in your preparation for taking the Amateur Radio licensing
> > exam, this license holder entertains severe reservations regarding
> > your ability to pass said examination.
>
>
> Wow. That didn't take long. I only just decided to take the exam a couple
> days ago (and awaiting prep material by mail), and I've already met my
first
> ham asshole.
>
>
>
>

Don't worry about a few folks that may say something that may irritate you. Although licensed first in 1962 and getting my extra in 1966, unless one studied other parts of the FCC rules beyond the amateur license, this could certainly be an honest question. I've delved into such a bit as I obtained my 1st class radiotelephone license in 1966 as well as my telegraph license the same year. The FCC has more rules than the hams have a**holes, I can assure you LOL.

Some hams are concerned that the tests are being watered down; I suspect the answer you got was someone concerned about the watered down tests. Although it didn't appear directed as a flame, I agree he should have simply answered the question without pontificating.

Try not to concern yourself too much. There is a lot of bickering going on (can you tell by reading many posts ROTFLOL) amongst the licensed folks; you happened to post a question read by someone with a short fuse.

If you have studied a bit for your ticket, you will note that there is no sanity test for licensed amateurs.

Oooops. I've gotta go. Some men in white coats asking for me by name
.....

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2393. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 19:53:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vxwFe.262$5J5.7378067@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113799

robert:

Frankly, what about amateur radio do you see as being so difficult. I keep seeing this same idea reflected in others text--I thought them only joking?

What freaks (or freqs) the various licenses can operate on?

Ohms law?

Formulas for power?

Wavelength?

Concept of extremely dense rf fields posing a danger?

Harmonics and the need for traps, filters, etc?

Power limitations on various bands?

What is it which you see as a real road block and bordering on the edge of rocket science? I just don't get it?

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:F2wFe.9437$oZ.541@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Personally, I think they should dump tech.
>>
>> Either let them study and get general or forget it, hopefully the newbie's will figure that out on their own...
>>
>
> We should have some entry level test that reasonably smart
> 8th graders can handle. "Get them when they're young..."

2394. Re: Winners always win in the end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 20:04:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122408281.656575.125160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113796

Lloyd wrote:
> And I am sorry that you are too damn lazy or stupid to learn how
> to correctly use the words "too" and "to."

well I can live with that I have been accused of far worse

>
> On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 01:27:26 GMT, Aristotle <guffaw> wrote:
> > No you did not win, we all lose. I am sorry you are to damn lazy and
> > or stupid to learn code.

2395. New title/license for the "new breed" of ham...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 20:23:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9ZwFe.265$d86.7541911@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113710

Perhaps they will now be referred to as:

"CB Hammies"
"HF Chicken Banders"
"10 meter 10-4 buddies"
"Black Plague of the Ether"
"Radio Bozos"
"NoKey KeyClowns"
"Ham Truckers From Hell"
????

... feel free to add your ideas and/or suggestions...

After a proper title is chosen for them, the license could reflect that name.

John

2396. the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 20:37:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122410240.720736.42530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113709

looks like a good to firm up people estimates on the end of testing in the US

My date R&O published round new years making the date efect like the same as the last one apr 1 as I recall

so apr 1 2006

2397. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 22:11:15 UTC
From: Bobby Joe Good Buddy <bj@cbchanmongud.net>
Message-ID: <dc6c5gomv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113702

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:vewFe.2327$cg.1996@news02.roc.ny...
>
>
> Believe me, one does *not* want to use a repeater without authorization.
> It
> won't be the FCC that catches you, it will be the repeater owner. They
> may
> report you to the FCC, but will probably file a suit against you. See ya
> in
> court ;)
>

HAHAHAHAHAHAHA Yeah Right! Just amazing, the piles of pure
B.S. put out on Usenet daily.

2398. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 22:20:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7HyFe.9470$oZ.8335@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:17070$42e57ec3$4e105a0$6678@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122335780.490297.212460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> most people also use better than you or Stevie,
>>
>> Indeed most folks think seeking to incite emotions from other is abd
>> thing but not you and stevie
>>>
>
> The Toad and "an old friend" of Toad A.K.A. KB9RQZ must have similar
> educations.
> Just an observation.
> Ace-WH2T
>
>

Yep, in American Public Inner City schools, no doubt.

Dan/W4NTI

2399. Re: New title/license for the "new breed" of ham...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 22:30:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lQyFe.9477$oZ.7220@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113707

I prefer "Ultra-Light" myself.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9ZwFe.265$d86.7541911@news.sisna.com...
> Perhaps they will now be referred to as:
>
> "CB Hammies"
> "HF Chicken Banders"
> "10 meter 10-4 buddies"
> "Black Plague of the Ether"
> "Radio Bozos"
> "NoKey KeyClowns"
> "Ham Truckers From Hell"
> ????
>
> ... feel free to add your ideas and/or suggestions...
>
> After a proper title is chosen for them, the license could reflect that
> name.
>
> John
>

2400. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 22:42:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <6%yFe.9482$oZ.6924@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113701

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>
> - No change to the written tests at all
>
> - No new entry-level license class
>
> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> not in name).
>
> - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license privileges
> except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-Plus-privileges change
>
> And now the big one
>
> - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
> Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
> very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
> Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
> SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
>
> No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
> those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
> access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
>
> Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
>
> Is that the best we can do?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> -
As I have said many times, the FCC, which consists mainly of lawyers,
isn't gonna do nothing more than what is absolutely necessary.

Dan/W4NTI

2401. Re: New title/license for the "new breed" of ham...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 22:44:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <r1zFe.291$CD1.12094528@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113797

There ain't nothin' "light weight" about those multikilowatt leen-e-eers those boys are a packin'.

They pack a WALLOP! One of those channel 6 "big boys" is 15+ kw and lives within a mile of the state capitol building here in sacramento! And, worse, he is on almost everyday of the week!

You'd think those guys in the capitol building would get tired of getting their hair singed!

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:lQyFe.9477$oZ.7220@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I prefer "Ultra-Light" myself.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9ZwFe.265$d86.7541911@news.sisna.com...
>> Perhaps they will now be referred to as:
>>
>> "CB Hammies"
>> "HF Chicken Banders"
>> "10 meter 10-4 buddies"
>> "Black Plague of the Ether"
>> "Radio Bozos"
>> "NoKey KeyClowns"
>> "Ham Truckers From Hell"
>> ????
>>
>> ... feel free to add your ideas and/or suggestions...
>>
>> After a proper title is chosen for them, the license could reflect that
>> name.
>>
>> John
>>
>
>

2402. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 23:05:28 UTC
From: Ralph <notme@glendale>
Message-ID: <dc6fie$753$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113712

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122410240.720736.42530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
looks like a good to firm up people estimates on the end of testing in
the US

My date R&O published round new years making the date efect like the
same as the last one apr 1 as I recall

so apr 1 2006
/

Which country do you hail from, anyway?

2403. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 23:41:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122421261.710526.240840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Danny there isn't any "Inner City" schools here, this is farm counrty

Todd N9OGL

2404. Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-26 23:57:46 UTC
From: Ho <hohoho@hohohofunnyal.net>
Message-ID: <dc6id6ior0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113714

Democrats Suck!

2405. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:10:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ChAFe.319$vC3.16397428@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113734

Ho:

Worse than that, the same rich bunch own BOTH the democrats and the republicans--it is just a game to keep you in the dark, get a clue, there is ONLY ONE PARTY...

John

"Ho" <hohoho@hohohofunnyal.net> wrote in message news:dc6id6ior0@pita.alt.net...
> Democrats Suck!
>
>
>
>

2406. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:11:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <raCdnR_LncMLTHvfRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113718

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>
> - No change to the written tests at all
>
> - No new entry-level license class
>
> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> not in name).
>

After re-reading the NPRM, I'm no longer so sure of that as I was. The appendix shows the new wording of the changed sections and the sections that show privileges have not been changed. So it may be that the FCC intends to keep the distinction between flavors of Tech and make the codeless Technicians upgrade to get any HF privileges. Their extensive discussion sections also seem to support that. Thirty pages including footnotes is a lot of details to digest.

If approach is true, there are a lot of Technicians in for a shock.

Anyway, I think the FCC needs to clarify that.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2407. Re: New title/license for the "new breed" of ham...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:12:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <QjAFe.2339$cg.1429@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113715

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:r1zFe.291$CD1.12094528@news.sisna.com...
> There ain't nothin' "light weight" about those multikilowatt leen-e-eers
those boys are a packin'.
>
> They pack a WALLOP! One of those channel 6 "big boys" is 15+ kw and lives
within a mile of the state capitol building here in sacramento! And, worse,
he is on almost everyday of the week!
>
> You'd think those guys in the capitol building would get tired of getting
their hair singed!
>
> John
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:lQyFe.9477$oZ.7220@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >I prefer "Ultra-Light" myself.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:9ZwFe.265$d86.7541911@news.sisna.com...
> >> Perhaps they will now be referred to as:
> >>
> >> "CB Hammies"
> >> "HF Chicken Banders"
> >> "10 meter 10-4 buddies"
> >> "Black Plague of the Ether"
> >> "Radio Bozos"
> >> "NoKey KeyClowns"
> >> "Ham Truckers From Hell"
> >> ????
> >>
> >> ... feel free to add your ideas and/or suggestions...
> >>
> >> After a proper title is chosen for them, the license could reflect that
> >> name.
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >
> >

And channel 6 will be heard where?

They are depending upon sporadic e. Plus the fact that a kilowatt of CW will run right through a 15 kilowatt am station. Should run right through a 10 kilowatt ssb station, for that matter :))

I shan't get into the fact that other bands can yield far better results depending upon distance, time of day, sunspot cycle, etc.

160 meters used to be fine for me anywhere in the country at night. That was running 25 watts in one section (we were limited to 25 watts here due to loran) and 65 to 75 watts in the other section.

A lowly 100 watts or so was fine to run phone patches to the U.S. from Guam Island. Throwing on the afterburner (Henry 2K) and running a kilowatt was fine almost anytime (although we had to give up 40 meters due to interference to the movie theatre next door - don't ask; we were on a military base and the captain was far more forbidding than the FCC could ever have been LOL).

I had a direct contact with Webster, NY (a suburb of Rochester) running only 15 watts from Guam (10,000 miles away, perhaps). When he reported the signal was not too strong, I hit the Henry and nearly knocked his speakers off the shelf. Nothing like picking a frequency appropriate to what you are trying to do. 10 meters, 15 meters, 20 meters, or 40. We ran those for the long haul stuff from Guam. 40 or 20 at night; 20 through 10 during the day. The exact band depended upon local time plus the time zone of where you wanted a contact.

Heck, I worked a novice running 75 watts in New Jersey from Guam on 40 meters. Now tell me how good channel 6 is. Excuse me whilst I laugh my tail section off. 10 or 15 kilowatts when 75 watts will do? You must have cheap electric power or 50 cents per gallon gasoline :)))

Heck, I had a couple of very good chats with blokes from England and Australia running only 6 aa alkaline batteries into a handheld. All rf path; no Internet involved.

Channel what was it, you said?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2408. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:12:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <QjAFe.2340$cg.420@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113717

"Bobby Joe Good Buddy" <bj@cbchanmongud.net> wrote in message
news:dc6c5gomv0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:vewFe.2327$cg.1996@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> >
> > Believe me, one does *not* want to use a repeater without authorization.
> > It
> > won't be the FCC that catches you, it will be the repeater owner. They
> > may
> > report you to the FCC, but will probably file a suit against you. See
ya
> > in
> > court ;)
> >
>
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHA Yeah Right! Just amazing, the piles of pure
> B.S. put out on Usenet daily.
>
>
>

You, sir, are absolutely right!
ROTFLMAO

ZBM-2,
Jim AA2QA

2409. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:12:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8M2dnbxx8Kd9THvfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113716

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1122371730.011409.235210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
>> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>>
>> - No change to the written tests at all
>>
>> - No new entry-level license class
>>
>> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
>> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
>> not in name).
>>
>> - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license privileges
>> except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-Plus-privileges change
>>
>> And now the big one
>>
>> - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
>> Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
>> very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
>> Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
>> SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
>>
>> No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
>> those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
>> access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
>>
>> Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
>>
>> Is that the best we can do?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> Typical FCC bandaid fix that will leave in it's wake more problems than
> the one it solves.
>

It doesn't create any new problems that I can see. As they say in their
discussion, anyone wanting expanded privileges will only have to take the

appropriate written test.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2410. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:18:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y62dnewgmfaDTnvfRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113719

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122377106.711836.162460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Perhaps the FCC is wanting to see how this will go for a while and
>> depending
>> on the results, reduce the number of classes to two.
>
> I am willing to bet that there will be some arguments made to the
> effect that once the code is dropped it WILL become a defacto 2-class
> license, and they will just go ahead in this NPRM and do it.
>
> Then how far till 1? Then none?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

In the discussion section of the NPRM, the FCC specifically said they would
not address any changes at this time. I think they'll stick to it while
they consider other petitions.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2411. Re: K4YZ Lying So Prolifically He Can't Keep His Own Stories Straight..But Then He's Not Straight Either!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:23:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122423835.283191.187360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113720

BTw not saying Stevie is gay but just turning his back on him

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
cut
> > > > >
> > > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > > >
> > > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > >
> > > > was complete is complete
> > >
> > > No, it wasn't.
> >
> > yes it was
>
> No, it wasn't.

was and remains a complete sentence

you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
calling you on something

Bullshit

you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
thing i can't even tell

Bullshit

cuting unrelated shit

> > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > >
> > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> >
> > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
>
> Nope.
>
> In the very next post.

not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were called on it twice

more stve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words

> Steve, K4YZ

2412. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:25:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122423909.559340.258380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113721

Ralph wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122410240.720736.42530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> looks like a good to firm up people estimates on the end of testing in
> the US
>
> My date R&O published round new years making the date efect like the
> same as the last one apr 1 as I recall
>
> so apr 1 2006
> /
>
> Which country do you hail from, anyway?

I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there

2413. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:28:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122424099.233495.204950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113722

John Smith wrote:
> robert:
>
> Frankly, what about amateur radio do you see as being so difficult. I keep seeing this same idea reflected in others text--I thought them only joking?

If you belive nothing else I ever write John Believe this some hams are
very serious about thinking the test should be some kind of ordel

>
> What freaks (or freqs) the various licenses can operate on?
>
> Ohms law?
>
> Formulas for power?
>
> Wavelength?
>
> Concept of extremely dense rf fields posing a danger?
>
> Harmonics and the need for traps, filters, etc?
>
> Power limitations on various bands?
>
> What is it which you see as a real road block and bordering on the edge of rocket science? I just don't get it?
>
> John
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:F2wFe.9437$oZ.541@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> N2EY:
> >>
> >> Personally, I think they should dump tech.
> >>
> >> Either let them study and get general or forget it, hopefully the newbie's will figure that out on their own...
> >>
> >
> > We should have some entry level test that reasonably smart
> > 8th graders can handle. "Get them when they're young..."

2414. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:30:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <m_ydnRBOIYRPSHvfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113724

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122396471.581384.310260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

[snip]
>>
>> I'll have to read the NPRM again. I didn't come to that
>> conclusion myself.
>
> It's not clear to me - I just assumed that since the Tech requirement
> would be Element 2, and since all Tech Pluses are being renewed as
> Tech, and the only difference between Tech and Tech Plus is Element 1.
>

It's not clear at all. However, the appendix shows the proposed new wording
and the privileges sections of Part 97 remain unchanged.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2415. Re: Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:31:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122424292.835342.6810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113723

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Try "Mistakenly recieved Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card what
> > happened?"
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > cuting wasted bandwidth
>
> It's only wasted in that we expect you to belly up to the bar
> about your deceit.

no it was wasted becuase I read it and you repeated twice
>
> > > > then you lied when you typed in the subject line" Armed Forces Day
> > > > Crossband QSL Card
> > >
> > > I didn't say MY card, blockhead.
> >
> > and said nothing otherwise in the title
>
> I also did't say that it's 99 degrees and 83% humidity here in
> Tennessee, but taht was relevent either.

but it not being your was and is

>
> > > I SAID:
> > >
> > > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
> >
> > which has what to with "Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card"
>
> Which has to do with someone imitating me on the air, bonehead.

so you are now accusing someone of impersaonating you

more juvi shit

> > > The "juvi" stuff is you trying to claim something that obviously
> > > isn't true.
> >
> > no it more of your juvi evasion

>
> You're the one doing the evading, Coward.

nope you are dancing around the issue

which is your claiming some glory for something you never did

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2416. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:38:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K8GdnXyl1PkFSnvfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 113725

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:F2wFe.9437$oZ.541@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Personally, I think they should dump tech.
>>
>> Either let them study and get general or forget it, hopefully the
>> newbie's will figure that out on their own...
>>
>
> We should have some entry level test that reasonably smart
> 8th graders can handle. "Get them when they're young..."

We already do.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2417. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:54:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122425663.956602.62070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> Danny there isn't any "Inner City" schools here, this is farm counrty
>
> Todd N9OGL

yeah taylorville and inner city yea right if you blink you miss the
downtown

2418. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 00:54:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <tXAFe.2758$j21.1139@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113727

"Ho" <hohoho@hohohofunnyal.net> wrote in message
news:dc6id6ior0@pita.alt.net...
> Democrats Suck!
>
>
>
>

Nope. You forgot Bill Clinton. Which end was he on? Nope, the dems don't suck; I shall only ask if you've found the weapons of mass destruction. Well ?????

BWAAAHAHAHAHAHA!

ZBM-2

Jim

2419. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:01:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122426112.944164.239320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113729

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Ho" <hohoho@hohohofunnyal.net> wrote in message
> news:dc6id6ior0@pita.alt.net...
> > Democrats Suck!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Nope. You forgot Bill Clinton. Which end was he on? Nope, the dems don't
> suck; I shall only ask if you've found the weapons of mass destruction.
> Well ?????

you should not be feeding the trolls

>
> BWAAAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
>
> ZBM-2
>
> Jim

2420. friendly advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:02:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122426124.444843.223050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113730

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Ho" <hohoho@hohohofunnyal.net> wrote in message
> news:dc6id6ior0@pita.alt.net...
> > Democrats Suck!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Nope. You forgot Bill Clinton. Which end was he on? Nope, the dems don't
> suck; I shall only ask if you've found the weapons of mass destruction.
> Well ?????

you should not be feeding the trolls

>
> BWAAAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
>
> ZBM-2
>
> Jim

2421. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:02:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122426159.127525.263080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113731

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122397591.749323.100780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > John Kasupski wrote:
> >
> > (an excellent fact-filled post which I have only a few minor issues
> > with)
> >
> > > a significant portion of the U.S.
> > > population does not live in urban areas, and in many suburban and
> > > rural areas, part or even all of the available emergency services are
> > > provided by volunteers. Often those volunteers are every bit as
> > > dedicated and well-trained as their professional counterparts. But
> > > perhaps the defining factor is the likely attitude of their potential
> > > customers...to wit, if you crash your car and the local EMS and fire
> > > department have to come extricate you and provide you with emergency
> > > medical care, what's more important to you - whether or not they
> > > provide quality care, or whether they're getting paid or not?
> >
> > Exactly. And I doubt that such volunteers would be called "hobbyists"
> > just because they're not paid..
> >
> > There's also the fact that while cell phone coverage continues to
> > improve,
> > there are plenty of places where it's not dependable. The last time I
> > was in the Finger Lakes region there were plenty of "no service" places
> > on
> > my cell phone, but far fewer places unreachable by repeater.
> >
> > > What's impressive isn't the certificates, but the knowledge they
> > > represent. Of course, it's only impressive if one is actually
> > > interested in knowledge, rather than glossing over such soncerns as
> > > the liability issues that go along with deploying untrained personnel
> > > to attempt to mitigate an icident.
> >
> > Agreed!
> > > Up here we don't do earthquakes. We do, however, do blizzards. My
> > > first experience in disaster communications was the Blizzard Of '77.
> > >
> > > http://www.wbuf.noaa.gov/bzpns.htm
> > > http://larc.hamgate.net/blizzard_of_1977.htm
> > >
> > > There was another similar event in 1981.
> >

> > I remember those...(my 2 land call is from my time in Wayne County NY)
> > >
> > > There was an ice storm a few years ago that left us with no
> > > electricity for a few days, though nothing like the one a few years
> > > before that, which left Lawrence County without a single utility pole
> > > left standing anywhere in the entire county.
> > >
> > > There was the power outage of August 2003.
> >
> > IIRC that affected a lot more than 10 million people.
> >
> > I'll close with this comment:
> >
> > Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same
> > and that all
> > localities are the same as what they've experienced.
> > IOW, if they didn't
> > see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role
> > in a particular
> > emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio
> > doesn't really play
> > such a role at all.
> >
> > Which just isn't so.
>
> Hello, Jim

Greetings from Wayne, PA
>
> Very true. That nasty ice storm in the Northeast
> sometime around 1997 had
> folks screaming for amateurs with hf rigs. No power
> nor communications for
> hundreds of miles.

In the dead of winter.

Good example of how different emergencies can be.

In the case of an earthquake, tornado or hurricane, the
actual event is usually fairly short-lived and the weather
after the event is often fairly benign. An ice storm or
a blizzard is a completely different story.

> No cb, vhf, or uhf rig is going to be of great use. You
> need 75, 60, or 40 meters for solid communications for hundreds > of miles
> (near the disaster; you don't need 20 to talk to Italy LOL).

Exactly.
>
> As you state, if someone doesn't see it in their
> immediate area, it must not

> exist. Something like folks thinking that despite gasoline
> reaching $2.40
> per gallon and heading up, since they can get gas at their
> local gas station, we don't have an oil problem.

Bingo!

> I think you hit the nail on the head.

btw, in the ice storm of 1997, HF Morse Code was used at times
because SSB simply could not get through the auroral flutter and
the low signal levels.

Many HF amateur stations were on emergency power and using makeshift
antennas then.

Does this mean Morse Code testing must continue? Of course not!
All it means is that Morse Code is another tool in the toolbox.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2422. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:03:57 UTC
From: Bobby Joe's Bro <bro@hiyabrobobbyjoe.com>
Message-ID: <dc6m9g$41s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113728

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:QjAFe.2340$cg.420@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Bobby Joe Good Buddy" <bj@cbchanmongud.net> wrote in message
> news:dc6c5gomv0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:vewFe.2327$cg.1996@news02.roc.ny...
>> >
>> >
>> > Believe me, one does *not* want to use a repeater without
>> > authorization.
>> > It
>> > won't be the FCC that catches you, it will be the repeater owner. They
>> > may
>> > report you to the FCC, but will probably file a suit against you. See
> ya
>> > in
>> > court ;)
>> >
>>
>>
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHA Yeah Right! Just amazing, the piles of pure
>> B.S. put out on Usenet daily.
>>
>>
>>
>
> You, sir, are absolutely right!
> ROTFLMAO
>
> ZBM-2,
> Jim AA2QA
>

Thank you. We aim to please.
ZAX-4

2423. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:21:09 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113732

an_old_friend wrote in part:

>
> you should not be feeding the trolls
>

Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY COMBATANT. Huzzah!

The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.

HTH 73 Corry K4DOH

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

2424. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:32:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122427943.723683.130730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113733

Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote in part:
>
> >
> > you should not be feeding the trolls
> >
>
> Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
> enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
> consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
> Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
> including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
> enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
> and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
> COMBATANT. Huzzah!

boy you need some help
>
> The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>
> HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>
>
> --
> It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

2425. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:33:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2wBFe.334$dT4.18784418@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113735

Politicians and lawyers are the true enemies... their cohorts, the judges are a burden also!

John

"Unclaimed Mysteries" <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in message news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote in part:
>
>>
>> you should not be feeding the trolls
>>
>
> Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
> enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
> consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
> Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
> including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
> enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
> and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
> COMBATANT. Huzzah!
>
> The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>
> HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>
>
> --
> It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

2426. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 01:54:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <hPBFe.2767$j21.2275@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113736

"Unclaimed Mysteries"
<theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in message
news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote in part:
>
> >
> > you should not be feeding the trolls
> >
>
> Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
> enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
> consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
> Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
> including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
> enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
> and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
> COMBATANT. Huzzah!
>
> The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>
> HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>
>
> --
> It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

Perhaps just like Hitler identified the enemies of Germany? Ummmmm ....
never stop thinking. Do not allow someone else to do you thinking for you.

Perhaps you think Rush Limbaugh is the proper source for news? Pravda was
the source of all news in the U.S.S.R.

Be very careful what you wish for; you might get it.

This is not to aid our enemies; it is only to warn you that some folks twist
facts to get you to go along with their agenda.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2427. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:01:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <OVBFe.2345$cg.698@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 113737

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122426159.127525.263080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1122397591.749323.100780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > John Kasupski wrote:
> > >
> > > (an excellent fact-filled post which I have only a few minor issues
> > > with)
> > >
> > > > a significant portion of the U.S.
> > > > population does not live in urban areas, and in many suburban and
> > > > rural areas, part or even all of the available emergency services
are
> > > > provided by volunteers. Often those volunteers are every bit as
> > > > dedicated and well-trained as their professional counterparts. But
> > > > perhaps the defining factor is the likely attitude of their
potential
> > > > customers...to wit, if you crash your car and the local EMS and fire
> > > > department have to come extricate you and provide you with emergency
> > > > medical care, what's more important to you - whether or not they
> > > > provide quality care, or whether they're getting paid or not?
> > >
> > > Exactly. And I doubt that such volunteers would be called "hobbyists"
> > > just because they're not paid..
> > >
> > > There's also the fact that while cell phone coverage continues to
> > > improve,
> > > there are plenty of places where it's not dependable. The last time I
> > > was in the Finger Lakes region there were plenty of "no service"
places
> > > on
> > > my cell phone, but far fewer places unreachable by repeater.
> > >
> > > > What's impressive isn't the certificates, but the knowledge they
> > > > represent. Of course, it's only impressive if one is actually
> > > > interested in knowledge, rather than glossing over such soncerns as
> > > > the liability issues that go along with deploying untrained
personnel
> > > > to attempt to mitigate an icident.
> > >
> > > Agreed!
> > > > Up here we don't do earthquakes. We do, however, do blizzards. My
> > > > first experience in disaster communications was the Blizzard Of '77.

> > > >
> > > > http://www.wbuf.noaa.gov/bzpns.htm
> > > > http://larc.hamgate.net/blizzard_of_1977.htm
> > > >
> > > > There was another similar event in 1981.
> > >
> > > I remember those...(my 2 land call is from my time in Wayne County NY)
> > > >
> > > > There was an ice storm a few years ago that left us with no
> > > > electricity for a few days, though nothing like the one a few years
> > > > before that, which left Lawrence County without a single utility
pole
> > > > left standing anywhere in the entire county.
> > > >
> > > > There was the power outage of August 2003.
> > >
> > > IIRC that affected a lot more than 10 million people.
> > >
> > > I'll close with this comment:
> > >
> > > Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same
> > > and that all
> > > localities are the same as what they've experienced.
> > > IOW, if they didn't
> > > see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role
> > > in a particular
> > > emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio
> > > doesn't really play
> > > such a role at all.
> > >
> > > Which just isn't so.
> >
> > Hello, Jim
>
> Greetings from Wayne, PA
> >
> > Very true. That nasty ice storm in the Northeast
> > sometime around 1997 had
> > folks screaming for amateurs with hf rigs. No power
> > nor communications for
> > hundreds of miles.
>
> In the dead of winter.
>
> Good example of how different emergencies can be.
>
> In the case of an earthquake, tornado or hurricane, the
> actual event is usually fairly short-lived and the weather
> after the event is often fairly benign. An ice storm or
> a blizzard is a completely different story.

>
> > No cb, vhf, or uhf rig is going to be of great use. You
> > need 75, 60, or 40 meters for solid communications for hundreds > of
miles
> > (near the disaster; you don't need 20 to talk to Italy LOL).
>
> Exactly.
> >
> > As you state, if someone doesn't see it in their
> > immediate area, it must not
> > exist. Something like folks thinking that despite gasoline
> > reaching $2.40
> > per gallon and heading up, since they can get gas at their
> > local gas station, we don't have an oil problem.
>
> Bingo!
>
> > I think you hit the nail on the head.
>
> btw, in the ice storm of 1997, HF Morse Code was used at times
> because SSB simply could not get through the auroral flutter and
> the low signal levels.
>
> Many HF amateur stations were on emergency power and using makeshift
> antennas then.
>
> Does this mean Morse Code testing must continue? Of course not!
> All it means is that Morse Code is another tool in the toolbox.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Thanks, Jim, for that input.

You are correct; it is another tool. For all the arguing that goes on, it isn't that bad an idea to have a cell phone, a ham radio, and even *gasp* a cb if you are travelling in sparsely populated areas. The more tools one has, the less likely one is going to get "stuck".

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2428. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:02:05 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122429725.069260.176900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113738

Dee Flint wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1122371730.011409.235210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> >> bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
> >>
> >> - No change to the written tests at all
> >>
> >> - No new entry-level license class
> >>
> >> - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> >> all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> >> not in name).
> >>
> >> - No "refarming" of subbands, nor expansion of license privileges
> >> except the above-mentioned Techs-get-Tech-Plus-privileges change
> >>
> >> And now the big one
> >>
> >> - "Entry-level-licensd" hams (for lack of a better term that includes
> >> Novices, Technicians and Tech Pluses) will still have
> >> very limited HF privileges. Ironically, they will have only
> >> Morse Code/CW on small segments of 80, 40 and 15 meters, plus
> >> SSB and Morse Code/CW on a somewhat bigger slice of 10 meters.
> >>
> >> No digital/data/image modes, no FM on HF at all - even though most of
> >> those "entry-level-licensed" hams have all privileges above 50 MHz. No
> >> access to five of the HF/MF bands at all.
> >>
> >> Seems FCC went for "minimum change".
> >>
> >> Is that the best we can do?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > Typical FCC bandaid fix that will leave in it's wake more problems than
> > the one it solves.
> >
>
> It doesn't create any new problems that I can see.

Take off your eye patches.

As they say in their
> discussion, anyone wanting expanded privileges will only have to take the
> appropriate written test.

See the discontinuity in exams vs priveleges that Jim/N2EY points out.

2429. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:03:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fYBFe.344$0Y4.18904218@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113739

UM:

My gawd man, you had me going there for a minute, I was about to start demanding an end to free speech--then I realized, they would come for me first!!!!

John

"Unclaimed Mysteries" <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in message news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> an_old_friend wrote in part:
>
>>
>> you should not be feeding the trolls
>>
>
> Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
> enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
> consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
> Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
> including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
> enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
> and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
> COMBATANT. Huzzah!
>
> The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>
> HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>
>
> --
> It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

2430. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:04:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <BYBFe.2768$j21.197@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113740

Hello, John

With a reliable daytime communications range of perhaps 5 miles in this city (up to 20 miles at 3 am in the morning when most folks are asleep), if you multiply reliable communications range by the number of folks, cb will fall short. Even shorter if you need a good reliable 100 or 200 mile range 24/7.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:LYvFe.254$Uc5.7180406@news.sisna.com...
> Naaa, CB has just absorbed amateur radio. Heck, what could we expect, they out number hams a few times over!
>
> John
>
> "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:9pvFe.1699$G71.510@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> > WHO CARES, Ham radio is dead CB is King
> >
> >

2431. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:08:19 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122430099.866702.42590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113741

K4YZ wrote:
> Glendale Psycho Jul 25, 5:20 pm
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "Glendale Psycho" <laven...@ladspresidente.net> - Find messages
> by this author
> Date: Mon, 25 Jul 2005 19:20:52 -0400
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 5:20 pm
>
> than K4YZ
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org Jul 25, 6:15 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: LenAnder...@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
> Date: 25 Jul 2005 17:15:12 -0700
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 6:15 pm
>
> ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)
>
>
> doo dah
> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
> an old friend Jul 25, 8:07 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> - Find messages by this author
>
> Date: 25 Jul 2005 19:07:38 -0700
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 8:07 pm
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > ...just about EVERYONE knows more than stebie! :-)
>
> > doo dah
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
> I was wondering how you would handle that
>
> well done
>
> Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
>
> An anonymous heckler and a known pathological liar/deviant coming

> to Lennie's "defense" ! ! !

I see no "coming to Len's "defense"" in Mark's comment.

> Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!

Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you won't.

2432. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:22:30 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122430950.953353.49380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113742

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "K4YZ" on Sun 24 Jul 2005 23:45
> > >
> > > > "...not surrendering your obligations to technology."
> > > > Geeee-susss! Think about what a dumbfork thing you wrote!
> > > > You sound like you've mounted a lecturn but forgot to
> > > > light your mental lantern. The light ain't come on yet.
> > >
> > > The light IS on, Lennie.
> > >
> > > I guess it was MY fault for assuming you'd take the whole context
> > > of the message and reply in kind, but then that would ruin your rant.
> > >
> > > One does NOT ensure that one's station is operating properly
> > > simply by virture of the digital display of the device in question.
> > >
> > > The FCC requires routine diagnostics of the station to ensure it's
> > > compliance.
> >
> > where in the rules does it make that requirement?
>
> You've GOT to be kidding...

I'd like to see the schedule that Riley has Steve's station undergoing
such "routine" diagnostics. Must be some kind of license modification
or compliance agreement for out of band operation.

> > > > Staying WITHIN the band edges >>IS<< "proper operation."
> > > > The ONLY way you CAN stay within (and be LEGAL) is to
> > > > USE TECHNOLOGY!
> > >
> > > Yep.
> > >
> > > With diagnostic devices EXTERNAL to the device in
> > > question...Signal generators, Spectrum Analyzers, etc etc etc.
> >
> > still don't know what tools you need even after being told
>
> Sure I do. And those do just fine.

Yup: "etc etc etc" finally got you to the right arena, if you have a
good imagination (and you do). Hi!

> > > > <giving up trying to explain radio to dumbfork stebie>
> > >
> > > NOT giving up pointing out how Lennie Anderson tries to misdirect
> > > and deceive on issues pertaining to Amateur Radio...
> >
> > then get your facts straight
>
> I do.
>
> It's you and Lennie who have some situation awareness issues to
> fix.

You appear to have issues with everything that Len may say.

> > > > LenMaybeIcanDazzleThemWithBSAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > > Putz.
> >
> > probeing another of lennies points for him
>
> I'll never be probing ANYthing of Lennie's, Markie!
>
> That's YOUR fetish!

You're the one who claims to wear the rubber glove.

2433. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:25:43 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122431143.589523.173660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113743

an_old_friend wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:

> > 97.103 and 97.307, among others, require specification tolerances
> > be maintained.
>
> BUZZ you still are not quoting any rule requiring such
>
> The operator is respaoniblie if the sation does not comply but nothing
> requiring any particular method of ensuring it

BUZZ indeed. Steve reads much into the rule, but does not read the
rule.

2434. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:26:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <DhCFe.350$nY4.18923666@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113744

Jim:

In my area, 250 watts is the norm on CB, probably about ~25% of all other stations are 400 watts and better. A lot of the truckers have kilowatt+ rigs in their trucks... there are a handful of 5-50 watt stations out there.

Omnidirectional antenna rule the airways, but there are beams, yagis, quads, and other CB specific directional antennas about (the scanner is one example, ancient antenna made by antenna specialists and lots still around.)

Freebanders and pirate stations abound.

It is possible to reach out 50+ miles here on the valley floor if the channels are quite with a 100 watt linear in your mobile (2-6 am in the morning) otherwise 10-30 miles is about it.

Channel 17 is the truckers chan though the central valley here, most of calif I think.

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:BYBFe.2768$j21.197@news01.roc.ny...
> Hello, John
>
> With a reliable daytime communications range of perhaps 5 miles in this city
> (up to 20 miles at 3 am in the morning when most folks are asleep), if you
> multiply reliable communications range by the number of folks, cb will fall
> short. Even shorter if you need a good reliable 100 or 200 mile range 24/7.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LYvFe.254$Uc5.7180406@news.sisna.com...
>> Naaa, CB has just absorbed amateur radio. Heck, what could we expect,
> they out number hams a few times over!
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:9pvFe.1699$G71.510@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>> > WHO CARES, Ham radio is dead CB is King
>> >

>> >
>
>

2435. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:38:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8ZqdnblaYfcXbnvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113745

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Unclaimed Mysteries"
> <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in message
> news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>an_old_friend wrote in part:
>>
>>
>>>you should not be feeding the trolls
>>>
>>
>>Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
>>enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
>>consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
>>Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
>>including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
>>enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
>>and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
>>COMBATANT. Huzzah!
>>
>>The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>>
>>HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>>
>>
>>--
>>It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
>>http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
>
>
>
> Perhaps just like Hitler identified the enemies of Germany? Ummmmm ....
> never stop thinking. Do not allow someone else to do you thinking for you.
>
> Perhaps you think Rush Limbaugh is the proper source for news? Pravda was
> the source of all news in the U.S.S.R.
>
> Be very careful what you wish for; you might get it.
>
> This is not to aid our enemies; it is only to warn you that some folks twist
> facts to get you to go along with their agenda.

"Unclaimed" might be able to better elaborate on this Jim, but I think
his message was a finely done bit of sarcasm that you and Mark fell for.

And if it wasn't - it should have been! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2436. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:42:04 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <0wCFe.2770$j21.285@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113746

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8ZqdnblaYfcXbnvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "Unclaimed Mysteries"
> > <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in
message
> > news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote in part:
> >>
> >>
> >>>you should not be feeding the trolls
> >>>
> >>
> >>Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
> >>enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
> >>consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
> >>Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
> >>including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
> >>enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
> >>and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
> >>COMBATANT. Huzzah!
> >>
> >>The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
> >>
> >>HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
> >>
> >>
> >>--
> >>It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> >>http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
> >
> >
> >
> > Perhaps just like Hitler identified the enemies of Germany? Ummmmm ....
> > never stop thinking. Do not allow someone else to do you thinking for
you.
> >
> > Perhaps you think Rush Limbaugh is the proper source for news? Pravda
was
> > the source of all news in the U.S.S.R.
> >
> > Be very careful what you wish for; you might get it.
> >

> > This is not to aid our enemies; it is only to warn you that some folks twist
> > facts to get you to go along with their agenda.
>
> "Unclaimed" might be able to better elaborate on this Jim, but I think
> his message was a finely done bit of sarcasm that you and Mark fell for.
>
> And if it wasn't - it should have been! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Could be, Mike

I'm limiting myself to 3 beers per night.

I'm on my 3rd quarter keg, so don't expect much thought process from me this evening LOL

73 and have a tall cool 807,
Jim AA2QA

2437. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:46:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2I6dnfuVk5bqaHvfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113747

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>Thought so.
>>
>>Well, we know that he once had a cb set. And was once co-owner of
>>some sort of land-mobile VHF system.
>
>
> My MOTHER had her own CB mobile rig and was also part owner of VHF/FM
> biz band xcvrs and she knew how to wire 'em and operate 'em.
>
> When she she was seventy-something.

Excellent!

>
>>>>>codeless wonders gather 'round in amazement.
>>
>>Been there. Done that.
>>
>>Remember the year the one CW station made more points than
>>the three 'phone stations put together? Oh wait - that was
>>every year we operated...
>
>
> Then you Noel and I decided we were tired of doing all the work again
> and didn't go back the following year. The year 50 of their ops ran up
> a grand total of around 150 contacts for the entire 24 hour event.
> BWAAAHAHA!

Man -you guys are hangin with the wrong crowd!

>>All talk - no action. That's ol' Len.
>
>
> "The Global Example"
>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

> w3rv
>

2438. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:51:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <WECFe.2772$j21.1613@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 113748

John,

Sorry if I'm not impressed; 75 and 40 meters are good for hundreds of miles on low power; thousands of miles at night. I ran 25 watts on 160 meters and was good to cover the United States at night.

Power is not everything. The ability to change frequencies (I'm talking decades, not channels) will determine what distances you can send and receive well to. I remember well breaking into a group on 75 meters in the Carolinas. I was running well under 1 watt. Way *way* under 1 watt.

160 meters did me quite well even in the daytime. Check in to ground wave. Not what you think of ground wave (which is space wave); true ground wave. At that frequency, if you have it vertically polarized, the wave will react to the curvature of the earth as a knife edge. I could pound into Washington, D.C. in the afternoon. With all of 65 watts.

My handheld reaches into Canada 24/7. On 440 MHz. Of course, I have to rely on a decent Canadian repeater for that, but the fact is that I hit a Canadian repeater directly from the handheld with no Internet involved.

On hf, the proper choice (I'll leave 160 out of this as the antennas are quite unwieldy) between 80 and 40 will allow you several hundred miles 24/7. With a modest amount of power.

So I remain unimpressed with power alone. I buzzed right through a kilowatt station years ago running only 75 watts. It isn't the size of the ship; it's the motion through the ocean :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:DhCFe.350$nY4.18923666@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> In my area, 250 watts is the norm on CB, probably about ~25% of all other stations are 400 watts and better. A lot of the truckers have kilowatt+ rigs in their trucks... there are a handful of 5-50 watt stations out there.
>
> Omnidirectional antenna rule the airways, but there are beams, yagis, quads, and other CB specific directional antennas about (the scanner is one example, ancient antenna made by antenna specialists and lots still around.)
>
> Freebanders and pirate stations abound.
>
> It is possible to reach out 50+ miles here on the valley floor if the

channels are quite with a 100 watt linear in your mobile (2-6 am in the morning) otherwise 10-30 miles is about it.
>
> Channel 17 is the truckers chan though the central valley here, most of calif I think.
>
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:BYBFe.2768$j21.197@news01.roc.ny...
> > Hello, John
> >
> > With a reliable daytime communications range of perhaps 5 miles in this city
> > (up to 20 miles at 3 am in the morning when most folks are asleep), if you
> > multiply reliable communications range by the number of folks, cb will fall
> > short. Even shorter if you need a good reliable 100 or 200 mile range 24/7.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:LYvFe.254$Uc5.7180406@news.sisna.com...
> >> Naaa, CB has just absorbed amateur radio. Heck, what could we expect,
> > they out number hams a few times over!
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >> "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:9pvFe.1699$G71.510@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> >> > WHO CARES, Ham radio is dead CB is King
> >> >
> >> >
> >
> >

2439. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 02:57:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cKWdnbAJPbKRZXvfRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113749

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:8ZqdnblaYfcXbnvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
>
>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>>>"Unclaimed Mysteries"
>>><theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in
>
> message
>
>>>news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote in part:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>you should not be feeding the trolls
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
>>>>enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
>>>>consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
>>>>Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
>>>>including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
>>>>enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
>>>>and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
>>>>COMBATANT. Huzzah!
>>>>
>>>>The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>>>>
>>>>HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>--
>>>>It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
>>>>http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Perhaps just like Hitler identified the enemies of Germany? Ummmmm ....
>>>never stop thinking. Do not allow someone else to do you thinking for
>

> you.
>
>>>Perhaps you think Rush Limbaugh is the proper source for news? Pravda
>
> was
>
>>>the source of all news in the U.S.S.R.
>>>
>>>Be very careful what you wish for; you might get it.
>>>
>>>This is not to aid our enemies; it is only to warn you that some folks
>
> twist
>
>>>facts to get you to go along with their agenda.
>>
>>"Unclaimed" might be able to better elaborate on this Jim, but I think
>>his message was a finely done bit of sarcasm that you and Mark fell for.
>>
>>And if it wasn't - it should have been! 8^)
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> Could be, Mike
>
> I'm limiting myself to 3 beers per night.
>
> I'm on my 3rd quarter keg, so don't expect much thought process from me this
> evening LOL
>
> 73 and have a tall cool 807,

Tonight I'm having a Diet Dr Pepper, with a shot of Rebel Yell bourbon
in it.

I think I've discovered the secret recipe for Jaegermeister.............

- Mike KB3EIA -

2440. Re: New title/license for the "new breed" of ham...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:02:31 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <bPCFe.5453$6f.5369@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113800

>
> I shan't get into the fact that other bands can yield far better results
> depending upon distance, time of day, sunspot cycle, etc.
>

There maybe some "serious" radio hobbyists currently "freebanding"
that we might get to "repent" and give up freebanding and get
ham licenses. Of course others would be clowns we'd never
want....

2441. Re: NPRM - "Pro" Comments for Dropping Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:08:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122433696.304909.277130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113801

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: b.b. on Jul 25, 2:38 pm
>
> >k...@dvol.com wrote:
> >> b.b. wrote:
> >> > k...@dvol.com wrote:
>
> >> No actually I'm not certain. At one point I jumped out of the seat to
> >> do a pit stop and asked one of 'em to fill in for me while was gone. >> In
> >> his case the look on his face was either fear or embarrassment, I
> >> couldn't tell which . . .
> >>
> >Figured you to have been outfitted with a catheter for such an
> >important event.
>
> How could he talk with that tube in his mouth? :-)

he doesn't need to, he just works his keyer after all CW always gets
through wether anyone understand it or not
>
>
> hee haw
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2442. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:09:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122433753.820396.119700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113802

John Smith wrote:
> Jim:
>
> In my area, 250 watts is the norm on CB, probably about ~25% of all other stations are 400 watts and better. A lot of the truckers have kilowatt+ rigs in their trucks... there are a handful of 5-50 watt stations out there.

gee making feel odd am I the only fellow that run a CB at 4 watt am 12
ssb level allowed by rule?

>
> Omnidirectional antenna rule the airways, but there are beams, yagis, quads, and other CB specific directional antennas about (the scanner is one example, ancient antenna made by antenna specialists and lots still around.)
>
> Freebanders and pirate stations abound.
>
> It is possible to reach out 50+ miles here on the valley floor if the channels are quite with a 100 watt linear in your mobile (2-6 am in the morning) otherwise 10-30 miles is about it.
>
> Channel 17 is the truckers chan though the central valley here, most of calif I think.
>
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:BYBFe.2768$j21.197@news01.roc.ny...
> > Hello, John
> >
> > With a reliable daytime communications range of perhaps 5 miles in this city
> > (up to 20 miles at 3 am in the morning when most folks are asleep), if you
> > multiply reliable communications range by the number of folks, cb will fall
> > short. Even shorter if you need a good reliable 100 or 200 mile range 24/7.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:LYvFe.254$Uc5.7180406@news.sisna.com...
> >> Naaa, CB has just absorbed amateur radio. Heck, what could we expect,
> > they out number hams a few times over!
> >>

> >> John
> >>
> >> "Bruce" <wa8ulx@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:9pvFe.1699$G71.510@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
> >> > WHO CARES, Ham radio is dead CB is King
> >> >
> >> >
> > >
> > >

2443. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:11:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <X4qdnUpqY-nbZnvfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113803

Jim Hampton wrote:
> John,
>
> Sorry if I'm not impressed; 75 and 40 meters are good for hundreds of miles
> on low power; thousands of miles at night. I ran 25 watts on 160 meters and
> was good to cover the United States at night.
>
> Power is not everything. The ability to change frequencies (I'm talking
> decades, not channels) will determine what distances you can send and
> receive well to. I remember well breaking into a group on 75 meters in the
> Carolinas. I was running well under 1 watt. Way *way* under 1 watt.

In a contest a couple years ago, I discovered that I was working a lot of stations on the west coast at qrp level. I had tuned my antenna at low power, and forgotten to increase the power level. With my plain old vanilla 96 foot dipole at 50 feet. If I could hear 'em, I could work them. Funny - if you go to some of the antenna gurus, they'll let you know what a horrible antenna that is - good only for warming clouds...

> 160 meters did me quite well even in the daytime. Check in to ground wave.
> Not what you think of ground wave (which is space wave); true ground wave.
> At that frequency, if you have it vertically polarized, the wave will react
> to the curvature of the earth as a knife edge. I could pound into
> Washington, D.C. in the afternoon. With all of 65 watts.
>
> My handheld reaches into Canada 24/7. On 440 MHz. Of course, I have to
> rely on a decent Canadian repeater for that, but the fact is that I hit a
> Canadian repeater directly from the handheld with no Internet involved.
>
> On hf, the proper choice (I'll leave 160 out of this as the antennas are
> quite unwieldy) between 80 and 40 will allow you several hundred miles 24/7.
> With a modest amount of power.

Amazing what can happen on 40 meters with a relatively simple antenna and a few watts. During the NEQP, some of the Hams up there have accused me of melting their coax! 8^)

> So I remain unimpressed with power alone. I buzzed right through a kilowatt
> station years ago running only 75 watts. It isn't the size of the ship;
> it's the motion through the ocean :))

Sometimes I think a little propagation education might be in order for some folk. I still remember the fellow who was going to have a bulletin on 10 meters, then 20 meters. For American coverage, those are two of the *least* likely HF bands I would choose.

Not that I would choose to broadcast on our bands.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

2444. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:40:32 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <QmDFe.9767$oZ.2104@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113804

an_old_friend wrote in part:

> boy you need some help
>

I tried to work in as many buzzwords as I could. If you could help me
with some more, I'd think that's great! OK THANKS BYE

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

Of course I went to law school. - Warren Zevon, "Mr. Bad Example"

2445. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:49:56 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <EvDFe.5484$6f.3025@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113805

Jim Hampton wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:8ZqdnblaYfcXbnvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
>
>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>>>"Unclaimed Mysteries"
>>><theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in
>
> message
>
>>>news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote in part:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>you should not be feeding the trolls
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
>>>>enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
>>>>consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
>>>>Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious actions
>>>>including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
>>>>enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
>>>>and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
>>>>COMBATANT. Huzzah!
>>>>
>>>>The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>>>>
>>>>HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>--
>>>>It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
>>>>http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Perhaps just like Hitler identified the enemies of Germany? Ummmmm ....
>>>never stop thinking. Do not allow someone else to do you thinking for

>
> you.
>
>>>Perhaps you think Rush Limbaugh is the proper source for news? Pravda
>
> was
>
>>>the source of all news in the U.S.S.R.
>>>
>>>Be very careful what you wish for; you might get it.
>>>
>>>This is not to aid our enemies; it is only to warn you that some folks
>
> twist
>
>>>facts to get you to go along with their agenda.
>>
>>"Unclaimed" might be able to better elaborate on this Jim, but I think
>>his message was a finely done bit of sarcasm that you and Mark fell for.
>>
>>And if it wasn't - it should have been! 8^)
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> Could be, Mike
>
> I'm limiting myself to 3 beers per night.
>
> I'm on my 3rd quarter keg, so don't expect much thought process from me this
> evening LOL
>
> 73 and have a tall cool 807,
> Jim AA2QA

Don't feel bad; I'm very good at what I do. This will earn me an
exemption on the Pro USENET Trolling circuit next year. My Tour Card was
due to expire in August and I was a little desperate.

Another reason not to worry is that even the best trolling is barely
able to keep pace with some people's sincerely held beliefs.

73 Corry K4DOH

It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

Of course I went to law school. - Warren Zevon, "Mr. Bad Example"

2446. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:51:24 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <0xDFe.5485$6f.1546@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113806

Mike Coslo wrote in part:

> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
> Jaegermeister.............

You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

T. Boozer wrote in rolltidefan.net: "That dude that took the picture,
Corry Smith, is a bigtime aubie. Notice he named the pic
'BRIANDENNEHYstadium' Screw him!"

2447. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 03:53:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9cCdnSZC45SmmHrfRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113807

```
Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:8ZqdnblaYfcXbnvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
>>
>>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>
>>>> "Unclaimed Mysteries"
>>>> <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in
>>
>>
>> message
>>
>>>> news:9kBFe.9585$oZ.175@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> an_old_friend wrote in part:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> you should not be feeding the trolls
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Troll? He is not a troll. He is righteously identifying Democrats as
>>>>> enemies of America. Everyone knows that, or should. As the Party
>>>>> consolidates its divinely-derived power, you and other traitorous
>>>>> Constitution-huggers will be called to answer for your seditious
>>>>> actions
>>>>> including so-called "free speech" that serve only to EMBOLDEN our
>>>>> enemies, which are growing in number daily. I charge you with treason
>>>>> and call for you to be GITMOIZED without trial, as befits the ENEMY
>>>>> COMBATANT. Huzzah!
>>>>>
>>>>> The terrorists hate us for our freedom you know.
>>>>>
>>>>> HTH 73 Corry K4DOH
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
>>>>> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
>>>>
>>>>
```

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Perhaps just like Hitler identified the enemies of Germany? Ummmmm
>>>> ....
>>>> never stop thinking. Do not allow someone else to do you thinking for
>>
>>
>> you.
>>
>>>> Perhaps you think Rush Limbaugh is the proper source for news? Pravda
>>
>>
>> was
>>
>>>> the source of all news in the U.S.S.R.
>>>>
>>>> Be very careful what you wish for; you might get it.
>>>>
>>>> This is not to aid our enemies; it is only to warn you that some folks
>>
>>
>> twist
>>
>>>> facts to get you to go along with their agenda.
>>>
>>>
>>> "Unclaimed" might be able to better elaborate on this Jim, but I think
>>> his message was a finely done bit of sarcasm that you and Mark fell for.
>>>
>>> And if it wasn't - it should have been! 8^)
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Could be, Mike
>>
>> I'm limiting myself to 3 beers per night.
>>
>> I'm on my 3rd quarter keg, so don't expect much thought process from
>> me this
>> evening LOL
>>
>> 73 and have a tall cool 807,
>> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> Don't feel bad; I'm very good at what I do. This will earn me an
> exemption on the Pro USENET Trolling circuit next year. My Tour Card was

> due to expire in August and I was a little desperate.
>
> Another reason not to worry is that even the best trolling is barely
> able to keep pace with some people's sincerely held beliefs.
>
> 73 Corry K4DOH
>
> It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
>
> Of course I went to law school. - Warren Zevon, "Mr. Bad Example"

Send lawyers guns and money......

Warren could be the next apostle.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2448. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 04:01:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uGDFe.372$KP6.19227723@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113808

UM:

They have grown suspicious of me at the office, going though bottles of nyquil and spilling it all over the zip disks and cdroms...

I think they are on to me and that it isn't possible for a person to have the same head cold for a decade :(

I really blew it when I ran short on the nyquil and refilled the bottle with JD (jack daniels.)

John

"Unclaimed Mysteries" <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in message news:0xDFe.5485$6f.1546@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Mike Coslo wrote in part:
>
>
>> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
>> Jaegermeister.............
>
> You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?
>
> --
> It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
>
> T. Boozer wrote in rolltidefan.net: "That dude that took the picture,
> Corry Smith, is a bigtime aubie. Notice he named the pic
> 'BRIANDENNEHYstadium' Screw him!"

2449. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 04:41:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HfEFe.5702$Uk3.356@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113809

an_old_friend wrote:

> I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there

Few what?

Dave K8MN

2450. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 04:42:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TgEFe.5706$Uk3.1926@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113810

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>robert:
>>
>>Frankly, what about amateur radio do you see as being so difficult. I keep seeing this same idea reflected in others text--I thought them only joking?
>
>
> If you belive nothing else I ever write John Believe this some hams are
> very serious about thinking the test should be some kind of ordel

I'd think that would be something to appeal to a masochist like you, Mark.

Dave K8MN

2451. Re: Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 07:06:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122447992.413666.123340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113811

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > I SAID:
> > > >
> > > > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
> > >
> > > which has what to with "Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card"
> >
> > Which has to do with someone imitating me on the air, bonehead.
>
> so you are now accusing someone of impersaonating you
>
> more juvi ####

The "juvi ####" is your language.

And how do you suggest that I wound up getting a QSL card for a
station I personally didn't work, Mark? Either someone impersonated
K4YZ, asI suggested, or someone at the Navy station miscopied a
callsign, again as I suggested.

> > > > The "juvi" stuff is you trying to claim something that obviously
> > > > isn't true.
> > >
> > > no it more of your juvi evasion
> >
> > You're the one doing the evading, Coward.
>
> nope you are dancing around the issue
>
> which is your claiming some glory for something you never did

What "glory", Markie...

Show ANY of us in CONVINCING language that any "glory" was
claimed.

Steve, K4YZ

2452. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 07:10:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122448222.978513.14340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113812

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > where in the rules does it make that requirement?
> > > > >
> > > > > You've GOT to be kidding...
> > > >
> > > not at all been asking that for months you never answer
> > >
> > Glad to prove you wrong again, Markie.
> >
> not at all

> > 97.103 and 97.307, among others, require specification tolerances
> > be maintained.
> >
> BUZZ you still are not quoting any rule requiring such

Sure it was.

Ask Phil Kane.

> The operator is respaoniblie if the sation does not comply but nothing
> requiring any particular method of ensuring it

No "particular method". But requires it all the same.
>
> same ole #### steve

Nope. You asked. I provided. You responded with profanity.

THAT is the "S.O.S", Markie.

> > There are others.
> >
> then cite one of them finaly

So, you want ME to "carry your freight", eh...?!?!

Rest deleted. Beyond Markie's IQ.

Steve, K4YZ

2453. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 07:12:05 UTC
From: matt wilson <mwilson2@nospam.bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <9tGFe.64059$oJ.50694@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 113813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Isn't pink noise the sound a Gay Pride parade makes?

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:o-idnW9pIcGOHFTfRVn-pg@crocker.com...
it's already out there. google for 'black noise' and not only do you find
some rap group but also a six-sigma site that uses the term for a non-random
cause of variation. there is also well known 'pink noise' that is often
used in audio testing. and of course there is the generic 'colored noise'.
this site lists not only white and pink but also brown and blue:
http://www.ee.columbia.edu/~dpwe/noise/

"Art Bell" <artbell@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8vaqgcxeinmwvvw.040720051051@kirk...
>i fear we may soon realize "black noise."
>
> that is bad as the terms: "a heart as black as sin", "it was the blackest
> day of my life", "his black deeds were indicative of his nature", all
> demonstrate
>
> black is bad
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Kveye.3641$8f7.2882@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> The following news is noted in the GEARVAKf (the "f" is silent) Bulletin.
>>
>> "White Noise" Opposed By EEOC
>> ----------------------------
>>
>> Use of the term "white noise" to describe the production of signals
>> over a
>> wide range of frequencies by the cosmos, atmospheric electrical
>> disturbances,
>> and radio circuitry, has been condemned by the Equal Electrical
>> Opportunity
>> Commission (EEOC) as discriminatory.
>>
>> EEOC spokesperson, Bruce Gweemser, said use of the term is considered
>> derogatory because it attributes either negative or positive
>> characteristics
>> of such signals to a particular color. "If the connotation is negative,"
>> he
>> explained, "then Americans of the light-skinned persuasion may be
>> offended.
>> On the other hand, if the connotation is positive, Americans of other

>> darker
>> varieties of skin shading may take offense."
>>
>> Gweemser said that the EEOC strongly recommends the abandonment of the
>> term
>> "white noise" and replacement with another, more descriptive one.
>> "Perhaps
>> 'electrical-American noise' might be more appropriate," Gweemser
>> suggested.
>>
>> -- W8LCZ, et al
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2454. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 08:37:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122453423.435796.145370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113814

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
> >
> > An anonymous heckler and a known pathological liar/deviant coming
> > to Lennie's "defense" ! ! !
>
> I see no "coming to Len's "defense"" in Mark's comment.

I didn't think you would,

> > Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
>
> Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> won't.

Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.

You're a busted liar. You''ve been caught lying so many times or
making absolutley assinine assertions that you wouldn't even begin to
TRY and substantiate which only further strengthens MY assertions about
your lack of character and untrustworthiness.

In short: I'm not the least bit concerned about YOUR estimations
of how much dignity you perceive I extend or would be due.

As for "a kind word"...Whelp, I've had plenty of them, even in
recent days, so I am hardly worried that I might wilt away from the
lack of affection of my RRAP "peers"...ESPECIALLY you, Lennie, Toiddie
or Markie...

Steve, K4YZ

2455. KB9RQZ Lying So Prolifically He Can't Keep His Own Stories Straight..But Then He's Not Straight Either!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 08:44:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122453852.572898.101680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113815

an_old_friend wrote:

> BTw not saying Stevie is gay but just turning his back on him

Please DO turn your back, Markie.

I've seen your face, so the other side can only be an improvement.

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> cut
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > > > >
> > > > > was complete is complete
> > > >
> > > No, it wasn't.
> > >
> > yes it was
> >
> No, it wasn't.
>
> was and remains a complete sentence

No, it wasn't.

> you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> calling you on something
>
> Bull####

"juvi" comment, Markie.

And not true.

> you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> thing i can't even tell
>
> Bull####

I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to

another, etc etc.

> cuting unrelated ####

You're cutting your own throat?

About time!

> > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > >
> > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > >
> > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > In the very next post.
>
> not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> called on it twice

Uh huh...suuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuure. Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> more stve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words

More Markie lying to avoid being made to look more foolish than he
already is...

Ooooooooooooooooooops!

Too late!

Steve, K4YZ

2456. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 08:50:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122454253.303805.84510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113816

an_old_friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > robert:
> >
> > Frankly, what about amateur radio do you see as being so difficult. I keep seeing this same idea reflected in others text--I thought them only joking?
>
> If you belive nothing else I ever write John Believe this some hams are
> very serious about thinking the test should be some kind of ordel

Other than your name and that you are a documented deviate/liar,
what's there to believe about anything YOU say...?!?!?

No one wants to make the tests an "ORDEAL", Mark...

Some of us DO want the tests to (1) be a valid demonstration of
the applicant's comprehension of the required knowledge, and (2)
fulfill the caveat of the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.

Anything else is "Drama Queen" rantings. No more...no less...

Steve, K4YZ

2457. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 10:12:15 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122459135.132435.23180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113817

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > An anonymous heckler and a known pathological liar/deviant coming
> > > to Lennie's "defense" ! ! !
> >
> > I see no "coming to Len's "defense"" in Mark's comment.
>
> I didn't think you would,

and I don't.

> > > Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
> >
> > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > won't.
>
> Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.

No lies concerning my acheivements, and I do have opinions about
amateur radio issues. You like none of it and so launch your personal
attacks. No kind words for you. Too bad.

2458. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 10:31:51 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122460311.952252.55480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113818

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> I'll close with this comment:
>
> Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same and that all
> localities are the same as what they've experienced. IOW, if they
> didn't
> see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role in a particular
> emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio doesn't really play
> such a role at all.
>
> Which just isn't so.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Or if they saw Amateur Radio playing a role in an emergency in *their*
community, then Amateur Radio plays such a role in all communities.

2459. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 11:50:49 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <tyKFe.2268$PC7.1042@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 113819

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:WECFe.2772$j21.1613@news01.roc.ny...
> John,
>
> Sorry if I'm not impressed; 75 and 40 meters are good for hundreds of
miles
> on low power; thousands of miles at night. I ran 25 watts on 160 meters
and
> was good to cover the United States at night.
>
> Power is not everything. The ability to change frequencies (I'm talking
> decades, not channels) will determine what distances you can send and
> receive well to. I remember well breaking into a group on 75 meters in
the
> Carolinas. I was running well under 1 watt. Way *way* under 1 watt.
>
> 160 meters did me quite well even in the daytime. Check in to ground
wave.
> Not what you think of ground wave (which is space wave); true ground wave.
> At that frequency, if you have it vertically polarized, the wave will
react
> to the curvature of the earth as a knife edge. I could pound into
> Washington, D.C. in the afternoon. With all of 65 watts.
>
> My handheld reaches into Canada 24/7. On 440 MHz. Of course, I have to
> rely on a decent Canadian repeater for that, but the fact is that I hit a
> Canadian repeater directly from the handheld with no Internet involved.
>
> On hf, the proper choice (I'll leave 160 out of this as the antennas are
> quite unwieldy) between 80 and 40 will allow you several hundred miles
24/7.
> With a modest amount of power.
>
> So I remain unimpressed with power alone. I buzzed right through a
kilowatt
> station years ago running only 75 watts. It isn't the size of the ship;
> it's the motion through the ocean :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

heh heh, Jim...I think you're probably above this guy's ability to, well, understand :o

Kim W5TIT

2460. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 12:19:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122466758.215181.121090@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113820

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > An anonymous heckler and a known pathological liar/deviant coming
> > > > to Lennie's "defense" ! ! !
> > >
> > > I see no "coming to Len's "defense"" in Mark's comment.
> >
> > I didn't think you would,
>
> and I don't.

Then we're both satisfied!

Lucky day!

> > > > Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
> > >
> > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > won't.
> >
> > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
>
> No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)

Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...

> (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.

None of those substantiated by reality either.

> You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.

I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.

Sorry.

> No kind words for you. Too bad.

Plenty of kind words for me...that none of them come from The Foulmouthed Foursome is of little disappointment to me!

Have a nice day,

Steve, K4YZ

2461. Still Waiting, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 12:23:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122466998.974693.12550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113821

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 25 Jul 2005 14:11:04 -0700
Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 3:11 pm
Subject: Markie Accuses Others Of Libel But Won't Answer Questions
About His Own Libel

an old friend wrote:
> nothing but libel and lies form steve

You've yet to show where one line of libel has been written,
Markie...

Not one.

> and all of stevie truth is left above

While we're talking about "truth", Markie, where's the answers to
these questions?

QUOTE

Ten days ago you were insisting that I was "on the public dole" for
disability, and now you come up with this "you are poor" silliness?

UNQUOTE

So...where'd it come from, Markie, if not your imagination?

These weren't "transposed letters" on a keyboard.

Where'd you get such ideas?

Steve, K4YZ

2462. Where's The Answers, Markie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 12:34:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122467684.860862.297010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113822

K4YZ wrote:
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> Date: 25 Jul 2005 14:11:04 -0700
> Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 3:11 pm
> Subject: Markie Accuses Others Of Libel But Won't Answer Questions
> About His Own Libel
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > nothing but libel and lies form steve
>
> You've yet to show where one line of libel has been written,
> Markie...
>
> Not one.
>
> > and all of stevie truth is left above
>
> While we're talking about "truth", Markie, where's the answers to
> these questions?
>
> QUOTE
>
> Ten days ago you were insisting that I was "on the public dole" for
> disability, and now you come up with this "you are poor" silliness?
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> So...where'd it come from, Markie, if not your imagination?
>
> These weren't "transposed letters" on a keyboard.
>
> Where'd you get such ideas?

Here's that this all came from, Markie:

K4YZ Jul 11, 8:00 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 11 Jul 2005 07:00:53 -0700
Local: Mon,Jul 11 2005 8:00 am
Subject: Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He
Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > Lot's of snips to clip out most of Mark's sandlot one word
> > responses to lengthy reposts.
> > > > I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
> > > > father yourself?
> > > My father has read your words
> > I doubt it.
> I am sure you do, OTOH that also has nothing to do with the turth

What's a "turth"..?!?! Some pagan worship idol?

> > > get over your obsession with me
> >
> > You flatter yourself, Markie.

> You answer every word I post that is obsession

You don't pay attention. That's stupid.

> and it is not flattery

It's flattery when you think that ANYONE needs to "earn" respect
with you.

You're an admitted liar and sexual pervert. No one need prove
anything to you.

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> > > > Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
> > > > is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
> > > > become too easy for you to be weak.

> > > Stevie I am not the one taking money of the public dole and go off
> > > pretending how macho i am
> >
> > "Public dole"..?!?!
>
> Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
> it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
> >
> > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
>
> You deny being on disability?

Absolutely.

Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.

I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I

might add.

UNQUOTE

So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me of taking things that I haven't taken.

First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability" allegedging some impropriety.

Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.

Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the contrary...???

Steve, K4YZ

2463. Re: Does ham radio license include GMRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 14:13:17 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@cudbeneall.net>
Message-ID: <dc84hk$80q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 113823

There was an earlier discussion here about how several places in the U.S. now have cross-linked amateur radio, GMRS, business, and even CB repeaters. I have monitored a few of these set ups in larger cities. Even heard one cross-linked ham-GMRS repeater out in the boonies of Texas, think they were cattle ranchers.

73,

Lloyd

2464. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 14:40:02 UTC
From: HamBo <radio@station.cw>
Message-ID: <42e79c81$0$91664$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 113824

"Ho" <hohoho@hohohofunnyal.net> wrote in news:dc6id6ior0@pita.alt.net:

> Democrats Suck!
>
>
>
>
>

Common knowledge.

2465. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 15:56:47 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <P7OFe.23969$sJ4.9098@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 113825

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1122460311.952252.55480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> I'll close with this comment:
>>
>> Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same and that all
>> localities are the same as what they've experienced. IOW, if they
>> didn't
>> see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role in a particular
>> emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio doesn't really play
>> such a role at all.
>>
>> Which just isn't so.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Or if they saw Amateur Radio playing a role in an emergency in *their*
> community, then Amateur Radio plays such a role in all communities.

But I can prove via various sources that Amateur Radio plays a role in MY
community, that of New York, Missouri, Florida, Georgia, Virginia,, S.
Carolina, Maryland--and EVERY state in the union and has done so since the
beginning. Just because *YOU* say it doesn't make it so.

Like I said, when it comes to official emergency communications sanctioned
by Federal, State, and local authorities, SHOW me documented evidence that
CB EVER gets invited to the party!! ("TAN FER THAR, WE IS A-STANDIN' BY THAR
ON 27.555 TO PASS THAT 'AIR EEEEEEE-MER-GEN-CEE TRAFFIC THAR, MERCY SAKES,
GIT BACK, AH KNOW WHUTCHA MEAN THAR---SQEEEEEEEEEEEEEK:!!)

LMAO!!!!!

j
>

2466. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 15:59:10 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <JOZWO7W338560.4994212963@anonymous>
Source record: 113826

In article
<1122423909.559340.258380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Ralph wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1122410240.720736.42530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > looks like a good to firm up people estimates on the end of
testing in
> > the US
> >
> > My date R&O published round new years making the date efect
like the
> > same as the last one apr 1 as I recall
> >
> > so apr 1 2006
> > /
> >
> > Which country do you hail from, anyway?
>
> I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago
over there

Are you sure it wasn't Poland?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

2467. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 16:14:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <CpOFe.45$kD1.439368@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113827

Kim:

I know you have been offended, and now you wish to attack, belittle, defame and outrage the object of your dislike and/or hatred (me!)

However, be careful, in your attacks you may end up disclosing far more about your own personality, your mind and your caliber than you would wish to disclose.

You do not need all the others to band with you in your attack of me, indeed, it is counter productive to your goals. Stand on your own two feed, Dee has set an example of a strong/intelligent woman able to defend herself, stand others back from her "space" and win the respect which she rightly deserves--you would be well advised to study the high standards and excellent example(s) she sets.

There is nothing real to fear here, we are all ladies and gentlemen (well, mostly.)

If you have noticed that I, "March to the beat of another drummer." You are correct. If you call me a, "Non-conformer", you are again correct. If you call me a "free thinker" you are again correct. If you point out there may be few who have my personality traits, I suspect you may indeed be correct.

If you expect those to be reasons which would make me "want to be like everyone else" you are mistaken.

In short, "I do not shame into 'my place' easily." You may wish to pick a different tool to use on me.

Warmest regards,
John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:tyKFe.2268$PC7.1042@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:WECFe.2772$j21.1613@news01.roc.ny...
>> John,
>>
>> Sorry if I'm not impressed; 75 and 40 meters are good for hundreds of
> miles
>> on low power; thousands of miles at night. I ran 25 watts on 160 meters
> and
>> was good to cover the United States at night.
>>
>> Power is not everything. The ability to change frequencies (I'm talking
>> decades, not channels) will determine what distances you can send and
>> receive well to. I remember well breaking into a group on 75 meters in

> the
>> Carolinas. I was running well under 1 watt. Way *way* under 1 watt.
>>
>> 160 meters did me quite well even in the daytime. Check in to ground
> wave.
>> Not what you think of ground wave (which is space wave); true ground wave.
>> At that frequency, if you have it vertically polarized, the wave will
> react
>> to the curvature of the earth as a knife edge. I could pound into
>> Washington, D.C. in the afternoon. With all of 65 watts.
>>
>> My handheld reaches into Canada 24/7. On 440 MHz. Of course, I have to
>> rely on a decent Canadian repeater for that, but the fact is that I hit a
>> Canadian repeater directly from the handheld with no Internet involved.
>>
>> On hf, the proper choice (I'll leave 160 out of this as the antennas are
>> quite unwieldy) between 80 and 40 will allow you several hundred miles
> 24/7.
>> With a modest amount of power.
>>
>> So I remain unimpressed with power alone. I buzzed right through a
> kilowatt
>> station years ago running only 75 watts. It isn't the size of the ship;
>> it's the motion through the ocean :))
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>
> heh heh, Jim...I think you're probably above this guy's ability to, well,
> understand :o
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2468. Re: K4YZ Lying So Prolifically He Can't Keep His Own Stories Straight..But Then He's Not Straight Either!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:04:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122483882.633172.132950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113829

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > BTw not saying Stevie is gay but just turning his back on him
>
> Please DO turn your back, Markie.
>
> I've seen your face, so the other side can only be an improvement.
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > was complete is complete
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > yes it was
> > > >
> > > No, it wasn't.
> > >
> > was and remains a complete sentence
>
> No, it wasn't.

learn english

>
> > you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> > calling you on something
> >
> > Bull####
>
non response

>
> > you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> > thing i can't even tell

> >
> > Bull####
>
> I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
> replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
> another, etc etc.

of course one reads posts and responds to them

>
> > cuting unrelated ####
>

more juvi stif
> > > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > > > >
> > > > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > In the very next post.
> >
> > not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> > called on it twice
>
more evasion

>
> > more stve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
>
more evasion

> Steve, K4YZ

2469. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:06:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122483961.299998.131500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113828

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > robert:
> > >
> > > Frankly, what about amateur radio do you see as being so difficult. I keep seeing this same idea reflected in others text--I thought them only joking?
> >
> > If you belive nothing else I ever write John Believe this some hams are
> > very serious about thinking the test should be some kind of ordel
>

cuting stevie sexaul reference

> No one wants to make the tests an "ORDEAL", Mark...

then you have been lying for years

you want the apliacant to "suffer" to prove his devotion to the ars
>
> Some of us DO want the tests to (1) be a valid demonstration of
> the applicant's comprehension of the required knowledge, and (2)
> fulfill the caveat of the Basis and Purpose of Part 97.

some folks do you aren't one of them of course you made it plain you
want the apliacant to suffer to prove himself wothy

>
> Anything else is "Drama Queen" rantings. No more...no less...

you are exactly a drama queen not doubt of about it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2470. asked and answered again and again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:10:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122484251.816217.243040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113830

K4YZ wrote:
hacking of stevie wasting of bandwidth
>
> So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me
> of taking things that I haven't taken.
>
> First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> allegedging some impropriety.

that you were, I got that form your own emails to mails to me
>
> Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.

becuase by titling the post that way you did are are claiming that
glory falsely

>
> Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why
> do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the
> contrary...???

from you of course, and no eveidence to the contary has been presented
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2471. more fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:15:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122484530.570224.255620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113831

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > I SAID:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > They say that imitation is a form of flattery.
> > > > > >
> > > > > which has what to with "Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card"
> > > >
> > > Which has to do with someone imitating me on the air, bonehead.
> > >
> > so you are now accusing someone of impersaonating you
> >
> > more juvi ####
>
> The "juvi ####" is your language.

you'd call Patton juvi huh?

>
> And how do you suggest that I wound up getting a QSL card for a
> station I personally didn't work, Mark? Either someone impersonated
> K4YZ, asI suggested, or someone at the Navy station miscopied a
> callsign, again as I suggested.

one it is of course possible you never got it all

two you lied about not working hf

I could go one for sometime

>
> > > > > > The "juvi" stuff is you trying to claim something that obviously
> > > > > > isn't true.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no it more of your juvi evasion
> > > >
> > > You're the one doing the evading, Coward.
> > >
> > nope you are dancing around the issue
> >
> > which is your claiming some glory for something you never did
>
> What "glory", Markie...

Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card by K4YZ
>
> Show ANY of us in CONVINCING language that any "glory" was
> claimed.

"Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card by K4YZ"

there is your claim of glory

it should read

"Hmm Armed Forces Day Crossband QSL Card by K4YZ"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

as an aside this arives at my pc after the one where we go on about you
insistance I answer your post in order

2472. Re: Busting Lennie Rants..."It's a Year Round Thing..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:18:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122484728.399063.179200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113832

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > where in the rules does it make that requirement?
> > > > > >
> > > > > You've GOT to be kidding...
> > > > >
> > > > not at all been asking that for months you never answer
> > > >
> > > Glad to prove you wrong again, Markie.
> > >
> > not at all
> >
> > > > 97.103 and 97.307, among others, require specification tolerances
> > > > be maintained.
> > >
> > > BUZZ you still are not quoting any rule requiring such
> >
> > Sure it was.
> >
> > Ask Phil Kane.
> >
> > > The operator is respaoniblie if the sation does not comply but nothing
> > > requiring any particular method of ensuring it
> >
> > No "particular method". But requires it all the same.

only regulates the result

> >
> > > same ole #### steve

cut out stevie improper use of distress call

> > > There are others.
> > >
> > > then cite one of them finaly
> >
> > So, you want ME to "carry your freight", eh...?!?!

lying again stevie

you made the claim you prove it

It is your freight not mine

cuting more stevie shit

> Steve, K4YZ

2473. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:20:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122484815.574871.99030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113834

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>
> Few what?

few countiries ago as I said

>
> Dave K8MN

2474. Re: again stevie why doesn't the FCC find these folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:21:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122484895.737300.236570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113833

well stvie answer the question

an_old_friend wrote:
> agian why aren't these folks caught by the FCC
>
> and why aren't other like em
>
> If I were doing something I expect not to be operating as I do on VHF
> and up and not near the FCC's back yard as the poor unforuantes mention
> in the why are you saying Shit thread elsewhere
> but these folks and others like are operating on HF with it wide range
> than my signals
>
> Me if I blow it I can see them not missing it but these clowns
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > loray wrote:
> > > > My qth is Bowling Green KY.
> > > >
> > > > Been monitoring hf freqs today, since sunrise. Observed
> > > > following:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 6670 khz, three guys talking about truck driving and where
> > > > they will stop next, type stuff they carrying, etc.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 14178 khz, man & woman, never gave a call sign, talked
> > > > over a hour, used cb slang, set sked for tonight.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 28422 khz, two guys talking about how code not needed for a
> > > > ham license anymore, never gave call signs, sounded like
> > > > freebanders. Said they were starting a net on this freq.
> > >
> > > if the FCC can find anybody they want why not these folks
> >
> > Who said they haven't?
> >

> > Look under NOUO in FCC sanctions and violations...lotsa 28Mhz
> > CB'ers busted there.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

2475. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 17:59:55 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dc8i2r$168c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113835

Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote in part:
>
>
>> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
>> Jaegermeister.............
>
>
> You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?

Pretty close! I used to work with a guy who was addicted to Nyquil.
Decent guy, medicine breath!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2476. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 18:51:05 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fqlfe19me5fga7qj23dddharipjgi9s67g@4ax.com>
Source record: 113836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 27 Jul 2005 16:57:23 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 01:30:47 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>***458 lines of crap snipped***
>
>go back to your ancient cw because you --->shure<--- as hell dont
>know squat about modren things like the net.

Yep, definitely a no coder.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2477. Stevie showing sign of meglomania or is it paranoia Is theire a doctor in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 18:55:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122490504.808897.208390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113837

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut to the chase
> > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
>
> I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> Radio to be an "attack" on me. <removing the non operative sentence>

clear sign of mental Illness Stevie boy.

You are NOT Amateur Radio

You clearly need to get a life

Is it paranoia or meglomania?

or both

It is also a clear sign of your disconet with reality that you
preceieve any disagreement with your positions as an attack wether on
yourself or Amateur Radio
>
> Sorry.
>
> > No kind words for you. Too bad.
>
> Plenty of kind words for me...that none of them come from The
> Foulmouthed Foursome is of little disappointment to me!
>
> Have a nice day,

another stevie lie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2478. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 19:03:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xUQFe.67$h24.585830@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd:

What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message news:8702217833.24358F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 01:30:47 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> ***458 lines of crap snipped***
>
> you are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups
> and your ISP has a policy against that so you are voilating your TOS.
> you go around and call everyone else stupid and then do a real dumb
> thing which has never been alowed in regular news groups since the net
> was born. go back to your ancient cw because you shure as hell dont
> know squat about modren things like the net. you should live in
> glendale or in taylorville.

2479. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 19:36:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122492991.516963.295510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113838

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122426159.127525.263080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
cut
> >
>
> Thanks, Jim, for that input.
>

break
> You are correct; it is another tool. For all the arguing that goes on, it
> isn't that bad an idea to have a cell phone, a ham radio, and even *gasp* a
> cb if you are travelling in sparsely populated areas. The more tools one
> has, the less likely one is going to get "stuck".

correction the less likely one is to stay stuck
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

2480. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 20:53:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WuSFe.5187$0C.2998@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113840

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>>
>>Few what?
>
>
> few countiries ago as I said

That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written "countiries". Want to go for "countries"?

I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".

If the word "countries" is correct, then we still have some problems with the meaning:

"I live in the US was born Prague which was few [countries?] ago over there."

Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what you meant to convey?

Dave K8MN

2481. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 20:55:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JwSFe.5188$0C.2334@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113841

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
> Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo wrote in part:
>>
>>
>>> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
>>> Jaegermeister.............
>>
>>
>>
>> You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?
>
>
>
> Pretty close! I used to work with a guy who was addicted to Nyquil.
> Decent guy, medicine breath!

I had a co-worker who rinsed his mouth frequently with Listerine. The
only problem was that he never spit the stuff out.

Dave K8MN

2482. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 20:55:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122497744.929867.181630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113842

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >> news:8ZqdnblaYfcXbnvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
> >>> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >>>> "Unclaimed Mysteries"
> >>>> <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in

> > > Another reason not to worry is that even the best trolling is barely
> > > able to keep pace with some people's sincerely held beliefs.
> > >
> > > 73 Corry K4DOH
> > >
> > > It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> > > http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
> > >
> > > Of course I went to law school. - Warren Zevon, "Mr. Bad Example"
> >
> > Send lawyers guns and money......
> >

"He's just an excitable boy"

> Warren could be the next apostle.

Sadly, he's with the originals now...

Q: How many Bush Administration officials does
it take to change a light bulb?

A: None.

There is nothing wrong with the light bulb; its
conditions are improving every day. Any reports
of its lack of incandescence are delusional spin
from the liberal media. That light bulb has served
honorably, and anything you say undermines the
lighting effect. Why do you hate freedom?

---

73 de Jim, N2EY

2483. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:02:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122498129.089055.41680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113843

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
> >>
> >>Few what?
> >>
> >>
> > few countiries ago as I said
>
ranting delected
> Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few
> countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a
> different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it
> was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the
> Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
> you meant to convey?

none if you like none was making you read anything

as to that being one country ago I disagree but I can always count on
you to beat dead horses

>
> Dave K8MN

2484. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:02:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122498166.937945.233070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113844

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>an_old_friend wrote:

> >>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there

> >>Few what?

> > few countiries ago as I said

> That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
> "countiries". Want to go for "countries"?

How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?

> I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
> I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".

It's still not clear that the word is "countries".

> If the word "countries" is correct, then we still have some problems
> with the meaning:
>
> "I live in the US was born Prague which was few [countries?] ago over
> there."
>
> Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few
> countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a
> different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it
> was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the
> Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
> you meant to convey?

Mark's philosophy is that if you can't understand what he wrote,
no matter how bolluxed up, it's *your* fault and *your* problem,
not his. That's obviously illogical.

There's a limit to even the best error-correcting codes. But they
all depend on the message being correct when transmitted...

73 de Jim, N2EY

"I was born in Dusseldorf
And that is why they call me Rolf" - The Producers, 1968

2485. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:04:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1GSFe.81$TT4.702616@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113845

Dave:

Have we ever worked together, that sounds familiar, only I replaced the
listerine with vodka and added a dash of yellow food coloring to fool 'em!

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:JwSFe.5188$0C.2334@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>> Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
>>
>>> Mike Coslo wrote in part:
>>>
>>>
>>>> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
>>>> Jaegermeister.............
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?
>>
>>
>>
>> Pretty close! I used to work with a guy who was addicted to Nyquil.
>> Decent guy, medicine breath!
>
> I had a co-worker who rinsed his mouth frequently with Listerine. The only
> problem was that he never spit the stuff out.
>
> Dave K8MN

2486. NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:14:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122498858.693591.197580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113846

File Number 0002250244 Radio Service HA - Amateur
Call Sign K1MAN Application Status 2 - Pending
General Information
Mode Batch PFR Status
VEC/Coordinator/COLEM W5YI-VEC

Signature
Signature Information GLENN A BAXTER Title

Comments
Description Date
None

History
Date Event
07/25/2005 Authorization Printed
07/22/2005 Application Granted
07/22/2005 Redlight Review Completed
All History (4)

Attachments
Type Description Date Entered
None

Pleadings
Pleading Type Description Date Entered
None

Letters
Letters Type Description Date Entered
None

Automated Letters
Reference Number Call Sign Letter Type Date Generated
None

2487. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:18:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fTSFe.85$YT4.707687@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

commander:

I am not asking what you think "usenet convention" is, I am saying it is more
than ok to uuencode binary and post it...

Show me an official document by a legal representative of usenet or go away...

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:iY-dnfMgH7vLZHrfRVn-2w@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you
>> are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>>
>> John
>
>
> It is against Usenet convention to post binary files to non-binary groups.
> That is why binary groups were invented. Binary files are usually very large
> should not be posted to regular news groups as these groups are archived
> forever. The binary groups are purged ever so often, so it isn't prudent to
> archive large binary files in regular newsgroups.

2488. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:19:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <iY-dnfMgH7vLZHrfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> Lloyd:
>
> What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you are
> not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>
> John

It is against Usenet convention to post binary files to non-binary groups. That is why binary groups were invented. Binary files are usually very large should not be posted to regular news groups as these groups are archived forever. The binary groups are purged ever so often, so it isn't prudent to archive large binary files in regular newsgroups.

2489. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:23:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <D7mdnVRMObfqZ3rfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113848

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:

>>>Dave Heil wrote:
How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
>>you meant to convey?
>
>
> none if you like none was making you read anything

Hell, you can't even get the above simple sentence correct. Dumber than
a bowl of dirt.

2490. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:33:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122499982.526198.306870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113849

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > >>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>
> > >>Few what?
>
> > > few countiries ago as I said
>
> > That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
> > "countiries". Want to go for "countries"?
>
> How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?

already answered a dozen or so times

>
> > I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
> > I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
>
> It's still not clear that the word is "countries".

not my problem

>
> > If the word "countries" is correct, then we still have some problems
> > with the meaning:
> >
> > "I live in the US was born Prague which was few [countries?] ago over
> > there."
> >
> > Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few
> > countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a
> > different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it
> > was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the
> > Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
> > you meant to convey?
>
> Mark's philosophy is that if you can't understand what he wrote,
> no matter how bolluxed up, it's *your* fault and *your* problem,
> not his. That's obviously illogical.

not at all. you use exactly the same line of reasoning yourself

doesn't matter to you if I don't see your logic you just drive on

and you have made a logical post in year on the subject code testing
for example you don't care just drive on

> There's a limit to even the best error-correcting codes. But they
> all depend on the message being correct when transmitted...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "I was born in Dusseldorf
> And that is why they call me Rolf" - The Producers, 1968

2491. re sppinnping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:34:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122500059.016778.313140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113852

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > >>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>
> > >>Few what?
>
> > > few countiries ago as I said
>
> > That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
> > "countiries". Want to go for "countries"?
>
> How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?

already answered a dozen or so times, several of thoose in derect reply
to you

>
> > I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
> > I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
>
> It's still not clear that the word is "countries".

not my problem

>
> > If the word "countries" is correct, then we still have some problems
> > with the meaning:
> >
> > "I live in the US was born Prague which was few [countries?] ago over
> > there."
> >
> > Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few
> > countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a
> > different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it
> > was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the
> > Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
> > you meant to convey?
>
> Mark's philosophy is that if you can't understand what he wrote,
> no matter how bolluxed up, it's *your* fault and *your* problem,
> not his. That's obviously illogical.

not at all. you use exactly the same line of reasoning yourself

doesn't matter to you if I don't see your logic you just drive on

and you have made a logical post in year on the subject code testing
for example you don't care just drive on

your and dave is ignore the topic and tlak about anything you like too
also not the most logical

>
> There's a limit to even the best error-correcting codes. But they
> all depend on the message being correct when transmitted...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "I was born in Dusseldorf
> And that is why they call me Rolf" - The Producers, 1968

2492. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:35:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122500119.584025.325190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113850

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
> >>you meant to convey?
> >
> >
> > none if you like none was making you read anything
>
> Hell, you can't even get the above simple sentence correct. Dumber than
> a bowl of dirt.

BUZZ wrong try 3 sentences

2493. re sniping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:35:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122500132.283360.12920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113851

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
> >>you meant to convey?
> >
> >
> > none if you like none was making you read anything
>
> Hell, you can't even get the above simple sentence correct. Dumber than
> a bowl of dirt.

BUZZ wrong try 3 sentences

2494. The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:36:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122500181.819760.310450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113854

Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
This means the effective date of the FCC action that completely
eliminates Morse Code testing for any class of amateur radio
license in the USA.

Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
passed:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003 (first prediction)
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW: May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
N2EY: March 1, 2006 (second prediction)
KB9RQZ: April 1, 2006
K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008
WA2SI: Complete elimination not within the foreseeable future (second
prediction)

Anybody already on the list want to add a prediction? If so, the
"rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:

If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
add a new one.

At this point everyone before and including N8UZE can add a new
prediction.

All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2495. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:36:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122500216.239319.182090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113853

todd not be dense but what in there is the news

or could we have a sumary please
N9OGL wrote:
> File Number 0002250244 Radio Service HA - Amateur
> Call Sign K1MAN Application Status 2 - Pending
> General Information
> Mode Batch PFR Status
> VEC/Coordinator/COLEM W5YI-VEC
>
>
> Signature
> Signature Information GLENN A BAXTER Title
>
>
> Comments
> Description Date
> None
>
>
> History
> Date Event
> 07/25/2005 Authorization Printed
> 07/22/2005 Application Granted
> 07/22/2005 Redlight Review Completed
> All History (4)
>
>
> Attachments
> Type Description Date Entered
> None
>
>
> Pleadings
> Pleading Type Description Date Entered
> None
>
>
> Letters
> Letters Type Description Date Entered
> None
>
>
> Automated Letters

> Reference Number Call Sign Letter Type Date Generated
> None

2496. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:39:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122500341.314115.321630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Lloyd:
> >
> > What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you are
> > not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
> >
> > John
>
>
> It is against Usenet convention to post binary files to non-binary

convention not some sort of rule if there is a reason I see no reason
not to post a binary here on occasion just not a whole seris of them
after all it can hardly be more wasted bandwidth than we see here daily

> groups. That is why binary groups were invented. Binary files are
> usually very large should not be posted to regular news groups as these
> groups are archived forever. The binary groups are purged ever so often,
> so it isn't prudent to archive large binary files in regular newsgroups.

2497. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:42:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wdTFe.88$WV4.794764@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, well, we are not asking that you give us a set of rules to live under either...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1122500341.314115.321630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Lloyd:
>> >
>> > What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you
>> > are
>> > not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>> >
>> > John
>>
>>
>> It is against Usenet convention to post binary files to non-binary
>
> convention not some sort of rule if there is a reason I see no reason
> not to post a binary here on occasion just not a whole seris of them
> after all it can hardly be more wasted bandwidth than we see here daily
>
>
>> groups. That is why binary groups were invented. Binary files are
>> usually very large should not be posted to regular news groups as these
>> groups are archived forever. The binary groups are purged ever so often,
>> so it isn't prudent to archive large binary files in regular newsgroups.
>

2498. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:46:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GgTFe.89$G86.880774@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Funny thing:

Amateur "control freaks" damn near reduced radio to where no one is left on the HF bands though there imposing ridiculous tests and rules... now they are right there at the door of the internet attempting to reproduce this miracle of destruction...

... get a clue, we were fine here before cheap computers, the un-washed-masses and amateurs!

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:wdTFe.88$WV4.794764@news.sisna.com...
> Yes, well, we are not asking that you give us a set of rules to live under
> either...
>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122500341.314115.321630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>> > Lloyd:
>>> >
>>> > What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you
>>> > are
>>> > not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>>> >
>>> > John
>>>
>>>
>>> It is against Usenet convention to post binary files to non-binary
>>
>> convention not some sort of rule if there is a reason I see no reason
>> not to post a binary here on occasion just not a whole seris of them
>> after all it can hardly be more wasted bandwidth than we see here daily
>>
>>
>>> groups. That is why binary groups were invented. Binary files are
>>> usually very large should not be posted to regular news groups as these
>>> groups are archived forever. The binary groups are purged ever so often,

>>> so it isn't prudent to archive large binary files in regular newsgroups.
>>
>
>

2499. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:46:15 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <Z5qdnUWdKN8uYnrfRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113856

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>>
>>>>>Few what?
>>
>>>>few countiries ago as I said
>>
>>>That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
>>>"countiries". Want to go for "countries"?
>>
>>How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?
>
>
> already answered a dozen or so times
>
>
>>>I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
>>>I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
>>
>>It's still not clear that the word is "countries".
>
>
> not my problem

Wrong, your stupidity is your problem. As said before, you can't even
put together a clear sentence that can be understood, and then you blame
everyone else because they can't understand you gibbrish. Again, dumber
than a bowl of dirt.

2500. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 21:51:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122501086.873568.32850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113857

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
> >>
> >>>>>Few what?
> >>
> >>>>few countiries ago as I said
> >>
> >>>That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
> >>>"countiries". Want to go for "countries"?
> >>
> >>How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?
> >
> >
> > already answered a dozen or so times
> >
> >
> >>I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
> >>I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
> >>
> >>It's still not clear that the word is "countries".
> >
> >
> > not my problem
>
> Wrong, your stupidity is your problem. As said before, you can't even
> put together a clear sentence that can be understood, and then you blame
> everyone else because they can't understand you gibbrish. Again, dumber
> than a bowl of dirt.

then don't read it buzz nobody is making you

2501. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:12:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122502337.233757.143470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113858

an_old_friend wrote:
> todd not be dense but what in there is the news
>
> or could we have a sumary please

Allow me...

The "news" is that BS Baxter is trying to file a routine renewal
for his Amateur license.

As presently issued it expired in mid-October.

Tht issue being that he's had numerous NAL's and has one presently
pending against him, ergo it's highly likely that the FCC will NOT
routinely renew his license.

The "good" news for Baxter is that if his legal proceedings
continue past the expiration of his license, the FCC will be required
to continue to allow him to operate K1MAN until it is resolved one way
or the other since he filed his renewal in a timely manner.

Steve, K4YZ

2502. Muddling Thru Even More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:26:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122503199.055899.167320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113859

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut to the chase
> > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> >
> > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > Radio to be an "attack" on me. <removing the non operative sentence>
>
> clear sign of mental Illness Stevie boy.

Nope.

Clear sign that I have things that mean a lot to me, Amateur Radio
being one of them.

<Markie Censored Sentence Replaced.>

> You make disparaging, untrue statements about Amateur Radio and expect > it to be blown
off.
>
> Sorry.

Don't know what made Markie think that was "non operative"...

THAT was a "clear sign" that Miss Morgan doesn't have an adequate
respose to the statement and knows it to be true.

> You are NOT Amateur Radio

I >>AM<< part an parcel of it, though...just like you.

I am not "America" either, yet when America was threatened in my
day, I came to it's service. I serve it still. As I come to the
"defense" of Amateur Radio when I perceive it as having been sleighted
with inappropriate innuendo or out-and-out mistruths and deceit.

> You clearly need to get a life

I have one. Amateur Radio is a big part of it.

> Is it paranoia or meglomania?
>
> or both

Neither. It's pride and respect.

> It is also a clear sign of your disconet with reality that you
> preceieve any disagreement with your positions as an attack wether on
> yourself or Amateur Radio

My what? "disconet"...?!?!

Markie, don't even pretend to know what you're talking about if
you can't take the few extra seconds to slow down and TYPE CAREFULLY.

Typing like an illiterate idiot only served to make you look like
one.

> > Sorry.
> >
> > > No kind words for you. Too bad.
> >
> > Plenty of kind words for me...that none of them come from The
> > Foulmouthed Foursome is of little disappointment to me!
> >
> > Have a nice day,
>
> another stevie lie

Nope.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

2503. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:27:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122503251.583599.108230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113860

>The "news" is that BS Baxter is trying to file a routine renewal
>for his Amateur license.

and what I've seen from above, it looks like it going to be granted :)

07/25/2005 Authorization Printed
07/22/2005 Application Granted
07/22/2005 Redlight Review Completed

Wewill just have to wait and see.

Todd N9OGL

2504. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:28:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uUTFe.91$Xm6.920629@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
... through their...

even, damn my typos!

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:GgTFe.89$G86.880774@news.sisna.com...
> Funny thing:
>
> Amateur "control freaks" damn near reduced radio to where no one is left on
> the HF bands though there imposing ridiculous tests and rules... now they are
> right there at the door of the internet attempting to reproduce this miracle
> of destruction...
>
> ... get a clue, we were fine here before cheap computers, the
> un-washed-masses and amateurs!
>
> John
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:wdTFe.88$WV4.794764@news.sisna.com...
>> Yes, well, we are not asking that you give us a set of rules to live under
>> either...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122500341.314115.321630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>> > Lloyd:
>>>> >
>>>> > What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words,
>>>> > "you are
>>>> > not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>>>> >
>>>> > John
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It is against Usenet convention to post binary files to non-binary
>>>
```

>>> convention not some sort of rule if there is a reason I see no reason
>>> not to post a binary here on occasion just not a whole seris of them
>>> after all it can hardly be more wasted bandwidth than we see here daily
>>>
>>>
>>>> groups. That is why binary groups were invented. Binary files are
>>>> usually very large should not be posted to regular news groups as these
>>>> groups are archived forever. The binary groups are purged ever so often,
>>>> so it isn't prudent to archive large binary files in regular newsgroups.
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2505. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:29:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <iVTFe.18031$aY6.15419@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So sue me TWIT.

Now address the original "PA means Pending Action". If you have a set of them, that is.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8702217833.24358F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 01:30:47 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> ***458 lines of crap snipped***
>
> you are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups
> and your ISP has a policy against that so you are voilating your TOS.
> you go around and call everyone else stupid and then do a real dumb
> thing which has never been alowed in regular news groups since the net
> was born. go back to your ancient cw because you shure as hell dont
> know squat about modren things like the net. you should live in
> glendale or in taylorville.

2506. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:32:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <zYTFe.92$lt6.937012@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"set of them" ???

... were you referring to cajones???

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:iVTFe.18031$aY6.15419@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> So sue me TWIT.
>
> Now address the original "PA means Pending Action". If you have a set of
> them, that is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:8702217833.24358F8789@tarrnews.net...
>> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 01:30:47 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> ***458 lines of crap snipped***
>>
>> you are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups
>> and your ISP has a policy against that so you are voilating your TOS.
>> you go around and call everyone else stupid and then do a real dumb
>> thing which has never been alowed in regular news groups since the net
>> was born. go back to your ancient cw because you shure as hell dont
>> know squat about modren things like the net. you should live in
>> glendale or in taylorville.
>
>

2507. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:33:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xYTFe.18036$aY6.7673@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113862

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122502337.233757.143470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> todd not be dense but what in there is the news
>>
>> or could we have a sumary please
>
> Allow me...
>
> The "news" is that BS Baxter is trying to file a routine renewal
> for his Amateur license.
>
> As presently issued it expired in mid-October.
>
> Tht issue being that he's had numerous NAL's and has one presently
> pending against him, ergo it's highly likely that the FCC will NOT
> routinely renew his license.
>
> The "good" news for Baxter is that if his legal proceedings
> continue past the expiration of his license, the FCC will be required
> to continue to allow him to operate K1MAN until it is resolved one way
> or the other since he filed his renewal in a timely manner.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Maybe not Steve. As I understand the "new FCC system" is that if you have a application on file, and also a unpaid fine. You stay off the air till you satisfy the fine in one way or another.

Dan/W4NTI

2508. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:34:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <tZTFe.18037$aY6.7655@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113863

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122503251.583599.108230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >The "news" is that BS Baxter is trying to file a routine renewal
>>for his Amateur license.
>
> and what I've seen from above, it looks like it going to be granted :)
>
> 07/25/2005 Authorization Printed
> 07/22/2005 Application Granted
> 07/22/2005 Redlight Review Completed
>
> Wewill just have to wait and see.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

I am beginning to understand your problem Toad. You are just flippin
stupid. PA means PENDING. Pending means he is in deep crap.

Dan/W4NTI

2509. The Facts And The Final Disposition On More MarkieLies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:41:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122504111.237510.295720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113864

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> hacking of stevie wasting of bandwidth

That's "Mark Morgan" for "censoring what I can't come up with a reasonable answer For"

> > So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me
> > of taking things that I haven't taken.
> >
> > First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> > allegedging some impropriety.
>
> that you were, I got that form your own emails to mails to me

Markie, Markie, Markie...

Out-and-out lying A G A I N......

I hung this carrot out in front of you to see if you'd pony-up the true answer.

I guess you think I didn't see the posts here where Brain tried to alledge that I am "on disability" and receiving "public support" abd that you interacted with taht.

Only more proof that neither of you have the stones to own up to your assertions with facts.

> > Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> > I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
>
> becuase by titling the post that way you did are are claiming that
> glory falsely

No I wasn't. I identified an object. NO WHERE in that "title" did I say, "I worked a crossband contact". I have done so in the past...even been on the military side of the contacts (When "NZJ", MCAS El Toro participated in the tests in the 80's...but not since...)

> > Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why
> > do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the
> > contrary...???
>
> from you of course, and no eveidence to the contary has been presented

Sure it has.

My name does not, nor has it ever, appeared on any public assistance roles.

Nor did I claim any "glory" in pointing out that I receved a QSL card for a contact that I clearly stated from the git-go was issued to me in error.

Mark C Morgan is now, has been and in all likelyhood will forever be an untrustworthy, lying punk.

Proven. Archived. In his own words.

Steve, K4YZ

2510. Stevie tries being reasonable to me???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:47:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122504439.472455.16320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113865

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut to the chase
> > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > >
> > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. <removing the non operative sentence>
> >
> > clear sign of mental Illness Stevie boy.
>
> Nope.

yes it is
>
> Clear sign that I have things that mean a lot to me, Amateur Radio
> being one of them.

clearly mental that you view a dissgreement over the ARS as an attack
on yourself

clearly mental that you view a disagreement over the ARS as an attack
on it
>
> <Markie Censored Sentence Replaced.>
>
> > You make disparaging, untrue statements about Amateur Radio and expect > it to be blown
off.
> >
> > Sorry.
>
> Don't know what made Markie think that was "non operative"...

becuase it had nothing to do with the point being made
>
> THAT was a "clear sign" that Miss Morgan doesn't have an adequate
> respose to the statement and knows it to be true.

more stevie meglomanina and paranoia

it had nothing to do with the point

>
> > You are NOT Amateur Radio

>
> I >>AM<< part an parcel of it, though...just like you.

which means that I , BB and Todd have to right to express opinions
about it, to disgree with you and hold differeing points of view, but
anything you disagree with becomes an attack on the ARS and on You,
that is paranoia, and/or meglomania

>
> I am not "America" either, yet when America was threatened in my
> day, I came to it's service. I serve it still. As I come to the
> "defense" of Amateur Radio when I perceive it as having been sleighted
> with inappropriate innuendo or out-and-out mistruths and deceit.

which mean you are reacting in paraniod fashion to the fact that Other
folks have a different philosical point of view

I will use coments from recent ARES posts as an example

I say the PR around ARES is overblown, that does not mean I am
attacking ARES as useless, merely that it's PR is over blown, and with
good reason by the ARRL the FCC and the served agencies, that merely a
less stiring endorsement of ARES than you seem to want

Myself, BB, and Lenn have different levels of opinion on the roles of
ARES and the nature of the PR yet you lump us all together as attacking
ARES

it is not "inappropriate innuendo" to try and get around this spin to
look for the turth, but to you no one may challenge the spin, and there
is ALWAYS spin in America today
>
> > You clearly need to get a life
>
> I have one. Amateur Radio is a big part of it.
>
> > Is it paranoia or meglomania?
> >
> > or both
>
> Neither. It's pride and respect.

no it one of the above or a willfull blindness to facts

the ARS can always be improved, that is a fact

the only way I see where that can happen is if we can discuss what
works well, not so well, not at all calmly and reasonably
>
> > It is also a clear sign of your disconet with reality that you
> > preceieve any disagreement with your positions as an attack wether on
> > yourself or Amateur Radio
>

> My what? "disconet"...?!?!
>
> Markie, don't even pretend to know what you're talking about if

well i had such hope that you might start laying of the personal
attack, but you can't can you

cutiing stevie further gratuitous insults

> > > Sorry.
> > >
> > > > No kind words for you. Too bad.
> > >
> > > Plenty of kind words for me...that none of them come from The
> > > Foulmouthed Foursome is of little disappointment to me!
> > >
> > > Have a nice day,
> >
> > another stevie lie
>
> Nope.
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2511. Stevie tries being reasonable to me.. nope he can't mange for 2 posts in row

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:47:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122504457.647662.199300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113866

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut to the chase
> > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > >
> > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. <removing the non operative sentence>
> >
> > clear sign of mental Illness Stevie boy.
>
> Nope.

yes it is
>
> Clear sign that I have things that mean a lot to me, Amateur Radio
> being one of them.

clearly mental that you view a dissgreement over the ARS as an attack
on yourself

clearly mental that you view a disagreement over the ARS as an attack
on it
>
> <Markie Censored Sentence Replaced.>
>
> > You make disparaging, untrue statements about Amateur Radio and expect > it to be blown
off.
> >
> > Sorry.
>
> Don't know what made Markie think that was "non operative"...

becuase it had nothing to do with the point being made
>
> THAT was a "clear sign" that Miss Morgan doesn't have an adequate
> respose to the statement and knows it to be true.

more stevie meglomanina and paranoia

it had nothing to do with the point

>
> > You are NOT Amateur Radio

>
> I >>AM<< part an parcel of it, though...just like you.

which means that I , BB and Todd have to right to express opinions
about it, to disgree with you and hold differeing points of view, but
anything you disagree with becomes an attack on the ARS and on You,
that is paranoia, and/or meglomania

>
> I am not "America" either, yet when America was threatened in my
> day, I came to it's service. I serve it still. As I come to the
> "defense" of Amateur Radio when I perceive it as having been sleighted
> with inappropriate innuendo or out-and-out mistruths and deceit.

which mean you are reacting in paraniod fashion to the fact that Other
folks have a different philosical point of view

I will use coments from recent ARES posts as an example

I say the PR around ARES is overblown, that does not mean I am
attacking ARES as useless, merely that it's PR is over blown, and with
good reason by the ARRL the FCC and the served agencies, that merely a
less stiring endorsement of ARES than you seem to want

Myself, BB, and Lenn have different levels of opinion on the roles of
ARES and the nature of the PR yet you lump us all together as attacking
ARES

it is not "inappropriate innuendo" to try and get around this spin to
look for the turth, but to you no one may challenge the spin, and there
is ALWAYS spin in America today
>
> > You clearly need to get a life
>
> I have one. Amateur Radio is a big part of it.
>
> > Is it paranoia or meglomania?
> >
> > or both
>
> Neither. It's pride and respect.

no it one of the above or a willfull blindness to facts

the ARS can always be improved, that is a fact

the only way I see where that can happen is if we can discuss what
works well, not so well, not at all calmly and reasonably
>
> > It is also a clear sign of your disconet with reality that you
> > preceieve any disagreement with your positions as an attack wether on
> > yourself or Amateur Radio
>

> My what? "disconet"...?!?!
>
> Markie, don't even pretend to know what you're talking about if

well i had such hope that you might start laying of the personal
attack, but you can't can you

cutiing stevie further gratuitous insults

> > > Sorry.
> > >
> > > > No kind words for you. Too bad.
> > >
> > > Plenty of kind words for me...that none of them come from The
> > > Foulmouthed Foursome is of little disappointment to me!
> > >
> > > Have a nice day,
> >
> > another stevie lie
>
> Nope.
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2512. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:48:08 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <IaUFe.1092$aT1.692@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 113867

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:CpOFe.45$kD1.439368@news.sisna.com...
> Kim:
>
> I know you have been offended, and now you wish to attack, belittle,
defame and
> outrage the object of your dislike and/or hatred (me!)
>

If you believe that I have been an attacker, belittler, defamer, and that I am outraged and/or have hatred for you, I say have fun with your apparent little trip that you are on. Your significance to me doesn't measure up much more than something akin to a gnat that flies up one's nose on an otherwise wonderful Summer night.

>
> However, be careful, in your attacks you may end up disclosing far more
about
> your own personality, your mind and your caliber than you would wish to
> disclose.
>

I far from fear any disclosure about myself. I lead much too boring a life to care about anyone knowing Kim Walker. In fact, if there's anything you'd like to know, just ask. I can always deny a request, but doubt that I would. Anyone who knows my history here in this newsgroup would be hard pressed to say I am shy.

>
> You do not need all the others to band with you in your attack of me,
indeed,
> it is counter productive to your goals.
>

Mmm. And, uh, just what "goals" do you think I have? This is getting very interesting.

>
> Stand on your own two feed, Dee has set
> an example of a strong/intelligent woman able to defend herself, stand
others
> back from her "space" and win the respect which she rightly deserves--you
would
> be well advised to study the high standards and excellent example(s) she
sets.
>

I fully agree that Dee has high standards and has quite an excellent record of crisp, clear, concise communication. However, I fail to recognize what you feel she--or I for that matter--needs to defend herself or myself from. What, exactly, are you saying, John, that we should feel threatened by you or someone else in this newsgroup? If you are saying that, perhaps you would care to enlighten us as to why we should feel threatened or, at a minimum, why you think we would need to defend ourselves?

I need not study a thing about Dee. She's been around long enough for me to respect her, like the things she has to say, and know that we wholeheartedly agree on some things and wholeheartedly disagree on some others...as it should be or, should I say, as I like it. How boring it would be for everyone to believe and think the same way.

>
> There is nothing real to fear here, we are all ladies and gentlemen (well,
> mostly.)
>

I fail to recognize why you keep bringing up fear. However, if you've got yourself off on some delusion, far be it from me to attempt a reality check for you.

>
> If you have noticed that I, "March to the beat of another drummer." You
are
> correct. If you call me a, "Non-conformer", you are again correct. If
you
> call me a "free thinker" you are again correct. If you point out there
may be
> few who have my personality traits, I suspect you may indeed be correct.
>

I haven't given you much more thought than to decide that--from my perspective--you are one weird dude who apparently is quite impressed with himself. That's it. Sorry. Well, no I'm not. I'm not sorry I feel that way at all.

>
> If you expect those to be reasons which would make me "want to be like
everyone
> else" you are mistaken.
>

Oh, hardly. I am one of the most forefront non-conformers I know. Why would I want or expect anyone to be like everyone else? I can think of nothing more ugly in life.

>
> In short, "I do not shame into 'my place' easily." You may wish to pick a
> different tool to use on me.
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

>

First, fuck your warmest regards. It's quite obvious you'll say things that you don't even feel are true, with that sentiment as evidence. IF--and I doubt this--you intended the sentiment in all seriousness, take just as serious my refusal to accept them. I don't care about what "place" you have in life.

Oh, and as far as tools--you seem to have a whole host of them all by yourself... Perhaps next time, when I have a comment to give to someone else, as I did to Jim, you'll not feel so compelled to be so affected by it?

Buhbye, Little John...you're just the other side of twisted for me, and I generally don't allow myself to get tangled up in people's fantasies. I am far too busy for that.

Kim W5TIT

> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:tyKFe.2268$PC7.1042@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:WECFe.2772$j21.1613@news01.roc.ny...
> >> John,
> >>
> >> Sorry if I'm not impressed; 75 and 40 meters are good for hundreds of
> > miles
> >> on low power; thousands of miles at night. I ran 25 watts on 160
meters
> > and
> >> was good to cover the United States at night.
> >>
> >> Power is not everything. The ability to change frequencies (I'm
talking
> >> decades, not channels) will determine what distances you can send and
> >> receive well to. I remember well breaking into a group on 75 meters in
> > the
> >> Carolinas. I was running well under 1 watt. Way *way* under 1 watt.
> >>
> >> 160 meters did me quite well even in the daytime. Check in to ground
> > wave.
> >> Not what you think of ground wave (which is space wave); true ground
wave.
> >> At that frequency, if you have it vertically polarized, the wave will
> > react
> >> to the curvature of the earth as a knife edge. I could pound into
> >> Washington, D.C. in the afternoon. With all of 65 watts.
> >>
> >> My handheld reaches into Canada 24/7. On 440 MHz. Of course, I have
to
> >> rely on a decent Canadian repeater for that, but the fact is that I hit
a
> >> Canadian repeater directly from the handheld with no Internet involved.

> >>
> >> On hf, the proper choice (I'll leave 160 out of this as the antennas
are
> >> quite unwieldy) between 80 and 40 will allow you several hundred miles
> > 24/7.
> >> With a modest amount of power.
> >>
> >> So I remain unimpressed with power alone. I buzzed right through a
> > kilowatt
> >> station years ago running only 75 watts. It isn't the size of the
ship;
> >> it's the motion through the ocean :))
> >>
> >>
> >> 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> Jim AA2QA
> >>
> >>
> >
> > heh heh, Jim...I think you're probably above this guy's ability to,
well,
> > understand :o
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

2513. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:48:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122504532.515626.77600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113868

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122503251.583599.108230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >The "news" is that BS Baxter is trying to file a routine renewal
> >>for his Amateur license.
> >
> > and what I've seen from above, it looks like it going to be granted :)
> >
> > 07/25/2005 Authorization Printed
> > 07/22/2005 Application Granted
> > 07/22/2005 Redlight Review Completed
> >
> > Wewill just have to wait and see.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> I am beginning to understand your problem Toad. You are just flippin
> stupid. PA means PENDING. Pending means he is in deep crap.

the value you don't place on due process is truely shocking
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2514. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 22:57:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122505052.633463.219840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113874

>I am beginning to understand your problem Toad. You are just flippin
>stupid. PA means PENDING. Pending means he is in deep crap.

When any application is filed it is posted on the FCC system as
pending. First it's pending then it's granted.

Todd N9OGL

2515. asked and answered again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:00:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122505247.167780.133150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113875

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 25 Jul 2005 14:11:04 -0700
> > Local: Mon,Jul 25 2005 3:11 pm
> > Subject: Markie Accuses Others Of Libel But Won't Answer Questions
> > About His Own Libel
> >
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > nothing but libel and lies form steve
> >
> > You've yet to show where one line of libel has been written,
> > Markie...
> >
> > Not one.
> >
> > > and all of stevie truth is left above
> >
> > While we're talking about "truth", Markie, where's the answers to
> > these questions?
> >
> > QUOTE
> >
> > Ten days ago you were insisting that I was "on the public dole" for
> > disability, and now you come up with this "you are poor" silliness?
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > So...where'd it come from, Markie, if not your imagination?
> >
> > These weren't "transposed letters" on a keyboard.
> >
> > Where'd you get such ideas?
>
> Here's that this all came from, Markie:
>
> K4YZ Jul 11, 8:00 am hide options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> Date: 11 Jul 2005 07:00:53 -0700
> Local: Mon,Jul 11 2005 8:00 am
> Subject: Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He

> Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Lot's of snips to clip out most of Mark's sandlot one word
> > > responses to lengthy reposts.
> > > > > I've never spoke to him. Or did you travel back in time and
> > > > > father yourself?
> > > > My father has read your words
> > > I doubt it.
> > I am sure you do, OTOH that also has nothing to do with the turth
>
> What's a "turth"..?!?! Some pagan worship idol?
>
> > > > get over your obsession with me
> > > >
> > > You flatter yourself, Markie.
>
> > You answer every word I post that is obsession
>
> You don't pay attention. That's stupid.
>
> > and it is not flattery
>
> It's flattery when you think that ANYONE needs to "earn" respect
> with you.
>
hacking sexual coment
.
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > > > > Yep. You're lazy. You've been made to think that being "special"
> > > > > is OK and that you don't have to try and overcome your deficits. It's
> > > > > become too easy for you to be weak.
>
> > > > Stevie I am not the one taking money of the public dole and go off
> > > > pretending how macho i am
> > > >
> > > "Public dole"..?!?!
> > >
> > Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
> > it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
> > >
> > > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
> >
> > You deny being on disability?
>

> Absolutely.
>
> Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.
>
> I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I
>
> might add.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me
> of taking things that I haven't taken.

your quote has nothing to do with the matter years ago you mentioned it and now you deny it I simply don't beleive you in cahnging your story again

>
> First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> allegedging some impropriety.

yes based on your statements in the past and present

your quote supports the impropriety, as in being able to work 40 hours you clearly should not eleigble

I have no reason beyond your word that you are not double dipping, as a point of fact I believe you are, I have not been bringing it up since then since I don't think it that important, but since you insist I think you are stealing for the govt monies you are not entitled to

That isn't libel BTW since I clearly state it is my opinion

>
> Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.

are so you are

>
> Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why
> do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the
> contrary...???

You have presented NO eveidence to the contrary, none at all, Indeed I don't think you or anyone could present any eveidence in this forum

I got the idea form your post of some 6 years ago, and emails you sent in the same time frame

It is no lie when I state that I believe you are comitting fraud of some Govt agency.

wether you are or not is another matter but that I have formed that opinion is a fact

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2516. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:03:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122505409.291148.140550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> Funny thing:
>
> Amateur "control freaks" damn near reduced radio to where no one is left on the
> HF bands though there imposing ridiculous tests and rules... now they are right
> there at the door of the internet attempting to reproduce this miracle of
> destruction...
>
> ... get a clue, we were fine here before cheap computers, the un-washed-masses
> and amateurs!
>
> John

shudder the though John you and I are in complete and total agreement
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:wdTFe.88$WV4.794764@news.sisna.com...
> > Yes, well, we are not asking that you give us a set of rules to live under
> > either...
> >
> > John
> >
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1122500341.314115.321630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>> John Smith wrote:
> >>> > Lloyd:
> >>> >
> >>> > What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you
> >>> > are
> >>> > not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
> >>> >
> >>> > John
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> It is against Usenet convention to post binary files to non-binary
> >>
> >> convention not some sort of rule if there is a reason I see no reason
> >> not to post a binary here on occasion just not a whole seris of them
> >> after all it can hardly be more wasted bandwidth than we see here daily
> >>

> >>
> >>> groups. That is why binary groups were invented. Binary files are
> >>> usually very large should not be posted to regular news groups as these
> >>> groups are archived forever. The binary groups are purged ever so often,
> >>> so it isn't prudent to archive large binary files in regular newsgroups.
> >>
> >
> >

2517. Re: Italy drops code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:06:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <OrUFe.97$By7.1061991@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113877

Kim:

Then I stand corrected, obviously I was mistaken--we simply have nothing to discuss...

Strange, that has never happened before, oh well...

John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:IaUFe.1092$aT1.692@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:CpOFe.45$kD1.439368@news.sisna.com...
>> Kim:
>>
>> I know you have been offended, and now you wish to attack, belittle,
> defame and
>> outrage the object of your dislike and/or hatred (me!)
>>
>
> If you believe that I have been an attacker, belittler, defamer, and that I
> am outraged and/or have hatred for you, I say have fun with your apparent
> little trip that you are on. Your significance to me doesn't measure up
> much more than something akin to a gnat that flies up one's nose on an
> otherwise wonderful Summer night.
>
>>
>> However, be careful, in your attacks you may end up disclosing far more
> about
>> your own personality, your mind and your caliber than you would wish to
>> disclose.
>>
>
> I far from fear any disclosure about myself. I lead much too boring a life
> to care about anyone knowing Kim Walker. In fact, if there's anything you'd
> like to know, just ask. I can always deny a request, but doubt that I
> would. Anyone who knows my history here in this newsgroup would be hard
> pressed to say I am shy.
>
>>
>> You do not need all the others to band with you in your attack of me,
> indeed,
>> it is counter productive to your goals.
>>
>

> Mmm. And, uh, just what "goals" do you think I have? This is getting very
> interesting.
>
>>
>> Stand on your own two feed, Dee has set
>> an example of a strong/intelligent woman able to defend herself, stand
> others
>> back from her "space" and win the respect which she rightly deserves--you
> would
>> be well advised to study the high standards and excellent example(s) she
> sets.
>>
>
> I fully agree that Dee has high standards and has quite an excellent record
> of crisp, clear, concise communication. However, I fail to recognize what
> you feel she--or I for that matter--needs to defend herself or myself from.
> What, exactly, are you saying, John, that we should feel threatened by you
> or someone else in this newsgroup? If you are saying that, perhaps you
> would care to enlighten us as to why we should feel threatened or, at a
> minimum, why you think we would need to defend ourselves?
>
> I need not study a thing about Dee. She's been around long enough for me to
> respect her, like the things she has to say, and know that we wholeheartedly
> agree on some things and wholeheartedly disagree on some others...as it
> should be or, should I say, as I like it. How boring it would be for
> everyone to believe and think the same way.
>
>>
>> There is nothing real to fear here, we are all ladies and gentlemen (well,
>> mostly.)
>>
>
> I fail to recognize why you keep bringing up fear. However, if you've got
> yourself off on some delusion, far be it from me to attempt a reality check
> for you.
>
>>
>> If you have noticed that I, "March to the beat of another drummer." You
> are
>> correct. If you call me a, "Non-conformer", you are again correct. If
> you
>> call me a "free thinker" you are again correct. If you point out there
> may be
>> few who have my personality traits, I suspect you may indeed be correct.
>>
>
> I haven't given you much more thought than to decide that--from my
> perspective--you are one weird dude who apparently is quite impressed with
> himself. That's it. Sorry. Well, no I'm not. I'm not sorry I feel that
> way at all.

>
>>
>> If you expect those to be reasons which would make me "want to be like
> everyone
>> else" you are mistaken.
>>
>
> Oh, hardly. I am one of the most forefront non-conformers I know. Why
> would I want or expect anyone to be like everyone else? I can think of
> nothing more ugly in life.
>
>>
>> In short, "I do not shame into 'my place' easily." You may wish to pick a
>> different tool to use on me.
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>
> First, fuck your warmest regards. It's quite obvious you'll say things that
> you don't even feel are true, with that sentiment as evidence. IF--and I
> doubt this--you intended the sentiment in all seriousness, take just as
> serious my refusal to accept them. I don't care about what "place" you have
> in life.
>
> Oh, and as far as tools--you seem to have a whole host of them all by
> yourself... Perhaps next time, when I have a comment to give to someone
> else, as I did to Jim, you'll not feel so compelled to be so affected by it?
>
> Buhbye, Little John...you're just the other side of twisted for me, and I
> generally don't allow myself to get tangled up in people's fantasies. I am
> far too busy for that.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:tyKFe.2268$PC7.1042@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> > news:WECFe.2772$j21.1613@news01.roc.ny...
>> >> John,
>> >>
>> >> Sorry if I'm not impressed; 75 and 40 meters are good for hundreds of
>> > miles
>> >> on low power; thousands of miles at night. I ran 25 watts on 160
> meters
>> > and
>> >> was good to cover the United States at night.
>> >>
>> >> Power is not everything. The ability to change frequencies (I'm
> talking

>> >> decades, not channels) will determine what distances you can send and
>> >> receive well to. I remember well breaking into a group on 75 meters in
>> > the
>> >> Carolinas. I was running well under 1 watt. Way *way* under 1 watt.
>> >>
>> >> 160 meters did me quite well even in the daytime. Check in to ground
>> > wave.
>> >> Not what you think of ground wave (which is space wave); true ground
> wave.
>> >> At that frequency, if you have it vertically polarized, the wave will
>> > react
>> >> to the curvature of the earth as a knife edge. I could pound into
>> >> Washington, D.C. in the afternoon. With all of 65 watts.
>> >>
>> >> My handheld reaches into Canada 24/7. On 440 MHz. Of course, I have
> to
>> >> rely on a decent Canadian repeater for that, but the fact is that I hit
> a
>> >> Canadian repeater directly from the handheld with no Internet involved.
>> >>
>> >> On hf, the proper choice (I'll leave 160 out of this as the antennas
> are
>> >> quite unwieldy) between 80 and 40 will allow you several hundred miles
>> > 24/7.
>> >> With a modest amount of power.
>> >>
>> >> So I remain unimpressed with power alone. I buzzed right through a
>> > kilowatt
>> >> station years ago running only 75 watts. It isn't the size of the
> ship;
>> >> it's the motion through the ocean :))
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> >> Jim AA2QA
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> > heh heh, Jim...I think you're probably above this guy's ability to,
> well,
>> > understand :o
>> >
>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

2518. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:06:12 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <ErUFe.1620$oY.1278@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 113878

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:m_ydnRBOlYRPSHvfRVn-qA@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122396471.581384.310260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > [snip]
> > >>
> > >> I'll have to read the NPRM again. I didn't come to that
> > >> conclusion myself.
> > >
> > > It's not clear to me - I just assumed that since the Tech requirement
> > > would be Element 2, and since all Tech Pluses are being renewed as
> > > Tech, and the only difference between Tech and Tech Plus is Element 1.
> > >
> >
> > It's not clear at all. However, the appendix shows the proposed new
> wording
> > and the privileges sections of Part 97 remain unchanged.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >

Dee, did I see a post from you--I was just trying to look it up--where you said it looked to you like the No-Code Techs would have to upgrade to.....can't remember what class it was that was said? But, at any rate, it was stated (maybe by you) that some upgrades would have to happen?

Can you direct me to the post? I am curious about what I was reading now. If it wasn't you, no matter, I'll see if I can come across it again.

Kim W5TIT

2519. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:12:27 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <vxUFe.1622$oY.923@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 113879

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:raCdnR_LncMLTHvfRVn-gw@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
> >
> > - No change to the written tests at all
> >
> > - No new entry-level license class
> >
> > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> > not in name).
> >
>
> After re-reading the NPRM, I'm no longer so sure of that as I was. The
> appendix shows the new wording of the changed sections and the sections
that
> show privileges have not been changed. So it may be that the FCC intends
to
> keep the distinction between flavors of Tech and make the codeless
> Technicians upgrade to get any HF privileges. Their extensive discussion
> sections also seem to support that. Thirty pages including footnotes is a
> lot of details to digest.
>
> If approach is true, there are a lot of Technicians in for a shock.
>
> Anyway, I think the FCC needs to clarify that.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Ah! Here it is...wouldn't ya know it? OK, so you're thinking the NPRM in
its submitted form, if accepted and passed, would mean that Codeless
Technicians would have to upgrade?

I agree with you--lots of folks in for a shock. I am now intrigued enough
to look through there and see what it says.

My initial thoughts are that, to do so, would seem to open up a whole can of
worms from a administration perspective. I mean, as it is, I have to
produce the actual piece of paper to prove that I have the 5wpm endorsement

(if I wanted to upgrade to General under the current licensing structure).
That is, if I understand the "way it works." LOL

So, there'd be more affected than just the No-Code techs, I think. For instance, I couldn't begin to even find my endorsement and I am not sure I'll ever be able to. That would mean, essentially, I am a Codeless Tech?

Kim W5TIT

2520. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:28:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qMUFe.99$wR6.973977@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113891

Kim:

"That would mean, essentially, I am a Codeless Tech?"

Or, from your question, maybe, "A clueless tech?"

Warmest regards,
John

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:vxUFe.1622$oY.923@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:raCdnR_LncMLTHvfRVn-gw@comcast.com...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
>> > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>> >
>> > - No change to the written tests at all
>> >
>> > - No new entry-level license class
>> >
>> > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
>> > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
>> > not in name).
>> >
>>
>> After re-reading the NPRM, I'm no longer so sure of that as I was. The
>> appendix shows the new wording of the changed sections and the sections
> that
>> show privileges have not been changed. So it may be that the FCC intends
> to
>> keep the distinction between flavors of Tech and make the codeless
>> Technicians upgrade to get any HF privileges. Their extensive discussion
>> sections also seem to support that. Thirty pages including footnotes is a
>> lot of details to digest.
>>
>> If approach is true, there are a lot of Technicians in for a shock.
>>
>> Anyway, I think the FCC needs to clarify that.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>

>
> Ah! Here it is...wouldn't ya know it? OK, so you're thinking the NPRM in
> its submitted form, if accepted and passed, would mean that Codeless
> Technicians would have to upgrade?
>
> I agree with you--lots of folks in for a shock. I am now intrigued enough
> to look through there and see what it says.
>
> My initial thoughts are that, to do so, would seem to open up a whole can of
> worms from a administration perspective. I mean, as it is, I have to
> produce the actual piece of paper to prove that I have the 5wpm endorsement
> (if I wanted to upgrade to General under the current licensing structure).
> That is, if I understand the "way it works." LOL
>
> So, there'd be more affected than just the No-Code techs, I think. For
> instance, I couldn't begin to even find my endorsement and I am not sure
> I'll ever be able to. That would mean, essentially, I am a Codeless Tech?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2521. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:36:56 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <sUUFe.10173$oZ.1407@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113880

N9OGL wrote:
> File Number 0002250244 Radio Service HA - Amateur
> Call Sign K1MAN Application Status 2 - Pending
> General Information
> Mode Batch PFR Status
> VEC/Coordinator/COLEM W5YI-VEC
>
>
> Signature
> Signature Information GLENN A BAXTER Title
>
>
> Comments
> Description Date
> None
>
>
> History
> Date Event
> 07/25/2005 Authorization Printed
> 07/22/2005 Application Granted
> 07/22/2005 Redlight Review Completed
> All History (4)
>
Unless the FCC brearucratic language is confusing, it
looks like his renewal went thru. So maybe he
ponied up the money????

But looking him up at the fcc database shows his
license expiring on Oct 17, 2005 so I don't know
what the above (if in fact real) means....
>

2522. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:42:23 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <zZUFe.10176$oZ.1813@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:

> Lloyd:
>
> What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you are
> not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>
Similar to informal band plans, by gentlemen's agreements
no binary file posting on non binary file newsgroups.
"Binary" newsgroups are usually marked "alt.binaries.whatever"

2523. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:43:25 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <x_UFe.10177$oZ.2509@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LRod wrote:

> On 27 Jul 2005 16:57:23 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
>>On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 01:30:47 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>***458 lines of crap snipped***
>>
>>go back to your ancient cw because you --->shure<--- as hell dont
>>know squat about modren things like the net.
>
>
> Yep, definitely a no coder.
>
Use Morse code next time you want to send a binary :-)

2524. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-27 23:57:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WbVFe.104$ed5.837689@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Robert:

I am aware that it would be "tacky" to start hundreds of binaries flowing in the group here.

However, a uuencoded/decoded binary of pics of an op, shack, equip, antenna, object or place of interest, etc only enhances the newsgroup experience...

But don't even get the idea these old farts are fooling me, they couldn't uuencode a binary and post it if their lives depended on it... and even if the pic was a hot mama in a thong--they'd die before uudecoding/seeing the pic! <grin>

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:zZUFe.10176$oZ.1813@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you
>> are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>>
> Similar to informal band plans, by gentlemen's agreements
> no binary file posting on non binary file newsgroups.
> "Binary" newsgroups are usually marked "alt.binaries.whatever"

2525. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 00:06:26 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <na8ge1pvkjvu3val0m78s9klka3l2vdhl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 113884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 15:32:58 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>"set of them" ???
>
>... were you referring to cajones???
>

I'm sure he was referring to "cojones." I don't know what "cajones"
are.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2526. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 00:08:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VlVFe.107$Eq6.922774@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Robert:

Do you see a pattern here, they can't do it (from lack of knowledge and unwillingness to learn a new method), so they just attempt to stop everyone else--now there is the real "state of amateur radio today!"

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:WbVFe.104$ed5.837689@news.sisna.com...
> Robert:
>
> I am aware that it would be "tacky" to start hundreds of binaries flowing in
> the group here.
>
> However, a uuencoded/decoded binary of pics of an op, shack, equip, antenna,
> object or place of interest, etc only enhances the newsgroup experience...
>
> But don't even get the idea these old farts are fooling me, they couldn't
> uuencode a binary and post it if their lives depended on it... and even if
> the pic was a hot mama in a thong--they'd die before uudecoding/seeing the
> pic! <grin>
>
> John
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:zZUFe.10176$oZ.1813@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Lloyd:
>>>
>>> What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you
>>> are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>>>
>> Similar to informal band plans, by gentlemen's agreements
>> no binary file posting on non binary file newsgroups.
>> "Binary" newsgroups are usually marked "alt.binaries.whatever"
>
>

2527. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 00:12:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NpVFe.108$jl7.1036450@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LRod:

Cajones = gonads, balls(sl), testes, etc... yanno... those testosterone things
50% of the population possess...

John

"LRod" <duckecho@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:na8ge1pvkjvu3val0m78s9klka3l2vdhl6@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 15:32:58 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>"set of them" ???
>>
>>... were you referring to cajones???
>>
>
> I'm sure he was referring to "cojones." I don't know what "cajones"
> are.
>
> --
> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>
> Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2528. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 00:31:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zdGdnQ00FN4VunXfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 113887

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122500181.819760.310450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
> This means the effective date of the FCC action that completely
> eliminates Morse Code testing for any class of amateur radio
> license in the USA.
>
> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> passed:
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003 (first prediction)
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N4PGW: May 22, 2005
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
> N2EY: March 1, 2006 (second prediction)
> KB9RQZ: April 1, 2006
> K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
> WA2SI: Complete elimination not within the foreseeable future (second
> prediction)
>
> Anybody already on the list want to add a prediction? If so, the
> "rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>
> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> add a new one.
>
> At this point everyone before and including N8UZE can add a new
> prediction.
>
> All predictions stay on the list.

>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

OK, I pick January 31, 2006 for my new quess.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2529. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 00:37:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122511050.544917.271640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113888

Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
This means the effective date of the FCC action that completely
eliminates Morse Code testing for any class of amateur radio
license in the USA.

Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
passed:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003 (first prediction)
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW: May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005 (first prediction)
N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
N8UZE: January 31, 2006 (second prediction)
N2EY: March 1, 2006 (second prediction)
KB9RQZ: April 1, 2006
K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008
WA2SI: Complete elimination not within the foreseeable future (second
prediction)

Anybody already on the list want to add a prediction? If so, the
"rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:

If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
add a new one.

At this point everyone before and including N8UZE can add a new
prediction.

All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2530. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 00:51:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <v_VFe.117$oJ1.1536170@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113889

N2EY:

I predict, because of this new threat which will disclose the sheer simplicity of amateur radio, the old hams will rally-up with a burst of new energy and surprise us all....

I think a new (re-discovered really) technology will be developed by the hams, in a joint effort of all classes, to develop and perfect the arcane lost knowledge of reincarnation.

Dead hams will arise from their graves, grab keys and re-new old expired sk licenses.

Element 1 shall never pass from this earth!

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122500181.819760.310450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
> This means the effective date of the FCC action that completely
> eliminates Morse Code testing for any class of amateur radio
> license in the USA.
>
> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> passed:
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003 (first prediction)
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N4PGW: May 22, 2005
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
> N2EY: March 1, 2006 (second prediction)
> KB9RQZ: April 1, 2006
> K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
> AB2RC: July 1, 2007

> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
> WA2SI: Complete elimination not within the foreseeable future (second
> prediction)
>
> Anybody already on the list want to add a prediction? If so, the
> "rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>
> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> add a new one.
>
> At this point everyone before and including N8UZE can add a new
> prediction.
>
> All predictions stay on the list.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2531. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 00:57:42 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LYydnRt_K5cqsHXfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 113890

```
"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:vxUFe.1622$oY.923@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:raCdnR_LncMLTHvfRVn-gw@comcast.com...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
>> > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>> >
>> > - No change to the written tests at all
>> >
>> > - No new entry-level license class
>> >
>> > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
>> > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
>> > not in name).
>> >
>>
>> After re-reading the NPRM, I'm no longer so sure of that as I was. The
>> appendix shows the new wording of the changed sections and the sections
> that
>> show privileges have not been changed. So it may be that the FCC intends
> to
>> keep the distinction between flavors of Tech and make the codeless
>> Technicians upgrade to get any HF privileges. Their extensive discussion
>> sections also seem to support that. Thirty pages including footnotes is
>> a
>> lot of details to digest.
>>
>> If approach is true, there are a lot of Technicians in for a shock.
>>
>> Anyway, I think the FCC needs to clarify that.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> Ah! Here it is...wouldn't ya know it? OK, so you're thinking the NPRM in
> its submitted form, if accepted and passed, would mean that Codeless
> Technicians would have to upgrade?

They would have to upgrade to get HF privileges. Unless of course they take
the code in the near future and become Techs with code and thus gain the
```

Tech Plus privileges. I've read it a couple of more times and it seems to say that no one gets any changes in privileges. No code Techs will continue to be VHF only unless they upgrade to General. Techs with code will continue with their current VHF + very limited HF unless they upgrade to General. Any one wanting more privileges than they currently have will be required to upgrade. Basically the FCC has chosen to fully support those who wanted no automatic upgrades.

> I agree with you--lots of folks in for a shock. I am now intrigued enough
> to look through there and see what it says.
>

I'd suggest reading it several times. As I said it's a lot to digest at once and it's not as clearly written as one would hope. However, I found it was much clearer on the second and third readings.

> My initial thoughts are that, to do so, would seem to open up a whole can
> of
> worms from a administration perspective. I mean, as it is, I have to
> produce the actual piece of paper to prove that I have the 5wpm
> endorsement
> (if I wanted to upgrade to General under the current licensing structure).
> That is, if I understand the "way it works." LOL
>

Yes, under they system now in place, you would have to produce your Tech Plus license to upgrade. Other proof is an old Novice license even if expired. Everyone else would have to produce a CSCE not more than 365 days old.

Under the new system, one would not have to have anything other than credit for Element 2 (the Tech written), i.e. a Technician license of any type.

> So, there'd be more affected than just the No-Code techs, I think. For
> instance, I couldn't begin to even find my endorsement and I am not sure
> I'll ever be able to. That would mean, essentially, I am a Codeless Tech?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

You will still have your current Tech Plus privileges if and when the NPRM goes through. Just keep a copy of your license that says Tech Plus when you renew. The FCC database will show your "Previous Class" as Tech Plus so that should be sufficient should there be an issue. Other things you can do are get copies of old callbook pages or even records from the FCC archives. The FCC does charge a fee for the latter but it can be worth it.

Again, it looks like the NPRM was crafted in such a manner that no one loses any privileges that they now have and that they will not gain any privileges that they don't already have unless they take the appropriate test. It looks like the FCC took great care in this regard as there is lingering "ill will" about lost privileges from various earlier changes and a lot of

negative comments in this go round about "automatic upgrades".

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2532. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 01:39:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tHWFe.9499$dU3.9041@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113892

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>> I'm certainly hoping it isn't relaxation of the other test requirements!
>
> I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
> am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
> say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
> for written.

NCI Executive Director Carl Stevenson is on record as an enthusiastic supporter of free passes for all Novices and Tech to General, and all Advanced to Extra without further testing. As I recall, NCI submitted official comments of this nature to the FCC. Sure smacks of "relaxation of test requirement for written" to me.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2533. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 01:48:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122515326.709741.105210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113893

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> >> I'm certainly hoping it isn't relaxation of the other test requirements!
> > >
> > > I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
> > > am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
> > > say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
> > > for written.
>
> NCI Executive Director Carl Stevenson is on record as an enthusiastic supporter
> of free passes for all Novices and Tech to General, and all Advanced to Extra
> without further testing. As I recall, NCI submitted official comments of this
> nature to the FCC. Sure smacks of "relaxation of test requirement for written"
> to me.

NCI has supported simplifng the maze of licenses out there so what?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2534. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 01:49:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122515390.451741.50060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113894

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> I predict, because of this new threat which will disclose the sheer simplicity
> of amateur radio, the old hams will rally-up with a burst of new energy and
> surprise us all....
>
> I think a new (re-discovered really) technology will be developed by the hams,
> in a joint effort of all classes, to develop and perfect the arcane lost
> knowledge of reincarnation.

you are going to have Stevie accsuing you of being me ole boy if you
are not careful
>
> Dead hams will arise from their graves, grab keys and re-new old expired sk
> licenses.
>
> Element 1 shall never pass from this earth!
>
> John
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122500181.819760.310450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
> > This means the effective date of the FCC action that completely
> > eliminates Morse Code testing for any class of amateur radio
> > license in the USA.
> >
> > Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> > passed:
> >
> > WA2SI: September 13, 2003 (first prediction)
> > KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> > KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> > K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
> > K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> > AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> > N2EY: April 15, 2004
> > N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
> > KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> > WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> > K3LT: September 15, 2004
> > WK3C: December 30, 2004
> > N4PGW: May 22, 2005

> > N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> > N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
> > N2EY: March 1, 2006 (second prediction)
> > KB9RQZ: April 1, 2006
> > K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
> > AB2RC: July 1, 2007
> > KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> > W5TIT: June 1, 2008
> > WA2SI: Complete elimination not within the foreseeable future (second
> > prediction)
> >
> > Anybody already on the list want to add a prediction? If so, the
> > "rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:
> >
> > If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> > add a new one.
> >
> > At this point everyone before and including N8UZE can add a new
> > prediction.
> >
> > All predictions stay on the list.
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

2535. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 01:58:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eZWFe.9503$dU3.3926@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113895

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
> am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
> say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
> for written.
>

Bill,

Just to make sure I had not mis-characterized the NCI position, I checked their comments to RM-10867, on file at FCC's web site. My recollection was accurate, in that it expressed unconditional support of the proposal for free upgrades.

In my veiw such granting of instant upgrades from Technician to General for almost a third-of-a-million licensees would make a mockery of the written examinations..

Today the General exam requires passing two 35-question written examinations, and the Technician requires passing only the simpler of those two exams.

The ARRL petition would essentially grant a one-time waiver of the second (harder) of the examinations, in effect holding a one-day sale of "half-price" General licenses to these 330,000 licensees. This is not some trivial "one-time adjustment" --- in fact if it were adopted, the vast majority of the General licensees would never have successfully passed the test required for that license class!

In the world of "unintended consequence" the effect of this give-away would be that the commission would have ipso-facto established that today's Technician examination is perfectly adequate for a General class license, and the credibility of the qualification structure in the Amateur Radio Service would be destroyed (especially since these "new" Generals would presumably now receive credit for the "General" written element when they proceed to upgrade to Extra).

73, de Hans, K0HB

2536. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:03:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113897

Kim wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:raCdnR_LncMLTHvfRVn-gw@comcast.com...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
> > > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
> > >
> > > - No change to the written tests at all
> > >
> > > - No new entry-level license class
> > >
> > > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
> > > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
> > > not in name).
> > >
> >
> > After re-reading the NPRM, I'm no longer so sure of that as I was. The
> > appendix shows the new wording of the changed sections and the sections
> that
> > show privileges have not been changed. So it may be that the FCC intends
> to
> > keep the distinction between flavors of Tech and make the codeless
> > Technicians upgrade to get any HF privileges. Their extensive discussion
> > sections also seem to support that. Thirty pages including footnotes is a
> > lot of details to digest.
> >
> > If approach is true, there are a lot of Technicians in for a shock.
> >
> > Anyway, I think the FCC needs to clarify that.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
>
> Ah! Here it is...wouldn't ya know it? OK, so you're thinking > the NPRM in
> its submitted form, if accepted and passed, would mean that
> Codeless Technicians would have to upgrade?
>
> I agree with you--lots of folks in for a shock. I am now
> intrigued enough
> to look through there and see what it says.
>

> My initial thoughts are that, to do so, would seem to open up a > whole can of
> worms from a administration perspective. I mean, as it is, I
> have to
> produce the actual piece of paper to prove that I have the 5wpm > endorsement
> (if I wanted to upgrade to General under the current licensing > structure).
> That is, if I understand the "way it works." LOL

That's how it works now, Kim. But that piece of paper could be
an old license that says "Technician Plus", or a page from an
old Callbook, etc. Or a listing from the FCC database showing your
former license class as "Technician Plus".

> So, there'd be more affected than just the No-Code techs, I
> think. For
> instance, I couldn't begin to even find my endorsement and I am > not sure
> I'll ever be able to. That would mean, essentially, I am a
> Codeless Tech?

Since the changes of April 2000, FCC has left it up to the Technician
licensee (!) to retain documentation that they
are/were Tech Pluses.

It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
they got Generals or Extras.

Of course the "simple" solution is to upgrade to General or Extra.

73 de Jim N2EY

2537. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:04:55 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <xPqdnSmIdfI0oXXfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> Yes, well, we are not asking that you give us a set of rules to live under
> either...

It isn't my rules, it is Usenet convention.

2538. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:06:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gs6dnZn32_gmoHXfRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113898

Dave Heil wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
>>
>>> Mike Coslo wrote in part:
>>>
>>>
>>>> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
>>>> Jaegermeister.............
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Pretty close! I used to work with a guy who was addicted to
>> Nyquil. Decent guy, medicine breath!
>
>
> I had a co-worker who rinsed his mouth frequently with Listerine. The
> only problem was that he never spit the stuff out.

ACK! He didn't have ulcers by any chance, did he? once after some oral surgery, I was gargling a lot with the stuff, and the wound wouldn't heal. The dentist finally told me to stop using the stuff because it was so corrosive.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2539. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:10:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wZ6dnVCUCu8Io3XfRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 113900

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
>>
>>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:8ZqdnblaYfcXbnvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
>>>>
>>>>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Unclaimed Mysteries"
>>>>>><theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net> wrote in
>
>
>>>Another reason not to worry is that even the best trolling is barely
>>>able to keep pace with some people's sincerely held beliefs.
>>>
>>>73 Corry K4DOH
>>>
>>>It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
>>>http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net
>>>
>>>Of course I went to law school. - Warren Zevon, "Mr. Bad Example"
>>
>>Send lawyers guns and money......
>>
>
>
> "He's just an excitable boy"
>
>
>> Warren could be the next apostle.
>
>
> Sadly, he's with the originals now...
>
> ---
>
> Q: How many Bush Administration officials does
> it take to change a light bulb?
>
> A: None.
```

>
> There is nothing wrong with the light bulb; its
> conditions are improving every day. Any reports
> of its lack of incandescence are delusional spin
> from the liberal media. That light bulb has served
> honorably, and anything you say undermines the
> lighting effect. Why do you hate freedom?

hehe.... Don't forget that the present dark light bulb is getting
better every day, and should soon send forth its radiant light over the
whole world!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2540. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:11:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <79ednTXc38G_o3XfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> Funny thing:
>
> Amateur "control freaks" damn near reduced radio to where no one is left on the
> HF bands though there imposing ridiculous tests and rules... now they are right
> there at the door of the internet attempting to reproduce this miracle of
> destruction...

You obviously don't ever listen to HF.

2541. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:13:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122516803.505417.104900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113902

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> >
> > I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
> > am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
> > say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
> > for written.
> >
>
> Bill,
>
> Just to make sure I had not mis-characterized the NCI position, I checked their
> comments to RM-10867, on file at FCC's web site. My recollection was accurate,
> in that it expressed unconditional support of the proposal for free upgrades.
>
> In my veiw such granting of instant upgrades from Technician to General for
> almost a third-of-a-million licensees would make a mockery of the written
> examinations..

in your view ok doesn't make it so

In mine for example giving the upgrade esp to those who have held the
leicense while it produces a retification of past in justices but the
FCC disagreed which isn't going to bother NCI or NCI members

>
> Today the General exam requires passing two 35-question written examinations,
> and the Technician requires passing only the simpler of those two exams.
>
> The ARRL petition would essentially grant a one-time waiver of the second
> (harder) of the examinations, in effect holding a one-day sale of "half-price"
> General licenses to these 330,000 licensees. This is not some trivial "one-time
> adjustment" --- in fact if it were adopted, the vast majority of the General
> licensees would never have successfully passed the test required for that
> license class!

incorrect if they received the license then would have passed the
required test

>
> In the world of "unintended consequence" the effect of this give-away would be
> that the commission would have ipso-facto established that today's Technician
> examination is perfectly adequate for a General class license, and the

not really just would ahve showed, If the FCC had done it, that time in
service was also of value

> credibility of the qualification structure in the Amateur Radio Service would be
> destroyed (especially since these "new" Generals would presumably now receive
> credit for the "General" written element when they proceed to upgrade to Extra).

of course they would and their would be no more or less credibility
than the current system their are always thoose saying the new license
holder did not earn it, nothing the FCC could have done and nothing
they can do will do change that

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2542. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:13:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-u-dnSZhDKoQonXfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 113903

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Kim wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:raCdnR_LncMLTHvfRVn-gw@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite
>> > >a
>> > > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>> > >
>> > > - No change to the written tests at all
>> > >
>> > > - No new entry-level license class
>> > >
>> > > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except
>> > > that
>> > > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges
>> > > but
>> > > not in name).
>> > >
>> >
>> > After re-reading the NPRM, I'm no longer so sure of that as I was. The
>> > appendix shows the new wording of the changed sections and the sections
>> that
>> > show privileges have not been changed. So it may be that the FCC
>> > intends
>> to
>> > keep the distinction between flavors of Tech and make the codeless
>> > Technicians upgrade to get any HF privileges. Their extensive
>> > discussion
>> > sections also seem to support that. Thirty pages including footnotes
>> > is a
>> > lot of details to digest.
>> >
>> > If approach is true, there are a lot of Technicians in for a shock.
>> >
>> > Anyway, I think the FCC needs to clarify that.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> >
>>
```

>> Ah! Here it is...wouldn't ya know it? OK, so you're thinking > the NPRM
>> in
>> its submitted form, if accepted and passed, would mean that
>> Codeless Technicians would have to upgrade?
>>
>> I agree with you--lots of folks in for a shock. I am now
>> intrigued enough
>> to look through there and see what it says.
>>
>> My initial thoughts are that, to do so, would seem to open up a > whole
>> can of
>> worms from a administration perspective. I mean, as it is, I
>> have to
>> produce the actual piece of paper to prove that I have the 5wpm >
>> endorsement
>> (if I wanted to upgrade to General under the current licensing >
>> structure).
>> That is, if I understand the "way it works." LOL
>
> That's how it works now, Kim. But that piece of paper could be
> an old license that says "Technician Plus", or a page from an
> old Callbook, etc. Or a listing from the FCC database showing your
> former license class as "Technician Plus".
>
>> So, there'd be more affected than just the No-Code techs, I
>> think. For
>> instance, I couldn't begin to even find my endorsement and I am > not
>> sure
>> I'll ever be able to. That would mean, essentially, I am a
>> Codeless Tech?
>
> Since the changes of April 2000, FCC has left it up to the Technician
> licensee (!) to retain documentation that they
> are/were Tech Pluses.
>
> It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
> Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
> they got Generals or Extras.

Which is exactly what the NPRM says now that I've read it several times.
Each "flavor" of Technician maintains its current privileges. To gain
additional privileges will require taking the appropriate written test.
They remark that upgrading will require taking only the "simple" General
written exam.

In other words anyone who wants more privileges than they currently have
will have to take a test.

>
> Of course the "simple" solution is to upgrade to General or Extra.
>

And as I read the comments in the NPRM, that is exactly what they want licensees to do rather than give them any freebies at their current grade. In other words they do not want people to be content with an entry level license.

> 73 de Jim N2EY
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2543. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:13:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <79ednTTc38Ejo3XfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

robert casey wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words,
>> "you are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news
>> groups..." ????
>>
> Similar to informal band plans, by gentlemen's agreements
> no binary file posting on non binary file newsgroups.
> "Binary" newsgroups are usually marked "alt.binaries.whatever"

There is the problem, "gentlemen's agreement", but John is no gentleman,
kinda like on the Childrens Band where he fits in real well.

2544. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:17:20 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <79ednTfc38EPonXfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113904

an_old_ whateverheis wrote:
>>
>>Wrong, your stupidity is your problem. As said before, you can't even
>>put together a clear sentence that can be understood, and then you blame
>>everyone else because they can't understand you gibbrish. Again, dumber
>>than a bowl of dirt.
>
>
> then don't read it buzz nobody is making you
>

That's the problem you idjit, half of what you post isn't readable.

2545. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:18:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mgXFe.135$Hh2.1945675@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

commander:

Furnish me with a URL to a document by usenet on the false specs you are attempting to pass off on the un-witting hams, and others, here. Where is a usenet "Official Faq" stating what you are claiming?

No, it is you "control freaking" and thinking someone will pay attention... now you will probably wonder why people will ignore your other "facts." Perhaps you are confused?

Like I say, if I even posted a uuencoded binary here, how many could even deal with it and end up with an app they could use or a pic they could view, most likely NONE!

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message news:xPqdnSmIdfI0oXXfRVn-rg@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Yes, well, we are not asking that you give us a set of rules to live under
>> either...
>
> It isn't my rules, it is Usenet convention.

2546. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:25:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JmXFe.136$7A2.2345067@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113905

KXHB:

I sympathize...

That is too drastic a change.

Most here probably remember the big stock market crash and the depression of the 30's (I wasn't born yet--two decades later.) People jumped out of windows and committed suicide in terrible ways--just imagine all the hams doing this--would be anti-productive--end up with fewer licensees as a result of such action by the FCC!

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:eZWFe.9503$dU3.3926@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>>
>> I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
>> am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
>> say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
>> for written.
>>
>
> Bill,
>
> Just to make sure I had not mis-characterized the NCI position, I checked
> their comments to RM-10867, on file at FCC's web site. My recollection was
> accurate, in that it expressed unconditional support of the proposal for free
> upgrades.
>
> In my veiw such granting of instant upgrades from Technician to General for
> almost a third-of-a-million licensees would make a mockery of the written
> examinations..
>
> Today the General exam requires passing two 35-question written examinations,
> and the Technician requires passing only the simpler of those two exams.
>
> The ARRL petition would essentially grant a one-time waiver of the second
> (harder) of the examinations, in effect holding a one-day sale of
> "half-price" General licenses to these 330,000 licensees. This is not some
> trivial "one-time adjustment" --- in fact if it were adopted, the vast
> majority of the General licensees would never have successfully passed the
> test required for that license class!
>

> In the world of "unintended consequence" the effect of this give-away would
> be that the commission would have ipso-facto established that today's
> Technician examination is perfectly adequate for a General class license, and
> the credibility of the qualification structure in the Amateur Radio Service
> would be destroyed (especially since these "new" Generals would presumably
> now receive credit for the "General" written element when they proceed to
> upgrade to Extra).
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

2547. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:26:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UnXFe.137$bC2.2414680@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113909

N2EY:

Could the CB'ers get by with a note from their mother? Or, is that too much to hope for?

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Kim wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:raCdnR_LncMLTHvfRVn-gw@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1122371162.680877.245450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > >I find it interesting to note what is *not* part of the NPRM, despite a
>> > > bunch of proposals that asked for changes beyond code testing:
>> > >
>> > > - No change to the written tests at all
>> > >
>> > > - No new entry-level license class
>> > >
>> > > - No free upgrades or consolidations of existing licenses, except that
>> > > all Technicians will essentially become Tech Pluses (in privileges but
>> > > not in name).
>> > >
>> >
>> > After re-reading the NPRM, I'm no longer so sure of that as I was. The
>> > appendix shows the new wording of the changed sections and the sections
>> that
>> > show privileges have not been changed. So it may be that the FCC intends
>> to
>> > keep the distinction between flavors of Tech and make the codeless
>> > Technicians upgrade to get any HF privileges. Their extensive discussion
>> > sections also seem to support that. Thirty pages including footnotes is a
>> > lot of details to digest.
>> >
>> > If approach is true, there are a lot of Technicians in for a shock.
>> >
>> > Anyway, I think the FCC needs to clarify that.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> >
>>

>> Ah! Here it is...wouldn't ya know it? OK, so you're thinking > the NPRM in
>> its submitted form, if accepted and passed, would mean that
>> Codeless Technicians would have to upgrade?
>>
>> I agree with you--lots of folks in for a shock. I am now
>> intrigued enough
>> to look through there and see what it says.
>>
>> My initial thoughts are that, to do so, would seem to open up a > whole can
>> of
>> worms from a administration perspective. I mean, as it is, I
>> have to
>> produce the actual piece of paper to prove that I have the 5wpm >
>> endorsement
>> (if I wanted to upgrade to General under the current licensing > structure).
>> That is, if I understand the "way it works." LOL
>
> That's how it works now, Kim. But that piece of paper could be
> an old license that says "Technician Plus", or a page from an
> old Callbook, etc. Or a listing from the FCC database showing your
> former license class as "Technician Plus".
>
>> So, there'd be more affected than just the No-Code techs, I
>> think. For
>> instance, I couldn't begin to even find my endorsement and I am > not sure
>> I'll ever be able to. That would mean, essentially, I am a
>> Codeless Tech?
>
> Since the changes of April 2000, FCC has left it up to the Technician
> licensee (!) to retain documentation that they
> are/were Tech Pluses.
>
> It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
> Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
> they got Generals or Extras.
>
> Of course the "simple" solution is to upgrade to General or Extra.
>
> 73 de Jim N2EY
>

2548. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:33:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <muXFe.138$6x2.2287742@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

commander:

There is no "gentleman's agreement."

What you got there is some crafty old farts attempting to pull the wool over peoples eyes with fancy "feel good terms" like "gentleman's agreement."

You are a cheap shot idiot!
Nothing angers me more quickly than a liar in sheep's clothing attempting to make fools out of the people he attempts to "get over on"...

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:79ednTTc38Ejo3XfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com...
> robert casey wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Lloyd:
>>>
>>> What are you claiming as evidence for this claim, in your own words, "you
>>> are not supposed to be posting binary files in regular news groups..." ????
>>>
>> Similar to informal band plans, by gentlemen's agreements
>> no binary file posting on non binary file newsgroups.
>> "Binary" newsgroups are usually marked "alt.binaries.whatever"
>
> There is the problem, "gentlemen's agreement", but John is no gentleman,
> kinda like on the Childrens Band where he fits in real well.

2549. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 02:56:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <APXFe.141$jB2.2379891@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd:

Where in the hell are nuts like you coming from?

You fool, I am tempted to post a binary right here, just what the hell do you think, with your mentally incapacitated mind, you are going to do about it?

If your intimidating threats even come close to working on some poor meek individual--you will sorely find they fail with me!

Go home... do not darken our doorsteps here, there is nothing here for you man...

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message news:87013437833.2458Fff8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 22:29:34 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> So sue me TWIT.
>
> I dont have to. I will just tell abuse@mindspring.com that you are
> voilating there terms of service. after that you will wish you had
> been sued but it will be to late. dont make me do it because I am a
> piece loving person and just wanted to warn you. but I will do it if
> you push to hard because I dont take crap from anytone any more. I
> even filed a police complaint against someone this morning in
> glendale and he never thought I would because he is a girly man.

2550. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 03:35:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122521719.162293.44640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113910

John Smith wrote:
> KXHB:
>
> I sympathize...
>
> That is too drastic a change.
>
> Most here probably remember the big stock market crash and the depression of
> the 30's (I wasn't born yet--two decades later.) People jumped out of windows
> and committed suicide in terrible ways--just imagine all the hams doing
> this--would be anti-productive--end up with fewer licensees as a result of such
> action by the FCC!
>
> John
>
that is rich of course iwas born 3 and half decades later than black
monday myself

2551. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:01:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sMYFe.5333$0C.1972@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113911

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>>>>
>>>>Few what?
>>>
>>>
>>>few countiries ago as I said
>>
> ranting delected

Delected, huh?

>>Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few
>>countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a
>>different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it
>>was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the
>>Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
>>you meant to convey?
>
>
> none if you like none was making you read anything

None if I like none? What the hell is that supposed to mean? You
mispell; you don't capitalize; you leave out all punctuation.

> as to that being one country ago I disagree but I can always count on
> you to beat dead horses

I note that none of my questions was answered and that the matter hasn't
been cleared up at all by you.

You're a regular box of Alpha-Bits, Mark.

Dave K8MN

2552. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:06:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122523564.129574.284190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113912

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
masive cut

> > none if you like none was making you read anything
>
> None if I like none? What the hell is that supposed to mean? You
> mispell; you don't capitalize; you leave out all punctuation.

yea so

it means as I have said over and over
don't read my stuff if you like

>
> > as to that being one country ago I disagree but I can always count on
> > you to beat dead horses
>
> I note that none of my questions was answered and that the matter hasn't
> been cleared up at all by you.

nah your problem is you didn't like the answers you and stevie have
that in comon, you don't get the answer you wnat you get insulting and
abusive it gets boring

>
> You're a regular box of Alpha-Bits, Mark.
>
> Dave K8MN

2553. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:09:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1UYFe.5336$0C.1044@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113913

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>>>>
>>>>>>>>Few what?
>>>>
>>>>>>>few countiries ago as I said
>>>>
>>>>>>>That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
>>>>>>>"countiries". Want to go for "countries"?
>>>>
>>>>How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?
>>>
>>>
>>>already answered a dozen or so times
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
>>>>>>I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
>>>>
>>>>It's still not clear that the word is "countries".
>>>
>>>
>>>not my problem
>>

>>Wrong, your stupidity is your problem. As said before, you can't even
>>put together a clear sentence that can be understood, and then you blame
>>everyone else because they can't understand you gibbrish. Again, dumber
>>than a bowl of dirt.
>
>
> then don't read it buzz nobody is making you

You miss the point, Mark. Nobody can read some of the stuff you post.
If you dispense with spelling, capitalization and punctuation, why post
at all? Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?

Dave K8MN

2554. Changing The Subject Line Won't Hide Your Misdeeds and Lies, Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:11:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122523903.576444.237700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113914

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> hacking sexual coment

Whcih is to say you "hacked" nothing. There was no "sexual coment"
therein.

> > > > "Public dole"..?!?!
> > >
> > > Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
> > > it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
> > > >
> > > > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
> > >
> > > You deny being on disability?
> >
> > Absolutely.
> >
> > Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.
> >
> > I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I
> >
> > might add.
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me
> > of taking things that I haven't taken.
>
> your quote has nothing to do with the matter years ago you mentioned it
> and now you deny it I simply don't beleive you in cahnging your story
> again

"cahngubg" THAT story? I've never claimed to be on disability, nor
id I was would I brag about it.

> > First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> > allegedging some impropriety.
>
> yes based on your statements in the past and present

Based upon WHAT statements "in the past and present"...???

I've NEVER stated that I am on disability.

> your quote supports the impropriety, as in being able to work 40 hours
> you clearly should not eleigble

Supports WHAT impropriety?

I am working, ergo do NOT claim ANY "disability".

So from WHAT source did you glean this information?

> I have no reason beyond your word that you are not double dipping, as a
> point of fact I believe you are...(SNIP)

First of all, you obviously don't know what "double dipping" is.

(You screwed up "drafted", so why should I be surprised?)

"Double dipping", as it applies in COMMON vernacular, is a federal
retiree who completed one career-length period of employment,
"retired", then completes a second career-length period of employment,
thereby securing a second "retirement".

> I have not been bringing it up since
> then since I don't think it that important, but since you insist I
> think you are stealing for the govt monies you are not entitled to

Then you've demonstrated what a COMPLETE idiot you are by making
such a statement without an iota of evidence.

> That isn't libel BTW since I clearly state it is my opinion

yes it is, since you allege the perpetration of a felony without
evidence, opinion or not.

> > Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> > I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
>
> are so you are

Nope.

> > Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why
> > do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the
> > contrary...???
>
> You have presented NO eveidence to the contrary, none at all, Indeed I
> don't think you or anyone could present any eveidence in this forum

You're welcome to search my name and DOB on public files in the
State of Tennessee, Markie. The only "hit" you'll find are my driver's
license and Nursing license.

> I got the idea form your post of some 6 years ago, and emails you sent
> in the same time frame

I have never claimed drawing any disability pay,
Markie...ESPEICALLY in any alleged (ie: never happened) "e-mails".

Once again, you substantiate my claims of your lack of situational awareness and attention to detail.

> It is no lie when I state that I believe you are comitting fraud of
> some Govt agency.

And it is no lie on MY part when I state that I believe you need to be under the supervision of mental health case workers, or at the very least a reputable vice cop.

> wether you are or not is another matter but that I have formed that
> opinion is a fact

You've broken new ground here, Markie. Looks like it't time to have a chat with the folks at Houghton County SO. Someone up there needs to know about you.

BTW...How did you manage to screw up your address change with the FCC so badly? Geeze, Markie, how many time do you think it's necessary to note "PO" box in the address line???

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

2555. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:14:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GYYFe.6217$Uk3.3819@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113915

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

> Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?

The ILA ("Illiterate Legion of America") is insulted that you'd call Mark an illiterate.

2556. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:22:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <W3ZFe.5339$0C.2566@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113916

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
>>>
>>>> Mike Coslo wrote in part:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
>>>>> Jaegermeister.............
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Pretty close! I used to work with a guy who was addicted to
>>> Nyquil. Decent guy, medicine breath!
>>
>>
>>
>> I had a co-worker who rinsed his mouth frequently with Listerine. The
>> only problem was that he never spit the stuff out.
>
>
> ACK! He didn't have ulcers by any chance, did he? once after some
> oral surgery, I was gargling a lot with the stuff, and the wound
> wouldn't heal. The dentist finally told me to stop using the stuff
> because it was so corrosive.

I think ulcers might have been the least of his worries. I hope his
liver had a sense of humor. The guy would often mention that he'd given
up drinking several years earlier.

Dave K8MN

2557. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:26:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f8ZFe.149$YY2.2546806@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113917

```
Given up drinking what, water, straight vodka?

Now only did the mix of 50/50 vodka and listerine? lol

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:W3ZFe.5339$0C.2566@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Unclaimed Mysteries wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Mike Coslo wrote in part:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> I think I've discovered the secret recipe for
>>>>>> Jaegermeister.............
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> You mean it doesn't include NyQuil?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Pretty close! I used to work with a guy who was addicted to Nyquil.
>>>> Decent guy, medicine breath!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I had a co-worker who rinsed his mouth frequently with Listerine. The only
>>> problem was that he never spit the stuff out.
>>
>>
>> ACK! He didn't have ulcers by any chance, did he? once after some oral
>> surgery, I was gargling a lot with the stuff, and the wound wouldn't heal.
>> The dentist finally told me to stop using the stuff because it was so
```

>> corrosive.
>
> I think ulcers might have been the least of his worries. I hope his liver
> had a sense of humor. The guy would often mention that he'd given up
> drinking several years earlier.
>
> Dave K8MN

2558. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:29:29 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eonge1lnop3le4ldpeornpi0c1ghg7l5vr@4ax.com>
Source record: 113918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 17:12:05 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>LRod:
>
>Cajones = gonads, balls(sl), testes, etc... yanno... those testosterone things
>50% of the population possess...

Yeah, "cojones." Look it up.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2559. Mark So Impressed With Himself He Posts Same Dreck Two Times In A Row...Suggests That He, Lennie and Brain More Qualified to Do PR for ARES, etc...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:37:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122525469.206050.142800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113919

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > cut to the chase
> > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > >
> > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. <removing the non operative sentence>
> > >
> > > clear sign of mental Illness Stevie boy.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes it is

Whelp, here again we have a lay person making a diagnosis.

Where's your medical license, DreckieBoy?

> > Clear sign that I have things that mean a lot to me, Amateur Radio
> > being one of them.
>
> clearly mental that you view a dissgreement over the ARS as an attack
> on yourself

When that comment clearly is disparging upon Amateurs as a whole,
yes.

> clearly mental that you view a disagreement over the ARS as an attack
> on it

What was that supposed to say in English?

> > <Markie Censored Sentence Replaced.>
> >
> > > You make disparaging, untrue statements about Amateur Radio and expect > it to be
blown off.
> > >
> > > Sorry.
> >
> > Don't know what made Markie think that was "non operative"...

>
> becuase it had nothing to do with the point being made

Sure it did.

You just didn't want to have to comment on it since it underminds
your rants.

> > THAT was a "clear sign" that Miss Morgan doesn't have an adequate
> > respose to the statement and knows it to be true.
>
> more stevie meglomanina and paranoia

Nope.

More Mark not wanting to get it rubbed in his face.

> it had nothing to do with the point

You mean it had nothing to do with YOUR point...

> > > You are NOT Amateur Radio
> >
> > I >>AM<< part an parcel of it, though...just like you.
>
> which means that I , BB and Todd have to right to express opinions
> about it, to disgree with you and hold differeing points of view, but
> anything you disagree with becomes an attack on the ARS and on You,
> that is paranoia, and/or meglomania

An opinion is one thing.

Blatant lies and falsehoods are another.

> > I am not "America" either, yet when America was threatened in my
> > day, I came to it's service. I serve it still. As I come to the
> > "defense" of Amateur Radio when I perceive it as having been sleighted
> > with inappropriate innuendo or out-and-out mistruths and deceit.
>
> which mean you are reacting in paraniod fashion to the fact that Other
> folks have a different philosical point of view

Please provide reference to your mental health credentials.

And please tell us alllllllllllllllll about your "philosophical"
credentials while you're at it...Which school of philosophy did you
attend?

> I will use coments from recent ARES posts as an example

OK...Where are they?

You didn't cite a single ARES, ARRL, OR FCC comment anywhere in
this post, Markie...

> I say the PR around ARES is overblown, that does not mean I am
> attacking ARES as useless, merely that it's PR is over blown, and with
> good reason by the ARRL the FCC and the served agencies, that merely a
> less stiring endorsement of ARES than you seem to want

The "stiring" endorsements were NOT from the ARRL or FCC. They
were from the served agencies.

Who knows better than the agency served what the quality and
character of the service they received was, Mrkie?

Are you suggesting that you, Lennie, Toiddie or Brain are more
qualified to state what the character of any service rendered to any
agency on any particular date or event is...?!?!

If so, do any of these agency's have a Letter or Memorandum of
Understanding with the four of you that delegates their public
relations duties to you for future comments?

> Myself, BB, and Lenn have different levels of opinion on the roles of
> ARES and the nature of the PR yet you lump us all together as attacking
> ARES

But you do. If you, Brainless and Lennie DO 'attack' the ARES,
then >>I<< am not the one "lump(ing you) all together"...that's you
jumping right on in...

> it is not "inappropriate innuendo" to try and get around this spin to
> look for the turth, but to you no one may challenge the spin, and there
> is ALWAYS spin in America today

What spin?

Just because YOU lie about almost everything you talk about does
NOT mean that everyone else does.

> > > You clearly need to get a life
> >
> > I have one. Amateur Radio is a big part of it.
> >
> > > Is it paranoia or meglomania?
> > >
> > > or both
> >
> > Neither. It's pride and respect.
>
> no it one of the above or a willfull blindness to facts

Nope. Pride and respect.

> the ARS can always be improved, that is a fact

Yep! Wanna help?

> the only way I see where that can happen is if we can discuss what
> works well, not so well, not at all calmly and reasonably

I agree.

But we also DON'T need liars and deceivers involved in the
discussion.

> > > It is also a clear sign of your disconet with reality that you
> > > preceieve any disagreement with your positions as an attack wether on
> > > yourself or Amateur Radio
> >
> > My what? "disconet"...?!?!
> >
> > Markie, don't even pretend to know what you're talking about if
>
> well i had such hope that you might start laying of the personal
> attack, but you can't can you
>
> cutiing stevie further gratuitous insults

There are no "gratuitous insults" there.

There's no "personal attack" to lay off of, Markie, unless you
were refering to YOUR comment "...It is also a clear sign of your
disconet with reality..."

You mean THAT personal attack..?!?! One that would suggest that
you are a licensed health care professional making a diagnosis?

Sheesh.

Steve, K4YZ

2560. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:38:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <OiZFe.151$mL2.2480248@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LRod:

I never did look up the spelling, just spelled it the way the mexicans do here, on the sides of gas stations, billboards, buildings, etc... hey, what do graffiti artists know?

John

"LRod" <duckecho@gmail.com> wrote in message news:eonge1lnop3le4ldpeornpi0c1ghg7l5vr@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 17:12:05 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>LRod:
>>
>>Cajones = gonads, balls(sl), testes, etc... yanno... those testosterone
>>things
>>50% of the population possess...
>
> Yeah, "cojones." Look it up.
>
> --
> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>
> Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

2561. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:43:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122525803.603190.93680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113921

KØHB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> > Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?
>
> The ILA ("Illiterate Legion of America") is insulted that you'd call Mark an
> illiterate.

Must be in the water...

I just got a phone call from the "Idiot's Defense League" and they
say they'll sue if I keep associating Markie with idiots...I asked them
what they suggested, and they said that whatever adjective I would
choose to use, "idiot" was just too good for him.

Just goes to show ya...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2562. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:48:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <usZFe.152$CM3.2715816@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113922

K4YZ:

The original greek meaning of "idiot" was a person not interested in politics... that is my intent when I use that word--hate those damn "non-political freaks!" <grin>

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:1122525803.603190.93680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> > Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?
>
> The ILA ("Illiterate Legion of America") is insulted that you'd call Mark an
> illiterate.

Must be in the water...

I just got a phone call from the "Idiot's Defense League" and they say they'll sue if I keep associating Markie with idiots...I asked them what they suggested, and they said that whatever adjective I would choose to use, "idiot" was just too good for him.

Just goes to show ya...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2563. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:50:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <guZFe.153$%y3.2666658@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

commander:

I don't see the URL, did I miss it?

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:ysWdnXhjIpE6_nXfRVn-pg@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> commander:
>>
>> There is no "gentleman's agreement."
>>
>> What you got there is some crafty old farts attempting to pull the wool over
>> peoples eyes with fancy "feel good terms" like "gentleman's agreement."
>>
>> You are a cheap shot idiot!
>> Nothing angers me more quickly than a liar in sheep's clothing attempting to
>> make fools out of the people he attempts to "get over on"...
>
> You seem to be able to make a fool out of yourself all too easily.

2564. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:51:17 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <ysWdnXhjIpE6_nXfRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> commander:
>
> There is no "gentleman's agreement."
>
> What you got there is some crafty old farts attempting to pull the wool over
> peoples eyes with fancy "feel good terms" like "gentleman's agreement."
>
> You are a cheap shot idiot!
> Nothing angers me more quickly than a liar in sheep's clothing attempting to
> make fools out of the people he attempts to "get over on"...

You seem to be able to make a fool out of yourself all too easily.

2565. KB9RQZ: Please Forward Your "Words" To Websters So The Rest Of us Can Know What You're Saying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 04:51:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122526299.118536.56420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113925

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > > > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > was complete is complete
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yes it was
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > was and remains a complete sentence
> > >
> > No, it wasn't.
>
> learn english

I know English.

What you're writing is "English" only by loose association.

> > > you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> > > calling you on something
> > >
> > > Bull####
> >
> non response

For once you got something right, but I bet you didn't even know
why...

> > > you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> > > thing i can't even tell
> > >
> > > Bull####
> >
> > I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
> > replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
> > another, etc etc.
>
> of course one reads posts and responds to them

You ignored what I said, Markie...But ignoring it does not make it
any less true.

> > > cuting unrelated ####
>
> more juvi stif

What's "juvi" is your silly abbreviations and second grade
grammar.

> > > > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > In the very next post.
> > > >
> > > > not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> > > > called on it twice
> > >
> > more evasion

Nope.

Nothing to evade.

> > > more stve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
> > >
> > more evasion

What's "responinilty"...?!?!

You insist what you're writing is "English", but few of your words
are in Websters. What's up with that?

Steve, K4YZ

2566. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 05:02:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NFZFe.5352$0C.1807@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113926

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
> masive cut
>
>
>>>none if you like none was making you read anything
>>
>>None if I like none? What the hell is that supposed to mean? You
>>mispell; you don't capitalize; you leave out all punctuation.
>
>
> yea so

Not "yea" but "yeah". The "so" is that you often post material that is beyond comprehension.

> it means as I have said over and over
> don't read my stuff if you like

Nobody can read much of the material you post. It is gibberish.

>>>as to that being one country ago I disagree but I can always count on
>>>you to beat dead horses
>>
>>I note that none of my questions was answered and that the matter hasn't
>>been cleared up at all by you.
>
>
> nah your problem is you didn't like the answers you and stevie have
> that in comon, you don't get the answer you wnat you get insulting and
> abusive it gets boring

Mark, let us be clear. There were *no* answers. You didn't answer questions about the words or what meaning they were supposed to have.

If you write gibberish and then refuse to explain it, you can't claim abuse (though I get the idea that a fellow such as yourself, a guy with masochistic leanings, might enjoy that).

2567. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 05:05:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LIZFe.5354$0C.791@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113927

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?
>>
>>The ILA ("Illiterate Legion of America") is insulted that you'd call Mark an
>>illiterate.
>
>
> Must be in the water...
>
> I just got a phone call from the "Idiot's Defense League" and they
> say they'll sue if I keep associating Markie with idiots...I asked them
> what they suggested, and they said that whatever adjective I would
> choose to use, "idiot" was just too good for him.
>
> Just goes to show ya...

It must have slipped your mind that Mark is not an idiot. He is an idoit.

Dave K8MN

2568. Re: KB9RQZ: Please Forward Your "Words" To Websters So The Rest Of us Can Know What You're Saying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 05:22:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <gbadnYqk9ciY9nXfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 113928

K4YZ wrote:
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>>>>>Gee NOW you insist I to read your ##### in order
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>was complete is complete
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> No, it wasn't.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>yes it was
>>>>>
>>>>> No, it wasn't.
>>>>
>>>>was and remains a complete sentence
>>>
>>> No, it wasn't.
>>
>>learn english
>
>
> I know English.
>
> What you're writing is "English" only by loose association.
>
>
>>>>you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
>>>>calling you on something
>>>>
>>>>Bull####
>>>
>>non response
>
>
> For once you got something right, but I bet you didn't even know
> why...
>
>

>>>>you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
>>>>thing i can't even tell
>>>>
>>>>Bull####
>>>
>>> I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
>>>replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
>>>another, etc etc.
>>
>>of course one reads posts and responds to them
>
>
> You ignored what I said, Markie...But ignoring it does not make it
> any less true.
>
>
>>>>cuting unrelated ####
>>
>>more juvi stif
>
>
> What's "juvi" is your silly abbreviations and second grade
> grammar.
>
>
>>>>>>>>you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
>>>>>>>acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
>>>>>
>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>
>>>>> In the very next post.
>>>>
>>>>not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
>>>>called on it twice
>>>
>>more evasion
>
>
> Nope.
>
> Nothing to evade.
>
>
>>>>more stve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
>>>
>>more evasion

>
>
> What's "responinilty"...?!?!
>
> You insist what you're writing is "English", but few of your words
> are in Websters. What's up with that?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I am beginning to think that toddieboy, an_old_whateverheis, john smithy, and lennieboy are all related.

2569. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 05:58:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122530281.868164.298250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113929

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You sure screwed up "civilized"...
>
> so did he I simply choose to to make it plain

Markie, you already refuse to use capitalization, punctuation, or
correct your spelling.

Why not just skip putting spaces in there too? Trust me when I say
it won't hurt the intelligibility of your "comnets" one little bit.

Steve, K4YZ

2570. So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 06:03:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122530614.805672.136840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113930

QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS

FORT PIERRE, S.D. - Johnny Smith has a new digital cell phone, but he relies on an older analog bag phone when he travels the wide open spaces in the western part of the state to line up cattle for sale at a local livestock auction.

In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.

"I carry a bag phone just because I can get so much better reception with it," Smith said. "If you're out in the middle of no place, it's nice to be able to call somebody."

END QUOTE

(Let's see how long it takes Lennie & Co to make this a "code test" or "Mighty Morsemen" thread....)

Steve, K4YZ

2571. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 07:38:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122536332.750551.316560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113931

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You sure screwed up "civilized"...
> >
> > so did he I simply choose to to make it plain
>
> Markie, you already refuse to use capitalization, punctuation, or
> correct your spelling.
>
> Why not just skip putting spaces in there too? Trust me when I say
> it won't hurt the intelligibility of your "comnets" one little bit.

why don't you grow up will not hurt you any

why don't you give up you control freak status
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2572. more stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 07:44:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122536668.278116.151420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113932

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > was complete is complete
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > yes it was
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > was and remains a complete sentence
> > > > >
> > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > >
> > > learn english
>
> I know English.

then use english

you are still unable to understand that you are demnding I read and
respond to your post in order, and then claiming you don't understand
what in order means
>
> What you're writing is "English" only by loose association.
>
> > > > you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> > > > calling you on something
> > > >
> > > > Bull####
> > >
> > non response
>
> For once you got something right, but I bet you didn't even know
> why...
>
> > > > you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> > > > thing i can't even tell
> > > >

> > > > Bull####
> > >
> > > I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
> > > replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
> > > another, etc etc.
> > >
> > of course one reads posts and responds to them
>
> You ignored what I said, Markie...But ignoring it does not make it
> any less true.

nO I did not ignore it I dismissed it since as yuo should know on
USEnet if you post message A then B then C I may se them as C then A
then B

Therefore there is no logical sense in expecting that I will read and
respond to your posts in the order you wrote them
>
more juvi stuff

> > > > > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > > > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In the very next post.
> > > > > >
> > > > > not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> > > > > called on it twice
> > > >
> > > more evasion
> >
> Nope.
>
> Nothing to evade.

only your misdeeds

>
> > > > more stve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
> > > >
> > > more evasion
> >
another stevie evasion cut

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2573. Re: So Much for stevie staying near the topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 07:46:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122536772.344520.31180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113933

K4YZ wrote:
> QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>
cutting all non ham content

2574. So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 07:58:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122537538.949318.255820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113934

an_old_friend wrote:

Re: So Much for stevie staying near the topic
> K4YZ wrote:

> > QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS

The topic, blockhead, is what the SUBJECT line was.

As for what it is or is not, well, that was dues to YOU changing
it.

> cutting all non ham content

The implementation of digital over analog >>IS<< a policy debate,
pumpkinhead. (The Idiot's Defense League won't let me call you an idiot
anymore, so I'll expand the list of options.)

Steve, K4YZ

2575. No Matter How Many Times markie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 08:07:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122538064.686937.13420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113935

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > was complete is complete
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > yes it was
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > was and remains a complete sentence
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > learn english
> > > > >
> > > > > I know English.
> > >
> > > then use english

I do.

You're the one with a problem, markie.

> you are still unable to understand that you are demnding I read and
> respond to your post in order, and then claiming you don't understand
> what in order means

In order to WHAT...?!?!

USE CAPITALIZATION!

USE PUNCTUATION!

> > What you're writing is "English" only by loose association.
> >
> > > > > > you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> > > > > > calling you on something
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > Bull####
> > > >
> > > non response
> >
> > For once you got something right, but I bet you didn't even know
> > why...
> >
> > > > > you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> > > > > thing i can't even tell
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Bull####
> > > > >
> > > > > I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
> > > > > replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
> > > > > another, etc etc.
> > > >
> > > > of course one reads posts and responds to them
> > >
> > You ignored what I said, Markie...But ignoring it does not make it
> > any less true.
>
> nO I did not ignore it I dismissed it since as yuo should know on
> USEnet if you post message A then B then C I may se them as C then A
> then B

So what you're telling me is that you're aware you have a problem,
and that despite being aware of that problem, you have neither the
capacity nor incentive to fix the problem.

You see, I buy the "I can't read clearly due to dyslexia"
issue...What I DON'T buy is your using it as a crutch to further
facilitate your laziness and incompetent mind.

> Therefore there is no logical sense in expecting that I will read and
> respond to your posts in the order you wrote them

You don't seem to have that probelm with other posters,
Markie...Which only further leads me to believe that you're simply
lying to cover up your incompetence.

> more juvi stuff
>
> > > > > > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > > > > > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > In the very next post.

> > > > >
> > > > > not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> > > > > called on it twice
> > > > >
> > > more evasion
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Nothing to evade.
>
> only your misdeeds

No misdeeds on my part to evade, Markie.

> > > > > more stve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
> > > > >
> > > more evasion
> >
> another stevie evasion cut

Nope. No more than you doing the same thing.

Stop lying. Act like a man. Get help for your problems.

(I'll hit the lottery before any of THAT happens......)

Steve, K4YZ

2576. more stevie rantings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 08:10:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122538253.564022.249020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113936

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > cut to the chase
> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > >
> > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. <removing the non operative sentence>
> > > >
> > > > clear sign of mental Illness Stevie boy.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes it is
break
>
> Whelp, here again we have a lay person making a diagnosis.

sure I can recoze metal illnesswhen I see it

>
> Where's your medical license, DreckieBoy?

I have the same license to pratice that sort of medicne as you do
Nursie

>
> > > Clear sign that I have things that mean a lot to me, Amateur Radio
> > > being one of them.
> >
> > clearly mental that you view a dissgreement over the ARS as an attack
> > on yourself
>
> When that comment clearly is disparging upon Amateurs as a whole,
> yes.

agreeing that you clearly mental

I havn't seen such an attack yet from lenn or BB or todd and certainly
haven't made one myself
>
> > clearly mental that you view a disagreement over the ARS as an attack

> > on it
>
> What was that supposed to say in English?

read it and find out

>
> > > <Markie Censored Sentence Replaced.>
> > >
> > > > You make disparaging, untrue statements about Amateur Radio and expect > it to be blown off.
> > > >
> > > > Sorry.
> > >
> > > Don't know what made Markie think that was "non operative"...
> >
> > becuase it had nothing to do with the point being made
>
> Sure it did.

nope another lie on your part

>
> You just didn't want to have to comment on it since it underminds
> your rants.

doesn't at all has nothing to do with what I was saying

Indeed it does reinforce my opinion that you are a raving paranoid

cuting stevie inabilty to correctly id my gender

>
> > it had nothing to do with the point
>
> You mean it had nothing to do with YOUR point...

exactly which is what I said

>
> > > > You are NOT Amateur Radio
> > >
> > > I >>AM<< part an parcel of it, though...just like you.
> >
> > which means that I , BB and Todd have to right to express opinions
> > about it, to disgree with you and hold differeing points of view, but
> > anything you disagree with becomes an attack on the ARS and on You,
> > that is paranoia, and/or meglomania
>
> An opinion is one thing.
>
> Blatant lies and falsehoods are another.

no Lies or Falsehoods have been presented just points of view that you
find unsettling for some reason

>
> > > I am not "America" either, yet when America was threatened in my
> > > day, I came to it's service. I serve it still. As I come to the
> > > "defense" of Amateur Radio when I perceive it as having been sleighted
> > > with inappropriate innuendo or out-and-out mistruths and deceit.
> >
> > which mean you are reacting in paraniod fashion to the fact that Other
> > folks have a different philosical point of view
>
> Please provide reference to your mental health credentials.

mine are as good as yours

>
> And please tell us allllllllllllllllll about your "philosophical"
> credentials while you're at it...Which school of philosophy did you
> attend?

I don't give out anything you could use to trace me But I attended many
classes in Philosophy as did most real undergrads, and unlike many of
them I did in fact absorb something

You are the one so obsessed with paper, not I

>
> > I will use coments from recent ARES posts as an example
>
> OK...Where are they?
>
> You didn't cite a single ARES, ARRL, OR FCC comment anywhere in
> this post, Markie...

lair
as follows
>
> > I say the PR around ARES is overblown, that does not mean I am
> > attacking ARES as useless, merely that it's PR is over blown, and with
> > good reason by the ARRL the FCC and the served agencies, that merely a
> > less stiring endorsement of ARES than you seem to want
>
> The "stiring" endorsements were NOT from the ARRL or FCC. They
> were from the served agencies.

and the reference to those served agencies was present in my comments

>
> Who knows better than the agency served what the quality and
> character of the service they received was, Mrkie?

any objective observer does

You are opperating on the assumtion that they are being truthful, where
I never trust much of what anybody says

>From their coments one can infer that the served agencies receievd
something of value to them, and that they wish to continue doing so,
nothing more can be counted on

>
> Are you suggesting that you, Lennie, Toiddie or Brain are more
> qualified to state what the character of any service rendered to any
> agency on any particular date or event is...?!?!

not at all merely that we are able to releize that they are buttering
us up in order to see that we show up the next time too

No one likes to look critcaly at what may not have worked well

Indeed your reaction to the suggestion that the served agnecies may not
be as pleased with us as they say show they have a good reason NOT to
be at all critcal of the quality of the help they get

cutting a snide and completely irelavant coment

> > Myself, BB, and Lenn have different levels of opinion on the roles of
> > ARES and the nature of the PR yet you lump us all together as attacking
> > ARES
>
> But you do. If you, Brainless and Lennie DO 'attack' the ARES,
> then >>I<< am not the one "lump(ing you) all together"...that's you
> jumping right on in...

I have never attacked ARES, not once. I have always adknowled their
positive role, just disagreed that it is as big as the PR states. I
have not observed Brain doing so either. Lenn has been careless enough
in his bombast to attack the management of ARES and the PR around it
but doesn't address one way or the other wether ARES helps anyone, but
Lenn is of course in the porrest postition of the 3 of us to judge that
>
> > it is not "inappropriate innuendo" to try and get around this spin to
> > look for the turth, but to you no one may challenge the spin, and there
> > is ALWAYS spin in America today
>
> What spin?

that the claimed made for ARES are inflated of course they are it is
only human nature

>
> Just because YOU lie about almost everything you talk about does
> NOT mean that everyone else does.

all politicains lies the head of of the Red Cross et al are esscaily
politicains Look at Libby Dole for example President of the red cross
then would be president of the US

that you are too brainless to reconize the degree to which deceit is
part of Us life esp US public life

>
> > > > You clearly need to get a life
> > >
> > > I have one. Amateur Radio is a big part of it.
> > >
> > > > Is it paranoia or meglomania?
> > > >
> > > > or both
> > >
> > > Neither. It's pride and respect.
> >
> > no it one of the above or a willfull blindness to facts
>
> Nope. Pride and respect.

Willful blindness to reality

>
> > the ARS can always be improved, that is a fact
>
> Yep! Wanna help?

sure I try but folks like you are so wraped up in the myth that they
don't see the reality

>
> > the only way I see where that can happen is if we can discuss what
> > works well, not so well, not at all calmly and reasonably
>
> I agree.
>
> But we also DON'T need liars and deceivers involved in the
> discussion.

but we do, we most esp need such people involved how else are we to
understand how to deal the political world

Just look at the code test issue and the results of your labour, By
choosing the right methods and appealing to what the FCC needs, and/or
threatening them where they don't care to fight we have won, while just
2 weeks before an ARRL rep was telling our club how certain the ARRL
was that no NPRM would come out this year and likely not the next

>
> > > > It is also a clear sign of your disconet with reality that you
> > > > preceieve any disagreement with your positions as an attack wether on
> > > > yourself or Amateur Radio
> > >
> > > My what? "disconet"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Markie, don't even pretend to know what you're talking about if

> >
> > well i had such hope that you might start laying of the personal
> > attack, but you can't can you
> >
> > cutiing stevie further gratuitous insults
>
> There are no "gratuitous insults" there.

yes they are and were

they are your stock in trade

>
> There's no "personal attack" to lay off of, Markie, unless you
> were refering to YOUR comment "...It is also a clear sign of your
> disconet with reality..."

your inablity to admit you are in fact attacking me almost consantly
certainly underminds anything you hope to achieve

>
> You mean THAT personal attack..?!?! One that would suggest that
> you are a licensed health care professional making a diagnosis?

>
> Sheesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2577. Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 08:31:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122539507.031015.309430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113937

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > hacking sexual coment
>
> Whcih is to say you "hacked" nothing. There was no "sexual coment"
> therein.

there was and you put it there I choose to cut it and noted that I did
so

>
> > > > > > "Public dole"..?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Public Dole is the correct phrase, guess you are to dumb to know what
> > > > > it means, welfare queen is close being a person on the public dole
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Who's on welfare? I'm certainly not.
> > > > >
> > > > > You deny being on disability?
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely.
> > > >
> > > > Whoever suggested that to you lied to you, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > I am a wage earner. More than my fair share of 40 hours a week, I
> > > >
> > > > might add.
> > > >
> > > > UNQUOTE
> > > >
> > > > So, Markie...this makes twice in two weeks that you've accused me
> > > > of taking things that I haven't taken.
> > >
> > your quote has nothing to do with the matter years ago you mentioned it
> > and now you deny it I simply don't beleive you in cahnging your story
> > again
>
> "cahngubg" THAT story? I've never claimed to be on disability, nor
> id I was would I brag about it.

yes you did you were not braging of it but lameting it some 6 years ago
you were using it to counter the argument you should not be picking on
me becuase of my disability

>
> > > First you alledge that I am receivng public funds for "disability"
> > > allegedging some impropriety.
> >
> > yes based on your statements in the past and present
>
> Based upon WHAT statements "in the past and present"...??

asked and answered

>
> I've NEVER stated that I am on disability.

you were never that clear but you danced around around it enough to
convince me of it

>
> > your quote supports the impropriety, as in being able to work 40 hours
> > you clearly should not eleigble
>
> Supports WHAT impropriety?

being on disablity and clearly being able to work is improper

>
> I am working, ergo do NOT claim ANY "disability".

one I have only your word you are working, and your word means nothing
to me

two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
disablity or other public aid, only makes improper and/or illegal to do
so

>
> So from WHAT source did you glean this information?

your words of some years ago, you cureent behavior, your clear mental
problems

>
> > I have no reason beyond your word that you are not double dipping, as a
> > point of fact I believe you are...(SNIP)
>
> First of all, you obviously don't know what "double dipping" is.

No I simply don't apply the word as narrowly as you do, as can be
expected since I am less narrow minded than you

more of Stevie trying to pretend he is a binding authority on english
cut

why do you bother with that stevie, I don't accept your "authority"
surely even you are bright enough to notice

>
> > I have not been bringing it up since
> > then since I don't think it that important, but since you insist I
> > think you are stealing for the govt monies you are not entitled to
>
> Then you've demonstrated what a COMPLETE idiot you are by making
> such a statement without an iota of evidence.

merely your statements of 7 years no eveidence there

>
> > That isn't libel BTW since I clearly state it is my opinion
>
> yes it is, since you allege the perpetration of a felony without
> evidence, opinion or not.

not at all you simply don't know much about law. otherwise the DNC
would be broke about now

>
> > > Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> > > I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
> >
> > are so you are
>
> Nope.

yes you are

>
> > > Where did you get the idea that I am on "the public dole" and why
> > > do you continue to lie in the face of glaring evidence to the
> > > contrary...???
> >
> > You have presented NO eveidence to the contrary, none at all, Indeed I
> > don't think you or anyone could present any eveidence in this forum
>
> You're welcome to search my name and DOB on public files in the
> State of Tennessee, Markie. The only "hit" you'll find are my driver's
> license and Nursing license.

anytime you want to present some evidence you go ahead, but again I
have formed my opinion, you wish to prove something you prove it, carry
your own freight you lazy bum

It is statements like the one you just made that help convince me that
you are in fact cheating the system somehow

Even if I preformed the search you sugest it would prove nothing You
could be using a fake name and other id to acomplise this feat Indeed
as I said at some point you can't prove your inocence, it is quite
impossible
>
> > I got the idea form your post of some 6 years ago, and emails you sent
> > in the same time frame

>
> I have never claimed drawing any disability pay,
> Markie...ESPEICALLY in any alleged (ie: never happened) "e-mails".

you sent bunches of them harrasing me pleading with me demanding I take
various action trying to black mail with the material you had found out
about my sexual orientation

again the precision with which you making your claim suggest that I
quite close to the truth. that you care so much about what someone who
you claim is of so little import has to say on the subject,

as the old saying goes, "Methinks thou doest protest too much"
>
> Once again, you substantiate my claims of your lack of situational
> awareness and attention to detail.

i do nothing of the sort you are merely trying , desperately to cloud
an issue on which you are very likely vulernable

>
> > It is no lie when I state that I believe you are comitting fraud of
> > some Govt agency.
>
> And it is no lie on MY part when I state that I believe you need
> to be under the supervision of mental health case workers, or at the
> very least a reputable vice cop.

I accept that is a true statement

However it also shows your lack of mental balance

nothing you accuse me off merits any attention form a Vice cop and I
suffer from no mental illness as determined by licensed pros

>
> > wether you are or not is another matter but that I have formed that
> > opinion is a fact
>
> You've broken new ground here, Markie. Looks like it't time to
> have a chat with the folks at Houghton County SO. Someone up there
> needs to know about you.

not at all, no new ground at all, not even your threats are new I have
stated my opinions on you quite clearly in the past

>
> BTW...How did you manage to screw up your address change with the
> FCC so badly? Geeze, Markie, how many time do you think it's necessary
> to note "PO" box in the address line???

more proof of your stalking

as if more were needed

>
> Idiot.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2578. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 08:36:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122539781.253650.284330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113938

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > masive cut
> >
> >
> >>>none if you like none was making you read anything
> >>
> >>None if I like none? What the hell is that supposed to mean? You
> >>mispell; you don't capitalize; you leave out all punctuation.
> >
> >
> > yea so
>
> Not "yea" but "yeah". The "so" is that you often post material that is
> beyond comprehension.

beyond yours find i would not be making that admission if I were you
but suit yourself

>
> > it means as I have said over and over
> > don't read my stuff if you like
>
> *Nobody* can read much of the material you post. It is gibberish.

gee stevie can when he wants and others as well

>
> >>>as to that being one country ago I disagree but I can always count on
> >>>you to beat dead horses
> >>
> >>I note that none of my questions was answered and that the matter hasn't
> >>been cleared up at all by you.
> >
> >
> > nah your problem is you didn't like the answers you and stevie have
> > that in comon, you don't get the answer you wnat you get insulting and
> > abusive it gets boring

>
> Mark, let us be clear. There were *no* answers. You didn't answer
> questions about the words or what meaning they were supposed to have.

You clear about what that you are as controling as stevie I answered
your questions you just don'tlike the answers

that is your problem not mine

>
> If you write gibberish and then refuse to explain it, you can't claim
> abuse (though I get the idea that a fellow such as yourself, a guy with
> masochistic leanings, might enjoy that).

wrong I certianly can and do as you note so I guess we can chalk that
one up in the Lie catagory

2579. more stevie wandering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 08:49:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122540574.821349.85780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113939

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Re: So Much for stevie staying near the topic
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>
> The topic, blockhead, is what the SUBJECT line was.

the topic here is

rec.radio.amateur.policy
>
> As for what it is or is not, well, that was dues to YOU changing
> it.

nope I ever changed the title of the newsgroup

>
> > cutting all non ham content
>
> The implementation of digital over analog >>IS<< a policy debate,
> pumpkinhead. (The Idiot's Defense League won't let me call you an idiot
> anymore, so I'll expand the list of options.)

but one having nothing to do with ham radio

Dafur is policy debate too as is Iraq but they have no place here
either

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2580. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 08:56:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122540974.531955.242150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113940

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > was complete is complete
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > yes it was
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > was and remains a complete sentence
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > No, it wasn't.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > learn english
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I know English.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > then use english
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I do.

nope
>
> You're the one with a problem, markie.

i admit to mine grow up and do the same

>
> > you are still unable to understand that you are demnding I read and
> > respond to your post in order, and then claiming you don't understand
> > what in order means
>
> In order to WHAT...?!?!

in order period

how stupid are you?

>
> USE CAPITALIZATION!

doesn't help with you
>
> USE PUNCTUATION!

does not help with you

you don't understand english

>
> > > What you're writing is "English" only by loose association.
> > >
> > > > > > you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> > > > > > calling you on something
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bull####
> > > > > >
> > > > > non response
> > >
> > > For once you got something right, but I bet you didn't even know
> > > why...
> > >
> > > > > > > you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> > > > > > > thing i can't even tell
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bull####
> > > > > >
> > > > > I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
> > > > > replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
> > > > > another, etc etc.
> > > >
> > > > of course one reads posts and responds to them
> > >
> > > You ignored what I said, Markie...But ignoring it does not make it
> > > any less true.
> >
> > nO I did not ignore it I dismissed it since as yuo should know on
> > USEnet if you post message A then B then C I may se them as C then A
> > then B
>
cuting out stevie changing the subject, and making remarks with zero
relanance to the issue at hand

> > Therefore there is no logical sense in expecting that I will read and
> > respond to your posts in the order you wrote them
>
> You don't seem to have that probelm with other posters,

most don't post as much as yourself stevie nd no one but you expects me
to look in another thread for a correction before I coment on the one
in fornt of me

more evding the subject

> > more juvi stuff
> >
> > > > > > > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > > > > > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > In the very next post.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> > > > > > > called on it twice
> > > > > >
> > > > > more evasion
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Nothing to evade.
> >
> > only your misdeeds
>
> No misdeeds on my part to evade, Markie.

your misdeeds are almost beyond counting

You lie
you cheat
you comit public fraud
you stalk
you harrass
you threaten
you libel
you forge

more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words

2581. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 10:00:41 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122544841.025116.31570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113941

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
> > > > >
> > > > > An anonymous heckler and a known pathological liar/deviant coming
> > > > > to Lennie's "defense" ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > I see no "coming to Len's "defense"" in Mark's comment.
> > >
> > > I didn't think you would,
> >
> > and I don't.
>
> Then we're both satisfied!
>
> Lucky day!
>
> > > > > Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > won't.
> > > >
> > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > >
> > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> >
> > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...

I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
faulty thinking on your part.

> > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> >
> > None of those substantiated by reality either.

Faulty thinking on your part, again.

> > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
>
> I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.

Faulty thinking on your part, again.

2582. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 11:05:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050728070506.383$HQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 113942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> There is the problem, "gentlemen's agreement", but John is no gentleman,
> kinda like on the Childrens Band where he fits in real well.
>
JJ loves his CB..no need for a call there.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

2583. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 11:11:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122549118.953254.70100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113943

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>Few what?
> >>>>
> >>>>>>few countiries ago as I said
> >>>>
> >>>>>That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
> >>>>>"countiries". Want to go for "countries"?
> >>>>
> >>>>How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>already answered a dozen or so times
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
> >>>>I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
> >>>>
> >>>>It's still not clear that the word is "countries".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>not my problem

> >>
> >>Wrong, your stupidity is your problem. As said before, you can't even
> >>put together a clear sentence that can be understood, and then you blame
> >>everyone else because they can't understand you gibbrish. Again, dumber
> >>than a bowl of dirt.
> >
> >
> > then don't read it buzz nobody is making you
>
> You miss the point, Mark. Nobody can read some of the stuff
> you post.

Any literate person can read it. Making sense out of it is
another matter.

> If you dispense with spelling, capitalization and punctuation, > why post at all?

Here's a theory, Dave: It's done to antagonize the reader. As a
way for the writer to insult the reader, by saying the reader
is unworthy of the effort required to write understandably.

> Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?

The point is that you and others bother to read the gibberish
and comment on it at length.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2584. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 11:36:31 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <3r3Ge.1892$gt5.1882@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 113944

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122549118.953254.70100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > If you dispense with spelling, capitalization and punctuation, > why
post at all?
>
> Here's a theory, Dave: It's done to antagonize the reader. As a
> way for the writer to insult the reader, by saying the reader
> is unworthy of the effort required to write understandably.
>
> > Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?
>
> The point is that you and others bother to read the gibberish
> and comment on it at length.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Uh, yep. Dave writes the questions "who wants to read..." and yet, it's apparent he's done so--at length--and responded to it as well. Although, I've never seen Dave participate in any kind of post other than to be contentious or attempt to flare some kind of superior attitude. Maybe I've not taken notice, but I don't remember ever seeing a post from Dave that is remotely technical in nature, or that isn't a cheap route to taking pot shots at those he has issues with.

That's OK, though, maybe the newsgroup is sport for him... I like the contention myself. I'd much rather debate with some spunk, but arguing and slinging mud suits me well when I'm in the mood... ;)

Kim W5TIT

2585. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 12:15:07 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122552907.931922.191670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> Danny there isn't any "Inner City" schools here, this is farm counrty

That explains your fetish for farm animals, Toadie.

2586. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 13:07:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122556041.525265.35110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113946

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > won't.
> > > >
> > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > >
> > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> >
> > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
>
> I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> faulty thinking on your part.

Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...

But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
was coming from"...

So far all we have are your assertions and your damnations for
anyone insisting that you substantiate them.

> > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> >
> > None of those substantiated by reality either.
>
> Faulty thinking on your part, again.

Nope.

Fact.

None of your assertions, ie: unlicensed devices, ARES, etc aren't
even remotely factual, unless requalified with language such as "in my
opinion..."

> > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> >
> > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements

> > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
>
> Faulty thinking on your part, again.

Nope.

You make untrue disparging remarks that you expect others to
blindly accept or blow off.

I do neither.

That YOU would expect it to be so is the "faulty thinking".

Steve, K4YZ

2587. Re: No Matter How Many Times Markie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 13:20:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122556851.960134.322800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113947

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> >
> In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> >
> was complete is complete
> >
> No, it wasn't.
> >
> yes it was
> >
> No, it wasn't.
> >
> was and remains a complete sentence
> >
> No, it wasn't.
> >
> learn english
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> I know English.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> then use english
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> I do.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> nope

Yep.

Care to take some of YOUR postings and MY postings to an English
teacher and see who get's the "A" and who flunks?

I'll put $500 on it. What say?

> > You're the one with a problem, markie.
> >
> > i admit to mine grow up and do the same

You only "admit" to your problems after getting your nose rubbed
in them, and even then you don't do anything to fix them.

> > > you are still unable to understand that you are demnding I read and
> > > respond to your post in order, and then claiming you don't understand
> > > what in order means
> >
> > In order to WHAT...?!?!
>
> in order period
>
> how stupid are you?

Not as stupid as you.

USE a period. Use capitalization. Use punctuation.

> > USE CAPITALIZATION!
>
> doesn't help with you

It's not about helping me, Blockhead...It's about helping YOU!

> > USE PUNCTUATION!
>
> does not help with you

It's not about helping me, Blockhead...It's about helping YOU!

> you don't understand english

Sure I do, starting with the fact that it's proper to start a
sentence with a capital letter and end it with a period. It's also
proper to capitalize "English".

You insist you know it so well but you never demonstrate it.

> > > > What you're writing is "English" only by loose association.
> > > >
> > > > > > > you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> > > > > > > calling you on something
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bull####
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > non response
> > > > >
> > > > For once you got something right, but I bet you didn't even know
> > > > why...
> > > >
> > > > > > > you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> > > > > > > thing i can't even tell
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Bull####
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational

> > > > > > replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
> > > > > > another, etc etc.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > of course one reads posts and responds to them
> > > > >
> > > > > You ignored what I said, Markie...But ignoring it does not make it
> > > > > any less true.
> > > >
> > > > nO I did not ignore it I dismissed it since as yuo should know on
> > > > USEnet if you post message A then B then C I may se them as C then A
> > > > then B
> > >
> > cuting out stevie changing the subject, and making remarks with zero
> > relanance to the issue at hand

Markie to Englsih translation: "He's got me by throat and I can't
come up with an adequate answer."

And what's "relanance"...?!?!

> > > Therefore there is no logical sense in expecting that I will read and
> > > respond to your posts in the order you wrote them
> > >
> > You don't seem to have that probelm with other posters,
> >
> > most don't post as much as yourself stevie nd no one but you expects me
> > to look in another thread for a correction before I coment on the one
> > in fornt of me

I expect you to have already read the preceeding post in order for
the one in front of you to make sense.

> more evding the subject

Then stop evading.

> > > more juvi stuff
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > > > > > > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > In the very next post.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> > > > > > > > > > > called on it twice
> > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > more evasion
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > Nothing to evade.
> > >
> > > only your misdeeds
> >
> > No misdeeds on my part to evade, Markie.
>
> your misdeeds are almost beyond counting
>
> You lie

Nope.

> you cheat

Nope.

> you comit public fraud

Nope.

> you stalk

Nope.

> you harrass

Nope.

> you threaten

Nope.

> you libel

Nope.

> you forge

Nope.

> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words

You just uttered eight libellous statements, Markie.

And then followed it up with yet another of your jibberish
statements.

Each one made as a statement of fact when there is in deed no basis
of fact to any of them. Unlike your earlier post where you had the
momentary lucidity to say "in my opinion,

However even such a caveat does not protect you from civil suit.

Even in Michigan.

Steve, K4YZ

2588. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 13:27:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122557239.796395.296990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113948

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Re: So Much for stevie staying near the topic
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
> >
> > The topic, blockhead, is what the SUBJECT line was.
>
> the topic here is
>
> rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, that's the title of the newsgroup...Not the "topic".

However the implementation of digital technology IS a policy issue
in ALL radio services with there being some reasonable doubt as to the
efficacy of digital.

> > As for what it is or is not, well, that was dues to YOU changing
> > it.
>
> nope I ever changed the title of the newsgroup

You changed the title of the thread.

The thread is about digital technology.

YOU changed it to "more stevie wandering" which has absolutely NO
radio-related reference at all.

So who's instigating the "personal attack" now, Markie?

> > > cutting all non ham content
> >
> > The implementation of digital over analog >>IS<< a policy debate,
> > pumpkinhead. (The Idiot's Defense League won't let me call you an idiot
> > anymore, so I'll expand the list of options.)
>
> but one having nothing to do with ham radio

Sure it is.

There's debate about the efficacy of digital over analog.

Here's a perfect example of analog being superior to digital.

> Dafur is policy debate too as is Iraq but they have no place here
> either

However digital communications techniques are.

Please provide the newsgroup your Letter of Appointment that
assigns you as "Apppointer Of Appropriatness for NG Discussions"

Steve, K4YZ

2589. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 13:29:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122557361.545440.251230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113949

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > You sure screwed up "civilized"...
> > >
> > > so did he I simply choose to to make it plain
> >
> > Markie, you already refuse to use capitalization, punctuation, or
> > correct your spelling.
> >
> > Why not just skip putting spaces in there too? Trust me when I say
> > it won't hurt the intelligibility of your "comnets" one little bit.
>
> why don't you grow up will not hurt you any

Why don't YOU learn to use proper grammatical construction...It
can only HELP you...And it won't hurt a bit.

> why don't you give up you control freak status

Seems you suffer from that which you would accuse others of,
Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

2590. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 13:45:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcanhr$187s$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113950

K4YZ wrote:
> QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>
> FORT PIERRE, S.D. - Johnny Smith has a new digital cell phone, but he
> relies on an older analog bag phone when he travels the wide open
> spaces in the western part of the state to line up cattle for sale at a
> local livestock auction.
>
> In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often
> work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal
> Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service
> across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the
> agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.

I think that the biggest reason that they work better is not an
inherent digital problem, but that the old analog phones tend to have a
lot more power. IIRC some of the bag phones were pumping out 5 watts,
some may have been more.

Today's cell phones have been reduced in power quite a bit.

> "I carry a bag phone just because I can get so much better reception
> with it," Smith said. "If you're out in the middle of no place, it's
> nice to be able to call somebody."
>
> END QUOTE
>
> (Let's see how long it takes Lennie & Co to make this a "code
> test" or "Mighty Morsemen" thread....)

And we'll also see that I have just disagreed with you, and I suspect
that we'll continue to get along just fine, as usual......

- Mike KB3EIA -

2591. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 13:52:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcanuh$154k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113951

K4YZ wrote:

> QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS

> In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often
> work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal
> Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service
> across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the
> agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.

I would be remiss if I didn't note that I do agree with you that
digital is not better. While it performs well under many circumstances,
it has it's own set of shortcomings.

Certainly digital voice is of no particular advantage in Amateur Radio,
from what I have seen so far.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2592. Re: So Much for stevie staying near the topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 14:00:01 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcaod1$19bm$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113952

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>>
>
> cutting all non ham content
>

Digital voice is an acceptable ham radio topic, Mark. We may use it some day. And in it's present form, it isn't terribly applicable to Ham radio. The present systems available for us to use do sound very nice, giving "FM-like quality" (note that means quality akin to 2 meter FM, not Broadcast radio) with no noise. That part is good. But there are some nasty flaws, such as having to essentially have a "sked" in order to use it. If you don't catch the transmission from the beginning, you don't catch the transmission. There are no particular BW advantages either.

Comparisons with the outside world and what they do and use are instructive, and in the end, on-topic.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2593. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 14:47:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8e6Ge.6368$6f.134@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113953

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Kim wrote:
>> Ah! Here it is...wouldn't ya know it? OK, so you're thinking > the NPRM
>> in
>> its submitted form, if accepted and passed, would mean that
>> Codeless Technicians would have to upgrade?
>>
>> I agree with you--lots of folks in for a shock. I am now
>> intrigued enough
>> to look through there and see what it says.
>>
>> My initial thoughts are that, to do so, would seem to open up a
>> whole can of worms from an administration perspective.
>> I mean, as it is, I have to produce the actual
>> piece of paper to prove that I have the 5wpm endorsement
>> (if I wanted to upgrade to General under the current licensing
>> structure).
>> That is, if I understand the "way it works." LOL
>
> That's how it works now, Kim. But that piece of paper could be
> an old license that says "Technician Plus", or a page from an
> old Callbook, etc. Or a listing from the FCC database showing your
> former license class as "Technician Plus".
>
>> So, there'd be more affected than just the No-Code techs, I
>> think. For
>> instance, I couldn't begin to even find my endorsement and I am > not
>> sure
>> I'll ever be able to. That would mean, essentially, I am a
>> Codeless Tech?
>
> Since the changes of April 2000, FCC has left it up to the Technician
> licensee (!) to retain documentation that they
> are/were Tech Pluses.
>
> It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
> Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
> they got Generals or Extras.

But isn't that exactly what is proposed. Once it becomes the
new regulations (i.e. no code test anymore at all) there really
is no way for a code-less Tech to then become a Tech
with coode since there won't be anymore code tests being

administered.

> Of course the "simple" solution is to upgrade to General or Extra.

And since a CSCE is good for a year, many code-less techs
might want to consider getty element 3 so when the new
regs become the rules, they can just go for a paper upgrade
on the day the rules become effective. Lots of Techs and
advanced licensees did that in early 2000 once the new rules
were set to go into place in April of that year.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2594. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 14:50:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kh6Ge.5490$0C.526@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113954

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
> disablity or other public aid, only makes improper and/or illegal to do
> so
>

A former employee of mine (now retired) lost an arm in Vietnam, and receives compensation for his disability. He built a fine career as an electronics design engineer. What is improper or illegal about that? You owe a lot of disabled veterans a huge apology for suggesting that succeeding in life is "improper and/or illegal".

Hans

2595. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 14:51:59 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ji6Ge.6370$6f.1014@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113955

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tHWFe.9499$dU3.9041@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>>> I'm certainly hoping it isn't relaxation of the other test
>>> requirements!
>>
>> I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
>> am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
>> say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
>> for written.
>
> NCI Executive Director Carl Stevenson is on record as an enthusiastic
> supporter of free passes for all Novices and Tech to General, and all
> Advanced to Extra without further testing. As I recall, NCI submitted
> official comments of this nature to the FCC. Sure smacks of "relaxation of
> test requirement for written" to me.

The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2596. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 14:53:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rj6Ge.189$qz7.4417565@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113956

One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of the analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely disappear...

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1122530614.805672.136840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>
> FORT PIERRE, S.D. - Johnny Smith has a new digital cell phone, but he
> relies on an older analog bag phone when he travels the wide open
> spaces in the western part of the state to line up cattle for sale at a
> local livestock auction.
>
> In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often
> work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal
> Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service
> across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the
> agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.
>
> "I carry a bag phone just because I can get so much better reception
> with it," Smith said. "If you're out in the middle of no place, it's
> nice to be able to call somebody."
>
> END QUOTE
>
> (Let's see how long it takes Lennie & Co to make this a "code
> test" or "Mighty Morsemen" thread....)
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2597. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 14:57:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <An6Ge.191$GA7.4435065@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Steveo:

Some ignorant hams think there is a BIG difference between 27.400 and
28.400mhz--AND THERE IS!!!

... a megacycle...

John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050728070506.383$HQ@newsreader.com...
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>> There is the problem, "gentlemen's agreement", but John is no gentleman,
>> kinda like on the Childrens Band where he fits in real well.
>>
> JJ loves his CB..no need for a call there.
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com/

2598. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:00:50 UTC
From: anonymous@remailer.hastio.org
Message-ID: <7BQQTKN038561.708912037@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 113958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <87013437833.2458Fff8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" <l...@no.spam> wrote:
>
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 22:29:34 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > So sue me TWIT.
>
> I dont have to. I will just tell a...@mindspring.com that you
are
> voilating there terms of service.

Lardass, you can't even compose a sentence in English.

> after that you will wish you had
> been sued but it will be to late. dont make me do it because
I am a
> piece loving person and just wanted to warn you. but I will
do it if
> you push to hard because I dont take crap from anytone any
more. I
> even filed a police complaint against someone this morning in
> glendale and he never thought I would because he is a girly
man.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass Lloyd, a pussy all the way. The only
girly man is you, LARDASS.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
is unverified.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2599. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:16:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122563796.413031.203480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113959

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you

Stvie you have never treated anyone with dignity you were condessending
and insulting from your arrival in this forum

> > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > >
> > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > >
> > > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > > faulty thinking on your part.
> >
> > Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...

you certainly claim he does

guess you are admiting to lying
>
> But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> was coming from"...

you haven't dispooven anything he has said or that I have for that
matter

>
> So far all we have are your assertions and your damnations for
> anyone insisting that you substantiate them.

he advances lines of reasoning and explaination and does far more
politely than you

>
> > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.

> > >
> > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> >
> > Faulty thinking on your part, again.
>
> Nope.

entirely
>
> Fact.

nope

>
> None of your assertions, ie: unlicensed devices, ARES, etc aren't
> even remotely factual, unless requalified with language such as "in my
> opinion..."

entirely factual

>
> > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > >
> > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> >
> > Faulty thinking on your part, again.
>
> Nope.
>
> You make untrue disparging remarks that you expect others to
> blindly accept or blow off.

I am sure he does not expect you to blindly accept them or blow them
off. He expects you deny threaten harras and generaly act lack a child
and you rarely disappiont

>
> I do neither.

indeed you bather and rage and act like a child
>
> That YOU would expect it to be so is the "faulty thinking".

more of stevie making up something then disproving
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2600. stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:32:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122564727.368799.156600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113960

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> Gee NOW you insist I to read your #### in order
> >
> In order to "WHAT"...?!?! (Why don't you complete sentences?)
> >
> was complete is complete
> >
> No, it wasn't.
> >
> yes it was
> >
> No, it wasn't.
> >
> was and remains a complete sentence
> >
> No, it wasn't.
> >
> learn english
> >
> I know English.
> >
> then use english
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> I do.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> nope
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >

nope
> Yep.
>
> Care to take some of YOUR postings and MY postings to an English
> teacher and see who get's the "A" and who flunks?

your post show you flunk english comprehension, I certainly don't get
an a in compoisiton

all the opinions of a licensed english teacher, of course he is a bit
biased he is my father

>
> I'll put $500 on it. What say?

pay up now
>
> > > You're the one with a problem, markie.
> >
> > i admit to mine grow up and do the same
>
> You only "admit" to your problems after getting your nose rubbed
> in them, and even then you don't do anything to fix them.

stevie i admited to 7 years ago, and you still go one with the lie I
have admitted to them and that you still need to make "admit to them"
and no the more you go on making such demands the less likely i am to
do what you want
>
> > > > you are still unable to understand that you are demnding I read and
> > > > respond to your post in order, and then claiming you don't understand
> > > > what in order means
> > > >
> > > In order to WHAT...?!?!
> >
> > in order period
> >
> > how stupid are you?
>
> Not as stupid as you.
>
> USE a period. Use capitalization. Use punctuation.

why? you still don't read it
>
> > > USE CAPITALIZATION!
> >
> > doesn't help with you
>
> It's not about helping me, Blockhead...It's about helping YOU!

you lying sack of shit you don't want to help me you want to control me
>
> > > USE PUNCTUATION!
> >
> > does not help with you
>
> It's not about helping me, Blockhead...It's about helping YOU!

you lying sack of shit you don't want to help me you want to control me
>
> > you don't understand english

>
> Sure I do, starting with the fact that it's proper to start a
> sentence with a capital letter and end it with a period. It's also
> proper to capitalize "English".

then act like you understand english

>
> You insist you know it so well but you never demonstrate it.

indeed I don't treat the usenet as an english comp class

get over it and grow up

>
> > > > > What you're writing is "English" only by loose association.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you are demnding I wait till the next post or the next post beofre
> > > > > > > > > calling you on something
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Bull####
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > non response
> > > > > >
> > > > > > For once you got something right, but I bet you didn't even know
> > > > > > why...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you are demanding I read your post in the order they were written some
> > > > > > > > > thing i can't even tell
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Bull####
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I see....Markie Logic suggests that one can make logical, rational
> > > > > > > > > replies if one reads a post, jumps two or three posts, comes back to
> > > > > > > > > another, etc etc.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > of course one reads posts and responds to them
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You ignored what I said, Markie...But ignoring it does not make it
> > > > > > > > > any less true.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nO I did not ignore it I dismissed it since as yuo should know on
> > > > > > > USEnet if you post message A then B then C I may se them as C then A
> > > > > > > then B
> > > > >
> > > > cuting out stevie changing the subject, and making remarks with zero
> > > > relanance to the issue at hand
> >
cuting more spelling cop

but stevie still refuses to deal with the realities of usenet

and expects others to do his work for him

> > > > Therefore there is no logical sense in expecting that I will read and
> > > > respond to your posts in the order you wrote them
> > >
> > > You don't seem to have that probelm with other posters,
> >
> > most don't post as much as yourself stevie nd no one but you expects me
> > to look in another thread for a correction before I coment on the one
> > in fornt of me
>
> I expect you to have already read the preceeding post in order for
> the one in front of you to make sense.

then you are a fool

I don't do that and since the preceeding post may have written after
the one I am reading (one of the ilogical but true things about usenet)
it makes no sense to expect that

>
cuting stil more stevie evading the subject

> > > > more juvi stuff
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > you go on blaming me for your misdeeds
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Nope. I made the initial transposition of letters. I
> > > > > > > > > > > > > acknowledged it in the very next post. There was no misdeed.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > not in this thread maybe somewhere else in the ether
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > In the very next post.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > not the next post I read and not any post I can find till you were
> > > > > > > > > > > called on it twice
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > more evasion
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Nothing to evade.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > only your misdeeds
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No misdeeds on my part to evade, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > your misdeeds are almost beyond counting
> > >
> > > You lie
> >

> Nope.
yep

>
> > you cheat
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > you comit public fraud
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > you stalk
>
> Nope.
yep

>
> > you harrass
>
> Nope.

yes anyone that reads more than 2 of your posts knows that denail is a
lie

>
> > you threaten
>
> Nope.

yep One shot one kill and I'll to call houghton county about you are
both threats

>
> > you libel
>
> Nope.

sure do

>
> > you forge
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> > more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words

>
> You just uttered eight libellous statements, Markie.

not realy since they are true and supported by the facts,
>
stevie jibberish
>
> Each one made as a statement of fact when there is in deed no basis
> of fact to any of them. Unlike your earlier post where you had the
> momentary lucidity to say "in my opinion,

because each is a statement of fact

the statement you harrass is supported by simply reading the newsgroup
for a day

when you deny that true statement you make "you lie" clearly true

when you say you will report me to someone in Houghton County you
threaten me, and when you coment on my changing my address you show
that you are stalking me
>
> However even such a caveat does not protect you from civil suit.

you can indeed file suit people don't need valid basis to file anything
>
> Even in Michigan.

more threats

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2601. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:35:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LW6Ge.9692$dU3.3498@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113961

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>
> The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>

Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4% of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed the current written examination. Trying to trivialize that as a simple "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out. By any reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting a lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2602. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:36:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122564990.213311.29980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113962

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
> > disablity or other public aid, only makes improper and/or illegal to do
> > so
> >
>
> A former employee of mine (now retired) lost an arm in Vietnam, and receives
> compensation for his disability. He built a fine career as an electronics design
> engineer. What is improper or illegal about that? You owe a lot of disabled
> veterans a huge apology for suggesting that succeeding in life is "improper
> and/or illegal".

you disort my mean sir and you have removed the stement from context

Stevie claims that him working proves he is not receiveing disabilty

stevie also claims that he is not disabled

therefore if stevie is to be believed then it is improper for STEVIE"
to receive benifits

you took a very spesific case and tried to broaden it

Improperly I might add

as you did with NCI's postition on the One time upgrades

>
> Hans

2603. Re: So Much for stevie staying near the topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:38:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122565122.413124.222470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113963

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
> >>
> >
> > cutting all non ham content
> >
>
> Digital voice is an acceptable ham radio topic, Mark. We may use it

digital voice certainly is but the text did not conern digital voice or
ham radio in the slightest

> some day. And in it's present form, it isn't terribly applicable to Ham
> radio. The present systems available for us to use do sound very nice,
> giving "FM-like quality" (note that means quality akin to 2 meter FM,
> not Broadcast radio) with no noise. That part is good. But there are
> some nasty flaws, such as having to essentially have a "sked" in order
> to use it. If you don't catch the transmission from the beginning, you
> don't catch the transmission. There are no particular BW advantages either.
>
> Comparisons with the outside world and what they do and use are
> instructive, and in the end, on-topic.

but no comparsion was made by stevie just another of his troling
attacks
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2604. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:40:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122565207.005124.142650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113964

John Smith wrote:
> One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of the
> analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely
> disappear...

indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece

Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed

>
> John
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1122530614.805672.136840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
> >
> > FORT PIERRE, S.D. - Johnny Smith has a new digital cell phone, but he
> > relies on an older analog bag phone when he travels the wide open
> > spaces in the western part of the state to line up cattle for sale at a
> > local livestock auction.
> >
> > In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often
> > work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal
> > Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service
> > across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the
> > agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.
> >
> > "I carry a bag phone just because I can get so much better reception
> > with it," Smith said. "If you're out in the middle of no place, it's
> > nice to be able to call somebody."
> >
> > END QUOTE
> >
> > (Let's see how long it takes Lennie & Co to make this a "code
> > test" or "Mighty Morsemen" thread....)
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >

2605. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:42:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <727Ge.9693$dU3.1740@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113965

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> you disort my mean sir and you have removed the stement from context

I didn't distort your statement at all, but quoted it completely and verbatim.

Then I proved it false.

Deal with that.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2606. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:44:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122565473.378590.133500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113966

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> >
> > The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> > the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> > given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
> >
>
> Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
> of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
> the current written examination. Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out. By any
> reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting a
> lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.

by no reasonable measure

In any change in the system there is the clear poetencail for large
scale adjustment of the licensesure of those involved

something that is clearly different from changing the number of tests
or their level of diffultity

you are just trying to pick a fight it seems

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2607. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:48:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122565729.796363.323090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113967

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Kim wrote:
large cut
> > It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
> > Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
> > they got Generals or Extras.
>
> But isn't that exactly what is proposed. Once it becomes the
> new regulations (i.e. no code test anymore at all) there really
> is no way for a code-less Tech to then become a Tech
> with coode since there won't be anymore code tests being
> administered.

OTOH this being the govt it could be the result I hope the FCC will
make it clear in the report and order
>
> > Of course the "simple" solution is to upgrade to General or Extra.
>
> And since a CSCE is good for a year, many code-less techs
> might want to consider getty element 3 so when the new
> regs become the rules, they can just go for a paper upgrade
> on the day the rules become effective. Lots of Techs and
> advanced licensees did that in early 2000 once the new rules
> were set to go into place in April of that year.

I intend personaly to so once the R&O is issued since the FCC may try
and drag its feet, (and if so I expect NCI will try anf hold thier toes
to te fire) or till my wife decides to take her tech test herself so as
to have some reason myself to be there

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

2608. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:57:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Pf7Ge.5603$0C.346@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113968

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> In any change in the system there is the clear poetencail
> for large scale adjustment of the licensesure of those involved

False! Absolutely false!

At this URL ---> http://tinyurl.com/wce9 there is a proposed change which
migrates from the current license structure to a simplified 2-class structure
with NO free upgrades and with NO loss of privilege for any existing licensee.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2609. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 15:59:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122566375.983391.35850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113969

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > you disort my mean sir and you have removed the stement from context
>
> I didn't distort your statement at all, but quoted it completely and verbatim.

now you are plain flat out lying

and like Stevie you cut again the stement you claim to have quoted
>
> Then I proved it false.

you demolised a straw man of your own making

>
> Deal with that.

as will you

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2610. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:01:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122563398.783921.318830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113970

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
cur
>why don't you grow up will not hurt you any
>
> Why don't YOU learn to use proper grammatical construction...It
> can only HELP you...And it won't hurt a bit.

sure will it alwys hrts someone to surreder thier freedom to a bully
>
> > why don't you give up you control freak status
>
> Seems you suffer from that which you would accuse others of,
> Markie.

not at all I don't want to spend the effort
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2611. Re: another "civlized" ham heard from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:02:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122566545.988976.222780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113971

hmm usenet seems to have eaten my first reply this is a second wonder
whihc will hit stevie first

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > You sure screwed up "civilized"...
> > > >
> > > > so did he I simply choose to to make it plain
> > >
> > > Markie, you already refuse to use capitalization, punctuation, or
> > > correct your spelling.
> > >
> > > Why not just skip putting spaces in there too? Trust me when I say
> > > it won't hurt the intelligibility of your "comnets" one little bit.
> >
> > why don't you grow up will not hurt you any
>
> Why don't YOU learn to use proper grammatical construction...It
> can only HELP you...And it won't hurt a bit.

why becuase you insist I must

losing my freedom even slightly to bully and bigot such as yourself
harms me beyond measure

so we chalk up another lie stevie

ever care to start teling the turth

>
> > why don't you give up you control freak status
>
> Seems you suffer from that which you would accuse others of,
> Markie.

I don't want to control anyone it is far too much work, and as you
point out I am far too lazy to work that hard
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2612. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:06:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122566762.390180.138380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113972

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > In any change in the system there is the clear poetencail
> > for large scale adjustment of the licensesure of those involved
>
> False! Absolutely false!

True absolutely ture

I said the poetencail for not a requirement of

so what I have just cut is irrelavant

during any time of change great upheavels are possible

I know this is unsettleing for you Hans but them is the facts
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2613. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:12:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <At7Ge.9699$dU3.6559@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113973

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>
>> > In any change in the system there is the clear poetencail
>> > for large scale adjustment of the licensesure of those involved
>>
>> False! Absolutely false!

>True absolutely ture

Wrong again.

You said "In >>any<< change in the system".

I showed you an example of a change with NO POTENTIAL for large scale
adjustment, disproving your statement on it's face. Them is the facts!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2614. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:14:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122567293.578640.140420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113974

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> > >>

cut
> > You miss the point, Mark. Nobody can read some of the stuff
> > you post.
>
> Any literate person can read it. Making sense out of it is
> another matter.

Jim I have been coutesous to on this but you are straining my ability
to do so

How can you use CW a medium completely without error correction and be
unable to work the meaning of simple text with the leasure of time and
the abilty to look at the context

People can follow my typing the first draft with no polishing turned
into CW on air and yet you claim you can't follow it in text

How is that possible?

Do you have an exaplintion why The level of difficulties for people in
reading my stuff here in this newsgroup declines as support for code
testing increases, indeedanywhere I post it seem that the abilty to
read and understands declines with the degree of their agreement

I frankly suspect that what the problem is, is simply that people like
Dave and it seems you don't want to understand and therefore seize on
any excuse not to do so

>
> > If you dispense with spelling, capitalization and punctuation, > why post at all?
>
> Here's a theory, Dave: It's done to antagonize the reader. As a
> way for the writer to insult the reader, by saying the reader
> is unworthy of the effort required to write understandably.

close Jim it is a reflection of the author opinion of the value of the
medium, usenet is unworthy of the effort, but I have said that before

>
> > Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?
>
> The point is that you and others bother to read the gibberish
> and comment on it at length.

and that is an intersting point
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2615. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:19:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wA7Ge.204$1W.4795490@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113975

we have a "gentleman's agreement" here to use capitals and proper punctuation

i think it is so those who cannot extrapolate off their grade school english educations can participate

john

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1122567293.578640.140420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> > >
>> > >>an_old_friend wrote:
>> > >>
>
> cut
>> > You miss the point, Mark. Nobody can read some of the stuff
>> > you post.
>>
>> Any literate person can read it. Making sense out of it is
>> another matter.
>
> Jim I have been coutesous to on this but you are straining my ability
> to do so
>
> How can you use CW a medium completely without error correction and be
> unable to work the meaning of simple text with the leasure of time and
> the abilty to look at the context
>
> People can follow my typing the first draft with no polishing turned
> into CW on air and yet you claim you can't follow it in text
>
> How is that possible?
>
> Do you have an exaplintion why The level of difficulties for people in
> reading my stuff here in this newsgroup declines as support for code
> testing increases, indeedanywhere I post it seem that the abilty to
> read and understands declines with the degree of their agreement
>
> I frankly suspect that what the problem is, is simply that people like

> Dave and it seems you don't want to understand and therefore seize on
> any excuse not to do so
>
>>
>> > If you dispense with spelling, capitalization and punctuation, > why post
>> > at all?
>>
>> Here's a theory, Dave: It's done to antagonize the reader. As a
>> way for the writer to insult the reader, by saying the reader
>> is unworthy of the effort required to write understandably.
>
> close Jim it is a reflection of the author opinion of the value of the
> medium, usenet is unworthy of the effort, but I have said that before
>
>>
>> > Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?
>>
>> The point is that you and others bother to read the gibberish
>> and comment on it at length.
>
> and that is an intersting point
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2616. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:20:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LB7Ge.207$oo.4709436@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113976

yeah, no one likes change but a baby with a wet diaper!

john

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:At7Ge.9699$dU3.6559@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>>KXHB wrote:
>>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>
>>> > In any change in the system there is the clear poetencail
>>> > for large scale adjustment of the licensesure of those involved
>>>
>>> False! Absolutely false!
>
>>True absolutely ture
>
> Wrong again.
>
> You said "In >>any<< change in the system".
>
> I showed you an example of a change with NO POTENTIAL for large scale
> adjustment, disproving your statement on it's face. Them is the facts!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

2617. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:34:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3O7Ge.9703$dU3.8620@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 113977

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> now you are plain flat out lying

My quote was an exact verbatim copy of your statement. If it was a lie, then
it's only because I repeated your lie.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2618. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:36:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122568588.352922.223590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113978

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >KØHB wrote:
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
> >>
> >> > In any change in the system there is the clear poetencail
> >> > for large scale adjustment of the licensesure of those involved
> >>
> >> False! Absolutely false!
>
> >True absolutely ture
>
> Wrong again.
>
> You said "In >>any<< change in the system".
>
> I showed you an example of a change with NO POTENTIAL for large scale
> adjustment, disproving your statement on it's face. Them is the facts!

which has nothing to do with the point any change has the potentail
even your "proposal" has the potentail for large changes since their is
of course no assuance that it would be adpoted as is

Any time the Govt decides to changes regs there exists a protentail for
upheaval

indeed your proposal is an a large scale upheaval in just going to 2
clases instead of the 6 we still have on the books

your proposal is a large scale adjudgement
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2619. Hans lying now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:39:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1122568784.344203.190270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113979

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > now you are plain flat out lying
>
> My quote was an exact verbatim copy of your statement. If it was a lie, then
> it's only because I repeated your lie.

nope at all you quote a couple of line out of several pages

you statement that it is a completle cverbatim quote is simply a lie

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2620. PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:45:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <EY7Ge.227$361.4865160@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113980

Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes" (encryption/decryption) on radio.

It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and yet regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on one specific form of communications seems insane.

Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the even the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of years--let alone lifetimes.)

John

2621. somebody needs there meds..bad Re: John Smith ignores the bible and risks Hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:46:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122569163.424387.60410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd wrote:
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 19:56:29 -0700, John Smith sinned against Christ
> and said:
>
> > Lloyd:
> >
> > Where in the hell are nuts like you coming from?
> >
> > You fool, **STOMP**
>
> Matthew 5:22 - "But I say unto you, That whosoever is angry with his
> brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment: and
> whosoever shall say to his brother, Raca, shall be in danger of the
> council: BUT WHOSOEVER SHALL SAY, THOU FOOL, SHALL BE IN DANGER OF
> HELL FIRE."

ahem and the bible has exactly what relavance to the Ham radio

Did abram use morse code to reach god (after all it is supposed to get
threough when nothing else will)

or did clever jacob invent AM

you loyd are a fool

2622. Re: John Smith ignores the bible and risks Hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:47:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0%7Ge.228$ko.4708467@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Hell Fire?"

Isn't that a name for a specific missile in the Air Force arsenal?

You got some of those?

ROFLOL!!!!!!!!!!

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8701017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Wed, 27 Jul 2005 19:56:29 -0700, John Smith sinned against Christ
> and said:
>
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> Where in the hell are nuts like you coming from?
>>
>> You fool, **STOMP**
>
> Matthew 5:22 - "But I say unto you, That whosoever is angry with his
> brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment: and
> whosoever shall say to his brother, Raca, shall be in danger of the
> council: BUT WHOSOEVER SHALL SAY, THOU FOOL, SHALL BE IN DANGER OF
> HELL FIRE."

2623. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:48:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122569312.626049.37410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113983

John Smith wrote:
> we have a "gentleman's agreement" here to use capitals and proper punctuation
>
> i think it is so those who cannot extrapolate off their grade school english
> educations can participate
>
> john

have you ever found any of the gentlemen who go around making all these
agreements? I suppose they must be awfully busy

>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122567293.578640.140420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

2624. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 16:52:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f38Ge.230$pf1.4924551@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113984

Have you ever seen a "gentleman ham" recently?

Something happened to all the old guys who welcomed new members into their ranks?

Held instruction/tutorials at their homes and public forums.

Instructed rather than wished to control others...

It is a real shame, but the caliber of amateurs is equal or below the chicken banders in this brave new world...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122569312.626049.37410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> we have a "gentleman's agreement" here to use capitals and proper
>> punctuation
>>
>> i think it is so those who cannot extrapolate off their grade school english
>> educations can participate
>>
>> john
>
> have you ever found any of the gentlemen who go around making all these
> agreements? I suppose they must be awfully busy
>
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122567293.578640.140420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>

2625. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:07:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122570431.576473.236070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113985

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

> > The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> > the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> > given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.

That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test requirements.

Never is a very long time!

In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent" reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that the older, higher requirements have been removed because they aren't necessary.

For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A) to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2 from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician class licensee.

But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special" in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in effect both before and after the one-time reduction.

Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time licensed? For example, your license could be automatically upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)

There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free. And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait for the free upgrade to General.

It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
free?
>
> Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
> of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
> the current written examination.

Yup.

And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
get? Maybe the offer of free
upgrades would get some of them to renew?

> Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.

The worst parts of it are two:

1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
passed the test.

2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
legitimately
claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.

> By any
> reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting a
> lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.

Worse - permanent reduction. Here's why:

Suppose FCC did announce that they were doing free upgrades effective,
say, October 1, 2005.

Joe Newham goes to a VE session in late September and passes the Tech
written.
A few days later, even before he sees his new call in the database, he
gets a free upgrade to General.

That free upgrade would mean FCC considered the Tech test adequate for
General privileges. And when Mary Newerham shows up in November 2005,
why should she
have to pass the General written? After all, the Tech written was
adequate for
Joe Newham a few weeks earlier....

The joker in the deck is that such free upgrades would mean a lot of
admin work
for FCC. That alone may exclude them from consideration.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2626. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:19:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcb42rtuc1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113986

an_old_friend wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of the
>>analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely
>>disappear...
>
>
> indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece
>
> Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
> newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed

Of course, we probably don't want the new digital phones to be running
at the power levels the old bag phones run. Especially for the lenght of
time that people hold the things to their head nowadays.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2627. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:31:36 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcb4po$15qg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113987

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>
>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>>
>
>
> Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
> of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
> the current written examination. Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out. By any
> reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting a
> lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.

Frankly, I view one time adjustments in about the same vein as I do
temporary taxes.

Imagine the howls when Operator #1 tests the day before the One time
free upgrade, and operator #2 tests the day after, and gets much less
privileges.

Is that fair? If they both pass the same test, why is one getting
preferential treatment?

All it does is substitutes another problem for the perceived first problem.

Hans, intellectually dishonest is an understatement! It works on so few
levels. I'll be howling on both sides. People should *not* get free
upgrades, and they should *not* be punished for the date on which they
took the test.

Perhaps they could reduce administrative burden, and do all manner of
other wonderful things by simply having a one time adjustment of
everyone to Extra?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2628. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:32:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122571927.306578.18120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113988

John Smith wrote:
> Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
> (encryption/decryption) on radio.

No I am not
>
> It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and yet
> regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on one
> specific form of communications seems insane.

As I understand the matter it comes in part from concerns that Spies
would use Ham radio to do thier deeds

Today with al queada I can't see the FCC budging on this one

>
> Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
> binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the even
> the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
> years--let alone lifetimes.)

I have heard of this never studied it could something like that be used
to embed same in something like SSTV
>
> John

OTOH Your mention PGP I suppose based on the discusions of the USE of
PSK 31 and other modes you could argue the use PGP would be legal is
the non encoded parts of the tranmision in addition to your Call sign
contained the addresses where both of the keys could be found. The
addresses would have to be acesable over say the internet but it should
be legal

Alothough the FCC is liable to try to make a fight of it, one that
isn't worth it to me at anyrate

2629. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:39:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122572375.977722.292010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113989

John Smith wrote:
> Have you ever seen a "gentleman ham" recently?

Yes I have his name was Scot KB9NXL

His license class howver was tech plus, he was also the first Ham I
tlaked to on the air, and recoved well when he learned I was using one
of the other band on the multiband repeater

(based on the fact that the 440 HT was on sale compred to the 2M one I
got the 440)
>
> Something happened to all the old guys who welcomed new members into their
> ranks?

something did happen to them I have never seen one in person, and not
talked to one on the air that I know of

>
> Held instruction/tutorials at their homes and public forums.

yea
>
> Instructed rather than wished to control others...

Indeed mine KB9NXL loved morse code was his favorite modebut he was
able to respect that I truely loath the mode, and we became and remain
friends
>
> It is a real shame, but the caliber of amateurs is equal or below the chicken
> banders in this brave new world...

from what I hear below but then what hear on CB that is bad is merely
vulgar, what I hear that is bad on Ham radio is bigotry in better
language I prefer the vulgar to the bigoted
>
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122569312.626049.37410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> we have a "gentleman's agreement" here to use capitals and proper
> >> punctuation
> >>
> >> i think it is so those who cannot extrapolate off their grade school english

> >> educations can participate
> >>
> >> john
> >
> > have you ever found any of the gentlemen who go around making all these
> > agreements? I suppose they must be awfully busy
> >
> >>
> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1122567293.578640.140420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >

2630. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:39:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GL8Ge.242$ZV.4794458@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113990

N2EY:

How about the FCC and arrl just pose the question, "What are relevant questions to be posed to prepare potential new licensees for the hobby?"

Logic will take over, problem disappears... however, this is a clear and present danger to "control freaks" and bureaucrats who view potential licensees as "radio terrorists"...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1122570431.576473.236070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
K�HB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

> > The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> > the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> > given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.

That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test requirements.

Never is a very long time!

In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent" reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that the older, higher requirements have been removed because they aren't necessary.

For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A) to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2 from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician class licensee.

But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special" in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in effect both before and after the one-time reduction.

Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time licensed? For example, your license could be automatically

upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)

There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
for the free upgrade to General.

It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
free?
>
> Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or
> 63.4%
> of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not
> passed
> the current written examination.

Yup.

And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
get? Maybe the offer of free
upgrades would get some of them to renew?

> Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.

The worst parts of it are two:

1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
passed the test.

2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
legitimately
claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.

> By any
> reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting
> a
> lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.

Worse - permanent reduction. Here's why:

Suppose FCC did announce that they were doing free upgrades effective, say, October 1, 2005.

Joe Newham goes to a VE session in late September and passes the Tech written.
A few days later, even before he sees his new call in the database, he gets a free upgrade to General.

That free upgrade would mean FCC considered the Tech test adequate for General privileges. And when Mary Newerham shows up in November 2005, why should she
have to pass the General written? After all, the Tech written was adequate for
Joe Newham a few weeks earlier....

The joker in the deck is that such free upgrades would mean a lot of admin work
for FCC. That alone may exclude them from consideration.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2631. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:44:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0Q8Ge.244$yp1.4960301@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113991

I am afraid all you are seeing is that CB accurately reflects the condition of "the world."

The "F word" is considered proper etiquette onboard campus... Indeed, even professors have been know to utter it...

Society is not controlled though regulation of radio...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122572375.977722.292010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Have you ever seen a "gentleman ham" recently?
>
> Yes I have his name was Scot KB9NXL
>
> His license class howver was tech plus, he was also the first Ham I
> tlaked to on the air, and recoved well when he learned I was using one
> of the other band on the multiband repeater
>
> (based on the fact that the 440 HT was on sale compred to the 2M one I
> got the 440)
>>
>> Something happened to all the old guys who welcomed new members into their
>> ranks?
>
> something did happen to them I have never seen one in person, and not
> talked to one on the air that I know of
>
>>
>> Held instruction/tutorials at their homes and public forums.
>
> yea
>>
>> Instructed rather than wished to control others...
>
> Indeed mine KB9NXL loved morse code was his favorite modebut he was
> able to respect that I truely loath the mode, and we became and remain
> friends
>>
>> It is a real shame, but the caliber of amateurs is equal or below the
>> chicken

>> banders in this brave new world...
>
> from what I hear below but then what hear on CB that is bad is merely
> vulgar, what I hear that is bad on Ham radio is bigotry in better
> language I prefer the vulgar to the bigoted
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122569312.626049.37410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> we have a "gentleman's agreement" here to use capitals and proper
>> >> punctuation
>> >>
>> >> i think it is so those who cannot extrapolate off their grade school
>> >> english
>> >> educations can participate
>> >>
>> >> john
>> >
>> > have you ever found any of the gentlemen who go around making all these
>> > agreements? I suppose they must be awfully busy
>> >
>> >>
>> >> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1122567293.578640.140420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>
>

2632. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:48:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122572914.578571.210830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113992

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> > > The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> > > the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> > > given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>
> That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> requirements.
>
> Never is a very long time!
>
> In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
> the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> aren't necessary.

and bill may simply see thing defferently Indeed I am certain he does

>
> For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
> to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> class licensee.
>
> But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
>
> Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
> record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)

I think the suggestion has merit and merit discussion

I also think either a mostly fee based system(with the fees tied to
enforcement) or some hybrid merits discusion and prehaps something out
of it merits adoption, But I'll to speak speak for Bill (in terms he
and carl can deny if they choose) NCI has never been able to even
suggest such discusion without being attacked by the ProCode crowd

>
> There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
> And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> for the free upgrade to General.

you know Jim Nothing is perfect Indeed when my wife beagn studing for
license I suggested exactly that since that is what made logical sense
given the ARRL position

Why are you and other even more so always seem to looking to judge new
hams before you have even had a chance to work them or not

What happened to inocent till proven guilty Her the se folks are being
tried convicted and snetenced for simply preparing to follow the rules

>
> It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> free?

in a very short term

> >
> > Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> > census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> > General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> > requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
> > of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
> > the current written examination.
>
> Yup.
>
> And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> get? Maybe the offer of free
> upgrades would get some of them to renew?

and the point is now moot

why keep beating on it

>
> > Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> > "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
>

> The worst parts of it are two:
>
> 1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
> passed the test.
>
> 2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> legitimately
> claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
>
>
> > By any
> > reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting a
> > lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.
>
> Worse - permanent reduction. Here's why:
>
> Suppose FCC did announce that they were doing free upgrades effective,
> say, October 1, 2005.
>
> Joe Newham goes to a VE session in late September and passes the Tech
> written.
> A few days later, even before he sees his new call in the database, he
> gets a free upgrade to General.
>
> That free upgrade would mean FCC considered the Tech test adequate for
> General privileges. And when Mary Newerham shows up in November 2005,
> why should she
> have to pass the General written? After all, the Tech written was
> adequate for
> Joe Newham a few weeks earlier....
>
> The joker in the deck is that such free upgrades would mean a lot of
> admin work
> for FCC. That alone may exclude them from consideration.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2633. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 17:48:58 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcb5qa$15qs$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113993

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>
>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>
>
> That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> requirements.
>
> Never is a very long time!
>
> In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
> the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> aren't necessary.

And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.

Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(

> For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
> to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> class licensee.
>
> But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
>
> Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear

> record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)

I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
(especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.

> There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
> And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> for the free upgrade to General.
>
> It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> free?

Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.

>>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
>>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
>>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
>>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
>>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
>>the current written examination.
>
>
> Yup.
>
> And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> get? Maybe the offer of free
> upgrades would get some of them to renew?

How about some free prizes too? ;^)

>
>>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
>>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
>
>
> The worst parts of it are two:
>
> 1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have

> passed the test.

Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are
considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.

> 2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> legitimately
> claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.

Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.

So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
changes are made permanent.

I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
requirements in the post adjustment world.

NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
responsible.

>
>>By any
>>reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting a
>>lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.
>
>
> Worse - permanent reduction. Here's why:
>
> Suppose FCC did announce that they were doing free upgrades effective,
> say, October 1, 2005.
>
> Joe Newham goes to a VE session in late September and passes the Tech
> written.
> A few days later, even before he sees his new call in the database, he
> gets a free upgrade to General.
>
> That free upgrade would mean FCC considered the Tech test adequate for
> General privileges. And when Mary Newerham shows up in November 2005,
> why should she
> have to pass the General written? After all, the Tech written was
> adequate for
> Joe Newham a few weeks earlier....
>
> The joker in the deck is that such free upgrades would mean a lot of
> admin work
> for FCC. That alone may exclude them from consideration.

Yup, like I said!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2634. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 18:03:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <y59Ge.249$WT1.5058704@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113994

I am still pissed they remove the question, "What purpose does the feedback winding on coil serve in a regenerative receiver?"

Or, what is the purpose of a coherer?

Or, how is galena detector adjusted?

Or, what do you need to construct a carbon microphone?

etc, etc...

Yep, they just can't ask questions like they use to!

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:dcb5qa$15qs$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> K HB wrote:
>>
>>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
>>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
>>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>>
>>
>> That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
>> said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
>> requirements.
>>
>> Never is a very long time!
>>
>> In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
>> reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
>> the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
>> aren't necessary.
>
> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing, think that
> the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.
>
> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(

>
>
>> For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
>> testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
>> to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
>> meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
>> from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
>> class licensee.
>>
>> But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
>> that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
>> in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
>> effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
>>
>> Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
>> count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
>> not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
>> licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
>> upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
>> record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
>> be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
>> someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
>> been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
>
> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it should
> mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience (especially
> practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra. There is of course
> the possibility that a person could go through this process without ever
> getting on the air. But more often than not, an inactive Ham will not likely
> bother to upgrade anyhow.
>
>
>> There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
>> did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
>> hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
>> by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
>> planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
>> And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
>> for the free upgrade to General.
>>
>> It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
>> free?
>
> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.
>
>>>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
>>>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
>>>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
>>>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or

>>>63.4%
>>>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not
>>>passed
>>>the current written examination.
>>
>>
>> Yup.
>>
>> And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
>> expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
>> get? Maybe the offer of free
>> upgrades would get some of them to renew?
>
> How about some free prizes too? ;^)
>
>>
>>>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
>>>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
>>
>>
>> The worst parts of it are two:
>>
>> 1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
>> would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
>> little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
>> passed the test.
>
> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
> permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a manner
> that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are considered
> adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after are also
> adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same privileges is
> pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
>
>> 2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
>> legitimately
>> claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
>
> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
>
> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the changes are
> made permanent.
>
> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
> requirements in the post adjustment world.
>
> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
> responsible.
>

>
>>
>>>By any
>>>reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting
>>>a
>>>lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.
>>
>>
>> Worse - permanent reduction. Here's why:
>>
>> Suppose FCC did announce that they were doing free upgrades effective,
>> say, October 1, 2005.
>>
>> Joe Newham goes to a VE session in late September and passes the Tech
>> written.
>> A few days later, even before he sees his new call in the database, he
>> gets a free upgrade to General.
>>
>> That free upgrade would mean FCC considered the Tech test adequate for
>> General privileges. And when Mary Newerham shows up in November 2005,
>> why should she
>> have to pass the General written? After all, the Tech written was
>> adequate for
>> Joe Newham a few weeks earlier....
>>
>> The joker in the deck is that such free upgrades would mean a lot of
>> admin work
>> for FCC. That alone may exclude them from consideration.
>
> Yup, like I said!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2635. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 18:20:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <am9Ge.251$7Q1.5027931@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113995

Are you joking man?

Why would terrorists use radio, even with the narrowest directive antennas and broadcast to who-knows-who?

The FCC thinks them too ignorant to figure out the internet is a much better vector?

I suspect there is much more on Al Jazerras' website than meets the eye... but, who knows?

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122571927.306578.18120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
>> (encryption/decryption) on radio.
>
> No I am not
>>
>> It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and
>> yet
>> regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on
>> one
>> specific form of communications seems insane.
>
> As I understand the matter it comes in part from concerns that Spies
> would use Ham radio to do thier deeds
>
> Today with al queada I can't see the FCC budging on this one
>
>>
>> Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
>> binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the
>> even
>> the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
>> years--let alone lifetimes.)
>
> I have heard of this never studied it could something like that be used
> to embed same in something like SSTV
>>
>> John
>

> OTOH Your mention PGP I suppose based on the discusions of the USE of
> PSK 31 and other modes you could argue the use PGP would be legal is
> the non encoded parts of the tranmision in addition to your Call sign
> contained the addresses where both of the keys could be found. The
> addresses would have to be acesable over say the internet but it should
> be legal
>
> Alothough the FCC is liable to try to make a fight of it, one that
> isn't worth it to me at anyrate
>

2636. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 19:33:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XpaGe.262$V22.5078121@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114002

Michael:

Then let none complain of reduced areas of coverage... you simply cannot have
it both ways...

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:dcb42rtuc1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of
>>>the
>>>analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely
>>>disappear...
>>
>>
>> indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece
>>
>> Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
>> newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed
>
>
> Of course, we probably don't want the new digital phones to be running at the
> power levels the old bag phones run. Especially for the lenght of time that
> people hold the things to their head nowadays.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2637. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 20:23:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122582193.751819.92810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113996

John Smith wrote:
> Are you joking man?

I wish I was

But nothing that a hare brained sheme could say they might do will be
granted now

>
> Why would terrorists use radio, even with the narrowest directive antennas and
> broadcast to who-knows-who?

Is it? After all the FCC can't seem to find the folks breaking the
rules now

and doing something that looks stupid is a classic in threat analisys
work

Good examples of it are in "the longest day" a german general was
pointing out how silly his plan for wining a wargame was Normandy bad
wether and low tide, which turned out to be what the Allies did

Using radio would be a soft target approuch

>
> The FCC thinks them too ignorant to figure out the internet is a much better
> vector?
>
> I suspect there is much more on Al Jazerras' website than meets the eye... but,
> who knows?

Only Al quaeda knows for sure, if even they do
>
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122571927.306578.18120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
> >> (encryption/decryption) on radio.
> >
> > No I am not
> >>
> >> It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and
> >> yet

> >> regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on
> >> one
> >> specific form of communications seems insane.
> >
> > As I understand the matter it comes in part from concerns that Spies
> > would use Ham radio to do thier deeds
> >
> > Today with al queada I can't see the FCC budging on this one
> >
> >>
> >> Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
> >> binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the
> >> even
> >> the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
> >> years--let alone lifetimes.)
> >
> > I have heard of this never studied it could something like that be used
> > to embed same in something like SSTV
> >>
> >> John
> >
> > OTOH Your mention PGP I suppose based on the discusions of the USE of
> > PSK 31 and other modes you could argue the use PGP would be legal is
> > the non encoded parts of the tranmision in addition to your Call sign
> > contained the addresses where both of the keys could be found. The
> > addresses would have to be acesable over say the internet but it should
> > be legal
> >
> > Alothough the FCC is liable to try to make a fight of it, one that
> > isn't worth it to me at anyrate
> >

2638. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 20:27:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122582433.480608.73790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 113997

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> > >
> > >
> > >>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> > >>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> > >>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
> > >
> > >
> > > That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> > > said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> > > requirements.
> > >
> > > Never is a very long time!
> > >
> > > In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> > > reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
> > > the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> > > aren't necessary.
>
> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
> think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.

the idea that following the rules would ever be cheating is well truely
sick
>
> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(
>
>
> > For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> > testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
> > to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> > meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> > from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> > class licensee.
> >
> > But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> > that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> > in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> > effect both before and after the one-time reduction.

> >
> > Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> > count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> > not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> > licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> > upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
> > record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> > be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> > someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> > been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
>
> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
> should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
> (especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
> There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
> process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
> inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.
>
>
> > There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> > did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> > hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> > by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> > planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
> > And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> > for the free upgrade to General.
> >
> > It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> > free?
>
> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.

Obeying the rules as cheating god these folks are sick

>
> >>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> >>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> >>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> >>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
> >>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
> >>the current written examination.
> >
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> > expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> > get? Maybe the offer of free
> > upgrades would get some of them to renew?
>
> How about some free prizes too? ;^)

>
> >
> >>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> >>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
> >
> >
> > The worst parts of it are two:
> >
> > 1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> > would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> > little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
> > passed the test.
>
> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
> permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
> manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are

with all hams tested by the approved method at every point

> considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
> are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
> privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
>
> > 2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> > legitimately
> > claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
>
> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
>
> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
> changes are made permanent.
>
> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
> requirements in the post adjustment world.
>
> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
> responsible.
>

for something that could have happened if something that has been
rejected by the FCC had been accepted

twisted logic
>
> >
> >>By any
> >>reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as supporting a
> >>lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.
> >
> >
> > Worse - permanent reduction. Here's why:

> >
> > Suppose FCC did announce that they were doing free upgrades effective,
> > say, October 1, 2005.
> >
> > Joe Newham goes to a VE session in late September and passes the Tech
> > written.
> > A few days later, even before he sees his new call in the database, he
> > gets a free upgrade to General.
> >
> > That free upgrade would mean FCC considered the Tech test adequate for
> > General privileges. And when Mary Newerham shows up in November 2005,
> > why should she
> > have to pass the General written? After all, the Tech written was
> > adequate for
> > Joe Newham a few weeks earlier....
> >
> > The joker in the deck is that such free upgrades would mean a lot of
> > admin work
> > for FCC. That alone may exclude them from consideration.
>
> Yup, like I said!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2639. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 20:37:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mbGe.282$_b3.5397623@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 113999

Ohhh, those boys in the NSA are smart alright (well, unless they got the job because they are a relative/friend of someone who is somebody) and, I am sure they have their tools, but if they even move to claim that encryption of data by methods such as PGP and its equiv--I am afraid I would have to consider the possibility they were lying...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122582193.751819.92810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Are you joking man?
>
> I wish I was
>
> But nothing that a hare brained sheme could say they might do will be
> granted now
>
>>
>> Why would terrorists use radio, even with the narrowest directive antennas
>> and
>> broadcast to who-knows-who?
>
> Is it? After all the FCC can't seem to find the folks breaking the
> rules now
>
> and doing something that looks stupid is a classic in threat analisys
> work
>
> Good examples of it are in "the longest day" a german general was
> pointing out how silly his plan for wining a wargame was Normandy bad
> wether and low tide, which turned out to be what the Allies did
>
> Using radio would be a soft target approuch
>
>>
>> The FCC thinks them too ignorant to figure out the internet is a much better
>> vector?
>>
>> I suspect there is much more on Al Jazerras' website than meets the eye...
>> but,
>> who knows?
>

> Only Al quaeda knows for sure, if even they do
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122571927.306578.18120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of
>> >> "codes"
>> >> (encryption/decryption) on radio.
>> >
>> > No I am not
>> >>
>> >> It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet
>> >> and
>> >> yet
>> >> regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented
>> >> on
>> >> one
>> >> specific form of communications seems insane.
>> >
>> > As I understand the matter it comes in part from concerns that Spies
>> > would use Ham radio to do thier deeds
>> >
>> > Today with al queada I can't see the FCC budging on this one
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video
>> >> and
>> >> binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the
>> >> even
>> >> the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
>> >> years--let alone lifetimes.)
>> >
>> > I have heard of this never studied it could something like that be used
>> > to embed same in something like SSTV
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >
>> > OTOH Your mention PGP I suppose based on the discusions of the USE of
>> > PSK 31 and other modes you could argue the use PGP would be legal is
>> > the non encoded parts of the tranmision in addition to your Call sign
>> > contained the addresses where both of the keys could be found. The
>> > addresses would have to be acesable over say the internet but it should
>> > be legal
>> >
>> > Alothough the FCC is liable to try to make a fight of it, one that
>> > isn't worth it to me at anyrate

>> >
>

2640. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 20:37:48 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <dcbfms$19o2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 113998

an old friend wrote:

>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
>>>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
>>>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
>>>said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
>>>requirements.
>>>
>>>Never is a very long time!
>>>
>>>In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
>>>reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
>>>the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
>>>aren't necessary.
>>
>> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
>>think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.
>
>
> the idea that following the rules would ever be cheating is well truely
> sick
>
>> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(
>>
>>
>>
>>>For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
>>>testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
>>>to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action

>>>meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
>>>from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
>>>class licensee.
>>>
>>>But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
>>>that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
>>>in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
>>>effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
>>>
>>>Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
>>>count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
>>>not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
>>>licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
>>>upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
>>>record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
>>>be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
>>>someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
>>>been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
>>
>> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
>>should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
>>(especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
>>There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
>>process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
>>inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.
>>
>>
>>
>>>There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
>>>did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
>>>hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
>>>by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
>>>planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
>>>And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
>>>for the free upgrade to General.
>>>
>>>It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
>>>free?
>>
>> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.
>
>
> Obeying the rules as cheating god these folks are sick

I think you may have some trouble understanding what I said. I suspect
from what I have read from your posts that you are probably in favor of
less, not more education for holding a Ham license.

One of the unintended consequences of a giveaway is that the day after
the giveaway, getting the same priveliges is more difficult.

So what you are saying is that you support increased testing requirements?

>
>>>>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
>>>>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
>>>>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
>>>>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
>>>>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
>>>>the current written examination.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yup.
>>>
>>>And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
>>>expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
>>>get? Maybe the offer of free
>>>upgrades would get some of them to renew?
>>
>> How about some free prizes too? ;^)
>>
>>
>>>>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
>>>>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
>>>
>>>
>>>The worst parts of it are two:
>>>
>>>1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
>>>would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
>>>little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
>>>passed the test.
>>
>> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
>>permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
>>manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are
>
>
> with all hams tested by the approved method at every point
>

Why do you want the tests to become harder?

>
>>considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
>>are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
>>privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
>>
>>
>>>2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
>>>legitimately
>>>claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
>>

>> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
>>
>> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
>>changes are made permanent.
>>
>> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
>>requirements in the post adjustment world.
>>
>> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
>>responsible.
>>
>
>
> for something that could have happened if something that has been
> rejected by the FCC had been accepted
>
> twisted logic

Negative. They are happy to take some credit for the situation. With
credit comes responsibility.

Unless of course they are Republican. In that case they are not
responsible....

- Mike KB3EIA -

2641. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 20:42:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <brbGe.283$hx2.5194875@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114000

What, now you are more knowledgeable than the FCC and are over-seeing their actions, to make sure they are proper?

Buddy, look again, I think it works exactly in the reverse. You have been listening to those here too long, the ones who think that they are secret agents and that amateur radio is being attacked by "CB Terrorists."

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122582433.480608.73790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > K�HB wrote:
> >
> >>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> >>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> >>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
> >
> >
> > That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> > said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> > requirements.
> >
> > Never is a very long time!
> >
> > In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> > reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
> > the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> > aren't necessary.
>
> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
> think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.

the idea that following the rules would ever be cheating is well truely sick
>
> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(
>
>

> > For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> > testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
> > to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> > meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> > from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> > class licensee.
> >
> > But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> > that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> > in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> > effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
> >
> > Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> > count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> > not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> > licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> > upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
> > record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> > be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> > someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> > been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
>
> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
> should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
> (especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
> There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
> process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
> inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.
>
>
> > There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> > did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> > hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> > by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> > planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
> > And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> > for the free upgrade to General.
> >
> > It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> > free?
>
> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.

Obeying the rules as cheating god these folks are sick

>
> >>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> >>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> >>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> >>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or
> >>63.4%

> >>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not
> >>passed
> >>the current written examination.
> >
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> > expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> > get? Maybe the offer of free
> > upgrades would get some of them to renew?
>
> How about some free prizes too? ;^)
>
> >
> >>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> >>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
> >
> >
> > The worst parts of it are two:
> >
> > 1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> > would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> > little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
> > passed the test.
>
> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
> permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
> manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are

with all hams tested by the approved method at every point

> considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
> are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
> privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
>
> > 2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> > legitimately
> > claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
>
> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
>
> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
> changes are made permanent.
>
> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
> requirements in the post adjustment world.
>
> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
> responsible.
>

for something that could have happened if something that has been
rejected by the FCC had been accepted

twisted logic
>
> >
> >>By any
> >>reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as
> >>supporting a
> >>lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.
> >
> >
> > Worse - permanent reduction. Here's why:
> >
> > Suppose FCC did announce that they were doing free upgrades effective,
> > say, October 1, 2005.
> >
> > Joe Newham goes to a VE session in late September and passes the Tech
> > written.
> > A few days later, even before he sees his new call in the database, he
> > gets a free upgrade to General.
> >
> > That free upgrade would mean FCC considered the Tech test adequate for
> > General privileges. And when Mary Newerham shows up in November 2005,
> > why should she
> > have to pass the General written? After all, the Tech written was
> > adequate for
> > Joe Newham a few weeks earlier....
> >
> > The joker in the deck is that such free upgrades would mean a lot of
> > admin work
> > for FCC. That alone may exclude them from consideration.
>
> Yup, like I said!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2642. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 21:08:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122584905.371119.86380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114001

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>KØHB wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> >>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> >>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> >>>said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> >>>requirements.
> >>>
> >>>Never is a very long time!
> >>>
> >>>In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> >>>reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
> >>>the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> >>>aren't necessary.
> >>
> >> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
> >>think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.
> >
> >
> > the idea that following the rules would ever be cheating is well truely
> > sick
> >
> >> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> >>>testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)

> >>>to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> >>>meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> >>>from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> >>>class licensee.
> >>>
> >>>But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> >>>that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> >>>in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> >>>effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
> >>>
> >>>Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> >>>count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> >>>not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> >>>licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> >>>upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
> >>>record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> >>>be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> >>>someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> >>>been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
> >>
> >> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
> >>should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
> >>(especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
> >>There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
> >>process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
> >>inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> >>>did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> >>>hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> >>>by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> >>>planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
> >>>And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> >>>for the free upgrade to General.
> >>>
> >>>It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> >>>free?
> >>
> >> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.
> >
> >
> > Obeying the rules as cheating god these folks are sick
>

break

> I think you may have some trouble understanding what I said. I suspect
> from what I have read from your posts that you are probably in favor of
> less, not more education for holding a Ham license.

Niether really I favor the requriements be as loose as they can and
still serve the public interests involved the ARS, note I say the
PUBLIC not the memeberships of the ARS, thus as I have said in regard
to code testing that even if it could be shown that code testing was
good for the ARS, If it were not shown to be in the public interest I
would oppose such testing

So I favour neither, more nor less education. I favor mimimal
regulation to the needs of the public, education chips fall where they
may.
>
> One of the unintended consequences of a giveaway is that the day after
> the giveaway, getting the same priveliges is more difficult.

of course it does

>
> So what you are saying is that you support increased testing requirements?

no nor lessened ones? It is not an either/or proposition. The question
is what best serves the public interest. I am for example willing to
consider a vastly reduced test regime and shift to a more fee based
license if those fees will be used for enfocement on our bands

Enforcement seem a far biiger problem to me than education or the lack
of it so in that example i support trading educatio requirements for
increased enforcement, if that is possible

If you show me that increased test benifits the PUBLIC I will support
that

>
>
> >
> >>>>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> >>>>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
> >>>>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> >>>>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or 63.4%
> >>>>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not passed
> >>>>the current written examination.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yup.
> >>>
> >>>And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> >>>expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> >>>get? Maybe the offer of free
> >>>upgrades would get some of them to renew?
> >>
> >> How about some free prizes too? ;^)
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Trying to trivialize that as a simple

> >>>>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>The worst parts of it are two:
> >>>
> >>>1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> >>>would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> >>>little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
> >>>passed the test.
> >>
> >> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
> >>permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
> >>manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are
> >
> >
> > with all hams tested by the approved method at every point
> >
>
> Why do you want the tests to become harder?

I don't nor easier

I was objecting to you dscrition of the results of one time free
upgrades

>
>
> >
> >>considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
> >>are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
> >>privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
> >>
> >>
> >>>2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> >>>legitimately
> >>>claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
> >>
> >> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
> >>
> >> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
> >>changes are made permanent.
> >>
> >> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
> >>requirements in the post adjustment world.
> >>
> >> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
> >>responsible.
> >>
> >
> >
> > for something that could have happened if something that has been

> > rejected by the FCC had been accepted
> >
> > twisted logic
>
> Negative. They are happy to take some credit for the situation. With
> credit comes responsibility.

what credit are they taking? They proposed something that the FCC
regcted and you want to hold them accountable for even proposing it.
that is pretty twisted

>
> Unless of course they are Republican. In that case they are not
> responsible....

this is not a partisan issue, in the 2000 campiagn cycle I had contact
and Gore and Bush people indacated they would support ending code
testing in the itu treaty lang

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2643. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 21:29:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f7cGe.288$hG3.5503083@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114003

... is it surprising the politicians would ever argue against dropping code?

The are supposed to fill us with a sense that they are logical and reasonable people, if they pursue insane ideas of keeping antiquated technology--who in their right mind would want them in office?

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122584905.371119.86380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>K&#65533;HB wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> >>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> >>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> >>>said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> >>>requirements.
> >>>
> >>>Never is a very long time!
> >>>
> >>>In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> >>>reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
> >>>the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> >>>aren't necessary.
> >>
> >> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
> >>think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.
> >
>

> >
> > the idea that following the rules would ever be cheating is well truely
> > sick
> >
> >> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> >>>testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
> >>>to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> >>>meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> >>>from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> >>>class licensee.
> >>>
> >>>But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> >>>that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> >>>in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> >>>effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
> >>>
> >>>Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> >>>count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> >>>not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> >>>licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> >>>upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
> >>>record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> >>>be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> >>>someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> >>>been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
> >>
> >> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
> >>should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
> >>(especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
> >>There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
> >>process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
> >>inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> >>>did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> >>>hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> >>>by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> >>>planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
> >>>And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> >>>for the free upgrade to General.
> >>>
> >>>It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> >>>free?

> >>
> >> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.
> >
> >
> > Obeying the rules as cheating god these folks are sick
>

break

> I think you may have some trouble understanding what I said. I suspect
> from what I have read from your posts that you are probably in favor of
> less, not more education for holding a Ham license.

Niether really I favor the requriements be as loose as they can and
still serve the public interests involved the ARS, note I say the
PUBLIC not the memeberships of the ARS, thus as I have said in regard
to code testing that even if it could be shown that code testing was
good for the ARS, If it were not shown to be in the public interest I
would oppose such testing

So I favour neither, more nor less education. I favor mimimal
regulation to the needs of the public, education chips fall where they
may.
>
> One of the unintended consequences of a giveaway is that the day after
> the giveaway, getting the same priveliges is more difficult.

of course it does

>
> So what you are saying is that you support increased testing requirements?

no nor lessened ones? It is not an either/or proposition. The question
is what best serves the public interest. I am for example willing to
consider a vastly reduced test regime and shift to a more fee based
license if those fees will be used for enfocement on our bands

Enforcement seem a far biiger problem to me than education or the lack
of it so in that example i support trading educatio requirements for
increased enforcement, if that is possible

If you show me that increased test benifits the PUBLIC I will support
that

>
>
> >
> >>>>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> >>>>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test
> >>>>for
> >>>>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> >>>>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or
> >>>>63.4%

> >>>>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not
> >>>>passed
> >>>>the current written examination.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yup.
> >>>
> >>>And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> >>>expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> >>>get? Maybe the offer of free
> >>>upgrades would get some of them to renew?
> >>
> >> How about some free prizes too? ;^)
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> >>>>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>The worst parts of it are two:
> >>>
> >>>1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> >>>would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> >>>little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
> >>>passed the test.
> >>
> >> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
> >>permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
> >>manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are
> >
> >
> > with all hams tested by the approved method at every point
> >
>
> Why do you want the tests to become harder?

I don't nor easier

I was objecting to you dscrition of the results of one time free
upgrades

>
>
> >
> >>considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
> >>are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
> >>privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
> >>
> >>
> >>>2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> >>>legitimately

> >>>claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
> >>
> >> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
> >>
> >> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
> >>changes are made permanent.
> >>
> >> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
> >>requirements in the post adjustment world.
> >>
> >> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
> >>responsible.
> >>
> >
> >
> > for something that could have happened if something that has been
> > rejected by the FCC had been accepted
> >
> > twisted logic
>
> Negative. They are happy to take some credit for the situation. With
> credit comes responsibility.

what credit are they taking? They proposed something that the FCC
regcted and you want to hold them accountable for even proposing it.
that is pretty twisted

>
> Unless of course they are Republican. In that case they are not
> responsible....

this is not a partisan issue, in the 2000 campiagn cycle I had contact
and Gore and Bush people indacated they would support ending code
testing in the itu treaty lang

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2644. Re: NEWS ON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 21:59:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <SycGe.10532$oZ.4826@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114004

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122505052.633463.219840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I am beginning to understand your problem Toad. You are just flippin
>>stupid. PA means PENDING. Pending means he is in deep crap.
>
> When any application is filed it is posted on the FCC system as
> pending. First it's pending then it's granted.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

It was CHANGED TO PENDING ON MONDAY....dipweed.

Dan/W4NTI\

2645. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:05:02 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <42e95606$0$16766$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 114005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In <mgXFe.135$Hh2.1945675@news.sisna.com> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> writes:

>commander:

>Furnish me with a URL to a document by usenet on the false specs you are
>attempting to pass off on the un-witting hams, and others, here. Where is a
>usenet "Official Faq" stating what you are claiming?

Try any of the following:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/news.admin.net-abuse.policy/msg/fe1d33dcfe1ff321

http://www.faqs.org/faqs/finding-groups/general/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Newsgroups

http://www.uwasa.fi/~ts/http/nobin.html

Even if you don't want to accept any of these articles as authoritative,
consider the validity of their basic arguments, which I've summarized
below:

- Many sites choose to carry only the discussion newsgroups, as the
binary newsgroups represent the overwhelming majority of Usenet
traffic volume (by an astonishing ratio of 300:1 as of 2002, according
to the first article referenced above).

- Sites can easily limit their news traffic to the much smaller
discussion newsgroups volume if binaries are restricted by convention
to newsgroups that have "binaries" in their names.

- Even if one poster posts "just one little binary" in a discussion
newsgroup, it can add up if others join in, especially multiplied over
many newsgroups (see "Tragedy of the Commons" at:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tragedy_of_the_commons).

- If this results in very little meaningful distinction in traffic
volume between discussion and binary newsgroups, such that sites that
carry news can no longer easily filter out the binary material, and
keep their bandwidth and storage requirements to a manageable amount,
many sites may choose to drop many discussion newsgroups, or even drop
news altogether. The latter has already happened at many sites,
including AOL, MSN, and Comcast.

- Sites with more sophisticated filtering than that provided by standard
news server software may choose to filter out all posts that have
binary content, anyway. Already, Google Groups strips out any binary

contents from their newsgroups archive, mostly to avoid becoming a de-facto free porno/pirated-warez server. Even a site like Google, with nearly unlimited communications bandwidth and storage space, is concerned about becoming a transmission vector for copyright violations, viruses, and obscene material.

- It may even be a violation of your ISP's Terms of Service (TOS) or Acceptable Usage Policy (AUP) to post binaries to a discussion newsgroup.

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

2646. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:24:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <RWcGe.291$o33.5336125@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Paul:

google groups are simply not acceptable, wikipedia is not acceptable...

... the other two simply recommend using common sense ... DUH!!!

Sometime around the mid-late 90's myths began to float about, we live in a "echo chamber" and simply because these myths come echoing back in force is only proof that some where successful in generating belief in the myths, are in a remarkable number of people...

Attempting to limit the internet is insane... this has been and will remain a more powerful medium of exchange than many can accept, big deal...

Some dreamed of passing binaries back and forth here, worked and developed methods--for some strange reason--others came later attempting to halt it. I admit this insanity is hard to understand--I simply note it exists... I don't even care what the reason are, or the arguments of the "control freaks" consist of...

Google groups? NO ONE SHOULD EVEN BE USING GOOGLE GROUPS!!!!

John

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:42e95606$0$16766$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> In <mgXFe.135$Hh2.1945675@news.sisna.com> "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> writes:
>
>>commander:
>
>>Furnish me with a URL to a document by usenet on the false specs you are
>>attempting to pass off on the un-witting hams, and others, here. Where is a
>>usenet "Official Faq" stating what you are claiming?
>
>
> Try any of the following:
>
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/news.admin.net-abuse.policy/msg/fe1d33dcfe1ff321
>
> http://www.faqs.org/faqs/finding-groups/general/
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Newsgroups
>
> http://www.uwasa.fi/~ts/http/nobin.html

>
>
> Even if you don't want to accept any of these articles as authoritative,
> consider the validity of their basic arguments, which I've summarized
> below:
>
>
> - Many sites choose to carry only the discussion newsgroups, as the
> binary newsgroups represent the overwhelming majority of Usenet
> traffic volume (by an astonishing ratio of 300:1 as of 2002, according
> to the first article referenced above).
>
> - Sites can easily limit their news traffic to the much smaller
> discussion newsgroups volume if binaries are restricted by convention
> to newsgroups that have "binaries" in their names.
>
> - Even if one poster posts "just one little binary" in a discussion
> newsgroup, it can add up if others join in, especially multiplied over
> many newsgroups (see "Tragedy of the Commons" at:
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tragedy_of_the_commons).
>
> - If this results in very little meaningful distinction in traffic
> volume between discussion and binary newsgroups, such that sites that
> carry news can no longer easily filter out the binary material, and
> keep their bandwidth and storage requirements to a manageable amount,
> many sites may choose to drop many discussion newsgroups, or even drop
> news altogether. The latter has already happened at many sites,
> including AOL, MSN, and Comcast.
>
> - Sites with more sophisticated filtering than that provided by standard
> news server software may choose to filter out all posts that have
> binary content, anyway. Already, Google Groups strips out any binary
> contents from their newsgroups archive, mostly to avoid becoming a
> de-facto free porno/pirated-warez server. Even a site like Google,
> with nearly unlimited communications bandwidth and storage space, is
> concerned about becoming a transmission vector for copyright
> violations, viruses, and obscene material.
>
> - It may even be a violation of your ISP's Terms of Service (TOS) or
> Acceptable Usage Policy (AUP) to post binaries to a discussion
> newsgroup.
>
> --
> 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> pschleck@novia.net
> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>
>

2647. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:27:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4ZcGe.292$0O3.5520493@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Paul:

But don't panic, I may be the only one capable of uuencoding a binary and posting it, ya never know, well, except the one guy who already managed it somehow...

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:RWcGe.291$o33.5336125@news.sisna.com...
> Paul:
>
> google groups are simply not acceptable, wikipedia is not acceptable...
>
> ... the other two simply recommend using common sense ... DUH!!!
>
> Sometime around the mid-late 90's myths began to float about, we live in a
> "echo chamber" and simply because these myths come echoing back in force is
> only proof that some where successful in generating belief in the myths, are
> in a remarkable number of people...
>
> Attempting to limit the internet is insane... this has been and will remain a
> more powerful medium of exchange than many can accept, big deal...
>
> Some dreamed of passing binaries back and forth here, worked and developed
> methods--for some strange reason--others came later attempting to halt it. I
> admit this insanity is hard to understand--I simply note it exists... I don't
> even care what the reason are, or the arguments of the "control freaks"
> consist of...
>
> Google groups? NO ONE SHOULD EVEN BE USING GOOGLE GROUPS!!!!
>
> John
>
> "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
> news:42e95606$0$16766$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
>> In <mgXFe.135$Hh2.1945675@news.sisna.com> "John Smith"
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> writes:
>>
>>>commander:
>>
>>>Furnish me with a URL to a document by usenet on the false specs you are
>>>attempting to pass off on the un-witting hams, and others, here. Where is a
>>>usenet "Official Faq" stating what you are claiming?

>>
>>
>> Try any of the following:
>>
>>
>> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/news.admin.net-abuse.policy/msg/fe1d33dcfe1ff321
>>
>> http://www.faqs.org/faqs/finding-groups/general/
>>
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Newsgroups
>>
>> http://www.uwasa.fi/~ts/http/nobin.html
>>
>>
>> Even if you don't want to accept any of these articles as authoritative,
>> consider the validity of their basic arguments, which I've summarized
>> below:
>>
>>
>> - Many sites choose to carry only the discussion newsgroups, as the
>> binary newsgroups represent the overwhelming majority of Usenet
>> traffic volume (by an astonishing ratio of 300:1 as of 2002, according
>> to the first article referenced above).
>>
>> - Sites can easily limit their news traffic to the much smaller
>> discussion newsgroups volume if binaries are restricted by convention
>> to newsgroups that have "binaries" in their names.
>>
>> - Even if one poster posts "just one little binary" in a discussion
>> newsgroup, it can add up if others join in, especially multiplied over
>> many newsgroups (see "Tragedy of the Commons" at:
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tragedy_of_the_commons).
>>
>> - If this results in very little meaningful distinction in traffic
>> volume between discussion and binary newsgroups, such that sites that
>> carry news can no longer easily filter out the binary material, and
>> keep their bandwidth and storage requirements to a manageable amount,
>> many sites may choose to drop many discussion newsgroups, or even drop
>> news altogether. The latter has already happened at many sites,
>> including AOL, MSN, and Comcast.
>>
>> - Sites with more sophisticated filtering than that provided by standard
>> news server software may choose to filter out all posts that have
>> binary content, anyway. Already, Google Groups strips out any binary
>> contents from their newsgroups archive, mostly to avoid becoming a
>> de-facto free porno/pirated-warez server. Even a site like Google,
>> with nearly unlimited communications bandwidth and storage space, is
>> concerned about becoming a transmission vector for copyright
>> violations, viruses, and obscene material.
>>

>> - It may even be a violation of your ISP's Terms of Service (TOS) or
>> Acceptable Usage Policy (AUP) to post binaries to a discussion
>> newsgroup.
>>
>> --
>> 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
>> pschleck@novia.net
>> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
>> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>>
>>
>
>

2648. Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:27:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bZcGe.18608$aY6.649@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114006

http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/wlc_lttr.pdf

2649. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:33:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122589982.311389.12320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114007

John Smith wrote:
> ... is it surprising the politicians would ever argue against dropping code?

not really but I was responing to someone saying code was a partisan
issue after all
>
> The are supposed to fill us with a sense that they are logical and reasonable
> people, if they pursue insane ideas of keeping antiquated technology--who in
> their right mind would want them in office?
>
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122584905.371119.86380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>>KØHB wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> > > >>>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> > > >>>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>>That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> > > >>>said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> > > >>>requirements.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>Never is a very long time!
> > > >>>
> > > >>>In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> > > >>>reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that

> > >>>the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> > >>>aren't necessary.
> > >>
> > >> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
> > >>think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > the idea that following the rules would ever be cheating is well truely
> > > > sick
> > > >
> > >> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> > >>>testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
> > >>>to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> > >>>meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> > >>>from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> > >>>class licensee.
> > >>>
> > >>>But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> > >>>that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> > >>>in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> > >>>effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
> > >>>
> > >>>Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> > >>>count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> > >>>not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> > >>>licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> > >>>upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
> > >>>record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> > >>>be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> > >>>someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> > >>>been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
> > >>
> > >> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
> > >>should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
> > >>(especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
> > >>There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
> > >>process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
> > >>inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> > >>>did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> > >>>hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> > >>>by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> > >>>planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.

> > >>>And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> > >>>for the free upgrade to General.
> > >>>
> > >>>It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> > >>>free?
> > >>
> > >> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a fashion.
> > >
> > >
> > > Obeying the rules as cheating god these folks are sick
> >
>
> break
>
> > I think you may have some trouble understanding what I said. I suspect
> > from what I have read from your posts that you are probably in favor of
> > less, not more education for holding a Ham license.
>
> Niether really I favor the requriements be as loose as they can and
> still serve the public interests involved the ARS, note I say the
> PUBLIC not the memeberships of the ARS, thus as I have said in regard
> to code testing that even if it could be shown that code testing was
> good for the ARS, If it were not shown to be in the public interest I
> would oppose such testing
>
> So I favour neither, more nor less education. I favor mimimal
> regulation to the needs of the public, education chips fall where they
> may.
> >
> > One of the unintended consequences of a giveaway is that the day after
> > the giveaway, getting the same priveliges is more difficult.
>
> of course it does
>
> >
> > So what you are saying is that you support increased testing requirements?
>
> no nor lessened ones? It is not an either/or proposition. The question
> is what best serves the public interest. I am for example willing to
> consider a vastly reduced test regime and shift to a more fee based
> license if those fees will be used for enfocement on our bands
>
> Enforcement seem a far biiger problem to me than education or the lack
> of it so in that example i support trading educatio requirements for
> increased enforcement, if that is possible
>
> If you show me that increased test benifits the PUBLIC I will support
> that
>
>

> >
> >
> > >
> > >>>>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> > >>>>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test
> > >>>>for
> > >>>>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> > >>>>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or
> > >>>>63.4%
> > >>>>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had not
> > >>>>passed
> > >>>>the current written examination.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Yup.
> > >>>
> > >>>And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> > >>>expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> > >>>get? Maybe the offer of free
> > >>>upgrades would get some of them to renew?
> > >>
> > >> How about some free prizes too? ;^)
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> > >>>>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>The worst parts of it are two:
> > >>>
> > >>>1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> > >>>would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> > >>>little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
> > >>>passed the test.
> > >>
> > >> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
> > >>permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
> > >>manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are
> > >
> > >
> > > with all hams tested by the approved method at every point
> > >
> >
> > Why do you want the tests to become harder?
>
> I don't nor easier
>
> I was objecting to you dscrition of the results of one time free
> upgrades
>

> >
> >
> > >
> > >>considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
> > >>are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
> > >>privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> > >>>legitimately
> > >>>claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
> > >>
> > >> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
> > >>
> > >> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
> > >>changes are made permanent.
> > >>
> > >> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
> > >>requirements in the post adjustment world.
> > >>
> > >> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
> > >>responsible.
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > > for something that could have happened if something that has been
> > > rejected by the FCC had been accepted
> > >
> > > twisted logic
> >
> > Negative. They are happy to take some credit for the situation. With
> > credit comes responsibility.
>
> what credit are they taking? They proposed something that the FCC
> regcted and you want to hold them accountable for even proposing it.
> that is pretty twisted
>
> >
> > Unless of course they are Republican. In that case they are not
> > responsible....
>
> this is not a partisan issue, in the 2000 campiagn cycle I had contact
> and Gore and Bush people indacated they would support ending code
> testing in the itu treaty lang
>
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -

2650. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:36:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122590169.605226.79840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114008

your point?

it is already clear fromt he record that Cronkike and baxtar parted
company long ago

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> http://host.picturewizard.com/2005-7/357648/wlc_lttr.pdf

2651. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:37:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <V6dGe.298$ju3.5482648@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114013

aof:

Well, I mean look at the arrl, for years supported code (to their downfall actually)...

... just because some organization exists, it doesn't mean it has sane men in control...

... same with a politician, insane men are often lifted to office ...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122589982.311389.12320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

John Smith wrote:
> ... is it surprising the politicians would ever argue against dropping code?

not really but I was responing to someone saying code was a partisan
issue after all
>
> The are supposed to fill us with a sense that they are logical and reasonable
> people, if they pursue insane ideas of keeping antiquated technology--who in
> their right mind would want them in office?
>
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122584905.371119.86380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > >

> > >>>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
> > >>>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
> > >>>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>That's true on the surface. But it belies the claim of some who
> > >>>said they would *NEVER* support a reduction in the written test
> > >>>requirements.
> > >>>
> > >>>Never is a very long time!
> > >>>
> > >>>In some ways a one-time reduction is worse than a "permanent"
> > >>>reduction. In a "permanent" reduction, the message is that
> > >>>the older, higher requirements have been removed because they
> > >>>aren't necessary.
> > >>
> > >> And some of us that think that a little "larnin'" is a good thing,
> > >>think that the whole concept is cheating those who get the free upgrade.
> > >
> > >
> > > the idea that following the rules would ever be cheating is well truely
> > > sick
> > >
> > >> Sometimes I think I'm the only one who thinks that way..... 8^(
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>For example, in 2000 FCC changed the written
> > >>>testing for Technician from two exams (old Elements 2 and 3A)
> > >>>to a single exam of about half as many questions. That action
> > >>>meant FCC was satisfied that anyone who passed new Element 2
> > >>>from then on out was adequately qualified to be a Technician
> > >>>class licensee.
> > >>>
> > >>>But if they had made a one-time reduction, they would be saying
> > >>>that those who benefited by the reduction were somehow "special"
> > >>>in that did not need to meet the requirements that were in
> > >>>effect both before and after the one-time reduction.
> > >>>
> > >>>Some might argue that "experience" or "time in grade" should
> > >>>count for something. Maybe so - but if that's the case, why
> > >>>not have a permanent automatic upgrade system based on time
> > >>>licensed? For example, your license could be automatically
> > >>>upgraded one class every time you renew, if you have a clear
> > >>>record. If having a Novice for 5 years qualifies someone to
> > >>>be a General, or having an Advanced for 5 years qualifies
> > >>>someone to be an Extra, who could argue about 10 years? (It's
> > >>>been 5 years since the last Advanceds and Novices were issued.)
> > >>

> > >> I believe in a time in grade before moving up to say Extra. I think it
> > >>should mean something, and nothing is something like a little experience
> > >>(especially practical) Say a two year wait before testing for Extra.
> > >>There is of course the possibility that a person could go through this
> > >>process without ever getting on the air. But more often than not, an
> > >>inactive Ham will not likely bother to upgrade anyhow.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>There's also the case of the "last one aboard". Suppose FCC
> > >>>did announce free upgrades to General for all Novice/Tech/TechPlus
> > >>>hams. The announcement would of necessity precede the implementation
> > >>>by a few weeks or months, during which time anyone in those classes
> > >>>planning to upgrade could just wait and get the upgrade for free.
> > >>>And anyone planning to become a ham could just get a Tech and wait
> > >>>for the free upgrade to General.
> > >>>
> > >>>It would be an anti-incentive plan! Why study if the upgrade is
> > >>>free?
> > >>
> > >> Yup. And the people who came after them would be cheated after a
> > >> fashion.
> > >
> > >
> > > Obeying the rules as cheating god these folks are sick
> >
>
> break
>
> > I think you may have some trouble understanding what I said. I suspect
> > from what I have read from your posts that you are probably in favor of
> > less, not more education for holding a Ham license.
>
> Niether really I favor the requriements be as loose as they can and
> still serve the public interests involved the ARS, note I say the
> PUBLIC not the memeberships of the ARS, thus as I have said in regard
> to code testing that even if it could be shown that code testing was
> good for the ARS, If it were not shown to be in the public interest I
> would oppose such testing
>
> So I favour neither, more nor less education. I favor mimimal
> regulation to the needs of the public, education chips fall where they
> may.
> >
> > One of the unintended consequences of a giveaway is that the day after
> > the giveaway, getting the same priveliges is more difficult.
>
> of course it does
>

> >
> > So what you are saying is that you support increased testing requirements?
>
> no nor lessened ones? It is not an either/or proposition. The question
> is what best serves the public interest. I am for example willing to
> consider a vastly reduced test regime and shift to a more fee based
> license if those fees will be used for enfocement on our bands
>
> Enforcement seem a far biiger problem to me than education or the lack
> of it so in that example i support trading educatio requirements for
> increased enforcement, if that is possible
>
> If you show me that increased test benifits the PUBLIC I will support
> that
>
>
> >
> >
> > >
> > > >>>>Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July
> > > >>>>15th
> > > >>>>census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test
> > > >>>>for
> > > >>>>General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> > > >>>>requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals,
> > > >>>>or
> > > >>>>63.4%
> > > >>>>of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had
> > > >>>>not
> > > >>>>passed
> > > >>>>the current written examination.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>>Yup.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>And the situation is more complex - suppose someone whose license is
> > > >>>expired but in the grace period renews - what license class do they
> > > >>>get? Maybe the offer of free
> > > >>>upgrades would get some of them to renew?
> > > >>
> > > >> How about some free prizes too? ;^)
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>>>Trying to trivialize that as a simple
> > > >>>>"one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>>The worst parts of it are two:
> > > >>>

> > >>>1) In the case of General, those who had not passed the required tests
> > >>>would outnumber those who had - by a 3 to 1 ratio! Extra would be a
> > >>>little better, but about 40% of those who folks would not have
> > >>>passed the test.
> > >>
> > >> Lessee - this was a "one time" thing? This one time adjustment would
> > >>permanently alter Ham radio, with the majority of hams not tested in a
> > >>manner that was approved at some point. The free upgrade hams are
> > >
> > >
> > > with all hams tested by the approved method at every point
> > >
> >
> > Why do you want the tests to become harder?
>
> I don't nor easier
>
> I was objecting to you dscrition of the results of one time free
> upgrades
>
> >
> >
> > >
> > >>considered adequately tested are they not? THen the Hams who come after
> > >>are also adequately tested. Therefore to *not* allow them the same
> > >>privileges is pointlessly and clearly punitive and discriminatory.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>2) Those who come to ham radio after the Great Giveaway could
> > >>>legitimately
> > >>>claim that the written for Tech should be adequate for General, etc.
> > >>
> > >> Absolutely. I suspect that I would be howling.
> > >>
> > >> So soon enough, and after much turmoil, the dust settles, and the
> > >>changes are made permanent.
> > >>
> > >> I cannot envision any way that NCI would support "toughening" the
> > >>requirements in the post adjustment world.
> > >>
> > >> NCI, welcome to the law of unintended consequences. I do hold them
> > >>responsible.
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > > for something that could have happened if something that has been
> > > rejected by the FCC had been accepted
> > >
> > > twisted logic

> >
> > Negative. They are happy to take some credit for the situation. With
> > credit comes responsibility.
>
> what credit are they taking? They proposed something that the FCC
> regcted and you want to hold them accountable for even proposing it.
> that is pretty twisted
>
> >
> > Unless of course they are Republican. In that case they are not
> > responsible....
>
> this is not a partisan issue, in the 2000 campiagn cycle I had contact
> and Gore and Bush people indacated they would support ending code
> testing in the itu treaty lang
>
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -

2652. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:39:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122590375.815985.128020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114009

Michael Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >
> >>One reason, the newer digital phone probably puts out 1/10 of the power of the
> >>analog. Increase the power of the digital and the problem will most likely
> >>disappear...
> >
> >
> > indeed comapring apples to apples was on the biggest flaws in the piece
> >
> > Power level are different, and the simple factdigital cell phones are
> > newer therefore the infrasturcture is less developed
>
>
> Of course, we probably don't want the new digital phones to be running
> at the power levels the old bag phones run. Especially for the lenght of
> time that people hold the things to their head nowadays.

why not sounds like it be would evoltuion in action, but I would like
havinga digtial bag phone or a cordless remote to car mounted phone of
the higher powere we had with the bag phones
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2653. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:42:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QbdGe.9844$dU3.5808@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114010

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> your point?

I think Dan's point is that K1MAN is so farkled that he probably pisses off even Sister Theresa.

de Hans, K0HB

2654. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 22:46:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ifdGe.9846$dU3.8346@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114011

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> (Let's see how long it takes Lennie & Co to make this a "code
> test" or "Mighty Morsemen" thread....)
>

QRO rules! QRP is for sissies.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2655. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 23:00:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122591631.265715.221770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114016

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > >>an_old_friend wrote:
> cut
> > > You miss the point, Mark. Nobody can read some of the stuff
> > > you post.

> > Any literate person can read it. Making sense out of it is
> > another matter.

> Jim I have been coutesous to on this but you are straining my
> ability to do so

"Coutesous to on this"??

Did you mean "courteous to you on this"?

If so, why didn't you write it that way the first time?

Courtesy means you would at least *try* using a spellchecker,
and some capitalization, and some punctuation. But you
steadfastly refuse to do any of that.

> How can you use CW a medium completely without
> error correction and be
> unable to work the meaning of simple text
> with the leasure of time and
> the abilty to look at the context

You obviously don't understand "error correction".

The purpose of error correction is to
identify and correct errors that occur
in the transmission medium - assuming the
message is sent correctly in the first place!

What you expect is for readers of your stuff
to translate it into readable English. And if
they have difficulty, you say it's *their*
problem.

That's not how CW/Morse Code works at all.

> People can follow my typing the first
> draft with no polishing

> turned
> into CW on air and yet you claim you can't follow it in text

I don't think you've ever used CW on the air.

> How is that possible?
>
> Do you have an exaplintion why The level of
> difficulties for people in
> reading my stuff here in this newsgroup
> declines as support for code
> testing increases, indeedanywhere I post
> it seem that the abilty to
> read and understands declines with the
> degree of their agreement

> I frankly suspect that what the problem is, is simply that
> people like
> Dave and it seems you don't want to understand and therefore
> seize on any excuse not to do so

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

> > > If you dispense with spelling, capitalization
> > > and punctuation, why post at all?
> >
> > Here's a theory, Dave: It's done to antagonize the reader. As a
> > way for the writer to insult the reader, by saying the reader
> > is unworthy of the effort required to write understandably.
>
> close Jim it is a reflection of the author opinion of the value > of the
> medium, usenet is unworthy of the effort, but I have said that > before

"Usenet is unworthy of the effort"? That's a cop-out.

What you're really saying is that the *people who read Usenet*
aren't worthy of *your* effort.

That's what it boils down to.

> > > Who wants to read the ramblings of an illiterate?

> > The point is that you and others bother to read the gibberish
> > and comment on it at length.
>
> and that is an intersting point

So here's my final point:

The hardware and software of Usenet don't care if you post
clear prose or complete gibberish. Only the readers care.
So you are saying the readers aren't worth it.

That works both ways. Your posts aren't worth the effort
it takes to read and decipher them, let alone answer them.

So I won't.

2656. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 23:00:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122591641.772336.305020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114015

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > your point?
>
> I think Dan's point is that K1MAN is so farkled that he probably pisses off even
> Sister Theresa.

you know I realy don't think so She is dead after all

I OTOH am not sure dan has a point
>
> de Hans, K0HB

2657. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 23:24:00 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <kOdGe.6510$6f.3359@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114017

>
> I just got a phone call from the "Idiot's Defense League" and they
> say they'll sue if I keep associating Markie with idiots...I asked them
> what they suggested, and they said that whatever adjective I would
> choose to use, "idiot" was just too good for him.
>

There's "moron" but I don't know if that's a step up
or a step down.... ;-)

2658. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 23:46:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <A7eGe.313$RS4.5792898@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114018

K4YZ:

Heck, now I'm a noin' ya'll ain't meanin' me! (maybe a relation though)

Hell, I'd just fire up the old chicken band rig on channel 19 and BS with a trucker!

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:1122530614.805672.136840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>
> FORT PIERRE, S.D. - Johnny Smith has a new digital cell phone, but he
> relies on an older analog bag phone when he travels the wide open
> spaces in the western part of the state to line up cattle for sale at a
> local livestock auction.
>
> In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often
> work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal
> Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service
> across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the
> agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.
>
> "I carry a bag phone just because I can get so much better reception
> with it," Smith said. "If you're out in the middle of no place, it's
> nice to be able to call somebody."
>
> END QUOTE
>
> (Let's see how long it takes Lennie & Co to make this a "code
> test" or "Mighty Morsemen" thread....)
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2659. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-28 23:53:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ieeGe.314$UC4.5685412@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114027

Lloyd:

I still don't see where any "usenet authorities" positions have been brought to this debate, other than the suggestion binaries be kept reasonable--except in those threads which are "mostly binary only" (however, I think those are mostly pervert/pedophile threads!)

Indeed, if "usenet authorities" are here, they are only in your mind...

... you nitwit, reference this message to any group you like...
SILLY GIRLY-MAN!

ROFLOL!!!!!

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:87sas017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 28 Jul 2005 15:24:47 -0700, John Smith <assemblyfool@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>> Paul:
>>
>> google groups are simply not acceptable, wikipedia is not acceptable...
>>
>> ... the other two simply recommend using common sense ... DUH!!!
>> ***STOMP***
>
> this guy is just a troll so dont pay attention to what he says Paul.
> if he really thinks he knows more than all those use net autorities,
> he is the fool he calls other people. but he is a troll and he is a
> bad one. he should be kill filed which is what I have just done to
> his sacramento ass. I will pray for his hellbound soul anyway becuase
> I think thats what Jesus would do.

2660. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 00:03:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RuOdnY3DFq8K73TfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 114019

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1122565729.796363.323090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > Kim wrote:
> large cut
>> > It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
>> > Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
>> > they got Generals or Extras.
>>
>> But isn't that exactly what is proposed. Once it becomes the
>> new regulations (i.e. no code test anymore at all) there really
>> is no way for a code-less Tech to then become a Tech
>> with coode since there won't be anymore code tests being
>> administered.
>
> OTOH this being the govt it could be the result I hope the FCC will
> make it clear in the report and order

In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear that they expect any Tech
not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs) to take the General exam and
upgrade to get HF privileges.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

2661. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 00:13:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <CweGe.316$c55.5925949@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114025

Lloyd:

"Master of the cheap-shot."

And has to trot out cheap-shots constantly, as there is no merit or intelligence to his text... once again hoping to divert any gullible enough from not seeing the truth with a 3rd grade trick and mentality.

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message news:88017017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On 28 Jul 2005 16:00:41 -0700, an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> I OTOH am not sure dan has a point
>>
>
> sure he does. just look at the top of his head.

2662. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 00:20:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iKqdnT_rf9MX63TfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 114020

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122497744.929867.181630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Q: How many Bush Administration officials does
> it take to change a light bulb?
>
> A: None.
>
> There is nothing wrong with the light bulb; its
> conditions are improving every day. Any reports
> of its lack of incandescence are delusional spin
> from the liberal media. That light bulb has served
> honorably, and anything you say undermines the
> lighting effect. Why do you hate freedom?
>
> ---
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Q. How many Clintonites does it take to change a light bulb?

A. None. If you think happy, happy thoughts it will respond and come on by itself. Especially if you promise it that the sun will be banned from making light since it is so much more powerful illuminator. Afterall, it's not the light bulbs fault that it burned out.

Not as cute as yours but still a little light hearted fun.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2663. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 00:27:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122596877.044319.300500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114021

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122565729.796363.323090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Kim wrote:
> > large cut
> >> > It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
> >> > Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
> >> > they got Generals or Extras.
> >>
> >> But isn't that exactly what is proposed. Once it becomes the
> >> new regulations (i.e. no code test anymore at all) there really
> >> is no way for a code-less Tech to then become a Tech
> >> with coode since there won't be anymore code tests being
> >> administered.
> >
> > OTOH this being the govt it could be the result I hope the FCC will
> > make it clear in the report and order
>

I fully agree that they the FCC expect itbut from my reading it is far
from clear that they will require it

> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear that they expect any Tech
> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs) to take the General exam and
> upgrade to get HF privileges.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2664. Re: Important Political Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 00:30:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_MeGe.318$435.5896324@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114023

Dee:

It only takes clinton to change a light bulb, however, there is an associated cleaning bill involved--for removing the stain from the dress...
<innocent-look>

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:iKqdnT_rf9MX63TfRVn-sg@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122497744.929867.181630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Q: How many Bush Administration officials does
>> it take to change a light bulb?
>>
>> A: None.
>>
>> There is nothing wrong with the light bulb; its
>> conditions are improving every day. Any reports
>> of its lack of incandescence are delusional spin
>> from the liberal media. That light bulb has served
>> honorably, and anything you say undermines the
>> lighting effect. Why do you hate freedom?
>>
>> ---
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> Q. How many Clintonites does it take to change a light bulb?
>
> A. None. If you think happy, happy thoughts it will respond and come on by
> itself. Especially if you promise it that the sun will be banned from making
> light since it is so much more powerful illuminator. Afterall, it's not the
> light bulbs fault that it burned out.
>
> Not as cute as yours but still a little light hearted fun.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2665. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 00:41:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114022

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
> that they expect any Tech
> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
> to take the General exam and
> upgrade to get HF privileges.

Interesting - in many ways!

For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
Technicians - those with HF and those without.

But more telling would be how many Techs would
get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.

We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
Techs upgrade and how many don't.

The sad part of it is that the recipe for
success is clear from the history, but
some folks don't like to look at history.

Back in 1951 the Novice license was created.
It was a limited term, easy-to-get license
that started the most new hams out on HF CW.

The Novice license ushered in an era of
tremendous growth in US ham radio. There were
perhaps 100,000 hams in 1951, and a dozen years
later there were 2-1/2 times that many - most
of whom had started out as Novices.

But all attempts to reinvent the Novice were rejected by FCC.
Some of those attempts were ill-conceived in detail, but
the basic concept is valid.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2666. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 00:47:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <O0fGe.320$SU4.5804147@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114024

N2EY:

Here is a link to the general test, will all the FALSE ANSWERS REMOVED, please, share this with the techs, day after the code is dropped, let's see how many we can get to general!
http://blake.prohosting.com/mailguy2/generaltest.txt

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>> that they expect any Tech
>> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>> to take the General exam and
>> upgrade to get HF privileges.
>
> Interesting - in many ways!
>
> For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
> Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>
> But more telling would be how many Techs would
> get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.
>
> We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
> 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
> Techs upgrade and how many don't.
>
> The sad part of it is that the recipe for
> success is clear from the history, but
> some folks don't like to look at history.
>
> Back in 1951 the Novice license was created.
> It was a limited term, easy-to-get license
> that started the most new hams out on HF CW.
>
> The Novice license ushered in an era of
> tremendous growth in US ham radio. There were
> perhaps 100,000 hams in 1951, and a dozen years
> later there were 2-1/2 times that many - most
> of whom had started out as Novices.
>

> But all attempts to reinvent the Novice were rejected by FCC.
> Some of those attempts were ill-conceived in detail, but
> the basic concept is valid.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2667. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 01:04:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Jcadnf5I0qVKHXTfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114026

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>> that they expect any Tech
>> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>> to take the General exam and
>> upgrade to get HF privileges.
>
> Interesting - in many ways!
>
> For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
> Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>

Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point that licensees are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they want more privileges, they show that development by upgrading.

> But more telling would be how many Techs would
> get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.
>

I think that will indeed be very interesting. I was plotting the data from the ah0a site out of curiosity and it is easy to see on a graph the bubble in 2000 and it is easy to see the fact that it was small and temporary. The only class that is steadily increasing significantly in numbers is the Extra.

> We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
> 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
> Techs upgrade and how many don't.
>

My guess is that at least half the Technicians are inactive and will not upgrade. Of the remaining portion, there will probably be half that either don't hear about the change or don't hear that much about the change and so won't pursue it. There will also be a handful that aren't really interested in HF and so will not upgrade. Still if only 1/4 of the Techs upgrade in the next year, it will be quite a burden on the VEs for a while.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2668. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 01:39:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zqKdnZbvFaNxFXTfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 114028

an old friend wrote:

<some snippage>

> Enforcement seem a far biiger problem to me than education or the lack
> of it so in that example i support trading educatio requirements for
> increased enforcement, if that is possible

Not a bad point at all Mark.

>> Negative. They are happy to take some credit for the situation. With
>>credit comes responsibility.
>
>
> what credit are they taking? They proposed something that the FCC
> regcted and you want to hold them accountable for even proposing it.
> that is pretty twisted

It is just how things work.

Make you decisions and live with 'em.

>
>> Unless of course they are Republican. In that case they are not
>>responsible....
>
>
> this is not a partisan issue, in the 2000 campiagn cycle I had contact
> and Gore and Bush people indacated they would support ending code
> testing in the itu treaty lang

That was a joke, Mark. NO question that politicians on both sides of
the fence hardly know enough about ham radio to make an informed
decision about it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2669. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:07:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <BKudnYUrxrIzE3TfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114029

```
Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>In any change in the system there is the clear poetencail
>>>>for large scale adjustment of the licensesure of those involved
>>>
>>>False! Absolutely false!
>
>
>>True absolutely ture
>
>
> Wrong again.
>
> You said "In >>any<< change in the system".
>
> I showed you an example of a change with NO POTENTIAL for large scale
> adjustment, disproving your statement on it's face. Them is the facts!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
For goodness sakes, don't confuse the idiot with facts. He can't handle
facts. Lets see, he did manage to get most of the letters in the word
POTENTIAL, now if he just had enough brains to get them in the right order.
```

2670. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:21:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <28ydnT-Vi7RjDHTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114030

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> Courtesy means you would at least *try* using a spellchecker,
> and some capitalization, and some punctuation. But you
> steadfastly refuse to do any of that.

He doesn't know how...too stupid.

2671. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:23:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122603798.840638.129690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114031

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > Courtesy means you would at least *try* using a spellchecker,
> > and some capitalization, and some punctuation. But you
> > steadfastly refuse to do any of that.
>
> He doesn't know how...too stupid.

I don't think so, "Cmdr". By his own admission, Mark
refuses to do the above things. IOW, it's not
about "can't" - it's about "won't."

73 de Jim, N2EY

2672. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:32:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nzgGe.354$sf6.6648976@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114032

N2EY:

I was going to mention, the "commander" assisting you would do MUCH more harm
than good... A "Thanks! But, NO thanks!" is probably the logical move...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122603798.840638.129690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> > Courtesy means you would at least *try* using a spellchecker,
>> > and some capitalization, and some punctuation. But you
>> > steadfastly refuse to do any of that.
>>
>> He doesn't know how...too stupid.
>
> I don't think so, "Cmdr". By his own admission, Mark
> *refuses* to do the above things. IOW, it's not
> about "can't" - it's about "won't."
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2673. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:47:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122605247.966371.189900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114033

From: "b.b." on Wed 27 Jul 2005 03:31

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> I'll close with this comment:
>>
>> Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same and that all
>> localities are the same as what they've experienced. IOW, if they
>> didn't
>> see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role in a particular
>> emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio doesn't really play
>> such a role at all.
>>
>> Which just isn't so.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Or if they saw Amateur Radio playing a role in an emergency in *their*
>community, then Amateur Radio plays such a role in all communities.

Exackle. And, if the ARRL makes a thing of it on their website
(excuse me, 'thier' website), then FER SURE it happens to all!

Of course, any emergency west of the Mississippi River isn't
really any sort of emergency, ever, and nothing more should be
said about it. The only "real" emergencies are hurricanes...
which have been tracked and plotted and prognosticaated for
days...those things which can be written up ahead of time.

Tornadoes over land are only worth happening if they are EAST
of the Mississippi. Of course, those are relatively SUDDEN
in spawning and the proper heroic-action write-ups can't be
prepared in advance.

Note that these "real" emergencies all involve WIND, lots of
it.

Not to worry, "real" amateurs are there, johnny-on-the-spot,
complete with indestructable ham radio equipment and antennas
that NEVER blow down. Somewhere.

Yawn.

kit kat
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2674. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:50:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122605424.206891.232490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114034

From: John Kasupski on Tues 26 Jul 2005 01:16

>On 20 Jul 2005 10:35:41 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>>From: John Kasupski on Jul 19, 9:28 am

>>>Undoubtedly, many are, and many aren't. The point that is being missed
>>>here is that the percentage of operators who are properly trained is
>>>higher among hams than it is among operators in other radio services
>>>such as CB, MURS, GMRS, FRS, etc.
>>
>> Whoa...things were fine until you mentioned "percentages" in
>> regards to those "other radio services such as..."
>>
>> Was there some kind of "poll" done by anyone on "those other
>> services?" I don't think so. :-) I'm sure you'd like to
>> think so, but I don't think your use there was anything more
>> than a figure of speech.
>>
>> "Other radio services" include ALL the Public Safety radio
>> services "such as" police, fire, medical mobiles, etc., etc.
>> *ALL* of those Public Safety radio service folks are trained
>> for emergency work since that is - essentially - their work.
>
>The problem with the public safety radio services is twofold:

In your locality, perhaps.

>1. All too often there is no interoperability between systems, which
>is to say, the fire department can't talk to the cops, the cops can't
>talk to the EMS people, and none of them can talk to emergency
>management. Incidentally, this is an area where we hams have a
>distinct advantage because we can basically all talk to each other.

Whoa! I've not seen ALL the public safety dispatch centers
in the USA, just some local ones. Around here, there is NO
REAL PROBLEM in that area. There is NO need for a LOT of
this "interoperability." Police dispatchers can talk to both
fire and medical dispatchers, fire dispactchers can talk to
both police and medical dispatchers, medical dispatchers can
talk to both police and fire dispatchers. Communications
- at least in the Greater Los Angeles Area - WORKS quite good
enough and that has been demonstrated in the last dozen-plus
years here.

Radio amateurs cannot ALL "talk to each other." That exists
only as a basic concept. Few of those can "talk the talk" of
the police, fire, and medical people...no more than a police
officer can "talk medical terms/jargon" with firemen or
firemen "talk police terms/jargon" with medical folks, etc.,
etc., etc. Dispatchers CAN...especially at the "911" stations.
Those dispatchers ARE trained for that.

>2. Most Police/Fire/EMS people aren't trained specifically in
>emergency communications or the use of radios beyond what their
>everyday job requires.

Untrue around here in about a 12 million population area
taking in about 80 incorporated/unincorporated cities,
towns, and residential/industrial areas.

Are Police/Fire/EMS people operating on "scheduled" problems?
No. Each call to a scene is usually a NEW situation and none
will know (except in TV and movie shows) what to expect. One
could put down their "normal communications" protocol/format
as ALL about emergencies. It evolved to that around here.

> Yes, they mostly have ICS training and they
>mostly have taken some sort of training in how to conduct their normal
>communications, but when their systems break down or a radio doesn't
>want to work right, that's where the problem begins.

The Greater Los Angeles Area IS in constant training, review,
operating 24/7 and with a good maintenance facility at Piper
Center in L.A. The radio systems (both analog and digital)
in vehicles has also evolved to be quite trouble-free.

> The majority of
>these dedicated individuals' knowledge about their radio equipment
>boils down to this: Either it works or it doesn't.

Are you implying that radio amateurs' "knowledge" is good enough
to not only fix a faulty radio on-the-spot AND to follow-through
with "knowledge" of police/fire/medical procedures?

That's such a far reach that I HOPE you aren't implying that.

Police/fire/medical personnel ARE NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE EXPERT
KNOWLEDGE OF THEIR RADIOS! Amazing but true. If a vehicle's
radio doesn't work, relay needed communications through
ANOTHER vehicles' working radio. LAPD has digital terminals
in addition to analog voice...either can be used in place of
the other in case of unworkability for a particular vehicle.

> If it does, fine,
>if it doesn't, get another radio, or another system. Of course,
>getting another system in the middle of a major incident might prove a
>bit problematic, especially when you have fires to put out, patients
>to treat, crowds to control, or whatever other duties these folks have

>to perform - and which is where the majority of their training is
>invested, for obvious reasons.

So far, the ONLY REAL PROBLEMS encounterd in the Greater L.A.
police/fire/medical radio communications facilities have been
of a POLITICAL or "JUDGEMENT CALL" things which have NOTHING
to do with the radio systems per se.

The big test of the Greater L.A. Emergency Command Center came
on 17 Jan 94 and the Northridge Earthquake. Widespread damage
with some collapses of buildings and freeway overpasses, 53 dead
immediately, a couple gas mains ruptered and on fire, utility
poles down in the concentrated-shaking areas. TOTAL ELECTRICAL
power outage for 10 million population. That power outage did
NOT cut out anything...either emergency power in-place or battery
power in-place (all planned for ahead of time) operated and all
were kept on the air. Fire stations were joined by leased lines
which do NOT route through telephone switch centers, hence aren't
susceptible to overload (some police divisions and hospital
centers are so joined). Utility people were functioning quite
well (considering their terrific repair job ahead) and even though
they are not into the public safety "net" per se are still a part
of it and have the same backups. The Emergency Command Center
operated AS DESIGNED and - even though loaded with an enormous
task - worked on through it. PLANNING, DESIGN, TRAINING and
more TRAINING plus the cooperation of all involved is the key.

By the way, the San Diego area has communications with the Los
Angeles area on a 24/7 basis. The center of each is only a
two-hour drive away. Cooperation between the two areas is,
by many years, quite good. San Diego is still in the state
of California in case you had a lapse in memory...

>Amateur radio did not become a viable alternative for personal
>communications until the advent of the no-code license, which happened
>much more recently than 1914. There are, indeed, couples with
>Technician Class licenses who use the 2m repeaters instead of cell
>phones to chat during drive time. Especially in states such as New
>York where it's illegal to drive while using a cell phone unless you
>have hands-free operation.

In a REAL emergency there is no need to worry about traffic
tickets received for using a cell phone while driving.
According to FCC regulations, ANYONE can use a radio during a
REAL emergency.

>> I've served four tours of Jury Duty (in-court, not sitting around
>> "on call"). Does this mean I should go and study LAW in order to
>> "give back to my community" (aphorism for ordinary public civic
>> duty)?
>
>Not necessary, since before a jury is sent into deliberations, the

>judge in the case will instruct the jury on the law that applies to
>the case.

I'm familiar with the instructions a judge gives to a jury panel
at all times in the Los Angeles Superior Court system. Having
been a juror on at least 10 separate cases since 1963 you need
not instruct me on how it goes.

> Now, if only we could get terrorists to instruct people on
>the dangers of responding to a nerve agent attack such as the one on
>the Tokyo Subway in 1995...

I fail to see the relevance to any amateur radio policy issues
there. Are you implying that - because of being granted federal
authorization to operate an amateur radio station - amateurs are
somehow immune to the effects of poison gasses?

>This ignores the fact that a significant portion of the U.S.
>population does not live in urban areas, and in many suburban and
>rural areas, part or even all of the available emergency services are
>provided by volunteers.

You can some exact figures on how big a "significant portion" is
from the U.S. Census Bureau. In two counties of Illinois, one in
Washington, and two in California where I've resided, all of the
public safety organizations are professional. Yes, I am familiar
with other areas where volunteers do the work and do NOT decry,
defame, or denigrate their volunteerism or ability.

> Often those volunteers are every bit as
>dedicated and well-trained as their professional counterparts. But
>perhaps the defining factor is the likely attitude of their potential
>customers...to wit, if you crash your car and the local EMS and fire
>department have to come extricate you and provide you with emergency
>medical care, what's more important to you - whether or not they
>provide quality care, or whether they're getting paid or not?

Is that a pertinent question or one to base another reply on to
make a discouraging reply? It's moot. I've NOT been in any
such emergency situation. As far as the local hospital center
where I HAVE received aid twice for minor accident injuries,
they ARE staffed with professionals. Yes, the hospital DOES
have SOME volunteers...but those are not the medical doctors
nor the medical nurses.

The LAPD does have a "reserve officer" system where UNPAID,
but equally-trained and drilled volunteers get to work with
real pros in the field. A tiny percentage. I'm not at all
sure what good they are or how good they are. In a real
shooting incident such as the Bank of America robbery melee
on Laurel Canyon Boulevard in North Hollywood, CA, a few
years ago, I will let the professionals handle it. They did.
[a made-for-TV movie was done on that happening, reasonably

realistic to what we all saw LIVE on TV that day]

>Didn't work out that well on Sept. 11 in NYC, sport.

You mean 11 Sep 01, don't you, sport fan?

NO two REAL emergencies are alike. The Northridge Earthquake
was 11 years ago. The September 11th Attack on America was
4 years ago. As far as the Seismology Lab at Cal Tech in
Pasadena is concerned, the earthquake was NOT caused by
either terrorists or airplane crashes. As far as the U.S.
"intelligence" organization is concerned, earthquakes didn't
cause those hijacked airliners to collide with the WTC.

But, the BIG difference - seemingly - is that the WTC
collisions happened in YOUR state of New York. Note: Another
collision happened the same day with the Pentagon in DC...but
another collision was stopped by passengers, that hijacked
airliner crashing in Pennsylvania.

You've failed to note that the Greater L.A. Area worked
through the 17 Jan 94 earthquake...AND its aftermath...but it
is located well away from the "universe center" of the north-
eastern USA. Ergo, it is of lesser value and may be discounted.
A natural disaster just doesn't have the emotional impact of
terrorists deliberately waging a religious war with the USA.
Ergo, more discounting in others' minds.

>No, he meant what he said, that communications is the first thing that
>breaks down. Unlike the Red Cross courses I took, when I took ICS-100
>it wasn't with a ham group at all, it was with Erie County SMART, and
>the class included doctors, nurses, administrators, and a few hams.
>What he was referring to is communications on the scene of an
>incident. Batteries get weak and die, radios get dropped and cease to
>function or get left on a rig while its former wearer is putting on
>his Scott pack, etc.

But those things "never" happen to radio amateurs?!?!?

Amateur radio SURVIVES where all the normal infrastructure
equipment "fails?" At an "incident" or otherwise? Sorry,
but I can't believe that based on observation of my local
areas.

>> By golly, all them acreditation certificates (probably suitable
>> for framing) look so damn IMPRESSIVE!
>
>What's impressive isn't the certificates, but the knowledge they
>represent. Of course, it's only impressive if one is actually
>interested in knowledge, rather than glossing over such soncerns as
>the liability issues that go along with deploying untrained personnel
>to attempt to mitigate an icident.

Pardon me while I do a small guffaw there. The hams in THIS
newsgroup seem much more interested in the Certificates, the
"association with heroes" and the usual quasi-patriotic
alignment with public-relations statements than with those
who've ACTUALLY BEEN THROUGH an emergency or three.

>> Well, that's what I get for not wanting all them titles and
>> certificates... :-(
>
>Drills are training too. However, if you wanted to serve as a Red
>Cross volunteer, the Mass Care and Introduction To Disaster courses
>are mandatory.

Is it? Here? [California's population is a bit over 30 million
and there's some variance on who should be what, where as far
as Red Cross volunteers are concerned.

I've been trying to point out that the Greater L.A. area
communications network TRAINS, DRILLS often and has for years.
It is organized and planned and equipped to do some really
REAL emergencies. [all I get in return is a bunch of shit
from those who haven't been there or done that...<shrug>]

>>>I took ICS and ERT as part of Erie County SMART and completely
>>>separate from ARES/RACES - but I do know that the ARES/RACES guys all
>>>took at least ICS-100 (awareness level), most also took ICS-200
>>>(operations level) and many went right on through the whole gamut (to
>>>Incident management level training).
>>
>>>(Note for the uninitiated, there are five levels: Awareness,
>>>Operations, Technician, Specialist, Incident Management. This is a
>>>standard specified by OSHA.)
>>
>> Geez, John, does this mean I gotta salute you and say "sir"
>> on any response?
>
>You can if you want to, but as the saying goes, I ain't no fuckin'
>"sir", I work for a living. :-)

No problem with me. I made three-up-and-one-down before I was
25 and have been working all my life. <shrug>

>>>The number of radios does not equate to the number of properly trained
>>>operators that are available to operate them.
>>
>> Yes, SIR! <salute>
>
><returns salute>
>
>Now carry on, soldier, and remember, the number of radios does not
>equate to the number of properly trained operators that are available
>to operate them.

But, but, but, you told us that an "authority" (sheriff's deputy)
said that public safety radios "break down" at an incident.

How does the amateur radio equipment miraculously keep on working
when non-amateur radio equipment "breaks down?" Enlighten us.

>>>> Continuous denigration of Citizens Band Radio Service doesn't
>>>> make your case. CB has been around for 47 years...by federal
>>>> authority...there are millions of CB transceivers on the highways
>>>> and in the transportation industry. You can't "prove" that CB
>>>> sets are any fraction of "modified," "illegal," "not in use" or
>>>> much of anything else for "statistics."
>>>
>>>As the old saying goes, "Statistics are for losers."

Okay, I'll put you down saying that CB is useless. Five million
plus out there and trying to work on 40 little channels.

>> Shall the NTIS disestablish its precision time-frequency
>> standards operations? [see things like "Allan Variance" for
>> precision determination]
>
>What, and deprive us of WWV and WWVH?
>
>David W. Allan would be proud, but that's the NIST. This computer uses
>an application provided by NIST to poll daily for the correct time and
>sync the computer clock to the real clock in Boulder, CO. Because of
>outside inmfluences, this only manages to keep my computer within
>about seven seconds of the actual current correct time, but that's
>good enough for my purposes. I'm not currently using this machine for
>determining short term phase noise of millimeter Local Oscillators.

Allan (of the "Allan Variance") is the CO-developer. Ten
points extra for answering who his partner was...

I've got two clocks and a wristwatch that automatically set
themselves to the data sent from WWVB after midnight. Those
are within one second (plus daylight or standard time and the
calendar date) of WWV. Good enough for me.

I've gone as high in frequency as 4mm for someone else and am
only interested academically on progress there. Too expensive
for me as a retiree to go into millimeter wavelengths.

>> Shall the insurance companies disincorporate because hams
>> are immortal and therefore do not meet actuarial tables?
>
>Since we seem to have degenerated into swapping rhetorical questions
>instead of sticking to the issue under discussion: Have the new
>actuarial tables resulted in lower insurance premiums, as they should?

Since all the major insurance providers are PRIVATE companies,
they aren't obliged to follow the actuarial tables, are they?

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The same little receiver that lets me pick up
>> all those "illegal operators" lets me listen to all the MF-HF
>> ham bands. :-) Want to argue your point...especially during
>> the Contest events? :-)
>
>Why? You hearing contestors operating as much as a full 1 MHz outside
>their regulated band limits? With hundreds of times their legal output
>power? Interfering with other licensed services?

<shrug> There's all sorts of Raddio Kopps babbling all kinds
of threats in here...I'm sure THEY should be "taking action"
against miscreants, right?

Frankly, without any sort of calibrated directional antenna,
I can't determine if someone is using "hundreds of times
their legal output" on any band in MF-HF. Both receivers and
the VHF-UHF scanner are calibrated as to power input and,
certainly, for frequency, but I can't do anything but GUESS
at transmitter power levels. The LAW does (or should not)
act on "guesses."

As to "interfering with other licensed services" it would seem
I've heard some (alleged) hams to that. They gave callsigns,
but then I couldn't tell from what direction they were located
at or verify that they were there or who they said they were.
A FEW (alleged) CBers have been heard doing "illegal" things
but NONE of that is on the magnitude of the myth-spreaders in
here. I-5 comes within a mile of my residence here, a very
major north-south interstate. It is quiet insofar as truckers
are concerned...just too many heterodynes from too few channels.
Not really a problem to be "without" a radio on freeways here
since call boxes have been spotted at regular intervals.

>> Okay, John, now YOU get back to ME when you've been IN a REAL
>> EMERGENCY SITUATION. Earthquakes not necessary...substitute
>> something that affects about 10 million or so locals.
>
>Up here we don't do earthquakes. We do, however, do blizzards. My
>first experience in disaster communications was the Blizzard Of '77.

My residence is over 800 miles south of yours, at any part of
NY state. "WE" don't do blizzards. Can't. Mother Nature won't
let us.

>There was another similar event in 1981.
>
>There was an ice storm a few years ago that left us with no
>electricity for a few days, though nothing like the one a few years
>before that, which left Lawrence County without a single utility pole
>left standing anywhere in the entire county.
>
>There was the power outage of August 2003.
>

>There was September 11.
>
>Some of these may not have affected 10 million people, but some of
>them did kill people nevertheless, and when lives are in the balance,
>that's an emergency by definition.

I'm sure that YOUR real emergencies are so much worse than any
of us westerners. :-)

The first 19 years of my life were spent in northern Illinois
where snow and blizzards happened, streets becoming almost
unpassable with rime ice, etc. Are you sure you want to tell
me about snow and ice conditions being so much "worse" than
what we have in southern California?

>> Tell us how it feels to confront a "terrorist" face-to-face,
>> armed with your trusty ham rig.
>
>I'd prefer to be armed with my trusty SKS, actually...but my thing is
>communications, not law enforcement. nevertheless, I have been trained
>on how to recognize the presence of, and identify, criminal activity
>or terrorism in an emergency, how to self-protect against the risks
>associated with such an incident, understand the potential outcomes
>associated with a terrorist incident, and how to preserve a crime
>scene. Have you?

Not ALL of those things. I don't carry a badge and this ain't
Friday. :-)

Jack Webb DID live around here, though. :-)

Neither do I carry around disposable gloves or booties for
"preserving crime scenes." I use soap and water to dispose
of grime scenes.

Next time I'm confronted with an Al-Quaida group, I'll tell
them "I recognize you because of my Red Cross training" and
they will cease?

>Read carefully. I said most of the *operators* of those radios don't
>have ham licenses. Which is the whole point here, the radio can't do
>much of anything without an operator, now can it?

Actually it CAN. I've designed and built some, both space
and terrestrial application radios. One I didn't design was
one I once "worked" ON the moon. :-)

>Now carry on, soldier, and remember, the number of radios does not
>equate to the number of properly trained operators that are available
>to operate them.

As you were. Dismissed.

When you are ACTUALLY CONFRONTED WITH TERRORISTS, PERSONALLY,
report back (if able) and tell us all about it.

Fall out.

dat doo
LenAnderson@ieee.org

DE John, KC2HMZ

2675. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:53:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122605597.186874.31330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114035

From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 17:29:28 -0400

>On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>
>>> >>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>> >>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>
>>> >>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>>> >>>insecure?
>
>>> >> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>>> >> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>
>>You folks all missed an important point.
>
>>We are told in no uncertain terms that
>
>>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>
>That is true. The definition and regulatory package ensures that
>folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
>of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
>not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).

Not for "pecuniary reasons" is how Part 97 got the title of
AMATEUR radio.

Pardon me while I interject some OBJECTIVE REALITY to this
most subjective fantasy thing.

>>But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>
>>"is a HOBBY".
>
>>Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
>>The FCC
>>doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
>>the Amateur Radio Service.
>

>>So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
>>the
>>word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
>>*is* a
>>hobby.
>
>>Do you see the contradiction?

Jimmie's contradictions are coming every day now...he must
be about to give birth to a new Flame War?

>>Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>
>Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
>too....quote:
>
>"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>operator....."
>
>http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>
>Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?

BTW, Part 97 does NOT mention "ham" radio at all...none
of the U.S. regulations refer to "ham" in any way. :-)

>>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>>believe"
>
>That's for sure!

Subjective fantasy beats objective reality every time...in here.

dit dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2676. Re: Stevie lies and deciets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 02:55:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122605715.308923.197020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114036

From: "K0HB" on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 14:50

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
>> two, working does not preclude you from appling for and reciving
>> disablity or other public aid, only makes improper and/or illegal to do
>> so
>
>A former employee of mine (now retired) lost an arm in Vietnam, and receives
>compensation for his disability. He built a fine career as an electronics design
>engineer. What is improper or illegal about that?

There's NOTHING "improper or illegal" about that. In fact, this
individual WAS NOT MENTIONED in anyone's text or context!

Now you are putting on the Grand Champion cload of MORAL ARBITER
and trying to strike down someone who NEVER MENTIONED your "former
employee."

I'm just going to keep your little "moral lesson" in mind the
next time I spot any of your mentions of someone's alleged
moral impropriety, super chief. I know lots of people that I've
never mentioned in here...the next time there's a HINT of
possible "INSULT TO THEM" I will demand YOU apologize to THEM!

> You owe a lot of disabled
>veterans a huge apology for suggesting that succeeding in life is "improper
>and/or illegal".

Have you been dipping into the rum barrel again, super chief?

NOBODY "OWES" ANY "APOLOGIES" FOR NOT KNOWING EVERYONE THAT
>>YOU<< KNOW AND >>NOT MENTIONED IN HERE YET<<.

But, you MISSED someone HERE who goes by the initials SJR...a
mighty warrior, been in "seven hostile actions," will "always
be a marine," and claimed BOTH a medical discharge AND a
disability pension. Yes, "disabled" so much that he received
U.S. money so that he could fly a private aircraft, engage in
rollerblading, engage in hang-gliding, go on weight-packing
"marches" and do all sorts of glorious physical feats of might.

Hello? DISABLED? MEDICAL DISCHARGE (that suddenly turned into
an "Honorable" as if by magic)? EXTRA DISABILITY INCOME (that
he "needs")? Yah, yah, all dat and more...

On top of that, a demonstrated sociopathic liar (repeated) who has never "apologized" for anydamnthing he wrote, who can't ever accept that anyone else has ever challenged him in anything.

By golly, I'm just so glad you are supporting (encouraging?) such an individual...makes it EASY to NOT bother entering amateur radio through federally-authorized licensing...like who would WANT to associate with such as SJR?

Keep up the one-sided Moral Arbiter role. Do it enough and you will have college credits towards an MA in BS.

With all bestest of possible regards,

bat bot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2677. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:00:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122606020.343228.207580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114037

From: "John Smith" on Wed 27 Jul 2005 17:51

>N2EY:
>
>I predict, because of this new threat which will disclose the sheer simplicity
>of amateur radio, the old hams will rally-up with a burst of new energy and
>surprise us all....
>
>I think a new (re-discovered really) technology will be developed by the hams,
>in a joint effort of all classes, to develop and perfect the arcane lost
>knowledge of reincarnation.
>
>Dead hams will arise from their graves, grab keys and re-new old expired sk
>licenses.
>
>Element 1 shall never pass from this earth!

RADIO HAM MORSE CODE ZOMBIES LORDS OF THE WIND! [working title]

Soon to be a Major Motion Picture, produced by Dreamworks SKG!

Hollywood finally beat the New Zealanders at something!

Read all about it in Hollywood Reporter and Daily Variety!

bip bap
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2678. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:03:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122606214.560791.296860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114038

From: "John Smith" on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 09:45

>Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
>(encryption/decryption) on radio.

Only in the applicable parts of Title 47 C.F.R.

>It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and yet
>regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on one
>specific form of communications seems insane.

The National Telecommunications and Information Agency (NTIA)
handles the specific formats and contents of the Internet.
The FCC can only govern the "common carrier" aspects of ISPs
and data line tariffs.

As to "codes," the FCC has sometimes (by some) been considered
"insane" for requiring a morse code test for any license having
below-30-MHz operating privileges. Especially so when the same
FCC did NOT require those privileged to operate using morse code
over and above any other mode. That, in itself, is a bit daft.

In Part 97 you will find TRULY DAFT requirements on Spread
Spectrum...STILL there. [Hans Brakob will furnish the correct
Parts and sub-parts for the edification of all]

>Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
>binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the even
>the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
>years--let alone lifetimes.)

Radio amateurs and the "amateur community" have for yarns and yarns
considered themselves very legal. They OBEY THE LAW. The LAW
says that encryption is a no-no for radio amateurs.

Hold up example: The late Colonel Rudolph Abel of the KGB, under
a cover name as an "artist" with a "hobby of amateur radio"
operating in NYC around the late 1950s-early 1960s. His HF radio
was used to send-receive encrypted information from the KGB. He
was exchanged for Francis Gary Powers, the missle-shot-down pilot
of a U-2. Abel used "one-time pads" for encipherment, virtually
unbreakable by anything since the encryption key was obtained from
natural random noise (or of "noisy" KGB clerk-typists)(take your
pick).

It's irrelevant whether Abel actually held any sort of amateur
radio license (he probably had a cover for one, no details on

that) but that was his cover excuse for having/using an HF radio when arrested. Amateur radio in espionage activities! Not a good PR thing but so long ago that most have forgotten it or never knew.

The FCC just doesn't "trust" radio amateurs. :-)

To radio amateurs those "spies" are really "CBers," the spawn of satan and are all responsible. :-)

bit bat
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2679. Re: 25 kw station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:05:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122606329.769602.103560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114039

From: robert casey on Mon 25 Jul 2005 17:27

>> No? Tsk. I thought all PCTA extras were my "sworn
>> enemies" in here. :-)
>
>Infiltrate the ranks.... ;-)

Ich bin ein spion? Tsk, tsk.

Sorry, some in the "ranks" are too rank...

At ease...Fall Out!

did dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2680. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:09:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122606542.734018.274920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114040

From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 09:52

>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>
>> In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often
>> work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal
>> Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service
>> across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the
>> agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.
>
> I would be remiss if I didn't note that I do agree with you that
>digital is not better.

You've had experience with a lot of digital voice, have you?

I can show you were MOST folks in here have had experience
with digital voice. Bet you won't know where, though...

> While it performs well under many circumstances,
>it has it's own set of shortcomings.

Such as?

The U.S. military doesn't think so. [but, what do they know,
right?] The WLAN users and installers don't think so. [but,
what do they know, right?] The commercial and government
radio users don't think so. [but, what do they know, right?]
How about the telephone people...do they think so? [but, what
do they know, right?]

> Certainly digital voice is of no particular advantage in Amateur Radio,
>from what I have seen so far.

What have you "seen so far?" Do your ears see? Or is all your
"experience" with digital voice that of others' writings in
here?

'Fess up, Mikey. You HAVE used digital voice and aren't aware
you are using it when you do. <shrug>

There's not even a STANDARD for digital voice on amateur radio
bands yet. There's no way it can be directly compatible with
old-time analog voice...it will need both encoders and decoders
as peripherals with purely-analog radios...or it will need a
radio that has such things built-in. Building-in digital voice

(and data or whatever) will incur a development charge which has to be recouped by amortizing that over a specific time in the manufactured equipment sales prices.

That you can't envision such a thing is of no surprise to me. Few radio amateurs are either flexible enough or experienced enough with new modes and methods, therefore are extreme conservatives when it comes to new developments...if QST hasn't run an article on it, then "it doesn't exist" and "can't be done!" :-)

doo dah
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2681. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:17:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hdhGe.360$x_5.6538368@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114041

Len:

Well, it is going to be difficult to argue with you, we seem to be in agreement on a couple of points, and not so far distant on a couple of others where we could not work out some common grounds, however, since you answered the "call of the WILD TROLL" (don't be afraid--just kidding :) here goes...

I just turned over my wireless lan, and yep, there is a type acceptance tag with an VERY impressive FCC notation on it and some VERY impressive numbers, I am in awe! (like a war, all shock and awe, yep, that is me.) But, and back to the real world, I communicate over this device in "encrypted code" so that others cannot read the data off my wan/lan, or gain access and send data over it... I choose a key for all of this and it is a 2048 bit code (million years or so and a bank of supercomputers could gain access to my net, if they got lucky, avg time.)

Now, the important question here, just what kind of felony am I guilty of here, feel free to list titles, paragraphs and sub-paragraphs--heck, if I am going to be a criminal here, I'd enjoy hearing about it in vivid detail. If possible, make it read like a Jessie James novel from the wild west! PLEASE!!!! I am a sucker for old westerns! <grin>

Well, murder mysteries are good to...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122606214.560791.296860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 09:45
>
>>Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
>>(encryption/decryption) on radio.
>
> Only in the applicable parts of Title 47 C.F.R.
>
>>It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and
>>yet
>>regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on
>>one
>>specific form of communications seems insane.
>
> The National Telecommunications and Information Agency (NTIA)
> handles the specific formats and contents of the Internet.
> The FCC can only govern the "common carrier" aspects of ISPs
> and data line tariffs.
>

> As to "codes," the FCC has sometimes (by some) been considered
> "insane" for requiring a morse code test for any license having
> below-30-MHz operating privileges. Especially so when the same
> FCC did NOT require those privileged to operate using morse code
> over and above any other mode. That, in itself, is a bit daft.
>
> In Part 97 you will find TRULY DAFT requirements on Spread
> Spectrum...STILL there. [Hans Brakob will furnish the correct
> Parts and sub-parts for the edification of all]
>
>>Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
>>binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the even
>>the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
>>years--let alone lifetimes.)
>
> Radio amateurs and the "amateur community" have for yarns and yarns
> considered themselves very legal. They OBEY THE LAW. The LAW
> says that encryption is a no-no for radio amateurs.
>
> Hold up example: The late Colonel Rudolph Abel of the KGB, under
> a cover name as an "artist" with a "hobby of amateur radio"
> operating in NYC around the late 1950s-early 1960s. His HF radio
> was used to send-receive encrypted information from the KGB. He
> was exchanged for Francis Gary Powers, the missle-shot-down pilot
> of a U-2. Abel used "one-time pads" for encipherment, virtually
> unbreakable by anything since the encryption key was obtained from
> natural random noise (or of "noisy" KGB clerk-typists)(take your
> pick).
>
> It's irrelevant whether Abel actually held any sort of amateur
> radio license (he probably had a cover for one, no details on
> that) but that was his cover excuse for having/using an HF radio
> when arrested. Amateur radio in espionage activities! Not a
> good PR thing but so long ago that most have forgotten it or
> never knew.
>
> The FCC just doesn't "trust" radio amateurs. :-)
>
> To radio amateurs those "spies" are really "CBers," the spawn
> of satan and are all responsible. :-)
>
> bit bat
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2682. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:23:43 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3jhGe.6608$6f.4937@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114042

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LW6Ge.9692$dU3.3498@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
>> the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
>> given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>>
>
> Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
> census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test
> for General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
> requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or
> 63.4% of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they
> had not passed the current written examination. Trying to trivialize that
> as a simple "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a
> cop-out. By any reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on
> record as supporting a lowering of the qualification requirement for
> General and Extra.

In your opinion that is.

Hans, we covered all the same territory in this newsgroup
when NCI filed its own petition and also filed responses
to others. For now, anyway, it is a mute point since the
FCC didn't go with the ARRL free upgrades anyway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2683. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:28:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <jaGdnYaP-dAmPHTfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114043

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> I was going to mention, the "commander" assisting you would do MUCH more harm
> than good... A "Thanks! But, NO thanks!" is probably the logical move...
>

Says the no-license cber.

2684. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:37:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <EvhGe.363$xE6.6851702@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114044

Len wrote:

"Not for "pecuniary reasons" is how Part 97 got the title of AMATEUR radio."

Good point, I have noticed some "professional amateurs" about. Couple of "professional idiots" too (deep uncaring concern for politics, maybe damn GREEKS! :), never paid attention to if they happened to be hams or not...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1122605597.186874.31330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 17:29:28 -0400
>
>
>>On 25 Jul 2005 08:59:17 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >>From: Mike Coslo on Jul 22, 4:01 pm
>>>> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >>>>From: Michael Coslo on Fri 22 Jul 2005 13:37
>>
>>>> >>>> Why are you sounding insecure? The FCC defines U.S. amateur
>>>> >>>> radio. You don't define U.S. amateur radio.
>>
>>>> >>>Insecure? So are the others who *know* how it is to be defined also
>>>> >>>insecure?
>>
>>>> >> Tsk. Don't try that ploy. THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO
>>>> >> IN THE UNITED STATES. Period.
>>
>>>You folks all missed an important point.
>>
>>>We are told in no uncertain terms that
>>
>>>"THE FCC DEFINES AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES. Period."
>>
>>That is true. The definition and regulatory package ensures that
>>folks who participate in the hobby do not interfere with other users
>>of the spectrum (or each other), or utilize it for purposes that it is
>>not intended to be used for (such as for business purposes).
>
> Not for "pecuniary reasons" is how Part 97 got the title of
> AMATEUR radio.

>
> Pardon me while I interject some OBJECTIVE REALITY to this
> most subjective fantasy thing.
>
>
>>>But the same nonamateur also tells us that amateur radio
>>
>>>"is a HOBBY".
>>
>>>Yet the word "HOBBY" or "hobby" does not appear anywhere in Part 97.
>>>The FCC
>>>doesn't use that word at all in connection with the definition of
>>>the Amateur Radio Service.
>>
>>>So the FCC, who define amateur radio in the United States, don't use
>>>the
>>>word "hobby" in their definition. Yet we are told that amateur radio
>>>*is* a
>>>hobby.
>>
>>>Do you see the contradiction?
>
> Jimmie's contradictions are coming every day now...he must
> be about to give birth to a new Flame War?
>
>>>Looks like someone doesn't know what he's talking about.....
>>
>>Must be a common mistake :) - looks like the ARRL missed that one
>>too....quote:
>>
>>"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>>something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>>operator....."
>>
>>http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>>
>>Hmmm - you'd think they'd know what it is - wouldn't you?
>
> BTW, Part 97 does NOT mention "ham" radio at all...none
> of the U.S. regulations refer to "ham" in any way. :-)
>
>
>>>To put it another way: "Objective reality doesn't care what you
>>>believe"
>>
>>That's for sure!
>
> Subjective fantasy beats objective reality every time...in here.
>
> dit dah

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2685. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:48:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IGhGe.366$ZI6.6859813@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114045

Len:

Don't tell them that digital voice is really a "stream" of numbers which describe your voice/words/tone/etc really, and the other phone (computer really) decodes the instructions and recreates a "clone" of the original analog signal which you will hear.

Also, skip the part about these numbers being encased in packets with a CRC (cyclic redundancy code, read about it on the net, simple to the point of being boring--think "error checking" here) and if there is a "error worth noting" the packet is discarded and a copy of it resent (if it can't be done within a reasonable length of time--microseconds, a defective packet is "played" hoping you can "decode" the error with your ear), so that digital audio can be about as close to perfect as can be obtained (indeed, it can be perfect, if that is what you want--or not at all!) Also, the phones have loads of memory (the good ones) so that packets can be stored and ordered and time spent holding one packet while a previous is being "error corrected."

Well, in a nutshell description, that is... but just don't mention all that, too confusing for "Neanderthal hams", and the few others here probably already know...

John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122606542.734018.274920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 09:52
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>> QUOTE FROM YAHOO! NEWS
>>
>>> In rural areas where cellular towers are far apart, analog phones often
>>> work when digital models can't get a signal. With the Federal
>>> Communications Commission pushing the move to all-digital phone service
>>> across the country, Smith and others in rural areas are urging the
>>> agency to wait until more towers are built to improve service.
>>
>> I would be remiss if I didn't note that I do agree with you that
>>digital is not better.
>
> You've had experience with a lot of digital voice, have you?
>
> I can show you were MOST folks in here have had experience
> with digital voice. Bet you won't know where, though...
>

>
>> While it performs well under many circumstances,
>>it has it's own set of shortcomings.
>
> Such as?
>
> The U.S. military doesn't think so. [but, what do they know,
> right?] The WLAN users and installers don't think so. [but,
> what do they know, right?] The commercial and government
> radio users don't think so. [but, what do they know, right?]
> How about the telephone people...do they think so? [but, what
> do they know, right?]
>
>> Certainly digital voice is of no particular advantage in Amateur Radio,
>>from what I have seen so far.
>
> What have you "seen so far?" Do your ears see? Or is all your
> "experience" with digital voice that of others' writings in
> here?
>
> 'Fess up, Mikey. You HAVE used digital voice and aren't aware
> you are using it when you do. <shrug>
>
> There's not even a STANDARD for digital voice on amateur radio
> bands yet. There's no way it can be directly compatible with
> old-time analog voice...it will need both encoders and decoders
> as peripherals with purely-analog radios...or it will need a
> radio that has such things built-in. Building-in digital voice
> (and data or whatever) will incur a development charge which has
> to be recouped by amortizing that over a specific time in the
> manufactured equipment sales prices.
>
> That you can't envision such a thing is of no surprise to me.
> Few radio amateurs are either flexible enough or experienced
> enough with new modes and methods, therefore are extreme
> conservatives when it comes to new developments...if QST
> hasn't run an article on it, then "it doesn't exist" and
> "can't be done!" :-)
>
> doo dah
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2686. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:50:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IlhGe.367$Yq6.6763634@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114046

commander:

Still reading tea leaves and consulting with oracles I see...

Gawd man, you are wasting your money!

Actually, I am a super-spy for the CIA--like the hams here. <grin>

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:jaGdnYaP-dAmPHTfRVn-vw@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I was going to mention, the "commander" assisting you would do MUCH more
>> harm than good... A "Thanks! But, NO thanks!" is probably the logical
>> move...
>>
>
> Says the no-license cber.

2687. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:53:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TKhGe.368$5_6.6946986@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114047

Bill:

Darn arrl is worse than a pervert with a box of lollypops around the kids...

Attempting to fool the poor dumb cb'ers into thinking they helped 'em get free upgrades so they'd buy a membership, it is evil I tell you, evil! Those NC techs would probably have fallen for it too...

John

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3jhGe.6608$6f.4937@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:LW6Ge.9692$dU3.3498@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
>>> the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
>>> given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>>>
>>
>> Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
>> census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
>> General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
>> requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or
>> 63.4% of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they had
>> not passed the current written examination. Trying to trivialize that as a
>> simple "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out. By
>> any reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as
>> supporting a lowering of the qualification requirement for General and
>> Extra.
>
> In your opinion that is.
>
> Hans, we covered all the same territory in this newsgroup
> when NCI filed its own petition and also filed responses
> to others. For now, anyway, it is a mute point since the
> FCC didn't go with the ARRL free upgrades anyway.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

>

2688. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:55:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uMhGe.5828$0C.4768@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114048

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> none of the U.S. regulations refer to "ham" in any way.

Sure they do.... the USDA regulations.

2689. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 03:57:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050728235735.303$VM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 114049

Can you get away with 5K on 40 meters?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

2690. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 04:01:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gShGe.5833$0C.4623@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114050

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

> For now, anyway, it is a mute point since the
> FCC didn't go with the ARRL free upgrades anyway.

It >was< a moot point, until you tried to distance yourself and the other Directors from your enthusiastic support of those free upgrades ("none of them want relaxation of test requirements for written").

Clearly they >DID< want such relaxation, to the tune of almost 2/3 of all hams getting "relaxed" right up to the next class of license.

Cheers to you also,

de Hans, K0HB

2691. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 04:05:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WVhGe.370$Bf6.6652054@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114051

KXHB:

Only a swine would enjoy that joke!!!!
<tongue-in-cheek>

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:uMhGe.5828$0C.4768@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> none of the U.S. regulations refer to "ham" in any way.
>
> Sure they do.... the USDA regulations.
>
>
>

2692. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 05:11:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122613907.943846.286830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114052

That's between Cronkike and Baxter and isn't an FCC matter.

Todd N9OGL

2693. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 05:44:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122615870.545201.235940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114053

From: John Smith on Jul 28, 8:48 pm

>Don't tell them that digital voice is really a "stream" of numbers which
>describe your voice/words/tone/etc really, and the other phone (computer
>really) decodes the instructions and recreates a "clone" of the original analog
>signal which you will hear.

TOO LATE!!! I did that a few years ago. Morsemen would have NONE
of that..."morse code gets through when nothing else will"...blah,
blah, blah, blah, blech.

Tsk, these mighty "experimenters" and "state of the art" advancers
don't realize that CDs of music and DVDs of motion pictures are
(horrors) DIGITALLY RECORDED!!!

They don't realize that POTS (Plain Old Telephone System) is
digitized between exchanges...and sometimes inside the same
exchange. Even morsemen's heavy breathing is digitized.

>Also, skip the part about these numbers being encased in packets with a CRC
>(cyclic redundancy code, read about it on the net, simple to the point of being
>boring--think "error checking" here) and if there is a "error worth noting" the
>packet is discarded and a copy of it resent (if it can't be done within a
>reasonable length of time--microseconds, a defective packet is "played" hoping
>you can "decode" the error with your ear), so that digital audio can be about
>as close to perfect as can be obtained (indeed, it can be perfect, if that is
>what you want--or not at all!) Also, the phones have loads of memory (the good
>ones) so that packets can be stored and ordered and time spent holding one
>packet while a previous is being "error corrected."

Irrelevant to here. Morsemen, mighty and macho as they wannabe,
have told us that "CELL PHONES ARE *USELESS* IN ANY EMERGENCY!"
That's that. No goodnik. The telephone system GOES DOWN in any
emergency situation, can't be used at all!

>Well, in a nutshell description, that is... but just don't mention all that,
>too confusing for "Neanderthal hams", and the few others here probably already
>know...

Sorry, John, implying that SOME in here are IGNORANT brings on
accusations of "hating ham radio!!!"

Can't have that! All have to LOVE ham radio as the ARRL taught
everbody sometime...(hic)

Too bad there's no "surplus" SINCGARS radios...the largest field
radio production for U.S. land forces ever...a quarter million

produced since the first went operational in 1989.* Digital voice with (now) built-in communications security or COMSEC with on-line encryption/decryption. NATO allies have the same system, compatible with U.S. SINCGARS radios.

* Some CASES of older SINCGARS have turned up on EBay, but none with intact electronic guts. Those must have been from the "SIP" (SINCGARS Improvement Program) by ITT Fort Wayne, IN.

Heck, not a one in here is familiar with Peterson and Weldon's "Error-Correcting Codes" (MIT Press, nice red hardcover). THE reference for digital coding with/without error correction.

I'd rather reference Brian Burke's statement:

"Morse code gets through when everything else will."

dit dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2694. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 05:46:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122616000.294344.206610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114054

From: John Smith on Jul 28, 8:17 pm

>Well, it is going to be difficult to argue with you, we seem to be in agreement
>on a couple of points, and not so far distant on a couple of others where we
>could not work out some common grounds, however, since you answered the "call
>of the WILD TROLL" (don't be afraid--just kidding :) here goes...

That's about all I've "heard" in this newsgroup...calls of the
wild trolls! :-)

>I just turned over my wireless lan, and yep, there is a type acceptance tag
>with an VERY impressive FCC notation on it and some VERY impressive numbers, I
>am in awe! (like a war, all shock and awe, yep, that is me.) But, and back to
>the real world, I communicate over this device in "encrypted code" so that
>others cannot read the data off my wan/lan, or gain access and send data over
>it... I choose a key for all of this and it is a 2048 bit code (million years
>or so and a bank of supercomputers could gain access to my net, if they got
>lucky, avg time.)

Yes, and...?

Why WOULD anyone "spy" on your WLAN?

>Now, the important question here, just what kind of felony am I guilty of here,
>feel free to list titles, paragraphs and sub-paragraphs--heck, if I am going to
>be a criminal here, I'd enjoy hearing about it in vivid detail. If possible,
>make it read like a Jessie James novel from the wild west! PLEASE!!!! I am a
>sucker for old westerns! <grin>

No, I'm not going to strip off certain sub-Parts and make like
a communications lawyer. For one, Phil Kane would get a hissy
fit and make rude noises. Hans Brakob would put on his manager's
hat and try to be a snarly manager type by taking things out of
context and making OTHER rude noises. All othere would just
make ruder noises.

It's all in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. Part 97 is one of the
smallest of all Parts. The up-to-date version (supposedly)
is at the ARRL website. The last version published (printed
with real ink on real paper) is viewable at the Government
Printing Office website...and you can get the appropriate
updates as printed in the Federal Register there also...

>Well, murder mysteries are good to...

For fun reading, try the Janet Evanovich series on "Stephanie
Plum." The latest softcover is "Ten Big Ones." The new

hardcover is "Eleven on Top." Funny, funny stuff, lots
better than some of the fantasies parading around in here.

dad mom
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2695. Re: A Sad Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 05:48:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122616094.553274.286040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114055

From: K0HB on Jul 28, 8:55 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> none of the U.S. regulations refer to "ham" in any way.
>
>Sure they do.... the USDA regulations.

Nicely TAKEN OUT OF CONTEXT.

Remember: "Ham is the butchered meat of swine."

dit dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2696. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 05:50:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122616200.623865.236750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114056

From: Dee Flint on Jul 28, 6:04 pm

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> Dee Flint wrote:

>>> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>>> that they expect any Tech
>>> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>>> to take the General exam and
>>> upgrade to get HF privileges.
>
>> Interesting - in many ways!
>
>> For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
>> Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>
>Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point that licensees
>are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they want more
>privileges, they show that development by upgrading.

"...NPRM bore down heavily..."? Heh, you must have a different
copy than I do. :-)

Did your copy include the mailing address of the "amateur
community?" :-)

>> But more telling would be how many Techs would
>> get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.
>
>I think that will indeed be very interesting. I was plotting the data from
>the ah0a site out of curiosity and it is easy to see on a graph the bubble
>in 2000 and it is easy to see the fact that it was small and temporary. The
>only class that is steadily increasing significantly in numbers is the
>Extra.

Oh, ohhhh...the AH0A website is hardly an "objective" one
considering that Joe Speroni is a resolute PRO-Code Test
Advocate!

"The only class that is steadily increasing significantly" is
Extra?!?

At present, the Amateur Extra class is 109,543 or 15.17% of the
total of individual licensees (source: www.hamdata.com, FCC
numbers for 0416 UTC on 28 July 2005). In two years' time, the
Amateur Extra class licensees increased by 3,518. In that same
time, Technician class licensees increased by 18,617. [Tech has

been increasing about nine thousand per year since Restructuring]

Are you, as an "engineering pro" trying to flim-flam that the
ratio between Technician and Extra of 5.31:1 means the "Extra
is increasing more rapidly?!?"

The "club" call license numbers increased by 1,050 in two years
or approximately 12.3%...which would make THAT category more
likely as the "most rapidly growing."

>> We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
>> 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
>> Techs upgrade and how many don't.
>
>My guess is that at least half the Technicians are inactive and will not
>upgrade. Of the remaining portion, there will probably be half that either
>don't hear about the change or don't hear that much about the change and so
>won't pursue it. There will also be a handful that aren't really interested
>in HF and so will not upgrade. Still if only 1/4 of the Techs upgrade in
>the next year, it will be quite a burden on the VEs for a while.

As of 0416 UTC, 28 Jul 05, there are 349,936 Technician and
Technician Plus licensees out of a total of 722,083 individual
licensees (exclusive of 9.611 club calls). That is 48.46% of
the total individual U.S. amateur radio licensees.

If one-quarter of the present Technicians "upgrades" then that
will be about 86,484. Yes, I'd imagine THAT would increase
the work of the VEs...it is about 5.4 times the normal number
of new amateur licensees granted each year.

But, on the 10-year grant period of a license, about 34,900 (give
or take) will enter the "grace period" for renewal. Considering
that is the HIGHEST number of "inferior others" in U.S. ham radio,
I'd say that ORDINARY RENEWAL would be a large "burden" on VEs.

By the way, in the last year, 16,088 NEW licensees were added
but 18,644 were expired. That's a delta of -2,556 in one year.
That loss has also been consistent.

Not to worry, you won't read this anyway (my postings are "too
horrid" to respond to, you once said). :-)

dit dot
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2697. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 05:52:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122616354.549701.73670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114057

From: Michael Coslo on Jul 28, 10:31 am

>K□B wrote:
>> "Bill Sohl" <bills...@mindspring.com> wrote

>>>The support is/was for ONE-TIME free upgrades as proposed by
>>>the ARRL petition and one or two others. No support was
>>>given to any permananent relaxation of written tests by NCI.
>
>> Under this NCI-endorsed plan, 345,802 current hams (using Jim's July 15th
>> census) would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the requirement to test for
>> General and another 75,730 would receive a PERMANENT relaxation of the
>> requirement to test for Extra. That's means that 421,532 individuals, or
>> 63.4% of the existing hams, would suddenly hold licenses for which they
>> had not passed the current written examination. Trying to trivialize that
>> as a simple "one-time" adjustment is intellectually dishonest and a cop-out. >> By any
reasonable measure, NCI and ARRL both officially are on record as
>> supporting a lowering of the qualification requirement for General and Extra.
>
> Frankly, I view one time adjustments in about the same vein as I do
>temporary taxes.
>
> Imagine the howls when Operator #1 tests the day before the One time
>free upgrade, and operator #2 tests the day after, and gets much less
>privileges.
>
> Is that fair? If they both pass the same test, why is one getting
>preferential treatment?

There is NO "preferential treatment." A change in LAW has to take
place at a specified time and date. Either fit the LAW or get out.

> All it does is substitutes another problem for the perceived first >problem.

So, how would YOU "fix" it? :-)

> Hans, intellectually dishonest is an understatement! It works on so few
>levels. I'll be howling on both sides. People should *not* get free
>upgrades, and they should *not* be punished for the date on which they
>took the test.

What are you going to do? Retroactively enforce something in
disregard of the LAW? Tsk, tsk, not a good thing.

> Perhaps they could reduce administrative burden, and do all manner of
>other wonderful things by simply having a one time adjustment of
>everyone to Extra?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. If we've told you once, we've told you a million times...don't exaggerate!!!

Your technique of non-argument is just "reducto ad absurdum," just reducing things to an absurd level.

If the LAW changes then all law-abiders should adjust to the changes. If they don't, they are law-breakers. Simple.

If you can't adjust to change, then seek another venue for your hobby. Try ballooning to the "edge of space" or something equally dramatic.

yin yan
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2698. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 05:52:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122616371.334048.230250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup, the problem
with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
discussion group to discussion group then it alright.

Todd N9OGL
Monerator
Amateur-Radio-Experimentation

Discussion Group

2699. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 07:22:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122621775.267101.136940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114059

>Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
>(encryption/decryption) on radio.

>It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and yet
>regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on one
>specific form of communications seems insane.

>Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
>binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the even
>the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
>years--let alone lifetimes.)

>John

Don't know of any court cases, But The FCC rules do permit unspecified
codes on the amateur band.

Todd N9OGL

2700. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 10:06:56 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122631616.690305.59740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114060

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Oh! This is P R E C I O U S ! ! ! !
> > > > >
> > > > > An anonymous heckler and a known pathological liar/deviant coming
> > > > > to Lennie's "defense" ! ! !
> > > >
> > > > I see no "coming to Len's "defense"" in Mark's comment.
> > >
> > > I didn't think you would,
> >
> > and I don't.
>
> Then we're both satisfied!
>
> Lucky day!
>
> > > > > Gee, Lennie! The company YOU keep!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > won't.
> > >
> > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> >
> > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
>
> Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...

Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.

> > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
>
> None of those substantiated by reality either.

Not the "reality" that you dwell in.

> > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
>
> I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.

You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.

2701. Steve is ready to talk (7 Hostile Actions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 10:11:41 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122631901.435364.87720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114061

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > >
> > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > >
> > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > faulty thinking on your part.
>
> Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...
>
> But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> was coming from"...

Indeed. So tell us more about your claims of seven hostile actions.

2702. Re: John Smith ignores the bible and risks Hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 10:56:35 UTC
From: Uncle Ted <vmalic@uninet.com>
Message-ID: <mj2ke1l2nbdpu6kh7cvvv6c52q33ika63q@4ax.com>
Source record: 114062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Jul 2005 16:37:08 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>Matthew 5:22 - "But I say unto you, That whosoever is angry with his
>brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment: and
>whosoever shall say to his brother, Raca, shall be in danger of the
>council: BUT WHOSOEVER SHALL SAY, THOU FOOL, SHALL BE IN DANGER OF
>HELL FIRE."

What kind of person gets their moral guidance from an ancient book of
fairy tails that says it's OK to lie, murder, rape, and steal?

Go to www.evilbible.com for more info.

2703. Re: K1MAN PA means Piss Ant, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 12:16:40 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122639400.267716.312200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd wrote:
> On 28 Jul 2005 15:00:50 -0000, anonymous@remailer.hastio.org wrote:
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass Lloyd, a pussy all the way. The only
> > girly man is you, LARDASS.
> >
> > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> > This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
> > The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
> > is unverified.
>
> spoken by a girly man hideing behind a remailer, that realy takes the
> cake for a dumbass post.

Spoken from a dumbass who hides behind tarrnews.com. And, learn to post
in English.

2704. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 12:19:51 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122639591.888356.63940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup, the problem
> with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
> or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
> unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
> discussion group to discussion group then it alright.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Toad, what's a "Monerator", STUPID!?!

2705. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 12:49:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122641350.722059.304480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Allow me to try an translate this into English.

olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup...(SNIP)

The rules and protocols for posting vary from newsgroup to
newsgroup.

> > (UNSNIP)...the problem
> > with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
> > or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.

Rec.radio.amateur.policy is not a moderated newsgroup. There is
no moderator to ensure compliance with discussion group parameters or
maintain civility amongst participants.

> > unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
> > discussion group to discussion group then it alright.

He's got me there. I am sure there was a "point" there, but most
likely just the top of his head.

> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Toad, what's a "Monerator", STUPID!?!

We need to get him and Markie to start submitting their made-up
words to Webster's for deciphering.

I still think Toiddie has a good case against the Taylorville
Public Schools for letting him graduate High School with those horrific
English skills. And Markie's always looking to sue SOMEone...He ought
to go after the school district that let HIM loose.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2706. Re: new Amateur radio group on Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 12:57:50 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <P0W0S1WT38562.3734953704@anonymous>
Source record: 114066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<1122291433.912247.136240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> but it takes dickheads

Queer Toadie, always thinking of penises.....

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

2707. Re: Lennie Knows Squat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 13:08:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122642481.295161.308300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114067

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> >
> >
> Stvie you have never treated anyone with dignity you were condessending
> and insulting from your arrival in this forum

And you are, once again, lying.

Why do you keep doing that, even in the face of archived evidence
to the contrary?

What combination of drugs were the people who "raised' you on that
you get these strange ideas that lying is "OK" and that it is somehow
"justifed"

What do you think you're accomplishing?

> > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > >
> > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > faulty thinking on your part.
> >
> Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...
>
> you certainly claim he does

Nope.

Brain only "owes" himself and his family. A man's reputation and
legacy stands or falls on his character and honesty.

> guess you are admiting to lying

Guess you're wrong.

> > But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> > public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> > was coming from"...
>
> you haven't dispooven anything he has said or that I have for that
> matter

Sure I have...starting with his lies about having operated legally
from Somalia.

Follow that up with his insistance that "unlicensed devices play a
major role in emergency comms". Wrap it it (for now) with his
silliness about ARES not bein able to respond to call-outs and it's
service being "overblown".

> > So far all we have are your assertions and your damnations for
> > anyone insisting that you substantiate them.
>
> he advances lines of reasoning and explaination and does far more
> politely than you

With the same profanity and demaning tone of
Lennie...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

There's nothing "reasonin" nor "polite" about lying, regardless
of how eloquently it is said.

> > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > > >
> > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > > >
> > > > Faulty thinking on your part, again.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > entirely

Nope. Already proven otherwise.

> > Fact.
>
> nope

A-yup.

> > None of your assertions, ie: unlicensed devices, ARES, etc aren't
> > even remotely factual, unless requalified with language such as "in my
> > opinion..."
>
> entirely factual

Not in the least.

Show me reference that SUBSTANTIATE a single word of any of it,
Markie.

> > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > >
> > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > >
> > > > Faulty thinking on your part, again.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > You make untrue disparging remarks that you expect others to
> > > blindly accept or blow off.
> >
> > I am sure he does not expect you to blindly accept them or blow them
> > off. He expects you deny threaten harras and generaly act lack a child
> > and you rarely disappiont

Nope.

He hopes that he can make up stories that will ass fopr valid and
then get's riled when he's called on them. Then he get's even MORE
riled when anyone dares to challenge his "opinions".

He accuses me ot that, but that's more Brain trying to his tracks.

> > I do neither.
> >
> > indeed you bather and rage and act like a child

"bather"....

"rage and act like a child.."?!?!

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > That YOU would expect it to be so is the "faulty thinking".
> >
> > more of stevie making up something then disproving

I didn't make any of it up, Markie.

Making things up is in LennieRealm. That's the home to those who
like to lie, misdirect, cuss and accuse others of "childish" behaviour
all-the-while stomping their feet and demanding that others threat them
a certain way while refusing to do it themselves.

Like you, for example.

Steve, K4YZ

2708. Re: Lennie Knows Squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 13:13:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122642792.252009.253310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114068

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > >
> > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> >
> > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
>
> Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.

Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.

> > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> >
> > None of those substantiated by reality either.
>
> Not the "reality" that you dwell in.

My reality is truth.

Yours is...well...it's something else...

> > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> >
> > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
>
> You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.

Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.

The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
seem to think that what you do is "constructive".

Silly Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

2709. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 13:19:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122643179.443064.85950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114069

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Jul 28, 8:48 pm
>
>
> >Don't tell them that digital voice is really a "stream" of numbers which
> >describe your voice/words/tone/etc really, and the other phone (computer
> >really) decodes the instructions and recreates a "clone" of the original analog
> >signal which you will hear.
>
> TOO LATE!!! I did that a few years ago...(SNIP)

Now you did it, John...Gave Lennie an opportunity to say "I Told
You So"!

Now he'll be on that "Say In 1000 What Anyone Else Can Say In 20"
mode for a month.

> Irrelevant to here. Morsemen, mighty and macho as they wannabe,
> have told us that "CELL PHONES ARE *USELESS* IN ANY EMERGENCY!"
> That's that. No goodnik. The telephone system GOES DOWN in any
> emergency situation, can't be used at all!

Whelp...Not true again, but you like to perpetuate the story.

> Too bad there's no "surplus" SINCGARS radios...(SNIP)

Oh no...SINCGARS again...

Lennie belittles Amateurs for "saving the radio world", yet at
every opportunity we're lambasted with yet another round of how a
MILITARY systems is the "know all-end all" of radio communication....

> I'd rather reference Brian Burke's statement:
>
> "Morse code gets through when everything else will."

Cute from two guys who are incompetent in Morse telegraphy...

Steve, K4YZ

2710. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 13:25:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122643523.830590.250210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114070

an old friend wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
> > (encryption/decryption) on radio.
>
> No I am not
> >
> > It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and yet
> > regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on one
> > specific form of communications seems insane.
>
> As I understand the matter it comes in part from concerns that Spies
> would use Ham radio to do thier deeds
>
> Today with al queada I can't see the FCC budging on this one
>
> >
> > Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
> > binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the even
> > the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
> > years--let alone lifetimes.)
>
> I have heard of this never studied it could something like that be used
> to embed same in something like SSTV
> >
> > John
>
> OTOH Your mention PGP I suppose based on the discusions of the USE of
> PSK 31 and other modes you could argue the use PGP would be legal is
> the non encoded parts of the tranmision in addition to your Call sign
> contained the addresses where both of the keys could be found. The
> addresses would have to be acesable over say the internet but it should
> be legal

You're only problem here, Mark, is that PSK31 is not an encryption
technique. Otherwise I agree with your above assessment (esp
w/reference to Al-Queda)

> Alothough the FCC is liable to try to make a fight of it, one that
> isn't worth it to me at anyrate

Probably true.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2711. Lennie Acts Out Again, Shows Us His True Style

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 13:47:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122644846.077533.275710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114071

Markie Morgan said: "Re: Stevie lies and deciets"

FYI, Markie: "deceit"

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 14:50

> > You owe a lot of disabled
> >veterans a huge apology for suggesting that succeeding in life is "improper
> >and/or illegal".
>
> Have you been dipping into the rum barrel again, super chief?
>
> NOBODY "OWES" ANY "APOLOGIES" FOR NOT KNOWING EVERYONE THAT
> >>YOU<< KNOW AND >>NOT MENTIONED IN HERE YET<<.
>
> But, you MISSED someone HERE who goes by the initials SJR...a
> mighty warrior, been in "seven hostile actions," will "always
> be a marine," and claimed BOTH a medical discharge AND a
> disability pension. Yes, "disabled" so much that he received
> U.S. money so that he could fly a private aircraft, engage in
> rollerblading, engage in hang-gliding, go on weight-packing
> "marches" and do all sorts of glorious physical feats of might.

Lennie, Lennie, Lennie....

Lies, lies and more lies.

I'd ask you to substantiate it, but you can't even make good on
your own promises, you will have too dig deep to find THOSE assertions
(deep as into your imagination...they didn't happen here...)

> Hello? DISABLED? MEDICAL DISCHARGE (that suddenly turned into
> an "Honorable" as if by magic)? EXTRA DISABILITY INCOME (that
> he "needs")? Yah, yah, all dat and more...

Wondering where you came up with all of that, Lennie.

You're trying to put all the big peices together with .060 thread
and wondering why your stories don't hold water...

> On top of that, a demonstrated sociopathic liar (repeated) who
> has never "apologized" for anydamnthing he wrote, who can't
> ever accept that anyone else has ever challenged him in anything.

Now why would you suddenly change the subject from me to you,
Lennie?

Just can't stand anyone else being in the spotlight for a minute,
can you?

> By golly, I'm just so glad you are supporting (encouraging?)
> such an individual...makes it EASY to NOT bother entering
> amateur radio through federally-authorized licensing...like who
> would WANT to associate with such as SJR?

Uh...675,000 other Americans.

Thankfully none of them are "you", Lennie...

> LenLetsSeeWhoICanBaffleWithTHATlineOfBullAnderson@ieee.org

2712. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 14:00:55 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <rEqGe.18860$aY6.5587@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114072

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gShGe.5833$0C.4623@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>> For now, anyway, it is a mute point since the
>> FCC didn't go with the ARRL free upgrades anyway.
>
> It >was< a moot point, until you tried to distance yourself and the other
> Directors from your enthusiastic support of those free upgrades ("none of
> them want relaxation of test requirements for written").

One time vs permananent. We obviously differ as to
what that translates too. For now, again, it is a mute
point.

> Clearly they >DID< want such relaxation, to the tune of almost 2/3 of all
> hams getting "relaxed" right up to the next class of license.

We supported ONE-time upgrades...NOT a permanent
change in testing going forward. Again, we will just have
to disagree as to what that translates to. You are
entitled to your opinion, as am I.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2713. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 14:46:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ojrGe.6760$Uk3.3829@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114073

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>
> We supported ONE-time upgrades...NOT a permanent
> change in testing going forward. Again, we will just have
> to disagree as to what that translates to. You are
> entitled to your opinion, as am I.
>

A trip down memory lane, Bill. A few years ago, while he was Executive Director of NCI, we saw this regarding Fred Maia.

Lee Blaske writes: (about W5YI)

> I found one of his opinions quite interesting. He feels that since people who
> acquire entry level ham tickets invariably purchase their equipment assembled
> these days, they no longer need to possess the knowledge needed to
> "home-brew." Because of this fact, he thinks that the majority of questions
> regarding math and theory (knowledge mainly needed to build equipment) should
> be removed from entry level tests, and simply replaced with questions on
> operating technique and regulations. If he had his way, math and theory
> questions would only be part of the Advanced and Extra Class license tests.

In other words, theory and math questions would be removed from the Technician examination.

I think Fred is still a Director at NCI, and even more scary, has influence on the makeup of examinations through NCVEC and his association with W5YI-VEC.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2714. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 15:44:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122651890.506778.88970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114074

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> >
> > We supported ONE-time upgrades...NOT a permanent
> > change in testing going forward. Again, we will just have
> > to disagree as to what that translates to. You are
> > entitled to your opinion, as am I.
> >
>
> A trip down memory lane, Bill. A few years ago, while he was Executive Director
> of NCI, we saw this regarding Fred Maia.
>
> Lee Blaske writes: (about W5YI)
>
> > I found one of his opinions quite interesting. He feels that since people who
> > acquire entry level ham tickets invariably purchase their equipment assembled
> > these days, they no longer need to possess the knowledge needed to
> > "home-brew." Because of this fact, he thinks that the majority of questions
> > regarding math and theory (knowledge mainly needed to build equipment) should
> > be removed from entry level tests, and simply replaced with questions on
> > operating technique and regulations. If he had his way, math and theory
> > questions would only be part of the Advanced and Extra Class license tests.
>
> In other words, theory and math questions would be removed from the Technician
> examination.
>
> I think Fred is still a Director at NCI, and even more scary, has influence on
> the makeup of examinations through NCVEC and his association with W5YI-VEC.

I think Fred's very "unique" ideas about Amatuer Radio and VE
testing are no doubt part-and-parcel of the inordinately high number of
people who require re-test by the FCC.

He should be ashamed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2715. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 15:46:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6bsGe.38$Cp5.1132689@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL:

Well, there ya go, trouble is, when you give control to an incompetent he is going to focus on control and being a disciplinarian, any real merit is lost. Any successful group must be flexible to the majority's rule, as only if the group is responsive and meets the needs of those is it valid--problems with a "control freak" moderator are the same--most newsgroups with such do not survive--about as many posting as QSO's on HF, they begin dying--moderated newsgroups.

Only reason I don't send binaries, pics etc in moderation here, no one intelligent to uudecode them (also, there has just not been a need), that is obvious and really what the center of most problems we are speaking of--control freaks managing to having halted progress...

John

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122616371.334048.230250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup, the problem
> with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
> or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
> unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
> discussion group to discussion group then it alright.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> Monerator
> Amateur-Radio-Experimentation
>
> Discussion Group
>

2716. Re: Stevie Knows Squat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 17:02:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122656558.643999.207780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114076

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > >
> > >
> > > Stvie you have never treated anyone with dignity you were condessending
> > > and insulting from your arrival in this forum
> >
> > And you are, once again, lying.

Not at all you showed up gere all those years ago full of yourself and
how generous you were felling that 5wpm morse you would (a if it was
in your power to do so) ok if people like me accepted it as a final
solution,

your insulting and condesending manner was so think it was choking

>
> Why do you keep doing that, even in the face of archived evidence
> to the contrary?

no lie what so ever
>
> What combination of drugs were the people who "raised' you on that
> you get these strange ideas that lying is "OK" and that it is somehow
> "justifed"

more simple libel, andnow of my parents as well stevie

>
> What do you think you're accomplishing?

leting you show the world what a narrow minded sack of shit you realy
are
>
> > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to

> > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > >
> > > > > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > > > > faulty thinking on your part.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...
> > >
> > you certainly claim he does
> >
> Nope.

more lies you advance these demends constantly

>
> Brain only "owes" himself and his family. A man's reputation and
> legacy stands or falls on his character and honesty.

you climing to be kin of BB now?

>
> > guess you are admiting to lying
> >
> Guess you're wrong.

nope I am right you are just not man enough to do it in words

>
> > > But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> > > public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> > > was coming from"...
> > >
> > you haven't dispooven anything he has said or that I have for that
> > matter
> >
> Sure I have...starting with his lies about having operated legally
> from Somalia.

sorry who did what and you proved it how?

>
> Follow that up with his insistance that "unlicensed devices play a
> major role in emergency comms". Wrap it it (for now) with his
> silliness about ARES not bein able to respond to call-outs and it's
> service being "overblown".

unlicensed devices do play a major role in emergency comm simple
fact.and ARES PR is over blown meaning you have proved nothing to the
contary

>
> > > So far all we have are your assertions and your damnations for
> > > anyone insisting that you substantiate them.
> >
> > he advances lines of reasoning and explaination and does far more
> > politely than you
>
> With the same profanity and demaning tone of
> Lennie...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

which is more polite than you

being more polite than you isn't hard
>
> There's nothing "reasonin" nor "polite" about lying, regardless
> of how eloquently it is said.

hoist by your petard

but you do need to learn english, one can be very polite and be lying
thier ass off, check the speeches of most politicos
>
> > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > > > >
> > > > > Faulty thinking on your part, again.
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > entirely
> >
> > Nope. Already proven otherwise.

you have proven nothing but your own dishonesty and stupidity

>
> > > Fact.
> >
> > nope
>
> A-yup.
>
> > > None of your assertions, ie: unlicensed devices, ARES, etc aren't
> > > even remotely factual, unless requalified with language such as "in my
> > > opinion..."
> >
> > entirely factual
>
> Not in the least.

entirely factual

>
> Show me reference that SUBSTANTIATE a single word of any of it,
> Markie.

already have as have bb

>
> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > > >
> > > > > Faulty thinking on your part, again.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > You make untrue disparging remarks that you expect others to
> > > blindly accept or blow off.
> >
> > I am sure he does not expect you to blindly accept them or blow them
> > off. He expects you deny threaten harras and generaly act lack a child
> > and you rarely disappiont
>
> Nope.
>
> He hopes that he can make up stories that will ass fopr valid and
> then get's riled when he's called on them. Then he get's even MORE
> riled when anyone dares to challenge his "opinions".

which will "ass fopr"

you don't challnce people to insult and denagrate people

you don't discuss anything either you just go on insisting you are
right and no discussion of he matter can be tolerated

>
> He accuses me ot that, but that's more Brain trying to his tracks.

you do make stuff all the time

you apply your mind to distorting something then attacking what wasn't
said

>
> > > I do neither.
> >
> > indeed you bather and rage and act like a child
>
> "bather"....
>
> "rage and act like a child.."?!?!
>

> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

glad to see you agree

>
> > > That YOU would expect it to be so is the "faulty thinking".
> >
> > more of stevie making up something then disproving
>
> I didn't make any of it up, Markie.

you do all the time

>
> Making things up is in LennieRealm. That's the home to those who
> like to lie, misdirect, cuss and accuse others of "childish" behaviour
> all-the-while stomping their feet and demanding that others threat them
> a certain way while refusing to do it themselves.

projecting again

>
> Like you, for example.

not realy it is you that can't stand up to his own standards
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2717. Re: Stevie Knows Squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 17:07:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122656852.756715.227510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114077

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > >
> > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > >
> > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> >
> > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.

right you did not provide enough facts to substnaiate it Steve you are
agreeing with him and with me

indeed you even refuse to stat what you claim those acheveiments are

>
> > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > >
> > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > >
> > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
> >
> > My reality is truth.

you have no contact with reality prehaps not even by radio
>
> Yours is...well...it's something else...

you would never know

You accept press realeases as gosple turth

that is stupid, and at best niave at worst willfully blind

>
> > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > >
> > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements

> > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> >
> > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
>
> Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.

a real whopper there Stevie
>
> The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> seem to think that what you do is "constructive".

yes we think it is constructive to look behind the curtain at the real
world

>
> Silly Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2718. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 17:14:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122657248.080329.320370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114078

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > Anyone aware of any court challenges to the FCC banning the use of "codes"
> > > (encryption/decryption) on radio.
> >
> > No I am not
> > >
> > > It seems insane that encrypted data is exchanged freely on the internet and yet
> > > regulations prevent its use on amateur radio, how such can be prevented on one
> > > specific form of communications seems insane.
> >
> > As I understand the matter it comes in part from concerns that Spies
> > would use Ham radio to do thier deeds
> >
> > Today with al queada I can't see the FCC budging on this one
> >
> > >
> > > Very sophisticated means are even used to embed text/voice data in video and
> > > binary pictures which is virtually impossible to detect/decrypt with the even
> > > the fastest computers--within practical time periods (like millions of
> > > years--let alone lifetimes.)
> >
> > I have heard of this never studied it could something like that be used
> > to embed same in something like SSTV
> > >
> > > John
> >
> > OTOH Your mention PGP I suppose based on the discusions of the USE of
> > PSK 31 and other modes you could argue the use PGP would be legal is
> > the non encoded parts of the tranmision in addition to your Call sign
> > contained the addresses where both of the keys could be found. The
> > addresses would have to be acesable over say the internet but it should
> > be legal
>
> You're only problem here, Mark, is that PSK31 is not an encryption
> technique. Otherwise I agree with your above assessment (esp
> w/reference to Al-Queda)

PSK31 is an encryption/encoding method as is morse encoded cw both
legal becuase they are published (and morse is of course enshined by
name in the rule book) the rules are rather vague on where the line
drwn in these matters esp as technolgy advances so fast to make one
year unbreakable code, todays public key

>
> > Alothough the FCC is liable to try to make a fight of it, one that
> > isn't worth it to me at anyrate
>
> Probably true.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2719. request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 17:17:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122657471.360005.111270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114079

cuting here from another thread not disputing you but you have a reference for the below ad not heard it and wanted to follow up on it

Hold up example: The late Colonel Rudolph Abel of the KGB, under a cover name as an "artist" with a "hobby of amateur radio" operating in NYC around the late 1950s-early 1960s. His HF radio was used to send-receive encrypted information from the KGB. He was exchanged for Francis Gary Powers, the missle-shot-down pilot of a U-2. Abel used "one-time pads" for encipherment, virtually unbreakable by anything since the encryption key was obtained from natural random noise (or of "noisy" KGB clerk-typists)(take your pick).

It's irrelevant whether Abel actually held any sort of amateur radio license (he probably had a cover for one, no details on that) but that was his cover excuse for having/using an HF radio when arrested. Amateur radio in espionage activities! Not a good PR thing but so long ago that most have forgotten it or never knew.

The

2720. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 17:27:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122658041.259217.321890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114080

Allow to point out the flaw in your reasoning

Fred W5YI has his opinions, Bill has his opinion (I am sure not all of
which are the same) I have mine which other than supporting the end of
code testing deverge as well

NCI's opinion and action are based on the common threads of the
membership and BoD

Fred and Bill and I are all free to have opinions on other subjects

This line of attack makes no more sense than saying NCI hates code use,
because some of it memeber, like myself, think (and express) the ARS
would be better off if the ARS abandoned Morse code USE

NCI is colaition with members holding a wide range of opinions

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> >
> > We supported ONE-time upgrades...NOT a permanent
> > change in testing going forward. Again, we will just have
> > to disagree as to what that translates to. You are
> > entitled to your opinion, as am I.
> >
>
> A trip down memory lane, Bill. A few years ago, while he was Executive Director
> of NCI, we saw this regarding Fred Maia.
>
> Lee Blaske writes: (about W5YI)
>
> > I found one of his opinions quite interesting. He feels that since people who
> > acquire entry level ham tickets invariably purchase their equipment assembled
> > these days, they no longer need to possess the knowledge needed to
> > "home-brew." Because of this fact, he thinks that the majority of questions
> > regarding math and theory (knowledge mainly needed to build equipment) should
> > be removed from entry level tests, and simply replaced with questions on
> > operating technique and regulations. If he had his way, math and theory
> > questions would only be part of the Advanced and Extra Class license tests.
>
> In other words, theory and math questions would be removed from the Technician
> examination.
>
> I think Fred is still a Director at NCI, and even more scary, has influence on
> the makeup of examinations through NCVEC and his association with W5YI-VEC.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2721. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 17:33:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FLtGe.10136$dU3.7833@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114081

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> PSK31 is an encryption/encoding method
>

PSK31 is a modulation method, not an encryption method. No cryptography is involved.

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2722. Re: Lennie Acts Out Again, Shows Us His True Style

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 18:02:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122658498.532315.111410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114082

K4YZ wrote:
> Markie Morgan said: "Re: Stevie lies and deciets"
>
> FYI, Markie: "deceit"
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "K0HB" on Thurs 28 Jul 2005 14:50
>
> > > You owe a lot of disabled
> > >veterans a huge apology for suggesting that succeeding in life is "improper
> > >and/or illegal".
> >
> > Have you been dipping into the rum barrel again, super chief?
> >
> > NOBODY "OWES" ANY "APOLOGIES" FOR NOT KNOWING EVERYONE THAT
> > >>YOU<< KNOW AND >>NOT MENTIONED IN HERE YET<<.
> >
> > But, you MISSED someone HERE who goes by the initials SJR...a
> > mighty warrior, been in "seven hostile actions," will "always
> > be a marine," and claimed BOTH a medical discharge AND a
> > disability pension. Yes, "disabled" so much that he received
> > U.S. money so that he could fly a private aircraft, engage in
> > rollerblading, engage in hang-gliding, go on weight-packing
> > "marches" and do all sorts of glorious physical feats of might.
>
> Lennie, Lennie, Lennie....
>
> Lies, lies and more lies.
>
> I'd ask you to substantiate it, but you can't even make good on
> your own promises, you will have too dig deep to find THOSE assertions
> (deep as into your imagination...they didn't happen here...)
>
> > Hello? DISABLED? MEDICAL DISCHARGE (that suddenly turned into
> > an "Honorable" as if by magic)? EXTRA DISABILITY INCOME (that
> > he "needs")? Yah, yah, all dat and more...
>
> Wondering where you came up with all of that, Lennie.
>
> You're trying to put all the big peices together with .060 thread
> and wondering why your stories don't hold water...

well the story doesn't need to hold water merely truth
>

> > On top of that, a demonstrated sociopathic liar (repeated) who
> > has never "apologized" for anydamnthing he wrote, who can't
> > ever accept that anyone else has ever challenged him in anything.
>
> Now why would you suddenly change the subject from me to you,
> Lennie?

no he reamins focused on you you just never reconize yourself

>
> Just can't stand anyone else being in the spotlight for a minute,
> can you?

not at all it remains on you i guess where you want it

>
> > By golly, I'm just so glad you are supporting (encouraging?)
> > such an individual...makes it EASY to NOT bother entering
> > amateur radio through federally-authorized licensing...like who
> > would WANT to associate with such as SJR?
>
> Uh...675,000 other Americans.
>
> Thankfully none of them are "you", Lennie...
>
> > LenLetsSeeWhoICanBaffleWithTHATlineOfBullAnderson@ieee.org

2723. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 18:18:32 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <4538C54F38562.8462037037@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 114084

In article <1122521719.162293.44640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > KXHB:
> >
> > I sympathize...
> >
> > That is too drastic a change.
> >
> > Most here probably remember the big stock market crash and
the depression of
> > the 30's (I wasn't born yet--two decades later.) People
jumped out of windows
> > and committed suicide in terrible ways--just imagine all the
hams doing
> > this--would be anti-productive--end up with fewer licensees
as a result of such
> > action by the FCC!
> >
> > John
> >
> that is rich of course iwas born 3 and half decades later than
black
> monday myself

It's too bad your mother didn't go through with the abortion,
too.

2724. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 18:22:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122661376.751408.148380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114083

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > PSK31 is an encryption/encoding method
> >
>
> PSK31 is a modulation method, not an encryption method. No cryptography is
> involved.

gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it

and when are you going to stop taking things out of context?

never?
>
> Good luck on this one now!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2725. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 18:28:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122661707.803259.31900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> Allow me to try an translate this into English.
>
> olivermartin15170@yahoo.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup...(SNIP)
>
> The rules and protocols for posting vary from newsgroup to
> newsgroup.
>
> > > (UNSNIP)...the problem
> > > with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
> > > or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
>

break

> Rec.radio.amateur.policy is not a moderated newsgroup. There is
> no moderator to ensure compliance with discussion group parameters or
> maintain civility amongst participants.

then why do you seek to ursurb that role?

Why do you sepnd so much time trying to force other to folow YOUR ideas
of how this group should function

>
> > > unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
> > > discussion group to discussion group then it alright.
>
> He's got me there. I am sure there was a "point" there, but most
> likely just the top of his head.
>
> > > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > Toad, what's a "Monerator", STUPID!?!
>
> We need to get him and Markie to start submitting their made-up
> words to Webster's for deciphering.
>
> I still think Toiddie has a good case against the Taylorville
> Public Schools for letting him graduate High School with those horrific
> English skills. And Markie's always looking to sue SOMEone...He ought
> to go after the school district that let HIM loose.

now libeling an entire school system Stevie

and making up more stuff about me

Never looking to sue anyone, not even you, althoughyou do insist on straining that one

but then Your HS let you out without even teaching you to read, properly

(BTW reading is about working what is there not about how you can twist it to mean what you want it to)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2726. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 18:29:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122661769.171256.267780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> N9OGL:
>
> Well, there ya go, trouble is, when you give control to an incompetent he is
> going to focus on control and being a disciplinarian, any real merit is lost.
> Any successful group must be flexible to the majority's rule, as only if the
> group is responsive and meets the needs of those is it valid--problems with a
> "control freak" moderator are the same--most newsgroups with such do not
> survive--about as many posting as QSO's on HF, they begin dying--moderated
> newsgroups.
>
> Only reason I don't send binaries, pics etc in moderation here, no one
> intelligent to uudecode them (also, there has just not been a need), that is
> obvious and really what the center of most problems we are speaking of--control
> freaks managing to having halted progress...

I take issue with that I could decode them still know where a copy of
that stuff is
>
> John
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122616371.334048.230250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup, the problem
> > with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
> > or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
> > unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
> > discussion group to discussion group then it alright.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > Monerator
> > Amateur-Radio-Experimentation
> >
> > Discussion Group
> >

2727. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 18:37:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fluGe.70$mP7.1885233@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend:

Excellent, in the future I shall post a uuencoded test pic, tell me what the
picture depicts and we will come up with some sort of fitting prize, perhaps a
free URL to a website on hawaii!

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122661769.171256.267780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> N9OGL:
>>
>> Well, there ya go, trouble is, when you give control to an incompetent he is
>> going to focus on control and being a disciplinarian, any real merit is
>> lost.
>> Any successful group must be flexible to the majority's rule, as only if the
>> group is responsive and meets the needs of those is it valid--problems with
>> a
>> "control freak" moderator are the same--most newsgroups with such do not
>> survive--about as many posting as QSO's on HF, they begin dying--moderated
>> newsgroups.
>>
>> Only reason I don't send binaries, pics etc in moderation here, no one
>> intelligent to uudecode them (also, there has just not been a need), that is
>> obvious and really what the center of most problems we are speaking
>> of--control
>> freaks managing to having halted progress...
>
> I take issue with that I could decode them still know where a copy of
> that stuff is
>>
>> John
>>
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122616371.334048.230250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup, the problem
>> > with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
>> > or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
>> > unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
>> > discussion group to discussion group then it alright.
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL

>> > Monerator
>> > Amateur-Radio-Experimentation
>> >
>> > Discussion Group
>> >
>

2728. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:08:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <b9vGe.10173$dU3.4950@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:

> Excellent, in the future I shall post a uuencoded test pic, tell me what the
> picture depicts and we will come up with some sort of fitting prize, perhaps a
> free URL to a website on hawaii!

"John":

I'm amazed that you are seemingly unaware that almost every e-mail
program on the market decodes UUE automatically. The fact is, you
shouldn't be doing so in a text newsgroup.

Dave K8MN

2729. Re: asked and answered again and again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:12:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EcvGe.10177$dU3.6156@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114089

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:

>> Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
>>I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
>
>
> becuase by titling the post that way you did are are claiming that
> glory falsely

Mark, your peculiar ideas make you look very, very silly.

Dave K8MN

2730. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:16:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_fvGe.10181$dU3.9610@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114090

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>
>>>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>
>
>>>>Few what?
>
>
>>>few countiries ago as I said
>
>
>>That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
>>"countiries". Want to go for "countries"?
>
>
> How about a spell checker? Or maybe just some proofreading?

Mark claims that he doesn't have 1) a spellchecker and 2) the time to do
all of that work.

>>I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
>>I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
>
>
> It's still not clear that the word is "countries".

No, it isn't but Mark claims that he answered all my questions.

>>If the word "countries" is correct, then we still have some problems
>>with the meaning:
>>
>>"I live in the US was born Prague which was few [countries?] ago over
>>there."
>>
>>Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few
>>countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a
>>different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it

>>was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the
>>Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
>>you meant to convey?
>
>
> Mark's philosophy is that if you can't understand what he wrote,
> no matter how bolluxed up, it's *your* fault and *your* problem,
> not his. That's obviously illogical.

Quite obviously.

> There's a limit to even the best error-correcting codes. But they
> all depend on the message being correct when transmitted...

Yep. I'm quite familar with forward error correction. What Mark needs
is a sort of backward error correction.

Dave K8MN

2731. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:20:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5kvGe.10188$dU3.9828@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114091

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>>>>I live in the US was born Prague which was few conutieis ago over there
>>
>>>>>Few what?
>>
>>>>few countiries ago as I said
>>
>>>That's incorrect. What you said was "conutieis". Now, you've written
>>>"countiries". Want to go for "countries"?

>>>I could have reasonably deduced "countries" from your "countiries", but
>>>I would never have gotten it from "conutieis".
>>
>>It's still not clear that the word is "countries".
>
>
> not my problem

Yes, Mark, it *is* your problem. You are unable to communicate your
thoughts to others.

>>>If the word "countries" is correct, then we still have some problems
>>>with the meaning:
>>>
>>>"I live in the US was born Prague which was few [countries?] ago over
>>>there."
>>>
>>>Were you born *in* Prague? Did you really mean that Prague was a few
>>>countries ago? Prague still exists. Did you mean that Prague is in a
>>>different country than it used to be in? That would be correct, but it
>>>was only one country ago. It was in Czechoslovakia; now it is in the
>>>Czech Republic. How much chaff are we to go through to arrive at what
>>>you meant to convey?
>>

>>Mark's philosophy is that if you can't understand what he wrote,
>>no matter how bolluxed up, it's *your* fault and *your* problem,
>>not his. That's obviously illogical.
>
>
> not at all. you use exactly the same line of reasoning yourself

I think I see one of your difficulties, Mark. You post gibberish which
others cannot understand and others post in properly (for the most part)
English which you are not able to understand.

> doesn't matter to you if I don't see your logic you just drive on
>
> and you have made a logical post in year on the subject code testing
> for example you don't care just drive on

Your semi-sentence is incomprehensible.

Dave K8MN

2732. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:23:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wmvGe.10191$dU3.1031@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114092

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>> I'm certainly hoping it isn't relaxation of the other test requirements!
>>>
>>>I would personally oppose any such move and, I believe, I
>>>am familiar enough with the other NCI directors to safely
>>>say that none of them want relaxation of test requirements
>>>for written.
>>
>>NCI Executive Director Carl Stevenson is on record as an enthusiastic supporter
>>of free passes for all Novices and Tech to General, and all Advanced to Extra
>>without further testing. As I recall, NCI submitted official comments of this
>>nature to the FCC. Sure smacks of "relaxation of test requirement for written"
>>to me.
>
>
> NCI has supported simplifng the maze of licenses out there so what?

I believe that, to you, it is a maze of licenses.

Dave K8MN

2733. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:24:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dovGe.73$%m.1972256@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dave:

Nothing wrong with moderate use of uuencoding in newsgroup, end of story!

Go control freak on 160-80-75-etc... your false information is NOT welcome here... that is what ham radio has become, it has no place here on the internet...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:b9vGe.10173$dU3.4950@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Excellent, in the future I shall post a uuencoded test pic, tell me what the
>> picture depicts and we will come up with some sort of fitting prize, perhaps
>> a free URL to a website on hawaii!
>
>
> "John":
>
> I'm amazed that you are seemingly unaware that almost every e-mail program on
> the market decodes UUE automatically. The fact is, you shouldn't be doing so
> in a text newsgroup.
>
> Dave K8MN

2734. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:31:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NuvGe.6817$Uk3.6596@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114093

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> Fred and Bill and I are all free to have opinions on other subjects

Fred and Bill are Directors, and presumably represent all of us members.

When Fred, then Executive Director of NCI, makes a speech proposing reduction of the technical content of the entry examination, that implies something about the position of the organization which he leads.

When the Board of Directors does not issue a disclaimer after that speech, observers (including the FCC) are likely presume that the statement is more than just the personal opinion of W5YI.

That presumption is reinforced when the same Board of Directors later enthusiastically supports free upgrades for nearly two-thirds of all licensees without answering a single test question pertaining to their new license class.

K2UNK can express his personal opinion (and I believe him), but the actions of NCI leadership are at direct odds with his personal disclaimers. You and I as individual NCI members can freely express opposing views, but those individual views carry far less weight than the Board of Directors who express "official policy".

73, de Hans, K0HB
Member, ARRL, NCI, FISTS, VWOA, SOC, A1-Op, MWA, TCDXA, etc

2735. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:36:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ezvGe.10205$dU3.2497@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114094

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>masive cut
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>none if you like none was making you read anything
>>>>
>>>>None if I like none? What the hell is that supposed to mean? You
>>>>mispell; you don't capitalize; you leave out all punctuation.
>>>
>>>
>>>yea so
>>
>>Not "yea" but "yeah". The "so" is that you often post material that is
>>beyond comprehension.
>
>
> beyond yours find i would not be making that admission if I were you
> but suit yourself

Your attempt at a sentence is gibberish, Mark.

>
>>>it means as I have said over and over
>>>don't read my stuff if you like
>>
>>*Nobody* can read much of the material you post. It is gibberish.
>
>
> gee stevie can when he wants and others as well

There are times when you write a nearly-comprehensible thought. Those
times are rare.

>
>>>>>as to that being one country ago I disagree but I can always count on
>>>>>you to beat dead horses
>>>>
>>>>I note that none of my questions was answered and that the matter hasn't
>>>>been cleared up at all by you.
>>>
>>>
>>>nah your problem is you didn't like the answers you and stevie have
>>>that in comon, you don't get the answer you wnat you get insulting and
>>>abusive it gets boring
>>
>>Mark, let us be clear. There were *no* answers. You didn't answer
>>questions about the words or what meaning they were supposed to have.
>
>
> You clear about what that you are as controling as stevie I answered
> your questions you just don'tlike the answers

You still have not answered the questions about what you meant to
convey. Perhaps you thought you did so. You were wrong.

> that is your problem not mine

No, Mark, it is still *your* problem. You aren't able to communicate
with others by use of the written word.

>>If you write gibberish and then refuse to explain it, you can't claim
>>abuse (though I get the idea that a fellow such as yourself, a guy with
>>masochistic leanings, might enjoy that).
>
>
> wrong I certianly can and do as you note so I guess we can chalk that
> one up in the Lie catagory

1. You write gibberish. That is not a lie.
2. You were questioned about what you wrote and what it was supposed to

mean. That is not a lie.
3. You write crap which others can't understand and you've claimed

"abuse" when someone tells you so. That is not a lie.

Dave K8MN

2736. RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:37:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_zvGe.6818$Uk3.960@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114095

Per Canada Gazette notice DGRB-003-05 22 July 2005, Industry Canada has adopted elements of the RAC "Proposal on Morse Code and Related Matters" and has removed the mandatory requirement for the Morse Qualification for access to the HF bands below 30 MHz.

Effective immediately, amateurs certified with BASIC Qualification prior to 2 April 2002, and amateurs certified with both BASIC and ADVANCED Qualifications, may operate on HF. Amateurs with BASIC only Qualification certified after 1 April 2002, and who achieved a pass mark of 80% or greater, will also be allowed to operate on HF. Amateurs certified BASIC only Qualification after 1 April 2002 having achieved less than 80% pass mark, will either have to qualify in Morse, write the Advanced or re-write the Basic examination to obtain HF privileges. This latter requirement is related to a decision to increase the BASIC examination pass mark to ensure that candidates have been tested in all areas of the syllabus.

For details, see the Canada Gazette notice at http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html and the "Latest News" page on the RAC web site at http://www.rac.ca .

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

2737. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:41:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sDvGe.10211$dU3.8619@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114096

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:

> you don't understand english

Priceless!

> You lie
> you cheat
> you comit public fraud
> you stalk
> you harrass
> you threaten
> you libel
> you forge

You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.

> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words

I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.

Dave K8MN

2738. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:46:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dIvGe.6131$0C.5701@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114097

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it

The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For the simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)

73, de Hans, K0HB

2739. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:53:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%OvGe.78$5K.2075785@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114105

Len:

They would "spy" on my wireless lan to get my credit card number, bank account info, passwords, etc....

... now don't disappoint me man, there is good reason to hide your business other than the fact I am an Al Qaeda member... I know you just had a moment of confusion there because of all the guys playing "secret radio spy police here."

ROFLOL!!!!!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1122616000.294344.206610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 28, 8:17 pm
>
>
>>Well, it is going to be difficult to argue with you, we seem to be in
>>agreement
>>on a couple of points, and not so far distant on a couple of others where we
>>could not work out some common grounds, however, since you answered the "call
>>of the WILD TROLL" (don't be afraid--just kidding :) here goes...
>
> That's about all I've "heard" in this newsgroup...calls of the
> wild trolls! :-)
>
>>I just turned over my wireless lan, and yep, there is a type acceptance tag
>>with an VERY impressive FCC notation on it and some VERY impressive numbers,
>>I
>>am in awe! (like a war, all shock and awe, yep, that is me.) But, and back
>>to
>>the real world, I communicate over this device in "encrypted code" so that
>>others cannot read the data off my wan/lan, or gain access and send data over
>>it... I choose a key for all of this and it is a 2048 bit code (million
>>years
>>or so and a bank of supercomputers could gain access to my net, if they got
>>lucky, avg time.)
>
> Yes, and...?
>
> Why WOULD anyone "spy" on your WLAN?
>
>
>>Now, the important question here, just what kind of felony am I guilty of
>>here,

>>feel free to list titles, paragraphs and sub-paragraphs--heck, if I am going
>>to
>>be a criminal here, I'd enjoy hearing about it in vivid detail. If possible,
>>make it read like a Jessie James novel from the wild west! PLEASE!!!! I am a
>>sucker for old westerns! <grin>
>
> No, I'm not going to strip off certain sub-Parts and make like
> a communications lawyer. For one, Phil Kane would get a hissy
> fit and make rude noises. Hans Brakob would put on his manager's
> hat and try to be a snarly manager type by taking things out of
> context and making OTHER rude noises. All othere would just
> make ruder noises.
>
> It's all in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. Part 97 is one of the
> smallest of all Parts. The up-to-date version (supposedly)
> is at the ARRL website. The last version published (printed
> with real ink on real paper) is viewable at the Government
> Printing Office website...and you can get the appropriate
> updates as printed in the Federal Register there also...
>
>>Well, murder mysteries are good to...
>
> For fun reading, try the Janet Evanovich series on "Stephanie
> Plum." The latest softcover is "Ten Big Ones." The new
> hardcover is "Eleven on Top." Funny, funny stuff, lots
> better than some of the fantasies parading around in here.
>
>
> dad mom
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2740. Re: Stevie Knows Squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:55:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122666915.338562.274860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114098

From: an old friend on Jul 29, 10:07 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:

>> > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
>> > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
>> > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
>> > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
>> > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
>
>> > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
>
>> > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
>
>> > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
>
>> Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
>
>right you did not provide enough facts to substnaiate it Steve you are
>agreeing with him and with me
>
>indeed you even refuse to stat what you claim those acheveiments are

Snarly Stebie doesn't do much else in this newsgroup but
boast generalities of a non-specific nature while generally
insulting everyone who doesn't agree with him.

He has changed his boasting so many times that it is difficult
to know what he has actually done or experienced.

>> > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
>
>> > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
>
>> > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
>
>> My reality is truth.
>
>you have no contact with reality prehaps not even by radio

Snarly Stebie's version of "truth" is whatever he wants to
claim on any particular day. He has NO references on any of
it. Some examples:

Stebie would challenge "call the VA and they can tell you"
[all about Stebie's military record] Actually, they can't
under a law almost two decades old restricting personal
information on individuals. Secondly, the NARA (National
Archives and Records Administration) in St. Louis, MO, is
the place to go for individual military personnel data;
that's where any VA office would go for their information.
Anyone can access the NARA website and even request data,
but that data request has to be accompanied by proof of
who you are and why you are asking (personnel departments
of companies have limited access greater than individuals).

In the beginning of Stebie's tirades he mentioned a Medical
Discharge that cut his marine career to 18 years (rather
than a full 20). He then made that an "Honorable" instead
of a medical discharge; if he had gotten that discharge some
5 years before (his alleged time was 1974 to 1992), why
would he not have said "honorable" in the beginning? That's
all archived, BTW.

Stebie claimed to have been in "seven hostile actions"
during his military career, but it seems he is spinning
that into the USMC being involved in those...while he was
in it. He has no proof at all shown in here that HE was
IN any of those hostile actions. That's in newer archives.

Stebie has claimed "working in military radio communications"
but isn't at all familiar with the very common (quarter
million R/T units made and operational) SINCGARS radio set
that went into service first in 1989 and continues to this
day. Considering his claimed active duty time was 1974 to
1992, he should at least have known about that set...and of
earlier ones. He could NOT name any particular radio set
by its nomenclature which is VERY unusual for anyone working
in that field. That's in archives over several years.

At best, Stebie's claims are only for an "Assistant" NCOIC
position at a MARS station in Okinawa in the 1980s. MARS
stations are NOT a regular part of any service branch radio
communications...are, at best, a sort of special services
like operation where they MIGHT be used for regular comms
in case of catastrophic happenings to the Defense
Communication System (DCS). That's in very recent archives.
Worse yet, it took months for Stebie to acknowledge that
the Department of Defense directs MARS, even when given the
link to the directive itself available on the Internet at
the DoD website.

>> Yours is...well...it's something else...
>
>you would never know
>
>You accept press realeases as gosple turth

>
>that is stupid, and at best niave at worst willfully blind

Stebie works on an emotional level rather than a logical one.
If some PR spin makes him feel good, he "believes" it. If
it doesn't make him feel good, he is offended and thinks it
is an "insult" to both him and amateur radio (as he knows it).

>> > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
>
>> > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
>> > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
>> > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
>
>> > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
>
>> Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
>> frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
>
>a real whopper there Stevie

True! Google archives have the proof in them, in thousands of
personal-insult messages generated by Stebie...with only a few
(perhaps a dozen at most) which are actual discussions devoid
of personal attacks at somebody.

>> The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
>> seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
>
>yes we think it is constructive to look behind the curtain at the real
>world

That would disturb Stebie's fantasy. He wants the nicely-ordered
military-style discipline he is no longer a part of...one where
everything is explained and laid-out, no room for deviation from
the precise order of things. He can fit into that. However, if
the environment changes, he gets very upset and angry. He can't
handle disagreements with his opinions, tries to defend himself
(no need in normal discussion not about a person) by issuing a
series of personal insults directed at his perceived "accuser."

There is, nor has there ever been, a SINGLE "correct" way of
doing things in amateur radio. Amateur radio is NOT a military
branch and the ARRL is NOT a directive-issuing "headquarters" (no
matter what some like to believe). Any "need" to hold tradition
tight and fast, to "work" stations in a particular mode (such as
radiotelegraphy) is purely personal perception. Hewing to one
particular way of doing things is NOT indicative of some kind of
expertise in anything.

But, the old rank-status-privilege class consciousness of the
old six-class license system suits the ordered, disciplined

military psyche. One "works up through the ranks" (in some folks' perception) even though the hobby is just a hobby, not a job, not a branch of the military, not part of a union or craft or guild. It CAN be a fun hobby, an interesting personal recreation, but having to march in ranks to some saber-rattling control freak's idea of what constitutes "real" ham radio is NOT a recreation. Such is more like a variant of sado-masochism.

Radio is radio. Electrons, fields and waves behave by THEIR physical laws, not the laws of various administrations. By the nature of EM propagation, administrations MUST regulate radio use to keep the EM chaos to a minimum. Licensing is just a tool of administrations to regulate civil radio. The "class" of license is a human-made artificiality which does NOT recognize any actual "expertise" (the FCC is NOT an academic institution). Skill at radiotelegraphy does NOT indicate anything but skill in that particular mode; radiotelegraphy does not make a radio operate "better" (or worse) below 30 MHz. Many radio amateurs do think so, but that is their own personal perception which is NOT borne out by natural laws of physics...or the experience of every other radio service.

One MUST look at what other radio services are doing. Firstly, amateurs must co-exist in the EM spectrum with all other radio services. Secondly, other radio services, having (usually) more flexibility in mode type use, can offer insight into possible new methods of communications. Thirdly, closing off minds to what happens elsewhere and claiming it is "for the good of amateur radio" is a simple cop-out, an excuse NOT to learn, investigate, to enquire about new things, new possibilities; it's a rationale for being LAZY.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2741. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:55:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2RvGe.6134$0C.2895@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> (BTW reading is about working what is there not about how you can twist
> it to mean what you want it to)
>

I tried and I tried and I tried to "work what is" but that still comes out
gibberish.

2742. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 19:57:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122667058.757937.122840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114100

From: an old friend on Jul 29, 10:17 am

>cuting here from another thread not disputing you but you have a
>reference for the below ad not heard it and wanted to follow up on it

==========

Hold up example: The late Colonel Rudolph Abel of the KGB, under
a cover name as an "artist" with a "hobby of amateur radio"
operating in NYC around the late 1950s-early 1960s. His HF radio
was used to send-receive encrypted information from the KGB. He
was exchanged for Francis Gary Powers, the missle-shot-down pilot
of a U-2. Abel used "one-time pads" for encipherment, virtually
unbreakable by anything since the encryption key was obtained from
natural random noise (or of "noisy" KGB clerk-typists)(take your
pick).

It's irrelevant whether Abel actually held any sort of amateur
radio license (he probably had a cover for one, no details on
that) but that was his cover excuse for having/using an HF radio
when arrested. Amateur radio in espionage activities! Not a
good PR thing but so long ago that most have forgotten it or
never knew.

==========

For a couple references on the above, the best one I have
is David Kahn's "CODEBREAKERS, A History of Cryptography,"
a non-fiction best-seller of the 1960s. Been reprinted in
soft-cover a couple times, once in hard-cover. Libraries
would have it. A couple inches thick in hard-cover. The
next reference is Francis Gary Powers' own biography. All
of that happened during President Eisenhower's term over 40
years ago...at the time an embarrassment to the United States
due to Eisenhower not admitting it at first. Headline stuff
then, much press. A search of "Rudolph Abel" will turn up
more on the 'web. Search also "one-time pads" for the
method of encryption/decryption.

The U-2 itself was made, but not fully assembled at Building
82 of Lockheed at (then) Burbank, CA, airport. It was a
large hangar-plus-office-space building just off of Winona
Avenue crossing the major north-south street of Hollywood
Way in the east San Fernando Valley section of Los Angeles.
Building 82 was referred to as "the Skunk Works" in reference
to the "Lil Abner" comic strip and a local Burbank plastics

factory nearby that used to emit stinky smells (long since moved). Heavy security outside but the building was in plain view (along with the building number sign) for many years to drivers on Hollywood Way. The Skunk Works was moved to Palmdale in the high desert years ago and all Lockheed Aircraft buildings have been razed at what is now Bob Hope Airport (formerly Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport). I've never worked for Lockheed but have worked for a couple contractors who supplied avionics for Lockheed, desitined for installation in some Skunk Works program (which ranges from the original P-80 Shooting Star through the U-2 and through the SR-71 Blackbird and on into part of the F-22 engineering, plus a few smaller ones). As far as I know, many details of what went on at the Skunk Works and its aircraft are still "sensitive" even though lots of information on them have been made public.

By the way, both the CIA and NSA have virtual museums on the Internet and considerable text on various newsworthy cases of espionage of the last 70+ years. There's also a history of military intelligence found at the Fort Huachuca, AZ, website. Fort Huachuca is the M.I. training center and also the UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle) "flight school." It's also the LAST place where the U.S. government teaches morse code cognition as part of military intelligence electronic intercept military occupation specialty training (four different categories), all branches plus civilian government workers. Needless to say, the morse code classes (all done by computer programs now) are NOT a large part of the M.I. school curriculum.

dit dit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2743. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 20:03:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nYvGe.6824$Uk3.6781@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114101

While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they increased the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could learn something from these guys.

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

2744. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 20:12:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <D4wGe.85$rU.2168948@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114103

an_old_friend:

Perhaps spread spectrum is used by spies, who knows, or sat links--we will always catch only the poorest of spies--undoubtedly they DO NOT represent the "good ones."

But, most radio is a poor vector for spies, the internet is a much more viable medium...

A true "random number generator" has escaped being ever realized in a practical form. The "random noise" from the background radiation of the universe comes very, very close.

In computing, if a very high quality "random number generator" is needed, it will always be outboard (white noise generator.) No computer algorithm ever developed is able to generate REAL random numbers. Success is only measured in how close they can come to the ideal...

... the "noise" from a large number of typists keyboards might be close enough, although not perfectly random, for some applications...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122657471.360005.111270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> cuting here from another thread not disputing you but you have a
> reference for the below ad not heard it and wanted to follow up on it
>
>
> Hold up example: The late Colonel Rudolph Abel of the KGB, under
> a cover name as an "artist" with a "hobby of amateur radio"
> operating in NYC around the late 1950s-early 1960s. His HF radio
> was used to send-receive encrypted information from the KGB. He
> was exchanged for Francis Gary Powers, the missle-shot-down pilot
> of a U-2. Abel used "one-time pads" for encipherment, virtually
> unbreakable by anything since the encryption key was obtained from
> natural random noise (or of "noisy" KGB clerk-typists)(take your
> pick).
>
>
> It's irrelevant whether Abel actually held any sort of amateur
> radio license (he probably had a cover for one, no details on
> that) but that was his cover excuse for having/using an HF radio
> when arrested. Amateur radio in espionage activities! Not a
> good PR thing but so long ago that most have forgotten it or
> never knew.

>
>
> The
>

2745. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 20:21:09 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <dce33l$6ml$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 114102

"K&#65533;HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
> Per Canada Gazette notice DGRB-003-05 22 July 2005, Industry Canada has adopted
> elements of the RAC "Proposal on Morse Code and Related Matters" and has removed
> the mandatory requirement for the Morse Qualification for access to the HF bands
> below 30 MHz.
>
>
The RAC site dates it July 30th and if that's not a typo, then one has
to wait till Saturday to make use of these changes. So prompt it is now.
When I was a kid and they dropped the requirement that you be over 15 to
get a ham license, the announcement came in December, but it didn't go
into effect till the end of April.

I'd actually consider another part of the bulletin maybe more significant:
Holders of only the BASIC Qualification may now construct, install
and operate transmitters from kits that have been commercially
designed and packaged. BASIC-only holders still are not authorized to
modify or install and operate modified commercially manufactured
equipment.
It's not a return to complete building, but it's far better than the
previous restriction. (When things were restructured back in 1990,
BASIC holders could not build any transmitters, you had to have the
advanced license.)

Now there's even less difference between the two licenses. If you want
a full kilowatt you need the advanced license, and if you want to control
a repeater you need the advanced license, and if you want to build a
transmitter from scratch you need the advanced license.

Michael VE2BVW

2746. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 20:32:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <knwGe.87$EN.2114698@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114104

Michael:

I can guarantee you, those "regulations" have been broken since they were enacted...

... and logic suggests, how would they ever prove that the transmitter was built by a novice, and not an advanced who then gifted him the transmitter?

That is the real problem, regulatory fools never get a clue, take themselves far too seriously and end up being a form of comedy more hilarious than the three stooges...

John

"Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote in message news:dce33l$6ml$1@theodyn.ncf.ca...
>
> "KXHB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
>> Per Canada Gazette notice DGRB-003-05 22 July 2005, Industry Canada has
>> adopted
>> elements of the RAC "Proposal on Morse Code and Related Matters" and has
>> removed
>> the mandatory requirement for the Morse Qualification for access to the HF
>> bands
>> below 30 MHz.
>>
>>
> The RAC site dates it July 30th and if that's not a typo, then one has
> to wait till Saturday to make use of these changes. So prompt it is now.
> When I was a kid and they dropped the requirement that you be over 15 to
> get a ham license, the announcement came in December, but it didn't go
> into effect till the end of April.
>
> I'd actually consider another part of the bulletin maybe more significant:
> Holders of only the BASIC Qualification may now construct, install
> and operate transmitters from kits that have been commercially
> designed and packaged. BASIC-only holders still are not authorized to
> modify or install and operate modified commercially manufactured
> equipment.
> It's not a return to complete building, but it's far better than the
> previous restriction. (When things were restructured back in 1990,
> BASIC holders could not build any transmitters, you had to have the
> advanced license.)
>

> Now there's even less difference between the two licenses. If you want
> a full kilowatt you need the advanced license, and if you want to control
> a repeater you need the advanced license, and if you want to build a
> transmitter from scratch you need the advanced license.
>
> Michael VE2BVW
>

2747. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 20:59:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122670767.875724.316820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114107

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
> > you don't understand english
>
> Priceless!
>
>
break
> > You lie
> > you cheat
> > you comit public fraud
> > you stalk
> > you harrass
> > you threaten
> > you libel
> > you forge
>
> You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.

no Stevie needs to het a grip on himself

and you need to stop editing so badly that is a partial list of Stevies
misdeeds
>
> > more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
>
> I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.

agreed

when you planing on starting?
>
> Dave K8MN

2748. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:07:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122671266.761471.304860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114108

KØHB wrote:
> While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they increased
> the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could learn
> something from these guys.

but not if you have held your license for some time

quote

(2) Amateurs certified prior to April 2, 2002 will be allowed to
operate in the HF bands below 30 MHz based on the experience and
knowledge they have acquired over this period of time.

unquote

maing that if Ilived a bit futher north than I do and held a canadian
call I would have hf access now (or tomarrow rather) without having had
to make any higher score

Logicaly therefore I would expect in time This to evolve into 80 for
access now or pass the test and wait 2 years for access by experence,
or possibly for the Ca to decicide keeping track of the 2 score levels
is too much work
>
> --
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Member:
> ARRL http://www.arrl.org
> SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
> VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
> A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
> TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
> MWA http://www.w0aa.org
> TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
> FISTS http://www.fists.org
> LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
> NCI http://www.nocode.org

2749. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:12:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%YwGe.6149$0C.3979@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114109

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> maing that if Ilived a bit futher north than I do and held a canadian
> call I would have hf access now (or tomarrow rather) without having had
> to make any higher score

They'd probably make an exception in your case.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

2750. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:15:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122671711.876266.23510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114110

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > Fred and Bill and I are all free to have opinions on other subjects
>
> Fred and Bill are Directors, and presumably represent all of us members.

agreed but not every thing eitehr or any of them do can be construed as
acting as NCI

>
> When Fred, then Executive Director of NCI, makes a speech proposing reduction of
> the technical content of the entry examination, that implies something about the
> position of the organization which he leads.

In your mind I guess it does, not having heard the speech, in context,
I don't assmue that, any more than I assume that everything Roberts has
filled for his cleints represents his own views
>
> When the Board of Directors does not issue a disclaimer after that speech,
> observers (including the FCC) are likely presume that the statement is more than
> just the personal opinion of W5YI.

obviously you do, but if he made that speech in his capity as head of
W5YI VEc group then I would be less likely to assume that

and you should KNOW what assuming does Hans
>
> That presumption is reinforced when the same Board of Directors later
> enthusiastically supports free upgrades for nearly two-thirds of all licensees
> without answering a single test question pertaining to their new license class.

you bust the chops of NCI and Not the ARRL for this, interesting

>
> K2UNK can express his personal opinion (and I believe him), but the actions of
> NCI leadership are at direct odds with his personal disclaimers. You and I as
> individual NCI members can freely express opposing views, but those individual
> views carry far less weight than the Board of Directors who express "official
> policy".

but only if you assume that NCI was endorsing a true lowering of
standards instead of supporting a reduction of the current mess of
differing classes. Youa re reading a great deal into the position with
very little to back it up

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Member, ARRL, NCI, FISTS, VWOA, SOC, A1-Op, MWA, TCDXA, etc

2751. Re: asked and answered again and again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:16:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122671765.489266.19440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114112

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> Then you claim I am "claiming glory" for a QSO I didn't make when
> >>I clearly stated that I never made the contact from the git-go.
> >
> >
> > becuase by titling the post that way you did are are claiming that
> > glory falsely
>
> Mark, your peculiar ideas make you look very, very silly.

and you do love taking stuff out of context
>
> Dave K8MN

2752. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:16:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J0xGe.6150$0C.906@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114111

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> Logicaly therefore I would expect in time This to evolve into 80 for
> access now or pass the test and wait 2 years for access by experence,

Nope, if you pass the Basic written (but don't make an 80% score) you must then take the Morse exam to get on HF.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2753. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:17:01 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <42ea9c68$0$16809$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 114113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In <RWcGe.291$o33.5336125@news.sisna.com> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> writes:

>Paul:

>google groups are simply not acceptable, wikipedia is not acceptable...

You asked for links, I gave you links.

I realize that it is a common practice on Usenet to criticize the source, and not the merits, of the ideas presented. That's why I summarized the arguments and invited you to consider their validity independent of sources and personalities. The invitation is still open...

>... the other two simply recommend using common sense ... DUH!!!

Sometimes it is necessary to repeat good common sense, even package it in easily understood summaries, especially in a community where even basic common sense is lost on some participants. Common sense can also serve as a useful gateway to more subtle and complicated concepts. I liked Professor Timo Salmi's concise article, especially the graphics in front of the bullet points. I even think he would be flattered that you find his words to be common sense. I only wish that his command of idiomatic and colloquial English was sharper (he's Finnish), so that his writing would be more approachable and familiar to the average Usenetter.

>Sometime around the mid-late 90's myths began to float about, we live in a
>"echo chamber" and simply because these myths come echoing back in force is
>only proof that some where successful in generating belief in the myths, are in
>a remarkable number of people...

>Attempting to limit the internet is insane... this has been and will remain a
>more powerful medium of exchange than many can accept, big deal...

Ah yes, Power To The People, and all that. Just don't forget that without the cooperation of many large ISP's, communications backbone providers, and hub server sites, what we recognize as Usenet would quickly collapse. Such entities are already enforcing de-facto policies by dropping binaries and cancelling SPAM. If the hub sites don't carry it, that means that their downstream sites won't get it either. Posting binaries in this newsgroup may subject it to powerful, and potentially undesirable, forces beyond your (and my) control.

>Some dreamed of passing binaries back and forth here, worked and developed
>methods--for some strange reason--others came later attempting to halt it. I

>admit this insanity is hard to understand--I simply note it exists... I don't
>even care what the reason are, or the arguments of the "control freaks" consist
>of...

So you don't even want to listen to any arguments other than your own?
Well, that does explain your reaction.

>Google groups? NO ONE SHOULD EVEN BE USING GOOGLE GROUPS!!!!

Why not? Oh, I realize that the current Beta is in many ways inferior
to the former Google Groups. I also dislike having to crunch on its
various bugs during the Beta rollout. But for many on the net,
precisely because of the 300+ GB of binaries per day that are being
thrown back and forth, their ISP's simply don't want to deal with
Usenet, and thus Google Groups is one of their only access points to it.
It's even free. My postgraduate alma mater, unomaha.edu, recommends
that its students who want to read Usenet go to Google Groups, as
news.unomaha.edu went away several years back. My employer also wasn't
interested in running the necessary multi-Terabyte disk farm and
dedicated T-1 or T-3 links either, and gave up newsgroups at about the
same time.

Also, where else are you going to find 23+ years of archived articles?
I still have Usenet news, and a good newsreader (nn), through my ISP
from home. Still, I often find myself going to Google Groups for
archival material, advice on specific questions (avoiding the flamage
that usually contains words other than the specific key words for which
I am searching), as well as a cross-check on the propagation of articles
I post locally. For this newsgroup, I find its long-term memory
invaluable to separate the wheat from the chaff, and the experts from
the kooks.

>John

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

2754. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:22:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122672151.615978.163150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114114

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > Logicaly therefore I would expect in time This to evolve into 80 for
> > access now or pass the test and wait 2 years for access by experence,
>
> Nope, if you pass the Basic written (but don't make an 80% score) you must then
> take the Morse exam to get on HF.

wrong you did not read the whole thing
quoting you other message

> > maing that if Ilived a bit futher north than I do and held a canadian
> call I would have hf access now (or tomarrow rather) without having had
> to make any higher score

They'd probably make an exception in your case.

unquote

lets see one minute you agree with my read then the next you don't but
you still don't bother to adress the the content of the RAC rule

73

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2755. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:25:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t9xGe.6154$0C.3797@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114117

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> they found it nessary to to say why it was not an Ilegal
> method of encryption .....

Well there you have it! It's not a method of encryption. The developers agree
with me!

Thanks for making my case.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2756. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:25:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122672358.640677.175080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114116

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > Logicaly therefore I would expect in time This to evolve into 80 for
> > access now or pass the test and wait 2 years for access by experence,
>
> Nope, if you pass the Basic written (but don't make an 80% score) you must then
> take the Morse exam to get on HF.

sorry you confused me with you 2 posts

I am not saying what the now current (or tomarrow current) rule

I was speculating on the future as you would seen if you had bothered
not to emove the staement from its context

quote

(2) Amateurs certified prior to April 2, 2002 will be allowed to
operate in the HF bands below 30 MHz based on the experience and
knowledge they have acquired over this period of time.

maing that if Ilived a bit futher north than I do and held a canadian
call I would have hf access now (or tomarrow rather) without having had

to make any higher score

Logicaly therefore I would expect in time This to evolve into 80 for
access now or pass the test and wait 2 years for access by experence,
or possibly for the Ca to decicide keeping track of the 2 score levels
is too much work

unquote

your tendency to do is rising to point of apealing like deliberate
lying Hans

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2757. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:26:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122672365.217468.49410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114115

KØHB wrote:
> While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they increased
> the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could learn
> something from these guys.
>
Yep

What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:

1) Pass the written with 80% or more right

2) Pass the written with less than 80% right *and* pass the Morse Code
test.

IIRC, the basic pass level is 60% (!)

Sounds a little like my old "Chinese Menu" idea.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2758. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:31:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <afxGe.6156$0C.941@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114118

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> you bust the chops of NCI and Not the
> ARRL for this, interesting

Read my comments to the FCC regarding the ARRL proposal. I did a lot more than "bust their chops". If you've a copy of the FCC NPRM, turn to page 17, paragraph 31, then look up the document rerenced at footnote 142. It's on public record at the FCC ECFS web site.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2759. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:47:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6uxGe.101$Fi1.2451603@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Paul:

I realize YOU wish to see binary banned, I realize YOU are NOT alone...

I think you can probably come up with a lot of reasons YOU think they should be banned--and are good enough, but, freedom of exchange over-rides anything you can come up with! end of story...

But, they are not good enough reasons to block the exchange of ideas, there is no "gentleman's agreement", there is a bunch of cheap-shot idiots like you attempting to push your control on the mindless.

You think if you argue long enough and hard enough you may pull the wool over the simple minded masses eyes.

This is the internet, it was founded on the idea of free, un-hindered exchange of information and data--you are best suited for the amateur bands where the common mode is to suppress freedoms through regulations--GO HOME, DO NOT DARKEN OUR DOORSTEPS!!!

You are nothing but a simple idiot we have seen before, and dealt with...

John

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:42ea9c68$0$16809$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> In <RWcGe.291$o33.5336125@news.sisna.com> "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> writes:
>
>>Paul:
>
>>google groups are simply not acceptable, wikipedia is not acceptable...
>
> You asked for links, I gave you links.
>
> I realize that it is a common practice on Usenet to criticize the
> source, and not the merits, of the ideas presented. That's why I
> summarized the arguments and invited you to consider their validity
> independent of sources and personalities. The invitation is still
> open...
>
>>... the other two simply recommend using common sense ... DUH!!!
>
> Sometimes it is necessary to repeat good common sense, even package it
> in easily understood summaries, especially in a community where even
> basic common sense is lost on some participants. Common sense can also

> serve as a useful gateway to more subtle and complicated concepts. I
> liked Professor Timo Salmi's concise article, especially the graphics in
> front of the bullet points. I even think he would be flattered that you
> find his words to be common sense. I only wish that his command of
> idiomatic and colloquial English was sharper (he's Finnish), so that his
> writing would be more approachable and familiar to the average
> Usenetter.
>
>>Sometime around the mid-late 90's myths began to float about, we live in a
>>"echo chamber" and simply because these myths come echoing back in force is
>>only proof that some where successful in generating belief in the myths, are
>>in
>>a remarkable number of people...
>
>>Attempting to limit the internet is insane... this has been and will remain a
>>more powerful medium of exchange than many can accept, big deal...
>
> Ah yes, Power To The People, and all that. Just don't forget that
> without the cooperation of many large ISP's, communications backbone
> providers, and hub server sites, what we recognize as Usenet would
> quickly collapse. Such entities are already enforcing de-facto policies
> by dropping binaries and cancelling SPAM. If the hub sites don't carry
> it, that means that their downstream sites won't get it either. Posting
> binaries in this newsgroup may subject it to powerful, and potentially
> undesirable, forces beyond your (and my) control.
>
>>Some dreamed of passing binaries back and forth here, worked and developed
>>methods--for some strange reason--others came later attempting to halt it. I
>>admit this insanity is hard to understand--I simply note it exists... I don't
>>even care what the reason are, or the arguments of the "control freaks"
>>consist
>>of...
>
> So you don't even want to listen to any arguments other than your own?
> Well, that does explain your reaction.
>
>>Google groups? NO ONE SHOULD EVEN BE USING GOOGLE GROUPS!!!!
>
> Why not? Oh, I realize that the current Beta is in many ways inferior
> to the former Google Groups. I also dislike having to crunch on its
> various bugs during the Beta rollout. But for many on the net,
> precisely because of the 300+ GB of binaries per day that are being
> thrown back and forth, their ISP's simply don't want to deal with
> Usenet, and thus Google Groups is one of their only access points to it.
> It's even free. My postgraduate alma mater, unomaha.edu, recommends
> that its students who want to read Usenet go to Google Groups, as
> news.unomaha.edu went away several years back. My employer also wasn't
> interested in running the necessary multi-Terabyte disk farm and
> dedicated T-1 or T-3 links either, and gave up newsgroups at about the
> same time.

>
> Also, where else are you going to find 23+ years of archived articles?
> I still have Usenet news, and a good newsreader (nn), through my ISP
> from home. Still, I often find myself going to Google Groups for
> archival material, advice on specific questions (avoiding the flamage
> that usually contains words other than the specific key words for which
> I am searching), as well as a cross-check on the propagation of articles
> I post locally. For this newsgroup, I find its long-term memory
> invaluable to separate the wheat from the chaff, and the experts from
> the kooks.
>
>>John
>
> --
> 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> pschleck@novia.net
> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>
>

2760. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:49:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%vxGe.103$rv1.2555998@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Paul:

I call this, "Schleck "Schlocking" the idiots."

John

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:42ea9c68$0$16809$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com...
> In <RWcGe.291$o33.5336125@news.sisna.com> "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> writes:
>
>>Paul:
>
>>google groups are simply not acceptable, wikipedia is not acceptable...
>
> You asked for links, I gave you links.
>
> I realize that it is a common practice on Usenet to criticize the
> source, and not the merits, of the ideas presented. That's why I
> summarized the arguments and invited you to consider their validity
> independent of sources and personalities. The invitation is still
> open...
>
>>... the other two simply recommend using common sense ... DUH!!!
>
> Sometimes it is necessary to repeat good common sense, even package it
> in easily understood summaries, especially in a community where even
> basic common sense is lost on some participants. Common sense can also
> serve as a useful gateway to more subtle and complicated concepts. I
> liked Professor Timo Salmi's concise article, especially the graphics in
> front of the bullet points. I even think he would be flattered that you
> find his words to be common sense. I only wish that his command of
> idiomatic and colloquial English was sharper (he's Finnish), so that his
> writing would be more approachable and familiar to the average
> Usenetter.
>
>>Sometime around the mid-late 90's myths began to float about, we live in a
>>"echo chamber" and simply because these myths come echoing back in force is
>>only proof that some where successful in generating belief in the myths, are
>>in
>>a remarkable number of people...
>
>>Attempting to limit the internet is insane... this has been and will remain a
>>more powerful medium of exchange than many can accept, big deal...

>
> Ah yes, Power To The People, and all that. Just don't forget that
> without the cooperation of many large ISP's, communications backbone
> providers, and hub server sites, what we recognize as Usenet would
> quickly collapse. Such entities are already enforcing de-facto policies
> by dropping binaries and cancelling SPAM. If the hub sites don't carry
> it, that means that their downstream sites won't get it either. Posting
> binaries in this newsgroup may subject it to powerful, and potentially
> undesirable, forces beyond your (and my) control.
>
>>Some dreamed of passing binaries back and forth here, worked and developed
>>methods--for some strange reason--others came later attempting to halt it. I
>>admit this insanity is hard to understand--I simply note it exists... I don't
>>even care what the reason are, or the arguments of the "control freaks"
>>consist
>>of...
>
> So you don't even want to listen to any arguments other than your own?
> Well, that does explain your reaction.
>
>>Google groups? NO ONE SHOULD EVEN BE USING GOOGLE GROUPS!!!!
>
> Why not? Oh, I realize that the current Beta is in many ways inferior
> to the former Google Groups. I also dislike having to crunch on its
> various bugs during the Beta rollout. But for many on the net,
> precisely because of the 300+ GB of binaries per day that are being
> thrown back and forth, their ISP's simply don't want to deal with
> Usenet, and thus Google Groups is one of their only access points to it.
> It's even free. My postgraduate alma mater, unomaha.edu, recommends
> that its students who want to read Usenet go to Google Groups, as
> news.unomaha.edu went away several years back. My employer also wasn't
> interested in running the necessary multi-Terabyte disk farm and
> dedicated T-1 or T-3 links either, and gave up newsgroups at about the
> same time.
>
> Also, where else are you going to find 23+ years of archived articles?
> I still have Usenet news, and a good newsreader (nn), through my ISP
> from home. Still, I often find myself going to Google Groups for
> archival material, advice on specific questions (avoiding the flamage
> that usually contains words other than the specific key words for which
> I am searching), as well as a cross-check on the propagation of articles
> I post locally. For this newsgroup, I find its long-term memory
> invaluable to separate the wheat from the chaff, and the experts from
> the kooks.
>
>>John
>
> --
> 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> pschleck@novia.net

> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>
>

2761. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:49:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122673776.023255.46760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114119

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > you bust the chops of NCI and Not the
> > ARRL for this, interesting

then you may revise my remarks to you bust the chops of NCI and Not the
ARRL for this, in RRAP, interesting

you might also bae in mind thaT i normaly reffereing to the groups, But
i do forget you are so picky about wording about as bad as Stevie

>
> Read my comments to the FCC regarding the ARRL proposal. I did a lot more than
> "bust their chops". If you've a copy of the FCC NPRM, turn to page 17,
> paragraph 31, then look up the document rerenced at footnote 142. It's on
> public record at the FCC ECFS web site.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2762. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:55:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <UAxGe.19001$aY6.5608@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<olivermartin15170@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122639591.888356.63940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup, the problem
>> with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
>> or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
>> unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
>> discussion group to discussion group then it alright.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
> Toad, what's a "Monerator", STUPID!?!
>\
\
"Monerator" is a rator with Mono.

"Rator" is a female rotor.

Dan/W4NTI

2763. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:55:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dBxGe.10241$dU3.9640@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114121

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> then you may revise my remarks to you bust the chops
> of NCI and Not the ARRL for this, in RRAP, interesting

An NCI Director showed up here, so I challenged him on the issue.

If an ARRL Director or NCVEC Director shows up here, I'll do likewise.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2764. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:56:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <KCxGe.19004$aY6.6847@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114122

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122613907.943846.286830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> That's between Cronkike and Baxter and isn't an FCC matter.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Sure it is Toadie, it goes to having the qualities to be a commission licensee. Oh I'm sorry, that steps on your toes, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

2765. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 21:56:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <LCxGe.19005$aY6.799@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114123

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:RuOdnY3DFq8K73TfRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1122565729.796363.323090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1122516185.794368.41700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> > Kim wrote:
>> large cut
>>> > It would be the height of irony if, in fact, the FCC dropped
>>> > Element 1 yet kept non-code-tested Techs off of HF until
>>> > they got Generals or Extras.
>>>
>>> But isn't that exactly what is proposed. Once it becomes the
>>> new regulations (i.e. no code test anymore at all) there really
>>> is no way for a code-less Tech to then become a Tech
>>> with coode since there won't be anymore code tests being
>>> administered.
>>
>> OTOH this being the govt it could be the result I hope the FCC will
>> make it clear in the report and order
>
> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear that they expect any
> Tech not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs) to take the General
> exam and upgrade to get HF privileges.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

That's probably correct. After all the FCC needs to clean out V/Uhf ASAP to
make room for all that cell phone and wi-max to occupy.

Dan/W4NTI
```

2766. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:14:30 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ok9le1p150jbs3iu9030k6atacimpqom5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 114124

On Fri, 29 Jul 2005 19:37:30 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

An interesting announcement! Here in Canada, Morse Code is now an
alternative method of qualifying for HF privileges.

In other words - Morse survived!

A couple of the other requests from the Amateur community (via the
RAC proposal) were granted as well - increasing the pass marks on the
exams to 70% from 60%, and the addition of commercial kit-building
privileges for Basic license holders.

Not bad - now there's a regulatory agency that listens. Well done,
Industry Canada!

73, Leo

>
>Per Canada Gazette notice DGRB-003-05 22 July 2005, Industry Canada has adopted
>elements of the RAC "Proposal on Morse Code and Related Matters" and has removed
>the mandatory requirement for the Morse Qualification for access to the HF bands
>below 30 MHz.
>
>
>Effective immediately, amateurs certified with BASIC Qualification prior to 2
>April 2002, and amateurs certified with both BASIC and ADVANCED Qualifications,
>may operate on HF. Amateurs with BASIC only Qualification certified after 1
>April 2002, and who achieved a pass mark of 80% or greater, will also be allowed
>to operate on HF. Amateurs certified BASIC only Qualification after 1 April 2002
>having achieved less than 80% pass mark, will either have to qualify in Morse,
>write the Advanced or re-write the Basic examination to obtain HF privileges.
>This latter requirement is related to a decision to increase the BASIC
>examination pass mark to ensure that candidates have been tested in all areas of
>the syllabus.
>
>
>For details, see the Canada Gazette notice at
>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html and the
>"Latest News" page on the RAC web site at http://www.rac.ca .
>
>--
>73, de Hans, K0HB

2767. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:24:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122675879.273627.4950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114125

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > then you may revise my remarks to you bust the chops
> > of NCI and Not the ARRL for this, in RRAP, interesting
>
> An NCI Director showed up here, so I challenged him on the issue.

and so prodose an impression prehaps different than you intend, your
choice of course, but as I said intersting, to me at any rate
>
> If an ARRL Director or NCVEC Director shows up here, I'll do likewise.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2768. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:25:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <r1yGe.117$%z1.2567305@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114130

Lloyd:

The indians used to measure their greatness, by the greatness of their enemies.
(true story)

You force me to be tempted to measure mine by the "greatness of the number
choosing to killfile me", but then, a bunch of killfiling idiots ain't so
great... <frown>

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:87sas017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 28 Jul 2005 15:24:47 -0700, John Smith <assemblyfool@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>> Paul:
>>
>> google groups are simply not acceptable, wikipedia is not acceptable...
>>
>> ... the other two simply recommend using common sense ... DUH!!!
>> ***STOMP***
>
> this guy is just a troll so dont pay attention to what he says Paul.
> if he really thinks he knows more than all those use net autorities,
> he is the fool he calls other people. but he is a troll and he is a
> bad one. he should be kill filed which is what I have just done to
> his sacramento ass. I will pray for his hellbound soul anyway becuase
> I think thats what Jesus would do.

2769. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:25:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122675955.867691.60910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114126

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122613907.943846.286830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > That's between Cronkike and Baxter and isn't an FCC matter.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Sure it is Toadie, it goes to having the qualities to be a commission
> licensee. Oh I'm sorry, that steps on your toes, eh?

an old dispute has bearing gee must be that secert set of rules that
sem to exist

It is old news anyway
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2770. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:35:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122676521.832012.9840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114127

>Sure it is Toadie, it goes to having the qualities to be a commission
>licensee. Oh I'm sorry, that steps on your toes, eh?

A old dispute between two men isn't an FCC matter, it's a personal
dispute between them and their lawyers. I know there is a petition out
there which was sent to the FCC which brings up this point and I hope
the FCC throws it out.

2771. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:48:06 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <7MednZZEwJgHLHffRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> The rules to Newsgroups vary from newsgroup to newsgroup, the problem
> with this group (rec.radio.amateur.policy) is that it'snot monerated,
> or it is and the monerator isn't doing there job.
> unless it is a discussion group rule, again the rules vary from
> discussion group to discussion group then it alright.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> Monerator
> Amateur-Radio-Experimentation
>
> Discussion Group
>

'snot monerated'...sounds pretty slick to me. Your really are a stupid
twit, toad.

2772. Re: So Much For "Digital Is Better"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:52:39 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <7MednZFEwJg3L3ffRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114132

K4YZ wrote:

.

> Lennie belittles Amateurs for "saving the radio world", yet at
> every opportunity we're lambasted with yet another round of how a
> MILITARY systems is the "know all-end all" of radio communication....

Lennieboy, while in the military, once walked by an Army radio van and
he thinks that made him an expert on communication.

2773. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:55:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <s6KdnZ2dnZ2r38yInZ2dnfQud9-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114133

Kï¿½HB wrote:
.>
> I think Fred is still a Director at NCI, and even more scary, has influence on
> the makeup of examinations through NCVEC and his association with W5YI-VEC.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

I knew W5YI personally, he belonged to the same radio club I belonged
to. I wouldn't give him the time of day. They guy is a joke.

2774. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:56:04 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <94ce4$42eab3f4$4e0b130$8706@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 114135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:dovGe.73$%m.1972256@news.sisna.com...

snipped

>... that is what ham radio has become, it has no place here on the
>internet...
>
> John

John,
Since you don't use a callsign, I believe you are not a licensed amateur radio operator.
I wonder why so many unlicensed people (mostly CB'ers) post their opinions in Amateur Radio groups?

I believe that most licensed amateur radio operators, don't care what the opinions of unlicensed people are about amateur radio. I know I don't care.

And as for the trolls who say "I don't use my callsign on the internet because of privacy concerns", that's just another way of saying that they are unlicensed CB'ers without a callsign.

The internet is big enough for all people to post their opinions. I am sure there are many news groups dedicated to CB'ers. They should use them instead of Amateur Radio groups.
Ace - WH2T

2775. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 22:56:55 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <s6KdnZ2dnZ2q38yInZ2dnTcud9-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Smith wrote:
> N9OGL:
>
>
>
> Only reason I don't send binaries, pics etc in moderation here, no one
> intelligent to uudecode them (also, there has just not been a need), that is
> obvious and really what the center of most problems we are speaking of--control
> freaks managing to having halted progress...

Go ahead, try it.

2776. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:00:29 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <s6KdnZ2dnZ2l38yInZ2dnR0pd9-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114136

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> I think I see one of your difficulties, Mark. You post gibberish which
> others cannot understand and others post in properly (for the most part)
> English which you are not able to understand.

And mark the idiot, thinks it is everyone else's' fault.

2777. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:01:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122678068.343444.160780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114137

From: K□B on Jul 29, 12:46 pm

>"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
>
>The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For the
>simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)

Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]

You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.

On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
or even Hellschreiber.

Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
"the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)

dit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2778. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:01:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qzyGe.129$wo1.2506866@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr. Ace:

Why would you go though that whole spiel, then turn around and give me your opinion. I question whether you even bother to pay attention to yourself. Or, whether you have the mental horsepower to command attention at all?

Obviously you are correct and your opinion is worthless, and insane exuberance and energy drove you to the effort of posting it--most likely, however, we are in agreement.

Now, back to your tea leaves and psychic predictions...

John

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:94ce4$42eab3f4$4e0b130$8706@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:dovGe.73$%m.1972256@news.sisna.com...
>
> snipped
>
>>... that is what ham radio has become, it has no place here on the
>>internet...
>>
>> John
>
> John,
> Since you don't use a callsign, I believe you are not a licensed amateur
> radio operator.
> I wonder why so many unlicensed people (mostly CB'ers) post their opinions in
> Amateur Radio groups?
>
> I believe that most licensed amateur radio operators, don't care what the
> opinions of unlicensed people are about amateur radio. I know I don't care.
>
> And as for the trolls who say "I don't use my callsign on the internet
> because of privacy concerns", that's just another way of saying that they are
> unlicensed CB'ers without a callsign.
>
> The internet is big enough for all people to post their opinions. I am sure
> there are many news groups dedicated to CB'ers. They should use them instead
> of Amateur Radio groups.
> Ace - WH2T
>

2779. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:03:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122678208.980053.241870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114139

From: K☐B on Jul 29, 12:31 pm

>"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> Fred and Bill and I are all free to have opinions on other subjects
>
>Fred and Bill are Directors, and presumably represent all of us members.

Have they SAID so, in public? I don't think so. It IS implicit
that any "directors" DO represent the membership, though.

Has the ARRL said it "represents all radio amateurs?" You betcha
and for years. That's "okay" but a small special-interest group
is in ten kinds of hot water, ain't it? :-)

>When Fred, then Executive Director of NCI, makes a speech proposing reduction of
>the technical content of the entry examination, that implies something about the
>position of the organization which he leads.

Tsk, you've messed up present and past tenses. Are you tense?

I think that regular readers are fully aware of your personal
dislike of Fred Maia. You need not repeat yourself too much.

>When the Board of Directors does not issue a disclaimer after that speech,
>observers (including the FCC) are likely presume that the statement is more than
>just the personal opinion of W5YI.

I love it when the ARRL is somehow sacrosact and NO ONE dare
question THEIR board of directors...yet a small special-interest
group is suddenly ACCUSED of implied perfidy!

>That presumption is reinforced when the same Board of Directors later
>enthusiastically supports free upgrades for nearly two-thirds of all licensees
>without answering a single test question pertaining to their new license class.

Tsk, tsk. If the FCC wished, they could eliminate ALL testing
and licensing of U.S. radio amateurs...or not...all without the
permission of K stroke-O H B! Really...

>K2UNK can express his personal opinion (and I believe him), but the actions of
>NCI leadership are at direct odds with his personal disclaimers. You and I as
>individual NCI members can freely express opposing views, but those individual
>views carry far less weight than the Board of Directors who express "official
>policy".

Hot damn...perfect SPIN by a manager on management!!!

Priceless! :-)

That's straight out of Manager's Charm School coursebook.

Good luck on continued harrassment of Mark. It becomes you
and all the other wonderful amateur extras in here. Show
everybody who is BOSS!

>73, de Hans, K0HB
>Member, ARRL, NCI, FISTS, VWOA, SOC, A1-Op, MWA, TCDXA, etc

Sunnuvagun...lookit all dem memberships! :-)

Tsk. All I can claim is support of the NCI endeavors as a
member...and as a citizen of the United States and as a
veteran of Army service...IN radio communications there.
Obviously must not be enough for this holy group. :-(

dit bit
LenAndeson@ieee.org

2780. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:03:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sByGe.130$EO1.2582636@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

commander:

LOL!

You tempt me, just dont' have anything logic would demand I post... :(

I got a pic here of me in a red speedo on the Santa Cruz Boardwalk, strange, I thought it would be more popular with the women--it wasn't. <frown>

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message news:s6KdnZ2dnZ2q38yInZ2dnTcud9-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N9OGL:
>>
>>
>> Only reason I don't send binaries, pics etc in moderation here, no one
>> intelligent to uudecode them (also, there has just not been a need), that is
>> obvious and really what the center of most problems we are speaking
>> of--control freaks managing to having halted progress...
>
>
> Go ahead, try it.

2781. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:05:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tDyGe.133$go2.2633873@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114141

commander bath tub fleet:

Most likely, I'd like the guy...

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:s6KdnZ2dnZ2r38yInZ2dnfQud9-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> .>
>> I think Fred is still a Director at NCI, and even more scary, has influence
>> on the makeup of examinations through NCVEC and his association with
>> W5YI-VEC.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> I knew W5YI personally, he belonged to the same radio club I belonged to. I
> wouldn't give him the time of day. They guy is a joke.

2782. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:07:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122678473.283499.304360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114142

From: Dave Heil on Jul 29, 12:41 pm

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:

>> You lie
>> you cheat
>> you comit public fraud
>> you stalk
>> you harrass
>> you threaten
>> you libel
>> you forge
>
>You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.

Ah, Raddio Kopp Court is now in session, dishonorable Judge Heil
presiding! Oyez, oyez.

Other than "committing public fraud" (somewhat questionable)
I'd say that Mark's seven statements are CORRECT. The proof
is in Google archives.

But, Gruppenfuhrer (excuse me, JUDGE) Heil LIKES to pick on
those he perceives "weak" and unmercifully berate them in
anywhichway he can. Only two such postings berating Mark
this morning? Tsk, Judge (mental) Heil is falling down...

>> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
>
>I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.

Tsk. So far, you've not done very good at all on that!

Tsk, tsk. I think "more of youse" ought to take some
responsibility for another PCTA extra's "actions" in
here. At least take up a collection to buy him some
downers...and make sure he TAKES them...

What was that FCC phrase about amateur radio..."a
natural propensity towards international good will?"
Golly gosh and gee, shouldn't all these royal PCTA

extras push a little of that "good will" domestically?

I guess not. Their version of amateur radio is coming
to a nasty, inevitable END. They are just so SURLY!

Have a nice Oriongasm.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2783. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:09:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122678575.057311.22670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114144

From: Dave Heil on Jul 29, 12:36 pm

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:

>Your attempt at a sentence is gibberish, Mark.

No problem...Gruppenfuhrer Heil's attempts at "discussion"
turn into personal insults against all who reply to him
(with the exception of PCTA extras, that is).

>There are times when you write a nearly-comprehensible thought. Those
>times are rare.

There are very few times when Herr Gruppenfuhrer Heil's
"replies" are anything other than personal insults.

>You still have not answered the questions about what you meant to
>convey. Perhaps you thought you did so. You were wrong.

Oh, oh...self-promotion to a JUDGE of ALL.

"Heah come de judge, heah come de judge!"

>No, Mark, it is still *your* problem. You aren't able to communicate
>with others by use of the written word.

Tsk. Fearless Leader speaks!

Can we understand, your holiness, that all MUST make fun of
those with dyslexia? Apparantly that is the RULE in here.
[or is it RILE instead?]

>1. You write gibberish. That is not a lie.

You've written personal insults to people who disagree with
you. That is not a lie.

>2. You were questioned about what you wrote and what it was supposed to
> mean. That is not a lie.

You've been questioned about what you wrote and what it was
supposed to mean...you've only responded with personal insults
to those who have disagreed with you. That is not a lie.

>3. You write crap which others can't understand and you've claimed
> "abuse" when someone tells you so. That is not a lie.

So? You write CRAP (merde, shit, whatever) which only PCTA
extras can understand and claimed that NCTAs "abuse" you,
therefore "justifying" your personal insults against them.
That is not a lie.

Have fun making fun at others' expense, Herr Gruppenfuhrer.
That is your nature, apparently.

You are a God of Radio and JUDGE over all others who don't
agree with you. Charming behavior. Not.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2784. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:09:53 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <27939$42eab731$4e0b130$8788@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 114143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John,
I am not sure what you are trying to communicate in your last post.
I think I was rather clear in mine.
As you can see, I have a callsign.
Ace- WH2T

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:qzyGe.129$wo1.2506866@news.sisna.com...
> Dr. Ace:
>
> Why would you go though that whole spiel, then turn around and give me
> your opinion. I question whether you even bother to pay attention to
> yourself. Or, whether you have the mental horsepower to command attention
> at all?
>
> Obviously you are correct and your opinion is worthless, and insane
> exuberance and energy drove you to the effort of posting it--most likely,
> however, we are in agreement.
>
> Now, back to your tea leaves and psychic predictions...
>
> John
>
> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
> news:94ce4$42eab3f4$4e0b130$8706@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:dovGe.73$%m.1972256@news.sisna.com...
>>
>> snipped
>>
>>>... that is what ham radio has become, it has no place here on the
>>>internet...
>>>
>>> John
>>
>> John,
>> Since you don't use a callsign, I believe you are not a licensed amateur
>> radio operator.
>> I wonder why so many unlicensed people (mostly CB'ers) post their
>> opinions in Amateur Radio groups?
>>
>> I believe that most licensed amateur radio operators, don't care what the

>> opinions of unlicensed people are about amateur radio. I know I don't
>> care.
>>
>> And as for the trolls who say "I don't use my callsign on the internet
>> because of privacy concerns", that's just another way of saying that they
>> are unlicensed CB'ers without a callsign.
>>
>> The internet is big enough for all people to post their opinions. I am
>> sure there are many news groups dedicated to CB'ers. They should use them
>> instead of Amateur Radio groups.
>> Ace - WH2T
>>
>
>

2785. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:10:05 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <dced0dbpv1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 114145

"K�HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> Logicaly therefore I would expect in time This to evolve into 80 for
>> access now or pass the test and wait 2 years for access by experence,
>
> Nope, if you pass the Basic written (but don't make an 80% score) you must then
> take the Morse exam to get on HF.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
Part of me is thinking that there was a reduction in the passing mark
at some point, and this just addresses it. I've not paid attention, and
the documents issued in 1990 after the restructuring says nothing about
the passing mark. But though I've not paid full attention, it is
something I have a vague feeling might have happened. If there
was such a reduction, then obviously that date is when it happened,
and this new bit just acknowledges that a higher pass mark is
deemed necessary for HF.

Or perhaps it's just simply in grandfathering people, they decided
that it wouldn't be as automatic as some might have wanted.

Micahel VE2BVW

2786. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:17:01 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <dceddd$bu6$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 114146

(N2EY@AOL.COM) writes:
> K=D8HB wrote:
>> While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they incre=
> ased
>> the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could l=
> earn
>> something from these guys.
>>
> Yep
>
> What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:
>
> 1) Pass the written with 80% or more right
>
> 2) Pass the written with less than 80% right *and* pass the Morse Code
> test.
>
> IIRC, the basic pass level is 60% (!)
>
> Sounds a little like my old "Chinese Menu" idea.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Well, according to the bulletin, the passing mark is no 70%.

But your're right about the menu. When they restructured back in
1990, some people interpreted it as a coherent number of steps,
with the Basic license at one and and the advanced with code
at the other. But it was (and still is) more of a mix and match
setup. People could pass the Basic and Advanced tests without
needing to pass a code test. Of course, that meant no HF privileges.
Pass a code test, and you got some HF; pass the faster code test
and you got full HF privileges. Code determined whether or not
you could operate at HF.

A few years back, I think it was 2000, they dropped the faster
code test.

Michael VE2BVW

2787. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:22:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NSyGe.135$JM2.2722849@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr. Pepper:

Well, yes, you have a call sign in there, again, we are in agreement...

I am sure the others got the meaning of my post, makes me doubt you deserve the "Dr." in front of you name...

John

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message news:27939$42eab731$4e0b130$8788@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> John,
> I am not sure what you are trying to communicate in your last post.
> I think I was rather clear in mine.
> As you can see, I have a callsign.
> Ace- WH2T
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qzyGe.129$wo1.2506866@news.sisna.com...
>> Dr. Ace:
>>
>> Why would you go though that whole spiel, then turn around and give me your
>> opinion. I question whether you even bother to pay attention to yourself.
>> Or, whether you have the mental horsepower to command attention at all?
>>
>> Obviously you are correct and your opinion is worthless, and insane
>> exuberance and energy drove you to the effort of posting it--most likely,
>> however, we are in agreement.
>>
>> Now, back to your tea leaves and psychic predictions...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
>> news:94ce4$42eab3f4$4e0b130$8706@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:dovGe.73$%m.1972256@news.sisna.com...
>>>
>>> snipped
>>>
>>>>... that is what ham radio has become, it has no place here on the
>>>>internet...

>>>>
>>>> John
>>>
>>> John,
>>> Since you don't use a callsign, I believe you are not a licensed amateur
>>> radio operator.
>>> I wonder why so many unlicensed people (mostly CB'ers) post their opinions
>>> in Amateur Radio groups?
>>>
>>> I believe that most licensed amateur radio operators, don't care what the
>>> opinions of unlicensed people are about amateur radio. I know I don't care.
>>>
>>> And as for the trolls who say "I don't use my callsign on the internet
>>> because of privacy concerns", that's just another way of saying that they
>>> are unlicensed CB'ers without a callsign.
>>>
>>> The internet is big enough for all people to post their opinions. I am sure
>>> there are many news groups dedicated to CB'ers. They should use them
>>> instead of Amateur Radio groups.
>>> Ace - WH2T
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2788. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:24:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gVyGe.136$sE2.2662421@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114147

commander:

Let us, just for the sake of argument, that mark was in line for an "Idiot Award" (proving he has a high dislike for politics), you would be runner up--indeed, that race for a winner could easily end up in a tie!

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message news:s6KdnZ2dnZ2l38yInZ2dnR0pd9-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>
>> I think I see one of your difficulties, Mark. You post gibberish which
>> others cannot understand and others post in properly (for the most part)
>> English which you are not able to understand.
>
>
> And mark the idiot, thinks it is everyone else's' fault.

2789. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:26:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_WyGe.10292$dU3.9377@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114148

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:

Another way of looking at it is.

1) They've raised the passing score on the written exam to 70%.

2) With that passing score, and a 5WPM Morse exam you gain access to HF.

3) If you pass with a score of 80% or greater, the Morse exam is waived for HF.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2790. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:36:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <i4zGe.6866$Uk3.1623@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114149

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> I think that regular readers are fully aware
> of your personal dislike of Fred Maia.

I've never met the guy, so it'd be pretty far fetched to suggest have a "personal dislike" for him.

I certainly, however, disagree with a lot of his philosophy.

Same applies to you. My guess, from what I see here, is that you're a humorous guy, good conversationalist, and that we'd find much in common. But having never met you, I can't pretend a "personal like" or a "personal dislike" for you. I certainly, however, disagree with much of the philosophy you express here.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2791. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-29 23:42:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <J9zGe.145$fP2.2768053@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114200

KXHB:

Good to remind everyone of this...

After this rather "heated discussion" drinks and dinner will be served in the dining room--and everyone will be given the opportunity to shake hands... girly-men will be denied access! <grin>

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:i4zGe.6866$Uk3.1623@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> I think that regular readers are fully aware
>> of your personal dislike of Fred Maia.
>
> I've never met the guy, so it'd be pretty far fetched to suggest have a
> "personal dislike" for him.
>
> I certainly, however, disagree with a lot of his philosophy.
>
> Same applies to you. My guess, from what I see here, is that you're a
> humorous guy, good conversationalist, and that we'd find much in common. But
> having never met you, I can't pretend a "personal like" or a "personal
> dislike" for you. I certainly, however, disagree with much of the
> philosophy you express here.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

2792. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 00:40:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <y0AGe.148$rm3.2963550@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd LeadBottom:

I isn't joinin' that ther leetle club of your'in, the "LeadBottom Trolls."

Don't even offer me a troll license and I can do "Troll CW" faster than 1wmpm anyway!

Calling everyone else a troll in an attempt to draw attention away from yourself is a pretty crafty trick--for an old troll like you...

Go home....

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message news:87090217833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>I had him kill filed until you started doing long quotes. so I had to
> add sisna.com to my kill file so that if it is the references any
> where it will be killed. 'john smith' is a troll and shold be ignored
> so he doesnt get the attention he wants. it is like what Jesus said
> to the Genesees that time about Gehenna and loose words.
>
> On Fri, 29 Jul 2005 18:09:53 -0500, Dr.Ace - WH2T <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote:
>> John,
>> I am not sure what you are trying to communicate in your last post.
>> I think I was rather clear in mine.
>> As you can see, I have a callsign.
>> Ace- WH2T

2793. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 00:47:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122684447.439330.206620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114202

From: "b.b." on Fri 29 Jul 2005 03:06

>K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > b.b. wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:

>> > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
>> > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
>> > > > won't.
>> > >
>> > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
>> > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
>> > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
>> > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
>> > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
>> >
>> > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
>>
>> Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
>
>Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.

Brian, it doesn't matter WHAT Stebie is shown, presented,
given links to, or gift wrapped as a birthday present. He
will STILL call it a "lie" and continue on the personal-
insult vendetta of his own.

His newsgroup skin is microns thick and emotional pain
threshold is so low it may be off medical charts. ANYTHING
in disagreement with his statements and he is immediately
in a FIGHT mood, insulting and demeaning those who disagree
with him. Rather remarkable in his volatility.

>> > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
>>
>> None of those substantiated by reality either.
>
>Not the "reality" that you dwell in.

He still hasn't understood that. He's either nuts or getting
there faster than he realizes...

Perhaps he just feigns all that "outrage" in order to call
attention to himself? That's a possibility but unfortunately

just as sick.

>> > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
>>
>> I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
>> Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
>> about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
>
>You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.

There IS some psychological syndrome like that, but dwelling
on his psychosis only results in more and more ANGER of his.

And this posting I make will just inflame him more...tsk, tsk.

Well, that's how it goes...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2794. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 00:49:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122684588.579455.165820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114203

From: "K0HB" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 21:55

>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> then you may revise my remarks to you bust the chops
>> of NCI and Not the ARRL for this, in RRAP, interesting
>
>An NCI Director showed up here, so I challenged him on the issue.

THREE NCI Directors have shown up in here. You've challenged
all three. <shrug>

>If an ARRL Director or NCVEC Director shows up here, I'll do likewise.

Jim Haynie showed up in here. Did you challenge HIM? I
don't recall if you did or not...seems like you didn't.
We had a short chat in public, a longer one in private
e-mail.

There's a terrific amount of gratuitous bravado displayed
by all those saying what they WOULD do. Not a great deal
of evidence that those with bravado actually DO that...

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2795. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 00:53:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122684804.539282.71660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114204

From: John Smith on Jul 29, 1:12 pm

>an_old_friend:

>Perhaps spread spectrum is used by spies, who knows, or sat links--we will
>always catch only the poorest of spies--undoubtedly they DO NOT represent the
>"good ones."
>
>But, most radio is a poor vector for spies, the internet is a much more viable
>medium...

Ahem...those "number stations" on HF aren't for sports scores or
lottery numbers... :-)

>A true "random number generator" has escaped being ever realized in a practical
>form.

Untrue, John. PRSG (Pseudo-Random Sequence Generators) have been
in common use in both communications and instrumentation for about
three decades now. Using just 9 standard digital logic packages
with a 10 MHz clock, the PRSG I built for instrumentation would
not repeat until 913 years had passed. Reference: Electronics
Designer's Casebook Number 3, a collection of Electronics
magazine articles published between February '78 and January '79.
I was the author of that. "Electronics" magazine was a bi-weekly
industry/subscription periodical published by McGraw-Hill; McGraw
Hill morphed it into four separate monthlies.

>The "random noise" from the background radiation of the universe comes
>very, very close.

No, does NOT "come close," that IS the definition of random.

>In computing, if a very high quality "random number generator" is needed, it
>will always be outboard (white noise generator.) No computer algorithm ever
>developed is able to generate REAL random numbers. Success is only measured in
>how close they can come to the ideal...

Sigh. PERIODICITY is at question? I would say that a repeat
period of a century or more is pretty dang good. Periodicity
greater than that is found in the DES and some of the algorithms
at the NSA.

SOME finite periodicity is NECESSARY to set code keys and thus
enabling a decode to start to prepare to get ready to begin.
That just cannot be done with true random noise thingies.

In some of the Swiss (formerly Swedish) Crypto AG products, a true natural random noise source generates the random key patterns for both encryption and decryption sequences. A problem there is that the decrypt sequence MUST be identical to the encrypt sequence and that decrypt sequence transported to a recipient. With the DES and similar PRSG-driven sequences, the decrypt key is NOT required to be sent separately...all that is required is to set the sequence at some pre-determined state (the "code key" enters that) and this aligns the sequence with the received sequence...a sync is possible and decrypt can proceed.

As to "simple algorithm" periodicity, a 913 year pattern repeat at a 10 MHz clock is quite long. That was achieved with a 2^33-1 sequence bit pattern Exclusive-ORed with a 2^25-1 bit pattern. Each of the individual PRSGs had NO common factors in periodicity so they Ex-ORed to a pattern of 2.88 x 10^17 clock periods. That could be implemented on any PC (I did that just for funzies) with a 2 GHz clock and sequence faster than the hardware version clock at 10 MHz. So that one repeated about every 100 years...

>... the "noise" from a large number of typists keyboards might be close enough,
>although not perfectly random, for some applications...

The humans-replacing-monkeys (at the KGB) was taken from David Kahn's "The Codebreakers" and was a quote from someone else.

Today, at this "late" hour of 5:15 PM PDT, thousands of WLANs are very busy within RF range of one another, NOT interfering with each other thanks to some clever and longer PRSGs. All those WLANs can also synchronize with one another should they have to start from power-down condition.

Add to that the garage door openers which have a very short data burst on an RF carrier...add millions more in remote keyless entry automobile "watch fob" transmitters. Their pattern security is so good that they CAN and HAVE replaced mechanical counterparts in any environment. [yes, the auto fob transmitters have keys attached as a security in case the car battery goes kafooey...and for the mental/emotional security of the numbnuts conservatives who don't trust those new-fangled digital gizmos]

For pseudo-random number generation in theory, along with tests on things therein being random, there are 155 pages worth of good stuff in Donald E. Knuth's "The Art of Computer Programming," Chapter 3, Volume 2. I have the three-volume set and will entertain any offers of purchase (plus shipping costs)...provided I can trust the buyer (this group doesn't ensure my trust much...).

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2796. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:02:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122685371.208678.173700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114205

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K□B on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
>
>
> >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
> >
> >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For the
> >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
>
> Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
> website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
>
> You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
> NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
> communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
> what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
>
> On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
> TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
> or even Hellschreiber.

and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
ilgeal code
>
> Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
> to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
> Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
> "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
> would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)

indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
threads to Hans

a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31

When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set

much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
know)and the letter set

One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
ARS the very specail mode/letter set
>
> dit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2797. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:07:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122685629.059178.176280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114206

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 21:55
>
>
> >"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> >> then you may revise my remarks to you bust the chops
> >> of NCI and Not the ARRL for this, in RRAP, interesting
> >
> >An NCI Director showed up here, so I challenged him on the issue.
>
> THREE NCI Directors have shown up in here. You've challenged
> all three. <shrug>
>
> >If an ARRL Director or NCVEC Director shows up here, I'll do likewise.
>
> Jim Haynie showed up in here. Did you challenge HIM? I
> don't recall if you did or not...seems like you didn't.
> We had a short chat in public, a longer one in private
> e-mail.

I reconize the Name Jim Haynie, but that is all who is he exactly

Having let my membership lapse years ago
>
> There's a terrific amount of gratuitous bravado displayed
> by all those saying what they WOULD do. Not a great deal
> of evidence that those with bravado actually DO that...
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2798. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:08:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gqAGe.149$we3.2878573@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114230

Len:

10mhz?
My gawd man, I can walk that fast!

I stand behind what I said, no perfect random number generators exist, if you need a really good one--it can't be done with computer algorithms (but, the one doing our lottery is a really piss poor one--probably worse than your "no repeats for 913 year one @ 10mhz" even... <grin>

It most EXACTLY becomes a question of, "How good of a random number generator do you need?"

I grant you, most apps do not need that good of one, games of chance in reno/las vegas are ran off damn poor ones...

Also, if you read about egg at princeton.edu, you will see that computer random number generators are really a bad idea, the human mind can influence results... on some quantum-metaphysical level it seems the mind has powers which we have only guessed about in fairy tales... I leave that to your further research however...

Remember Len, we really do agree on most though... or, close enough...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122684804.539282.71660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 29, 1:12 pm
>
>>an_old_friend:
>
>
>>Perhaps spread spectrum is used by spies, who knows, or sat links--we will
>>always catch only the poorest of spies--undoubtedly they DO NOT represent the
>>"good ones."
>>
>>But, most radio is a poor vector for spies, the internet is a much more
>>viable
>>medium...
>
> Ahem...those "number stations" on HF aren't for sports scores or
> lottery numbers... :-)
>
>>A true "random number generator" has escaped being ever realized in a
>>practical
>>form.

>
> Untrue, John. PRSG (Pseudo-Random Sequence Generators) have been
> in common use in both communications and instrumentation for about
> three decades now. Using just 9 standard digital logic packages
> with a 10 MHz clock, the PRSG I built for instrumentation would
> not repeat until 913 years had passed. Reference: Electronics
> Designer's Casebook Number 3, a collection of Electronics
> magazine articles published between February '78 and January '79.
> I was the author of that. "Electronics" magazine was a bi-weekly
> industry/subscription periodical published by McGraw-Hill; McGraw
> Hill morphed it into four separate monthlies.
>
>>The "random noise" from the background radiation of the universe comes
>>very, very close.
>
> No, does NOT "come close," that IS the definition of random.
>
>>In computing, if a very high quality "random number generator" is needed, it
>>will always be outboard (white noise generator.) No computer algorithm ever
>>developed is able to generate REAL random numbers. Success is only measured
>>in
>>how close they can come to the ideal...
>
> Sigh. PERIODICITY is at question? I would say that a repeat
> period of a century or more is pretty dang good. Periodicity
> greater than that is found in the DES and some of the algorithms
> at the NSA.
>
> SOME finite periodicity is NECESSARY to set code keys and thus
> enabling a decode to start to prepare to get ready to begin.
> That just cannot be done with true random noise thingies.
>
> In some of the Swiss (formerly Swedish) Crypto AG products, a
> true natural random noise source generates the random key
> patterns for both encryption and decryption sequences. A
> problem there is that the decrypt sequence MUST be identical
> to the encrypt sequence and that decrypt sequence transported
> to a recipient. With the DES and similar PRSG-driven
> sequences, the decrypt key is NOT required to be sent
> separately...all that is required is to set the sequence at
> some pre-determined state (the "code key" enters that) and
> this aligns the sequence with the received sequence...a sync
> is possible and decrypt can proceed.
>
> As to "simple algorithm" periodicity, a 913 year pattern
> repeat at a 10 MHz clock is quite long. That was achieved
> with a 2^33-1 sequence bit pattern Exclusive-ORed with a
> 2^25-1 bit pattern. Each of the individual PRSGs had NO
> common factors in periodicity so they Ex-ORed to a pattern
> of 2.88 x 10^17 clock periods. That could be implemented

> on any PC (I did that just for funzies) with a 2 GHz clock
> and sequence faster than the hardware version clock at
> 10 MHz. So that one repeated about every 100 years...
>
>>... the "noise" from a large number of typists keyboards might be close
>>enough,
>>although not perfectly random, for some applications...
>
> The humans-replacing-monkeys (at the KGB) was taken from
> David Kahn's "The Codebreakers" and was a quote from
> someone else.
>
> Today, at this "late" hour of 5:15 PM PDT, thousands of
> WLANs are very busy within RF range of one another, NOT
> interfering with each other thanks to some clever and
> longer PRSGs. All those WLANs can also synchronize with
> one another should they have to start from power-down
> condition.
>
> Add to that the garage door openers which have a very short
> data burst on an RF carrier...add millions more in remote
> keyless entry automobile "watch fob" transmitters. Their
> pattern security is so good that they CAN and HAVE replaced
> mechanical counterparts in any environment. [yes, the auto
> fob transmitters have keys attached as a security in case
> the car battery goes kafooey...and for the mental/emotional
> security of the numbnuts conservatives who don't trust those
> new-fangled digital gizmos]
>
> For pseudo-random number generation in theory, along with
> tests on things therein being random, there are 155 pages
> worth of good stuff in Donald E. Knuth's "The Art of
> Computer Programming," Chapter 3, Volume 2. I have the
> three-volume set and will entertain any offers of
> purchase (plus shipping costs)...provided I can trust
> the buyer (this group doesn't ensure my trust much...).
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2799. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:14:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122686089.112168.207860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114207

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
>
large cut

> >>If you write gibberish and then refuse to explain it, you can't claim
> >>abuse (though I get the idea that a fellow such as yourself, a guy with
> >>masochistic leanings, might enjoy that).
> >
> >
> > wrong I certianly can and do as you note so I guess we can chalk that
> > one up in the Lie catagory
>
> 1. You write gibberish. That is not a lie.

which has no relationship to my reply

> 2. You were questioned about what you wrote and what it was supposed to
>
> mean. That is not a lie.

and none their either

> 3. You write crap which others can't understand and you've claimed
>
> "abuse" when someone tells you so. That is not a lie.

I did not say that either

I said I could claim abuse if I liked, I did not in fact make any such
claim

You lied when you siad I could not claim abuse. I can certainly claim
abuse anytime I wish, any statement by you or anyone else is a lie

I count 4-6 replies (today) where you go on and on about your inabilty
to read my stuff,

then do yourself a kindness and don't try

If it is realy beyond your ken then don't bother. I'll not be offended
in the least

OTOH just becuase you ask me something doesn't mean I have to answer at
all or in the manner you choose

Your effort to try and "make" me are clearly abusive
>
>
> Dave K8MN

2800. Re: the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:16:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122686185.012339.269430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114208

John Smith wrote:
> commander:
>
> Let us, just for the sake of argument, that mark was in line for an "Idiot
> Award" (proving he has a high dislike for politics), you would be runner
> up--indeed, that race for a winner could easily end up in a tie!

I don't I qualify your idiot award having worked a state politcal
office of the Log Cabin Republicans I think I am barred from any
"award" involving a dislike of politics
>
> John
>
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:s6KdnZ2dnZ2l38yInZ2dnR0pd9-dnZ2dRVn-y52dnZ0@gbronline.com...
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> I think I see one of your difficulties, Mark. You post gibberish which
> >> others cannot understand and others post in properly (for the most part)
> >> English which you are not able to understand.
> >
> >
> > And mark the idiot, thinks it is everyone else's' fault.

2801. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:17:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FyAGe.152$_j3.2911276@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114210

an_old_friend:

Really, deep inside, I am a decent human being, or would like to think I am.

Your text has degraded here old friend, if you live alone, time to see a doctor
and get a check-up, you may have suffered a light stroke...

I am not being flippant about this, and all joking has been set aside, but I
really do get a sense something is wrong, and fear for your health...

You may ignore my advice if you choose, but I have a real concern...

If I had just sat here without mentioning this, and something undesirable would
happen to you, it would truly cause me great grief to know, so no need to flame
me back. I really have considered my own mental health in all this too...

Warmest regards,
John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122685371.208678.173700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K?B on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
>
>
> >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
> >
> >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For the
> >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
>
> Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
> website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
>
> You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
> NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
> communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
> what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
>
> On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
> TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
> or even Hellschreiber.

and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character

different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an ilgeal code
>
> Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
> to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
> Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
> "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
> would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)

indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other threads to Hans

a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31

When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set

much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I know)and the letter set

One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh ARS the very specail mode/letter set
>
> dit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2802. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:18:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122686323.710367.22790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114209

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Jul 29, 12:41 pm
>
>
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> You lie
> >> you cheat
> >> you comit public fraud
> >> you stalk
> >> you harrass
> >> you threaten
> >> you libel
> >> you forge
> >>
> >You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.
>
> Ah, Raddio Kopp Court is now in session, dishonorable Judge Heil
> presiding! Oyez, oyez.
>
> Other than "committing public fraud" (somewhat questionable)
> I'd say that Mark's seven statements are CORRECT. The proof
> is in Google archives.

beging the indulance of the court the Public fraud charge come his
distorting of quote and presenting altered posting as "evidence"

>
> But, Gruppenfuhrer (excuse me, JUDGE) Heil LIKES to pick on
> those he perceives "weak" and unmercifully berate them in
> anywhichway he can. Only two such postings berating Mark
> this morning? Tsk, Judge (mental) Heil is falling down...
>
> >> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
> >>
> >I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.
>
> Tsk. So far, you've not done very good at all on that!

>
> Tsk, tsk. I think "more of youse" ought to take some
> responsibility for another PCTA extra's "actions" in
> here. At least take up a collection to buy him some
> downers...and make sure he TAKES them...
>
> What was that FCC phrase about amateur radio..."a
> natural propensity towards international good will?"
> Golly gosh and gee, shouldn't all these royal PCTA
> extras push a little of that "good will" domestically?
>
> I guess not. Their version of amateur radio is coming
> to a nasty, inevitable END. They are just so SURLY!
>
> Have a nice Oriongasm.
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2803. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 01:45:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114211

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
> >> that they expect any Tech
> >> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
> >> to take the General exam and
> >> upgrade to get HF privileges.
> >
> > Interesting - in many ways!
> >
> > For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
> > Technicians - those with HF and those without.

> Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
> that licensees
> are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want more
> privileges, they show that development by upgrading.

The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!

The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-with-HF
is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not. The latter
has some HF privileges and the former has none.

The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and proposes
to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but that's not
what FCC proposes?!

The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
and require at least a General for HF.

That may sound farfetched, but consider that the number of Novices is
slowly dropping since that license class has been closed to new issues.
If Element 1 disappears, the number of code-tested Techs will have to
drop, too, as there won't be any more new ones. Eventually there won't
be any of Novices or code-tested Techs left - which means no more
entry-level licensees with HF privileges.

Of course it will take years for all of them to disappear, but
such a system doesn't cost FCC any admin resources.

> > But more telling would be how many Techs would
> > get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.

> I think that will indeed be very interesting.

Yes!

> I was plotting the data from
> the ah0a site out of curiosity and it is easy to see on a graph > the bubble
> in 2000 and it is easy to see the fact that it was small and
> temporary. The
> only class that is steadily increasing significantly in numbers > is the Extra.

Plain and simple fact. Can't escape it.
>
> > We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
> > 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
> > Techs upgrade and how many don't.
>
> My guess is that at least half the Technicians are inactive and > will not
> upgrade. Of the remaining portion, there will probably be half > that either
> don't hear about the change or don't hear that much about the
> change and so
> won't pursue it. There will also be a handful that aren't
> really interested
> in HF and so will not upgrade. Still if only 1/4 of the Techs > upgrade in
> the next year, it will be quite a burden on the VEs for a while.
>
Nobody really knows what will happen. The VEs will rise to the
challenge, just they did in 2000.

Look again at the AH0A data from 2000, and from this week. Or compare
the numbers I post twice a month. Are the changes really that dramatic?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2804. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:00:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122688843.046136.72700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114212

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> Really, deep inside, I am a decent human being, or would like to think I am.

since you haven't been around all of the last seven years I'll answer
you

I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
next

Indeed these 2 related to why I never was able to pass a code test back
in the 70's, to 2 condictions affect me differently at deferent time
resulting in being able some days to as a reception test, and not
transmit it well enough and sometime the reverse, but always with
extreme headaches. I could spend the effort to contorl it better but
the RRAP is not worth those kinds of headaches

My professional work is recorded verbaly and then typed by someone
else. I am one of the persona for whom the written word is a challange,
always would, My harshest critics in the Newsgroup, esp Stevie know
this, and choose to make an issue of it
>
> Your text has degraded here old friend, if you live alone, time to see a doctor
> and get a check-up, you may have suffered a light stroke...

if you are seeing particular problem then likely I was typing about 45
to 60 minutes after taking the stronger allergy meds that this part of
the season me forces me to. Indeeed that a certain plant in bloom (one
farmed by my neighboor means I am spending more time inside dealing
with usenet)
>
> I am not being flippant about this, and all joking has been set aside, but I
> really do get a sense something is wrong, and fear for your health...
>
> You may ignore my advice if you choose, but I have a real concern...
>
> If I had just sat here without mentioning this, and something undesirable would
> happen to you, it would truly cause me great grief to know, so no need to flame
> me back. I really have considered my own mental health in all this too...
>

I hope you don't take this as Flaming you back, I am aware you have not
been arround for the whole show

> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122685371.208678.173700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: K?B on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
> > >
> > >
> > > >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
> > > >
> > > >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For the
> > > >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
> > >
> > > Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
> > > website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
> > >
> > > You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
> > > NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
> > > communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
> > > what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
> > >
> > > On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
> > > TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
> > > or even Hellschreiber.
>
> and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
> Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
> different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
> ilgeal code
> >
> > Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
> > to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
> > Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
> > "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
> > would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
>
> indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
> threads to Hans
>
> a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
>
> When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
>
> much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be

> OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
> on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
> know)and the letter set
>
> One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
> anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
> ARS the very specail mode/letter set
> >
> > dit bit
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

2805. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:06:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KgBGe.156$0W3.3118215@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114213

an_old_friend:

Well buddy, we can't give you any special treatment, here you stand on your own two feet.

However, I am glad you are aware of your condition and have tools to deal with it. I will take in to consideration that you have a bit of disability.

Frankly, I am able to get the gist of your posts, if I misunderstand, I suppose you will be more than willing to place me back on track...

Hip, hip, cheerio old bean, forward we go...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122688843.046136.72700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> an_old_friend:
>>
>> Really, deep inside, I am a decent human being, or would like to think I am.
>
> since you haven't been around all of the last seven years I'll answer
> you
>
> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
> next
>
> Indeed these 2 related to why I never was able to pass a code test back
> in the 70's, to 2 condictions affect me differently at deferent time
> resulting in being able some days to as a reception test, and not
> transmit it well enough and sometime the reverse, but always with
> extreme headaches. I could spend the effort to contorl it better but
> the RRAP is not worth those kinds of headaches
>
> My professional work is recorded verbaly and then typed by someone
> else. I am one of the persona for whom the written word is a challange,
> always would, My harshest critics in the Newsgroup, esp Stevie know
> this, and choose to make an issue of it
>>
>> Your text has degraded here old friend, if you live alone, time to see a

>> doctor
>> and get a check-up, you may have suffered a light stroke...
>
> if you are seeing particular problem then likely I was typing about 45
> to 60 minutes after taking the stronger allergy meds that this part of
> the season me forces me to. Indeeed that a certain plant in bloom (one
> farmed by my neighboor means I am spending more time inside dealing
> with usenet)
>>
>> I am not being flippant about this, and all joking has been set aside, but I
>> really do get a sense something is wrong, and fear for your health...
>>
>> You may ignore my advice if you choose, but I have a real concern...
>>
>> If I had just sat here without mentioning this, and something undesirable
>> would
>> happen to you, it would truly cause me great grief to know, so no need to
>> flame
>> me back. I really have considered my own mental health in all this too...
>>
>
> I hope you don't take this as Flaming you back, I am aware you have not
> been arround for the whole show
>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122685371.208678.173700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: K?B on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
>> >
>> >
>> > >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> > >
>> > >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
>> > >
>> > >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For
>> > >the
>> > >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
>> >
>> > Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
>> > website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
>> >
>> > You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
>> > NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
>> > communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
>> > what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
>> >

>> > On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
>> > TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
>> > or even Hellschreiber.
>>
>> and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
>> Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
>> different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
>> ilgeal code
>> >
>> > Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
>> > to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
>> > Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
>> > "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
>> > would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
>>
>> indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
>> threads to Hans
>>
>> a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
>>
>> When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
>>
>> much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
>> OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
>> on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
>> know)and the letter set
>>
>> One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
>> anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
>> ARS the very specail mode/letter set
>> >
>> > dit bit
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2806. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:11:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dlBGe.157$O34.3222673@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114214

N2EY:

I think that is excellent, indeed, I think they should start at general with
HF.
Here is a link to all the correct answers for the general test:
http://blake.prohosting.com/mailguy2/generaltest.txt

If they simply can't memorize these correct answers, they have no business
being generals in arrl's army!

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>> >> that they expect any Tech
>> >> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>> >> to take the General exam and
>> >> upgrade to get HF privileges.
>> >
>> > Interesting - in many ways!
>> >
>> > For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
>> > Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>
>> Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
>> that licensees
>> are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want more
>> privileges, they show that development by upgrading.
>
> The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
> contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!
>
> The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-with-HF
> is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not. The latter
> has some HF privileges and the former has none.
>
> The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and proposes
> to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
> follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but that's not

> what FCC proposes?!
>
> The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
> long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
> term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
> and require at least a General for HF.
>
> That may sound farfetched, but consider that the number of Novices is
> slowly dropping since that license class has been closed to new issues.
> If Element 1 disappears, the number of code-tested Techs will have to
> drop, too, as there won't be any more new ones. Eventually there won't
> be any of Novices or code-tested Techs left - which means no more
> entry-level licensees with HF privileges.
>
> Of course it will take years for all of them to disappear, but
> such a system doesn't cost FCC any admin resources.
>
>> > But more telling would be how many Techs would
>> > get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.
>
>> I think that will indeed be very interesting.
>
> Yes!
>
>> I was plotting the data from
>> the ah0a site out of curiosity and it is easy to see on a graph > the bubble
>> in 2000 and it is easy to see the fact that it was small and
>> temporary. The
>> only class that is steadily increasing significantly in numbers > is the
>> Extra.
>
> Plain and simple fact. Can't escape it.
>>
>> > We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
>> > 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
>> > Techs upgrade and how many don't.
>>
>> My guess is that at least half the Technicians are inactive and > will not
>> upgrade. Of the remaining portion, there will probably be half > that
>> either
>> don't hear about the change or don't hear that much about the
>> change and so
>> won't pursue it. There will also be a handful that aren't
>> really interested
>> in HF and so will not upgrade. Still if only 1/4 of the Techs > upgrade in
>> the next year, it will be quite a burden on the VEs for a while.
>>
> Nobody really knows what will happen. The VEs will rise to the
> challenge, just they did in 2000.
>

> Look again at the AH0A data from 2000, and from this week. Or compare
> the numbers I post twice a month. Are the changes really that dramatic?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2807. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:25:49 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122690349.899103.81550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114215

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they increased
> > the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could learn
> > something from these guys.
> >
> Yep
>
> What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:
>
> 1) Pass the written with 80% or more right
>
> 2) Pass the written with less than 80% right *and* pass the Morse Code
> test.
>
> IIRC, the basic pass level is 60% (!)
>
> Sounds a little like my old "Chinese Menu" idea.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, are you advocating that 60% be the necessary score?

2808. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:30:15 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122690615.901175.133430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114216

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
> > you don't understand english
>
> Priceless!
>
>
> > You lie
> > you cheat
> > you comit public fraud
> > you stalk
> > you harrass
> > you threaten
> > you libel
> > you forge
>
> You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.
>
> > more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
>
> I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.

Like working out of band Frenchmen on 6M? Hi!

2809. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:41:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122691317.307797.144480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114217

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> Well buddy, we can't give you any special treatment, here you stand on your own
> two feet.
>
> However, I am glad you are aware of your condition and have tools to deal with
> it. I will take in to consideration that you have a bit of disability.
>
> Frankly, I am able to get the gist of your posts, if I misunderstand, I suppose
> you will be more than willing to place me back on track...

Indeed I am I also reservse to right with Folks when I think what is
happening is trying to pull chain to try and shoove that back some
where of my choice

and so if you look carefully you should see I respond to different
people differently, based on experence with them

Dan's "Inability" to understand my typing countries once he made clear
he though he knew what I was saying I blew him off, was politier with
Jim N2EY, becuase he IMO merits it. Stevie well esp with being
profesionaly medical and enaging the me the way he I does I give hi
realy short shift, and the effort I put into a post depends on who I am
repliing to as well
>
> Hip, hip, cheerio old bean, forward we go...
>
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122688843.046136.72700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> an_old_friend:
> >>
> >> Really, deep inside, I am a decent human being, or would like to think I am.
> >
> > since you haven't been around all of the last seven years I'll answer
> > you
> >
> > I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
> > eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
> > it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
> > alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have

> > taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
> > next
> >
> > Indeed these 2 related to why I never was able to pass a code test back
> > in the 70's, to 2 condictions affect me differently at deferent time
> > resulting in being able some days to as a reception test, and not
> > transmit it well enough and sometime the reverse, but always with
> > extreme headaches. I could spend the effort to contorl it better but
> > the RRAP is not worth those kinds of headaches
> >
> > My professional work is recorded verbaly and then typed by someone
> > else. I am one of the persona for whom the written word is a challange,
> > always would, My harshest critics in the Newsgroup, esp Stevie know
> > this, and choose to make an issue of it
> >>
> >> Your text has degraded here old friend, if you live alone, time to see a
> >> doctor
> >> and get a check-up, you may have suffered a light stroke...
> > >
> > if you are seeing particular problem then likely I was typing about 45
> > to 60 minutes after taking the stronger allergy meds that this part of
> > the season me forces me to. Indeeed that a certain plant in bloom (one
> > farmed by my neighboor means I am spending more time inside dealing
> > with usenet)
> >>
> >> I am not being flippant about this, and all joking has been set aside, but I
> >> really do get a sense something is wrong, and fear for your health...
> >>
> >> You may ignore my advice if you choose, but I have a real concern...
> >>
> >> If I had just sat here without mentioning this, and something undesirable
> >> would
> >> happen to you, it would truly cause me great grief to know, so no need to
> >> flame
> >> me back. I really have considered my own mental health in all this too...
> >>
> > >
> > I hope you don't take this as Flaming you back, I am aware you have not
> > been arround for the whole show
> > >
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> >>
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1122685371.208678.173700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: K?B on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
> >> >
> >> >

> >> > >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >> > >
> >> > >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
> >> > >
> >> > >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For
> >> > >the
> >> > >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
> >> >
> >> > Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
> >> > website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
> >> >
> >> > You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
> >> > NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
> >> > communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
> >> > what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
> >> >
> >> > On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
> >> > TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
> >> > or even Hellschreiber.
> >>
> >> and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
> >> Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
> >> different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
> >> ilgeal code
> >>
> >> > Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
> >> > to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
> >> > Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
> >> > "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
> >> > would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
> >>
> >> indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
> >> threads to Hans
> >>
> >> a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
> >>
> >> When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
> >>
> >> much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
> >> OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
> >> on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
> >> know)and the letter set
> >>
> >> One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
> >> anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
> >> ARS the very specail mode/letter set
> >>
> >> > dit bit
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >

2810. Re: Lennie Knows Squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:45:14 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122691514.414625.235180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114218

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > >
> > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > >
> > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
>
> Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.

Lack of concern for your demands.

> > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > >
> > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > >
> > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
>
> My reality is truth.

Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...

> > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > >
> > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > >
> > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
>
> Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.

One meaningful discussions? You're too pluralistic.

> The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> seem to think that what you do is "constructive".

Hi! I suppose that you think what you do is constructive. Hi, hi!

You're emotionally and mentally defective.

2811. Re: Lennie Knows Steve better than Steve Knows Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 02:57:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122692268.169822.53520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114219

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > >
> > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > >
> > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
>
> Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.

Merely a lack of concern for your demands.

> > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > >
> > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > >
> > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
>
> My reality is truth.

Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...

> > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > >
> > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > >
> > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
>
> Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.

One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.

> The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> seem to think that what you do is "constructive".

Hi! I suppose that you think what you do is constructive? Hi, hi!

We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of yourselves.

2812. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:04:41 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122692681.565212.174230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114220

Jerry wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1122460311.952252.55480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >> I'll close with this comment:
> >>
> >> Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same and that all
> >> localities are the same as what they've experienced. IOW, if they
> >> didn't
> >> see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role in a particular
> >> emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio doesn't really play
> >> such a role at all.
> >>
> >> Which just isn't so.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Or if they saw Amateur Radio playing a role in an emergency in *their*
> > community, then Amateur Radio plays such a role in all communities.
>
> But I can prove via various sources that Amateur Radio plays a role in MY
> community, that of New York, Missouri, Florida, Georgia, Virginia,, S.
> Carolina, Maryland--and EVERY state in the union and has done so since the
> beginning. Just because *YOU* say it doesn't make it so.

Someone needed to say it. Might as well be me.

> Like I said, when it comes to official emergency communications sanctioned
> by Federal, State, and local authorities, SHOW me documented evidence that
> CB EVER gets invited to the party!! ("TAN FER THAR, WE IS A-STANDIN' BY THAR
> ON 27.555 TO PASS THAT 'AIR EEEEEEE-MER-GEN-CEE TRAFFIC THAR, MERCY SAKES,
> GIT BACK, AH KNOW WHUTCHA MEAN THAR---SQEEEEEEEEEEEEEK:!!)
>
> LMAO!!!!!
>
>
> j

You do a good immitation of Extra Bruce. You're a natural.

2813. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:18:31 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <bkCGe.2651$cg.92@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 114221

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122684804.539282.71660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 29, 1:12 pm
>
> >an_old_friend:
>
>
> >Perhaps spread spectrum is used by spies, who knows, or sat links--we
will
> >always catch only the poorest of spies--undoubtedly they DO NOT represent
the
> >"good ones."
> >
> >But, most radio is a poor vector for spies, the internet is a much more
viable
> >medium...
>
> Ahem...those "number stations" on HF aren't for sports scores or
> lottery numbers... :-)
>
> >A true "random number generator" has escaped being ever realized in a
practical
> >form.
>
> Untrue, John. PRSG (Pseudo-Random Sequence Generators) have been
> in common use in both communications and instrumentation for about
> three decades now. Using just 9 standard digital logic packages
> with a 10 MHz clock, the PRSG I built for instrumentation would
> not repeat until 913 years had passed. Reference: Electronics
> Designer's Casebook Number 3, a collection of Electronics
> magazine articles published between February '78 and January '79.
> I was the author of that. "Electronics" magazine was a bi-weekly
> industry/subscription periodical published by McGraw-Hill; McGraw
> Hill morphed it into four separate monthlies.
>
> >The "random noise" from the background radiation of the universe comes
> >very, very close.
>
> No, does NOT "come close," that IS the definition of random.
>
> >In computing, if a very high quality "random number generator" is needed,
it
> >will always be outboard (white noise generator.) No computer algorithm
ever

> >developed is able to generate REAL random numbers. Success is only
measured in
> >how close they can come to the ideal...
>
> Sigh. PERIODICITY is at question? I would say that a repeat
> period of a century or more is pretty dang good. Periodicity
> greater than that is found in the DES and some of the algorithms
> at the NSA.
>
> SOME finite periodicity is NECESSARY to set code keys and thus
> enabling a decode to start to prepare to get ready to begin.
> That just cannot be done with true random noise thingies.
>
> In some of the Swiss (formerly Swedish) Crypto AG products, a
> true natural random noise source generates the random key
> patterns for both encryption and decryption sequences. A
> problem there is that the decrypt sequence MUST be identical
> to the encrypt sequence and that decrypt sequence transported
> to a recipient. With the DES and similar PRSG-driven
> sequences, the decrypt key is NOT required to be sent
> separately...all that is required is to set the sequence at
> some pre-determined state (the "code key" enters that) and
> this aligns the sequence with the received sequence...a sync
> is possible and decrypt can proceed.
>
> As to "simple algorithm" periodicity, a 913 year pattern
> repeat at a 10 MHz clock is quite long. That was achieved
> with a 2^33-1 sequence bit pattern Exclusive-ORed with a
> 2^25-1 bit pattern. Each of the individual PRSGs had NO
> common factors in periodicity so they Ex-ORed to a pattern
> of 2.88 x 10^17 clock periods. That could be implemented
> on any PC (I did that just for funzies) with a 2 GHz clock
> and sequence faster than the hardware version clock at
> 10 MHz. So that one repeated about every 100 years...
>
> >... the "noise" from a large number of typists keyboards might be close
enough,
> >although not perfectly random, for some applications...
>
> The humans-replacing-monkeys (at the KGB) was taken from
> David Kahn's "The Codebreakers" and was a quote from
> someone else.
>
> Today, at this "late" hour of 5:15 PM PDT, thousands of
> WLANs are very busy within RF range of one another, NOT
> interfering with each other thanks to some clever and
> longer PRSGs. All those WLANs can also synchronize with
> one another should they have to start from power-down
> condition.
>

> Add to that the garage door openers which have a very short
> data burst on an RF carrier...add millions more in remote
> keyless entry automobile "watch fob" transmitters. Their
> pattern security is so good that they CAN and HAVE replaced
> mechanical counterparts in any environment. [yes, the auto
> fob transmitters have keys attached as a security in case
> the car battery goes kafooey...and for the mental/emotional
> security of the numbnuts conservatives who don't trust those
> new-fangled digital gizmos]
>
> For pseudo-random number generation in theory, along with
> tests on things therein being random, there are 155 pages
> worth of good stuff in Donald E. Knuth's "The Art of
> Computer Programming," Chapter 3, Volume 2. I have the
> three-volume set and will entertain any offers of
> purchase (plus shipping costs)...provided I can trust
> the buyer (this group doesn't ensure my trust much...).
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

Oh, come on! There are some folks you can trust. You can trust me!

Would you have a spare 10 or 20 grand you can loan me until payday?

When's payday? I dunno, you're the one that's working.

:)))

So long as the key is changed *before* any repeat of the pattern, no harm is done - at least I would suspect.

Just remember, one dot if by land and two dots if by sea .... or is that one dash?

Hey, care to loan me 50K?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2814. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:33:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XxCGe.166$wn4.3399815@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114223

b.b.:

Fact is, soon as CW falls, the CB'ers will have their ham radios and will be able to take over these responsibilities. They are younger, won't seem such a burden, the hams really do need a rest... a long one... might be able to recover their sense of humor even, if well rested...

John

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1122692681.565212.174230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jerry wrote:
>> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1122460311.952252.55480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I'll close with this comment:
>> >>
>> >> Some folks seem to think that all emergencies are the same and that all
>> >> localities are the same as what they've experienced. IOW, if they
>> >> didn't
>> >> see Amateur Radio playing a front-and-center role in a particular
>> >> emergency in *their* community, then Amateur Radio doesn't really play
>> >> such a role at all.
>> >>
>> >> Which just isn't so.
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > Or if they saw Amateur Radio playing a role in an emergency in *their*
>> > community, then Amateur Radio plays such a role in all communities.
>>
>> But I can prove via various sources that Amateur Radio plays a role in MY
>> community, that of New York, Missouri, Florida, Georgia, Virginia,, S.
>> Carolina, Maryland--and EVERY state in the union and has done so since the
>> beginning. Just because *YOU* say it doesn't make it so.
>
> Someone needed to say it. Might as well be me.
>
>> Like I said, when it comes to official emergency communications sanctioned
>> by Federal, State, and local authorities, SHOW me documented evidence that
>> CB EVER gets invited to the party!! ("TAN FER THAR, WE IS A-STANDIN' BY THAR
>> ON 27.555 TO PASS THAT 'AIR EEEEEEE-MER-GEN-CEE TRAFFIC THAR, MERCY SAKES,

>> GIT BACK, AH KNOW WHUTCHA MEAN THAR---SQEEEEEEEEEEEEK:!!)
>>
>> LMAO!!!!!
>>
>>
>> j
>
> You do a good immitation of Extra Bruce. You're a natural.
>

2815. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:37:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <z7adnQ5vBpP0aHffRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114224

an old friend wrote:

>
> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
> next

I have noticed that one post may be very readable and the next very difficult to decipher. I looked up Aphasia, and you are laboring under a very difficult disability. Thus please accept my apology for the comments I have made and it shant happen again. I applaud you for hanging in there, this can be a tough bunch.

2816. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:38:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ICCGe.168$_n4.3410040@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114225

Len:

Many computer studies have been done on those numbers stations attempting to correlate them with detectable events/changes/occurrences in the world--to deduce what they are about. Last time I really checked, some were claiming it had to do with banks, markets, money...

That is all wet?

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1122684804.539282.71660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 29, 1:12 pm
>
>>an_old_friend:
>
>
>>Perhaps spread spectrum is used by spies, who knows, or sat links--we will
>>always catch only the poorest of spies--undoubtedly they DO NOT represent the
>>"good ones."
>>
>>But, most radio is a poor vector for spies, the internet is a much more
>>viable
>>medium...
>
> Ahem...those "number stations" on HF aren't for sports scores or
> lottery numbers... :-)
>
>>A true "random number generator" has escaped being ever realized in a
>>practical
>>form.
>
> Untrue, John. PRSG (Pseudo-Random Sequence Generators) have been
> in common use in both communications and instrumentation for about
> three decades now. Using just 9 standard digital logic packages
> with a 10 MHz clock, the PRSG I built for instrumentation would
> not repeat until 913 years had passed. Reference: Electronics
> Designer's Casebook Number 3, a collection of Electronics
> magazine articles published between February '78 and January '79.
> I was the author of that. "Electronics" magazine was a bi-weekly
> industry/subscription periodical published by McGraw-Hill; McGraw
> Hill morphed it into four separate monthlies.
>
>>The "random noise" from the background radiation of the universe comes

>>very, very close.
>
> No, does NOT "come close," that IS the definition of random.
>
>>In computing, if a very high quality "random number generator" is needed, it
>>will always be outboard (white noise generator.) No computer algorithm ever
>>developed is able to generate REAL random numbers. Success is only measured
>>in
>>how close they can come to the ideal...
>
> Sigh. PERIODICITY is at question? I would say that a repeat
> period of a century or more is pretty dang good. Periodicity
> greater than that is found in the DES and some of the algorithms
> at the NSA.
>
> SOME finite periodicity is NECESSARY to set code keys and thus
> enabling a decode to start to prepare to get ready to begin.
> That just cannot be done with true random noise thingies.
>
> In some of the Swiss (formerly Swedish) Crypto AG products, a
> true natural random noise source generates the random key
> patterns for both encryption and decryption sequences. A
> problem there is that the decrypt sequence MUST be identical
> to the encrypt sequence and that decrypt sequence transported
> to a recipient. With the DES and similar PRSG-driven
> sequences, the decrypt key is NOT required to be sent
> separately...all that is required is to set the sequence at
> some pre-determined state (the "code key" enters that) and
> this aligns the sequence with the received sequence...a sync
> is possible and decrypt can proceed.
>
> As to "simple algorithm" periodicity, a 913 year pattern
> repeat at a 10 MHz clock is quite long. That was achieved
> with a 2^33-1 sequence bit pattern Exclusive-ORed with a
> 2^25-1 bit pattern. Each of the individual PRSGs had NO
> common factors in periodicity so they Ex-ORed to a pattern
> of 2.88 x 10^17 clock periods. That could be implemented
> on any PC (I did that just for funzies) with a 2 GHz clock
> and sequence faster than the hardware version clock at
> 10 MHz. So that one repeated about every 100 years...
>
>>... the "noise" from a large number of typists keyboards might be close
>>enough,
>>although not perfectly random, for some applications...
>
> The humans-replacing-monkeys (at the KGB) was taken from
> David Kahn's "The Codebreakers" and was a quote from
> someone else.
>
> Today, at this "late" hour of 5:15 PM PDT, thousands of

> WLANs are very busy within RF range of one another, NOT
> interfering with each other thanks to some clever and
> longer PRSGs. All those WLANs can also synchronize with
> one another should they have to start from power-down
> condition.
>
> Add to that the garage door openers which have a very short
> data burst on an RF carrier...add millions more in remote
> keyless entry automobile "watch fob" transmitters. Their
> pattern security is so good that they CAN and HAVE replaced
> mechanical counterparts in any environment. [yes, the auto
> fob transmitters have keys attached as a security in case
> the car battery goes kafooey...and for the mental/emotional
> security of the numbnuts conservatives who don't trust those
> new-fangled digital gizmos]
>
> For pseudo-random number generation in theory, along with
> tests on things therein being random, there are 155 pages
> worth of good stuff in Donald E. Knuth's "The Art of
> Computer Programming," Chapter 3, Volume 2. I have the
> three-volume set and will entertain any offers of
> purchase (plus shipping costs)...provided I can trust
> the buyer (this group doesn't ensure my trust much...).
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2817. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:43:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZHCGe.169$Xg4.3344436@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114226

commander:

Don't do that, just when I think I can hate (well, moderate dislike possibly) you, you go and pull a fast one like that and show a good side to your character?

My gawd, can't find enough decent enemies these days, good enemies are turning out to be rarer than hams!

John

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:z7adnQ5vBpP0aHffRVn-1A@gbronline.com...
> an old friend wrote:
>
>>
>> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
>> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
>> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
>> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
>> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
>> next
>
> I have noticed that one post may be very readable and the next very difficult
> to decipher. I looked up Aphasia, and you are laboring under a very difficult
> disability. Thus please accept my apology for the comments I have made and it
> shant happen again. I applaud you for hanging in there, this can be a tough
> bunch.

2818. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:48:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oMCGe.6256$0C.1902@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114228

```
an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>you don't understand english
>>
>>Priceless!
>>
>>
>
> break
>
>>>You lie
>>>you cheat
>>>you comit public fraud
>>>you stalk
>>>you harrass
>>>you threaten
>>>you libel
>>>you forge
>>
>>You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.
>
>
> no Stevie needs to het a grip on himself
>
> and you need to stop editing so badly that is a partial list of Stevies
> misdeeds
```

Editing? What editing?

>>> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
>>
>>I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.
>
>
> agreed
>
> when you planing on starting?

I'll likely start when a definition is put together for "respoinilty".

Dave K8MN

2819. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 03:51:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Z9mdnVJ_qakLZXffRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 114227

John Smith wrote:
> commander:
>
> Don't do that, just when I think I can hate (well, moderate dislike possibly)
> you, you go and pull a fast one like that and show a good side to your
> character?
>
> My gawd, can't find enough decent enemies these days, good enemies are turning
> out to be rarer than hams!
>
> John

I know, it's a sick world out there.

2820. Secwet Wogie Wecovering Well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 04:03:34 UTC
From: Johnny B Goode <Wogie@guesswho>
Message-ID: <dceu50$5vu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114229
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wogie had some minor surgery last week. Of course he won't tell you, but he did. The outpatient surgery was a success from what we have been told.

The doctor said that Roger's first question, after coming out of the anesthesia, was to ask if his sex life will be normal.

The doctor was a bit confused at first. He told Wogie that this was the first time a patient has asked him this after a simple tonsillectomy.

2821. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 05:50:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4zEGe.6287$0C.1453@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114231

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
>>
>> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
>> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
>> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
>> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
>> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
>> next
>
>
> I have noticed that one post may be very readable and the next very
> difficult to decipher. I looked up Aphasia, and you are laboring under a
> very difficult disability. Thus please accept my apology for the
> comments I have made and it shant happen again. I applaud you for
> hanging in there, this can be a tough bunch.

It'd be easier to deal with Mark if he didn't claim that 1) his earlier newsreader didn't have a spell checker 2) he can't be bothered to use the spellchecker in his current newsreader, 3) proofreading his material is just too much bother for the likes of us and 4) his mistakes are *our* problem, not his.

There is special equipment available to those with his handicap:
electronic spellers and dictionaries
word processors
talking calculators

It is evident that he does not choose to use them.

Finally, we have his past fabrications on his military service and why he needs to keep a low profile. Mark has sought victim status here on numerous occasions.

Dave K8MN

2822. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 05:56:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jEEGe.182$xB5.4262978@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114232

Dave:

Thank you for your insight, it is well taken.

However, I don't read tea leaves well and I don't have a psychic brain cell to my name--therefore, I error upon the side of caution.

I have been taken advantage of before. Being "made a fool of" will not be a new experience to me, it has happened before.

Still, just in case, I give the benefit of the doubt...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:4zEGe.6287$0C.1453@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
>>> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
>>> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
>>> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
>>> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
>>> next
>>
>>
>> I have noticed that one post may be very readable and the next very
>> difficult to decipher. I looked up Aphasia, and you are laboring under a
>> very difficult disability. Thus please accept my apology for the comments I
>> have made and it shant happen again. I applaud you for hanging in there,
>> this can be a tough bunch.
>
> It'd be easier to deal with Mark if he didn't claim that 1) his earlier
> newsreader didn't have a spell checker 2) he can't be bothered to use the
> spellchecker in his current newsreader, 3) proofreading his material is just
> too much bother for the likes of us and 4) his mistakes are *our* problem,
> not his.
>
> There is special equipment available to those with his handicap:
> electronic spellers and dictionaries
> word processors
> talking calculators
>
> It is evident that he does not choose to use them.

>
> Finally, we have his past fabrications on his military service and why he
> needs to keep a low profile. Mark has sought victim status here on numerous
> occasions.
>
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>

2823. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 07:55:36 UTC
From: Johnny B Goode <Wogie@guesswho>
Message-ID: <dcfbo2rnk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114233

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4zEGe.6287$0C.1453@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
>>
>> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
>> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
>> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
>> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
>> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
>> next

There is special equipment available to those with his handicap:
electronic spellers and dictionaries
word processors
talking calculators

It is evident that he does not choose to use them.

Finally, we have his past fabrications on his military service and why
he needs to keep a low profile. Mark has sought victim status here on
numerous occasions.

Dave K8MN
>
The above described disorders could easily define a certain Cretin from the
West Virginia area. As the saying goes, "You can lead an ignoramus to a
dictionary, but you can't teach him to use it."

Or something along those lines.

2824. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 12:03:13 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122724992.998197.151660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114234

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "b.b." on Fri 29 Jul 2005 03:06
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> b.b. wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > b.b. wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> >> > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> >> > > > won't.
> >> > >
> >> > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> >> > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> >> > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> >> > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> >> > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> >> >
> >> > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> >>
> >> Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> >
> >Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
>
> Brian, it doesn't matter WHAT Stebie is shown, presented,
> given links to, or gift wrapped as a birthday present. He
> will STILL call it a "lie" and continue on the personal-
> insult vendetta of his own.

I think he's nuts.

> His newsgroup skin is microns thick and emotional pain
> threshold is so low it may be off medical charts. ANYTHING
> in disagreement with his statements and he is immediately
> in a FIGHT mood, insulting and demeaning those who disagree
> with him. Rather remarkable in his volatility.

Then there's his fixation with male genitalia. It's just not right.

> >> > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> >>
> >> None of those substantiated by reality either.
> >
> >Not the "reality" that you dwell in.

>
> He still hasn't understood that. He's either nuts or getting
> there faster than he realizes...
>
> Perhaps he just feigns all that "outrage" in order to call
> attention to himself? That's a possibility but unfortunately
> just as sick.

Nurse, heal thyself.

> >> > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> >>
> >> I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> >> Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> >> about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> >
> >You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
>
> There IS some psychological syndrome like that, but dwelling
> on his psychosis only results in more and more ANGER of his.

He is always angry. Many times he uses fighting words in what could
have been a normal conversation.

> And this posting I make will just inflame him more...tsk, tsk.
>
> Well, that's how it goes...
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Maybe he needs to just go and check in.

2825. Re: Steve is ready to talk (7 Hostile Actions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 12:06:30 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122725190.110656.131200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114235

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > >
> > > > > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > > > > faulty thinking on your part.
> > >
> > > Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...
> > >
> > > But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> > > public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> > > was coming from"...
> >
> > Indeed. So tell us more about your claims of seven hostile actions.

I guess Steve will deal with his truth in due time. Hi!

2826. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 12:13:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122725592.723276.21180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114236

Leo wrote:
> On Fri, 29 Jul 2005 19:37:30 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:

> An interesting announcement! Here in Canada, Morse Code is now > an alternative method of qualifying for HF privileges.
>
> In other words - Morse survived!

Morse Code *testing* survived - it's just not mandatory anymore in
Canada.

IIRC, this was exactly what the commentary on the proposal supported.
>
> A couple of the other requests from the Amateur community
> via the
> RAC proposal) were granted as well - increasing the pass
> marks on the
> exams to 70% from 60%, and the addition of commercial
> kit-building
> privileges for Basic license holders.
>
> Not bad - now there's a regulatory agency that listens. Well
> done, Industry Canada!

I agree 100%!

They found a way to give everyone some of what they wanted.
They listened to what the majority of those expressing an
opinion supported, and acted on it. They produced a set of
regulations designed to reconcile or at least minimize
polarization, rather than increase it.

What concepts, eh? ;-)

Perhaps we in the USA should suggest such a system to FCC....

73 de Jim, N2EY

2827. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 12:30:40 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <cisme1tdsibd9gumml5df5j3445qvo2g2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 114237

On 29 Jul 2005 19:25:49 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> > While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they increased
>> > the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could learn
>> > something from these guys.
>> >
>> Yep
>>
>> What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:
>>
>> 1) Pass the written with 80% or more right
>>
>> 2) Pass the written with less than 80% right *and* pass the Morse Code
>> test.
>>
>> IIRC, the basic pass level is 60% (!)
>>
>> Sounds a little like my old "Chinese Menu" idea.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim, are you advocating that 60% be the necessary score?

I don't think he is, Brian - Jim was surprised when I mentioned that
the pass mark was only 60% a while back. This has been fixed now - it
has been raised to 70%, effective today!

73, Leo

2828. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 12:59:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9dadnVsBbtpy5HbfRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 114238

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>> >> that they expect any Tech
>> >> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>> >> to take the General exam and
>> >> upgrade to get HF privileges.
>> >
>> > Interesting - in many ways!
>> >
>> > For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
>> > Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>
>> Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
>> that licensees
>> are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want
>> more
>> privileges, they show that development by upgrading.
>
> The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
> contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!
>

But it does completely satisfy those who kept hammering on no automatic
upgrades and then some as I got the impression that most would not have
minded Techs being consolidated with Tech Plus at the Tech Plus privileges
even though otherwise against automatic upgrades.

> The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-with-HF
> is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not. The latter
> has some HF privileges and the former has none.
>
> The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and proposes
> to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
> follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but that's not
> what FCC proposes?!
>
> The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
> long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
> term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,

> and require at least a General for HF.
>

It is hard to say what the FCC was thinking. However perhaps they too are looking at the statistics and interpret it as no need for the introductory license to have HF privileges. Afterall the Novice are declining at a fast enough pace that it looks like almost none are active and that almost all are failing to renew. They can easily go into the data as they have it and see how many Tech Pluses actually upgraded, let themselves lapse, or simply renewed. The last indicating a low activity level and/or interest level since they have not upgraded.

Also, they may be thinking that this keeps the minimum number to tests to get onto HF at least at two. The Novices had to take two and Techs after April 2000 had to take two to get on HF. Prior to that, Techs had to take 3 tests to get on HF (Novice written, Tech written, and code).

They also discussed the concept that they do not want Techs to stay at the Tech level. They consider upgrading as a significant element in individuals fulfilling the basis and purpose of ham radio (i.e. self training, etc). Allowing the Technicians some HF privileges reduces the motivation to upgrade. And the FCC repeately referred to the Techs being able to get on HF by taking the simple written test to upgrade to General.

> That may sound farfetched, but consider that the number of Novices is
> slowly dropping since that license class has been closed to new issues.
> If Element 1 disappears, the number of code-tested Techs will have to
> drop, too, as there won't be any more new ones. Eventually there won't
> be any of Novices or code-tested Techs left - which means no more
> entry-level licensees with HF privileges.
>

As a VE and instructor, it's been my observation that not that many Techs bother to take the code until they are ready to upgrade to General. Of course this is limited to the sessions that I have participated in. However in the last 5 years, we've only tested a couple of Techs for code privileges. And those two were not taking it as an upgrade to Tech but at the same session with their Tech written. The rest were all trying for their General licenses (i.e. had their General written CSCE in hand or were trying for their General written at the same session).

> Of course it will take years for all of them to disappear, but
> such a system doesn't cost FCC any admin resources.
>

Maximum of ten years from the date of the R&O if they don't renew or upgrade. Potentially far longer if they do renew.

>> > But more telling would be how many Techs would
>> > get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.
>
>> I think that will indeed be very interesting.
>

> Yes!
>
>> I was plotting the data from
>> the ah0a site out of curiosity and it is easy to see on a graph > the
>> bubble
>> in 2000 and it is easy to see the fact that it was small and
>> temporary. The
>> only class that is steadily increasing significantly in numbers > is the
>> Extra.
>
> Plain and simple fact. Can't escape it.
>>
>> > We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
>> > 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
>> > Techs upgrade and how many don't.
>>
>> My guess is that at least half the Technicians are inactive and > will
>> not
>> upgrade. Of the remaining portion, there will probably be half > that
>> either
>> don't hear about the change or don't hear that much about the
>> change and so
>> won't pursue it. There will also be a handful that aren't
>> really interested
>> in HF and so will not upgrade. Still if only 1/4 of the Techs > upgrade
>> in
>> the next year, it will be quite a burden on the VEs for a while.
>>
> Nobody really knows what will happen. The VEs will rise to the
> challenge, just they did in 2000.
>

Yes they will. In reality, I don't expect that we will be swamped as the excuses will begin ("I don't have time to study right now", etc). We will get hit with a bump in the numbers but it won't be that bad. Afterall just look at the bump in 2000. There were something like 100,000+ Tech Pluses and the number of upgrades while higher for a few months wasn't all that large.

> Look again at the AH0A data from 2000, and from this week. Or compare
> the numbers I post twice a month. Are the changes really that dramatic?
>

Not really. Although as I mentioned earlier, I think under the new system, we will gradually drift towards a de facto two class system (General & Extra) at least among the hams who are actually active.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2829. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 13:03:56 UTC
From: amateur <r@lurkio.ca>
Message-ID: <pcume1lltfo98f2tqvv5rhff85flbvelin@4ax.com>
Source record: 114239

On Sat, 30 Jul 2005 08:30:40 -0400, Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote:

>On 29 Jul 2005 19:25:49 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> > While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they increased
>>> > the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could learn
>>> > something from these guys.
>>> >
>>> Yep
>>>
>>> What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:
>>>
>>> 1) Pass the written with 80% or more right
>>>
>>> 2) Pass the written with less than 80% right *and* pass the Morse Code
>>> test.
>>>
>>> IIRC, the basic pass level is 60% (!)
>>>
>>> Sounds a little like my old "Chinese Menu" idea.
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>Jim, are you advocating that 60% be the necessary score?
>
>I don't think he is, Brian - Jim was surprised when I mentioned that
>the pass mark was only 60% a while back. This has been fixed now - it
>has been raised to 70%, effective today!
>
>73, Leo

Does this mean the guys that have the basic qualification now would
have to go back and rewrite to get HF privilege ?

2830. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 13:17:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <54OdnULX3vFl4HbfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 114240

"amateur" <r@lurkio.ca> wrote in message
news:pcume1lltfo98f2tqvv5rhff85flbvelin@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 30 Jul 2005 08:30:40 -0400, Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote:
>
>>On 29 Jul 2005 19:25:49 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> K�HB wrote:
>>>> > While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they
>>>> > increased
>>>> > the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC
>>>> > could learn
>>>> > something from these guys.
>>>> >
>>>> Yep
>>>>
>>>> What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:
>>>>
>>>> 1) Pass the written with 80% or more right
>>>>
>>>> 2) Pass the written with less than 80% right *and* pass the Morse Code
>>>> test.
>>>>
>>>> IIRC, the basic pass level is 60% (!)
>>>>
>>>> Sounds a little like my old "Chinese Menu" idea.
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>Jim, are you advocating that 60% be the necessary score?
>>
>>I don't think he is, Brian - Jim was surprised when I mentioned that
>>the pass mark was only 60% a while back. This has been fixed now - it
>>has been raised to 70%, effective today!
>>
>>73, Leo
>
>
> Does this mean the guys that have the basic qualification now would
> have to go back and rewrite to get HF privilege ?

I believe so. I saw something on that very thing somewhere on the internet but can't remember where. Or alternatively, they can still take the Morse test.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2831. Mark Morgan Falls Into His Own Trap, Wonders Out Loud "Who Put That There?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 13:29:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122730147.726377.30210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114241

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > >
> > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > >
> > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
>
> right you did not provide enough facts to substnaiate it Steve you are
> agreeing with him and with me

No, I am not...

I am saying BRAIN did not care enough to substantiate HIS claims,
several of which were made in your absence.

> indeed you even refuse to stat what you claim those acheveiments are

Untrue.

It's been hashed, re-hashed, and hashed over repeatedly. That YOU
won't take time to dig back through the archives to see what I am
talking about is not justification for me to re-do the whole thing
again.

> > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > > >
> > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > > >
> > > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
> > >
> > > My reality is truth.
> >
> > you have no contact with reality prehaps not even by radio

My reality touches on things you never conceptualized of.
Markie...Mostly due to your poor English skills and inability to
assimilate the knowledge.

> > Yours is...well...it's something else...
>
> you would never know

I bet I know more than you think I do.

> You accept press realeases as gosple turth

Nope.

But I know what actually transpired in most of the recent ARES
mount-outs as opposed to what was "reported", and I ahve yet to find
any discrepancy between the two.

> that is stupid, and at best niave at worst willfully blind

Read the above, Markie.

Insisting others are "blind" when you can't even find the light
switch is assinine.

> > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > >
> > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > >
> > > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
> > >
> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> >
> > a real whopper there Stevie

Nope.

> > The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> > seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
>
> yes we think it is constructive to look behind the curtain at the real
> world

The "real world" is NOT behind a curtain, Markie.

It's out here for all to see.

And I see you've screwed the pooch again. If you knew how to
construct a meaningful sentence, you would quit falling into that
trap...a trap YOU set.

Steve, K4YZ

2832. Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 13:37:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122730636.398176.76910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114242

b.b. wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > > >
> > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> >
> > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.

More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character,
Brain.

> > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
> > > >
> > > > My reality is truth.
> >
> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...

Nope.

Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.

> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > > >

> > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
> >
> > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
>
> One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.

Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.

> > The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> > seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
>
> Hi! I suppose that you think what you do is constructive? Hi, hi!

It keeps you occupied.

> We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
> the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
> yourselves.

I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.

Just like YOUR lying and deceit does not bring "discredit" on Air
Force veterans or Amateur Radio General class licensee...

Just Brian P Burke, who happens to have been those things at one
time or another.

So what are you going to do to fix it?

Steve, K4YZ

2833. Steve Knows Leonard H Anderson Is A Pathetic Liar...But Then So Does Everyone Else

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 14:11:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122732715.430219.322280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114243

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Snarly Stebie doesn't do much else in this newsgroup but
> boast generalities of a non-specific nature while generally
> insulting everyone who doesn't agree with him.

Untrue, but then when has "truth" been your suit, Lennie?

> He has changed his boasting so many times that it is difficult
> to know what he has actually done or experienced.

I haven't been boasting.

On the otherhand this post I am replying to is over 300 lines
long.

> Snarly Stebie's version of "truth" is whatever he wants to
> claim on any particular day. He has NO references on any of
> it. Some examples:
>
> Stebie would challenge "call the VA and they can tell you"
> [all about Stebie's military record]

Untrue.

You at one time doubted my having served at all. The VA CAN
varify that information. You refused, of course...Lot's of
excuses...Nothing new there...

Big snip

> In the beginning of Stebie's tirades he mentioned a Medical
> Discharge that cut his marine career to 18 years (rather
> than a full 20). He then made that an "Honorable" instead
> of a medical discharge; if he had gotten that discharge some
> 5 years before (his alleged time was 1974 to 1992), why
> would he not have said "honorable" in the beginning? That's
> all archived, BTW.

Well...MOST of it is archived. You've taken some literary license
with what was said, but I am sure it's much more colorful in your mind
that way.

> Stebie claimed to have been in "seven hostile actions"
> during his military career, but it seems he is spinning
> that into the USMC being involved in those...while he was
> in it. He has no proof at all shown in here that HE was

> IN any of those hostile actions. That's in newer archives.

And we won't discuss any of them.

Just like you harrangue Dave Heil over his service in the State Department (all of it fact-based and verifyable), I shant give you the pleasure. You can go ahead and insist "it didn't happen" based upon my refusal to tell you the details, if you care to, but ti still doesn't make it any less factual.

> Stebie has claimed "working in military radio communications"
> but isn't at all familiar with the very common (quarter
> million R/T units made and operational) SINCGARS...(SNIP)

Lennie, I guess it's silly to point out to you that SINCGARS is NOT the end-all of radio communications in the Armed Forces.

Your 3000th telling of yet another SINCGARS story does not make it any more valuable than any other communications resource...Then, now or in the future.

Nore snip

> At best, Stebie's claims are only for an "Assistant" NCOIC
> position at a MARS station in Okinawa in the 1980s. MARS
> stations are NOT a regular part of any service branch radio
> communications...are, at best, a sort of special services
> like operation where they MIGHT be used for regular comms
> in case of catastrophic happenings to the Defense
> Communication System (DCS).

But...but...but...LENNIE!

YOU are the one who made the big deal about who runs MARS, etc etc even when it wasn't an issue!

> That's in very recent archives.
> Worse yet, it took months for Stebie to acknowledge that
> the Department of Defense directs MARS, even when given the
> link to the directive itself available on the Internet at
> the DoD website.

It was never in question.

Lennie is uttering a blatant falsehood every time he repeats this.

Lying IS Lennie's forte. This is why Lennie H doesn't get the respect and admiration he THINKS he deserves...

More Big Snip

> >a real whopper there Stevie
>
> True! Google archives have the proof in them, in thousands of
> personal-insult messages generated by Stebie...with only a few

> (perhaps a dozen at most) which are actual discussions devoid
> of personal attacks at somebody.

Nice try, Lennie.

Looking in the mirror again, obviously.

A survey of the posts of "Lenof21", "Lenover21", etc etc will show
precious few that don't "diss" "Rev Jimmie", "Katapult Kellie", "Der
Feldwebel", etc etc etc.

PRECIOUS few...

And the darned ironic thing is that I'm the one who usually jabs
Lennie, yet Lennie engages in all these "persoanl insult" diatribes at
almost everyone...

> >> The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> >> seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
> >
> >yes we think it is constructive to look behind the curtain at the real
> >world
>
> That would disturb Stebie's fantasy. He wants the nicely-ordered
> military-style discipline he is no longer a part of...(SNIP)

Wrong.

> (UNSNIP)...one where
> everything is explained and laid-out, no room for deviation from
> the precise order of things. He can fit into that. However, if
> the environment changes, he gets very upset and angry. He can't
> handle disagreements with his opinions, tries to defend himself
> (no need in normal discussion not about a person) by issuing a
> series of personal insults directed at his perceived "accuser."

Even MORE ironic that I have never addressed you (or anyone) as
the "accuser"...that would be a LennieTerm. One that helps to
establish "victim status"

> There is, nor has there ever been, a SINGLE "correct" way of
> doing things in amateur radio.

There's been ONE constant in Amatuer Radio...

The license.

The one you don't have...The one you CAN'T get.

> Amateur radio is NOT a military...(SNIP)

Your reality is slipping again, Lennie...

You really stretch to make these up, don't you..?!?!

Or is it just an excuse to tell Mrs Lennie "Just one more minute Dear...", hoping she'll fall asleep before you have to go "do your duty".

> But, the old rank-status-privilege class consciousness of the
> old six-class license system suits the ordered, disciplined
> military psyche.

You keep mentioning "military psyche" along with your 1950's-era radio stories, Lennie...

It doesn't take an LMHSW to figure you out...

More big snip.

> Radio is radio.

No, it's not. Not in the APPLICATION.

This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO...Not Army, or PLMRS, or GMRS, etc etc etc.

Big snip.

> One MUST look at what other radio services are doing. Firstly,
> amateurs must co-exist in the EM spectrum with all other radio
> services.

We must co-exist in that we do not cause any disruption of service to OTHER radio services. What goes on inside those band edges is a whole different story.

> Secondly, other radio services, having (usually)
> more flexibility in mode type use, can offer insight into
> possible new methods of communications.

But...but...but...LENNIE!

YOU are the one who keeps yelling "IT'S JUST A HOBBY!"

Where does the FUN of operating radios that are software defined and require almost NO human interaction to make work go...?!?!

> Thirdly, closing off
> minds to what happens elsewhere and claiming it is "for the
> good of amateur radio" is a simple cop-out, an excuse NOT to
> learn, investigate, to enquire about new things, new
> possibilities; it's a rationale for being LAZY.

Lennie...YOU keep repeating that threadbare and untruthful rant over and over, and it's no more true today than when you first sprout it.

> LenDidIbaffleThemWithEnoughBSthisTimeAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2834. Johnny B. Goode (Pedo Steve Holsten of Kennett, Missouri) has his head up his fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 14:12:22 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122732742.588004.153630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Johnny B Goode wrote:
> Wogie <Holestain doing his Pee Wee Herman impersonation)

While you obviously need some surgery yourself to pull your head out of
your fat ass.

2835. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 14:17:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122733037.348597.210440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114245

John Smith wrote:

> Why would terrorists use radio, even with the narrowest directive antennas and
> broadcast to who-knows-who?

Simple, John.

Hide in plain sight. Make it look like a duck, sound like a duck
and quack like a duck, everyone thinks it's just another duck.

Just like 20 middle eastern guys did at flight schools around the
United States a few years back and then perpetrated one of the most
heinous acts of terrorism the world had ever seen.

Just my 0.02....

73

Steve, K4YZ

2836. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 14:18:26 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122733106.173963.137380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114246

Johnny B Goode wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:4zEGe.6287$0C.1453@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
> >> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
> >> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
> >> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
> >> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
> >> next
>
> There is special equipment available to those with his handicap:
> electronic spellers and dictionaries
> word processors
> talking calculators
>
> It is evident that he does not choose to use them.
>
> Finally, we have his past fabrications on his military service and why
> he needs to keep a low profile. Mark has sought victim status here on
> numerous occasions.
>
>
> Dave K8MN
> >
> The above described disorders could easily define a certain Cretin from the
> West Virginia area.

Or You, Kevin.

> As the saying goes, "You can lead an ignoramus to a
> dictionary, but you can't teach him to use it."

Shall we search out your numerous misspellings?

> Or something along those lines.

Oh, so you *admit* you don't know what the hell you are talking about.

2837. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 14:21:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GLmdnUmS_b2kEHbfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114248

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:XxCGe.166$wn4.3399815@news.sisna.com...
> b.b.:
>
> Fact is, soon as CW falls, the CB'ers will have their ham radios and will
> be able to take over these responsibilities. They are younger, won't seem
> such a burden, the hams really do need a rest... a long one... might be
> able to recover their sense of humor even, if well rested...
>
> John
>

Most of the CB'ers that I see are showing the same phenomenon as amateur
radio, i.e. an aging population. This seems to be striking every activity
as far as I can see.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2838. Would Mark Morgan Want To Undergo Surgery By A Doctor Who Wrote His Orders Like Markie Writes? Would He Let A Family Member?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 14:22:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122733346.399124.115890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114247

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>you don't understand english
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>Priceless!
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> break
> >>>>>
> >>>>>You lie
> >>>>>you cheat
> >>>>>you comit public fraud
> >>>>>you stalk
> >>>>>you harrass
> >>>>>you threaten
> >>>>>you libel
> >>>>>you forge
> >>>>>
> >>>>You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>> no Stevie needs to het a grip on himself
> >>>
> >> and you need to stop editing so badly that is a partial list of Stevies

> > misdeeds
>
> Editing? What editing?

Doesn't matter, Dave.

That was all eight of Markie's lies and allegations. Seems it's
going to take a bigger hammer to get his attention this time.

> >>> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
> >>
> >>I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.
> >
> >
> > agreed
> >
> > when you planing on starting?
>
> I'll likely start when a definition is put together for "respoinilty".

Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
written like Markie writes...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2839. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 14:33:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LcMGe.6397$0C.2996@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114249

"amateur" <r@lurkio.ca> wrote

> Does this mean the guys that have the basic qualification
> now would have to go back and rewrite to get HF privilege ?

Not in most cases.

As I read it, you are authorized HF access as of today, without further testing, if...

1) ...you got your Basic certificate >>before<< April 2, 2002.
2) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 AND at a score of 80% or higher.
3) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 at a score lower than 80% AND pass a 5WPM Morse exam

Perhaps Leo can confirm (or correct) my understanding.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2840. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 15:04:40 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <dcg4u8alr1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 114150

"K�HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
> "amateur" <r@lurkio.ca> wrote
>
>> Does this mean the guys that have the basic qualification
>> now would have to go back and rewrite to get HF privilege ?
>
> Not in most cases.
>
> As I read it, you are authorized HF access as of today, without further testing,
> if...
>
> 1) ...you got your Basic certificate >>before<< April 2, 2002.
> 2) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 AND at a score
> of 80% or higher.
> 3) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 at a score lower
> than 80% AND pass a 5WPM Morse exam
>
If you have the advanced license, that also gives you HF privileges with this
new change.

The retaking the test is only if someone didn't receive the 80% pass mark but
want HF and fits none of the above four possibilities.

The RAC bulletin reads like only if you were licensed before April 2, 2002
that you automatically get HF privileges. But on reading the Canadian
Gazette entry on this,
http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html
it seems less clearcut.

They start off with the bit about before April 2, 2002:
(2) Amateurs certified prior to April 2, 2002 will be allowed to
operate in the HF bands below 30 MHz based on the experience and
knowledge they have acquired over this period of time.

But then further down:
Amateurs holding a Basic Certificate who have been certified for at
least three years will automatically receive authority to operate in
the HF bands. This is based on the rationale that three years of
experience will have allowed the amateur to acquire sufficient
experience to operate proficiently in the HF bands. Amateurs who
received their Basic Certificate within the three year interval prior
to the date of the new standards will be required to prove that they
had attained a mark of at least 80%.

Those two paragraphs don't fully mesh. The first is a hardcoding of a date, but the second suggests that all one needs is a 3 year waiting period. I'm not sure which takes control.

Michael VE2BVW

2841. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 15:16:01 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <c81c4$42eb99a3$4e0b101$18126@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 114151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Wadd,
OK , here we go with the name calling.
I stated my opinion because I am a licensed amateur radio operator.
It looks like that makes one of us.
Since this is an amateur radio group and you are not a licensed amateur
radio operator, you are hereby kill filed on my computer.
Blow Away Johnny Wadd.

Ace - WH2T

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:NSyGe.135$JM2.2722849@news.sisna.com...
> Dr. Pepper:
>
> Well, yes, you have a call sign in there, again, we are in agreement...
>
> I am sure the others got the meaning of my post, makes me doubt you
> deserve the "Dr." in front of you name...
>
> John
>
> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
> news:27939$42eab731$4e0b130$8788@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>> John,
>> I am not sure what you are trying to communicate in your last post.
>> I think I was rather clear in mine.
>> As you can see, I have a callsign.
>> Ace- WH2T
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:qzyGe.129$wo1.2506866@news.sisna.com...
SNIPPED

>>> John
>>>
>>> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
>>> news:94ce4$42eab3f4$4e0b130$8706@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>>> John,
>>>> Since you don't use a callsign, I believe you are not a licensed
>>>> amateur radio operator.
>>>> I wonder why so many unlicensed people (mostly CB'ers) post their
>>>> opinions in Amateur Radio groups?
>>>>

>>>> I believe that most licensed amateur radio operators, don't care what
>>>> the opinions of unlicensed people are about amateur radio. I know I
>>>> don't care.
>>>>
>>>> And as for the trolls who say "I don't use my callsign on the internet
>>>> because of privacy concerns", that's just another way of saying that
>>>> they are unlicensed CB'ers without a callsign.
>>>>
>>>> The internet is big enough for all people to post their opinions. I am
>>>> sure there are many news groups dedicated to CB'ers. They should use
>>>> them instead of Amateur Radio groups.
>>>> Ace - WH2T

2842. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 15:28:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <01NGe.211$2S3.5718109@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114165

K4YZ:

Exactly, the duck idea is excellent. And, that is exactly why I was pointing out the "code" would be buried in a graphic on a website, maybe Al Jazerra's(sp?), or any other, and can be read from any library or other computer across the nation...

Also, music broadcasts from sw stations would be good...

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:1122733037.348597.210440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Why would terrorists use radio, even with the narrowest directive antennas
>> and
>> broadcast to who-knows-who?
>
> Simple, John.
>
> Hide in plain sight. Make it look like a duck, sound like a duck
> and quack like a duck, everyone thinks it's just another duck.
>
> Just like 20 middle eastern guys did at flight schools around the
> United States a few years back and then perpetrated one of the most
> heinous acts of terrorism the world had ever seen.
>
> Just my 0.02....
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2843. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 15:39:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5bNGe.212$qn4.5859463@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114152

N2EY:

Perhaps introduce testing for "African Message Drum" also, bet some of those guys could pound out a little ditty and have it carry a message to! Maybe chant a little rap with it too!

Some testing in the care maintenance of carrier pigeons might be in order to, for the guys who wanted real DX!

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1122725592.723276.21180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Leo wrote:
> On Fri, 29 Jul 2005 19:37:30 GMT, "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:

> An interesting announcement! Here in Canada, Morse Code is now > an
> alternative method of qualifying for HF privileges.
>
> In other words - Morse survived!

Morse Code *testing* survived - it's just not mandatory anymore in Canada.

IIRC, this was exactly what the commentary on the proposal supported.
>
> A couple of the other requests from the Amateur community
> via the
> RAC proposal) were granted as well - increasing the pass
> marks on the
> exams to 70% from 60%, and the addition of commercial
> kit-building
> privileges for Basic license holders.
>
> Not bad - now there's a regulatory agency that listens. Well
> done, Industry Canada!

I agree 100%!

They found a way to give everyone some of what they wanted. They listened to what the majority of those expressing an opinion supported, and acted on it. They produced a set of regulations designed to reconcile or at least minimize polarization, rather than increase it.

What concepts, eh? ;-)

Perhaps we in the USA should suggest such a system to FCC....

73 de Jim, N2EY

2844. Re: K1MAN PA means Pending Action, what happened Blapster ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 15:45:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LgNGe.214$FO3.5661835@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dr. Pepper:

Ham license? Well, that makes one a glorified CB'er now doesn't it?

I realize you think a ham ticket is some great accomplishment, something with signifies that individual has some mental acuity or powers above mere mortals.

All that is, is a damn hobby license, get a clue.

If you expect a ham license to demand some type of respect for its' possessor--you are sorely mistaken. Some complete ignorant jerks possess these things. Get a MS or doctorate in a science of math field, that is what you really need...

That is all I was pointing out, and the fact you don't seem to have a clue to those facts...

John

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:c81c4$42eb99a3$4e0b101$18126@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> John Wadd,
> OK , here we go with the name calling.
> I stated my opinion because I am a licensed amateur radio operator.
> It looks like that makes one of us.
> Since this is an amateur radio group and you are not a licensed amateur radio
> operator, you are hereby kill filed on my computer.
> Blow Away Johnny Wadd.
>
> Ace - WH2T
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:NSyGe.135$JM2.2722849@news.sisna.com...
>> Dr. Pepper:
>>
>> Well, yes, you have a call sign in there, again, we are in agreement...
>>
>> I am sure the others got the meaning of my post, makes me doubt you deserve
>> the "Dr." in front of you name...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
>> news:27939$42eab731$4e0b130$8788@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>> John,

>>> I am not sure what you are trying to communicate in your last post.
>>> I think I was rather clear in mine.
>>> As you can see, I have a callsign.
>>> Ace- WH2T
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:qzyGe.129$wo1.2506866@news.sisna.com...
> SNIPPED
>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:94ce4$42eab3f4$4e0b130$8706@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>>>> John,
>>>>> Since you don't use a callsign, I believe you are not a licensed amateur
>>>>> radio operator.
>>>>> I wonder why so many unlicensed people (mostly CB'ers) post their
>>>>> opinions in Amateur Radio groups?
>>>>>
>>>>> I believe that most licensed amateur radio operators, don't care what the
>>>>> opinions of unlicensed people are about amateur radio. I know I don't
>>>>> care.
>>>>>
>>>>> And as for the trolls who say "I don't use my callsign on the internet
>>>>> because of privacy concerns", that's just another way of saying that they
>>>>> are unlicensed CB'ers without a callsign.
>>>>>
>>>>> The internet is big enough for all people to post their opinions. I am
>>>>> sure there are many news groups dedicated to CB'ers. They should use them
>>>>> instead of Amateur Radio groups.
>>>>> Ace - WH2T
>
>

2845. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 15:49:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XkNGe.215$e94.5820533@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114153

Dee:

It is obvious, the FCC has chosen the "Time Solution."

Now people can grab their licenses with no problems from CW. Time passes, the problem cures itself when all protesters have gone SK, and the real final changes can be instituted.

It is probably the best decision given the religious zealots surrounding CW.

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:9dadnVsBbtpy5HbfRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
>>> >> that they expect any Tech
>>> >> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
>>> >> to take the General exam and
>>> >> upgrade to get HF privileges.
>>> >
>>> > Interesting - in many ways!
>>> >
>>> > For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
>>> > Technicians - those with HF and those without.
>>
>>> Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
>>> that licensees
>>> are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want more
>>> privileges, they show that development by upgrading.
>>
>> The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
>> contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!
>>
>
> But it does completely satisfy those who kept hammering on no automatic
> upgrades and then some as I got the impression that most would not have
> minded Techs being consolidated with Tech Plus at the Tech Plus privileges
> even though otherwise against automatic upgrades.

>
>> The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-with-HF
>> is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not. The latter
>> has some HF privileges and the former has none.
>>
>> The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and proposes
>> to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
>> follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but that's not
>> what FCC proposes?!
>>
>> The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
>> long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
>> term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
>> and require at least a General for HF.
>>
>
> It is hard to say what the FCC was thinking. However perhaps they too are
> looking at the statistics and interpret it as no need for the introductory
> license to have HF privileges. Afterall the Novice are declining at a fast
> enough pace that it looks like almost none are active and that almost all are
> failing to renew. They can easily go into the data as they have it and see
> how many Tech Pluses actually upgraded, let themselves lapse, or simply
> renewed. The last indicating a low activity level and/or interest level
> since they have not upgraded.
>
> Also, they may be thinking that this keeps the minimum number to tests to get
> onto HF at least at two. The Novices had to take two and Techs after April
> 2000 had to take two to get on HF. Prior to that, Techs had to take 3 tests
> to get on HF (Novice written, Tech written, and code).
>
> They also discussed the concept that they do not want Techs to stay at the
> Tech level. They consider upgrading as a significant element in individuals
> fulfilling the basis and purpose of ham radio (i.e. self training, etc).
> Allowing the Technicians some HF privileges reduces the motivation to
> upgrade. And the FCC repeately referred to the Techs being able to get on HF
> by taking the simple written test to upgrade to General.
>
>> That may sound farfetched, but consider that the number of Novices is
>> slowly dropping since that license class has been closed to new issues.
>> If Element 1 disappears, the number of code-tested Techs will have to
>> drop, too, as there won't be any more new ones. Eventually there won't
>> be any of Novices or code-tested Techs left - which means no more
>> entry-level licensees with HF privileges.
>>
>
> As a VE and instructor, it's been my observation that not that many Techs
> bother to take the code until they are ready to upgrade to General. Of
> course this is limited to the sessions that I have participated in. However
> in the last 5 years, we've only tested a couple of Techs for code privileges.
> And those two were not taking it as an upgrade to Tech but at the same

> session with their Tech written. The rest were all trying for their General
> licenses (i.e. had their General written CSCE in hand or were trying for
> their General written at the same session).
>
>> Of course it will take years for all of them to disappear, but
>> such a system doesn't cost FCC any admin resources.
>>
>
> Maximum of ten years from the date of the R&O if they don't renew or upgrade.
> Potentially far longer if they do renew.
>
>>> > But more telling would be how many Techs would
>>> > get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.
>>
>>> I think that will indeed be very interesting.
>>
>> Yes!
>>
>>> I was plotting the data from
>>> the ah0a site out of curiosity and it is easy to see on a graph > the
>>> bubble
>>> in 2000 and it is easy to see the fact that it was small and
>>> temporary. The
>>> only class that is steadily increasing significantly in numbers > is the
>>> Extra.
>>
>> Plain and simple fact. Can't escape it.
>>>
>>> > We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
>>> > 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
>>> > Techs upgrade and how many don't.
>>>
>>> My guess is that at least half the Technicians are inactive and > will not
>>> upgrade. Of the remaining portion, there will probably be half > that
>>> either
>>> don't hear about the change or don't hear that much about the
>>> change and so
>>> won't pursue it. There will also be a handful that aren't
>>> really interested
>>> in HF and so will not upgrade. Still if only 1/4 of the Techs > upgrade in
>>> the next year, it will be quite a burden on the VEs for a while.
>>>
>> Nobody really knows what will happen. The VEs will rise to the
>> challenge, just they did in 2000.
>>
>
> Yes they will. In reality, I don't expect that we will be swamped as the
> excuses will begin ("I don't have time to study right now", etc). We will
> get hit with a bump in the numbers but it won't be that bad. Afterall just
> look at the bump in 2000. There were something like 100,000+ Tech Pluses

> and the number of upgrades while higher for a few months wasn't all that
> large.
>
>> Look again at the AH0A data from 2000, and from this week. Or compare
>> the numbers I post twice a month. Are the changes really that dramatic?
>>
>
> Not really. Although as I mentioned earlier, I think under the new system,
> we will gradually drift towards a de facto two class system (General & Extra)
> at least among the hams who are actually active.
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2846. doctors write worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 17:43:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122745380.676481.61970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114155

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >

cut
> > >>
> > >
> > > break
> > >
> > > >>>You lie
> > > >>>you cheat
> > > >>>you comit public fraud
> > > >>>you stalk
> > > >>>you harrass
> > > >>>you threaten
> > > >>>you libel
> > > >>>you forge
> > > >>
> > > >>You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > no Stevie needs to het a grip on himself
> > > >
> > > > and you need to stop editing so badly that is a partial list of Stevies
> > > > misdeeds
> > >
> > Editing? What editing?

>
> Doesn't matter, Dave.

no it does not matter to you Steve
>
> That was all eight of Markie's lies and allegations. Seems it's
> going to take a bigger hammer to get his attention this time.
>
> > >>> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
> > > >>
> > > >>I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > agreed
> > > >

> > > when you planing on starting?
> >
> > I'll likely start when a definition is put together for "respoinilty".
>

> Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
> loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
> written like Markie writes...

gee My note reaad clearer than my docs scpirts do

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2847. stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 17:55:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122746138.776717.108160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114156

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > > > >
> > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> > >
> > right you did not provide enough facts to substnaiate it Steve you are
> > agreeing with him and with me
> >
> No, I am not...

you change your stary so often Stevie
>
> I am saying BRAIN did not care enough to substantiate HIS claims,
> several of which were made in your absence.

another change

>
> > indeed you even refuse to stat what you claim those acheveiments are
> >
> Untrue.

a Lie Steve
>
> It's been hashed, re-hashed, and hashed over repeatedly. That YOU
> won't take time to dig back through the archives to see what I am
> talking about is not justification for me to re-do the whole thing
> again.

I have gone through 60 pages of titles of your posts and not found
them, You truely don'tseem to realize the amount of shit you spew out

in RRAP making very hard to find something esp when you don't provide
enough info to know what one is looking for

but the translation of your word is that you are too lazy to do so, or
the "acheivements" are so small that you are emabrrashed to restate
them

>
> > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
> > > >
> > > My reality is truth.
> >
> > you have no contact with reality prehaps not even by radio
>
> My reality touches on things you never conceptualized of.

I am sure your "reality" touchs things I no concept of, and mostly
things that totaly the product of your twisted mind too

> Markie...Mostly due to your poor English skills and inability to
> assimilate the knowledge.

Not at all

I just prefer a better grade of SciFI

>
> > > Yours is...well...it's something else...
> >
> > you would never know
>
> I bet I know more than you think I do.

I doubt that

you do of course know thing I don't, as I know things you don't.

>
> > You accept press realeases as gosple turth
>
> Nope.

yes you do

>
> But I know what actually transpired in most of the recent ARES
> mount-outs as opposed to what was "reported", and I ahve yet to find
> any discrepancy between the two.

You know what happens in ARES accros the nation? Bullshit, you might
know what happens in Tennesse

>
> > that is stupid, and at best niave at worst willfully blind
>
> Read the above, Markie.

and I stand behind "that is stupid, and at best niave at worst
willfully blind"

>
> Insisting others are "blind" when you can't even find the light
> switch is assinine.

I know where the light switch is You don't know what light is

>
> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > > >
> > > > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
> > > >
> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> >
> > a real whopper there Stevie
>
> Nope.

yes you rarely discuss anything to do radio amateur or otherwise.

such post comprise less than 5% of your posting of the last few days,
and those post are small in size compared your volume of personal
attacks

>
> > > The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> > > seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
> >
> > yes we think it is constructive to look behind the curtain at the real
> > world
>
> The "real world" is NOT behind a curtain, Markie.

It is for you since you can't see it

>
> It's out here for all to see.

except it seems for you

>
> And I see you've screwed the pooch again. If you knew how to
> construct a meaningful sentence, you would quit falling into that
> trap...a trap YOU set.

not fallen into any trap

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2848. more stevie atacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:01:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122746496.035657.79970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114157

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
> >

> More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character,
> Brain.

flailing Character Steve, gee you are the one that goes flaiing al the
time

if you meant failing well i suppose that Brain and Myself don't care
how you define character, indeed if you were an example of good
character it says much fr the merits of being evil

>
> > > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
> > > >
> > > > My reality is truth.
> > >
> > > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
> >
> > Nope.

>
> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.

very little truth at all comes form you hand

Mostly what comes is your efforts to impose your moral values on the
rest of us

>
> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
> > > >
> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> >
> > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
>
> Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
> people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.

and in addition to wishing to be offended you also seem to enjoy being
offensive

>
> > > The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> > > seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
> >
> > Hi! I suppose that you think what you do is constructive? Hi, hi!
>
> It keeps you occupied.
>
> > We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
> > the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
> > yourselves.
>
> I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
> If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.

progress boyo but you bring discrdeit not merely on the Extra Class but
on all the ARS

>
> Just like YOUR lying and deceit does not bring "discredit" on Air
> Force veterans or Amateur Radio General class licensee...

you do like piling on

>
> Just Brian P Burke, who happens to have been those things at one
> time or another.

those things?

>
> So what are you going to do to fix it?

fix what? you have yet to show a lie? the closest you have ever come is
show some facts can be disputed
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2849. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:14:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122747244.854359.226260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114158

From: K0HB on Jul 29, 4:36 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> I think that regular readers are fully aware
>> of your personal dislike of Fred Maia.
>
>I've never met the guy, so it'd be pretty far fetched to suggest have a
>"personal dislike" for him.
>
>I certainly, however, disagree with a lot of his philosophy.

In the CONTEXT of the subject, "personal dislike" in newsgroups
is to be expected...ACTING on such personal dislike in a puerile
juvenile middle-school manner instead of the subject matter is
an indication that the responder has no valid argument on the
SUBJECT.

As a manager, you should be aware that personal preferences in
personalities have NO validity for business purposes. Yes,
there should be a balance there in order to preserve a working
crew, to avoid an evacuation en masse (I've seen it happen) due
to overbearing management techniques. The purpose of business
is business. Similarly, discussion of regulations affecting
the ENTIRETY of citizens under an administration, should be
conducted in a venue concentrating on the SUBJECTS, not the
present form of juvenile very-personal insults and cat-calls
by those whose only interest is very, very personal desires.

Those looking for "hail fellow well met" type of ambience should
go straight to the nearest lodge hall and hang out. There
WILL ALWAYS be disagreement on subjects. Amateur radio is not
a military unit of national service, not a union, not a guild,
not a craft of professionals. It isn't even a fraternal order
of the local variety. There is NO "requirement" except in the
minds of too many that "all must think/do/perform in the SAME
manner" or be forever consigned to some mythical hell.

There IS a great variety in amateur radio, a personal recreation
spread over the entire country, not just at some imaginary
local lodge hall. The "rank" and "status" and "privilege"
put on various classes of federal license is largely an
artificiality applied by previous humans into codified law.

>Same applies to you. My guess, from what I see here, is that you're a humorous
>guy, good conversationalist, and that we'd find much in common.

TOTALLY IRRELEVANT for the purposes of discussing anything in regards to federal regulations affecting ALL citizens, interested or not interested in taking up licensed amateur radio. As I just said, if you NEED a local lodge hall environment, go there and feel more comfortable with like-minded individuals. All can think in the same line of thought, feel secure in the company of "peers."

Evidenciary in here is that MOST of the posters NEED this commonality, indeed thrive on it. Those who do not agree with such common, like-minded thinking, are treated with the basest sort of outright BIGOTRY not confined solely to race.

I really don't care one bit what YOU personally like or dislike. Matters not to the SUBJECT which can affect each and every citizen of the USA who might be interested in amateur radio...regulations that would apply to ALL and not the self-propelled few who insist in ham radio built in THEIR image.

> But having
>never met you, I can't pretend a "personal like" or a "personal dislike" for
>you. I certainly, however, disagree with much of the philosophy you express
>here.

That is, again, totally irrelevant to the SUBJECT of federal regulations which involve the totality of ALL citizens in regards to radio matters. This venue is NOT a popularity contest, of personalities who see themselves as "leaders" (yet it is obvious that this is what they seek in here). Those who have a NEED to control others, to be "leaders," can find sustenance in local fraternal orders by running for office THERE. In HERE it is a discussion on ISSUES of amateur radio policy...or that was the intention however else its inhabitants have failed in carrying out the purpose.

On "philosophy" (of some imaginary grouping), that is a very presonal inside-the-head construct, a complex matter that is very NOT shared by all others except in gross generalities.

As I've often remarked, electrons fields and waves do NOT obey any human desires, wishes, or fantasies. Those very electrons, fields, and waves are the HEART of the entirety of radio, the things that make it work. Magic and fantsay do nothing in technology that obeys only physical laws. That some HUMANS have codified laws in a certain manner does NOT mean that such human laws affect the physical laws. Radio itself does not work on the emotional state of federally-authorized licensed (certificate suitable for framing) radio operators.

Someone once wrote in here "gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable." Wonder who that was?

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2850. Re: Steve Knows Stevie Is A Pathetic Liar...But Then So Does Everyone Else

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:20:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122747633.035895.166470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114159

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Snarly Stebie doesn't do much else in this newsgroup but
> > boast generalities of a non-specific nature while generally
> > insulting everyone who doesn't agree with him.
>
> Untrue, but then when has "truth" been your suit, Lennie?

entirely true
>
> > He has changed his boasting so many times that it is difficult
> > to know what he has actually done or experienced.
>
> I haven't been boasting.

sure have been boasting about your achivements sayning they are better
than Lenn without of course saying what they are

>
> On the otherhand this post I am replying to is over 300 lines
> long.

So? Now you are trying to ditate how people may express themselves

>
> > Snarly Stebie's version of "truth" is whatever he wants to
> > claim on any particular day. He has NO references on any of
> > it. Some examples:
> >
> > Stebie would challenge "call the VA and they can tell you"
> > [all about Stebie's military record]
>
> Untrue.
>
> You at one time doubted my having served at all. The VA CAN
> varify that information. You refused, of course...Lot's of
> excuses...Nothing new there...

No Stevie the people who do that but only to a small degree is USAREC
in St.Louis MO

But they can't verify a claim that you will make spefic enough to even
ask about

>
> Big snip

>
> > In the beginning of Stebie's tirades he mentioned a Medical
> > Discharge that cut his marine career to 18 years (rather
> > than a full 20). He then made that an "Honorable" instead
> > of a medical discharge; if he had gotten that discharge some
> > 5 years before (his alleged time was 1974 to 1992), why
> > would he not have said "honorable" in the beginning? That's
> > all archived, BTW.
>
> Well...MOST of it is archived. You've taken some literary license
> with what was said, but I am sure it's much more colorful in your mind
> that way.

and you admit to this or not?

>
> > Stebie claimed to have been in "seven hostile actions"
> > during his military career, but it seems he is spinning
> > that into the USMC being involved in those...while he was
> > in it. He has no proof at all shown in here that HE was
> > IN any of those hostile actions. That's in newer archives.
>
> And we won't discuss any of them.

then they are claims without proof, or BYS Stevie lies

>
> Just like you harrangue Dave Heil over his service in the State
> Department (all of it fact-based and verifyable), I shant give you the
> pleasure. You can go ahead and insist "it didn't happen" based upon my
> refusal to tell you the details, if you care to, but ti still doesn't
> make it any less factual.

just appling YOUR OWN STANDARDS Stevie
>
> > Stebie has claimed "working in military radio communications"
> > but isn't at all familiar with the very common (quarter
> > million R/T units made and operational) SINCGARS...(SNIP)
>
> Lennie, I guess it's silly to point out to you that SINCGARS is
> NOT the end-all of radio communications in the Armed Forces.

but you know nothing of the system where I a 54E know a afair about
them, without having served a commo related MOS. make it very dubious

>
> Your 3000th telling of yet another SINCGARS story does not make it
> any more valuable than any other communications resource...Then, now or
> in the future.
>
> Nore snip
>

> > At best, Stebie's claims are only for an "Assistant" NCOIC
> > position at a MARS station in Okinawa in the 1980s. MARS
> > stations are NOT a regular part of any service branch radio
> > communications...are, at best, a sort of special services
> > like operation where they MIGHT be used for regular comms
> > in case of catastrophic happenings to the Defense
> > Communication System (DCS).
>
break
> But...but...but...LENNIE!
>
> YOU are the one who made the big deal about who runs MARS, etc etc
> even when it wasn't an issue!

more stevie lies
>
> > That's in very recent archives.
> > Worse yet, it took months for Stebie to acknowledge that
> > the Department of Defense directs MARS, even when given the
> > link to the directive itself available on the Internet at
> > the DoD website.
>

you claimed otherwise

> It was never in question.
>
> Lennie is uttering a blatant falsehood every time he repeats this.
>
> Lying IS Lennie's forte. This is why Lennie H doesn't get the
> respect and admiration he THINKS he deserves...
>

Stevie just goes and on dening his own words

> More Big Snip
>
> > >a real whopper there Stevie
> > >
> > True! Google archives have the proof in them, in thousands of
> > personal-insult messages generated by Stebie...with only a few
> > (perhaps a dozen at most) which are actual discussions devoid
> > of personal attacks at somebody.
>
> Nice try, Lennie.

BUZZ wrong it is the truth although with your limited mind you may be
unaware of the sheer volume of shit you generate here in RRAP

>
> Looking in the mirror again, obviously.
>

> A survey of the posts of "Lenof21", "Lenover21", etc etc will show
> precious few that don't "diss" "Rev Jimmie", "Katapult Kellie", "Der
> Feldwebel", etc etc etc.
>
> PRECIOUS few...
>
> And the darned ironic thing is that I'm the one who usually jabs
> Lennie, yet Lennie engages in all these "persoanl insult" diatribes at
> almost everyone...

you must be reading a different Usenet than I am Stevie or be lying or
delusional

>
> > >> The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> > >> seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
> > >
> > >yes we think it is constructive to look behind the curtain at the real
> > >world
> >
> > That would disturb Stebie's fantasy. He wants the nicely-ordered
> > military-style discipline he is no longer a part of...(SNIP)
>
> Wrong.

right You can't handle to turth

>
>
> > (UNSNIP)...one where
> > everything is explained and laid-out, no room for deviation from
> > the precise order of things. He can fit into that. However, if
> > the environment changes, he gets very upset and angry. He can't
> > handle disagreements with his opinions, tries to defend himself
> > (no need in normal discussion not about a person) by issuing a
> > series of personal insults directed at his perceived "accuser."
>
> Even MORE ironic that I have never addressed you (or anyone) as
> the "accuser"...that would be a LennieTerm. One that helps to
> establish "victim status"

more Steve evasion, and another lie you have called me on accusing you
>
> > There is, nor has there ever been, a SINGLE "correct" way of
> > doing things in amateur radio.
>
> There's been ONE constant in Amatuer Radio...
>
> The license.

then why don't and why have you never treated other license holder with
any respect?

even if I granted you abuse of Lenn on that issue it doesn't explian
your treatment of Myself of Todd and of Brain

>
> The one you don't have...The one you CAN'T get.

More Libel Steve the second half of the statement is liekly not true
and you should know it is likely not true

>
> > Amateur radio is NOT a military...(SNIP)
>
> Your reality is slipping again, Lennie...

no he is trying to guess at the nature of your twisted mind
>
> You really stretch to make these up, don't you..?!?!

not at all you seem to act like a drill sgt (whatever the fool marines
call the army calls em that), and expect the rest of us are going to
fall in
>
sexual inuendo cut

>
> > But, the old rank-status-privilege class consciousness of the
> > old six-class license system suits the ordered, disciplined
> > military psyche.
>
> You keep mentioning "military psyche" along with your 1950's-era
> radio stories, Lennie...

yes he does. your point?

>
> It doesn't take an LMHSW to figure you out...
>
> More big snip.
>
> > Radio is radio.
>
> No, it's not. Not in the APPLICATION.

Radio is NOT radio Stevie? my, my you do need your meds

>
> This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO...Not Army, or PLMRS, or GMRS,
> etc etc etc.

then why don't you write about Amateur Radio once and awhile

>
> Big snip.
>
> > One MUST look at what other radio services are doing. Firstly,

> > amateurs must co-exist in the EM spectrum with all other radio
> > services.
>
> We must co-exist in that we do not cause any disruption of service
> to OTHER radio services. What goes on inside those band edges is a
> whole different story.

IOW we should ignore the technolgies around us and focus on what? Morse
Coded OOK CW?

>
> > Secondly, other radio services, having (usually)
> > more flexibility in mode type use, can offer insight into
> > possible new methods of communications.
>
> But...but...but...LENNIE!
>
> YOU are the one who keeps yelling "IT'S JUST A HOBBY!"
>
> Where does the FUN of operating radios that are software defined
> and require almost NO human interaction to make work go...?!?!

I on't particular want to work that hard just to make the RADIO go, Id
rather spend my time and effort dealing with people at the other end

>
> > Thirdly, closing off
> > minds to what happens elsewhere and claiming it is "for the
> > good of amateur radio" is a simple cop-out, an excuse NOT to
> > learn, investigate, to enquire about new things, new
> > possibilities; it's a rationale for being LAZY.
>
> Lennie...YOU keep repeating that threadbare and untruthful rant
> over and over, and it's no more true today than when you first sprout
> it.

you operate that way, Jim N2EY is politer about but operates that way
>
> > LenDidIbaffleThemWithEnoughBSthisTimeAnderson@ieee.org

another stevie forgery
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2851. Re: No Matter How Many Times Stevie Chages The Subject, He'll Still Be A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:21:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122747716.892313.179090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114160

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>you don't understand english
> >>
> >>Priceless!
> >>
> >>
> >
> > break
> >
> >>>You lie
> >>>you cheat
> >>>you comit public fraud
> >>>you stalk
> >>>you harrass
> >>>you threaten
> >>>you libel
> >>>you forge
> >>
> >>You need to get a grip on yourself, Mark.
> >
> >
> > no Stevie needs to het a grip on himself
> >
> > and you need to stop editing so badly that is a partial list of Stevies
```

> > misdeeds
>
> Editing? What editing?

cuting about 50 lines above and another 50 line below without making
any note of itfor a start

>
> >>> more steve lying to evade his respoinilty for his own words
> >>
> >>I think more of us should take respoinilty for our actions.
> >
> >
> > agreed
> >
> > when you planing on starting?
>
> I'll likely start when a definition is put together for "respoinilty".

meaning never
>
> Dave K8MN

2852. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:27:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122748051.989650.143280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114161

Michael Black wrote:
> "KØHB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
> > "amateur" <r@lurkio.ca> wrote
> >
> >> Does this mean the guys that have the basic qualification
> >> now would have to go back and rewrite to get HF privilege ?
> >
> > Not in most cases.
> >
> > As I read it, you are authorized HF access as of today, without further testing,
> > if...
> >
> > 1) ...you got your Basic certificate >>before<< April 2, 2002.
> > 2) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 AND at a score
> > of 80% or higher.
> > 3) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 at a score lower
> > than 80% AND pass a 5WPM Morse exam
> >
> If you have the advanced license, that also gives you HF privileges with this
> new change.
>
> The retaking the test is only if someone didn't receive the 80% pass mark but
> want HF and fits none of the above four possibilities.
>
> The RAC bulletin reads like only if you were licensed before April 2, 2002
> that you automatically get HF privileges. But on reading the Canadian
> Gazette entry on this,
> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html
> it seems less clearcut.
>
break
> They start off with the bit about before April 2, 2002:
> (2) Amateurs certified prior to April 2, 2002 will be allowed to
> operate in the HF bands below 30 MHz based on the experience and
> knowledge they have acquired over this period of time.
>
> But then further down:
> Amateurs holding a Basic Certificate who have been certified for at
> least three years will automatically receive authority to operate in
> the HF bands. This is based on the rationale that three years of
> experience will have allowed the amateur to acquire sufficient
> experience to operate proficiently in the HF bands. Amateurs who
> received their Basic Certificate within the three year interval prior

> to the date of the new standards will be required to prove that they
> had attained a mark of at least 80%.
>
> Those two paragraphs don't fully mesh. The first is a hardcoding of
> a date, but the second suggests that all one needs is a 3 year waiting
> period. I'm not sure which takes control.

they mesh okesp if you igamine that when it was written it may have
been intended to be posted earier

A date certain and then a period of time covers the new folks over time
>
> Michael VE2BVW

2853. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:30:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fHPGe.229$G76.8467594@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114187

Len:

In this new "feels good generation" I suppose you are supposed to disguise all dislikes and keep them a "state secret."

Frankly, I hail from a time when you were tested and knew your worth, from then on you went about your business, independent of others expectations or demands.

Back then, it was considered poor form to not allow others their personal opinions, beliefs and prejudices.

Since I made it though that time, I accepted that NOT all others could be expected to "like" me, indeed, I have never found the occasion where another mans' opinion either "makes me" or "breaks me." And, tools have been provided to me by my creator (whether that creator hailed from a mud puddle or exists as a GOD) and placed between my ears to allow me to realize all of this.

Now it seems, others expect to control the opinion one forms of them--the future will see if they are successful or not... I think NOT!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122747244.854359.226260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: K0HB on Jul 29, 4:36 pm
>
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>>
>>> I think that regular readers are fully aware
>>> of your personal dislike of Fred Maia.
>>
>>I've never met the guy, so it'd be pretty far fetched to suggest have a
>>"personal dislike" for him.
>>
>>I certainly, however, disagree with a lot of his philosophy.
>
> In the CONTEXT of the subject, "personal dislike" in newsgroups
> is to be expected...ACTING on such personal dislike in a puerile
> juvenile middle-school manner instead of the subject matter is
> an indication that the responder has no valid argument on the
> SUBJECT.
>
> As a manager, you should be aware that personal preferences in
> personalities have NO validity for business purposes. Yes,
> there should be a balance there in order to preserve a working

> crew, to avoid an evacuation en masse (I've seen it happen) due
> to overbearing management techniques. The purpose of business
> is business. Similarly, discussion of regulations affecting
> the ENTIRETY of citizens under an administration, should be
> conducted in a venue concentrating on the SUBJECTS, not the
> present form of juvenile very-personal insults and cat-calls
> by those whose only interest is very, very personal desires.
>
> Those looking for "hail fellow well met" type of ambience should
> go straight to the nearest lodge hall and hang out. There
> WILL ALWAYS be disagreement on subjects. Amateur radio is not
> a military unit of national service, not a union, not a guild,
> not a craft of professionals. It isn't even a fraternal order
> of the local variety. There is NO "requirement" except in the
> minds of too many that "all must think/do/perform in the SAME
> manner" or be forever consigned to some mythical hell.
>
> There IS a great variety in amateur radio, a personal recreation
> spread over the entire country, not just at some imaginary
> local lodge hall. The "rank" and "status" and "privilege"
> put on various classes of federal license is largely an
> artificiality applied by previous humans into codified law.
>
>>Same applies to you. My guess, from what I see here, is that you're a
>>humorous
>>guy, good conversationalist, and that we'd find much in common.
>
> TOTALLY IRRELEVANT for the purposes of discussing anything in
> regards to federal regulations affecting ALL citizens,
> interested or not interested in taking up licensed amateur
> radio. As I just said, if you NEED a local lodge hall
> environment, go there and feel more comfortable with like-
> minded individuals. All can think in the same line of
> thought, feel secure in the company of "peers."
>
> Evidenciary in here is that MOST of the posters NEED this
> commonality, indeed thrive on it. Those who do not agree
> with such common, like-minded thinking, are treated with the
> basest sort of outright BIGOTRY not confined solely to race.
>
> I really don't care one bit what YOU personally like or
> dislike. Matters not to the SUBJECT which can affect each
> and every citizen of the USA who might be interested in
> amateur radio...regulations that would apply to ALL and
> not the self-propelled few who insist in ham radio built
> in THEIR image.
>
>> But having
>>never met you, I can't pretend a "personal like" or a "personal dislike" for
>>you. I certainly, however, disagree with much of the philosophy you express

>>here.
>
> That is, again, totally irrelevant to the SUBJECT of federal
> regulations which involve the totality of ALL citizens in
> regards to radio matters. This venue is NOT a popularity
> contest, of personalities who see themselves as "leaders"
> (yet it is obvious that this is what they seek in here).
> Those who have a NEED to control others, to be "leaders,"
> can find sustenance in local fraternal orders by running for
> office THERE. In HERE it is a discussion on ISSUES of
> amateur radio policy...or that was the intention however else
> its inhabitants have failed in carrying out the purpose.
>
> On "philosophy" (of some imaginary grouping), that is a very
> presonal inside-the-head construct, a complex matter that is
> very NOT shared by all others except in gross generalities.
>
> As I've often remarked, electrons fields and waves do NOT
> obey any human desires, wishes, or fantasies. Those very
> electrons, fields, and waves are the HEART of the entirety
> of radio, the things that make it work. Magic and fantsay
> do nothing in technology that obeys only physical laws. That
> some HUMANS have codified laws in a certain manner does NOT
> mean that such human laws affect the physical laws. Radio
> itself does not work on the emotional state of federally-
> authorized licensed (certificate suitable for framing)
> radio operators.
>
> Someone once wrote in here "gentlemen can disagree without
> being disagreeable." Wonder who that was?
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2854. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:33:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122748416.204953.28580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114162

Dave Heil wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
> >> eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
> >> it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
> >> alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
> >> taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
> >> next
> >
> >
> > I have noticed that one post may be very readable and the next very
> > difficult to decipher. I looked up Aphasia, and you are laboring under a
> > very difficult disability. Thus please accept my apology for the
> > comments I have made and it shant happen again. I applaud you for
> > hanging in there, this can be a tough bunch.
>
> It'd be easier to deal with Mark if he didn't claim that 1) his earlier
> newsreader didn't have a spell checker 2) he can't be bothered to use
> the spellchecker in his current newsreader, 3) proofreading his material
> is just too much bother for the likes of us and 4) his mistakes are
> *our* problem, not his.

you know Dave you just don't seem to like the truth

neverfound newreader writer that had an easy to use spell checker

there is NO spel check in my current set up go to google and try it if
you still don't believe me

>
> There is special equipment available to those with his handicap:
> electronic spellers and dictionaries

and you realy ahven't had to try to what is out there, something for
which you thank whatever diety you pray to

> word processors
> talking calculators
>
> It is evident that he does not choose to use them.
>
> Finally, we have his past fabrications on his military service and why
> he needs to keep a low profile. Mark has sought victim status here on

> numerous occasions.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

2855. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:38:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122748705.951029.45260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114163

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> >
> > I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
> > eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
> > it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
> > alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
> > taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
> > next
>
> I have noticed that one post may be very readable and the next very
> difficult to decipher. I looked up Aphasia, and you are laboring under a
> very difficult disability. Thus please accept my apology for the
> comments I have made and it shant happen again. I applaud you for
> hanging in there, this can be a tough bunch.

and worse yet as Long as I remember a something I have written I see
what I intended, not what is there, my mind and memory. gnag up trying
to be helpful but jst making things worse, and as you have noticed the
degree varies constantly, but esp on other aspects of my health, or if
I get angery, and so the medical pro Steve who claims to know about
these condictions does his best to provoke and make me angery so he can
continue to make fun of me

2856. We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:43:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114164

Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all with a single thread.

Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report ONLY THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position on these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding Amateur Radio Policy.

1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's
7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
10. N9OLG is a sponge
11. John Smith is
12. John Smith isn't
13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
20. W4NTI is a redneck
21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
22. Leo is a hoser
23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
34. KB3EIA is full of helium
35. K3LT should come back to rrap.

36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
37. _____ is a _____ and a radio whiz.
38. _____ is a _____ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.

Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2857. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:52:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <W%PGe.233$wl6.8616992@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114167

KXHB:

I am having a problem with your test, it does not give true multiple choices and there is no element 1 to stroke my ego!

While I commend you in the brilliant thinking which now takes us in this new direction--the test is simply unacceptable.

You will need to present this test to the board and get comments from the community...

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating
> loops about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can
> end it all with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report
> ONLY THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your
> position on these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions
> regarding Amateur Radio Policy.
>
> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's
> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
> 11. John Smith is
> 12. John Smith isn't
> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North

> 22. Leo is a hoser
> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
> 37. __________ is a _________ and a radio whiz.
> 38. __________ is a _________ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

2858. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 18:54:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <daQGe.234$cs6.8687768@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114166

an_old_friend:

Let us now move away from this...
Don't keep it going, you will just end up defeating your own best interests...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122748705.951029.45260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > I suffer from Both Dyslexia and Dyslexiod Aphasia, the degree to which
>> > eithe ror both bother me and affect my writing avries over time, Indeed
>> > it is strongly affected by How much I need to take any med Esp My
>> > alegeies meds (which can cause drouseyness, and how recently I have
>> > taken thoose meds. so literly My abilty does vary from one minte to the
>> > next
>>
>> I have noticed that one post may be very readable and the next very
>> difficult to decipher. I looked up Aphasia, and you are laboring under a
>> very difficult disability. Thus please accept my apology for the
>> comments I have made and it shant happen again. I applaud you for
>> hanging in there, this can be a tough bunch.
>
> and worse yet as Long as I remember a something I have written I see
> what I intended, not what is there, my mind and memory. gnag up trying
> to be helpful but jst making things worse, and as you have noticed the
> degree varies constantly, but esp on other aspects of my health, or if
> I get angery, and so the medical pro Steve who claims to know about
> these condictions does his best to provoke and make me angery so he can
> continue to make fun of me
>

2859. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 19:54:56 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200507.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <92d9c871a4326d2931dd0f674cf5702f@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 114169

In article <dcfbo2rnk0@pita.alt.net>
"Johnny B Goode" <Wogie@guesswho> whining at 3:55 AM:

> The above (IKYABWAI)

Some Friday night, huh, dumbass, whining about another man at
almost 4 AM...no social life at all, eh, DUMBASS?

2860. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 20:02:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x1RGe.10668$dU3.1817@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114168

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> and there is no element 1 to stroke my ego!

Item 37 is included to allow unlimited self-aggrandizement.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2861. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 20:17:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <HfRGe.249$A97.9047168@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114188

KXHB:

On these questions:
11. John Smith is
12. John Smith isn't

... for number 11, he ain't! (and, I got the tea leaves to prove it! Well, I ran out of tea leaves--I am taking it for granted these marijuana leaves work just as well, if inhaled first.)

... for number 12, he isn't! (and, Sylvia Browne agrees with me!

(Just in case you think I am mistaken (or lying), you can consult with her directly at:
http://www.sylvia.org/home/index.cfm
)

But hell, what do I know--a dumb guy who hangs with freebanding CHICKEN BANDERS (yuck!)....
<completely-blank-expression>

P.S.
I love this idea and little test of yours! Up until this point, I was debating just how extensive was/is your sense of humor? I now stand aware and in awe... just wish I'd have though of it!

Warmest regards,
John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating
> loops about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can
> end it all with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report
> ONLY THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your
> position on these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions
> regarding Amateur Radio Policy.
>
> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's

> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
> 11. John Smith is
> 12. John Smith isn't
> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
> 22. Leo is a hoser
> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
> 37. __________ is a _________ and a radio whiz.
> 38. __________ is a _________ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

2862. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 20:26:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ooRGe.252$2A6.8799298@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114182

KXHB:

You are correct, I stand corrected, that might work...

I was thinking "Commander-of-the-World", "Lord-Know-It-All",
"Freaking-A-Genius", etc...

But, anyway, with the proper terms inserted in the boxes, you have so
graciously provided--I suspect it is adequate!

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:x1RGe.10668$dU3.1817@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>> and there is no element 1 to stroke my ego!
>
> Item 37 is included to allow unlimited self-aggrandizement.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

2863. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 20:31:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122755465.399769.19540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114170

more you efforts to control discussion and debate
KØHB wrote:
> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops
> about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all
> with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report ONLY
> THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position on
> these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding Amateur
> Radio Policy.
>
> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's
> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
> 11. John Smith is
> 12. John Smith isn't
> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
> 22. Leo is a hoser
> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code

> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
> 37. __________ is a _________ and a radio whiz.
> 38. __________ is a _________ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2864. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 20:56:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_PRGe.6504$0C.732@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114171

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> more you efforts to control discussion and debate

16

2865. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:00:51 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <dcgpqb$j24$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 114173

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating
> loops about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can
> end it all with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report ONLY
> THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position on
> these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding
> Amateur Radio Policy.

1

2866. Re: Emergency communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:02:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122757355.579751.314640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114172

From: "Dee Flint" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 10:21

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:XxCGe.166$wn4.3399815@news.sisna.com...
>> b.b.:
>>
>> Fact is, soon as CW falls, the CB'ers will have their ham radios and will
>> be able to take over these responsibilities. They are younger, won't seem
>> such a burden, the hams really do need a rest... a long one... might be
>> able to recover their sense of humor even, if well rested...
>
>Most of the CB'ers that I see are showing the same phenomenon as amateur
>radio, i.e. an aging population. This seems to be striking every activity
>as far as I can see.

Duhhhhh... :-)

Yup, them evil, nasty, wicked CBers are aging very rapidly,
just like all those no-code-test Technicians are "rapidly
NOT renewing" their ham licenses after 2003 and their
numbers are "shrinking at an alarming rate." Uh huh. :-)

cee bee
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2867. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:08:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7%RGe.256$1f.9258100@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114183

W2DNE:

I am afraid, with that score, you will be asked to take the test again, and be re-tested...

John

"W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com> wrote in message news:dcgpqb$j24$1@domitilla.aioe.org...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating
>> loops about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can
>> end it all with a single thread.
>>
>> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
>> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report
>> ONLY
>> THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position
>> on
>> these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding
>> Amateur Radio Policy.
>
> 1
>
>
>

2868. Re: Lennie Knows More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:10:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122757843.471966.43350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114174

From: "b.b." on Sat 30 Jul 2005 05:03

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "b.b." on Fri 29 Jul 2005 03:06
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> b.b. wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > b.b. wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
>> >> > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
>> >> > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
>> >> > > > won't.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
>> >> > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
>> >> > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
>> >> > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
>> >> > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
>> >> >
>> >> > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
>> >>
>> >> Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
>> >
>> >Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
>>
>> Brian, it doesn't matter WHAT Stebie is shown, presented,
>> given links to, or gift wrapped as a birthday present. He
>> will STILL call it a "lie" and continue on the personal-
>> insult vendetta of his own.
>
>I think he's nuts.

Certainly a bad (and deep) mental aberration that has led to
sociopathy and severe emotional upset.

>> His newsgroup skin is microns thick and emotional pain
>> threshold is so low it may be off medical charts. ANYTHING
>> in disagreement with his statements and he is immediately
>> in a FIGHT mood, insulting and demeaning those who disagree
>> with him. Rather remarkable in his volatility.
>
>Then there's his fixation with male genitalia. It's just not right.

That could be just a "male thing." He's probably not
"getting any." With the excess of testosterone in his
system he has a NEED to BEAT something and that turns out
to be everyone that disagrees with him in the slightest.

>> >> > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
>> >>
>> >> None of those substantiated by reality either.
>> >
>> >Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
>>
>> He still hasn't understood that. He's either nuts or getting
>> there faster than he realizes...
>>
>> Perhaps he just feigns all that "outrage" in order to call
>> attention to himself? That's a possibility but unfortunately
>> just as sick.
>
>Nurse, heal thyself.

He ain't "qualified" to practice medicine without a license!

He's gotta get that DOCTORATE in some kind of medicine.

Let's see...an Internet diploma mill worked for this "Ace"
guy in another thread. Maybe that's close enough? :-)

>> >> > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
>> >>
>> >> I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
>> >> Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
>> >> about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
>> >
>> >You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
>>
>> There IS some psychological syndrome like that, but dwelling
>> on his psychosis only results in more and more ANGER of his.
>
>He is always angry. Many times he uses fighting words in what could
>have been a normal conversation.

Excess testosterone, for sure. Inability to socialize with
others, concentration solely on himself, downsized from the
murines, personal family tragedies, early divorce, that's
quite a list of "reasons." Gonad the Librarian is a good
moniker for him.

>> And this posting I make will just inflame him more...tsk, tsk.
>>
>> Well, that's how it goes...
>>
>>Maybe he needs to just go and check in.

That would be wise. Meanwhile, he is busy rafting on denial,
dat river in someplace.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2869. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:12:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122757961.978213.25710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114175

From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 03:18

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1122684804.539282.71660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: John Smith on Jul 29, 1:12 pm
>>
>> >an_old_friend:

>Hello, Len
>
>Oh, come on! There are some folks you can trust. You can trust me!

Of course. I trust you to write stuff in here about beer...

>Would you have a spare 10 or 20 grand you can loan me until payday?

No. There's "trust" and there's "dumbshitbehavior."

Tsk. Your sales technique needs a LOT of work...!

>When's payday? I dunno, you're the one that's working.

Some of the time. I don't HAVE to, but it's fun to keep one's
hand in some of the time.

>So long as the key is changed *before* any repeat of the pattern, no harm is
>done - at least I would suspect.

Depends on the length of the sequence and your analysis tools.

If only 9 stock chips can make a sequence that is 2.8 x 10^17
bits long (periodicity), DOES IT MATTER?

>Just remember, one dot if by land and two dots if by sea .... or is that one
>dash?
>
>Hey, care to loan me 50K?

Paul Revere, did you go and sell all your silverware stock and
get drunk again?!? Geez...

Remember: "One dot if by land, two dots if by sea, hear three
dots you better get your S out of there cuz' they commin in
fast by air!"

dit bit
LenAndeson@ieee.org

2870. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:14:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122758053.933261.97520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114176

From: John Smith on Jul 29, 6:08 pm

>Len:
>
>10mhz?
>My gawd man, I can walk that fast!

Heh heh heh...we'll just put you down on a bench with a good
scope, warm up the soldering iron, hand you a bunch of DIPs
and see if all your gate delays will allow you to get all of
the sequence states in order... :-)

Reset the time to about 1976 with conventional TTL DIPs, well
before the "HC" CMOS zilch-gate-delay technology was developed.
It was also well before the GIGAHertz-clock PCs appeared. At
best the PC clocks were struggling to keep up at 10 MHz (at
least the affordable ones) and microprocessors running at that
clock rate were "inconceivable."

>I stand behind what I said, no perfect random number generators exist, if you
>need a really good one--it can't be done with computer algorithms (but, the one
>doing our lottery is a really piss poor one--probably worse than your "no
>repeats for 913 year one @ 10mhz" even... <grin>

John, that's why they call it a PSEUDO-random sequence generator.
PSEUDO, "close enough" but not exact.

I gave up playing any Lotto years ago. I won all of $55 and
spent at least three times that in order to "win" that. It
was a cheap thrill while it lasted. :-)

Just because YOU didn't win anything is not a reason to be
accusatory. :-)

>It most EXACTLY becomes a question of, "How good of a random number generator
>do you need?"

Depends on the application. If you want to scramble (encrypt)
voice/data/video/whatever, you want one to SYNCHRONIZE the
unscrambler (decrypt) to enable restoration to the original.
That's where the PSEUDO-random thing comes in.

PRSGs are invaluable in certain kinds of measurements that
require "noise" added...and then that "noise" subtracted in
order to "see" whatever non-random stuff is there. One of
those is building stability (especially for robustness
during earthquakes). H-P Test and Measurement Div (Agilent)

had a good series of AppNotes on that with a high-power
shaker (low-power compared to quakes) "modulated" with a
PRSG and the sync off of the PRSG used to subtract the
deliberate shaking from vibration sensors in order to gain
the resonant modes of the building. Another series of App
Notes described a similar method to extract resonances in
electronic circuits, even do a pseuod-reconstruct of frequency
response. That was three decades ago.

If you get into any metrology at all, you WILL find that this
"artificial noise" is an accepted practice in many physical
analyses from mechanics of structures to electronics. It just
CANNOT be done any other way except by PSUEDO-random
techniques.

>I grant you, most apps do not need that good of one, games of chance in
>reno/las vegas are ran off damn poor ones...

Tsk. Sounds like you've been to Vegas and haven't won much?

:-)

No problem to me. Wife and I will be in Vegas along about
the beginning of October and try a few of those games...
along with the other R&R available.

We use electronic banking methods a lot now for personal
finances. Quick, easy, and COMFORTABLY SECURE coding that
we can depend on. Nobody has hacked our bank accounts
yet. The worst we can expect is some doofus courier
"losing" a back-up tape during physical transport.

>Also, if you read about egg at princeton.edu, you will see that computer random
>number generators are really a bad idea, the human mind can influence
>results... on some quantum-metaphysical level it seems the mind has powers
>which we have only guessed about in fairy tales... I leave that to your
>further research however...

Yah, yah, yah. You are beginning to sound like a "small medium
at large," John. Or, you read the same series of science-
fiction stories in old ANALOG magazines as I did! :-)

"Egg?" Edgerton, Germehausen, and Grier, the company?
"The Cuckoo's Egg" by an astronomer-turned-hacker-catcher?
[that's a BOOK if anyone else is looking in and wondering
what the fork we are talking about...]

In THIS newsgrope we've got "state-of-the-art" radio amateurs
who insist and insist and insist that morse code comms are
WAY, WAY faster than any (hack, ptui) TTY and MUCH, MUCH
better (and "easier") than sending text messages over a cell
phone! Just ask them and they will issue Pronouncements to
that effect! [and they HAVE] Their spiritual metaphysics

will defeat ordinary physical laws three ways from Sunday!

>Remember Len, we really do agree on most though... or, close enough...

Not really...but, carry on, auld chap... :-)

bit bat
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2871. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:15:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122758134.831376.208140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114177

From: "John Smith" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 20:38

>Len:
>
>Many computer studies have been done on those numbers stations attempting to
>correlate them with detectable events/changes/occurrences in the world--to
>deduce what they are about. Last time I really checked, some were claiming it
>had to do with banks, markets, money...
>
>That is all wet?

As "wet" as the bottom of the Marianas Trench. Don't go there...

wet bet
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2872. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:17:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122758239.528937.224440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114178

From: "John Smith" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 08:39

>N2EY:
>
>Perhaps introduce testing for "African Message Drum" also, bet some of those
>guys could pound out a little ditty and have it carry a message to! Maybe
>chant a little rap with it too!

Sounds good! Message Drums were in use long before morse and
telegraphy...very TRADITIONAL. The first use of "comm nets"
with "message relays" too!

Problem is, USA ham radio is basically done by WHITE MALES so
it wouldn't go over big. Dunno about Canadian demographics
but British Columbia is largely white...

>Some testing in the care maintenance of carrier pigeons might be in order to,
>for the guys who wanted real DX!

Har! The United States Army Signal Corps actually had a
Carrier Pigeon Service...trainers, handlers, mobile coops,
the whole magilla. Came into being before WW 1 but was
disbanded afterwards. Sounded like a good idea at the time,
faster than a land courier, minimal handling, no electricity
needed (bird poop is safer than RF burns). That time might
have been the start of the phrase "eat the bird," though.
Anyone on WW 1 field rations might look at pigeons with a
hungry eye. "DX" wasn't so hot and "propagation" effects
weren't good using "pigeon code."

I like the Message Drum idea, though! Sounds like a plan...

bat tat
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2873. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:20:19 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122758419.317058.145810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114179

John Smith wrote:
> KXHB:
>
> Good to remind everyone of this...
>
> After this rather "heated discussion" drinks and dinner will be served in the
> dining room--and everyone will be given the opportunity to shake hands...
> girly-men will be denied access! <grin>

Steve won't like being excluded.

2874. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:20:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122758446.520615.74780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114180

From: "an old friend" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 18:02

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
>> >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> >
>> >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
>> >
>> >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For the
>> >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
>> website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
>>
>> You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
>> NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
>> communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
>> what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
>>
>> On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
>> TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
>> or even Hellschreiber.
>
>and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
>Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
>different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
>ilgeal code

That's in regard to the FCC regulations on permissible codings.
IF A CODING HAS BEEN PUBLISHED (and supposedly well-enough
known) then it is okay to use by radio amateurs. That ties
into the basic warning of amateur radio communications shall
not be intended to obscure the true meaning (as with 'secret'
codes).

>>
>> Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
>> to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
>> Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
>> "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
>> would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
>
>indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
>threads to Hans

I'm of the understanding that Hans DOES understand it, but is trying some wordplay. "Cryptic" as in "cryptology" or "cryptography" carries with it some emotional baggage for many in being related to espionage activities. "Morse code" of course is absolutely not (in more emotional baggage of morsemen) any "code" of any kind...ahem...yet morse code is IS a CODE of aperiodic on-off states representing the English alphabet, numerals, and some punctuation marks.

That morse code is an "international language" is more nonsense by morsemen. The CCITT and later ITU-R simply STANDARDIZED the "International Morse Code" as being the standard for radio amateurs. Has NO relation to a "language" other than being a representation of the English language characters.

>a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
>
>When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
>much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
>OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
>on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
>know)and the letter set

Peter Martinez' innovation (he is G3PLX) uses PHASE modulation which can be picked up with an FM demodulator. Peter goes one step further in the character coding...that of - in effect - altering the modulation spectrum by the CHOICE of bits and bit lengths. [there's a very long explanation of the effect on spectral content which can't be done in here without some pictures or whiteboard sketching]

Phase modulation has some definite advantages insofar as very low received signal levels are concerned. [again, that gets to a complex explanation involving some statistics knowledge] It CAN actually work BETTER than on-off-keyed CW at very low signal levels, aided by only a simple hardware expansion of the detector system in a receiver. Conservative traditionalist radio amateurs are horrified at such upstart ideas (only four decades or so old) and will have NONE of that!

As long as a message/communications is being sent, the transmitter MUST be on for PM or FM. The carrier is always present. Again, the conservative traditionalists argue that this is "inefficient" and other ill-informed horse pucky, not counting on the added electronic stress on the power supply or final amplifier or the resulting shock to the primary power source. [I've seen some truly marvelous rationalizations on that, little more than nonsensical imaginings of those that haven't learned enough of FM and PM]

>One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
>anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
>ARS the very specail mode/letter set

FSK and PSK "sound" almost identical. Their modulation
spectra are VERY close, almost exact if only magnitude of
the spectral components are considered (the difference is
in the phase of their sidebands relative to the carrier
phase and modulation phase). With a binary (two-state)
modulation signal, PSK is a tiny bit easier to implement
on a transmitter than FSK.

But, on regulatory matters, the FCC is quite firm on the
"public knowledge" aspect of ANY coding. For OOK CW, they
reference the CCITT/ITU-T standard on commercial telegraphy
as the International Morse Code. For RTTY they reference
the 5-level so-called Baudot code and the 7-level-plus-
parity ASCII code. PSK31 was allowed for use (followed
up by specific communications from the FCC) when it was new
due to extensive publication in Europe for years before
it was published in QST.

On Spread Spectrum techniques, there's still a gray area in
the regulations. FCC regulations have allowed a greater
range in the pseudo-random sequences for direct-sequence
spreading (than the original regs) but they still require
some form of "recording" of EVERY transmission for "later"
use! [that's the interpretation of that very general
regulation on SS] On the other hand, there's a difficulty
in obtaining the EXACT nature of just about EVERY commercial
SS sequence in commercial use...from keyless auto entry
fob transmitters to garage door openers to LANs and WLANs*
to remote electrical meter useage transmitters. Millions
of commercial SS sequences being sent but there are NO
regulatios imposed on THEIR transmission recordings!

* I'm classifying all those "cordless" devices from 'phones
to remote TV monitors to home networking gadgets in the WLAN
category...that's a few million or so more devices.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2875. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:24:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122758679.569533.252790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114181

You get ONLY a ZERO. You don't exist...

bip bip
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2876. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:25:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IfSGe.260$If.9268289@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114184

Len:

I thought you would look, the "egg project" is a series of pseudo-random number generators, deployed worldwide... they can be used to predict major catastrophes, and such, they work... no scientist in his right mind would deny it... some psychic-ether bond exists to the human mind which is yet undiscovered, yet its existence can be demonstrated--undoubtedly, the future has much in store for "new technology" centered around this "law", I suspect the CIA is already investigating its uses in espionage, maybe even some of the "amateur spies here!"

You may even be forced to stop laughing at Sylvia Browne in the future!

Me, I just rely on my tea leaves! (marijuana leaves actually, you inhale them first--then read the ashes! I deduced it from other hams, they obviously know this trick!) <innocent-look>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122758053.933261.97520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 29, 6:08 pm
>
>>Len:
>>
>>10mhz?
>>My gawd man, I can walk that fast!
>
> Heh heh heh...we'll just put you down on a bench with a good
> scope, warm up the soldering iron, hand you a bunch of DIPs
> and see if all your gate delays will allow you to get all of
> the sequence states in order... :-)
>
> Reset the time to about 1976 with conventional TTL DIPs, well
> before the "HC" CMOS zilch-gate-delay technology was developed.
> It was also well before the GIGAHertz-clock PCs appeared. At
> best the PC clocks were struggling to keep up at 10 MHz (at
> least the affordable ones) and microprocessors running at that
> clock rate were "inconceivable."
>
>>I stand behind what I said, no perfect random number generators exist, if you
>>need a really good one--it can't be done with computer algorithms (but, the
>>one
>>doing our lottery is a really piss poor one--probably worse than your "no
>>repeats for 913 year one @ 10mhz" even... <grin>
>

> John, that's why they call it a PSEUDO-random sequence generator.
> PSEUDO, "close enough" but not exact.
>
> I gave up playing any Lotto years ago. I won all of $55 and
> spent at least three times that in order to "win" that. It
> was a cheap thrill while it lasted. :-)
>
> Just because YOU didn't win anything is not a reason to be
> accusatory. :-)
>
>>It most EXACTLY becomes a question of, "How good of a random number generator
>>do you need?"
>
> Depends on the application. If you want to scramble (encrypt)
> voice/data/video/whatever, you want one to SYNCHRONIZE the
> unscrambler (decrypt) to enable restoration to the original.
> That's where the PSEUDO-random thing comes in.
>
> PRSGs are invaluable in certain kinds of measurements that
> require "noise" added...and then that "noise" subtracted in
> order to "see" whatever non-random stuff is there. One of
> those is building stability (especially for robustness
> during earthquakes). H-P Test and Measurement Div (Agilent)
> had a good series of AppNotes on that with a high-power
> shaker (low-power compared to quakes) "modulated" with a
> PRSG and the sync off of the PRSG used to subtract the
> deliberate shaking from vibration sensors in order to gain
> the resonant modes of the building. Another series of App
> Notes described a similar method to extract resonances in
> electronic circuits, even do a pseuod-reconstruct of frequency
> response. That was three decades ago.
>
> If you get into any metrology at all, you WILL find that this
> "artificial noise" is an accepted practice in many physical
> analyses from mechanics of structures to electronics. It just
> CANNOT be done any other way except by PSUEDO-random
> techniques.
>
>>I grant you, most apps do not need that good of one, games of chance in
>>reno/las vegas are ran off damn poor ones...
>
> Tsk. Sounds like you've been to Vegas and haven't won much?
>
> :-)
>
> No problem to me. Wife and I will be in Vegas along about
> the beginning of October and try a few of those games...
> along with the other R&R available.
>
> We use electronic banking methods a lot now for personal

> finances. Quick, easy, and COMFORTABLY SECURE coding that
> we can depend on. Nobody has hacked our bank accounts
> yet. The worst we can expect is some doofus courier
> "losing" a back-up tape during physical transport.
>
>>Also, if you read about egg at princeton.edu, you will see that computer
>>random
>>number generators are really a bad idea, the human mind can influence
>>results... on some quantum-metaphysical level it seems the mind has powers
>>which we have only guessed about in fairy tales... I leave that to your
>>further research however...
>
> Yah, yah, yah. You are beginning to sound like a "small medium
> at large," John. Or, you read the same series of science-
> fiction stories in old ANALOG magazines as I did! :-)
>
> "Egg?" Edgerton, Germehausen, and Grier, the company?
> "The Cuckoo's Egg" by an astronomer-turned-hacker-catcher?
> [that's a BOOK if anyone else is looking in and wondering
> what the fork we are talking about...]
>
> In THIS newsgrope we've got "state-of-the-art" radio amateurs
> who insist and insist and insist that morse code comms are
> WAY, WAY faster than any (hack, ptui) TTY and MUCH, MUCH
> better (and "easier") than sending text messages over a cell
> phone! Just ask them and they will issue Pronouncements to
> that effect! [and they HAVE] Their spiritual metaphysics
> will defeat ordinary physical laws three ways from Sunday!
>
>>Remember Len, we really do agree on most though... or, close enough...
>
> Not really...but, carry on, auld chap... :-)
>
>
> bit bat
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2877. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:29:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WiSGe.262$Xe.9255059@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114185

Len:

I am afraid we cannot grant you the benefit of the doubt, and the possibility
you are only frugal with your money.

Since you now have refused all unreasonable requests for a sizeable loan, we
must dismiss you as a "Tight A$$ED Dude", you will not be asked again! <grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122757961.978213.25710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Jim Hampton" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 03:18
>
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1122684804.539282.71660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: John Smith on Jul 29, 1:12 pm
>>>
>>> >an_old_friend:
>
>>Hello, Len
>>
>>Oh, come on! There are some folks you can trust. You can trust me!
>
> Of course. I trust you to write stuff in here about beer...
>
>>Would you have a spare 10 or 20 grand you can loan me until payday?
>
> No. There's "trust" and there's "dumbshitbehavior."
>
> Tsk. Your sales technique needs a LOT of work...!
>
>>When's payday? I dunno, you're the one that's working.
>
> Some of the time. I don't HAVE to, but it's fun to keep one's
> hand in some of the time.
>
>>So long as the key is changed *before* any repeat of the pattern, no harm is
>>done - at least I would suspect.
>
> Depends on the length of the sequence and your analysis tools.
>
> If only 9 stock chips can make a sequence that is 2.8 x 10^17
> bits long (periodicity), DOES IT MATTER?

>
>>Just remember, one dot if by land and two dots if by sea .... or is that one
>>dash?
>>
>>Hey, care to loan me 50K?
>
> Paul Revere, did you go and sell all your silverware stock and
> get drunk again?!? Geez...
>
> Remember: "One dot if by land, two dots if by sea, hear three
> dots you better get your S out of there cuz' they commin in
> fast by air!"
>
> dit bit
> LenAndeson@ieee.org
>

2878. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 21:47:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZzSGe.266$tC.9522316@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114186

Len:

So then, you have decided that free speech is only allowed if the government can understand it (decrypt it.)

Interesting, my idea of free speech is that they (my public servants--from bush on down) don't have anything to say about what I say, nor who I say it to, nor need to be aware of the contents of my messages (unless they find me yelling "fire" in a crowded theatre)... however, I am an american citizen... now if they want to hassle some other individual in another country, I guess they can, depending if they get a pencil shoved in their ear or not, I suppose...

Not only that, but the constitution of my forefathers demands I keep an eye on my "public servants", maintaining a constant vigil, and if they even look like they are attempting to limit and/or subvert the liberties and freedoms of the citizens here, I am demanded to use whatever means necessary to halt and prevent them, it is how I repay those individuals who gave their lives to win my freedoms and liberties--that is a REAL "GENTLEMAN's AGREEMENT!"...

... now I would be a damn fool to tell them what I would have planned in such an necessity, wouldn't I?

Sometimes, I think we have already lost a battle, at this point, and a foreign influence is in some kind of control of this country...

... perhaps the time approaches to repay that debt, much quicker than I would like ...

When a government begins to fear its' very own citizens--the problem is NEVER the citizens...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1122758446.520615.74780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "an old friend" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 18:02
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: K0HB on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
>>> >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
>>> >
>>> >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
>>> >
>>> >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For
>>> >the
>>> >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)

>>>
>>> Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
>>> website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
>>>
>>> You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
>>> NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
>>> communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
>>> what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
>>>
>>> On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
>>> TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
>>> or even Hellschreiber.
>>
>>and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
>>Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
>>different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
>>ilgeal code
>
> That's in regard to the FCC regulations on permissible codings.
> IF A CODING HAS BEEN PUBLISHED (and supposedly well-enough
> known) then it is okay to use by radio amateurs. That ties
> into the basic warning of amateur radio communications shall
> not be intended to obscure the true meaning (as with 'secret'
> codes).
>
>>>
>>> Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
>>> to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
>>> Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
>>> "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
>>> would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
>>
>>indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
>>threads to Hans
>
> I'm of the understanding that Hans DOES understand it, but is
> trying some wordplay. "Cryptic" as in "cryptology" or
> "cryptography" carries with it some emotional baggage for
> many in being related to espionage activities. "Morse code"
> of course is absolutely not (in more emotional baggage of
> morsemen) any "code" of any kind...ahem...yet morse code is
> IS a CODE of aperiodic on-off states representing the English
> alphabet, numerals, and some punctuation marks.
>
> That morse code is an "international language" is more
> nonsense by morsemen. The CCITT and later ITU-R simply
> STANDARDIZED the "International Morse Code" as being
> the standard for radio amateurs. Has NO relation to
> a "language" other than being a representation of the
> English language characters.

>
>
>>a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
>>
>>When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
>>much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
>>OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
>>on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
>>know)and the letter set
>
> Peter Martinez' innovation (he is G3PLX) uses PHASE modulation
> which can be picked up with an FM demodulator. Peter goes
> one step further in the character coding...that of - in effect -
> altering the modulation spectrum by the CHOICE of bits and
> bit lengths. [there's a very long explanation of the effect
> on spectral content which can't be done in here without
> some pictures or whiteboard sketching]
>
> Phase modulation has some definite advantages insofar as
> very low received signal levels are concerned. [again, that
> gets to a complex explanation involving some statistics
> knowledge] It CAN actually work BETTER than on-off-keyed
> CW at very low signal levels, aided by only a simple
> hardware expansion of the detector system in a receiver.
> Conservative traditionalist radio amateurs are horrified
> at such upstart ideas (only four decades or so old) and
> will have NONE of that!
>
> As long as a message/communications is being sent, the
> transmitter MUST be on for PM or FM. The carrier is always
> present. Again, the conservative traditionalists argue that
> this is "inefficient" and other ill-informed horse pucky,
> not counting on the added electronic stress on the power
> supply or final amplifier or the resulting shock to the
> primary power source. [I've seen some truly marvelous
> rationalizations on that, little more than nonsensical
> imaginings of those that haven't learned enough of FM and PM]
>
>>One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
>>anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
>>ARS the very specail mode/letter set
>
> FSK and PSK "sound" almost identical. Their modulation
> spectra are VERY close, almost exact if only magnitude of
> the spectral components are considered (the difference is
> in the phase of their sidebands relative to the carrier
> phase and modulation phase). With a binary (two-state)
> modulation signal, PSK is a tiny bit easier to implement
> on a transmitter than FSK.
>

> But, on regulatory matters, the FCC is quite firm on the
> "public knowledge" aspect of ANY coding. For OOK CW, they
> reference the CCITT/ITU-T standard on commercial telegraphy
> as the International Morse Code. For RTTY they reference
> the 5-level so-called Baudot code and the 7-level-plus-
> parity ASCII code. PSK31 was allowed for use (followed
> up by specific communications from the FCC) when it was new
> due to extensive publication in Europe for years before
> it was published in QST.
>
> On Spread Spectrum techniques, there's still a gray area in
> the regulations. FCC regulations have allowed a greater
> range in the pseudo-random sequences for direct-sequence
> spreading (than the original regs) but they still require
> some form of "recording" of EVERY transmission for "later"
> use! [that's the interpretation of that very general
> regulation on SS] On the other hand, there's a difficulty
> in obtaining the EXACT nature of just about EVERY commercial
> SS sequence in commercial use...from keyless auto entry
> fob transmitters to garage door openers to LANs and WLANs*
> to remote electrical meter useage transmitters. Millions
> of commercial SS sequences being sent but there are NO
> regulatios imposed on THEIR transmission recordings!
>
> * I'm classifying all those "cordless" devices from 'phones
> to remote TV monitors to home networking gadgets in the WLAN
> category...that's a few million or so more devices.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2879. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:21:12 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <s3TGe.11365$oZ.2431@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114189

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops
> about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all
> with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report ONLY
> THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position on
> these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding Amateur
> Radio Policy.
>
> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's
> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
> 11. John Smith is
> 12. John Smith isn't
> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
> 22. Leo is a hoser
> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code

> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
> 37. __________ is a _________ and a radio whiz.
> 38. __________ is a _________ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.
>

I never make the cool threads.

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

2880. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:30:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <8cTGe.3131$j21.416@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 114191

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating
loops
> about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end
it all
> with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report
ONLY
> THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position
on
> these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding
Amateur
> Radio Policy.
>
> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band
QSO's
> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
> 11. John Smith is
> 12. John Smith isn't
> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
> 22. Leo is a hoser
> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox

> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
> 37. _____ is a _____ and a radio whiz.
> 38. _____ is a _____ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your
selections.
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Hello, Hans

I added up my answers and took the average.

3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510.

Who let you know that I was barred from the Duva Den?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2881. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:31:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YcTGe.10747$dU3.2382@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114190

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> You don't exist...

Perhaps his (her?) philosophy non-existentialism -- sort of a Kant do Pascal
kind of person.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2882. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:41:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122763266.985545.300070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114192

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> So then, you have decided that free speech is only allowed if the government
> can understand it (decrypt it.)

The FCC does at least with respect to Ham radio, Len is only describing
the state of ARS rules

>
> Interesting, my idea of free speech is that they (my public servants--from bush
> on down) don't have anything to say about what I say, nor who I say it to, nor
> need to be aware of the contents of my messages (unless they find me yelling
> "fire" in a crowded theatre)... however, I am an american citizen... now if
> they want to hassle some other individual in another country, I guess they can,
> depending if they get a pencil shoved in their ear or not, I suppose...
>
> Not only that, but the constitution of my forefathers demands I keep an eye on
> my "public servants", maintaining a constant vigil, and if they even look like
> they are attempting to limit and/or subvert the liberties and freedoms of the
> citizens here, I am demanded to use whatever means necessary to halt and
> prevent them, it is how I repay those individuals who gave their lives to win
> my freedoms and liberties--that is a REAL "GENTLEMAN's AGREEMENT!"...
>
> ... now I would be a damn fool to tell them what I would have planned in such
> an necessity, wouldn't I?
>
> Sometimes, I think we have already lost a battle, at this point, and a foreign
> influence is in some kind of control of this country...
>
> ... perhaps the time approaches to repay that debt, much quicker than I would
> like ...
>
> When a government begins to fear its' very own citizens--the problem is NEVER
> the citizens...
>
> John
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1122758446.520615.74780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > From: "an old friend" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 18:02
> >
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: K0HB on Jul 29, 12:46 pm

> >>> >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>> >
> >>> >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
> >>> >
> >>> >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For
> >>> >the
> >>> >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
> >>>
> >>> Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
> >>> website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
> >>>
> >>> You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
> >>> NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
> >>> communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
> >>> what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
> >>>
> >>> On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
> >>> TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
> >>> or even Hellschreiber.
> >>
> >>and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
> >>Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
> >>different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
> >>ilgeal code
> >
> > That's in regard to the FCC regulations on permissible codings.
> > IF A CODING HAS BEEN PUBLISHED (and supposedly well-enough
> > known) then it is okay to use by radio amateurs. That ties
> > into the basic warning of amateur radio communications shall
> > not be intended to obscure the true meaning (as with 'secret'
> > codes).
> >
> >>>
> >>> Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
> >>> to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
> >>> Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
> >>> "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
> >>> would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
> >>
> >>indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
> >>threads to Hans
> >
> > I'm of the understanding that Hans DOES understand it, but is
> > trying some wordplay. "Cryptic" as in "cryptology" or
> > "cryptography" carries with it some emotional baggage for
> > many in being related to espionage activities. "Morse code"
> > of course is absolutely not (in more emotional baggage of
> > morsemen) any "code" of any kind...ahem...yet morse code is
> > IS a CODE of aperiodic on-off states representing the English
> > alphabet, numerals, and some punctuation marks.

> >
> > That morse code is an "international language" is more
> > nonsense by morsemen. The CCITT and later ITU-R simply
> > STANDARDIZED the "International Morse Code" as being
> > the standard for radio amateurs. Has NO relation to
> > a "language" other than being a representation of the
> > English language characters.
> >
> >
> >>a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
> >>
> >>When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
> >>much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
> >>OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
> >>on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
> >>know)and the letter set
> >
> > Peter Martinez' innovation (he is G3PLX) uses PHASE modulation
> > which can be picked up with an FM demodulator. Peter goes
> > one step further in the character coding...that of - in effect -
> > altering the modulation spectrum by the CHOICE of bits and
> > bit lengths. [there's a very long explanation of the effect
> > on spectral content which can't be done in here without
> > some pictures or whiteboard sketching]
> >
> > Phase modulation has some definite advantages insofar as
> > very low received signal levels are concerned. [again, that
> > gets to a complex explanation involving some statistics
> > knowledge] It CAN actually work BETTER than on-off-keyed
> > CW at very low signal levels, aided by only a simple
> > hardware expansion of the detector system in a receiver.
> > Conservative traditionalist radio amateurs are horrified
> > at such upstart ideas (only four decades or so old) and
> > will have NONE of that!
> >
> > As long as a message/communications is being sent, the
> > transmitter MUST be on for PM or FM. The carrier is always
> > present. Again, the conservative traditionalists argue that
> > this is "inefficient" and other ill-informed horse pucky,
> > not counting on the added electronic stress on the power
> > supply or final amplifier or the resulting shock to the
> > primary power source. [I've seen some truly marvelous
> > rationalizations on that, little more than nonsensical
> > imaginings of those that haven't learned enough of FM and PM]
> >
> >>One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
> >>anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
> >>ARS the very specail mode/letter set
> >
> > FSK and PSK "sound" almost identical. Their modulation

> > spectra are VERY close, almost exact if only magnitude of
> > the spectral components are considered (the difference is
> > in the phase of their sidebands relative to the carrier
> > phase and modulation phase). With a binary (two-state)
> > modulation signal, PSK is a tiny bit easier to implement
> > on a transmitter than FSK.
> >
> > But, on regulatory matters, the FCC is quite firm on the
> > "public knowledge" aspect of ANY coding. For OOK CW, they
> > reference the CCITT/ITU-T standard on commercial telegraphy
> > as the International Morse Code. For RTTY they reference
> > the 5-level so-called Baudot code and the 7-level-plus-
> > parity ASCII code. PSK31 was allowed for use (followed
> > up by specific communications from the FCC) when it was new
> > due to extensive publication in Europe for years before
> > it was published in QST.
> >
> > On Spread Spectrum techniques, there's still a gray area in
> > the regulations. FCC regulations have allowed a greater
> > range in the pseudo-random sequences for direct-sequence
> > spreading (than the original regs) but they still require
> > some form of "recording" of EVERY transmission for "later"
> > use! [that's the interpretation of that very general
> > regulation on SS] On the other hand, there's a difficulty
> > in obtaining the EXACT nature of just about EVERY commercial
> > SS sequence in commercial use...from keyless auto entry
> > fob transmitters to garage door openers to LANs and WLANs*
> > to remote electrical meter useage transmitters. Millions
> > of commercial SS sequences being sent but there are NO
> > regulatios imposed on THEIR transmission recordings!
> >
> > * I'm classifying all those "cordless" devices from 'phones
> > to remote TV monitors to home networking gadgets in the WLAN
> > category...that's a few million or so more devices.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >

2883. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:42:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TnTGe.274$YI1.10057852@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114197

Len:

My gawd man, my eyes just watered up on me...

Your words reminded me of country western song I enjoy:
"Oak Ridge Boys -- All the Gold in California"

... the lyrics go, "try'in to be a hero, windin' up a zero..."

Story of my life there! You know that tune?

If not, grab a copy of "Winmx" (free download, they guarantee NO spyware -
http://www.winmx.com/) and use it, you can get any song you can imagine in
.mp3 format (windows media player can play 'em for ya) and listen to it...
everything you need to know about calif, like when the lyrics go:
"... all the gold in California is in the middle of a bank in Beverly Hills in
somebody else's name..."

Warmest regards,
John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122758679.569533.252790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You get ONLY a ZERO. You don't exist...
>
>
> bip bip
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2884. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:47:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <CsTGe.275$wu1.9998446@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114195

an_old_friend:

I assure you, I am just "bantering" with Len... no need to stand to his defense...

John

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122763266.985545.300070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> So then, you have decided that free speech is only allowed if the government
>> can understand it (decrypt it.)
>
> The FCC does at least with respect to Ham radio, Len is only describing
> the state of ARS rules
>
>>
>> Interesting, my idea of free speech is that they (my public servants--from
>> bush
>> on down) don't have anything to say about what I say, nor who I say it to,
>> nor
>> need to be aware of the contents of my messages (unless they find me yelling
>> "fire" in a crowded theatre)... however, I am an american citizen... now if
>> they want to hassle some other individual in another country, I guess they
>> can,
>> depending if they get a pencil shoved in their ear or not, I suppose...
>>
>> Not only that, but the constitution of my forefathers demands I keep an eye
>> on
>> my "public servants", maintaining a constant vigil, and if they even look
>> like
>> they are attempting to limit and/or subvert the liberties and freedoms of
>> the
>> citizens here, I am demanded to use whatever means necessary to halt and
>> prevent them, it is how I repay those individuals who gave their lives to
>> win
>> my freedoms and liberties--that is a REAL "GENTLEMAN's AGREEMENT!"...
>>
>> ... now I would be a damn fool to tell them what I would have planned in
>> such
>> an necessity, wouldn't I?
>>

>> Sometimes, I think we have already lost a battle, at this point, and a
>> foreign
>> influence is in some kind of control of this country...
>>
>> ... perhaps the time approaches to repay that debt, much quicker than I
>> would
>> like ...
>>
>> When a government begins to fear its' very own citizens--the problem is
>> NEVER
>> the citizens...
>>
>> John
>>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1122758446.520615.74780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > From: "an old friend" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 18:02
>> >
>> >
>> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>> From: K0HB on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
>> >>> >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
>> >>> >
>> >>> >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
>> >>> >
>> >>> >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For
>> >>> >the
>> >>> >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
>> >>>
>> >>> Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
>> >>> website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
>> >>>
>> >>> You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
>> >>> NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
>> >>> communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
>> >>> what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
>> >>>
>> >>> On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
>> >>> TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
>> >>> or even Hellschreiber.
>> >>
>> >>and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
>> >>Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
>> >>different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
>> >>ilgeal code
>> >
>> > That's in regard to the FCC regulations on permissible codings.
>> > IF A CODING HAS BEEN PUBLISHED (and supposedly well-enough
>> > known) then it is okay to use by radio amateurs. That ties
>> > into the basic warning of amateur radio communications shall

>> > not be intended to obscure the true meaning (as with 'secret'
>> > codes).
>> >
>> >>>
>> >>> Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
>> >>> to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
>> >>> Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
>> >>> "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
>> >>> would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
>> >>
>> >>indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
>> >>threads to Hans
>> >
>> > I'm of the understanding that Hans DOES understand it, but is
>> > trying some wordplay. "Cryptic" as in "cryptology" or
>> > "cryptography" carries with it some emotional baggage for
>> > many in being related to espionage activities. "Morse code"
>> > of course is absolutely not (in more emotional baggage of
>> > morsemen) any "code" of any kind...ahem...yet morse code is
>> > IS a CODE of aperiodic on-off states representing the English
>> > alphabet, numerals, and some punctuation marks.
>> >
>> > That morse code is an "international language" is more
>> > nonsense by morsemen. The CCITT and later ITU-R simply
>> > STANDARDIZED the "International Morse Code" as being
>> > the standard for radio amateurs. Has NO relation to
>> > a "language" other than being a representation of the
>> > English language characters.
>> >
>> >
>> >>a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
>> >>
>> >>When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
>> >>much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
>> >>OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
>> >>on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
>> >>know)and the letter set
>> >
>> > Peter Martinez' innovation (he is G3PLX) uses PHASE modulation
>> > which can be picked up with an FM demodulator. Peter goes
>> > one step further in the character coding...that of - in effect -
>> > altering the modulation spectrum by the CHOICE of bits and
>> > bit lengths. [there's a very long explanation of the effect
>> > on spectral content which can't be done in here without
>> > some pictures or whiteboard sketching]
>> >
>> > Phase modulation has some definite advantages insofar as
>> > very low received signal levels are concerned. [again, that
>> > gets to a complex explanation involving some statistics
>> > knowledge] It CAN actually work BETTER than on-off-keyed

>> > CW at very low signal levels, aided by only a simple
>> > hardware expansion of the detector system in a receiver.
>> > Conservative traditionalist radio amateurs are horrified
>> > at such upstart ideas (only four decades or so old) and
>> > will have NONE of that!
>> >
>> > As long as a message/communications is being sent, the
>> > transmitter MUST be on for PM or FM. The carrier is always
>> > present. Again, the conservative traditionalists argue that
>> > this is "inefficient" and other ill-informed horse pucky,
>> > not counting on the added electronic stress on the power
>> > supply or final amplifier or the resulting shock to the
>> > primary power source. [I've seen some truly marvelous
>> > rationalizations on that, little more than nonsensical
>> > imaginings of those that haven't learned enough of FM and PM]
>> >
>> >>One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
>> >>anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
>> >>ARS the very specail mode/letter set
>> >
>> > FSK and PSK "sound" almost identical. Their modulation
>> > spectra are VERY close, almost exact if only magnitude of
>> > the spectral components are considered (the difference is
>> > in the phase of their sidebands relative to the carrier
>> > phase and modulation phase). With a binary (two-state)
>> > modulation signal, PSK is a tiny bit easier to implement
>> > on a transmitter than FSK.
>> >
>> > But, on regulatory matters, the FCC is quite firm on the
>> > "public knowledge" aspect of ANY coding. For OOK CW, they
>> > reference the CCITT/ITU-T standard on commercial telegraphy
>> > as the International Morse Code. For RTTY they reference
>> > the 5-level so-called Baudot code and the 7-level-plus-
>> > parity ASCII code. PSK31 was allowed for use (followed
>> > up by specific communications from the FCC) when it was new
>> > due to extensive publication in Europe for years before
>> > it was published in QST.
>> >
>> > On Spread Spectrum techniques, there's still a gray area in
>> > the regulations. FCC regulations have allowed a greater
>> > range in the pseudo-random sequences for direct-sequence
>> > spreading (than the original regs) but they still require
>> > some form of "recording" of EVERY transmission for "later"
>> > use! [that's the interpretation of that very general
>> > regulation on SS] On the other hand, there's a difficulty
>> > in obtaining the EXACT nature of just about EVERY commercial
>> > SS sequence in commercial use...from keyless auto entry
>> > fob transmitters to garage door openers to LANs and WLANs*
>> > to remote electrical meter useage transmitters. Millions
>> > of commercial SS sequences being sent but there are NO

>> > regulatios imposed on THEIR transmission recordings!
>> >
>> > * I'm classifying all those "cordless" devices from 'phones
>> > to remote TV monitors to home networking gadgets in the WLAN
>> > category...that's a few million or so more devices.
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>

2885. doctors write worse? I doubt it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:52:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122763949.630809.307810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114193

an old friend wrote:

> > Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
> > loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
> > written like Markie writes...
>
> gee My note reaad clearer than my docs scpirts do

Then your 'docs' are as big of idiots as you.

Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.

Steve, K4YZ

2886. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 22:56:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5BTGe.276$CD1.10040497@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114196

Jim:

Why do some hams just wanna be difficult?

My tea leaves indicate you like slap-stick humor where some clown takes a pie in the face...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:8cTGe.3131$j21.416@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating
> loops
>> about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end
> it all
>> with a single thread.
>>
>> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
>> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report
> ONLY
>> THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position
> on
>> these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding
> Amateur
>> Radio Policy.
>>
>> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
>> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
>> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
>> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
>> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
>> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band
> QSO's
>> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
>> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
>> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
>> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
>> 11. John Smith is
>> 12. John Smith isn't
>> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
>> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
>> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero

>> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
>> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
>> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
>> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
>> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
>> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
>> 22. Leo is a hoser
>> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
>> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
>> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
>> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
>> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
>> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
>> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
>> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
>> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
>> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
>> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
>> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
>> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
>> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
>> 37. __________ is a _________ and a radio whiz.
>> 38. __________ is a _________ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>>
>> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your
> selections.
>>
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Hans
>
> I added up my answers and took the average.
>
> 3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510.
>
> Who let you know that I was barred from the Duva Den?
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

2887. Stevie lying big time Re: doctors write worse? I doubt it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-30 23:10:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122765028.705112.237080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114194

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > > Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
> > > loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
> > > written like Markie writes...
> >
> > gee My note reaad clearer than my docs scpirts do
>
> Then your 'docs' are as big of idiots as you.

well I guess "idiots" must mean bright and cappable to you then
>
> Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.

why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
ones

and you should know that Doc are notorious for poor handwriting
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2888. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 00:35:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w1VGe.7236$Uk3.4481@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114198

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>
> Why do some hams just wanna be difficult?
>

Jim wasn't being difficult, just responding to an 'inside' comment.

About 40 years ago Jim and I worked together on Guam ("Duva" in the local Chamorran lingo). "Duva Den" was the enlisted watering hole at the Naval Communications Station. Consumption at happy hour (10-cents-a-can "Lucky Lager", laced with formeldahyde for preservation during ocean shipment) tended to be liberal.

You can admire a photo of the "Duva Den" at
http://www.anzwers.org/free/navyscpo4/guam_frank_lynch_2.html

73, de Hans, K0HB

2889. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 00:46:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hbVGe.283$lp3.10339347@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114251

KXHB:

I was pointing at the pie (pi) he was holding there... <grin>

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:w1VGe.7236$Uk3.4481@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Why do some hams just wanna be difficult?
>>
>
> Jim wasn't being difficult, just responding to an 'inside' comment.
>
> About 40 years ago Jim and I worked together on Guam ("Duva" in the local
> Chamorran lingo). "Duva Den" was the enlisted watering hole at the Naval
> Communications Station. Consumption at happy hour (10-cents-a-can "Lucky
> Lager", laced with formeldahyde for preservation during ocean shipment)
> tended to be liberal.
>
> You can admire a photo of the "Duva Den" at
> http://www.anzwers.org/free/navyscpo4/guam_frank_lynch_2.html
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

2890. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 01:16:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <vDVGe.2723$cg.2192@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 114199

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:w1VGe.7236$Uk3.4481@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Why do some hams just wanna be difficult?
> >
>
> Jim wasn't being difficult, just responding to an 'inside' comment.
>
> About 40 years ago Jim and I worked together on Guam ("Duva" in the local
> Chamorran lingo). "Duva Den" was the enlisted watering hole at the Naval
> Communications Station. Consumption at happy hour (10-cents-a-can "Lucky
> Lager", laced with formeldahyde for preservation during ocean shipment)
tended
> to be liberal.
>
> You can admire a photo of the "Duva Den" at
> http://www.anzwers.org/free/navyscpo4/guam_frank_lynch_2.html
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Hello, Hans

Did you forget that they introduced Old Milwaukee beer - a 16 oz can for a *nickle*! You could be almost flat broke; all you needed was a quarter. I got sloshed there a few times. Almost got in one guys face until I noticed the stripes he had on ..... I shut my yap just in time ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2891. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 01:22:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <bJVGe.3145$j21.1153@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 114250

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:w1VGe.7236$Uk3.4481@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Why do some hams just wanna be difficult?
> >
>
> Jim wasn't being difficult, just responding to an 'inside' comment.
>
> About 40 years ago Jim and I worked together on Guam ("Duva" in the local
> Chamorran lingo). "Duva Den" was the enlisted watering hole at the Naval
> Communications Station. Consumption at happy hour (10-cents-a-can "Lucky
> Lager", laced with formeldahyde for preservation during ocean shipment)
tended
> to be liberal.
>
> You can admire a photo of the "Duva Den" at
> http://www.anzwers.org/free/navyscpo4/guam_frank_lynch_2.html
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Hello again, Hans

CT3 .... hmmmm ... I wonder if he was one of the guys I irritated when I found out they monitored the shack and had a half-hour chat in American Land Line Morse. I did that just for the CTs benefit. Only time in my life I used Land-Line Morse. I got thinking about someone playing a tape for hours figuring out what we said. The tears were streaming down my face. LOL, they took the beer cooler out of the shack after that stunt ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2892. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:15:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122776126.458483.123700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114252

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122687954.415406.109650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1122597670.691981.239260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> In the discussion in the NPRM, they make it clear
> >> >> that they expect any Tech
> >> >> not having HF privileges (i.e. codeless Techs)
> >> >> to take the General exam and
> >> >> upgrade to get HF privileges.
> >> >
> >> > Interesting - in many ways!
> >> >
> >> > For one thing, there would still be two kinds of
> >> > Technicians - those with HF and those without.
> >
> >> Yes there will be. The NPRM bore down heavily on the point
> >> that licensees
> >> are expected to continue to develop and learn and thus if they > want
> >> more
> >> privileges, they show that development by upgrading.
> >
> > The problem is that such an implementation of the concept
> > contradicts the FCC's own arguments and reasons!
>
> But it does completely satisfy those who kept hammering on no
> automatic
> upgrades and then some as I got the impression that most would > not have
> minded Techs being consolidated with Tech Plus at the Tech Plus > privileges even though otherwise against automatic upgrades.
>
Perhaps.

Or perhaps FCC thinks that anybody who really wants HF should just go
for General or Extra.

> > The only testing difference between a Tech and Tech Plus/Tech-> > with-HF
> > is that the latter passed Element 1 and the former did not.
> > The latter
> > has some HF privileges and the former has none.
> >
> > The FCC says that Element 1 is no longer necessary, and

> > proposes
> > to remove it completely. If someone accepts that reasoning, it
> > follows that all Techs should have the same privileges - but > > that's not what FCC proposes?!
> >
> > The only explanation I can think of is that FCC is looking for
> > long-range simplification. Perhaps what FCC wants in the long
> > term is for all entry-level licensees to be on VHF/UHF only,
> > and require at least a General for HF.
>
> It is hard to say what the FCC was thinking. However perhaps
> they too are
> looking at the statistics and interpret it as no need for the
> introductory
> license to have HF privileges. Afterall the Novice are
> declining at a fast
> enough pace that it looks like almost none are active and that > almost all
> are failing to renew. They can easily go into the data as they > have it and
> see how many Tech Pluses actually upgraded, let themselves
> lapse, or simply
> renewed. The last indicating a low activity level and/or
> interest level since they have not upgraded.

The number of upgrades isn't easily obtained from the database, though.

>
> Also, they may be thinking that this keeps the minimum number
> to tests to
> get onto HF at least at two. The Novices had to take two and
> Techs after
> April 2000 had to take two to get on HF. Prior to that, Techs > had to take 3
> tests to get on HF (Novice written, Tech written, and code).

True enough!

And when you consider that the old, pre-restructuring 20 wpm *Extra*
was earned by at least one third-grader at the age of 8,
it's a bit hard to accept that the written tests are "too hard".

(OTOH, the same can be said about the code tests...)
>
> They also discussed the concept that they do not want Techs to > stay at the
> Tech level. They consider upgrading as a significant element
> in individuals
> fulfilling the basis and purpose of ham radio (i.e. self
> training, etc).
> Allowing the Technicians some HF privileges reduces the
> motivation to
> upgrade. And the FCC repeately referred to the Techs being
> able to get on
> HF by taking the simple written test to upgrade to General.

It gets more and more interesting. Sounds like a rebirth of
Incentive Licensing.

Think about it: FCC saying that it's *not OK* to stay a Tech!?!
That upgrading is part of being a good ham and supporting the
Basis and Purpose...

> > That may sound farfetched, but consider that the number of
> > Novices is
> > slowly dropping since that license class has been closed to
> > new issues.
> > If Element 1 disappears, the number of code-tested Techs will > > have to
> > drop, too, as there won't be any more new ones. Eventually
> > there won't
> > be any of Novices or code-tested Techs left - which means no > > more
> > entry-level licensees with HF privileges.

> As a VE and instructor, it's been my observation that not that > many Techs
> bother to take the code until they are ready to upgrade to
> General. Of
> course this is limited to the sessions that I have participated > in. However
> in the last 5 years, we've only tested a couple of Techs for
> code
> privileges. And those two were not taking it as an upgrade to > Tech but at
> the same session with their Tech written. The rest were all
> trying for
> their General licenses (i.e. had their General written CSCE in > hand or were
> trying for their General written at the same session).

Which all makes sense.

On top of that, since 1991 I have known many hams who bypassed
Novice and went to Tech with the reasoning that they could
then upgrade one step at a time, rather than having to prepare
for two tests at the same time.

> > Of course it will take years for all of them to disappear, but
> > such a system doesn't cost FCC any admin resources.

> Maximum of ten years from the date of the R&O if they don't
> renew or
> upgrade. Potentially far longer if they do renew.

Yup. In less than 5 years there will be no more Tech Pluses in
the database even if the rules don't change.
>
> >> > But more telling would be how many Techs would
> >> > get Generals or Extras in order to get HF.
> > >
> >> I think that will indeed be very interesting.
> > >
> > > Yes!
> > >
> >> I was plotting the data from

> >> the ah0a site out of curiosity and it is
> >> easy to see on a graph the
> >> bubble
> >> in 2000 and it is easy to see the fact that it was small and
> >> temporary. The
> >> only class that is steadily increasing
> >> significantly in numbers is the
> >> Extra.
> >
> > Plain and simple fact. Can't escape it.
> >>
> >> > We'd finally see how much of a "barrier" the
> >> > 5 wpm code test really is/was, by how many
> >> > Techs upgrade and how many don't.
> >>
> >> My guess is that at least half the Technicians
> >> are inactive and will
> >> not
> >> upgrade. Of the remaining portion, there will
> >> probably be half that
> >> either
> >> don't hear about the change or don't hear that much about the
> >> change and so
> >> won't pursue it. There will also be a handful that aren't
> >> really interested
> >> in HF and so will not upgrade. Still if only 1/4 of
> >> the Techs upgrade in
> >> the next year, it will be quite a burden on the
> >> VEs for a while.
> >>
> > Nobody really knows what will happen. The VEs will rise to the
> > challenge, just they did in 2000.
>
> Yes they will. In reality, I don't expect that we will be
> swamped as the
> excuses will begin ("I don't have time to study right now",
> etc). We will
> get hit with a bump in the numbers but it won't be that bad.
> After all just
> look at the bump in 2000. There were something like 100,000+ > Tech Pluses
> and the number of upgrades while higher for a few months wasn't > all that large.

And a lot of them were paper-only upgrades.

Another factor: if the rules do change, we will probably see a surge of
VE action as soon as the changes are announced. This
surge will be driven by two forces, as was the pre-April-2000
surge:

1) - Hams getting licenses *before* the rules change so they can
say they did

2) - Hams getting CSCEs ahead of time so that they can upgrade under
the new rules on the day the rules change. Back in April 2000, there
were several no-test VE sessions set up to handle the
CSCE surge...
>
> > Look again at the AH0A data from 2000, and from this week. Or > > compare
> > the numbers I post twice a month. Are the changes really that > > dramatic?
> >
>
> Not really. Although as I mentioned earlier, I think under the > new system,
> we will gradually drift towards a de facto two class system
> (General &
> Extra) at least among the hams who are actually active.

I disagree somewhat. Two observations:

1) Notice how slowly the Advanced is declining. 5 years and 3 months
since it was closed to new issues, and yet the number
of Advanceds is about 75% of what it was then. This is despite
the attrition to expirations and the written-test-only upgrade to
Extra.

2) The number of Generals surged after 2000, but is not growing
anymore. General has become a intermediate step on the way to Extra.

What we're heading for may be more of a 4 class system -
Tech/General/Advanced/Extra.

If we do get a de facto 2 class system, it will probably
be more like Tech/Extra rather than General/Extra.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2893. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:20:40 UTC
From: TJ <tjtrucker@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YzWGe.71644$s54.37030@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 114253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Boy some body has way too much time on their hands at the EEOC(whoevery they are) white, black, pink or blue it's all static.

ve7agw, Al

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:Kveye.3641$8f7.2882@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The following news is noted in the GEARVAKf (the "f" is silent) Bulletin.
>
> "White Noise" Opposed By EEOC
> ----------
>
> Use of the term "white noise" to describe the production of signals over
> a
> wide range of frequencies by the cosmos, atmospheric electrical
> disturbances,
> and radio circuitry, has been condemned by the Equal Electrical
> Opportunity
> Commission (EEOC) as discriminatory.
>
> EEOC spokesperson, Bruce Gweemser, said use of the term is considered
> derogatory because it attributes either negative or positive
> characteristics
> of such signals to a particular color. "If the connotation is negative,"
> he
> explained, "then Americans of the light-skinned persuasion may be
> offended.
> On the other hand, if the connotation is positive, Americans of other
> darker
> varieties of skin shading may take offense."
>
> Gweemser said that the EEOC strongly recommends the abandonment of the
> term
> "white noise" and replacement with another, more descriptive one.
> "Perhaps
> 'electrical-American noise' might be more appropriate," Gweemser
> suggested.
>
> -- W8LCZ, et al
>
>
>
>

2894. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:25:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1122776753.984824.139050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114254

KØHB wrote:

> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which
> reflect your
> personal philosphy, and report ONLY THE SUM here

313

73 de Jim, N2EY

2895. Re: No more white noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:28:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IHWGe.6589$0C.3138@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TJ" <tjtrucker@hotmail.com> wrote

> Boy some body has way too much time on their hands at the EEOC(whoevery they
> are) white, black, pink or blue it's all static.

Read it again with your satire filter deselected.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2896. Re: Johnny B. Goode (Pedo Steve Holsten of Kennett, Missouri) has his head up his fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:28:39 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122776919.582938.45230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey give us one of those I'm not Woger whines,
Woger.

2897. New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:37:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LPWGe.7307$Uk3.2939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In light of the likelyhood that all US Amateur Radio testing may soon become code-free, this 16-year-old article from WA6ITF has new applicability.

GEARVAKf Research Basis of No-Code Tape

Turlock, California-- April 32, 1989 - In a surprise announcement that has completely rocked the foundation of the educational community, the Wet-Link C.B. Radio Network has prematurely introduced a new "No-Code CW Training Tape Cassette' which was developed using lack of research material supplied by the world famous Gorbinsky Learning and Forgetting Center of Ohio.

This GEARVAKf-sponsored facility is the hub of the GEARVAKf-funded research into "things."

In a 1955 report authored two decades before the center was opened, it'sformer Director of Research Into Things, Dr. R. U. Kidding, PhD. (phud), posed the question: "Why is the sky blue and what does this have to do with learning morse code?"

Using grant monies provided by the GEARVAKf Grant Monies Institute to Research Things, Dr. Kidding attempted to contact the late Samuel F.B. Morse to ascertain the answer. By 1966, Dr. Kidding had discovered that Morse had been dead for several decades and therefore was not a plausable source for garnering his information.

While Dr. Kidding never did learn why the sky is blue, his 1979 paper titled "To Code or Not to Code--Is That a Question?" went unnoticed by the communications community for almost two decades, mainly because it as written in a VIC-20 computer, printed in 23-letter columns, and looked like a grocery list. The paper was resurrected about four days ago by the production staff at Wet-Link C.B. Radio as an excuse to put out a useless tape cassette to teach people No-Code at 0 WPM. Hosted by Niles East, the cassette is designed to instruct the listener and impart enough knowledge so that he or she can pass the Morse Code portion of the FCC No-Code ham radio exam.

Since nobody in their right or left mind would buy such trash, the only way to get one is at the WESTLINK REPORT/220 NOTES booth at the 1989 Amateur RadioVention in Dayton, Ohio. The tapes are almost for free, but not quite. GEARVAKf members are advised to show their lack of ID cards while non-members need not.

-- WA6ITF

2898. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:44:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122777857.481934.30180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114258

KØHB wrote:
> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops
> about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all
> with a single thread.
.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.

8.1 K0HB likes to occassionally post "self-righteous indignation"
pieces
between his own RRAP shennanigans indulgances.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2899. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 02:55:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122778514.589537.57210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114259

John Smith wrote:
> an_old_friend:
>
> I assure you, I am just "bantering" with Len... no need to stand to his
> defense...

well hard to tell and well It can't be that conforable to bee seen as
defending the FCC's rules as making sense

Personal I don't think the whole rule (no encryption would stand up to
a court challange at least away from the current security charged
paranoia but that isn't a cause I am willing to risk the treasure to do

>
> John
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1122763266.985545.300070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Len:
> >>
> >> So then, you have decided that free speech is only allowed if the government
> >> can understand it (decrypt it.)
> >
> > The FCC does at least with respect to Ham radio, Len is only describing
> > the state of ARS rules
> >
> >>
> >> Interesting, my idea of free speech is that they (my public servants--from
> >> bush
> >> on down) don't have anything to say about what I say, nor who I say it to,
> >> nor
> >> need to be aware of the contents of my messages (unless they find me yelling
> >> "fire" in a crowded theatre)... however, I am an american citizen... now if
> >> they want to hassle some other individual in another country, I guess they
> >> can,
> >> depending if they get a pencil shoved in their ear or not, I suppose...
> >>
> >> Not only that, but the constitution of my forefathers demands I keep an eye
> >> on
> >> my "public servants", maintaining a constant vigil, and if they even look
> >> like
> >> they are attempting to limit and/or subvert the liberties and freedoms of
> >> the

> >> citizens here, I am demanded to use whatever means necessary to halt and
> >> prevent them, it is how I repay those individuals who gave their lives to
> >> win
> >> my freedoms and liberties--that is a REAL "GENTLEMAN's AGREEMENT!"...
> >>
> >> ... now I would be a damn fool to tell them what I would have planned in
> >> such
> >> an necessity, wouldn't I?
> >>
> >> Sometimes, I think we have already lost a battle, at this point, and a
> >> foreign
> >> influence is in some kind of control of this country...
> >>
> >> ... perhaps the time approaches to repay that debt, much quicker than I
> >> would
> >> like ...
> >>
> >> When a government begins to fear its' very own citizens--the problem is
> >> NEVER
> >> the citizens...
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> news:1122758446.520615.74780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > From: "an old friend" on Fri 29 Jul 2005 18:02
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>> From: K0HB on Jul 29, 12:46 pm
> >> >>> >"an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >> gee I need more than the word of the people who developed it
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >The people who developed it did not call it an encryption method. (For
> >> >>> >the
> >> >>> >simple reason that no cryptology is involved.)
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Tsk, tsk, TSK! You FORGOT to mention the "papers" on the ARRL
> >> >>> website describing Peter Martinez' PSK31. [that's not like you]
> >> >>>
> >> >>> You are skirting a very grey line on "cryptology." PSK31 is
> >> >>> NOT about "intentional obscuration of the meaning of a
> >> >>> communications," the boilerplate statement in Part 97 on
> >> >>> what can be sent or not sent by radio amateurs.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> On the other hand, PSK31 is sufficiently UNLIKE conventional
> >> >>> TTY codings that it cannot be decoded by any TTY machinery
> >> >>> or even Hellschreiber.
> >> >>

> >> >>and most artical I have read deal quickly with showing the PSK 31 which
> >> >>Is a modulation different than most and an encoding of the character
> >> >>different than most, that everyond kept talking about why is wasn't an
> >> >>ilgeal code
> >> >
> >> > That's in regard to the FCC regulations on permissible codings.
> >> > IF A CODING HAS BEEN PUBLISHED (and supposedly well-enough
> >> > known) then it is okay to use by radio amateurs. That ties
> >> > into the basic warning of amateur radio communications shall
> >> > not be intended to obscure the true meaning (as with 'secret'
> >> > codes).
> >> >
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Too many have the emotional labeling of "cryptology" in regards
> >> >>> to secrets and spies. In checking out Webster's New World
> >> >>> Dictionary, Prentice-Hall 1989, it defines "cryptography" as
> >> >>> "the art of writing or deciphering messages in code." Tsk, that
> >> >>> would apply to morse codes, wouldn't it? :-)
> >> >>
> >> >>indeed it does as has been pointed out to hands in this or other
> >> >>threads to Hans
> >> >
> >> > I'm of the understanding that Hans DOES understand it, but is
> >> > trying some wordplay. "Cryptic" as in "cryptology" or
> >> > "cryptography" carries with it some emotional baggage for
> >> > many in being related to espionage activities. "Morse code"
> >> > of course is absolutely not (in more emotional baggage of
> >> > morsemen) any "code" of any kind...ahem...yet morse code is
> >> > IS a CODE of aperiodic on-off states representing the English
> >> > alphabet, numerals, and some punctuation marks.
> >> >
> >> > That morse code is an "international language" is more
> >> > nonsense by morsemen. The CCITT and later ITU-R simply
> >> > STANDARDIZED the "International Morse Code" as being
> >> > the standard for radio amateurs. Has NO relation to
> >> > a "language" other than being a representation of the
> >> > English language characters.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>a point or 2 about Morse as it compares to PSK 31
> >> >>
> >> >>When someone says PSK 31 they mean the modulation and the character set
> >> >>much like Morse Code you have the modulation which is assumed to be
> >> >>OOKed CW, but doesn't have to realy (we have MCW sounds of dit and dah
> >> >>on FM voice, or maybe alsoused to ID anautoumatic SSB to for all I
> >> >>know)and the letter set
> >> >
> >> > Peter Martinez' innovation (he is G3PLX) uses PHASE modulation
> >> > which can be picked up with an FM demodulator. Peter goes
> >> > one step further in the character coding...that of - in effect -

> >> > altering the modulation spectrum by the CHOICE of bits and
> >> > bit lengths. [there's a very long explanation of the effect
> >> > on spectral content which can't be done in here without
> >> > some pictures or whiteboard sketching]
> >> >
> >> > Phase modulation has some definite advantages insofar as
> >> > very low received signal levels are concerned. [again, that
> >> > gets to a complex explanation involving some statistics
> >> > knowledge] It CAN actually work BETTER than on-off-keyed
> >> > CW at very low signal levels, aided by only a simple
> >> > hardware expansion of the detector system in a receiver.
> >> > Conservative traditionalist radio amateurs are horrified
> >> > at such upstart ideas (only four decades or so old) and
> >> > will have NONE of that!
> >> >
> >> > As long as a message/communications is being sent, the
> >> > transmitter MUST be on for PM or FM. The carrier is always
> >> > present. Again, the conservative traditionalists argue that
> >> > this is "inefficient" and other ill-informed horse pucky,
> >> > not counting on the added electronic stress on the power
> >> > supply or final amplifier or the resulting shock to the
> >> > primary power source. [I've seen some truly marvelous
> >> > rationalizations on that, little more than nonsensical
> >> > imaginings of those that haven't learned enough of FM and PM]
> >> >
> >> >>One could send Morse by FSK keying makeing it sound more like RTTY than
> >> >>anything any ham would reconize and Morse, but one always means int eh
> >> >>ARS the very specail mode/letter set
> >> >
> >> > FSK and PSK "sound" almost identical. Their modulation
> >> > spectra are VERY close, almost exact if only magnitude of
> >> > the spectral components are considered (the difference is
> >> > in the phase of their sidebands relative to the carrier
> >> > phase and modulation phase). With a binary (two-state)
> >> > modulation signal, PSK is a tiny bit easier to implement
> >> > on a transmitter than FSK.
> >> >
> >> > But, on regulatory matters, the FCC is quite firm on the
> >> > "public knowledge" aspect of ANY coding. For OOK CW, they
> >> > reference the CCITT/ITU-T standard on commercial telegraphy
> >> > as the International Morse Code. For RTTY they reference
> >> > the 5-level so-called Baudot code and the 7-level-plus-
> >> > parity ASCII code. PSK31 was allowed for use (followed
> >> > up by specific communications from the FCC) when it was new
> >> > due to extensive publication in Europe for years before
> >> > it was published in QST.
> >> >
> >> > On Spread Spectrum techniques, there's still a gray area in
> >> > the regulations. FCC regulations have allowed a greater
> >> > range in the pseudo-random sequences for direct-sequence

> >> > spreading (than the original regs) but they still require
> >> > some form of "recording" of EVERY transmission for "later"
> >> > use! [that's the interpretation of that very general
> >> > regulation on SS] On the other hand, there's a difficulty
> >> > in obtaining the EXACT nature of just about EVERY commercial
> >> > SS sequence in commercial use...from keyless auto entry
> >> > fob transmitters to garage door openers to LANs and WLANs*
> >> > to remote electrical meter useage transmitters. Millions
> >> > of commercial SS sequences being sent but there are NO
> >> > regulatios imposed on THEIR transmission recordings!
> >> >
> >> > * I'm classifying all those "cordless" devices from 'phones
> >> > to remote TV monitors to home networking gadgets in the WLAN
> >> > category...that's a few million or so more devices.
> >> >
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >> >
> >

2900. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 03:03:01 UTC
From: Chucky <LennyTroll@blabs>
Message-ID: <dchevh$ui8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114260

39. Lennie is a gas bag.
40. Lennie is a troll.

2901. Steve not lying at any time Re: doctors write worse? I doubt it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 03:04:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122779058.860444.284270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114261

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > > > Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
> > > > > loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
> > > > > written like Markie writes...
> > >
> > > > gee My note reaad clearer than my docs scpirts do
> >
> > Then your 'docs' are as big of idiots as you.
>
> well I guess "idiots" must mean bright and cappable to you then

"...cappable...?

What do I care that the Doctor wears headgear or not?

And no, "idiots' means idiots. If they WANT to place themselves
in potential jeopardy for mis-scripted medications, then they can go
ahead and write like you do.

> > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.
>
> why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
> never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
> ones

Two comments:

First, if your doctor's writing can't be read, s/he's incompetent,
regardless of how nice a guy/gal they are. Not to mention they are
opening thenselves up to a hu-mongous law suit.

Secondly, if YOU don't take the time to sit down with your doctor
and go over those medications to understand what each one is, it's
benefits, expected outcomes, potential side effects and possible
alternatives to their use, then you're the idiot I have always claimed
you are.

> and you should know that Doc are notorious for poor handwriting

Maybe YOUR docs are...The one's I work with are a bit more
professional than that, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

2902. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 03:21:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122780068.617983.305360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114262

From: "an old friend" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 11:20

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > Snarly Stebie doesn't do much else in this newsgroup but
>> > boast generalities of a non-specific nature while generally
>> > insulting everyone who doesn't agree with him.
>>
>> Untrue, but then when has "truth" been your suit, Lennie?
>
>entirely true

Quod erat demonstrandum...Latin for "it is as demonstrated."

Stebie has to begin by snarling and hurling epithets. :-)

>> > He has changed his boasting so many times that it is difficult
>> > to know what he has actually done or experienced.
>>
>> I haven't been boasting.
>
>sure have been boasting about your achivements sayning they are better
>than Lenn without of course saying what they are

[just one N in the short form of my given name...:-)]

Stebie has been "IN" those now-famous "seven hostile actions."

Actually, he has been IN military service WHILE those occurred.
He has NO presentable proof that he was "IN" those ("in" as
being a participant in). That's a good "little white lie"
kind of boast, but a BOAST nonetheless.

He has BOASTED of his physical prowess in civilian life (flying
general aviation aircraft, flying hang-gliders, roller-blading,
marching with heavy pack, etc.) while supposedly pulling in
medical pension from an early release from service, that
supposedly due to an "accident." [accident unspecified] Now,
it stands to reason that if one can do all those things as
a civilian, he is quite physically capable of completing his
"20" (years)...or he is DEFRAUDING the United States
government. Granted, the medical examination for a private
pilot license is minimal, but a job as a nurse (licensed
practical version) requires physical ability to move patients
whether in a ward or in the "ER."

>> On the otherhand this post I am replying to is over 300 lines
>> long.
>
>So? Now you are trying to ditate how people may express themselves

Stebie's whole point in BEING here seems to be his need to
SUBJUGATE OTHERS. He LIKES pushing people around plus
"having fun" denigrating anyone he feels is "too weak" to
reply or has some kind of disadvantage. He wants to make a
"name" for himself. In that, he has, but the kind of name
he's gotten isn't a good one...:-)

Subjugation is a common technique of non-commissioned officers.
While that works in recruit depots, it is ten kinds of no-no
when used in social or semi-social groups.

>> > Snarly Stebie's version of "truth" is whatever he wants to
>> > claim on any particular day. He has NO references on any of
>> > it. Some examples:
>> >
>> > Stebie would challenge "call the VA and they can tell you"
>> > [all about Stebie's military record]
>>
>> Untrue.
>>
>> You at one time doubted my having served at all. The VA CAN
>> varify that information. You refused, of course...Lot's of
>> excuses...Nothing new there...
>
>No Stevie the people who do that but only to a small degree is USAREC
>in St.Louis MO
>
>But they can't verify a claim that you will make spefic enough to even
>ask about

Poor Stebie did a bit of BLUFFING with that "call the VA" claim.
One just cannot get such information unless one is in a position
such as the personnel or security department of a business. Even
so, the information is minimal. There's a couple of public laws
on that subject for the armchair lawyers but it is of no matter.

One CAN download a standard form from NARA's St. Louis website
and send it in by mail. That's still no guarantee that any
information WILL be returned nor the extent of that information.
At best, one MIGHT get a copy of a DD214 (release from active duty)
or other one-page document provided one can supply a social
security number, both the requestor's and the person's SSN being
queried. In my case, it would be BOTH my ASN and my SSN before
they would release anything. [the changeover from service
branch specific serial numbers to social security numbers for
all branch members began in the 1960s]

>> Big snip
>>
>> > In the beginning of Stebie's tirades he mentioned a Medical
>> > Discharge that cut his marine career to 18 years (rather
>> > than a full 20). He then made that an "Honorable" instead
>> > of a medical discharge; if he had gotten that discharge some
>> > 5 years before (his alleged time was 1974 to 1992), why
>> > would he not have said "honorable" in the beginning? That's
>> > all archived, BTW.
>>
>> Well...MOST of it is archived. You've taken some literary license
>> with what was said, but I am sure it's much more colorful in your mind
>> that way.
>
>and you admit to this or not?

Stebie NEVER admits to anything he is challenged on. :-)

What I've written is FROM his own postings in here. Whether or
not Google got ALL the archives from DejaNews since 1998 is
unknown. It is beside the point, really, one can't spend the
whole of one's computer-modem activity re-arguing and re-re-
arguing old, old arguments which some sore loser (Stebie) failed
to win.

Stebie NEVER DOES ANYTHING WRONG in here. :-)

>> > Stebie claimed to have been in "seven hostile actions"
>> > during his military career, but it seems he is spinning
>> > that into the USMC being involved in those...while he was
>> > in it. He has no proof at all shown in here that HE was
>> > IN any of those hostile actions. That's in newer archives.
>>
>> And we won't discuss any of them.
>
>then they are claims without proof, or BYS Stevie lies

Stebie is just doing his BLUFFING thing as I remarked on.

It works with some people who read some unspecific generalities
and half-truths. I've seen others do it elsewhere much better
than Stebie, years ago. In fact, with two decades of experience
in computer-modem communications (BBSs first, then the Internet),
I've seen a LOT of variations on a few themes of boasting and
bluffing. [21 years in December of this year]

>> Just like you harrangue Dave Heil over his service in the State
>> Department (all of it fact-based and verifyable), I shant give you the
>> pleasure. You can go ahead and insist "it didn't happen" based upon my
>> refusal to tell you the details, if you care to, but ti still doesn't
>> make it any less factual.
>
>just appling YOUR OWN STANDARDS Stevie

[Stebie is starting to get stressed, he is making typos and using
bad punctuation...:-)]

Tsk, tsk, tsk. In my personal case, I've got reproducible
documents (including a reduced size DD214 that I carry for fun
in my wallet), photographs (with mimeoed SigC legends on the
back), some of the mimeographed order sheets received in three
years (xerographed since the acidic paper would make them self-
destruct if handled...and copied courtesy of the Burbank, CA,
Social Security office), communications with those who were
THERE in my unit doing the same work I did, one of whom has had
an amateur extra license for a long time. What is worse, I've
tried to send a digitized copy of my first First 'Phone license
to Stebie and HE REFUSED to accept it. :-)

It would seem that Stebie ONLY accepts things that prove HIS
case. That's consistent with his newsgroup "battle plan" (or
whatever it is for trying to win message points) of MAKING
others accept HIS unspecific general claims.

>> > Stebie has claimed "working in military radio communications"
>> > but isn't at all familiar with the very common (quarter
>> > million R/T units made and operational) SINCGARS...(SNIP)
>>
>> Lennie, I guess it's silly to point out to you that SINCGARS is
>> NOT the end-all of radio communications in the Armed Forces.
>
>but you know nothing of the system where I a 54E know a afair about
>them, without having served a commo related MOS. make it very dubious

The SINCGARS "family" of R/Ts is now a QUARTER MILLION in number
of supplied and operational small-unit radios used by ALL
branches (USCG use unknown), primarily for land and land-air
tactical communications. They began operational life in 1989,
first in Korea. Designed and made by ITT, Fort Wayne, IN,
(other production by General Dynamics Land Division now
disbanded and reorganized), a QUARTER MILLION radio sets of the
same type exceeds every other U.S. military radio set/system in
number. Ever.

Stebie had five years of his service life to see one, yet is
"unfamiliar" with it. [the USMC does indeed use it, is using
it now in Iraq and Afghanistan, as is the USA] For that matter,
Stebie cannot recall/remember/mention ANY nomenclature of any
military radio except some MARS equipment, despite 18 years
claimed as a military radio whiz-bang. That's NOT consistent
with ANY radio-interested person. [Stebie is unfamiliar with
the HF-band AN/PRC-104 manpack in service since 1986 with
land forces...nice little "QRP" rig designed and made by
Hughes Aircraft Ground systems, operational with USMC and USA]

>> Your 3000th telling of yet another SINCGARS story does not make it
>> any more valuable than any other communications resource...Then, now or

>> in the future.

Tsk, tsk. A QUARTER MILLION radio sets is not "significant?"
How about a type that has selectable encrypted voice plus
selectable frequency hopping...both features making it quite
difficult to DF, almost impossible (in the field) to decrypt?
It's been in operational use for 16 years and will be
(eventually, but soon now) supplanted by the AN/PRC-150 by
Harris Corporation.

Tsk, only in Stebieworld (at the signpost up ahead) is a foot
soldier's secure communication means "insignificant!" :-)

>> > At best, Stebie's claims are only for an "Assistant" NCOIC
>> > position at a MARS station in Okinawa in the 1980s. MARS
>> > stations are NOT a regular part of any service branch radio
>> > communications...are, at best, a sort of special services
>> > like operation where they MIGHT be used for regular comms
>> > in case of catastrophic happenings to the Defense
>> > Communication System (DCS).
>>
>> But...but...but...LENNIE!
>>
>> YOU are the one who made the big deal about who runs MARS, etc etc
>> even when it wasn't an issue!
>
>more stevie lies

True enough, Mark. Poor Stebie made a reply to Hans Brakob
writing "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio." Brian
Burke then picked up on that and chided him. Stebie became
outraged and tried three ways from Sunday to rationalize it.
I went and looked for the DoD directive on MARS, found it,
posted the link, included a short-form history of how MARS
came to be (it was 'born' in the U.S. Army before WW2).

MARS >>IS NOT<< amateur radio but a MILITARY radio service,
an auxilliary or "affiliate" (the A in MARS), operates
OUTSIDE the U.S. ham bands, has its own callsigns. Amateurs
are volunteers for MARS activities. The Army wanted amateur
participation in the early radio days prior to WW2 in order
to improve its own radio abilities...which were improved,
indeed leap-frogged in technology advancement, by commercial
firms just prior to the U.S. involvement in WW2.

NONE of the pre- or post WW2 military radio communications
networks USED MARS for everyday tactical radio comms. During
the old Vietnam War times ('Nam ended 30 years ago), it was
a definite morale-booster for personal communications to
"stateside." There's no need of such morale-boosting service
now with the much-improved worldwide military communications
such as the DSN and satellite relay. MARS has shifted its

focus to become a tertiary communications system in case of
catastrophic events for the military but is now active as a
liason service to tie together other government communications.

>> > That's in very recent archives.
>> > Worse yet, it took months for Stebie to acknowledge that
>> > the Department of Defense directs MARS, even when given the
>> > link to the directive itself available on the Internet at
>> > the DoD website.
>
>you claimed otherwise

Stebie claimed that otherwise. :-)

I doubt that Stebie EVER went to the DoD website to find the
directive document. Anyone can. It isn't "classified."

>> It was never in question.
>>
>> Lennie is uttering a blatant falsehood every time he repeats this.
>>
>> Lying IS Lennie's forte. This is why Lennie H doesn't get the
>> respect and admiration he THINKS he deserves...
>>
>
>Stevie just goes and on dening his own words

True enough, Mark. I think Stebie has actual ATTACKS within
his own mind where little voices in his head scream "they LIE,
they LIE, they LIE!" :-)

TRUTH is its own "admiration." TRUTH is "respectable."

A continual repetition of "LIE, LIE, LIE" in the face of proof
that anyone can view is a sickness on Stebie's part.

>> And the darned ironic thing is that I'm the one who usually jabs
>> Lennie, yet Lennie engages in all these "persoanl insult" diatribes at
>> almost everyone...
>
>you must be reading a different Usenet than I am Stevie or be lying or
>delusional

Mark, I'd pick DELUSIONAL. Stebie's flipped out, gone bananas,
wigged out (he looks like he's ready for a hairpiece anyway).

"The truth is out there..." which is still true even if "X-Files"
ceased production. :-)

>> > >> The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
>> > >> seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
>> > >
>> > >yes we think it is constructive to look behind the curtain at the real
>> > >world

>> >
>> > That would disturb Stebie's fantasy. He wants the nicely-ordered
>> > military-style discipline he is no longer a part of...(SNIP)
>>
>> Wrong.
>
>right You can't handle to turth

The delusional can only recognize the "truth" existing in their
fantasy. They have great difficulty with reality, therefore
cling mightily to their fantasy "truths."

>> > (UNSNIP)...one where
>> > everything is explained and laid-out, no room for deviation from
>> > the precise order of things. He can fit into that. However, if
>> > the environment changes, he gets very upset and angry. He can't
>> > handle disagreements with his opinions, tries to defend himself
>> > (no need in normal discussion not about a person) by issuing a
>> > series of personal insults directed at his perceived "accuser."
>>
>> Even MORE ironic that I have never addressed you (or anyone) as
>> the "accuser"...that would be a LennieTerm. One that helps to
>> establish "victim status"
>
>more Steve evasion, and another lie you have called me on accusing you

Stebie attempted some wordplay in there, made like a "victim"
of a "false charge." :-) It doesn't work but he doesn't
realize it yet. :-)

Stebie constantly ACCUSES certain others of heinous things like
"LYING!" even though such things are truth. He can no longer
separate right from wrong, let alone emerge from fantasy into
reality.

>> > There is, nor has there ever been, a SINGLE "correct" way of
>> > doing things in amateur radio.
>>
>> There's been ONE constant in Amatuer Radio...
>>
>> The license.
>
>then why don't and why have you never treated other license holder with
>any respect?

Mark, you just don't fit Stebie's fantasyworld concepts. :-)

[note that Stebie misspelled "amateur" as "Amatuer" again,
something that is common with his upset/stressed state...]

To Stebie, ham radio is ALL about the LICENSE! Not the fun
of communicating, not the camaraderie of white male club-
house fun, not the interest in the radio hardware, it's the

LICENSE! That makes Stebie a SOMEBODY! He's "federally-
authorized!" Wow. What a concept!

>even if I granted you abuse of Lenn on that issue it doesn't explian
>your treatment of Myself of Todd and of Brain

Brian Burke, that is...but you are right, Mark, his comments
ARE hypocritical. It's his "PCTA extra Double Standard"
hypocrisy operational again...sigh.

>> The one you don't have...The one you CAN'T get.
>
>More Libel Steve the second half of the statement is liekly not true
>and you should know it is likely not true

You are right again, Mark. But Stebie is hot and fired-up on
his ATTACK mode again...as if any U.S. amateur radio class
license is "impossible for me to get." :-)

>> > Amateur radio is NOT a military...(SNIP)
>>
>> Your reality is slipping again, Lennie...
>
>no he is trying to guess at the nature of your twisted mind

No guessing...he's a bit nuts. I was making a comment that
U.S. amateur radio is NOT any sort of military service.

It isn't. It is a "service" insofar as a service of the
government to licensees. The word "service" all throughout
Title 47 C.F.R. is as a regulatory term denoting a type and
kind of radio use/activity in a particular Part.

>> You really stretch to make these up, don't you..?!?!
>
>not at all you seem to act like a drill sgt (whatever the fool marines
>call the army calls em that), and expect the rest of us are going to
>fall in

Stebie stormed into this newsgroup long ago acting like "super-
marine," a regular DILL Sergeant (my term), he of the pursed
lips (puckered from too much dill and vinegar) and tried to
make all of us who disagreed with him "drop and give me ten!"

Along the way his DILL Sergeant campaign hat got all askew
and he wound up barking orders to a bunch of blank walls.

>> > But, the old rank-status-privilege class consciousness of the
>> > old six-class license system suits the ordered, disciplined
>> > military psyche.
>>
>> You keep mentioning "military psyche" along with your 1950's-era
>> radio stories, Lennie...
>

>yes he does. your point?

Actually, Mark, I've mentioned 1960s radio, 1970s radio, 1980s
radio, 1990s radio, 2000s radio all along.

Stebie tried to MISDIRECT into "blaming his accuser" again. :-)

Poor guy still hasn't been able to separate civilian life
from military life. He still thinks he is SOME kind of
national service through his "amatuer" [sic] radio license.

>> > Radio is radio.
>>
>> No, it's not. Not in the APPLICATION.
>
>Radio is NOT radio Stevie? my, my you do need your meds

He won't take them, Mark. :-)

He still thinks that his DILL Sergeant barking can get all
those electrons, fields, and waves to do Stebie's bidding.

Tsk. The only reason there is licensing in amateur radio
is as a regulatory tool for the government, in this case
the FCC. All nations do that. Unfortunately, some take
this "amatuer" [sic] radio thing as a LIFESTYLE and magnify
it far beyond what it is...a hobby.

>> This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO...Not Army, or PLMRS, or GMRS,
>> etc etc etc.
>
>then why don't you write about Amateur Radio once and awhile

He's having too much fun gabbling and boasting about MARS and
CAP and the murines and stuff where he was a SOMEBODY! :-)

>> Big snip.
>>
>> > One MUST look at what other radio services are doing. Firstly,
>> > amateurs must co-exist in the EM spectrum with all other radio
>> > services.
>>
>> We must co-exist in that we do not cause any disruption of service
>> to OTHER radio services. What goes on inside those band edges is a
>> whole different story.
>
>IOW we should ignore the technolgies around us and focus on what? Morse
>Coded OOK CW?

Absolutely! Everyone march to the SAME drumbeat! Order!
Discipline! Cherish the tradition! Status the Quo!

>> > Secondly, other radio services, having (usually)
>> > more flexibility in mode type use, can offer insight into

>> > possible new methods of communications.
>>
>> But...but...but...LENNIE!
>>
>> YOU are the one who keeps yelling "IT'S JUST A HOBBY!"
>>
>> Where does the FUN of operating radios that are software defined
>> and require almost NO human interaction to make work go...?!?!
>
>I on't particular want to work that hard just to make the RADIO go, Id
>rather spend my time and effort dealing with people at the other end

It could be that Stebie wants SDR. That's an acronym for
Soft-head Defined Radio. :-)

>> > Thirdly, closing off
>> > minds to what happens elsewhere and claiming it is "for the
>> > good of amateur radio" is a simple cop-out, an excuse NOT to
>> > learn, investigate, to enquire about new things, new
>> > possibilities; it's a rationale for being LAZY.
>>
>> Lennie...YOU keep repeating that threadbare and untruthful rant
>> over and over, and it's no more true today than when you first sprout
>> it.
>
>you operate that way, Jim N2EY is politer about but operates that way

True enough. Stebie thinks I am "growing" opinions because
they "sprout?" :-)

He's a case...mental case growing worse. Terribly fascinating
to watch his psychosis develop over the years, much better than
classroom learning in psychology. His blabberings are more and
more black-and-white, no shades of gray. Emotionally more
volatile than a vial of nitroglycerine. :-)

nit pik
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2903. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 03:27:50 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <j9hoe155a63aunvrlldp8uqmtqm9jmpq3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 114263

On 30 Jul 2005 05:13:12 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On Fri, 29 Jul 2005 19:37:30 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>
>> An interesting announcement! Here in Canada, Morse Code is now > an alternative method of qualifying for HF privileges.
>>
>> In other words - Morse survived!
>
>Morse Code *testing* survived - it's just not mandatory anymore in
>Canada.

Correct - that's what I meant to say above!

>
>IIRC, this was exactly what the commentary on the proposal supported.

You do indeed - it was recommended to IC that Morse testing be removed as a mandatory requirment to HF access, but retained as an alternative.

>>
>> A couple of the other requests from the Amateur community
>> via the
>> RAC proposal) were granted as well - increasing the pass
>> marks on the
>> exams to 70% from 60%, and the addition of commercial
>> kit-building
>> privileges for Basic license holders.
>>
>> Not bad - now there's a regulatory agency that listens. Well
>> done, Industry Canada!
>
>I agree 100%!
>
>They found a way to give everyone some of what they wanted.
>They listened to what the majority of those expressing an
>opinion supported, and acted on it. They produced a set of
>regulations designed to reconcile or at least minimize
>polarization, rather than increase it.
>
>What concepts, eh? ;-)

>
>Perhaps we in the USA should suggest such a system to FCC....

Good idea. Not sure if it would do much good, though - they don't
seem to be in listening mode on Amateur-related issues!

>
>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73. Leo

2904. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 03:29:33 UTC
From: Dee <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dchgh7$5vt$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114264

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message <gaseous post snipped>

Len said, "His blabberings are........." and goes on to do the same.

Pot, kettle.

2905. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 03:30:53 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <nbhoe1tl3g598alvhedrqgm47t6st1k4n6@4ax.com>
Source record: 114265

On Sat, 30 Jul 2005 18:43:01 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating loops
>about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can end it all
>with a single thread.
>
>Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
>personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report ONLY
>THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your position on
>these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions regarding Amateur
>Radio Policy.
>
>1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
>2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
>3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
>4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
>5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
>6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's
>7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
>8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
>9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
>10. N9OLG is a sponge
>11. John Smith is
>12. John Smith isn't
>13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
>14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
>15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
>16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
>17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
>18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
>19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
>20. W4NTI is a redneck
>21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
>22. Leo is a hoser
>23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
>24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
>25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox
>26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
>27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
>28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
>29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
>30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
>31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz

>32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
>33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
>34. KB3EIA is full of helium
>35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
>36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
>37. __________ is a _________ and a radio whiz.
>38. __________ is a _________ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
>Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.

LOL! My calculator's in the shop at the moment, but the total so far
here is definitely 22, eh?
>
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>

73, Leo

2906. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:02:53 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <c4joe1hagbsu9t061qeopamkhrjqejs2s1@4ax.com>
Source record: 114266

On 30 Jul 2005 14:17:19 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Problem is, USA ham radio is basically done by WHITE MALES so
> it wouldn't go over big. Dunno about Canadian demographics
> but British Columbia is largely white...

I know in Edmonton, Alberta there is at least one Chinese male and a fairly active group of Philipino's. Also some women. But yes predominantly in Edmonton I'd say at least 80% are white male.

I do not recall seeing any folks at the meetings who were black or East Indian. In the Edmonton demographics there aren't many blacks but there are a fair number of East Indians.

BTW there are a considerably number of hams in their 20s and 30s. I'd say a third or so of the executive of the larger organizations is in that age bracket.

Tony

2907. Re: What The NPRM Isn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:19:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YLydnUq6qt0QzHHfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114268

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1122776126.458483.123700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:

[snip]

>> Not really. Although as I mentioned earlier, I think under the > new
>> system,
>> we will gradually drift towards a de facto two class system
>> (General &
>> Extra) at least among the hams who are actually active.
>
> I disagree somewhat. Two observations:
>
> 1) Notice how slowly the Advanced is declining. 5 years and 3 months
> since it was closed to new issues, and yet the number
> of Advanceds is about 75% of what it was then. This is despite
> the attrition to expirations and the written-test-only upgrade to
> Extra.
>
> 2) The number of Generals surged after 2000, but is not growing
> anymore. General has become a intermediate step on the way to Extra.
>
> What we're heading for may be more of a 4 class system -
> Tech/General/Advanced/Extra.
>
> If we do get a de facto 2 class system, it will probably
> be more like Tech/Extra rather than General/Extra.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

It is very difficult to guess but I would expect a significant number of
people to take the Tech & General at the same time as there is only a small
jump in difficulty level so that their original licenses will be General.
In any classes that I teach, that will be the approach that I take and I
will have a syllabus geared towards that goal. If you are familiar with the
"Now You're Talking" study guides from the 1992 era, you will recall that it
covered both the Novice written and Technician written. It actively
encouraged students to take the road of studying for both writtens (and
code) and take all the tests. I'll take the same approach. This way the
material that is repeated between the Tech & General needs to be studied
only once.

However, it wouldn't surprise me to see Extra eventually become the largest class under the upcoming system.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2908. Re: So Bill.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:20:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122783611.894232.201070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114267

From: "John Smith" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 11:30

>Len:
>
>In this new "feels good generation" I suppose you are supposed to disguise all
>dislikes and keep them a "state secret."

ABSALUTEL, boychik!

>Frankly, I hail from a time when you were tested and knew your worth, from then
>on you went about your business, independent of others expectations or demands.

You spent time in hail? Hail, hail, the gang's all here?

No, no, NO, good sir, in HERE one MUST "demonstrate dedication
and committment to the amateur community" by CONFORMING to old,
trite, archaic standards and practices!

In other words, one has to simply LOVE (and cherish, til death
do you part) MORSMANSHIP! It's the "amateur way!"

>Back then, it was considered poor form to not allow others their personal
>opinions, beliefs and prejudices.

Yah, yah, bin dere, done dat.

Remember, I "hail" from an earlier time. However, when I first
got "into" radio, HF radio at that, tube amps still tuned up by
"dipping the plate and peaking the grid." Half a century later
there are STILL HF transmitters with tube finals and they STILL
tune up "dipping the plate and peaking the grid!"

Sunnuvagun!

>Since I made it though that time, I accepted that NOT all others could be
>expected to "like" me, indeed, I have never found the occasion where another
>mans' opinion either "makes me" or "breaks me." And, tools have been provided
>to me by my creator (whether that creator hailed from a mud puddle or exists as
>a GOD) and placed between my ears to allow me to realize all of this.

Ah, yas, me too. BUT...this be Mighty Macho Morseman hang-out
and Blog where you MUST CONFORM or they (the MMM) try to make
you die. Independent thought is NOT ALLOWED!

>Now it seems, others expect to control the opinion one forms of them--the
>future will see if they are successful or not... I think NOT!

Every generation spawns a new set of CONTROL FREAKS. Like leeches
they crawl in and try to suck blood from independent thought

processes. Or, they OD on "caffeinated drinks" and expect to piss on your grave. :-)

Or, they expect you to "take holy orders" at the Church of St. Hiram and take Vows of morsemanship while always obeying the papal bull from Newington. Amen.

<up Gregorian chant in BG, overlay credit crawl, take black>

Most bestest ever re-guards,

bop hop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2909. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:22:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122783754.804211.259820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114269

From: "John Smith" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 14:47

>Len:
>
>So then, you have decided that free speech is only allowed if the government
>can understand it (decrypt it.)

Not me, blalah. Dat be da gubmint guys whut say you guys can say.

I just go ahead and use them WLAN thingies and have no worries
about "recording all transmissions" in case the gubmint wants
to see it later. Part 97 doesn't apply to MY transmissions. :-)

>Interesting, my idea of free speech is that they (my public servants--from bush
>on down) don't have anything to say about what I say, nor who I say it to, nor
>need to be aware of the contents of my messages (unless they find me yelling
>"fire" in a crowded theatre)... however, I am an american citizen... now if
>they want to hassle some other individual in another country, I guess they can,
>depending if they get a pencil shoved in their ear or not, I suppose...

Yah, yah, noble free-speechifying citizen...

Did you ever yell THEATER in a fire house?

>Not only that, but the constitution of my forefathers demands I keep an eye on
>my "public servants", maintaining a constant vigil, and if they even look like
>they are attempting to limit and/or subvert the liberties and freedoms of the
>citizens here, I am demanded to use whatever means necessary to halt and
>prevent them, it is how I repay those individuals who gave their lives to win
>my freedoms and liberties--that is a REAL "GENTLEMAN's AGREEMENT!"...

Yah, yah, and the "gentlemen's agreement" band plans of the
ARRL are okay? <innocent look>

>Sometimes, I think we have already lost a battle, at this point, and a foreign
>influence is in some kind of control of this country...

Republicans? <innocent look>

>... perhaps the time approaches to repay that debt, much quicker than I would
>like ...
>
>When a government begins to fear its' very own citizens--the problem is NEVER
>the citizens...

Talk to the ARRL about their financial future some time if they
keep toadying to the mighty macho morsemen...

dit nit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2910. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:22:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <edKdnRB4SuKmz3HfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 114270

"Dee" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:dchgh7$5vt$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message <gaseous post snipped>
>
> Len said, "His blabberings are........." and goes on to do the same.
>
> Pot, kettle.
>
>

Please note that the above post did not come from me.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2911. Re: We can end it all right here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:25:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xpYGe.301$ox6.11128873@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114271

KXHB:

Frankly, too bad the FCC doesn't let you put a few of those questions on the test, if you have to take the damn thing--might as well get a laugh out of it! <grin>

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:VSPGe.6468$0C.1184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Rather than continue to waste perfectly good electrons on non-terminating
> loops about "you're a liar --- no YOU're a liar" and similar topics, we can
> end it all with a single thread.
>
> Simply respond to this message by selecting the numbers which reflect your
> personal philosphy, write them down on a notepad, add them up, and report
> ONLY THE SUM here. We'll all then have a clear understanding of your
> position on these matters, and can move on to other productive discussions
> regarding Amateur Radio Policy.
>
> 1. Len Anderson is a hero to the downtrodden.
> 2. Len Anderson is a liar and a putz.
> 3. K4YZ is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 4. K4YZ is a lowlife disability cheat.
> 5. K8MN is the best DXer since Danny Weil
> 6. K8MN is a sneak who lures unsuspecting French hams into out-of-band QSO's
> 7. K0HB is a war hero and radio whiz.
> 8. K0HB is a stalker and harrasser.
> 9. N9OLG is a highly educated philosopher
> 10. N9OLG is a sponge
> 11. John Smith is
> 12. John Smith isn't
> 13. N0IMD is a war hero and rare DX
> 14. N0IMD is a liar and discredits the Burke family name
> 15. KB9RQZ is a Major in the Chemical Corps and a war hero
> 16. KB9RQZ is K4YZ in disguise
> 17. AA2QA is a war hero and a radio whiz
> 18. AA2QA was barred from the Duva Den for excess consumption
> 19. W4NTI is a war hero and radio whiz
> 20. W4NTI is a redneck
> 21. Leo is a hero of the Great White North
> 22. Leo is a hoser
> 23. N8UZE is a superb contester and a fox
> 24. N8UZE is a W5TIT wannabe
> 25. W5TIT is a great IT person and a fox

> 26. Kim has an unrepeatable call sign
> 27. N2EY is a QRP hero and a radio wheeze
> 28. N2EY is a secret member of NCI
> 29. K2UNK is a hero of NCI
> 30. K2UNK is a secret member of FISTS
> 31. K2ASP is an FCC hero and a radio whiz
> 32. K2ASP once gave N0IMD a pink ticket for sending sloppy code
> 33. KB3EIA is a balloon launcher and radio whiz
> 34. KB3EIA is full of helium
> 35. K3LT should come back to rrap.
> 36. Hey, Larry, don't let the doorknob hit you in the ass on your way out.
> 37. _____ is a _____ and a radio whiz.
> 38. _____ is a _____ and a bottom dwelling scum sucker.
>
> Remember, respond with ONLY the sum of the item numbers of your selections.
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

2912. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:26:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122784004.826478.134540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114273

>Len:
>
>I am afraid we cannot grant you the benefit of the doubt, and the possibility
>you are only frugal with your money.

Nah. I'm a regular "Mr. Moneybags" who "got it all on investments"
according to our resident noise, er, nurse. :-)

Back in 1960 I was working for Ramo-Wooldridge in Canoga Park
and had decided to "upgrade" to a new set of wheels. Got a 1961
Cebby Impala convertible. [babe magnet and a half...:-)]
Had a great loan term from the company Credit Union. Then some
"downsizing" happened and I was looking for another job. Credit
Union said "no problem" on the loan, just keep paying on it,
which I did. Got another job. When that two-year loan ended,
I kept paying MYSELF the same amount into my savings account.

By 1966 I had enough saved to buy a new car, a 1967 Camaro SS.
Paid Ca$h for it. Haven't taken out any auto loans since, not
for the '70 Camaro or the '82 Camaro Berlinetta, and now the
new Malibu Maxx.

Am I bragging? No. I'm illustrating a point in directed
THRIFT. I'm not frugal, just disciplined insofar as ca$h is
concerned. And I've had some unforseen expenses from an early
personal tragedy also.

>Since you now have refused all unreasonable requests for a sizeable loan, we
>must dismiss you as a "Tight A$$ED Dude", you will not be asked again! <grin>

Good! I've been taking "NO" lessons from Capital One.

hit hit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2913. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:27:23 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <igkoe1d7h0psif6bq8jg3sq0sctua5ce5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 114272

On 30 Jul 2005 15:04:40 GMT, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black) wrote:

>They start off with the bit about before April 2, 2002:
> (2) Amateurs certified prior to April 2, 2002 will be allowed to
> operate in the HF bands below 30 MHz based on the experience and
> knowledge they have acquired over this period of time.
>
>But then further down:
> Amateurs holding a Basic Certificate who have been certified for at
> least three years will automatically receive authority to operate in
> the HF bands. This is based on the rationale that three years of
> experience will have allowed the amateur to acquire sufficient
> experience to operate proficiently in the HF bands. Amateurs who
> received their Basic Certificate within the three year interval prior
> to the date of the new standards will be required to prove that they
> had attained a mark of at least 80%.
>
>Those two paragraphs don't fully mesh. The first is a hardcoding of
>a date, but the second suggests that all one needs is a 3 year waiting
>period. I'm not sure which takes control.

I agree that the sentence could be interpreted to be a three year waiting period. That's certainly how I would read it too.

However when I review the Radiocommunication Information Circular RIC-3 - Information on the Amateur Radio Service as at http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf01008e.html it is quite clear.

1.3 General Criteria for Operation on HF Bands
Privileges granted to amateurs with an existing authorization will be based on the following criteria:
(1) All Amateurs holding Basic and Advanced Qualifications will be allowed to operate on the HF bands below 30 MHz.
(2) Amateurs certified prior to April 2, 2002 will be allowed to operate on the HF bands below 30 MHz based on the experience and knowledge they will have acquired over this period of time.
(3) Amateurs certified after April 1, 2002, who have demonstrated a superior knowledge of operational, technical and regulatory requirements by achieving a mark on the Basic examination of 80% or above will be allowed to operate on the HF bands below 30 MHz.

Nothing there about getting automatic access to HF after three years
of getting your Basic license.

Tony

2914. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:29:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7tYGe.302$Xt6.11097248@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114274

Tony:

Tune in the chicken band, channel 6--27.025 when the DX band conditions are up
on 11 meters (skip), just think how it will be when those
multi-kilowatt-ebonic-speaking-brothers get their tickets!!!

My gawd, diversity--don't ya just love it?

John

"Tony VE6MVP" <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com> wrote in message
news:c4joe1hagbsu9t061qeopamkhrjqejs2s1@4ax.com...
> On 30 Jul 2005 14:17:19 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Problem is, USA ham radio is basically done by WHITE MALES so
>> it wouldn't go over big. Dunno about Canadian demographics
>> but British Columbia is largely white...
>
> I know in Edmonton, Alberta there is at least one Chinese male and a
> fairly active group of Philipino's. Also some women. But yes
> predominantly in Edmonton I'd say at least 80% are white male.
>
> I do not recall seeing any folks at the meetings who were black or
> East Indian. In the Edmonton demographics there aren't many blacks
> but there are a fair number of East Indians.
>
> BTW there are a considerably number of hams in their 20s and 30s.
> I'd say a third or so of the executive of the larger organizations is
> in that age bracket.
>
> Tony

2915. Re: Steve lying all the time Re: doctors write worse? I doubt it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 04:38:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122784736.671797.163780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114275

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
> > > > > > > loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
> > > > > > > written like Markie writes...
> > > > >
> > > > > gee My note reaad clearer than my docs scpirts do
> > >
> > > Then your 'docs' are as big of idiots as you.
> >
> > well I guess "idiots" must mean bright and cappable to you then

cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue

cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met

> > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.
> >
> > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
> > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
> > ones
>
> Two comments:

cutting stevie praticing med without a license again

>
> > and you should know that Doc are notorious for poor handwriting
>
cuting stevie libel of a man he has never even met
> Steve, K4YZ

2916. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 05:20:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122787218.936420.96930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114276

>I thought you would look, the "egg project" is a series of pseudo-random number
>generators, deployed worldwide... they can be used to predict major
>catastrophes, and such, they work... no scientist in his right mind would deny
>it... some psychic-ether bond exists to the human mind which is yet
>undiscovered, yet its existence can be demonstrated--undoubtedly, the future
>has much in store for "new technology" centered around this "law", I suspect
>the CIA is already investigating its uses in espionage, maybe even some of the
>"amateur spies here!"

Hoo boy...sure got some goooood shroms in da San-Walk-In Valley!

You smoke it or mainline?

Shroom salesman: "Would you like a paranormal, sir?"
Me: "No thanks, one will do..."

>You may even be forced to stop laughing at Sylvia Browne in the future!

John-iee, if I knew who she was I might...but I'm laffing so hard
at yer opening paragraph I may be doing it all night...

cod god
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2917. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 05:22:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122787337.741079.105700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114277

From: Dee Flint on Jul 30, 9:22 pm

>"Dee" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:dchgh7$5vt$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message <gaseous post snipped>
>
>> Len said, "His blabberings are........." and goes on to do the same.
>
>> Pot, kettle.
>
>Please note that the above post did not come from me.

Heh heh heh heh. Does it matter? You made sure to copy
EVERYTHING. :-)

Clever ploy! Nobody can guess... :-)

cop pop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2918. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 05:26:40 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <dchneg$6r9$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 114278

Tony VE6MVP (tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com) writes:

> Nothing there about getting automatic access to HF after three years
> of getting your Basic license.
>
> Tony

It's more a puzzler than anything else. In effect they are saying
anyone who's been licensed for three years at this point has experience,
while in the future 3 years experience is not sufficient.

It seems odd that if they feel a need to grandfather some, it's not
a blanket grandfathering at the time the new rules go into effect.
But given that they do specify a date, that would seem to be the case.

Of course, if this was the old days, they'd have to bring their log
in to show that they had a good three years of experience, rather
than just sitting on the license. Who can forget the old endorsement
for the amateur license that gave some limited HF phone, but you had
to prove that you had been active on HF CW in that time period.

I'm just really curious why they picked three years and not one.
The experience angle seems more to justify a partial grandfathering
than that experience can be generally traded in for HF priviliges.

Michael VE2BVW

2919. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 05:34:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2qZGe.305$vH7.11396205@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114279

Len:

I know, sounds like I am losing my mind--hey, princeton university has been doing this for years now, the egg project, it is real... main stream scientists now say it "looks real" but there is probably an explanation--well, they have run out of possibilities of explaining it, they now have gone into denial! It is too bizarre for a "sensible man", what can I say? :(

But, I leave that to you to decide, the info is on princeton.edu site.

Anyway, Silvia Browne, my gawd man, the woman is a bit plain (homely? Makeup is only mildly effective...) but famous! <grin>

Here is a link:
http://www.sylvia.org/home/index.cfm?CFID=259428&CFTOKEN=2298759

But, I must be honest, I am sure princeton university would be horrified if they even knew I mentioned sylvia in the same post as the university. (sylvia is a charlatan--but a good one! But hey, that is just my opinion, what do us CB'ers know? <grin>)

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122787218.936420.96930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I thought you would look, the "egg project" is a series of pseudo-random
> >number
>>generators, deployed worldwide... they can be used to predict major
>>catastrophes, and such, they work... no scientist in his right mind would
>>deny
>>it... some psychic-ether bond exists to the human mind which is yet
>>undiscovered, yet its existence can be demonstrated--undoubtedly, the future
>>has much in store for "new technology" centered around this "law", I suspect
>>the CIA is already investigating its uses in espionage, maybe even some of
>>the
>>"amateur spies here!"
>
> Hoo boy...sure got some goooood shroms in da San-Walk-In Valley!
>
> You smoke it or mainline?
>
> Shroom salesman: "Would you like a paranormal, sir?"
> Me: "No thanks, one will do..."
>
>
>>You may even be forced to stop laughing at Sylvia Browne in the future!

>
> John-iee, if I knew who she was I might...but I'm laffing so hard
> at yer opening paragraph I may be doing it all night...
>
>
> cod god
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2920. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 05:39:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5uZGe.306$GQ7.11424837@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114280

Dee:

Reminds me of an old joke from past times, I really forget how it all went... I remember the punchline though:
"Take it like a man, and blame it on a woman."

That posters "style" reminds me of it...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:edKdnRB4SuKmz3HfRVn-2A@comcast.com...
>
> "Dee" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:dchgh7$5vt$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message <gaseous post snipped>
>>
>> Len said, "His blabberings are........." and goes on to do the same.
>>
>> Pot, kettle.
>>
>>
>
> Please note that the above post did not come from me.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2921. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 06:46:56 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <spsoe1hi4ffil7mlsqt6lc4ds6oagqe0m1@4ax.com>
Source record: 114281

On 31 Jul 2005 05:26:40 GMT, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black) wrote:

>It's more a puzzler than anything else. In effect they are saying
>anyone who's been licensed for three years at this point has experience,
>while in the future 3 years experience is not sufficient.

>It seems odd that if they feel a need to grandfather some, it's not
>a blanket grandfathering at the time the new rules go into effect.
>But given that they do specify a date, that would seem to be the case.

<shrug> I agree with you.

>Of course, if this was the old days, they'd have to bring their log
>in to show that they had a good three years of experience, rather
>than just sitting on the license. Who can forget the old endorsement
>for the amateur license that gave some limited HF phone, but you had
>to prove that you had been active on HF CW in that time period.

I've only been a ham since November 2004 so I can't speak for the good old days.

But it would've made sense to me to get an examiner to sign off that you have some experience such as knowing how to use the PTT button, the DTMF on your keypad, participate in some nets without being disruptive and being able to program memories into your radio.

Somewhat seriously though I can see a practical exam being somewhat useful here.

Tony

2922. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 08:40:40 UTC
From: Tyrone Washington <DCBlaster@PCorrect>
Message-ID: <dci2oi$pe5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114282

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:5uZGe.306$GQ7.11424837@news.sisna.com...
Dee:

Reminds me of an old joke from past times, I really forget how it all
went... I
remember the punchline though:
"Take it like a man, and blame it on a woman."

Oh, yes. The wanna-be chivalrous ones are snickering up their paisley
sleeves...

2923. Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 09:40:07 UTC
From: Kardiac Korner <StentCity@Cumadin>
Message-ID: <dci681adp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 114283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well, this is not endemic to the HF bands.

Case in point. This afternoon three of the youth challenged Old Timers tied up a local repeater as they compared notes and even argued over whose heart surgery was the worst. They droned on and on about the incisions made in their legs, their chests, and even went into graphic detail about the drainage tube that had been implanted in one of their abdomens.

And it this was not depressing enough, one of the Codgers went on to regale the listeners with details of his wife's cancer and chemo treatments and detailed the prescription drugs his wife uses.

Come on, guys. My heart goes out to you, but MUST you discuss it for hours on end? On a repeater? Or anywhere? These oldsters are understandably caught up in their dilemma but someone, some day, is going to have to ask them to please, please, stop.

These Oldsters, all Extra licensees, have no idea how depressing it is to listen to them.

And, guys. When someone asks you how you are doing, don't go into an hour long history of your medical trials and health tribulations. Spare us the details and the resultant depression. Please?

This message not posted via two or more anonymous remailers.

--
"My husband may have his faults, but he has never lied to me." Hillary Clinton, "Living History", page 465.

2924. Lotsa Lennie Lamentations~~~Lying to Look Laudable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 11:25:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122809149.075128.185040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114284

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

Big Snip:

> He has BOASTED of his physical prowess in civilian life (flying
> general aviation aircraft, flying hang-gliders, roller-blading,
> marching with heavy pack, etc.) while supposedly pulling in
> medical pension from an early release from service, that
> supposedly due to an "accident."...(SNIP)

Once again, Lennie's only got part of it right, but not enough to
be truthful.

I don't roller blade to start with.

"Physical prowess" is not required for flying a light civil
aircraft, and I know parapalegics who hang glide.

That having been said, even if I WAS getting the "medical pension"
you claim I am getting (I have never said any such thing, but you go
right on twisting it to suit your rant...) I'd have to be grossly
disabled to not do those things.

> (UNSNIP)...[accident unspecified] Now,
> it stands to reason that if one can do all those things as
> a civilian, he is quite physically capable of completing his
> "20" (years)...or he is DEFRAUDING the United States
> government. Granted, the medical examination for a private
> pilot license is minimal, but a job as a nurse (licensed
> practical version) requires physical ability to move patients
> whether in a ward or in the "ER."

Even if I were receiving the aforementioned "medical pension",
Lennie, the criteria for such a pension must be not being able to meet
MILITARY criteria for duty...Not civilian...

And thankfully I rarely have to "move" anyone in the ER...And when
I do, we have a newly implemented concept called "teamwork" that is
supplemented by "proper body mechanics" to effect safe moves of flail
patients.

> >> On the otherhand this post I am replying to is over 300 lines
> >> long.
> >
> >So? Now you are trying to ditate how people may express themselves
>
> Stebie's whole point in BEING here seems to be his need to

> SUBJUGATE OTHERS...(SNIP)

Nope. Just pointing out that Lennie's well known for his "Don't
Say In 10 Words When you Can Baffle Them With 1000"...

Lennie's gift of gab hides his personal failures and
incompetencies.

More snip. Scroll back a post if you need to read all of his
blusteriness.

> >No Stevie the people who do that but only to a small degree is USAREC
> >in St.Louis MO
> >
> >But they can't verify a claim that you will make spefic enough to even
> >ask about
>
> Poor Stebie did a bit of BLUFFING with that "call the VA" claim.
> One just cannot get such information unless one is in a position
> such as the personnel or security department of a business. Even
> so, the information is minimal. There's a couple of public laws
> on that subject for the armchair lawyers but it is of no matter.

Even so, the information I suggested that you verify there is
public domain.

> >> Well...MOST of it is archived. You've taken some literary license
> >> with what was said, but I am sure it's much more colorful in your mind
> >> that way.
> >
> >and you admit to this or not?
>
> Stebie NEVER admits to anything he is challenged on.

Sure I do. You just don't like the answers. They usually ruin
your rants.

> What I've written is FROM his own postings in here.

No...what you've written is from loose interpretations of things
that were said here...Very little of it correct.

That's why you are frequently humiliated by others...You keep
getting caught up in your errors.

> >> Just like you harrangue Dave Heil over his service in the State
> >> Department (all of it fact-based and verifyable), I shant give you the
> >> pleasure. You can go ahead and insist "it didn't happen" based upon my
> >> refusal to tell you the details, if you care to, but ti still doesn't
> >> make it any less factual.
> >
> >just appling YOUR OWN STANDARDS Stevie
>
> [Stebie is starting to get stressed, he is making typos and using

> bad punctuation...

No stress, Lennie...

And we can go back to nailing YOU for your frequen errors again if
need be..

Snip to yet another LennieLie

> What is worse, I've
> tried to send a digitized copy of my first First 'Phone license
> to Stebie and HE REFUSED to accept it.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...

You never cease lying in public.

Promised one thing, sent another...

That's Lennie.

> >> > Stebie has claimed "working in military radio communications"
> >> > but isn't at all familiar with the very common (quarter
> >> > million R/T units made and operational) SINCGARS...(SNIP)
> >>
> >> Lennie, I guess it's silly to point out to you that SINCGARS is
> >> NOT the end-all of radio communications in the Armed Forces.
> >
> >but you know nothing of the system where I a 54E know a afair about
> >them, without having served a commo related MOS. make it very dubious
>
> The SINCGARS "family" of R/Ts is now a QUARTER MILLION in number...(SNIP)

And put into service only 3 years before I left. They were NOT
that wekk known even in early 1992 in ALL aspects of military useage,
Lennie.

And again you show us the very narrow scope of experience you have
of communications, once again walking us down SINCGARS Lane.

More snippage of excessive bandwidth wastage.

> Stebie had five years of his service life to see one, yet is
> "unfamiliar" with it.

Nope. Wrong, wrong and more wrong.

> >> Your 3000th telling of yet another SINCGARS story does not make it
> >> any more valuable than any other communications resource...Then, now or
> >> in the future.
>
> Tsk, tsk. A QUARTER MILLION radio sets is not "significant?"

Geeze, Lennie...You can't even quote accurately when YOU
cut-and-paste what I say! No wonder you're so confused on a great many

things!

> >> > At best, Stebie's claims are only for an "Assistant" NCOIC
> >> > position at a MARS station in Okinawa in the 1980s. MARS
> >> > stations are NOT a regular part of any service branch radio
> >> > communications...are, at best, a sort of special services
> >> > like operation where they MIGHT be used for regular comms
> >> > in case of catastrophic happenings to the Defense
> >> > Communication System (DCS).
> >>
> >> But...but...but...LENNIE!
> >>
> >> YOU are the one who made the big deal about who runs MARS, etc etc
> >> even when it wasn't an issue!
> >
> >more stevie lies
>
> True enough, Mark. Poor Stebie made a reply to Hans Brakob
> writing "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio." Brian
> Burke then picked up on that and chided him. Stebie became
> outraged and tried three ways from Sunday to rationalize it.
> I went and looked for the DoD directive on MARS, found it,
> posted the link, included a short-form history of how MARS
> came to be (it was 'born' in the U.S. Army before WW2).

And the Liars Three continue to try to make an argument from
out-of-context quotes...Sheesh.

> MARS >>IS NOT<< amateur radio but a MILITARY radio service,
> an auxilliary or "affiliate" (the A in MARS), operates
> OUTSIDE the U.S. ham bands, has its own callsigns.

Oh yes...while you're misquoting ME, allow me to re-hash YOUR claim
ot be able to be a member of NMC MARS without a valid Amateur Radio
license...

Then claim how little I allegedly know..

More out-of-context mistruths snipped.

> >> Lying IS Lennie's forte. This is why Lennie H doesn't get the
> >> respect and admiration he THINKS he deserves...
> >>
> >
> >Stevie just goes and on dening his own words
>
> True enough, Mark. I think Stebie has actual ATTACKS within
> his own mind where little voices in his head scream "they LIE,
> they LIE, they LIE!"
>
> TRUTH is its own "admiration." TRUTH is "respectable."

For once you got some things right, Lennie.

> A continual repetition of "LIE, LIE, LIE" in the face of proof
> that anyone can view is a sickness on Stebie's part.

But YOUR lies are evident and documented, Lennie.

You ARE a liar, so I wonder why you get so defensive?

Take a clue from Markie there...He just ante's up to being a liar.

More snippage of same insults and defensive bluster.

> You are right again, Mark. But Stebie is hot and fired-up on
> his ATTACK mode again...as if any U.S. amateur radio class
> license is "impossible for me to get."

Obviously it is.

You said you'd get the Amateur "Extra Lite" "out of the box"...

You've never done it. It's quite apparent that your knowledge of
Amatuer Radio is inversely proportional to the distance from a
searchable resource.

And even then you manage to muck-it-up on regular occassion.

> He's a case...mental case growing worse. Terribly fascinating
> to watch his psychosis develop over the years, much better than
> classroom learning in psychology. His blabberings are more and
> more black-and-white, no shades of gray. Emotionally more
> volatile than a vial of nitroglycerine.

Ahhhh, yes...married to the correspondence course shrink...and now
YOU are a psychiatry professional...

Just like your Amateur experience...gleaned from the real effots
of others...

> LenHowManyLinesOfBandwidthDidIeatUpWithThatSpinAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2925. What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 11:25:49 UTC
From: Polymath <invalid@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <42ecb4db$1_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 114285
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society as the
nest from which the engineers of the country fledge; but
what is its position today; and, do we wish to do anything
about that tradition?

1. We have a privileged position in that we are authorised both
to make and also to operate our own transmitters. No-one else has the
privileges of both and hence we are in a unique position. Do we wish
to preserve this status quo, or, are we happy that our numbers
are increasingly over-run by CB types, by which category I mean those
who buy their rigs ready-made off-the-shelf and even send them back
when minor repairs are needed?

2. Ham Radio has traditions of international gentlemanliness, but you might
think otherwise from reading these NG. The foul-mouthed infantile temper
tantrum is an increasing problem, and, as such, it reflects badly upon us.
Do
we wish this to continue, or should we set ourselves firmly apart from
such people AND REFUSE EVEN TO DISCOURSE WITH THEM,
THESE CHILDISH BROADCASTERS (CBERS), IN THESE NG?

3. The self-styled "national" society, in Britland at least, is going
through a
financial crisis as the _REAL_ Radio Hams leave in their droves when faced
with an influx of what can only be described as CBers. (How else can you
describe
a 6-year-old licensee who does not have the mathematical skill set to
deal with decimals, let alone the elementary transpositions that are
necessary
to deal with Ohm's Law?). Do we wish to have a society that represents the
technical aficionado that is the _REAL_ Radio Ham?

In short, do we simply stand by whilst the Mongolian Hordes of
Ne'er-Do-Wells
destroy what we and out predecessors have setup?

2926. Steve never lying at any time Re: doctors write worse? I doubt it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 11:29:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122809373.566169.310930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114286

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
> > > > > > loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
> > > > > > written like Markie writes...
> > > > >
> > > > > gee My note reaad clearer than my docs scpirts do
> > > >
> > > > Then your 'docs' are as big of idiots as you.
> > >
> > > well I guess "idiots" must mean bright and cappable to you then
> >
> cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue

I haven't evaded anything.

> cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met

"cuting"...Made him cute?

"liblinga"..?!?! Must be a Spanish word I don't know.

> > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.
> > >
> > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
> > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
> > > ones
> >
> > Two comments:
>
> cutting stevie praticing med without a license again

What practicing medicine?

> > > and you should know that Doc are notorious for poor handwriting
> >
> cuting stevie libel of a man he has never even met

What libel?

Steve, K4YZ

2927. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:09:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122811757.290274.302380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114287

AL-Queda, or any other terrorist group would use the hams bands anyway, they would go out to the local Wal-mart and buy GMRS radio off the shelves. Any terrorist, spy or what ever, isn't going to worry about going through the process of getting a license, or even applying.

Todd N9OGL

2928. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:19:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122812352.857432.220470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114288

sorry it should read:

AL-Queda, or any other terrorist group wouldn't use the hams bands
anyway,
they would go out to the local Wal-mart and buy GMRS radio off the
shelves. Any terrorist, spy or what ever, isn't going to worry about
going through the process of getting a license, or even applying.

Todd N9OGL

2929. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:33:50 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <dcigfe$6h1$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 114289
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What's all this childish, long running fuss about?

There's nothing whatever to prevent radio hams from carrying on in
their traditional, relatively well-ordered, self-disciplined ways.

There's plenty of room on the bands to share with a little more QRM.

And CB-ers cannot possibly interfere with use of soldering irons on
the kitchen table. There always has been obstruction by the XYL.

Just give it time and the more unruly band users will either fall into
line or change hobby to tropical fish.

Reg, G4FGQ

2930. Re: Steve lying and libeling at all times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:41:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122813687.938979.84530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114290

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > Like I said above...I wonder if Markie would allow himself or a
> > > > > > > > loved one to undergo surgery if the Surgeon's written orders were
> > > > > > > > written like Markie writes...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > gee My note reaad clearer than my docs scpirts do
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Then your 'docs' are as big of idiots as you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > well I guess "idiots" must mean bright and cappable to you then
> > >
> > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> >
> > I haven't evaded anything.

you evade most everything stevie

>
> > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
>

cuting stevie playing spelling cop again

> > > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.
> > > > >
> > > > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
> > > > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
> > > > > ones
> > > >
> > > > Two comments:
> > >
> > > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
> >
cuting stevie dening he was trying to practive medicine in the
precceding cut

> > > > and you should know that Doc are notorious for poor handwriting
> > > >
> > > cuting stevie libel of a man he has never even met
> >

> What libel?

your libel you typed it don't you know what it was?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

2931. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:42:31 UTC
From: Polymath <invalid@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <42ecc6de_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 114292
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You mean the intellectual MINNOWS who dare to
appROACH our high PERCHES and have the auDACE-ity
to CARP that they are our equals despite that they are PIKEys?

Perhaps you meant freshwater fish?

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:dcigfe$6h1$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> Just give it time and the more unruly band users will either fall into
> line or change hobby to tropical fish.

2932. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:43:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122813832.599319.96270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114291

N9OGL wrote:
> sorry it should read:
>
> AL-Queda, or any other terrorist group wouldn't use the hams bands
> anyway,
> they would go out to the local Wal-mart and buy GMRS radio off the
> shelves. Any terrorist, spy or what ever, isn't going to worry about
> going through the process of getting a license, or even applying.

agreed they would not bother getting the license thye just priate a few
sets of call letters, it thier operation looked clean (hiding the
terror content) the FCC could weeks or months (years?) to notice
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

2933. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:45:28 UTC
From: MW0GUV <me@spamno.net>
Message-ID: <dcih41$2h4$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114293
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:dcigfe$6h1$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> What's all this childish, long running fuss about?
>
> There's nothing whatever to prevent radio hams from carrying on in
> their traditional, relatively well-ordered, self-disciplined ways.
>
> There's plenty of room on the bands to share with a little more QRM.
>
> And CB-ers cannot possibly interfere with use of soldering irons on
> the kitchen table. There always has been obstruction by the XYL.
>
> Just give it time and the more unruly band users will either fall into
> line or change hobby to tropical fish.
> ----
> Reg, G4FGQ
> >

Can anyone tell me what the length my Koi Carp has to be to be resonant
across 40 metres?

Andy MW0GUV

2934. Re: Steve not lying nor libeling at any time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:53:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122814404.479208.190480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114294

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > cuting stevie playing speeling cop and evading the issue
> >
> > I haven't evaded anything.
>
> you evade most everything stevie

Nope.

> > > cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met
>
> cuting stevie playing spelling cop again

How can I reply to things you don't effectively express?

> > > > > > Refer to standards for scriptwriting per the AMA and JCAHO.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > why I can just check the copies the I keep of the written ones, I am
> > > > > > never quite sure what the med is and I am amazed that I get the right
> > > > > > ones
> > > > >
> > > > > Two comments:
> > > >
> > > > cutting stevie praticing med without a license again
> > >
> > cuting stevie dening he was trying to practive medicine in the
> > precceding cut

English, Markie...You were almost "armchair copy" the last couple
days, but you're slipping again.

And you can't show a single line where I am "practiv(ing)
medicine".

> > > > > and you should know that Doc are notorious for poor handwriting
> > > > >
> > > > cuting stevie libel of a man he has never even met
> > >
> > > What libel?
>
> your libel you typed it don't you know what it was?

I've not written anything libellous.

To what were you refering?

Steve, K4YZ

2935. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 12:53:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122814415.850370.244790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114295
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:
> Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society as the
> nest from which the engineers of the country fledge; but
> what is its position today; and, do we wish to do anything
> about that tradition?
>
> 1. We have a privileged position in that we are authorised both
> to make and also to operate our own transmitters. No-one else has the
> privileges of both and hence we are in a unique position. Do we wish
> to preserve this status quo, or, are we happy that our numbers
> are increasingly over-run by CB types, by which category I mean those
> who buy their rigs ready-made off-the-shelf and even send them back
> when minor repairs are needed?

No one is required to build to their sets (at least no license that I
aware there are some odd byways in theworld) and the we do get tired of
the whining about how you used to have to blow the galls for your own
tubes over a hot fire

>
> 2. Ham Radio has traditions of international gentlemanliness, but you might
> think otherwise from reading these NG. The foul-mouthed infantile temper
> tantrum is an increasing problem, and, as such, it reflects badly upon us.
> Do

the foul mouths and most infantile tempers are amoug those Old time
hams whoose passing your seem too lament

> we wish this to continue, or should we set ourselves firmly apart from
> such people AND REFUSE EVEN TO DISCOURSE WITH THEM,
> THESE CHILDISH BROADCASTERS (CBERS), IN THESE NG?
>
> 3. The self-styled "national" society, in Britland at least, is going
> through a
> financial crisis as the _REAL_ Radio Hams leave in their droves when faced

and you know these hams are leaving for these reason how?

Maybe like myself leaving the ARRL they are simply fed up with the
entrenched interests there trying to kill the ARS

> with an influx of what can only be described as CBers. (How else can you
> describe
> a 6-year-old licensee who does not have the mathematical skill set to

as a Ham radio operator of course

> deal with decimals, let alone the elementary transpositions that are
> necessary
> to deal with Ohm's Law?). Do we wish to have a society that represents the
> technical aficionado that is the _REAL_ Radio Ham?
>
> In short, do we simply stand by whilst the Mongolian Hordes of
> Ne'er-Do-Wells
> destroy what we and out predecessors have setup?

2936. Playing By Markie's Rules and He Still Can't Stand It

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 13:06:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122815175.924146.175870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114296

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> cuting stevie liblinga man he has never met

You mean this?
QUOTE:

First, if your doctor's writing can't be read, s/he's incompetent,
regardless of how nice a guy/gal they are. Not to mention they are
opening thenselves up to a hu-mongous law suit.

UNQUOTE

No libel there, Markie.

First of all, I said "IF" his/her writing can't be read...

Sorry Markie, but such modifiers are YOUR argument as to why YOU
are not "respoinble" for making such statements to me.

Secondly, as I said, AMA and JCAHO are the one's who call the
shots on legible physician orders. That's due to FDA requirements that
demand that script writers do so clearly and consisely.

> cutting stevie praticing med without a license again

You mean this?

QUOTE

Secondly, if YOU don't take the time to sit down with your doctor
and go over those medications to understand what each one is, it's
benefits, expected outcomes, potential side effects and possible
alternatives to their use, then you're the idiot I have always claimed
you are

UNQUOTE

What practicing medicine? There's not one word there that even
remotely suggests inappropriate professional conduct.

Show me the "practicing medicine" part. A diagnosis? A
prescribed threapy or medication?

As a matter of fact, it's considered absolutely accurate Patient
Education....(Other than the calling you an "idiot"...that was just for
fun)

You need to get a good proctologist to help you get your head out of it's present "up and locked" position, Markie...

Steve, K4YZ

2937. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 13:13:29 UTC
From: MW0GUV <me@spamno.net>
Message-ID: <dciiobvdf1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114298
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122814415.850370.244790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Please don't include poly wallys crap in your posts, I have him tucked
nicely away in my killfile and have no wish to read his twaddle.

Thanks

Andy MW0GUV

2938. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 13:13:56 UTC
From: MW0GUV <me@spamno.net>
Message-ID: <dciip6$3sb$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114297
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nedlar >" <Nedlar <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:32jpe1ld73pkflvke0uelkj49pk91i5j4f@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 31 Jul 2005 13:45:28 +0100, "MW0GUV" <me@spamno.net> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >Can anyone tell me what the length my Koi Carp has to be to be resonant
> >across 40 metres?
> >
> >Andy MW0GUV
> >
>
> Twice the width of your mouth.

You are an idiot and a coward

Andy MW0GUV

2939. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 13:38:23 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96A4625B24CA8elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 114299

et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black) wrote in
news:dcg4u8alr1@theodyn.ncf.ca:

>
> "K�HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
>> "amateur" <r@lurkio.ca> wrote
>>
>>> Does this mean the guys that have the basic qualification now would
>>> have to go back and rewrite to get HF privilege ?
>>
>> Not in most cases.
>>
>> As I read it, you are authorized HF access as of today, without
>> further testing, if...
>>
>> 1) ...you got your Basic certificate >>before<< April 2, 2002.
>> 2) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 AND at
>> a score of 80% or higher.
>> 3) ...if you got your Basic certificate >>after<< April 2, 2002 at a
>> score lower than 80% AND pass a 5WPM Morse exam
>>
> If you have the advanced license, that also gives you HF privileges
> with this new change.
>
> The retaking the test is only if someone didn't receive the 80% pass
> mark but want HF and fits none of the above four possibilities.
>
> The RAC bulletin reads like only if you were licensed before April 2,
> 2002 that you automatically get HF privileges. But on reading the
> Canadian Gazette entry on this,
> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.htm
> I
> it seems less clearcut.
>
> They start off with the bit about before April 2, 2002:
> (2) Amateurs certified prior to April 2, 2002 will be allowed
> to operate in the HF bands below 30 MHz based on the
> experience and knowledge they have acquired over this period of
> time.
>
> But then further down:
> Amateurs holding a Basic Certificate who have been certified for

> at least three years will automatically receive authority to
> operate in the HF bands. This is based on the rationale that
> three years of experience will have allowed the amateur to
> acquire sufficient experience to operate proficiently in the
> HF bands. Amateurs who received their Basic Certificate within the
> three year interval prior to the date of the new standards will be
> required to prove that they had attained a mark of at least 80%.
>
> Those two paragraphs don't fully mesh. The first is a hardcoding of
> a date, but the second suggests that all one needs is a 3 year waiting
> period. I'm not sure which takes control.
>
> Michael VE2BVW
>
>

As I understand it, the original proposal required an Advanced or 80% in the Basic, but records of pass marks don't go back all that far, hence the cut off date to avoid unfairness to anyone who can't find out their pass mark. Then it sounds like they tacked on the three year clause which makes that date irrelevant!

Vive la Canada!

73 de Alun, G8VUK, N3KIP

2940. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:05:02 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96A466DF96B36elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 114300

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
news:5bNGe.212$qn4.5859463@news.sisna.com:

> N2EY:
>
> Perhaps introduce testing for "African Message Drum" also, bet some of
> those guys could pound out a little ditty and have it carry a message
> to! Maybe chant a little rap with it too!
>
> Some testing in the care maintenance of carrier pigeons might be in
> order to, for the guys who wanted real DX!
>
> John
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1122725592.723276.21180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Leo wrote:
>> On Fri, 29 Jul 2005 19:37:30 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>
>> An interesting announcement! Here in Canada, Morse Code is now > an
>> alternative method of qualifying for HF privileges.
>>
>> In other words - Morse survived!
>
> Morse Code *testing* survived - it's just not mandatory anymore in
> Canada.
>
> IIRC, this was exactly what the commentary on the proposal supported.
>>
>> A couple of the other requests from the Amateur community
>> via the
>> RAC proposal) were granted as well - increasing the pass
>> marks on the
>> exams to 70% from 60%, and the addition of commercial kit-building
>> privileges for Basic license holders.
>>
>> Not bad - now there's a regulatory agency that listens. Well done,
>> Industry Canada!
>
> I agree 100%!
>

> They found a way to give everyone some of what they wanted.
> They listened to what the majority of those expressing an
> opinion supported, and acted on it. They produced a set of
> regulations designed to reconcile or at least minimize
> polarization, rather than increase it.
>
> What concepts, eh? ;-)
>
> Perhaps we in the USA should suggest such a system to FCC....
>
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

It's a little late, isn't it Jim?

I find it interesting that South Africa was the first one to propose such a system, but I don't think the ZAs adopted it in the end. I have no trouble in principle with an alternative theory/code system, but how would you do it? Maybe Elements 2 and 3 + 20 wpm or elements 2, 3 and 4 to get full privileges?

It's all moot now anyway. We all know the NPRM will become the R&O.

There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+ would become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to get on HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges. Does anyone know which is right?

My XYL (N3MKR) is a no-code Tech, but she will be taking element 3 anyway. Her object is to get a UK licence. As she is not a US citizen (neither am I), the only way for her to do that short of taking the UK tests is to get her General, which would entitle her to a UK Full licence. She isn't prepared to learn code to do it, but then neither is she in the least interested in getting on HF, or anything besides 2m FM as a matter of fact.

I reckon she could take her element 3 now (or could if she had studied, LOL!), as it shouldn't have expired by the time the R&O comes out. Hopefully, by the time she got her General the free lifetime licences should have been introduced in the UK, which would work out very nicely.

I have reverted to my original no-code call for the UK, as it is now a Full licence. For a while I held an 'A' call, G0VUK, obtained on the basis of my US Advanced as then was. Over there calls can only be re-issued to an immediate family member or to a club, and only with the licencee's permission (or next of kin). So, just for a laugh, I could let her have my A call while I now have a B (no-code) call, although I have passed 20wpm CW and she can't read code atall! That should wind up a few people.

73 de Alun, G8VUK, N3KIP

2941. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:06:45 UTC
From: Ken Ward <ken.g1itv@MTLworld.com>
Message-ID: <VV4He.22533$Hd4.14473@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 114301
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"MW0GUV" <me@spamno.net> wrote in message
news:dcih41$2h4$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Can anyone tell me what the length my Koi Carp has to be to be resonant
> across 40 metres?

I think you will find that resonance ACROSS the 40 mt. band is relative to
the "girth" of the fish rather than length.

Yours piscatorially KW.

2942. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:20:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <29GdnUSaC9qjQ3HfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114302

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns96A466DF96B36elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:5bNGe.212$qn4.5859463@news.sisna.com:
>
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Perhaps introduce testing for "African Message Drum" also, bet some of
>> those guys could pound out a little ditty and have it carry a message
>> to! Maybe chant a little rap with it too!
>>
>> Some testing in the care maintenance of carrier pigeons might be in
>> order to, for the guys who wanted real DX!
>>
>> John
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1122725592.723276.21180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Leo wrote:
>>> On Fri, 29 Jul 2005 19:37:30 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
>>
>>> An interesting announcement! Here in Canada, Morse Code is now > an
>>> alternative method of qualifying for HF privileges.
>>>
>>> In other words - Morse survived!
>>
>> Morse Code *testing* survived - it's just not mandatory anymore in
>> Canada.
>>
>> IIRC, this was exactly what the commentary on the proposal supported.
>>>
>>> A couple of the other requests from the Amateur community
>>> via the
>>> RAC proposal) were granted as well - increasing the pass
>>> marks on the
>>> exams to 70% from 60%, and the addition of commercial kit-building
>>> privileges for Basic license holders.
>>>
>>> Not bad - now there's a regulatory agency that listens. Well done,
>>> Industry Canada!
>>

>> I agree 100%!
>>
>> They found a way to give everyone some of what they wanted.
>> They listened to what the majority of those expressing an
>> opinion supported, and acted on it. They produced a set of
>> regulations designed to reconcile or at least minimize
>> polarization, rather than increase it.
>>
>> What concepts, eh? ;-)
>>
>> Perhaps we in the USA should suggest such a system to FCC....
>>
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>>
>
> It's a little late, isn't it Jim?
>
> I find it interesting that South Africa was the first one to propose such
> a
> system, but I don't think the ZAs adopted it in the end. I have no trouble
> in principle with an alternative theory/code system, but how would you do
> it? Maybe Elements 2 and 3 + 20 wpm or elements 2, 3 and 4 to get full
> privileges?
>
> It's all moot now anyway. We all know the NPRM will become the R&O.
>
> There is one loose end, though. I read the NPRM to say that Tech+ would
> become closed, so that all new Techs would have to get element 3 to get
> on
> HF, but others have read it that all Techs would get Tech+ privileges.
> Does
> anyone know which is right?
>

At first reading the text is confusing. However after going over it several times, the FCC does explicitly state that Techs will have to take the simple General exam to get HF privileges. They state that since the test is simple, it is unwarrented to give Techs HF privileges.

Also on eHam, one of the posters states that he has called the FCC and gotten clarification that it is indeed their intent to require Techs to upgrade to get additional privileges. However I haven't confirmed if the poster has or has not called.

The best thing to do would be to read it yourself several times through (it is a bit confusing at first).

> My XYL (N3MKR) is a no-code Tech, but she will be taking element 3 anyway.
> Her object is to get a UK licence. As she is not a US citizen (neither am
> I), the only way for her to do that short of taking the UK tests is to get
> her General, which would entitle her to a UK Full licence. She isn't
> prepared to learn code to do it, but then neither is she in the least
> interested in getting on HF, or anything besides 2m FM as a matter of
> fact.
>

Ok, this is confusing. If she isn't interested in anything besides 2m FM, why is she upgrading and why is she interested in a full UK license since you are now residents of the US. Not that there's anything wrong with this but it doesn't make sense.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2943. Re: Steve is ready to talk (7 Hostile Actions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:24:48 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122819888.210655.292570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114303

b.b. wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, if you treated folks with a smidgen of dignity, you might find a
> > > > > > > > > > > > kind comment every once in a while as well. But you don't, so you
> > > > > > > > > > > > won't.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I need not prove anything to you. You may think I do, but that is
> > > > > > > > faulty thinking on your part.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh, I don't think you have to prove anything to me...
> > > > >
> > > > > But if it were ME making all these easily disproven asserions in
> > > > > public, I'd want to get my side out in front so all could know "where I
> > > > > was coming from"...
> > >
> > > Indeed. So tell us more about your claims of seven hostile actions.
> >
> > I guess Steve will deal with his truth in due time. Hi!

Or maybe he won't. Maybe there is no truth in his claims.

2944. Re: Mark Morgan Falls Into His Own Trap, Wonders Out Loud "Who Put That There?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:32:23 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122820343.012215.83310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114304

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > >
> > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> >
> > right you did not provide enough facts to substnaiate it Steve you are
> > agreeing with him and with me
>
> No, I am not...
>
> I am saying BRAIN did not care enough to substantiate HIS claims,
> several of which were made in your absence.

> > indeed you even refuse to stat what you claim those acheveiments are
>
> Untrue.
>
> It's been hashed, re-hashed, and hashed over repeatedly. That YOU
> won't take time to dig back through the archives to see what I am
> talking about is not justification for me to re-do the whole thing
> again.

Mark, in your absence Steve has claimed to have been appointed to a
leadership role in Navy MARS on Okinawa. Yet Steve has displayed a
remarkable ignorance of MARS, all the while making outrageous claims
that, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

In the end, no one beleived him.

(Steve's accusations of having sex with dogs snipped)

2945. You'll never get the truth from Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:33:12 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122820392.621505.55090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114305

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > > > >
> > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> > >
> > right you did not provide enough facts to substnaiate it Steve you are
> > agreeing with him and with me
>
> No, I am not...
>
> I am saying BRAIN did not care enough to substantiate HIS claims,
> several of which were made in your absence.

> > indeed you even refuse to stat what you claim those acheveiments are
>
> Untrue.
>
> It's been hashed, re-hashed, and hashed over repeatedly. That YOU
> won't take time to dig back through the archives to see what I am
> talking about is not justification for me to re-do the whole thing
> again.

Mark, in your absence Steve has claimed to have been appointed to a
leadership role in Navy MARS on Okinawa. Yet Steve has displayed a
remarkable ignorance of MARS, all the while making outrageous claims
that, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

In the end, no one beleived him.

(Steve's accusations of having sex with dogs snipped)

2946. Re: Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:42:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122820928.933077.211860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114306

K4YZ wrote:
> b.b. wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
> >
> > More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character,
> > Brain.

Steve's needs are not high on my priority list. He wishes it weren't
so.

> > > > > > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > My reality is truth.
> > > >
> > > > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.

Like I said...

> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> > >
> > > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
> >
> > Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
> > people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.

Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.

"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
offended!"

> > > The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> > > seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
> > >
> > > Hi! I suppose that you think what you do is constructive? Hi, hi!
> >
> > It keeps you occupied.
> >
> > > We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
> > > the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
> > > yourselves.
> >
> > I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
> > If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.

I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
name.

2947. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 14:52:25 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122821545.651325.148470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114307

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > b.b. wrote:

> > > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> > > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> > > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> > > > >
> > > > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
> > > >
> > > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> > >
> > > a real whopper there Stevie
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you rarely discuss anything to do radio amateur or otherwise.
>
> such post comprise less than 5% of your posting of the last few days,
> and those post are small in size compared your volume of personal
> attacks

And so it goes. Steve has the deserved reputation of the playground
bully on RRAP. But Steve is an adult and should put away childish
behavio[u]r. He won't because he is defective.

2948. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:03:03 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122822183.887530.76270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114308

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an old friend" on Sat 30 Jul 2005 11:20
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > Stebie has claimed "working in military radio communications"
> >> > but isn't at all familiar with the very common (quarter
> >> > million R/T units made and operational) SINCGARS...(SNIP)
> >>
> >> Lennie, I guess it's silly to point out to you that SINCGARS is
> >> NOT the end-all of radio communications in the Armed Forces.
> >>
> >but you know nothing of the system where I a 54E know a afair about
> >them, without having served a commo related MOS. make it very dubious
>
> The SINCGARS "family" of R/Ts is now a QUARTER MILLION in number
> of supplied and operational small-unit radios used by ALL
> branches (USCG use unknown), primarily for land and land-air
> tactical communications. They began operational life in 1989,
> first in Korea. Designed and made by ITT, Fort Wayne, IN,
> (other production by General Dynamics Land Division now
> disbanded and reorganized), a QUARTER MILLION radio sets of the
> same type exceeds every other U.S. military radio set/system in
> number. Ever.
>
> Stebie had five years of his service life to see one, yet is
> "unfamiliar" with it. [the USMC does indeed use it, is using
> it now in Iraq and Afghanistan, as is the USA] For that matter,
> Stebie cannot recall/remember/mention ANY nomenclature of any
> military radio except some MARS equipment, despite 18 years
> claimed as a military radio whiz-bang. That's NOT consistent
> with ANY radio-interested person. [Stebie is unfamiliar with
> the HF-band AN/PRC-104 manpack in service since 1986 with
> land forces...nice little "QRP" rig designed and made by
> Hughes Aircraft Ground systems, operational with USMC and USA]

Perhaps Steve was the kind of "A" NCOIC that walked around with a
coffee cup the size of a beer mug and too busy handing out commands,
demands, and insults to have noticed the equipment?

2949. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:07:03 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122822423.023157.13110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114309

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dee" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:dchgh7$5vt$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message <gaseous post snipped>
> >
> > Len said, "His blabberings are........." and goes on to do the same.
> >
> > Pot, kettle.
> >
> >
>
> Please note that the above post did not come from me.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Did you agree with it?

2950. The mind of minolta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:34:19 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122824059.291768.110070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114310

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Just like you harrangue Dave Heil over his service in the State
> Department (all of it fact-based and verifyable), I shant give you the
> pleasure. You can go ahead and insist "it didn't happen" based upon my
> refusal to tell you the details, if you care to, but ti still doesn't
> make it any less factual.

Yet Steve makes demands of a like nature for the express purpose of
harranguing others. Hmmmm?

2951. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:39:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rg6He.18$V5.998464@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114311
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath:

You don't need CW to build a transmitter, calm down, take the meds, it will be ok...

John

"Polymath" <invalid@invalid.invalid> wrote in message news:42ecb4db$1_2@x-privat.org...
> Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society as the
> nest from which the engineers of the country fledge; but
> what is its position today; and, do we wish to do anything
> about that tradition?
>
> 1. We have a privileged position in that we are authorised both
> to make and also to operate our own transmitters. No-one else has the
> privileges of both and hence we are in a unique position. Do we wish
> to preserve this status quo, or, are we happy that our numbers
> are increasingly over-run by CB types, by which category I mean those
> who buy their rigs ready-made off-the-shelf and even send them back
> when minor repairs are needed?
>
> 2. Ham Radio has traditions of international gentlemanliness, but you might
> think otherwise from reading these NG. The foul-mouthed infantile temper
> tantrum is an increasing problem, and, as such, it reflects badly upon us. Do
> we wish this to continue, or should we set ourselves firmly apart from
> such people AND REFUSE EVEN TO DISCOURSE WITH THEM,
> THESE CHILDISH BROADCASTERS (CBERS), IN THESE NG?
>
> 3. The self-styled "national" society, in Britland at least, is going through
> a
> financial crisis as the _REAL_ Radio Hams leave in their droves when faced
> with an influx of what can only be described as CBers. (How else can you
> describe
> a 6-year-old licensee who does not have the mathematical skill set to
> deal with decimals, let alone the elementary transpositions that are
> necessary
> to deal with Ohm's Law?). Do we wish to have a society that represents the
> technical aficionado that is the _REAL_ Radio Ham?
>
> In short, do we simply stand by whilst the Mongolian Hordes of Ne'er-Do-Wells
> destroy what we and out predecessors have setup?
>
>

>

2952. Re: more stevie atacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:40:37 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122824437.893591.82460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114312

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > b.b. wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > b.b. wrote:

> > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> > > > >
> > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> > > >
> > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> > >
> > > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
> >
> > More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character,
> > Brain.
>
> flailing Character Steve, gee you are the one that goes flaiing al the
> time

Steve is an abject flailure.

> if you meant failing well i suppose that Brain and Myself don't care
> how you define character, indeed if you were an example of good
> character it says much fr the merits of being evil

He thinks that God went on vacation and fleft him in charge. Probably
hears voices.

2953. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:41:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Si6He.19$D6.1026189@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114313
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg:

Thank you for attempting to restore some sanity here...

However, don't be disappointed if your logic, common sense and decades of experience is wasted here--seems these fellows won't take the psyche meds and are believing the "visions" occurring in their minds...

John

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message news:dcigfe$6h1$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> What's all this childish, long running fuss about?
>
> There's nothing whatever to prevent radio hams from carrying on in
> their traditional, relatively well-ordered, self-disciplined ways.
>
> There's plenty of room on the bands to share with a little more QRM.
>
> And CB-ers cannot possibly interfere with use of soldering irons on
> the kitchen table. There always has been obstruction by the XYL.
>
> Just give it time and the more unruly band users will either fall into
> line or change hobby to tropical fish.
> ----
> Reg, G4FGQ
>
>

2954. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:45:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xm6He.20$H6.1029149@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114314

N9OGL:

Hmmm, kinda sounds like they might even be CB'ers!!!

However, I think it just sounds like that because of the way you said it, they are on the internet, no doubt...

John

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1122812352.857432.220470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> sorry it should read:
>
> AL-Queda, or any other terrorist group wouldn't use the hams bands
> anyway,
> they would go out to the local Wal-mart and buy GMRS radio off the
> shelves. Any terrorist, spy or what ever, isn't going to worry about
> going through the process of getting a license, or even applying.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

2955. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:48:39 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122824919.829863.73410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> In light of the likelyhood that all US Amateur Radio testing may soon become
> code-free, this 16-year-old article from WA6ITF has new applicability.
>
> GEARVAKf Research Basis of No-Code Tape
> ---------------------------------------

Hans, perhaps now amateurs can learn Morse Code without having
unnecessary distraction/hurdles to jump through like the 5WPM, 13WPM,
or 20WPM barriers.

How long will it take the code teachers and code advocates to catch on
to the concept, or will they coninue on with the stepped hoops?

2956. Re: RAC Bulletin 013-05 Industry Canada Introduces Alternatives to Morse Requirements for HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 15:54:16 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122825256.524402.47130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114316

Leo wrote:
> On 29 Jul 2005 19:25:49 -0700, "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> KØHB wrote:
> >> > While they removed the requirement for a Morse exam, note that they increased
> >> > the written examination passing score for the Basic License. FCC could learn
> >> > something from these guys.
> >> >
> >> Yep
> >>
> >> What's interesting too is that new Basics can access HF two ways:
> >>
> >> 1) Pass the written with 80% or more right
> >>
> >> 2) Pass the written with less than 80% right *and* pass the Morse Code
> >> test.
> >>
> >> IIRC, the basic pass level is 60% (!)
> >>
> >> Sounds a little like my old "Chinese Menu" idea.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Jim, are you advocating that 60% be the necessary score?
>
> I don't think he is, Brian - Jim was surprised when I mentioned that
> the pass mark was only 60% a while back. This has been fixed now - it
> has been raised to 70%, effective today!
>
> 73, Leo

Thanks for being such a good sport, Leo. It's good that Jim has a
surrogate to speak for him since he can't speak for himself.

2957. Re: Johnny B. Goode (Pedo Steve Holsten of Kennett, Missouri) has his head up his fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 16:12:41 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122826361.673142.273540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Your Daddy, BITCH? wrote:
> Hey

Hey keep seeing Roger everywhere, DUMBASS!

2958. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 16:26:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vY6He.6713$0C.2556@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> How long will it take the code teachers and
> code advocates to catch on to the concept,
> or will they coninue on with the stepped hoops?

"Stepped hoops"? In my experience, people tend to learn Morse not in steps, but by gradual increases. Granted that there are some "plateaus" (approximately 10WPM and 25WPM) but these are found to be related to the "mental mechanics" of learning.

Up to about 10WPM trainees can still "count the dits", so moving beyond that speed requires them to learn to recognize the "sound of the character" without deliberate "counting the dits". This is what makes the Farnsworth training method effective, in that the trainee is early acquainted to the "sound of the character" at the higher speeds.

The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to a "middle conscious" level, where the characters flow at an almost sub-conscious level from the ear to the fingertip without active thought about the actual characters heard.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2959. Re: Johnny B. Goode (Pedo Steve Holsten of Kennett, Missouri) has his head up his fat ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 17:01:24 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122825898.542291.7980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Your Daddy, BITCH? wrote:
> Hey (Dumbass sees Roger everywhere)

Hey keep making an ass out of yourself, you lisping faggot.

2960. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 17:17:39 UTC
From: olivermartin15170@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1122830259.566149.313560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114320

Lloyd wrote:
> On 28 Jul 2005 16:00:41 -0700, an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > I OTOH am not sure dan has a point
> >
>
> sure he does. just look at the top of his head.

It would beat the Evinrude outboard motor cover shape of your malformed
oblong head, Porky!

2961. Re: more stevie atacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 18:17:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RA8He.301$I04.233@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114321

b.b. wrote:

> Steve is an abject flailure.

You're a million yuks, Brian. A flailure, is he?

Dave K8MN

2962. Re: Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 18:22:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122834164.623040.135550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114322

From: "b.b." on Sun 31 Jul 2005 07:42

>K4YZ wrote:
>> b.b. wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > b.b. wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
>> > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
>> > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
>> > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
>> > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
>> > > >
>> > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
>> >
>> Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
>>
>> More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character, Brain.
>
>Steve's needs are not high on my priority list. He wishes it weren't
>so.

Tsk. I think Stebie thinks ALL should be concerned with Stebie's
demands! :-)

Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)

>> > > > > > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
>> > > > >
>> > > > My reality is truth.
>> > >

>> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.
>
>Like I said...

Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
copy stuff. :-)

Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
stuff don't exist in reality.

>> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
>> > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
>> > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
>> > > >
>> > > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
>> > >
>> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
>> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
>> >
>> > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
>>
>> Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
>> people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.
>
>Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
>
>"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
>offended!"

Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
wider distribution and acclaim!

>> > > The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
>> > > seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
>> >
>> > Hi! I suppose that you think what you do is constructive? Hi, hi!
>>
>> It keeps you occupied.
>>
>> > We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
>> > the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
>> > yourselves.
>>
>> I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
>> If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.

>
>I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
>tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
>name.

You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible. :-)

Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
personalities). :-)

Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there. :-)

bim bam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2963. Re: more stevie atacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 18:27:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122834453.019646.321870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114323

From: Dave Heil on Jul 31, 11:17 am

>b.b. wrote:

>> Steve is an abject flailure.
>
>You're a million yuks, Brian. A flailure, is he?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, lose your reading glasses again, old-timer?

Stebie thought Brian was "flailing" around...:-)

Stebie fails flailing, falls flat. Flop.

bam sam
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2964. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 18:30:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122834620.649619.302530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114324
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: John Smith on Jul 31, 8:41 am

>Reg:
>
>Thank you for attempting to restore some sanity here...
>
>However, don't be disappointed if your logic, common sense and decades of
>experience is wasted here--seems these fellows won't take the psyche meds and
>are believing the "visions" occurring in their minds...
>
>John

John, a whole series of postings in this thread seems to have
migrated over from rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. One over there
("Polymath") goes off some deep end every once in a while and
starts one of these brouhahas...sigh.

Reg Edwards is a long time UK ham and author of dozens of
excellent small stand-alone programs for specialized
calculations on ham radio technology. Reg has his own site
where these small programs are downloadable free. Good
stuff there!

him ham
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2965. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 18:34:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hR8He.24$Ps1.2233388@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114325
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Len:

Trust me, I am a fan of Reg, have nothing but for respect for his style of communication in text... not only caliber, but substance!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1122834620.649619.302530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 31, 8:41 am
>
>>Reg:
>>
>>Thank you for attempting to restore some sanity here...
>>
>>However, don't be disappointed if your logic, common sense and decades of
>>experience is wasted here--seems these fellows won't take the psyche meds and
>>are believing the "visions" occurring in their minds...
>>
>>John
>
> John, a whole series of postings in this thread seems to have
> migrated over from rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. One over there
> ("Polymath") goes off some deep end every once in a while and
> starts one of these brouhahas...sigh.
>
> Reg Edwards is a long time UK ham and author of dozens of
> excellent small stand-alone programs for specialized
> calculations on ham radio technology. Reg has his own site
> where these small programs are downloadable free. Good
> stuff there!
>
> him ham
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2966. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 18:36:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1122834968.545964.169900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114326

John, I just found out who Sylvia is. Geez, you're weird. :-)

NOT a subject for olde-tyme hamme raddio, except for a few PCTA
extras in here...

Au reservoire,

nut bag
LenAnderson@ieee.org

2967. Re: request for follow up lenn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 18:40:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FW8He.26$Ss1.2236465@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114327

Len:

How do you think the extras have managed to "stay ahead of the pack", why it is obvious man, they have been consulting with Sylvia all along--in secret!

Who do you think advised them to keep CW? <grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122834968.545964.169900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John, I just found out who Sylvia is. Geez, you're weird. :-)
>
> NOT a subject for olde-tyme hamme raddio, except for a few PCTA
> extras in here...
>
> Au reservoire,
>
> nut bag
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2968. Re: Most Trusted Man in America Doesn't Trust Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 19:16:28 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <GQ311V7B38565.1364351852@reece.net.au>
Source record: 114328

In article <CweGe.316$c55.5925949@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Lloyd:
>
> "Master of the cheap-shot."
>
> And has to trot out cheap-shots constantly, as there is no
merit or
> intelligence to his text... once again hoping to divert any
gullible enough
> from not seeing the truth with a 3rd grade trick and mentality.

Lardass Lloyd thinks he's a comedian, now that he was fired from
his career as a pizza delivery BOY.

You are too optimistic, as well, Lardass Lloyd coundn't get
past 1st grade.

2969. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 19:21:02 UTC
From: Polymath <invalid@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <42ed248c_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 114329
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The preservation of Ham Radio against the influx of
the Mongolian Hordes of non-technical CBers
is not some brouhaha, but a matter of deep concern
for any self-respecting _REAL_ Radio Ham.

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1122834620.649619.302530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jul 31, 8:41 am
>
>>Reg:
>>
>>Thank you for attempting to restore some sanity here...
>>
>>However, don't be disappointed if your logic, common sense and decades of
>>experience is wasted here--seems these fellows won't take the psyche meds
>>and
>>are believing the "visions" occurring in their minds...
>>
>>John
>
> John, a whole series of postings in this thread seems to have
> migrated over from rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. One over there
> ("Polymath") goes off some deep end every once in a while and
> starts one of these brouhahas...sigh.
>
> Reg Edwards is a long time UK ham and author of dozens of
> excellent small stand-alone programs for specialized
> calculations on ham radio technology. Reg has his own site
> where these small programs are downloadable free. Good
> stuff there!
>
> him ham
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2970. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 19:41:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0Q9He.31$Z%1.2440317@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114330
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

polymath:

I was thinking it more like the masses over-throwing the evil "in-bred" hams whose protectionist practices have killed the hobby and extract suffering on radio for generations... listening to a bunch of geriatric oldsters farting into their and having their arthritis limiting their code speed is just becoming too much of a log jam to communications and growth in the hobby...

... this change is a "good thing."

John

"Polymath" <invalid@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
news:42ed248c_1@x-privat.org...
> The preservation of Ham Radio against the influx of
> the Mongolian Hordes of non-technical CBers
> is not some brouhaha, but a matter of deep concern
> for any self-respecting _REAL_ Radio Ham.
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1122834620.649619.302530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> From: John Smith on Jul 31, 8:41 am
>>
>>>Reg:
>>>
>>>Thank you for attempting to restore some sanity here...
>>>
>>>However, don't be disappointed if your logic, common sense and decades of
>>>experience is wasted here--seems these fellows won't take the psyche meds
>>>and
>>>are believing the "visions" occurring in their minds...
>>>
>>>John
>>
>> John, a whole series of postings in this thread seems to have
>> migrated over from rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. One over there
>> ("Polymath") goes off some deep end every once in a while and
>> starts one of these brouhahas...sigh.
>>
>> Reg Edwards is a long time UK ham and author of dozens of
>> excellent small stand-alone programs for specialized
>> calculations on ham radio technology. Reg has his own site
>> where these small programs are downloadable free. Good
>> stuff there!

>>
>> him ham
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
>

2971. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 19:43:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wR9He.32$LG1.2366600@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114331
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

polymath:

HEY. I spelled that one word wrong, should have read, "... pharting into their mics..."

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:0Q9He.31$Z%1.2440317@news.sisna.com...
> polymath:
>
> I was thinking it more like the masses over-throwing the evil "in-bred" hams
> whose protectionist practices have killed the hobby and extract suffering on
> radio for generations... listening to a bunch of geriatric oldsters farting
> into their and having their arthritis limiting their code speed is just
> becoming too much of a log jam to communications and growth in the hobby...
>
> ... this change is a "good thing."
>
> John
>
>
>
> "Polymath" <invalid@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
> news:42ed248c_1@x-privat.org...
>> The preservation of Ham Radio against the influx of
>> the Mongolian Hordes of non-technical CBers
>> is not some brouhaha, but a matter of deep concern
>> for any self-respecting _REAL_ Radio Ham.
>>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1122834620.649619.302530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: John Smith on Jul 31, 8:41 am
>>>
>>>>Reg:
>>>>
>>>>Thank you for attempting to restore some sanity here...
>>>>
>>>>However, don't be disappointed if your logic, common sense and decades of
>>>>experience is wasted here--seems these fellows won't take the psyche meds
>>>>and
>>>>are believing the "visions" occurring in their minds...
>>>>
>>>>John

>>>
>>> John, a whole series of postings in this thread seems to have
>>> migrated over from rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. One over there
>>> ("Polymath") goes off some deep end every once in a while and
>>> starts one of these brouhahas...sigh.
>>>
>>> Reg Edwards is a long time UK ham and author of dozens of
>>> excellent small stand-alone programs for specialized
>>> calculations on ham radio technology. Reg has his own site
>>> where these small programs are downloadable free. Good
>>> stuff there!
>>>
>>> him ham
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2972. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 19:52:58 UTC
From: "johntyers@btinternet.com" <johntyers@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <1122839578.782541.78920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114332
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society ..." you've got to be joking - my wife couldn't believe it when I decided to join the ranks of such a "sad bunch of anoraks" when I took the RAE a few years ago!

John

2973. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 20:25:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122841521.810803.70200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114333

N9OGL:

>Hmmm, kinda sounds like they might even be CB'ers!!!

>However, I think it just sounds like that because of the way you said it, they
>are on the internet, no doubt...

>John

There's a better chance they would use a cellphone with an ecryption
device then a radio, or get their information through carriers and
given the information in person. The encryption rules have been on the
books since the 30's. Amateur's can uses digital codes as well as
unspecified codes above 30 MHz.

Todd N9OGL

2974. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 20:59:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SYaHe.43$jv2.2552942@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114334
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Johntyers:

Hey...
Look at the audience, old, deaf, blind, living in an echo chamber, eating lotus flowers, reading tea leaves which bear a strange resemblance to marijuana leaves and having visions with rf damaged minds... and still trying to keep the kids from getting their hands in the cookie jar (cb'ers attempting to gain licenses.)

I tell ya, ain't bad for a bunch so challenged...

(I only got a couple of mild rf burns on my brain, don't think it damaged any critical areas, but, ya never know. :)

John

<johntyers@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:1122839578.782541.78920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Ham Radio has a well-regarded position in society ..." you've got to
> be joking - my wife couldn't believe it when I decided to join the
> ranks of such a "sad bunch of anoraks" when I took the RAE a few years
> ago!
>
> John
>

2975. Re: more stevie atacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:01:28 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122842696.812170.21780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114335

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Jul 31, 11:17 am
>
> >b.b. wrote:
>
> >> Steve is an abject flailure.
> >
> >You're a million yuks, Brian. A flailure, is he?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, lose your reading glasses again, old-timer?
>
> Stebie thought Brian was "flailing" around...:-)
>
> Stebie fails flailing, falls flat. Flop.
>
> bam sam
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Slilly Dave. No slense of humor.

2976. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:04:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z1bHe.44$Ha2.2500637@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114336

N9OGL:

Well, they might pick up cell phones, I would caution them NOT to. There is no form of communications so well logged.

Also, each cell phone registers at each call with an assigned code which is kept track of--false codes are ignored... you don't make a call unless they know who you are and where you are...

No better way to locate someone than to have them carrying a cell phone, even when they think it is off it is finking on 'em and maintaining contact with the nearest 3 towers, if available, strange, but that is exactly the minimum number to triangulate their exact location...

Drug dealers are very, very leery of cell phones... too many have been busted for using 'em!

John

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122841521.810803.70200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N9OGL:
>
>>Hmmm, kinda sounds like they might even be CB'ers!!!
>
>>However, I think it just sounds like that because of the way you said it,
>>they
>>are on the internet, no doubt...
>
>>John
>
> There's a better chance they would use a cellphone with an ecryption
> device then a radio, or get their information through carriers and
> given the information in person. The encryption rules have been on the
> books since the 30's. Amateur's can uses digital codes as well as
> unspecified codes above 30 MHz.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

2977. Re: Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:10:35 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122844235.023794.191570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114337

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "b.b." on Sun 31 Jul 2005 07:42
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> b.b. wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > b.b. wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > > > > > > > Brain, I treated you with PLENTY of "dignity", only to watch you
> >> > > > > > > > > > continue to lie and deceive others about your "achievements" in general
> >> > > > > > > > > > and certain Amateur Radio issues in particular. You violated the
> >> > > > > > > > > > dignity of all here with lies and tales that were laid bare, only to
> >> > > > > > > > > > have you insult us by trying to perpetuate them.
> >> > > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > > No lies concerning my acheivements...(SNIP)
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Obviously not enough truth for you to substantiate any of it...
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > Not nearly enough concern to substantiate it for you.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Not nearly enough FACTS for you to substantiate it, Brain.
> >> > >
> >> > > Merely a lack of concern for your demands.
> >>
> >> More like a lack of interest in your own flailing character, Brain.
> >
> >Steve's needs are not high on my priority list. He wishes it weren't
> >so.
>
> Tsk. I think Stebie thinks ALL should be concerned with Stebie's
> demands! :-)

But we're not, so he bites his knuckle and thinks up new taunts and
attacks.

> Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)

He has a unique viewpoint.

Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business

seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.

> >> > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and I do have opinions about amateur radio issues.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > None of those substantiated by reality either.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Not the "reality" that you dwell in.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > My reality is truth.
> >> > >
> >> > Yes, yes, yes. You are the way the truth and the light...
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >>
> >> Not "my" truth...Just THE truth.
> >
> >Like I said...
>
> Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> copy stuff. :-)

Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?

> Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> stuff don't exist in reality.

"His Truth." "His Reality."

That kind of sums it up.

> >> > > > > > You like none of it and so launch your personal attacks.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > I consider YOUR "attacks" (mistruths, deceit, etc) about Amateur
> >> > > > > > Radio to be an "attack" on me. You make disparaging, untrue statements
> >> > > > > > about Amateur Radio and expect it to be blown off.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > You are easily offended. I think that you wish to be offended.
> >> > > >
> >> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> >> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> >> >
> >> > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
> >>
> >> Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
> >> people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.
> >
> >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
> >
> >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
> >offended!"
>
> Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we

> ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> wider distribution and acclaim!

Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."

> >> > > The problem is you, Lennie, and just a few other ner-do-wells who
> >> > > seem to think that what you do is "constructive".
> >> >
> >> > Hi! I suppose that you think what you do is constructive? Hi, hi!
> >>
> >> It keeps you occupied.
> >>
> >> > We don't continually embarass amateur radio and bring discredit upon
> >> > the Extra Class licensees the way you do. You should be proud of
> >> > yourselves.
> >>
> >> I don't bring "discredit upon the Extra Class licensees", Brain.
> >> If I bring any discredit, and I serious doubt it, it's just on me.
> >
> >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> >name.
>
> You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible. :-)

It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.

> Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> personalities). :-)

He's not very bright.

> Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there. :-)
>
> bim bam
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.

2978. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:20:51 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1122844851.200699.292870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > How long will it take the code teachers and
> > code advocates to catch on to the concept,
> > or will they coninue on with the stepped hoops?
>
> "Stepped hoops"? In my experience, people tend to learn Morse not in steps, but
> by gradual increases. Granted that there are some "plateaus" (approximately
> 10WPM and 25WPM) but these are found to be related to the "mental mechanics" of
> learning.
>
> Up to about 10WPM trainees can still "count the dits", so moving beyond that
> speed requires them to learn to recognize the "sound of the character" without
> deliberate "counting the dits". This is what makes the Farnsworth training
> method effective, in that the trainee is early acquainted to the "sound of the
> character" at the higher speeds.
>
> The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to a "middle conscious"
> level, where the characters flow at an almost sub-conscious level from the ear
> to the fingertip without active thought about the actual characters heard.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Like I said...

Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth can
go back to being a teaching method. And maybe without artificial
testing steps, Morse can develop more along the lines human learning
and consciousness.

2979. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:31:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4rbHe.49$Xv2.2566251@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

b.b.:

The internet is wonderful. I just completed an exhaustive search and located that CW training tape in question, I downloaded it in .mp3 format and listened to it.

Don't bother with getting it yourself, when I played it, all it said was, "Grab a BEEG RADIO (cb & leen-e-air) and go to it, if you want to have fun.

Then it asked for a "donation" to be sent to the arrl for the tape!

There was also a disgusting ad on the tape (the background sounded like it had been recorded at a flea market), some old ham trying to sell bicycle seats which had only been sniffed one time before!

Keep your money!

John

"b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1122844851.200699.292870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > How long will it take the code teachers and
> > code advocates to catch on to the concept,
> > or will they coninue on with the stepped hoops?
>
> "Stepped hoops"? In my experience, people tend to learn Morse not in steps,
> but
> by gradual increases. Granted that there are some "plateaus" (approximately
> 10WPM and 25WPM) but these are found to be related to the "mental mechanics"
> of
> learning.
>
> Up to about 10WPM trainees can still "count the dits", so moving beyond that
> speed requires them to learn to recognize the "sound of the character"
> without
> deliberate "counting the dits". This is what makes the Farnsworth training
> method effective, in that the trainee is early acquainted to the "sound of
> the
> character" at the higher speeds.
>
> The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to a "middle

> conscious"
> level, where the characters flow at an almost sub-conscious level from the
> ear
> to the fingertip without active thought about the actual characters heard.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Like I said...

Maybe Morse can go back to being an encoding scheme, and Farnsworth can go back to being a teaching method. And maybe without artificial testing steps, Morse can develop more along the lines human learning and consciousness.

2980. Re: stevie lies ..again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:37:22 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <mwbHe.699$6D5.80@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 114341

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:edKdnRB4SuKmz3HfRVn-2A@comcast.com...
>
> "Dee" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:dchgh7$5vt$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message <gaseous post snipped>
> >
> > Len said, "His blabberings are........." and goes on to do the same.
> >
> > Pot, kettle.
> >
> >
>
> Please note that the above post did not come from me.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

heh heh. I read that post, Dee, and KNEW it wasn't from you...

Kim W5TIT

2981. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:37:24 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <rwbHe.3407$7Q1.2448@fe09.lga>
Source record: 114340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vY6He.6713$0C.2556@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to a "middle
> conscious" level, where the characters flow at an almost sub-conscious
> level from the ear to the fingertip without active thought about the
> actual characters heard.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Sigh, I hope to get to this level eventually. I'm at the point where I'm just starting to "hear" words like tnx, abt, the, fer, qsl, qsb, name, op, rst, etc. rather than spelling them in my head.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

2982. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 21:59:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ARbHe.55$%t3.2717761@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bert casually remarked at the "self-improvment session", "I'm at the point
where I'm
just starting to "hear" words..."

My gawd man, we are making progress.

The first step is always the hardest--admitting you have a problem.

For right now, we have some meds to stop the voices, while we work on that
little problem.

John

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:rwbHe.3407$7Q1.2448@fe09.lga...
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:vY6He.6713$0C.2556@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to a "middle
>> conscious" level, where the characters flow at an almost sub-conscious level
>> from the ear to the fingertip without active thought about the actual
>> characters heard.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Sigh, I hope to get to this level eventually. I'm at the point where I'm just
> starting to "hear" words like tnx, abt, the, fer, qsl, qsb, name, op, rst,
> etc. rather than spelling them in my head.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

2983. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:03:41 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TZOdnSUC4d0g13DfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 114343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> And, guys. When someone asks you how you are doing, don't go into an hour
> long history of your medical trials and health tribulations. Spare us the
> details and the resultant depression. Please?

Agreed ..... I was tuning across 75 the other night just too see if my old receiver worked ....heard some health stuff and a discussion on using a baseball bat for sexual satisfaction ..... oh well I guess this is the bum society ...ya know I never really heard or had a QSO on CW that I remember that dealt with health problems ..... although a fellow on the Isle of Mann would tell me about his hangovers on Saturday morning ......

73 all ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

2984. Re: What is Ham Radio coming to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:10:20 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4IWdnZFdK7HU0XDfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 114344
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> Can anyone tell me what the length my Koi Carp has to be to be resonant
> across 40 metres?
>
> Andy MW0GUV

Andy you can tune a network but you can't tune a fish ....

ba dum ....ching ....

73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

2985. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:27:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1122848873.681795.155500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114345

JOHN:

My point is simply that there is better ways that terrorist and other criminal elements can communicate, amateur radio isn't on of them. The encryption rules in the amateur radio service (as written) are not need (or need to be modified) and in fact may hold amateur radio back, because there are some encryption technology that is more spectrum effecient.

Todd N9OGL

2986. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:28:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pgcHe.59$aD3.2884734@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114349

John:

My remarks were not about disposable cell phones--which DO give more anonymity.

However...

Don't buy the phone with a credit card or check--don't buy it where they have cams and take a pic of you, beware of the cams in the parking lot, don't let the checkout person get a good look at your face (or, have someone else buy it for you), and drive over to another town (preferably far away) to purchase it.

However, they still know exactly where that phone is being used, what time it is used and who you are calling, the guy on the other end better have one too or they might go ask him who called him...

And, don't purchase them from the same store or chain store all the time, switch around!

And, it will still be keeping in touch with the cell towers, use it once and chuck it!

Other than those few "little problems", hey, real reliable communications there...

John

"John Smythe" <smythe44@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message news:3dcd1b6e.99075257@alvillage.net...
> On Sun, 31 Jul 2005 14:04:53 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>> N9OGL:
>>
>> Well, they might pick up cell phones, I would caution them NOT to. There is
>> no
>> form of communications so well logged.
>
> Pie in the sky.
>
> Walk into any convenience store and purchase a disposable cell phone.
> Easy to do, and there are no questions asked which can be used to
> track you down if you pay cash.
>
> Trolls don't know this, but everyone else who has ever been in a
> convenience store does know it.
>
> Reality, "John." Reality. It always trips up the trolls.
>
> Now climb back under your bridge and keep on wallowing in your

> ignorance.

2987. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:33:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <klcHe.2793$cg.921@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 114346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Kardiac Korner" <StentCity@Cumadin> wrote in message
news:dci681adp0@pita.alt.net...
> Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
> Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows.
Well,
> this is not endemic to the HF bands.
>
> Case in point. This afternoon three of the youth challenged Old Timers
tied
> up a local repeater as they compared notes and even argued over whose
heart
> surgery was the worst. They droned on and on about the incisions made in
> their legs, their chests, and even went into graphic detail about the
> drainage tube that had been implanted in one of their abdomens.
>
> And it this was not depressing enough, one of the Codgers went on to
regale
> the listeners with details of his wife's cancer and chemo treatments and
> detailed the prescription drugs his wife uses.
>
>
>
> Come on, guys. My heart goes out to you, but MUST you discuss it for hours
> on end? On a repeater? Or anywhere? These oldsters are understandably
caught
> up in their dilemma but someone, some day, is going to have to ask them to
> please, please, stop.
>
>
>
> These Oldsters, all Extra licensees, have no idea how depressing it is to
> listen to them.
>
>
>
> And, guys. When someone asks you how you are doing, don't go into an hour
> long history of your medical trials and health tribulations. Spare us the
> details and the resultant depression. Please?
>
>
>
>
>

> This message not posted via two or more anonymous remailers.
>
>
>
>

Nice try.

I've been battling the "age" thing for a bit. I had to take a job well below my capabilities, but I took a particular job. I was putting a pallet atop a stack of pallets. I put one end up one the stack, picked the other end and a kid came up and started to grab the side of it. I figured he wanted to help. Nope, he grabbed the pallet and pushed it atop the stack saying "take it easy; you're too old man!".

Well, I grabbed another pallet, picked the thing up and threw it atop the stack. Then I told him that neither he nor I had gloves and I didn't particularly wish to get any splinters in my hands. I should have challenged him to see who could do 25 push-ups in less time. Guaranteed I buryl him. 50 could be dicey if he was in good shape.

The next time someone says "we don't type anymore, we use word processors", I'm going to suggest they bring their best on. Microsoft Office or Star office. Either one. I can type 65 words per minute without error on a bad day with a hangover and can do a bit better if I get upset. I have pushed a teletype to 92 words per minute (on a 100 word per minute machine - dang thing fights you!). I can handle a little more than 65 on a simple keyboard. A fair amount more.

I can also add/subtract a few numbers faster than the kids can punch the numbers into a calculator. Found that out plenty of times.

Direct your whines to the extra-lights that only had to take a 5 word per minute exam. I took 20 (and passed) 3 times - once for my commercial telegraph ticket and twice for my extra (once in 1966 and once in 1993 after I let my tickets expire). BTW, the code doesn't really matter either. I've found that the kids that want to try and find a way to push the oldsters aside really want to find a way to artifically get a job; they often don't want to work. I know. I won't drive folks to work anymore after one guy was drinking a beer on the way *in to* work! No open containers in my car. No drugs, either.

Now, I am going to head off next week to repair a road sign. The pay isn't great, but not bad ($25.00 per hour). Hopefully, the problem won't be too bad (sign struck by lightning - the pc, apparently, works).

ZBM-2 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2988. Re: PGP encryption of data on amateur radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:40:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QrcHe.61$ZC3.2874442@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114350

N9OGL:

I think my view on it was given away with the question (subject) of this thread...

Simply ignore the FCC rule, as long as you are an american and using encryption for a VALID PURPOSE (and that means NOT for terrorist activities), a valid purpose would be so no one (that included the gov't (think BIG BROTHER here) is listening in.

In any court of law, I do not see where it could be argued that radio is such a unique threat that encryption cannot be allowed there, yet can be done on the internet without regulation (other than you cannot share high security encryption software with other nations--and "God" himself knows that regulation is not violated!)

If you are a terrorist, they can hang you for your activities, and very rightly so...

John

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1122848873.681795.155500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> JOHN:
>
> My point is simply that there is better ways that terrorist and
> other criminal elements can communicate, amateur radio isn't on of
> them. The encryption rules in the amateur radio service (as written)
> are not need (or need to be modified) and in fact may hold amateur
> radio back, because there are some encryption technology that is more
> spectrum effecient.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

2989. Re: Codgers and Surgeries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:44:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bvcHe.62$IS2.2611256@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kardiac:

If the "powers which be" in the arrl all had their own hair and teeth, a much different mindset would be found in amateur radio.

Indeed, younger fellows would encourage more new hams to enter the hobby. I am sure arrl membership would go up by leaps and bounds also...

While the ancients run it, a lot stay far from the shadow...

John

"Kardiac Korner" <StentCity@Cumadin> wrote in message news:dci681adp0@pita.alt.net...
> Previous posters have commented that the HF bands are populated by
> Seventy-Somethings who oft compare their surgeries with their fellows. Well,
> this is not endemic to the HF bands.
>
> Case in point. This afternoon three of the youth challenged Old Timers tied
> up a local repeater as they compared notes and even argued over whose heart
> surgery was the worst. They droned on and on about the incisions made in
> their legs, their chests, and even went into graphic detail about the
> drainage tube that had been implanted in one of their abdomens.
>
> And it this was not depressing enough, one of the Codgers went on to regale
> the listeners with details of his wife's cancer and chemo treatments and
> detailed the prescription drugs his wife uses.
>
>
>
> Come on, guys. My heart goes out to you, but MUST you discuss it for hours
> on end? On a repeater? Or anywhere? These oldsters are understandably caught
> up in their dilemma but someone, some day, is going to have to ask them to
> please, please, stop.
>
>
>
> These Oldsters, all Extra licensees, have no idea how depressing it is to
> listen to them.
>
>
>
> And, guys. When someone asks you how you are doing, don't go into an hour
> long history of your medical trials and health tribulations. Spare us the
> details and the resultant depression. Please?

>
>
>
>
>
> This message not posted via two or more anonymous remailers.
>
>
>
>
> --
> "My husband may have his faults, but he has never lied to me." Hillary
> Clinton, "Living History", page 465.
>
>
>
>

2990. Re: Brain Can't Figure Out Why He Can't Get Ahead...Doesn't Realize he NEEDS A Head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 22:45:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1122849908.396218.224410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 114347

b.b. wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Tsk. I think Stebie thinks ALL should be concerned with Stebie's
> > demands! :-)
>
> But we're not, so he bites his knuckle and thinks up new taunts and
> attacks.

Don't need to, Brain, as much as you'd like to think I do...You and Lennie will just go on doing those things that MAKE you "Brain and Lennie", and it's there for all to see.

> > Note the use of "flailing" in place of "failing" in Stebie's
> > sentence. A Freudian slip of his mirroring his own FLAILING
> > about when he says all disagreers "LIE, LIE, LIE!" :-)
>
> He has a unique viewpoint.

And you don't?

> Someday it will be worthy of study under the heading "Aberrant
> Behavio[u]r." Until someone in the professional headspace business
> seizes him, we have to deal with his rantings.

So far, there are no rantings.

Just me proving you to be what I claim. And You providing the material for me to do it with.

> > Stebie's posting sometimes read like "Watchtower" clone bad
> > copy stuff. :-)
>
> Is "Watchtower" good science fiction?

A little lost, are you?

> > Stebie should have put His "truth" in quotation marks. Dat
> > stuff don't exist in reality.
>
> "His Truth." "His Reality."
>
> That kind of sums it up.

Nice try. Doesn't change the facts that you're both discreditied liars.

Where were you on that Boy Scout Jamboree, BTW, Brain?

Certainly osunds like they ahd some of your "leadership" behind
it.

> > >> > > Nope. I'd like to carry one meaningful discussions (and
> > >> > > frequently do) about Amateur Radio issues.
> > >> >
> > >> > One meaningful discussions? You're way too pluralistic.
> > >>
> > >> Let's call it multi-tasking. I am sure it awes you that some
> > >> people can actually chew gum and walk, but it happens.
> > >
> > >Congratulations on your acheivement. I am in awe of your abilities.
> > >
> > >"Chews gum and walks simultaneously, all the while being easily
> > >offended!"
> >
> > Is Ripley's "Believe It Or Not" still published? If it is, we
> > ought to send Stebie's marvelous accomplishment to them for
> > wider distribution and acclaim!
>
> Now that would be funny, but it might trigger an "episode."

We could do an "episode" on Brain's and Lennie's achievments, but
what would we do with the other 27 1/2 minutes of airtime?

> > >I think Steve took a quick glimpse of reality, then closed his eyes
> > >tightly and went back to discrediting his fellow Extra's and his family
> > >name.
> >
> > You hit that one "bang on", Brian. Very plausible.
>
> It's just better for everyone when he keeps his eyes shut. His
> behavior is bizarre enough without him having to face reality.

There are those with reality acceptance issues here, Brain...But
I'm not one of them...

> > Brian, you are too subtle for Stebie. He failed to notice your
> > plural form of "yourselves" (as in reference to multiple
> > personalities).
>
> He's not very bright.

Brighter than the sum of the two of you, but then that didn't take
a whole lot.

> > Welp, Stebie has just admitted to hypocrisy. It used to was that
> > anyone talking back to Stebie "HATED ALL HAM RADIO!" Now Stebie
> > admits he is only "representing" HIMSELF (and all his personalities
> > of course). There's a tiny promise for optimists there.
>
> I'm optimistic about many things, but Steve is not one of them.

I'd be interested in figuring out where you got that "Steve admitted ot hypocricy" thing, but then Lord knows where the two of you pull things out from...

But it was fun watching the two of you pat each others backs here again...Now if you'd just invited Markie and Toadie in, you'd have had the whole gang in.

Steve, K4YZ

2991. Re: New Morse training tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-31 23:07:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4RcHe.1051$ns.213@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:rwbHe.3407$7Q1.2448@fe09.lga...
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:vY6He.6713$0C.2556@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> The 25WPM plateau seems related to sublimating copying to a "middle
>> conscious" level, where the characters flow at an almost sub-conscious
>> level from the ear to the fingertip without active thought about the
>> actual characters heard.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Sigh, I hope to get to this level eventually. I'm at the point where I'm
> just starting to "hear" words like tnx, abt, the, fer, qsl, qsb, name, op,
> rst, etc. rather than spelling them in my head.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

Excellent Bert....CW is like music when sent well. It ebbs and flows like a river. A thing of beauty.

Think of it this way....no many folks can talk with their fingers.

Dan/W4NTI